


National Criminal Justice Reference Service



This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20531

DATE FILMED

5/28/81

75653

X
DATA MAP MANUAL

By

Ernst Wenk

March 1980
Responsible Action, Inc.
P. O. Box 924
Davis, California 95616

NCJRS

FEB 19 1981

ACQUISITION

This research was funded in part under Order No. 7-0679-J-LEAA from the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, United States Department of Justice, to Responsible Action, Inc. The original research on which this manual is based was funded in part by ACORN NI-095 as well as Grant No. 73-NI-0008G and Grant No. 74-NI-99-0011G from the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, United States Department of Justice, to the National Council on Crime and Delinquency Research Center.

Preceding page blank

This Manual was written for the user of the Data Maps. It provides definitions for most of the variables, discusses briefly some theoretical issues in classification, and gives some examples of data analysis that can be carried out using the Data Maps. The Maps included in this study are:

Intelligence
Race
Alcohol and Drugs
Violence
Assault
Burglary
Robbery
Parole

Preceding page blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CLASSIFICATION AND GROUNDED THEORY: SIGNIFICANCE AND CONCEPT OF THE PRESENT STUDY	9
THE AGENCY AND INSTITUTION IN WHICH THE STUDY WAS CARRIED OUT	30
STUDY POPULATION	36
THE TESTING PROGRAM	37
VARIABLES	40
CUMULATIVE CASE SUMMARY	61
GUIDE TO THE UTILIZATION OF THE DATA MAPS	67
REFERENCES	74

Preceding page blank

CLASSIFICATION AND GROUNDED THEORY: SIGNIFICANCE
AND CONCEPT OF THE PRESENT STUDY

The quest for an all-inclusive typology for predicting or explaining criminal behavior has long intrigued the field of criminology. Offenders can be classified on the basis of their criminal behavior patterns as well as on a number of other typological assumptions. Roebuck (1967) indicated that attempts at classification and explanation of criminal behavior must be directed toward the discovery and analysis of particular behavior patterns. Although this seems obvious enough, as with many other aspects of criminology there are serious difficulties involved. Before the issues of classification and a subsequent delineation of the approaches to classifying criminal offenders can be discussed, several basic questions must be answered.

First of all, a serious problem surrounds the definition of "classification." Webster (1970) defines classification as the "...systematic arrangement in groups or categories according to established criteria." Since the term "classification" has been used almost interchangeably with "taxonomy" and "typology," it is useful to define these terms in relation to set theory.

A method of classification dividing a group of individuals according to specified criteria must ensure that several requirements are met. These are: (1) that no subset is empty;

(2) that the intersection of the subsets is empty, i.e., subsets have no common elements; and (3) that the union of all subsets is the total group (the subsets summed equal the set). An example is the division of offenders into two groups, one composed of adults and the other of juveniles. To fulfill the stated requirements, this classification must ensure that:

(1) "adult" and "juvenile" are clearly defined, and each group must comprise a defined subgroup; (2) no offender is at the same time adult and juvenile; (3) the sum of the subsets (adults and juveniles) equals the total original set, i.e., that the entire group is divisible by the dichotomous definition. Although the above is a "pure" example of classification, it nevertheless is applicable to many studies in criminological research. The literature on the logic of classification is extensive and authors such as Barton (1955), Hempel (1965), Lazarsfeld and Barton (1951), and McKinney (1966) provide excellent reviews of the topic.

Classification does not explain why the elements of a subset occur or why they have specific characteristics. In classifying juvenile offenders no information need be given as to why individuals commit offenses or what the effects of the offenses are. Thus the controversy about the relationship between classification and the development of theoretical explanation must be discussed.

THE RELATIONSHIP OF CLASSIFICATION TO THEORETICAL EXPLANATION IN CRIMINOLOGY

In recent years there has been much thought about

classification systems and typologies of criminals and delinquents. As in other fields, scientific progress in the field of corrections depends upon reducing through conceptualization the infinite variety of problems to defined sets of problems that can be studied by scientific methods. Research efforts have required either "...the development of an etiology of criminal and delinquent behavior or a charting, in organized fashion, of the signs, symptoms, or dynamics of patterns covering the universe of offenders" (Warren, 1971). This "either-or" explanation oversimplifies the disagreement about the relationship between classification and theory. It has been claimed that classifications, though not directly permitting explanations, may lead to the formation of useful theories (Opp, 1973). Others believe, however, that existing classifications have not promoted the formulation of useful empirical theories (Blalock, 1969). It could be asked whether criminology should deal with explanations or only with descriptions. The answer to this question depends on the type of problem being studied and its implications.

Gibbons (1965) indicated that the construction of a criminal typology must consider not only the function of the classification system but also the assumptions on which it is based. According to Gibbons, a typology has one of two primary functions--the construction of etiological types or the delineation of diagnostic treatment types. Its value,

therefore, depends on how well it fulfills its described function. For example, reorganizing correctional institutions to achieve a behavioral change in inmates requires an understanding of the influence of the facility upon the individuals incarcerated. This understanding cannot come from descriptions or classifications, since knowing how inmates and staff behave may not help to determine the effect of facility structure on institutional or post-release behavior.

Some researchers have contended that classification has explanatory value beyond its designed function. Opp (1973) stated that it cannot be presumed that classification cannot lead to the formation of useful theories. Classification may be related to theoretical formulation in criminology according to the following hypothesis: if phenomena have been classified there is a greater probability of finding explanations for them than if they had not been classified. As Bottoms (1973) noted, "classification in criminology is, like the use of prediction techniques, certainly not an end in itself, but very much a tool, or a means to an end."

Hood and Sparks (1970) pointed out that one of the main reasons those concerned with the explanation of criminal behavior have turned to typologies is the difficulty of generating a viable theory to explain all criminal behavior. As stated by Roebuck (1967), most attempts to formulate such a theory "endeavor to explain too much and therefore actually

explain too little." This criticism emphasizes the need for more accurate definitions of behavior. Eysenck and Eysenck (1970) noted that "by paying attention to differences within the criminal group in respect to psychoticism, extroversion, and neuroticism...we should be able to get much better differences between controls and homogeneous groups of criminals...." Although the search for a single theory of crime may be futile, many have concluded that breaking crime into more homogeneous units is desirable (Sutherland, 1939; Eysenck and Eysenck, 1970).

CLASSIFICATION STRATEGIES AND THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENT

Assuming that typologies can contribute to the construction of theory, methods must be specified for classifying offenders and their relationships to criminological theory. Ferdinand (1966) defined two kinds of typology, the empirical and the ideal. He defined empirical typologies as those which seek to chart patterns displayed by specific kinds of individuals. These typologies provide raw material from which theories might be constructed. Ferdinand defined ideal typologies as those utilizing a particular theory a priori as a means of classification. Their main value lies in their ability to support explanations of behavior. While the ideal model suggests that there are as many ideal typologies as there are theories of behavior, the empirical form lacks a theoretical basis.

Ferdinand suggested that a third kind of typology, the

synthetic typology, could strengthen the first two. He called this form "the ultimate goal of all who are interested in crime and delinquency." Although this conclusion may not be justified, attempts to use classification to advance theoretical explanation have been viewed as a worthwhile goal (McClintock and Avison, 1964).

The discovery of theory from data, i.e., grounded theory, is a major task confronting sociology (Glaser and Strauss, 1968). Although not derived from the same assumptions as the typological systems of Ferdinand, grounded theory is roughly similar to empirical typology. The approach, according to Glaser and Strauss, consists of working outwards to generalize explanations through the systematic or "theoretical sampling" of data. Although grounded theory may indicate a theoretical formulation, it must be tested further with other data bases, since it is not deduced from logical assumptions. The basic weakness of grounded theory is that since the mind of the investigator is not a tabula rasa, preconceptions might affect the theory derived (Rex, 1961; Bottoms, 1973).

An example of the application of grounded theory to the classification/theory debate has been provided by Megargee (1966). During his research, Megargee noted that in previous studies of murderers (e.g., Weiss, et al., 1960), MMPI profiles seemed to distinguish two broad personality types. He called these undercontrolled (few inhibitions) and overcontrolled (many inhibitions) types. Megargee confirmed his

findings by applying them to an additional sample. Blackburn (1971) subsequently found the two-personality definition of violence to be oversimplified and Bottoms (1973) suggested that the oversimplification was due to inadequate use of the grounded theory model.

Although predictive classifications have been developed for determining parole success, violence potential, escape risk, etc., such typologies are really artificially derived classifications which generally have more relevance to decision making than to theory building (Bottoms, 1973). Prediction methods have many methodological problems, some of which are discussed in the following chapters.

Another kind of typology has been derived from the area of reformatory treatment. Unlike the explanation of crime or the prediction of behavior, the treatment of offenders differs slightly in its major assumption regarding classification. As Hood and Sparks (1970) stated, "What is wanted for treatment purposes is a typology which separates offenders whose treatment needs are different; and such a typology may be utterly useless for explanatory purposes..." The explanation of behavior is a peripheral rather than a primary goal of the development of treatment classifications, although theory can evolve from such typologies.

Sparks (1968) stated that treatment typologies (1) should be valid, i.e., should separate offenders whose treatment needs are different; (2) should be appropriate for the majority of offenders for whom the treatment choices

may be applied; (3) "should be as rich in types as possible, utilizing trial and error as the basis for demonstration; (4) should be easily and reliably identified; and (5) should be assessed for reliability. The requirements for explanatory typologies may differ somewhat since practical considerations are not of prime importance.

MODELS AND COMPETITION AMONG CRIMINAL CLASSIFICATIONS

Classification techniques in criminology cover three areas of concern: causal-explanation, treatment, and prediction. Each of these areas has a primary goal. These are respectively, explanation-prevention, rehabilitation, and decision making. Although they may seem to be mutually exclusive, much overlap occurs in the use of different typologies. For example, it is not uncommon to find a classification method designed to distinguish among potential delinquents applied as diagnostic and treatment aids in determining success in treatment as in the use of such instruments as the Jesness Scale and the Socialization Scale of the California Psychological Inventory.

According to Roebuck (1967), criminal typologies can be roughly divided into four groups, although again there is some overlap: (a) legalistic approach; (b) physical-constitutional-hereditary approach; (c) psychological-psychiatric approach; and (d) sociological approach.

The legalistic approach holds that criminology must function from the base of statutory and judicial definitions

of criminal acts. The criminal is defined in terms of his intent and act, e.g., a robber is one who has been convicted of robbery. Legal classifications represent the earliest and most commonly used categories in dealing with the criminal offender.

The constitutional approach is derived largely from the study of heredity and disease. Various combinations of morphological, physiological, and mental characteristics are apparent in such typologies, e.g., physical trait deviation, physical trait inferiority, endocrine malfunction, somatotype and temperament, malstructure of nervous system, disharmonies of physical growth, unregulated bodily functions, and epilepsy. Criminality is viewed as the result of indirect hereditary predisposition or of the impact of environment upon defective or abnormal organisms.

The psychological-psychiatric approach holds that criminal typologies should be delineated in terms of motivational patterns arising from personality structure and various psychological states or disabilities. Delinquent and criminal behaviors are viewed by psychoanalysts, psychiatrists, and clinical psychologists in terms of personality disorders and neurotic mechanisms stemming from mental conflicts and guilt reactions. The primary assumption is that criminals are emotionally deficient.

In the sociological approach criminal behavior is considered a product of social interaction and culture. Criminals must therefore be classified according to their

social orientation and the values and cultural definitions in their world. Sociologists' offender categories refer to role behavior in specific types of situations and not to types of personality organization. Historically, sociologists have been more interested in the relationships of the social characteristics of age, sex, race, nativity, social class, and ethnic subculture than in the construction of typologies (Roebuck, 1967).

While these four approaches are somewhat different, they share the assumption that the criminal act is initially the cardinal focus of attention. Since the legal act has implications for the definition of the "criminal constitution" it helps distinguish habitual and occasional criminals from the populace at large. The latter three approaches often approximate the legal classification, although their presumed intention is to extend the legal definition (Roebuck, 1967).

It should not be assumed that these approaches to classification are complementary; on the contrary, many criminal typologies are highly competitive. For example, behavioral scientists generally reject the legalistic approach because of its inadequate consideration of human motivation, individual differences, group behavior, and social deviancy. The legalists counter that the behavioral scientist offers little more than a collection of conflicting theories. Although legal classifications may seem clearer than behavioral classifications, the relationship between

"criminal" and "deviant" behavior is often neglected. Further, the legalist may study only the adjudicated offender, thus restricting the generalization of the classification.

The conflict extends beyond the disagreements between the behaviorists and legalists. Behaviorists disagree among themselves on many classification issues. Sociologists dismiss the theory of biological determination of behavior, while sociologists and psychologists both condemn the concept of hereditary predisposition. Sociologists claim that the psychological approach underestimates the importance of situational and cultural factors, while psychologists criticize the sociologist for his inability to explain and classify crime as learned behavior. Martin and Fitzpatrick (1964), among others, have maintained that only an eclectic or interdisciplinary approach can do justice to the dynamics of criminal behavior, although the psychogenic-sociogenic rift complicates such an approach.

It can even be argued that classifications in criminology are unjustified. The dangers of stigmatization and labeling must be considered in any attempt to develop a criminal taxonomy. Szasz (1961) and Menninger, et al. (1963) criticized the presumption that individuals must be classified. The contention is that science cannot presently generate enough data to classify individuals adequately. Although this criticism is partially unjustified, it rightly emphasizes the potential for misuse if a classification is applied before the approach in question has been determined to be accurate and/or theoretically relevant.

In another attempt to define typological assignments, Grant (1961) described six general approaches to classification: (1) psychiatrically oriented approaches as exemplified by Jenkins and Hewitt, 1944; Redl, 1956; Erikson, 1950; Aichorn, 1935; Bloch and Flynn, 1956; Argyle, 1961; the Illinois State Training School for Boys Treatment Committee, 1953; the California Youth Authority Standard Nomenclature Committee, 1958; and Cornier, et al., 1959; (2) social theory approaches as exemplified by Schrag, 1944; Sykes, 1958; and social class typologies as represented by Miller, 1959; (3) behavioral, offense, or conformity-nonconformity studies as represented by Gibbons and Garrity, 1958; Ohlin, 1951; Reckless, 1950; and Lejins, 1954; (4) social perception and interpersonal interaction--such as those of Gough and Peterson, 1952; Peterson, Quay, and Cameron, 1959; and Sullivan, Grant, and Grant, 1957; (5) cognitive understanding as summarized by Venezia, 1968; and (6) empirically derived prediction-classification methods as exemplified by Mannheim and Wilkins, 1955; Gottfredson and Beverly, 1962; Glaser, 1962; Babst, et al., 1968; Gottfredson, et al., 1963; and Fildes and Gottfredson, 1972.

The approaches defined by Roebuck (1967) and Grant (1961) indicate the diversity of criminal typologies, although much of the variety may be due to "academic polarization." It is often suggested that more robust explanations and/or theories of human behavior might evolve if behaviorists would stop criticizing and learn to synthesize. According to

Roebuck (1967), the formulation of multi-discipline criminal typologies has been discouraged by criminologists who "...delight in the destruction of each other's theories." Cooperative research could lead not only to the pooling of findings but also to the development of new frames of reference.

Such an optimistic fusion of schools of behaviorism will remain little more than an ideal unless the forms of analysis which characterize the various camps can be integrated. For example, some sociologists (e.g., Cohen, Ohlin, Parsons, Merton) posit stress-strain situations resulting from subcultural memberships as determinants of delinquent behavior. Psychologists are in a position to assess such hypotheses on the individual level and provide validating evidence of many sociological explanations; similarly, sociologists could provide societal or group validation of psychological explanation. Thus, the fusion of "macro" and "micro" perspectives could improve the explanation and classification of offender types, although the degree to which different behavioral disciplines can agree upon common constructs will determine the degree to which they can collaborate.

One attempt which sought to demonstrate the commonality among typologies was undertaken by Warren (1972), who developed a cross-classification of sixteen different offender typologies to determine the extent of consensus. Warren found considerable common ground, and suggested a

"synthetic" taxonomy of six subtypes: (1) asocial; (2) conformist; (3) antisocial manipulative; (4) neurotic; (5) sub-cultural identifier; and (6) situational. Although Warren concluded that this synthesis could culminate in a simplified taxonomy with almost immediately applicable significance, other authors have disagreed. As Sparks (1968) had remarked earlier, "It is difficult to see why it should be thought desirable apart from an a priori belief--or a desperate hope--that this 'integration' will turn out to be useful for treatment purposes." Similarly, Bottoms (1973) stated that like all typologies, Warren's common taxonomy, although innovative and ambitious, must await the sobering test of validation and the assessment of interaction effects (e.g., persons, times, and settings).

The complexity of variables makes classification--particularly treatment typologies--difficult. Palmer (1971) indicated that the treatment typology is complicated by at least four broad interacting variables: type of program, type of treatment environment or setting, type of client, and type of staff worker. Sparks (1968) and King, et al. (1971) further admitted that treatment typologies are at a "very primitive stage." Borjeson (1968) proposed a complex processual model which would make classification "more realistic."

The issues of classification and grounded theory both have methodological implications for the study of the present data base. Techniques of classification provide ways in which

the data base can be organized for analysis. Grounded theory provides methodological techniques with which descriptive data can be observed and hypotheses formulated or confirmed.

APPLIED ASPECTS OF CLASSIFICATION

Individual offenders can be classified on the basis of their criminal careers, criminal pattern categories (including modi operandi), and psychological and social characteristics. The present authors contend that classification can provide the basis for linking criminal behavior patterns to social and personal background factors. In this study parole outcome is the primary criterion of criminal behavior. Individual criminal behavior patterns must be studied but, in order to form useful classifications, there must be a sizable group of offenders who engage in the same type of crime and share personality and social background factors.

The following dimensions were suggested by Roebuck (1967) as homogeneous units by which offenders can be classified: (a) offense pattern; (b) modi operandi; (c) social attributes; (d) personality type; (e) self-concept; (f) attitudes; and (g) situations. Roebuck's typological dimensions are not the same as those used by the present investigators, who have divided their data base into the following categories: (a) offense; (b) intelligence; (c) race; (d) alcohol and other drugs; (e) psychological and psychiatric factors; and (f) violence factors. These classifications include only three of Roebuck's dimensions--

offense, social attributes, and personality type--although the present research has implications for the other dimensions. The section on variables provides a list of the 195 variable items available for study in this project and shows how they were organized into classification dimensions to be used in this study.

Roebuck defined additional information areas which he considered essential for constructing homogeneous typologies. These areas are: (1) delinquent and/or criminal career; (2) family background; (3) developmental history in the family; (4) developmental history in the community; (5) reference group orientation and identification; (6) attitudes; (7) developmental history, physical; (8) developmental history, personal. Although the present study makes no attempt to approximate Roebuck's dimensions of classification, it is nevertheless important to specify which information areas are emphasized. The present data base offers little about family background, family and community developmental history, or offender attitudes. On the other hand, personal developmental information such as intelligence test scores, personality profiles, information on the offender's delinquent career, physical history, and reference group orientation information are relatively well represented. As with most general order variables derived from legal sources, the data base does not achieve the depth of developmental understanding (e.g., family conflict information, family cohesion, parent attitude)

which Roebuck defined as important to his "dimensional analysis," although such information is available in narrative form from cumulative case summaries.

Since the construction of an "ideal" typology is presently not feasible, the limits to classification in this study were defined by the nature of the data base. For example, the cumulative summary, which is a standard information source for this study and has provided 50 of the 195 variable items collected on the study population, presents some developmental information in the social evaluation by the case worker. It would, however, be difficult to classify each offender on the basis of any defined developmental cue because differences in assessment procedures among case workers have meant that no item of information was collected on all offenders. This difficulty prevents the basing of a developmental typology on behavioral indices. This problem is similar to that involved in developing behavior-relevant classifications beyond the legal definition, as most studies which use legal records lack behavioral and/or developmental information. This does not imply that information derived from legal sources is not of great importance, but rather suggests that fewer behavior-oriented classifications are possible.

To fully understand why the present dimensions of classification were chosen, one must realize that an essential goal of this study was quantitative description

and comparison. The investigators thus have not sought to develop treatment, predictive, or etiological typologies. A further goal of the study was to generate comparative data which might lead to improving treatment and/or parole outcome.

In view of the proposed applications of the study, it is important to explain not only the present investigators' definition of exploration but also the method used to derive relationships. Thus, the assumptions as well as the methodological techniques of grounded theory must be considered.

APPLIED ASPECTS OF GROUNDED THEORY

Since grounded theory, according to Glaser and Strauss (1968), consists of working outwards to generalize explanations through the systematic or theoretical sampling of data, it is apparent that this process is methodologically relevant to the present study. However, instead of assuming that the process of working outward from the data will result in the formulation of theory, the present investigators assume only that grounded theory can provide the methodology for data comparisons. Although theoretical formulation is an important goal of research, it is beyond the scope of this study. Glaser and Strauss (1968) defined grounded theory as "...purposefully discovering theory through social research," which is not the goal of the present descriptive study.

The theoretical implications of this project are more in line with Merton's definition of serendipity (1949) as the unanticipated, anomalous, and strategic finding giving rise to new hypotheses. It is with this idea in mind that the present investigators have proceeded with the project's descriptive and comparative tasks that open up a wealth of exploratory possibilities.

The comparative aspects of this project are important because of the relations between categories that may emerge from the various forms of classification. For example, comparing groups of offenders classified in terms of their intelligence levels (mental defective, borderline, dull normal, average, bright normal, superior, very superior) with their respective average success on parole can have implications if the relationship between the two variables is roughly linear.

The primary value of grounded theory to this study lies in the manner in which data are analyzed and relationships and potential hypotheses noted. The cross-tabulation of any two variables can provide leads for the generation of hypotheses if the investigator is sensitive to the implications of noted relationships. As Glaser and Strauss (1968) remarked, "When quantitative data are reported in verificational and descriptive studies, typically each association is given in table form with a technically exact discussion of it; and then the statement is qualified by

tentative statements and alternative explanations or interpretations." They noted also that direction and magnitude of detected relationships are important to the further elaboration of the association, since if a relationship is found, the reader may verify for himself. Many of these methodological procedures were integrated into this project, including proportions, frequencies, comparative direction and magnitude, as well as methods of facilitating visual comparison.

Grounded theory also has important implications for determining the statistical significance of noted relationships between any two variables. For this project the percentage deviation from the parole success rate of an overall offender population is the primary variable of comparison. Although percentage difference can indicate relationships, the method has limitations in determining the accuracy of the associations. As our efforts are primarily descriptive, the application of statistical tests of significance was regarded as beyond the parameter of our study. As Glaser and Strauss (1968) stated, "Statistical tests of significance of an association between variables are not necessary when the discovered associations between indices are used for suggesting hypotheses." This study could be defined also as a survey analysis, and, according to Selvin (1957), "...this process [tests of significance]

should be relaxed for all survey analysis." Selvin further questioned whether such tests are appropriate with survey data, since the statistical assumptions necessary to use them cannot be met. The use of percentage differences as the primary method of displaying associations was considered sufficient for the exploration of suggested relationships. This method of data presentation allows the suggestion of hypotheses from the inspection of the data, thus fulfilling one of the expectations of the project.

Warren (1971) noted that:

"Sociologists continue to accuse psychological typologists of taking insufficient cognizance of environmental factors; psychologists continue to accuse sociological typologists of having insufficient regard for intra-psychic factors. Nevertheless, it is now possible to find investigators who are attempting to theoretically link the sociological, psychological, and situational variables which are all relevant to a completely satisfactory taxonomy."

Classification schemes are not equally valuable for all purposes. Some have more direct treatment implications than others; some are more helpful in generating testable hypotheses; others may facilitate various types of decision making. Classification systems are needed for control, for enunciation of probable etiology, and for demonstration of treatment effectiveness. All of these issues should be addressed, but not without an awareness of their inherent complexity.

THE AGENCY AND INSTITUTION IN WHICH THE
STUDY WAS CARRIED OUT

The California Youth Authority was created by the state legislature in 1941 with a correctional philosophy that substituted rehabilitation for retributive punishment. As expressed in section 1700 of the California Welfare and Institutions Code, the intent was to protect society more effectively by utilizing training and treatment methods to rehabilitate young lawbreakers. This philosophy has guided the Department since its establishment.

The organization of the Youth Authority is provided for by state law. The intent of the lawmakers clearly was to provide a unified state-wide approach to the control of delinquency. By order of the Governor in 1966 and by law in 1968, the Youth Authority was made a part of the state's Health and Welfare Agency. The legal provisions for the Youth Authority are contained in the Welfare and Institutions Code.

A Youth Authority Board, created when the Department was established, was given responsibility for the assignment of wards to appropriate rehabilitative programs, for the approval of time and conditions of parole, and for the consideration of parole revocation and discharge. The Code states that persons serving on the Board (appointed by the

Governor for four-year terms) should have "...a broad background in and ability for appraisal of youthful law offenders and delinquents, the circumstances of delinquency for which committed, and the evolution of the individual's progress towards reformation." Hearing Representatives aid the Board. The Director of the Youth Authority, who is the administrative head of the Department as well as chairman of the Youth Authority Board, is appointed by the Governor for a four-year term.

Section 1731.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code defines the clients of the California Youth Authority and describes the persons over whom the Authority has control. The Code provides that:

After certification to the Governor a court may refer to the Authority any person convicted of a public offense who fits all of the following descriptions:

- (a) Is found to be less than 21 years of age at the time of apprehension;
- (b) Is not sentenced to death, imprisonment for 90 days or less, or the payment of a fine, or after having been directed to pay a fine defaults in the payment thereof, and is subject to imprisonment for more than 90 days under the judgment;
- (c) Is not granted probation; or
- (d) Was granted probation and probation is revoked and terminated.

Youths under 21 years of age but older than 18 may, depending on the offense, be tried in a juvenile or an adult court, and either court may assign a convicted youth to the Youth

Authority. According to the commentary of the California Codes, the history of the Youth Corrections Authority Act and later amendments to it indicate that the legislature intended that all persons coming within the provisions of the Code ultimately should be referred to the Authority (People v. Walker, 1947, 82 CA end Ed. 196).

In 1943 the state legislature recognized that, to be effective, delinquency prevention efforts must be concentrated at the local level. The most marked shift in program emphasis from the state to the local level occurred in 1965, along with a similarly dramatic shift from a solely institutional program to one with a significant community corrections component. Since 1965, the Youth Authority has experimented with a number of new programs designed to accomplish the desired shift. Two merit special attention here: the county Probation Subsidy program and the Youth Services Bureaus program.

Enacted by the state legislature in 1965, the Probation Subsidy program was designed to encourage counties to reduce commitments to state correctional agencies by retaining more offenders in improved rehabilitative programs in the community. The subsidy program applies to both adult and youthful offenders and state-wide coordination is provided by the Youth Authority. County participation in the subsidy program is voluntary and probation departments are encouraged to develop innovative programs of their own. Participating counties

receive financial reimbursement commensurate with the reductions in state commitments they achieve. Funds for the county programs come from savings to the state resulting from the decrease in numbers of offenders requiring state institutional care.

In the first two fiscal years, the thirty-six counties participating earned \$9,823,625 by reducing expected commitments by 2,416 cases. Because some counties did not re-invest their maximum earnings in improving probation services, the actual cost to the state was less. In the first two fiscal years of probation subsidy, the cost was \$5,706,227. Since it would have cost more than 15 million dollars to provide institutional care for those retained in community programs, the state saved a total of \$9,793,213. As a result of the Probation Subsidy program, more youngsters have been placed on probation in their communities, where more trained professionals are available to help them than in the past. For fiscal as well as rehabilitative reasons, the Probation Subsidy program has been an outstanding success.

A second program designed to increase local contributions to and participation in delinquency prevention and youth rehabilitation is the Youth Services Bureaus program. In July 1968, the legislature passed the Youth Services Bureaus Act, providing for pilot delinquency prevention services in selected target areas. The program was designed to enable public and private agencies to pool their resources

and to develop innovative programs to divert young people from the juvenile justice system. The Youth Services Bureau was to be a place in the community to which delinquent and delinquency-prone young people could be referred by parents, law enforcement officers, school personnel, and others.

Both state and federal funds were made available for the project and nine pilot bureaus were established. The Youth Authority's first-year (January 1970) report to the legislature on the status of this program noted that both public and private organizations had become involved in the work of the pilot Youth Services Bureaus. The Bureaus had been able to initiate coordination of youth services, to identify available resources and needs, and to serve as vehicles for interaction among people interested in delinquency prevention. Multipurpose youth centers, job placement centers, and youth counseling services had been established. Preliminary evaluations indicated that the project was highly successful and plans were made to establish Youth Services Bureaus in communities throughout the state.

In addition to the youth served by these innovative programs, there are other youth in C.Y.A. institutions for whom the Youth Authority has attempted to develop programs to increase the effectiveness of institutional stays. The reception guidance center with its diagnostic facilities is one such program. In 1964 and 1965, when the basic data for the present study were collected, older males committed to

the California Youth Authority were received and processed under an interagency agreement at the Reception Guidance Center, Deuel Vocational Institution (RGC-DVI), one of three reception guidance centers operated by the California Department of Corrections. The RGC-DVI, where the testing and most of the data collection for the present study took place, had the capacity to house approximately three hundred men in single cells. (Since 1964-65, this interagency agreement has been drastically changed, substantially reducing the number of C.Y.A. wards housed in C.D.C. institutions. Diagnostic services for C.Y.A. admissions are now almost fully carried out in C.Y.A. diagnostic facilities.)

In 1964-65, the average stay in the RGC-DVI was approximately six weeks. Wards were processed in weekly classes, the first week being devoted entirely to intellectual, academic, vocational, and psychological assessment. The second and third weeks were programmed for vocational testing in the wood and metal shops. During the fourth week the caseworker made a social evaluation of each ward and during the fifth week a comprehensive case summary was created. With this material, each ward was seen by the California Youth Authority Board at the end of the sixth week, when institutional programming was discussed with the ward, final disposition of the case was made, and transfer orders were issued.

During the two-year study period, this process was made

more formalized and was expanded to include on-going staff training and supervision as well as checks of the reliability of the measures in order to guarantee the validity of data and information. Because of this rigorous assessment program, the present data base was regarded as an important tool for the proper classification of offenders for program planning and placement.

STUDY POPULATION

The study population included 4,146 male California Youth Authority wards, or almost all those received at the Deuel Vocational Institution Reception Guidance Center during 1964 and 1965. Fewer than five per cent of the individual cases were eliminated from the study population as follows:

1. Failure to meet minimum requirements for completeness of data led to exclusion from the study. Cases with any one of the following information items missing were excluded: reception date, crime code for admission offense, date of release, or parole follow-up information.
2. Cases not released to a program of parole supervision were excluded. Discharges, individuals transferred from the California Youth Authority and made inmates of the Department of Corrections during institutionalization, and those who escaped while institutionalized were excluded.
3. Individuals committed more than one time during the two-year study period were included in the study only once. Multiple records were excluded under the following rules:

- a. The most complete record was retained.
- b. In case of multiple complete records the earliest admission was retained.

THE TESTING PROGRAM

During the period when the data for this study were collected, the testing unit at the RGC-DVI was supervised by the author. The objective of the unit was to compile test data on each inmate for purposes of diagnosis, counseling, guidance in institutional programming, and research. The various tests, administered during the first week by trained inmate proctors under the supervision of clinical psychologists, produced the following:

1. An estimate of the level of academic functioning;
2. An assessment of vocational aptitudes;
3. An estimate of the level of intellectual functioning; and
4. Assessments of personality and psychopathology.

More tests were administered to wards in groups. Additional tests were administered to individuals by the clinical psychologists and psychological consultants as needed.

Weekly classes were administered the reading vocabulary section of the California Achievement Test (CAT) battery (Cronbach, 1960), Junior High School level. Individuals who scored below the sixth grade level on this test were assigned to the primary testing group, while those scoring at or above

the sixth grade level were assigned to intermediate and advanced testing groups. Each classification was rechecked as more test results became available.

The testing program was somewhat different for each group because of the reading difficulties of the primary group.

ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT

The primary group was tested with the elementary battery of the California Achievement Test. Individuals who scored very low were administered the primary battery of the same test. Classifying an individual as illiterate was thus avoided in most cases, since each inmate received grade placement scores in reading vocabulary, reading comprehension, arithmetic reasoning, arithmetic fundamentals, mechanics of English and spelling, and equivalents of the total academic functioning level.

The intermediate and advanced groups were administered the Junior High or advanced battery of the California Achievement Test, giving the grade equivalents for the factors mentioned above.

VOCATIONAL APTITUDE TESTS

All groups were administered the General Aptitude Test Battery (GATB) (U.S. Employment Service, 1962). This testing was administered weekly by staff of the California Department of Employment. The GATB provided scores for vocational counselors and diagnostic shop instructors on General

Intelligence, Verbal Aptitude, Numerical Aptitude, Spatial Aptitude, Perceptual Aptitude, Clerical Aptitude, Motor Coordination, Finger Dexterity, and Manual Dexterity.

INTELLECTUAL ASSESSMENT

The primary groups were administered the California Short Form Test of Mental Maturity (CTMM), the Revised Beta examination, and two relatively "culture-fair" tests, the Raven Progressive Matrices (1956) (Burke, 1968) and the D-48 or Domino test (Gough and Domino, 1963).

Individuals in this group who functioned at a very low level were individually given the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS) (Wechsler, 1958) to determine whether they were at the mentally defective level. For those who were judged to be mentally defective, a special assessment report was prepared by the psychologist.

The intermediate and advanced groups also were administered the Raven Progressive Matrices and the D-48, and the California Short Form Test of Mental Maturity (CTMM). The CTMM yields an IQ equivalent for a language portion and a non-language portion in addition to the combined I.Q. equivalent. The two groups were also administered the Army General Classification Test (AGCT) (Karpinos, 1967) which gives, in addition to the total IQ, a percentile reading for Verbal Achievement, Numerical Reasoning, and Spatial Achievement. Individual testing with the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS) was administered

by psychologists as needed for diagnostic purposes.

PERSONALITY ASSESSMENT

Because of the difficulty of some of the items on the California Psychological Inventory (CPI) (Gough, 1957) and the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) (Hathaway, 1964), these tests were not administered to the primary group. Exceptions were made in special cases where the items were read to an individual who, although academically retarded, was otherwise able to comprehend the test items. Referral cases were individually tested by clinical psychologists, using such tests as the Rorschach, Tafeln, "Z", Sentence Completion Test, Thematic Apperception Test (TAT), the Goldstein-Scherrer Test for organicity, the Tree Test, and others.

The intermediate and advanced groups were given the CPI and the MMPI. To assess maturity level, the Interpersonal Personality Inventory was used with these groups (Ballard, et al., 1966). The Shipley-Hartford Scale was used to measure the intellectual capacity for conceptual thinking.

As with the primary group, individual testing was carried out according to diagnostic need, using a variety of personality and projective tests.

VARIABLES

1. General Information on Variables

Data on over two hundred variables were collected for each ward. Since many of the variables did not apply to all individuals

the statistics reflect the data for only the appropriate individual or group of individuals. For instance, only 511 persons or 12.3 per cent of the total study population received a psychiatric examination; therefore the statistics on psychiatric examination data refer only to these 511 individuals. Similarly, only 3,103 individuals or 74.8 per cent of the study population were administered the California Psychological Inventory (CPI), and 3,128 individuals or 75.4 per cent of the study population were given the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI). Slightly over one thousand persons were not given this test because they either did not meet the minimum academic requirement of a sixth-grade reading level or they happened to be in a weekly cohort when serious fog conditions practically closed down institutional programs for security reasons and made only minimal testing possible. Other information is not available because of changes in the testing battery; e.g., the D-48 was initiated after the study was in progress and for this reason is available on only about 75 per cent of the study population. These limitations must be kept in mind when the Data Maps are examined.

2. Information Sources

The variables selected for the study were collected from the following sources:

- | | |
|--------------------------|----|
| a. Pre-RGC-DVI case file | CF |
| b. RGC-DVI case file | CF |

- c. Testing program at RGC-DVI T
- d. Caseworker's Information Sheet IS
- e. Cumulative Case Summary CS
- f. Psychiatric and Psychological Reports P
- g. C.Y.A. Board decisions B
- h. C.Y.A. Research Division (parole follow-up) RD
- i. Computer computations CC

3. List of Variables and Definitions Used

The following list of variables is complete. The Data Maps utilize only selected variables.

An important feature of the present report is the organization of the information within eight conceptually defined categories:

- I. Individual Case History Factors
- II. Intelligence Factors
- III. Academic Factors
- IV. Vocational Factors
- V. Personality Factors
- VI. Psychiatric and Psychological Factors
- VII. Offense Related Factors
- VIII. Initial Institutional Programing

I. Individual Case History Factors

RACE (CF)

- White
- Mexican
- Black
- Other

MARITAL STATUS (CS)

- No information
- Single
- Married
- Divorced
- Divorced, re-married
- Separated
- Common-law
- Widower

NUMBER OF CHILDREN (CS)

LIVING ARRANGEMENT PRIOR ADMISSION (CS)

- No information
- Wife/girl friend
- Natural parents
- Relatives
- Foster parents
- Friends, fixed
- Alone, fixed
- Alone, not fixed
- Group Home
- Other

MARITAL STATUS OF NATURAL PARENTS (CS)

- No information
- Never married
- Married
- Divorced
- Divorced, re-married
- Separated
- Common-law
- Widower

DEATH OF PARENTS

- Father dead
- Mother dead
- Both dead
- Both living

COMMITMENT COURT (CS)

Juvenile
Superior
Municipal
Justice

ADMISSION STATUS (CS)

First admission
First return
Second +
Readmission after discharge

WEIGHT (CS)

HEIGHT (CS)

AGE AT RECEPTION (CC)

AGE AT RELEASE (CC)

TIME IN INSTITUTION (CC)

MILITARY DISCIPLINARY ACTION (CS)

No information
Yes
No
No service

MILITARY DISCHARGE (CS)

No information
Honorable
General, honorable conditions
Dishonorable, etc.
Medical
Other
No service

HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE (IS)

None or unknown

Moderate drinking problem
Moderate problem, affecting inmate's social functioning periodically. This would indicate that the individual has either one or more arrests where drinking was implied, or was dismissed from work for reasons involving alcohol usage, or has occasional friction in his immediate social environment because

of drinking, or there is other evidence of alcohol impairing this individual's functioning at times.

Severe drinking problem
Severe problem, affecting inmate's social functioning consistently.

ALCOHOL AS FACTOR IN CRIME (IS)

None
Present crime
Past crimes only

HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE (IS)

None
Isolated
Insignificant history with isolated experimentation

Moderate
Moderate involvement with usage on more than an experimental basis

Severe
Severe involvement with usage over extended periods and an established habit or addiction

Opiates or marijuana are excluded here. Marked only if inmate has history of using:

- a. stimulant drugs, such as cocaine and Benzadrine type of drugs;
- b. barbiturates (sleeping pills), for instance, Amytal, Barbital, Luminal, Nembutal, Pentothal, Phenobarbital, Tuonal, Seconal, "Blue Angels," "Goof Balls," "Pink Ladies," "Yellow Jackets."

DRUGS AS FACTOR IN CRIME (IS)

None
Present crime
Past crimes only

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE (IS)

None
Isolated
Insignificant history of isolated experimentation

Moderate

Moderate involvement with opiates on more than an experimental basis

Severe

Severe involvement with established habit or addiction.
The following are the common opiates: Heroin, Codeine, Demerol, Dilaudid, Methadone, Metopon, Morphine, Laudanum, Pantopon, Paregoric.

OPIATES AS FACTOR IN CRIME (IS)

None

Present crime
Past crimes only

HISTORY OF MARIJUANA USE (IS)

HISTORY OF GLUE SNIFFING (IS)

HISTORY OF ESCAPE (IS)

None

From minimum security
Any history of escape without force or AWOL from a correctional or rehabilitation installation. This included such experiences under military jurisdiction, if at the time in a disciplinary program.

From maximum security

Any such escape or escape attempt from a secured place implying breakout behavior. Also included here is escape or escape attempts with force from an unsecured place, such as forcing supervisory personnel to turn over cars, etc.

HISTORY OF SUICIDE ATTEMPTS (IS)

None

Infrequent gestures
Any individual who has, at one time or another, threatened suicide. Also, individuals who have one suicidal gesture of a superficial nature in their background.

Frequent gestures

Individuals who threatened suicide repeatedly or who inflicted upon themselves injuries of a superficial nature

Serious attempts

All individuals who have a history of one or more suicidal attempts resulting in self-injury needing medical intervention, such as sutures, etc. Also, cases where the nature of the attempt, such as attempts at hanging, drowning, taking pills, etc. indicate a history of a severe suicidal crisis.

HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUAL ACTS (IS)

None

Isolated
Repeated
Pattern

HISTORY OF SEXUAL DEVIATIONS (IS)

None

Isolated
Repeated

HISTORY OF RAPE (IS)

Any history of rape in which there is indication that force was used, although conviction may be for a lesser crime than forcible rape

HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE (IS)

HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE (IS)

HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE (IS)

HISTORY OF NEUROSIS (IS)

HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS (IS)

HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE (IS)

HISTORY OF EPILEPSY (IS)

II. Intelligence Factors

ARMY GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST (T)

Total I.Q.

Verbal Percentage Rank

Numerical Percentage Rank

Spatial Percentage Rank

CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY (T)

Average I.Q.
Language I.Q.
Non-language I.Q.

D-48

Raw Score (T)

RAVEN MATRICES

Raw Score (T)

INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION BY CLINICAL STAFF (P)

Mental Defective
Borderline
Dull normal
Normal
Bright normal
Superior
Very superior

III. Academic Factors

CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST BATTERY (T)

Reading Vocabulary
Reading Comprehension
Reading Average
Arithmetic Reasoning
Arithmetic Fundamentals
Arithmetic Average
Language Mechanics
Language Spelling
Language Average
Total Grade Placement

GRADE CLAIMED (CS)

GRADE ACHIEVED (T)

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT INDEX I (CC)

Difference between claimed grade and achieved grade

The difference between grade claimed and grade achieved is the result of the academic achievement testing compared to the reported grade completed by the person.

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT INDEX II

Difference between expected grade and achieved grade

The difference score between expected grade and achieved grade was derived as follows:

An arbitrary decision was made to create this score. It was decided no expectation of grade achieved could be made of those classified as mental defective and these individuals so classified have no value for the item. Those classified as borderline were expected to achieve the 4th grade level; and those with average and above intelligence classifications were expected to achieve the 12th grade level.

Intelligence Classification	Expected Grade Placement on the California Achievement Test Battery
Mental Defective	0
Borderline Defective	4th grade
Dull Normal	8th grade
Average and above	12th grade

Each person was given a score = achieved grade - expected grade. Most scores are minus scores. The greater the minus value the greater is the academic retardation as measured against the above standards.

ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL I (IS)
CASEWORKER'S RATING

Motivated
Not motivated

ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL II (CS)
CASEWORKER'S RECOMMENDATION

No information
Motivated
Not motivated
Ineligible
High School diploma

STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING (CS)

None, no information
Yes

AGE LEFT SCHOOL (CS)

GRADE CLAIMED (CS)

Frequency count by full grade

GRADE ACHIEVED ON GATB (T)

Frequency count by full grade

IV. Vocational Factors

GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY (T)

General intelligence
Verbal aptitude
Numerical aptitude
Spatial aptitude
Perceptual aptitude
Clerical aptitude
Motor coordination
Finger dexterity
Manual dexterity

VOCATIONAL TRAINING POTENTIAL (IS)
WOODSHOP INSTRUCTOR'S RATING

Motivated
Unmotivated

VOCATIONAL TRAINING POTENTIAL (IS)
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR'S RATING

Motivated
Unmotivated

VOCATIONAL TRAINING POTENTIAL (IS)
COUNSELOR'S RATING

Motivated
Unmotivated

VOCATIONAL TRAINING POTENTIAL (CS)
CASEWORKER'S RECOMMENDATIONS

No information
Motivated
Unmotivated
Ineligible
High School diploma

STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING (CS)

None, no information
Yes

OCCUPATIONAL DISABILITIES (CS)

No information
Yes
No

OCCUPATIONAL HISTORY (CS)

By trade

UNION STATUS (CS)

LENGTH OF WORK EXPERIENCE (CS)

None
0-6 months
6-12 months
12-18 months
18-24 months
24+ months
Sporadic
No information

PRIMARY AREA OF VOCATIONAL INTEREST FOR
VOCATIONAL TRAINING (CS)

By trade

COUNSELOR'S RECOMMENDATION FOR TRAINING (CS)

By trade

V. Personality Factors

CALIFORNIA PSYCHOLOGICAL INVENTORY (T)

Dominance	Do
Capacity of status	Cs
Sociability	Sy
Social presence	Sp
Self-acceptance	Sa
Sense of well-being	Wb
Responsibility	Re
Socialization	So
Self-control	Sc
Tolerance	To
Good impression	Gi
Communality	Cm
Achievement via conformance	Ac
Achievement via independence	Ai
Intellectual efficiency	Ie
Psychological mindedness	Py
Flexibility	Fx
Femininity	Fe

MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY (T)

Lie Score	L
Validity Score	F
Correction Score	K
Hypochondriasis	Hs
Depression	D
Hysteria	Hy
Psychopathic deviate	Pd
Masculinity-femininity	Mf
Paranoia	Pa
Psychasthenia	Pt
Schizophrenia	Sc
Hypomania	Ma
Social introversion	Si

INTERPERSONAL PERSONALITY INVENTORY (T)

Maturity level

PREDICTION USING PERSONALITY TEST DATA

Prediction scores were computed as described in H.G. Gough, E.A. Wenk, and V.V. Rozyngo, "Parole Outcome as Predicted from the CPI, the MMPI, and a Base Expectancy Table," Journal of Abnormal Psychology 70(6):432-441, December 1965.

1. CPI equation:
Success = 45.078 - .353 SP - .182 Sa
+ .532 So + .224 Sc

2. MMPI Equation:
Success = 66.363 - .081 F + .065 K
- .055 Pd - .168 Mf
- .456 Ma

For the CPI equation the cutting point for failures was set at 48 and below, and for the MMPI equation the point was set at 49 and below.

CPI EQUATION (CC)

CPI EQUATION

Frequencies (CC)

APPLIED CPI PREDICTION (CC)

Hits
Correct success predictions
(true positives)
Correct failure predictions
(true negatives)

Misses
Incorrect success predictions
(false positives)
Incorrect failure predictions
(false negatives)

MMPI EQUATION (CC)

MMPI EQUATION

Frequencies (CC)

APPLIED MMPI PREDICTION (CC)

Hits
Correct success predictions
(true positives)
Correct failure predictions
(true negatives)

Misses
Incorrect success predictions
(false positives)
Incorrect failure predictions
(false negatives)

VI. Psychiatric Factors

REASONS FOR REFERRAL (P)

CYA Board order
Parole Agent Request
Staff referral
Nature of crime
Treatment history
Prior mental illness
Sexual problem
Narcotics problem
Alcohol problem
Suicide potential
Epilepsy
Organicity
Violence potential
Intellectual assessment
Assaultive behavior
Training
Treatment need
Adjustment
Transfer
Early release
Self-referral
Other

HISTORY OF PRIOR MENTAL HEALTH CARE (P)

None
Hospital
Private doctor
Mental health
Corrections
Other
Combination
No information

DIAGNOSIS OF ACUTE BRAIN DISORDERS (P)

Drug poisoning
Other, none

DIAGNOSIS OF CHRONIC BRAIN SYNDROME (P)

Specified
Unspecified
Other, none

DIAGNOSIS OF AFFECTIVE REACTIONS (P)

Depressive
Other, none

DIAGNOSIS OF SCHIZOPHRENIC REACTIONS (P)

Simple type
Paranoid type
Acute undifferentiated
Chronic undifferentiated
Schizo-affective type
Other, none

DIAGNOSIS OF PSYCHONEUROTIC REACTIONS (P)

Anxiety reaction
Obsessive-compulsive reaction
Unspecified
Other, none

DIAGNOSIS OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE (P)

Inadequate personality
Schizoid personality
Paranoid personality
Unspecified
Other, none

DIAGNOSIS OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE (P)

Emotionally unstable personality
Passive-aggressive personality
Compulsive personality
Unspecified
Other, none

DIAGNOSIS OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE (P)

Antisocial reaction
Dyssocial reaction
Sexual deviation
Not a mentally disordered sex offender
Unspecified
Other, none

DIAGNOSIS OF TRANSIENT SITUATIONAL PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE (P)

Adult situation
Adolescent
Other, none

PRESENT SYMPTOMS OF PSYCHOSIS (P)

Delusions
Hallucinations
Thought distortion
Stupor
Reality distortion
Remission
No information

PRESENT SYMPTOMS - GENERAL (P)

Depression
Guilt
Anxiety
Apathy
Hostility
Insecurity
Suspiciousness
Dependency

SUMMARY PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS (P)

Brain disorders
Psychotic
Neurotic
Pattern disturbance
Trait disturbance
Personality disturbance

Transient disturbance
None

DIAGNOSIS OF TREATMENT MOTIVATION (P)

No motivation
Motivated
No information

DIAGNOSIS OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL (P)

No violence potential
Moderate violence potential
Severe violence potential
No information

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS RELATED TO VIOLENCE POTENTIAL (P)

Suicide potential
Alcohol/drugs
Under threat
Against family
Mental illness
Other
None

RECOMMENDATION FOR PSYCHOTHERAPY (P)

RECOMMENDATION FOR GROUP COUNSELING (P)

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC/VOCATIONAL TRAINING (P)

VII. Offense Related Factors Including Violence Information and Parole Follow-up

ADMISSION OFFENSE (CS)

Homicide
Negligent manslaughter
Robbery
Assault
Burglary
Theft
Vehicle theft
Forgery
Forcible rape
Statutory rape
Other sex offenses
Narcotics offenses
Alcohol offenses
Other
Parole violation

ADMISSION OFFENSE SUMMARY (CS)

Person offenses
Property offenses
Other

VIOLATION OFFENSE (CS)

Homicide
Negligent manslaughter
Robbery
Assault
Burglary
Theft
Vehicle theft
Forgery
Forcible rape
Statutory rape
Other sex offenses
Narcotics offenses
Alcohol offenses
Other
Parole violation

VIOLATION OFFENSE SUMMARY (CS)

Person offenses
Property offenses
Other

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE (IS)

No history of violence
CYA wards with no known history of violent acting out in their background (not restricted to criminal violence).

History of aggressive crimes but no violence evident

CYA wards who had participated in crimes of violence or crimes of an aggressive nature, such as murder, voluntary manslaughter, robbery, attempted robbery, assault, attempted assault, mayhem, forcible rape, attempted rape, resisting arrest, kidnapping, etc., but the individual played a passive role in it, such as lookout, drove a getaway car, etc., during the commission of the crime.

In this category are also cases where there was threat implied, verbally or through exposing of weapons, or objects used as weapons. The most important criterion is that in all these cases

no actual physical assault or harm was rendered upon the victim directly by the subject although many situations were potentially dangerous.

Persons who discharged a gun although it may not have been aimed at another person, or struck a victim although admittedly doing no harm, were excluded from this category and entered in the category below. In some cases where violence occurred during the commission of an offense, the violence was due to actions by partners or other persons involved.

History of violent acting out

CYA wards with a known history of actual physical violence. In this classification are individuals who at any time and under any circumstances (not just criminal pursuits) demonstrated physical acting out behavior which threatened or harmed victims.

There is no distinction possible in regard to the outcome of the violent conduct. For instance, whether or not an assault on another person resulted in death or in no physical harm is immaterial to this classification. The only criterion to be included in this category was whether or not such an assault ever took place. Included in this category are also rape cases where force was used and other crimes where violence was a part, regardless of the legal label the person was convicted under.

HISTORY OF CARRYING WEAPONS (CS)

ADMISSION OFFENSE PARTNERS (CS)

CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS (CS)

INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE (CS)

None
Threat, no weapon
Threat, weapon present
Minor injury
Major injury
Death
No information

WEAPON USED BY INDIVIDUAL (CS)

None
Toy gun
Unloaded gun
Loaded gun
Gun, unspecified
Knife, etc.
Other
No information

GROUP VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE IF PARTNERS (CS)

None
Threat, no weapon
Threat, weapon present
Minor injury
Major injury
Death
No information

WEAPONS USED BY GROUP IF PARTNERS (CS)

None
Toy gun
Unloaded gun
Loaded gun
Gun, unspecified
Knife, etc.
Other
No information

ECONOMIC LOSS BY VICTIM (CS)

None
\$1
\$1 - \$5
\$5 - \$20
\$20 - \$100
\$100 - \$500
\$500 - \$1,000
\$1,000 - \$5,000
> \$5,000

PSYCHOLOGICAL SUFFERING BY VICTIM (CS)

None known
Treatment
Hospitalization

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE - CYA RATING (CS)

None
Moderate
Serious

CASEWORKER'S ESTIMATION OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL (CS)

Least
Mild
Moderate
Serious
Greatest

TYPE OF PAROLE REMOVAL (RD)

Revocations
Bad discharges
Other

STATUS OF OFF SUSPENSE PAROLE REMOVAL (RD)

Absconders
Technical violators
Violation, no incarceration
Violation, incarceration

VIII. Initial Institutional Programming

COUNSELOR'S TRANSFER RECOMMENDATION (CS)

CYA BOARD ORDER FOR TRANSFER (CS)

CYA BOARD ORDER FOR PROGRAM (CS)
(MONTHS TO NEXT HEARING)

CUSTODIAL EVALUATION FOR INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT (CS)

No information
Good prognosis
Poor prognosis

STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR SPECIAL HOUSING (CS)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR WORK ASSIGNMENT (CS)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR GROUP COUNSELING (CS)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR PSYCHOTHERAPY (CS)

CUMULATIVE CASE SUMMARY

Youth Authority
State of California
Department of Corrections

Section I

Commitment Name:	Aliases:
Y.A. No.:	Birthplace:
Age Committed:	Race:
Date Committed:	Offense:
Date Received:	Sec. & Code:
County:	Weapon:
Case #:	Exp. Juris.:
Judge:	
Court:	
District Attorney:	
Defense Attorney:	
Plea:	
Partners:	

Section II

Report on Offense Committed

Offense:
Facts: Quotes from Probation Officer's Report
Quotes from additional information with source
of information identified
Inmate version (Inmate's description of the
incident written during the RGC stay)

Section III
Transcript of Prior Record

Date: Offense: Action Taken and Disposition:

Section IV
Case Summary

Time in state before offense: Age 1st arrest:
 Type of inst. 1st commitment: 1st commitment: Escapes:
 Reason for 1st commitment:

Education: Age left school:
 Claimed grade:
 Measured grade:
 Verified:
 Intelligence level:

Parents: Occupation: Address:

Family Arrest History:

Inmate's Residential Pattern:

Juvenile Crime History:

Marriages: No: Age: Date: Place: Outcome:

- 1.
- 2.

Not legalized

- 1.
- 2.

Children Age: Residing: Support: Anc.:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Military History: Branch of Service: Serial No.: Verif.:

Date entered: at: High Rank:
 Date discharged: at: Type of disch.:

Disciplinary

Military specialities:

Disability: Claim No.: Overseas Duty:

Occupation: Primary: Length Exp.: SS No.: Verif.:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Union status: Occupational disability:

Religion: Preference: Current Wife's: Parents':

Financial Status: Cond. wife and family:

Liquor:

Narcotics: None Heroin Other Opiate Addict User
 Suspect Marijuana

First used in: Rate of use: per

Comments:

Section V
Social Evaluation by the Caseworker

The social evaluation began with a description of the inmate's personal characteristics, his appearance, and his general attitude. This introductory paragraph described any physical defects, any psychiatric or other medical history, and any unusual experience such as attempted suicide, assaultive behavior, or escape from an institution.

The next paragraph reported on his family background, including his relationship with his parents, his role within the family structure, and the lifestyle of the family. For this information, questionnaires filled out by Youth Authority personnel or probation officers' reports were used in conjunction with statements made by the inmate during the interview.

The development of the maladjustment leading to incarceration was then described, with an attempt to shed light on the earliest symptoms of maladjustment and the way in which the individual's difficulties emerged. A short review of the delinquent patterns also was included, indicating the reason for the inmate's first arrest and the actions taken by local authorities in response to his difficulties. If applicable, a brief resumé of early institutional training and parole performance was included.

The next part of the social summary included statements about the inmate's military experience, his marital status, and, if married, his adjustment to marriage.

The present offense was then described in detail, with an attempt to identify the motivation for the crime and the attitude of the inmate toward the offense (e.g., remorse, indifference, or resentment of institutional commitment). If partners were involved in the offense, relationships to them were described in detail and the individual's residence at the time of commitment was indicated.

The final part of the report described his current adjustment to institutionalization and his plans to utilize the programs offered during his stay with the California Youth Authority. A diagnostic summary of the case was then given, briefly outlining a tentative release program.

Section VI Vocational Evaluation by the Caseworker

The vocational evaluation began with a report of the individual's educational history and an assessment of his present attitude toward improving his educational skills while institutionalized.

The second part of the report described his prior employment history and any vocational training he may have received, either during employment or while attending an educational institution.

The third part of the vocational evaluation presented the results of psychometric testing conducted at the Reception Guidance Center and summarized the reports of the two diagnostic vocational shop instructors. It also described the individual's future plans for employment and comments on

their appropriateness.

Section VII Recommendations of the Caseworker

Following the social and vocational evaluations were the caseworker's recommendations for transfer to a particular institution, specific recommendations for trade training and academic programs, and comments on the expected benefits to the individual. Also included were recommendations for participation in group counseling or other rehabilitative programs.

Section VIII Psychiatric and Psychological Evaluation

A psychiatric and psychological evaluation was provided for approximately fifteen per cent of the intake. For such a report there had to be a special referral--an order from the C.Y.A. Board or a request by staff, parole agent, or the ward himself. The reasons for referral to a psychiatrist or psychologist varied greatly and included: suspicious behavior, possible adjustment difficulties, the nature of the offense (e.g., all assaultive crimes), violence in the offense, history of aberrant sexual behavior, history of former psychiatric treatment, or any other major problems, such as narcotics, alcohol, or other self-destructive behavior.

Psychiatric and psychological evaluations addressed questions raised in the request for evaluation and included a diagnostic label, using the nomenclature of the American Psychiatric Association.

Section IX
Custodial Evaluation

This section offered a brief evaluation by security personnel of the institutional management problems presented by the individual.

Section X
Staff Recommendations


This page summarized recommendations from a staff conference and provided summaries of the following:

1. Recommendations for transfer:
2. Evaluation of violence-proneness:
3. Recommendation for custody (security) level:
4. Recommendation to further verify certain information:
5. Brief comments on social factors:
6. Brief comments on medical factors:
7. Brief comments on dental factors:
8. Brief comments on psychiatric factors:
9. Brief comments on psychological factors:
10. Recommendations for educational programing:
11. Recommendations for vocational programing:
12. Recommendations for work assignment:
13. Recommendations for recreation:
14. Recommendations for counseling:
15. Brief comments on religious (affiliation) program:
16. Summary of release planning:
17. Summary statement of coordinated staff planning:

GUIDE TO THE UTILIZATION OF THE DATA MAPS

Since a primary purpose of this project was to present classification data and their relationships to parole success or failure, the criterion of parole success is the primary variable for comparisons between and among classification subgroups. The following technique was developed to present such comparative data.

Graphic presentation is used to facilitate visual summarization of extensive numerical information. The comparative tables give frequencies (N), the percentage (%) for the proportion of the particular subgroup each cell contains, and the per cent success (%S) of the part of the study population represented in the cell. The relationship between any variable and parole success is expressed by a symbol denoting deviation from the overall average success rate. This symbol is a circular figure designed to express graphically both the magnitude and the direction of the deviation from the total parole success rate (60.9%) for the study population (N = 4,146). The following symbols are used:



Solid circles symbolize parole success rates below the overall success rate of 60.9%, while empty circles denote success rates above that rate. The size of the circle

approximates the percentage deviation from the total success rate.

The table below is a summary table in which the seven Wechsler intelligence classification categories are presented as the horizontal axis and the second variable of interest (in this case, race) is presented as the vertical axis. Each set of comparative tables also contains, in the first column, the data on the total study population as a point of reference for examining the comparative data.

COMPARATIVE DATA ON INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION SUBGROUPS
RACE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
WHITE	N 2212 55.4% 60.9% A	4 17.4% 75.0% B	25 22.8% 51.7% ●	334 33.4% 56.0% ●	1354 55.6% 60.6%	394 88.5% 65.0% ○	74 92.5% 68.9% ○	9 100.0% 77.8%
MEXICAN-AMERICAN	N 772 18.6% 61.1%	6 26.1% 50.0%	22 17.3% 68.2%	258 25.8% 60.5%	458 18.8% 61.6%	21 4.7% 66.7%	2 2.5% 100.0%	
BLACK	N 1076 26.0% 60.3%	12 52.2% 75.0% ○	75 59.1% 65.3% ○	389 38.9% 60.4%	576 23.7% 60.2%	21 4.7% 38.1% ●	1 1.3% 0.0%	
OTHER	N 80 1.9% 63.8%	1 4.3% 100.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	19 1.9% 73.7%	46 1.9% 58.7%	9 2.0% 55.6%	3 3.8% 66.7%	

Reference point A has been chosen as an example of data resulting from the cross-classification of two variable items (in this case, the number of the total study population who are white). From top to bottom within A, the first figure refers to the total number of cases which fall within that category; the second figure indicates the percentages of that category within this column; and the third figure reports the percentage of the subgroup which was successful on parole (%S) 15 months after release.

To permit a clearer view of the comparative data on the

specific classification categories discussed, the first column does not contain the circular symbols which represent the difference between the third figure and the overall parole success rate (60.9%). When no symbol is displayed in one of the other columns it is usually for one of three reasons: (1) the deviation symbol has been provided elsewhere; (2) there are too few cases (fewer than 10) in the category to justify the use of the symbol (e.g., reference point B); or (3) there is no appreciable deviation (less than one per cent) from the overall parole success rate.

It is important to note that in cases where a sizable deviation symbol is found (e.g., reference point C), the frequency (N) of that subgroup must be checked. When deviations of substantial magnitude occur and the N is small, the value of such information should be weighed with the frequency in mind.

An example of how a relationship can be noted between one or two variables of interest and the criterion of parole success is provided in the table below. It shows the relationships of

COMPARATIVE DATA ON INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION SUBGROUPS
AND WORK EXPERIENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NONE	N 459 11.5% 58.8%	1 5.0% 100.0%	15 12.1% 46.7% ●	108 12.2% 52.8% ●	278 11.8% 59.7%	47 10.9% 68.1% ○	6 8.0% 83.3%	
0 - 6 MONTHS	N 1466 36.7% 59.3%	10 50.0% 50.0% ●	39 31.5% 53.8% ●	311 32.4% 57.6% ●	890 37.7% 59.7%	171 39.8% 57.3% ●	54 45.3% 79.4% ○	4 44.4% 100.0%
6 - 12 MONTHS	N 725 18.1% 65.2%	2 10.0% 100.0%	27 21.8% 74.1% ○	190 19.8% 66.3% ○	398 16.9% 64.3%	88 29.5% 69.3% ○	13 46.2% 83.3% ●	3 33.3% 100.0%
12 - 18 MONTHS	N 314 7.9% 59.9%		314 5.6% 71.4% ○	76 7.9% 59.2% ○	191 8.1% 57.6% ●	33 7.7% 66.7% ○	6 8.0% 83.3% ○	1 11.1% 100.0%
18 - 24 MONTHS	N 136 3.5% 63.8%	1 5.0% 100.0%	4 3.2% 50.0% ○	26 2.7% 65.4% ○	91 3.9% 61.5%	13 3.0% 76.9% ○	3 4.0% 66.7%	
24 MONTHS AND OVER	N 433 10.8% 66.3%	4 20.0% 75.0%	15 12.1% 80.0% ○	121 12.8% 62.8% ○	254 10.8% 65.7%	30 7.0% 70.0% ○	7 9.3% 85.7%	1 11.1% 100.0%

the seven Wechsler intelligence classifications (horizontal axis), total amount of work experience (vertical axis), and parole success for the study population.

Several one- and two-variable relationships can be noted. First, within the borderline and dull normal intelligence subgroups there appears to be some relationship with work experience. If these two subgroups are scanned vertically, it becomes apparent that the parole success rate improves with the amount of work experience. The transition from negative to positive deviation from the success rate of the entire study group seems to occur between the zero-to-six-months category and the six-to-twelve-months category. This relationship seems to diminish for the average and bright normal groups, although some degree of association is still apparent.

Another relationship is found for amount of work experience, intelligence, and parole outcome. Offenders with work experience of six months or less seem to display a relationship between parole success and intelligence. It appears that as intelligence increases for these experience groups so does their percentage of parole success. Individuals who are handicapped in both their employment history and their intelligence show a relatively high recidivism rate.

As Glaser and Strauss (1968) suggest, such a figurative display allows the reader to verify findings for himself while noting proportions, N's, comparative direction of relationships, and magnitude of deviations. However, until

the etiological implications of these findings can be established, no conclusions can be drawn about either the explanation or the treatment of criminal behavior.

The table below provides examples of how to observe the dominant implications of the parole success deviation figures and to do simple proportional analyses of two independent variables. Intelligence classification groups are presented as the horizontal axis and violence in the admission offense as the vertical axis.

COMPARATIVE DATA ON INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION SUBGROUPS
INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NONE	N 2900 72.5% 58.52%	.15 75.0% 65.72%	87 70.2% 59.8%	685 71.2% 56.2%	1704 72.2% 58.7%	335 77.9% 60.9%	56 74.7% 66.1%	5 66.7% 66.7%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 122 3.1% 63.9%	1 5.0% 100.0%	4 3.2% 100.0%	35 3.6% 54.3%	72 3.1% 63.9%	8 1.9% 75.0%	2 2.7% 100.0%	
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 304 7.6% 71.1%	2 10.0% 100.0%	16 12.9% 56.3%	76 7.9% 65.8%	162 6.9% 72.2%	36 8.4% 77.8%	9 12.0% 88.9%	1 11.1% 100.0%
MINOR INJURIES	N 393 9.8% 66.2%		9 7.3% 66.7%	108 11.2% 69.4%	250 10.6% 67.2%	23 5.3% 73.9%	2 2.7% 100.0%	
MAJOR INJURIES	N 107 2.7% 68.2%		3 2.4% 100.0%	25 2.6% 72.0%	64 2.7% 67.2%	12 2.8% 58.3%	1 1.3% 0.0%	
DEATH	N 36 0.9% 72.2%			4 0.4% 75.0%	23 1.0% 69.6%	5 1.2% 80.0%	2 2.7% 100.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%

Considering first some proportional analyses, it is interesting to note the distribution of violence in the admission offense in each intelligence subgroup. For example, 72 per cent of the average intelligence group did not threaten or actually commit a violent act, 3 per cent threatened their victim, 7 per cent threatened their victim with a weapon, etc. These proportions are similar across all intelligence groups.

Another example is a comparison of the distribution of

intelligence groups for each violent category. Percentage figures have been inserted as numbers outside each category. For example, the "none" distribution indicates that of those who did not threaten or commit a violent act 3 per cent were of borderline intelligence, 23 per cent were of dull normal intelligence, 59 per cent were of average intelligence, and 12 per cent were of bright normal intelligence. These proportions appear relatively constant across all violent groups, indicating that the individuals of average intelligence account for 54 to 64 per cent of violent behavior across all violent categories. The insertion of the additional percentage figures implies that: (1) the tabular display of data is not all-inclusive since certain potential relationships must be extracted by the computations of the reader; and (2) the possible relationships are usually more extensive than the typical table can present. Sensitivity in manipulating descriptive data may help derive relationships which would otherwise remain hidden. If the reader uses his imagination in looking at such data they may yield findings which the present investigators have neither the time nor the personnel to extract.

Brief mention should be made of the dependent variable, parole success. Since the visual display of success deviation from the overall success rate is the primary variable of comparison, the table should also be analyzed on the basis of this criterion. The most noteworthy finding when viewing the range of deviation figures is that there seems

to be a "clustering" effect of parole success deviations. C.Y.A. wards of below average intelligence who are assessed as using no threat or threat without actual violence seem to have a below average success rate. In contrast, wards of average or above average intelligence who were assessed as using a more serious threat or actual violence in their admission offense display parole success rates above that of the total group. This "clustering" effect could have a number of explanations, the delineation of which is beyond the scope of this project.

In summary, grounded theory provides the methodological basis for the examination of data derived from the process of cross-classification. It must be remembered that such a process is limited by the priorities of data assessment chosen by the study's investigators. Although parole success was chosen as the most important variable of comparison, this does not mean that other forms of proportional analysis are not possible with the tables presented here. Each reader's preconceptions will determine the extent to which he analyzes the data.

REFERENCES

- Aichorn, A. Wayward youth. New York: Viking, 1935.
- Argyle, M. A new approach to the classification of delinquents with implications for treatment. (Board of Corrections Monograph Number Two). Sacramento: State of California Printing Division, Documents Section, July, 1961.
- Babst, D. V., Gottfredson, D. M., and Ballard, K. B. Comparison of multiple regression and configural analysis techniques for developing base expectancy tables. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 1968, 5(1), 72-80.
- Ballard, K. B., Jr., et al. Interpersonal Personality Inventory Manual. Sacramento: California Department of Corrections, Research Division, 1966.
- Barton, A. H. The concept of property space in social research. In P. F. Lazarsfeld and M. Rosenberg (Eds.), The language of social research. Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press, 1955.
- Blackburn, R. Personality types among abnormal homicides. British Journal of Criminology, 1971, 11, 14-31.
- Blalock, H. M. Theory construction. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1969.
- Bloch, H. A., and Flynn, F. T. Delinquency. New York: Random House, 1956.
- Börjeson, B. Type of treatment in relation to type of offender. In Council of Europe, Collected Studies in Criminological Research (Vol. 3). Strasbourg: Council of Europe, 1973.
- Bottoms, A. E. Methodological aspects of classification in criminology. In Council of Europe, Collected Studies in Criminological Research (Vol. 10). Strasbourg: Council of Europe, 1973.
- Burke, H. R. Raven's progressive matrices: a review and critical evaluation. Journal of Genetic Psychology, 1968, 93, 199-228.
- California Youth Authority. Report of Committee on Standard Nomenclature. Sacramento: California Youth Authority, Standard Nomenclature Committee, 1958.
- Cormier, B. M., Kennedy, M., Sangowicz, J., and Trottier, M. Presentation of a basic classification for criminological work and research in criminality. Canadian Journal of Corrections, 1959, 1(4), 21-34.
- Cronbach, L. J. Essentials of psychological testing (3rd ed.). New York: Harper and Row, 1970.
- Erikson, E. H. Childhood and society. New York: Norton, 1950.
- Eysenck, S. B. G., and Eysenck, H. J. Crime and personality: an empirical study of the three-factor theory. British Journal of Criminology, 1970, 10, 225-239.
- Ferdinand, T. N. Typologies of delinquency. New York: Random House, 1966.
- Fildes, R. E., and Gottfredson, D. M. Cluster analysis in a parolee sample. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 1972, 9(1), 2-11.
- Gibbons, D. C. Changing the lawbreaker. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1965.
- Gibbons, D. C., and Garrity, D. L. A preliminary typology of juvenile delinquents. Unpublished manuscript, 1958.
- Glaser, D. Prediction tables as accounting devices for judges and parole boards. Crime and Delinquency, 1962, 8(3), 239-258.
- Glaser, D., and Strauss, A. The discovery of grounded theory. London: Weidenfeld and Nicholson, 1968.
- Gottfredson, D. M., Ballard, K. B., and Lane, L. Association analysis in a prison sample and prediction of parole performance. Vacaville, Calif.: Institute for the Study of Crime and Delinquency, November, 1963.
- Gottfredson, D. M., and Beverly, R. F. Development and operational use of prediction methods in correctional work. Proceedings of the Social Statistics Section of the American Statistical Association. Washington, D.C.: American Statistical Association, 1962.
- Gough, H. G. The California Psychological Inventory. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1957, 21, 359.
- Gough, H. G., and Domino, M. The D-48 Test as a measurement of general ability among grade school children. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1963, 27(4), 344-349.

Gough, H. G., and Peterson, D. R. The identification and measurement of predispositional factors in crime and delinquency. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1952, 16, 207-212.

Grant, M. Q. Interaction between kinds of treatments and kinds of delinquents. (Board of Corrections Monograph Number Two). Sacramento: State of California Printing Division, Documents Section, July, 1961.

Hathaway, S. R. MMPI: Professional use by professional people. American Psychologist, 1964, 19, 204-210.

Hempel, C. G. Fundamentals of taxonomy. In C. G. Hempel (Ed.), Aspects of scientific explanation and other essays in the philosophy of science. New York, 1965.

Hood, R., and Sparks, R. Key issues in criminology. London: Weidenfeld and Nicholson, 1970.

Illinois State Training School for Boys. Report on diagnostic categories. Sheridan: Illinois State Training School for Boys, Treatment Committee, 1953.

Jenkins, R. L., and Hewitt, L. Types of personality structure encountered in child guidance clinics. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1944, 14, 84-94.

Karpinos, B. G. Mental test failures. In S. Tax (Ed.) The draft, a handbook of facts and alternatives. Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1967, 35-49.

King, R. D., Raynes, N. Y., and Tizard, J. Patterns of residential care. London: Routledge, 1971.

Lazarsfeld, P. F., and Barton, A. H. Qualitative measurement in the social sciences: Classification typologies and indices. In D. Lerner and H. D. Lasswell (Eds.), The policy sciences. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press, 1951.

Lejins, P. P. Pragmatic etiology of delinquent behavior. In C. B. Vedder (Ed.), The juvenile delinquent. New York: Doubleday, 1954.

Mannheim, H., and Wilkins, L. T. Prediction methods in relation to Borstal training. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1955.

Martin, J. M., and Fitzpatrick, J. L. Delinquent behavior. New York: Random House, 1964.

McClintock, F. H., and Avison, N. H. Ways of classifying offenses for criminological research. Paper presented at the First National Conference on Research and Teaching in Criminology, 1964.

McKinney, J. C. Constructive typology and social theory. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1966.

Megargee, E. I. Undercontrolled and overcontrolled personality types in extreme antisocial aggression. Psychological Monographs, 1966, 80, Whole No. 611.

Menninger, K., Mayman, M., and Pruyser, P. The vital balance. New York: Viking, 1963.

Merton, R. K. Social theory and social structure. Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press, 1949.

Miller, W. B. Some characteristics of present day delinquency of relevance to educators. Paper presented at meetings of the American Association of School Administrators, 1959.

Ohlin, L. E. Selection for parole: A manual of parole prediction. New York: Russel Sage Foundation, 1951.

Opp, K. D. Problems of classification in criminology. In Council of Europe, Collected Studies in Criminological Research (Vol. 10). Strasbourg: Council of Europe, 1973.

Palmer, T. B. California Community Treatment Project for delinquent adolescents. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 1971, 8, 74-92.

Peterson, D. R., Quay, H. C., and Cameron, G. R. Personality and background factors in juvenile delinquency as inferred from questionnaire responses. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1959, 23(5), 395-399.

Reckless, W. C. The crime problem. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1950.

Rex, J. Key problems of sociological theory. London: Routledge, 1961.

Roebuck, J. Criminal typology. Springfield, Ill.: Charles C. Thomas, 1967.

Schrag, C. A. Social types in a prison community. Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Washington, 1944.

Selvin, H. A critique of tests of significance in survey research. American Sociological Review, 1957, 22, 519-527.

Sparks, R. F. Types of treatment for types of offenders. In Council of Europe, Collected Studies in Criminological Research (Vol. 3). Strasbourg: Council of Europe, 1968.

Sullivan, C., Grant, J. D., and Grant, M. Q. The development of interpersonal maturity: Applications to delinquency. Psychiatry, 1957, 20, 373-385.

Sutherland, E. H. Principles of criminology (4th ed.). Chicago: Lippincott, 1939.

Sykes, G. M. The society of captives. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1958.

Szasz, T. The myth of mental illness. New York: Harper, 1961.

U. S. Employment Service. Guide to the use of the General Aptitude Test Battery: Section III: Development. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1962.

Venezia, P. S. Delinquency as a function of intra-family relationships. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 1968, 5(2), 148-173.

Warren, M. Q. Classification of offenders as an aid to efficient management and effective treatment. Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology, and Police Science, 1971, 62, 239-258.

Warren, M. Q. Correctional treatment in community settings: A report of current research. Rockville, Md.: National Institute of Mental Health, 1972. DHEW Publication No. (HSM) 72-9129.

Webster's Seventh New Collegiate Dictionary. Springfield, Mass.: G. & C. Merriam Company, 1970.

Wechsler, D. The measurement and appraisal of adult intelligence (4th ed.). Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1958.

Weiss, J. W. A., et al. The sudden murderer. Archives of General Psychiatry, 1960; 2, 669-678.

THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER

This DATA MAP presents comparative data on alcohol and drug classification subgroups. STUDY POPULATION: 4,146 California Youth Authority male wards, consecutive intakes in 1964-65 at the Reception Guidance Center, Deuel Vocational Institution, Tracy. PAROLE FOLLOW-UP: 15 months. AVERAGE PAROLE SUCCESS RATE: 60.9% (60.9%S).

Standard data presented in 55 tables Frequencies, percentages, and percent success on parole. Means (M), and standard deviations (SD) for test results and other continuous data.

Information in first column: Data on combined total study population.

Information in columns 2-7: Data on alcohol and drug classification subgroups.

The first number in each cell: Number of cases sharing both the column and the row labels.

The second number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell in relation to the other cells in column.

The third number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell which successfully completed parole.

Symbols: Explanation of symbols follows table 55 at the end of the DATA MAP.

Other DATA MAPS available in this series: Intelligence, Race, Violence, Burglary, Robbery, Assault and Parole.

