





NATIONAL SHERIFFS' ASSOCIATION



J DIRECTORY

OF

STATE JAIL

INSPECTION PROGRAMS

The National Sheriffs' Association 1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

June 1978

## JAIL OPERATIONS PROJECT STAFF

E. Eugene Miller Project Director

Glen D. Skoler Research Associate

Bernadette W. Price Administrative Assistant

# ADVISORY BOARD

Fred Cohen, Esquire Albany, New York

Jeff Eubank Lansing, Michigan

Sheriff Francis R. Ford Hagerstown, Maryland

Sheriff Thomas H. Morrissey Asheville, North Carolina

Susan M. Stanton Kansas City, Missouri

Sheriff Andrew Winston Richmond, Virginia

Prepared under Grant Number AE-4 awarded to the National Sheriffs' Association by the National Institute of Corrections, U. S. Department of Justice. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of either the U. S. Department of Justice or the National Sheriffs' Association.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# FOREWORD

ntroduction	3
ethodology	- 7
ummary of Findings	
xplanation of Chart	
nart Statewide Inspection Programs	8
ail Inspection Officials and Agencies 1	13
nabling Legislation 2	23

#### FOREWORD

This "Directory of State Jail Inspection Programs" was developed by the National Sheriffs' Association's Jail Operations Project under the auspices of a National Institute of Corrections grant. It is designed as a resource for those criminal justice practitioners and elected officials who are involved with or have an interest in state inspection of local jails. This document contains an overview of the activities of each state in this increasingly important area and a directory of existing jail inspection programs. These materials are intended to facilitate an exchange of ideas and information between states which are operating or developing jail inspection programs.

The National Sheriffs' Association, like the majority of states in practice, strongly supports the concept of scate-administered inspections of local jails. NSA recognizes that the jail is an important local institution with the potential to implement the latest correctional precepts which advocate institutions and programs capable of maintaining and supporting positive community ties of the offender. To this end, meaningful state standards and inspection programs can make a significant contribution by helping to ensure the adequacy of jail facilities and programs.

On behalf of the National Sheriffs' Association, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to the many jail inspectors and other state officials who so diligently and cooperatively complied with the Association's requests for information. Additionally, thanks are also due to the members of the Jail Operations Project's Advisory Board for their careful review and comments on the draft of the publication and to Mr. Nathaniel Caldwell, the NIC project monitor, for his encouragement and support.

Ferris E. Lucas Executive Director National Sheriffs' Association

#### INTRODUCTION

In 44 of the 50 states, jails are an administrative responsibility of a unit of local government. In roughly 85 percent of these jurisdictions, the sheriff is the official designated to manage the jail. The secure and humane operation of a jail represents one of a sheriff's most important and challenging responsibilities. Because its constituent membership is charged with this duty, the National Sheriff's Association, through its National Institute of Corrections - funded Jail Operations Project, feels a compelling need to compile and disseminate relevant information concerning major, contemporary issues in jail administration.

While many states have moved to adopt meaningful standards and inspection programs, there has been little information of national scope available to date on this important topic of rapidly growing interest and concern. The absence of such material has hindered communication between responsible state officials from different areas of the country and has made it more difficult than need be the case for states considering the adoption of standards and inspection programs to research the issue and to draw upon the experience of others.

To fill this void, the National Sheriffs' Association's Jail Operations Project decided to develop two publications on jail inspection. The first, this "Directory of State Jail Inspection Programs," contains an overview of relevant facts concerning standards and inspection on a state-by-state basis. The publication consists of three parts: (1) a compilation of information about jail inspection programs in each state; (2) a directory of the key official in each state primarily responsible for jail inspection; and, (3) a compendium of enabling legislation for standards and/or inspection programs. A manual containing a model inspection and instructions for its use will follow at a later date.

It is hoped that this directory will serve to facilitate communication between states and program officials and to simplify the requisite research involved for those states currently contemplating the adoption of standards and inspection. It is to be noted that this publication neither attempts nor intends to pass qualitative judgement on existing inspection programs, but merely presents a summary of the existing state-of-the-art.

