


**Please do not remove
NCJRS Reading Room**

**Expenditure and Employment
Data for the Criminal Justice
System 1976**



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Enforcement Assistance Administration

National Criminal Justice
Information and Statistics Service

SD-EE No. 11

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Bureau of the Census

State and Local Government
Special Studies

No. 85

READING ROOM

441588

National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service Reports

State and Local Probation and Parole Systems

State and Local Prosecution and Civil Attorney Systems

National Survey of Court Organization:
1977 Supplement to State Judicial Systems
1975 Supplement to State Judicial Systems
1971 (full report)

Criminal Justice Agencies in Regions 1-10 (10 volumes)

Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-75 (annual)

Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1975 (annual)

National Prisoner Statistics:
Capital Punishment 1976 (annual)
Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions (annual)
December 31, 1976: Advance Report
December 31, 1975 (final report)
Census of State Correctional Facilities, 1974: Advance Report
Survey of Inmates of State Correctional Facilities, 1974: Advance Report
Census of Prisoners in State Correctional Facilities, 1973

The Nation's Jails: A report on the census of jails from the 1972 Survey of Inmates of Local Jails

Survey of Inmates of Local Jails 1972: Advance Report

Children in Custody: Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census
Advance Report, 1975 Census

Victimization Surveys:
Criminal Victimization in the United States (annual)
A Comparison of 1975 and 1976 Findings
A Comparison of 1974 and 1975 Findings
A Comparison of 1973 and 1974 Findings
1974 (final report)
1973 (final report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities: National Crime Panel Surveys in Boston, Buffalo, Cincinnati, Houston, Miami, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, New Orleans, Oakland, Pittsburgh, San Diego, San Francisco, and Washington, D.C. (summary report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Boston (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Buffalo (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Cincinnati (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Houston (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Miami (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Milwaukee (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Minneapolis (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in New Orleans (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Oakland (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Pittsburgh (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in San Diego (full report)

Criminal Victimization Surveys in San Francisco (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Washington, D.C. (full report)
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia: A Comparison of 1972 and 1974 Findings
Criminal Victimization Surveys in the Nation's Five Largest Cities: National Crime Panel Survey in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia, 1972
Criminal Victimization Surveys in Eight American Cities: A Comparison of 1971/72 and 1974/75 Findings—National Crime Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis
Crime in Eight American Cities: National Crime Panel Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis—Advance Report, 1971/72
Crimes and Victims: A Report on the Dayton-San Jose Pilot Survey of Victimization

Applications of the National Crime Survey Victimization and Attitude Data:
Public Opinion About Crime: The Attitudes of Victims and Nonvictims in Selected Cities
Local Victim Surveys: A Review of the Issues
Police and Public Opinion: An Analysis of Victimization and Attitude Data for 13 American Cities

Dictionary of Criminal Justice Data Terminology: Terms and Definitions Proposed for Interstate and National Data Collection and Exchange

Utilization of Criminal Justice Statistics Project: Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics 1976 (annual)
Public Opinion Regarding Crime, Criminal Justice, and Related Topics
New Directions in Processing of Juvenile Offenders: The Denver Model
Who Gets Detained: An Empirical Analysis of the Pre-Adjudicatory Detention of Juveniles in Denver
Juvenile Dispositions: Social and Legal Factors Related to the Processing of Denver Delinquency Cases
Offender-Based Transaction Statistics: New Directions in Data Collection and Reporting
Sentencing of California Felony Offenders
The Judicial Processing of Assault and Burglary Offenders in Selected California Counties
Pre-Adjudicatory Detention in Three Juvenile Courts
Delinquency Dispositions: An Empirical Analysis of Processing Decisions in Three Juvenile Courts
The Patterns and Distribution of Assault Incident Characteristics Among Social Areas
Patterns of Robbery Characteristics and Their Occurrence Among Social Areas
Crime-Specific Analysis: The Characteristics of Burglary Incidents
An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offender Characteristics
An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offenders and Offense Characteristics

Program Plan for Statistics, 1977-81

Single copies are available at no charge from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, Md. 20850. Multiple copies are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System 1976

Issued April 1978

U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

PUBLIC DOMAIN/ BJS
US DEPT OF JUSTICE

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Griffin B. Bell,
Attorney General

Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
James M. H. Gregg,
Acting Administrator

National Criminal Justice
Information and Statistics Service
SD-EE No. 11

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Juanita M. Kreps, Secretary
Courtenay M. Slater, Chief Economist

Bureau of the Census
Manuel D. Plotkin, Director

State and Local Government
Special Studies, No. 85

LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE
ADMINISTRATION

James M. H. Gregg
Acting Administrator

Paul K. Wormeli
Deputy Administrator

Harry Bratt, Assistant Administrator
National Criminal Justice Information
and Statistics Service

Benjamin H. Renshaw, Director
Statistics Division

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Manuel D. Plotkin
Director
Robert L. Hagan, Deputy
Director

Shirley Kallek
Associate Director for
Economic Fields

Sherman Landau, Chief
Governments Division

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Bureau of the Census is indebted to the many Federal, State, and local government officials who provided the information and gave other assistance for the preparation of this report.

In the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, general supervision was provided by Charles R. Kindermann, with project direction by Sue A. Lindgren and editorial supervision by Marilyn Marbrook, all of the Statistics Division. In the Bureau of the Census, Governments Division, general supervision was provided by Alan R. Jones and Diana M. Cull. Significant contributions were made by Bernice J. Brigham, Victoria E. Campbell, Ora E. Dorsey, Dennis E. Gosier, Purnell E. Johnson, Edward C. Malloy Jr., Joyce M. Stanford, and Joan B. Taylor; and Operations units under the supervision of Ulvey S. Harris and Howard S. Sales. Preparation of publication copy was supervised by Helen D. Files. In the Field Division, general coordination was provided by E. Wilson Burdorff; and in Data Preparation Division by Betty L. Russ.

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Department of Justice, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and U.S. Bureau of the Census, **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1976** U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1978

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

Stock No. 027-000-00645-1

CONTENTS

	Page
Selected Findings	ix
Introduction	1
Table	
A. Total criminal justice direct expenditure and percent change by level of government, fiscal years 1971 to 1976	1
B. Total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees and percent change, by level of government, October 1971 to October 1976	2
C. Percent distribution of total direct expenditure for the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, fiscal year 1976	4
D. Percent distribution of full-time equivalent employment in the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, October 1976	4
E. Percent distribution of Federal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1976	6
F. Percent distribution of State criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1976	8
G. Percent distribution of county criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1976	10
H. Percent distribution of municipal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1976	14
I. Criminal justice system direct expenditure and employment of independent cities and consolidated city-county governments, fiscal year 1976	15
J. Total criminal justice direct expenditure of all local governments and local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, fiscal year 1976	16
K. Relative standard errors of local government totals of criminal justice expenditure	17

Figure

1. Per capita direct expenditure for criminal justice activities of State and local governments, for State areas, fiscal year 1976	3
2. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure by level of government, fiscal year 1976	5
3. Percent distribution of criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment by level of government, fiscal year 1976	5
4. Federal government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976	7
5. Federal government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976	7
6. Average monthly salaries for criminal justice full-time equivalent employees by activity and type of government, October 1976	8
7. State government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976	9
8. State government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976	9
9. Local government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976	11
10. Local government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976	11
11. County government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976	12
12. County government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976	12
13. Municipal government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976	13
14. Municipal government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976	13

Section I. VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

Table

1. Percent distribution of total criminal justice expenditure from own sources of State and local governments, by State, fiscal year 1976	20
---	----

CONTENTS—Continued

Section II. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA

	Page
Figure	
15. Percent distribution of total criminal justice direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976 . . .	22
Table	
2. Percent distribution of expenditure for the criminal justice system, by level of government, fiscal year 1976	23
3. Percent distribution of employment and payrolls for the criminal justice system, by level of government, October 1976	23
4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	24
4A. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government, by character and object, transition quarter	26
5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government, October 1976	28
6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	30
7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	38
8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	46
9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1976	50
10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1976	58
11. Total criminal justice system expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	66
12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's by county area, fiscal year 1976	68
13. Criminal justice system employment of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1976	72
14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1976	76
15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	80
16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976	86
17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976	96
18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county governments, October 1976	106
19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976	118
20. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	128
21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976	134
22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976	146
23. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 395 large city governments, October 1976	158
24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976	170

Section III. POLICE PROTECTION

Figure	
16. Percent distribution of police protection direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	183
Table	
25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	184
26. Total police protection expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	189
27. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	190
28. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	196

Section IV. JUDICIAL

Figure	
17. Percent distribution of judicial direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	204
Table	
29. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	205
30. Total judicial expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	210

CONTENTS—Continued

	Page
31. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of State governments, fiscal year 1976	211
32. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1976	212
33. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	214
34. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976	220
35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976	226
36. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	238

Section V. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

Figure	
18. Percent distribution of legal services direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	246
Table	
37. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	247
38. Total legal services and prosecution expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	252
39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	253
40. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	259

Section VI. PUBLIC DEFENSE

Figure	
19. Percent distribution of public defense direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	267
Table	
41. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	268
42. Total public defense expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	273
43. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	274
44. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	280

Section VII. CORECTIONS

Figure	
20. Percent distribution of corrections direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	288
Table	
45. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	289
46. Total corrections expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	294
47. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of State governments, fiscal year 1976	295
48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1976	296
49. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	299
50. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976	305
51. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976	312
52. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976	322
53. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976	329
54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments, October 1976	332

Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Figure	
21. Percent distribution of other criminal justice direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	347
Table	
55. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976	348
Appendix 1: Exhibit Tables A, B, C, and D	353
Appendix 2: Definitions of Terms	381
Appendix 3: Survey Forms	385

TABLE FINDING GUIDE

Subjects by Type of Government and Table Designation

This guide lists all subjects covered in this report, but does not indicate all the detail shown in some tables, e.g. percent distributions, capital outlay, employment by full-time and full-time equivalent, etc. Unless otherwise noted, expenditure data are for FY 1976 and employment and payroll data for October 1976. Numbers or letters in bold type refer to tables or figures showing only combined data for the designated level or type of government or SMSA's. All other numbers and letters relate to data for individual governments.

Subject	Total U.S.	Federal government	State governments	Local governments			
				Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	333 large counties	395 large cities
CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM							
Direct expenditure: FY '71, '72, '73, '74, '75, '76	A	A	A	A			
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,8	2,6,8		15,16	20,21
Total expenditure from own sources (variable pass-through)			1	1			
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	17	22
Number of employees: FY '71, '72, '73, '74, '75, '76	B	B	B	B			
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	E,3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
POLICE PROTECTION¹							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,25	2,6,25		16,27	21,28
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	17	22
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			
JUDICIAL							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,29	2,6,29		16,33	21,36
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7,31	C,I,J,7	J,12	17,34	22
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9,32	D,G,H,3,9	13	18,35	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10,32	G,H,3,10	14	19,35	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll, by type of court and miscellaneous			31,32			34,35	

Subject	Total U.S.	Federal government	State governments	Local governments			
				Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	333 large counties	395 large cities
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION							
Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2,6,37	2,6,37		16,39	21,40
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	17	22
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			
PUBLIC DEFENSE							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,41	2,6,41		16,43	21,44
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	17	22
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			
CORRECTIONS							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,45	2,6,45		16,49	21,52
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7,47	C,I,J,7	J,12	17,50	22,53
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9,48	D,G,H,3,9	13	18,51	23,54
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10,48	G,H,3,10	14	19,51	24,54
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll for correctional institutions, by type, and for administration, probation/parole/pardon, and miscellaneous			47,48			50,51	53,54
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,55	2,6,55		16	21
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J,7	J,12	17	22
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3,5	D,F,3,9	D,G,H,3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	E,3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 6	Figure 6	Figure 6			

¹ Exhibit Tables A and B in Appendix 1 present expenditure and employment data for large special police forces which are part of independent school districts or special districts. These data are not included in other police protection data in this report. Exhibit Tables C and D in Appendix 1 present expenditure and employment data for special police forces which are part of State and local colleges and universities. These data are included in other police protection data in this report.

IMPORTANT

We have provided a User Evaluation Questionnaire at the end of this publication. It will assist us in improving future reports if you will complete and return it at your earliest convenience. The questionnaire is a self-mailing form and requires no postage.

SELECTED FINDINGS

General

In fiscal year 1976 total expenditure for criminal justice purposes by all governments was close to \$20 billion (\$19.7 billion), an increase of 14 percent over fiscal year 1975 (table A). For the month of October 1976, there were 1,079,892 criminal justice employees on a full-time equivalent basis (table B).

Local governments (counties and municipalities) accounted for 61 percent of all direct criminal justice expenditure; State governments for 26 percent; and the Federal Government for the remaining 13 percent. Approximately the same proportions apply to employment, with almost two-thirds of the total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees working at the local level (figure 3).

Although local government expenditure and employment for all criminal justice activities is more than Federal and State governments combined, the proportion accounted for by each level of government varies substantially for the six individual criminal justice activities or "sectors"—police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, public defense, corrections, and "other criminal justice" (tables C and D).

On a per capita basis, direct expenditure of State and local governments for criminal justice activities was \$80 in 1976, a 13 percent increase over the \$71 per capita in 1975. Local governments spent \$56 per capita, or 70 percent of the total State and local government per capita expenditure (figure 1).

The judicial sector reported the largest increase in expenditure from 1975 to 1976 at both the Federal (up 33 percent) and State (18 percent) levels. The legal services and prosecution sector accounted for the largest increase for municipalities (15 percent); and "other criminal justice," with a 134 percent increase, led all sectors at the county level.

Federal Government

The \$3,322 million expended by the Federal Government for criminal justice activities in 1976 represents a 10 percent or \$303 million increase over the \$3,019 million spent in 1975.

Nearly half of all Federal criminal justice expenditure, \$1,616 million, was reported by agencies in the "police protection" category (figure 4).

The second largest block of Federal criminal justice expenditure, \$948 million or 29 percent, was in the "other criminal justice" sector, including LEAA with FY 1976 expenditures of \$919 million of which \$836 million was grants to State and local governments (table 4). LEAA alone accounted for 5 percent of all government expenditure for criminal justice.

The judicial sector accounted for the largest percentage increase, 33 percent or \$54.1 million.

Agencies in the police protection sector reported 71,421, or 72 percent, of the 99,553 full-time equivalent criminal justice employees (figure 5).

The Federal Government pays the highest average monthly salary in every criminal justice category (figure 6).

State governments

State governments spent \$6 billion, or 4 percent of their total 1976 general expenditure of \$153.7 billion, on criminal justice activities (table 6). This represents an increase of \$665 million, or 13 percent.

The corrections sector accounted for the largest portion of State criminal justice expenditure, \$2,590 million or 43 percent (figure 7).

The balance of State criminal justice expenditure was distributed as follows: Police protection, 30 percent; judicial, 11 percent; legal services and prosecution, 4 percent; public defense, 1 percent; and "other criminal justice," 11 percent (figure 7).

The judicial sector at the State level showed the largest percentage increase, up 18 percent.

Except for a 2 percent decline in police protection full-time equivalent employment, each criminal justice sector showed employment increases at the State level ranging from 6 to 12 percent (figure 8).

Counties

Total expenditure for criminal justice by county governments in FY 1976 was \$4,652 million, a 19 percent increase from FY 1975. Close to one-third (32 percent) was expended for police protection; 27 percent for corrections; 26 percent for judicial; 9 percent for legal services and prosecution; and 3 percent each for public defense and "other criminal justice" activities (figure 11).

County full-time equivalent employment in criminal justice activities was 272,541 in October 1976, an increase of 6 percent over 1975 (figure 12).

The 333 counties with a 1974 population of 100,000 or more accounted for slightly more than three-fourths of the total county criminal justice expenditure and employed 72 percent of all county-level full-time equivalent criminal justice employees (tables 15-19).

Municipalities

Municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) outspent county governments on criminal justice activities by almost \$3 billion, but the difference can be attributed almost exclusively to the police sector, where municipal governments outspent counties by more than a 4 to 1 margin (figure 13).

The number of municipal full-time equivalent employees in October 1976 was 435,350, only a 0.4 percent increase over the October 1975 level. The employment level in police protection, accounting for 86 percent of all municipal criminal justice personnel, remained nearly unchanged (figure 14).

SELECTED FINDINGS

The 395 city governments with a 1973 population of 50,000 or more contain 53 percent of the municipal population but account for 68 percent of all municipal criminal justice expenditure and 63 percent of all municipal full-time equivalent employees (tables 20-24).

Local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's

The county and municipal governments in the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas, containing 30 percent

of the United States population, expended \$5,697 million for criminal justice activities—almost half (47 percent) of the Nation's total local criminal justice direct expenditure (table 12).

The local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's employed 290,482 full-time equivalent personnel, or 41 percent of the total number of local government full-time equivalent employees working in criminal justice (table 13).

INTRODUCTION

This publication is the tenth in a series of annual reports that present public expenditure and employment data on criminal justice activities in the United States. In this report, expenditure data cover the fiscal year (FY) 1976, and employment data are for the month of October 1976. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for a discussion of the variation in some fiscal year ending dates.

As in previous years, specific data are supplied for the Federal Government, each of the 50 State governments, and the aggregate local level of government within each State. Survey coverage was designed to produce reliable estimates for each State of the percent of total State and local law enforcement expenditure funded and expended by units of general local government. These estimates, shown in table 1, are necessary for compliance with the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended. Data are published separately for the aggregate county governments and the aggregate municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) within each State, for each of the 333 counties with a 1974 population of 100,000 or more, for the 395 cities with a 1973 population of 50,000 or more, and for the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's).

Historical overview

Although this annual series of reports began in fiscal year 1967, caution should be exercised in comparing data in the FY 1971 and later volumes with data in earlier volumes. Beginning with FY 1971, the survey was greatly expanded. The survey panel of governmental units was increased by a third, and the number of units for which data are compiled in the field by specially trained agents was more than doubled. Data collection further benefited from a growing familiarity with the criminal justice systems of the various States and of the individual units within the States. Data from the FY 1971 through FY 1976 annual volumes are essentially comparable; these data were collected in each year from the same panel of governments and were edited and processed in a uniform fashion. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for more detail.

Table A shows the steady rise in expenditure for criminal justice activities at all levels of government over the 6 years. The number of full-time equivalent criminal justice employees also increased at all levels of government but at a much slower rate than expenditure (see table B). A report entitled Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-1975, which summarizes data from the annual publications, is available. The next trends report, covering fiscal years 1971 through 1976, is being prepared for release in the spring of 1978.

Organization of this report

In this introductory text, Federal, State, and local government criminal justice expenditure and employment data are discussed and compared with 1975 data. Accompanying tables and charts are followed by a description of survey methodology, data sources, and limitations.

Table A. Total criminal justice direct expenditure and percent change by level of government, fiscal years 1971 to 1976

Year	Total	Federal	State	Local
Amount (millions of dollars)				
1971.....	10,517	¹ 1,215	2,681	6,621
1972.....	11,732	1,502	2,948	7,281
1973.....	13,007	1,651	3,304	8,052
1974.....	14,851	1,859	3,900	9,092
1975.....	17,249	2,188	4,612	10,449
1976.....	19,681	2,450	5,204	12,027
Percent increase or decrease (-)				
1971 to 1972....	11.6	23.6	10.0	10.0
1972 to 1973....	10.9	9.9	12.1	10.6
1973 to 1974....	14.2	12.6	18.0	12.9
1974 to 1975....	16.1	17.7	18.3	14.9
1975 to 1976....	14.1	12.0	12.8	15.1
1971 to 1976....	87.1	101.6	94.1	81.6

Note: Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

¹The Federal expenditure amount shown for 1971 does not include data for the Office of Building Security Services of the Federal Reserve System; the Judicial, Prevention and Enforcement Services Division of the Bureau of Indian Affairs; or the Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency at the National Institute of Mental Health, as these agencies were not included in the 1971 survey.

Following the introductory text are the main tables, which are organized into three major parts. Section I presents "variable pass-through" data (table 1), with an accompanying explanation of this unique concept. Section II presents summary data for the criminal justice system at the Federal, State, and local government levels and for selected SMSA's and individual governments, showing the interrelationship of the various sectors of the system (tables 2-24). Sections III-VIII cover each of the six "sectors" of the criminal justice system individually, providing where possible a further breakdown of data on particular criminal justice activities (tables 25-55). For the first time, charts showing percent distribution of criminal justice expenditure by State and type of government appear at the beginning of Sections II-VIII (see figures 15-21).

Tables A and B of appendix 1 show expenditure and employment data for special police force activities in selected school districts and special districts not included in the summary totals for general local governments. Tables C and D of appendix 1 show expenditure and employment data for campus police forces

of State and local governments-data which are included in the summary tables. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for a discussion of the differences in general local governments and independent school districts and special districts in the presentation of data in this report.

Immediately preceding this introduction, a table-finding guide has been provided for quick reference to the subjects covered. The guide cross-references all subjects contained in this report pertaining to criminal justice activities by all governments combined and each level of government—Federal, State, and local. Local governments are further broken down into counties and municipalities.

Definitions for concepts, categories and terms used in this report are contained in appendix 2. Two concepts that recur frequently, however, are worth noting here. **Total expenditure** is comprised of direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for criminal justice activities. In most expenditure tables, certain totals must be adjusted to exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. For example, money paid by a State government to a county government within that State is reported by the State government as an intergovernmental expenditure and by the county government as a direct expenditure when the money is spent. Therefore, in order to arrive at a combined State-local government total that does not duplicate these amounts, intergovernmental expenditure amounts are deducted from the State-local total, since those amounts are also reflected in the direct expenditures of the recipient governments. The same is true of intergovernmental payments between counties and municipalities within the same State. **Full-time equivalent employment** is a statistical measure that represents the total number of employees (full- and part-time), discounted by applying average full-time earning rates. It is calculated by dividing the total payroll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payroll and multiplying the resultant quotient by the number of full-time employees.

Table B. Total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees and percent change, by level of government, October 1971 to October 1976

Payroll period	Total	Federal	State	Local
Number of employees				
October 1971.....	861,776	177,523	205,859	578,394
October 1972.....	898,305	85,222	216,603	596,480
October 1973.....	945,309	87,139	232,299	625,871
October 1974.....	1,011,205	93,755	252,588	664,862
October 1975.....	1,050,503	96,136	263,208	691,159
October 1976.....	1,079,892	99,553	272,488	707,851
Percent increase or decrease (-)				
October 1971 to October 1972.....	4.2	9.9	5.2	3.1
October 1972 to October 1973.....	5.2	2.2	7.2	4.9
October 1973 to October 1974.....	7.0	7.6	8.7	6.2
October 1974 to October 1975.....	3.9	2.5	4.2	4.6
October 1975 to October 1976.....	2.8	3.6	3.5	2.4
October 1971 to October 1976.....	25.3	28.4	32.4	22.4

¹The Federal employment figure shown for October 1971 does not include employees at the Office of Building Security Services of the Federal Reserve System; the Judicial, Prevention and Enforcement Services Division of the Bureau of Indian Affairs; or the Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency at the National Institute of Mental Health, as these agencies were not canvassed in the 1971 survey.

It is also important to note that in this report the judicial, legal services and prosecution, and public defense categories include expenditure and employment data for both **criminal and civil justice activities** because available source documents for many governments do not segregate these activities for courts or agencies that handle both. Nor are the State and local governmental officials who provide the survey data able to make this break reliably and consistently. Therefore, in the absence of a consistent and reliable basis for proration, and to preserve uniformity in the collection and presentation of data, both criminal and civil activities are included for these categories.

Appendix 3 exhibits the mail questionnaires used in the 1976 survey, followed by a user evaluation questionnaire soliciting comments from readers.


General, fiscal year 1976

Total expenditure for criminal justice purposes at all levels of government was \$19,681 million in FY 1976. This is an increase of \$2.4 billion or 14 percent over FY 1975.

Figure 1 presents State-by-State per capita expenditures for criminal justice activities of State and of local governments in Fiscal Year 1976. The per capita data were computed on the basis of population estimates as of July 1, 1976.

On a per capita basis, direct expenditure of all State and local governments for criminal justice activities was \$80 in 1976, a 12.7 percent increase over the \$71 recorded in 1975 (figure 1). Local governments spent \$56 per capita, or 70 percent of the total State and local government per capita expenditure. However, in 5 States (Alaska, Delaware, North Carolina, Rhode Island, and Vermont) per capita criminal justice expenditure of the State government exceeded that of its local governmental units. The District of Columbia per capita expenditure of \$289 was the highest amount recorded in 1976. Among the States, Alaska at \$202 led the Nation, followed by Nevada (\$137), New York (\$126), and California (\$117).

FIGURE 1. Per capita direct expenditure for criminal justice activities of State and local governments, for State areas, fiscal year 1976



Local governments continued to spend more than the Federal and State governments combined for all criminal justice activities. Figure 2 shows that local governments accounted for 61 percent of all direct criminal justice expenditure; State governments contributed 26 percent and the Federal Government 13 percent. However, when each activity is examined separately, the proportion accounted for by the different levels of government varied throughout the criminal justice system.

As seen in table C, five of the activities—police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, public defense, and “other criminal justice” were supported mainly by local governments. State governments contributed the largest amount for corrections.

Relationships similar to those found for expenditure among the various levels of government also apply to employment. Figure 3 shows that almost two-thirds of the total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees of all governments were employed by local governments. As seen in table D, the proportion of people employed by the three levels of government in each sector generally approximated the proportion of expenditures made in the sector. Exceptions to this pattern are seen in the public defense and “other criminal justice” sectors. The Federal Government accounted for 31 percent of the total expenditure for public defense but only 3 percent of the employees. This is because the Federal Government makes more extensive use of court-appointed counsel systems, whereby fees are paid to private counsel to defend indigent clients accused of crimes, than do State and local governments, which more frequently hire employees directly to provide public defense services. It should also be noted that 82 percent of Federal public defense expenditure was accounted for by the legal services program of the Legal Services Corporation for counseling indigent persons in purely civil matters. Other Federal public defense programs service clients involved in criminal matters or mixed criminal and civil matters.

Local governments accounted for 48 percent of “other criminal justice” expenditure but only 35 percent of the employees while State governments contributed 28 percent of the expenditure and 44 percent of the employees. This is probably due in part to the effect of large capital expenditures at the local government level for construction of multipurpose criminal justice buildings. Moreover, smaller expenditure and employment totals are involved in this residual sector and small changes have a greater proportionate impact.

Federal Government (tables 4 and 5)

General expenditure by the Federal Government totaled \$289,652 million in fiscal year 1976. Of this amount \$3,322 million, or 1 percent, was expended on criminal justice activities. The \$3,322 million represents an increase of \$303 million, or 10 percent over the \$3,019 million expended in FY 1975. The increase in Federal criminal justice direct expenditure (which includes current operating expenses and capital outlay but excludes Federal grants to State and local governments) was even greater at 12 percent. It should be noted that Federal Government criminal justice expenditure data for the fiscal transition quarter from July 1 to September 30, 1976 are not included in the figures shown for fiscal year 1976, but are displayed separately in table 4A.

The police protection sector accounted for the largest portion of the overall dollar increase: \$151 million. However, the judicial sector accounted for the largest percentage increase, 33 percent or \$54.1 million, reflecting large increases within the U.S. District Courts, up \$43.2 million, and the U.S. Court of Appeals, up \$10.6 million.

Increases also occurred in the public defense sector, up 19 percent; in corrections, up 18 percent; in police protection, up 10 percent; and in “other criminal justice,” up 8 percent.


Table C. Percent distribution of total direct expenditure for the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, fiscal year 1976

Level of government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal.....	12.5	14.6	9.0	14.3	31.3	5.9	23.8
State.....	26.4	15.4	24.1	23.6	21.2	56.4	28.3
Local.....	61.1	70.0	66.9	62.1	47.5	37.7	47.9

Table D. Percent distribution of full-time equivalent employment in the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, October 1976

Level of government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal.....	9.2	11.4	5.5	11.7	3.0	4.9	21.1
State.....	25.2	14.5	20.0	22.3	39.2	56.2	43.7
Local.....	65.6	74.1	74.5	66.0	57.8	38.9	35.2

FIGURE 2. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure by level of government, fiscal year 1976



¹For each level of government, this graph depicts *direct* expenditure only and excludes *intergovernmental* expenditure to avoid duplication, since payments from one level of government to another are reflected in the direct expenditures of the recipient level of government. On the other hand, figures 4, 7, 9, 11, and 13 depict *total* expenditure, including both *direct* and *intergovernmental* expenditure, of individual levels and types of governments. See text and Appendix 2: Definitions of terms.

FIGURE 3. Percent distribution of criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment by level of government, fiscal year 1976




Figure 4 shows that as in previous years, the largest share of Federal criminal justice money was expended for police protection activities, including those agencies that enforce Federal law and those that provide security and police services to Federal property and buildings. Of the \$3,322 million in total Federal criminal justice expenditure, nearly half (or \$1,616 million) was for agencies in the police protection sector, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation (\$430 million), the Bureau of Customs (\$325 million), the Drug Enforcement Administration (\$139 million), and the Postal Inspection Service (\$103 million). The second largest block of Federal criminal justice expenditure (\$948 million or 29 percent) was reported by agencies in the "other criminal justice" sector, primarily by LEAA with FY 1976 expenditures of \$919 million. The other four sectors accounted for 23 percent of Federal criminal justice expenditure.

The number of full-time equivalent Federal employees in criminal justice activities increased 4 percent from October 1975 to October 1976. There were 99,553 full-time equivalent employees in October 1976, including 71,421 (72 percent) in the police protection sector; 11,717 (12 percent) in the corrections sector; 6,928 (7 percent) in the legal services and prosecution sector; and 7,531 (8 percent) in the judicial sector (see figure 5). Public defense and "other criminal justice" together accounted for only 1,956 full-time equivalent employees (2 percent) although these two sectors accounted for more than 32 percent of the total Federal criminal justice expenditure. As previously mentioned, the greatest portion of public defense expenditure was for court-appointed counsel, who are not considered regular public employees, and the greatest portion of the "other criminal justice" expenditure was in the form of intergovernmental grants.

The 99,553 Federal employees drew an October 1976 payroll of \$159.7 million; each sector's percentage of the total payroll was generally proportionate to its percentage of total employees (see table E). There is a slight disparity in some sectors because of a concentration of higher paid employees. For example, the judicial sector had 8 percent of the employees but received 9 percent of the payroll. As shown in figure 6, the Federal Government has the highest average monthly salaries in every criminal justice sector. An average monthly salary of \$1,858 for public defense employees is closely followed by average salaries of \$1,824 and \$1,794 in the judicial and legal services sectors respectively.

Table E. Percent distribution of Federal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1976 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1976 payroll
Total.....	99,553	\$159,726	100.0	100.0
Police protection.....	71,421	113,497	71.7	71.1
Judicial.....	7,531	13,733	7.6	8.6
Legal services.....	6,928	12,427	7.0	7.8
Public defense.....	219	407	0.2	0.3
Corrections.....	11,717	16,938	11.8	10.6
Other criminal justice.....	1,737	2,724	1.7	1.7

¹Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

State governments (tables 6-11)

The total general expenditure of State governments in FY 1976 was \$153.7 billion, of which \$6.0 billion or 4 percent was expended on criminal justice activities, about the same proportion as in past years. This represents an increase of \$665 million or 13 percent in criminal justice expenditure from the previous fiscal year, somewhat less than the 17 percent increase from 1974 to 1975.

The increase in expenditure by State governments was reflected in all criminal justice sectors. The judicial sector at the State level showed the largest percentage increase, up 18 percent. Corrections expenditure, increasing by \$298 million, accounted for the largest share of the overall dollar increase. However, the 13 percent increase represented by this amount is a decline from the 21 percent rise in corrections expenditure in FY 1975.

The "other criminal justice" sector continued to expand but at a much slower rate—2 percent in FY 1976 compared to a 10 percent increase in FY 1975 and a 21 percent increase in FY 1974. The rate of expansion over 5 years, in this sector more than any other, reflects the impact of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration block grant program under which States redistribute Federal money to local governments. An initial period of rapid growth has been followed by a gradual leveling off as the program has matured. Thus, State intergovernmental grants to local governments have continued to increase but at a lower rate—\$37.8 million more in FY 1976 than in 1975 for all criminal justice activities.

The remaining 3 sectors all showed decreased rates of growth: Public defense expenditure increased by 8 percent (compared to a 26 percent increase in FY 1975); legal services by 16 percent (compared to a 21 percent increase in FY 1975); and police protection by 13 percent (compared to 14 percent).

As in past years, the corrections sector accounted for the largest portion of State criminal justice expenditure (\$2,590 million or 43 percent). These expenditures included the operation of State prison systems and, in many States, the operation of the probation and parole systems as well. The balance of State criminal justice expenditure was distributed as follows: Police protection, \$1,789 million, or 30 percent; judicial, \$663 million or 11 percent; legal services and prosecution, \$254 million, or 4 percent; public defense, \$79 million, or 1 percent; and "other criminal justice," \$612 million, or 11 percent (see figure 7).

FIGURE 4. Federal government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976
\$3,322 million




FIGURE 5. Federal government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976
99,553





FIGURE 6. Average monthly salaries for criminal justice full-time equivalent employees by activity and type of government, October 1976



The number of full-time equivalent employees in State criminal justice agencies increased 4 percent and payroll increased 11 percent between October 1975 and October 1976. The 272,488 employees (10 percent of the total 2,784,505 full-time equivalent employees on State payrolls) received \$312 million in salaries, wages, fees, and commissions in the month of October 1976. The percentage of total employees in each sector was generally proportionate to the percentage of total expenditure in each sector with the exception of "other criminal justice" (see figures 7 and 8). Except for the 2 percent decline in police protection full-time equivalent employees (from 92,455 in

October 1975 to 90,884 in October 1976) each criminal justice sector showed increases in employment, ranging from a 6 percent increase in the number of corrections employees to a 12 percent increase in public defense personnel.

The October 1976 payroll of \$312 million was divided among the six criminal justice sectors roughly in proportion to the number of employees in the sector (see table F). For those sectors characterized by higher-paid employees, namely judicial, legal services, and public defense (see figure 6), the sector's share of payroll of course exceeded the sector's share of employees.

Table F. Percent distribution of State criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1976 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1976 payroll
Total.....	272,488	¹ \$311,636	100.0	¹ 100.0
Police protection.....	90,884	105,620	33.4	33.9
Judicial.....	27,516	42,786	10.1	13.7
Legal services.....	13,227	17,623	4.9	5.7
Public defense.....	2,843	3,624	1.0	1.2
Corrections.....	134,420	137,928	49.3	44.3
Other criminal justice.....	3,598	4,054	1.3	1.3

¹Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 7. State government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976
\$5,987 million





FIGURE 8. State government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976
272,488



Local governments: Counties and municipalities (tables 6-10 and 14-24)

Total expenditure for criminal justice by local governments in fiscal year 1976 was \$12,068 million, a \$1,566 million or 15 percent increase from FY 1975, about the same as the increase from 1974 to 1975. As in past years, close to two-thirds of this expenditure occurred in the police protection sector (\$7,724 or 64 percent). The judicial sector and the corrections sector each accounted for 14 percent of expenditure, while the other three sectors accounted for relatively smaller percents (see figure 9). Direct criminal justice expenditure by local governments (i.e., all expenditure except intergovernmental payments) amounted to \$12,027 million in fiscal year 1976, a 15 percent increase from FY 1975.

Total local full-time equivalent employment was also distributed among the six sectors roughly in the same proportions as total expenditures (see figures 9 and 10). Two slight exceptions to this generalization are the public defense sector, where payments are frequently made to private court-appointed counsel who are not direct employees of the government, and the "other criminal justice" sector, where expenditures are frequently grant funds used to assist with operations of private agencies associated with criminal justice activities or used for construction of criminal justice facilities. In both instances, large expenditures may be made without the governments involved directly employing the workers.

Analyzing local criminal justice expenditure in total, however, tends to obscure important differences between the county level of government and the municipal level. Municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) outspent county governments on criminal justice by almost \$3 billion overall (\$7,509 million to \$4,652 million), but the difference can be attributed almost exclusively to the police sector, where the municipal governments outspent the county governments by better than a 4 to 1 margin. Municipal governments spent \$6,279 million (or 84 percent of all their criminal justice expenditure) on police protection, more than county governments spent on all six sectors altogether. On a sector-by-sector basis, however, county governments spent substantially more than municipal governments for judicial activities, public defense, corrections, and "other criminal justice." No one sector dominates county criminal justice expenditure as police protection does municipal expenditure (see figures 11 and 13).

County criminal justice expenditure for all activities increased by 19 percent over FY 1975. Proportionately the greatest increase occurred in the "other criminal justice" sector, \$87.7 million or 134 percent, nearly three times greater than the rate of increase in FY 1975. As in previous years, counties received and expended increasing amounts of grant funds. Additionally, for the past several years, expenditure in the "other criminal justice" sector has reflected significant capital outlay for the construction of multifunctional criminal justice buildings, e.g., a police-jail facility or a police-court facility. Expenditure increases in the other five sectors ranged from 15 percent in the police protection sector to 27 percent in the public defense sector.

At the municipal level, the largest proportionate increase year-to-year was in the legal services sector (15 percent); the only sector in which a decline did not occur in relation to the FY 1975 increase. Municipal expenditure for the other sectors ranged from a 7 percent increase in "other criminal justice" to a 13 percent increase in the police protection sector.

At both the county and municipal levels, employment and payrolls were distributed throughout the various sectors in nearly the same percentages as expenditure (see tables G and H). There were 272,541 full-time equivalent county criminal justice employees in October 1976 drawing a monthly payroll of \$282 million. As at the State level, in those sectors with a concentration of higher-paid employees, such as legal services and public defense (see figure 6), the percentage of total payroll exceeded the percentage of total employees. There were 435,350 full-time equivalent municipal criminal justice employees in October 1976 with a monthly payroll of \$524 million. Among the sectors with a significant number of employees, the legal services and corrections sectors paid the highest average salaries (see figure 6). With the exception of public defense, municipal average monthly salaries exceed those at the county level in every sector.

Overall, the number of county full-time equivalent employees in October 1976 increased by 14,949 or 6 percent over October 1975. The corrections sector showed the greatest increase in the number of full-time equivalent employees, 5,854 (9 percent), and the "other criminal justice" sector showed the greatest proportionate increase, 43 percent (401 employees).

Table G. Percent distribution of county criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1976


(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1976 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1976 payroll
Total.....	272,541	¹ \$281,773	100.0	¹ 100.0
Police protection.....	91,817	99,632	33.7	35.4
Judicial.....	75,941	70,654	27.9	25.1
Legal services.....	25,680	30,433	9.4	10.8
Public defense.....	3,974	5,539	1.5	2.0
Corrections.....	73,746	74,270	27.1	26.4
Other criminal justice.....	1,333	1,243	0.4	0.4

¹Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 9. Local government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976

\$12,068 million¹



¹Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 10. Local government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976

707,891





FIGURE 11. County government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976

\$4,652 million¹



¹Because of rounding, detail does not add to total

FIGURE 12. County government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976

272,541




FIGURE 13. Municipal government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1976

\$7,509 million




FIGURE 14. Municipal government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1976

435,350




Table H. Percent distribution of municipal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1976 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1976 payroll
Total.....	435,350	¹ \$523,946	¹ 100.0	100.0
Police protection.....	374,225	454,118	86.0	86.7
Judicial.....	26,501	27,294	6.1	5.2
Legal services.....	13,473	16,617	3.1	3.2
Public defense.....	219	251	0.1	(Z)
Corrections.....	19,360	23,753	4.4	4.5
Other criminal justice.....	1,572	1,914	0.4	0.4

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.
¹Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

The number of municipal full-time equivalent employees increased by only 0.4 percent compared with October 1975. The legal services sector had the greatest increase in the number of full-time equivalent employees, 803 (6 percent); and the "other criminal justice" sector showed the greatest proportionate increase, 25 percent, but the numbers were small—1,255 employees in October 1975 and 1,572 employees in October 1976.

Separate data are presented in tables 15-19 for the 333 county governments with a 1974 population of 100,000 or more. Over one-half (54 percent) of the total 1974 United States population lives in the 333 largest counties, and these counties accounted for slightly more than three-fourths (\$3,576 million) of the total expenditure for criminal justice activities at the county level. These same counties employed 72 percent of all county-level full-time equivalent criminal justice employees (195,981) and paid them 79 percent of the total county criminal justice payroll for October 1976. These figures of course, reflect the greater need for criminal justice services and the larger salaries in densely populated areas.

Separate data are presented in tables 21-24 for the 395 city governments with a 1973 population of 50,000 or more. Total criminal justice expenditure by the 395 large city governments amounted to \$5,131 million, and full-time equivalent criminal justice employees numbered 274,201. These 395 cities have slightly more than one-half (53 percent) of the municipal population and accounted for more than two-thirds of the municipal criminal justice expenditure, 68 percent. These same 395 cities employed 63 percent of all municipal full-time equivalent employees working in the criminal justice system and paid them 69 percent of the total municipal criminal justice payroll. Again, as with the large counties, the proportion of payroll exceeded the proportion of employees, reflecting the generally larger salaries in the large metropolitan areas.

The distribution of expenditure and employment throughout the various criminal justice sectors in the 333 counties and the 395 cities reflects the distribution for counties and cities generally.

It should be noted that in the individual city tables, certain cities displayed are either independent, being wholly outside any

county area, or operate wholly or in part as a consolidated city-county. In general, these cities are more similar to large counties than to other large cities in the scope of their criminal justice responsibilities; that is, in addition to police protection, which is the primary criminal justice function in most cities, these cities also operate extensive judicial and corrections systems and may have significant public defense expenditures. The independent cities are: Anchorage, Alaska; Washington, D.C.; Baltimore, Md.; St. Louis, Mo.; Columbus, Ga.; Carson City, Nev.; and the following cities in Virginia: Alexandria, Chesapeake, Hampton, Lynchburg, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Richmond, Roanoke, Virginia Beach, and 31 others, 23 of which are included in the survey sample and are shown in table I. Data are not available for the eight cities not included in the survey sample. The consolidated city-county governments are: San Francisco, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Jacksonville, Fla.; Honolulu, Hawaii; Indianapolis, Ind.; Lexington, Ky.; Baton Rouge, La.; New Orleans, La.; Boston, Mass.; New York City, N.Y.; Philadelphia, Pa.; Nashville-Davidson, Tenn.; and three others that are not displayed in the individual city tables because they had populations of less than 50,000 in 1973. They are Juneau, Alaska; Sitka, Alaska; and Nantucket, Mass. All 15 however, are displayed in table I to show their effect on total municipal direct expenditure and employment for all criminal justice functions.

Because New York City's criminal justice direct expenditure accounted for 50 percent of the combined total of independent cities and consolidated city-counties, these units' share of total municipal direct expenditure is less dramatic when New York City is removed, dropping from 31 percent to 18 percent. Similarly, New York City accounts for 43 percent of the total criminal justice employment for this group, and when it is removed, the share of total municipal employment is reduced from 25 percent to 16 percent.

Local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's (tables 12, 13, and 14)

Data are presented on criminal justice expenditure, employment, and payroll of all governments in the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) and within the individual SMSA for each of its component county areas. The county area data include aggregate figures for the county government and for

all municipalities within that county. In a few instances, data for individual cities are listed separately in the SMSA tables because the city is either the only government within a county area, e.g., consolidated city-county governments such as Philadelphia or New York City, or the city is organizationally independent and within no recognized county boundary, e.g., St. Louis, Mo., or Baltimore, Md. The State Economic Area (SEA) rather than the SMSA is shown for Boston because the SMSA's in New England are defined in terms of cities and towns rather than counties, a deviation from the general SMSA concept. The SEA, on the other hand, is made up of counties.

As seen in table 12, the 17 largest SMSA's expended \$5,697 million for criminal justice activities in FY 1976. Almost half (47 percent) of the Nation's total local criminal justice direct expenditure was made by governments in these areas, where 30 percent of the 1970 United States population resides. On a sector-by-sector basis, governments in these SMSA's accounted

for 47 percent of all local government direct expenditure for police protection; 46 percent of local expenditure for judicial activities; 46 percent of local expenditure for legal services and prosecution activities; 48 percent of local expenditure for public defense activities; 49 percent of all local expenditure for corrections activities; and 51 percent of local expenditure for "other criminal justice" activities (see table J).

The local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's employed 290,482 full-time equivalent personnel in criminal justice, or 41 percent of the total number of local government full-time equivalent employees working in criminal justice. The percentage of all local government employees working in each criminal justice sector in these SMSA's was police protection, 41 percent; judicial 39 percent; legal services and prosecution, 41 percent; public defense, 42 percent; corrections, 44 percent; and "other criminal justice," 44 percent.

Table I. Criminal justice system direct expenditure and employment of independent cities and consolidated city-county governments, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total criminal justice system		State and type of government	Total criminal justice system	
	Direct expenditure	Total employees		Direct expenditure	Total employees
United States:			Kentucky:		
Local governments, total ¹ .	\$12,026,954	764,442	Lexington-Fayette.....	\$9,383	644
Counties, total.....	4,595,067	291,859	Louisiana:		
Municipalities, total ¹ ..	7,431,887	472,583	Baton Rouge-East Baton Rouge.....	16,974	1,424
Independent cities and consolidated city-county governments.....	2,301,116	117,294	New Orleans-Orleans.....	47,093	3,295
Alaska:			Maryland:		
Anchorage.....	7,275	230	Baltimore.....	89,051	5,080
Juneau.....	1,207	43	Massachusetts:		
Sitka.....	290	17	Boston-Suffolk.....	96,725	4,455
California:			Nantucket.....	389	33
San Francisco.....	81,766	3,997	Missouri:		
Colorado:			St. Louis.....	57,921	3,940
Denver.....	45,696	2,405	Nevada:		
District of Columbia.....	202,995	8,890	Carson City.....	1,032	68
Florida:			New York:		
Jacksonville-Duval.....	30,448	2,097	New York-Bronx, Kings, New York, Queens, Richmond.....	1,161,048	50,768
Georgia:			Pennsylvania:		
Columbus-Muscogee.....	9,241	618	Philadelphia.....	247,949	15,799
Hawaii:			Tennessee:		
Honolulu.....	32,916	1,991	Nashville-Davidson.....	24,428	1,797
Indiana:			Virginia:		
Indianapolis-Marion.....	37,826	2,828	Independent cities (33) ² ..	99,463	6,875

¹Expenditure and employment data for independent cities and consolidated city-county governments are included.
²There are 41 independent cities in Virginia; however, 8 of these cities are not included in the survey sample.

Table J. Total criminal justice direct expenditure of all local governments and local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, fiscal year 1976

(Millions of dollars)

Local government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
All local governments.....	\$12,027	\$7,720	\$1,624	\$651	\$157	\$1,654	\$221
Local governments in 17 largest SMSA's.....	5,697	3,655	745	302	76	806	112
Percent of all local governments ¹	47.4	47.4	45.9	46.4	48.4	48.7	50.7

¹Percentages based on unrounded figures shown in tables 7 and 12.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY-SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA

General

Survey coverage and collection methods are described in detail below, and the definitions applied in the collection of data are presented in appendix 2.

As in the previous editions of this annual series, several tables show comparisons of criminal justice expenditure and full-time equivalent employment with the total general expenditure and full-time equivalent employment for all functions of the particular government or level of government. Prior to the FY 1971 report, local government total expenditure and full-time equivalent employment included data for independent school districts and special districts as well as for general local governments, i.e., counties, municipalities, and townships.

The "variable pass-through" provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control Act of 1970, however, required that the concept of "local" government be confined to units of general local government only in determining the ratio of State-to-local government criminal justice expenditure. Beginning with the FY 1971 report, therefore, the same concept was applied consistently throughout the publication to reflect the intent of the law, and the total general expenditure and total full-time equivalent employment figures shown for the "local" level of government in these comparisons include data for units of general local government only and do not include data for independent school districts or special districts. This change may result in significant differences from the percentages shown in years prior to FY 1971 in those States where education is largely the responsibility of independent school districts, or where there are large special districts. Criminal justice expenditure and employment data in this series, however, have always been for units of general local government only; i.e., no data on the criminal justice activities of independent school districts or special districts have ever been included. As in previous reports, however, appendix 1 presents separate data for those independent school districts and special districts having significant special police expenditure and employment. In addition, appendix 1 includes separate data for campus police of colleges and universities that are dependent agencies of State and local general governments—data that are also included in the summary figures for State and local governments.

Survey coverage

The survey through which basic figures for this report were collected covered the Federal Government, all State govern-

ments, and a representative sample of local governments within each State. Data were collected for all county governments (regardless of size), for all municipalities (and townships in the New England and Middle Atlantic States) having a 1970 population of 10,000 or more, and for a sample of the remaining municipalities and townships with less than 10,000 population, selected according to the relative size of their annual expenditures as reported in the 1972 Census of Governments. The survey panel therefore included the Federal Government, the 50 State governments, and 9,045 local governments (the 3,042 county governments, 4,305 municipalities, and 1,697 townships).

The survey period

Federal Government data are shown for FY 1976, which ended June 30, 1976.

The State expenditure data presented in this report cover the fiscal year ending June 30, 1976 for all States except three whose fiscal years ended as follows: New York, March 31, 1976; Texas, August 31, 1976; and Alabama, September 30, 1976. However, there are some State agencies that operate on a different fiscal year basis from the rest of the State government. In such instances, figures shown are for the agency's fiscal year that ended within the State's regular fiscal year.

For local governments, the 1976 fiscal years reported are those that closed between July 1, 1975 and June 30, 1976. Most municipalities and counties ended their fiscal years on December 31, 1975 or June 30, 1976.

Employment data shown for Federal, State, and local governments are for October 1976.

Data collection

The survey was accomplished using two methods of data collection: Field compilation and mail canvass. Trained field representatives compiled expenditure and employment data from the governments' own records for the 50 States, the 333 largest counties, the 395 largest cities, and selected smaller units. The compilation work was done between July 1976 and June 1977 in accordance with the definitions presented in appendix 2. All other units in the sample were canvassed by mail for expenditure and employment data, over a 5-month period beginning in January 1977 and ending in May 1977. Response for field-compiled units was 100 percent. The response from mail canvass units was 92 percent. The mail canvass questionnaires are exhibited in appendix 3.

The field survey efforts and mail canvass were supplemented by reference to a variety of published government documents such as budgets, financial statements, and audit reports. In some cases, such sources were the basis for breakdowns of totals into more detailed employment and expenditure figures.

The expenditure and employment data for the Federal Government were collected through a special mail survey, with telephone followup, of Federal criminal justice agencies, in accordance with definitions used for State and local governments (see appendix 2). For reports prior to 1971, expenditure data were derived from the Budget of the United States Government, and employment figures were obtained from the U.S. Civil Service Commission.

Data limitations

The sample selected to develop local government figures is one of all possible samples of the same size that could have been chosen using the same sampling design. Estimates derived from these different samples would differ from each other and also from a complete census using the same data collection procedures. This variation among all possible estimates is sampling error. Because all State and county governments were included in the survey, State and county figures are not subject to sampling error.

The local government sample was designed to produce an estimate for each State of the portion of total criminal justice expenditures made by local governments with a relative sampling error of less than one-half of 1 percent. For the fiscal year 1976 data, the errors were less than one-half of 1 percent in all but 11 States; however, in all States, the errors were less than three-quarters of 1 percent, at the 95 percent confidence level.

The relative sampling error of the State-by-State estimates of local government criminal justice expenditure has been calculated at the two-thirds confidence level and found to be within 3 percent of the estimated totals for all States and less than 2 percent for 38 States. The results of the computations of standard errors for local government totals on a relative standard error basis are summarized in table K.

Because State government figures are not subject to sampling variation, the State-local aggregates shown for individual States are relatively more reliable than the local government estimates they include.

The data are also subject to the inaccuracies in classification, response, and processing that would occur if a complete census

had been conducted under the same conditions as the sample survey. Every effort was made to keep such errors to a minimum through care in examining, editing, and tabulating the data submitted by government officials. Followup procedures were used extensively to clarify inadequate and inconsistent survey returns.

Readers should be generally cautious in comparing governments, because other differences in functional responsibilities from State-to-State and government-to-government can also affect the comparability of expenditure and employment data. For example, some State governments directly administer certain activities that elsewhere are undertaken by local governments, with or without fiscal aid, and the same variation in the division of responsibilities exists for counties and cities.

The available source documents did not consistently provide full itemization of expenditure or employment for the sector subcategories presented in this report. As a result, sector breakdowns may be incomplete for particular governmental units.

Because of rounding, the detail data in some tables may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Changes in survey methodology

As discussed earlier, this series of annual reports dates back to FY 1967. The survey originated in the Bureau of the Census as a special in-house study in which police protection, judicial, and corrections data were extracted for selected large governments from data compiled in the Bureau's regular annual finance and employment sample surveys. In the FY 1969 survey, prosecution and public defense were added to the original three criminal justice categories, coverage was extended to the entire regular annual survey sample of counties and municipalities, and a mail canvass questionnaire designed specifically to elicit criminal justice data was used for all but the largest governments which were canvassed by field agents. These changes must be taken into account in making year-to-year comparisons from FY 1967 through FY 1970.

In the FY 1970 report, individual unit data were published for 128 large counties (as compared with 55 counties in earlier reports) and for 158 large cities (compared with 48 cities in earlier reports). The Federal expenditure data presented in all reports through FY 1970 were extracted from the Budget of the United States Government, and the employment data was provided by the U.S. Civil Service Commission. Since FY 1971 Federal data have been collected by a special mail canvass.

Table K. Relative standard errors of local government totals of criminal justice expenditure

0.5 or less	0.5 - 1.0	1.0 - 2.0	2.0 - 3.0
Alaska California Colorado Hawaii New Hampshire New York	Arizona Connecticut Indiana Kentucky Louisiana Maryland Rhode Island South Dakota Texas	Arkansas Delaware Florida Georgia Idaho Illinois Iowa Kansas Maine Massachusetts Michigan	Nebraska Nevada New Jersey New Mexico North Carolina Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Tennessee Virginia Washington
			Alabama Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana North Dakota South Carolina Utah Vermont West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming

For the FY 1971 survey, a new and enlarged panel of local governments was drawn specifically for the criminal justice expenditure and employment survey, including all county governments and a larger sample of municipalities and townships, to produce more reliable estimates for use by LEAA in the allocation of block grant funds between State and local governments. The data presented in reports since FY 1971 are therefore essentially comparable, because they are derived from the same sample using the same methods of data collection and processing. The only significant variations occurred in classification of certain expenditure items discussed in detail in the following section.

Changes in classification

In the FY 1971 report, police protection expenditure included amounts expended for traffic safety and related traffic engineering. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, these expenditures were excluded from the police protection figures. Also, data for special police forces administered by general-purpose governments (such as airport police, park police, housing police, etc.) were included in the police protection figures if the force employed 10 or more full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, data for these forces were included regardless of size.

In the FY 1971 report, corrections expenditure figures covered a number of drug and alcohol abuse facilities and programs administered by assorted agencies, departments, and private organizations receiving public funding. Beginning with the FY

1972 report, these institutions and programs were included only if administered by a corrections agency of the criminal justice system.

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of major trial courts. In the FY 1971 report, an attempt was made to count these judges and their total payroll only at the State level. However, this effort was not uniformly successful. Therefore, beginning with the FY 1972 report, judges actually receiving a check from both the State and local governments were counted as part-time employees at both levels.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA

Copies of the annual and trends reports are available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; U.S. Department of Commerce District Offices; and the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Box 6000, Rockville, Md. 20850.

In addition to this publication, data are also available on magnetic tape and computer printout. For details, contact the Statistics Division, National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Washington, D.C. 20531.

Section I. VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

The data in this table were developed to comply with the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended, which requires that the block grants made by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration to each State be allocated between the State and local governments according to the ratio of State-to-local law enforcement expenditure from their own revenue sources.

The concept of "expenditure from own sources" specified in the law and used in the data collection means that the expenditure figures from which the State-to-local ratio was developed do not include amounts expended from revenue received from other governments. For example, State or local government expenditure from sales or property tax revenue are included but not amounts expended from intergovernmental revenue, such as Federal grant monies. (It should be noted that this is the only table in this publication based on this concept. All other expenditure tables reflect expenditure from all sources.)

Specifically for local government, any expenditure from revenue received from another local government, or received directly from the Federal Government or from the State government must be excluded. Likewise for State governments, expenditure from revenue received from local governments or from the Federal Government must be excluded.

The following items were deducted from total criminal justice expenditure of local governments to arrive at local government expenditure from own revenue sources: Revenue received directly from the Federal Government for criminal justice purposes, State payments to local governments for criminal justice purposes, and payments from local government(s) to other local government(s) for criminal justice purposes. The same procedure was followed to arrive at State government expenditure from own revenue sources. Criminal justice revenue received directly from the Federal Government and local criminal justice payments to the State government were deducted from the total State expenditure for criminal justice. This procedure assumes that all intergovernmental payments received by a government will be expended during the same fiscal year they were received. While this may not be the case in a particular year, any discrepancy will cancel out over time.

Table 1. Percent distribution of total criminal justice expenditure from own sources of State and local governments, by State, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item	Expenditures from own sources			Percent distribution	
	Total State-local ¹	State	Local ¹	State	Local
UNITED STATES, TOTAL . . .	15 084 761	5 086 581	9 998 180	33.7	66.3
ALABAMA	138 560	52 184	86 376	37.7	62.3
ALASKA	72 119	56 794	15 325	78.8	21.2
ARIZONA	215 579	58 707	156 872	27.2	72.8
ARKANSAS	62 161	28 575	33 586	46.0	54.0
CALIFORNIA	2 307 104	652 954	1 654 150	28.3	71.7
COLORADO	186 970	71 127	115 843	38.0	62.0
CONNECTICUT	186 021	93 135	92 886	50.1	49.9
DELAWARE	44 015	34 283	9 732	77.9	22.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	191 961	-	191 961	-	100.0
FLORIDA	675 457	254 461	420 996	37.7	62.3
GEORGIA	265 728	93 751	171 977	35.3	64.7
HAWAII	72 935	31 444	41 491	43.1	56.9
IDAHO	41 893	18 174	23 719	43.4	56.6
ILLINOIS	887 609	263 375	624 234	29.7	70.3
INDIANA	228 207	90 464	137 743	39.6	60.4
IOWA	129 736	50 513	79 223	38.9	61.1
KANSAS	130 643	59 910	70 733	45.9	54.1
KENTUCKY	134 591	68 957	65 634	51.2	48.8
LOUISIANA	251 141	98 519	152 622	39.2	60.8
MAINE	42 470	23 193	19 277	54.6	45.4
MARYLAND	350 058	216 928	133 130	62.0	38.0
MASSACHUSETTS	429 642	117 193	312 449	27.3	72.7
MICHIGAN	652 682	184 309	468 373	28.2	71.8
MINNESOTA	204 790	60 044	144 746	29.3	70.7
MISSISSIPPI	88 154	41 116	47 038	46.6	53.4
MISSOURI	248 255	70 329	177 926	28.3	71.7
MONTANA	40 660	17 226	23 434	42.4	57.6
NEBRASKA	77 393	30 516	46 877	39.4	60.6
NEVADA	73 844	21 485	52 359	29.1	70.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	37 514	13 730	23 784	36.6	63.4
NEW JERSEY	618 437	148 815	469 622	24.1	75.9
NEW MEXICO	63 778	33 161	30 617	52.0	48.0
NEW YORK	1 985 249	513 167	1 472 082	25.8	74.2
NORTH CAROLINA	278 881	167 098	111 783	59.9	40.1
NORTH DAKOTA	23 781	7 542	16 239	31.7	68.3
OHIO	647 757	197 439	450 318	30.5	69.5
OKLAHOMA	118 528	47 067	71 461	39.7	60.3
OREGON	167 540	63 534	104 006	37.9	62.1
PENNSYLVANIA	720 549	250 227	470 322	34.7	65.3
RHODE ISLAND	49 836	32 726	17 110	65.7	34.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	128 554	66 760	61 794	51.9	48.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	34 924	15 814	19 110	45.3	54.7
TENNESSEE	205 865	76 152	129 713	37.0	63.0
TEXAS	633 959	191 253	442 706	30.2	69.8
UTAH	58 832	28 045	30 787	47.7	52.3
VERMONT	23 305	17 236	6 069	74.0	26.0
VIRGINIA	305 403	154 489	150 914	50.6	49.4
WASHINGTON	221 359	82 301	139 058	37.2	62.8
WEST VIRGINIA	62 686	30 426	32 260	48.5	51.5
WISCONSIN	251 728	80 796	170 932	32.1	67.9
WYOMING	22 159	9 820	12 339	44.3	55.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

Section II. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA

FIGURE 15. Percent distribution of total criminal justice direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976




Table 2. Percent distribution of expenditure for the criminal justice system, by level of government, fiscal year 1976
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity ¹	Amount				Percent distribution		
	All governments	Federal Government ²	State governments	Local governments	Federal Government ²	State governments	Local governments
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM ³	19 681 409	3,322 073	5 986 650	12 068 308	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	19 681 409	2 450 229	5 204 226	12 026 954	12.5	26.4	61.1
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	871 844	782 424	133 855	(X)	(X)	(X)
POLICE PROTECTION ³	11 028 244	1 615 714	1 789 471	7 723 588	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	11 028 244	1 611 640	1 696 460	7 720 144	14.6	15.4	70.0
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	4 074	93 011	59 390	(X)	(X)	(X)
JUDICIAL ³	2 428 472	219 445	663 068	1 633 645	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	2 428 472	219 445	585 151	1 623 876	9.0	24.1	66.9
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	-	77 917	18 123	(X)	(X)	(X)
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION ³	1 047 929	149 402	253 591	653 502	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	1 047 929	149 402	247 723	650 804	14.3	23.6	62.1
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	-	5 868	3 142	(X)	(X)	(X)
PUBLIC DEFENSE ³	331 102	103 718	78 622	157 364	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	331 102	103 718	70 139	157 245	31.3	21.2	47.5
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	-	8 483	1 279	(X)	(X)	(X)
CORRECTIONS ³	4 385 512	285 973	2 589 609	1 678 879	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	4 385 512	256 352	2 474 783	1 654 377	5.9	56.4	37.7
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	29 621	114 826	49 547	(X)	(X)	(X)
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ³	460 150	947 821	612 289	221 329	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	460 150	109 672	129 970	220 508	23.8	28.3	47.9
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	838 149	482 319	2 374	(X)	(X)	(X)

Note: Data in "Local governments" column are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.
- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
X Not applicable.
¹Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.
²Federal Government data are for the fiscal period beginning July 1, 1975 and ending June 30, 1976. Data for the transition quarter from July 1, 1976 to September 30, 1976 is displayed separately in table 4A.
³The total line for each sector, and for the total criminal justice system, excludes duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount. The intergovernmental expenditure lines are not totaled for the same reason.

Table 3. Percent distribution of employment and payrolls for the criminal justice system, by level of government, October 1976
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	All governments ¹	Federal Government	State governments	Local governments ¹	Percent distribution		
					Federal Government	State governments	Local governments
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	1 148 262	100 771	283 049	764 442	8.8	24.6	66.6
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	1 049 750	99 016	267 685	683 049	9.4	25.5	65.1
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	1 079 892	99 553	272 488	707 891	9.2	25.2	65.5
OCTOBER PAYROLL	1 277 120	159 726	311 636	805 719	12.5	24.4	63.1
POLICE PROTECTION:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	670 724	72 301	97 887	500 536	10.8	14.6	74.6
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	617 132	71 207	89 175	456 750	11.5	14.4	74.0
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	628 347	71 421	90 884	466 042	11.4	14.5	74.2
OCTOBER PAYROLL	772 867	113 497	105 620	553 750	14.7	13.7	71.6
JUDICIAL:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	151 074	7 578	28 535	114 998	5.0	18.9	76.1
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	127 736	7 473	25 629	94 667	5.9	20.1	74.1
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	137 451	7 531	27 516	102 442	5.5	20.0	74.5
OCTOBER PAYROLL	154 466	13 733	42 786	97 948	8.9	27.7	63.4
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	64 860	7 008	13 665	43 304	10.8	21.1	68.1
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	54 473	6 821	12 984	34 668	12.5	23.6	63.6
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	59 306	6 928	13 227	39 153	11.7	22.3	66.0
OCTOBER PAYROLL	77 140	12 427	17 623	47 050	16.1	22.8	61.0
PUBLIC DEFENSE:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	7 623	219	2 912	4 492	2.9	38.2	58.9
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	6 735	219	2 809	3 707	3.3	41.7	55.0
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	7 255	219	2 843	4 193	3.0	39.2	57.8
OCTOBER PAYROLL	9 821	407	3 624	5 790	4.1	36.9	59.0
CORRECTIONS:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	246 376	11 869	136 400	98 107	4.8	55.4	39.8
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	235 625	11 634	133 520	90 471	4.9	56.7	38.4
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	239 293	11 717	134 420	93 156	4.9	56.2	38.9
OCTOBER PAYROLL	252 890	16 938	137 928	98 024	6.7	54.5	38.8
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	8 451	1 796	3 650	3 005	21.3	43.2	35.6
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	8 049	1 662	3 568	2 819	20.6	44.3	35.0
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	8 240	1 737	3 598	2 905	21.1	43.7	35.3
OCTOBER PAYROLL	9 935	2 724	4 054	3 157	27.4	40.8	31.8

¹Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government,
by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

Item ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL EXPENDITURE, ALL FUNCTIONS	289 652 000	220 595 000	195 495 000	25 100 000	69 057 000	51 426 000	17 631 000
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	3 322 073	2 450 229	2 345 951	104 278	871 844	765 230	106 614
POLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL.	1 615 714	1 611 640	1 532 873	78 767	4 074	-	4 074
THE CONGRESS:							
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE	18 156	17 112	16 790	322	1 044	-	1 044
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS POLICE FORCE	1 223	1 223	1 223	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES							
POLICE FORCE	795	795	740	55	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:							
U.S. FOREST SERVICE:							
COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM .	3 836	916	916	-	2 920	-	2 920
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:							
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE:							
U.S. PARK POLICE	11 899	11 899	11 381	518	-	-	-
U.S. PARK RANGERS	16 732	16 732	16 622	110	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	138 693	138 693	132 677	6 016	-	-	-
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	429 545	429 545	403 518	26 027	-	-	-
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE:							
U.S. BORDER PATROL	57 653	57 653	51 297	6 356	-	-	-
INVESTIGATION DIVISION	29 330	29 330	28 345	985	-	-	-
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE	9 662	9 662	9 402	260	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION:							
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION:							
AIRPORT POLICE	3 068	3 065	3 030	35	3	-	3
U.S. COAST GUARD	46 032	46 032	38 008	8 024	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY:							
BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND							
FIREARMS	100 313	100 313	91 968	8 345	-	-	-
FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER	11 939	11 832	8 742	3 090	107	-	107
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE:							
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION	92 738	92 738	92 264	474	-	-	-
INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION	11 634	11 634	11 607	27	-	-	-
OFFICE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT	380	380	380	-	-	-	-
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE	325 117	325 117	320 234	4 883	-	-	-
U.S. SECRET SERVICE	104 340	104 340	96 131	8 209	-	-	-
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:							
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE							
MANAGEMENT	80 820	80 820	78 254	2 566	-	-	-
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION:							
NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE	483	483	483	-	-	-	-
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE . .	469	469	468	1	-	-	-
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE:							
POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE	103 335	103 335	101 606	1 729	-	-	-
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION:							
SECURITY DIVISION	17 522	17 522	16 787	735	-	-	-
JUDICIAL, TOTAL.	219 445	219 445	211 714	7 731	-	-	-
APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL	33 021	33 021	31 896	1 125	-	-	-
COURTS OF LAST RESORT, TOTAL	5 930	5 930	5 099	831	-	-	-
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES . .	5 930	5 930	5 059	831	-	-	-
INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL . .	27 091	27 091	26 797	294	-	-	-
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS	27 091	27 091	26 797	294	-	-	-
COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, TOTAL . .	147 228	147 228	141 731	5 497	-	-	-
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	147 228	147 228	141 731	5 497	-	-	-
COURTS OF LIMITED JURISDICTION, TOTAL . .	11 433	11 433	10 920	513	-	-	-
U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS	2 084	2 084	2 063	21	-	-	-
U.S. COURT OF CUSTOMS AND PATENT							
APPEALS	761	761	753	8	-	-	-
U.S. CUSTOMS COURT	2 266	2 266	2 245	21	-	-	-
U.S. TAX COURT	6 322	6 322	5 859	463	-	-	-
MISCELLANEOUS, TOTAL	27 763	27 763	27 167	596	-	-	-
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S.							
COURTS	10 840	10 840	10 725	115	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE	14 090	14 090	13 711	379	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER	2 833	2 833	2 731	102	-	-	-
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION, TOTAL. . . .	149 402	149 402	146 196	3 206	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
ANTITRUST DIVISION	17 007	17 007	16 820	187	-	-	-
BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS	815	815	807	8	-	-	-
CIVIL DIVISION	10 006	10 006	9 855	51	-	-	-
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION	6 938	6 938	6 888	50	-	-	-
CRIMINAL DIVISION	14 454	14 454	14 405	49	-	-	-
LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION . .	5 959	5 959	5 935	24	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government,
by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Item ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION--CONTINUED							
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE--CONTINUED							
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL	782	782	774	8	-	-	-
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS	500	500	497	3	-	-	-
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE	10 405	10 405	9 906	499	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1 191	1 191	1 184	7	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL . .	953	953	910	43	-	-	-
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEYS	68 299	68 299	66 095	2 204	-	-	-
OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE	517	517	506	11	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	1 047	1 047	1 040	7	-	-	-
OFFICE OF WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION							
FORCE	1 014	1 014	995	19	-	-	-
TAX DIVISION	9 515	9 515	9 479	36	-	-	-
PUBLIC DEFENSE, TOTAL.	103 718	103 718	103 558	160	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS	2 036	2 036	2 036	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS . .	5 098	5 098	4 938	160	-	-	-
REPRESENTATION BY COURT-APPOINTED							
COUNSEL	11 950	11 950	11 950	-	-	-	-
LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION	84 634	84 634	84 634	-	-	-	-
CORRECTIONS, TOTAL.	285 973	256 352	242 886	13 466	29 621	-	29 621
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND							
WELFARE:							
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE:							
ADDICTION RESEARCH CENTER							
(LEXINGTON, KY.)	1 987	1 987	1 925	62	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
BUREAU OF PRISONS	220 508	190 887	178 397	12 490	29 621	-	29 621
INSTITUTIONS	139 516	139 516	138 328	1 188	-	-	-
FOR MEN	97 330	97 330	96 673	657	-	-	-
FOR WOMEN	4 101	4 101	4 073	28	-	-	-
FOR JUVENILES	14 219	14 219	13 924	295	-	-	-
OTHER AND COMBINED	23 866	23 866	23 658	208	-	-	-
ADMINISTRATION	80 992	51 371	40 069	11 302	29 621	-	29 621
OFFICE OF THE PARDON ATTORNEY	201	201	200	1	-	-	-
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE	12 882	12 882	12 536	346	-	-	-
U.S. PAROLE COMMISSION	2 925	2 925	2 907	18	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
FEDERAL PROBATION SERVICE	47 470	47 470	46 921	549	-	-	-
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE, TOTAL.	947 821	109 672	108 724	948	838 149	765 230	72 919
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND							
WELFARE:							
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH:							
CENTER FOR STUDIES OF CRIME AND							
DELINQUENCY	4 598	2 429	2 429	-	2 169	2 114	55
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:							
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS:							
DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES .	16 822	16 822	16 069	753	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE	2 680	2 680	2 666	14	-	-	-
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE							
ADMINISTRATION	918 993	83 013	82 936	77	835 980	763 116	72 864
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE	3 623	3 623	3 526	97	-	-	-
TEMPORARY STUDY COMMISSIONS	1 105	1 105	1 098	7	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a canvass of all Federal criminal justice agencies; see text for data limitations.²Estimated criminal justice activities only.³Total expenditure allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.⁴Primarily focuses on matters of a civil nature in contrast to State and local programs which focus on criminal as well as civil matters.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 4A. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government, by character and object, transition quarter

Item ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
Federal government general expenditure all functions.....	73 962 000	56 344 000	50 184 000	6 160 000	17 618 000	12,219 000	5 399 000
Total criminal justice system.....	909 870	707 446	670 090	37 356	202 424	167 214	35,210
Police protection, total.....	448 522	447 415	416 804	30 611	1 107	-	1 107
The Congress:							
U.S. Capital Police.....	5 072	4 778	4 593	185	294	-	294
Library of Congress Police Force.....	328	328	328	-	-	-	-
Federal judiciary:							
Supreme Court of the United States Police Force	229	229	215	14	-	-	-
Department of Agriculture:							
U.S. Forest Service:							
Cooperative Law Enforcement Program.....	1 106	321	321	-	785	-	785
Department of the Interior:							
National Park Service:							
U.S. Park Police.....	3 762	3 762	3 598	164	-	-	-
U.S. Park Rangers.....	4 155	4 155	4 155	-	-	-	-
Department of Justice:							
Drug Enforcement Administration.....	41 727	41 727	37 147	4 580	-	-	-
Federal Bureau of Investigation.....	107 916	107 916	100 681	7 235	-	-	-
Immigration and Naturalization Service:							
U.S. Border Patrol.....	16 623	16 623	14 149	2 474	-	-	-
Investigations Division.....	7 713	7 713	7 554	159	-	-	-
U.S. Marshals Service.....	2 430	2 430	2 420	10	-	-	-
Department of Transportation:							
Federal Aviation Administration:							
Airport Police.....	792	791	779	12	1	-	1
U.S. Coast Guard.....	15 716	15 716	15 705	11	-	-	-
Department of the Treasury:							
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms.....	31 148	31 148	27 197	3 951	-	-	-
Federal Law Enforcement Training Center.....	4 602	4 575	1 297	3 278	27	-	27
Internal Revenue Service:							
Intelligence Division.....	24 456	24 456	24 235	221	-	-	-
Internal Security Division.....	2 984	2 984	2 902	82	-	-	-
Office of Law Enforcement.....	46	46	46	-	-	-	-
U.S. Customs Service.....	83 886	83 886	81 382	2 504	-	-	-
U.S. Secret Service.....	34 103	34 103	29 302	4 801	-	-	-
General Services Administration:							
Office of Federal Protective Service Management	22 227	22 227	21 355	872	-	-	-
Smithsonian Institution:							
National Zoological Park Police.....	135	135	135	-	-	-	-
Smithsonian Institution Police Force.....	94	94	94	-	-	-	-
United States Postal Service:							
Postal Inspection Service.....	33 102	33 102	33 044	58	-	-	-
Veterans Administration:							
Security Division.....	4 170	4 170	4 170	-	-	-	-
Judicial, total.....	58 866	58 866	56 598	2 268	-	-	-
Appellate courts, total.....	8 413	8 413	8 132	281	-	-	-
Courts of last resort, total.....	1 532	1 532	1 347	185	-	-	-
Supreme Court of the United States.....	1 532	1 532	1 347	185	-	-	-
Intermediate appellate courts, total.....	6 881	6 881	6 785	96	-	-	-
U.S. Courts of Appeals.....	6 881	6 881	6 785	96	-	-	-
Courts of general jurisdiction, total.....	40 070	40 070	38 494	1 576	-	-	-
U.S. District Courts.....	40 070	40 070	38 494	1 576	-	-	-
Courts of limited jurisdiction, total.....	2 952	2 952	2 803	149	-	-	-
U.S. Court of Claims.....	547	547	537	10	-	-	-
U.S. Court of Customs and Patent Appeals.....	210	210	205	5	-	-	-
U.S. Customs Court.....	587	587	583	4	-	-	-
U.S. Tax Court.....	1 608	1 608	1 478	130	-	-	-
Miscellaneous, total.....	7 431	7 431	7 169	262	-	-	-
Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.....	2 771	2 771	2 718	53	-	-	-
Department of Justice.....	3 544	3 544	3 529	15	-	-	-
U.S. Marshals Service.....	1 116	1 116	922	194	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 4A. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government, by character and object, transition quarter—Continued

Item ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
Legal Services and Prosecution, total.....	38 967	38 967	38 058	909	-	-	-
Department of Justice:							
Antitrust Division.....	4 625	4 625	4 217	408	-	-	-
Board of Immigration Appeals.....	223	223	211	12	-	-	-
Civil Division.....	2 588	2 588	2 588	-	-	-	-
Civil Rights Division.....	1 780	1 780	1 772	8	-	-	-
Criminal Division.....	3 625	3 625	3 622	3	-	-	-
Land and Natural Resources Division.....	1 595	1 595	1 592	3	-	-	-
Office of Legal Counsel.....	252	252	252	-	-	-	-
Office of Legislative Affairs.....	128	128	128	-	-	-	-
Office of Management and Finance.....	2 560	2 560	2 485	75	-	-	-
Office of the Attorney General.....	295	295	293	2	-	-	-
Office of the Deputy Attorney General.....	225	225	225	-	-	-	-
Executive Office of U.S. Attorneys.....	17 918	17 918	17 532	386	-	-	-
Office of Criminal Justice.....	227	227	219	8	-	-	-
Office of the Solicitor General.....	257	257	255	2	-	-	-
Office of Watergate Special Prosecution Force..	284	284	284	-	-	-	-
Tax Division.....	2 385	2 385	2 383	2	-	-	-
Public Defense, total.....	56 517	56 517	56 476	41	-	-	-
Federal Judiciary:							
Community Defender Organizations.....	663	663	663	-	-	-	-
Federal Public Defender Organizations.....	1 073	1 073	1 032	41	-	-	-
Representation by Court-appointed Counsel.....	3 012	3 012	3 012	-	-	-	-
Legal Services Corporation.....	51 769	51 769	51 769	-	-	-	-
Corrections, total.....	84 604	75 956	72 652	3,304	8 648	-	8 648
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare:							
National Institute on Drug Abuse:							
Addiction Research Center (Lexington, Ky.)...	548	548	526	22	-	-	-
Department of Justice:							
Bureau of Prisons.....	66 999	58 351	55 216	3 135	8 648	-	8 648
Institutions.....	47 428	47 428	45 620	1 808	-	-	-
For men.....	32 587	32 587	31 303	1 284	-	-	-
For women.....	1 369	1 369	1 324	45	-	-	-
For juveniles.....	5 017	5 017	4 820	197	-	-	-
Other and combined.....	8 455	8 455	8 173	282	-	-	-
Administration.....	19 571	10 923	9 596	1 327	8 648	-	8 648
Office of the Pardon Attorney.....	57	57	55	2	-	-	-
U.S. Marshals Service.....	3 240	3 240	3 226	14	-	-	-
U.S. Parole Commission.....	844	844	807	37	-	-	-
Federal Judiciary:							
Federal Probation Service.....	12 916	12 916	12 822	94	-	-	-
Other Criminal Justice, total.....	222 394	29 725	29 502	223	192 669	167 214	25 455
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare:							
National Institute of Mental Health:							
Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency..	691	514	514	-	177	123	54
Department of the Interior:							
Bureau of Indian Affairs:							
Division of Law Enforcement Services.....	7 167	7 167	6 972	195	-	-	-
Department of Justice:							
Community Relations Service.....	719	719	715	4	-	-	-
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.....	212 725	20 233	20 213	20	192 492	167 091	25 401
U.S. Marshals Service.....	911	911	907	4	-	-	-
Temporary study commissions.....	181	181	181	-	-	-	-

Note: The transition quarter was from July 1, 1976 to September 30, 1976. Fiscal year 1977 began on October 1, 1976 and will end on September 30, 1977. Data contained in this table are not included in national summary figures shown elsewhere in this publication and are intended for display purposes only.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a canvass of all Federal criminal justice agencies; see text for data limitations.

²Estimated criminal justice activities only.

³Total expenditure allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.

⁴Primarily focuses on matters of a civil nature in contrast to State and local programs which focus on criminal as well as civil matters.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government,
October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item ¹	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT, ALL FUNCTIONS.	2 843 303	2 609 148	2 713 815	3 564 602
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	100 771	99 016	99 553	159 726
POLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL.	72 301	71 207	71 421	113 497
THE CONGRESS:				
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE	1 123	1 123	1 123	1 377
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS POLICE FORCE.	104	104	104	116
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES POLICE FORCE	62	62	62	74
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:				
U.S. FOREST SERVICE:				
COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	74	74	74	107
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:				
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE:				
U.S. PARK POLICE.	655	639	649	924
U.S. PARK RANGERS ²	1 652	1 596	1 627	1 210
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	4 059	4 052	4 057	8 414
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	20 124	20 122	20 123	31 648
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE:				
U.S. BORDER PATROL.	2 544	2 483	2 491	4 243
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION	1 265	1 242	1 245	2 248
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	523	476	506	685
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION:				
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION:				
AIRPORT POLICE.	182	181	181	265
U.S. COAST GUARD ³	2 561	2 561	2 561	2 959
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY:				
BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS.	4 148	4 115	4 120	7 217
FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER	251	250	250	373
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE:				
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION	3 337	3 780	3 796	6 418
INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION.	582	573	577	925
OFFICE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT	11	11	11	21
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE.	13 888	13 153	13 237	23 457
U.S. SECRET SERVICE	3 637	3 637	3 637	6 713
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:				
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE MANAGEMENT	3 510	3 503	3 508	3 438
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION:				
NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE	31	31	31	44
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE.	37	37	37	33
U.S. POSTAL SERVICE:				
POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE	5 686	5 647	5 659	9 168
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION:				
SECURITY DIVISION	1 755	1 755	1 755	1 420
JUDICIAL, TOTAL.	7 578	7 473	7 531	13 733
APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL	1 169	1 144	1 154	2 109
COURTS OF LAST RESORT, TOTAL.	264	239	249	399
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.	264	239	249	399
INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL.	905	905	905	1 710
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS.	905	905	905	1 710
COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, TOTAL	4 765	4 765	4 765	9 050
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS.	4 765	4 765	4 765	9 050
COURTS OF LIMITED JURISDICTION, TOTAL	433	421	425	799
U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS.	93	93	93	189
U.S. COURT OF CUSTOMS AND PATENT APPEALS.	36	36	36	77
U.S. CUSTOMS COURT.	109	109	109	213
U.S. TAX COURT.	195	183	187	320
MISCELLANEOUS, TOTAL.	1 211	1 143	1 187	1 775
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S. COURTS.	380	380	380	628
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	762	694	738	998
FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER	69	69	69	149

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government,
October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item ¹	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION, TOTAL	7 008	6 821	6 928	12 427
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
ANTITRUST DIVISION.	845	810	830	1 612
BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS.	38	37	37	74
CIVIL DIVISION.	517	501	508	965
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION	367	350	363	671
CRIMINAL DIVISION	635	625	630	1 176
LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION	235	229	233	473
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL	31	31	31	64
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS	20	19	20	37
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE.	467	435	448	745
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.	41	41	41	85
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL	33	33	33	58
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEYS.	3 260	3 214	3 245	5 426
OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE.	28	24	26	57
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	44	42	42	98
OFFICE OF WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE	16	14	15	22
TAX DIVISION.	431	416	426	864
PUBLIC DEFENSE, TOTAL	219	219	219	407
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS.	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS	219	219	219	407
REPRESENTATION BY COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION.	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
CORRECTIONS, TOTAL.	11 869	11 634	11 717	16 938
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:				
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE:				
ADDICTION RESEARCH CENTER (LEXINGTON, KY.).	109	103	106	158
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
BUREAU OF PRISONS	8 208	8 042	8 082	11 645
INSTITUTIONS.	7 501	7 412	7 435	10 580
FOR MEN	5 036	4 982	4 995	7 150
FOR WOMEN	221	221	221	312
FOR JUVENILES	832	821	824	1 161
OTHER AND COMBINED.	1 412	1 388	1 395	1 957
ADMINISTRATION.	707	630	647	1 065
OFFICE OF THE PARDON ATTORNEY	9	8	8	17
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	696	634	674	913
U.S. PAROLE COMMISSION.	132	132	132	251
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
FEDERAL PROBATION SERVICE	2 715	2 715	2 715	3 954
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE, TOTAL	1 796	1 662	1 737	2 724
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:				
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH:				
CENTER FOR STUDIES OF CRIME AND FREQUENCY	11	11	11	26
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:				
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS:				
DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES.	562	562	562	598
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE	117	106	110	241
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION	891	785	845	1 569
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	195	178	189	257
TEMPORARY STUDY COMMISSIONS	20	20	20	33

X Not applicable.

