


National Criminal Justice Reference Service



This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice  
United States Department of Justice  
Washington, D. C. 20531

DATE FILMED

5/14/81

75930

# juvenile and family courts

A LEGAL  
BIBLIOGRAPHY

**FOREWORD**

This publication contains the 1966 edition of **LEGAL BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR JUVENILE AND FAMILY COURTS** and four annual supplements. It is offered as a service to judges, probation officers, law enforcement personnel, counsel, and other professional persons in the field of delinquency and the law.

The titles which compose this bibliography were selected by William H. Sheridan, Assistant to the Commissioner for Legislation, and Alice B. Freer, Assistant to the Commissioner for Policy and Standards, from articles, law reviews, and other publications pertinent to the field of administrative justice for juveniles. Valuable research assistance was furnished by Eileen A. Hancock and Colleen E. Connolly.

**ROBERT J. GEMIGNANI**

Commissioner  
Youth Development and  
Delinquency Prevention  
Administration

**NCJRS**

**MAR 12 1981**

**ACQUISITIONS**

**CONTENTS**

FOREWORD .....	i
ABUSED CHILD .....	1
ADOPTION .....	3
CASE DECISION .....	5
CONFESSIONS .....	21
CONFIDENTIALITY OF RECORDS .....	22
Statutes re Social Reports .....	23
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW .....	24
COUNSEL .....	32
COURTS .....	34
COURT ADMINISTRATION AND ORGANIZATION .....	41
CRIMINAL LAW .....	43
CUSTODY .....	46
DELINQUENCY .....	47
DETENTION .....	50
DOMESTIC RELATIONS .....	51
DOUBLE JEOPARDY .....	58
DUE PROCESS .....	59
EVIDENCE .....	64
FAMILY COURTS AND FAMILY LAW .....	67
GENERAL .....	74
International Law .....	77
Lawyer-Social Agency Relationship .....	77
Nonsupport .....	77
Sex Offender .....	78
ILLEGITIMACY .....	79
LAW AND THE INDIGENT .....	80
LAW, HEALTH AND MEDICAL CARE .....	87
MEDICAL JURISPRUDENCE .....	93
NEGLIGENCE .....	94
PARENTAL LIABILITY .....	97
POLICE PROCEDURES .....	99
PROBATION SERVICES .....	101
Intake .....	102
Social Investigation .....	102
PUBLICITY AND PRIVACY .....	103
RELIGION .....	104
REPORTS .....	105
RIGHT TO TREATMENT .....	107
TORTS .....	108
TRAFFIC JURISDICTION .....	109
WAIVER .....	110

## ABUSED CHILD

THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATIVE APPROACH TO WILLFUL CHILD ABUSE. 54 Calif. L. Rev. 1805, No. 4, October 1966.

Carpenter, James W.: THE PARENT-CHILD DILEMMA IN THE COURTS. 30 Ohio St. L. J. 292, Spring 1969.

Daly, Barbara: WILLFUL CHILD ABUSE AND STATE REPORTING STATUTES. 23 U. Miami L. Rev. 283, Winter-Spring 1969.

Hansen, Richard H., SUGGESTED GUIDELINES FOR CHILD ABUSE LAWS. 7 J. Family Law 61, No. 1, Spring 1967.

LEGISLATION AS PROTECTION FOR THE BATTERED CHILD. 12 Vill. L. Rev. 313, Winter 1967.

McCord, THE BATTERED CHILD: Part One. 50 Minn. L. Rev. 1, 1965.

OBSERVATIONS ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CHILD-PROTECTIVE-SERVICES SYSTEM IN CALIFORNIA. 21 Stan. L. Rev. 1129, May 1969.

PARENT AND CHILD - CHILD BEATING - RECENT LEGISLATION REQUIRING REPORTING OF PHYSICAL ABUSE. 45 Oreg. L. Rev. 114, No. 2, February 1966.

Paulsen, Monrad G., CHILD ABUSE REPORTING LAWS: The Shape of the Legislation. 67 Colum. L. Rev. 1, No. 1, January 1967.

Paulsen, Monrad G., THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR CHILD PROTECTION. 66 Colum. L. Rev., April 1966. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration\*, Children's Bureau).

RECENT LEGISLATION REQUIRING REPORTING OF PHYSICAL ABUSE: PARENT AND CHILD. 45 Ore. L. Rev. 114, No. 2, February 1966.

## CHILD ABUSE

Smith, Jack L.: NEW YORK'S CHILD ABUSE LAWS: INADEQUACIES IN THE PRESENT STATUTORY STRUCTURE. 55 Cornell L. Rev. Jan. 1970.

\*Now Social and Rehabilitation Service.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau:  
THE CHILD ABUSE REPORTING LAWS. Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government  
Printing Office, 1966.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau:  
PRINCIPLES AND SUGGESTED LANGUAGE FOR LEGISLATION ON REPORTING OF  
THE PHYSICALLY ABUSED CHILD. Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Print-  
ing Office, 1963.

## ADOPTION

ADOPTION DENIED TO AUNT—NO ABANDONMENT OF CHILD. *Curtiss v. Hagen*, 159  
N.W.2d 193, (Minn. 1968) 14 Soc. Wel. Dig. 4, February 1969.

ADOPTION DENIED WITHOUT FATHER'S CONSENT. *Dunne v. Amerigian*, 237 N.E.2d 389  
(Mass. 1968) 14 Soc. Wel. Ct. Dig. 2, February 1969.

ADOPTION DESTROYS MINOR CHILD'S RIGHT TO WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION  
BENEFITS FOR NATURAL FATHER'S DEATH. (In) Law Notes, 6 Houston L. Rev. 387,  
October 1968.

Epstein, David G.: INHERITANCE RIGHTS OF AN ADOPTED CHILD IN TEXAS. 6 Houston  
L. Rev. 350, October 1968.

*Ford v. Littan*, 211 So.2d 871 (Miss. 1968). Adoption set aside where abandonment by father not  
established.

Grossman, Susan J.: A CHILD OF A DIFFERENT COLOR: RACE AS A FACTOR IN ADOP-  
TION AND CUSTODY PROCEEDINGS. 17 Buffalo L. Rev. 303, Winter 1968.

Hauser, Rita E., ADOPTION AND RELIGIOUS CONTROL. 54 A.B.A.J. 771, August 1968.

*Heard v. Bauman*, 443 S.W.2d 715 (Texas 1969). Adoption without mother's consent denied.  
15 Social Welf. Ct. Dig. 3, February 1970.

Infausto, Felix, and Shanley, Mildred A., ANNUAL REVIEW OF DECISIONS AND STATU-  
TORY REVISIONS AFFECTING ADOPTIONS 1966-1967. 2 Family L. Q. 228,  
September 1968.

Infausto, Felix: ANNUAL REVIEW OF DECISIONS AND STATUTORY REVISIONS  
AFFECTING ADOPTIONS (September 1, 1967—December 31, 1968) 3 Fam. L. Q.  
123, June 1969.

Infausto, Felix: ANNUAL REVIEW OF DECISIONS AND STATUTORY REVISIONS  
AFFECTING ADOPTIONS (January 1 to August 1, 1969) 4 Fam. L. Q. 150, June 1970.

Infausto, Felix: PERSPECTIVE ON ADOPTION, 383 Annals 1, May 1969.

*In re Adoption of Rule*, 435 S.W.2d 35 (Mo. 1968). Adoption denied, natural father opposes.  
14 Soc. Wel. Ct. Dig. 6, July 1969.

*In re Alexander*, 206 S.2d 452 (Fla. 1968). Foster parents permitted to adopt over objections  
of Welfare.

*In re Gomez*, 424 S.W.2d 656 (Texas 1967). Negro husband adopts wife's two white children.

*Khafaji v. Meitzen*, 429 S.W.2d 174 (Texas 1968). Stepfather denied adoption where lack of support by child's father was not proven.

*Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Clem*, 288 F. Supp. 533 (Texas 1968). Children who have been adopted by third parties not entitled to a claim under Workmen's Compensation Act for the death of their natural father.

O'Connell, Frederick P.: THE ADOPTION MUDDLE: A POSSIBLE SOLUTION. 15 N.Y.L.F. 759, Winter 1969.

*Parsons v. Stout*, 457 P.2d 544 (Wash. 1969). Consent of father of illegitimate child for adoption not required. 15 Social Welf. Ct. Dig. 3, February 1970.

RACE AS A CONSIDERATION IN ADOPTION AND CUSTODY PROCEEDINGS. In Comments, 1969 U. Ill. L. Forum 256.

*Smith v. Lyst*, 212 So.2d 921 (Fla. 1968). Adoption granted for best interest of the child.

STATE STATUTE WHICH PROHIBITS INTERRACIAL ADOPTION DENIES EQUAL PROTECTION. *In re Gomez*, 424 S.W.2d 656 (Tex. Cir. App. 1967) 82 Harv. L. Rev. 1763, June 1969.

## CASE DECISIONS

*Anderson v. Anderson*, 4 Ohio App. 2d 90, 212 N.E.2d 643 (1965). Juvenile court jurisdiction is exclusive in protecting welfare of minors under 18 yrs. of age who are brought within its jurisdiction through lawful procedure.

(Common Pleas Court, in later divorce action, is without jurisdiction of wards of the juvenile court where father is already under an order of support.)

*Application of Billie*, 429 P.2d 699 (Ariz. 1967) Gault decision applied retroactively.

*Application of Naylor*, 207 A.2d 1 (Del. 1965). Due process of law for juvenile.

*Baldwin v. Lewis*, 300 F. Supp. 1220 (Wisc 1969). Detention hearings due process requires showing probable cause. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 4, June 1970.

*Baldwin v. Lewis*, 300 F. Supp. 1220, 1232 (E.D. Wis. 1969). Right to probable cause inquiry in a detention hearing.

*Bartlett v. Commonwealth*, 418 S.W.2d 225, Kentucky 1967. Minor killed by two other minors in home for delinquent boys: State sued on responsibility for protection.

*Beekman Estate v. McCarty*, 252 N.Y.S.2d 885 (September 1964).

*Boone v. Danforth*, (Mo. Cir. Ct. Cole County, July 1969). Administrative transfer of juvenile to penal institution successfully challenged.

*Bordone v. F.*, 307 N.Y.S.2d 527 (App. Div. 1969). Single instance of wrong conduct does not constitute habitual disobedience.

*B.P.W. v. State*, 214 So.2d 365 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1968). Where hearing on transfer of jurisdiction to criminal court was held, there is nothing to show juvenile was denied due process.

*Breen v. Kahl*, 296 F. Supp. 702 (Wisc. 1969). School regulation against long hair for males found to be in violation of the "due process" clause.

*Breen v. Kahl, etc.* 419 F.2d 1034 (Wisc. 1969). School regulations against wearing long hair held unconstitutional.

*Briere v. Briere*, 224 A.2d 588 (N.H. 1966). Unemancipated child may bring tort action against parent.

*Browder v. Sloan*, 143 S.E.2d 13 (Ga. 1965).

*Brownlee, etc. v. Bradley County, etc.*, 311 F. Supp. 1360 (Tenn. 1970). Public school regulations on long hair for males upheld.

*Brunt v. Watkins*, 101 So.2d 852 - Use of study in adoption (1958).

*Burke v. Bu. Children's Services*, (N.J. Sup. Ct. App. Div. 1966), Welf. L. Bull. 8, No. 6, December 1966. Adoption: Regulations requiring statement of religious affiliation of adoptive parents rescinded.

*Campbell v. Gruttemeyer*, 432 S.W.2d 894 (Tenn. 1968). Tennessee courts hold that unemancipated child may not sue parent for negligence, nor parents' estate.

*Cash v. Hock, etc.*, 309 F. Supp. 346 (Wis. 1970). Male student may wear his hair at any length.

*Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Rozanski*, 206 Pa. Super. 397, 213 A.2d 155. Illegitimate child, visitation rights.

*Commonwealth v. Owens*, 254 A.2d 639 (Pa. 1969). An order of transfer is not a final order from which an appeal may be taken. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 5, June 1970.

*Commonwealth v. Taper*, 253 A.2d 90 (Pa. 1969). Lower court's order of suppression of a confession by a 17-yr. old girl who had been without sleep all night, affirmed. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 7, June 1970.

*Conover v. Montemura*, No. 69-765 (E.D. Pa., filed June 1969). On constitutionality of juvenile pre-trial procedure.

*Cooley v. Stone*, 414 F.2d 1213 (D.C. Cir. 1969). Constitutional guarantees of Gault and Kent provide fourth amendment speedy trial to juveniles.

*Cooley v. Stone*, 414 F.2d 1213 (D.C. Cir. 1969). Right to probable cause inquiry as part of detention hearing.

*County of Alameda v. Espinoza*, 243 Cal. App. 2d 685, 52 Cal. Rptr. 480 (Dist. Ct. App. 1966). Parent liable for support of minor in juvenile correctional institution.

*County of Alameda v. Kaiser*, 48 Cal. Rptr. 343 (Calif. 1965). (Cites Dept. Mental Hygiene v. Kirchner, 60 Cal.2d 716, 388 P.2d 720). Responsibility for minor's hospital bill.

*Crane v. Battle, etc.*, 307 N.Y.S.2d 355 (N.Y. 1970). Action by putative father to determine his paternity of illegitimate child of married woman ruled in order.

*Dabbs v. Burrell*, 278 N.Y.S.2d 436 (N.Y. 1967). Parents not liable for support of welfare recipient daughter in another State, because of new N.Y. law eliminating such responsibility for children over age of 21.

*Dackman v. Dackman*, 250 A.2d 60 (Md. 1969). Court awards support payments to an ex-wife notwithstanding the existence of a valid foreign divorce decree.

*Davis v. Firment et al*, 269 F. Supp. 524 (La. 1967). School board entitled to promulgate and enforce rule on students' haircuts.

*Davis et al v. Gately, Clerk*, 269 F. Supp. 996 (Del. 1967). Miscegenation law prohibiting racial intermarriage held invalid, citing Loving, et ux v. Commonwealth of Virginia, 87 S. Ct. 1817 (1967).

*DeBacker v. Brainard*, 183 Neb. 461, 161 N.W.2d 508 (Neb. 1968). Juvenile adjudged to be delinquent of criminal law violation held not entitled to a jury at his adjudicatory hearing.

*DeBacker v. Brainard*, 183 Neb. 461, 161 N.W.2d 508 (1969) 14 St. Louis U. L. J. 158, Fall 1969. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN JUVENILE PROCEEDING?

*DeBacker v. Brainard*, 38 U.S. L.W. 4001 (U.S. Nov. 12, 1969). Left unresolved the question as to whether a juvenile is entitled to a hearing by jury.

*DeBacker v. Brainard*, 396 U.S. 28 (Neb. 1969). Question of jury trial for juvenile delinquents left undecided by Supreme Court 56 A.B.A. J. 182, February 1970.

*DeBacker v. Brainard*, 396, U.S. 28, 90 S. Ct. 163 (1969). Right to trial by jury in juvenile proceeding left unanswered by Supreme Court.

*DeMerleer v. Mich.*, 329 U.S. 663, 67 S. Ct. 576 (1947).

*Denny v. Health and Social Services*, 285 F. Supp. 526 (Wis. 1968). One-year residence requirement held unconstitutional in public assistance case.

*De Phillips v. De Phillips*, 219 N.E.2d 465 (Ill. 1966). Father of illegitimate child not entitled to visitation.

*D. Otherwise C. v. C.*, 221 A.2d 763 (N.J. 1966). Impotent partner may seek annulment.

*Duck v. State*, 176 So.2d 479 (Ala. 1965).

*Dunagan v. State*, 447 P.2d 87 (Wash. 1968). Parental child abuse can justify appointment of Guardian ad Litem.

*Ex parte Echols*, 245 Ala. 353, 17 So.2d 449 (1944).

*Farrell, et al v. Smith, etc.*, 310 F. Supp 732 (Me. 1970). In a vocational school hair regulations designed to enhance the image of the students for prospective employment do not violate constitutional rights.

*Ford v. Granada County Youth Court*, 214 So.2d 462 (Miss. 1968). Appeal by juvenile from order committing him to training school for theft involving \$3. Reversed and remanded.

*Ford v. Litton*, 211 So.2d 871 (Miss. 1968). Adoption set aside where abandonment by father not established.

*Freeman v. Wilcox*, 167 S.E.2d 163 (Ga. Ct. App. 1969). All criminal procedural safeguards must be given to child that would be given to ordinary citizens if deprivation of liberty is involved.

*Fulmore v. Deveaux*, 220 A.2d 462 (Conn. 1966). Paternity suit civil in nature - court need not advise of right to counsel.

*Gallegos v. Colorado*, 370 U.S. 49 (1962).

*Gelbman v. Gelbman*, 245 N.E.2d 192, 297 N.Y.S.2d 529 (1969). Suit by parent against child for non-wilful tort allowed.

- Gelbman v. Gelbman*, 297 N.Y.S.2d 529 (N.Y. 1969). Allowed a mother to recover for personal injuries in an action against her unemancipated son.
- Gideon v. Wainwright*, 31 U.S.L. Week 4291 (March 18, 1963), 372 U.S. 335 (1963).
- Gloria v. Am. Guarantee and Liability Insurance Company*, 379 F.2d 545 (La. 1967). Mother of illegitimate child not entitled to maintain death action.
- Gluckman v. Gaines*, 71 Cal. Rptr. 795 (Cal. 1969). Children liable for support of needy, elderly father.
- Green v. Department of Public Welfare*, 270 F. Supp. 173 (Del. 1967). One year residence requirement for public welfare assistance held unconstitutional.
- Harrell v. Tobriner*, 279 F. Supp. 22 (D.C. 1967). Residence requirements for public assistance held unconstitutional.
- Harrison v. U.S.*, 359 F.2d 214 (D.C. Cir. 1965).
- Hatala v. Markiewicz*, 26 Conn. Supp. 358, 224 A.2d 406 (1966). Administrator of the estate of a stillborn infant has a cause of action for wrongful death.
- Hazel v. U.S.*, No. 20982 U.S. Ct. App. (D.C. Cir.) September 27, 1968. Juveniles right to participate in counsel's decision not to request a hearing on waiver.
- Heard v. Bauman*, 443 S.W.2d 715 (Texas 1969). Adoption without mother's consent denied. 15 Social Welf. Ct. Dig. 3, February 1970.
- Hegwood v. Kindrick*, 264 F. Supp. 720 (Texas, 1967). Minor child entitled to "due process" protection in juvenile proceedings.
- Hightower v. Nocedal*, 429 S.W.2d 657 (Tex. Cir. App. 1968). Child custody removal to a foreign country.
- In re Adoption of Christian*, 180 So.2d 657 (Fla. 1966). Grandmother 68 granted right to adopt granddaughter 13.
- In re Adoption of Easley*, 50 Cal. Repr. 33 (Cal. 1966). Adoption granted without mother's consent.
- In re Adoption of Hufford*, 218 A.2d 737 (Pa. 1966).
- In re Adoption of Miller*, 227 So.2d 73 (Fla. 1969). Adoption of adult granted over natural father's objection.
- In re Adoption of a Minor*, 214 N.E.2d 281 (Mass. 1966). Adoptive parents' application to repudiate adoption rejected.
- In re Adoption of Rule*, 435 S.W.2d 35 (Mo. 1968). Adoption denied, natural father opposes. 14 Soc. Wel. Ct. Dig. 6, July 1969.

- In re Agler*, 240 N.E.2d 847 (Ohio 1968). Ohio statute permits commitment to State reformatory of a delinquent, on the premise that being a delinquent child has never been and is not at present a crime in Ohio. Evidence beyond a reasonable doubt was not necessary for conviction.
- In re Alexander*, 206 S.2d 452 (Fla. 1968). Foster parents permitted to adopt over objection of Welfare.
- In re Aronson*, 263 Wis. 604, 58 N.W.2d 553 (1953).
- In re B.*, 293 N.Y.S.2d 946 (Sup. Ct. 1968). Unsworn testimony of ten-year old child insufficient to support a finding of delinquency.
- In re Bethea*, 257 A.2d 368 (Pa. 1969). Due process requires that juvenile court record contain findings. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 6, June 1970.
- In re Boney*, 50 Misc.2d 1080, 266 N.Y.S.2d 756 (1966). Adoption: analysis of the history, policy, programs and administration of public welfare in New York State.
- In re Bridgett Cager*, No. JA-7389 (Cir. Ct. Prince Georges Co. Md. filed Sept. 26, 1967). Civil neglect proceedings against mothers having successive illegitimate children.
- In re Burris*, 16 S.E.2d 879 (N.C. 1969). Trial by jury in a juvenile case not required by Gault decision.
- In re Cager, et al.*, 248 A.2d 384 (Md. 1968). An illegitimate child cannot be found to be neglected because of the sole fact that he lives with a mother who has another illegitimate child also living with her.
- In re Clear*, 296 N.Y.S.2d 184 (N.Y. 1969). Termination of parental rights denied as agency had used dilatory tactics in not strengthening the family relationship.
- In re Coffey*, No. 69-9199 (Ill. Cir. Ct., Cook County, filed July 1969). Broad scope in pre-trial discovery sought in juvenile proceedings.
- In re Contreras*, 109 Cal. App.2d 787, 241 P.2d 631 (2d Dist. 1952). In the final analysis the juvenile court is a judicial institution.
- In re Creek*, D.C. App. No. 4320, June 10, 1968. Principles enunciated in Gault apply to cases in which the trial was held before the Gault decision.
- In re D.*, 290 N.Y.S.2d (N.Y. 1968). Must be due process compliance in obtaining statement or confession in juvenile delinquency proceedings.
- In re Dennis*, 244 N.Y.S.2d 798, 20 A.D.2d 86 (1963).
- In re Doe*, 274 N.Y.S.2d 948 (N.Y. 1966). Juvenile's constitutional right to remain silent violated when he was compelled to testify in Adolescent Court without being advised of his right to remain silent and right to have attorney present.
- In re Dulay*, 265 N.Y.S.2d 247 (New York 1965). Child custody - psychiatrist and social worker should testify fully.

*In re Elmore*, 222 A.2d 255 (D.C. 1966). Beyond control - no right to jury trial.

*In re Garland*, 160 So.2d 340 (La. 1964).

*In re Gordon*, 283 N.Y.S. 787 (N.Y. 1967). Paternity order granted after death of putative father who had acknowledged paternity.

*In re Guardianship of C.*, 237 A.2d 652 (New Jersey 1967). Putative father entitled to be heard in custody case.

*In re Guardianship of Cope*, 255 A.2d 798 (N.J. 1969). Evidence supporting a petition to terminate parental rights must be trustworthy and not hearsay. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 8, June 1970.

*In re Ithaca Journal News*, 292 N.Y.S.2d 920 (N.Y. 1968). Contempt action upheld in publication of arrest of juveniles.

*In re James L., Jr.*, 250 O.2d 269, 194 N.E.2d 797, (Cuyahoga Juvenile Court, 1963). Law of arrest does not apply to taking minors into custody.

*In re Johnson*, 225 A.2d 419 (Md. 1969). Denying trial by jury in a juvenile matter.

*In re L.*, 287 N.Y.S. 218 (N.Y. 1968). Confession of 14-year old boy inadmissible where parents not advised of rights.

*In re Lang*, 66 Misc. 2d 155, 301 N.Y. 2d 136 (Fam. Ct. 1969). Accomplice testimony must be corroborated.

*In re Mark T.*, 154 N.W.2d 27 (Michigan 1967). Father awarded custody of illegitimate child.

*In re Marshall*, 214 So.2d 486 (Fla. 1968). Competent evidence will sustain allegation of delinquency.

*In re Mayfield*, 195 So.2d 413 (La. 1967). Under Louisiana jurisprudence before the Miranda decision there was no necessity to warn accused confession could be used against him.

*In re McKeiver*, No. 666 (Pa. Super. Ct. Pa. Dist. filed 1969). On right to jury trial in juvenile proceedings involving serious offenses.

*In re P.*, 276 N.Y.S.2d 257 (N.Y. 1966). Child Welfare Agency denied further extension of foster home placement of Catholic Negro child by reason of dilatory tactics regarding adoption.

*In re Patterson*, 27 Cal. Rptr. 10 (1962). 22 Cal. Rptr. 807 (1962).

*In re Poff*, 135 F. Supp. 224 (D.D.C. 1955).

*In re Poulin*, 100 N.H. 458, 129 A.2d 672 (1957).

*In re Rider*, 50 Cal. App. 797, 195 P. 965 (1920).

*In re Rindell*, 2 BNA Crim. L. Rep. 3121 (R.I. Fam. Ct. 1968). Delinquency proceedings must be held before a jury.

*In re Rindell*, 2 BNA Crim. L. Rep. 3121 (Providence, R. I., Fam Ct. 1968). Interpreting "Gault" to require trial by jury in a juvenile matter.

*In re Ronald M. Rich*, No. 1946, Dec. 27, 1965, Supreme Court of Vt., 216 A.2d 266 (1966). Administrative transfer.

*In re Ronny*, 40 Misc.2d 194, 242 N.Y.S.2d 844 (1963).

*In re Rutane*, 37 Misc.2d 234, 234 N.Y.S.2d 777 (1963).

*In re State ex rel. J. W.*, 262 A.2d 9 (N.J. 1970). Juvenile not entitled to jury trial in New Jersey juvenile and domestic relations court.

*In re State in Interest of W. O.*, 242 A.2d 17 (N.J. 1968). The full complement of constitutional rights does not attach to trial of a complaint under Juvenile Act—omission to provide a stenographic transcript of the hearing did not amount to a deprivation of equal protection of the laws.

*In re Teters*, 70 Cal. Rptr. 749, 1968. "Miranda" applied to juvenile confession.

*In re Urbasek*, 232 N.E.2d 716 (Ill. 1968). State must prove delinquency beyond a reasonable doubt — proof by preponderance of evidence insufficient.

*In re W.*, 224 N.E.2d 102 (N.Y. Fam. Ct. 1966). Confession inadmissible, interrogation not conducted within a reasonable period of time.

*In re Walter*, 172 N.W.2d 603 (N.D. 1969), Juvenile delinquents to be given chance without industrial school.

*In re Ward*, No. J. F-69-810 (Okla. Dist. Ct. Oct. 1, 1969). Burden of proof in juvenile proceeding held to be beyond reasonable doubt. 2 Clearinghouse Rev. 279, February 1970.

*In re Whittington*, 13 Ohio App.2d 11, 233 N.E.2d 333, cert. granted, 88s. Ct. 112 (1967), vacated and remanded, 36 U.S.L.W. 4466-67 (U.S. May 20, 1968). Due process requirements in delinquency proceedings.

*In re Whittington*, 36 U.S.L. Week 3143 (1967). Right to bail, trial by jury, speedy trial, proof required for delinquency finding.

*In re Whittington* 245 N.E.2d 364, In this case the Court of Appeals reversed itself and found that a waiver hearing to juvenile court in the absence of a disposition or sentence was not a final disposition.

*In re William M.*, 14469 (Cal. Sup. Ct. Aug. 24, 1970). Juvenile court must consider facts of case at a detention hearing in order to justify detention.

*In re Williams*, 267 N.Y.S.2d 91 (N.Y. 1966). Confession inadmissible - parents not notified.

*In re Winburn*, 145 N.W.2d 178 (Wis. 1966). "What may appear to a juvenile worker or judge as treatment may look like punishment to the juvenile."



*In re Winship*, 90 S. Ct. 1068 (1970). Juveniles, like adults, are constitutionally entitled to proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

*In re Woodward*, 14 Utah2d 336, 384 P.2d 110 (1963); (Removal of court judges by the Welfare Department held an unconstitutional violation of the principle of separation of powers).

*In the Interest of Carlo and Stasilowicz*, 48 N.Y. 224, 225 A.2d 110 (1966). Inadmissibility of juvenile confessions.

*In the Interest of D.A.W.*, 178 So.2d 745 (Florida 1965). Adoption - court may not order parent to be psychologically evaluated.

*In the Matter of Adolph Harris*, No. 66J (D) 7222, Juv. Div., Cook County, Ill. Cir. Ct., December 22, 1967. Right of a juvenile who was deaf and dumb, to special treatment when in custody of state.

*In the Matter of the Application of Paul L. Gault and Marjorie Gault*, Father and Mother of Gerald Francis Gault, a Minor, 35 U.S. Law Week 4399, 387 U.S. 000, May 15, 1967, ("... neither the Fourteenth Amendment nor the Bill of Rights is for adults only...").

*In the Matter of Blaine*, 54 Misc.2d 248 (N.Y. 1967). Rules for admission and disclosure of psychiatric evidence in family court proceedings.

*In the Matter of Dozier V. Haziel, Jr.*, Docket No. 55-439-W, Juv. Ct., Dist. of Col., Feb. 1969. Dismissal of complaint of assault with intent to kill and robbery holdup, after a four-year period involving waiver of jurisdiction, appellate review, mandate for a waiver hearing in juvenile court, and such hearing.

*In the Matter of Dwight Cromwell*, 232 Md. 305, 192 A.2d 775 (Md. 1963). Infants not entitled to bail, pending appeal.

*In the Matter of Dwight Cromwell*, 232 Md. 409, 194 A.2d 88 (Oct. 1963), Introduction of evidence, without advance notice, prejudicial and unfair.

*In the Matters of Gregory W. and Gerald S.*, 19 N.Y.2d 55, 224 N.E.2d 102 (1966). Inadmissibility of juvenile confession, privilege against self-incrimination.

*In the Matter of Reva White*, 232 Md. 409, 194 A.2d 88 (Oct. 1963). Introduction of evidence, without advance notice, prejudicial and unfair.

*In the Matter of Reva White*, 232 Md. 305, 192 A.2d 775 (Md. 1963). Infants not entitled to bail, pending appeal.

*In the Matter of Rosmis*, 26 Ill. App.2d 226, 167 N.E.2d 826 (1960).

*In the Matter of Samuel Winship*, 38 U.S. L.W. 4253 (1970). Quantum of proof necessary to find a child charged with violating a criminal law within the juvenile court's jurisdiction is proof beyond a reasonable doubt. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 2, June 1970.

*In the Matter of Whittington*, 391 U.S. 341, May 1968. Supreme Court vacated a judgment of delinquency and remanded because the Ohio court had left unsettled the question of the effect of the judgment.

*In the Matter of William L.*, 287 N.Y.S.2d 218 (1968). Due process requires child's parent to be given Miranda warning. 32 Albany L. Rev. 667, Spring 1968.

*Jackson v. Dorrier*, 424 F.2d 213 (Tenn. 1970). Long hair school regulation upheld—no deprivation of constitutional rights.

*Johnson v. State*, 447 P.2d 252, (Cal. 1968). State liable for failure to warn of youth's known homicidal tendencies.

*Johnson v. State*, 65 Cal. Rptr. 717 (Cal. 1968). Youth Authority sued for failure to disclose boy's violent tendencies to foster home parents.

*Jones v. Commonwealth*, 185 Va. 335, 38 S.E.2d 444 (1964).

*Kauten v. Kauten*, 261 A.2d 759 (Md. 1970) 15 No. 5 Soc. Wel. Ct. Dig. 1, May 1970. Child awarded to mother divorced for adultery.

*Kent v. U.S.*, 343 F.2d 247 (1964), 381 U.S. 902 (cert. granted 1965), 383 U.S. 541, 1966. Right to hearing on waiver of jurisdiction to adult criminal court.

*Kent v. U.S.*, No. 20, 922, July 30, 1968, U.S. Ct. App. (D.C. Cir.). Vacates district court's decision that waiver "was appropriate and proper" in this landmark case.

*Kent v. U.S.*, 401 F.2d 408 (D.C. Cir. 1968). Vacates district court's decision that waiver "was appropriate and proper" in this landmark case.

*Khafaji v. Meitzen*, 429 S.W.2d 174 (Texas 1968). Stepfather denied adoption where lack of support by child's father was not proven.

*King v. Smith*, 88 S. Ct. 2128, (Ala. 1968). Alabama's "substitute father" regulation held invalid.

*King v. Smith*, 392 U.S. 309 (Ala. 1968). A.F.D.C. payments cannot be withheld from a mother who cohabits with a man not legally obligated to support her children.

*King v. Smith*, No. 949, 36 U.S.L.W. 4703 (June 1968), 12 Wel. L. Bull. 3 (April 1968), 11 Wel. L. Bull. 1 (Jan. 1968), Supreme Court holds Alabama's "substitute father" regulation invalid in A.F.D.C. cases.

*Larkin v. Pridgett et ux*, 407 S.W.2d 374 (Arkansas 1966). ... a natural parent does not have an absolute right to the custody of his or her child.