#### METHODOLOGY

To develop the information contained in this publication the National Sheriffs' Association sent survey letters to each state's department or division of corrections and to each legis-\ lative reference bureau. The Jail Operations Project then compiled and refined the data received. Information concerning a particular state's inspection program was verified in telephone conversations with a program administrator and/or jail inspector. Information indicating no statewide jail inspection program was double-checked with the appropriate state correctional agency, the state department of health or human resources and against state statutes. Therefore, in every instance, information was obtained directly from the relevant state authorities. Information regarding enabling legislation was verified and updated to include the most recent codification by reference to appropriate sections of each state's code. Project staff made no site visits and, therefore, cannot independently attest to actual practices in the field.

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Inspection Programs: Thirty-two states presently have jail inspection programs. In six other instances jails are operated directly by the state and, therefore, jail inspection in these areas is mainly an intra-agency administrative function with no specific standards set by state law. An additional twelve states neither operate jails directly nor have standards and/or inspection programs.

For the purposes of this study a state was deemed to have an inspection program if inspection activities were statewide in scope and centrally administered, with inspections being made on a regular basis. Therefore, states which depend on local authorities or local judicial bodies for jail inspection were not considered technically to have jail inspection programs under this definition. Similarly, states which inspected minimally, only in matters of construction or under the aegis of general public health guidelines were not considered to have jail inspection programs.

Inspection Interval: Twenty-two programs require that every jail within the state be inspected a minimum of once per year. Two states inspect every two years; five inspect on a quarterly basis; and three states inspect every six months. Many states noted that "problem" jails receive one or more follow-up visits. Generally inspections were unannounced, although this practice can vary from state to state.

Because of the number of jails in some states, minimum inspection interval requirements are sometimes difficult to fulfill, especially if the program also is charged with inspecting lock-ups. Most inspection programs rely on a small staff to perform this major function, i.e., one to four professionals. Few states have displayed an interest in lessening the burden of constant inspections by implementing some form of a monitored self-certification program for jails in good standing.

Standards: Twenty-eight state programs have jail standards. There exists a general assumption that meaningful jail inspection must be conducted within a framework of clearly defined standards. The few states which have programs but are without operative standards are either in the process of developing or revising standards or are awaiting legislative sanction.

As used in this study, <u>standards</u> related to local detention facilities. Therefore, general health or fire regulations were not considered to be jail inspection standards <u>per se</u>, although in practice they are, of course, useful and certainly preferrable to no standards at all. If minimum standards dealt mostly with health and sanitation <u>but</u> were specifically developed for jails, they were considered to be jail standards for the purposes of this study.

Enforcement: Twenty-nine states were acknowledged to have enforcement powers. Within this group, sixteen states indicated that their principal enforcement power was to petition the appropriate court for a closing or restrictive order. Eight states have inspection programs or program agencies which themselves have the power to close jails. A few states utilize a special hearing board for this purpose.

Administering Agency: Twenty-one jail inspection programs are housed in a department or division of corrections. Four programs are based in wholly independent commissions or boards.

Jurisdiction: Fifteen of the thirty-two programs inspect state correctional institutions as well as local jails. Twenty-eight of them also inspect lock-ups. Seven states inspect separate juvenile detention facilities as well. Although a number of states make no specific provisions for inspecting juvenile facilities, it should be noted that this responsibility is often assumed by some other state agency, e.g., the health or welfare department. Virtually every state advocates the principle that juveniles not be detained in jails, but when they are every effort is usually made to separate them from the general population.

Number of Program Staff: The average staff complement of inspection programs nationwide consists of four professional employees. However, many programs operate with just one or two people. New York has the largest number of professional employees with fifteen. "Professional" includes administrative personnel as well as jail inspectors.

<u>Budget</u>: Many programs could not readily state the amount of their budgets—some share money with other agencies; others receive additional funds from federal grants. Of the states reporting a budget, however, the average was \$200,000, with a low of \$10,000 and a high of \$1,400,000.

