If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov

AN ANALYSIS OF / RECIDIVISM RATES IN SIX FEDERALLY-FUNDED RESTITUTION PROJECTS IN JUVENILE COURTS --- A STATISTICAL SUMMARY ---

Ву

Peter R. Schneider
Pacific Institute for Research & Evaluation
and
Anne L. Schneider
Oklahoma State University

November 1983

98590

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Public Uomain/OJJDP/NIJTDP

Us Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

985/88 84/52/ C4223 Prepared for presentation at the annual meetings of the

American Society of Criminology

Denver, Colorado

November 9-12, 1983

Funding for this report and research was provided by grants no. 77-NI-99-0005, 79-NJ-AX-0009, and 82-JS-AX-0025 from the Office of Justice Assistance, Research, and Statistics, OJJDP/NIJJDP, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC to the Institute of Policy Analysis, Eugene, Oregon. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author, and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the Department of Justice.

Table 1. Profile of Referrals to the Six Evaluation Groups in Washington, DC

	*Incarc	eration	* Groups	*Proba	*Probation* groups			
Sahaal Status	AI	AIR	INCAR	AP	APR	PROB		
School Status (# of cases)	(35)	(29)	(10)	(143)	(131)	(137)		
Full time student	69%	45%	60%	75%	72%	72%		
Part time/alternative school Not in school	6 26	14 41	0 40	11 15	8 21	15 13		
NOT IN SCHOOL	. 20	41	40	15	21	13		
Race (# of cases)	(36)	(29)	(10)	(144)	(133)	(142)		
Black	100%	100%	100%	998	98%	99%		
White	0	0	0	1	2	1		
Other	• 0	0	0	0	1	0		
Age at the time of referral								
(# of cases)	(37)	(29)	(10)	(144)	(133)	(142)		
13 or younger	11%	78	0 %	10%	7%	7%		
14	14	14	20	17	14	9		
15	22	17	20	17	24	26		
16	24	21	30	30	30	30		
17	24	31	20	22	20	21		
18 or older	5	10	10	4	5	7		
Mean age	15.5	15.8	15.9	15.4	15.5	15.6		
Prior Offenses (two years								
<pre>prior to referral date) (# of cases)</pre>	(37)	(29)	(10)	(144)	(133)	(142)		
(# Of Cases)	(37)	(23)	(10)	(132)	(133)	(142)		
No prior court contacts	14%	21%	20%	37%	35%	39%		
One prior court contact	30	24	40	33	30	31		
Two to four prior contacts	41	45	40	26	32	28		
Five or more prior contacts	16	10	0	4	3	3		
Sex	•							
(# of cases)	(36)	(29)	(10)	(144)	(133)	(142)		
Male	92%	86%	100%	97%	87%	91%		
Female	8	14	0	3	13	9		

)_

Table 2. Types of Referral Offenses in Washington, DC

	*Incarce	eration'	Groups	*Prob	"Probation" groups			
	AI	AIR	INCAR	AP	APR	PROB		
Violent					•			
Rape	0	0	0	0	1	3		
Armed Robbery	0	2	0	1	3	5		
Unarmed Robbery	7	7	2	35	23	25		
Aggravated Assault	1	0	0	4		12		
Subtotal	8	9	2	40	34	45		
% of group total	22%	31%	20%	28%	26%	32%		
Serious Property Offenses								
Burglary	12	11	4	40	32	25		
Attempted Burglary	0	0	0	1	1	1		
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>		
Subtotal	12	11	4	41	33	27		
% of group total	32%	38%	40%	28%	25%	19%		
Other Felony Property								
Motor Vehicle Theft	3	5	1	11	6	12		
Forgery, Fraud, Embezzlemen		0	0	2	2	0		
Subtotal	3	5	1	13	8	12		
% of group total	88	17%	10%	9%	6 %	88		
Minor Personal Offenses								
Simple Assault	0	0	0	9	8	9		
Resisting police officer	0	0	0	0	1	2		
Other minor personal	0	0	0	1	4	1		
Subtotal	0	0	. 0	10	13	12		
% of group total	8.0	0.8	9.0	7%	10%	98		
Minor Property Offenses								
Stolen Property (receiving	•	•	•	_	•	_		
or possessing)	1	. 0	0	3	0	1		
Vandalism	0	0	0	1	1	3		
Disorderly conduct	0	2	0	1	0	0		
Pursesnatch and pickpocket	1	1	1	9	10	6		
Shoplifting	4	1	1	5	12	20		
Other theft	6	0	1	17	18	15		
Other minor property	0	0	0		0	0		
Subtotal	12	4	3	37	41	45		
% of group total	32%	14%	30%	26%	31%	32%		
Trivial Offenses								
Drugs	1	0	0	2	1	0		
Trespass	<u>_</u>	0	0	1	3			
Subtotal	2	0	0	3	4	1		
<pre>% of group total</pre>	5%	0%	9.0	2%	3%	1%		

Table 3. Reoffense Patterns by Evaluation Group and Offense Type

	*Incarce	ration"	Groups	*Proba	tion" g	roups
	AI	AIR	INCAR	AP	APR	PROB
Overall Distribution of						
Reoffending						
(# of cases)	(37)	(29)	(10)	(144)	(133)	(142)
No reoffenses	27%	38%	80 %	47%	45%	37%
One reoffense	14	24	0	19	23	23
Two reoffenses	16	7	0	15	14	13
Three reoffenses	16	7	0	6	6	9
Four to six reoffenses	14	17	20	10	8	14
Seven or more reoffenses	14	7	0	3	3	4
Reoffense Patterns by Type						
of Offense						
SUBSEQUENT VIOLENT OFFENSES	78%	83%	90%	81%	81%	78%
None	70 t 22	17	10	19	19	22
One or more	22	17	10	19	19	22
SUBSEQUENT BURGLARY AND ARSOL	N .					
None	84%	83%	90%	81%	90%	79%
One or more	16	17	10	19	10	21
SUBSEQUENT OTHER FELONY PROP	ERTY				· 6	
None	73%	79%	100%	91%	87%	82%
One or more	27	21	0	9	13	18
SUBSEQUENT MINOR PERSONAL						
None	87%	93%	100%	93%	96%	96%
One or more	13	7	0	7	4	4
SUBSEQUENT MINOR PROPERTY			_			
None	57%	66%	80 €	75%	72%	68%
One or more	43	34	20	25	28	32
SUBSEQUENT TRIVIAL				•		
None	76%	79%	90%	88%	92%	86%
One or more	24	21	10	12	8	14

Table 4. Reoffense Rates by Evaluation Group and Offense Type

	*Incarce	ration'	Groups	*Proba	ation" c	roups
Change Backfords Bakes	AI	AIR	INCAR	AP	APR	PROB
Group Reoffense Rates (# of cases)	(37)	(29)	(10)	(144)	(133)	(142)
<pre># of subsequent offenses for group</pre>	101	62	10	207	180	246
Months of risk time for group	1,351	966	414	4,635	4,166	4,569
Average risk time per youth (in months)	36	33	41	32	31	32
Average # of offenses per youth	2.73	2.14	1.00	1.44	1.35	1.73
Overall reoffense rate, per 100 youths, per year	91	78	29*	54	52	65
Reoffense Rates for major offense types (per 100 youths, per year)						
Violent	22	14	3*	13	15	12
Burglary and Arson	6	28	· 6*	10	7	12
Other Felony Property	20	10	0*	4	9	9
Minor personal -	7	3	0*	3	2	2
Minor property	22	16	18*	19	15	22
Trivial	14	8	3*	5	4	8

^{*}These rates are highly unstable due to the small number of cases (N = 10) on which they are based. They are included only for descriptive purposes.