*Leach v. State*, 428 S.W.2d 817 (Texas 1968). Delinquent child proceedings must meet "due process" requirements.

*Levy v. Louisiana*, 88 S. Ct. 509 (Louisiana 1968). Illegitimate children entitled to sue for damages for Mother's death.

*Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Clem*, 288 F. Supp. 533 (Texas 1968). Children who have been adopted by third parties not entitled to a claim under Workmen's Compensation Act for the death of their natural father.

*Lincoln v. Lincoln*, 299 N.Y.S. 2d 842 (1969). No deprivation of rights of parties for trial court to have confidential interview with children.

*Lovelace v. Leechburg Area School Dist.*, 310 F. Supp. 579 (Pa. 1970). A mustache which is de minimis and practically invisible does not violate school "proper dress" code.

*Marsden v. Commonwealth*, 227 N.E.2d 1 (Mass. 1967). Gault decision applied retroactively.

*Maryland v. Gouge*, Criminal No. 8736, Montgomery County, Oct. 3, 1968. Gault application of due process for juveniles.

*Mercer v. State*, 450 S.W.2d 715 (Tex. Civ. App. 1970). High school principal's demand that student disclose contents of pockets held not to be violative of juvenile's Fourth Amendment rights.

*Metro. Life Ins. Co. v. Buckley et al.*, 278 F. Supp. 334 (Mississippi 1967). Illegitimate children entitled to share in group insurance policy.

*McDougal, etc. v. Tamsberg, etc.*, 308 F. Supp. 1212 (S.C. 1970). Mother of five illegitimate children cannot be denied public housing. 2 Civil Rights Dig. 3, June 1970.

*Miller v. Davis*, 268 N.Y.S.2d 490 (Sup. Ct. 1966). Unemancipated infant not barred from maintaining a negligence action against foster parents.

*Mixon v. Mize*, 198 A.2d 373 (Fla. 1967). Father of illegitimate child granted visitation rights.

*Morace v. Morace*, 223 So.2d 410 (La. 1969). Modification of child support decree-father's remarriage as affecting ability to pay.

*Muma v. Brown*, 1 Mich. App. 373, 136 N.W.2d 696 (1965). Parental liability - car use.

*Neumann v. Shlansky*, 294 N.Y.S.2d 628 (N.Y. 1968). Eleven-year old boy familiar with game of golf, held to adult standard of care, while on golf course.

*Nickell v. Gall*, 229 A.2d 511 (N.J. 1967). Adopted child inherits from natural parent despite later legislation.

No delinquency case has been reviewed by Supreme Court to 1965. See: *People v. Lewis*, cert. denied, 289 U.S. 709 (1933). *In re Holmes*, 379 Pa. 599, 109 A.2d 523, cert. denied. However see: *Kent v. U.S.*, cert. granted, 381 U.S. 902 (1965).

*Ohio v. Steward*, 201 N.E.2d 793 (1963).

*Oliveira v. Santosuosso*, 230 A.2d 864 (R.I. 1967). Bastardy proceedings civil in nature.

69 Op. Att'y General, 040, Ohio, May 2, 1969. It is mandatory for a local board of education to provide training and/or educational facilities and services for children with an intelligence quotient of 50 or below unless such children have been determined to be incapable of profiting substantially by further instruction as provided by Section 3321.05, Ohio Rev. Code.

*Painter v. Bannister*, 140 N.W.2d 152 (1966). Cert. denied, 35 U.S.L.W. 3172 (Nov. 15, 1966). Denial of certiorari in Iowa custody case.

*Palmer v. Ashe*, 342 U.S. 134, 72 S. Ct. 191 (1951).

*Paquette v. Langlois*, 219 A.2d 569. Application of Kent decision in waiver proceedings.

*Parks v. Parks*, 418 S.W.2d 726 (Ky. 1967). Annulment granted on marriage based on false assertion of pregnancy.

*Parsens v. Stout*, 457 P.2d 544 (Wash. 1969). Consent of father of illegitimate child for adoption not required. 15 Social Welf. Ct. Dig. 3, February 1970.

*Pee v. U.S.*, 274 F. 2d 556 (1959).

*Penn v. Peyton*, 270 F. Supp. 981 (Va. 1967). Minor by misrepresenting age, waived his rights as a juvenile in a murder charge.

*People v. Anonymous*, 295 N.Y.S.2d 767 (Mass. 1968). One year residence requirement before applying for A.F.D.C. held unconstitutional.

*People v. Belous*, 80 Cal. Rptr. 354 (1969). "Void-for-Vagueness" doctrine in California Abortion Statute.

*People v. Bergerson*, 271 N.Y.S.2d 236 (N.Y. 1966). Beer party - adult convicted of endangering child.

*People v. Dotson*, 46 Cal.2d 891, 299 P.2d 875 (1956).

*People v. Fifield*, 136 Cal. App.2d 741, 289 P.2d 303 (1963). Court need not advise minor of his right. (Amended Juvenile Court Code makes provision for notice. Cal. W.&I.C.A. [1962] sec. 633).

*People v. Kearse*, 295 N.Y.S.2d 192 (N.Y. 1968). Curfew law held unconstitutional.

*People v. Kohler*, 258 N.Y.S.2d 279 (1965). Right to counsel in traffic case.

*People v. Lewis*, 260 N.Y. 171, 183 N.E. 353 (1932).

*People v. Roberts*, 364 Mich. 60, 110 N.W.2d 718 (October 1961).

*People v. Sorenson*, 62 Cal. Rptr. 462 (Cal. 1967). Artificial insemination, husband may not be held as "father" of child under Penal Code placing criminal responsibility for support.

*People v. Sorenson*, 66 Cal. Rptr. 7 (Calif. 1968). Husband must support child born of artificial insemination, to which he consented.

*Perry v. Grenada Municipal Separate School District*, No. WC-6736-S (N.D. Miss. June 20, 1969). Exclusion of unwed mothers from school held unconstitutional in absence of hearing.

*Petition of Malmstedt*, 220 A.2d 147 (Md. 1966). Adoption - young unmarried father of illegitimate child denied adoption of child.

*Figg v. State*, 253 N.E.2d 266, (Ind. 1969). Error to deny juvenile right to testify on own behalf.

*Filand v. Juv. Ct.*, 457 P.2d 523 (Nev. 1969). Constitutional right to a speedy trial extended to a juvenile.

*Powell v. Ala.*, 287 U.S. 45, 53 S. Ct. 55, 77 L.Ed. 158 (1932).

*Fruitt v. Key*, 203 So.2d 450 (Ala. 1969). Grandparent's custody of child upheld in proceeding by a maternal aunt and her husband to obtain child.

Recent Cases - 1969 In 9 J. Fam. L. 444, 1970.

R \_\_\_\_ v. R \_\_\_\_ , 431 S.W.2d 152 (Mo. 1968). Invalidation of State's support laws which distinguish between legitimate and illegitimate children.

*Ramos v. Health Board, etc.*, 276 F. Supp. 474 (Wisconsin 1967). One year residence for welfare aid held unconstitutional.

*Roark v. Yarbrough et. al.*, 411 S.W.2d 916 (Kentucky 1967). Adoption of grandchildren over mother's objection.

*Robertson v. Ott*, 284 F. Supp. 735 (Mass. 1968). One year residence requirement before applying for A.F.D.C. held unconstitutional.

*Robinson v. Neubauer*, 223 N.E.2d 705 (Ill. 1967). Adoption denied to aunt without the consent of child's father.

*Roman v. State Welfare Department*, 222 A.2d 577 (Conn. 1966). Mother denied examination of social medical and juvenile legal records.

*Rose v. Rose*, 242 N.E. 26 677 (Ohio 1969). Presumption of legitimacy of child conceived during marriage is not conclusive and may be rebutted.

*Rowe v. Department of Mental Hygiene*, 233 A.2d 769 (Md. 1967). Wife not financially liable for husband's involuntary commitment.

*Saintignon v. Saintignon et. al.*, 214 N.E.2d 124 (Ohio 1966). Adopted child inherits from natural grandfather.

*Santana v. State*, 431 S.W.2d 558 (Texas 1968). Juvenile delinquency must be established beyond a reasonable doubt.

*Santana v. Texas No. 1002 Supreme Court* 38 U.S. L.W. 3405 April 21, 1970. Petition for a writ of certiorari granted and case remanded to Supreme Court of Texas on basis of decision—In the Matter of Samuel Winship, 397 U.S. 358, March 31, 1971 (Evidence beyond a reasonable doubt necessary to prove delinquency.)

*Saviers v. Saviers*, 438 P.2d 268 (Idaho 1968). A new approach to child custody.

*Schenk v. Schenk*, 241 N.E.2d 12 (Ill. Ct. App. 1968). Father not barred by doctrine of parental immunity from recovering from his unemancipated daughter for tortious conduct having no direct family connection.

*Schmoll v. Creecy*, 249 A.2d 3, (N.J. 1969). No right of action for illegitimate children of father killed in automobile accident.

*Scoville v. Bd. of Ed.*, 286 F. Supp. 988 (N.D. Ill., 1968). Expulsion of students who distributed publications in high school held not to violate the protection afforded by first amendment.

*Seagroves v. State*, 189 So.2d 137 (Ala. 1966). Transfer to adult criminal court.

*Shane v. State*, 406 F.2d 844 (Maine 1968). Boy's transfer to adult penal institution held unconstitutional.

*Shapiro v. Thompson*, 394 U.S. 618 (1969). Welfare residency requirements violate the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

*Shioutakon v. D.C.*, 236 F.2d 666 (D.C. 1956) - At time of waiver—juvenile held not to have right to counsel in: *People v. Dotson*, 299 F.2d 875 (Cal. 1956), *U.S. v. Stevenson*, 170 F. Supp. 315 (D.D.C. 1959) *Contra, In re Schaefer*, 126 A.2d 870 (Mun. App. D.C. 1956).

*Smith v. Hill et al.*, 285 F. Supp. 556 (N.C. 1968). Vagrancy statute unconstitutional.

*Smith v. King*, Civ. No. 2495-N (N.D. Ala. Nov. 8, 1967); previously reported: 7 Wel. L. Bull. 3 (Feb. 1967) 11 Wel. L. Bull. 1 (Jan. 1968). Alabama's "substitute" father rule held unconstitutional in AFDC case.

*Smith v. King, Comm. etc.*, 277 F. Supp. 31 (Ala. 1967). Alabama's "substitute father" regulation held to deprive children of equal protection of the laws in violation of the 14th Amendment.

*Smith v. Lyst*, 212 So.2d 921, (Fla. 1968). Adoption granted for best interest of the child.

*Smith v. Reynolds*, 277 F. Supp. 65 (Pa. 1967). One year residence requirement for assistance unconstitutional.

*Stapler v. State*, 141 So.2d 181 (Ala. 1962).

*State ex rel. Johnson v. Anders*, Fla. Cir. Ct. Dade County, filed July 14, 1969. On right to counsel at sentencing phase of juvenile proceedings.

*State v. Austin*, 171 N.W.2d 284, (South Dakota 1969). Life imprisonment upheld in child abuse death.

*State v. Blum*, 463 P.2d 367 (Ore. 1970). Mentally ill mother of illegitimate child has parental rights terminated.

*State v. Dickinson*, 18 Ohio Misc. 151 (1969). Conviction for homicide by reason of the death of a viable unborn fetus.

*State v. Dinin*, 267 N.Y.S.2d 953 (1966). Mental examination of child.

*State v. Fisher* 245 N.E.2d 358. Syllabus by the court (E) of Sec. 2151.35 (Ohio Code) which authorizes the juvenile court to commit a male child over 16 years of age, who has committed an act which if committed by an adult would be a felony, to the Ohio State Reformatory (the same institution to which adults convicted of a felony are committed), without providing to such juvenile equal rights of due process of law is an unconstitutional denial of rights secured by the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

*State v. McLaughlin*, 212 N.E.2d 635 (Ohio 1965). Contributing to delinquency - birth control information.

*State v. Piche*, 442 P.2d 632 (Wash. 1968). Hearing on waiver is proper procedure when it is for purpose of determining best interest of child and of society.

*State v. Pitt*, 253 A.2d 671 (Conn. 1969). Juvenile may be sentenced to what in effect may be a maximum two-year confinement for an offense which carries a maximum one-year jail term. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 7, June 1970.

*State v. Santana*, 444 S.W.2d 614 (Texas 1969). Use of preponderance of evidence standard satisfies due process in juvenile delinquency hearing.

*State v. Shaw*, 378 P.2d 487 (Ariz. January 1963).

*State v. Smith*, 90 Ore. Adv. Sh. 367 (1970). Juveniles who were accomplices to adults in a criminal act entitled to corroboration of their testimony. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 6, June 1970.

*Stellmah v. Hunterdon Coop. G.L.F. Services, Inc.*, 219 A.2d 616 (New Jersey 1966). Adoption completed after father's death - child entitled to death benefits.

*Stevenson, et al v. Bd. of Educ., etc.* 426 F.2d 1154 (Ga. 1970). Suspension for high school students for failure to observe the school grooming code regarding shaving upheld.

*Stevenson, et al v. Wheeler County Bd. of Ed.*, 306 F. Supp. 97 (Ga. 1969). School clean-shaven regulation upheld as reasonable. 3 Civil Rights Dig. 4, June 1970.

*Ten Cases - Recent and Significant*, J. Ct. J. J. Winter 1966, Vol. 16, No. 4, p. 168. Judge Don R. Young, Jr., and Judge Donald L. Ziegel.

*Thompson v. Morrow*, 293 N.Y.S.2d 974 (Sup. Ct. 1968). No valid waiver by youth of right to counsel entitles him to release on writ of habeas corpus.

*Tinker v. Des Moines Independent Comm. School Dist.*, 89 S. Ct. 733 (1969). Right of school children to protest.

*Tinker v. Des Moines Ind. Comm. Sch. Dist.*, 393 U.S. 503, 21 L. Ed.2d 73 1, 89 S. Ct. 733, holding that a school regulation prohibiting black arm bands as a war protest was a violation of the right to free speech.

*Tinker v. Des Moines School Dist.*, 393 U.S. 503 (1969). Student haircut regulations.

*Turner v. Barbaro, Comm. etc.*, 287 N.Y.S.2d (N.Y. 1968). Welfare records are not public records, recipient not entitled to inspect them.

*U.S. v. Costanzo*, 3Cr. L. 2142 (March 1968). Government's burden in a juvenile's case is to prove all elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt.

*U.S. v. Thomas*, 300 F. Supp. 1201, (N.Y. 1969). Time in detention is a credit against sentence time. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 6, June 1970.

*U.S. v. Zimmerman*, 94 F. Supp. 22 (E.D. Pa. 1950).

*Vernie v. Johnson*, 295 N.Y. 436, 68 N.E.2d 431 - 4 year old child—Torts (1946).

*Viggiano v. U.S.*, 274 F. Supp. 985 (N.Y. 1967). Youth offender not entitled to pre-sentence time credit.

*W. v. Family Court*, 299 N.Y.S.2d 414 (N.Y. 1969). Juvenile delinquency proceedings held to be non-criminal; "preponderance of evidence" sufficient proof.

*Wechsler v. Marash*, 283 N.Y.S.2d 209 (N.Y. 1967). Mother's application to take children out of country with second husband denied on grounds they have right to be brought up as American citizens.

*Welch, Thomas A., Kent v. United States, and In re Gault*: Two decisions in search of a theory.. 19 Hasting L. J. 29, November 1967.

*Westley v. Rossi, etc.*, 305, F.Supp. 706 (Minn. 1969). Male students entitled to wear their hair long. 3 Civil Rights Dig. 4, June 1970.

*Wheeler v. Little*, 147 S.E.2d 352 (Ga. 1966). Adoption of niece without father's consent denied.

*Whitehead v. Lout*, 395 S.W.2d 68 (Texas 1965). Adoption reversed - natural father not party to proceeding.

*Whittington v. Barr, et al.*, No. 455 (Ohio Ct. App., 5th Dist., writ of mandamus granted Oct. 15, 1968); 17 Wel. L. Bull. 27, June 1969. Petitioner, a juvenile, charged with an offense which would be second degree murder, if adult, entitled to attend school "under the laws and Constitution of Ohio and the United States," pending adjudication of his case.

*Williams v. Nash*, 455 S.W.2d 69 (Ark. 1969). Adoptive children permitted to inherit although adoption decree did not become final during adoptive parents' lifetime.

*Williams v. State*, 46 Misc. 2d 824, 260 N.Y.S.2d 963 (1965). Prenatal injuries - wrongful conception a tort against the child.

*Wilson v. Coughlin*, 147 N.W. 175 (Iowa 1966). No constitutional impediment to administrative transfer.

*Workman v. Commonwealth*, 429 S.W.2d 374 (Ky. 1968). Life imprisonment without benefit of parole is cruel and unusual punishment when applied to juvenile offenders.

*Wright v. Matthews*, 163 S.E.2d 158 (Va. 1968), 55 Va. L. Rev. 784, May 1969. Imprisonment of an indigent for failure to pay costs of prosecution violates Thirteenth Amendment.

*Yoo v. Moynihan, etc.*, 262 A.2d 814 (Conn. 1969). Student entitled to wear his hair as he pleases. 3 Civil Rights Dig. 5, June 1970.

## CONFESSIONS

ADMISSIBILITY OF CONFESSIONS OBTAINED IN VIOLATION OF JUVENILE CODE. *State v. Arbeiter*, 408 S.W.2d 26 (Mo. 1966). [In] COMMENTS, Wash. U.L.Q. 112, Winter 1967.

ADMISSIBILITY OF CONFESSION WHEN JUVENILE COURT WOULD HAVE JURISDICTION AT THE TIME CRIME WAS COMMITTED. *Harrison v. U.S.* 359 F.2d 214 (D.C. Cir. 1965). 18 S.C.L. Rev. 513, No. 3, 1966.

AGE OF DEFENDANT NOT GROUND FOR HOLDING CONFESSION INVOLUNTARY. *People v. De Flumer*, 16 N.Y.2d 20, 209 N.E.2d 93, 261 N.Y.S.2d 42 1965. 40 St. John's L. Rev. 286, No. 2, May 1966.

CRIMINAL LAW—EVIDENCE—JUVENILE CONFESSION OBTAINED IN VIOLATION OF STATUTE INADMISSIBLE. *State v. Shaw*, 378 P.2d 487 (Ariz. 1963). 5 Ariz. L. Rev., 1964.

CRIMINAL LAW—EVIDENCE: PRE-WAIVER ADMISSION OF JUVENILE INADMISSIBLE IN SUBSEQUENT CRIMINAL TRIAL. 46 Minn. L. Rev. 967, April 1962. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

THE CURIOUS CONFUSION SURROUNDING ESCOBEDO v. ILLINOIS. 32 U. Chi. L. Rev. 560-580, No. 3, Spring 1965.

THE DETERMINATION OF THE VOLUNTARINESS OF CONFESSIONS. *Jackson v. Denno*, 378 U.S. 368 (1964). 38 Temp. L.Q. 97, No. 1, Fall 1964.

See also 32 Fordham L. Rev. 352, No. 2, December 1963.

THE EFFECT OF THE MIRANDA CASE ON CONFESSIONS IN THE JUVENILE COURT. 5 Am. Crim. L.Q. 79, 1967.

FIFTEEN-YEAR-OLD'S CONFESSION DURING CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION CLAIMED UNCONSTITUTIONAL. *Michaud v. Robbins*, No. 7468 (U.S. Ct. App. 1st Cir. filed December 31, 1969). 3 Clearinghouse Rev. 312, March 1970.

Tompkins, Dorothy C.: THE CONFESSION ISSUE—FROM McNABB TO MIRANDA. Institute of Governmental Studies, U. Calif., Berkeley, California 94720, February 1968.

## CONFIDENTIALITY OF RECORDS

- Baum: WIPING OUT A CRIMINAL OR JUVENILE RECORD. 40 Calif. S.B.J. 816, 1965.
- COMPULSORY DISCLOSURE OF A NEWSMAN'S SOURCE: A COMPROMISE PROPOSAL. 54 Nw. U.L. Rev. No. 2, May-June 1959.
- CRIMINAL LAW—PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION—DEFENDANT DOES NOT HAVE RIGHT OF ACCESS TO CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS USED IN DETERMINING SENTENCE. *Morgan v. State* (Fla. 1962). 5 Ariz. L. Rev. 127, No. 1, Fall 1963.
- CRIMINAL LAW—PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION—RIGHT TO CONFRONTATION. 41 N.C. L. Rev., No. 2, Winter 1963.
- FEDERAL RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 32(C) (2): CONFIDENTIALITY OR CONSTITUTIONALITY? 2 Lincoln L. Rev. 66, No. 1, December 1966.
- Geis, Gilbert: PUBLICATION OF THE NAMES OF JUVENILE FELONS. 23 Mont. L. Rev., No. 2, Spring 1962.
- Gough, Aidan R.: THE EXPUNGEMENT OF ADJUDICATION RECORDS OF JUVENILE AND ADULT OFFENDERS. Wash. U.L.Q., April 1966, 147 (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau, 1966).
- Higgins, John P.: CONFIDENTIALITY OF PRESENTENCE REPORTS. 28 Albany L. Rev., No. 1, January 1964.
- Higgins, John P.: IN RESPONSE TO ROCHE. 29 Albany L. Rev. 225-230, No. 2, June 1965.
- INSPECTION OF PUBLIC RECORDS. [In] COMMENTS, 11 Kan. L. Rev. 157, No. 1, October 1962.
- Justice William O. Douglas: THE PUBLIC TRIAL AND THE FREE PRESS. 33 Rocky Mt. L. Rev., U. Colo., No. 1, December 1960.
- Krasnow, Edwin G.: SOCIAL INVESTIGATION REPORTS IN THE JUVENILE COURT - THEIR USES AND ABUSES. 12 Crime and Delinquency, No. 2, April 1966 (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau, 1966).
- THE POSITION FOR CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIVE APPROACH. 29 Albany L. Rev. 206, No. 2, June 1965.
- RECORDS—ACCESS TO RECORDS—RIGHT OF NEWSPAPER TO INSPECT. [In] RECENT CASES, 37 N.D. L. Rev., No. 2, April 1961.

Rappeport, Jack J.: SOME LEGAL ASPECTS OF JUVENILE COURT PROCEEDINGS. 46 Va. L. Rev., No. 5, June 1960.

Roche, Albert W.: THE POSITION OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT. 29 Albany L. Rev. 206-224, No. 2, June 1965.

SYMPOSIUM ON PRIVILEGE. 5 Nw. U.L. Rev., No. 2, May-June 1961. Covering husband-wife, lawyer-client, physician-patient privileges, and required reports.

## Statutes re Social Reports

- Cal. W. & I.C.A. (1961) sec. 701 "Probation officer's report admissible only at the dispositional phase of the hearing."
- N.Y.F.C.A. sec. 706 "Such reports may not be furnished to the court prior to the completion of a fact-finding hearing, but may be used in a dispositional hearing."
- N.Y.F.C.A. sec. 746(b) Court has discretion to decide whether to disclose report in whole or in part to law guardian, counsel, party in interest or other appropriate person.
- Ohio Rev. Code 2151.35 (1963) "Any report or part thereof concerning such child—shall for good cause shown be made available to any attorney-at-law representing the parents or guardian of child."
- Oregon Rev. Stat. (1961) 419.500(2) "For the purpose of determining proper disposition. . ."
- THE SOCIAL WORKER—CLIENT RELATIONSHIP AND PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS. Wash. U.L.Q., June 1965.
- Wyoming Stat. (1957) (1961) 14.108(c) "The written report concerning the social investigation of the child shall not be admissible as evidence to prove the fact or falsity of the matter, alleged to bring the child within the jurisdiction of this article."
- Wash. Rev. Code Ann. 13.04.230 (1961) Probation officer's investigation and report open for inspection of child, parent, guardian or attorney.

## CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

- ABILITY GROUPING IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS: A THREAT TO EQUAL PROTECTION? (In Comments, 1 Conn. L. Rev. 150, June 1968.
- ABORTION—CALIFORNIA STATUTE HELD UNCONSTITUTIONAL. In Notes 38 Ford. L. Rev. 557, March 1970.
- ABORTION—CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—CRIMINAL LAW—STATUTE PROHIBITING ABORTIONS NOT "NECESSARY TO PRESERVE" MOTHER'S LIFE HELD UNCONSTITUTIONAL. In Comments, 15 N.Y.L.F. 941, 1969.
- THE ADMISSIBILITY OF VOLUNTARY STATEMENTS MADE IN ABSENCE OF COUNSEL. *Massiah v. U.S.*, 377 U.S. 201 (1964). 16 Mercer L. Rev. 344, No. 1, Fall 1964.
- Arthur, Lindsay G.: SHOULD CHILDREN BE AS EQUAL AS PEOPLE? 45 N.D.L. Rev., Winter 1969.
- Bellfatto, Horace: THE CONSTITUTION IN JUVENILE COURT. 13 N.Y.L.F. 1, Spring 1967.
- CALIFORNIA ABORTION STATUTE UNCONSTITUTIONAL UNDER "VOID-FOR-VAGUENESS" DOCTRINE. 118 Temple L.Q. 302 Spring 1970.
- Carver, Lyell Henry, and White, Paul Anthony: CONSTITUTIONAL SAFEGUARDS FOR THE JUVENILE OFFENDER. 14 Crime and Delinquency 63, January 1968.
- CHILD PROTECTION LAW HELD ILLEGAL. *State v. Gallegos*, 384 P.2d 967, (Wyo. 1963). 36 U. Colo. L. Rev. 573, No. 4, Summer 1964.
- COMPULSORY CONTRIBUTION TO SUPPORT OF STATE MENTAL PATIENTS HELD DEPRIVATION OF EQUAL PROTECTION. *Department of Mental Hygiene v. Kirchner*, 60 Cal.2d 716, 388 P.2d 720, 36 Cal. Rptr. 488, cert. granted, 33 U.S.L. Week 3114, U.S., (October 13, 1964) No. 11. 39 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 858-865, No. 5, November 1964, 380 U.S. (1965); decision on remand 400 P.2d 321 (1965).
- Conover v. Montemura*, No. 69-765 (E.D. Pa., filed June 1969) On constitutionality of juvenile pre-trial procedure.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—ABORTION—1850 CALIFORNIA STATUTE PROHIBITING ALL ABORTIONS NOT "NECESSARY TO PRESERVE 'THE MOTHER'S' LIFE" IS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY VAGUE AND AN IMPROPER INFRINGEMENT OF WOMEN'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. 45 Notre Dame Law. 329.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—APPLICATION OF BASIC CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES TO JUVENILES. (Application of Gault, 87 S. Ct. 1428, 1967) 39 Miss. L.J. 121, December 1967.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: *APPLICATION OF BILLIE*, 436 P.2d 130 (Ariz. 1967) 10 Ariz. L. Rev. 211, Summer 1968.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—CALIFORNIA ABORTION STATUTE UNCONSTITUTIONAL UNDER "VOID-FOR-VAGUENESS" DOCTRINE. 43 Temp. L.Q. 302, 1970.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—CHILD PROTECTION LAW HELD UNCONSTITUTIONAL, *State v. Gallegos*, 384 P.2d 967, (Wyo. 1963). 36 U. Colo. L. Rev. 519-528, No. 4, Summer 1964.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—THE DETERMINATION OF THE VOLUNTARINESS OF CONFESSIONS. *Jackson v. Denno*, 378 U.S. 268 (1964). 38 Temp. L.Q. 97-101, No. 1, Fall 1964.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—DISCRIMINATION BASED UPON ILLEGITIMACY AS A DENIAL OF EQUAL PROTECTION. (In) Notes, 43 Tul. L. Rev. 383, February 1969.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—DOMESTIC RELATIONS—MISCEGENATION LAWS. (*Loving v. Virginia*, 87 S. Ct. 1817, 388 U.S. 1, 1967) 13 N.Y.L.F. 1970, Spring 1967.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—DUE PROCESS: RIGHT TO COUNSEL—JUVENILE COURT PROCEEDINGS—WAIVER OF JURISDICTION. *Kent v. U.S.*, 119 U.S. App. D.C. 378, 343 F.2d 247 (1964). 14 Catholic U.L. Rev. 272-278, No. 2, May 1965 (See *Kent v. U.S.*, cert. granted, 381 U.S. 902, 1965).

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS—INHERITANCE BY ILLEGITIMATES. *Strahan v. Strahan*, 304 F. Supp (W.D. La. 1969) 40. In Notes, Case Western L. Rev. Vol 21, No. 2, Feb 1970. pps 292-305.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—FEDERAL JUVENILE DELINQUENCY ACT. Waiver of jury trial held unconstitutional as a prerequisite to treatment as juvenile. 43 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 769, October 1968.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—AN INFANT'S RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY. In Comments, 22 S.C.L. Rev. 423, 1970.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. IN THE ABSENCE OF EITHER CONSENT OR A SEARCH WARRANT, A CASEWORKER CANNOT ENTER THE HOME OF A RECIPIENT OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE. 36 Brooklyn L. Rev. 273, Winter 1970.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—JUVENILE COURTS. *In re Carlo*, 225 A.2d 110 (N.J. 1967) [In] CASE NOTES, 16 Catholic U.L. Rev. 454, March 1967.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. LIFE IMPRISONMENT WITHOUT BENEFIT OF PAROLE IS CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT WHEN APPLIED TO JUVENILES. (*Workman v. Commw.* 429 S.W.2d 374 (Ky. 1968)) 3 Ga. L. Rev. 495, Winter 1969.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—LONG HAIR IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS. 4 U. Rich. L. Rev. 338 Spring 1970.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—MAY A STATE COMPEL A RELATIVE TO SUPPORT A MENTALLY ILL PERSON CONFINED IN A STATE MENTAL INSTITUTION? *Department of Mental Hygiene v. Kirchner*, 60 Cal.2d 716, 36 Cal. Rptr. 488, 388 P.2d 720 (1964). 14 Drake L. Rev. 141-144, No. 2, May 1965, 380 U.S. 194 (1965); decision on remand 400 P.2d 321 (1965).

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—MISCEGENATION, DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION. 388 U.S. 1, 87 S. Ct. 1817, 1967) 21 Ark. L. Rev. 439, Fall 1967.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—PENNSYLVANIA PRACTICE—RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN A DISPUTED PATERNITY PROCEEDING. *Commonwealth ex rel. Miller v. Dillworth*, 204 Pa. Super. 420, 205 A.2d 111 (1964). 38 Temp. L. Q. 342-346, No. 3, Spring 1965.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—REFUSAL TO HONOR A SUSPECT'S REQUEST TO CONSULT WITH HIS RETAINED COUNSEL DURING POLICE INTERROGATION DENIES DUE PROCESS OF LAW. *Escobedo v. Illinois*, 378 U.S. 478 (1964). 43 Texas L. Rev. 387, No. 3, February 1965.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—RIGHT OF A MINOR TO FIFTH AND SIXTH AMENDMENT GUARANTEES IN A QUASI-CRIMINAL JUVENILE PROCEEDING. 34 Brooklyn L. Rev. 110, Fall 1967.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—RIGHT TO COUNSEL AT PROBATION REVOCATION HEARING. *Perry v. Williard*, 427 P.2d 1020 (Ore. 1967); *Mempa v. Rhay*, 68 Wash.2d 882, 416 P.2d 104, (1966), cert. granted, 383 U.S. 907 (1967), 42 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 955.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—RIGHT TO COUNSEL—GUARDIAN AD LITEM MAY WAIVE MINOR DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO COUNSEL. *In re Mears*, 198 A.2d 27 (Vt. 1964). 9 Vill. L. Rev. 675-678, No. 4, Summer 1964.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—RIGHT TO COUNSEL—STATE COURTS SPLIT ON PROBATIONER'S RIGHTS TO COUNSEL AT REVOCATION HEARING. *Perry v. Williard*, 427 P.2d 1020 (Ore. 1967), 42 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 955, November 1967.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—RIGHT TO COUNSEL—STUDENT ENTITLED TO COUNSEL AT PUBLIC SCHOOL DISCIPLINARY HEARING. *Madera v. Bd. Ed.*, 267 F. Supp. 356, S.D.N.Y. 1967, appeal docketed, No. 31, 346 2d Cir., May 1967, 42 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 961.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL AND STANDARD OF PROOF APPLICABLE IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS LEFT UNRESOLVED. 36 Brooklyn L. Rev. 279, Winter 1970.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—RIGHT TO PRIVACY SINCE GRISWOLD V. CONN. (*Travers v. Paton*, 261 F. Supp. 110, Conn. 1966).

