

NCJRS

APR 12 1979

Victims Program  
Fourth Annual Report  
January, 1978 to January, 1979

ACQUISITIONS

This is the fourth year for the Victims Program to receive referrals of victims and offenders from the Judiciary. The original concept by Judge Joe Jennings from which the program developed was that the Judiciary might refer youngsters to a Victims Coordinator who would arrange for the youngster to personally apologize to the victim of their offense. Additionally, a plan of restitution would be devised and implemented. It was hoped that through these activities that crime would become more personalized to offenders, and that victims would view offenders as persons of worth.

A chart on the following page describes the comparative scope of the program for those victims and offenders referred by Judge Joe Jennings and Referee Helen Kannady for the past four years.

In January, 1978, the Judiciary redesigned the Pre-Disposition Reports prepared by Probation Counselors. A section entitled "Victim Restitution" was added so that information regarding losses and damages of victims and a plan for restitution could be provided for the Judiciary in deciding the disposition of the offender's case. The Victims Coordinators have assisted Probation Counselors in the gathering of information, this service has increased the number of victims contacted by the Victims Program. Also, more offenders have been required to make restitution as a condition of probation without a referral to the Victims Program.

57279

JUDICIAL REFERRALS

1975

<u>Victims</u>	<u>Number of Offenders</u>	<u>Loss/Damage</u>	<u>Recovered/ Restitution</u>	<u>Assignment Hours</u>	<u>Hours Completed</u>
135	151	\$30,904.38	\$13,894.52	1971	1443 (70%)

1976

<u>Victims</u>	<u>Number of Offenders</u>	<u>Loss/Damage</u>	<u>Recovered</u>	<u>Restitution</u>	<u>Assignment Hours</u>	<u>Completed Hours</u>	<u>Victim Offender Contact</u>
132	146	\$59,152.21	\$12,897.16	\$9,197.16	2390	1651 (60%)	133

1977

<u>Victims</u>	<u>Number of Offenders</u>	<u>Loss/Damage</u>	<u>Recovered</u>	<u>Restitution</u>	<u>Assignment Hours</u>	<u>Completed Hours</u>	<u>Victim Offender Contact</u>
127	177	\$56,096.51	\$21,476.56	\$9,509.12	763	609 (80%)	98

1978

<u>Victims</u>	<u>Number of Offenders</u>	<u>Loss/Damage</u>	<u>Recovered</u>	<u>Restitution</u>	<u>Assignment Hours</u>	<u>Completed Hours</u>	<u>Victim Offender Contact</u>
109	130	\$41,533.16	\$7,668.71	\$7,902.21	800	569 (71%)	56

### Victim Referrals from the Intake Department

During 1978, the Intake Department referred 918 victims to the Victims Program of which 130 were later referred by the Judiciary.

The Victims Coordinators had telephone contacts with at least fifty percent of these victims. There are several purposes for these contacts:

- 1) to provide support to the victim by listening to his experience
- 2) to review losses and damages
- 3) to give victims information about the progress of individual juvenile cases and information regarding compensation
- 4) to arrange release of property recovered by police

An estimated 200 victims initiated the first contact with the Victims Coordinators in the past year. This is a result of increased police awareness of this program. Thus, the program responds to victims immediately and provides support and information to those anxious for these services.

The following chart outlines the number of contacts for the past two years which Victims Coordinators have had with victims and staff in providing information, support and property recovery. In most instances two or three contacts with staff are required in order to gather information communicated to victims. This does not include contacts made with a victim when later referred by the Judiciary.

1977

<u>VICTIM CONTACTS</u>	<u>POLICE</u>	<u>INTAKE</u>	<u>DA</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>TOTAL # VICTIMS REFERRED</u>	<u>TOTAL CONTACTS</u>
647	389	702	254	357	783	2249

1978

<u>VICTIM CONTACTS</u>	<u>POLICE</u>	<u>INTAKE</u>	<u>DA</u>	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>OFFENDER</u>	<u>TOTAL # VICTIMS REFERRED</u>	<u>TOTAL CONTACTS</u>
1376	786	760	432	632	226	918	4212

Training

Jean Adams and Glenn Ramsay attended the Fourth National Victims Assistance Conference in Minneapolis, Minnesota in August, 1978. Glenn contributes to the National Organization of Victims Assistance by serving on the Membership Committee.