Table 5. Pre/Post Comparisons of Offense Rates for Youths in Each of the Six Evaluation Groups

	*I	"Incarceration" Groups				•	"Probation" gro				oups	
	AI		AI	AIR INCAR			AP		APR		PROB	
	В	A	В	A	В	A	В	<u>A</u>	В	Α	В	A
Total Offense Rate, per year, per 100	119	91	105	78	85	29*	61	54	62	52	61	65
Violent rate	22	22	22	14	15	3*	12	13	14	15	11	12
Burglary/arson rate	26	6	33	28	35	6 *	17	10	12	7	13	12
Other property rate	22	20	12	10	0	0*	4	4	7	9	6	9
Minor personal rate	5	7	0	3	10	0*	3	3	4	2	6	2
Minor property rate	38	22	. 33	16	20	18*	21	19	23	15	23	22
Trivial rate	7	14	5	8	5	_ 3*	3	5	3	4	2	8
Number of cases	(37)	. (29)	(10)	()	44)	(1	33)	(1	42)

Figures in the cells for the "before" period (B) show the rate of offenses per year committed by each 100 youths during the pre-intervention time period. This "before" period examined two years of data for these youth. For the "after" period (A), similarly computed yearly rates are displayed based on three years of post-intervention data.

*The before and after rates for the incarceration group (INCAR) are highly unstable due to the small number of cases ($N \approx 10$) on which they are based. They are included only for descriptive purposes.

Table 6. Multiple Regression Analysis of Recidivism Rates of Youth Randomly Assigned Restitution or Probation

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN
RANDOMLY ASSIGNED TREATMENT AND RECIDIVISM

Recidivism Measure		Order	Partial	SE	Beta	Multiple
OVERALL RECIDIVISM	<u>r</u>	Sig.	<u> </u>	<u>b</u>	Weight	R Squared
Frequency	.08	.06	.43*	.21	.10	.10
Rate	.07	.07	.16*	.08	.10	.09
VIOLENT OFFENSES						
Frequency	02	.37	.02	.11	.01	.06
Rate	02	.38	.01	.04	.01	.05
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES			*			
Frequency	.08	.06	.13*	.07	.10	.05
Rate	.06	.11	.04	.03	.08	.05
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY						
Frequency	.05	.17	.06	.06	.04	.03
Rate	.04	.21	.02	.02	.04	.03
MINOR PERSONAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	04	. 21	02	.03	03	.01
Rate	04	. 20	01	.01	03	.01
MINOR PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	.07	.06	.17	.10	.08	.06
Rate	.08	.05	.07*	.04	.09	.04
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	.07	.07	07	.05	.07	.01
Rate	.06	.10	.02	.02	.06	.02
SERIOUSNESS INDICES	•					
Most serious reoffense	.07	.08	.53*	. 25	.10	.10
Seriousness score	.03	.27	.79	. 65	.06	.09
Seriousness rate	.02	.33	. 27	• 25	.05	.08

The zero-order correlations (r) are the simple relationships between the randomly assigned treatment (1 = restitution; 2 = probation) and the recidivism measure. The partial b is the partial unstandardized regression coefficient showing the independent effect of the treatment on recidivism after controlling for prior offenses, age, race, school status, and sex; partial b's that are statistically significant at the .05 level are marked with an asterisk (*). The beta weight is the partial standardized regression coefficient and is useful for assessing the relative independent effect of treatment across different recidivism measures. For the frequency variables (including most serious reoffense and seriousness score), the amount of time at risk was also controlled in the multiple regression analyses. For the simple correlations and the regression coefficients, positive values indicate the restitution group tended to recidivate less; negative values, the probation group.

Table 1. Profile of Referrals to the Restitution and Incarceration Groups in Boise, Idaho

	Restitution	Incarceration
School Status		
(# of cases)	(83)	(95)
Full time student	81%	85 %
Not in school	19	15
Race		
(# of cases)	(85)	(94)
White	95%	998
Black	5	1
Age at the time of referral		
(# of cases)	(84)	(95)
13 or younger	18%	15%
14	23	12
15 ·	17	24
16	23	26
17	17	20
18 or older	4	3
Mean age	15.0	15.3
Prior Offenses (two years prior to referral date)	*	
(# of cases)	(86)	(95)
No prior court contacts	34%	20%
One prior court contact	14	24
Two to four prior contacts	42	39
Five or more prior contacts	10	17
Sex	•	
(# of cases)	(86)	~ (95)
Male	86%	84%
Female	14	16

Table 2. Types of Referral Offenses in Boise

	Restitution	Incarceration
Violent		
Aggravated Assault	1	0
Subtotal	$\frac{1}{1}$	0
% of group total	1%	0.8
Serious Property Offenses		
Burglary	<u>29</u> 29	<u> 26</u>
Subtotal		26
% of group total	34%	27%
Other Felony Property		
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	2
Forgery, Fraud, Embezzlement	5	<u>3</u>
Subtotal	5	
% of group total	6%	5%
Minor Personal Offenses		
Simple Assault (incl. within-family		1
Assault and Battery	3	1
Other minor personal	<u>1</u>	0
Subtotal	6	2
% of group total	7%	2%
Minor Property Offenses		
Shoplifting	11	8
Vandalism	4	11
Theft from Motor Vehicle	5	7
Stolen Property (receiving	4	•
or possessing)	4	8
Bicycle Theft	3	2
Disorderly conduct	1 .	1
Pursesnatch and pickpocket	1	. 1
Other theft	16	20
Other minor property	0	1
Subtotal	45	59
% of group total	52%	62%
Trivial Offenses		
Drugs	0	1
Trespass	0	1
Fighting	0	
Subtotal	0	
<pre>% of group total</pre>	0%	3%

Table 3. Reoffense Patterns by Evaluation Group and Offense Type

	Restitution	Incarceration
Overall Distribution_of		
Reoffending		
(# of cases)	(86)	(95)
No reoffenses	47%	41%
One reoffense	17	25
Two reoffenses	12	5
Three reoffenses	13	12
Four to six reoffenses	8	11
Seven or more reoffenses	3	6
Reoffense Patterns by Type		,
of Offense		
SUBSEQUENT VIOLENT OFFENSES		
None	100%	95%
One or more	0	5
SUBSEQUENT BURGLARY AND ARSON		
None	90%	84%
One or more	10	16
SUBSEQUENT OTHER FELONY PROPERTY		-
None	94%	91%
One or more	,6	9
SUBSEQUENT MINOR PERSONAL		
None	98%	90%
One or more	2	10
SUBSEQUENT MINOR PROPERTY		
None	71%	70%
One or more	29	30
SUBSEQUENT TRIVIAL		
None	67%	65%
One or more	33	35

Table 4. Reoffense Rates by Evaluation Group and Offense Type

	Restitution	Incarceration
Group Reoffense Rates (# of cases)	(86)	(95)
<pre># of subsequent offenses for group</pre>	136	174
Months of risk time for group	1897	2134
Average risk time per youth (in months)	22	22
Average # of offenses per youth	1.58	1.83
Overall reoffense rate, per 100 youths, per year	86	100
Reoffense Rates for major offense types (per 100 youths, per year)		
Violent	- 0	3
Burglary and Arson	, 7	12
Other Felony Property	15	9
Minor personal	1	9
Minor property	32	33
Trivial	31	. 33

Table 5. Pre/Post Comparisons of Offense Rates for Youths in the Restitution and Incarceration Groups

	*****	Restit		***************************************		ration
	_ <u>B</u>	<u>A</u> 3	t Change	<u>B</u>	<u>A</u> 3	t Change
Total Offense Rate, per year, per 100	103	86	- 17%	137	100	- 27%
Violent rate	1	0	- 100%	2	3	+ 50%
Burglary/arson rate	11	7	- 36%	51	12	- 76%
Other property rate	10	15	+ 50%	12	9	- 25%
Minor personal rate	3	1	- 67%	6	9	+ 50%
Minor property rate	51	32	- 37%	46	33	- 28%
Trivial rate	26	31	+ 19%	21	33	+ 57%
Number of cases		(86)	•		(95)	

Figures in the cells for the "before" period (B) show the rate of offenses per year committed by each 100 youths during the pre-intervention time period. This "before" period examined two years of data for these youth. For the "after" period (A), similarly computed yearly rates are displayed based on approximately two years (22 months average subsequent risk time) of post-intervention data.