For legend of symbols see below table 55

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
1							
COMMITMENT COURT							
JUVENILE COURT	N 345 M 8.3% SD 51.6% 51.6%	88 7.1% 61.4%	71 11.4% 50.7%	33 9.8% 57.6%	7 12.1% 71.4%	11 9.8% 45.5%	2 6.7% 100.0%
SUPERIOR COURT	N 3339 M 80.7% SD 62.2% 62.2%	1025 82.6% 62.2%	446 71.6% 58.7%	261 77.7% 52.5%	40 69.0% 52.5%	82 80.4% 42.7%	25 83.3% 40.0%
MUNICIPAL COURT	N 438 M 10.6% SD 58.0% 58.0%	123 9.9% 61.0%	102 16.4% 62.7%	42 12.5% 54.8%	11 19.0% 27.3%	9 8.8% 33.3%	3 10.0% 33.3%
JUSTICE COURT	N 18 M 0.4% SD 55.6% 55.6%	5 0.4% 60.0%	4 0.6% 50.0%				

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
2							
ADMISSION STATUS							
FIRST ADMISSION	N 2470 M 60.5% SD 67.0% 67.0%	748 60.3% 67.5%	317 50.9% 63.4%	170 50.6% 57.6%	27 46.6% 48.1%	35 34.7% 51.4%	11 36.7% 35.4%
FIRST RETURN	N 800 M 19.6% SD 54.9% 54.9%	246 19.8% 56.1%	147 23.6% 59.2%	78 23.2% 52.6%	15 25.0% 60.0%	31 30.7% 38.7%	12 40.0% 41.7%
2ND AND MORE RETURNS	N 732 M 17.9% SD 47.0% 47.0%	223 18.0% 52.0%	154 24.7% 49.4%	81 24.1% 46.9%	16 27.6% 43.8%	32 31.7% 37.5%	7 23.3% 57.1%
RE-ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE FROM CYA	N 83 M 2.0% SD 57.8% 57.8%	23 1.9% 47.8%	5 0.8% 20.0%	7 2.1% 42.9%		3 3.0% 33.3%	

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
3							
RACE							
WHITE	N 2212 M 53.4% SD 60.9% 60.9%	633 51.0% 62.2%	298 47.8% 53.3%	142 42.1% 53.3%	32 55.2% 43.8%	41 40.2% 34.1%	18 60.0% 44.4%
MEXICAN-AMERICAN	N 772 M 18.6% SD 61.1% 61.1%	289 23.3% 61.2%	217 34.8% 57.6%	116 34.4% 56.0%	17 29.3% 47.1%	55 53.9% 45.5%	10 33.3% 40.0%
BLACK	N 1076 M 26.0% SD 60.3% 60.3%	299 24.1% 63.2%	84 15.5% 60.7%	67 19.9% 44.8%	5 8.6% 100.0%	4 3.9% 50.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
OTHER	N 80 M 1.9% SD 63.8% 63.8%	20 1.6% 50.0%	25 4.0% 72.0%	12 3.6% 75.0%	6 9.9% 50.0%	2 2.0% 100.0%	1 3.3% 100.0%

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
4							
AGE, TIME IN INSTITUTION, WEIGHT, AND HEIGHT							
AGE AT ADMISSION	N 4134 M 19.44 SD 0.94	1238 19.47 0.92	623 19.59 1.00	337 19.56 0.93	58 19.64 1.07	101 19.82 0.96	30 19.99 1.10
AGE AT RELEASE	N 4057 M 20.24 SD 0.99	1213 20.29 0.98	611 20.43 1.06	330 20.49 0.92	57 20.56 1.05	99 20.78 0.94	29 21.15 1.11
TIME IN INSTITUTION	N 4138 M 9.23 SD 4.77	1241 9.14 4.89	623 9.60 4.72	336 10.62 4.98	58 10.64 4.08	101 10.97 5.28	30 13.07 4.89
WEIGHT	N 4131 M 149.67 SD 20.64	1237 150.07 20.66	624 148.01 20.10	336 147.34 18.05	58 146.12 15.84	102 145.08 16.99	30 144.85 16.28
HEIGHT	N 4133 M 68.33 SD 2.85	1239 68.32 2.84	624 67.73 2.93	336 67.89 2.75	58 67.93 2.83	102 67.49 2.75	30 68.10 2.89

5

MARITAL STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
SINGLE	N 3277 81.9% 61.0%	975 80.7% 62.4%	479 79.7% 58.9%	266 82.4% 55.6%	45 80.4% 48.9%	73 73.0% 39.7%	23 76.7% 47.8%
MARRIED	N 387 9.7% 63.3%	124 10.3% 66.1%	68 11.3% 55.9%	27 8.4% 48.1%	5 8.9% 40.0%	13 13.0% 61.5%	6 20.0% 33.3%
DIVORCED	N 55 1.4% 56.4%	18 1.5% 44.4%	7 1.2% 57.1%	3 0.9% 66.7%		2 2.0% 50.0%	
DIVORCED, REMARRIED	N 18 0.5% 33.3%	6 0.5% 0.0%	2 0.3% 50.0%	2 0.6% 50.0%			
SEPARATED	N 112 2.8% 66.1%	38 3.1% 60.5%	20 3.3% 55.0%	9 2.8% 44.4%	3 5.4% 66.7%	5 5.0% 20.0%	
COMMON-LAW	N 128 3.2% 57.8%	41 3.4% 70.7%	21 3.5% 61.9%	14 4.3% 35.7%	3 5.4% 33.3%	7 7.0% 42.9%	1 3.3% 0.0%
WIDOWED	N 2 0.1% 50.0%		1 0.2% 100.0%				

6

**CHILDREN
ACKNOWLEDGED**

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 3321 83.0% 61.2%	977 80.9% 63.1%	507 84.4% 58.0%	268 83.0% 55.6%	46 82.1% 52.2%	79 79.0% 40.5%	23 76.7% 47.8%
ONE	N 516 12.8% 60.5%	173 14.3% 60.7%	74 12.3% 63.5%	47 14.6% 48.9%	8 14.3% 25.0%	17 17.0% 47.1%	5 16.7% 20.0%
TWO	N 127 3.2% 64.6%	46 3.8% 55.5%	13 2.2% 61.5%	6 1.9% 33.3%	1 1.8% 100.0%	2 2.0% 100.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
THREE	N 28 0.7% 64.3%	9 0.7% 55.6%	5 0.8% 60.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%		1 1.0% 0.0%	1 3.3% 100.0%
FOUR AND MORE	N 8 0.2% 62.5%	3 0.2% 100.0%	2 0.3% 50.0%	1 0.3% 0.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	

7

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
WIFE/GIRL FRIEND	N 349 8.7% 60.5%	113 9.4% 63.7%	51 8.5% 54.9%	22 6.8% 54.5%	2 3.6% 0.0%	11 11.0% 54.5%	5 16.7% 20.0%
NATURAL PARENTS	N 1185 29.6% 61.6%	371 30.7% 62.0%	199 33.2% 57.3%	88 27.2% 55.7%	20 35.7% 45.0%	23 23.0% 39.1%	13 43.3% 69.2%
RELATIVES	N 248 6.2% 62.9%	79 6.5% 63.3%	41 6.8% 61.0%	23 7.1% 60.9%	3 5.4% 66.7%	7 7.0% 42.9%	2 6.7% 0.0%
FOSTER PARENTS	N 76 1.9% 50.0%	20 1.7% 55.0%	13 2.2% 53.8%	8 2.5% 62.5%	2 3.6% 0.0%	2 2.0% 50.0%	
FRIENDS, FIXED	N 134 3.4% 67.9%	29 2.4% 55.2%	14 2.3% 78.6%	4 1.2% 50.0%	3 5.4% 100.0%	2 2.0% 100.0%	
ALONE, FIXED	N 144 3.6% 64.6%	42 3.5% 66.7%	18 3.0% 66.7%	8 2.5% 50.0%	1 1.8% 100.0%	3 3.0% 33.3%	1 3.3% 100.0%
ALONE, NOT FIXED	N 207 5.2% 57.0%	41 3.4% 63.4%	25 4.2% 60.0%	10 3.1% 50.0%	2 3.6% 100.0%	2 2.0% 50.0%	
GROUP HOME	N 17 0.4% 47.1%	6 0.5% 50.0%	2 0.3% 0.0%				

8

**MARITAL STATUS
OF PARENTS**

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NEVER MARRIED	N 214 5.4% 61.7%	49 4.1% 61.2%	32 5.3% 50.0%	20 6.2% 45.0%	2 3.6% 50.0%	8 8.0% 37.5%	
MARRIED	N 1631 40.8% 62.9%	510 42.3% 63.9%	263 43.8% 59.3%	131 40.6% 60.3%	20 35.7% 35.0%	45 45.0% 51.1%	13 43.3% 30.8%
DIVORCED	N 30 0.8% 66.7%	6 0.5% 66.7%	4 0.7% 25.0%				1 3.3% 100.0%
DIVORCED, REMARRIED	N 345 8.6% 61.7%	114 9.5% 62.3%	60 10.0% 53.3%	29 9.0% 58.6%	5 8.9% 40.0%	6 6.0% 50.0%	4 13.3% 50.0%
SEPARATED	N 1263 31.6% 61.2%	366 30.3% 61.5%	164 27.3% 59.1%	99 30.7% 51.5%	32 39.3% 54.5%	32 32.0% 37.5%	9 30.0% 66.7%
COMMON-LAW	N 8 0.2% 62.5%	1 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.2% 100.0%				
WIDOWED	N 3 0.1% 33.3%						

9

DEATH OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
FATHER DEAD	N 498 12.0% 61.0%	154 12.4% 64.3%	83 13.3% 60.2%	35 10.4% 57.1%	6 10.3% 50.0%	7 6.9% 42.9%	1 3.3% 100.0%
MOTHER DEAD	N 193 4.7% 62.7%	61 4.9% 65.6%	37 5.9% 75.7%	10 3.0% 50.0%	3 5.2% 100.0%	2 2.0% 0.0%	1 3.0% 0.0%
BOTH DEAD	N 64 1.5% 56.3%	20 1.6% 55.0%	12 1.9% 58.3%	8 2.4% 50.0%		3 2.9% 100.0%	
BOTH LIVING	N 3391 81.8% 60.8%	1007 81.1% 61.7%	492 70.8% 56.9%	284 84.3% 53.2%	49 84.5% 46.9%	90 88.2% 41.1%	28 93.3% 42.9%

10

MILITARY DISCIPLINARY ACTION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
YES	N 301 7.5% 65.1%	92 7.6% 68.5%	29 4.8% 55.2%	8 2.5% 55.2%	1 1.8% 100.0%	2 2.0% 50.0%	
NO	N 167 4.2% 68.9%	43 3.6% 69.8%	18 3.0% 50.0%	3 0.9% 100.0%	2 3.6% 100.0%	2 2.0% 100.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
NO SERVICE	N 3506 87.7% 60.5%	1067 88.4% 61.8%	551 91.7% 59.2%	311 96.3% 53.4%	53 94.6% 45.3%	95 95.0% 41.1%	29 96.7% 44.8%

11

MILITARY DISCHARGE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
HONORABLE	N 104 2.6% 57.7%	34 2.8% 61.8%	10 1.7% 30.0%	4 1.2% 75.0%		3 3.0% 33.3%	
GENERAL HONORABLE CONDITIONS	N 104 2.6% 54.8%	26 2.2% 50.0%	13 2.2% 61.5%	4 1.2% 75.0%	1 1.8% 100.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
DISHONORABLE, ETC.	N 117 2.9% 70.1%	43 3.6% 79.1%	13 2.2% 53.8%	1 0.3% 0.0%	1 1.8% 100.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	
MEDICAL	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	4 0.3% 100.0%	3 0.5% 33.3%	1 0.3% 100.0%			
OTHER	N 59 1.5% 72.9%	11 0.9% 54.5%	2 0.3% 50.0%				

12

HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NO HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 2278 54.9% 60.8%			117 34.7% 52.1%	27 46.6% 40.7%	38 37.3% 55.3%	13 43.3% 30.8%
MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 1244 30.0% 62.1%	1242 100% 62.1%		179 38.3% 58.1%	11 19.0% 63.6%	46 45.1% 39.1%	11 36.7% 45.5%
SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 624 15.1% 58.5%		624 100.0% 59.5%	91 27.0% 48.4%	20 34.5% 55.0%	18 17.6% 22.2%	6 20.0% 66.7%
ALCOHOL NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 2555 61.6% 60.9%	350 28.2% 62.0%	41 6.6% 63.4%	142 42.1% 53.5%	48 48.3% 46.4%	51 50.0% 51.0%	13 43.3% 46.2%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 1024 24.7% 62.1%	465 39.1% 64.4%	485 77.5% 59.7%	99 29.4% 52.5%	14 24.1% 50.0%	19 18.6% 21.1%	2 6.7% 0.0%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 567 13.7% 58.4%	406 32.7% 59.4%	97 15.5% 50.5%	96 28.5% 54.2%	16 27.6% 55.3%	32 31.4% 40.6%	15 50.0% 46.7%

13

HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NO HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE	N 3488 84.1% 61.9%	997 80.3% 62.9%	459 73.6% 60.7%			30 29.4% 46.7%	9 30.0% 44.4%
ISOLATED DRUG MISUSE	N 263 6.3% 59.3%	105 8.5% 59.0%	50 8.7% 61.1%			9 8.8% 22.2%	
MODERATE DRUG MISUSE	N 337 8.1% 53.4%	129 10.4% 58.1%	91 14.6% 48.4%	337 100.0% 53.4%		52 51.0% 44.2%	9 30.0% 33.3%
SEVERE DRUG MISUSE	N 58 1.4% 50.0%	11 0.9% 63.6%	20 3.2% 55.0%		58 100.0% 50.0%	11 10.8% 36.4%	12 40.0% 50.0%
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 3788 91.4% 61.2%	1106 89.1% 62.5%	542 86.9% 50.5%	159 47.2% 50.3%	8 13.8% 100.0%	59 57.0% 37.3%	10 33.3% 50.0%

	N	0.9%	3.2%	20%	58%	11%	12%
SEVERE DRUG MISUSE	1,02	0.9%	3.2%	20%	58%	11%	12%
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	2788	91.4%	62.5%	54.2%	159	8	10
DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	209	5.0%	6.7%	39	83	43	25
DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	149	3.6%	4.3%	43	82	7	18

14

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NO HISTORY OF OPIATE USE	3971	95.8%	94.1%	260	32		
ISOLATED OPIATE USE	43	1.0%	1.9%	16	3		
MODERATE OPIATE USE	102	2.5%	3.7%	52	11	102	
SEVERE OPIATE USE	30	0.7%	0.9%	9	12		30
OPIATES NO FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	4046	97.6%	97.0%	303	36	41	5
OPIATES FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	73	1.8%	2.5%	22	17	42	21
OPIATES FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	27	0.7%	0.8%	12	5	19	4

15

HISTORY OF MARIJUANA AND GLUE-SNIFFING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NO HISTORY OF USE OF MARIJUANA	3345	80.7%	76.2%	113	22	25	4
MARIJUANA USE	801	19.3%	23.8%	224	36	77	26
NO HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	3890	93.8%	92.8%	282	50	85	26
HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	256	5.2%	7.2%	66	8	17	4

16

HISTORY OF ESCAPE AND SEXUAL DEVIATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NO ESCAPES	3539	85.4%	80.9%	270	48	82	25
ESCAPES FROM MINIMUM SECURITY	526	12.7%	13.2%	61	7	19	3
ESCAPES WITH FORCE	81	2.0%	1.9%	6	3	1	2
NO HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	4026	97.1%	97.3%	331	54	98	29
HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	120	2.9%	2.7%	6	4	4	1
NO HISTORY OF SEXUAL DEVIATION	3923	94.8%	95.4%	318	56	97	28
HISTORY OF ISOLATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	156	3.8%	3.1%	16	2	4	2
HISTORY OF REPEATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	61	1.5%	1.4%	3	1	1	1
NO HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUALITY	4009	96.7%	97.5%	321	55	100	29
HISTORY OF ISOLATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	79	1.9%	1.3%	10	1	2	1
HISTORY OF REPEATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	43	1.0%	0.7%	4	2	3	3
HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUAL PATTERN	15	0.4%	0.4%	2	0	0	0

17

PREVIOUS PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NO HISTORY OF SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 4047 97.6% 61.1% 61.1%	1209 97.3% 62.4%	600 96.2% 58.2%	321 95.3% 55.6%	50 86.2% 50.0%	99 97.1% 42.4%	29 96.7% 44.8%
INFREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 65 1.6% 50.8%	24 1.6% 45.8%	13 2.1% 61.5%	11 3.3% 54.5%	1 1.7% 100.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	
FREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 24 0.6% 50.0%	8 0.6% 62.5%	7 1.1% 71.4%	4 1.2% 50.0%	3 5.2% 0.0%	2 2.0% 100.0%	
SERIOUS SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 10 0.2% 40.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%	4 0.6% 50.0%	4 0.3% 0.0%	4 6.9% 75.0%		1 3.3% 0.0%
NO HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 4118 99.3% 60.9%	1237 99.6% 62.0%	619 99.2% 58.5%	337 100.0% 53.4%	58 100.0% 50.0%	102 100.0% 42.2%	30 100.0% 43.3%
HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 28 0.7% 53.6%	5 0.4% 80.0%	5 0.8% 60.0%				
NO HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 4125 99.5% 60.8%	1236 99.5% 62.0%	621 99.5% 58.5%	337 100.0% 53.4%	57 98.3% 49.1%	102 100.0% 42.2%	30 100.0% 43.3%
HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 21 0.5% 61.9%	6 0.5% 83.3%	3 0.5% 66.7%		1 1.7% 100.0%		
NO HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 4092 98.7% 61.1%	1231 99.1% 62.1%	617 98.9% 59.0%	332 98.5% 53.9%	55 94.8% 50.9%	101 99.0% 41.6%	30 100.0% 43.3%
HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 54 1.3% 44.4%	11 0.9% 54.5%	7 1.1% 14.3%	5 1.5% 20.0%	3 5.2% 33.3%	1 1.0% 0.0%	
NO HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 4097 98.8% 60.8%	1233 99.3% 62.0%	609 97.6% 58.5%	333 98.8% 53.5%	57 98.3% 50.9%	101 98.0% 41.6%	29 96.7% 44.8%
HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 49 1.2% 61.2%	9 0.7% 77.8%	15 2.4% 60.0%	15 5.0% 50.0%	1 1.7% 0.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 3870 95.3% 61.6%	1161 95.5% 62.6%	558 89.4% 59.7%	309 91.7% 47.4%	48 82.8% 41.9%	90 88.2% 41.1%	28 93.3% 42.9%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 276 6.7% 51.1%	81 6.5% 54.3%	66 10.6% 48.5%	28 8.3% 42.9%	10 17.2% 60.0%	12 11.8% 50.0%	2 6.7% 50.0%
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 4012 96.8% 61.2%	1202 96.8% 62.5%	601 96.3% 58.9%	317 94.1% 54.3%	55 94.8% 49.1%	94 92.2% 43.6%	29 96.7% 44.8%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 134 3.2% 50.0%	40 3.2% 50.0%	23 3.7% 47.8%	23 5.9% 40.0%	3 5.2% 66.7%	8 7.8% 25.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
NO HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 4031 97.2% 61.3%	1219 98.1% 62.3%	604 96.8% 58.4%	327 97.0% 55.5%	53 91.4% 50.9%	98 96.1% 43.9%	29 96.7% 44.8%
HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 115 2.8% 45.2%	23 1.9% 52.2%	20 3.2% 60.0%	10 3.0% 50.0%	5 8.6% 40.0%	4 3.9% 0.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%

18

INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
MENTAL DEFECTIVE	N 23 0.6% 69.6%	6 0.5% 83.3%	6 1.0% 66.7%	2 0.6% 50.0%			
BORDERLINE	N 127 3.1% 63.0%	36 2.9% 75.0%	21 3.4% 57.1%	11 3.3% 45.5%	1 1.8% 100.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	1 3.4% 0.0%
DULL NORMAL	N 1000 24.2% 59.2%	305 24.6% 61.3%	162 26.1% 54.3%	74 22.1% 44.6%	11 19.3% 54.5%	28 27.7% 46.4%	6 20.7% 50.0%
AVERAGE	N 2440 59.1% 60.7%	748 60.4% 60.3%	362 58.4% 60.5%	197 58.8% 53.3%	26 63.2% 47.2%	58 57.4% 37.9%	19 65.5% 36.8%
BRIGHT NORMAL	N 446 10.8% 63.7%	115 9.3% 68.7%	60 9.7% 58.3%	41 12.2% 68.3%	8 14.0% 50.0%	11 10.5% 54.5%	2 6.9% 100.0%
SUPERIOR	N 81 2.0% 67.9%	27 2.2% 70.4%	8 1.3% 50.0%	9 2.7% 77.8%	1 1.8% 100.0%	5 5.0% 66.7%	1 3.4% 100.0%
VERY SUPERIOR	N 9 0.2% 77.8%	2 0.2% 100.0%	1 0.2% 100.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%			

19

RESULTS OF INTELLIGENCE TESTING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
ARMY GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST I.Q.	N 2684 M 99.42 SD 11.23	795 98.82 10.97	375 98.54 10.54	223 98.78 11.73	42 99.88 11.52	63 99.48 12.03	23 99.74 11.54
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2679 M 48.80 SD 21.25	795 48.59 20.96	375 47.28 20.22	222 49.08 21.87	42 49.57 19.97	63 49.44 23.33	23 39.78 21.02
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2682 M 56.03 SD 25.83	796 54.41 25.70	375 53.62 25.46	223 52.57 26.21	42 57.90 26.14	63 54.56 26.24	23 45.09 25.72
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2683 M 54.10 SD 24.64	796 52.57 24.30	375 52.55 24.80	223 53.34 24.61	42 52.81 26.17	63 53.24 25.13	23 47.74 22.09
ONLY FORMS TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	N 3860 M 90.81 SD 13.89	1159 89.76 13.52	588 89.76 13.46	314 91.59 14.20	54 94.39 12.40	83 91.12 14.68	26 95.46 12.63
LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3867 M 86.98 SD 16.55	1260 86.36 16.47	581 85.57 16.17	313 87.73 17.01	54 92.15 15.94	83 86.65 17.77	26 91.42 15.23

TEST	N	M	SD	75	50	25	10	5	1
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	1152	56.08	25.83	54.41	25.70	25.46	26.21	26.14	26.24
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	2685	54.10	24.64	52.37	24.30	24.80	24.61	24.61	25.13
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	3865	90.81	13.89	90.26	13.52	13.46	14.20	14.20	14.68
LANGUAGE I.Q.	3867	86.98	16.56	86.36	16.42	16.19	17.01	15.90	17.77
NONLANGUAGE I.Q.	3877	94.17	14.09	93.65	13.76	13.46	14.42	12.35	15.06
D-48 RAW SCORE	2712	20.97	7.74	21.05	7.56	7.84	8.77	7.20	8.15
RAVEN MATRICES RAW SCORE	3517	43.33	8.66	43.43	8.33	8.06	8.70	7.18	8.70
SHIPLEY HARTFORD CONCEPTUAL QUOTIENT	1767	96.05	14.38	95.82	14.46	15.21	15.00	14.98	15.41
LANGUAGE RAW SCORE	2767	23.75	5.46	23.87	5.40	5.56	6.35	4.37	5.39
ABSTRACT RAW SCORE	2696	24.02	7.98	23.83	7.99	8.38	9.48	7.91	8.83

20

RESULTS OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 4067	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 1221	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 612	MODERATE DRUG USE N = 328	SEVERE DRUG USE N = 57	MODERATE OPIATE USE N = 99	SEVERE OPIATE USE N = 29
READING VOCABULARY	M 7.55 SD 2.76	7.50 2.71	7.34 2.71	7.65 2.76	8.10 2.69	7.67 2.66	7.52 2.51
READING COMPREHENSION	M 7.66 SD 2.69	7.58 2.65	7.44 2.55	7.64 2.65	8.24 2.72	7.60 2.66	8.06 2.69
READING AVERAGE	M 7.62 SD 2.66	7.56 2.61	7.42 2.56	7.68 2.61	8.20 2.61	7.65 2.59	7.82 2.53
ARITHMETIC REASONING	M 7.47 SD 2.23	7.39 2.15	7.29 2.17	7.41 2.13	7.95 2.21	7.39 2.19	7.16 2.24
ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTALS	M 7.27 SD 1.98	7.18 1.93	7.11 1.95	7.31 2.02	7.44 2.12	7.29 2.02	7.09 2.09
ARITHMETIC AVERAGE	M 7.39 SD 2.03	7.31 1.98	7.22 2.01	7.38 2.01	7.69 2.13	7.37 2.04	7.16 2.12
LANGUAGE MECHANICS	M 7.22 SD 2.52	7.17 2.49	7.02 2.50	7.38 2.45	7.52 2.28	7.08 2.39	7.07 2.45
LANGUAGE SPELLING	M 7.35 SD 2.60	7.34 2.59	7.22 2.66	7.53 2.67	8.03 2.73	7.34 2.74	7.40 2.66
LANGUAGE AVERAGE	M 7.30 SD 2.45	7.27 2.44	7.14 2.46	7.48 2.44	7.85 2.23	7.19 2.43	7.33 2.32
TOTAL GRADE PLACEMENT	M 7.42 SD 2.28	7.35 2.24	7.25 2.23	7.25 2.27	7.89 2.27	7.42 2.26	7.39 2.23

21

GRADE COMPLETED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
1	N 3 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.2% 0.0%	1 0.3% 0.0%			
2	N 5 0.1% 40.0%	2 0.2% 50.0%	2 0.3% 50.0%				
3	N 21 0.5% 42.9%	9 0.7% 33.3%	2 0.3% 50.0%	3 0.9% 66.7%		2 2.0% 0.0%	
4	N 38 0.9% 57.9%	12 1.0% 58.3%	9 1.5% 44.4%	5 1.5% 40.0%		1 1.0% 0.0%	
5	N 94 2.3% 59.6%	21 1.7% 66.7%	32 5.3% 62.5%	6 1.8% 16.7%	1 0.8% 0.0%	3 3.0% 33.3%	1 3.7% 0.0%
6	N 323 7.9% 60.4%	91 7.4% 71.4%	72 11.9% 44.4%	26 8.0% 34.6%	6 10.5% 33.3%	9 9.0% 22.2%	5 18.5% 40.0%
7	N 605 16.8% 59.4%	212 17.3% 57.5%	120 19.8% 53.3%	57 17.4% 40.4%	9 15.8% 55.6%	21 21.0% 47.6%	4 14.8% 25.0%
8	N 1099 27.0% 60.1%	337 27.6% 61.1%	165 27.3% 63.0%	98 30.0% 59.2%	14 24.6% 64.3%	24 24.0% 50.0%	10 37.0% 40.0%
9	N 1051 25.8% 62.5%	347 28.4% 62.8%	118 19.5% 59.3%	79 24.2% 63.3%	18 31.6% 50.0%	24 24.0% 45.8%	4 14.8% 75.0%
10	N 706 17.3% 62.0%	185 15.1% 65.4%	81 13.4% 67.9%	49 15.0% 57.1%	9 15.8% 44.4%	15 15.0% 40.0%	3 11.1% 33.3%
11	N 40 1.0% 75.0%	6 0.5% 66.7%	3 0.5% 100.0%	3 0.9% 66.7%		1 1.0% 0.0%	

22

GRADE ACHIEVED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
1	N 12 0.3% 58.3%	6 0.5% 83.3%	1 0.2% 0.0%				
2	N 107 2.6% 58.9%	31 2.5% 67.7%	15 2.5% 40.0%	10 3.1% 40.0%	3 3.5% 100.0%	1 1.0% 33.3%	2 6.9% 100.0%
3	N 252 6.2% 63.5%	72 5.9% 62.5%	43 7.0% 65.1%	18 5.5% 38.9%	2 3.5% 50.0%	4 4.0% 75.0%	1 3.4% 0.0%
4	N 266 6.5% 62.0%	84 6.9% 64.3%	42 6.9% 59.5%	20 6.1% 40.0%	2 3.5% 100.0%	5 5.1% 20.0%	1 3.4% 100.0%
5	N 408 10.0% 60.3%	121 9.9% 57.0%	64 10.5% 54.7%	28 8.6% 50.0%	3 5.3% 33.3%	12 12.1% 25.0%	2 6.9% 0.0%
6	N 597 14.7% 58.6%	185 15.2% 56.8%	107 17.5% 64.5%	53 16.2% 50.9%	7 12.3% 57.1%	24 24.2% 41.7%	4 13.8% 50.0%
7	N 687 16.9% 61.1%	213 17.4% 60.6%	102 16.7% 56.9%	61 18.7% 47.5%	10 17.5% 30.0%	12 12.1% 50.0%	9 31.0% 11.1%
8	N 671 16.5% 60.2%	216 17.7% 65.3%	94 15.4% 56.4%	51 15.6% 74.5%	9 15.8% 44.4%	11 11.1% 63.6%	3 10.3% 66.7%
9	N 892 12.1% 59.1%	141 11.5% 61.0%	74 12.1% 63.5%	35 10.7% 48.6%	11 19.3% 72.7%	13 13.1% 46.2%	3 10.3% 66.7%
10	N 363 8.9% 62.0%	94 7.7% 60.6%	43 7.0% 51.2%	32 9.8% 50.0%	7 12.3% 28.6%	10 10.1% 20.0%	2 6.9% 50.0%
11	N 157 3.9% 67.5%	40 3.3% 80.0%	24 3.8% 54.2%	14 4.3% 85.7%	3 5.3% 33.3%	3 3.0% 66.7%	2 6.9% 100.0%
12	N 54 1.3% 72.2%	18 1.5% 66.7%	3 0.5% 66.7%	4 1.2% 75.0%		2 2.0% 50.0%	
13+	N 1 0.0% 100.0%			1 0.3% 100.0%			

23

AGE LEFT SCHOOL

AGE	GRADE	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
12	6	N 13 0.3% 46.2%	2 0.2% 0.0%	2 0.3% 50.0%	1 0.3% 0.0%		1 1.0% 0.0%	
13	7	N 20 0.5% 45.0%	7 0.6% 14.3%	5 0.9% 40.0%	5 1.6% 20.0%			1 3.4% 0.0%
14	8	N 95 2.4% 56.8%	21 1.6% 81.0%	28 4.8% 60.7%	5 1.6% 0.0%	3 5.6% 33.3%	7 7.1% 0.0%	2 6.9% 0.0%
15	9	N 314 8.0% 59.9%	108 9.0% 63.0%	56 9.2% 51.8%	29 9.2% 65.5%	8 14.8% 50.0%	11 11.2% 27.3%	3 10.3% 66.7%
16	10	N 1126 28.6% 60.1%	362 30.3% 60.8%	186 32.0% 59.7%	98 31.2% 56.1%	16 29.6% 50.0%	32 32.7% 50.0%	11 37.9% 54.5%
17	11	N 1396 35.5% 60.2%	435 36.4% 59.8%	198 34.1% 58.1%	111 35.4% 53.2%	12 22.2% 66.7%	24 24.5% 45.8%	3 10.3% 33.3%
18	12	N 971 24.7% 65.6%	261 21.8% 69.3%	106 18.2% 63.2%	65 20.7% 58.5%	15 27.8% 40.0%	23 23.5% 47.8%	9 31.0% 44.4%

24

ACADEMIC DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
ACADEMIC DISABILITY	N 4039 M -2.74 SD 2.19	1216 -2.78 2.13	601 -2.52 2.11	324 -2.58 2.02	57 -2.32 2.00	99 -2.53 1.92	27 -2.37 2.39
ESTIMATED ACADEMIC RETARDATION	N 4053 M -3.37 SD 1.95	1217 -3.43 1.99	608 -3.41 1.89	326 -3.40 1.91	57 -3.19 2.08	99 -3.37 1.97	29 -3.51 2.54

25

RATING ON MOTIVATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL MOTIVATED	N 2589 66.5% 62.4%	813 67.5% 63.3%	373 61.9% 59.0%	225 70.3% 55.1%	40 69.0% 55.0%	64 69.4% 40.6%	25 86.2% 44.0%
NOT MOTIVATED	N 1303 33.5% 57.6%	391 32.5% 60.1%	230 38.1% 56.5%	95 29.7% 48.4%	18 31.0% 38.9%	31 32.6% 41.9%	4 13.8% 25.0%
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	N 424 10.6% 66.0%	114 9.4% 67.5%	53 8.8% 66.0%	32 9.9% 56.3%	5 8.9% 40.0%	12 12.0% 41.7%	2 6.7% 0.0%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 2886 72.2% 61.8%	898 74.4% 62.8%	421 70.1% 58.9%	246 76.4% 55.7%	42 75.0% 52.4%	72 72.0% 41.7%	26 86.7% 46.2%
NO STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 1112 27.8% 59.5%	309 25.6% 61.5%	180 30.0% 58.3%	76 23.6% 48.7%	14 28.0% 35.7%	28 28.0% 42.9%	4 13.3% 25.0%

26

RESU

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	N = 3888 M 90.30 SD 14.24	N = 1170 M 89.29 SD 17.42	N = 588 M 88.60 SD 17.89	N = 314 M 90.11 SD 17.77	N = 54 M 96.44 SD 17.84	N = 59 M 91.91 SD 17.84	N = 29 M 90.21 SD 17.77

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3888	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 1170	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 588	MODERATE DRUG USE N = 314	SEVERE DRUG USE N = 54	MODERATE OPIATE USE N = 99	SEVERE OPIATE USE N = 29
STAFF RECOMMEN- DATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 2885 SD 72.24 62.82S	74.42 58.95S	70.12 55.72S	75.02 52.42S	72.02 41.72S	76.72 46.22S	72.02 41.72S	76.72 46.22S
NO STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 1112 SD 27.85 59.52S	309 25.02 61.52S	180 30.02 58.32S	76 23.62 48.72S	28 28.02 42.92S	4 13.32 25.02S		
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	M 90.30 SD 18.24	89.29 17.42	88.60 17.90	90.11 17.72	95.44 17.38	91.91 17.95	90.21 14.53	
VERBAL APTITUDE	M 86.06 SD 15.20	85.46 14.76	84.75 14.41	85.62 14.37	90.93 16.33	87.44 16.62	86.24 14.23	
NUMERICAL APTITUDE	M 87.50 SD 19.93	86.53 19.24	86.46 19.81	86.71 19.98	91.98 17.85	89.22 19.26	85.45 19.60	
SPATIAL APTITUDE	M 102.63 SD 20.43	101.56 19.92	100.38 20.70	102.42 20.31	106.33 23.68	101.88 18.51	105.48 16.38	
PERCEPTIONAL APTITUDE	M 99.32 SD 19.51	98.17 18.63	97.68 19.62	98.30 19.49	99.72 16.37	101.41 17.02	101.90 12.21	
CLERICAL APTITUDE	M 93.74 SD 15.06	92.91 14.55	92.96 15.05	93.97 14.47	97.07 13.33	94.83 14.58	93.45 12.77	
MOTOR COORDINATION	M 96.34 SD 18.54	95.31 18.21	96.64 18.21	97.04 17.55	96.81 17.33	100.47 17.76	97.52 16.40	
FINGER DEXTERITY	M 91.02 SD 19.42	91.32 18.99	90.10 18.18	91.66 19.26	96.81 18.09	96.16 22.62	96.14 18.46	
MANUAL DEXTERITY	M 111.70 SD 21.61	111.92 20.58	112.62 20.69	113.01 21.26	114.13 21.03	117.14 24.54	113.21 16.62	

27

RATINGS OF MOTIVATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
WOODSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 773 SD 74.62 60.82S	223 73.62 64.12S	124 75.72 55.62S	56 75.72 58.92S	8 80.02 50.02S	15 75.02 46.72S	8 88.92 37.52S	
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 263 SD 26.42 60.12S	80 26.42 62.52S	50 28.72 68.02S	18 24.32 44.42S	2 20.02 100.02S	5 28.02 80.02S	1 11.12 0.02S	
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 396 SD 69.92 62.52S	211 69.22 64.52S	114 67.92 67.02S	52 65.32 55.82S	7 58.32 40.02S	15 68.22 40.02S	7 77.82 85.72S	
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 300 SD 30.12 58.32S	94 30.82 57.42S	54 32.12 63.02S	23 30.72 47.82S	5 41.72 40.02S	7 31.82 42.92S	2 22.22 0.02S	
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 2379 SD 71.42 62.42S	859 71.32 61.92S	416 68.82 60.32S	231 72.22 55.02S	42 72.42 47.62S	70 73.72 42.92S	23 79.32 43.52S	
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 1113 SD 28.62 57.12S	346 28.72 63.62S	189 31.22 53.42S	89 27.82 48.32S	16 27.62 56.32S	25 26.32 36.02S	6 20.72 33.32S	
COUNSELOR'S RATING II - MOTIVATED	N 2872 SD 71.82 62.22S	886 73.42 62.22S	426 70.92 59.92S	246 76.22 57.72S	47 83.92 42.62S	81 81.02 43.22S	26 86.72 46.22S	
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 691 SD 17.32 57.72S	201 16.72 57.72S	114 19.02 59.92S	50 15.52 46.02S	6 10.72 66.72S	14 14.02 35.72S	3 10.02 0.02S	
STAFF RECOMMEN- DATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING - YES	N 1065 SD 26.62 59.72S	898 74.42 62.12S	436 72.52 59.42S	252 78.02 57.52S	44 78.62 40.92S	81 81.02 43.22S	24 80.02 45.82S	
- NONE	N 2934 SD 73.42 61.82S	309 25.62 63.82S	165 27.52 57.02S	71 22.02 42.32S	12 21.42 75.02S	19 19.02 36.82S	6 20.02 33.32S	

28

WORK EXPERIENCE, UNION MEMBERSHIP, AND VOCATIONAL DISABILITY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 459 SD 11.52 58.82S	140 9.22 47.32S	55 12.32 47.32S	44 13.62 40.92S	3 5.42 66.72S	13 13.02 46.22S	3 10.02 66.72S	
0 - 6 MONTHS	N 1466 SD 36.72 59.32S	432 35.82 61.62S	237 39.52 57.42S	113 35.02 55.82S	23 41.12 36.82S	38 38.02 36.82S	10 33.32S 40.02S	
6 - 12 MONTHS	N 725 SD 18.12 65.22S	212 17.52 69.82S	107 17.82 63.62S	61 18.92 49.22S	13 23.22 69.22S	13 13.02 53.82S	8 26.72 50.02S	
12 - 18 MONTHS	N 314 SD 7.92 59.92S	108 8.92 57.42S	42 7.02 61.92S	29 9.02 62.12S	4 7.12 25.02S	13 13.02 30.82S	3 10.02 66.72S	
18 - 24 MONTHS	N 130 SD 3.52 63.82S	45 3.72 62.22S	19 3.22 57.92S	13 4.02 76.92S	2 3.62 50.02S	4 4.02 75.02S		
24 MONTHS AND OVER	N 433 SD 10.82 66.32S	137 11.32 64.22S	68 11.32 66.22S	31 9.62 54.82S	4 7.12 50.02S	8 8.02 37.52S	3 10.02 0.02S	
SPORADIC	N 407 SD 10.22 58.72S	108 8.92 57.42S	62 10.32 54.82S	30 9.32 56.72S	6 10.72 50.02S	10 10.02 40.02S	2 6.72 0.02S	
UNION MEMBER	N 309 SD 7.72 65.72S	101 8.42 63.42S	51 8.52 68.62S	32 9.92 65.62S	8 14.32 50.02S	18 18.02 38.92S	3 10.02 33.32S	
NOT A UNION MEMBER	N 3661 SD 91.52 60.82S	1097 90.92 57.42S	548 91.22 57.82S	287 88.92 53.02S	48 85.72 47.92S	82 82.02 42.72S	27 90.02 44.42S	
VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 239 SD 6.02 62.82S	62 5.12 61.32S	36 6.02 69.42S	16 5.02 75.02S	4 7.12 25.02S	4 4.02 50.02S	4 13.32 25.02S	
NO VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 3722 SD 93.12 61.12S	1134 94.02 62.42S	561 95.32 53.32S	304 94.12 53.02S	52 92.82 50.02S	96 96.02 41.72S	25 83.32 48.02S	

29

RESULTS ON THE CALIFORNIA PSYCHOLOGICAL INVENTORY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 935	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 478	MODERATE DRUG USE N = 251	SEVERE DRUG USE N = 47	MODERATE OPIATE USE N = 68	SEVERE OPIATE USE N = 23
DOMINANCE	M	38.37	38.17	36.33	36.61	36.55	36.87	35.57
	SD	12.10	11.70	11.45	10.56	11.50	11.50	9.82
CAPACITY FOR STATUS	M	39.36	39.50	37.50	38.98	38.83	39.85	39.74
	SD	11.64	11.79	11.05	10.64	12.85	10.72	10.65
SOCIABILITY	M	44.10	44.09	42.37	43.89	42.80	43.44	41.70
	SD	11.48	11.30	11.11	10.25	11.50	10.46	9.14
SOCIAL PRESENCE	M	47.24	47.61	47.34	47.84	47.43	49.12	46.57
	SD	11.10	11.08	10.44	9.57	11.05	10.98	11.45
SELF ACCEPTANCE	M	50.89	51.44	49.42	51.59	51.25	50.57	53.39
	SD	11.42	10.98	11.38	10.76	10.93	9.57	9.68
SENSE OF WELL-BEING	M	37.58	38.03	37.99	38.12	38.95	42.32	37.74
	SD	13.53	13.70	13.33	13.21	12.21	13.57	10.93
RESPONSIBILITY	M	30.97	30.41	29.51	29.32	31.78	29.94	27.91
	SD	32.68	11.18	10.62	11.17	10.07	10.67	10.22
SOCIALIZATION	M	32.68	32.46	30.78	30.36	31.10	32.59	27.83
	SD	9.73	9.55	9.24	8.82	9.25	8.58	7.01
SELF CONTROL	M	41.77	41.26	42.15	40.27	40.75	44.04	41.00
	SD	11.14	11.06	10.94	11.13	10.56	10.29	10.18
TOLERANCE	M	34.83	34.41	34.84	34.14	36.58	37.25	33.61
	SD	11.77	11.59	11.85	11.49	10.71	11.69	9.79
GOOD IMPRESSION	M	43.39	43.28	43.37	42.34	42.90	46.29	41.43
	SD	10.82	10.74	10.39	10.34	11.87	10.82	9.17
COMMUNALITY	M	48.64	48.88	48.00	46.61	47.58	47.37	47.65
	SD	11.84	11.72	12.72	11.91	12.16	11.60	9.27
ACHIEVEMENT VIA CONFORMANCE	M	37.09	36.88	35.78	36.50	37.50	33.79	34.30
	SD	12.32	12.25	11.52	12.20	12.04	10.85	11.37
ACHIEVEMENT VIA INDEPENDENCE	M	39.47	39.01	39.50	40.41	44.68	43.04	43.96
	SD	10.20	9.91	10.65	11.66	10.46	11.39	12.09
INTELLECTUAL EFFICIENCY	M	34.57	34.23	34.16	34.39	35.95	36.00	36.35
	SD	12.86	12.76	12.83	13.35	13.02	13.14	14.65
PSYCHOLOGICAL MINDEDNESS	M	43.53	43.17	43.44	43.08	42.58	46.72	39.70
	SD	12.20	12.23	12.11	13.01	11.30	13.61	9.78
FLEXIBILITY	M	49.61	49.10	50.04	50.06	52.63	52.59	52.91
	SD	9.54	8.91	9.99	9.99	10.48	10.93	10.93
FEMININITY	M	49.08	48.23	48.82	49.12	50.03	47.49	48.09
	SD	9.93	9.73	9.33	9.95	7.44	9.94	5.97
CPI EQUATION	M	49.82	49.56	49.42	48.72	49.32	49.99	48.50
	SD	4.98	5.06	4.64	4.82	4.53	4.65	4.65

30

RESULTS ON THE MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 937	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 481	MODERATE DRUG USE N = 256	SEVERE DRUG USE N = 41	MODERATE OPIATE USE N = 70	SEVERE OPIATE USE N = 23
LIE	M	54.42	54.50	54.02	54.14	54.54	55.24	52.26
	SD	6.68	6.86	6.10	6.59	6.36	7.07	2.85
VALIDITY	M	61.60	61.74	62.23	63.06	61.98	60.47	61.74
	SD	9.70	9.83	9.59	10.00	9.16	9.58	7.75
CORRECTION FACTOR	M	51.63	51.44	51.65	51.22	53.00	52.49	52.35
	SD	9.25	8.90	9.21	9.11	10.74	9.48	8.61
HYPOCHONDRIASIS	M	53.52	53.42	54.17	53.83	55.20	54.60	55.22
	SD	10.97	10.47	11.69	10.65	10.97	10.28	10.54
DEPRESSION	M	60.98	60.97	62.16	60.70	63.12	61.20	66.03
	SD	12.04	11.95	12.19	10.92	11.47	11.02	12.15
HYSTERIA	M	55.97	55.84	56.53	55.46	56.54	56.91	57.91
	SD	9.05	8.87	9.17	8.60	9.59	8.66	8.39
PSYCHOPATHIC DEViate	M	74.31	74.18	75.09	74.44	75.63	71.69	76.96
	SD	11.21	10.91	11.02	11.18	12.39	10.34	11.63
MASCULINITY- FEMININITY	M	54.81	54.31	54.62	54.67	56.15	53.59	56.74
	SD	9.79	9.65	9.19	9.30	9.14	10.20	11.05
PARANOIA	M	59.87	59.47	60.46	58.60	61.29	55.74	59.26
	SD	12.35	12.43	12.32	11.51	10.87	11.67	8.71
PSYCHASTHENIA	M	61.81	61.50	62.51	60.74	64.22	59.69	63.43
	SD	13.32	13.26	13.60	12.94	13.52	14.76	9.12
SCHIZOPHRENIA	M	66.72	66.39	67.20	67.16	68.02	64.49	69.91
	SD	14.67	14.74	14.60	14.12	14.12	14.01	9.12
HYPOMANIA	M	64.45	64.39	63.92	65.64	65.12	62.33	63.34
	SD	11.91	11.96	12.03	12.65	9.78	11.75	9.09
SOCIAL INTROVERSION	M	53.39	52.88	53.90	53.47	55.10	53.09	56.35
	SD	10.06	10.03	9.67	9.08	8.89	10.28	7.55
MPI EQUATION	M	50.91	50.85	50.95	50.77	50.56	51.60	51.03
	SD	3.03	3.14	3.12	3.11	2.70	2.73	2.37

CONTINUED

1 OF 4

	H	SD	H	SD	H	SD	H	SD	H	SD	H	SD
HYPLUMINIA	64.45	11.91	64.59	11.96	65.52	12.03	65.64	12.65	65.12	9.78	62.33	11.75
SOCIAL INTROVERSION	53.39	10.06	52.88	10.03	53.90	9.67	53.47	9.08	55.10	8.89	55.09	10.28
MMPI EQUATION	50.91	3.03	50.85	3.14	50.95	3.12	50.77	3.11	50.56	2.70	51.60	2.71

31 RESULTS ON THE INTERPERSONAL PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3181	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 654	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE N = 479	MODERATE DRUG USE N = 256	SEVERE DRUG USE N = 144	MODERATE OPIATE USE N = 79	SEVERE OPIATE USE N = 23
IPI	H 44.88 SD 8.98	44.55 8.87	43.44 8.93	44.91 9.65	46.00 9.64	46.01 8.77	45.59 10.44

32 RESULTS OF CPI AND MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
CPI PREDICTION - HITS	H 1766 56.9%	531 56.8%	258 54.0%	140 55.8%	23 57.5%	37 54.4%	14 60.9%
CPI PREDICTION - MISSES	H 1336 43.1%	404 43.2%	220 46.0%	111 44.2%	17 42.5%	31 45.6%	9 33.1%
MMPI PREDICTION - HITS	H 1797 57.5%	543 57.3%	255 53.0%	143 55.9%	19 46.3%	32 45.7%	12 52.2%
MMPI PREDICTION - MISSES	H 1329 42.5%	404 42.7%	226 47.0%	113 44.1%	22 53.7%	30 54.3%	11 47.0%

33 RESULTS OF THE CPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
HITS TRUE POSITIVES	H 1278 41.2%	373 39.9%	180 37.7%	86 34.3%	15 37.5%	22 32.4%	6 26.1%
HITS TRUE NEGATIVES	H 488 15.7%	158 16.9%	78 16.3%	54 21.5%	8 20.0%	15 22.1%	8 34.8%
MISSES FALSE POSITIVES	H 704 22.7%	204 21.8%	118 24.7%	53 21.1%	13 32.5%	21 30.9%	4 17.4%
MISSES FALSE NEGATIVES	H 632 20.4%	200 21.4%	102 21.3%	58 23.1%	4 10.0%	10 14.7%	5 21.7%

34 RESULTS OF THE MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	H 1463 46.8%	437 46.1%	208 43.2%	109 42.6%	13 31.7%	25 35.7%	9 39.1%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	H 334 10.7%	106 11.2%	47 9.8%	34 13.3%	6 14.6%	7 10.0%	3 13.0%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	H 872 27.9%	262 27.7%	150 31.2%	78 30.5%	15 36.6%	31 44.3%	9 39.1%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	H 457 14.6%	142 15.0%	76 15.8%	35 13.7%	7 17.1%	7 10.0%	2 8.7%

35 REASONS FOR REFERRAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
MENTAL ILLNESS	H 60 11.7% 56.7%	21 1.7% 71.4%	9 1.4% 44.4%	4 1.2% 25.0%	3 5.2% 0.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
SEXUAL PROBLEMS	H 115 22.5% 62.6%	31 2.5% 61.3%	19 3.0% 73.7%	9 2.7% 33.3%	2 3.4% 50.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	
VIOLENCE POTENTIAL	H 242 47.4% 62.8%	70 5.6% 62.9%	62 9.9% 61.3%	21 6.2% 38.1%	3 5.2% 66.7%	3 2.9% 33.3%	

36

SYMPTOMS FOUND DURING PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
DEPRESSION	N 115 2.8% 60.0%	28 2.3% 57.1%	32 5.1% 62.5%	9 2.7% 22.2%	4 6.9% 25.0%	2 2.0% 0.0%	2 6.7% 50.0%
ANXIETY	N 117 2.8% 56.4%	34 2.7% 61.8%	27 4.3% 59.6%	10 3.0% 20.0%	4 6.8% 50.0%	2 2.0% 0.0%	2 6.7% 50.0%
DEPENDENCY	N 131 3.2% 54.2%	36 2.9% 44.4%	29 4.6% 51.7%	14 4.2% 28.6%	4 6.9% 50.0%	2 2.0% 0.0%	1 3.3% 100.0%

37

PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
BRAIN DISORDERS	N 4 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	3 0.5% 100.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%			
PSYCHOTIC	N 29 0.7% 62.1%	6 0.5% 83.3%	7 1.1% 85.7%	2 0.6% 50.0%	2 3.4% 50.0%		1 3.3% 0.0%
NEUROTIC	N 40 1.0% 60.0%	15 1.2% 60.0%	5 0.8% 20.0%	5 1.5% 20.0%	3 5.2% 66.7%		
PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 109 2.6% 67.0%	26 2.1% 61.5%	19 3.0% 78.9%	8 2.4% 37.5%		3 3.9% 0.0%	1 3.3% 100.0%
PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 205 4.9% 56.6%	67 4.9% 50.2%	46 7.4% 58.7%	14 4.2% 35.7%	6 10.3% 66.7%	3 2.9% 66.7%	
SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	12 1.0% 58.3%	9 1.4% 66.7%	2 0.6% 0.0%			
TRANSITIONAL SITUATIONAL PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 49 1.2% 57.1%	13 1.0% 76.9%	12 1.9% 50.0%	2 0.6% 50.0%			

38

ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
HOMICIDE	N 19 0.5% 52.6%	4 0.3% 25.0%	1 0.2% 0.0%				
NEGLIGENT MAN-SLAUGHTER	N 13 0.3% 100.0%	5 0.4% 100.0%	3 0.5% 100.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%			
ROBBERY	N 438 10.6% 70.3%	151 12.2% 69.5%	44 7.1% 52.3%	32 9.5% 62.5%	8 13.8% 50.0%	11 10.8% 81.8%	7 23.3% 42.9%
ASSAULT	N 233 5.6% 71.7%	76 5.6% 75.0%	73 11.7% 68.5%	18 5.4% 33.3%	4 6.9% 75.0%	4 3.9% 25.0%	
BURGLARY	N 1080 26.1% 60.0%	338 26.1% 62.1%	128 20.5% 64.8%	64 19.0% 48.4%	10 17.2% 60.0%	14 13.7% 28.6%	5 16.7% 20.0%
THEFT	N 421 10.2% 61.0%	113 9.1% 57.5%	50 8.0% 50.0%	20 6.0% 55.0%	1 1.7% 100.0%	3 2.9% 66.7%	1 3.3% 0.0%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 719 17.4% 53.4%	187 15.1% 57.2%	96 15.4% 46.9%	31 9.2% 54.8%	1 1.7% 100.0%	3 2.9% 33.3%	1 3.3% 100.0%
FORGERY	N 207 5.0% 52.7%	62 5.0% 53.2%	19 3.0% 42.1%	12 3.6% 41.7%		6 5.9% 16.7%	1 3.3% 0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 28 0.7% 71.4%	7 0.6% 71.4%	7 1.1% 85.7%	2 0.6% 50.0%	1 1.7% 0.0%		
STATUTORY RAPE	N 82 2.0% 56.1%	24 1.9% 54.2%	13 2.1% 55.8%	4 1.2% 75.0%	1 1.7% 100.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	12 1.0% 83.3%	3 0.5% 100.0%	3 0.9% 100.0%		1 1.0% 0.0%	
NARCOTICS OFFENSES	N 370 8.9% 65.8%	128 10.3% 64.1%	62 9.9% 56.5%	103 30.7% 54.4%	20 34.5% 45.0%	39 38.2% 43.6%	9 30.0% 55.6%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 37 0.9% 67.6%	11 0.9% 54.5%	24 3.8% 70.8%	7 2.1% 57.1%	1 1.7% 100.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	1 3.3% 100.0%
OTHER	N 304 7.3% 60.2%	89 7.2% 58.4%	69 11.1% 59.4%	24 7.1% 50.0%	2 3.4% 0.0%	10 9.8% 40.0%	1 3.3% 100.0%
PAROLE VIOLATION	N 148 3.6% 53.4%	34 2.7% 55.9%	32 5.1% 59.4%	15 4.5% 60.0%	9 15.5% 33.3%	9 8.8% 44.4%	1 3.3% 25.0%

ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 37 0.9% 67.6%	11 0.9% 54.5%	24 3.8% 70.8%	7 2.1% 57.1%	1 1.7% 100.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 3.3% 100.0%
OTHER	N 304 7.3% 60.2%	89 7.2% 58.4%	69 11.1% 59.4%	24 7.1% 50.0%	2 3.4% 0.0%	10 8.8% 40.0%	1 3.3% 100.0%
PAROLE VIOLATION	N 108 3.6% 53.4%	34 2.7% 55.9%	32 5.1% 59.4%	14 4.5% 60.0%	9 15.5% 33.3%	9 8.8% 44.4%	4 13.3% 25.0%

39

VIOLATION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
HOMICIDE	N 17 1.2%	2 0.5%	3 1.3%	2 1.4%	1 3.7%		1 6.7%
NEGLECTED MANSLAUGHTER	N 1 0.1%						
ROBBERY	N 168 12.0%	46 10.9%	21 9.4%	21 14.5%		4 7.3%	
ASSAULT	N 89 6.3%		22 9.8%	8 5.5%	2 7.4%	2 3.6%	
BURGLARY	N 265 18.9%	71 16.9%	37 16.5%	15 10.3%	5 18.5%	4 7.3%	2 13.3%
THEFT	N 123 8.8%	10 7.6%	10 4.5%	11 7.6%	1 3.7%	3 5.5%	
VEHICLE THEFT	N 168 12.0%	50 11.9%	13 5.8%	5 3.4%	2 7.4%	2 3.6%	1 6.7%
FORGERY	N 58 4.1%	13 3.1%	6 2.7%		1 3.7%	2 3.6%	
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 10 0.7%	4 1.0%	2 0.9%	2 1.4%			
STATUTORY RAPE	N 9 0.6%	2 0.5%	2 0.9%				
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 17 1.2%	5 1.2%	2 0.9%	1 0.7%			
NARCOTIC OFFENSES	N 222 15.8%	83 19.7%	45 20.1%	51 35.2%	10 37.0%	31 56.4%	9 60.0%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 11 0.8%	2 0.5%	4 1.8%	1 0.7%		1 1.8%	
OTHER	N 247 17.6%	77 18.3%	57 25.4%	28 19.3%	5 18.5%	6 10.9%	2 13.3%

40

ADMISSION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
PERSON OFFENSES	N 857 20.7% 69.1%	279 22.5% 70.3%	144 23.4% 63.9%	60 17.9% 56.7%	14 24.1% 57.1%	17 16.7% 58.8%	7 23.3% 42.9%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 2427 58.6% 57.6%	700 56.4% 59.3%	293 47.0% 54.4%	127 37.8% 50.4%	12 20.7% 66.7%	26 25.5% 30.8%	8 26.7% 25.0%
OTHERS	N 859 20.7% 61.8%	262 21.1% 60.7%	187 30.0% 59.9%	149 44.3% 54.4%	32 55.2% 40.6%	59 57.8% 42.4%	15 50.0% 33.3%

41

VIOLATION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
PERSON OFFENSES	N 311 22.1%	93 22.1%	52 23.2%	39 23.4%	3 11.1%	6 10.9%	1 6.7%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 614 43.7%	166 39.4%	66 29.5%	31 21.4%	9 33.3%	11 20.0%	3 20.0%
OTHERS	N 480 34.2%	162 38.5%	106 47.3%	80 55.2%	15 55.6%	38 69.1%	11 73.3%

42

CYA HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 2457 61.4% 59.6%	700 57.9% 61.1%	307 51.1% 57.7%	184 57.0% 56.0%	29 51.8% 41.4%	60 60.0% 36.7%	17 56.7% 41.2%
MODERATE	N 1000 25.0% 62.7%	338 28.0% 64.2%	167 27.8% 51.5%	90 27.9% 53.3%	20 35.4% 45.0%	26 26.0% 65.4%	9 30.0% 33.3%
SERIOUS	N 543 13.6% 65.7%	170 14.1% 64.7%	127 21.1% 70.9%	49 15.2% 49.0%	7 12.5% 85.7%	14 14.0% 21.4%	4 13.3% 75.0%

43

CASEWORKER ESTIMATION OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
LEAST	N 662 21.4% 63.9%	173 18.5% 65.3%	56 12.4% 67.9%	42 17.2% 64.3%	1 2.5% 0.0%	12 15.8% 41.7%	3 12.5% 66.7%
MILD	N 820 26.5% 61.8%	164 28.2% 60.6%	95 20.6% 58.1%	53 21.7% 45.3%	12 30.0% 25.0%	10 13.2% 50.0%	4 16.7% 25.0%
MODERATE	N 1273 41.2% 60.6%	402 43.0% 63.4%	212 47.0% 56.6%	111 45.5% 55.9%	22 55.0% 54.5%	46 60.5% 45.7%	14 58.3% 28.6%
SERIOUS	N 311 10.1% 61.1%	92 18.8% 64.1%	84 18.6% 61.9%	35 14.3% 42.3%	5 12.5% 80.0%	8 10.5% 25.0%	2 8.3% 100.0%
GREATEST	N 24 0.8% 70.8%	4 0.4% 75.0%	6 1.3% 83.3%	3 1.2% 100.0%			1 4.2% 100.0%

44

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 2385 57.5% 59.6%	655 23.7% 60.9%	281 45.0% 60.5%	167 49.6% 58.1%	31 53.4% 41.9%	55 53.9% 41.8%	19 63.3% 36.8%
AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO VIOLENCE	N 754 18.2% 65.1%	252 20.3% 64.7%	112 17.9% 55.4%	69 20.5% 52.2%	16 27.6% 62.5%	22 21.6% 68.2%	5 16.7% 60.0%
VIOLENCE	N 1006 24.3% 60.6%	335 27.0% 62.4%	231 37.0% 57.6%	101 30.0% 46.5%	11 19.0% 54.5%	11 24.5% 20.0%	6 20.0% 50.0%

45

HISTORY OF CARRYING WEAPONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 2944 71.0% 61.0%	852 68.6% 61.4%	401 64.3% 59.4%	216 64.1% 56.0%	37 65.8% 43.2%	65 65.7% 43.5%	19 63.3% 47.4%
YES	N 1202 29.0% 60.5%	390 31.4% 63.6%	223 35.7% 57.0%	121 35.9% 48.8%	21 36.2% 61.9%	37 36.3% 48.6%	11 35.7% 36.4%

46

PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 1794 44.9% 56.0%	523 43.3% 59.8%	304 50.6% 55.3%	156 48.3% 50.0%	40 71.4% 42.5%	46 46.0% 37.0%	19 46.3% 47.4%
ONE	N 1090 27.3% 64.1%	332 27.5% 63.6%	141 23.5% 61.7%	70 21.7% 62.9%	7 12.5% 57.1%	27 27.0% 44.4%	6 20.0% 16.7%
TWO	N 599 15.0% 67.9%	195 16.2% 62.6%	72 12.0% 69.4%	51 15.8% 60.8%	5 8.9% 80.0%	13 13.0% 61.5%	2 6.7% 50.0%
THREE OR MORE	N 513 12.8% 65.1%	157 13.0% 68.8%	84 14.0% 57.1%	46 14.2% 47.8%	4 7.1% 50.0%	14 14.0% 35.7%	3 10.0% 66.7%

47

CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 3350 83.9% 60.3%	985 81.7% 62.1%	504 85.9% 58.3%	273 84.5% 57.5%	49 87.5% 46.9%	86 86.0% 43.0%	28 93.3% 39.3%
ONE	N 375 9.4% 65.6%	123 10.2% 65.0%	54 9.0% 59.3%	30 9.3% 43.3%	3 5.4% 33.3%	1 11.0% 45.5%	1 3.3% 100.0%
TWO	N 72 1.8% 63.9%	30 2.5% 60.0%	12 2.0% 50.0%	3 0.9% 0.0%	1 1.8% 100.0%		
THREE OR MORE	N 197 4.9% 66.5%	68 5.6% 63.2%	31 5.2% 67.7%	17 5.3% 29.4%	3 5.4% 66.7%	3 3.0% 0.0%	1 3.3% 100.0%

IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

ONE	TWO	THREE OR MORE	123	54	30	11	1
N 375	N 72	N 197	9.4% 10.2% 65.6%	5.0% 9.3% 53.3%	3.0% 5.4% 33.3%	1.0% 5.4% 45.5%	3.3% 3.3% 100.0%
65.6%	63.9%	66.5%	65.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	

48

INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 2900	855 29.5%	377 12.9%	235 8.1%	43 1.5%	77 2.6%	24 0.8%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 122	43 35.2%	17 13.9%	9 7.4%	2 1.6%	1 0.8%	
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 304	105 34.5%	35 11.5%	25 8.2%	4 1.3%	7 2.3%	2 0.6%
MINOR INJURIES	N 393	124 31.6%	96 24.4%	29 7.4%	4 1.0%	6 1.5%	2 0.5%
MAJOR INJURIES	N 107	38 35.5%	33 30.8%	9 8.4%	2 1.9%	5 4.7%	
DEATH	N 36	13 36.1%	4 11.1%	3 8.3%			

49

GROUP VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 3289	973 29.6%	481 14.6%	271 8.2%	51 1.6%	89 2.7%	25 0.8%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 70	27 38.6%	15 21.4%	5 7.1%		1 1.4%	
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 240	76 31.7%	19 7.9%	18 7.5%	4 1.7%	3 1.3%	4 1.7%
MINOR INJURIES	N 240	83 34.6%	42 17.5%	12 5.0%		2 0.8%	
MAJOR INJURIES	N 71	26 36.6%	21 29.7%	7 9.9%	1 1.4%	2 2.8%	
DEATH	N 18	3 16.7%	3 16.7%	1 5.6%			

50

WEAPON USED BY INDIVIDUAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 3285	976 29.7%	474 14.4%	267 8.1%	48 1.5%	80 2.4%	25 0.8%
TOY GUN	N 40	11 27.5%	5 12.5%	1 2.5%			
UNLOADED GUN	N 13	5 38.5%	3 23.1%	2 15.4%			
LOADED GUN	N 125	47 37.6%	19 15.2%	5 4.0%	3 2.4%	1 0.8%	2 1.6%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 149	49 32.9%	18 12.1%	16 10.7%	3 2.0%	8 5.4%	3 2.0%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 135	40 29.6%	32 23.7%	13 9.6%		6 4.4%	
OTHER	N 116	39 33.6%	25 21.5%	9 7.7%		2 1.7%	

51

WEAPONS USED BY GROUP

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 3556 89.0% 59.8%	1074 88.9% 61.3%	539 88.9% 58.3%	230 89.8% 55.2%	49 87.5% 49.0%	91 91.0% 41.8%	25 83.3% 44.0%
TOY GUN	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	12 1.0% 66.7%	1 .2% 0.0%	2 0.6% 100.0%			
UNLOADED GUN	N 3 0.1% 66.7%						
LOADED GUN	N 74 1.9% 67.6%	12 1.0% 75.0%	12 2.0% 50.0%	4 1.2% 0.0%	2 3.6% 50.0%	2 2.0% 50.0%	
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 143 3.6% 73.4%	43 3.6% 74.4%	13 2.2% 76.9%	8 2.5% 50.0%	4 7.1% 50.0%		5 16.7% 40.0%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 68 1.7% 66.2%	20 1.7% 60.0%	15 2.5% 53.3%	7 2.2% 28.6%	1 1.6% 0.0%	3 3.0% 66.7%	
OTHER	N 65 1.6% 75.4%	27 2.2% 77.8%	9 1.5% 77.8%	9 2.8% 55.6%		2 2.0% 0.0%	

52

ECONOMIC LOSS BY VICTIM

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
NONE	N 1110 27.8% 62.3%	366 28.7% 65.6%	238 39.6% 58.4%	164 59.8% 51.8%	38 67.9% 42.1%	84 64.0% 39.1%	18 60.0% 55.6%
LESS THAN \$1	N 13 0.3% 63.2%	2 0.2% 100.0%	4 0.7% 50.0%	3 0.9% 33.3%			
\$1 - 45	N 41 1.0% 68.3%	18 1.5% 72.2%	8 1.3% 37.5%	1 0.3% 100.0%			
\$5 - 420	N 120 3.0% 63.3%	41 3.4% 68.3%	18 3.0% 50.0%	7 2.2% 57.1%		1 1.0% 9.0%	
\$20 - \$100	N 399 10.0% 65.4%	125 10.4% 66.4%	53 8.8% 66.0%	25 7.7% 68.0%	3 5.4% 33.3%	9 9.0% 88.9%	
\$100 - \$500	N 503 12.6% 63.2%	166 13.8% 57.2%	48 8.0% 62.5%	27 8.4% 55.6%	1 0.6% 0.0%	7 7.0% 14.3%	5 16.7% 60.0%
\$500 - \$1000	N 143 3.6% 57.3%	40 3.3% 50.0%	20 3.3% 55.6%	6 1.9% 66.7%	2 3.6% 100.0%	2 2.0% 50.0%	2 6.7% 100.0%
\$1000 - \$5000	N 821 20.6% 55.8%	225 18.7% 61.3%	103 17.1% 53.4%	41 12.7% 61.0%	5 8.9% 60.0%	7 7.0% 14.3%	2 6.7% 50.0%
MORE THAN \$5000	N 207 5.2% 58.0%	46 3.8% 56.5%	13 2.2% 61.5%	4 1.2% 0.0%	1 1.7% 100.0%		

53

CUSTODIAL EVALUATION FOR INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
GOOD PROGNOSIS	N 3295 82.5% 62.0%	996 82.5% 63.9%	510 85.1% 58.6%	267 82.9% 56.2%	46 43.5% 43.5%	65 65.0% 41.2%	26 86.7% 42.3%
POOR PROGNOSIS	N 681 17.1% 57.9%	208 17.2% 55.8%	88 14.7% 59.1%	53 16.5% 43.4%	10 17.9% 70.0%	15 15.0% 46.7%	4 13.3% 50.0%

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER RECOMMENDATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0%	50 4.1% 52.0%	19 3.1% 47.4%	5 1.5% 0.0%	1 1.8% 100.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1349 33.9% 61.0%	403 32.9% 61.3%	166 27.3% 60.2%	100 30.1% 59.0%	19 33.3% 47.4%	24 24.2% 54.2%	6 20.0% 66.7%
CAMPS	N 838 21.2% 64.2%	248 20.4% 64.5%	124 20.4% 64.5%	31 9.3% 61.3%	5 8.8% 100.0%	8 8.1% 57.5%	
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 651 16.4% 54.2%	207 16.4% 54.2%	136 22.3% 59.2%	98 15.1% 43.4%	17 17.0% 100.0%	17 17.0% 100.0%	

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER RECOMMENDATION


	N	50	19	5	1	1
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	222 5.1% 52.0%	4.1% 52.0%	3.1% 47.4%	1.5% 0.0%	1.8% 100.0%	1.0% 100.0%
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	1349 33.9% 62.0%	403 29.9% 61.8%	166 27.3% 60.2%	100 30.1% 59.0%	19 33.3% 47.4%	24 24.2% 54.2%
CAMPS	838 21.2% 64.2%	248 20.2% 64.9%	124 20.4% 64.5%	31 9.3% 61.3%	5 8.8% 100.0%	8 8.1% 37.5%
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	651 16.4% 59.8%	207 16.9% 64.7%	136 22.3% 54.4%	98 29.5% 52.0%	13 22.8% 46.2%	24 20.3% 42.9%
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	760 19.1% 58.3%	268 21.9% 59.7%	139 22.8% 55.4%	83 25.0% 50.6%	12 21.1% 50.0%	33 33.3% 36.4%

55

CYA BOARD ORDER FOR TRANSFER

	N	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	MODERATE DRUG USE	SEVERE DRUG USE	MODERATE OPIATE USE	SEVERE OPIATE USE
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	220	5.3% 54.1%	50 4.0% 56.0%	20 3.2% 35.0%	6 1.8% 0.0%	2 3.4% 50.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	1113	26.9% 65.0%	339 27.3% 64.9%	126 20.2% 68.3%	97 28.8% 61.9%	14 24.1% 50.0%	26 25.5% 53.8%	5 16.7% 60.0%
BEN LOMOND	235	5.7% 65.5%	67 5.4% 64.2%	46 7.4% 76.1%	13 3.9% 69.2%	1 1.7% 100.0%	2 2.0% 50.0%	2 4.9% 0.0%
MT. BULLION	240	5.8% 67.1%	73 5.0% 67.1%	31 5.0% 67.7%	19 5.6% 78.9%	2 3.4% 100.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 4.9% 0.0%
PINE GROVE	255	6.2% 64.3%	87 6.2% 65.5%	20 3.2% 45.0%	14 4.2% 64.3%	1 1.7% 100.0%	5 4.9% 60.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
WASHINGTON RIDGE	329	8.0% 58.1%	113 8.1% 56.6%	40 6.4% 65.0%	20 5.9% 50.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	1 3.3% 0.0%
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	754	18.3% 57.7%	218 17.6% 62.4%	151 24.2% 56.3%	68 20.2% 45.6%	15 25.9% 53.3%	25 24.5% 40.0%	4 13.3% 50.0%
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	877	21.2% 57.9%	261 21.0% 59.8%	175 26.1% 49.7%	90 26.7% 45.6%	18 31.0% 38.9%	35 34.3% 28.0%	9 30.0% 44.4%

To relate parole success to the different variables studied, a method of graphic display was designed to guide the reader. Included with most parole success percentages (%S) in a cell are circular symbols that express both the magnitude and the direction of deviations from the overall success percentage (60.9%S). The following symbols are used in all DATA MAPS to guide the reader quickly to either "good" parole risks, "poor" parole risks, or anything between these two extremes.



Solid circles symbolize parole success deviations below the overall success percentage, while empty circles denote success deviations above the overall success percentage. Where no symbol is displayed, it is because of one of the following reasons: (1) The deviation symbol has been provided elsewhere (as with the total study population columns); (2) there were fewer than ten cases in the cell; (3) there is less than one percent deviation from the overall parole success rate.

The visual scanning of these tables can result in the notation of possible relationships between parole success and the various variable categories. If there are parole deviation figures of decreasing or increasing size along a column or row, this may be indicative of a linear relationship. Similar logic can be applied when parole deviation figures appear similar in size and direction at the ends of a row or column. This may indicate the presence of a curvilinear relationship. Also, possible relationships may be noted between the vertical and horizontal variables by noting the proportions of cases falling in different cells. The reader is referred to the text in the user's manual and the companion book THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER for more complete description of the methods of analyzing the tables in the DATA MAPS. It should also be noted that the symbols do not establish relationships, but are meant to guide and provide background information for discussions and hypothesis formulation. The testing of hypotheses remains for further and more rigorous studies.

THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER

This DATA MAP presents comparative data on assault classification subgroups. STUDY POPULATION: 4,146 California Youth Authority male wards, consecutive intakes in 1964-65 at the Reception Guidance Center, Deuel Vocational Institution, Tracy. PAROLE FOLLOW-UP: 15 months. AVERAGE PAROLE SUCCESS RATE: 60.9% (60.9%S).

Standard data presented in 55 tables Frequencies, percentages, and percent success on parole. Means (M), and standard deviations (SD) for test results and other continuous data.

Information in first column: Data on combined total study population.

Information in columns 2-8: Data on assault classification subgroups.

The first number in each cell: Number of cases sharing both the column and the row labels.

The second number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell in relation to the other cells in column.

The third number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell which successfully completed parole.

Symbols: Explanation of symbols follows table 55 at the end of the DATA MAP.

Other DATA MAPS available in this series: Intelligence, Race, Alcohol and Drugs, Violence, Burglary, Robbery, and Parole.

For legend of symbols see below table 55

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE	
1 COMMITMENT COURT	JUVENILE COURT	N 345 8.3% 51.6%S	1 5.3% 100.0%S	27 12.8% 63.0%S	6 30.0% 66.7%S	151 6.8% 49.1%S	51 6.8% 56.9%S	133 13.2% 52.6%S	
	SUPERIOR COURT	N 3339 80.7% 62.2%S	18 94.7% 50.0%S	134 63.5% 75.4%S	3 15.0% 66.7%S	18 100.0% 61.1%S	1903 82.5% 60.4%S	623 83.3% 67.0%S	748 74.4% 62.8%S
	MUNICIPAL COURT	N 438 10.6% 58.0%S		47 22.3% 70.2%S	10 50.0% 50.0%S		247 10.4% 59.9%S	74 9.8% 55.4%S	116 11.5% 56.0%S
	JUSTICE COURT	N 18 0.4% 55.6%S		3 1.4% 100.0%S	5 5.0% 100.0%S		8 0.3% 62.5%S	1 0.1% 0.0%S	9 0.9% 55.6%S
2 ADMISSION STATUS	FIRST ADMISSION	N 2470 60.5% 67.0%S	8 44.4% 75.0%S	129 62.3% 75.0%S	13 68.4% 61.5%S	14 77.8% 71.4%S	1515 65.0% 64.6%S	470 62.5% 72.8%S	485 48.4% 69.3%S
	FIRST RETURN	N 800 19.6% 54.0%S	5 27.8% 40.0%S	43 20.8% 67.4%S	5 26.3% 60.0%S	3 16.7% 33.3%S	430 18.5% 52.8%S	138 18.4% 58.7%S	232 25.2% 56.5%S
	2ND AND MORE RETURNS	N 732 17.9% 47.0%S	3 16.7% 33.3%S	33 15.9% 69.7%S	1 5.3% 0.0%S	1 5.6% 0.0%S	337 14.5% 46.3%S	127 16.9% 44.9%S	268 26.7% 48.9%S
	RE-ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE FROM CYA	N 83 2.0% 57.8%S	2 11.1% 50.0%S	2 1.0% 50.0%S			48 2.1% 60.4%S	17 2.3% 52.9%S	17 1.7% 58.8%S
3 RACE	WHITE	N 2212 53.4% 60.9%S	8 42.1% 37.5%S	65 29.9% 73.0%S	8 40.0% 87.5%S	5 27.8% 60.0%S	1491 62.6% 59.6%S	347 46.1% 67.2%S	374 37.2% 59.6%S
	MEXICAN-AMERICAN	N 772 18.6% 61.1%S	5 26.3% 80.0%S	63 29.9% 76.2%S	5 25.0% 60.0%S	5 27.8% 80.0%S	390 16.4% 60.0%S	148 19.7% 62.7%S	233 23.2% 62.7%S
	BLACK	N 1076 26.0% 60.3%S	5 26.3% 60.0%S	83 39.3% 71.1%S	5 25.0% 20.0%S	7 38.9% 42.9%S	450 18.9% 59.1%S	249 33.1% 62.7%S	377 37.5% 60.2%S
	OTHER	N 80 1.9% 63.8%S	1 5.3% 0.0%S	2 0.9% 50.0%S	2 10.0% 50.0%S		50 2.1% 62.0%S	9 1.2% 77.8%S	21 2.1% 61.9%S
4 AGE, TIME IN INSTITUTION, WEIGHT, AND HEIGHT	AGE AT ADMISSION	N 4134 M 19.44 SD 0.94	19 19.83 1.56	208 19.43 0.56	20 18.99 0.77	18 19.52 1.12	2378 19.43 0.90	753 19.48 0.99	1002 19.43 0.99
	AGE AT RELEASE	N 4057 M 20.24 SD 0.99	19 21.43 1.42	206 20.43 1.01	20 19.83 0.97	18 20.79 1.17	2350 20.15 0.95	733 20.35 1.01	988 20.38 1.06
	TIME IN INSTITUTION	N 4138 M 9.23 SD 4.77	19 19.00 8.01	210 11.83 5.04	20 9.70 5.41	18 15.00 3.58	2380 8.16 4.26	754 10.11 4.69	1003 11.09 5.24
	WEIGHT	N 4131 M 149.67 SD 20.64	19 155.42 19.51	211 149.56 19.72	20 148.80 19.37	18 161.72 35.07	2376 148.63 20.70	753 150.35 20.15	1001 151.63 20.72
	HEIGHT	N 4133 M 68.35 SD 2.85	19 68.68 2.43	211 68.27 2.85	20 68.25 2.43	18 69.00 1.94	2375 68.28 2.90	753 68.34 2.68	1004 68.43 2.84
	5 SINGLE	N 3277 61.9%	13 64.4%	170 84.4%	17 85.0%	16 78.0%	1458 60.1%	580 60.1%	608 60.1%

WEIGHT	H 4131 M 149.67 SD 20.14	19 155.42 19.51	211 149.56 19.72	20 149.80 19.37	18 161.72 33.07	216 148.63 20.70	153 150.35 20.15	1001 151.63 20.72
HEIGHT	H 4133 M 68.33 SD 2.85	19 68.68 2.43	211 68.27 2.85	20 68.25 2.43	18 69.00 1.94	2375 68.28 2.90	753 68.34 2.68	1004 68.43 2.84

5 MARITAL STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
SINGLE	H 3277 81.0% 61.0%	13 68.4% 76.3%	170 83.3% 71.2%	17 85.0% 58.8%	16 88.9% 62.3%	1888 82.4% 59.4%	580 79.8% 65.7%	808 82.5% 61.3%
MARRIED	H 387 9.7% 63.3%	5 26.3% 0.0%	16 7.8% 75.0%	1 5.0% 100.0%	2 11.1% 50.0%	226 9.9% 62.8%	79 10.9% 68.4%	82 8.4% 59.8%
DIVORCED	H 55 1.4% 56.4%	2 1.4% 100.0%	2 1.0% 100.0%			33 1.4% 48.5%	12 1.7% 66.7%	10 1.0% 70.0%
DIVORCED, REMARRIED	H 18 0.5% 33.3%					10 0.4% 40.0%	4 0.6% 25.0%	4 0.4% 25.0%
SEPARATED	H 112 2.8% 66.1%		5 2.5% 80.0%			59 2.6% 67.8%	27 3.7% 66.7%	26 2.7% 61.5%
COMMON-LAW	H 128 3.2% 57.8%	1 5.3% 0.0%	8 3.9% 87.5%	2 10.0% 50.0%		67 2.9% 62.7%	21 2.9% 57.1%	40 4.1% 50.0%
WIDOWED	H 2 0.1% 50.0%						2 0.3% 50.0%	

6 CHILDREN ACKNOWLEDGED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	H 3321 83.0% 61.2%	10 52.6% 60.0%	170 83.3% 71.8%	18 90.0% 61.1%	16 88.9% 62.3%	1944 84.8% 60.0%	577 79.4% 66.2%	739 81.6% 60.3%
ONE	H 516 12.9% 60.5%	7 36.8% 42.9%	25 12.3% 80.0%	2 10.0% 50.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	264 11.5% 56.1%	119 16.4% 62.2%	133 13.6% 67.7%
TWO	H 127 3.2% 64.6%	2 10.5% 50.0%	6 2.9% 83.3%		1 5.6% 0.0%	68 3.0% 67.6%	25 3.4% 76.0%	34 3.5% 50.0%
THREE	H 28 0.7% 64.3%		2 1.0% 50.0%			13 0.6% 64.6%	5 0.7% 40.0%	10 1.0% 50.0%
FOUR AND MORE	H 8 0.2% 62.5%		1 0.5% 100.0%			4 0.2% 75.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%	3 0.3% 66.7%

7 LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
WIFE/GIRL FRIEND	H 349 8.7% 60.5%	5 26.3% 0.0%	21 8.7% 76.2%		2 11.1% 50.0%	199 8.7% 61.8%	63 8.7% 65.1%	87 8.9% 54.0%
NATURAL PARENTS	H 1185 29.6% 61.6%	8 42.1% 50.0%	83 40.7% 72.3%	10 50.0% 60.0%	2 11.1% 0.0%	644 28.1% 60.4%	207 28.5% 67.1%	334 34.1% 60.5%
RELATIVES	H 248 6.2% 62.9%	1 5.3% 100.0%	16 7.8% 81.3%	1 5.0% 0.0%	3 16.7% 100.0%	142 6.2% 62.0%	51 7.0% 60.8%	55 5.6% 67.3%
FOSTER PARENTS	H 76 1.9% 50.0%		4 2.0% 75.0%			49 2.1% 53.1%	12 1.7% 33.3%	15 1.5% 53.3%
FRIENDS, FIXED	H 134 3.4% 67.5%					100 4.4% 68.0%	16 2.2% 62.5%	18 1.8% 72.2%
ALONE, FIXED	H 144 3.6% 64.6%	1 5.3% 100.0%	11 5.4% 72.7%			95 4.1% 64.2%	20 2.8% 70.0%	29 3.0% 62.1%
ALONE, NOT FIXED	H 207 5.2% 57.0%	1 5.3% 100.0%		1 5.0% 100.0%		144 6.3% 53.5%	35 4.8% 65.7%	28 2.9% 64.3%
GROUP HOME	H 17 0.4% 47.1%		1 0.5% 100.0%			8 0.3% 50.0%	3 0.4% 33.3%	6 0.6% 50.0%

8 MARITAL STATUS OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NEVER MARRIED	H 214 5.4% 61.7%		14 6.9% 78.6%	2 10.0% 50.0%		107 4.7% 60.7%	48 6.6% 62.5%	59 6.0% 62.7%
MARRIED	H 1631 40.8% 62.9%	14 73.7% 42.9%	102 50.0% 72.5%	14 70.0% 64.3%	9 50.0% 88.9%	910 39.7% 61.6%	287 39.5% 69.0%	434 44.4% 61.5%
DIVORCED	H 30 0.8% 66.7%	2 10.5% 50.0%	3 1.5% 100.0%		1 5.6% 0.0%	14 0.6% 64.3%	6 0.8% 83.3%	10 1.0% 60.0%
DIVORCED, REMARRIED	H 345 8.6% 61.7%		17 8.3% 76.5%	1 5.0% 0.0%	3 16.7% 66.7%	193 8.4% 54.9%	74 10.2% 66.2%	78 8.0% 74.4%
SEPARATED	H 1263 31.6% 61.2%	2 10.5% 100.0%	53 26.0% 73.6%	2 10.0% 50.0%	3 16.7% 0.0%	742 32.4% 60.9%	229 31.5% 65.1%	291 29.8% 59.1%
COMMON-LAW	H 8 0.2% 62.5%		1 0.5% 100.0%			6 0.3% 50.0%		2 0.2% 100.0%
WIDOWED	H 3 0.1% 33.3%					3 0.1% 33.3%		

9

DEATH OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
FATHER DEAD	N 198 12.0% 61.0%	5 25.3% 40.0%	31 14.7% 74.2%	4 20.0% 100.0%	3 16.7% 66.7%	299 12.1% 60.2%	99 13.1% 62.6%	110 10.9% 61.8%
MOTHER DEAD	N 192 4.7% 62.7%		11 5.2% 90.9%	1 5.0% 0.0%	2 11.1% 100.0%	106 53.8% 53.8%	35 4.6% 62.9%	52 5.2% 80.8%
BOTH DEAD	N 14 1.5% 56.3%		2 0.9% 100.0%	1 5.0% 0.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	36 1.5% 55.6%	16 1.6% 50.0%	16 1.6% 62.5%
BOTH LIVING	N 3391 81.8% 60.8%	14 73.7% 57.1%	167 79.1% 71.3%	14 70.0% 57.1%	12 66.7% 50.0%	1954 81.9% 59.9%	608 80.6% 66.0%	628 82.3% 58.2%

10

MILITARY DISCIPLINARY ACTION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
YES	N 301 7.5% 65.1%	3 15.8% 66.7%	6 3.0% 83.3%	1 5.0% 100.0%	2 11.1% 100.0%	191 8.3% 57.6%	65 8.9% 80.0%	45 4.6% 75.6%
NO	N 167 4.2% 68.9%		5 2.5% 100.0%	1 5.0% 100.0%	1 5.6% 0.0%	102 4.4% 60.8%	38 5.2% 92.1%	27 2.8% 66.7%
NO SERVICE	N 3506 87.7% 60.5%	16 84.2% 50.0%	192 94.6% 71.9%	18 90.0% 55.6%	15 90.3% 60.0%	1985 86.6% 60.2%	617 86.9% 62.4%	903 84.3% 60.0%

11

MILITARY DISCHARGE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
HONORABLE	N 104 2.6% 57.7%		4 2.0% 100.0%			72 3.1% 71.4%	21 2.9% 71.4%	11 1.1% 72.7%
GENERAL, HONORABLE CONDITIONS	N 104 2.6% 54.8%		2 1.0% 100.0%	1 5.0% 100.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	74 3.2% 43.2%	19 2.6% 89.5%	11 1.1% 72.7%
DISHONORABLE, ETC.	N 117 2.9% 70.1%		2 1.0% 50.0%			65 2.8% 63.1%	32 4.4% 84.4%	20 2.0% 70.0%
MEDICAL	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	1 5.3% 100.0%		1 5.0% 100.0%		17 0.7% 70.0%	5 0.7% 100.0%	3 0.3% 66.7%
OTHER	N 59 1.5% 72.9%	2 10.5% 50.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%		2 11.1% 50.0%	40 1.7% 77.5%	6 0.8% 66.7%	13 1.3% 61.5%

12

HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NO HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 2276 54.9% 60.8%	14 73.7% 64.3%	77 36.5% 66.7%	6 30.0% 60.0%	11 51.1% 54.5%	1448 60.7% 58.8%	350 51.7% 60.2%	440 43.7% 60.9%
MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 1244 30.0% 62.1%	4 21.1% 25.0%	71 33.6% 78.9%	5 25.0% 20.0%	3 16.7% 66.7%	656 27.5% 61.1%	252 33.4% 64.7%	335 33.3% 62.4%
SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 624 15.1% 58.5%	1 5.3% 0.0%	63 29.9% 68.3%	9 45.0% 77.8%	4 22.2% 77.8%	281 11.8% 60.5%	112 14.9% 55.4%	231 23.0% 57.6%
ALCOHOL NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 2555 61.6% 60.8%	14 73.7% 57.1%	95 45.0% 57.1%	7 35.0% 57.1%	11 61.1% 57.1%	1635 68.6% 59.2%	432 57.3% 67.4%	488 48.5% 60.9%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 1024 24.7% 62.1%	3 15.8% 66.7%	93 44.1% 73.1%	11 55.0% 63.6%	5 27.8% 80.0%	471 19.7% 61.4%	202 26.8% 62.9%	350 34.8% 62.9%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 567 13.7% 58.4%	2 10.5% 0.0%	23 10.9% 73.9%	2 10.0% 50.0%	2 11.1% 50.0%	279 11.7% 59.1%	120 15.9% 60.8%	168 15.7% 55.4%

13

HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NO HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE	N 3488 84.1% 61.9%	18 94.7% 60.0%	177 83.9% 76.3%	15 75.0% 60.0%	14 77.8% 60.1%	2046 85.8% 60.1%	625 82.9% 67.0%	816 81.1% 62.5%
ISOLATED DRUG MISUSE	N 263 6.3% 59.3%	1 5.3% 100.0%	14 6.6% 71.4%	3 15.0% 100.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	141 5.8% 58.9%	44 5.8% 59.1%	78 7.8% 60.3%
MODERATE DRUG MISUSE	N 337 8.1% 53.4%		17 8.1% 35.3%	1 5.0% 0.0%	2 11.1% 50.0%	167 7.0% 58.1%	69 9.2% 52.2%	101 10.0% 46.5%
SEVERE DRUG MISUSE	N 58 1.4% 50.0%		3 1.4% 100.0%	1 5.0% 0.0%	1 5.6% 0.0%	31 1.3% 41.9%	16 2.1% 62.9%	11 1.1% 54.5%
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 3788 91.4% 61.2%	19 100.0% 52.6%	197 93.4% 75.1%	18 90.0% 66.7%	14 77.8% 64.3%	2193 92.2% 59.7%	683 90.6% 65.9%	906 90.1% 61.4%
DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 209 5.0% 57.4%		6 2.8% 50.0%	1 5.0% 0.0%	3 16.7% 33.3%	116 4.9% 66.0%	45 6.0% 66.7%	48 4.8% 52.1%
DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 149 3.6% 57.0%		8 3.8% 37.5%	1 5.0% 0.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	71 3.0% 63.4%	26 4.2% 42.3%	52 5.2% 55.8%

14

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE

	ADMISSION OFFENSE	DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	N 149 95.8% 57.0%	8 3.8% 37.5%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	71 3.0% 63.4%	26 3.4% 42.3%	52 5.2% 55.8%	
NO HISTORY OF OPIATE USE	N 3971 95.8% 51.4%	19 100.0% 52.6%	204 95.7% 74.0%	20 100.0% 60.0%	17 94.4% 64.7%	2286 95.8% 60.1%	720 95.5% 65.4%	964 95.8% 61.7%	
ISOLATED OPIATE USE	N 43 1.0% 62.8%	3 1.4% 66.7%	3 1.4% 66.7%	1 0.0%	1 0.0%	25 1.0% 72.0%	7 0.9% 28.6%	11 1.1% 63.6%	
MODERATE OPIATE USE	N 102 2.5% 42.2%	4 1.9% 25.0%	4 1.9% 25.0%	4 1.9% 25.0%	55 2.3% 41.8%	22 2.9% 68.2%	25 2.5% 20.0%	25 2.5% 20.0%	
SEVERE OPIATE USE	N 30 0.7% 43.3%	3 0.7% 43.3%	3 0.7% 43.3%	3 0.7% 43.3%	19 0.8% 36.8%	5 0.7% 60.0%	6 0.6% 50.0%	6 0.6% 50.0%	
OPIATES NO FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 4046 97.6% 61.3%	19 100.0% 52.6%	207 98.1% 73.9%	20 100.0% 60.0%	18 100.0% 61.1%	2330 97.7% 60.2%	733 97.2% 65.1%	982 97.6% 61.4%	
OPIATES FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 73 1.8% 42.5%	1 0.5% 100.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%	41 1.7% 34.1%	18 2.4% 66.7%	14 2.4% 66.7%	14 1.4% 35.7%	
OPIATES FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 27 0.7% 37.0%	3 1.4% 0.0%	3 1.4% 0.0%	3 1.4% 0.0%	18 0.6% 42.9%	3 0.4% 66.7%	10 1.0% 20.0%	10 1.0% 20.0%	