Both Jean and Glenn attended other training sessions throughout the year. Particularly noteworthy was Glenn Ramsay's invitation to participate in a three day Executive Training Program on Victim/Witness Services sponsored by the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice in New Orleans, Louisiana.


Outstanding Activities During 1978

By attending national conferences on Victimology, the Victims Coordinators have become acquainted with Victimology experts who have benefited the program and the Juvenile Bureau. Drs. Peter and Anne Schneider, Research Scientists with the Institute of Policy Analysis,

Eugene, Oregon visited the Juvenile Bureau in February and gathered information about the Victims Program which was included in a national research study.

Burt Galaway, a faculty member of the School of Social Development at the University of Minnesota, Duluth, Minnesota, conducted a descriptive research study of the Victims Program, primarily gathering information about the personal contacts between victims and offenders. Bart Wanyama, a graduate student in the School of Social Work at the University of Minnesota, assisted in the Research.

A Victims logo was designed by Ron Pelt, Probation Counselor, which emphasizes that victims are people. The logo was utilized on a brochure written this past year to explain the services of the Victims Program.



*Margie Henzel*

Margie Henzel  
Supervisor of Special Services

## VICTIMS PROGRAM

### THIRD ANNUAL REPORT

January, 1977 to January, 1978

During 1977, the Victims Program continued for its third year receiving referrals of victims and offenders from Judge Joe Jennings and Referee Helen Kannady. Of interest is that the number of victims, amount of loss/damage and restitution is similar for the past two years. However, a significantly larger amount of property was recovered in 1977. The figures in this category are the least accurate in that often when property has been recovered a dollar value is not determined in Police Reports, whereas when property is damaged or not recovered, a value must be determined for tax purposes or insurance recovery for the victim.

In previous years a service project would often be assigned if the offender would consider participating in this plan. During 1977, only those offenders were assigned a project when reasons precipitated the assignment. For example, a project would be assigned in a case where restitution was not required as property had been recovered or there was no monetary or property loss. Some of the places where youngsters accomplish community service work projects are schools, the zoo, libraries, nursing homes, Philbrook, Salvation Army, Mabee Center, Tulsa Housing Authority, churches, Goodwill. Examples of some of the services are dusting shelves at the library, picking up trash around the school, assisting in the cafeteria, etc.

During 1977, 80 percent of assigned community service work projects were completed. This compares with 69 percent completed in 1976 and 70 percent completed in 1975.

The attached chart describes the comparative scope of the program for those victims referred by the judiciary for the past three years.

#### Contact between Offender and Victim

Ninety-six offenders personally contacted their respective victims and apologized for their offense. Two other offenders wrote letters to their victims. Although fewer victims and offenders had personal contact than in 1976, the importance of victim/offender contact in the Victims Program has not diminished. Occasionally a Victim Coordinator contacts a victim and suggests personal contact with the offender and the victim is reluctant to meet the offender. During 1976,

1975

<u>Victims</u>	<u>Number of Offenders</u>	<u>Loss/Damage</u>	<u>Recovered/Restitution</u>	<u>Assignment Hours</u>	<u>Hours Completed</u>
135	151	\$30,904.38	\$13,894.52	1971	1443

1976

<u>Victims</u>	<u>Number of Offenders</u>	<u>Loss/Damage</u>	<u>Recovered</u>	<u>Restitution</u>	<u>Assign. Hours</u>	<u>Hours Compl.</u>	<u>Contact</u>
132	146	\$59,152.21	\$12,897.16	\$9,197.16	2390	1651	128 Personal 4 Letter <u>1 Telephone</u> 133 Total

1977

<u>Victims</u>	<u>Number of Offenders</u>	<u>Loss/Damage</u>	<u>Recovered</u>	<u>Restitution</u>	<u>Assignment Hours</u>	<u>Hours Completed</u>
127	203	\$56,096.51	\$21,476.56	\$9,509.12	763	609

Victim/Offender Contact

<u>Victim/Offender Contact</u>	<u>Letter</u>	<u>No Contact at Request of Victim</u>	<u>No Contact</u>	<u>Offender Placed &amp; No Contact</u>	<u>Offender was Adult</u>	<u>Offender Not Referred to VP</u>
96	2	6	65	18	6	10

the two Victim Coordinators strongly encouraged this contact and at times persuaded victims to participate. In the past year, Victim Coordinators have been more accepting of a victim's reluctance and it is felt that this accounts for a decline in the number of contacts.