Table 6. Multiple Regression Analysis of Recidivism Rates of Youth Randomly Assigned Restitution or Incarceration

Recidivism Measure		Order	Partial	SE	Beta	Multiple
	r	Sig.	<u>b</u>	<u>b</u>	Weight	R Squared
OVERALL RECIDIVISM						
Frequency	.05	.27	.33	. 44		.04
Rate	.02	.39	.07	.26	.02	.04
VIOLENT OFFENSES						
Frequency		Too fe	w cases fo	or ana	lysis.	
Rate					•	
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	.09	.12	.09	.09	.08	.03
Rate	.09	.12	.05	.04	.09	.02
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY						
Frequency	04	.30	07	.25	02	.08
Rate	05	. 25	11	.18	05	.06
MINOR PERSONAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	.18	.01	.15*	.07	.17	.04
Rate	.18	.01	*80.	.04	.17	.04
MINOR PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	.01	.46	.04	.20	.01	.03
Rate	01	.46	01	.11	004	.02
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	.02	.39	.05	.15	.03	.04
Rate	.02	.39	.02	.08	.02	.04
SERIOUSNESS INDICES		÷				
- Most serious reoffense	.12	.06	.34	. 29	.09	.04
Seriousness score	.07	.16	1.06	.97	.09	.06
Seriousness rate	.04	. 29	.35	.63	.04	.05

The zero-order correlations (r) are the simple relationships between the randomly assigned treatment (l = restitution; 2 = incarceration) and the recidivism measure. The partial b is the partial unstandardized regression coefficient showing the independent effect of the treatment on recidivism after controlling for prior offenses, age, race, school status, and sex; partial b's that are statistically significant at the .05 level are marked with an asterisk (*).

The beta weight is the partial standardized regression coefficient and is useful for assessing the relative independent effect of treatment across different recidivism measures. For the frequency variables (including most serious reoffense and seriousness score), the amount of time at risk was also controlled in the multiple regression analyses. For the simple correlations and the regression coefficients, positive values indicate the restitution group tended to recidivate less; negative values, the incarceration group.

Table 1. Profile of Referrals to the Four Evaluation Groups in Clayton County, Georgia

	Resti R	tution_ R&C	Nonres C	titution CONTROL
School Status				
(# of cases)	(71)	(74)	(55)	(55)
Full time student	76%	82%	75 %	86%
Not in school	24	18	25	14
Race				
(# of cases)	(72)	(72)	(55)	(54)
White	94 %	96%	96%	100%
Black	6	4	4	0
Age at the time of referral				
(# of cases)	(73)	(74)	(55)	(55)
13 or younger	12%	11%	18%	18%
14	16	11	13	13
15	26	28	29	38
16	34	46	35	15
17	11	4	6	15
18 or older	0	0	0	2
Mean age	14.9	15.1	14.9	14.9
Prior Offenses (two years	•			
<pre>prior to referral date) (# of cases)</pre>	(73)	(74)	(55)	(56)
No prior court contacts	40%	57%	44%	46%
One prior court contact	19	19	33	25
Two to four prior contacts	26	18	18	20
Five or more prior contacts	15	7	5	. 9
Sex				
(# of cases)	(73)	(74)	(55)	(56)
Male	86%	80 €	78%	80€
Female	14	20	22	20

Table 2. Types of Referral Offenses in Clayton County, Georgia

	Restit	tution	Nonres	titution
	R	R&C	С	CONTROL
Wielert				
Violent Armed Robbery	0	1	0	0
Aggravated Assault	0	1	1	0
Subtotal	°	 2	-	-0
% of group total	0.8	3 %	2 %	0%
1 OI STOUP COULT		•	- 0	0.6
Serious Property Offenses				
Burglary	9	22	22	14
Subtotal	9	22	22	14
<pre>% of group total</pre>	12%	30%	40%	25%
Other Felony Property	•		_	_
Motor Vehicle Theft	8	4	3	3
Forgery, Fraud, Embezzlement				0
Subtotal	9	5	4	3
% of group total	12 %	7 %	7 %	5 %
Minor Personal Offenses				
Simple Assault (incl. within-family)	4	0	2	3
Assault and Battery	1	1	0	0
Intimidation	0	0	0	1
Subtotal	5	1	2	4
% of group total	7 %	1 %	4 %	7 %
	•			
Minor Property Offenses	• •		_	_
Shoplifting	10	12	8	8
Vandalism	10	9	6	12
Theft from Motor Vehicle	6	4	1	9
Stolen Property (receiving	4	1	1	1
or possessing)	-		_	_
Bicycle Theft	1 0	3 0	. 1	4
Pursesnatch and pickpocket	•	_	3	0
Criminal Mischief	0	1	0	0
Other theft	13	<u>12</u> 42	$\frac{-6}{26}$	- <u>1</u>
Subtotal	44 60%	42 57%	20 47%	35
% of group total	0U &	3/ %	4/8	63%
Trivial Offenses				
Driving under the Influence	1	1	0	0
Trespass	5	0	0	0
Fighting	0	_1	0	0
Subtotal	6	2	0	0
<pre>% of group total</pre>	8 %	3 %	0 %	<i>9</i> 0

Table 3. Reoffense Patterns by Evaluation Group and Offense Type

	Resti	tution R&C	Nonres C	titution CONTROL
Overall Distribution of				
Reoffending				
(# of cases)	(73)	(74)	(55)	(56)
No reoffenses	51%	54%	40%	48 %
One reoffense	10	11	26	20
Two reoffenses	8 ,	14	7	7
Three reoffenses	11	8	- 6	7
Four to six reoffenses	12	11	15	7
Seven or more reoffenses	8	3	7	11
Reoffense Patterns by Type of Offense				
SUBSEQUENT VIOLENT OFFENSES				
None	97 %	97 %	96 %	98%
One or more	3	3	4	2
SUBSEQUENT BURGLARY AND ARSON				
None	88 %	888	82 %	86%
One or more	12	12	18	14
SUBSEQUENT OTHER FELONY PROPERTY				
None	99%	100%	86%	91%
One or more	1	0	14	9
SUBSEQUENT MINOR PERSONAL	•••			
None	92%	89%	80 €	٠ 93 8
One or more	8	11	20	7
SUBSEQUENT MINOR PROPERTY	***			
None	69%	77 %	71%	66 %
One or more	31	23	29	34
SUBSEQUENT TRIVIAL	= 4.	70.	<i>*</i> *-	
None	58%	72 %	62%	68%
One or more	42	28	38	32

Table 4. Reoffense Rates by Evaluation Group and Offense Type

	Restit	ution	Nonres	titution
	<u>R</u>	_R&C	С	CONTROL
<pre>Group Reoffense Rates (# of cases)</pre>	(73)	(74)	(55)	(56)
<pre># of subsequent offenses for group</pre>	136	101	139	129
Months of risk time for group	2584	2626	1976	2066
Average risk time per youth (in months)	35	35	36	37
Average # of offenses per youth	1.86	1.36	2.53	2.30
Overall reoffense rate, per 100 youths, per year	64	47	84	75
Reoffense Rates for major offense types (per 100 youths, per year)				
Violent	1	1	1	1
Burglary and Arson	6	8	16	8
Other Felony Property	0	0	5	3
Minor personal	6	6	7	3
Minor property	21	14	.27	36
Trivial	30	17	27	24

Table 5. Pre/Post Comparisons of Offense Rates for Youths in the Restitution and Nonrestitution Groups

	_ R	estit	ution	<u> </u>	Non	rest	itutio	n
	R		R&	С	C		CONT	ROL
	В	A	В	A	В	A		A
Total Offense Rate, per year, per 100	101	74	55	47	64	84	75	75
Violent rate	2	1	3	1	2	1	1	1
Burglary/arson rate	14	6	13	8	11	16	7	8
Other property rate	1	0	3	0	11	5	11	3
Minor personal rate	7	6	5	6	6	7	3	3
Minor property rate	51	21	21	14	21	27	44	36
Trivial rate	26	30	11	17	13	27	10	24
Number of cases	(7	3)	(7	' 4)	(5	5)	(5	6)

Figures in the cells for the "before" period (B) show the rate of offenses per year committed by each 100 youths during the pre-intervention time period. This "before" period examined two years of data for these youth. For the "after" period (A), similarly computed yearly rates are displayed based on approximately three years (36 months average subsequent risk time) of post-intervention data.