¹Data are based on a canvass of all Federal criminal justice agencies; see text for data limitations.²Estimated criminal justice activities only.³Total employment and payroll allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total general expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ³	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	189 524 157	17 231 180	9.1	9 416 604	54.6	2 209 027	12.8
STATES	153 689 852	5 986 650	3.9	1 789 471	29.9	663 068	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	95 484 136	12 068 308	12.6	7 723 588	64.0	1 633 645	13.5
COUNTIES	37 477 992	4 652 041	12.4	1 500 463	32.3	1 201 003	25.8
MUNICIPALITIES	60 173 929	7 508 768	12.5	6 279 070	83.6	440 996	5.9
ALABAMA	2 538 393	174 113	6.9	100 459	57.7	25 523	14.7
STATE	2 394 888	74 681	3.1	24 448	32.7	7 303	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	845 692	109 505	12.9	76 083	69.5	18 220	16.6
COUNTIES	300 796	42 117	14.0	14 054	33.4	16 514	39.2
MUNICIPALITIES	589 963	68 249	11.6	62 171	91.1	2 103	3.1
ALASKA	1 176 001	77 047	6.6	36 028	46.8	15 482	20.1
STATE	957 387	60 842	6.3	20 774	34.3	15 379	25.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	425 791	18 365	4.3	15 661	85.3	294	1.6
BOROUGH	84 874	425	0.5	75	17.7	294	1.6
MUNICIPALITIES	341 191	17 941	5.3	15 586	86.9	294	1.6
ARIZONA	1 716 751	243 612	14.2	135 862	55.8	38 222	15.7
STATE	1 601 190	67 857	4.2	32 708	48.2	3 601	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	813 703	181 113	22.3	103 229	57.0	34 621	19.1
COUNTIES	372 877	92 834	24.9	24 281	26.2	30 770	33.1
MUNICIPALITIES	445 718	88 823	19.9	78 948	88.9	3 875	4.4
ARKANSAS	1 298 470	82 272	6.3	42 838	52.1	9 113	11.1
STATE	1 334 640	40 378	3.0	12 444	30.8	2 818	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	382 744	46 449	12.1	30 459	65.6	6 401	13.8
COUNTIES	146 749	20 784	14.2	7 913	38.1	5 225	25.1
MUNICIPALITIES	236 884	26 080	11.0	22 599	86.7	1 434	5.5
CALIFORNIA	20 817 945	2 521 452	12.1	1 251 478	49.6	315 701	12.5
STATE	17 062 759	674 299	4.0	248 041	36.8	35 566	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 262 681	1 923 633	15.7	1 013 610	52.7	283 056	14.7
COUNTIES	7 659 394	1 132 149	14.8	294 953	26.1	271 153	24.0
MUNICIPALITIES	4 794 391	816 511	17.0	741 333	90.8	12 659	1.6
COLORADO	2 162 198	213 224	9.9	117 577	55.1	29 111	13.7
STATE	1 810 630	86 414	4.8	16 696	19.3	19 819	22.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 027 433	136 413	13.3	100 892	74.0	9 292	6.8
COUNTIES	395 078	32 284	8.2	14 398	44.6	2 824	8.7
MUNICIPALITIES	649 353	104 700	16.1	86 625	82.7	6 477	6.2
CONNECTICUT	3 320 074	209 085	6.3	121 114	59.8	22 681	10.8
STATE	2 003 395	104 783	5.2	23 576	22.5	22 494	21.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 842 990	108 196	5.9	103 063	95.3	289	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 882 216	108 481	5.8	103 337	95.3	297	0.3
DELAWARE	608 366	52 315	8.6	24 208	46.3	10 423	19.9
STATE	629 216	37 483	6.0	10 515	28.1	9 040	24.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	167 583	16 680	10.0	13 693	82.1	2 261	13.6
COUNTIES	78 967	6 195	7.8	4 271	68.9	1 622	26.2
MUNICIPALITIES	89 929	10 539	11.7	9 476	89.9	639	6.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 462 205	202 999	13.9	94 818	46.7	25 312	12.5
STATE	1 462 240	202 999	13.9	94 818	46.7	25 312	12.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 541 060	202 999	13.2	94 818	46.7	25 312	12.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 541 060	202 999	13.2	94 818	46.7	25 312	12.5
FLORIDA	5 404 565	765 012	14.2	381 870	49.9	99 968	13.1
STATE	4 484 812	312 847	7.0	49 865	15.9	33 154	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 764 141	468 934	17.0	332 128	70.8	67 024	14.3
COUNTIES	1 355 440	228 264	16.8	119 343	52.3	58 877	25.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 434 787	241 125	16.8	212 996	88.3	8 194	3.4
GEORGIA	3 081 969	313 433	10.2	158 576	50.6	41 860	13.4
STATE	2 857 552	122 805	4.3	31 839	25.9	7 520	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 074 721	205 709	19.1	126 957	61.7	34 342	16.7
COUNTIES	592 926	112 246	18.9	43 312	38.6	30 926	27.6
MUNICIPALITIES	591 885	95 226	16.1	85 008	89.3	3 476	3.7
HAWAII	1 566 876	77 550	4.9	41 290	53.2	12 337	15.9
STATE	1 222 569	35 102	2.9	1 291	3.7	12 337	35.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	372 404	44 467	11.9	39 999	90.0	-	-
COUNTIES	85 134	11 516	13.5	9 618	83.5	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	287 289	32 951	11.5	30 381	92.2	-	-
IDAHO	618 933	47 808	7.7	24 604	51.5	6 930	14.5
STATE	622 494	21 619	3.5	5 934	27.4	3 730	17.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	184 579	27 350	14.8	18 755	68.6	3 200	11.7
COUNTIES	116 214	14 918	12.8	7 084	47.5	3 115	20.9
MUNICIPALITIES	88 612	12 839	14.5	11 903	92.7	201	1.5

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	898 527	5.2	227 384	1.3	4 129 160	24.0	350 478	2.0
STATES	253 591	4.2	78 622	1.3	2 589 609	43.3	612 289	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	653 502	5.4	157 364	1.3	1 678 879	13.9	221 329	1.8
COUNTIES	396 489	8.5	130 006	2.8	1 271 086	27.3	152 994	3.3
MUNICIPALITIES	257 456	3.4	28 518	0.4	432 838	5.8	69 889	0.9
ALABAMA	7 515	4.3	1 122	0.6	38 080	21.9	1 414	0.8
STATE	3 757	5.0	1 001	1.3	28 960	38.8	9 212	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 760	3.4	130	0.1	10 839	9.9	473	0.4
COUNTIES	2 423	5.8	45	0.1	8 763	20.8	318	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 337	2.0	99	0.1	2 383	3.5	156	0.2
ALASKA	6 107	7.9	1 324	1.7	15 441	20.0	2 665	3.5
STATE	4 580	7.6	1 324	2.2	15 101	24.9	3 484	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 527	8.3	-	-	883	4.8	-	(Z)
BOROUGHS	350	82.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 177	6.6	-	-	883	4.9	-	(Z)
ARIZONA	12 322	5.1	4 669	1.9	46 203	19.0	6 334	2.6
STATE	2 555	3.8	-	-	22 111	32.6	6 882	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 767	5.4	4 669	2.6	24 320	13.4	4 506	2.5
COUNTIES	6 335	6.8	4 562	4.9	23 585	25.4	3 302	3.6
MUNICIPALITIES	3 435	3.9	367	0.4	993	1.1	1 205	1.4
ARKANSAS	3 546	4.3	572	0.7	23 687	28.8	2 516	3.1
STATE	984	2.4	15	(Z)	17 469	43.3	6 648	16.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 581	5.6	572	1.2	6 236	13.4	200	0.4
COUNTIES	1 790	8.6	542	2.6	5 120	24.6	196	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	804	3.1	36	0.1	1 204	4.6	3	(Z)
CALIFORNIA	174 789	6.9	49 317	2.0	698 822	27.7	31 345	1.2
STATE	23 930	3.5	2 154	0.3	320 417	47.5	44 191	6.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	150 861	7.8	47 938	2.5	407 503	21.2	20 665	1.1
COUNTIES	118 891	10.5	46 804	4.1	389 959	34.4	10 390	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	32 036	3.9	1 133	0.1	18 977	2.3	10 374	1.3
COLORADO	15 360	7.2	3 020	1.4	41 875	19.6	6 281	2.9
STATE	3 460	4.0	2 980	3.4	32 009	37.0	11 450	13.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 900	8.7	40	(Z)	9 866	7.2	4 423	3.2
COUNTIES	6 981	21.6	23	0.1	4 890	15.1	3 169	9.8
MUNICIPALITIES	5 044	4.8	22	(Z)	5 001	4.8	1 531	1.5
CONNECTICUT	8 946	4.3	2 076	1.0	48 097	23.0	2 170	1.0
STATE	5 629	5.4	2 049	2.0	47 707	45.5	3 328	3.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 339	3.1	27	(Z)	390	0.4	1 088	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	3 343	3.1	27	(Z)	390	0.4	1 088	1.0
DELAWARE	2 082	4.0	610	1.2	14 104	27.0	888	1.7
STATE	1 361	3.6	605	1.6	14 104	37.6	1 858	5.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	721	4.3	5	(Z)	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	297	4.8	5	0.1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	425	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	4 095	2.0	4 807	2.4	73 105	36.0	862	0.4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 095	2.0	4 807	2.4	73 105	36.0	862	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	4 095	2.0	4 807	2.4	73 105	36.0	862	0.4
FLORIDA	33 317	4.4	11 161	1.5	229 479	30.0	9 218	1.2
STATE	22 552	7.2	9 803	3.1	179 235	57.3	18 238	5.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 765	2.3	1 359	0.3	50 269	10.7	7 390	1.6
COUNTIES	4 292	1.9	1 220	0.5	39 094	17.1	5 437	2.4
MUNICIPALITIES	6 472	2.7	165	0.1	11 344	4.7	1 953	0.8
GEORGIA	11 706	3.7	3 300	1.1	95 682	30.5	2 315	0.7
STATE	3 989	3.2	1 664	1.4	64 537	52.6	13 256	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 711	3.7	1 776	0.9	34 292	16.7	630	0.3
COUNTIES	5 967	5.3	1 688	1.5	30 126	26.8	228	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 746	1.8	129	0.1	4 465	4.7	402	0.4
HAWAII	5 719	7.4	1 648	2.1	11 808	15.2	4 748	6.1
STATE	2 235	6.4	1 647	4.7	11 167	31.8	6 425	18.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 484	7.8	1	(Z)	676	1.5	307	0.7
COUNTIES	963	8.4	-	-	641	5.6	294	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 521	7.7	1	(Z)	35	0.1	13	(Z)
IDAHO	2 898	6.1	726	1.5	10 783	22.6	1 866	3.9
STATE	786	3.6	-	-	9 279	42.9	1 890	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 112	7.7	726	2.7	1 518	5.6	1 038	3.8
COUNTIES	1 485	10.0	726	4.9	1 470	9.9	1 038	7.0
MUNICIPALITIES	627	4.9	-	-	108	0.8	-	-

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total general expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ³	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	8 400 507	984 017	11.7	633 022	64.3	105 680	10.8
STATE	7 934 310	306 721	3.9	110 352	36.0	43 749	14.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 125 742	709 195	22.7	526 190	74.2	63 001	8.9
COUNTIES	845 165	226 999	26.9	49 594	21.8	62 599	27.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 336 092	488 786	20.9	477 320	97.7	445	0.1
INDIANA	3 237 016	268 487	8.3	151 594	56.5	31 104	11.6
STATE	3 096 367	110 950	3.6	38 876	35.0	7 238	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 396 940	175 239	12.5	112 723	64.3	24 368	13.9
COUNTIES	622 296	63 649	10.2	18 664	29.3	17 154	27.0
MUNICIPALITIES	791 597	112 199	14.2	94 113	83.9	7 599	6.8
IOWA	2 239 956	147 201	6.6	77 706	52.8	20 961	14.2
STATE	2 076 253	62 023	3.0	19 744	31.8	6 750	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	982 052	91 789	9.3	57 981	63.2	14 211	15.5
COUNTIES	477 049	44 917	9.4	13 013	29.0	14 585	32.5
MUNICIPALITIES	519 978	48 544	9.3	45 897	94.5	4	(2)
KANSAS	1 769 963	143 015	8.1	65 412	45.7	21 696	15.2
STATE	1 441 458	66 920	4.6	13 207	19.7	9 408	14.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	734 961	80 651	11.0	52 207	64.7	12 359	15.3
COUNTIES	299 001	34 564	11.6	11 835	30.2	10 887	31.5
MUNICIPALITIES	465 499	46 804	10.1	40 925	87.4	1 528	3.3
KENTUCKY	2 417 534	172 351	7.1	103 910	60.3	21 161	12.3
STATE	2 355 861	83 532	3.5	32 024	38.3	7 972	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	574 432	104 619	18.2	72 097	68.9	14 096	13.5
COUNTIES	186 621	45 684	24.5	20 101	44.0	11 682	25.6
MUNICIPALITIES	405 380	59 912	14.8	52 325	87.3	2 415	4.0
LOUISIANA	3 104 048	280 134	9.0	161 364	57.6	37 962	13.6
STATE	3 088 351	115 386	3.7	40 101	34.8	10 572	9.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 024 987	180 759	17.6	121 445	67.2	27 400	15.2
PARISHES	473 558	79 366	16.8	46 941	59.1	16 293	20.5
MUNICIPALITIES	587 586	101 746	17.3	74 627	73.3	11 162	11.0
MAINE	915 442	50 649	5.5	28 702	56.7	6 615	13.1
STATE	867 699	27 548	3.2	9 039	32.8	4 261	15.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	374 375	25 790	6.9	19 697	76.4	2 477	9.6
COUNTIES	14 945	7 943	53.1	2 291	28.8	2 476	31.2
MUNICIPALITIES	369 341	17 977	4.9	17 441	97.0	1	(2)
MARYLAND	5 368 242	392 622	7.3	208 566	53.2	36 133	9.2
STATE	3 447 523	236 843	6.9	100 117	42.3	20 408	8.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 400 373	217 163	6.4	163 661	75.4	15 765	7.3
COUNTIES	2 270 182	112 814	5.0	80 939	71.7	9 394	8.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 165 567	104 729	9.0	83 101	79.3	6 371	6.1
MASSACHUSETTS	7 055 608	506 655	7.2	308 472	60.9	66 935	13.2
STATE	4 436 549	140 928	3.2	39 088	27.7	12 734	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 170 266	382 385	9.2	270 698	70.8	55 139	14.4
COUNTIES	141 937	73 516	51.8	1 435	2.0	38 853	52.9
MUNICIPALITIES	4 178 472	309 769	7.4	269 294	86.9	17 111	5.5
MICHIGAN	8 093 608	770 070	9.5	433 200	56.3	110 698	14.4
STATE	6 819 143	217 430	3.2	72 754	33.5	20 187	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 603 066	584 565	16.2	362 921	62.1	93 020	15.9
COUNTIES	1 466 188	238 542	16.3	54 056	22.7	72 580	30.4
MUNICIPALITIES	2 293 152	357 936	15.6	313 311	87.5	22 224	6.2
MINNESOTA	3 669 580	242 931	6.6	132 268	54.4	31 412	12.9
STATE	3 481 150	81 311	2.3	26 493	32.6	4 458	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 808 532	178 976	9.9	109 483	61.2	26 989	15.1
COUNTIES	904 084	90 949	10.1	26 759	29.4	27 155	29.9
MUNICIPALITIES	942 969	90 683	9.6	83 734	92.3	89	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	1 649 179	103 008	6.2	59 926	58.2	13 096	12.7
STATE	1 643 157	48 262	2.9	22 381	46.4	3 168	6.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	590 955	57 112	9.7	37 556	65.8	9 936	17.4
COUNTIES	357 114	26 036	7.3	8 790	33.8	9 273	35.6
MUNICIPALITIES	237 222	31 425	13.2	28 883	91.9	664	2.1
MISSOURI	2 848 648	295 645	10.4	181 449	61.4	39 644	13.4
STATE	2 400 649	96 611	4.0	27 167	28.1	13 852	14.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 142 943	216 947	19.0	154 673	71.3	26 167	12.1
COUNTIES	328 854	62 558	19.0	23 917	38.2	17 414	27.8
MUNICIPALITIES	857 669	155 144	18.1	131 418	84.7	8 766	5.6
MONTANA	639 536	47 984	7.5	22 622	47.1	5 272	11.0
STATE	579 434	21 845	3.8	5 038	23.1	1 201	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	210 945	27 394	13.0	17 655	64.4	4 071	14.9
COUNTIES	198 975	16 063	8.1	7 377	45.9	3 661	22.8
MUNICIPALITIES	81 601	11 734	14.4	10 602	90.4	419	3.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	44 954	4.6	9 843	1.0	168 927	17.2	20 591	2.1
STATE	13 667	4.5	1 780	0.6	107 550	35.1	29 623	9.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	32 802	4.6	8 063	1.1	62 167	8.8	16 973	2.4
COUNTIES	23 045	10.2	7 978	3.5	67 367	29.7	16 416	7.2
MUNICIPALITIES	9 765	2.0	85	(2)	609	0.1	562	0.1
INDIANA	12 542	4.7	2 454	0.9	66 279	24.7	4 514	1.7
STATE	4 773	4.3	343	0.3	42 084	37.9	17 636	15.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 896	4.5	2 111	1.2	25 855	14.8	2 287	1.3
COUNTIES	4 358	6.8	1 814	2.8	20 491	32.2	1 168	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES	3 540	3.2	297	0.3	5 531	4.9	1 119	1.0
IOWA	7 719	5.2	3 028	2.1	36 597	24.9	1 191	0.8
STATE	1 937	3.1	28	(2)	26 727	43.1	6 837	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 782	6.3	3 000	3.3	10 237	11.2	577	0.6
COUNTIES	4 202	9.4	3 009	6.7	9 853	21.9	254	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 602	3.3	1	(2)	694	1.4	346	0.7
KANSAS	9 339	6.5	1 965	1.4	40 961	28.6	3 642	2.5
STATE	3 532	5.3	1 767	2.6	33 938	50.7	5 068	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 807	7.2	198	0.2	7 030	8.7	3 051	3.8
COUNTIES	4 198	12.1	191	0.6	6 119	17.7	1 334	3.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 620	3.5	6	(2)	1 008	2.2	1 716	3.7
KENTUCKY	7 956	4.6	1 281	0.7	35 176	20.4	2 867	1.7
STATE	5 675	6.8	2 071	2.5	25 157	30.1	10 633	12.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 289	5.1	518	0.5	11 738	11.2	882	0.8
COUNTIES	2 992	6.5	824	1.8	9 446	20.7	639	1.4
MUNICIPALITIES	2 297	3.8	86	0.1	2 538	4.2	251	0.4
LOUISIANA	14 547	5.2	1 581	0.6	63 112	22.5	1 568	0.6
STATE	7 529	6.5	-	-	40 597	35.2	16 587	14.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 018	3.9	1 581	0.9	22 533	12.5	782	0.4
PARISHES	3 735	4.7	896	1.1	11 261	14.2	239	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	3 289	3.2	692	0.7	11 433	11.2	542	0.5
MAINE	2 321	4.6	446	0.9	11 373	22.5	1 192	2.4
STATE	1 537	5.6	176	0.6	9 234	33.5	3 301	12.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	785	3.0	270	1.0	2 139	8.3	422	1.6
COUNTIES	322	4.1	270	3.4	2 156	27.1	428	5.4
MUNICIPALITIES	463	2.6	-	-	72	0.4	-	-
MARYLAND	15 453	3.9	6 847	1.7	119 608	30.5	5 395	1.4
STATE	2 207	0.9	6 834	2.9	97 887	41.3	9 390	4.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 246	6.1	13	(2)	21 723	10.0	2 756	1.3
COUNTIES	7 563	6.7	13	(2)	13 165	11.7	1 741	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES	5 683	5.4	-	-	8 558	8.2	1 016	1.0
MASSACHUSETTS	19 080	3.8	5 149	1.0	101 930	20.1	5 087	1.0
STATE	5 521	3.9	2 818	2.0	63 765	45.2	17 002	12.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 565	3.5	2 331	0.6	38 165	10.0	2 486	0.7
COUNTIES	3 340	4.5	2 307	3.1	27 564	37.5	17	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES	10 225	3.3	24	(2)	10 645	3.4	2 469	0.8
MICHIGAN	39 209	5.1	13 439	1.7	159 434	20.7	14 091	1.8
STATE	8 408	3.9	7 149	3.3	87 345	40.2	21 587	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 801	5.3	12 574	2.2	75 874	13.0	9 376	1.6
COUNTIES	20 661	8.7	11 331	4.8	72 134	30.2	7 779	3.3
MUNICIPALITIES	10 198	2.8	1 247	0.3	9 298	2.6	1 659	0.5
MINNESOTA	14 057	5.8	2 784	1.1	56 364	23.2	6 047	2.5
STATE	3 013	3.7	288	0.4	34 437	42.4	12 622	15.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 359	6.3	2 496	1.4	25 805	14.4	2 843	1.6
COUNTIES	6 970	7.7	2 633	2.9	25 427	28.0	2 005	2.2
MUNICIPALITIES	4 410	4.9	29	(2)	1 584	1.7	838	0.9
MISSISSIPPI	4 044	3.9	774	0.8	23 166	22.5	2 003	1.9
STATE	1 884	3.9	-	-	16 723	34.7	4 106	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 160	3.8	774	1.4	6 652	11.6	34	0.1
COUNTIES	1 135	4.4	743	2.9	6 061	23.3	34	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 026	3.3	31	0.1	787	2.5	33	0.1
MISSOURI	12 127	4.1	2 219	0.8	58 181	19.7	2 026	0.7
STATE	1 383	1.4	1 888	2.0	34 065	35.3	18 256	18.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 744	5.0	331	0.2	24 328	11.2	703	0.3
COUNTIES	5 662	9.1	45	0.1	15 032	24.0	488	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	5 081	3.3	287	0.2	9 368	6.0	225	0.1
MONTANA	3 263	6.8	589	1.2	14 373	30.0	1 866	3.9
STATE	1 023	4.7	-	-	12 225	56.0	2 358	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 240	8.2	589	2.1	2 223	8.1	617	2.3
COUNTIES	1 803	11.2	577	3.6	2 041	12.7	604	3.8
MUNICIPALITIES	436	3.7	12	0.1	251	2.1	14	0.1

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total general expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ³	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	1 102 858	88 280	8.0	44 928	50.9	13 356	15.1
STATE	903 548	38 282	4.2	10 915	28.5	6 260	16.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	471 951	54 424	11.5	34 040	62.5	7 094	13.0
COUNTIES	243 157	23 795	9.8	7 131	30.0	5 695	23.9
MUNICIPALITIES	245 038	31 065	12.7	27 040	87.0	1 406	4.5
NEVADA	635 344	83 562	13.2	42 945	51.4	8 732	10.4
STATE	470 885	27 672	5.9	6 666	24.1	1 689	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	310 552	60 122	19.4	36 279	60.3	7 050	11.7
COUNTIES	224 453	44 815	20.0	24 167	53.9	5 754	12.8
MUNICIPALITIES	107 509	27 185	25.3	23 975	88.2	1 296	4.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE	688 629	42 644	6.2	25 194	59.1	5 770	13.5
STATE	488 389	16 959	3.5	7 093	41.8	1 740	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	290 146	27 011	9.3	19 081	70.6	4 375	16.2
COUNTIES	38 049	7 112	18.7	1 126	15.8	3 168	44.5
MUNICIPALITIES	253 130	19 959	7.9	17 981	90.1	1 236	6.2
NEW JERSEY	6 497 368	704 754	10.8	415 538	59.0	89 248	12.7
STATE	4 509 870	184 467	4.1	62 833	34.1	23 213	12.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 675 823	542 926	14.8	359 623	66.2	67 663	12.5
COUNTIES	1 524 150	179 544	11.8	24 906	13.9	53 603	29.9
MUNICIPALITIES	2 188 616	363 604	16.6	334 761	92.1	14 198	3.9
NEW MEXICO	909 818	76 584	8.4	44 247	57.8	8 476	11.1
STATE	990 343	39 034	3.9	12 391	31.7	6 966	17.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	288 853	39 200	13.6	32 192	82.1	1 510	3.9
COUNTIES	92 180	10 427	11.3	7 272	69.7	2 267	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES	197 941	29 568	14.9	25 123	85.0	1 243	4.2
NEW YORK	26 172 857	2 271 990	8.7	1 314 610	57.9	281 416	12.4
STATE	17 719 231	581 699	3.3	1 12 138	19.3	93 474	16.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 405 347	1 827 111	9.4	1 204 034	65.9	225 980	12.4
COUNTIES	4 277 974	405 010	9.5	189 880	46.9	71 500	17.7
MUNICIPALITIES	15 336 967	1 422 874	9.3	1 014 575	71.3	154 489	10.9
NORTH CAROLINA	4 949 181	315 251	6.4	154 839	49.1	40 403	12.8
STATE	3 718 894	186 088	5.0	44 152	23.7	27 139	14.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 890 376	143 029	4.9	111 091	77.7	13 264	9.3
COUNTIES	2 334 672	58 791	2.5	28 559	48.6	13 274	22.6
MUNICIPALITIES	606 476	86 204	14.2	83 953	97.4	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	555 420	30 046	5.4	15 931	53.0	4 466	14.9
STATE	537 055	11 420	2.1	2 841	24.9	1 272	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	171 343	20 412	11.9	13 103	64.2	3 194	15.7
COUNTIES	93 706	9 679	10.3	3 047	31.5	2 833	29.3
MUNICIPALITIES	93 518	10 937	11.7	10 224	93.5	365	3.3
OHIO	7 477 373	772 145	10.3	378 209	49.0	101 804	13.2
STATE	5 886 607	234 756	4.0	47 518	20.2	15 027	6.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 693 616	571 389	15.5	330 873	57.9	89 628	15.7
COUNTIES	1 528 803	222 695	14.6	39 732	17.8	56 091	25.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 263 395	351 312	15.5	291 547	83.0	34 072	9.7
OKLAHOMA	1 934 617	134 870	7.0	73 902	54.8	15 897	11.8
STATE	1 767 550	63 628	3.6	21 555	33.9	6 916	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	661 512	78 807	11.9	52 467	66.6	10 503	13.3
COUNTIES	214 453	22 888	10.7	5 751	25.1	8 854	38.7
MUNICIPALITIES	465 915	56 183	12.1	46 858	83.4	1 759	3.1
OREGON	2 024 501	196 154	9.7	92 357	47.1	23 357	11.9
STATE	1 782 499	81 412	4.6	23 319	28.6	6 258	7.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	668 515	124 403	18.6	69 930	56.2	17 397	14.0
COUNTIES	359 789	74 734	20.8	24 888	33.3	16 271	21.8
MUNICIPALITIES	328 533	50 208	15.3	45 289	90.2	1 235	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA	8 949 066	833 630	9.3	460 630	55.3	128 845	15.5
STATE	8 696 719	331 566	3.8	119 596	36.1	50 646	15.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 023 937	581 665	19.2	342 113	58.8	102 199	17.6
COUNTIES	932 276	146 581	15.7	13 562	9.3	58 657	40.0
MUNICIPALITIES	2 195 102	437 427	19.9	330 455	75.5	43 570	10.0
RHODE ISLAND	1 079 201	63 084	5.8	35 064	55.6	9 800	15.5
STATE	762 317	26 181	4.7	7 565	20.9	9 474	26.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	465 545	39 908	6.4	28 539	95.4	326	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	467 496	29 908	6.4	28 539	95.4	326	1.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 994 695	157 635	7.9	78 488	49.8	16 287	10.3
STATE	2 033 467	79 765	3.9	24 875	31.2	2 067	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	495 748	83 391	16.8	53 983	64.7	14 227	17.1
COUNTIES	343 709	49 773	14.5	22 280	44.8	13 346	26.8
MUNICIPALITIES	155 880	34 201	21.9	31 886	93.2	907	2.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	5 540	6.3	1 160	1.3	21 474	24.3	1 822	2.1
STATE	553	1.4	-	-	15 565	40.7	4 989	13.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 987	9.2	1 160	2.1	5 921	10.9	1 221	2.2
COUNTIES	3 595	15.1	1 160	4.9	5 709	24.0	505	2.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 392	4.5	3	(Z)	509	1.6	716	2.3
NEVADA	6 494	7.8	1 526	1.8	22 692	27.2	1 173	1.4
STATE	1 416	5.1	199	0.7	13 290	48.0	4 412	15.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 078	8.4	1 356	2.3	10 292	17.1	68	0.1
COUNTIES	3 924	8.8	1 348	3.0	9 582	21.4	40	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 154	4.2	7	(Z)	725	2.7	28	0.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 608	3.8	491	1.2	9 084	21.3	498	1.2
STATE	789	4.7	491	2.9	6 369	37.6	477	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	819	3.0	-	-	2 715	10.1	21	0.1
COUNTIES	337	4.7	-	-	2 483	34.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	483	2.4	-	-	238	1.2	21	0.1
NEW JERSEY	45 581	6.5	10 724	1.5	133 639	19.0	10 023	1.4
STATE	7 457	4.0	10 385	5.6	63 325	34.3	17 254	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	38 124	7.0	339	0.1	70 338	13.0	6 837	1.3
COUNTIES	28 983	16.1	243	0.1	70 099	39.0	1 710	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	9 142	2.5	96	(Z)	277	0.1	5 131	1.4
NEW MEXICO	4 599	6.0	2 414	3.2	14 395	18.8	2 453	3.2
STATE	3 143	8.1	2 386	6.1	10 540	27.0	3 608	9.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 458	3.7	28	0.1	3 855	9.8	157	0.4
COUNTIES	386	3.7	-	-	2 360	22.6	142	1.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 077	3.6	28	0.1	2 081	7.0	15	0.1
NEW YORK	117 699	5.2	26 657	1.2	494 123	21.7	37 485	1.6
STATE	27 595	4.7	2 994	0.5	289 552	49.8	55 946	9.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	90 384	4.9	23 663	1.3	259 136	14.2	23 915	1.3
COUNTIES	28 656	7.1	9 919	2.4	100 475	24.8	4 581	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	61 728	4.3	13 744	1.0	159 005	11.2	19 333	1.4
NORTH CAROLINA	8 469	2.7	4 840	1.5	100 414	31.9	6 287	2.0
STATE	5 415	2.9	4 825	2.6	89 073	47.9	15 484	8.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 054	2.1	27	(Z)	11 347	7.9	4 246	3.0
COUNTIES	1 299	0.2	93	0.2	11 538	19.6	4 028	6.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 755	2.0	26	(Z)	170	0.2	300	0.3
NORTH DAKOTA	2 353	7.8	200	0.7	5 993	19.9	1 102	3.7
STATE	935	8.2	-	-	4 218	36.9	2 154	18.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 418	6.9	200	1.0	1 775	8.7	721	3.5
COUNTIES	1 170	12.1	200	2.1	1 780	18.4	649	6.7
MUNICIPALITIES	248	2.3	1	(Z)	27	0.3	71	0.7
OHIO	34 484	4.5	5 451	0.7	199 689	25.9	52 508	6.8
STATE	10 675	4.5	72	(Z)	129 827	55.3	31 637	13.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 811	4.2	5 379	0.9	71 405	12.5	50 294	8.8
COUNTIES	12 291	5.5	4 805	2.2	60 624	27.2	49 152	22.1
MUNICIPALITIES	11 521	3.3	594	0.2	12 437	3.5	1 141	0.3
OKLAHOMA	7 508	5.6	918	0.7	31 294	23.2	5 350	4.0
STATE	4 952	7.8	-	-	25 811	40.6	4 394	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 007	6.4	918	1.2	5 488	7.0	4 427	5.6
COUNTIES	3 139	13.7	890	3.9	4 253	18.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 867	3.3	29	0.1	1 244	2.2	4 427	7.9
OREGON	16 916	8.6	3 335	1.7	50 491	25.7	9 698	4.9
STATE	7 879	9.7	228	0.3	33 746	41.5	9 982	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 037	7.3	3 107	2.5	16 746	13.5	8 186	6.6
COUNTIES	7 066	9.5	2 989	4.0	16 335	21.9	7 185	9.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 971	3.9	120	0.2	592	1.2	1 001	2.0
PENNSYLVANIA	36 360	4.4	8 347	1.0	191 712	23.0	7 737	0.9
STATE	6 813	2.1	-	-	110 421	33.3	44 090	13.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 547	5.1	8 347	1.4	96 110	16.5	3 350	0.6

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total general expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ³	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	566 505	41 200	7.3	20 864	50.6	6 655	16.2
STATE	470 359	19 804	4.2	5 334	26.9	4 412	22.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	165 359	23 508	14.2	15 565	66.2	2 663	11.3
COUNTIES	71 477	13 446	18.8	7 242	53.9	2 478	18.4
MUNICIPALITIES	94 681	10 416	11.0	8 526	81.9	230	2.2
TENNESSEE	3 842 617	227 803	5.9	117 287	51.5	32 359	14.2
STATE	2 433 396	89 057	3.7	17 352	19.5	8 824	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 072 346	150 447	7.3	100 274	66.7	23 588	15.7
COUNTIES	1 106 686	52 565	4.7	16 286	31.0	17 620	33.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 112 422	99 416	8.9	84 314	84.8	6 509	6.5
TEXAS	7 575 148	713 351	9.4	403 741	56.6	94 695	13.3
STATE	6 830 937	232 319	3.4	85 431	36.8	14 587	6.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 908 679	509 383	17.5	318 500	62.5	82 142	16.1
COUNTIES	959 987	211 006	22.0	48 461	23.0	68 452	32.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 964 994	300 174	15.3	270 820	90.2	13 710	4.6
UTAH	912 335	70 344	7.7	39 536	56.2	8 405	11.9
STATE	950 058	32 672	3.4	11 197	34.3	2 594	7.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	250 461	41 996	16.8	28 461	67.8	5 920	14.1
COUNTIES	126 774	18 753	14.8	8 864	47.3	3 515	18.7
MUNICIPALITIES	125 709	23 416	18.6	19 611	83.8	2 406	10.3
VERMONT	439 990	27 345	6.2	13 522	49.4	3 420	12.5
STATE	445 138	19 963	4.5	6 368	31.9	3 061	15.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	77 008	7 947	10.3	7 157	90.1	359	4.5
COUNTIES	1 588	601	37.8	193	32.1	357	59.4
MUNICIPALITIES	76 010	7 411	9.8	7 011	94.6	17	0.2
VIRGINIA	5 030 854	333 565	6.6	175 463	52.6	36 351	10.9
STATE	3 149 793	176 728	5.6	54 077	30.6	16 671	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 898 517	185 657	6.4	121 386	65.4	24 575	13.2
COUNTIES	1 439 696	76 910	5.3	46 540	60.5	12 956	16.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 492 347	110 312	7.4	75 039	68.0	12 022	10.9
WASHINGTON	2 907 689	262 546	9.0	139 009	52.9	27 935	10.6
STATE	2 852 148	99 172	3.5	27 591	27.8	5 010	5.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 028 083	176 990	17.2	111 425	63.0	22 960	13.0
COUNTIES	535 207	88 748	16.6	32 647	36.8	19 129	21.6
MUNICIPALITIES	583 699	91 855	15.7	80 495	87.6	4 064	4.4
WEST VIRGINIA	1 356 674	74 895	5.5	38 142	50.9	12 966	17.3
STATE	1 449 550	37 328	2.6	14 710	39.4	6 892	18.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	264 503	39 945	15.1	23 447	58.7	6 076	15.2
COUNTIES	98 665	20 999	21.3	4 937	23.5	5 782	27.5
MUNICIPALITIES	166 932	19 461	11.7	18 533	95.2	307	1.6
WISCONSIN	4 306 742	300 033	7.0	175 372	58.5	33 185	11.1
STATE	3 776 642	98 870	2.6	24 477	24.8	9 030	9.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 409 810	212 034	8.8	152 800	72.1	25 597	12.1
COUNTIES	1 045 668	88 366	8.5	34 857	39.4	24 565	27.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 369 448	124 994	9.0	118 528	94.8	1 634	1.3
WYOMING	433 062	25 399	5.9	13 849	54.5	3 170	12.5
STATE	415 021	12 590	3.0	4 965	39.4	1 127	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	128 835	14 208	11.0	8 890	62.6	2 043	14.4
COUNTIES	110 455	7 470	6.8	3 124	41.8	1 804	24.2
MUNICIPALITIES	56 768	6 825	12.0	5 816	85.2	239	3.5

¹Represents zero or rounds to zero.

²Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

³Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

The relation of criminal justice total expenditure to total general expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, independent school districts, or special districts.

For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	3 345	8.1	558	1.4	6 735	16.3	3 043	7.4
STATE	1 696	8.6	-	-	5 694	28.8	2 668	13.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 649	7.0	578	2.5	1 060	4.5	1 993	8.5
COUNTIES	1 335	9.9	578	4.3	1 043	7.8	769	5.7
MUNICIPALITIES	313	3.0	-	(Z)	123	1.2	1 223	11.7
TENNESSEE	8 551	3.8	2 246	1.0	63 967	28.1	3 393	1.5
STATE	5 110	5.7	1 353	1.5	49 002	55.0	7 416	8.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 442	2.3	893	0.6	20 063	13.3	2 187	1.5
COUNTIES	1 400	2.7	613	1.2	14 703	28.0	1 943	3.7
MUNICIPALITIES	2 042	2.1	283	0.3	6 002	6.0	265	0.3
TEXAS	41 727	5.8	5 223	0.7	128 967	18.1	38 998	5.5
STATE	10 257	4.4	-	-	74 601	32.1	47 443	20.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	31 485	6.2	5 223	1.0	54 386	10.7	17 647	3.5
COUNTIES	23 104	10.9	5 192	2.5	50 204	23.8	15 593	7.4
MUNICIPALITIES	8 382	2.8	55	(Z)	4 833	1.6	2 375	0.8
UTAH	4 107	5.8	530	0.8	16 503	23.5	1 264	1.8
STATE	1 164	3.6	-	-	13 707	42.0	4 010	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 153	7.5	530	1.3	3 333	7.9	601	1.4
COUNTIES	2 420	12.9	514	2.7	3 306	17.6	133	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	789	3.4	16	0.1	126	0.5	468	2.0
VERMONT	1 687	6.2	879	3.2	7 422	27.1	415	1.5
STATE	1 292	6.5	879	4.4	7 386	37.0	977	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	395	5.0	-	(Z)	36	0.8	-	-
COUNTIES	15	2.5	-	-	36	5.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	380	5.1	-	(Z)	3	(Z)	-	-
VIRGINIA	12 601	3.8	4 822	1.4	100 922	30.3	3 406	1.0
STATE	3 989	2.3	4 529	2.6	85 270	48.2	12 192	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 749	4.7	293	0.2	29 196	15.7	1 458	0.8
COUNTIES	4 161	5.4	143	0.2	12 615	16.4	495	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	4 607	4.2	150	0.1	17 530	15.9	965	0.9
WASHINGTON	15 651	6.0	4 411	1.7	70 208	26.7	5 332	2.0
STATE	5 367	5.4	-	-	46 349	46.7	14 655	14.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 718	6.1	4 219	2.4	23 859	13.5	3 809	2.2
COUNTIES	7 202	8.1	3 651	4.1	22 645	25.5	3 474	3.9
MUNICIPALITIES	3 516	3.8	586	0.6	2 825	3.1	371	0.4
WEST VIRGINIA	3 535	4.7	27	(Z)	19 381	25.9	844	1.1
STATE	978	2.6	-	-	11 726	31.4	3 022	8.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 557	6.4	27	0.1	7 655	19.2	182	0.5
COUNTIES	2 100	10.0	27	0.1	7 646	36.4	507	2.4
MUNICIPALITIES	457	2.3	-	-	164	0.8	1	(Z)
WISCONSIN	15 862	5.3	3 901	1.3	65 854	21.9	5 859	2.0
STATE	3 524	3.6	560	0.6	49 123	49.7	12 156	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 355	5.8	3 341	1.6	17 413	8.2	527	0.2
COUNTIES	7 591	8.6	3 341	3.8	17 504	19.8	507	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	4 764	3.8	-	-	49	(Z)	19	(Z)
WYOMING	1 729	6.8	294	1.2	5 331	21.0	1 026	4.0
STATE	537	4.3	-	-	4 352	34.6	1 609	12.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 192	8.4	294	2.1	980	6.9	807	5.7
COUNTIES	945	12.7	294	3.9	772	10.3	531	7.1
MUNICIPALITIES	247	3.6	-	(Z)	230	3.4	293	4.3

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total direct expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	189 524 157	17 231 180	9.1	9 416 604	54.6	2 209 027	12.8
STATES	95 831 611	5 204 226	5.4	1 696 460	32.6	585 151	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	93 692 546	12 026 954	12.8	7 720 144	64.2	1 623 876	13.5
COUNTIES	35 382 803	4 595 067	13.0	1 494 472	32.5	1 191 231	25.9
MUNICIPALITIES	58 309 746	7 431 887	12.7	6 225 671	83.8	432 645	5.8
ALABAMA	2 538 393	174 113	6.9	100 459	57.7	25 523	14.7
STATE	1 694 824	64 691	3.8	24 448	37.8	7 303	11.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	843 569	109 422	13.0	76 011	69.5	18 220	16.7
COUNTIES	269 056	42 033	15.6	14 012	33.3	16 514	39.3
MUNICIPALITIES	574 514	67 389	11.7	61 998	92.0	1 706	2.5
ALASKA	1 176 001	77 047	6.6	36 028	46.8	15 482	20.1
STATE	750 299	58 916	7.9	20 375	34.6	15 379	26.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	425 702	18 131	4.3	15 653	86.3	103	0.6
BOROUGHs	84 873	425	0.5	75	17.7	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	340 829	17 706	5.2	15 578	88.0	103	0.6
ARIZONA	1 716 751	243 612	14.2	135 862	55.8	38 222	15.7
STATE	906 922	62 803	6.9	32 708	52.1	3 601	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	809 829	180 809	22.3	103 154	57.1	34 621	19.1
COUNTIES	364 996	92 482	25.3	24 206	26.2	30 757	33.3
MUNICIPALITIES	444 833	88 328	19.9	78 948	89.4	3 864	4.4
ARKANSAS	1 298 470	82 272	6.3	42 838	52.1	9 113	11.1
STATE	916 443	36 046	3.9	12 444	34.5	2 818	7.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	382 027	46 226	12.1	30 394	65.8	6 295	13.6
COUNTIES	146 022	20 537	14.1	7 883	38.4	5 047	24.6
MUNICIPALITIES	236 005	25 689	10.9	22 511	87.6	1 248	4.9
CALIFORNIA	20 817 945	2 521 452	12.1	1 251 478	49.6	315 701	12.5
STATE	8 927 290	599 424	6.7	237 908	39.7	32 891	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 890 655	1 922 028	16.2	1 013 570	52.7	282 810	14.7
COUNTIES	7 203 493	1 128 586	15.7	294 736	26.1	270 151	23.9
MUNICIPALITIES	4 687 162	793 442	16.9	718 834	90.6	12 659	1.6
COLORADO	2 162 198	213 224	9.9	117 577	55.1	29 111	13.7
STATE	1 135 199	76 814	6.8	16 688	21.7	13 819	25.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 026 999	136 409	13.3	100 889	74.0	9 292	6.8
COUNTIES	384 993	32 174	8.4	14 381	44.7	2 819	8.8
MUNICIPALITIES	642 007	104 235	16.2	86 508	83.0	6 473	6.2
CONNECTICUT	3 320 074	209 085	6.3	125 114	59.8	22 681	10.8
STATE	1 478 170	101 639	6.9	22 798	22.4	22 395	22.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 841 904	107 447	5.8	102 316	95.2	286	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 841 903	107 447	5.8	102 316	95.2	286	0.3
DELAWARE	608 366	52 315	8.6	24 208	46.3	10 423	19.9
STATE	440 788	35 635	8.1	10 515	29.5	8 162	22.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	167 578	16 680	10.0	13 693	82.1	2 261	13.6
COUNTIES	78 363	6 195	7.9	4 271	68.9	1 622	26.2
MUNICIPALITIES	89 215	10 485	11.8	9 422	89.9	639	6.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 462 205	202 999	13.9	94 818	46.7	25 312	12.5
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 462 205	202 999	13.9	94 818	46.7	25 312	12.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 462 205	202 999	13.9	94 818	46.7	25 312	12.5
FLORIDA	5 404 565	765 012	14.2	381 870	49.9	99 968	13.1
STATE	2 650 597	296 437	11.2	49 865	16.8	33 154	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 753 968	468 576	17.0	322 005	70.9	65 814	14.3
COUNTIES	1 323 225	227 934	17.2	119 215	52.3	58 798	25.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 430 743	240 642	16.8	212 790	88.4	8 016	3.3
GEORGIA	3 081 969	313 433	10.2	158 576	50.6	41 860	13.4
STATE	2 011 961	107 850	5.4	31 627	29.3	7 520	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 070 008	205 583	19.2	126 949	61.8	34 340	16.7
COUNTIES	489 705	110 747	22.6	42 046	38.0	30 864	27.9
MUNICIPALITIES	580 302	94 836	16.3	84 903	89.5	3 476	3.7
HAWAII	1 566 876	77 550	4.9	41 290	53.2	12 337	15.9
STATE	1 199 797	33 118	2.8	1 291	3.9	12 337	37.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	367 079	44 432	12.1	39 999	90.0	-	-
COUNTIES	84 960	11 516	13.6	9 618	83.5	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	282 119	32 916	11.7	30 381	92.3	-	-
IDAHO	618 933	47 808	7.7	24 604	51.5	6 930	14.5
STATE	435 136	20 473	4.7	5 850	28.6	3 730	18.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	183 797	27 335	14.9	18 754	68.6	3 200	11.7
COUNTIES	95 380	14 897	15.6	7 079	47.3	3 115	20.9
MUNICIPALITIES	88 417	12 438	14.1	11 676	93.9	86	0.7

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	898 527	5.2	227 384	1.3	4 129 160	24.0	350 478	2.0
STATES	247 723	4.8	70 139	1.3	2 474 783	47.6	129 970	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	650 804	5.4	157 245	1.3	1 654 377	13.8	220 508	1.8
COUNTIES	393 648	8.6	129 213	2.8	1 234 907	26.9	151 596	3.3
MUNICIPALITIES	257 156	3.5	28 032	0.4	419 470	5.6	68 912	0.9
ALABAMA	7 515	4.3	1 122	0.5	38 080	21.9	1 414	0.8
STATE	3 757	5.8	1 001	1.5	27 241	42.1	941	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 758	3.4	121	0.1	10 839	9.9	473	0.4
COUNTIES	2 421	5.8	37	0.1	8 731	20.8	318	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 337	2.0	84	0.1	2 108	3.1	156	0.2
ALASKA	6 107	7.9	1 324	1.7	15 441	20.0	2 665	3.5
STATE	4 580	7.8	1 324	2.2	14 593	24.8	2 665	4.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 527	8.4	-	-	848	4.7	-	(2)
BOROUGHs	1 350	82.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 177	6.6	-	-	848	4.8	-	(2)
ARIZONA	12 322	5.1	4 669	1.9	46 203	19.0	6 334	2.6
STATE	2 555	4.1	-	-	22 111	35.2	1 828	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 767	5.4	4 669	2.6	24 092	13.3	4 506	2.3
COUNTIES	6 332	6.8	4 561	4.9	23 325	25.2	3 302	3.6
MUNICIPALITIES	3 435	3.9	108	0.1	767	0.9	1 205	1.4
ARKANSAS	3 546	4.3	572	0.7	23 687	28.8	2 516	3.1
STATE	984	2.7	15	(2)	17 469	48.5	2 316	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 562	5.5	557	1.2	6 218	13.5	200	0.4
COUNTIES	1 732	8.7	527	2.6	5 102	24.8	196	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	780	3.0	30	0.1	1 117	4.3	3	(2)
CALIFORNIA	174 789	6.9	49 317	2.0	698 822	27.7	31 345	1.2
STATE	23 930	4.0	1 379	0.2	292 636	48.8	10 680	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	150 859	7.8	47 938	2.5	406 186	21.1	20 665	1.1
COUNTIES	118 891	10.5	46 804	4.1	387 616	34.3	10 388	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	31 969	4.0	1 133	0.1	18 570	2.3	10 277	1.3
COLORADO	15 360	7.2	3 020	1.4	41 875	19.6	6 281	2.9
STATE	3 460	4.5	2 980	3.9	32 009	41.7	1 858	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 900	8.7	40	(2)	9 866	7.2	4 423	3.2
COUNTIES	6 912	21.5	23	0.1	4 871	15.1	3 169	9.8
MUNICIPALITIES	4 988	4.8	17	(2)	4 995	4.8	1 254	1.2
CONNECTICUT	8 946	4.3	2 076	1.0	48 097	23.0	2 170	1.0
STATE	5 607	5.5	2 049	2.0	47 707	46.9	1 083	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 339	3.1	27	(2)	390	0.4	1 088	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	3 339	3.1	27	(2)	390	0.4	1 088	1.0
DELAWARE	2 082	4.0	610	1.2	14 104	27.0	888	1.7
STATE	1 361	3.8	605	1.7	14 104	39.6	888	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	721	4.3	5	(2)	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	297	4.8	5	0.1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	424	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	4 095	2.0	4 807	2.4	73 105	36.0	862	0.4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 095	2.0	4 807	2.4	73 105	36.0	862	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	4 095	2.0	4 807	2.4	73 105	36.0	862	0.4
FLORIDA	33 317	4.4	11 161	1.5	229 479	30.0	9 218	1.2
STATE	22 552	7.6	9 803	3.3	179 235	60.5	1 828	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 765	2.3	1 358	0.3	50 244	10.7	7 390	1.6
COUNTIES	4 292	3.9	1 212	0.5	38 980	17.1	5 437	2.4
MUNICIPALITIES	6 472	2.7	146	0.1	11 264	4.7	1 953	0.8
GEORGIA	11 700	3.7	3 300	1.1	95 682	30.5	2 315	0.7
STATE	3 989	3.7	1 538	1.4	61 424	57.0	1 752	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 711	3.8	1 762	0.9	34 258	16.7	563	0.3
COUNTIES	5 965	5.4	1 633	1.5	30 077	27.2	162	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 746	1.8	129	0.1	4 180	4.4	402	0.4
HAWAII	5 719	7.4	1 648	2.1	11 808	15.2	4 748	6.1
STATE	2 235	6.7	1 647	5.0	11 167	33.7	4 441	13.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 484	7.8	1	(2)	641	1.4	307	0.7
COUNTIES	963	8.4	-	-	641	5.6	294	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 521	7.7	1	(2)	-	-	13	(2)
IDAHO	2 898	6.1	726	1.5	10 783	22.6	1 866	3.9
STATE	786	3.8	-	-	9 279	45.3	828	4.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 112	7.7	726	2.7	1 504	5.5	1 038	3.8
COUNTIES	1 485	10.0	-	-	1 454	9.8	1 038	7.0
MUNICIPALITIES	627	5.0	-	-	50	0.4	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total direct expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	8 400 507	984 017	11.7	633 022	64.3	106 680	10.8
STATE	5 281 757	274 923	5.2	106 854	38.9	43 749	15.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 118 750	709 094	22.7	526 168	74.2	62 931	8.9
COUNTIES	823 277	221 026	26.8	49 565	22.4	62 486	28.3
MUNICIPALITIES	2 295 473	488 069	21.3	476 603	97.7	445	0.1
INDIANA	3 237 016	268 487	8.3	151 594	56.5	31 104	11.6
STATE	1 843 134	95 147	5.2	38 876	40.9	6 844	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 393 882	173 340	12.4	112 718	65.0	24 260	14.0
COUNTIES	605 836	61 617	10.2	18 657	30.3	26 662	27.0
MUNICIPALITIES	788 046	111 723	14.2	94 062	84.2	7 599	6.8
IOWA	2 239 956	147 201	6.6	77 706	52.8	20 961	14.2
STATE	1 278 362	55 553	4.3	19 744	35.5	6 750	12.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 961 594	91 648	9.5	57 962	63.2	14 210	15.5
COUNTIES	443 134	44 009	9.9	12 911	29.3	14 210	32.3
MUNICIPALITIES	518 461	47 639	9.2	45 051	94.6	1	(Z)
KANSAS	1 769 963	143 015	8.1	65 412	45.7	21 696	15.2
STATE	1 036 653	62 444	6.0	13 207	21.2	9 408	15.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	733 310	80 572	11.0	52 205	64.8	12 288	15.3
COUNTIES	270 312	34 305	12.7	11 725	34.2	10 761	31.4
MUNICIPALITIES	462 999	46 267	10.0	40 480	87.5	1 527	3.3
KENTUCKY	2 417 534	172 351	7.1	103 910	60.3	21 161	12.3
STATE	1 845 701	67 973	3.7	31 937	47.0	7 173	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	571 833	104 377	18.3	71 973	65.0	13 988	13.4
COUNTIES	179 215	44 828	25.0	19 687	43.9	11 574	25.8
MUNICIPALITIES	392 618	59 549	15.2	52 286	87.8	2 414	4.1
LOUISIANA	3 104 048	280 134	9.0	161 364	57.6	37 962	13.6
STATE	2 089 452	99 557	4.8	40 073	40.3	10 572	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 014 596	180 577	17.8	121 291	67.2	27 390	15.2
PARISHES	429 922	79 105	18.4	46 772	59.1	16 234	20.5
MUNICIPALITIES	584 674	101 472	17.4	74 519	73.4	11 156	11.0
MAINE	915 442	50 649	5.5	28 702	56.7	6 615	13.1
STATE	547 208	24 893	4.5	9 039	36.3	4 138	16.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	368 234	25 756	7.0	19 663	76.3	2 477	9.6
COUNTIES	14 146	7 908	55.9	2 281	28.8	2 476	31.3
MUNICIPALITIES	354 088	17 848	5.0	17 382	97.4	1	(Z)
MARYLAND	5 368 242	392 002	7.3	208 566	53.2	36 133	9.2
STATE	1 987 069	174 962	8.8	45 026	25.7	10 572	11.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 381 173	217 040	6.4	163 540	75.4	15 765	7.3
COUNTIES	2 215 734	112 426	5.1	80 553	71.6	9 394	8.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 165 439	104 614	9.0	82 987	79.3	6 371	6.1
MASSACHUSETTS	7 055 608	506 635	7.2	308 472	60.9	66 935	13.2
STATE	3 007 439	124 368	4.1	37 866	30.4	11 796	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 048 169	382 287	9.4	270 606	70.8	55 139	14.4
COUNTIES	138 082	73 480	53.2	1 435	2.0	38 849	52.9
MUNICIPALITIES	3 910 087	308 807	7.9	269 171	87.2	16 290	5.3
MICHIGAN	8 093 608	770 070	9.5	433 200	56.3	110 698	14.4
STATE	4 512 875	188 930	4.2	70 320	37.2	18 061	9.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 580 733	581 140	16.2	362 880	62.4	92 637	15.9
COUNTIES	1 387 197	229 506	16.5	53 741	23.4	71 939	31.3
MUNICIPALITIES	2 193 536	351 635	16.0	309 139	87.9	20 697	5.9
MINNESOTA	3 669 580	242 931	6.6	132 268	54.4	31 412	12.9
STATE	1 878 291	64 207	3.4	22 915	35.7	4 455	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 791 289	178 725	10.0	109 353	61.2	26 957	15.1
COUNTIES	878 853	89 189	10.1	26 686	29.9	26 884	30.1
MUNICIPALITIES	912 437	89 535	9.8	82 666	92.3	73	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	1 649 179	103 008	6.2	59 926	58.2	13 096	12.7
STATE	1 060 933	45 916	4.3	22 381	48.7	3 168	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	588 246	57 092	9.7	37 548	65.8	9 928	17.4
COUNTIES	352 179	25 952	7.4	8 785	33.9	9 269	35.7
MUNICIPALITIES	236 067	31 139	13.2	28 760	92.4	658	2.1
MISSOURI	2 848 648	295 645	10.4	181 449	61.4	39 644	13.4
STATE	1 707 107	78 948	4.6	26 792	33.9	13 477	17.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 141 541	216 696	19.0	154 657	71.4	26 167	12.1
COUNTIES	302 773	62 213	20.5	23 884	38.4	17 402	28.0
MUNICIPALITIES	838 768	154 483	18.4	130 773	84.7	8 766	5.7
MONTANA	639 536	47 984	7.5	22 622	47.1	5 272	11.0
STATE	432 253	20 674	4.8	4 977	24.1	1 201	5.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	207 283	27 310	13.2	17 645	64.6	4 071	14.9
COUNTIES	125 828	15 942	12.7	7 368	46.2	3 661	23.0
MUNICIPALITIES	81 385	11 368	14.0	10 278	90.4	410	3.6

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	44 954	4.6	9 843	1.0	168 927	17.2	20 591	2.1
STATE	12 152	4.4	1 780	0.6	106 766	38.8	3 622	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	32 802	4.6	8 063	1.1	62 161	8.8	16 969	2.4
COUNTIES	23 037	10.4	7 978	3.6	61 552	27.8	16 407	7.4
MUNICIPALITIES	9 764	2.0	85	(Z)	609	0.1	562	0.1
INDIANA	12 542	4.7	2 454	0.9	66 279	24.7	4 514	1.7
STATE	4 773	5.0	343	0.4	42 084	44.2	2 227	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 769	4.5	2 111	1.2	24 195	14.0	2 287	1.3
COUNTIES	4 228	6.9	1 814	2.9	19 088	31.0	1 168	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES	3 540	3.2	297	0.3	5 107	4.6	1 119	1.0
IOWA	7 719	5.2	3 028	2.1	36 597	24.9	1 191	0.8
STATE	1 937	3.5	28	0.1	26 426	47.6	668	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 782	6.3	3 000	3.3	10 171	11.1	522	0.6
COUNTIES	4 181	9.5	2 999	6.8	9 519	21.6	189	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 602	3.4	1	(Z)	651	1.4	333	0.7
KANSAS	9 339	6.5	1 965	1.4	40 961	28.6	3 642	2.5
STATE	3 532	5.7	1 767	2.8	33 938	54.3	592	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 807	7.2	198	0.2	7 023	8.7	3 051	3.8
COUNTIES	4 187	12.2	191	0.6	6 106	17.8	1 334	3.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 620	3.5	6	(Z)	918	2.0	1 716	3.7
KENTUCKY	7 956	4.6	1 281	0.7	35 176	20.4	2 867	1.7
STATE	2 667	3.9	773	1.1	23 438	34.5	1 985	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 289	5.1	508	0.5	11 738	11.2	882	0.8
COUNTIES	2 992	6.7	508	1.1	9 434	21.0	633	1.4
MUNICIPALITIES	2 297	3.9	-	-	2 304	3.9	248	0.4
LOUISIANA	14 547	5.2	1 581	0.6	63 112	22.5	1 568	0.6
STATE	7 529	7.6	-	-	40 597	40.8	786	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 018	3.9	1 581	0.9	22 515	12.5	782	0.4
PARISHES	3 729	4.7	889	1.1	11 242	14.2	239	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	3 289	3.2	692	0.7	11 273	11.1	542	0.5
MAINE	2 321	4.6	446	0.9	11 373	22.5	1 192	2.4
STATE	1 537	6.2	176	0.7	9 234	37.1	769	3.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	784	3.0	270	1.0	2 139	8.3	422	1.6
COUNTIES	322	4.1	270	3.4	2 137	27.0	422	5.3
MUNICIPALITIES	462	2.6	-	-	2	(Z)	-	-
MARYLAND	13 453	3.9	6 847	1.7	119 608	30.5	5 395	1.4
STATE	2 207	1.3	6 834	3.9	97 887	55.9	2 640	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 246	6.1	13	(Z)	21 721	10.0	2 756	1.3
COUNTIES	7 563	6.7	13	(Z)	13 163	11.7	1 741	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES	5 683	5.4	-	-	8 558	8.2	1 015	1.0
MASSACHUSETTS	19 080	3.8	5 149	1.0	101 930	20.1	5 087	1.0
STATE	5 521	4.4	2 818	2.3	63 765	51.3	2 602	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 559	3.5	2 331	0.6	38 165	10.0	2 486	0.7
COUNTIES	3 334	4.5	2 307	3.1	27 558	37.5	17	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	10 225	3.3	24	(Z)	10 627	3.4	2 469	0.8
MICHIGAN	39 209	5.1	13 439	1.7	159 434	20.7	14 091	1.8
STATE	8 408	4.5	865	0.5	86 561	45.8	4 715	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 801	5.3	12 574	2.2	72 873	12.5	9 376	1.6
COUNTIES	20 609	9.0	11 327	4.9	64 173	28.0	7 716	3.4
MUNICIPALITIES	10 192	2.9	1 247	0.4	8 700	2.5	1 659	0.5
MINNESOTA	14 057	5.8	2 784	1.1	56 364	23.2	6 047	2.5
STATE	2 698	4.2	288	0.4	30 647	47.7	3 204	5.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 359	6.4	2 496	1.4	25 717	14.4	2 843	1.6
COUNTIES	6 948	7.8	2 468	2.8	24 198	27.1	2 005	2.2
MUNICIPALITIES	4 410	4.9	29	(Z)	1 520	1.7	838	0.9
MISSISSIPPI	4 044	3.9	774	0.8	23 166	22.5	2 003	1.9
STATE	1 884	4.1	-	-	16 514	36.0	1 969	4.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 160	3.8	774	1.4	6 652	11.7	34	0.1
COUNTIES	1 134	4.4	743	2.9	5 988	23.1	34	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 026	3.3	31	0.1	664	2.1	-	-
MISSOURI	12 127	4.1	2 219	0.8	58 181	19.7	2 026	0.7
STATE	1 383	1.8	1 888	2.4	34 065	43.1	1 343	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 744	5.0	331	0.2	24 116	11.1	682	0.3
COUNTIES	5 662	9.1	45	0.1	14 764	23.7	457	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	5 081	3.3	287	0.2	9 352	6.1	225	0.1
MONTANA	3 263	6.8	589	1.2	14 373	30.0	1 866	3.9
STATE	1 023	4.9	-	-	12 225	59.1	1 248	6.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 240	8.2	589	2.2	2 148	7.9	617	2.3
COUNTIES	1 803	11.3	577	3.6	1 930	12.1	604	3.8
MUNICIPALITIES	436	3.8	12	0.1	218	1.9	14	0.1

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total direct expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	1 102 858	88 280	8.0	44 928	50.9	13 356	15.1
STATE	645 780	33 883	5.2	10 915	32.2	6 260	18.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	457 078	54 397	11.9	34 013	62.5	7 098	13.0
COUNTIES	215 631	23 552	10.9	7 120	30.2	5 695	24.2
MUNICIPALITIES	241 447	30 845	12.8	26 893	87.2	1 401	4.5
NEVADA	635 344	83 562	13.2	42 945	51.4	8 732	10.4
STATE	326 975	23 475	7.2	6 666	28.4	1 689	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	308 369	60 087	19.5	36 279	60.4	7 043	11.7
COUNTIES	212 622	44 778	21.1	24 167	59.0	5 747	12.8
MUNICIPALITIES	95 747	15 308	16.0	12 112	79.1	1 296	8.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE	688 629	42 644	6.2	25 194	59.1	5 770	13.5
STATE	400 557	15 980	4.0	6 114	38.3	1 740	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	288 072	26 664	9.3	19 080	71.6	4 030	15.1
COUNTIES	37 087	6 794	18.3	1 103	16.2	2 878	42.4
MUNICIPALITIES	250 985	19 870	7.9	17 977	90.5	1 152	5.8
NEW JERSEY	6 497 368	704 754	10.8	415 538	59.0	89 248	12.7
STATE	2 874 898	162 175	5.6	56 091	34.6	21 732	13.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 622 470	542 579	15.0	359 447	66.2	67 516	12.4
COUNTIES	1 468 701	179 482	12.2	24 906	13.9	53 592	29.9
MUNICIPALITIES	2 153 769	363 097	16.9	334 542	92.1	13 924	3.8
NEW MEXICO	909 818	76 584	8.4	44 247	57.8	8 476	11.1
STATE	627 283	37 366	6.0	12 055	32.2	6 966	18.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	282 535	39 198	13.9	32 192	82.1	1 510	3.9
COUNTIES	85 031	9 752	11.5	7 109	72.9	267	2.7
MUNICIPALITIES	197 505	29 445	14.9	25 083	85.2	1 243	4.2
NEW YORK	26 172 857	2 271 990	8.7	1 314 610	57.9	281 416	12.4
STATE	7 742 129	455 314	5.9	110 614	24.3	55 830	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 430 728	1 816 677	9.9	1 203 996	66.3	225 586	12.4
COUNTIES	3 228 002	397 306	10.1	189 831	47.8	71 187	17.9
MUNICIPALITIES	14 502 726	1 419 371	9.8	1 014 166	71.5	154 399	10.9
NORTH CAROLINA	4 949 181	315 251	6.4	154 839	49.1	40 403	12.8
STATE	2 066 228	172 250	8.3	43 758	25.4	27 139	15.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 882 953	143 001	5.0	111 081	77.7	13 264	9.3
COUNTIES	2 282 466	57 480	2.5	27 680	48.2	13 264	23.1
MUNICIPALITIES	600 487	85 520	14.2	83 402	97.5	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	555 420	30 046	5.4	15 931	53.0	4 466	14.9
STATE	388 802	9 638	2.5	2 831	29.4	1 272	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	166 618	20 408	12.2	13 100	64.2	3 194	15.7
COUNTIES	73 638	9 663	13.1	3 043	31.5	2 229	29.3
MUNICIPALITIES	92 980	10 746	11.6	10 057	93.6	365	3.4
OHIO	7 477 373	772 145	10.3	378 209	49.0	101 804	13.2
STATE	3 791 060	200 958	5.3	47 518	23.6	12 178	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 686 313	571 187	15.5	330 691	57.9	89 626	15.7
COUNTIES	1 464 090	221 279	15.1	39 646	17.9	56 029	25.3
MUNICIPALITIES	2 222 223	349 908	15.7	291 045	83.2	33 593	9.6
OKLAHOMA	1 934 617	134 870	7.0	73 902	54.8	15 897	11.8
STATE	1 276 090	60 033	4.7	21 451	35.7	6 898	11.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	658 527	74 836	11.4	52 451	70.1	8 999	12.0
COUNTIES	193 043	18 752	9.7	6 681	30.3	7 240	38.6
MUNICIPALITIES	465 484	56 084	12.0	46 771	83.4	1 759	3.1
OREGON	2 024 501	196 154	9.7	92 357	47.1	23 357	11.9
STATE	1 361 420	71 854	5.3	22 453	31.2	6 036	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	663 081	124 300	18.7	69 904	56.2	17 321	13.9
COUNTIES	336 164	74 504	22.2	24 869	33.6	16 108	21.6
MUNICIPALITIES	326 917	49 796	15.2	45 035	90.4	1 213	2.4
PENNSYLVANIA	8 949 066	833 630	9.3	460 630	55.3	128 845	15.5
STATE	5 934 310	258 826	4.4	118 537	45.8	26 646	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 014 756	574 804	19.1	342 093	59.5	102 199	17.8
COUNTIES	875 359	142 356	16.3	13 562	9.5	58 629	41.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 139 397	432 448	20.2	328 532	76.0	43 570	10.1
RHODE ISLAND	1 079 201	63 084	5.8	35 064	55.6	9 800	15.5
STATE	613 657	33 175	5.4	6 525	19.7	9 474	28.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	465 544	29 908	6.4	28 539	95.4	326	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	465 544	29 908	6.4	28 539	95.4	326	1.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 994 695	157 635	7.9	78 488	49.8	16 287	10.3
STATE	1 502 484	74 547	5.0	24 875	33.3	2 067	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	492 211	82 989	16.9	53 613	64.6	14 220	17.1
COUNTIES	336 347	49 148	14.6	21 938	44.6	13 314	27.1
MUNICIPALITIES	155 864	33 840	21.7	31 675	93.6	907	2.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	5 540	6.3	1 160	1.3	21 474	24.3	1 822	2.1
STATE	553	1.6	-	-	15 553	45.9	602	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 987	9.2	1 160	2.1	5 921	10.9	1 221	2.2
COUNTIES	3 595	15.3	1 160	4.9	5 478	23.3	505	2.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 392	4.5	-	-	443	1.4	716	2.3
NEVADA	6 494	7.8	1 526	1.8	22 692	27.2	1 173	1.4
STATE	1 416	6.0	199	0.8	12 400	52.8	1 105	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 078	8.5	1 327	2.2	10 292	17.1	68	0.1
COUNTIES	3 924	8.8	1 320	2.9	9 580	21.4	40	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 154	7.5	7	(2)	712	4.6	28	0.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 608	3.8	491	1.2	9 084	21.3	498	1.2
STATE	789	4.9	491	3.1	6 369	39.9	477	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	819	3.1	-	-	2 715	10.2	21	0.1
COUNTIES	337	5.0	-	-	2 477	36.5	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	483	2.4	-	-	238	1.2	21	0.1
NEW JERSEY	45 581	6.5	10 724	1.5	133 639	19.0	10 023	1.4
STATE	7 457	4.6	10 385	6.4	63 325	39.0	3 185	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	38 124	7.0	339	0.1	70 314	13.0	6 837	1.3
COUNTIES	28 983	16.1	243	0.1	70 047	39.0	1 710	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	9 142	2.5	96	(2)	267	0.1	5 127	1.4
NEW MEXICO	4 599	6.0	2 414	3.2	14 395	18.8	2 453	3.2
STATE	3 143	8.4	2 386	6.4	10 540	28.2	2 296	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 456	3.7	28	0.1	3 855	9.8	157	0.4
COUNTIES	378	3.9	-	-	1 856	19.0	142	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 077	3.7	28	0.1	1 998	6.8	15	0.1
NEW YORK	117 699	5.2	26 657	1.2	494 123	21.7	37 485	1.6
STATE	27 315	6.0	2 994	0.7	244 990	53.8	13 571	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	90 384	5.0	23 663	1.3	249 133	13.7	23 915	1.3
COUNTIES	28 656	7.2	9 919	2.5	93 132	23.4	4 581	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	61 728	4.3	13 744	1.0	156 001	11.0	19 333	1.4
NORTH CAROLINA	8 469	2.7	4 840	1.5	100 414	31.9	6 287	2.0
STATE	5 415	3.1	4 825	2.8	89 073	51.7	2 040	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 054	2.1	15	(2)	11 341	7.9	4 246	3.0
COUNTIES	1 299	2.3	15	(2)	11 231	19.5	3 992	6.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 755	2.1	-	-	110	0.1	254	0.3
NORTH DAKOTA	2 353	7.8	200	0.7	5 993	19.9	1 102	3.7
STATE	935	9.7	-	-	4 218	43.8	382	4.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 418	6.9	200	1.0	1 775	8.7	721	3.5
COUNTIES	1 170	12.1	200	2.1	1 772	18.3	649	6.7
MUNICIPALITIES	248	2.3	1	(2)	3	(2)	71	0.7
OHIO	34 484	4.5	5 451	0.7	199 689	25.9	52 508	6.8
STATE	10 675	5.3	72	(2)	128 293	63.8	2 222	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 809	4.2	5 379	0.9	71 396	12.5	50 286	8.8
COUNTIES	12 291	5.6	4 805	2.2	59 364	26.8	49 145	22.2
MUNICIPALITIES	11 518	3.3	574	0.2	12 032	3.4	1 141	0.3
OKLAHOMA	7 508	5.6	918	0.7	31 294	23.2	5 350	4.0
STATE	4 952	8.2	-	-	25 809	43.0	923	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 556	3.4	918	1.2	5 485	7.3	4 427	5.9
COUNTIES	689	3.7	890	4.7	4 253	22.7	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 867	3.3	29	0.1	1 232	2.2	4 427	7.9
OREGON	16 916	8.6	3 335	1.7	50 491	25.7	9 698	4.9
STATE	7 879	11.0	228	0.3	33 746	47.0	1 512	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 037	7.3	3 107	2.5	16 745	13.5	8 188	6.6
COUNTIES	7 066	9.5	2 988	4.0	16 287	21.8	7 135	9.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 971	4.0	118	0.2	458	0.9	1 001	2.0
PENNSYLVANIA	36 360	4.4	8 347	1.0	191 712	23.0	7 737	0.9
STATE	6 813	2.6	-	-	102 443	39.6	4 387	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 547	5.1	8 347	1.5	89 269	15.5	3 350	0.6
COUNTIES	14 050	9.9	5 154	3.6	50 630	35.6	331	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	15 496	3.6	3 192	0.7	38 639	8.9	3 018	0.7
RHODE ISLAND	2 573	4.1	521	0.8	14 117	22.4	1 010	1.6
STATE	1 711	5.2	521	1.6	14 115	42.5	829	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	862	2.9	-	-	2	(2)	180	0.6
COUNTIES	862	2.9	-	-	2	(2)	180	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	4 544	2.9	1 158	0.7	52 108	33.1	5 137	3.2
STATE	2 437	3.3	616	0.8	42 717	57.2	1 935	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 107	2.5	542	0.7	9 391	11.3	3 116	3.8
COUNTIES	1 544	3.1	538	1.1	8 740	17.8	3 075	6.3
MUNICIPALITIES	563	1.7	4	(2)	651	1.9	41	0.1

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total direct expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	566 505	41 200	7.3	20 864	50.6	6 655	16.2
STATE	402 053	18 187	4.5	5 334	29.3	4 412	24.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	164 452	23 013	14.0	15 530	67.5	2 243	9.7
COUNTIES	70 195	13 001	18.5	7 212	55.5	2 124	16.3
MUNICIPALITIES	94 257	10 013	10.6	8 318	83.1	119	1.2
TENNESSEE	3 842 617	227 803	5.9	117 287	51.5	32 359	14.2
STATE	1 775 829	77 770	4.4	17 352	22.3	8 824	11.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 066 788	150 033	7.3	99 935	66.6	23 535	15.7
COUNTIES	969 192	52 123	5.4	15 992	30.7	17 571	33.7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 097 596	97 910	8.9	83 943	85.7	5 964	6.1
TEXAS	7 575 148	713 351	9.4	403 741	56.6	94 695	13.3
STATE	4 669 790	205 072	4.4	85 429	41.7	12 789	6.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 905 358	508 279	17.5	318 312	62.6	81 906	16.1
COUNTIES	955 068	210 070	22.0	48 350	23.0	68 363	32.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 950 290	298 210	15.3	269 962	90.5	13 543	4.5
UTAH	912 335	70 344	7.7	39 536	56.2	8 405	11.9
STATE	661 929	28 351	4.3	11 075	39.1	2 486	8.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	250 406	41 993	16.8	28 461	67.8	5 919	14.1
COUNTIES	126 018	18 696	14.8	8 864	47.4	3 513	18.8
MUNICIPALITIES	124 388	23 297	18.7	19 597	84.1	2 406	10.3
VERMONT	439 990	27 345	6.2	13 522	49.4	3 420	12.5
STATE	363 197	19 401	5.3	6 368	32.8	3 061	15.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	76 793	7 944	10.3	7 154	90.1	359	4.5
COUNTIES	1 586	598	37.7	190	31.8	357	59.6
MUNICIPALITIES	75 207	7 345	9.8	6 964	94.8	2	(2)
VIRGINIA	5 030 854	333 565	6.6	175 463	52.6	36 351	10.9
STATE	2 139 221	151 787	7.1	54 077	35.6	15 547	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 891 633	181 777	6.3	121 386	66.8	20 804	11.4
COUNTIES	1 424 542	74 307	5.2	46 536	62.6	11 057	14.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 467 092	107 471	7.3	74 850	69.6	9 747	9.1
WASHINGTON	2 907 689	262 546	9.0	139 009	52.9	27 935	10.6
STATE	1 904 227	85 606	4.5	27 591	32.2	5 010	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 003 462	176 940	17.6	111 418	63.0	22 925	13.0
COUNTIES	446 227	88 369	19.8	32 593	36.9	19 119	21.6
MUNICIPALITIES	557 235	88 571	15.9	78 825	89.0	3 806	4.3
WEST VIRGINIA	1 356 674	74 895	5.5	38 142	50.9	12 966	17.3
STATE	1 092 727	34 979	3.2	14 710	42.1	6 892	19.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	263 947	39 916	15.1	23 432	58.7	6 074	15.2
COUNTIES	97 748	20 624	21.1	4 915	23.8	5 780	28.0
MUNICIPALITIES	166 198	19 292	11.6	18 517	96.0	294	1.5
WISCONSIN	4 306 742	300 033	7.0	175 372	58.5	33 185	11.1
STATE	1 908 497	89 940	4.7	22 632	25.2	8 807	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 398 245	210 093	8.8	152 740	72.7	24 378	11.6
COUNTIES	1 022 685	85 942	8.4	34 473	40.1	23 278	27.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 375 560	124 151	9.0	118 267	95.3	1 100	0.9
WYOMING	433 062	25 399	5.9	13 849	54.5	3 170	12.5
STATE	306 808	11 200	3.7	4 965	44.3	1 127	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	126 254	14 200	11.2	8 884	62.6	2 043	14.4
COUNTIES	69 737	7 459	10.7	3 121	41.8	1 804	24.2
MUNICIPALITIES	56 516	6 741	11.9	5 763	85.5	239	3.5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.¹ Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.² The relation of criminal justice direct expenditure to total direct expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for independent school districts or special districts.

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	3 345	8.1	558	1.4	6 735	16.3	3 043	7.4
STATE	1 696	9.3	-	-	5 694	31.3	1 051	5.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 649	7.2	558	2.4	1 041	4.5	1 993	8.7
COUNTIES	1 335	10.3	558	4.3	1 003	7.7	769	5.9
MUNICIPALITIES	313	3.1	-	-	39	0.4	1 223	12.2
TENNESSEE	8 551	3.8	2 246	1.0	63 967	28.1	3 393	1.5
STATE	5 110	6.6	1 353	1.7	43 925	56.5	1 206	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 441	2.3	893	0.6	20 042	13.4	2 187	1.5
COUNTIES	1 400	2.7	613	1.2	14 627	28.1	1 921	3.7
MUNICIPALITIES	2 042	2.1	281	0.3	5 416	5.5	265	0.3
TEXAS	41 727	5.6	5 223	0.7	128 967	18.1	38 998	5.5
STATE	10 257	5.0	-	-	74 601	36.4	21 996	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	31 470	6.2	5 223	1.0	54 366	10.7	17 002	3.3
COUNTIES	23 088	11.0	5 192	2.5	50 075	23.8	15 001	7.1
MUNICIPALITIES	8 382	2.8	32	(2)	4 291	1.4	2 001	0.7
UTAH	4 107	5.8	530	0.8	16 503	23.5	1 264	1.8
STATE	954	3.4	-	-	13 172	46.5	664	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 153	7.5	530	1.3	3 331	7.9	601	1.4
COUNTIES	2 420	12.9	514	2.7	3 253	17.4	133	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	733	3.1	16	0.1	77	0.3	468	2.0
VERMONT	1 687	6.2	879	3.2	7 422	27.1	415	1.5
STATE	1 292	6.7	879	4.5	7 386	38.1	415	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	395	5.0	-	-	36	0.5	-	-
COUNTIES	15	2.6	-	-	36	6.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	380	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	12 601	3.8	4 822	1.4	100 922	30.3	3 406	1.0
STATE	3 922	2.6	4 529	3.0	71 764	47.3	1 948	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 679	4.8	293	0.2	29 158	16.0	1 458	0.8
COUNTIES	4 154	5.6	143	0.2	11 924	16.0	493	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	4 525	4.2	150	0.1	17 234	16.0	965	0.9
WASHINGTON	15 651	6.0	4 411	1.7	70 208	26.7	5 332	2.0
STATE	4 933	5.8	200	0.2	46 349	54.1	1 523	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 718	6.1	4 211	2.4	23 859	13.5	3 809	2.2
COUNTIES	7 202	8.1	3 644	4.1	22 369	25.3	3 442	3.9
MUNICIPALITIES	3 516	4.0	567	0.6	1 490	1.7	367	0.4
WEST VIRGINIA	3 535	4.7	27	(2)	19 381	25.9	844	1.1
STATE	978	2.8	-	-	11 726	33.5	673	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 557	6.4	27	0.1	7 655	19.2	171	0.4
COUNTIES	2 100	10.2	27	0.1	7 631	37.0	170	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	457	2.4	-	-	24	0.1	1	(2)
WISCONSIN	15 862	5.3	3 901	1.3	65 854	21.9	5 859	2.0
STATE	3 507	3.9	560	0.6	49 101	54.6	5 333	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 355	5.9	3 341	1.6	16 753	8.0	527	0.3
COUNTIES	7 591	8.8	3 341	3.9	16 752	19.5	507	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	4 764	3.8	-	-	1	(2)	19	(2)
WYOMING	1 729	6.8	294	1.2	5 331	21.0	1 026	4.0
STATE	537	4.8	-	-	4 352	38.9	219	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 192	8.4	294	2.1	979	6.9	807	5.7
COUNTIES	945	12.7	294	3.9	764	10.2	531	7.1
MUNICIPALITIES	247	3.7	-	-	215	3.2	277	4.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.¹ Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.² The relation of criminal justice direct expenditure to total direct expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for independent school districts or special districts.

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	17 231 180	17 231 180	16 114 463	1 116 717	916 279	41 354	874 925
STATES	5 986 650	5 204 226	4 825 869	378 357	782 424	(X)	782 424
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 068 308	12 026 954	11 288 593	738 360	133 855	41 354	92 501
COUNTIES	4 652 041	4 595 067	4 158 919	436 148	56 974	29 149	27 825
MUNICIPALITIES	7 508 768	7 431 887	7 129 675	302 212	76 881	12 205	64 676
ALABAMA	174 113	174 113	162 831	11 282	10 933	83	10 850
STATE	74 681	64 691	60 156	4 535	9 990	(X)	9 990
LOCAL, TOTAL	109 505	109 422	102 675	6 747	943	83	860
COUNTIES	42 117	42 033	39 380	2 653	84	16	68
MUNICIPALITIES	68 249	67 389	63 295	4 094	860	67	793
ALASKA	77 047	77 047	74 935	2 111	1 960	234	1 726
STATE	60 642	58 916	57 261	1 655	1 726	(X)	1 726
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 365	18 131	17 675	456	234	234	-
BOROUGH	425	425	425	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	17 941	17 706	17 250	456	234	234	-
ARIZONA	243 612	243 612	208 316	35 297	5 902	304	5 598
STATE	67 857	62 803	56 427	6 376	5 054	(X)	5 054
LOCAL, TOTAL	181 113	180 809	151 889	28 921	848	304	544
COUNTIES	92 834	92 482	68 987	23 495	352	304	48
MUNICIPALITIES	88 823	88 328	82 902	5 426	496	-	496
ARKANSAS	82 272	82 272	70 011	12 261	4 970	223	4 747
STATE	40 378	36 046	27 676	8 370	4 332	(X)	4 332
LOCAL, TOTAL	46 449	46 226	42 335	3 891	638	223	415
COUNTIES	20 784	20 537	18 287	2 249	248	156	92
MUNICIPALITIES	26 080	25 689	24 047	1 642	391	67	323
CALIFORNIA	2 521 452	2 521 452	2 400 808	120 643	101 508	1 605	99 902
STATE	674 299	599 424	576 326	23 098	74 875	(X)	74 875
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 923 633	1 922 028	1 824 482	97 545	26 633	1 605	25 027
COUNTIES	1 132 149	1 128 586	1 056 999	71 587	3 563	1 599	1 964
MUNICIPALITIES	816 511	793 442	767 483	25 958	23 069	6	23 063
COLORADO	213 224	213 224	200 780	12 444	10 175	3	10 171
STATE	86 414	76 814	74 289	2 525	9 600	(X)	9 600
LOCAL, TOTAL	136 413	136 409	126 490	9 919	575	3	571
COUNTIES	32 284	32 174	28 223	3 951	110	3	107
MUNICIPALITIES	104 700	104 235	98 267	5 968	465	-	465
CONNECTICUT	209 085	209 085	195 393	13 692	4 178	749	3 429
STATE	104 783	101 639	92 167	9 472	3 144	(X)	3 144
LOCAL, TOTAL	108 196	107 447	103 227	4 220	1 034	749	285
MUNICIPALITIES	108 481	107 447	103 227	4 220	1 034	749	285
DELAWARE	52 315	52 315	50 077	2 238	1 902	-	1 902
STATE	37 483	35 635	33 951	1 684	1 848	(X)	1 848
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 680	16 680	16 126	554	54	-	54
COUNTIES	6 195	6 195	5 878	317	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	10 539	10 485	10 248	237	54	-	54
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	202 999	202 999	182 171	20 828	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	202 999	202 999	182 171	20 828	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	202 999	202 999	182 171	20 828	-	-	-
FLORIDA	765 012	765 012	671 199	93 813	17 223	358	16 865
STATE	312 847	296 437	245 099	51 338	16 410	(X)	16 410
LOCAL, TOTAL	468 934	468 576	426 101	42 475	813	358	455
COUNTIES	228 264	227 934	205 375	22 559	330	133	196
MUNICIPALITIES	241 125	240 642	220 726	19 916	484	225	259
GEORGIA	313 433	313 433	292 927	20 506	16 844	126	16 718
STATE	122 805	107 850	98 758	9 092	14 955	(X)	14 955
LOCAL, TOTAL	205 709	205 583	194 169	11 414	1 889	126	1 763
COUNTIES	112 246	110 747	103 816	6 931	1 499	117	1 382
MUNICIPALITIES	95 226	94 836	90 353	4 483	390	9	381
HAWAII	77 550	77 550	73 421	4 129	2 019	35	1 984
STATE	35 102	33 118	30 205	2 913	1 984	(X)	1 984
LOCAL, TOTAL	44 467	44 432	43 216	1 216	35	35	-
COUNTIES	11 516	11 516	11 235	281	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	32 951	32 916	31 981	935	35	35	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
IDAHO	47 808	47 808	43 313	4 495	1 568	15	1 554
STATE	21 619	20 473	18 789	1 684	1 146	(X)	1 146
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 350	27 335	24 524	2 811	422	15	408
COUNTIES	14 918	14 897	12 544	2 353	22	14	7
MUNICIPALITIES	12 839	12 438	11 980	458	400	-	400
ILLINOIS	984 017	984 017	885 184	98 833	38 489	101	38 387
STATE	306 721	274 923	224 488	50 435	31 798	(X)	31 798
LOCAL, TOTAL	709 195	709 094	660 696	48 398	6 691	101	6 589
COUNTIES	226 999	221 026	189 510	31 515	5 973	80	5 893
MUNICIPALITIES	488 786	488 069	471 186	16 883	718	21	696
INDIANA	268 487	268 487	247 362	21 125	18 311	1 899	16 412
STATE	110 950	95 147	85 733	9 414	15 803	(X)	15 803
LOCAL, TOTAL	175 239	173 340	161 629	11 711	2 508	1 899	609
COUNTIES	63 649	61 617	54 582	7 034	2 033	1 470	562
MUNICIPALITIES	112 199	111 723	107 047	4 676	476	429	47
IOWA	147 201	147 201	141 974	5 227	8 282	141	8 142
STATE	62 023	55 553	53 213	2 340	6 470	(X)	6 470
LOCAL, TOTAL	91 789	91 648	88 761	2 887	1 812	141	1 672
COUNTIES	44 917	44 009	42 836	1 174	907	111	796
MUNICIPALITIES	48 544	47 639	45 925	1 714	905	29	876
KANSAS	143 015	143 015	130 382	12 633	5 272	80	5 193
STATE	66 920	62 444	55 031	7 413	4 476	(X)	4 476
LOCAL, TOTAL	80 651	80 572	75 351	5 220	796	80	717
COUNTIES	34 564	34 305	32 184	2 120	260	77	182
MUNICIPALITIES	46 804	46 267	43 167	3 100	537	2	534
KENTUCKY	172 351	172 351	159 270	13 081	16 778	242	16 536
STATE	83 532	67 973	61 883	6 090	15 559	(X)	15 559
LOCAL, TOTAL	104 619	104 377	97 387	6 991	1 219	242	977
COUNTIES	45 684	44 828	41 112	3 716	856	240	616
MUNICIPALITIES	59 912	59 549	56 275	3 275	363	2	361
LOUISIANA	280 134	280 134	262 535	17 599	16 364	182	16 182
STATE	115 386	99 557	96 247	3 310	15 829	(X)	15 829
LOCAL, TOTAL	180 759	180 577	166 287	14 289	535	182	353
PARISHES	79 366	79 105	71 780	7 324	261	128	133
MUNICIPALITIES	101 746	101 472	94 507	6 965	274	54	220
MAINE	50 649	50 649	47 425	3 224	2 820	34	2 786
STATE	27 548	24 893	23 430	1 463	2 655	(X)	2 655
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 790	25 756	23 995	1 761	165	34	131
COUNTIES	7 943	7 908	6 888	1 020	35	10	25
MUNICIPALITIES	17 977	17 848	17 107	741	130	24	106
MARYLAND	392 002	392 002	373 939	18 063	62 384	123	62 261
STATE	236 843	174 962	165 048	9 914	61 881	(X)	61 881
LOCAL, TOTAL	217 163	217 040	208 891	8 149	503	123	380
COUNTIES	112 814	112 426	105 713	6 713	388	123	265
MUNICIPALITIES	104 729	104 614	103 178	1 436	115	-	115
MASSACHUSETTS	506 655	506 655	482 328	24 326	17 558	98	17 460
STATE	140 928	124 368	121 087	3 281	16 560	(X)	16 560
LOCAL, TOTAL	382 385	382 287	361 241	21 045	998	98	900
COUNTIES	73 516	73 480	65 313	8 167	36	6	30
MUNICIPALITIES	309 769	308 807	295 929	12 878	962	92	870
MICHIGAN	770 070	770 070	729 681	40 389	43 838	3 425	40 413
STATE	217 430	188 930	171 537	17 393	28 500	(X)	28 500
LOCAL, TOTAL	584 565	581 140	558 144	22 996	15 338	3 425	11 913
COUNTIES	238 542	229 506	218 944	10 561	9 036	3 016	6 020
MUNICIPALITIES	357 936	351 635	339 200	12 435	6 302	409	5 893
MINNESOTA	242 931	242 931	226 112	16 820	20 011	251	19 760
STATE	81 311	64 207	61 840	2 367	17 104	(X)	17 104
LOCAL, TOTAL	178 976	178 725	164 272	14 453	2 907	251	2 656
COUNTIES	90 949	89 189	79 969	9 220	1 760	121	1 639
MUNICIPALITIES	90 683	89 535	84 303	5 232	1 148	130	1 017
MISSISSIPPI	103 008	103 008	87 897	15 111	2 715	20	2 695
STATE	48 262	45 916	37 474	8 442	2 346	(X)	2 346
LOCAL, TOTAL	57 112	57 092	50 423	6 669	369	20	349
COUNTIES	26 036	25 952	21 767	4 185	83	7	76
MUNICIPALITIES	31 425	31 139	28 656	2 483	286	13	272

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MISSOURI	295 645	295 645	279 122	16 523	18 668	250	18 418
STATE	96 611	78 948	73 585	5 363	17 663	(X)	17 663
LOCAL, TOTAL	216 947	216 696	205 537	11 160	1 005	250	755
COUNTIES	62 558	62 213	57 592	4 621	344	234	111
MUNICIPALITIES	155 144	154 483	147 945	6 538	661	17	644
MONTANA	47 984	47 984	41 766	6 219	1 657	84	1 573
STATE	21 845	20 674	16 129	4 545	1 171	(X)	1 171
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 394	27 310	25 636	1 674	486	84	402
COUNTIES	16 063	15 942	15 062	880	120	78	42
MUNICIPALITIES	11 734	11 368	10 574	794	366	6	360
NEBRASKA	88 280	88 280	84 410	3 869	4 862	27	4 835
STATE	38 282	33 883	32 507	1 376	4 399	(X)	4 399
LOCAL, TOTAL	54 424	54 397	51 904	2 493	463	27	436
COUNTIES	23 795	23 552	21 795	1 756	243	-	243
MUNICIPALITIES	31 065	30 845	30 108	737	220	27	193
NEVADA	83 562	83 562	77 341	6 221	16 110	35	16 075
STATE	27 672	23 475	21 261	2 214	4 197	(X)	4 197
LOCAL, TOTAL	60 122	60 087	56 080	4 007	11 913	35	11 878
COUNTIES	44 815	44 778	42 286	2 492	37	35	2
MUNICIPALITIES	27 185	15 308	13 794	1 514	11 876	-	11 876
NEW HAMPSHIRE	42 644	42 644	40 416	2 228	1 386	346	1 039
STATE	16 959	15 980	14 601	1 379	979	(X)	979
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 011	26 664	25 815	849	407	346	60
COUNTIES	7 112	6 794	6 550	245	289	29	29
MUNICIPALITIES	19 959	19 870	19 265	605	89	57	32
NEW JERSEY	704 754	704 754	681 765	22 989	22 862	347	22 515
STATE	184 467	162 175	157 233	4 942	22 292	(X)	22 292
LOCAL, TOTAL	542 926	542 579	524 532	18 047	570	347	223
COUNTIES	179 544	179 482	165 686	13 796	63	24	39
MUNICIPALITIES	363 604	363 097	358 846	4 251	507	323	184
NEW MEXICO	76 584	76 584	72 608	3 975	2 445	2	2 443
STATE	39 034	37 386	36 177	1 209	1 648	(X)	1 648
LOCAL, TOTAL	39 200	39 198	36 431	2 766	797	2	795
COUNTIES	10 427	9 752	8 225	1 527	675	2	672
MUNICIPALITIES	29 568	29 445	28 206	1 239	122	-	122
NEW YORK	2 271 990	2 271 990	2 210 266	61 724	137 593	10 434	127 159
STATE	581 699	455 314	432 469	22 845	126 385	(X)	126 385
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 827 111	1 816 677	1 777 798	38 879	11 208	10 434	774
COUNTIES	405 010	397 306	380 504	16 801	7 705	7 303	402
MUNICIPALITIES	1 422 874	1 419 371	1 397 293	22 078	3 503	3 131	372
NORTH CAROLINA	315 251	315 251	287 823	27 428	15 833	29	15 804
STATE	186 088	172 250	159 411	12 839	13 838	(X)	13 838
LOCAL, TOTAL	143 029	143 001	128 412	14 589	1 995	29	1 966
COUNTIES	58 791	57 480	47 075	10 405	1 311	7	1 304
MUNICIPALITIES	86 204	85 520	81 337	4 184	684	21	662
NORTH DAKOTA	30 046	30 046	26 651	3 395	1 990	3	1 986
STATE	11 420	9 638	9 186	452	1 782	(X)	1 782
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 412	20 408	17 465	2 943	208	3	204
COUNTIES	9 679	9 663	8 096	1 567	16	3	13
MUNICIPALITIES	10 937	10 746	9 369	1 377	192	-	192
OHIO	772 145	772 145	659 758	112 387	36 618	202	36 416
STATE	234 756	200 958	194 240	6 718	33 798	(X)	33 798
LOCAL, TOTAL	571 389	571 187	465 518	105 669	2 820	202	2 618
COUNTIES	222 695	221 279	150 669	70 610	1 415	30	1 385
MUNICIPALITIES	351 312	349 908	314 849	35 059	1 405	172	1 232
OKLAHOMA	134 870	134 870	125 945	8 924	7 830	3 971	3 859
STATE	63 628	60 033	55 545	4 488	3 595	(X)	3 595
LOCAL, TOTAL	78 807	74 836	70 400	4 436	4 235	3 971	264
COUNTIES	22 888	18 752	18 466	287	4 135	3 959	177
MUNICIPALITIES	56 183	56 084	51 934	4 150	99	12	87
OREGON	196 154	196 154	178 157	17 997	10 200	103	10 097
STATE	81 412	71 854	68 856	2 998	9 558	(X)	9 558
LOCAL, TOTAL	124 403	124 300	109 301	14 999	642	103	539
COUNTIES	74 734	60 970	60 970	13 534	230	67	163
MUNICIPALITIES	50 208	49 796	48 332	1 465	412	36	375

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
PENNSYLVANIA	833 630	833 630	810 753	22 878	81 944	6 861	75 083
STATE	331 566	258 826	252 565	6 261	72 740	(X)	72 740
LOCAL, TOTAL	581 665	574 804	558 188	16 617	9 204	6 861	2 343
COUNTIES	146 581	142 356	135 377	6 980	4 225	3 785	440
MUNICIPALITIES	437 427	432 448	422 811	9 637	4 979	3 076	1 903
RHODE ISLAND	63 084	63 084	61 619	1 465	3 006	-	3 006
STATE	36 181	33 175	32 751	424	3 006	(X)	3 006
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 908	29 908	28 868	1 041	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	29 908	29 908	28 868	1 041	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	157 635	157 635	140 253	17 382	6 104	402	5 702
STATE	79 765	74 647	65 397	9 250	5 118	(X)	5 118
LOCAL, TOTAL	83 391	82 989	74 856	8 132	986	402	584
COUNTIES	49 773	49 148	42 835	6 313	625	309	316
MUNICIPALITIES	34 201	33 840	32 021	1 819	361	93	268
SOUTH DAKOTA	41 200	41 200	33 861	7 339	2 465	495	1 971
STATE	19 804	18 187	17 836	351	1 617	(X)	1 617
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 508	23 013	16 025	6 988	848	495	354
COUNTIES	13 446	13 001	7 545	5 456	445	377	68
MUNICIPALITIES	10 416	10 013	8 480	1 533	404	118	286
TENNESSEE	227 803	227 803	204 525	23 279	13 234	414	12 821
STATE	89 057	77 770	64 756	13 014	11 287	(X)	11 287
LOCAL, TOTAL	150 447	150 033	139 769	10 265	1 947	414	1 534
COUNTIES	52 565	52 123	47 372	4 751	442	337	105
MUNICIPALITIES	99 416	97 910	92 397	5 513	1 506	77	1 429
TEXAS	713 351	713 351	662 118	51 233	30 148	1 104	29 044
STATE	232 319	205 072	191 300	13 772	27 247	(X)	27 247
LOCAL, TOTAL	509 383	508 279	470 818	37 461	2 901	1 104	1 797
COUNTIES	211 006	210 070	187 402	22 667	937	765	172
MUNICIPALITIES	300 174	298 210	283 416	14 794	1 964	339	1 625
UTAH	70 344	70 344	65 367	4 977	4 497	3	4 494
STATE	32 672	28 351	26 140	2 211	4 321	(X)	4 321
LOCAL, TOTAL	41 996	41 993	39 227	2 766	176	3	173
COUNTIES	18 753	18 696	17 746	950	56	3	53
MUNICIPALITIES	23 416	23 297	21 480	1 816	120	-	120
VERMONT	27 345	27 345	25 762	1 583	631	3	628
STATE	19 963	19 401	18 017	1 384	562	(X)	562
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 947	7 944	7 744	199	69	3	66
COUNTIES	601	598	542	56	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7 411	7 345	7 202	143	66	1	66
VIRGINIA	333 565	333 565	312 556	21 009	30 386	3 880	26 507
STATE	176 728	151 787	145 739	6 048	24 941	(X)	24 941
LOCAL, TOTAL	185 657	181 777	166 816	14 961	5 445	3 880	1 566
COUNTIES	76 910	74 307	68 031	6 276	2 603	1 868	735
MUNICIPALITIES	110 312	107 471	98 786	8 685	2 842	2 011	831
WASHINGTON	262 546	262 546	250 344	12 202	17 229	50	17 180
STATE	99 172	85 606	81 896	3 710	13 566	(X)	13 566
LOCAL, TOTAL	176 990	176 940	168 448	8 492	3 663	50	3 614
COUNTIES	88 748	88 369	81 700	6 669	379	9	370
MUNICIPALITIES	91 855	88 571	86 748	1 823	3 284	41	3 243
WEST VIRGINIA	74 895	74 895	67 135	7 759	2 893	29	2 865
STATE	37 328	34 979	32 297	2 682	2 349	(X)	2 349
LOCAL, TOTAL	39 945	39 916	34 839	5 077	544	29	516
COUNTIES	20 999	20 624	16 205	4 419	376	28	348
MUNICIPALITIES	19 461	19 292	18 634	658	169	-	168
WISCONSIN	300 833	300 033	290 086	9 947	12 197	1 940	10 256
STATE	98 870	89 940	86 912	3 028	8 930	(X)	8 930
LOCAL, TOTAL	212 034	210 093	203 174	6 919	3 267	1 940	1 326
COUNTIES	88 366	85 942	82 661	3 281	2 423	1 895	528
MUNICIPALITIES	124 994	124 151	120 513	3 637	843	45	798
WYOMING	25 399	25 399	23 786	1 613	1 486	8	1 478
STATE	12 590	11 200	10 950	250	1 390	(X)	1 390
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 208	14 200	12 837	1 363	96	8	88
COUNTIES	7 470	7 459	6 780	679	11	5	7
MUNICIPALITIES	6 825	6 741	6 057	684	85	3	81