Training and Technical Assistance: Almost all of the programs offered some kind of technical assistance to the jails they monitored. Apparently, then, they de facto perceive a goal of their services to be to help jails comply with standards as opposed to placing sole emphasis on enforcement. Similarly the programs usually provide training assistance. When they do not, this service is frequently provided by another agency.

Annual Reports. Twenty-two states indicated that they have an annual report available which summarizes program activities.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The scope of this study was not intended to provide a basis upon which to draw qualitative judgements about the adequacy and effectiveness of existing jail inspection efforts. However, it is clear that jail inspection has come of age. Not only do thirty-two states currently have such programs in operation, but several others have indicated that they are in the process of attempting to draft the requisite enabling legislation.

With the establishment of some basic information on the current state-of-the-art, as represented by this publication, further expansion of the knowledge base concerning the multiplicity of issues surrounding jail inspection and an examination of actual operational problems and achievements can and should take place. Much of the impetus for obtaining additional, transferrable information is coming from jail inspection programs themselves and from groups and individuals in the states currently without inspection services. The National Sheriffs' Association's Jail Operations Project, with National Institute of Corrections support, will address at least part of this need in a subsequent publication on the development of a model jail inspection form and to respond to requests to share the information already collected on jail standards and inspection.

#### EXPLANATION OF CHART

The following chart summarizes state jail inspection programs in each of the 50 states. Although every effort was made to keep the chart simple, reference should be made to the following key.

- 1. <u>Inspection Program</u>. <u>Yes</u> indicates a statewide, centrally administered jail inspection program which inspects jails on a regular basis. A state which has statutory provisions for local health boards, grand juries, judges or other local officials to inspect jails would not qualify as a state with a sufficient, statewide, centrally administered jail inspection program.
- 2. <u>Inspection Interval</u>. This column notes the minimum interval at which jails are inspected. Many programs indicated that problem jails receive one or more follow-up visits. Some programs which inspect lock-ups also occasionally do not meet the minimum inspection intervals for these smaller institutions.
- 3. Standards. Yes indicates the state has an adopted set of minimum jail or detention standards against which jails are inspected. An affirmative response does not necessarily mean that the standards are enforceable.

4. Enforcement. Yes indicates a program with enforcement powers. No indicates a program with no enforcement powers. The coded letters following an X indicate the means of enforcement.

<u>a</u> indicates that the program, through the department of corrections or other authority, may petition the appropriate court for a closing or restrictive order.

 $\underline{\mathbf{b}}$  indicates that the inspection program or program agency has its own enforcement powers.

 $\underline{c}$  indicates that the enforcement powers rest in the office of the attorney general.

 $\underline{d}$  indicates a lack of specific enforcement provisions or alternatives.

5. Agency administering Jail Inspection Program.

dept \_ department of corrections or corr similar agency or division.

dept \_ department of health or a
hlth similar agency.

dept department of human resources or similar agency,
hum -- except when the program is within a division of
res corrections in the department of human resources.

Ind -- indicates the program is an independent
 agency or commission.

6. Jurisdiction By Type of Government. This column indicates the types of institutions the program inspects.

<u>Prisons</u> — indicates prisons and other similar institutions, usually operated by the state and housing felons and sometimes misdemeanants with longer misdemeanant sentences.

<u>Jails</u> -- indicates jails and other similar institutions usually locally operated and usually housing pretrial detainees and/or sentenced misdemeanants.

<u>Lock-ups</u> -- indicates lock-ups and other similar institutions which detain persons for no more than 48 to 72 hours.

- 8. Conducts Juvenile Facility Inspections. Yes indicates that the inspection program also inspects juvenile institutions.
- 9. Number of Professional Program Staff. Indicates the number of professional staff actively inspecting institutions or administering the inspection program.
- 10. <u>Program Budget</u>. Indicates the approximate annual program budget.
- ll. <u>Technical Assistance</u>. Indicates the inspection program offers technical assistance.
- 12. <u>Training Assistance</u>. Indicates the inspection program offers training assistance.
- 13. Annual Report. Indicates programs which publish annual reports of inspection activities.