Table 6. Multiple Regression Analysis of Recidivism Rates of Youth Randomly Assigned Restitution or Nonrestitution

Recidivism Measure	Zero-(Order Sig.	Partial b	SE b	Beta Weight	Multiple R Squared
OVERALL RECIDIVISM						
Frequency	.11	.05	.83	.45	.11	.14
Rate	.11	.04	.29*	.14	.13	.11
VIOLENT OFFENSES						
Frequency	03	.31	01	.03	02	.05
Rate	03	.31	00	.01	02	.05
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	.07	.13	.14	.14	.06	.04
Rate	.06	.15	.05	.05	.06	.03
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY						
Frequency	.23	.01	.13*	.03	.24	.12
Rate	.23	.01	.04*	.01	.24	.12
MINOR PERSONAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	01	.44	02	.07	02	.04
Rate	01	.47	01	.02	01	.03
MINOR PROPERTY OFFENSES	>					
Frequency	.10	.05	.47	.26	.11	.12
Rate	•11	.04	.16*	.08	.13	.12
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	.03	.32	.11	.18	.04	.09
Rate	.03	.34	.04	.06	.04	.05
SERIOUSNESS INDICES						
Most serious reoffense	.09	.08	.29	.24	.07	.12
Seriousness score	.09	.08	.93	.71	.08	.09
Seriousness rate	.08	.09	.32	.23	.09	.07

The zero-order correlations (r) are the simple relationships between the randomly assigned treatment (1 = restitution; 2 = nonrestitution) and the recidivism measure. The partial b is the partial unstandardized regression coefficient showing the independent effect of the treatment on recidivism after controlling for prior offenses, age, race, school status, and sex; partial b's that are statistically significant at the .05 level are marked with an asterisk (*). The beta weight is the partial standardized regression coefficient and is useful for assessing the relative independent effect of treatment across different recidivism measures. For the frequency variables (including most serious reoffense and seriousness score), the amount of time at risk was also controlled in the multiple regression analyses. For the simple correlations and the regression coefficients, positive values indicate the restitution group tended to recidivate less; negative values, the nonrestitution group.

. . 1

Table 7. Multiple Regression Analysis of Recidivism Rates of Youth Randomly Assigned Counseling or Noncounseling

Recidivism Measure	Zero-C		Partial b	SE b	Beta Weight	Multiple
OVERALL RECIDIVISM	-	big.			weight	R Squared
Frequency	.03	.34	13	.45	02	.13
Rate	.02	.39	06	.14	03	.10
VIOLENT OFFENSES						
Frequency	02	.39	00	.03	00	.05
Rate	03	.29	00	.01	02	.05
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	07	.13	19	.14	09	.04
Rate	08	.10	07	.05	09	.04
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY						
Frequency	03	.32	03	.03	06	.07
Rate	02	.37	01	.01	05	.07
MINOR PERSONAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	 05	.20	08	.07		.04
Rate	08	.10	04	.02	10	.05
MINOR PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	.06	.18	.07	.26	.02	.11
Rate	.16	.16	.03	.08	.02	.11
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	.07	.14	.11	.18	.04	.09
Rate	.06	.19	.03	.06	.03	.05
SERIOUSNESS INDICES						
Most serious reoffense	02	. 37	25	.24	07	.12
Seriousness score	07	.15	-1.08	.70		.09
Seriousness rate	09	.08	42	. 23	12	.08

The zero-order correlations (r) are the simple relationships between the randomly assigned treatment (1 = counseling; 2 = noncounseling) and the recidivism measure. The partial b is the partial unstandardized regression coefficient showing the independent effect of the treatment on recidivism after controlling for prior offenses, age, race, school status, and sex; partial b's that are statistically significant at the .05 level are marked with an asterisk (*). The beta weight is the partial standardized regression coefficient and is useful for assessing the relative independent effect of treatment across different recidivism measures. For the frequency variables (including most serious reoffense and seriousness score), the amount of time at risk was also controlled in the multiple regression analyses. For the simple correlations and the regression coefficients, positive values indicate the counseling group tended to recidivate less; negative values, the noncounseling group.

•

Table 8. Multiple Regression Analysis of Recidivism Rates of Youth Randomly Assigned Restitution, or Restitution and Counseling

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN RANDOMLY ASSIGNED TREATMENT AND RECIDIVISM

Recidivism Measure	Zero-C		Partial b	SE b	Beta Weight	Multiple R Squared
OVERALL RECIDIVISM			***************************************		<u> </u>	
Frequency	10	.11	36	.40	07	.14
Rate	09	.14	07	.13	05	.18
VIOLENT OFFENSES						
Frequency	00	.50	.00	.05	.00	.07
Rate	.01	.43	.00	.02	.02	.07
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	.06	.24	.14	.12	.10	.06
Rate	•07	.19	.05	.04	.12	.06
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY						
Frequency	08	.16	01	.01	.04	.05
Rate	08	.16	00	.01	-04	.05
MINOR PERSONAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	00	.49	.02	.11	.01	.04
Rate	.02	.42	.02	.03	.04	.03
MINOR PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	09	.15	18	.18		.13
Rate	10	.11	06	.06	09	.09
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	16	.03	~.33	.20		.12
Rate	14	.05	08	.07	11	.07
SERIOUSNESS INDICES						
Most serious reoffense	02	.41	.14	.31	.04	.14
Seriousness score	01	. 44	. 28	.76	.03	.09
Seriousness rate	.01	.43	. 20	.25	.07	.07

The zero-order correlations (r) are the simple relationships between the randomly assigned treatment (1 = restitution; 2 = restitution and counseling) and the recidivism measure. The partial b is the partial unstandardized regression coefficient showing the independent effect of the treatment on recidivism after controlling for prior offenses, age, race, school status, and sex; partial b's that are statistically significant at the .05 level are marked with an asterisk (*). The beta weight is the partial standardized regression coefficient and is useful for assessing the relative independent effect of treatment across different recidivism measures. For the frequency variables (including most serious reoffense and seriousness score), the amount of time at risk was also controlled in the multiple regression analyses. For the simple correlations and the regression coefficients, positive values indicate the restitution group tended to recidivate less; negative values, the restitution and counseling group.

