


National Criminal Justice Reference Service

ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.




MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20531

11/2/83



1982 GRAND AND PETIT JUROR SERVICE

in
UNITED
STATES
DISTRICT
COURTS

89332

1982

NCJRS

MAY 6 1982

ACQUISITIONS

GRAND AND PETIT JUROR SERVICE

*in United States
District Courts*

U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Administrative Office of
the United States Courts

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

Prepared by:
Statistical Analysis and Reports Division

Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Washington, D.C. 20544

December 1982

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
FOREWORD	iii
SECTION I: Juror Service	1
Grand Jury	1
Table 1. National Grand Juror Statistics, 1978 through 1982	1
Table 2. Number of Grand Juries	3
Table 3. Grand Juror Usage	4
Table 4. Duration of Grand Juries Discharged from July 1, 1976 to June 30, 1982	5
Table 5. Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Jury Sessions, 1977 through 1982	7
Table 6. Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Usage	8
Chart - Grand Jury Sessions Convened and Defendants Indicted	9
The Effect of the <u>Speedy Trial Act of 1974</u>	10
Petit Jury	11
Table 7. National Petit Juror Usage, 1977 through 1982	11
Table 8. Petit Juror Usage	12
Chart - Petit Juror Usage	14
Comparison of Juror Utilization, 1977 through 1982	15
Table 9. Percentage of Jurors Selected or Serving on Jury Trials, 1977 through 1982	16
Table 10. Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged, 1977 through 1982	17
Juror Usage Check Sheet	18
Check Sheet on Juror Usage Factors that May Have an Effect on Juror Statistics	19
SECTION II: Juror Costs	20
Chart - How Juror Dollars Were Spent in 1982	20
Grand Juror Costs	21
Table 11. National Grand Juror Payments, 1978 through 1982	21
Table 12. Grand Juror Expenditure Breakdown	22
Petit Juror Costs	23
Table 13. National Petit Juror Payments, 1978 through 1982	23
Table 14. Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown	24

	Page
SECTION III: Juror Usage Profiles	25
Explanation of Entries on District Juror Usage Profiles	26
District of Columbia	A-3
First Circuit	A-7
Second Circuit	A-15
Third Circuit	A-23
Fourth Circuit	A-31
Fifth Circuit	A-43
Sixth Circuit	A-55
Seventh Circuit	A-67
Eighth Circuit	A-77
Ninth Circuit	A-89
Tenth Circuit	A-107
Eleventh Circuit	A-117
APPENDIX A	
Glossary of Terms	A-127
APPENDIX B	
List of Most Recent Local Rules Setting the Size of Civil Juries Adopted by U.S. District Courts	A-129
National Juror Usage Profile	A-137

FOREWORD


Since 1971, the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts has published a report on juror usage in the U.S. district courts. In prior years, this report was entitled **Juror Utilization in U.S. District Courts**, however, this year the title has been changed to **Grand and Petit Juror Service in U.S. District Courts** to more accurately reflect the report's contents.

The grand and petit juror statistics presented are derived from the JS-11 and the JS-11G monthly reports submitted by each district court during the year. The present petit reporting system began in July 1970 and the grand juror reporting system started in July 1974. This report contains data for the year ended June 30, 1982 and comparison statistics from prior years. This information is useful to the Federal Judiciary and to anyone interested in statistics on the number of citizens reporting for jury duty.

Section I of this report contains text and summary tables on grand and petit juror usage.

Section II presents information on payments during 1982 for juror attendance, mileage, subsistence, and other costs.

Section III provides individual profile pages that highlight pertinent juror statistics for each district. Historical data for a five year period are also provided along with comments discussing specific factors that affected a district's juror usage statistics. A profile page (fold-out) of the 1982 national averages is presented at the end of this report. When comparing these national averages to the averages for a particular court, caution is required because unique circumstances not reflected in the statistical profile might cause a court to vary substantially from the national average.


William E. Foley
Director

December 1982

SECTION I

Juror Service

The daily activity of grand and petit jurors in the district courts is recorded on JS-11G and JS-11 reporting forms. These forms are submitted on a monthly basis to the Administrative Office and provide the statistics presented on the following pages. This report represents a comprehensive overview of jury activity for the year ended June 30, 1982 and provides statistics for previous years as a means of comparing trends from year to year.

The text contains statements on districts which recorded the highest and lowest in the various categories of grand and petit juror statistics. The territories of the Virgin Islands, the Canal Zone, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands are not included since their unique circumstances would make comparisons unfair.

Grand Jury

As Table 1 summarizes, the total number of grand jury sessions convened decreased 4.4 percent from 10,997 in 1981 to 10,508 in 1982. This reversed an increasing trend that began in 1975, the first full year statistics were collected on grand jury activity. For 1982, the total number of jurors in session and hours in session also declined 4.4 percent and 4.6 percent, respectively. Compared to 1981, the average number of jurors per session (20.0) and the average number of hours per session (5.29) remained virtually the same.

Table 1
U. S. District Courts
National Grand Juror Statistics
During the Twelve Month Periods Ended June 30, 1978 through 1982

Grand Juries and Jurors	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1982 over 1981	
						Number Change	Percent Change
Total Number of:							
Sessions Convened	8,929	9,791	10,338	10,997	10,508	-489	-4.4
Jurors in Session	176,459	194,168	206,627	219,860	210,213	-9,647	-4.4
Hours in Session	46,739	50,896	54,163	58,278	55,569	-2,709	-4.6
Average Number of:							
Jurors Per Session	19.8	19.8	20.0	20.0	20.0	-	-
Hours Per Session	5.23	5.20	5.24	5.30	5.29	-	-
Total Number of Grand Juries:							
In Existence	659	674	699	738	739	1	0.1
Impaneled	321	311	312	328	309	-19	-5.8
Discharged	295	286	288	308	325	17	5.5

Nationwide, there were 739 grand juries in existence at some time during the year, an increase of one over 1981. Seventeen more grand juries were discharged, however, 19 fewer grand juries were impaneled.

Every grand jury is classified as either a regular or special grand jury. A regular grand jury meets to investigate the probability that a specific crime was committed in a district. Its term of service is 18 months, however, it may be discharged by a court order at any time during that period.¹ A special grand jury meets to study the overall picture of criminal activity in a district. The special grand jury serves as an advisory body, usually having greater discretion than a regular grand jury and often submits a report on organized crime activities or the misconduct of public officials. Since this purpose is more extensive, its term of service can last up to 36 months.²

Table 2 shows, by district, the number of grand juries in existence on July 1, 1981, the number impaneled and discharged during the twelve month period, the number in existence on June 30, 1982, and the total number of grand juries that were in existence at some point during the year.

On June 30, 1982, there were 414 grand juries in existence, 3.7 percent fewer than a year ago. During the entire twelve month period, 739 grand juries existed. The type of criminal activity affects the number of grand juries in the separate districts, despite the number of places of holding court. For example, New York, Southern reported the most grand juries in existence during 1982 with 53, while Mississippi, Southern; Arkansas, Western; and Wyoming reported only one grand jury in existence.

The number of grand jury sessions convened, jurors in session, and hours in session are provided in Table 3. Between 16 and 23 jurors must be present to convene a grand jury session. The category "Jurors in Session" includes only those jurors who participated in a convened session. Jurors not included in the category "Jurors in Session" are those reporting for orientation, those reporting only for impanelment, jurors in travel status, and those waiting for a quorum of 16.

Once again, the Southern District of New York reported the greatest amount of grand jury activity with 1,066 sessions convened, 21,685 jurors in session, and 4,815 hours in session. Montana reported the fewest number of grand jury sessions convened with 12, the smallest number of jurors in session with 261 and the least number of hours in session with 70.

The average number of jurors per session has not changed substantially over the past several years. Hawaii reported the lowest average of grand jurors per session with 18.6, while North Dakota reported the highest average with 22.4 grand jurors present per session.

A high average of hours per session is one indication that grand jurors' time is being used efficiently. The highest average hours per session was 8.00 reported by Alaska and Oklahoma, Northern. Seven other districts averaged seven hours or more per grand jury session. Vermont's average was the lowest with grand jurors spending only 3.33 hours per session.

Table 4 provides six years of historical data on the number of months each grand jury was in existence. Over one-half of the grand juries discharged during 1982 had been impaneled for 18 months, the full duration of a regular grand jury. Thirteen (24.5 percent) of the 53 special grand juries discharged lasted the maximum 36 months.

During the entire six year period, 48.2 percent of the discharged grand juries were impaneled for 18 months. A total of 260 grand juries (14.4 percent) lasted six months or less; 276 grand juries (15.3 percent) lasted between 7 and 12 months; 1,175 grand juries (65.1 percent) lasted between 13 and 18 months; and 94 (5.2 percent) lasted between 19 and 36 months.

¹ Rule 6(g), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
² Title 18 U.S.C. Section 3333.

Table 2
U.S. District Courts
Number of Grand Juries
During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1982

District	Number on July 1, 1981	Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1982	Total in Existence in the Period	District	Number on July 1, 1981	Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1982	Total in Existence in the Period
Total	430	309	325	414	739	7th Cir.					
DC	14	9	12	11	23	IL, N	12	11	11	12	23
1st Cir.						IL, C	2	2	2	2	4
ME	1	2	1	2	3	IL, S	1	1	1	1	2
MA	10	8	7	11	18	IN, N	1	1	1	1	2
NH	1	2	1	2	3	IN, S	2	3	3	2	5
RI	2	2	1	3	4	WI, E	3	1	2	2	4
PR	3	3	1	5	6	WI, W	1	1	1	1	2
2nd Cir.						8th Cir.					
CT	9	2	5	6	11	AR, E	2	1	1	2	3
NY, N	6	4	4	6	10	AR, W	1	-	1	-	1
NY, E	32	16	23	25	48	IA, N	3	2	3	2	5
NY, S	31	22	21	32	53	IA, S	1	2	1	2	3
NY, W	5	4	4	5	9	MN	3	1	2	2	4
VT	3	-	1	2	3	MO, E	1	2	1	2	3
3rd Cir.						MO, W	3	-	1	2	3
DE	2	-	1	1	2	NE	1	2	1	2	3
NJ	10	7	5	12	17	ND	1	1	1	1	2
PA, E	10	5	7	8	15	SD	2	2	2	2	4
PA, M	4	2	2	4	6	9th Cir.					
PA, W	10	6	7	9	16	AK	2	-	-	2	2
VI*	-	-	-	-	-	AZ	4	4	5	3	8
4th Cir.						CA, N	7	6	5	8	13
MD	10	11	15	6	21	CA, E	4	2	3	3	6
NC, E	3	4	3	4	7	CA, C	18	16	19	15	34
NC, M	1	2	1	2	3	CA, S	7	4	5	6	11
NC, W	2	2	2	2	4	HI	2	2	2	2	4
SC	2	3	2	3	5	ID	1	1	1	1	2
VA, E	12	8	9	11	20	MT	1	1	1	1	2
VA, W	5	5	5	5	10	NV	6	2	3	5	8
WV, N	2	1	2	1	3	OR	3	3	3	3	6
WV, S	5	1	2	4	6	WA, E	1	1	1	1	2
5th Cir.						WA, W	2	2	2	2	4
LA, E	4	4	3	5	8	GU	1	1	1	1	2
LA, M	4	3	5	2	7	NMI*	-	-	-	-	-
LA, W	3	2	3	2	5	10th Cir.					
MS, N	1	1	1	1	2	CO	1	3	1	3	4
MS, S	1	-	1	-	1	KS	4	2	2	4	6
TX, N	7	6	5	8	13	NM	2	1	1	2	3
TX, E	2	2	2	2	4	OK, N	1	1	1	1	2
TX, S	9	7	7	9	16	OK, E	1	1	1	1	2
TX, W	16	8	10	14	24	OK, W	2	-	1	2	2
CZ*	-	-	-	-	-	UT	2	-	-	2	2
6th Cir.						WY	-	1	-	1	1
KY, E	6	4	5	5	10	11th Cir.					
KY, W	2	-	-	2	2	AL, N	1	2	2	1	3
MI, E	9	8	8	9	17	AL, M	2	2	1	3	4
MI, W	2	1	1	2	3	AL, S	1	1	1	1	2
OH, N	6	4	4	6	10	FL, N	2	2	1	3	4
OH, S	6	2	1	7	8	FL, M	8	4	4	8	12
TN, E	2	2	1	3	4	FL, S	12	11	9	16	23
TN, M	3	1	2	2	4	GA, N	6	7	5	8	13
TN, W	3	3	3	3	6	GA, M	3	1	1	3	4
						GA, S	2	3	2	3	5

* The districts of the Virgin Islands, Canal Zone, and the Northern Mariana Islands reported no grand juries in existence during the twelve month period ended June 30, 1982.

Table 3
U. S. District Courts
Grand Juror Usage
During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1982

District	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Average Number of Jurors per Session	Average Number of Hours per Session	District	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Average Number of Jurors per Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
Total	10,508	210,213	55,569	20.0	5.29	7th Cir.					
DC	564	11,033	2,706	19.6	4.80	IL, N	461	9,371	2,429	20.3	5.27
1st Cir.						IL, C	62	1,263	343	20.4	5.53
ME	15	316	95	21.1	6.33	IL, S	53	1,048	352	19.8	6.64
MA	334	6,492	1,372	19.4	4.11	IN, N	41	859	238	21.0	5.80
NH	38	744	193	19.6	5.08	IN, S	78	1,582	542	20.3	6.95
RI	44	892	264	20.3	6.00	WI, E	52	1,084	327	20.8	6.29
PR	57	1,161	245	20.4	4.30	WI, W	13	275	80	21.2	6.15
2nd Cir.						8th Cir.					
CT	95	1,785	539	18.8	5.67	AR, E	23	491	139	21.3	6.04
NY, N	111	2,152	544	19.4	4.90	AR, W	15	285	86	19.0	5.73
NY, E	569	11,345	2,617	19.9	4.60	IA, N	20	417	90	20.9	4.50
NY, S	1,066	21,685	4,815	20.3	4.52	IA, S	29	559	151	19.3	5.21
NY, W	184	3,612	977	19.6	5.31	MN	63	1,245	384	19.8	6.10
VT	36	717	120	19.9	3.33	MO, E	81	1,587	553	19.6	6.83
3rd Cir.						MO, W	51	1,028	340	20.2	6.67
DE	37	755	153	20.4	4.14	NE	39	842	231	21.6	5.92
NJ	344	6,826	1,604	19.8	4.66	ND	14	313	91	22.4	6.50
PA, E	334	6,618	1,418	19.8	4.25	SD	20	394	135	19.7	6.75
PA, M	93	1,868	517	20.1	5.56	9th Cir.					
PA, W	141	2,825	760	20.0	5.39	AK	24	474	192	19.8	8.00
VI*	-	-	-	-	-	AZ	101	1,956	535	19.4	5.30
4th Cir.						CA, N	245	4,722	1,153	19.3	4.71
MD	268	5,456	1,268	20.4	4.73	CA, E	89	1,769	524	19.9	5.69
NC, E	46	982	300	21.3	6.52	CA, C	437	8,556	2,215	19.6	5.07
NC, M	43	879	299	20.4	6.95	CA, S	234	4,656	1,366	19.9	5.84
NC, W	18	337	121	18.7	6.72	HI	34	633	176	18.6	5.18
SC	69	1,344	487	19.5	7.06	ID	18	366	112	20.3	6.22
VA, E	171	3,476	1,062	20.3	6.21	MT	12	261	70	21.8	5.83
VA, W	41	792	247	19.3	6.02	NV	125	2,415	524	19.3	4.19
WV, N	23	473	166	20.6	7.22	OR	62	1,246	369	20.1	5.95
WV, S	71	1,426	505	20.1	7.11	WA, E	17	358	95	21.1	5.59
5th Cir.						WA, W	92	1,885	673	20.5	7.32
LA, E	145	2,889	901	19.9	6.21	GU	21	395	136	18.8	6.48
LA, M	60	1,241	270	20.7	4.50	NMI*	-	-	-	-	-
LA, W	53	1,036	341	19.5	6.43	10th Cir.					
MS, N	24	503	154	21.0	6.42	CO	102	2,057	664	20.2	6.51
MS, S	41	852	220	20.8	5.37	KS	44	874	245	19.9	5.57
TX, N	119	2,391	727	20.1	6.11	NM	27	552	139	20.4	5.15
TX, E	31	608	154	19.6	4.97	OK, N	30	626	240	20.9	8.00
TX, S	180	3,579	850	19.9	4.72	OK, E	19	406	127	21.4	6.68
TX, W	139	2,896	658	20.8	4.73	OK, W	51	1,061	333	20.8	6.53
CZ*	-	-	-	-	-	UT	36	694	200	19.3	5.56
6th Cir.						WY	20	413	158	20.7	7.90
KY, E	86	1,704	439	19.8	5.10	11th Cir.					
KY, W	77	1,587	418	20.6	5.43	AL, N	33	717	248	21.7	7.52
MI, E	290	5,911	1,624	20.4	5.60	AL, M	37	775	255	20.9	6.89
MI, W	59	1,168	344	19.8	5.83	AL, S	24	491	155	20.5	6.46
OH, N	164	3,327	915	20.3	5.58	FL, N	74	1,508	415	20.4	5.61
OH, S	100	2,003	667	20.0	6.67	FL, M	240	4,661	1,485	19.4	6.19
TN, E	33	638	231	19.3	7.00	FL, S	373	7,385	1,783	19.8	4.78
TN, M	42	831	225	19.8	5.36	GA, N	135	2,723	862	20.2	6.39
TN, W	86	1,745	511	20.3	5.94	GA, M	48	1,025	278	21.4	5.79
						GA, S	48	1,010	288	21.0	6.00

* The districts of the Virgin Islands, Canal Zone, and the Northern Mariana Islands reported no grand juries in existence during the twelve month period ended June 30, 1982.

Table 4
Duration of Grand Juries Discharged
From July 1, 1976 to June 30, 1982

Grand Juries Discharged	Number of Months in Existence																
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
July 1, 1976 - June 30, 1977																	
Regular	9	5	5	14	7	9	6	3	4	13	8	11	8	4	11	9	13
Special	-	-	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	4	-	2	-	-
Total	9	5	5	14	8	11	8	3	4	13	8	13	12	4	13	9	13
Percent of Total	3.0	1.7	1.7	4.6	2.6	3.6	2.6	1.0	1.3	4.3	2.6	4.3	4.0	1.3	4.3	3.0	4.3
July 1, 1977 - June 30, 1978																	
Regular	10	4	10	16	8	7	10	6	5	4	9	13	2	7	10	7	22
Special	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	1	1	-	2	-	-
Total	10	4	10	16	8	7	10	8	5	5	9	14	3	7	12	7	22
Percent of Total	3.4	1.4	3.4	5.4	2.7	2.4	3.4	2.7	1.7	1.7	3.1	4.7	1.0	2.4	4.1	2.4	7.5
July 1, 1978 - June 30, 1979																	
Regular	5	6	3	12	4	7	4	7	3	4	7	19	8	1	7	12	25
Special	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	1
Total	6	6	3	13	5	7	5	9	4	4	7	19	8	3	8	12	26
Percent of Total	2.1	2.1	1.0	4.5	1.7	2.4	1.7	3.1	1.4	1.4	2.4	6.6	2.8	1.0	2.8	4.2	9.1
July 1, 1979 - June 30, 1980																	
Regular	3	10	7	11	2	5	7	2	5	5	3	12	6	3	6	7	24
Special	-	-	1	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	5	-	-	1	-
Total	3	10	8	13	2	6	7	2	6	5	3	13	11	3	6	8	24
Percent of Total	1.0	3.5	2.8	4.5	0.7	2.1	2.4	0.7	2.1	1.7	1.0	4.5	3.8	1.0	2.1	2.8	8.3
July 1, 1980 - June 30, 1981																	
Regular	1	5	7	5	6	9	7	5	3	9	9	17	4	3	6	4	25
Special	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Total	1	6	7	5	6	10	7	5	4	9	9	17	6	3	6	4	25
Percent of Total	0.3	1.9	2.3	1.6	1.9	3.2	2.3	1.6	1.3	2.9	2.9	5.5	1.9	1.0	1.9	1.3	8.1
July 1, 1981 - June 30, 1982																	
Regular	1	6	8	4	7	7	1	3	2	8	13	13	3	5	3	5	27
Special	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	1	-	1	2
Total	1	6	8	4	10	7	1	3	2	8	13	14	6	6	3	6	29
Percent of Total	0.3	1.8	2.5	1.2	3.1	2.2	0.3	0.9	0.6	2.5	4.0	4.3	1.8	1.8	0.9	1.8	8.9
Total Grand Juries Discharged																	
July 1, 1976 - June 30, 1982																	
Regular	29	36	40	62	34	44	35	26	22	43	49	85	31	23	43	44	136
Special	1	1	1	3	5	4	3	4	3	1	-	5	15	3	5	2	3
Total	30	37	41	65	39	48	38	30	25	44	49	90	46	26	48	46	139
Percent of Total	1.7	2.0	2.3	3.6	2.2	2.7	2.1	1.7	1.4	2.4	2.7	5.0	2.5	1.4	2.7	2.5	7.7

Table 4
Duration of Grand Juries Discharged
From July 1, 1976 to June 30, 1982
(continued)

Grand Juries Discharged	Number of Months in Existence															Totals
	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	30	31	33	35	36	
July 1, 1976 - June 30, 1977																
Regular	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	254
Special	21	5	1	-	-	2	3	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	49
Total	136	5	1	-	-	2	3	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	303
Percent of Total	44.9	1.7	0.3	-	-	0.7	1.0	-	0.3	0.3	-	-	0.3	-	0.3	100%
July 1, 1977 - June 30, 1978																
Regular	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	256
Special	18	3	1	-	-	-	4	1	-	-	2	-	2	-	1	39
Total	124	3	1	-	-	-	4	1	-	-	2	-	2	-	1	295
Percent of Total	42.0	1.0	0.3	-	-	-	1.4	0.3	-	-	0.7	-	0.7	-	0.3	100%
July 1, 1978 - June 30, 1979																
Regular	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	255
Special	11	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	5	31
Total	132	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	5	286
Percent of Total	46.2	-	-	0.3	-	-	0.7	-	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	100%
July 1, 1979 - June 30, 1980																
Regular	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	252
Special	12	-	-	1	-	1	3	2	-	-	1	1	-	1	2	36
Total	146	-	-	1	-	1	3	2	-	-	1	1	-	1	2	288
Percent of Total	50.7	-	-	0.3	-	0.3	1.0	0.7	-	-	0.3	0.3	-	0.3	0.7	100%
July 1, 1980 - June 30, 1981																
Regular	145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	270
Special	15	1	-	1	1	-	4	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	8	38
Total	160	1	-	1	1	-	4	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	8	308
Percent of Total	51.9	0.3	-	0.3	0.3	-	1.3	-	0.6	-	0.3	-	-	-	2.6	100%
July 1, 1981 - June 30, 1982																
Regular	156	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	272
Special	16	2	1	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	4	2	-	-	13	53
Total	172	2	1	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	4	2	-	-	13	325
Percent of Total	52.9	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	-	0.6	-	-	-	1.2	0.6	-	-	4.0	100%
Total Grand Juries Discharged																
July 1, 1976 - June 30, 1982																
Regular	777	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,559
Special	93	11	3	4	2	3	18	3	4	1	8	3	3	1	30	246
Total	870	11	3	4	2	3	18	3	4	1	8	3	3	1	30	1,805
Percent of Total	48.2	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	1.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	1.7	100%

Table 5 provides the number of cases commenced by indictment, the number of defendants proceeded against, the total number of grand jury sessions, and the number of hours in session for 1977 through 1982. Continuing an increasing trend which began last year, the 18,399 cases commenced by indictment and the 29,366 defendants proceeded against by indictment represent increases of 6.8 percent and 7.3 percent, respectively. As the following chart illustrates, the average number of defendants indicted per grand jury session steadily declined from 1977 to 1980, finally leveling off in 1981. This year, the average number of defendants indicted per session rose 12.0 percent to 2.79, however, this remains well below the average of 4.14 defendants indicted per session in 1977.

Table 5
U. S. District Courts
Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Jury Sessions
During the Twelve Month Periods Ended
June 30, 1977 through 1982

Year	Proceedings Commenced by Indictment		Average Defendants per Case	Grand Jury Sessions Convened	Average Defendants Indicted per Grand Jury Session	Hours in Session	Average Hours per Session
	Cases	Defendants					
1977 ..	25,016	36,608	1.46	8,849	4.14	47,094	5.32
1978 ..	22,698	32,740	1.44	8,929	3.67	46,739	5.23
1979 ..	18,724	28,395	1.52	9,791	2.90	50,896	5.20
1980 ..	16,524	25,612	1.55	10,338	2.48	54,163	5.24
1981 ..	17,229	27,367	1.59	10,997	2.49	58,278	5.30
1982 ..	18,399	29,366	1.60	10,508	2.79	55,569	5.29


Table 6 focuses on the number of cases commenced by indictment and the number of defendants proceeded against by indictment in each of the U.S. district courts. Table 6, however, is not necessarily a measure of a court's efficient administration of the grand jury system, because the time required to obtain indictments depends on the nature of criminal activity, the number of defendants involved, and the U.S. attorney's guidance in the matters being presented before the grand jury.