15

HISTORY OF MARIJUANA AND GLUE-SNIFFING

	ADMISSION OFFENSE	DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	N 3345 80.7% 61.3%	17 89.5% 52.9%	174 82.5% 72.4%	15 75.0% 66.7%	15 85.3% 73.3%	1957 82.1% 59.4%	602 79.8% 63.9%	785 78.0% 62.7%	
NO HISTORY OF USE OF MARIJUANA	N 801 19.3% 59.2%	2 10.5% 50.0%	37 17.5% 75.7%	3 15.0% 40.0%	3 16.7% 40.0%	428 17.9% 58.2%	152 20.2% 70.4%	221 22.0% 53.4%	
MARIJUANA USE	N 3890 93.8% 61.0%	18 94.7% 55.6%	192 91.0% 73.4%	19 95.0% 57.9%	18 100.0% 61.1%	2258 94.7% 59.8%	699 92.6% 65.5%	935 92.7% 60.6%	
NO HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 256 6.2% 58.6%	1 5.3% 0.0%	19 9.0% 68.4%	1 5.0% 100.0%	1 5.3% 55.9%	127 5.3% 55.9%	56 7.4% 60.7%	73 7.3% 61.6%	
HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 256 6.2% 58.6%	1 5.3% 0.0%	19 9.0% 68.4%	1 5.0% 100.0%	1 5.3% 55.9%	127 5.3% 55.9%	56 7.4% 60.7%	73 7.3% 61.6%	

16

HISTORY OF ESCAPE AND SEXUAL DEVIATION

	ADMISSION OFFENSE	DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	N 3539 84.4% 63.3%	16 84.2% 56.3%	190 90.0% 74.2%	19 95.0% 57.9%	15 85.3% 66.7%	2043 85.7% 61.9%	646 85.7% 67.5%	850 84.5% 63.3%	
NO ESCAPES	N 526 12.7% 47.9%	2 10.5% 50.0%	20 10.5% 60.0%	2 10.5% 60.0%	2 11.1% 50.0%	296 12.4% 48.3%	96 12.7% 51.0%	133 13.2% 45.1%	
ESCAPES FROM MINIMUM SECURITY	N 81 2.0% 39.5%	1 5.3% 0.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%	1 5.0% 100.0%	1 5.6% 0.0%	46 1.9% 30.4%	12 1.6% 50.0%	23 2.3% 52.2%	
ESCAPES WITH FORCE	N 4072 97.1% 60.3%	19 100.0% 52.6%	206 97.6% 72.8%	20 100.0% 60.0%	13 83.3% 66.7%	2354 98.7% 59.6%	740 98.1% 65.4%	931 92.5% 60.6%	
NO HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 120 2.9% 60.0%	5 2.4% 80.0%	5 2.4% 80.0%	5 2.4% 80.0%	14 66.7% 58.3%	31 1.3% 61.3%	19 1.9% 50.0%	75 7.5% 61.3%	
HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 3929 94.8% 60.8%	19 100.0% 52.6%	208 98.6% 73.1%	19 95.0% 63.2%	15 85.3% 66.7%	2270 95.2% 60.1%	729 96.0% 65.1%	934 92.8% 60.7%	
NO HISTORY OF SEXUAL DEVIATION	N 150 3.8% 57.1%	2 10.5% 50.0%	2 10.5% 50.0%	2 10.5% 50.0%	3 16.7% 66.7%	85 3.6% 57.6%	22 2.9% 59.1%	49 4.9% 55.1%	
HISTORY OF ISOLATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 81 1.5% 72.1%	1 1.5% 72.1%	1 0.5% 100.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%	1 1.3% 70.0%	30 1.3% 70.0%	8 1.1% 87.5%	23 2.3% 69.6%	
HISTORY OF REPEATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 4009 94.7% 61.4%	18 94.7% 50.0%	203 96.2% 73.9%	20 100.0% 60.0%	17 94.4% 58.8%	2302 96.5% 60.3%	741 98.3% 65.2%	965 95.9% 61.0%	
NO HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUALITY	N 79 1.9% 40.5%	1 5.3% 100.0%	5 2.4% 60.0%	5 2.4% 60.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	46 1.9% 34.8%	9 1.2% 55.6%	24 2.4% 45.8%	
HISTORY OF ISOLATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 83 1.0% 55.5%	3 1.4% 33.3%	3 1.4% 33.3%	3 1.4% 33.3%	3 1.3% 70.0%	27 1.1% 51.9%	8 1.1% 87.5%	16 1.6% 56.3%	
HISTORY OF REPEATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 15 0.4% 53.3%	1 5.3% 100.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	10 0.4% 40.0%	4 0.5% 75.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	

PREVIOUS PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NO HISTORY OF SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 4047 M 98.72 SD 61.12S	18 98.72 55.63S	205 97.25 72.74S	20 100.00 60.00S	18 100.00 61.12S	2330 97.72 60.02S	744 98.72 65.12S	972 96.62 60.92S
INFREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 65 M 1.62 SD 50.82S	1 5.32 0.02S	4 1.92 75.02S			32 1.32 53.12S	7 0.92 57.12S	26 2.62 46.22S
FREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 24 M 0.62 SD 50.07S		2 0.92 100.02S			16 0.72 31.32S	2 0.32 100.02S	6 0.62 83.32S
SERIOUS SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 10 M 0.22 SD 40.02S					7 0.32 28.62S	1 0.12 100.02S	2 0.22 50.02S
NO HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 4118 M 99.32 SD 60.92S	19 100.00 52.62S	210 99.52 72.92S	20 100.00 60.00S	18 100.00 61.12S	2369 99.32 59.62S	750 99.52 65.12S	998 99.22 60.82S
HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 28 M 0.77 SD 53.67S		1 0.52 100.02S			16 0.72 56.32S	4 0.52 75.02S	8 0.82 77.52S
NO HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 4125 M 99.52 SD 60.82S	19 100.00 52.62S	210 99.52 72.92S	20 100.00 60.00S	18 100.00 61.12S	2371 99.42 59.72S	750 99.52 64.82S	1003 99.72 60.62S
HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 21 M 0.52 SD 61.52S		1 0.52 100.02S			14 0.62 50.02S	4 0.52 100.02S	3 0.32 66.72S
NO HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 4092 M 98.72 SD 61.12S	19 100.00 52.62S	208 98.62 73.12S	20 100.00 60.00S	17 94.42 64.72S	2360 99.02 59.72S	747 99.12 65.32S	984 97.82 61.22S
HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 54 M 1.32 SD 44.42S		3 1.42 66.72S			1 5.62 0.02S	7 2.92 42.92S	22 2.22 36.42S
NO HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 4097 M 98.82 SD 60.87S	19 100.00 52.62S	209 99.12 73.72S	19 95.00 57.92S	18 103.00 61.12S	2362 99.02 59.72S	746 98.92 64.92S	988 98.22 60.52S
HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 49 M 1.22 SD 61.22S		2 0.92 0.02S	1 5.02 100.02S		23 1.02 47.82S	8 1.12 87.52S	18 1.82 66.72S
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 3870 M 84.22 SD 62.52S	16 84.22 62.52S	185 87.72 72.42S	20 100.00 60.00S	14 77.82 64.32S	2262 84.82 60.22S	716 95.02 65.22S	891 88.62 62.12S
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 276 M 6.72 SD 51.12S	3 15.82 0.02S	26 12.32 76.92S		4 22.22 50.02S	123 5.22 48.82S	38 5.02 43.22S	115 11.42 49.62S
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 4012 M 96.82 SD 61.22S	18 94.72 55.62S	202 95.72 73.82S	20 100.00 60.00S	17 94.42 58.82S	2321 97.32 59.82S	729 96.72 65.82S	961 95.52 61.12S
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 134 M 3.22 SD 50.02S	1 5.32 0.02S	9 4.32 55.62S		1 5.62 100.02S	64 2.72 51.62S	25 3.32 44.02S	45 4.52 51.12S
NO HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 4031 M 97.22 SD 61.32S	19 100.00 52.62S	205 97.22 73.22S	20 100.00 60.00S	17 94.42 64.72S	2331 97.72 59.92S	730 96.82 65.82S	959 96.32 61.42S
HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 115 M 2.82 SD 45.22S		6 2.82 66.72S		1 5.62 0.02S	54 2.32 48.12S	24 3.22 45.82S	37 3.72 40.52S

INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
MENTAL DEFECTIVE	N 23 M 0.62 SD 69.62S		2 1.02 50.02S			14 0.62 64.32S	4 0.52 100.02S	5 0.52 60.02S
BORDERLINE	N 127 M 3.12 SD 63.02S		9 4.32 77.82S			73 3.12 65.82S	23 3.12 52.22S	31 3.12 64.52S
DULL NORMAL	N 1000 M 24.22 SD 59.22S	1 5.32 100.02S	48 23.22 72.52S	4 20.02 75.02S	8 44.42 75.02S	545 22.92 56.92S	192 25.72 64.12S	263 26.32 60.52S
AVERAGE	N 2440 M 59.12 SD 60.72S	14 73.72 50.02S	134 64.72 73.12S	15 75.02 53.32S	9 50.02 55.62S	1391 58.52 60.32S	431 57.62 63.32S	617 61.62 59.82S
BRIGHT NORMAL	N 446 M 10.82 SD 63.72S	3 15.82 33.32S	13 6.32 84.62S	1 5.02 100.02S	1 5.62 0.02S	288 12.12 60.12S	83 11.12 77.12S	75 7.52 62.72S
SUPERIOR	N 21 M 2.02 SD 67.92S	1 5.32 100.02S	1 0.52 0.02S			60 2.52 60.02S	12 1.62 91.72S	9 0.92 88.52S
VERY SUPERIOR	N 8 M 0.22 SD 77.82S					5 0.22 60.02S	3 0.42 100.02S	1 0.12 100.02S

RESULTS OF INTELLIGENCE TESTING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
ARMY GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST I.Q.	N 2684 M 99.42 SD 11.22	14 104.42 11.74	126 96.01 10.69	11 95.91 10.10	11 100.18 10.60	1589 100.04 11.40	478 99.44 11.07	617 97.81 10.74
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2679 M 98.80 SD 21.25	14 55.36 22.23	126 44.32 18.74	11 40.45 22.19	11 47.73 17.23	1588 49.74 21.86	475 49.10 21.09	616 46.35 19.54
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2682 M 95.08 SD 25.03	14 67.07 22.22	126 49.01 25.16	11 51.82 24.52	11 58.18 26.01	1588 57.36 26.01	478 55.75 25.40	615 53.07 25.46
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2683 M 94.10 SD 24.44	14 60.21 25.45	126 46.83 25.51	11 50.00 20.74	11 57.73 27.78	1589 55.20 24.66	478 54.05 24.21	616 51.33 24.22
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	N 3865 M 90.21 SD 13.89	15 96.13 12.03	192 88.57 13.48	19 89.53 10.14	17 91.69 14.17	2220 91.69 14.17	705 90.29 13.83	899 89.16 13.09
LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3867 M 86.98 SD 16.56	15 91.73 15.52	195 84.78 15.84	19 84.84 14.42	17 86.18 16.91	2221 87.98 16.93	703 86.35 16.93	892 85.13 15.59
NONLANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3877 M 94.17 SD 14.09	15 93.93 14.09	155 91.44 14.09	19 94.05 14.09	17 94.71 14.09	2226 94.05 14.09	707 94.05 14.09	895 94.05 14.09

INTELLIGENCE TESTING

TEST	M	SD	11	11	11	11	11
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	25.08 24.23	14 22.22	126 49.01 25.16	11 51.82 24.52	11 58.18 26.01	1588 478 57.36 25.46	21.09 19.54
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	2683 54.10 24.44	14 60.21 25.45	126 46.83 25.51	11 50.00 20.74	11 57.73 27.78	1589 478 55.20 24.22	21.09 19.54
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	3845 90.21 13.03	15 86.13 13.03	192 86.57 13.48	19 89.53 10.14	17 90.59 14.17	2220 705 91.69 13.83	939 89.16 13.09
LANGUAGE I.Q.	3867 86.08 15.52	15 91.73 15.52	195 84.78 15.84	19 84.84 11.42	17 86.18 16.45	2221 703 87.30 16.39	942 85.13 15.59
NONLANGUAGE I.Q.	3877 94.17 11.82	15 99.83 11.82	195 91.44 13.33	19 94.05 12.08	17 94.71 8.55	2226 707 94.91 14.28	943 92.69 13.82
D-48 RAW SCORE	2712 70.97 7.74	14 21.21 5.38	140 20.05 10.10	16 21.50 5.23	9 22.22 5.91	1579 491 21.11 7.73	642 61.33 7.84
RAVEN MATRICES RAW SCORE	3517 43.33 8.66	14 46.21 4.69	181 43.07 9.83	18 44.78 4.71	16 42.13 7.69	2042 631 43.60 8.87	844 42.82 8.83
SHIPLEY HARTFORD CONCEPTUAL QUOTIENT	1767 96.05 14.38	9 99.56 14.38	86 93.14 14.83	8 84.50 16.43	8 100.13 17.79	1038 323 96.32 14.37	406 95.26 14.78
LANGUAGE RAW SCORE	2767 23.75 6.12	13 25.08 5.45	133 23.62 5.09	12 22.08 5.25	12 23.33 4.81	1622 513 23.95 5.36	632 23.54 5.02
ABSTRACT RAW SCORE	2696 24.02 7.98	13 25.38 7.32	128 22.38 7.41	11 21.27 9.00	9 24.00 8.06	1585 500 24.19 8.01	611 23.50 7.86

20

RESULTS OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST BATTERY

TEST	M	SD	HOMICIDE N = 19	ASSAULT N = 202	RESISTING ARREST N = 20	FORCIBLE RAPE N = 18	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE N = 2344	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 736	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 987
READING VOCABULARY	7.55 2.76	8.31 2.65	7.22 2.66	7.12 2.55	7.12 2.11	7.65 2.79	7.33 2.76	7.33 2.67	
READING COMPREHENSION	7.66 2.69	8.82 2.69	7.15 2.63	7.31 2.71	8.09 2.41	7.80 2.70	7.58 2.71	7.39 2.64	
READING AVERAGE	7.62 2.66	8.61 2.98	7.20 2.55	7.24 2.58	7.78 2.21	7.74 2.69	7.56 2.67	7.39 2.58	
ARITHMETIC REASONING	7.87 2.23	8.28 2.23	7.05 2.09	7.20 2.15	7.61 1.69	7.60 2.25	7.39 2.21	7.25 2.16	
ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTALS	7.27 1.99	8.08 2.26	6.96 1.81	7.12 1.99	7.19 1.42	7.36 1.99	7.19 2.02	7.11 1.92	
ARITHMETIC AVERAGE	7.39 2.03	8.16 2.20	7.03 1.88	7.19 2.00	7.41 1.49	7.50 2.05	7.31 2.05	7.20 1.98	
LANGUAGE MECHANICS	7.22 2.52	7.84 2.92	6.73 2.47	6.46 2.09	7.48 2.28	7.32 2.56	7.18 2.44	7.02 2.47	
LANGUAGE SPELLING	7.35 2.60	8.29 2.54	6.98 2.44	6.49 2.36	7.55 2.44	7.40 2.61	7.45 2.58	7.17 2.57	
LANGUAGE AVERAGE	7.30 2.45	8.09 2.79	6.86 2.35	6.50 2.12	7.49 2.31	7.37 2.47	7.33 2.39	7.11 2.42	
TOTAL GRADE PLACEMENT	7.42 2.28	8.29 2.56	7.00 2.15	6.97 2.15	7.57 1.88	7.52 2.30	7.36 2.28	7.22 2.22	

21

GRADE COMPLETED

GRADE	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
1	4							
2	4							
3	3 0.1% 0.03%		1 0.5% 0.03%				1 0.1% 0.02%	2 0.2% 0.03%
4	5 0.1% 40.0%					4 0.2% 25.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	
5	21 0.5% 42.9%		1 0.5% 100.0%			11 0.5% 27.3%	1 0.1% 100.0%	9 0.9% 55.6%
6	38 0.9% 57.9%					24 1.0% 58.3%	7 0.9% 100.0%	7 0.7% 14.3%
7	94 2.3% 59.6%	3 15.8% 66.7%	6 3.0% 83.3%	2 10.0% 50.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	46 2.0% 58.7%	13 1.8% 61.5%	35 3.6% 60.0%
8	323 7.8% 60.4%	1 5.3% 100.0%	7 3.5% 71.4%	2 10.0% 100.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	194 8.3% 59.8%	66 9.0% 62.1%	63 6.4% 60.3%
9	825 16.8% 99.0%	2 10.5% 90.0%	30 15.1% 90.0%	6 30.0% 100.0%	5 27.2% 20.3%	407 17.3% 60.7%	123 16.7% 59.3%	155 15.8% 56.1%
10	1999 27.0% 60.1%	3 15.8% 33.3%	60 30.2% 78.3%	4 20.0% 75.0%	4 22.2% 100.0%	640 27.2% 66.8%	208 28.2% 66.8%	250 25.4% 60.8%
11	1051 25.8% 62.5%	4 21.1% 75.0%	54 27.1% 79.6%	3 15.0% 33.3%	3 16.7% 33.3%	588 25.0% 61.4%	182 24.7% 65.4%	281 28.6% 63.0%
12	706 17.3% 62.0%	6 31.6% 33.3%	37 18.6% 64.9%	3 15.0% 33.3%	4 22.2% 75.0%	409 17.4% 66.6%	124 16.8% 66.8%	173 17.6% 61.8%
13+	40 1.0% 75.0%	3 1.5% 100.0%				21 0.9% 66.7%	11 1.5% 81.8%	8 0.8% 87.5%

22

GRADE ACHIEVED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
1	N 12 0.3% 58.3% 58.3%					8 0.3% 62.5%	2 0.3% 50.0%	2 0.2% 50.0%
2	N 107 2.6% 58.8% 58.8%		6 3.0% 66.7%			58 2.5% 56.8%	25 2.3% 57.7%	23 2.1% 65.2%
3	N 252 6.2% 63.5% 63.5%	2 10.5% 50.0%	22 10.9% 86.4%	2 10.0% 50.0%		132 5.0% 63.6%	44 6.0% 68.2%	76 7.7% 60.5%
4	N 266 6.5% 62.0% 62.0%		9 4.5% 88.9%	1 5.0% 100.0%	1 5.6% 0.0%	147 6.3% 63.9%	41 5.6% 56.1%	78 7.9% 61.5%
5	N 408 10.0% 60.3% 60.3%		21 10.4% 66.7%	2 10.0% 100.0%		228 9.7% 61.0%	76 10.3% 59.2%	103 10.4% 60.2%
6	N 597 14.7% 58.6% 58.6%	3 15.8% 66.7%	33 16.3% 81.8%	7 35.0% 57.1%	1 5.6% 100.0%	339 14.5% 57.1%	121 16.5% 63.6%	137 15.9% 57.7%
7	N 687 16.9% 61.1% 61.1%	5 16.9% 80.0%	37 18.3% 62.2%	2 10.0% 0.0%	4 22.2% 75.0%	399 17.0% 61.2%	110 15.0% 63.6%	178 18.0% 59.6%
8	N 771 16.5% 60.2% 60.2%	1 5.3% 0.0%	33 19.3% 69.2%		4 22.2% 25.0%	375 16.0% 58.7%	127 17.3% 63.0%	169 17.1% 61.5%
9	N 492 12.1% 59.1% 59.1%	2 10.5% 0.0%	18 8.5% 88.9%	8 20.0% 75.0%	3 16.7% 33.3%	283 12.1% 55.9%	96 13.1% 68.8%	113 11.4% 56.6%
10	N 363 8.9% 62.0% 62.0%	2 10.5% 50.0%	12 5.9% 66.7%	2 10.0% 50.0%		233 9.9% 58.4%	60 9.2% 75.0%	70 7.1% 62.9%
11	N 157 3.9% 67.5% 67.5%	3 15.8% 60.0%	5 2.5% 60.0%		1 5.6% 100.0%	99 4.2% 66.7%	25 3.4% 76.0%	33 3.3% 63.6%
12	N 54 1.3% 72.2% 72.2%	1 5.3% 100.0%				42 1.8% 64.3%	6 0.8% 100.0%	6 0.6% 100.0%
13+	N 1 0.0% 100.0% 100.0%						1 0.1% 100.0%	

23

AGE LEFT SCHOOL

AGE	GRADE	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
12	6	N 13 0.3% 46.2% 46.2%					7 0.3% 57.1%	1 0.1% 0.0%	5 0.5% 40.0%
13	7	N 20 0.5% 45.0% 45.0%	1 5.3% 0.0%	2 1.0% 50.0%			7 0.3% 42.5%	5 0.7% 20.0%	8 0.8% 62.5%
14	8	N 95 2.4% 56.8% 56.8%	1 5.3% 0.0%	2 2.5% 40.0%	2 10.5% 100.0%		53 2.3% 58.5%	11 1.5% 45.5%	31 3.2% 58.1%
15	9	N 314 8.0% 59.5% 59.5%	2 10.5% 50.0%	15 7.6% 53.3%	1 5.3% 100.0%	2 11.1% 0.0%	178 7.9% 62.4%	59 8.2% 61.0%	77 8.0% 53.2%
16	10	N 1126 28.6% 60.1% 60.1%	4 21.1% 50.0%	55 27.8% 74.5%	9 47.4% 55.6%	6 33.3% 66.7%	643 28.5% 59.6%	206 28.7% 65.0%	276 28.8% 58.0%
17	11	N 1396 35.5% 60.2% 60.2%	6 31.6% 66.7%	67 33.8% 80.0%	5 26.3% 80.0%	6 33.3% 83.3%	795 33.2% 56.4%	255 35.5% 66.2%	345 36.0% 63.0%
18	12	N 971 24.7% 65.6% 65.6%	5 26.3% 60.0%	54 27.3% 74.1%	2 10.5% 0.0%	4 22.2% 50.0%	574 25.4% 65.3%	180 25.1% 67.2%	217 22.6% 65.0%

24

ACADEMIC DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
ACADEMIC DISABILITY	N 4039 M -2.74 SD 2.19	19 -1.85 2.57	198 -3.31 2.19	20 -2.69 1.98	19 -2.49 1.79	2328 -2.63 2.17	730 -2.89 2.16	480 -2.47 2.24
ESTIMATED ACADEMIC RETARDATION	N 4053 M -3.37 SD 1.95	19 -3.49 2.32	200 -3.70 2.09	20 -4.24 2.08	19 -2.66 1.77	2336 -3.31 1.96	734 -3.39 1.91	482 -3.49 1.96

25

RATING ON MOTIVATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL MOTIVATED	N 2589 66.5% 62.4% 62.4%	12 6.7% 66.7%	132 66.0% 73.5%	12 63.2% 67.3%	11 73.3% 72.7%	1421 65.0% 60.7%	502 68.7% 67.3%	665 68.2% 62.5%
NOT MOTIVATED	N 1303 33.5% 57.6% 57.6%	6 33.3% 33.3%	68 34.0% 73.5%	7 36.8% 42.5%	4 26.7% 50.0%	764 35.0% 59.3%	229 31.3% 59.0%	310 31.8% 57.1%
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	N 424 10.6% 66.0% 66.0%		20 9.0% 80.0%		1 5.6% 100.0%	247 10.6% 62.5%	85 11.7% 75.3%	92 9.4% 67.4%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 2896 72.2% 61.8% 61.8%	14 75.7% 57.1%	149 75.0% 72.5%	12 60.0% 66.7%		1606 70.1% 60.1%	554 76.2% 67.1%	725 74.1% 61.7%
NO STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 1112 27.8% 59.5% 59.5%	5 26.3% 40.0%	55 27.0% 74.5%	8 40.0% 50.0%	3 16.7% 66.7%	685 29.9% 59.4%	173 23.8% 60.7%	254 25.9% 53.1%

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3888	HOMICIDE N = 18	ASSAULT N = 192	RESISTING ARREST N = 20	FORCIBLE RAPE N = 17	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE N = 2239	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 706	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 942
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	M 90.39 SD 18.29	96.50 16.49	87.28 16.26	86.00 13.36	87.24 16.68	91.74 18.64	89.18 18.03	87.75 17.08
VERBAL APTITUDE	M 86.06 SD 15.20	91.06 17.02	83.64 15.56	83.25 11.33	82.06 11.97	87.01 17.76	85.34 14.68	84.36 14.01
NUMERICAL APTITUDE	M 87.50 SD 19.33	94.33 16.56	85.02 18.60	86.65 18.69	87.12 20.49	88.50 20.14	86.81 19.37	85.67 19.70
SPATIAL APTITUDE	M 102.63 SD 20.43	103.83 19.25	98.91 22.39	98.85 14.31	99.18 16.86	104.61 20.31	100.01 20.04	99.89 20.51
PERCEPTUAL APTITUDE	M 99.32 SD 19.51	105.44 17.14	96.92 19.41	96.53 15.30	98.35 14.98	100.46 19.51	98.49 18.74	97.31 19.87
CLERICAL APTITUDE	M 93.74 SD 15.06	99.83 14.58	91.78 14.17	91.20 12.26	95.12 15.86	94.21 15.26	93.67 14.73	92.74 14.79
MOTOR COORDINATION	M 96.34 SD 18.54	101.17 16.35	98.03 17.17	96.55 17.73	101.24 16.56	95.71 18.45	97.17 18.30	97.25 18.90
FINGER DEXTERITY	M 91.07 SD 19.42	100.18 21.62	90.63 19.25	92.35 18.25	96.88 22.70	91.09 19.57	91.09 19.43	90.82 19.04
MANUAL DEXTERITY	M 111.70 SD 21.61	122.56 21.69	111.98 21.29	113.55 25.38	110.65 21.39	111.64 21.38	111.03 21.85	112.34 22.00

27

RATINGS OF MOTIVATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
WOODSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 773 74.6% 60.8%	5 100.0%	49 73.1%	6 90.0%	3 100.0%	477 75.0%	115 73.2%	181 74.5%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 263 25.4% 60.1%	18 26.8%	2 83.3%	2 100.0%	3 100.0%	159 25.0%	42 26.8%	62 25.5%
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 396 69.3% 62.5%	4 80.0%	45 71.4%	4 57.1%	3 66.7%	430 71.2%	107 66.0%	159 67.1%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 300 30.1% 58.3%	18 20.0%	28 66.7%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	174 28.8%	48 31.0%	78 32.9%
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 2779 71.4% 62.4%	13 72.2%	147 73.5%	10 70.0%	11 78.6%	1515 78.3%	537 73.6%	727 74.4%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 1113 28.6% 57.1%	5 27.8%	53 71.7%	9 44.4%	3 33.3%	670 30.7%	193 26.4%	250 25.4%
COUNSELOR'S RATING 11 - MOTIVATED	N 2872 71.2% 62.2%	12 63.2%	149 73.0%	12 60.0%	12 60.0%	1627 71.0%	530 73.0%	715 73.0%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 691 17.3% 57.7%	5 17.3%	30 73.1%	7 42.9%	3 66.7%	405 17.7%	119 16.4%	166 17.0%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING - YES	N 1065 26.8% 59.7%	15 78.9%	156 76.3%	11 55.0%	16 88.9%	1662 72.5%	537 73.9%	735 75.2%
- NONE	N 2934 73.4% 61.8%	4 21.1%	48 70.8%	9 45.0%	1 50.0%	631 27.5%	190 25.1%	243 24.8%

28

WORK EXPERIENCE, UNION MEMBERSHIP, AND VOCATIONAL DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	N 459 11.5% 58.8%	3 15.8%	22 10.8%	4 20.0%	6 33.3%	231 10.1%	97 13.4%	130 13.3%
0 - 6 MONTHS	N 1466 36.7% 59.3%	6 31.6%	69 33.8%	6 30.0%	2 11.1%	819 35.7%	273 37.6%	374 38.2%
6 - 12 MONTHS	N 725 18.1% 65.2%	3 15.8%	40 19.6%	6 30.0%	2 11.1%	423 18.5%	128 17.6%	174 17.8%
12 - 18 MONTHS	N 314 7.8% 59.9%	2 10.5%	19 9.3%	1 5.0%	2 11.1%	179 7.8%	66 9.1%	69 7.1%
18 - 24 MONTHS	N 138 3.5% 63.8%	2 10.5%	6 2.9%	2 10.0%	1 5.0%	84 3.7%	25 3.4%	29 3.0%
24 MONTHS AND OVER	N 435 10.8% 66.3%	1 5.3%	12 5.9%	1 5.0%	1 100.0%	276 12.0%	62 8.5%	95 9.7%
SPORADIC	N 407 10.2% 58.7%	2 9.5%	32 15.7%	3 15.0%	5 25.0%	244 10.6%	67 9.2%	96 9.8%
UNION MEMBER	N 309 7.7% 65.7%	3 15.8%	22 10.8%	1 5.0%	1 5.0%	155 6.7%	67 9.2%	77 7.9%
NOT A UNION MEMBER	N 3661 91.5% 60.2%	15 84.2%	180 88.2%	19 95.0%	18 100.0%	2111 92.1%	655 90.2%	894 91.3%
VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 239 6.0% 62.2%	1 5.3%	4 2.0%	1 5.0%	1 5.0%	147 6.4%	42 5.8%	50 5.1%
NO VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 3722 94.1% 61.1%	18 94.7%	197 96.6%	20 100.0%	17 94.4%	2127 92.8%	676 93.1%	918 95.8%

29

RESULTS ON THE CALIFORNIA PSYCHOLOGICAL INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	HOMICIDE N = 12	ASSAULT N = 149	RESISTING ARREST N = 15	FORCIBLE RAPE N = 14	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE N = 1816	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 560	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 726
DOMINANCE	M 38.37 SD 12.10	45.90 12.39	39.51 11.71	35.47 14.36	39.29 14.90	38.18 12.46	38.39 11.56	38.85 11.61
CAPACITY FOR STATUS	M 39.36 SD 11.64	41.17 10.84	40.15 11.17	37.13 12.73	39.86 13.59	39.31 11.80	39.30 11.71	39.52 11.20
SOCIABILITY	M 44.10 SD 11.48	48.50 9.35	45.70 11.28	44.73 13.29	45.86 13.95	43.75 11.66	44.13 11.20	44.98 11.21
SOCIAL PRESENCE	M 47.24 SD 11.10	49.50 8.60	48.39 10.23	50.47 13.43	44.14 11.56	47.17 11.32	47.10 10.62	47.52 10.43
SELF ACCEPTANCE	M 50.89 SD 11.42	53.50 9.65	53.23 10.54	51.07 14.06	47.14 8.76	50.39 11.59	50.98 10.46	52.06 11.28
SENSE OF WELL-BEING	M 37.68 SD 13.63	45.92 15.89	39.11 12.79	35.67 13.53	39.64 18.41	37.64 13.66	38.58 12.98	37.08 14.01
RESPONSIBILITY	M 30.97 SD 12.68	35.00 12.98	30.11 11.46	29.07 10.11	33.14 14.16	31.25 11.40	31.29 10.94	30.03 11.57
SOCIALIZATION	M 32.68 SD 9.73	33.50 6.56	33.26 9.46	34.73 9.56	33.29 10.96	32.81 9.79	33.18 9.37	31.96 9.84
SELF CONTROL	M 41.77 SD 11.14	48.42 12.57	45.28 10.84	42.20 12.36	43.00 10.63	41.93 11.21	42.12 10.65	41.09 11.32
TOLERANCE	M 34.83 SD 11.77	40.83 15.40	35.17 11.75	30.87 8.54	34.96 14.53	35.16 11.91	34.71 11.26	34.19 11.77
GOOD IMPRESSION	M 43.39 SD 10.82	48.08 10.21	45.68 11.07	44.53 14.39	47.86 15.94	43.31 10.92	43.43 10.75	43.57 10.61
COMMUNALITY	M 48.64 SD 11.84	56.00 7.20	48.11 12.55	48.13 10.16	43.14 12.95	48.90 11.59	48.61 12.24	48.92 12.13
ACHIEVEMENT VIA CONFORMANCE	M 37.09 SD 12.32	41.92 12.35	39.50 12.35	35.20 9.52	38.21 13.11	36.88 12.42	37.59 12.13	36.92 12.18
ACHIEVEMENT VIA INDEPENDENCE	M 39.47 SD 10.20	44.75 13.38	39.13 19.62	35.67 7.42	41.64 11.62	39.51 10.26	40.04 9.74	38.92 10.38
INTELLECTUAL EFFICIENCY	M 34.57 SD 12.86	44.08 12.54	34.81 12.51	33.53 11.14	34.64 14.42	34.59 13.06	35.05 12.63	34.14 12.53
PSYCHOLOGICAL MINDENESS	M 43.53 SD 12.20	42.00 9.63	43.57 12.10	43.80 10.37	44.07 13.93	43.44 12.02	44.33 13.06	43.17 11.96
FLEXIBILITY	M 49.61 SD 9.54	45.33 13.53	48.75 9.69	48.00 9.55	49.50 7.47	49.89 9.55	49.59 9.53	48.89 9.43
FEMININITY	M 49.08 SD 9.93	50.25 5.41	50.16 11.50	48.53 8.15	48.50 6.01	48.74 9.77	49.60 10.38	49.54 9.96
CPI EQUATION	M 49.82 SD 4.88	50.68 4.60	49.63 4.79	50.56 4.81	50.59 3.90	49.92 5.03	50.03 4.69	49.40 5.04

30

RESULTS ON THE MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	HOMICIDE N = 13	ASSAULT N = 158	RESISTING ARREST N = 15	FORCIBLE RAPE N = 14	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE N = 1825	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 563	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 739
LIE	M 54.42 SD 6.88	55.77 12.48	54.72 6.86	54.47 7.35	57.00 7.77	54.44 6.72	54.73 6.82	54.12 6.48
VALIDITY	M 61.60 SD 9.70	58.92 9.19	61.74 9.47	60.00 7.62	65.43 12.07	61.48 9.55	60.82 9.55	62.50 9.34
CORRECTION FACTOR	M 51.63 SD 9.25	54.08 9.43	53.09 8.78	53.67 8.44	52.79 11.99	51.50 9.40	51.44 9.14	51.67 8.99
HYPochondriasis	M 53.52 SD 10.57	49.00 7.62	54.63 10.71	58.53 16.00	54.79 9.74	53.21 10.65	53.54 10.86	54.28 11.77
DEPRESSION	M 60.98 SD 12.04	55.31 5.60	60.09 12.35	60.33 12.62	63.36 10.96	61.16 11.82	60.93 11.91	60.59 12.66
HYSTERIA	M 55.87 SD 9.05	55.38 9.55	55.96 7.44	58.07 11.72	59.14 7.13	55.75 8.99	55.86 9.02	56.60 9.19
PSYCHOPATHIC DEVIATE	M 74.31 SD 11.21	73.08 8.49	72.80 10.82	71.87 7.58	73.07 7.28	74.17 11.30	74.35 10.70	74.65 11.39
MASCULINITY-FEMININITY	M 54.81 SD 9.79	55.90 6.63	55.89 9.11	51.27 6.63	53.57 13.67	54.74 9.95	54.69 9.57	55.06 9.56
PARANOID	M 59.87 SD 12.35	57.85 9.92	59.92 11.82	58.33 13.85	65.00 14.44	59.80 12.19	58.41 11.84	60.80 13.03
PSYCHASTHENIA	M 61.21 SD 13.32	60.15 13.46	60.58 13.46	60.73 13.20	64.79 16.68	62.00 13.20	61.10 12.61	61.88 14.12
SCHIZOPHRENIA	M 66.71 SD 14.67	60.31 11.05	66.97 15.04	65.13 11.61	72.64 20.35	66.53 14.42	66.01 14.30	67.70 15.52
HYPOMANIA	M 64.85 SD 11.91	62.54 7.32	66.25 11.67	62.93 9.15	64.50 12.41	63.91 11.86	64.11 11.42	66.08 12.27
SOCIAL INTROVERSION	M 53.39 SD 10.06	50.92 6.72	52.82 9.61	52.60 9.61	55.50 13.68	53.76 10.26	53.14 9.57	52.67 9.42
MMPI EQUATION	M 50.91 SD 3.03	51.34 2.22	50.45 2.87	51.87 2.01	50.58 2.89	51.02 2.91	51.08 3.35	50.49 3.02

31

RESULTS ON THE INTERPERSONAL PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	HOMICIDE N = 15	ASSAULT N = 154	RESISTING ARREST N = 15	FORCIBLE RAPE N = 16	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE N = 1843	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 583	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE N = 754
IPI	M 44.88 SD 8.98	47.27 11.16	44.58 8.38	44.53 7.42	46.19 9.34	45.24 9.15	44.39 8.87	44.37 8.63

RESULTS ON
THE INTERPERSONAL
PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	IP1	M	SP	44.88	47.27	44.58	44.53	46.19	45.24	44.35	44.37
				8.98	11.16	8.38	7.42	9.34	9.15	8.87	8.63

32

RESULTS OF CPI
AND MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
CPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1766 56.9%	9 75.0%	88 59.1%	10 66.7%	11 78.6%	1052 58.0%	315 56.3%	399 55.0%
CPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1336 43.1%	3 25.0%	61 40.9%	5 33.3%	3 21.4%	765 42.0%	245 43.8%	327 45.0%
MMPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1797 57.5%	7 53.8%	99 62.7%	9 60.0%	8 57.1%	1051 57.7%	332 59.0%	414 55.0%
MMPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1329 42.5%	6 46.2%	59 37.3%	6 40.0%	6 42.9%	772 42.3%	231 41.0%	325 44.0%

33

RESULTS OF THE
CPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1278 41.2%	6 50.0%	72 48.3%	8 53.3%	9 64.3%	449 41.3%	249 44.5%	280 38.6%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 488 15.7%	3 25.0%	16 10.7%	2 13.3%	2 14.3%	302 16.7%	66 11.6%	119 16.4%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 704 22.7%	2 16.7%	23 15.4%	4 26.7%	2 14.3%	417 23.0%	121 21.8%	165 22.7%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 632 20.4%	1 8.3%	38 25.5%	1 6.7%	1 7.1%	346 19.1%	124 22.1%	162 22.3%

34

RESULTS OF THE
MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1465 46.8%	6 46.2%	83 52.5%	8 53.3%	6 42.9%	857 47.0%	289 51.3%	317 42.9%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 334 10.7%	1 7.7%	16 10.1%	1 6.7%	2 14.3%	194 10.6%	43 7.6%	97 13.1%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 872 27.0%	5 38.5%	28 17.7%	5 33.3%	2 14.3%	526 28.9%	147 26.1%	198 26.8%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 457 14.6%	1 7.7%	31 19.6%	1 6.7%	4 28.6%	243 13.5%	84 14.9%	127 17.2%

35

REASONS FOR REFERRAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
MENTAL ILLNESS	N 60 11.7% 56.7%		2 0.9% 100.0%			32 1.3% 53.1%	10 1.3% 60.0%	18 1.8% 61.1%
SEXUAL PROBLEMS	N 115 22.5% 62.6%		4 1.9% 75.0%	1 5.0% 0.0%	16 88.9% 62.5%	49 2.1% 57.1%	9 1.2% 66.7%	57 5.7% 66.7%
VIOLENCE POTENTIAL	N 242 47.4% 45.5%	11 57.9% 45.5%	59 28.0% 67.8%	2 10.0% 50.0%		29 1.2% 69.0%	30 4.0% 70.0%	183 18.2% 60.7%

36

SYMPTOMS FOUND DURING PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
DEPRESSION	N 115 2.8% 60.0%	5 26.3% 40.0%	14 6.6% 71.4%	0	5 27.8% 60.0%	44 1.8% 56.8%	13 1.7% 61.5%	58 5.8% 62.1%
ANXIETY	N 117 2.8% 56.4%	6 31.6% 50.0%	15 7.1% 66.7%	0	4 22.2% 50.0%	50 2.1% 81.8%	11 1.5% 81.8%	56 5.6% 46.4%
DEPENDENCY	N 131 3.2% 54.2%	2 10.5% 100.0%	9 4.6% 68.4%	1 5.0% 0.0%	6 33.3% 50.0%	55 2.3% 56.4%	16 2.1% 56.3%	60 6.0% 51.7%

37

PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
BRAIN DISORDERS	N 4 0.1% 100.0%	1 50.0%	1 100.0%	0	0	1 100.0%	1 100.0%	2 100.0%
PSYCHOTIC	N 29 0.7% 62.1%	2 6.9%	2 6.9%	1 3.4%	0	13 44.8%	6 20.7%	10 34.5%
NEUROTIC	N 40 1.0% 60.0%	1 2.5%	5 12.5%	0	2 5.0%	17 42.5%	5 12.5%	18 45.0%
PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 109 2.6% 67.0%	1 0.9%	12 10.9%	0	1 0.9%	44 40.4%	14 12.7%	51 46.9%
PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 205 4.9% 56.6%	7 3.4%	38 18.5%	2 1.0%	9 4.4%	74 36.1%	20 9.7%	111 53.9%
SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 14 0.3% 63.6%	1 7.1%	4 28.6%	0	3 21.4%	15 107.1%	5 35.7%	24 171.4%
TRANSITIONAL SITUATIONAL PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 49 1.2% 57.1%	1 2.0%	8 16.3%	2 4.1%	2 4.1%	13 26.5%	9 18.0%	27 54.0%

38

ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
HOMICIDE	N 19 0.5% 52.6%	19 100.0%	0	0	0	3 15.8%	2 10.5%	14 72.7%
NEGLIGENT MAN-SLAUGHTER	N 13 0.3% 100.0%	0	0	0	0	8 61.5%	0	5 38.5%
ROBBERY	N 438 10.6% 70.3%	0	0	0	0	70 15.9%	230 52.3%	138 31.3%
ASSAULT	N 233 5.6% 71.7%	0	211 90.5%	20 8.6%	0	22 9.4%	20 8.6%	191 82.0%
BURGLARY	N 1080 26.1% 60.0%	0	0	0	0	768 70.9%	148 13.7%	164 15.2%
THEFT	N 421 10.2% 61.0%	0	0	0	0	253 60.1%	78 18.5%	90 21.4%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 719 17.4% 53.4%	0	0	0	0	525 73.1%	87 12.1%	107 14.8%
FORGERY	N 207 5.0% 52.7%	0	0	0	0	170 82.1%	20 9.7%	17 8.2%
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 28 0.7% 71.4%	0	0	0	18 100.0%	6 21.4%	2 7.1%	20 71.4%
STATUTORY RAPE	N 82 2.0% 56.1%	0	0	0	0	37 45.1%	11 13.3%	34 41.6%
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	0	0	0	0	25 56.8%	10 22.7%	11 25.0%
NARCOTICS OFFENSES	N 370 8.9% 65.5%	0	0	0	0	245 66.2%	58 15.7%	67 18.1%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 37 0.9% 67.6%	0	0	0	0	19 51.4%	5 13.5%	14 37.6%
OTHER	N 304 7.3% 60.2%	0	0	0	0	159 52.3%	64 21.1%	81 26.6%
PAROLE VIOLATION	N 148 3.6% 53.4%	0	0	0	0	74 50.0%	21 14.2%	53 35.8%

39

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
HOMICIDE	N 17							

39

VIOLATION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
HOMICIDE	N 17 1.2%				1 16.7%	11 1.4%	1 0.4%	5 1.4%
NEGLIGENT MAJSLAUGHTER	N 1 0.1%					1 0.1%		
ROBBERY	N 368 12.0%	2 37.5%	6 11.3%		1 16.7%	71 8.7%	43 18.4%	54 15.2%
ASSAULT	N 89 6.3%	1 12.5%	8 15.1%	3 37.5%		34 4.2%	9 3.8%	46 12.9%
BURGLARY	N 265 18.9%	1 12.5%	7 13.2%	1 12.5%		177 21.7%	44 18.8%	44 17.4%
THEFT	N 123 8.8%		2 3.8%	1 12.5%	1 16.7%	75 9.2%	19 8.1%	24 8.1%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 168 12.0%		2 3.9%			113 13.9%	21 9.0%	34 9.6%
FORGERY	N 58 4.1%		1 1.9%			44 5.4%	7 3.0%	7 2.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 10 0.7%					4 0.5%	2 0.8%	4 1.1%
STATUTORY RAPE	N 9 0.6%		1 1.9%			6 0.7%		3 0.8%
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 17 1.2%					13 1.6%		4 1.1%
NARCOTIC OFFENSES	N 222 15.8%		10 18.4%	3 37.5%	2 33.3%	127 15.6%	37 15.8%	59 16.3%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 11 0.8%					4 0.5%	4 1.7%	3 0.8%
OTHER	N 247 17.6%	3 37.5%	16 30.2%		1 16.7%	134 16.5%	47 20.1%	65 18.3%

40

ADMISSION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
PERSON OFFENSES	N 857 20.7% 69.1%	19 100.0% 52.6%	211 100.0% 75.0%	20 100.0% 60.0%	18 100.0% 61.1%	169 7.1% 65.1%	275 36.5% 71.6%	413 41.1% 69.0%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 2427 58.6% 57.6%					1716 72.0% 58.0%	333 44.2% 59.5%	378 37.6% 54.0%
OTHERS	N 859 20.7% 61.8%					497 20.9% 63.2%	146 19.4% 65.8%	215 21.4% 56.3%

41

VIOLATION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
PERSON OFFENSES	N 311 22.1%	4 50.0%	15 28.3%	3 37.5%	2 33.3%	140 17.2%	55 23.5%	116 32.6%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 614 43.7%	1 12.5%	12 22.6%	2 25.0%	1 16.7%	409 50.2%	91 38.5%	114 32.0%
OTHERS	N 480 34.2%	3 37.5%	26 49.1%	3 37.5%	3 50.0%	265 32.6%	88 37.6%	126 35.4%

42

CYA HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	N 2457 61.4% 59.6%	3 15.0% 100.0%	47 23.0% 68.1%	4 20.0% 100.0%	5 25.0% 60.0%	1935 87.0% 59.3%	237 32.6% 63.3%	224 22.9% 58.0%
MODERATE	N 1000 25.0% 62.7%	2 10.5% 100.0%	53 26.0% 67.9%	8 40.0% 62.5%	1 5.0% 100.0%	237 10.3% 61.2%	440 60.5% 67.5%	323 33.0% 57.3%
SERIOUS	N 543 13.6% 65.7%	14 73.7% 35.7%	104 51.0% 77.9%	8 40.0% 37.5%	12 60.0% 58.3%	61 2.7% 73.8%	50 6.9% 60.0%	432 44.1% 65.3%

43

CASEWORKER ESTIMATION OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
LEAST	N 662 21.4% 63,925	5 3.1% 100.0%	1 6.3% 100.0%	1 6.3% 100.0%	1 6.3% 100.0%	584 33.9% 62,225	48 8.3% 81,325	29 3.7% 72,425
MILD	N 820 26.5% 61,825	11 6.9% 81,825	1 6.3% 100.0%	1 6.3% 100.0%	1 6.3% 100.0%	527 30.6% 60,225	165 28.4% 63,625	128 16.3% 66,425
MODERATE	N 1273 41.2% 60,625	8 50.0% 37,525	8 42.8% 73,525	9 56.3% 44,425	7 44.1% 71,425	572 33.2% 58,725	322 55.5% 67,425	379 48.3% 57,825
SERIOUS	N 311 10.1% 61,125	7 43.8% 57,125	69 43.4% 72,525	5 31.3% 40,025	3 25.0% 33,325	39 2.3% 53,825	43 7.4% 55,825	229 29.2% 63,325
GREATEST	N 24 0.8% 70,825	1 0.8% 0.0%	6 3.8% 83,325	3 3.8% 83,325	3 3.8% 83,325	3 0.2% 66,725	2 0.3% 100.0%	19 2.4% 68,425

44

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	N 2386 57.5% 59,625	3 15.8% 100.0%	17 8.1% 70,545	4 20.0% 100.0%	5 27.8% 60,025	2385 100.0% 59,625		
AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO VIOLENCE	N 754 18.2% 65,125	2 10.5% 0.0%	18 8.5% 72,225	2 10.0% 100.0%	1 5.6% 0.0%		754 100.0% 65,125	
VIOLENCE	N 1006 24.3% 60,625	14 73.7% 50.0%	176 83.4% 73,325	14 70.0% 42,925	12 66.7% 66,725			1006 100.0% 60,625

45

HISTORY OF CARRYING WEAPONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	N 2244 71.0% 61,025	4 21.1% 50.0%	81 38.4% 70,425	13 65.0% 69,225	15 83.3% 66,725	2124 89.1% 60,225	407 54.0% 65,625	412 41.0% 60,725
YES	N 1202 29.0% 60,525	15 78.9% 53,325	130 61.6% 74,625	7 35.0% 42,525	3 16.7% 33,325	261 10.8% 54,825	347 46.0% 64,625	594 59.0% 60,625

46

PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	N 1794 44.9% 56,025	12 63.2% 41,725	98 48.0% 70,425	10 50.0% 60,025	6 33.3% 60,025	1057 46.2% 54,425	278 38.5% 55,125	459 46.9% 59,725
ONE	N 1090 27.3% 64,125	5 26.3% 60,025	36 17.6% 77,625	5 25.0% 60,025	3 16.7% 66,725	635 27.7% 62,425	224 30.8% 71,925	230 23.5% 61,725
TWO	N 599 15.0% 67,525	1 13.7% 82,125	28 13.7% 82,125	1 5.0% 0.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	335 14.6% 66,925	125 17.2% 72,025	139 16.2% 66,925
THREE OR MORE	N 513 12.8% 65,125	2 10.5% 100.0%	42 20.6% 69,025	4 20.0% 75,025	8 44.4% 62,525	263 11.5% 67,325	100 13.8% 70,025	150 15.3% 58,025

47

CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	N 3350 83.0% 60,325	18 94.7% 55,625	159 77.0% 73,625	17 85.0% 52,925	14 77.8% 64,325	1988 85.9% 58,725	582 80.1% 65,625	779 79.7% 60,625
ONE	N 375 9.4% 65,625	1 5.3% 0.0%	15 7.4% 73,325	2 10.0% 100.0%	1 5.6% 0.0%	178 7.8% 65,725	88 12.1% 65,925	109 11.1% 65,125
TWO	N 72 1.8% 63,925		7 3.4% 57,125		2 11.1% 50,025	30 11.1% 36,725	17 2.3% 58,825	25 2.6% 52,025
THREE OR MORE	N 197 4.9% 66,525		23 11.3% 73,625	1 5.0% 100.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	92 4.0% 69,625	40 5.5% 67,525	65 6.6% 61,525

48

INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	N 2900 72.5% 58.5%	4 21.1% 25.0%	19 9.3% 84.2%	4 20.0% 75.0%		2060 89.6% 59.1%	396 54.5% 60.3%	444 45.4% 53.8%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 122 3.1% 13.9%		2 1.0% 100.0%		6 33.3% 66.7%	36 1.6% 50.0%	47 6.5% 70.2%	39 4.0% 69.2%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 304 7.6% 71.1%		15 7.4% 87.5%	2 10.0% 0.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	59 2.6% 76.3%	175 24.1% 72.0%	70 7.2% 64.3%
MINOR INJURIES	N 393 9.8% 60.2%		108 52.0% 72.2%	13 65.0% 61.5%	7 38.9% 57.1%	62 2.7% 75.8%	55 7.6% 65.5%	275 28.1% 67.3%
MAJOR INJURIES	N 107 2.7% 68.2%		42 20.6% 71.4%		3 16.7% 33.3%	19 0.7% 53.3%	7 1.0% 85.7%	85 8.7% 69.4%
DEATH	N 36 0.9% 72.2%	15 78.0% 60.0%	1 0.5% 0.0%			19 0.8% 85.7%	3 0.4% 33.3%	19 1.9% 68.4%

49

GROUP VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	N 3289 82.3% 59.1%	13 68.4% 78.5%	92 45.1% 71.7%	10 50.0% 60.0%	6 33.3% 50.0%	2145 89.6% 59.4%	490 67.4% 61.0%	654 56.8% 56.7%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 70 1.8% 63.7%		2 1.0% 100.0%		1 5.6% 100.0%	24 1.0% 50.0%	25 3.4% 88.0%	21 2.1% 57.1%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 240 6.0% 73.3%		6 2.9% 100.0%	2 10.0% 0.0%	1 5.6% 100.0%	52 2.3% 78.8%	139 19.1% 72.7%	49 5.0% 69.4%
MINOR INJURIES	N 240 6.0% 71.3%	1 5.3% 100.0%	70 34.3% 74.3%	7 35.0% 71.4%	6 33.3% 66.7%	39 1.7% 71.8%	44 6.1% 68.2%	156 15.9% 72.4%
MAJOR INJURIES	N 71 1.8% 69.0%		26 12.7% 69.2%		4 22.2% 50.0%	8 0.2% 25.0%	6 0.8% 83.3%	61 6.2% 70.5%
DEATH	N 14 0.4% 71.4%	5 26.3% 80.0%	2 1.0% 50.0%			4 0.2% 75.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	9 0.9% 66.7%

50

WEAPON USED BY INDIVIDUAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
NONE	N 3285 82.2% 59.6%	7 36.8% 28.6%	94 46.1% 74.5%	12 60.0% 58.3%	14 77.8% 64.3%	2121 92.6% 59.3%	480 66.0% 62.7%	683 69.8% 58.3%
TOY GUN	N 40 1.0% 65.0%					11 0.5% 45.5%	22 3.0% 81.8%	7 0.7% 42.9%
UNLOADED GUN	N 13 0.3% 76.9%		2 1.0% 100.0%			5 0.2% 60.0%	6 0.8% 83.3%	2 0.2% 100.0%
LOADED GUN	N 125 3.1% 69.6%	6 31.6% 66.7%	25 12.3% 88.0%	2 10.0% 50.0%		31 1.4% 80.6%	41 5.6% 63.4%	53 5.4% 67.9%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 149 3.7% 67.1%		2 1.0% 100.0%	2 10.0% 50.0%		39 1.7% 61.5%	79 10.9% 72.2%	31 3.2% 61.3%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 135 3.4% 66.7%	3 15.8% 67.5%	31 15.2% 67.5%		2 11.1% 50.0%	28 1.2% 70.3%	37 5.1% 70.3%	70 7.2% 71.4%
OTHER	N 116 2.9% 69.8%	3 15.8% 66.7%	34 16.7% 61.8%	3 15.0% 66.7%	1 5.6% 100.0%	27 1.2% 74.1%	16 2.2% 68.8%	73 7.5% 68.5%

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER RECOMMENDATION


	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0% 100.0%	1 5.4% 100.0%	11 5.4% 81.8%	3 15.8% 37.3%		124 5.5% 52.4%	32 4.3% 53.1%	46 4.7% 50.0%
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1349 35.0% 62.0%	6 33.3% 33.3%	57 27.8% 68.4%	1 15.8% 66.7%	5 27.6% 60.0%	804 35.9% 59.1%	265 35.7% 74.0%	280 28.3% 59.3%
CAMPS	N 838 21.2% 44.2%	1 5.6% 0.0%	18 8.8% 72.2%	5 26.3% 80.0%		607 27.1% 64.6%	123 16.6% 60.2%	107 10.8% 67.3%
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 151 16.4% 59.8%	7 38.9% 57.1%	50 24.4% 70.0%	1 5.3% 0.0%	6 33.3% 83.3%	243 10.8% 58.0%	144 19.4% 66.7%	264 26.7% 57.6%
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 760 19.1% 58.3%	3 16.7% 100.0%	58 28.3% 75.9%	5 26.3% 80.0%	7 38.9% 42.9%	357 15.9% 55.2%	150 20.2% 56.0%	253 25.6% 64.0%

55

CYA BOARD ORDER FOR TRANSFER

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	HOMICIDE	ASSAULT	RESISTING ARREST	FORCIBLE RAPE	NO HISTORY OF VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE	HISTORY OF ACTUAL VIOLENCE
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 220 5.3% 54.1%	2 10.5% 100.0%	10 4.7% 60.0%	2 10.0% 50.0%		149 6.3% 56.4%	27 3.6% 51.9%	44 4.4% 47.7%
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1113 26.9% 65.0%	7 36.8% 42.9%	57 27.0% 71.9%	3 15.0% 66.7%	8 44.4% 62.5%	633 26.7% 60.5%	231 30.7% 75.3%	249 24.8% 67.1%
BEN LOWMID	N 235 5.7% 65.5%		7 3.3% 71.4%			156 7.0% 63.3%	36 4.8% 69.4%	33 3.3% 72.7%
MT. BULLION	N 240 5.8% 67.1%		6 2.8% 50.0%			180 7.6% 68.9%	35 4.7% 62.9%	25 2.5% 60.0%
PINE GROVE	N 255 6.2% 64.3%	1 5.3% 0.0%	4 1.9% 100.0%	1 5.0% 0.0%		180 7.6% 65.0%	34 4.5% 64.7%	41 4.1% 61.0%
WASHINGTON RIDGE	N 329 8.0% 58.1%		6 2.8% 66.7%	2 10.0% 50.0%		235 9.9% 60.4%	42 5.6% 54.8%	52 5.2% 50.0%
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 754 18.3% 57.7%	6 31.6% 33.3%	50 23.7% 72.0%	7 35.0% 57.1%	4 22.2% 100.0%	384 16.2% 55.2%	140 18.6% 62.9%	230 22.9% 58.7%
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 877 21.1% 57.0%	3 15.8% 100.0%	68 32.2% 77.9%	5 25.0% 80.0%	6 33.3% 33.3%	395 16.6% 56.2%	187 24.9% 59.4%	294 29.3% 59.5%

To relate parole success to the different variables studied, a method of graphic display was designed to guide the reader. Included with most parole success percentages (%S) in a cell are circular symbols that express both the magnitude and the direction of deviations from the overall success percentage (60.9%S). The following symbols are used in all DATA MAPS to guide the reader quickly to either "good" parole risks, "poor" parole risks, or anything between these two extremes.



Solid circles symbolize parole success deviations below the overall success percentage, while empty circles denote success deviations above the overall success percentage. Where no symbol is displayed, it is because of one of the following reasons: (1) The deviation symbol has been provided elsewhere (as with the total study population columns); (2) there were fewer than ten cases in the cell; (3) there is less than one percent deviation from the overall parole success rate.

The visual scanning of these tables can result in the notation of possible relationships between parole success and the various variable categories. If there are parole deviation figures of decreasing or increasing size along a column or row, this may be indicative of a linear relationship. Similar logic can be applied when parole deviation figures appear similar in size and direction at the ends of a row or column. This may indicate the presence of a curvilinear relationship. Also, possible relationships may be noted between the vertical and horizontal variables by noting the proportions of cases falling in different cells. The reader is referred to the text in the user's manual and the companion book THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER for more complete description of the methods of analyzing the tables in the DATA MAPS. It should also be noted that the symbols do not establish relationships, but are meant to guide and provide background information for discussions and hypothesis formulation. The testing of hypotheses remains for further and more rigorous studies.

THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER

This DATA MAP presents comparative data on race classification subgroups. STUDY POPULATION: 4,146 California Youth Authority male wards, consecutive intakes in 1964-65 at the Reception Guidance Center, Deuel Vocational Institution, Tracy. PAROLE FOLLOW-UP: 15 months. AVERAGE PAROLE SUCCESS RATE: 60.9% (60.9%S).

Standard data presented in 55 tables Frequencies, percentages, and percent success on parole. Means (M), and standard deviations (SD) for test results and other continuous data.

Information in first column: Data on combined total study population.

Information in columns 2-4: Data on race classification subgroups.

The first number in each cell: Number of cases sharing both the column and the row labels.

The second number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell in relation to the other cells in column.

The third number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell which successfully completed parole.

Symbols: Explanation of symbols follows table 55 at the end of the DATA MAP.

Other DATA MAPS available in this series: Intelligence, Alcohol and Drugs, Violence, Burglary, Robbery, Assault and Parole.

For legend of symbols see below table 55

1 COMMITMENT COURT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
JUVENILE COURT	N 345 8.3% 51.6% 51.6% 51.6%	183 8.3% 46.4% 46.4%	82 10.6% 54.5% 54.5%	73 6.8% 56.2% 56.2%
SUPERIOR COURT	N 3339 80.7% 62.2% 62.2%	1782 80.6% 62.3% 62.3%	588 76.2% 62.4% 62.4%	905 84.2% 61.9% 61.9%
MUNICIPAL COURT	N 438 10.6% 58.0% 58.0%	233 10.5% 60.9% 60.9%	96 12.4% 59.4% 59.4%	97 9.0% 48.5% 48.5%
JUSTICE COURT	N 18 0.4% 55.6% 55.6%	12 0.5% 58.3% 58.3%	6 0.8% 50.0% 50.0%	0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

2 ADMISSION STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
FIRST ADMISSION	N 2470 60.5% 67.0% 67.0%	1396 64.1% 67.2% 67.2%	431 56.6% 66.8% 66.8%	592 55.8% 66.5% 66.5%
FIRST RETURN	N 800 19.6% 54.9% 54.9%	405 18.6% 54.3% 54.3%	157 20.6% 58.0% 58.0%	221 20.8% 55.4% 55.4%
2ND AND MORE RETURNS	N 732 17.8% 47.0% 47.0%	328 15.0% 44.2% 44.2%	158 20.8% 49.4% 49.4%	231 21.8% 48.5% 48.5%
RE-ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE FROM CYA	N 83 2.0% 57.8% 57.8%	50 2.3% 52.0% 52.0%	15 2.0% 66.7% 66.7%	17 1.6% 64.7% 64.7%

3 RACE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
RACE	N 4146 100.0% 60.9% 60.9%	2212 53.4% 60.9% 60.9%	772 18.6% 61.1% 61.1%	1076 26.0% 60.3% 60.3%

4 AGE, TIME IN INSTITUTION, WEIGHT, AND HEIGHT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
AGE AT ADMISSION	N 4134 M 19.44 SD 0.94	2208 M 19.46 SD 0.95	770 M 19.37 SD 0.94	1073 M 19.44 SD 0.92
AGE AT RELEASE	N 4057 M 20.24 SD 0.99	2165 M 20.23 SD 1.00	757 M 20.21 SD 1.00	1054 M 20.28 SD 0.97
TIME IN INSTITUTION	N 4138 M 9.23 SD 4.77	2211 M 8.85 SD 4.66	771 M 9.61 SD 4.89	1073 M 9.73 SD 4.79
WEIGHT	N 4131 M 149.67 SD 20.64	2204 M 150.44 SD 20.18	771 M 141.84 SD 19.96	1072 M 154.03 SD 20.38
HEIGHT	N 4133 M 68.33 SD 2.85	2205 M 68.76 SD 2.79	771 M 66.58 SD 2.52	1073 M 68.81 SD 2.71

5 MARITAL STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
SINGLE	N 3277 M 81.9% 61.0% 61.0%	1723 M 81.0% 61.3% 61.3%	605 M 80.8% 61.1% 61.1%	884 M 84.4% 60.0% 60.0%
MARRIED	N 367 M 9.7% 61.9% 61.9%	236 M 11.1% 61.9% 61.9%	73 M 9.7% 64.4% 64.4%	74 M 7.1% 66.2% 66.2%

5

MARITAL STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
SINGLE	N 3277 81.5% 61.0%	1723 81.0% 61.3%	606 80.8% 61.1%	84 84.4% 60.0%
MARRIED	N 387 9.7% 63.3%	236 11.1% 61.9%	73 9.7% 64.4%	74 7.1% 66.2%
DIVORCED	N 55 1.4% 56.4%	46 2.2% 54.3%	5 0.7% 80.0%	4 0.4% 50.0%
DIVORCED, RE-MARRIED	N 18 0.5% 33.3%	11 0.5% 45.5%	5 0.7% 20.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%
SEPARATED	N 112 2.8% 66.1%	69 3.2% 68.1%	16 2.1% 56.3%	24 2.3% 75.0%
COMMON LAW	N 128 3.2% 57.8%	30 1.4% 63.3%	40 5.3% 60.0%	54 5.2% 53.7%
WIDOWED	N 2 0.1% 50.0%	1 0.0% 0.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	

6

CHILDREN
ACKNOWLEDGED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 3521 85.0% 61.2%	1845 86.6% 61.8%	628 83.7% 62.6%	74 74.9% 57.9%
ONE	N 516 12.9% 60.5%	220 10.3% 56.8%	94 12.5% 53.2%	195 18.6% 69.7%
TWO	N 127 3.2% 64.6%	55 2.6% 61.8%	18 2.4% 72.2%	52 5.0% 63.5%
THREE	N 28 0.7% 64.3%	8 0.4% 87.5%	6 0.8% 50.0%	13 1.2% 61.5%
FOUR AND MORE	N 8 0.1% 62.5%	1 0.0% 100.0%	4 0.5% 25.0%	3 0.3% 100.0%

7

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
WIFE/GIRL FRIEND	N 349 8.7% 60.5%	177 8.3% 59.9%	90 12.0% 63.3%	75 7.2% 60.0%
NATURAL PARENTS	N 1185 29.6% 61.6%	545 25.6% 63.1%	254 33.5% 61.8%	357 34.2% 59.1%
RELATIVES	N 248 6.2% 62.9%	93 4.4% 59.1%	59 7.8% 61.0%	88 8.4% 67.0%
FOSTER PARENTS	N 76 1.9% 50.0%	48 2.3% 59.0%	11 1.5% 54.5%	17 1.6% 47.1%
FRIENDS - FIXED	N 134 3.4% 67.9%	103 4.8% 68.9%	7 0.9% 85.7%	21 2.0% 57.1%
ALONE - FIXED	N 144 3.6% 64.6%	97 4.6% 66.0%	13 1.7% 61.5%	31 3.0% 58.1%
ALONE - NOT FIXED	N 207 5.2% 57.0%	164 7.7% 57.9%	19 2.5% 57.9%	21 2.0% 52.4%
GROUP HOME	N 17 0.4% 47.1%	11 0.5% 45.5%	1 0.1% 100.0%	5 0.5% 40.0%

8

MARITAL STATUS
OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NEVER MARRIED	N 214 5.4% 61.7%	57 2.7% 56.1%	42 5.6% 57.1%	106 10.1% 67.0%
MARRIED	N 1631 40.8% 62.9%	899 42.3% 63.1%	336 44.5% 64.3%	360 34.4% 61.1%
DIVORCED	N 30 0.8% 66.7%	3 0.1% 100.0%	15 2.0% 60.0%	11 1.1% 63.6%
DIVORCED, RE-MARRIED	N 345 8.6% 61.7%	120 5.6% 57.5%	83 11.2% 63.9%	137 13.1% 65.0%
SEPARATED	N 1263 31.6% 61.2%	759 35.7% 62.6%	185 24.7% 56.2%	301 28.8% 60.8%
COMMON LAW	N 8 0.2% 33.3%	4 0.2% 75.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	3 0.3% 66.7%
WIDOWED	N 3 0.1% 33.3%	2 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	

5

MARITAL STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
SINGLE	N 3277 81.0% 61.0%	1723 81.0% 61.3%	606 80.8% 61.1%	884 84.4% 60.0%
MARRIED	N 387 9.7% 63.3%	236 11.1% 61.9%	73 9.7% 64.4%	74 7.1% 66.2%
DIVORCED	N 55 1.4% 56.4%	46 2.2% 54.3%	5 0.7% 80.0%	4 0.4% 50.0%
DIVORCED, RE-MARRIED	N 18 0.5% 33.3%	11 0.5% 45.5%	5 0.7% 20.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%
SEPARATED	N 112 2.8% 66.1%	69 3.2% 68.1%	16 2.1% 56.3%	24 2.3% 75.0%
COMMON LAW	N 128 3.2% 57.6%	30 1.4% 67.3%	40 5.3% 60.0%	54 5.2% 53.7%
WIDOWED	N 2 0.1% 50.0%	1 0.0% 0.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	

6

CHILDREN ACKNOWLEDGED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 3321 83.0% 61.2%	1843 85.6% 61.8%	628 83.7% 62.6%	784 74.9% 57.9%
ONE	N 516 12.9% 60.5%	220 10.3% 56.8%	94 12.5% 53.2%	195 18.6% 69.7%
TWO	N 127 3.2% 64.6%	55 2.6% 61.8%	18 2.4% 72.2%	52 5.0% 63.5%
THREE	N 28 0.7% 64.3%	3 0.4% 87.5%	6 0.8% 50.0%	13 1.2% 61.5%
FOUR AND MORE	N 8 0.1% 62.5%	1 0.0% 100.0%	4 0.5% 75.0%	3 0.3% 100.0%

7

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
WIFE/GIRL FRIEND	N 349 8.7% 60.5%	177 8.3% 59.3%	90 12.0% 63.3%	75 7.2% 60.0%
NATURAL PARENTS	N 1185 29.6% 61.6%	545 25.6% 63.1%	254 33.9% 61.8%	357 34.2% 59.1%
RELATIVES	N 248 6.2% 62.9%	95 4.4% 59.1%	53 7.0% 61.0%	88 8.4% 67.0%
FOSTER PARENTS	N 76 1.9% 53.0%	48 2.3% 59.0%	11 1.5% 54.5%	17 1.6% 47.1%
FRIENDS - FIXED	N 134 3.4% 67.9%	105 4.8% 68.9%	7 0.9% 85.7%	21 2.0% 57.1%
ALONE - FIXED	N 144 3.6% 64.6%	97 4.6% 66.0%	13 1.7% 61.5%	31 3.0% 58.1%
ALONE - NOT FIXED	N 207 5.2% 57.0%	164 7.7% 57.9%	19 2.5% 57.9%	21 2.0% 52.4%
GROUP HOME	N 17 0.4% 47.1%	11 0.5% 45.5%	1 0.1% 100.0%	5 0.5% 40.0%

8

MARITAL STATUS OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NEVER MARRIED	N 214 5.4% 61.7%	57 2.7% 56.1%	42 5.6% 57.1%	105 10.1% 67.0%
MARRIED	N 1631 40.8% 62.9%	893 42.3% 63.1%	336 44.9% 64.3%	360 34.4% 61.1%
DIVORCED	N 30 0.8% 66.7%	3 0.1% 100.0%	15 2.0% 60.0%	11 1.1% 63.6%
DIVORCED, RE-MARRIED	N 345 8.6% 61.7%	120 5.6% 57.5%	83 11.2% 63.9%	137 13.1% 65.0%
SEPARATED	N 1263 31.6% 61.2%	759 35.7% 62.6%	185 24.7% 56.2%	301 28.8% 60.8%
COMMON LAW	N 8 0.2% 33.3%	4 0.2% 75.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	3 0.3% 66.7%
WIDOWED	N 3 0.1% 33.3%	2 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	

9

DEATH OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
FATHER DEAD	N 498 12.0% 61.0%	276 12.5% 63.8%	100 13.0% 65.0%	114 10.6% 50.0%
MOTHER DEAD	N 193 4.7% 62.7%	81 3.7% 53.1%	50 6.5% 68.0%	59 5.5% 71.2%
BOTH DEAD	N 64 1.5% 56.3%	26 1.2% 50.0%	10 1.3% 90.0%	28 2.6% 50.0%
BOTH LIVING	N 3391 81.8% 60.8%	1829 82.7% 61.0%	612 79.3% 59.5%	875 81.3% 61.3%

10

MILITARY DISCIPLINARY ACTION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
YES	N 301 7.5% 65.1%	269 12.7% 65.1%	7 0.9% 85.7%	121 2.0% 66.7%
NO	N 167 4.2% 68.9%	131 6.2% 68.7%	14 1.9% 78.6%	20 1.9% 60.0%
NO SERVICE	N 3506 87.7% 60.5%	1708 80.5% 60.4%	724 95.5% 60.5%	1004 95.9% 60.4%

11

MILITARY DISCHARGE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
HONORABLE	N 104 2.6% 57.7%	90 4.2% 55.6%	5 0.7% 100.0%	9 0.9% 55.6%
GENERAL HONORABLE CONDITIONS	N 104 2.6% 54.8%	81 3.8% 55.6%	5 0.6% 66.7%	14 1.3% 50.0%
DISHONORABLE, ETC.	N 117 2.9% 70.1%	107 5.0% 71.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%	7 0.7% 71.4%
MEDICAL	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	19 0.9% 78.9%	4 0.5% 75.0%	2 0.2% 50.0%
OTHER	N 59 1.5% 72.9%	49 2.3% 69.4%	3 0.4% 100.0%	7 0.7% 85.7%

12

HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NO HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 2278 56.9% 60.8%	1279 57.8% 61.0%	266 34.5% 63.9%	693 64.4% 59.0%
MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 1244 30.0% 62.1%	635 28.7% 62.4%	289 37.4% 61.2%	299 27.8% 63.2%
SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 624 15.1% 58.5%	298 13.5% 57.4%	217 28.1% 57.6%	84 7.8% 60.7%
ALCOHOL NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 2555 61.6% 60.9%	1429 64.6% 60.6%	306 39.6% 63.1%	774 71.9% 60.3%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 1024 24.7% 62.1%	508 23.0% 61.2%	309 40.0% 61.5%	182 16.9% 64.3%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 567 13.7% 58.4%	275 12.4% 61.8%	157 20.3% 56.7%	120 11.2% 54.2%

13

HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NO HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE	N 3488 84.1% 61.9%	1900 85.9% 61.8%	576 74.6% 62.5%	949 88.2% 61.7%
ISOLATED DRUG MISUSE	N 263 6.3% 59.3%	138 6.2% 60.1%	63 8.2% 61.9%	55 5.1% 50.9%
MODERATE DRUG MISUSE	N 337 8.1% 53.4%	142 6.4% 53.5%	115 15.0% 56.0%	67 6.2% 44.8%
SEVERE DRUG MISUSE	N 58 1.4% 50.0%	32 1.4% 43.8%	17 2.2% 47.1%	5 0.5% 100.0%
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 3788 91.4% 61.2%	2050 92.7% 61.1%	656 85.0% 62.0%	1008 93.7% 60.7%
DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 209 5.0% 57.4%	116 5.2% 56.9%	59 7.0% 57.4%	31 2.9% 58.1%
DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 149 3.6% 57.0%	46 2.1% 54.3%	62 8.0% 54.4%	37 3.4% 53.7%

14

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 414 91.4% 61.2%	92.7% 61.1%	85.0% 62.0%	95.7% 60.7%
DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 209 5.0% 57.4%	116 5.2% 56.9%	54 7.0% 57.4%	39 2.9% 58.1%
DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 149 3.6% 57.0%	46 2.1% 60.9%	62 8.0% 54.8%	37 3.4% 51.4%
NO HISTORY OF OPIATE USE	N 3371 95.8% 61.4%	2126 96.6% 61.6%	686 88.5% 62.4%	1067 93.2% 60.4%
ISOLATED OPIATE USE	N 43 1.0% 62.8%	17 0.8% 52.9%	21 2.7% 71.4%	4 0.4% 75.0%
MODERATE OPIATE USE	N 102 2.5% 42.2%	41 1.9% 34.1%	55 7.1% 45.5%	4 0.4% 50.0%
SEVERE OPIATE USE	N 30 0.7% 43.3%	18 0.8% 44.4%	10 1.3% 40.9%	1 0.1% 0.0%
OPIATES NO FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 4046 97.6% 61.3%	2165 97.9% 61.4%	724 93.8% 62.2%	1071 93.5% 60.4%
OPIATES FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 73 1.8% 42.5%	32 1.4% 34.4%	36 4.7% 50.0%	4 0.4% 25.0%
OPIATES FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 27 0.7% 37.0%	14 0.6% 35.7%	12 1.6% 33.7%	1 0.1% 100.0%

15

HISTORY OF MARIJUANA AND GLUE-SNIFFING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NO HISTORY OF USE OF MARIJUANA	N 3345 80.7% 61.3%	1881 85.0% 61.5%	502 65.0% 63.1%	898 83.5% 59.8%
MARIJUANA USE	N 801 19.3% 59.2%	331 15.0% 57.7%	270 35.0% 57.4%	178 16.5% 62.9%
NO HISTORY OF GLUE-SNIFFING	N 3890 93.8% 61.0%	2082 94.1% 61.1%	668 86.5% 60.9%	1060 98.5% 60.7%
HISTORY OF GLUE-SNIFFING	N 256 6.2% 58.6%	130 5.9% 57.7%	104 13.5% 62.5%	16 1.5% 37.5%

16

HISTORY OF ESCAPE AND SEXUAL DEVIATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NO ESCAPES	N 3539 85.4% 63.3%	1822 82.4% 64.3%	648 83.9% 63.4%	998 92.8% 61.2%
ESCAPES FROM MINIMUM SECURITY	N 526 12.7% 47.9%	337 15.2% 46.9%	108 14.0% 48.1%	69 6.4% 49.3%
ESCAPES WITH FORCE	N 81 2.0% 39.5%	53 2.4% 34.0%	16 2.1% 56.3%	9 0.8% 44.4%
NO HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 4026 97.1% 60.9%	2161 97.7% 61.0%	746 96.6% 61.3%	1055 96.2% 60.1%
HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 120 2.9% 60.0%	51 2.3% 56.9%	26 3.4% 57.7%	41 3.8% 65.9%
NO HISTORY OF SEXUAL DEVIATION	N 3329 94.6% 60.8%	2071 93.6% 60.5%	741 96.0% 61.7%	1032 95.9% 60.7%
HISTORY OF ISOLATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 156 3.8% 57.1%	99 4.5% 64.6%	22 2.8% 40.9%	35 3.3% 45.7%
HISTORY OF REPEATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 61 1.5% 72.1%	42 1.9% 71.4%	9 1.2% 66.7%	9 0.8% 77.8%
NO HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUALITY	N 4009 96.7% 61.4%	2118 95.8% 61.5%	759 98.3% 61.0%	1047 97.3% 61.1%
HISTORY OF ISOLATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 79 1.9% 40.5%	49 2.2% 40.8%	10 1.3% 70.0%	20 1.9% 25.0%
HISTORY OF REPEATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 43 1.0% 53.5%	32 1.4% 53.1%	3 0.4% 66.7%	7 0.7% 42.9%
HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUAL PATTERN	N 15 0.4% 53.3%	13 0.6% 53.8%		2 0.2% 50.0%

PREVIOUS PSYCHIATRIC

DIAGNOSIS

		61.1%	47	14	4	61.1%
INFREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 65	1.6%	2.1%	1.8%	0.4%	50.0%
		50.8%	48.9%	57.1%		
FREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 24	0.6%	0.8%	5	80.0%	
		50.0%	44.4%			
SERIOUS SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 10	0.2%	0.5%	10	40.0%	
		40.0%	40.0%			
NO HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 4118	99.3%	2196	769	1069	99.3%
		60.9%	99.3%	61.2%	60.4%	60.4%
HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 28	0.7%	16	3	7	0.7%
		53.6%	56.3%	33.3%	42.9%	42.9%
NO HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 4125	99.5%	2201	770	1070	99.5%
		60.8%	99.5%	61.2%	60.2%	60.2%
HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 21	0.5%	11	2	6	0.5%
		61.9%	45.5%	50.0%	83.3%	83.3%
NO HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 4082	98.7%	2170	767	1069	98.7%
		61.1%	98.1%	61.1%	60.5%	60.5%
HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 54	1.3%	42	5	7	1.3%
		44.4%	45.2%	60.0%	28.6%	28.6%
NO HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 4097	98.8%	2187	761	1065	98.8%
		60.8%	98.9%	60.7%	60.6%	60.6%
HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 49	1.2%	25	11	11	1.2%
		61.2%	56.0%	90.9%	36.4%	36.4%
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 3870	93.3%	2049	727	1014	93.3%
		61.6%	92.6%	62.0%	60.7%	60.7%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 276	6.7%	173	45	62	6.7%
		51.1%	51.5%	46.7%	54.8%	54.8%
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 4012	96.8%	2143	746	1041	96.8%
		61.2%	96.9%	61.5%	60.9%	60.9%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 134	3.2%	69	26	35	3.2%
		50.0%	53.6%	50.0%	42.9%	42.9%
NO HISTORY OF SOCIO-PATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 4031	97.2%	2146	747	1052	97.2%
		61.3%	97.0%	61.6%	61.0%	61.0%
HISTORY OF SOCIO-PATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 115	2.8%	66	25	24	2.8%
		45.2%	50.0%	48.0%	29.2%	29.2%

18

INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
MENTAL DEFECTIVE	N 23	4	6	12
	0.6%	0.2%	0.8%	1.1%
	69.6%	75.0%	50.0%	75.0%
BOUNDERLINE	N 127	29	22	75
	3.1%	1.3%	2.9%	7.0%
	65.0%	51.7%	68.2%	65.3%
DULL NORMAL	N 1000	334	758	389
	24.2%	15.2%	33.6%	36.2%
	59.2%	56.0%	60.5%	60.4%
AVERAGE	N 2440	1357	458	576
	59.1%	61.7%	59.7%	53.6%
	60.7%	60.6%	61.6%	60.2%
BRIGHT NORMAL	N 946	394	21	21
	10.8%	17.9%	2.7%	2.0%
	63.7%	65.0%	66.7%	58.1%
SUPERIOR	N 81	74	2	1
	2.0%	3.4%	0.3%	0.1%
	67.9%	68.9%	100.0%	0.0%
VERY SUPERIOR	N 9	9	0	0
	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
	77.8%	77.8%		

19

RESULTS OF INTELLIGENCE TESTING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
ARMY GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST I.Q.	N 2884	1699	401	530
	M 93.42	102.65	94.19	92.92
	SD 11.23	10.62	9.79	9.83
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2679	1697	400	528
	M 48.80	53.97	38.98	39.60
	SD 21.25	20.77	18.71	19.09
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2882	1697	401	530
	M 56.08	62.85	44.52	42.88
	SD 25.83	24.92	22.73	22.59
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2683	1698	401	530
	M 54.10	60.15	46.36	40.44
	SD 24.64	23.78	22.85	21.12
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	N 3865	2067	701	1017
	M 90.81	95.51	85.50	84.82
	SD 13.89	13.63	12.09	11.90
LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3867	2062	703	1022
	M 86.98	92.67	80.05	80.15
	SD 16.56	16.28	14.49	13.94
NON-LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3877	2065	709	1025
	M 91.17	94.96	84.57	84.24
	SD 14.11	13.77	12.11	11.94

NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2682 M 56.08 SD 25.83	1697 62.83 24.92	401 44.52 22.73	530 42.88 22.59
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2685 M 54.10 SD 24.64	1698 60.15 23.78	401 46.36 22.85	530 40.44 21.12
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATH- RITY TOTAL I.Q.	N 3865 M 90.81 SD 13.89	2067 95.51 13.63	701 85.50 12.09	1017 84.82 11.90
LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3867 M 85.98 SD 16.56	2062 92.67 16.28	703 80.05 14.49	1022 80.15 13.94
NON-LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3877 M 94.17 SD 14.09	2065 97.96 13.69	709 90.33 13.16	1023 89.10 13.21
D-48 RAW SCORE	N 2712 M 20.97 SD 7.74	1451 22.81 7.35	494 19.04 7.42	719 18.63 7.84
RAVEN MATRICES RAW SCORE	N 3517 M 45.33 SD 8.66	1904 45.07 7.84	648 41.82 8.62	892 40.72 9.50
SHIPLEY HARTFORD CONCEPTUAL QUOTIENT	N 1767 M 96.05 SD 14.38	1226 97.19 14.08	213 93.77 15.02	286 93.05 14.42
LANGUAGE RAW SCORE	N 2767 M 23.75 SD 5.45	1638 24.97 5.19	438 21.48 5.23	586 21.84 5.26
ABSTRACT RAW SCORE	N 2636 M 24.02 SD 7.98	1650 25.47 7.73	425 21.11 8.02	566 21.94 7.63

20

RESULTS OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 4067	WHITE N = 2171	MEXICAN AMERICAN N = 757	BLACK N = 1058
READING VOCABULARY	M 7.55 SD 2.76	8.46 2.63	6.48 2.51	6.40 2.48
READING COMPREHENSION	M 7.66 SD 2.69	8.57 2.62	6.57 2.32	6.53 2.36
READING AVERAGE	M 7.62 SD 2.66	8.54 2.56	6.53 2.35	6.49 2.34
ARITHMETIC REASONING	M 7.47 SD 2.23	8.19 2.17	6.60 1.95	6.58 1.95
ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTALS	M 7.27 SD 1.98	7.85 2.02	6.65 1.73	6.49 1.63
ARITHMETIC AVERAGE	M 7.39 SD 2.03	8.04 2.02	6.64 1.78	6.56 1.72
LANGUAGE MECHANICS	M 7.22 SD 2.52	8.00 2.38	6.37 2.46	6.15 2.25
LANGUAGE SPELLING	M 7.35 SD 2.60	7.91 2.50	6.71 2.63	6.58 2.45
LANGUAGE AVERAGE	M 7.30 SD 2.45	7.98 2.31	6.55 2.47	6.38 2.25
TOTAL GRADE PLACEMENT	M 7.42 SD 2.28	8.17 2.18	6.56 2.09	6.44 2.01