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	6 375 113	1 047 491	950 767	980 379	15.4	598 423	545 925	556 926	56.8	143 533	120 296	129 958	13.3
2	STATES	2 784 505	283 049	267 685	272 488	9.8	97 887	89 175	90 884	33.4	28 535	25 629	27 516	10.1
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	3 590 608	764 442	683 082	707 891	19.7	500 536	456 750	466 042	65.8	114 998	94 667	102 442	14.5
4	COUNTIES	1 440 907	291 859	260 802	272 541	18.9	97 230	89 803	91 817	33.7	83 095	71 141	75 941	27.9
5	MUNICIPALITIES	2 149 701	472 583	422 280	435 350	20.3	403 306	366 947	374 225	86.0	31 903	23 526	26 501	6.1
6	ALABAMA	95 886	13 232	11 562	12 396	12.9	7 818	7 334	7 488	60.4	2 294	1 493	1 932	15.6
7	STATE	53 640	3 659	3 274	3 582	6.7	1 547	1 487	1 503	42.0	371	123	363	10.1
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	42 246	9 573	8 288	8 814	20.9	6 271	5 847	5 985	67.9	1 923	1 370	1 569	17.8
9	COUNTIES	15 563	3 899	3 333	3 598	23.1	1 256	1 178	1 199	33.3	1 578	1 270	1 412	39.2
10	MUNICIPALITIES	26 683	5 674	4 955	5 216	19.5	5 015	4 669	4 786	91.8	345	100	157	3.0
11	ALASKA	25 526	2 733	2 479	2 541	10.0	1 283	1 180	1 206	47.5	618	511	538	21.2
12	STATE	14 031	2 086	1 862	1 911	13.6	721	640	658	34.4	613	511	534	27.9
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	11 495	647	617	630	5.5	562	540	548	87.0	5	1	4	0.6
14	BOROUGH	2 855	12	12	12	0.4	4	4	4	33.3	5	1	4	0.6
15	MUNICIPALITIES	8 640	635	605	618	7.2	558	536	544	88.0	5	1	4	0.6
16	ARIZONA	65 952	12 073	11 764	11 905	18.1	6 813	6 707	6 730	56.5	1 638	1 505	1 596	13.4
17	STATE	34 289	3 059	2 956	3 026	8.8	1 527	1 495	1 501	49.6	1 471	1 400	1 464	5.4
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	31 663	9 014	8 808	8 879	28.0	5 286	5 212	5 229	58.9	1 467	1 405	1 432	16.1
19	COUNTIES	14 081	4 491	4 364	4 410	31.3	1 222	1 182	1 192	27.0	1 252	1 215	1 232	27.9
20	MUNICIPALITIES	17 582	4 523	4 444	4 469	25.4	4 064	4 030	4 037	90.3	215	190	200	4.5
21	ARKANSAS	53 101	6 924	5 729	6 172	11.6	4 033	3 643	3 796	61.5	1 091	532	718	11.6
22	STATE	32 473	1 877	1 846	1 852	5.7	828	808	812	43.8	132	131	131	7.1
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	20 628	5 047	3 883	4 320	20.9	3 205	2 835	2 984	69.1	959	401	587	13.6
24	COUNTIES	11 008	2 149	1 551	1 758	16.0	812	675	707	40.2	676	341	454	25.8
25	MUNICIPALITIES	9 620	2 898	2 332	2 562	26.6	2 393	2 160	2 277	88.9	283	60	133	5.2
26	CALIFORNIA	578 467	124 880	117 216	119 910	20.7	64 130	60 772	61 520	51.3	14 090	12 545	13 462	11.2
27	STATE	221 726	27 192	25 300	26 251	11.8	12 145	11 639	11 861	45.2	938	510	938	3.6
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	356 741	97 688	91 916	93 659	26.3	51 985	49 133	49 659	53.0	13 152	12 035	12 524	13.4
29	COUNTIES	208 393	56 816	53 500	54 776	26.3	14 339	13 716	13 884	25.3	12 584	11 497	11 977	21.9
30	MUNICIPALITIES	148 348	40 872	38 416	38 883	26.2	37 646	35 417	35 775	92.0	568	538	547	1.4
31	COLORADO	77 563	13 122	12 336	12 558	16.2	7 319	7 070	7 114	56.6	1 911	1 678	1 751	13.9
32	STATE	40 856	4 313	4 205	4 235	10.4	988	951	960	22.7	1 178	1 133	1 146	27.1
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	36 707	8 809	8 131	8 323	22.7	6 331	6 119	6 154	73.9	733	545	605	7.3
34	COUNTIES	14 299	2 346	2 078	2 159	15.1	1 095	1 011	1 031	47.8	167	163	166	7.7
35	MUNICIPALITIES	22 408	6 463	6 053	6 164	27.5	5 236	5 108	5 123	83.1	566	382	439	7.1
36	CONNECTICUT	124 144	14 004	11 651	11 886	9.6	9 562	7 381	7 554	63.6	1 178	1 106	1 115	9.4
37	STATE	40 267	5 548	5 456	5 480	13.6	1 378	1 353	1 360	24.8	1 139	1 103	1 112	20.3
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	83 877	8 456	6 195	6 406	7.6	8 184	6 028	6 194	96.7	39	3	3	(2)
39	MUNICIPALITIES	83 877	8 456	6 195	6 406	7.6	8 184	6 028	6 194	96.7	39	3	3	(2)
40	DELAWARE	19 369	3 314	3 220	3 245	16.8	1 547	1 483	1 493	46.0	723	698	711	21.9
41	STATE	13 217	2 214	2 183	2 187	16.5	873	845	848	29.6	538	536	536	24.5
42	LOCAL, TOTAL	6 152	1 100	1 037	1 058	17.2	874	838	845	79.9	185	162	175	16.5
43	COUNTIES	2 216	388	387	388	17.5	253	253	253	65.2	123	123	123	31.7
44	MUNICIPALITIES	3 936	712	650	670	17.0	621	585	592	88.4	62	39	52	7.8
45	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	44 678	8 890	8 867	8 885	19.9	4 968	4 951	4 968	55.9	776	772	773	8.7
46	STATE	44 678	8 890	8 867	8 885	19.9	4 968	4 951	4 968	55.9	776	772	773	8.7
47	LOCAL, TOTAL	44 678	8 890	8 867	8 885	19.9	4 968	4 951	4 968	55.9	776	772	773	8.7
48	MUNICIPALITIES	44 678	8 890	8 867	8 885	19.9	4 968	4 951	4 968	55.9	776	772	773	8.7
49	FLORIDA	219 854	47 094	45 723	46 204	21.0	24 773	24 173	24 323	52.6	6 023	5 631	5 803	12.6
50	STATE	96 675	16 581	16 237	16 359	16.9	2 995	2 985	2 988	18.3	1 257	1 156	1 185	7.2
51	LOCAL, TOTAL	123 179	30 513	29 486	29 845	24.2	21 778	21 188	21 335	71.5	4 766	4 475	4 618	15.5
52	COUNTIES	59 687	14 538	14 149	14 286	23.9	7 713	7 494	7 563	52.9	4 072	3 945	3 998	28.0
53	MUNICIPALITIES	63 492	15 975	15 337	15 559	24.5	14 065	13 694	13 772	88.5	694	530	620	4.0
54	GEORGIA	127 704	23 272	21 545	22 052	17.3	12 969	12 182	12 359	56.0	3 027	2 487	2 673	12.1
55	STATE	74 129	6 907	6 776	6 816	9.2	2 333	2 257	2 265	33.2	381	341	368	5.4
56	LOCAL, TOTAL	53 875	16 365	14 769	15 236	28.4	10 636	9 925	10 094	66.3	2 646	2 146	2 305	15.1
57	COUNTIES	22 737	8 377	7 478	7 766	34.2	3 529	3 294	3 330	42.9	2 249	1 903	2 035	26.2
58	MUNICIPALITIES	30 838	7 988	7 291	7 470	24.2	7 107	6 631	6 764	90.5	397	243	270	3.6
59	HAWAII	44 155	4 098	4 048	4 059	9.2	2 531	2 499	2 503	61.7	605	588	594	14.6
60	STATE	33 042	1 468	1 451	1 457	4.4	94	94	94	6.5	605	588	594	40.8
61	LOCAL, TOTAL	11 113	2 630	2 597	2 602	23.4	2 437	2 405	2 409	92.6	1	1	1	0.1
62	COUNTIES	2 857	639	618	621	21.7	549	529	531	85.5	1	1	1	0.1
63	MUNICIPALITIES	8 256	1 991	1 979	1 981	24.0	1 888	1 876	1 878	94.8	1	1	1	0.1
64	IDAHO	24 202	3 914	3 088	3 337	13.8	2 163	1 906	1 983	59.4	512	406	438	13.1
65	STATE	14 775	1 348	934	1 041	7.0	336	319	322	30.9	176	162	165	15.9
66	LOCAL, TOTAL	9 427	2 566	2 154	2 296	24.4	1 827	1 587	1 661	72.3	336	244	273	11.9
67	COUNTIES	5 422	1 366	1 143	1 218	22.4	712	617	640	52.8	312	228	255	21.0
68	MUNICIPALITIES	4 005	1 200	1 011	1 089	27.0	1 115	970	1 021	94.3	24	16	18	1.7

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1976

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
56 969	47 652	52 380	5.3	7 404	6 516	7 036	0.7	234 507	223 991	227 576	23.2	6 655	6 387	6 503	0.7	1
13 665	12 984	13 227	4.9	2 912	2 809	2 843	1.0	136 400	133 520	134 420	49.3	3 650	3 568	3 598	1.3	2
43 304	34 668	39 153	5.5	4 492	3 707	4 193	0.6	98 107	90 471	93 156	13.2	3 005	2 819	2 905	0.4	3
27 583	23 709	25 680	9.4	4 232	3 546	3 974	1.5	78 331	71 299	73 796	27.1	1 388	1 304	1 333	0.5	4
15 721	10 959	13 473	3.1	260	161	219	0.1	19 776	19 172	19 360	4.4	1 617	1 515	1 572	0.4	5
650	337	557	4.5	13	2	3	(Z)	2 412	2 351	2 371	19.1	45	45	45	0.4	6
222	145	197	5.5	-	-	-	(Z)	1 482	1 482	1 482	41.4	37	37	37	1.0	7
428	192	360	4.1	13	2	3	(Z)	930	869	889	10.1	8	8	8	0.1	8
280	157	242	6.7	3	2	2	0.1	782	726	743	20.7	-	-	-	-	9
148	35	118	2.3	10	-	1	(Z)	148	143	146	2.8	8	8	8	0.2	10
206	197	199	7.8	50	46	47	1.8	558	527	533	21.0	18	18	18	0.7	11
166	160	161	8.4	50	46	47	2.5	518	487	493	25.8	18	18	18	0.9	12
40	37	38	6.0	-	-	-	-	40	40	40	6.3	-	-	-	-	13
8	8	8	66.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
32	29	30	4.9	-	-	-	-	40	40	40	6.5	-	-	-	-	15
762	724	737	6.2	161	156	159	1.3	2 642	2 619	2 629	22.1	57	53	54	0.5	16
129	129	129	4.3	-	-	-	-	1 200	1 200	1 200	39.7	32	32	32	1.1	17
633	595	608	6.8	161	156	159	1.8	1 442	1 419	1 429	16.1	25	21	22	0.2	18
459	432	441	10.0	156	155	155	3.5	1 393	1 371	1 381	31.3	9	9	9	0.2	19
174	163	167	3.7	5	1	4	0.1	49	48	48	1.1	16	12	13	0.3	20
365	208	274	4.4	47	27	36	0.6	1 318	1 251	1 279	20.7	70	68	69	1.1	21
44	40	41	2.2	-	-	-	-	818	812	813	43.9	55	55	55	3.0	22
321	168	233	5.4	47	27	36	0.8	500	439	466	10.8	15	13	14	0.3	23
193	140	170	9.7	43	24	33	1.9	410	358	380	21.6	15	13	14	0.8	24
128	28	63	2.5	4	3	3	0.1	90	81	86	3.4	-	-	-	-	25
8 917	8 552	8 705	7.3	1 705	1 652	1 677	1.4	35 716	33 428	34 240	28.6	322	267	306	0.3	26
800	766	774	2.9	51	49	50	0.2	13 218	12 299	12 590	48.0	40	37	38	0.1	27
8 117	7 786	7 931	8.5	1 654	1 603	1 627	1.7	22 498	21 129	21 650	29.1	282	230	268	0.3	28
6 626	6 387	6 478	11.8	1 587	1 537	1 561	2.8	21 510	20 210	20 712	37.8	170	153	164	0.3	29
1 491	1 399	1 453	3.7	67	66	66	0.2	988	919	938	2.4	112	77	104	0.3	30
1 114	897	979	7.8	141	136	138	1.1	2 526	2 456	2 474	19.7	111	99	102	0.8	31
142	139	140	3.3	140	136	137	3.2	1 811	1 798	1 802	42.6	54	48	50	1.2	32
972	758	839	10.1	1	-	1	(Z)	715	658	672	8.1	57	51	52	0.6	33
616	490	535	24.8	-	-	-	-	450	397	410	19.0	18	17	17	0.8	34
356	268	304	4.9	1	-	1	(Z)	265	261	262	4.3	39	34	35	0.6	35
481	427	466	6.9	108	108	108	0.9	2 562	2 530	2 538	21.4	113	99	105	0.9	36
330	330	330	3.0	108	108	108	2.0	2 556	2 525	2 533	46.2	37	37	37	0.7	37
151	97	136	2.1	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	0.1	76	62	68	1.1	38
151	97	136	2.1	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	0.1	76	62	68	1.1	39
139	135	136	4.2	32	32	32	1.0	831	830	831	25.6	42	42	42	1.3	40
98	98	98	4.5	32	32	32	1.5	831	830	831	38.0	42	42	42	1.9	41
41	37	38	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
12	11	12	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
29	26	26	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44
172	172	172	1.9	-	-	-	-	2 932	2 932	2 932	33.0	42	40	40	0.5	45
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
172	172	172	1.9	-	-	-	-	2 932	2 932	2 932	33.0	42	40	40	0.5	47
172	172	172	1.9	-	-	-	-	2 932	2 932	2 932	33.0	42	40	40	0.5	48
2 089	1 987	2 040	4.4	738	721	727	1.6	13 181	12 925	13 023	28.2	290	286	288	0.6	49
1 626	1 613	1 616	9.9	687	687	687	4.2	9 945	9 725	9 812	60.0	71	71	71	0.4	50
463	374	424	1.4	51	34	40	0.1	3 236	3 200	3 211	10.8	219	215	217	0.7	51
170	151	162	1.1	33	32	32	0.2	- 390	2 368	2 372	16.6	160	159	159	1.1	52
293	223	262	1.7	18	2	8	0.1	846	832	839	5.4	59	56	58	0.4	53
848	623	709	3.2	84	66	72	0.3	6 258	6 114	6 164	28.0	76	73	75	0.3	54
194	179	184	2.7	77	7	7	0.1	3 949	3 949	3 949	57.9	43	43	43	0.6	55
684	444	525	3.4	77	59	65	0.4	2 319	2 165	2 215	14.5	33	30	32	0.2	56
526	373	439	5.7	72	55	61	0.8	1 989	1 841	1 889	24.3	12	12	12	0.2	57
128	71	86	1.2	5	4	4	0.1	330	324	326	4.4	21	18	20	0.3	58
252	252	252	6.2	51	51	51	1.3	637	636	637	15.7	22	22	22	0.5	59
101	101	101	6.9	51	51	51	3.5	597	597	597	41.0	20	20	20	1.4	60
151	151	151	5.8	-	-	-	-	40	39	40	1.5	2	2	2	0.1	61
48	48	48	7.7	-	-	-	-	40	39	40	6.4	2	2	2	0.3	62
103	103	103	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
289	231	261	7.8	33	25	29	0.9	893	496	602	18.0	24	24	24	0.7	64
60	60	60	5.8	-	-	-	-	753	370	471	45.2	23	23	23	2.2	65
229	171	201	8.8	33	25	29	1.3	140	126	131	5.7	1	1	1	(2)	66
174	152	163	13.4	33	25	29	2.4	134	120	125	10.3	1	1	1	0.1	67
55	19	38	3.5	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	0.6	-	-	-	-	68

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employ-ees	Number of employees			Percent of total crimi-nal justice system employ-ees	Number of employees			Percent of total crimi-nal justice system employ-ees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva-lent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva-lent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva-lent	
1	ILLINOIS	245 572	56 767	51 663	53 536	21.8	36 955	33 865	34 816	65.0	7 251	6 034	6 623	12.4
2	STATE	113 355	11 522	10 828	11 159	9.8	3 617	3 557	3 571	32.0	1 785	1 302	1 562	14.0
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	132 217	45 245	40 835	42 377	32.1	33 338	30 308	31 245	73.7	5 466	4 732	5 061	11.9
4	COUNTIES	40 240	14 760	13 349	13 925	34.6	3 440	3 206	3 264	23.4	5 434	4 702	5 031	36.1
5	MUNICIPALITIES	91 977	30 485	27 486	28 452	30.9	29 898	27 102	27 981	98.3	32	30	30	0.1
6	INDIANA	131 424	19 770	17 197	18 426	14.0	11 436	10 671	10 799	58.6	2 953	2 116	2 649	14.4
7	STATE	61 703	5 072	4 569	4 926	8.0	2 189	2 061	2 077	42.2	387	329	387	7.9
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	69 721	14 698	12 628	13 500	19.4	9 247	8 610	8 722	64.6	2 566	1 987	2 262	16.8
9	COUNTIES	33 387	5 238	4 176	4 751	14.2	1 608	1 409	1 459	30.7	1 641	1 385	1 541	32.4
10	MUNICIPALITIES	36 334	9 460	8 452	8 749	24.1	7 639	7 201	7 263	83.0	925	602	721	8.2
11	IOWA	73 446	10 368	8 714	9 139	12.4	6 005	5 038	5 235	57.3	1 443	1 076	1 160	12.7
12	STATE	39 879	3 131	2 818	2 888	7.2	1 189	1 082	1 098	38.0	373	195	241	8.3
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	33 567	7 237	5 896	6 251	18.6	4 816	3 956	4 137	66.2	1 070	881	919	14.7
14	COUNTIES	18 106	3 330	2 739	2 922	16.1	1 051	892	930	31.8	1 070	881	919	31.5
15	MUNICIPALITIES	15 461	3 907	3 157	3 329	21.5	3 765	3 064	3 207	96.3	-	-	-	-
16	KANSAS	65 500	10 993	9 640	9 963	15.2	5 616	5 017	5 132	51.5	1 763	1 307	1 398	14.0
17	STATE	35 701	3 407	3 306	3 322	9.3	807	719	734	22.1	229	216	217	6.5
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	29 799	7 586	6 334	6 641	22.3	4 809	4 298	4 398	66.2	1 534	1 091	1 181	17.8
19	COUNTIES	13 432	3 364	2 818	2 959	22.0	1 198	991	1 034	34.9	1 177	991	1 035	35.0
20	MUNICIPALITIES	16 367	4 222	3 516	3 682	22.5	3 611	3 307	3 364	91.4	357	100	146	4.0
21	KENTUCKY	83 618	12 819	11 055	11 560	13.8	7 413	6 725	6 864	59.4	2 070	1 401	1 584	13.7
22	STATE	55 091	4 196	4 060	4 079	7.4	2 008	1 926	1 941	47.6	380	380	380	9.3
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	28 527	8 623	6 995	7 481	26.2	5 405	4 799	4 923	65.8	1 690	1 021	1 204	16.1
24	COUNTIES	11 965	3 935	3 067	3 352	28.0	1 461	1 265	1 319	39.3	1 303	843	983	29.3
25	MUNICIPALITIES	16 562	4 688	3 928	4 129	24.9	3 944	3 534	3 604	87.3	387	178	221	5.4
26	LOUISIANA	110 536	25 502	17 461	20 359	18.4	16 282	9 740	11 941	58.7	3 494	2 607	2 959	14.5
27	STATE	67 647	10 274	5 693	6 695	9.9	6 307	1 843	2 808	41.9	420	348	374	5.6
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	42 889	15 228	11 768	13 664	31.9	9 975	7 897	9 133	66.8	3 074	2 259	2 585	18.9
29	PARISHES	16 192	6 646	4 641	5 563	34.4	3 700	2 778	3 235	58.2	1 756	1 114	1 318	23.7
30	MUNICIPALITIES	26 697	8 582	7 127	8 101	30.3	6 275	5 119	5 898	72.8	1 318	1 145	1 267	15.6
31	MAINE	36 716	4 265	3 295	3 444	9.4	2 869	2 063	2 158	62.7	399	328	352	10.2
32	STATE	16 289	1 611	1 568	1 578	9.7	581	561	564	35.7	287	268	274	17.4
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	20 427	2 654	1 727	1 866	9.1	2 288	1 502	1 594	85.4	112	60	78	4.2
34	COUNTIES	815	656	368	430	52.8	306	154	173	40.2	112	60	78	18.1
35	MUNICIPALITIES	19 612	1 998	1 359	1 436	7.3	1 982	1 348	1 421	99.0	-	-	-	-
36	MARYLAND	206 367	21 732	21 402	21 500	10.4	12 263	12 077	12 111	56.3	2 115	2 031	2 061	9.6
37	STATE	68 977	9 201	9 177	9 179	13.3	2 575	2 551	2 553	27.8	1 140	1 140	1 140	12.4
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	137 390	12 531	12 225	12 321	9.0	9 688	9 526	9 558	77.6	975	891	921	7.5
39	COUNTIES	94 373	6 192	5 999	6 072	6.4	4 553	4 498	4 512	71.3	574	490	520	8.6
40	MUNICIPALITIES	43 017	6 339	6 226	6 249	14.5	5 135	5 028	5 046	80.7	401	401	401	6.4
41	MASSACHUSETTS	252 137	29 031	26 827	27 458	10.9	18 331	16 610	16 982	61.8	3 561	3 360	3 459	12.6
42	STATE	68 257	6 983	6 897	6 919	10.1	2 386	2 309	2 330	33.7	639	631	632	9.1
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	183 880	22 048	19 930	20 539	11.2	15 945	14 301	14 652	71.3	2 922	2 729	2 827	13.8
44	COUNTIES	6 782	4 153	3 817	3 956	58.3	46	37	40	1.0	1 956	1 778	1 862	47.1
45	MUNICIPALITIES	177 098	17 895	16 113	16 583	9.4	15 899	14 264	14 612	88.1	966	951	965	5.8
46	MICHIGAN	216 070	40 067	37 104	37 977	17.6	23 425	21 925	22 100	58.2	6 435	5 666	6 118	16.1
47	STATE	108 911	8 903	8 511	8 560	7.9	3 744	3 413	3 448	40.3	658	658	658	7.7
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	107 159	31 164	28 593	29 417	27.5	19 681	18 512	18 652	63.4	5 777	5 008	5 460	18.6
49	COUNTIES	43 540	12 525	11 227	11 744	27.0	3 126	2 855	2 905	24.7	4 499	3 912	4 222	36.0
50	MUNICIPALITIES	63 619	18 639	17 366	17 673	27.8	16 555	15 657	15 747	89.1	1 278	1 096	1 238	7.0
51	MINNESOTA	105 426	14 638	12 667	13 096	12.4	8 598	7 280	7 431	56.7	1 622	1 662	1 717	13.1
52	STATE	51 481	3 085	2 935	2 992	5.8	1 109	1 080	1 090	36.4	144	144	144	4.8
53	LOCAL, TOTAL	53 945	11 553	9 732	10 104	18.7	7 489	6 200	6 341	62.8	1 678	1 518	1 573	15.6
54	COUNTIES	27 925	5 472	4 815	5 033	18.0	1 649	1 458	1 491	29.6	1 678	1 518	1 573	31.3
55	MUNICIPALITIES	26 020	6 081	4 917	5 071	19.5	5 840	4 742	4 850	95.6	-	-	-	-
56	MISSISSIPPI	63 902	7 716	6 367	6 858	10.7	4 800	4 241	4 428	64.6	1 160	674	829	12.1
57	STATE	33 389	2 379	2 308	2 335	7.0	1 102	1 086	1 090	36.7	130	116	117	5.0
58	LOCAL, TOTAL	30 513	5 337	4 059	4 523	14.8	3 698	3 155	3 338	73.8	1 030	558	712	15.7
59	COUNTIES	16 668	2 248	1 498	1 770	10.6	858	707	745	42.1	938	519	652	36.8
60	MUNICIPALITIES	13 845	3 089	2 561	2 753	19.9	2 840	2 448	2 593	94.2	92	39	60	2.2
61	MISSOURI	113 949	22 792	20 132	20 844	18.3	13 555	12 262	12 526	60.1	3 593	2 941	3 114	14.9
62	STATE	62 669	5 131	4 895	4 972	7.9	1 436	1 397	1 403	28.2	955	932	935	18.8
63	LOCAL, TOTAL	51 280	17 661	15 237	15 872	31.0	12 119	10 865	11 123	70.1	2 638	2 010	2 179	13.7
64	COUNTIES	16 005	5 252	4 551	4 816	30.1	1 933	1 777	1 815	37.7	1 635	1 376	1 494	31.0
65	MUNICIPALITIES	35 275	12 409	10 686	11 056	31.3	10 186	9 088	9 308	84.2	1 003	634	685	6.2
66	MONTANA	24 283	3 582	2 919	3 098	12.8	1 909	1 672	1 718	55.5	554	338	386	12.5
67	STATE	15 256	967	947	951	6.2	288	285	286	30.1	55	53	53	5.6
68	LOCAL, TOTAL	9 027	2 615	1 972	2 147	23.8	1 621	1 387	1 432	66.7	499	285	333	15.5
69	COUNTIES	5 676	1 584	1 179	1 311	23.1	750	631	660	50.3	406	261	301	23.0
70	MUNICIPALITIES	3 351	1 031	793	836	24.9	871	756	772	92.3	93	24	32	3.8

See footnotes at end of table.

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
2 780	2 339	2 510	4.7	661	559	626	1.2	8 961	8 710	8 804	16.4	159	156	157	0.3	1
713	596	642	5.8	85	85	85	0.8	5 203	5 169	5 180	46.4	119	119	119	1.1	2
2 067	1 743	1 868	4.4	576	474	541	1.3	3 758	3 541	3 624	8.6	40	37	38	0.1	4
1 543	1 418	1 458	10.5	575	474	540	(3)	3 752	3 535	3 618	26.0	16	14	14	0.1	4
524	325	410	1.4	1	-	1	0.2	6	6	6	(Z)	24	23	24	0.1	5
1 322	699	1 061	5.8	192	68	180	1.0	3 750	3 528	3 621	19.7	117	115	116	0.6	6
327	234	299	6.1	28	27	28	0.6	2 071	2 048	2 065	41.9	70	70	70	1.4	7
995	465	762	5.6	164	41	152	1.1	1 679	1 480	1 556	11.5	47	45	46	0.3	8
592	255	478	10.1	130	41	120	2.5	1 228	1 049	1 115	23.5	39	37	38	0.8	9
403	210	284	3.2	34	-	32	0.4	451	431	441	5.0	8	8	8	0.1	10
562	446	510	5.6	49	40	46	0.5	2 265	2 071	2 145	23.5	44	43	43	0.5	11
124	107	111	3.8	-	-	-	-	1 416	1 405	1 409	48.8	29	29	29	1.0	12
438	339	399	6.4	49	40	46	0.7	849	666	736	11.8	15	14	14	0.2	13
348	288	323	11.1	49	40	46	1.6	805	632	698	23.9	7	6	6	0.2	14
90	51	76	2.3	-	-	-	-	44	34	38	1.1	8	8	8	0.2	15
758	555	632	6.3	27	19	22	0.2	2 745	2 662	2 698	27.1	84	80	81	0.8	16
159	159	159	4.8	16	16	16	0.5	2 176	2 176	2 176	65.5	20	20	20	0.6	17
599	396	473	7.1	11	3	6	0.1	569	486	522	7.9	64	60	61	0.9	18
402	340	358	12.1	8	-	3	0.1	529	450	482	16.3	50	46	47	1.6	19
197	56	115	3.1	3	3	3	0.1	40	36	40	1.1	14	14	14	0.4	20
703	434	567	4.9	74	66	70	0.6	2 427	2 308	2 351	20.3	132	121	124	1.1	21
148	94	98	2.4	47	47	47	1.2	1 513	1 513	1 513	37.1	100	100	100	2.5	22
555	340	469	6.3	27	19	23	0.3	914	795	838	11.2	32	21	24	0.3	23
335	251	297	8.9	27	19	23	0.7	785	676	714	21.3	24	13	16	0.5	24
220	89	172	4.2	-	-	-	-	129	119	124	3.0	8	8	8	0.2	25
1 257	868	1 077	5.3	95	60	74	0.4	4 290	4 102	4 224	20.7	84	84	84	0.4	26
465	420	431	6.4	-	-	-	-	3 021	3 021	3 021	45.1	61	61	61	0.9	27
792	448	646	4.7	95	60	74	0.5	1 269	1 061	1 203	8.8	23	23	23	0.2	28
441	197	344	6.2	39	12	22	0.4	702	532	636	11.4	8	3	8	0.1	29
351	251	302	3.7	56	48	52	0.6	567	549	567	7.0	15	15	15	0.2	30
146	126	139	4.0	-	-	-	-	816	743	760	22.1	35	35	35	1.0	31
100	98	98	6.2	-	-	-	-	611	609	610	38.7	32	32	32	2.0	32
46	28	41	2.2	-	-	-	-	205	134	150	8.0	3	3	3	0.2	33
30	17	26	6.0	-	-	-	-	205	134	150	34.9	3	3	3	0.7	34
16	11	15	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
870	834	861	4.0	268	268	268	1.2	6 045	6 021	6 028	28.0	171	171	171	0.8	36
106	106	106	1.2	265	265	265	2.9	4 960	4 960	4 960	54.0	155	155	155	1.7	37
764	728	755	6.1	3	3	3	(Z)	1 085	1 061	1 068	8.7	16	16	16	0.1	38
467	437	459	7.6	3	3	3	(Z)	581	557	564	9.3	14	14	14	0.2	39
297	291	296	4.7	-	-	-	-	504	504	504	8.1	2	2	2	(Z)	40
1 023	866	979	3.6	198	197	198	0.7	5 792	5 668	5 714	20.8	126	126	126	0.5	41
362	362	362	5.2	150	150	150	2.2	3 363	3 362	3 362	48.6	83	83	83	1.2	42
661	504	617	3.0	48	47	48	0.2	2 429	2 306	2 352	11.5	43	43	43	0.2	43
220	186	199	5.0	48	47	48	1.2	1 883	1 769	1 807	45.7	-	-	-	-	44
441	318	418	2.5	-	-	-	-	546	537	545	3.3	43	43	43	0.3	45
1 758	1 637	1 704	4.5	102	90	93	0.2	8 266	7 709	7 883	20.8	81	77	79	0.2	46
312	312	312	3.6	37	37	37	0.4	4 096	4 035	4 049	47.3	56	56	56	0.7	47
1 446	1 325	1 392	4.7	65	53	56	0.2	4 170	3 674	3 834	12.0	23	21	23	0.1	48
1 127	1 055	1 084	9.2	64	52	55	0.5	3 693	3 339	3 464	29.5	16	14	14	0.1	49
319	270	308	1.7	1	1	1	(Z)	477	335	370	2.1	9	7	9	0.1	50
876	691	805	6.1	163	133	142	1.1	3 049	2 785	2 882	22.0	130	116	119	0.9	51
144	135	138	4.6	10	10	10	0.3	1 583	1 483	1 524	50.9	95	83	86	2.9	52
732	556	667	6.6	153	123	132	1.3	1 466	1 302	1 358	13.4	33	33	33	0.3	53
538	425	491	9.8	153	123	132	2.6	1 441	1 279	1 334	26.5	13	12	12	0.2	54
194	131	176	3.5	-	-	-	-	25	23	24	0.5	22	21	21	0.4	55
333	167	256	3.7	24	14	18	0.3	1 349	1 221	1 277	18.6	50	50	50	0.7	56
103	102	102	4.4	-	-	-	-	994	954	976	41.8	50	50	50	2.1	57
230	65	154	3.4	24	14	18	0.4	355	267	301	6.7	-	-	-	-	58
131	44	110	6.2	19	14	15	0.8	302	214	248	14.0	-	-	-	-	59
99	21	44	1.6	5	-	3	0.1	53	53	53	1.9	-	-	-	-	60
1 099	791	911	4.4	120	97	105	0.5	4 375	3 991	4 138	19.9	50	50	50	0.2	61
94	94	94	1.9	119	96	104	2.1	2 493	2 343	2 402	48.3	34	34	34	0.7	62
1 005	697	817	5.1	1	1	1	(Z)	1 882	1 648	1 736	10.9	16	16	16	0.1	63
505	451	473	9.8	1	1	1	(Z)	1 169	937	1 024	21.3	9	9	9	0.2	64
500	246	344	3.1	-	-	-	-	713	711	712	6.4	7	7	7	0.1	65
287	194	234	7.6	12	9	11	0.4	765	658	700	22.6	55	48	49	1.6	66
30	29	29	3.0	-	-	-	-	543	534	536	56.4	51	46	47	4.9	67
257	165	205	9.5	12	9	11	0.5	222	124	164	7.6	4	2	2	0.1	68
197	157	180	13.7	12	9	11	0.8	216	120	158	12.1	3	1	1	0.1	69
60	8	25	3.0	-	-	-	-	6	4	6	0.7	1	1	1	0.1	70

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial				Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees				
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	NEBRASKA	46 621	6 389	5 471	5 689	12.2	3 550	3 049	3 131	55.0	923	825	848	14.9	
2	STATE	25 773	2 073	1 969	2 001	7.8	655	639	645	32.2	456	433	437	21.8	
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	20 848	4 316	3 502	3 688	17.7	2 895	2 410	2 486	67.4	467	392	411	11.1	
4	COUNTIES	10 933	1 787	1 420	1 538	14.1	630	541	562	36.5	348	279	297	19.3	
5	MUNICIPALITIES	9 915	2 529	2 082	2 150	21.7	2 265	1 869	1 924	89.5	119	113	114	5.3	
6	NEVADA	21 299	4 501	4 306	4 386	20.6	2 370	2 297	2 314	52.8	499	435	474	10.8	
7	STATE	9 410	1 097	1 043	1 066	11.3	327	307	315	29.5	70	64	70	6.6	
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	11 889	3 404	3 263	3 320	27.9	2 043	1 990	1 999	60.2	429	371	404	12.2	
9	COUNTIES	8 231	2 476	2 388	2 422	29.4	1 325	1 306	1 309	54.0	328	283	304	12.6	
10	MUNICIPALITIES	3 658	928	875	898	24.5	718	684	690	76.8	101	88	100	11.1	
11	NEW HAMPSHIRE	25 759	3 956	2 679	2 923	11.3	2 746	1 669	1 843	63.1	445	302	346	11.8	
12	STATE	12 785	900	881	887	6.9	354	338	343	38.7	86	85	85	9.6	
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	12 974	3 056	1 798	2 036	15.7	2 392	1 331	1 500	73.7	359	217	261	12.8	
14	COUNTIES	2 162	545	456	478	22.1	131	109	112	23.4	170	132	135	28.2	
15	MUNICIPALITIES	10 812	2 511	1 342	1 558	14.4	2 261	1 222	1 388	89.1	189	85	126	8.1	
16	NEW JERSEY	223 252	46 543	41 195	42 084	18.9	27 737	23 823	24 051	57.1	5 844	5 101	5 446	12.9	
17	STATE	71 401	9 404	9 061	9 151	12.8	3 431	3 267	3 292	36.0	804	799	799	8.7	
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	151 851	37 139	32 134	32 933	21.7	4 306	20 556	20 759	63.0	5 040	4 302	4 647	14.1	
19	COUNTIES	52 400	12 107	11 658	11 804	22.5	1 562	1 529	1 545	13.1	3 376	3 236	3 274	27.7	
20	MUNICIPALITIES	99 451	25 032	20 476	21 129	22.2	22 744	19 027	19 214	90.9	1 664	1 066	1 373	6.5	
21	NEW MEXICO	39 868	5 414	5 173	5 235	13.1	3 275	3 125	3 154	60.2	655	607	622	11.9	
22	STATE	25 994	2 548	2 441	2 458	9.5	2 228	835	848	34.5	479	479	479	19.5	
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	13 874	2 866	2 732	2 777	20.0	2 347	2 290	2 306	83.0	176	128	143	5.1	
24	COUNTIES	5 085	742	697	708	13.9	568	547	552	78.0	30	21	23	3.2	
25	MUNICIPALITIES	8 789	2 124	2 035	2 069	23.5	1 779	1 743	1 754	84.8	146	107	120	5.8	
26	NEW YORK	660 195	115 259	108 542	110 622	16.8	67 925	65 419	65 870	59.5	14 718	11 974	12 969	11.7	
27	STATE	185 376	24 151	23 328	23 384	12.6	7 088	6 427	6 444	27.6	2 046	2 037	2 039	8.7	
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	474 819	91 108	85 214	87 238	18.4	60 837	58 992	59 426	68.1	12 672	9 937	10 930	12.5	
29	COUNTIES	103 282	21 676	20 396	20 929	20.3	10 032	9 721	9 822	46.9	3 903	3 684	3 747	17.9	
30	MUNICIPALITIES	371 537	69 432	64 818	66 309	17.8	50 805	49 271	49 604	74.8	8 769	6 253	7 183	10.8	
31	NORTH CAROLINA	245 000	22 329	21 504	21 696	8.9	11 741	11 134	11 232	51.8	2 942	2 887	2 903	13.4	
32	STATE	84 300	11 188	11 156	11 159	13.2	2 412	2 380	2 383	21.4	2 307	2 307	2 307	20.7	
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	160 700	11 141	10 348	10 537	6.6	9 329	8 754	8 849	84.0	635	580	596	5.7	
34	COUNTIES	131 726	4 016	3 661	3 770	2.9	2 308	2 150	2 183	57.9	635	580	596	15.8	
35	MUNICIPALITIES	28 974	7 125	6 687	6 767	23.4	7 021	6 604	6 666	98.5	-	-	-	-	
36	NORTH DAKOTA	17 773	2 576	1 815	1 972	11.1	1 346	1 043	1 079	54.7	569	274	335	17.0	
37	STATE	11 398	569	545	551	4.8	171	161	163	29.5	52	52	52	9.4	
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	6 375	2 007	1 270	1 421	22.3	1 175	882	916	64.5	517	222	283	19.9	
39	COUNTIES	3 269	979	615	714	21.8	319	243	253	35.4	373	212	256	35.9	
40	MUNICIPALITIES	3 106	1 028	655	707	22.8	856	639	663	93.8	144	10	27	3.8	
41	OHIO	236 406	47 328	40 926	43 481	18.4	25 711	22 198	23 038	53.0	8 248	6 444	7 583	17.4	
42	STATE	97 585	10 344	9 928	10 218	10.5	2 802	2 705	2 724	26.7	4 455	4 126	397	3.9	
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	138 821	36 984	30 998	33 263	24.0	22 909	19 493	20 314	61.1	7 803	6 318	7 186	21.6	
44	COUNTIES	64 342	13 270	11 319	12 331	19.2	3 224	2 835	2 929	23.8	5 412	4 447	5 106	41.4	
45	MUNICIPALITIES	74 479	23 714	19 679	20 932	28.1	19 685	16 658	17 385	83.1	2 391	1 871	2 080	9.9	
46	OKLAHOMA	81 688	11 624	10 539	10 905	13.3	6 628	6 051	6 253	57.3	1 602	1 238	1 331	12.2	
47	STATE	48 434	4 650	4 582	4 600	9.5	1 632	1 564	1 582	34.4	450	450	450	9.8	
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	33 254	6 974	5 957	6 305	19.0	4 996	4 487	4 671	74.1	1 152	788	881	14.0	
49	COUNTIES	12 169	1 768	1 584	1 669	13.7	543	510	515	30.9	724	623	677	40.6	
50	MUNICIPALITIES	21 085	5 206	4 373	4 636	22.0	4 453	3 977	4 156	89.6	428	165	204	4.4	
51	OREGON	64 154	11 051	10 040	10 315	16.1	5 746	5 339	5 390	52.3	1 505	1 232	1 339	13.0	
52	STATE	39 829	3 738	3 627	3 642	9.1	1 360	1 317	1 323	36.3	257	231	233	6.4	
53	LOCAL, TOTAL	24 325	7 313	6 413	6 673	27.4	4 386	4 022	4 067	60.9	1 248	1 001	1 106	16.6	
54	COUNTIES	13 130	4 094	3 549	3 687	28.1	1 496	1 329	1 350	36.6	1 078	939	984	26.7	
55	MUNICIPALITIES	11 195	3 219	2 864	2 986	26.7	2 890	2 693	2 717	91.0	170	62	122	4.1	
56	PENNSYLVANIA	246 940	53 897	48 559	50 016	20.3	31 298	27 746	28 372	56.7	9 535	8 836	9 131	18.3	
57	STATE	125 322	11 607	11 295	11 351	9.1	5 822	5 544	5 571	49.1	1 137	1 103	1 132	10.0	
58	LOCAL, TOTAL	121 618	42 290	37 264	38 665	31.8	25 476	22 202	22 801	59.0	8 398	7 733	7 999	20.7	
59	COUNTIES	41 859	11 720	10 117	10 831	25.9	1 109	905	998	9.2	5 109	4 444	4 710	43.5	
60	MUNICIPALITIES	79 759	30 570	27 147	27 834	34.9	24 367	21 297	21 803	78.3	3 289	3 289	3 289	11.8	
61	RHODE ISLAND	42 173	4 081	3 851	3 919	9.3	2 523	2 363	2 382	60.8	535	514	524	13.4	
62	STATE	18 653	1 726	1 726	1 726	9.3	295	295	295	17.1	487	487	487	28.2	
63	LOCAL, TOTAL	23 520	2 355	2 125	2 193	9.3	2 228	2 068	2 087	95.2	48	27	37	1.7	
64	MUNICIPALITIES	23 520	2 355	2 125	2 193	9.3	2 228	2 068	2 087	95.2	48	27	37	1.7	
65	SOUTH CAROLINA	78 820	11 934	10 922	11 223	14.2	6 444	6 078	6 156	54.9	1 597	1 145	1 283	11.4	
66	STATE	51 065	4 673	4 627	4 640	9.1	1 585	1 568	1 571	33.9	116	115	116	2.5	
67	LOCAL, TOTAL	27 755	7 261	6 295	6 583	23.7	4 859	4 510	4 585	69.6	1 481	1 030	1 167	17.7	
68	COUNTIES	16 612	3 948	3 362	3 562	21.4	1 796	1 710	1 730	48.6	1 320	956	1 071	30.1	
69	MUNICIPALITIES	11 143	3 313	2 933	3 021	27.1	3 063	2 800	2 855	94.5	161	74	96	3.2	

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	SOUTH DAKOTA	19 195	2 752	2 307	2 414	12.6	1 461	1 169	1 222	50.6	464	446	450	18.6
2	STATE	12 033	1 203	1 186	1 189	9.9	251	234	237	19.9	426	426	426	35.8
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	7 160	1 549	1 121	1 225	17.1	1 210	935	985	80.4	38	20	24	2.0
4	COUNTIES	3 442	671	452	506	14.7	371	272	286	56.5	36	20	23	4.5
5	MUNICIPALITIES	3 718	878	669	719	19.3	839	663	699	97.2	2	-	1	0.1
6	TENNESSEE	187 327	17 102	15 706	16 048	8.6	9 367	8 846	8 943	55.7	2 674	2 153	2 282	14.2
7	STATE	59 598	4 769	4 663	4 687	7.9	1 257	1 177	1 184	25.5	358	348	350	7.5
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	127 729	12 333	11 043	11 361	8.9	8 110	7 669	7 749	68.2	2 316	1 805	1 932	17.0
9	COUNTIES	64 464	4 457	3 763	3 928	6.1	1 508	1 389	1 410	35.9	1 675	1 348	1 418	36.1
10	MUNICIPALITIES	63 265	7 876	7 280	7 433	11.7	6 602	6 280	6 339	85.3	641	457	514	6.9
11	TEXAS	306 714	49 352	45 891	46 971	15.3	28 668	27 559	27 781	59.1	8 083	6 717	7 227	15.4
12	STATE	159 243	10 844	10 567	10 626	6.7	4 965	4 798	4 825	45.4	471	466	467	4.4
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	147 471	38 508	35 324	36 345	28.6	23 703	22 761	22 956	63.2	7 612	6 251	6 760	18.6
14	COUNTIES	52 653	16 524	14 590	15 235	28.9	3 991	3 701	3 778	24.8	6 311	5 327	5 691	37.4
15	MUNICIPALITIES	94 818	21 984	20 734	21 110	22.3	19 712	19 060	19 178	90.8	1 301	924	1 069	5.1
16	UTAH	38 619	5 224	4 287	4 690	12.1	3 147	2 651	2 869	61.2	675	478	575	12.3
17	STATE	27 252	1 787	1 629	1 671	6.1	702	665	672	40.2	133	117	120	7.2
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	11 367	3 437	2 658	3 019	26.6	2 445	1 986	2 197	72.8	542	361	455	15.1
19	COUNTIES	6 084	1 427	1 213	1 295	21.3	748	717	726	56.1	286	223	251	19.4
20	MUNICIPALITIES	5 283	2 010	1 445	1 724	32.6	1 697	1 269	1 471	85.3	256	138	204	11.8
21	VERMONT	13 290	2 132	1 722	1 799	13.6	1 271	943	993	55.2	220	185	197	11.0
22	STATE	10 191	1 253	1 155	1 176	11.5	454	403	410	34.9	171	164	166	14.1
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	3 099	879	567	623	20.3	817	540	583	93.6	49	21	31	5.0
24	COUNTIES	59	140	36	52	88.1	92	11	15	28.8	49	21	31	59.6
25	MUNICIPALITIES	3 040	730	531	571	18.8	725	529	568	99.5	-	-	-	-
26	VIRGINIA	243 063	22 840	21 182	21 761	9.0	11 030	10 504	10 655	49.0	3 054	2 603	2 797	12.9
27	STATE	84 031	10 110	9 421	9 597	11.4	2 435	2 200	2 232	23.3	1 752	1 518	1 624	16.9
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	159 032	12 730	11 761	12 164	7.6	8 595	8 304	8 423	69.2	1 302	1 085	1 173	9.6
29	COUNTIES	83 202	5 106	4 629	4 851	5.8	3 109	2 964	3 030	62.5	784	632	689	14.2
30	MUNICIPALITIES	75 830	7 624	7 132	7 313	9.6	5 486	5 340	5 393	73.7	518	453	484	6.6
31	WASHINGTON	99 009	15 890	14 417	14 744	14.9	8 012	7 588	7 645	51.9	2 202	1 694	1 805	12.2
32	STATE	62 395	4 659	4 560	4 572	7.3	1 479	1 387	1 398	30.6	251	251	251	5.5
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	36 614	11 231	9 857	10 172	27.8	6 533	6 201	6 247	61.4	1 951	1 443	1 554	15.3
34	COUNTIES	16 509	5 860	5 113	5 300	32.1	2 004	1 855	1 882	35.5	1 509	1 247	1 321	24.9
35	MUNICIPALITIES	20 105	5 371	4 744	4 872	24.2	4 529	4 346	4 365	89.6	442	196	233	4.8
36	WEST VIRGINIA	47 311	5 775	5 016	5 243	11.1	3 292	2 866	2 954	56.3	935	743	818	15.6
37	STATE	33 674	2 074	2 067	2 070	6.1	920	915	917	44.3	216	216	216	10.4
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	13 637	3 701	2 949	3 173	23.3	2 372	1 951	2 037	64.2	719	527	602	19.0
39	COUNTIES	5 566	1 728	1 431	1 560	28.0	535	458	479	30.7	631	512	572	36.7
40	MUNICIPALITIES	8 071	1 973	1 518	1 613	20.0	1 837	1 493	1 558	96.6	88	15	30	1.9
41	WISCONSIN	147 671	19 919	17 275	17 973	12.2	12 625	10 953	11 250	62.6	2 215	1 754	1 915	10.7
42	STATE	53 350	4 930	4 632	4 702	8.8	1 430	1 286	1 312	27.9	431	399	412	8.8
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	94 321	14 989	12 643	13 271	14.1	11 195	9 667	9 938	74.9	1 784	1 355	1 503	11.3
44	COUNTIES	35 823	5 752	4 935	5 179	14.5	2 366	2 126	2 164	41.8	1 630	1 318	1 424	27.5
45	MUNICIPALITIES	58 498	9 237	7 708	8 092	13.8	8 829	7 541	7 774	96.1	154	37	79	1.0
46	WYOMING	13 421	2 031	1 771	1 862	13.9	1 144	1 045	1 071	57.5	295	216	245	13.2
47	STATE	7 688	638	604	612	8.0	229	220	223	36.4	53	51	51	8.3
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	5 733	1 393	1 167	1 250	21.8	915	825	848	67.8	242	165	194	15.5
49	COUNTIES	3 681	681	561	601	16.3	300	264	271	45.1	186	142	160	26.6
50	MUNICIPALITIES	2 052	712	606	649	31.6	615	561	577	88.9	56	23	34	5.2

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.¹ Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.² The relation of criminal justice full-time equivalent employees to total full-time equivalent employees is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, locally operated utility systems, or for independent school districts and special districts.

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
320	243	276	11.4	26	7	15	0.6	433	394	403	16.7	48	48	48	2.0	1
144	144	144	12.1	-	-	-	-	334	334	334	28.1	48	48	48	4.0	2
176	99	132	10.8	26	7	15	1.2	99	60	69	5.6	-	-	-	-	3
141	95	115	22.7	26	7	15	3.0	97	58	67	13.2	-	-	-	-	4
35	4	17	2.4	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.3	-	-	-	-	5
674	439	512	3.2	102	96	100	0.6	4 210	4 097	4 136	25.8	75	75	75	0.5	6
304	304	304	6.5	31	29	30	0.6	2 765	2 751	2 755	58.8	54	54	54	1.2	7
370	135	208	1.8	71	67	70	0.6	1 445	1 346	1 381	12.2	21	21	21	0.2	8
188	40	77	2.0	48	44	47	1.2	1 022	926	960	24.4	16	16	16	0.4	9
182	95	131	1.8	23	23	23	0.3	423	420	421	5.7	5	5	5	0.1	10
2 988	2 474	2 670	5.7	25	8	11	(Z)	9 171	8 718	8 865	18.9	417	415	417	0.9	11
479	423	435	4.1	-	-	-	-	4 856	4 807	4 826	45.4	73	73	73	0.7	12
2 509	2 051	2 235	6.1	25	8	11	(Z)	4 315	3 911	4 039	11.1	344	342	344	0.9	13
1 889	1 634	1 709	11.2	25	8	11	0.1	3 972	3 585	3 710	24.4	336	335	336	2.2	14
620	417	526	2.5	-	-	-	-	343	326	329	1.6	8	7	8	(Z)	15
271	215	239	5.1	8	2	4	0.1	1 091	914	975	20.8	32	27	28	0.6	16
90	66	71	4.2	-	-	-	-	830	754	780	46.7	32	27	28	1.7	17
181	149	168	5.6	8	2	4	0.1	261	160	195	6.5	-	-	-	-	18
130	112	122	9.4	7	2	4	0.3	256	159	192	14.8	-	-	-	-	19
51	37	46	2.7	1	-	-	-	5	1	3	0.2	-	-	-	-	20
89	77	82	4.6	46	46	46	2.6	473	440	449	25.0	33	31	32	1.8	21
80	75	77	6.5	46	46	46	3.9	469	436	445	37.8	33	31	32	2.7	22
9	2	5	0.8	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	0.6	-	-	-	-	23
4	-	2	3.8	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	7.7	-	-	-	-	24
5	2	3	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
703	553	663	3.0	20	20	20	0.1	7 869	7 372	7 479	34.4	164	130	147	0.7	26
93	88	89	0.9	19	19	19	0.2	5 705	5 516	5 539	57.7	106	80	94	1.0	27
610	465	574	4.7	1	1	1	(Z)	2 164	1 856	1 940	15.9	58	50	53	0.4	28
322	254	309	6.4	-	-	-	-	847	743	784	16.2	44	36	39	0.8	29
288	211	265	3.6	1	1	1	(Z)	1 317	1 113	1 158	15.8	14	14	14	0.2	30
1 082	834	916	6.2	59	56	58	0.4	4 471	4 183	4 258	28.9	64	62	62	0.4	31
285	255	255	5.6	-	-	-	-	2 638	2 631	2 632	57.6	36	36	36	0.8	32
827	579	661	6.5	59	56	58	0.6	1 833	1 552	1 626	16.0	28	26	26	0.3	33
511	452	466	8.8	59	56	58	1.1	1 749	1 477	1 547	29.2	28	26	26	0.5	34
316	127	195	4.0	-	-	-	-	84	75	79	1.6	-	-	-	-	35
319	260	294	5.6	4	1	2	(Z)	1 184	1 105	1 134	21.6	41	41	41	0.8	36
65	63	64	3.1	-	-	-	-	836	836	836	40.4	37	37	37	1.8	37
254	197	230	7.2	4	1	2	0.1	348	269	298	9.4	4	4	4	0.1	38
209	189	208	13.3	4	1	2	0.1	345	267	295	18.9	4	4	4	0.3	39
45	8	22	1.4	-	-	-	-	3	2	3	0.2	-	-	-	-	40
998	753	920	5.1	29	27	28	0.2	3 995	3 734	3 805	21.2	57	54	55	0.3	41
188	144	152	3.2	23	22	22	0.5	2 804	2 730	2 752	58.5	54	51	52	1.1	42
810	609	768	5.8	6	5	6	(Z)	1 191	1 004	1 053	7.9	3	3	3	(Z)	43
557	480	530	10.2	6	5	6	0.1	1 191	1 004	1 053	20.3	2	2	2	(Z)	44
253	129	238	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	(Z)	45
171	126	153	8.2	21	16	17	0.9	386	356	364	19.5	14	12	12	0.6	46
39	30	32	5.2	-	-	-	-	303	291	294	48.0	14	12	12	2.0	47
132	96	121	9.7	21	16	17	1.4	83	65	70	5.6	-	-	-	-	48
104	87	96	16.0	21	16	17	2.8	70	52	57	9.5	-	-	-	-	49
28	9	25	3.0	-	-	-	-	13	13	13	2.0	-	-	-	-	50

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	6 419 724	1 117 355	17.4	659 370	59.0	140 734	12.6
STATES	2 800 510	311 636	10.8	105 620	33.9	42 786	13.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 539 214	805 719	22.8	553 750	68.7	97 948	12.2
COUNTIES	1 287 597	281 773	21.9	99 632	35.4	70 654	25.1
MUNICIPALITIES	2 251 617	523 946	23.3	454 118	86.7	27 294	5.2
ALABAMA	80 097	10 809	13.5	6 488	60.0	1 737	16.1
STATE	50 029	3 386	6.8	1 323	39.1	476	14.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 068	7 423	24.7	5 165	69.6	1 261	17.0
COUNTIES	10 315	2 948	28.6	1 033	35.0	1 135	38.5
MUNICIPALITIES	19 754	4 475	22.7	4 132	92.3	126	2.8
ALASKA	41 792	4 727	11.3	2 394	50.6	906	19.2
STATE	22 276	3 604	16.2	1 405	39.0	903	25.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 516	1 123	5.8	989	88.1	2	0.2
BOROUGHs	4 740	25	0.5	5	19.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	14 777	1 098	7.4	984	89.7	2	0.2
ARIZONA	67 621	13 828	20.4	8 361	60.5	1 561	11.3
STATE	35 776	3 380	9.4	1 711	50.6	255	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	31 844	10 448	32.8	6 649	63.6	1 306	12.5
COUNTIES	12 737	4 631	36.4	1 339	28.9	1 106	23.9
MUNICIPALITIES	19 107	5 817	30.4	5 310	91.3	200	3.4
ARKANSAS	38 412	4 597	12.0	2 756	60.0	628	13.7
STATE	26 478	1 618	6.1	687	42.5	238	14.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 934	2 979	25.0	2 069	69.5	391	13.1
COUNTIES	5 561	1 181	21.2	471	39.9	301	25.5
MUNICIPALITIES	6 373	1 798	28.2	1 598	88.9	90	5.0
CALIFORNIA	747 310	170 924	22.9	91 093	53.3	18 790	11.0
STATE	303 859	36 821	12.1	15 877	42.1	2 667	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	443 451	134 103	30.2	75 216	56.1	16 123	12.0
COUNTIES	248 386	75 410	30.4	21 091	28.0	15 390	20.4
MUNICIPALITIES	195 066	58 693	30.1	54 125	92.2	733	1.2
COLORADO	81 269	14 144	17.4	7 824	55.3	2 031	14.4
STATE	46 936	5 205	11.1	1 112	21.4	1 429	27.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 333	8 939	26.0	6 712	75.1	602	6.7
COUNTIES	11 054	1 971	17.8	905	45.9	148	7.5
MUNICIPALITIES	23 279	6 968	29.9	5 807	83.3	454	6.5
CONNECTICUT	128 733	13 036	10.1	8 615	66.1	1 142	8.8
STATE	39 408	5 510	14.0	1 310	23.8	1 137	20.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	89 324	7 527	8.4	7 305	97.1	4	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	89 324	7 527	8.4	7 305	97.1	4	0.1
DELAWARE	18 446	3 511	19.0	1 688	48.1	738	21.0
STATE	12 805	2 352	18.4	721	30.7	587	25.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 641	1 160	20.6	967	83.4	151	13.0
COUNTIES	1 822	460	25.3	343	74.5	104	22.6
MUNICIPALITIES	3 819	699	18.3	624	89.2	46	6.6
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	60 664	12 869	21.2	7 331	57.0	1 184	9.2
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	60 664	12 869	21.2	7 331	57.0	1 184	9.2
MUNICIPALITIES	60 664	12 869	21.2	7 331	57.0	1 184	9.2
FLORIDA	206 004	46 700	22.7	26 191	56.1	5 707	12.2
STATE	95 725	16 133	16.9	2 991	18.5	2 049	12.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	110 279	30 568	27.7	23 200	75.9	3 658	12.0
COUNTIES	52 236	13 612	24.1	7 847	57.6	3 144	23.1
MUNICIPALITIES	58 043	16 955	29.2	15 353	90.5	514	3.0
GEORGIA	105 781	19 257	18.2	10 768	55.9	2 509	13.0
STATE	65 945	6 590	10.0	2 324	35.3	573	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	39 836	12 667	31.8	8 442	66.6	1 936	15.3
COUNTIES	17 053	6 376	37.4	2 763	43.3	1 696	26.6
MUNICIPALITIES	22 783	6 291	27.6	5 679	90.3	240	3.8
HAWAII	51 841	5 242	10.1	3 186	60.8	752	14.3
STATE	39 790	1 885	4.7	1 08	5.7	752	39.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 051	3 357	27.9	3 079	91.7	-	-
COUNTIES	3 055	818	26.8	697	85.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8 996	2 540	28.2	2 381	93.8	-	-
IDAHO	20 636	2 953	14.3	1 653	56.0	440	14.9
STATE	14 061	1 171	8.3	323	27.6	262	22.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 575	1 782	27.1	1 330	74.6	178	10.0
COUNTIES	3 426	838	24.5	440	52.5	164	19.6
MUNICIPALITIES	3 149	944	30.0	890	94.3	14	1.5

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	64 673	5.8	9 414	0.8	235 952	21.1	7 211	0.6
STATES	17 623	5.7	3 624	1.2	137 928	44.3	4 054	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	47 050	5.8	5 790	0.7	98 024	12.2	3 157	0.4
COUNTIES	30 433	10.8	5 539	2.0	74 270	26.4	1 243	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	16 617	3.2	251	(2)	23 753	4.5	1 914	0.4
ALABAMA	552	5.1	4	(2)	1 973	18.3	54	0.5
STATE	269	7.9	-	-	1 271	37.5	47	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	283	3.8	4	0.1	703	9.5	8	0.1
COUNTIES	200	6.8	2	0.1	578	19.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	83	1.9	2	(2)	124	2.8	8	0.2
ALASKA	432	9.1	99	2.1	862	18.2	34	0.7
STATE	350	9.7	99	2.7	814	22.6	34	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	82	7.3	-	-	49	4.4	-	-
BOROUGHs	20	80.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	62	5.6	-	-	49	4.5	-	-
ARIZONA	977	7.1	233	1.7	2 624	19.0	72	0.5
STATE	164	4.9	-	-	203	35.6	46	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	812	7.8	233	2.2	1 421	13.6	26	0.3
COUNTIES	571	12.3	227	4.9	1 377	29.7	12	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	241	4.1	6	0.1	44	0.8	15	0.3
ARKANSAS	229	5.0	37	0.8	883	19.2	64	1.4
STATE	42	2.6	-	-	597	36.9	54	3.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	186	6.2	37	1.2	286	9.6	10	0.4
COUNTIES	139	11.7	33	2.8	227	19.2	10	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	48	2.6	4	0.2	59	3.3	-	-
CALIFORNIA	13 279	7.8	3 052	1.8	44 356	26.0	354	0.2
STATE	1 320	3.6	72	0.2	16 832	45.7	53	0.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 959	8.9	2 980	2.2	27 524	20.5	301	0.2
COUNTIES	9 485	12.6	2 864	3.8	26 387	35.0	193	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	2 474	4.2	115	0.2	1 137	1.9	109	0.2
COLORADO	1 164	8.2	204	1.4	2 802	19.8	118	0.8
STATE	232	4.5	204	3.9	2 156	41.4	71	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	933	10.4	1	(2)	646	7.2	46	0.5
COUNTIES	557	28.3	-	-	347	17.6	14	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	375	5.4	1	(2)	299	4.3	32	0.5
CONNECTICUT	591	4.5	140	1.1	2 451	18.8	98	0.8
STATE	439	8.0	140	2.5	2 446	44.4	37	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	152	2.0	-	-	5	0.1	61	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	152	2.0	-	-	5	0.1	61	0.8
DELAWARE	158	4.5	37	1.1	844	24.0	47	1.3
STATE	116	4.9	37	1.6	844	35.9	47	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	42	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	13	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	29	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	296	2.3	-	-	4 000	31.1	57	0.4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	296	2.3	-	-	4 000	31.1	57	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	296	2.3	-	-	4 000	31.1	57	0.4
FLORIDA	2 403	5.1	813	1.7	11 274	24.1	312	0.7
STATE	1 817	11.3	771	4.8	8 423	52.2	81	0.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	586	1.9	42	0.1	2 851	9.3	231	0.8
COUNTIES	242	1.8	34	0.3	2 166	15.9	179	1.3
MUNICIPALITIES	344	2.0	8	(2)	685	4.0	51	0.3
GEORGIA	816	4.2	75	0.4	4 999	26.0	91	0.5
STATE	302	4.6	7	0.1	3 324	50.4	58	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	514	4.1	67	0.5	1 675	13.2	32	0.3
COUNTIES	420	6.6	62	1.0	1 424	22.3	11	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	94	1.5	5	0.1	252	4.0	21	0.3
HAWAII	412	7.9	74	1.4	788	15.0	31	0.6
STATE	183	9.7	74	3.9	740	39.3	29	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	229	6.8	-	-	47	1.4	2	0.1
COUNTIES	71	8.7	-	-	47	5.8	2	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	158	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	232	7.9	25	0.9	574	19.4	28	1.0
STATE	72	6.2	-	-	486	41.5	28	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	160	9.0	25	1.4	88	4.9	1	(2)
COUNTIES	124	14.7	25	3.0	85	10.1	1	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	36	3.8	-	-	4	0.4	-	-

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	253 393	63 708	25.1	43 427	68.2	7 279	11.4
STATE	118 822	13 989	11.8	4 357	31.1	3 109	22.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	134 571	49 719	36.9	39 069	78.6	4 170	8.4
COUNTIES	35 872	13 317	37.1	3 224	24.2	4 145	31.1
MUNICIPALITIES	98 699	36 403	36.9	35 845	98.5	25	0.1
INDIANA	107 624	16 616	15.4	9 880	59.5	2 164	13.0
STATE	58 160	5 336	9.2	2 161	40.5	598	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	49 464	11 280	22.8	7 719	68.4	1 566	13.9
COUNTIES	21 387	3 589	16.8	1 186	33.0	1 060	29.5
MUNICIPALITIES	28 077	7 691	27.4	6 533	84.9	506	6.6
IOWA	70 547	9 243	13.1	5 191	56.2	1 285	13.9
STATE	43 179	3 399	7.9	1 284	37.8	517	15.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 368	5 844	21.4	3 907	66.9	769	13.2
COUNTIES	13 321	2 606	19.6	806	30.9	769	29.5
MUNICIPALITIES	14 047	3 238	23.1	3 102	95.8	-	-
KANSAS	56 905	8 395	14.8	4 423	52.7	1 144	13.6
STATE	35 552	3 069	8.6	755	24.6	318	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 353	5 327	24.9	3 669	68.9	826	15.5
COUNTIES	8 288	2 099	25.3	719	34.2	711	33.9
MUNICIPALITIES	13 065	3 227	24.7	2 950	91.4	115	3.6
KENTUCKY	68 379	10 868	15.9	6 616	60.9	1 468	13.5
STATE	48 274	4 505	9.3	2 157	47.9	597	13.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 105	6 363	31.6	4 460	70.1	871	13.7
COUNTIES	7 504	2 701	36.0	1 212	44.9	700	25.9
MUNICIPALITIES	12 601	3 662	29.1	3 247	88.7	171	4.7
LOUISIANA	86 231	17 823	20.7	10 566	59.3	2 697	15.1
STATE	56 876	6 272	11.0	2 403	38.3	820	13.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 355	11 551	39.3	8 163	70.7	1 877	16.2
PARISHES	11 122	4 072	36.6	2 418	59.4	958	23.5
MUNICIPALITIES	18 233	7 479	41.0	5 745	76.8	919	12.3
MAINE	30 685	2 994	9.8	1 888	63.1	312	10.4
STATE	14 014	1 416	10.1	492	34.8	265	18.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 671	1 578	9.5	1 395	88.4	47	3.0
COUNTIES	504	287	56.9	1 121	42.1	47	16.5
MUNICIPALITIES	16 167	1 291	8.0	1 275	98.7	-	-
MARYLAND	220 532	26 487	12.0	15 549	58.7	2 634	9.9
STATE	68 400	10 798	15.8	3 015	27.9	1 669	15.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	152 132	15 689	10.3	12 535	79.9	965	6.2
COUNTIES	109 593	7 517	6.9	5 721	76.1	564	7.5
MUNICIPALITIES	42 539	8 171	19.2	6 813	83.4	401	4.9
MASSACHUSETTS	262 713	32 236	12.3	20 793	64.5	3 694	11.5
STATE	65 919	8 212	12.5	2 786	33.9	817	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	196 794	24 024	12.2	18 007	75.0	2 877	12.0
COUNTIES	6 110	3 950	64.6	36	0.9	1 822	46.1
MUNICIPALITIES	190 684	20 074	10.5	17 971	89.5	1 055	5.3
MICHIGAN	252 167	51 603	20.5	32 483	62.9	7 199	13.9
STATE	132 415	11 571	8.7	4 737	40.9	1 322	11.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	119 752	40 032	33.4	27 746	69.3	5 877	14.7
COUNTIES	42 317	13 408	31.7	3 449	25.7	4 491	33.5
MUNICIPALITIES	77 435	26 624	34.4	24 296	91.3	1 386	5.2
MINNESOTA	114 603	15 916	13.9	8 989	56.5	2 087	13.1
STATE	62 013	3 885	6.3	1 377	35.4	304	7.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	52 590	12 031	22.9	7 612	63.3	1 783	14.8
COUNTIES	25 345	5 733	22.6	1 614	28.2	1 783	31.1
MUNICIPALITIES	27 245	6 298	23.1	5 998	95.2	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	45 277	5 319	11.7	3 430	64.5	715	13.4
STATE	27 056	2 094	7.7	1 005	48.0	225	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 221	3 225	17.7	2 425	75.2	490	15.2
COUNTIES	9 446	1 210	12.8	541	44.8	441	36.5
MUNICIPALITIES	8 775	2 015	23.0	1 884	93.5	49	2.4
MISSOURI	100 640	18 945	18.8	11 963	63.2	2 724	14.6
STATE	57 386	4 801	8.4	1 645	34.3	1 087	22.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	43 255	14 144	32.7	10 323	73.0	1 636	11.6
COUNTIES	11 564	3 826	33.1	1 532	40.0	1 085	28.4
MUNICIPALITIES	31 690	10 318	32.6	8 791	85.2	551	5.3
MONTANA	22 821	2 867	12.6	1 551	54.1	351	12.3
STATE	15 644	1 041	6.7	305	29.3	89	8.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 177	1 825	25.4	1 246	68.3	262	14.4
COUNTIES	4 071	1 055	25.9	536	50.7	231	21.9
MUNICIPALITIES	3 105	770	24.8	710	92.3	31	4.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	3 025	4.7	809	1.3	8 959	14.1	209	0.3
STATE	723	5.2	104	0.7	5 525	39.5	171	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 302	4.6	705	1.4	3 434	6.9	38	0.1
COUNTIES	1 797	13.5	705	5.3	3 429	25.7	18	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	506	1.4	-	(2)	5	(2)	21	0.1
INDIANA	974	5.9	150	0.9	3 322	20.0	125	0.8
STATE	363	6.8	29	0.5	2 105	39.4	81	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	611	5.4	122	1.1	1 217	10.8	44	0.4
COUNTIES	351	9.8	99	2.8	856	23.8	38	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	260	3.4	23	0.3	362	4.7	6	0.1
IOWA	556	6.0	46	0.5	2 118	22.9	48	0.5
STATE	148	4.4	-	-	1 416	41.6	35	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	408	7.0	46	0.8	702	12.0	12	0.2
COUNTIES	323	12.4	46	1.8	658	25.3	4	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	84	2.6	-	-	44	1.3	8	0.3
KANSAS	579	6.9	21	0.3	2 155	25.7	73	0.9
STATE	168	5.9	17	0.6	1 791	58.4	20	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	411	7.7	4	0.1	364	6.8	53	1.0
COUNTIES	295	14.1	2	0.1	333	15.9	39	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES	116	3.6	-	-	31	1.0	13	0.4
KENTUCKY	505	4.6	73	0.7	2 076	19.1	130	1.2
STATE	129	2.9	56	1.2	1 457	32.3	110	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	376	5.9	17	0.3	620	9.7	20	0.3
COUNTIES	242	9.0	17	0.6	519	19.2	11	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	134	3.7	-	-	101	2.8	9	0.2
LOUISIANA	990	5.6	65	0.4	3 430	19.2	75	0.4
STATE	487	7.8	-	-	2 514	40.1	48	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	503	4.4	65	0.6	916	7.9	27	0.2
PARISHES	238	5.8	40	0.5	428	10.5	11	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	265	3.5	25	0.6	488	6.5	16	0.2
MAINE	145	4.9	-	-	617	20.6	31	1.1
STATE	113	8.0	-	-	514	36.3	31	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	32	2.0	-	-	102	6.5	1	(2)
COUNTIES	16	5.5	-	-	102	35.7	1	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	16	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	1 141	4.3	357	1.3	6 597	24.9	209	0.8
STATE	147	1.4	355	3.3	5 419	50.2	194	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	994	6.3	2	(2)	1 178	7.5	15	0.1
COUNTIES	593	7.9	2	(2)	624	8.3	13	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	401	4.9	-	-	554	6.8	2	(2)
MASSACHUSETTS	1 125	3.5	209	0.6	6 274	19.5	142	0.4
STATE	496	6.0	162	2.0	3 850	46.9	102	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	629	2.6	47	0.2	2 424	10.1	41	0.2
COUNTIES	194	4.9	47	1.2	1 851	46.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	435	2.2	-	-	572	2.9	41	0.2
MICHIGAN	2 503	4.8	127	0.2	9 180	17.8	111	0.2
STATE	520	4.5	61	0.5	4 848	41.9	83	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 983	5.0	66	0.2	4 332	10.8	29	0.1
COUNTIES	1 508	11.2	65	0.5	3 876	28.9	19	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	475	1.8	1	(2)	457	1.7	9	(2)
MINNESOTA	1 073	6.7	209	1.3	3 415	21.5	141	0.9
STATE	210	5.4	13	0.3	1 877	48.3	104	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	863	7.2	197	1.6	1 538	12.8	37	0.3
COUNTIES	619	10.8	197	3.4	1 506	26.3	14	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	245	3.9	-	-	32	0.5	24	0.4
MISSISSIPPI	246	4.6	15	0.3	865	16.3	48	0.9
STATE	133	6.3	-	-	684	32.6	48	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	113	3.5	15	0.5	181	5.6	-	-
COUNTIES	69	5.7	13	1.1	145	12.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	44	2.2	2	0.1	36	1.8	-	-
MISSOURI	867	4.6	106	0.6	3 229	17.0	51	0.3
STATE	91	1.9	104	2.2	1 837	38.3	36	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	776	5.5	2	(2)	1 392	9.8	15	0.1
COUNTIES	424	11.1	2	(2)	777	20.3	6	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	352	3.4	-	-	615	6.0	9	0.1
MONTANA	214	7.5	10	0.4	683	23.8	56	2.0
STATE	37	3.5	-	-	557	53.5	53	5.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	178	9.7	10	0.6	126	6.9	3	0.2
COUNTIES	155	14.7	10	1.0	121	11.5	2	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	22	2.9	-	-	5	0.6	1	0.1

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	38 227	5 483	14.3	3 016	55.0	868	15.8
STATE	22 239	2 090	9.4	686	32.8	515	24.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 988	3 394	21.2	2 331	68.7	353	10.4
COUNTIES	7 295	1 268	17.4	446	35.2	251	19.8
MUNICIPALITIES	8 693	2 126	24.5	1 884	88.6	102	4.8
NEVADA	24 025	5 357	22.3	2 845	53.1	518	9.7
STATE	11 086	1 400	12.6	377	26.9	133	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 939	3 957	30.6	2 468	62.4	385	9.7
COUNTIES	8 620	2 895	33.6	1 637	56.6	289	10.0
MUNICIPALITIES	4 319	1 063	24.6	830	78.1	97	9.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	22 611	2 741	12.1	1 752	63.9	330	12.1
STATE	11 746	887	7.6	338	38.2	121	13.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 865	1 854	17.1	1 414	76.3	210	11.3
COUNTIES	1 363	383	28.1	86	22.4	113	29.5
MUNICIPALITIES	9 502	1 471	15.5	1 328	90.3	97	6.6
NEW JERSEY	228 857	47 938	20.9	28 846	60.2	5 549	11.6
STATE	79 374	10 939	13.8	3 983	36.4	1 167	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	149 482	36 999	24.8	24 863	67.2	4 382	11.8
COUNTIES	47 383	12 327	26.0	1 716	13.9	3 348	27.2
MUNICIPALITIES	102 099	24 672	24.2	23 147	93.8	1 035	4.2
NEW MEXICO	35 397	4 905	13.9	2 779	56.7	733	15.0
STATE	25 002	2 513	10.1	773	30.8	621	24.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 395	2 391	23.0	2 006	83.9	112	4.7
COUNTIES	3 704	554	14.9	453	81.8	18	3.3
MUNICIPALITIES	6 691	1 838	27.5	1 553	84.5	94	5.1
NEW YORK	763 882	155 092	20.3	97 460	62.8	17 480	11.3
STATE	198 386	30 057	15.2	7 905	26.3	4 090	13.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	565 496	125 035	22.1	89 555	71.6	13 390	10.7
COUNTIES	98 288	25 387	25.8	12 871	50.7	4 454	17.5
MUNICIPALITIES	467 208	99 648	21.3	76 684	77.0	8 936	9.0
NORTH CAROLINA	211 868	19 918	9.4	10 135	50.9	3 006	15.1
STATE	74 355	10 971	14.8	2 469	22.5	2 525	23.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	137 513	8 947	6.5	7 667	85.7	481	5.4
COUNTIES	114 223	2 927	2.6	1 757	60.0	481	16.4
MUNICIPALITIES	23 290	6 020	25.8	5 910	98.2	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	16 513	1 832	11.1	1 042	56.9	309	16.9
STATE	11 537	589	5.1	192	32.5	93	15.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 976	1 243	25.0	850	68.4	216	17.4
COUNTIES	2 295	541	23.6	189	34.9	189	35.0
MUNICIPALITIES	2 681	702	26.2	662	94.2	27	3.9
OHIO	235 726	43 803	18.6	24 914	56.9	6 607	15.1
STATE	107 796	10 883	10.1	3 038	27.9	741	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	127 929	32 920	25.7	21 875	66.5	5 865	17.8
COUNTIES	49 892	10 336	20.7	2 701	26.1	3 988	38.6
MUNICIPALITIES	78 037	22 584	28.9	19 174	84.9	1 877	8.3
OKLAHOMA	64 608	9 198	14.2	5 386	58.6	1 159	12.6
STATE	41 880	4 089	9.8	1 467	35.9	570	13.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 728	5 109	22.5	3 919	76.7	589	11.5
COUNTIES	6 933	1 105	15.9	335	30.3	434	39.2
MUNICIPALITIES	15 795	4 004	25.3	3 584	89.5	156	3.9
OREGON	70 562	11 798	16.7	6 367	54.0	1 465	12.4
STATE	45 779	4 540	9.9	1 650	36.3	492	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 783	7 258	29.3	4 717	65.0	973	13.4
COUNTIES	12 769	3 871	30.3	1 568	40.5	889	23.0
MUNICIPALITIES	12 014	3 387	28.2	3 150	93.0	83	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA	247 127	56 797	23.0	34 028	59.9	9 619	16.9
STATE	136 464	15 290	11.2	7 496	49.0	2 339	15.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	110 663	41 508	37.5	26 532	63.9	7 280	17.5
COUNTIES	30 087	8 807	29.3	902	10.2	3 587	40.7
MUNICIPALITIES	80 575	32 701	40.6	25 630	78.4	3 693	11.3
RHODE ISLAND	43 192	4 292	9.9	2 595	60.5	555	12.9
STATE	18 364	1 959	10.7	344	17.6	530	27.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 828	2 333	9.4	2 251	96.5	25	1.1
COUNTIES	24 828	2 333	9.4	2 251	96.5	25	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	62 890	9 337	14.8	5 093	54.5	1 117	12.0
STATE	44 347	4 180	9.4	1 482	35.5	191	4.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 543	5 156	27.8	3 611	70.0	926	18.0
COUNTIES	11 108	2 763	24.9	1 364	49.3	848	30.7
MUNICIPALITIES	7 434	2 393	32.2	2 247	93.9	78	3.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	423	7.7	63	1.2	1 088	19.8	25	0.4
STATE	66	3.2	-	-	805	38.5	19	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	357	10.5	63	1.9	284	8.4	6	0.2
COUNTIES	253	20.0	63	5.0	254	20.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	104	4.9	-	-	30	1.4	6	0.3
NEVADA	489	9.1	107	2.0	1 374	25.7	24	0.4
STATE	103	7.3	12	0.8	755	53.9	21	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	386	9.8	95	2.4	620	15.7	3	0.1
COUNTIES	306	18.6	95	3.3	564	19.5	3	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	80	7.6	-	-	55	5.2	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	116	4.2	-	-	505	18.4	37	1.4
STATE	61	6.9	-	-	331	37.3	35	4.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	54	2.9	-	-	174	9.4	2	0.1
COUNTIES	32	8.3	-	-	152	39.8	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	23	1.5	-	-	22	1.5	2	0.1
NEW JERSEY	3 539	7.4	938	2.0	8 799	18.4	266	0.6
STATE	803	7.3	931	8.5	3 912	35.8	143	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 736	7.4	7	(Z)	4 888	13.2	123	0.3
COUNTIES	2 347	19.0	3	(Z)	4 870	39.5	43	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	390	1.6	4	(Z)	18	0.1	80	0.3
NEW MEXICO	373	7.6	120	2.4	831	16.9	68	1.4
STATE	290	11.5	119	4.7	642	25.5	68	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	84	3.5	1	(Z)	189	7.9	-	-
COUNTIES	6	1.1	-	-	76	13.8	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	77	4.2	1	(Z)	113	6.1	-	-
NEW YORK	8 735	5.6	202	0.1	29 017	18.7	2 199	1.4
STATE	2 200	7.3	-	-	14 991	49.9	870	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 534	5.2	202	0.2	14 026	11.2	1 328	1.1
COUNTIES	2 191	8.6	202	0.8	5 521	21.7	148	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	4 343	4.4	-	-	8 505	8.5	1 180	1.2
NORTH CAROLINA	607	3.0	71	0.4	5 943	29.8	155	0.8
STATE	457	4.2	71	0.6	5 356	48.8	93	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	150	1.7	-	(Z)	587	6.6	62	0.7
COUNTIES	59	2.0	-	(Z)	584	19.9	46	1.6
MUNICIPALITIES	91	1.5	-	-	3	(Z)	17	0.3
NORTH DAKOTA	153	8.4	1	0.1	305	16.6	21	1.2
STATE	48	8.2	-	-	235	39.9	21	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	105	8.5	1	0.1	70	5.6	-	-
COUNTIES	92	17.0	1	0.3	70	12.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13	1.9	-	-	-	(Z)	-	-
OHIO	2 475	5.7	82	0.2	9 524	21.7	202	0.5
STATE	659	6.1	8	0.1	6 321	58.1	117	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 816	5.5	74	0.2	3 203	9.7	86	0.3
COUNTIES	962	9.3	70	0.7	2 540	24.6	75	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	854	3.8	4	(Z)	663	2.9	11	(Z)
OKLAHOMA	599	6.5	39	0.4	1 928	21.0	87	0.9
STATE	424	10.4	-	-	1 586	38.8	42	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	175	3.4	39	0.8	341	6.7	45	0.9
COUNTIES	37	3.3	37	3.3	263	23.8	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	138	3.5	2	0.1	79	2.0	45	1.1
OREGON	1 035	8.8	29	0.2	2 820	23.9	82	0.7
STATE	475	10.5	16	0.4	1 852	40.8	54	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	559	7.7	13	0.2	968	13.3	28	0.4
COUNTIES	453	11.7	12	0.3	941	24.3	7	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	106	3.1	1	(Z)	27	0.8	21	0.6
PENNSYLVANIA	2 351	4.1	394	0.7	10 172	17.9	234	0.4
STATE	416	2.7	-	-	4 828	31.6	210	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 934	4.7	394	0.9	5 344	12.9	24	0.1
COUNTIES	1 078	12.2	394	4.5	2 825	32.1	21	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	856	2.6	-	-	2 519	7.7	3	(Z)
RHODE ISLAND	185	4.3	39	0.9	848	19.8	71	1.7
STATE	140	7.2	39	2.0	848	43.3	58	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	44	1.9	-	-	-	-	13	0.6
COUNTIES	44	1.9	-	-	-	-	13	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	305	3.3	20	0.2	2 705	29.0	97	1.0
STATE	158	3.8	-	-	2 257	54.0	92	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	147	2.8	20	0.4	448	8.7	4	0.1
COUNTIES	111	4.0	20	0.7	417	15.1	4	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	36	1.5	-	(Z)	32	1.3	-	-

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	16 491	2 040	12.4	1 064	52.2	378	18.5
STATE	11 525	1 041	9.0	250	24.0	359	34.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 966	999	20.1	815	81.6	19	1.9
COUNTIES	2 069	375	18.1	209	55.7	18	4.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 897	624	21.5	605	97.1	-	0.1
TENNESSEE	145 512	14 045	9.7	7 889	56.2	2 231	15.9
STATE	47 523	4 278	9.0	1 149	26.9	604	14.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	97 989	9 767	10.0	6 740	69.0	1 627	16.7
COUNTIES	45 161	3 166	7.0	1 113	35.1	1 172	37.0
MUNICIPALITIES	52 828	6 601	12.5	5 627	85.2	455	6.9
TEXAS	273 620	46 043	16.8	28 842	62.6	6 396	13.9
STATE	154 353	12 043	7.8	6 049	50.2	1 027	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	119 267	33 999	28.5	22 793	67.0	5 369	15.8
COUNTIES	36 928	12 487	33.8	3 007	24.1	4 531	36.3
MUNICIPALITIES	82 339	21 512	26.1	19 786	92.0	838	3.9
UTAH	33 481	4 734	14.1	2 871	60.6	547	11.6
STATE	23 659	1 933	8.2	803	41.6	182	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 822	2 802	28.5	2 068	73.8	365	13.0
COUNTIES	5 128	1 239	24.2	714	57.6	211	17.1
MUNICIPALITIES	4 694	1 563	33.3	1 354	86.6	153	9.8
VERMONT	11 772	1 685	14.3	924	54.8	201	11.9
STATE	9 321	1 167	12.5	434	37.1	181	15.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 451	518	21.1	491	94.7	21	4.0
COUNTIES	40	35	87.5	11	32.5	21	58.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 411	483	20.0	479	99.2	-	-
VIRGINIA	214 210	21 000	9.8	10 691	50.9	2 794	13.3
STATE	76 974	9 183	11.9	2 183	23.8	1 761	19.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	137 236	11 816	8.6	8 508	72.0	1 032	8.7
COUNTIES	73 922	5 060	6.8	3 423	67.7	637	12.6
MUNICIPALITIES	63 314	6 757	10.7	5 085	75.3	396	5.9
WASHINGTON	111 479	17 195	15.4	9 478	55.1	1 899	11.0
STATE	71 266	5 423	7.6	1 735	32.0	369	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 213	11 772	29.3	7 743	65.8	1 530	13.0
COUNTIES	16 572	5 667	34.2	2 207	38.9	1 304	23.0
MUNICIPALITIES	23 642	6 104	25.8	5 535	90.7	227	3.7
WEST VIRGINIA	39 224	4 295	11.0	2 471	57.5	692	16.1
STATE	29 515	1 848	6.3	791	42.8	307	16.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 709	2 447	25.2	1 681	68.7	385	15.7
COUNTIES	3 337	1 045	31.3	327	31.3	362	34.7
MUNICIPALITIES	6 371	1 402	22.0	1 353	96.6	23	1.6
WISCONSIN	154 875	20 521	13.3	12 797	62.4	2 191	10.7
STATE	62 980	5 621	8.9	1 406	25.0	718	12.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	91 895	14 900	16.2	11 391	76.4	1 473	9.9
COUNTIES	31 185	5 447	17.5	2 332	42.8	1 410	25.9
MUNICIPALITIES	60 710	9 454	15.6	9 059	95.8	64	0.7
WYOMING	12 452	1 688	13.6	980	58.1	210	12.5
STATE	8 238	670	8.1	248	37.1	85	12.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 214	1 018	24.2	732	71.9	125	12.3
COUNTIES	2 467	448	18.2	214	47.6	105	23.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 747	570	32.6	518	90.9	20	3.6

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.²The relation of criminal justice October payroll to total October payroll is based on data for general governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, locally operated utility systems, or for independent school districts and special districts.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	210	10.3	11	0.5	336	16.5	41	2.0
STATE	103	9.9	-	-	288	27.7	41	3.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	107	10.7	11	1.1	48	4.8	-	-
COUNTIES	91	24.3	11	2.9	46	12.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	16	2.5	-	-	2	0.3	-	-
TENNESSEE	706	5.0	105	0.7	3 043	21.7	70	0.5
STATE	475	11.1	27	0.6	1 974	46.1	47	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	231	2.4	78	0.8	1 069	10.9	23	0.2
COUNTIES	89	2.8	54	1.7	721	22.8	18	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	141	2.1	24	0.4	348	5.3	5	0.1
TEXAS	2 979	6.5	14	(Z)	7 483	16.3	328	0.7
STATE	613	5.1	-	-	4 242	35.2	112	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 366	7.0	14	(Z)	3 241	9.5	216	0.6
COUNTIES	1 779	14.2	14	0.1	2 946	23.6	210	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES	587	2.7	-	-	295	1.4	6	(Z)
UTAH	295	6.2	4	0.1	989	20.9	28	0.6
STATE	109	5.6	-	-	810	41.9	28	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	187	6.7	4	0.1	179	6.4	-	-
COUNTIES	132	10.7	3	0.3	177	14.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	54	3.5	-	(Z)	2	0.1	-	-
VERMONT	95	5.6	46	2.7	388	23.0	31	1.8
STATE	90	7.7	46	3.9	386	33.1	31	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	5	0.9	-	-	2	0.4	-	-
COUNTIES	1	2.9	-	-	2	5.8	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	798	3.8	21	0.1	6 548	31.2	148	0.7
STATE	122	1.3	21	0.2	5 000	54.4	97	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	676	5.7	-	(Z)	1 548	13.1	51	0.4
COUNTIES	331	6.5	-	-	628	12.4	40	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	344	5.1	-	(Z)	920	13.6	11	0.2
WASHINGTON	1 220	7.1	68	0.4	4 458	25.9	72	0.4
STATE	389	7.2	-	-	2 885	53.2	45	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	831	7.1	68	0.6	1 572	13.4	27	0.2
COUNTIES	575	10.2	68	1.2	1 486	26.2	27	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	256	4.2	-	-	86	1.4	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	250	5.8	1	(Z)	842	19.6	39	0.9
STATE	68	3.7	-	-	647	35.0	37	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	182	7.5	1	(Z)	195	8.0	2	0.1
COUNTIES	159	15.2	1	0.1	193	18.5	2	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	24	1.7	-	-	2	0.1	-	-
WISCONSIN	1 117	5.4	35	0.2	4 318	21.0	64	0.3
STATE	241	4.3	30	0.5	3 165	56.3	61	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	875	5.9	6	(Z)	1 153	7.7	3	(Z)
COUNTIES	607	11.1	6	0.1	1 091	20.0	2	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	268	2.8	-	-	62	0.7	1	(Z)
WYOMING	135	8.0	15	0.9	335	19.8	13	0.8
STATE	41	6.1	-	-	282	42.1	13	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	93	9.2	15	1.5	53	5.2	-	-
COUNTIES	74	16.6	15	3.4	41	9.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	19	3.3	-	-	12	2.2	-	-

Table 11. Total criminal justice system expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL	5 986 650	5 204 226	4 825 869	378 357	146 934	188 254	43 169	782 424
ALABAMA	74 681	64 691	60 156	4 535	3 217	1 318	-	9 990
ALASKA	60 642	58 916	57 261	1 655	1 140	515	-	1 726
ARIZONA	67 857	62 803	56 427	6 376	4 524	1 699	153	5 054
ARKANSAS	40 378	36 046	27 676	8 370	2 379	5 990	1	4 332
CALIFORNIA	674 299	599 424	576 326	23 098	16 634	6 437	27	74 875
COLORADO	86 414	76 814	74 289	2 525	2 174	351	-	9 600
CONNECTICUT	104 783	101 639	92 167	9 472	2 173	7 299	-	3 144
DELAWARE	37 483	35 635	33 951	1 684	337	1 347	-	1 848
FLORIDA	312 847	296 437	245 099	51 338	6 690	44 648	-	16 410
GEORGIA	122 805	107 850	98 758	9 092	3 449	5 643	-	14 955
HAWAII	35 102	33 118	30 205	2 913	445	1 443	-	1 984
IDAHO	21 619	20 473	18 789	1 684	1 070	600	4	1 146
ILLINOIS	306 721	274 923	224 488	50 435	7 652	3 275	39 508	31 798
INDIANA	110 950	95 147	85 733	9 414	5 961	3 453	-	15 803
IOWA	62 023	55 553	53 213	2 340	1 447	893	-	6 470
KANSAS	66 920	62 444	55 031	7 413	1 798	5 615	-	4 476
KENTUCKY	83 532	67 973	61 883	6 090	5 587	503	-	15 559
LOUISIANA	115 386	99 557	96 247	3 310	2 558	752	-	15 829
MAINE	27 548	24 893	23 430	1 463	676	787	-	2 655
MARYLAND	236 843	174 962	165 048	9 914	8 176	1 542	196	61 881
MASSACHUSETTS	140 928	124 368	121 087	3 281	307	2 974	-	16 560
MICHIGAN	217 430	188 930	171 537	17 393	3 911	11 920	1 562	28 500
MINNESOTA	81 311	64 207	61 840	2 367	1 862	505	-	17 104
MISSISSIPPI	48 262	45 916	37 474	8 442	2 812	5 630	-	2 346
MISSOURI	96 611	78 948	73 585	5 363	3 233	2 124	6	17 663
MONTANA	21 845	20 674	16 129	4 545	1 208	3 337	-	1 171
NEBRASKA	38 282	33 883	32 507	1 376	846	530	-	4 399
NEVADA	27 672	23 475	21 261	2 214	738	1 476	-	4 197
NEW HAMPSHIRE	16 959	15 980	14 601	1 379	937	442	-	979
NEW JERSEY	184 467	162 175	157 233	4 942	2 506	2 436	-	22 292
NEW MEXICO	39 034	37 386	36 177	1 209	1 150	58	1	1 648
NEW YORK	581 699	455 314	432 469	22 845	4 940	17 830	75	126 385
NORTH CAROLINA	186 088	172 250	159 411	12 839	3 471	9 368	-	13 838
NORTH DAKOTA	11 420	9 638	9 186	452	310	142	-	1 782
OHIO	234 756	200 958	194 240	6 718	3 442	3 276	-	33 798
OKLAHOMA	63 628	60 033	55 545	4 488	2 676	1 800	12	3 595
OREGON	81 412	71 854	68 856	2 998	2 525	473	-	9 558
PENNSYLVANIA	331 566	258 826	252 565	6 261	5 988	273	-	72 740
RHODE ISLAND	36 181	33 175	32 751	424	299	125	-	3 006
SOUTH CAROLINA	79 765	74 647	65 397	9 250	2 952	6 298	-	5 118
SOUTH DAKOTA	19 804	18 187	17 836	351	341	10	-	1 617
TENNESSEE	89 057	77 770	64 756	13 014	2 372	10 642	-	11 287
TEXAS	232 319	205 072	191 300	13 772	8 279	5 432	61	27 247
UTAH	32 672	28 351	26 140	2 211	1 362	849	-	4 321
VERMONT	19 963	19 401	18 017	1 384	824	560	-	562
VIRGINIA	176 728	151 787	145 739	6 048	3 515	2 016	517	24 941
WASHINGTON	99 172	85 606	81 896	3 710	2 849	861	-	13 566
WEST VIRGINIA	37 328	34 979	32 297	2 682	1 730	943	9	2 349
WISCONSIN	98 870	89 940	86 912	3 028	1 212	1 814	2	8 930
WYOMING	12 590	11 200	10 950	250	250	-	-	1 390

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Page left blank to preserve table sequence.