District	Proceedings Commenced by Indictment		Grand Jury Sessions Convened	Hours in Session	District	Proceedings Commenced by Indictment		Grand Jury Sessions Convened	Hours in Session
	Cases	Defendants				Cases	Defendants		
Total	18,399	29,366	10,508	55,569	7th Cir.				
DC	248	348	564	2,706	IL, N	462	708	461	2,429
1st Cir.					IL, C	111	156	62	343
ME	41	71	15	95	IL, S	84	132	53	352
MA	292	470	334	1,372	IN, N	120	147	41	238
NH	27	52	38	193	IN, S	130	181	78	542
RI	110	148	44	264	WI, E	136	189	52	327
PR	177	252	57	245	WI, W	55	71	13	80
2nd Cir.					8th Cir.				
CT	161	301	95	539	AR, E	157	197	23	139
NY, N	51	74	111	544	AR, W	59	75	15	86
NY, E	327	609	569	2,617	IA, N	55	80	20	90
NY, S	527	940	1,066	4,815	IA, S	85	117	29	151
NY, W	131	193	184	977	MN	207	325	63	384
VT	42	81	36	120	MO, E	207	286	81	553
3rd Cir.					MO, W	227	299	51	340
DE	33	43	37	153	NE	74	120	39	231
NJ	198	356	344	1,604	ND	98	142	14	91
PA, E	337	579	334	1,418	SD	153	173	20	135
PA, M	112	163	93	517	9th Cir.				
PA, W	168	280	141	760	AK	85	112	24	192
VI*	-	-	-	-	AZ	370	635	101	535
4th Cir.					CA, N	333	486	245	1,153
MD	385	688	268	1,268	CA, E	374	652	89	524
NC, E	150	260	46	300	CA, C	837	1,162	437	2,215
NC, M	153	228	43	299	CA, S	697	1,276	234	1,366
NC, W	155	214	18	121	HI	72	109	34	176
SC	277	489	69	467	ID	80	105	18	112
VA, E	331	506	171	1,062	MT	100	117	12	70
VA, W	103	146	41	247	NV	131	206	125	524
WV, N	41	75	23	166	OR	89	142	62	369
WV, S	85	138	71	505	WA, E	163	186	17	95
5th Cir.					WA, W	211	330	92	673
LA, E	226	365	145	901	GU	49	76	21	136
LA, M	27	55	60	270	NMI*	-	-	-	-
LA, W	101	119	53	341	10th Cir.				
MS, N	65	92	24	154	CO	150	196	102	664
MS, S	55	96	41	220	KS	152	195	44	245
TX, N	531	775	119	727	NM	134	246	27	139
TX, E	94	124	31	154	OK, N	104	125	30	240
TX, S	1,386	2,097	180	850	OK, E	70	88	19	127
TX, W	527	821	139	658	OK, W	144	207	51	333
CZ*	-	-	-	-	UT	90	130	36	200
6th Cir.					WY	43	63	20	158
KY, E	153	246	86	439	11th Cir.				
KY, W	224	336	77	418	AL, N	298	385	33	248
MI, E	299	524	290	1,624	AL, M	118	171	37	255
MI, W	116	200	59	344	AL, S	81	139	24	155
OH, N	165	222	164	915	FL, N	89	173	74	415
OH, S	133	186	100	627	FL, M	295	589	240	1,485
TN, E	153	244	33	231	FL, S	735	1,937	373	1,783
TN, M	137	196	42	225	GA, N	345	561	135	862
TN, W	296	412	86	511	GA, M	73	130	48	278
					GA, S	117	225	48	288

* The districts of the Virgin Islands, Canal Zone, and the Northern Mariana Islands reported no grand juries in existence in the 12-month period ended June 30, 1982.

U.S. DISTRICT COURTS GRAND JURY SESSIONS CONVENED AND DEFENDANTS INDICTED

12 MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 1977-1982



The Effect of the Speedy Trial Act of 1974

The Speedy Trial Act of 1974 guarantees that every defendant charged with an offense will be brought to trial within a specific time. The Act, amended effective August 2, 1979, established mandatory time limits of 30 days from arrest to indictment and 70 days from indictment to trial. The arrest to indictment interval affects the calling of the grand jury. Although this time interval can be extended by 30 days,³ the majority of defendants are indicted in 30 days or less. This results in grand juries being called more often to hear evidence in fewer cases.

Delays to the time limitations are also granted. For example, a delay is granted when a defendant must undergo examination for mental or physical incapacity to stand trial, or when a defendant is tried on other charges in a state or Federal court. There are 23 applicable reasons for delay that could extend the amount of time a defendant is under prosecution. The time delayed is not included in the 30 or 70 day intervals.

Meeting Speedy Trial time requirements and administering justice efficiently has created conflicts for jury administrators. It is more efficient to summon grand jurors to hear evidence in at least two cases for a full day's work, rather than calling them in an hour at a time for individual cases. In a district which does not have a heavy criminal caseload, however, the U.S. attorney cannot wait to present two or three cases at one grand jury session because of the risk involved in not meeting Speedy Trial time requirements. As a result, the number of grand jury sessions convened increased steadily until this year.

Further discussion of the effects of the Speedy Trial Act of 1974 can be found in the **1982 Annual Report of the Director** published by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.

³ Title 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(b).

Petit Jury

There are three categories of jury service for each day that jurors or potential jurors are present to serve in a district. Each juror is reported in the highest level of service attained on that particular day. Jurors are classified (from highest level of service to lowest) as selected, serving, or challenged, or not selected, serving, or challenged. Some jurors must travel long distances in order to serve. Although these jurors are not actually available to serve, they are paid, and therefore, must be reported. Jurors in travel status are included in the not selected, serving, or challenged category in the summary tables, but are shown separately in the tables which present jury data by district and on the individual district profile pages.

Data from Table 7 shows that the total number of prospective jurors available decreased 2.7 percent during the twelve month period ended June 30, 1982. Of the 631,606 jurors available to serve, 388,979 or 61.6 percent, were selected or serving; 98,657 or 15.6 percent were challenged for cause or peremptorily challenged; and the remaining 143,970, or 22.8 percent, were not selected, serving, or challenged. Continuing a three year decreasing trend, the proportion of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged declined nearly one percentage point. A total of 5,572 jurors, or 0.9 percent, were reported in travel status.

Table 7 U. S. District Courts National Petit Juror Usage During the Twelve Month Periods Ended June 30, 1977 through 1982								
Petit Jurors	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1982 over 1981	
							Number Change	Percent Change
Total Available	584,122	570,523	565,617	605,547	648,929	631,606	-17,323	-2.7
Selected or Serving	352,940	345,372	334,765	368,710	396,746	388,979	-7,767	-2.0
Percent	60.4	60.5	59.2	60.9	61.1	61.6	-	-
Challenged	90,693	88,103	91,575	92,110	100,041	98,657	-1,384	-1.4
Percent	15.5	15.5	16.2	15.2	15.4	15.6	-	-
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged-Total	140,489	137,048	139,277	144,727	152,142	143,970	-8,172	-5.4
Percent	24.1	24.0	24.6	23.9	23.4	22.8	-	-
In Travel Status	*	*	*	4,582	5,078	5,572	494	9.7
Percent	*	*	*	0.8	0.8	0.9	-	-
Jury Trial Days	29,875	29,238	28,851	32,159	35,596	35,263	-333	-0.9
Criminal	16,945	16,084	15,171	15,649	15,925	15,587	-338	-2.1
Percent	56.7	55.0	52.6	48.7	44.7	44.2	-	-
Civil	12,930	13,154	13,680	16,510	19,671	19,676	5	-
Percent	43.3	45.0	47.4	51.3	55.3	55.8	-	-

* The jurors in travel status are included in the category of jurors not selected, serving or challenged.

The total number of jury trial days declined 0.9 percent, primarily due to a 2.1 percent decrease in the number of criminal trial days. The number of civil trial days remained virtually the same and now accounts for 55.8 percent of the total number of jury trial days.

Table 8 provides, by district, the number of juror days, the number of jury trial days and the Juror Usage Index (J.U.I.). The index is calculated by dividing the total number of available jurors by the total number of jury trial days. The result is the average number of jurors available for service on each jury trial day. The national J.U.I. for 1982 was 17.91 with the individual districts ranging from a low of 11.42 in Wyoming to a high of 24.04 in Puerto Rico.

The national average for jurors selected or serving on jury trials during 1982 was 61.6 percent. The Eastern District of Oklahoma reported the highest percentage in this group with 83.0 percent, while seven other districts reported that more than three-fourths of their available jurors were selected or serving. The lowest percentage of jurors selected or serving was recorded by the Western District of Kentucky at 43.8 percent.

Table 8
U. S. District Courts
Petit Juror Usage
During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1982

District	Number of Juror Days					Number of Jury Trial Days			Juror Usage Index ¹
	Total Available	Per-cent Selected or Serving	Per-cent Challenged	Per-cent Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Per-cent in Travel Status	Total	Per-cent Civil	Per-cent Criminal	
Total . . .	631,606	61.6	15.6	21.9	0.9	35,263	55.8	44.2	17.91
DC	10,421	60.0	15.5	24.5	-	525	45.9	54.1	19.85
1st Cir.									
ME	943	72.1	18.0	8.0	1.9	54	64.8	35.2	17.46
MA	15,250	65.3	10.0	24.6	-	920	50.2	49.8	16.58
NH	2,368	65.4	19.7	14.9	-	135	62.2	37.8	17.54
RI	3,220	82.3	12.0	5.7	-	227	70.9	29.1	14.19
PR	2,933	49.5	14.1	23.4	13.0	122	29.5	70.5	24.04
2nd Cir.									
CT	7,353	63.8	19.5	16.7	-	325	49.2	50.8	22.62
NY, N	4,615	59.5	9.3	31.2	-	252	58.7	41.3	18.31
NY, E	27,179	57.2	13.2	29.7	-	1,260	39.4	60.6	21.57
NY, S	41,183	56.1	13.1	30.8	-	2,028	50.6	49.4	20.31
NY, W	4,972	75.1	9.1	15.8	-	311	67.5	32.5	15.99
VT	2,683	74.6	8.6	16.8	-	176	58.0	42.0	15.24
3rd Cir.									
DE	1,218	63.1	11.2	25.6	-	65	33.8	66.2	18.74
NJ	16,076	67.3	10.9	21.8	-	920	50.8	49.2	17.47
PA, E	28,886	60.1	21.6	17.9	0.5	1,667	64.3	35.7	17.33
PA, M	7,245	78.1	14.6	7.1	0.2	402	49.3	50.7	18.02
PA, W	8,184	69.0	19.1	9.6	2.3	539	53.6	46.4	15.13
VI	5,019	58.5	19.7	21.7	-	147	33.3	66.7	34.14
4th Cir.									
MD	11,886	56.7	18.2	23.9	1.2	621	52.3	47.7	19.14
NC, E ²	4,792	72.8	15.4	11.9	-	239	56.1	43.9	20.05
NC, M	2,378	70.8	14.5	11.4	3.3	162	53.7	46.3	14.68
NC, W ²	2,882	78.2	8.4	13.4	-	179	61.5	38.5	16.10
SC ²	8,774	80.2	9.8	8.8	1.1	496	73.4	26.6	17.69
VA, E	5,795	53.3	25.6	20.9	0.2	356	65.7	34.3	16.28
VA, W ²	2,450	52.0	35.9	12.1	-	135	61.5	38.5	18.15
WV, N	862	52.2	24.8	22.4	0.6	55	74.5	25.5	15.67
WV, S	3,129	63.5	20.0	16.4	0.1	198	62.6	37.4	15.80
5th Cir.									
LA, E	11,315	56.3	22.3	21.4	0.1	691	75.1	24.9	16.37
LA, M	1,194	64.2	16.4	19.3	-	71	50.7	49.3	16.82
LA, W	4,102	50.4	17.6	31.8	0.1	232	82.3	17.7	17.68
MS, N	2,520	59.2	24.6	16.2	-	141	70.9	29.1	17.87
MS, S ²	5,433	55.3	13.7	30.0	0.9	227	73.6	26.4	23.93
TX, N ²	12,895	55.3	12.8	31.8	0.1	665	74.0	26.0	19.39
TX, E	6,460	62.1	20.5	17.3	-	369	85.1	14.9	17.51
TX, S	13,387	61.7	15.5	22.8	-	727	58.6	41.4	18.41
TX, W	8,853	59.4	16.2	24.3	0.2	431	51.7	48.3	20.54
CZ ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6th Cir.									
KY, E	5,776	55.2	18.9	25.2	0.7	262	30.5	69.5	22.05
KY, W	3,458	43.8	23.3	32.9	-	156	60.3	39.7	22.17
MI, E	25,798	60.9	12.8	26.3	-	1,585	66.9	33.1	16.28
MI, W	3,910	63.2	13.6	21.4	1.8	246	75.2	24.8	15.89
OH, N	7,712	67.9	7.2	24.8	0.1	478	68.4	31.6	16.13
OH, S	6,055	65.9	12.2	19.0	2.9	371	69.5	30.5	16.32
TN, E	3,682	62.6	12.4	25.0	-	262	80.9	19.1	14.05
TN, M	3,572	49.7	15.0	35.2	-	178	70.2	29.8	20.07
TN, W	5,309	70.0	14.9	15.2	-	312	36.2	63.8	17.02

Table 8
U. S. District Courts
Petit Juror Usage
During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1982
(continued)

District	Number of Juror Days					Number of Jury Trial Days			Juror Usage Index ¹
	Total Available	Per-cent Selected or Serving	Per-cent Challenged	Per-cent Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Per-cent in Travel Status	Total	Per-cent Civil	Per-cent Criminal	
7th Cir.									
IL, N	19,229	60.7	13.1	26.1	-	1,128	51.6	48.4	17.05
IL, C	3,376	63.7	18.5	17.8	-	186	37.6	62.4	18.15
IL, S	2,856	52.1	22.2	25.0	0.6	161	78.9	21.1	17.74
IN, N	3,772	46.7	18.5	34.8	-	165	53.9	46.1	22.86
IN, S	3,786	64.3	15.6	20.1	-	194	26.8	73.2	19.52
WI, E	4,666	60.5	19.0	20.6	-	261	49.0	51.0	17.88
WI, W	1,286	74.7	19.7	4.6	1.1	85	50.6	49.4	15.13
8th Cir.									
AR, E ²	4,018	55.9	22.4	21.2	0.5	201	64.7	35.3	19.99
AR, W ²	3,529	62.5	19.8	17.7	0.1	174	66.7	33.3	20.28
IA, N	1,628	57.3	11.5	21.8	9.4	95	69.5	30.5	17.14
IA, S	2,502	68.2	15.4	15.4	1.0	185	84.3	15.7	13.52
MN	8,271	59.5	13.2	25.8	1.4	490	64.9	35.1	16.88
MO, E	8,770	59.4	22.3	18.2	0.1	566	62.4	37.6	15.49
MO, W	4,845	56.5	21.2	22.3	-	306	73.9	26.1	15.83
NE	5,641	45.5	10.7	38.9	4.9	277	73.6	26.4	20.36
ND ²	2,443	65.0	19.1	9.5	6.4	138	36.2	63.8	17.70
SD	3,776	54.8	23.7	16.8	4.7	191	51.8	48.2	19.77
9th Cir.									
AK	1,949	53.4	14.3	25.5	6.8	93	30.1	69.9	20.96
AZ	6,657	60.7	22.5	16.0	0.8	337	19.3	80.7	19.75
CA, N	11,514	65.3	13.1	21.1	0.5	736	62.4	37.6	15.64
CA, E	5,099	60.4	14.1	21.3	4.2	258	31.8	68.2	19.76
CA, C	24,401	66.4	10.7	20.7	2.1	1,396	38.8	61.2	17.48
CA, S	8,590	59.6	17.9	22.5	-	413	29.1	70.9	20.80
HI	1,052	72.2	21.2	6.6	-	86	73.3	26.7	12.23
ID	2,524	62.5	16.1	12.5	8.9	142	54.2	45.8	17.77
MT	2,533	51.8	14.1	18.8	15.4	135	69.6	30.4	18.76
NV	4,437	66.8	10.1	22.3	0.8	230	27.8	72.2	19.29
OR	4,067	60.0	18.3	20.1	1.6	292	78.8	21.2	13.93
WA, E	1,323	55.7	15.2	25.4	3.7	85	76.5	23.5	15.56
WA, W	7,308	66.7	13.1	15.4	4.7	458	45.6	54.4	15.96
GU	829	25.1	8.3	64.9	1.7	15	-	100.0	55.27
NMI	522	50.4	23.6	26.1	-	27	14.8	85.2	19.33
10th Cir.									
CO	4,741	69.2	17.8	11.0	2.0	358	69.8	30.2	13.24
KS	4,919	65.4	16.4	17.5	0.7	327	63.9	36.1	15.04
NM	4,374	71.0	17.1	7.3	4.6	302	68.2	31.8	14.48
OK, N	3,463	61.7	18.0	20.3	-	222	65.8	34.2	15.60
OK, E	1,147	83.0	13.4	0.2	3.4	95	53.7	46.3	12.07
OK, W	5,200	68.6	18.9	12.3	0.3	449	88.4	11.6	11.58
UT ²	3,157	72.6	19.9	6.9	0.6	182	64.8	35.2	17.35
WY	1,713	71.6	15.6	12.7	0.1	150	76.0	24.0	11.42
11th Cir.									
AL, N	6,298	59.4	19.6	15.7	5.3	378	66.1	33.9	16.66
AL, M	2,349	75.1	14.6	7.6	2.6	130	58.5	41.5	18.07
AL, S	3,370	78.6	12.9	2.5	5.9	197	48.2	51.8	17.11
FL, N	3,323	72.8	15.5	11.2	0.5	185	21.6	78.4	17.96
FL, M	12,015	64.3	15.3	20.3	0.1	680	43.4	56.6	17.67
FL, S	24,917	54.8	15.9	29.3	-	1,114	23.8	76.2	22.37
GA, N	13,049	58.5	16.9	24.6	-	746	54.2	45.8	17.49
GA, M ²	2,357	69.2	23.2	7.6	-	119	44.5	55.5	19.81
GA, S	3,888	65.3	24.5	10.2	-	203	55.2	44.8	19.15



¹ Total available jurors divided by total jury trial days gives the average number per jury trial day.
² Indicates those districts which have not adopted local rules reducing the size of civil juries.

Note: Due to rounding, percents may not add to 100.0 percent.

U.S. DISTRICT COURTS PETIT JUROR USAGE

12 MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 1982

NOTE: Eighteen people are pictured because the Juror Usage Index* in 1982 was 17.91.



*The Juror Usage Index is the average number of jurors on hand for each jury trial day and is calculated by dividing the total number of available jurors by the total number of jury trial days.

**Includes those jurors reported in travel status.

An average of 15.6 percent of all prospective jurors were challenged either by court or counsel during 1982. Generally, more challenges are exercised in the selection of a criminal jury than in the selection of a civil jury.⁴ Despite a 6.1 percentage point decrease from 1981, the Western District of Virginia again reported the highest percentage of jurors challenged at 35.9 percent. Conversely, the Northern District of Ohio reported only 7.2 percent of its 7,712 jurors as challenged. The wide range in percentages of jurors challenged may be due to different local court practices regarding peremptory challenges and the increased number of challenges for cause in districts which have experienced highly publicized trials.

The third classification of jurors includes those who attend court but are not selected or serving on a jury, and those not challenged in a jury selection. Factors contributing to a large number of excess jurors include late settlements or pleas, an overcall of jurors for the number of scheduled trials, a poor jury pool management, or a high percentage of criminal jury trials. A total of 25 districts reported 15 percent or less of the available jurors were not selected, serving, or challenged. The Eastern District of Oklahoma reported the lowest percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged at 0.2 percent, while Nebraska reported the highest percentage in this category at 38.9 percent.

Substantial improvement in efficient juror usage can be made by reducing the number of jurors in the not selected, serving, or challenged category. Methods of limiting the number of jurors in this category differ from district to district depending on the court size and the number of places of holding court. Techniques that improve juror usage include less than 12 member civil juries, jury pooling, multiple voir dire, staggered trial starts, deadlines for settlements and pleas, reduction in voir dire size, effective use of pretrial hearings, and use of the code-a-phone for notifying jurors of postponement or cancellation of a trial.

For the year ended June 30, 1982, a total of 67 districts reported a majority of civil jury trial days. The percentage of civil jury trial days ranged from 19.3 percent in Arizona to 88.4 percent in Oklahoma, Western. As mentioned previously, a court with a majority of criminal trials has more problems to overcome than a court with a predominately civil calendar. For example, more prospective jurors are called for service in the selection of a criminal jury because the law provides for more peremptory challenges in criminal cases. In addition, most districts allow six member civil juries, while criminal trials almost always require a minimum of 12 jurors.

Of the 25 districts which reported less than 15.0 percent in the not selected, serving, or challenged category, only five (20.0 percent) experienced a majority of criminal jury trial days.

Comparison of Juror Utilization 1977 through 1982

As shown in Table 9, 47 districts reported improvement this year in the category of jurors selected or serving. Since 1977, the greatest improvement was reported by Maine, increasing from 54.0 percent selected or serving in 1977 to 72.1 percent selected or serving in 1982. As shown in Table 10, 46 districts improved this year in the category of not selected, serving, or challenged. In this category, Utah reported the most improvement dropping from 36.8 percent in 1977 to 6.9 percent in 1982.

The territories of the Virgin Islands, the Canal Zone, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands have been excluded from these comparisons because of the unique circumstances that exist in these courts. The Middle District of Louisiana was also excluded, since the data was not complete from 1974 through 1979. Finally, the Federal District Court Organization Act of 1978 (P.L. 95-409) changed district boundaries in Illinois, so a comparison from 1977 through 1982 cannot be made.

⁴ Title 28 U.S.C. Sections 1866(c)(2) and 1866(c)(5).