More than half of the 127 victims referred from the judiciary had been previously contacted by a Victim Coordinator. This was a result of broadening the services of the Victims Program to include referrals from the Intake Department. Through phone contacts, the victims had been advised that a juvenile suspect had been apprehended, and victims were given information about the Juvenile Bureau's process. Thus a referral of a victim from the judiciary is a continuation of services and in fact, is a more in-depth study of their situation.

#### Victim Referrals from Intake Department

Information is the key to many of the services victims need. Information can relieve a great deal of fear and frustration. Victims want to know whether a suspect has been caught. If they fear a repeat attack or reprisal for prosecuting, they want to know if the suspect is detained. They want to know why their possessions are being held as evidence and when they will be returned. They want follow-up information--for example, if a child's attorney stipulates that a petition is true and the victim is not called as a witness to testify in a trial, the victim might be unaware that the child was adjudicated or is under the supervision of the Court.

During 1977, the Intake Department referred 783 victims to the program and 127 of this total were later referred by the judiciary. The above comments pertain to the majority of the 656 victims who would not have had contact except for the expansion of the program. One or more telephone contacts was made with more than 350 victims, and generally most victim contacts required at least one contact be made with the staff, person and/or police personnel. There are several purposes for these contacts. In order of importance they are:

- 1) To provide support to the victim by listening to his experience.
- 2) To review losses and damages. (At times victims' losses are greater than realized at the time of his contact with police and in these instances, a Victim Coordinator is able to give intake counselors accurate information in order to file a more accurate petition.)



- 3) To inform victims of hearing and trial dates. There have been occasions when a trial conflicted with a victim's scheduled vacation. As a result of a cooperation among the Victim Coordinator, the Assistant District Attorney and the intake counselor, the trial can be rescheduled to enable the witness to testify.

As a result of increased police and public awareness of this program, victims are contacting this program voluntarily. Thus, the program responds to victims immediately.

The following chart outlines the number of contacts the Victim Coordinators had with victims, staff, police and other persons:

<u>1977</u>					
<u>Victim</u>	<u>Police</u>	<u>Intake</u>	<u>District Attorney</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>No Contact</u>
647	389	702	254	257	193

These contacts were primarily by telephone with the exception of the contacts with the staff at the Bureau. Contacts were documented on the confidential forms of the Victims Program. Many additional contacts were made, but only contacts of importance were documented. As noted previously, the 647 contacts with victims refer to contacts made with approximately 350 victims. These contacts do not include contacts made with an additional 127 victims who may have been contacted at an earlier time but were later referred by the judiciary. Also, this does not include contacts with staff regarding those 127 victims or 203 offenders referred by the judiciary.

#### Contact with Police and Assistant District Attorney

During 1977, there was an increased amount and quality of contact with police as a result of increased efforts by the Victims Department to coordinate release of property. Virtually all of the 250 contacts with the Assistant District Attorney were authorizations of releases of property. In addition, the Victims Department provided a service to the Tulsa Police Property Room by initiating release of property in victimless crimes. For example, in thirty-two referrals involving drug possession there was property held for evidence that later needed to be destroyed. Also, there were instances when property was recovered from an offender and victim was unknown and this property was later released for auction.

During the past year Victim Coordinators have been encouraging retail stores to establish and maintain their own property room. Police require that an area be designated with limited access to personnel. Nationally, similar agreements are being made between police departments and retail stores primarily to hasten property release. Property can later be released by a telephone call. This is a more efficient and less time-demanding process for both the retail store and the police department.

Also, Victim Coordinators have been encouraging the Assistant District Attorney to authorize police to photograph and release property to victims in special cases. For example, property was photographed and released to a man whose truck had been burglarized and his heavy work jacket and Skill Saw were stolen. In this instance a slow release of property would have interfered with his job.