7	٦	
٨		

gra	it iner	ECTLON PRO	SECULTON THE	EREPT ERE	ORCEMENT. RGEN	ci jukistici	THE STREET	ON THE THUTTON	FILITY NO. PRO	ON PROFESSIONAL PROCESS	A BUTGET	HALCALI RES	LETANCE LETANCE LANGE ASS	EFFEREE AND COMERNEE
AL	Yes	2 yrs	No	Yes/a	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	7	Unavailable	Yes	Yes	Yes	No standards except as in Alabama Code, Title 14
AK	(JAI	LS I	N AI	ASK	AR	EADMIN	ISTERE	D BY	A STAT	E CORR	ЕСТІ	ONAL	ΑG	ENCY)
AZ	No	NA	No	Yes	dept hum res	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	Yes	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	The Department of Health Services will sometimes inspect jails as public institutions.
AR	Yes	1 yr	Yes	Yes/a	Ind.	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	. 3	50,000	Yes	Yes	No	
CA	Yes	2 yrs	Yes	Yes/a	dept hum res	County City	Jails Lock-ups	No	. 4	280,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Health Department inspects annually. No legislative enforcement provisions.
со	МО	NA	No	Yes/a	dept hlth	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	Yes	3 .	NA	Yes	NA	NA	Inspection in matters of health and sanitation only in jails as public institutions.
СŢ	JAI),	LS I	N CO	NNEC	TICU	T ARE	ADMINI	STERE	BY A	STATE	COR	RECT	ION	AL AGENCY)
DE	(JAI	LS I	N DE	LAWA	RE: A	RE ADM	INISTE	RED B	AST	ATE CO	RREC	TION	A L	GENCY)
FL	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/a	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	12	250,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	
GA	Yes	3 mos	Yes	Yes/d	dept hum res	County City	Jails Lock-ups	See Note	See Note	NA	Yes	Yes	No	Program coordinates inspection by local health specialists. Juvenile facilities inspected on a consultant basis.
ні	(JAI	L,S I	N HA	WAII	ARE	ADMIN	ISTERE	D BY	STAT	E CORR	ЕСТІ	ONAL	A G	ENCY)
ID	No								-					

يندو

9		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														·	
	STAT	is these	ECRICON PROD	ECTION INT	ERVAL ERVE	RECEIVE RECEIVE	th indiante	Ton softenter The State of the	conduction conduction	5 July the Secret	PROFES TOWN	M BURGET	natchi pat	STANCE STANCE ASS	Service Andreas and Constitutes
	IL	Yes	1 yr	Yes	Yes/a	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	7	260,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	IN	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes	dept corr	County	Jails -	No	1	50,000	See Note	See Note	Yes	TA and Training provided is minimal. Governor has the power to close a jail.
	IA	Yes	6 mos	See Note	Yes/a	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails	Yes	3	90,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Standards being developed.
	KS	Yes	l yr	Yes	No, See Note	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	3	60,000	Yes	Yes	'es	Standards are advisory only. Health and fire officials also inspect jails.
<b>o</b>	КУ	Yes	3 mos	No	See Note	dept corr	County City	Jails Lock-ups	No	8	125,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Only fire & health officials have enforcement power. This program lacks statutory authority.
	LA	Yes	3 mos	Yes	Yes/a	dept hlth	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	Yes	NA	NA	No	No	No	Health Dept. inspects in mat- ters of health and sanitation only. Dept of Corr inspects by complaint only.
	ME	Yes	6 mos	Yes	Yes/b	dept corr	County City	Jails Lock-ups	No	1	40,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	MD	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/b	dept	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	Ио	1	Unknown	Yes	No	Yes	
	МА	Yes	3 mos	Yes	Yes/a	dept corr	County City	Jails	Ио	2	75,000	Yes	See Note	Yes	Standards not yet enacted. State has separate training
	MI	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/a	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	9	275,000	Yes	Yes	See Note	Annual activities reviewed in the departmental annual report.
	MN	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/a	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	Yes	5	140,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Standards are advisory; agen- cy has power to limit holding in a condemned facility.
	MS	No													
	MS	ИО					<del></del>								in a condemned facility.