Table 9
U. S. District Courts
Percentage of Jurors Selected or Serving on Jury Trials
During the Twelve Month Periods Ended June 30, 1977 through 1982

District	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	District	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982
National Average...	60.4%	60.5%	59.2%	60.9%	61.1%	61.6%	7th Cir.						
DC	56.3	61.8	57.0	60.5	61.6	60.0	IL, N ¹	63.6%	62.1%	56.6%	60.6%	58.3%	60.7%
1st Cir.							IL, C ²	-	-	55.7	66.4	52.5	63.7
ME	54.0	74.1	71.8	75.0	75.9	72.1	IL, S ²	-	-	54.0	64.3	60.5	52.1
MA	71.0	67.7	68.9	66.0	63.3	65.3	IN, N	59.1	53.1	63.5	56.8	55.2	46.7
NH	58.8	53.0	64.5	74.7	71.5	65.4	IN, S	60.6	60.6	61.2	62.4	57.5	64.3
RI	77.5	82.9	81.7	79.1	73.3	82.3	WI, E	68.0	63.3	70.6	69.2	68.7	60.5
PR	43.3	44.5	49.1	51.2	39.0	49.5	WI, W	71.3	78.2	71.4	76.2	70.8	74.7
2nd Cir.							8th Cir.						
CT	70.7	86.1	74.0	77.9	72.3	63.8	AR, E	57.2	51.3	59.0	57.7	60.0	55.9
NY, N	46.3	57.0	54.2	63.0	70.2	59.5	AR, W	58.7	64.4	62.6	66.9	61.6	62.5
NY, E	53.7	55.9	59.0	54.0	55.7	57.2	IA, N	65.1	45.5	61.9	64.0	58.0	57.3
NY, S	54.2	51.5	52.4	49.2	51.4	56.1	IA, S	69.3	68.0	61.4	63.1	66.8	68.2
NY, W	70.2	69.3	70.1	70.0	78.3	75.1	MN	60.4	60.7	59.9	61.1	71.4	59.5
VT	61.3	60.8	57.3	70.8	65.2	74.6	MO, E	54.9	57.4	57.3	58.8	55.3	59.4
3rd Cir.							MO, W	48.3	58.4	56.6	68.4	63.1	56.5
DE	60.4	70.5	67.2	71.2	64.8	63.1	NE	57.8	56.6	49.7	50.9	56.9	45.5
NJ	68.9	64.5	67.0	72.6	70.7	67.3	ND	61.3	56.8	55.3	55.6	58.5	65.0
PA, E	55.8	50.6	45.9	50.3	59.9	60.1	SD	48.7	50.3	56.9	54.4	53.1	54.8
PA, M	76.0	77.3	75.2	69.1	77.2	78.1	9th Cir.						
PA, W	56.6	57.4	53.9	64.9	62.8	69.0	AK	63.9	52.5	38.0	63.1	43.9	53.4
VI	48.3	54.6	59.0	58.2	63.4	58.5	AZ	47.4	49.6	59.5	63.3	62.3	60.7
4th Cir.							CA, N	65.9	69.3	65.6	65.1	65.9	65.3
MD	54.6	66.9	59.6	55.7	54.1	56.7	CA, E	53.5	59.3	59.4	58.4	65.4	60.4
NC, E	59.2	60.3	62.4	76.5	75.8	72.8	CA, C	61.3	61.7	57.5	63.3	64.4	66.4
NC, M	73.1	66.1	77.1	76.1	71.5	70.8	CA, S	60.1	65.6	58.7	49.5	58.3	59.6
NC, W	76.0	77.3	75.2	69.1	77.2	78.2	HI	58.1	67.5	61.2	59.3	59.7	72.2
SC	71.0	77.3	72.2	74.8	79.5	80.2	ID	59.1	49.5	61.0	60.8	63.0	62.5
VA, E	49.2	54.8	52.5	55.8	55.2	53.3	MT	68.3	63.1	55.9	51.3	56.3	51.8
VA, W	57.6	50.2	51.9	50.6	53.0	52.0	NV	49.4	54.8	55.1	55.7	43.6	66.8
WV, N	60.5	55.5	46.8	54.0	56.8	52.2	OR	54.9	64.0	56.2	62.6	63.6	60.0
WV, S	47.9	58.5	60.7	68.7	68.1	63.5	WA, E	58.4	56.4	60.6	63.7	62.9	55.7
5th Cir.							WA, W	59.1	62.1	57.0	61.8	58.5	66.7
LA, E	59.0	60.4	56.8	51.1	51.3	56.3	GU	20.5	66.5	57.1	24.9	-	25.1
LA, M ¹	38.5	41.7	27.7	49.7	43.7	64.2	NMI ³	-	-	18.2	26.0	21.1	50.4
LA, W	53.8	55.0	55.1	50.4	53.2	50.4	10th Cir.						
MS, N	64.2	68.6	65.8	58.7	53.2	59.2	CO	64.5	59.8	60.7	62.4	68.5	69.2
MS, S	58.9	61.0	55.1	53.2	54.5	55.3	KS	63.2	66.3	65.5	68.5	68.5	65.4
TX, N	69.2	67.1	61.2	66.3	55.8	55.3	NM	66.6	70.3	62.9	68.9	71.8	71.0
TX, E	65.9	58.5	60.3	67.2	60.1	62.1	OK, N	69.0	72.9	66.8	68.7	67.8	61.7
TX, S	67.3	58.4	62.8	60.6	65.8	61.7	OK, E	68.8	60.7	69.6	79.5	72.0	83.0
TX, W	61.3	57.9	56.2	59.5	62.0	59.4	OK, W	70.8	77.6	76.3	74.5	76.6	68.6
CZ	59.3	47.9	38.2	51.0	25.2	-	UT	52.1	56.7	65.5	73.1	70.3	72.6
6th Cir.							WY	68.2	67.4	71.4	72.3	67.9	71.6
KY, E	58.3	61.8	68.2	70.1	60.9	55.2	11th Cir.						
KY, W	53.9	51.9	50.0	43.2	51.6	43.8	AL, N	53.7	56.9	54.6	53.3	54.1	59.4
MI, E	67.4	66.2	62.3	66.4	57.8	60.9	AL, M	75.0	73.3	73.0	72.3	76.9	75.1
MI, W	81.9	73.3	64.1	73.0	61.9	63.2	AL, S	80.8	81.0	68.5	76.2	78.2	78.6
OH, N	54.4	59.9	66.4	54.2	55.1	67.9	FL, N	69.7	60.4	61.7	57.5	65.9	72.8
OH, S	68.1	70.4	67.4	64.0	60.7	65.9	FL, M	67.0	64.7	68.6	68.2	66.7	64.3
TN, E	62.5	63.1	61.4	71.9	71.9	62.6	FL, S	52.8	56.6	52.6	52.7	53.2	54.8
TN, M	49.9	54.7	58.5	48.5	50.1	49.7	GA, N	56.2	53.6	57.2	61.0	57.6	58.5
TN, W	69.1	68.3	65.7	69.7	67.7	70.0	GA, M	64.9	60.6	62.7	66.2	67.9	69.2
							GA, S	76.8	71.9	70.3	69.7	75.3	65.3

¹ Data from 1977 to 1979 was under reported.
² Pursuant to the Federal District Court Organization Act of 1978 (P. L. 95-409), district boundaries in Illinois were changed. Only data since passage of that legislation is shown.
³ The District of the Northern Mariana Islands was established on January 8, 1978.

Table 10
U. S. District Courts
Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged
During the Twelve Month Periods Ended June 30, 1977 through 1982

District	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	District	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982
National Average...	24.1%	24.0%	24.6%	23.1%	22.7%	21.9%	7th Cir.						
DC	28.0	22.7	28.5	25.5	25.7	24.5	IL, N ¹	26.8%	27.1%	30.4%	26.9%	29.7%	26.1%
1st Cir.							IL, C ²	-	-	21.7	16.0	28.7	17.8
ME	33.9	7.8	14.0	9.4	6.1	8.0	IL, S ²	-	-	27.1	17.8	18.0	25.0
MA	16.3	20.0	22.3	23.6	26.0	24.6	IN, N	24.6	27.6	23.9	28.6	29.0	34.8
NH	23.5	29.1	20.7	9.8	12.3	14.9	IN, S	21.7	24.4	23.5	23.7	29.3	20.1
RI	12.7	10.1	6.0	2.0	10.3	5.7	WI, E	16.1	25.7	13.9	14.4	15.8	20.6
PR	43.0	41.4	38.0	24.2	32.6	23.4	WI, W	4.7	5.0	8.4	4.7	10.3	4.6
2nd Cir.							8th Cir.						
CT	8.7	6.8	8.7	5.7	12.0	16.7	AR, E	17.8	26.9	19.9	19.9	16.5	21.2
NY, N	45.6	33.9	38.4	29.9	20.0	31.2	AR, W	17.2	10.3	7.5	11.1	13.8	17.7
NY, E	32.9	29.4	24.0	30.4	29.8	29.7	IA, N	19.5	34.8	21.0	13.8	18.4	21.8
NY, S	30.2	33.4	31.5	35.2	33.4	30.8	IA, S	12.8	13.1	21.6	20.1	14.9	15.4
NY, W	20.9	20.7	18.5	20.1	13.4	15.8	MN	21.1	22.8	24.5	24.2	15.2	25.8
VT	25.4	28.6	32.0	19.3	23.6	16.8	MO, E	20.0	22.0	21.3	21.5	26.6	18.2
3rd Cir.							MO, W	26.3	24.7	17.7	16.2	15.4	22.3
DE	15.4	8.7	13.9	11.4	18.7	25.6	NE	22.6	26.7	30.6	28.3	19.9	38.9
NJ	20.2	25.2	21.9	16.4	17.9	21.8	ND	23.2	24.8	23.4	13.6	15.3	9.5
PA, E	25.1	30.5	35.1	31.6	19.5	17.9	SD	31.9	28.5	25.9	22.5	20.9	16.8
PA, M	9.8	8.9	7.1	13.1	7.9	7.1	9th Cir.						
PA, W	27.1	23.4	26.7	10.9	16.8	9.6	AK	22.6	32.3	52.5	20.1	38.7	25.5
VI	29.9	23.9	17.6	14.8	15.1	21.7	AZ	15.6	24.3	19.8	16.0	17.0	16.0
4th Cir.							CA, N	21.4	17.3	21.8	21.6	21.5	21.1
MD	31.3	15.8	23.1	27.6	25.0	23.9	CA, E	37.0	24.9	25.2	28.2	20.3	21.3
NC, E	31.7	25.9	23.6	14.3	12.6	11.9	CA, C	28.4	29.5	32.8	25.7	23.8	20.7
NC, M	13.6	15.9	8.1	11.4	8.2	11.4	CA, S	22.9	18.4	24.2	31.2	26.7	22.5
NC, W	12.9	13.2	16.4	10.1	11.5	13.4	HI	8.3	1.3	4.2	9.3	5.2	6.6
SC	15.8	12.6	15.4	13.5	8.6	8.8	ID	22.8	34.7	19.6	19.7	10.2	12.5
VA, E	14.0	11.9	17.0	14.7	15.7	20.9	MT	17.4	22.7	30.9	23.6	16.6	18.8
VA, W	5.1	23.3	9.1	12.2	5.0	12.1	NV	38.8	30.4	27.9	24.3	40.7	22.3
WV, N	14.8	18.5	24.3	16.1	19.0	22.4	OR	22.7	19.2	24.1	18.2	16.3	20.1
WV, S	28.3	19.4	19.1	15.0	15.6	16.4	WA, E	21.0	18.0	19.3	18.1	18.0	25.4
5th Cir.							WA, W	22.1	20.9	25.6	18.0	23.8	15.4
LA, E	17.8	19.7	20.9	28.1	26.6	21.4	GU	63.1	30.7	30.5	54.7	100.0	64.9
LA, M ¹	28.1	17.0	33.2	23.3	39.1	19.3	NMI ³	-	100.0	63.4	31.4	51.7	26.1
LA, W	24.6	23.0	27.1	29.7	26.3	31.8	10th Cir.						
MS, N	16.6	13.3	12.9	18.4	22.1	16.2	CO	18.6	23.2	20.8	14.3	11.9	11.0
MS, S	25.0	26.1	30.2	28.5	25.0	30.0	KS	21.4	18.6	19.6	17.0	18.2	17.5
TX, N	17.5	20.0	24.4	22.0	30.9	31.8	NM	15.6	13.4	19.0	8.7	6.2	7.3
TX, E	19.1	26.3	22.5	16.8	20.5	17.3	OK, N	1.1	11.5	21.5	15.9	15.1	20.3
TX, S	20.8	26.9	24.2	25.9	19.3	22.8	OK, E	13.1	21.2	9.7	1.1	5.9	0.2
TX, W	21.6	24.1	26.7	24.6	21.6	24.3	OK, W	14.2	6.7	8.1	8.9	5.8	12.3
CZ	34.7	25.9	32.4	15.6	66.9	-	UT	36.8	30.9	14.2	7.9	8.0	6.9
6th Cir.							WY	21.2	19.7	14.7	13.6	14.8	12.7
KY, E	30.3	28.3	20.7	18.0	25.1	25.2	11th Cir.						
KY, W	30.2	31.9	32.0	37.1	32.4	32.9	AL, N	26.9	23.6	23.9	22.6	20.4	15.7
MI, E	22.8	20.4	26.2	23.1	30.4	26.3	AL, M	13.9	14.5	14.5	8.0	5.7	7.6
MI, W	9.8	14.2	23.5	15.7	24.3	21.4	AL, S	6.2	4.4	17.7	4.3	3.2	2.5
OH, N	37.4	29.5	22.9	35.3	33.2	24.8	FL, N	15.8	23.8	20.7	23.0	17.8	11.2
OH, S	18.7	17.5	15.7	22.7	24.1	19.0	FL, M	20.6	21.3	16.1	17.9	19.0	20.3
TN, E	23.3	24.6	23.8	17.1	17.1	25.0	FL, S	34.0	29.0	32.0	34.5	32.2	29.3
TN, M	38.9	33.4	27.1	40.6	37.9	35.2	GA, N	24.0	26.1	24.2	21.9	24.1	24.6
TN, W	13.7	13.6	16.7	12.6	16.4	15.2	GA, M	11.8	14.6	15.1	13.5	10.1	7.6
							GA, S	5.6	10.5	11.1	7.4	6.6	10.2

Juror Usage Check Sheet

The following Juror Usage Check Sheet lists 14 basic positive factors which tend to result in a low J.U.I. and 14 adverse factors which tend to result in a high J.U.I. Communication between the Administrative Office and district court personnel identified these factors as juror usage problems encountered by the district courts. Each of the 94 district courts⁵ has variations of local rules and implements different practices regarding juror administration. This listing is not meant to include all possible factors affecting a district's juror usage, but can be used as a starting point to isolate and study individual aspects of a district's juror usage program. Once a court has determined the practices or conditions that exist in its jury program, it can proceed to study those areas which may require modification to improve the usage of petit and grand jurors.

⁵ The Canal Zone closed March 31, 1982 in accordance with the Panama Canal Act of 1979.

CHECK SHEET ON JUROR USAGE FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE AN EFFECT ON JUROR STATISTICS

POSITIVE FACTORS		ADVERSE FACTORS	
<input type="checkbox"/> Good cooperation and communication between judges and court personnel.	1	Poor cooperation and communication between judges and court personnel.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> A small number of places of holding court with jury trial activity.	2	A large number of places of holding court with jury trial activity.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of a jury pool system, where the number of judges and trials permits.	3	Each judge using a separate jury panel.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> The staggering of trial starts where the number of judges and trials permits.	4	All judges beginning jury selection at the same time and on the same day.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of multiple voir dices in the jury selection process.	5	A voir dire being called for each trial with a failure to return unused jurors to the jury pool for further use on another trial.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduction in voir dire panel size.	6	Use of voir dire panels larger than recommended.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of civil juries of less than twelve members.	7	Use of civil juries of twelve or more members.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduction in the number of challenges allowed.	8	Excessive use of peremptory challenges.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Established deadlines for settlements or pleas.	9	Allowing settlements or pleas to be entered up to and during trials.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Extensive and good use of pretrial hearings in civil cases or omnibus hearings for criminal defendants.	10	Little or poor use of pretrial hearings or omnibus hearings.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> A predominantly civil trial calendar - 70% or more of all jury trials.	11	A predominantly criminal trial calendar - 70% or more of all jury trials.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Back up trials set so that a jury panel for the first case may still be used if this first case does not go forward for some reason.	12	No back up trials set so that a jury panel for a case is sent home unused if this case does not go forward.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Stipulation by counsel to waive alternate jurors or verdicts by 12 or 6.	13	Use of alternates in all cases with no attempt to obtain waiver of their use.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> No highly publicized trials and few multiple defendant criminal cases.	14	One or more highly publicized trials or multiple defendant criminal cases requiring extra-large panels for jury selection.	<input type="checkbox"/>

NOTE: Factors are randomly listed with no order as to significance.

Section II

JUROR COSTS


The following expenditures are based on vouchers submitted by clerks of U.S. district courts to the Administrative Office. Since these vouchers are not always submitted immediately, the expenditures listed must be considered estimates.

Nationwide, approximately \$38,897,800 was expended for petit and grand jurors during the year ended June 30, 1982. Of this total, \$10,542,300 (27.1 percent) was spent for grand jurors and \$28,355,500 (72.9 percent) was paid for petit jurors. The chart below illustrates the percentage of total juror expenditures for attendance, mileage, subsistence, and other costs.

HOW JUROR DOLLARS WERE SPENT

IN THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 1982

Total Juror Expenditures: **\$38,897,800**
Grand Juror Expenditures: **10,542,300**
Petit Juror Expenditures: **28,355,500**



GRAND JUROR COSTS

For the twelve month period ended June 30, 1982, total payments for grand juror activity amounted to \$10,542,300. Table 11 divides grand juror payments into the categories of attendance, mileage, subsistence, and other miscellaneous expenses. Jurors (excluding Federal employees) are paid an attendance fee of \$30 per day. Attendance fees accounted for \$6,613,000, or 62.7 percent, of the total amount expended for grand jurors. Mileage and toll expenses accounted for \$2,461,900 (23.4 percent), while \$1,220,900 (11.6 percent) was spent on subsistence. A total of \$246,500 (2.3 percent) was paid for other miscellaneous expenses which includes parking, telephone calls, and refreshments.

Table 11
U.S. District Courts
National Grand Juror Payments
During the Twelve Month Periods Ended June 30, 1978 through 1982
(Estimated Figures)

Grand Juror Payments	1978*	1979*	1980*	1981*	1982
Total Payments	\$4,645,400	\$6,730,500	\$8,862,900	\$10,310,700	\$10,542,300
Attendance	3,536,000	4,925,600	6,141,300	6,541,400	6,613,000
Subsistence	250,900	403,200	629,500	1,029,700	1,220,900
Mileage	847,100	1,389,000	2,036,500	2,684,500	2,461,900
Other	11,400	12,700	55,600	55,200	246,500

* Expenditure data for the years 1978 through 1981 was underreported by approximately five percent. Comparisons between 1982 and previous years, therefore, must be made with caution.

Table 12 presents grand juror expenditures by district. New York, Southern spent the most in 1982, paying approximately \$883,670 for grand juror activity. The average cost per grand jury session in 1982 was \$1,003 and the average cost per grand juror day was \$50.

Table 12
U.S. District Courts
Grand Juror Expenditure Breakdown for the Year Ended June 30, 1982
(Estimated Figures)

District	Est. Total Expenditures	Percentage of Estimated Total Expenditure for:*				District	Est. Total Expenditures	Percentage of Estimated Total Expenditure for:*			
		Attend- ance	Mile- age	Subsist- ence	Other			Attend- ance	Mile- age	Subsist- ence	Other
Total	\$ 10,542,283	62.7	23.4	11.6	2.3	7th Cir.					
DC	261,726	91.6	8.4	-	-	IL, N	389,650	76.6	20.0	0.1	3.3
1st Cir.						IL, C	84,125	45.2	32.2	20.7	1.9
ME	18,461	55.9	33.7	3.8	6.6	IL, S	75,562	46.2	16.8	35.7	1.3
MA	263,145	74.6	23.3	-	2.1	IN, N	70,187	42.7	26.7	29.4	1.2
NH	41,571	61.3	32.0	6.0	0.7	IN, S	122,264	44.7	24.0	30.6	0.6
RI	35,571	82.0	15.8	-	2.2	WI, E	87,620	47.3	23.4	23.1	6.2
PR	96,189	45.8	17.0	36.8	0.4	WI, W	44,795	36.8	28.9	29.1	5.2
2nd Cir.						8th Cir.					
CT	69,246	75.3	20.5	1.6	2.6	AR, E	41,865	44.6	30.2	24.1	1.2
NY, N	106,864	64.8	31.1	1.3	2.8	AR, W	34,896	37.1	24.9	37.8	0.1
NY, E	481,067	78.4	20.6	0.3	0.7	IA, N	26,111	49.5	23.6	19.1	7.8
NY, S	883,670	77.9	21.4	-	0.6	IA, S	30,484	67.9	21.6	8.8	1.7
NY, W	143,215	76.4	19.8	-	3.7	MN	88,989	43.5	10.0	38.3	8.2
VT	35,283	63.4	32.2	-	4.4	MO, E	67,878	66.0	20.9	11.2	1.9
3rd Cir.						MO, W	82,524	44.2	18.1	36.7	1.0
DE	32,416	71.6	23.7	-	4.6	NE	86,715	39.0	27.3	33.7	0.1
NJ	262,911	77.4	22.0	-	0.7	ND	37,365	34.8	30.6	34.4	0.2
PA, E	288,673	67.9	24.6	5.8	1.7	SD	58,928	34.5	30.7	34.9	-
PA, M	91,168	60.2	28.0	6.9	4.9	9th Cir.					
PA, W	174,871	56.1	21.0	20.4	2.5	AK	54,294	30.5	22.0	35.6	12.0
VI	-	-	-	-	-	AZ	113,542	55.8	25.9	15.1	3.2
4th Cir.						CA, N	208,647	62.4	31.3	6.3	-
MD	232,270	58.1	31.4	4.0	6.5	CA, E	145,027	45.9	23.2	30.0	1.0
NC, E	56,631	50.8	21.6	27.1	0.6	CA, C	457,274	57.2	29.6	12.9	0.3
NC, M	49,581	62.4	31.2	6.3	0.1	CA, S	182,422	74.6	19.9	0.1	5.4
NC, W	18,343	60.8	39.2	-	-	HI	28,100	68.2	24.6	1.2	6.0
SC	88,622	46.1	25.0	28.9	-	ID	37,346	42.4	29.0	28.6	-
VA, E	135,905	68.2	22.9	2.1	6.8	MT	45,760	34.4	37.1	28.4	0.1
VA, W	69,785	42.8	16.9	28.4	11.9	NV	88,401	81.3	18.1	0.6	-
WV, N	28,071	57.2	23.5	16.4	2.9	OR	131,021	39.7	28.7	30.3	1.4
WV, S	59,993	76.6	17.1	3.0	3.3	WA, E	38,791	43.5	23.0	31.8	1.7
5th Cir.						WA, W	111,506	57.6	20.5	16.0	5.9
LA, E	123,536	69.9	21.2	-	8.9	GU	13,678	88.8	11.2	-	-
LA, M	48,393	80.7	17.2	1.9	0.2	NM	-	-	-	-	-
LA, W	73,773	55.3	24.6	17.1	3.0	10th Cir.					
MS, N	33,988	48.6	33.9	17.5	-	CO	202,675	38.3	17.9	41.9	2.0
MS, S	77,045	37.3	15.4	46.0	1.3	KS	64,642	54.3	26.9	17.3	1.5
TX, N	117,552	63.0	28.1	8.8	0.1	NM	72,866	34.0	35.6	30.0	0.4
TX, E	40,960	50.5	21.0	18.7	9.8	OK, N	23,015	76.5	23.5	-	-
TX, S	170,657	66.8	23.6	2.2	7.4	OK, E	31,280	46.9	33.3	19.7	0.2
TX, W	134,300	66.4	21.4	5.4	6.8	OK, W	52,948	59.0	16.2	23.0	1.7
CZ	-	-	-	-	-	UT	35,442	60.7	17.6	18.7	3.0
6th Cir.						WY	14,890	78.0	14.6	5.6	1.8
KY, E	84,977	68.3	28.4	-	3.3	11th Cir.					
KY, W	61,359	76.8	20.2	1.1	1.9	AL, N	62,355	46.1	24.8	27.7	1.3
MI, E	278,776	69.5	27.4	0.1	3.0	AL, M	52,827	50.3	24.9	24.7	0.1
MI, W	76,778	49.9	22.7	21.1	6.4	AL, S	25,198	60.0	29.9	8.5	1.5
OH, N	184,972	57.8	25.3	14.2	2.8	FL, N	101,344	52.0	22.2	25.0	0.8
OH, S	119,692	54.2	29.4	15.7	0.7	FL, M	280,376	56.2	22.6	20.7	0.6
TN, E	24,848	68.8	28.4	-	2.7	FL, S	319,027	76.9	17.7	2.0	3.3
TN, M	41,735	60.8	37.8	-	1.4	GA, N	153,372	57.9	25.4	13.2	3.5
TN, W	69,170	77.1	20.3	0.1	2.5	GA, M	79,713	43.1	21.3	35.6	-
						GA, S	96,617	37.6	33.3	29.1	-

* Due to rounding to the nearest decimal point, percentages may not add to 100.0 percent.

PETIT JUROR COSTS

As shown in Table 13, \$28,355,500 was expended for petit jurors during 1982. Attendance fees of \$30 per juror per day (excluding Federal employees) accounted for \$18,863,400, or 66.5 percent of the total. Although the mileage allowance was reduced in December 1981 from 22.5 cents per mile to 20 cents per mile, mileage expenditures still totalled \$6,443,500 (22.7 percent). Only \$1,394,800 (4.9 percent) was paid for subsistence and \$1,653,800 (5.8 percent) was spent for other miscellaneous expenses. Miscellaneous expenses are primarily for the comfort and convenience of the jurors.

Table 13
U.S. District Courts
National Petit Juror Payments
During the Twelve Month Period Ended June 30, 1978 through 1982
(Estimated Figures)

Petit Juror Payments	1978*	1979*	1980*	1981*	1982
Total Payments	\$14,875,400	\$18,863,500	\$24,759,200	\$27,415,400	\$28,355,500
Attendance	11,283,000	14,241,900	17,076,400	18,279,700	18,863,400
Subsistence	359,400	563,900	783,700	1,090,600	1,394,800
Mileage	2,525,200	3,675,100	5,418,100	7,139,300	6,443,500
Other	707,800	382,600	1,481,000	905,800	1,653,800

* Expenditure data for the years 1978 through 1981 was underreported by approximately five percent. Comparisons between 1982 and previous years, therefore, must be made with caution.

Table 14 presents petit juror expenditures by district. Again, New York, Southern reported the largest payments (\$1,600,014) to petit jurors. Five other districts reported expenditures in excess of \$1,000,000 for petit juror activity. The average cost per juror day in 1982 was \$45 and the average cost per jury trial day was \$804.