#### Intake Department

An increase in communication with the Intake Department was necessary during 1977 in order to coordinate property released, to gather information about hearing and trial dates for victims, and to remain up-to-date on the status of a juvenile suspect. Chuck Harris devised the "orange property disposition tag" which increased the amount of communication in a more efficient process. An orange tag is placed on a police report by the records clerk and is a signal to the intake counselor that property is being held. When a child is adjudicated, the counselor records the disposition on the tape and returns to a Victim Coordinator who arranges for the property to be released. Many of the intake contacts recorded on the chart are these written contacts via property tags.

#### Other Contacts

Other contacts include attorneys, DISRS Aftercare Counselors, offenders and their parents who brought store receipts showing payment for articles which had been shop-lifted and now can be released to the victim. Also included in this category are contacts with District Court Judge Graham, Judge Armstrong and Judge Dalton. They were given information regarding victim losses when an adult offender accompanied a juvenile offender. When appropriate, judges ordered restitution by the adult offender.

#### No Contact

No victim contact was made in several kinds of cases.

As mentioned previously, there were 32 instances of victimless crimes where a service was provided for the police property room and no victim. Contact was not made when a victim's telephone was not in the directory. There were periods when so many referrals were made that Victim Coordinators could not make early contacts. Victims not contacted within approximately one week were not contacted at all.

Victim Coordinators did not contact victims of serious personal crimes such as rape or assault as the program cannot provide intensive services. A need does exist for these types of services in the Tulsa Community and two newly formed organizations, Call RAPE and the Task Force for Battered Women, provide services for some of these victims.


### Training

Jean Adams and Glenn Ramsay attended the Third National Victims Assistance Conference in Akron, Ohio in August, 1977. In addition, Jean Adams completed a Parent Effectiveness Training Course by Jim Cremin. Glenn Ramsay attended a three day symposium on restitution in Minneapolis, Minnesota in October, 1977. Glenn Ramsay also attended a national meeting sponsored by the Women's Crusade Against Crime in St. Louis in October, 1977, addressing issues for "Victims of Justice." Attendance of Ms. Adams and Mr. Ramsay at these conferences has increased their knowledge of new theories and practices in the Criminal Justice field concerning Victimology.

### Outstanding Activities during 1977

Two new bureau staff members have assisted the Victims Program in the past year. Linda Rash, Records Clerk, attaches property disposition tags on juvenile referrals when a victim is involved. She also provides the Victims Department with a record of recidivism when a victim is involved. Peggy Porter, Secretary, has been of great assistance in typing for the Victims Department and maintaining the Victims-Offender cross-reference system.

In February, 1977, the bill "H. B. 1398" introduced by David Riggs was passed. This bill was introduced as a result of Glenn Ramsay's recommendation that the statutes be amended to increase parental responsibility for damages caused by children. This bill becomes effective as of January 1, 1978.

  
Margie Henzel  
Supervisor of Special Services

CASE #	VICTIMS	# OFFENDERS	DAMAGE/LOSS	RECOVERED	RESTITUTION	ASSIGNMENT	COMPLETED	VICTIM OFFENDER CONTACT	COMMENTS
346	1	1	\$ 77.00	0	\$ 38.50	0	0	NO	Two kids involved each to pay \$38.50, only one paid.
347	1	1	\$ 92.56	0	\$ 92.56	0	0	YES	
348A	1		\$325.00	0	-0-				Placed with DISRS
349B	1	1	\$ 60.00	0	-0-	0	0	NO	
350	1	2	\$240.00	0	\$120.00	0	0	YES	
					\$120.00	0	0	YES	
351A	1	2	\$255.00	\$120.00	\$ 67.50	0	0	1-YES	
352B	1				\$ 67.50	0	0	1-BY LETTER	
353A	1	2	\$255.63	0	\$127.81	0	0	1- 5 LETTERS 1- NO	1 made restitution ordered as noted 1 placed with DISRS
354B	1		\$100.00	0	\$ 50.00				
355C	1		\$183.50	0	\$ 91.75				
356D	1		\$150.00	0	\$ 75.00				
357E	1		\$ 54.64	0	\$ 27.32				
358	1	1	\$735.90	0	attorney is negotiating with victim & said restitution will be paid.	0	0	NO	Kid was placed at Lakeside settlement was \$300.00
359	1	1	\$ 38.25	0	\$ 38.25	0	0	YES	