, '

TABLE 1. PROFILE OF JUVENILE OFFENDERS IN DANE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

### Male				
### SENDER * Male		Progrommatic Restitution		
<pre>% Male % Female</pre>	No. of Cases	165	86	
% Female 16 18 SCHOOL % Fulltime Students 71 % 73 % % Parttime/Alternative school 8 3 3 \$ Not in School 21 23 RACE % Minority 4 % 10 % 96 90 90 AGE 14 5 % 7 % 15 21 23 14 23 16 28 22 17 29 33 18 17 15 16 28 22 17 15 15 PRIOR OFFENSES % With No Prior Court Contacts 32 % 29 % % With One Prior Court Contact 19 15 % 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	GENDER			
<pre>% Fulltime Students % Parttime/Alternative school % Not in School 21 23 RACE % Minority % White 4 % 10 % 96 90 AGE 14 5 % 7 % 15 21 23 16 28 22 17 29 33 18 17 15 PRIOR OFFENSES % With No Prior Court Contacts 32 % 29 % % With One Prior Court Contact 19 15 % With Two to Four Priors 27 34</pre>				•
<pre>% Minority % White AGE AGE 14</pre>	% Parttime/Alternative school	8	73 % 3 23	
# White 96 90 AGE 14 5 % 7 % 15 21 23 16 28 22 17 29 33 18 17 15 PRIOR OFFENSES # With No Prior Court Contacts 32 % 29 % % With One Prior Court Contact 19 15 % With Two to Four Priors 27 34	RACE			•
14	% Minority % White			
15 16 28 27 17 29 33 18 PRIOR OFFENSES With No Prior Court Contacts With One Prior Court Contact With Two to Four Priors 21 23 24 25 26 27 33 31 31 31 32 40 29 40 31 32 40 32 40 32 40 32 33 33 33 34 34	AGE			
% With No Prior Court Contacts 32 % 29 % % With One Prior Court Contact 19 15 % With Two to Four Priors 27 34	15 16 17	21 28 29	23 22 33	
% With One Prior Court Contact 19 15 % With Two to Four Priors 27 34	PRIOR OFFENSES			
	% With One Prior Court Contac % With Two to Four Priors	t 19 27	15 34	

None of the differences between the two groups was statistically significant at or beyond the .05 level.

TABLE 2. TYPES OF OFFENSES (IMMEDIATE INCIDENT)

		•	
	Programmatic Restitution	"Ad Hoc" Restitution	
	N	N	
VIOLENT Rape	1	0	
Armed Robbery	1	1	
Aggravated Assault	1	0	
Subtotal	3 (2%)	1 (1%)	
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES			
Burglary	62	30	
Attempted Burglary Arson	1 0	0 1	
ALSON	-		
Subtotal	63 (38%)	31 (36%)	
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY			
Motor Vehicle Theft	19	9	
Forgery, Fraud, Embezzlement	7	4	
Subtotal	26 (16%)	14 (15%)	
MINOR OFFENSES			
Assault and Battery	4	3	
Stolen Property (receiving or possessing)	3	1	
Vandalism	31	13	
Pursesnatch & Pickpocket	3 3	2 1	
Shoplifting Theft	3 20	1 19	
inerc	20	17	
Subtotal			
TRIVIAL OFFENSES			
Drugs	3	0	
Criminal Mischief	1	0	
Property Damage	1	0 2	
Traffic/Property damage Driving Without License	1	0	
•			
Subtotal	9 (5%)	2 (2%)	

TABLE 3. COMPARISON OF SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION RATES FOR Programmatic and "Ad Hoc" Approaches to Restitution

	Programma Restitut:			
No. of Cases	165		86	
SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION % Completing Successfully (Program/Court Determination	n) 91	8	45	%
% Paying All of the Restitution Ordered	on 88	ફ	40	%
% of Cases in Which Full Vict Loss was Repaid in Restitut		ફ	7	¥
% of Cases Paying No Restitut	ion 2	8	37	%
% of Cases in Which Judge Ord Full Restitution (100 % of)		ફ	23	%
CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CASES				
Median Amount of Victim Loss Median Amount Ordered in Rest Median Amount Paid in Restitu	-		\$157 \$ 67 \$ 20	
Average Amount of Victim Loss Average Amount Ordered in Res Average Amount Paid in Restn.	tn. \$215		\$1,350 \$225 \$152	

All of the differences shown, with the exception of the amount of viciloss (median or average) and the average amount of the restitution or are highly significant, at or beyond the .05 level.

The average amount of victim loss is seriously distorted due to the post of three youths involved in a case with a loss of \$30,000 (two were in the programmatic group and one was in the ad hoc group). The average order is also distorted by one very large order \$5,667) in the ad hoc group

TABLE 4. REOFFENSE PATTERNS FOR YOUTHS WHO WERE SUCCESSFUL AND UNSUCCESSFUL IN COMPLETING RESTITUTION

	ט	nsuccessful	Successful
No. of Juver	iles	61	190
PROPORTION F	REOFFENDING		
% With Or % With Tv % With Th % With Fo	Subsequent Offenses ne Subsequent Offense vo Subsequent Offenses nree Subsequent Offense our to Six Subsequents even or More Subsequent	20	40% 17 13 8 12 10
PROPORTION E	REOFFENDING BY TYPE OF	CRIME	
VIOLENT:	No Violent Reoffenses One or More Violent	98% 2	94% 6
BURGLARY:	No Subsequent Burglari One or More Burglaries		85% 15
OTHER FELO	ONY PROPERTY OFFENSES No Subsequent Felonies One or More	82% 18	92% 8
MINOR (PE	RSONAL) No Subsequent Minor (p One or More	pers) 87% 13	90% 10
MINOR (PRO	OPERTY) No Subsequent Minor (F One Minor Property Sub Two or More Minor (Pro	sq. 26	59% . 18 23
TRIVIAL:	% With No Subsequents % With One % With Two	48% 26 26	64% 18 18

(TABLE CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

TABLE 4. CONTINUED

Unsuc	ccessful	Successful
ROUP REOFFENSE RATES		
# of Subsequent Contacts for Group	191	428
Months of Risk Time for Group	2,196	7,080
Average Risk Time, per Youth	36 mo.	37 mo.
Average No. of Contacts, per youth	3.1	2.25
Reoffense Rate, per 100 youths, per year (all reoffenses)	104	72
RIME SPECIFIC REOFFENSE RATES (Per 100 youths, per Year)		
VIOLENT	.5	2
BURGLARY	15	9
OTHER PROPERTY OFFENSES	51	39
TRIVIAL OFFENSES	37	23

TABLE 5. PRE/POST COMPARISONS OF OFFENSE RATES FOR YOUTHS WHO WERE SUCCESSFUL AND UNSUCCESSFUL IN COMPLETING RESTITUTION

Offense Rates Per Year, for 100		Unsuccessful Before After		ful After
Total Offense Rate, Per Year, per 100	140	104	122	72
· Violent Rate	1.6	.5	3.4	2.2
Burglary Rate	34	15	40	9
Other Property	81	51	65	39
Trivial Rate	23	37	24	23

Figures in the cells for the "before" period show the number of offer per year, committed by each 100 youths during the pre-intervention tiperiod which covered two years. Similar yearly rates are shown for post intervention time period.

TABLE 6. MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COMPLETI SUCCESS AND RECIDIVISM

Measures of	CORRELATION	BETWEEN	SUCCE	SSFUL C	OMPLETI	ON AND	RECIDIV
Recidivism			Order (p)t		Contro (pt)		(square
SDRATE (Subs	Subsequents) equent Rate) usted Rate)	17	.04 .01 .01	08 10 10	.08 .05 .05	.16	•
SERIOUSNESS INDICATED SSERR (Serior S1SERR (Adjument) MOST (Most S	usness Score) sted Rate)	14 17 15	.03	11 11 12	.03	.13 .13 .16	
VIOLENT OFFENSES SVIOLENT (Fr SVRATE (Rate SlVRATE (Adj	equency)	.06	.10 .16 .16	.08 .06 .06	.10 .16 .16	.00	
BURGLARIES SSERPROP (Fr SSERPR (Rate S1SERPR (Adj) _	09 12 12	.03	07 10 10	.06	.04 .03 .04	
OTHER FELONY PRO SPROPFEL (Fr SFELPR (Rate S1FELPR (Adj	equency)	14 18 18	.01	17 17 18		.03 .03 .03	
MINOR OFFENSES SMINOR (Freq SMINR (Rate) SIMINR (Adju		07 12 12	.03	04 09 09	.07	.12 .08 .08	
TRIVIAL OFFENSES STRIV (Frequ STRIVR (Rate SlTRIV (Adju	ency)	13 19 19	.01	10 16 16	.01	.09 .11 ?.11	

The significance levels are based on one-tailed t tests. Variables controlled in the equation were the total number of prior offenses, the number of prior violent offenses, and the age of the youth. In addition, the amount of time at risk was controlled for the frequency variables (TSUBS, SVIOLENT, SSERPROP, SPROPFEL, SMINOR, STRIV).