Table 14
U.S. District Courts
Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown for the Year Ended June 30, 1982
(Estimated Figures)

District	Est. Total Expenditures	Percentage of Estimated Total Expenditure for:				District	Est. Total Expenditures	Percentage of Estimated Total Expenditure for:			
		Attendance	Mileage	Subsistence	Other			Attendance	Mileage	Subsistence	Other
Total.....	\$ 28,355,549	66.5	22.7	4.9	5.8	7th Cir.					
DC	289,755	76.0	7.0	-	16.9	IL, N	756,826	74.1	20.6	-	5.3
1st Cir.						IL, C	145,851	58.9	36.8	2.4	1.9
ME	45,721	60.2	24.2	6.8	8.8	IL, S	176,099	58.1	33.8	3.6	4.6
MA	619,296	74.7	20.7	-	4.6	IN, N	143,120	76.0	18.1	0.3	5.5
NH	122,815	62.2	32.4	2.4	3.0	IN, S	191,403	62.8	28.5	1.5	7.3
RI	140,645	78.1	16.2	-	5.7	WI, E	219,353	64.7	19.9	2.5	12.8
PR	187,041	45.4	15.7	37.7	1.3	WI, W	51,111	66.1	21.4	6.4	6.1
2nd Cir.						8th Cir.					
CT	308,604	74.6	19.1	0.1	6.1	AR, E	176,449	67.1	26.9	2.8	3.3
NY, N	196,372	72.5	20.7	2.5	4.4	AR, W	159,891	69.0	23.9	0.2	2.0
NY, E	1,074,189	76.8	19.7	-	3.4	IA, N	109,174	50.7	22.9	17.9	8.5
NY, S	1,600,014	75.0	21.4	0.2	3.5	IA, S	126,286	60.4	28.8	8.4	2.4
NY, W	223,474	74.7	18.4	1.8	5.1	MN	391,036	65.2	17.5	9.8	7.4
VT	131,617	61.6	21.6	2.8	14.1	MO, E	351,239	71.3	21.7	0.6	6.4
3rd Cir.						MO, W	207,934	70.7	25.0	2.2	2.1
DE	49,317	72.3	22.2	1.6	3.9	NE	295,469	57.0	25.0	15.9	2.1
NJ	694,339	71.2	21.1	0.3	7.5	ND	141,990	53.3	22.9	21.0	2.8
PA, E	1,335,273	62.5	21.9	7.0	8.6	SD	224,997	52.1	30.2	16.3	1.4
PA, M	329,244	63.5	26.2	2.8	7.5	9th Cir.					
PA, W	394,977	62.5	21.3	12.1	4.1	AK	109,744	55.5	12.2	20.7	11.6
VI	191,020	76.6	4.4	0.1	18.9	AZ	277,135	71.7	19.7	4.3	4.3
4th Cir.						CA, N	604,529	63.6	25.0	4.4	7.0
MD	497,691	59.4	30.7	5.2	4.6	CA, E	296,781	53.3	28.9	14.3	3.5
NC, E	207,585	69.2	27.6	1.2	1.9	CA, C	1,270,429	54.0	25.1	10.0	10.8
NC, M	122,544	56.3	31.7	10.9	1.1	CA, S	356,621	71.7	19.9	0.6	7.8
NC, W	110,845	76.3	23.7	-	-	HI	52,974	64.5	18.8	11.4	5.3
SC	498,596	55.0	32.0	10.2	2.7	ID	140,605	53.3	25.5	19.8	1.3
VA, E	205,312	72.7	21.9	0.4	5.0	MT	159,640	46.3	28.7	23.6	1.5
VA, W	116,508	63.7	23.3	0.1	12.9	NV	192,958	74.7	15.2	5.5	4.5
WV, N	36,744	70.7	24.0	1.1	4.2	OR	199,771	65.4	24.0	5.7	4.8
WV, S	125,952	72.3	21.0	2.0	4.7	WA, E	67,616	63.8	19.2	10.5	6.5
5th Cir.						WA, W	372,620	61.1	19.5	12.6	6.8
LA, E	468,379	66.4	18.7	0.5	14.5	GU	26,748	79.6	12.7	-	7.8
LA, M	47,375	78.1	10.6	-	2.2	NMI	16,631	93.1	4.0	-	2.9
LA, W	168,420	70.0	25.5	0.4	4.1	10th Cir.					
MS, N	129,519	59.8	36.3	0.7	3.2	CO	230,894	61.3	19.3	14.6	4.7
MS, S	269,816	60.0	22.5	2.6	14.9	KS	197,244	70.2	24.1	4.3	1.4
TX, N	502,321	76.8	22.3	0.9	-	NM	249,800	50.6	27.7	17.9	3.8
TX, E	298,203	70.0	24.4	0.1	5.5	OK, N	139,484	73.9	24.0	0.5	1.7
TX, S	673,125	66.8	23.8	1.9	7.5	OK, E	62,234	53.8	37.7	8.3	0.1
TX, W	362,482	71.7	18.4	2.5	7.5	OK, W	194,380	71.7	22.2	1.8	4.3
CZ	-	-	-	-	-	UT	159,584	62.4	21.4	9.7	6.6
6th Cir.						WY	58,241	84.2	9.1	0.5	6.1
KY, E	265,555	66.7	27.8	2.1	3.4	11th Cir.					
KY, W	146,435	70.7	24.5	-	4.7	AL, N	386,578	47.2	28.7	22.3	1.9
MI, E	1,148,179	67.2	23.9	0.4	8.4	AL, M	102,583	66.4	27.5	5.7	0.4
MI, W	216,088	54.9	27.9	9.0	8.2	AL, S	187,677	55.2	25.0	18.5	1.3
OH, N	319,257	68.9	23.9	1.6	5.6	FL, N	137,370	66.5	24.5	2.9	6.1
OH, S	287,015	61.4	22.9	9.2	6.5	FL, M	538,646	66.6	25.8	4.2	3.4
TN, E	164,174	71.2	26.8	-	2.0	FL, S	1,193,367	72.3	16.7	6.5	4.5
TN, M	149,701	72.8	23.6	-	3.6	GA, N	539,204	71.1	22.9	2.5	3.5
TN, W	198,140	75.5	21.8	-	2.8	GA, M	92,742	72.4	25.5	0.1	2.1
						GA, S	202,537	61.1	19.3	0.1	19.5

* Due to rounding to the nearest decimal point, percentages may not add to 100.0 percent.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
3	4	5	6	7	12	\$ 13	14	15
100 %	8 %	9 %	10 %	11 %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 16		

17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
27	28	29	30	31	\$ 37	38	39

32	33	34	35	36
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978									
1979									
1980			40						
1981									
1982									

Section III
JUROR USAGE PROFILES

EXPLANATION OF ENTRIES ON DISTRICT JUROR USAGE PROFILES

1. Places of holding court where petit jurors have been in court and available to serve for jury trial activity.
2. Authorized judgeships on June 30, 1982 (does not include senior judges).
3. Total number of petit jurors in court whether "selected or serving," "challenged," or "not selected, serving or challenged." Also includes jurors in travel status.
4. Total number of petit jurors who were selected for or serving on one or more trial juries.
5. Total number of petit jurors who were challenged - either for cause or peremptorily - and did not serve on a trial jury.
6. Total number of petit jurors in court who were neither selected, served, nor challenged.
7. Total number of petit jurors who were required to travel to the place of holding court on the day(s) prior to trial, or travel home following jury service.
8. Percentage of petit jurors who were selected for or serving on trial juries.
9. Percentage of petit jurors who were challenged.
10. Percentage of petit jurors who were not selected, serving, or challenged.
11. Percentage of petit jurors who were in travel status.
12. The average number of jurors available in court (whether selected, serving, or challenged, or not selected, serving or challenged) per jury trial per day. The J.U.I. is calculated by dividing the total number of petit jurors available per year by the total number of jury trial days per year. If a court's index is 20, an average of 20 petit jurors are in court per jury trial day.
13. Total estimated expenditures for all petit jurors' expenses which include attendance, subsistence, mileage and toll costs, and miscellaneous costs.
14. Estimated cost per jury trial per day.
15. Estimated cost per petit juror per day.
16. Total estimated expenditures for those petit jurors who were not selected, serving, or challenged (Box 10 times box 13).
17. Total number of civil and criminal jury trials. This information is derived from the JS-10, the Monthly Report of Trials and Other Court Activity.
18. Total number of civil jury trials.
19. Percentage of civil jury trials (Box 18 divided by box 17).
20. Total number of criminal jury trials.


Section III
JUROR USAGE PROFILES
(continued)

21. Percentage of criminal jury trials (Box 20 divided by box 17).
22. Total number of civil and criminal jury trial days. Three jury trial days could either be one trial running three days or three trials occurring on one day, or a combination.
23. Total number of civil jury trial days.
24. Percentage of civil jury trial days (Box 23 divided by box 22).
25. Total number of criminal jury trial days.
26. Percentage of criminal jury trial days (Box 25 divided by box 22).
27. Total number of grand juries that were in existence for one or more months during the past year (July 1, 1981 through June 30, 1982).
28. Total number of grand juries in existence on July 1, 1981.
29. Total number of grand juries impaneled or brought into existence at some time between July 1, 1981 and June 30, 1982.
30. Total number of grand juries which were either discharged by the court or which had served the 18 month statutory period and ceased to exist at some time between July 1, 1981 and June 30, 1982.
31. Total number of grand juries still in existence on July 1, 1982.
32. Number of grand jury sessions convened. A session is counted for each day on which the grand jury convenes for at least one hour.
33. Number of grand jurors in convened sessions. Grand jurors are included in this category only when they participate in a convened session. Travel days, prospective jurors reporting only for impanelment, or jurors reporting when no session is convened are not included in this figure.
34. Number of hours in session. This category includes all time from the start of a convened session to the close of that session on a given day. The time required for the impanelment of any grand jury is also included in this figure.
35. The average number of jurors that participated in each convened session. It is calculated by dividing the number of jurors in session (Box 33) by the number of sessions convened (Box 32). This number will fall somewhere between 16 and 23 as Rule 6(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure requires a grand jury to consist of 16 to 23 members.
36. The average number of hours for each convened session. Calculated by dividing the number of hours in session (Box 34) by the number of sessions convened (Box 32).
37. Total estimated expenditures for all grand jurors' expenses which include attendance, subsistence, mileage and toll costs, and miscellaneous costs.

Section III
JUROR USAGE PROFILES
(continued)

38. Estimated cost for each grand jury session convened.
39. Estimated cost per grand juror per day.
40. A comparison of selected petit juror utilization data for the year ended June 30, 1978 through 1982.
41. A comparison of selected grand juror data, 1978 through 1982.

COMMENT: A statement is provided for those districts reporting various occurrences in the operation of their jury system which have had an effect on their usage statistics. This information is obtained from the JS-11G and JS-11 monthly reports provided by the Clerks of the U. S. District Courts. The data in this report are compiled by the Statistical Analysis and Reports Division.



District of Columbia

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **15**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
10,421	6,251	1,612	2,558	-	
100 %	60.0 %	15.5 %	24.5 %	- %	19.85

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 289,755	552	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 70,990		

119	53	44.5	66	55.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

525	241	45.9	284	54.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
23	14	9	12	11

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 261,726	464	24

564	11,033	2,706	19.6	4.80
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover


YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	147	74.1	22.7	61.8	20.31	26	838	4,161	4.97
1979	125	63.2	28.5	57.0	22.65	23	705	3,373	4.78
1980	116	65.5	25.5	60.5	18.81	25	702	3,121	4.45
1981	141	58.9	25.7	61.6	19.70	24	593	2,849	4.80
1982	119	55.5	24.5	60.0	19.85	23	564	2,706	4.80

COMMENT: The District of Columbia court was the focus of national attention in 1982 with the jury trial of U.S. vs Hinckley. Despite the notoriety of this case, the jury was selected from a panel of only 90 persons - 77 of whom were either selected (18, including six alternates) or challenged (59). The district's percentage of persons not selected, serving, or challenged actually declined slightly in 1982 to 24.5 percent.

Nevertheless, this court reported other situations where a number of trials were conducted before juries with four and even five alternate jurors. Several 12 person civil juries were also selected. Large juries such as these require large panels for selection, because the number of challenges allowed increases.

The clerk's office in the District of Columbia is exceptional in that it keeps jury statistics for the use of its judges in addition to those required by the Judicial Conference. This internal report summarizes for each judge how many jurors were used or not used for each trial and keeps track of the numbers of settlements, pleas, etc.

Preceding page blank



Maine
Massachusetts
New Hampshire
Rhode Island
Puerto Rico

Districts of the
First Circuit

Preceding page blank

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MAINE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
943	680	170	75	18	
100 %	72.1 %	18.0 %	8.0 %	1.9 %	17.46

20	11	55.0	9	45.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 45,721	847	48
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 3,658		

54	35	64.8	19	35.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 18,461	1,231	58

15	316	95	21.1	6.33
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	18	72.2	7.8	74.1	17.16	3	21	123	5.86
1979	21	47.6	14.0	71.8	16.79	4	14	79	5.64
1980	22	72.7	9.4	75.0	17.42	2	20	119	5.95
1981	24	50.0	6.1	75.9	20.39	3	18	97	5.39
1982	20	45.0	8.0	72.1	17.46	3	15	95	6.33

COMMENT: Jury trial activity slowed in 1982 as only 20 cases were tried before a jury, down from 24 cases in 1981. The number of jury trial days shifted from a majority of criminal jury trial days in 1981 to a majority of civil jury trial days in 1982. Multiple voir dire is used efficiently in this district resulting in few unselected jurors with only 75 jurors in this category in 1982, 8.0 percent of the total available. There was one long civil trial involving asbestosis, a complex product liability case, in 1982 which required a larger than average size panel for the two day selection of the jury.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MASSACHUSETTS

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 10

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
15,250	9,962	1,529	3,759	-	
100 %	65.3 %	10.0 %	24.6 %	- %	16.58

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 619,296	673	41
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 152,347		

196	127	64.8	69	35.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

920	462	50.2	458	49.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
18	10	8	7	11

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 263,145	788	41

334	6,492	1,372	19.4	4.11
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	116	72.4	20.0	67.7	18.42	14	249	1,173	4.71
1979	107	59.8	22.3	68.9	18.17	17	329	1,557	4.73
1980	212	42.9	23.6	66.0	15.96	17	403	1,741	4.32
1981	194	39.2	26.0	63.3	16.76	19	457	2,045	4.47
1982	196	35.2	24.6	65.3	16.58	18	334	1,372	4.11

COMMENT: Two, and sometimes three times each month, jurors report for orientation at the one place of holding court with jury trial activity in this district. Excess jurors on these orientation days account for much of the large percentage of jurors reported as not selected, serving, or challenged. Last year, approximately one fourth of the total available jurors were not selected, serving, or challenged. In 1982, there were several jury trials which lasted over 20 days involving insurance and anti-trust violations, postal fraud, and embezzlement. When a trial is expected to last several weeks, a large panel of jurors is generally called in expectation of a great number of requests for excuses. These cases, therefore, account for part of the not selected jurors.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NEW HAMPSHIRE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,368	1,549	466	353	-	
100 %	65.4 %	19.7 %	14.9 %	- %	17.54

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 122,815	910	52
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 18,299		

29	21	72.4	8	27.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

135	84	62.2	51	37.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 41,571	1,094	56

38	744	193	19.6	5.08
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	17	52.9	29.1	53.0	25.26	2	12	61	5.08
1979	28	14.3	20.7	64.5	15.48	2	31	177	5.71
1980	39	23.1	9.8	74.7	16.67	1	24	118	4.92
1981	35	20.0	12.3	71.5	14.12	2	26	135	5.19
1982	29	27.6	14.9	65.4	17.54	3	38	193	5.08

COMMENT: Every three months, a new panel of qualified jurors is summoned for instructions and for selection. One to three juries are selected from this panel. The panel is large compared to the number of juries selected from it, so the number of unselected jurors is always high on "instruction" day. Overall, however, New Hampshire's percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged is low compared to the national average of 21.9 percent.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

RHODE ISLAND

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,220	2,651	385	184	-	
100 %	82.3 %	12.0 %	5.7 %	- %	14.19

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 140,645	620	44
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 8,017		

47	32	68.1	15	31.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

227	161	70.9	66	29.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIAL DAYS

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	2	2	1	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 35,571	808	40

44	892	264	20.3	6.00
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	38	39.5	10.1	82.9	13.86	4	43	270	6.28
1979	35	48.6	6.0	81.7	16.58	4	42	260	6.19
1980	38	42.1	2.0	79.1	15.46	6	47	298	6.34
1981	37	51.4	10.3	73.3	17.60	5	39	253	6.49
1982	47	31.9	5.7	82.3	14.19	4	44	264	6.00

COMMENT: Increased jury trial activity was noted in this district in 1982 as 10 more trials and 45 more trial days were reported than a year earlier. This increase was not matched by an increase in total available jurors, instead, the total available increased by only 17 jurors, a 0.5 percent rise. This reflects a more efficient usage of petit jurors. Consequently, both the number and percentage of challenged and not selected, serving, or challenged jurors were very low in 1982.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

PUERTO RICO

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 7

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,933	1,451	415	685	382	
100 %	49.5 %	14.1 %	23.4 %	13.0 %	24.04

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 187,041	1,533	64
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 43,768		

33	11	33.3	22	66.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

122	36	29.5	86	70.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIAL DAYS

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
6	3	3	1	5

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 96,189	1,688	83


57	1,161	245	20.4	4.30
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	46	50.0	41.4	44.5	24.09	5	52	330	6.35
1979	47	53.2	38.0	49.1	22.71	5	46	237	5.15
1980	36	61.1	24.2	51.2	22.77	5	50	251	5.02
1981	39	61.5	32.6	39.0	31.23	6	70	383	5.47
1982	33	66.7	23.4	49.5	24.04	6	57	245	4.30

COMMENT: The size of the panel of prospective jurors brought in for selection of a jury in this district is average, ranging between 17 and 26 jurors for a civil trial and between 27 and 42 jurors for a criminal trial. Exceptionally large panels of 60 to 70 jurors were called for several criminal jury selections in 1982. The excess jurors from these selections, two orientation groups of approximately 50 jurors each, and a large number of last minute cancellations resulted in a large percentage of not selected, serving, or challenged jurors this year. As in prior years, a large percentage of jurors were required to travel before and after jury service. The 13.0 percent of jurors in travel status is the second highest nationwide.



Connecticut
New York
Northern
Eastern
Southern
Western
Vermont

Districts of the
Second Circuit

Preceding page blank

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

CONNECTICUT

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
7,353	4,689	1,437	1,227	-	22.62
100 %	63.8 %	19.5 %	16.7 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 308,604	950	42
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 51,537

65	28	43.1	37	56.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

325	160	49.2	165	50.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
11	9	2	5	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 69,246	729	39

95	1,785	539	18.8	5.67
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	31	48.4	6.8	86.1	15.08	10	68	349	5.13
1979	63	36.5	8.7	74.0	17.01	13	134	751	5.60
1980	55	29.1	5.7	77.9	15.11	17	215	1,235	5.74
1981	62	46.8	12.0	72.3	17.80	14	136	748	5.50
1982	65	56.9	16.7	63.8	22.62	11	95	539	5.67

COMMENT: Due to an increase in the proportion of criminal jury trial activity in Connecticut, 13.2 percent more jurors were called for service during 1982 than last year. Though the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged rose, this figure is still well below the national average of 21.9 percent.

Preceding page blank

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NEW YORK NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 3

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
4,615	2,747	429	1,438	1	
100 %	59.5 %	9.3 %	31.2 %	- %	18.31

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 196,372	779	43
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 61,268		

52	37	71.2	15	28.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

252	148	58.7	104	41.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
10	6	4	4	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 106,864	963	50

111	2,152	544	19.4	4.90
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS			PETIT JUROR USAGE		GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	33	60.6	33.9	57.0	22.38	6	58	282	4.86
1979	35	40.0	38.4	54.2	19.29	8	72	308	4.28
1980	32	40.6	29.9	63.0	18.96	10	98	340	3.47
1981	42	33.3	20.0	70.2	14.82	8	96	405	4.22
1982	52	28.8	31.2	59.5	18.31	10	111	544	4.90

COMMENT: Approximately 56 jurors are routinely called to court solely to attend an orientation session in several places of holding court in this district. This practice contributed to the large percentage of not selected, serving, or challenged jurors (31.2 percent) during 1982.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NEW YORK EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 10

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
27,179	15,541	3,579	8,059	-	
100 %	57.2 %	13.2 %	29.7 %	- %	21.57

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 1,074,189	853	40
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 319,034		

225	108	48.0	117	52.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,260	497	39.4	763	60.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
48	32	16	23	25

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 481,067	845	42

569	11,345	2,617	19.9	4.60
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	210	64.8	29.4	55.9	22.37	40	573	2,453	4.28
1979	232	56.0	24.0	59.0	19.83	48	686	2,773	4.04
1980	224	48.2	30.4	54.0	21.36	51	723	3,210	4.44
1981	213	56.3	29.8	55.7	21.61	52	778	3,208	4.12
1982	225	52.0	29.7	57.2	21.57	48	569	2,617	4.60

COMMENT: Though New York, Eastern uses the multiple selection technique and reuses jurors on jury selection days, almost 30 percent of the total available jurors in this district were reported as not selected, serving, or challenged. This percentage is significantly higher than the national average and is caused, in part, by the large panels of jurors (150 to 200 persons) called to court. On such days, over half of the people who reported were not selected, serving, or challenged.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NEW YORK SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 27

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
41,183	23,108	5,384	12,691	-	20.31	\$ 1,600,014	789	39
100 %	56.1 %	13.1 %	30.8 %	- %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 492,804		
327	203	62.1	124	37.9		2,028	1,026	50.6
						1,002		49.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	CIVIL	%
JURY TRIALS						JURY TRIAL DAYS		

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
53	31	22	21	32	\$ 883,670	829	41
1,066	21,685	4,815	20.3	4.52			
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	353	50.1	33.4	51.5	23.41	49	931	4,081	4.38
1979	354	42.4	31.5	52.4	23.07	47	979	4,329	4.42
1980	328	43.0	35.2	49.2	23.43	48	941	4,512	4.79
1981	371	34.2	33.4	51.4	21.00	49	1,116	5,476	4.91
1982	327	37.9	30.8	56.1	20.31	53	1,066	4,815	4.52

COMMENT: This court managed to improve its petit juror statistics in 1982 despite the fact that some judges continue to request large panels of 100 to 150 jurors for jury selection. Four jury trials, two civil and two criminal, lasted longer than 50 days.

A total of 53 grand juries convened for 1,066 sessions during the year ended June 30, 1982. The prospective jurors not selected to serve as grand jurors are returned to the petit jury pool for possible service on a trial.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NEW YORK WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
4,972	3,736	451	785	-	15.99	\$ 223,474	719	45
100 %	75.1 %	9.1 %	15.8 %	- %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 35,309		
39	8	20.5	31	79.5		311	210	67.5
						101		32.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	CIVIL	%
JURY TRIALS						JURY TRIAL DAYS		

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
9	5	4	4	5	\$ 143,215	778	40
184	3,612	977	19.6	5.31			
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	64	75.0	20.7	69.3	24.00	6	153	756	4.94
1979	54	53.7	18.5	70.1	21.95	9	179	898	5.02
1980	72	70.8	20.1	70.0	20.12	9	191	920	4.82
1981	58	74.1	13.4	78.3	20.15	8	182	909	4.99
1982	39	79.5	15.8	75.1	15.99	9	184	977	5.31

COMMENT: Over the past three years more than 70 percent of the jury trials in New York, Western were criminal. This factor is significant because selection of criminal juries is generally more complicated than selection of civil juries due to larger panels that are called in anticipation of additional challenges. The practice of selecting jurors for trials to begin at a later date did not significantly affect 1982 statistics. Though the percentage of jurors selected or serving declined to 75.1 percent this year, the figure is still well above the national average in this category.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

VERMONT

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
2,683	2,001	231	451	-		\$ 131,617	748	49
100 %	74.6 %	8.6 %	16.8 %	- %	15.24	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 22,112		

28	18	64.3	10	35.7	176	102	58.0	74	42.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D


NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	3	-	1	2
36	717	120	19.9	3.33
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 35,283	980	49

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	24	4.2	28.6	60.8	14.21	4	32	129	4.03
1979	32	15.6	32.0	57.3	17.44	5	41	150	3.66
1980	25	36.0	19.3	70.8	14.92	5	49	222	4.53
1981	28	35.7	23.6	65.2	16.34	5	37	151	4.08
1982	28	35.7	16.8	74.6	15.24	3	36	120	3.33

COMMENT: Fewer last minute settlements and changes of plea positively affected the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged. Also, instead of holding separate orientation days where all jurors called were reported as not selected, serving, or challenged, the district began to select a jury and start a trial on these orientation days, which positively influenced Vermont's juror statistics. While 23.6 percent of the total available jurors were reported as not selected, serving, or challenged last year, only 16.8 percent were reported as such this year.