21

GRADE COMPLETED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
3	N 3 0.1% 0.0% 0.0%		2 0.3% 0.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%
4	N 5 0.1% 40.0%	2 0.1% 50.0%	1 0.4% 33.3%	2 0.5% 100.0%
5	N 21 0.5% 42.9%	10 0.5% 40.0%	6 0.8% 50.0%	5 0.5% 40.0%
6	N 38 0.9% 57.9%	19 0.9% 52.6%	11 1.5% 63.6%	7 0.7% 71.4%
7	N 94 2.3% 59.6%	48 2.2% 54.2%	26 3.4% 73.1%	17 1.6% 52.9%
8	N 323 7.9% 60.4%	196 9.0% 64.3%	89 11.1% 57.1%	32 3.0% 46.9%
9	N 685 16.8% 59.4%	391 18.0% 60.1%	165 21.9% 69.0%	117 11.0% 53.8%
10	N 1099 27.0% 60.1%	604 27.8% 60.3%	229 30.4% 61.1%	246 23.2% 58.5%
11	N 1051 25.8% 62.5%	501 23.0% 59.5%	147 19.5% 62.6%	393 37.1% 66.7%
12	N 706 17.3% 62.0%	375 17.2% 64.8%	79 10.5% 62.0%	228 21.5% 57.0%
13+	N 40 1.0% 75.0%	24 1.1% 75.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	14 1.3% 71.4%

22

GRADE ACHIEVED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
1	N 12 0.3% 58.3% 58.3%	4 0.2% 25.0%	3 0.4% 66.7%	5 0.5% 80.0%
2	N 107 2.6% 58.9%	28 1.3% 60.7%	34 4.5% 61.8%	44 4.2% 54.5%
3	N 252 6.2% 63.5%	77 3.5% 64.9%	74 9.8% 66.2%	98 9.3% 59.2%
4	N 266 6.5% 62.0%	86 4.0% 58.1%	72 9.5% 63.9%	104 9.8% 62.5%
5	N 408 10.0% 60.3%	148 6.8% 62.2%	94 12.4% 56.4%	157 14.8% 60.5%
6	N 527 14.7% 58.6%	249 11.5% 53.8%	131 17.3% 58.8%	205 19.4% 63.4%
7	N 687 16.9% 61.1%	342 15.8% 62.9%	146 19.3% 62.3%	187 17.7% 58.3%
8	N 671 16.5% 60.2%	405 18.7% 57.8%	106 14.0% 64.2%	147 13.9% 63.3%
9	N 492 12.1% 59.1%	341 15.7% 62.2%	67 8.9% 50.7%	75 7.1% 54.8%
10	N 363 8.9% 62.0%	300 13.8% 62.3%	21 2.8% 76.2%	31 2.9% 54.8%
11	N 157 3.9% 67.5%	137 6.3% 67.2%	8 1.1% 87.5%	4 0.4% 25.0%
12	N 54 1.3% 72.2%	51 2.4% 72.5%	1 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%
13+	N 1 0.0% 100.0%	1 0.0% 100.0%		

23

AGE LEFT SCHOOL

AGE	GRADE	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
12	6	N 13 0.3% 46.2%	3 0.2% 40.0%	6 0.8% 66.7%	2 0.2% 0.0%
13	7	N 20 0.5% 45.0%	10 0.5% 20.0%	5 0.7% 60.0%	5 0.5% 80.0%
14	8	N 95 2.4% 56.8%	53 2.5% 60.4%	21 2.8% 52.4%	18 1.8% 50.0%
15	9	N 314 8.0% 59.9%	178 8.5% 62.9%	76 10.3% 57.5%	52 5.1% 51.9%
16	10	N 1126 28.6% 60.1%	642 30.7% 59.3%	236 32.0% 65.3%	223 21.7% 57.0%
17	11	N 1396 35.5% 60.2%	723 34.5% 59.2%	256 34.7% 59.4%	398 38.7% 62.1%
18	12	N 971 24.7% 65.6%	482 23.0% 68.3%	138 18.7% 62.3%	330 32.1% 63.3%

24

ACADEMIC DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
ACADEMIC DISABILITY	N 4039 M -2.74 SD 2.19	2155 -1.95 1.92	751 -3.16 2.14	1052 -4.12 1.97
ESTIMATED ACADEMIC RETARDATION	N 4053 M -3.37 SD 1.95	2169 -3.12 1.87	753 -3.86 2.06	1049 -3.54 1.94

25

RATING ON MOTIVATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL 1 - MOTIVATED	N 2589 66.5% 62.4%	1391 67.2% 62.6%	492 67.8% 63.8%	654 64.2% 61.0%
NOT MOTIVATED	N 1303 33.5% 57.6%	680 32.8% 58.1%	234 32.2% 56.0%	364 35.8% 57.1%
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	N 424 10.6% 66.0%	253 11.9% 68.4%	42 5.6% 66.7%	119 11.4% 62.2%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 2886 72.2% 61.8%	1535 72.2% 61.6%	558 74.4% 62.7%	742 70.9% 61.5%
NO STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 1112 27.8% 59.5%	599 27.8% 61.4%	192 25.6% 57.3%	305 29.1% 58.4%

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3888	WHITE N = 2094	MEXICAN AMERICAN N = 715	BLACK N = 1001
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	N 90.30 SD 18.24	96.86 18.24	83.49 15.44	81.23 14.21
VERBAL APTITUDE	N 86.06 SD 15.20	91.16 15.95	80.17 11.60	79.40 11.27

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3888	WHITE N = 2094	MEXICAN AMERICAN N = 715	BLACK N = 1081
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	M	90.30	96.86	83.49	81.23
	SD	18.24	18.24	15.44	14.21
VERBAL APTITUDE	M	86.06	91.16	80.17	79.40
	SD	15.20	15.95	11.60	11.27
NUMERICAL APTITUDE	M	87.50	93.53	81.01	79.24
	SD	19.93	19.34	18.49	17.63
SPATIAL APTITUDE	M	102.63	108.27	98.98	93.32
	SD	20.43	19.72	18.59	19.52
PERCEPTUAL APTITUDE	M	99.32	104.01	96.06	91.78
	SD	19.51	18.42	18.59	19.52
CLERICAL APTITUDE	M	93.74	96.98	90.43	89.05
	SD	15.06	15.23	14.04	13.20
MOTOR COORDINATION	M	96.34	96.37	98.21	94.65
	SD	18.54	18.44	18.21	18.85
FINGER DEXTERITY	M	91.02	92.88	92.28	86.21
	SD	19.42	19.30	19.27	18.94
MANUAL DEXTERITY	M	111.70	112.25	114.88	108.07
	SD	21.61	21.21	21.95	21.80

27

RATINGS OF MOTIVATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
WOODSHOP INSTRUCTOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 773 74.8% 60.8%	440 74.8% 63.6%	149 78.8% 61.1%	166 71.6% 53.6%	166 71.6% 53.6%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 263 25.4% 60.1%	148 25.4% 60.1%	40 21.2% 55.0%	66 28.4% 62.1%	66 28.4% 62.1%
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 396 69.9% 62.5%	408 72.5% 63.2%	134 69.1% 57.5%	136 63.6% 64.7%	136 63.6% 64.7%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 300 30.1% 58.3%	155 27.5% 59.4%	60 30.9% 61.7%	78 36.4% 55.1%	78 36.4% 55.1%
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 2779 71.4% 62.4%	1497 72.2% 62.5%	521 71.8% 64.5%	709 69.6% 60.8%	709 69.6% 60.8%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 1113 28.6% 57.1%	575 27.8% 58.1%	204 28.1% 52.9%	310 30.4% 57.1%	310 30.4% 57.1%
COUNSELOR'S RATING II - MOTIVATED	N 2872 71.8% 62.2%	1580 74.3% 62.3%	522 69.7% 63.6%	712 68.1% 61.0%	712 68.1% 61.0%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 691 17.3% 57.7%	369 17.3% 57.5%	127 17.0% 56.7%	184 17.6% 57.6%	184 17.6% 57.6%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING - YES	N 1065 26.6% 59.7%	503 23.6% 60.2%	217 28.9% 56.2%	329 31.5% 60.5%	329 31.5% 60.5%
- NONE	N 2934 75.4% 61.8%	1624 76.4% 61.8%	533 71.1% 65.4%	717 68.5% 60.7%	717 68.5% 60.7%

28

WORK EXPERIENCE, UNION MEMBERSHIP, AND VOCATIONAL DISABILITY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 459 11.5% 58.8%	213 10.0% 56.8%	82 10.9% 62.2%	153 14.6% 59.5%	153 14.6% 59.5%
0 - 6 MONTHS	N 1466 36.7% 59.3%	825 38.8% 59.5%	257 34.3% 58.0%	351 33.5% 59.3%	351 33.5% 59.3%
6 - 12 MONTHS	N 725 18.1% 65.2%	394 18.5% 62.9%	119 15.9% 74.8%	199 19.0% 64.8%	199 19.0% 64.8%
12 - 18 MONTHS	N 314 7.9% 53.9%	171 8.0% 59.6%	62 8.3% 62.9%	80 7.6% 57.5%	80 7.6% 57.5%
18 - 24 MONTHS	N 138 3.5% 63.8%	76 3.6% 67.1%	28 3.7% 60.7%	32 3.1% 59.4%	32 3.1% 59.4%
24 MONTHS AND OVER	N 433 10.8% 66.3%	224 10.5% 68.6%	101 13.5% 61.4%	97 9.3% 64.9%	97 9.3% 64.9%
SPORADIC	N 407 10.2% 58.7%	196 9.2% 62.2%	90 12.0% 51.1%	116 11.1% 58.6%	116 11.1% 58.6%
UNION MEMBER	N 309 7.7% 65.7%	190 8.9% 65.3%	57 7.6% 70.2%	57 5.4% 63.2%	57 5.4% 63.2%
NOT A UNION MEMBER	N 3661 91.5% 60.8%	1917 90.1% 61.0%	688 91.9% 60.6%	985 94.1% 60.4%	985 94.1% 60.4%
VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 239 6.0% 62.8%	145 6.8% 63.4%	37 4.9% 70.3%	52 5.0% 51.9%	52 5.0% 51.9%
NO VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 3722 93.1% 61.1%	1961 92.2% 61.1%	705 94.1% 60.9%	985 94.2% 61.1%	985 94.2% 61.1%

29

RESULTS ON THE CALIFORNIA PSYCHOLOGICAL INVENTORY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	WHITE N = 1789	MEXICAN AMERICAN N = 526	BLACK N = 798
DOMINANCE	M 38.37 SD 12.10		38.32 12.86	36.20 10.99	40.22 10.78
CAPACITY FOR STATUS	M 39.36 SD 11.64		39.70 12.05	36.89 11.49	40.46 10.46
SOCIABILITY	M 44.10 SD 11.48		43.65 12.03	41.50 10.64	46.97 10.18
SOCIAL PRESENCE	M 47.24 SD 11.10		48.12 11.52	44.37 10.29	47.34 10.40
SELF ACCEPTANCE	M 50.89 SD 11.42		50.62 11.65	48.29 10.73	53.51 10.93
SENSE OF WELL- BEING	M 37.68 SD 13.63		38.79 13.96	36.59 13.58	36.02 12.67
RESPONSIBILITY	M 30.97 SD 11.74		32.04 11.74	29.34 10.44	29.81 10.92
SOCIALIZATION	M 32.68 SD 9.73		31.88 9.96	33.29 9.15	33.62 9.43
SELF CONTROL	M 41.77 SD 11.14		41.39 11.37	43.40 10.93	41.59 10.62
TOLERANCE	M 34.83 SD 11.77		37.03 12.19	32.31 11.29	31.82 9.98
GOOD IMPRESSION	M 43.39 SD 10.82		42.67 10.92	46.06 11.18	43.26 9.90
COMMUNALITY	M 48.64 SD 11.84		50.65 10.99	45.56 12.74	46.25 12.27
ACHIEVEMENT VIA CONFORMANCE	M 37.09 SD 12.32		36.87 12.83	36.67 11.49	38.13 11.59
ACHIEVEMENT VIA INDEPENDENCE	M 39.47 SD 10.20		41.03 10.32	37.59 10.10	37.18 9.36
INTELLECTUAL EFFICIENCY	M 34.57 SD 12.86		36.31 13.51	30.65 11.74	33.40 11.28
PSYCHOLOGICAL MINDEDNESS	M 45.53 SD 12.20		45.70 11.91	43.03 12.96	43.71 12.41
FLEXIBILITY	M 49.61 SD 9.54		50.78 9.57	48.48 9.31	47.90 9.18
FEMININITY	M 49.03 SD 9.93		47.72 9.77	49.63 10.01	51.73 9.70
CPI EQUATION	M 49.62 SD 4.98		46.42 5.05	51.03 4.76	49.93 4.81

30

RESULTS ON THE MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	WHITE N = 1767	MEXICAN AMERICAN N = 537	BLACK N = 799
LIE	M 54.42 SD 6.68		53.89 6.25	56.23 7.49	54.41 6.90
VALIDITY	M 61.60 SD 9.70		60.68 9.59	62.86 9.84	62.72 9.65
CORRECTION FACTOR	M 51.63 SD 9.25		51.86 9.54	51.60 9.43	51.24 8.40
HYPOCHONDRIASIS	M 53.52 SD 10.97		52.75 10.73	53.34 12.42	53.32 10.16
DEPRESSION	M 60.98 SD 12.04		60.65 12.21	64.32 12.21	59.14 11.03
HYSTERIA	M 55.97 SD 9.05		56.39 9.06	56.66 9.61	54.79 8.54
PSYCHOPATHIC DEViate	M 74.31 SD 11.21		75.32 11.30	72.76 10.70	73.05 11.17
MASCULINITY- FEMININITY	M 54.81 SD 9.79		55.01 10.21	53.36 9.23	55.42 8.96
PARANOID	M 59.07 SD 12.35		59.81 12.18	60.78 12.91	59.18 12.34
PSYCHASTHENIA	M 61.81 SD 12.35		62.22 12.35	61.71 12.35	61.71 12.35

PSYCHOPATHIC DEViate	M	74.31	75.32	72.76	73.63
	SD	11.21	11.30	10.70	11.17
MASCULINITY* FEMININITY	M	54.81	55.01	53.36	55.42
	SD	9.79	10.21	9.23	8.96
PARANOIDIA	M	59.87	59.81	60.78	59.19
	SD	12.35	12.18	12.91	12.34
PSYCHASTHENIA	M	61.81	61.23	64.71	60.90
	SD	13.32	13.47	13.60	12.59
SCHIZOPHRENIA	M	66.71	65.29	69.32	68.00
	SD	14.67	14.52	15.09	14.50
HYPOMANIA	M	64.45	63.15	63.53	67.52
	SD	11.91	11.86	11.60	11.67
SOCIAL INTRO- VERSION	M	53.39	53.28	50.92	52.39
	SD	10.06	10.74	9.31	8.69
MMPI EQUATION	M	50.91	51.16	51.20	50.15
	SD	3.03	3.10	2.89	2.85

31

RESULTS ON THE INTERPERSONAL PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3181	WHITE N = 1780	MEXICAN AMERICAN N = 543	BLACK N = 796
IPI	M 44.88	46.98	42.22	42.21
	SD 8.98	9.20	8.06	7.66

32

RESULTS OF CPI AND MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
CPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1766 56.9%	1003 57.4%	319 60.6%	409 51.0%
CPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1336 43.1%	745 42.6%	207 39.4%	349 46.0%
MMPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1797 57.5%	1045 59.2%	330 61.6%	386 51.2%
MMPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1329 42.5%	721 40.8%	206 38.4%	368 48.8%

33

RESULTS OF THE CPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1278 41.2%	678 38.8%	267 50.8%	305 40.2%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 488 15.7%	325 18.6%	52 9.9%	104 13.7%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 704 22.7%	360 20.6%	139 26.4%	188 24.8%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 632 20.4%	365 22.0%	68 12.9%	161 21.2%

34

RESULTS OF THE MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1063 46.8%	655 48.4%	280 52.2%	299 39.7%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 334 10.7%	190 10.8%	50 9.3%	87 11.5%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 872 27.9%	502 28.4%	166 27.2%	207 27.3%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 457 14.6%	219 12.4%	60 11.2%	161 21.4%

35

REASONS FOR REFERRAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
PRIGR MENTAL ILLNESS	N 60 11.7% 56.7%	41 1.9% 53.7%	9 1.2% 55.6%	9 0.8% 66.7%
SEXUAL PROBLEMS	N 115 22.5% 62.6%	62 2.8% 62.9%	20 2.6% 89.0%	31 2.9% 48.4%
VIOLENCE POTENTIAL	N 242 47.4% 62.8%	88 4.0% 64.8%	55 7.1% 60.0%	91 8.5% 60.4%

36

SYMPTOMS FOUND DURING PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
DEPRESSION	N 115 2.8% 60.0%	71 3.2% 54.8%	20 2.6% 55.0%	20 1.9% 75.0%
ANXIETY	N 117 2.6% 56.4%	81 3.7% 58.0%	14 1.8% 50.0%	22 2.0% 54.5%
DEPENDENCY	N 131 3.2% 54.2%	79 3.6% 55.7%	26 3.4% 42.3%	22 2.0% 59.1%

37

PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
BRAIN DISORDERS	N 4 0.1% 100.0%		1 0.1% 100.0%	3 0.3% 100.0%
PSYCHOTIC	N 29 0.7% 62.1%	17 0.8% 92.9%	6 0.8% 83.3%	3 0.3% 33.3%
NEUROTIC	N 40 1.0% 60.0%	30 1.4% 56.7%	2 0.3% 100.0%	8 0.7% 62.5%
PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 109 2.6% 67.0%	54 2.4% 68.5%	23 3.0% 58.7%	24 2.2% 70.0%
PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 205 4.9% 56.6%	106 4.8% 55.7%	31 4.0% 61.3%	64 5.9% 56.3%
SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	17 0.8% 82.4%	10 1.3% 70.0%	15 1.5% 37.5%
TRANSITIONAL SITUATIONAL PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 49 1.2% 57.1%	28 1.3% 60.7%	10 1.3% 60.0%	10 0.9% 40.0%

38

ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
HOMICIDE	N 19 0.5% 52.6%	8 0.4% 37.5%	5 0.6% 80.0%	5 0.5% 60.0%
NEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER	N 14 0.3% 100.0%	9 0.4% 100.0%	2 0.3% 100.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%
ROBBERY	N 438 10.6% 70.3%	199 9.0% 75.3%	61 7.9% 62.3%	172 16.0% 67.4%
ASSAULT	N 235 5.6% 71.7%	71 3.2% 74.6%	63 8.3% 75.9%	89 8.3% 68.5%
BURGLARY	N 1080 26.1% 60.0%	632 28.6% 61.4%	181 23.4% 61.3%	250 23.2% 55.6%
THEFT	N 421 10.2% 61.0%	236 10.7% 64.8%	53 6.9% 52.8%	126 11.7% 57.1%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 719 17.4% 55.4%	403 18.2% 51.1%	107 13.9% 60.7%	192 17.8% 59.1%
FORGERY	N 207 5.0% 52.7%	160 7.2% 51.5%	18 2.3% 44.4%	21 2.0% 66.7%
FORCEFUL RAPE	N 28 0.7% 71.4%	13 0.6% 76.9%	6 0.8% 85.7%	8 0.7% 50.0%
STATUTORY RAPE	N 82 2.0% 56.1%	36 1.6% 55.6%	14 1.8% 50.0%	54 5.0% 59.4%
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	29 1.3% 51.7%	12 1.6% 85.7%	3 0.3% 100.0%
NARCOTICS OFFENSES	N 370 8.9% 65.9%	162 7.3% 67.3%	118 15.3% 68.6%	82 7.6% 67.1%

	71.4%	76.9%	87.3%	89.6%
STATUTORY RAPE	N 82 2.0% 56.1%	36 1.6% 55.6%	14 1.8% 50.0%	32 3.0% 59.4%
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 44 1.1% 65.6%	29 1.3% 51.7%	12 1.6% 83.3%	3 0.3% 100.0%
NARCOTICS OFFENSES	N 370 8.9% 65.9%	162 7.3% 67.3%	118 15.3% 63.6%	92 7.6% 67.1%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 37 0.9% 67.6%	13 0.6% 69.2%	18 2.3% 66.7%	5 0.5% 60.0%
OTHER	N 304 7.3% 60.2%	157 7.1% 63.1%	87 11.3% 52.9%	52 4.8% 63.5%
PAROLE VIOLATION	N 148 3.6% 53.4%	84 3.8% 47.6%	21 2.7% 47.6%	38 3.5% 63.2%

39

VIOLATION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
HOMICIDE	N 17 1.2%	11 1.5%	2 0.7%	4 1.0%
NEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER	N 1 0.1%		1 0.4%	
ROBBERY	N 168 12.0%	49 6.8%	17 6.2%	100 26.2%
ASSAULT	N 89 6.3%	29 4.0%	32 11.7%	25 6.5%
BURGLARY	N 265 18.9%	152 21.0%	45 16.5%	66 17.3%
THEFT	N 123 8.8%	55 7.6%	20 7.3%	48 12.6%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 168 12.0%	94 13.0%	19 7.0%	52 13.6%
FORGERY	N 58 4.1%	41 5.7%	6 2.2%	7 1.8%
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 10 0.7%	6 0.8%	1 0.4%	3 0.8%
STATUTORY RAPE	N 9 0.6%	2 0.3%	3 1.1%	4 1.0%
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 17 1.2%	13 1.8%	2 0.7%	2 0.5%
NARCOTICS OFFENSES	N 222 15.8%	110 15.2%	71 26.0%	37 9.7%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 11 0.8%	4 0.6%	3 1.1%	2 0.5%
OTHER	N 247 17.6%	159 21.9%	51 18.7%	32 8.4%

40

ADMISSION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
PERSON OFFENSES	N 857 20.7% 63.1%	364 16.5% 71.2%	169 21.9% 69.2%	310 28.8% 66.8%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 2427 88.6% 57.6%	1431 64.7% 58.0%	359 46.5% 59.1%	589 54.7% 55.5%
OTHER	N 859 20.7% 61.8%	416 18.8% 61.8%	244 31.6% 58.6%	177 16.4% 65.0%

41

VIOLATION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
PERSON OFFENSES	N 311 22.1%	110 15.2%	58 21.2%	133 36.1%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 614 43.7%	342 47.2%	90 33.0%	173 45.3%
OTHER	N 480 34.2%	273 37.7%	125 45.8%	71 18.6%

42

CYA HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 2457 61.4% 59.6%	1506 70.8% 60.4%	418 55.7% 60.0%	484 46.2% 56.6%
MODERATE	N 1000 25.0% 62.7%	434 20.4% 61.5%	201 26.8% 63.2%	350 33.4% 64.3%
SERIOUS	N 543 13.6% 65.7%	187 8.8% 69.5%	131 17.5% 62.6%	213 20.3% 63.4%

43

CASEWORKER ESTIMATION OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
LEAST	N 662 21.4% 63.9%	439 27.0% 66.1%	92 16.1% 58.7%	115 13.7% 60.0%
MILD	N 820 26.5% 61.8%	478 23.5% 60.9%	147 25.7% 66.0%	186 22.2% 61.3%
MODERATE	N 1273 41.2% 60.6%	585 36.0% 59.5%	255 44.7% 60.0%	407 48.6% 62.9%
SERIOUS	N 311 10.1% 61.1%	117 7.2% 65.0%	70 12.3% 58.6%	117 14.0% 57.3%
GREATEST	N 28 0.8% 70.8%	4 0.2% 75.0%	7 1.2% 71.4%	13 1.6% 69.2%

44

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 2386 57.5% 59.6%	1491 67.4% 59.6%	391 50.6% 59.8%	450 41.8% 59.1%
AGGRESSIVE CRIMES NO VIOLENCE	N 754 18.2% 65.1%	347 15.7% 67.7%	148 19.2% 62.2%	249 23.1% 62.7%
VIOLENCE	N 1006 24.3% 60.6%	374 16.9% 59.6%	233 30.2% 62.7%	377 35.0% 60.2%

45

HISTORY OF CARRYING WEAPONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 2344 71.0% 61.0%	1711 77.4% 61.1%	511 66.2% 60.9%	665 61.8% 60.9%
YES	N 1202 29.0% 60.5%	501 22.6% 60.3%	261 33.8% 61.7%	411 38.2% 59.4%

46

PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 1794 44.9% 56.0%	963 45.3% 55.5%	328 43.8% 57.3%	467 44.6% 56.1%
ONE	N 1090 27.3% 64.1%	605 28.4% 65.5%	198 26.4% 64.1%	273 26.1% 61.2%
TWO	N 599 15.0% 67.9%	326 15.3% 67.8%	103 13.8% 69.9%	157 15.0% 66.9%
THREE OR MORE	N 513 12.8% 65.1%	232 10.9% 65.9%	120 16.0% 60.8%	150 14.3% 66.7%

47

CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 3350 83.9% 60.3%	1838 86.6% 60.2%	613 82.0% 62.5%	894 79.7% 59.1%
ONE	N 375 9.4% 65.6%	170 8.0% 65.9%	77 10.3% 62.3%	124 11.8% 66.9%
TWO	N 72 1.8% 63.9%	26 1.2% 69.2%	21 2.8% 52.4%	24 2.3% 66.7%
THREE OR MORE	N 147 3.7% 68.1%	69 3.2% 68.1%	37 4.8% 60.8%	40 3.7% 66.7%

**CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS
IN ADMISSION OFFENSE**

	N	%	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	375	9.4%	8.0%	10.3%	11.8%
ONE	72	1.8%	1.2%	2.8%	2.3%
TWO	197	4.9%	4.2%	4.9%	6.2%
THREE OR MORE					

48

**INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE
IN ADMISSION OFFENSE**

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	2900	72.5%	69.6%	62.7%
THREAT NO WEAPON	122	2.4%	2.4%	4.9%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	304	7.6%	6.7%	9.7%
MINOR INJURIES	395	9.8%	11.1%	14.4%
MAJOR INJURIES	107	2.7%	4.7%	3.4%
DEATH	36	0.8%	1.6%	0.5%

49

**GROUP VIOLENCE IN
ADMISSION OFFENSE**

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	3289	82.3%	79.5%	75.1%
THREAT NO WEAPON	70	1.8%	1.7%	2.4%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	240	6.0%	5.3%	8.3%
MINOR INJURIES	240	6.0%	7.3%	9.1%
MAJOR INJURIES	71	1.8%	2.2%	2.4%
DEATH	34	0.4%	0.9%	0.5%

50

**WEAPON USED
BY INDIVIDUAL**

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	3285	82.2%	79.9%	77.1%
TOY GUN	40	1.0%	0.5%	1.1%
UNLOADED GUN	13	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
LOADED GUN	125	3.1%	4.5%	4.5%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	149	3.7%	2.7%	4.3%
KNIFE, ETC.	135	3.4%	3.7%	4.4%
OTHER	116	2.9%	4.5%	3.2%

51

WEAPONS USED BY GROUP

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 3556 89.0% 59.8%	1945 91.7% 59.9%	650 85.8% 60.6%	694 85.4% 58.6%
TOY GUN	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	13 0.6% 76.9%	1 0.1% 100.0%	11 1.1% 72.7%
UNLOADED GUN	N 3 0.1% 66.7%	1 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.1% 66.7%
LOADED GUN	N 74 1.9% 67.6%	24 1.1% 83.3%	24 3.2% 54.2%	25 2.4% 64.0%
GUN UNSPECIFIED	N 143 3.6% 73.4%	64 3.0% 71.9%	19 2.5% 75.7%	59 5.3% 75.0%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 68 1.7% 66.2%	25 1.1% 73.9%	24 3.2% 62.5%	20 1.9% 65.0%
OTHER	N 65 1.6% 75.4%	28 1.3% 75.0%	17 2.3% 70.8%	18 1.7% 77.8%

52

ECONOMIC LOSS BY VICTIM

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
NONE	N 1110 27.8% 62.3%	495 23.4% 61.1%	315 42.0% 63.2%	278 26.6% 62.9%
LESS THAN \$1	N 13 0.3% 69.2%	3 0.1% 100.0%	6 0.8% 66.7%	3 0.3% 66.7%
\$1 - \$5	N 41 1.0% 68.3%	23 1.1% 78.3%	8 1.1% 90.0%	10 1.0% 60.0%
\$5 - \$20	N 120 3.0% 65.3%	59 2.8% 72.9%	25 3.3% 60.0%	35 3.3% 48.6%
\$20 - \$100	N 339 10.0% 65.4%	204 9.6% 65.7%	68 9.1% 70.6%	118 11.3% 62.7%
\$100 - \$500	N 503 12.6% 65.2%	299 14.1% 67.6%	64 8.5% 48.4%	135 12.9% 61.5%
\$500 - \$1000	N 145 3.6% 57.3%	106 5.0% 55.7%	18 2.4% 55.6%	16 1.5% 68.8%
\$1000 - \$5000	N 821 20.6% 55.8%	463 21.8% 55.6%	114 15.2% 62.3%	225 21.3% 57.0%
MORE THAN \$5000	N 207 5.2% 58.0%	132 6.2% 56.8%	26 3.5% 65.4%	43 4.1% 53.5%

53

CUSTODIAL EVALUATION FOR INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
GOOD PROGNOSIS	N 3795 82.5% 62.0%	1823 85.9% 62.2%	623 83.3% 62.1%	779 74.5% 61.5%
POOR PROGNOSIS	N 681 17.1% 57.9%	289 13.6% 58.1%	122 16.3% 56.6%	264 25.2% 58.0%

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER RECOMMENDATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0%	112 5.3% 50.9%	48 6.5% 56.3%	40 3.9% 50.0%
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1349 33.9% 62.0%	857 40.5% 61.4%	202 27.2% 64.9%	271 26.2% 63.1%
CAMPS	N 838 21.2% 64.2%	423 20.0% 65.7%	158 21.3% 61.4%	239 23.1% 61.9%
DEVEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 651 16.4% 59.8%	272 12.9% 59.6%	146 19.7% 63.0%	215 20.8% 56.7%
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 760 19.1% 58.3%	345 16.2% 56.0%	162 21.8% 59.3%	238 23.0% 60.5%


55

CYA BOARD ORDER FOR TRANSFER

CAMP	N 838	21.2% 64.2%S	423	158	239
			20.0% 65.7%S	21.3% 61.4%S	23.1% 61.9%S
DEVEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 651	16.4% 59.8%S	272	146	215
			12.9% 59.6%S	19.7% 63.0%S	20.8% 56.7%S
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 760	19.1% 58.3%S	343	162	238
			16.2% 56.0%S	21.8% 59.3%S	23.0% 60.5%S

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	WHITE	MEXICAN AMERICAN	BLACK
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 220 5.3% 54.1%S	144 6.5% 52.8%S	39 5.1% 56.4%S	34 3.2% 55.9%S
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1113 26.9% 65.0%S	695 31.6% 65.0%S	154 20.0% 66.2%S	245 22.8% 64.9%S
BEW LOHND	N 235 9.7% 65.5%S	115 5.2% 67.8%S	54 7.0% 63.0%S	62 5.8% 61.3%S
MT. BULLION	N 240 5.8% 67.1%S	119 5.4% 70.6%S	51 6.6% 64.7%S	64 6.0% 64.1%S
PINE GROVE	N 255 6.2% 64.3%S	143 6.5% 67.8%S	47 6.1% 61.7%S	60 5.6% 63.3%S
WASHINGTON RIDGE	N 329 8.0% 58.1%S	172 7.8% 57.6%S	45 5.8% 57.8%S	104 9.7% 56.7%S
DEVEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 754 18.3% 57.7%S	374 17.0% 57.2%S	172 22.3% 61.0%S	184 17.1% 54.3%S
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 877 21.2% 57.9%S	374 17.0% 56.1%S	187 24.3% 58.3%S	304 28.3% 59.6%S

To relate parole success to the different variables studied, a method of graphic display was designed to guide the reader. Included with most parole success percentages (%S) in a cell are circular symbols that express both the magnitude and the direction of deviations from the overall success percentage (60.9%S). The following symbols are used in all DATA MAPS to guide the reader quickly to either "good" parole risks, "poor" parole risks, or anything between these two extremes.



Solid circles symbolize parole success deviations below the overall success percentage, while empty circles denote success deviations above the overall success percentage. Where no symbol is displayed, it is because of one of the following reasons: (1) The deviation symbol has been provided elsewhere (as with the total study population columns); (2) there were fewer than ten cases in the cell; (3) there is less than one percent deviation from the overall parole success rate.

The visual scanning of these tables can result in the notation of possible relationships between parole success and the various variable categories. If there are parole deviation figures of decreasing or increasing size along a column or row, this may be indicative of a linear relationship. Similar logic can be applied when parole deviation figures appear similar in size and direction at the ends of a row or column. This may indicate the presence of a curvilinear relationship. Also, possible relationships may be noted between the vertical and horizontal variables by noting the proportions of cases falling in different cells. The reader is referred to the text in the user's manual and the companion book THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER for more complete description of the methods of analyzing the tables in the DATA MAPS. It should also be noted that the symbols do not establish relationships, but are meant to guide and provide background information for discussions and hypothesis formulation. The testing of hypotheses remains for further and more rigorous studies.

THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER

This DATA MAP presents comparative data on burglary classification subgroups. STUDY POPULATION: 4,146 California Youth Authority male wards, consecutive intakes in 1964-65 at the Reception Guidance Center, Deuel Vocational Institution, Tracy. PAROLE FOLLOW-UP: 15 months. AVERAGE PAROLE SUCCESS RATE: 60.9% (60.9%S).

Standard data presented in 55 tables Frequencies, percentages, and percent success on parole. Means (M), and standard deviations (SD) for test results and other continuous data.

Information in first column: Data on combined total study population.

Information in columns 2-8: Data on burglary classification subgroups.

The first number in each cell: Number of cases sharing both the column and the row labels.

The second number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell in relation to the other cells in column.

The third number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell which successfully completed parole.

Symbols: Explanation of symbols follows table 55 at the end of the DATA MAP.

Other DATA MAPS available in this series: Intelligence, Race, Alcohol and Drugs, Violence, Robbery, Assault and Parole.

For legend of symbols see below table 55

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
1 COMMITMENT COURT								
JUVENILE COURT	N 345 8.3% 51.6%S	37 19.0% 54.1%S	3 6.3% 33.3%S	3 0.4% 33.3%S	43 4.0% 51.2%S	23 3.6% 47.8%S	8 4.4% 75.0%S	12 4.8% 41.7%S
SUPERIOR COURT	N 3379 80.7% 62.2%S	155 79.5% 63.2%S	45 95.8% 46.7%S	802 98.6% 61.0%S	1023 94.9% 60.7%S	604 95.6% 61.9%S	170 93.9% 61.2%S	234 93.6% 57.3%S
MUNICIPAL COURT	N 438 10.6% 58.0%S	3 1.5% 33.3%S		8 1.0% 37.5%S	12 1.1% 33.3%S	5 0.8% 60.7%S	3 1.7% 33.3%S	4 1.6% 0.0%S
JUSTICE COURT	N 18 0.4% 55.6%S							
2 ADMISSION STATUS								
FIRST ADMISSION	N 2470 60.5% 67.0%S	112 58.6% 65.2%S	30 69.0% 56.7%S	509 61.3% 65.6%S	659 61.9% 65.3%S	419 67.1% 56.3%S	101 56.4% 60.4%S	130 53.1% 65.4%S
FIRST RETURN	N 800 19.6% 54.9%S	26 13.6% 53.8%S	11 22.0% 9.1%S	146 19.2% 9.1%S	189 17.7% 56.1%S	103 16.5% 56.3%S	43 24.0% 62.8%S	41 16.7% 48.8%S
2ND AND MORE RETURNS	N 732 17.9% 47.0%S	47 24.6% 55.3%S	8 16.0% 50.0%S	135 16.8% 42.2%S	196 19.4% 44.9%S	91 14.6% 44.0%S	30 16.8% 60.0%S	70 28.6% 40.7%S
RE-ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE FROM CYA	N 83 2.0% 57.8%S	6 3.1% 50.0%S	1 2.0% 100.0%S	13 1.6% 69.2%S	21 2.0% 66.7%S	11 1.8% 72.7%S	5 2.8% 60.0%S	4 1.6% 50.0%S
3 RACE								
WHITE	N 2212 54.0% 60.3%S	125 64.4% 59.2%S	32 66.7% 50.0%S	466 57.3% 62.7%S	672 59.7% 61.4%S	632 100.0% 61.4%S		
MEXICAN-AMERICAN	N 772 18.6% 61.1%S	31 16.0% 71.0%S	7 14.6% 49.9%S	140 17.2% 49.9%S	191 16.8% 61.3%S		141 100.0% 61.3%S	
BLACK	N 1076 26.0% 60.3%S	35 18.0% 57.1%S	9 19.8% 33.3%S	196 24.1% 56.6%S	259 24.1% 55.6%S			250 100.0% 55.6%S
OTHER	N 80 1.9% 63.8%S	3 1.5% 66.7%S		11 1.4% 54.5%S	14 1.3% 57.1%S			
4 AGE, TIME IN INSTITUTION, WEIGHT, AND HEIGHT								
AGE AT ADMISSION	N 4134 M 19.44 SD 0.94	194 M 19.26 SD 0.88	48 M 19.50 SD 0.77	812 M 19.47 SD 0.90	1076 M 19.43 SD 0.89	630 M 19.45 SD 0.88	181 M 19.37 SD 0.88	259 M 19.44 SD 0.92
AGE AT RELEASE	N 4057 M 20.24 SD 0.99	187 M 19.96 SD 0.93	47 M 20.29 SD 0.87	797 M 20.16 SD 0.93	1053 M 20.13 SD 0.93	619 M 20.15 SD 0.93	176 M 20.08 SD 0.90	243 M 20.11 SD 0.95
TIME IN INSTITUTION	N 4130 M 9.23 SD 4.77	195 M 8.34 SD 4.68	49 M 9.14 SD 4.79	813 M 7.93 SD 4.07	1079 M 8.05 SD 4.20	632 M 8.14 SD 4.48	181 M 8.04 SD 3.87	259 M 7.85 SD 3.65
WEIGHT	N 4131 M 149.67 SD 20.64	195 M 149.70 SD 18.25	49 M 145.33 SD 16.60	813 M 147.85 SD 20.73	1079 M 148.05 SD 20.00	631 M 148.97 SD 20.00	181 M 139.86 SD 18.78	250 M 152.20 SD 19.42
HEIGHT	N 4133 M 68.33 SD 2.85	195 M 68.49 SD 2.71	49 M 69.00 SD 2.81	813 M 68.20 SD 2.90	1079 M 68.24 SD 2.86	631 M 68.69 SD 2.78	181 M 65.33 SD 2.53	250 M 68.95 SD 2.74
5 MARITAL								
SINGLE	N 3277 M 81.94 SD 61.0%S	154 M 80.6% SD 62.3%S	35 M 76.1% SD 45.7%S	647 M 76.1% SD 59.3%S	852 M 81.4% SD 59.3%S	495 M 82.1% SD 61.4%S	141 M 79.2% SD 58.2%S	203 M 83.9% SD 54.7%S
MARRIED	N 387 M 9.7% SD 9.7%S	23 M 12.1% SD 12.1%S	7 M 14.3% SD 14.3%S	74 M 14.3% SD 14.3%S	111 M 14.3% SD 14.3%S	75 M 14.3% SD 14.3%S	18 M 14.3% SD 14.3%S	17 M 14.3% SD 14.3%S

HEIGHT

N	4133	195	49	813	1079	631	181	250
M	68.33	68.49	69.09	69.20	68.24	68.64	66.33	66.59
SD	2.85	2.71	2.81	2.90	2.86	2.78	2.53	2.74

5

MARITAL STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
SINGLE	N 3277 81.9% 61.0% 62.3%	154 80.6% 62.3%	35 76.1% 45.7%	647 82.1% 59.5%	852 81.4% 59.3%	495 80.9% 61.4%	141 79.2% 59.2%	203 85.9% 54.7%
MARRIED	N 387 9.7% 63.3%	23 12.0% 63.3%	7 15.2% 57.1%	78 9.9% 73.1%	111 10.6% 68.5%	75 12.3% 66.7%	18 10.1% 83.3%	17 7.0% 64.7%
DIVORCED	N 55 1.4% 56.4%	2 1.4% 56.4%	0 0.0%	7 0.9% 42.9%	10 1.0% 40.0%	9 1.5% 33.3%		1 0.4% 100.0%
DIVORCED, REHARRIED	N 18 0.5% 33.3%	1 0.5% 100.0%	0 0.0%	6 0.8% 33.3%	7 0.7% 42.9%	6 1.0% 50.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	
SEPARATED	N 112 2.8% 66.1%	5 2.6% 40.0%	1 2.2% 100.0%	21 2.7% 71.4%	29 2.8% 65.5%	17 2.8% 64.7%	5 2.8% 60.0%	7 2.9% 71.4%
COMMON-LAW	N 128 3.2% 57.8%	4 2.1% 75.0%	2 4.3% 0.0%	24 3.0% 54.2%	30 2.9% 53.3%	6 1.0% 83.3%	11 6.2% 54.5%	12 5.0% 33.3%
WIDOWED	N 2 0.1% 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%		1 0.6% 100.0%	

6

CHILDREN ACKNOWLEDGED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 3321 83.0% 61.2%	167 87.4% 61.7%	36 78.3% 44.4%	655 83.1% 60.5%	873 83.4% 59.8%	530 86.6% 62.1%	146 82.0% 61.0%	183 75.6% 51.9%
ONE	N 516 12.9% 60.5%	21 11.0% 57.1%	9 19.6% 55.6%	95 12.1% 63.2%	132 12.6% 62.9%	61 10.0% 62.9%	27 15.2% 63.0%	40 18.2% 70.5%
TWO	N 127 3.2% 4.6%	3 1.6% 33.3%	1 2.2% 100.0%	30 3.8% 61.3%	34 3.3% 61.8%	20 3.3% 65.0%	4 2.2% 50.0%	10 4.1% 60.0%
THREE	N 28 0.7% 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 1.0% 37.5%	8 0.8% 37.5%	1 0.2% 100.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	5 2.1% 40.0%
FOUR AND MORE	N 8 0.2% 62.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%			

7

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
WIFE/GIRL FRIEND	N 349 8.7% 60.5%	22 11.5% 54.5%	3 6.5% 33.3%	72 9.2% 66.7%	99 9.5% 63.6%	61 10.0% 62.3%	14 7.7% 78.6%	18 7.5% 55.6%
NATURAL PARENTS	N 1185 29.6% 61.6%	45 23.6% 68.9%	15 32.6% 53.3%	228 29.0% 57.5%	245 28.0% 59.0%	139 22.7% 61.9%	67 37.6% 53.7%	82 34.0% 57.3%
RELATIVES	N 248 6.2% 62.9%	9 4.7% 55.6%	4 8.7% 100.0%	48 6.1% 58.3%	61 5.8% 60.7%	28 4.6% 67.9%	17 9.6% 47.1%	15 6.2% 60.0%
FOSTER PARENTS	N 76 1.9% 50.0%	5 2.6% 60.0%	0 0.0%	16 2.0% 50.0%	22 2.1% 54.5%	13 2.1% 69.2%	4 2.2% 50.0%	5 2.1% 20.0%
FRIENDS, FIXED	N 134 3.4% 67.9%	6 3.1% 50.0%	3 6.5% 33.3%	42 5.3% 73.8%	52 5.0% 67.3%	41 6.7% 70.7%	2 1.1% 100.0%	8 3.3% 37.5%
ALONE, FIXED	N 104 3.6% 64.6%	10 5.2% 80.0%	3 6.5% 0.0%	32 4.1% 65.6%	45 4.3% 64.4%	32 5.2% 71.9%	3 1.7% 100.0%	10 4.1% 30.0%
ALONE, NOT FIXED	N 207 5.2% 57.0%	11 5.8% 36.4%	2 4.3% 0.0%	34 4.3% 67.6%	46 4.5% 56.3%	43 7.0% 55.8%	2 1.1% 50.0%	2 0.8% 50.0%
GROUP HOME	N 17 0.4% 47.1%	2 1.0% 50.0%	0 0.0%	3 0.4% 66.7%	5 0.5% 60.0%	1 0.2% 100.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	3 1.2% 33.3%

8

MARITAL STATUS OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NEVER MARRIED	N 214 5.4% 61.7%	13 8.8% 61.5%	4 8.7% 25.0%	41 5.2% 61.0%	54 5.2% 59.3%	18 2.9% 44.4%	11 6.2% 63.6%	27 11.2% 66.7%
MARRIED	N 1631 40.8% 62.9%	78 40.8% 69.2%	19 41.3% 57.9%	337 42.9% 61.1%	442 42.3% 62.9%	274 44.8% 64.2%	81 45.8% 64.2%	84 34.9% 56.0%
DIVORCED	N 30 0.8% 66.7%	1 0.5% 100.0%	0 0.0%	6 0.8% 33.3%	7 0.7% 42.9%	1 0.2% 100.0%	5 2.8% 70.0%	1 0.4% 100.0%
DIVORCED, REHARRIED	N 345 8.6% 61.7%	13 8.6% 38.5%	1 2.2% 0.0%	60 7.6% 60.0%	75 7.2% 54.7%	30 4.9% 56.7%	14 7.9% 57.1%	29 12.0% 55.2%
SEPARATED	N 1263 31.6% 61.2%	64 33.5% 56.3%	15 32.6% 53.3%	234 29.8% 62.4%	323 30.9% 60.4%	211 34.5% 62.6%	39 22.0% 59.0%	70 29.0% 55.7%
COMMON-LAW	N 8 0.2% 62.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 0.3% 100.0%	2 0.2% 100.0%	1 0.2% 100.0%		1 0.4% 100.0%
WIDOWED	N 3 0.1% 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%			

9

DEATH OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
FATHER DEAD	N 199 17.0% 61.0%	26 13.3% 80.8%	7 14.0% 82.9%	94 11.6% 66.0%	130 12.0% 66.9%	74 11.7% 73.0%	26 14.4% 61.5%	28 11.2% 57.1%
MOTHER DEAD	N 193 4.7% 72.7%	6 3.1% 100.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%	36 4.0% 75.0%	45 4.2% 77.9%	21 3.3% 66.7%	13 7.2% 42.3%	11 4.4% 81.8%
BOTH DEAD	N 14 1.5% 56.3%	8 4.1% 25.0%	0	0 1.1% 66.7%	17 1.6% 47.1%	8 1.3% 37.5%	3 1.7% 100.0%	6 2.4% 33.3%
BOTH LIVING	N 3391 81.8% 60.9%	155 74.5% 59.1%	42 84.0% 47.6%	674 82.4% 59.1%	989 82.2% 59.3%	529 81.7% 59.3%	139 76.8% 57.6%	205 82.0% 54.6%

10

MILITARY DISCIPLINARY ACTION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
YES	N 301 7.5% 65.1%	14 7.3% 50.0%	9 19.6% 77.8%	46 5.8% 67.4%	70 6.7% 64.3%	64 10.5% 67.2%	3 1.7% 66.7%	2 0.8% 0.0%
NO	N 167 4.2% 68.9%	0 3.1% 59.0%	3 6.5% 66.7%	30 3.8% 66.7%	40 3.8% 65.0%	32 5.2% 65.6%	5 2.8% 80.0%	3 1.2% 33.3%
NO SERVICE	N 3506 87.7% 60.5%	170 89.0% 61.8%	34 73.9% 38.2%	705 89.5% 60.1%	929 88.7% 59.6%	510 83.3% 61.2%	168 94.4% 59.5%	237 97.9% 56.1%

11

MILITARY DISCHARGE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
HONORABLE	N 104 2.6% 57.7%	4 2.1% 25.0%	2 4.3% 100.0%	17 2.2% 52.9%	23 2.2% 52.2%	22 3.6% 50.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	0
GENERAL, HONORABLE CONDITIONS	N 104 2.6% 54.8%	3 1.6% 0.0%	3 6.5% 100.0%	24 3.0% 50.0%	32 3.1% 50.0%	26 4.2% 50.0%	3 1.7% 100.0%	3 1.2% 0.0%
DISHONORABLE, ETC.	N 117 2.9% 70.1%	9 4.7% 66.7%	2 4.3% 50.0%	23 2.9% 82.6%	34 3.2% 76.5%	31 5.1% 80.6%	1 0.6% 0.0%	1 0.4% 100.0%
MEDICAL	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	2 1.0% 100.0%	0	6 0.8% 66.7%	8 0.8% 75.0%	4 0.7% 100.0%	3 1.7% 66.7%	1 0.4% 0.0%
OTHER	N 59 1.5% 72.9%	0	3 6.5% 66.7%	4 0.5% 75.0%	8 0.8% 62.5%	7 1.1% 71.4%	0	1 0.4% 0.0%

12

HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 2278 54.9% 60.8%	113 57.9% 61.1%	32 64.0% 37.5%	457 56.2% 58.6%	614 56.9% 57.8%	390 61.7% 60.3%	55 30.4% 69.1%	160 64.0% 48.1%
MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 1244 30.0% 72.1%	54 29.7% 62.1%	17 69.0% 60.0%	263 32.3% 61.5%	339 31.5% 62.1%	175 27.7% 63.4%	85 47.0% 55.3%	72 28.8% 66.7%
SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 124 15.1% 58.5%	24 15.1% 58.5%	8 16.0% 62.5%	93 11.4% 67.7%	128 11.9% 64.8%	67 10.6% 62.7%	41 22.7% 63.4%	18 7.2% 77.8%
ALCOHOL NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 2555 61.6% 60.5%	129 65.2% 62.8%	34 68.0% 41.2%	502 61.7% 59.3%	674 62.8% 58.6%	421 66.6% 60.3%	60 33.1% 65.0%	188 75.2% 52.7%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 1024 24.7% 62.1%	50 24.7% 62.1%	11 22.0% 54.5%	203 25.0% 66.0%	271 25.1% 63.8%	142 22.5% 66.2%	86 48.6% 68.0%	39 15.6% 66.7%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 567 13.7% 59.4%	16 8.2% 56.3%	5 10.0% 60.0%	108 13.3% 59.4%	139 12.0% 59.2%	69 10.8% 58.0%	33 19.2% 63.6%	23 9.2% 60.9%

13

HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE	N 3488 74.1% 61.9%	176 90.3% 67.8%	44 88.0% 45.5%	712 87.6% 62.4%	951 88.1% 61.3%	569 90.0% 62.7%	150 82.9% 69.7%	217 86.8% 58.1%
ISOLATED DRUG MISUSE	N 263 6.3% 59.3%	9 4.6% 55.6%	3 6.0% 33.3%	42 5.2% 50.0%	55 5.1% 50.9%	25 4.0% 52.0%	11 6.1% 63.6%	19 7.6% 42.1%
MODERATE DRUG MISUSE	N 337 8.1% 53.4%	7 3.6% 57.1%	3 6.0% 66.7%	52 6.4% 49.1%	64 6.0% 48.4%	31 4.9% 45.2%	16 9.9% 61.1%	14 5.6% 35.7%
SEVERE DRUG MISUSE	N 58 1.4% 50.0%	3 1.5% 100.0%	0	7 0.9% 42.9%	10 0.9% 60.0%	7 1.1% 57.1%	2 1.1% 100.0%	0
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 7788 91.4% 61.2%	186 95.4% 61.3%	43 86.0% 45.8%	769 94.6% 61.5%	1023 94.7% 60.7%	609 95.4% 62.1%	161 89.0% 60.2%	237 94.8% 57.4%
DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 209 5.0% 57.4%	7 3.6% 57.1%	1 2.0% 100.0%	15 1.8% 46.7%	24 2.2% 54.2%	15 2.4% 46.7%	4 2.2% 100.0%	4 1.6% 50.0%
DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 110 3.6% 57.0%	2 1.0% 50.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%	29 3.6% 44.8%	33 3.1% 42.4%	8 1.3% 37.5%	16 8.8% 62.5%	9 3.6% 11.1%

14

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
--	------------------------	----------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

14

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF OPIATE USE	N 3971 95.8% 61.4% ^S	193 99.0% 61.7% ^S	49 98.0% 44.9% ^S	789 97.0% 61.3% ^S	1053 97.5% 60.6% ^S	622 98.4% 61.9% ^S	165 91.2% 63.6% ^S	249 91.2% 55.4% ^S
ISOLATED OPIATE USE	N 43 1.0% 62.8% ^S		1 2.0% 100.0% ^S	7 0.9% 57.1% ^S	8 0.7% 62.5% ^S	2 0.3% 100.0% ^S	5 2.8% 40.0% ^S	1 0.4% 100.0% ^S
MODERATE OPIATE USE	N 102 2.5% 42.2% ^S	2 1.0% 0.0% ^S		12 1.5% 33.3% ^S	14 1.3% 28.6% ^S	5 0.8% 0.0% ^S	9 5.0% 44.4% ^S	
SEVERE OPIATE USE	N 30 0.7% 43.3% ^S			5 0.6% 20.0% ^S	5 0.5% 20.0% ^S	3 0.5% 33.3% ^S	2 1.1% 0.0% ^S	
OPIATES NO FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 4046 97.6% 61.3% ^S	194 99.5% 61.3% ^S	50 100.0% 46.0% ^S	804 98.9% 61.2% ^S	1069 99.0% 60.4% ^S	626 99.1% 62.0% ^S	177 97.8% 62.1% ^S	249 99.6% 55.4% ^S
OPIATES FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 73 1.8% 42.5% ^S	1 0.5% 0.0% ^S		6 0.7% 15.7% ^S	7 0.6% 14.3% ^S	4 0.6% 0.0% ^S	3 1.7% 33.3% ^S	
OPIATES FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 27 0.7% 37.0% ^S			3 0.4% 0.0% ^S	4 0.4% 25.0% ^S	2 0.3% 0.0% ^S	1 0.6% 0.0% ^S	1 0.4% 100.0% ^S

15

HISTORY OF MARIJUANA AND GLUE-SNIFFING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF USE OF MARIJUANA	N 3345 80.7% 61.3% ^S	172 88.2% 63.4% ^S	48 96.0% 45.8% ^S	709 87.2% 60.8% ^S	949 87.8% 60.5% ^S	571 90.3% 62.0% ^S	136 75.1% 60.5% ^S	227 90.8% 54.6% ^S
MARIJUANA USE	N 801 19.3% 59.2% ^S	23 11.8% 43.5% ^S	2 4.0% 50.0% ^S	104 12.8% 59.6% ^S	132 12.2% 56.1% ^S	61 9.7% 55.7% ^S	45 24.3% 53.3% ^S	23 9.2% 65.2% ^S
NO HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 3890 93.8% 61.0% ^S	185 94.9% 60.5% ^S	49 98.0% 44.9% ^S	772 95.0% 60.4% ^S	1024 94.8% 59.7% ^S	604 95.6% 61.1% ^S	156 86.2% 60.3% ^S	248 86.2% 55.6% ^S
HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 256 6.2% 58.6% ^S	10 5.1% 70.0% ^S	1 2.0% 100.0% ^S	41 5.0% 65.9% ^S	56 5.2% 66.1% ^S	28 4.4% 67.9% ^S	25 13.8% 68.0% ^S	2 0.8% 50.0% ^S

16

HISTORY OF ESCAPE AND SEXUAL DEVIATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NO ESCAPES	N 3539 85.4% 63.3% ^S	171 87.7% 64.3% ^S	39 78.0% 46.2% ^S	708 87.1% 61.7% ^S	935 86.6% 61.4% ^S	529 83.7% 64.1% ^S	156 85.2% 60.9% ^S	237 84.2% 55.1% ^S
ESCAPES FROM MINIMUM SECURITY	N 576 12.7% 47.9% ^S	21 10.8% 38.1% ^S	11 22.0% 45.5% ^S	96 11.8% 56.3% ^S	133 12.3% 53.4% ^S	94 14.9% 48.9% ^S	24 13.3% 66.7% ^S	12 4.8% 50.0% ^S
ESCAPES WITH FORCE	N 81 2.0% 33.3% ^S	3 1.5% 33.3% ^S		9 1.1% 22.2% ^S	12 1.1% 25.0% ^S	9 1.4% 33.3% ^S	1 0.6% 0.0% ^S	1 0.4% 0.0% ^S
NO HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 4026 97.1% 61.1% ^S	193 99.0% 61.1% ^S	49 98.0% 46.9% ^S	798 98.2% 60.4% ^S	1061 98.2% 59.9% ^S	626 99.1% 61.3% ^S	178 98.3% 61.2% ^S	241 96.4% 54.8% ^S
HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 120 2.9% 60.8% ^S	2 1.0% 50.0% ^S	1 2.0% 0.0% ^S	15 1.8% 73.3% ^S	19 1.8% 68.4% ^S	6 0.9% 66.7% ^S	3 1.7% 66.7% ^S	9 3.6% 77.8% ^S
NO HISTORY OF SEXUAL DEVIATION	N 3929 94.8% 60.8% ^S	189 96.9% 60.8% ^S	49 98.0% 44.9% ^S	781 96.1% 60.9% ^S	1039 96.2% 60.1% ^S	603 95.4% 61.5% ^S	176 97.2% 61.4% ^S	243 97.2% 55.6% ^S
HISTORY OF ISOLATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 156 3.8% 57.1% ^S	5 2.6% 60.0% ^S		27 3.3% 51.9% ^S	34 3.1% 55.9% ^S	24 3.8% 60.0% ^S	5 2.8% 60.0% ^S	5 2.0% 40.0% ^S
HISTORY OF REPEATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 61 1.5% 72.1% ^S	1 0.5% 100.0% ^S	1 2.0% 100.0% ^S	5 0.6% 60.0% ^S	7 0.6% 71.4% ^S	5 0.8% 60.0% ^S	2 1.1% 100.0% ^S	2 0.8% 100.0% ^S
NO HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUALITY	N 4009 96.7% 62.1% ^S	190 97.4% 62.1% ^S	49 98.0% 46.9% ^S	796 97.9% 61.2% ^S	1057 97.9% 60.6% ^S	615 97.3% 62.4% ^S	178 98.3% 61.2% ^S	247 98.8% 55.9% ^S
HISTORY OF ISOLATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 79 1.9% 40.5% ^S	4 2.1% 25.0% ^S	1 2.0% 0.0% ^S	6 0.7% 16.7% ^S	11 1.0% 18.2% ^S	9 1.4% 22.2% ^S		2 0.8% 0.0% ^S
HISTORY OF REPEATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 43 1.0% 53.5% ^S	1 0.5% 0.0% ^S		10 1.2% 50.0% ^S	11 1.0% 45.5% ^S	7 1.1% 28.6% ^S	3 1.7% 66.7% ^S	1 0.4% 100.0% ^S
HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUAL PATTERN	N 15 0.4% 53.3% ^S			1 0.1% 0.0% ^S	1 0.1% 0.0% ^S	1 0.2% 0.0% ^S		

17

PREVIOUS PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 4047 97.6% 61.1% 61.1%	189 96.9% 61.9%	47 94.0% 44.7%	804 98.9% 60.8%	1061 98.2% 60.2%	621 98.3% 61.8%	176 97.2% 60.8%	248 99.2% 55.6%
INFREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 65 1.6% 50.8%	3 1.5% 33.3%	3 6.0% 66.7%	4 1.5% 50.0%	11 1.0% 54.5%	6 0.9% 50.0%	3 1.7% 66.7%	2 0.8% 50.0%
FREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 24 0.6% 50.0%	1 0.5% 0.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%	3 0.4% 66.7%	4 0.4% 50.0%	1 0.2% 0.0%	2 1.1% 100.0%	
SERIOUS SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 10 0.2% 40.0%	2 1.0% 50.0%		2 0.2% 0.0%	4 0.4% 25.0%	4 0.6% 25.0%		
NO HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 1118 99.3% 60.9%	194 99.5% 61.3%	50 100.0% 46.0%	809 99.5% 60.6%	1075 99.5% 60.7%	629 99.5% 61.4%	181 100.0% 61.3%	248 99.2% 55.6%
HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 28 0.7% 53.6%	1 0.5% 0.0%		4 0.5% 75.0%	5 0.5% 60.0%	3 0.5% 66.7%		2 0.8% 50.0%
NO HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 4125 99.5% 60.8%	195 100.0% 61.0%	48 96.0% 43.8%	809 99.5% 60.6%	1074 99.4% 59.9%	629 99.5% 61.4%	180 99.4% 61.1%	248 99.2% 55.2%
HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 21 0.5% 61.9%		2 4.0% 100.0%	4 0.5% 75.0%	6 0.6% 83.3%	3 0.5% 66.7%	1 0.6% 100.0%	2 0.8% 100.0%
NO HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 4092 98.7% 61.1%	191 97.9% 61.3%	49 98.0% 44.9%	806 99.1% 60.8%	1067 98.8% 60.1%	621 98.3% 61.7%	180 99.4% 61.1%	249 99.6% 55.4%
HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 59 1.3% 44.4%	4 2.1% 50.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%	7 0.9% 42.9%	13 1.2% 53.8%	11 1.7% 45.5%	1 0.6% 100.0%	1 0.4% 100.0%
NO HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 4097 98.8% 60.8%	192 98.5% 60.4%	49 98.0% 44.9%	808 99.4% 60.8%	1071 99.2% 59.9%	629 99.5% 61.4%	179 98.9% 60.9%	247 98.8% 55.9%
HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 49 1.2% 61.2%	3 1.5% 100.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%	5 0.6% 40.0%	9 0.8% 66.7%	3 0.5% 66.7%	2 1.1% 100.0%	3 1.2% 33.3%
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 3970 93.3% 61.6%	181 92.8% 63.5%	49 98.0% 44.9%	782 95.2% 61.6%	1032 95.6% 61.2%	605 95.7% 62.6%	176 97.2% 61.9%	235 94.0% 57.0%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 276 6.7% 51.1%	14 7.2% 28.6%	1 2.0% 100.0%	31 3.8% 35.3%	48 4.4% 33.3%	27 4.3% 33.3%	5 2.8% 40.0%	15 6.0% 33.3%
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 4012 96.8% 61.2%	187 95.9% 62.0%	48 96.0% 47.9%	793 97.5% 60.5%	1050 97.2% 60.5%	613 97.0% 62.2%	177 97.8% 61.6%	244 97.6% 55.7%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 134 3.2% 50.0%	8 4.1% 37.5%	2 4.0% 0.0%	20 2.5% 57.0%	30 2.8% 43.3%	19 3.0% 36.8%	4 2.2% 50.0%	6 2.4% 50.0%
NO HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 4031 97.2% 61.3%	190 97.4% 61.5%	48 96.0% 47.9%	795 97.6% 61.1%	1054 97.6% 60.5%	619 97.9% 61.9%	177 97.8% 61.0%	241 96.4% 56.8%
HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 115 2.8% 45.2%	5 2.6% 40.0%	2 4.0% 0.0%	18 2.2% 38.5%	26 2.4% 38.5%	13 2.1% 39.5%	4 2.2% 75.0%	9 3.6% 22.2%

18

INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
MENTAL DEFECTIVE	N 73 1.6% 69.6%	3 0.6% 66.7%		6 0.7% 50.0%	10 0.9% 69.0%	1 0.2% 100.0%	2 1.1% 0.0%	7 2.8% 71.4%
BORDERLINE	N 127 3.1% 63.0%	7 3.6% 71.4%		11 4.2% 55.9%	41 3.8% 58.5%	11 1.7% 63.6%	7 3.9% 57.1%	22 8.8% 54.5%
DULL NORMAL	N 1000 24.2% 59.2%	45 23.3% 57.8%	13 26.0% 21.1%	207 25.5% 51.0%	269 25.0% 55.8%	110 17.5% 52.3%	64 35.4% 60.9%	93 37.2% 59.8%
AVERAGE	N 2440 59.1% 60.7%	114 59.1% 62.3%	29 58.0% 55.2%	464 57.1% 61.4%	622 57.8% 61.3%	387 58.5% 62.3%	105 58.0% 62.9%	119 47.6% 57.1%
BRIGHT NORMAL	N 446 10.9% 63.7%	18 9.3% 72.2%	7 14.0% 57.1%	91 11.2% 61.5%	117 10.9% 63.2%	108 16.5% 65.4%	3 1.7% 66.7%	8 3.2% 37.5%
SUPERIOR	N 81 2.0% 67.0%	6 3.1% 67.3%	1 2.0% 0.0%	10 1.2% 90.0%	16 1.7% 61.1%	16 2.5% 68.8%		1 0.4% 0.0%
VERY SUPERIOR	N 9 0.2% 77.8%							

19

RESULTS OF INTELLIGENCE TESTING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
ARMY GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST I.Q.	N 2084 M 99.42 SD 11.23	124 100.09 11.13	34 99.94 9.21	500 99.87 11.22	671 99.86 11.07	452 102.87 10.27	90 93.89 9.51	120 82.83 10.99
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2979 M 49.80 SD 21.25	123 50.28 21.91	34 50.23 19.23	498 48.22 21.48	669 48.68 21.49	450 53.56 20.99	90 36.28 16.97	119 39.45 19.23
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2682 M 56.08 SD 25.83	123 55.46 25.42	34 56.68 23.69	499 57.13 25.48	669 56.65 25.38	450 62.87 24.24	90 44.88 21.85	120 42.85 23.47
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2683 M 54.10 SD 24.64	123 56.11 24.95	34 55.33 20.13	499 55.70 24.89	669 55.60 24.65	450 61.28 23.45	90 46.52 21.78	120 41.00 25.68
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	N 3865 M 90.81 SD 13.79	185 90.61 14.71	43 91.60 14.72	760 91.02 14.70	1009 90.16 14.02	594 94.05 13.85	164 85.16 11.36	234 83.52 12.56
LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3977 M 91.98	185	43	761	1011	594	164	234

TESTING

NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	M 2682 SD 75.83	123 55.06 25.42	34 56.68 23.69	499 57.13 25.48	699 56.65 25.38	850 62.87 24.24	90 44.98 21.85	120 42.45 23.47
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	M 2683 SD 59.10 24.64	123 56.11 21.96	34 53.53 20.13	499 55.70 24.89	699 54.60 24.65	850 61.28 23.43	90 46.52 21.76	120 41.00 23.68
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	M 3885 SD 90.81 13.89	185 90.63 14.71	43 91.60 10.72	760 90.02 14.09	1009 90.16 14.02	594 94.05 13.85	164 85.16 11.36	234 83.52 12.56
LANGUAGE I.Q.	M 3887 SD 76.08 15.56	185 86.90 15.57	43 88.14 13.90	761 86.11 16.44	1011 86.30 16.47	594 90.77 16.51	164 80.09 13.57	235 79.11 14.16
NONLANGUAGE I.Q.	M 3877 SD 94.17 14.09	186 93.86 15.04	43 94.67 10.49	763 93.59 14.38	1014 93.67 14.36	596 97.09 13.93	166 89.67 13.04	235 87.44 13.72
D-48 RAM SCORE	M 2712 SD 20.97 7.74	122 21.29 7.67	38 21.87 6.95	527 20.63 7.68	701 20.81 7.62	416 22.44 7.32	113 17.91 7.04	165 18.56 7.72
RAVEN MATRICES RAM SCORE	M 3517 SD 43.33 8.66	163 43.62 8.76	37 45.14 8.54	694 42.95 8.84	913 44.06 8.96	543 44.56 8.49	155 41.38 8.08	200 40.17 10.05
SHIPLEY HARTFORD CONCEPTUAL QUOTIENT	M 1767 SD 96.05 14.38	86 96.92 12.90	21 97.71 16.40	310 96.67 14.03	424 96.96 14.01	321 97.61 13.63	39 95.44 15.39	57 94.28 14.90
LANGUAGE RAM SCORE	M 2767 SD 23.75 5.45	129 23.95 5.69	33 24.61 3.81	516 23.36 5.39	691 23.49 5.44	455 24.70 5.26	98 20.54 4.85	129 21.33 5.05
ABSTRACT RAM SCORE	M 2696 SD 24.02 7.98	126 24.03 7.53	30 25.60 7.07	503 24.07 7.90	671 24.15 7.84	444 25.46 7.56	92 20.22 6.10	126 22.19 7.34

20

RESULTS OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 4067	BURGLARY N = 191	BURGLARY 1ST N = 49	BURGLARY 2ND N = 801	ALL BURGLARY N = 1061	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 621	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 178	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 246
READING VOCABULARY	M 7.55 SD 2.76	7.52 2.83	7.69 2.59	7.34 2.85	7.38 2.83	8.17 2.76	6.23 2.39	6.18 2.61
READING COMPREHENSION	M 7.66 SD 2.69	7.63 2.65	8.10 2.38	7.44 2.73	7.50 2.71	8.29 2.70	6.36 2.18	6.31 2.35
READING AVERAGE	M 7.62 SD 2.65	7.58 2.69	7.89 2.36	7.41 2.73	7.45 2.71	8.25 2.66	6.32 2.23	6.26 2.41
ARITHMETIC REASONING	M 7.47 SD 2.23	7.46 2.31	7.86 1.87	7.30 2.25	7.34 2.24	7.97 2.19	6.45 1.89	6.35 2.02
ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTALS	M 7.27 SD 1.88	7.28 2.03	7.43 1.67	7.13 1.96	7.17 1.96	7.66 1.99	6.44 1.55	5.40 1.72
ARITHMETIC AVERAGE	M 7.39 SD 2.03	7.40 2.10	7.67 1.67	7.24 2.04	7.28 2.04	7.84 2.03	6.46 1.66	6.40 1.79
LANGUAGE MECHANICS	M 7.22 SD 2.52	7.27 2.58	7.57 2.15	6.98 2.52	7.05 2.52	7.75 2.43	6.13 2.40	5.92 2.21
LANGUAGE SPELLING	M 7.35 SD 2.60	7.27 2.68	7.72 2.32	7.14 2.61	7.19 2.61	7.68 2.57	6.56 2.57	6.39 2.42
LANGUAGE AVERAGE	M 7.30 SD 2.45	7.27 2.52	7.68 2.11	7.13 2.47	7.08 2.47	7.73 2.39	6.36 2.41	6.15 2.23
TOTAL GRADE PLACEMENT	M 7.42 SD 2.28	7.41 2.34	7.73 1.89	7.21 2.32	7.27 2.31	7.92 2.25	6.36 2.00	6.25 2.05

21

GRADE COMPLETED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
1	N							
2	N							
3	N 3 0.1% 0.02%							
4	N 5 0.1% 40.0%			2 0.3% 50.0%	2 0.2% 50.0%		2 1.1% 50.0%	
5	N 21 0.5% 42.9%	3 1.6% 33.3%		6 0.8% 33.3%	9 0.8% 33.3%	4 0.6% 0.0%	2 1.1% 100.0%	3 1.2% 33.3%
6	N 38 0.9% 57.5%			10 1.3% 60.0%	10 0.9% 60.0%	5 0.8% 80.0%	4 2.2% 50.0%	1 0.4% 0.0%
7	N 94 2.3% 59.6%	6 3.2% 33.3%	3 6.1% 66.7%	27 2.5% 81.3%	27 2.6% 70.4%	4 2.2% 68.8%	4 2.2% 75.0%	6 2.4% 66.7%
8	N 323 7.9% 60.4%	25 13.2% 76.0%	4 8.2% 25.0%	70 8.8% 62.9%	100 8.4% 65.0%	64 10.3% 75.0%	25 14.0% 56.0%	9 3.7% 11.1%
9	N 685 16.8% 59.4%	31 16.3% 67.7%	7 14.3% 57.1%	148 18.5% 54.7%	190 17.9% 57.4%	115 18.6% 57.4%	39 21.8% 56.4%	35 14.2% 57.1%
10	N 1099 27.0% 60.1%	52 27.4% 55.8%	14 29.6% 28.6%	214 26.8% 61.2%	290 27.4% 57.4%	164 26.5% 60.4%	58 32.4% 65.5%	61 24.8% 44.3%
11	N 1051 25.8% 62.5%	41 21.6% 58.5%	14 28.6% 50.0%	202 25.3% 59.4%	259 24.4% 58.7%	149 24.1% 54.4%	32 17.9% 56.3%	77 31.3% 67.5%
12	N 706 17.3% 62.0%	28 14.7% 57.1%	7 14.3% 71.4%	123 15.4% 66.7%	162 15.3% 65.4%	94 15.2% 71.3%	13 7.1% 76.9%	51 20.7% 54.9%
13+	N 40 1.0% 75.0%	3 1.6% 100.0%		6 0.8% 66.7%	10 0.9% 60.0%	7 1.1% 85.7%		3 1.2% 66.7%

22

GRADE ACHIEVED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1st	BURGLARY 2nd	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
1	" 12 0.3% 58.3% n,0% 0.5% 0.0%	1	0.5%	4	0.6%	2	0.6%	3
2	" 107 2.6% 58.9% 5	2.6%	80.0%	28	3.4%	10	11	13
3	" 252 6.2% 63.5% 12	6.3%	66.7%	57	7.1%	29	18	22
4	" 266 6.5% 62.0% 10	5.3%	90.0%	59	7.1%	30	16	27
5	" 408 10.0% 69.3% 19	10.0%	63.2%	85	10.6%	49	21	41
F	" 597 14.7% 58.6% 37	14.5%	48.6%	120	15.0%	81	34	48
7	" 687 16.9% 61.1% 21	11.1%	61.9%	126	15.8%	87	33	37
8	" 671 16.5% 60.2% 37	19.5%	62.2%	125	15.6%	111	32	33
9	" 492 12.1% 59.1% 21	12.1%	57.1%	96	12.0%	96	9	15
10	" 383 8.9% 62.0% 14	7.4%	71.4%	69	8.6%	79	3	6
11	" 157 3.8% 67.5% 7	3.7%	85.7%	27	3.4%	37	6.0%	1
12	" 54 1.3% 72.2% 6	3.2%	16.7%	4	0.5%	8	1.3%	0.4%
13+	" 1 0.0% 100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%

23

AGE LEFT SCHOOL

AGE	GRADE	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1st	BURGLARY 2nd	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
12	6	" 13 0.3% 46.2% 2	0.3%	0.2%	3	0.2%	1	1	0.6%
13	7	" 20 0.5% 45.0% 2	1.1%	0.0%	3	0.5%	4	1	0.6%
14	8	" 95 2.4% 56.8% 7	3.7%	2.2%	20	2.7%	15	5	2.8%
15	9	" 314 8.0% 59.9% 18	9.5%	4.4%	69	8.7%	53	13	5.5%
16	10	" 1126 28.6% 60.1% 66	34.7%	33.3%	233	30.9%	196	61	24.7%
17	11	" 1396 35.5% 60.2% 54	28.4%	34.2%	265	33.1%	203	56	34.5%
18	12	" 971 24.7% 65.8% 43	22.6%	33.3%	183	23.9%	133	31	17.6%

24

ACADEMIC DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1st	BURGLARY 2nd	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
ACADEMIC DISABILITY	" 4039 M -2.74 SD 7.19	188 -2.57 2.10	48 -2.37 1.98	794 -2.85 2.17	1051 -2.78 2.14	613 -2.12 1.94	177 -3.17 2.01	245 -4.16 2.02
ESTIMATED ACADEMIC RETARDATION	" 4053 M -3.37 SD 1.95	189 -3.31 1.94	49 -3.21 1.47	797 -3.42 1.99	1055 -3.41 1.96	618 -3.25 1.92	178 -3.88 2.08	242 -3.45 1.93

25

RATING ON MOTIVATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1st	BURGLARY 2nd	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL MOTIVATED	" 7589 66.5% 62.4% 105	57.1%	37	507	66%	415	110	129
NOT MOTIVATED	" 1303 33.5% 57.6% 79	42.9%	11	256	33.5%	34.7%	63	102
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	" 424 10.6% 66.0% 23	12.0%	4	83	10.8%	12.3%	8	28
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	" 2886 72.2% 61.8% 120	82.8%	38	568	74.1%	448	129	155
HIG STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	" 1112 27.8% 59.5% 71	37.2%	8	218	29.1%	162	49	87

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3886	BURGLARY N = 179	BURGLARY 1st N = 45	BURGLARY 2nd N = 763	ALL BURGLARY N = 1008	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 580	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 169	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 232
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	" 90.30 SD 17.24	91.40 17.98	90.20 18.01	89.41 18.05	89.73 17.99	95.20 17.93	83.43 15.18	80.15 14.44
VERBAL ABILITY	" 87.06 SD 15.20	86.54 15.64	84.48 16.13	85.04 15.13	85.21 15.13	89.73 15.13	83.43 15.18	80.15 14.44

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 398	BURGLARY N = 179	BURGLARY 1ST N = 85	BURGLARY 2ND N = 73	ALL BURGLARY N = 100	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 50	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 169	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 232
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	M 90.37 SD 18.20	91.01 17.98	90.20 18.03	89.43 18.05	89.73 17.99	95.20 17.93	83.43 15.18	80.15 14.44
VERBAL APTITUDE	M 88.06 SD 15.20	86.54 15.69	84.48 16.63	85.04 14.77	85.21 15.01	89.33 16.06	79.42 10.46	78.70 11.09
NUMERICAL APTITUDE	M 87.50 SD 19.53	87.97 19.58	90.16 15.46	86.77 19.76	87.02 19.55	91.81 19.32	81.59 17.29	78.69 17.89
SPATIAL APTITUDE	M 102.63 SD 20.43	105.16 19.48	103.09 19.48	102.48 19.84	102.89 19.84	107.81 19.30	98.43 18.18	93.10 17.82
PERCEPTIONAL APTITUDE	M 99.32 SD 19.51	99.55 20.11	101.09 21.02	98.53 19.81	98.68 19.88	103.10 18.51	94.86 19.12	90.05 20.56
CLERICAL APTITUDE	M 93.74 SD 15.06	93.84 14.89	96.91 16.51	92.64 14.59	92.88 14.74	95.43 14.69	89.76 14.69	88.39 13.52
MOTOR COORDINATION	M 96.34 SD 18.54	94.22 19.15	92.67 16.98	94.63 18.91	94.41 18.78	94.12 18.42	97.01 18.57	93.13 19.96
FINGER DEXTERITY	M 91.07 SD 19.42	89.76 19.58	88.25 20.55	91.06 19.30	90.75 19.46	92.51 19.09	91.79 19.07	85.88 19.66
MANUAL DEXTERITY	M 111.70 SD 21.61	108.66 23.18	110.02 23.94	110.95 21.70	110.53 22.16	111.62 21.08	113.99 24.12	105.09 22.51

27

RATINGS OF MOTIVATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
WOODSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 773 74.6% 60.8%	28 80.3% 64.3%	12 80.0% 58.3%	141 74.6% 61.0%	183 74.1% 61.7%	120 76.4% 65.8%	29 72.5% 51.7%	31 72.4% 51.6%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 263 25.4% 60.1%	13 25.4% 46.2%	3 20.0% 66.7%	48 25.4% 45.8%	64 25.9% 46.9%	37 23.6% 54.1%	11 27.5% 36.4%	15 27.5% 40.0%
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 396 69.0% 62.5%	33 69.0% 60.6%	10 66.7% 60.0%	121 69.5% 61.2%	165 69.5% 60.6%	117 76.0% 64.1%	27 65.9% 51.9%	18 48.6% 50.0%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 300 30.1% 58.3%	13 28.3% 69.2%	5 33.3% 69.2%	53 30.5% 56.8%	71 30.1% 56.3%	37 24.0% 62.2%	14 34.1% 50.0%	19 51.4% 52.6%
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 2770 71.4% 62.4%	118 63.8% 61.0%	39 81.3% 51.3%	537 70.2% 63.7%	710 69.7% 62.7%	433 72.3% 64.0%	124 72.1% 61.3%	144 72.1% 59.0%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 1113 28.6% 57.1%	67 36.2% 42.7%	9 18.8% 33.3%	228 29.8% 51.8%	309 30.3% 53.4%	166 27.7% 55.4%	48 27.9% 58.3%	88 27.9% 47.7%
COUNSELOR'S RATING II - MOTIVATED	N 2872 71.8% 62.2%	127 66.5% 63.0%	37 80.4% 62.3%	560 71.1% 62.3%	739 70.6% 61.7%	455 74.3% 64.8%	127 71.3% 59.1%	144 59.5% 54.2%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 991 17.3% 57.7%	42 22.0% 57.1%	4 8.7% 50.0%	132 16.8% 52.3%	183 17.5% 53.0%	101 16.5% 51.5%	32 18.0% 62.5%	50 20.7% 50.0%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING - YES	N 1065 26.6% 59.7%	138 72.3% 62.3%	37 78.3% 50.0%	576 73.1% 61.3%	765 73.1% 61.0%	474 77.5% 64.1%	132 74.2% 58.3%	145 74.3% 53.4%
- NONE	N 2934 73.4% 61.2%	53 27.7% 56.6%	10 21.7% 40.0%	212 26.9% 59.0%	282 26.4% 57.4%	138 22.5% 53.6%	46 25.8% 67.4%	46 25.8% 58.3%

28

WORK EXPERIENCE UNION MEMBERSHIP, AND VOCATIONAL DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 459 11.5% 58.2%	25 13.1% 76.0%	6 14.0% 50.0%	85 10.8% 51.8%	117 11.2% 56.4%	67 10.9% 55.2%	15 8.5% 89.0%	32 13.2% 50.0%
1-1466 36.7% 59.3%	63 33.0% 52.4%	14 30.4% 50.0%	285 36.2% 61.1%	370 35.4% 60.9%	215 35.1% 60.9%	62 35.0% 59.7%	88 36.4% 56.8%	
6-12 MONTHS 7.9% 59.0%	30 15.7% 73.3%	7 15.2% 57.1%	133 16.9% 62.4%	173 16.5% 63.6%	112 18.3% 63.4%	20 11.3% 75.0%	39 16.1% 56.4%	
12-18 MONTHS 7.9% 59.0%	10 5.2% 60.0%	4 8.7% 0.0%	72 9.1% 59.7%	89 8.5% 57.3%	55 9.0% 58.2%	13 7.3% 61.5%	20 8.3% 50.0%	
18-24 MONTHS 3.5% 63.8%	3 1.6% 66.7%	2 4.4% 50.0%	34 4.4% 73.5%	39 3.7% 71.8%	23 3.8% 78.3%	9 5.1% 55.6%	7 2.9% 71.4%	
24 MONTHS AND OVER 10.2% 66.3%	433 10.2% 61.3%	11 19.6% 44.4%	81 10.3% 63.0%	123 11.8% 61.8%	61 11.6% 64.8%	32 16.3% 56.3%	19 7.9% 57.9%	
SPORADIC 10.2% 59.7%	23 14.7% 53.6%	3 6.5% 66.7%	94 10.7% 57.1%	119 11.3% 56.8%	61 10.0% 63.4%	23 13.0% 49.3%	31 12.8% 51.6%	
UNION MEMBER 7.7% 65.7%	12 6.3% 58.3%	4 8.7% 100.0%	43 5.5% 67.4%	61 5.8% 68.9%	43 7.0% 72.1%	9 4.5% 87.5%	8 3.3% 37.5%	
NOT A UNION MEMBER 91.5% 69.8%	177 91.5% 61.0%	42 91.3% 42.9%	742 94.2% 60.2%	980 95.5% 59.4%	564 92.2% 61.0%	170 95.5% 59.4%	233 95.3% 56.2%	
VOCATIONAL DISABILITY 6.0% 62.8%	12 6.3% 75.0%	2 4.3% 50.0%	53 6.7% 71.7%	68 6.5% 70.6%	38 6.2% 73.7%	10 5.6% 90.0%	19 7.9% 52.6%	
NO VOCATIONAL DISABILITY 93.1% 61.1%	177 92.7% 59.9%	44 95.7% 47.7%	729 92.5% 59.9%	968 92.5% 59.5%	569 93.0% 61.0%	166 93.3% 59.0%	219 90.0% 56.2%	