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—STATE RESIDENCE REQUIREMENTS FOR WELFARE AID HELD UNCONSTITUTIONAL. (*Thompson v. Shapiro*, 270 F. Supp. 331, (D. Conn. 1967) 52 Minn. L. Rev. 561, December 1967.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—TORTS—EQUAL PROTECTION OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN. (In) Case Comments, 71 W. Va. L. Rev. 52 December 1968.

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF JUVENILES: GAULT AND ITS APPLICATION. 9 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 492, Winter 1967.

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF PRISONERS: THE DEVELOPING LAW. [In] NOTES, U. Pa. L. Rev. 985, Vol. 110, No. 7, May 1962.

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—DEFENDANT IS NOT ENTITLED TO EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC FROM RAPE TRIAL. (*State v. White*, Arizona 1965, 398 2d 903, 1965.) 7 Ariz. L. Rev. 308-311, No. 2, Spring 1966.

DENIAL TO ILLEGITIMATES OF A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL DEATH IS VIOLATIVE OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE CONSTITUTION. 35 Brooklyn L. Rev. 135, Fall 1968.

*Denny v. Health and Social Services*, 285 F. Supp. 526 (Wis. 1968). One year residence requirement held unconstitutional in public assistance case.

*Department of Mental Hygiene v. Kirchner*, 36 Cal. Rptr. 488, 388 P.2d 720, Sup. Ct., cert. granted, 33 U.S.L. Week 3128, 1964. 380 U.S. 194 (1965); decision on remand, 400 P.2d 321 (1965).

Dixon, Robert G., Jr.: CONSTITUTIONAL BASES FOR REGIONALISM: CENTRALIZATION: INTERSTATE COMPACTS: FEDERAL REGIONAL TAXATION. 33 G.W. L. Rev. 47, No. 1, October 1964.

DUE PROCESS—VARIABLE STANDARD FOR DETERMINING OBSCENITY IN SELLING PUBLISHED MATERIAL TO MINORS. *Ginsberg v. New York*, 390 U.S. 629 (1968) 18 Am. U. L. Rev. 195, December 1968.

EQUAL PROTECTION AND PATERNITY, *Levy v. Louisiana*, 216 So.2d 818, (La. 1968) and *Gloria v. Am. Guar. & Liab. Ins. Co.*, 391 U.S. 68, 1968. (In) Comment, 16 Wel. L. Bull. 26, March 1969.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN—*Levy v. Louisiana*, 192 So.2d 193 (La. 1966) 10 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 247, Fall 1968.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS—INHERITANCE BY ILLEGITIMATES. 21 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 292, February 1970.

Evans, Walter H., Jr.: THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF JUVENILES or *Parens Patriae v. Due Process*, 4 Willamette L.J. 152, Fall 1966.

EXTENDING CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO JUVENILES—GAULT IN INDIANA. 43 Ind. L. J. 661, Spring 1968.

FAIRNESS IN JUVENILE COURT. [In] COMMENTS, 27 La. L. Rev. 606, April 1967.

FEDERAL RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 32(C) (2): CONFIDENTIALITY OR CONSTITUTIONALITY? 2 Lincoln L. Rev. 66, No. 1, December 1966.

Foote, Caleb: THE COMING CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS IN BAIL: I. 113 U. Pa. L. Rev. 959-999, No. 6, April 1965.



FREEDOM OF SPEECH—PARENTAL ADVICE ON BIRTH CONTROL. (*State v. McLaughlin*, 212 N.E.2d 635, Ohio 1965.) 17 W. Res. L. Rev. 614, No. 2, December 1965.

Frey, Martin A.: THE EFFECT OF THE GAULT DECISION ON THE IOWA JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM. 17 Drake L. Rev. 53, December 1967.

Gardner, Robert: GAULT AND CALIFORNIA. 19 Hastings L.J. 527, January 1968.

GAULT AND CIVIL COMMITMENT: EXTENSION OF CRIMINAL SAFEGUARDS TO "CIVIL" PROCEEDINGS. (In) Comments, 2 Creighton L. Rev. 149, Fall 1968.

Gross, Hyman: THE CONCEPT OF PRIVACY. (*Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 1965). 42 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 34, No. 1, March 1967.

INCARCERATION OF WISCONSIN JUVENILE DELINQUENTS IN ADULT PRISONS CHALLENGES. 3338. *Edwards v. Schmidt*. 4 Clearinghouse Rev. 41, May 1970.

IN RE GAULT: CHILDREN ARE PEOPLE. [In] COMMENTS, 55 Calif. L. Rev. 1204, October 1967.

IN RE GAULT AND THE COLORADO CHILDREN'S CODE. 44 Denver L.J. 644, Fall 1967.

*In re Heninger*, Crm. 14091 (Cal. Sup. Ct.). California law requiring payment of a public defender's fee by a minor's parent or guardian challenged as unconstitutional. 3 Clearinghouse Rev. 279, February 1970.

JUVENILE COURTS—CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN JUVENILE PROCEEDING. *DeBacker v. Brainard*, 183 Neb. 461, 161 N.W. 2d 508 (1969) 14 St. Louis U.L.J. 158, Fall 1969.

JUVENILE COURTS—USE OF PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE AS A STANDARD OF PROOF IN A JUVENILE DELINQUENCY HEARING SATISFIES DUE PROCESS. *State v. Santana*, 444 S.W. 2d 614 (Texas 1969) 48 Texas L. Rev. 230, December 1969.

JUVENILES ENTITLED TO CONSTITUTIONAL SAFEGUARDS IN DELINQUENCY CASES. *Application of Gault*, 87 S. Ct. 1428 (Arizona), 12 Soc. Wel. Ct. Dig. 3, No. 8, August 1967.

Katin, Ernest: GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT: THE JUSTICES AND CONNECTICUT'S "UNCOMMONLY SILLY LAW." 42 Notre Dame Law. 680, No. 5, June 1967.

Ketcham, Orman W.: GUIDELINES FROM GAULT. 53 Va. L. Rev. 1700, December 1967.

*King v. Smith*, 88 S. Ct. 2128 (Ala. 1968). Alabama's "substitute father" regulation held invalid.

Krause, Harry D.: THE BASTARD FINDS HIS FATHER. 3 Fam. L. Q. 100, June 1969.

A LAW THAT ALLOWS AN ABORTION ONLY WHEN "NECESSARY TO PRESERVE" THE LIFE OF THE MOTHER VIOLATES A QUALIFIED CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO AN ABORTION AND IS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY VAGUE. *People v. Belous*, 80 Cal. Rptr. 354 (1969); Cert. denied, 38 U.S.L.W. 3320 (U.S. Feb. 24, 1970). In Notes, 48 Texas L. Rev. 937, May 1970.

LEGISLATION REQUIRING CHILD TO SUPPORT MOTHER IN STATE ASYLUM IS A DENIAL OF EQUAL PROTECTION. *Department of Mental Hygiene v. Kirchner*, 60 Cal. 2d 716, 388 P.2d 720, cert. granted, 85 Sup. Ct. 39 (1964). 63 Mich. L. Rev. 562-568, No. 3, January 1965, 380 U.S. 194 (1965); decision on remand 400 P.2d 321 (1965).

LEGISLATION REQUIRING CHILD TO SUPPORT MOTHER IN STATE ASYLUM IS A DENIAL OF EQUAL PROTECTION. *Mental Hygiene v. Hawley*, 288 P.2d 720, cert. granted, 85 Sup. Ct. 39 (1964). 63 Mich. L. Rev. 562, No. 3, January 1965.

LIABILITY OF RELATIVES FOR SUPPORT OF THE MENTALLY ILL IN STATE INSTITUTIONS. *Department of Mental Hygiene v. Kirchner*, 60 Cal.2d 716, 388 P.2d 720, 36 Cal. Rptr. 488, (1964). 16 Hast. L. J. 129-134, No. 2, November 1964, 380 U.S. 194 (1965); decision on remand 400 P.2d 321 (1965).

Linde, Hans: CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR—JUSTICE DOUGLAS ON FREEDOM IN THE WELFARE STATE. 40 Wash. L. Rev. 10-77, No. 1, April 1965.

MIRANDA GUARANTEES IN THE CALIFORNIA JUVENILE COURT. [In] COMMENTS, 7 Santa Clara Law. 114, No. 1, Fall 1966.

NINTH AMENDMENT—RIGHT OF MARITAL PRIVACY VIOLATED BY CONNECTICUT'S ANTI-BIRTH-CONTROL LAW. (*Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381, U.S. 479, 1965.) 40 Tul. L. Rev. 418-424, February 1966.

*Perry v. Grenada Municipal Separate School District*, No. W.C-6736-S (N.D. Miss. June 20, 1969). Exclusion of unwed mothers from school held unconstitutional in absence of hearing.

THE PETTY OFFENDER'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL: THE DENIAL. In *Duncan v. Louisiana*, 36 Tenn. L. Rev. 763. Summer 1969.

Pirsig, Maynard E.: THE CONSTITUTIONAL VALIDITY OF CONFINING DISRUPTIVE DELINQUENTS IN PENAL INSTITUTIONS. 54 Minn. L. Rev. 101, November 1969.

POLICE DETENTION AND INTERROGATION OF UNCOUNSELLED SUSPECTS. B.U.L. Rev. 423, No. 4, Fall 1964.

PRESERVING THE RIGHT TO CONFRONTATION—A NEW APPROACH TO HEARSAY EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL TRIALS. 113 U. Pa. L. Rev. 741, No. 5, 1965.

Quick, Charles W.: CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IN THE JUVENILE COURTS. 12 How. L.J., No. 1, Winter 1966.

A RE-EVALUATION OF SCHOOL APPEARANCE REGULATIONS: IS FREE CHOICE IN GROOMING ACCORDED CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION? In Comments 15 S.D.L. Rev. 94 Winter 1970.

A REFRESHING APPROACH TO THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY—THE NINTH AMENDMENT. (*Griswold v. State of Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479, 1965.) 5 Washburn L.J. 286-291, No. 2, Spring 1966.

REGAN—INFANTS—CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND THE JUVENILE COURTS—THE NEED FOR NATIONAL UNITY AND FEDERAL INTERVENTION. [In] NOTES, 5 Vill. L. Rev. 107, 116 *et. seq.* (1959).

RIGHT OF PRIVACY. (Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479, 1965.) 28 U. Colo. L. Rev. 267-270, No. 2, Winter 1966.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. U.S. ex rel. *Russo v. N.J.*, 351 F.2d 429 (3d Cir. 1965).

THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL DURING POLICE INTERROGATION. *Escobedo v. Illinois*, 378 U.S. 478 (1964). 25 Md. L. Rev. 165, No. 2, Spring 1965.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL DURING POLICE INVESTIGATION. U. Ill. L.F. 804-814, No. 4, Winter 1964.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL—STUDENT HELD ENTITLED TO COUNSEL AT PUBLIC SCHOOL DISCIPLINARY HEARING. *Madera v. Bd. of Ed.*, 267 F. Supp. 356 (N.Y. 1967), appeal docketed May 22, 1967.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN A DISPUTED PATERNITY PROCEEDING. *Miller v. Dillworth*, 204 Pa. Super. 420, 205 A.2d 111 (1964). 38 Temp. L. Q. 342, No. 3, Spring 1965.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS. (*Commonwealth v. Johnson*, 211 Pa. Super. 62, 234, A.2d 9, 1967) 29 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 330, December 1967.

Rubin, Joseph L.: CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IN JUVENILE COURT. 16 Clev. - Mar. L. Rev. 477, September 1967.

Rubin, Ted, and Shaffer, Richard S.: CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS FOR THE JUVENILE. 44 Den L.J. 66, No. 1, Winter 1967.

SCHOOL DRESS CODES—A STUDENT'S RIGHT TO CHOOSE HIS HAIR STYLE AND LENGTH. 59 Ky. L. J. 238, 1970.

*Scoville v. Bd. of Ed.*, 286 F. Supp. 988 (N.D. Ill., 1968). Expulsion of students who distributed publications in high school held not to violate the protection afforded by first amendment.

Sherman, Peter R.: "NOR CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS INFLICTED." 14 Crime and Delinquency 73, January 1968.

*Smith v. Hill et al*, 285 F. Supp. 556 (N.C. 1968). Vagrancy statute unconstitutional.

STATES MAY NOT TERMINATE FEDERALLY FUNDED AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN SOLELY ON THE BASIS OF A SUBSTITUTE FATHER REGULATION. *King v. Smith* 392 U.S. 308 (Ala. 1968) 47 Texas L. Rev. 349, January 1969.

STATUTORY VAGUENESS LAW: THE SUPREME COURT AND MATTIELLO V. CONNECTICUT. Univ. of Pa. L. Rev., Vol. 118, No. 1, Nov. 1969.

WELFARE RESIDENCY REQUIREMENTS VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT—*Shapiro v. Thompson*, 394 U.S. 618 (1969) 21 Syr. L. Rev. 303, 1969.

WHAT CONSTITUTES PUNISHMENT? 39 Notre Dame Law. 594, No. 5, August 1964.

White, Welsh S.: THE FOURTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF PAROLEES AND PROBATIONERS. 31 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 167, Winter 1969.

*Whittington v. Barr, et al.*, No. 455 (Ohio Ct. App., 5th Dist., writ of mandamus granted Oct. 15, 1968); 17 Wel. L. Bull. 27, June 1969. Petitioner, a juvenile, charged with an offense which would be second degree murder, if adult, entitled to attend school "under the laws and Constitution of Ohio and the United States," pending adjudication of his case.

Wiley, Robert J.: OHIO'S POST-GAULT JUVENILE COURT LAW. 3 Akron L. Rev. 152, Spring 1970.

*Wright v. Matthews*, 163 S.E.2d 158 (Va. 1968) 55 Va. L. Rev. 784, May 1969. Imprisonment of an indigent for failure to pay costs of prosecution violates Thirteenth Amendment.

## COUNSEL

- Allison, Junius L.: COUNSEL IN THE JUVENILE COURT. *Federal Probation*, 25 March 1966.
- Bowman, Addison M.: APPEALS FROM JUVENILE COURTS. *Crime and Delinquency*, January 1965.
- Burger, Warren E.: A SICK PROFESSION. 27 *Fed. B.J.* 228, Summer 1967.
- Christensen, Barlow F.: REGULATING GROUP LEGAL SERVICES: WHO IS BEING PROTECTED—AGAINST WHAT—AND WHY. 11 *Ariz. L. Rev.* 229, Summer 1969.
- Countryman, Vern: THE SCOPE OF THE LAWYER'S PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY. 26 *Ohio St. L. J.*, No. 1, Winter 1965 (Symposium).
- DEFENDANT ENTITLED TO REFUSE COUNSEL AND DEFEND SELF. *Atomic Energy Law Journal*, Vol. 1, No. 3, Summer 1959.
- IN DEFENSE OF YOUTH: A CASE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER IN JUVENILE COURT. 43 *Ind. L. J.* 617, Spring 1968.
- Gordon, Victor M.: INFANTS' LIABILITY FOR LEGAL SERVICES. 6 *Wm. & Mary L. Rev.* 105-155, No. 2, April 1965.
- Greenspun, Julian: ROLE OF THE ATTORNEY IN JUVENILE COURT. 18 *Clev. St. L. Rev.* 599, September 1969.
- INDIGENT PARENTS IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS: THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL. *Law and the Social Order*, Vol 1969, No. 3, pps 467-475.
- IN RE GAULT: UNDERSTANDING THE ATTORNEY'S NEW ROLE. [In] COMMENTS, 12 *Vill. L. Rev.* 803, Summer 1967.
- THE JUVENILE AT WAIVER: IS COUNSEL REQUIRED. 43 *Wash. L. Rev.* 639, March 1968.
- McGee, Henry W., Jr.: LAY ADVOCACY AND "LEGAL SERVICES TO YOUTH": SUMMARIES ON THE USE OF PARA-LEGAL AIDES. 47 *J. Urban L.* 127, 1969-70.
- McKesson: RIGHT TO COUNSEL IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS. 45 *Minn. L. Rev.* 843, 1961.
- PARENT SEEKS COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL TO REPRESENT HER IN JUVENILE COURT PROCEEDINGS. 3334 *Herrera v. Calif. Sup. Ct.*, 4 *Clearinghouse Rev.* 41 May 1970.
- Platt, Anthony and Friedman, Ruth: THE LIMITS OF ADVOCACY: OCCUPATIONAL HAZARDS IN JUVENILE COURT. 116 *U. Pa. L. Rev.* 1156, May 1968.

PROBATIONERS' RIGHT TO COUNSEL AT REVOCATION HEARING. (*People v. Hamilton*, 271 N.Y.S.2d 694, N.Y. 1966.) 18 *S.C.L. Rev.* 705, No. 4, 1966.

RECENT DECISIONS - WILL SUPREME COURT REQUIRE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL FOR INDIGENT JUVENILES? 37 *St. John's L. Rev.* 358-365 (1963).

Riederer, Henry J.: THE ROLE OF COUNSEL IN JUVENILE COURT. *Institute Report of Massachusetts of Juvenile Court Judges*, 1961 (April).

THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL: A Symposium. 45 *Minn. L. Rev.*, No. 5, April 1961.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL AT PROBATION REVOCATION: MEMPA V. RHAY IN THE LOWER COURTS. In *Comments* 56 *Iowa L. Rev.* 199 October 1970.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL AT THE TIME OF SENTENCE—NEW YORK'S FORGOTTEN GUARANTEE. 27 *Brooklyn L. Rev.*, No. 1, December 1960.

THE RIGHT TO NON-LEGAL COUNSEL DURING POLICE INTERROGATION. In *Notes*, 70 *Colum. L. Rev.* 757, April 1970.

Schinitsky, Charles: THE ROLE OF THE LAWYER IN CHILDREN'S COURT. *Record of N.Y.C.B.A.* 10-26, Vol. 17, No. 1, January 1962. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Siler, Eugene E., Jr.: THE NEED FOR DEFENSE COUNSEL IN THE JUVENILE COURT. 11 *Crime and Delinquency*, January 1965.

Skoler, Daniel L. and Tenney, Charles W., Jr.: ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION IN JUVENILE COURT. 4 *J. Fam. L.*, U. Louisville, 77-98, Spring 1964. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Skoler, Daniel L.: COUNSEL IN JUVENILE COURT PROCEEDINGS—A TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE PERSPECTIVE. 8 *J. Fam. L.* 243, Summer 1968.

Skoler, Daniel L.: THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL AND THE ROLE OF COUNSEL IN JUVENILE COURT PROCEEDINGS. 43 *Ind. L. Rev.* 558, Spring 1968.

*State ex rel Johnson v. Anders*, Fla. Cir. Ct. Dade County, filed July 14, 1969. On right to counsel at sentencing phase of juvenile proceedings.

*Thompson v. Morrow*, 292 N.Y.S.2d 974 (Sup. Ct. 1968). No valid waiver by youth of right to counsel entitles him to release on writ of habeas corpus.

WAIVER OF COUNSEL BY MINOR DEFENDANTS. (*State v. Meeks*, 396 S.W.2d 306 Ark. 1966.) 3 *Tulsa L.J.* 193, No. 2, June 1966.

Watson, Andrew S.: THE LAWYER AS COUNSELOR. 5 *J. Fam. Law*, U. Louisville, No. 1, Spring 1965.

## COURTS

- Algase: **THE RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL IN JUVENILE COURTS.** 3 J. Fam. Law 292 (1963).
- Allen: **THE JUVENILE COURT AND THE LIMITS OF JUVENILE JUSTICE.** 11 Wayne L. Rev. 676 (1965).
- Antieau, Chester James: **CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IN JUVENILE COURTS.** 46 Cornell L.Q. 387-415, Number 3, Spring 1961. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- APPLICATION OF GAULT: ITS EFFECT ON JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS IN TEXAS.** 20 Baylor L. Rev. 113, Winter 1968.
- Beemsterboer, Matthew J.: **THE JUVENILE COURT—BENEVOLENCE IN THE STAR CHAMBER.** J. Crim. L., C. & P. S., Vol. 50, No. 5, 1960. (Reproduced by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Berg, Renee: **SOCIAL WORK PRACTICE AND THE TREND TOWARD A LEGALISTIC JUVENILE COURT.** 47 Social Casework 93-97, No. 2, February 1966. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Boches, Ralph E.: **JUVENILE JUSTICE IN CALIFORNIA: A REEVALUATION.** 19 Hastings L.J. 47, November 1967.
- Brown, Howard G.: **JUVENILE COURTS AND THE GAULT DECISION: BACKGROUND AND PROMISE.** 15 Children 86, May-June 1968. (reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Children's Bureau).
- Cogan, Neil Howard: 22 S.C. L. Rev. 147, 1970.
- Cohen, Albert K.: **AN EVALUATION OF GAULT BY A SOCIOLOGIST.** 43 Ind. L.J. 614, Spring 1968.
- Cook, Douglas and Loraine M.: **THE LAWYER AND THE SOCIAL WORKER—COMPATIBLE CONFLICT.** 12 Buffalo L. Rev. 410-419, No. 3, June 1963. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Cooperrider, Luke K., Professor of Law, University of Michigan: **THE RULE OF LAW AND THE JUDICIAL PROCESS.** 59 Mich. L. Rev., No. 4, February 1961.
- COURTS: DUE PROCESS AND THE OKLAHOMA JUVENILE COURT.** (In) Notes 22 Okla. L. Rev. 71, February 1969.

**DELINQUENCY AND DENIED RIGHTS IN FLORIDA'S JUVENILE COURT SYSTEM.**  
20 U. Fla. L. Rev. 369, Winter 1968.

- Downs, William T.: **JUVENILE COURTS AND THE GAULT DECISION: AN INVITATION TO INNOVATION.** 15 Children 90, May-June 1968. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Children's Bureau).
- Downs, William T.: **ORDER IN THE COURT.** Children, July-August 1962. (Reproduced by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Eastman, Harry L.: **THE JUVENILE COURT JUDGE'S JOB.** NPPA (National Probation and Parole Association), Journal, Vol. 5, No. 4, October 1959. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Ferster, Elyce Zenoff; Courtless, Thomas F.; Snethen, Edith Nash: **SEPARATING OFFICIAL AND UNOFFICIAL DELINQUENTS: JUVENILE COURT INTAKE.** 55 Iowa L. Rev. 864, April 1970.
- Fox, Sanford J.: **RESPONSIBILITY IN THE JUVENILE COURT.** 11 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 659, Spring 1970.
- Freer, Alice B.; Sheridan, William H.; Perlman, I. Richard and Gant, Duplain R.: **DELINQUENT CHILDREN IN PENAL INSTITUTIONS.** U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau. Children's Bureau Publication 415. Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Printing Office, 1964.
- FUNCTIONAL OVERLAP BETWEEN THE LAWYER AND OTHER PROFESSIONALS: Its Implications for the Privileged Communications Doctrine.** 71 Yale L.J. 1226-1273, No. 7, June 1962. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Gardner, Robert: **THE KENT CASE AND THE JUVENILE COURT.** 52 A.B.A.J. 923, No. 10, October 1966.
- Gill, Thomas D.: **THE LEGAL NATURE OF NEGLECT.** NPPA (National Probation and Parole Association) Journal, Vol. 6, No. 1, January 1960. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Glen, Jeffrey E.: **DEVELOPMENTS IN JUVENILE AND FAMILY COURT LAW.** Crime and Delinquency 295, April 1969.
- Glen, Jeffrey E.: **JUVENILE COURT REFORM: PROCEDURAL PROCESS AND SUBSTANTIVE STATIS.** 1970 Wisc. L. Rev. 431.
- Gough, Aidan R.: **REFEREES IN CALIFORNIA'S JUVENILE COURTS: A STUDY IN SUBJUDICIAL ADJUDICATION.** 19 Hastings L.J. 3, November 1967.
- Handler, Joel F.: **THE JUVENILE COURT AND THE ADVERSARY SYSTEM: Problems of Function and Form.** 1965 Wis. L. Rev. 7, 18-19.

Harper, Fowler and Miriam: **LAWYERS AND MARRIAGE COUNSELING.** J. Fam. L., U. Louisville 73-82, Vol. 1, No. 1, Spring 1961. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Haviland, Camilla Klein: **DADDY WILL TAKE CARE OF YOU: THE DICHOTOMY OF THE JUVENILE COURT.** 17 Kan. L. Rev. 317, January 1969.

Hinsdale, C.E.: **NO MORE HORSE AND BUGGY COURTS IN NORTH CAROLINA.** 53 Popular Govt. 1, Sept. 1968. Institute of Government, U.N.C., Chapel Hill.

Holden, James S.: **THE JUVENILE AND THE JUDICIAL FUNCTION.** 31 Albany L. Rev. 197, No. 2, June 1967.

**INFORMAL DISPOSITION OF DELINQUENCY CASES: Survey and Comparison of Court Delegation of Decision Making.** Wash. U.L.Q., April 1965.

**IN RE GAULT AND THE COLORADO CHILDREN'S CODE.** [In] NOTES, 4 Den. L.J. 644, Fall 1967.

**IN RE GAULT—SUPREME COURT FORMALIZES JUVENILE COURT PROCEDURE.** 5 Tulsa L.J. 43, January 1968.

**JUSTICE FOR THE CHILD - THE JUVENILE COURTS IN TRANSITION.** Rosenheim, ed. New York: The Free Press of Glencoe, 1962.

**THE JUVENILE COURT ACT.** 2 Tulsa L. J. 157-161, No. 2, June 1965.

**JUVENILE COURT PROCEDURES BEYOND GAULT.** [In] COMMENTS, 32 Albany L. Rev. 126, Fall 1967.

**THE JUVENILE COURT'S ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITIES.** 7 Crime and Delinquency 337 (1961).

**JUVENILE COURTS: THE GAULT CASE—NEW PROCEDURAL RIGHTS FOR JUVENILES.** (Application of Gault, 87 S.Ct. 1428, 1967) 20 U. Fla. L. Rev. 120, Summer 1967.

**JUVENILE COURTS—INSANITY DEFENSE NO BAR TO ADJUDICATION OF DELINQUENCY.** In Comments, 72 W. Va. L. Rev. 307, June 1970.

**JUVENILE COURTS.** *In re Winship*, 90 S. Ct. 1068 (1970). In Recent Cases 10 J. Fam. L. 93, 1970.

**JUVENILE COURTS—JUVENILES IN DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS ACCORDED SAME RIGHTS AS ADULTS IN CRIMINAL TRIALS.** 20 Vand. L. Rev. 1161, October 1967.

**JUVENILE COURTS—WAIVER OF JURISDICTION—IN RE WHITTINGTON.** 245 N.E. 2d 364, (Ohio, 1969). 31 Ohio St. L. J. 623, Summer 1970.

**JUVENILE DELINQUENT ENTITLED TO HEARING IN QUESTION OF WAIVER OF JURISDICTION.** (*Kent v. U.S.*, 383 U.S. 541, 1966.) 19 Vand. L. Rev. 1385, No. 4, October 1966.

**JUVENILE DELINQUENTS: THE POLICE, STATE COURTS, AND INDIVIDUALIZED JUSTICE.** [In] NOTES, 79 Harv. L. Rev. 775; No. 4, February 1966. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Ketcham, Orman W.: **GUIDELINES FROM GAULT: REVOLUTIONARY REQUIREMENTS AND REAPPRAISAL.** 53 Va. L. Rev. 1700, December 1967.

Ketcham, Orman W.: **AN INTERNATIONAL REPORT ON JUVENILE COURT ACHIEVEMENTS AND DEFICIENCIES.** 6 J. Fam. Law 191, No. 2, Winter 1966.

Ketcham, Orman W.: **THE JUVENILE COURT FOR 1975.** 40 Social Service Rev. 283, No. 3, September 1966.

Ketcham, Orman W.: **LEGAL RENAISSANCE IN THE JUVENILE COURT.** 60 Nw. U. L. Rev., No. 5, November-December 1965.

Ketcham, Orman W.: **THE UNFULFILLED PROMISE OF THE JUVENILE COURT.** [In] Justice for the Child, (Rosenheim ed.). New York: Free Press of Glencoe, 1962.

Ketcham, Orman W. and Paulsen, Monrad G.: **CASES AND MATERIALS RELATING TO JUVENILE COURTS.** Brooklyn: Foundation Press, 1967.

Keve, Paul W.: **A DIRECTOR OF COURT SERVICES LOOKS AT THE COURT AND ITS STAFF.** 17 J. Ct. J. 88, No. 3, Fall 1966.

Lefstein, Norman: **IN RE GAULT—JUVENILE COURTS AND LAWYERS.** 53 A.B.A.J. 811, September 1967.

Lemert, Edwin M.: **LEGISLATING CHANGE IN THE JUVENILE COURT.** 1967 Wisc. L. Rev. 421, No. 2, Spring 1967.

**A LOOK AT THE CALIFORNIA JUVENILE COURT—PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE.** 1 Linc. L. Rev. 166, No. 2, June 1966.

Ludwig, Frederick J.: **RATIONALE OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR YOUNG OFFENDERS.** 29 Neb. L. Rev., No. 4, May 1950.

McDonough, T. James: **THE JUVENILE COURT AND JUDICIAL REFORM IN ARKANSAS.** 22 Ark. L. Rev. 17, Spring 1968.

McLean, Daniels W.: **AN ANSWER TO THE CHALLENGE OF KENT.** 53 A.B.A.J. 456, May 1967.

Miller, Arthur Selwyn: **THE CHANGING ROLE OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.** 25 The Modern L. Rev., No. 6, November 1962.

**MINNESOTA JUVENILE COURT RULES, SYMPOSIUM.** 54 Minn. L. Rev. 301, December 1969.

For a review of Missouri Juvenile Court Act see: 27 Mo. L. Rev. 406, No. 3, June 1962. Also reviews law in area of child custody.

- Molloy: JUVENILE COURT—A LABYRINTH OF CONFUSION FOR THE LAWYER. 4 Ariz. L. Rev. 1 (1962).
- Mountford, Helen and Berenson, Harvey S.: WAIVER OF JURISDICTION: THE LAST RESORT OF THE JUVENILE COURT. 18 U. Kansas L. Rev. 55, Fall 1969.
- Moylan, Charles E., Sr.: COMMENTS ON THE JUVENILE COURT. 25 Md. L. Rev. 310-317, No. 4, Fall 1965.
- National Probation and Parole Association: GUIDES FOR JUVENILE COURT JUDGES. New York: 1957.
- National Probation and Parole Association: STANDARD FAMILY COURT ACT. Prepared by the Committee on the Standard Family Court Act of the National Probation and Parole Association in cooperation with the National Council of Juvenile Court Judges and the U.S. Children's Bureau. New York: NPPA, 1959.
- Ojemann, Ralph H. and Fahr, Samuel M.: THE USE OF SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE KNOWLEDGE IN LAW. 48 Iowa L. Rev. 59-75, No. 1, Fall 1962. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- THE PARENS PATRIAE THEORY AND ITS EFFECT ON THE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS OF JUVENILE COURT POWERS. 27 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 894, No. 4, June 1966.
- Paulsen, Monrad: CHILDREN'S COURT, GATEWAY OR LAST RESORT? 10 Colum. U.F. 4, No. 2, Summer 1967.
- Paulsen, Monrad: THE JUVENILE COURT AND THE LAW. 11 Wayne L. Rev. 597, No. 3, 1965.
- Paulsen, Monrad G.: JUVENILE COURTS AND THE LEGACY OF 1967. 43 Ind. L.J. 527, Spring 1968.
- THE PENNSYLVANIA LEGISLATURE, THE JUVENILE COURTS, AND THE GHOST OF H355—A NEED FOR RECONSIDERATION. 70 Dick. L. Rev. 357, No. 3, Spring 1966.
- Polow, Betram: THE JUVENILE COURT: EFFECTIVE JUSTICE OR BENEVOLENT DESPOTISM. 53 A.B.A.J. 31, January 1967.
- Pound, Roscoe: RUNAWAY COURTS IN A RUNAWAY WORLD. 10 U.C.L.A. L. Rev. 729-738, (1963).
- PROBLEMS OF AGE AND JURISDICTION IN THE JUVENILE COURT, [In] COMMENT, 19 Vand. L. Rev. 833, No. 3, June 1966.
- REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE COURTS. 16 Syracuse L. Rev. 600-612, No. 3, Spring 1965.
- RIGHTS AND REHABILITATION IN THE JUVENILE COURT. [In] NOTES, 67 Colum. L. Rev. 281, February 1967.