Delaware
New Jersey
Pennsylvania:
Eastern
Middle
Western
Virgin Islands

Districts of the

Third Circuit

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

DELAWARE

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP **3**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
1,218	769	137	312	-	
100 %	63.1 %	11.2 %	25.6 %	- %	18.74

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 49,317	759	40
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 12,625		

12	6	50.0	6	50.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

65	22	33.8	43	66.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	2	-	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 32,416	876	43

37	755	153	20.4	4.14
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	14	50.0	8.7	70.5	15.16	4	37	120	3.24
1979	27	22.2	13.9	67.2	12.81	3	47	184	3.91
1980	18	27.8	11.4	71.2	13.43	3	43	166	3.86
1981	23	39.1	18.7	64.8	16.21	4	39	178	4.56
1982	12	50.0	25.6	63.1	18.74	2	37	153	4.14

COMMENT: Delaware reported three notorious trials during the year, including one with seven well-known defendants. In this case a nonjury trial was granted to the defense just ten minutes before the trial was due to start. The district's 63.1 percent rate of jurors selected or serving is slightly better than the national average of 61.6 percent.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NEW JERSEY

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 11

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
16,076	10,821	1,750	3,505	-	
100 %	67.3 %	10.9 %	21.8 %	- %	17.47

158	95	60.1	63	39.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

920	467	50.8	453	49.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 694,339	755	43
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 151,366		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
17	10	7	5	12

344	6,826	1,604	19.8	4.66
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 262,911	764	39

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	138	52.9	25.2	64.5	19.87	14	282	1,382	4.90
1979	125	47.2	21.9	67.0	19.17	14	300	1,404	4.68
1980	146	43.2	16.4	72.6	16.61	13	314	1,520	4.84
1981	183	39.9	17.9	70.7	16.58	15	370	1,753	4.74
1982	158	39.9	21.8	67.3	17.47	17	344	1,604	4.66

COMMENT: The District of New Jersey reported frequent last minute pleas, adjournments, settlements, and dismissals during 1982. The court uses multiple voir dire and selects juries for trial at a later date. This practice outweighed the negative impact of jury trial cancellations as shown by a percentage of jurors selected or serving (67.3 percent) which is better than the national average of 61.6 percent. One criminal jury trial for alleged extortion, racketeering, and threats lasted more than 60 days.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 19

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
28,886	17,363	6,225	5,157	141	
100 %	60.1 %	21.6 %	17.9 %	0.5 %	17.33

353	238	67.4	115	32.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,667	1,072	64.3	595	35.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 1,335,273	801	46
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 239,014		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
15	10	5	7	8

334	6,618	1,418	19.8	4.25
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 288,673	864	44

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	298	30.2	30.5	50.6	20.42	15	357	1,628	4.56
1979	283	25.8	35.1	45.9	22.18	15	377	1,667	4.42
1980	298	21.8	31.6	50.3	20.32	15	378	1,564	4.14
1981	312	28.8	19.5	59.9	17.47	17	354	1,457	4.12
1982	353	32.6	17.9	60.1	17.33	15	334	1,418	4.25

COMMENT: The Eastern District of Pennsylvania reported frequent reuse of jurors and a number of trials by magistrates. Despite an increase in the percentage of criminal jury trials, both the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged and the Juror Usage Index improved for the third year in a row. Both statistics are better than the national averages.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

VIRGIN ISLANDS

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
5,019	2,937	991	1,091	-	
100 %	58.5 %	19.7 %	21.7 %	- %	34.14

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 191,020	1,299	38
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 41,451		

61	12	19.7	49	80.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

147	49	33.3	98	66.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
-	-	-	-	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ -	-	-

-	-	-	-	-
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	75	69.3	23.9	54.6	27.81	N/A	-	-	-
1979	83	72.3	17.6	59.0	28.14	N/A	-	-	-
1980	53	84.9	14.8	58.2	35.37	N/A	-	-	-
1981	61	62.3	15.1	63.4	28.45	N/A	-	-	-
1982	61	80.3	21.7	58.5	34.14	N/A	-	-	-



Maryland
North Carolina
Eastern
Middle
Western
South Carolina
Virginia:
Eastern
Western
West Virginia:
Northern
Southern

Districts of the
Fourth Circuit

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MARYLAND

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 9

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
11,886	6,739	2,164	2,844	139		\$ 497,691	801	42
100 %	56.7 %	18.2 %	23.9 %	1.2 %	19.14	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 118,948		
133	59	44.4	74	55.6	621	325	52.3	296
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS			

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
21	10	11	15	6	\$ 232,270	867	43
268	5,456	1,268	20.4	4.73	For National Profile Open Foldout At Back Cover		
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	117	57.3	15.8	66.9	17.94	14	172	763	4.44
1979	118	54.2	23.1	59.6	19.25	15	276	1,436	5.20
1980	115	55.7	27.6	55.7	20.66	18	246	1,080	4.39
1981	135	55.6	25.0	54.1	20.25	20	338	1,674	4.95
1982	133	55.6	23.9	56.7	19.14	21	268	1,268	4.73

COMMENT: Maryland reported a number of last minute settlements and five "Organization Days" where jurors reported for orientation activities only. The percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged was higher than the national average, but was an improvement over 1980 and 1981 figures. This district's Juror Usage Index is at its lowest level since 1978.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
4,792	3,488	736	568	-	
100 %	72.8 %	15.4 %	11.9 %	- %	20.05

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 207,585	869	43
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 24,703		

53	21	39.6	32	60.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

239	134	56.1	105	43.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
7	3	4	3	4

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 56,631	1,231	58

46	982	300	21.3	6.52
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	26	96.2	25.9	60.3	26.18	4	33	199	6.03
1979	36	80.6	23.6	62.4	23.79	5	27	144	5.33
1980	41	65.9	14.3	76.5	21.04	4	23	140	6.09
1981	78	44.9	12.6	75.8	20.17	3	49	288	5.88
1982	53	60.4	11.9	72.8	20.05	7	46	300	6.52

COMMENT: The percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged improved for the fifth year in a row in the Eastern District of North Carolina. The Juror Usage Index improved for the fourth year in a row. The large increase experienced in the percentage of criminal jury trials would normally worsen a district's Juror Usage Index over the previous year, but it has no effect in this district because the court continues the practice of using 12 member civil juries which keeps this district's Juror Usage Index unusually high.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,378	1,683	345	272	78	
100 %	70.8 %	14.5 %	11.4 %	3.3 %	14.68

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 122,544	756	52
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 13,970		

37	19	51.4	18	48.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

162	87	53.7	75	46.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 49,581	1,153	56

43	879	299	20.4	6.95
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	20	75.0	15.9	66.1	18.21	2	16	78	4.88
1979	35	74.3	8.1	77.1	15.58	3	30	179	5.97
1980	25	64.0	11.4	76.1	15.40	2	25	145	5.80
1981	31	71.0	8.2	71.5	18.14	2	18	116	6.44
1982	37	48.6	11.4	70.8	14.68	3	43	299	6.95

COMMENT: In each of the last ten years, this district's percentage of jurors selected or serving, the percentage not selected, serving, or challenged, and the Juror Usage Index have all been better than the national averages. This is even more of an accomplishment when one considers the fact that the Middle District of North Carolina's percentage of criminal trials has been higher than average throughout the last decade. This can be attributed to efficient administration of the jury program.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,882	2,255	242	385	-	
100 %	78.2 %	8.4 %	13.4 %	- %	16.10

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 110,845	619	38
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 14,853		

81	45	55.6	36	44.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

179	110	61.5	69	38.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

JURY TRIAL DAYS

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	2	2	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 18,343	1,019	54

18	337	121	18.7	6.72
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	80	43.8	13.2	77.3	14.74	4	17	99	5.82
1979	55	56.4	16.4	75.8	15.76	4	12	79	6.58
1980	77	41.6	10.1	81.2	14.45	4	13	81	6.23
1981	60	50.0	11.5	79.0	15.15	4	35	230	6.57
1982	81	44.4	13.4	78.2	16.10	4	18	121	6.72

COMMENT: Despite the use of 12 member civil juries, the Western District of North Carolina exhibits good juror usage. Its percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged and its Juror Usage Index have been better than the national average over the past decade. The court takes every opportunity to reuse jurors in another trial when they were not selected, serving, or challenged on the same day.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

SOUTH CAROLINA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 8

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
8,774	7,037	864	776	97	
100 %	80.2 %	9.8 %	8.8 %	1.1 %	17.69

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 498,596	1,005	57
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 43,876		

190	144	75.8	46	24.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

496	364	73.4	132	26.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIAL DAYS

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
5	2	3	2	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 88,622	1,284	66

69	1,344	487	19.5	7.06
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	128	34.4	12.6	77.3	19.76	2	20	123	6.15
1979	103	42.7	15.4	72.2	20.47	2	22	163	7.41
1980	145	26.9	13.5	74.8	21.01	2	25	188	7.52
1981	149	25.5	8.6	79.5	18.68	3	57	414	7.26
1982	190	24.2	8.8	80.2	17.69	5	69	487	7.06

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

VIRGINIA EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 8

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
5,795	3,086	1,483	1,212	14	
100 %	53.3 %	25.6 %	20.9 %	0.2 %	16.28

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 205,312	577	35
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 42,910		

172	100	58.1	72	41.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

356	234	65.7	122	34.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
20	12	8	9	11

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 135,905	795	39

171	3,476	1,062	20.3	6.21
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	175	41.7	11.9	54.8	16.49	16	182	1,175	6.43
1979	147	32.7	17.0	52.5	15.96	19	161	1,061	6.59
1980	171	39.2	14.7	55.8	16.17	18	151	985	6.52
1981	170	36.5	15.7	55.2	15.76	21	171	1,082	6.33
1982	172	41.9	20.9	53.3	16.28	20	171	1,062	6.21

COMMENT: The Eastern District of Virginia reported several trials conducted by magistrates and one instance of jury costs assessed for a trial continued after the jury reported. The court's Juror Usage Index is significantly better than the national average, despite the fact that its percentage of criminal trials is about average. This is due, in part, to the low number of last minute pleas and settlements which result in a jury panel not being used.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

VIRGINIA WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,450	1,274	880	296	-	
100 %	52.0 %	35.9 %	12.1 %	- %	18.15

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 116,508	863	48
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 14,097		

69	43	62.3	26	37.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

135	83	61.5	52	38.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
10	5	5	5	5

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 69,785	1,702	88

41	792	247	19.3	6.02
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	52	25.0	23.3	50.2	18.67	7	18	99	5.50
1979	43	39.5	9.1	51.9	17.60	2	22	151	6.86
1980	50	22.0	12.2	50.6	17.30	2	32	239	7.47
1981	61	26.2	5.0	53.0	16.83	6	45	276	6.13
1982	69	37.7	12.1	52.0	18.15	10	41	247	6.02

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

WEST VIRGINIA NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 1½

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
862	450	214	193	5	
100 %	52.2 %	24.8 %	22.4 %	0.6 %	15.67

19	13	68.4	6	31.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	2	1	2	1

23	473	166	20.6	7.22
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 36,744	668	43
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 8,231		

55	41	74.5	14	25.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 28,071	1,220	59

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back CoverG
R
A
N
D

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	29	51.7	18.5	55.5	19.00	2	9	65	7.22
1979	30	73.3	24.3	46.8	25.01	2	22	166	7.55
1980	29	41.4	16.1	54.0	17.28	2	13	95	7.31
1981	22	59.1	19.0	56.8	21.00	3	16	120	7.50
1982	19	31.6	22.4	52.2	15.67	3	23	166	7.22

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4½

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,129	1,986	627	512	4	
100 %	63.5 %	20.0 %	16.4 %	0.1 %	15.80

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 125,952	636	40
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 20,656		

59	39	66.1	20	33.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

198	124	62.6	74	37.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
6	5	1	2	4

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 59,993	845	42


G
R
A
N
D

71	1,426	505	20.1	7.11
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	59	32.2	19.4	58.5	18.10	7	31	210	6.77
1979	45	40.0	19.1	60.7	18.43	6	75	546	7.28
1980	39	56.4	15.0	68.7	18.65	9	63	462	7.33
1981	50	32.0	15.6	68.1	16.14	8	76	561	7.38
1982	59	33.9	16.4	63.5	15.80	6	71	505	7.11

COMMENT: In 1982, the Southern District of West Virginia had a number of last minute settlements and pleas, one notorious case, and a January 1982 ice storm that prevented enough jurors from reporting to conduct a voir dire. The court's percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged, however, was still better than the national average and its Juror Usage Index improved for the second year in a row.



Louisiana
Eastern
Middle
Western
Mississippi
Northern
Southern
Texas
Northern
Eastern
Southern
Western
Canal Zone



Districts of the
Fifth Circuit

Preceding page blank

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

LOUISIANA EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 13

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
11,315	6,366	2,522	2,416	11	
100 %	56.3 %	22.3 %	21.4 %	0.1 %	16.37

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 468,379	678	41
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 100,233		

227	187	82.4	40	17.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

691	519	75.1	172	24.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
8	4	4	3	5

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 123,536	852	43

145	2,889	901	19.9	6.21
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	150	30.7	19.7	60.4	17.07	10	147	866	5.89
1979	213	23.9	20.9	56.8	16.86	8	116	715	6.16
1980	216	19.9	28.1	51.1	18.38	8	138	831	6.02
1981	272	13.2	26.6	51.3	17.59	9	133	861	6.47
1982	227	17.6	21.4	56.3	16.37	8	145	901	6.21

COMMENT: Almost half (43.7 percent) of the district's total available jurors were challenged or were not selected, serving, or challenged during 1982. This is a result of a great many lengthy and notorious cases scheduled for trial and a large number of last minute cancellations of jury trials by plea or last minute settlement. One criminal trial (for alleged extortion, racketeering, and threats) lasted over 80 days.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

LOUISIANA MIDDLE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
1,194	767	196	231	-	16.82
100 %	64.2 %	16.4 %	19.3 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 47,375	667	40
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 9,143		

21	17	81.0	4	19.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

71	36	50.7	35	49.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
7	4	3	5	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 48,393	807	39

60	1,241	270	20.7	4.50
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	9	77.8	17.0	41.7	24.00	4	57	362	6.35
1979	10	50.0	33.2	27.7	37.60	4	57	279	4.89
1980	19	42.1	23.3	49.7	18.26	4	57	298	5.23
1981	33	12.1	39.1	43.7	20.29	6	64	316	4.94
1982	21	19.0	19.3	64.2	16.82	7	60	270	4.50

COMMENT: A separate panel of jurors was summoned for each scheduled jury trial in this district in 1982 (with only one exception). Since this practice means that no jurors are reused for a second selection on the same day, there was a substantial percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged. One lengthy notorious trial was also reported during 1982.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

LOUISIANA WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
4,102	2,069	723	1,306	4	17.68
100 %	50.4 %	17.6 %	31.8 %	0.1 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 168,420	726	41
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 53,558		

79	69	87.3	10	12.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

232	191	82.3	41	17.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
5	3	2	3	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 73,773	1,392	71

53	1,036	341	19.5	6.43
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	70	10.0	23.0	55.0	14.39	7	37	263	7.11
1979	88	17.0	27.1	55.1	18.83	7	51	355	6.96
1980	72	23.6	29.7	50.4	21.78	7	71	478	6.73
1981	78	19.2	26.3	53.2	17.65	6	67	388	5.79
1982	79	12.7	31.8	50.4	17.68	5	53	341	6.43

COMMENT: In 1982, the district reported large panels of jurors summoned for selection of juries - as many as 46 prospective jurors for a civil jury and 49 for a criminal trial. On a few occasions, two juries were selected from the same panel. The large panel sizes and infrequent reuse of jurors account for the high percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged (31.8 percent). There were also several last minute pleas and settlements which resulted in unused panels of jurors. In one such case, the cost of the panel of 21 jurors was assessed to the defendant after a last minute settlement.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,520	1,492	619	409	-	17.87
100 %	59.2 %	24.6 %	16.2 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 129,519	919	51
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 20,982		

53	37	69.8	16	30.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

141	100	70.9	41	29.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 33,988	1,416	68

24	503	154	21.0	6.42
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	48	31.2	13.3	68.6	17.89	2	18	128	7.11
1979	44	34.1	12.9	65.8	18.18	2	29	221	7.62
1980	32	28.1	18.4	58.7	18.94	2	23	166	7.22
1981	49	18.4	22.1	58.2	18.41	2	23	153	6.65
1982	53	30.2	16.2	59.2	17.87	2	24	154	6.42

COMMENT: This district improved its juror statistics despite an increase in the percentage of criminal trials, two highly publicized cases, and a civil case where ten jurors were selected due to its expected length and complexity.

The Northern District of Mississippi has a local rule allowing assessment of juror costs against both parties when a civil case settles at the last minute, however, no costs were reported as assessed during 1982. If costs had been levied, it may have reduced the substantial number of last minute settlements this district experienced.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
5,433	3,007	747	1,630	49	23.93
100 %	55.3 %	13.7 %	30.0 %	0.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 269,816	1,189	50
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 80,945		

49	35	71.4	14	28.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

227	167	73.6	60	26.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
1	1	-	1	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 77,045	1,879	90

41	852	220	20.8	5.37
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	44	27.3	26.1	61.0	21.92	2	20	108	5.40
1979	43	16.3	30.2	55.1	25.39	2	25	131	5.24
1980	41	24.4	28.5	53.2	24.95	1	42	239	5.69
1981	36	16.7	25.0	54.5	25.63	1	42	251	5.98
1982	49	28.6	30.0	55.3	23.93	1	41	220	5.37

COMMENT: This district's percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged rose five points and is well above the national average. This increase is a result of an asbestos case which required a panel of 190 jurors and two others in the district this year, which required that the jury be sequestered. If the court considered using six member civil juries, its juror statistics would probably improve.

The number of jury trials rose 36.1 percent, with several of them being conducted before a magistrate.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

TEXAS NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

7 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 9

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
12,895	7,127	1,648	4,104	16	
100 %	55.3 %	12.8 %	31.8 %	0.1 %	19.39
179	122	68.2	57	31.8	665
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 502,321	755	39
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 159,738		
492	74.0	173
TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIMINAL
JURY TRIAL DAYS		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
13	7	6	5	8
119	2,391	727	20.1	6.11
Sessions Convened	Jurors In Session	Hours In Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 117,552	988	49

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	141	39.7	20.0	67.1	18.53	10	94	612	6.51
1979	120	43.3	24.4	61.2	19.96	10	91	610	6.70
1980	172	33.1	22.0	66.3	17.97	12	95	662	6.97
1981	182	29.1	30.9	55.8	19.70	12	127	856	6.74
1982	179	31.8	31.8	55.3	19.39	13	119	727	6.11

COMMENT: The Northern District of Texas holds one orientation and impanelment day a month which is detrimental to its juror statistics. For example, one month there were 137 jurors called for orientation and impanelment, but only 12 were actually selected or serving. In addition, this district's local rule provides for 6 or 12 member civil juries at the discretion of the presiding judge. Most judges decide in favor of a 12 member jury. These two factors are primarily responsible for this district's high percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

TEXAS EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
6,460	4,011	1,327	1,120	2	
100 %	62.1 %	20.5 %	17.3 %	- %	17.51
146	130	89.0	16	11.0	369
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 298,203	808	46
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 51,589		
314	85.1	55
TOTAL	CIVIL	CRIMINAL
JURY TRIAL DAYS		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	2	2	2	2
31	608	154	19.6	4.97
Sessions Convened	Jurors In Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 40,960	1,321	67

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	88	18.2	26.3	58.5	20.52	2	28	171	6.11
1979	89	15.7	22.5	60.3	19.21	4	38	211	5.55
1980	109	20.2	16.8	67.2	18.28	3	40	206	5.15
1981	139	12.9	20.5	60.1	20.18	3	30	184	6.13
1982	146	11.0	17.3	62.1	17.51	4	31	154	4.97

COMMENT: Despite several last minute settlements and some 12 member civil juries, this district was able to improve its juror statistics. The percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged improved by more than three points. This was primarily due to the extensive use of multiple voir dire. As many as 16 juries a day were selected for service later in the month.

The average number of hours per grand jury session dropped from 6.13 in 1981 to 4.97 in 1982.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

TEXAS SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 13

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
13,387	8,255	2,076	3,055	1	
100 %	61.7 %	15.5 %	22.8 %	- %	18.41

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 673,125	926	50
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 153,473		

198	96	48.5	102	51.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

727	426	58.6	301	41.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
16	9	7	7	9

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 170,657	948	48

180	3,579	850	19.9	4.72
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	161	65.2	26.9	58.4	23.89	16	180	993	5.52
1979	149	77.2	24.2	62.8	22.82	16	173	816	4.72
1980	175	68.0	25.9	60.6	21.63	14	185	980	5.30
1981	204	55.4	19.3	65.8	18.93	16	159	698	4.39
1982	198	51.5	22.8	61.7	18.41	16	180	850	4.72

COMMENT: The Southern District of Texas used multiple voir dire, staggered trial starts, and scheduled back up trials. Despite these effective juror management techniques, the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged rose more than three points. This is primarily due to this district's practice of holding juror orientation programs on days where few jurors are needed for trial. This inflated the number of unused jurors and has a negative impact on juror statistics.

Sixteen grand juries were in existence during 1982, the same as last year, however, there were 13.2 percent more grand jury sessions convened.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

TEXAS WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

7 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 6

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
8,853	5,255	1,431	2,147	20	
100 %	59.4 %	16.2 %	24.3 %	0.2 %	20.54

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 362,482	841	41
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 88,083		

123	62	50.4	61	49.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

431	223	51.7	208	48.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
24	16	8	10	4

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 134,300	966	46

139	2,896	658	20.8	4.73
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	118	83.1	24.1	57.9	26.14	19	102	393	3.85
1979	127	81.1	26.7	56.2	26.77	18	142	543	3.82
1980	96	78.1	24.6	59.5	27.86	20	135	512	3.79
1981	155	46.5	21.6	62.0	20.48	24	141	684	4.85
1982	123	49.6	24.3	59.4	20.54	24	139	658	4.73

COMMENT: The number of jury trials has fluctuated greatly from year to year. The 123 cases tried by jury during 1982 represents a 20.6 percent decline from 1981. Conversely, the 123 jury trials was 28.1 percent greater than the 96 jury trials completed in 1980. Several trials were conducted before a magistrate.

Texas, Western's juror statistics are above the national average. This district is hampered, however, by its large non-English speaking population and by the fact it has six judgeships spread among seven places of holding court.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

CANAL ZONE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
-	-	-	-	-	-
100 %	- %	- %	- %	- %	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ -	-	-
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ -		

-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
-	-	-	-	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ -	-	-

-	-	-	-	-
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE				Average Number of Hours per Session
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session		
1978	21	95.2	25.9	47.9	30.06	N/A	-	-	-	-
1979	25	100.0	32.4	38.2	34.74	N/A	-	-	-	-
1980	9	88.9	15.6	51.0	25.39	N/A	-	-	-	-
1981	2	50.0	66.9	25.2	30.20	N/A	-	-	-	-
1982	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	-	-	-	-

COMMENT: The Panama Canal Act of 1979 (Public Law 96-70) abolished the U.S. District Court for the Canal Zone effective March 31, 1982.



Kentucky:
Eastern
Western
Michigan:
Eastern
Western
Ohio:
Northern
Southern
Tennessee:
Eastern
Middle
Western

Districts of the

Sixth Circuit

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

KENTUCKY EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 5½

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
5,776	3,187	1,090	1,457	42	
100 %	55.2 %	18.9 %	25.2 %	0.7 %	22.05

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 265,555	1,014	46
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 66,920		

71	26	36.6	45	63.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

262	80	30.5	182	69.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
10	6	4	5	5

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 84,977	988	50

86	1,704	439	19.8	5.10
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	65	86.2	28.3	61.8	23.72	4	30	148	4.93
1979	56	87.5	20.7	68.2	21.43	7	54	316	5.85
1980	51	92.2	18.0	70.1	21.17	10	87	489	5.62
1981	62	77.4	25.1	60.9	23.30	9	107	550	5.14
1982	71	63.4	25.2	55.2	22.05	10	86	439	5.10

COMMENT: The percentage of jury trials which involved criminal matters fell to 63.4 percent, the lowest percentage for this district since the present petit juror reporting system was introduced in 1971. Total jury trials rose to 71 or 14.5 percent more than the 62 cases tried before a jury in 1981. This district calls in large groups of jurors only to be qualified and given an orientation. In addition, there were many jury panels not used because of last minute pleas, settlements, and cancellations. These factors have a negative impact on juror statistics and, as a result, the proportion of jurors selected or serving declined 9.4 percent.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

KENTUCKY WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 3½

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,458	1,515	805	1,138	-	
100 %	43.8 %	23.3 %	32.9 %	- %	22.17

66	35	53.0	31	47.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

156	94	60.3	62	39.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 146,435	939	42
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 48,177		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	2	-	-	2

77	1,587	418	20.6	5.43
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 61,359	797	39

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	64	78.1	31.9	51.9	23.52	5	72	435	6.04
1979	70	42.9	32.0	50.0	20.94	3	52	271	5.21
1980	64	48.4	37.1	43.2	23.30	3	47	257	5.47
1981	54	37.0	32.4	51.6	18.82	3	77	434	5.64
1982	66	47.0	32.9	43.8	22.17	2	77	418	5.43

COMMENT: This district reported several practices which adversely affect juror statistics. Separate orientation days are held where few, if any, jurors are selected. Deadlines are not imposed for notifying the court of settlements or changes of plea, resulting in numerous occasions where jurors are not used. Twelve member civil juries were used on several occasions and one notorious case requiring an exceptionally large juror panel was reported. These factors help explain why the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged is well above the national average.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MICHIGAN EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 13

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
25,798	15,717	3,309	6,772	-	
100 %	60.9 %	12.8 %	26.3 %	- %	16.28

240	165	68.8	75	31.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,585	1,061	66.9	524	33.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 1,148,179	724	45
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 301,971		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
17	9	8	8	9

290	5,911	1,624	20.4	5.60
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 278,776	961	47

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	199	54.8	20.4	68.2	16.99	18	315	1,903	6.04
1979	207	49.3	26.2	62.3	17.57	19	306	1,812	5.92
1980	187	36.4	23.1	66.4	16.10	17	247	1,262	5.11
1981	204	37.7	30.4	57.8	17.13	15	285	1,571	5.86
1982	240	31.3	26.3	60.9	16.28	17	290	1,624	5.60

COMMENT: The Eastern District of Michigan reported several notorious cases which required exceptionally large panel sizes. A total of 228 persons were called in for one jury selection which lasted four days. In another case, 110 persons were called in only to complete a special juror questionnaire. The actual juror selection process began one week later. This district also reported numerous last minute pleas and settlements. Despite these adverse conditions, this district was able to reduce its percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged through the use of multiple voir dire, back up trials, and stand-by jurors.