TABLE † 7. MULTIPLE REGRESSION MODELS FOR SELECTED MEASURES OF RECIDIVI

PAGE 28

	Total Subsequents b (p)t	MEASURE OF Subsequent Rate b (p)t	RECIDIVISM Adjusted Seriousness Rate b (p)t	Most Serious Reoffense b (p)t
Successful Completion	08 .08	10 .05	11 .03	12 .02
Number of Priors	.23 .00	.22 .00	.16 .01	.28 .00
Age	30 .00	27 .00	25 .00	20 .00
Sex (l=male 2=female)	05 .34	05 .34	02 .74	12 .02
Number of Violent Priors	.13 .03	.10 .08	.12 .02	.11 .03
Multiple	2 R .19	.16	.16	.16

The probability of t is based on a one-tailed test. Variables which had a (p)t below .05 were not in the equation for the calculation of the multiple R square nor for the calculation of the other partial regression coefficients. Risk time was controlled for the TSUBS variabut it did not enter the equation (b=.08; (p)t = .19).

Table 1. Profile of Referrals in Ventura County, California

		Restitution RP		Nonrest CNP	itution CP

School	Status				
	(# of cases)	(283)	(75)	(127)	(38)
		30 a			
	Full time student	73 %	61 %	78 %	61%
	Not in school	27	39	22	39
Ethnic	i+v				
ECHILC	(# of cases)	(290)	(81)	(130)	(39)
	THE CASES !	(223)	(,	(===,	
	Anglo	78 %	68%	68%	59%
	Mexican	18	28	30	36
	Black	4	4	2	3
	Other	0	0	0	2
Age at	the time of referral			(
	(# of cases)	(290)	(82)	(130)	(39)
		7 %	0 %	8 %	3 %
	13 or younger	12	11	13	5
	14 15	21	23	24	28
	16	29	39	30	28
	17	29	26	23	33
	18 or older	2	1	2	3
	10 of older	_	-	_	_
	Mean age	15.7	15.8	15.5	15.9
		••			
	Offenses (two years				
pric	r to referral date)				
	(# of cases)	(291)	(82)	(131)	(39)
		20-		4.5.5	
	No prior court contacts	38%	7 %	46%	13%
	One prior court contact	27	15	23	28
	Two to four prior contacts	28 7	54 24	24 7	51 8
	Five or more prior contacts	,	24	,	0
Sex					
DEV	(# of cases)	(291)	(82)	(131)	(39)
				• • •	·
	Male	91%	95%	95 %	97%
	Female	9	5	5	3

Table 2. Types of Referral Offenses in Ventura County, California

	Resti	RP_	Nonres	titution CP
Violent				
Armed Robbery	0	1	0	0
Unarmed Robbery	0	ī	ĭ	0
Aggravated Assault	2	0	0	Õ
Subtotal	2			
% of group total	1%	2 %	1%	0 %
• • •			_,	
Serious Property Offenses				
Arson	1	0	2	0
Burglary	141	45	<u>57</u>	_21
Subtotal	142	45	5 9	21
<pre>% of group total</pre>	49%	55 %	46 %	54%
Other Felony Property				
Motor Vehicle Theft	35	13	22	4
Forgery, Fraud, Embezzlement	5	2	1	1
Subtotal	40	15	23	5
% of group total	14%	18%	18%	13%
•				
Minor Personal Offenses				
Simple Assault (incl. within-family)	5	3	4	0
Assault and Battery	4	0	1	1
Resisting a Police Officer	_1	0	0	0
Subtotal	10	3	5	1
<pre>% of group total</pre>	3 %	4 %	4 %	3 €
Minor Property Offenses	*			
Shoplifting	2	0	0	0
Vandalism	26	4	9	2
Theft from Motor Vehicle	17	6	1	ī
Stolen Property (receiving			_	_
or possessing)	8	1	5	3
Bicycle Theft	3	0	0	2
Pursesnatch	0	0	ì	ī
Criminal Mischief	8	o`	8	0
Weapons	0	0	2	0
Hit and Run with property damage	3	0	0	0
Other theft	26	5	13	3
Subtotal	93	16	39	12
% of group total	32 %	20 %	30%	31%
mninial Offenses				
Trivial Offenses	,	C	•	^
Driving under the Influence	1	0	1	. 0
Trespass	0	0	1	0
Fighting, Disorderly Conduct	<u>2</u> 3		0	- 0
Subtotal	_	3 V T	2	0
<pre>% of group total</pre>	1%	1%	2 %	0 %

Table 3. Reoffense Patterns by Evaluation Group and Offense Type

	Restitution RP		Nonrest CNP	itution CP
	1/11/2	1/1	CNF	CF
Overall Distribution of				
Reoffending				
(# of cases)	(291)	(82)	(131)	(39)
No reoffenses	30%	26%	27.0	22.0
One reoffense	30 t 26	20 8 24	37 %	23%
Two reoffenses	26 16	24 16	21 17	18 26
	9			
Three reoffenses	_	10	7	10
Four to six reoffenses	13	22	15	18
Seven or more reoffenses	6	2	4	5
Reoffense Patterns by Type				
of Offense				
SUBSEQUENT VIOLENT OFFENSES				
None	93 %	93 %	92%	82%
One or more	7	7	8	18
SUBSEQUENT BURGLARY AND ARSON				
None	75%	78%	74%	72%
One or more	25	22	26	28
SUBSEQUENT OTHER FELONY PROPERTY				
None	88,8	83%	86%	87%
One or more	12	17	14	13
SUBSEQUENT MINOR PERSONAL				
None	92 %	90%	94 %	97%
One or more	8	10	6	3
SUBSEQUENT MINOR PROPERTY				
None	61%	61%	66%	51%
One or more	39	40	. 34	49
SUBSEQUENT TRIVIAL				
None	60%	50 %	64%	59%
One or more	40	50	36	41

Table 4. Reoffense Rates by Evaluation Group and Offense Type

	Restitution		Nonrestitutio	
	RNP	RP	CNP	CP
Group Reoffense Rates (# of cases)	(291)	(82)	(131)	(39)
<pre># of subsequent offenses for group</pre>	584	167	245	93
Months of risk time for group	10,718	2587	4882	1226
Average risk time per youth (in months)	37	32	37	31
Average # of offenses per youth	2.01	2.04	1.87	2.38
Overall reoffense rate, per 100 youths, per year	65	76	61	92
Reoffense Rates for major offense types (per 100 youths, per year)				
Violent	2	3	3	8
Burglary and Arson	12	11	11	14
Other Felony Property	4	7	5	6
Minor personal	3	5	3	1
Minor property	22	25	.17	41
Trivial	21	26	22	23

Table 5. Pre/Post Comparisons of Offense Rates for Youths in the Restitution and Nonrestitution Groups

	Restitution				No	nres	restitution		
	RNP		RP				CNP		
	В	<u>A</u>	В	A		В	A	В	A
Total Offense Rate, per year, per 100	75	65	159	76		63	61	109	92
Violent rate	2	2	2	3		3	3	4	8
Burglary/arson rate	14	12	32	11		15	11	18	14
Other property rate	7	4	19	7		5	5	15	6
Minor personal rate	3	3	10	5		5	3	9	1
Minor property rate	31	22	68	25		24	17	38	41
Trivial rate	18	21	28	26		11	22	24	23
Number of cases	(29	1)	(8	2)		(13	1)	(3	9)

Figures in the cells for the "before" period (B) show the rate of offenses per year committed by each 100 youths during the pre-intervention time period. This "before" period examined two years of data for these youth. For the "after" period (A), similarly computed yearly rates are displayed based on approximately three years (36 months average subsequent risk time) of post-intervention data.