- Rosenheim, Margaret F., STANDARDS FOR JUVENILE AND FAMILY COURTS: OLD WINE IN A NEW BOTTLE. 1 Fam. L.Q. 25, No. 1, March 1967.
- Rubin, Sol: THE JUVENILE COURT SYSTEM IN EVOLUTION. 2 Valparaiso L. Rev. 1, Fall 1967.
- Rubin, Tex, Judge, and Smith, Jack F., Referee: THE FUTURE OF THE JUVENILE COURT 19 J. Ct. J. J. 98, Fall 1968.
- THE RULE-MAKING POWER OF THE COURT. 24 La. L. Rev., April 1964.
- RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR JUVENILE COURT PROCEEDINGS IN THE MINNESOTA PROBATE—JUVENILE COURTS. 54 Minn. L. Rev. 457, December 1969.
- Schornhorst, F. Thomas: THE WAIVER OF JUVENILE COURT JURISDICTION: KENT REVISITED. 43 Ind. L.J. 583, Spring 1968.
- Seaton, Richard H.: AMENDING THE KANSAS JUVENILE CODE. 16 Kan. L. Rev. 277, January 1968.
- Shears, Curtis C.: LEGAL PROBLEMS PECULIAR TO CHILDREN'S COURTS. 48 A.B.A.J., No. 8, August 1962.
- Sheridan, William H.: DOUBLE JEOPARDY AND WAIVER IN JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS. Fed. Prob. 43-47, December 1959. (Reproduced by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Sheridan, William H., NEW DIRECTIONS FOR THE JUVENILE COURT. Federal Probation, June 1967.
- Sheridan, William H. and Brewer, Edgar W.: THE FAMILY COURT. Children, March-April 1957. (Reproduced by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Sheridan, William H. and Mancini, Pat O.: ON BECOMING A JUVENILE COURT JUDGE. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau. Children's Bureau Series No. 1, Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Printing Office, 1961.
- Sheridan, William H. and Mancini, Pat O.: A SOCIAL WORKER TAKES A CASE INTO COURT. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau. Children's Bureau Series No. 2, Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Printing Office, 1962. Reprinted 1963.
- Skoler, Daniel L.: WHAT YOU SHOULD KNOW ABOUT. . . JUVENILE COURTS AND YOUNG LAWYERS. Student Law J. 5-8, 25-27, December 1964. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Sloane, Homer W.: THE JUVENILE COURT: AN UNEASY PARTNERSHIP OF LAW AND SOCIAL WORK. 5 J. Fam. Law, No. 2, Fall 1965.

**SOCIAL WORK PRACTICE AND THE TREND TOWARD A LEGALISTIC JUVENILE COURT.** 47 Social Casework 93, No. 2, February 1966. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

**SYMPOSIUM—JUVENILE COURTS AND JUVENILE DELINQUENCY.** 43 Ind. L.J. 523-660, Spring 1968.

**TEN LEADING CASES.** Juvenile Court Judges Journal, Vol. 15, No. 3, Fall 1964.

Tenney, Charles W., Jr.: **THE UTOPIAN WORLD OF JUVENILE COURTS.** 383 Annals 101, May 1969.

Terry, Robert M.: **THE SCREENING OF JUVENILE OFFENDERS.** 58 J. Crim. L., C. & P.S. 173, No. 2, June 1967.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau: **STANDARDS FOR JUVENILE AND FAMILY COURTS.** Children's Bureau Publication 437. Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Printing Office, 1966.

Utah Juvenile Court Act, Utah Code Ann. 55-10-63 to -123 (Supp. 1965) establishes a separate state juvenile system.

Watson, Andrew W.: **ON THE LOW STATUS OF THE CRIMINAL BAR: Psychological Contributions of the Law School.** 43 Texas L. Rev. 289-311, February 1965. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Weinstein, Noah and Goodman, Corrine R.: **A CONSTRUCTIVE RESPONSE FOR JUVENILE COURTS.** 53 A.B.A.J. 257, March 1967.

Welch, Thomas A.: **KENT V. U.S. AND IN RE GAULT: TWO DECISIONS IN SEARCH OF A THEORY.** 19 Hastings L.J. 29, November 1967.

Wendell, Mitchell: **THE INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES: Development and Operation.** J. Pub. L., Vol. 8, No. 2, 1959. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

White, James P.: **THE JUVENILE AND THE COURT: A CONTINUING DIALOGUE.** 44 Notre Dame Law Rev. 209, Winter 1968.

Whitlatch, Walter G.: **THE JUVENILE COURT A COURT OF LAW.** 18 W. Res. L. Rev. 1239, No. 4, May 1967.

Woodson, Fred W.: **LAY PANELS IN JUVENILE COURTS.** 51 A.B.A.J. 1141-44, No. 12, December 1965.

Youngusband, Eileen L.: **THE DILEMMA OF THE JUVENILE COURT.** Social Service Review, March 1959. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

## COURT ADMINISTRATION AND ORGANIZATION

**BIBLIOGRAPHY OF MATERIAL ON THE JUDGE AND HIS ROLE IN AMERICAN JURISPRUDENCE.** 2 Tulsa L. J. 166-179, June 1965.

**COLORADO'S NEW COURT SYSTEM.** 41 Denver L. Cen. J. 129, No. 3, May-June 1964.

**COURT MODERNIZATION. (Missouri Merit Plan).** 49 J. Am. Jud. Soc'y., No. 7, December 1965.

**D.C. JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS: Apprehension to Disposition.** 49 Geo. L.J. 322, 1960.

**Domestic Relations and Juvenile Courts - The North Carolina Courts Commission Bill of 1965. (Abolished all courts inferior to the Superior Court, on a set schedule between 1966 and 1971. After 1971 there will be no specialized courts—there will be a statewide system of district courts with general civil and criminal jurisdiction, including cases affecting the family unit.)** Popular Government, September 1965, published by Institute of Government, N.C.U.

Finley, Robert C.: **UPGRADING COURT ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION: A Small Blueprint For a Big Job.** Duke L.J. 322-328, No. 2, Spring 1965.

Garwood, W. St. John: **DEMOCRACY AND THE POPULAR ELECTION OF JUDGES: AN ARGUMENT.** 16 Sw. L.J., No. 2, 1962.

Hyde, Laurance M.: **THE MISSOURI METHOD OF CHOOSING JUDGES.** J. Am. Jud. Soc'y., Vol. 41, No. 3, October 1957.

Journal of Public Law, Vol. 12, No. 2, 1963.

**JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION: A Solution to Congestion.** 68 Dick. L. Rev., Winter 1963-64.

**THE JUVENILE COURT ACT.** 2 Tulsa L.J. 157, No. 2, June 1965.

Karlen, Delmar: **THREE QUARTERS OF A CENTURY IN JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION.** 32 Tenn. L. Rev., No. 3, Spring 1965.

King, Donald B.: **JUVENILE COURT HEARINGS: A NEED FOR REFORM.** [In] JUVENILE COURT HEARING OFFICERS TRAINING MANUAL, The Institute of Continuing Legal Education, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1965.

Sheridan, William H.: **STRUCTURING SERVICES FOR DELINQUENT CHILDREN AND YOUTH.** 31 Federal Probation 51, September 1967. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Children's Bureau).

SYMPOSIUM: SOCIAL SCIENCE APPROACH TO THE JUDICIAL PROCESS. 79 Harv. L. Rev. 1551, No. 8, June 1966.

Winters, Glenn R.: SELECTION OF JUDGES—AN HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION. 44 Texas L. Rev. 1081, No. 3, Summer 1966.

## CRIMINAL LAW

Allen: THE BORDERLINE OF THE CRIMINAL LAW. 32 Social Science Rev. 107 (1958).

American Enterprise Institute: CRIME AND LAW ENFORCEMENT: College Debate Series. Washington, D.C. (Special Analysis No. 16), 1965.

Belli, Melvin M.: LIVELIEST CASES OF THE CRIMINAL LAW. 75 Case and Comment 20, March-April 1970.

COMPENSATION FOR THE VICTIMS OF CRIMINAL VIOLENCE. 40 St. John's L. Rev. 67-75, No. 1, December 1965.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE *IN EXTREMIS*: ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE DURING THE APRIL 1968 CHICAGO DISORDER. 36 U. Chi. L. Rev. 455, Spring 1969.

CRIMINAL LAW—INFANTS—JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION OF A TEENAGE OFFENDER. *Foster v. State*, 400 S.W.2d 552 (Texas 1966) 43 N. Dak. L. Rev. 351, No. 2, Winter 1967.

CRIMINAL LAW—NEW YORK AUTHORIZES POLICE TO 'STOP AND FRISK' ON REASONABLE SUSPICION. 78 Harv. L. Rev. 473-477, No. 2, December 1964.

CRIMINAL LAW—REFORMS—GREAT BRITAIN APPROVES COMPENSATION PROGRAM FOR VICTIMS OF CRIMINAL VIOLENCE. 78 Harv. L. Rev. 1683-1686, No. 6, April 1965.

CRIMINAL LAW—THE RIGHT OF THE STATE TO APPEAL IN CRIMINAL CASES. 42 N.C.L. Rev. 887-909, No. 4, June 1964.

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—RIGHT OF POLICE TO 'STOP AND FRISK'. *People v. Rivera*, 14 N.Y.2d 441, 201 N.E.2d 32, 252 N.Y.S.2d 458 (1964). 10 N.Y.L.F. 410-414, No. 4, December 1965.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT—COURT ADOPTS FEDERAL TEST. *Workman v. Commonwealth*, 429 S.W. 2d 374 (Ky. 1968). Life imprisonment without benefit of parole is cruel and unusual punishment when applied to juvenile offenders. 58 Ky. L. J. 93 1969-70.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA LIMITS TRIAL COURTS' DISCRETION TO DENY REQUEST FOR PRESENTENCE STUDY. *Leach v. U.S.*, 334 F.2d 945 (D.C. Cir. 1964). 17 Stan. L. Rev. 754, No. 4, April 1965.

Edwards, J. L. J.: COMPENSATION TO VICTIMS OF CRIMES OF PERSONAL VIOLENCE. Federal Probation, 3, June 1966.



FEDERAL ESCAPE ACT—What Custody Necessary to Constitute Escape a Crime. *Frazier v. U.S.*, 339 F.2d 745 (D.C. Cir. 1964). 17 S.C. L. Rev. 568, No. 4, 1965.

Frankel, Lionel: CRIMINAL OMISSIONS: A LEGAL MICROCOSM. 11 Wayne L. Rev. 367, 1964.

Gill, Douglas: CURRENT TRENDS IN CRIMINAL LAW AND ITS ADMINISTRATION. Pop. Government, U.N.C., June 1968.

Goldstein, Joseph: POLICE DISCRETION NOT TO INVOKE THE CRIMINAL PROCESS: Low Visibility Decision in the Administration of Justice. 69 Yale L.J., No. 4, March 1960. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Haward, L. R. C.: A PSYCHOLOGISTS' CONTRIBUTION TO LEGAL PROCEDURE. 27 Modern L. Rev. 356-668, No. 6, November 1964.

INFANTICIDE—REQUIREMENT THAT THE VICTIM BE BORN ALIVE. 3 Akron L. Rev. 84, Fall 1969.

INTERROGATION OF CRIMINAL SUSPECTS. 59 Nw. U. L. Rev. 660, No. 5, November-December 1964.

THE JUVENILE OFFENDER AND SELF INCRIMINATION. 40 Wash L. Rev. 189, No. 1, April 1965.

LaFave, Wayne R. and Remington, Frank J.: CONTROLLING THE POLICE: The Judge's Role in Making and Reviewing Law Enforcement Decisions. 63 Mich. L. Rev. 978-1012, No. 6, April 1965.

THE LAW OF ARREST: Constitutionality of Detention and Frisk Acts. 59 Nw. U.L. Rev. 641, No. 5, November-December 1964.

THE LAW OF CITIZEN'S ARREST. 65 Colum. L. Rev. 502-513, No. 5, May 1965.

Louisell, David W.: CRIMINAL DISCOVERY AND SELF-INCRIMINATION: Roger Traynor Confronts the Dilemma. 53 Calif. L. Rev. 89-102, No. 2, May 1965.

Ploscowe, Morris: REPORT TO THE HAGUE: Suggested Revision of Penal Law Relating to Sex Crimes and Crimes Against the Family. 50 Cornell L. Q. 425-445, No. 2, Winter 1965.

POLICE POWER TO STOP, FRISK AND QUESTION SUSPICIOUS PERSONS. 65 Colum. L. Rev. 848, No. 5, May 1965.

POPULAR MISCONCEPTION REGARDING POLICE INTERROGATIONS OF CRIMINAL SUSPECTS. 14 Buffalo L. Rev. 274-277, No. 2, Winter 1965.

THE PRESENTENCE REPORT: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF ITS USE IN THE FEDERAL CRIMINAL PROCESS. In Notes 58 Geo. L. J. 451, February 1970.

PRESERVING THE RIGHT TO CONFRONTATION—A NEW APPROACH TO HEARSAY EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL TRIALS. 113 U. Pa. L. Rev. 741-768, No. 2, May 1965.

THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL DURING POLICE INTERROGATION. *Escobedo v. Illinois*, 378 U.S. 478, 1964. 25 Md. L. Rev. 165-176, No. 2, Spring 1965.

RIGHTS OF SOCIETY v. RIGHTS OF THE INDIVIDUAL IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF CRIMINAL LAW. 3 Duquesne U.L. Rev. 73-82, No. 1, Fall 1964.

Ronayne, John A.: THE RIGHT TO INVESTIGATE AND NEW YORK'S STOP AND FRISK LAW. 33 Ford. L. Rev. 211-238, No. 2, December 1964.

Rubin, Sol: DEVELOPMENTS IN CORRECTIONAL LAW. 12 Crime and Delinquency 179, No. 2, April 1966.

Scaccia, Dante M.: FEDERAL COMMITMENT PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS. 15 Syracuse L. Rev. 669-678, No. 4, Summer 1964.

*Spinelli v. United States*: SEARCHING FOR PROBABLE CAUSE. 30 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 735, Summer 1969.

THE TASKS OF PENOLOGY: A SYMPOSIUM ON PRISONS AND CORRECTIONAL LAW. (Part I) 45 Neb. L. Rev. 7-98, No. 1, January 1966.

TRIAL PRACTICE: Power of Trial Court to Order a Party to Submit to a Mental Examination. *Whitte v. Fullerton*, 376 P.2d 244, (Okla. 1962). 17 Okla. L. Rev. 350-353, No. 3, August 1964.

THE TRUMPET SOUNDS: GIDEON—A FIRST CALL TO THE LAW SCHOOL. 43 Texas L. Rev. 312, No. 3, February 1965.

USE OF PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION IN MISSOURI. Wash. U.L.Q. 396-411, No. 3, June 1964.

Vorenberg, James: POLICE DETENTION AND INTERROGATION OF UNCOUNSELLED SUSPECTS: The Supreme Court and the States, 44 B.U.L. Rev. 423-434, No. 4, Fall 1964.

Wright, J. Skelly: THE RENAISSANCE OF THE CRIMINAL LAW. 4 Duquesne L. Rev. 213, No. 2, Winter 1965.

## CUSTODY

- Bodenheimer, Brigitte M.: THE UNIFORM CHILD CUSTODY JURISDICTION ACT: A LEGISLATIVE REMEDY FOR CHILDREN CAUGHT IN THE CONFLICT OF LAWS. 22 Vand. L. Rev., November 1969.
- CHILD CUSTODY REMOVAL TO A FOREIGN JURISDICTION. (In) Notes, 21 Baylor L. Rev. 121, 1969.
- CHILD CUSTODY: STATUTORY PREFERENCE IN FAVOR OF MOTHER IS NOT APPLICABLE IN PROCEEDINGS TO MODIFY A PRIOR CUSTODY ORDER. 6 Tulsa L. J. 176, March 1970.
- DIVIDED CUSTODY OF CHILDREN. [In] NOTES, 8 J. Family L. 58, Spring 1968.
- GUARDIAN AND WARD—JURISDICTION TO AWARD CUSTODY. *Falco v. Grills*, 161 S.E.2d 713 (Va. 1968) 71 W. Va. L. Rev. 69, December 1968.
- Hightower v. Nocedal*, 429 S.W.2d 657 (Tex. Cir. App. 1968). Child custody removal to a foreign country.
- JUVENILE COURTS—CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS. (*DeBacker v. Brainard*, 183 Neb. 461, 161 N.W.2d 508 (1969). In Recent Developments, 14 St. Louis U. L. J. Fall 1969.
- Kauten v. Kauten*, 261 A.2d 759 (Md. 1970). 15 No. 5 Soc. Welf. Ct. Dig. 1, May 1970. Child awarded to mother divorced for adultery.
- Leavell, Carol: CUSTODY DISPUTES AND THE PROPOSED MODEL ACT. 2 Ga. L. Rev. 162, Winter 1968.
- A NEW APPROACH TO CHILD CUSTODY. 6 Idaho L. Rev. 159, Fall 1969.
- NON-PARENT VERSUS GRANDPARENT. *Pruitt v. Key*, 203 So.2d 450 (Ala. 1969). Grandparent's custody of the child upheld. 75 Case and Com. 55, May-June 1970.
- THE USE OF PSYCHIATRIC EXAMINATION IN CHILD CUSTODY PROCEEDINGS. [In] NOTES, 16 Kan. L. Rev. 403, April 1968.
- Watson, Andrew S., M.D.: THE CHILDREN OF ARMAGEDDON: PROBLEMS OF CUSTODY FOLLOWING DIVORCE. 21 Syr. L. Rev. 55, 1969.

## DELINQUENCY

- Bener, Laretta, M.D.: A PSYCHIATRIST LOOKS AT DEVIANCY AS A FACTOR IN JUVENILE DELINQUENCY. 32 Federal Probation 35, June 1968.
- Blane, Victor H. and Stout, Juanita Kidd: 'SUITABLE HOME'—ONE SUGGESTION FOR PREVENTION OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY. 34 Temple L.Q., No. 2, Winter 1964.
- Burns: WELFARE AND SOCIAL PROGRESS IN THE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY. 5 Cleve.-Mar. L. Rev. 35 (1965).
- Catalino, Anthony: A PRERELEASE PROGRAM FOR JUVENILES IN A MEDIUM—SECURITY INSTITUTION. 31 Federal Probation 41, December 1967.
- CONTEMPORARY STUDIES PROJECT: JUVENILE DELINQUENCY IN IOWA. 53 Iowa L. Rev. 1119, April 1968.
- CRIME AND DELINQUENCY ABSTRACTS. National Institute of Mental Health, Vol. 4, Nos. 1-2, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, 1966.
- DEFECTIVE DELINQUENTS—COURTS WHICH HEAR DEFECTIVE DELINQUENT PROCEEDINGS HAVE NO AUTHORITY TO GRANT NEW TRIALS. *Austin v. Director*, Patuxent Institution, 245 Md. 206, 225 A.2d 466 (1967) and *Creswell v. Director*, Patuxent Institution, 1 Md. App. 8, 226 A.2d 158 (1967) 27 Md. L. Rev. 319, Summer 1967.
- DELINQUENCY AND DEVIANT SOCIAL BEHAVIOR. British Journal of Criminology, Vol. 5, No. 3, July 1965.
- "DELINQUENT CHILD": A LEGAL TERM WITHOUT MEANING. 21 Baylor L. Rev. 352 Summer 1969.
- Fisk, Winston M.: COMMENTARY—LAW FOR THE CAMPUS. 38 G.W. L. Rev. 1006, July 1970.
- Glueck, Sheldon and Glueck, Eleanor: DELINQUENTS AND NON DELINQUENTS IN PERSPECTIVE. In Book Reviews 83 Harv. L. Rev. 1455 April 1970.
- Glueck, Sheldon: SOME UNFINISHED BUSINESS IN THE MANAGEMENT OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY. Syracuse L. Rev., Summer 1964.
- Gorlich, Elizabeth H., and Gilman, Merritt: GROUP COUNSELING WITH DELINQUENT YOUTH. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Children's Bureau. Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Printing Office, 1968.

- Gorlich, Elizabeth H.: GUIDES FOR DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS FOR YOUTH SERVICE BUREAUS. C.B. 14-1969. Social and Rehabilitation Service, U.S. Dept. of HEW.
- Gorlich, Elizabeth H.: VOLUNTEERS IN INSTITUTIONS FOR DELINQUENTS. Mental Health Digest, Jan. 1968, p. 16.
- Haskell, Paul G.: JUDICIAL REVIEW OF SCHOOL DISCIPLINE. 21 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 211, Feb. 1970.
- Hawes, Alexander B.: GAULT AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. 17 Am. U. L. Rev. 153, March 1968.
- Holton, Thomas: PREVENTION OF DELINQUENCY THROUGH LEGAL COUNSELING. 68 Colum. L. Rev. 1080, June 1968.
- In re Lloyd*, 308 N.Y. S.2d 419 (1970). A "neglected child" not a "person in need of supervision." 15 Soc. Welf. Dig. 3, August 1970.
- JUDICIAL NOTICE OF MODERN YOUTH'S PROPENSITY FOR FIGHTING. 19 Clev. St. L. Rev. 359, May 1970.
- JUVENILE DELINQUENCY—COLORADO'S UNASSUMED BURDEN. 36 U. Colo. L. Rev. 519-528, No. 4, Summer 1964.
- JUVENILE DELINQUENCY: THE HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF JUVENILE COURTS. [In] NOTES, 12 N.Y.L.F. 644, Winter 1966.
- JUVENILE DELINQUENTS: THE POLICE, STATE COURTS, AND INDIVIDUALIZED JUSTICE. [In] NOTES, 79 Harv. L. Rev. 775, No. 4, February 1966.
- JUVENILE DELINQUENTS TO BE GIVEN CHANCE WITHOUT INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL. *In re Walter*, 172 N.W. 2d 603, (N.D. 1969). 15 Soc. Welf. Ct. Dig. 5, March 1970.
- Loble, Lester H., and Ylie, Max: DELINQUENCY CAN BE STOPPED. [In] BOOK REVIEWS, 17 Am. U. L. Rev. 390, March 1968. Review by Alfred D. Noyes.
- Logan and Kleeman: BERLIN REPORT: JUVENILE DELINQUENCY BEFORE THE WALL AND AFTERWARDS. Wash. U.L.Q. 296, 1963.
- Luger, Milton: THE DELINQUENCY ADMINISTRATOR—A "MIRACLE WORKER" WITH A DILEMMA. 42 State Gov't 142, Summer 1969.
- Manella, Raymond L.: POST-INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES FOR DELINQUENT YOUTH. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Children's Bureau. Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Printing Office, 1967.
- Pirsig, Maynard E.: JUVENILE DELINQUENCY AND CRIME: Legislative Achievements in Minnesota in 1959. 44 Minn. L. Rev., No. 3, January 1960.

- PUBLIC HOUSING: PRIOR JUVENILE DELINQUENCY AND RECORD OF ARRESTS UPHELD AS GROUNDS FOR DENIAL OF ADMISSION TO PUBLIC HOUSING. *Manigo v. N.Y.C. Housing Auth.*, N.Y.L.J., Aug. 10, 1966, p. 9, col. 4. Welf. L. Bull. 7, No. 5, October 1966.
- Pucinski, Roman C.: A WAY OUT OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY. 54 A.B.A.J. 33, January 1968.
- Robins, Lee N., and Hill, Shirley Y.: ASSESSING THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF FAMILY STRUCTURE, CLASS AND PEER GROUPS TO JUVENILE DELINQUENCY. 57 J. Crim. L., C. & P. S., No. 3, September 1966.
- Rubin, Sol: LEGAL DEFINITIONS OF OFFENSES BY CHILDREN AND YOUTHS. U. III. L.F. 512-523, Winter 1960. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Schepes, Erwin: DELINQUENT CHILDREN AND WAYWARD CHILDREN. 32 Federal Probation 42, June 1968.
- Sheridan, William H.: DELINQUENT CHILDREN SHORTCHANGED IN JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL PROGRAM. 3 Trial 48, Feb.-March 1967. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Children's Bureau).
- Sheridan, William H.: GAPS IN STATE PROGRAMS FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS. Children, November-December 1962. (Reproduced by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Skoler, Daniel L.: NEW DIRECTIONS FOR FEDERAL AID FOR CRIME AND DELINQUENCY CONTROL—AN ANALYSIS. 45 J. Urban L. 259, Winter 1967.
- Smith, Sydney: DELINQUENCY AND THE PANACEA OR PUNISHMENT. Menninger Found., Federal Probation, September 1965.
- United Nations: COMPARATIVE SURVEY OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY. Part I. North America. New York: United Nations, 1952.

## DETENTION

Bogomolny, Robert L. and Sonnenreich, Michael R.: THE BAIL REFORM ACT OF 1966: ADMINISTRATIVE TAIL WAGGING AND OTHER LEGAL PROBLEMS. 11 *Ariz. L. Rev.* (page) Summer 1969.

Ferster, Elyce Zenoff; Snethen, Edith Nash; Courtless, Thomas F.: JUVENILE DETENTION: PROTECTION OR PUNISHMENT? 38 *Ford. L. Rev.* 161, December 1969.

## DOMESTIC RELATIONS

ADOPTED CHILD CANNOT TAKE INTESTATE FROM NATURAL RELATIVES. (*Aciero v. Hager*, 397 S.W.2d 50, Kentucky 1965.) 12 *Wayne L. Rev.* 893-899, No. 4, Summer 1966.

ADOPTED CHILDREN AS "ISSUE". (*Matter of the Estate of Park*, 15 N.Y.2d 413, 207 N.E.2d 859, 260 N.Y.S.2d 169, 1965.) 51 *Cornell L.Q.* 875, No. 4, Summer 1966.

ADOPTION—EQUITABLE ADOPTION MUST BE BASED ON CONTRACT. 50 *Minn. L. Rev.* 499, No. 3, January 1966. (*In re Estate of Rowe*, 269 Minn. 557, 132 N.W.2d 180, 1964).

ADOPTION OF PERSONS UNDER SEVENTEEN IN LOUISIANA. 36 *Tul. L. Rev.* 201 (1962).

THE "ADVERSARY" PROCESS IN CHILD CUSTODY PROCEEDINGS. [In] *NOTES*, 18 *W. Res. L. Rev.* 1731, July 1967.

AGE OF MAJORITY STATUTE—EIGHTEEN OR TWENTY-ONE? (*Commonwealth v. Hallahan*, 391 S.W.2d 378, Ky 1965.) 55 *Ky. L.J.* 182, No. 1, 1966.

Baum, Victor J.: A TRIAL JUDGE'S RANDOM REFLECTIONS ON DIVORCE: The Social Problem and What Lawyers Can Do About It. 11 *Wayne L. Rev.* 451-481, No. 2, Winter 1965.

Bishop, Arthur N.: DIVORCE AND THE BEDROOM. 8 *J. Fam. L.* 361, Fall 1968.

Bodenheimer, Brigitte M.: NEW APPROACHES OF PSYCHIATRY: IMPLICATION FOR DIVORCE REFORM. 1970 *Utah L. Rev.* 191, April 1970.

Bodenheimer, Brigitte M.: REFLECTIONS ON THE FUTURE OF GROUNDS FOR DIVORCE. 8 *J. Fam. L.* 179, Summer 1968.

Bodenheimer, Brigitte M.: THE UTAH MARRIAGE COUNSELLING EXPERIMENT: An Account of Changes in Divorce Law and Procedure. 7 *Utah L. Rev.* 443-477, No. 4, Fall 1961. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Bradway, John S.: COLLUSION AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST IN THE LAW OF DIVORCE. 47 *Cornell L. Q.*, No. 3, Spring 1962.

Brown, L. Neville: FRENCH DIVORCE LAW AND PRACTICE: AN ENGLISH VIEW. 8 *J. Fam. L.* 219, Summer 1968.

CASENOTE. Father's estate liable for child support payments occurring after his death by virtue of property-settlement made a part of the divorce decree. (*Hutchings v. Bates*, 393 S.W.2d 338, Tex. Cir. App. Corpus Christi, 1965, writ filed) 44 *Texas L. Rev.* 345-349, April 1966.

CHILD CUSTODY. (*Painter v. Bannister*, 140 N.W.2d 152, Iowa 1966.) 8 Ariz. L. Rev. 163, No. 1, Fall 1966.

CHILD IS LEGITIMATE FOR ALL PURPOSES IF A VOIDABLE MARRIAGE, WHICH IS LATER ANNULLED, OCCURS AFTER CONCEPTION OF THE CHILD. (*Home of the Holy Infancy v. Kaska*, 397 S.W.2d 208 Texas 1965.) 44 Texas L. Rev. 1028-1032, No. 5, April 1966.

Conway, Paul McLane: TO INSURE DOMESTIC TRANQUILITY: RECONCILIATION SERVICES AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE DIVORCE ATTORNEY. 9 J. Fam. L. 408, 1970.

Couch, Harvey: TOWARD A MORE REALISTIC DIVORCE LAW. 43 Tul. L. Rev. 243, February 1969.

CUSTODY BETWEEN A NATURAL PARENT AND THIRD PARTY—THE BEST INTERESTS TESTS. 41 Tul. L. Rev. 148, No. 1, December 1966.

CUSTODY OF CHILD—A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION THAT GRANDPARENTS WOULD BEST SERVE INTEREST OF THE CHILD, SUPPORTS AWARDS OF CUSTODY TO GRANDPARENTS RATHER THAN FATHER. (*Painter v. Bannister*, Iowa 1966.) 4 San Diego L. Rev. 181, January 1967.

THE CUSTODY QUESTION AND CHILD NEGLECT REHEARINGS. 35 U. Chi. L. Rev. 478, Spring 1968.

Daube, David: DIVIDING A CHILD IN ANTIQUITY. 54 Calif. L. Rev. 1630, No. 4, October 1966.

DEDUCTIBILITY OF THE LEGAL EXPENSES OF DIVORCE. 14 Kan. L. Rev. 85, 1965.

DISPENSING WITH PARENTAL CONSENT IN INDIANA ADOPTION PROCEEDINGS. 40 Ind. L. J. 378, No. 3, Spring 1965.

DIVISIBLE DIVORCE IN MARYLAND—DOES IT EXIST? In Notes and Comments, 30 Md. L. Rev. 63, Winter 1970.

DIVORCE RECOGNITION—A TWO-HEADED MONSTER: FULL FAITH AND CREDIT AND DUE PROCESS. (In) Comment, 30 Ohio St. L. J. 311, Spring 1969.

DIVORCE REFORM—ONE STATE'S SOLUTION. [In] COMMENTS, 1967 Duke L.J. 956, October 1967.

DIVORCE—SUBDIVISION (5) SEC. 170 OF DOM. REL. ACT WHICH PROVIDES FOR NON-FAULT DIVORCE AFTER DECREE OF SEPARATION, HAS RETROACTIVE EFFECT. *Gleason v. Gleason* (N.Y. Ct. App. 1970) 34 Albany L. Rev. 715.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS—CARE AND SUPPORT OF MENTALLY ILL—CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATUTORY PROVISIONS FOR SUPPORT. 13 Kan. L. Rev. 298-304, No. 2, December 1964.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS—CUSTODY AND VISITATION. [In] NOTES, 20 Baylor L. Rev. 253, Spring 1968.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS—THE RELIGIOUS FACTOR IN CUSTODY AWARDS. [In] COMMENTS, 17 J. Pub. L., Emory L. Sch. 193, 1968.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS—SUPPORT OF INSANE PERSONS—STATUTE IMPOSING LIABILITY ON RELATIVES FOR SUPPORT OF THE INSANE HELD UNCONSTITUTIONAL. 39 Notre Dame Law 723-727, No. 6, 1964.

DRUG ADDICTION PER SE AS GROUNDS FOR ABSOLUTE DIVORCE. (In) Case Comment, 8 J. Fam. L. 278, Summer 1968.

DUTY TO SUPPORT A CHILD NOT FORMALLY ADOPTED. (*Chestnut v. Chestnut*, South Carolina 1966.) 18 S.C.L. Rev. 541-543, No. 3, 1966.

Ehrenzweig, Albert A.: THE INTERSTATE CHILD AND UNIFORM LEGISLATION: A Plea for Extralittigious Proceedings. 64 Mich. L. Rev. 1-12 No. 1, November 1965.

FAMILY LAW—ADOPTION—CUSTODY AND REQUIREMENT OF CONSENT. (In re Adoption of Moriarty, 152 N.W.2d 218, Iowa 1967) 53 Iowa L. Rev. 751, December 1967.

FAMILY LAW: Order Out of Chaos. 53 Calif. L. Rev. 121, No. 1, March 1965.