Jury trial activity rose sharply in 1982. The number of jury trials increased 17.6 percent and the number of jury trial days increased 31.3 percent.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MICHIGAN WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,910	2,470	532	838	70	
100 %	63.2 %	13.6 %	21.4 %	1.8%	15.89

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 216,088	878	55
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 46,243		

60	44	73.3	16	26.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

246	185	75.2	61	24.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	2	1	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 76,778	1,301	66

59	1,168	344	19.8	5.83
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	29	69.0	14.2	73.3	21.00	2	22	138	6.27
1979	33	69.7	23.5	64.1	23.53	3	46	314	6.83
1980	33	57.6	15.7	73.0	19.30	4	37	223	6.03
1981	50	26.0	24.3	61.9	17.26	3	43	254	5.91
1982	60	26.7	21.4	63.2	15.89	3	59	344	5.83

COMMENT: This district uses juror pooling and multiple voir dire, often selecting juries for cases to start on a later date. The court also has established deadlines for settlements in civil cases. The reduction in the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged is due, in part, to these factors. There were 60 completed jury trials during 1982, 20.0 percent more than a year ago. One antitrust suit lasted 83 days.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

OHIO NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 10

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
7,712	5,236	553	1,915	8	
100 %	67.9 %	7.2 %	24.8 %	0.1 %	16.13

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 319,257	668	41
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 79,176		

66	46	69.7	20	30.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

478	327	68.4	151	31.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
10	6	4	4	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 184,972	1,128	56

164	3,327	915	20.3	5.58
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	94	42.6	29.5	59.9	17.74	15	134	707	5.28
1979	88	48.9	22.9	66.4	17.36	15	176	877	4.98
1980	94	31.9	35.3	54.2	20.40	16	218	1,227	5.63
1981	83	28.9	33.2	55.1	17.26	15	123	678	5.51
1982	66	30.3	24.8	67.9	16.13	10	164	915	5.58

COMMENT: Jury trial activity continued to slow during 1982, as only 66 jury trials were reported, down from 83 jury trials in 1981. Several jury trials were held before a magistrate. Three notorious cases were reported in this district. In one case involving organized crime, the jury selection process lasted 16 days primarily because the judge wanted to interview each juror individually. In addition, this district reported numerous last minute settlements and several 12 person civil juries. These factors tend to have a negative impact on juror statistics, however, this district showed improvement, because on the average, smaller panel sizes were called. The percentage of jurors selected or serving rose and, correspondingly, the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged declined. One civil jury trial, involving an antitrust suit, lasted 70 days.

Grand jury activity increased as the number of grand jury sessions rose 33.3 percent and the number of hours in session rose 35.0 percent.

CONTINUED

1 OF 2

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

OHIO SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 6

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
6,055	3,991	741	1,149	174		16.32	\$ 287,015	774
100 %	65.9 %	12.2 %	19.0 %	2.9%	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 54,533	

69	48	69.6	21	30.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

371	258	69.5	113	30.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
8	6	2	1	7	\$ 119,692	1,197	60
100	2,003	667	20.0	6.67	For National Profile Open Foldout At Back Cover		
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	57	54.4	17.5	70.4	17.19	9	91	590	6.48
1979	53	47.2	15.7	67.4	16.71	10	83	555	6.69
1980	56	53.6	22.7	64.0	18.38	8	118	784	6.64
1981	64	53.1	24.1	60.7	18.82	14	123	869	7.07
1982	69	30.4	19.0	65.9	16.32	8	100	667	6.67

COMMENT: Despite numerous last minute settlements, two notorious cases, a multi-defendant case, and several 12 member civil juries, this district reduced its percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged to 19.0, the lowest since 1979. This is due, in part, to the 38.2 percent decline in criminal jury trials. Several jury trials were conducted before a magistrate.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

TENNESSEE EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
3,682	2,305	456	921	-		14.05	\$ 164,174	627
100 %	62.6 %	12.4 %	25.0 %	- %	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 41,044			

82	62	75.6	20	24.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

262	212	80.9	50	19.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
4	2	2	1	3	\$ 24,848	753	39
33	638	231	19.3	7.00	For National Profile Open Foldout At Back Cover		
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	88	31.8	24.6	63.1	14.27	7	14	83	5.93
1979	71	28.2	23.8	61.4	15.34	4	14	85	6.07
1980	86	23.3	17.1	71.9	13.37	5	22	150	6.82
1981	68	22.1	17.1	71.9	12.74	4	16	110	6.88
1982	82	24.4	25.0	62.6	14.05	4	33	231	7.00

COMMENT: This district makes extensive use of their magistrates to conduct many jury trials. Overall, the number of jury trials rose 20.6 percent to 82. The average size panel called by this district is small indicating the reuse of jurors. The practice of calling small panels extended to the two reported notorious cases. In one case, a panel of only 28 prospective jurors was summoned.

Juror costs can be assessed to both parties when a civil case is settled at the last minute, which may explain the low number of last minute settlements in this district.

Grand jury activity rose significantly. The number of grand jury sessions convened, the number of jurors in session, and the number of hours in session more than doubled.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

TENNESSEE MIDDLE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,572	1,777	536	1,259	-	
100 %	49.7 %	15.0 %	35.2 %	- %	20.07

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 149,701	841	42
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 52,695		

60	42	70.0	18	30.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

178	125	70.2	53	29.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	3	1	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 41,735	994	50

42	831	225	19.8	5.36
Sessions Convened	Jurors In Session	Hours In Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours In Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	58	67.2	33.4	54.7	23.34	2	26	176	6.77
1979	59	50.8	27.1	58.5	20.77	3	53	358	6.75
1980	44	59.1	40.6	48.5	27.45	7	84	521	6.20
1981	60	25.0	37.9	50.1	20.85	5	96	552	5.75
1982	60	30.0	35.2	49.7	20.07	4	42	225	5.36

COMMENT: This district's large percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged is primarily due to its practice of holding separate juror orientation days. If only those jurors called for orientation were removed from the total available, the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged would decline to 21.6, slightly better than the national average.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

TENNESSEE WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
5,309	3,711	789	809	-	
100 %	70.0 %	14.9 %	15.2 %	- %	17.02

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 198,140	635	37
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 30,117		

71	23	32.4	48	67.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

312	113	36.2	199	63.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
6	3	3	3	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 69,170	804	40

86	1,745	511	20.3	5.94
Sessions Convened	Jurors In Session	Hours In Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours In Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	82	64.6	13.6	68.3	16.87	4	67	400	5.97
1979	87	59.8	16.7	65.7	17.30	6	93	569	6.12
1980	74	73.0	12.6	69.7	18.13	5	67	402	6.00
1981	76	65.8	16.4	67.7	17.59	5	66	369	5.59
1982	71	67.6	15.2	70.0	17.02	6	86	511	5.94



Illinois:
Northern
Central
Southern
Indiana:
Northern
Southern
Wisconsin:
Eastern
Western

Districts of the
Seventh Circuit

Preceding page blank

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ILLINOIS NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 16

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
19,229	11,681	2,520	5,028	-		\$ 756,826	671	39
100 %	60.7 %	13.1 %	26.1 %	- %	17.05	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 197,532		
227	124	54.6	103	45.4	1,128	582	51.6	546
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS			

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
23	12	11	11	12	\$ 389,650	845	42
461	9,371	2,429	20.3	5.27	For National Profile Open Foldout At Back Cover		
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	171	65.5	27.1	62.1	17.89	20	383	1,944	5.08
1979	213	53.3	30.4	56.6	18.37	22	431	2,200	5.10
1980	197	48.2	26.9	60.6	18.05	27	427	2,080	4.87
1981	188	50.5	29.7	58.3	18.15	24	433	2,248	5.19
1982	227	45.4	26.1	60.7	17.05	23	461	2,429	5.27

COMMENT: The Northern District of Illinois had the sixth highest number of jury trial days in the country in 1982. With the vast majority of its jury activity concentrated in the Chicago court, the district had ample opportunity for the reuse of jurors. This is a regular practice; one day, the court reported the reuse of 35 jurors.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ILLINOIS CENTRAL

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 3

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,376	2,151	623	602	-	18.15
100 %	63.7 %	18.5 %	17.8 %	- %	

59	30	50.8	29	49.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	2	2	2	2

62	1,263	343	20.4	5.53
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 145,851	784	43
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	\$ 25,961	

186	70	37.6	116	62.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIAL DAYS

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 84,125	1,357	67

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1979	43	58.1	25.6	53.8	21.56	5	37	223	6.03
1980	53	39.6	16.0	66.4	16.82	4	52	311	5.98
1981	60	51.7	28.7	52.5	20.32	3	59	341	5.78
1982	59	49.2	17.8	63.7	18.15	4	62	343	5.53

COMMENT: The Central District of Illinois experienced a few last minute settlements and changes of plea in 1982. The court used the multiple voir dire procedure and cut its percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged almost in half over last year.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ILLINOIS SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 2

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,856	1,489	635	715	17	17.74
100 %	52.1 %	22.2 %	25.0 %	0.6 %	

44	29	65.9	15	34.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

53	1,048	352	19.8	6.64
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 176,099	1,094	62
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	\$ 44,025	

161	127	78.9	34	21.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIAL DAYS

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 75,562	1,426	72

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1979	26	61.5	22.0	56.4	20.67	3	37	217	5.86
1980	37	21.6	17.8	64.3	17.29	1	53	348	6.57
1981	55	45.5	18.0	60.5	20.42	2	44	230	5.23
1982	44	34.1	25.0	52.1	17.74	2	53	352	6.64

COMMENT: The Southern District of Illinois reported a large number of last minute settlements and changes of plea (averaging more than two a month) which resulted in jurors not being used. The court also reported many occasions when magistrates conducted the voir dire for the district judge. This year, the Juror Usage Index for this district improved substantially over its level one year before.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

INDIANA NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,772	1,762	698	1,312	-	
100 %	46.7 %	18.5 %	34.8 %	- %	22.86

52	22	42.3	30	57.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

165	89	53.9	76	46.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 143,120	867	38
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 49,806		

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

41	859	238	21.0	5.80
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 70,187	1,712	82

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	57	50.9	27.6	53.1	19.93	6	51	287	5.63
1979	54	64.8	23.9	63.5	19.31	4	43	218	5.07
1980	54	44.4	28.6	56.8	17.95	4	43	241	5.60
1981	42	69.0	29.0	55.9	19.72	4	45	267	5.93
1982	52	57.7	34.8	46.7	22.86	2	41	238	5.80

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

INDIANA SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,786	2,433	592	761	-	
100 %	64.3 %	15.6 %	20.1 %	- %	19.52

40	18	45.0	22	55.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

194	52	26.8	142	73.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 191,403	987	51
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 38,472		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
5	2	3	3	2

78	1,582	542	20.3	6.95
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 122,264	1,567	77

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	42	26.2	24.4	60.6	13.20	4	50	303	6.06
1979	49	32.7	23.5	61.2	15.53	4	66	478	7.24
1980	50	38.0	23.7	62.4	17.21	4	96	696	7.25
1981	48	25.0	29.3	57.5	16.89	5	83	632	7.61
1982	40	55.0	20.1	64.3	19.52	5	78	542	6.95

COMMENT: The Southern District of Indiana reported a notorious case in which one defendant was tried for maliciously damaging property with explosives. There were 34 different counts on 9 charges brought against the defendant. The voir dire lasted 5 days and 49 prospective jurors were challenged. The jury trial lasted 58 days.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

WISCONSIN EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
4,666	2,821	886	959	-	
100 %	60.5 %	19.0 %	20.6 %	- %	17.88

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 219,353	840	47
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 45,187		

49	21	42.9	28	57.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

261	128	49.0	133	51.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	3	1	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 87,620	1,685	81

52	1,084	327	20.8	6.29
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	32	37.5	25.7	63.3	15.52	4	73	411	5.63
1979	46	43.5	13.9	70.6	14.63	3	64	346	5.41
1980	40	45.0	14.4	69.2	14.07	3	58	364	6.28
1981	49	34.7	15.8	68.7	12.98	3	66	436	6.61
1982	49	57.1	20.6	60.5	17.88	4	52	327	6.29

COMMENT: In the year ended June 30, 1982, the Eastern District of Wisconsin experienced a notorious civil rights case which required eight days to select a jury. The jury trial lasted 42 days, accounting for approximately one-third of the total number of civil jury trial days.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

WISCONSIN WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
1,286	960	253	59	14	
100 %	74.7 %	19.7 %	4.6 %	1.1 %	15.13

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 51,111	601	40
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 2,351		

19	9	47.4	10	52.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

85	43	50.6	42	49.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1


ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 44,795	3,446	163

13	275	80	21.2	6.15
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	24	50.0	5.0	78.2	16.17	2	32	226	7.06
1979	28	75.0	8.4	71.4	17.89	2	27	162	6.00
1980	36	58.3	4.7	76.2	15.68	2	27	166	6.15
1981	36	41.7	10.3	70.8	16.78	2	26	142	5.46
1982	19	52.6	4.6	74.7	15.13	2	13	80	6.15

COMMENT: At 4.6 percent, the Western District of Wisconsin has the third lowest percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged in the nation. The court uses multiple voir dire, frequently calls in small panels, and has the advantage of only one place of holding court with jury activity.



JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ARKANSAS EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS						ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	JUROR USAGE INDEX	TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
4,018	2,247	900	852	19	19.99	\$ 176,449	878	44
100 %	55.9 %	22.4 %	21.2 %	0.5 %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 37,407		

84	49	58.3	35	41.7	201	130	64.7	71	35.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
3	2	1	1	2	\$ 41,865	1,820	85

23	491	139	21.3	6.04	For National Profile Open Foldout At Back Cover		
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	77	79.2	26.9	51.3	23.30	3	21	144	6.86
1979	74	47.3	19.9	59.0	21.48	1	19	131	6.89
1980	87	37.9	19.9	57.7	20.22	2	16	118	7.38
1981	84	48.8	16.5	60.0	19.05	3	18	119	6.61
1982	84	41.7	21.2	55.9	19.99	3	23	139	6.04

COMMENT: A combination of factors adversely affected this district's overall juror usage statistics. Notorious trials, multiple defendant cases, and instances when a trial cancellation, change of plea, or last minute settlement resulted in jurors not selected, serving, or challenged prevented Arkansas, Eastern from improving its juror usage figures compared to 1981.

Preceding page blank

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ARKANSAS WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,529	2,204	698	625	2	
100 %	62.5 %	19.8 %	17.7 %	0.1 %	20.28

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 159,891	919	45
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 28,301		

60	44	73.3	16	26.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

174	116	66.7	58	33.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
1	1	-	1	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 34,896	2,326	122

15	285	86	19.0	5.73
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	42	16.7	10.3	64.4	23.08	2	11	59	5.36
1979	44	36.4	7.5	62.6	22.86	1	10	48	4.80
1980	52	17.3	11.1	66.9	21.47	2	12	68	5.67
1981	51	31.4	13.8	61.6	20.83	2	15	82	5.47
1982	60	26.7	17.7	62.5	20.28	1	15	86	5.73

COMMENT: Despite several last minute settlements which caused entire panels to report to court unnecessarily, only 17.7 percent of this district's jurors were not selected, serving, or challenged. The predominately civil calendar and small amount of jury trial activity positively affected this district's overall juror statistics.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

IOWA NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 1½

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
1,628	933	187	355	153	
100 %	57.3 %	11.5 %	21.8 %	9.4 %	17.14

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 109,174	1,149	67
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 23,800		

20	11	55.0	9	45.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

95	66	69.5	29	30.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
5	3	2	3	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 26,111	1,306	63

20	417	90	20.9	4.50
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	17	25.3	34.8	45.5	21.70	2	16	95	5.94
1979	14	35.7	21.0	61.9	14.08	4	26	161	6.19
1980	15	33.3	13.8	64.0	14.15	2	25	135	5.40
1981	12	41.7	18.4	58.0	16.13	3	32	168	5.25
1982	20	45.0	21.8	57.3	17.14	5	20	90	4.50

COMMENT: Petit jury activity increased markedly during the year ended June 30, 1982. Jury trials doubled causing almost twice as many potential jurors to be called to court to serve on Federal juries. Additionally, a greater proportion of the jury trials was criminal which tends to cause juror usage figures to worsen. These factors, however, did not affect 1982 statistics significantly. In fact, a smaller proportion of jurors was challenged by court or counsel during 1982 and the proportion of not selected jurors increased only slightly.

Frequently, potential jurors in this district must travel long distances to attend court. In one month in 1982, as many as 35 jurors were reported as travelling to court the day prior to service or travelling home the day following jury service.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

IOWA SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2½

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,502	1,706	386	386	24	
100 %	68.2 %	15.4 %	15.4 %	1.0 %	13.52
30	20	66.7	10	33.3	
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	
JURY TRIALS					

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 126,286	683	50
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 19,448		

185	156	84.3	29	15.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	1	2	1	2
29	559	151	19.3	5.21
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 30,484	1,051	55

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	30	66.7	13.1	68.0	17.15	2	18	112	6.22
1979	35	82.9	21.6	61.4	20.40	2	20	128	6.40
1980	28	46.4	20.1	63.1	15.66	1	25	133	5.32
1981	40	57.5	14.9	66.8	16.42	2	22	121	5.50
1982	30	33.3	15.4	68.2	13.52	3	29	151	5.21

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MINNESOTA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 6

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
8,271	4,921	1,094	2,138	118	
100 %	59.5 %	13.2 %	25.8 %	1.4 %	16.88
84	47	56.0	37	44.0	
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	
JURY TRIALS					

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 391,036	798	47
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 100,887		

490	318	64.9	172	35.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	3	1	2	2
63	1,245	384	19.8	6.10
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 88,989	1,413	71

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	79	54.4	22.8	60.7	17.77	3	54	310	5.74
1979	65	53.8	24.5	59.9	18.67	4	64	343	5.36
1980	76	38.2	24.2	61.1	17.17	5	67	428	6.39
1981	98	54.1	15.2	71.4	14.78	6	86	583	6.78
1982	84	44.0	25.8	59.5	16.88	4	63	384	6.10

COMMENT: A combination of factors adversely affected juror usage this year. In one month alone, out of a total of 110 jurors who reported to court, 95 were reported as not selected, serving, or challenged due to last minute settlements. The use of separate orientation days is a practice that is also detrimental to efficient juror usage. On some days, as many as 119 prospective jurors were called to court solely to participate in an orientation session. In addition, changes of plea, 12 member civil juries, and trial postponements resulted in only 59.5 percent of the total available jurors reported as selected or serving on a jury trial, compared to 71.4 percent in 1981. One antitrust jury trial lasted 81 days.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MISSOURI EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
8,770	5,205	1,954	1,598	13	15.49
100 %	59.4 %	22.3 %	18.2 %	0.1 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 351,239	621	40
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 63,925		

161	89	55.3	72	44.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

566	353	62.4	213	37.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 67,878	838	43

81	1,587	553	19.6	6.83
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back CoverG
R
A
N
D

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	100	42.0	22.0	57.4	16.89	4	73	420	5.75
1979	109	31.2	21.3	57.3	15.03	5	67	375	5.60
1980	137	24.1	21.5	58.8	13.75	3	76	416	5.47
1981	114	26.3	26.6	55.3	15.27	3	58	383	6.60
1982	161	44.7	18.2	59.4	15.49	3	81	553	6.83

COMMENT: Missouri, Eastern reported a larger percentage (59.4 percent) of the total available jurors in 1982 as selected or actually serving on a trial than in prior years. Occurrences such as last minute settlements, sessions for orientation only, and the effects of bad weather during the winter months hindered even more significant improvement in this district's jury statistics. The sharp increase in the percentage of criminal jury trials compared to last year also counteracted the district's efforts to maximize use of jurors' time.

Grand jury activity surged in 1982 as a total of 1,587 grand jurors met 81 times for a total of 553 hours.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MISSOURI WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 6

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
4,845	2,739	1,025	1,079	2	15.83
100 %	56.5 %	21.2 %	22.3 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 207,934	680	43
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 46,369		

80	60	75.0	20	25.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

306	226	73.9	80	26.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	3	-	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 82,524	1,618	80

51	1,028	340	20.2	6.67
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back CoverG
R
A
N
D

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	57	66.7	24.7	48.4	22.85	4	63	427	6.78
1979	44	47.7	17.7	56.6	16.96	6	55	429	6.60
1980	71	56.3	16.2	68.4	18.31	4	43	317	7.37
1981	48	52.1	15.4	63.1	17.07	7	53	344	6.49
1982	80	25.0	22.3	56.5	15.83	3	51	340	6.67

COMMENT: The number of civil jury trials increased from 23 in 1981 to 60 in 1982 and the number of criminal jury trials decreased slightly from 25 to 20. As a result, the total number of jury trials almost doubled over last year. Though this district experienced a predominately civil calendar which generally makes for efficient juror usage statistics, a disproportionate percentage of jurors were reported as not selected, serving, or challenged because of last minute changes of pleas in criminal cases and settlements in civil cases.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NEBRASKA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
5,641	2,566	604	2,195	276	20.36
100 %	45.5 %	10.7 %	38.9 %	4.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 295,469	1,067	52
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 114,937		

64	56	87.5	8	12.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

277	204	73.6	73	26.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 86,715	2,223	103

39	842	231	21.6	5.92
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	50	24.0	26.7	56.6	15.34	1	13	89	6.85
1979	48	35.4	30.6	49.7	18.43	3	21	141	6.71
1980	41	12.2	28.3	50.9	16.27	3	31	194	6.26
1981	45	20.0	19.9	56.9	14.39	2	28	174	6.21
1982	64	12.5	38.9	45.5	20.36	3	39	231	5.92

COMMENT: Nebraska uses the multiple voir dire selection method and also tries to reuse jurors on more than one case to maximize juror usage. This district's efforts last year, however, were neutralized by a series of last minute settlements and a highly publicized case.