ŀ	_
ï	$\neg$

						·			<del></del>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
str	je jrej	ECHON PRO	GRAM INT	ERVAU DREDS	JRCEHENT REEN	Juniper	THE THE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	of the thurston	S JUVE HER CO.	PROFESTORY PROFESTER	A BUDGET	RAICAU REGE	LETHICE LATHO ASS	Estrates and Constitutes and Constitutes
МО	Мо													
MT	Yes	1 yr	Yes	No	dept hlth	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	1	Unknown	Yes	No	No	
NE ·	Yes	l yr	See Note	Yes/a	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	4	Unknown	Yes	Yes	Yes	AG ruled legislation too vague to support promulgated standards
NV	No													Jail inspection primarily by local boards of health
NH	No													
ЦИ	Yes	l yr	Yes	No	dept corr	County City	Jails Lock-ups	No	1	Unknown	Yes	No	No	Training by separate corrections division.
NM	No													The Department of Corrections does inspect juveniel institutions.
NY	Yes	3 mos	Yes	Yes/a	Inđ.	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	15	1.4 million	Yes	Yes	Yes	Program operates in the Com- mission of Corrections, not the Dept. of Corrections.
NC	Yes	6 mos	Yes	Yes/b	dept hum res	County City	Jails Lock-ups	No	7	Unknown	Yes	Yes	No	
ND	Yes	l yr	Yes	No	See Direc- tory	County City	Jails Lock-ups	No	1	10,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Real authority lies in six separate judicial district rules.
ОН	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/a	dept corr	County City	Jails Lock-ups Workhouses	No	5	200,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	
ок	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/b	See Direc- tory	City County	Jails Lock-ups	Yes	4	200,000	Yes	Yes	No	

		ASCULTON PROC	SERAM THE SERVE	ERVAL	ORCENENT ROEN	, seri	Jukitett	of the truston	5 Juffit insection	Profestory Brundstrake	A BUDGET	MICAL RES	ENANCE THIS ASS	LEPHALE ROBER AND COMPONE
STP	THE THE	THE	STATE STATE	ENE	ORCER. RGER	JURISUE .	TURIFIE	COMPAC	iti No Pro	PROGRA	REC	ATA TRACT	AN AN	NOTES NOTES
OR	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/c	dept corr	County City	Jails Lock-ups	No	3	80,000	Yes	Yes	No	
PA	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/a	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	No	6	Unknown	Yes	Yes	Yes	
RI	(JAI	LS I	N RHO	DE I	SLAN	D ARE	ADMINIS	TERED	ву а	STATE	CORI	RECT	IONA	L AGENCY)
sc	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/b	dept corr	State County City	Prisons Jails Lock-ups	Yes	6	85,000	Yes	Yes	Yes	
SD	No	By com- plaint only	Yes	Yes/a	See Direc- tory	State County City	Prisons Tails Lock-ups	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Real power still lies with the local judicial bodies.
TN	Yes	1 yr	Yes	Yes/d	dept corr	County City	Jails Workhouses Lock-ups	Yes	3	47,000	Yes	No	Yes	Department of Corrections provides training.
ТX	Yes	1 yr	Yes	Yes/b	Ind.	County City	Jails	No	- 5	250,000	Yes	No	Yes	Training is provided by a separate institute.
UT	No													
VT	(JAI	LS I	N VE	RMON	т а г	RE ADMI	NISTER	ED BY	A STA	TE COR	RECT	IONA	L A	GENCY)
VA	Yes	1 yr	Yes	See Note	dept	County City	Jails Lock-ups	No	5	Unknown	Yes	Yes	No	Enforcement is by a state board of corrections.
WA	Yes	1 yr	Yes	Yes/b	Ind.	County City	Jails Lock-ups	Yes	5	250,000	Yes	No .	Yes	Training is provided by another agency.
wv	Мо													