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total ²	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	5 697 147	(X)	3 655 069	(X)	745 457	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	139 369	100.0	105 773	100.0	10 663	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	13 399	9.5	10 823	10.2	1 031	9.7
BALTIMORE CITY.	89 113	63.9	67 867	64.2	6 371	59.7
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	26 176	18.8	21 399	20.2	1 638	15.4
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	2 988	2.1	565	0.5	861	3.1
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	3 734	2.7	1 980	1.9	561	5.3
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	3 959	2.8	3 139	3.0	201	1.9
BOSTON SEA.	256 879	100.0	182 588	100.0	37 849	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	37 910	14.8	26 205	14.4	6 073	16.0
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	79 181	30.8	56 804	31.1	11 019	29.1
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	36 967	14.4	27 816	15.2	4 705	12.4
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	102 821	40.0	71 763	39.3	16 052	42.4
CHICAGO SMSA.	569 833	100.0	432 052	100.0	50 165	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	497 396	87.3	377 745	87.4	44 216	88.1
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA.	25 109	4.4	18 079	4.2	2 681	5.3
KANE COUNTY AREA.	12 467	2.2	9 710	2.2	720	1.4
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	19 917	3.5	14 760	3.4	1 335	2.7
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	4 893	0.9	3 806	0.9	310	0.6
WILL COUNTY AREA.	10 051	1.8	7 952	1.8	903	1.8
CLEVELAND SMSA.	184 967	100.0	94 238	100.0	26 106	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	169 974	91.9	85 234	90.4	23 362	89.5
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	1 624	0.9	692	0.7	502	1.9
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	10 457	5.7	6 725	7.1	1 438	5.5
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	2 912	1.6	1 587	1.7	804	3.1
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	131 139	100.0	87 177	100.0	19 230	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	3 167	2.4	2 048	2.3	191	1.0
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	82 087	62.6	54 333	62.3	12 011	62.5
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	2 857	2.2	1 594	1.8	528	2.7
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	1 335	1.0	965	1.1	204	1.1
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	273	0.2	68	0.1	137	0.7
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	1 111	0.8	706	0.8	234	1.2
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	861	0.7	573	0.7	168	0.9
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	865	0.7	450	0.5	246	1.3
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	499	0.4	248	0.3	170	0.9
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	36 972	28.2	25 524	29.3	5 158	26.8
WISE COUNTY AREA.	1 112	0.8	668	0.8	183	1.0
DETROIT SMSA.	367 304	100.0	236 464	100.0	56 225	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	1 969	0.5	1 291	0.5	312	0.6
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	4 298	1.2	1 444	0.6	1 481	2.6
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	43 000	11.7	26 195	11.1	6 787	12.1
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	62 059	16.9	38 388	16.2	8 839	15.7
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	5 161	1.4	3 188	1.3	802	1.4
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	250 817	68.3	165 958	70.2	38 004	67.6
HOUSTON SMSA.	124 527	100.0	78 019	100.0	21 872	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	3 462	2.8	2 357	3.0	641	2.9
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	1 766	1.4	1 419	1.8	193	0.9
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	116 693	93.7	72 931	93.5	20 344	93.0
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	1 016	0.8	432	0.6	274	1.3
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	1 302	1.0	768	1.0	273	1.2
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	288	0.2	112	0.1	147	0.7
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA.	769 530	100.0	444 345	100.0	112 666	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	769 530	100.0	444 345	100.0	112 666	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA.	113 200	100.0	69 130	100.0	15 024	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	7 355	6.5	5 028	7.3	1 065	7.1
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	1 033	0.9	852	1.2	95	0.6
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	952	0.8	411	0.6	252	1.7
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	6 175	5.5	4 630	6.7	800	5.3
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA.	57 355	50.7	34 752	50.3	7 317	48.7
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA.	31 309	27.6	17 670	25.6	3 903	26.0
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA.	2 174	1.9	1 344	1.9	412	2.7
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	2 112	1.9	1 293	1.9	324	2.2
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA.	3 572	3.2	2 303	3.3	654	4.4
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	1 163	1.0	847	1.2	202	1.3
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA.	252 120	100.0	176 748	100.0	29 501	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA.	147 415	58.5	102 112	57.8	18 576	63.0
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	104 705	41.5	74 636	42.2	10 925	37.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	302 112	(X)	76 096	(X)	806 261	(X)	112 152	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	9 099	100.0	-	100.0	12 666	100.0	1 166	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	874	9.6	-	-	647	5.1	24	2.1
BALTIMORE CITY.	5 325	58.5	-	-	8 557	67.6	993	85.2
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	1 323	14.5	-	-	1 680	13.3	136	11.7
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	744	8.2	-	-	816	6.4	-	-
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	451	5.0	-	-	729	5.8	13	1.1
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	382	4.2	-	-	237	1.9	-	-
BOSTON SEA.	8 683	100.0	1 233	100.0	24 657	100.0	1 869	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	891	10.3	220	17.8	4 262	17.3	259	13.9
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	2 626	30.2	907	73.6	7 523	30.5	302	16.2
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	1 736	20.0	106	8.6	2 604	10.6	-	-
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	3 430	39.5	-	-	10 268	41.6	1 308	70.0
CHICAGO SMSA.	22 621	100.0	5 747	100.0	45 987	100.0	13 261	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	18 277	80.8	4 647	80.9	39 476	85.8	13 035	98.3
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA.	1 576	7.0	502	8.7	2 206	4.8	65	0.5
KANE COUNTY AREA.	545	2.4	87	1.5	1 382	3.0	23	0.2
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	1 354	6.0	163	2.8	2 229	4.8	76	0.6
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	377	1.7	71	1.2	329	0.7	-	-
WILL COUNTY AREA.	492	2.2	277	4.8	365	0.8	62	0.5
CLEVELAND SMSA.	5 402	100.0	1 585	100.0	13 029	100.0	44 607	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	4 478	82.9	1 473	92.9	10 851	83.3	44 576	99.9
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	152	2.8	4	0.3	274	2.1	-	-
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	587	10.9	91	5.7	1 585	12.2	31	0.1
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	185	3.4	17	1.1	319	2.4	-	-
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	8 247	100.0	1 386	100.0	13 696	100.0	1 403	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	219	2.7	7	0.5	71	0.5	631	45.0
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	4 494	54.5	905	65.3	10 009	73.1	335	23.9
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	343	4.2	72	5.2	320	2.3	-	-
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	55	0.7	9	0.6	102	0.7	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	30	0.4	5	0.4	18	0.1	15	1.1
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	69	0.8	19	1.4	83	0.6	-	-
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	61	0.7	3	0.2	56	0.4	-	-
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	75	0.9	18	1.3	76	0.6	-	-
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	70	0.8	5	0.4	6	(2)	-	-
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	2 704	32.8	318	22.9	2 846	20.8	422	30.1
WISE COUNTY AREA.	127	1.5	25	1.8	109	0.8	-	-
DETROIT SMSA.	21 007	100.0	7 946	100.0	43 816	100.0	5 890	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	127	0.6	35	0.4	196	0.4	8	0.1
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	4 299	20.5	-	-	1 118	2.6	-	-
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	2 658	12.7	809	10.2	5 557	12.7	994	16.9
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	3 596	17.1	1 447	18.2	9 511	21.7	278	4.7
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	323	1.5	186	2.3	662	1.5	-	-
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	10 004	47.6	5 469	68.8	26 772	61.1	4 610	78.3
HOUSTON SMSA.	7 477	100.0	1 259	100.0	13 904	100.0	1 996	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	198	2.6	38	3.0	228	1.6	-	-
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	37	0.5	7	0.6	110	0.8	-	-
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	7 036	94.1	1 190	94.5	13 196	94.9	1 996	100.0
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	73	1.0	14	1.1	223	1.6	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	117	1.6	10	0.8	134	1.0	-	-
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	16	0.2	-	-	13	0.1	-	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA.	55 918	100.0	14 377	100.0	134 580	100.0	7 644	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	55 918	100.0	14 377	100.0	134 580	100.0	7 644	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA.	7 623	100.0	1 670	100.0	18 663	100.0	1 091	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	703	9.2	114	6.8	445	2.4	-	-
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	55	0.7	-	-	31	0.2	-	-
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	109	1.4	-	-	181	1.0	-	-
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	305	4.0	39	2.3	401	2.1	-	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA.	3 773	49.5	1 129	67.6	9 959	53.3	425	39.0
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA.	1 772	23.2	220	13.2	7 078	37.9	666	61.0
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA.	201	2.6	86	5.1	131	0.7	-	-
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	446	5.9	14	0.8	35	0.2	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA.	201	2.6	62	3.7	352	1.9	-	-
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	58	0.8	6	0.4	50	0.3	-	-
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA.	14 508	100.0	3 076	100.0	27 652	100.0	635	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA.	8 044	55.4	1 567	50.9	16 875	61.0	241	38.0
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	6 464	44.6	1 509	49.1	10 777	39.0	394	62.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total ²	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA	187 951	100.0	116 556	100.0	23 620	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	113 741	60.5	63 739	58.5	14 778	62.6
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	21 955	11.7	12 050	13.8	2 081	8.8
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	12 296	6.5	7 165	6.1	1 569	6.6
UNION COUNTY AREA	39 959	21.3	25 172	21.6	5 192	22.0
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	1 328 519	100.0	903 352	100.0	155 915	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	61 861	4.7	42 146	4.7	8 450	5.4
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	1 161 851	87.5	799 448	88.5	133 030	85.3
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	2 840	0.2	1 454	0.2	413	0.3
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	17 880	1.3	9 792	1.1	2 595	1.7
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	84 087	6.3	50 512	5.6	11 427	7.3
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	405 402	100.0	238 840	100.0	69 010	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	20 479	5.1	11 254	4.7	4 101	5.9
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	19 143	4.7	10 990	4.6	2 056	3.0
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	30 597	7.5	19 301	8.1	3 658	5.3
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	16 171	4.0	5 400	2.3	3 506	5.1
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	28 258	7.0	14 988	6.3	5 127	7.4
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	10 920	2.7	5 946	2.5	1 765	2.6
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	31 885	7.9	19 296	8.1	6 133	8.9
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	247 949	61.2	151 665	63.5	42 664	61.8
PITTSBURGH SMSA	97 829	100.0	62 287	100.0	18 129	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	76 801	78.5	51 575	82.8	12 919	71.3
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	7 840	8.0	3 757	6.0	2 374	13.1
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	3 747	3.8	2 223	3.6	764	4.2
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	9 441	9.7	4 732	7.6	2 072	11.4
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	132 815	100.0	95 981	100.0	16 367	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	363	0.3	161	0.2	43	0.3
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	1 393	1.0	924	1.0	269	1.6
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	2 100	1.6	945	1.0	801	4.9
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	9 130	6.9	5 466	5.7	1 351	8.3
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	177	0.1	120	0.1	27	0.2
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	6 291	4.7	5 210	5.4	539	3.3
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	9 706	7.3	6 290	6.9	1 007	6.2
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	57 921	43.6	42 461	44.4	5 824	35.6
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	45 734	34.4	33 904	35.3	6 506	39.8
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	315 960	100.0	156 139	100.0	48 013	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	113 656	36.0	51 143	32.8	18 373	38.3
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	51 144	16.2	22 309	14.3	7 531	15.7
MARIN COUNTY AREA	19 762	6.3	7 286	4.7	3 748	7.8
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	81 766	25.9	50 158	32.1	12 317	25.7
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	49 632	15.7	25 243	16.2	6 044	12.6
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	315 537	100.0	175 280	100.0	35 102	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	7 466	2.4	5 132	2.9	702	2.0
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	10 018	3.2	7 598	4.3	715	2.0
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 665	0.5	1 374	0.8	80	0.2
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	1 101	0.3	967	0.6	44	0.1
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	22 239	7.0	15 927	9.1	2 364	6.7
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	803	0.3	678	0.4	22	0.1
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	1 052	0.3	602	0.3	230	0.7
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	29 126	9.2	19 749	11.3	2 513	7.2
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	33 432	10.6	24 755	14.1	2 226	6.3
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	5 637	1.8	3 680	2.1	894	2.5
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	202 998	64.4	94 818	54.1	25 312	72.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA	13 713	100.0	165	100.0	28 527	100.0	5 270	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	6 840	49.9	155	93.9	19 597	68.7	4 102	77.8
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	1 629	11.9	1	0.6	2 062	7.2	132	2.5
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	1 460	10.6	9	5.5	2 031	7.1	62	1.2
UNION COUNTY AREA	3 784	27.6	-	-	4 837	17.0	974	18.5
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	62 047	(X)	15 410	(X)	173 312	(X)	18 483	(X)
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	4 937	8.0	11	0.1	6 016	3.5	301	1.6
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	48 241	77.7	13 295	86.3	150 972	87.1	16 865	91.2
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	270	0.4	82	0.5	621	0.4	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	1 920	3.1	412	2.7	2 906	1.7	255	1.4
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	6 679	10.8	1 610	10.4	12 797	7.4	1 062	5.7
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	22 305	100.0	5 252	100.0	66 689	100.0	3 306	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 574	7.1	323	6.2	3 227	4.8	-	-
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 429	6.4	-	-	4 546	6.8	122	3.7
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	2 823	12.7	1	(Z)	4 688	7.0	126	3.8
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 809	8.1	635	12.1	4 821	7.2	-	-
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	2 296	10.3	585	11.1	5 248	7.9	14	0.4
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	950	4.3	4	0.1	2 128	3.2	127	3.8
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	2 077	9.3	550	10.5	3 829	5.7	-	-
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	9 347	41.9	3 154	60.1	38 202	57.3	2 917	88.2
PITTSBURGH SMSA	5 331	100.0	1 152	100.0	10 658	100.0	272	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	4 011	75.2	857	74.4	7 260	68.1	179	65.8
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	485	9.1	143	12.4	1 011	9.5	70	25.7
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	263	4.9	47	4.1	1 450	4.2	-	-
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	572	10.7	105	9.1	1 937	18.2	23	8.5
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	5 817	100.0	446	100.0	14 048	100.0	156	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	101	1.7	19	4.3	39	0.3	-	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	134	2.3	-	-	66	0.5	-	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	159	2.7	-	-	134	1.0	61	39.1
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	574	9.9	158	35.4	1 581	11.3	-	-
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	27	0.5	3	0.7	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	242	4.2	-	-	300	2.1	-	-
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	767	13.2	266	59.6	1 037	7.4	39	25.0
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	2 211	38.0	-	-	7 169	51.0	56	35.9
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	1 602	27.5	-	-	3 722	26.5	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	22 358	100.0	10 414	100.0	76 848	100.0	2 188	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	8 202	36.7	4 689	45.0	30 346	39.5	903	41.3
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	4 890	21.9	2 209	21.2	14 193	18.5	12	0.5
MARIN COUNTY AREA	1 365	6.1	1 275	12.2	5 519	7.2	569	26.0
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	4 655	20.7	1 087	10.4	13 272	17.3	277	12.7
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	3 246	14.5	1 154	11.1	13 518	17.6	427	19.5
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	9 956	100.0	4 978	100.0	87 528	100.0	2 915	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	476	4.8	45	0.9	1 017	1.2	94	3.2
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	349	3.5	-	-	1 165	1.3	191	6.6
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	106	1.1	-	-	96	0.8	9	0.3
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	89	0.9	1	(Z)	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	907	9.1	115	2.3	2 822	3.2	104	3.6
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	51	0.5	5	0.1	47	0.1	-	-
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	126	1.3	-	-	304	0.3	13	0.4
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 582	15.9	5	0.1	3 814	4.4	1 463	50.2
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 874	18.8	-	-	4 491	5.1	86	3.0
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	301	3.0	-	-	668	0.8	94	3.2
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	4 095	41.1	4 807	96.6	73 105	83.5	861	29.5

Table 13. Criminal justice system employment of local governments
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	Area	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²
1	TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	299 464	286 517	290 482	(X)	196 560	189 340	190 779	(X)	41 538	38 455	39 832	(X)
2	BALTIMORE SMSA	8 120	8 052	8 083	100.0	6 179	6 158	6 164	100.0	642	626	631	100.0
3	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	770	747	753	9.3	598	583	586	9.5	82	76	77	12.2
4	BALTIMORE CITY	5 080	5 080	5 080	62.8	3 889	3 889	3 889	63.1	401	401	401	63.5
5	BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	1 652	1 634	1 638	20.3	1 358	1 354	1 355	22.0	97	92	93	14.7
6	CARROLL COUNTY AREA	167	167	167	2.1	46	46	46	0.7	17	17	17	2.7
7	HARFORD COUNTY AREA	250	228	247	3.1	143	141	143	2.3	36	31	34	5.4
8	HOWARD COUNTY AREA	201	196	198	2.4	145	145	145	2.4	9	9	9	1.4
9	BOSTON SMSA	13 179	12 678	12 826	100.0	9 155	8 846	8 902	100.0	2 092	1 982	2 032	100.0
10	ESSEX COUNTY AREA	1 953	1 741	1 811	14.1	1 379	1 277	1 297	14.6	251	194	220	10.8
11	MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	4 465	4 281	4 323	33.7	3 184	3 022	3 054	34.3	635	628	629	31.0
12	NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	2 001	1 901	1 935	15.1	1 459	1 414	1 418	15.9	277	235	257	12.6
13	SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	4 760	4 755	4 757	37.1	3 133	3 133	3 133	35.2	929	925	926	45.6
14	CHICAGO SMSA	34 238	32 003	32 854	100.0	25 750	24 229	24 719	100.0	4 187	3 926	3 926	100.0
15	COOK COUNTY AREA	29 431	27 844	28 558	86.9	22 267	21 264	21 678	87.7	3 674	3 451	3 451	87.9
16	DUPAGE COUNTY AREA	1 610	1 389	1 451	4.8	1 085	951	972	3.9	223	180	200	5.1
17	KANE COUNTY AREA	847	784	791	2.4	626	575	578	2.3	74	72	72	1.8
18	LAKE COUNTY AREA	1 120	1 056	1 070	3.3	779	728	735	3.0	113	107	108	2.8
19	MCHENRY COUNTY AREA	450	308	334	1.0	371	237	261	1.1	32	25	26	0.7
20	WILL COUNTY AREA	780	622	650	2.0	622	474	495	2.0	69	69	69	1.8
21	CLEVELAND SMSA	7 996	7 003	7 350	100.0	5 229	4 643	4 751	100.0	1 660	1 434	1 572	100.0
22	CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	6 751	6 302	6 509	88.6	4 439	4 254	4 286	90.2	1 411	1 246	1 344	85.5
23	GAUGA COUNTY AREA	164	112	138	1.9	72	50	56	1.2	50	38	50	3.2
24	LAKE COUNTY AREA	826	387	484	6.6	571	218	286	6.0	126	92	111	7.1
25	MEDINA COUNTY AREA	255	202	219	3.0	147	121	123	2.6	73	58	67	4.3
26	DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	8 864	8 549	8 659	100.0	5 868	5 783	5 806	100.0	1 418	1 292	1 344	100.0
27	COLLIN COUNTY AREA	184	166	168	1.9	128	128	128	2.2	32	14	16	1.2
28	DALLAS COUNTY AREA	5 340	5 244	5 289	61.1	3 545	3 520	3 527	60.7	833	792	816	60.7
29	DENTON COUNTY AREA	226	192	200	2.3	101	101	101	1.7	56	47	49	3.6
30	ELLIS COUNTY AREA	128	119	121	1.4	102	100	101	1.7	19	13	14	1.0
31	HOOD COUNTY AREA	40	36	37	0.4	17	16	16	0.3	19	16	17	1.3
32	JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	123	96	108	1.2	68	65	65	1.1	33	19	25	1.9
33	KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	77	70	74	0.9	51	47	48	0.8	13	10	13	1.0
34	PARKER COUNTY AREA	66	54	64	0.7	39	39	39	0.7	19	10	17	1.3
35	ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	84	68	68	0.8	40	40	40	0.7	20	20	20	1.5
36	TARRANT COUNTY AREA	2 523	2 431	2 457	28.4	1 739	1 689	1 703	29.3	352	337	343	25.5
37	WISE COUNTY AREA	73	73	73	0.8	38	38	38	0.7	14	14	14	1.0
38	DETROIT SMSA	17 384	16 723	16 991	100.0	11 428	11 237	11 271	100.0	3 021	2 756	2 925	100.0
39	LAPEER COUNTY AREA	163	148	153	0.9	105	101	101	0.9	33	25	29	1.0
40	LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	295	240	261	1.5	107	97	103	0.9	82	94	94	3.2
41	HACOMB COUNTY AREA	2 091	2 003	2 085	12.0	1 277	1 269	1 271	11.3	431	376	405	13.8
42	OAKLAND COUNTY AREA	3 234	3 006	3 092	18.2	1 881	1 826	1 831	16.2	598	508	566	19.4
43	ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	316	242	255	1.5	184	139	142	1.3	63	53	59	2.0
44	WAYNE COUNTY AREA	11 285	11 084	11 185	65.8	7 874	7 805	7 823	69.4	1 792	1 772	1 772	60.6
45	HOUSTON SMSA	7 653	7 392	7 466	100.0	4 876	4 827	4 836	100.0	1 353	1 276	1 312	100.0
46	BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	288	255	269	3.6	190	182	183	3.8	67	52	59	4.5
47	FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	181	157	162	2.2	138	128	128	2.6	29	17	21	1.6
48	HARRIS COUNTY AREA	6 869	6 719	6 755	90.5	4 401	4 384	4 386	90.7	1 162	1 132	1 149	87.6
49	LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	107	97	101	1.4	47	47	47	1.0	29	24	28	2.1
50	MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	160	126	137	1.8	85	73	78	1.6	41	28	31	2.4
51	WALLER COUNTY AREA	48	38	42	0.6	17	13	16	0.3	25	23	24	1.8
52	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	37 131	36 219	36 520	100.0	21 057	20 646	20 725	100.0	4 431	4 115	4 257	100.0
53	LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	37 131	36 219	36 520	100.0	21 057	20 646	20 725	100.0	4 431	4 115	4 257	100.0
54	MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	6 740	5 819	6 030	100.0	4 339	3 578	3 740	100.0	864	810	829	100.0
55	ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA	417	386	389	6.5	278	254	255	6.8	54	55	55	6.6
56	CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA	76	57	59	1.0	61	47	49	1.3	6	4	4	0.5
57	CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA	70	68	69	1.1	32	30	31	0.8	10	10	10	1.2
58	DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA	386	306	355	5.9	303	277	277	7.4	44	34	42	5.1
59	HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA	3 035	2 858	2 900	48.1	1 857	1 761	1 783	47.7	391	367	371	44.8
60	RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA	1 725	1 653	1 669	27.7	959	918	923	24.7	251	247	249	30.0
61	ST. CROIX COUNTY, MN. AREA	425	90	171	2.8	400	65	146	3.9	15	15	15	1.8
62	SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA	206	105	119	2.0	162	71	82	2.2	29	21	24	2.9
63	WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA	287	223	224	3.7	204	145	145	3.9	43	42	42	5.1
64	WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA	113	73	75	1.2	83	48	49	1.3	19	16	17	2.1
65	NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	12 803	12 436	12 486	100.0	8 705	8 487	8 497	100.0	1 749	1 639	1 662	100.0
66	NASSAU COUNTY AREA	7 495	7 349	7 392	59.2	5 050	4 984	4 994	58.8	1 028	982	1 001	60.2
67	SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	5 308	5 087	5 094	40.8	3 655	3 503	3 503	41.2	721	657	661	39.8
68	NEWARK SMSA	10 960	10 441	10 589	100.0	6 393	6 203	6 240	100.0	1 639	1 521	1 576	100.0
69	ESSEX COUNTY AREA	6 017	5 944	5 975	56.4	3 433	3 409	3 413	54.7	919	909	915	58.1
70	MORRIS COUNTY AREA	1 558	1 295	1 358	12.8	1 064	878	906	14.5	181	135	156	9.9
71	SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	916	803	836	7.9	486	444	444	7.1	155	111	132	8.4
72	UNION COUNTY AREA	2 469	2 399	2 420	22.9	1 510	1 472	1 477	23.7	384	366	373	23.7

See footnotes at end of table.

in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1976
(in thousands)

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	
16 662	15 331	15 987	(X)	1 829	1 724	1 779	(X)	41 512	40 409	40 819	(X)	1 314	1 257	1 288	(X)	1
517	497	514	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	782	771	774	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	2
50	48	50	9.7	-	-	-	-	40	40	40	5.2	-	-	-	-	3
286	286	286	55.6	-	-	-	-	504	504	504	65.1	-	-	-	-	4
86	85	85	16.5	-	-	-	-	111	103	105	13.6	-	-	-	-	5
40	40	40	7.8	-	-	-	-	64	64	64	8.3	-	-	-	-	6
29	14	28	5.4	-	-	-	-	42	42	42	5.4	-	-	-	-	7
26	24	25	4.9	-	-	-	-	21	18	19	2.5	-	-	-	-	8
413	370	401	100.0	48	48	48	100.0	1 443	1 404	1 415	100.0	28	28	28	100.0	9
54	30	46	11.5	12	12	12	25.0	246	217	225	15.9	11	11	11	39.3	10
112	102	110	27.4	29	29	29	60.4	488	483	484	34.2	17	17	17	60.7	11
75	67	73	18.2	7	7	7	14.6	183	178	180	12.7	-	-	-	-	12
172	171	172	42.9	-	-	-	-	526	526	526	37.2	-	-	-	-	13
1 305	1 190	1 261	100.0	420	382	395	100.0	2 562	2 518	2 541	100.0	14	12	12	100.0	14
1 060	967	1 024	81.2	351	326	331	83.8	2 075	2 065	2 071	81.5	4	3	3	25.0	15
93	83	87	6.9	36	31	33	8.4	170	141	156	6.1	3	3	3	25.0	16
31	25	29	2.3	6	6	6	1.5	107	106	106	4.2	1	-	-	-	17
74	69	74	5.9	9	9	9	2.3	142	140	141	5.5	3	3	3	25.0	18
14	13	14	1.1	4	4	4	1.0	29	29	29	1.1	-	-	-	-	19
33	33	33	2.6	14	6	12	3.0	39	37	38	1.5	3	3	3	25.0	20
383	226	320	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	722	698	705	100.0	2	2	2	100.0	21
286	193	267	83.4	-	-	-	-	615	609	612	86.8	-	-	-	-	22
16	2	10	3.1	-	-	-	-	26	22	22	3.1	-	-	-	-	23
65	23	30	9.4	-	-	-	-	62	52	55	7.8	2	2	2	100.0	24
16	8	13	4.1	-	-	-	-	19	15	16	2.3	-	-	-	-	25
505	480	492	100.0	5	5	5	100.0	1 052	973	996	100.0	16	16	16	100.0	26
18	18	18	3.7	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	0.6	-	-	-	-	27
254	246	249	50.6	-	-	-	-	703	681	692	69.5	5	5	5	31.3	28
22	20	20	4.1	-	-	-	-	47	24	30	3.6	-	-	-	-	29
4	4	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	3	2	2	0.2	-	-	-	-	30
2	2	2	0.4	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.2	-	-	-	-	31
9	6	9	1.8	-	-	-	-	13	6	9	0.9	-	-	-	-	32
4	4	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	0.9	-	-	-	-	33
4	1	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	0.4	-	-	-	-	34
8	8	8	1.6	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
166	157	160	32.5	5	5	5	100.0	250	232	235	23.6	11	11	11	68.8	36
14	14	14	2.8	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.7	-	-	-	-	37
722	675	699	100.0	19	19	19	100.0	2 182	2 025	2 069	100.0	12	10	10	100.0	38
12	12	12	1.7	-	-	-	-	13	10	11	0.5	-	-	-	-	39
20	18	19	2.7	-	-	-	-	64	42	47	2.3	-	-	-	-	40
123	111	118	16.9	18	18	18	94.7	236	225	229	11.1	6	4	4	40.0	41
167	150	157	22.9	-	-	-	-	584	518	534	25.8	4	4	4	40.0	42
14	12	12	1.7	-	-	-	-	55	38	42	2.0	-	-	-	-	43
386	372	381	54.5	1	1	1	5.3	1 230	1 192	1 206	58.3	2	2	2	20.0	44
507	404	421	100.0	3	3	3	100.0	837	807	817	100.0	75	75	75	100.0	45
14	11	14	3.3	-	-	-	-	17	10	13	1.6	-	-	-	-	46
5	5	5	1.2	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	1.0	-	-	-	-	47
468	372	384	91.2	3	3	3	100.0	763	753	758	92.8	75	75	75	100.0	48
10	7	7	1.7	-	-	-	-	21	19	19	2.3	-	-	-	-	49
11	9	10	2.4	-	-	-	-	23	16	18	2.2	-	-	-	-	50
1	1	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	5	1	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	51
2 854	2 824	2 837	100.0	574	574	574	100.0	8 162	8 057	8 100	100.0	33	3	27	100.0	52
2 854	2 824	2 837	100.0	574	574	574	100.0	8 162	8 057	8 100	100.0	33	3	27	100.0	53
377	358	365	100.0	116	106	108	100.0	1 013	938	959	100.0	31	29	29	100.0	54
34	31	32	8.8	5	5	5	4.6	44	42	42	4.4	-	-	-	-	55
2	2	2	0.5	-	-	-	-	7	4	4	0.4	-	-	-	-	56
14	14	14	3.8	-	-	-	-	14	14	14	1.5	-	-	-	-	57
18	15	17	4.7	-	-	-	-	21	18	19	2.0	-	-	-	-	58
172	169	170	46.6	87	78	80	74.1	519	475	488	50.9	9	8	8	27.6	59
96	91	93	25.5	24	23	23	21.3	373	253	360	37.5	22	21	21	72.4	60
10	10	10	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
12	12	12	3.3	-	-	-	-	3	-	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	62
13	9	10	2.7	-	-	-	-	27	27	27	2.8	-	-	-	-	63
6	5	5	1.4	-	-	-	-	5	4	4	0.4	-	-	-	-	64
734	712	727	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	1 591	1 574	1 576	100.0	24	24	24	100.0	65
405	388	400	55.0	-	-	-	-	1 004	987	989	62.8	8	8	8	33.3	66
329	324	327	45.0	-	-	-	-	587	587	587	37.2	16	16	16	66.7	67
797	744	778	100.0	4	-	4	100.0	1 959	1 909	1 927	100.0	68	64	64	100.0	68
416	386	402	51.7	4	-	4	100.0	1 228	1 224	1 225	63.6	17	16	16	25.0	69
86	78	83	10.7	-	-	-	-	223	200	209	10.8	4	4	4	6.3	70
72	67	72	9.3	-	-	-	-	196	176	183	9.5	7	5	5	7.8	71
223	213	221	28.4	-	-	-	-	312	309	310	16.1	40	39	39	60.9	72

Table 13. Criminal justice system employment of local governments
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	Area ¹	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²
1	NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA . .	59 974	59 155	59 428	100.0	42 593	42 316	42 369	100.0	6 663	6 356	6 459	100.0
2	BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . .	3 649	3 337	3 440	5.8	2 314	2 164	2 175	5.1	5 535	448	496	7.7
3	NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	50 771	50 768	50 749	85.4	36 929	36 929	36 929	87.2	5 243	5 243	5 243	81.2
4	PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . .	178	154	159	0.3	82	72	72	0.2	24	21	22	0.3
5	ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA .	1 136	853	904	1.5	561	519	527	1.2	261	114	135	2.1
6	WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	4 240	4 043	4 156	7.0	2 707	2 632	2 666	6.3	600	530	563	8.7
7	PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. AREA .	27 159	25 664	26 065	100.0	16 125	15 290	15 420	100.0	5 488	5 110	5 302	100.0
8	BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA . .	1 311	1 148	1 185	4.5	737	603	626	4.1	306	287	298	5.6
9	BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 202	1 071	1 115	4.3	627	557	567	3.7	202	168	188	3.5
10	CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . .	2 606	2 123	2 192	8.4	1 623	1 274	1 277	8.3	392	271	330	6.2
11	CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA . .	1 228	1 008	1 050	4.0	352	319	327	2.1	268	235	251	4.7
12	DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA .	1 921	1 680	1 894	7.3	925	897	906	5.9	440	434	436	8.2
13	GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	922	813	856	3.3	439	409	413	2.7	161	114	135	2.5
14	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA .	2 170	1 822	1 974	7.6	1 261	1 070	1 143	7.4	505	387	450	8.5
15	PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA. . . .	15 799	15 799	15 799	60.6	10 161	10 161	10 161	65.9	3 214	3 214	3 214	60.6
16	PITTSBURGH SMSA	7 311	6 395	6 532	100.0	4 645	3 962	3 967	100.0	1 377	1 353	1 361	100.0
17	ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA . . .	5 321	4 999	4 917	75.3	3 495	3 269	3 122	78.7	955	955	955	70.2
18	BEAVER COUNTY AREA	816	505	619	9.5	472	224	318	8.0	176	161	165	12.1
19	WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA . .	352	293	316	4.8	221	169	186	4.7	65	65	65	4.8
20	WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA .	822	598	680	10.4	457	300	341	8.6	181	172	176	12.9
21	ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA . .	10 100	9 203	9 513	100.0	6 967	6 577	6 691	100.0	1 484	1 244	1 299	100.0
22	CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . .	80	24	46	0.5	40	16	28	0.4	12	4	4	0.3
23	FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA . .	173	131	140	1.5	108	87	87	1.3	40	27	31	2.4
24	JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA .	183	171	179	1.9	95	92	94	1.4	54	49	52	4.0
25	MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . .	618	551	592	6.2	360	348	350	5.2	112	97	100	7.7
26	MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA . .	40	7	18	0.2	27	5	15	0.2	2	1	2	0.2
27	ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	752	729	741	7.8	653	648	649	9.7	60	54	57	4.4
28	ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	695	665	685	7.2	446	438	443	6.6	106	98	101	7.8
29	ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	3 940	3 940	3 940	41.4	2 768	2 768	2 768	41.4	459	459	459	35.3
30	ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA .	3 619	2 985	3 172	33.3	2 470	2 175	2 257	33.7	639	459	493	38.0
31	SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA .	15 086	14 204	14 416	100.0	7 815	7 285	7 371	100.0	2 028	1 934	1 968	100.0
32	ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	5 316	5 203	5 227	36.3	2 669	2 559	2 580	35.0	574	574	574	29.2
33	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA . .	2 416	2 230	2 302	16.0	1 066	1 019	1 029	14.0	384	358	369	18.8
34	MARIN COUNTY AREA	987	881	905	6.3	375	355	357	4.8	198	182	186	9.5
35	SAN FRANCISCO CITY	3 997	3 763	3 820	26.5	2 390	2 227	2 263	30.7	559	530	539	27.4
36	SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA . . .	2 368	2 127	2 162	15.0	1 315	1 125	1 142	15.5	313	290	300	15.2
37	WASHINGTON, D.C.-VA. SMSA .	14 766	14 581	14 674	100.0	9 334	9 273	9 308	100.0	1 422	1 335	1 377	100.0
38	ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	413	391	402	2.7	286	284	284	3.1	55	36	47	3.4
39	ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA. . . .	533	482	505	3.4	376	352	366	3.9	47	35	39	2.8
40	CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA . .	121	104	111	0.8	99	90	92	1.0	8	5	5	0.4
41	FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	55	51	55	0.4	51	51	51	0.5	4	4	4	0.3
42	FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA . .	1 184	1 162	1 171	8.0	835	834	834	9.0	197	183	187	13.6
43	FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA. . . .	45	41	44	0.3	37	36	36	0.4	3	3	3	0.2
44	LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA . .	99	97	98	0.7	54	53	53	0.6	21	21	21	1.5
45	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 318	1 309	1 312	9.0	1 045	1 041	1 043	11.2	118	115	116	8.4
46	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 766	1 744	1 753	12.0	1 366	1 364	1 364	14.7	133	113	122	8.9
47	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	342	333	338	2.3	217	217	217	2.3	60	60	60	4.4
48	WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY . . .	8 890	8 867	8 885	60.5	4 968	4 951	4 968	53.4	776	772	773	56.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
X Not applicable.
¹Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.
²Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1976—Continued
in thousands)

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees ²	
3 594	3 396	3 501	(X)	23	19	19	(X)	6 306	6 276	6 287	(X)	795	792	793	(X)	1
322	262	303	8.7	4	-	-	-	474	463	466	7.4	-	-	-	-	2
2 735	2 735	2 735	78.1	-	-	-	-	5 080	5 080	5 080	80.8	784	781	782	98.6	3
19	18	19	0.5	-	-	-	-	53	43	46	0.7	-	-	-	-	4
166	78	96	2.7	19	19	19	100.0	129	123	127	2.0	-	-	-	-	5
352	303	348	9.9	-	-	-	-	570	567	568	9.0	11	11	11	1.4	6
1 409	1 232	1 273	100.0	143	137	138	100.0	3 973	3 874	3 911	100.0	21	21	21	100.0	7
75	66	69	5.4	26	26	26	18.8	167	166	166	4.2	-	-	-	-	8
102	92	101	7.9	1	-	-	-	267	251	256	6.5	3	3	3	14.3	9
184	176	181	14.2	-	-	-	-	399	394	396	10.1	8	8	8	38.1	10
226	108	113	8.9	42	40	40	29.0	340	306	319	8.2	-	-	-	-	11
147	145	147	11.5	34	34	34	24.6	370	365	366	9.4	5	5	5	23.8	12
92	85	92	7.2	1	-	-	-	224	200	211	5.4	5	5	5	23.8	13
122	99	109	8.6	39	37	38	27.5	243	229	234	6.0	-	-	-	-	14
461	461	461	36.2	-	-	-	-	1 963	1 963	1 963	50.2	-	-	-	-	15
415	317	376	100.0	106	82	106	100.0	737	650	691	100.0	31	31	31	100.0	16
274	243	273	72.6	78	61	78	73.6	489	441	459	66.4	30	30	30	96.8	17
56	33	35	9.3	13	13	13	12.3	99	74	88	12.7	-	-	-	-	18
17	14	17	4.5	5	5	5	4.7	44	40	43	6.2	-	-	-	-	19
68	27	51	13.6	10	3	10	9.4	105	95	101	14.6	1	1	1	3.2	20
490	310	406	100.0	29	18	28	100.0	1 116	1 040	1 075	100.0	14	14	14	100.0	21
6	6	6	1.5	2	2	2	7.1	20	-	6	0.6	-	-	-	-	22
16	13	15	3.7	-	-	-	-	9	7	7	0.7	-	-	-	-	23
15	11	14	3.4	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	0.8	10	10	10	71.4	24
36	11	36	8.9	13	3	13	46.4	97	92	93	8.7	-	-	-	-	25
11	1	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
17	16	17	4.2	-	-	-	-	22	11	18	1.7	-	-	-	-	27
43	34	43	10.6	14	13	13	46.4	86	82	85	7.9	-	-	-	-	28
141	141	141	34.7	-	-	-	-	568	568	568	52.8	4	4	4	28.6	29
205	80	133	32.8	-	-	-	-	305	271	289	26.9	-	-	-	-	30
1 166	1 125	1 143	100.0	339	331	332	100.0	3 675	3 468	3 539	100.0	63	61	63	100.0	31
418	411	414	36.2	173	173	173	52.1	1 461	1 461	1 461	41.3	25	25	25	39.7	32
253	236	245	21.4	69	65	66	19.9	640	552	591	16.7	2	-	2	3.2	33
56	55	55	4.8	30	27	27	8.1	307	241	259	7.3	21	21	21	33.3	34
289	285	286	25.0	67	66	66	19.9	677	640	651	18.4	15	15	15	23.8	35
150	138	143	12.5	-	-	-	-	590	574	577	16.3	-	-	-	-	36
474	471	473	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	3 449	3 427	3 437	100.0	87	75	79	100.0	37
22	22	22	4.7	-	-	-	-	50	49	49	1.4	-	-	-	-	38
18	18	18	3.8	-	-	-	-	80	68	72	2.1	12	9	10	12.7	39
7	7	7	1.5	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.2	-	-	-	-	40
42	40	42	8.9	-	-	-	-	105	100	103	3.0	5	5	5	6.3	41
3	3	3	0.6	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.1	-	-	-	-	42
7	7	7	1.5	-	-	-	-	17	16	17	0.5	-	-	-	-	43
73	72	72	15.2	-	-	-	-	82	81	81	2.4	-	-	-	-	44
110	110	110	23.3	-	-	-	-	142	142	142	4.1	15	15	15	19.0	45
20	20	20	4.2	-	-	-	-	32	30	32	0.9	13	6	9	11.4	46
172	172	172	36.4	-	-	-	-	2 932	2 932	2 932	85.3	42	40	40	50.6	48

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll ²	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	392 396	(X)	270 393	(X)	45 403	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	10 433	100.0	8 310	100.0	631	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	334	8.0	666	8.0	65	10.3
BALTIMORE CITY.	7 089	67.9	5 746	69.1	401	63.5
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	1 877	18.0	1 552	18.7	101	16.0
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	158	1.5	40	0.5	20	3.2
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	256	2.5	142	1.7	36	5.7
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	219	2.1	164	2.0	8	1.3
BOSTON SEA.	15 890	100.0	11 748	100.0	2 126	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	2 203	13.9	1 683	14.3	222	10.4
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	5 530	34.8	4 229	36.0	626	29.4
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	2 438	15.3	1 911	16.3	245	11.5
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	5 719	36.0	3 925	33.4	1 033	48.6
CHICAGO SMSA.	40 821	100.0	32 582	100.0	3 406	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	35 949	88.1	28 842	88.5	1 050	89.5
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA.	1 764	4.3	1 284	3.9	168	4.9
KANE COUNTY AREA.	883	2.2	712	2.2	46	1.4
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	1 194	2.9	908	2.8	74	2.2
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	333	0.8	273	0.8	17	0.5
WILL COUNTY AREA.	698	1.7	563	1.7	51	1.5
CLEVELAND SMSA.	8 004	100.0	5 721	100.0	1 369	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	7 213	90.1	5 241	91.6	1 198	87.5
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	114	1.4	51	0.9	34	2.5
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	477	6.0	308	5.4	86	6.3
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	200	2.5	121	2.1	51	3.7
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	9 099	100.0	6 227	100.0	1 295	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	160	1.8	128	2.1	13	1.0
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	5 990	65.8	4 085	65.6	862	66.6
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	173	1.9	93	1.5	36	2.8
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	81	0.9	66	1.1	11	0.8
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	20	0.2	7	0.1	13	1.0
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	76	0.8	52	0.8	7	0.5
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	41	0.5	28	0.4	15	1.2
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	50	0.5	29	0.5	13	1.0
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	39	0.4	21	0.3	13	1.0
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	2 428	26.7	1 702	27.3	303	23.4
WISE COUNTY AREA.	41	0.5	16	0.3	11	0.8
DETROIT SMSA.	26 233	100.0	18 805	100.0	3 613	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	140	0.5	97	0.5	23	0.6
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	263	1.0	111	0.6	81	2.2
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	2 574	9.8	1 742	9.3	403	11.2
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	4 145	15.8	2 663	14.2	579	16.0
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	352	1.3	233	1.2	59	1.6
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	18 757	71.5	13 959	74.2	2 468	68.3
HOUSTON SMSA.	8 258	100.0	5 579	100.0	1 289	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	233	2.8	159	2.8	49	3.8
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	121	1.5	95	1.7	16	1.2
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	7 701	93.3	5 218	93.5	1 170	90.8
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	70	0.8	34	0.6	16	1.2
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	109	1.3	64	1.1	25	1.9
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	24	0.3	9	0.2	13	1.0
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	57 092	100.0	34 294	100.0	6 127	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	57 092	100.0	34 294	100.0	6 127	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	7 935	100.0	4 950	100.0	1 037	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	521	6.6	352	7.1	67	6.5
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	72	0.9	61	1.2	5	0.5
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	64	0.8	28	0.6	10	1.0
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	422	5.3	331	6.7	48	4.7
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA.	4 086	51.5	2 495	50.4	499	48.1
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA.	2 200	27.7	1 286	26.0	310	29.9
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA.	106	1.3	87	1.8	9	0.9
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	119	1.5	82	1.7	24	2.3
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA.	265	3.3	171	3.5	51	4.9
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	80	1.0	57	1.2	14	1.4
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	16 981	100.0	11 930	100.0	2 143	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA.	10 162	59.8	6 985	58.5	1 391	64.9
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	6 819	40.2	4 945	41.5	752	35.1
NEWARK SMSA	12 548	100.0	7 762	100.0	1 712	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	7 248	57.8	4 253	54.8	1 060	61.9
MORRIS COUNTY AREA.	1 555	12.4	1 125	14.5	154	9.0
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA.	910	7.3	526	6.8	117	6.8
UNION COUNTY AREA.	2 835	22.6	1 858	23.9	381	22.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	21 782	(X)	2 886	(X)	50 296	(X)	1 636	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	670	100.0	-	100.0	822	100.0	-	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	64	9.6	-	-	39	4.7	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY.	388	57.9	-	-	554	67.4	-	-
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	105	15.7	-	-	119	14.5	-	-
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	50	7.5	-	-	48	5.8	-	-
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	32	4.8	-	-	46	5.6	-	-
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	31	4.6	-	-	16	1.9	-	-
BOSTON SEA.	440	100.0	48	100.0	1 502	100.0	26	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	45	10.2	12	25.0	231	15.4	10	38.5
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	115	26.1	27	56.3	517	34.4	16	61.5
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	82	18.6	9	18.8	191	12.7	-	-
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	198	45.0	-	-	563	37.5	-	-
CHICAGO SMSA.	1 618	100.0	560	100.0	2 642	100.0	13	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	1 343	83.0	490	87.5	2 221	84.1	3	23.1
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA.	106	6.6	39	7.0	164	6.2	3	23.1
KANE COUNTY AREA.	33	2.0	7	1.2	84	3.2	1	7.7
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	84	5.2	9	1.6	116	4.4	3	23.1
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	16	1.0	4	0.7	23	0.9	-	-
WILL COUNTY AREA.	36	2.2	11	2.0	34	1.3	3	23.1
CLEVELAND SMSA.	350	100.0	-	100.0	563	100.0	1	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	290	82.9	-	-	484	86.0	-	-
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	13	3.7	-	-	16	2.8	-	-
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	33	9.4	-	-	49	8.7	1	100.0
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	14	4.0	-	-	14	2.5	-	-
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	629	100.0	7	100.0	924	100.0	17	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	14	2.2	-	-	5	0.5	-	-
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	370	58.6	-	-	667	72.2	6	35.3
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	19	3.0	-	-	25	2.7	-	-
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	3	0.5	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	1	0.2	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	5	0.8	-	-	6	0.6	-	-
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	2	0.3	-	-	4	0.4	-	-
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	3	0.5	-	-	3	0.3	-	-
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	5	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	197	31.3	7	100.0	208	22.5	11	64.7
WISE COUNTY AREA.	10	1.6	-	-	4	0.4	-	-
DETROIT SMSA.	1 147	100.0	22	100.0	2 632	100.0	14	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	12	1.0	-	-	8	0.3	-	-
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	23	2.0	-	-	50	1.9	-	-
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	161	14.0	21	95.5	242	9.2	5	35.7
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	249	21.7	-	-	649	24.7	5	35.7
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	18	1.6	-	-	42	1.6	-	-
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	684	59.6	1	4.5	1 641	62.3	4	28.6
HOUSTON SMSA.	542	100.0	3	100.0	777	100.0	68	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	14	2.6	-	-	11	1.4	-	-
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	4	0.7	-	-	6	0.8	-	-
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	509	93.9	3	100.0	733	94.3	68	100.0
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	6	1.1	-	-	14	1.8	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	8	1.5	-	-	12	1.5	-	-
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	1	0.2	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	4 533	100.0	1 211	100.0	10 911	100.0	16	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	4 533	100.0	1 211	100.0	10 911	100.0	16	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	572	100.0	172	100.0	1 172	100.0	32	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	45	7.9	7	4.1	50	4.3	-	-
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	31	0.5	-	-	3	0.3	-	-
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	13	2.3	-	-	13	1.1	-	-
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	22	3.8	-	-	21	1.8	-	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA.	306	53.5	135	78.5	642	54.8	9	28.1
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA.	143	25.0	29	16.3	409	34.9	23	71.9
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA.	10	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	12	2.1	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA.	13	2.3	1	0.6	29	2.5	-	-
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	5	0.9	-	-	4	0.3	-	-
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	1 011	100.0	-	100.0	1 868	100.0	29	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA.	578	57.2	-	-	1 199	64.2	9	31.0
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	433	42.8	-	-	669	35.8	20	69.0
NEWARK SMSA	958	100.0	2	100.0	2 055	100.0	59	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	527	55.0	2	100.0	1 391	67.7	15	25.4
MORRIS COUNTY AREA.	97	10.1	-	-	174	8.5	5	8.5
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA.	80	8.4	-	-	181	8.8	6	10.2
UNION COUNTY AREA.	254	26.5	-	-	309	15.0	33	55.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll ²	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	92 241	100.0	68 300	100.0	8 668	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	4 284	4.6	2 961	4.3	501	5.8
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	81 046	87.9	60 899	89.2	7 303	84.3
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	188	0.2	100	0.1	23	0.3
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	1 220	1.3	793	1.2	149	1.7
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	5 503	6.0	3 547	5.2	692	8.0
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	30 277	100.0	19 066	100.0	5 310	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 210	4.0	736	3.9	220	4.1
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 100	3.6	614	3.2	159	3.0
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	2 255	7.4	1 357	7.1	271	5.1
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 026	3.4	354	1.9	206	3.9
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 878	6.2	1 098	5.8	313	5.9
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	827	2.7	440	2.3	112	2.1
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	2 089	6.9	1 312	6.9	410	7.7
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	19 892	65.7	13 155	69.0	3 619	68.2
PITTSBURGH SMSA	6 685	100.0	4 390	100.0	1 167	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	5 330	79.7	3 634	82.8	881	75.5
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	518	7.7	285	6.5	112	9.6
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	262	3.9	166	3.8	43	3.7
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	575	8.6	305	6.9	131	11.2
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	8 846	100.0	6 480	100.0	1 012	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	25	0.3	13	0.2	2	0.2
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	93	1.1	59	0.9	19	1.9
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	122	1.4	71	1.1	29	2.9
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	606	6.9	413	6.4	72	7.1
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	10	0.1	7	0.1	1	0.1
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	440	5.0	368	5.7	42	4.2
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	647	7.3	451	7.0	68	6.7
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	3 801	43.0	2 796	43.1	359	35.5
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	3 102	35.1	2 302	35.5	420	41.5
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	20 393	100.0	10 872	100.0	2 623	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	7 376	36.2	3 872	35.6	790	30.1
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	3 350	16.4	1 580	14.5	511	19.5
MARIN COUNTY AREA	1 223	6.0	489	4.5	219	8.3
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	5 368	26.3	3 258	30.0	721	27.5
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	3 076	15.1	1 673	15.4	382	14.6
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	20 660	100.0	13 377	100.0	1 875	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	508	2.5	379	2.8	48	2.6
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	700	3.4	540	4.0	46	2.5
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	106	0.5	92	0.7	2	0.1
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	88	0.4	85	0.6	3	0.2
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	1 615	7.8	1 227	9.2	217	11.6
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	51	0.2	45	0.3	1	0.1
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	87	0.4	46	0.3	20	1.1
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 891	9.2	1 490	11.1	152	8.1
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	2 363	11.4	1 872	14.0	150	8.0
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	385	1.9	270	2.0	52	2.8
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	12 866	62.3	7 331	54.8	1 184	63.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.¹ Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.² Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to total.

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	4 496	100.0	23	100.0	9 616	100.0	1 138	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	336	7.5	-	-	486	5.1	-	-
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	3 492	77.7	-	-	8 228	85.6	1 124	98.8
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	19	0.4	-	-	46	0.5	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	133	3.0	23	100.0	122	1.3	-	-
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	516	11.5	-	-	734	7.6	14	1.2
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	1 496	100.0	132	100.0	4 253	100.0	20	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	83	5.5	28	21.2	143	3.4	-	-
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	108	7.2	-	-	215	5.1	4	20.0
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	209	14.0	-	-	410	9.6	8	40.0
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	132	8.8	41	31.1	293	6.9	-	-
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	137	9.2	28	21.2	298	7.0	4	20.0
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	90	6.0	-	-	181	4.3	4	20.0
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	109	7.3	35	26.5	223	5.2	-	-
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	628	42.0	-	-	2 490	58.5	-	-
PITTSBURGH SMSA	385	100.0	103	100.0	622	100.0	18	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	292	75.8	78	75.7	427	68.6	18	100.0
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	34	8.8	12	11.7	75	12.1	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	12	3.1	4	3.9	37	5.9	-	-
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	47	12.2	9	8.7	83	13.3	-	-
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	413	100.0	27	100.0	904	100.0	10	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	7	1.7	1	3.7	2	0.2	-	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	11	2.7	-	-	4	0.4	-	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	11	2.7	-	-	5	0.6	6	60.0
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	36	8.7	12	44.4	73	8.1	-	-
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	2	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	17	4.1	-	-	13	1.4	-	-
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	49	11.9	14	51.9	65	7.2	-	-
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	155	37.5	-	-	487	53.9	4	40.0
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	125	30.3	-	-	255	28.2	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	1 794	100.0	576	100.0	4 451	100.0	77	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	634	35.3	301	52.3	1 746	39.2	33	42.9
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	361	20.1	112	19.4	785	17.6	1	1.3
MARIN COUNTY AREA	99	5.5	49	8.5	342	7.7	25	32.5
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	475	26.5	114	19.8	782	17.6	18	23.4
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	225	12.5	-	-	796	17.9	-	-
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	728	100.0	-	100.0	4 582	100.0	98	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	34	4.7	-	-	47	1.0	-	-
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	27	3.7	-	-	75	1.6	12	12.2
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	7	1.0	-	-	5	0.1	-	-
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	62	8.5	-	-	104	2.3	5	5.1
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	3	0.4	-	-	2	(2)	-	-
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	8	1.1	-	-	13	0.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	120	16.5	-	-	129	2.8	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	150	20.6	-	-	177	3.9	14	14.3
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	22	3.0	-	-	30	0.7	11	11.2
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	295	40.5	-	-	4 000	87.3	56	57.1

Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	3 576 179	3 534 147	3 217 898	316 249	75 049	236 591	4 609	42 032	21 894	20 138
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	878	856	818	38	38	-	-	22	-	22
JEFFERSON	9 828	9 828	9 299	529	200	329	-	-	-	-
MADISON	2 336	2 336	2 150	186	181	5	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	4 861	4 861	4 483	378	378	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	2 441	2 441	2 350	91	91	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	1 691	1 683	1 644	39	39	-	-	8	8	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	40 979	40 979	25 763	15 216	583	14 633	-	-	-	-
PIMA	30 678	30 342	26 767	3 575	2 671	904	-	336	291	45
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	3 933	3 910	3 383	527	105	422	-	23	23	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	67 925	67 422	61 751	5 671	324	5 008	339	503	282	215
BUTTE	6 488	6 481	5 145	1 336	98	1 238	-	7	-	7
CONTRA COSTA	33 569	32 780	31 218	1 562	36	1 426	-	789	26	763
FRESNO	22 398	22 358	21 731	627	252	375	-	40	18	22
HUMBOLDT	5 616	5 616	5 581	35	33	2	-	-	-	-
KERN	21 273	21 273	19 156	2 117	1 160	957	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	417 719	417 130	386 123	31 007	6 467	24 528	12	589	589	-
MARIN	12 037	11 992	11 853	139	50	89	-	45	45	-
MERCED	4 377	4 373	4 129	244	155	89	-	4	4	-
MONTEREY	15 605	15 599	12 475	3 124	265	2 859	-	6	6	-
ORANGE	63 537	63 481	61 608	1 873	594	1 279	-	56	55	1
RIVERSIDE	33 408	33 246	30 723	2 523	445	2 078	-	162	-	162
SACRAMENTO	43 569	43 193	41 658	1 535	495	1 040	-	376	376	-
SAN BERNARDINO	41 198	41 161	40 322	839	115	724	-	37	35	2
SAN DIEGO	72 701	72 697	70 292	2 405	919	1 414	72	4	-	4
SAN JOAQUIN	16 435	16 422	16 141	281	73	208	-	13	13	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	6 237	6 229	5 875	354	133	221	-	8	8	-
SAN MATEO	30 582	30 582	30 450	132	93	28	11	24	24	-
SANTA BARBARA	16 968	16 944	16 081	863	339	524	-	24	24	-
SANTA CLARA	53 284	53 284	50 737	2 547	78	2 469	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	8 973	8 964	8 594	370	159	61	150	9	9	-
SOLANO	9 341	9 316	8 159	1 157	58	1 091	8	25	24	1
SONOMA	13 543	13 543	11 039	2 504	513	1 091	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	12 926	12 779	12 113	666	82	577	7	147	-	147
TULARE	11 409	11 403	11 294	109	80	27	2	6	6	-
VENTURA	23 512	23 268	22 994	274	150	124	-	244	44	200
YOLO	6 423	6 370	5 423	947	213	734	-	53	-	53
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	3 458	3 458	3 382	76	57	19	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	3 142	3 142	3 027	115	-	115	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	4 579	4 579	2 170	2 409	2 409	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	4 116	4 116	4 027	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	3 783	3 777	3 565	212	135	77	-	6	-	6
LARIMER	1 574	1 574	1 477	97	97	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	1 630	1 630	1 539	91	75	-	16	-	-	-
WELD	1 430	1 430	1 413	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	5 631	5 631	5 540	91	91	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	5 688	5 688	3 870	1 818	220	1 598	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	7 796	4 779	4 585	194	194	-	-	17	17	-
BROWARD	14 797	14 717	13 362	1 355	1 341	14	-	80	74	6
DADE	63 330	63 330	62 261	1 069	1 007	62	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	8 945	8 903	6 098	2 805	192	2 613	-	42	24	18
HILLSBOROUGH	13 369	13 282	12 411	871	792	79	-	87	-	87
LEE	4 017	4 017	3 559	458	358	-	100	-	-	-
LEON	3 294	3 294	2 994	313	220	93	-	-	-	-
MANATEE	2 615	2 615	2 398	217	217	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	13 354	13 354	11 115	2 239	111	2 128	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	15 114	15 087	12 756	2 331	1 050	1 281	-	27	-	27
PASCO	3 412	3 412	3 062	350	319	51	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	12 937	12 937	11 261	1 676	770	906	-	-	-	-
POLK	7 086	7 086	5 398	1 688	257	1 431	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	6 351	6 351	3 725	2 626	166	2 460	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	3 337	3 337	3 196	141	141	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	5 031	5 018	4 643	375	268	107	-	13	13	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	3 342	3 342	2 997	345	191	124	30	-	-	-
CHATHAM	4 485	4 430	3 939	491	131	10	350	55	-	55
CLAYTON	4 364	4 364	4 341	23	23	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CONTINUED

1 OF 5

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

81

Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
GEORGIA--CONTINUED										
COBB	7 346	7 309	6 917	392	392	-	-	37	-	37
DE KALB	15 328	15 328	15 030	298	280	18	-	-	-	-
FULTON	18 563	17 421	16 903	518	266	252	-	1 142	-	1 142
RICHMOND	4 217	4 216	3 982	234	231	3	-	1	-	1
IDAHO:										
ADA	2 625	2 620	2 226	394	157	237	-	5	-	5
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	2 148	2 148	2 064	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	127 525	122 858	100 981	21 877	1 639	20 238	-	4 667	-	4 667
DU PAGE	7 737	7 737	7 518	219	200	19	-	-	-	-
KANE	3 904	3 904	3 548	356	292	64	-	-	-	-
LAKE	6 499	6 499	6 263	236	221	15	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	1 384	1 358	1 322	36	36	-	-	26	-	26
MCHENRY	2 272	2 259	2 189	70	70	-	-	13	-	13
MCLEAN	1 940	1 937	1 903	34	34	-	-	3	-	3
MACON	1 706	1 706	1 667	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	4 281	4 271	3 830	441	132	169	140	10	-	10
PEORIA	4 126	4 126	3 359	767	26	621	120	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	2 464	2 464	2 403	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	3 875	3 261	3 181	80	80	-	-	614	4	610
SANGAMON	2 620	2 620	2 482	138	138	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	1 516	1 516	1 438	78	78	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	3 788	3 785	3 449	337	206	131	-	2	-	2
WINNEBAGO	5 821	5 821	4 086	1 735	93	1 642	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	5 877	5 577	5 338	239	206	33	-	300	278	22
DELAWARE	1 513	1 502	1 380	122	121	1	-	11	-	11
ELKHART	1 791	1 733	1 635	98	98	-	-	58	50	8
LAKE	12 771	12 084	8 628	3 456	164	3 292	-	687	610	77
LA PORTE	1 833	1 821	1 325	496	161	335	-	12	3	9
MADISON	1 969	1 861	1 718	143	122	21	-	108	83	25
ST. JOSEPH	3 172	3 159	3 093	66	50	16	-	13	4	9
TIPPECANOE	1 469	1 387	1 316	71	71	-	-	82	-	82
VANDERBURGH	3 180	3 147	3 079	68	68	-	-	33	-	33
VIGO	1 832	1 815	1 693	122	86	36	-	17	5	12
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	2 667	2 667	2 557	110	110	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	3 291	3 188	3 104	84	45	39	-	103	52	51
POLK	8 004	7 978	7 903	75	66	9	-	26	-	26
SCOTT	1 898	1 898	1 831	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	1 735	1 735	1 720	15	7	8	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	4 435	4 435	4 073	362	310	52	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	6 569	6 564	6 174	390	354	36	-	5	-	5
SHAWNEE	3 295	3 295	3 031	264	258	6	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	2 566	2 566	2 486	80	7	73	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON	16 092	15 885	14 668	1 217	1 217	-	-	207	-	207
KENTON	1 399	1 296	1 265	31	31	-	-	103	-	103
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	5 307	5 300	4 799	501	441	60	-	7	-	7
CALCASIEU	4 580	4 456	4 028	428	133	295	-	124	124	-
JEFFERSON	16 090	16 090	14 346	1 744	461	1 111	172	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	3 074	3 074	2 895	179	159	20	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	2 342	2 342	2 179	163	113	50	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	2 854	2 815	2 691	124	124	-	-	39	-	39
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	1 405	1 395	1 354	41	41	-	-	10	10	-
PENOBSCOT	846	846	709	137	22	115	-	-	-	-
YORK	770	770	728	42	5	37	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	11 856	11 856	11 421	435	435	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	26 176	26 176	25 996	180	180	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	2 450	2 448	2 360	88	88	-	-	2	2	-
MONTGOMERY	27 866	27 808	25 262	2 546	257	2 289	-	58	-	58
PRINCE GEORGES	31 345	31 345	28 561	2 784	1 029	1 755	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	903	903	841	62	50	12	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE	2 847	2 847	2 728	119	38	81	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE	1 815	1 815	1 780	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	5 008	4 975	4 908	67	41	26	-	33	6	27
ESSEX	10 412	10 412	7 648	2 764	22	2 742	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	9 452	9 452	7 227	2 225	-	2 225	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	2 081	2 078	1 792	284	9	275	-	3	-	3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED										
MIDDLESEX	18 423	18 423	17 644	779	70	709	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	6 518	6 518	6 435	83	46	37	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	6 488	6 488	5 662	826	45	781	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	9 431	9 431	8 554	877	9	868	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	2 993	2 856	2 709	147	114	33	-	137	-	137
BERRIEN	5 741	5 453	5 222	231	177	54	-	288	-	288
CALHOUN	4 573	4 425	4 323	102	102	-	-	148	-	148
GENESEE	14 229	13 673	13 569	104	104	-	-	556	460	96
INGHAM	6 924	6 826	6 676	150	138	12	-	98	48	50
JACKSON	3 914	3 772	3 648	124	118	6	-	142	-	142
KALAMAZOO	6 629	6 476	5 947	529	119	410	-	153	-	153
KENT	9 355	9 068	7 762	1 306	80	1 226	-	287	-	287
MACOMB	13 384	13 262	11 550	1 712	245	1 467	-	122	118	4
MONROE	3 412	3 321	3 216	105	82	23	-	91	-	91
MUSKEGON	4 192	3 916	3 824	92	80	12	-	276	-	276
OAKLAND	20 699	20 423	19 646	777	248	529	-	276	-	276
OTTAWA	2 569	2 497	2 388	109	101	8	-	72	58	14
SAGINAW	5 951	5 793	5 724	69	69	-	-	158	-	158
ST. CLAIR	3 469	3 314	3 244	70	67	3	-	155	-	155
WASHTENAW	8 799	8 650	8 487	163	163	-	-	149	-	149
WAYNE	72 063	66 888	66 237	651	618	33	-	5 175	2 194	2 981
MINNESOTA:										
ANKA	3 451	3 451	3 297	154	154	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	2 422	2 337	2 039	298	298	-	-	85	-	85
HENNEPIN	22 689	22 509	21 467	1 042	289	753	-	180	-	180
RAMSEY	14 367	14 367	13 858	509	509	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	6 120	5 299	4 518	781	633	148	-	821	-	821
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	2 259	2 259	2 159	100	73	27	-	-	-	-
HINDS	4 176	4 116	1 914	2 202	154	2 048	-	60	-	60
JACKSON	1 240	1 240	1 173	67	21	46	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	1 599	1 599	1 561	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	1 686	1 685	1 300	385	43	342	-	1	1	-
JACKSON	13 456	13 250	11 958	1 292	116	1 176	-	206	206	-
JEFFERSON	1 619	1 609	1 204	405	90	309	6	10	2	8
ST. CHARLES	1 591	1 591	1 428	163	163	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	24 363	24 363	23 763	600	600	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	7 306	7 306	7 012	294	201	93	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	3 143	2 991	2 825	166	86	80	-	152	-	152
NEVADA:										
CLARK	29 811	29 811	28 776	1 035	999	36	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	7 146	7 146	6 936	210	210	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	1 851	1 734	1 669	65	34	31	-	117	101	16
ROCKINGHAM	1 127	1 056	1 006	50	50	-	-	71	67	4
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	4 451	4 451	4 403	48	12	36	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	14 645	14 645	14 514	131	126	5	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	7 115	7 105	4 140	2 965	-	2 965	-	10	10	-
CAMDEN	11 495	11 495	11 495	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	2 885	2 885	2 797	88	-	88	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	39 723	39 723	39 659	64	59	5	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	2 826	2 826	2 826	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	15 076	15 076	14 896	180	180	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	10 177	10 177	8 165	2 012	26	1 986	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	17 243	17 243	12 103	5 140	151	4 989	-	13	12	1
MONMOUTH	5 982	5 949	5 943	26	-	26	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	5 925	5 925	5 297	628	138	490	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	5 387	5 387	4 747	640	130	510	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	10 370	10 370	10 272	98	-	-	98	-	-	-
SOMERSET	3 887	3 881	3 757	124	82	42	-	6	-	6
UNION	12 760	12 760	12 404	356	39	317	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	3 865	3 393	2 972	421	22	-	399	472	-	472
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	7 332	7 147	7 014	133	133	-	-	185	185	-
BROOME	3 330	3 127	2 980	147	69	78	-	203	202	1
CHAUTAUQUA	2 843	2 827	2 299	528	86	442	-	16	16	-
CHEMUNG	2 209	2 183	2 151	32	4	28	-	26	26	-
DUTCHESS	5 096	4 896	4 808	88	66	22	-	200	200	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
ERIE	26 757	25 697	25 359	338	338	-	-	1 060	1 060	-
MONROE	22 175	21 425	21 077	348	348	-	-	750	750	-
NASSAU	126 866	124 860	121 967	2 893	2 082	811	-	2 006	1 962	44
NIAGARA	4 568	4 396	4 278	118	116	2	-	172	172	-
ONEIDA	3 613	3 513	3 130	383	52	331	-	100	100	-
ONONDAGA	16 726	15 978	13 788	2 190	216	1 974	-	748	748	-
ORANGE	5 153	4 930	4 366	564	40	524	-	223	223	-
OSWEGO	1 956	1 814	1 746	68	52	16	-	142	-	142
RENSSELAER	2 552	2 437	2 380	57	57	-	-	115	115	-
ROCKLAND	6 591	6 581	6 114	467	44	423	-	10	-	10
ST. LAWRENCE	1 610	1 601	1 553	48	48	-	-	9	9	-
SARATOGA	1 665	1 541	1 502	39	39	-	-	124	124	-
SCHENECTADY	2 140	1 982	1 923	59	37	22	-	158	158	-
STEEBEN	1 768	1 623	1 517	106	44	62	-	145	145	-
SUFFOLK	95 114	94 880	92 842	2 038	1 083	950	5	234	234	-
ULSTER	2 907	2 762	2 628	134	56	78	-	145	-	145
WESTCHESTER	28 909	28 876	25 880	2 996	287	2 709	-	33	31	2
NORTH CAROLINA ¹										
BUNCOMBE	1 689	1 679	1 584	95	67	28	-	10	-	10
CUMBERLAND	4 461	4 339	2 816	1 523	404	101	1 018	122	-	122
DURHAM	1 073	1 073	1 032	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	2 779	2 703	2 507	196	194	2	-	76	-	76
GASTON	2 070	2 070	1 923	147	147	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	3 445	3 341	3 108	233	185	47	1	104	-	104
MECKLENBURG	8 525	7 847	5 349	2 498	162	2 336	-	678	-	678
ONSLOW	1 020	1 020	885	135	80	55	-	-	-	-
WAKE	1 436	1 368	1 315	53	53	-	-	68	-	68
OHIO:										
ALLEN	1 974	1 965	1 829	136	33	103	-	9	-	9
ASHTABULA	1 374	1 374	1 256	118	118	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	2 685	2 685	2 620	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK	2 090	2 090	1 991	99	92	6	1	-	-	-
CLERMONT	1 276	1 270	1 167	103	22	81	-	6	-	6
COLUMBIANA	1 575	1 575	1 530	45	34	11	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	68 696	68 696	23 807	44 889	224	44 665	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	10 167	9 939	9 837	102	102	-	-	228	-	228
GREENE	1 911	1 911	1 827	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	17 066	16 919	16 335	584	250	334	-	147	-	147
LAKE	3 937	3 937	2 755	1 182	153	1 029	-	-	-	-
LICKING	1 605	1 605	1 512	93	81	12	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	6 470	6 414	3 130	3 284	11	3 273	-	56	-	56
LUCAS	20 188	20 122	7 356	12 766	84	12 682	-	66	-	66
MAHONING	3 328	3 328	3 194	134	61	58	15	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	13 741	13 217	13 032	185	185	-	-	524	-	524
PORTAGE	2 272	2 181	2 079	102	50	52	-	91	7	84
RICHLAND	2 366	2 361	1 855	506	88	418	-	5	-	5
STARK	5 699	5 699	5 094	605	361	244	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT	7 481	7 457	7 385	72	72	-	-	24	-	24
TRUMBULL	3 267	3 267	3 016	251	249	2	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	1 198	964	949	15	15	-	-	234	234	-
OKLAHOMA	4 391	3 453	3 422	31	20	11	-	938	938	-
TULSA	4 571	3 624	3 557	67	65	2	-	947	944	3
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	3 944	3 937	3 831	106	106	-	-	7	-	7
JACKSON	3 033	3 033	2 862	171	171	-	1	-	-	-
LANE	7 166	7 166	7 051	115	112	3	-	-	-	-
MARION	4 495	4 493	3 791	704	166	538	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	21 558	21 466	21 284	182	136	26	20	92	36	56
WASHINGTON	4 538	4 525	4 415	110	110	-	-	13	1	12
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	28 266	28 263	27 561	702	669	33	-	3	-	3
BEAVER	3 435	3 383	3 315	68	68	-	-	52	-	52
BERKS	4 137	3 771	3 729	42	42	-	-	366	366	-
BLAIR	1 369	1 367	1 341	26	26	9	-	2	-	2
BUCKS	8 442	8 276	7 477	799	169	50	-	166	166	-
BUTLER	1 238	1 217	1 197	20	20	-	580	21	-	21
CAMBRIA	1 782	1 726	1 715	11	11	-	-	56	56	-
CENTRE	1 107	1 105	1 105	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
CHESTER	6 604	6 467	6 146	321	286	35	-	137	89	48
CUMBERLAND	1 584	1 541	1 540	1	1	-	-	43	26	17
DAUPHIN	4 927	4 725	4 478	247	247	-	-	202	202	-
DELAWARE	12 953	12 939	12 312	627	612	15	-	14	14	-
ERIE	5 297	5 097	4 928	169	169	-	-	200	180	20
FAYETTE	1 496	1 481	1 259	222	177	45	-	15	15	-
FRANKLIN	1 156	1 102	1 097	5	5	-	-	54	54	-
LACKAWANNA	2 416	2 358	2 349	9	9	-	-	58	58	-
LANCASTER	4 592	4 165	3 319	846	47	799	-	427	427	-
LAWRENCE	1 092	1 054	922	132	17	115	-	38	-	38

Table 15. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED										
LEBANON	1 187	1 171	1 154	17	17	-	-	16	16	-
LEHIGH	3 667	3 417	3 097	320	61	259	-	250	250	-
LUZERNE	3 702	3 288	3 168	120	34	86	-	414	414	-
LYCOMING	1 992	1 921	1 901	20	20	-	-	71	62	9
MERCER	1 948	1 934	1 593	341	15	326	-	14	9	5
MONTGOMERY	10 546	10 182	9 931	251	128	123	-	364	364	-
NORTHAMPTON	3 252	3 094	2 760	334	123	211	-	158	158	-
SCHUYLKILL	2 516	2 468	2 436	32	32	-	-	48	48	-
WASHINGTON	2 053	1 998	1 974	24	24	-	-	55	55	-
WESTMORELAND	4 628	4 304	3 343	961	40	921	-	324	181	143
YORK	3 723	3 610	3 595	15	15	-	-	113	107	6
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	1 907	1 907	1 830	77	77	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	5 100	4 966	4 612	354	229	125	-	134	53	81
GREENVILLE	7 614	7 595	4 904	2 691	714	1 977	-	19	-	19
LEXINGTON	1 820	1 797	1 605	192	92	-	100	23	23	-
RICHLAND	5 006	4 859	4 666	193	193	-	-	147	104	43
SPARTANBURG	3 545	3 410	3 118	292	242	50	-	135	46	89
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	5 996	5 996	4 612	1 384	423	961	-	-	-	-
KNOX	4 014	4 014	3 581	433	153	280	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	16 851	16 508	15 554	954	243	711	-	343	261	82
SULLIVAN	1 365	1 365	1 316	49	40	9	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	2 015	2 015	1 497	518	40	477	1	-	-	-
BEXAR	12 701	12 701	12 379	322	322	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	1 711	1 645	1 497	148	148	-	-	66	66	-
CAMERON	2 743	2 743	1 914	829	130	-	699	-	-	-
DALLAS	26 097	26 097	25 092	1 005	655	349	1	-	-	-
EL PASO	4 296	4 274	4 226	48	46	2	-	22	22	-
GALVESTON	3 283	3 274	2 901	373	83	290	-	9	9	-
HARRIS	44 563	44 563	42 632	1 931	1 931	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	2 457	2 457	2 089	368	56	312	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	3 750	3 680	3 507	173	128	45	-	70	70	-
LUBBOCK	1 860	1 820	1 732	88	61	27	-	40	40	-
MCKENNA	3 707	3 707	3 691	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
MUEES	11 682	11 681	3 634	8 047	188	7 842	17	1	-	1
SMITH	1 123	1 122	1 104	18	2	16	-	1	-	1
TARRANT	10 975	10 975	10 405	570	570	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	861	839	794	45	45	-	-	22	22	-
TRAVIS	6 833	6 747	6 538	209	205	-	4	86	86	-
WICHITA	1 205	1 185	1 098	87	87	-	-	20	20	-
UTAH:										
DAVIS	879	855	776	79	79	-	-	24	-	24
SALT LAKE	11 130	11 130	10 943	187	187	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	996	996	638	88	88	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	1 471	1 457	1 414	43	43	-	-	14	-	14
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	84	84	76	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	10 367	10 018	9 990	28	28	-	-	349	349	-
FAIRFAX	22 380	21 356	19 978	2 378	1 535	817	26	1 024	900	124
HENRICO	7 771	7 703	6 534	1 169	218	951	-	68	-	68
PRINCE WILLIAM	4 209	4 116	3 962	154	154	-	-	93	85	8
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	3 839	3 834	3 648	186	43	143	-	5	-	5
KING	28 615	28 615	27 070	1 545	480	976	89	-	-	-
KITSAP	2 337	2 337	2 136	201	200	1	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	9 921	9 882	9 247	635	454	181	-	39	-	39
SNOHOMISH	5 983	5 983	5 963	20	19	1	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	6 839	6 839	6 511	328	127	201	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	3 673	3 649	3 377	272	137	135	-	24	-	24
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	1 305	1 305	1 266	39	23	16	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	1 972	1 962	1 733	229	164	65	-	10	10	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	2 887	2 794	2 623	171	142	29	-	93	93	-
DANE	7 132	6 888	6 414	474	474	-	-	244	185	79
KENOSHA	2 472	2 344	2 230	114	111	3	-	128	128	-
MARATHON	1 766	1 674	1 539	135	135	-	-	92	43	49
MILWAUKEE	24 447	24 202	23 854	348	267	81	-	245	245	-
OUTAGAMIE	1 768	1 629	1 564	65	65	-	-	139	139	-
RACINE	3 940	3 799	3 617	182	163	19	-	141	141	-
ROCK	2 726	2 617	2 547	70	70	-	-	109	109	-
WAUKESHA	3 651	3 530	3 343	187	126	61	-	121	111	10
WINNEBAGO	1 796	1 707	1 623	84	84	-	-	89	89	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Page left blank to preserve table sequence.