29

RESULTS ON THE CALIFORNIA PSYCHOLOGICAL INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	BURGLARY N = 145	BURGLARY 1ST N = 39	BURGLARY 2ND N = 609	ALL BURGLARY N = 806	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 485	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 152	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 176
DOMINANCE	M 38.37 SD 12.10	37.59 12.17	35.09 10.76	37.89 11.91	37.76 11.92	37.25 12.85	36.12 9.06	40.44 10.67
CAPACITY FOR STATUS	M 39.36 SD 11.64	38.91 19.91	36.26 11.72	38.79 11.79	38.75 11.62	38.74 11.95	36.15 10.12	40.76 11.39
SOCIABILITY	M 44.10 SD 11.48	43.35 11.43	43.42 10.42	43.22 11.51	43.28 11.43	42.66 12.25	41.58 9.61	46.26 9.74
SOCIAL PRESENCE	M 47.24 SD 11.10	47.97 10.97	45.23 11.56	46.58 11.41	46.90 11.76	47.39 12.39	44.53 10.42	47.26 10.47
SELF ACCEPTANCE	M 50.89 SD 11.42	50.93 12.16	52.13 8.18	49.41 11.15	50.12 11.76	49.30 12.27	48.39 9.94	53.54 11.06
SENSE OF WELL-BEING	M 37.68 SD 13.63	38.19 14.35	35.46 13.23	37.54 13.48	37.54 13.60	38.73 13.86	35.72 13.41	35.39 12.58
RESPONSIBILITY	M 30.97 SD 12.68	30.12 11.66	29.33 11.34	31.44 11.52	31.14 11.54	32.05 11.00	29.17 9.96	30.15 11.47
SOCIALIZATION	M 32.68 SD 9.73	32.52 9.64	31.13 9.71	32.32 9.70	32.28 9.62	31.29 9.82	34.14 9.65	33.40 8.53
SELF CONTROL	M 41.77 SD 11.14	42.26 11.05	39.55 10.94	41.77 10.87	41.75 10.86	41.65 11.14	43.02 10.68	40.99 10.36
TOLERANCE	M 34.23 SD 11.77	35.37 11.89	31.64 11.34	34.45 11.65	34.48 11.64	35.66 12.03	30.85 10.27	31.26 10.05
GOOD IMPRESSION	M 43.39 SD 10.22	43.89 10.45	41.59 10.46	43.32 10.50	43.31 10.47	42.66 10.73	46.36 10.01	42.73 9.81
COMMUNALITY	M 48.64 SD 11.84	48.29 13.79	49.77 11.79	48.61 11.40	48.67 11.41	50.48 11.13	45.17 12.37	46.24 12.45
ACHIEVEMENT VIA CONFORMANCE	M 37.09 SD 12.32	36.72 12.27	34.00 12.35	36.79 12.62	36.70 12.62	36.43 13.18	36.58 11.30	37.52 12.14
ACHIEVEMENT VIA INDEPENDENCE	M 39.47 SD 10.20	38.95 9.26	38.03 8.47	39.95 10.07	38.96 9.79	40.61 9.89	36.64 9.16	36.10 9.10
INTELLECTUAL EFFICIENCY	M 34.57 SD 12.46	34.65 12.89	33.03 10.77	33.66 13.45	33.65 12.89	35.85 13.59	30.30 11.50	32.65 11.26
PSYCHOLOGICAL MINDEDNESS	M 43.53 SD 12.20	44.39 11.53	39.38 9.40	42.78 11.43	42.98 11.77	43.25 11.49	42.86 11.47	42.26 12.09
FLEXIBILITY	M 49.43 SD 9.54	50.04 9.64	48.85 9.05	49.52 9.26	49.53 9.33	50.65 9.59	48.52 8.80	47.59 8.57
FEMININITY	M 49.08 SD 9.93	48.24 9.64	49.41 8.70	49.08 9.60	49.86 9.58	47.49 9.44	49.53 8.12	52.93 9.82
CPI EQUATION	M 49.82 SD 4.88	50.09 5.97	49.00 4.67	49.96 5.05	49.93 5.18	49.57 5.28	51.34 5.60	49.32 4.59

30

RESULTS ON THE MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	BURGLARY N = 152	BURGLARY 1ST N = 40	BURGLARY 2ND N = 605	ALL BURGLARY N = 810	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 484	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 152	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 176
LTC	M 54.42 SD 6.68	54.88 6.59	53.73 6.31	54.18 6.31	54.25 6.33	53.78 6.09	56.57 7.43	53.63 5.63
VALIDITY	M 61.60 SD 9.70	61.61 9.85	61.45 9.41	61.73 9.70	61.64 9.70	60.72 9.55	63.64 10.08	62.47 9.59
CORRECTION FACTOR	M 51.23 SD 9.25	52.55 9.08	49.10 8.29	51.38 9.35	51.43 9.26	51.38 9.56	51.80 8.33	51.15 9.29
HYPPOCHONDRIASIS	M 73.52 SD 10.07	73.32 11.80	71.98 8.62	73.34 11.79	73.24 11.75	72.03 10.38	77.39 12.98	73.19 10.62
DEPRESSION	M 70.04 SD 12.04	69.38 10.88	69.65 12.21	71.48 12.09	71.11 11.83	70.83 11.85	74.95 12.35	78.63 10.66
HYSTERIA	M 55.97 SD 9.05	55.45 8.54	54.10 8.40	55.72 9.17	55.58 9.09	55.55 8.92	56.70 10.13	54.76 8.35
PSYCHOPATHIC DEVIATE	M 74.31 SD 11.71	74.31 12.12	74.33 10.97	74.05 10.92	74.07 11.20	74.78 11.62	72.54 10.60	73.29 10.30
MASCULINITY-FEMININITY	M 40.21 SD 9.79	52.39 8.86	56.10 8.31	54.30 9.23	54.07 9.22	53.40 9.42	53.65 9.07	56.46 8.51
PARANOIA	M 74.82 SD 12.35	74.32 12.09	74.73 12.59	74.99 12.58	74.77 12.49	74.60 12.40	76.80 12.53	74.38 12.64
PSYCHIATRY	M 71.21 SD 13.32	71.42 12.99	73.48 12.67	72.63 13.52	72.43 13.34	71.51 13.77	75.37 13.11	72.74 12.09
SCHIZOPHRENIA	M 66.71 SD 14.67	65.78 14.70	70.15 14.15	66.94 14.55	66.79 14.58	64.88 14.45	70.25 14.70	69.46 14.17
HYPOMANIA	M 64.45 SD 11.91	63.55 12.09	67.28 12.01	64.44 12.29	64.41 12.09	62.44 12.14	64.33 11.68	69.83 10.62
SOCIAL INTROVERSION	M 53.34 SD 10.46	52.74 10.40	51.08 10.70	54.04 10.34	53.73 10.36	53.84 10.31	54.89 9.16	52.51 9.53
MMPI EQUATION	M 50.81 SD 3.03	51.39 2.95	50.34 2.72	51.09 3.17	51.04 3.25	51.46 3.33	51.00 3.02	49.83 2.92

31

RESULTS ON THE INTERPERSONAL PE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3181	BURGLARY N = 152	BURGLARY 1ST N = 42	BURGLARY 2ND N = 612	ALL BURGLARY N = 827	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 497	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 151	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 182
PE	M 44.88 SD 9.88	45.65 8.80	43.95 8.77	44.38 9.47	44.68 9.47	46.53	41.42	42.76

31

RESULTS ON THE INTERPERSONAL PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3181	BURGLARY N = 152	BURGLARY 1ST N = 42	BURGLARY 2ND N = 612	ALL BURGLARY N = 823	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 497	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 131	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS N = 182
IPI	M 44.88 SD 8.98	45.65 8.80	45.45 8.38	44.39 8.93	44.69 8.86	46.53 9.16	41.42 7.41	42.06 7.73

32

RESULTS OF CPI AND MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
CPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1766 45.9%	90 62.1%	24 61.5%	348 59.1%	499 59.1%	293 60.4%	79 59.9%	42 52.3%
CPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1335 45.1%	55 37.9%	15 38.5%	261 42.9%	339 41.9%	142 39.6%	53 40.2%	80 47.7%
MMPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1797 57.5%	85 55.9%	23 57.5%	357 59.0%	470 58.0%	300 62.0%	79 57.2%	85 48.6%
MMPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1329 42.5%	67 44.1%	17 42.5%	249 41.0%	340 42.0%	184 38.0%	59 42.8%	90 51.4%

33

RESULTS OF THE CPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1278 41.2%	66 45.5%	16 41.0%	256 42.0%	343 42.6%	207 42.7%	65 49.2%	67 38.1%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 488 15.7%	24 16.6%	8 20.5%	92 15.1%	125 15.5%	86 17.7%	14 10.6%	25 14.2%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 704 22.7%	34 23.4%	10 25.6%	139 22.8%	188 23.3%	99 20.4%	36 27.3%	48 27.3%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 632 20.4%	21 14.5%	5 12.8%	122 20.0%	150 18.6%	93 19.2%	17 12.9%	36 20.5%

34

RESULTS OF THE MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1463 46.8%	72 47.4%	16 40.0%	290 47.9%	345 47.3%	250 51.7%	69 51.0%	54 33.7%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 334 10.7%	13 8.6%	7 17.5%	67 11.1%	87 10.7%	50 10.3%	10 7.2%	26 14.9%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 972 27.9%	47 30.9%	12 30.0%	160 26.4%	225 27.4%	134 27.7%	41 29.7%	46 26.3%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 457 14.6%	20 13.2%	5 12.5%	88 14.5%	115 14.2%	50 10.3%	18 13.0%	44 25.1%

35

REASONS FOR REFERRAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
MENTAL ILLNESS	N 80 11.7% 56.7%	7 3.6% 29.6%	2 4.0% 109.0%	7 0.9% 71.4%	16 1.5% 56.3%	14 2.2% 50.0%	2 1.1% 100.0%	
SEXUAL PROBLEMS	N 115 22.5% 82.6%	2 1.0% 100.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%	12 1.5% 50.0%	15 1.4% 53.3%	10 1.6% 30.0%	3 1.7% 100.0%	2 0.4% 100.0%
VIOLENCE POTENTIAL	N 242 47.4% 82.8%	30 5.1% 40.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%	16 2.0% 82.5%	27 2.5% 55.6%	11 1.7% 54.5%	7 3.5% 71.4%	9 3.5% 44.4%

36

SYMPTOMS

FOUND DURING

PSYCHIATRIC

EVALUATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
DEPRESSION	N 115 2.8% 60.0% 59.0%	4 2.1% 25.0%	2 4.0% 50.0%	10 1.2% 30.0%	16 1.5% 31.3%	11 1.7% 27.3%	3 1.7% 66.7%	2 0.8% 0.0%
ANXIETY	N 117 2.1% 56.4%	5 2.6% 90.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%	11 1.4% 45.5%	18 1.7% 44.4%	12 1.9% 41.7%	4 2.2% 50.0%	2 0.8% 50.0%
DEPENDENCY	N 131 3.2% 54.2%	4 2.1% 50.0%	2 4.0% 100.0%	13 1.6% 46.2%	10 1.8% 52.6%	11 1.7% 54.5%	5 2.8% 80.0%	2 0.8% 0.0%

37

PSYCHIATRIC

DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
BRAIN DISORDERS	N 4 0.1% 100.0%							
PSYCHOTIC	N 29 0.7% 62.1%	5 2.6% 40.0%		2 0.2% 50.0%	7 0.6% 42.9%	6 0.9% 33.3%	1 0.6% 100.0%	
NEUROTIC	N 40 1.0% 60.0%	2 1.0% 100.0%	2 4.0% 50.0%	5 0.6% 40.0%	9 0.8% 55.6%	9 1.4% 55.6%		
PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 109 2.6% 67.0%	2 1.0% 0.0%		14 1.7% 78.6%	16 1.5% 68.8%	8 1.3% 62.5%	6 3.3% 66.7%	2 0.8% 100.0%
PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 205 4.9% 56.6%	11 5.6% 27.3%	2 4.0% 50.0%	17 2.1% 47.1%	30 2.8% 40.0%	16 2.5% 37.5%	3 1.7% 100.0%	10 4.0% 30.0%
SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	3 1.5% 66.7%		1 0.1% 100.0%	4 0.4% 75.0%	1 0.2% 100.0%	2 1.1% 100.0%	1 0.4% 0.0%
TRANSITIONAL SITUATIONAL PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 49 1.2% 57.1%	1 0.5% 100.0%		4 0.5% 75.0%	5 0.5% 90.9%	4 0.6% 75.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	

38

ADMISSION

OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
BURGLARY	N 1080 26.1% 60.0%	195 100.0% 61.9%	50 100.0% 46.7%	813 100.0% 60.6%	1080 100.0% 69.7%	632 100.0% 61.4%	181 100.0% 61.3%	250 100.0% 55.6%

39

VIOLATION

OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
HOMICIDE	N 17 1.2% 1.5%	1 1.5%		1 0.4%	2 0.5%	2 1.0%		
NEGLECTANT MANSLAUGHTER	N 1 0.1%							
ROBBERY	N 168 12.0% 7.7%	5 7.7%	3 15.0%	36 15.1%	45 12.3%	16 7.8%	3 5.1%	26 26.5%
ASSAULT	N 89 6.3% 4.6%	3 4.6%	1 5.0%	16 5.8%	22 6.0%	7 3.4%	9 15.3%	5 5.1%
BURGLARY	N 265 18.9% 27.7%	18 27.7%	8 40.0%	81 29.6%	109 29.7%	69 33.5%	15 25.4%	24 24.5%
THEFT	N 123 8.8% 4.6%	3 4.6%	1 5.0%	24 8.8%	29 7.9%	16 7.8%	2 3.4%	11 11.2%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 168 12.0% 12.3%	8 12.3%	2 10.0%	31 11.3%	41 11.2%	23 11.2%	4 6.8%	14 14.3%
FORGERY	N 58 4.1% 1.5%	1 1.5%		13 4.7%	14 3.8%	10 4.9%	1 1.7%	2 2.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 10 0.7% 1.5%	1 1.5%		1 0.4%	2 0.5%	2 1.0%		
STATUTORY RAPE	N 9 0.6% 0.4%			1 0.4%	1 0.3%		1 1.7%	
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 17 1.2% 1.5%	1 1.5%	1 5.0%	4 1.5%	6 1.6%	4 1.9%	1 1.7%	1 1.0%
NARCOTIC OFFENSES	N 222 15.8% 15.4%	10 15.4%	2 10.0%	27 9.9%	40 10.9%	18 8.7%	16 27.1%	6 6.1%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 11 0.8% 1.5%	1 1.5%			1 0.3%			
OTHER	N 247 17.6% 20.0%	13 20.0%	2 10.0%	39 14.2%	55 15.0%	39 18.9%	7 11.9%	9 9.2%

40

ADMISSION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
PERSON OFFENSES	N 857 20.7% 69.1%							
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 2427 58.6% 57.6%	195 100.0% 61.0%	50 100.0% 46.0%	813 100.0% 60.6%	1990 100.0% 60.0%	632 100.0% 61.4%	181 100.0% 61.3%	250 100.0% 55.6%
OTHERS	N 859 20.7% 61.8%							

41

VIOLATION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
PERSON OFFENSES	N 311 22.1%	11 16.9%	5 25.0%	59 21.5%	78 21.3%	31 15.0%	14 23.7%	32 32.7%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 614 43.7%	30 46.2%	11 55.0%	149 54.4%	193 52.6%	118 57.3%	22 37.3%	51 52.0%
OTHERS	N 480 34.2%	24 36.9%	4 20.0%	66 24.1%	96 26.2%	57 27.7%	23 39.0%	15 15.3%

42

CYA HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 2457 11.4% 59.6%	144 75.4% 63.2%	26 56.5% 38.5%	532 73.0% 61.0%	770 73.5% 60.8%	501 81.9% 63.9%	124 69.7% 55.6%	132 54.5% 54.5%
MODERATE	N 1000 25.0% 62.7%	31 16.2% 48.4%	16 39.1% 56.3%	162 29.6% 59.8%	211 20.2% 57.8%	92 15.0% 50.0%	37 20.9% 75.7%	91 33.5% 53.0%
SERIOUS	N 543 13.6% 65.7%	16 8.4% 62.5%	4 9.7% 75.0%	44 8.6% 59.1%	66 6.3% 59.1%	19 6.3% 63.2%	17 9.6% 64.7%	29 12.0% 51.7%

43

CASEWORKER ESTIMATION OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
LEAST	N 662 21.4% 63.9%	36 24.8% 63.9%	5 13.9% 40.0%	177 28.7% 63.3%	226 27.6% 62.3%	157 35.0% 66.9%	30 22.9% 46.7%	37 18.5% 56.8%
MILD	N 820 26.5% 61.8%	40 27.6% 65.0%	15 41.7% 40.0%	185 30.0% 61.1%	244 29.8% 61.2%	157 33.0% 61.8%	35 26.7% 68.6%	50 25.0% 54.0%
MODERATE	N 1273 41.2% 60.6%	57 39.3% 59.6%	16 44.4% 56.3%	224 36.4% 58.5%	306 37.4% 58.2%	149 31.3% 56.4%	57 42.5% 66.7%	95 47.5% 56.9%
SERIOUS	N 311 10.1% 61.1%	11 7.6% 45.5%		29 4.7% 53.6%	40 4.9% 57.0%	13 2.7% 53.8%	8 6.1% 62.5%	17 9.5% 47.1%
GREATEST	N 24 0.8% 70.8%	1 0.7% 100.0%		1 0.2% 100.0%	2 0.2% 100.0%		1 0.8% 100.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%

44

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 2386 57.5% 59.6%	136 64.7% 64.0%	36 73.0% 47.2%	579 71.2% 61.7%	769 71.1% 61.5%	499 79.0% 62.9%	121 66.0% 59.5%	135 54.0% 57.8%
AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO VIOLENCE	N 754 18.2% 65.1%	25 12.8% 52.0%	7 34.0% 28.6%	115 14.1% 62.6%	149 13.7% 59.5%	62 9.8% 59.7%	30 16.6% 66.7%	56 22.4% 55.4%
VIOLENCE	N 1000 24.3% 60.6%	34 17.4% 55.4%	7 14.0% 57.1%	119 14.6% 53.8%	164 15.2% 53.7%	71 11.2% 52.1%	30 16.6% 63.3%	59 23.6% 50.8%

45

HISTORY OF CARRYING WEAPONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 2944 71.0% 61.0%	161 82.6% 65.2%	33 66.0% 42.0%	642 79.0% 62.3%	855 79.2% 62.2%	573 84.2% 63.7%	137 75.7% 59.4%	173 69.2% 64.7%
YES	N 1202 29.0% 60.5%	34 17.4% 41.2%	17 34.0% 52.0%	171 21.0% 54.4%	225 20.8% 51.6%	100 15.8% 49.0%	44 24.3% 70.5%	77 30.3% 44.7%

46

PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 1794 44.9% 56.0%	64 33.5% 54.7%	18 39.1% 38.9%	234 29.7% 48.7%	326 31.2% 49.4%	171 28.0% 49.7%	62 34.8% 54.8%	88 36.4% 46.6%
ONE	N 1090 27.3% 64.1%	40 25.7% 55.1%	12 26.1% 75.0%	272 34.6% 64.0%	341 32.6% 62.8%	219 35.8% 63.5%	55 30.0% 69.1%	64 26.0% 54.7%
TWO	N 599 15.0% 67.9%	38 19.9% 71.1%	7 15.7% 42.9%	169 21.5% 66.3%	217 20.7% 66.9%	138 22.6% 68.8%	28 15.7% 57.1%	48 19.8% 64.6%
THREE OR MORE	N 513 12.8% 65.1%	40 20.8% 67.5%	9 19.6% 33.3%	112 14.2% 64.9%	162 15.5% 66.7%	83 15.6% 69.9%	33 18.5% 60.6%	42 17.4% 64.3%

47

CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 3350 83.9% 60.3%	154 80.6% 58.4%	40 87.0% 45.0%	634 80.6% 60.1%	848 81.1% 59.0%	512 83.8% 60.4%	141 79.2% 61.7%	194 76.0% 53.8%
ONE	N 375 9.4% 65.6%	23 12.0% 65.2%	6 13.0% 66.7%	91 11.7% 61.5%	122 11.7% 63.1%	65 10.6% 66.2%	23 12.9% 60.9%	34 14.0% 58.8%
TWO	N 72 1.8% 63.9%	3 1.6% 66.7%	1 2.5% 65.0%	20 2.5% 65.0%	23 2.2% 65.2%	10 1.6% 70.0%	5 2.6% 40.0%	7 2.8% 71.4%
THREE OR MORE	N 197 4.9% 66.5%	11 5.8% 81.8%	11 5.3% 64.3%	42 5.3% 64.3%	53 5.1% 67.9%	24 3.9% 75.0%	9 5.1% 55.6%	17 7.0% 58.8%

48

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 2900	171						

48

INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	11 2900 72.5% 58.5%	171 89.5% 62.0%	33 71.7% 39.4%	742 94.3% 59.7%	969 92.5% 59.4%	573 93.8% 61.4%	163 91.6% 59.5%	218 90.1% 53.7%
THREAT NO WEAPON	11 122 3.1% 63.9%	4 2.1% 75.0%		6 0.8% 59.0%	10 1.0% 60.0%	6 1.0% 50.0%	?	2 0.8% 100.0%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	11 304 7.1% 71.1%	2 1.0% 0.0%	6 13.0% 66.7%	16 2.0% 87.5%	24 2.3% 75.0%	15 2.5% 73.3%	3 1.7% 100.0%	6 2.5% 66.7%
MINOR INJURIES	11 393 9.8% 68.2%	9 4.2% 37.5%	6 13.0% 83.3%	19 1.3% 89.0%	24 2.3% 77.7%	14 2.3% 71.4%	3 1.7% 33.3%	7 2.9% 71.4%
MAJOR INJURIES	11 107 2.7% 68.2%	2 1.0% 100.0%		5 0.6% 80.0%	7 0.7% 85.7%		4 2.2% 100.0%	2 0.8% 100.0%
DEATH	11 36 0.9% 72.2%							

49

GROUP VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	11 3289 82.3% 59.1%	181 94.8% 59.7%	40 87.0% 49.5%	746 94.8% 60.1%	989 94.6% 59.3%	578 94.6% 61.1%	168 94.4% 67.1%	228 94.2% 55.9%
THREAT NO WEAPON	11 70 1.8% 65.7%			8 1.0% 75.0%	8 0.8% 75.0%	5 0.8% 80.0%	2 1.1% 50.0%	1 0.4% 100.0%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	11 240 6.0% 73.3%	1 0.5% 100.0%	2 4.3% 100.0%	19 2.4% 73.7%	22 2.1% 77.3%	12 2.0% 75.0%	3 1.7% 66.7%	7 2.9% 85.7%
MINOR INJURIES	11 240 6.0% 71.3%	3 1.6% 66.7%	4 8.7% 75.0%	7 0.9% 71.4%	14 1.3% 71.4%	12 2.0% 75.0%		2 0.8% 50.0%
MAJOR INJURIES	11 71 1.8% 69.0%	2 1.0% 100.0%		3 0.4% 100.0%	5 0.5% 100.0%		3 1.7% 100.0%	2 0.8% 100.0%
DEATH	11 14 0.4% 71.4%							

50

WEAPON USED BY INDIVIDUAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	11 3285 82.2% 59.6%	176 92.1% 61.9%	36 78.3% 44.4%	742 94.4% 59.6%	976 93.4% 59.4%	573 93.8% 61.8%	167 93.8% 58.7%	221 91.3% 54.3%
TOY GUN	11 40 1.0% 65.0%			1 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.2% 0.0%		
UNLOADED GUN	11 13 0.3% 76.9%			1 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.2% 0.0%		
LOADED GUN	11 125 3.1% 69.6%	1 0.5% 100.0%	7 15.2% 57.1%		8 0.8% 62.5%	4 0.7% 100.0%		4 1.7% 25.0%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	11 149 3.7% 67.1%	2 1.0% 50.0%	2 4.3% 50.0%	14 1.8% 85.7%	18 1.7% 77.8%	12 2.0% 75.0%	3 1.7% 100.0%	3 1.2% 66.7%
KNIFE, ETC.	11 135 3.4% 71.9%	6 3.1% 16.7%		10 1.3% 80.0%	16 1.5% 56.3%	6 1.0% 33.3%	2 1.1% 50.0%	8 3.3% 75.0%
OTHER	11 116 2.9% 69.8%	3 1.6% 33.3%		3 0.4% 100.0%	6 0.6% 66.7%	2 0.3% 50.0%	2 1.1% 100.0%	2 0.8% 50.0%

51

WEAPONS USED BY GROUP

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 3556 89.0% 59.8%	179 93.7% 59.2%	43 93.5% 44.2%	752 93.7% 61.0%	996 95.3% 59.1%	583 95.6% 60.8%	169 94.8% 59.8%	229 94.6% 54.1%
TOY GUN	N 25 0.6% 76.0%			4 0.5% 75.0%	4 0.4% 75.0%			4 1.7% 75.0%
UNLOADED GUN	N 3 0.1% 66.7%							
LOADED GUN	N 74 1.9% 67.6%	4 2.1% 75.0%	1 2.2% 100.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	6 0.6% 83.3%	3 0.5% 100.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	2 0.8% 50.0%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 143 3.6% 73.4%	2 1.0% 100.0%	2 4.3% 100.0%	11 1.4% 81.8%	15 1.4% 86.7%	10 1.6% 80.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	4 1.7% 100.0%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 68 1.7% 66.2%	2 1.0% 100.0%		9 1.0% 75.0%	10 1.0% 80.0%	5 0.8% 80.0%	4 2.2% 75.0%	1 0.4% 100.0%
OTHER	N 65 1.6% 75.4%	2 1.0% 50.0%		5 0.6% 80.0%	7 0.7% 71.4%	4 0.7% 75.0%	2 1.1% 100.0%	1 0.4% 0.0%

52

ECONOMIC LOSS BY VICTIM

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 1110 27.8% 62.3%	26 13.6% 42.3%	5 6.0% 80.0%	50 6.0% 66.0%	95 8.9% 57.0%	42 6.9% 59.5%	18 10.1% 66.7%	32 13.2% 50.0%
LESS THAN \$1	N 13 0.3% 69.2%		1 2.2% 100.0%	2 0.3% 50.0%	3 0.3% 66.7%	1 0.2% 100.0%	2 1.1% 50.0%	
\$1 - \$5	N 41 1.0% 68.3%	1 0.5% 0.0%	1 2.2% 100.0%	7 0.9% 42.9%	9 0.9% 44.4%	6 1.0% 50.0%	3 1.7% 33.3%	
\$5 - \$20	N 120 3.0% 63.3%	9 4.7% 44.0%	1 2.2% 100.0%	33 4.2% 60.6%	44 4.1% 58.1%	23 3.8% 60.9%	7 3.9% 57.1%	12 5.0% 50.0%
\$20 - \$100	N 399 10.0% 65.4%	19 9.4% 57.9%	5 10.9% 20.0%	111 14.1% 69.4%	137 13.1% 58.4%	69 11.3% 58.0%	29 16.3% 65.5%	36 14.9% 52.4%
\$100 - \$500	N 503 12.6% 63.2%	34 17.8% 79.4%	7 15.2% 57.1%	157 20.0% 57.3%	201 19.3% 61.7%	128 21.0% 65.6%	35 19.7% 51.4%	38 15.7% 57.9%
\$500 - \$1000	N 143 3.6% 57.3%	15 7.9% 53.3%		46 5.9% 54.3%	67 6.3% 54.8%	47 7.7% 54.6%	8 4.5% 37.5%	5 2.1% 40.0%
\$1000 - \$5000	N 221 20.6% 55.8%	26 13.6% 69.2%	8 17.0% 50.0%	89 11.3% 56.2%	125 12.0% 59.2%	84 13.8% 56.0%	15 8.4% 80.0%	26 10.7% 57.7%
MORE THAN \$5000	N 207 5.2% 58.0%	7 3.7% 57.1%	2 2.2% 0.0%	51 6.5% 64.7%	59 5.7% 62.7%	38 6.2% 65.8%	5 2.8% 60.0%	14 5.8% 57.1%

53

CUSTODIAL EVALUATION FOR INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
GOOD PROGNOSIS	N 3295 82.5% 62.0%	159 49.7% 62.9%	36 78.3% 47.7%	675 85.9% 47.7%	998 85.9% 61.7%	535 87.6% 63.7%	154 87.0% 61.0%	185 76.4% 55.1%
POOR PROGNOSIS	N 681 17.1% 57.9%	32 16.8% 50.0%	9 19.6% 55.6%	107 13.6% 56.1%	157 14.5% 55.3%	72 11.8% 55.6%	22 12.4% 54.5%	57 23.6% 56.1%

54

COUNSELORS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0%	7 3.7% 57.1%	2 4.1% 0.0%	4 5.0% 0.0%	55 5.3% 40.1%	38 6.2% 44.7%	9 5.1% 60.0%	7 2.9% 0.0%

ADJUSTMENT

54

COUNSELORS

TRANSFER

RECOMMENDATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0%S	7 3.7% 57.1%S	2 4.1% 0.0%S	44 5.6% 50.0%S	55 5.3% 49.1%S	39 6.2% 44.7%S	9 5.1% 85.9%S	7 2.9% 28.6%S
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1349 33.9% 62.0%S	61 32.6% 59.0%S	22 44.9% 54.5%S	279 35.7% 62.4%S	367 35.3% 61.3%S	250 41.0% 62.4%S	50 24.6% 69.0%S	62 26.1% 56.5%S
CAMPS	N 838 21.2% 64.2%S	53 28.3% 67.9%S	10 20.4% 69.0%S	210 26.9% 67.6%S	281 27.0% 66.9%S	145 23.6% 72.4%S	50 30.6% 59.3%S	77 32.4% 61.0%S
DEVEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 651 16.4% 59.8%S	32 17.1% 65.6%S	4 8.2% 50.0%S	179 15.4% 55.9%S	159 15.2% 57.6%S	79 13.0% 49.4%S	32 19.3% 81.3%S	45 18.9% 55.6%S
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 760 19.1% 58.3%S	30 16.0% 50.0%S	9 18.4% 33.3%S	106 13.6% 53.8%S	150 14.0% 52.7%S	81 13.3% 58.0%S	26 16.9% 42.3%S	41 17.7% 48.9%S

55


CYA BOARD

ORDER

FOR TRANSFER

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	BURGLARY	BURGLARY 1ST	BURGLARY 2ND	ALL BURGLARY	WHITE BURGLARY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN BURGLARY OFFENDERS	BLACK BURGLARY OFFENDERS
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 220 5.3% 54.1%S	12 6.2% 66.7%S	4 8.0% 50.0%S	46 5.7% 58.7%S	64 5.9% 59.4%S	46 7.3% 60.9%S	8 4.4% 75.0%S	9 3.6% 44.4%S
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1113 26.9% 65.0%S	47 24.1% 65.0%S	11 22.0% 70.3%S	188 23.2% 64.4%S	251 23.1% 64.1%S	160 25.3% 66.9%S	32 17.8% 59.4%S	56 22.5% 57.1%S
DEW LOHOND	N 235 5.7% 65.5%S	8 4.1% 75.0%S	3 6.0% 33.3%S	74 9.1% 67.6%S	87 8.1% 65.5%S	40 6.3% 75.0%S	17 9.4% 52.9%S	28 11.2% 57.1%S
MT. BULLION	N 240 5.8% 67.1%S	18 9.2% 66.7%S	4 8.0% 75.0%S	64 7.9% 62.5%S	87 4.1% 63.4%S	51 8.2% 70.6%S	16 8.9% 50.0%S	18 7.2% 61.1%S
PINE GROVE	N 255 6.2% 64.3%S	19 9.7% 63.2%S	0	76 9.4% 59.2%S	96 8.9% 60.4%S	59 9.3% 64.4%S	17 9.4% 52.9%S	19 7.6% 57.9%S
WASHINGTON RIDGE	N 329 8.0% 58.1%S	20 10.3% 70.0%S	8 16.0% 50.0%S	95 10.5% 61.2%S	116 10.8% 62.1%S	62 9.8% 58.1%S	10 10.6% 73.7%S	33 13.3% 60.6%S
DEVEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 754 18.3% 57.7%S	35 17.9% 42.9%S	4 18.0% 44.4%S	120 14.8% 44.4%S	169 15.7% 53.8%S	95 15.0% 54.7%S	35 20.0% 61.1%S	34 13.7% 47.1%S
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 877 21.1% 57.9%S	30 15.4% 60.4%S	10 20.0% 60.0%S	140 17.3% 56.4%S	183 17.0% 57.4%S	103 16.3% 55.3%S	30 16.7% 73.3%S	49 19.7% 51.0%S

To relate parole success to the different variables studied, a method of graphic display was designed to guide the reader. Included with most parole success percentages (%S) in a cell are circular symbols that express both the magnitude and the direction of deviations from the overall success percentage (60.9%S). The following symbols are used in all DATA MAPS to guide the reader quickly to either "good" parole risks, "poor" parole risks, or anything between these two extremes.



Solid circles symbolize parole success deviations below the overall success percentage, while empty circles denote success deviations above the overall success percentage. Where no symbol is displayed, it is because of one of the following reasons: (1) The deviation symbol has been provided elsewhere (as with the total study population columns); (2) there were fewer than ten cases in the cell; (3) there is less than one percent deviation from the overall parole success rate.

The visual scanning of these tables can result in the notation of possible relationships between parole success and the various variable categories. If there are parole deviation figures of decreasing or increasing size along a column or row, this may be indicative of a linear relationship. Similar logic can be applied when parole deviation figures appear similar in size and direction at the ends of a row or column. This may indicate the presence of a curvilinear relationship. Also, possible relationships may be noted between the vertical and horizontal variables by noting the proportions of cases falling in different cells. The reader is referred to the text in the user's manual and the companion book THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER for more complete description of the methods of analyzing the tables in the DATA MAPS. It should also be noted that the symbols do not establish relationships, but are meant to guide and provide background information for discussions and hypothesis formulation. The testing of hypotheses remains for further and more rigorous studies.

5

MARITAL STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
SINGLE	N 3277 81.9% 73.1%	78 78.8%	133 77.3%	114 83.8%	395 80.4%	109 79.0%	94 72.1%	166 85.6%
MARRIED	N 387 9.7% 63.3%	12 12.1%	25 19.5%	10 7.4%	49 11.4%	24 12.6%	10 16.4%	14 8.2%
DIVORCED	N 55 1.4% 56.4%	1 1.0%	2 1.2%	5 3.7%	8 1.9%	8 4.2%		
DIVORCED, REMARRIED	N 18 0.5% 33.3%	1 1.0%	3 1.7%	1 0.7%	5 1.2%	1 0.5%	4 25.0%	
SEPARATED	N 112 2.8% 66.1%	2 2.0%	3 1.7%	1 0.7%	6 1.4%	3 1.6%	2 3.3%	1 0.6%
COMMON-LAW	N 128 3.2% 57.8%	4 4.0%	5 2.9%	4 2.9%	13 3.0%	6 3.1%		7 4.1%
WIDOWED	N 2 0.1% 50.0%							

6

CHILDREN
ACKNOWLEDGED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 3321 83.0% 61.2%	76 76.8%	130 75.6%	109 80.1%	333 84.3%	161 84.3%	45 68.9%	121 71.2%
ONE	N 516 12.9% 60.5%	19 19.2%	32 18.6%	18 13.2%	72 16.8%	24 12.6%	11 18.0%	37 21.8%
TWO	N 127 3.2% 64.6%	3 3.0%	5 2.9%	7 5.1%	15 3.5%	4 2.1%	2 3.3%	8 4.7%
THREE	N 28 0.7% 64.3%	1 1.0%	4 2.3%	2 1.5%	7 1.6%	2 1.0%	2 3.0%	3 1.6%
FOUR AND MORE	N 8 0.2% 62.5%		1 0.6%	2 1.5%	2 0.5%		1 1.6%	1 0.6%

7

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
WIFE/GIRL FRIEND	N 349 8.7% 60.5%	9 9.1%	23 13.4%	9 6.6%	42 9.8%	17 8.9%	11 18.0%	13 7.6%
NATURAL PARENTS	N 1185 29.6% 61.6%	23 23.2%	45 26.2%	37 27.2%	113 26.3%	48 25.1%	20 29.5%	46 27.1%
RELATIVES	N 248 6.2% 62.9%	9 9.1%	7 4.1%	13 9.6%	32 7.2%	7 3.7%	4 5.6%	18 10.6%
FOSTER PARENTS	N 76 1.9% 50.0%		2 1.2%		3 0.7%	1 0.5%		2 1.2%
FRIENDS, FIXED	N 134 3.4% 67.9%	5 6.1%	3 1.7%	5 3.7%	15 3.5%	13 6.8%		2 1.2%
ALONE, FIXED	N 144 3.6% 64.6%	2 2.0%	5 2.9%	4 2.9%	11 2.5%	5 2.6%	1 1.6%	5 2.9%
ALONE, NOT FIXED	N 207 5.2% 57.0%	10 10.1%	5 2.9%	8 5.9%	24 5.6%	17 8.9%	2 3.3%	5 2.9%
GROUP HOME	N 17 0.4% 47.1%							

8

MARITAL STATUS
OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NEVER MARRIED	N 214 5.4% 61.7%	5 5.1%	10 5.8%	9 6.6%	27 6.3%	6 3.1%	4 6.6%	17 10.0%
MARRIED	N 1631 40.8% 67.1%	48 48.5%	74 43.0%	61 47.1%	191 44.5%	100 52.4%	36 59.0%	53 31.2%
DIVORCED	N 30 0.8% 66.7%	2 2.0%	1 0.6%	1 0.7%	5 1.2%	1 0.5%		3 1.8%
DIVORCED, REMARRIED	N 345 8.6% 61.7%	5 5.1%	18 10.5%	13 9.6%	41 9.6%	7 3.7%	7 11.5%	27 15.9%
SEPARATED	N 1263 31.6% 61.2%	27 27.3%	59 34.3%	34 25.0%	128 29.8%	59 30.9%	10 16.4%	55 32.4%
COMMON-LAW	N 8 0.2% 62.5%							
WIDOWED	N 3 0.1% 33.3%							

DEATH OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1st	ROBBERY 2nd	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
FATHER DEAD	N 498 12.0% 61.0%	13 12.9% 69.2%	21 11.9% 57.1%	20 14.5% 45.0%	55 12.6% 54.5%	26 13.1% 57.7%	10 16.4% 50.0%	18 10.5% 50.0%
MOTHER DEAD	N 193 4.7% 62.7%	7 6.9% 71.4%	9 5.1% 77.8%	7 5.1% 71.4%	25 5.7% 76.0%	10 5.1% 70.0%	6 9.8% 100.0%	9 5.2% 66.7%
BOTH DEAD	N 64 1.5% 56.3%	3 3.0% 66.7%	1 0.6% 0.0%	4 2.9% 75.0%	8 1.8% 62.5%	2 1.0% 100.0%	1 1.5% 100.0%	6 3.5% 50.0%
BOTH LIVING	N 3301 81.8% 60.8%	78 77.2% 74.4%	146 82.5% 72.6%	107 77.5% 74.8%	350 79.9% 72.6%	160 80.8% 78.1%	45 80.8% 60.0%	139 80.8% 70.5%

10

MILITARY DISCIPLINARY ACTION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1st	ROBBERY 2nd	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
YES	N 301 7.5% 65.1%	10 10.1% 90.0%	12 7.0% 83.3%	16 11.8% 87.5%	40 9.3% 87.5%	35 18.3% 88.6%		5 2.9% 80.0%
NO	N 167 4.2% 68.5%	6 6.1% 83.3%	11 6.6% 81.8%	12 8.8% 66.7%	30 7.0% 76.7%	25 12.0% 78.3%		6 3.5% 66.7%
NO SERVICE	N 3506 87.7% 60.5%	83 83.8% 72.3%	148 86.0% 69.6%	105 77.2% 66.7%	355 82.8% 68.2%	130 68.1% 72.3%	61 100.0% 62.3%	158 92.9% 67.1%

11

MILITARY DISCHARGE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1st	ROBBERY 2nd	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
HONORABLE	N 104 2.6% 57.7%	4 4.0% 50.0%	7 4.1% 71.4%	4 2.9% 50.0%	16 3.7% 62.5%	12 5.8% 58.3%		4 2.4% 75.0%
GENERAL, HONORABLE CONDITIONS	N 104 2.6% 54.8%	2 2.0% 100.0%	4 2.3% 100.0%	4 2.9% 50.0%	10 2.3% 80.0%	7 3.7% 85.7%		3 1.8% 66.7%
DISHONORABLE, ETC.	N 117 2.9% 70.1%	4 4.0% 100.0%	7 2.3% 100.0%	7 5.1% 71.4%	15 3.5% 86.7%	14 7.3% 85.7%		1 0.6% 100.0%
MEDICAL	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	1 0.7% 100.0%	3 0.7% 100.0%	3 1.6% 100.0%		
OTHER	N 59 1.5% 72.9%	1 1.0% 100.0%	2 1.2% 50.0%	4 2.9% 100.0%	7 1.6% 85.7%	6 3.1% 83.3%		1 0.6% 100.0%

12

HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1st	ROBBERY 2nd	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 2278 54.9% 60.8%	58 57.4% 74.1%	101 57.1% 76.2%	73 52.9% 75.3%	245 55.5% 78.1%	111 56.1% 71.4%	21 34.4% 70.0%	107 62.2% 70.1%
MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 1244 30.0% 62.1%	34 33.7% 66.4%	57 32.2% 66.7%	51 37.0% 72.5%	151 34.5% 69.5%	66 33.3% 77.3%	25 41.0% 64.0%	58 33.7% 62.1%
SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 624 15.1% 58.5%	9 8.9% 77.8%	19 10.7% 52.6%	14 10.1% 52.4%	44 10.0% 52.3%	21 10.6% 52.4%	15 24.6% 46.7%	7 4.1% 71.4%
ALCOHOL NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 2555 61.6% 60.9%	71 70.3% 74.6%	114 64.4% 76.3%	78 56.5% 69.2%	276 63.0% 68.2%	125 63.1% 77.6%	27 44.3% 66.7%	118 68.6% 68.6%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 1024 24.7% 62.1%	19 18.8% 78.3%	44 24.9% 54.5%	51 37.0% 74.5%	121 27.6% 66.9%	58 29.3% 69.0%	28 45.9% 53.6%	35 20.3% 74.3%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 567 13.7% 58.4%	11 10.9% 54.5%	19 10.7% 73.7%	9 6.5% 55.6%	41 9.4% 63.4%	15 7.6% 80.0%	6 9.8% 83.3%	19 11.0% 47.4%

13

HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1st	ROBBERY 2nd	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE	N 3488 84.1% 61.9%	90 89.1% 73.3%	151 85.3% 76.8%	119 86.2% 68.1%	381 87.0% 71.1%	166 83.6% 75.3%	49 80.3% 65.3%	162 94.2% 68.5%
ISOLATED DRUG MISUSE	N 263 6.3% 59.3%	3 3.0% 66.7%	4 2.3% 50.0%	9 6.5% 88.9%	17 3.9% 76.5%	9 4.5% 88.9%	2 3.3% 100.0%	5 2.9% 40.0%
MODERATE DRUG MISUSE	N 337 8.1% 53.4%	8 7.9% 75.0%	17 9.6% 41.2%	7 5.1% 100.0%	32 7.3% 62.5%	17 8.6% 76.5%	9 14.8% 44.4%	5 2.9% 60.0%
SEVERE DRUG MISUSE	N 58 1.4% 50.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	5 2.8% 60.0%	3 2.2% 33.3%	8 1.8% 50.0%	1 1.0% 50.0%	1 1.6% 0.0%	
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 3788 91.4% 74.2%	93 92.1% 74.2%	160 90.4% 73.1%	129 93.5% 69.8%	404 92.2% 71.3%	179 89.4% 76.5%	54 88.5% 64.8%	166 96.5% 67.5%
DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 209 5.0% 57.4%	7 6.9% 71.4%	9 5.1% 44.4%	7 5.1% 71.4%	23 5.3% 60.9%	17 8.6% 64.7%	1 1.6% 100.0%	3 1.7% 33.3%
DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 149 3.6% 57.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	8 4.5% 50.0%	2 1.4% 100.0%	11 2.5% 54.5%	2 1.0% 50.0%	6 9.8% 33.3%	3 1.7% 100.0%

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1st	ROBBERY 2nd	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
	N 1971	96	167					

14

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF OPIATE USE	N 3971 95.8% 61.4%	96 95.0% 72.9%	167 94.4% 72.9%	131 94.0% 70.2%	416 95.0% 70.9%	183 92.4% 77.0%	56 91.8% 62.5%	171 93.4% 67.3%
ISOLATED OPIATE USE	N 43 1.0% 62.0%	3 1.0% 0.0%	1 0.6% 0.0%	2 1.4% 50.0%	4 0.9% 25.0%	3 1.5% 0.0%	1 1.6% 100.0%	
MODERATE OPIATE USE	N 102 2.5% 42.2%	4 4.0% 100.0%	4 2.3% 50.0%	3 2.2% 100.0%	11 2.5% 81.8%	6 3.0% 83.3%	3 4.8% 66.7%	1 0.6% 100.0%
SEVERE OPIATE USE	N 30 0.7% 43.3%		5 1.4% 40.0%	2 1.4% 50.0%	7 1.6% 42.9%	6 3.0% 50.0%	1 1.6% 0.0%	
OPIATES NO FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 4046 97.6% 61.3%	100 96.0% 74.0%	170 96.0% 71.8%	133 96.4% 69.5%	425 97.0% 70.8%	188 94.9% 76.6%	59 96.7% 62.7%	172 100.0% 67.4%
OPIATES FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 73 1.8% 42.5%		6 3.4% 33.3%	5 3.6% 80.0%	11 2.5% 54.5%	8 4.0% 50.0%	2 3.3% 50.0%	
OPIATES FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 27 0.7% 37.0%	1 1.0% 0.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%		2 0.5% 50.0%	2 1.0% 50.0%		

15

HISTORY OF MARIJUANA AND GLUE-SNIFFING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF USE OF MARIJUANA	N 3345 80.7% 61.3%	85 84.2% 71.8%	142 80.2% 71.1%	116 84.1% 70.7%	353 82.9% 70.2%	164 82.8% 76.2%	46 75.4% 65.0%	148 86.0% 66.2%
MARIJUANA USE	N 801 19.3% 59.2%	16 15.6% 81.3%	35 19.6% 68.6%	22 15.6% 68.2%	75 17.1% 70.7%	38 17.2% 70.6%	15 24.6% 60.0%	24 14.0% 75.0%
NO HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 3890 93.8% 61.0%	94 93.1% 73.4%	168 94.9% 70.8%	135 97.8% 69.6%	419 95.7% 70.2%	190 96.0% 74.7%	54 88.5% 63.0%	168 97.7% 67.3%
HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 256 6.2% 58.6%	7 6.9% 71.4%	9 5.1% 66.7%	3 2.2% 100.0%	19 4.3% 73.7%	8 4.0% 87.5%	7 11.5% 57.1%	4 2.3% 75.0%

16

HISTORY OF ESCAPE AND SEXUAL DEVIATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NO ESCAPES	N 3539 85.4% 63.3%	93 88.1% 73.1%	156 88.1% 73.1%	125 80.6% 69.6%	353 89.7% 71.0%	173 87.4% 76.3%	54 88.5% 64.8%	160 93.0% 67.5%
ESCAPES FROM MINIMUM SECURITY	N 526 12.7% 47.9%	6 5.9% 83.3%	18 10.2% 50.0%	9 6.5% 66.7%	36 8.2% 61.1%	19 9.6% 68.4%	6 9.8% 33.3%	10 5.8% 60.0%
ESCAPES WITH FORCE	N 81 2.0% 39.5%	2 2.0% 50.0%	3 1.7% 66.7%	4 2.9% 100.0%	6 1.3% 77.8%	3 1.6% 66.7%	1 1.6% 100.0%	2 1.2% 100.0%
NO HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 4026 97.1% 60.5%	98 97.0% 73.5%	177 100.0% 70.6%	133 96.4% 72.2%	430 98.2% 70.5%	197 99.5% 75.6%	58 95.1% 65.5%	168 97.7% 67.3%
HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 120 2.9% 60.0%	3 3.0% 66.7%	5 3.6% 20.0%	8 1.8% 37.5%	1 0.5% 0.0%	3 1.6% 0.0%	4 6.3% 0.0%	4 2.3% 75.0%
NO HISTORY OF SEXUAL DEVIATION	N 3929 94.8% 60.8%	88 97.0% 74.5%	171 96.6% 70.8%	132 95.7% 71.2%	422 96.3% 70.9%	192 97.0% 74.5%	57 93.4% 64.9%	166 96.5% 68.7%
HISTORY OF ISOLATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 156 3.8% 57.1%	3 3.0% 33.3%	4 2.3% 50.0%	5 3.6% 60.0%	12 2.7% 50.0%	3 1.5% 100.0%	3 4.8% 33.3%	6 3.5% 33.3%
HISTORY OF REPEATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 61 1.5% 72.1%		2 1.1% 100.0%	1 0.7% 0.0%	4 0.9% 75.0%	3 1.5% 100.0%	1 1.6% 0.0%	
NO HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUALITY	N 4009 96.7% 61.4%	96 95.0% 75.0%	174 98.3% 70.7%	135 97.8% 69.6%	427 97.5% 70.5%	191 96.5% 75.4%	60 98.4% 61.7%	169 98.3% 68.0%
HISTORY OF ISOLATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 79 1.9% 40.5%	4 4.0% 25.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	1 0.7% 100.0%	6 1.4% 50.0%	2 1.0% 50.0%	1 1.6% 100.0%	3 1.7% 33.3%
HISTORY OF REPEATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 43 1.0% 53.5%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	1 0.7% 100.0%	3 0.7% 100.0%	3 1.5% 100.0%		
HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUAL PATTERN	N 15 0.4% 53.3%		1 0.6% 0.0%	1 0.7% 100.0%	2 0.5% 50.0%	2 1.0% 50.0%		

17

PREVIOUS PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NO HISTORY OF SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 4047 97.6% 61.1% 74.0%	172 97.2% 70.3%	135 97.8% 63.6%	429 97.9% 70.2%	130 95.0% 74.7%	60 98.4% 63.3%	172 100.0% 67.4%	
INFREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 65 1.6% 50.8%	2 1.1% 100.0%	5 1.4% 100.0%	5 1.1% 80.0%	5 2.5% 80.0%			
FREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 24 0.6% 50.8%	2 1.1% 50.0%	1 0.7% 100.0%	3 0.7% 66.7%	2 1.0% 100.0%	1 1.6% 0.0%		
SERIOUS SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 10 0.2% 40.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%		1 0.2% 100.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%			
NO HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 4118 95.3% 60.5%	101 100.0% 73.3%	138 100.0% 70.6%	438 100.0% 70.3%	198 100.0% 75.3%	61 100.0% 62.3%	172 100.0% 67.4%	
HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 28 0.7% 53.6%							
NO HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 4125 99.5% 60.8%	101 100.0% 73.3%	177 100.0% 70.6%	138 100.0% 70.3%	438 100.0% 70.3%	198 100.0% 75.3%	61 100.0% 62.3%	172 100.0% 67.4%
HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 21 0.5% 61.9%							
NO HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 4092 99.0% 61.1%	100 99.0% 73.0%	176 99.4% 71.0%	137 99.3% 70.1%	435 99.3% 70.3%	195 98.5% 75.4%	61 100.0% 62.3%	172 100.0% 67.4%
HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 54 1.3% 44.4%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 0.6% 0.0%	3 0.7% 66.7%	3 1.5% 66.7%			
NO HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 4097 98.8% 60.8%	100 99.0% 74.0%	177 100.0% 70.6%	138 100.0% 70.3%	437 99.8% 70.5%	198 100.0% 75.3%	61 100.0% 62.3%	171 99.4% 67.8%
HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 49 1.2% 61.2%	1 1.0% 0.0%		1 0.2% 0.0%				1 0.6% 0.0%
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 3870 93.3% 61.6%	93 92.1% 75.3%	171 96.6% 70.8%	135 97.8% 70.8%	416 95.0% 70.9%	183 92.4% 76.5%	58 95.1% 62.1%	168 97.7% 67.9%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 276 6.7% 51.1%	8 7.9% 50.0%	6 3.4% 66.7%	3 2.2% 66.7%	22 5.0% 59.1%	15 7.6% 60.0%	3 4.9% 66.7%	4 2.3% 50.0%
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 4012 96.8% 61.2%	99 98.0% 73.7%	172 97.2% 72.1%	138 100.0% 70.3%	429 97.9% 71.1%	195 98.5% 75.4%	57 93.4% 66.7%	170 98.8% 67.6%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 134 3.2% 50.0%	2 2.0% 50.0%	5 2.8% 20.0%	3 2.1% 33.3%	9 3.5% 66.7%	4 1.5% 66.7%	6 6.6% 0.0%	2 1.2% 50.0%
NO HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 4031 97.2% 61.3%	98 97.0% 75.5%	172 97.2% 70.9%	136 98.6% 71.3%	428 97.7% 71.5%	195 98.5% 75.4%	57 93.4% 66.7%	169 98.3% 68.6%
HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 115 2.8% 45.2%	3 3.0% 0.0%	5 2.8% 60.0%	2 1.4% 0.0%	10 2.3% 30.0%	3 1.5% 66.7%	4 6.6% 25.0%	3 1.7% 0.0%

18

INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
MENTAL DEFECTIVE	N 23 0.6% 69.6%	1 1.0% 100.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%		2 0.5% 100.0%			1 0.6% 100.0%
BORDERLINE	N 127 3.1% 63.0%	3 3.0% 33.3%	10 5.7% 60.0%	5 3.6% 100.0%	18 4.1% 66.7%	2 1.0% 50.0%	1 1.6% 0.0%	15 8.8% 75.3%
DULL NORMAL	N 1000 24.2% 59.2%	21 20.8% 52.4%	51 29.0% 68.6%	32 23.4% 62.5%	114 26.1% 62.3%	26 13.2% 57.7%	19 31.1% 68.4%	68 39.8% 61.8%
AVERAGE	N 2440 60.4% 60.7%	61 60.4% 78.7%	89 50.6% 69.7%	79 57.7% 70.9%	238 54.6% 72.3%	109 53.3% 78.0%	40 65.6% 60.0%	84 49.1% 71.4%
BRIGHT NORMAL	N 446 10.8% 63.3%	12 11.9% 63.3%	19 10.8% 78.9%	18 13.1% 72.2%	52 11.9% 77.1%	48 24.4% 77.1%	1 1.6% 100.0%	3 1.8% 33.3%
SUPERIOR	N 81 2.0% 67.9%	3 3.0% 100.0%	5 2.8% 80.0%	3 2.2% 100.0%	11 9.9% 90.9%	11 5.6% 90.9%		
VERY SUPERIOR	N 9 0.2% 77.8%		1 0.6% 100.0%		1 0.2% 100.0%	1 0.5% 100.0%		

19

RESULTS OF INTELLIGENCE TESTING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
ARMY GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST I.Q.	N 2684 M 99.42 SD 11.23	66 99.92 12.09	104 100.43 12.31	92 100.96 10.04	273 100.47 11.42	155 104.94 10.73	29 95.52 8.76	85 94.15 9.91
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2679 M 48.80 SD 21.25	66 51.77 20.44	105 49.86 23.30	93 51.95 21.33	273 51.89 21.82	156 58.69 22.27	29 41.03 19.96	84 43.39 18.73
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2682 M 56.08 SD 25.83	65 57.37 25.26	106 60.11 24.61	93 60.06 24.61	273 59.54 24.68	155 68.53 22.52	29 51.72 22.33	85 45.61 22.24
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2683 M 54.10 SD 24.64	66 50.95 24.64	104 50.59 24.17	93 55.88 23.11	274 55.80 24.57	156 61.85 21.93	29 42.21 26.69	84 42.21 19.09
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	N 3865 M 90.81 SD 13.89	96 90.02 13.52	170 90.35 15.09	127 91.86 13.92	413 90.65 14.28	188 97.97 14.06	56 85.45 10.38	162 84.20 11.65
LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3867 M 86.98 SD 16.56	95 85.39 16.72	171 85.74 18.00	127 88.83	413 86.74	187	56	

INTELLIGENCE TESTING

TEST	N	M	SD	104	109	113	118	123	128	133	138	143	148	153	158	163	168	173	
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	2682	65	20.44	21.33	23.30	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	2682	65	20.44	21.33	23.30	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	2683	66	20.44	21.33	23.30	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27	21.33	22.27
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	3865	95	17.0	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127
LANGUAGE I.Q.	3867	95	17.1	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127
NONLANGUAGE I.Q.	3877	96	17.3	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	127
D-48 RAM SCORE	2712	62	107	93	279	121	36	119	8.77										
RAVEN MATRICES RAM SCORE	3517	88	154	121	382	172	53	152	9.81										
SHIPLEY HARTFORD CONCEPTUAL QUOTIENT	1767	44	67	67	187	121	16	49	9.22										
LANGUAGE RAM SCORE	2767	69	107	90	279	148	30	97	4.93										
ABSTRACT RAM SCORE	2696	64	103	89	269	142	30	93	7.68										

20

RESULTS OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 4067	ROBBERY N = 100	ROBBERY 1st N = 176	ROBBERY 2nd N = 136	ALL ROBBERY N = 434	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 196	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 61	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 170
READING VOCABULARY	M 7.55 SD 2.76	7.49 2.99	7.11 2.78	7.83 2.71	7.42 2.83	8.66 2.60	6.43 2.61	6.40 2.36
READING COMPREHENSION	M 7.66 SD 2.69	7.62 3.05	7.46 2.92	8.12 2.64	7.68 2.86	8.91 2.87	6.79 2.44	6.62 2.42
READING AVERAGE	M 7.62 SD 2.66	7.59 2.96	7.29 2.80	7.97 2.63	7.56 2.78	8.81 2.77	6.58 2.50	6.53 2.31
ARITHMETIC REASONING	M 7.47 SD 2.23	7.51 2.48	7.20 2.39	7.93 2.32	7.50 2.39	8.51 2.40	6.80 2.12	6.59 1.99
ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTALS	M 7.27 SD 1.98	7.26 2.24	7.06 2.23	7.64 2.09	7.29 2.17	8.37 2.18	6.89 2.22	6.45 1.73
ARITHMETIC AVERAGE	M 7.39 SD 2.03	7.40 2.32	7.16 2.27	7.82 2.11	7.42 2.22	8.37 2.21	6.87 2.11	6.54 1.80
LANGUAGE MECHANICS	M 7.22 SD 2.52	7.32 2.72	6.98 2.71	7.66 2.44	7.28 2.63	8.30 2.48	6.71 2.62	6.28 2.35
LANGUAGE SPELLING	M 7.35 SD 2.60	7.41 2.77	6.98 2.68	7.87 2.46	7.41 2.66	8.14 2.55	6.81 2.77	6.75 2.50
LANGUAGE AVERAGE	M 7.30 SD 2.45	7.36 2.64	7.00 2.60	7.75 2.36	7.35 2.55	8.25 2.40	6.68 2.64	6.53 2.32
TOTAL GRADE PLACEMENT	M 7.42 SD 2.28	7.38 2.58	7.10 2.47	7.80 2.29	7.39 2.44	8.43 2.38	6.64 2.33	6.48 2.07

21

GRADE COMPLETED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1st	ROBBERY 2nd	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
1	N							
2	N							
3	N 3 0.1% 0.01%							
4	N 5 0.1% 40.01%			1 0.7% 100.01%	1 0.2% 100.01%	1 0.5% 100.01%		
5	N 21 0.5% 42.82%			1 0.7% 100.01%	1 0.2% 100.01%	1 0.5% 100.01%		
6	N 38 0.9% 57.91%		4 2.3% 100.01%		4 0.9% 100.01%	3 1.5% 100.01%		1 0.6% 100.01%
7	N 88 2.2% 59.63%	1 1.0% 50.01%	2 2.3% 50.01%	1 0.7% 100.01%	6 1.4% 50.01%	4 2.0% 75.01%		1 0.6% 100.01%
8	N 323 7.9% 60.47%	10 10.0% 60.01%	13 7.4% 61.51%	12 8.8% 83.31%	37 8.5% 67.61%	18 9.2% 77.61%	12 19.7% 50.01%	7 4.1% 71.41%
9	N 685 16.8% 59.47%	17 17.0% 74.11%	27 15.4% 74.11%	17 12.5% 47.11%	65 15.0% 63.11%	34 17.3% 70.11%	14 23.0% 64.11%	17 10.1% 47.11%
10	N 1099 27.0% 60.12%	26 26.0% 84.61%	52 29.7% 65.41%	34 25.0% 76.51%	119 27.5% 76.41%	55 28.1% 73.71%	19 31.1% 73.71%	40 23.7% 65.01%
11	N 1051 25.8% 62.53%	22 22.0% 59.11%	45 25.7% 75.61%	41 30.1% 88.11%	114 26.3% 68.41%	35 17.9% 74.31%	9 14.8% 55.61%	69 40.8% 66.71%
12	N 706 17.3% 62.02%	22 22.0% 90.91%	26 14.9% 69.21%	24 17.6% 70.81%	75 17.3% 77.31%	39 19.9% 74.41%	6 9.8% 65.71%	29 17.2% 86.21%
13+	N 40 1.0% 75.01%	2 2.0% 50.01%	4 2.3% 75.01%	5 3.7% 80.01%	11 2.7% 72.71%	6 3.1% 83.31%		5 3.0% 60.01%

GRADE ACHIEVED

Grade	Population	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1	12	0.3	0.015	1	0.5	1	0.6	1	0.6	1	0.6	1	0.6
2	107	2.5	0.025	6	6.0	10	5.7	20	4.6	4	6.2	4	6.5
3	252	6.2	0.035	7	7.0	13	7.4	25	6.0	9	6.6	4	6.6
4	266	6.5	0.035	3	3.0	14	8.0	7	5.1	6	5.1	4	6.6
5	408	10.0	0.035	11	11.0	21	11.9	41	9.4	12	6.1	9	14.8
6	597	14.7	0.035	10	10.0	26	14.7	58	13.4	12	6.1	12	18.8
7	887	16.9	0.035	17	17.0	27	15.3	73	15.8	28	14.5	11	20.0
8	1271	16.5	0.035	18	18.0	24	13.6	69	15.9	33	16.8	6	16.5
9	1492	12.1	0.035	17	12.0	18	10.2	19	11.8	37	18.9	4	10
10	1365	8.9	0.035	7	7.0	11	6.3	17	6.5	37	14.3	4	6.6
11	157	3.9	0.035	6	6.0	10	5.7	24	5.5	21	10.7	2	5.9
12	54	1.3	0.035	2	2.0	2	1.1	5	1.2	5	2.6	1	0.6
13	1	0.0	0.035	1	0.0	1	0.7	1	0.7	1	0.6	1	0.6

23

AGE LEFT SCHOOL

AGE	GRADE	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
12	6	13	0.1	46.2					
13	7	20	0.5	45.0	1	1.0	0.8	4	1.0
14	8	95	2.4	56.8	3	3.0	1.8	9	2.3
15	9	314	8.0	59.9	12	12.0	7.2	31	9.0
16	10	1126	28.6	60.1	47	29.3	28.1	105	29.9
17	11	1396	35.5	60.2	62	33.3	37.1	163	42.9
18	12	971	24.7	65.6	41	27.3	24.6	109	25.9

24

ACADEMIC DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
ACADEMIC DISABILITY	1939	99	175	135	411	195	61	168
ESTIMATED ACADEMIC RETARDATION	SP -2.74	-2.92	-3.04	-2.54	-2.86	-1.70	-3.03	-4.11
	SP 2.19	2.43	2.28	2.15	2.26	1.91	2.04	2.01

25

RATING ON MOTIVATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL MOTIVATED	2589	70	133	95	315	141	44	126
NOT MOTIVATED	SP 1303	24	37	35	101	43	16	40
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	424	15	23	23	64	36	5	22
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	2886	77	139	103	319	149	48	134
NO STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	SP 1112	22	33	33	94	42	13	36

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	N = 3688	N = 97	N = 164	N = 129	N = 411	N = 190	N = 55	N = 159
VERBAL APTITUDE	M 90.30	88.66	88.66	91.75	89.97	89.34	82.51	81.58
	SD 18.24	21.43	18.56	18.64	19.12	19.68	16.09	17.81

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3888	ROBBERY N = 97	ROBBERY 1ST N = 164	ROBBERY 2ND N = 129	ALL ROBBERY N = 411	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 190	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 55	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 159
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	M 90.30 SD 18.24	90.33 18.43	88.66 18.56	91.75 18.64	89.97 19.12	99.34 19.68	82.51 16.09	81.58 15.81
VERBAL APTITUDE	M 86.06 SD 15.20	87.07 16.20	84.99 15.31	88.20 14.67	86.54 15.34	94.20 15.77	80.70 10.67	79.68 11.68
NUMERICAL APTITUDE	M 87.50 SD 19.93	88.19 21.05	87.05 21.72	92.62 19.96	89.01 20.85	97.24 20.16	81.33 21.39	82.09 17.77
SPATIAL APTITUDE	M 102.63 SD 20.43	100.57 21.53	98.63 20.53	100.81 19.35	99.57 20.35	108.62 18.90	99.58 16.68	89.55 17.62
PERCEPTUAL APTITUDE	M 99.32 SD 19.51	96.46 19.32	98.85 20.53	99.10 19.33	98.29 19.58	105.75 17.81	98.42 15.15	89.71 19.41
CLERICAL APTITUDE	M 93.74 SD 15.06	94.69 14.97	94.14 15.11	95.91 14.58	94.81 14.68	100.33 14.35	90.51 13.19	89.89 13.21
MOTOR COORDINATION	M 96.34 SD 18.54	97.10 20.24	96.00 17.79	97.98 19.35	97.16 19.18	97.65 18.63	101.00 20.18	95.39 19.50
FINGER DEXTERITY	M 91.02 SD 19.40	91.34 17.67	91.09 17.50	89.11 19.84	90.54 18.37	93.51 18.23	94.07 16.35	85.71 18.27
MANUAL DEXTERITY	M 111.70 SD 21.61	113.22 21.77	110.12 18.95	110.83 23.60	111.06 21.12	113.94 20.18	116.69 19.75	105.89 21.94

27

RATINGS OF MOTIVATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3888	ROBBERY N = 97	ROBBERY 1ST N = 164	ROBBERY 2ND N = 129	ALL ROBBERY N = 411	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 190	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 55	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 159
WOODSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 773 74.6% 60.8% 60.8%	19 79.2% 78.9%	20 69.0% 65.0%	31 75.6% 71.0%	77 74.8% 66.8%	43 78.2% 76.7%	13 65.0% 53.8%	20 76.9% 60.0%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 263 25.4% 60.3%	5 20.8% 60.3%	9 31.1% 53.3%	10 24.4% 60.3%	26 25.2% 53.8%	12 21.8% 66.7%	7 35.0% 58.6%	6 23.1% 50.0%
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 396 77.8% 62.5%	21 77.8% 66.7%	27 67.5% 59.3%	28 75.7% 75.0%	82 72.6% 64.6%	45 78.9% 71.1%	18 75.0% 64.4%	16 57.1% 68.8%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 300 30.1% 58.3%	6 22.2% 50.0%	13 32.5% 53.8%	9 24.3% 66.7%	31 27.4% 58.1%	12 21.1% 75.0%	6 25.0% 50.0%	12 42.9% 41.7%
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 2779 71.4% 62.4%	79 84.9% 73.4%	144 84.7% 70.8%	103 79.8% 69.9%	295 83.3% 70.1%	155 85.2% 75.5%	46 76.7% 65.2%	139 83.7% 66.2%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 1113 28.6% 57.1%	14 15.1% 57.1%	26 15.3% 65.4%	26 20.2% 73.1%	69 16.7% 69.6%	14 14.8% 77.8%	14 23.3% 57.1%	27 16.3% 66.7%
COUNSELOR'S RATING II - MOTIVATED	N 2872 71.8% 52.2%	83 83.8% 75.9%	137 80.1% 73.0%	103 79.7% 69.9%	241 79.7% 72.1%	159 83.2% 78.0%	44 73.3% 65.9%	134 78.8% 67.9%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 891 17.3% 57.7%	7 7.1% 57.1%	14 8.2% 52.6%	19 14.0% 52.6%	43 10.0% 58.1%	19 9.9% 58.1%	8 13.3% 50.0%	15 8.8% 60.0%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING - YES	N 1005 26.6% 59.7%	80 80.8% 78.8%	134 77.9% 73.9%	104 77.0% 73.2%	337 78.7% 73.3%	161 84.3% 77.6%	43 70.5% 67.4%	128 75.7% 70.3%
- NONE	N 2934 73.4% 61.8%	19 19.2% 57.9%	38 22.1% 65.2%	31 23.0% 71.0%	91 21.3% 62.6%	30 15.7% 70.0%	18 29.5% 50.0%	41 24.3% 61.0%

28

WORK EXPERIENCE, UNION MEMBERSHIP, AND VOCATIONAL DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3888	ROBBERY N = 97	ROBBERY 1ST N = 164	ROBBERY 2ND N = 129	ALL ROBBERY N = 411	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 190	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 55	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 159
NONE	N 459 11.5% 58.9%	16 16.2% 87.8%	15 8.7% 80.0%	22 16.2% 68.2%	57 13.3% 54.1%	23 12.0% 91.3%	4 6.6% 75.0%	30 17.6% 63.3%
0 - 6 MONTHS	N 1466 36.7% 59.3%	37 37.4% 64.9%	53 30.8% 69.8%	46 33.8% 65.2%	141 32.9% 67.4%	64 33.5% 68.8%	15 24.6% 53.3%	58 34.1% 69.0%
6 - 12 MONTHS	N 725 18.1% 65.2%	19 19.2% 89.5%	39 22.7% 79.5%	15 11.0% 80.0%	75 17.5% 81.3%	34 17.8% 79.4%	13 21.3% 76.9%	27 15.9% 85.2%
12 - 18 MONTHS	N 314 7.9% 59.9%	10 10.1% 60.0%	16 9.3% 56.3%	9 6.6% 77.8%	40 9.3% 57.5%	18 9.4% 72.2%	7 11.5% 57.1%	15 8.8% 40.0%
18 - 24 MONTHS	N 138 3.5% 63.8%	4 4.0% 50.0%	7 4.1% 85.7%	7 5.1% 57.1%	19 4.4% 68.4%	9 4.7% 88.8%	4 6.6% 25.0%	6 3.5% 66.7%
24 MONTHS AND OVER	N 453 10.8% 66.3%	8 8.1% 75.0%	19 11.0% 57.9%	24 17.6% 87.5%	52 12.1% 75.0%	22 11.5% 77.3%	8 13.1% 75.0%	21 12.4% 71.4%
SPORADIC	N 407 10.2% 58.7%	4 4.0% 100.0%	17 9.9% 70.6%	13 9.6% 46.2%	37 8.6% 64.9%	16 8.4% 75.0%	10 16.4% 60.0%	10 5.9% 60.0%
UNION MEMBER	N 309 7.7% 65.7%	8 8.1% 87.5%	18 10.5% 72.2%	14 10.3% 78.6%	42 9.8% 76.2%	21 10.8% 81.0%	7 11.7% 85.7%	14 8.2% 84.3%
NOT A UNION MEMBER	N 3661 91.5% 60.8%	91 91.9% 73.6%	152 88.9% 71.7%	121 89.0% 68.6%	384 89.7% 70.3%	170 89.0% 75.9%	52 85.7% 59.6%	155 91.2% 67.7%
VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 239 6.0% 62.8%	6 6.1% 83.3%	14 8.2% 71.4%	9 6.6% 77.8%	29 6.8% 75.9%	17 8.9% 70.0%	4 6.7% 50.0%	8 4.7% 100.0%
NO VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 3722 93.1% 61.1%	93 93.9% 74.2%	156 91.2% 71.2%	126 92.6% 69.0%	397 92.6% 70.3%	174 91.1% 77.0%	56 93.3% 62.5%	160 94.1% 65.6%

29

RESULTS ON THE CALIFORNIA PSYCHOLOGICAL INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	ROBBERY N = 66	ROBBERY 1ST N = 127	ROBBERY 2ND N = 103	ALL ROBBERY N = 311	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 157	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 38	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 111
DOMINANCE	M 38.37 SD 13.10	40.64 13.54	38.65 11.26	38.64 11.08	39.22 11.62	39.48 11.67	36.16 11.67	40.10 10.21
CAPACITY FOR STATUS	M 39.36 SD 11.64	42.24 12.27	39.35 11.84	40.02 12.01	40.14 11.93	41.11 11.85	34.21 12.26	40.75 11.16
SOCIABILITY	M 44.10 SD 11.48	46.82 11.73	43.82 10.58	46.69 11.49	45.45 11.11	45.56 11.66	40.00 9.46	46.88 10.16
SOCIAL PRESENCE	M 47.24 SD 11.10	48.76 9.68	46.06 10.76	47.74 10.70	47.23 10.50	49.20 10.22	43.82 10.08	45.64 10.65
SELF ACCEPTANCE	M 50.89 SD 11.42	53.79 11.40	50.11 11.15	51.57 11.85	51.62 11.41	52.10 11.33	46.21 11.57	52.89 11.21
SENSE OF WELL-BEING	M 37.68 SD 13.63	40.39 13.16	37.22 13.56	39.88 13.20	38.86 13.38	40.49 13.15	37.53 13.72	37.12 13.17
RESPONSIBILITY	M 30.97 SD 12.68	33.12 11.50	31.57 11.83	32.17 11.64	32.22 11.63	33.26 12.01	29.95 10.97	31.54 11.24
SOCIALIZATION	M 32.68 SD 9.73	35.73 10.61	32.97 10.48	34.41 10.94	34.23 10.56	33.46 10.88	33.32 10.65	35.62 10.04
SELF CONTROL	M 41.77 SD 11.14	42.61 10.67	40.91 11.41	43.14 11.19	41.95 11.08	40.74 10.93	44.32 11.82	42.97 10.36
TOLERANCE	M 34.83 SD 11.77	38.21 13.06	34.01 11.94	36.64 11.87	35.86 12.30	38.08 12.30	34.82 13.26	33.12 11.25
GOOD IMPRESSION	M 43.39 SD 10.82	44.61 11.74	42.27 11.43	44.90 10.32	43.65 11.02	42.73 10.68	46.29 11.51	43.86 10.76
COMMUNALITY	M 46.64 SD 11.44	50.82 10.44	49.62 11.87	50.21 11.73	50.23 11.44	52.83 10.03	47.53 12.25	47.43 12.39
ACHIEVEMENT VIA CONFORMANCE	M 37.09 SD 12.32	40.38 12.48	37.34 12.56	39.01 11.60	38.52 12.28	39.70 13.10	34.71 12.20	40.69 10.34
ACHIEVEMENT VIA INDEPENDENCE	M 39.47 SD 10.20	42.26 10.00	39.09 10.64	40.86 10.12	40.49 10.58	42.23 11.81	38.74 11.81	38.68 9.80
INTELLECTUAL EFFICIENCY	M 34.57 SD 12.86	38.86 13.35	35.99 13.23	36.24 12.88	36.51 13.20	38.52 13.79	30.66 12.19	34.34 11.54
PSYCHOLOGICAL MINDENESS	M 43.53 SD 12.20	47.64 14.63	42.46 13.77	43.68 12.06	43.95 13.43	43.03 10.96	39.37 11.70	46.92 16.10
FLEXIBILITY	M 49.61 SD 9.54	50.83 8.64	48.61 9.25	50.25 8.74	50.03 9.11	51.14 9.23	49.34 8.04	48.74 9.33
FEMININITY	M 49.08 SD 9.93	50.66 8.90	50.48 10.35	48.36 10.20	50.03 9.99	47.58 9.13	51.45 10.22	52.78 10.06
CPI EQUATION	M 49.82 SD 4.98	50.08 4.73	49.93 5.37	50.17 5.44	50.08 5.15	49.27 5.16	51.31 5.51	50.85 4.87

30

RESULTS ON THE MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	ROBBERY N = 66	ROBBERY 1ST N = 128	ROBBERY 2ND N = 104	ALL ROBBERY N = 313	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 160	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 38	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 110
LIE	M 54.42 SD 7.91	54.45 7.91	54.08 7.00	54.56 6.45	54.19 6.91	52.97 5.00	54.53 5.56	55.83 9.12
VALIDITY	M 61.60 SD 9.70	58.75 8.30	62.36 10.53	58.77 8.57	60.37 9.63	59.13 9.20	62.24 10.33	61.42 9.82
CORRECTION FACTOR	M 51.13 SD 9.25	53.42 9.14	50.23 9.17	53.52 8.41	52.04 9.15	52.99 8.72	51.95 10.40	50.82 8.89
HYPochondriasis	M 53.57 SD 10.07	52.08 10.82	52.68 12.05	52.18 9.24	52.52 10.81	52.80 10.55	57.18 12.23	50.33 10.09
DEPRESSION	M 60.98 SD 12.04	56.92 11.86	59.80 11.27	58.31 11.77	59.08 11.84	58.49 11.79	65.61 12.53	57.66 11.05
HYSTERIA	M 55.97 SD 9.05	55.51 8.75	56.55 8.70	55.61 7.03	56.13 8.19	56.65 7.36	58.53 9.55	54.51 8.46
PSYCHOPATHIC DEVIATE	M 74.31 SD 11.21	71.83 11.82	72.43 10.80	73.05 11.53	72.59 11.21	74.17 11.53	73.55 11.44	70.13 10.33
MASCULINITY-FEMININITY	M 54.81 SD 9.79	56.65 11.89	53.91 10.54	54.68 11.26	54.75 10.97	54.86 11.59	53.00 9.43	54.75 10.36
PARANOID	M 59.87 SD 12.35	58.05 12.65	59.45 12.81	57.46 10.95	58.63 12.32	57.99 15.50	63.47 15.50	57.40 10.99
PSYCHASTHENIA	M 61.81 SD 13.32	58.40 13.65	60.60 13.98	58.97 12.66	59.87 13.55	58.98 12.96	65.26 13.03	58.86 14.26
SCHIZOPHRENIA	M 66.71 SD 14.67	65.09 14.60	65.60 15.01	62.08 13.30	64.56 14.45	63.28 14.12	64.14 12.85	64.14 15.16
HYPOMANIA	M 64.45 SD 11.91	64.17 11.35	64.85 12.35	62.48 13.46	63.95 12.52	62.71 12.52	63.21 9.49	65.88 12.81
SOCIAL INTROVERSION	M 53.39 SD 10.96	51.47 9.74	52.08 9.65	50.82 8.56	51.84 9.54	50.83 10.70	53.58 8.08	52.77 7.94
MPI EQUATION	M 50.91 SD 3.03	50.86 2.96	50.87 3.09	51.43 3.13	51.04 3.04	51.31 3.11	51.55 2.78	50.51 2.85

31

RESULTS ON THE INTERPERSONAL PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3181	ROBBERY N = 72	ROBBERY 1ST N = 134	ROBBERY 2ND N = 105	ALL ROBBERY N = 330	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 112	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 39	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS N = 123
IPI	M 44.88 SD 8.98	46.49 10.49	43.81 8.44	46.83 9.54	45.54 9.32	48.20 9.66	44.62 8.30	42.27 8.05

32

RESULTS OF CPI AND MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
CPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1766 56.9%	40 60.6%	73 57.3%	64 62.1%	186 58.8%	91 58.0%	22 57.9%	70 63.1%
CPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1335 43.1%	26 39.4%	54 42.5%	39 37.9%	125 40.2%	66 42.0%	16 42.1%	41 36.9%
MMPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1797 57.5%	39 60.0%	80 62.5%	74 71.2%	202 64.5%	105 65.6%	27 71.1%	68 61.8%
MMPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1329 42.5%	26 40.0%	48 37.5%	30 28.8%	111 35.5%	55 34.4%	11 28.9%	42 38.2%

33

RESULTS OF THE CPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1278 41.2%	33 50.0%	59 46.5%	48 46.6%	148 47.6%	71 45.2%	19 50.0%	56 50.9%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 488 15.7%	7 10.6%	14 11.0%	16 15.5%	38 12.2%	20 12.7%	3 7.9%	14 12.6%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 704 22.7%	10 15.2%	18 14.2%	15 14.6%	47 15.1%	16 10.2%	9 23.7%	21 18.9%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 632 20.4%	16 24.2%	36 28.3%	24 23.3%	78 25.1%	50 31.8%	7 18.4%	20 18.0%

34

RESULTS OF THE MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1463 46.8%	35 53.8%	73 57.0%	63 60.6%	179 57.2%	99 61.9%	23 60.5%	56 50.9%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 338 10.7%	4 6.2%	7 5.5%	11 10.6%	23 7.3%	6 3.8%	4 10.5%	12 10.9%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 872 27.9%	13 20.0%	26 20.3%	20 19.2%	64 20.4%	31 19.4%	8 21.1%	24 21.8%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 457 14.6%	13 20.0%	22 17.2%	10 9.6%	47 15.0%	24 15.0%	3 7.9%	18 16.4%

35

REASONS FOR REFERRAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
MENTAL ILLNESS	N 60 11.7% 56.7%	1 1.0% 0.0%	3 1.7% 33.3%	1 0.7% 100.0%	5 1.1% 40.0%	4 2.0% 50.0%	1 1.6% 0.0%	
SEXUAL PROBLEMS	N 115 22.5% 62.6%		1 0.6% 0.0%	1 0.7% 0.0%	2 0.5% 0.0%	1 0.5% 0.0%	1 1.6% 0.0%	
VIOLENCE POTENTIAL	N 242 47.4% 62.8%	9 8.9% 77.8%	12 6.8% 75.0%	9 6.5% 55.6%	31 7.1% 67.7%	9 4.5% 66.7%	6 9.8% 50.0%	16 9.3% 75.0%

36

SYMPTOMS FOUND DURING PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
DEPRESSION	N 115 2.8% 69.0%	2 2.0%	4 2.3%	3 2.2%	9 2.1%	7 3.5%		1 0.6%
ANXIETY	N 117 2.8% 56.4%	1 1.0%	3 1.7%		4 1.4%	5 2.5%		1 0.6%
DEPENDENCY	N 131 3.2% 59.2%	3 3.0%		3 2.2%	6 1.4%	3 1.5%	1 0.0%	2 1.2%

37

PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
BRAIN DISORDERS	N 4 0.1%							
PSYCHOTIC	N 29 0.7%		1 0.6%		1 0.2%			
NEUROTIC	N 40 1.0%	1 1.0%	0	2 1.4%	4 0.9%	4 2.0%		
PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 109 2.6%	4 4.0%	2 1.1%	1 0.7%	7 1.6%	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	1 0.6%
PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 205 4.9%	3 3.0%	6 3.4%	4 2.8%	15 3.4%	4 2.0%	1 0.5%	10 5.2%
SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 44 1.1%	1 1.0%	3 1.7%	3 2.2%	7 1.6%	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	3 1.7%
TRANSITIONAL SITUATIONAL PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 49 1.2%	2 2.0%	4 2.3%	3 2.2%	9 2.1%	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	3 1.7%

38

ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
ROBBERY	N 438 10.6%	101 73.3%	177 100.0%	138 100.0%	438 100.0%	198 75.3%	61 62.3%	172 67.4%

39

VIOLATION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
HOMICIDE	N 17 1.2%		1 2.1%	2 2.8%	3 1.7%	1 2.3%	1 4.3%	
NEGLECTED MANSLAUGHTER	N 1 0.1%							
ROBBERY	N 168 12.0%	9 36.0%	14 29.2%	8 22.2%	33 27.7%	7 15.9%	2 8.7%	24 47.1%
ASSAULT	N 89 6.3%	3 12.0%		4 11.1%	8 6.7%	2 4.5%	2 8.7%	4 7.8%
BURGLARY	N 265 18.9%	2 8.0%	5 10.4%	4 11.1%	13 10.9%	5 11.4%	3 13.0%	5 9.6%
THEFT	N 123 8.8%	3 12.0%	1 2.1%	5 13.9%	10 8.4%	4 9.1%	1 4.3%	5 9.8%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 168 12.2%	3 12.0%	4 8.3%	2 5.6%	10 8.4%	4 9.1%	2 8.7%	4 7.8%
FORGERY	N 58 4.1%		1 2.1%	2 5.6%	3 2.5%	2 4.5%		1 2.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 10 0.7%		1 2.1%		1 0.8%	1 2.3%		
STATUTORY RAPE	N 9 0.6%		1 2.1%		2 1.7%	1 2.3%	1 4.3%	
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 17 1.2%							
NARCOTIC OFFENSES	N 222 15.8%	2 8.0%	8 16.7%	6 16.7%	17 14.3%	8 18.2%	4 17.4%	5 9.6%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 11 0.8%		2 4.2%		2 1.7%			1 2.0%
OTHER	N 247 17.6%	3 12.0%	10 20.8%	4 11.1%	18 15.1%	9 20.5%	7 30.4%	2 3.9%

40

ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
PERSON OFFENSES	N 357 20.7%	101 100.0%	177 100.0%	138 100.0%	438 100.0%	198 100.0%	61 100.0%	172 100.0%

40

ADMISSION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
PERSON OFFENSES	N 357 20.7% 69.1%	101 100.0% 73.3%	177 100.0% 70.6%	138 100.0% 70.3%	438 100.0% 70.3%	198 100.0% 75.3%	61 100.0% 62.3%	172 100.0% 67.4%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 2427 58.6% 57.6%							
OTHERS	N 859 20.7% 11.8%							

41

VIOLATION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
PERSON OFFENSES	N 311 22.1%	32 48.0%	17 35.4%	13 36.1%	46 38.7%	12 27.3%	6 26.1%	28 54.9%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 614 43.7%	8 32.0%	11 22.9%	13 36.1%	36 30.3%	15 34.1%	6 26.1%	15 29.4%
OTHERS	N 480 34.2%	5 20.0%	20 41.7%	10 27.8%	37 31.1%	17 38.6%	11 47.8%	8 15.7%

42

CYA HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 2457 61.4% 59.6%	29 29.3% 58.6%	44 25.6% 72.7%	34 25.0% 64.7%	113 26.3% 65.5%	60 31.4% 73.3%	21 47.8% 47.6%	79 17.1% 62.1%
MODERATE	N 1000 25.0% 62.7%	49 49.5% 81.6%	85 49.4% 69.4%	67 49.3% 70.1%	211 49.2% 72.0%	95 49.7% 78.9%	25 41.0% 76.0%	87 51.2% 63.2%
SERIOUS	N 543 13.6% 65.7%	21 21.2% 81.0%	43 25.0% 74.4%	35 25.7% 74.3%	105 29.5% 74.3%	36 18.8% 75.0%	15 24.6% 60.0%	54 31.8% 77.8%