STA	is they	ECTION PROT	DECREAN STR	EREPTE ENE	OREHENT REE	get justisper	To continuent Indicate Continuent	to The Land Conflict	to Mo. 440	ON PROPERTY PROCESS	M BUDGET	ALCAL ASSIS	THE ASSIS	parte par cou	in the state of th
wi	Yes	l yr	Yes	Yes/b	dept corr	County City	Jails Lock-ups	No	5	Unknown	Yes	Yes	Yes		
WY	Мо					<del></del> :			·						
DC	(тне	DIS	TRIC	т от	СО	UMBIA	OPERAT	ES A	CENTRA	LLY AD	MINI	STERI	E D J	AIL SYSTEM)	
PR	(PUE	RTO	RICO	ΟP	ERAT	E S A C	ENTRAL	LY ADM	INIST	ERED J	AIL S	YSTE	м)		
		1								- '					
							:			,					
'	;	ľ													
															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						1			1.						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	,														<del> </del>

#### JAIL INSPECTION OFFICIALS AND AGENCIES

## ALABAMA

James H. Robinson Director, Investigations and Inspections Division Board of Corrections Route 5, Box 125 Montgomery, Alabama 36109 205/272-7907

#### ALASKA

(State Jail System)

William Huston Director, Division of Corrections Department of Health and Social Services Pouch HO-3 Juneau, Alaska 99811 907/465-3376

# ARIZONA

(No Program)

#### ARKANSAS

David L. King
Inspector
Criminal Detention Facilities Board
Post Office Box 8028
Pine Bluff, Arkansas 71601
501/536-7770

## CALIFORNIA

Norma Phillips Lammers Executive Officer Board of Corrections, Health and Welfare Agency 1330 21st Street, Suite 202 Sacramento, California 95814 916/445-5073

## COLORADO

(No Program)

## CONNECTICUT

(State Jail System)

John R. Manson Commissioner Department of Corrections 340 Capitol Avenue Hartford, Connecticut 06115 203/566-4457

## DELAWARE

(State Jail System)

Milton V. Horton Bureau Chief of Adult Corrections Department of Corrections Post Office Box 343 Smyrna, Delaware 19977 302/678-5601

#### FLORIDA

Bill Beardsley Chief Inspector Bureau of Inspection and Investigation Department of Offender Rehabilitation 1311 Winewood Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32301 904/488-2102

#### GEORGIA

A. Faegin Parrish Chief, Institutional Health Unit Department of Human Resources 47 Trinity Avenue, S.W., Room 303H Atlanta, Georgia 30334 404/656-4883

#### HAWAII

Samuel S. Kawahara
Assistant Administrator
Department of Social Services and Housing
Corrections Division
Post Office Box 339
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809
808/548-6441

#### IDAHO

(No Program)

## ILLINOIS

Oliver E. O'Kier Chief, Bureau of Detention Standards and Services Department of Corrections 303 Armory Building Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-5964

## INDIANA

Walter Smith State Jail Inspector Department of Corrections 804 State Office Building 100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 317/633-5206

# IOWA

George M. Keiser Program Administrator Community Based Corrections Division of Adult Corrections 6th Floor, Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 515/281-4816

#### KANSAS

Dale Bohannon Chief Jail Inspector Department of Corrections 535 Kansas Avenue, Suite 300 Topeka, Kansas 66603 913/296-4498

#### KENTUCKY

Doug Sapp Director, Division of Regional Jails Bureau of Corrections, Department of Justice 5th Floor, State Office Building Frankfurt, Kentucky 40601 502/564-4221

#### LOUISIANA

J. C. Watson Acting Chief, Sanitarian Services Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality Post Office Box 60630 New Orleans, Louisiana 70160 504/568-5181