During September, a jury was selected for a notorious trial which lasted approximately two months. The voir dire alone lasted 14 days. Such cases can offset most of the improvements in juror usage made throughout the year and have a detrimental impact on juror statistics. Nebraska consequently reported 38.9 percent of all available jurors as not selected, serving, or challenged in 1982.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NORTH DAKOTA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,443	1,588	466	232	157	17.70
100 %	65.0 %	19.1 %	9.5 %	6.4 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 141,990	1,029	58
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 13,489		

28	7	25.0	21	75.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

138	50	36.2	88	63.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 37,365	2,669	119

14	313	91	22.4	6.50
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	31	64.5	24.8	56.8	18.14	2	12	65	5.42
1979	27	74.1	23.4	55.3	19.03	3	15	89	5.93
1980	32	65.6	13.6	55.6	19.96	1	9	46	5.11
1981	40	82.5	15.3	58.5	20.02	1	11	65	5.91
1982	28	75.0	9.5	65.0	17.70	2	14	91	6.50

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

SOUTH DAKOTA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,776	2,071	894	633	178	
100 %	54.8 %	23.7 %	16.8 %	4.7%	19.77

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 224,997	1,178	60
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 37,799		

57	25	42.4	34	57.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

191	99	51.8	92	48.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D


NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	2	2	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 58,928	2,946	150

20	394	135	19.7	6.75
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	43	62.8	28.5	50.3	22.39	2	21	93	4.43
1979	29	65.5	25.9	56.9	20.18	2	17	96	5.65
1980	56	51.8	22.5	54.4	19.97	4	21	132	6.29
1981	53	69.8	20.9	53.1	22.32	2	26	141	5.42
1982	59	57.6	16.8	54.8	19.77	4	20	135	6.75



JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ALASKA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
1,949	1,041	279	497	132	
100 %	53.4 %	14.3 %	25.5 %	6.8 %	20.96

14	2	14.3	12	85.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

ESTIMATED COSTS				
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day		
\$ 109,744	1,180	56		
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 27,985		
93	28	30.1	65	69.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	2	-	-	2

24	474	192	19.8	8.00
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 54,294	2,262	115

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	14	78.6	32.3	52.5	23.58	2	21	131	6.24
1979	14	85.7	52.5	38.0	31.98	1	14	106	7.57
1980	20	90.0	20.1	63.1	20.05	3	25	189	7.56
1981	10	90.0	38.7	43.9	29.70	3	28	224	8.00
1982	14	85.7	25.5	53.4	20.96	2	24	192	8.00

COMMENT: This district reports a large number of jurors in travel status each year due to adverse weather conditions and infrequent transportation service to certain areas of the state. The number of jury trials increased from 10 in 1981 to 14 in 1982, the majority of them involving criminal matters. A large proportion of criminal trials tends to negatively affect juror statistics because larger jury panels are required. Despite this, Alaska reduced its number of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged by more than 13 percentage points, primarily due to the extensive use of jury pooling.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ARIZONA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 8

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
6,657	4,044	1,496	1,063	54	19.75	\$ 277,135	822	42
100 %	60.7 %	22.5 %	16.0 %	0.8 %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 44,342		
86	14	16.3	72	83.7		337	65	19.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	CIVIL	%
JURY TRIALS						JURY TRIAL DAYS		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
8	4	4	5	3	\$ 113,542	1,124	58
101	1,956	535	19.4	5.30			
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	106	93.4	24.3	49.6	24.29	8	138	824	5.97
1979	84	94.0	19.8	59.5	21.40	5	128	671	5.24
1980	97	90.7	16.0	63.3	19.04	5	116	642	5.53
1981	116	87.1	17.0	62.3	19.72	7	140	699	4.99
1982	86	83.7	16.0	60.7	19.75	8	101	535	5.30

COMMENT: Arizona reported 25.9 percent fewer completed jury trials in 1982 than in the previous year. They were, however, predominately criminal jury trials and several involved highly publicized notorious cases. These cases adversely affect juror statistics because larger than average panels of jurors are needed due to expected large numbers of challenges for cause. Nevertheless, Arizona managed to keep its percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged well below the national average of 21.9. This indicates good cooperation and communication between the judges and court personnel.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 12

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
11,514	7,520	1,509	2,432	53	15.64	\$ 604,529	821	53
100 %	65.3 %	13.1 %	21.1 %	0.5 %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 127,556		
92	43	46.7	49	53.3		736	459	62.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	CIVIL	%
JURY TRIALS						JURY TRIAL DAYS		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
13	7	6	5	8	\$ 208,647	852	44
245	4,722	1,153	19.3	4.71			
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	133	55.4	17.3	69.3	15.76	10	131	734	5.60
1979	107	48.6	21.8	65.6	16.28	8	163	898	5.51
1980	107	47.7	21.6	65.1	17.24	12	167	951	5.69
1981	85	49.4	21.5	65.9	16.67	14	221	1,244	5.63
1982	92	53.3	21.1	65.3	15.64	13	245	1,153	4.71

COMMENT: The Northern District of California experienced one notorious case, numerous last minute occurrences which caused jury panels not to be used, and several 12 member civil juries. These factors usually have a negative impact on juror usage statistics, however, the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged has remained virtually constant since 1979.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

CALIFORNIA EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 6

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
5,099	3,082	718	1,084	215	19.76
100 %	60.4 %	14.1 %	21.3 %	4.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 296,781	1,150	58
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 63,214		

49	14	28.6	35	71.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

258	82	31.8	176	68.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
6	4	2	3	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 145,027	1,630	82

89	1,769	524	19.9	5.89
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	37	81.1	24.9	59.3	20.39	5	43	179	4.16
1979	41	78.0	25.2	59.4	18.26	5	71	346	4.87
1980	32	81.2	28.2	58.4	19.80	5	52	256	4.92
1981	41	70.7	20.3	65.4	19.04	5	102	626	6.14
1982	49	71.4	21.3	60.4	19.76	6	89	524	5.89

COMMENT: This district's trial calendar has been predominately criminal since at least 1971, the year the present petit juror reporting system was established. During 1982, the number of jury trials rose 19.5 percent to 49, with criminal trials accounting for 71.4 percent of the total.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

CALIFORNIA CENTRAL

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 17

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
24,401	16,212	2,605	5,060	524	17.48
100 %	66.4 %	10.7 %	20.7 %	2.1 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 1,270,429	910	52
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 262,979		

242	89	36.8	153	63.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,396	542	38.8	854	61.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
34	18	16	19	15

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 457,274	1,046	53

437	8,556	2,215	19.6	5.07
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	177	71.8	29.5	61.7	20.71	27	327	1,647	5.04
1979	193	67.4	32.8	57.5	19.82	28	376	2,015	5.36
1980	242	69.8	25.7	63.3	18.73	29	446	2,464	5.52
1981	272	64.7	23.8	64.4	18.23	37	494	2,423	4.90
1982	242	63.2	20.7	66.4	17.48	34	437	2,215	5.07

COMMENT: This district had a high percentage of criminal trials, several of which were notorious cases. Jury selection in one highly publicized antitrust suit lasted nine days. The trial lasted 60 days. These factors tend to adversely affect juror statistics, however, they were counterbalanced by efficient juror management. Practices such as multiple voir dire, jury pooling, and deadlines for settlements in civil cases have helped reduce the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged from 32.8 percent in 1979 to 20.7 percent in 1982.

The total number of jury trials declined 11.0 percent to 242. Several jury trials were conducted before a magistrate.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 7

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
8,590	5,121	1,535	1,934	-	20.80
100 %	59.6 %	17.9 %	22.5 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 356,621	863	42
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 80,240		

86	15	17.4	71	82.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

413	120	29.1	293	70.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIAL DAYS

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
11	7	4	5	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 182,422	780	39

234	4,656	1,366	19.9	5.84
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	102	94.1	18.4	65.6	19.72	13	154	676	4.39
1979	79	84.8	24.2	58.7	21.72	12	163	808	4.96
1980	53	83.0	31.2	49.5	24.63	10	198	1,088	5.49
1981	83	83.1	26.7	58.3	20.93	11	247	1,345	5.45
1982	86	82.6	22.5	59.6	20.80	11	234	1,366	5.84

COMMENT: Despite a predominately criminal trial calendar, the Southern District of California improved its percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged more than four points. Further improvement would probably be achieved if this district discontinued its costly practice of holding separate impanelment days. For example, on one occasion 233 jurors were called in for impanelment and only 14 (6.0 percent) were selected or serving on jury trials.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

HAWAII

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
1,052	760	223	69	-	12.23
100 %	72.2 %	21.2 %	6.6 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 52,974	616	50
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 3,496		

12	9	75.0	3	25.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

86	63	73.3	23	26.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIAL DAYS

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	2	2	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 28,100	826	44

34	633	176	18.6	5.18
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	11	36.4	1.3	67.5	12.73	4	47	212	4.51
1979	18	77.8	4.2	61.2	19.62	4	31	148	4.77
1980	21	76.2	9.3	59.3	19.74	4	36	192	5.33
1981	12	83.3	5.2	59.7	20.70	2	35	165	4.71
1982	12	25.0	6.6	72.2	12.23	4	34	176	5.18

COMMENT: The substantial increase in the percentage of jurors selected or serving was primarily due to the reduction of criminal trials from 10 (83.3 percent) in 1981 to 3 (25.0 percent) in 1982.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

IDAHO

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,524	1,578	406	315	225	
100 %	62.5 %	16.1 %	12.5 %	8.9 %	17.77

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 140,605	990	56
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 17,576		

30	13	43.3	17	56.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

142	77	54.2	65	45.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 37,346	2,075	102

18	366	112	20.3	6.22
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	22	54.5	34.7	49.5	20.39	3	16	108	6.75
1979	24	50.0	19.6	61.0	16.61	1	22	141	6.41
1980	20	50.0	19.7	60.8	18.18	1	28	169	6.04
1981	27	59.3	10.2	63.0	16.58	2	19	116	6.11
1982	30	56.7	12.5	62.5	17.77	2	18	112	6.22

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

MONTANA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,533	1,311	358	475	389	
100 %	51.8 %	14.1 %	18.8 %	15.4 %	18.76

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 159,640	1,183	63
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 30,012		

48	33	68.8	15	31.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

135	94	69.6	41	30.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 45,760	3,813	175

12	261	70	21.8	5.83
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	38	60.5	22.7	63.1	18.37	2	7	52	7.43
1979	28	32.1	30.9	55.9	18.87	2	7	45	6.43
1980	24	37.5	23.6	51.3	18.80	2	10	59	5.90
1981	39	35.9	16.6	56.3	17.70	2	11	68	6.18
1982	48	31.3	18.8	51.8	18.76	2	12	70	5.83

COMMENT: This district traditionally reports a large number of jurors in travel status due to inclement weather and the distance some jurors must travel to arrive at the courthouse. Jury trial activity rose substantially this year, as the 48 trials completed represented a 23.1 percent increase over the 39 cases tried before a jury in 1981.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NEVADA

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
4,437	2,964	449	990	34	
100 %	66.8 %	10.1 %	22.3 %	0.8 %	19.29

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 192,958	839	43
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 43,030		

33	13	39.4	20	60.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

230	64	27.8	166	72.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
8	6	2	3	5

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 88,401	707	37

125	2,415	524	19.3	4.19
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
	26	76.9	30.4	54.8	23.47	5	110	508	4.62
1978	26	76.9	30.4	54.8	23.47	5	110	508	4.62
1979	42	76.2	27.9	55.1	22.78	5	110	483	4.39
1980	40	55.0	24.3	55.7	19.22	6	139	603	4.34
1981	35	85.7	40.7	43.6	29.73	8	127	497	3.91
1982	33	60.6	22.3	66.8	19.29	8	125	524	4.19

COMMENT: Despite scheduling separate juror orientation days, Nevada substantially improved its juror statistics, cutting the percentage of jurors who were not selected, serving, or challenged almost in half. This improvement is due, in large part, to reductions in the percentage of jury trials involving criminal matters and in the number of last minute pleas and settlements.

The number of jury trials declined from 35 in 1981 to 33 in 1982, however, the number of jury trial days increased 48.1 percent indicating lengthier, more complex trials during 1982. Further research revealed that one criminal jury trial involving bank robbery charges lasted 101 days, accounting for over 43 percent of the total.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

OREGON

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
4,067	2,441	743	819	64	
100 %	60.0 %	18.3 %	20.1 %	1.6 %	13.93

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 199,771	684	49
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 40,154		

63	41	65.1	22	34.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

292	230	78.8	62	21.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
6	3	3	3	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 131,021	2,113	105

62	1,246	369	20.1	5.95
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
	61	63.9	19.2	64.0	16.15	5	72	409	5.68
1978	61	63.9	19.2	64.0	16.15	5	72	409	5.68
1979	49	67.3	24.1	56.2	17.21	3	63	406	6.44
1980	41	68.3	18.2	62.6	17.00	3	66	409	6.20
1981	70	50.0	16.3	63.6	16.60	6	68	405	5.96
1982	63	34.9	20.1	60.0	13.93	6	62	369	5.95

COMMENT: Jury trial activity declined 10.0 percent primarily due to the drop in the number of criminal cases tried before a jury. Despite fewer criminal cases, the percentage of unused jurors rose because of numerous last minute pleas and settlements. Two mistrials were declared, one occurring in a civil case after 13 days in trial.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

WASHINGTON EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
1,323	737	201	336	49	
100 %	55.7 %	15.2 %	25.4 %	3.7 %	15.56

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 67,616	795	51
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 17,174		

14	5	35.7	9	64.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

85	65	76.5	20	23.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIAL DAYS

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 38,791	2,282	108

17	358	95	21.1	5.59
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	27	74.1	18.0	56.4	21.54	1	12	61	5.08
1979	19	68.4	19.3	60.6	17.86	2	12	50	4.17
1980	23	60.9	18.1	63.7	17.18	2	12	49	4.08
1981	18	27.8	18.0	62.9	12.57	1	11	46	4.18
1982	14	64.3	25.4	55.7	15.56	2	17	95	5.59

COMMENT: Larger jury panels are needed for criminal jury trials due to expected challenges. The rise in the percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged in this district, therefore, is related to the substantial increase in the percentage of criminal jury trials in 1982. Several civil jury trials were held before a magistrate.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

WASHINGTON WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
7,308	4,876	960	1,129	343	
100 %	66.7 %	13.1 %	15.4 %	4.7 %	15.96

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 372,620	814	51
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 57,383		

66	22	33.3	44	66.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIALS

458	209	45.6	249	54.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%

JURY TRIAL DAYS

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	2	2	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 111,506	1,212	59

92	1,885	673	20.5	7.32
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session

USAGE STATISTICS

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	61	85.2	20.9	62.1	20.31	4	55	419	7.62
1979	72	87.5	25.6	57.0	21.79	4	60	478	7.97
1980	65	78.5	18.0	61.8	17.92	2	58	455	7.84
1981	62	71.0	23.8	58.5	19.58	4	75	568	7.57
1982	66	66.7	15.4	66.7	15.96	4	92	673	7.32

COMMENT: The Western District of Washington reported six notorious cases during 1982. These cases required extra large jury panels and had a definite negative impact on juror statistics. One case required a panel of 124 prospective jurors for a trial expected to last four months. Despite the presence of these highly publicized cases, this district significantly improved its juror statistics through the extensive use of juror pooling. The improvement indicates good communication between judges and court personnel.

Four jury trials lasted 20 or more days, while the total number of jury trial days rose more than 50 percent. One six person jury was impaneled in a criminal trial convened before a magistrate.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

GUAM

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 1

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
829	208	69	538	14	55.27
100 %	25.1 %	8.3 %	64.9 %	1.7 %	

4	-	-	4	100.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

15	-	-	15	100.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 26,748	1,783	32
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 17,359		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

21	395	136	18.8	6.48
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 13,678	651	35

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	3	33.3	30.7	66.5	14.35	1	7	24	3.43
1979	4	25.0	30.5	57.1	19.35	1	11	55	5.00
1980	2	50.0	54.7	24.9	37.86	2	11	72	6.55
1981	-	-	100.0	-	-	1	14	113	8.07
1982	4	100.0	64.9	25.1	55.27	2	21	136	6.48

COMMENT: Guam's panel sizes for jury selection are unusually large - once as large as 84 jurors. Large panels are needed because many prospective jurors have first-hand knowledge of the case and/or personally know the parties involved. Several last minute pleas and cancellations also contributed to the large percentage of unused jurors.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 1

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
522	263	123	136	-	19.33
100 %	50.4 %	23.6 %	26.1 %	- %	

4	1	25.0	3	75.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

27	4	14.8	23	85.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 16,631	616	32
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 4,341		

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
-	-	-	-	-


-	-	-	-	-
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ -	-	-

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	-	-	100.0	-	-	N/A	-	-	-
1979	4	100.0	63.4	18.2	46.10	-	-	-	-
1980	4	100.0	31.4	26.0	35.40	1	6	15	2.50
1981	6	100.0	51.7	21.1	32.42	1	2	6	3.00
1982	4	75.0	26.1	50.4	19.33	-	-	-	-

COMMENT: Two cases settled at the last minute, both resulting in more than 40 prospective jurors not being used. This was offset, however, by a local rule allowing criminal juries of less than 12 members. This year, the Northern Mariana Islands recorded its best juror statistics since the court was established in 1978.



LEGEND
State
County
City
Town
Village
Post Office
Indian Reservation
Indian Agency
Indian School
Indian Hospital
Indian Cemetery
Indian Church
Indian School
Indian Hospital
Indian Cemetery
Indian Church

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

COLORADO

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982**1** PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)JUDGESHIP **6**P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
4,741	3,281	844	520	96	13.24	\$ 230,894	645	49
100 %	69.2 %	17.8 %	11.0 %	2.0 %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 25,398		
79	45	57.0	34	43.0		358	250	69.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	CIVIL	%
JURY TRIALS						JURY TRIAL DAYS		
						108	30.2	

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	1	3	1	3
102	2,057	664	20.2	6.51
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 202,675	1,987	99

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	96	69.8	23.2	59.8	17.76	3	62	420	6.77
1979	130	74.6	20.8	60.7	17.26	4	50	370	7.40
1980	100	60.0	14.3	62.4	14.88	4	56	418	7.46
1981	102	53.9	11.9	68.5	13.64	3	69	468	6.78
1982	79	43.0	11.0	69.2	13.24	4	102	664	6.51

COMMENT: Over the past several years, the percentage of jurors in this district who were selected or serving on a trial has risen steadily. At the same time, the percentage of jurors who were not selected, serving, or challenged has declined to 11.0 percent. This steady improvement in juror usage can be attributed to a smaller proportion of criminal trials, efforts to pool jurors, a local rule which assesses jury costs against the parties and their counsel in last minute settlements, and effective jury management that stresses good communication between judges, administrators, and litigants.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

KANSAS

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
4,919	3,217	808	860	34	15.04
100 %	65.4 %	16.4 %	17.5 %	0.7 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 197,244	603	40
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 34,518		

81	48	59.3	33	40.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

327	209	63.9	118	36.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaired	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
6	4	2	2	4

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 64,642	1,469	74

44	874	245	19.9	5.57
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS				
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE		JUROR USAGE INDEX
	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving			
1978	18.6	66.3			17.48
1979	19.6	65.5			16.59
1980	17.0	68.5			15.90
1981	18.2	68.5			13.96
1982	17.5	65.4			15.04

COMMENT: A notorious case in February and some last minute settlements did not significantly affect the district's 1982 jury statistics. Kansas still managed to report that 65.4 percent of the 4,919 available jurors were either selected or serving on a trial.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NEW MEXICO

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
4,374	3,106	746	319	203	14.48
100 %	71.0 %	17.1 %	7.3 %	4.6 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 249,800	827	57
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 18,235		

79	47	59.5	32	40.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

302	206	68.2	96	31.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaired	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
3	2	1	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 72,966	2,702	132

27	552	139	20.4	5.15
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS				
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE		JUROR USAGE INDEX
	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving			
1978	13.4	70.3			16.55
1979	19.0	62.9			20.56
1980	8.7	68.9			15.46
1981	6.2	71.8			14.36
1982	7.3	71.0			14.48

COMMENT: This district uses a variety of techniques to achieve optimum juror usage. Judges in this district stagger starting times of jury trials so that jurors who are not selected for the first trial can be returned to the pool for possible selection in other trials. Daily meetings are held among the clerk of court, jury deputy, and courtroom deputies to allow for the up-to-date communication needed to continuously assess jury needs and to call in the minimum number of jurors and still satisfy the court's jury requirements. Also, New Mexico frequently uses a multiple jury selection technique and generally starts at least one trial on these days (which tends to have a positive effect on jury statistics). These techniques, plus a rule assessing jury costs in last minute settlements are responsible for this district's small percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged (7.3 percent), and large percentage of selected jurors (71.0 percent). This was achieved despite several last minute settlements and two notorious cases.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

OKLAHOMA NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2 2/3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,463	2,136	623	704	-	15.60
100 %	61.7 %	18.0 %	20.3 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 139,484	628	40
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 28,315		

49	33	67.3	16	32.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

222	146	65.8	76	34.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 23,015	767	37

30	626	240	20.9	8.00
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	21	42.9	11.5	72.9	14.35	2	17	120	7.06
1979	25	60.0	21.5	66.8	16.99	2	20	152	7.60
1980	52	25.0	15.9	68.7	12.68	2	20	160	8.00
1981	54	33.3	15.1	67.8	13.69	2	46	363	7.89
1982	49	32.7	20.3	61.7	15.60	2	30	240	8.00

COMMENT: The percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged was up 5.2 percentage points from 1981 due to three notorious cases and several changes of plea. Plea changes that the court cannot control and highly publicized cases offset this district's efforts to efficiently utilize jurors through multiple voir dire.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

OKLAHOMA EASTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 1 2/3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
1,147	952	154	2	39	12.07
100 %	83.0 %	13.4 %	0.2 %	3.4 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 62,234	655	54
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 124		

38	21	55.3	17	44.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

95	51	53.7	44	46.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 31,280	1,646	77

19	406	127	21.4	6.68
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	24	70.8	21.2	60.7	18.59	2	14	93	6.64
1979	42	71.4	9.7	69.6	16.01	2	18	117	6.50
1980	26	76.9	1.1	79.5	13.54	2	19	132	6.95
1981	39	30.8	5.9	72.0	12.44	2	15	96	6.40
1982	38	44.7	0.2	83.0	12.07	2	19	127	6.68

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

OKLAHOMA WESTERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2 2/3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
5,200	3,566	983	638	13	
100 %	68.6 %	18.9 %	12.3 %	0.3 %	11.58

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 194,380	433	37
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 23,909		

143	122	85.3	21	14.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

449	397	88.4	52	11.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	2	-	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 52,948	1,038	50

51	1,061	333	20.8	6.53
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	85	29.4	6.7	77.6	12.33	2	35	255	7.29
1979	79	27.8	8.1	76.3	11.70	2	44	326	7.41
1980	108	29.6	8.9	74.5	13.53	2	44	300	6.82
1981	118	27.1	5.8	76.6	11.79	2	62	458	7.39
1982	143	14.7	12.3	68.6	11.58	2	51	333	6.53

COMMENT: Though a smaller percentage of jurors were selected or serving on a trial during 1982 compared to previous years, this district's 68.6 percent of jurors selected or serving this year still compares favorably with the national average in this category (61.6 percent).

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

UTAH

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,157	2,291	628	219	19	
100 %	72.6 %	19.9 %	6.9 %	0.6 %	17.35

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 159,564	877	51
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 11,010		

48	24	50.0	24	50.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

182	118	64.8	64	35.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	2	-	-	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 35,442	985	51

36	694	200	19.3	5.56
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	47	87.2	30.9	56.7	22.70	4	26	129	4.96
1979	45	77.8	14.2	65.5	19.51	2	21	107	5.10
1980	38	60.5	7.9	73.1	17.40	3	29	154	5.31
1981	56	57.1	8.0	70.3	18.20	4	26	143	5.50
1982	48	50.0	6.9	72.6	17.35	2	36	200	5.56

COMMENT: The percentage of criminal jury trials has declined to 50.0 percent in 1982 from 87.2 percent in 1978. Since jury selections for civil trials are generally less complicated than for criminal jury trials, it is not surprising that the smaller percentage of criminal jury trials was accompanied by a smaller percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged (6.9 percent), and a larger percentage of jurors selected or serving (72.6 percent).