43

CASEWORKER ESTIMATION OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
LEAST	N 662 21.4% 63.9%	4 5.3% 75.0%	10 7.4% 90.0%	7 6.6% 85.7%	22 6.6% 86.4%	13 9.4% 84.6%	3 6.7% 100.0%	5 3.4% 80.0%
MILD	N 820 26.5% 61.8%	18 24.0% 77.2%	26 19.3% 84.6%	28 26.4% 75.0%	77 23.1% 75.3%	39 28.1% 87.2%	5 11.1% 80.0%	33 22.6% 60.6%
MODERATE	N 1273 41.2% 60.6%	41 54.7% 85.4%	76 56.3% 64.5%	56 52.8% 64.3%	181 54.2% 69.1%	73 52.5% 68.5%	29 64.4% 65.5%	77 52.7% 71.4%
SERIOUS	N 311 10.1% 61.1%	11 14.7% 63.6%	19 14.1% 73.7%	14 13.2% 85.7%	47 14.1% 72.3%	18 10.1% 78.6%	6 13.3% 50.0%	26 17.8% 73.1%
GREATEST	N 24 0.8% 70.8%	1 1.3% 100.0%	4 3.0% 75.0%	1 0.9% 0.0%	7 2.1% 71.4%		2 100.0%	5 3.4% 60.0%

44

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 2386 57.5% 59.6%	23 22.8% 82.6%	21 11.9% 57.1%	22 15.9% 63.6%	70 16.0% 67.1%	38 19.2% 68.4%	13 21.3% 61.5%	18 10.5% 66.7%
AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO VIOLENCE	N 759 18.2% 65.1%	51 50.5% 68.6%	111 62.7% 75.0%	59 42.8% 71.2%	230 52.5% 71.3%	114 57.6% 78.1%	29 47.5% 62.1%	83 48.3% 63.9%
VIOLENCE	N 1006 24.3% 60.6%	27 26.7% 74.1%	45 25.4% 71.1%	57 41.3% 71.9%	138 31.5% 70.3%	46 23.2% 73.9%	19 31.1% 63.2%	71 41.3% 71.8%

45

HISTORY OF CARRYING WEAPONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 2944 71.0% 61.0%	30 29.7% 60.0%	45 25.4% 71.1%	72 52.2% 76.4%	158 36.1% 70.3%	67 33.8% 74.6%	19 31.1% 63.2%	69 40.1% 68.1%
YES	N 1202 29.0% 60.5%	71 70.3% 78.9%	132 74.6% 70.5%	66 47.8% 63.6%	280 63.9% 70.4%	131 66.2% 75.6%	42 68.3% 61.9%	103 59.9% 67.0%

46

PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 1794 44.9% 56.0%	27 27.3% 77.6%	45 26.2% 71.1%	36 26.5% 58.3%	116 27.0% 67.2%	60 31.4% 70.0%	15 24.4% 60.2%	38 22.4% 63.2%
ONE	N 1090 27.3% 64.1%	46 46.5% 65.2%	50 29.1% 76.0%	59 36.8% 68.0%	151 35.2% 70.2%	71 37.2% 76.1%	18 29.5% 61.1%	59 34.7% 67.8%
TWO	N 590 15.0% 67.9%	15 15.2% 80.0%	48 27.9% 72.9%	28 20.6% 85.7%	98 22.8% 75.5%	37 19.4% 83.8%	15 24.6% 66.7%	45 26.5% 71.1%
THREE OR MORE	N 513 12.8% 65.1%	11 11.1% 100.0%	29 16.9% 62.1%	22 16.2% 72.7%	64 14.9% 71.9%	23 12.0% 82.6%	13 21.3% 61.5%	28 16.5% 67.9%

47

CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	N 3350 83.9% 60.3%	76 76.8% 77.6%	120 74.4% 74.2%	98 72.1% 67.3%	319 74.4% 71.8%	153 80.1% 77.1%	44 72.1% 69.2%	116 68.2% 66.4%
ONE	N 375 9.4% 65.6%	13 13.1% 64.2%	28 16.3% 64.3%	24 17.6% 83.3%	67 15.6% 68.7%	23 12.0% 69.6%	10 16.4% 50.0%	33 19.4% 72.7%
TWO	N 72 1.8% 63.0%	1 1.0% 100.0%	8 4.7% 62.5%	7 5.1% 57.1%	16 3.7% 62.5%	3 1.6% 66.7%	5 8.2% 60.0%	8 4.7% 62.5%
THREE OR MORE	N 197 4.9% 66.5%	9 9.1% 88.9%	8 4.7% 62.5%	7 5.1% 71.4%	27 6.3% 70.4%	12 6.3% 83.3%	2 3.3% 0.0%	13 7.6% 69.2%

48

TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
------------------------	---------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------

48

INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	# 2900 72.5% 58.5%	13 15.1% 53.8%	26 15.1% 65.4%	12 8.8% 58.3%	52 12.1% 61.5%	24 12.6% 65.7%	8 15.1% 50.0%	17 10.0% 64.7%
THREAT NO WEAPON	# 122 3.1% 63.9%	7 7.1% 71.4%	14 8.1% 68.3%	19 14.0% 68.3%	41 9.6% 68.3%	13 6.8% 92.3%	3 4.9% 33.3%	25 14.7% 60.0%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	# 304 7.6% 71.1%	51 51.5% 80.4%	93 56.1% 68.8%	55 40.4% 72.2%	205 47.8% 72.2%	104 54.5% 81.7%	29 47.5% 65.5%	68 40.0% 58.8%
MINOR INJURIES	# 393 9.8% 68.2%	17 17.2% 84.4%	21 12.2% 76.2%	39 27.9% 71.1%	83 19.3% 72.3%	31 16.2% 71.0%	14 23.0% 57.1%	38 22.4% 78.9%
MAJOR INJURIES	# 107 2.7% 68.2%	5 5.1% 80.0%	7 4.1% 71.4%	6 4.4% 83.3%	23 5.4% 78.3%	9 4.7% 55.6%	3 4.9% 100.0%	11 6.5% 90.9%
DEATH	# 36 0.9% 72.2%							

49

GROUP VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	# 3289 82.3% 59.1%	30 30.3% 70.0%	46 26.7% 63.0%	34 32.4% 61.4%	129 30.1% 62.8%	67 35.1% 64.2%	15 24.6% 53.3%	44 25.9% 61.4%
THREAT NO WEAPON	# 70 1.8% 65.7%	4 4.0% 50.0%	12 7.0% 83.3%	8 5.9% 62.5%	25 5.8% 72.0%	9 4.7% 77.0%	2 3.3% 50.0%	13 7.6% 76.9%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	# 240 6.0% 75.3%	45 45.5% 75.6%	82 47.7% 74.4%	39 28.7% 69.2%	168 39.2% 73.2%	77 40.3% 84.4%	26 42.6% 69.2%	62 36.5% 61.3%
MINOR INJURIES	# 240 6.0% 71.3%	12 12.1% 91.7%	20 11.6% 70.0%	34 25.0% 79.4%	71 16.6% 77.5%	23 12.0% 87.0%	14 23.0% 64.3%	34 20.0% 76.5%
MAJOR INJURIES	# 71 1.8% 69.0%	3 3.0% 100.0%	5 2.9% 60.0%	8 5.9% 75.0%	20 4.7% 80.0%	5 2.6% 60.0%	3 4.9% 66.7%	12 7.1% 91.7%
DEATH	# 14 0.4% 71.4%							

50

WEAPON USED BY INDIVIDUAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	# 3285 82.2% 59.6%	29 29.3% 69.0%	49 28.5% 71.4%	68 50.0% 69.1%	152 35.4% 69.1%	56 29.3% 71.4%	24 39.3% 53.3%	69 40.6% 72.5%
TOY GUN	# 40 1.0% 65.0%	5 5.1% 40.0%		28 17.6% 75.0%	29 6.8% 69.0%	16 8.4% 81.3%	3 4.9% 66.7%	10 5.9% 50.0%
UNLOADED GUN	# 13 0.3% 76.9%		3 1.7% 66.7%	1 0.7% 100.0%	4 0.9% 75.0%	2 1.0% 100.0%	1 1.6% 0.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%
LOADED GUN	# 125 3.1% 60.0%	10 10.1% 60.0%	27 15.7% 77.8%	1 0.7% 100.0%	42 9.8% 71.4%	19 9.9% 78.0%	5 8.2% 80.0%	18 10.6% 61.1%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	# 140 3.7% 67.1%	33 33.3% 84.8%	49 28.5% 65.3%	17 12.5% 64.7%	103 24.0% 70.9%	54 28.3% 74.1%	13 21.3% 76.9%	33 19.4% 60.6%
KNIFE, ETC.	# 135 3.4% 71.9%	11 11.1% 100.0%	21 12.2% 76.2%	4 2.9% 75.0%	37 8.7% 83.6%	19 9.9% 83.5%	5 8.2% 60.0%	12 7.1% 83.3%
OTHER	# 116 2.9% 69.8%	2 2.0% 100.0%	2 1.2% 100.0%	6 4.4% 66.7%	15 3.5% 73.3%	9 4.7% 66.7%	1 1.6% 100.0%	2 1.2% 100.0%

51

WEAPONS USED BY GROUP

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	# 3556 89.0% 76.0%	50 50.5% 76.0%	68 39.5% 63.2%	84 61.8% 67.9%	217 50.6% 67.7%	100 52.4% 71.0%	27 44.3% 55.6%	85 50.0% 67.1%
TOY GUN	# 25 0.6% 76.0%	3 3.0% 66.7%	1 0.6% 0.0%	11 8.1% 81.8%	15 3.5% 73.3%	8 4.2% 75.0%	1 1.6% 100.0%	5 3.5% 66.7%
UNLOADED GUN	# 3 0.1% 66.7%	1 1.0% 100.0%			1 0.2% 100.0%		1 1.6% 100.0%	
LOADED GUN	# 74 1.9% 67.6%	6 6.1% 66.7%	29 14.0% 62.5%	1 0.7% 100.0%	32 7.5% 62.5%	13 6.8% 92.3%	6 9.8% 33.3%	13 7.6% 46.2%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	# 145 3.6% 73.4%	31 31.3% 74.2%	51 29.7% 80.4%	18 13.2% 61.1%	103 24.0% 73.8%	42 22.0% 78.6%	16 26.2% 68.8%	44 25.9% 70.5%
KNIFE, ETC.	# 68 1.7% 66.2%	6 6.1% 83.3%	14 8.1% 71.4%	8 5.9% 62.5%	28 6.5% 71.4%	12 6.3% 83.3%	5 8.2% 80.0%	10 5.9% 60.0%
OTHER	# 65 1.6% 75.4%	1 1.0% 100.0%	4 2.3% 100.0%	7 5.1% 85.7%	15 3.5% 86.7%	10 5.2% 80.0%	2 3.1% 100.0%	3 1.8% 100.0%

52

ECONOMIC LOSS BY VICTIM

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
NONE	# 1110 27.8% 62.3%	8 8.1% 75.0%	10 5.8% 60.0%	6 4.4% 50.0%	38 8.9% 60.5%	10 5.3% 60.0%	12 19.7% 58.3%	14 8.2% 57.1%
LESS THAN \$1	# 13 0.3% 69.2%		1 0.6% 100.0%		2 0.5% 100.0%		1 1.6% 100.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%
\$1 - \$5	# 41 1.0% 68.3%	2 2.0% 100.0%	2 1.2% 100.0%	4 3.0% 100.0%	8 1.9% 100.0%	5 2.6% 100.0%		3 1.8% 100.0%
\$5 - \$20	# 120 3.0% 63.3%	1 1.0% 100.0%	10 5.8% 90.0%	4 3.0% 75.0%	15 3.5% 86.7%	10 5.3% 100.0%	3 4.9% 33.3%	2 1.2% 100.0%
\$20 - \$100	# 399 10.0% 65.4%	24 24.2% 75.0%	39 22.7% 71.8%	53 39.3% 69.8%	118 27.6% 77.8%	45 23.7% 77.8%	20 32.8% 70.0%	51 30.0% 68.6%
\$100 - \$500	# 503 12.6% 63.2%	28 28.3% 71.4%	62 36.0% 74.2%	33 24.1% 66.7%	124 29.0% 71.0%	60 31.6% 78.3%	13 20.3% 50.0%	56 32.0% 66.1%
\$500 - \$1000	# 143 3.6% 57.3%	11 11.1% 100.0%	14 8.1% 78.6%	4 3.0% 75.0%	31 7.2% 80.6%	20 10.5% 75.0%	4 6.6% 100.0%	7 4.1% 85.7%
\$1000 - \$5000	# 821 20.6% 55.8%	5 5.1% 40.0%	17 9.9% 64.7%	13 9.6% 76.9%	35 8.2% 65.7%	16 8.4% 75.0%	4 6.6% 25.0%	13 7.6% 69.2%
MORE THAN \$5000	# 207 5.2% 58.0%	2 2.0% 100.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%	3 2.2% 100.0%	6 1.4% 100.0%	5 2.6% 100.0%	1 1.6% 100.0%	1 0.6% 100.0%

53

CUSTODIAL EVALUATION FOR INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
GOOD PROGNOSIS	# 3295 82.5% 62.0%	78 78.8% 76.9%	136 79.1% 73.5%	109 80.1% 72.5%	341 79.5% 73.5%	158 82.7% 75.9%	49 80.3% 67.3%	128 75.3% 72.7%
POOR PROGNOSIS	# 681 17.1% 57.9%	21 21.2% 66.7%	36 20.9% 63.9%	27 19.9% 59.3%	88 20.5% 60.2%	33 17.3% 78.8%	12 19.7% 41.7%	42 24.7% 52.4%

54

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
PEPPER SCHOOL 1F	# 202 5.1%	2 2.0%	10 5.8%	3 2.2%	15 3.6%	8 4.1%	7 11.7%	1 0.6%

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER RECOMMENDATION


	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0%S	2 2.0% 50.0%S	10 5.8% 50.0%S	3 2.2% 33.3%S	15 3.5% 46.7%S	3 1.6% 33.3%S	3 5.1% 33.3%S	8 4.8% 50.0%S
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1349 33.9% 62.0%S	44 44.4% 62.0%S	53 31.0% 76.8%S	56 41.8% 79.2%S	158 37.1% 79.1%S	91 47.4% 82.4%S	16 27.1% 68.8%S	51 30.4% 76.5%S
CAMPS	N 838 21.7% 64.2%S	2 2.0% 50.0%S	6 3.5% 66.7%S	11 8.2% 72.7%S	23 5.8% 65.2%S	7 3.6% 57.1%S	6 10.2% 83.3%S	9 5.4% 55.6%S
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 651 16.4% 59.8%S	22 22.2% 59.1%S	51 29.8% 70.8%S	31 23.1% 58.1%S	111 26.1% 64.9%S	35 18.2% 77.1%S	20 33.9% 55.0%S	54 32.1% 61.1%S
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 760 19.1% 58.3%S	28 28.3% 67.9%S	49 28.7% 69.4%S	31 23.1% 71.0%S	114 26.8% 69.3%S	53 27.6% 66.0%S	14 23.7% 71.4%S	44 26.2% 72.7%S

55

CYA BOARD ORDER FOR TRANSFER

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ROBBERY	ROBBERY 1ST	ROBBERY 2ND	ALL ROBBERY	WHITE ROBBERY OFFENDERS	MEXICAN-AMERICAN ROBBERY OFFENDERS	BLACK ROBBERY OFFENDERS
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 220 5.3% 54.1%S	4 4.0% 75.0%S	5 2.8% 40.0%S	3 2.2% 33.3%S	13 3.0% 53.8%S	5 2.5% 40.0%S	2 3.3% 50.0%S	4 2.3% 50.0%S
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1113 26.9% 65.0%S	48 47.5% 65.0%S	64 36.4% 78.0%S	61 44.2% 80.3%S	176 40.3% 78.4%S	98 49.5% 81.6%S	19 31.1% 73.7%S	56 33.9% 75.9%S
BEN LOMOND	N 235 5.7% 65.5%S	1 1.0% 0.0%S	2 1.1% 50.0%S	7 1.4% 100.0%S	7 1.6% 57.1%S	2 1.0% 50.0%S	1 1.6% 100.0%S	4 2.3% 50.0%S
MT. BULLION	N 240 5.8% 67.1%S		1 0.6% 0.0%S	3 2.2% 100.0%S	4 0.9% 75.0%S		3 4.9% 100.0%S	1 0.6% 0.0%S
PINE GROVE	N 255 6.2% 64.3%S	2 2.0% 50.0%S	17 1.7% 66.7%S	25 2.9% 50.0%S	21 1.1% 55.6%S	3 1.5% 66.7%S	1 1.6% 100.0%S	5 2.9% 40.0%S
WASHINGTON RIDGE	N 329 8.0% 58.1%S		3 1.7% 66.7%S	5 3.6% 80.0%S	8 1.8% 75.0%S	5 2.5% 80.0%S	1 1.6% 0.0%S	2 1.2% 100.0%S
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 754 18.3% 57.7%S	24 23.8% 62.5%S	46 26.1% 78.3%S	23 16.7% 52.2%S	98 22.4% 67.3%S	36 18.2% 80.6%S	18 29.5% 44.4%S	41 24.0% 65.9%S
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 877 21.2% 57.9%S	22 21.8% 68.2%S	50 28.4% 66.0%S	36 26.1% 66.7%S	119 27.2% 65.5%S	46 23.2% 67.4%S	16 26.2% 62.5%S	56 32.7% 64.3%S

To relate parole success to the different variables studied, a method of graphic display was designed to guide the reader. Included with most parole success percentages (%S) in a cell are circular symbols that express both the magnitude and the direction of deviations from the overall success percentage (60.9%S). The following symbols are used in all DATA MAPS to guide the reader quickly to either "good" parole risks, "poor" parole risks, or anything between these two extremes.



Solid circles symbolize parole success deviations below the overall success percentage, while empty circles denote success deviations above the overall success percentage. Where no symbol is displayed, it is because of one of the following reasons: (1) The deviation symbol has been provided elsewhere (as with the total study population columns); (2) there were fewer than ten cases in the cell; (3) there is less than one percent deviation from the overall parole success rate.

The visual scanning of these tables can result in the notation of possible relationships between parole success and the various variable categories. If there are parole deviation figures of decreasing or increasing size along a column or row, this may be indicative of a linear relationship. Similar logic can be applied when parole deviation figures appear similar in size and direction at the ends of a row or column. This may indicate the presence of a curvilinear relationship. Also, possible relationships may be noted between the vertical and horizontal variables by noting the proportions of cases falling in different cells. The reader is referred to the text in the user's manual and the companion book THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER for more complete description of the methods of analyzing the tables in the DATA MAPS. It should also be noted that the symbols do not establish relationships, but are meant to guide and provide background information for discussions and hypothesis formulation. The testing of hypotheses remains for further and more rigorous studies.

THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER

This DATA MAP presents comparative data on parole classification subgroups. STUDY POPULATION: 4,146 California Youth Authority male wards, consecutive intakes in 1964-65 at the Reception Guidance Center, Deuel Vocational Institution, Tracy. PAROLE FOLLOW-UP: 15 months. AVERAGE PAROLE SUCCESS RATE: 60.9% (60.9%S).

Standard data presented in 55 tables Frequencies, percentages, and percent success on parole. Means (M), and standard deviations (SD) for test results and other continuous data.

Information in first column: Data on combined total study population.

Information in columns 2-8: Data on parole classification subgroups.

The first number in each cell: Number of cases sharing both the column and the row labels.

The second number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell in relation to the other cells in column.

The third number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell which successfully completed parole.

Symbols: Explanation of symbols follows table 55 at the end of the DATA MAP.

Other DATA MAPS available in this series: Intelligence, Race, Alcohol and Drugs, Violence, Burglary, Robbery, and Assault.

For legend of symbols see below table 55

1

COMMITMENT COURT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSES	PAROLE FAILURES
JUVENILE COURT	N 345 M 8.31 SD 51.63S	25 15.91 76.02S	127 15.91 57.54S	81 21.41 37.01S	99 28.31 50.52S	13 9.21 46.22S	179 7.11	166 10.31
SUPERIOR COURT	N 3339 M 80.71 SD 62.22S	2142 86.71 67.52S	591 74.01 55.22S	268 70.71 50.72S	224 64.01 44.62S	114 80.31 60.52S	2085 82.41	1254 77.81
MUNICIPAL COURT	N 459 M 10.01 SD 58.02S	294 11.91 62.92S	74 9.31 50.02S	30 7.91 50.01S	27 7.71 40.72S	13 9.21 46.22S	255 10.11	183 11.41
JUSTICE COURT	N 18 M 0.41 SD 55.62S	9 0.41 66.72S	7 0.91 28.62S			2 1.41 100.02S	10 0.41	8 0.51

2

ADMISSION STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSES	PAROLE FAILURES
FIRST ADMISSION	N 2470 M 60.51 SD 67.02S	2470 100.01 67.02S					1664 66.61	806 50.81
FIRST RETURN	N 800 M 19.61 SD 54.92S		800 100.01 54.92S				439 17.61	361 22.71
2ND AND MORE RETURNS	N 732 M 17.91 SD 47.02S			381 100.01 47.82S	351 100.01 46.22S		346 13.91	386 24.31
RE-ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE FROM CYA	N 83 M 2.01 SD 57.62S					83 100.01 57.82S	48 1.91	35 2.21

3

RACE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSES	PAROLE FAILURES
WHITE	N 2212 M 53.41 SD 60.92S	1396 56.51 67.22S	405 50.71 54.32S	175 46.21 44.02S	153 43.61 44.42S	83 46.21 53.02S	1352 53.51	860 53.41
MEXICAN-AMERICAN	N 772 M 18.61 SD 61.12S	431 17.51 66.82S	157 19.61 58.02S	86 22.71 48.82S	72 20.51 50.02S	26 18.31 57.72S	472 18.71	300 18.61
BLACK	N 1076 M 26.01 SD 60.32S	592 24.01 66.92S	221 27.71 53.42S	112 29.61 51.82S	119 33.91 45.42S	32 22.51 71.92S	654 25.91	422 26.21
OTHER	N 80 M 1.91 SD 63.82S	50 2.01 66.02S	16 2.01 56.32S	6 1.61 66.72S	7 2.01 57.12S	1 0.71 100.02S	51 2.01	29 1.81

4

AGE, TIME IN INSTITUTION, WEIGHT, AND HEIGHT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSES	PAROLE FAILURES
AGE AT ADMISSION	N 4134 M 19.44 SD 0.94	2464 19.48 0.86	799 19.45 1.03	378 19.32 1.15	351 19.27 0.99	142 19.49 0.96	2524 19.49 0.93	1610 19.37 0.95
AGE AT RELEASE	N 4057 M 20.24 SD 0.99	2422 20.25 0.93	779 20.26 1.07	371 20.20 1.16	346 20.17 1.05	139 20.30 0.98	2480 20.28 0.98	1577 20.18 1.01
TIME IN INSTITUTION	N 4138 M 9.23 SD 4.77	2467 8.85 4.46	799 9.39 4.72	379 10.24 5.54	351 10.49 5.47	142 9.07 5.27	2526 9.08 4.64	1612 9.46 4.97
WEIGHT	N 4131 M 149.67 SD 20.64	2464 149.35 21.42	796 150.33 19.91	381 148.95 19.11	348 150.74 17.18	142 150.99 22.42	2525 149.47 20.57	1606 150.0 20.74
HEIGHT	N 4133 M 68.33 SD 2.85	2463 68.33 2.84	798 68.31 2.92	381 68.33 2.85	349 68.30 2.81	142 68.44 2.72	2526 68.31 2.79	1607 68.36 2.94

5

MARITAL STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSES	PAROLE FAILURES
SINGLE	N 3277 M 81.51 SD 61.02S	1989 82.11 67.02S	619 80.71 54.92S	301 84.11 45.52S	273 84.31 47.32S	95 75.41 65.32S	133 5.41	81 5.31
MARRIED	N 387 M 9.71 SD 63.32S	246 10.11 69.12S	69 9.01 49.32S	28 7.81 71.42S	28 8.61 46.42S	16 12.71 57.02S	1029 41.91	602 39.11

HEIGHT 4155 2465 1798 361 68.33 68.30 68.49 68.36 68.36 68.36
 SD 2.85 2.84 2.92 2.85 2.81 2.72 2.79 2.94

5 MARITAL STATUS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
SINGLE	N 3277 81.9% 61.0%	1989 82.1% 67.0%	619 80.7% 54.9%	301 84.1% 45.5%	273 84.3% 47.3%	95 75.4% 65.3%	133 5.4%	81 5.3%
MARRIED	N 387 10.1% 63.3%	246 10.1% 69.1%	69 9.0% 49.3%	28 7.8% 71.4%	28 8.6% 46.4%	16 12.7% 50.0%	1029 41.9%	602 39.1%
DIVORCED	N 55 1.4% 56.4%	34 1.4% 61.8%	14 1.8% 42.9%	2 0.6% 100.0%	3 0.9% 33.3%	2 1.6% 50.0%	20 0.8%	10 0.6%
DIVORCED, REHARRIED	N 18 0.5% 33.3%	8 0.3% 25.0%	6 0.8% 50.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%	2 0.6% 100.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	213 8.7%	132 8.6%
SEPARATED	N 112 2.8% 66.1%	72 2.8% 70.8%	26 3.4% 61.5%	8 2.2% 62.5%	4 1.2% 25.0%	2 1.6% 50.0%	777 31.6%	486 31.5%
COMMON-LAW	N 128 3.2% 57.8%	64 2.6% 65.6%	28 3.7% 64.3%	15 4.2% 26.7%	13 4.0% 46.2%	8 6.3% 50.0%	5 0.2%	3 0.2%
WIDOWED	N 2 0.1% 50.0%	2 0.1% 50.0%					1 0.0%	2 0.1%

6 CHILDREN ACKNOWLEDGED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 3321 83.0% 61.2%	2017 83.2% 67.2%	632 82.4% 55.2%	299 85.5% 45.8%	278 85.8% 46.8%	95 75.4% 63.2%	2036 82.9%	1285 83.3%
ONE	N 516 12.9% 60.5%	309 12.7% 66.0%	106 13.8% 52.8%	45 12.6% 57.8%	35 10.8% 40.0%	21 16.7% 57.1%	314 12.8%	202 13.1%
TWO	N 127 3.2% 64.6%	80 3.3% 68.8%	23 3.0% 60.9%	7 2.0% 42.9%	9 2.8% 66.7%	8 6.3% 50.0%	85 3.4%	44 2.9%
THREE	N 28 0.7% 64.3%	17 0.7% 70.6%	3 0.4% 33.3%	5 1.4% 80.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%	2 1.6% 50.0%	19 0.8%	9 0.6%
FOUR AND MORE	N 8 0.2% 62.5%	2 0.1% 100.0%	3 0.4% 33.3%	2 0.6% 50.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%		5 0.2%	3 0.2%

7 LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
WIFE/GIRL FRIEND	N 349 8.7% 60.5%	203 8.4% 66.5%	72 9.4% 59.7%	29 8.1% 51.7%	25 7.7% 36.0%	20 15.9% 45.0%	213 8.7%	136 8.8%
NATURAL PARENTS	N 1185 29.6% 61.6%	653 26.9% 68.1%	274 35.9% 57.3%	132 36.9% 48.5%	97 29.9% 49.5%	29 23.0% 55.2%	731 29.8%	454 29.5%
RELATIVES	N 248 6.2% 62.9%	147 6.1% 66.0%	41 5.4% 58.5%	27 7.5% 44.4%	26 8.0% 65.4%	7 5.6% 85.7%	156 6.3%	92 6.0%
FOSTER PARENTS	N 76 1.9% 50.0%	27 1.1% 51.9%	19 2.5% 63.2%	14 3.9% 28.6%	11 3.4% 45.5%	5 4.0% 60.0%	38 1.5%	38 2.5%
FRIENDS, FIXED	N 134 3.4% 67.9%	101 4.2% 77.2%	16 2.1% 43.8%	9 2.5% 22.2%	5 1.5% 40.0%	3 2.4% 66.7%	91 3.7%	43 2.8%
ALONE, FIXED	N 144 3.6% 64.6%	77 3.2% 77.9%	32 4.2% 53.1%	15 4.2% 46.7%	15 4.6% 26.7%	5 4.0% 100.0%	93 3.8%	51 3.3%
ALONE, NOT FIXED	N 207 5.2% 57.0%	133 5.5% 61.7%	35 4.6% 54.3%	21 5.9% 38.1%	13 4.0% 46.2%	5 4.0% 60.0%	119 4.8%	88 5.7%
GROUP HOME	N 17 0.4% 47.1%	7 0.3% 47.1%	4 0.9% 57.1%	1 1.1% 25.0%	6 1.9% 50.0%		8 0.3%	9 0.6%

8 MARITAL STATUS OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NEVER MARRIED	N 214 5.4% 61.7%	129 5.3% 62.0%	41 5.4% 63.4%	14 3.9% 85.7%	24 7.4% 45.8%	6 4.8% 50.0%	133 5.4%	81 5.3%
MARRIED	N 1631 40.8% 68.9%	1040 42.9% 68.5%	289 37.7% 58.5%	152 42.5% 45.4%	99 30.6% 46.5%	51 40.5% 58.8%	1029 41.9%	602 39.1%
DIVORCED	N 30 0.8% 66.7%	13 0.5% 84.6%	8 1.0% 37.5%	5 1.4% 60.0%	4 1.2% 75.0%		20 0.8%	10 0.6%
DIVORCED, REHARRIED	N 345 8.6% 61.7%	217 8.9% 66.2%	65 8.5% 55.4%	22 6.1% 45.5%	31 9.6% 51.6%	11 8.7% 72.7%	213 8.7%	132 8.6%
SEPARATED	N 1263 31.6% 61.2%	785 32.4% 66.8%	215 28.1% 52.6%	107 29.9% 50.5%	115 35.5% 48.7%	41 32.5% 63.4%	777 31.6%	486 31.5%
COMMON-LAW	N 8 0.2% 62.5%	2 0.1% 50.0%	4 0.5% 75.0%		1 0.3% 100.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	5 0.2%	3 0.2%
WIDOWED	N 3 0.1% 33.3%		1 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%		1 0.0%	2 0.1%

9 DEATH OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	MORE RETURNS	AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
FATHER DEAD	N 498 12.0% 63.0%\$	334 13.5% 65.6%\$	80 10.0% 55.3%\$	31 8.1% 48.4%\$	39 9.7% 64.3%\$	14 9.7% 64.3%\$	304 12.0%	194 12.0%
MOTHER DEAD	N 193 4.7% 62.7%\$	114 4.6% 66.7%\$	37 4.6% 59.5%\$	22 5.8% 45.5%\$	18 5.1% 61.1%\$	2 1.4% 100.0%\$	123 4.9%	70 4.3%
BOTH DEAD	N 64 1.5% 56.3%\$	33 1.3% 54.5%\$	19 2.4% 63.2%\$	4 1.0% 25.0%\$	6 1.7% 66.7%\$	2 1.4% 50.0%\$	36 1.4%	28 1.7%
BOTH LIVING	N 3391 81.8% 60.8%\$	1989 80.5% 67.5%\$	664 85.0% 54.2%\$	324 85.0% 48.1%\$	288 82.1% 45.5%\$	126 87.5% 57.1%\$	2070 81.7%	1321 81.9%

10 MILITARY DISCIPLINARY ACTION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
YES	N 301 7.5% 65.1%\$	263 10.8% 66.5%\$	34 4.4% 55.9%\$	2 0.6% 50.0%\$	2 1.6% 50.0%\$	2 1.6% 50.0%\$	198 8.1%	103 6.7%
NO	N 167 4.2% 68.9%\$	144 5.9% 70.1%\$	13 1.7% 61.5%\$	2 0.6% 50.0%\$	4 1.2% 50.0%\$	4 3.2% 100.0%\$	115 4.7%	52 3.4%
NO SERVICE	N 3506 87.7% 60.5%\$	1996 82.3% 67.0%\$	719 93.7% 58.8%\$	353 98.6% 47.9%\$	320 98.8% 46.6%\$	118 93.7% 61.0%\$	2128 86.6%	1378 89.3%

11 MILITARY DISCHARGE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
HONORABLE	N 104 2.6% 57.7%\$	89 3.7% 57.3%\$	10 1.3% 50.0%\$	2 0.6% 50.0%\$	1 0.3% 100.0%\$	2 1.6% 100.0%\$	61 2.5%	43 2.8%
GENERAL, HONORABLE CONDITIONS	N 104 2.6% 54.8%\$	91 3.8% 58.2%\$	8 1.0% 37.5%\$	1 0.3% 0.0%\$	2 0.6% 0.0%\$	2 1.6% 50.0%\$	57 2.3%	47 3.0%
DISHONORABLE, ETC.	N 117 2.9% 70.1%\$	96 4.0% 69.8%\$	20 2.6% 75.0%\$	1 0.3% 0.0%\$	1 0.8% 0.0%\$	1 0.8% 0.0%\$	82 3.3%	35 2.3%
MEDICAL	N 25 0.6% 76.0%\$	22 0.9% 77.3%\$	2 0.3% 50.0%\$	1 0.3% 50.0%\$	1 0.8% 100.0%\$	1 0.8% 100.0%\$	19 0.8%	6 0.4%
OTHER	N 59 1.5% 72.9%\$	52 2.1% 76.9%\$	4 0.5% 25.0%\$	1 0.3% 0.0%\$	1 0.3% 100.0%\$	1 0.8% 100.0%\$	43 1.8%	16 1.0%

12 HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NO HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 2278 54.9% 60.8%\$	1405 56.9% 67.6%\$	407 50.9% 52.6%\$	184 48.3% 44.6%\$	170 48.4% 40.6%\$	112 77.8% 62.5%\$	1392 55.0%	886 54.9%
MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 1244 30.0% 62.1%\$	748 30.3% 67.5%\$	246 30.8% 56.1%\$	122 32.0% 50.8%\$	102 29.1% 53.9%\$	26 18.1% 50.0%\$	776 30.6%	468 29.0%
SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 624 15.1% 58.5%\$	317 12.8% 63.4%\$	147 18.4% 59.2%\$	75 19.7% 50.7%\$	79 22.5% 48.1%\$	6 4.2% 16.7%\$	365 14.4%	259 16.1%
ALCOHOL NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 2555 61.6% 60.9%\$	1542 62.4% 67.5%\$	476 59.5% 53.2%\$	220 57.7% 45.9%\$	201 57.3% 43.8%\$	116 80.6% 62.9%\$	1564 61.7%	931 61.4%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 1024 24.7% 62.1%\$	605 24.5% 66.9%\$	212 26.5% 59.4%\$	92 24.1% 52.2%\$	94 26.8% 52.1%\$	21 14.6% 38.1%\$	637 25.1%	387 24.0%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 567 13.7% 58.4%\$	323 13.1% 65.0%\$	112 14.0% 53.6%\$	69 18.1% 47.8%\$	56 15.0% 44.6%\$	7 4.9% 42.9%\$	332 13.1%	235 14.6%

13 HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NO HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE	N 3488 84.1% 61.9%\$	2116 85.7% 68.2%\$	647 80.9% 54.7%\$	319 83.7% 48.9%\$	273 77.8% 45.8%\$	133 77.8% 59.4%\$	2167 85.6%	1321 81.9%
ISOLATED DRUG MISUSE	N 263 6.3% 59.3%\$	157 6.4% 64.3%\$	60 7.5% 58.3%\$	20 5.2% 50.0%\$	23 6.6% 34.8%\$	3 2.1% 66.7%\$	156 6.2%	107 6.6%
MODERATE DRUG MISUSE	N 337 8.1% 53.4%\$	170 6.9% 57.6%\$	78 9.8% 52.6%\$	36 9.4% 41.7%\$	45 12.8% 51.1%\$	8 5.6% 37.5%\$	181 7.1%	156 9.7%
SEVERE DRUG MISUSE	N 58 1.4% 50.0%\$	27 1.1% 48.1%\$	15 1.9% 60.0%\$	6 1.6% 16.7%\$	10 2.8% 60.0%\$	10 7.1% 100.0%\$	29 1.1%	29 1.8%
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 3788 91.4% 61.2%\$	2273 92.0% 67.4%\$	715 89.4% 54.5%\$	351 92.1% 48.7%\$	313 89.2% 46.3%\$	136 94.4% 58.8%\$	2327 91.8%	1461 90.6%
DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 209 5.0% 57.4%\$	123 5.0% 63.4%\$	54 6.8% 55.6%\$	17 4.5% 41.2%\$	11 3.1% 27.3%\$	4 2.8% 50.0%\$	121 4.8%	88 5.5%
DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 149 3.6% 57.0%\$	74 3.0% 62.2%\$	31 3.9% 61.3%\$	13 3.4% 30.8%\$	27 7.7% 51.9%\$	4 2.8% 50.0%\$	85 3.4%	64 4.0%

14 HISTORY OF

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NO HISTORY OF PRIVATE USE	N 3971 97.8% 61.1%\$	2401 60.5% 67.2%\$	748 18.8% 64.4%\$	526 13.2% 64.4%\$	304 7.7% 61.1%\$	136 10.1% 66.9%\$	2670 87.4%	1301 81.1%

14

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NO HISTORY OF OPIATE USE	N 3971 95.8% 61.4%S	2401 97.2% 61.4%S	748 93.5% 55.7%S	358 94.0% 48.3%S	324 92.3% 45.1%S	140 97.2% 59.3%S	2450 96.7%	1521 94.3%
ISOLATED OPIATE USE	N 43 1.0% 62.8%S	23 0.9% 56.5%S	9 1.1% 55.6%S	5 1.3% 60.0%S	6 1.7% 100.0%S		27 1.1%	16 1.0%
MODERATE OPIATE USE	N 102 2.5% 42.2%S	35 1.4% 51.4%S	31 3.9% 38.7%S	14 3.7% 28.6%S	18 5.1% 44.4%S	4 2.8% 25.0%S	43 1.7%	59 3.7%
SEVERE OPIATE USE	N 30 0.7% 43.3%S	11 0.4% 36.4%S	12 1.5% 41.7%S	4 1.0% 50.0%S	3 0.8% 66.7%S		13 0.5%	17 1.1%
OPIATES NO FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 4046 97.7% 61.3%S	2435 98.6% 67.5%S	769 96.1% 55.4%S	367 96.3% 48.2%S	333 94.9% 45.9%S	142 98.6% 58.5%S	2492 98.4%	1554 96.3%
OPIATES FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 73 1.8% 42.5%S	22 0.9% 31.8%S	28 3.5% 46.4%S	10 2.6% 40.0%S	11 3.1% 54.5%S	2 1.4% 50.0%S	31 1.2%	42 2.6%
OPIATES FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 27 0.7% 37.0%S	13 0.5% 46.2%S	3 0.4% 0.0%S	4 1.0% 25.0%S	7 2.0% 42.9%S		10 0.4%	17 1.1%

15

HISTORY OF MARIJUANA AND GLUE-SNIFFING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NO HISTORY OF USE OF MARIJUANA	N 3345 80.7% 61.3%S	2019 81.7% 67.5%S	619 77.4% 54.9%S	306 80.3% 48.7%S	274 78.1% 46.0%S	127 88.2% 56.7%S	2058 81.2%	1287 79.8%
MARIJUANA USE	N 801 19.3% 59.2%S	451 18.3% 65.2%S	181 22.6% 54.7%S	75 19.7% 44.0%S	77 21.9% 46.8%S	17 11.8% 70.6%S	475 18.8%	326 20.2%
NO HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 3890 93.8% 61.0%S	2349 95.1% 67.0%S	742 92.8% 54.4%S	352 92.4% 48.3%S	306 87.2% 46.4%S	141 97.8% 58.2%S	2383 94.1%	1507 93.4%
HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 256 6.2% 58.6%S	121 4.9% 66.9%S	58 7.3% 60.3%S	29 7.6% 41.4%S	45 12.8% 44.4%S	3 2.1% 66.7%S	150 5.9%	106 6.6%

16

HISTORY OF ESCAPE AND SEXUAL DEVIATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NO ESCAPES	N 3539 85.4% 63.3%S	2290 92.7% 68.3%S	631 78.9% 55.5%S	267 70.1% 52.4%S	234 66.7% 48.7%S	117 81.3% 61.5%S	2249 88.8%	1290 80.0%
ESCAPES FROM MINIMUM SECURITY	N 526 12.7% 47.9%S	160 6.5% 50.0%S	153 19.1% 52.3%S	101 26.5% 39.6%S	87 24.8% 47.1%S	25 17.4% 44.0%S	252 9.9%	274 17.0%
ESCAPES WITH FORCE	N 81 2.0% 39.5%S	20 0.8% 65.0%S	16 2.0% 56.3%S	13 3.4% 15.4%S	30 8.5% 23.3%S	2 1.4% 50.0%S	32 1.3%	49 3.0%
NO HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 4026 97.1% 60.9%S	2411 97.6% 67.2%S	770 96.3% 54.4%S	365 95.8% 47.4%S	338 96.3% 46.4%S	142 98.6% 58.5%S	2461 97.2%	1565 97.0%
HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 120 2.9% 60.0%S	59 2.4% 62.7%S	30 3.8% 66.7%S	16 4.2% 56.3%S	13 3.7% 38.5%S	2 1.4% 50.0%S	72 2.8%	48 3.0%
NO HISTORY OF SEXUAL DEVIATION	N 3929 94.8% 60.8%S	2371 96.0% 66.8%S	756 94.5% 54.2%S	346 90.8% 46.7%S	317 90.3% 46.7%S	139 96.5% 58.3%S	2400 94.7%	1529 94.8%
HISTORY OF ISOLATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 156 3.8% 57.1%S	74 3.0% 71.6%S	27 3.4% 66.7%S	31 8.1% 35.5%S	21 6.0% 23.8%S	3 2.1% 66.7%S	89 3.5%	67 4.2%
HISTORY OF REPEATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 61 1.5% 72.1%S	25 1.0% 80.0%S	17 2.1% 64.7%S	4 1.0% 75.0%S	13 3.7% 69.2%S	2 1.4% 50.0%S	44 1.7%	17 1.1%
NO HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUALITY	N 4009 96.7% 61.4%S	2410 97.6% 67.3%S	775 96.9% 55.2%S	358 94.0% 47.2%S	327 93.2% 48.0%S	139 96.5% 59.7%S	2470 97.5%	1533 95.4%
HISTORY OF ISOLATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 79 1.9% 40.5%S	30 1.2% 53.3%S	16 2.0% 37.5%S	16 4.2% 43.8%S	14 4.0% 14.3%S	3 2.1% 33.3%S	32 1.3%	47 2.9%
HISTORY OF REPEATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 43 1.0% 53.5%S	18 0.7% 55.6%S	9 1.1% 55.6%S	7 1.8% 85.7%S	8 2.3% 25.0%S	1 0.7% 0.0%S	23 0.9%	20 1.2%
HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUAL PATTERN	N 15 0.4% 53.3%S	12 0.5% 58.3%S			2 0.6% 50.0%S	1 0.7% 0.0%S	8 0.3%	7 0.4%

PREVIOUS PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

NO HISTORY OF SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 4047 97.62 61.12S	2935 98.62 66.92S	773 96.62 55.42S	368 96.62 47.82S	330 99.02 47.32S	241 97.92 59.62S	2484 98.12	1563 96.92
INFREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 65 1.62 50.82S	23 0.92 82.62S	18 2.32 27.82S	6 1.62 66.72S	16 4.62 31.32S	2 1.42 0.02S	33 1.32	32 2.02
FREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 74 0.42 50.02S	10 0.42 60.02S	6 0.82 66.72S	5 1.32 40.02S	2 0.62 0.02S	1 0.72 0.02S	12 0.52	12 0.72
SERIOUS SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 10 0.22 40.02S	2 0.12 50.02S	3 0.42 66.72S	2 0.52 0.02S	3 0.92 33.32S		4 0.22	6 0.42
NO HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 4118 99.32 60.92S	2454 99.42 60.92S	796 99.52 54.82S	377 99.02 47.72S	347 98.92 46.12S	144 100.02 58.32S	2518 99.42	1600 93.22
HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 28 0.72 53.62S	16 0.62 50.02S	4 0.52 75.02S	4 1.02 50.02S	4 1.12 50.02S		15 0.62	13 0.82
NO HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 4125 99.52 60.82S	2455 99.42 67.12S	798 99.82 54.82S	379 99.52 47.82S	350 99.72S 46.02S	143 99.32 58.02S	2520 99.52	1605 93.52
HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 21 0.52 61.92S	15 0.62 53.32S	2 0.32 100.02S	2 0.52 50.02S	1 0.32 100.02S	1 0.72 100.02S	13 0.52	8 0.52
NO HISTORY OF NEUROSI	N 4092 98.72 61.12S	2459 99.62 67.12S	784 98.02 55.22S	370 97.12 47.82S	339 96.62 46.02S	140 97.22 60.02S	2509 99.12	1583 98.12
HISTORY OF NEUROSI	N 54 1.32 44.42S	11 0.42 63.62S	16 2.02 37.52S	11 2.92 45.52S	12 3.42 50.02S	4 2.82 0.02S	24 0.92	30 1.92
NO HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 4097 98.82 60.82S	2455 99.42 67.22S	786 98.32 54.22S	374 98.22 47.62S	340 96.92 46.22S	142 98.62 58.52S	2503 98.82	1594 98.82
HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 49 1.22 61.22S	15 0.62 46.72S	14 1.82 92.92S	7 1.62 57.12S	11 3.12 45.52S	2 1.42 50.02S	30 1.22	19 1.22
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 3870 93.32 61.62S	2409 97.52 67.32S	732 91.52 54.82S	326 85.62 46.32S	274 78.12 47.82S	129 89.62 59.72S	2391 94.42	1479 91.72
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 276 6.72 51.12S	61 2.52 55.72S	68 8.52 55.92S	55 14.42 56.42S	77 21.92 40.32S	15 10.42 46.72S	142 5.62	134 8.32
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 4012 96.82 61.22S	2440 98.82 67.02S	772 96.52 55.12S	349 91.62 48.42S	310 88.32 46.12S	141 97.92 59.62S	2466 97.42	1546 95.82
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 134 3.22 50.02S	30 1.22 70.02S	28 3.52 50.02S	32 8.42 40.62S	41 11.72 46.32S	3 2.12 0.02S	67 2.62	67 4.22
NO HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 4031 97.22 61.32S	2440 98.82 67.02S	782 97.82 55.52S	358 94.02 48.52S	313 89.22 46.62S	138 95.82 58.02S	2480 97.92	1551 96.22
HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 115 2.82 45.22S	30 1.22 66.72S	18 2.32 27.82S	23 8.02 30.42S	38 10.82 42.12S	6 4.22 66.72S	53 2.12	62 3.82

18 INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
MENTAL DEFECTIVE	N 23 0.42 69.12S	11 0.42 81.82S	5 0.62 60.02S	4 1.02 50.02S	2 0.62 50.02S	1 0.72 100.02S	16 0.62	7 0.42
BORDERLINE	N 127 3.12 63.02S	77 3.12 71.42S	26 3.32 50.02S	11 2.92 36.42S	10 2.92 60.02S	3 2.12 66.72S	80 3.22	47 2.92
DULL NORMAL	N 1000 24.22 59.22S	569 23.12 62.72S	189 23.92 57.12S	102 26.82 52.92S	98 26.02 43.02S	42 29.62 59.52S	593 25.52	407 25.42
AVERAGE	N 2440 59.12 60.72S	1460 59.32 67.52S	468 59.12 53.82S	230 60.42 46.52S	202 57.72 45.52S	80 56.32 55.02S	1490 59.02	950 59.32
BRIGHT NORMAL	N 446 10.82 63.72S	280 11.42 70.02S	92 11.62 56.52S	30 7.92 43.32S	31 8.92 41.92S	13 9.22 76.92S	284 11.22	162 10.12
SUPERIOR	N 81 2.02 67.92S	57 2.32 70.22S	12 1.52 83.32S	4 1.02 50.02S	5 1.42 20.62S	3 2.12 66.72S	55 2.22	26 1.62
VERY SUPERIOR	N 9 0.22 77.82S	7 0.32 85.72S			2 0.62 50.02S		7 0.32	2 0.12

19 RESULTS OF INTELLIGENCE TESTING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
ARMY GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST I.Q.	N 2684 M 99.42 SD 11.22	1595 100.23 11.23	531 98.13 11.35	248 97.60 10.54	218 98.38 11.36	92 100.16 10.66	1935 99.92 11.26	1048 98.63 11.14
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2679 M 48.80 SD 21.25	1592 46.47 21.21	531 46.70 21.19	247 44.40 20.40	217 47.12 21.43	92 48.00 21.49	1631 48.87 21.34	1048 47.15 21.02
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2682 M 56.08 SD 25.83	1596 58.01 25.62	531 53.03 26.55	247 52.28 25.00	217 52.04 26.00	92 53.10 23.28	1635 53.70 25.74	1048 54.19 25.87
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2683 M 54.10 SD 24.64	1596 54.81 24.40	531 52.92 25.69	247 52.58 24.20	217 52.17 25.92	92 52.07 25.09	1635 54.46 24.62	1048 53.55 24.67
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	N 3865 M 40.81 SD 13.89	2329 41.27 14.06	721 40.60 13.69	356 40.81 12.71	375 43.04 14.27	134 40.90 13.69	2376 41.10 14.10	1489 40.35 13.54
LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3867 M 46.98 SD 16.56	2327 47.54 16.44	726 46.91 16.44	356 46.34 16.44	324 47.34 16.44	134 46.82 16.44	2378 47.34 16.44	1489 46.34 16.44

	1596	531	247	217	-91	1635	1048
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	M 56.08 SD 25.83	M 58.01 SD 25.62	M 53.03 SD 26.55	M 52.28 SD 25.00	M 57.30 SD 26.00	M 60.10 SD 23.28	M 54.19 SD 25.87
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	M 2683 SD 54.10	M 1596 SD 24.40	M 531 SD 25.69	M 247 SD 24.20	M 217 SD 23.92	M 217 SD 23.92	M 1635 SD 24.62
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	M 3865 SD 40.81	M 2329 SD 13.89	M 721 SD 13.69	M 356 SD 12.71	M 325 SD 14.27	M 325 SD 14.27	M 2376 SD 14.10
LANGUAGE I.Q.	M 3867 SD 86.98	M 2327 SD 16.56	M 726 SD 15.80	M 356 SD 15.47	M 324 SD 17.31	M 324 SD 17.31	M 2378 SD 16.77
NONLANGUAGE I.Q.	M 3877 SD 94.17	M 2331 SD 14.09	M 729 SD 14.67	M 358 SD 13.49	M 325 SD 14.05	M 325 SD 14.05	M 2384 SD 14.15
D-48 RAW SCORE	M 2712 SD 7.74	M 1630 SD 7.77	M 514 SD 8.02	M 248 SD 7.39	M 236 SD 7.50	M 236 SD 7.50	M 1655 SD 7.83
RAVEN MATRICES RAW SCORE	M 3517 SD 43.33	M 2115 SD 8.66	M 656 SD 9.18	M 325 SD 8.28	M 297 SD 8.63	M 297 SD 8.63	M 2148 SD 8.71
SHIPLEY HARTFORD CONCEPTUAL QUOTIENT	M 1767 SD 96.19	M 1106 SD 14.24	M 325 SD 14.88	M 143 SD 13.39	M 130 SD 15.67	M 130 SD 15.67	M 1109 SD 14.39
LANGUAGE RAW SCORE	M 2767 SD 23.75	M 1667 SD 5.45	M 522 SD 5.49	M 256 SD 5.42	M 223 SD 5.83	M 223 SD 5.83	M 1695 SD 5.43
ABSTRACT RAW SCORE	M 2696 SD 24.02	M 1627 SD 7.94	M 504 SD 8.11	M 253 SD 7.69	M 216 SD 8.39	M 216 SD 8.39	M 1650 SD 7.92

20 RESULTS OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 4067	FIRST ADMISSION N = 2436	FIRST RETURN N = 781	SECOND RETURN N = 374	THREE AND MORE RETURNS N = 342	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE N = 139	PAROLE SUCCESSSES N = 2488	PAROLE FAILURES N = 1580
READING VOCABULARY	M 7.55 SD 2.76	M 7.55 SD 2.78	M 7.62 SD 2.71	M 7.37 SD 2.63	M 7.52 SD 2.85	M 7.65 SD 2.69	M 7.56 SD 2.77	M 7.52 SD 2.73
READING COMPREHENSION	M 7.66 SD 2.69	M 7.71 SD 2.72	M 7.67 SD 2.59	M 7.43 SD 2.60	M 7.44 SD 2.77	M 7.86 SD 2.71	M 7.72 SD 2.72	M 7.57 SD 2.64
READING AVERAGE	M 7.62 SD 2.66	M 7.65 SD 2.69	M 7.66 SD 2.60	M 7.43 SD 2.53	M 7.51 SD 2.74	M 7.73 SD 2.70	M 7.66 SD 2.68	M 7.57 SD 2.62
ARITHMETIC REASONING	M 7.47 SD 2.23	M 7.55 SD 2.21	M 7.49 SD 2.24	M 7.22 SD 2.17	M 7.11 SD 2.29	M 7.62 SD 2.25	M 7.51 SD 2.25	M 7.42 SD 2.18
ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTALS	M 7.27 SD 1.98	M 7.33 SD 1.98	M 7.28 SD 1.95	M 7.04 SD 1.90	M 7.03 SD 2.04	M 7.38 SD 2.10	M 7.31 SD 2.01	M 7.20 SD 1.93
ARITHMETIC AVERAGE	M 7.39 SD 2.03	M 7.46 SD 2.03	M 7.40 SD 2.01	M 7.15 SD 1.97	M 7.09 SD 2.10	M 7.52 SD 2.10	M 7.43 SD 2.06	M 7.34 SD 1.99
LANGUAGE MECHANICS	M 7.22 SD 2.52	M 7.25 SD 2.55	M 7.19 SD 2.47	M 7.13 SD 2.42	M 7.07 SD 2.52	M 7.40 SD 2.59	M 7.24 SD 2.58	M 7.18 SD 2.45
LANGUAGE SPELLING	M 7.35 SD 2.60	M 7.41 SD 2.61	M 7.35 SD 2.65	M 7.11 SD 2.46	M 7.17 SD 2.61	M 7.38 SD 2.34	M 7.38 SD 2.63	M 7.31 SD 2.54
LANGUAGE AVERAGE	M 7.30 SD 2.45	M 7.35 SD 2.47	M 7.30 SD 2.45	M 7.13 SD 2.35	M 7.14 SD 2.46	M 7.39 SD 2.36	M 7.32 SD 2.49	M 7.27 SD 2.39
TOTAL GRADE PLACEMENT	M 7.42 SD 2.28	M 7.46 SD 2.30	M 7.44 SD 2.26	M 7.23 SD 2.17	M 7.23 SD 2.34	M 7.50 SD 2.30	M 7.45 SD 2.31	M 7.37 SD 2.25

21 GRADE COMPLETED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
1	N							
2	N							
3	N 3 0.11 0.01%	2 0.11 0.01%			1 0.31 0.81%			3 0.21
4	N 5 0.11 40.04%	3 0.11 66.71%	1 0.11 0.01%	1 0.31 0.01%			2 0.11	3 0.21
5	N 21 0.51 42.91%	9 0.41 44.41%	2 0.31 50.01%	3 0.81 0.01%	5 1.51 60.01%	2 1.41 50.01%	9 0.41	12 0.81
6	N 38 0.91 57.91%	18 0.71 72.21%	8 1.01 50.01%	3 0.81 33.31%	8 2.41 37.51%	1 0.71 100.01%	22 0.91	16 1.01
7	N 94 2.31 59.61%	48 2.01 68.81%	18 2.31 50.01%	11 3.01 45.51%	16 4.71 56.31%	1 0.71 0.01%	57 2.31	37 2.31
8	N 323 7.91 69.61%	194 7.91 69.61%	59 7.51 49.21%	32 8.61 50.01%	24 7.11 29.21%	14 10.01 57.11%	196 7.91	127 8.01
9	N 685 16.81 59.41%	381 15.61 54.01%	155 19.81 58.11%	77 20.81 50.61%	50 14.81 40.01%	22 15.71 63.61%	408 16.41	277 17.51
10	N 1099 27.01 60.11%	639 26.21 64.61%	214 27.31 57.91%	108 29.11 49.11%	94 27.91 50.01%	44 31.41 54.51%	664 26.71	435 27.11
11	N 1051 25.81 62.51%	657 26.91 68.21%	190 24.31 56.81%	92 24.81 45.71%	82 24.31 50.01%	30 21.41 60.01%	660 26.51	391 24.81
12	N 706 17.31 62.01%	454 18.61 68.91%	129 16.51 51.91%	42 11.31 45.21%	56 16.61 41.11%	25 17.91 64.01%	439 17.61	267 16.91
13*	N 40 1.01 75.01%	20 1.21 83.31%	6 0.81 33.31%	2 0.51 100.01%	1 0.31 0.01%	1 0.71 100.01%	30 1.21	10 0.61

22 GRADE ACHIEVED

GRADE	POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
1	N 12 0.3% 58.3% 75.0%	8 0.3% 75.0%	2 0.3% 50.0%	1 0.3% 0.0%	1 0.7% 0.0%	7 0.3%	5 0.3%	
2	N 107 2.6% 58.9% 63.5%	63 2.6% 63.5%	16 2.9% 50.0%	13 3.5% 55.8%	11 3.2% 54.5%	4 2.9% 50.0%	63 2.5%	44 2.8%
3	N 252 6.2% 63.5% 67.1%	152 6.2% 67.1%	51 6.5% 54.9%	17 4.5% 61.7%	25 7.3% 52.0%	7 5.0% 85.7%	160 6.4%	92 5.8%
4	N 266 6.5% 62.0% 68.4%	152 6.2% 68.4%	41 5.2% 56.1%	35 9.4% 45.7%	29 8.3% 48.3%	9 6.5% 45.5%	165 6.6%	101 6.4%
5	N 408 10.0% 60.3% 69.1%	236 9.7% 69.1%	88 11.3% 51.1%	35 9.4% 51.4%	36 10.6% 38.9%	13 9.4% 46.2%	247 9.9%	161 10.2%
6	N 597 14.7% 58.6% 62.8%	352 14.5% 62.8%	112 14.3% 58.0%	57 15.2% 52.6%	54 15.8% 44.4%	22 15.8% 45.5%	352 14.1%	245 15.5%
7	N 687 16.9% 61.1% 66.8%	416 16.9% 66.8%	146 18.7% 56.2%	59 15.8% 47.5%	49 14.4% 44.9%	17 12.2% 58.8%	423 17.0%	264 16.7%
8	N 671 16.5% 60.2% 65.7%	405 16.6% 65.7%	121 15.5% 53.7%	71 19.0% 47.9%	50 14.7% 54.2%	24 17.3% 54.2%	407 16.4%	264 16.7%
9	N 492 12.1% 59.1% 66.9%	293 12.0% 66.9%	85 10.9% 54.1%	49 13.1% 28.6%	42 12.3% 52.4%	23 16.5% 56.5%	292 11.7%	280 12.7%
10	N 363 8.9% 62.0% 68.2%	211 8.7% 68.2%	79 10.1% 55.7%	27 7.2% 55.6%	32 9.4% 34.4%	14 10.1% 78.6%	225 9.0%	138 8.7%
11	N 157 3.9% 67.5% 75.2%	105 4.3% 75.2%	33 4.2% 60.6%	9 2.4% 44.4%	5 1.5% 0.0%	5 6.0% 60.0%	106 4.3%	51 3.2%
12	N 54 1.3% 72.2% 75.0%	40 1.6% 75.0%	6 0.8% 83.3%	1 0.3% 100.0%	7 2.1% 42.9%		39 1.6%	15 0.9%
13+	N 1 0.0% 100.0%	1 0.0%			1 0.3% 100.0%		1 0.0%	

23 AGE LEFT SCHOOL

AGE LEFT SCHOOL

AGE	GRADE	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
12	6	N 13 0.3% 46.2%	3 0.1% 66.7%	5 0.7% 60.0%	4 1.2% 25.0%	1 0.3% 0.0%	6 0.2%	7 0.5%	
13	7	N 20 0.5% 45.0%	5 0.2% 80.0%	3 0.4% 66.7%	5 1.4% 20.0%	5 1.6% 40.0%	2 1.6% 0.0%	9 0.4%	11 0.7%
14	8	N 95 2.4% 56.8%	39 1.6% 66.7%	22 2.9% 54.5%	16 4.6% 50.0%	15 4.7% 33.3%	3 2.4% 100.0%	55 2.3%	40 2.6%
15	9	N 314 8.0% 59.5%	152 6.4% 65.8%	71 9.4% 54.9%	36 10.4% 58.3%	13 13.1% 50.0%	10 10.5% 53.8%	188 7.5%	126 8.3%
16	10	N 1126 28.6% 60.1%	644 26.9% 55.0%	240 31.8% 55.8%	115 33.3% 49.6%	91 28.3% 45.1%	36 29.0% 55.6%	679 28.1%	447 29.5%
17	11	N 1396 35.5% 60.2%	896 37.5% 65.5%	248 32.8% 55.6%	116 33.6% 46.6%	99 30.8% 42.4%	37 29.8% 51.4%	845 34.9%	551 36.4%
18	12	N 971 24.7% 65.6%	651 24.7% 70.7%	166 22.0% 52.4%	53 15.4% 45.3%	68 21.2% 57.4%	33 26.4% 81.8%	638 26.4%	333 22.0%

24 ACADEMIC DISABILITY

ACADEMIC DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
ACADEMIC DISABILITY	N 4039 M -2.74 SD 2.19	2425 -2.78 2.17	776 -2.68 2.21	367 -2.68 2.09	334 -2.76 2.34	137 -2.57 2.18	2473 -2.72 2.20	1566 -2.72 2.17
ESTIMATED ACADEMIC RETARDATION	N 4053 M -3.37 SD 1.95	2426 -3.37 1.96	775 -3.33 1.98	373 -3.46 1.89	340 -3.41 2.01	139 -3.15 1.62	2478 -3.36 1.98	1575 -3.38 1.91

25 RATING ON MOTIVATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING

RATING ON MOTIVATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL MOTIVATED	N 2539 M 66.5% SD 62.4%	1691 72.4% 68.3%	467 61.4% 55.0%	209 56.6% 42.1%	169 48.8% 47.9%	53 67.1% 64.2%	1622 68.3%	957 63.7%
NOT MOTIVATED	N 1303 M 33.5% SD 57.6%	646 27.6% 63.5%	294 38.6% 54.1%	160 43.4% 55.0%	177 51.2% 45.2%	26 32.9% 53.8%	753 31.7%	550 36.3%
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	N 424 M 10.6% SD 66.0%	311 12.8% 72.7%	59 7.7% 47.5%	26 7.7% 44.4%	18 8.0% 42.3%	10 7.9% 70.0%	280 11.4%	144 9.3%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 2886 M 72.2% SD 61.8%	1828 75.4% 67.6%	521 68.0% 53.7%	257 71.8% 50.5%	192 59.3% 50.5%	88 69.6% 63.6%	1790 72.9%	447 29.0%
NO STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 1112 M 27.8% SD 59.5%	596 24.6% 65.8%	245 32.0% 57.1%	101 28.2% 54.5%	132 40.7% 40.5%	38 30.2% 55.3%	665 27.1%	1036 71.0%

26 RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3388	FIRST ADMISSION N = 2326	FIRST RETURN N = 747	SECOND RETURN N = 350	THREE AND MORE RETURNS N = 355	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE N = 1153	PAROLE SUCCESSSES N = 2539	PAROLE FAILURES N = 1499
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	M 90.30 SD 18.24	90.69 18.21	90.73 17.99	87.39 18.91	88.91 18.45	92.21 22.01	90.63 18.52	89.78 17.78
VERBAL APTITUDE	M 86.06 SD 15.20	86.34 15.23	86.12 15.19	83.89 13.48	85.95 16.64	86.92 16.64	86.34 16.64	85.62 16.64
NUMERICAL APTITUDE	M 87.50							

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3888	FIRST ADMISSION N = 2326	FIRST RETURN N = 747	SECOND RETURN N = 350	THREE AND MORE RETURNS N = 333	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE N = 133	PAROLE SUCCESSSES N = 2389	PAROLE FAILURES N = 1499
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	M 90.30 SD 18.24	90.69 18.21	90.73 17.99	87.39 16.91	88.91 18.45	92.21 22.01	90.63 18.52	89.78 17.78
VERBAL APTITUDE	M 86.06 SD 15.20	86.34 15.23	86.12 15.19	83.89 13.48	85.95 16.04	86.92 16.52	86.34 15.48	85.62 14.74
NUMERICAL APTITUDE	M 87.50 SD 19.93	88.21 19.75	87.60 19.85	84.43 19.45	85.23 20.02	88.20 23.30	87.95 20.20	86.79 19.48
SPATIAL APTITUDE	M 102.63 SD 20.43	102.24 19.76	103.85 21.58	102.28 21.22	101.85 21.62	105.53 20.02	102.64 20.63	102.62 20.13
PERCEPTUAL APTITUDE	M 99.32 SD 19.51	99.07 19.07	100.41 20.17	98.93 19.89	99.42 20.51	98.40 19.87	99.42 19.46	99.17 19.60
CLERICAL APTITUDE	M 93.74 SD 15.06	93.68 14.88	94.78 15.65	91.83 14.82	94.20 15.07	92.98 15.13	93.84 15.23	93.59 14.80
MOTOR COORDINATION	M 96.34 SD 18.54	95.95 18.29	97.44 19.02	96.70 18.58	96.58 18.19	95.51 20.75	96.85 18.47	95.54 18.63
FINGER DEXTERITY	M 91.02 SD 19.42	89.76 18.86	92.43 19.89	94.74 19.06	92.79 20.46	90.94 22.41	91.10 19.01	90.89 20.05
MANUAL DEXTERITY	M 111.70 SD 21.61	109.74 20.81	113.68 22.17	115.54 22.12	115.84 23.17	114.26 23.10	111.64 21.32	111.79 22.07

27

RATINGS OF MOTIVATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
WOODSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 773 74.6% 60.8% E0.8% S	556 77.7% 64.4% E0.8% S	89 65.4% 52.8% E0.8% S	59 76.6% 47.5% E0.8% S	51 63.0% 47.1% E0.8% S	18 69.2% 72.2% E0.8% S	475 75.0%	298 73.9%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 263 25.4% 60.1% E0.1% S	160 22.3% 61.3% E0.1% S	47 34.6% 66.0% E0.1% S	18 23.4% 72.2% E0.1% S	30 37.0% 40.0% E0.1% S	8 30.8% 50.0% E0.1% S	158 25.0%	105 26.1%
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 396 69.5% 62.5% E0.5% S	519 74.9% 64.2% E0.5% S	86 61.0% 61.6% E0.5% S	37 56.1% 61.6% E0.5% S	40 57.1% 55.0% E0.5% S	14 53.8% 64.3% E0.5% S	438 71.5%	258 67.4%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 300 30.1% 58.3% E0.3% S	174 25.1% 59.8% E0.3% S	55 39.0% 60.0% E0.3% S	29 43.5% 62.1% E0.3% S	30 43.9% 40.0% E0.3% S	12 46.2% 66.7% E0.3% S	175 28.5%	125 32.6%
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 1779 71.4% 62.4% E0.4% S	1780 76.1% 67.9% E0.4% S	511 67.0% 56.2% E0.4% S	234 63.6% 45.7% E0.4% S	199 58.0% 49.2% E0.4% S	55 70.5% 58.2% E0.4% S	1741 73.2%	1038 68.5%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 1113 28.6% 57.1% E0.1% S	560 23.9% 63.9% E0.1% S	252 33.0% 52.8% E0.1% S	134 36.4% 50.7% E0.1% S	144 42.0% 42.4% E0.1% S	23 29.5% 65.2% E0.1% S	636 26.8%	477 31.5%
COUNSELOR'S RATING II - MOTIVATED	N 2272 71.8% 62.2% E0.2% S	1837 75.8% 67.7% E0.2% S	511 66.6% 55.0% E0.2% S	248 69.3% 45.9% E0.2% S	194 59.9% 45.9% E0.2% S	82 65.1% 61.0% E0.2% S	1792 73.0%	1080 70.0%
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 691 17.3% 57.7% E0.7% S	325 13.4% 64.6% E0.7% S	163 21.3% 46.8% E0.7% S	79 22.1% 46.8% E0.7% S	94 29.0% 50.0% E0.7% S	30 23.8% 56.7% E0.7% S	400 16.3%	291 18.9%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING - YES	N 1065 76.6% 59.7% E0.7% S	1843 76.0% 67.5% E0.7% S	549 71.7% 55.2% E0.7% S	250 69.8% 48.0% E0.7% S	205 63.3% 44.9% E0.7% S	87 69.0% 60.9% E0.7% S	1819 74.0%	1113 72.3%
- NONE	N 2934 73.4% 61.8% E0.8% S	582 24.0% 66.0% E0.8% S	217 28.3% 54.4% E0.8% S	108 30.2% 47.2% E0.8% S	119 36.7% 49.6% E0.8% S	39 31.0% 61.5% E0.8% S	638 26.0%	427 27.7%

28

WORK EXPERIENCE, UNION MEMBERSHIP, AND VOCATIONAL DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 459 11.5% 58.8% E0.8% S	243 10.0% 72.4% E0.8% S	89 17.6% 50.6% E0.8% S	59 16.5% 40.7% E0.8% S	56 17.3% 41.1% E0.8% S	12 9.5% 16.7% E0.8% S	270 11.0%	189 12.2%
0 - 6 MONTHS	N 1466 36.7% 59.3% E0.3% S	795 32.8% 65.7% E0.3% S	316 41.2% 55.7% E0.3% S	164 46.8% 45.7% E0.3% S	150 46.3% 46.7% E0.3% S	41 32.5% 65.4% E0.3% S	875 35.7%	591 38.3%
6 - 12 MONTHS	N 725 18.1% 55.2% E0.2% S	491 20.3% 67.6% E0.2% S	129 16.8% 58.9% E0.2% S	41 11.5% 63.4% E0.2% S	39 12.0% 48.2% E0.2% S	25 19.8% 80.0% E0.2% S	473 19.3%	252 16.3%
12 - 18 MONTHS	N 314 7.9% 59.9% E0.9% S	211 8.7% 64.5% E0.9% S	61 8.0% 59.0% E0.9% S	18 5.0% 27.8% E0.9% S	11 3.4% 36.4% E0.9% S	13 10.3% 53.8% E0.9% S	188 7.7%	126 8.2%
18 - 24 MONTHS	N 138 3.5% 63.8% E0.8% S	85 3.5% 74.1% E0.8% S	27 3.5% 40.7% E0.8% S	10 2.3% 50.0% E0.8% S	6 1.9% 50.0% E0.8% S	10 7.9% 60.0% E0.8% S	89 3.6%	49 3.2%
24 MONTHS AND OVER	N 433 10.8% 66.3% E0.3% S	321 13.3% 69.3% E0.3% S	54 7.0% 59.3% E0.3% S	21 5.5% 47.6% E0.3% S	20 6.2% 55.0% E0.3% S	15 11.9% 66.7% E0.3% S	288 11.7%	145 9.4%
SPORADIC	N 407 10.2% 58.7% E0.7% S	251 10.4% 63.3% E0.7% S	75 9.8% 50.7% E0.7% S	38 10.6% 45.7% E0.7% S	35 10.8% 45.7% E0.7% S	8 6.3% 50.0% E0.7% S	240 9.8%	167 10.8%
UNION MEMBER	N 309 7.7% 65.7% E0.7% S	208 8.6% 70.7% E0.7% S	50 6.5% 52.0% E0.7% S	25 7.0% 60.0% E0.7% S	13 4.0% 38.5% E0.7% S	13 10.3% 76.9% E0.7% S	204 8.3%	105 6.8%
NOT A UNION MEMBER	N 3661 91.5% 60.8% E0.8% S	2193 90.5% 66.7% E0.8% S	717 93.5% 55.1% E0.8% S	332 92.7% 47.0% E0.8% S	309 95.4% 47.2% E0.8% S	110 87.3% 60.0% E0.8% S	2234 91.0%	1427 92.5%
VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 239 6.0% 62.8% E0.8% S	158 6.5% 70.9% E0.8% S	41 5.3% 48.8% E0.8% S	13 3.6% 53.8% E0.8% S	205 63.3% 44.9% E0.8% S	9 7.1% 66.7% E0.8% S	150 6.1%	89 5.8%
NO VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 3722 93.1% 61.1% E0.1% S	2239 92.4% 66.7% E0.1% S	724 94.4% 55.2% E0.1% S	342 95.8% 48.0% E0.1% S	119 36.7% 49.6% E0.1% S	113 89.7% 61.1% E0.1% S	2282 92.9%	1440 93.4%

29

RESULTS ON THE CALIFORNIA PSYCHOLOGICAL INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	FIRST ADMISSION N = 1894	FIRST RETURN N = 580	SECOND RETURN N = 274	THREE AND MORE RETURNS N = 258	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE N = 97	PAROLE SUCCESSSES N = 1919	PAROLE FAILURE N = 1184
DOMINANCE	M 38.37 SD 12.10	38.51 12.32	37.76 11.65	38.44 11.85	38.83 11.50	38.01 12.78	38.35 12.06	38.41 12.18
CAPACITY FOR STATUS	M 39.36 SD 11.64	39.64 11.75	38.67 11.43	39.06 12.13	38.81 10.89	40.12 11.34	39.32 11.52	39.42 11.85
SOCIABILITY	M 44.10 SD 11.48	44.27 11.66	43.59 11.59	44.49 11.13	43.70 10.71	43.71 10.33	44.05 11.44	44.17 11.56
SOCIAL PRESENCE	M 47.24 SD 11.10	47.02 11.10	47.23 11.13	49.17 10.67	46.76 11.17	47.31 11.59	46.94 10.92	47.72 11.39
SELF ACCEPTANCE	M 50.89 SD 11.42	50.43 11.49	51.01 11.56	52.68 11.02	52.19 10.92	50.61 11.15	50.63 11.44	51.31 11.39
SENSE OF WELL-BEING	M 37.68 SD 13.63	38.67 13.45	36.52 13.68	36.42 13.24	34.85 14.30	36.30 14.17	37.88 13.67	37.35 13.57
RESPONSIBILITY	M 30.97 SD 12.68	32.11 11.35	29.75 11.34	28.77 11.05	28.30 10.85	29.12 11.47	31.35 11.50	30.34 11.15
SOCIALIZATION	M 32.68 SD 9.73	34.28 9.77	30.87 9.19	30.05 9.10	28.59 8.92	30.46 8.96	33.40 9.73	31.51 9.61
SELF CONTROL	M 41.77 SD 11.14	42.55 11.15	40.87 11.00	40.31 10.62	39.76 11.23	41.19 11.63	42.13 10.94	41.17 11.43
TOLERANCE	M 34.83 SD 11.77	35.25 11.65	33.87 11.55	34.76 12.05	34.16 12.70	34.35 11.75	35.16 11.95	34.30 11.45
GOOD IMPRESSION	M 43.39 SD 10.82	44.00 10.92	42.50 10.46	42.79 10.75	42.04 10.59	41.97 11.02	43.64 10.89	42.98 10.68
COMMUNALITY	M 48.64 SD 11.84	49.43 11.54	47.66 11.86	47.90 11.37	46.59 13.68	46.74 12.39	48.90 11.72	48.23 12.03
ACHIEVEMENT VIA CONFORMANCE	M 37.09 SD 12.32	38.01 12.30	36.00 12.28	35.46 12.07	35.18 12.05	35.31 12.81	37.59 12.35	36.27 12.23
ACHIEVEMENT VIA INDEPENDENCE	M 39.47 SD 10.20	39.40 10.11	40.05 10.25	38.92 10.15	39.46 10.71	39.05 10.57	39.80 10.43	38.95 9.81
INTELLECTUAL EFFICIENCY	M 34.57 SD 12.86	35.24 12.97	33.79 12.77	33.74 12.83	32.80 12.43	33.05 11.62	34.74 13.02	34.28 12.59
PSYCHOLOGICAL HINDERNESS	M 43.53 SD 12.20	43.36 11.60	44.19 13.36	43.55 12.22	43.25 12.70	43.70 13.66	43.29 11.97	43.93 12.56
FLEXIBILITY	M 49.61 SD 9.54	49.13 9.46	50.44 9.54	49.86 9.67	50.30 9.39	51.33 9.51	49.52 9.69	49.75 9.28
FEMININITY	M 49.08 SD 9.93	48.70 9.82	49.31 9.84	49.10 10.05	50.86 10.23	50.38 10.94	49.18 9.97	48.93 9.87
CPI EQUATION	M 49.82 SD 4.98	50.43 5.08	49.24 4.65	48.43 4.82	48.34 4.39	49.16 4.73	50.17 5.01	49.25 4.87

30

RESULTS ON THE MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	FIRST ADMISSION N = 1889	FIRST RETURN N = 599	SECOND RETURN N = 272	THREE AND MORE RETURNS N = 270	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE N = 98	PAROLE SUCCESSSES N = 1930	PAROLE FAILURE N = 1198
LIE	M 54.42 SD 6.68	54.51 6.62	54.31 6.79	54.38 6.91	53.90 6.24	54.83 7.64	54.47 6.76	54.33 6.55
VALIDITY	M 61.60 SD 9.70	60.30 9.27	63.06 9.87	63.19 10.13	65.71 9.98	62.06 9.93	61.34 9.63	62.02 9.82
CORRECTION FACTOR	M 51.63 SD 9.25	51.93 9.20	50.90 9.12	51.54 9.30	51.54 9.95	50.53 8.95	61.65 9.21	51.59 9.33
HYPOCHONDRIASIS	M 53.52 SD 10.97	52.91 10.62	54.57 11.07	54.03 11.35	55.52 12.03	52.04 11.72	53.39 10.89	53.74 11.09
DEPRESSION	M 60.98 SD 12.04	60.65 11.85	61.95 12.48	60.24 12.13	61.48 11.44	62.11 11.44	60.91 11.44	61.10 12.20
HYSTERIA	M 55.97 SD 9.05	55.41 8.86	56.66 8.96	56.72 9.60	57.64 9.10	56.78 10.41	55.91 8.97	56.07 9.16
PSYCHOPATHIC DEVIATE	M 74.31 SD 11.21	72.89 10.97	75.59 11.27	76.70 10.92	79.02 13.06	74.27 13.06	73.69 11.11	75.32 11.30
MASCULINITY-FEMININITY	M 54.81 SD 9.79	54.26 9.74	55.29 9.70	55.45 9.92	56.67 9.42	55.50 11.13	54.95 9.80	54.58 9.78
PARANOID	M 59.87 SD 12.35	58.64 11.91	61.41 12.78	61.15 13.44	63.82 12.32	59.71 11.32	59.60 12.17	60.31 12.61
PSYCHASTHENIA	M 61.81 SD 13.32	61.24 13.10	62.92 13.98	61.47 12.38	64.27 13.82	60.17 13.45	61.82 13.36	61.79 13.26
SCHIZOPHRENIA	M 66.71 SD 14.67	65.15 14.21	68.64 14.91	68.32 14.48	72.14 15.35	65.48 15.42	66.40 14.69	67.21 14.63
HYPMANIA	M 74.45 SD 11.91	73.52 11.58	74.97 12.25	76.18 11.54	78.22 12.78	74.12 12.04	74.16 11.59	74.92 12.40
SOCIAL INTROVERSION	M 53.39 SD 10.06	53.24 10.08	53.97 10.08	52.66 10.68	53.72 9.33	53.90 9.31	53.63 9.98	53.01 10.19
MMPI EQUATION	M 50.91 SD 3.03	51.22 2.99	50.61 3.07	50.37 2.89	49.97 3.06	50.82 2.91	50.94 2.87	50.86 3.27

31

RESULTS ON THE INTERPERSONAL PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3181	FIRST ADMISSION N = 1921	FIRST RETURN N = 599	SECOND RETURN N = 291	THREE AND MORE RETURNS N = 264	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE N = 106	PAROLE SUCCESSSES N = 1966	PAROLE FAILURES N = 1215
MPI	M 44.88 SD 8.98	45.27 8.90	44.77 8.80	43.77 9.14	43.88 9.61	43.92 8.99	44.95 9.15	44.76 8.70

32

RESULTS OF CPI AND MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
CPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1766 56.9%	1133 59.8%	316 54.5%	136 49.6%	132 51.4%	49 50.5%	1280 66.7%	486 41.0%
CPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1336 43.1%	761 40.2%	264 45.5%	138 50.4%	125 48.6%	48 49.5%	638 33.3%	698 59.0%
MMPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1797 57.5%	1150 60.9%	328 54.8%	141 51.8%	118 43.9%	60 61.2%	1465 76.0%	332 27.7%
MMPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1329 42.5%	738 39.1%	271 45.2%	131 48.2%	151 56.1%	38 38.8%	463 24.0%	866 72.3%

33

RESULTS OF THE CPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1278 41.2%	898 47.4%	205 35.3%	68 24.8%	72 28.0%	35 36.1%	1278 66.5%	
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 488 15.7%	235 12.4%	111 19.1%	68 24.8%	60 23.3%	14 14.4%	2 0.1%	486 41.0%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 704 22.7%	379 20.0%	153 26.4%	73 26.6%	72 28.0%	27 27.8%	638 33.3%	698 59.0%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 632 20.4%	382 20.2%	111 19.1%	65 23.7%	53 20.6%	21 21.6%	632 33.0%	

34

RESULTS OF THE MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1463 46.8%	1007 53.3%	236 39.4%	95 34.9%	78 29.0%	47 48.0%	1463 75.9%	
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 334 10.7%	143 7.6%	92 15.4%	46 16.9%	40 14.9%	13 13.3%	2 0.1%	332 27.7%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 872 27.9%	472 25.0%	181 30.2%	93 34.2%	98 36.4%	28 28.6%	6 0.3%	866 72.3%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 457 14.6%	266 14.1%	90 15.0%	38 14.0%	53 19.7%	10 10.2%	457 23.7%	

35

REASONS FOR REFERRAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
MENTAL ILLNESS	N 60 11.7% 56.7%	22 0.9% 77.3%	17 2.1% 64.7%	8 2.1% 25.0%	10 2.8% 30.0%	3 2.1% 33.3%	34 1.3%	26 1.6%
SEXUAL PROBLEMS	N 115 22.5% 62.6%	62 2.5% 69.4%	25 3.1% 76.0%	14 3.7% 42.9%	11 3.1% 36.4%	3 2.1%	72 2.8%	43 2.7%
VIOLENCE POTENTIAL	N 242 47.4% 62.8%	125 5.1% 73.6%	45 5.6% 55.6%	30 7.9% 56.7%	31 8.8% 41.9%	11 7.6% 45.5%	152 6.0%	96 5.6%

36

SYMPTOMS FOUND DURING PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
DEPRESSION	N 115 2.8% 60.0%	49 2.0% 67.3%	28 3.5% 57.1%	17 4.5% 64.7%	14 4.0% 57.1%	7 4.9% 14.3%	69 2.7%	46 2.9%
ANXIETY	N 117 2.8% 56.4%	53 2.1% 69.8%	22 2.8% 54.5%	19 5.0% 47.4%	20 5.7% 40.0%	3 2.1% 0.0%	66 2.6%	51 3.2%
DEPENDENCY	N 131 3.2% 54.2%	59 2.4% 64.4%	27 3.4% 48.1%	16 4.2% 50.0%	24 6.8% 41.7%	5 3.5% 40.0%	71 2.8%	60 3.7%

37

PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
BRAIN DISORDERS	N 4 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.0% 100.0%	2 0.3% 100.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%			4 0.2%	
PSYCHOTIC	N 29 0.7% 62.1%	13 0.5% 53.8%	8 1.0% 87.5%	3 0.8% 66.7%	4 1.1% 50.0%	1 0.7% 0.0%	18 0.7%	11 0.7%
NEUROTIC	N 40 1.0% 60.0%	16 0.6% 68.8%	10 1.3% 50.0%	7 1.8% 71.4%	5 1.4% 60.0%	2 1.4% 0.0%	24 0.9%	16 1.0%
PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 109 2.6% 67.0%	46 1.9% 80.4%	29 3.6% 58.6%	15 3.9% 73.3%	15 4.6% 46.7%	4 2.8% 25.0%	73 2.9%	36 2.2%
PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 205 4.9% 56.6%	92 3.7% 67.4%	46 5.8% 56.5%	31 8.1% 32.3%	28 8.0% 53.8%	8 5.6% 37.5%	116 4.6%	89 5.5%
SOCLOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	28 1.1% 75.0%	3 0.4% 33.3%	4 1.0% 50.0%	3 0.9% 37.5%	1 0.7% 100.0%	28 1.1%	16 1.0%
TRANSITIONAL SITUATIONAL PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 49 1.2% 57.1%	26 1.1% 73.1%	12 1.5% 50.0%	7 1.8% 14.3%	3 0.9% 33.3%	1 0.7% 100.0%	28 1.1%	21 1.3%