CASE #	VICTIMS	# OFFENDERS	DAMAGE/LOSS	RECOVERED	RESTITUTION	ASSIGNMENT	COMPLETED	VICTIM	
								OFFENDER CONTACT	COMMENTS
360	1	3	\$371.85	0	\$277.38	0	0	3-NO	3 Juveniles and 1 adult, with Juveniles paying 1/3 each.
361	1	1	\$ 35.00	0	0	0	0	No contact by victim request	Juvenile left the state, closed by default.
362	1	1	?	all	0	0	0	No-on advice of counselor	Adult accomplice received 2 years deferred sentence.
363	1	1	\$1774.99	\$1774.99	0	0	0	Unable to locate victim after property released.	
364	1	1	\$ 50.00	\$35.00	\$ 15.00	0	0	YES	Good feeling between victim and Juvenile.
365	1	1	\$ 37.00	\$37.00	0	0	0	1-NO	Required to pay retailer for items and did not, was placed with DISRS.
366	1	1	\$ 37.44	0	\$ 37.44	0	0	YES	
367	1	3	\$284.00	0	\$ 94.67	0	0	YES	One youngster paid cash earned from working on another job, two kids worked out restitution at the victims car lot, one did such a fine job that victim said he'd hire him if he had an opening.
					\$ 94.67	0	0	YES	
					\$ 94.67	0	0	YES	
368	1	1	\$154.99	\$154.99	0	0	0	NO	Adult offender involved and did apologize to victim.
369	1	2	\$ 49.50	0	\$ 24.75	0	0	YES (2)	
					\$ 24.75				
370	1	2	\$1299.34	0	\$ 7.50	0	0	2 NO	Left recovery to civil action
					\$ 7.50				
371	1	1	\$ 60.00	0	\$ 60.00	0	0	YES	
372	1	1	not determined	0	refused by victim	0	0	No contact by victim request	
373	1	1	\$100.00	\$20.00	0	0	0	YES	Offender agreed to hang art shows victim.

CASE #	VICTIMS	# OFFENDERS	DAMAGE/LOSS	RECOVERED	RESTITUTION	ASSIGNMENT	COMPLETED	VICTIM OFFENDER CONTACT	COMMENTS
374	1	1	\$ 30.00	0	\$ 15.00	40	40	YES	2 juveniles involved - 1 placed with DISRS
375	1	3	\$3940.23	0	\$1910.41	0	0	2 YES 1 NO	incomplete
376	1	1	\$3084.00	0	0	40	40	NO	Loss to be settled by Civil action
377	1	1	\$ 58.00	0	\$ 58.00	40	40	YES	Paid retailer for items taken and received them
378	1	2	\$ 225.00	0	\$ 20.00	0	0	YES	still making payments
				0	\$ 112.50	0	0	YES	
379	1	2	\$ 12.00	0	\$ 12.00	0	0	2 letters-victims request	both boys wrote nice notes when sent money orders.
380A	1	1	\$ 300.00	\$300.00	0	40	40	NO (at request of victim)	
381B	1		\$ 400.00	\$400.00	0				
382	1	2	\$ 86.70	0	0	0	0	YES - 1	
				0	0	0	0	NO - 1	
383	1	1	\$ 177.95	0	\$ 177.95	0	0	NO	Placed with DISRS
384	1	1	\$ 225.00	\$165.00	\$ 60.00	40	40	YES	
385	1	4	\$ 89.00	\$ 35.00	\$ 13.50	0	0	NO	sent out of state
					13.50	60	60	NO	
					13.50	60	60	NO	
					13.50	60	60	NO	
386	2	5	\$1152.46 (INS. CO.) \$ 37.51 (Victim)	0	0	0	0	NO	DISRS
								NO	NJC
								NO	Pending
								NO	DISRS
								NO	
387	1	1	\$ 190.00	\$150.00	0	0	0	NO	Juvenile willing and able to pay loss incurred, unable to locate victim after first phone call.