A FATHER'S RIGHT TO VISIT HIS ILLEGITIMATE CHILD. (*Commonwealth v. Rozanski*, 206 Pa. Super. 397, 213 A.2d 155, 1965; *Wallace v. Wallace*, 60 Ill. App. 2d 300, 210 N.E.2d 4, 1965.) 27 Ohio St. L.J. 738-745, No. 4, Fall 1966.

FEDERAL JURISDICTION—DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP—VALIDITY OF A FOREIGN DIVORCE DECREE. *Spindel v. Spindel*, 283 F. Supp. 9 797 (N.Y. 1968). 54 Iowa L. Rev. 390, October 1968.

FEDERAL JURISDICTION IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES. *Spindel v. Spindel*, 283 F. Supp. 797 (N.Y. 1968). 28 Md. L. Rev. 376, Fall 1968.

A FIT PARENT MAY BE DEPRIVED OF CUSTODY. (*Painter v. Bannister*, 140 N.W.2d 151, Iowa 1966.) 4 Houston L. Rev. 131, No. 1, Spring-Summer 1966.

Foster, Henry H., CURRENT TRENDS IN DIVORCE LAW. 1 Fam. L.Q. 21, No. 2, June 1967.

Freed, Doris Jonas and Foster, Henry H. Jr.: DIVORCE AMERICAN STYLE. 383 Annals 71, May 1969.

Furlong, Robert E.: YOUTHFUL MARRIAGE AND PARENTHOOD: A THREAT TO FAMILY STABILITY. 19 Hastings L.J. 105, November 1967.

Hammer, Philip L.: DIVORCE REFORM IN CALIFORNIA: THE GOVERNOR'S COMMISSION ON THE FAMILY AND BEYOND. 9 Santa Clara Law 32, Fall 1968.

Hansen, Robert W.: THE ROLE AND RIGHTS OF CHILDREN IN DIVORCE ACTIONS. 6 J. Fam. Law, No. 1, Spring 1966.

- Hartman, Morris N.: ANNULMENT OF MARRIAGE 383 Annals 89, May 1969.
- IMPOTENCE OF WIFE GROUNDS FOR ANNULMENT. *T. v. M.*, 242 A.2d 670 (N.J. 1968). 13 Soc. Wel. Ct. Dig. 1, Nov. 1968.
- INFANTS—TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS. [In] NOTES, 14 Kan. L. Rev. 117, 1965. (*Lennon v. State*, 193 Kan. 685, 396 p. 2d 290, 1964).
- Infausto, Felix and Shanley, Mildred A., ANNUAL REVIEW OF DECISIONS AND STATUTORY REVISIONS AFFECTING ADOPTIONS—1965-1966. 1 Fam. L.Q. 10, No. 1, March 1967.
- Jiudice, Joseph: ADOPTIONS AND THE BLACK MARKET. N.Y.S.B.J., 171, April 1966.
- Katz, Sanford N.: FOSTER PARENTS VERSUS AGENCIES—A CASE STUDY IN THE JUDICIAL APPLICATION OF "THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILD" DOCTRINE. 65 Mich. L. Rev. 145, No. 3, March 1966.
- Kubie, Lawrence S., M.D.: PROVISIONS FOR THE CARE OF CHILDREN OF DIVORCED PARENTS: A New Legal Instrument. 73 Yale L. J. 1197-1200, No. 8, July 1964.
- Kung, Armand D.: SURVEY: FAMILY LAW. 12 Wayne L. Rev. 319, No. 1, Fall 1965.
- Lawless, William B.: COMPULSORY CONCILIATION FOR NEW YORK? 14 Buffalo L. Rev. 457, No. 3, Spring 1965.
- \*LEGISLATIVE AND JUDICIAL RECOGNITION OF THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN CUSTODY AND TERMINATION ORDERS IN CHILD NEGLECT CASES. [In] NOTES, 7 J. Family Law 66, No. 1, Spring 1967.
- Levine, David N., CHILD CUSTODY: IOWA CORN AND THE AVANT GARDE. 1 Fam. L.Q. 3, No.1, March 1967.
- Lippert, Freda Jane: THE NEED FOR A CLARIFICATION OF THE PUTATIVE FATHER'S LEGAL RIGHTS. 8 J. Fam. L. 398, Fall 1968.
- Mace, David R.: MARRIAGE BREAKDOWN OR MATRIMONIAL OFFENSE: A Clinical or Legal Approach to Divorce? 14 Am. U. L. Rev. 178-188, No. 2, June 1965.
- MARRIAGE ANNULMENT DENIED ALTHOUGH MARRIAGE STATUTE NOT FULLY OBSERVED. *Parker v. Saileau*, 213 S.2d 190 (La. 1968). 14 Soc. Wel. Ct. Dig. 1, February 1969.
- A MARRIED WOMAN CAN GIVE BIRTH TO AN ILLEGITIMATE CHILD. *Fitzsimmons v. DeCicco*, 44 Misc.2d 307, 253 N.Y.S.2d 603, (Fam. Ct. 1964). 16 Syracuse L. Rev. 683-685, No. 3, Spring 1965.
- A NEW APPROACH TO CHILD CUSTODY. 6 Idaho L. Rev. 159, Fall 1969.
- NEW COLORADO STATUTES GOVERN PROCEDURE IN CONTESTED CHILD CUSTODY CASES. 40 U. Colo. L. Rev. 485 (1968).

- OFFERS OF RECONCILIATION IN MARYLAND DIVORCE LAW. In Notes and Comments, 30 Md. L. Rev. 49, Winter 1970.
- PARENT AND CHILD—AN ABROGATION OF THE IMMUNITY DOCTRINE. (*Gaudreau v. Gaudreau*, 215 A.2d 695, N.H. 1965.) 42 N.D.L. Rev. 451, No. 6, May 1966.
- PARENT AND CHILD—CUSTODY AND CONTROL. (*Painter v. Bannister*, 140 N.W.2d 152, Iowa 1966.) 79 Harv. L. Rev. 1710, No. 8, June 1966.
- PARENT AND CHILD—CUSTODY JUDGE'S USE OF EXTRA RECORD INQUIRY IN CHILD CUSTODY CASES. 34 Albany L. Rev. 473, Winter 1970.
- Pierce v. Secretary of U.S. Dept. of H.E.W.*, 254 A.2d 46 (Me. 1969). Common law marriage not valid in Maine.
- Ploscowe, Morris: ALIMONY. 383 Annals 13, May 1969.
- PROTECTION OF CHILD'S INTEREST IN CUSTODY DISPUTES. 19 Okla. L. Rev. 193, No. 2, May 1966.
- PROVISIONS FOR THE CARE OF CHILDREN OF DIVORCED PARENTS. 73 Yale L. J. 1197, No. 7, June 1964.
- THE PUZZLE OF JURISDICTIONS IN CHILD CUSTODY ACTIONS. 38 U. Colo. L. Rev. 541, No. 4, Summer 1966.
- RELATIVE RIGHTS OF AN ADOPTED CHILD AND A NATURAL CHILD TO TAKE UNDER A WILL. (*Matter of Park*, 15 N.Y.2d 413, 207 N.E.2d 859, 260 N.Y.S.2d 169, 1965.) 30 Albany L. Rev. 178-181, No. 1, January 1966.
- Steincipher, John Richard. THE ADOPTED CHILD AND TESTAMENTARY CLASS GIFTS. 1 Gonzaga L. Rev. 31-51, No. 1, March 1966.
- Tenny, Charles W. Jr.: DIVORCE WITHOUT FAULT: THE NEXT STEP. 46 Neb. L. Rev. 24, No. 1, January 1967.
- TORTS: ILLEGITIMATE CHILD ALLOWED ACTION FOR "WRONGFUL LIFE". (*Williams v. State*, 46 Misc.2d 824, 260 N.Y.S.2d 953, Ct. Cl. 1965.) 50 Minn. L. Rev. 593, No. 3, January 1966.
- TORTS—RECOVERY FOR TORT COMMITTED AT CONCEPTION. (*Williams v. State*, 260 N.Y.S.2d 953, 1965.) 40 Tul. L. Rev. 685-690, No. 3, April 1966.
- TORTS—THE TORT OF CONCEPTION. (*Williams v. State*, 260 N.Y.S.2d 953 Ct. Cl. 1965.) 34 U.M.K.C.L. Rev. 148, No. 1, Winter 1966.
- TRENDS AND DEVELOPMENTS IN OREGON FAMILY LAW. 43 Ore. L. Rev. 97, No. 2, February 1964.
- Turley, Edw. W., Jr.: DIVORCE AND TAXES—TEXAS STYLE. 48 Texas L. Rev. 721, March 1970.

Valeri, John R.: DONOR INSEMINATION—NO CLEAR LEGAL FUTURE IN PROSPECT. 3 Portia L.J. 235, Spring 1968.

Wadlington, Walter J.: ADOPTION OF ADULTS IN LOUISIANA. 40 Tul. L. Rev. 1, December 1965.

Wadlington, Walter J.: DIVORCE WITHOUT FAULT WITHOUT PERJURY. 52 Va. L. Rev., No. 1, January 1966.

Wadlington, Walter J.: THE DIVORCED PARENT AND CONSENT FOR ADOPTION. 36 U. Cinc. L. Rev. 196, Spring 1967.

Wadlington, Walter J.: DOMESTIC RELATIONS. 54 Va. L. Rev. 1215, October 1968.

Zacher, Allan N.: THE PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE LAWYER IN DIVORCE. 27 Mo. L. Rev. 466-479, No. 3, June 1962. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

### Adoption

Nohmann, Mary Alice and Dwyer, James W.: GUARDIANS AD LITEM IN WISCONSIN. 48 Marq. L. Rev. 445-480, No. 4, Spring 1965.

PARENT AND CHILD—ADOPTION—CONSENT OF NATURAL PARENT—ABANDONMENT. [In] NOTES, 26 Tulane L. Rev. 584, No. 3, April 1962.

PARENT AND CHILD: Notice in Proceedings to Deprive Parents of Parental Rights Precedent to an Adoption. In NOTES AND COMMENTS, 17 Okla. L. Rev. 99, No. 1, February 1964.

PRE-ADOPTION PRACTICE IN IOWA AND RECENTLY PROPOSED CHANGES. 14 Drake L. Rev. 46-58, No. 1, December.

Simpson, Helen: THE UNFIT PARENT: Conditions Under Which a Child May be Adopted Without the Consent of His Parent. 39 U. Det. L. J. 347-392, No. 3, February 1962, (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

SOCIAL AND LEGAL PROBLEMS IN TERMINATION. *Child Welfare*, 1959, Vol. 38, No. 8 (October).

Specia, John M.: SOME CUSTODY PROBLEMS UNDER MISSOURI'S ADOPTION LAW. 32 U. Kan. City L. Rev. 214-227, No. 2, Summer 1964.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau: LEGISLATIVE GUIDES FOR THE TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES AND THE ADOPTION OF CHILDREN. Children's Bureau Publication 394. Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Printing Office, 1961.

### Custody

ALTERNATIVES TO "PARENTAL RIGHT" IN CHILD CUSTODY DISPUTES INVOLVING THIRD PARTIES. 73 Yale L. J. 151-170, No. 1, November 1963. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

CHILD CUSTODY—CONSIDERATION BY THE COURT OF THE CHILD'S PREFERENCE. *Smith v. Smith*, 15 Utah2d 36, 386 P.2d 900 (1963). 37 U. Colo. L. Rev. 420-423, No. 3, Spring 1965.

CHILD CUSTODY DECREES—INTERSTATE RECOGNITION. [In] NOTES, 49 Iowa L. Rev. 1178-1201, No. 4, Summer 1964.

CHILD CUSTODY—RIGHTS OF A PARENT AGAINST THIRD PARTIES. *Drew v. Drew*, 162 So.2d 652, (Miss. 1964). 36 Miss. L. J. 94-95, No. 1, December 1964.

CUSTODY OF MINOR CHILDREN. *Perkins v. Courson*, 135 S.E.2d 388, (Ga. 1964). 67 W. Va. L. Rev. 75-77, No. 1, December 1964.

FACTORS CONSIDERED BY COURT IN AWARDING CUSTODY—ILLINOIS—GUIDING DOCTRINE—WELFARE OF THE CHILD. 11 DePaul L. Rev., No. 1, Autumn-Winter 1961.

FORD v. FORD: Full Faith and Credit to Child Custody Decrees. 73 Yale L. J. 134-150, No. 1, November 1963. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Foster, Henry H., Jr. and Freed, Doris Jonas: CHILD CUSTODY—Part I. 39 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 423-443, No. 3, May 1964. Part II. 39 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 615-630, No. 4, June 1964.

Section 60-1610(a) of the New Code Held Not to Confer Continuing Jurisdiction on Kansas Courts in Child Custody Cases. 13 Kan. L. Rev. 320-321, No. 2, December 1964.

THE USE OF EXTRA RECORD INFORMATION ON CUSTODY CASES. [In] NOTES, 24 Chi. L. Rev. 349, 1959.

## DOUBLE JEOPARDY

CONVICTION FOR MURDER WAS A DEPRIVATION OF FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS AND DUE PROCESS WHEN BASED UPON THE SAME ACT OF MURDER USED TO DECLARE THE DEFENDANT A DELINQUENT CHILD. [In] CASENOTES, 1 Houston L. Rev., No. 3, Winter 1964.

CRIMINAL LAW—JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS—EFFECT ON DOUBLE JEOPARDY. [In] CASENOTES, 11 Kan. L. Rev., No. 1, October 1962.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY APPLIED TO JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS. 43 Minn. L. Rev., No. 6, May 1959 (favorable to Dickerson case).

*U.S. v. Dickerson*, 168 F. Supp. 899 (12-22-58). A finding of delinquency before waiver to criminal court constitutes double jeopardy. (See CASENOTES—Juvenile court proceedings constitutes former jeopardy in Dist. of Col.—45 Va. L. Rev., No. 3, April 1959—in support of Dickerson case.)

## DUE PROCESS FOR JUVENILES

Arnold, Marlene: JUVENILE JUSTICE IN TRANSITION, 14 U.C.L.A.L. Rev. 1144, No. 4, May 1967.

*Baldwin v. Lewis*, 300 F. Supp. 1220 (Wisc. 1969). Detention hearings due process requires showing probable cause. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 4, June 1970.

Boches, Ralph E.: JUVENILE JUSTICE IN CALIFORNIA: A RE-EVALUATION. 19 Hastings L. Rev. 47, November 1967.

*B.P.W. v. State*, 214 So.2d 365 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1968). Where hearing on transfer of jurisdiction to criminal court was held, there is nothing to show juvenile was denied due process.

Breitenbach, H. Eugene: DUE PROCESS OF LAW FOR JUVENILES REVISITED. Journal of State Bar of California, Vol. 37, No. 1, January-February 1962.

Breitenbach, H. Eugene: DUE PROCESS OF LAW FOR YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS. California Youth Authority Quarterly, Vol. 11, No. 3, Fall 1959.

Breitenbach, H. Eugene: DUE PROCESS OF LAW FOR YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS. Journal of State Bar of California, November-December 1959.

Burch, Charles H. and Knaup, Kathianne: THE IMPACT OF JURY TRIALS UPON THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE. Vol. 4, Clearinghouse Rev. 1, December 1970.

Byrd, Robert C., Senator: TURNING A CORNER IN JUVENILE CORRECTIONS. Federal Probation, 14, December 1966.

CHILD NEGLECT: DUE PROCESS FOR THE PARENT. In Notes, 70 Colum. L. Rev. 465, March 1970.

*Commonwealth v. Owens*, 254 A.2d 639 (Pa. 1969). An order of transfer is not a final order from which an appeal may be taken. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 5, June 1970.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—DUE PROCESS—CRIMINAL LAW—VOLUNTARINESS OF CONFESSIONS. 35 Colo. L. Rev., No. 2, Winter 1963.

*Cooley v. Stone*, 414 F.2d 1213 (D.C. Cir. 1969). Constitutional guarantees of Gault and Kent provide fourth amendment speedy trial to juveniles.

CRIMINAL OFFENDERS IN THE JUVENILE COURT: MORE BRICKBATS AND ANOTHER PROPOSAL. [In] STUDENT NOTES, 114 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1171, 1966.



CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—DUE PROCESS IN JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS. 28 La. L. Rev. 492, April 1968.

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—JURIES IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM? In Notes, 48 N.C. L. Rev. 666, April 1970.

*DeBacker v. Brainard*, 396 U.S. 28 (Neb. 1969). Question of jury trial for juvenile delinquents left undecided by Supreme Court. 56 A.B.A. J. 182, February 1970.

DELINQUENCY AND DENIED RIGHTS IN FLORIDA'S JUVENILE COURT SYSTEM, 20 U. Fla. L. Rev. 369, Winter 1968.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY AND DUE PROCESS IN THE JUVENILE COURTS. [In] NOTES, 29 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 756, June 1968.

Douglas, William O.: JUVENILE COURTS AND DUE PROCESS OF LAW. 19 Juv. Ct. J.J. 9, Spring 1968.

Downey, John J.: WHY CHILDREN ARE IN JAIL AND HOW TO KEEP THEM OUT. DHEW, SRS, YD/DPA 1970.

A DUE PROCESS DILEMMA—JURIES FOR JUVENILES. In Notes, 45 N.D. L. Rev., Winter 1969.

Due process does not require that a student be afforded the right to counsel at a public school suspension hearing. [In] CASE COMMENTS, 22 Rutgers L. Rev. 342, Winter 1968.

DUE PROCESS AND THE JUVENILE OFFENDER: THE SCOPE OF IN RE GAULT. [In] NOTES, 14 How. L.J. 150, Winter 1968.

Fisher, Robert M.: THE PSYCHOTHERAPEUTIC PROFESSIONS AND THE LAW OF PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS. 10 Wayne L. Rev. 609-654, No. 4, 1964.

Forer, Lois G.: RIGHTS OF CHILDREN: THE LEGAL VACUUM. 55 A.B.A. J. 1151 December 1969.

Fortas, Abe: EQUAL RIGHTS. 42 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 401, May 1967.

Fox, Sanford J.: JUVENILE JUSTICE REFORM: AN HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE. 22 Stan. L. Rev. 1187, June 1970.

George, B. J., Jr.: JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS: THE DUE PROCESS MODEL. 40 U. Colo. L. Rev. 315, Spring 1968.

Hopson, Dan, Jr.: SYMPOSIUM ON JUVENILE PROBLEMS. In *Re Gault*: Introduction. 43 Ind. L.J. 523, Spring 1969.

*In re D.*, 290 N.Y.S.2d 935 (N.Y. 1968). Must be due process compliance in obtaining statement or confession in juvenile delinquency proceedings.

IN RE GAULT: JUVENILE JUSTICE—A PROPOSAL FOR REFORM. [In] COMMENTS, 47 Ore. L. Rev. 166, February 1968.

IN RE GAULT: JUVENILE JUSTICE—A PROPOSAL FOR REFORM. [In] COMMENTS, 47 Ore. L. Rev. 166, February 1968.

IN RE GAULT AND THE PERSISTING QUESTIONS OF PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS AND LEGAL ETHICS IN JUVENILE COURTS. [In] COMMENTS, 47 Neb. L. Rev. 558, May 1968.

*In re McKeiver*, No. 666 (Pa. Super. Ct. Pa. Dist. filed 1969). On right to jury trial in juvenile proceedings involving serious offenses.

*In re Rindell*, 2 B.N.A. Crim. L. Rep't'r. 3121 (Prov. R.I. Fam. Ct. 1968). Interpreting "Gault" to require trial by jury in a juvenile matter.

*In re State in Interest of W.D.*, 242 A.2d 17 (N.J. 1968). The full complement of constitutional rights does not attach to trial of a complaint under Juvenile Act—omission to provide a stenographic transcript of the hearing did not amount to a deprivation of equal protection of the laws.

*In re Teters*, 70 Cal. Rptr. 749, 1968 "Miranda" applied to juvenile confession.

Irving, John F. X.: JUVENILE JUSTICE—ONE YEAR LATER. 8 J. Family L. 1, Spring 1968.

JURIES IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM? 48 N.C. L. Rev. 666 (1970).

JUVENILE CASE LAW AFTER GAULT. (In) Notes, 8 J. Fam. L. 416, Fall 1968.

JUVENILE CASE LAW AFTER GAULT. (In) Student Notes 8 J. Fam. L. 416, Fall 1968.

JUVENILE COURTS—WAIVER OF JURISDICTION. [In] CASE NOTES, *Kent v. U.S.*, 383 U.S. 541 (1966). 16 Am. U.L. Rev., No. 1, December 1966.

JUVENILE DELINQUENTS—FACTS OF CASE MAKE IT INAPPROPRIATE TO CONSIDER JURY TRIAL. 56 A.B.A. J. 182, February, 1970.

JUVENILE JUSTICE—UNLAWFUL EXTRAJUDICIAL CONFESSION EXCLUDED UNDER MIRANDA. *In re Teters* (Cal. 1958). (In) NOTES 6 San Diego L. Rev. 128, January 1969.

THE JUVENILE OFFENDER AND SELF-INCRIMINATION. 40 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 189-201, No. 1, April 1965.

THE JUVENILE OFFENDER'S PROCEDURAL RIGHTS IN THE NEW YORK FAMILY COURT. [In] NOTES, 41 St. John's L. Rev. 386, No. 3, January 1967.

JUVENILES AND THEIR RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL. In Comments 15 Vill. L. Rev. 972, Summer 1970.

A JUVENILE'S RIGHT TO BAIL IN OREGON. [In] COMMENTS. 47 Ore. L. Rev. 194, February 1968.

- Katkin, Daniel: PRESENTENCE REPORTS: AN ANALYSIS OF USES, LIMITATIONS AND CIVIL LIBERTIES ISSUES. 55 Minn. L. Rev. 15, November 1970.
- Kean, Michael B.: DUE PROCESS APPLIED TO HEARINGS FOR THE REVOCATION OF JUVENILE PROBATION. 16 J. Ct. J. J. 178, No. 4, Winter 1966.
- Ketcham, Orman W.: WHAT HAPPENED TO WHITTINGTON? 37 G.W. L. Rev. 324, December 1968.
- Leach v. State, 428 S.W.2d 817 (Texas 1968). Delinquent child proceedings must meet "due process" requirements.
- Lefstein, Norman: IN SEARCH OF JUVENILE JUSTICE; GAULT AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION. 3 Law and Society Rev. 491, May 1969, Sage Publications Inc.
- Lenon, Harlow F.: ON REEXAMINING GAULT—AGAIN AND AGAIN. 4 Fam. L.Q. 387.
- Lewis, Diana: THE RIGHTS OF JUVENILE DELINQUENTS: An Appraisal of Juvenile Court Procedures. 47 J. Crim. L., C.&P.S., No. 5, January-February 1957.
- Lipsitt, Paul D.: DUE PROCESS AS A GATEWAY TO REHABILITATION IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM. 49 B.U.L. Rev. 62, Winter 1969.
- MINOR'S RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN DELINQUENCY DETERMINATION. 18 U. Kansas L. Rev. 87, Fall 1969.
- Neigher, Alan: THE GAULT DECISION: DUE PROCESS AND THE JUVENILE COURTS. 31 Federal Probation, December 1967. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Children's Bureau).
- NO PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION FOR CHILDREN. 16 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 282, 1955.
- Paulsen, Monrad G.: FAIRNESS TO THE JUVENILE OFFENDER. 58 Minn. L. Rev. 547-576, No. 6, April 1960. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Figg v. State*, 253 N.E.2d 266, (Ind. 1969). Error to deny juvenile right to testify on own behalf.
- THE PROCEDURAL RIGHTS OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CHILDREN IN SUSPENSION—PLACEMENT PROCEEDINGS. 41 Temp. L.Q. 349, Spring 1968.
- Remington: DUE PROCESS IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS. [In] Juvenile Delinquency Symposium, 11 Wayne L. Rev. 688 (1965).
- RIGHT TO BAIL AND THE PRE-"TRIAL" DETENTION OF JUVENILES ACCUSED OF "CRIME". 18 Vand. L. Rev. 2096, No. 4, October 1965.
- RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL: INDIANA'S MISAPPLICATION OF DUE PROCESS STANDARDS IN DELINQUENCY. In Notes, 45 Ind. L. J. 578, Summer 1970.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS. *Commonwealth v. Johnson* 234 A.2d 9 (Pa. 1967) [In] NOTES, 29 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 330, December 1967.

Sheridan, William H.: JUVENILES WHO COMMIT NONCRIMINAL ACTS: WHY TREAT IN A CORRECTIONAL SYSTEM? Federal Probation, March 1967.

Spencer, Thomas R., Jr.: BEYOND GAULT AND WHITTINGTON—THE BEST OF BOTH WORLDS? 22 U. Miami L. Rev. 906, Summer 1968.

*State v. Piche*, 442 P.2d 632 (Wash. 1968). Hearing on waiver is proper procedure when it is for purpose of determining best interest of child and of society.

TRANSFER OF JUVENILES TO ADULT CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS. [In] COMMENT. Wisc. L. Rev. 866, No. 3, Summer 1966.

Waite, Edward F.: HOW FAR CAN COURT PROCEDURE BE SOCIALIZED WITHOUT IMPAIRING INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS? 12 J. Crim. L., C.&P.S., December 1962.

WAIVER IN THE JUVENILE COURT. [In] NOTES, 68 Colum. L. Rev. 1149, June 1968.

WAIVER—RIGHT TO COUNSEL—CERTIFICATION OF JUVENILE TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS. 9 Natural Resources J. 310, April 1969.

## EVIDENCE

- Bodenheimer, Brigitte M.: THE NEW CANADIAN DIVORCE LAW. 2 Family L.Q. 212, September 1968.
- THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS. In-Notes 23 S.W. L. J. 914, December 1969.
- BURDEN OF PROOF—*In re Agler*, 15 Ohio App. 2d 240, 44 Ohio Op. 2d 482 (1968) appeal docketed, No. 68-620 Ohio Sup. Ct., Nov. 1, 1968, and *In re Whittington*, 88 S. Ct. 1507 (1968), 21 Baylor L. Rev. 235, Spring 1969.
- Cohen, James Hillson: THE STANDARD OF PROOF IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS: GAULT BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. 68 Mich. L. Rev. 567 January 1970.
- Commonwealth v. Taper*, 253 A.2d 90 (Pa. 1969). Lower court's order of suppression of a confession by a 17-year old girl who had been without sleep all night, affirmed. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 7, June 1970.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—DUE PROCESS AND RIGHT TO CONFRONTATION. 58 Mich. L. Rev., No. 6, April 1960.
- CRIMINAL LAW—EVIDENCE: Pre-Waiver Admissions of Juvenile Inadmissible in Subsequent Criminal Trial. 46 Minn. L. Rev. 967-974, No. 5, April 1962. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—JUVENILE COURTS—USE OF PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE AS THE STANDARD OF PROOF IN A JUVENILE DELINQUENCY HEARING SATISFIES DUE PROCESS. *State v. Santana*, 444 S.W.2d 614 (Tex. 1969). In Notes 48 Texas L. Rev. 230 Dec. 1969.
- EVIDENCE—CONFESSION OBTAINED WHILE DEFENDANTS' LAWYER DENIED ACCESS TO HIM NOT ADMISSIBLE. *People v. Donovan*, 13 N.Y.2d 148, 193 N.E.2d 628 (1963). 24 Md. L. Rev. 217-218, No. 4, Fall 1964.
- EVIDENCE—JUVENILE COURTS PROCEEDINGS HELD TO BE CIVIL IN NATURE AND HEARSAY INADMISSIBLE. Notre Dame Law. 341-346, April 1964. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- EVIDENCE—A NEW YORK INTERPRETATION OF ESCOBEDO v. ILLINOIS: Post Arrest Confession Held Admissible Since Arrest Does Not Mark the Beginning of Judicial Proceedings. *People v. Agar*, 44 Misc.2d 396, 253 N.Y.S.2d 761, Sup. Ct. (1964). 16 Syracuse L. Rev. 692-694, No. 3, Spring 1965.

EVIDENCE OBTAINED ILLEGALLY BY STORE DETECTIVE (a private person) HELD ADMISSIBLE. *People v. Randazzo*, 34 Cal. Rptr. 65, Dist. Ct. App. (1963) 12 U.C.L.A. L. Rev., No. 1, November 1964.

EVIDENCE OF SEXUAL OFFENSES AGAINST YOUNG CHILDREN, from a report by David Reifen in 49 J. Crim. L., C.&P.S. 222-229, No. 3, September-October 1958.

AN EXCEPTION TO USE OF THE PHYSICIAN—PATIENT PRIVILEGE IN CHILD ABUSE CASES, 42 U. Det. L. J. 88-94, No. 1, October 1964.

FAMILY LAW—JUVENILE COURTS—PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE UPHELD AS APPLICABLE STANDARD OF PROOF IN JUVENILE DELINQUENCY ADJUDICATIONS. *In re Samuel W.*, 24 N.Y.2d 196, 247 N.E.2d 253, 299 N.Y.S.2d 414 (1969). (In) Recent Developments 44 St. John's Law Review, No. 1, July 1969.

Harpst, Ronald J.: EVIDENCE PROBLEMS IN JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROCEEDINGS. 11 Clev.-Mar. L. Rev., No. 3, September 1962.

INFANTS—JUVENILE DELINQUENCY—QUANTAM OF PROOF REQUIRED MUST BE SUFFICIENT TO SUPPORT A CRIMINAL CONVICTION. *In re Urbasek*, 232 N.E.2d (Ill. 1967). (In) Notes, 17 Am. U. L. Rev. 549 June 1968.

*In re Agler*, 240 N.E.2d 847 (Ohio 1968). Ohio statute permits commitment to State reformatory of a delinquent, on the premise that being a delinquent child has never been and is not at present a crime in Ohio. Evidence beyond a reasonable doubt was not necessary for conviction.

*In re B.*, 293 N.Y.S.2d 946 (Sup. Ct. 1968). Unsworn testimony of ten-year old child insufficient to support a finding of delinquency.

*In re Coffey*, No. 69-9199 (Ill. Cir. Ct., Cook County, filed July 1969). Broad scope in pre-trial discovery sought in juvenile proceedings.

*In re Marshall*, 214 So.2d 486 (Fla. 1968). Competent evidence will sustain allegation of delinquency.

*In re Ward*, No. J. F-69-810 (Okla. Dist. Ct., Oct. 1, 1969). Burden of proof in juvenile proceeding held to be beyond reasonable doubt. 3 Clearinghouse Rev. 279, February 1970.

*In the Matter of Samuel Winship*, 38 U.S. L. W. 4253 (1970). Quantam of proof necessary to find a child charged with violating a criminal law within the juvenile court's jurisdiction is proof beyond a reasonable doubt. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 2, June 1970.

JUVENILE COURTS—FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT REQUIRES PROOF OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT, NOT MERELY BY PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE. 56 A.B.A. J. 583, June 1970.

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY—EXCLUSION OF OPINION EVIDENCE V. PARENS PATRIAE. In Notes 22 Baylor L. Rev. 113, Winter 1970.

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY—STATE'S BURDEN OF PROOF IN DELINQUENCY ADJUDICATION. (*Santana v. State*, 431 S.W.2d 558 (Texas 1968)), 21 Baylor L. Rev. 235, Spring 1969.

JUVENILE SOCIAL RECORDS AND CRIMINAL DISCOVERY. 35 Mo. L. Rev. 113, Winter 1970.

JUVENILES—STANDARDS OF EVIDENCE. 54 A.B.A.J. 401, April 1968. (In re Urbasek, 232 N.E.2d 716) January 1968.

PROCEDURE AND EVIDENCE IN THE JUVENILE COURT. Published by National Council on Crime and Delinquency, New York, 1962.

*Rose v. Rose*, 242 N.E.2d 677 (Ohio 1968). Presumption of legitimacy of child conceived during marriage is not conclusive and may be rebutted.

*Santana v. State*, 431 S.W.2d 558 (Texas 1968). Juvenile delinquency must be established beyond a reasonable doubt.

Schulman, Robert E.: THE PSYCHOLOGIST AS AN EXPERT WITNESS. 15 Kan. L. Rev. 88, No. 1, October 1966.

Smith, Sydney: THE IDEAL USE OF EXPERT TESTIMONY IN PSYCHOLOGY. 6 Washburn L.J. 300, No. 2, Winter 1967.

SYMPOSIUM ON THE IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERT. 34 Temp. L. Q., No. 4, Summer 1961.

Teitelbaum, Lee: THE USE OF SOCIAL REPORTS IN JUVENILE COURT ADJUDICATIONS. 7 J. Family L. 425, Fall 1967.