38

ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURE
HOMICIDE	N 19 0.5% 52.6%	8 0.3% 75.0%	5 0.6% 40.0%	2 0.5% 50.0%	1 0.3% 0.0%	3 2.1% 33.3%	10 0.4%	9 0.6%
NEGLIGENT MAN-SLAUGHTER	N 13 0.3% 100.0%	11 0.4% 100.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%			13 0.5%	
ROBBERY	N 438 10.6% 70.3%	315 12.8% 75.2%	61 7.6% 59.0%	31 8.1% 51.6%	21 6.0% 61.9%	10 7.0% 60.0%	310 12.2%	128 7.9%
ASSAULT	N 233 5.6% 71.7%	143 5.8% 74.8%	48 6.0% 66.7%	18 4.7% 77.8%	17 4.8% 52.9%	7 4.9% 71.4%	167 6.6%	66 4.1%
BURGLARY	N 1080 26.1% 60.0%	659 26.1% 65.3%	189 23.7% 56.1%	106 27.8% 40.6%	90 25.6% 50.0%	36 25.4% 66.7%	651 25.7%	429 26.6%
THEFT	N 421 10.2% 61.0%	271 11.0% 67.2%	55 6.9% 56.4%	42 11.0% 45.2%	34 9.7% 44.1%	19 13.4% 52.6%	258 10.2%	163 10.1%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 719 17.4% 53.4%	401 16.2% 62.3%	158 19.8% 40.5%	52 13.6% 44.2%	77 21.9% 42.9%	31 21.8% 45.2%	386 15.3%	333 20.7%
FORGERY	N 207 5.0% 52.7%	145 5.9% 57.9%	36 4.5% 47.2%	10 2.6% 50.0%	10 2.8% 20.0%	6 4.2% 16.7%	110 4.3%	97 6.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 28 0.7% 71.4%	21 0.9% 76.2%	5 0.6% 60.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%	1 0.3% 0.0%		20 0.8%	8 0.5%
STATUTORY RAPE	N 32 0.8% 56.1%	51 2.1% 49.0%	14 1.8% 92.9%	7 1.8% 28.6%	6 1.7% 50.0%	4 2.8% 75.0%	46 1.8%	36 2.2%
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	26 1.1% 69.2%	7 0.9% 42.9%	8 2.1% 62.5%	2 0.6% 50.0%	1 0.7% 100.0%	28 1.1%	16 1.0%
NARCOTICS OFFENSES	N 370 8.9% 65.9%	248 10.0% 67.3%	72 9.0% 61.1%	23 6.0% 65.2%	15 4.3% 66.7%	12 8.5% 66.7%	245 9.7%	125 7.8%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 37 0.9% 67.6%	21 0.9% 71.4%	5 0.6% 60.0%	6 1.6% 66.7%	4 1.1% 75.0%	1 0.7% 0.0%	25 1.0%	12 0.7%
OTHER	N 304 7.3% 60.2%	189 8.6% 71.8%	69 8.6% 53.6%	38 10.0% 50.0%	37 10.5% 27.0%	11 7.7% 90.9%	183 7.2%	121 7.5%
PAROLE VIOLATION	N 148 3.6% 53.4%	1 0.0% 100.0%	74 9.3% 62.2%	36 9.4% 38.9%	36 10.3% 50.0%	1 0.7% 0.0%	79 3.1%	69 4.3%

39

VIOLATIO

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURE
HOMICIDE	N 17 0.4%	13 0.5%						

39

VIOLATION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
HOMICIDE	N 17 1.2%	13 1.9%	2 0.6%		2 1.2%			17 1.2%
NEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER	N 1 0.1%			1 0.6%				1 0.1%
ROBBERY	N 168 12.0%	78 11.3%	31 9.7%	25 14.4%	28 16.9%	6 11.3%		168 12.0%
ASSAULT	N 89 6.3%	43 6.2%	23 7.2%	6 3.4%	15 9.0%	2 3.8%		89 6.3%
BURGLARY	N 265 18.9%	125 18.0%	61 19.1%	35 20.1%	35 21.1%	9 17.0%		265 18.9%
THEFT	N 123 8.8%	68 9.8%	26 8.2%	15 8.6%	9 5.4%	5 9.4%		123 8.8%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 168 12.0%	79 11.4%	39 12.2%	19 10.9%	26 15.7%	5 9.4%		168 12.0%
FORGERY	N 58 4.1%	23 3.3%	18 5.6%	6 3.4%	6 3.6%	5 9.4%		58 4.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 10 0.7%	3 0.4%	3 0.9%	2 1.1%	1 0.6%	1 1.9%		10 0.7%
STATUTORY RAPE	N 9 0.6%	9 1.3%						9 0.6%
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 17 1.2%	7 1.0%	6 1.9%	3 1.7%	1 0.6%			17 1.2%
NARCOTIC OFFENSES	N 222 15.8%	116 16.7%	57 17.9%	24 13.8%	17 10.2%	8 15.1%		222 15.8%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 11 0.8%	6 0.9%	3 0.9%	1 0.6%	1 0.6%			11 0.8%
OTHER	N 247 17.6%	123 17.7%	50 15.7%	37 21.3%	25 15.1%	12 22.6%		247 17.6%

40

ADMISSION OFFENSE

SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
PERSON OFFENSES	N 857 20.7% 69.1%	575 23.3% 73.0%	191 17.6% 63.8%	69 17.8% 58.8%	48 13.7% 54.2%	25 17.6% 64.0%	534 23.5%	263 16.3%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 2427 58.6% 57.6%	1476 59.8% 64.1%	438 54.8% 49.8%	210 55.1% 42.9%	211 60.1% 45.0%	82 64.8% 53.3%	1405 59.5%	1022 63.4%
OTHERS	N 859 20.7% 61.8%	419 17.0% 69.2%	220 27.5% 59.1%	103 27.0% 50.5%	92 26.2% 44.6%	25 17.6% 72.0%	532 21.0%	327 20.3%

41

VIOLATION OFFENSE

SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
PERSON OFFENSES	N 311 22.1%	153 22.1%	65 20.4%	37 21.3%	47 28.3%	9 17.0%		311 22.1%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 614 43.7%	295 42.6%	144 43.1%	75 43.1%	76 45.8%	24 45.3%		614 43.7%
OTHERS	N 480 34.2%	245 35.4%	110 34.5%	62 35.6%	43 25.9%	20 37.7%		480 34.2%

42

CYA HISTORY

OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 2457 61.4% 59.6%	1601 66.0% 64.6%	457 59.6% 51.6%	177 45.4% 45.2%	147 45.4% 44.2%	75 59.5% 64.0%	1470 59.8%	987 64.0%
MODERATE	N 1000 25.0% 62.7%	571 23.5% 70.8%	108 24.5% 58.0%	96 26.8% 47.9%	111 34.3% 44.1%	34 27.0% 55.9%	628 25.6%	372 24.1%
SERIOUS	N 593 13.6% 65.7%	253 10.4% 74.7%	122 15.9% 62.3%	85 23.7% 52.9%	66 20.4% 56.1%	17 13.5% 58.8%	359 14.6%	184 11.9%

43

CASEWORKER ESTIMATION OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
LEAST	N 662 21.4% 63.92%	473 25.3% 69.64%	103 17.9% 49.34%	43 14.9% 46.54%	21 8.2% 42.94%	22 8.2% 65.62%	424 22.2%	238 20.2%
MILD	N 820 26.5% 61.82%	558 29.8% 65.22%	127 21.2% 59.01%	58 20.1% 56.91%	51 19.8% 43.11%	31 31.3% 48.42%	509 26.6%	311 26.4%
MODERATE	N 1273 41.2% 60.65%	720 38.5% 68.62%	268 45.9% 53.02%	129 44.8% 42.62%	123 47.9% 48.82%	37 37.4% 62.22%	773 40.4%	500 42.5%
SERIOUS	N 311 10.1% 61.12%	115 6.1% 70.44%	80 13.9% 62.52%	51 17.7% 54.92%	57 22.2% 47.42%	8 8.1% 50.02%	190 9.9%	121 10.3%
GREATEST	N 24 0.8% 70.82%	5 0.3% 100.02%	6 1.0% 66.72%	7 2.4% 85.72%	5 1.9% 40.02%	1 1.0% 0.02%	18 0.9%	6 0.5%

44

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 2386 57.5% 59.62%	1515 61.3% 64.62%	430 53.8% 52.82%	182 47.8% 48.42%	155 44.2% 45.92%	104 72.2% 58.72%	1426 56.3%	960 59.5%
AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO VIOLENCE	N 754 18.2% 65.12%	470 19.0% 72.82%	138 17.3% 58.72%	59 15.5% 37.32%	68 19.4% 51.52%	19 15.2% 57.92%	492 19.4%	262 16.2%
VIOLENCE	N 1006 24.3% 70.62%	485 19.6% 69.32%	232 29.0% 56.52%	140 36.7% 51.42%	128 36.5% 46.12%	21 14.6% 57.12%	615 24.3%	391 24.2%

45

HISTORY OF CARRYING WEAPONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 2944 71.0% 61.02%	1835 74.3% 66.52%	562 70.3% 55.02%	236 61.9% 48.32%	194 55.3% 46.42%	117 81.3% 56.42%	1802 71.1%	1142 70.8%
YES	N 1202 29.0% 60.52%	635 25.7% 69.12%	238 29.8% 54.62%	145 38.1% 46.92%	157 44.7% 45.92%	27 18.8% 66.72%	731 28.9%	471 29.2%

46

PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 1794 44.9% 56.02%	994 38.9% 61.22%	408 53.7% 51.72%	199 55.9% 49.22%	184 56.8% 45.72%	59 46.8% 61.02%	1009 41.1%	785 50.9%
ONE	N 1090 27.3% 64.12%	712 29.4% 70.92%	190 24.8% 55.82%	85 23.9% 49.42%	68 21.0% 41.22%	35 27.8% 51.42%	701 28.6%	389 25.2%
TWO	N 599 15.0% 67.92%	423 17.7% 70.92%	85 10.8% 67.52%	37 10.4% 43.22%	41 12.7% 58.52%	9 7.1% 77.82%	408 16.6%	191 12.4%
THREE OR MORE	N 513 12.8% 65.12%	339 14.0% 70.82%	85 11.1% 57.62%	35 9.8% 40.02%	31 9.6% 48.42%	23 18.3% 69.62%	336 13.7%	177 11.5%

47

CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 3350 85.9% 60.32%	2077 85.8% 66.22%	622 81.2% 53.22%	287 80.6% 46.32%	256 79.0% 44.52%	108 85.7% 62.02%	2026 82.6%	1324 85.9%
ONE	N 375 9.4% 65.62%	207 8.5% 70.52%	84 11.0% 61.92%	41 11.5% 61.02%	32 9.9% 56.32%	11 8.7% 45.52%	247 10.1%	123 8.3%
TWO	N 72 1.8% 63.92%	29 1.2% 75.92%	24 3.1% 62.52%	8 2.2% 37.52%	8 2.4% 50.02%	3 2.4% 66.72%	46 1.9%	26 1.7%
THREE OR MORE	N 197 4.9% 66.52%	109 4.5% 75.22%	36 4.7% 61.12%	20 5.6% 45.02%	28 8.6% 53.62%	4 3.2% 75.02%	133 5.4%	64 4.2%

48

TOTAL STUDY POPULATION FIRST ADMISSION FIRST RETURN SECOND RETURN THREE AND MORE RETURNS

48

INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 2900 72.5% 58.5%	1737 71.7% 64.4%	580 75.6% 54.1%	260 72.6% 42.7%	229 70.7% 43.7%	94 74.6% 56.4%	1704 69.4%	1196 77.6%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 122 3.1% 63.9%	80 2.6% 70.0%	20 2.6% 45.0%	8 2.2% 62.5%	12 3.7% 50.0%	2 1.6% 100.0%	78 3.2%	44 2.9%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 304 7.6% 71.1%	230 9.5% 74.3%	32 4.2% 62.5%	20 5.6% 55.0%	14 4.3% 42.9%	8 6.3% 100.0%	217 8.8%	87 5.0%
MINOR INJURIES	N 393 9.8% 68.2%	241 9.9% 73.0%	69 9.0% 58.0%	36 10.1% 58.9%	35 10.8% 62.9%	12 9.5% 50.3%	268 10.9%	125 8.1%
MAJOR INJURIES	N 107 2.7% 60.2%	60 2.5% 80.0%	15 2.0% 53.3%	17 4.7% 70.6%	12 3.7% 25.0%	3 2.4% 66.7%	74 3.0%	33 2.1%
DEATH	N 36 0.9% 72.2%	24 1.0% 79.2%	5 0.7% 60.0%	2 0.6% 100.0%	2 0.6% 50.0%	3 2.4% 33.3%	26 1.1%	10 0.6%

49

GROUP VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 3289 82.3% 59.1%	1950 80.5% 64.9%	644 84.0% 53.7%	307 85.8% 45.0%	281 86.7% 46.3%	107 84.9% 58.9%	1951 79.4%	1338 86.6%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 70 1.8% 65.7%	49 2.0% 75.5%	12 1.6% 50.0%	6 1.7% 33.3%	2 0.6% 0.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	46 1.9%	24 1.6%
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 240 6.0% 73.3%	186 7.7% 76.3%	29 3.8% 65.5%	11 3.1% 36.4%	9 2.8% 66.7%	5 4.0% 100.0%	177 7.2%	63 4.1%
MINOR INJURIES	N 240 6.0% 71.3%	163 6.7% 76.1%	45 5.9% 62.2%	14 3.9% 71.4%	10 3.1% 43.0%	8 6.3% 62.5%	171 7.0%	69 4.5%
MAJOR INJURIES	N 71 1.8% 69.0%	40 1.7% 80.0%	9 1.2% 55.6%	12 3.4% 75.0%	8 2.5% 25.0%	2 1.6% 50.0%	50 2.0%	21 1.4%
DEATH	N 14 0.4% 71.4%	6 0.2% 83.3%	3 0.4% 66.7%	1 0.3% 100.0%	3 0.9% 65.7%	1 0.8% 0.0%	10 0.4%	4 0.3%

50

WEAPON USED BY INDIVIDUAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 3285 82.2% 59.6%	1979 81.7% 65.3%	649 84.6% 55.3%	291 81.5% 45.4%	262 80.9% 43.9%	104 82.5% 56.7%	1965 80.0%	1320 85.6%
TOY GUN	N 40 1.0% 65.0%	31 1.3% 71.0%	5 0.7% 20.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%	1 0.6% 50.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	26 1.1%	14 0.9%
UNLOADED GUN	N 13 0.3% 76.9%	7 0.3% 100.0%	2 0.3% 0.0%	2 0.6% 50.0%	2 0.6% 100.0%		10 0.4%	3 0.2%
LOADED GUN	N 125 3.1% 69.6%	77 3.2% 74.0%	21 2.7% 52.4%	14 3.9% 71.4%	9 2.8% 66.7%	4 3.2% 75.0%	67 3.5%	38 2.5%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 140 3.7% 67.1%	109 4.5% 73.4%	15 2.0% 53.3%	14 3.9% 35.7%	9 7.8% 55.6%	2 1.6% 100.0%	100 4.1%	49 3.2%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 135 3.4% 71.9%	86 3.5% 81.4%	17 2.2% 64.7%	14 3.9% 57.1%	13 4.0% 30.5%	5 4.0% 60.0%	97 4.0%	38 2.5%
OTHER	N 116 2.9% 69.8%	72 3.0% 73.6%	21 2.7% 52.4%	8 2.2% 87.5%	9 2.8% 55.6%	6 4.8% 83.3%	81 3.3%	35 2.3%

51

WEAPONS USED BY GROUP

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 3556 89.0% 59.6%	2132 88.1% 65.5%	690 90.1% 54.9%	324 90.8% 46.9%	294 90.7% 44.2%	116 92.1% 58.6%	2132 86.9%	1424 92.4%
TOY GUN	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	20 0.8% 85.0%	3 0.4% 66.7%		2 0.6% 0.0%		19 0.8%	6 0.4%
UNLOADED GUN	N 3 0.1% 66.7%	1 0.0% 0.0%		1 0.3% 100.0%		1 0.8% 100.0%	2 0.1%	1 0.1%
LOADED GUN	N 74 1.9% 67.6%	49 2.0% 71.4%	11 1.4% 54.5%	5 1.4% 20.0%	5 1.5% 60.0%	6 3.2% 100.0%	51 2.1%	23 1.5%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 143 3.6% 73.4%	102 4.2% 78.4%	24 3.1% 62.5%	7 2.0% 78.6%	9 2.8% 77.8%	1 0.8% 100.0%	105 4.3%	38 2.5%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 68 1.7% 66.2%	46 1.9% 73.9%	12 1.6% 41.7%	5 1.4% 60.0%	5 1.5% 60.0%		46 1.9%	22 1.4%
OTHER	N 65 1.6% 75.4%	40 1.7% 87.5%	11 1.4% 45.5%	8 2.2% 75.0%	3 0.9% 33.3%	3 2.4% 66.7%	49 2.0%	16 1.0%

52

ECONOMIC LOSS BY VICTIM

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
NONE	N 1110 27.8% 62.3%	561 23.2% 66.9%	259 33.8% 59.8%	129 16.1% 57.4%	128 39.5% 44.5%	33 26.2% 69.7%	693 28.3%	417 27.0%
LESS THAN \$1	N 13 0.3% 69.2%	8 0.3% 62.5%	3 0.4% 100.0%	1 0.3% 100.0%	1 0.3% 0.0%		9 0.4%	4 0.3%
\$1 - \$5	N 41 1.0% 68.3%	28 1.2% 67.9%	8 1.0% 75.0%	5 0.8% 66.7%	1 0.3% 0.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	28 1.1%	13 0.8%
\$5 - \$20	N 120 3.0% 63.3%	78 3.2% 70.5%	16 2.1% 62.5%	14 3.9% 42.9%	9 2.8% 44.4%	3 2.4% 33.3%	77 3.1%	43 2.8%
\$20 - \$100	N 399 10.0% 65.4%	264 10.9% 68.9%	69 9.0% 55.1%	32 9.0% 53.1%	24 7.4% 62.5%	10 7.9% 90.0%	263 10.7%	136 8.8%
\$100 - \$500	N 503 12.6% 63.2%	335 13.8% 70.4%	81 10.6% 50.6%	35 9.8% 45.7%	32 9.9% 46.9%	20 15.9% 50.0%	319 13.0%	184 11.9%
\$500 - \$1000	N 143 3.6% 57.3%	107 4.4% 55.1%	15 2.0% 60.0%	10 2.8% 60.0%	6 1.9% 66.7%	5 4.0% 40.0%	83 3.4%	60 3.9%
\$1000 - \$5000	N 821 20.6% 55.8%	498 20.6% 64.1%	163 21.3% 44.8%	61 17.1% 37.7%	75 23.1% 46.7%	24 19.0% 33.3%	466 18.8%	361 23.4%
MORE THAN \$5000	N 207 5.2% 58.0%	159 6.6% 61.0%	23 3.0% 43.5%	8 2.2% 50.0%	7 2.2% 28.6%	10 7.9% 70.0%	121 4.9%	86 5.6%

53

CUSTODIAL EVALUATION FOR INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
GOOD PROGNOSIS	N 3295 82.5% 62.0%	1931 82.3% 68.6%	642 83.6% 94.2%	301 84.1% 47.8%	256 79.0% 48.4%	205 85.3% 59.0%	2052 83.7%	1243 80.7%
POOR PROGNOSIS	N 681 17.1% 57.9%	417 17.2% 61.4%	123 16.1% 58.5%	54 15.1% 46.3%	67 20.7% 40.2%	20 15.9% 70.0%	345 16.1%	286 16.6%

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0%	107 4.5% 60.7%	46 5.9% 43.5%	23 6.1% 26.1%	22 6.4% 46.5%	4 4.5% 100.0%	105 4.5%	97 6.3%

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER RECOMMENDATION


	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0%	107 4.5% 60.7%	46 5.9% 43.5%	23 6.1% 26.1%	22 6.4% 45.5%	4 4.5% 100.0%	105 4.3%	97 6.3%
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1399 33.9% 62.0%	994 39.5% 67.8%	230 29.7% 53.9%	91 24.3% 39.6%	55 15.9% 40.9%	29 52.6% 51.7%	838 34.5%	511 33.1%
CAMPS	N 838 21.2% 64.2%	554 23.2% 67.9%	149 18.1% 58.6%	59 15.8% 55.9%	58 16.8% 48.3%	27 30.3% 70.4%	541 22.3%	297 19.2%
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 651 16.4% 59.8%	300 12.5% 68.3%	139 18.0% 56.1%	91 24.3% 54.9%	106 30.6% 42.5%	15 16.3% 73.3%	332 16.1%	250 16.6%
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 760 19.1% 58.3%	383 16.0% 64.5%	183 23.6% 54.1%	95 25.4% 49.5%	89 25.7% 53.9%	10 11.2% 20.0%	445 18.3%	315 20.4%

55

CYA BOARD ORDER FOR TRANSFER

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	FIRST ADMISSION	FIRST RETURN	SECOND RETURN	THREE AND MORE RETURNS	FIRST ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE	PAROLE SUCCESSSES	PAROLE FAILURES
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 220 5.3% 54.1%	129 5.2% 66.4%	42 5.3% 35.7%	20 5.2% 30.0%	20 7.7% 40.0%	10 7.1% 50.0%	120 4.8%	100 6.2%
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1113 26.9% 65.0%	786 31.9% 70.2%	166 20.8% 56.6%	74 19.4% 50.0%	56 16.0% 41.1%	31 22.0% 58.1%	726 28.8%	387 24.1%
BEN LOMOND	N 235 5.7% 65.5%	165 6.7% 69.1%	32 4.0% 59.4%	21 5.5% 47.6%	11 3.2% 63.6%	6 4.3% 66.7%	154 6.1%	81 5.0%
MT. BULLION	N 240 5.8% 67.1%	169 6.9% 71.6%	41 5.1% 53.7%	16 4.2% 62.5%	9 2.6% 44.4%	5 3.5% 80.0%	161 6.4%	79 4.9%
PINE GROVE	N 255 6.2% 64.3%	186 7.6% 65.6%	33 4.1% 51.5%	12 3.1% 66.7%	12 8.5% 83.3%	16 8.5% 83.3%	166 6.6%	89 5.5%
WASHINGTON RIDGE	N 329 8.0% 58.1%	210 8.5% 59.0%	59 7.4% 55.9%	24 6.3% 50.0%	25 7.2% 60.0%	11 7.8% 63.6%	193 7.6%	136 8.5%
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 754 18.3% 57.7%	353 14.3% 64.9%	177 22.2% 57.1%	92 24.1% 44.6%	101 28.9% 44.6%	31 22.0% 61.3%	437 17.3%	317 19.7%
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 877 21.2% 57.9%	421 17.1% 66.0%	216 27.0% 54.2%	109 28.6% 49.5%	103 29.5% 43.7%	28 19.9% 50.0%	509 20.2%	368 22.9%

To relate parole success to the different variables studied, a method of graphic display was designed to guide the reader. Included with most parole success percentages (%S) in a cell are circular symbols that express both the magnitude and the direction of deviations from the overall success percentage (60.9%S). The following symbols are used in all DATA MAPS to guide the reader quickly to either "good" parole risks, "poor" parole risks, or anything between these two extremes.



Solid circles symbolize parole success deviations below the overall success percentage, while empty circles denote success deviations above the overall success percentage. Where no symbol is displayed, it is because of one of the following reasons: (1) The deviation symbol has been provided elsewhere (as with the total study population columns); (2) there were fewer than ten cases in the cell; (3) there is less than one percent deviation from the overall parole success rate.

The visual scanning of these tables can result in the notation of possible relationships between parole success and the various variable categories. If there are parole deviation figures of decreasing or increasing size along a column or row, this may be indicative of a linear relationship. Similar logic can be applied when parole deviation figures appear similar in size and direction at the ends of a row or column. This may indicate the presence of a curvilinear relationship. Also, possible relationships may be noted between the vertical and horizontal variables by noting the proportions of cases falling in different cells. The reader is referred to the text in the user's manual and the companion book THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER for more complete description of the methods of analyzing the tables in the DATA MAPS. It should also be noted that the symbols do not establish relationships, but are meant to guide and provide background information for discussions and hypothesis formulation. The testing of hypotheses remains for further and more rigorous studies.

THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER

This DATA MAP presents comparative data on intelligence classification subgroups. STUDY POPULATION: 4,146 California Youth Authority male wards, consecutive intakes in 1964-65 at the Reception Guidance Center, Deuel Vocational Institution, Tracy. PAROLE FOLLOW-UP: 15 months. AVERAGE PAROLE SUCCESS RATE: 60.9% (60.9%S).

Standard data presented in 55 tables Frequencies, percentages, and percent success on parole. Means (M), and standard deviations (SD) for test results and other continuous data.

Information in first column: Data on combined total study population.

Information in columns 2-8: Data on intelligence classification subgroups.

The first number in each cell: Number of cases sharing both the column and the row labels.

The second number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell in relation to the other cells in column.

The third number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell which successfully completed parole.

Symbols: Explanation of symbols follows table 55 at the end of the DATA MAP.

Other DATA MAPS available in this series: Race, Alcohol and Drugs, Violence, Burglary, Robbery, Assault and Parole.

For legend of symbols see below table 55

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
1 COMMITMENT COURT								
JUVENILE COURT	N 345 8.3% 51.6%S	4 17.4% 75.0%S	14 11.0% 57.1%S	76 7.6% 60.5%S	205 8.4% 48.8%S	35 8.4% 42.9%S	8 10.0% 75.0%S	
SUPERIOR COURT	N 3379 80.7% 62.2%S	19 82.6% 68.4%S	104 81.9% 62.5%S	802 80.3% 62.5%S	1963 80.6% 62.4%S	361 81.3% 65.7%S	63 78.8% 71.4%S	9 100.0% 77.8%S
MUNICIPAL COURT	N 438 10.6% 58.0%S		9 7.1% 77.8%S	120 12.0% 55.8%S	255 10.4% 58.1%S	47 10.6% 61.7%S	9 11.3% 44.4%S	
JUSTICE COURT	N* 18 0.4% 55.6%S			1 0.1% 0.0%S	15 0.6% 53.3%S	1 0.2% 100.0%S		
2 ADMISSION STATUS								
FIRST ADMISSION	N 2470 60.5% 67.0%S	11 47.5% 81.8%S	77 62.1% 71.4%S	569 58.2% 62.7%S	1460 60.6% 67.9%S	257 10.4% 78.0%S	27 20.4% 79.2%S	7 77.8% 65.7%S
FIRST RETURN	N 800 19.6% 54.9%S	5 21.7% 60.0%S	26 21.0% 50.0%S	164 19.3% 57.1%S	468 19.4% 53.8%S	22 14.8% 48.3%S	12 14.8% 48.3%S	
2ND AND MORE RETURNS	N 732 17.9% 47.0%S	6 26.1% 50.0%S	21 16.9% 47.6%S	200 20.4% 51.0%S	424 17.4% 46.2%S	11 15.9% 42.9%S	1 11.1% 44.4%S	2 22.2% 55.6%S
RE-ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE FROM CYA	N 83 2.0% 57.8%S	1 4.3% 100.0%S		20 2.0% 55.0%S	54 2.2% 56.6%S	5 1.1% 40.0%S	1 1.2% 66.7%S	
3 RACE								
WHITE	N 2212 53.4% 60.9%S	4 17.4% 75.0%S	29 22.8% 51.7%S	334 33.4% 56.0%S	1354 55.6% 60.6%S	394 88.5% 65.0%S	74 92.5% 68.9%S	9 100.0% 77.8%S
MEXICAN-AMERICAN	N 772 18.6% 61.1%S	6 26.1% 50.0%S	22 17.3% 68.2%S	258 25.4% 60.5%S	458 18.8% 61.6%S	21 4.7% 66.7%S	2 2.5% 100.0%S	
BLACK	N 1076 26.0% 60.3%S	12 52.2% 75.0%S	75 59.1% 65.3%S	389 38.9% 60.4%S	576 23.7% 60.2%S	21 4.7% 38.1%S	1 1.3% 0.0%S	
OTHER	N 80 1.9% 63.8%S	1 4.3% 100.0%S	1 0.8% 100.0%S	19 1.9% 73.7%S	46 1.9% 58.7%S	9 2.0% 55.6%S	3 3.8% 66.7%S	
4 AGE, TIME IN INSTITUTION, WEIGHT, AND HEIGHT								
AGE AT ADMISSION	N 4134 M 19.44 SD 0.94	23 19.52 0.80	127 19.41 0.94	938 19.45 0.97	2429 19.41 0.91	446 19.54 1.03	80 19.67 0.92	9 19.57 0.87
AGE AT RELEASE	N 4057 M 20.24 SD 0.99	22 20.27 1.07	125 20.17 1.01	981 20.25 1.01	2369 20.22 0.97	450 20.32 1.07	80 20.40 0.97	9 20.34 0.86
TIME IN INSTITUTION	N 4138 M 9.23 SD 4.77	23 8.39 6.13	127 8.47 4.88	993 9.28 4.80	2433 9.34 4.71	444 8.91 4.91	81 8.23 4.48	3 8.67 3.84
WEIGHT	N 4131 M 149.67 SD 20.64	23 144.26 17.65	127 147.91 19.92	998 148.16 20.78	2423 149.59 20.57	442 155.40 23.01	81 156.60 22.79	9 159.33 19.55
HEIGHT	N 4135 M 68.33 SD 2.85	23 68.26 2.75	127 68.19 3.03	998 67.50 2.89	2430 68.33 2.77	443 69.16 2.86	81 69.57 3.15	9 68.11 2.03
5 MARITAL STATUS								
SINGLE	N 3277 79.0% 61.0%S	15 75.0% 61.7%S	107 84.3% 63.6%S	802 84.4% 63.6%S	1919 81.9% 61.7%S	353 81.9% 64.3%S	58 77.3% 72.4%S	9 100.0% 77.8%S

DEATH OF PARENTS

	N	MEAN	SD	100%	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	20%	10%	0%
FATHER DEAD	498	22.0%	8.7%	100.0%	7.1%	10.6%	14.1%	17.6%	21.1%	24.6%	28.1%	31.6%	35.1%	38.6%
MOTHER DEAD	193	4.7%	5.5%	71.4%	5.9%	11.8%	17.7%	23.6%	29.5%	35.4%	41.3%	47.2%	53.1%	59.0%
BOTH DEAD	64	1.5%	3.9%	80.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.2%	9.6%	12.0%	14.4%	16.8%	19.2%	21.6%	24.0%
BOTH LIVING	3391	81.8%	91.3%	66.7%	106	83.5%	80.9%	78.3%	75.7%	73.1%	70.5%	67.9%	65.3%	62.7%

10

MILITARY DISCIPLINARY ACTION

	N	MEAN	SD	100%	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	20%	10%	0%		
YES	301	7.5%	65.1%	11	1.1%	63.6%	11	205	6.7%	62.9%	72	15.7%	70.8%	12	16.0%	68.7%
NO	167	4.2%	68.5%	1	5.0%	0.0%	1	111	4.7%	66.5%	29	6.7%	86.2%	7	9.3%	85.7%
NO SERVICE	3506	87.7%	95.0%	73.7%	19	99.2%	123	926	85.1%	89.2%	2351	75.2%	81.1%	324	75.2%	81.1%

11

MILITARY DISCHARGE

	N	MEAN	SD	100%	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	20%	10%	0%		
HONORABLE	104	2.6%	57.3%	1	0.8%	0.0%	13	1.4%	46.2%	72	3.1%	57.7%	16	3.7%	2.7%	100.0%
GENERAL, HONORABLE CONDITIONS	134	2.0%	54.8%	7	0.7%	28.6%	74	3.1%	54.1%	21	4.9%	64.7%	2	2.7%	43.0%	
DICHONORABLE, ETC.	117	2.9%	70.1%	1	0.4%	0.0%	4	0.4%	75.0%	77	3.3%	70.4%	27	6.7%	22.2%	
MEDICAL	25	0.6%	75.0%	1	0.1%	0.0%	1	0.1%	80.0%	23	0.8%	75.0%	4	1.6%	75.0%	
OTHER	54	2.7%	72.4%	4	0.4%	0.0%	4	0.4%	77.0%	47	3.4%	70.4%	4	3.7%	75.0%	

12

HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE

	N	MEAN	SD	100%	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	20%	10%	0%	
NO HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE	2278	54.5%	60.8%	11	47.6%	63.4%	70	55.1%	59.5%	533	54.5%	61.0%	270	60.5%	69.6%
MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	1244	30.0%	62.1%	1	26.1%	83.3%	26	28.3%	60.3%	305	30.7%	60.3%	116	26.0%	53.3%
SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	624	15.1%	58.5%	5	26.1%	66.7%	21	16.5%	54.3%	162	14.3%	60.5%	60	13.5%	50.0%
ALCOHOL NO FACTOR IN CRIME	2555	61.6%	69.9%	14	60.9%	71.4%	83	65.4%	69.7%	604	61.0%	69.7%	285	65.5%	70.4%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	1024	24.7%	62.1%	6	26.1%	80.0%	24	22.8%	59.0%	250	25.2%	63.7%	95	20.5%	57.1%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	517	13.7%	58.4%	3	13.0%	100.0%	15	11.8%	46.7%	140	15.3%	57.2%	70	15.7%	49.1%

13

HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE

	N	MEAN	SD	100%	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	20%	10%	0%	
NO HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE	3488	84.1%	61.9%	19	82.6%	73.7%	111	87.4%	64.0%	857	85.7%	60.7%	2042	83.2%	63.1%
ISOLATED DRUG MISUSE	263	6.3%	59.3%	2	6.7%	50.0%	4	6.7%	75.0%	58	6.8%	56.9%	162	6.6%	57.4%
MODERATE DRUG MISUSE	337	8.1%	53.4%	2	8.7%	50.0%	11	8.7%	45.5%	78	7.4%	44.6%	197	8.1%	53.3%
SEVERE DRUG MISUSE	58	1.4%	50.0%	0	0.8%	100.0%	1	0.8%	100.0%	35	1.5%	47.2%	11	1.8%	50.0%
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	3788	91.4%	61.2%	20	87.0%	75.0%	116	91.3%	61.1%	925	92.5%	59.9%	2227	91.4%	61.1%
DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	209	5.0%	57.4%	3	13.0%	33.3%	6	4.7%	83.3%	41	4.1%	55.1%	123	6.3%	57.1%
DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	149	3.6%	57.0%	5	3.9%	40.0%	5	3.9%	40.0%	34	3.6%	44.1%	87	3.6%	44.1%

14

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE

	N	MEAN	SD	100%	90%	80%	70%	60%	50%	40%	30%	20%	10%	0%	
NO HISTORY OF OPIATE USE	3971	95.8%	61.4%	22	95.7%	72.7%	124	97.6%	63.7%	44	96.4%	63.7%	235	95.7%	63.7%

14

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	N 3371	22 95.7% 72.7%	124 97.6% 63.7%	958 95.8% 59.5%	2335 95.8% 61.5%	427 95.7% 63.7%	78 95.7% 63.7%	9 100.0% 77.8%
ISOLATED OPIATE USE	N 43	1 4.3% 0.0%	2 0.8% 100.0%	8 0.8% 75.0%	25 1.0% 50.0%	6 1.3% 66.7%	2 2.5% 100.0%	
MODERATE OPIATE USE	N 102	1 2.5% 0.0%	1 0.8% 0.0%	24 2.4% 46.4%	58 2.4% 37.9%	11 2.5% 54.5%	7 5.7% 66.7%	
SEVERE OPIATE USE	N 30	1 0.7% 0.0%	1 0.8% 0.0%	6 0.6% 50.0%	19 0.8% 36.8%	2 0.4% 100.0%	1 1.2% 100.0%	
OPIATES NO FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 4046	23 100.0% 69.6%	126 99.2% 63.5%	973 97.3% 59.6%	2382 97.7% 61.3%	435 97.1% 64.0%	78 96.3% 67.9%	9 100.0% 77.8%
OPIATES FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 73	1 1.8% 0.0%	1 1.8% 0.0%	18 1.8% 55.6%	45 1.8% 33.3%	7 1.6% 71.4%	1 1.2% 100.0%	
OPIATES FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 27	1 0.7% 0.0%	1 0.8% 0.0%	3 0.9% 22.2%	10 0.4% 50.0%	6 1.3% 33.3%	2 2.5% 50.0%	

15

HISTORY OF MARIJUANA AND GLUE-SNIFFING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	N 3345	18 80.7% 72.2%	106 83.5% 63.2%	819 81.9% 59.0%	1941 85.9% 61.7%	374 85.9% 62.8%	63 77.8% 66.7%	6 66.7% 66.7%
NO HISTORY OF USE OF MARIJUANA	N 801	5 19.3% 59.2%	21 16.5% 61.9%	181 18.1% 60.2%	426 20.4% 56.9%	72 16.1% 68.1%	18 22.2% 100.0%	3 33.3% 100.0%
MARIJUANA USE	N 3890	19 93.8% 61.0%	120 94.5% 63.3%	928 92.8% 59.7%	2291 94.0% 60.8%	427 95.7% 63.0%	77 95.1% 65.2%	9 100.0% 77.8%
NO HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 256	4 6.2% 58.6%	7 5.5% 57.1%	72 7.2% 52.8%	146 6.0% 58.9%	19 4.3% 78.9%	4 4.9% 100.0%	
HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 256	4 6.2% 58.6%	7 5.5% 57.1%	72 7.2% 52.8%	146 6.0% 58.9%	19 4.3% 78.9%	4 4.9% 100.0%	

16

HISTORY OF ESCAPE AND SEXUAL DEVIATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	FULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	N 3533	16 4.6% 75.0%	117 92.1% 62.4%	885 88.5% 60.2%	2049 84.1% 63.4%	370 85.0% 68.6%	75 92.6% 70.7%	8 88.9% 75.0%
NO ESCAPES	N 526	7 12.7% 47.9%	8 6.3% 75.0%	104 10.4% 53.8%	358 13.9% 47.0%	60 13.5% 40.0%	6 7.4% 33.3%	1 11.1% 100.0%
ESCAPES FROM MINIMUM SECURITY	N 81	2 2.0% 39.5%	2 1.6% 50.0%	11 1.1% 27.3%	50 2.1% 42.0%	16 3.6% 37.5%		
ESCAPES WITH FORCE	N 4026	23 100.0% 69.6%	124 97.6% 62.1%	962 96.2% 58.6%	2376 97.5% 60.9%	431 96.6% 64.3%	80 98.8% 67.5%	9 100.0% 77.8%
NO HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 120	3 2.4% 100.0%	3 2.4% 100.0%	38 3.8% 68.4%	61 2.5% 55.7%	15 3.4% 46.7%	1 1.2% 100.0%	
HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 3929	22 95.7% 72.7%	119 93.7% 53.9%	941 94.1% 59.4%	2324 95.4% 60.5%	420 94.2% 63.8%	75 92.6% 65.3%	9 100.0% 77.8%
NO HISTORY OF SEXUAL DEVIATION	N 156	3 3.8% 40.0%	5 3.9% 40.0%	45 4.5% 51.1%	81 3.1% 60.5%	16 3.6% 56.3%	5 6.2% 100.0%	
HISTORY OF ISOLATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 61	1 1.5% 72.1%	3 4.3% 0.0%	14 2.4% 66.7%	32 1.3% 75.0%	10 2.2% 70.0%	1 1.2% 100.0%	
HISTORY OF REPEATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 4009	21 91.3% 76.2%	123 96.8% 65.0%	969 96.9% 59.6%	2358 96.8% 61.1%	431 9.66% 64.5%	77 95.1% 67.5%	9 100.0% 77.8%
NO HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 79	1 4.3% 0.0%	3 2.4% 0.0%	17 1.7% 35.3%	45 1.8% 44.4%	8 1.8% 37.5%	4 4.9% 75.0%	
HISTORY OF ISOLATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 45	1 1.0% 53.8%	1 1.3% 53.8%	13 1.3% 53.8%	23 0.9% 56.5%	6 1.3% 50.0%		
HISTORY OF REPEATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 15	1 0.4% 0.0%	1 0.8% 0.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	11 0.5% 63.6%	1 0.2% 0.0%		
HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUAL PATTERN	N 15	1 0.4% 0.0%	1 0.8% 0.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	11 0.5% 63.6%	1 0.2% 0.0%		

PREVIOUS PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

		DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NO HISTORY OF SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 4047 M 97.6X SD 61.1X	23 100.0X 69.6X	125 98.4X 63.2X	990 99.0X 59.5X	2369 97.2X 61.0X	431 96.6X 64.0X	78 96.3X 67.9X	9 100.0X 77.8X
INFREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 65 M 1.6X SD 50.8X		1 0.8X 0.0X	8 0.8X 25.0X	44 1.8X 52.3X	9 2.0X 66.7X	3 3.7X 66.7X	
FREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 24 M 0.6X SD 50.0X			2 0.2X 50.0X	17 0.7X 52.9X	5 1.1X 40.0X		
SERIOUS SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 10 M 0.2X SD 40.0X		1 0.8X 100.0X		7 0.3X 42.9X	1 0.2X 0.0X		
NO HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 4118 M 99.3X SD 60.9X	21 91.3X 71.4X	125 98.4X 64.0X	990 99.0X 59.5X	2425 99.5X 60.7X	445 98.8X 63.6X	80 98.8X 67.5X	9 100.0X 77.8X
HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 28 M 0.7X SD 53.6X	2 0.7X 50.0X	2 1.6X 0.0X	10 1.0X 50.0X	12 0.5X 58.3X	1 0.2X 100.0X	1 1.2X 100.0X	
NO HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 4125 M 99.5X SD 60.8X	21 91.3X 71.4X	127 100.0X 63.0X	994 99.4X 59.1X	2426 99.5X 60.8X	445 99.8X 63.8X	81 100.0X 67.9X	9 100.0X 77.8X
HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 21 M 0.5X SD 61.9X	2 0.7X 50.0X	6 0.6X 83.3X	11 0.5X 54.5X	1 0.2X 0.0X	1 0.2X 0.0X		
NO HISTORY OF NEUROSI	N 4092 M 98.7X SD 61.1X	23 100.0X 69.6X	126 99.2X 62.7X	993 99.3X 59.2X	2444 98.6X 61.1X	438 98.2X 63.5X	80 98.8X 67.5X	8 88.9X 75.0X
HISTORY OF NEUROSI	N 54 M 1.3X SD 44.4X	1 1.3X 100.0X	7 0.8X 100.0X	35 1.4X 33.3X	8 1.8X 75.0X	1 1.2X 100.0X	1 1.1X 100.0X	
NO HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 4037 M 98.8X SD 60.8X	22 95.7X 68.2X	126 99.2X 63.5X	987 98.0X 59.6X	2416 99.1X 60.6X	444 99.6X 63.5X	78 96.3X 66.7X	8 88.9X 87.5X
HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 49 M 1.2X SD 61.2X	1 4.3X 100.0X	1 0.8X 0.0X	20 2.0X 40.0X	21 0.9X 76.2X	2 0.4X 100.0X	3 3.7X 100.0X	1 11.1X 0.0X
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 3870 M 93.3X SD 61.6X	21 91.3X 71.4X	123 96.9X 62.6X	947 94.7X 59.2X	2265 92.9X 61.7X	411 92.2X 65.0X	72 88.9X 68.1X	9 100.0X 77.8X
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 275 M 6.7X SD 51.1X	2 8.7X 50.0X	4 3.1X 75.0X	53 5.3X 58.5X	172 7.1X 48.3X	35 7.8X 48.6X	9 11.1X 66.7X	
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 4012 M 96.8X SD 61.2X	21 91.3X 76.2X	123 96.9X 63.4X	952 95.2X 59.8X	2369 97.2X 61.0X	437 98.0X 63.8X	79 97.5X 68.4X	9 100.0X 77.8X
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 134 M 3.2X SD 50.0X	2 8.7X 0.0X	4 3.1X 50.0X	48 4.8X 47.9X	68 2.8X 51.5X	9 2.0X 55.6X	2 2.5X 50.0X	
NO HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 4031 M 97.2X SD 61.3X	23 100.0X 69.6X	127 100.0X 63.0X	984 98.4X 59.7X	2360 96.8X 61.2X	431 96.6X 64.0X	78 96.3X 67.9X	9 100.0X 77.8X
HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 115 M 2.8X SD 45.2X			16 1.6X 31.3X	77 3.2X 46.8X	15 3.4X 53.3X	3 3.7X 66.7X	

18 INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION	N 4146 M 100.0X SD 60.9X	23 0.6X 69.6X	127 3.1X 63.0X	1000 24.2X 59.2X	2440 59.1X 63.7X	446 10.8X 63.7X	81 2.0X 67.9X	9 0.2X 77.8X

19 RESULTS OF INTELLIGENCE TESTING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
ARMY GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST I.Q.	N 2684 M 99.42 SD 11.23			256 84.21 7.38	1898 97.37 8.04	435 112.63 4.29	81 121.16 4.61	9 130.67 4.44
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2679 M 48.80 SD 21.25			254 26.70 14.32	1895 45.09 17.37	435 70.49 14.16	81 84.63 12.28	9 96.22 4.38
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2682 M 56.08 SD 25.83			255 25.69 16.58	1896 51.94 21.90	436 84.03 11.91	81 93.91 6.94	9 98.67 1.00
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2685 M 54.10 SD 24.64			256 28.40 17.38	1896 49.91 21.17	436 80.02 15.20	81 88.99 12.24	9 94.89 7.57
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	N 3865 M 90.81 SD 13.89	9 63.67 10.63	107 67.46 7.70	940 77.50 7.69	2299 93.01 9.17	418 108.94 6.85	77 120.57 6.94	9 132.78 6.00
LANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3467 M 88.38 SD 16.56	9 63.33 11.65	106 64.96 7.36	941 72.51 10.00	2301 88.99 12.66	419 107.40 9.04	77 121.18 11.14	8 133.50 9.67
NONLANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3877 M 94.17 SD 14.89	11 63.45 12.15	106 70.23 11.55	944 92.02 9.73	2306 96.60 10.12	419 119.89 8.87	77 119.84 8.42	8 126.50 6.55
D-48 RAW SCORE	N 2712 M 20.97 SD 7.74	6 10.00 7.62	74 10.73 6.52	688 16.42 6.47	1608 21.89 6.72	282 27.65 6.02	49 32.41 5.32	5 56.40 7.60
RAVEN MATRICES RAW SCORE	N 3517 M 43.33 SD 8.66	9 23.00 9.30	98 28.64 9.64	837 37.38 8.15	2096 44.73 6.78	394 50.67 4.90	68 53.46 5.91	9 56.00 2.55
SHIPLEY HARTFORD CONCEPTUAL COEFFICIENT	N 1767 M 96.05 SD 14.38		3 86.67 37.5X	88 84.84 37.5X	1188 94.28 37.5X	355 102.08 37.5X	79 104.87 37.5X	9 105.21 37.5X

NONLANGUAGE I.Q.	N 3877 M 94.17 SD 14.09	11 63.45 12.15	106 70.23 11.55	944 82.02 9.73	2306 96.60 10.12	419 109.89 8.87	77 119.84 8.42	8 126.50 6.55
D-48 RAW SCORE	N 2712 M 20.97 SD 7.74	6 10.00 7.62	74 10.73 6.52	688 16.42 6.47	1693 21.89 6.78	282 27.15 6.02	49 32.41 5.32	5 36.40 7.60
RAVEN MATRICES RAW SCORE	N 3517 M 43.33 SD 8.65	9 23.00 9.30	98 28.64 9.64	837 37.38 8.15	2036 44.73 6.78	394 50.67 4.90	68 53.46 5.91	9 56.00 2.55
SHIPLEY HARTFORD CONCEPTUAL QUOTIENT	N 1767 M 96.05 SD 14.38	3 86.67 37.58	88 84.84 15.13	1188 94.28 12.92	395 102.18 12.54	79 104.87 11.98	9 105.11 13.53	
LANGUAGE RAW SCORE	N 2767 M 23.75 SD 5.45	12 14.92 4.99	12 14.92 4.99	363 18.62 4.70	1873 23.41 3.52	424 28.21 3.52	79 31.54 3.30	9 34.33 3.77
ABSTRACT RAW SCORE	N 2636 M 24.02 SD 7.98	12 19.67 9.51	12 19.67 9.51	344 15.93 7.12	1828 23.67 6.93	417 30.64 5.07	79 33.75 5.20	9 34.00 6.25

20

RESULTS OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST BATTERY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 4067	MENTAL DEFECTIVE N = 13	BORDERLINE N = 116	DULL NORMAL N = 986	AVERAGE N = 2420	BRIGHT NORMAL N = 440	SUPERIOR N = 81	VERY SUPERIOR N = 9
READING VOCABULARY	M 7.55 SD 2.76	2.51 1.72	3.25 1.44	5.22 1.85	7.98 2.24	10.77 1.12	11.84 0.96	12.23 0.65	
READING COMPREHENSION	M 7.65 SD 2.69	2.62 1.61	3.27 1.39	5.43 1.75	7.99 2.07	11.08 1.32	12.52 0.96	13.04 0.38	
READING AVERAGE	M 7.62 SD 2.65	2.45 1.60	3.27 1.35	5.34 1.72	8.01 2.05	10.95 1.05	12.22 0.90	12.66 0.37	
ARITHMETIC REASONING	M 7.47 SD 2.23	3.38 1.28	3.83 1.31	5.71 1.51	7.73 1.69	10.22 1.34	11.60 1.13	12.39 1.03	
ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTALS	M 7.27 SD 1.98	3.85 1.38	4.39 1.27	5.89 1.31	7.38 1.50	9.75 1.61	11.42 1.30	12.63 0.69	
ARITHMETIC AVERAGE	M 7.39 SD 2.03	3.68 1.21	4.13 1.19	5.83 1.33	7.58 1.51	10.01 1.34	11.51 1.06	12.52 0.74	
LANGUAGE MECHANICS	M 7.22 SD 2.52	2.45 1.35	3.11 1.22	5.12 1.77	7.56 1.97	10.13 1.32	11.42 1.23	12.73 0.60	
LANGUAGE SPELLING	M 7.35 SD 2.60	2.80 1.66	3.56 1.29	5.38 1.84	7.69 2.26	9.96 1.52	11.15 1.41	11.88 0.73	
LANGUAGE AVERAGE	M 7.30 SD 2.45	2.47 1.52	3.36 1.20	5.27 1.70	7.64 1.97	10.07 1.20	11.29 1.15	12.32 0.48	
TOTAL GRADE PLACEMENT	M 7.42 SD 2.28	2.89 1.34	3.56 1.09	5.46 1.47	7.74 1.70	10.33 0.94	11.68 0.85	12.50 0.35	

21

GRADE COMPLETED

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
1	N								
2	N								
3	N 3 0.1% 0.0%				1 0.1% 0.0%	2 0.1% 0.0%			
4	N 5 0.1% 40.0%				3 0.3% 66.7%	1 0.0% 0.0%	1 0.2% 0.0%		
5	N 21 0.5% 42.9%			5 4.3% 60.0%	14 1.4% 35.7%	2 0.1% 50.0%			
6	N 38 0.9% 57.9%			5 4.3% 80.0%	12 1.2% 50.0%	21 0.9% 57.1%			
7	N 94 2.3% 59.6%	3 17.6% 100.0%		8 6.8% 37.5%	31 3.2% 64.5%	48 2.0% 58.3%	4 0.1% 50.0%		
8	N 323 7.9% 60.4%	2 11.8% 50.0%		18 15.4% 55.6%	121 12.3% 98.7%	174 7.2% 60.9%	7 1.4% 85.7%		
9	N 685 16.8% 59.4%	5 29.4% 40.0%		21 17.9% 57.1%	224 22.3% 57.6%	330 16.1% 60.0%	39 6.8% 60.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%	
10	N 1099 27.0% 60.1%	3 17.6% 66.7%		29 24.8% 82.8%	242 24.7% 57.0%	697 28.8% 59.7%	172 27.6% 63.1%		
11	N 1051 25.8% 62.5%	2 11.8% 100.0%		20 17.1% 60.0%	227 23.2% 64.8%	660 27.3% 61.2%	118 26.7% 61.9%	2 17.6% 100.0%	
12	N 706 17.3% 62.0%	2 11.8% 50.0%		10 16.6% 40.0%	105 8.5% 63.8%	402 16.6% 61.9%	139 31.4% 63.3%	5 49.4% 100.0%	
13+	N 40 1.0% 75.0%		1 0.9% 0.0%		20 0.8% 80.0%	10 3.3% 70.0%	6 1.6% 60.0%	3 35.3% 100.0%	

GRADE ACHIEVED

Grade	N	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	4	30.8%	1.7%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
2	107	2.6%	30.4%	35	42	43	26	1.1%	69.2%					
3	252	6.2%	23.1%	3	42	132	74	3.1%	71.6%					
4	266	6.5%	20.9%	24	167	74	3.1%	60.8%						
5	408	10.0%	15.4%	9	250	146	6.0%	58.2%						
6	597	14.7%	17.7%	2	263	332	13.7%	59.3%						
7	687	16.9%	16.9%	96	584	6	1.4%	65.7%						
8	671	16.5%	2.4%	24	623	21	4.8%	1.2%						
9	492	12.1%	0.2%	2	383	104	2.5%	2.5%						
10	353	8.9%	0.2%	2	151	200	6.2%	10						
11	157	3.9%	0.2%	2	23	99	1.0%	36						
12	54	1.3%	0.1%	1	10	33	0.1%	8						
13	1	0.0%	0.0%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	100.0%						

23

AGE LEFT SCHOOL

AGE	GRADE	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
12	6	13	0.3%	1	4	6	2		
13	7	23	0.5%	1	6	12	1		
14	8	95	5.3%	4	38	45	4	2	
15	9	314	8.0%	18	103	123	18	3	1
16	10	1126	28.6%	34	270	709	106	6	
17	11	1396	35.5%	37	313	840	165	27	2
18	12	971	24.7%	3	210	541	128	36	6

24

ACADEMIC DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
ACADEMIC DISABILITY	4039	13	113	975	2407	476	73	9
ESTIMATED ACADEMIC RETARDATION	4053	115	115	986	2419	462	61	9

25

RATING ON MOTIVATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL MOTIVATED	2589	11	67	573	1589	296	45	5
NOT MOTIVATED	1303	10	57	355	721	209	12	3
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	424	2	40	253	105	36	9	
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	2886	11	81	667	1844	321	56	1
NO STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	1112	9	43	294	775	100	30	3

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	90.30	59.45	68.07	75.15	91.30	114.85	128.75	142.65
VERBAL APTITUDE	86.05	70.20	70.45	74.35	86.83	105.55	120.77	137.55

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3899	MENTAL DEFECTIVE N = 21	BORDERLINE N = 112	DULL NORMAL N = 929	AVERAGE N = 2366	BRIGHT NORMAL N = 926	SUPERIOR N = 37	VERY SUPERIOR N = 9
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	M 90.30 SD 18.24	59.43	68.07	75.15	81.46	88.85	126.73	142.53
VERBAL APTITUDE	M 86.06 SD 15.20	70.20	70.46	74.35	80.83	85.55	120.73	130.75
NUMERICAL APTITUDE	M 87.50 SD 19.93	56.33	59.13	71.83	89.99	111.34	120.66	133.50
SPATIAL APTITUDE	M 102.63 SD 20.43	73.86	77.18	89.00	105.30	120.65	134.42	137.50
PERCEPTUAL APTITUDE	M 99.32 SD 19.51	66.24	70.21	87.88	101.98	115.43	114.82	124.50
CLERICAL APTITUDE	M 93.74 SD 15.06	72.33	75.40	84.25	95.10	103.28	116.60	124.13
MOTOR COORDINATION	M 96.34 SD 18.54	75.48	78.82	90.15	98.21	103.34	105.56	124.50
FINGER DEXTERITY	M 91.02 SD 19.42	73.62	77.76	84.61	92.66	98.13	103.22	109.13
MANUAL DEXTERITY	M 111.70 SD 21.61	94.48	97.34	105.30	113.57	117.78	121.74	140.70

27

RATINGS OF MOTIVATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 773 74.6% 60.0%	5	11	150	498	92	13	1
NOT MOTIVATED	N 263 25.4% 60.1%	2	11	63	158	22	7	
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 396 69.3% 62.5%	4	15	122	454	83	15	1
NOT MOTIVATED	N 300 30.1% 58.3%	1	11	84	173	26	5	
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 2779 71.4% 62.4%	10	65	616	1722	301	49	5
NOT MOTIVATED	N 1113 28.6% 57.1%	11	59	312	581	116	22	3
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 2872 71.8% 62.2%	3	50	578	1829	342	54	5
NOT MOTIVATED	N 691 17.3% 57.7%	7	19	197	376	17	2	
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING - YES	N 1065 26.6% 40.4%	5	45	580	1879	352	58	4
NOT A RECOMMENDATION	N 2934 73.4% 61.8%	15	74	382	480	79	17	5

28

WORK EXPERIENCE, UNION MEMBERSHIP, AND VOCATIONAL DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NONE	N 459 11.5% 58.8%	1	15	108	278	47	6	
1 - 6 MONTHS	N 1466 36.7% 59.3%	10	39	311	890	171	34	4
7 - 12 MONTHS	N 725 18.1% 65.2%	2	27	190	398	88	13	3
13 - 18 MONTHS	N 314 7.9% 59.9%	7	76	191	333	33	6	1
19 - 24 MONTHS	N 138 3.5% 63.8%	1	4	26	91	13	3	
25 MONTHS AND OVER	N 433 10.2% 66.3%	4	15	121	254	30	7	1
NON-RADIC	N 407 10.2% 58.7%	2	13	114	230	42	3	
UNION MEMBER	N 309 7.2% 65.7%	5	40	52	200	41	9	1
NOT A UNION MEMBER	N 3661 91.5% 60.8%	70	118	405	2138	388	66	8
VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 239 6.0% 62.8%	2	9	62	134	25	6	1
NO VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 3722 95.1% 61.1%	17	113	892	2205	401	69	8

PARANUJA	M	59.87	62.50	65.48	61.63	59.29	58.90	59.50	59.50
	SD	12.35	14.72	14.18	12.80	12.34	10.95	11.74	10.84
PSYCHASTHENIA	M	61.81	61.10	66.48	65.08	61.20	59.16	57.80	54.00
	SD	13.32	16.14	15.18	15.15	13.14	15.16	12.25	8.94
SCHIZOPHRENIA	M	66.71	72.30	75.48	73.55	66.08	62.71	62.88	60.25
	SD	14.67	17.94	15.43	14.50	14.45	13.95	15.25	11.52
HYPMANIA	M	64.45	66.60	66.45	65.20	64.11	63.50	63.79	64.00
	SD	11.91	10.50	12.04	11.77	12.00	11.55	12.14	8.03
SOCIAL INTROVERSION	M	53.33	53.50	55.43	55.44	53.20	51.52	48.61	44.88
	SD	10.06	9.40	9.22	9.06	10.11	10.77	11.24	7.50
MMPI EQUATION	M	50.91	49.85	49.30	50.43	51.01	50.95	50.84	50.82
	SD	3.03	2.92	2.40	2.87	3.13	2.73	2.85	1.82

31

RESULTS ON THE INTERPERSONAL PERSONALITY INVENTORY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3151	MENTAL DEFECTIVE N = 2	BORDERLINE N = 45	DULL NORMAL N = 658	AVERAGE N = 2095	BRIGHT NORMAL N = 396	SUPERIOR N = 74	VERY SUPERIOR N = 8
IPI	M	44.88	37.50	37.93	40.54	44.82	51.12	56.08	58.17
	SD	8.98	2.12	5.97	7.48	8.42	8.67	8.74	15.98

32

RESULTS OF CPI AND MMPI PREDICTIONS

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
CPI PREDICTION HITS	N	1765	6	45	365	1088	216	41	5
	%	56.0%	65.7%	63.1%	55.5%	56.6%	57.8%	60.3%	62.5%
CPI PREDICTION MISSES	N	1355	3	24	293	834	158	27	3
	%	43.1%	33.5%	31.4%	45.7%	43.4%	42.2%	39.7%	37.5%
MMPI PREDICTION HITS	N	1737	8	74	361	1057	232	47	6
	%	57.5%	80.0%	69.7%	56.1%	56.6%	61.2%	67.1%	75.0%
MMPI PREDICTION MISSES	N	1324	2	22	293	842	147	23	2
	%	42.5%	20.0%	24.3%	43.9%	43.4%	38.8%	32.9%	25.0%

33

RESULTS OF THE CPI PREDICTIONS

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
HITS TRUE POSITIVES	N	1278	5	30	280	777	150	27	3
	%	41.2%	55.6%	54.5%	43.2%	40.4%	45.1%	59.7%	57.5%
HITS TRUE NEGATIVES	N	488	1	5	85	311	66	14	2
	%	15.7%	11.1%	9.1%	13.1%	16.2%	17.6%	20.6%	25.0%
MISSES FALSE POSITIVES	N	704	2	12	174	434	65	10	
	%	22.7%	22.2%	21.8%	25.9%	22.6%	17.4%	14.7%	
MISSES FALSE NEGATIVES	N	632	1	8	103	400	95	17	3
	%	20.4%	11.1%	14.5%	16.8%	20.6%	24.3%	25.0%	37.5%

34

RESULTS OF THE MMPI PREDICTIONS

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
HITS TRUE POSITIVES	N	1463	5	25	287	903	194	38	5
	%	46.8%	50.0%	44.6%	44.5%	46.6%	51.2%	54.3%	62.5%
HITS TRUE NEGATIVES	N	334	3	9	75	194	38	9	1
	%	10.7%	30.0%	16.1%	11.6%	10.0%	10.0%	12.9%	12.5%
MISSES FALSE POSITIVES	N	872	1	9	183	558	47	15	1
	%	27.9%	10.0%	16.1%	28.4%	28.8%	25.6%	21.4%	12.5%
MISSES FALSE NEGATIVES	N	457	1	13	100	284	50	8	1
	%	14.6%	10.0%	23.2%	15.5%	14.6%	13.2%	11.4%	12.5%

35

REASONS FOR REFERRAL

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
MENTAL ILLNESS	N	60	1	1	13	34	6	3	
	%	11.7%	4.3%	0.8%	1.3%	1.4%	1.3%	3.7%	
		56.74%	100.0%	100.0%	46.2%	55.9%	50.0%	100.0%	
SEXUAL PROBLEMS	N	115	3	3	36	58	15	3	
	%	22.5%	2.4%	2.4%	3.6%	2.4%	3.4%	3.7%	
		62.61%		66.7%	63.9%	62.1%	53.3%	100.0%	
VIOLENCE POTENTIAL	N	242	1	9	60	143	23	3	1
	%	67.4%	4.3%	7.1%	6.0%	5.9%	5.2%	3.7%	11.1%
		62.81%	100.0%	77.8%	61.7%	61.5%	60.9%	100.0%	100.0%

CONTINUED

3 OF 4

**SYMPTOMS FOUND
DURING PSYCHIATRIC
EVALUATION**

DEPRESSION	N 115 2.8% 60.0%	1 4.3% 100.0%	2 1.6% 100.0%	30 3.0% 70.0%	62 2.5% 51.6%	16 2.5% 62.5%	2 2.5% 100.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%
ANXIETY	N 117 2.8% 56.4%	1 4.3% 0.0%	4 3.1% 75.0%	31 3.1% 54.8%	61 3.4% 59.0%	15 3.4% 40.0%	4 4.9% 75.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%
DEPENDENCY	N 131 3.2% 54.2%	1 4.3% 0.0%	2 1.6% 50.0%	34 3.4% 55.6%	75 3.1% 54.7%	14 3.1% 42.9%	2 2.5% 100.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%

37

PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
BRAIN DISORDERS	N 4 0.1% 100.0%	1 4.3% 100.0%		1 0.1% 100.0%	2 0.1% 100.0%			
PSYCHOTIC	N 29 0.7% 62.1%			13 1.3% 46.2%	14 0.6% 71.4%	1 0.2% 100.0%	1 1.2% 100.0%	
NEUROTIC	N 40 1.0% 60.0%	1 4.3% 0.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	10 1.0% 70.0%	15 0.6% 33.3%	8 8.8% 87.5%	4 4.9% 75.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%
PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 109 2.6% 67.0%	1 4.3% 100.0%	6 4.7% 83.3%	38 3.8% 60.5%	51 2.1% 68.6%	7 1.6% 57.1%	4 4.9% 100.0%	
PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 235 4.9% 56.6%		5 3.9% 80.0%	41 4.1% 53.7%	131 5.4% 56.5%	22 4.9% 50.0%	3 3.7% 100.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%
SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	1 4.3% 0.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	9 0.9% 77.8%	26 1.1% 57.7%	5 1.1% 60.0%	2 2.5% 100.0%	
TRANSITIONAL SITUATIONAL PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 49 1.2% 57.1%		1 0.8% 0.0%	7 0.7% 57.1%	33 1.4% 63.6%	8 1.8% 37.5%		

38

ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
HOMICIDE	N 19 0.5% 52.6%			1 0.1% 100.0%	14 0.6% 50.0%	3 3.3% 33.3%	1 1.2% 100.0%	
NEGLIGENT MAN-SLAUGHTER	N 13 0.3% 100.0%			2 0.2% 100.0%	2 0.3% 100.0%	2 100.0%	1 1.2% 100.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%
ROBBERY	N 438 10.6% 70.3%	2 8.7% 100.0%	18 14.2% 66.7%	114 11.4% 62.3%	238 9.8% 72.3%	52 11.7% 75.0%	11 13.6% 90.9%	1 11.1% 100.0%
ASSAULT	N 233 5.6% 71.7%	2 8.7% 50.0%	9 7.1% 77.8%	53 5.3% 71.7%	150 6.2% 71.3%	14 3.2% 85.7%	1 1.2% 0.0%	
BURGLARY	N 1080 26.1% 60.0%	10 43.5% 60.0%	41 32.3% 58.5%	269 26.9% 55.8%	620 25.4% 61.5%	117 26.4% 63.2%	18 22.2% 61.1%	
THEFT	N 421 10.2% 61.0%	1 4.3% 100.0%	8 6.3% 50.0%	101 10.1% 62.0%	244 10.0% 59.8%	49 11.0% 65.3%	8 9.9% 62.5%	
VEHICLE THEFT	N 719 17.4% 53.4%	1 4.3% 100.0%	19 15.0% 68.4%	185 18.5% 55.1%	417 17.1% 50.6%	73 16.4% 56.2%	18 22.2% 72.2%	3 33.3% 66.7%
FORGERY	N 207 5.0% 52.7%	1 4.3% 0.0%	3 2.4% 65.7%	32 3.2% 43.8%	137 5.6% 55.5%	27 6.1% 51.9%	5 7.4% 50.0%	1 11.1% 0.0%
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 28 0.7% 71.4%			8 0.8% 75.0%	14 0.6% 71.4%	5 1.1% 60.0%		
STATUTORY RAPE	N 82 2.0% 56.1%		2 1.6% 50.0%	24 2.4% 41.7%	45 1.8% 62.7%	11 2.5% 63.6%		
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 44 1.1% 63.6%		2 1.6% 50.0%	9 0.9% 88.9%	27 1.1% 55.6%	5 1.1% 20.0%		
NARCOTICS OFFENSES	N 370 8.9% 65.9%	3 11.2% 100.0%	8 6.3% 75.0%	79 7.9% 67.1%	233 9.6% 63.9%	35 7.6% 71.4%	9 11.1% 55.6%	3 33.3% 100.0%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 37 0.9% 67.6%		5 3.9% 40.0%	10 1.0% 80.0%	19 0.8% 68.4%	2 0.5% 50.0%	1 1.2% 100.0%	
OTHER	N 309 7.3% 60.2%	3 13.0% 66.7%	7 5.5% 85.7%	77 7.7% 55.8%	177 7.3% 62.1%	34 7.7% 59.8%	4 4.9% 50.0%	
PAROLE VIOLATION	N 148 3.6% 53.4%		5 3.8% 40.0%	29 2.9% 65.5%	95 3.9% 50.5%	34 3.4% 46.7%	3 3.7% 100.0%	

39

VIOLATION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
HOMICIDE	N 17 1.2%			5 1.4%	10 1.2%	1 0.1%		
	N 1							

39

VIOLATION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
MURDER	N 17 1.2%			5 1.4%	10 1.2%	1 0.7%		
NEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER	N 1 0.1%				1 0.1%			
ROBBERY	N 168 12.0%		11 26.2%	39 10.8%	98 11.9%	16 11.1%	1 5.9%	
ASSAULT	N 89 6.3%	1 25.0%	3 7.1%	27 7.5%	50 6.1%	7 4.9%	1 5.9%	
BURGLARY	N 265 18.9%	1 25.0%	8 19.0%	65 18.0%	158 19.2%	28 19.4%	2 11.6%	
THEFT	N 123 8.8%	1 25.0%	6 14.3%	25 6.9%	69 8.4%	20 13.9%		
VEHICLE THEFT	N 168 12.0%		2 4.8%	53 14.7%	90 10.5%	19 13.2%	3 17.6%	1 5.9%
FORGERY	N 58 4.1%			12 3.3%	38 4.6%	8 5.6%		
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 10 0.7%		1 2.4%	4 1.1%	3 0.4%	2 1.4%		
STATUTORY RAPE	N 9 0.6%			2 0.6%	6 0.7%	1 0.7%		
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 17 1.2%			3 0.8%	13 1.5%	1 0.7%		
NARCOTIC OFFENSES	N 222 15.8%	1 25.0%	4 9.5%	59 16.9%	137 16.6%	20 17.4%	3 17.1%	
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 11 0.8%		1 2.4%	2 0.4%	8 1.0%			
OTHER	N 247 17.6%		6 14.3%	74 20.5%	143 17.4%	16 11.1%	1 4.1%	

40

ADMISSION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
PERSON OFFENSES	N 857 20.7% 69.1%	4 17.4% 75.0%	31 24.4% 67.7%	211 21.1% 64.5%	495 20.3% 69.9%	92 20.7% 73.9%	14 17.3% 85.7%	2 22.2% 100.0%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 2427 58.6% 57.6%	13 56.5% 61.5%	71 59.9% 60.6%	594 59.4% 56.1%	1418 59.2% 57.4%	266 59.6% 60.5%	50 61.7% 64.4%	4 44.4% 60.0%
OTHERS	N 859 20.7% 61.8%	6 26.1% 83.3%	25 19.7% 64.0%	195 19.5% 65.1%	524 21.5% 61.1%	86 19.4% 61.6%	17 21.0% 64.7%	3 33.3% 100.0%

41

VIOLATION OFFENSE SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
PERSON OFFENSES	N 311 22.1%	1 25.0%	15 35.7%	80 22.2%	181 22.0%	28 19.4%	2 11.8%	
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 614 43.7%	2 50.0%	16 38.1%	155 42.9%	355 43.1%	75 52.1%	5 29.4%	1 50.0%
OTHERS	N 480 34.2%	1 25.0%	11 26.2%	126 34.9%	288 35.0%	41 28.5%	10 58.8%	1 50.0%

42

CYA HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NONE	N 2457 61.4% 59.6%	13 65.0% 69.2%	75 60.5% 65.3%	537 55.8% 57.4%	1466 62.1% 59.5%	301 69.8% 61.5%	52 69.3% 65.4%	5 55.6% 60.0%
MODERATE	N 1000 25.0% 62.7%	6 30.0% 83.3%	30 24.2% 60.0%	286 29.7% 62.9%	561 24.2% 61.1%	93 21.6% 69.9%	16 21.5% 81.3%	2 22.2% 100.0%
SERIOUS	N 503 13.6% 65.7%	1 5.0% 0.0%	19 15.3% 52.6%	139 34.4% 61.9%	333 34.1% 67.0%	37 8.6% 67.6%	7 9.3% 100.0%	2 22.2% 100.0%

CASEWORKER ESTIMATION OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL

Table with 10 columns: TOTAL STUDY POPULATION, MENTAL DEFECTIVE, BORDERLINE, DULL NORMAL, AVERAGE, BRIGHT NORMAL, SUPERIOR, VERY SUPERIOR. Rows include LEAST, MILD, MODERATE, SERIOUS, GREATEST.

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

Table with 10 columns: TOTAL STUDY POPULATION, MENTAL DEFECTIVE, BORDERLINE, DULL NORMAL, AVERAGE, BRIGHT NORMAL, SUPERIOR, VERY SUPERIOR. Rows include NONE, AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO VIOLENCE, VIOLENCE.

HISTORY OF CARRYING WEAPONS

Table with 10 columns: TOTAL STUDY POPULATION, MENTAL DEFECTIVE, BORDERLINE, DULL NORMAL, AVERAGE, BRIGHT NORMAL, SUPERIOR, VERY SUPERIOR. Rows include NONE, YES.

PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

Table with 10 columns: TOTAL STUDY POPULATION, MENTAL DEFECTIVE, BORDERLINE, DULL NORMAL, AVERAGE, BRIGHT NORMAL, SUPERIOR, VERY SUPERIOR. Rows include NONE, ONE, TWO, THREE OR MORE.

CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

Table with 10 columns: TOTAL STUDY POPULATION, MENTAL DEFECTIVE, BORDERLINE, DULL NORMAL, AVERAGE, BRIGHT NORMAL, SUPERIOR, VERY SUPERIOR. Rows include NONE, ONE, TWO, THREE OR MORE.

48

INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NONE	N 2900 72.5% 58.5%	15 75.0% 66.7%	87 70.2% 59.8%	685 71.2% 56.2%	1704 72.2% 58.7%	335 77.9% 60.9%	56 74.7% 65.1%	5 65.7% 64.7%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 122 3.1% 63.9%	1 5.0% 100.0%	4 3.2% 100.0%	35 3.6% 54.3%	72 3.1% 63.9%	8 1.9% 75.0%	2 2.7% 100.0%	
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 304 7.6% 71.1%	2 10.0% 100.0%	16 12.9% 56.3%	76 7.9% 65.8%	162 6.9% 72.2%	36 8.4% 77.8%	9 12.0% 88.9%	1 11.1% 100.0%
MINOR INJURIES	N 393 9.8% 68.2%	9 9.8% 68.2%	9 7.3% 66.7%	100 11.2% 69.4%	250 10.6% 67.2%	23 5.3% 73.9%	2 2.7% 100.0%	
MAJOR INJURIES	N 107 2.7% 68.2%	3 2.7% 68.2%	3 2.4% 100.0%	25 2.6% 72.0%	64 2.7% 67.2%	12 2.8% 58.3%	1 1.3% 0.0%	
DEATH	N 36 0.9% 72.2%	4 0.9% 72.2%	4 0.4% 100.0%	23 1.0% 75.0%	23 1.0% 69.6%	5 1.2% 80.0%	2 2.7% 100.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%

49

GROUP VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NONE	N 3289 82.3% 59.1%	15 59.0% 68.4%	132 82.3% 59.8%	778 80.3% 57.1%	1935 82.0% 59.2%	371 86.3% 60.9%	62 82.7% 62.4%	7 77.8% 71.4%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 70 1.8% 65.7%	3 1.8% 100.0%	3 2.4% 100.0%	19 2.0% 57.9%	41 1.7% 65.9%	5 1.2% 60.0%	1 1.3% 100.0%	
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 240 6.0% 73.3%	8 6.0% 75.0%	8 6.5% 75.0%	61 6.3% 70.5%	132 5.6% 69.7%	32 7.4% 90.6%	6 8.0% 83.3%	1 11.1% 100.0%
MINOR INJURIES	N 240 6.0% 71.3%	1 5.0% 100.0%	6 4.8% 50.0%	61 6.3% 70.5%	158 6.7% 70.5%	10 2.3% 90.0%	3 4.0% 100.0%	
MAJOR INJURIES	N 71 1.8% 69.0%	2 1.8% 100.0%	2 1.6% 100.0%	20 2.1% 75.0%	38 1.6% 65.6%	2 2.1% 66.7%	2 2.7% 50.0%	
DEATH	N 14 0.4% 71.4%	1 0.4% 100.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	3 0.3% 100.0%	9 0.4% 66.7%			

50

WEAPON USED BY INDIVIDUAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NONE	N 3285 82.2% 59.6%	16 80.0% 62.5%	94 75.8% 59.6%	797 82.8% 57.7%	1940 82.2% 59.8%	356 83.0% 61.5%	60 80.0% 68.3%	7 77.8% 71.4%
TOY GUN	N 40 1.0% 65.0%	2 1.0% 50.0%	2 1.6% 50.0%	12 1.2% 75.0%	20 0.8% 65.0%	4 0.9% 25.0%	2 2.7% 100.0%	
UNLOADED GUN	N 135 3.5% 76.9%	1 0.3% 100.0%	1 0.8% 100.0%	5 0.5% 60.0%	6 0.3% 66.7%	1 0.2% 100.0%		
LOADED GUN	N 125 3.1% 63.6%	6 4.8% 60.0%	6 4.8% 60.0%	26 2.7% 69.2%	74 3.1% 70.3%	16 3.7% 75.0%		
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 149 3.7% 67.1%	2 10.0% 100.0%	10 8.1% 60.0%	28 2.9% 64.3%	81 3.4% 66.7%	20 4.7% 65.0%	7 9.3% 85.7%	1 11.1% 100.0%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 135 3.4% 71.9%	5 4.0% 100.0%	5 4.0% 100.0%	33 3.4% 66.7%	82 3.5% 68.3%	12 2.8% 91.7%	2 2.7% 100.0%	
OTHER	N 116 2.9% 69.8%	1 5.0% 100.0%	3 2.4% 100.0%	30 3.1% 70.0%	70 3.0% 68.6%	10 2.3% 70.0%	2 2.7% 50.0%	

51

WEAPONS USED BY GROUP

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NONE	N 3556 89.0% 59.8%	19 95.0% 68.4%	110 88.7% 59.1%	848 88.2% 57.0%	2102 89.2% 59.8%	366 90.0% 61.4%	67 89.3% 71.6%	7 77.0% 71.4%
TOY GUN	N 25 0.6% 76.0%		1 0.8% 100.0%	7 0.7% 85.7%	15 0.6% 66.7%	2 0.5% 100.0%		
UNLOADED GUN	N 3 0.1% 65.7%			1 0.1% 100.0%	2 0.1% 50.0%			
LOADED GUN	N 74 1.9% 67.6%		5 4.0% 100.0%	21 2.2% 66.7%	43 1.8% 65.1%	3 0.7% 66.7%	1 1.3% 100.0%	
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 145 3.6% 79.4%		5 3.4% 100.0%	52 5.3% 71.9%	84 3.6% 70.2%	20 4.7% 85.0%	3 4.0% 66.7%	1 11.1% 100.0%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 68 1.7% 66.2%	1 5.0% 100.0%	2 1.5% 100.0%	17 1.8% 70.6%	38 1.6% 60.5%	10 2.3% 90.0%		
OTHER	N 65 1.6% 75.4%		2 1.5% 100.0%	16 1.7% 68.8%	40 1.7% 77.5%	3 0.7% 66.7%	4 5.3% 77.0%	

52

ECONOMIC LOSS BY VICTIM

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
NONE	N 1110 27.8% 62.3%	10 50.0% 80.0%	32 25.8% 65.6%	272 28.3% 64.7%	657 27.9% 61.8%	112 26.1% 56.3%	16 21.3% 67.5%	2 22.2% 100.0%
LESS THAN \$1	N 13 0.3% 69.2%			4 0.4% 50.0%	8 0.3% 87.5%		1 1.3% 0.0%	
\$1 - \$5	N 41 1.0% 68.3%		1 0.8% 0.0%	9 0.9% 55.6%	28 1.2% 75.0%	3 0.7% 66.7%		
\$5 - \$20	N 120 3.0% 63.3%	1 5.0% 0.0%	6 4.8% 50.0%	30 3.1% 60.0%	63 2.7% 61.9%	16 3.7% 81.3%	3 4.0% 66.7%	
\$20 - \$100	N 399 10.0% 65.4%	4 20.0% 75.0%	18 14.5% 55.6%	109 11.3% 58.7%	218 9.2% 64.2%	41 9.6% 90.2%	8 10.7% 75.0%	
\$100 - \$500	N 503 12.6% 63.2%	1 5.0% 100.0%	12 9.7% 75.0%	115 12.0% 57.4%	304 12.9% 62.8%	57 13.3% 71.9%	11 14.7% 72.7%	1 11.1% 0.0%
\$500 - \$1000	N 143 3.6% 57.3%		5 4.0% 40.0%	23 2.4% 39.1%	92 3.9% 62.0%	18 4.2% 55.6%	4 5.3% 75.0%	1 11.1% 100.0%
\$1000 - \$5000	N 821 20.6% 55.8%	2 10.0% 50.0%	25 20.2% 64.0%	191 19.9% 54.5%	493 20.9% 55.4%	90 21.0% 57.8%	14 18.7% 64.3%	2 22.2% 50.0%
MORE THAN \$5000	N 207 5.2% 58.0%		4 3.2% 100.0%	44 4.6% 50.0%	121 5.1% 57.0%	28 6.5% 64.3%	9 12.0% 66.7%	1 11.1% 100.0%

53

CUSTODIAL EVALUATION FOR INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
GOOD PROGNOSIS	N 3295 82.5% 67.0%	15 75.0% 67.3%	100 80.6% 64.0%	763 79.6% 60.0%	1953 82.8% 62.0%	377 87.7% 63.9%	66 88.0% 71.2%	6 66.7% 83.3%
POOR PROGNOSIS	N 681 17.1% 57.9%	5 25.0% 60.0%	24 19.4% 54.2%	193 20.1% 58.5%	394 16.7% 56.3%	49 11.4% 67.3%	9 12.0% 77.8%	3 33.3% 66.7%

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
N 202 5.1% 12.1%	4 18.2% 100.0%	17 15.8% 100.0%	88 4.2% 49.0%	82 3.9% 54.4%	8 1.9% 22.2%	2 2.7% 100.0%		

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER RECOMMENDATION


	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0% ^S	4 18.2% 100.0%	17 13.6% 52.9%	88 9.2% 48.9%	82 3.5% 54.5%	8 1.9% 25.0%	2 2.7% 100.0%	
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1349 33.9% 62.0%	2 9.1% 100.0%	3 2.4% 100.0%	148 15.5% 60.1%	941 40.2% 61.2%	221 51.9% 64.7%	26 34.7% 63.2%	5 55.6% 80.0%
CAMPS	N 838 21.2% 64.2%	6 27.3% 66.7%	39 31.2% 66.7%	267 28.0% 62.9%	441 18.8% 65.3%	60 14.1% 68.3%	20 26.7% 45.0%	2 22.2% 50.0%
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 651 16.4% 59.8%	5 22.7% 69.0%	29 23.2% 69.0%	195 20.4% 59.5%	350 14.9% 58.0%	55 12.9% 63.6%	13 17.3% 84.6%	
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 760 19.1% 58.3%	4 18.2% 50.0%	29 23.2% 69.0%	218 22.8% 58.3%	431 18.4% 58.0%	63 14.8% 57.1%	9 12.0% 66.7%	1 11.1% 100.0%

55

CYA BOARD ORDER FOR TRANSFER

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	MENTAL DEFECTIVE	BORDERLINE	DULL NORMAL	AVERAGE	BRIGHT NORMAL	SUPERIOR	VERY SUPERIOR
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 220 5.3% 54.1%	6 26.1% 66.7%	13 10.2% 62.5%	71 7.1% 57.7%	111 4.6% 49.5%	13 2.9% 46.2%	5 6.2% 100.0%	
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1113 26.9% 65.0%	2 8.7% 100.0%	4 3.1% 59.0%	132 13.2% 62.9%	770 31.7% 63.9%	176 39.8% 70.5%	19 23.5% 73.7%	4 44.4% 75.0%
DEN LOMOND	N 235 5.7% 65.5%	1 4.3% 100.0%	7 5.7% 71.4%	61 6.1% 62.3%	137 5.6% 67.5%	21 4.8% 61.0%	7 8.6% 57.1%	1 11.1% 0.0%
MT. BULLION	N 240 5.8% 67.1%	1 4.3% 100.0%	15 11.8% 60.0%	65 6.5% 61.5%	128 5.3% 68.8%	22 5.0% 81.8%	4 4.9% 50.0%	2 22.2% 100.0%
PINE GROVE	N 255 6.2% 64.3%	1 4.3% 0.0%	5 3.9% 49.0%	76 7.6% 59.2%	136 5.2% 65.2%	26 5.9% 73.1%	9 11.1% 80.0%	
WASHINGTON RIDGE	N 329 8.0% 58.1%	2 8.7% 50.0%	9 7.1% 77.8%	88 8.6% 62.5%	195 8.0% 56.4%	28 6.3% 53.6%	6 7.4% 50.0%	
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 754 18.3% 57.7%	5 21.7% 60.0%	25 19.7% 48.0%	206 20.6% 61.2%	423 17.4% 57.0%	72 16.3% 58.3%	19 23.5% 67.4%	
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 877 21.2% 57.9%	5 21.7% 80.0%	45 35.4% 73.3%	271 27.2% 55.4%	472 19.4% 58.1%	69 15.6% 55.1%	8 9.9% 75.0%	2 22.2% 100.0%

To relate parole success to the different variables studied, a method of graphic display was designed to guide the reader. Included with most parole success percentages (%S) in a cell are circular symbols that express both the magnitude and the direction of deviations from the overall success percentage (60.9%S). The following symbols are used in all DATA MAPS to guide the reader quickly to either "good" parole risks, "poor" parole risks, or anything between these two extremes.