Table 16 Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	26 203 480	3 576 179	13.6	1 058 853	29.6	927 231	25.9
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	4 432	878	19.8	206	23.5	468	53.3
JEFFERSON	107 334	9 828	9.2	3 120	31.7	3 427	34.9
MADISON	10 934	2 336	21.4	447	19.1	1 048	44.9
MOBILE	27 458	4 861	17.7	1 436	29.5	2 190	45.1
MONTGOMERY	9 347	2 441	26.1	490	20.1	1 066	43.7
TUSCALOOSA	13 066	1 691	12.9	526	31.1	751	44.4
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	175 932	40 979	23.3	6 957	17.0	18 084	44.1
PIMA	113 887	30 678	26.9	10 080	32.9	6 518	21.2
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	10 392	3 933	37.8	896	22.8	986	25.1
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	339 135	67 925	20.0	8 514	12.5	18 420	27.1
BUTTE	41 165	6 488	15.8	1 357	20.9	1 327	20.5
CONTRA COSTA	224 555	33 569	14.9	4 905	14.6	8 049	24.0
FRESNO	209 953	22 398	10.7	6 259	27.9	4 353	19.4
HUMBOLDT	43 409	5 616	12.9	1 883	33.5	1 239	22.1
KERN	163 585	21 273	13.0	7 919	37.2	3 692	17.4
LOS ANGELES	2 953 635	417 719	14.1	121 281	29.0	111 214	26.6
MARIN	69 588	12 037	17.3	2 152	21.9	1 020	23.3
MERCED	57 132	4 377	7.7	1 312	30.0	1 657	24.9
MONTEREY	88 742	15 605	17.6	3 693	23.7	2 642	16.9
ORANGE	369 260	63 537	17.2	11 265	17.7	18 246	28.7
RIVERSIDE	225 406	33 408	14.8	10 128	30.3	6 763	20.2
SACRAMENTO	322 955	43 569	13.5	13 969	32.1	7 231	16.6
SAN BERNARDINO	254 594	41 198	16.2	11 473	27.8	8 940	21.7
SAN DIEGO	402 526	72 701	18.1	14 775	20.3	17 057	23.5
SAN JOAQUIN	138 820	16 435	11.8	4 367	26.6	3 829	23.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	45 665	6 237	13.7	1 925	30.9	1 241	19.9
SAN MATEO	169 640	30 582	18.0	6 474	21.2	6 136	20.1
SANTA BARBARA	107 631	16 968	15.8	4 918	29.0	3 386	20.0
SANTA CLARA	393 195	53 284	13.6	11 160	20.9	13 579	25.5
SANTA CRUZ	59 590	8 973	15.1	2 666	29.7	1 878	20.9
SOLANO	54 274	9 341	17.2	1 656	17.7	2 918	31.2
SONOMA	95 788	13 543	14.1	3 821	28.2	4 004	29.6
STANISLAUS	98 296	12 926	13.2	2 708	21.0	2 893	22.4
TULARE	90 587	11 409	12.6	3 497	30.7	1 670	14.6
VENTURA	149 481	23 512	15.7	7 177	30.5	4 647	19.8
YOLO	35 924	6 423	17.9	1 719	26.8	1 154	18.0
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	33 887	3 458	10.2	2 044	59.1	229	6.6
ARAPAHOE	21 571	3 142	14.6	1 441	45.9	550	17.5
BOULDER	20 848	4 579	22.0	1 352	29.5	42	0.9
EL PASO	31 564	4 116	13.0	1 659	16.0	1 181	28.7
JEFFERSON	32 793	3 783	11.5	2 142	56.6	128	3.4
LARIMER	14 568	1 574	10.8	919	58.4	76	4.8
PUEBLO	35 897	1 630	4.5	497	30.5	147	9.0
WELD	33 332	1 430	4.3	593	41.5	134	9.4
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	64 162	5 631	8.8	4 271	75.8	1 149	20.4
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	21 422	5 688	26.6	2 020	35.5	897	15.8
BREVARD	38 320	4 796	12.5	2 790	58.2	1 364	28.4
BROWARD	75 856	14 797	19.5	6 789	45.9	4 794	32.4
DADE	505 577	63 330	12.5	32 538	51.4	16 343	25.8
ESCAMBIA	35 450	8 945	25.2	2 168	24.2	2 875	32.1
HILLSBOROUGH	113 815	13 369	11.7	5 181	38.8	3 105	23.2
LEE	22 847	4 017	17.6	2 723	67.8	958	23.6
LEON	12 706	3 294	25.9	1 529	46.4	766	29.3
MANATEE	31 105	2 615	8.4	1 678	64.2	623	23.7
ORANGE	63 858	13 354	20.9	6 352	47.6	4 579	34.3
PALM BEACH	73 092	15 114	20.7	8 593	56.9	4 000	26.5
PASCO	16 282	3 412	21.0	2 024	59.3	978	28.7
PINELLAS	66 633	12 937	19.4	5 348	41.3	4 562	35.3
POLK	39 419	7 086	18.0	3 211	45.3	2 092	29.5
SARASOTA	20 312	6 351	31.3	5 030	79.2	845	13.3
SEMINOLE	12 158	3 337	27.4	1 869	56.0	886	26.6
VOLUSIA	25 150	5 031	20.0	2 022	40.2	1 189	23.6
GEORGIA:							
BIBB	11 131	3 342	30.0	1 124	33.5	1 039	31.1
CHATHAM	19 290	4 485	23.2	1 365	30.4	1 970	43.9
CLAYTON	18 566	4 364	23.5	2 150	49.3	1 121	25.7
COBB	45 067	7 346	16.3	3 557	48.4	2 228	30.3
DE KALB	107 099	15 328	14.3	8 601	56.1	2 932	19.1
FULTON	134 291	18 563	13.8	2 495	13.4	7 184	38.7
RICHMOND	15 187	4 217	27.8	1 650	39.1	1 018	24.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	311 502	8.7	107 532	3.0	1 053 949	29.5	117 112	3.3
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	43	4.9	-	-	161	18.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	542	5.5	-	-	2 439	24.8	300	3.1
MADISON	245	10.5	5	0.2	591	25.3	-	-
MOBILE	260	5.3	-	-	975	20.1	-	-
MONTGOMERY	222	9.1	-	-	663	27.2	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	77	4.6	8	0.5	329	19.5	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	2 546	6.2	2 087	5.1	11 079	27.0	226	0.6
PIMA	2 351	7.7	1 739	5.7	7 106	23.2	2 884	9.4
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	553	14.1	153	3.9	1 345	34.2	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	6 719	9.9	4 643	6.8	28 953	42.6	676	1.0
BUTTE	663	10.2	171	2.6	2 597	40.0	373	5.7
CONTRA COSTA	4 343	12.9	2 188	6.5	14 084	42.0	-	-
FRESNO	2 830	12.6	1 011	4.5	7 943	35.5	2	(2)
HUMBOLDT	745	13.3	197	3.5	1 399	24.9	153	2.7
KERN	2 154	10.1	652	3.1	6 856	32.2	-	-
LOS ANGELES	40 792	9.8	14 204	3.4	130 228	31.2	-	-
MARIN	996	8.3	1 019	8.5	4 420	36.7	455	3.8
MERCED	501	11.4	145	3.3	1 388	31.7	11	0.3
MONTEREY	1 657	10.6	711	4.6	6 902	44.2	-	-
ORANGE	6 977	11.0	2 448	3.9	24 425	38.4	176	0.3
RIVERSIDE	3 125	9.4	1 626	4.9	11 766	35.2	-	-
SACRAMENTO	5 986	13.7	2 491	5.7	13 839	31.8	53	0.1
SAN BERNARDINO	3 804	9.2	1 213	2.9	12 987	31.5	2 781	6.8
SAN DIEGO	8 072	11.1	3 142	4.3	29 310	40.3	345	0.5
SAN JOAQUIN	1 833	11.2	998	6.1	5 365	32.6	43	0.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	965	15.5	283	4.5	1 818	29.1	5	0.1
SAN MATEO	2 643	8.6	1 172	3.8	13 723	44.9	434	1.4
SANTA BARBARA	1 825	10.8	649	3.8	6 190	36.5	-	-
SANTA CLARA	5 538	10.4	2 509	4.7	19 316	36.3	1 182	2.2
SANTA CRUZ	1 176	13.1	316	3.5	2 879	32.1	58	0.6
SOLANO	750	8.0	542	5.8	3 475	37.2	-	-
SONOMA	1 200	8.9	401	3.0	4 021	29.7	96	0.7
STANISLAUS	1 816	14.0	267	2.1	5 091	39.4	151	1.2
TULARE	1 513	13.3	717	6.3	3 588	31.4	424	3.7
VENTURA	2 917	12.4	884	3.8	7 312	31.1	575	2.4
YOLO	712	11.1	364	5.7	2 474	38.5	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	781	22.6	-	-	404	11.7	-	-
ARAPAHOE	723	23.0	-	-	428	13.6	-	-
BOULDER	461	10.1	-	-	485	10.6	2 239	48.9
EL PASO	1 233	30.0	-	-	1 043	25.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	882	23.3	17	0.4	420	11.1	194	5.1
LARIMER	302	19.2	-	-	276	17.5	1	0.1
PUEBLO	585	35.9	5	0.3	322	19.8	74	4.5
WELD	196	27.7	-	-	252	17.6	55	3.8
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	211	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	153	2.7	39	0.5	2 482	43.6	107	1.9
BREVARD	165	3.4	44	0.9	365	7.6	68	1.4
BROWARD	304	2.1	173	1.2	2 295	15.5	442	3.0
DADE	842	1.3	231	0.4	10 225	16.1	3 151	5.0
ESCAMBIA	115	1.3	126	1.4	3 627	40.5	34	0.4
HILLSBOROUGH	310	2.3	111	0.8	4 292	32.1	370	2.8
LEE	114	2.8	10	0.2	212	5.3	-	-
LEON	59	1.8	21	0.6	469	14.2	250	7.6
MANATEE	30	1.1	-	-	264	10.1	23	0.9
ORANGE	232	1.7	42	0.3	1 921	14.4	228	1.7
PALM BEACH	366	2.4	89	0.6	2 015	13.3	51	0.3
PASCO	147	4.3	5	0.1	258	7.6	-	-
PINELLAS	278	2.1	29	0.2	2 334	18.0	386	3.0
POLK	115	1.6	12	0.2	1 592	22.5	64	0.9
SARASOTA	116	1.8	21	0.3	339	5.3	-	-
SEMINOLE	160	4.8	4	0.1	344	10.3	74	2.2
VOLUSIA	141	2.8	5	0.1	1 608	32.0	66	1.3
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	317	9.5	66	2.0	793	23.7	3	0.1
CHATHAM	264	5.9	158	3.5	728	16.2	-	-
CLAYTON	295	6.8	83	1.9	715	16.4	-	-
COBB	292	4.0	159	2.2	992	13.5	118	1.6
DE KALB	683	4.5	169	1.1	2 914	19.0	29	0.2
FULTON	1 793	9.7	339	1.8	6 752	36.4	-	-
RICHMOND	283	6.7	45	1.1	1 221	29.0	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:	9 644	2 625	27.2	876	33.4	637	24.3
ADA							
ILLINOIS:	7 905	2 148	27.2	812	37.8	383	17.8
CHAMPAIGN	408 441	127 525	31.2	14 659	11.5	42 113	33.0
COOK	37 536	7 737	20.6	2 330	30.1	2 182	28.2
DU PAGE	13 835	3 904	28.2	1 199	30.7	767	19.6
KANE	33 189	6 499	19.6	2 385	36.7	1 169	18.0
LAKE	8 558	1 384	16.2	431	31.1	295	21.3
LA SALLE	8 882	2 272	25.6	1 215	53.5	358	15.8
MCHEENRY	8 028	1 940	24.2	885	45.6	451	23.2
MCLEAN							
MACON	7 612	1 706	22.4	635	37.2	374	21.9
MADISON	14 782	4 281	29.0	1 300	30.4	1 141	26.7
PEORIA	15 175	4 126	27.2	1 241	30.1	604	14.6
ROCK ISLAND	10 883	2 464	22.6	1 085	44.0	345	14.0
ST. CLAIR	15 527	3 875	25.0	1 057	27.5	767	19.8
SANGAMON	10 464	2 620	25.0	955	36.0	482	18.4
TAZEWELL	6 282	1 513	24.1	652	43.0	353	23.3
WILL	21 634	3 788	17.5	1 610	42.5	1 012	26.7
WINNEBAGO	20 616	5 821	28.2	1 529	26.3	1 001	17.2
INDIANA:	32 867	5 877	17.9	1 690	28.8	1 567	26.7
ALLEN	9 146	1 513	16.5	532	35.2	378	25.0
DELAWARE	15 549	1 791	11.5	616	34.4	476	26.6
ELKHART	75 867	12 771	16.8	2 337	18.3	2 959	23.2
LAKE	10 540	1 833	17.4	496	27.1	406	22.1
LA PORTE	9 737	1 969	20.2	462	23.5	663	33.7
MADISON	24 746	3 172	12.6	890	28.1	1 054	33.2
ST. JOSEPH	8 843	1 469	16.6	537	36.6	225	15.3
TIPPECANOE	15 546	3 180	20.5	745	23.4	802	25.2
VANDERBURGH	10 593	1 832	17.3	404	22.1	747	40.8
VIGO							
IOWA:	10 756	2 667	24.8	385	14.4	1 030	38.6
BLACK HAWK	20 662	3 291	15.9	715	21.7	1 027	31.2
LINN	42 096	8 004	19.0	866	10.8	2 462	30.8
POLK	11 338	1 898	16.7	569	30.0	610	32.1
SCOTT	12 104	1 735	14.3	407	23.5	691	39.8
WOODBURY							
KANSAS:	29 562	4 435	15.0	1 932	43.6	1 097	24.7
JOHNSON	29 500	6 569	22.3	1 143	17.4	2 133	32.5
SEDGWICK	10 869	3 295	30.3	610	18.5	948	28.8
SHAWNEE	11 791	2 566	21.8	304	11.8	1 255	48.9
WYANDOTTE							
KENTUCKY:	81 577	16 092	19.7	9 869	61.3	1 558	9.7
JEFFERSON	14 935	1 399	9.4	598	42.7	312	22.3
KENTON							
LOUISIANA:	12 619	5 307	42.1	2 974	56.0	680	12.8
CADDO	56 865	4 580	8.1	2 534	55.3	657	14.3
CALCASTEU	128 507	16 090	12.5	8 548	53.1	4 077	25.3
JEFFERSON	13 214	3 074	23.3	1 907	62.0	560	18.2
LAFAYETTE	7 043	2 342	33.3	1 239	52.9	315	13.5
QUACHITA	20 353	2 854	14.0	1 789	62.7	478	16.7
RAPIDES							
MAINE:	2 265	1 405	62.0	446	31.7	426	30.3
CUMBERLAND	1 808	846	46.8	162	19.3	331	39.1
PENOBSCOT	1 190	770	64.7	161	20.9	314	40.8
YORK							
MARYLAND:	238 654	11 356	5.0	9 303	78.5	1 031	8.7
ANNE ARUNDEL	415 153	26 176	6.3	21 399	81.7	1 638	6.3
BALTIMORE	73 987	2 450	3.3	990	40.4	471	19.2
HARFORD	492 031	27 866	5.7	18 680	67.0	2 498	9.0
MONTGOMERY	468 130	31 345	6.7	22 720	72.5	2 234	7.1
PRINCE GEORGES	56 154	903	1.6	271	30.0	231	25.6
WASHINGTON							
MASSACHUSETTS:	7 508	2 847	37.9	369	13.0	985	34.6
BARNSTABLE	4 137	1 815	43.9	67	3.7	804	44.3
BERKSHIRE	9 244	5 008	54.2	59	1.2	2 539	51.7
BRISTOL	17 068	10 412	61.0	69	0.7	5 754	55.3
ESSEX	14 410	9 452	65.6	75	0.8	5 667	60.0
HAMPDEN	5 885	2 081	35.4	19	0.9	829	39.8
HAMPSHIRE	39 029	18 423	47.2	147	0.8	9 954	54.0
MIDDLESEX	14 520	6 518	44.9	59	0.9	3 669	56.3
NORFOLK	10 457	6 488	62.0	386	5.9	2 989	46.1
PLYMOUTH	16 806	9 431	56.1	59	0.6	5 126	54.4
WORCESTER							

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:	239	9.1	119	4.5	470	17.9	284	10.8
ADA								
ILLINOIS:	291	13.5	82	3.8	580	27.0	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	11 783	9.2	4 424	3.5	42 043	33.0	12 503	9.3
COOK	968	12.5	409	5.3	1 795	23.2	53	0.7
DU PAGE	321	8.2	1 497	2.4	1 497	38.3	25	0.6
KANE	783	12.0	143	2.2	1 952	30.0	67	1.0
LAKE	202	14.6	47	3.4	313	22.6	96	6.9
LA SALLE	223	9.8	83	3.7	393	17.3	-	-
MCHEENRY	187	9.6	52	2.7	352	18.1	13	0.7
MCLEAN								
MACON	233	13.7	70	4.1	394	23.1	-	-
MADISON	366	8.5	134	3.1	1 336	31.2	4	0.1
PEORIA	343	8.3	126	3.1	1 812	43.9	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	298	12.1	30	1.2	572	23.2	134	5.4
ST. CLAIR	437	11.3	203	5.2	1 388	35.8	23	0.6
SANGAMON	341	13.0	72	2.7	612	23.4	158	6.0
TAZEWELL	259	17.1	52	3.4	193	12.7	7	0.5
WILL	427	11.3	311	8.2	411	10.9	17	0.4
WINNEBAGO	420	7.2	159	2.7	1 071	18.4	1 641	28.2
INDIANA:	334	5.7	146	2.5	2 140	36.4	-	-
ALLEN	108	7.1	20	1.3	475	31.4	-	-
DELAWARE	85	4.7	43	2.4	571	31.9	-	-
ELKHART	821	6.4	157	1.9	6 049	47.4	448	3.5
LAKE	112	6.1	35	1.9	784	42.8	-	-
LA PORTE	87	4.4	73	3.7	684	34.7	-	-
MADISON	211	6.7	106	3.3	911	28.7	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	112	7.6	39	2.7	441	30.0	115	7.8
TIPPECANOE	279	8.8	255	9.0	735	23.1	364	11.4
VANDERBURGH	119	6.5	54	2.9	468	25.5	40	2.2
VIGO								
IOWA:	178	6.7	251	9.4	823	30.9	-	-
BLACK HAWK	237	7.2	254	7.7	1 047	31.8	11	0.3
LINN	619	7.7	778	9.7	3 241	40.5	38	0.5
POLK	197	10.4	101	5.3	421	22.2	-	-
SCOTT	214	12.3	163	9.4	260	15.0	-	-
WOODBURY								
KANSAS:	631	14.2	30	0.7	745	16.8	-	-
JOHNSON	780	11.9	29	0.4	2 072	31.5	412	6.3
SEDGWICK	471	14.3	-	-	815	24.7	451	13.7
SHAWNEE	340	13.3	27	1.1	640	24.9	-	-
WYANDOTTE								
KENTUCKY:	736	4.6	80	0.5	3 824	23.8	25	0.2
JEFFERSON	106	7.6	132	9.4	193	13.8	58	4.1
KENTON								
LOUISIANA:	189	3.6	77	1.5	1 387	26.1	-	-
CADDO	286	6.2	21	0.5	1 082	23.6	-	-
CALCASTEU	808	5.0	112	0.7	2 314	14.4	231	1.4
JEFFERSON	74	2.4	90	2.9	443	14.4	-	-
LAFAYETTE	268	11.4	31	1.3	489	20.9	-	-
QUACHITA	233	8.2	39	1.4	315	11.0	-	-
RAPIDES								
MAINE:	41	2.9	63	4.5	410	29.2	19	1.4
CUMBERLAND	92	10.9	39	4.6	221	26.1	-	-
PENOBSCOT	22	2.9	16	2.1	257	33.4	-	-
YORK								
MARYLAND:	846	7.1	-	-	647	5.5	24	0.2
ANNE ARUNDEL	1 323	5.1	-	-	1 680	6.4	136	0.5
BALTIMORE	365	14.9	-	-	613	25.0	11	0.5
HARFORD	1 437	5.2	5	(2)	3 791	13.6	1 455	5.2
MONTGOMERY	1 818	5.8	-	-	4 507	14.4	66	0.2
PRINCE GEORGES	110	12.2	-	-	265	29.5	25	2.8
WASHINGTON								
MASSACHUSETTS:	145	5.1	54	1.9	1 292	45.4	2	0.1
BARNSTABLE	61	3.4	35	1.9	833	45.9	15	0.8
BERKSHIRE	202	4.0	279	5.6	1 879	37.5	-	-
BRISTOL	344	3.3	209	2.0	4 036	38.8	-	-
ESSEX	411	4.3	281	3.0	3 018	31.9	-	-
HAMPDEN	185	8.9	32	1.5	1 016	48.8	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	706	3.8	820	4.5	6 796	36.9	-	-
MIDDLESEX	676	10.4	83	1.3	2 031	31.2	-	-
NORFOLK	332	5.1	278	4.3	2 503	38.6	-	-
PLYMOUTH	240	2.5	221	2.3	3 785	40.1	-	-
WORCESTER								

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:							
BAY	37 007	2 993	8.1	828	27.7	869	29.0
BERRIEN	22 629	5 741	25.4	1 523	26.5	1 612	28.1
CALHOUN	14 361	4 573	31.8	846	18.5	1 808	41.2
GENESEE	78 236	14 229	18.2	2 598	18.3	4 412	31.0
INGHAM	42 056	6 924	16.5	1 676	24.2	2 231	32.7
JACKSON	35 103	3 914	11.1	1 085	27.7	1 059	27.1
KALAMAZOO	20 776	6 629	31.9	1 638	24.7	1 778	26.4
KENT	56 837	9 355	16.5	1 861	19.9	1 899	20.3
MACOMB	74 924	13 384	17.9	2 712	20.3	3 778	28.2
MONROE	18 485	3 412	18.5	1 343	39.4	989	29.0
MUSKEGON	31 432	4 192	13.3	975	23.3	1 376	32.8
OAKLAND	154 023	20 699	13.4	5 274	25.5	5 102	24.4
OTTAWA	13 405	2 569	19.2	987	38.4	739	28.8
SAGINAW	30 711	5 951	19.4	1 137	19.1	1 690	28.4
ST. CLAIR	26 305	3 469	13.2	805	23.2	1 061	30.6
WASHTENAW	32 840	8 799	26.8	2 680	30.5	2 177	24.7
WAYNE	471 881	72 063	15.3	7 633	10.6	25 425	35.1
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	30 063	3 451	11.5	1 554	45.0	962	27.8
DAKOTA	24 144	2 422	10.0	771	31.8	927	38.1
HENNEPIN	239 320	22 689	9.5	3 897	17.2	7 150	31.1
RAMSEY	141 556	14 367	10.1	2 326	16.2	3 837	26.7
ST. LOUIS	62 692	6 120	9.8	1 976	32.3	1 814	29.6
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	25 297	2 259	8.9	817	36.2	903	40.1
HINDS	17 105	4 176	24.4	468	11.2	939	22.1
JACKSON	26 791	1 240	4.6	407	32.8	573	46.6
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	4 572	1 599	35.0	389	24.3	304	19.1
GREENE	6 074	1 686	27.8	607	36.0	592	35.1
JACKSON	63 011	13 456	21.4	1 235	9.2	4 117	30.4
JEFFERSON	4 293	1 619	37.7	601	37.1	705	43.1
ST. CHARLES	4 148	1 591	38.4	762	47.9	441	27.1
ST. LOUIS	115 426	24 363	21.1	13 117	53.8	6 216	25.1
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	66 601	7 306	11.0	1 436	19.7	2 246	30.1
LANCASTER	19 492	3 143	16.1	507	16.1	1 031	32.1
NEVADA:							
CLARK	134 075	29 811	22.2	17 472	58.6	3 094	10.1
WASHOE	55 950	7 146	12.8	2 653	37.1	1 658	23.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	10 729	1 851	17.3	102	5.5	984	53.1
ROCKINGHAM	5 733	1 127	19.7	269	23.9	612	54.1
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	43 368	4 451	10.3	433	9.7	887	19.1
BERGEN	128 532	14 645	11.4	3 474	23.7	4 658	31.4
BURLINGTON	59 553	7 115	11.9	1 555	21.9	1 158	16.1
CAMDEN	131 220	11 495	8.8	1 022	8.9	2 927	25.1
CUMBERLAND	27 665	2 885	10.4	235	8.1	657	22.1
ESSEX	299 229	39 723	13.3	4 925	12.4	11 499	28.1
GLOUCESTER	26 707	2 826	10.6	377	13.3	756	26.1
HUDSON	128 704	15 076	11.7	3 429	22.7	4 534	30.1
MERCER	73 124	10 177	13.9	720	7.1	2 439	24.1
MIDDLESEX	129 344	17 243	13.3	1 507	8.7	8 528	49.1
MONMOUTH	99 251	5 982	6.0	739	12.4	1 832	30.1
MORRIS	60 096	5 925	9.9	1 751	29.6	1 267	21.1
OCEAN	38 461	5 387	14.0	1 027	19.1	1 657	30.1
PASSAIC	89 966	10 370	11.5	917	8.8	3 485	33.1
SOMERSET	32 520	3 887	12.0	755	19.4	866	22.1
UNION	80 352	12 760	15.9	1 237	9.7	3 993	31.1
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	22 495	3 865	17.2	2 432	62.9	125	3.1
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	238 727	7 332	3.1	1 045	14.3	1 319	18.1
BROOME	73 466	3 330	4.5	940	28.2	658	19.1
CHAUTAUQUA	44 618	2 843	6.4	857	30.1	517	18.1
CHEMUNG	35 152	2 209	6.3	597	27.0	437	19.1
DUTCHESS	65 667	5 096	7.8	1 262	24.8	1 317	25.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	266	8.9	162	5.4	835	27.9	33	1.1
BERRIEN	478	8.3	215	3.7	1 913	33.3	-	-
CALHOUN	264	5.8	138	3.0	1 437	31.4	-	-
GENESEE	2 465	17.3	433	3.0	4 259	29.9	62	0.4
INGHAM	713	10.3	443	6.4	1 861	26.9	-	-
JACKSON	362	9.2	228	5.8	1 180	30.1	-	-
KALAMAZOO	661	10.0	208	3.1	2 344	35.4	-	-
KENT	580	6.2	336	3.6	4 477	47.9	202	2.2
MACOMB	1 481	11.1	635	4.7	4 705	35.2	73	0.5
MONROE	227	6.7	172	5.0	681	20.0	-	-
MUSKEGON	263	6.3	115	2.7	1 463	34.9	-	-
OAKLAND	1 715	8.3	1 065	5.1	7 354	35.5	189	0.9
OTTAWA	121	4.7	95	3.7	595	23.2	32	1.2
SAGINAW	455	7.6	469	7.9	2 142	36.0	58	1.0
ST. CLAIR	332	9.6	246	7.1	1 025	29.5	-	-
WASHTENAW	555	6.3	478	5.4	2 228	25.3	681	7.7
WAYNE	5 854	8.1	4 408	6.1	24 443	33.9	4 300	6.0
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	430	12.5	103	3.0	402	11.6	-	-
DAKOTA	210	8.7	79	3.3	435	18.0	-	-
HENNEPIN	1 898	8.4	1 108	4.9	8 509	37.5	127	0.6
RAMSEY	941	6.5	199	1.4	6 941	48.3	123	0.9
ST. LOUIS	450	7.4	262	4.3	1 618	26.4	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	137	6.1	44	1.9	331	14.7	27	1.2
HINDS	65	1.6	89	2.1	2 615	62.6	-	-
JACKSON	45	3.6	26	2.1	189	15.2	-	-
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	187	11.7	-	-	719	45.0	-	-
GREENE	202	12.0	3	0.2	282	16.7	-	-
JACKSON	1 386	10.3	37	0.3	6 675	49.6	6	(Z)
JEFFERSON	138	8.5	-	-	121	7.5	54	3.3
ST. CHARLES	131	8.2	-	-	257	16.2	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 211	5.0	-	-	3 819	15.7	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	903	12.4	382	5.2	2 220	30.4	119	1.6
LANCASTER	583	18.5	199	6.3	823	26.2	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK	2 262	7.6	808	2.7	6 173	20.7	2	(Z)
WASHOE	955	13.4	398	5.6	1 446	20.2	36	0.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	89	4.8	-	-	676	36.5	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	40	3.5	-	-	206	18.3	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	1 038	23.3	-	-	1 992	44.8	101	2.3
BERGEN	2 516	17.2	-	-	3 806	26.0	191	1.3
BURLINGTON	854	12.0	-	-	3 455	48.6	93	1.3
CAMDEN	2 500	21.7	-	-	4 917	42.8	129	1.1
CUMBERLAND	325	11.3	-	-	1 648	57.1	20	0.7
ESSEX	4 963	12.5	137	0.3	17 704	44.6	495	1.2
GLOUCESTER	476	16.8	-	-	1 148	40.6	69	2.4
HUDSON	2 464	16.3	8	0.1	4 641	30.8	-	-
MERCER	1 442	14.2	-	-	5 537	54.4	39	0.4
MIDDLESEX	2 438	14.1	30	0.2	4 535	26.3	205	1.2
MONMOUTH	1 004	16.8	3	0.1	2 350	39.3	54	0.9
MORRIS	1 097	18.5	-	-	1 693	28.6	117	2.0
OCEAN	1 068	16.3	-	-	1 601	29.7	34	0.6
PASSAIC	1 693	20.7	-	-	4 234	40.8	41	0.4
SOMERSET	806	23.4	4	0.1	1 413	36.3	43	1.1
UNION	2 989	23.4	-	-	4 490	35.2	51	0.4
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	224	5.8	-	-	944	24.4	140	3.6
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	622	8.5	256	3.5	4 090	55.8	-	-
BROOME	331	9.9	132	4.0	1 143	34.3	126	3.8
CHAUTAUQUA	251	8.8	118	4.2	1 100	38.7	-	-
CHEMUNG	224	10.1	68	3.1	883	40.0	-	-
DUTCHESS	514	10.1	245	4.8	1 732	34.0	26	0.5

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
ERIE	448 429	26 757	6.0	5 889	22.0	4 999	18.7
MONROE	357 827	22 175	6.2	5 881	26.5	4 788	21.6
NASSAU	733 474	126 866	17.3	83 897	66.1	17 020	13.4
NIAGARA	68 288	4 568	6.7	1 230	26.9	1 271	27.8
ONEIDA	62 616	3 613	5.8	1 648	17.9	1 031	28.5
ONONDAGA	185 705	16 726	9.0	3 087	18.5	2 748	16.4
ORANGE	87 254	5 153	5.9	68	1.3	2 311	44.8
OSWEGO	21 719	1 956	9.0	502	25.7	541	27.7
RENSSELAER	65 209	2 552	3.9	295	11.6	662	25.9
ROCKLAND	107 685	6 591	6.1	1 030	15.6	1 680	25.5
ST. LAWRENCE	37 652	1 610	4.3	386	24.0	470	29.2
SARATOGA	37 402	1 665	4.5	386	23.2	565	33.9
SCHENECTADY	41 840	2 140	5.1	136	6.4	657	30.7
STEUBEN	26 586	1 768	6.7	180	10.2	497	28.1
SUFFOLK	554 149	95 114	17.2	67 452	70.9	10 496	11.0
ULSTER	46 904	2 907	6.2	421	14.5	735	25.3
WESTCHESTER	379 572	28 909	7.6	5 235	18.1	7 222	25.0
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE	59 400	1 689	2.8	845	50.0	330	19.5
CUMBERLAND	95 480	4 461	4.7	1 783	40.0	1 725	38.7
DURHAM	67 589	1 073	1.6	402	37.5	370	34.5
FORSYTH	111 039	2 779	2.5	1 004	36.1	1 074	38.6
GASTON	59 691	2 070	3.5	964	46.6	250	12.1
GUILFORD	135 963	3 445	2.5	1 342	39.0	714	20.7
MECKLENBURG	194 766	8 525	4.4	2 907	34.1	3 511	41.2
ONSLOW	34 239	1 020	3.0	444	43.5	315	30.9
WAKE	106 147	1 436	1.4	458	31.9	565	39.3
OHIO:							
ALLEN	14 268	1 974	13.8	461	23.4	560	28.4
ASHTABULA	7 478	1 374	18.4	475	34.6	498	36.2
BUTLER	20 944	2 685	12.8	642	23.9	919	34.2
CLARK	21 141	2 090	9.9	551	26.4	637	30.5
CLERMONT	15 382	1 276	8.3	282	22.1	448	35.1
COLUMBIANA	8 800	1 575	17.9	574	36.4	542	34.4
CUYAHOGA	308 002	68 696	22.3	2 783	4.1	10 982	16.0
FRANKLIN	113 826	10 167	8.9	2 005	19.7	3 550	34.9
GREENE	17 412	1 911	11.0	517	27.1	619	32.4
HAMILTON	152 198	17 066	11.2	5 580	32.7	5 424	31.8
LAKE	34 047	3 937	11.6	816	20.7	1 122	28.5
LICKING	15 879	1 605	10.1	490	30.5	542	33.8
LORAIN	26 124	6 470	24.8	737	11.4	971	15.0
LUCAS	57 066	20 188	35.4	857	4.2	2 775	13.7
MAHONING	38 313	3 328	8.7	638	19.2	1 320	39.7
MONTGOMERY	98 398	13 741	14.0	2 814	20.5	3 645	26.5
PORTAGE	40 851	2 272	5.6	655	28.8	764	33.6
RICHLAND	12 569	2 366	18.8	539	22.8	635	26.8
STARK	31 737	5 699	18.0	1 474	25.9	1 765	31.0
SUMMIT	65 504	7 481	11.4	1 454	19.4	2 520	33.7
TRUMBULL	26 927	3 267	12.1	1 291	39.5	1 150	35.2
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE	17 171	1 198	7.0	207	17.3	552	46.1
OKLAHOMA	28 435	4 391	15.4	203	4.6	2 144	48.8
TULSA	28 079	4 571	16.3	500	10.9	1 757	38.4
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS	23 825	3 944	16.6	1 587	40.2	846	21.5
JACKSON	21 749	3 033	13.9	1 324	43.7	548	18.1
LANE	35 484	7 166	20.2	2 235	31.2	2 564	35.8
MARION	15 188	4 495	29.6	1 008	22.4	1 204	26.8
MULTNOMAH	71 083	21 558	30.3	7 253	33.6	5 489	25.5
WASHINGTON	33 502	4 538	13.5	2 105	46.4	744	16.4
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	219 825	28 266	12.9	6 383	22.6	11 732	41.5
BEAVER	16 717	3 435	20.5	480	14.0	1 723	50.2
BERKS	26 963	4 137	15.3	144	3.5	1 777	43.0
BLAIR	10 945	1 369	12.5	21	1.5	708	51.7
BUCKS	41 003	8 442	20.6	761	9.0	3 498	41.4
BUTLER	11 555	1 238	10.7	110	8.9	521	42.1
CAMBRIA	19 015	1 782	9.4	51	2.9	931	52.2
CENTRE	6 806	1 107	16.3	13	1.2	539	48.7
CHESTER	23 907	6 604	27.6	733	11.1	1 908	28.9
CUMBERLAND	13 831	1 584	11.5	45	2.8	603	38.1
DAUPHIN	28 870	4 927	17.1	376	7.6	1 544	31.3
DELAWARE	71 384	12 953	18.1	628	4.8	4 878	37.7
ERIE	22 295	5 297	23.8	98	1.9	1 482	28.0
FAYETTE	7 089	1 496	21.1	146	9.8	763	51.0
FRANKLIN	6 929	1 156	16.7	11	1.0	355	30.7
LACKAWANNA	17 059	2 416	14.2	64	2.6	1 96	49.5
LANCASTER	26 116	4 592	17.6	251	5.5	1 958	42.6
LAWRENCE	6 721	1 092	10.2	22	2.0	487	44.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE	2 988	11.2	1 142	4.3	11 610	43.4	129	0.5
MONROE	1 773	8.0	698	3.1	8 135	36.7	900	4.1
NASSAU	5 896	4.6	1 514	1.2	18 306	14.4	233	0.2
NIAGARA	390	8.5	117	2.6	1 388	30.4	172	3.8
ONEIDA	413	11.4	137	3.8	1 065	29.5	319	8.8
ONONDAGA	1 132	6.8	610	3.6	8 838	52.8	311	1.9
ORANGE	667	12.9	210	4.1	1 866	36.2	31	0.6
OSWEGO	178	9.1	44	2.2	691	35.3	-	-
RENSSELAER	278	10.9	89	3.5	1 180	46.2	48	1.9
ROCKLAND	892	13.5	345	5.2	2 430	36.9	214	3.2
ST. LAWRENCE	118	7.3	84	5.2	552	34.3	-	-
SARATOGA	197	11.8	48	2.9	469	28.2	-	-
SCHENECTADY	227	10.6	51	2.4	1 068	49.9	1	0.1
STEUBEN	182	10.3	67	3.8	842	47.6	-	-
SUFFOLK	4 424	4.7	1 489	1.6	10 864	11.4	389	0.4
ULSTER	324	11.1	101	3.5	1 224	42.1	102	3.5
WESTCHESTER	3 895	13.5	1 392	4.8	10 838	37.5	327	1.1
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	61	3.6	-	-	453	26.8	-	-
CUMBERLAND	73	1.6	-	-	651	14.6	229	5.1
DURHAM	18	1.7	-	-	265	24.7	18	1.7
FORSYTH	100	3.6	-	-	598	21.5	3	0.1
GASTON	52	2.5	14	0.7	481	23.2	309	14.9
GUILFORD	83	2.4	-	-	1 265	36.7	41	1.2
MECKLENBURG	164	1.9	59	0.7	1 290	15.1	594	7.0
ONSLOW	28	2.7	-	-	233	22.8	-	-
WAKE	67	4.7	-	-	340	23.7	6	0.4
OHIO:								
ALLEN	195	9.9	52	2.6	706	35.8	-	-
ASHTABULA	101	7.4	11	0.8	289	21.0	-	-
BUTLER	189	7.0	51	1.9	706	26.3	178	6.6
CLARK	147	7.0	88	4.2	652	31.2	15	0.7
CLERMONT	94	7.4	39	3.1	345	27.0	68	5.3
COLUMBIANA	142	9.0	84	5.3	233	14.8	-	-
CUYAHOGA	1 776	2.6	1 418	2.1	7 632	11.1	44 105	64.2
FRANKLIN	1 263	12.4	180	1.8	3 169	31.2	-	-
GREENE	183	9.6	91	4.8	501	26.2	-	-
HAMILTON	786	4.6	455	2.7	4 821	28.2	-	-
LAKE	297	7.5	93	2.4	1 609	40.9	-	-
LICKING	186	11.6	46	2.9	341	21.2	-	-
LORAIN	301	4.7	50	0.8	4 411	68.2	-	-
LUCAS	387	1.9	210	1.0	15 959	79.1	-	-
MAHONING	299	9.0	61	1.8	1 010	30.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY	899	6.5	430	3.1	3 760	27.4	2 193	16.0
PORTAGE	183	8.1	66	2.9	595	26.2	9	0.4
RICHLAND	236	10.0	20	0.8	933	39.4	3	0.1
STARK	385	6.8	156	2.7	1 919	33.7	-	-
SUMMIT	486	6.5	247	3.3	2 347	31.4	427	5.7
TRUMBULL	213	6.5	62	1.9	551	16.9	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	140	11.7	91	7.6	208	17.4	-	-
OKLAHOMA	551	12.5	291	6.6	1 202	27.4	-	-
TULSA	674	14.7	171	3.7	1 469	32.1	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	451	11.4	121	3.1	883	22.4	56	1.4
JACKSON	264	8.7	139	4.6	758	25.0	-	-
LANE	961	13.4	334	4.7	1 072	15.0	-	-
MARION	439	9.8	172	3.8	1 672	37.2	-	-
MULTNOMAH	2 555	11.9	1 085	5.0	5 041	23.4	135	0.6
WASHINGTON	459	10.1	234	5.2	996	21.9	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	2 407	8.5	802	2.8	6 793	24.0	149	0.5
BEAVER	291	8.5	104	3.0	786	22.9	51	1.5
BERKS	345	8.3	98	2.4	1 773	42.9	-	-
BLAIR	105	7.7	49	3.6	486	35.5	-	-
BUCKS	988	11.7	276	3.3	2 919	34.6	-	-
BUTLER	118	9.5	44	3.6	445	35.9	-	-
CAMBRIA	182	10.2	60	3.4	558	31.3	-	-
CENTRE	74	6.7	36	3.3	429	38.8	16	1.4
CHESTER	857	13.0	346	5.2	2 760	41.8	-	-
CUMBERLAND	134	8.5	40	2.5	762	48.1	-	-
DAUPHIN	486	9.9	154	3.1	2 367	48.0	-	-
DELAWARE	1 873	14.5	557	4.3	5 007	38.7	10	0.1
ERIE	437	8.2	217	4.1	2 982	56.3	81	1.5
FAYETTE	117	7.8	42	2.8	428	28.6	-	-
FRANKLIN	77	6.7	45	3.9	668	57.8	-	-
LACKAWANNA	285	11.8	89	3.7	782	32.4	-	-
LANCASTER	350	7.6	138	3.0	1 895	41.3	-	-
LAWRENCE	114	10.4	41	3.8	428	39.2	-	-

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LEBANON	8 527	1 187	13.9	124	10.4	450	37.9
LEHIGH	30 920	3 657	11.9	30	0.8	1 299	35.4
LUZERNE	20 459	3 702	18.1	111	3.0	1 644	44.4
LYCOMING	10 795	1 992	18.5	13	0.7	802	40.3
MERCER	7 988	1 948	24.4	19	1.0	621	31.9
MONTGOMERY	61 069	10 546	17.3	951	9.0	4 754	45.1
NORTHAMPTON	19 424	3 252	16.7	48	1.5	1 191	36.6
SCHUYLKILL	14 687	2 516	17.1	47	1.9	826	32.8
WASHINGTON	14 991	2 053	13.7	101	4.9	1 020	49.7
WESTMORELAND	21 784	4 628	21.2	229	4.9	1 835	39.6
YORK	17 298	3 723	21.5	308	8.3	1 519	40.8
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON	5 937	1 907	32.1	673	35.3	463	24.3
CHARLESTON	28 737	5 100	17.7	2 707	53.1	1 397	27.4
GREENVILLE	28 792	7 614	26.4	2 882	37.9	1 551	20.4
LEXINGTON	27 861	1 820	6.5	757	41.6	493	27.1
RICHLAND	43 099	5 006	11.6	2 028	40.5	1 746	34.9
SPARTANBURG	37 571	3 545	9.4	2 113	59.6	942	26.6
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON	95 787	5 996	6.3	1 726	28.8	1 646	27.5
KNOX	72 049	4 014	5.6	1 168	29.1	1 777	44.3
SHELBY	205 205	16 851	8.2	2 589	15.4	4 688	27.8
SULLIVAN	31 827	1 365	4.3	555	40.7	503	36.8
TEXAS:							
BELL	5 041	2 015	40.0	188	9.3	520	25.8
BEXAR	61 561	12 701	20.6	2 187	17.2	3 544	27.9
BRAZORIA	15 063	1 711	11.4	751	43.9	518	30.3
CAMERON	9 125	2 743	30.1	473	17.2	682	24.9
DALLAS	120 059	26 097	21.7	4 009	15.4	9 967	38.2
EL PASO	26 614	4 296	16.1	961	22.4	1 218	28.4
GALVESTON	22 094	3 283	14.9	851	25.9	1 083	33.0
HARRIS	189 965	44 563	23.5	8 830	19.8	15 167	34.0
HIDALGO	7 988	2 457	30.8	481	19.6	657	26.7
JEFFERSON	13 721	3 750	27.3	831	22.2	1 292	34.5
LUBBOCK	11 350	1 860	16.4	425	22.8	575	30.9
MCLENNAN	8 813	3 707	42.1	494	13.3	506	13.6
NUECES	48 215	11 682	24.2	734	6.3	1 673	14.3
SMITH	4 147	1 123	27.1	333	29.7	421	37.5
TARRANT	62 165	10 975	17.7	2 121	19.3	4 148	37.8
TAYLOR	3 027	861	28.4	154	17.9	385	44.7
TRAVIS	16 112	6 833	42.4	1 230	18.0	2 135	31.2
WICHITA	3 767	1 205	32.0	309	25.6	400	33.2
UTAH:							
DAVIS	4 748	879	18.5	413	47.0	124	14.1
SALT LAKE	57 838	11 130	19.2	5 568	50.0	1 875	16.8
UTAH	5 250	996	19.0	303	30.4	284	28.5
WEBER	12 776	1 471	11.5	550	37.4	216	14.7
VERMONT:							
CHITTENDEN	1 236	84	6.8	4	4.8	78	92.9
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON	133 549	10 367	7.8	7 598	73.3	1 064	10.3
FAIRFAX	403 107	22 380	5.6	15 156	67.7	3 236	14.5
HENRICO	66 351	7 771	11.7	4 875	62.7	1 322	17.0
PRINCE WILLIAM	75 950	4 209	5.5	2 686	63.8	738	17.5
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK	17 771	3 839	21.6	1 411	36.8	997	26.0
KING	196 961	28 615	14.5	10 302	36.0	6 477	22.6
KITSAP	14 202	2 337	16.5	908	38.9	555	23.7
PIERCE	42 739	9 921	23.2	4 521	45.6	1 791	18.1
SNOHOMISH	29 620	5 983	20.2	2 279	38.1	1 448	24.2
SPOKANE	34 016	6 839	20.1	2 356	34.4	1 333	19.5
YAKIMA	22 145	3 673	16.6	1 105	30.1	991	27.0
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL	6 112	1 305	21.4	264	20.2	480	36.8
KANAWHA	27 226	1 972	7.2	424	21.5	527	26.7
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN	33 765	2 887	8.6	1 779	61.6	462	16.0
DANE	54 122	7 132	13.2	2 952	41.4	1 847	25.9
KENOSHA	20 926	2 472	11.8	1 141	46.2	651	26.3
MARATHON	24 008	1 766	7.4	903	51.1	432	24.5
MILWAUKEE	322 842	24 447	7.6	4 318	17.7	8 947	36.6
OUTAGAMIE	19 164	1 768	9.2	856	48.4	438	24.8
RACINE	34 460	3 940	11.4	1 712	43.5	1 151	29.2
ROCK	32 350	2 726	8.4	806	29.6	691	25.3
WAUKESHA	33 553	3 651	10.9	1 461	40.0	1 025	28.1
WINNEBAGO	26 300	1 796	6.8	935	52.1	518	28.8

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

(Z) Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	80	6.7	32	2.7	501	42.2	-	-
LEHIGH	370	10.1	100	2.7	1 868	50.9	-	-
LUZERNE	328	8.9	242	6.5	1 377	37.2	-	-
LYCOMING	156	7.8	46	2.3	975	48.9	-	-
MERCER	149	7.6	119	6.1	1 040	53.4	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 082	10.3	427	4.0	3 332	31.6	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	233	7.2	173	4.1	1 648	50.7	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	224	8.9	37	3.5	1 332	52.9	-	-
WASHINGTON	213	10.4	63	3.1	656	32.0	-	-
WESTMORELAND	413	8.9	93	2.0	2 037	44.0	21	0.5
YORK	208	5.6	61	1.6	1 627	43.7	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	67	3.5	27	1.4	645	33.8	32	1.7
CHARLESTON	162	3.2	51	1.0	753	14.8	30	0.6
GREENVILLE	256	3.4	60	0.8	604	7.9	2 261	29.7
LEXINGTON	56	3.1	-	-	453	24.9	61	3.4
RICHLAND	432	8.6	137	2.7	633	13.2	-	-
SPARTANBURG	94	2.7	49	1.4	189	5.3	158	4.5
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	168	2.8	-	-	1 377	23.0	1 079	18.0
KNOX	126	3.1	10	0.2	933	23.2	-	-
SHELBY	405	2.4	529	3.1	7 984	47.4	656	3.9
SULLIVAN	93	6.8	3	0.2	211	15.5	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	334	16.6	116	5.8	857	42.5	-	-
BEXAR	1 636	12.9	427	3.4	3 650	28.7	1 257	9.9
BRAZORIA	153	8.9	35	2.0	212	12.4	42	2.5
CAMERON	215	7.8	42	1.5	632	23.0	699	25.5
DALLAS	2 868	11.0	901	3.5	8 352	32.0	-	-
EL PASO	515	12.0	196	4.6	1 138	26.5	268	6.2
GALVESTON	320	9.7	56	1.7	1 817	24.9	156	4.8
HARRIS	5 496	12.8	1 189	2.7	12 309	27.6	1 372	3.1
HIDALGO	239	9.7	42	1.7	1 038	42.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	679	18.1	121	3.2	791	21.1	36	1.0
LUBBOCK	303	16.3	76	4.1	441	23.7	40	2.2
MCLENNAN	255	6.9	35	0.9	1 349	36.4	1 068	28.8
NUECES	401	3.4	126	1.1	833	7.1	7 915	67.8
SMITH	116	10.3	54	4.8	199	17.7	-	-
TARRANT	1 867	17.0	305	2.8	2 191	20.0	343	3.1
TAYLOR	69	8.0	36	4.2	195	22.6	22	2.6
TRAVIS	643	9.4	104	1.5	2 635	38.6	86	1.3
WICHITA	136	11.3	27	2.2	313	26.0	20	1.7
UTAH:								
DAVIS	223	25.4	-	-	119	13.5	-	-
SALT LAKE	1 359	12.2	305	2.7	2 023	18.2	-	-
UTAH	155	15.6	49	4.9	171	17.2	34	3.4
WEBER	169	11.5	75	5.1	461	31.3	-	-
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	2	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	349	3.4	-	-	1 165	11.2	191	1.8
FAIRFAX	860	3.8	113	0.5	2 912	13.0	103	0.5
HENRICO	346	4.5	-	-	1 228	15.8	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	220	3.2	-	-	496	11.8	69	1.6
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	307	8.0	135	3.5	989	25.8	-	-
KING	1 979	6.9	1 546	5.4	8 229	28.8	82	0.3
KITSAP	231	9.9	117	5.0	494	21.1	32	1.4
PIERCE	893	9.0	325	3.3	2 341	23.6	50	0.5
SNOHOMISH	461	7.7	261	4.4	1 494	25.0	40	0.7
SPOKANE	508	7.4	290	4.2	1 970	28.8	382	5.6
YAKIMA	316	8.6	125	3.4	1 112	30.3	24	0.7
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	124	9.5	-	-	434	33.3	3	0.2
KANAWHA	353	17.9	-	-	658	33.4	10	0.5
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	110	3.8	88	3.0	448	15.5	-	-
DANE	797	11.2	335	4.7	1 201	16.8	-	-
KENOSHA	216	8.7	99	4.0	365	14.8	-	-
MARATHON	108	6.1	24	1.4	279	15.8	20	1.1
MILWAUKEE	2 193	9.0	1 179	4.8	7 730	31.6	80	0.3
OUTAGAMIE	181	10.2	83	4.7	210	11.9	-	-
RACINE	316	8.0	298	7.6	463	11.8	-	-
ROCK	184	6.7	149	5.5	896	32.9	-	-
WAUKESHA	292	8.0	98	2.7	775	21.2	-	-
WINNEBAGO	143	8.0	84	4.7	116	6.5	-	-

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	24 640 411	3 534 147	14.3	1 055 107	29.9	921 848	26.1
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	3 935	856	21.8	206	24.1	468	54.7
JEFFERSON	100 514	9 828	9.8	3 120	31.7	3 427	34.9
MADISON	10 500	2 336	22.2	447	19.1	1 048	44.9
MOBILE	23 439	4 861	20.7	1 436	29.5	2 190	45.1
MONTGOMERY	8 181	2 441	29.8	490	20.1	1 066	43.7
TUSCALOOSA	7 352	1 683	22.9	526	31.3	751	44.6
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	172 389	40 979	23.8	6 957	17.0	18 084	44.1
PIMA	112 270	30 342	27.0	10 008	33.0	6 506	21.4
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	10 362	3 910	37.7	896	22.9	976	25.0
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	311 047	67 422	21.7	8 514	12.6	18 180	27.0
BUTTE	38 975	6 481	16.6	1 357	20.9	1 327	20.5
CONTRA COSTA	215 072	32 780	15.2	4 731	14.4	7 460	22.8
FRESNO	109 298	23 017	11.8	6 259	28.0	4 353	19.5
HUMBOLDT	41 105	5 616	13.7	1 883	33.5	1 239	22.1
KERN	149 227	21 273	14.3	7 919	37.2	3 692	17.4
LOS ANGELES	2 865 473	417 130	14.6	121 281	29.1	111 214	26.7
MARIN	66 908	11 992	17.9	2 117	17.7	2 995	25.0
MERCED	52 260	4 373	8.4	1 312	30.0	1 020	23.3
MONTEREY	78 446	15 599	19.9	3 693	23.7	2 642	16.9
ORANGE	319 734	63 481	19.9	11 265	17.7	18 246	28.7
RIVERSIDE	198 178	33 246	16.8	10 128	30.5	6 601	19.9
SACRAMENTO	202 537	43 193	15.3	13 969	32.3	7 231	16.7
SAN BERNARDINO	247 273	41 161	16.6	11 473	27.9	8 938	21.7
SAN DIEGO	389 277	72 697	18.7	14 775	20.3	17 057	23.5
SAN JOAQUIN	118 832	16 422	13.8	4 367	26.6	3 829	23.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	41 352	6 229	15.1	1 925	30.9	1 241	19.9
SAN MATEO	154 995	30 582	19.7	6 474	21.2	6 136	20.1
SANTA BARBARA	96 177	16 944	17.6	4 918	29.0	3 386	20.0
SANTA CLARA	369 519	53 284	14.4	11 160	20.9	13 579	25.5
SANTA CRUZ	53 138	8 964	16.9	2 666	29.7	1 878	21.0
SOLANO	52 139	9 316	17.9	1 656	17.8	2 918	31.3
SONOMA	90 354	13 534	15.0	3 821	28.2	4 904	29.6
STANISLAUS	96 777	12 779	13.2	2 708	21.2	2 993	22.6
TULARE	83 097	11 403	13.7	3 497	30.7	1 670	14.6
VENTURA	144 048	23 268	16.2	7 177	30.8	4 647	20.0
YOLO	33 024	6 370	19.3	1 719	27.0	1 154	18.1
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	33 337	3 458	10.4	2 044	59.1	229	6.6
ARAPAHOE	20 780	3 142	15.1	1 441	45.9	550	17.5
BOULDER	20 321	3 579	22.5	1 352	29.5	42	0.9
EL PASO	30 320	4 116	13.6	659	16.0	1 181	28.7
JEFFERSON	31 646	3 777	11.9	2 142	56.7	128	3.4
LARIMER	14 565	1 574	10.8	919	58.4	76	4.8
PUEBLO	35 586	1 630	4.6	497	30.5	147	9.0
WELD	32 903	1 430	4.3	593	41.5	134	9.4
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	63 602	5 631	8.9	4 271	75.8	1 149	20.4
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	20 945	5 688	27.2	2 020	35.5	897	15.8
BREVARD	38 320	4 779	12.5	2 790	58.4	1 347	28.2
BROWARD	71 232	14 717	20.7	6 709	45.6	4 794	32.6
DADE	496 032	63 330	12.8	32 538	51.4	16 343	25.8
ESCAMBIA	34 703	8 903	25.7	2 150	24.1	2 873	32.3
HILLSBOROUGH	110 286	13 252	12.0	5 181	39.0	3 105	23.4
LEE	22 263	4 017	18.0	2 723	67.8	958	23.8
LEON	12 706	3 294	25.9	1 529	46.4	966	29.3
MANATEE	30 443	2 415	7.9	1 678	68.2	620	25.7
ORANGE	63 211	13 354	21.1	6 352	47.6	4 579	34.3
PALM BEACH	71 372	15 087	21.1	8 566	56.8	4 000	26.5
PASCO	16 282	3 412	21.0	2 024	59.3	978	28.7
PINELLAS	60 791	12 937	21.3	5 348	41.3	4 562	35.3
POLK	37 995	7 086	18.6	3 211	45.3	2 092	29.5
SARASOTA	19 740	6 351	32.2	5 030	79.2	845	13.3
SEMINOLE	12 120	3 337	27.5	1 869	56.0	886	26.6
VOLUSIA	24 750	5 018	20.3	2 022	40.3	1 176	23.4
GEORGIA:							
BIBB	10 844	3 342	30.8	1 124	33.6	1 039	31.1
CHATHAM	16 971	4 430	26.1	1 365	30.8	1 915	43.2
CLAYTON	18 566	4 364	23.5	2 150	49.3	1 121	25.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	310 163	8.8	107 231	3.0	1 023 230	29.0	116 568	3.3
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	43	5.0	-	-	139	16.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	542	5.5	-	-	2 439	24.8	300	3.1
MADISON	245	10.5	5	0.2	591	25.3	-	-
MOBILE	260	5.3	-	-	975	20.1	-	-
MONTGOMERY	222	9.1	-	-	663	27.2	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	77	4.6	-	-	329	19.5	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	2 546	6.2	2 087	5.1	11 079	27.0	226	0.6
PIMA	2 348	7.7	1 739	5.7	6 857	22.6	2 884	9.5
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	553	14.1	153	3.9	1 332	34.1	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	6 719	10.0	4 643	6.9	28 690	42.6	676	1.0
BUTTE	663	10.2	171	2.6	2 590	40.0	373	5.8
CONTRA COSTA	4 343	13.2	2 188	6.7	14 058	42.9	-	-
FRESNO	2 830	12.7	1 011	4.5	7 903	35.3	2	(2)
HUMBOLDT	745	13.3	197	3.5	1 399	24.9	153	2.7
KERN	2 154	10.1	652	3.1	6 856	32.2	-	-
LOS ANGELES	40 792	9.8	14 204	3.4	129 639	31.1	-	-
MARIN	996	8.3	1 019	8.5	4 410	36.8	455	3.8
MERCED	501	11.5	145	3.3	1 384	31.6	11	0.3
MONTEREY	1 657	10.6	711	4.6	6 896	44.2	-	-
ORANGE	6 977	11.0	2 448	3.9	24 370	38.4	175	0.3
RIVERSIDE	3 125	9.4	1 626	4.9	11 766	35.4	-	-
SACRAMENTO	5 986	13.9	2 491	5.8	13 463	31.2	53	0.1
SAN BERNARDINO	3 804	9.2	1 213	2.9	12 952	31.5	2 781	6.8
SAN DIEGO	8 072	11.1	3 142	4.3	29 306	40.3	345	0.5
SAN JOAQUIN	1 833	11.2	998	6.1	5 352	32.6	43	0.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	965	15.5	283	4.5	1 810	29.1	5	0.1
SAN MATEO	2 643	8.6	1 172	3.8	13 723	44.9	434	1.4
SANTA BARBARA	1 825	10.8	649	3.8	6 166	36.4	-	-
SANTA CLARA	5 538	10.4	2 309	4.7	19 316	36.3	1 182	2.2
SANTA CRUZ	1 176	13.1	316	3.5	2 870	32.0	58	0.6
SOLANO	750	8.1	542	5.8	3 450	37.0	-	-
SONOMA	1 200	8.9	401	3.0	4 021	29.7	96	0.7
STANISLAUS	1 816	14.2	267	2.1	4 944	38.7	151	1.2
TULARE	1 513	13.3	717	6.3	3 582	31.4	424	3.7
VENTURA	2 917	12.5	884	3.8	7 068	30.4	575	2.5
YOLO	712	11.2	364	5.7	2 421	38.0	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	781	22.6	-	-	404	11.7	-	-
ARAPAHOE	723	23.0	-	-	428	13.6	-	-
BOULDER	461	10.1	-	-	485	10.6	2 239	48.9
EL PASO	1 233	30.0	-	-	1 043	25.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	882	23.4	17	0.5	414	11.0	194	5.1
LARIMER	302	19.2	-	-	276	17.5	1	0.1
PUEBLO	585	33.9	5	0.3	322	1.8	74	4.5
WELD	396	27.7	-	-	252	17.6	55	3.8
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	211	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	153	2.7	29	0.5	2 482	43.6	107	1.9
BREVARD	165	3.5	44	0.9	365	7.6	68	1.4
BROWARD	304	2.1	173	1.2	2 295	15.6	442	3.0
DADE	842	1.3	231	0.4	10 225	16.1	3 151	5.0
ESCAMBIA	115	1.3	126	1.4	3 603	40.5	34	0.4
HILLSBOROUGH	310	2.3	111	0.8	4 205	31.7	370	2.8
LEE	114	2.8	10	0.2	212	5.3	-	-
LEON	59	1.8	21	0.6	469	14.2	250	7.6
MANATEE	30	1.1	-	-	264	10.1	23	0.9
ORANGE	232	1.7	42	0.3	1 921	14.4	228	1.7
PALM BEACH	366	2.4	89	0.6	2 015	13.4	51	0.3
PASCO	147	4.3	5	0.1	258	7.6	-	-
PINELLAS	278	2.1	29	0.2	2 334	18.0	386	3.0
POLK	115	1.6	12	0.2	1 592	22.5	64	0.9
SARASOTA	116	1.8	21	0.3	339	5.3	-	-
SEMINOLE	160	4.8	4	0.1	344	10.3	74	2.2
VOLUSIA	141	2.8	5	0.1	1 608	32.0	66	1.3
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	317	9.5	66	2.0	793	23.7	3	0.1
CHATHAM	264	6.0	158	3.6	728	16.4	-	-
CLAYTON	295	6.3	83	1.9	715	16.4	-	-

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED							
COPK.	44 173	7 309	16.5	3 557	48.7	2 228	30.5
DE. KALB.	82 987	15 328	18.5	8 601	56.1	2 932	19.1
FULTON.	67 350	17 421	25.9	1 353	7.8	7 184	41.2
RICHMOND.	15 187	4 216	27.8	1 649	39.1	1 018	24.1
IDAHO:							
ADA.	7 452	2 620	35.2	871	33.2	637	24.3
ILLINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN.	7 905	2 148	27.2	812	37.8	383	17.8
COOK.	394 968	122 858	31.1	14 659	11.9	42 113	34.3
DU PAGE.	37 536	7 737	20.6	2 330	30.1	2 182	28.2
KANE.	13 835	3 904	28.2	1 199	30.7	767	19.6
LAKE.	32 631	6 499	19.9	2 385	36.7	1 169	18.0
LA SALLE.	8 558	1 358	15.9	431	31.7	269	19.8
MCHENRY.	8 882	2 259	25.4	1 215	53.8	358	15.8
MCLEAN.	7 978	1 937	24.3	885	45.7	451	23.3
MACON.							
MADISON.	7 278	1 706	23.4	635	37.2	374	21.9
PEORIA.	14 782	4 271	28.9	1 295	30.3	1 141	26.7
ROCK ISLAND.	14 555	4 126	28.3	1 241	30.1	604	14.6
ST. CLAIR.	10 883	2 464	22.6	1 085	44.0	345	14.0
SANGAMON.	15 527	3 261	21.0	1 052	32.3	767	23.5
TAZEWELL.	9 624	2 620	27.2	955	36.5	482	18.4
WILL.	6 282	1 516	24.1	652	43.0	353	23.3
WINNEBAGO.	21 634	3 786	17.5	1 610	42.5	1 012	26.7
INDIANA:							
ALLEN.	20 616	5 821	28.2	1 529	26.3	1 001	17.2
DELAWARE.	32 498	5 577	17.2	1 690	30.3	1 545	27.7
ELKHART.	8 972	1 502	16.7	532	35.4	368	24.5
LAKE.	12 659	1 733	13.7	616	35.5	468	27.0
LA PORTE.	72 793	12 084	16.6	2 337	19.3	2 882	23.7
MADISON.	10 286	1 821	17.7	496	27.2	395	21.7
ST. JOSEPH.	9 504	1 861	19.6	462	24.8	640	34.4
TIPPECANOE.	24 445	3 159	12.9	890	28.2	1 045	33.1
VANDERBURGH.	8 748	1 387	15.9	537	38.7	222	16.0
VIGO.	15 546	3 147	20.2	745	23.7	780	24.8
IOWA:							
BLACK HAWK.	10 580	1 815	17.2	404	22.3	735	40.5
LINN.	9 238	2 667	28.9	385	14.4	1 030	38.6
POLK.	18 714	3 188	17.0	715	22.4	987	31.0
SCOTT.	42 096	7 978	19.0	866	10.9	2 462	30.9
WOODBURY.	10 419	1 898	18.2	569	30.0	610	32.1
KANSAS:							
JOHNSON.	10 569	1 735	16.4	407	23.5	691	39.8
SEDGWICK.	27 943	4 435	15.9	1 932	43.6	1 097	24.7
SHAWNEE.	26 301	6 564	25.0	1 143	17.4	2 129	32.4
WYANDOTTE.	9 465	3 295	34.8	610	18.5	948	28.8
KENTUCKY:							
JEFFERSON.	10 223	2 566	25.1	304	11.8	1 255	48.9
KENTON.	75 233	15 885	21.1	9 662	60.8	1 558	9.8
LOUISIANA:							
CADDO.	14 935	1 296	8.7	598	46.1	312	24.1
CALCASTEU.	11 910	5 300	44.5	2 974	56.1	680	12.8
JEFFERSON.	56 092	4 456	7.9	2 416	54.2	651	14.6
LAFAYETTE.	117 558	16 090	13.7	8 548	53.1	4 077	25.3
OUACHITA.	12 458	3 074	24.7	1 907	62.0	560	18.2
RAPIDES.	6 450	2 342	36.3	1 239	52.9	315	13.5
MAINE:							
CUMBERLAND.	18 348	2 815	15.3	1 789	63.6	439	15.6
PENOBSCOT.	2 119	1 395	65.8	436	31.3	426	30.5
YORK.	1 758	846	48.1	163	19.3	331	39.1
MARYLAND:							
ANNE ARUNDEL.	1 031	770	74.7	161	20.9	314	40.8
BALTIMORE.	235 515	11 856	5.0	9 308	78.5	1 031	8.7
HARFORD.	399 799	26 176	6.5	21 399	81.7	1 638	6.3
MONTGOMERY.	72 923	2 448	3.4	990	40.4	471	19.2
PRINCE GEORGES.	476 364	27 808	5.8	18 622	67.0	2 498	9.0
WASHINGTON.	457 398	31 345	6.9	22 720	72.5	2 334	7.1
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BARNSTABLE.	55 446	903	1.6	271	30.0	231	25.6
BERKSHIRE.	7 439	2 847	38.3	369	13.0	985	34.6
BRISTOL.	3 786	1 815	47.9	67	3.7	804	44.3
ESSEX.	9 244	4 975	53.8	59	1.2	2 588	52.0
HAMPDEN.	16 693	10 412	62.4	69	0.7	5 754	55.3
HAMPSHIRE.	13 862	9 452	68.2	75	0.8	5 667	60.0
HAWAII:							
KAHULUI.	5 664	2 078	36.7	19	0.9	826	39.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
CORB.	292	4.0	122	1.7	992	13.6	118	1.6
DE KALB	683	4.5	169	1.1	2 914	19.0	29	0.2
FULTON.	1 793	10.3	339	1.9	6 752	38.8	-	-
RICHMOND.	283	6.7	45	1.1	1 221	29.0	-	-
IDAHO:								
ADA	239	9.1	119	4.5	470	17.9	284	10.8
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	291	13.5	82	3.8	580	27.0	-	-
COOK.	11 783	9.6	4 424	3.6	37 376	30.4	12 503	10.2
DU PAGE	968	12.5	409	5.3	1 795	23.2	53	0.7
KANE.	321	8.2	95	2.4	1 497	38.3	25	0.6
LAKE.	783	12.0	143	2.2	1 952	30.0	67	1.0
LA SALLE.	202	14.9	47	3.5	313	23.0	96	7.1
MCHENRY	223	9.9	83	3.7	380	16.8	-	-
MCLEAN.	187	9.7	52	2.7	349	18.0	13	0.7
MACON	233	13.7	70	4.1	394	23.1	-	-
MADISON	366	8.6	134	3.1	1 335	31.3	-	-
PEORIA.	343	8.3	126	3.1	1 812	43.9	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	298	12.1	30	1.2	572	23.2	134	5.4
ST. CLAIR	437	13.4	203	6.2	783	24.0	19	0.6
SANGAMON.	341	13.0	72	2.7	612	23.4	158	6.0
TAZEWELL.	259	17.1	52	3.4	193	12.7	7	0.5
WILL.	427	11.3	311	8.2	409	10.8	17	0.4
WINNEBAGO	420	7.2	159	2.7	1 071	18.4	1 641	28.2
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	334	6.0	146	2.6	1 862	33.4	-	-
DELAWARE.	108	7.2	20	1.3	474	31.6	-	-
ELKHART	85	4.9	43	2.5	521	30.1	-	-
LAKE.	821	6.8	157	1.3	5 439	45.0	448	3.7
LA PORTE.	112	6.2	35	1.9	783	43.0	-	-
MADISON	87	4.7	73	3.9	599	32.2	-	-
ST. JOSEPH.	211	6.7	106	3.4	907	28.7	-	-
TIPPECANOE.	112	8.1	39	2.8	362	26.1	115	8.3
VANDERBURGH	279	8.9	255	8.1	724	23.0	364	11.6
VIGO.	119	6.6	54	3.0	463	25.5	40	2.2
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK.	178	6.7	251	9.4	823	30.9	-	-
LINN.	237	7.4	254	8.0	995	31.2	-	-
POLK.	619	7.8	778	9.8	3 215	40.3	38	0.5
SCOTT	197	10.4	101	5.3	421	22.2	-	-
WOODBURY.	214	12.3	163	9.4	260	15.0	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	631	14.2	30	0.7	745	16.8	-	-
SEDGWICK.	779	11.9	29	0.4	2 072	31.6	412	6.3
SHAWNEE	471	14.3	-	-	815	24.7	451	13.7
WYANDOTTE	340	13.3	27	1.1	640	24.9	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	736	4.6	80	0.5	3 824	24.1	25	0.2
KENTON.	106	8.2	29	2.2	193	14.9	58	4.5
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	189	3.6	70	1.3	1 387	26.2	-	-
CALCASIEU	286	6.4	21	0.5	1 082	24.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	808	5.0	112	0.7	2 314	14.4	231	1.4
LAFAYETTE	74	2.4	90	2.9	443	14.4	-	-
OUACHITA.	268	11.4	31	1.3	489	20.9	-	-
RAPIDES	233	8.3	39	1.4	315	11.2	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND.	41	2.9	63	4.5	410	29.4	19	1.4
PENOBSCOT	92	10.9	39	4.6	221	26.1	-	-
YORK.	22	2.9	16	2.1	257	33.4	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL.	846	7.1	-	-	647	5.5	24	0.2
BALTIMORE	1 323	5.1	-	-	1 680	6.4	136	0.5
HARFORD	365	14.9	-	-	611	25.0	11	0.5
MONTGOMERY	1 437	5.2	5	(2)	3 791	13.6	1 455	5.2
PRINCE GEORGES.	1 818	5.8	-	-	4 507	14.4	66	0.2
WASHINGTON.	110	12.2	-	-	266	29.5	25	2.8
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE.	145	5.1	54	1.9	1 292	45.4	2	0.1
BERKSHIRE	61	3.4	35	1.9	833	45.9	15	0.8
BRISTOL	196	3.9	279	5.6	1 853	37.2	-	-
ESSEX	344	3.3	209	2.0	4 036	38.8	-	-
HAMPDEN	411	4.3	281	3.0	3 018	31.9	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	185	8.9	32	1.5	1 016	48.9	-	-

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED							
MIDDLESEX	38 772	18 423	47.5	147	0.8	9 954	54.0
NORFOLK	14 037	6 518	46.4	59	0.9	3 669	56.3
PLYMOUTH	10 159	6 488	63.9	386	5.9	2 989	46.1
WORCESTER	16 345	9 431	57.7	59	0.6	5 126	54.4
MICHIGAN:							
BAY	24 904	2 856	11.5	828	29.0	869	30.4
BERRIEN	22 329	5 453	24.4	1 389	25.5	1 579	29.0
CALHOUN	14 093	4 425	31.4	839	19.0	1 837	41.5
GENESEE	78 236	13 673	17.5	2 598	19.0	4 366	31.9
INGHAM	41 297	6 826	16.5	1 676	24.6	2 231	32.7
JACKSON	31 669	3 772	11.9	1 085	28.8	1 059	28.1
KALAMAZOO	19 976	6 476	32.4	1 638	25.3	1 756	27.1
KENT	55 927	9 068	16.2	1 861	20.5	1 894	20.9
MACOMB	68 690	13 262	19.3	2 712	20.4	3 774	28.5
MONROE	18 346	3 321	18.1	1 337	40.3	964	29.0
MUSKEGON	31 188	3 916	12.6	854	21.8	1 376	35.1
OAKLAND	137 507	20 423	14.9	5 273	25.8	5 073	24.8
OTTAWA	13 291	2 497	18.8	987	39.5	739	29.6
SAGINAW	30 168	5 793	19.2	1 137	19.6	1 690	29.2
ST. CLAIR	25 901	3 314	12.8	799	24.1	1 061	32.0
WASHTENAW	32 033	8 650	27.0	2 680	31.0	2 166	25.0
WAYNE	439 888	66 888	15.2	7 633	11.4	25 079	37.5
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	30 063	3 451	11.5	1 554	45.0	962	27.9
DAKOTA	23 664	2 337	9.9	771	33.0	877	37.5
HENNEPIN	226 342	22 509	9.9	3 897	17.3	7 150	31.8
RAMSEY	139 025	14 367	10.3	2 326	16.2	3 837	26.7
ST. LOUIS	61 598	5 299	8.6	1 976	37.3	1 814	34.2
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	25 248	2 259	8.9	817	36.2	903	40.0
HINDS	15 592	4 116	26.4	468	11.4	939	22.8
JACKSON	26 065	1 240	4.8	407	32.8	573	46.2
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	4 559	1 599	35.1	389	24.3	304	19.0
GREENE	6 074	1 685	27.7	607	36.0	592	35.1
JACKSON	62 537	13 250	21.2	1 235	9.3	4 117	31.1
JEFFERSON	4 250	601	37.9	601	37.4	697	43.3
ST. CHARLES	4 068	1 591	39.1	762	47.9	441	27.7
ST. LOUIS	92 399	24 363	26.4	13 117	53.8	6 216	25.5
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	59 931	7 306	12.2	1 436	19.7	2 246	30.7
LANCASTER	17 724	2 991	16.9	507	17.0	1 031	34.5
NEVADA:							
CLARK	123 014	29 611	24.2	17 472	58.6	3 094	10.4
WASHOE	55 950	7 146	12.8	2 653	37.1	1 658	23.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	10 330	1 734	16.8	86	5.0	883	50.9
ROCKINGHAM	5 733	1 056	18.4	269	25.5	545	51.6
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	41 539	4 451	10.7	433	9.7	887	19.9
BERGEN	123 021	14 645	11.9	3 474	23.7	5 658	31.8
BURLINGTON	58 582	7 105	12.1	1 555	21.9	1 148	16.2
CAMDEN	128 159	11 495	9.0	1 022	8.9	2 927	25.5
CUMBERLAND	27 148	2 885	10.6	235	8.1	657	22.8
ESSEX	289 482	39 723	13.7	4 925	12.4	11 499	28.9
GLOUCESTER	25 934	2 826	10.9	377	13.3	756	26.8
HUDSON	119 698	15 076	12.6	3 429	22.7	4 534	30.1
MERCER	70 514	10 177	14.4	720	7.1	2 439	24.0
MIDDLESEX	123 963	17 243	13.9	1 507	8.7	8 528	49.5
MONMOUTH	96 730	5 969	6.2	739	12.4	1 831	30.7
MORRIS	60 096	5 925	9.9	1 751	29.6	1 267	21.4
OCEAN	37 784	5 387	14.3	1 027	19.1	1 657	30.8
PASSAIC	84 824	10 370	12.2	917	8.8	3 485	33.6
SOMERSET	31 219	3 881	12.4	755	19.5	866	22.3
UNION	75 878	12 760	16.8	1 237	9.7	3 993	31.3
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	16 522	3 393	20.5	2 432	71.7	125	3.7
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	122 186	7 147	5.8	1 045	14.6	1 319	18.5
BROOME	65 122	3 127	4.8	301	30.1	658	21.0
CHAUTAUQUA	39 998	2 827	7.1	857	30.3	517	18.3
CHEMUNG	32 810	2 183	6.7	597	27.3	437	20.0
DUTCHESS	65 667	4 896	7.5	1 262	25.8	1 317	26.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX	706	3.8	820	4.5	6 796	36.9	-	-
NORFOLK	676	10.4	83	1.3	2 031	31.2	-	-
PLYMOUTH	332	5.1	278	4.3	2 503	38.6	-	-
WORCESTER	240	2.5	221	2.3	3 785	40.1	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	266	9.3	162	5.7	698	24.4	33	1.2
BERRIEN	477	8.7	215	3.9	1 793	32.9	-	-
CALHOUN	264	6.0	137	3.1	1 348	30.5	-	-
GENESEE	2 415	17.7	433	3.2	3 799	27.8	62	0.5
INGHAM	713	10.4	443	6.5	1 763	25.8	-	-
JACKSON	362	9.6	226	6.0	1 038	27.5	-	-
KALAMAZOO	661	10.2	208	3.2	2 213	34.2	-	-
KENT	580	6.4	336	3.7	4 244	46.8	153	1.7
MACOMB	1 481	11.2	635	4.8	4 587	34.6	73	0.6
MONROE	227	6.8	172	5.2	621	18.7	-	-
MUSKEGON	263	6.7	115	2.9	1 308	33.4	-	-
OAKLAND	1 715	8.4	1 065	5.2	7 108	34.8	189	0.9
OTTAWA	121	4.8	95	3.8	535	21.4	20	0.8
SAGINAW	455	7.9	469	8.1	1 984	34.2	58	1.0
ST. CLAIR	332	10.0	246	7.4	876	26.4	-	-
WASHTENAW	555	6.4	478	5.5	2 090	24.2	681	7.9
WAYNE	5 854	8.8	4 408	6.6	19 614	29.3	4 300	6.4
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	430	12.5	103	3.0	402	11.6	-	-
DAKOTA	210	9.0	44	1.9	435	18.6	-	-
HENNEPIN	1 898	8.4	1 108	4.9	8 329	37.0	127	0.6
RAMSEY	941	6.5	199	1.4	6 941	48.3	123	0.9
ST. LOUIS	450	8.5	262	4.9	797	15.0	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	137	6.1	44	1.9	331	14.7	27	1.2
HINDS	65	1.6	89	2.2	2 555	62.1	-	-
JACKSON	45	3.6	26	2.1	189	15.2	-	-
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	187	11.7	-	-	719	45.0	-	-
GREENE	202	12.0	3	0.2	281	16.7	-	-
JACKSON	1 386	10.5	37	0.3	6 475	48.9	-	-
JEFFERSON	138	8.6	-	-	119	7.4	54	3.4
ST. CHARLES	131	8.2	-	-	257	16.2	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 211	5.0	-	-	3 819	15.7	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	903	12.4	382	5.2	2 220	30.4	119	1.6
LANCASTER	583	19.5	199	6.7	671	22.4	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK	2 262	7.6	808	2.7	6 173	20.7	2	(2)
WASHOE	955	13.4	398	5.6	1 446	20.2	36	0.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	89	5.1	-	-	676	39.0	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	40	3.8	-	-	202	19.1	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	1 038	23.3	-	-	1 992	44.8	101	2.3
BERGEN	2 516	17.2	-	-	3 806	26.0	191	1.3
BURLINGTON	120	12.0	-	-	3 455	48.6	93	1.3
CAMDEN	2 500	21.7	-	-	4 917	42.8	129	1.1
CUMBERLAND	325	11.3	-	-	1 648	57.1	20	0.7
ESSEX	4 963	12.5	137	0.3	17 704	44.6	495	1.2
GLOUCESTER	476	16.8	-	-	1 148	40.6	69	2.4
HUDSON	2 464	16.3	8	0.1	4 641	30.8	-	-
MERCER	1 442	14.2	-	-	5 537	54.4	39	0.4
MIDDLESEX	2 438	14.1	30	0.2	4 535	26.3	205	1.2
MONMOUTH	1 004	16.8	3	0.1	2 338	39.2	54	0.9
MORRIS	1 097	18.5	-	-	1 693	28.6	117	2.0
OCEAN	1 068	19.8	-	-	1 601	29.7	34	0.6
PASSAIC	1 693	16.3	-	-	4 234	40.8	41	0.4
SOMERSET	806	20.8	4	0.1	1 407	36.3	43	1.1
UNION	2 989	23.4	-	-	4 490	35.2	51	0.4
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	224	6.6	-	-	472	13.9	140	4.1
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	622	8.7	256	3.6	3 905	54.6	-	-
BROOME	331	10.6	132	4.2	1 940	30.1	126	4.0
CHAUTAUQUA	251	8.9	118	4.2	1 084	38.3	-	-
CHEMUNG	224	10.3	68	3.1	857	39.3	-	-
DUTCHESS	514	10.5	245	5.0	1 532	31.3	26	0.5

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
ERIE	390 572	25 697	6.6	5 889	22.9	4 999	19.5
MONROE	321 700	21 425	6.7	5 881	27.4	4 788	22.3
NASSAU	710 056	124 860	17.6	83 897	67.2	17 020	13.6
NIAGARA	58 075	4 396	7.5	1 230	28.0	1 271	28.9
ONEIDA	61 956	3 513	5.7	648	18.4	1 031	29.4
ONONDAGA	166 116	15 978	9.6	3 087	19.3	2 748	17.2
ORANGE	86 939	4 930	5.7	68	1.4	2 308	46.8
OSWEGO	21 025	1 814	8.6	502	27.7	541	29.8
RENSSELAER	63 912	2 437	3.8	295	12.1	662	27.2
ROCKLAND	106 055	6 581	6.2	1 030	15.7	1 670	25.4
ST. LAWRENCE	35 977	1 601	4.5	386	24.1	470	29.4
SARATOGA	36 428	1 541	4.2	386	25.0	565	36.7
SCHENECTADY	41 379	1 982	4.8	136	6.9	657	33.1
STEUBEN	25 647	1 623	6.3	180	11.1	497	30.6
SUFFOLK	542 031	94 880	17.5	67 452	71.1	10 496	11.1
ULSTER	45 539	2 762	6.1	421	15.2	735	26.6
WESTCHESTER	364 661	28 876	7.9	5 235	18.1	7 213	25.0
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE	57 809	1 679	2.9	835	49.7	330	19.7
CUMBERLAND	94 013	4 339	4.6	1 686	38.9	1 725	39.8
DURHAM	65 402	1 073	1.6	402	37.5	370	34.5
FORSYTH	108 360	2 703	2.5	992	36.7	1 074	39.7
GASTON	57 920	2 070	3.6	964	46.6	250	12.1
GUILFORD	132 099	3 341	2.5	1 342	40.2	714	21.4
HECKLENBURG	186 533	7 847	4.2	2 288	29.2	3 511	44.7
ONslow	33 777	1 020	3.0	444	43.5	315	30.9
WAKE	100 697	1 368	1.4	390	28.5	565	41.3
OHIO:							
ALLEN	14 164	1 965	13.9	461	23.5	560	28.5
ASHTABULA	7 440	1 374	18.5	475	34.6	498	36.2
BUTLER	20 846	2 685	12.9	642	23.9	919	34.2
CLARK	20 914	2 090	10.0	551	26.4	637	30.5
CLERMONT	15 328	1 270	8.3	282	22.2	448	35.3
COLUMBIANA	8 800	1 575	17.9	574	36.4	542	34.4
CUYAHOGA	302 886	68 696	22.7	2 783	4.1	10 982	16.0
FRANKLIN	110 240	9 939	9.0	2 005	20.2	3 550	35.7
GREENE	17 337	1 911	11.0	517	27.1	619	32.4
HAMILTON	108 066	16 919	15.7	5 580	33.0	5 424	32.1
LAKE	34 033	3 937	11.6	816	20.7	1 122	28.5
LICKING	15 879	1 605	10.1	490	30.5	542	33.8
LORAIN	26 109	6 414	24.6	681	10.6	971	15.1
LUCAS	56 195	20 122	35.8	857	4.3	2 775	13.8
MAHONING	38 311	3 328	8.7	638	19.2	1 320	39.7
MONTGOMERY	95 441	13 217	13.8	2 814	21.3	3 645	27.6
PORTAGE	40 757	2 181	5.4	655	30.0	764	35.0
RICHLAND	12 557	2 361	18.8	539	22.8	635	26.9
STARK	30 492	5 699	18.7	1 474	25.9	1 765	31.0
SUMMIT	63 637	7 457	11.7	1 454	19.5	2 520	33.8
TRUMBULL	26 898	3 267	12.1	1 291	39.5	1 150	35.2
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE	16 772	964	5.7	207	21.5	435	45.1
OKLAHOMA	22 607	3 453	15.3	203	5.9	1 725	50.0
TULSA	23 446	3 624	15.5	500	13.8	1 422	39.2
OREGON:							
CLACKAHAS	23 825	3 937	16.5	1 562	40.2	846	21.5
JACKSON	20 781	3 033	14.6	1 324	43.7	548	18.1
LANE	33 034	7 166	21.7	2 235	31.2	2 564	35.8
MARION	14 032	4 495	32.0	1 008	22.4	1 204	26.8
MULTNOMAH	64 851	21 466	33.1	7 253	33.8	5 397	25.1
WASHINGTON	33 120	4 525	13.7	2 105	46.5	744	16.4
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	194 981	28 263	14.5	6 383	22.6	11 729	41.5
BEAVER	16 437	3 383	20.6	480	14.2	1 723	50.9
BERKS	26 272	3 771	14.4	144	3.8	1 777	47.1
BLAIR	10 550	1 357	13.0	21	1.5	708	51.8
BUCKS	35 348	8 276	23.4	761	9.2	3 498	42.3
BUTLER	11 010	1 217	11.1	110	9.0	521	42.8
CAMBRIA	18 624	1 726	9.3	51	3.0	931	53.9
CENTRE	6 611	1 105	16.7	13	1.2	537	48.6
CHESTER	23 173	6 467	27.9	733	11.3	1 908	29.5
CUMBERLAND	13 451	1 541	11.5	45	2.9	603	39.1
DAUPHIN	28 295	4 725	16.7	376	8.0	1 544	32.7
DELAWARE	68 172	12 939	19.0	628	4.9	4 878	37.7
ERIE	21 095	5 097	24.2	98	1.9	1 462	28.7
FAYETTE	6 464	1 481	22.9	146	9.9	763	51.5
FRANKLIN	6 875	1 102	16.0	11	1.0	355	32.2
LACKAWANNA	16 455	2 358	14.3	64	2.7	1 196	50.7
LANCASTER	24 417	4 165	17.1	251	6.0	1 958	47.0
LAWRENCE	6 658	1 054	15.8	22	2.1	487	46.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE	2 988	11.6	1 142	4.4	10 550	41.1	129	0.5
MONROE	1 773	8.3	698	3.3	7 385	34.5	900	4.2
NASSAU	5 896	4.7	1 514	1.2	16 300	13.1	233	0.2
NIAGARA	390	8.9	117	2.7	1 216	27.7	172	3.9
ONEIDA	413	11.8	137	3.9	965	27.5	319	0.1
ONONDAGA	1 132	7.1	610	3.8	8 090	50.6	311	1.9
ORANGE	667	13.5	210	4.3	1 646	33.4	31	0.6
OSWEGO	178	9.8	44	2.4	549	30.3	-	-
RENSSELAER	278	11.4	39	3.7	1 065	43.7	48	2.0
ROCKLAND	892	13.6	345	5.2	2 430	36.9	214	3.2
ST. LAWRENCE	118	7.4	84	5.2	543	33.9	-	-
SARATOGA	197	12.8	48	3.1	345	22.4	-	-
SCHENECTADY	227	11.5	51	2.6	910	45.9	1	0.1
STEUBEN	182	11.2	67	4.1	697	42.9	-	-
SUFFOLK	4 424	4.7	1 489	1.6	10 630	11.2	389	0.4
ULSTER	324	11.7	101	3.7	1 079	39.1	102	3.7
WESTCHESTER	3 895	13.5	1 392	4.8	10 814	37.5	327	1.1
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	61	3.6	-	-	453	27.0	-	-
CUMBERLAND	73	1.7	-	-	651	15.0	204	4.7
DURHAM	18	1.7	-	-	265	24.7	18	1.7
FORSYTH	100	3.7	-	-	534	19.8	3	0.1
GASTON	52	2.5	14	0.7	481	23.2	309	14.9
GUILFORD	83	2.5	-	-	1 161	34.8	41	1.2
HECKLENBURG	164	2.1	-	-	1 290	16.4	594	7.6
ONslow	28	2.7	-	-	233	22.8	-	-
WAKE	67	4.9	-	-	340	24.8	6	0.5
OHIO:								
ALLEN	195	9.9	52	2.6	697	35.5	-	-
ASHTABULA	101	7.4	11	0.8	289	21.0	-	-
BUTLER	189	7.0	51	1.9	706	26.3	178	6.6
CLARK	147	7.0	88	4.2	652	31.2	15	0.7
CLERMONT	94	7.4	39	3.1	339	26.7	68	5.4
COLUMBIANA	142	9.0	84	5.3	233	14.8	-	-
CUYAHOGA	1 776	2.6	1 418	2.1	7 632	11.1	44 105	64.2
FRANKLIN	1 263	12.7	180	1.8	2 941	29.6	-	-
GREENE	183	9.6	91	4.8	501	26.2	-	-
HAMILTON	786	4.6	455	2.7	4 674	27.6	-	-
LAKE	297	7.5	93	2.4	1 609	40.9	-	-
LICKING	186	11.6	46	2.9	341	21.2	-	-
LORAIN	301	4.7	50	0.8	4 411	68.8	-	-
LUCAS	387	1.9	210	1.0	15 893	79.0	-	-
MAHONING	299	9.0	61	1.8	1 010	30.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY	899	6.8	430	3.3	3 236	24.5	2 193	16.6
PORTAGE	183	8.4	66	3.0	511	23.4	2	0.1
RICHLAND	236	10.0	28	0.8	928	39.3	3	0.1
STARK	385	6.8	156	2.7	1 919	33.7	-	-
SUMMIT	486	6.5	247	3.3	2 323	31.2	427	5.7
TRUMBULL	213	6.5	62	1.9	551	16.9	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	23	2.4	91	9.4	208	21.6	-	-
OKLAHOMA	32	0.9	291	8.4	1 202	34.8	-	-
TULSA	62	1.7	171	4.7	1 469	40.5	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	451	11.5	121	3.1	881	22.4	56	1.4
JACKSON	264	8.7	139	4.6	758	25.0	-	-
LANE	961	13.4	334	4.7	1 072	15.0	-	-
MARION	439	9.8	172	3.8	1 672	37.2	-	-
MULTNOMAH	2 555	11.9	1 085	5.1	5 041	23.5	135	0.6
WASHINGTON	459	10.1	234	5.2	983	21.7	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	2 407	8.5	802	2.8	6 793	24.0	149	0.5
BEAVER	291	8.6	104	3.1	734	21.7	51	1.5
BERKS	345	9.1	98	2.6	1 407	37.3	-	-
BLAIR	105	7.7	49	3.6	484	35.4	-	-
BUCKS	988	11.9	276	3.3	2 753	33.3	-	-
BUTLER	118	9.7	44	3.6	424	34.8	-	-
CAMBRIA	182	10.5	60	3.5	502	29.1	-	-
CENTRE	74	6.7	36	3.3	429	38.8	16	1.4
CHESTER	857	13.3	346	5.4	2 623	40.6	-	-
CUMBERLAND	134	8.7	40	2.6	719	46.7	-	-
DAUPHIN	486	10.3	154	3.3	2 165	45.8	-	-
DELAWARE	1 873	14.5	557	4.3	4 993	38.6	10	0.1
ERIE	437	8.6	217	4.3	2 802	55.0	81	1.6
FAYETTE	117	7.9	42	2.8	413	27.9	-	-
FRANKLIN	77	7.0	45	4.1	614	55.7	-	-
LACKAWANNA	285	12.1	89	3.8	724	30.7	-	-
LANCASTER	350	8.4	138	3.3	1 468	35.2	-	-
LAWRENCE	114	10.8	41	3.9	390	37.0	-	-

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of
333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LEBANON	8 267	1 171	14.2	124	10.6	450	38.4
LEHIGH	29 754	3 417	11.5	30	0.9	1 299	38.0
LUZERNE	19 906	3 286	16.5	111	3.4	1 644	50.0
LYCOMING	10 390	1 921	18.5	13	0.7	802	41.7
MERCER	7 877	1 934	24.6	19	1.0	621	32.1
MONTGOMERY	56 891	10 182	17.9	951	9.3	4 754	46.7
NORTHAMPTON	18 905	3 094	16.4	48	1.6	1 191	38.5
SCHUYLKILL	14 181	2 468	17.4	47	1.9	826	33.5
WASHINGTON	14 363	1 998	13.9	101	5.1	1 020	51.1
WESTMORELAND	20 398	4 304	21.1	229	5.3	1 835	42.6
YORK	16 551	3 610	21.8	308	8.5	1 519	42.1
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON	5 790	1 907	32.9	573	35.3	463	24.3
CHARLESTON	28 466	4 966	17.4	2 654	53.4	1 397	28.1
GREENVILLE	26 628	7 595	28.5	2 882	37.9	1 532	20.2
LEXINGTON	27 557	1 797	6.5	734	40.8	493	27.4
RICHLAND	42 801	4 859	11.4	1 924	39.6	1 746	35.9
SPARTANBURG	37 571	3 410	9.1	2 073	60.8	936	27.4
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON	84 257	5 996	7.1	1 726	28.8	1 646	27.5
KNOX	56 415	4 014	7.1	1 168	29.1	1 777	44.3
SHELBY	137 356	16 508	12.0	2 334	14.1	4 688	28.4
SULLIVAN	29 365	1 365	4.6	555	40.7	503	36.8
TEXAS:							
BELL	5 041	2 015	40.0	188	9.3	520	25.8
BEXAR	59 947	12 701	21.2	2 187	17.2	3 544	27.9
BRAZORIA	15 021	1 645	11.0	751	45.7	510	31.0
CAMERON	9 125	2 743	30.1	473	17.2	682	24.9
DALLAS	119 925	26 097	21.8	4 009	15.4	9 967	38.2
EL PASO	25 743	4 274	16.6	961	22.5	1 218	28.5
GALVESTON	22 094	3 274	14.8	851	26.0	1 083	33.1
HARRIS	189 965	44 563	23.5	8 830	19.8	15 167	34.0
HIDALGO	7 987	2 457	30.8	481	19.6	657	26.7
JEFFERSON	13 721	3 680	26.8	819	22.3	1 246	33.9
LUBBOCK	10 888	1 820	16.7	425	23.4	575	31.6
MCLENNAN	8 768	3 707	42.3	494	13.3	506	13.6
NUECES	48 215	11 681	24.2	734	6.3	1 673	14.3
SMITH	4 147	1 122	27.1	332	29.6	421	37.5
TARRANT	61 911	10 975	17.7	2 121	19.3	4 148	37.8
TAYLOR	2 989	839	28.1	154	18.4	385	45.9
TRAVIS	16 112	6 747	41.9	1 230	18.2	2 135	31.6
WICHITA	3 607	1 185	32.9	309	26.1	400	33.8
UTAH:							
DAVIS	4 748	855	18.0	413	48.3	124	14.5
SALT LAKE	57 838	11 130	19.2	5 568	50.0	1 835	16.8
UTAH	5 250	996	19.0	303	30.4	284	28.5
WEBER	12 136	1 457	12.0	550	37.7	216	14.6
VERMONT:							
CHITTENDEN	1 236	84	6.8	4	4.8	78	92.9
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON	127 835	10 018	7.8	7 598	75.8	715	7.1
FAIRFAX	403 107	21 356	5.3	15 156	71.0	2 336	10.9
HENRICO	66 322	7 703	11.6	4 873	63.3	1 322	17.2
PRINCE WILLIAM	75 306	4 116	5.5	2 686	65.3	653	15.9
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK	15 215	3 834	25.2	1 406	36.7	997	26.0
KING	140 409	28 615	20.4	10 302	36.0	6 477	22.6
KITSAP	12 835	2 337	18.2	908	38.9	555	23.7
PIERCE	39 185	9 882	25.2	4 482	45.4	1 791	18.1
SNOHOMISH	26 819	5 983	22.3	2 279	38.1	1 448	24.2
SPOKANE	30 978	6 839	22.1	2 356	34.4	1 333	19.5
YAKIMA	22 145	3 649	16.5	1 105	30.3	991	27.2
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL	5 908	1 305	22.1	264	20.2	480	36.8
KANAWHA	27 226	1 962	7.2	424	21.6	527	26.9
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN	33 736	2 794	8.3	1 779	63.7	378	13.5
DANE	53 636	6 888	12.8	2 873	41.7	1 718	24.9
KENOSHA	20 601	2 344	11.4	1 141	48.7	588	25.1
MARATHON	23 174	1 674	7.2	903	53.9	389	23.2
MILWAUKEE	316 838	24 202	7.6	4 318	17.8	8 947	37.0
OUTAGAMIE	18 485	1 629	8.8	856	52.5	333	20.4
RACINE	34 255	3 799	11.1	1 712	45.1	1 045	27.5
ROCK	31 779	2 617	8.2	798	30.5	638	24.4
WAUKESHA	32 202	3 530	11.0	1 451	41.1	937	26.5
WINNEBAGO	24 669	1 707	6.9	935	54.8	454	26.6

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 17. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of
333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	80	6.8	32	2.7	485	41.4	-	-
LEHIGH	370	10.8	100	2.9	1 618	47.4	-	-
LUZERNE	328	10.0	242	7.4	963	29.3	-	-
LYCOMING	156	8.1	46	2.4	904	47.1	-	-
MERCER	149	7.7	119	6.2	1 026	53.1	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 082	10.6	427	4.2	2 968	29.1	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	233	7.5	132	4.3	1 490	48.2	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	224	9.1	87	3.5	1 284	52.0	-	-
WASHINGTON	213	10.7	63	3.2	601	30.1	-	-
WESTMORELAND	413	9.6	93	2.2	1 713	39.8	21	0.5
YORK	208	5.8	61	1.7	1 514	41.9	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	67	3.5	27	1.4	645	33.8	32	1.7
CHARLESTON	162	3.3	-	-	753	15.2	-	-
GREENVILLE	256	3.4	60	0.8	604	8.0	2 261	29.8
LEXINGTON	56	3.1	-	-	453	25.2	61	3.4
RICHLAND	417	8.6	137	2.8	635	13.1	-	-
SPARTANBURG	93	2.7	49	1.4	189	5.5	70	2.1
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	168	2.8	-	-	1 377	23.0	1 079	18.0
KNOX	126	3.1	10	0.2	933	23.2	-	-
SHELBY	405	2.5	529	3.2	7 916	48.0	636	3.9
SULLIVAN	93	6.8	3	0.2	211	15.5	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	334	16.6	116	5.8	857	42.5	-	-
BEXAR	1 636	12.9	427	3.4	3 650	28.7	1 257	9.9
BRAZORIA	139	8.4	35	2.1	210	12.8	-	-
CAMERON	215	7.8	42	1.5	632	23.0	699	25.5
DALLAS	2 868	11.0	901	3.5	8 352	32.0	-	-
EL PASO	515	12.0	196	4.6	1 138	26.6	246	5.8
GALVESTON	320	9.8	56	1.7	817	25.0	147	4.5
HARRIS	5 696	12.8	1 189	2.7	12 309	27.6	1 372	3.1
HIDALGO	239	9.7	42	1.7	1 038	42.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	679	18.5	121	3.3	791	21.5	24	0.7
LUBBOCK	303	16.6	76	4.2	441	24.2	-	-
MCLENNAN	255	6.9	35	0.9	1 349	36.4	1 068	28.8
NUECES	401	3.4	126	1.1	832	7.1	7 915	67.8
SMITH	116	10.3	54	4.8	199	17.7	-	-
TARRANT	1 867	17.0	305	2.8	2 191	20.0	343	3.1
TAYLOR	69	8.2	36	4.3	195	23.2	-	-
TRAVIS	643	9.5	104	1.5	2 635	39.1	-	-
WICHITA	136	11.5	27	2.3	313	26.4	-	-
UTAH:								
DAVIS	223	26.1	-	-	95	11.1	-	-
SALT LAKE	1 359	12.2	305	2.7	2 023	18.2	-	-
UTAH	155	15.6	49	4.9	171	17.2	34	3.4
WEBER	169	11.6	75	5.1	447	30.7	-	-
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	2	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	349	3.5	-	-	1 165	11.6	191	1.9
FAIRFAX	860	4.0	113	0.5	2 788	13.1	103	0.5
HENRICO	346	4.5	-	-	1 162	15.1	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	220	5.3	-	-	488	11.9	69	1.7
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	307	8.0	135	3.5	989	25.8	-	-
KING	1 979	6.9	1 546	5.4	8 229	28.8	82	0.3
KITSAP	231	9.9	117	5.0	494	21.1	32	1.4
PIERCE	893	9.0	325	3.3	2 341	23.7	50	0.5
SNOHOMISH	461	7.7	261	4.4	1 494	25.0	40	0.7
SPOKANE	508	7.4	290	4.2	1 970	28.8	382	5.8
YAKIMA	316	8.7	125	3.4	1 112	30.5	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	124	9.5	-	-	434	33.3	3	0.3
KANAWHA	353	18.0	-	-	658	33.5	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	110	3.9	88	3.1	439	15.7	-	-
DANE	797	11.6	335	4.9	1 165	16.9	-	-
KENOSHA	216	9.2	99	4.2	300	12.8	-	-
MARATHON	108	6.5	24	1.4	230	13.7	20	1.0
MILWAUKEE	2 193	9.1	1 179	4.9	7 485	30.9	80	0.8
OUTAGAMIE	181	11.1	83	5.1	176	10.8	-	-
RACINE	316	8.3	298	7.8	428	11.3	-	-
ROCK	184	7.0	149	5.7	848	32.4	-	-
WAUKESHA	292	8.3	98	2.8	752	21.3	-	-
WINNEBAGO	143	8.4	84	4.9	91	5.3	-	-

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution
governments,

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	TOTAL	885 882	203 631	190 218	195 981	22.1	59 925	57 548	58 463	29.8	57 789	52 569	55 028	28.1
2	ALABAMA:													
3	CALHOUN	259	78	63	70	27.0	20	17	18	25.7	42	30	36	51.4
4	JEFFERSON	4 227	807	788	797	18.9	245	245	245	30.7	316	307	312	39.1
5	MADISON	632	209	184	204	32.3	38	37	37	18.1	91	77	88	43.1
6	MOBILE	1 066	478	383	439	41.2	159	116	129	29.4	216	191	208	37.4
7	MONTGOMERY	555	229	195	224	40.4	37	37	37	16.5	102	81	102	45.5
8	TUSCALOOSA	534	161	137	147	27.5	49	47	47	32.0	65	48	56	38.1
9	ARIZONA:													
10	HARICOPA	6 334	1 860	1 849	1 855	29.3	344	344	344	18.5	593	587	591	31.9
11	PIMA	3 642	1 306	1 263	1 275	35.0	328	307	311	24.4	329	326	327	25.6
12	ARKANSAS:													
13	PULASKI	628	275	270	272	43.3	70	67	69	25.4	65	64	64	23.5
14	CALIFORNIA:													
15	ALAMEDA	9 440	2 912	2 911	2 911	30.8	442	441	441	15.1	569	569	569	19.5
16	BUTTE	1 071	291	245	258	24.1	99	71	77	29.8	68	63	65	25.2
17	CONTRA COSTA	6 167	1 526	1 395	1 452	23.5	213	211	212	14.6	381	355	366	25.2
18	FRESNO	5 541	1 341	1 173	1 248	22.5	340	333	335	26.8	249	210	227	18.2
19	HUMBOLDT	1 460	332	310	319	21.8	111	104	107	33.5	89	79	84	26.3
20	KERN	5 417	1 111	1 017	1 063	19.6	356	339	345	32.5	198	180	187	17.6
21	LOS ANGELES	78 394	20 109	19 723	19 922	25.4	5 201	5 151	5 169	25.9	4 396	4 065	4 206	21.1
22	MARIN	1 842	591	513	531	28.8	102	93	94	17.7	159	146	149	28.1
23	MERCED	1 520	303	262	273	18.0	102	76	80	29.3	67	58	62	22.7
24	MONTREY	2 418	679	615	660	27.3	167	167	167	25.3	171	136	170	25.8
25	ORANGE	9 483	3 420	3 289	3 339	35.2	698	651	670	20.1	923	875	899	26.9
26	RIVERSIDE	5 481	1 654	1 576	1 607	29.3	481	475	477	29.7	339	311	325	20.2
27	SACRAMENTO	6 832	2 195	2 077	2 118	31.0	759	746	748	35.3	339	301	319	15.1
28	SAN BERNARDINO	7 873	2 410	2 134	2 205	28.0	739	699	627	28.4	533	489	506	22.9
29	SAN DIEGO	11 921	3 792	3 482	3 651	30.6	694	638	661	18.1	1 039	1 008	1 023	28.0
30	SAN JOAQUIN	4 228	1 018	946	976	23.1	236	229	231	23.7	213	196	206	21.1
31	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 558	325	307	316	20.3	96	96	96	30.4	82	72	78	24.7
32	SAN MATEO	4 344	1 314	1 264	1 278	29.4	269	262	263	20.6	318	295	305	23.9
33	SANTA BARBARA	2 970	920	845	872	29.4	240	237	238	27.3	219	193	205	23.5
34	SANTA CLARA	10 122	2 587	2 305	2 400	23.7	523	450	476	19.8	639	532	573	23.9
35	SANTA CRUZ	1 620	417	388	397	24.5	119	117	117	29.5	89	79	84	21.2
36	SOLANO	1 458	468	441	457	31.3	68	67	67	14.7	111	107	108	23.6
37	SONOMA	3 151	594	505	547	17.4	166	149	153	28.0	108	96	100	18.3
38	STANISLAUS	2 433	641	581	596	24.5	129	120	122	20.5	138	125	130	21.8
39	TULARE	2 310	614	549	577	25.0	203	188	194	33.6	121	98	109	18.9
40	VENTURA	4 804	1 195	1 125	1 151	24.0	355	352	353	30.7	239	217	226	19.6
41	YOLO	1 219	346	311	327	26.8	95	91	93	28.4	78	72	75	22.9
42	COLORADO:													
43	ADAMS	1 106	192	192	192	17.4	108	108	108	56.3	12	12	12	6.3
44	ARAPAHOE	497	206	198	199	40.0	76	72	72	36.2	25	24	24	12.1
45	BOULDER	812	188	176	182	22.4	76	74	75	41.2	14	11	11	6.0
46	EL PASO	1 140	293	288	290	25.4	44	44	44	15.2	9	9	9	3.4
47	JEFFERSON	1 431	265	262	262	18.3	143	143	143	54.6	9	9	9	3.4
48	LARIMER	563	116	104	109	19.4	63	55	58	53.2	13	13	13	11.3
49	PUEBLO	1 740	123	111	115	15.5	38	32	34	29.6	13	13	13	11.3
50	WELD	1 616	116	113	114	7.1	61	58	59	51.8	5	5	5	4.4
51	DELAWARE:													
52	NEW CASTLE	1 766	356	356	356	20.2	253	253	253	71.1	94	94	94	26.4
53	FLORIDA:													
54	ALACHUA	902	292	291	291	32.3	145	145	145	49.8	59	58	58	19.9
55	BREVARD	1 858	354	322	345	18.6	190	172	190	55.1	131	118	123	35.7
56	BROWARD	3 177	1 117	1 063	1 079	34.0	513	482	489	45.3	395	372	381	35.3
57	DADE	19 479	3 822	3 815	3 819	19.6	1 960	1 960	1 960	51.3	1 131	1 134	1 134	29.7
58	ESCAMBIA	972	414	413	413	42.5	174	173	173	41.9	139	139	139	33.7
59	HILLSBOROUGH	5 780	975	932	941	16.3	413	370	379	40.3	238	238	238	25.3
60	LEE	1 104	280	256	262	23.7	178	156	161	61.5	74	72	73	27.9
61	LEON	665	222	222	222	33.4	101	101	101	45.5	87	87	87	39.2
62	MANATEE	753	189	183	184	24.4	115	114	114	62.0	45	41	42	22.8
63	ORANGE	2 840	843	840	842	29.6	418	415	417	49.5	283	283	283	33.6
64	PALM BEACH	3 802	756	751	753	19.8	440	440	440	58.4	126	124	124	16.5
65	PASCO	1 238	241	239	240	19.4	152	152	152	63.3	63	61	62	25.8
66	PINELLAS	2 484	821	817	819	33.0	334	334	334	40.8	344	340	342	41.1
67	POLK	2 874	457	448	454	15.8	218	218	218	48.0	143	138	141	31.1
68	SARASOTA	803	307	299	302	37.6	202	201	201	66.6	65	65	65	21.5
69	SEMINOLE	590	220	218	219	37.1	118	117	118	53.9	69	69	69	31.5
70	VOLUSIA	1 265	395	367	379	30.0	181	167	172	45.4	101	90	96	25.3

See footnotes at end of table.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county
October 1976

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
19 554	17 993	18 714	9.5	3 439	3 122	3 351	1.7	61 694	57 817	59 233	30.2	1 230	1 169	1 192	0.6	1
4	4	4	5.7	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	17.1	-	-	-	-	2
49	46	47	5.9	-	-	-	-	197	190	193	24.2	-	-	-	-	3
24	15	24	11.8	-	-	-	-	56	55	55	27.0	-	-	-	-	4
27	1	27	6.2	-	-	-	-	76	75	75	17.1	-	-	-	-	5
20	9	17	7.6	-	-	-	-	70	68	68	30.4	-	-	-	-	6
12	7	9	6.1	-	-	-	-	35	35	35	23.8	-	-	-	-	7
180	180	180	9.7	93	93	93	5.0	643	638	640	34.5	7	7	7	0.4	8
158	148	151	11.8	55	55	55	4.3	436	427	431	33.8	-	-	-	-	9
43	43	43	15.8	10	10	10	3.7	87	86	86	31.6	-	-	-	-	10
358	358	358	12.3	172	172	172	5.9	1 358	1 358	1 358	46.7	13	13	13	0.4	11
40	35	37	14.3	-	-	-	-	56	50	52	20.2	28	26	27	10.5	12
229	217	222	15.3	69	65	66	4.5	634	547	586	40.4	-	-	-	-	13
204	183	190	15.2	48	43	44	3.5	500	404	452	36.2	-	-	-	-	14
41	40	40	12.5	8	8	8	2.5	80	76	77	24.1	3	3	3	0.9	15
140	132	135	12.7	30	29	30	2.8	387	377	386	34.4	-	-	-	-	16
2 130	2 121	2 122	10.7	568	568	568	2.9	7 894	7 857	7 857	39.4	-	-	-	-	17
43	42	42	7.9	24	22	22	4.1	246	193	207	39.0	17	17	17	3.2	18
35	35	35	12.8	5	5	5	1.8	34	88	91	33.3	-	-	-	-	19
90	88	89	13.5	23	22	22	3.3	228	202	212	32.1	-	-	-	-	20
395	385	387	11.6	104	104	104	3.1	1 293	1 267	1 272	38.1	7	7	7	0.2	21
184	173	176	11.0	47	43	44	2.7	603	574	585	36.4	-	-	-	-	22
337	325	330	15.6	80	78	79	3.7	682	625	640	30.2	2	2	2	0.1	23
234	230	232	10.5	71	71	71	3.2	828	730	764	34.6	5	5	5	0.2	24
443	407	430	11.8	-	-	-	-	1 586	1 414	1 508	41.3	30	24	29	0.8	25
150	149	149	15.3	58	52	55	5.6	359	318	333	34.1	2	2	2	0.2	26
50	49	50	15.6	-	-	-	-	97	90	92	29.1	-	-	-	-	27
128	124	124	9.7	-	-	-	-	599	583	586	45.9	-	-	-	-	28
117	104	109	12.5	26	25	25	2.9	318	286	295	33.8	-	-	-	-	29
286	277	280	11.7	102	98	102	4.2	1 020	934	954	39.7	17	14	15	0.6	30
63	61	62	15.6	-	-	-	-	144	130	133	33.5	2	1	1	0.3	31
44	41	44	9.6	20	15	19	4.2	225	211	219	47.9	-	-	-	-	32
77	66	70	12.8	13	10	11	2.0	227	184	210	38.4	3	-	3	0.5	33
103	98	100	16.8	16	16	16	2.7	250	217	223	37.4	5	5	5	0.8	34
81	73	76	13.2	26	23	24	4.2	183	167	174	30.2	-	-	-	-	35
173	152	160	13.9	28	28	28	2.4	368	345	352	30.6	32	31	32	2.8	36
39	34	36	11.0	16	14	16	4.9	118	100	107	32.7	-	-	-	-	37
50	50	50	26.0	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	11.5	-	-	-	-	38
71	69	70	35.2	-	-	-	-	34	33	33	16.6	-	-	-	-	39
47	40	43	23.6	-	-	-	-	54	51	53	29.1	-	-	-	-	40
92	87	89	30.7	-	-	-	-	78	78	78	26.9	-	-	-	-	41
75	72	72	27.5	-	-	-	-	29	29	29	11.1	9	9	9	3.4	42
21	20	20	18.3	-	-	-	-	32	29	31	28.4	-	-	-	-	43
43	40	40	25.4	-	-	-	-	25	22	24	20.9	4	4	4	3.5	44
29	29	29	25.4	-	-	-	-	18	18	18	15.8	3	3	3	2.6	45
9	9	9	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
4	4	4	1.4	-	-	-	-	81	81	81	27.8	3	3	3	1.0	47
20	20	20	1.9	-	-	-	-	25	25	25	7.2	8	7	7	2.0	48
36	36	36	0.9	13	13	13	0.3	172	172	172	15.9	17	17	17	1.6	49
4	4	4	1.0	2	2	2	0.5	537	537	537	14.6	118	118	118	3.1	50
17	17	17	1.8	11	11	11	1.2	95	95	95	23.0	-	-	-	-	51
8	8	8	3.1	-	-	-	-	289	289	289	30.7	7	7	7	0.7	52
3	3	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	20	20	20	7.6	-	-	-	-	53
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31	31	31	14.0	-	-	-	-	54
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	28	28	15.2	-	-	-	-	55
14	14	14	1.9	-	-	-	-	142	142	142	16.9	-	-	-	-	56
3	3	3	1.2	-	-	-	-	176	175	175	23.2	-	-	-	-	57
14	14	14	1.7	4	4	4	0.5	23	23	23	9.6	-	-	-	-	58
7	3	6	1.3	2	2	2	0.4	121	121	121	14.8	4	4	4	0.5	59
1	-	1	0.3	-	-	-	-	87	87	87	19.2	-	-	-	-	60
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	35	35	11.6	-	-	-	-	61
7	7	7	1.8	-	-	-	-	33	32	32	14.6	-	-	-	-	62
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	106	103	104	27.4	-	-	-	-	63

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution
governments.