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

WYOMING

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)


JUDGESHIPS 1

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
1,713	1,227	268	217	1		\$ 58,241	388	34
100 %	71.6 %	15.6 %	12.7 %	0.1 %	11.42	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 7,397		
41	26	63.4	15	36.6	150	114	76.0	36
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS			

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
1	-	1	-	1	\$ 14,890	745	36
20	413	158	20.7	7.90	For National Profile Open Foldout At Back Cover		
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Hours per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							



YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE				
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Jurors in Session	Average Hours per Session	Average Hours per Juror	
1978	25	42.3	19.7	67.4	12.82	2	5	65	6.88	
1979	18	55.6	14.7	71.4	14.35	1	19	141	7.98	
1980	43	51.2	13.6	72.3	11.75	2	17	112	6.59	
1981	29	27.5	14.8	67.9	11.64	1	21	165	8.00	
1982	41	36.6	12.7	71.6	11.42	1	20	158	7.90	

COMMENT: Jury managers in this district keep to a minimum the number of jurors called to report to court for a jury selection. The small panels and relatively few last minute settlements and plea changes had a positive effect on Wyoming's 1982 jury statistics.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ALABAMA NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

8 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 7

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
6,298	3,741	1,237	987	333	16.66	\$ 386,578	1,023	61
100 %	59.4 %	19.6 %	15.7 %	5.3 %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 60,693		
143	105	73.4	38	26.6		378	250	66.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	CIVIL	%
JURY TRIALS						JURY TRIAL DAYS		
						128	33.9	

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
3	1	2	2	1	\$ 62,355	1,890	87
33	717	248	21.7	7.52			
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	120	35.0	23.6	56.9	17.10	4	36	271	7.53
1979	106	33.0	23.9	54.6	18.77	4	56	392	7.00
1980	106	38.7	22.6	53.3	21.07	4	42	302	7.19
1981	136	30.9	20.4	54.1	17.77	3	33	234	7.09
1982	143	26.6	15.7	59.4	16.66	3	33	248	7.52

COMMENT: One notorious criminal trial was reported in this district in 1982. The juries for two other trials were selected on the same day, resulting in no jurors left who were not selected, serving, or challenged on that day. Scheduling other jury selections to reuse jurors not selected for such notorious cases is the most efficient way to offset the negative impact these cases have on juror usage statistics.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ALABAMA MIDDLE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS						ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	JUROR USAGE INDEX	TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
2,349	1,765	344	179	61	18.07	\$ 102,583	789	44
100 %	75.1 %	14.6 %	7.6 %	2.6 %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 7,796		
63	38	60.3	25	39.7	130	76	58.5	54
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS			

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	2	2	1	3
37	775	255	20.9	6.89
Sessions Convened	Jurors In Session	Hours In Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 52,827	1,428	68

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours In Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	68	63.2	14.5	73.3	20.95	1	18	101	5.61
1979	53	66.0	14.5	73.0	20.52	2	21	119	5.67
1980	34	64.7	8.0	72.3	20.57	2	33	194	5.88
1981	60	38.3	5.7	76.9	18.13	3	27	178	6.59
1982	63	39.7	7.6	75.1	18.07	4	37	255	6.89

COMMENT: Effective use of the multiple voir dire method of selecting jurors resulted in a low percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged in 1982. Only one panel of jurors (17 jurors) was entirely unused during the year. This jury panel was unneeded after a last minute settlement, but the juror costs were taxed to the parties. In two other instances, the jury had been selected when the case settled and in both situations the parties were taxed with the juror costs. The combination of the assessment of costs, use of multiple voir dire, and generally small panel sizes account for the effective juror usage statistics for this district in 1982.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

ALABAMA SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS						ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	JUROR USAGE INDEX	TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
3,370	2,650	435	85	200	17.11	\$ 187,677	953	56
100 %	78.6 %	12.9 %	2.5 %	5.9 %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 4,692		
68	39	57.4	29	42.6	197	95	48.2	102
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%	TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL
JURY TRIALS					JURY TRIAL DAYS			

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
2	1	1	1	1
24	491	155	20.5	6.46
Sessions Convened	Jurors In Session	Hours In Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 25,198	1,050	51

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours In Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	56	42.9	4.4	81.0	15.28	3	14	81	5.79
1979	48	39.6	17.7	68.5	19.85	3	14	92	6.57
1980	54	37.0	4.3	76.2	19.16	2	17	92	5.41
1981	62	37.1	3.2	78.2	17.00	2	19	112	5.89
1982	68	42.6	2.5	78.6	17.11	2	24	155	6.46

COMMENT: This court makes extensive use of multiple voir dire jury selection, choosing as many as 11 juries in 1982 from small panels by reusing jurors. Only 2.5 percent of all jurors available were not selected, serving, or challenged and most of that was due to last minute pleas by five defendants on one day. The percentage of challenged jurors was also low at 12.9 percent.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FLORIDA NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,323	2,419	516	372	16	
100 %	72.8 %	15.5 %	11.2 %	0.5 %	17.96

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 137,670	744	41
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 15,419		

55	18	32.7	37	67.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

185	40	21.6	145	78.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	2	2	1	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 101,344	1,370	67

74	1,508	415	20.4	5.61
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	35	77.1	23.8	60.4	22.19	3	19	102	5.37
1979	32	65.6	20.7	61.7	20.30	4	15	89	5.93
1980	41	58.5	23.0	57.5	18.84	4	31	193	6.23
1981	48	68.8	17.8	65.9	18.19	3	56	378	6.75
1982	55	67.3	11.2	72.8	17.96	4	74	415	5.61

COMMENT: Frequent use of the multiple voir dire jury selection along with small panel sizes resulted in efficient juror usage statistics despite a majority of criminal trials and trial days. Criminal cases require more juror resources than civil cases and generally cause usage data to worsen when they account for more than half of the trials in a district. This district, however, recorded only 11.2 percent of total jurors as not selected, serving, or challenged.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FLORIDA MIDDLE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 9

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
12,015	7,725	1,844	2,439	7	
100 %	64.3 %	15.3 %	20.3 %	0.1 %	17.67

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 538,646	792	45
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 109,345		

151	60	39.7	91	60.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

680	295	43.4	385	56.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
12	8	4	4	8

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 280,376	1,168	60

240	4,661	1,485	19.4	6.19
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	130	79.2	21.3	64.7	19.22	11	164	974	5.94
1979	130	74.6	16.1	68.6	17.74	12	175	992	5.67
1980	126	55.6	17.9	68.2	16.70	10	177	1,112	6.28
1981	122	60.7	19.0	66.7	17.60	13	206	1,338	6.50
1982	151	60.3	20.3	64.3	17.67	12	240	1,485	6.19

COMMENT: Trials, which were expected to last a long time, and last minute cancellations of jury trials resulted in a high percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged in this district in 1982. Other factors which contributed to the 20.3 percent of all jurors not selected, serving, or challenged included several trials of notorious cases and some fugitive defendants whose trials had to be postponed. The long jury trials in 1982 involved contract disputes, product liability, and fraud.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FLORIDA SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 12

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
24,917	13,648	3,967	7,302	-	
100 %	54.8 %	15.9 %	29.3 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 1,193,367	1,071	48
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 349,657		

297	54	18.2	243	81.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,114	265	23.8	849	76.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
23	12	11	9	14

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 319,027	855	43

373	7,385	1,783	19.8	4.78
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	186	78.0	29.0	56.6	21.52	23	311	1,482	4.77
1979	149	83.2	32.0	52.6	24.85	25	275	1,517	4.31
1980	168	79.8	34.5	52.7	23.19	22	377	1,759	4.67
1981	281	81.1	32.2	53.2	23.35	20	339	1,342	4.03
1982	297	81.8	29.3	54.8	22.37	23	373	1,783	4.78

COMMENT: Many last minute dismissals and jury waivers eroded the benefits derived from pooling jurors for jury selection in this district. Last minute jury trial cancellations are particularly detrimental to juror usage statistics when the cancelled trial is for a criminal case. Larger numbers of jurors must be called for criminal cases in anticipation of a larger number of challenges than for a civil jury selection. The last minute cancellation of many scheduled criminal jury selections, as well as, an overwhelming majority of criminal trials in this district resulted in a large (29.3 percent) percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

GEORGIA NORTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 11

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
13,049	7,634	2,207	3,208	-	
100 %	58.5 %	16.9 %	24.6 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 539,204	723	41
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 132,644		

174	110	63.2	64	36.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

746	404	54.2	342	45.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
13	6	7	5	8

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 153,372	1,136	56

135	2,723	862	20.2	6.39
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	175	57.1	26.1	53.6	19.56	7	98	652	6.65
1979	148	41.9	24.2	57.2	17.77	8	158	956	6.05
1980	159	41.5	21.9	61.0	18.01	12	148	756	5.11
1981	227	22.0	24.1	57.6	15.00	10	103	608	5.90
1982	174	36.8	24.6	58.5	17.49	13	135	862	6.39

COMMENT: Like many large courts with considerable jury trial activity, this district experienced a sizable number of last minute pleas, settlements, and postponements of jury trials in 1982. Many highly publicized cases scheduled for trial by jury also resulted in a substantial percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged (24.6 percent).

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

GEORGIA MIDDLE

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

7 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
2,357	1,631	547	178	1	19.81
100 %	69.2 %	23.2 %	7.6 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 92,742	779	39
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 7,048		

48	25	52.1	23	47.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

119	53	44.5	66	55.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
4	3	1	1	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 79,713	1,661	78

48	1,025	278	21.4	5.79
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	52	48.1	14.6	60.6	21.67	4	45	309	6.87
1979	52	46.2	15.1	62.7	20.48	4	47	315	6.70
1980	49	42.9	13.5	66.2	20.19	4	35	223	6.37
1981	50	38.0	10.1	67.9	19.90	6	43	272	6.33
1982	48	47.9	7.6	69.2	19.81	4	48	278	5.79

COMMENT: The strike method of conducting the voir dire examination is used in this district and results in a disproportionate percentage of challenged jurors compared to the national average. In 1982, the district reported 23.2 percent of all jurors as challenged, well above the national average of 15.6 percent. Twelve member civil juries are still the rule in this district although the panel size for selecting a civil jury is generally smaller than the panel size for a criminal jury selection. Although there is some use of multiple voir dire in the district, more often than not only a single jury is selected from a panel, either due to last minute cancellations or the limited requests for trial by jury in the seven places of holding court with jury activity.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

GEORGIA SOUTHERN

YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status	
3,888	2,539	951	398	-	19.15
100 %	65.3 %	24.5 %	10.2 %	- %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 202,537	998	52
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 20,659		

77	50	64.9	27	35.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

203	112	55.2	91	44.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total in Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982
5	2	3	2	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 96,687	2,014	96

48	1,010	288	21.0	6.00
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	HISTORICAL COMPARISONS								
	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	86	46.5	10.5	71.9	20.60	5	19	81	4.26
1979	82	42.7	11.1	70.3	18.72	2	20	88	4.40
1980	63	30.2	7.4	69.7	18.47	2	16	83	5.19
1981	79	22.8	6.6	75.3	16.95	3	25	144	5.76
1982	77	35.1	10.2	65.3	19.15	5	48	288	6.00

COMMENT: The district uses civil juries of both 6 and 12 members, plus alternates. The combination of choosing several juries from the same panel before one judge (multiple voir dire) and using the strike method of conducting the voir dire examination resulted in a low percentage of jurors not selected, serving, or challenged in 1982, accompanied by a high percentage of challenged jurors. The longest jury trial reported in this district in 1982 involved a narcotics violation and lasted 21 days.

APPENDIX A
Glossary of Terms

Challenge for Cause

An objection by a party to the impaneling of a prospective juror, for which some disqualifying cause or reason is alleged.

Empanelment Day

The day when juries are chosen for trials before all judges of a court.

Grand Jury

The grand jury is made up of 16 to 23 persons. The grand jury hears evidence of criminal activity presented by the prosecution and determines whether the government's evidence is sufficient to justify the bringing of formal criminal charges. A regular grand jury can hold sessions for as long as 18 months, while a special grand jury can be extended for another 18 months.

Indictment

An accusation in writing presented by a grand jury to the court in which it is impaneled charging that the defendants named therein have committed a criminal offense punishable by law.

Jury Panel

A group of prospective jurors chosen from the larger jury pool for the voir dire examination in a case. Jurors not selected to serve in that case return to the jury pool.

Jury Pool

A large group of prospective jurors available for jury panels. The initial pool size is the number of jurors summoned and reporting at the beginning of a jury term.

Local Rules

Certain rules or orders of each district court for the purpose of regulating the practice in actions before them.

Multiple Voir Dire

The simultaneous examination and selection of two or more juries by one judge.

Notorious Case

Cases which receive extensive publicity prior to or during trial. Often in such cases the judge requires the jurors to be sequestered for the duration of the trial.

Petit Jury

Persons selected according to law, impaneled and sworn in a district court to determine questions of fact, in any civil or criminal action, through hearing the evidence presented at trial.

Peremptory Challenge

A challenge to a juror without cause; a limited number of peremptory challenges is allowed each side in any case.

Plea

In a criminal proceeding the defendant's declaration, in open court, that he is guilty or not guilty of the charges made against him in the indictment or information.

Preliminary Examination (or Preliminary Hearing)

A hearing to determine whether or not probable cause exists to believe that an offense has been committed and that the defendant committed it.

Pre-Trial Conference

An informal conference between opposing counsel, with the judge as moderator, to clarify and narrow issues or to agree to settlement.

Sequestered Jury

The trial judge may decide to remove jurors from all outside contact and isolate them from possible prejudicial trial publicity in order to remove the potential for prejudice to a party. Sequestration may take place at any point in the proceedings (often only during deliberation); although in notorious cases sequestration generally is from impanelment to verdict.

Settlement

In civil cases, an agreement of the parties to compromise a lawsuit, thus concluding it without the necessity of a trial.

Staggered Trial Starts

In a multiple judge court the starting time of voir dire for each judge is staggered to avoid simultaneous voir dires and limit the number of jurors who must be summoned. The jurors not selected to serve in the first case can then be used in a second or third voir dire on the same day.

Voir Dire

The examination made of prospective jurors in court to determine their qualifications to serve in a particular case, including questions of competence and bias. Its purposes are (1) to determine their qualifications to serve in a particular case, including questions of competence and bias, and (2) to elicit information about the jurors which is needed by the parties and their attorneys for the informal exercise of peremptory challenges.

**APPENDIX B
LIST OF MOST RECENT LOCAL RULES SETTING THE SIZE OF JURIES
ADOPTED BY U.S. DISTRICT COURTS**

District of Columbia (Rule 1-17)

"In all civil cases tried in this Court the jury shall consist of six (6) members, except in cases of eminent domain where the jury shall consist of five (5) members."

FIRST CIRCUIT**Maine (Rule 24)**

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Massachusetts (Rule 38)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members. This rule shall apply to all civil jury cases in which trial is commenced on and after November 1, 1971."

New Hampshire (Rule 31 (a))

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members."

Rhode Island (Rule 15 (a))

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members. The jury in a criminal case shall consist of twelve members, except as provided in Rule 23(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure." (Effective September 27, 1971)

Puerto Rico (Order 1/19/72)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

SECOND CIRCUIT**Connecticut (Rule 12 (a))**

"The jury shall consist of six members in the trial of all civil cases."

New York, Northern (Rule 45)

"In all Civil Jury Cases in this District Court, the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

New York, Eastern (Cal. Rule 6(b))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons."

New York, Southern (Civ. Rule 23)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons."

New York, Western (Rule 22A)

"Unless otherwise ordered, the jury in a civil case shall consist of six (6) persons. One or more alternate jurors, in the Court's discretion, shall be impanelled to sit with the regular jury. Challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

Vermont (Rule 6)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members. In protracted litigation one or more alternates shall be impanelled who shall serve in accordance with and be subject to the provisions of Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

THIRD CIRCUIT

Delaware (Rule 5.5C)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members except that the parties may stipulate that the jury in any such case shall consist of any number less than six."

New Jersey (Rule 20F)

"In all civil jury actions, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law, the jury shall consist of six members."

Pennsylvania, Eastern (Civ. Rule 34(b))

(1)"Except as provided in subparagraph (2) below, juries in civil cases shall consist, initially, of eight members. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six jurors remain in service. If the number of jurors falls below six, a mistrial shall be declared upon prompt application therefor by any party then on the record."

(2)"Whenever it appears likely that the trial will be unusually protracted, or whenever the Court in its discretion determines that the interests of justice so require, the jury may be enlarged to include as many as twelve (12) members, and any number of alternates may be used, as the court may determine; but not more than twelve (12) persons shall participate in the deliberations of the jury, nor may any verdict be rendered by a jury consisting of more than twelve (12) persons or fewer than six (6) persons."

Pennsylvania, Middle (Rule 511.1)

"Juries in civil cases shall consist, initially, of at least eight (8) members. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six (6) jurors remain in service. If the number of jurors falls below six (6), a mistrial shall be declared upon prompt application therefor by any party then on the record unless the parties stipulate that the number of jurors may fall below six (6)."

Pennsylvania, Western (Rule 21C)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members."

Virgin Islands (Rule 19)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members."

FOURTH CIRCUIT

Maryland (Rule 19)

"In civil cases in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors, as the Court may deem necessary. This rule shall apply to all cases tried on or after the date of this order, effective August 20, 1973."

North Carolina, Middle (Rule 7(a))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

South Carolina (Order 3/14/78)

"In all civil cases tried in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, the issues may be submitted to juries of six (6) or twelve (12) jurors, at the discretion of the Presiding Judge."

Virginia, Eastern (Rule 20B)

"Unless otherwise provided by law, the jury in any civil case shall consist of six. The number of peremptory challenges shall be as provided by law."

West Virginia, Northern (Rule 1.05(e))

"In civil actions in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors as the Court may determine necessary."

West Virginia, Southern (Rule 1.07(e))

"In civil actions in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors as the Court may determine necessary."

FIFTH CIRCUIT

Louisiana, Eastern (Rule 14.1(b))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members."

Louisiana, Middle (Rule 16A)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Louisiana, Western (Rule 15)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of not less than six (6) members."

Mississippi, Northern (Civ. Rule 4)

"The jury shall consist of six members, with three peremptory challenges allowed to each opposing party. In its discretion the Court may impanel two alternate jurors, with one peremptory challenge allowed each of the opposing parties."

Texas, Northern (Misc. Order No. 21 (6/1/80))

"It is ordered that effective June 1, 1980, in all civil jury cases in the Northern District of Texas, except as may otherwise be expressly required by law or controlling rule, at the discretion of the presiding Judge, the jury may consist of six members or twelve members. Peremptory challenges shall be allowed for jurors and alternate jurors as provided in Section 1870 of Title 28, United States Code and Rule 47(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

Texas, Eastern (Rule 9(a))

"In all civil actions, except as may be otherwise required by law, the jury shall consist of six members; however, it shall be optional with the Presiding Judge to require a twelve-member civil jury trial rather than a six member jury."

Texas, Southern (Rule 17)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six (6) persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Texas, Western (Rule 560-1)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

SIXTH CIRCUIT

Kentucky, Eastern (Order of 1/6/76)

"It is ordered, effective immediately, in all civil jury cases in this District, the jury shall consist of six (6) members plus such alternates as the Court may deem proper under the circumstances of the case."

Kentucky, Western (Rule 21(a))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Michigan, Eastern (Rule 25)

"In all cases, the jury shall consist of six persons, unless before a verdict is returned the parties stipulate in writing with the approval of the Court that a verdict may be returned by a jury of fewer or more than six persons."

Michigan, Western (Rule 6A)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Ohio, Northern (Civ. Rule 16)

"In all civil trials, juries shall consist of six members."

Ohio, Southern (Rule 5.1)

"Unless otherwise ordered, a jury for the trial of civil actions shall consist of six (6) persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Tennessee, Eastern (Rule 17A)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law, the jury shall consist of not less than six (6) members."

Tennessee, Middle (Rule 11(j))

"All civil juries shall be composed of six (6) persons, excluding alternates."

Tennessee, Western (Rule 18)

"Juries in civil cases shall consist, initially, of eight (8) members. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six (6) jurors remain in service."

SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Illinois, Northern (Civ. Rule 23)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

Illinois, Southern (Rule 19)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

Illinois, Central (Rule 19)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

Indiana, Northern (Rule 25)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six (6) members, unless otherwise provided by law, plus such alternate jurors, if any, as the trial judge shall designate."

Indiana, Southern (Rule 31)

"In all civil cases the jury shall consist of six (6) jurors."

Wisconsin, Eastern (Rule 8)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."

Wisconsin, Western (Order 8/28/73)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Arkansas, Eastern (Order 8/6/82)

"In any civil case, when demand for a jury has been made as provided in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 38, the court may, on its own initiative, call a six member jury to decide the issues in such cases."

Iowa, Northern (Rule 6B)

"To better serve the interests of judicial economy and to avoid the calling of alternates in all civil jury cases the parties shall be bound by the verdict of not less than six jurors."

Iowa, Southern (Rule 6B)

"To better serve the interests of judicial economy and to avoid the calling of alternates in all civil jury cases the parties shall be bound by the verdict of not less than six jurors."

Minnesota (Rule 5B)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of 6 members."

Missouri, Eastern (Rule 16B(1))

"A jury for each trial of a civil case shall consist of six persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Missouri, Western (Rule 20 R)

"Unless otherwise specially ordered by the Court in a designated civil action or consolidated actions, the juries shall consist of six members in all civil cases, including but not limited to complex cases."

Nebraska (Rule 26L)

"Unless otherwise ordered, in all civil cases the juries shall consist of six members."

North Dakota (Rule 8C(1))

"In all jury cases, including condemnation cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of 12 persons, or at the discretion of the Presiding Judge, it shall consist of 6 persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

South Dakota (Rule 14)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six persons."

NINTH CIRCUIT

Alaska (Rule 14A)

"In all civil cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Arizona (Rule 45)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

California, Northern (Rule 245-1)

"In all civil actions in which a party is entitled to a jury trial, the jury shall consist of six members and such alternates as the judge may determine."

California, Eastern (Rule 17(a))

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of a cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members."

California, Central (Rule 13.1)

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of the cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members."

California, Southern (Rule 245-1)

"In all civil actions in which a party is entitled to a jury trial, the jury shall consist of six members and such alternates as the judge may determine."

Hawaii (Rule 245-1)

"In all civil actions in which a party is entitled to a jury trial, the jury shall consist of six members and such alternates as the judge may determine."

Idaho (Rule 9-103)

"The jury in a civil case at law, or in a non-criminal case in which a right to trial by jury is otherwise granted by statute, shall consist of six jurors unless the parties stipulate to a lesser number."

Montana (Rule 13(d)(1))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Nevada (Rule 18)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six (6) persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Oregon (Rule 22(c))

"In all civil cases tried to a jury, the number of jurors shall be six. The parties shall be entitled to the challenges available under 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 47(b)."

Washington, Eastern (Rule 17(a))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six jurors."

Washington, Western (Civ. Rule 38(f))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six jurors plus such alternate jurors that may be impaneled."

Guam (Rule 28)

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of the cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members, unless otherwise ordered by the Court."

Northern Mariana Islands (Rule 9(c)(1))

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

TENTH CIRCUIT

Colorado (Rule 7(c))

"Except as is otherwise expressly provided by law, in all civil cases the jury shall consist of six members unless the parties stipulate to a lesser number."

Kansas (Rule 23)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of at least six members."

New Mexico (Rule 23)

"The jury shall consist of six members in all civil jury cases. The number of alternate members will be at the discretion of the Court."

Oklahoma, Northern (Rule 11(c))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

Oklahoma, Eastern (Rule 11(c))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

Oklahoma, Western (Rule 11(c))

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

Utah (Rule 11(b))

"In all civil cases, absent a stipulation of the parties to the contrary, the trial jury shall consist of twelve (12) members, and the agreement of all twelve (12) members thereof shall constitute the verdict of the jury."

Wyoming (Rule 11(c))

"Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, in all civil cases the jury shall consist of six members unless the parties stipulate to a lesser number."

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

Alabama, Northern (Rule 4)

"Except as otherwise directed by a Judge of the Court, the jury in all civil jury cases shall consist of six members. This rule does not preclude the impaneling of alternate jurors under Rule 47(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, nor does it preclude the parties, with the consent of a Judge of the Court, from entering additional stipulations with respect to such jury under Rule 48, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

Alabama, Middle (Order 7/12/71)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Alabama, Southern (Rule 11)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Florida, Northern (Rule 22)

"In all civil cases tried by jury, the jury shall consist of six persons plus such number of alternate jurors, if any, as may be directed by the Court to be called and impaneled to sit as alternate jurors."

Florida, Middle (Rule 5.01)

"In all civil cases tried by jury, the jury shall consist of six persons plus such number of alternate jurors, if any, as the Court may specify."

Florida, Southern (Rule 15A)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Georgia, Northern (Rule 301.2)

"All civil actions shall be tried to a jury of six members and challenges shall be in accordance with Title 28 U.S.C. 1870."

Georgia, Southern (Rule 9.2)

"All civil actions shall be tried to a jury of six members and challenges shall be in accordance with title 28 U.S.C. 1870, unless all parties join in a written demand that the case be tried to a jury of twelve members. Such demand shall be filed on or before the time of the pre-trial conference. All criminal cases shall be tried before a jury of twelve members unless waived, in accordance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Challenges shall be in accordance with Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure."

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

NATIONAL

**YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 1982**

[304] PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS [515]

**P
E
T
I
T**

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS					JUROR USAGE INDEX	ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	In Travel Status		TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
631,606	388,979	98,657	138,398	5,572	17.91	\$ 28,355,549	804	45
100 %	61.6 %	15.6 %	21.9 %	0.9 %		Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$,209,865		
8,247	4,679	56.7	3,568	43.3		35,263	19,676	55.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%		TOTAL	CIVIL	%
JURY TRIALS						JURY TRIAL DAYS		
						15,587	44.2	

**G
R
A
N
D**

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES					ESTIMATED COSTS		
Total In Existence	No. On July 1, 1981	No. Impaneled	No. Discharged	No. On July 1, 1982	TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
739	430	309	325	414	\$ 10,542,283	1,003	50
10,508	210,213	55,569	20.0	5.29			
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session			
USAGE STATISTICS							

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR USAGE			GRAND JUROR USAGE			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1978	7,181	55.6	24.0	60.5	19.51	659	8,929	46,739	5.23
1979	7,083	51.8	24.6	59.2	19.60	674	9,790	50,891	5.20
1980	7,355	46.5	23.1	60.9	18.83	699	10,338	54,163	5.24
1981	8,230	43.5	22.7	61.1	18.23	738	10,997	58,278	5.30
1982	8,247	43.3	21.9	61.6	17.91	739	10,508	55,569	5.29

END