#### MAINE

Joseph L. McClay State Jail Inspector Bureau of Corrections State Office Building, Room 411 Augusta, Maine 04333 207-289-2711

#### MARYLAND

Joe Egeberg
Director, Jail Programming and Inspection
Division of Corrections
6314 Windsor Mill Road
Baltimore, Maryland 21207
301/944-7028

## MASSACHUSETTS

John A. Chmielinski Jail Assistance Coordinator Department of Correction 100 Cambridge Street Boston, Massachusetts 02202 617/727-3304

## MICHIGAN

Jeff Eubank Administrator Office of Facility Services Department of Corrections 3222 South Logan Street Lansing, Michigan 48913 517/373-2461

## MINNESOTA

Don Cooper
Director of Inspection and Enforcement
Department of Corrections
430 Metro Square Building
Seventh and Roberts Street
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101
612/296-3550

## MISSISSIPPI

(No Program)

## MISSOURI

(No Program)

## MONTANA

Vern E. Soulin Chief Food and Consumer Safety Bureau Department of Health and Environmental Sciences State Capitol Helena, Montana 59601 406/449-2408

#### NEBRASKA

Robert W. Cote
Director, Jail Standards Administration
Department of Correctional Services
Post Office Box 94661
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509
402/471-2654

## NEVADA

(No Program)

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

(No Program)

# NEW JERSEY

Joseph G. Call Chief, Bureau of County Services Department of Corrections Whittlesey Road, Post Office Box 7387 Trenton, New Jersey 08628 609/292-6156

## NEW MEXICO

(No Program)

#### NEW YORK

Paul L. Splain
Director, Local Correctional Facilities Bureau
State Commission of Corrections
Tower Building, Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223
518/474-8767

#### NORTH CAROLINA

Woodburn Williams
Director, Jails and Detention Branch
North Carolina Department of Human Resources
Post Office Box 12200
Raleigh, North Carolina 27605
919/733-4560

#### NORTH DAKOTA

Jim Kraft
Coordinator of Corrections
North Dakota Combined Law Enforcement Council
Box B, North Dakota State Capitol
Bismark, North Dakota 58505
701/224-2594

#### OHIO

Nick Sanborn
Administrator, Bureau of Adult Detention
Facilities and Services, Division of Parole
and Community Services
Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections
1050 Freeway Drive, North
Columbus, Ohio 43229
614/466-5551

## OKLAHOMA

Charles Black
Assistant Commissioner, Charities and Corrections
114 State Capitol Building
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105
405/521-2231

## OREGON

1

Louis E. Brandt Assistant Chief, Jail Inspector's Office Corrections Division, Department of Human Resources 2575 Center Street, N.E. Salem, Oregon 97310 503/378-2424

## PENNSYLVANIA

Harry E. Wilson
Director, Special Services Division
Bureau of Correction
Post Office Box 598
Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011
717/787-7512

## RHODE ISLAND

(State Jail System)

John J. Moran
Director of Corrections
Department of Corrections
75 Howard Avenue
Cranston, Rhode Island 02920
401/464-2611

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

G. S. (Bert) Friday
Director, Division of Inspections
Department of Corrections
Post Office Box 21787
4444 Broad River Road
Columbia, South Carolina 29221
803/758-6304

## SOUTH DAKOTA

(No Regular Inspection)

Larry Pinney
Deputy Director
Board of Charities and Corrections
East Building
Pierre, South Dakota 57501
605/224-3478

#### TENNESSEE

Thomas Woodson
Director of Jail Inspection
Department of Correction
618 Doctor's Building
Nashville, Tennessee 37203
615/741-1317

#### TEXAS

Robert O. Viterna Assistant Director, Texas Commission on Jail Standards Post Office Box 12985 Austin, Texas 78711 512/475-2780

# HATU

(No Program)

## VERMONT

(State Jail System)

Peter A. Profera
Director, Community Correctional Centers
Department of Corrections
79 River Street
Montpelier, Vermont 05602
802/828-2461