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	GEORGIA:													
2	BIBB	610	254	220	234	38.4	84	84	84	35.9	103	72	84	35.9
3	CHATHAM	969	334	333	334	34.5	120	119	120	35.9	119	119	119	35.6
4	CLAYTON	1 056	336	317	322	30.5	174	173	173	53.7	65	62	63	19.6
5	COBB	1 903	585	552	567	29.8	281	280	281	49.6	209	178	192	33.9
6	DE KALB	3 752	995	983	990	26.4	539	538	539	54.4	198	188	193	19.5
7	FULTON	2 666	1 115	1 107	1 111	41.7	157	155	156	14.0	445	442	445	40.1
8	RICHMOND	1 051	350	335	345	32.8	143	139	141	40.9	83	78	83	24.1
9	IDAHO:													
10	ADA	538	244	217	222	41.3	100	83	85	38.3	69	62	64	28.8
11	ILLINOIS:													
12	CHAMPAIGN	417	151	129	134	32.1	55	50	51	38.1	33	28	29	21.6
13	COOK	20 089	7 346	6 815	7 068	35.2	769	755	760	10.8	3 505	3 069	3 291	46.6
14	DU PAGE	1 738	598	525	556	32.0	174	171	171	30.8	182	147	163	29.3
15	KANE	604	319	312	313	51.8	86	86	86	27.5	81	77	78	24.9
16	LAKE	1 504	427	407	410	27.3	136	123	124	30.2	99	94	95	23.2
17	LA SALLE	284	111	100	105	37.0	33	31	32	30.5	26	24	25	23.8
18	MCHEENRY	364	162	153	156	42.9	70	69	70	44.9	37	29	31	19.9
19	MCLEAN	387	164	137	145	37.5	68	68	68	46.9	55	34	39	26.9
20	MACON	362	177	139	155	42.8	61	55	56	36.1	63	42	51	32.9
21	MADISON	638	302	256	285	44.7	89	83	85	29.8	95	82	85	29.8
22	PEORIA	624	270	257	267	42.8	100	100	100	37.5	53	52	52	19.5
23	ROCK ISLAND	678	165	146	154	22.7	69	67	67	43.5	46	31	36	23.4
24	ST. CLAIR	1 024	273	257	265	25.9	90	84	86	34.2	81	75	77	29.1
25	TANGAMON	444	239	213	227	51.1	89	85	86	34.9	52	44	46	20.3
26	TAZEWELL	356	132	104	113	32.0	40	39	39	44.2	53	32	40	35.1
27	WILL	790	306	295	303	38.4	134	134	134	44.2	78	78	78	25.7
28	WINNEBAGO	942	339	317	325	34.5	105	102	102	31.4	93	91	92	28.3
29	INDIANA:													
30	ALLEN	1 554	481	369	430	27.7	152	144	147	34.2	117	91	110	25.6
31	DELAWARE	474	148	115	135	28.5	39	36	37	27.4	51	40	47	34.8
32	ELKHART	614	202	162	192	31.3	74	66	69	35.9	62	51	58	30.2
33	LAKE	3 030	671	613	640	21.1	155	141	145	22.7	222	195	212	33.1
34	LA PORTE	516	128	97	119	23.1	32	28	29	24.4	52	46	50	42.0
35	MADISON	531	171	140	159	29.9	36	33	34	21.4	66	53	62	39.0
36	ST. JOSEPH	1 163	279	240	273	23.5	67	61	63	23.1	104	101	103	37.7
37	TIPPECANOE	564	132	105	124	22.0	45	43	44	35.5	31	26	29	23.4
38	VANDERBURGH	742	251	177	237	31.9	61	57	60	25.3	90	73	85	35.9
39	VIGO	754	147	119	141	18.7	37	36	37	26.2	58	53	58	41.1
40	IOWA:													
41	BLACK HAWK	569	202	156	172	30.2	30	20	22	12.8	89	68	71	41.3
42	LINN	604	253	223	231	38.2	48	44	45	19.5	84	65	70	30.3
43	POLK	1 525	491	468	476	31.2	56	50	54	11.3	142	142	142	29.8
44	SCOTT	397	141	135	136	34.3	42	42	42	30.9	51	48	48	35.3
45	WOODBURY	401	118	97	102	25.4	28	28	28	27.5	48	41	43	42.2
46	KANSAS:													
47	JOHNSON	880	296	269	277	31.5	84	80	81	29.2	108	91	96	34.7
48	SEDGWICK	1 335	411	403	408	30.6	94	91	92	22.5	172	170	171	41.9
49	SHAWNEE	637	302	268	278	43.6	39	37	38	13.7	107	91	96	34.5
50	WYANDOTTE	619	268	229	242	39.1	34	31	32	13.2	129	103	111	45.9
51	KENTUCKY:													
52	JEFFERSON	3 678	1 103	1 085	1 093	29.7	505	505	505	46.2	137	137	137	12.5
53	KENTON	345	106	98	102	29.6	45	44	45	44.1	31	27	28	27.5
54	LOUISIANA:													
55	CADDO	826	295	238	279	33.8	99	99	99	35.5	67	47	51	18.3
56	CALCASIEU	916	460	86	439	47.9	292	6	290	66.1	76	27	58	13.2
57	JEFFERSON	4 956	1 247	1 156	1 197	24.2	634	624	629	52.5	436	381	401	33.5
58	LAFAYETTE	518	260	193	219	42.3	102	98	100	45.7	77	40	54	24.7
59	OUACHITA	698	199	133	181	25.9	91	90	90	49.7	43	9	27	14.9
60	RAPIDES	555	243	161	186	33.5	128	97	105	56.5	62	30	36	19.4
61	MAINE:													
62	CUMBERLAND	122	111	83	97	79.5	34	33	34	35.1	14	7	13	13.4
63	PENOBSCOT	76	48	40	41	53.9	9	8	8	19.5	18	11	12	29.3
64	YORK	65	61	22	27	41.5	25	5	7	25.9	5	5	5	18.5
65	MARYLAND:													
66	ANNE ARUNDEL	9 447	643	637	638	6.8	473	473	473	74.1	82	76	77	12.1
67	BALTIMORE	18 109	1 652	1 634	1 638	9.0	1 358	1 354	1 355	82.7	97	92	93	5.7
68	HARFORD	3 599	157	139	154	4.3	65	65	65	42.2	31	26	29	18.8
69	MONTGOMERY	18 548	1 224	1 219	1 220	6.6	955	955	955	78.3	118	115	116	9.5
70	PRINCE GEORGES	21 676	1 600	1 589	1 589	7.3	1 198	1 198	1 198	75.4	134	114	123	7.7
71	WASHINGTON	2 680	71	58	62	2.3	22	17	19	30.6	18	14	15	24.2

See footnotes at end of table.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county
October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
25	22	34	10.3	-	-	-	-	42	42	42	17.9	-	-	-	-	1
23	23	23	6.9	-	-	-	-	72	72	72	21.6	-	-	-	-	2
20	17	18	5.6	-	-	-	-	77	65	68	21.1	-	-	-	-	3
29	29	29	5.1	-	-	-	-	62	61	61	10.8	4	4	4	0.7	4
52	51	52	5.3	10	10	10	1.0	188	188	188	19.0	8	8	8	0.8	5
106	106	106	9.5	20	20	20	1.8	388	384	384	34.6	-	-	-	-	6
23	18	21	6.1	-	-	-	-	101	100	100	29.0	-	-	-	-	7
31	31	31	14.0	-	-	-	-	43	40	41	18.5	1	1	1	0.5	8
17	17	17	12.7	8	4	6	4.5	38	30	31	23.1	-	-	-	-	9
741	694	710	10.0	336	313	317	4.5	1 991	1 981	1 987	28.1	4	3	3	(2)	10
70	63	65	11.7	30	26	27	4.9	139	115	127	22.8	3	3	3	0.5	11
27	26	26	8.3	7	7	7	2.2	116	115	115	36.7	2	1	1	0.3	12
56	56	56	13.7	8	8	8	2.0	125	123	124	30.2	3	3	3	0.7	13
17	16	16	15.2	3	-	3	2.9	32	29	29	27.6	-	-	-	-	14
16	16	16	10.3	5	5	5	3.2	34	34	34	21.8	-	-	-	-	15
10	10	10	6.9	6	3	4	2.8	24	21	23	15.9	1	1	1	0.7	16
19	17	17	11.0	4	-	4	2.6	30	25	27	17.4	-	-	-	-	17
25	10	25	8.8	11	3	11	3.9	82	78	79	27.7	-	-	-	-	18
26	26	26	9.7	-	-	8	3.0	83	80	81	30.3	-	-	-	-	19
22	22	22	14.3	3	-	3	1.9	26	26	26	16.9	-	-	-	-	20
25	25	25	9.4	11	10	10	3.8	66	63	65	24.5	-	-	-	-	21
28	25	26	11.5	9	-	9	4.0	61	59	60	26.4	-	-	-	-	22
17	13	15	13.2	4	4	4	3.5	18	16	16	14.0	-	-	-	-	23
33	33	33	10.9	16	7	14	4.6	44	42	43	14.2	1	1	1	0.3	24
30	29	29	8.9	11	10	10	3.1	100	85	92	28.3	-	-	-	-	25
34	9	26	6.0	14	-	14	3.3	164	125	133	30.9	-	-	-	-	26
14	10	12	8.9	2	-	2	1.5	42	29	37	27.4	-	-	-	-	27
14	-	14	7.3	5	-	5	2.6	47	45	46	24.0	-	-	-	-	28
91	75	81	12.7	19	19	19	3.0	165	165	165	25.8	19	18	18	2.8	29
17	1	17	14.3	-	-	-	-	27	22	23	19.3	-	-	-	-	30
12	9	11	6.9	3	-	3	1.9	54	45	49	30.8	-	-	-	-	31
22	2	22	8.1	8	-	8	2.9	78	76	77	28.2	-	-	-	-	32
11	-	11	8.9	3	-	3	2.4	32	26	27	21.8	10	10	10	8.1	33
28	-	28	11.8	21	1	17	7.2	48	43	44	18.6	3	3	3	1.3	34
15	3	13	9.2	5	1	5	3.5	32	26	28	19.9	-	-	-	-	35
13	4	13	7.6	-	-	-	-	70	64	66	38.4	-	-	-	-	36
21	21	21	9.1	-	-	-	-	100	93	95	41.1	-	-	-	-	37
38	32	33	6.9	30	26	28	5.9	225	218	219	46.0	-	-	-	-	38
16	16	16	11.8	-	-	-	-	32	29	30	22.1	-	-	-	-	39
11	10	10	9.8	3	3	3	2.9	28	15	18	17.6	-	-	-	-	40
46	45	45	16.2	-	-	-	-	58	53	55	19.9	-	-	-	-	41
54	52	54	13.2	-	-	-	-	91	90	91	22.3	-	-	-	-	42
28	19	21	7.6	-	-	-	-	81	78	79	28.4	47	43	44	15.8	43
31	27	28	11.6	-	-	-	-	74	68	71	29.3	-	-	-	-	44
91	91	91	8.3	-	-	-	-	367	349	357	32.7	3	3	3	0.3	45
7	7	7	6.9	4	4	4	3.9	18	15	17	16.7	1	1	1	1.0	46
20	9	20	7.2	-	-	-	-	109	83	109	39.1	-	-	-	-	47
30	20	30	6.8	1	-	-	-	61	33	61	13.9	-	-	-	-	48
80	63	76	6.3	-	-	-	-	89	80	83	6.9	8	8	8	0.7	49
8	1	4	1.8	3	3	3	1.4	70	51	58	26.5	-	-	-	-	50
25	17	24	13.3	-	-	-	-	40	17	40	22.1	-	-	-	-	51
17	8	17	9.1	-	-	-	-	36	26	28	15.1	-	-	-	-	52
8	2	5	5.2	-	-	-	-	53	39	43	44.3	2	2	2	2.1	53
7	7	7	17.1	-	-	-	-	14	14	14	34.1	-	-	-	-	54
3	3	3	11.1	-	-	-	-	28	9	12	44.4	-	-	-	-	55
48	48	48	7.5	-	-	-	-	40	40	40	6.3	-	-	-	-	56
86	85	85	5.2	-	-	-	-	111	103	105	6.4	-	-	-	-	57
25	12	24	15.6	-	-	-	-	36	36	36	23.4	-	-	-	-	58
69	68	68	5.6	-	-	-	-	82	81	81	6.6	-	-	-	-	59
111	111	111	7.0	-	-	-	-	143	143	143	9.0	14	14	14	0.9	60
11	7	8	12.9	-	-	-	-	20	20	20	32.3	-	-	-	-	61

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments,

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	MASSACHUSETTS:													
2	BARNSTABLE	384	178	161	166	43.2	28	25	26	15.7	58	47	50	30.1
3	BERKSHIRE	153	108	83	95	62.1	1	-	-	-	37	33	35	36.8
4	BRISTOL	431	304	296	298	69.1	-	-	-	-	157	153	154	51.7
5	ESSEX	522	509	419	452	86.6	2	-	-	-	238	184	209	46.2
6	HAMPDEN	570	402	389	393	68.9	-	-	-	-	172	169	170	43.3
7	HAMPSHIRE	270	126	99	106	39.3	-	-	-	-	27	26	26	24.5
8	MIDDLESEX	1 894	1 076	1 066	1 068	56.4	-	-	-	-	574	568	569	53.3
9	NORFOLK	792	405	368	387	48.9	-	-	-	-	216	184	201	51.9
10	PLYMOUTH	574	398	351	371	64.6	8	8	8	2.2	166	134	149	40.2
	WORCESTER	950	566	533	557	58.6	-	-	-	-	279	258	273	49.0
11	MICHIGAN:													
12	BAY	723	183	172	178	24.6	51	50	50	28.1	66	60	65	36.5
13	BERRIEN	1 092	342	322	335	30.7	74	72	73	21.8	123	110	120	35.8
14	CALHOUN	670	283	263	274	40.9	54	54	54	19.7	120	108	116	42.3
15	GENESEE	2 087	587	524	561	26.9	92	90	91	16.2	202	172	191	34.0
16	INGHAM	1 835	429	394	412	22.5	106	106	106	25.7	161	144	157	38.1
17	JACKSON	839	255	226	242	28.8	77	72	73	30.2	74	64	73	30.2
18	KALAMAZOO	779	365	340	350	44.9	101	92	94	26.9	101	90	97	27.7
	KENT	1 850	514	437	471	25.5	142	117	122	25.9	139	119	135	28.7
19	MACOMB	2 039	670	632	644	31.6	141	137	138	21.4	230	209	216	33.5
20	MONROE	765	236	207	222	29.0	84	80	82	36.9	82	69	77	34.7
21	MUSKEGON	921	240	215	227	24.6	45	44	44	19.4	103	91	99	43.6
22	OAKLAND	3 032	1 080	979	1 019	33.6	210	201	203	19.9	345	296	323	31.7
23	OTTAWA	1 523	163	146	158	30.2	76	63	64	40.5	63	48	56	35.4
24	SAGINAW	1 242	361	312	331	26.7	69	69	69	20.8	138	115	129	39.0
25	ST. CLAIR	1 674	268	176	193	28.6	85	40	44	22.8	159	71	79	40.9
26	WASHTENAW	1 168	496	439	465	39.8	144	132	134	28.8	135	121	131	32.5
27	WAYNE	8 602	2 595	2 568	2 578	30.0	315	310	312	12.1	1 081	1 067	1 072	41.6
28	MINNESOTA:													
29	ANOKA	681	201	190	192	28.2	78	73	74	38.5	51	49	50	26.0
30	DAKOTA	412	133	113	125	30.3	40	38	39	31.2	50	38	47	37.6
31	HENNEPIN	7 194	1 282	1 198	1 219	16.9	211	202	205	16.8	384	361	365	29.9
32	RAMSEY	4 361	797	751	761	17.5	101	82	84	11.0	247	243	245	32.2
	ST. LOUIS	2 283	300	290	294	12.9	81	80	80	27.2	125	117	121	41.2
33	MISSISSIPPI:													
34	HARRISON	583	185	141	153	26.2	58	48	51	33.3	85	59	66	43.1
35	HINDS	1 026	131	116	118	11.5	42	41	41	34.7	61	52	53	44.9
	JACKSON	1 048	123	105	109	10.4	46	41	43	39.4	44	35	36	33.0
36	MISSOURI:													
37	CLAY	453	168	140	149	32.9	42	40	40	26.8	41	32	37	24.8
38	GREENE	382	149	132	139	36.4	47	44	45	32.4	57	45	50	36.0
39	JACKSON	1 644	945	918	932	56.7	96	95	96	10.3	352	336	345	37.0
40	JEFFERSON	288	124	118	122	42.4	49	47	48	39.3	44	44	44	36.1
41	ST. CHARLES	279	144	128	136	48.7	64	60	61	44.9	49	46	47	34.6
	ST. LOUIS	4 490	1 674	1 610	1 641	36.5	802	799	800	48.8	482	455	468	28.5
42	NEBRASKA:													
43	DOUGLAS	3 090	411	361	375	12.1	84	78	79	21.1	107	86	92	24.5
	LANCASTER	1 037	187	157	161	15.5	28	27	27	16.8	76	62	64	39.8
44	NEVADA:													
45	CLARK	4 379	1 561	1 553	1 559	35.6	907	907	907	58.2	141	133	139	8.9
	WASHOE	2 571	392	378	381	14.8	123	123	123	32.3	100	92	93	24.4
46	NEW HAMPSHIRE:													
47	HILLSBOROUGH	464	124	99	105	22.6	4	3	3	2.9	56	38	43	41.0
	ROCKINGHAM	437	75	64	69	15.8	27	24	25	36.2	30	25	26	37.7
48	NEW JERSEY:													
49	ATLANTIC	1 779	364	352	356	20.0	34	32	33	9.3	79	74	75	21.1
50	BERGEN	5 011	921	914	916	18.3	210	210	210	22.9	248	248	248	27.1
51	BURLINGTON	1 950	392	376	380	19.5	14	14	14	3.7	102	98	98	25.8
52	CAMDEN	4 946	917	908	911	18.4	97	97	97	10.6	228	224	225	24.7
53	CUMBERLAND	1 076	263	243	249	23.1	19	19	19	7.6	57	45	49	19.7
54	ESSEX	9 108	2 436	2 408	2 416	26.5	343	339	340	14.1	672	670	670	27.7
55	GLOUCESTER	1 104	266	231	244	22.1	33	26	28	11.5	63	48	53	21.7
	HUDSON	4 474	1 042	1 021	1 027	23.0	177	173	175	17.0	327	321	322	31.4
56	MERCER	2 248	551	539	543	24.2	44	43	44	8.1	169	160	162	29.8
57	MIDDLESEX	4 407	985	918	924	21.0	110	110	110	11.9	286	280	281	30.4
58	MONMOUTH	2 654	516	509	511	19.3	60	59	59	11.5	164	162	162	31.7
59	MORRIS	2 632	459	404	431	16.4	113	102	111	25.8	87	69	76	17.6
60	OCEAN	2 099	405	389	393	18.7	71	71	71	18.1	102	98	99	25.2
61	PASSAIC	2 612	818	757	771	29.5	66	65	65	8.4	242	240	241	31.3
62	SOMERSET	1 596	321	285	297	18.6	52	51	51	17.2	81	61	68	22.9
63	UNION	2 047	823	816	817	39.9	73	73	73	8.9	281	277	277	33.9
64	NEW MEXICO:													
	BERNALILLO	1 746	237	236	236	13.5	190	189	189	80.1	10	10	10	4.2

See footnotes at end of table.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county
October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
11	8	9	5.4	-	-	-	-	81	81	81	48.8	-	-	-	-	1
11	-	6	6.3	-	-	-	-	59	50	54	56.8	-	-	-	-	2
13	13	13	4.4	-	-	-	-	134	130	131	44.0	-	-	-	-	3
23	17	17	3.8	12	12	12	2.7	234	206	214	47.3	-	-	-	-	4
30	28	28	7.1	-	-	-	-	200	192	195	49.6	-	-	-	-	5
13	9	11	10.4	2	2	2	1.9	84	62	67	63.2	-	-	-	-	6
34	34	34	3.2	27	27	27	2.5	441	437	438	41.0	-	-	-	-	7
40	39	39	10.1	6	6	6	1.6	143	139	141	36.4	-	-	-	-	8
26	22	25	6.7	-	-	-	-	198	187	189	50.9	-	-	-	-	9
16	16	16	2.9	-	-	-	-	271	259	268	48.1	-	-	-	-	10
15	15	15	8.4	8	8	8	4.5	43	39	40	22.5	-	-	-	-	11
28	26	27	8.1	-	-	-	-	117	114	115	34.3	-	-	-	-	12
22	22	22	8.0	-	-	-	-	87	79	82	29.9	-	-	-	-	13
88	85	86	15.3	-	-	-	-	202	174	190	33.9	3	3	3	0.5	14
58	52	54	13.1	-	-	-	-	104	92	95	23.1	-	-	-	-	15
26	26	26	10.7	-	-	-	-	78	64	70	28.9	-	-	-	-	16
38	37	37	10.6	-	-	-	-	125	121	122	34.9	-	-	-	-	17
39	38	38	8.1	-	-	-	-	192	162	175	37.2	2	1	1	0.2	18
85	82	83	12.9	15	15	15	2.3	194	185	188	29.2	5	4	4	0.6	19
16	16	16	7.2	-	-	-	-	54	42	47	21.2	-	-	-	-	20
16	15	15	6.6	-	-	-	-	76	65	69	30.4	-	-	-	-	21
98	92	93	9.1	-	-	-	-	424	387	397	39.0	3	3	3	0.3	22
6	6	6	3.8	2	2	2	1.3	36	27	30	19.0	-	-	-	-	23
26	26	26	7.9	-	-	-	-	128	132	107	32.3	-	-	-	-	24
16	14	14	7.3	-	-	-	-	73	51	56	29.0	-	-	-	-	25
37	35	35	7.5	20	18	19	4.1	136	119	126	27.1	-	-	-	-	26
235	231	232	9.0	-	-	-	-	962	958	960	37.2	2	2	2	0.1	27
27	25	25	13.0	5	5	5	2.6	40	38	38	19.8	-	-	-	-	28
20	17	18	14.4	-	77	-	-	23	20	21	16.8	-	-	-	-	29
105	105	105	8.6	86	77	79	6.5	487	445	457	37.5	9	8	8	0.7	30
58	55	55	7.2	24	23	23	3.0	367	348	354	46.5	-	-	-	-	31
36	36	36	12.2	2	2	2	0.7	56	55	55	18.7	-	-	-	-	32
8	7	7	4.6	-	-	-	-	34	27	29	19.0	-	-	-	-	33
4	4	4	3.4	-	-	-	-	24	19	20	16.9	-	-	-	-	34
-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.9	32	28	29	26.6	-	-	-	-	35
20	20	20	13.4	-	-	-	-	65	48	52	34.9	-	-	-	-	36
15	15	15	10.8	-	-	-	-	30	28	29	20.9	-	-	-	-	37
98	91	93	10.0	1	1	1	0.1	398	395	397	42.6	-	-	-	-	38
14	10	13	10.7	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	6.6	9	9	9	7.4	39
12	12	12	8.8	-	-	-	-	19	10	16	11.8	-	-	-	-	40
77	77	77	4.7	-	-	-	-	313	279	296	18.0	-	-	-	-	41
44	37	38	10.1	19	19	19	5.1	157	141	147	39.2	-	-	-	-	42
35	31	32	19.9	10	9	9	5.6	38	28	29	18.0	-	-	-	-	43
136	136	136	8.7	39	39	39	2.5	338	338	338	21.7	-	-	-	-	44
50	50	50	13.1	19	19	19	5.0	97	91	93	24.4	3	3	3	0.8	45
9	7	7	6.7	-	-	-	-	55	51	52	49.5	-	-	-	-	46
4	2	4	5.8	-	-	-	-	14	13	14	20.3	-	-	-	-	47
76	76	76	21.3	-	-	-	-	172	167	169	47.5	3	3	3	0.8	48
163	163	163	17.8	-	-	-	-	300	293	295	32.2	-	-	-	-	49
70	70	70	18.4	-	-	-	-	203	191	195	51.3	3	3	3	0.8	50
164	164	164	18.0	-	-	-	-	419	414	416	45.7	9	9	9	1.0	51
29	28	28	11.2	-	-	-	-	158	151	153	61.4	-	-	-	-	52
300	286	288	11.9	4	4	4	0.2	1 110	1 106	1 107	45.8	7	7	7	0.3	53
46	46	46	18.9	-	-	-	-	121	108	114	46.7	3	3	3	1.2	54
184	179	180	17.5	-	-	-	-	354	348	350	34.1	-	-	-	-	55
93	93	93	17.1	-	-	-	-	243	241	242	44.6	2	2	2	0.4	56
167	161	162	17.5	-	-	-	-	378	363	367	39.7	4	4	4	0.4	57
65	63	64	12.5	-	-	-	-	227	225	226	44.2	-	-	-	-	58
75	70	73	16.9	-	-	-	-	180	159	167	38.7	4	4	4	0.9	59
78	78	78	19.8	-	-	-	-	154	142	145	36.9	-	-	-	-	60
152	152	152	19.7	-	-	-	-	352	296	309	40.1	6	6	6	0.5	61
47	47	47	15.8	-	-	-	-	136	122	127	42.8	5	4	4	1.3	62
179	179	179	21.9	-	-	-	-	290	287	288	35.3	-	-	-	-	63
2	2	2	0.8	-	-	-	-	35	35	35	14.8	-	-	-	-	64

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments,

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	NEW YORK:													
2	ALBANY	2 768	411	382	395	14.3	92	81	85	21.5	85	83	84	21.3
3	BROOME	2 124	256	211	225	10.6	68	64	67	29.8	68	49	53	23.6
4	CHAUTAUQUA	1 148	184	144	163	14.2	57	53	55	33.7	41	32	35	21.5
5	CHEMUNG	996	155	134	142	14.3	46	41	42	29.6	19	19	19	13.4
6	DUTCHESS	1 675	343	291	307	18.3	85	75	79	25.7	78	75	76	24.8
7	ERIE	10 870	1 401	1 333	1 358	12.5	356	328	341	25.1	296	290	293	21.6
8	MONROE	5 511	1 031	1 003	1 013	18.4	308	305	306	30.2	184	183	184	18.2
9	NASSAU	19 721	6 316	6 289	6 293	31.9	4 156	4 145	4 147	65.9	881	881	881	14.0
10	NIAGARA	1 773	326	278	303	17.1	83	83	83	27.4	100	81	85	28.1
11	ONEIDA	2 022	263	242	253	12.5	57	55	57	22.5	78	75	76	30.0
12	ONONDAGA	5 501	899	832	862	15.7	195	189	192	22.3	147	145	146	16.9
13	ORANGE	2 189	229	203	211	9.6	4	2	2	0.9	98	93	94	44.5
14	OSWEGO	973	144	122	132	13.6	39	37	38	28.8	39	29	32	24.2
15	RENSSELAER	1 826	203	173	187	10.2	38	33	36	19.3	54	46	48	25.7
16	ROCKLAND	2 572	366	322	338	13.1	105	76	82	24.3	77	75	76	22.5
17	ST. LAWRENCE	718	133	114	120	16.7	35	31	32	26.7	35	28	29	24.2
18	SARATOGA	907	151	108	127	14.0	39	35	36	28.3	46	35	38	29.9
19	SCHENECTADY	1 351	153	107	130	9.6	8	4	5	3.8	54	41	46	35.4
20	STEUBEN	687	96	109	96	15.9	13	8	10	9.2	31	25	27	24.8
21	SUFFOLK	11 304	4 612	4 612	4 612	40.8	3 138	3 138	3 138	68.0	633	633	633	13.7
22	ULSTER	1 727	196	188	183	10.6	29	28	29	15.8	33	28	30	16.4
23	WESTCHESTER	6 779	1 287	1 253	1 272	18.8	299	267	284	22.3	302	302	302	23.7
24	NORTH CAROLINA:													
25	BUNCOMBE	2 972	123	116	118	4.0	67	67	67	56.8	16	16	16	13.6
26	CUMBERLAND	5 346	242	238	240	4.5	151	150	151	62.9	33	30	31	12.9
27	DURHAM	3 486	74	68	71	2.0	30	30	30	42.3	28	25	26	36.6
28	FORSYTH	5 458	178	161	165	3.0	72	62	64	38.8	59	59	59	35.8
29	GASTON	3 443	141	134	136	4.0	70	69	69	50.7	19	19	19	14.0
30	GUILFORD	7 456	259	240	244	3.3	116	114	114	46.7	40	33	34	13.9
31	MECKLENBURG	9 290	334	316	320	3.4	149	141	142	44.4	65	62	63	19.7
32	ONSLow	1 686	75	72	74	4.4	32	32	32	43.2	19	19	19	25.7
33	WAKE	5 897	98	90	92	1.6	24	24	24	26.1	54	47	49	53.3
34	OHIO:													
35	ALLEN	733	190	126	152	20.7	47	43	44	28.9	53	36	47	30.9
36	ASHTABULA	592	114	84	101	17.1	25	24	25	34.8	50	32	45	44.6
37	BUTLER	233	193	193	216	23.7	52	50	51	23.6	97	74	93	43.1
38	CLARK	882	189	154	173	19.6	46	32	40	23.1	58	44	57	32.9
39	CLERMONT	598	136	100	129	21.6	28	24	26	20.2	66	50	65	50.4
40	COLUMBIANA	559	127	100	121	21.6	21	16	18	14.9	59	41	57	47.1
41	CUYAHOGA	12 101	1 804	1 691	1 750	14.5	248	241	248	13.9	960	854	911	52.1
42	FRANKLIN	3 391	792	718	760	22.8	158	158	158	20.8	322	280	311	40.9
43	GREENE	610	185	135	156	25.6	51	42	44	28.2	61	41	53	34.0
44	HAMILTON	4 387	1 176	1 107	1 160	26.4	299	283	287	24.7	465	428	465	40.1
45	LAKE	1 720	238	180	207	12.0	58	42	45	21.7	96	67	87	42.0
46	LICKING	541	131	106	121	22.4	37	34	35	28.9	52	34	46	38.0
47	LORAIN	1 009	282	239	269	26.7	59	55	56	20.8	111	84	106	39.4
48	LUCAS	2 310	677	575	634	27.4	70	63	65	10.3	257	212	250	39.4
49	MAHONING	1 586	306	242	276	17.4	65	46	50	18.1	143	107	135	48.9
50	MONTGOMERY	3 602	829	720	781	21.7	162	158	159	20.4	305	231	278	35.6
51	PORTAGE	1 674	195	149	164	9.8	45	43	43	26.2	86	71	76	46.3
52	RICHLAND	558	183	132	157	28.1	45	32	38	24.2	48	38	48	30.6
53	STARK	2 009	478	386	433	21.6	122	91	98	2.6	146	118	145	33.5
54	SUMMIT	2 680	643	569	612	22.8	129	126	127	20.8	257	207	245	40.0
55	TRUMBULL	1 243	238	207	230	18.5	63	59	60	26.1	112	86	107	46.5
56	OKLAHOMA:													
57	COMANCHE	637	76	72	75	11.8	19	19	19	25.3	20	16	19	25.3
58	OKLAHOMA	1 070	294	294	294	27.5	11	11	11	3.7	158	158	158	53.7
59	TULSA	1 382	297	277	290	21.0	39	39	39	13.4	148	128	141	48.6
60	OREGON:													
61	CLACKAMAS	825	293	230	245	29.7	115	93	96	39.2	71	54	60	24.5
62	JACKSON	839	223	196	202	24.1	85	81	81	40.1	47	47	47	23.3
63	LANE	1 616	453	467	467	28.9	196	148	153	32.8	182	170	172	36.8
64	MARION	672	282	262	282	37.5	76	72	72	28.6	77	66	70	27.8
65	MULTNOMAH	2 526	969	921	934	37.0	313	306	308	33.0	291	284	286	30.6
66	WASHINGTON	776	303	284	288	37.1	119	116	116	40.3	66	66	66	22.9
67	PENNSYLVANIA:													
68	ALLEGHENY	8 199	2 030	1 964	2 001	24.4	408	408	408	20.4	864	864	864	43.2
69	BEAVER	581	322	237	268	46.1	81	32	49	18.3	128	117	120	44.8
70	BERKS	1 234	300	290	294	23.8	11	11	11	7	128	126	127	43.2
71	BLAIR	585	144	106	135	23.1	2	2	2	1.5	73	56	68	50.4
72	BUCKS	1 532	568	534	551	36.0	78	68	73	13.2	261	245	255	46.3
73	BUTLER	636	140	110	119	18.7	10	9	10	8.4	62	47	52	43.7
74	CAMBRIA	685	189	134	171	25.0	8	5	8	4.7	91	74	79	46.2

See footnotes at end of table.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county
October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
42	37	41	10.4	22	21	21	5.3	170	160	164	41.5	-	-	-	-	1
19	19	19	8.4	8	8	8	3.6	91	69	76	33.8	2	2	2	0.9	2
21	9	18	11.0	11	4	6	4.9	54	46	47	28.8	-	-	-	-	3
16	9	13	9.2	6	4	6	4.2	68	61	62	43.7	-	-	-	-	4
31	27	29	9.4	17	17	17	5.5	132	97	106	34.5	-	-	-	-	5
167	166	166	12.2	-	-	-	-	574	541	550	40.5	8	8	8	0.6	6
88	87	88	8.7	33	33	33	3.3	378	355	362	35.7	40	40	40	3.9	7
301	301	301	7.8	-	-	-	-	970	954	956	15.2	8	8	8	0.1	8
25	12	22	7.3	12	3	12	4.0	91	87	88	29.0	15	12	13	4.3	9
27	21	25	9.9	7	6	7	2.8	94	85	88	34.8	-	-	-	-	10
81	68	76	8.8	-	-	-	-	448	402	420	48.7	28	28	28	3.2	11
31	31	31	14.7	-	-	-	-	96	79	84	39.8	-	-	-	-	12
12	8	11	8.3	1	1	1	0.8	53	48	50	37.9	-	-	-	-	13
19	13	17	9.1	8	4	7	3.7	84	77	79	42.2	-	-	-	-	14
60	52	57	16.9	16	16	16	4.7	108	103	107	31.7	-	-	-	-	15
11	5	8	6.7	2	1	2	1.7	50	49	49	40.8	-	-	-	-	16
17	6	10	7.9	6	-	6	4.7	43	32	37	29.1	-	-	-	-	17
17	6	17	13.1	1	-	1	0.8	73	56	61	46.9	-	-	-	-	18
13	8	12	11.0	2	1	2	1.8	66	54	58	53.2	-	-	-	-	19
246	246	246	5.3	-	-	-	-	579	579	579	12.6	16	16	16	0.3	20
20	15	17	9.3	1	-	1	0.5	108	92	101	55.2	5	5	5	2.7	21
199	198	199	15.6	-	-	-	-	481	480	481	37.8	6	6	6	0.5	22
4	4	4	3.4	-	-	-	-	36	29	31	26.3	-	-	-	-	23
5	5	5	2.1	-	-	-	-	53	53	53	22.1	-	-	-	-	24
1	1	1	1.4	-	-	-	-	15	13	14	19.7	-	-	-	-	25
4	4	4	2.4	-	-	-	-	43	36	38	23.0	-	-	-	-	26
3	2	3	2.2	1	1	1	0.7	43	40	41	30.1	5	3	3	2.2	27
15	15	15	6.1	-	-	-	-	88	78	81	33.2	-	-	-	-	28
1	-	1	0.3	-	-	-	-	80	77	77	24.1	39	36	37	11.6	29
2	-	2	2.7	-	-	-	-	22	21	21	28.4	-	-	-	-	30
4	4	4	4.3	-	-	-	-	16	15	15	16.3	-	-	-	-	31
32	2	11	7.2	2	-	1	0.7	56	45	49	32.2	-	-	-	-	32
11	10	11	10.9	-	-	-	-	28	18	20	19.8	-	-	-	-	33
14	13	13	6.0	-	-	-	-	70	56	59	27.3	-	-	-	-	34
10	10	10	5.8	6	6	6	3.5	60	56	57	32.9	9	-	3	1.7	35
10	4	10	7.8	5	1	6	3.9	21	15	17	13.2	6	6	6	4.7	36
15	13	15	12.4	6	6	6	5.0	26	24	25	20.7	-	-	-	-	37
111	111	111	6.3	-	-	-	-	485	485	485	27.7	-	-	-	-	38
81	72	75	9.9	-	-	-	-	231	208	216	28.4	-	-	-	-	39
27	16	19	12.2	5	2	3	1.9	41	34	37	23.7	-	-	-	-	40
53	53	53	4.6	-	-	-	-	359	353	355	30.6	-	-	-	-	41
24	21	22	10.6	-	-	-	-	60	50	53	25.6	-	-	-	-	42
18	15	17	14.0	-	-	-	-	24	23	23	19.0	-	-	-	-	43
30	30	30	11.2	-	-	-	-	82	70	77	28.6	-	-	-	-	44
38	36	37	5.8	-	-	-	-	312	264	282	44.5	-	-	-	-	45
24	23	23	8.3	-	-	-	-	74	66	68	24.6	-	-	-	-	46
63	61	62	7.9	26	26	26	3.3	229	205	214	27.4	44	39	42	5.4	47
13	10	11	6.7	3	3	3	1.8	48	22	31	18.9	-	-	-	-	48
21	18	19	12.1	-	-	-	-	69	44	52	33.1	-	-	-	-	49
33	27	29	6.7	13	8	10	2.3	164	142	151	34.9	-	-	-	-	50
60	60	60	9.8	-	-	-	-	186	165	169	27.6	11	11	11	1.8	51
17	17	17	7.4	-	-	-	-	46	45	46	20.0	-	-	-	-	52
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37	37	37	49.3	-	-	-	-	53
-	-	-	-	16	16	16	5.4	109	109	109	37.1	-	-	-	-	54
-	-	-	-	9	9	9	3.1	101	101	101	34.8	-	-	-	-	55
31	25	28	11.4	-	-	-	-	76	58	61	24.9	-	-	-	-	56
20	17	18	8.9	-	-	-	-	64	54	56	27.7	-	-	-	-	57
70	60	62	13.7	-	-	-	-	92	75	80	17.1	-	-	-	-	58
39	35	36	14.3	-	-	-	-	90	69	74	29.4	4	4	4	0.4	60
99	97	98	10.5	-	-	-	-	262	230	238	25.5	-	-	-	-	61
33	33	33	11.5	-	-	-	-	85	69	73	25.3	-	-	-	-	62
198	192	197	9.8	73	58	73	3.6	458	413	430	21.5	29	29	29	1.4	63
31	24	25	9.3	10	10	10	3.7	72	54	64	23.9	-	-	-	-	64
33	26	29	9.9	9	9	9	3.1	119	118	118	40.1	-	-	-	-	65
13	8	12	8.9	6	6	6	4.4	50	40	47	34.8	-	-	-	-	66
63	56	58	10.5	23	23	23	4.2	143	142	142	33.6	-	-	-	-	67
20	11	13	10.9	4	4	4	3.4	44	39	39	33.6	-	-	-	-	68
26	9	23	13.5	11	3	11	6.4	53	43	50	29.2	-	-	-	-	69

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution
governments,

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	PENNSYLVANIA CONTINUED													
2	CENTRE	348	94	73	83	23.9	3	-	2	2.4	46	36	39	47.0
3	CHESTER	1 187	480	414	437	36.8	53	38	42	9.6	146	128	137	31.4
4	CUMBERLAND	709	141	106	121	17.1	5	2	3	2.5	62	48	52	43.0
5	DAUPHIN	996	373	277	310	31.1	16	1	11	3.5	183	120	140	45.2
6	DELAWARE	3 015	994	978	987	32.7	62	56	62	6.3	419	413	415	42.0
7	ERIE	1 107	341	296	312	28.2	9	8	8	2.6	134	103	113	36.2
8	FAYETTE	408	130	126	126	30.9	11	11	11	8.7	54	54	54	42.9
9	FRANKLIN	333	127	71	98	29.4	3	1	1	1.0	47	26	34	34.7
10	LACKAWANNA	861	215	200	204	23.7	1	1	1	1.5	108	101	103	50.5
11	LANCASTER	1 473	345	295	312	21.2	21	19	19	6.1	129	101	109	34.9
12	LAWRENCE	315	126	85	104	33.0	5	1	2	1.9	59	44	52	50.0
13	LEBANON	677	131	101	112	16.5	14	14	14	12.5	51	35	41	36.6
14	LEHIGH	1 394	330	308	316	22.7	2	1	1	0.3	144	133	138	43.7
15	LUZERNE	1 597	287	284	277	17.8	9	4	9	3.2	137	137	137	48.2
16	LYCOMING	407	169	120	143	35.1	2	-	2	1.4	60	51	54	37.8
17	MERCER	321	142	112	121	37.7	7	-	2	1.7	74	56	62	51.2
18	MONTGOMERY	1 731	749	627	688	39.7	45	45	45	6.5	392	300	349	50.7
19	NORTHAMPTON	730	244	196	208	28.5	4	3	3	1.4	115	87	94	45.2
20	SCHUYLKILL	927	181	163	172	18.6	4	2	4	2.3	81	78	81	47.1
21	WASHINGTON	559	188	178	184	32.9	13	9	11	6.0	87	87	87	47.3
22	WESTMORELAND	1 339	329	285	315	23.5	21	21	21	6.7	161	153	156	49.5
23	YORK	841	320	257	290	34.5	34	33	34	11.7	154	117	136	46.9
24	SOUTH CAROLINA:													
25	ANDERSON	235	140	116	127	54.0	55	53	54	42.5	49	30	39	30.7
26	CHARLESTON	1 540	402	340	360	23.4	196	186	189	52.5	111	69	82	22.8
27	GREENVILLE	1 331	440	419	424	31.9	223	223	224	52.8	130	114	118	27.8
28	LEXINGTON	429	152	136	143	33.3	62	58	59	41.3	51	42	47	32.9
29	RICHLAND	2 350	381	352	359	15.3	152	151	151	42.1	135	117	121	33.7
30	SPARTANBURG	2 300	299	245	258	11.2	177	153	157	60.9	93	67	73	28.3
31	TENNESSEE:													
32	HAMILTON	5 304	384	359	367	6.9	95	94	94	25.6	115	111	113	30.8
33	KNOX	3 054	366	318	330	10.8	115	115	115	34.8	152	127	134	40.6
34	SHELBY	8 002	1 163	1 043	1 069	13.4	205	190	193	18.1	385	382	383	35.8
35	SULLIVAN	1 657	119	113	114	6.9	57	65	65	57.0	23	23	23	20.2
36	TEXAS:													
37	BELL	312	156	150	152	48.7	22	22	22	14.5	50	47	48	31.6
38	BEXAR	4 280	998	959	978	22.9	176	176	176	18.0	307	302	304	31.1
39	BRAZORIA	447	143	119	129	28.9	63	59	60	46.5	52	40	45	34.9
40	CAMERON	566	206	191	197	34.8	29	29	29	14.7	77	77	79	40.1
41	DALLAS	5 714	1 614	1 573	1 590	27.8	236	232	234	14.7	635	624	627	39.4
42	EL PASO	1 543	397	390	393	25.5	92	87	90	22.9	112	112	112	28.5
43	GALVESTON	1 323	271	243	252	19.0	72	62	68	27.0	109	91	94	37.3
44	HARRIS	8 434	2 547	2 458	2 470	29.3	452	452	452	18.3	956	953	954	38.6
45	HIDALGO	540	223	148	172	31.9	55	26	36	20.9	60	46	48	27.9
46	JEFFERSON	666	282	272	280	42.0	55	55	55	19.6	95	85	93	33.2
47	LUBBOCK	374	168	154	161	43.0	47	47	47	29.2	60	46	53	32.9
48	MCLENNAN	524	422	396	403	76.9	48	38	40	9.9	56	48	52	12.9
49	NUECES	2 117	316	307	310	14.6	51	51	51	16.5	161	152	155	50.0
50	SMITH	238	99	90	82	34.5	32	30	31	37.8	40	33	36	43.9
51	TARRANT	2 852	784	762	766	26.9	188	188	188	24.5	255	250	251	32.8
52	TAYLOR	166	90	82	88	53.0	15	15	15	17.0	42	34	40	45.5
53	TRAVIS	894	602	543	563	63.0	120	113	114	20.2	183	161	170	30.2
54	WICHITA	215	120	108	112	52.1	23	22	22	19.6	39	34	36	32.1
55	UTAH:													
56	DAVIS	252	65	62	63	25.0	34	31	32	50.8	10	10	10	15.9
57	SALT LAKE	3 244	803	712	744	22.9	462	455	457	61.4	148	122	131	17.6
58	UTAH	308	85	69	74	24.0	28	27	27	36.5	21	18	20	27.0
59	WEBER	728	114	94	103	14.1	38	38	38	36.9	20	18	19	18.4
60	VERMONT:													
61	CHITTENDEN	7	8	7	7	100.0	-	-	-	-	8	7	7	100.0
62	VIRGINIA:													
63	ARLINGTON	4 155	533	482	505	12.2	376	352	366	72.5	47	35	39	7.7
64	FAIRFAX	17 860	1 138	1 118	1 125	6.3	794	793	793	70.5	195	181	185	16.4
65	HENRICO	4 628	477	470	473	10.2	346	340	343	72.5	51	51	51	10.8
66	PRINCE WILLIAM	4 188	252	245	249	5.9	159	159	159	63.9	44	44	44	17.7

See footnotes at end of table.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county
October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
5	2	5	6.0	3	2	3	3.6	37	33	34	41.0	-	-	-	-	1
73	59	62	14.2	23	22	22	5.0	185	167	174	39.8	-	-	-	-	2
19	8	12	9.9	6	-	6	5.0	49	48	48	39.7	-	-	-	-	3
53	35	38	12.3	19	19	19	6.1	102	102	102	32.9	-	-	-	-	4
125	125	125	12.7	33	33	33	3.3	352	348	349	35.4	3	3	3	0.3	5
32	20	26	8.3	25	25	25	8.0	141	140	140	44.9	-	-	-	-	6
12	12	12	9.5	6	6	6	4.8	47	43	43	34.1	-	-	-	-	7
10	5	8	8.2	6	3	6	6.1	63	37	49	50.0	-	-	-	-	8
30	22	24	11.8	10	10	10	4.9	64	64	64	31.4	-	-	-	-	9
27	22	23	7.4	13	13	13	4.2	155	140	148	47.4	-	-	-	-	10
19	9	14	13.5	4	1	4	3.8	39	30	32	30.8	-	-	-	-	11
9	7	8	7.1	3	3	3	2.7	54	42	46	41.1	-	-	-	-	12
36	27	30	9.5	13	12	12	3.8	135	135	135	42.7	-	-	-	-	13
37	32	34	12.0	19	19	19	6.7	85	85	85	29.9	-	-	-	-	14
19	3	13	9.1	4	1	4	2.8	84	65	70	49.0	-	-	-	-	15
13	11	11	9.1	7	7	7	5.8	41	38	39	32.2	-	-	-	-	16
92	75	82	11.9	31	29	30	4.4	189	178	182	26.5	-	-	-	-	17
27	18	19	9.1	8	8	8	3.8	90	80	84	40.4	-	-	-	-	18
30	17	21	12.2	11	11	11	6.4	55	55	55	32.0	-	-	-	-	19
20	20	20	10.9	8	8	8	4.3	60	54	58	31.5	-	-	-	-	20
44	22	38	12.1	9	9	9	2.9	93	85	90	28.6	1	1	1	0.3	21
27	18	22	7.6	7	2	7	2.4	98	87	91	31.4	-	-	-	-	22
4	4	4	3.1	2	2	2	1.6	30	27	28	22.0	-	-	-	-	23
17	12	14	3.9	-	-	-	-	78	73	75	20.8	-	-	-	-	24
27	25	25	5.9	-	-	-	-	58	57	57	17.4	-	-	-	-	25
2	2	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	32	29	30	21.0	5	5	5	3.5	26
33	29	30	8.4	-	-	-	-	61	55	57	15.9	-	-	-	-	27
7	3	6	2.3	4	4	4	1.6	18	18	18	7.0	-	-	-	-	28
24	9	13	3.5	-	-	-	-	150	145	147	40.1	-	-	-	-	29
10	6	7	2.1	1	1	1	0.3	88	69	73	22.1	-	-	-	-	30
83	11	24	2.2	43	40	41	3.8	434	407	415	38.8	13	13	13	1.2	31
9	5	6	5.3	-	-	-	-	20	20	20	17.5	-	-	-	-	32
31	31	31	20.4	-	-	-	-	53	50	51	33.6	-	-	-	-	33
114	114	114	11.7	-	-	-	-	397	363	380	38.9	4	4	4	0.4	34
12	10	12	9.3	-	-	-	-	16	10	12	9.3	-	-	-	-	35
18	18	18	9.1	-	-	-	-	72	67	71	36.0	-	-	-	-	36
171	167	168	10.6	-	-	-	-	569	547	558	35.1	3	3	3	0.2	37
46	46	46	11.7	-	-	-	-	124	122	122	31.0	23	23	23	5.9	38
23	23	23	9.1	-	-	-	-	52	52	52	20.6	15	15	15	6.0	39
372	296	302	12.2	3	3	3	0.1	692	682	687	27.8	72	72	72	2.9	40
28	16	21	12.2	-	-	-	-	80	60	67	39.0	-	-	-	-	41
57	57	57	20.4	-	-	-	-	72	72	72	25.7	3	3	3	1.1	42
26	26	26	16.1	-	-	-	-	35	35	35	21.7	-	-	-	-	43
23	23	23	5.7	-	-	-	-	102	94	95	23.6	193	193	193	47.9	44
32	32	32	10.3	-	-	-	-	72	72	72	23.2	-	-	-	-	45
12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	15	15	18.3	-	-	-	-	46
115	115	115	15.0	5	5	5	0.7	210	193	196	25.6	11	11	11	1.4	47
10	10	10	11.4	-	-	-	-	23	23	23	26.1	-	-	-	-	48
56	49	52	9.2	-	-	-	-	243	227	227	40.3	-	-	-	-	49
17	11	13	11.6	-	-	-	-	41	41	41	36.6	-	-	-	-	50
15	15	15	23.8	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	9.5	-	-	-	-	51
46	41	43	5.8	-	-	-	-	147	94	113	15.2	-	-	-	-	52
10	9	9	12.2	3	2	2	2.7	23	14	16	21.6	-	-	-	-	53
14	14	14	13.6	-	-	-	-	42	24	32	31.1	-	-	-	-	54
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
18	18	18	3.6	-	-	-	-	80	68	72	14.3	12	9	10	2.0	56
40	40	40	3.6	-	-	-	-	104	99	102	9.1	5	5	5	0.4	57
23	23	23	4.9	-	-	-	-	57	56	56	11.8	-	-	-	-	58
15	15	15	6.0	-	-	-	-	24	22	24	9.6	10	5	7	2.8	59

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments,

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	WASHINGTON:													
2	CLARK	788	293	259	265	33.6	103	98	99	37.4	64	55	56	21.1
3	KING	3 880	1 643	1 513	1 542	39.7	543	541	541	35.1	424	387	397	25.7
4	KITSAP	673	179	152	159	23.6	50	50	50	31.4	52	39	42	26.4
5	PIERCE	1 659	585	515	530	31.9	208	203	205	38.7	131	109	115	21.7
6	SNOHOMISH	1 195	407	368	376	31.5	114	103	105	27.9	124	119	120	31.9
7	SPOKANE	1 019	469	435	450	44.2	173	172	172	38.2	104	90	95	21.1
8	YAKIMA	707	280	264	269	38.0	76	74	75	27.9	88	79	81	30.1
9	WEST VIRGINIA:													
10	CABELL	357	95	92	93	26.1	24	24	24	25.8	33	33	33	35.5
11	KANAWHA	494	166	153	156	31.6	41	40	40	25.6	48	43	44	28.2
12	WISCONSIN:													
13	BROWN	938	208	168	181	19.3	112	111	111	61.3	46	26	34	18.8
14	DANE	1 342	441	390	402	30.0	150	140	142	35.3	132	123	125	31.1
15	KENOSHA	697	154	137	145	20.8	73	71	72	49.7	43	32	38	26.2
16	MARATHON	916	146	116	120	13.1	60	56	56	46.7	42	39	41	34.2
17	MILWAUKEE	10 006	1 224	1 192	1 198	12.0	241	241	241	20.1	447	417	423	35.3
18	OUTAGAMIE	714	111	106	109	15.3	53	53	53	48.6	32	27	30	27.5
19	RACINE	1 084	278	244	252	23.2	110	108	109	43.3	104	81	87	34.5
20	ROCK	992	190	179	182	18.3	57	51	53	29.1	53	48	49	26.9
21	WAUKESHA	1 213	265	251	259	21.4	113	113	113	43.6	79	67	73	28.2
22	WINNEBAGO	796	131	111	119	14.9	58	57	58	48.7	50	36	41	34.5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 county
October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
22	19	20	7.5	-	-	-	-	104	87	90	34.0	-	-	-	-	1
141	122	126	8.2	10	9	10	0.6	519	448	462	30.0	6	6	6	0.4	2
17	17	17	10.7	7	7	7	4.4	53	39	43	27.0	-	-	-	-	3
55	42	45	8.5	10	9	9	1.7	177	149	153	28.9	4	3	3	0.6	4
34	31	32	8.5	2	2	2	0.5	130	110	114	30.3	3	3	3	0.8	5
39	33	34	7.6	23	23	23	5.1	125	112	121	26.9	5	5	5	1.1	6
28	28	28	10.4	-	-	-	-	88	83	85	31.6	-	-	-	-	7
8	8	8	8.6	-	-	-	-	30	27	28	30.1	-	-	-	-	8
17	16	17	10.9	-	-	-	-	60	54	55	35.3	-	-	-	-	9
12	11	12	6.6	-	-	-	-	38	20	24	13.3	-	-	-	-	10
56	47	51	12.7	-	-	-	-	103	80	84	20.9	-	-	-	-	11
16	15	15	10.3	-	-	-	-	22	19	20	13.8	-	-	-	-	12
8	8	8	6.7	-	-	-	-	34	11	13	10.8	2	2	2	1.7	13
128	126	126	10.5	-	-	-	-	408	408	408	34.1	-	-	-	-	14
11	11	11	10.1	-	-	-	-	15	15	15	13.8	-	-	-	-	15
24	22	22	8.7	-	-	-	-	40	33	34	13.5	-	-	-	-	16
21	21	21	11.5	-	-	-	-	59	59	59	32.4	-	-	-	-	17
21	19	21	8.1	-	-	-	-	52	52	52	20.1	-	-	-	-	18
10	10	10	8.4	-	-	-	-	13	8	10	8.4	-	-	-	-	19

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	888 575	221 756	25.0	72 419	32.7	55 426	25.0
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	178	50	28.1	14	27.8	27	53.1
JEFFERSON	3 707	821	22.1	236	36.1	269	32.8
MADISON	425	148	34.9	27	18.3	68	45.9
MOBILE	729	348	47.7	108	31.0	161	46.3
MONTGOMERY	402	233	58.1	41	17.7	124	53.0
TUSCALOOSA	398	130	32.7	46	35.3	45	34.3
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	6 023	2 034	33.8	426	20.9	531	26.1
PIMA	3 586	1 411	39.4	408	28.9	317	22.4
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	446	217	48.7	53	24.3	50	22.9
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	10 942	3 849	35.2	589	15.3	783	20.3
BUTTE	1 083	286	26.4	100	34.9	60	21.1
CONTRA COSTA	7 787	2 021	26.0	304	15.1	507	25.1
FRESNO	6 185	1 737	28.1	609	35.1	278	16.0
HUMBOLDT	1 522	406	26.7	142	35.0	92	22.7
KERN	6 014	1 382	23.0	479	34.7	231	16.7
LOS ANGELES	100 556	30 253	30.1	9 137	30.2	6 053	20.0
MARIN	2 217	731	33.0	146	19.9	175	24.0
MERCED	1 487	297	20.0	98	33.0	56	18.8
MONTEREY	2 769	836	30.2	227	27.1	181	21.7
ORANGE	12 253	4 547	37.1	937	20.6	1 145	25.2
RIVERSIDE	1 984	1 984	31.6	603	30.4	370	18.6
SACRAMENTO	8 315	2 916	35.1	1 063	36.4	401	13.8
SAN BERNARDINO	8 370	2 768	33.1	863	31.2	573	20.7
SAN DIEGO	13 160	4 710	35.8	954	20.3	1 211	25.7
SAN JOAQUIN	4 478	1 128	25.2	290	25.7	224	19.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 721	395	23.0	128	32.3	78	19.7
SAN MATEO	5 592	1 793	32.1	404	22.5	388	21.7
SANTA BARBARA	3 198	1 081	33.8	323	29.9	216	20.0
SANTA CLARA	12 935	3 564	27.6	731	20.5	779	21.9
SANTA CRUZ	1 708	502	29.4	155	30.9	97	19.3
SOLANO	1 643	553	33.6	106	19.2	114	20.7
SONOMA	3 328	718	21.6	233	32.5	129	17.9
STANISLAUS	2 398	654	27.3	137	21.0	140	21.4
TULARE	2 149	649	30.2	234	36.1	103	15.9
VENTURA	5 547	1 577	28.4	505	32.0	293	18.6
YOLO	1 208	387	32.1	120	31.0	71	18.4
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	965	207	21.4	113	54.7	12	5.6
ARAPAHOE	447	194	43.3	68	35.3	23	11.8
BOULDER	753	194	25.8	80	41.2	9	4.6
EL PASO	880	267	30.3	36	13.3	69	25.8
JEFFERSON	1 295	287	22.2	151	52.6	9	3.2
LARIMER	430	115	26.7	64	56.1	-	-
PUEBLO	591	99	16.8	25	24.9	12	12.5
WELD	1 268	99	7.8	49	49.2	4	4.5
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	1 530	441	28.8	343	77.8	86	19.6
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	667	251	37.7	130	51.8	53	20.9
BREVARD	1 285	270	21.0	160	59.2	80	29.5
BROWARD	2 950	956	32.4	464	48.5	289	30.2
DADE	19 918	4 262	21.4	2 416	56.7	971	22.8
ESCAMBIA	831	376	45.2	176	46.8	106	28.1
HILLSBOROUGH	4 995	920	18.4	415	45.0	194	21.1
LEE	871	241	27.7	158	65.6	54	22.2
LEON	453	181	40.0	89	48.9	64	35.3
MANATEE	528	155	29.3	102	65.9	34	21.6
ORANGE	2 415	803	33.2	461	57.4	212	26.5
PALM BEACH	3 052	792	26.0	513	64.8	96	12.1
PASCO	836	189	22.6	126	67.0	41	21.9
PINELLAS	2 328	804	34.6	363	45.1	302	37.6
POLK	2 160	368	17.1	200	54.3	97	26.4
SARASOTA	719	245	34.1	175	71.3	47	19.2
SEMINOLE	464	174	37.6	105	60.3	46	26.6
VOLUSIA	970	323	33.3	169	52.3	72	22.4
GEORGIA:							
BIBB	440	202	45.9	78	38.5	66	32.7
CHATHAM	666	264	39.5	100	37.8	92	34.9
CLAYTON	794	278	35.0	156	56.3	50	17.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	24 302	11.0	4 985	2.2	63 502	28.6	1 121	0.5
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	2	3.5	-	-	8	15.6	-	-
JEFFERSON	54	6.6	-	-	201	24.5	-	-
MADISON	19	12.8	-	-	34	23.0	-	-
MOBILE	21	6.1	-	-	57	16.5	-	-
MONTGOMERY	16	6.8	-	-	53	22.6	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	11	8.1	-	-	29	22.3	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	258	12.7	147	7.2	662	32.6	10	0.5
PIMA	183	12.9	69	4.9	435	30.8	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	43	19.9	12	5.4	60	27.5	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	535	13.9	298	7.7	1 625	42.2	18	0.5
BUTTE	48	16.7	-	-	56	19.5	22	7.8
CONTRA COSTA	321	15.9	111	5.5	778	38.5	-	-
FRESNO	254	14.6	72	4.2	523	30.1	-	-
HUMBOLDT	58	14.3	12	2.9	98	24.0	4	1.1
KERN	182	13.2	55	4.0	435	31.5	-	-
LOS ANGELES	3 276	10.8	1 197	4.0	10 590	35.0	-	-
MARIN	77	10.5	39	5.4	274	37.5	20	2.8
MERCED	41	13.7	7	2.5	95	32.0	-	-
MONTEREY	130	15.6	39	4.6	259	31.0	-	-
ORANGE	620	13.6	198	4.3	1 636	36.0	10	0.2
RIVERSIDE	238	12.0	74	3.7	699	35.2	-	-
SACRAMENTO	475	16.3	132	4.5	841	28.8	4	0.1
SAN BERNARDINO	318	11.5	117	4.2	891	32.2	6	0.2
SAN DIEGO	637	13.5	-	-	1 882	40.0	26	0.6
SAN JOAQUIN	166	14.7	69	6.1	376	33.4	2	0.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	78	19.7	-	-	112	28.3	-	-
SAN MATEO	192	10.7	-	-	809	45.1	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	149	13.8	48	4.5	345	31.9	-	-
SANTA CLARA	452	12.7	170	4.8	1 407	39.5	25	0.7
SANTA CRUZ	85	17.0	-	-	163	32.5	2	0.3
SOLANO	67	12.2	29	5.3	236	42.6	-	-
SONOMA	93	12.9	25	3.5	236	32.9	3	0.3
STANISLAUS	112	17.1	19	2.9	240	36.6	6	1.0
TULARE	93	14.4	33	5.1	185	28.5	-	-
VENTURA	243	15.4	56	3.5	442	28.0	38	2.4
YOLO	52	13.4	23	6.0	121	31.3	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	59	28.8	-	-	23	11.0	-	-
ARAPAHOE	75	38.6	-	-	28	14.4	-	-
BOULDER	48	24.5	-	-	58	29.6	-	-
EL PASO	90	33.6	-	-	73	27.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	40	31.4	-	-	30	10.4	7	2.4
LARIMER	24	20.8	-	-	26	23.1	-	-
PUEBLO	42	42.7	-	-	17	16.7	3	3.2
WELD	29	29.5	-	-	14	14.1	3	2.7
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	11	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	5	2.0	-	-	62	24.6	2	0.8
BREVARD	-	-	-	-	21	7.7	10	3.5
BROWARD	30	3.1	-	-	154	16.2	19	2.0
DADE	72	1.7	18	0.4	655	15.4	131	3.1
ESCAMBIA	6	1.6	1	0.3	87	23.2	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	22	2.3	9	1.0	272	29.6	8	0.9
LEE	9	3.7	-	-	20	8.5	-	-
LEON	4	2.3	-	-	7	13.6	-	-
MANATEE	-	-	-	-	19	12.4	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	129	16.1	-	-
PALM BEACH	19	2.4	-	-	164	20.7	-	-
PASCO	5	2.7	-	-	16	8.4	-	-
PINELLAS	19	2.4	3	0.4	111	13.8	5	0.7
POLK	1	2.3	2	0.4	60	16.3	-	-
SARASOTA	-	0.5	-	-	22	9.0	-	-
SEMINOLE	-	-	-	-	23	13.1	-	-
VOLUSIA	11	3.5	-	-	70	21.8	-	-
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	25	12.5	-	-	33	16.3	-	-
CHATHAM	24	8.9	-	-	49	18.4	-	-
CLAYTON	18	6.5	-	-	54	19.4	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED							
COBB	1 683	451	26.8	233	51.7	144	31.8
DE KALB	3 220	957	29.7	537	56.2	173	18.0
FULTON	2 602	1 131	43.5	163	14.4	460	40.7
RICHMOND	729	252	34.6	109	43.1	65	25.8
IDAHO:							
ADA	384	165	43.0	66	39.8	42	25.5
ILLINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN	290	117	40.3	45	38.7	19	16.5
COOK	21 707	7 368	33.9	902	12.2	2 911	39.5
DU PAGE	1 645	584	35.5	199	34.1	137	23.5
KANE	491	265	54.0	85	32.1	50	18.7
LAKE	1 264	384	30.4	141	36.8	65	16.9
LA SALLE	191	81	42.6	26	32.5	15	18.1
MCHEMRY	309	147	47.5	78	53.2	20	13.5
MCLEAN	288	112	38.9	56	50.2	23	20.8
MACON	263	127	48.3	53	41.5	31	24.5
MADISON	530	244	46.0	85	34.9	61	25.0
PEORIA	401	230	57.5	97	42.3	31	13.3
ROCK ISLAND	431	137	31.7	70	51.2	22	15.8
ST. CLAIR	727	217	29.8	74	34.0	52	24.2
SANGAMON	442	206	46.7	93	45.1	31	15.0
TAZEWELL	252	93	36.8	39	41.6	21	22.7
WILL	511	263	51.4	118	44.7	58	22.0
WINNEBAGO	761	282	37.1	105	37.1	61	21.6
INDIANA:							
ALLEN	1 200	370	30.9	127	34.3	83	22.4
DELAWARE	305	105	34.5	34	32.6	31	29.1
ELKHART	399	148	37.0	58	39.5	38	26.1
LAKE	2 392	624	26.1	145	23.3	192	30.8
LA PORTE	334	97	28.9	30	31.3	38	39.0
MADISON	324	103	31.9	23	22.2	39	37.9
ST. JOSEPH	714	229	32.1	65	28.6	74	32.1
TIPPECANOE	316	95	30.1	38	40.2	19	19.7
VANDERBURGH	463	190	41.1	59	31.2	56	29.2
VIGO	477	104	21.8	28	26.6	43	41.3
IOWA:							
BLACK HAWK	431	158	36.7	21	13.0	65	41.3
LINN	503	213	42.4	48	22.5	62	29.2
POLK	1 061	529	49.9	52	9.8	132	24.8
SCOTT	308	126	40.8	40	31.5	43	34.2
WOODBURY	318	108	33.9	29	26.8	45	41.5
KANSAS:							
JOHNSON	627	245	39.0	83	33.8	73	29.9
SEDGWICK	977	328	33.5	70	21.4	134	40.9
SHAWNEE	485	223	45.9	32	14.5	73	32.6
WYANDOTTE	441	176	39.8	23	13.3	79	45.0
KENTUCKY:							
JEFFERSON	2 867	1 052	36.7	594	56.5	105	10.0
KENTON	233	84	36.2	38	44.7	24	28.4
LOUISIANA:							
CADDO	563	234	41.5	102	43.6	42	17.9
CALCASIEU	651	283	43.5	176	62.2	43	15.0
JEFFERSON	3 737	879	23.5	482	54.8	277	31.5
LAFAYETTE	300	144	48.2	66	45.9	39	27.0
OUACHITA	447	140	31.4	81	57.9	17	11.8
RAPIDES	384	159	41.5	96	60.4	27	16.9
MAINE:							
CUMBERLAND	94	72	75.9	26	35.9	9	12.6
PENOBSCOT	45	27	59.3	6	21.4	7	25.7
YORK	41	17	42.2	5	27.2	3	17.0
MARYLAND:							
ANNE ARUNDEL	9 710	699	7.2	532	76.1	65	9.3
BALTIMORE	22 495	1 879	8.4	1 552	82.6	102	5.4
HARFORD	3 528	171	4.8	74	43.1	31	18.0
MONTGOMERY	27 184	1 788	6.6	1 395	78.0	151	8.5
PRINCE GEORGES	26 255	2 215	8.4	1 722	77.7	151	6.8
WASHINGTON	2 739	49	1.8	15	30.4	11	23.2
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BARNSTABLE	373	165	44.3	24	14.6	48	29.1
BERKSHIRE	130	102	78.4	-	0.2	39	38.3
BRISTOL	410	287	70.1	-	-	144	50.3
ESSEX	485	459	94.6	-	(2)	211	46.0
HAMPDEN	525	391	74.6	-	-	163	41.6
HAMPSHIRE	238	104	43.8	-	-	25	24.4

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB	23	5.2	-	-	49	10.8	2	0.5
DE KALB	50	5.2	-	-	176	18.4	8	0.8
FULTON	132	11.7	13	1.4	347	30.7	-	-
RICHMOND	14	5.7	-	-	64	25.4	-	-
IDAHO:								
ADA	25	15.3	-	-	31	18.9	1	0.5
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	20	17.1	8	6.7	25	21.0	-	-
COOK	951	12.9	471	6.4	2 130	28.9	4	(2)
DU PAGE	78	13.4	32	5.5	134	23.0	3	0.5
KANE	29	11.1	8	2.9	92	34.6	2	0.6
LAKE	63	16.5	9	2.3	102	26.6	3	0.9
LA SALLE	16	19.7	3	3.1	22	26.6	-	-
MCHEMRY	17	11.5	5	3.4	27	18.3	-	-
MCLEAN	10	9.2	4	3.3	17	15.2	1	1.3
MACON	19	15.0	5	4.3	19	14.7	-	-
MADISON	19	10.5	10	4.2	62	25.3	-	-
PEORIA	29	12.5	7	3.1	66	28.8	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	24	17.9	3	2.0	18	13.1	-	-
ST. CLAIR	29	13.6	11	5.1	50	23.1	-	-
SANGAMON	18	14.7	7	3.6	45	21.6	-	-
TAZEWELL	34	19.3	4	4.1	11	12.3	-	-
WILL	35	13.1	13	5.1	39	14.7	1	0.5
WINNEBAGO	35	12.4	9	3.3	72	25.6	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	23	6.3	11	3.0	126	34.0	-	-
DELAWARE	9	8.1	1	1.4	30	28.8	-	-
ELKHART	11	7.6	3	2.2	36	24.6	-	-
LAKE	82	13.1	23	3.7	161	25.8	20	3.3
LA PORTE	9	9.8	-	-	19	20.0	-	-
MADISON	7	6.3	3	3.1	31	30.5	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	19	8.1	8	3.6	63	27.5	-	-
TIPPECANOE	7	6.9	3	2.7	20	21.5	-	-
VANDERBURGH	21	10.8	13	6.8	39	20.6	9	8.9
VIGO	9	8.6	4	3.9	21	19.7	3	1.4
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	14	8.6	-	-	59	37.1	-	-
LINN	21	10.1	-	-	81	38.2	-	-
POLK	48	9.1	28	5.4	270	50.9	-	-
SCOTT	18	14.1	-	-	25	20.1	-	-
WOODBURY	15	14.1	3	3.0	16	14.6	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	39	16.0	-	-	50	20.4	-	-
SEDGWICK	61	18.7	-	-	62	19.0	-	-
SHAWNEE	23	10.3	-	-	58	26.2	36	16.3
WYANDOTTE	29	16.6	-	-	44	25.2	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	76	7.2	-	-	275	26.2	2	0.2
KENTON	6	7.4	2	2.9	13	16.0	1	0.6
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	15	6.4	-	-	75	32.1	-	-
CALCASIEU	24	8.5	-	(2)	40	14.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	47	5.4	-	-	62	7.0	11	1.2
LAFAYETTE	6	3.9	2	1.6	31	21.6	-	-
OUACHITA	16	11.4	-	-	26	18.9	-	-
RAPIDES	15	9.3	-	-	21	13.3	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	3	4.6	-	-	34	46.8	-	-
PENOBSCOT	5	18.0	-	-	9	34.8	-	-
YORK	2	8.7	-	-	8	47.1	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	62	8.9	-	-	40	5.7	-	-
BALTIMORE	106	5.6	-	-	120	6.4	-	-
HARFORD	27	16.1	-	-	39	22.8	-	-
MONTGOMERY	114	6.4	-	-	128	7.2	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	151	6.8	-	-	178	8.0	13	0.6
WASHINGTON	8	16.1	-	-	15	30.2	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	11	6.6	-	-	82	49.7	-	-
BERKSHIRE	3	2.7	-	-	60	55.8	-	-
BRISTOL	13	4.4	-	-	130	45.4	-	-
ESSEX	16	3.6	12	2.6	219	47.8	-	-
HAMPDEN	26	6.6	-	-	202	51.7	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	12	11.5	2	1.9	65	62.1	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED							
MIDDLESEX	1 734	1 090	62.9	-	-	566	51.9
NORFOLK	667	392	58.8	-	-	192	48.9
PLYMOUTH	482	343	71.2	8	2.4	134	39.0
WORCESTER	879	555	63.2	-	-	274	49.3
MICHIGANI							
BAY	617	191	30.9	62	32.5	59	31.1
BERRIEN	916	309	33.7	71	22.9	105	34.0
CALHOUN	673	293	43.5	59	20.3	117	39.9
GENESEE	2 251	720	32.0	128	17.8	225	31.3
INGHAM	1 483	427	28.8	119	27.8	144	33.7
JACKSON	722	241	33.3	76	31.4	68	28.2
KALAMAZOO	706	394	55.8	114	29.0	99	25.0
KENT	1 779	491	27.6	149	30.3	120	24.5
MACOMB							
MACOMB	1 857	704	37.9	156	22.2	217	30.8
MONROE	742	236	31.8	106	44.9	70	29.8
MUSKEGON	799	244	30.5	55	22.7	100	41.2
OAKLAND	3 411	1 277	37.4	303	23.7	341	26.7
OTTAWA	556	161	28.9	71	44.2	51	31.6
SAGINAW	1 157	342	29.6	92	26.9	115	33.5
ST. CLAIR	666	222	33.3	65	29.5	79	35.5
WASHTENAW	1 296	541	41.7	171	31.6	156	28.9
WAYNE	11 483	3 850	33.5	525	13.6	1 577	41.0
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	740	253	34.2	105	41.4	61	24.2
DAKOTA	367	150	40.8	48	32.2	54	36.4
HENNEPIN	7 603	1 689	22.2	268	15.9	490	29.0
RAMSEY	4 390	934	21.3	110	11.8	306	32.7
ST. LOUIS	2 104	355	16.9	100	28.2	146	41.2
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	326	111	34.1	37	33.5	50	45.0
HINDS	706	95	13.4	34	35.7	43	45.1
JACKSON	668	78	11.7	33	42.2	25	32.3
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	273	120	44.1	35	28.7	28	23.4
GREENE	240	104	43.1	34	32.9	37	35.5
JACKSON	1 223	747	61.1	88	11.7	257	34.3
JEFFERSON	192	82	42.9	37	44.7	25	31.0
ST. CHARLES	198	109	54.8	50	46.0	34	31.6
ST. LOUIS	4 226	1 595	37.7	832	52.2	401	25.2
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	2 098	362	17.2	90	24.9	75	20.8
LANCASTER	810	187	23.1	37	19.9	73	38.9
NEVADA:							
CLARK	4 989	1 983	39.8	1 212	61.1	139	7.0
WASHOE	2 532	461	18.2	154	33.3	98	21.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	334	85	25.5	3	3.0	31	36.9
ROCKINGHAM	258	63	24.3	23	36.6	25	39.6
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	1 569	313	20.0	29	9.2	59	19.0
BERGEN	4 582	1 096	23.9	325	29.6	272	24.8
BURLINGTON	1 644	347	21.1	13	3.8	89	25.7
CAMDEN	4 592	943	20.5	95	10.1	211	22.4
CUMBERLAND	852	198	23.3	13	6.7	42	21.0
ESSEX	9 463	2 854	30.2	374	13.1	822	28.8
GLOUCESTER	884	220	24.8	24	11.0	48	22.0
HUDSON	3 512	1 025	29.2	181	17.7	307	30.0
MERCER							
MERCER	2 240	535	23.9	41	7.6	157	29.5
MIDDLESEX	4 217	920	21.8	103	11.1	272	29.5
MONMOUTH	2 167	471	21.7	53	11.2	136	28.9
MORRIS	2 360	442	18.7	113	25.6	93	21.1
OCEAN	1 871	411	22.0	95	23.0	93	22.6
PASSAIC	2 382	794	33.4	75	9.5	230	29.0
SOMERSET	1 461	298	20.4	48	16.2	65	21.9
UNION	1 698	872	51.3	84	9.7	293	33.6
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	1 434	214	14.9	180	83.9	8	3.8
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	1 941	323	16.6	63	19.5	63	19.4
BROOME	1 721	216	12.6	68	31.5	48	22.2
CHAUTAUQUA	910	168	18.5	60	35.9	39	23.0
CHEMUNG	768	138	17.9	39	28.3	22	15.8
DUTCHESS	1 742	335	19.2	94	28.0	76	22.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX	32	2.9	25	2.3	468	42.9	-	-
NORFOLK	44	11.2	7	1.8	150	38.1	-	-
PLYMOUTH	22	6.4	-	-	179	52.2	-	-
WORCESTER	15	2.7	-	-	266	47.9	-	-
MICHIGAN								
BAY	21	11.0	10	5.3	39	20.3	-	-
BERRIEN	31	10.1	-	-	102	32.9	-	-
CALHOUN	26	8.9	-	-	91	30.9	-	-
GENESEE	127	17.7	-	-	235	32.7	4	0.6
INGHAM	67	15.6	-	-	98	22.8	-	-
JACKSON	28	11.5	-	-	70	28.9	-	-
KALAMAZOO	49	12.5	-	-	132	33.5	-	-
KENT	47	9.5	-	-	174	35.5	1	0.2
MACOMB								
MACOMB	110	15.6	18	2.5	199	28.3	4	0.6
MONROE	20	8.3	-	-	40	17.1	-	-
MUSKEGON	20	8.1	-	-	68	28.1	-	-
OAKLAND	141	11.0	-	-	484	38.2	4	0.3
OTTAWA	8	5.2	2	1.0	29	18.1	-	-
SAGINAW	32	9.4	-	-	103	30.2	-	-
ST. CLAIR	21	9.5	-	-	57	25.5	-	-
WASHTENAW	46	8.6	23	4.3	144	26.6	-	-
WAYNE	435	11.3	-	-	1 309	34.0	4	0.1
MINNESOTA								
ANOKA	35	13.7	7	2.7	46	18.1	-	-
DAKOTA	24	16.3	-	-	23	15.1	-	-
HENNEPIN	187	11.1	133	7.9	601	35.6	10	0.6
RAMSEY	86	9.2	29	3.1	403	43.2	-	-
ST. LOUIS	43	12.3	3	0.8	62	17.5	-	-
MISSISSIPPI								
HARRISON	6	5.6	-	-	18	16.0	-	-
HINDS	4	3.9	-	-	15	15.3	-	-
JACKSON	-	-	2	2.0	18	23.5	-	-
MISSOURI								
CLAY	16	13.0	-	-	42	34.8	-	-
GREENE	13	13.0	-	-	19	18.6	-	-
JACKSON	95	12.8	2	0.2	306	40.9	-	-
JEFFERSON	10	12.1	-	-	4	5.5	6	6.8
ST. CHARLES	13	11.6	-	-	12	10.8	-	-
ST. LOUIS	99	6.2	-	-	262	16.5	-	-
NEBRASKA								
DOUGLAS	51	14.1	25	6.9	121	33.3	-	-
LANCASTER	39	20.9	15	7.8	23	12.5	-	-
NEVADA								
CLARK	181	9.1	63	3.2	389	19.6	-	-
WASHOE	71	15.4	27	5.9	108	23.4	3	0.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE								
HILLSBOROUGH	10	11.2	-	-	42	48.9	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	4	6.3	-	-	11	17.4	-	-
NEW JERSEY								
ATLANTIC	85	27.2	-	-	136	43.5	3	1.0
BERGEN	191	17.5	-	-	308	28.1	-	-
BURLINGTON	78	22.4	-	-	164	47.2	3	0.9
CAMDEN	198	21.0	-	-	431	45.7	9	0.9
CUMBERLAND	23	11.6	-	-	120	60.7	-	-
ESSEX	395	13.8	2	0.1	1 257	44.0	5	0.2
GLOUCESTER	47	21.4	-	-	98	44.5	2	1.1
HUDSON	211	20.6	-	-	326	31.8	-	-
MERCER								
MERCER	114	21.4	-	-	220	41.2	2	0.4
MIDDLESEX	209	22.7	-	-	333	36.2	4	0.5
MONMOUTH	85	18.1	-	-	197	41.9	-	-
MORRIS	86	19.5	-	-	145	32.8	5	1.0
OCEAN	83	20.1	-	-	141	34.3	-	-
PASSAIC	181	22.8	-	-	303	38.1	5	0.6
SOMERSET	54	18.2	-	-	126	42.2	4	1.4
UNION	297	23.7	-	-	297	33.0	-	-
NEW MEXICO								
BERNALILLO	2	1.0	-	-	24	11.3	-	-
NEW YORK								
ALBANY	43	13.4	16	5.0	138	42.7	-	-
BROOME	25	11.6	10	4.8	63	29.1	2	0.8
CHAUTAUQUA	19	11.5	9	5.6	41	24.1	-	-
CHEMUNG	17	12.3	6	4.5	54	39.1	-	-
DUTCHESS	39	11.7	19	5.6	107	31.9	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
ERIE	9 983	1 593	16.0	384	24.1	360	22.6
MONROE	5 968	1 277	21.4	299	31.2	217	17.0
NASSAU	23 137	8 625	37.3	5 743	65.6	1 277	14.8
ONEIDA	1 741	292	16.8	85	29.0	77	26.3
ONONDAGA	1 724	231	13.4	48	20.6	156	18.2
ORANGE	4 254	860	20.2	196	22.7	106	47.3
OSWEGO	2 104	224	10.7	1	0.4	33	26.4
RENSSELAER	1 745	126	16.9	36	28.6	39	24.5
ROCKLAND	2 415	376	15.6	95	25.2	85	22.6
ST. LAWRENCE	574	110	19.2	28	25.4	30	27.5
SARATOGA	1 086	123	17.3	34	27.5	40	32.9
SCHENECTADY	1 086	116	10.7	6	4.8	47	40.6
STEUEN	12 783	6 176	48.3	4 445	10.2	722	11.7
SUFFOLK	1 218	170	13.9	26	72.0	32	18.8
ULSTER	8 109	1 757	21.7	401	15.4	406	23.1
WESTCHESTER					22.8		
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE	2 715	93	3.4	52	55.8	12	13.2
CUMBERLAND	4 678	192	4.1	126	65.6	20	10.4
DURHAM	2 986	56	1.9	25	44.1	21	37.3
FORSYTH	4 993	153	3.1	58	44.1	54	35.2
GASTON	3 153	100	3.2	53	38.1	14	14.2
GUILFORD	6 728	201	3.0	53	52.8	29	14.2
MECKLENBURG	8 743	312	3.6	99	49.3	55	17.7
ONSLow	1 525	53	3.5	159	50.8	15	27.4
WAKE	5 158	86	1.7	25	46.6	45	52.3
OHIO:							
ALLEN	531	117	22.0	36	30.4	34	29.3
ASHTABULA	473	87	18.4	23	26.5	35	40.5
BUTLER	761	176	23.2	47	26.9	71	40.1
CLARK	607	137	22.6	36	26.3	41	29.6
CLERMONT	405	88	21.7	23	26.0	37	42.6
COLUMBIANA	380	84	22.2	16	18.6	34	40.7
CUYAHOGA	10 572	1 497	14.2	215	14.4	786	52.5
FRANKLIN	2 725	674	24.7	142	21.1	250	37.1
GREENE	2 725	142	26.9	47	33.0	45	32.0
HAMILTON	3 961	1 152	29.1	353	30.7	434	37.7
LAKE	1 250	180	14.4	49	27.5	65	36.4
LICKING	415	97	23.3	26	27.3	34	35.6
LORAIN	884	222	25.1	51	22.8	81	36.4
LUCAS	2 014	571	28.3	60	10.5	215	37.7
MAHONING	1 131	232	20.6	52	22.3	105	45.3
MONTGOMERY	3 160	796	25.2	200	25.1	247	31.0
PORTAGE	1 358	161	11.9	55	34.3	62	38.2
RICHLAND	1 462	136	29.5	33	24.4	41	30.0
STARK	1 572	366	23.3	106	29.0	111	30.4
SUMMIT	2 010	550	27.4	119	21.6	202	36.7
TRUMBULL	1 034	204	19.8	61	29.7	86	42.0
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE	402	48	11.9	14	28.6	13	27.6
OKLAHOMA	781	212	27.1	10	4.5	107	50.4
TULSA	1 081	227	21.0	36	15.7	99	43.8
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS	926	300	32.4	129	42.9	62	20.6
JACKSON	742	198	26.6	88	44.4	35	17.5
LANE	1 604	505	31.5	177	35.0	174	34.5
MARION	666	256	38.3	76	29.9	64	24.9
MULTNOMAH	2 959	1 145	38.7	439	38.3	286	25.0
WASHINGTON	798	288	36.1	125	43.5	51	17.8
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	7 088	1 957	27.6	445	22.7	799	40.8
BEAVER	513	211	41.2	43	20.2	82	38.8
BERKS	766	230	30.0	9	3.8	86	38.3
BLAIR	347	82	23.5	1	1.5	38	46.8
BUCKS	1 210	469	38.7	64	13.6	188	40.2
BUTLER	357	85	23.9	7	8.8	35	40.6
CAMBRIA	441	119	27.1	5	1.9	55	46.5
CENTRE	249	58	23.2	1	1.5	26	45.5
CHESTER	1 006	396	39.4	37	9.4	112	28.4
CUMBERLAND	442	96	21.8	3	3.2	40	41.0
DAUPHIN	724	248	34.2	10	4.1	96	38.7
DELAWARE	2 289	778	34.0	49	6.3	298	38.3
ERIE	800	274	34.3	7	2.6	96	35.0
FAYETTE	250	85	33.8	7	8.2	36	42.9
FRANKLIN	224	153	27.6	2	0.9	26	50.6
LACKAWANNA	555	153	26.3	13	5.3	86	34.3
LANCASTER	958	252	26.3	2	2.5	33	48.8
LAWRENCE	207	67	32.4				