Solid circles symbolize parole success deviations below the overall success percentage, while empty circles denote success deviations above the overall success percentage. Where no symbol is displayed, it is because of one of the following reasons: (1) The deviation symbol has been provided elsewhere (as with the total study population columns); (2) there were fewer than ten cases in the cell; (3) there is less than one percent deviation from the overall parole success rate.

The visual scanning of these tables can result in the notation of possible relationships between parole success and the various variable categories. If there are parole deviation figures of decreasing or increasing size along a column or row, this may be indicative of a linear relationship. Similar logic can be applied when parole deviation figures appear similar in size and direction at the ends of a row or column. This may indicate the presence of a curvilinear relationship. Also, possible relationships may be noted between the vertical and horizontal variables by noting the proportions of cases falling in different cells. The reader is referred to the text in the user's manual and the companion book THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER for more complete description of the methods of analyzing the tables in the DATA MAPS. It should also be noted that the symbols do not establish relationships, but are meant to guide and provide background information for discussions and hypothesis formulation. The testing of hypotheses remains for further and more rigorous studies.

THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER

This DATA MAP presents comparative data on violence classification subgroups. STUDY POPULATION: 4,146 California Youth Authority male wards, consecutive intakes in 1964-65 at the Reception Guidance Center, Deuel Vocational Institution, Tracy. PAROLE FOLLOW-UP: 15 months. AVERAGE PAROLE SUCCESS RATE: 60.9% (60.9%S).

Standard data presented in 55 tables Frequencies, percentages, and percent success on parole. Means (M), and standard deviations (SD) for test results and other continuous data.

Information in first column: Data on combined total study population.

Information in columns 2-7: Data on violence classification subgroups.

The first number in each cell: Number of cases sharing both the column and the row labels.

The second number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell in relation to the other cells in column.

The third number in each cell: Percentage of cases in this cell which successfully completed parole.

Symbols: Explanation of symbols follows table 55 at the end of the DATA MAP.

Other DATA MAPS available in this series: Intelligence, Race, Alcohol and Drugs, Burglary, Robbery, Assault and Parole.

For legend of symbols see below table 55'

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL		
1	COMMITMENT COURT	JUVENILE COURT	N 395 8.3% 51.6%S	82 8.5% 59.8%S	263 8.3% 49.0%S	53 9.2% 60.4%S	292 8.2% 50.0%S	13 14.0% 84.6%S	2 4.0% 50.0%S	
		SUPERIOR COURT	N 3339 80.7% 62.2%S	765 79.5% 60.8%S	2574 81.0% 59.6%S	470 81.5% 72.3%S	2869 80.5% 60.5%S	72 77.4% 72.2%S	47 94.0% 66.0%S	
		MUNICIPAL COURT	N 438 10.6% 58.0%S	108 11.2% 60.2%S	330 10.4% 57.3%S	51 8.8% 52.9%S	387 10.9% 58.7%S	8 8.6% 37.5%S		
		JUSTICE COURT	N 18 0.4% 55.6%S	7 0.7% 71.4%S	11 0.3% 45.5%S	3 0.5% 33.3%S	15 0.4% 60.0%S		1 2.0% 100.0%S	
2	ADMISSION STATUS	FIRST ADMISSION	N 2470 60.5% 67.0%S	635 26.8% 74.0%S	1835 58.5% 64.6%S	382 67.1% 75.7%S	2088 59.4% 65.5%S	57 61.3% 78.5%S	27 57.4% 81.5%S	
		FIRST RETURN	N 800 19.6% 54.9%S	141 14.8% 56.7%S	659 21.0% 54.5%S	81 14.2% 51.9%S	719 20.4% 55.2%S	13 14.0% 53.0%S	7 14.9% 57.1%S	
		2ND AND MORE RETURNS	N 732 17.8% 47.0%S	158 16.6% 57.6%S	574 18.3% 44.1%S	97 17.0% 57.7%S	635 18.1% 45.4%S	22 23.7% 63.6%S	11 23.4% 36.4%S	
		RE-ADMISSION AFTER DISCHARGE FROM CYA	N 83 2.0% 57.8%S	16 1.7% 75.0%S	67 2.1% 53.7%S	9 1.6% 77.8%S	74 2.1% 55.4%S	1 1.1% 0.0%S	2 4.3% 100.0%S	
3	RACE	WHITE	N 2212 53.4% 60.9%S	405 42.1% 73.1%S	1807 56.8% 58.2%S	260 45.1% 73.5%S	1952 54.8% 59.2%S	36 38.7% 63.9%S	16 32.0% 62.5%S	
		MEXICAN-AMERICAN	N 772 18.6% 61.1%S	198 20.6% 64.1%S	574 18.1% 60.1%S	123 21.3% 68.3%S	649 18.2% 59.8%S	35 37.6% 77.1%S	12 24.0% 50.0%S	
		BLACK	N 1076 26.0% 60.3%S	345 35.9% 65.8%S	731 23.0% 57.7%S	186 32.2% 62.9%S	890 25.0% 59.8%S	20 21.5% 75.0%S	21 42.0% 76.2%S	
		OTHER	N 80 1.9% 63.8%S	13 1.4% 76.9%S	67 2.1% 61.2%S	8 1.4% 100.0%S	72 2.0% 53.7%S	2 2.2% 50.0%S	1 2.0% 100.0%S	
4	AGE, TIME IN INSTITUTION, WEIGHT, AND HEIGHT	AGE AT ADMISSION	N 4134 M 19.44 SD 0.94	960 19.47 0.97	3174 19.43 0.93	577 19.46 0.94	3557 19.44 0.94	92 19.55 1.02	50 19.43 1.03	
		AGE AT RELEASE	N 4057 M 20.24 SD 0.99	945 20.49 1.03	3112 20.16 0.97	566 20.49 0.99	3491 20.20 0.99	90 20.67 1.05	48 20.65 1.08	
		TIME IN INSTITUTION	N 4138 M 9.23 SD 4.77	962 12.09 4.69	3176 8.36 4.45	578 12.14 5.00	3560 8.75 4.56	93 13.23 5.18	50 14.84 6.10	
		WEIGHT	N 4131 M 149.67 SD 20.64	959 151.40 20.40	3172 149.15 20.68	577 151.15 20.41	3554 149.43 20.67	92 149.71 20.71	50 152.82 15.46	
		HEIGHT	N 4133 M 60.33 SD 2.85	959 68.36 2.76	3174 68.32 2.88	577 68.35 2.89	3556 68.33 2.84	93 68.33 2.40	50 68.42 2.89	
5	MARITAL STATUS	SINGLE	N 3277 M 81.9% SD 61.0%S	793 82.4% 69.0%S	2484 81.8% 58.5%S	460 79.6% 60.7%S	2817 82.5% 59.6%S	79 84.9% 74.7%S	42 84.0% 71.4%S	

5

MARITAL STATUS

HEIGHT	H 4133 M 60.33 SD 2.85	959 68.36 2.76	3174 68.32 2.88	577 68.35 2.89	20.68 20.41	3556 68.33 2.84	20.67 20.41	93 68.33 2.40	20.71 20.71	50 68.48 2.89	15.46
	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL				
SINGLE	H 3277 81.9% 61.0%	795 82.4% 69.0%	2484 82.3% 58.5%	460 79.6% 68.7%	2817 82.3% 59.8%	79 84.9% 74.7%	42 84.0% 71.4%				
MARRIED	H 387 9.7% 63.3%	93 9.7% 63.4%	294 9.7% 63.3%	58 10.0% 72.4%	329 9.6% 61.7%	8 8.6% 50.0%	6 12.0% 50.0%				
DIVORCED	H 55 1.4% 56.4%	12 1.2% 83.3%	43 1.4% 48.8%	8 1.4% 62.5%	47 1.4% 55.3%	1 1.1% 0.0%					
DIVORCED, REMARRIED	H 18 0.5% 33.3%	2 0.2% 0.0%	16 0.5% 37.5%	3 0.5% 0.0%	15 0.4% 40.0%						
SEPARATED	H 112 2.8% 66.1%	26 2.7% 76.9%	86 2.8% 62.8%	17 2.9% 82.4%	95 2.8% 62.2%	4 4.3% 50.0%					
COMMON-LAW	H 128 3.2% 57.8%	29 3.0% 65.5%	99 3.3% 55.6%	25 4.3% 72.0%	103 3.0% 54.4%	1 1.1% 100.0%	2 4.0%				
WIDOWED	H 2 0.1% 50.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.2% 0.0%	1 0.2% 100.0%						

6

CHILDREN
ACKNOWLEDGED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	H 3321 83.0% 61.2%	781 81.2% 68.9%	2540 83.6% 58.8%	463 80.1% 68.3%	2858 83.5% 60.0%	78 83.9% 73.1%	37 74.0% 67.6%
ONE	H 516 12.9% 60.5%	134 13.9% 66.4%	382 12.6% 58.4%	85 14.7% 71.8%	431 12.6% 58.2%	11 11.8% 72.7%	10 20.0% 50.0%
TWO	H 127 3.2% 64.6%	36 3.7% 75.0%	91 3.0% 60.4%	23 4.0% 82.6%	104 3.0% 60.6%	3 3.2% 33.3%	2 4.0% 100.0%
THREE	H 28 0.7% 64.3%	8 0.8% 50.0%	20 0.7% 70.0%	6 1.0% 66.7%	22 0.6% 63.6%	1 1.1% 0.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
FOUR AND MORE	H 8 0.2% 62.5%	3 0.3% 100.0%	5 0.2% 40.0%	1 0.2% 100.0%	7 0.2% 57.1%		

7

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
WIFE/GIRL FRIEND	H 349 8.7% 60.3%	84 8.7% 61.9%	265 8.7% 60.0%	49 8.5% 65.3%	300 8.8% 59.7%	8 8.6% 50.0%	6 12.0% 50.0%
NATURAL PARENTS	H 1185 29.6% 61.6%	296 30.8% 67.2%	889 29.3% 59.7%	169 29.2% 65.7%	1016 29.7% 60.8%	30 32.3% 80.0%	22 44.0% 54.5%
RELATIVES	H 248 6.2% 62.9%	65 6.8% 76.9%	183 6.0% 57.9%	36 6.2% 80.6%	212 6.2% 59.9%	3 3.2% 100.0%	4 8.0% 100.0%
FOSTER PARENTS	H 76 1.9% 50.0%	16 1.7% 50.0%	60 2.0% 50.0%	13 2.2% 46.2%	63 1.8% 50.8%	2 2.2% 100.0%	
FRIENDS, FIXED	H 134 3.4% 67.9%	26 2.7% 76.9%	108 3.6% 65.7%	18 3.1% 66.7%	116 3.4% 68.1%	3 3.2% 66.7%	1 2.0% 100.0%
ALONE, FIXED	H 144 3.6% 64.6%	27 2.8% 85.2%	117 3.9% 59.8%	19 3.3% 100.0%	125 3.7% 59.2%	3 3.2% 100.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
ALONE, NOT FIXED	H 207 5.2% 57.0%	34 3.5% 73.5%	173 5.7% 53.8%	32 5.5% 78.1%	175 5.1% 53.1%	3 3.2% 66.7%	
GROUP HOME	H 17 0.4% 47.1%	2 0.2% 50.0%	15 0.5% 46.7%		17 0.5% 47.1%		

8

MARITAL STATUS
OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NEVER MARRIED	H 214 5.4% 61.7%	59 6.1% 69.5%	155 5.1% 58.7%	21 3.6% 71.4%	193 5.6% 60.6%	5 5.4% 60.0%	
MARRIED	H 1631 40.8% 62.9%	417 45.3% 69.3%	1214 40.0% 60.7%	265 45.8% 67.2%	1366 40.0% 62.1%	38 40.3% 68.4%	26 52.0% 65.4%
DIVORCED	H 30 0.8% 66.7%	13 1.4% 69.2%	17 0.6% 64.7%	6 1.0% 83.3%	24 0.7% 62.5%	3 6.0% 66.7%	
DIVORCED, REMARRIED	H 345 8.6% 61.7%	85 8.6% 67.5%	262 8.6% 59.9%	53 9.2% 69.8%	292 8.5% 60.3%	10 10.8% 80.0%	2 4.0% 100.0%
SEPARATED	H 1263 31.6% 61.2%	309 32.1% 68.6%	954 31.4% 58.8%	182 31.5% 73.1%	1081 31.6% 59.2%	32 34.4% 71.9%	18 36.0% 66.7%
COMMON-LAW	H 8 0.2% 62.5%	1 0.1% 100.0%	7 0.2% 57.1%		8 0.2% 62.5%		
WIDOWED	H 3 0.1% 33.3%	3 0.1% 33.3%			3 0.1% 33.3%		

9

DEATH OF PARENTS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
FATHER DEAD	N 498 12.0% 61.0%	124 12.3% 61.3%	374 11.7% 61.0%	65 11.2% 63.1%	433 12.1% 60.7%	7 7.5% 42.9%	7 16.0% 71.4%
MOTHER DEAD	N 193 4.7% 62.7%	53 5.5% 62.7%	140 4.4% 57.1%	29 5.0% 79.3%	164 4.6% 59.8%	5 5.4% 80.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
BOTH DEAD	N 64 1.5% 56.3%	14 1.5% 57.1%	50 1.6% 56.0%	7 1.2% 42.9%	57 1.6% 57.9%		1 2.0% 100.0%
BOTH LIVING	N 3391 81.8% 60.8%	771 80.1% 69.5%	2620 82.3% 58.2%	477 82.5% 70.0%	2914 81.7% 59.3%	81 87.1% 72.8%	41 82.0% 63.4%

10

MILITARY DISCIPLINARY ACTION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
YES	N 301 7.5% 65.1%	78 8.1% 83.3%	223 7.3% 58.7%	50 8.7% 88.0%	251 7.3% 60.6%	5 5.4% 80.0%	5 10.0% 100.0%
NO	N 167 4.2% 68.9%	52 5.4% 80.8%	115 3.8% 63.5%	34 5.8% 82.4%	133 3.9% 65.4%	6 6.5% 50.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
NO SERVICE	N 3506 87.7% 66.5%	827 86.1% 66.4%	2679 88.2% 58.7%	490 84.9% 66.3%	3016 88.1% 59.5%	81 88.0% 71.6%	44 88.0% 61.4%

11

MILITARY DISCHARGE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
HONORABLE	N 104 2.6% 57.7%	23 2.4% 69.6%	81 2.7% 54.3%	15 2.6% 73.3%	89 2.6% 55.1%	3 3.2% 66.7%	
GENERAL HONORABLE CONDITIONS	N 104 2.6% 54.8%	21 2.2% 85.7%	83 2.7% 47.0%	15 2.6% 80.0%	89 2.6% 50.6%	3 3.2% 66.7%	
DISHONORABLE, ETC.	N 117 2.9% 70.1%	33 3.4% 75.8%	84 2.8% 67.5%	21 3.6% 90.5%	96 2.8% 65.6%	3 3.2% 66.7%	
MEDICAL	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	7 0.7% 100.0%	18 0.6% 66.7%	4 0.7% 100.0%	21 0.6% 71.4%		1 2.0% 100.0%
OTHER	N 59 1.5% 72.9%	19 2.0% 78.9%	40 1.3% 70.0%	7 1.2% 71.4%	52 1.5% 73.1%	2 2.2% 50.0%	4 8.0% 100.0%

12

HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NO HISTORY OF ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 2278 54.9% 60.8%	456 47.4% 71.3%	1822 57.2% 58.2%	285 49.3% 69.1%	1993 55.8% 59.6%	5 5.4% 80.0%	50 100.0% 65.0%
MODERATE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 1244 30.0% 62.1%	321 33.4% 67.9%	923 29.0% 60.1%	191 33.0% 70.2%	1053 29.5% 60.7%	51 54.8% 68.6%	
SEVERE ALCOHOL MISUSE	N 624 15.1% 58.5%	185 19.2% 63.8%	439 13.8% 56.3%	102 17.6% 68.6%	522 14.6% 56.5%	37 39.6% 73.0%	
ALCOHOL NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 2555 61.6% 60.9%	516 53.6% 71.1%	2039 64.0% 58.3%	378 56.7% 69.5%	2227 62.4% 59.6%	15 16.1% 80.0%	50 100.0% 66.0%
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 1024 24.7% 66.1%	516 56.0% 67.3%	678 21.3% 59.4%	190 32.8% 71.6%	834 23.4% 60.0%	65 69.9% 70.8%	
ALCOHOL FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 567 13.7% 58.4%	100 10.4% 61.0%	467 14.7% 57.8%	60 10.4% 61.7%	507 14.2% 58.0%	13 14.0% 61.5%	

13

HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NO HISTORY OF DRUG MISUSE	N 3488 84.1% 61.9%	817 84.9% 71.0%	2671 83.9% 59.1%	455 85.6% 71.1%	2993 83.9% 60.3%	81 87.1% 74.1%	43 86.0% 69.8%
ISOLATED DRUG MISUSE	N 263 6.3% 59.3%	58 6.0% 62.1%	205 6.4% 58.5%	31 5.4% 64.5%	232 6.5% 58.6%	1 1.1% 100.0%	4 8.0% 25.0%
MODERATE DRUG MISUSE	N 337 8.1% 53.4%	75 7.8% 50.7%	262 8.2% 54.2%	46 8.0% 52.2%	291 8.2% 53.6%	11 11.8% 45.5%	1 2.0% 100.0%
SEVERE DRUG MISUSE	N 58 1.4% 50.0%	12 1.2% 58.3%	46 1.4% 47.8%	6 1.0% 83.3%	52 1.5% 46.2%		2 4.0% 50.0%
DRUGS NO FACTOR IN CRIME	N 3788 91.4% 61.2%	891 92.6% 69.7%	2897 91.0% 58.6%	532 92.0% 70.1%	3256 91.3% 59.7%	84 90.3% 73.8%	48 96.0% 66.7%
DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 209 5.0% 57.4%	42 4.4% 57.1%	167 5.2% 57.5%	29 5.0% 69.0%	180 5.0% 55.6%	6 6.5% 66.7%	2 4.0% 50.0%
DRUGS FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 149 3.6% 57.0%	29 3.0% 55.2%	120 3.8% 57.5%	17 2.9% 47.1%	132 3.7% 58.3%	3 3.2% 0.0%	

14

TOTAL STUDY POPULATION ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL

DRUGS FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 149 3.6% 57.0%	29 3.0% 55.2%	120 3.8% 57.5%	17 2.9% 47.1%	132 3.7% 58.3%	3 3.2% 0.0%	4.0% 66.7%	50.0%
-----------------------------------	------------------------	---------------------	----------------------	---------------------	----------------------	-------------------	---------------	-------

14

HISTORY OF OPIATE USE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NO HISTORY OF OPIATE USE	N 3971 95.6% 61.4%	926 96.3% 69.0%	3045 95.6% 59.1%	552 95.5% 69.9%	3419 95.8% 60.1%	88 94.6% 73.5%	47 94.0% 66.0%
ISOLATED OPIATE USE	N 43 1.0% 62.8%	13 1.4% 61.5%	30 0.9% 63.3%	4 0.7% 100.0%	39 1.1% 59.0%	2 2.2% 50.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
MODERATE OPIATE USE	N 102 2.5% 42.2%	19 2.0% 57.9%	83 2.6% 38.6%	17 2.9% 52.9%	85 2.4% 40.0%	3 3.2% 0.0%	2 4.0% 50.0%
SEVERE OPIATE USE	N 30 0.7% 43.3%	4 0.4% 75.0%	26 0.8% 38.5%	5 0.9% 40.0%	25 0.7% 44.0%		
OPIATES NO FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 4046 97.6% 61.3%	945 98.2% 69.0%	3101 97.4% 59.0%	559 96.7% 70.1%	3487 97.7% 59.9%	83 94.6% 73.5%	50 100.0% 66.0%
OPIATES FACTOR IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	N 73 1.8% 42.5%	12 1.2% 58.3%	61 1.9% 39.3%	14 2.4% 57.1%	59 1.7% 39.0%	3 3.2% 33.3%	
OPIATES FACTOR IN PAST OFFENSES ONLY	N 27 0.7% 37.0%	5 0.5% 40.0%	22 0.7% 36.4%	5 0.9% 20.0%	22 0.6% 40.9%	2 2.2% 0.0%	

15

HISTORY OF MARIJUANA AND GLUE-SNIFFING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NO HISTORY OF USE OF MARIJUANA	N 3345 80.7% 61.3%	805 83.7% 70.1%	2540 79.8% 58.5%	473 81.8% 70.2%	2872 80.5% 59.8%	79 84.9% 75.9%	42 84.0% 71.4%
MARIJUANA USE	N 801 19.3% 59.2%	157 16.3% 61.8%	644 20.2% 58.5%	105 18.2% 55.7%	696 19.5% 52.2%	14 15.1% 42.3%	8 16.0% 37.5%
NO HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 3890 93.8% 61.0%	909 94.5% 68.6%	2981 93.6% 58.7%	538 93.1% 69.3%	3352 95.9% 59.7%	89 95.7% 70.8%	47 94.0% 66.0%
HISTORY OF GLUESNIFFING	N 256 6.2% 58.6%	53 5.5% 69.8%	203 6.4% 55.7%	40 6.9% 70.0%	216 6.1% 56.5%	4 4.3% 75.0%	3 6.0% 66.7%

16

HISTORY OF ESCAPE AND SEXUAL DEVIATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NO ESCAPES	N 3539 85.4% 63.3%	857 89.1% 70.4%	2682 84.2% 61.0%	511 88.4% 71.0%	3028 84.9% 62.0%	84 90.3% 72.6%	47 94.0% 70.2%
ESCAPES FROM MINIMUM SECURITY	N 526 12.7% 47.8%	90 9.4% 52.2%	436 13.7% 47.0%	57 9.9% 56.1%	469 13.1% 46.9%	8 8.6% 62.5%	2 4.0% 0.0%
ESCAPES WITH FORCE	N 81 2.0% 39.5%	15 1.6% 73.3%	66 2.1% 31.8%	10 1.7% 60.0%	71 2.0% 36.6%	1 1.1% 0.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%
NO HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 4026 97.1% 60.0%	902 93.8% 69.2%	3124 98.1% 58.5%	563 97.4% 69.4%	3463 97.1% 59.5%	86 92.5% 70.9%	49 98.0% 67.3%
HISTORY OF FORCIBLE RAPE	N 120 2.9% 60.0%	60 6.2% 61.7%	60 1.9% 58.3%	15 2.6% 66.7%	105 2.9% 59.0%	7 7.5% 71.4%	1 2.0% 0.0%
NO HISTORY OF SEXUAL DEVIATION	N 3929 94.8% 60.8%	909 94.5% 69.0%	3020 94.8% 58.4%	555 96.0% 69.5%	3374 94.6% 59.4%	89 95.7% 69.7%	50 100.0% 66.0%
HISTORY OF ISOLATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 156 3.8% 57.1%	36 3.7% 55.6%	120 3.8% 57.5%	14 2.4% 50.0%	142 4.0% 57.7%	2 2.2% 100.0%	
HISTORY OF REPEATED SEXUALLY DEVIANT BEHAVIOR	N 61 1.5% 72.1%	17 1.8% 82.4%	44 1.4% 66.2%	9 1.6% 88.9%	52 1.5% 69.2%	2 2.2% 100.0%	
NO HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUALITY	N 4009 96.7% 61.4%	930 96.7% 69.0%	3079 96.7% 59.0%	539 96.7% 69.8%	3450 96.7% 60.0%	89 95.7% 70.8%	50 100.0% 66.0%
HISTORY OF ISOLATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 79 1.9% 40.5%	18 1.9% 55.6%	61 1.9% 36.1%	11 1.9% 54.5%	68 1.9% 38.2%	3 3.2% 100.0%	
HISTORY OF REPEATED HOMOSEXUAL BEHAVIOR	N 43 1.0% 53.5%	11 1.1% 54.5%	32 1.0% 53.1%	7 1.2% 57.1%	36 1.0% 52.8%	1 1.1% 0.0%	
HISTORY OF HOMOSEXUAL PATTERN	N 15 0.4% 53.3%	3 0.3% 100.0%	12 0.4% 41.7%	1 0.2% 100.0%	14 0.4% 50.0%		

17

PREVIOUS PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NO HISTORY OF SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 4047 97.6% 61.1%	939 97.6% 68.7%	3108 97.6% 68.8%	566 97.6% 69.1%	3481 97.6% 59.8%	91 97.6% 70.3%	48 96.0% 68.8%
INFREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 65 1.6% 90.8%	16 1.7% 56.3%	49 1.5% 49.0%	8 1.4% 75.0%	57 1.6% 47.4%	1 1.1% 100.0%	2 4.0% 0.0%
FREQUENT SUICIDE GESTURES	N 24 0.6% 50.0%	6 0.6% 100.0%	18 0.6% 33.3%	4 0.7% 100.0%	20 0.6% 40.0%	3 1.1% 100.0%	
SERIOUS SUICIDE ATTEMPTS	N 10 0.2% 40.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	9 0.3% 33.3%		10 0.3% 40.0%		
NO HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 4118 99.3% 60.9%	959 99.7% 68.8%	3159 99.2% 58.5%	576 99.7% 69.4%	3542 99.3% 59.5%	93 100.0% 71.0%	50 100.0% 66.0%
HISTORY OF BRAIN DAMAGE	N 28 0.7% 53.6%	3 0.3% 33.3%	25 0.8% 56.0%	2 0.3% 50.0%	26 0.7% 53.8%		
NO HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 4125 99.5% 60.8%	959 99.7% 68.6%	3166 99.4% 58.3%	576 99.7% 69.3%	3549 99.5% 59.5%	93 100.0% 71.0%	50 100.0% 66.0%
HISTORY OF EPILEPSY	N 21 0.5% 61.9%	3 0.3% 100.0%	18 0.6% 55.6%	2 0.3% 100.0%	19 0.5% 57.9%		
NO HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 4092 98.7% 61.1%	553 99.1% 68.8%	3139 98.6% 58.7%	572 99.0% 69.4%	3520 98.7% 59.7%	92 98.9% 71.7%	49 98.0% 65.3%
HISTORY OF NEUROSIS	N 54 1.3% 44.4%	9 0.9% 55.6%	45 1.4% 42.2%	6 1.0% 66.7%	48 1.3% 41.7%	1 1.1% 0.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
NO HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 4097 98.8% 60.8%	951 98.9% 68.8%	3146 98.8% 58.5%	568 98.3% 69.4%	3529 98.9% 59.5%	91 97.8% 71.4%	50 100.0% 66.0%
HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS	N 49 1.2% 61.2%	11 1.1% 63.6%	38 1.2% 60.5%	10 1.7% 70.0%	39 1.1% 59.0%	2 2.2% 50.0%	
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 3870 93.3% 61.6%	885 92.0% 69.0%	2985 93.8% 59.3%	529 91.5% 69.8%	3341 93.6% 60.3%	77 82.8% 74.0%	46 92.0% 63.0%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 276 6.7% 51.1%	77 8.0% 64.9%	199 6.3% 45.7%	49 8.5% 67.3%	227 6.4% 47.6%	16 17.2% 56.3%	4 8.0% 100.0%
NO HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 4012 96.8% 61.2%	930 96.7% 69.2%	3082 96.8% 58.8%	561 97.1% 69.5%	3451 96.7% 59.8%	91 97.8% 72.5%	49 98.0% 67.3%
HISTORY OF PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 134 3.2% 50.0%	32 3.3% 53.1%	102 3.2% 49.0%	17 2.9% 64.7%	117 3.3% 47.9%	2 2.2% 0.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%
NO HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 4031 97.2% 61.3%	935 97.2% 69.3%	3096 97.2% 58.9%	559 96.7% 70.3%	3472 97.3% 59.8%	90 96.8% 70.0%	47 94.0% 68.1%
HISTORY OF SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 115 2.8% 45.2%	27 2.8% 48.1%	88 2.8% 44.3%	19 3.3% 42.1%	96 2.7% 45.8%	3 3.2% 100.0%	3 6.0% 33.3%

18

INTELLIGENCE CLASSIFICATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
MENTAL DEFECTIVE	N 23 0.6% 69.6%	3 0.3% 100.0%	20 0.6% 65.0%	3 0.5% 100.0%	20 0.6% 65.0%		
BORDERLINE	N 127 3.1% 63.0%	32 3.3% 68.8%	95 3.0% 61.1%	27 4.7% 74.1%	100 2.8% 60.0%	2 2.2% 100.0%	1 2.1% 100.0%
DULL NORMAL	N 1000 24.2% 59.2%	248 25.9% 66.5%	752 23.7% 56.8%	134 23.3% 68.7%	866 24.4% 57.7%	10 20.7% 88.4%	10 20.8% 80.0%
AVERAGE	N 244 5.9% 60.7%	571 59.7% 68.3%	1869 59.0% 58.4%	333 58.0% 68.2%	2107 59.3% 59.5%	54 58.7% 72.2%	33 68.8% 60.6%
BRIGHT NORMAL	N 446 10.8% 63.7%	84 8.8% 73.8%	262 11.4% 61.3%	63 11.0% 71.4%	383 10.8% 62.4%	13 14.1% 61.5%	4 8.3% 75.0%
SUPERIOR	N 81 2.0% 67.9%	16 1.7% 87.5%	65 2.1% 63.1%	13 2.3% 84.6%	68 1.9% 64.7%	3 3.3% 66.7%	
VERY SUPERIOR	N 9 0.2% 77.8%	2 0.2% 100.0%	7 0.2% 71.4%	1 0.2% 100.0%	8 0.2% 75.0%	1 1.1% 100.0%	

19

RESULTS OF INTELLIGENCE TESTING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
ARMY GENERAL CLASSIFICATION TEST I.Q.	N 2684 M 99.42 SD 11.23	587 99.32 10.96	2097 99.45 11.30	364 99.86 11.30	2320 99.35 11.22	60 101.50 12.06	29 99.48 11.05
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2679 M 48.80 SD 21.25	587 49.32 20.18	2092 48.66 21.51	364 50.61 20.84	2315 48.52 21.31	60 54.38 19.90	29 46.24 20.15
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2682 M 56.68 SD 25.83	588 56.57 25.39	2094 55.95 25.95	365 57.83 25.62	2317 55.81 25.85	60 60.35 27.57	29 58.48 25.30
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	N 2683 M 54.10 SD 24.64	588 52.79 24.39	2095 54.47 24.70	365 53.69 25.14	2318 54.17 24.56	60 54.27 24.56	29 55.30

INTELLIGENCE TESTING

CLASSIFICATION TEST I.Q.	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD
VERBAL PERCENTILE RANK	2673	48.80	2022	48.66	364	50.61	2315	48.52	60	49.38	29	46.24
	21.25	20.18	21.55	20.84	21.31	19.90	21.31	19.90	20.35	20.35	20.35	20.35
NUMERICAL PERCENTILE RANK	2682	56.08	2094	55.95	365	57.83	2317	55.81	60	56.35	29	58.48
	25.83	25.39	25.95	25.62	25.85	27.57	25.85	27.57	25.30	25.30	25.30	25.30
SPATIAL PERCENTILE RANK	2683	54.10	2095	53.69	365	54.17	2318	54.27	60	54.27	29	55.00
	24.64	24.39	24.70	25.14	24.56	24.56	24.56	24.56	24.16	24.16	24.16	24.16
CALIFORNIA TEST OF MENTAL MATURITY TOTAL I.Q.	3865	90.81	2973	91.00	538	91.04	3327	90.78	84	92.95	42	91.83
	13.89	13.54	13.99	13.99	13.93	13.89	13.89	13.89	12.55	12.55	12.55	12.55
LANGUAGE I.Q.	3867	86.98	2972	87.16	540	87.36	3327	86.92	84	90.92	42	86.43
	16.56	16.21	16.66	16.35	16.60	16.60	16.60	16.60	15.59	15.59	15.59	15.59
NONLANGUAGE I.Q.	3877	94.17	2980	94.37	541	94.48	3336	94.12	85	95.84	42	96.76
	14.09	13.61	14.23	14.49	14.03	14.03	14.03	14.03	11.53	11.53	11.53	11.53
D-48 RAW SCORE	2712	20.97	2072	21.01	389	20.97	2323	20.97	59	22.10	35	20.11
	7.74	7.64	7.77	8.00	7.70	7.70	7.70	7.70	6.68	6.68	6.68	6.68
RAVEN MATRICES RAW SCORE	3517	43.33	2691	43.37	496	43.51	3021	43.29	78	44.01	40	44.35
	8.66	9.11	8.52	9.74	8.47	8.47	8.47	8.47	7.82	7.82	7.82	7.82
SHIPLEY HARTFORD CONCEPTUAL QUOTIENT	1767	96.05	1355	96.11	249	96.22	1518	96.02	48	97.38	20	95.10
	14.38	14.58	14.33	14.40	14.38	14.38	14.38	14.38	13.75	13.75	13.75	13.75
LANGUAGE RAW SCORE	2767	23.75	2151	23.74	383	23.77	2384	23.74	62	24.97	29	23.97
	5.45	5.22	5.51	5.42	5.42	5.42	5.42	5.42	4.84	4.84	4.84	4.84
ABSTRACT RAW SCORE	2896	24.02	2099	24.01	376	24.21	2320	23.99	59	25.53	29	23.79
	7.98	7.70	8.05	8.00	7.97	7.97	7.97	7.97	7.14	7.14	7.14	7.14

20

RESULTS OF THE CALIFORNIA ACHIEVEMENT TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 4067	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 945	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 3724	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 567	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 3500	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL N = 89	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL N = 48
READING VOCABULARY	M 7.55 SD 2.76	7.42 2.70	7.59 2.77	7.53 2.78	7.55 2.75	8.15 2.74	7.11 2.71
READING COMPREHENSION	M 7.66 SD 2.69	7.56 2.68	7.69 2.70	7.72 2.79	7.65 2.68	8.00 2.90	7.45 2.81
READING AVERAGE	M 7.62 SD 2.66	7.50 2.63	7.66 2.67	7.64 2.72	7.62 2.65	8.09 2.76	7.31 2.71
ARITHMETIC REASONING	M 7.47 SD 2.23	7.39 2.20	7.50 2.23	7.52 2.31	7.47 2.21	7.84 2.50	7.40 2.31
ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTALS	M 7.27 SD 1.98	7.22 1.97	7.28 1.98	7.31 2.05	7.26 2.02	7.63 2.30	7.27 2.25
ARITHMETIC AVERAGE	M 7.39 SD 2.03	7.33 2.02	7.41 2.04	7.44 2.12	7.39 2.02	7.75 2.34	7.35 2.22
LANGUAGE MECHANICS	M 7.22 SD 2.52	7.08 2.55	7.26 2.51	7.22 2.65	7.22 2.50	7.53 2.64	6.86 2.57
LANGUAGE SPELLING	M 7.35 SD 2.60	7.32 2.62	7.36 2.59	7.40 2.66	7.34 2.59	7.99 2.78	7.13 2.42
LANGUAGE AVERAGE	M 7.30 SD 2.45	7.20 2.50	7.33 2.43	7.32 2.55	7.30 2.43	7.78 2.64	7.02 2.41
TOTAL GRADE PLACEMENT	M 7.42 SD 2.28	7.32 2.28	7.45 2.28	7.43 2.37	7.42 2.27	7.82 2.50	7.20 2.37

21

GRADE COMPLETED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
3	N 3 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%	2 0.1% 0.0%	1 0.1% 0.0%	2 0.1% 0.0%		
4	N 5 0.1% 40.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	4 0.1% 25.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	4 0.1% 25.0%		
5	N 21 0.5% 42.9%	5 0.5% 80.0%	16 0.5% 31.3%	1 0.1% 4.8%	21 0.6% 42.9%		
6	N 38 0.9% 57.9%	6 0.6% 66.7%	32 1.0% 56.3%	7 1.2% 57.1%	31 0.8% 58.1%		
7	N 94 2.3% 59.6%	27 2.9% 59.3%	67 2.1% 59.7%	15 2.7% 46.7%	79 2.3% 62.0%	4 4.5% 50.0%	2 4.2% 50.0%
8	N 323 7.9% 60.4%	67 7.1% 71.6%	256 8.2% 76.4%	42 7.4% 76.2%	281 8.0% 58.0%	5 5.1% 60.0%	6 12.5% 66.7%
9	N 685 16.8% 59.4%	143 15.2% 60.1%	542 17.3% 59.2%	85 15.0% 65.9%	600 17.1% 58.5%	13 14.8% 76.9%	5 10.4% 60.0%
10	N 1099 27.0% 60.1%	262 27.8% 70.2%	837 26.7% 77.0%	162 28.7% 77.8%	937 26.7% 57.1%	19 21.6% 68.4%	7 14.6% 65.7%
11	N 1051 25.8% 62.5%	260 27.6% 71.3%	791 25.3% 59.5%	145 25.7% 66.9%	906 25.8% 61.8%	28 31.8% 78.6%	17 35.4% 64.7%
12	N 706 17.3% 62.0%	158 16.8% 69.6%	548 17.5% 59.9%	101 17.9% 64.4%	605 17.3% 61.7%	19 21.6% 63.2%	10 20.8% 60.0%
13+	N 40 1.0% 75.0%	11 1.2% 81.3%	29 0.9% 72.4%	6 1.1% 66.7%	34 1.0% 76.5%		1 2.1% 100.0%

22

GRADE ACHIEVED

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
1	N 12 0.3% 58.3%	3 0.3% 56.7%	9 0.3% 55.6%	3 0.5% 66.7%	9 0.3% 55.6%	1 1.1% 0.0%	
2	N 107 2.6% 58.9%	29 5.1% 69.0%	78 2.5% 55.1%	17 3.0% 64.7%	90 2.6% 57.8%	2 2.2% 100.0%	2 4.2% 100.0%
3	N 252 6.2% 63.5%	60 6.3% 75.0%	192 6.1% 59.9%	40 7.1% 75.0%	212 6.1% 61.3%	4 4.5% 100.0%	4 8.3% 100.0%
4	N 266 6.5% 62.0%	70 7.4% 60.0%	196 6.3% 62.8%	35 6.2% 74.3%	231 6.6% 60.2%	7 7.9% 85.7%	4 8.3% 25.0%
5	N 408 10.0% 60.3%	94 9.9% 70.2%	314 10.1% 57.3%	51 9.0% 60.8%	357 10.2% 60.2%	6 6.7% 66.7%	4 8.3% 100.0%
6	N 597 14.7% 58.6%	134 14.2% 67.2%	463 14.8% 56.2%	83 14.6% 67.5%	514 14.7% 57.2%	12 13.5% 66.7%	6 12.5% 83.3%
7	N 687 16.9% 61.1%	174 18.4% 63.8%	513 16.4% 60.2%	93 16.4% 65.6%	594 17.0% 60.4%	17 19.1% 64.7%	8 16.7% 50.0%
8	N 671 15.5% 60.2%	149 15.8% 70.5%	522 16.7% 57.3%	86 15.2% 69.8%	585 16.7% 58.8%	6 6.7% 83.3%	10 20.8% 50.0%
9	N 492 12.1% 59.1%	116 12.3% 65.5%	376 12.0% 57.2%	80 14.1% 65.0%	412 11.8% 58.0%	12 13.5% 50.0%	5 10.4% 60.0%
10	N 363 8.9% 62.0%	65 6.9% 73.8%	298 9.5% 59.4%	40 7.1% 72.5%	323 9.2% 60.7%	11 12.4% 72.7%	2 4.2% 100.0%
11	N 157 3.9% 67.5%	40 4.2% 80.0%	117 3.7% 63.2%	32 5.6% 81.3%	125 3.6% 64.0%	8 9.0% 62.5%	3 6.3% 66.7%
12	N 54 1.3% 72.2%	11 1.2% 100.0%	43 1.4% 65.1%	7 1.2% 100.0%	47 1.3% 68.1%	3 3.4% 100.0%	
13+	N 1 0.0% 100.0%	1 0.0% 100.0%	1 0.0% 100.0%	1 0.0% 100.0%	1 0.0% 100.0%	1 100.0%	

23

AGE LEFT SCHOOL

AGE	GRADE	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
12	6	N 13 0.3% 46.2%	2 0.2% 0.0%	11 0.4% 54.5%	1 0.2% 100.0%	12 0.4% 41.7%		1 2.0% 0.0%
13	7	N 20 0.5% 45.0%	5 0.5% 40.0%	15 0.5% 46.7%	4 0.7% 25.0%	16 0.5% 50.0%	1 1.1% 0.0%	
14	8	N 95 2.4% 56.8%	30 3.2% 60.0%	65 2.2% 55.4%	15 2.7% 53.3%	80 2.4% 57.5%	4 4.3% 25.0%	3 6.0% 0.0%
15	9	N 314 8.0% 59.8%	66 7.0% 65.2%	248 8.3% 58.5%	39 6.9% 66.7%	275 8.2% 58.9%	3 3.3% 66.7%	5 10.0% 60.0%
16	10	N 1126 28.6% 60.1%	260 27.6% 62.3%	866 28.9% 59.5%	162 28.7% 67.3%	964 28.6% 58.9%	31 33.7% 71.0%	7 14.0% 71.4%
17	11	N 1396 35.5% 60.2%	342 36.3% 72.8%	1054 35.2% 56.1%	210 37.2% 73.5%	1186 35.2% 57.8%	35 38.0% 71.4%	20 40.0% 70.0%
18	12	N 971 24.7% 65.6%	237 25.2% 73.4%	734 24.5% 63.1%	133 23.6% 69.2%	838 24.9% 65.0%	18 19.6% 83.3%	14 28.0% 78.6%

24

ACADEMIC DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
ACADEMIC DISABILITY	N 4030 M -2.74 SD 2.19	937 -2.88 2.19	3102 -2.70 2.18	562 -2.76 2.24	3477 -2.74 2.18	88 -2.51 2.58	48 -3.16 2.14
ESTIMATED ACADEMIC RETARDATION	N 4053 M -3.37 SD 1.95	942 -3.37 1.95	3111 -3.37 1.95	564 -3.25 1.98	3489 -3.39 1.95	89 -3.19 2.24	48 -3.80 1.74

25

RATING ON MOTIVATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
ACADEMIC TRAINING POTENTIAL MOTIVATED	N 2589 66.5% 62.4%	633 69.8% 71.7%	1956 65.5% 59.4%	383 70.7% 71.8%	2206 65.9% 60.7%	59 64.8% 76.3%	34 73.9% 76.5%
NOT MOTIVATED	N 1303 33.5% 57.6%	274 30.2% 62.0%	1029 34.5% 56.5%	159 29.3% 62.3%	1144 34.1% 57.0%	32 35.2% 62.5%	12 26.1% 41.7%
HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	N 424 10.6% 66.0%	106 11.0% 78.3%	318 10.5% 61.9%	71 12.3% 64.9%	353 10.3% 61.9%	16 17.2% 87.5%	6 12.0% 50.0%
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 2886 72.2% 61.8%	732 76.1% 69.7%	2154 70.9% 59.1%	132 22.8% 61.4%	980 28.7% 59.2%	67 72.0% 87.2%	32 64.0% 78.1%
NO STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR ACADEMIC TRAINING	N 1112 27.8% 59.5%	230 23.9% 65.7%	882 29.1% 57.9%	466 77.2% 71.7%	2440 71.3% 60.0%	26 28.0% 89.8%	18 36.0% 44.4%

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL INTELLIGENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	N 3888 M 99.30 SD 19.00	900 63.02 12.22	2988 90.69	532 62.72	3356 86.33	59 91.54 15.52	47 91.49 15.53

26

RESULTS ON GENERAL APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3888	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 300	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 2988	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 532	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 3356	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL N = 69	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL N = 47
GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	M 90.30 SD 18.24	89.02 17.96	90.69 18.31	90.60 18.66	90.26 18.18	91.44 20.26	89.94 17.22
VERBAL APTITUDE	M 86.06 SD 15.20	85.18 14.76	86.32 15.32	86.61 15.71	85.97 15.12	87.18 15.21	85.70 13.07
NUMERICAL APTITUDE	M 87.50 SD 19.93	87.79 19.82	87.41 19.96	88.74 20.50	87.30 19.83	90.31 22.09	89.70 19.89
SPATIAL APTITUDE	M 102.63 SD 20.43	99.83 20.08	103.47 20.46	100.76 20.59	102.93 20.39	104.75 21.13	102.47 19.59
PERCEPTIONAL APTITUDE	M 99.32 SD 19.51	98.57 19.10	99.55 19.63	98.95 19.96	99.38 19.44	100.73 17.92	100.15 22.71
CLERICAL APTITUDE	M 93.74 SD 15.06	93.92 14.88	93.69 15.12	94.67 15.16	93.60 15.04	95.81 14.66	94.45 16.24
MOTOR COORDINATION	M 96.34 SD 18.54	97.51 18.43	95.99 18.56	97.64 19.34	96.14 18.40	99.07 18.09	101.26 21.29
FINGER DEXTERITY	M 91.02 SD 19.42	90.85 19.05	91.07 19.53	91.80 19.05	90.90 19.47	93.17 18.33	92.69 21.14
MANUAL DEXTERITY	M 111.70 SD 21.61	111.67 21.17	111.71 21.75	112.34 21.70	111.60 21.60	114.01 16.37	116.98 22.57

27

RATINGS OF MOTIVATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
WOODSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 773 74.6% 60.825	180 71.1% 68.325	593 75.7% 58.545	109 74.7% 67.085	664 74.6% 59.825	14 60.9% 64.345	72 100.0% 50.025
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 263 25.4% 60.125	73 28.9% 68.585	190 24.3% 56.845	37 25.3% 73.025	226 25.4% 58.025	9 39.1% 88.925	9 88.9% 88.925
METALSHOP INSTRUCTOR RATING - MOTIVATED	N 396 69.9% 62.525	188 71.2% 69.735	508 69.4% 59.845	107 70.9% 73.845	589 69.7% 60.425	13 44.8% 61.525	12 92.3% 83.325
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 300 30.1% 58.325	76 28.8% 65.815	224 30.6% 55.815	44 29.1% 65.915	256 30.3% 57.025	16 55.2% 56.325	1 7.7% 0.025
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 2779 71.4% 62.425	702 77.4% 70.485	2077 69.6% 59.745	415 76.7% 70.815	2364 70.5% 60.525	64 70.3% 75.025	38 82.6% 73.725
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 1113 28.6% 57.125	205 22.6% 63.425	908 30.4% 55.625	126 23.3% 62.725	987 29.5% 56.325	27 29.7% 63.025	8 17.4% 37.525
COUNSELOR'S RATING - MOTIVATED	N 2872 71.8% 62.225	727 75.7% 69.525	2145 70.6% 59.725	439 76.2% 70.625	2433 71.1% 60.625	65 69.9% 69.225	40 80.0% 67.525
- NOT MOTIVATED	N 691 17.3% 57.725	135 14.0% 61.525	556 18.3% 56.825	75 13.0% 54.725	616 18.0% 58.125	20 21.5% 65.025	4 8.0% 75.025
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR VOCATIONAL TRAINING - YES	N 1065 26.6% 59.725	228 23.7% 68.025	2201 72.4% 59.325	441 76.3% 70.525	2493 72.9% 60.225	64 68.8% 65.625	40 80.0% 67.525
- NONE	N 2834 73.4% 61.825	733 76.3% 69.025	837 27.6% 57.525	137 23.7% 65.725	920 27.1% 58.825	29 31.2% 82.825	10 20.0% 60.025

28

WORK EXPERIENCE, UNION MEMBERSHIP, AND VOCATIONAL DISABILITY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 459 11.5% 58.825	134 13.9% 67.225	325 10.7% 55.425	75 13.1% 61.825	383 11.2% 58.225	11 11.8% 72.725	10 20.0% 60.025
0 - 6 MONTHS	N 1466 36.7% 59.325	330 34.3% 70.625	1136 37.4% 56.025	202 34.9% 69.325	1264 37.0% 57.725	34 36.6% 67.625	16 32.0% 62.525
6 - 12 MONTHS	N 725 18.1% 65.225	178 18.5% 72.525	547 18.0% 62.925	108 18.7% 74.125	617 18.0% 63.725	24 25.8% 79.225	9 18.0% 66.725
12 - 18 MONTHS	N 314 7.9% 59.925	72 7.5% 63.925	242 8.0% 58.725	52 9.0% 67.325	262 7.7% 58.425	6 6.5% 83.325	4 8.0% 50.025
18 - 24 MONTHS	N 138 3.5% 63.825	35 3.6% 77.125	103 3.4% 59.225	23 4.0% 75.925	115 3.4% 61.725	3 3.2% 66.725	3 6.0% 100.025
24 MONTHS AND OVER	N 433 10.8% 66.325	97 10.1% 67.025	336 11.1% 66.125	56 9.7% 71.425	377 11.0% 65.525	6 6.5% 50.025	4 8.0% 75.025
SPORADIC	N 407 10.2% 58.725	101 10.5% 63.425	306 10.1% 57.225	52 9.0% 69.225	355 10.4% 57.225	8 8.6% 62.525	4 8.0% 25.025
UNION MEMBER	N 309 7.7% 65.725	83 8.6% 73.525	226 7.4% 62.825	56 9.7% 75.025	253 7.4% 63.625	12 12.8% 83.325	2 4.0% 50.025
NOT A UNION MEMBER	N 3661 91.5% 60.825	875 91.1% 68.225	2786 91.7% 58.525	518 89.8% 68.725	3143 91.8% 59.525	80 86.0% 68.825	48 96.0% 66.725
VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 239 6.0% 62.825	56 5.8% 64.325	183 6.0% 62.325	39 6.8% 71.825	200 5.8% 61.025	7 7.5% 71.425	3 6.0% 66.725
NO VOCATIONAL DISABILITY	N 3722 93.1% 61.125	901 93.8% 68.825	2821 92.9% 58.625	534 92.5% 69.125	3188 93.2% 59.725	84 90.3% 70.225	47 94.0% 65.025

29

RESULTS ON THE CALIFORNIA PSYCHOLOGICAL INVENTORY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3163	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 702	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 2481	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 412	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 2691	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL N = 70	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL N = 33
DOMINANCE	M	38.37	39.44	38.06	39.53	38.19	41.11	40.94
	SD	12.10	11.86	12.16	11.87	12.13	11.23	12.05
CAPACITY FOR STATUS	M	39.36	39.88	39.20	40.10	39.24	40.79	38.39
	SD	11.64	11.30	11.74	11.19	11.71	10.53	11.28
SOCIALITY	M	44.10	45.41	43.72	45.24	43.92	46.73	45.79
	SD	11.48	11.16	11.55	11.35	11.49	11.79	9.68
SOCIAL PRESENCE	M	47.24	47.92	47.04	48.20	47.09	50.23	49.15
	SD	11.10	10.82	11.18	10.61	11.17	9.78	10.73
SELF ACCEPTANCE	M	50.89	51.99	50.56	52.18	50.69	52.16	52.79
	SD	11.42	11.35	11.42	10.87	11.49	10.24	10.08
SENSE OF WELL-BEING	M	37.68	39.25	37.22	38.67	37.53	40.73	40.24
	SD	13.53	13.21	13.22	13.39	13.66	14.20	12.77
RESPONSIBILITY	M	30.97	31.33	30.86	30.89	30.98	33.00	31.88
	SD	11.45	11.45	11.35	11.48	11.36	11.10	9.68
SOCIALIZATION	M	32.68	33.97	32.30	33.40	32.57	35.79	39.03
	SD	9.73	10.10	9.59	9.89	9.70	8.99	9.02
SELF CONTROL	M	41.77	42.73	41.48	42.29	41.69	42.90	44.39
	SD	11.14	10.99	11.17	11.23	11.12	11.79	10.66
TOLERANCE	M	34.83	35.45	34.65	34.80	34.84	37.37	35.33
	SD	11.77	11.78	11.76	11.74	11.77	12.82	12.46
GOOD IMPRESSION	M	43.39	44.65	43.01	44.04	43.29	45.34	44.64
	SD	10.82	10.93	10.76	11.03	10.78	11.28	10.95
COMMUNALITY	M	48.64	48.95	48.55	48.55	48.66	49.74	54.03
	SD	11.84	12.08	11.77	12.03	11.81	10.46	8.03
ACHIEVEMENT VIA CONFORMANCE	M	37.09	38.40	36.70	38.04	36.94	39.83	40.52
	SD	12.32	12.11	12.35	12.15	12.34	12.29	10.66
ACHIEVEMENT VIA INDEPENDENCE	M	39.47	39.73	39.40	39.47	39.48	40.70	40.06
	SD	10.20	10.19	10.21	10.75	10.12	12.34	11.71
INTELLECTUAL EFFICIENCY	M	30.57	35.65	34.25	35.78	34.38	37.49	37.09
	SD	12.86	12.64	12.91	13.10	12.81	13.38	9.99
PSYCHOLOGICAL MINDEDNESS	M	43.53	44.11	43.37	43.87	43.48	43.31	42.39
	SD	12.20	12.05	12.24	12.72	12.12	9.88	8.38
FLEXIBILITY	M	49.61	49.28	49.70	48.99	49.70	48.80	43.45
	SD	9.54	9.21	9.63	9.29	9.57	8.90	8.64
FEMININITY	M	49.08	49.74	48.89	49.78	48.98	50.90	49.52
	SD	9.93	9.90	9.94	9.55	9.99	9.10	9.23
CPI EQUATION	M	49.82	50.12	49.73	49.82	49.82	50.35	51.94
	SD	4.98	5.13	4.93	4.78	5.01	6.61	4.10

30

RESULTS ON THE MINNESOTA MULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY

		TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3103	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 718	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 2410	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 422	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 2706	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL N = 71	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL N = 35
LIE	M	54.42	54.60	54.36	54.32	54.43	54.51	54.11
	SD	6.68	6.82	6.64	6.96	6.64	7.56	5.94
VALIDITY	M	61.60	60.98	61.79	61.22	61.66	59.89	60.14
	SD	9.70	9.46	9.77	9.33	9.76	8.58	8.61
CORRECTION FACTOR	M	51.63	52.61	51.33	51.97	51.57	53.41	51.77
	SD	9.25	8.91	9.34	9.23	9.26	9.00	8.15
HYPOCHONDRIASIS	M	53.52	53.36	53.57	52.60	53.67	54.14	50.86
	SD	10.97	10.85	11.01	10.11	11.09	10.92	8.91
DEPRESSION	M	60.98	59.79	61.34	59.88	61.16	60.77	57.06
	SD	12.04	11.83	12.07	11.47	12.11	11.85	8.94
HYSTERIA	M	55.97	56.43	55.83	55.67	56.02	56.92	55.20
	SD	9.05	8.73	9.13	8.23	9.17	9.79	6.66
PSYCHOPATHIC DEVIATE	M	74.31	73.48	74.56	73.41	74.45	72.69	69.57
	SD	11.21	11.04	11.25	10.71	11.28	10.95	12.04
MASCULINITY-FEMININITY	M	54.81	54.53	54.89	54.41	54.87	54.68	55.80
	SD	9.79	9.40	9.90	9.96	9.76	8.72	6.61
PARANOIA	M	59.87	59.12	60.10	59.32	59.96	59.52	60.69
	SD	12.35	12.53	12.28	12.55	12.31	10.29	12.90
PSYCHIATHENIA*	M	61.81	60.42	62.22	59.71	62.14	61.69	61.46
	SD	13.32	13.40	13.26	13.02	13.33	13.99	13.83
SCHIZOPHRENIA	M	66.71	65.94	66.94	65.64	66.87	64.68	64.06
	SD	14.67	14.70	14.66	14.31	14.72	14.76	14.46
HYPMANIA	M	64.45	64.42	64.46	64.80	64.40	63.24	64.31
	SD	11.91	11.96	11.90	11.87	11.92	11.69	11.64
SOCIAL INTROVERSION	M	53.39	52.27	53.73	52.37	53.55	51.79	52.00
	SD	10.06	9.23	10.28	9.34	10.17	9.39	8.36
MMPI EQUATION	M	50.91	50.96	50.89	50.91	50.91	51.23	50.90
	SD	3.03	2.90	3.07	2.96	3.04	2.79	2.76

31

RESULTS ON THE INTERPERSONAL PERSONALITY INVENTORY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION N = 3181	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 737	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 2444	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 431	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE N = 2750	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL N = 71	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL N = 35
M	44.88	44.92	44.87	45.17	44.83	46.13	43.42
SD	8.98	8.94	8.99	9.35	8.92	9.11	8.00

32

RESULTS OF CPI AND MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
CPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1766 56.0%	416 56.3%	1350 56.3%	251 60.9%	1515 56.3%	43 61.4%	23 69.7%
CPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1336 43.1%	286 40.7%	1050 43.8%	161 39.1%	1175 43.7%	27 38.6%	10 30.3%
MMPI PREDICTION - HITS	N 1797 57.5%	436 60.7%	1361 56.5%	264 62.6%	1533 56.7%	45 63.4%	28 80.0%
MMPI PREDICTION - MISSES	N 1329 42.5%	282 39.3%	1047 43.5%	158 37.4%	1171 43.3%	26 36.6%	7 20.0%

33

RESULTS OF THE CPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1278 41.2%	330 47.0%	948 39.5%	198 48.1%	1080 40.1%	35 50.0%	19 57.6%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 488 15.7%	86 12.3%	402 16.8%	53 12.9%	435 16.2%	8 11.4%	4 12.1%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 704 22.7%	131 18.7%	573 23.9%	65 15.8%	639 23.8%	12 17.1%	7 21.2%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 632 20.4%	155 22.1%	477 19.9%	96 23.3%	536 19.9%	15 21.4%	3 9.1%

34

RESULTS OF THE MMPI PREDICTIONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
HITS, TRUE POSITIVES	N 1463 46.8%	376 52.4%	1087 45.1%	231 54.7%	1232 45.6%	40 56.3%	21 60.0%
HITS, TRUE NEGATIVES	N 334 10.7%	60 8.4%	274 11.4%	33 7.8%	301 11.1%	5 7.0%	7 20.0%
MISSES, FALSE POSITIVES	N 872 27.5%	167 23.3%	705 29.3%	91 21.6%	781 28.9%	16 22.5%	5 14.3%
MISSES, FALSE NEGATIVES	N 457 14.6%	115 16.0%	342 14.2%	67 15.9%	390 14.4%	10 14.1%	2 5.7%

35

REASONS FOR REFERRAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
MENTAL ILLNESS	N 60 11.7% 56.7%	8 0.8% 75.0%	52 1.6% 53.8%	5 0.9% 80.0%	55 1.5% 54.5%	3 3.2% 66.7%	
SEXUAL PROBLEMS	N 115 22.5% 62.6%	57 4.9% 68.4%	58 1.8% 56.9%	12 2.1% 75.0%	103 2.9% 61.2%	6 6.5% 66.7%	1 2.0% 0.0%
VIOLENCE POTENTIAL	N 242 47.4% 62.8%	180 18.7% 66.1%	103 1.9% 53.2%	103 17.8% 65.0%	139 3.9% 61.2%	37 39.8% 67.6%	19 38.0% 63.2%

36

SYMPTOMS FOUND DURING PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
DEPRESSION	N 115 2.8% 60.0%	57 5.9% 66.7%	58 1.8% 53.4%	31 5.4% 61.3%	84 2.4% 59.5%	13 14.0% 64.2%	7 14.0% 42.9%
ANXIETY	N 117 2.8% 56.4%	47 4.9% 57.4%	70 2.2% 55.7%	28 4.8% 60.7%	89 2.5% 55.1%	10 10.8% 30.0%	5 10.0% 60.0%
DEPENDENCY	N 131 3.2% 54.2%	58 6.0% 56.9%	73 2.3% 52.1%	26 4.5% 53.8%	105 2.9% 54.3%	12 12.9% 50.0%	5 10.0% 60.0%

37

PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
BRAIN DISORDERS	N 4 0.1% 100.0%	1 0.1% 100.0%	3 0.1% 100.0%		4 0.1% 100.0%		
PSYCHOTIC	N 29 0.7% 62.1%	6 0.6% 50.0%	23 0.7% 65.2%	4 0.7% 50.0%	25 0.7% 64.0%	1 1.1% 100.0%	
NEUROTIC	N 40 1.0% 60.0%	14 1.5% 64.3%	26 0.8% 57.7%	7 1.2% 57.1%	33 0.9% 60.6%	2 2.2% 50.0%	2 4.0% 100.0%
PERSONALITY PATTERN DISTURBANCE	N 109 2.6% 67.0%	49 5.1% 65.3%	60 1.9% 68.3%	27 4.7% 66.7%	82 2.3% 67.1%	9 9.7% 77.8%	3 6.0% 0.0%
PERSONALITY TRAIT DISTURBANCE	N 205 4.9% 56.6%	101 10.5% 67.3%	104 3.3% 46.2%	54 9.3% 64.8%	151 4.2% 53.6%	20 21.5% 65.0%	12 24.0% 75.0%
SOCIOPATHIC PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	22 2.3% 68.2%	22 0.7% 59.1%	11 1.9% 81.8%	33 0.9% 57.6%	6 6.5% 83.3%	2 4.0% 50.0%
TRANSITIONAL SITUATIONAL PERSONALITY DISTURBANCE	N 49 1.2% 57.1%	30 3.1% 63.3%	19 0.6% 47.4%	17 2.9% 64.7%	32 0.9% 53.1%	5 5.4% 60.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%

38

ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
HOMICIDE	N 19 0.5% 52.6%	15 1.6% 60.0%	4 0.1% 25.0%	12 2.1% 66.7%	7 0.2% 28.6%	3 3.2% 66.7%	12 24.0% 58.3%
NEGLECTANT MAN-SLAUGHTER	N 13 0.3% 100.0%	13 1.4% 100.0%		6 1.0% 100.0%	7 0.2% 100.0%	9 9.7% 100.0%	4 8.0% 100.0%
ROBBERY	N 438 10.6% 70.2%	352 36.6% 72.2%	86 2.7% 62.8%	230 39.8% 73.0%	208 5.8% 67.3%	12 12.9% 75.0%	11 22.0% 81.8%
ASSAULT	N 233 5.6% 71.7%	185 19.2% 71.4%	48 1.5% 72.9%	102 17.6% 70.6%	131 3.7% 72.5%	31 33.3% 71.0%	13 26.0% 61.5%
BURGLARY	N 1080 26.1% 60.0%	65 6.8% 70.8%	1015 31.9% 59.3%	50 8.7% 64.0%	1030 28.9% 59.8%	6 6.5% 83.3%	1 2.0% 100.0%
THEFT	N 421 10.2% 61.0%	83 8.6% 63.9%	338 10.6% 60.4%	26 4.5% 69.2%	395 11.1% 60.5%	5 5.4% 100.0%	2 4.0% 50.0%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 719 17.4% 53.4%	36 3.7% 55.6%	683 21.5% 53.3%	21 3.6% 57.1%	698 19.6% 53.3%	3 3.2% 66.7%	
FORGERY	N 207 5.0% 52.7%	1 0.1% 0.0%	206 6.5% 52.9%	1 0.2% 0.0%	206 5.8% 52.9%		
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 28 0.7% 71.4%	24 2.5% 66.7%	4 0.1% 100.0%	3 0.5% 66.7%	25 0.7% 72.0%	5 5.4% 60.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%
STATUTORY RAPE	N 82 2.0% 56.1%	32 3.3% 56.3%	50 1.6% 56.0%	1 0.2% 0.0%	81 2.3% 56.8%	1 1.1% 100.0%	
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 44 1.1% 63.6%	14 1.5% 57.1%	30 0.9% 66.7%	6 1.0% 66.7%	38 1.1% 63.2%	1 1.1% 0.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%
NARCOTICS OFFENSE	N 370 8.9% 65.9%	18 1.9% 50.0%	352 11.1% 66.8%	18 3.1% 38.9%	352 9.9% 67.3%	1 1.1% 0.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 37 0.9% 67.6%	8 0.8% 87.5%	29 0.9% 62.1%	3 0.5% 100.0%	34 1.0% 64.7%		
OTHER	N 304 7.3% 60.2%	87 9.0% 63.2%	217 6.7% 59.2%	80 13.8% 71.3%	224 6.3% 56.3%	13 14.0% 46.2%	4 8.0% 50.0%
PAROLE VIOLATION	N 118 3.6% 53.4%	29 3.0% 72.4%	119 3.7% 48.7%	19 3.3% 63.2%	129 3.6% 51.9%	3 3.2% 66.7%	

PAROLE VIOLATION

N 108	60.225	29	63.225	119	59.025	19	71.325	129	56.325	3	46.225
3.6%		3.0%		3.7%		3.3%		3.6%		3.2%	
53.425		72.425		48.725		63.225		51.925		66.725	

39

VIOLATION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
HOMICIDE	N 17 1.2%	4 1.4%	13 1.2%	3 1.8%	14 1.1%		
NEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER	N 1 0.1%		1 0.1%		1 0.1%		
ROBBERY	N 168 12.0%	60 21.7%	108 9.6%	40 24.5%	128 10.3%	3 12.5%	6 42.9%
ASSAULT	N 89 6.3%	33 11.9%	56 5.0%	13 8.0%	76 6.1%	4 16.7%	
BURGLARY	N 265 18.9%	28 10.1%	237 21.0%	16 9.8%	249 20.0%	4 16.7%	
THEFT	N 123 8.8%	21 7.6%	102 9.0%	9 5.5%	114 9.2%	1 4.2%	1 7.1%
VEHICLE THEFT	N 168 12.0%	17 6.1%	151 13.4%	9 5.5%	159 12.8%		1 7.1%
FORGERY	N 58 4.1%	4 1.4%	54 4.8%	4 2.5%	54 4.3%		
FORCIBLE RAPE	N 10 0.7%	4 1.4%	6 0.5%	3 1.8%	7 0.6%	1 4.2%	
STATUTORY RAPE	N 9 0.6%	4 1.4%	5 0.4%	3 1.8%	6 0.5%	1 4.2%	1 7.1%
OTHER SEX OFFENSES	N 17 1.2%	1 0.4%	16 1.4%	1 0.6%	16 1.3%		
NARCOTIC OFFENSES	N 222 15.8%	48 17.3%	174 15.4%	35 21.5%	187 15.1%	5 20.8%	2 14.3%
ALCOHOL OFFENSES	N 11 0.8%	3 1.1%	8 0.7%	1 0.6%	10 0.8%		
OTHER	N 247 17.6%	50 18.1%	197 17.5%	26 16.0%	221 17.8%	5 20.8%	3 21.4%

40

ADMISSION OFFENSE

SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
PERSON OFFENSES	N 857 20.7% 69.125	635 66.0% 70.925	222 7.0% 64.025	360 62.3% 72.225	497 13.9% 66.825	62 66.7% 74.225	42 84.0% 66.725
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 2427 58.6% 57.625	185 19.2% 64.325	2242 70.5% 57.025	98 17.0% 63.325	2329 65.3% 57.425	14 15.1% 85.725	3 6.0% 66.725
OTHERS	N 859 20.7% 61.825	142 14.8% 64.825	717 22.5% 61.225	120 20.8% 65.825	739 20.7% 61.225	17 18.3% 47.125	5 10.0% 60.025

41

VIOLATION OFFENSE

SUMMARY

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
PERSON OFFENSES	N 311 22.1%	106 38.3%	205 18.2%	63 38.7%	248 20.0%	9 37.5%	7 50.0%
PROPERTY OFFENSES	N 614 43.7%	70 25.3%	544 48.2%	38 23.3%	576 46.4%	5 20.8%	2 14.3%
OTHERS	N 480 34.2%	101 36.5%	379 33.6%	62 38.0%	418 33.7%	10 41.7%	5 35.7%

42

CYA HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 2457 61.4% 59.6%	265 27.5% 67.2%	2192 72.2% 58.7%	182 31.5% 65.9%	2275 66.5% 59.1%	29 31.2% 62.1%	7 34.0% 85.7%
MODERATE	N 1000 25.0% 62.7%	364 37.8% 68.4%	636 20.9% 59.4%	229 33.6% 68.6%	771 22.5% 61.0%	11 11.8% 81.8%	9 18.0% 77.8%
SERIOUS	N 593 13.6% 65.7%	333 34.6% 70.3%	210 6.9% 58.6%	167 28.9% 74.3%	376 11.0% 62.0%	53 57.0% 73.6%	34 68.0% 58.8%

43

CASEWORKER ESTIMATION OF VIOLENCE POTENTIAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
LEAST	N 662 21.4% 63.9%	41 5.5% 80.5%	621 26.5% 62.8%	28 6.3% 78.6%	634 24.0% 63.2%	1 1.5% 100.0%	3 7.1% 100.0%
MILD	N 820 26.5% 61.8%	127 17.0% 74.0%	693 29.6% 59.6%	82 18.5% 75.6%	738 27.9% 60.3%	5 7.6% 100.0%	5 11.9% 80.0%
MODERATE	N 1273 41.2% 60.6%	384 51.3% 67.2%	889 38.0% 57.8%	221 49.8% 67.0%	1052 39.8% 59.3%	32 48.5% 59.4%	15 35.7% 53.3%
SERIOUS	N 311 10.1% 61.1%	176 23.5% 64.8%	135 5.8% 56.3%	102 23.0% 63.7%	209 7.9% 59.8%	25 37.9% 84.0%	16 38.1% 75.0%
GREATEST	N 24 0.8% 70.8%	20 2.7% 70.0%	4 0.2% 75.0%	11 2.5% 81.8%	13 0.5% 61.5%	3 4.5% 100.0%	3 7.1% 0.0%

44

HISTORY OF VIOLENCE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 2386 57.5% 59.6%	187 19.4% 69.5%	2199 69.1% 58.8%	141 24.4% 69.5%	2245 62.9% 59.0%	16 17.2% 68.8%	13 26.0% 69.2%
AGGRESSIVE CRIMES BUT NO VIOLENCE	N 754 18.2% 65.1%	287 29.8% 70.4%	467 14.7% 61.9%	201 34.8% 71.1%	553 15.5% 62.9%	5 5.4% 80.0%	5 10.0% 60.0%
VIOLENCE	N 1006 24.3% 60.6%	488 50.7% 67.4%	518 16.3% 54.2%	236 40.8% 67.8%	770 21.6% 58.4%	72 77.4% 70.8%	32 64.0% 65.6%

45

HISTORY OF CARRYING WEAPONS

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 2944 71.0% 61.0%	429 44.6% 68.8%	2515 79.0% 59.7%	164 28.4% 70.7%	2780 77.9% 60.4%	40 43.0% 72.5%	18 36.0% 72.2%
YES	N 1202 29.0% 60.5%	533 55.4% 68.7%	669 21.0% 54.0%	414 71.6% 68.8%	788 22.1% 56.1%	53 57.0% 69.8%	32 64.0% 62.5%

46

PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 1794 44.9% 56.0%	398 41.4% 67.1%	1396 46.0% 52.9%	288 49.8% 67.4%	1506 44.1% 53.9%	51 54.8% 76.5%	22 44.9% 63.6%
ONE	N 1090 27.3% 64.1%	248 25.6% 69.0%	842 27.7% 62.7%	149 25.8% 71.1%	941 27.5% 63.0%	16 17.2% 75.0%	9 18.4% 66.7%
TWO	N 599 15.0% 67.9%	167 17.4% 74.9%	432 14.2% 65.3%	75 13.0% 74.7%	524 15.3% 67.0%	15 16.1% 80.0%	6 12.2% 83.3%
THREE OR MORE	N 513 12.8% 65.1%	148 15.4% 66.2%	365 12.0% 64.7%	66 11.4% 68.2%	447 13.1% 64.7%	11 11.8% 27.3%	12 24.5% 66.7%

47

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH
--	------------------------	--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------	-------------------------

47

CYA PAROLEE PARTNERS IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 3350 83.9% 60.3%	750 78.0% 69.7%	2600 85.7% 57.6%	477 82.5% 70.0%	2873 84.1% 58.7%	78 83.9% 73.1%	37 75.5% 70.3%
ONE	N 375 9.4% 65.6%	114 11.8% 64.9%	261 8.6% 65.9%	51 8.8% 64.7%	324 9.5% 65.7%	11 11.8% 81.8%	6 12.2% 50.0%
TWO	N 72 1.8% 63.9%	25 2.6% 60.0%	47 1.5% 66.0%	9 1.6% 77.8%	63 1.8% 61.9%	2 2.2% 100.0%	2 4.1% 100.0%
THREE OR MORE	N 197 4.8% 66.5%	72 7.5% 68.1%	125 4.1% 65.6%	41 7.1% 65.9%	156 4.6% 66.7%	2 2.2% 100.0%	4 8.2% 50.0%

48

INDIVIDUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 2900 72.5% 58.5%		2900 95.5% 58.5%	74 12.8% 62.2%	2826 82.6% 58.4%		
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 122 3.1% 63.9%	122 12.7% 63.9%		4 0.7% 25.0%	118 3.5% 65.3%		
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 304 7.6% 71.1%	304 31.6% 71.1%		264 45.7% 72.3%	40 1.2% 62.5%		
MINOR INJURIES	N 393 9.8% 68.2%	393 40.9% 68.2%		126 21.8% 73.0%	267 7.8% 65.9%		
MAJOR INJURIES	N 107 2.7% 68.2%	107 11.1% 68.2%		59 10.0% 67.2%	49 1.4% 69.4%	74 79.6% 68.9%	33 66.0% 66.7%
DEATH	N 36 0.9% 72.2%	36 3.7% 72.2%		23 4.0% 69.6%	13 0.4% 76.9%	19 20.4% 78.9%	17 34.0% 64.7%

49

GROUP VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 3289 82.3% 59.1%	419 45.6% 66.6%	2870 94.5% 58.0%	325 56.2% 67.1%	2964 86.7% 58.2%	45 48.4% 77.8%	24 48.0% 58.3%
THREAT NO WEAPON	N 70 1.8% 65.7%	63 6.5% 66.7%	7 0.2% 57.1%	31 5.4% 77.4%	39 1.1% 56.4%	1 1.1% 0.0%	
THREAT WITH WEAPON	N 240 6.0% 73.3%	176 18.3% 71.6%	64 2.1% 78.1%	103 17.8% 72.8%	137 4.0% 73.7%		
MINOR INJURIES	N 240 6.0% 71.3%	214 22.2% 71.0%	26 0.9% 73.1%	64 11.1% 75.0%	176 5.1% 69.9%	2 2.2% 100.0%	2 4.0% 100.0%
MAJOR INJURIES	N 71 1.8% 69.0%	67 7.0% 68.7%	4 0.1% 75.0%	24 4.2% 58.3%	47 1.4% 74.5%	41 44.1% 65.9%	18 36.0% 72.2%
DEATH	N 14 0.4% 71.4%	12 1.2% 75.0%	2 0.1% 50.0%	9 1.6% 66.7%	5 0.1% 80.0%	2 2.2% 50.0%	6 12.0% 66.7%

50

WEAPON USED BY INDIVIDUAL

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 3285 82.2% 66.3%	415 43.1% 66.3%	2870 94.6% 58.6%		3285 96.1% 59.6%	31 33.3% 67.7%	16 32.0% 62.5%
TOY GUN	N 40 1.0% 65.0%	33 3.4% 66.7%	7 0.2% 57.1%	40 6.8% 65.0%			
UNLOADED GUN	N 13 0.3% 76.9%	7 0.7% 85.7%	6 0.2% 46.7%	13 2.2% 76.9%			
LOADED GUN	N 125 3.1% 69.6%	98 10.2% 71.4%	27 0.9% 63.0%	125 21.6% 69.6%		15 16.1% 60.0%	13 26.0% 69.2%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 149 3.7% 67.1%	128 13.3% 69.5%	21 0.7% 52.4%	149 25.8% 67.1%		1 1.1% 100.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
KNIFE, ETC.	N 135 3.4% 71.9%	115 12.0% 72.2%	20 0.7% 70.0%	135 23.4% 71.9%		17 18.3% 76.5%	4 8.0% 50.0%
OTHER	N 116 2.9% 69.8%	94 9.8% 73.4%	22 0.7% 54.5%	116 20.1% 69.8%		19 20.4% 68.4%	11 22.0% 63.6%

51

WEAPONS USED BY GROUP

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 3556 89.0% 59.8%	689 71.6% 66.9%	2867 94.6% 58.0%	408 71.0% 67.9%	3148 92.1% 58.7%	68 73.1% 73.5%	35 70.0% 65.7%
TOY GUN	N 25 0.6% 76.0%	14 1.5% 71.4%	11 0.4% 81.8%	9 1.6% 77.8%	16 0.5% 75.0%		
UNLOADED GUN	N 3 0.1% 66.7%	1 0.1% 100.0%	2 0.1% 50.0%	1 0.2% 100.0%	2 0.1% 50.0%		
LOADED GUN	N 74 1.9% 67.6%	38 4.0% 65.8%	36 1.2% 69.4%	36 4.7% 81.5%	47 1.4% 59.6%	2 2.2% 50.0%	3 6.0% 66.7%
GUN, UNSPECIFIED	N 143 3.6% 73.4%	87 9.0% 73.6%	56 1.8% 73.2%	47 8.2% 72.3%	96 2.8% 74.0%	1 1.1% 100.0%	
KNIFE, ETC.	N 68 1.7% 64.2%	53 5.5% 64.2%	15 0.5% 73.3%	27 4.7% 63.0%	41 1.2% 68.3%	10 10.8% 50.0%	2 4.0% 50.0%
OTHER	N 65 1.6% 75.4%	48 5.0% 81.5%	17 0.6% 58.8%	36 6.3% 63.9%	29 0.8% 89.7%	7 7.5% 71.4%	7 14.0% 57.1%

52

ECONOMIC LOSS BY VICTIM

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
NONE	N 1110 27.8% 62.3%	357 37.1% 63.6%	753 24.8% 61.8%	204 35.3% 67.2%	906 26.5% 61.3%	48 51.6% 66.7%	28 56.0% 60.7%
LESS THAN \$1	N 13 0.3% 69.2%	7 0.7% 71.4%	6 0.2% 66.7%	5 0.9% 60.0%	8 0.2% 75.0%		
\$1 - \$5	N 41 1.0% 68.3%	19 2.0% 94.7%	22 0.7% 45.5%	6 1.0% 83.3%	35 1.0% 65.7%	1 1.1% 100.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
\$5 - \$20	N 120 3.0% 63.3%	38 4.0% 76.3%	82 2.7% 57.3%	14 2.4% 85.7%	106 3.1% 60.4%	1 1.1% 100.0%	1 2.0% 100.0%
\$20 - \$100	N 399 10.0% 65.4%	156 16.2% 75.0%	243 8.0% 59.3%	90 15.6% 74.4%	309 9.0% 62.8%	8 8.6% 75.0%	4 8.0% 75.0%
\$100 - \$500	N 503 12.6% 63.2%	135 14.0% 66.9%	368 12.1% 61.1%	90 15.6% 70.0%	413 12.1% 61.7%	4 4.3% 100.0%	1 2.0% 0.0%
\$500 - \$1000	N 143 3.6% 57.3%	37 3.9% 81.1%	106 3.5% 49.1%	25 4.3% 76.0%	118 3.5% 53.4%	2 2.2% 50.0%	3 6.0% 66.7%
\$1000 - \$5000	N 821 20.6% 55.8%	74 7.7% 67.6%	747 24.6% 54.6%	45 7.8% 66.7%	776 22.7% 55.2%	9 9.7% 77.8%	4 8.0% 75.0%
MORE THAN \$5000	N 207 5.2% 58.0%	20 2.1% 65.0%	187 6.2% 57.2%	9 1.6% 88.9%	198 5.8% 56.6%	3 3.2% 66.7%	1 2.0% 100.0%

53

CUSTODIAL EVALUATION FOR INSTITUTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
GOOD PROGNOSIS	N 3295 82.5% 62.0%	755 78.5% 70.5%	2540 83.8% 59.5%	462 79.9% 69.5%	2833 83.0% 60.8%	76 81.7% 71.1%	38 76.0% 68.4%
POOR PROGNOSIS	N 681 17.1% 57.9%	200 20.8% 63.0%	481 15.9% 55.7%	113 19.6% 69.9%	568 16.6% 55.5%	15 16.1% 66.7%	10 20.0% 70.0%

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPON PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
N 202	5.1% 63.7%	51 5.1% 63.7%	151 5.1% 63.7%	51 5.1% 63.7%	151 5.1% 63.7%		

ADJUSTMENT

54

COUNSELORS TRANSFER
RECOMMENDATION

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 202 5.1% 52.0% ●	51 5.5% 52.9% ●	151 5.0% 51.7% ●	31 5.5% 40.4% ●	171 5.0% 52.6% ●	6 6.6% 83.3% ●	1 2.2% 0.0% ○
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1349 33.9% 62.0% ○	308 35.1% 70.8% ○	1041 34.2% 59.5% ○	200 35.8% 72.0% ○	1149 33.6% 60.3% ○	25 27.5% 52.0% ●	16 34.8% 68.8% ○
CAMPS	N 838 21.2% 64.2% ○	98 10.5% 72.4% ○	740 24.3% 63.1% ○	55 9.8% 80.0% ○	783 22.9% 63.1% ○	5 5.5% 40.0% ○	4 8.7% 50.0% ○
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 651 16.4% 59.8% ○	229 24.6% 65.5% ○	422 13.9% 56.6% ●	133 23.8% 66.2% ○	518 15.2% 58.1% ○	31 34.1% 80.6% ○	15 32.6% 73.3% ○
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 760 19.1% 58.3% ○	216 23.2% 69.9% ○	544 17.9% 53.7% ●	123 22.0% 68.3% ○	637 18.7% 56.4% ●	19 20.9% 73.7% ○	9 19.6% 66.7% ○


55

CYA BOARD ORDER
FOR TRANSFER

	TOTAL STUDY POPULATION	ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO ACTUAL VIOLENCE IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	NO WEAPONS PRESENT IN ADMISSION OFFENSE	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH ALCOHOL	MAJOR INJURIES OR DEATH NO ALCOHOL
PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY	N 220 5.3% 54.1% ○	46 4.8% 67.4% ○	174 5.5% 50.6% ●	32 5.6% 59.4% ○	188 5.3% 53.2% ●	2 2.2% 100.0% ○	1 2.0% 100.0% ○
YOUTH TRAINING SCHOOL	N 1113 26.9% 65.0% ○	329 34.3% 70.8% ○	784 24.7% 62.6% ○	202 35.2% 73.3% ○	911 25.6% 63.2% ○	21 22.6% 61.9% ○	19 38.0% 68.4% ○
BEN LOMOND	N 235 5.7% 65.5% ○	26 2.7% 76.9% ○	209 6.6% 64.1% ○	13 2.3% 84.6% ○	222 6.2% 64.4% ○	5 5.4% 80.0% ○	
MT. BULLION	N 240 5.8% 67.1% ○	16 1.7% 81.3% ○	224 7.1% 66.1% ○	8 1.4% 62.5% ○	232 6.5% 67.2% ○		1 2.0% 0.0% ○
PINE GROVE	N 255 6.2% 64.3% ○	22 2.3% 81.8% ○	233 7.3% 62.7% ○	13 2.3% 76.9% ○	242 6.8% 63.6% ○		2 4.0% 100.0% ○
WASHINGTON RIDGE	N 329 8.0% 58.1% ○	24 2.5% 62.5% ○	305 9.6% 57.7% ●	10 1.7% 60.0% ○	319 9.0% 58.0% ○	2 2.2% 50.0% ○	
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION	N 754 18.3% 57.7% ○	216 22.5% 67.1% ○	538 17.0% 53.8% ●	122 21.3% 55.6% ○	632 17.8% 56.2% ●	28 30.1% 75.0% ○	10 20.0% 70.0% ○
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	N 877 21.2% 57.9% ○	261 27.2% 65.9% ○	616 19.4% 54.5% ●	160 27.9% 68.8% ○	717 20.2% 55.5% ●	32 34.4% 68.8% ○	16 32.0% 56.3% ●

END

To relate parole success to the different variables studied, a method of graphic display was designed to guide the reader. Included with most parole success percentages (%S) in a cell are circular symbols that express both the magnitude and the direction of deviations from the overall success percentage (60.9%S). The following symbols are used in all DATA MAPS to guide the reader quickly to either "good" parole risks, "poor" parole risks, or anything between these two extremes.



Solid circles symbolize parole success deviations below the overall success percentage, while empty circles denote success deviations above the overall success percentage. Where no symbol is displayed, it is because of one of the following reasons: (1) The deviation symbol has been provided elsewhere (as with the total study population columns); (2) there were fewer than ten cases in the cell; (3) there is less than one percent deviation from the overall parole success rate.

The visual scanning of these tables can result in the notation of possible relationships between parole success and the various variable categories. If there are parole deviation figures of decreasing or increasing size along a column or row, this may be indicative of a linear relationship. Similar logic can be applied when parole deviation figures appear similar in size and direction at the ends of a row or column. This may indicate the presence of a curvilinear relationship. Also, possible relationships may be noted between the vertical and horizontal variables by noting the proportions of cases falling in different cells. The reader is referred to the text in the user's manual and the companion book THE YOUNG ADULT OFFENDER for more complete description of the methods of analyzing the tables in the DATA MAPS. It should also be noted that the symbols do not establish relationships, but are meant to guide and provide background information for discussions and hypothesis formulation. The testing of hypotheses remains for further and more rigorous studies.