Terence N. O'Donnell: CHARACTER EVIDENCE AND THE JUVENILE RECORD. 20 Cleve. St. L. Rev. 36, 1971.

*W. v. Family Court*, 299 N.Y.S.2d 414, (N.Y. 1969). Juvenile delinquency proceedings held to be non-criminal; "preponderance of evidence" sufficient proof.

Wienstein, Jack B.: PROBATION FORCE OF HEARSAY. 46 Iowa L. Rev., No. 2, Winter 1961.

## FAMILY COURT AND FAMILY LAW

ABORTION LAWS, UNDER CHALLENGE, ARE BEING LIBERALIZED. Vol. 28, No. 30 Cong. Q. 1913, Weekly Report, July 24, 1970.

ABORTION, OREGON STYLE. In Comments 49 Ore. L. Rev. 302 April 1970.

ABORTION STATUTES: ARE THEY UNCONSTITUTIONAL PER SE? *People v. Belous*, \_\_\_ Cal.2d \_\_\_, 458 P.2d 194, 80 Cal. Rptr. 354 (1969). In Comments, 1969 Wash. U. L. Q. 445, Fall 1969.

ADOPTED CHILD AS MEMBER OF A CLASS-QUERY: HAVE SUCH CLASS WORDS AS "HEIR," "KIN," "DESCENDANT," "ISSUE" AND LIKE GENERIC TERMS LOST ALL BIOLOGICAL FLAVOR IN THEIR RELATION TO AN ADOPTED CHILD? 11 N.Y.L.F. 522-533, Fall, 1965.

Alexander: THE FAMILY COURT: An Obstacle Race? 19 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 602 (1963).

Armstrong, Barbara N.: FAMILY LAW: Order Out of Chaos. 53 Calif. L. Rev. 121-150, No. 2, May 1965.

Arthur, Lindsay G.: A FAMILY COURT—WHY NOT? 51 Minn. L. Rev. 233, No. 2, December 1966.

Berenson, Harvey S.: SURVEY OF KANSAS FAMILY LAW. 17 Kan. L. Rev. 349, January 1969.

Bodenheimer, Brigitte M.: THE NEW CANADIAN DIVORCE LAW. 2 Family L.Q. 213, September 1968.

Brockelbank, W. J.: THE FAMILY DESERTION PROBLEM ACROSS STATE LINES. 383 Annals 23, May 1969.

Brodie, Donald W.: THE NEW BIOLOGY AND THE PRENATAL CHILD. 9 J. Fam. L. 391, 1970.

Brown, L. Neville: THE LEGAL BACKGROUND TO THE FAMILY COURT. 6 British Journal of Criminology, No. 2, April 1966.

CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NON-PHYSICAL INJURY INFLICTED AT CONCEPTION. (*Williams v. State*, 260 N.Y.S.2d 953 C.C. 1965.) 37 Miss. L. J. 344-347, No. 2, March 1966.

Cayley, Murray A.: CONCILIATION COUNSELING IN A FAMILY COURT. Federal Probation, 27, December 1966.

THE CHILD, THE FAMILY, AND THE YOUNG OFFENDER. The White Paper, 6 British Journal of Criminology, No. 2, April 1966.

THE COLLEGE SUPPORT DOCTRINE: EXPANDED PROTECTION FOR THE OFFSPRING OF BROKEN HOMES. In Notes, 1969 Wash. U. L. Q. 425, Fall 1969.

COMMON LAW MARRIAGE NOT ESTABLISHED FOR SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS. *Jones v. Finch*, etc., 416 F.2d 89 (Texas 1969) 15 Soc. Welf. Ct. Dig. 1, March 1970.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—TORTS—EQUAL PROTECTION OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN, *Levy v. Louisiana*, 88 S. Ct. 1509 (1968), *Gloria v. Am. Guarantee and Liab. Ins. Co.*, 88 S. Ct. 1515 (1968), 71 W. Va. L. Rev. 52 December 1968.

Coyne, Thomas A.: WHO WILL SPEAK FOR THE CHILD? 383 Annals 34, May 1969.

Cross, Geoffrey: WARDS OF COURT. 83 L.Q. Rev. 200, April 1967.

Croxton, Tom A.: THE KENT CASE AND ITS CONSEQUENCES. 7 J. Family 1, No. 1, Spring 1967.

Dembitz, Nanette: FERMENT AND EXPERIMENT IN NEW YORK: Juvenile Cases in the New Family Court. 48 Cornell L. Q., No. 3, Spring 1965.

Dinkelspiel, Richard, and Gough, Aidan: THE CASE FOR A FAMILY COURT. 1 Family L.Q. (#3) 70, (September 1967).

Dinkelspiel, Richard, and Gough, Aidan: A FAMILY COURT ACT FOR CONTEMPORARY CALIFORNIA. 52 Cal. State Bar J. 363, (May-June 1967).

Dorsen, Norman, and Rezneck, Daniel A.: IN RE GAULT AND THE FUTURE OF JUVENILE LAW. 4 Family L.Q. 1, December 1967.

Drinan, Robert F., S.J.: AMERICAN LAWS REGULATING THE FORMATION OF THE MARRIAGE CONTRACT. 383 Annals 48, May 1969.

Drinan, Robert F., S.J.: HAS JOHN FRANK PROPOSED A RADICAL REFORM OF FAMILY LAW? 47 Texas L. Rev. 991, June 1969.

Drinan, Rev. Robert F., S.J.: THE LOVING DECISION AND THE FREEDOM TO MARRY. 29 Ohio St. L.J. 358, Spring 1968.

Dyson, Elizabeth D., and Dyson, Richard B.: FAMILY COURTS IN THE UNITED STATES, 8 J. Fam. L. 507, Winter 1968.

ENGLISH AND AMERICAN DIVORCE REFORM. 22 U. Fla. L. Rev. 101 Summer 1969.

EXCLUSION OF CHILDREN FROM VIOLENT MOVIES. [In] COMMENT, 67 Colum. L. Rev. 1149, June 1967.

THE EXPANDING RIGHTS OF THE ILLEGITIMATE. In Comments, 3 Creighton L. Rev. 135, Fall 1969.

FAMILY COURT—DIVORCED HUSBAND MEMBER OF FAMILY FOR PURPOSES OF FAMILY OFFENSE PROCEEDINGS UNDER THE FAMILY COURT ACT. *Koepfel v. Judges of the Family Court*, 44 Misc.2d 799, 254 N.Y.S.2d 600, Sup. Ct. (1964). 16 Syracuse L. Rev. 895-897, No. 4, Spring 1965.

FAMILY LAW—CASE COMMENTS. (*Painter v. Bannister*, 140 N.W.2d, 152 Iowa 1966.) 19 U. Fla. L. Rev. 205, No. 1, Summer 1966.

FAMILY LAW—CHILD CUSTODY—PROMISCUITY. (*Jones v. Sutton*, 388 S.W.2d 596, Ky. 1965.) 54 Ky. L.J. 811, No. 4, Summer 1966.

FAMILY LAW: CHILD SUPPORT. *Exparte Hooks*, 415 S.W.2d 166 (Tex. 1967). 21 S.W.L.J. 872.

FAMILY LAW IN THE JOURNALS: A Synopsis of Leading Law Review Articles Related to Family Law Published from January 1966 to January 1967. 1 Fam. L.Q. 45, No. 1, March 1967.

FAMILY LAW: A PROPOSAL FOR A MARITAL DECREE SUPPORT FUND FOR MINOR CHILDREN. 2 Conn. L. Rev. 673, Spring 1970.

FAMILY LAW—A SYMPOSIUM ON LEGAL ASPECTS OF THE FAMILIAL RELATIONSHIP. U. Ill. L. F., No. 4, Winter 1962.

A FATHER'S RIGHT TO VISIT HIS ILLEGITIMATE CHILD. 27 Ohio St. L.J. 738, No. 4, Fall 1966.

Finlay, H.A.: DIVORCE LAW REFORM: THE AUSTRALIAN APPROACH. 10 J. Fam. L. 1, 1970.

Foster, Henry W. Jr.: CONCILIATION AND COUNSELING IN THE COURTS IN FAMILY LAW CASES. 41 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 353, No. 2, April 1966.

Foster, Henry H. Jr.: DIVORCE LAW REFORM: THE CHOICES FOR THE STATES. 42 State Gov't. 112, Spring 1969.

Foster, Henry H. Jr., and Freed, Doris Jonas: FAMILY LAW. 21 Syr. L. Rev. 657, Winter 1969.

Foster, Henry H. Jr.: THE FUTURE OF FAMILY LAW. 383 Annals 129, May 1969.

Fletcher, William F.: TOWARD UNIFORM GUARDIANSHIP LEGISLATION. 41 N.Y.U.L. Rev., No. 2 April 1966.

Furlong, Robert E.: YOUTHFUL MARRIAGE AND PARENTHOOD: A THREAT TO FAMILY STABILITY. 19 Hastings L. J. 105, November 1967.

THE GAULT DECISION AND THE NEW YORK FAMILY COURT ACT. [In] COMMENT, 19 Syracuse L. Rev. 753, Spring 1968.

Glen, Jeffrey E.: DEVELOPMENTS IN JUVENILE AND FAMILY COURT LAW. 16 Crime and Del. 198, April 1970.

- Goldstein, Joseph and Gitter, Max: ON ABOLITION OF GROUNDS FOR DIVORCE: A MODEL STATUTE AND COMMENTARY. 3 Fam. L. Q. 75, June 1969.
- Hawke, Lee Allen: DIVORCE PROCEDURE: A FRAUD ON CHILDREN, SPOUSES AND SOCIETY. 3 Fam. L. Q. 240, September 1969.
- Hayes, James A.: CALIFORNIA DIVORCE REFORM: PARTING IS SWEETER SORROW. 56 A.B.A. J. 660, July 1970.
- Holz, Marvin C.: THE TRIAL OF A PATERNITY CASE. 50 Marq. L. Rev. 450, No. 3, April 1967.
- ILLEGITIMACY—BASTARD HAS CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST STATE FOR NEGLIGENTLY PERMITTING HER MOTHER'S RAPE, CAUSING PLAINTIFF'S ILLEGITIMACY. (Williams v. State, 45 Misc.2d 824, 260 N.Y.S.2d 953, Court Claims 1956.) 41 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 212-217, No. 1, March-April 1966.
- ILLEGITIMATES: DEFINITION OF "CHILDREN" UNDER FEDERAL WELFARE LEGISLATION, 67 Colum. L. Rev. 984 (1967).
- THE INFLICTION OF ILLEGITIMACY: A NEW TORT? 43 N.D.L. Rev. 99, No. 1, Fall 1966.
- INHERITANCE RIGHTS OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN UNDER THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE. 54 Minn. L. Rev. 1336, June 1970.
- Inker, Monroe L., and McGrath, Robert F.: COLLEGE EDUCATION OF MINORS—THE DIVORCED FATHER'S EMERGING LEGAL OBLIGATION. 6 J. Fam. Law 230, No. 2, Winter 1966.
- In re Cager, et al.*, 248 A.2d 384 (Md. 1968). An illegitimate child cannot be found to be neglected because of the sole fact that he lives with a mother who has another illegitimate child also living with her.
- In re Guardianship of Cope*, 255 A.2d 798 (N.J. 1969). Evidence supporting a petition to terminate parental rights must be trustworthy and not hearsay. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 8, June 1970.
- Isaacs, Jacob L.: THE ROLE OF THE LAWYER IN REPRESENTING MINORS IN THE NEW FAMILY COURT. 12 Buffalo L. Rev. 501-521, No. 3, June 1963. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- JURISDICTION OVER INTRA-FAMILY OFFENSES: A PLEA FOR LEGISLATIVE ACTION. 45 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 345, April 1970.
- Kanowitz, Leo: THE LEGAL STATUS OF AMERICAN WOMEN. 11 Family L.Q. 121, June 1968.
- Key, Herma Hill: A FAMILY COURT: THE CALIFORNIA PROPOSAL. 56 Calif. L. Rev. 1205, October 1968.

- Krause, Harry D. BRINGING THE BASTARD INTO THE GREAT SOCIETY—A PROPOSED UNIFORM ACT ON LEGITIMACY. 44 Texas L. Rev. 829-859, No. 5, April 1966.
- Krause, Harry D. THE NON-MARITAL CHILD—NEW CONCEPTIONS FOR THE LAW OF UNLAWFULNESS. 1 Fam. L.Q. 1, No. 2, June 1967.
- Krause, Harry D.: WHY BASTARD, WHEREFORE BASE? 383 Annals 58, May 1969.
- Larsen, Paul: TRENDS AND DEVELOPMENTS IN OREGON FAMILY LAW: Court, Counsel, and Conciliation. 43 Ore. L. Rev. 97-134, No. 1, December 1963.
- Larsen, Paul: TRENDS AND DEVELOPMENTS IN OREGON FAMILY LAW: Parental Rights and Child Welfare. 43 Ore. L. Rev., No. 3, April 1965.
- Lasok, Dominik: THE PROBLEM OF FAMILY LAW REFORM IN ENGLAND. 8 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. Summer 1967.
- LEGITIMATION OF CHILDREN OF ANNULLED MARRIAGES. (Home of the Holy Infancy v. Kaska, 397 S.W.2d 208, Texas 1965.) 19 Sw. L.J. 855, No. 4, December 1965.
- LIABILITY FOR WRONGFUL CONCEPTION. (Williams v. State, 269 New York State 2d 786, New York 1966.) 20 Ark. L. Rev. 401-403, No. 4, Winter 1967.
- Lindsley, Byron F.: THE FAMILY COURT, A RATIONAL, REASONABLE AND CONSTRUCTIVE REVOLUTION IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS LAW. 5 Calif. Wn. L. Rev. 7, Fall 1968.
- LOUISIANA'S PRESUMPTION OF LEGITIMACY. In Notes, 44 Tulane L. Rev. 598, April 1970.
- Marin, Bayard: FAMILY COURT—FINDING OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY MAY BE BASED ON UNSWORN AND UNCORROBORATED TESTIMONY OF SEVEN- AND EIGHT-YEAR OLD CHILDREN. 17 Syracuse L. Rev. 104, No. 1, Fall 1965. Case: Matter of Doe, 44 Misc.2d 678, 255 N.Y.S.2d 33 (Fam. Ct. 1964).
- MARRIAGE OF MINORS AND THE RUNNING OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS. 18 Ala. L. Rev. 121-128, No. 1, Fall 1965.
- McCabe, Francis J.: RHODE ISLAND FAMILY COURT. B.U.L. Rev., Vol. XLII, No. 3, Summer 1962.
- McDowell, Banks: KENISON AND FAMILY LAW. 48 B.U.L. Rev. 192, Spring 1968.
- THE MINNESOTA SUPREME COURT 1963-64: Family Law. 49 Minn. L. Rev. 128-132, No. 1, November 1965.
- MODIFICATION OF CHILD SUPPORT DECREE—FATHER'S REMARRIAGE AS AFFECTING ABILITY TO PAY. (*Morace v. Morace*, 223 So.2d 410, La. 1969) 44 Tulane L. Rev. 203, December 1969.
- Moyers, Dr. Thomas G.: ABORTION LAWS: A CHANGE IN SOCIAL CHANGE. 7 San Diego L. Rev. 237, May 1970.

Murray, Daniel E.: FAMILY LAW—NINTH SURVEY OF FLORIDA LAW. 24 U. Miami L. Rev. 296 Winter 1970.

NEGLIGENCE—FREEDOM FROM ILLEGITIMATE BIRTH. (Williams v. State, 46 Misc.2d 824, 260 N.Y.S.2d 953, C.C. 1965, rev'd, Williams v. State, 269 N.Y.S.2d 786, App. Div. 1966, aff'd Williams v. State, 35 U.S. Law Week 2386, Dec. 29, 1966.) 55 Ky. L.J. 719-723, No. 3, 1967.

PARENTAL RIGHTS OF MENTALLY ILL MOTHER OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILD TERMINATED. (State v. Blum, 463 P.2d 367 Ore. 1970) 15 Soc. Wel. Ct. Dig. 1, June 1970.

PARENT AND CHILD: LEGAL EFFECT OF ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION. 19 Okla. L. Rev. 448, No. 4, November 1966.

Paulsen, Monrad G.: NEW YORK FAMILY COURT ACT. 12 Buffalo L. Rev. 420 (1963).

Polier, Justine Wise: THE GAULT CASE. 4 Family L.Q. 47, December 1967.

Polier, Justine Wise: PROBLEMS INVOLVING FAMILY AND CHILD. 66 Colum. L. Rev., No. 2, February 1966.

Poteat, William H.: IOWA SUPREME COURT VERSUS WILD OATS. 18 Maine L. Rev. 173, No. 2, 1966. (discusses *Painter v. Bannister*).

*Pouncey v. Carraway*, 258 A.2d 483 (Conn. 1969). Paternity proceedings by married woman, separated from husband, paternity decision against defendant affirmed. 15 Soc. Wel. Dig. 4, May 1970.

PROGRESS IN FAMILY LAW: A SYMPOSIUM. 383 Annals 1-129, May 1969.

RECOVERY RIGHTS OF ILLEGITIMATES UNDER FEDERAL WELFARE AND INSURANCE STATUTES. [In] NOTES, 7 J. Family L. 512, Fall 1967.

Rendleman, Douglas: JUVENILE COURT JURISDICTION: CONGRESS' ANSWER TO ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN. 48 J. Urban L. 89, Issue 1, 1970-71.

Riederer, Henry A.: MARRIAGE DISSOLUTION TRENDS: AN ANALYSIS OF A MISSOURI BAR SURVEY. 4 Fam. L. Q. 184, June 1970.

Rose, Clayton W. Jr.: NON-FAULT DIVORCE IN OHIO. 31 Ohio St. L. J. 52, Winter 1970.

Rosenbaum, Michael: ARE FAMILY RESPONSIBILITY LAWS CONSTITUTIONAL? 4 Family L.Q. 55, December 1967.

Rowan, Beverly A.: MARRIAGE ANNULMENT—THE NEED FOR LEGISLATION. 24 U. Miami L. Rev. 112, Fall 1969.

Skoler, Daniel L.: LAW SCHOOL CURRICULUM COVERAGE OF JUVENILE AND FAMILY COURT SUBJECTS. 5 J. Fam. L., U. Louisville, No. 1, Spring 1965.

Specia, John M. and Wehrman, Robt. L.: PROTECTING THE RIGHTS OF CHILDREN IN DIVORCE CASES IN MISSOURI. 38 U. M. K. C. Fall 1969.

Stoebuck, William B.: INFANT'S EXERCISE OF POWERS OF APPOINTMENT. 43 Denv. L. J. 255, No. 3, Summer 1966.

SUCCESSIONS—ILLEGITIMACY—EQUAL PROTECTION AND THE APPLICABILITY OF LEVY V. LOUISIANA TO SUCCESSION LAW. In Notes, 44 Tulane L. Rev. 640, April 1970.

A SYMPOSIUM: WOMEN AND THE LAW. 4 Family L. Q. March 1970.

Taft, Robert S.: TAX IMPLICATIONS OF DIVORCE AND SEPARATION. 3 Fam. L. Q. 144, June 1969.

Twitchelle: NEW YORK FAMILY COURT ACT. 14 Syracuse L. Rev. 481 (1963).

UNEQUAL PROTECTION: POVERTY AND FAMILY LAW. 42 Ind. L.J. 192, Winter 1967.

THE UNIFORM RECIPROCAL ENFORCEMENT OF SUPPORT ACT: PROCEDURAL PROBLEMS AND A TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTION. 41 Temp. L.Q. 325, Spring 1968.

Wadlington, Walter: CURRENT FAMILY LAW ARTICLES. 2 Family L. Q. 441, December 1968.

Wadlington, Walter: DOMESTIC RELATIONS, IN THE FOURTEENTH ANNUAL SURVEY OF VIRGINIA LAW. 55 VA.L. Rev. 1201, October 1969.

Wadlington, Walter J.: SHOTGUN MARRIAGE BY OPERATION OF LAW. 1 Ga. L. Rev. 183, No. 2, 1967.

WHETHER AN ADOPTED CHILD IS AN "HEIR OF THE BODY." (First National Bank of Kansas City v. Sullivan, 394 S.W.2d 273, Missouri 1965.) 34 U.M.K.C.L. Rev. 490-494, No. 2 Summer 1966.

THE WIFE'S ACTION FOR LOSS OF CONSORTIUM. (In) Comments, 54 Iowa L. Rev. 510, December 1968.

Zukerman, Jacob T.: THE FAMILY COURT—EVOLVING CONCEPTS. 383 Annals 119, May 1969.

## GENERAL

- Alioto, Joseph L.: THE MORAL BASIS OF VIOLENCE, 44 Notre Dame Lawyer 1045, Centennial 1969.
- Bazelon, David L.: THE CONCEPT OF RESPONSIBILITY. 53 Geo. L. J. 5-18, No. 1, Fall 1964.
- THE COST OF JUSTICE. 9 Vill. L. Rev., Spring 1964.
- Cramton, Roger C.: DRIVER BEHAVIOR AND LEGAL SANCTIONS: A STUDY OF DETERRENCE, 67 Mich. L. Rev. 421, January 1969.
- Denno: MARY BETH TINKER TAKES THE CONSTITUTION TO SCHOOL. 38 Ford. L. Rev. 35 (1969), also 18 Am. U. L. Rev. 818 (1969); 20 Mercer L. Rev. 505 (1969).
- Downey, John J.: STATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR CHILD DETENTION FACILITIES. 14 Juvenile Court Judges Journal 3-7, No. 4, Winter 1964. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- Downs, William A.: THE MEANING AND HANDLING OF CHILD NEGLECT—A LEGAL VIEW. Child Welfare, March 1963.
- EXCLUSION OF CHILDREN FROM VIOLENT MOVIES. [In] COMMENT, 67 Colum. L. Rev. 1149, June 1967.
- FARE YE WELL: Right to Be Let Alone. 3 Duquesne U. L. Rev. 91-97, No. 1, Fall 1964.
- Floyd, Glenn: COMPENSATION TO VICTIMS OF VIOLENT CRIMES. 6 Tulsa L. J. 100, March 1970.
- Foster, Henry H., Jr.: SOCIAL WORK, THE LAW, AND SOCIAL ACTION. Social Casework 383-392, 413, Vol. XLV, No. 7, July 1964. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).
- FRATERNITIES, SORORITIES, AND SECRET SOCIETIES IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS. 22 Baylor L. Rev. 369 Summer 1970.
- Freeman, Harrop A.: CIVIL LIBERTIES—ACID TEST OF DEMOCRACY. 43 Minn. L. Rev., No. 3, January 1959.
- Geer, James H.: FREE AND INFORMED CONSENT: A BEHAVIORAL SCIENTIST'S PERSPECTIVE 1969. Wis. 566.

- Geis, Gilbert: SOCIOLOGY AND SOCIOLOGICAL JURISPRUDENCE: Admixture of Lore and Law. (Professor of Sociology, Los Angeles State College.) Ky. L. J., Winter 1964. Explores relationship of sociology and law.
- Gorlich, Elizabeth H.: GROUP METHODS IN INSTITUTIONAL PROGRAMMING. 32 Fed. Prob. 46, December 1968.
- Gossett, William T.: GAULT AND JUVENILE CRIME, 55 A.B.A. J. 303, June 1969.
- GOVERNMENT COMPENSATION FOR VICTIMS OF VIOLENCE. Symposium 43 S. Cal. L. Rev. 1, 1970.
- Gozansky, Nathaniel E.: (Book Review) A SUMMARY OF THE GEORGIA LAW OF CHILDREN BY ROBERT S. STUBBS. 19 J. Pub. L. 157, Emory U. L. Sch. 1970.
- Greene, JeRoyd W., Jr.: UNIVERSITY DISCIPLINE AND STUDENT RIGHTS: A SUGGESTED HEARING MODEL. 15 How. L. J. 481, Summer 1969.
- Haskell, Paul G.: SOME THOUGHTS ABOUT OUR LAW SCHOOLS. 56 Geo. L.J. 897, May 1968.
- HIGHER EDUCATION AND THE STUDENT UNREST PROVISIONS. In Comments, 31 Ohio St. L. J. 111, Winter 1970.
- HIGH SCHOOL HAIR REGULATIONS. In Notes, 4 Valparaiso U. L. Rev. 400, Spring 1970.
- Hinson, Robin L.: STUDENT DISCIPLINE AND STUDENT RIGHTS—A CHANGING AREA OF SCHOOL LAW. 35 Pop. Gov't 1, May 1969.
- Hirsch, Werner Z.: ABOUT TOMORROW'S URBAN AMERICA. 12 U.C.L.A. L. Rev. 880-896, No. 3, March 1965.
- INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES: Its Progress and Problems, 1955-1960. 7 Crime and Delinquency 121 (1961).
- THE LEGAL CHALLENGE TO CORRECTIONS. A Joint Commission on Correctional Manpower and Training Consultant's Paper. March 1969. Containing: Legal Norms and the Juvenile Correctional Process. Commission publications available 1522 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.
- Manella, Raymond L.: RACIALLY INTEGRATING A STATE'S TRAINING SCHOOLS. Children, March-April 1964. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Children's Bureau).
- MINISTRY OF JUSTICE. 4 Utah L. Rev., Winter 1963-64.
- Moore, Wilbert E.: SOCIAL SCIENCE AND THE FUTURE LAW SCHOOL CURRICULUM. 44 Den. L.J. 49, Fall 1967.
- Paulsen, Monrad G.: THE LICENSING OF CHILD-CARE FACILITIES—A LOOK AT THE LAW. 21 Ala. L. Rev. 1, Fall 1968.



PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY: Report of Joint Conference. A.B.A.J., December 1958. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

A PROPOSAL FOR THE MORE EFFECTIVE TREATMENT OF THE "UNRULY" CHILD IN OHIO: THE YOUTH SERVICES BUREAU. 39 U. Cinn. L. Rev. 275.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS, LONG HAIR, AND THE CONSTITUTION. In Comments, 55 Iowa L. Rev. 707, February 1970.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS: LEGAL ARTICLES AND RECENT CASES. 8 J. Family L. 79, Spring 1968.

Reed, Amos E.: GAULT AND THE TRAINING SCHOOL. 43 Ind. L.J. 641, Spring 1968.

REPORT OF THE CONFERENCE ON LAW AND THE BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES. (Held in Center for Advanced Study in Behavioral Sciences, Palo Alto, California, August 7-11, 1956).

THE RIGHT TO DIE - A comment on the application of the President and Directors of Georgetown College. 9 Utah L. Rev. 161-171, No. 1, Summer 1964.

Saxbe, William B.: STUDENT UNREST AND THE LAW. 18 Clev. St. L. Rev. 429, September 1969.

Schoenfeld, C. G.: THE SUPEREGO'S INFLUENCE ON THE LAW. 14 DePaul L. Rev. 299-319, No. 2, Spring-Summer 1965.

Schoenfeld, Marcus: INITIAL IMPRESSIONS OF THE TREASURY REPORT ON FOUNDATIONS. (Symposium: Non-Profit Organizations' Problem) 14 Clev.-Mar. L. Rev. 286, No. 2, May 1965.

Shanker, Morris G.: MANDATORY COURSES OUT OF THE LAW SCHOOL. 56 Geo. L.J. 912, May 1968.

A SHORT HISTORY AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING SCHOOL DRESS AND GROOMING CODES. 31 Ohio St. L. J. 351, Spring 1970.

SYMPOSIUM: THE CAMPUS CRISIS. 11 Wm. and Mary L. Rev. 575, Spring 1970.

SYMPOSIUM: CHILDREN AND THE LAW—CURRENT PROBLEMS. 5 Willamette L.J. 1-181, Spring 1968.

SYMPOSIUM: THE JUVENILE OFFENDER AND THE LAW. 45 N.D. L. Rev., Winter 1969.

TRESPASSING CHILDREN IN SOUTH CAROLINA. 17 S.C. L. Rev. 375-397, No. 3, Spring 1965.

"TURN 'EM LOOSE": TOWARDS A FLEXIBLE CORRECTIONS SYSTEM. In Comments 42 S. Cal. L. Rev. 682, 1969.

THE UNDERAGE MARRIAGE AND PARENTAL CONSENT PROBLEMS IN TEXAS—A LOOK AT THE PAST AS WELL AS THE PRESENT. In Comments, 22 Baylor L. Rev. 332.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau: PROPOSALS FOR DRAFTING PRINCIPLES AND SUGGESTED LANGUAGE FOR LEGISLATION ON PUBLIC CHILD WELFARE AND YOUTH SERVICES. Washington, D.C. (20201): Children's Bureau, 1957.

Walker, J. and A. Glasner: THE PROCESS OF JUVENILE DETENTION: The Training School Act. The Child Welfare Act. 3 Osgoode Hall L. J. 343-361, No. 2, April 1965.

Watson, Andrew S.: SOME PSYCHOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF TEACHING PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY. 16 J. Legal Ed., No. 1, 1963, by permission from the Association of American Law Schools. (Reprinted by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

WHAT CONSTITUTES PUNISHMENT? 39 Notre Dame Law. 594-606, No. 5, August 1964.

Wofford, Harris, Jr.: THE MORAL BASIS OF HUMAN RIGHTS, 44 Notre Dame Lawyer 1034, Centennial 1969.

YOUTH AND THE LAW—FROM THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT: 1969-1970. 56 A.B.A. J. 872, September 1970.

Zukerman, Jacob T.: FAMILY DISORGANIZATION. [In] Encyclopedia of Social Work, 15th issue, New York: National Association of Social Workers, 1965 (pp. 305-309).

#### International Law

SYMPOSIUM: International Law. 53 Ky. L. J. 5-134, No. 1, 1964.

#### Lawyer-Social Agency Relationship

Drinan, Robert I.: THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE LAWYER TO HIS PROFESSION. J. Am. Jud. Soc'y., April 1959.

Katz, Sanford N., J.D.: THE LAWYER AND THE CASEWORKER: Some Observations. Social Casework, Vol. XLII, No. 1, January 1961. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

#### Nonsupport

Hagen, Harold: THE INTERSTATE COMPACT ON THE PLACEMENT OF CHILDREN. Child Welfare, December 1960.

Kelson, Charles D.: RECIPROCAL ENFORCEMENT OF SUPPORT: 1959 Dimensions.  
43 Minn. L. Rev. 875-888, No. 5, April 1959.

NON-CRIMINAL OBSCENITY REGULATION AND FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION. [In]  
NOTES, Wash. U. L. Q., December 1962.

Williams, J. E. Hall: ALTERNATIVES TO DEFINITE SENTENCES. The Law Q. Rev.,  
Vol. 80, January 1964.

#### Sex Offender

Wolfenden Report in British Journal of Delinquency, Vol. 9, No. 1, July 1958.

#### ILLEGITIMACY

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—DISCRIMINATION BASED UPON ILLEGITIMACY AS A DENIAL  
OF EQUAL PROTECTION. (In) Notes, 43 Tul. L. Rev. 383, February 1969.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—EQUAL PROTECTION—ILLEGITIMATES—ILLEGITIMATE CHILD  
CANNOT BRING A CIVIL ACTION FOR SUPPORT AGAINST ITS FATHER. *Boston v.*  
*Sears*, 15 Ohio St. 2d 166, 239 N.E.2d 62 (1968). (In) Recent Cases, 38 U. Cincinnati L. Rev.,  
No. 2, Spring 1969.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—TORTS—EQUAL PROTECTION OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN.  
(In) Case Comments, 71 W. Va. L. Rev. 52, December 1968.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—TORTS—EQUAL PROTECTION OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN.  
*Levy v. Louisiana*, 88 S. Ct. 1509 (1968), *Gloria v. Am. Guarantee and Liab. Ins. Co.*, 88 S. Ct.  
1515 (1968). 71 W. Va. L. Rev. 52, December 1968.

DENIAL OF ILLEGITIMATES OF A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL DEATH IS  
VIOLATIVE OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE CONSTITUTION.  
35 Brooklyn L. Rev. 135, Fall 1968.

EQUAL PROTECTION AND PATERNITY, *Levy v. Louisiana*, 216 So.2d 818, (La. 1968) and  
*Gloria v. Am. Guarantee and Liab. Ins. Co.*, 391 U.S. 68, 1968. (In) Comment, 16 Wel. L.  
Bull. 26 (March 1969).

EQUAL PROTECTION OF ILLEGITIMATE CHILDREN. *Levy v. Louisiana*, 192 So.2d 193  
(La. 1966) 10 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 247, Fall 1968.