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE	238	14.9	-	-	603	37.8	9	0.6
MONROE	139	10.9	53	4.1	419	32.8	50	3.9
NASSAU	438	5.1	-	-	1 159	13.4	9	0.1
NIAGARA	25	8.4	9	3.1	86	29.4	11	3.7
ONEIDA	33	14.2	10	4.3	70	30.3	-	-
ONONDAGA	97	11.2	-	-	381	44.3	30	3.5
ORANGE	42	18.9	-	-	75	33.5	-	-
OSWEGO	15	12.2	-	0.2	41	32.6	-	-
RENSSELAER	22	14.0	8	5.1	61	38.6	-	-
ROCKLAND	74	19.6	20	5.2	103	27.3	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	10	8.9	1	1.4	41	36.8	-	-
SARATOGA	15	12.6	3	2.7	30	24.2	-	-
SCHENECTADY	14	12.1	1	0.5	49	42.0	-	-
STEBEN	13	14.5	1	1.5	42	46.5	-	-
SUFFOLK	328	5.3	-	-	660	10.7	20	0.3
ULSTER	22	12.8	-	0.3	84	49.7	5	3.0
WESTCHESTER	323	18.4	-	-	619	35.2	8	0.5
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	3	3.5	-	-	26	27.5	-	-
CUMBERLAND	6	2.9	-	-	40	21.1	-	-
DURHAM	2	2.8	-	-	9	15.8	-	-
FORSYTH	7	4.5	-	-	34	22.2	-	-
GASTON	2	2.4	-	0.5	28	28.5	2	1.6
GUILFORD	12	6.1	-	-	61	30.4	-	-
MECKLENBURG	1	0.3	-	-	66	21.2	31	10.0
ONSLow	1	2.6	-	-	12	23.4	-	-
WAKE	5	5.7	-	-	14	15.8	-	-
OHIO:								
ALLEN	13	11.2	-	0.3	34	28.8	-	-
ASHTABULA	9	10.6	-	-	20	22.4	-	-
BUTLER	13	7.1	-	-	46	25.9	-	-
CLARK	10	7.1	5	3.8	44	32.2	1	1.0
CLERMONT	7	8.5	3	3.0	13	14.6	5	5.3
COLUMBIANA	12	13.9	5	6.1	17	20.7	-	-
CUYAHOGA	136	9.1	-	-	360	24.0	-	-
FRANKLIN	89	13.3	-	-	192	28.5	-	-
GREENE	15	10.3	3	2.0	32	22.8	-	-
HAMILTON	62	5.4	-	-	302	26.3	-	-
LAKE	19	10.7	-	-	46	25.4	-	-
LICKING	16	16.5	-	-	20	20.5	-	-
LORAIN	26	11.7	-	-	65	29.1	-	-
LUCAS	34	6.0	-	-	261	45.8	-	-
MAHONING	24	10.4	-	-	51	22.0	-	-
MONTGOMERY	70	8.7	26	3.3	201	25.2	52	6.5
PORTAGE	14	8.4	3	1.9	28	17.2	-	-
RICHLAND	20	15.0	-	-	42	30.6	-	-
STARK	27	7.3	10	2.7	112	30.6	-	-
SUMMIT	52	11.2	-	-	159	29.0	8	1.5
TRUMBULL	18	8.8	-	-	40	19.6	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	-	-	-	-	21	43.8	-	-
OKLAHOMA	-	-	20	9.4	76	35.8	-	-
TULSA	-	-	13	5.8	79	34.8	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	37	12.4	-	-	72	24.1	-	-
JACKSON	19	9.7	-	-	56	28.4	-	-
LANE	75	14.9	-	-	79	15.7	-	-
MARION	38	14.7	-	-	78	30.5	-	-
MULTNOMAH	118	10.3	-	-	295	25.8	7	0.6
WASHINGTON	37	13.0	-	-	74	25.7	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	223	11.4	73	3.8	400	20.4	17	0.9
BEAVER	23	10.9	9	4.2	55	25.9	-	-
BERKS	26	11.4	8	3.6	99	42.9	-	-
BLAIR	10	11.7	4	4.3	29	35.7	-	-
BUCKS	70	14.9	24	5.2	122	26.1	-	-
BUTLER	10	11.4	3	3.6	31	35.8	-	-
CAMBRIA	16	13.7	7	6.1	36	29.9	-	-
CENTRE	5	8.8	2	3.6	23	40.6	-	-
CHESTER	64	16.2	23	5.7	160	40.3	-	-
CUMBERLAND	10	10.2	4	3.9	40	41.6	-	-
DAUPHIN	37	14.9	15	6.2	89	36.1	-	-
DELAWARE	117	15.0	27	3.5	284	36.5	3	0.4
ERIE	28	10.3	23	8.4	120	43.7	-	-
FAYETTE	9	10.4	4	4.6	29	33.9	-	-
FRANKLIN	6	8.5	4	5.8	37	49.8	-	-
LACKAWANNA	19	12.7	8	5.2	46	30.1	-	-
LANCASTER	19	7.4	10	3.9	124	49.0	-	-
LAWRENCE	10	14.4	3	4.3	20	30.0	-	-

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LEBANON	429	85	19.8	11	13.2	29	33.8
LEHIGH	1 026	227	22.2	1	0.5	87	38.3
LUZERNE	1 124	221	19.6	7	3.0	103	46.6
LYCOMING	299	112	37.6	1	0.9	44	39.4
MERCER	161	89	55.5	1	1.3	41	45.8
MONTGOMERY	1 390	645	46.4	45	7.0	318	49.4
NORTHAMPTON	545	174	31.9	2	1.3	70	40.2
SCHUYLKILL	575	125	21.8	3	2.4	56	44.6
WASHINGTON	341	136	39.9	7	5.4	58	42.5
WESTMORELAND	1 018	253	24.8	17	6.6	116	45.9
YORK	504	212	42.1	25	11.7	93	43.9
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON	150	101	67.2	42	41.6	31	30.4
CHARLESTON	1 113	309	27.7	161	52.0	81	26.4
GREENVILLE	1 032	351	34.0	186	52.9	101	28.8
LEXINGTON	329	103	31.4	47	45.6	34	33.1
RICHLAND	1 633	346	21.2	144	41.6	122	35.2
SPARTANBURG	1 629	210	12.9	120	57.2	66	31.6
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON	4 229	313	7.4	90	28.9	96	30.7
KNOX	2 265	242	10.7	90	37.4	98	40.6
SHELBY	6 407	1 071	16.7	226	21.1	369	34.5
SULLIVAN	1 419	89	6.3	49	55.2	21	24.0
TEXAS:							
BELL	201	104	51.6	14	13.3	32	30.9
BEXAR	2 934	741	25.3	141	19.0	244	32.9
BRAZORIA	364	114	31.2	51	44.8	40	35.2
CAMERON	332	127	38.2	20	16.0	52	40.5
DALLAS	5 081	1 734	34.1	254	14.7	690	39.8
EL PASO	921	303	32.9	66	21.9	97	31.9
GALVESTON	1 018	227	22.3	63	27.5	85	37.3
HARRIS	7 481	2 602	34.8	476	18.3	979	37.6
HIDALGO	291	121	41.5	26	21.5	39	32.5
JEFFERSON	598	274	45.7	58	21.1	94	34.3
LUBBOCK	259	120	46.4	36	30.2	37	30.8
MCLENNAN	376	241	64.0	33	13.8	31	13.1
NUECES	1 428	260	18.2	47	17.9	123	47.5
SMITH	161	59	36.9	22	37.4	26	44.5
TARRANT	2 300	745	32.4	186	25.0	229	30.7
TAYLOR	111	60	54.6	10	17.0	29	48.0
TRAVIS	818	497	60.8	98	19.6	147	29.6
WICHITA	177	94	53.3	20	21.0	26	28.0
UTAH:							
DAVIS	218	56	25.7	27	47.7	8	15.1
SALT LAKE	3 048	798	26.2	501	62.8	125	15.7
UTAH	260	65	25.0	23	35.1	17	25.9
WEBER	553	87	15.8	32	36.7	15	16.7
VERMONT:							
CHITTENDEN	6	5	84.2	-	-	5	100.0
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON	5 334	702	13.2	540	76.9	46	6.6
FAIRFAX	20 496	1 555	7.6	1 170	75.2	215	13.8
HENRICO	4 355	484	11.1	352	72.8	47	9.7
PRINCE WILLIAM	4 199	283	6.7	198	69.9	38	13.5
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK	812	280	34.5	114	40.8	57	20.4
KING	4 790	1 871	39.1	751	40.1	439	23.4
KITSAP	624	170	27.3	56	33.2	43	25.3
PIERCE	1 824	634	34.8	267	42.0	120	18.9
SNOHOMISH	1 100	356	32.3	123	34.5	99	27.9
SPOKANE	1 050	480	45.8	190	39.6	99	20.7
YAKIMA	636	242	38.1	71	29.3	70	28.8
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL	238	76	32.2	17	22.4	32	41.9
KANAWHA	381	128	33.5	29	22.8	39	30.2
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN	799	188	23.5	122	64.8	31	16.6
DANE	1 409	467	33.1	187	39.9	123	26.3
KENOSHA	661	173	26.2	89	51.5	39	22.7
MARATHON	725	109	15.0	56	51.2	34	30.9
MILWAUKEE	10 693	1 549	14.5	331	21.4	563	36.3
OUTAGAMIE	630	104	16.5	54	51.9	27	25.7
RACINE	1 042	277	26.6	136	48.9	80	29.0
ROCK	702	180	25.6	56	31.4	41	22.7
WAUKESHA	999	276	27.7	126	45.6	67	24.4
WINNEBAGO	614	113	18.4	60	53.0	34	29.8

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

3 Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	6	6.5	2	2.4	37	44.0	-	-
LEHIGH	31	13.7	10	4.5	97	42.9	-	-
LUZERNE	29	13.3	15	6.7	67	30.4	-	-
LYCOMING	9	8.2	4	3.7	54	47.8	-	-
MERCER	10	11.0	7	7.7	31	34.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY	81	12.5	27	4.3	173	26.9	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	18	10.2	6	3.6	78	44.7	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	21	16.7	9	7.1	37	29.2	-	-
WASHINGTON	15	11.3	6	4.2	50	36.6	-	-
WESTMORELAND	37	14.8	8	3.2	74	29.2	1	0.3
YORK	16	7.6	6	2.6	72	34.1	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	4	3.9	2	1.7	22	22.3	-	-
CHARLESTON	14	4.6	-	-	53	17.1	-	-
GREENVILLE	24	6.7	-	-	40	11.5	-	-
LEXINGTON	1	1.4	-	-	18	17.5	2	2.4
RICHLAND	31	9.0	-	-	49	14.2	-	-
SPARTANBURG	6	2.9	4	2.1	13	6.2	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	12	3.9	-	-	114	36.5	-	-
KNOX	8	3.5	1	0.4	44	18.2	-	-
SHELBY	40	3.7	47	4.4	373	34.8	15	1.4
SULLIVAN	8	8.6	-	-	11	12.3	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	26	25.4	-	-	32	30.4	-	-
BEXAR	124	16.7	-	-	230	31.0	2	0.3
BRAZORIA	12	10.8	-	-	10	9.2	-	-
CAMERON	18	14.4	-	-	37	29.1	-	-
DALLAS	247	14.3	-	-	538	31.0	4	0.2
EL PASO	39	12.7	-	-	84	27.6	18	5.9
GALVESTON	31	13.5	-	-	39	17.2	10	4.5
HARRIS	402	15.4	3	0.1	676	26.0	66	2.6
HIDALGO	16	13.2	-	-	40	32.8	-	-
JEFFERSON	57	20.9	-	-	62	22.8	2	0.8
LUBBOCK	23	19.2	-	-	24	19.9	-	-
MCLENNAN	21	8.7	-	-	66	27.5	89	36.9
NUECES	33	12.8	-	-	57	21.8	-	-
SMITH	-	-	-	-	11	18.2	-	-
TARRANT	144	19.3	8	1.0	163	22.5	11	1.4
TAYLOR	8	12.5	-	-	14	22.5	-	-
TRAVIS	55	11.0	-	-	197	39.7	-	-
WICHITA	12	12.6	-	-	36	38.4	-	-
UTAH:								
DAVIS	15	26.9	-	-	6	10.3	-	-
SALT LAKE	56	7.1	-	-	115	14.4	-	-
UTAH	10	15.1	2	3.6	13	20.4	-	-
WEBER	15	17.4	-	-	26	29.3	-	-
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	28	4.0	-	-	75	10.7	13	1.8
FAIRFAX	61	3.9	-	-	103	6.7	6	0.4
HENRICO	36	7.4	-	-	49	10.2	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	16	5.8	-	-	22	7.9	8	2.9
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	26	9.1	-	-	83	29.6	-	-
KING	163	8.7	11	0.6	501	26.8	7	0.4
KITSAP	23	13.3	10	5.8	38	22.5	-	-
PIERCE	64	10.1	12	1.9	167	26.4	4	0.6
SNOHOMISH	35	9.9	2	0.6	93	26.3	3	0.8
SPOKANE	44	9.1	27	5.7	115	23.9	5	1.0
YAKIMA	31	12.7	-	-	71	29.3	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	9	11.7	-	-	18	23.9	-	-
KANAWHA	22	17.1	-	-	38	29.9	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	11	5.9	-	-	24	12.7	-	-
DANE	65	14.0	-	-	92	19.8	-	-
KENOSHA	18	10.6	-	-	26	15.3	-	-
MARATHON	8	7.6	-	-	10	8.9	1	1.4
MILWAUKEE	183	11.8	-	-	471	30.4	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	11	10.8	-	-	12	11.7	-	-
RACINE	29	10.3	-	-	33	11.8	-	-
ROCK	21	11.9	-	-	61	34.1	-	-
WAUKESHA	24	8.8	-	-	59	21.3	-	-
WINNEBAGO	12	10.8	-	-	7	6.4	-	-

Table 20. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	5 131 349	5 095 530	4 898 914	196 616	82 311	110 939	3 291	35 819	8 733	27 086
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	13 787	13 787	13 483	304	304	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	1 305	1 301	1 259	42	42	-	-	4	-	4
HUNTSVILLE	3 811	3 722	3 428	294	292	2	-	89	-	89
MOBILE	6 534	6 322	5 928	394	394	-	-	212	-	212
MONTGOMERY	5 001	4 702	4 472	230	230	-	-	299	-	299
TUSCALOOSA	2 555	2 531	2 285	246	153	93	-	24	-	24
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	7 482	7 275	7 106	169	169	-	-	207	207	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDAL	2 055	2 055	2 015	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	2 823	2 822	2 435	387	232	155	-	1	-	1
PHOENIX	46 663	46 291	44 147	2 144	1 140	1 004	-	372	-	372
SCOTTSDALE	3 350	3 350	3 320	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	3 331	3 309	3 301	8	8	-	-	22	-	22
TUCSON	16 953	16 918	14 636	2 282	123	2 159	-	35	-	35
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	1 388	1 332	1 272	60	60	-	-	56	-	56
LITTLE ROCK	4 200	4 200	4 083	117	117	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	1 931	1 868	1 784	84	84	-	-	63	-	63
PINE BLUFF	1 276	1 251	1 195	56	56	-	-	25	11	14
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	2 430	2 430	2 384	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	2 211	2 200	2 083	117	117	-	-	11	-	11
ANAHEIM	8 445	8 432	8 127	305	304	1	1	13	-	13
BAKERSFIELD	5 712	5 707	4 121	1 586	394	1 192	-	5	-	5
BELLFLOWER	1 143	76	76	-	-	-	-	1 067	-	1 067
BERKELEY	5 116	5 094	5 070	24	24	-	-	22	-	22
BUENA PARK	2 288	2 280	2 268	12	12	3	-	8	-	8
BURBANK	5 248	5 232	5 195	37	37	-	-	16	-	16
CARSON	2 120	2 254	2 222	32	32	-	-	1 866	-	1 866
CHULA VISTA	2 449	2 449	2 423	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	4 793	4 728	4 384	344	344	-	-	65	-	65
CONCORD	4 001	3 980	3 453	527	39	488	-	21	-	21
COSTA MESA	3 805	3 796	3 749	47	47	-	-	9	-	9
DALY CITY	2 309	2 279	2 230	49	46	3	-	30	-	30
DOWNEY	2 992	2 950	2 846	104	104	-	-	42	-	42
EL CAJON	2 151	2 151	2 141	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	2 381	2 370	2 294	76	44	32	-	11	-	11
FREMONT	3 559	3 559	3 445	114	96	18	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	9 209	9 209	9 047	162	162	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	3 631	3 631	3 324	307	130	177	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	3 647	3 574	3 332	242	142	100	-	73	-	73
GLENDAL	4 560	4 544	4 475	69	69	-	-	16	-	16
HAWTHORNE	1 833	1 822	1 748	74	62	12	-	11	-	11
HAYWARD	3 592	3 592	3 502	90	65	25	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	6 278	6 254	5 877	377	377	-	-	24	-	24
INGLEWOOD	6 764	6 752	6 682	70	70	-	-	12	-	12
LAKESIDE	1 364	222	221	1	1	-	-	1 142	-	1 142
LONG BEACH	25 259	25 259	24 993	266	242	24	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	222 276	221 894	214 011	7 883	2 372	5 510	1	382	-	382
MODESTO	2 866	2 866	2 818	48	9	39	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	1 993	1 993	1 925	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	3 998	3 985	3 750	235	149	82	4	13	-	13
NORFOLK	1 792	40	39	1	1	-	-	1 752	-	1 752
OAKLAND	22 414	22 414	22 375	39	29	10	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	2 924	2 924	2 911	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	3 482	3 467	3 447	20	19	1	-	15	-	15
OXNARD	3 091	3 077	2 727	350	78	67	205	14	-	14
PALO ALTO	3 878	3 878	3 827	51	5	46	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	6 247	6 247	6 069	178	156	22	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	32	29	29	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
POMONA	4 065	4 064	4 033	31	31	-	-	1	-	1
REDONDO BEACH	3 097	3 073	3 005	68	68	-	-	24	-	24
REDWOOD CITY	1 883	1 883	1 853	30	27	3	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	5 424	5 424	5 282	142	142	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	5 715	5 715	5 662	53	53	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	14 119	14 119	14 039	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	2 733	2 653	2 541	112	112	-	-	80	-	80
SAN BERNARDINO	5 257	5 177	5 067	110	109	1	-	80	-	80
SAN BUENAVENTURA	2 251	2 242	2 187	55	27	28	-	9	-	9
SAN DIEGO	27 097	27 097	26 141	956	878	78	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	81 766	81 766	80 282	1 484	1 359	125	-	143	-	143
SAN JOSE	22 289	22 146	21 765	381	381	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	2 560	2 560	2 542	18	13	5	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	3 090	3 090	2 897	193	193	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	9 234	9 187	8 587	600	597	3	-	47	-	47

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SANTA BARBARA	3 517	3 515	3 420	95	93	2	-	2	-	2
SANTA CLARA	3 307	3 307	3 168	139	24	115	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	4 694	4 660	4 524	136	127	9	-	34	-	34
SANTA ROSA	1 915	1 915	1 822	93	93	-	-	-	-	-
SIKI VALLEY	1 600	1 600	1 578	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	2 292	2 282	2 189	93	79	14	-	10	-	10
STOCKTON	6 059	6 059	5 998	61	41	20	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	2 810	2 810	2 798	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	6 687	6 655	6 581	74	74	-	-	32	-	32
VALLEJO	2 746	2 746	2 687	59	59	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	2 361	2 355	2 266	89	89	-	-	6	-	6
WESTMINSTER	2 261	2 246	2 092	154	154	-	-	15	-	15
WHITTIER	2 528	2 524	2 447	77	77	-	-	4	-	4
COLORADO:										
ARVADA	2 135	2 135	2 008	127	22	105	-	-	-	-
AURORA	3 835	3 835	3 807	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	2 896	2 848	2 457	191	191	-	-	248	-	248
COLORADO SPRINGS	7 948	7 948	7 557	391	372	19	-	-	-	-
DENVER	45 696	45 696	42 382	3 314	888	2 415	11	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS	1 402	1 402	1 302	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
LAKESIDE	4 990	4 990	4 881	109	109	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	4 020	4 015	3 961	54	18	36	-	5	-	5
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	8 678	8 678	8 658	20	19	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	1 668	1 668	1 600	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	1 931	1 931	1 846	85	-	85	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	9 359	9 359	9 322	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	1 651	1 651	1 603	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	1 890	1 890	1 819	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	3 084	3 084	3 041	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	7 378	7 378	7 296	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	3 006	3 006	2 961	45	45	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	5 500	5 487	5 343	144	122	22	-	13	-	13
WATERBURY	4 682	4 687	4 534	123	123	-	-	25	9	16
WEST HAVEN	1 893	1 893	1 846	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	6 378	6 378	6 247	131	131	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	202 999	202 999	182 171	20 828	372	20 450	6	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	2 993	2 993	2 902	91	74	17	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	10 053	9 956	9 431	525	421	104	-	97	70	27
GAINESVILLE	3 063	3 063	2 967	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	4 864	4 864	4 638	226	171	55	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	7 713	7 713	5 382	2 331	471	1 860	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	30 540	30 448	29 148	1 300	617	683	-	92	92	-

Table 20. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED									
DES PLAINES	3 285	3 285	1 964	1 321	100	1 221	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	2 760	2 760	2 721	39	39	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	1 915	1 915	1 864	51	51	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	3 081	3 081	3 075	6	6	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	4 137	4 137	3 930	207	103	104	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	1 971	1 971	1 901	70	70	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	2 972	2 972	2 877	95	85	10	-	-	-
PEORIA	7 134	7 134	6 656	478	421	57	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	5 467	5 467	5 238	229	98	131	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	1 441	1 441	1 440	1	1	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	2 811	2 811	2 697	114	114	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	3 001	3 001	2 935	66	66	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	2 616	2 605	2 559	46	46	-	-	11	11
INDIANA:									
ANDERSON	2 202	2 202	2 051	151	78	73	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	4 814	4 814	4 668	146	117	-	29	-	-
FORT WAYNE	5 159	5 159	5 039	120	120	-	-	-	-
GARY	7 192	7 192	7 131	61	58	3	-	-	-
HAMMOND	3 884	3 884	3 719	165	165	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	38 225	37 826	35 483	2 343	2 170	173	-	399	399
MUNCIE	2 027	2 027	1 961	66	66	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	4 114	4 114	3 823	291	291	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	1 554	1 554	1 432	122	122	-	-	-	-
IOWA:									
CEDAR RAPIDS	2 994	2 985	2 752	233	233	-	-	9	9
COUNCIL BLUFFS	1 969	1 958	1 831	127	127	-	-	11	11
DAVENPORT	2 203	2 203	2 100	103	103	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	8 014	7 996	7 936	60	60	-	-	18	18
DUBUQUE	1 217	1 212	1 181	31	31	-	-	5	5
SIOUX CITY	2 755	2 754	2 626	128	79	49	-	1	1
WATERLOO	2 308	2 307	2 253	54	54	-	-	1	1
KANSAS:									
KANSAS CITY	5 563	5 563	5 477	86	86	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	3 315	3 315	1 837	1 404	74	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	4 601	4 601	4 273	328	181	147	-	-	-
WICHITA	8 814	8 762	8 672	90	90	-	-	52	51
KENTUCKY:									
COVINGTON	2 277	2 277	2 028	249	248	1	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	9 469	9 363	9 129	254	251	3	-	86	86
LOUISVILLE	21 227	21 227	19 900	1 327	970	357	-	44	44
OWENSBORO	1 670	1 626	1 529	97	90	7	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:									
BATON ROUGE	17 030	16 974	16 389	585	585	-	-	56	17
LAFAYETTE	2 200	2 090	1 778	312	229	-	83	110	30
LAKE CHARLES	1 898	1 856	1 642	214	72	142	-	42	-
MONROE	1 896	1 896	1 558	338	338	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	47 093	47 093	43 370	3 723	1 927	28	1 768	-	-
SHREVEPORT	6 786	6 786	6 322	464	333	131	-	-	-
MAINE:									
PORTLAND	2 761	2 761	2 721	40	36	4	-	-	-
MARYLAND:									
BALTIMORE	89 114	89 114	88 393	721	582	139	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BOSTON	96 725	96 725	94 298	2 427	1 525	902	-	-	-
BROCKTON	5 220	5 220	5 156	64	34	30	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	6 594	6 594	6 408	186	162	24	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	2 699	2 699	1 850	841	36	805	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	5 238	5 238	5 142	96	96	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	1 905	1 905	1 824	81	81	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	3 003	3 003	2 956	47	47	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	3 796	3 796	3 753	43	43	-	-	-	-
LYNN	4 678	4 678	4 541	137	1	136	-	-	-
MALDEN	2 491	2 491	2 464	27	27	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	2 844	2 844	2 801	43	38	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	4 805	4 805	4 503	302	300	2	-	-	-
NEWTON	5 673	5 673	5 019	654	654	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	1 798	1 798	1 713	85	83	-	2	-	-
QUINCY	5 794	5 794	5 768	26	26	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	2 926	2 926	2 906	20	20	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	7 457	7 457	7 331	126	126	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	2 841	2 841	2 774	67	67	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	8 919	8 919	8 525	394	229	165	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To Local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	4 564	4 564	4 335	229	226	3	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	4 933	4 848	4 829	19	19	-	-	85	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	3 105	2 985	2 830	155	77	78	-	120	-	85
DETROIT	135 547	135 291	132 228	3 063	1 960	1 103	-	256	-	120
FARMINGTON HILLS	1 874	1 742	1 549	193	104	89	-	132	-	120
FLINT	9 673	9 664	9 638	26	19	7	-	9	-	132
GRAND RAPIDS	9 287	9 215	9 065	150	119	31	-	72	-	9
KALAMAZOO	4 283	4 283	3 858	425	251	174	-	-	-	72
LANSING	6 923	6 923	6 724	199	199	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	1 774	1 766	1 644	122	122	-	-	8	-	-
LIVONIA	4 115	4 010	3 841	169	154	14	-	105	-	8
PONTIAC	6 243	6 133	5 999	134	112	22	-	110	-	105
ROSEVILLE	2 493	2 493	2 435	58	57	1	-	-	-	110
ROYAL OAK	2 540	2 537	2 523	14	14	-	-	3	3	-
SAGINAW	4 617	4 514	4 382	232	8	224	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	4 862	4 850	4 540	310	99	211	-	12	-	3
ST. CLAIR SHORES	2 699	2 695	2 414	281	57	224	-	4	-	12
STERLING HEIGHTS	4 328	4 328	3 464	864	115	748	-	-	-	4
TAYLOR	2 639	2 632	2 585	47	47	-	-	7	-	-
WARREN	6 367	6 367	6 074	293	164	129	-	-	-	7
WESTLAND	3 245	3 157	2 779	378	47	331	-	88	-	-
WYOMING	2 887	2 828	1 992	836	123	713	-	59	-	88
MINNESOTA:										59
BLOOMINGTON	2 528	2 528	2 506	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	3 032	3 017	2 857	160	160	-	-	15	-	15
MINNEAPOLIS	21 599	21 599	20 095	1 504	1 134	370	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	1 700	1 700	1 633	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	13 346	13 346	12 396	950	756	194	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI	1 079	1 067	880	187	180	-	7	12	-	12
JACKSON	6 367	6 337	5 999	338	338	-	-	30	-	30
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	1 776	1 776	1 741	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	1 200	1 200	1 164	36	23	-	13	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	3 073	3 073	3 048	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	32 627	32 614	30 737	1 877	62	1 407	408	13	13	-
ST. JOSEPH	1 926	1 926	1 809	117	117	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	57 921	57 921	56 075	1 846	1 519	327	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	3 287	3 276	3 217	59	59	-	-	11	-	11
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	2 159	2 144	1 997	147	114	33	-	15	-	15
GREAT FALLS	1 864	1 864	1 490	374	374	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	5 661	5 650	5 531	119	114	5	-	11	-	11
OMAHA	13 343	13 287	12 976	311	311	-	-	56	-	56
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	12 082	964	950	14	14	-	-	11 118	-	11 118
RENO	6 555	6 553	5 490	1 063	865	198	-	2	-	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	2 774	2 774	2 654	120	30	90	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	2 432	2 432	2 350	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	3 447	3 447	3 373	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	2 532	2 532	2 532	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	8 978	8 978	8 896	82	-	82	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	3 161	3 161	3 122	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	5 623	5 623	5 623	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	6 242	6 242	6 238	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	3 128	3 128	3 127	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	19 020	19 020	18 953	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	40 597	40 597	40 047	550	360	190	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	2 628	2 628	2 585	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	8 348	8 348	8 348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	7 905	7 905	7 837	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	3 084	3 084	3 056	28	68	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	1 853	1 853	1 855	-	28	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	14 518	14 518	14 151	367	367	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	6 572	6 572	6 359	213	155	58	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	2 396	2 396	2 326	70	64	6	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	25 354	25 354	25 189	165	75	90	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	4 002	4 002	3 937	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	4 470	4 470	4 304	166	166	-	-	-	-	-

Table 20. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
NEW YORK CITY	1 164 762	1 161 851	1 145 308	16 543	729	15 814	-	2 911	2 911	-
NIAGARA FALLS	3 942	3 942	3 866	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	15 352	15 352	15 143	209	209	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	1 014	1 014	971	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	2 979	2 979	2 935	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	9 399	9 399	9 182	217	217	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	2 401	2 401	1 973	428	53	375	-	-	-	-
UTICA	2 980	2 980	2 907	73	73	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	4 353	4 353	4 294	59	51	8	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	12 379	12 379	12 367	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	1 913	1 899	1 815	84	84	-	-	14	-	14
CHARLOTTE	10 414	10 414	10 034	380	380	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	4 763	4 763	4 390	373	261	112	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	2 133	2 056	1 940	116	111	5	-	77	-	77
GREENSBORO	7 065	7 057	6 783	274	274	-	-	8	-	8
HIGH POINT	2 212	2 154	2 021	133	133	-	-	58	-	58
RALEIGH	5 002	4 931	4 679	252	252	-	-	71	12	59
WILMINGTON	1 714	1 714	1 654	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	6 861	6 861	6 678	183	183	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	1 817	1 813	1 731	82	37	45	-	4	-	4
OHIO:										
AKRON	10 863	10 742	10 348	394	318	76	-	121	-	121
CANTON	4 531	4 497	4 364	133	133	-	-	34	-	34
CINCINNATI	35 963	35 634	33 650	1 984	77	1 873	34	329	-	329
CLEVELAND	69 023	69 023	48 408	20 615	1 437	19 178	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1 951	1 941	1 880	61	61	-	-	10	-	10
COLUMBUS	31 209	31 209	29 944	1 265	894	371	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	15 379	15 379	14 815	564	564	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	1 508	1 473	1 418	55	55	-	-	35	8	27
EUCLID	2 371	2 356	2 284	72	72	-	-	15	-	15
HAMILTON	2 950	2 874	2 401	473	93	-	380	76	-	76
KETTERING	1 932	1 899	1 799	100	84	16	-	33	-	33
LAKEWOOD	1 691	1 691	1 574	117	117	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	1 669	1 669	1 648	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	1 951	1 905	1 822	83	83	-	-	46	28	18
MANSFIELD	2 289	2 285	2 066	219	105	113	1	4	-	4
PARMA	2 371	2 362	2 338	24	24	-	-	9	-	9
SPRINGFIELD	2 910	2 903	2 710	193	193	-	-	7	-	7
TOLEDO	16 447	16 402	15 809	593	506	87	-	45	-	45
WARREN	2 511	2 487	2 399	88	88	-	-	24	-	24
YOUNGSTOWN	6 052	6 050	5 943	107	40	67	-	2	2	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	2 098	2 081	1 959	122	121	1	-	17	-	17
MIDWEST	1 077	1 077	1 077	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	1 592	1 585	1 486	99	99	-	-	7	-	7
OKLAHOMA CITY	15 704	15 704	14 107	1 597	575	1 022	-	-	-	-
TULSA	10 998	10 998	10 428	570	566	4	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	3 736	3 683	3 594	89	89	-	-	53	-	53
PORTLAND	19 037	19 037	18 959	78	77	1	-	-	-	-
SALEM	3 189	3 105	3 091	14	14	-	-	84	-	84
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	3 512	3 512	3 205	307	307	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	1 587	1 587	1 558	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	2 174	2 174	2 125	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	2 757	2 757	2 636	121	121	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	3 632	3 632	3 551	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	2 965	2 965	2 811	154	154	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	2 079	2 079	1 955	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	250 960	247 949	245 464	2 485	533	1 952	-	3 011	3 011	-
PITTSBURGH	20 257	20 257	20 209	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
READING	2 664	2 664	2 663	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	2 478	2 478	2 478	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	1 520	1 520	1 474	46	27	19	-	-	-	-
YORK	1 861	1 861	1 825	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	2 204	2 204	2 129	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
PANUCKET	2 497	2 497	2 497	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	6 879	6 879	6 811	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	2 881	2 881	2 826	55	55	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	2 692	2 668	2 519	149	149	-	-	24	-	24
COLUMBIA	4 026	3 979	3 760	219	207	12	-	47	-	47
GREENVILLE	2 536	2 454	2 324	130	130	-	-	82	-	82
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	2 088	2 035	1 960	75	75	-	-	53	48	5
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	7 370	7 332	6 888	444	401	43	-	38	-	38
KNOXVILLE	6 637	6 637	6 053	584	581	3	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	27 513	26 534	25 626	908	756	10	142	979	9	970
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	24 428	24 428	22 934	1 494	1 002	492	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	1 731	1 731	1 683	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	3 997	3 997	3 935	62	62	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	3 387	3 387	3 214	173	173	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	12 090	12 090	11 093	997	204	793	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	3 755	3 752	3 433	319	200	119	-	3	-	3
BROWNSVILLE	1 298	1 271	1 199	72	62	-	10	27	-	27
CORPUS CHRISTI	6 620	6 620	6 408	212	212	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	41 769	41 769	41 530	239	239	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	9 147	9 072	8 735	337	337	-	-	75	-	75
FORT WORTH	16 479	16 479	16 107	372	37	335	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	2 110	2 110	2 022	88	88	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	2 815	2 815	2 562	253	221	-	32	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	1 207	1 207	1 158	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	64 339	64 339	59 814	4 525	1 125	3 400	-	-	-	-
IRVING	2 280	2 280	2 234	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	922	909	801	108	108	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	4 415	4 415	4 156	259	210	25	24	13	11	2
MESQUITE	1 830	1 830	1 669	161	161	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	1 734	1 734	1 696	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	2 315	2 270	2 167	103	103	-	-	45	45	-
PASADENA	3 054	3 054	3 034	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	1 633	1 633	1 550	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	1 263	1 263	1 192	71	68	3	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	1 869	1 843	1 628	215	112	-	103	26	-	26
SAN ANTONIO	26 876	26 229	24 620	1 609	1 259	350	-	647	-	647
TYLER	2 011	2 006	1 262	744	80	649	15	5	-	5
WACO	3 425	3 425	3 354	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	1 988	1 988	1 898	90	90	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	2 520	2 520	2 343	177	177	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	1 181	1 178	1 080	98	98	-	-	3	-	3
SALT LAKE CITY	8 737	8 643	8 031	612	569	43	-	94	-	94
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	7 757	7 466	7 209	257	250	-	-	291	291	-
CHESAPEAKE	6 753	6 544	5 300	244	254	990	-	209	-	209
HAMPTON	5 336	5 029	4 810	219	219	-	-	307	294	13
LYNCHBURG	2 854	2 749	2 670	79	79	-	-	105	105	-
NEWPORT NEWS	10 246	10 067	6 875	3 192	306	2 886	-	179	-	179
NORFOLK	14 026	14 026	13 624	402	317	85	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	4 894	4 612	4 598	14	14	-	-	282	269	13
RICHMOND	17 230	17 230	16 972	258	245	13	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	5 182	4 850	4 681	169	95	74	-	332	332	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	9 325	9 104	7 732	1 372	234	1 138	-	221	221	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	2 501	2 499	2 342	157	157	-	-	2	-	2
EVERETT	1 954	1 912	1 899	13	13	-	-	42	-	42
SEATTLE	36 475	35 371	34 923	448	199	249	-	1 104	-	1 104
SPOKANE	5 541	5 343	5 259	84	84	-	-	-	-	198
TACOMA	7 554	7 554	7 415	139	139	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	2 939	2 857	2 810	47	47	-	-	82	-	82
HUNTINGTON	2 294	2 259	2 130	129	129	-	-	35	-	35
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	1 748	1 748	1 575	173	173	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	2 658	2 654	2 550	104	104	-	-	4	-	4
KENOSHA	2 635	2 635	2 530	105	105	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	1 455	1 448	1 406	42	42	-	-	7	-	7
MADISON	6 952	6 905	6 710	195	195	-	-	47	40	-
MILWAUKEE	43 770	43 254	42 585	669	579	90	-	516	-	516
OSHKOSH	1 416	1 416	1 382	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	4 207	4 204	4 096	108	108	-	-	3	-	3
WAUWATOSA	2 045	2 045	2 037	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	2 577	2 577	2 512	65	65	-	-	-	-	-

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	41 094 122	5 131 349	12.5	4 085 801	79.6	369 890	7.2
ALABAMA:							
BIRMINGHAM	99 772	13 767	13.8	12 042	87.3	351	2.5
GADSDEN	10 161	1 305	12.8	1 184	90.7	22	1.7
HUNTSVILLE	54 182	3 811	7.0	3 402	89.3	93	2.4
MOBILE	53 393	6 534	12.2	5 571	85.3	313	4.8
MONTGOMERY	39 379	5 001	12.7	4 226	84.5	447	8.9
TUSCALOOSA	38 205	2 555	6.7	2 360	92.4	129	5.0
ALASKA:							
ANCHORAGE	227 113	7 482	3.3	6 569	87.8	215	2.9
ARIZONA:							
GLENDALE	10 277	2 055	20.0	1 873	91.1	64	3.1
MESA	19 149	2 823	14.7	2 605	92.3	88	3.1
PHOENIX	212 271	46 663	22.0	41 034	87.9	2 490	5.3
SCOTTSDALE	21 725	3 350	15.4	3 039	90.7	84	2.5
TEMPE	18 241	3 331	18.3	2 940	88.3	102	3.1
TUCSON	99 174	16 953	17.1	15 456	91.2	419	2.5
ARKANSAS:							
FORT SMITH	14 509	1 388	9.6	1 092	78.7	109	7.9
LITTLE ROCK	30 651	4 200	13.7	3 734	88.9	119	2.8
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	17 194	1 931	11.2	1 628	84.3	138	7.1
PINE BLUFF	10 301	1 276	12.4	1 058	82.9	93	7.3
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	11 910	2 430	20.4	2 347	96.6	-	-
ALHAMBRA	13 214	2 211	16.7	2 150	97.2	-	-
ANAHEIM	53 124	8 445	15.9	8 039	95.2	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	21 806	5 712	26.2	5 459	95.6	-	-
BELLFLOWER	4 335	1 143	26.4	1 109	97.0	-	-
BERKELEY	41 778	5 116	12.2	4 925	96.3	-	-
BUENA PARK	10 160	2 288	22.5	2 234	97.6	-	-
BURBANK	28 193	5 248	18.6	4 792	91.3	-	-
CARSON	26 905	2 120	7.9	1 987	93.7	-	-
CHULA VISTA	15 140	2 449	16.2	2 305	94.1	-	-
COMPTON	21 104	4 793	22.7	4 587	95.7	45	0.9
CONCORD	20 652	4 001	19.4	3 914	97.8	-	-
COSTA MESA	18 374	3 805	20.7	3 626	95.3	-	-
DALY CITY	11 507	2 309	20.1	2 263	98.0	-	-
DOWNNEY	14 501	2 992	20.6	2 928	97.8	-	-
EL CAJON	12 124	2 151	17.7	2 099	97.6	-	-
EL MONTE	11 900	2 381	20.0	2 330	97.9	-	-
FREMONT	21 378	3 559	16.6	3 407	95.7	-	-
FRESNO	73 840	9 209	12.5	8 776	95.3	-	-
FULLERTON	19 323	3 631	18.8	3 559	98.0	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	18 792	3 647	19.4	3 487	95.6	-	-
GLENDALE	35 748	4 560	12.8	4 197	92.0	-	-
HAWTHORNE	21 923	1 833	8.4	1 704	93.0	-	-
HAYWARD	25 056	3 592	14.3	3 366	93.7	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	26 614	6 278	23.6	6 009	95.7	-	-
INGLEWOOD	48 678	6 764	13.9	6 242	92.3	-	-
LAKEWOOD	10 163	1 364	13.4	1 320	96.8	-	-
LONG BEACH	178 316	25 259	14.2	21 816	86.4	-	-
LOS ANGELES	944 207	222 276	23.5	205 625	92.5	87	(2)
MODESTO	18 647	2 866	15.4	2 774	96.8	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	14 490	1 993	13.8	1 920	96.3	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	16 073	3 998	24.9	3 821	95.6	-	-
NORWALK	8 944	1 792	20.0	1 709	95.4	-	-
OAKLAND	161 854	22 414	13.8	20 613	92.0	10	(2)
ONTARIO	12 587	2 924	23.2	2 877	98.4	47	1.6
ORANGE	18 170	3 482	19.2	3 307	96.1	-	-
OXNARD	19 648	3 091	15.7	2 957	95.7	22	0.7
PALO ALTO	29 367	3 878	13.2	2 701	69.6	-	-
PASADENA	42 112	6 247	14.8	5 861	93.8	-	-
PICO RIVERA	6 859	32	0.5	1	4.2	-	-
POMONA	20 339	4 065	20.0	3 338	82.1	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	15 807	3 097	19.6	2 845	91.9	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	13 873	1 883	13.6	1 791	95.1	-	-
RICHMOND	36 336	5 424	14.9	5 268	97.1	-	-
RIVERSIDE	37 166	5 715	15.4	5 521	96.6	-	-
SACRAMENTO	80 714	14 119	17.5	13 857	98.1	-	-
SALINAS	16 182	2 733	16.9	2 525	92.4	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	33 906	5 257	15.5	5 113	97.3	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	16 255	2 251	13.8	2 092	92.9	-	-
SAN DIEGO	205 152	27 097	13.2	25 299	93.4	12 317	15.1
SAN FRANCISCO	634 852	81 766	12.9	50 158	61.3	-	-
SAN JOSE	140 794	22 289	15.8	21 451	96.2	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	18 265	2 560	14.0	2 424	94.7	-	-
SAN MATEO	16 526	3 090	18.7	2 992	96.8	-	-
SANTA ANA	36 469	9 234	25.3	8 863	96.0	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	35 899	3 517	9.8	3 343	95.1	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	177 990	3.5	26 875	0.5	408 586	8.0	62 207	1.2
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	378	2.7	43	0.3	973	7.1	-	-
GADSDEN	39	3.0	4	0.3	56	4.3	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	75	2.0	5	0.1	236	6.2	-	-
MOBILE	106	1.6	-	-	395	6.0	149	2.3
MONTGOMERY	93	1.9	7	0.1	228	4.6	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	42	1.6	10	0.4	14	0.5	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE	666	8.9	-	-	32	0.4	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDALE	25	1.2	18	0.9	52	2.5	23	1.1
MESA	70	2.5	10	0.4	50	1.8	-	-
PHOENIX	1 692	3.6	246	0.5	477	1.0	724	1.6
SCOTTSDALE	155	4.6	1	(2)	-	-	71	2.1
TEMPE	181	5.4	21	0.6	22	0.7	65	2.0
TUCSON	745	4.4	37	0.2	35	0.2	261	1.5
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	56	4.0	5	0.4	126	9.1	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	105	2.5	7	0.2	235	5.6	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	56	2.9	10	0.5	99	5.1	-	-
PINE BLUFF	43	3.4	-	-	82	6.4	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	83	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	61	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	295	3.5	-	-	111	1.3	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	248	4.3	-	-	5	0.1	-	-
BELLFLOWER	34	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	191	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	54	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	338	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	133	6.3	-	-	118	2.2	-	-
CHULA VISTA	98	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	161	3.4	-	-	-	-	46	1.9
CONCORD	87	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	106	2.8	-	-	73	1.9	-	-
DALY CITY	46	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	36	1.2	-	-	30	1.0	-	-
EL CAJON	52	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	51	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	152	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	343	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	72	2.0	-	-	-	-	90	1.0
GARDEN GROVE	57	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	239	5.2	-	-	-	-	103	2.8
HAWTHORNE	125	6.8	-	-	124	2.7	-	-
HAYWARD	122	3.4	-	-	4	0.2	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	264	4.2	-	-	-	-	104	2.9
INGLEWOOD	357	5.3	-	-	5	0.1	-	-
LAKEWOOD	44	3.2	-	-	165	2.4	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 634	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	8 767	3.9	-	-	1 748	6.9	61	0.2
MODESTO	92	3.2	-	-	341	0.2	7 456	3.4
MOUNTAIN VIEW	73	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	123	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	40	2.2	-	-	54	1.4	-	-
OAKLAND	427	1.9	-	-	-	-	43	2.4
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	1 308	5.8	56	0.2
ORANGE	135	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	112	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	186	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	282	4.5	-	-	-	-	991	25.6
PICO RIVERA	31	95.8	-	-	104	1.7	-	-
POMONA	75	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	69	2.2	-	-	652	16.0	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	92	4.9	-	-	183	5.9	-	-
RICHMOND	156	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	184	3.2	10	0.2	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	262	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	84	3.1	-	-	2	0.1	122	4.5
SAN BERNARDINO	144	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	159	7.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	1 609	5.9	5	(2)	21	0.1	163	0.6
SAN FRANCISCO	4 655	5.7	1 087	1.3	13 272	16.2	277	0.3
SAN JOSE	838	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	136	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	98	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	368	4.0	-	-	3	(2)	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	159	4.5	15	0.4	-	-	-	-

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED							
EVANSTON	21 904	3 081	14.1	2 955	95.9	-	-
JOLIET	17 149	4 137	24.1	3 965	95.8	-	-
OAK LAWN	9 903	1 971	19.9	1 919	97.4	-	-
OAK PARK	18 256	2 972	16.3	2 913	98.0	-	-
PEORIA	29 926	7 134	23.8	6 920	97.0	-	-
ROCKFORD	26 503	5 467	20.6	5 226	95.6	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	18 774	1 441	7.7	1 356	94.1	21	1.5
SKOKIE	15 110	2 811	18.6	2 691	95.7	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	25 845	3 001	11.6	2 779	92.6	-	-
WAUKEGAN	13 789	2 616	19.0	2 558	97.8	-	-
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	10 627	2 202	20.7	2 088	94.8	50	2.3
EVANSVILLE	37 096	4 814	13.0	4 401	91.4	162	3.4
FORT WAYNE	39 871	5 159	12.9	4 995	96.8	-	-
GARY	36 354	7 192	19.8	5 742	79.8	350	4.9
HAMMOND	20 522	3 884	18.9	3 528	90.8	226	5.8
INDIANAPOLIS	267 392	38 225	14.3	26 020	68.1	5 209	13.6
MUNCIE	22 825	2 027	8.9	1 904	93.9	83	4.1
SOUTH BEND	26 207	4 114	15.7	3 993	97.1	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	13 940	1 554	11.1	1 420	91.4	63	4.1
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	29 288	2 994	10.2	2 878	96.1	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	14 878	1 969	13.2	1 787	90.8	-	-
DAVENPORT	35 312	2 203	6.2	2 050	93.1	-	-
DES MOINES	69 245	8 014	11.6	7 200	89.8	-	-
DUBUQUE	18 016	1 217	6.8	1 163	95.6	-	-
SIoux CITY	25 715	2 755	10.7	2 276	82.6	-	-
WATERLOO	25 445	2 308	9.1	2 248	97.4	-	-
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	64 569	5 563	8.6	4 790	86.1	207	3.7
OVERLAND PARK	10 761	3 315	30.8	1 713	51.7	95	2.9
TOPEKA	32 678	4 601	14.1	4 262	92.6	92	2.0
WICHITA	120 619	8 814	7.3	7 513	85.2	297	3.4
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	12 763	2 277	17.8	2 056	90.3	48	2.1
LEXINGTON	48 410	9 469	19.6	6 360	67.2	899	9.5
LOUISVILLE	148 373	21 227	14.3	19 982	94.1	504	2.4
OWENSBORO	29 567	1 670	5.6	1 501	89.9	62	3.7
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	96 889	17 030	17.6	9 882	58.0	3 850	22.6
LAFAYETTE	21 932	2 200	10.0	1 906	86.6	142	6.5
LAKE CHARLES	16 748	1 898	11.3	1 388	73.1	130	6.8
MONROE	16 736	1 896	11.3	1 628	85.9	69	3.6
NEW ORLEANS	247 416	47 093	19.0	31 516	66.9	5 360	11.4
SHREVEPORT	55 575	6 786	12.2	6 079	89.6	390	5.7
MAINE:							
PORTLAND	46 739	2 761	5.9	2 663	96.5	-	-
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	1 052 832	89 114	8.5	67 867	76.2	6 371	7.1
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	778 921	96 725	12.4	66 911	69.2	15 432	16.0
BROCKTON	67 723	5 220	7.7	5 143	98.5	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	97 984	6 594	6.7	6 311	95.7	-	-
CHICOPEE	30 788	2 699	8.8	2 642	97.9	-	-
FALL RIVER	61 959	5 238	8.5	4 561	87.1	-	-
HOLYOKE	37 025	1 905	5.1	1 831	96.1	-	-
LAWRENCE	39 938	3 003	7.5	2 814	93.7	-	-
LOWELL	48 834	3 796	7.8	3 524	92.8	-	-
LYNN	51 620	4 678	9.1	4 439	94.9	-	-
MALDEN	35 493	2 491	7.0	2 430	97.6	-	-
MEDFORD	40 397	2 844	7.0	2 759	97.0	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	80 592	4 805	6.0	4 549	94.7	-	-
NEWTON	70 073	5 673	8.1	5 503	97.0	-	-
PITTSFIELD	39 452	1 798	4.6	1 765	98.2	-	-
QUINCY	65 985	5 794	8.8	5 697	98.3	-	-
SOMERVILLE	44 060	2 926	6.6	2 674	91.4	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	115 193	7 457	6.5	7 094	95.1	-	-
WALTHAM	40 912	2 841	6.9	2 742	96.5	-	-
WORCESTER	137 279	8 919	6.5	8 412	94.3	1	(2)
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	34 890	4 564	13.1	3 937	86.3	366	8.0
DEARBORN	44 572	4 933	11.1	4 299	87.2	234	4.7
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	11 428	3 105	27.2	2 610	84.1	281	9.0
DETROIT	654 996	135 547	20.7	117 935	87.0	9 095	6.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON	99	3.2						
JOLIET	119	2.9	27	0.9	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	52	2.6	-	-	-	-	53	1.3
OAK PARK	59	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	214	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	188	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	19	1.3	45	3.1	-	-	53	1.0
SKOKIE	120	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	158	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	58	2.2	-	-	64	2.1	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	54	2.5	6	0.3	4	0.2	-	-
EVANSVILLE	216	4.5	14	0.3	21	0.4	-	-
FORT WAYNE	164	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	180	2.5	10	0.1	88	1.2	822	11.4
HAMMOND	90	2.3	5	0.1	35	0.9	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	1 632	4.3	240	0.6	5 023	13.1	101	0.3
MUNCIE	27	1.3	-	-	6	0.3	7	0.3
SOUTH BEND	121	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	55	3.5	-	-	-	-	16	1.0
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	107	3.6	-	-	9	0.3	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	88	4.5	-	-	83	4.2	11	0.6
DAVENPORT	104	4.7	-	-	-	-	49	2.2
DES MOINES	269	3.4	-	-	490	6.1	55	0.7
DUBUQUE	49	4.0	-	-	5	0.4	-	-
SIoux CITY	171	6.2	-	-	81	2.9	227	8.2
WATERLOO	59	2.6	-	-	-	-	1	(2)
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	261	4.7	-	-	244	4.4	61	1.1
OVERLAND PARK	103	3.1	-	-	-	-	1 404	42.4
TOPEKA	155	3.4	-	-	42	0.9	50	1.1
WICHITA	350	4.0	-	-	540	6.1	114	1.3
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	122	5.4	-	-	51	2.2	-	-
LEXINGTON	373	3.9	75	0.8	1 762	18.6	-	-
LOUISVILLE	642	3.0	-	-	-	-	99	0.5
OWENSBORO	63	3.8	-	-	44	2.6	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	1 093	6.4	176	1.0	1 737	10.2	292	1.7
LAFAYETTE	68	3.1	4	0.2	80	3.6	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	213	11.2	-	-	167	8.8	-	-
MONROE	116	6.1	-	-	83	4.4	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	1 060	2.3	478	1.0	8 447	17.9	232	0.5
SHREVEPORT	96	1.4	-	-	221	3.3	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	98	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	5 325	6.0	-	-	8 557	9.6	994	1.1
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	3 253	3.4	-	-	9 871	10.2	1 258	1.3
BROCKTON	77	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	181	2.7	-	-	-	-	102	1.5
CHICOPEE	57	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	86	1.6	-	-	460	8.8	131	2.5
HOLYOKE	74	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	68	2.3	-	-	2	0.1	119	4.0
LOWELL	272	7.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	112	2.4	-	-	-	-	127	2.7
MALDEN	61	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	85	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	129	2.7	-	-	21	0.4	106	2.2
NEWTON	170	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	33	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	97	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	81	2.8	-	-	-	-	171	5.8
SPRINGFIELD	220	3.0	-	-	-	-	143	1.9
WALTHAM	99	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	258	2.9	-	-	-	-	248	2.8
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	116	2.5	50	1.1	95	2.1	-	-
DEARBORN	189	3.8	-	-	190	3.9	21	0.4
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	50	1.6	5	0.2	159	5.1	-	-
DETROIT	2 390	1.8	747	0.6	5 380	4.0	-	-

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
FARMINGTON HILLS	7 327	1 874	25.6	1 618	86.3	121	6.5
FLINT	129 939	9 673	7.4	8 305	85.9	667	6.9
GRAND RAPIDS	68 576	9 287	13.5	7 244	78.0	846	9.1
KALAMAZOO	17 543	4 283	24.4	3 576	83.5	343	8.0
LANSING	71 253	6 923	9.7	5 538	80.0	569	8.2
LINCOLN PARK	8 335	1 774	21.3	1 493	84.2	119	6.7
LIVONIA	26 353	4 115	15.6	3 600	87.5	272	6.6
PONTIAC	92 131	6 243	6.8	5 242	84.0	443	7.1
ROSEVILLE	9 649	2 493	25.8	2 127	85.3	246	9.9
ROYAL OAK	15 693	2 540	16.2	2 170	85.4	222	8.7
SAGINAW	35 027	4 617	13.2	4 428	95.9	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	22 952	4 862	21.2	3 991	82.1	509	10.5
ST. CLAIR SHORES	13 212	2 699	20.4	2 542	94.2	105	3.9
STERLING HEIGHTS	16 095	4 328	26.9	3 200	73.9	251	5.8
TAYLOR	16 692	2 639	15.8	2 354	89.2	158	6.4
WARREN	38 796	6 367	16.4	5 460	85.8	653	10.4
WESTLAND	19 045	3 245	17.0	2 727	84.0	342	10.5
WYOMING	12 923	2 887	22.3	2 373	82.2	339	11.7
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	17 626	2 528	14.3	2 373	93.9	31	1.2
DULUTH	65 595	3 032	4.6	2 793	92.1	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	166 926	21 599	12.9	18 810	87.1	-	-
ROCHESTER	12 515	1 700	13.6	1 619	95.2	-	-
ST. PAUL	108 371	13 346	12.3	12 213	91.5	1	(2)
MISSISSIPPI:							
BILOXI	7 185	1 079	15.0	1 002	92.9	25	2.3
JACKSON	63 245	6 367	10.1	5 341	83.9	275	4.3
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	13 985	1 776	12.7	1 635	92.1	48	2.7
FLORISSANT	4 251	1 200	28.2	1 158	96.5	24	2.0
INDEPENDENCE	19 791	3 073	15.5	2 841	92.5	103	3.4
KANSAS CITY	219 283	32 627	14.9	28 418	87.1	1 230	3.8
ST. JOSEPH	12 405	1 926	15.5	1 807	93.8	57	3.0
ST. LOUIS	251 105	57 921	23.1	42 661	73.7	5 824	10.1
SPRINGFIELD	39 898	3 287	8.2	3 076	93.6	102	3.1
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS	18 385	2 159	11.7	1 985	91.9	78	3.6
GREAT FALLS	17 409	1 864	10.7	1 633	87.6	43	2.3
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	50 296	5 661	11.3	4 144	73.2	486	8.6
OMAHA	92 578	13 343	14.4	11 355	85.1	894	6.7
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	37 529	12 082	32.2	11 108	91.9	512	4.2
RENO	28 271	6 555	23.2	5 761	87.9	215	3.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	52 003	2 774	5.3	2 471	89.1	158	5.7
NASHUA	36 691	2 432	6.6	2 125	87.4	141	5.8
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	16 684	3 447	20.7	3 174	92.1	109	3.2
BLOOMFIELD	10 449	2 532	24.2	2 396	94.6	58	2.3
CAMDEN	32 058	8 978	28.0	8 509	94.8	238	2.7
CLIFTON	30 497	3 161	10.4	2 989	94.6	88	2.8
EAST ORANGE	46 946	5 623	12.0	4 909	87.3	426	7.6
ELIZABETH	61 535	6 242	10.1	5 445	87.2	161	2.6
IRVINGTON	19 741	3 128	15.8	2 810	89.8	132	4.2
JERSEY CITY	166 787	19 020	11.4	17 958	94.4	652	3.4
NEWARK	338 259	40 597	12.0	36 242	89.3	851	2.1
PASSAIC	12 049	2 628	21.8	2 294	87.3	96	3.7
PATERSON	76 587	8 348	10.9	7 909	94.7	205	2.5
TRENTON	37 296	7 905	21.2	7 516	95.1	206	2.6
UNION CITY	11 741	3 084	26.3	2 870	93.1	129	4.2
VINELAND	25 518	1 855	7.3	1 694	91.3	80	4.3
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	96 721	14 518	15.0	11 532	79.4	821	5.7
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	40 484	6 572	16.2	6 088	92.6	280	4.3
BINGHAMTON	40 088	2 396	6.0	2 125	88.7	173	7.2
BUFFALO	351 322	25 354	7.2	22 216	87.6	1 192	4.7
MOUNT VERNON	23 506	4 002	17.0	3 569	89.2	270	6.7
NEW ROCHELLE	25 311	4 470	17.7	4 004	89.6	285	6.4
NEW YORK CITY	12 842 302	1 164 762	9.1	799 448	68.6	133 030	11.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	130	6.9	-	-	5	0.3	-	-
FLINT	242	2.5	61	0.6	398	4.1	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	323	3.5	21	0.2	348	3.7	505	5.4
KALAMAZOO	225	5.3	-	-	68	1.6	71	1.7
LANSING	207	3.0	28	0.4	581	8.4	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	37	2.1	1	0.1	43	2.4	81	4.6
LIVONIA	135	3.3	17	0.4	91	2.2	-	-
PONTIAC	284	4.5	56	0.9	218	3.5	-	-
ROSEVILLE	117	4.7	3	0.1	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	70	2.8	14	0.6	64	2.5	-	-
SAGINAW	189	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	151	3.1	9	0.2	202	4.2	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	52	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	123	2.8	6	0.1	-	-	748	17.3
TAYLOR	96	3.6	-	-	21	0.8	-	-
WARREN	234	3.7	10	0.2	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	72	2.2	5	0.2	99	3.1	-	-
WYOMING	101	3.5	5	0.2	69	2.4	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	124	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	214	7.1	10	0.3	15	0.5	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	1 053	4.9	-	-	1 445	6.7	291	1.3
ROCHESTER	81	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	564	4.2	18	0.1	18	0.1	532	4.0
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	40	3.7	-	-	12	1.1	-	-
JACKSON	325	5.1	-	-	396	6.2	30	0.5
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	93	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	18	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	129	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	1 054	3.2	266	0.8	1 506	4.6	153	0.5
ST. JOSEPH	61	3.2	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
ST. LOUIS	2 211	3.8	-	-	7 169	12.4	56	0.1
SPRINGFIELD	93	2.8	-	-	11	0.3	5	0.2
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	59	2.7	6	0.3	31	1.4	-	-
GREAT FALLS	33	1.8	1	0.1	154	8.3	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	307	5.4	3	0.1	409	7.2	312	5.5
OMAHA	619	4.6	-	-	83	0.6	392	2.9
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	452	3.7	-	-	10	0.1	-	-
RENO	243	3.7	6	0.1	304	4.6	26	0.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	58	2.1	-	-	87	3.1	-	-
NASHUA	59	2.4	-	-	87	3.6	20	0.8
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	154	4.5	10	0.3	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	78	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	228	2.5	-	-	-	-	3	(2)
CLIFTON	84	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	168	3.0	-	-	-	-	120	2.1
ELIZABETH	139	2.2	-	-	-	-	497	8.0
IRVINGTON	186	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	350	1.8	-	-	-	-	60	0.3
NEWARK	413	1.0	-	-	-	-	3 091	7.6
PASSAIC	74	2.8	-	-	-	-	164	6.2
PATERSON	164	2.0	-	-	-	-	70	0.8
TRENTON	121	1.5	9	0.1	-	-	53	0.7
UNION CITY	36	1.8	-	-	-	-	29	0.9
VINELAND	81	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	601	4.1	-	-	1 564	10.8	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	204	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	98	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	848	3.3	28	0.1	-	-	1 070	4.2
MOUNT VERNON	163	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	181	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	48 241	4.1	13 295	1.1	153 883	13.2	16 865	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA FALLS	48 376	3 942	8.1	3 575	90.7	227	5.8
ROCHESTER	190 485	15 352	8.1	13 674	89.1	950	6.2
ROME	29 590	1 014	3.4	885	87.3	86	8.5
SCHENECTADY	22 729	2 979	13.1	2 731	91.7	121	4.1
SYRACUSE	113 078	9 399	8.3	8 358	88.9	693	7.4
TROY	15 639	2 401	15.4	2 065	86.0	207	8.6
UTICA	21 331	2 980	14.0	2 549	85.5	273	9.2
WHITE PLAINS	31 976	4 353	13.6	3 800	87.3	271	6.2
YONKERS	140 619	12 379	8.8	10 329	83.4	808	6.5
NORTH CAROLINA:							
ASHEVILLE	16 091	1 913	11.9	1 705	89.1	-	-
CHARLOTTE	102 792	10 414	10.1	10 138	97.3	-	-
DURHAM	35 403	4 763	13.5	4 588	96.3	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	12 375	2 133	17.2	2 049	96.1	-	-
GREENSBORO	48 707	7 065	14.5	6 894	97.6	-	-
HIGH POINT	23 773	2 212	9.3	2 093	94.6	-	-
RALEIGH	42 093	5 002	11.9	4 754	95.0	-	-
WILMINGTON	12 044	1 714	14.2	1 670	97.4	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	46 821	6 861	14.7	6 666	97.2	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:							
FARGO	14 996	1 817	12.1	1 694	93.2	53	2.9
OHIO:							
AKRON	84 731	10 863	12.8	8 732	80.4	964	8.9
CANTON	35 840	4 531	12.6	3 685	81.3	453	10.0
CINCINNATI	417 649	35 963	8.6	28 281	78.6	3 660	10.2
CLEVELAND	286 192	69 023	24.1	54 264	78.6	10 405	15.1
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	10 657	1 951	18.3	1 649	84.5	123	6.3
COLUMBUS	157 974	31 209	19.8	25 068	80.3	3 468	11.1
DAYTON	88 043	15 379	17.5	12 179	79.2	1 078	7.0
ELYRIA	11 467	1 508	13.2	1 067	70.8	292	19.4
EUCLID	13 884	2 371	17.1	2 107	88.9	129	5.4
HAMILTON	17 787	2 950	16.6	2 548	86.4	138	4.7
KETTERING	8 696	1 932	22.2	1 479	76.6	240	12.4
LAKEWOOD	24 924	1 691	6.8	1 472	87.0	151	8.9
LIMA	19 868	1 669	8.4	1 151	69.0	316	18.9
LORAIN	15 238	1 951	12.8	1 503	77.0	208	10.7
MANSFIELD	14 490	2 289	15.8	1 606	70.2	371	16.2
PARMA	10 888	2 371	21.8	1 846	77.9	362	15.3
SPRINGFIELD	13 685	2 910	21.3	2 125	73.0	374	12.9
TOLEDO	129 213	16 447	12.7	13 759	83.7	1 275	7.8
WARREN	9 921	2 511	25.3	1 717	68.4	419	16.7
YOUNGSTOWN	33 119	6 052	18.3	4 464	73.8	604	10.0
OKLAHOMA:							
LAWTON	22 756	2 098	9.2	1 760	83.9	129	6.1
MIDWEST	11 362	1 077	9.5	974	90.4	26	2.4
NORMAN	16 360	1 592	9.7	1 448	91.0	73	4.6
OKLAHOMA CITY	100 444	15 704	15.6	10 471	66.7	454	2.9
TULSA	126 209	10 998	8.7	9 695	88.2	282	2.6
OREGON:							
EUGENE	26 241	3 736	14.2	3 266	87.4	153	4.1
PORTLAND	127 026	19 037	15.0	17 655	92.7	-	-
SALEM	28 817	3 189	11.1	2 833	88.8	90	2.8
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLENTOWN	20 702	3 512	17.0	3 446	98.1	-	-
ALTOONA	8 658	1 587	18.3	1 563	98.5	-	-
BETHLEHEM	17 294	2 174	12.6	2 124	97.7	-	-
CHESTER	12 094	2 757	22.8	2 658	96.4	-	-
ERIE	29 713	3 632	12.2	3 405	93.8	58	1.6
HARRISBURG	23 598	2 965	12.6	2 917	98.4	-	-
LANCASTER	14 573	2 079	14.3	2 040	98.1	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	1 088 270	250 960	23.1	151 665	60.4	42 664	17.0
PITTSBURGH	138 555	20 257	14.6	19 396	95.7	358	1.8
READING	14 752	2 664	18.1	2 580	96.8	-	-
SCRANTON	21 962	2 478	11.3	2 413	97.4	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	35 002	1 520	4.3	1 482	97.5	-	-
YORK	10 199	1 861	18.2	1 817	97.6	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:							
CRANSTON	34 084	2 204	6.5	2 106	95.6	10	0.5
PAWTUCKET	35 950	2 497	6.9	2 354	94.3	25	1.0
PROVIDENCE	86 184	6 879	8.0	6 457	93.9	190	2.8
WARWICK	50 524	2 881	5.7	2 742	95.2	5	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
CHARLESTON	14 332	2 692	18.8	2 614	97.1	41	1.5
COLUMBIA	20 147	4 026	20.0	3 384	84.1	263	6.5
GREENVILLE	12 838	2 536	19.8	2 294	90.5	84	3.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS	140	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	665	4.3	-	-	-	-	63	0.4
ROME	43	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	127	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	290	3.1	-	-	-	-	58	0.6
TROY	129	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	158	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	282	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	407	3.3	-	-	243	2.0	592	4.8
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	76	4.0	-	-	-	-	132	6.9
CHARLOTTE	276	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	165	3.5	-	-	-	-	10	0.2
FAYETTEVILLE	84	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	118	1.7	-	-	53	0.8	-	-
HIGH POINT	65	2.9	13	0.6	41	1.9	-	-
RALEIGH	177	3.5	12	0.2	15	0.3	44	0.9
WILMINGTON	44	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	195	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	66	3.6	-	-	4	0.2	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	577	5.3	-	-	564	5.2	26	0.2
CANTON	197	4.3	-	-	196	4.3	-	-
CINCINNATI	1 035	2.9	-	-	2 724	7.6	263	0.7
CLEVELAND	978	1.4	15	(2)	3 062	4.4	299	0.4
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	94	4.8	-	-	81	4.2	4	0.2
COLUMBUS	1 132	3.6	230	0.7	1 311	4.2	-	-
DAYTON	456	3.0	11	0.1	1 655	10.8	-	-
ELYRIA	83	5.5	11	0.7	55	3.6	-	-
EUCLID	120	5.1	-	-	15	0.6	-	-
HAMILTON	137	4.6	12	0.4	115	3.9	-	-
KETTERING	138	7.1	-	-	75	3.9	-	-
LAKEWOOD	68	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	65	3.9	19	1.1	118	7.1	-	-
LORAIN	152	7.8	2	0.1	86	4.4	-	-
MANSFIELD	95	4.2	9	0.4	94	4.1	114	5.0
PARMA	145	6.1	-	-	18	0.8	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	174	6.0	64	2.2	51	1.8	122	4.2
TOLEDO	388	2.4	-	-	1 025	6.2	-	-
WARREN	85	3.4	-	-	165	6.6	125	5.0
YOUNGSTOWN	369	6.1	54	0.9	448	7.4	113	1.9
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	102	4.9	20	1.0	87	4.1	-	-
MIDWEST	20	1.9	-	-	57	5.3	-	-
NORMAN	64	4.0	-	-	7	0.4	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	603	3.8	-	-	361	2.3	3 815	24.3
TULSA	384	3.5	-	-	525	4.8	112	1.0
OREGON:								
EUGENE	197	5.3	67	1.8	53	1.4	-	-
PORTLAND	400	2.1	-	-	-	-	982	5.2
SALEM	208	6.5	8	0.3	50	1.6	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	66	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	24	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	50	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	99	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	125	3.4	-	-	-	-	44	1.2
HARRISBURG	48	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	39	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	9 347	3.7	3 154	1.3	41 213	16.4	2 917	1.2
PITTSBURGH	484	2.4	-	-	-	-	19	0.1
READING	84	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	65	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	38	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	44	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	46	2.1	-	-	-	-	42	1.9
PAWUCKET	73	2.9	-	-	-	-	45	1.8
PROVIDENCE	232	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	53	1.8	-	-	-	-	81	2.8
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	32	1.2	-	-	-	-	5	0.2
COLUMBIA	74	1.8	-	-	305	7.6	-	-
GREENVILLE	76	3.0	-	-	-	-	82	3.2

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA:							
SIOUX FALLS,	19 844	2 088	10.5	1 921	92.0	92	4.4
TENNESSEE:							
CHATTANOOGA,	105 537	7 370	7.0	6 939	94.2	207	2.8
KNOXVILLE,	82 582	6 637	8.0	5 925	89.3	72	1.1
MEMPHIS,	334 953	27 513	8.2	23 906	86.9	1 274	4.6
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON,	263 629	24 428	9.3	16 400	67.1	3 895	15.9
TEXAS:							
ABILENE,	11 608	1 731	14.9	1 501	86.7	73	4.2
AMARILLO,	24 574	3 997	16.3	3 543	88.6	171	4.3
ARLINGTON,	20 676	3 387	16.4	3 043	89.8	103	3.0
AUSTIN,	130 381	12 090	9.3	10 454	86.5	506	4.2
BEAUMONT,	19 710	3 755	19.1	3 288	87.6	150	4.0
BROWNSVILLE,	9 948	1 298	13.0	1 187	91.4	32	2.5
CORPUS CHRISTI,	46 670	6 620	14.2	5 621	84.9	304	4.6
DALLAS,	229 845	41 769	18.2	37 582	90.0	1 502	3.6
EL PASO,	59 408	9 147	15.4	8 559	93.6	301	3.3
FORT WORTH,	91 689	16 479	18.0	15 059	91.4	487	3.0
GALVESTON,	22 445	2 110	9.4	1 845	87.4	103	4.9
GARLAND,	35 656	2 815	7.9	2 350	83.5	54	1.9
GRAND PRAIRIE,	10 491	1 207	11.5	1 090	90.3	36	3.0
HOUSTON,	377 951	64 339	17.0	57 045	88.7	4 867	7.6
IRVING,	19 448	2 280	11.7	1 959	85.9	114	5.0
LAREDO,	14 236	922	6.5	787	85.4	76	8.2
LUBBOCK,	37 749	4 415	11.7	3 919	88.8	227	5.1
MESQUITE,	11 177	1 830	16.4	1 752	95.7	33	1.8
MIDLAND,	9 293	1 734	18.7	1 583	91.3	87	5.0
ODESSA,	7 528	2 315	30.8	2 023	87.4	112	4.8
PASADENA,	15 305	3 054	20.0	2 785	91.2	135	4.4
PORT ARTHUR,	8 793	1 633	18.6	1 489	91.2	42	2.6
RICHARDSON,	10 893	1 263	11.6	1 182	93.6	65	5.1
SAN ANGELO,	11 079	1 869	16.9	1 686	90.2	77	4.1
SAN ANTONIO,	168 995	26 876	15.9	24 808	92.3	780	2.9
TYLER,	11 282	2 011	17.8	1 901	94.5	48	2.4
WACO,	26 127	3 425	13.1	3 177	92.8	61	1.8
WICHITA FALLS,	16 091	1 988	12.4	1 679	84.5	113	5.7
UTAH:							
OGDEN,	11 121	2 520	22.7	2 147	85.2	282	11.2
PROVO,	9 669	1 181	12.2	976	82.6	112	9.5
SALT LAKE CITY,	40 005	8 737	21.8	6 989	80.0	965	11.0
VIRGINIA:							
ALEXANDRIA,	93 024	7 757	8.3	5 132	66.2	986	12.7
CHESAPEAKE,	61 894	6 753	10.9	4 069	60.3	673	10.0
HAMPTON,	105 241	5 336	5.1	3 563	66.8	690	12.9
LYNCHBURG,	34 448	2 854	8.3	2 053	71.9	171	6.0
NEWPORT NEWS,	90 977	10 246	11.3	4 808	46.9	1 460	14.2
NORFOLK,	192 636	14 026	7.3	9 760	69.6	1 669	11.9
PORTSMOUTH,	75 785	4 894	6.5	3 478	71.1	698	14.3
RICHMOND,	218 631	17 230	7.9	11 974	69.5	2 269	13.2
ROANOKE,	80 972	5 182	6.4	2 692	51.9	910	17.6
VIRGINIA BEACH,	126 298	9 325	7.4	6 296	67.5	858	9.2
WASHINGTON:							
BELLEVUE,	17 784	2 501	14.1	2 145	85.8	58	2.3
EVERETT,	14 592	1 954	13.4	1 825	93.4	-	-
SEATTLE,	207 653	36 475	17.6	31 242	85.7	2 106	5.8
SPOKANE,	62 948	5 541	8.8	4 971	89.7	210	3.8
TACOMA,	65 469	7 554	11.5	6 163	81.6	413	5.5
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CHARLESTON,	23 356	2 939	12.6	2 669	90.8	63	2.1
HUNTINGTON,	16 356	2 294	14.0	2 179	95.0	24	1.0
WISCONSIN:							
APPLETON,	34 270	1 748	5.1	1 710	97.8	-	-
GREEN BAY,	63 185	2 658	4.2	2 534	95.3	50	1.9
KENOSHA,	19 274	2 635	13.7	2 529	96.0	35	1.3
LA CROSSE,	25 314	1 455	5.7	1 383	95.1	15	1.0
MADISON,	105 798	6 952	6.6	6 635	95.4	-	-
MILWAUKEE,	211 379	43 770	20.7	40 969	93.6	1 034	2.4
OSHKOSH,	39 048	1 416	3.6	1 348	95.2	-	-
RACINE,	23 089	4 207	18.2	4 030	95.8	29	0.7
WAUWATOSA,	32 662	2 045	6.3	1 979	96.8	15	0.7
WEST ALLIS,	41 398	2 577	6.2	2 480	96.2	19	0.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS,	70	3.4	-	-	5	0.2	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA,	224	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE,	257	3.9	-	-	342	5.2	41	0.6
MEMPHIS,	456	1.7	13	(Z)	1 722	6.3	142	0.5
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON,	595	2.4	246	1.0	3 230	13.2	62	0.3
TEXAS:								
ABILENE,	97	5.6	-	-	55	3.2	5	0.3
AMARILLO,	156	3.9	-	-	127	3.2	-	-
ARLINGTON,	191	5.6	-	-	50	1.5	-	-
AUSTIN,	337	2.8	-	-	-	-	793	6.6
BEAUMONT,	131	3.5	-	-	99	2.6	87	2.3
BROWNSVILLE,	52	4.0	-	-	27	2.1	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI,	305	4.6	-	-	390	5.9	-	-
DALLAS,	1 140	2.7	-	-	1 211	2.9	334	0.8
EL PASO,	212	2.3	-	-	75	0.8	-	-
FORT WORTH,	385	2.3	-	-	486	2.9	62	0.4
GALVESTON,	58	2.7	-	-	104	4.9	-	-
GARLAND,	100	3.6	-	-	311	11.0	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE,	81	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON,	1 004	1.6	-	-	868	1.3	555	0.9
IRVING,	121	5.3	-	-	86	3.8	-	-
LAREDO,	57	6.2	-	-	2	0.2	-	-
LUBBOCK,	188	4.3	-	-	63	1.4	18	0.4
MESQUITE,	45	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND,	64	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA,	65	2.8	-	-	70	3.0	45	1.9
PASADENA,	134	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR,	66	4.0	-	-	36	2.2	-	-
RICHARDSON,	16	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO,	48	2.6	-	-	32	1.7	26	1.4
SAN ANTONIO,	641	2.4	-	-	360	1.3	287	1.1
TYLER,	57	2.8	-	-	5	0.2	-	-
WACO,	106	3.1	-	-	81	2.4	-	-
WICHITA FALLS,	85	4.3	-	-	111	5.6	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN,	79	3.1	12	0.5	-	-	-	-
PROVO,	50	4.2	1	0.1	3	0.3	39	3.3
SALT LAKE CITY,	310	3.5	-	-	80	0.9	393	4.5
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA,	476	6.1	45	0.6	1 024	13.2	94	1.2
CHESAPEAKE,	243	3.6	-	-	1 405	20.8	363	5.4
HAMPTON,	227	4.3	7	0.1	710	13.3	139	2.6
LYNCHBURG,	120	4.2	21	0.7	489	17.1	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS,	171	1.7	15	0.1	3 744	36.5	48	0.5
NORFOLK,	681	4.9	-	-	1 778	12.7	138	1.0
PORTSMOUTH,	257	5.3	6	0.1	434	8.9	21	0.4
RICHMOND,	775	4.5	31	0.2	2 108	12.2	73	0.4
ROANOKE,	263	5.1	-	-	1 258	24.3	59	1.1
VIRGINIA BEACH,	440	4.7	-	-	1 701	18.2	30	0.3
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE,	149	6.0	17	0.7	132	5.3	-	-
EVERETT,	94	4.8	-	-	21	1.1	14	0.7
SEATTLE,	1 003	2.7	363	1.0	1 505	4.1	256	0.7
SPOKANE,	256	4.6	-	-	104	1.9	-	-
TACOMA,	359	4.8	45	0.6	489	6.5	85	1.1
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON,	125	4.3	-	-	82	2.8	-	-
HUNTINGTON,	56	2.4	-	-	35	1.5	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON,	38	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY,	70	2.6	-	-	4	0.2	-	-
KENOSHA,	71	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE,	50	3.4	-	-	7	0.5	-	-
MADISON,	310	4.5	-	-	7	0.1	-	-
MILWAUKEE,	1 761	4.0	-	-	6	(Z)	-	-
OSHKOSH,	68	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE,	145	3.4	-	-	3	0.1	-	-
WAUWATOSA,	51	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS,	78	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	39 743 731	5 095 530	12.8	4 067 099	79.8	365 373	7.2
ALABAMA:							
BIRMINGHAM	97 487	13 787	14.1	12 042	87.3	351	2.5
GADSDEN	9 851	1 301	13.2	1 184	91.0	22	1.7
HUNTSVILLE	52 262	3 722	7.1	3 402	91.4	93	2.5
MOBILE	53 393	6 322	11.8	5 571	88.1	232	3.7
MONTGOMERY	39 110	4 702	12.0	4 226	89.9	148	3.1
TUSCALOOSA	37 068	2 531	6.8	2 360	93.2	129	5.1
ALASKA:							
ANCHORAGE	227 113	7 275	3.2	6 569	90.3	40	0.5
ARIZONA:							
GLENDAL	10 277	2 055	20.0	1 873	91.1	64	3.1
MESA	19 149	2 822	14.7	2 605	92.3	88	3.1
PHOENIX	212 029	46 291	21.8	41 034	88.6	2 490	5.4
SCOTTSDALE	21 725	3 350	15.4	3 039	90.7	84	2.5
TEMPE	18 219	3 309	18.2	2 940	88.8	102	3.1
TUCSON	98 808	16 918	17.1	15 456	91.4	419	2.5
ARKANSAS:							
FORT SMITH	14 509	1 332	9.2	1 092	82.0	103	7.7
LITTLE ROCK	30 324	4 200	13.9	3 734	88.9	119	2.8
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	17 035	1 868	11.0	1 611	86.2	92	4.9
PINE BLUFF	10 301	1 251	12.1	1 058	84.6	78	6.2
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	11 910	2 430	20.4	2 347	96.6	-	-
ALHAMBRA	13 214	2 200	16.6	2 139	97.2	-	-
ANAHEIM	52 814	8 432	16.0	8 026	95.2	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	21 806	5 707	26.2	5 459	95.7	-	-
BELLFLOWER	4 335	76	1.8	44	57.9	-	-
BERKELEY	41 778	5 094	12.2	4 903	96.3	-	-
BUENA PARK	10 160	2 280	22.4	2 227	97.7	-	-
BURBANK	28 193	5 232	18.6	4 775	91.3	-	-
CARSON	24 690	254	1.0	123	48.4	-	-
CHULA VISTA	14 654	2 449	16.7	2 305	94.1	-	-
COMPTON	21 104	4 728	22.4	4 522	95.6	45	1.0
CONCORD	20 652	3 980	19.3	3 893	97.8	-	-
COSTA MESA	18 375	3 796	20.7	3 617	95.3	-	-
DAILY CITY	11 507	2 279	19.8	2 233	98.0	-	-
DOWNY	14 501	2 950	20.3	2 915	98.8	-	-
EL CAJON	12 038	2 151	17.9	2 099	97.6	-	-
EL MONTE	11 552	2 370	20.5	2 322	98.0	-	-
FREMONT	21 189	3 559	16.8	3 407	95.7	-	-
FRESNO	73 840	9 209	12.5	8 776	95.3	-	-
FULLERTON	19 323	3 631	18.8	3 559	98.0	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	18 792	3 574	19.0	3 466	97.0	-	-
GLENDAL	35 748	4 544	12.7	4 181	92.0	-	-
HAWTHORNE	21 923	1 822	8.3	1 697	93.1	-	-
HAYWARD	24 359	3 592	14.7	3 366	93.7	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	26 614	6 254	23.5	5 990	95.8	-	-
INGLEWOOD	48 678	6 752	13.9	6 230	92.3	-	-
LAKELAND	9 156	222	2.4	179	80.6	-	-
LONG BEACH	176 967	25 259	14.3	21 816	86.4	-	-
LOS ANGELES	918 262	221 894	24.2	205 625	92.7	87	(2)
MODESTO	18 506	2 866	15.5	2 774	96.8	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	14 490	1 993	13.8	1 920	96.3	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	15 974	3 985	24.9	3 810	95.6	-	-
NORWALK	5 543	40	0.7	1	2.5	-	-
OAKLAND	161 683	22 414	13.9	20 613	92.0	10	(2)
ONTARIO	12 587	2 924	23.2	2 877	98.4	47	1.6
ORANGE	17 973	3 467	19.3	3 332	96.1	-	-
OXNARD	19 204	3 077	16.0	2 943	95.6	22	0.7
PALO ALTO	29 367	3 878	13.2	2 701	69.6	-	-
PASADENA	42 112	6 247	14.8	5 861	93.8	-	-
PICO RIVERA	3 843	29	0.8	-	-	-	-
POMONA	20 339	4 064	20.0	3 338	82.1	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	15 807	3 073	19.4	2 821	91.8	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	13 830	1 883	13.6	1 791	95.1	-	-
RICHMOND	36 286	5 424	14.9	5 268	97.1	-	-
RIVERSIDE	37 166	5 715	15.4	5 521	96.6	-	-
SACRAMENTO	80 714	14 119	17.5	13 857	98.1	-	-
SALINAS	16 182	2 653	16.4	2 447	92.2	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	33 906	5 177	15.3	5 033	97.2	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	15 661	2 242	14.3	2 083	92.9	-	-
SAN DIEGO	189 086	27 097	14.3	25 299	93.4	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	595 122	81 766	13.7	50 158	61.3	12 317	15.1
SAN JOSE	140 514	22 146	15.8	21 308	96.2	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	18 265	2 560	14.0	2 424	94.7	-	-
SAN MATEO	16 526	3 090	18.7	2 992	96.8	-	-
SANTA ANA	36 469	9 187	25.2	8 819	96.0	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	35 899	3 515	9.8	3 341	95.0	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	177 812	3.5	26 484	0.5	397 433	7.8	61 329	1.2
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	378	2.7	43	0.3	973	7.1	-	-
GADSDEN	39	3.0	-	-	56	4.3	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	75	2.0	5	0.1	147	3.9	-	-
MOBILE	106	1.7	-	-	264	4.2	149	2.4
MONTGOMERY	93	2.0	7	0.1	228	4.8	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	42	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE	666	9.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDAL	25	1.2	18	0.9	52	2.5	23	1.1
MESA	70	2.5	10	0.4	49	1.7	-	-
PHOENIX	1 692	3.7	-	-	351	0.8	724	1.6
SCOTTSDALE	155	4.6	1	(2)	-	-	71	2.1
TEMPE	181	5.5	21	0.6	-	-	65	2.0
TUCSON	745	4.4	37	0.2	-	-	261	1.5
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	56	4.2	-	-	81	6.1	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	105	2.5	7	0.2	235	5.6	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	56	3.0	10	0.5	99	5.3	-	-
PINE BLUFF	33	2.6	-	-	82	6.6	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	83	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	61	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	295	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	248	4.3	-	-	111	1.3	-	-
BELLFLOWER	32	42.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	191	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	53	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	338	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	131	51.6	-	-	118	2.3	-	-
CHULA VISTA	98	4.0	-	-	-	-	46	1.9
COMPTON	161	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	87	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	106	2.8	-	-	73	1.9	-	-
DAILY CITY	46	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNY	38	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	52	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	48	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	152	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	343	3.7	-	-	-	-	90	1.0
FULLERTON	72	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	57	1.6	-	-	-	-	51	1.4
GLENDAL	239	5.3	-	-	124	2.7	-	-
HAWTHORNE	125	6.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	122	3.4	-	-	-	-	104	2.9
HUNTINGTON BEACH	264	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	357	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKELAND	43	19.4	-	-	165	2.4	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 634	6.5	-	-	1 748	6.9	61	0.2
LOS ANGELES	8 726	3.9	-	-	-	-	7 456	3.4
MODESTO	92	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	73	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	121	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	39	07.5	-	-	54	1.4	-	-
OAKLAND	427	1.9	-	-	1 308	5.8	56	0.2
ONTARIO	135	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	112	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	186	4.8	-	-	-	-	991	25.5
PALO ALTO	282	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	29	100.0	-	-	104	1.7	-	-
PICO RIVERA	74	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	69	2.2	-	-	652	16.0	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	92	4.9	-	-	183	6.0	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	156	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	184	3.2	10	0.2	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	262	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	84	3.2	-	-	-	-	122	4.6
SAN BERNARDINO	144	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	159	7.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	1 609	5.9	5	(2)	21	0.1	163	0.6
SAN FRANCISCO	4 655	5.7	1 087	1.3	13 272	16.2	277	0.3
SAN JOSE	838	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	136	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	98	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	368	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	159	4.5	15	0.4	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED							
SANTA CLARA	44 058	3 307	7.5	3 214	97.2	-	-
SANTA MONICA	22 596	4 660	20.6	4 367	93.7	-	-
SANTA ROSA	24 264	1 915	7.9	1 822	95.1	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	8 152	1 600	19.6	1 445	90.3	-	-
SOUTH GATE	12 165	2 282	18.8	2 100	92.0	-	-
STOCKTON	63 954	6 059	9.5	5 886	97.1	-	-
SUNNYVALE	26 051	2 810	10.8	2 672	95.1	-	-
TORRANCE	33 939	6 655	19.6	6 246	93.9	-	-
VALLEJO	15 695	2 746	17.5	2 655	96.7	-	-
WEST COVINA	9 775	2 355	24.1	2 290	97.2	-	-
WESTMINSTER	9 355	2 246	24.0	2 176	96.9	-	-
WHITTIER	11 665	2 524	21.6	2 464	97.6	-	-
COLORADO:							
ARVADA	11 049	2 135	19.3	1 986	93.0	76	3.6
AURORA	28 692	3 835	13.4	3 512	91.6	153	4.0
BOULDER	16 479	2 648	16.1	2 261	85.4	144	5.4
COLORADO SPRINGS	53 433	7 948	14.9	7 406	93.2	307	3.9
DENVER	343 870	45 696	13.3	34 133	74.7	3 969	8.7
FORT COLLINS	14 686	1 402	9.5	1 303	92.9	51	3.6
LAKEWOOD	15 712	4 990	31.8	4 501	90.2	172	3.4
PUEBLO	20 811	4 015	19.3	3 481	86.7	92	2.3
CONNECTICUT:							
BRIDGEPORT	114 885	8 678	7.6	8 071	93.0	26	0.3
BRISTOL	28 775	1 668	5.8	1 564	93.8	5	0.3
DANBURY	32 387	1 931	6.0	1 884	97.6	-	-
HARTFORD	146 813	9 359	6.4	8 881	94.9	9	0.1
MERIDEN	31 479	1 651	5.2	1 503	91.0	1	0.1
MILFORD	34 242	1 890	5.5	1 783	94.3	2	0.1
NEW BRITAIN	40 971	3 084	7.5	2 936	95.2	7	0.2
NEW HAVEN	95 494	7 378	7.7	7 212	97.8	9	0.1
NORWALK	47 242	3 006	6.4	2 808	93.4	6	0.2
STAMFORD	78 910	5 487	7.0	5 111	93.1	37	0.7
WATERBURY	70 953	4 657	6.6	4 516	97.0	19	0.4
WEST HAVEN	22 835	1 893	8.3	1 679	88.7	2	0.1
DELAWARE:							
WILMINGTON	73 536	6 378	8.7	5 580	87.5	464	7.3
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:							
WASHINGTON	1 462 205	202 999	13.9	94 818	46.7	25 312	12.5
FLORIDA:							
CLEARWATER	22 926	2 993	13.1	2 721	90.9	104	3.5
FORT LAUDERDALE	47 306	9 956	21.0	8 734	87.7	493	5.0
GAINESVILLE	19 635	3 063	15.6	2 921	95.4	-	-
HIALEAH	20 100	4 864	24.2	4 669	96.0	11	0.2
HOLLYWOOD	36 563	7 713	21.1	7 146	92.6	132	1.7
JACKSONVILLE	248 184	30 448	12.3	17 500	57.5	4 615	15.2
MIAMI	104 296	24 853	23.8	24 308	97.8	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	52 508	7 635	14.5	7 070	92.6	206	2.7
ORLANDO	49 652	9 550	19.2	8 247	86.4	236	2.5
PENSACOLA	22 890	2 377	10.4	2 191	92.2	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	63 219	11 574	18.3	10 496	90.7	107	0.9
TALLAHASSEE	45 375	2 635	5.8	2 540	96.4	-	-
TAMPA	121 501	13 682	11.3	12 585	92.0	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	25 189	3 536	14.0	3 163	89.5	111	3.1
GEORGIA:							
ALBANY	14 390	2 270	15.8	2 176	95.9	13	0.6
ATLANTA	215 911	30 712	14.2	26 896	87.6	1 202	3.9
AUGUSTA	17 060	2 291	13.4	2 083	90.9	54	2.4
COLUMBUS	61 208	9 241	15.1	6 388	69.1	1 401	15.2
MACON	19 911	3 453	17.3	2 953	85.5	136	3.9
SAVANNAH	27 160	4 139	15.2	3 591	86.8	140	3.4
HAWAII:							
HONOLULU	282 119	32 916	11.7	30 381	92.3	-	-
IDAHO:							
BOISE CITY	22 654	2 327	10.3	2 185	93.9	18	0.8
ILLINOIS:							
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	10 941	1 945	17.8	1 850	95.1	-	-
AURORA	16 619	3 073	18.5	2 964	96.5	1	(Z)
BERWYN	4 232	1 035	24.5	981	94.8	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	21 039	1 968	9.4	1 897	96.4	-	-
CHICAGO	1 065 127	288 221	27.1	283 645	98.4	278	0.1
CICERO	7 582	1 766	23.3	1 647	93.3	-	-
DECATUR	12 845	2 147	16.7	2 070	96.4	-	-
DES PLAINES	10 932	3 285	30.1	3 172	96.6	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	21 840	2 760	12.6	2 620	94.9	-	-
ELGIN	11 537	1 915	16.6	1 858	97.0	12	0.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA	93	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	293	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	93	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	155	9.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	56	2.5	-	-	126	5.5	-	-
STOCKTON	149	2.5	-	-	-	-	24	0.4
SUNNYVALE	138	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	265	4.0	-	-	144	2.2	-	-
VALLEJO	91	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	30	1.3	-	-	-	-	35	1.5
WESTMINSTER	23	1.0	-	-	-	-	47	2.1
WHITTIER	57	2.3	-	-	-	-	3	0.1
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	73	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	170	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	131	4.9	-	-	-	-	112	4.2
COLORADO SPRINGS	222	2.8	-	-	13	0.2	-	-
DENVER	2 474	5.4	6	(Z)	4 102	9.0	1 012	2.2
FORT COLLINS	48	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	284	5.7	3	0.1	30	0.6	-	-
PUEBLO	147	3.7	-	-	295	7.3	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	172	2.0	-	-	305	3.5	104	1.2
BRISTOL	46	2.8	-	-	-	-	53	3.2
DANBURY	47	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	469	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	67	4.1	-	-	-	-	80	4.8
MILFORD	57	3.0	-	-	48	2.5	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	110	3.6	-	-	-	-	31	1.0
NEW HAVEN	157	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	94	3.1	-	-	-	-	98	3.3
STAMFORD	282	5.1	26	0.5	25	0.5	6	0.1
WATERBURY	122	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	103	5.4	-	-	-	-	109	5.8
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	334	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	4 095	2.0	4 807	2.4	73 105	36.0	862	0.4
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	88	2.9	10	0.3	70	2.3	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	191	1.9	13	0.1	525	5.3	-	-
GAINESVILLE	142	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	44	0.9	2	(Z)	138	2.8	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	158	2.0	2	(Z)	193	2.5	82	1.1
JACKSONVILLE	888	2.9	15	(Z)	7 172	23.6	258	0.8
MIAMI	545	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	229	3.0	14	0.2	116	1.5	-	-
ORLANDO	168	1.8	-	-	671	7.0	228	2.4
PENSACOLA	99	4.2	-	-	87	3.7	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	271	2.3	-	-	382	3.3	318	2.7
TALLAHASSEE	90	3.4	-	-	-	-	5	0.2
TAMPA	413	3.0	-	-	-	-	684	5.0
WEST PALM BEACH	110	3.1	-	-	152	4.3	-	-
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	51	2.2	-	-	30	1.3	-	-
ATLANTA	740	2.4	42	0.1	1 661	5.4	171	0.6
AUGUSTA	42	1.8	-	-	108	4.7	4	0.2
COLUMBUS	310	3.4	77	0.8	1 044	11.3	21	0.2
MACON	112	3.2	-	-	252	7.3	-	-
SAVANNAH	55	1.3	-	-	199	4.8	154	3.7
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	2 521	7.7	1	(Z)	-	-	13	(Z)
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	124	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	95	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	108	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	54	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	71	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	3 809	1.3	-	-	489	0.2	-	-
CICERO	119	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	77	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED							
EVANSTON	21 904	3 081	14.1	2 955	95.9	-	-
JOLIET	17 149	4 137	24.1	3 965	95.8	-	-
OAK LAWN	9 903	1 971	19.9	1 919	97.4	-	-
OAK PARK	18 256	2 972	16.3	2 913	98.0	-	-
PEORIA	28 913	7 134	24.7	6 920	97.0	-	-
ROCKFORD	26 503	5 467	20.6	5 226	95.6	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	18 774	1 441	7.7	1 356	94.1	21	1.5
SKOKIE	15 063	2 811	18.7	2 691	95.7	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	25 508	3 001	11.8	2 779	92.6	-	-
WAUKEGAN	13 789	2 605	18.9	2 547	97.8	-	-
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	10 610	2 202	20.8	2 088	94.6	50	2.3
EVANSVILLE	37 096	4 814	13.0	4 401	91.4	162	3.4
FORT WAYNE	39 871	5 159	12.9	4 995	96.8	-	-
GARY	36 354	7 192	19.8	5 742	79.8	350	4.9
HAMMOND	20 522	3 884	18.9	3 528	90.8	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	264 634	37 826	14.3	26 020	68.8	5 209	13.8
MUNCIE	22 825	2 027	8.9	1 904	93.9	83	4.1
SOUTH BEND	26 207	4 114	15.7	3 993	97.1	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	13 940	1 554	11.1	1 420	91.4	63	4.1
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	29 288	2 985	10.2	2 878	96.4	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	14 878	1 958	13.2	1 787	91.3	-	-
DAVENPORT	35 288	2 203	6.2	2 050	93.1	-	-
DES MOINES	69 245	7 996	11.5	7 200	90.0	-	-
DUBUQUE	18 016	1 212	6.7	1 163	96.0	-	-
SIOUX CITY	25 657	2 754	10.7	2 276	82.6	-	-
WATERLOO	25 445	2 307	9.1	2 248	97.4	-	-
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	64 222	5 563	8.7	4 790	86.1	207	3.7
OVERLAND PARK	10 761	3 315	30.8	1 713	51.7	95	2.9
TOPEKA	32 152	4 601	14.3	4 262	92.6	92	2.0
WICHITA	120 619	8 762	7.3	7 513	85.7	297	3.4
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	12 608	2 277	18.1	2 056	90.3	48	2.1
LEXINGTON	48 410	9 383	19.4	6 360	67.8	899	9.6
LOUISVILLE	135 943	21 227	15.6	19 982	94.1	504	2.4
OWENSBORO	29 567	1 626	5.5	1 501	92.3	62	3.8
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	96 262	16 974	17.6	9 843	58.0	3 850	22.7
LAFAYETTE	21 896	2 090	9.5	1 876	89.8	142	6.8
LAKE CHARLES	16 748	1 856	11.1	1 371	73.9	130	7.0
MONROE	16 736	1 896	11.3	1 628	85.9	69	3.6
NEW ORLEANS	245 287	47 093	19.2	31 516	66.9	5 360	11.4
SHREVEPORT	55 494	6 786	12.2	6 079	89.6	390	5.7
MAINE:							
PORTLAND	42 499	2 761	6.5	2 663	96.5	-	-
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	1 052 832	89 114	8.5	67 867	76.2	6 771	7.1
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	724 839	96 725	13.3	66 911	69.2	15 32	16.0
BROCKTON	66 635	5 220	7.8	5 143	98.5	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	91 916	6 594	7.2	6 311	95.7	-	-
CHICOPEE	30 569	2 699	8.8	2 642	97.9	-	-
FALL RIVER	61 581	2 238	8.5	4 561	87.1	-	-
HOLYOKE	36 636	1 905	5.2	1 831	96.1	-	-
LAWRENCE	38 148	3 003	7.9	2 814	93.7	-	-
LOWELL	44 679	3 796	8.5	3 524	92.8	-	-
LYNN	50 083	4 678	9.3	4 439	94.9	-	-
MALDEN	32 622	2 491	7.6	2 430	97.6	-	-
MEDFORD	36 745	2 844	7.7	2 759	97.0	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	79 872	4 805	6.0	4 549	94.7	-	-
NEWTON	65 185	5 673	8.7	5 503	97.0	-	-
PITTSFIELD	36 942	1 798	4.9	1 765	98.2	-	-
QUINCY	65 114	5 794	8.9	5 697	98.3	-	-
SOMERVILLE	44 040	2 926	6.6	2 674	91.4	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	113 301	7 457	6.6	7 094	95.1	-	-
WALTHAM	37 971	2 841	7.5	2 742	96.5	-	-
WORCESTER	136 876	8 919	6.5	8 412	94.3	1	(2)
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	34 890	4 564	13.1	3 937	86.3	366	8.0
DEARBORN	42 960	4 848	11.3	4 293	88.6	234	4.8
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	11 282	2 985	26.5	2 495	83.6	281	9.4
DETROIT	630 134	135 291	21.5	117 935	87.2	8 868	6.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON	99	3.2	27	0.9	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	119	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	52	2.6	-	-	-	-	53	1.3
OAK PARK	59	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	214	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	188	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	19	1.3	45	3.1	-	-	53	1.0
SKOKIE	120	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	158	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	58	2.2	-	-	64	2.1	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	54	2.5	6	0.3	-	0.2	-	-
EVANSVILLE	216	4.5	14	0.3	21	0.4	-	-
FORT WAYNE	164	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	180	2.5	10	0.1	88	1.2	822	11.4
HAMMOND	90	2.3	5	0.1	35	0.9	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	1 632	4.3	240	0.6	4 624	12.2	101	0.3
MUNCIE	27	1.3	-	-	6	0.3	7	0.3
SOUTH BEND	121	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	55	3.5	-	-	-	-	16	1.0
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	107	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	88	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	104	4.7	-	-	83	4.2	-	-
DES MOINES	269	3.4	-	-	-	-	49	2.2
DUBUQUE	49	4.0	-	-	472	5.9	55	0.7
SIOUX CITY	171	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	59	2.6	-	-	81	2.9	226	8.2
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	261	4.7	-	-	244	4.4	61	1.1
OVERLAND PARK	103	3.1	-	-	-	-	1 404	42.4
TOPEKA	155	3.4	-	-	42	0.9	50	1.1
WICHITA	350	4.0	-	-	488	5.6	114	1.3
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	122	5.4	-	-	51	2.2	-	-
LEXINGTON	373	4.0	-	-	1 751	18.7	-	-
LOUISVILLE	642	3.0	-	-	-	-	99	0.5
OWENSBORO	63	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	1 093	6.4	176	1.0	1 720	10.1	292	1.7
LAFAYETTE	68	3.3	4	0.2	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	213	11.5	-	-	142	7.7	-	-
MONROE	116	6.1	-	-	83	4.4	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	1 060	2.3	478	1.0	8 447	17.9	232	0.5
SHREVEPORT	96	1.4	-	-	221	3.3	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	98	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	5 325	6.0	-	-	8 557	9.6	994	1.1
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	3 253	3.4	-	-	9 871	10.2	1 258	1.3
BROCKTON	77	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	181	2.7	-	-	-	-	102	1.5
CHICOPEE	57	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	86	1.6	-	-	460	8.8	131	2.5
HOLYOKE	74	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	68	2.3	-	-	2	0.1	119	4.0
LOWELL	272	7.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	112	2.4	-	-	-	-	127	2.7
MALDEN	61	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	85	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	129	2.7	-	-	21	0.4	106	2.2
NEWTON	170	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	33	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	97	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	81	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	220	3.0	-	-	-	-	171	5.8
WALTHAM	99	3.5	-	-	-	-	143	1.9
WORCESTER	258	2.9	-	-	-	-	248	2.8
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	116	2.5	50	1.1	95	2.1	-	-
DEARBORN	189	3.9	-	-	111	2.3	21	0.4
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	50	1.7	5	0.2	154	5.2	-	-
DETROIT	2 390	1.8	747	0.6	5 351	4.0	-	-