Table 6. Multiple Regression Analysis of Recidivism Rates of Youth Randomly Assigned Restitution or Nonrestitution

Recidivism Measure	Zero-(Order Sig.	Partial b	SE b	Beta Weight	Multiple R Squared
OVERALL RECIDIVISM	***************************************					. Dquucu
Frequency	01	.45	.03	.20	.01	.22
Rate	01	.40	.01	.07	.004	.21
VIOLENT OFFENSES						
Frequency	.06	.09	.03	.03	.05	.10
Rate	.06	.10	.01	.01	.05	.10
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	01	.46	.01	.06	.01	.08
Rate	01	.45	.003	.02	.01	.07
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY						
Frequency	.02	.35	.03	.04	.03	.03
Rate	•00	.50	.004	.01	.02	.03
MINOR PERSONAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	04	.20	02	.03		.06
Rate	05	.11	01	.01	05	.05
MINOR PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	02	.36	03	.11	01	.12
Rate	01	. 45	.001	.04	.001	.11
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	.00	.49	• .03	.10	.01	.09
Rate	01	.39	.002	.03	.003	.09
SERIOUSNESS INDICES						
Most serious reoffense	.01	.41	.09	.19	.02	.16
Seriousness score	.01	.40	. 22	.38	.02	.19
Seriousness rate	00	.49	.04	.13	.01	.18

The zero-order correlations (r) are the simple relationships between the randomly assigned treatment (1 = restitution; 2 = nonrestitution) and the recidivism measure. The partial b is the partial unstandardized regression coefficient showing the independent effect of the treatment on recidivism after controlling for prior offenses, age, race, school status, and sex; none of the partial b's are statistically significant at the .05 level. The beta weight is the partial standardized regression coefficient and is useful for assessing the relative independent effect of treatment across different recidivism measures. For the frequency variables (including most serious reoffense and seriousness score), the amount of time at risk was also controlled in the multiple regression analyses. For the simple correlations and the regression coefficients, positive values indicate the restitution group tended to recidivate less; negative values, the nonrestitution group.

* :

Table 7. Multiple Regression Analysis of Recidivism Rates of Youth Randomly Assigned Placement or Nonplacement

Recidivism Measure	Zero-C	order Sig.	Partial b	SE b	Beta Weight	Multiple R Squared
OVERALL RECIDIVISM			**************************************			
Frequency	03	.22	.06	.25	.01	.22
Rate	11	.01	05	.08	02	.21
VIOLENT OFFENSES						
Frequency	06	.09	02	.04	02	.10
Rate	10	.01	01	.01	03	.10
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES					-	
Frequency	.02	.29	.04	.08	.03	.08
Rate	01	.39	.01	.03	.02	.07
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY						
Frequency	04	.17	03	.04	03	.03
Rate	08	.03	01	.01	04	.03
MINOR PERSONAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	00	.46	.02	.04	.03	.06
Rate	04	.20	.001	.01	.01	.05
MINOR PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	06	.09	13	.13	05	.12
Rate	11	.01	06	.04	06	•11
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	.01	.45	.17	.12	.07	.09
Rate	05	.11	.02	.04	.03	.09
SERIOUSNESS INDICES						
Most serious reoffense	05	.11	11		02	.16
Seriousness score	02	.36	. 25	.47	.02	.19
Seriousness rate	08	.02	01	.15	004	.18

The zero-order correlations (r) are the simple relationships between the randomly assigned treatment (1 = placement; 2 = nonplacement) and the recidivism measure. The partial b is the partial unstandardized regression coefficient showing the independent effect of the treatment on recidivism after controlling for prior offenses, age, race, school status, and sex; none of the partial b's are statistically significant at the .05 level. The beta weight is the partial standardized regression coefficient and is useful for assessing the relative independent effect of treatment across different recidivism measures. For the frequency variables (including most serious reoffense and seriousness score), the amount of time at risk was also controlled in the multiple regression analyses. For the simple correlations and the regression coefficients, positive values indicate the placement group tended to recidivate less; negative values, the nonplacement group.

4

Table 8. Multiple Regression Analysis of Recidivism Rates of Youth Randomly Assigned Restitution Nonplacement or Probation Nonplacement

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN
RANDOMLY ASSIGNED TREATMENT AND RECIDIVISM

Recidivism Measure	Zero-(Order Sig.	Partial b	SE b	Beta Weight	Multiple R Squared
OVERALL RECIDIVISM		<u> </u>			<u>vergne</u>	K Squared
Frequency	03	.30	18	.22	03	.27
Rate	04	. 22	07	.07	04	.25
VIOLENT OFFENSES						
Frequency	.02	.31	.004	.03	.01	.10
Rate	.02	.33	.0004	.01	.002	.09
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	02	.36	03	.07	02	.10
Rate	02	.34	01	.02	02	.09
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY						
Frequency	• 0:3	.26	•03	.04	.03	.04
Rate	.01	.42	.003	.01	.01	.03
MINOR PERSONAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	01	.44	01	.03	01	.07
Rate	02	.34	01	.01	03	.07
MINOR PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	07	.09	19	.12		.14
Rate	06	.10	05	.04	07	.12
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	.01	.39	.03	.11	.01	.12
Rate	.00	.48	.0004	.04	.001	.11
SERIOUSNESS INDICES						
Most serious reoffense	01	.41	08	.22	02	. 20
Seriousness score	.01	.46	01	. 44		.23
Seriousness rate	01	.41	05	.14	02	.22

The zero-order correlations (r) are the simple relationships between the randomly assigned treatment (1 = restitution nonplacement; 2 = probation nonplacement) and the recidivism measure. The partial b is the partial unstandardized regression coefficient showing the independent effect of the treatment on recidivism after controlling for prior offenses, age, race, school status, and sex; none of the partial b's are statistically significant at the .05 level. The beta weight is the partial standardized regression coefficient and is useful for assessing the relative independent effect of treatment across different recidivism measures. For the frequency variables (including most serious reoffense and seriousness score), the amount of time at risk was also controlled in the multiple regression analyses. For the simple correlations and the regression coefficients, positive values indicate the restitution nonplacement group tended to recidivate less; negative values, the probation nonplacement group.

2 4 1

Table 9. Multiple Regression Analysis of Recidivism Rates of Youth Randomly Assigned Restitution Placement or Control Placement

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN
RANDOMLY ASSIGNED TREATMENT AND RECIDIVISM

Recidivism Measure	Zero-		Partial	SE	Beta	Multiple
OVERALL RECIDIVISM	<u>r</u>	Sig.	<u> </u>	<u>b</u>	Weight	R Squared
Frequency	.08	.21	.54	.43	.12	.11
Rate	.06	. 25	.20	.17	.11	.13
VIOLENT OFFENSES						
Frequency	.15	.05	.12	.07	.14	.19
Rate	.13	.07	.04	.03	.13	.20
SERIOUS PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	.05	.29	.12	.13	.09	.03
Rate	.05	.30	.04	.05	.08	.03
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY						
Frequency	03	.36	.002	.08	.002	.10
Rate	03	.39	.004	.03	.01	.12
MINOR PERSONAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	13	.07		.07		.06
Rate	14	.06	03	.03	11	.07
MINOR PROPERTY OFFENSES						
Frequency	.15	.05	.41	.24		.13
Rate	.14	.06	.15	.09	.15	.13
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Frequency	05	.29	04	.18		.06
Rate	05	.30	01	.07	01	.08
SERIOUSNESS INDICES						
Most serious reoffense	.08	.19	.53	.44	.12	.09
Seriousness score	.03	.36	.74	.79	.09	•09
Seriousness rate	.02	.40	.27	.32	.08	.11

The zero-order correlations (r) are the simple relationships between the randomly assigned treatment (1 = restitution placement; 2 = control placement) and the recidivism measure. The partial b is the partial unstandardized regression coefficient showing the independent effect of the treatment on recidivism after controlling for prior offenses, age, race, school status, and sex; none of the partial b's are statistically significant at the .05 level. The beta weight is the partial standardized regression coefficient and is useful for assessing the relative independent effect of treatment across different recidivism measures. For the frequency variables (including most serious reoffense and seriousness score), the amount of time at risk was also controlled in the multiple regression analyses. For the simple correlations and the regression coefficients, positive values indicate the restitution placement group tended to recidivate less; negative values, the control placement group.