## VIRGINIA

C. W. Gibbs Chief of Community Facilities Section Virginia Department of Corrections 429 South Belvidere Street Richmond, Virginia 802/786-2230

## WASHINGTON

Floyd E. Powell Director, Washington State Jail Commission 101 East 5th Street Olympia, Washington 98504 206/753-5790

#### WEST VIRGINIA

(No Program)

#### WISCONSIN

Dan M. Kelly
Assistant Regional Chief
Division of Corrections
Department of Health and Social Services
Green Bay District Office
1181 Western Avenue, Box 3730
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54303
414/497-3396

## WYOMING

(No Program)

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

(Centrally Administered Jail System)

# PUERTO RICO

(Centrally Administered Jail System)

#### ENABLING LEGISLATION

ALABAMA ALA. CODE tit. 14, \$\\$14-1-1 et al.

ALASKA (State Jail System)

ARIZONA (No Program)

ARKANSAS ARK. STAT. ANN. §§46-1201 to 46-1209

CALIFORNIA CAL. PENAL CODE \$6025 and \$6030

COLORADO (No Program)

CONNECTICUT (State Jail System)

DELAWARE (State Jail System)

FLORIDA FLA. STAT. ANN. §§944.31 et al., and §951.23

GEORGIA GA. CODE ANN. §77-801 et al.

HAWAII (State Jail System)

IDAHO (No Program)

<u>ILL. REV. STAT. ch. 38, \$1003-15-1 and \$1003-15-2</u>

INDIANA IND. CODE ANN. §11-5-3-1 et al. (Burns)

IOWA CODE ANN. §356.43 (West)

KANSAS KAN. STAT. §75-5228

KENTUCKY (No Enabling Legislation for the Operating Program)

LOUISIANA LA. REV. STAT. §40-5 and §15-751

MAINE ME. REV. STAT. tit. 34, §3

MARYLAND MD. CODE ANN. art. 27, §704

MASSACHUSETTS MASS. ANN. LAWS ch 127, §\$1A and 1B

MICHIGAN MICH. COMP. LAWS ANN. §791.262

MINNESOTA MINN. STAT. ANN. §241.021 (West)

MISSISSIPPI (No Program)

MISSOURI (No Program)

MONTANA MONT. REV. CODES ANN. titl 69, ch. 41

NEBRASKA

L.B. 212, Session 1977-1978

NEVADA

(No Program)

NEW HAMPSHIRE (No Program)

NEW JERSEY N.J. STAT. ANN. §30:1-15, and §30:1A-1 et al.

NEW MEXICO

(No Program)

NEW YORK

N.Y. CORRECTION LAW art 3, §§40 to 52.

NORTH CAROLINA N.C. GEN. STAT. §\$108-79 to 108-81 and §\$153A-216 to 153A-228

NORTH DAKOTA N.D. Cent. CODE \$12-61-05

OHIO OHIO REV. CODE ANN. §5120.10

(Also see Exec Order 005-Dept. of Rehabilitation and Correction)

OKLAHOMA OKLA. STATS. ANN. tit. 74, §192 et al. (West)

OREGON OR. REV. STAT. ch. 169

PENNSYLVANIA PA. STAT. ANN. tit. 61, §460.3 (Purdon)

RHODE ISLAND (State Jail System)

SOUTH CAROLINA S.C. CODE \$\\$24-9-10 to 24-9-30

SOUTH DAKOTA (No Program)

TENNESSEE TENN. CODE ANN. tit. 41, §1144

TEXAS TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. ANN. art. 5115.1 et al. (Vernon)

UTAH (No Program)

<u>VERMONT</u> (State Jail System)

VIRGINIA VA. CODE \$53-19.34 and \$53-128.11

WASHINGTON WASH. REV. CODE ANN. §36.63A

WEST VIRGINIA (No Program)

WISCONSIN WIS. STAT. ANN. §§46.16 and 46.17 (West)

WYOMING (No Program)

# END