EXISTENCE OF ILLEGITIMATES AS SOLE BASIS FOR REMOVAL FROM HOME ON  
GROUNDS OF NEGLECT, DISALLOWED BY COURT. 16 Wel. L. Bull. 8, (March 1969).

Farrar, R. Thomas: DOES THE BASTARD HAVE A LEGITIMATE COMPLAINT? 22 U. Miami  
L. Rev. 884, Summer 1968.

Gray, John C. Jr. and Rudovsky, David: THE COURT ACKNOWLEDGES THE ILLEGITIMATE:  
LEVY V. LOUISIANA AND GLONA V. AMERICAN GUARANTEE AND LIABILITY  
INSURANCE CO. 118 U. Pa. L. Rev., Nov. 1969.

Krause, Harry D.: THE BASTARD FINDS HIS FATHER. 3 Fam. L. Q. 100, June 1969.

R \_\_\_ v. R \_\_\_, 431 S.W.2d 152 (Mo. 1968). Invalidation of State's support laws which distinguish  
between legitimate and illegitimate children.

*Schmoll v. Creecy*, 249 A.2d 3 (N.J. 1969). No right of action for illegitimate children of father  
killed in automobile accident.

## LAW AND THE INDIGENT

- AFDC INCOME ATTRIBUTION: THE MAN-IN-THE-HOUSE AND WELFARE GRANT REDUCTIONS. In Notes, 83 Harv. L. Rev. 1370.
- AFDC, SEC. 402(a)(23) OF THE 1967 SOCIAL SECURITY ACT AMENDMENTS: A FALSE HOPE? In Comments, 58 Geo. L. J. 591, February 1970.
- ANALYSIS OF WELFARE RESIDENCE REQUIREMENTS IN LIGHT OF RECENT DECISIONS. [In] NOTES, 29 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 138, October 1967.
- ARE RESIDENCE REQUIREMENTS UNCONSTITUTIONAL BURDENS ON WELFARE RECIPIENTS? 1 John Marshall J. 307, Winter 1968.
- ATTORNEY—COMPENSATION FOR REPRESENTATION OF INDIGENT DEFENDANT. 13 Kan. L. Rev. 423-427, No. 2, December 1964.
- Barrett, St. John: THE NEW ROLE OF THE COURTS IN DEVELOPING PUBLIC WELFARE LAW. 1970 Duke L. J. 1, February 1970.
- Baum, Daniel J.: WAR ON POVERTY, 14 U.C.L.A.L. Rev. 1071, No. 4, May 1967.
- Bonfield, Arthur Earl: REPRESENTATION FOR THE POOR IN FEDERAL RULEMAKING. 67 Mich. L. Rev. 511, January 1969.
- Brazer, Harvey E.: THE FEDERAL INCOME TAX AND THE POOR: WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE? 57 Calif. L. Rev. 422, April 1969.
- Brennen, William J., Jr.: THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION, 54 A.B.A.J. 121, February 1968.
- Burke, Edmund M.: THE NIXON POOR LAW REFORM. 4 Fam. L. Q. 355, December 1970.
- Cahn, Edgar S. and Jean: THE WAR ON POVERTY: A Civilian Perspective. 73 Yale L. J. 1317-1352, No. 8, July 1964.
- Calkins, Richard M.: CRIMINAL JUSTICE FOR THE INDIGENT. 42 U. Det. L. J. 305-342, No. 3, February 1965.
- CHALLENGE TO LIMITATIONS ON WELFARE GRANTS. *Williams, et al. v. Dandridge, et al.*, 16 Wel. L. Bull. 1 (March 1969).
- Clark, Leroy D.: LEGAL SERVICES PROGRAMS—THE CASELOAD PROBLEM, OR HOW TO AVOID BECOMING THE NEW WELFARE DEPARTMENT. 47 U. Det. J. Urban L. 798, 1969-70.

- Collins, Granville E.: PUBLIC DEFENDER IN MISSOURI. 37 Tenn. L. Rev. 17, Fall 1969.
- CONFERENCE ON LEGAL SERVICES FOR THE APPALACHIAN AREA. 37 Tenn. L. Rev. 1, Fall 1969.
- THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF RESIDENCE REQUIREMENTS FOR STATE WELFARE RECIPIENTS. 63 Nw. U.L. Rev. 351, July-August 1968.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT AND EQUAL PROTECTION—RESIDENCE REQUIREMENTS FOR WELFARE BENEFITS. 53 Iowa L. Rev. 491, October 1967.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—RIGHT TO COUNSEL—THE INDIGENT MISDEMEANANT. [In] NOTES, 19 Mercer L. Rev. 440, Summer 1968.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—STATUTORY PROVISION CREATING A ONE-YEAR RESIDENCY REQUIREMENT FOR RECEIVING PUBLIC ASSISTANCE IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL DENIAL OF EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. In Comments, 21 S.C. L. Rev. 796, 1969.
- THE CONSTITUTIONAL MINIMUM FOR THE TERMINATION OF WELFARE BENEFITS: THE NEED FOR AND REQUIREMENTS OF A PRIOR HEARING. In Comments, 68 Michigan L. Rev. 112, 1969.
- CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF JUVENILES: GAULT AND ITS APPLICATION. 9 Wm. and Mary L. Rev. 492, Winter 1967.
- COUNSEL ASSIGNED TO REPRESENT INDIGENT CRIMINAL DEFENDANT IN FEDERAL COURT AWARDED COMPENSATION. 113 U. Pa. L. Rev. 769, No. 5, 1965.
- CRIMINAL JUSTICE FOR THE INDIGENT. 42 U. Det. L. J. 305, No. 3, February 1965.
- Cutshaw, Léila: SOME CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE. 26 J. Am. Pub. Wel. Assoc. 53, January 1968.
- Dienes, C. Thomas: TO FEED THE HUNGRY: JUDICIAL RETRENCHMENT IN WELFARE ADJUDICATION. 58 Calif. L. Rev. 555, May 1970.
- Elson, Alex: ACCREDITATION OF LEGAL SERVICE AGENCIES. 53 A.B.A.J. 415, May 1967.
- EXISTENCE OF ILLEGITIMATES AS SOLE BASIS FOR REMOVAL FROM HOME ON GROUNDS OF NEGLECT, DISALLOWED BY COURT. 16 Wel. L. Bull. 8, (March 1969).
- THE EXTENSION OF LEGAL SERVICES TO THE POOR—Conference Proceedings, November 12-14, 1964. Available from U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Office of Juvenile Delinquency and Youth Development.
- FOURTH AMENDMENT APPLIES TO MASS WELFARE SEARCH. *Parrish v. Civil Service Commission of the County of Alameda*, 35 U.S.L.W. 2583 (Cal. Sup. Ct. March 27, 1967). Welf. L. Bull., No. 8, May 1967.

Friedman, Lawrence M.: SOCIAL WELFARE LEGISLATION: AN INTRODUCTION. 21 Stan. L. Rev. 217, January 1969.

Gellhorn, Walter: POVERTY AND LEGALITY: THE LAW'S SLOW AWAKENING. 9 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 285, Winter 1967.

George, B. J., Jr.: THE IMPLICATIONS OF GAULT FOR WELFARE ADMINISTRATION. 11 Family L.Q. 182, June 1968.

*Goldberg v. Kelly*, 397 U.S. 254 (March 23, 1970). Continuation of public assistance during appeals from termination or reduction actions.

Greenwalt, William Sloan: OEO LEGAL SERVICE FOR THE POOR: AN ANNIVERSARY APPRAISAL. 12 N.Y.L.F. 62, No. 1, Spring 1966.

GROUP LEGAL SERVICES. [In] COMMENT, 79 Harv. L. Rev. 416-424, No. 2, December 1965.

Handler, Joel F. and Hollingsworth, Ellen Jane: STIGMA, PRIVACY AND OTHER ATTITUDES OF WELFARE RECIPIENTS. 22 Stan. L. Rev. 1, Nov. 1969.

Handler, Joel F., and Rosenheim, Margaret K.: PRIVACY IN WELFARE: PUBLIC ASSISTANCE AND JUVENILE JUSTICE. 31 Law and Contemp. Prob. 377, 1966.

Hazard, Geoffrey C., Jr.: SOCIAL JUSTICE THROUGH CIVIL JUSTICE. 36 U. Chi. L. Rev., No. 4, Summer 1969.

IMPACT OF PUBLIC UTILITY'S POLICIES ON POOR SCRUTINIZED. 16 Wel. L. Bull. 21 (March 1969).

IMPRISONMENT OF AN INDIGENT FOR FAILURE TO PAY COSTS OF PROSECUTION VIOLATES THIRTEENTH AMENDMENT. (*Wright v. Matthews*, 163 S.E.2d 158, Va. 1968). 55 Va. L. Rev. 784, May 1969.

IMPRISONMENT OF JUDGMENT DEBTORS SUBJECTED TO HIGHER STANDARDS. 16 Wel. L. Bull. 25 (March 1969).

INDIGENT DEFENDANTS—THE NEED FOR EFFECTIVE AID IN ADDITION TO COUNSEL. (*Phillips v. State*, 197 So.2d 241, Miss. 1967) 39 Miss. L.J. 145, December 1967.

INDIGENT ENTITLED TO APPOINTED COUNSEL ON HABEAS CORPUS PETITION HAVING SOME MERIT. *People ex rel. Williams v. LaVallee*, 225 N.E.2d 735 (N.Y. 1967) 9 Wel. L. Bull. 2, July 1967.

THE INDIGENT MISDEMEANANT'S RIGHT TO COUNSEL. (In) Notes, 18 Drake L. Rev. 109, December 1968.

INDIGENTS EXPAND ACCESS TO CIVIL COURTS. 16 Wel. L. Bull. 19 (March 1969).

THE INDIGENT'S RIGHT TO AN ADEQUATE DEFENSE. 55 Cornell L. Rev. 632, April 1970.

THE INDIGENT'S RIGHT TO COUNSEL IN CIVIL CASES. 76 Yale L.J. 545, January 1967.

Johnson, Sam D.: HOUSTON LEGAL FOUNDATION: EXCELLENCE FOR INDIGENTS. 53 A.B.A.J. 335, April 1967.

Kay, Herma Hill and Philips, Irving: POVERTY AND THE LAW OF CHILD CUSTODY. 54 Calif. L. Rev. 717, No. 2, May 1966.

Kelso, Louis O.: POVERTY'S OTHER EXIT. 41 N.D. L. Rev. 147-155, No. 4, May 1965.

LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE RURAL POOR: AN IOWA STUDY. 56 Iowa L. Rev. 100 October 1970.

LEGAL SERVICES FOR THE POOR—AN ANALYSIS OF THE HOUSTON LEGAL FOUNDATION. 6 Houston L. Rev. 939-1228 May 1969.

LEGAL SERVICES FOR THE POOR FROM THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT: 1969-1970. 56 A.B.A. J. 873, Sept. 1970.

*McDougal, etc. v. Tamsberg, etc.*, 308 F.Supp. 1212 (S.C. 1970). Mother of five illegitimate children cannot be denied public housing. 3 Civil Rights Dig. 2, June 1970.

Miller, Charles H.: USE OF LAW STUDENTS IN LEGAL SERVICE PROGRAMS FOR THE POOR. 37 Tenn. L. Rev. 35, Fall 1969.

Moreland, Ray: RIGHT TO COUNSEL BEFORE TRIAL: THE INDIGENT DEFENDANT. 58 Ky. L. J. 15, 1969-70.

NEGLIGENCE: MINOR HELD TO ADULT STANDARD OF CARE WHILE OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE. (*Medina v. McAllister*, 196 So.2d 773, Fla. 1967) 20 U. Fla. L. Rev. 107, Summer 1967.

NEIGHBORHOOD LAW OFFICES: THE NEW WAVE IN LEGAL SERVICES FOR THE POOR. [In] NOTES, 80 Harv. L. Rev. 805, No. 4, February 1967.

NEIGHBORHOOD LEGAL SERVICES—NEW DIMENSIONS IN THE LAW. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Juvenile Delinquency and Youth Development, 1966.

NEIGHBORHOOD LEGAL SERVICES AND THE WAR AGAINST POVERTY. Wel. L. Bull., No. 6, December 1966, p. 1, N.Y.U. Sch. L.

OBLIGATION OF APPOINTED LEGAL COUNSEL TO REPRESENT AN INDIGENT ON APPEAL. [In] NOTES, 17 Drake L. Rev. 210, May 1968.

Oliver, John W.: THE USES AND LIMITATIONS OF LAW STUDENTS IN PRISON LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS. 1969. Wash. U. L. Q. 381, Fall 1969.

Packard, David R.: FAIR PROCEDURE IN WELFARE HEARINGS. 42 S. Cal. L. Rev. 600, 1969.

- Paulsen, Monrad G.: JUVENILE COURTS, FAMILY COURTS, AND THE POOR MAN. 54 Calif. L. Rev. 694, No. 2, May 1966.
- Perkins, Carl D.: THE MOVEMENT OF THE LAW TOWARD THE POOR. 37 Tenn. L. Rev. 41.
- Phemister, James M. and Hildebrand, James L.: THE USE OF NON-PROFIT CORPORATIONS AND COOPERATIVES FOR GHETTO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. 4 J. Urban L. 181, Issue 1, 1970-71.
- Pitts, Henry L.: GROUP LEGAL SERVICES: A PLAN TO HUCKSTER PROFESSIONAL SERVICES. 55 A.B.A. J. 633, July 1969.
- Polier, Justine Wise: THE INVISIBLE RIGHTS OF THE POOR. 12 Children 215, 1965.
- Preloznik, Joseph F.: LEGAL SERVICES FOR THE POOR. 37 Tenn. L. Rev. 44, Fall 1969.
- Reed v. Duter*, 416 F.2d 744 (Wisc. 1969). Indigent children confined to institutions in Wisconsin have a right to court appointed counsel to seek post-commitment relief. 2 Juv. Ct. Dig. 7, June 1970.
- REHABILITATION, INVESTIGATION AND THE WELFARE HOME VISIT. In Notes, 79 Yale L. J. 746, March 1970.
- Reitz, J. Wayne: THE FEDERAL ROLE IN LAW SCHOOL CLINICAL EXPERIENCE PROGRAMS. 37 Tenn. L. Rev. 53, Fall 1969.
- THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL FOR INDIGENTS IN OREGON. 44 Ore. L. Rev. 255, No. 4, June 1965.
- Robb, John D. Jr.: HEW LEGAL SERVICES: BEAUTY OR BEAST. 55 A.B.A. J. 346, April 1969.
- Robertson v. Ott*, 284 F. Supp. 735 (Mass. 1968). One year residence requirement before applying for A.F.D.C. held unconstitutional.
- Schier, Carl: INDIGENTS FARE POORLY IN COURT SYSTEM TODAY. Virginia Law Weekly, Dicta, Vol. XIX, No. 10, 1966.
- Schmertz, John R., Jr.: INDIGENT CIVIL PLAINTIFF IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. 27 Fed. B.J. 235, Summer 1967.
- Scott, Robert E.: THE WELFARE HEARING PROCESS—THE LAW AND ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS EXAMINED. 11 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 291, Winter 1969.
- Shriver, Sargeant: LAW REFORM AND THE POOR. 17 Am. U.L. Rev. 1, December 1967.
- Silverstein, Lee: WAIVER OF COURT COSTS AND APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL FOR POOR PERSONS IN CIVIL CASES. 2 Valparaiso L. Rev. 19, Fall 1967.
- Snell v. Wyman* and The Constitutional Issues Posed by Welfare Repayment Provision. (In) Comment 55 Va. L. Rev. 177, February 1969.

- SOCIAL WELFARE LAW AFTER THE "MAN-IN-THE-HOUSE," WHAT? 15 How. L. J. 265, Winter 1969.
- Stumpf, Harry P. and Janowitz, Robert J.: JUDGES AND THE POOR: BENCH RESPONSES TO FEDERALLY FINANCED LEGAL SERVICES. 21 Stan. L. Rev. 1058, May 1969.
- SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS IN WELFARE ASSISTANCE: (Welfare search consent; man in the house rule; exclusion from Public Housing of families with illegitimate children). 14 Wel. L. Bull. 1, September 1968.
- SYMPOSIUM ON JUSTICE AND THE POOR. 41 Notre Dame Law. No. 6, 1966.
- SYMPOSIUM ON LAW AND PSYCHIATRY: THE TYRANNY AND UTILITY OF LABELS. 40 Temple L. Rev. Nos. 3-4, Spring-Summer 1967.
- SYMPOSIUM: LAW AND POVERTY. 32 Albany L. Rev. 509, Spring 1968.
- SYMPOSIUM ON THE LAW OF THE POOR. 54 Calif. L. Rev., No. 2, May 1966.
- SYMPOSIUM ON SOCIAL LEGISLATION—ANTIPOVERTY PROGRAMS. 31 Law and Contemp. Prob. No. 1, Winter 1966.
- SYMPOSIUM, THE WAR ON POVERTY, LEGAL SERVICES, AND THE RURAL POOR. 15 Kan. L. Rev. 401, May 1967.
- SYMPOSIUM: YOUTH AND THE LAW. 19 Hastings L.J. 1, November 1967.
- Toll, Maynard J. and Allison, Junius L.: ADVOCATES FOR THE POOR. 46 Denver L. J. 85, Winter 1969.
- WELFARE DUE PROCESS: THE MAXIMUM GRANT LIMITATION ON THE RIGHT TO SERVICE. (In) Notes, 3 Ga. L. Rev. 459, Winter 1969.
- WELFARE—INCOME OF MAN LIVING WITH MOTHER OF AFDC CHILDREN WHO IS NOT LEGALLY OBLIGATED TO SUPPORT THEM, MAY NOT BE TREATED AS AVAILABLE TO THE CHILDREN ABSENT A SHOWING OF ACTUAL SUPPORT. 56 A.B.A. L. J. 793, August 1970.
- WELFARE RESIDENCY REQUIREMENTS. In Notes, 11 Wm. and Mary L. Rev. 472, Winter 1969.
- WELFARE'S "CONDITION X." 76 Yale L.J. 1222, May 1967.
- Widiss, Alan I., et al.: LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE RURAL POOR. 56 Iowa L. Rev. 100, 1970.
- Willging, Thomas E.: FINANCIAL BARRIERS AND THE ACCESS OF INDIGENTS TO THE COURT. 57 Geo. L. J. 253, November 1968.
- Wise, Sherwood W.: RIGHT TO COUNSEL FOR INDIGENT DEFENDANTS IN MISSISSIPPI. 40 Miss. L. J. 187, March 1969.

**WITHDRAWAL OF PUBLIC WELFARE: THE RIGHT TO A PRIOR HEARING.** 76 Yale L.J. 1234, May 1967.

**Wright, J. Skelly: POVERTY, MINORITIES, AND RESPECT FOR LAW.** 1970 Duke L. J. 425, Vol. No. 3, June 1970.

## LAW, HEALTH AND MEDICAL CARE

**ABORTION LAW REFORM AT A CROSSROADS?** In Notes and Comments. 46 Chi.-Kent L. Rev., 102, Spring-Summer 1969.

**ABORTION LAW REFORM IN NEW YORK: A STUDY OF RELIGIOUS, MORAL, MEDICAL, AND LEGAL CONFLICT.** 31 Albany L. Rev. 290, No. 2, June 1967.

**ANTICONTRACEPTIVE STATUTE-INVASION OF PRIVACY.** (Griswold v. Connecticut, 85 Sup. Ct. 1678, 1965.) 37 Miss. L.J. 304-306, No. 2, March 1966.

**ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION.** (In) Notes, 23 Ark. L. Rev. 81, Spring 1968.

**Baker, James A.: COURT ORDERED NON-EMERGENCY MEDICAL CARE FOR INFANTS.** 18 Clev.-Mar. L. Rev. 296, May 1969.

**Barrow, Roscoe L. and Fabing, Howard D., M.D.: EPILEPSY AND THE LAW.** Harper and Row, 1966.

**Bazon, David L.: THE CONCEPT OF RESPONSIBILITY.** 53 Geo. L. J., No. 1, Fall 1964.

**Bazon, David L.: IMPLEMENTING THE RIGHT TO TREATMENT.** 36 U. Chi. L. Rev. #4, Spring 1969.

**Beaver, James E.: THE "MENTALLY ILL" AND THE LAW.** 1968 Utah L. Rev. 1, March 1968.

**BLOOD GROUPING TEST RESULTS: EVIDENTIAL FACTS OR CONCLUSION OF LAW?** (Houghton v. Houghton, 179 Neb. 275, 137 N.W.2d 861, 1965.) 411 - 423. 23 Wash. and Lee L. Rev. 411, No. 2, Fall 1966.

**Bodenheimer, Edgar. BIRTH CONTROL, LEGISLATION AND THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.** 14 Kan. L. Rev. 453-460, No. 3, March 1966.

**CHANGING ABORTION LAWS IN THE UNITED STATES.** [In] NOTES, 7 J. Family L. 496, Fall 1967.

**CIVIL COMMITMENT OF THE MENTALLY ILL.** 30 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 752, Summer 1969.

**Clayton, James: SIX YEARS TO DURHAM.** J. Am. Jud. Soc'y., June 1960.

**CONSENT TO MEDICAL AND SURGICAL TREATMENT.** 14 Drake L. Rev. 101-115, No. 2, May 1965.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—ABORTION—1859 CALIFORNIA STATUTE PROHIBITING ALL ABORTIONS NOT "NECESSARY TO PRESERVE "THE MOTHER'S" LIFE," IS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY VAGUE AND AN IMPROPER INFRINGEMENT ON WOMEN'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. 45 Notre Dame Law. 329.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Family Responsibility Statutes. *Department of Mental Hygiene v. Kirchner*, 60 Cal.2d 716, 36 Cal. Rptr. 488, 388 P.2d 720 (1964). 49 Cornell L. Q. 516-520, No. 3, Spring 1964, 380 U.S. 194 (1965). (Also see summary of decision re: above subject in *The Mental Health Court Digest*, Vol. 5, No. 9, March 1962. *Dept. of Mental Hygiene v. Black*, 18 Cal. Rptr. 78, (Cal. Dist. Ct. of Appeal of Cal. December 28, 1961).

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—EQUAL PROTECTION—STATUTE COMPELLING RELATIVES TO SUPPORT PATIENTS CIVILLY COMMITTED TO STATE MENTAL HOSPITALS DECLARED UNCONSTITUTIONAL. *Department of Mental Hygiene v. Kirchner*, 60 Cal.2d 716, 388 P.2d 720, 36 Cal. Rptr. 488 (1964). 77 Harv. L. Rev. 1523-1525, No. 8, June 1964, 380 U.S. 194 (1965); decision on remand 400 P.2d 321 (1965).

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—THE STATE AND BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS OF INFANTS—RELIGIOUS FREEDOM. 34 Miss. L. J., No. 2, March 1963.

CRIMINAL LAW—ABORTION—GEORGIA'S NEW THERAPEUTIC ABORTION LAW. 20 Mercer L. Rev. 314, Winter 1969.

Cullen, Craig, J.D.: HOSPITAL DUTY TO PROVIDE EMERGENCY MEDICAL CARE FOR THE INDIGENT AND MEDICALLY INDIGENT. 4 Clearinghouse Rev. 1, November 1970 Nat. Inst. for Ed. in Law and Poverty.

Cunningham, S.J., and William, C.: INDICATED BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS AND THE ADULT JEHOVAH'S WITNESS: TRIAL JUDGES' DILEMMA. 2 Valparaiso L. Rev. 53, Fall 1967.

Dearman, H.B.: CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY AND INSANITY TESTS: A Psychiatrist Looks At Three Cases. 47 Va. L. Rev., No. 8, December 1961.

Dienes, C. Thomas: ARTIFICIAL DONOR INSEMINATION: PERSPECTIVES ON LEGAL AND SOCIAL CHANGE. 54 Iowa L. Rev. 253, March 1969.

Dix, George E.: HOSPITALIZATION OF THE MENTALLY ILL. 51 Marquette L. Rev. 1, Summer 1967.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS—STATE HAS POWER TO ORDER MEDICAL TREATMENT FOR CHILD IN SPITE OF PARENTS' RELIGIOUS OBJECTIONS. [Under] CASENOTES, 8 Vill. L. Rev. 114, No. 1, Fall 1962.

Donovan, John A.: THE JUVENILE COURT AND THE MENTALLY DISORDERED JUVENILE. 45 N.D. L. Rev. 222, Winter 1969.

ELECTIVE STERILIZATION. 133 U. Pa. L. Rev. 415-444, No. 3, January 1965.

GROUP THERAPY AND PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION. [In] NOTES, 43 Ind. L.J. 93, Fall 1967.

Hakeen, Michael: PSYCHIATRIC APPROACH TO DELINQUENCY. Department of Sociology and Anthropology, University of Wisconsin. *Social Problems*, Vol. 5, No. 3, Winter 1957-58.

Hall, Jerome: THE SCIENTIFIC AND HUMANE STUDY OF CRIMINAL LAW. B.U.L. Rev., Vol. XLII, No. 3, Summer 1962.

Harvith, Bernard Evans: FEDERAL EQUAL PROTECTION AND WELFARE ASSISTANCE. 31 Albany L. Rev. 210, No. 2, June 1967.

HEALTH—COMPULSION OF ADULT OF SOUND JUDGMENT TO SUBMIT TO BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS IN SPITE OF RELIGIOUS OBJECTIONS. *Raleigh Fitkin—Paul Morgan Memorial Hosp. v. Anderson*, 42 N. J. 421, 201 A.2d 537 (1964), cert. denied, 377 U.S. 989, 1964. 40 Notre Dame Law. 126-131, No. 1, December 1964.

THE HOSPITAL ABORTION COMMITTEE AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE BODY OF THE STATE. In Comment, 10 J. Fam. L. 32, 1970.

THE INDIVIDUAL AND THE INVOLUNTARY STERILIZATION LAWS, 1966, 31 Albany L. Rev. 97.

INMATE OF STATE INSTITUTION FOR CRIMINALLY INSANE MAY RECOVER DAMAGES FOR INORDINATE LENGTH OF INCARCERATION DUE TO LACK OF PROPER PSYCHIATRIC CARE. *Whitree v. State*, 56 Misc. 2d 693, 290 N.Y.S.2d 486 (Ct. Cl. 1968). 82 Harv. L. Rev. 1771, June 1969.

IN RE GAULT AND THE PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION IN JUVENILE COURT. [In] COMMENT, 51 Marquette L. Rev. 68, Summer 1967.

JUDICIAL REFORM OF ABORTION LAWS. 22 U. Fla. L. Rev. 59, Summer 1969.

Katz, Jay: THE RIGHT TO TREATMENT—AN ENCHANTING LEGAL FICTION? 36 U. Chi. L. Rev. #4, Spring 1969.

Krause, Harry D.: THE NON-MARITAL CHILD—NEW CONCEPTIONS FOR THE LAW OF UNLAWFULNESS. 1 Fam. L.Q. 1, No. 2, June 1967.

LAW AND CHILDHOOD PSYCHOLOGICAL EXPERIENCE. 14 Clev.-Mar. L. Rev. 139, No. 1, January 1965.

LAW AND CHILDHOOD PSYCHOLOGICAL EXPERIENCE. 14 Clev.-Mar. L. Rev. 139-159, No. 2, May 1965.

THE LAW OF THERAPEUTIC ABORTION: A SOCIAL COMMENTARY ON PROPOSED REFORM. 15 J. Pub. L. 386 No. 2, 1966.

THE LEGAL STATUS OF THERAPEUTIC ABORTION. 27 Pitt. L. Rev. 669, No. 3, March 1966

Lewis, Melvin, McCollum, Audrey T., Schwartz, A. Herbert, Grent, Jerome A.: INFORMED CONSENT IN PEDIATRIC RESEARCH. 16 Children July-August 1969.

Louisell, David W.: ABORTION, THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE AND THE DUE PROCESS OF LAW. 16 U.C.L.A. L. Rev. 233, February 1969.

- Louisell, David W. and Diamond, Bernard L.: LAW AND PSYCHIATRY: Detente, Entente, or Concomitance? 50 Cornell L. Q. 217-234, No. 2, Winter 1965.
- LSD: A CHALLENGE TO AMERICAN DRUG LAW PHILOSOPHY. [In] NOTES, 19 U. Fla. L. Rev. 311, No. 2, Fall 1966.
- MEDICO-LEGAL SYMPOSIUM. 28 De Paul L. Rev. Nos. 2 and 3, Summer 1969.
- Meyers, Joseph Peter: THE ALLOCATION OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR MENTAL ILLNESS OR DEFECT. 39 So. Cal. L. Rev. 547, 1966.
- M'NAGHTEN REMAINS IRREPLACEABLE: Recent Events in the Law of Incapacity. 50 Geo. L. J., No. 1, Fall 1961.
- THE "MORNING AFTER PILL" AND OTHER PRE-IMPLANTATION BIRTH CONTROL METHODS AND THE LAW, 46 Ore. L. Rev. 211, No. 2, February 1967.
- Morris, Grant H.: "CRIMINALITY" AND THE RIGHT TO TREATMENT. 36 U. Chi. L. Rev. #4, Spring 1969.
- Myers, Larry W.: THE BATTLE OF THE EXPERTS: A New Approach to an Old Problem in Medical Testimony. 44 Neb. L. Rev. 539-598, No. 3, May 1965.
- Overholser, Winfred: PSYCHIATRY'S CONTRIBUTION TO CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE. 12 Okla. L. Rev., No. 1, February 1959.
- PARENT AND CHILD—COMPULSORY MEDICAL CARE OVER OBJECTIONS OF PARENTS. 65 W. Va. L. Rev. 184, No. 2, February 1963.
- PARENT AND CHILD: LEGAL EFFECT OF ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION. 19 Okla. L. Rev. 448, No. 4, 1966.
- PENNSYLVANIA'S GOOD SAMARITAN STATUTE—AN ANSWER TO THE MEDICAL PROFESSION'S DILEMMA. 10 Vill. L. Rev. 130-139, No. 1, Fall 1964.
- People v. Belous* \_\_\_ P.2d \_\_\_ (Cal. 1969). A pregnant woman's right not to bear a child. 3 Clearinghouse Rev. 131, October 1969.
- PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS—ACTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS. 10 Am. U. L. Rev. 219, No. 2, June 1961.
- POPULATION CONTROL. 27 Ohio St. L. J. 591-690, No. 4, Fall 1966 "Eliminating the Unfit—Is Sterilization the Answer?", Elyce Zenoff Ferster, 591; "World Population Prospects," Robert Cook, 634; "Abortion and the Population Crisis; Therapeutic Abortion and the Law; Some New Approaches," Zad Leavy and Jerome M. Kummer, 647; "Birth Control and a New Birth of Freedom," Harriet F. Pilpel, 679.
- POST-CONVICTION PROBLEMS AND THE DEFECTIVE DELINQUENT. [In] COMMENTS, 12 Vill. L. Rev. 545, No. 3, Spring 1967.
- PROCEDURE FOR THE RELEASE OF THE CRIMINALLY INSANE—A SUGGESTED APPROACH. Wash. U. L. Q., February 1962.