CASE #	VICTIMS	# OFFENDERS	DAMAGE/LOSS	RECOVERED	RESTITUTION	ASSIGNMENT	COMPLETED	VICTIM OFFENDER CONTACT	COMMENTS				
388A	1	2	\$2116.00 (setld.\$1000)	0	\$1500.00	0	0	NO					
388B	1		955.59 (setld. \$800)	0									
388C	1		\$2478.48 (setld.\$1200)	0						ordered to pay other half	0	0	NO
388D	1		0	0									
389	1	2	\$ 115.00	\$115.00	0	80	0	2 NO (victim's request)	Service project relieved, both had full time jobs.				
390	1	1	4.00	4.00		40	21½	NO	Problem in service project				
391	1	1	28.00	28.00	0	40	40+	NO (not required)	Liked service project and continued after completed.				
392A	1	3	96.00	0	\$ 32.00	0	0	NO					
392B	1		\$ 135.52	0	(still open)0	0	0	NO					
			" " 0	0	0	0	NO						
			\$ 45.17	0	0	0	NO						
393	1	1	\$ 29.00	0	\$ 29.00	0	0	Letter of apology sent to victim by kid.					
394	1	1	\$ 621.80	\$621.80	0	20	8	NO-not required	Would not show--incomplete				
395	1	1	\$ 454.68	0	\$ 454.68	0	0	NO-victim request					
396A	1	3	\$ 882.37	0	\$ 294.12	0	0	3 YES					
					25.00								
					294.12								
396B	1		\$ 965.00	0	\$ 482.50	0	0						
					0								
396C	1		\$ 188.00	\$163.00	0	0	0						
				0									
				8.33									
397	1	2	\$ 250.00	0	\$ 31.25	0	0	NO-victim request	Incomplete				

CASE #	VICTIMS	# OFFENDERS	DAMAGE/LOSS	RECOVERED	RESTITUTION		ASSIGNMENT	COMPLETED	VICTIM	COMMENTS
									OFFENDER CONTACT	
398	1	5	\$ 635.00	\$300.00	\$30.00	0	0	YES (5)	2-DISRS	
					\$67.00					
					\$50.00					
					\$67.00					
					\$25.00					
399	1	1	\$ 956.53	\$ 72.50	0	0	0	NO	Review hearing in May, 1979	
400	1	1	\$ 52.00	\$ 47.00	0	0	0	NO		
401	1	2	\$ 57.43	0	\$57.43	0	0	YES - 2		
402A	1	2	?	all	0	0	0	2 NO-not required	Failed to comply with rules of probation.	
403B	1									
404C	1									
405	1	2	\$1518.06	\$500.00	0	0	0	2 NO (incomplete)	Incomplete and one placed with DISRS	
406	1	1	\$ 79.53	\$ 44.00	\$35.53	0	0	YES		
407A	1	1	\$ 79.00	0	0	40	40+	NO-victim request	Incomplete	
407B	1									
408	1	1	\$ 40.00	\$ 40.00	0	40	40	NO-victim request	Very well liked on service project	
409	1	1	\$ 235.00	0	0	0	0	YES	Offender to make plan with victim to work for him and pay restitution.	



CASE #	VICTIMS	# OFFENDERS	DAMAGE/LOSS	RECOVERED	RESTITUTION	ASSIGNMENT	COMPLETED	VICTIM	
								OFFENDER CONTACT	COMMENTS
410A	1		\$ 20.00	\$10.00	0				
411B	1	1	\$2412.06	0	0	0	0	NO	Placed with DISRS
412C	1		\$ 20.00	0	0				
413A	1		\$ 79.00	0	\$79.00	0	0	YES	
414B	1	1	\$ 50.00	0	0	0	0	NO	
415	1	2	\$ 14.46	0	\$14.46	0	0	YES - 2	One at TBH, one on probation.
416	1	2	\$ 80.00	0	0	0	0	YES - 2	Incomplete
417	1	4	\$ 58.25	\$26.88	\$27.84	0	0	4 YES	
418	1	1	\$ 401.12	0	0	0	0	NO-failed to comply	Incomplete
419	1	1	\$ 23.40	0	\$23.40	0	0	YES	Incomplete, but worked for victim.
420	1	1	\$ 815.12	0	0	0	0	NO	
421	1	2	\$ 400.00	0	0	0	0	By phone - 1 Not yet - 1	Incomplete
422	1	1	\$ 25.00	0	\$25.00	0	0	YES	
423	1	1	\$46,000.00	0	0	0	0	NO-placed with DISRS	Former insurer of this firm doubts if this is true--may be less than \$15,000.00