3 # X

TABLE 1. PROFILE OF REFERRALS TO THE EVALUATION GROUPS
IN OKLAHOMA COUNTY

		Sanction	Restitution And	Group
			Probation	
SCHOOL STATUS				
(# of Cases)	(104)	(116)	(78)
Full Time S	tudent	65 %	69 %	72 %
Not in Scho	ol Full Tim	e 35	31	28
RACE	•			
(# of Cases)	(106)	(116)	(79)
White		66 %	54 %	68 %
Black		30	35	28
Indian		4	8	4
Mexican		• 0	3	0
AGE				
(# of Cases)	(106)	(115)	(83)
13 and Unde	r		10 %	
14				13
15	•			14
16 17	·	24 25	29 23	29 25
18 and Over		9	7	7
PRIOR OFFENSES				
(# of Cases	;)	(107)	(116)	(83)
None	•	41 %	41 %	32 %
One		24	29	28
Two to Four	• . •	30	27	35
Five or Mor	.6	5	3	5
SEX		,		
(# of Cases	;)	(107)	(116)	(79)
Male		91 %	87 %	85 %
Female		9	13	15

TABLE 2. TYPES OF REFERRAL OFFENSES
IN OKLAHOMA COUNTY

		ole tion ution	A	tution and ation	Cont Gro	
	N	7.	N	7.	N	- %
VIOLENT	_				_	•
Robbery	9		7 2		8	
Aggravated Assault % of Group Total	4	12%	2	8%	1	11%
SERIOUS PROPERTY						
Burglary	24		30		25	
Attempted Burglary	3		2		0	
Arson	0		0		1	
% of Group Total		25%		27%		26%
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY	•					
Motor Vehicle Theft	12		13		8	
Forgery, Fraud, Embzl			2		3	
% of Group Total		13%		13%		13%
MINOR PERSONAL						
Simple Assault	2		1		1	
Resisting Arrest	1	•	0	4 84	0	
% of Group Total		3%		1%		0%
MINOR PROPERTY						
Shoplifting .	13		18		9	
Larceny	2		1		1	
Purse Snatch	1		0		1	
Theft from Motor Veho			8		4	
Bicycle Theft	0 14		1		3 12	
Other Thefts Stolen Property (Buyi			14		12	
Receiving, Selling			2		2	
Vandalism	11		10		3	
Criminal Mischief	0		2		1	
% of Group Total	-	47%	-	48%	_	43%
TRIVIAL OFFENSES						
Fight	· o		1	• ,	0	
Trespass	0		2		0	
% of Group Total	,	o۲		3%		0%

· 1 3 · 4

TABLE 3. SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF RESTITUTION ORDERS IN OKLAHOMA COUNTY

	Sanction	Restitution as a Condition of Probation
(# of Cases)	(82)	(94)
Successful Completion (as Defined by Programs)	82 %	88 %
MONETARY RESTITUTION (# of Cases)	(45)	(64)
Restitution Payments as Proportion of Restitution Order (Average, per youth		92 %
Percentage of Youths Paying 100% of Restitution Ordered	84 %	91 %
Percentage of Youths Paying all of the Outstanding Victim Loss ()	1) 58 %	36 %
COMMUNITY SERVICE (# of cases)	(38)	(31)
Percentage of Youths Working all the Hours Ordered	74 %	77 %

⁽¹⁾ Some incidents involved co-offenders and the full payment may have been made to the victim when the amounts from all offenders are combined.

TABLE 4. REOFFENSE PATTERNS OF JUVENILE OFFENDERS IN OKLAHOMA COUNTY

	Sole Restitution Sanction and Restitution Probation		Group
# of Cases	(107)	(116)	(83)
OVERALL RECIDIVISM			
% with O Reoffenses	51	50	48
% with 1 Reoffense	20	21	16
% with 2 Reoffenses	10	11	16
% with 3 Reoffenses	6	5	5
% with 4-6 Reoffense		10	12
% with 7+ Reoffenses		3	3
VIOLENT SUBSEQUENT OFFE	ENSES		
% With O	92	9 2	89
% with 1 or more .	8	8	11
SERIOUS PROPERTY (BURGL			
% with O	83	81	78
% with 1 or more	17	19	22
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY			
% with O	83	87	81
% with 1 or more	17	17	19
MINOR PERSONAL			
% with O	95	97	99
% with 1 or more	, 5	3	1
MINOR PROPERTY .			
% with O	68	72	69
% with 1	18	16	18
% with 2 or more	14	12	13
TRIVIAL			
% with O	85	88	83
% with 1 or more	15	12	17

TABLE 5. COMPARISON OF RECIDIVISM RATES OF YOUTHS IN SOLE SANCTION RESTITUTION WITH YOUTHS IN RESTITUTION/PROBATION

Type of Offense N=218	В	SE B	Beta	OSL (t)	R SQ
OVERALL RECIDIVISM	Talah danan Alliki sapara undan dalam kasan darah		The Market annium delitter desirts desires parque palature (corpor-		
Frequency	08	. 27	0.02		
Rate	03	. 14	0.01	.84	.12
VIOLENT OFFENSES			•	*	
Frequency	- 00	.05	.00	. 99	.08
Rate	.00		01	.82	
SERIOUS PROPERTY	•				
Frequency	.06	.10	. 04	.58	
Rate	.02	.06	.03	. 68	. 09
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY					
Frequency	.00		.00		
Rate	.00	. 04	.00	.92	.02
MINOR PROPERTY					
Frequency		.03	02		
Rate	.00	.02	01	. 84	.07
MINOR PERSONAL		*			
Frequency	07	. 15	03		. 09
Rate	03	. 07	02	.73	.08
TRIVIAL OFFENSES					
Frequency	05		05	.50	
Rate	02	.04	04	.58	.03
SERIOUSNESS INDICES					
Most Serious Reoffense	. 25		.06		.15
Seriousness Score	.00	. 75	.00	. 99	
Seriousness Rate	.00	. 40	.00	. 99	. 16

هې ⊳اد من⊷∼

TABLE 6 COMPARISON OF RECIDIVISM RATES OF YOUTHS IN RESTITUTION PROGRAMS WITH YOUTHS ON PROBATION

A Townson

Type of Offense N = 293	В	SE B	Beta	OSL (t)	R SQ
OVERALL RECIDIVISM			to comple prison. Names deposit sports passer states design		
Frequency	.00	. 27	.00	. 97	. 11
Rate	00	.15	0.00	. 99	
VIOLENT OFFENSES					
Frequency	.04	-05	.05	. 40	.09
Rate	.03	.03	05	.35	.08
SERIOUS PROPERTY	•				
Frequency	.06	.10	.03	.58	.07
Rate	.02	.06	.02	.72	.08
OTHER FELONY PROPERTY					
Frequency	07		0.05	.37	
Rate	03	.04	0.05	. 36	.04
MINOR PROPERTY					
Frequency	05	.03	10		
Rate	03	.02	10	.10	.05
MINOR PERSONAL ·		,			
Frequency	02	.14	0.01	. 85	
Rate	00	.07	0.00	. 90	.07
TRIVIAL OFFENSES					
Frequency	.05	.08	.04	. 52	
Rate	.02	.04	03	.61	.02
SERIOUSNESS INDICES		•			
Most Serious Reoffense	OB.	.28	.02	. 75	.13
Seriousness Score	.15	.77	.01	.85	
Seriousness Rate	.07	.43	.00	.87	.13
		- 			

Variables controlled in the equation were age, sex, priors, school status, and (for the frequency variables) time at risk. OLS refers to the observed significance level of \underline{t} .