- RELATIVES RESPONSIBILITY: PARENT LIABLE FOR SUPPORT OF ADULT MENTALLY DEFICIENT CHILD IN CALIF. INSTITUTION. *In re Dudley*, 239 Cal. App. 2d 432, 48 Cal. Rptr. 790 (Dist. Ct. App. 1966). Welf. L. Bull., 4, No. 5, October 1966.
- RIGHT TO MARITAL PRIVACY—ANTI-CONTRACEPTIVE STATUTE HELD UNCONSTITUTIONAL. (*Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479, 1965.) 35 U. Cinc. L. Rev. 134-140, No. 1, Winter 1966.
- Roy, William R.: ABORTION—A PHYSICIAN'S VIEW. 9 Washburn L. J. 391, Spring 1970.
- Schultz, LeRoy G.: TORTS: THE VIOLATED: A PROPOSAL TO COMPENSATE VICTIMS OF VIOLENT CRIMES. 10 St. Louis U.L.J. 238, No. 2, Winter 1966.
- Shaffer, Thomas L.: ABORTION, THE LAW AND HUMAN LIFE. 2 Valparaiso L. Rev. 94, Fall 1967.
- Slovenko, Ralph and Super, William C.: THE MENTALLY DISABLED, THE LAW, AND THE REPORT OF THE AMERICAN BAR FOUNDATION. 47 Va. L. Rev., No. 8, December 1961. Comments.
- Smith, George P. II: FOR UNTO US A CHILD IS BORN—LEGALLY. 56 A.B.A. J. 143, February, 1970.
- Smith, George P. II: THROUGH A TEST TUBE DARKLY: ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION AND THE LAW. 67 Mich. L. Rev. 127, November 1968.
- STERILIZATION: A CONTINUING CONTROVERSY. 1 San Francisco L. Rev. 159-176, No. 1, October 1966.
- STERILIZATION AND FAMILY PLANNING: THE PHYSICIANS CIVIL LIABILITY. 56 Geo. L.J. 976, May 1968.
- SURVEY OF ABORTION REFORM LEGISLATION. 43 Wash. L. Rev. 644, March 1968.
- Symposium: ABORTION AND THE LAW, 17 W. Res. L. Rev. 369-568, No. 2, December 1965.
- SYMPOSIUM ON INSANITY AS A DEFENSE IN CRIMINAL LAW. 45 Marq. L. Rev., No. 4, Spring 1962.
- SYMPOSIUM ON MENTAL HEALTH CONCEPTS IN FAMILY LAW.
- 1) Introduction - Sanford N. Katz
  - 2) The Team Approach to Family Law Teaching - B.J. George
  - 3) Family Law and Psychoanalysis - Jay Katz, M.D.
  - 4) The Need for Law and Order in the Juvenile Court: Some Unanswered Questions - Orman W. Ketcham
- Fam. L.Q., Vol. 1, 61-78, No. 2, June 1967.
- SYMPOSIUM ON SEX AND THE LAW IN CONTEMPORARY PERSPECTIVE. 40 U. Colo. L. Rev., Winter 1968.



**CONTINUED**

**1 OF 2**

THE TEENAGE SOCIAL GUEST AND ALCOHOL—A LEGAL VACUUM. [In] NOTES, 6 J. Fam. Law 161, No. 1, Spring 1966.

TORTS—PRENATAL INJURIES—WRONGFUL CONCEPTION A TORT AGAINST THE CHILD. (Williams v. State, 46 Misc. 2d 824, 260 N.Y.S.2d 953, 1965.) 15 Am.U.L. Rev. 407-410, No. 3, June 1966.

VIABILITY, LIVEBIRTH, AND THE RIGHT TO RECOVER FOR PRENATAL INJURIES. *Todd v. Sandidge Construction Co.*, 341 F.2d 75 (4th Cir. 1964). 10 St. Louis U.L.J. 291, No. 2, Winter 1966.

Watson, Andrew S.: FAMILY LAW AND ITS CHALLENGE FOR PSYCHIATRY. 2 J. Fam. Law 71-94, U. Louisville, No. 2, Fall 1962. (Reproduced by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Young, Don J., Alverson, Patricia, Young, Don J., M.D.: COURT-ORDERED CONTRACEPTION, 55 A.B.A. J. 223, March 1969.

## MEDICAL JURISPRUDENCE

CALIFORNIA'S NEW MENTAL COMMITMENT LEGISLATION: IS IT SUFFICIENT? 6 Calif. W. L. Rev. 146, 1969.

A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR "WRONGFUL LIFE": ( A SUGGESTED ANALYSIS) 55 Minn. L. Rev. 58.

CIVIL COMMITMENT OF THE MENTALLY ILL. 30 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 752, 1969.

CIVIL COMMITMENT OF THE MENTALLY ILL IN CALIFORNIA; 1969 STYLE. 10 Santa Clara Law. 74, 1969.

COMPULSORY COUNSEL FOR CALIFORNIA'S NEW MENTAL HEALTH LAW. 17 U.C.L.A. L. Rev. 851, 1970.

INCOMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL: COMMITMENT TO AN INCLUSIVE TEST. 42 S. Cal. L. Rev. 444, 1969.

INVOLUNTARY CIVIL COMMITMENT AND RIGHT TO TREATMENT IN PENN. 15 Vill. L. Rev. 951, 1970.

THE MENTALLY ILL AND THE RIGHT TO TREATMENT. 36 U. Chi. L. Rev. Summer 1969 (Symposium).

Tierney, Peter: VOLUNTARY STERILIZATION A NECESSARY ALTERNATIVE? 4 Fam. L. Q. 373, December 1970.

TRANSPLANTATION—INCOMPETENT DONORS: WAS THE FIRST STEP OR THE LAST TAKEN IN STRUNK V. STRUNK (Ky. Ct. App. 1969), 58 Calif. L. Rev. 754 May 1970.

THE VIRGINIA PROCEDURE FOR COMMITMENT AND RELEASE OF PERSONS ACQUITTED BY REASON OF INSANITY. 11 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 185, 1969.

## NEGLIGENCE

ABROGATION OF PARENT-CHILD IMMUNITY DOCTRINE. *Gelbman v. Gelbman* (New York Court of Appeals, 1969) 33 Albany L. Rev. 438, Winter 1969.

*Campbell v. Gruttemeyer*, 432 S.W.2d 894 (Tenn. 1968). Tennessee courts hold that unemancipated child may not sue parent for negligence, nor parent's estate.

*Child v. Parent*: THE EROSION OF THE IMMUNITY RULE. 19 Hastings L.J. 201, November 1967.

CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE OF CHILDREN. [In] NOTES, 18 S.L. Rev. 648, No. 4, 1966.

Ehrensweig, Albert A.: NEGLIGENCE WITHOUT FAULT. 54 Calif. L. Rev. 1422, No. 4, October 1966.

Experienced infant golfer held subject to adult standard to avoid causing injury to other players. *Neumann v. Shlansky* 82 Misc.2d 128 (Westchester County Ct. 1968) 20 Syr. L. Rev. 823, Spring 1969.

Garguilo, William C.: LIABILITY FOR LEAVING A FIREARM ACCESSIBLE TO CHILDREN. 17 Clev.-Mar. L. Rev. 472, September 1968.

*Gelbman v. Gelbman*, 245 N.E.2d 192, 297 N.Y.S.2d 529 (1969). Suit by parent against child for non-wilful tort allowed.

INFANTS-NEGLIGENCE-STANDARD OF CARE. *Neumann v. Shlansky*, 294 N.Y.S.2d 628 (1968). 71 W. Va. L. Rev. 434, 1969.

INFANTS-STANDARDS OF CARE. Eleven-year old boy who had taken golf lessons and had played golf regularly held to adult standard of care while on golf course. *Neumann v. Shlansky* (Westchester County Ct. 1968) 33 Albany L. Rev. 434, Winter 1969.

INTRA-FAMILY IMMUNITY DOCTRINE-suit by parent against unemancipated minor child for non-wilful tort. 15 N.Y.L. F. 419, Summer 1969.

NEGLIGENCE-APPLICATION OF ADULT STANDARD TO MINOR. (*Powell v. Hartford Acc. and Indemnity Co.*, 398 S.W.2d 727, Tenn. 1966.) NOTES, 33 Tenn. L. Rev. 533, No. 4, Summer 1966.

NEGLIGENCE-THE FAMILY PURPOSE DOCTRINE. [In] NOTES, 18 S. L. Rev. 638, No. 4, 1966.

NEGLIGENCE: MINOR HELD TO ADULT STANDARD OF CARE WHILE OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE. *Medina v. McAllister*, 196 So.2d 773 (Fla. 1967) [In] COMMENTS, 20 U. Fla. L. Rev. 107, Summer 1967.

NEGLIGENCE . . . STANDARD OF CARE. Eleven-year old boy experienced as a golfer, held to adult standard of care on golf course. *Neumann v. Shlansky*, 294 N.Y.S.2d 628, 1968. (In) Notes, 55 A.B.A. J. 277, March 1969.

NEGLIGENCE-WRONGFUL DEATH OF MOTHER-RECOVERY BY ILLEGITIMATE CHILD. *Sneed v. Henderson*, 366 S.W.2d 758 (Tenn. 1963) 32 Tenn. L. Rev. 338-341, No. 2, Winter 1965.

*Neumann v. Shlansky*, 294 N.Y.S.2d (N.Y. 1968). Eleven-year old boy familiar with game of golf, held to adult standard of care, while on golf course.

NEW YORK REPUDIATES INTRA-FAMILY CHILD-PARENT IMMUNITY DOCTRINE FOR NON-WILFUL TORTS. *Gebelman v. Gebelman*, 23 N.Y.2d 434, 245 N.E.2d 192, 297 N.Y.S.2d 529 (1969) 20 Syr. L. Rev. 827, Spring 1969.

Paulsen, Monrad G.: EIGHT CASES AFTER EIGHT YEARS. 53 Va. L. Rev. 870, May 1967.

RECOMMENDED: AN OBJECTIVE STANDARD OF CARE FOR MINORS IN NEBRASKA. [In] COMMENTS, 46 Nebraska L. Rev. 699, May 1967.

RIGHT OF ACTION FOR LOSS OF CONSORTIUM RESULTING FROM NEGLIGENT INJURY TO HUSBAND. 44 Notre Dame Law. 264, December 1968.

*Schenk v. Schenk*, 241 N.E.2d 12 (Ill. Ct. App. 1968). Father not barred by doctrine of parental immunity from recovering from his unemancipated daughter for tortious conduct having no direct family connection.

Spero, Keith E.: WIFE'S ACTION FOR LOSS OF CONSORTIUM. 17 Clev.-Mar. L. Rev. 462, September 1968.

SYMPOSIUM ON THE LAW OF TORTS-LIABILITY OF AN INFANT OF TENDER YEARS FOR PRIMARY NEGLIGENCE. 19 Okla. L. Rev. 332, No. 3, August 1966.

Tedeschi, G.: TORT LIABILITY FOR "WRONGFUL LIFE." 7 J. Family L. 465, Fall 1967.

TORTS-THE NEGLIGENCE OF A CUSTODIAL AGENT TO WHOM A MENTAL DEFECTIVE WAS RELEASED UNDER 'COMMUNITY STATUS' IS IMPUTABLE TO THE STATE. *Hawley v. State*, 22 App. Div.2d 357, 256 N.Y.S.2d 269, 4th Dep't. (1965). 16 Syracuse L. Rev. 904-906, No. 3, Spring 1965.

TORTS-NEW ACTION FOR "WRONGFUL LIFE". (*Williams v. State*, 260 N.Y.S.2d 953, 1965.) 68 Va. L. Rev. 345, No. 3, April 1966.

TORTS-RECOVERY FOR TORT COMMITTED AT CONCEPTION. (*Williams v. State*, 260 N.Y.S.2d 953, 1965.) 40 Tul. L. Rev. 685, No. 3, April 1966.

TORTS-State liable for failure to warn plaintiff of youth's known homicidal tendencies. 15 N.Y. L.F. 414, Summer 1969.

**UNEMANCIPATED MINOR CHILD CANNOT SUE PARENT FOR ORDINARY NEGLIGENCE.**

*Barlow v. Iblings*, 156 N.W.2d 105 (Iowa 1968). (In) Case Notes, 18 Drake L. Rev. 142, December 1968.

Wilkins, James B.: CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE OF VERY YOUNG CHILDREN. 20 Cleve. St. L. Rev. 65, January 1971.

**PARENTAL LIABILITY**

Alexander, E. R.: TORT RESPONSIBILITY OF PARENTS AND TEACHERS FOR DAMAGES CAUSED BY CHILDREN. 16 U. Toronto L. J. 165-172, No. 1, 1965.

A CHILD'S RIGHTS AGAINST HIS PARENT: EVOLUTIONS OF THE PARENTAL IMMUNITY DOCTRINE. [In] COMMENTS, 1967 U. Ill. L.F. 805.

DeParcq, William H.: IN DEFENSE OF THE FAULT PRINCIPLE. 43 Minn. L. Rev., No. 3, January 1959.

DOES PARENTAL LIABILITY FOR LEGAL FEES INFRINGE UPON A JUVENILE'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS? In Comments, 10 Santa Clara Law, 347, Spring 1970.

Dosick, Martin L., Asst. Professor, Delinquency Study Project, Southern Illinois University: PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITY LAWS: An Outline of a Negative Position.

FAMILY LAW: A Symposium on Legal Aspects of the Familial Relationship. U. Ill. L. F., No. 4, Winter 1962.

Freer, Alice B.: PARENTAL LIABILITY FOR TORTS OF CHILDREN. 53 Ky. L. J. 254-266, No. 2, 1964-65. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

THE IOWA PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT. In Notes, 55 Iowa L. Rev. 1037, April 1970.

Keegan, Thomas A.: THE FAMILY AND TORT ACTIONS: Liability and Immunity. U. Ill. L. F., No. 4, Winter 1962.

Kenney, James A. and James V.: SHALL WE PUNISH THE PARENTS? 47 A.B.A.J., No. 8, August 1961.

LIABILITY OF NEGLIGENT PARENTS FOR THE TORTS OF THEIR MINOR CHILDREN. [In] COMMENTS, 19 Ala. L. Rev., No. 1, Fall 1966.

McCurdy, William E.: TORTS BETWEEN PARENT AND CHILD. 5 Vill. L. Rev., No. 4, Summer 1960.

PARENTAL IMMUNITY RULE—AUTOMOBILE SUIT AGAINST DECEASED PARENTS' ESTATE ALLOWED (*Dean v. Smith*, 106 N.H. 314, 211 A.2d 410, 1965.) 8 Ariz. L. Rev. 186, No. 1, Fall 1966.

PARENTAL IMMUNITY—UNEMANCIPATED MINOR ALLOWED TO MAINTAIN NEGLIGENCE ACTION. *Hebel v. Hebel*, 435 P.2d 8 (Alaska 1967) 16 Kan. L. Rev. 562, June 1968.

- PARENTAL LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF MINOR CHILD. (*Nat. Dairy Products v. Freschi*, 393 S.W.2d 48, Mo. 1965.) 31 Mo. L. Rev. 463, No. 3, Summer 1966.
- PARENTAL LIABILITY FOR WILFUL AND MALICIOUS ACTS OF CHILDREN. Washington Legislation, Vol. 36, 1961.
- PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR JUVENILE DELINQUENCY. 34 Chi.-Kent L. Rev., 1956.
- PARENT AND CHILD—ABROGATION OF PARENTAL IMMUNITY RULE. *Goller v. White*, 20 Wis.2d 402, 122 N.W.2d 193 (1963). 4 Wis. L. Rev. 714-724, July 1964.  
Twelve-year-old brought action against foster father for negligence. Wisconsin Supreme Court held that the complaint stated a cause of action as a result of its concurrent abrogation of the parental immunity rule.
- PARENT AND CHILD—NEGLIGENCE—ABROGATION OF THE PARENTAL IMMUNITY RULE. Wis. L. Rev. 714-724, No. 4, July 1964.
- Peck, Cornelius J.: PARENTAL LIABILITY FOR WILFUL ACTS OF CHILDREN. 36 Wash. L. Rev. and State Bar Journal, No. 3, Autumn 1961.
- Speck, Nancy L.: PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITY LAWS REVISITED. 19 J. Ct. J. J. 90, Fall 1968.
- Statutory Comment. N. C. L. Rev., Vol. 40, 1962.
- TORTS—INVASION OF PRIVACY—INFANTS' RIGHT TO A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR ENTICING PARENT. *Kane v. Quigley*, 1 Ohio St.2d 1, 203 N.E.2d 338 (1964). 41 N.D. L. Rev. 554-556, No. 4, May 1965.
- TORTS—LIABILITY OF PARENT. 5 Ariz. L. Rev., No. 2, Spring 1964.
- TORTS—LIABILITY OF PARENTS. 16 Ala. L. Rev., Fall 1963.
- TORTS—THE LIABILITY OF THE PARENT FOR THE TORT OF THE CHILD. Kan. L. Rev., Vol. XXVIII, No. 2, Summer 1960. Comment.
- TORTS—PARENTAL IMMUNITY. IN NOTES, (*Dean v. Smith*, 211 A.2d 410 N.H. 1965.) 4 Duquesne L. Rev. 325, No. 2, Winter 1965-1966.
- TORTS—PARENTAL IMMUNITY DOCTRINE—WILFUL AND NEGLIGENT CONDUCT. (*Teramano v. Teramano*, 1 Ohio App. 2d 504, 205 N.E.2d 586, 1965.) 15 De Paul L. Rev. 229-234, No. 1, Autumn-Winter 1965.
- TORTS—PARENTAL LIABILITY. 51 Ky. L. J., 1962.
- TORTS—PARENT AND CHILD—PARENT LIABLE FOR TORT OF CHILD WHERE PARENT IS NEGLIGENT IN FAILING TO RESTRAIN CHILD. [In] CASE COMMENTS, 64 W. Va. L. Rev. 354, No. 3, April 1962.
- TORTS—STATUTORY IMPOSITION OF VICARIOUS LIABILITY UPON PARENTS FOR CHILD'S TORTS. Notre Dame Law 295, March 1955.

## POLICE PROCEDURES

- Caplan, Gerald M.: THE POLICE LEGAL ADVISOR. 58 J. Crim. L., C. & P.S. 303, September 1967.
- CRIMINAL LAW—"INVASION OF PRIVACY" IN POLICE STATION BY USE OF TAPE RECORDER: *State v. Vollhardt*, 244 A.2d 601 (Conn. 1968) 1 Conn. L. Rev. 408, December 1968.
- Friker, E. Kenneth: THE FINGERPRINTING OF JUVENILES. 43 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 144, No. 2, Fall 1966.
- Goldstein, Herman: TRIAL JUDGES AND THE POLICE. 14 Crime and Delinquency 14, January 1968.
- James, Jesse R., and Shepard, George H.: POLICE: DO THEY BELONG IN THE SCHOOLS? American Education, p. 2, September 1967. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.
- LaFave, Wayne: THE DECISION TO TAKE A SUSPECT INTO CUSTODY. Little, Brown: 1965.
- Liebmann, George W.: CHARTERING A NATIONAL POLICE FORCE. 56 A.B.A. J. 1070, November 1970.
- Lohman, Joseph: UPGRADING LAW ENFORCEMENT. 9 Police 19, 1965.
- McBroom, Douglas D.: ENFORCEMENT OF THE COMMON LAW RULES OF ARREST: A HANDCUFFING OF POLICE? 6 Duquesne L. Rev. 363, Summer 1968.
- McHardy, Louis W.: THE COURT, THE POLICE, AND THE SCHOOL. Fed. Prob., March 1968 at p. 47.
- Melchionne, Theresa M.: CURRENT STATUS AND PROBLEMS OF WOMEN POLICE. 58 J. Crim. L., C. & P.S. 257, No. 2, June 1967.
- Murphy, Michael: JUDICIAL REVIEW OF POLICE METHODS IN LAW ENFORCEMENT. 44 Texas L. Rev. 939, No. 5, April 1966.
- POLICE DISCRETION: (In) Comment, 117 U. Pa. L. Rev. 277, December 1968.
- Rothblatt, Henry B. and Pitler, Robert M.: POLICE INTERROGATION: WARNINGS AND WAIVERS—WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE? 42 Notre Dame Law 479, No. 4, April 1967.
- "STOP AND FRISK" UNDER THE FOURTH AMENDMENT: Terry and Sibron. (In) Comments, 6 Houston L. Rev. 333, October 1968.

**TITLE II OF THE OMNIBUS CRIME CONTROL AND SAFE STREETS ACT OF 1968 AS IT AFFECTS THE ADMISSIBILITY OF CONFESSIONS AND EYEWITNESS TESTIMONY.**  
(In) Student Comments, 40 Miss. L. J. 257, March 1969.

Younger, Evelle J.: **STOP AND FRISK: "SAY IT LIKE IT IS."** 58 J. Crim. L., C.&P.S. 293, September 1967.

## PROBATION SERVICES

**CONDITIONS OF PROBATION IMPOSED ON WISCONSIN FELONS: Costs of Prosecution and Restitution.** Wis. L. Rev., No. 4, July 1962.

**CORRECT USE OF BACKGROUND REPORTS.** 5 Syracuse L. Rev. 67 (1953).

Di Cerbo, Eugene C.: **WHEN SHOULD PROBATION BE REVOKED?** Federal Probation, 11, June 1966.

Gilman, Merritt and Low, Alice M.: **TRAINING FOR JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICERS: A Workshop Report.** U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau. Children's Bureau Publication 398, Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Printing Office, 1962.

Hoover, J. Edgar: **PRIMACY IN PAROLE AND PROBATION.** 13 Kan. L. Rev. 343-350, No. 2, December 1964.

Kohn, Janet G.: **SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL DATA, LEGISLATIVE FACT, AND CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** 29 Geo. Wash. L. Rev., No. 1, October 1960.

**LEGAL ASPECTS OF PROBATION REVOCATION.** [In] NOTES, 59 Colum. L. Rev., No. 2, February 1959.

**OBSERVATIONS ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF PAROLE.** In Notes, 79 Yale L. J. 698, March 1970.

*People v. Anonymous*, 295 N.Y.S.2d 767 (N.Y. 1968). A probationer is not entitled to a *Miranda* warning when being interviewed by his supervising probation officer. 21 S.C. L. Rev. 466, 1969.

**PROBATION IN PHILADELPHIA: JUDICIAL DECISION AND CONSTITUTIONAL NORMS.** (In) Comment, 117 U. Pa. L. Rev. 323, December 1968.

**PROBATIONERS RIGHT TO APPEAL: Appellants' Rights to Protection.** 28 U. Chi. L. Rev., No. 4, Summer 1961.

Sheridan, William H.: **THE GAULT DECISION AND PROBATION SERVICES.** 43 Ind. L. J. 655, Spring 1968. (Reprinted by U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social and Rehabilitation Service, Children's Bureau).

Shireman, Charles H.: **CASEWORK IN PROBATION AND PAROLE: Some Considerations in Diagnosis and Treatment.** Fed. Probation 51-57, Vol. 27, No. 2, June 1963. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Taylor, Edward M. and McEachern, Alexander W.: NEEDS AND DIRECTIONS IN PROBATION TRAINING. Federal Probation, 18, March 1966.

#### Intake

Rosenheim, Margaret K. and Skoler, Daniel L.: THE LAWYERS ROLE AT INTAKE AND DETENTION STAGES OF JUVENILE COURT HEARINGS. 11 Crime and Delinquency, January 1965.

#### Social Investigation

EMPLOYMENT OF SOCIAL INVESTIGATION REPORTS IN CRIMINAL AND JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS. 58 Colum. L. Rev. 702, May 1958. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Sheridan, William H.: JUVENILE COURT INTAKE. 2 J. Fam. L. 139-156, U. Louisville, No. 2, Fall 1962. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Waalkes, Wallace: JUVENILE COURT INTAKE—A Unique and Valuable Tool. 10 Crime and Delinquency 117-123, No. 2, April 1964. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Wallace, John A. and Brennan, Marion M.: INTAKE AND THE FAMILY COURT. 12 Buffalo L. Rev. 442-451, No. 3, June 1963. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

#### PUBLICITY AND PRIVACY

Bloustein, Edward J.; Wright, J. Skelly; Shapo, Marshall S.; and Green, Leon: ON PRIVACY, DEFAMATION, AND THE PUBLIC'S RIGHT TO KNOW. 46 Texas L. Rev. 611, April 1968.

Bricker: THE RIGHT TO KNOW ABOUT JUVENILE DELINQUENTS. 23 Fed. Prob. 20, 1959.

Gardner: PUBLICITY AND JUVENILE DELINQUENTS. 23 Fed. Prob. 23, 1959.

Geis, Gilbert: PUBLICITY AND JUVENILE COURT PROCEEDINGS. 30 Rocky Mt. L. Rev. 1-26, No. 2, February 1958. (Reprinted with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

Griswold, Erwin N.: THE RIGHT TO BE LET ALONE. 55 Nw. U. L. Rev., No. 2, May-June 1960.

Long, Edward V., Senator: "THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY". 10 St. Louis U. L. Rev., No. 1, Fall 1965.

Michael, Donald M.: SPECULATIONS ON THE RELATION OF THE COMPUTER TO INDIVIDUAL FREEDOM AND THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY. 33 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 270, No. 1, October 1964.

OPINION CENTER: "Publicity on Publicity" and "Juvenile Juries". Juvenile Court Judges Journal, 24-25, Vol. XV, No. 1, Spring 1964. (Reproduced with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

POLICE SCIENCE LEGAL ABSTRACTS AND NOTES. 48 J. Crim. L., C.&P.S., No. 4, November-December 1957 (Right to Privacy).

STUDENT'S RIGHT TO CONTROL HIS PERSONAL APPEARANCE IS PROTECTED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PRIVACY. [In] COMMENT, 17 J. Pub. L., Emory L. Sch. 165, 1968.

## RELIGION

- CONSTITUTIONALITY OF MANDATORY RELIGIOUS REQUIREMENTS IN CHILD CARE. Yale L. J. 772-789, 1955.
- DEFINING RELIGION: Of God, The Constitution and the D.A.R. 32 U. Chi. L. Rev. 533-559, No. 3, Spring 1965.
- Frankfurter, Felix and Gilbey, Royal C.: FREEDOM OF RELIGION IN TERMS OF SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE. 27 U. Kan. City L. Rev., No. 1, Fall 1958.
- Friedman: PARENTAL RIGHT TO CONTROL RELIGIOUS EDUCATION OF THE CHILD. Harv. L. Rev. 485-493, 1916.
- Hager, Don J.: RACE, NATIONALITY AND RELIGION—Their Relationship to Appointment Policies and Casework. NPPA (National Probation and Parole Association) Journal, April 1957.
- Hurad, Leo Albert: THE LAW OF ADOPTION: Ancient and Modern. 9 Vand. L. Rev. 743, No. 4, June 1956.
- List, Lawrence: A CHILD AND A WALL: A Study of "Religious Protection" Laws. 13 Buffalo L. Rev., No. 1, Fall 1963.
- Pfeffer, Leo: RELIGION IN UPBRINGING OF CHILDREN. B.U. L. Rev. 333, No. 35, June 1955.
- RELIGION AS A FACTOR IN ADOPTION, GUARDIANSHIP AND CUSTODY. 54 Colum. L. Rev. 376, 1954.
- RELIGIOUS FACTORS IN ADOPTION. 28 Ind. L. J. 401-402, 1953.
- Stoyles, Robert L.: THE DILEMMA OF THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PRIEST—PENITENT PRIVILEGE—THE APPLICATION OF THE RELIGIOUS CLAUSES. 29 U. Pitts. L. Rev. 27, October 1967.
- SYMPOSIUM: RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS AND VALUES: A LEGAL SURVEY. 41 Notre Dame Law. 681, No. 5, June 1966.
- Ubraniak, Eugene T.: EXERCISE OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS IN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS. The Welfare Reporter, October 1958 (N.J. Department of Institutions and Agencies).
- Wilder, William F.: DOMESTIC RELATIONS—Award of Child Custody—Religious Beliefs of Parents as Grounds. 4 Ariz. L. Rev. 298, No. 2, Spring 1963.

## REPORTS

- Children Who Rebel—A Work Group Report from the Proceedings of the Midcentury White House Conference on Children and Youth.
- CONTROLLING DELINQUENTS. Wheeler, Stanton, ed. A collection of project reports on control of delinquent youth. 83 Harv. L. Rev. 1464, April 1970.
- CURRENT PROBLEMS IN LAW ENFORCEMENT, THE ROLE OF HIGHER EDUCATION. Proceedings of the First Annual Law Enforcement Institute, 1966, Memphis State University, Tennessee.
- Downey, John J.: DETENTION CARE IN RURAL AREAS. (Reprinted by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau) 1963.
- Fact Finding Report—A Digest (Children and Youth at the Midcentury), Juvenile Courts and Services for Delinquents. 1960 White House Conference on Children and Youth.
- Focus on Children and Youth Report of National Organizations. 1960 White House Conference on Children and Youth.
- This is a report delineating the special problems and the present resources in the prevention of juvenile delinquency. It bespeaks the interest of the Children's Bureau, The NPPA's Advisory Council of Judges, the NCJ CJ, the International Juvenile Officers Association, the International Association of Women Police, the National Police Conference on Youth, and the International Association of Chiefs of Police in the problem of juvenile delinquency.
- Kohler, Mary Conway: THE CHILD IN THE COURTS. A Reference Paper on Children and Youth from the 1960 White House Conference.
- Lejins, Peter P.: UNIFORM CRIME REPORTS. 64 Mich. L. Rev. 1011, No. 6, April 1966.
- President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice:
- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| The Challenge of Crime in a Free Society.<br>(Commission's General Report) | (\$2.25) |
| Task Force Report: The Police  | (\$1.50) |
| Task Force Report: The Courts  | (\$1)    |
| Task Force Report: Organized Crime   | (.65)    |
| Task Force Report: Corrections   | (\$1.25) |
| Task Force Report: Science and Technology                                  | (\$1.25) |
| Task Force Report: Drunkenness   | (.65)    |
| Task Force Report: Juvenile Delinquency and Youth Crime                    | (\$2)    |
| Task Force Report: Narcotics and Drug Abuse                                | (\$1)    |
| Task Force Report: Crime and Its Impact—An Assessment                      | (\$1.25) |
- Washington, D.C. (20402): U.S. Government Printing Office, 1967.



Project Report on the National Parole Institute Program, September 1, 1963-June 30, 1964.  
(Project supported by a grant from the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare under P.L. 87-274 in conjunction with the President's Committee on Delinquency and Youth Crime. Administered by the National Council on Crime and Delinquency).

A Report of the National Institute of Mental Health Program in Crime and Delinquency. Compiled by the Section on Crime and Delinquency Community Research and Services Branch, N.I.M.H., June 1, 1965.

REPORT TO THE PRESIDENT—WHITE HOUSE CONFERENCE ON CHILDREN 1970. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Gov't. Printing Office, Washington D.C. 20402. Price \$4.75.

Robison, Sophia M.: A CRITICAL VIEW OF THE UNIFORM CRIME REPORTS. 64 Mich. L. Rev. 1031, No. 6, April 1966.

Rural Youth in a Changing Environment: Report of the National Conference on Problems of Rural Youth in a Changing Environment, in 1963, edited by Ruth Cowan Nash. Published by and available from the National Committee for Children and Youth, 1145 19th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. (20036), 1965.

This report includes full texts of addresses by Winthrop Rockefeller, Dr. Paul A. Miller, Dr. Russell G. Mawby, and Brooks Hays, and summaries of other addresses by government officials and authorities in areas concerned with rural youth. A feature of the report is an analysis by Dr. William Osborne of "A Study of the Problems, Attitudes and Aspirations of Rural Youth" prepared for the Rockefeller Brothers Fund by Elmo Roper and Associates. This analysis, which includes a number of tables and charts, reports on the direct survey of rural youth on their attitudes and is unique in this area. Considerable attention is given in the report to needs of special groups, including Negro, American Indian, Spanish-American youth and children of migrant workers. The recommendations of the Conference are presented both in full and in summary. A report of follow-up activities, a catalogue of existing programs and projects to meet the needs of rural youth, and a "Profile of the Rural Juvenile Court Judge" are included in the appendix.

Wheeler and Cottrell: JUVENILE DELINQUENCY: ITS PREVENTION AND CONTROL. Russell Sage Foundation, 1966. This report was prepared at the request of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

## RIGHT TO TREATMENT

THE RIGHT TO TREATMENT, A SYMPOSIUM, 57 Geo. L. J. 673, March 1969.

## TORTS

**INTRAFAMILY IMMUNITY—DOCTRINE OF INTRAFAMILY IMMUNITY OVERRULED IN CASE OF NONWILLFUL TORT.** *Gelbman v. Gelbman*, 297 N.Y. S.2d 529 (N.Y. 1969) 21 S.C. L. Rev. 813, 1969.

**PARENT-CHILD TORT IMMUNITY IN PERSONAL INJURY ACTIONS.** *Brinks v. The Chesapeake and Ohio Ry.*, 295 F. Supp. 1318 (W.D. Mich. 1969). 18 A.U. L. Rev. 800, August 1969.

## TRAFFIC JURISDICTION

Levin, Gerald S.: **TRAFFIC COURTS: The Judge's Responsibility.** 46 A.B.A.J., No. 2, February 1960.

Middendorf, Wolf, Dr. Jur.: **A CRIMINOLOGY OF TRAFFIC OFFENSES.** Federal Probation, 36, September 1963.

**MINOR DRIVERS HELD TO THE ADULT STANDARD OF CARE.** (*Neudeck v. Branston*, 43 Cal. Rptr. 250, 1965.) 6 Santa Clara Law. 97, No. 1, Fall 1965.

Sheridan, William H.: **YOUTH AND THE TRAFFIC PROBLEM.** The Police Chief, 27-28, 30-32, Vol. 25, June 1958. (Reprinted with permission by the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Welfare Administration, Children's Bureau).

**END**