CASE #	VICTIMS	# OFFENDERS	DAMAGE/LOSS	RECOVERED	RESTITUTION	ASSIGNMENT	COMPLETED	VICTIM		COMMENTS			
								OFFENDER CONTACT					
424	1	1	\$ 100.00	\$100.00	0	0	0	NO					
425	1	2	\$ 92.85	\$ 54.35	\$19.25	40	2	YES		Victim came for both juveniles hearings.			
					\$19.25			40			0	YES	
426	1	4	\$1680.10	\$1476.10	0	0	0	NO - 4		Restitution was \$51.00 each, incomplete			
427	1	1	\$ 262.12	0	0	0	0	NO		Incomplete			
428	1	1	\$ 415.00	\$340.00	0	0	0	NO-victim request		Incomplete			
429A	1	1	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	0	40	40						
430B	1		\$ 64.00	0	\$64.00						0	0	1 - YES
431A	1	1	\$ 270.00	0	0	0	0			Another offender involved in all three cases, but moved out of state and not referred, case incomplete.			
432B	1		\$ 452.51 setld.\$301.67	0	0						0	0	1-not required
433C	1		\$ 676.14 setld.\$450.76	0	0						0	0	
434	1	1	\$ 100.00	\$100.00	0	0	0	NO		Offense with adult guardian.			
435A	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	NO		Incomplete			
436B	1		\$ 50.00	0	0						0	0	Incomplete, to make restitution.

CASE #	VICTIMS	# OFFENDERS	DAMAGE/LOSS	RECOVERED	RESTITUTION	ASSIGNMENT	COMPLETED	VICTIM	
								OFFENDER CONTACT	COMMENTS
437	1	1	\$ 19.50	0	\$19.50	0	0	1-not required	
438A	1	1	\$ 102.00	0	0	0	0	1-not yet	Unable to locate victim.
439B	1		\$ 8.20	0	0	0	0		
440	1	1	\$ 95.80	0	0	0	0	1-not required	Incomplete
441	1	1	\$ 221.79	0	0	0	0	NO	Incomplete
442	1	1	\$ 352.29	\$67.14	0	0	0	1-not yet	Adult involved to pay \$142.58.
443	1	2	\$ 72.76	\$72.76	0	0	0	YES - 2	Incomplete, to pay for items taken.
444	1	1	\$ 162.00	recovered but damaged	0	40	0	1-not yet	Incomplete
445	1	1	\$1309.93	0	0	0	0	NO	Incomplete
446	1	1	\$ 275.00	\$275.00	0	0	0	NO	Incomplete
447	1	1	\$ 531.33	0	0	0	0	NO	Incomplete

#	#VICTIMS	#OFFENDERS	DAMAGE / LOSS	RECOVERED	RESTITUTION	ASSIGNMENT	HOURS COMPLETED	VICTIM OFFENDER CONTACT	COMMENTS
TOTALS	110	131	\$87,533.16	\$7,668.71	\$7,902.21	800 Hrs.	569	50 Yes 5 Letter 1 Phone	49 No 10 No-Victim's Request 1 No-Advice of Counselor 2 No-Unable to Locate Victim 7 Not Required 6 Not Yet
	<u>-1</u>	<u>-1</u>	<u>-46,000.00</u>	<u>-0</u>	<u>-0</u>	<u>-0</u>	<u>-0</u>		
	109	130	\$41,533.16	\$7,668.71	\$7,902.21	800 Hrs.	569		Case #423.  This loss was greatly disputed by the insurance company. Victims Program was unable to provide any services in this instance. One juvenile and three adults were involved in this case.

**END**