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
FARMINGTON HILLS	6 527	1 742	26.7	1 612	92.5	-	-
FLINT	129 504	9 644	7.5	8 296	85.8	667	6.9
GRAND RAPIDS	67 776	9 215	13.6	7 244	78.6	846	9.2
KALAMAZOO	17 543	4 283	24.4	3 576	83.5	343	8.0
LANSING	71 253	6 923	9.7	5 538	80.0	569	8.2
LIVONIA	8 183	1 766	21.6	1 493	84.5	119	6.7
LINCOLN PARK	23 114	4 010	17.3	3 495	87.2	272	6.8
PONTIAC	89 558	6 133	6.8	5 242	85.5	443	7.2
ROSEVILLE	8 454	2 493	29.5	2 127	85.3	246	9.9
ROYAL OAK	15 091	2 537	16.8	2 170	85.5	219	8.6
SAGINAW	34 812	4 614	13.3	4 426	95.9	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	20 366	4 850	23.8	3 985	82.2	509	10.5
ST. CLAIR SHORES	12 636	2 695	21.3	2 538	94.2	105	3.9
STERLING HEIGHTS	14 241	4 328	30.4	3 200	73.9	251	5.8
TAYLOR	15 329	2 632	17.2	2 351	89.3	168	6.4
WARREN	36 511	6 367	17.4	5 460	85.6	663	10.4
WESTLAND	17 043	3 157	18.5	2 639	83.6	342	10.8
WYOMING	12 819	2 828	22.1	2 353	83.2	339	12.0
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	17 626	2 528	14.3	2 373	93.9	31	1.2
DULUTH	65 554	3 017	4.6	2 793	92.6	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	154 776	21 599	14.0	18 810	87.1	-	-
ROCHESTER	12 100	1 700	14.0	1 619	95.2	-	-
ST. PAUL	96 078	13 346	13.9	12 213	91.5	1	(2)
MISSISSIPPI:							
BILOXI	7 185	1 067	14.8	1 002	93.9	25	2.3
JACKSON	62 652	6 337	10.1	5 341	84.3	275	4.3
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	13 985	1 776	12.7	1 635	92.1	48	2.7
FLORISSANT	4 251	1 200	28.2	1 158	96.5	24	2.0
INDEPENDENCE	19 791	3 073	15.5	2 841	92.5	103	3.4
KANSAS CITY	202 350	32 614	16.1	28 405	87.1	1 230	3.8
ST. JOSEPH	12 405	1 926	15.5	1 807	93.8	57	3.0
ST. LOUIS	249 472	57 921	23.2	42 661	73.7	5 824	10.1
SPRINGFIELD	39 898	3 276	8.2	3 076	93.9	102	3.1
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS	18 373	2 144	11.7	1 985	92.6	77	3.6
GREAT FALLS	17 489	1 864	10.7	1 633	87.6	43	2.3
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	48 904	5 650	11.6	4 141	73.3	481	8.5
OMAHA	90 612	13 287	14.7	11 355	85.5	894	6.7
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	25 976	964	3.7	-	-	512	53.1
RENO	28 271	6 553	23.2	5 759	87.9	215	3.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	52 003	2 774	5.3	2 471	89.1	158	5.7
NASHUA	36 540	2 432	6.7	2 125	87.4	141	5.8
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	16 684	3 447	20.7	3 174	92.1	109	3.2
BLOOMFIELD	10 449	2 532	24.2	2 396	94.6	58	2.3
CAMDEN	32 058	8 978	28.0	8 509	94.8	238	2.7
CLIFTON	30 182	3 161	10.5	2 989	94.6	88	2.8
EAST ORANGE	46 159	5 623	12.2	4 909	87.3	426	7.6
ELIZABETH	61 164	6 242	10.2	5 445	87.2	161	2.6
IRVINGTON	19 741	3 128	15.6	2 810	89.8	132	4.2
JERSEY CITY	162 881	19 020	11.7	17 958	94.4	652	3.4
NEWARK	328 852	40 597	12.3	36 242	89.3	851	2.1
PASSAIC	12 089	2 628	21.6	2 294	87.3	96	3.7
PATERSON	74 350	8 348	11.2	7 909	94.7	205	2.5
TRENTON	37 296	7 905	21.2	7 516	95.1	206	2.6
UNION CITY	11 741	2 870	24.3	2 870	93.1	129	4.2
VINELAND	25 485	1 855	7.3	1 694	91.3	80	4.3
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	96 293	14 518	15.1	11 532	79.4	821	5.7
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	37 924	6 572	17.3	6 088	92.6	280	4.3
BINGHAMTON	39 868	2 396	6.0	2 125	88.7	173	7.2
BUFFALO	351 283	25 354	7.2	22 216	37.6	1 192	4.7
MOUNT VERNON	23 506	4 002	17.0	3 569	89.2	270	6.7
NEW ROCHELLE	25 311	4 470	17.7	4 004	89.6	285	6.4
NEW YORK CITY	12 017 436	1 161 851	9.7	799 448	68.8	133 030	11.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	130	7.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	242	2.5	61	0.6	398	4.1	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	323	3.5	21	0.2	276	3.0	505	5.5
KALAMAZOO	225	5.3	-	-	68	1.6	71	1.7
LANSING	207	3.0	28	0.4	581	8.4	-	-
LIVONIA	37	2.1	1	0.1	35	2.0	81	4.6
LINCOLN PARK	135	3.4	17	0.4	91	2.3	-	-
PONTIAC	284	4.6	56	0.9	108	1.8	-	-
ROSEVILLE	117	4.7	3	0.1	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	70	2.8	14	0.6	64	2.5	-	-
SAGINAW	188	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	151	3.1	9	0.2	196	4.0	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	52	1.9	-	-	-	-	748	17.3
STERLING HEIGHTS	123	2.8	6	0.1	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	96	3.6	-	-	17	0.6	-	-
WARREN	234	3.7	10	0.2	99	3.1	-	-
WESTLAND	72	2.3	5	0.2	30	1.1	-	-
WYOMING	101	3.6	5	0.2	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	124	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	214	7.1	10	0.3	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	1 053	4.9	-	-	1 445	6.7	291	1.3
ROCHESTER	81	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	564	4.2	18	0.1	18	0.1	532	4.0
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	40	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	325	5.1	-	-	396	6.2	-	-
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	93	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	18	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	129	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	1 054	3.2	266	0.8	1 506	4.6	153	0.5
ST. JOSEPH	61	3.2	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
ST. LOUIS	2 211	3.8	-	-	7 169	12.4	56	0.1
SPRINGFIELD	93	2.8	-	-	-	-	5	0.2
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	59	2.8	6	0.3	17	0.8	-	-
GREAT FALLS	33	1.8	1	0.1	154	8.3	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	307	5.4	-	-	409	7.2	312	5.5
OMAHA	619	4.7	-	-	27	0.2	392	3.0
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	452	46.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	243	3.7	6	0.1	304	4.6	26	0.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	58	2.1	-	-	87	3.1	-	-
NASHUA	59	2.4	-	-	87	3.6	20	0.8
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	154	4.5	10	0.3	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	78	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	228	2.5	-	-	-	-	3	(2)
CLIFTON	84	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	168	3.0	-	-	-	-	120	2.1
ELIZABETH	139	2.2	-	-	-	-	497	8.0
IRVINGTON	186	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	350	1.8	-	-	-	-	60	0.3
NEWARK	413	1.0	-	-	-	-	3 091	7.6
PASSAIC	74	2.8	-	-	-	-	164	6.2
PATERSON	164	2.0	-	-	-	-	70	0.8
TRENTON	121	1.5	9	0.1	-	-	53	0.7
UNION CITY	56	1.8	-	-	-	-	29	0.9
VINELAND	81	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	601	4.1	-	-	1 564	10.8	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	204	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	98	4.1	-	-	-	-	1 070	4.2
BUFFALO	848	3.3	28	0.1	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	163	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	181	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	48 241	4.2	13 295	1.1	130 972	13.0	16 865	1.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA: SIOUX FALLS,	19 844	2 035	10.3	1 921	94.4	44	2.2
TENNESSEE: CHATTANOOGA,	103 069	7 332	7.1	6 901	94.1	207	2.8
KNOXVILLE,	82 014	6 637	8.1	5 925	89.3	72	1.1
MEMPHIS,	325 592	26 534	8.1	23 906	90.1	778	2.9
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON,	262 755	24 428	9.3	16 400	67.1	3 895	15.9
TEXAS: ABILENE,	11 608	1 731	14.9	1 501	86.7	73	4.2
AMARILLO,	24 574	3 997	16.3	3 543	88.6	171	4.3
ARLINGTON,	20 337	3 387	16.7	3 043	89.8	103	3.0
AUSTIN,	130 381	12 090	9.3	10 454	86.5	506	4.2
BEAUMONT,	19 710	3 752	19.0	3 288	87.6	150	4.0
BROWNSVILLE,	9 948	1 271	12.8	1 187	93.4	32	2.5
CORPUS CHRISTI,	46 670	6 620	14.2	5 621	84.9	304	4.6
DALLAS,	229 845	41 769	18.2	37 582	90.0	1 502	3.6
EL PASO,	59 334	8 072	15.3	8 559	94.3	301	3.3
FORT WORTH,	91 689	16 479	18.0	15 059	91.4	487	3.0
GALVESTON,	22 314	2 110	9.5	1 845	87.4	103	4.9
GARLAND,	35 656	2 815	7.9	2 350	83.5	54	1.9
GRAND PRAIRIE,	10 331	1 207	11.7	1 090	90.3	36	3.0
HOUSTON,	371 777	64 339	17.3	57 045	88.7	4 867	7.6
IRVING,	19 448	2 280	11.7	1 959	85.9	114	5.0
LAREDO,	14 236	909	6.4	787	86.6	65	7.2
LUBBOCK,	36 097	4 415	12.2	3 919	88.8	227	5.1
MESQUITE,	11 177	1 830	16.4	1 752	95.7	33	1.8
MIDLAND,	9 200	1 734	18.8	1 583	91.3	87	5.0
ODESSA,	7 472	2 270	30.4	2 023	89.1	112	4.9
PASADENA,	15 305	3 054	20.0	2 785	91.2	135	4.4
PORT ARTHUR,	8 793	1 633	18.6	1 489	91.2	42	2.6
RICHARDSON,	10 893	1 263	11.6	1 182	93.6	65	5.1
SAN ANGELO,	9 605	1 843	19.2	1 686	91.5	77	4.2
SAN ANTONIO,	167 756	26 229	15.6	24 808	94.6	780	3.0
TYLER,	10 884	2 006	18.4	1 901	94.8	48	2.4
WACO,	26 127	3 425	13.1	3 177	92.8	61	1.8
WICHITA FALLS,	16 091	1 988	12.4	1 679	84.5	113	5.7
UTAH: OGDEN,	10 203	2 520	24.7	2 147	85.2	282	11.2
PROVO,	9 640	1 178	12.2	976	82.9	112	9.5
SALT LAKE CITY,	40 005	8 643	21.6	6 989	80.9	965	11.2
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA,	90 575	7 466	8.2	5 132	68.7	702	9.4
CHESAPEAKE,	61 894	6 544	10.6	4 069	62.2	506	7.7
HAMPTON,	105 200	5 029	4.8	3 563	70.8	447	8.9
LYNCHBURG,	34 422	2 749	8.0	2 053	74.7	66	2.4
NEWPORT NEWS,	90 813	10 067	11.1	4 808	47.8	1 281	12.7
NORFOLK,	189 685	14 026	7.4	9 760	69.6	1 669	11.9
PORTSMOUTH,	75 580	4 612	6.1	3 478	75.4	429	9.3
RICHMOND,	218 631	17 230	7.9	11 974	69.5	2 259	13.2
ROANOKE,	79 265	4 850	6.1	2 692	55.5	578	11.9
VIRGINIA BEACH,	125 732	9 104	7.2	6 296	69.2	668	7.3
WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE,	17 419	2 499	14.3	2 145	85.8	58	2.3
EVERETT,	14 592	1 912	13.1	1 804	94.4	-	-
SEATTLE,	186 339	35 371	19.0	31 242	88.3	2 108	6.0
SPOKANE,	62 909	5 343	8.5	4 854	90.8	210	3.9
TACOMA,	64 913	7 554	11.6	6 163	81.6	413	5.5
WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON,	22 859	2 857	12.5	2 669	93.4	63	2.2
HUNTINGTON,	16 269	2 259	13.9	2 179	96.5	24	1.1
WISCONSIN: APPLETON,	34 270	1 748	5.1	1 710	97.8	-	-
GREEN BAY,	63 185	2 654	4.2	2 534	95.5	50	1.9
KENOSHA,	19 273	2 635	13.7	2 529	96.0	35	1.3
LA CROSSE,	25 314	1 448	5.7	1 383	95.5	15	1.0
MADISON,	105 798	6 905	6.5	6 595	95.5	-	-
MILWAUKEE,	205 364	43 254	21.1	40 969	94.7	524	1.2
OSHKOSH,	39 048	1 416	3.6	1 348	95.2	-	-
RACINE,	23 089	4 204	18.2	4 030	95.9	29	0.7
WAUWATOSA,	32 351	2 045	6.3	1 979	96.8	15	0.7
WEST ALLIS,	40 976	2 577	6.3	2 480	96.2	19	0.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA: SIOUX FALLS,	70	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE: CHATTANOOGA,	224	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE,	257	3.9	-	-	342	5.2	41	0.6
MEMPHIS,	456	1.7	13	(Z)	1 239	4.7	142	0.5
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON,	595	2.4	246	1.0	3 230	13.2	62	0.3
TEXAS: ABILENE,	97	5.6	-	-	55	3.2	5	0.3
AMARILLO,	156	3.9	-	-	127	3.2	-	-
ARLINGTON,	191	5.6	-	-	50	1.5	-	-
AUSTIN,	337	2.8	-	-	-	-	793	6.6
BEAUMONT,	131	3.5	-	-	99	2.6	84	2.2
BROWNSVILLE,	52	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI,	305	4.6	-	-	390	5.9	-	-
DALLAS,	1 140	2.7	-	-	1 211	2.9	334	0.8
EL PASO,	212	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH,	385	2.3	-	-	486	2.9	62	0.4
GALVESTON,	58	2.7	-	-	104	4.9	-	-
GARLAND,	100	3.6	-	-	311	11.0	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE,	81	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON,	1 004	1.6	-	-	868	1.3	555	0.9
IRVING,	121	5.3	-	-	86	3.8	-	-
LAREDO,	57	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK,	188	4.3	-	-	63	1.4	18	0.4
MESQUITE,	45	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND,	64	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA,	65	2.9	-	-	70	3.1	-	-
PASADENA,	134	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR,	66	4.0	-	-	36	2.2	-	-
RICHARDSON,	16	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO,	48	2.6	-	-	32	1.7	-	-
SAN ANTONIO,	641	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER,	57	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO,	106	3.1	-	-	81	2.4	-	-
WICHITA FALLS,	85	4.3	-	-	111	5.6	-	-
UTAH: OGDEN,	79	3.1	12	0.5	-	-	-	-
PROVO,	50	4.2	1	0.1	-	-	39	3.3
SALT LAKE CITY,	256	3.0	-	-	40	0.5	393	4.5
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA,	476	6.4	45	0.6	1 017	13.6	94	1.3
CHESAPEAKE,	243	3.7	-	-	1 363	20.8	363	5.5
HAMPTON,	176	3.5	7	0.1	697	13.9	139	2.8
LYNCHBURG,	120	4.4	21	0.8	489	17.8	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS,	171	1.7	15	0.1	3 744	37.2	48	0.5
NORFOLK,	681	4.9	-	-	1 778	12.7	138	1.0
PORTSMOUTH,	257	5.6	6	0.1	421	9.1	21	0.5
RICHMOND,	775	4.5	31	0.2	2 108	12.2	73	0.4
ROANOKE,	263	5.4	-	-	1 258	25.9	59	1.2
VIRGINIA BEACH,	440	4.8	-	-	1 670	16.3	30	0.3
WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE,	149	6.0	17	0.7	130	5.2	-	-
EVERETT,	94	4.9	-	-	-	-	14	0.7
SEATTLE,	1 003	2.8	363	1.0	401	1.1	256	0.7
SPOKANE,	256	4.8	-	-	23	0.4	-	-
TACOMA,	359	4.8	45	0.6	489	6.5	85	1.1
WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON,	125	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON,	56	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN: APPLETON,	38	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY,	70	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA,	71	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE,	50	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON,	310	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE,	1 761	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH,	68	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE,	145	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA,	51	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS,	78	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments.

Line number	City	Total full-time equivalent employees*	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	TOTAL	1 317 409	277 128	271 992	274 201	20.8	226 685	223 600	224 738	82.0	20 568	19 684	20 196	7.4
2	ALABAMA:													
3	BIRMINGHAM	3 347	833	833	833	24.9	731	731	731	87.8	31	31	31	3.7
4	GADSDEN	649	107	105	107	16.5	98	98	98	91.6	11	11	11	1.9
5	HUNTSVILLE	2 692	298	296	298	11.1	271	271	271	90.9	14	14	14	3.7
6	MOBILE	1 944	441	432	439	22.6	399	398	398	90.7	11	11	11	3.2
7	MONTGOMERY	1 977	402	398	401	20.3	359	359	359	89.5	15	14	14	3.5
8	TUSCALOOSA	1 946	181	173	176	9.0	169	166	167	94.9	10	5	7	4.0
9	ALASKA:													
10	ANCHORAGE	5 453	230	228	229	4.2	211	209	210	91.7	-	-	-	-
11	ARIZONA:													
12	GLENDALE	513	141	138	140	27.3	128	128	128	91.4	5	4	5	3.6
13	MESA	724	183	180	183	25.3	164	164	164	89.6	7	7	7	3.8
14	PHOENIX	7 229	2 223	2 196	2 202	30.5	1 994	1 994	1 994	90.6	97	86	88	4.0
15	SCOTTSDALE	704	175	172	172	24.4	163	163	163	94.8	6	5	5	2.9
16	TEMPE	726	179	173	174	24.0	158	158	158	90.8	11	8	9	5.2
17	TUCSON	3 806	762	756	759	19.9	696	691	694	91.4	26	26	26	3.4
18	ARKANSAS:													
19	FORT SMITH	614	133	127	131	21.3	114	114	114	87.0	11	7	9	6.9
20	LITTLE ROCK	1 596	333	332	332	20.8	290	290	290	87.3	10	10	10	3.0
21	NORTH LITTLE ROCK	629	168	168	168	26.7	147	147	147	87.5	7	7	7	4.2
22	PINE BLUFF	427	135	125	133	31.1	110	110	110	82.7	10	1	8	6.0
23	CALIFORNIA:													
24	ALAMEDA	458	119	115	115	25.1	115	112	112	97.4	-	-	-	-
25	ALHAMBRA	398	118	114	115	28.9	113	112	113	98.3	-	-	-	-
26	ANAHEIM	1 702	457	392	403	23.7	435	370	381	94.5	-	-	-	-
27	BAKERSFIELD	703	207	199	201	28.6	200	193	195	97.0	-	-	-	-
28	BELLFLOWER	73	15	-	5	6.8	14	-	4	80.0	-	-	-	-
29	BERKELEY	1 476	279	253	260	17.6	270	247	253	97.3	-	-	-	-
30	BUENA PARK	347	157	120	127	36.6	156	120	126	99.2	-	-	-	-
31	BURBANK	1 023	243	243	243	23.8	225	225	225	92.6	-	-	-	-
32	CARSON	292	4	4	4	1.4	4	4	4	100.0	-	-	-	-
33	CHULA VISTA	602	150	120	122	20.3	146	116	118	96.7	-	-	-	-
34	COMPTON	750	205	201	202	26.9	195	192	193	95.5	3	2	2	1.0
35	CONCORD	512	180	169	171	33.4	176	165	167	97.7	-	-	-	-
36	COSTA MESA	554	203	165	170	30.7	193	155	160	94.1	-	-	-	-
37	DAILY CITY	429	141	109	115	26.8	138	108	114	99.1	-	-	-	-
38	DOWNNEY	463	140	134	135	29.2	140	134	135	100.0	-	-	-	-
39	EL CAJON	427	145	127	133	31.1	140	126	129	97.0	-	-	-	-
40	EL MONTE	345	138	121	127	36.8	136	119	125	98.4	-	-	-	-
41	FREMONT	615	201	197	198	32.2	198	194	195	98.5	-	-	-	-
42	FRESNO	2 122	446	443	444	20.9	432	430	431	97.1	-	-	-	-
43	FULLERTON	607	197	186	188	31.0	194	184	186	98.9	-	-	-	-
44	GARDEN GROVE	876	226	195	196	22.4	219	188	189	96.4	-	-	-	-
45	GLENDALE	1 073	235	227	229	21.3	220	212	214	93.4	-	-	-	-
46	HAWTHORNE	295	98	77	79	26.8	92	72	74	93.7	-	-	-	-
47	HAYWARD	689	214	183	189	27.4	201	170	176	93.1	-	-	-	-
48	HUNTINGTON BEACH	1 002	314	290	294	29.3	303	279	283	96.3	-	-	-	-
49	INGLEWOOD	877	258	241	241	27.5	240	220	223	92.5	-	-	-	-
50	LAKELAND	226	1	-	1	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	LONG BEACH	4 699	1 064	1 058	1 059	22.5	911	908	909	85.8	-	-	-	-
52	LOS ANGELES	33 965	10 697	10 666	10 691	31.5	10 182	10 182	10 182	95.2	-	-	-	-
53	MODESTO	681	173	166	169	24.8	167	161	164	97.0	-	-	-	-
54	MOUNTAIN VIEW	418	101	95	96	23.0	99	93	94	97.9	-	-	-	-
55	NEWPORT BEACH	669	191	177	179	26.8	182	168	170	95.0	-	-	-	-
56	NORWALK	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	OAKLAND	4 785	1 161	1 161	1 161	24.3	1 053	1 053	1 053	90.7	-	-	-	-
58	ONTARIO	565	143	128	129	22.8	143	128	129	100.0	-	-	-	-
59	ORANGE	596	187	167	170	28.5	181	162	165	97.1	-	-	-	-
60	OXNARD	637	151	141	143	22.4	145	135	137	95.8	1	1	1	0.7
61	PALO ALTO	716	146	121	123	17.2	140	115	117	95.1	-	-	-	-
62	PASADENA	1 409	297	280	284	20.2	273	256	260	91.5	-	-	-	-
63	PICO RIVERA	138	1	-	1	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
64	POMONA	794	222	182	187	23.6	180	167	169	90.4	-	-	-	-
65	REDONDO BEACH	511	125	119	120	23.5	113	108	109	90.8	-	-	-	-
66	REDWOOD CITY	458	96	92	93	20.3	89	86	87	93.5	-	-	-	-
67	RICHMOND	848	246	246	246	29.0	238	238	238	96.7	-	-	-	-
68	RIVERSIDE	1 236	324	282	292	23.6	316	274	284	97.3	-	-	-	-
69	SACRAMENTO	3 288	760	657	678	20.6	744	645	666	98.2	-	-	-	-
70	SALINAS	575	161	160	160	27.8	153	152	152	95.0	-	-	-	-
71	SAN BERNARDINO	1 182	264	264	264	22.3	257	257	257	97.3	-	-	-	-
72	SAN BUENAVENTURA	488	108	100	102	20.9	105	97	99	97.1	-	-	-	-
73	SAN DIEGO	5 768	1 332	1 329	1 330	23.1	1 235	1 232	1 233	92.7	-	-	-	-
74	SAN FRANCISCO	17 997	3 997	3 763	3 820	21.2	2 390	2 227	2 263	59.2	559	530	539	14.1
75	SAN JOSE	3 842	1 047	970	987	25.7	1 011	936	953	96.6	-	-	-	-
76	SAN LEANDRO	505	122	122	122	24.2	117	117	117	95.9	-	-	-	-
77	SAN MATEO	574	160	159	159	27.7	156	155	155	97.5	-	-	-	-
78	SANTA ANA	1 367	490	483	484	35.4	475	468	469	96.9	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 395 large city October 1976

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Total employees
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system em- ployees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system em- ployees	
Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent		Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent		Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent		Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent		
9 950	9 346	9 694	3.5	202	153	194	0.1	18 326	17 887	18 016	6.6	1 397	1 322	1 363	0.5	1
18	18	18	2.2	-	-	-	-	53	53	53	6.4	-	-	-	-	2
1	-	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	5.6	-	-	-	-	3
5	5	5	1.7	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	3.7	-	-	-	-	4
7	4	7	1.6	-	-	-	-	13	11	12	2.7	8	8	8	1.8	5
5	2	5	1.2	-	-	-	-	23	23	23	5.7	-	-	-	-	6
2	2	2	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
19	19	19	8.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
3	1	2	1.4	1	1	1	0.7	4	4	4	2.9	-	-	-	-	9
4	4	4	2.2	3	-	3	1.6	5	5	5	2.7	-	-	-	-	10
88	85	86	3.9	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	1.0	15	11	12	0.5	11
5	4	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
8	7	7	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
40	39	39	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
2	-	2	1.5	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	4.6	-	-	-	-	15
9	9	9	2.7	-	-	-	-	22	21	21	6.3	-	-	-	-	16
5	5	5	3.0	2	2	1	0.6	8	8	8	4.8	-	-	-	-	17
4	3	4	3.0	1	1	1	0.6	11	11	11	8.3	-	-	-	-	18
4	3	3	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
3	2	2	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
14	14	14	3.5	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	2.0	-	-	-	-	21
7	6	6	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
1	1	1	20.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
9	6	7	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
1	1	1	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
12	12	12	4.9	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	2.5	-	-	-	-	26
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
4	4	4	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
7	7	7	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
4	4	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
5	5	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	31
3	1	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
5	1	4	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
2	2	2	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
3	3	3	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36
14	13	13	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
3	2	2	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
2	2	2	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	2.6	39
7	7	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	3.5	-	-	-	-	40
6	5	5	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41
5	5	5	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	4.2	42
11	11	11	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
10	10	10	4.1	-	-	-	-	8	7	8	3.3	-	-	-	-	44
1	-	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
70	68	68	6.4	-	-	-	-	83	82	82	7.7	-	-	-	-	46
485	484	485	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	24	0.2	47
6	2	2	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48
4	4	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	2.8	-	-	-	-	49
-	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50
24	24	24	2.1	-	-	-	-	84	84	84	7.2	-	-	-	-	51
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
6	5	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
5	5	5	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54
6	6	6	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
16	16	16	5.6	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	2.8	-	-	-	-	56
1	-	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57
3	2	2	1.1	-	-	-	-	39	13	16	8.6	-	-	-	-	58
4	3	3	2.5	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	6.7	-	-	-	-	59
7	6	6	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
8	8	8	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
8	8	8	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
16	12	12	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64
3	3	3	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	3.1	65
7	7	7	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66
3	3	3	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67
90	90	90	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.5	68
289	285	286	7.5	67	66	66	1.7	677	640	651	17.0	15	15	15	0.4	69
36	34	34	7.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70
8	8	8	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71
4	4	4	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72
15	15	15	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	73

See footnotes at end of table.

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
6	6	6	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
6	4	4	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
21	20	20	9.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
5	5	5	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
5	4	4	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
1	-	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	4.5	-	-	-	-	6
6	6	6	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.6	7
5	4	4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
14	13	13	4.4	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	2.7	-	-	-	-	9
5	5	5	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.6	11
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
4	4	4	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
12	11	11	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
8	5	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
15	15	15	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
181	170	173	7.3	-	-	-	-	226	224	225	9.4	36	31	32	1.3	18
5	5	5	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
23	20	21	6.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	8	8	3.0	-	-	-	-	21
11	11	11	2.3	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.4	5	5	5	1.0	22
4	2	3	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	3	3	3.0	23
4	-	4	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
19	18	18	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
3	3	3	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	4.4	26
4	3	4	3.2	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.8	-	-	-	-	27
3	2	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	1.2	28
12	7	11	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
6	3	5	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	2.4	30
9	9	9	3.3	-	-	-	-	3	2	2	0.7	-	-	-	-	31
8	8	8	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
7	7	7	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.7	33
28	25	25	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
172	172	172	1.9	-	-	-	-	2 932	2 932	2 932	33.0	42	40	40	0.5	35
5	5	5	2.2	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	2.6	-	-	-	-	36
12	12	12	1.8	3	-	3	0.5	13	13	13	2.0	-	-	-	-	37
1	1	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
12	8	8	0.3	1	-	1	-	11	11	11	3.5	-	-	-	-	39
47	47	47	2.3	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	2.6	-	-	-	-	40
30	30	30	2.4	1	1	1	(2)	561	553	556	27.1	15	13	14	0.7	41
9	9	9	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
5	5	5	0.8	1	1	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
5	3	5	2.8	-	-	-	-	71	71	71	11.0	4	4	4	0.6	44
16	15	15	2.2	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	4.0	-	-	-	-	45
2	-	2	1.1	-	-	-	-	24	24	24	3.4	17	17	17	2.4	46
22	22	22	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
4	4	4	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	18	19	2.2	48
1	-	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	5.1	-	-	-	-	49
31	31	31	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50
1	1	1	0.5	3	3	3	0.1	162	162	162	7.9	11	11	11	0.5	51
26	24	25	4.1	-	-	-	-	13	10	11	5.1	4	4	4	1.9	52
2	2	2	0.7	1	1	1	0.2	64	63	64	10.4	-	-	-	-	53
2	2	2	0.7	-	-	-	-	23	23	23	8.1	-	-	-	-	54
103	103	103	5.2	-	-	-	-	11	10	10	3.3	-	-	-	-	55
7	7	7	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
2	2	2	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57
5	1	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
3	3	3	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
210	210	210	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
8	1	8	7.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
3	3	3	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City¹	Total full-time equivalent employees²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial				
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	ILLINOIS--CONTINUED														
2	DES PLAINES	379	105	105	105	27.7	103	103	103	78.1	-	-	-	-	-
3	EAST ST. LOUIS	800	158	153	158	19.7	152	152	152	96.2	-	-	-	-	-
4	ELGIN	374	127	106	108	28.9	125	104	106	98.1	1	1	1	0.9	-
5	EVANSTON	812	187	186	186	22.9	183	182	182	97.8	-	-	-	-	-
6	JOLIET	539	203	202	202	37.5	196	195	195	96.5	-	-	-	-	-
7	OAK LAWN	339	108	107	107	31.6	108	107	107	100.0	-	-	-	-	-
8	OAK PARK	602	179	178	179	29.7	176	176	176	98.3	-	-	-	-	-
9	PEORIA	945	327	327	327	34.6	317	317	317	96.9	-	-	-	-	-
10	ROCKFORD	1 132	306	305	305	26.9	291	291	291	95.4	-	-	-	-	-
11	ROCK ISLAND	423	97	99	99	23.3	96	95	95	96.0	2	2	2	2.0	-
12	SKOKIE	512	140	134	140	27.3	132	132	132	94.3	-	-	-	-	-
13	SPRINGFIELD	512	239	239	239	26.2	225	225	225	94.1	-	-	-	-	-
14	WAUKEGAN	507	150	146	150	29.6	146	146	146	97.3	-	-	-	-	-
15	INDIANA:														
16	ANDERSON	710	175	169	169	23.8	158	152	153	90.5	6	3	5	3.0	-
17	EVANSVILLE	2 032	403	392	399	19.6	392	388	388	97.2	-	-	-	-	-
18	FORT WAYNE	1 586	379	370	378	23.8	371	369	370	97.9	-	-	-	-	-
19	GARY	1 922	510	508	509	26.5	437	437	437	85.9	50	50	50	9.8	-
20	HAMMOND	1 085	251	242	244	22.5	213	213	213	87.3	28	21	22	9.0	-
21	INDIANAPOLIS	11 287	2 828	2 668	2 764	24.5	1 720	1 704	1 707	61.8	516	415	473	17.1	-
22	MUNCIE	515	161	153	157	30.5	148	143	144	91.7	9	8	9	5.7	-
23	SOUTH BEND	1 244	304	300	302	24.3	296	296	296	98.0	-	-	-	-	-
24	TERRE HAUTE	566	145	142	145	25.6	134	134	134	92.4	6	5	6	4.1	-
25	IOWA:														
26	CEDAR RAPIDS	985	188	180	184	18.7	179	176	176	95.7	-	-	-	-	-
27	COUNCIL BLUFFS	509	160	148	152	29.9	143	142	142	93.4	-	-	-	-	-
28	DAVENPORT	764	155	155	155	20.5	147	146	146	94.2	-	-	-	-	-
29	DES MOINES	2 066	429	424	424	20.5	385	377	380	89.6	-	-	-	-	-
30	DUBUQUE	503	88	88	88	17.5	84	84	84	95.8	-	-	-	-	-
31	ST LOUIS CITY	861	158	156	156	18.1	147	145	145	92.9	-	-	-	-	-
32	WATERLOO	655	160	158	160	24.4	156	156	156	97.5	-	-	-	-	-
33	KANSAS:														
34	KANSAS CITY	1 976	473	467	471	23.8	418	418	418	88.7	11	11	11	2.3	-
35	OVERLAND PARK	287	138	130	133	46.3	118	115	116	87.2	14	9	11	8.3	-
36	TOPEKA	1 574	316	303	308	19.6	279	273	275	89.3	7	5	6	1.9	-
37	WICHITA	2 766	601	594	597	21.6	548	548	548	91.8	36	31	32	5.4	-
38	KENTUCKY:														
39	COVINGTON	402	121	120	125	29.9	111	110	110	91.7	3	3	3	2.5	-
40	LEXINGTON	2 527	654	616	631	25.0	433	416	423	67.0	86	80	82	13.0	-
41	LOUISVILLE	4 935	1 199	1 166	1 176	23.8	1 114	1 092	1 099	93.5	41	38	39	3.3	-
42	OWENSBORO	1 465	118	114	114	7.0	108	106	106	93.0	6	6	6	5.3	-
43	LOUISIANA:														
44	BATON ROUGE	3 058	1 424	1 352	1 389	45.4	894	860	868	62.5	332	303	329	23.7	-
45	LAFAYETTE	905	157	141	149	16.5	136	130	131	87.9	19	11	16	10.7	-
46	LAKE CHARLES	765	160	134	158	20.7	141	128	141	87.2	15	8	13	8.2	-
47	MONROE	876	162	148	162	18.5	129	129	129	79.6	12	8	12	7.4	-
48	NEW ORLEANS	9 362	3 295	3 214	3 228	34.5	1 930	1 906	1 908	59.1	742	725	731	22.6	-
49	SHREVEPORT	2 394	534	440	534	22.3	469	87	469	87.8	33	24	33	6.2	-
50	MAINE:														
51	PORTLAND	2 595	215	212	212	8.2	206	203	203	95.8	-	-	-	-	-
52	MARYLAND:														
53	BALTIMORE	38 921	5 080	5 080	5 080	13.1	3 889	3 889	3 889	76.6	401	401	401	7.9	-
54	MASSACHUSETTS:														
55	BOSTON	24 647	4 455	4 451	4 452	18.1	2 892	2 892	2 892	65.0	894	890	891	20.0	-
56	BROCKTON	3 055	244	240	242	7.9	240	238	238	98.3	-	-	-	-	-
57	CAMBRIDGE	3 555	327	325	325	9.1	318	316	316	97.2	-	-	-	-	-
58	CHICOPEE	1 578	131	124	129	8.2	126	123	124	96.1	-	-	-	-	-
59	FALL RIVER	2 986	363	345	354	11.9	327	317	319	90.1	-	-	-	-	-
60	HOLYOKE	1 379	152	148	149	10.8	147	145	145	97.3	-	-	-	-	-
61	LAWRENCE	1 759	170	170	170	9.7	167	167	167	98.2	-	-	-	-	-
62	LOWELL	2 002	231	227	229	11.4	213	209	211	92.1	-	-	-	-	-
63	LYNN	2 594	203	203	203	7.8	187	187	187	92.1	-	-	-	-	-
64	MALDEN	1 371	135	129	131	9.6	131	127	128	97.7	-	-	-	-	-
65	MEDFORD	1 599	137	136	136	8.5	134	133	133	97.8	-	-	-	-	-
66	NEW BEDFORD	3 035	330	324	328	10.8	318	316	316	96.3	-	-	-	-	-
67	NEWTON	2 960	262	261	261	8.8	254	253	253	96.9	-	-	-	-	-
68	PITTSFIELD	1 865	101	99	101	5.4	98	98	98	97.0	-	-	-	-	-
69	QUINCY	3 934	277	271	272	6.9	272	266	267	98.2	-	-	-	-	-
70	SOMERVILLE	1 772	182	182	182	10.3	164	164	164	90.1	-	-	-	-	-
71	SPRINGFIELD	6 674	507	500	501	7.5	485	478	479	95.6	-	-	-	-	-
72	WALTHAM	1 738	155	155	155	8.9	150	150	150	96.8	-	-	-	-	-
73	WORCESTER	6 906	579	579	579	8.4	560	560	560	96.7	-	-	-	-	-

**distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 395 large city
October 1976—Continued**

[illegible]

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees		Percent of total full-time equivalent employees		Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
			Total	Full-time only			Total	Full-time only			Total	Full-time only		
1	MICHIGAN:													
2	ANN ARBOR	1 048	233	214	221	21.1	184	180	181	81.9	31	22	27	12.2
3	DEARBORN	1 259	290	279	284	22.6	254	247	249	87.7	19	17	19	6.7
4	DEARBORN HEIGHTS	1 555	132	122	143	46.9	118	118	118	82.5	15	10	15	10.5
5	DETROIT	15 930	6 290	6 263	6 290	39.5	5 561	5 561	5 561	88.4	477	450	477	7.6
6	FARMINGTON HILLS	1 224	85	75	75	38.7	75	75	75	100.0	-	-	-	-
7	FLINT	3 632	495	488	494	13.6	421	420	420	85.0	42	36	42	8.5
8	GRAND RAPIDS	1 824	495	453	466	25.5	386	363	367	78.8	60	54	59	12.7
9	KALAMAZOO	871	251	242	247	28.4	204	203	203	82.2	31	23	28	11.3
10	LANSING	1 535	466	403	416	27.1	318	317	317	76.2	42	37	42	10.1
11	LINCOLN PARK	267	95	80	87	32.6	77	76	76	87.4	11	3	8	9.2
12	LIVONIA	716	198	184	189	26.4	168	161	162	87.7	17	12	15	7.9
13	PONTIAC	2 785	323	316	321	11.5	266	265	265	82.6	33	29	33	10.3
14	ROSEVILLE	343	116	114	116	33.8	99	99	99	85.3	17	15	17	14.7
15	ROYAL OAK	458	147	131	135	29.5	111	111	111	82.2	16	14	16	11.9
16	SAGINAW	1 135	256	253	254	22.4	245	242	243	95.7	-	-	-	-
17	SOUTHFIELD	645	233	221	226	35.0	185	180	181	80.1	31	26	30	13.3
18	ST. CLAIR SHORES	444	113	113	118	26.6	107	107	107	90.7	10	6	9	7.6
19	STERLING HEIGHTS	509	194	189	193	37.9	170	170	170	88.1	22	18	21	10.9
20	TAYLOR	418	119	113	117	28.0	107	107	107	91.5	10	6	8	6.8
21	WARREN	1 126	334	327	331	29.4	284	284	284	85.8	38	33	37	11.2
22	WESTLAND	340	124	122	124	36.5	104	104	104	83.9	17	15	17	13.7
23	WYOMING	362	127	115	119	32.9	109	103	106	89.1	17	11	12	10.1
24	MINNESOTA:													
25	BLOOMINGTON	421	120	117	117	27.8	113	111	111	94.9	-	-	-	-
26	DULUTH	907	177	177	177	19.5	164	164	164	92.7	-	-	-	-
27	MINNEAPOLIS	4 899	1 002	1 000	1 000	20.4	929	929	929	92.9	-	-	-	-
28	ROCHESTER	457	109	108	108	23.6	104	103	103	95.4	-	-	-	-
29	ST. PAUL	2 966	730	712	715	24.1	673	656	659	92.2	-	-	-	-
30	MISSISSIPPI:													
31	BILOXI	478	93	91	92	19.2	89	89	89	96.7	3	2	3	3.3
32	JACKSON	2 423	501	491	495	20.4	434	429	430	86.9	21	18	20	4.0
33	MISSOURI:													
34	COLUMBIA	523	120	112	113	21.6	108	101	102	90.3	6	5	5	4.4
35	FLORISSANT	172	80	75	78	45.3	73	73	73	93.6	5	2	3	3.8
36	INDEPENDENCE	816	216	208	213	26.1	196	194	195	91.5	12	11	11	5.2
37	KANSAS CITY	5 977	1 951	1 951	1 951	32.7	1 725	1 718	1 718	87.8	79	79	79	4.0
38	ST. JOSEPH	681	166	166	166	24.4	157	157	157	94.6	3	3	3	1.8
39	ST. LOUIS	12 857	3 940	3 940	3 940	30.6	2 768	2 768	2 768	70.3	459	459	459	11.6
40	SPRINGFIELD	1 166	240	239	240	20.6	221	221	221	92.1	8	8	8	3.3
41	MONTANA:													
42	BILLINGS	653	133	133	133	20.4	127	127	127	95.5	6	6	6	4.5
43	GREAT FALLS	482	99	98	99	20.5	92	92	92	92.9	3	2	3	3.0
44	NEBRASKA:													
45	LINCOLN	2 295	388	379	381	16.6	288	286	286	75.1	52	47	48	12.6
46	OMAHA	3 100	786	784	785	25.3	679	679	679	86.5	66	65	65	8.3
47	NEVADA:													
48	LAS VEGAS	1 111	60	60	60	5.4	-	-	-	-	35	35	35	58.3
49	RENO	890	339	339	339	38.1	289	289	289	85.3	21	21	21	6.2
50	NEW HAMPSHIRE:													
51	MANCHESTER	2 388	213	211	213	8.9	185	184	185	86.9	15	15	15	7.0
52	NASHUA	1 530	165	160	161	10.5	141	141	141	87.6	9	8	8	5.0
53	NEW JERSEY:													
54	BAYONNE	1 836	231	229	230	12.5	207	207	207	90.0	10	8	9	3.9
55	BLOOMFIELD	478	142	142	142	29.7	131	131	131	92.3	7	7	7	4.9
56	CAMDEN	1 753	486	486	486	27.7	441	441	441	90.7	24	24	24	4.9
57	CLIFTON	1 449	223	167	183	12.6	209	157	170	92.9	9	8	8	4.4
58	EAST ORANGE	2 107	325	324	324	15.4	274	274	274	84.6	33	33	33	10.2
59	ELIZABETH	2 807	386	386	386	13.8	345	345	345	89.4	10	10	10	2.6
60	IRVINGTON	688	216	206	214	31.1	189	185	187	87.4	15	14	15	7.0
61	JERSEY CITY	7 724	1 220	1 209	1 213	15.7	1 141	1 141	1 141	94.1	59	49	53	4.4
62	NEWARK	18 057	1 618	1 618	1 618	9.0	1 519	1 519	1 519	93.9	68	68	68	4.2
63	PASSAIC	463	175	175	175	37.8	151	151	151	86.3	8	8	8	4.6
64	ROCKAWAY	4 099	515	512	513	12.5	462	463	463	90.3	23	20	21	4.1
65	UNION CITY	1 807	468	468	468	25.9	436	436	436	93.2	21	21	21	4.5
66	VINELAND	895	199	192	194	21.7	181	174	176	90.7	13	13	13	6.7
67	NEW MEXICO:													
68	ALBUQUERQUE	3 407	894	894	894	26.2	686	686	686	76.7	67	67	67	7.5
69	NEW YORK:													
70	ALBANY	2 552	494	459	481	18.8	439	432	434	90.2	36	21	28	5.8
71	BINGHAMTON	1 855	184	178	180	9.7	159	159	159	88.3	19	13	15	8.3
72	BUFFALO	12 314	1 444	1 444	1 444	11.7	1 305	1 305	1 305	90.4	87	87	87	6.0
73	MOUNT VERNON	842	240	240	240	28.5	215	215	215	89.6	17	17	17	7.1

See footnotes at end of table.

distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 395 large city October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
8	6	6	2.7	-	-	-	-	10	6	7	3.2	-	-	-	-	1
10	8	9	3.2	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	2.5	-	-	-	-	2
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	4	10	7.0	-	-	-	-	3
97	97	97	1.5	-	-	-	-	155	155	155	2.5	-	-	-	-	4
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
4	4	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	28	28	28	5.7	-	-	-	-	6
17	17	17	3.6	-	-	-	-	26	13	17	3.6	6	6	6	1.3	7
10	10	10	4.0	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	2.4	-	-	-	-	8
9	9	9	2.2	-	-	-	-	97	40	48	11.5	-	-	-	-	9
2	-	2	2.3	-	-	-	-	5	1	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	10
7	7	7	3.7	-	-	-	-	6	4	5	2.6	-	-	-	-	11
16	14	15	4.7	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	2.5	-	-	-	-	12
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
3	3	3	2.2	-	-	-	-	17	3	5	3.7	-	-	-	-	14
11	11	11	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
8	7	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	9	8	8	3.5	-	-	-	-	16
2	-	2	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
1	-	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	18
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	1.7	-	-	-	-	19
12	10	10	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.4	-	-	-	-	21
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.8	-	-	-	-	22
7	6	6	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
13	13	13	7.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
50	49	49	4.9	-	-	-	-	23	22	22	2.2	-	-	-	-	25
5	5	5	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
35	35	35	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	21	21	2.9	27
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
15	13	14	2.8	-	-	-	-	31	31	31	6.3	-	-	-	-	29
6	6	6	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
2	3	3	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31
8	7	7	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
52	53	53	2.7	-	-	-	-	106	106	106	5.4	-	-	-	-	33
6	6	6	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
141	141	141	3.6	-	-	-	-	568	568	568	14.4	4	4	4	0.1	35
8	7	8	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	1.2	36
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	4.0	-	-	-	-	38
20	18	19	5.0	-	-	-	-	28	28	28	7.3	-	-	-	-	39
34	33	34	4.3	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.3	5	5	5	0.6	40
25	25	25	41.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41
13	13	13	3.8	-	-	-	-	16	16	16	4.7	-	-	-	-	42
5	4	5	2.3	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	3.8	-	-	-	-	43
1	1	1	0.6	-	-	-	-	10	9	9	5.6	4	1	2	1.2	44
13	13	13	5.7	1	1	1	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
4	4	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
21	21	21	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
5	2	5	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48
9	9	9	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	8	8	2.5	49
10	10	10	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	21	21	5.4	50
12	7	12	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
16	15	15	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	0.3	52
31	31	31	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
4	4	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	6.9	54
10	10	10	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	19	19	3.7	55
7	7	7	1.5	1	1	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	0.6	56
5	5	5	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57
5	-	4	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
35	35	35	3.9	-	-	-	-	106	106	106	11.9	-	-	-	-	59
19	6	19	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
6	6	6	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
55	52	52	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
8	8	8	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system			Police protection			Judicial		
			Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees		
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent
1	NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
2	NEW ROCHELLE	959	261	249	253	26.4	235	224	228	90.1	19
3	NEW YORK CITY	258 042	50 771	50 768	50 769	19.7	36 929	36 929	36 929	72.7	5 243
4	NIAGARA FALLS	1 062	225	214	223	21.0	197	197	197	88.3	19
5	ROCHESTER	8 575	876	875	875	10.2	767	766	766	87.5	73
6	ROME	763	84	76	79	10.4	71	67	68	86.1	8
7	SCHENECTADY	825	187	177	183	22.2	167	163	164	89.6	11
8	SYRACUSE	5 759	608	596	605	10.5	532	531	532	87.9	55
9	TROY	647	171	164	168	26.0	155	151	152	90.5	8
10	UTICA	891	242	233	240	26.9	207	204	205	85.4	25
11	WHITE PLAINS	992	274	255	265	26.7	236	227	233	87.9	26
	YONKERS	4 312	586	583	583	13.5	509	506	506	86.8	44
12	NORTH CAROLINA:										
13	ASHEVILLE	991	153	151	151	15.2	143	143	143	94.7	-
14	CHARLOTTE	3 528	675	669	673	19.1	662	657	660	98.1	-
15	DURHAM	1 317	338	335	336	25.5	330	327	328	97.6	-
16	FAYETTEVILLE	798	177	175	176	22.1	176	174	175	99.4	-
17	GREENSBORO	1 677	471	469	470	25.0	464	463	464	98.7	-
18	HIGH POINT	1 024	166	166	166	16.2	163	163	163	98.2	-
19	RALEIGH	1 677	381	379	379	22.6	375	373	373	98.4	-
20	WILMINGTON	667	115	113	114	17.1	113	112	112	98.2	-
	WINSTON-SALEM	2 023	403	403	403	19.9	399	399	399	99.0	-
21	NORTH DAKOTA:										
	FARGO	480	118	113	113	23.5	109	104	104	92.0	4
22	OHIO:										
23	AKRON	2 779	654	643	649	23.4	520	520	520	80.1	85
24	CANTON	1 213	310	286	309	25.5	241	239	240	77.7	44
25	CINCINNATI	9 764	2 042	1 888	1 947	19.9	1 566	1 429	1 485	76.3	211
26	CLEVELAND	8 511	2 913	2 848	2 878	33.8	2 499	2 461	2 471	85.9	243
27	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	434	126	120	123	28.3	100	100	100	81.3	15
28	COLUMBUS	5 378	1 719	1 704	1 718	31.9	1 358	1 357	1 357	79.0	224
	DAYTON	2 916	827	814	820	28.1	630	624	626	76.3	86
29	ELYRIA	447	123	111	122	27.3	83	83	83	68.0	25
30	EUCLID	642	142	133	139	21.7	120	118	119	85.6	13
31	HAMILTON	563	148	140	146	25.9	123	123	123	84.2	17
32	KETTERING	353	120	111	118	33.4	83	83	83	70.3	23
33	LAKEWOOD	1 408	115	103	108	7.7	95	87	89	82.4	15
34	LIMA	449	155	130	146	32.5	108	99	102	69.9	28
35	LORAIN	702	146	136	141	20.1	101	97	100	70.9	23
36	MANSFIELD	557	165	151	160	28.7	113	113	113	70.6	36
37	PARMA	536	154	132	143	26.7	105	101	102	71.3	38
38	SPRINGFIELD	697	198	190	195	28.0	151	151	151	77.4	28
39	TOLEDO	3 595	1 020	1 019	1 019	28.3	825	825	825	81.0	115
40	WARREN	489	165	161	165	33.7	109	108	109	66.1	35
41	YOUNGSTOWN	1 462	424	416	423	28.9	323	321	322	76.1	54
42	OKLAHOMA:										
43	LAWTON	676	157	149	156	23.1	133	130	132	84.6	13
44	MIDWEST	819	102	98	101	12.3	87	86	86	85.1	3
45	NORMAN	1 045	125	120	122	11.7	113	110	111	91.0	8
46	OKLAHOMA CITY	3 510	857	857	857	24.4	713	713	713	83.2	53
	TULSA	3 039	1 016	897	921	30.3	894	776	800	86.9	48
47	OREGON:										
48	EUGENE	904	228	227	227	25.1	213	212	212	93.4	15
49	PORTLAND	4 068	895	888	891	21.9	857	853	854	95.8	-
	SALEM	912	191	183	183	20.1	171	167	167	91.3	8
50	PENNSYLVANIA:										
51	ALLENTOWN	855	216	212	214	25.0	210	207	209	97.7	-
52	ALTOONA	458	119	118	118	25.8	115	115	115	97.5	-
53	BETHLEHEM	694	169	167	167	24.1	165	163	163	97.6	-
54	CHESTER	569	176	176	176	30.9	169	169	169	96.0	-
55	ERIE	1 075	259	259	259	24.1	241	241	241	93.1	9
	HARRISBURG	772	196	195	195	25.3	193	192	192	98.5	-
56	LANCASTER	565	144	144	144	25.5	144	144	144	100.0	-
57	PHILADELPHIA	36 405	15 799	15 799	15 799	43.4	10 161	10 161	10 161	64.3	3 214
58	PITTSBURGH	5 303	1 484	1 484	1 484	28.0	1 422	1 422	1 422	95.8	30
59	READING	732	205	205	205	28.0	196	196	196	95.6	-
60	SCRANTON	759	207	207	207	27.3	200	200	200	96.6	-
61	WILKES-BARRE	575	105	105	105	18.3	101	101	101	96.2	-
62	YORK	504	129	127	129	25.6	126	126	126	97.7	-
63	RHODE ISLAND:										
64	CRANSTON	1 877	161	142	151	8.0	149	141	143	94.7	1
65	PAWUCKET	1 683	180	180	186	11.1	176	176	176	94.6	4
66	PROVIDENCE	4 453	515	514	514	11.5	484	483	483	94.0	22
	WARWICK	2 535	223	218	223	8.8	209	209	209	93.7	1

See footnotes at end of table.

distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 395 large city
October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
7	7	7	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
2 735	2 735	2 735	5.4	-	-	-	-	5 080	5 080	5 080	10.0	784	781	782	1.5	2
9	9	9	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
31	31	31	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	0.6	4
5	5	5	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
9	9	9	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
13	13	13	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	5	6	1.0	7
8	8	8	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
10	10	10	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
12	12	12	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
19	19	19	3.3	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	1.7	4	4	4	0.7	11
3	3	3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
13	13	13	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	6	6	4.0	13
8	8	8	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
1	1	1	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
7	7	7	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
3	3	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
6	6	6	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
2	2	2	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
4	4	4	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
5	5	5	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
24	24	24	3.2	-	-	-	-	25	23	24	3.7	-	-	-	-	22
22	22	22	7.1	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	1.0	-	-	-	-	23
106	106	106	4.8	-	-	-	-	159	159	159	8.2	-	-	-	-	24
52	52	52	1.6	-	-	-	-	119	117	118	4.1	-	-	-	-	25
7	7	7	4.1	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	3.3	-	-	-	-	26
61	61	61	3.6	-	-	-	-	76	76	76	4.4	-	-	-	-	27
24	24	24	2.9	-	-	-	-	87	83	85	10.4	-	-	-	-	28
12	12	12	9.0	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.5	-	-	-	-	29
9	9	9	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
6	6	6	3.4	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	31
7	7	7	5.9	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	5.9	-	-	-	-	32
5	5	5	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
9	9	9	6.2	3	1	2	1.4	7	7	7	4.8	-	-	-	-	34
18	18	18	11.3	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	35
10	10	10	6.3	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	3.7	-	-	-	-	36
11	11	11	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
14	14	14	7.2	-	-	-	-	5	4	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	38
25	25	25	2.4	-	-	-	-	55	55	55	5.4	-	-	-	-	39
8	8	8	4.8	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	3.6	7	6	7	4.2	40
21	21	21	5.0	-	-	-	-	26	26	26	6.1	-	-	-	-	41
5	5	5	3.2	2	2	2	1.3	4	4	4	2.6	-	-	-	-	42
4	4	4	2.0	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	9.9	-	-	-	-	43
33	33	33	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44
33	33	33	3.9	-	-	-	-	23	23	23	2.7	35	35	35	4.1	45
33	33	33	3.5	-	-	-	-	41	41	41	4.5	-	-	-	-	46
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
19	19	19	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	16	18	2.0	48
12	12	12	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49
6	6	6	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50
4	4	4	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
4	4	4	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
7	7	7	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
8	8	8	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.4	54
3	3	3	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
461	461	461	2.9	-	-	-	-	1 963	1 963	1 963	12.4	-	-	-	-	57
32	32	32	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
9	9	9	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
7	7	7	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
4	4	4	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
3	3	3	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
4	4	4	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	1	3	2.0	63
4	4	4	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	2.2	64
9	9	9	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65
4	4	4	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	8	9	4.0	66

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments.

Line number	City ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial				
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	SOUTH CAROLINA:														
2	CHARLESTON	1 044	239	225	232	22.2	228	218	223	96.1	5	5	5	2.2	
3	COLUMBIA	1 319	328	324	325	24.6	263	261	262	80.6	25	25	25	7.7	
4	GREENVILLE	720	165	165	165	22.9	155	155	155	93.9	6	6	6	3.6	
5	SOUTH DAKOTA:														
6	SIOUX FALLS	669	136	133	136	20.3	133	133	133	97.8	-	-	-	-	
7	TENNESSEE:														
8	CHATTANOOGA	5 478	528	528	528	9.6	508	508	508	96.2	18	18	18	3.4	
9	KNOXVILLE	5 274	505	500	503	9.5	444	439	442	87.9	7	7	7	1.4	
10	MEMPHIS	19 030	1 738	1 718	1 722	9.0	1 526	1 516	1 518	88.2	69	62	63	3.7	
11	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	16 042	1 797	1 745	1 762	11.0	1 151	1 151	1 151	65.3	329	318	320	18.2	
12	TEXAS:														
13	ABILENE	876	165	165	165	18.8	147	147	147	89.1	7	7	7	4.2	
14	AMARILLO	1 274	332	332	332	26.3	295	295	295	88.9	20	20	20	6.0	
15	ARLINGTON	1 745	215	215	215	28.9	194	194	194	90.2	6	6	6	2.8	
16	AUSTIN	5 201	738	717	727	14.0	665	664	665	91.5	51	34	42	5.8	
17	BEAUMONT	959	299	283	288	30.0	270	255	260	90.3	14	13	13	4.5	
18	BROWNSVILLE	711	118	116	117	16.5	109	108	109	93.2	5	5	5	4.3	
19	CORPUS CHRISTI	2 372	501	501	501	21.1	428	428	428	85.4	28	28	28	5.6	
20	DALLAS	10 674	2 658	2 652	2 652	24.9	2 349	2 349	2 349	88.5	140	134	136	5.1	
21	EL PASO	3 383	789	789	789	23.3	737	737	737	93.4	37	37	37	4.7	
22	FORT WORTH	4 031	1 022	1 001	1 006	25.0	923	902	907	90.2	53	53	53	5.3	
23	GALVESTON	961	190	154	167	17.4	164	134	145	86.8	11	11	11	6.6	
24	GARLAND	840	192	181	184	21.9	172	162	165	89.7	5	5	5	2.7	
25	GRAND PRAIRIE	389	101	100	101	26.0	91	91	91	90.1	6	5	6	5.9	
26	HOUSTON	14 030	3 740	3 725	3 740	26.7	3 436	3 436	3 436	91.9	166	151	166	4.4	
27	IRVING	814	183	182	182	22.4	157	157	157	86.3	9	8	8	4.4	
28	LAREDO	843	96	96	96	11.4	83	83	83	86.5	11	11	11	11.5	
29	LUBBOCK	1 320	328	328	328	24.8	269	269	269	82.0	44	44	44	13.4	
30	MESQUITE	497	145	145	145	29.2	138	138	138	95.2	3	3	3	2.1	
31	MIDLAND	575	165	142	147	25.6	152	131	136	92.5	9	8	8	5.4	
32	ODESSA	531	160	160	160	30.1	138	138	138	86.2	12	12	12	7.5	
33	PASADENA	613	199	199	199	32.5	178	178	178	89.4	13	13	13	6.5	
34	PORT ARTHUR	665	119	114	118	17.7	107	106	107	90.7	4	4	4	3.4	
35	RICHARDSON	513	103	101	102	19.9	96	96	96	94.1	7	6	6	5.9	
36	SAN ANGELO	590	164	164	164	27.8	144	144	144	87.8	12	12	12	7.3	
37	SAN ANTONIO	6 866	1 671	1 671	1 671	24.3	1 579	1 579	1 579	94.5	58	58	58	3.5	
38	TYLER	587	129	127	127	21.6	117	115	115	90.6	7	7	7	5.5	
39	WACO	1 345	245	245	245	18.2	226	226	226	92.2	6	6	6	2.4	
40	WICHITA FALLS	1 171	182	182	182	15.5	156	156	156	85.7	14	14	14	7.7	
41	UTAH:														
42	OGDEN	528	176	164	170	32.2	138	138	138	81.2	32	25	26	15.3	
43	PROVO	354	81	73	74	20.9	67	61	62	83.8	8	8	8	10.8	
44	SALT LAKE CITY	1 982	634	556	569	28.7	565	487	500	87.9	51	51	51	9.0	
45	VIRGINIA:														
46	ALEXANDRIA	2 953	413	391	402	13.6	286	284	284	70.6	55	36	47	11.7	
47	CHESAPEAKE	4 092	378	343	350	8.6	223	223	223	63.7	27	26	26	7.4	
48	HAMPTON	4 027	298	287	293	7.3	199	198	198	67.6	13	13	13	4.4	
49	LYNCHBURG	2 066	254	243	249	12.1	179	179	179	71.9	1	1	1	0.4	
50	NEWPORT NEWS	5 259	478	478	478	9.1	270	270	270	56.5	52	52	52	10.9	
51	NORFOLK	10 580	989	845	891	8.4	705	705	705	79.1	54	36	42	4.7	
52	PORTSMOUTH	3 942	375	375	375	9.5	254	254	254	67.7	38	38	38	10.1	
53	RICHMOND	10 377	1 042	1 042	1 042	10.0	694	694	694	66.6	90	90	90	8.6	
54	ROANOKE	3 606	372	372	376	10.4	225	224	224	59.6	47	47	47	12.5	
55	VIRGINIA BEACH	7 449	584	580	582	7.8	631	431	431	74.1	52	50	51	8.8	
56	WASHINGTON:														
57	BELLEVUE	529	144	133	136	25.7	119	114	115	84.6	5	3	4	2.9	
58	EVERETT	431	111	104	108	25.1	105	102	104	96.3	-	-	-	-	
59	SEATTLE	6 614	1 532	1 508	1 512	22.9	1 340	1 340	1 340	88.6	120	104	106	7.0	
60	SPOKANE	1 671	345	344	344	20.6	308	307	307	89.2	16	16	16	4.7	
61	TACOMA	2 184	391	390	390	17.9	327	326	326	83.6	23	23	23	5.9	
62	WEST VIRGINIA:														
63	CHARLESTOWN	1 040	204	204	204	19.6	194	194	194	95.1	8	8	8	3.9	
64	HUNTINGTON	803	184	181	182	22.7	176	176	176	96.7	4	2	3	1.6	
65	WISCONSIN:														
66	APPLETON	1 398	110	107	107	7.7	107	106	106	99.1	-	-	-	-	
67	GREEN BAY	2 761	195	190	194	7.0	186	184	185	95.4	4	4	4	2.1	
68	KENOSHA	666	179	179	179	26.9	171	171	171	95.5	3	3	3	1.7	
69	LA CROSSE	1 128	102	98	102	9.0	98	98	98	96.1	1	-	1	1.0	
70	MADISON	4 437	379	377	378	8.5	363	362	362	95.8	-	-	-	-	
71	MILWAUKEE	8 885	2 407	2 405	2 405	27.1	2 329	2 327	2 327	96.8	19	19	19	0.8	
72	OSHKOSH	1 421	99	98	99	7.0	95	95	95	96.0	-	-	-	-	
73	RACINE	1 006	344	344	344	34.2	333	333	333	96.8	2	2	2	0.6	
74	WAUWATOSA	1 262	125	116	118	9.4	120	113	114	96.6	3	1	2	1.7	
75	WEST ALLIS	1 707	159	156	158	9.3	153	153	153	96.8	1	-	1	0.6	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. ² Less than half the unit of measurement shown. ³ Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations. ⁴ Employees of city-operated utilities are excluded.

distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 395 large city October 1976—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
6	2	4	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
6	5	5	1.5	-	-	-	-	34	33	33	10.2	-	-	-	-	2
4	4	4	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
3	-	3	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
2	2	2	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
18	18	18	3.6	-	-	-	-	36	36	36	7.2	-	-	-	-	6
37	37	37	2.1	-	-	-	-	106	103	104	6.0	-	-	-	-	7
62	21	36	2.0	22	22	22	1.2	228	228	228	12.9	5	5	5	0.3	8
6	6	6	3.6	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	2.4	1	1	1	0.6	9
8	8	8	2.4	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	2.7	-	-	-	-	10
11	11	11	5.1	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	1.9	-	-	-	-	11
22	19	20	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
8	8	8	2.8	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	2.4	-	-	-	-	13
4	3	3	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
16	16	16	3.2	-	-	-	-	29	29	29	5.8	-	-	-	-	15
58	58	58	2.2	-	-	-	-	109	109	109	4.1	2	2	2	0.1	16
15	15	15	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
20	20	20	2.0	-	-	-	-	26	26	26	2.6	-	-	-	-	18
3	3	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	12	6	8	4.8	-	-	-	-	19
5	4	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	5.4	-	-	-	-	20
4	4	4	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
68	68	68	1.8	-	-	-	-	70	70	70	1.9	-	-	-	-	22
5	5	5	2.7	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	6.6	-	-	-	-	23
2	2	2	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
11	11	11	3.4	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	1.2	-	-	-	-	25
4	4	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
4	3	3	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
5	5	5	3.1	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	3.1	-	-	-	-	28
8	8	8	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
5	3	4	3.4	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.5	-	-	-	-	30
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31
3	3	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	3.0	-	-	-	-	32
34	34	34	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
5	5	5	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
7	7	7	2.9	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	2.4	-	-	-	-	35
6	6	6	3.3	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	3.3	-	-	-	-	36
6	1	6	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
6	4	4	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
18	18	18	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
22	22	22	5.5	-	-	-	-	50	49	49	12.2	-	-	-	-	40
10	10	10	2.9	-	-	-	-	118	84	91	26.0	-	-	-	-	41
17	7	13	4.4	-	-	-	-	58	58	58	19.8	11	11	11	3.8	42
11	11	11	4.4	-	-	-	-	63	52	58	23.3	-	-	-	-	43
17	17	17	3.6	1	1	1	0.2	135	135	135	28.2	3	3	3	0.6	44
51	27	39	4.4	-	-	-	-	179	77	105	11.8	-	-	-	-	45
19	19	19	5.1	-	-	-	-	64	64	64	17.1	-	-	-	-	46
36	36	36	3.5	-	-	-	-	222	222	222	21.3	-	-	-	-	47
15	15	15	4.0	-	-	-	-	97	86	90	23.9	-	-	-	-	48
25	24	24	4.1	-	-	-	-	76	75	76	13.1	-	-	-	-	49
10	8	8	5.9	-	-	-	-	10	8	9	6.6	-	-	-	-	50
6	2	4	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
44	42	42	2.8	-	-	-	-	28	22	24	1.6	-	-	-	-	52
20	20	20	5.8	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.3	-	-	-	-	53
20	20	20	5.1	-	-	-	-	21	21	21	5.4	-	-	-	-	54
2	2	2	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
4	3	3	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
3	1	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57
5	2	5	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
5	5	5	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
3	-	3	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
16	15	16	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
59	59	59	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
4	3	4	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
9	9	9	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64
2	2	2	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65
5	3	4	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	1 488 789	361 805	24.3	301 857	83.4	22 472	6.2
ALABAMA:							
BIRMINGHAM	3 084	960	31.1	841	87.6	31	3.3
GADSDEN	497	87	17.4	79	91.5	2	2.0
HUNTSVILLE	1 892	269	14.2	247	91.9	8	3.0
MOBILE	1 762	382	21.7	343	89.8	11	2.9
MONTGOMERY	1 533	348	22.7	315	90.7	11	3.2
TUSCALOOSA	1 631	188	11.5	179	95.0	6	3.3
ALASKA:							
ANCHORAGE	10 091	525	5.2	485	92.5	-	-
ARIZONA:							
GLENDAL	528	169	32.1	156	92.1	5	2.8
MESA	758	211	27.8	192	91.0	7	3.2
PHOENIX	8 726	3 289	37.7	3 027	92.0	103	3.1
SCOTTSDALE	911	196	21.5	183	93.1	6	2.8
TEMPE	743	197	26.6	179	90.8	7	3.8
TUCSON	3 979	870	21.9	791	90.9	25	2.9
ARKANSAS:							
FORT SMITH	484	110	22.8	96	87.5	8	7.0
LITTLE ROCK	1 290	287	22.2	250	87.3	9	3.3
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	502	144	28.6	125	87.0	7	4.7
PINE BLUFF	329	98	29.8	82	84.1	6	6.5
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	671	192	28.6	187	97.1	-	-
ALHAMBRA	519	159	30.6	156	98.4	-	-
ANAHEIM	2 369	667	28.2	632	94.7	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	902	293	32.5	280	95.7	-	-
BELLFLOWER	82	4	5.0	2	51.9	-	-
BERKELEY	1 966	415	21.1	404	97.2	-	-
BUENA PARK	458	172	37.6	170	98.7	-	-
BURBANK	1 413	352	24.9	325	92.5	-	-
CARSON	331	4	1.2	4	100.0	-	-
CHULA VISTA	687	177	25.7	170	96.3	-	-
COMPTON	773	348	45.0	335	96.4	3	0.9
CONCORD	719	273	38.0	266	97.3	-	-
COSTA MESA	749	269	35.9	257	95.7	-	-
DALY CITY	553	172	31.2	169	98.2	-	-
DOWNNEY	634	213	33.5	213	100.0	-	-
EL CAJON	513	162	31.5	158	97.7	-	-
EL MONTE	464	194	41.9	190	97.7	-	-
FREMONT	813	256	31.4	250	97.6	-	-
FRESNO	2 739	645	23.5	620	96.3	-	-
FULLERTON	657	263	40.0	258	98.4	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	1 223	280	22.9	271	96.8	-	-
GLENDAL	1 393	328	23.5	309	94.3	-	-
HAWTHORNE	463	151	32.6	139	92.4	-	-
HAYWARD	899	261	29.1	244	93.3	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	1 544	444	28.8	424	95.4	-	-
INGLEWOOD	1 201	416	34.6	387	93.1	-	-
LAKEWOOD	267	2	0.8	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	6 620	1 692	25.6	1 438	85.0	-	-
LOS ANGELES	49 863	17 536	35.2	16 664	95.0	-	-
MODESTO	859	223	26.0	216	96.8	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	557	134	24.1	131	97.8	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	902	481	53.3	468	97.3	-	-
NORWALK	147	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	7 095	1 829	25.8	1 689	92.4	-	-
ONTARIO	687	190	27.6	190	100.0	-	-
ORANGE	826	269	32.5	260	96.7	-	-
OXNARD	788	211	26.8	197	93.1	1	0.5
PALO ALTO	940	218	23.2	207	95.0	-	-
PASADENA	1 652	475	28.7	440	92.7	-	-
PICO RIVERA	142	2	1.4	-	-	-	-
POMONA	1 122	292	26.0	270	92.6	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	677	193	28.5	180	93.2	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	590	133	22.6	124	93.1	-	-
RICHMOND	1 264	379	30.0	365	96.4	-	-
RIVERSIDE	1 653	411	24.8	398	96.9	-	-
SACRAMENTO	4 084	1 087	26.6	1 068	98.3	-	-
SALINAS	214	73	34.1	73	100.0	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	1 422	373	26.2	359	96.9	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	1 666	151	9.0	146	96.3	-	-
SAN DIEGO	7 258	1 922	26.5	1 776	92.4	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	22 196	5 371	24.2	3 258	60.7	721	13.4
SAN JOSE	5 333	1 653	31.0	1 591	96.2	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	672	190	28.3	180	94.6	-	-
SAN MATEO	741	208	28.0	201	96.6	-	-
SANTA ANA	1 769	691	39.0	669	96.9	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	915	275	30.0	262	95.5	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	13 092	3.6	226	0.1	22 457	6.2	1 700	0.5
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	28	2.9	-	-	60	6.2	-	-
GADSDEN	1	1.1	-	-	5	5.4	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	7	2.5	-	-	7	2.6	-	-
MOBILE	8	2.2	-	-	12	3.2	-	-
MONTGOMERY	4	1.1	-	-	17	4.9	7	1.9
TUSCALOOSA	3	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE	39	7.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDAL	3	1.6	2	1.0	4	2.5	-	-
MESA	4	2.0	-	-	4	1.9	-	-
PHOENIX	126	3.8	-	-	20	0.6	13	0.4
SCOTTSDALE	8	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	11	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	54	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	1	1.1	-	-	5	4.4	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	8	2.8	-	-	17	6.0	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	4	2.7	1	0.6	7	4.7	-	-
PINE BLUFF	3	3.0	-	-	6	6.4	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	6	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	3	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	24	3.5	-	-	12	1.7	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	13	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	2	48.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	12	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	2	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	21	5.8	-	-	6	1.7	-	-
CARSON	7	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	9	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	7	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	7	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	7	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	3	1.8	-	-	5	1.8	-	-
DOWNNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	6	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	24	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	4	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	5	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDAL	10	3.0	-	-	9	2.7	4	1.6
HAWTHORNE	11	7.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	9	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	20	4.6	-	-	-	-	9	3.4
INGLEWOOD	18	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	2	100.0	-	-	11	2.6	-	-
LONG BEACH	135	8.0	-	-	120	7.1	-	-
LOS ANGELES	859	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	7	3.2	-	-	-	-	12	0.1
MOUNTAIN VIEW	3	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	7	1.5	-	-	6	1.1	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	43	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	97	5.3	-	-
ORANGE	9	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	14	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	11	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	26	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	2	100.0	-	-	9	1.8	-	-
POMONA	4	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	4	1.9	-	-	18	6.1	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	9	6.9	-	-	10	4.9	-	-
RICHMOND	14	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	13	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	19	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	5	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	11	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	138	8.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	476	8.9	114	2.1	783	14.6	19	0.4
SAN FRANCISCO	63	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	10	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	22	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	12	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED							
SANTA CLARA	977	260	26.6	252	97.0	-	-
SANTA MONICA	1 088	356	32.8	328	92.1	-	-
SANTA ROSA	611	143	23.4	135	94.5	-	-
SMITH VALLEY	244	116	47.3	109	94.5	-	-
SOUTH GATE	353	173	49.0	167	96.6	-	-
STOCKTON	1 722	514	29.8	502	97.6	-	-
SUNNYVALE	789	173	22.0	167	96.1	-	-
TORRANCE	1 903	532	27.9	503	94.7	-	-
VALLEJO	536	202	37.7	193	95.9	-	-
WEST COVINA	504	169	33.5	164	97.4	-	-
WESTMINSTER	453	190	42.0	190	100.0	-	-
WHITTIER	452	187	41.5	187	100.0	-	-
COLORADO:							
ARVADA	308	117	37.9	108	92.3	3	2.4
AURORA	293	293	30.2	262	89.6	14	4.9
BOULDER	973	188	19.3	168	89.5	10	5.1
COLORADO SPRINGS	1 592	472	27.9	437	92.6	16	3.5
DENVER	12 541	2 965	23.6	2 177	73.4	281	9.5
FORT COLLINS	438	93	21.3	86	92.2	4	1.8
LAKEWOOD	697	393	56.3	356	90.6	13	3.2
PUEBLO	770	270	35.0	255	94.7	7	2.5
CONNECTICUT:							
BRIDGEPORT	4 333	627	14.5	607	96.9	-	-
BRISTOL	1 763	144	8.1	137	95.2	-	-
DANBURY	1 743	145	8.3	142	98.0	-	-
HARTFORD	6 429	695	10.8	670	96.3	-	-
MERIDEN	1 635	143	8.8	136	95.1	-	-
MILFORD	1 681	135	8.0	130	95.9	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	1 774	194	10.9	189	97.5	-	-
NEW HAVEN	3 710	513	13.8	501	97.5	1	0.1
NORWALK	2 292	237	10.4	224	94.3	-	-
STAMFORD	3 788	398	10.5	383	96.4	-	-
WATERBURY	3 085	327	10.6	319	97.4	1	0.2
WEST HAVEN	1 447	118	8.2	108	91.5	-	-
DELAWARE:							
WILMINGTON	3 336	418	12.5	358	85.6	33	7.8
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:							
WASHINGTON	60 665	12 869	21.2	7 331	57.0	1 184	9.2
FLORIDA:							
CLEARWATER	953	239	25.1	228	95.5	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	2 379	773	32.5	708	91.6	36	4.7
GAINESVILLE	1 098	241	21.9	238	98.8	-	-
HIALEAH	1 330	437	32.8	425	97.3	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	1 442	467	32.4	436	93.4	12	2.7
JACKSONVILLE	8 331	2 024	24.3	1 200	59.3	290	14.3
MIAMI	4 544	1 791	39.4	1 744	97.4	14	2.4
MIAMI BEACH	1 818	592	32.6	562	95.1	21	3.0
ORLANDO	2 350	680	28.9	592	87.0	-	-
PENSACOLA	807	198	24.6	187	94.5	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	2 571	792	30.8	735	92.8	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	2 027	212	10.4	209	98.7	-	-
TAMPA	4 003	857	21.4	817	95.4	9	3.6
WEST PALM BEACH	849	240	28.3	215	89.7	-	-
GEORGIA:							
ALBANY	687	182	26.5	180	99.1	1	0.5
ATLANTA	7 044	2 131	30.3	1 840	86.4	95	4.5
AUGUSTA	544	158	28.9	144	91.6	3	1.8
COLUMBUS	2 768	397	14.3	247	62.1	80	20.1
MACON	1 059	245	23.2	216	88.0	10	3.9
SAVANNAH	1 134	277	24.5	255	91.8	11	3.8
HAWAII:							
HONOLULU	8 998	2 540	28.2	2 381	93.8	-	-
IDAHO:							
BOISE CITY	761	209	27.5	190	90.7	10	4.9
ILLINOIS:							
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	522	148	28.4	145	98.2	-	-
AURORA	798	258	32.3	253	98.0	-	(2)
BERWYN	220	81	36.9	81	100.0	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	996	141	14.2	137	97.1	-	-
CHICAGO	52 738	22 435	42.5	22 153	98.7	15	0.1
CICERO	343	131	38.3	124	94.5	-	-
DECATUR	515	158	30.7	154	98.1	-	-
DES PLAINES	489	148	30.3	145	96.4	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	689	168	24.4	162	98.6	1	0.8
ELGIN	467	135	28.9	133	98.6	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October Payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA	8	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	28	7.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	6	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SMITH VALLEY	6	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	2	1.0	-	-	4	2.4	-	-
STOCKTON	10	1.9	-	-	-	-	2	0.4
SUNNYVALE	7	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	20	3.8	-	-	8	1.5	-	-
VALLEJO	8	4.1	-	-	-	-	4	2.6
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	6	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	16	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	10	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	19	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	220	7.4	-	-	258	8.7	29	1.0
FORT COLLINS	4	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	24	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	8	2.8	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	12	2.0	-	-	2	0.4	5	0.8
BRISTOL	4	2.7	-	-	-	-	3	2.1
DANBURY	3	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	26	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	3	2.4	-	-	-	-	4	2.5
MILFORD	5	3.4	-	-	1	0.7	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	3	1.6	-	-	-	-	2	0.8
NEW HAVEN	12	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	7	2.9	-	-	-	-	7	2.8
STAMFORD	13	3.2	-	-	2	0.4	-	-
WATERBURY	8	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	7	6.0	-	-	-	-	3	2.5
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	27	6.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	296	2.3	-	-	4 000	31.1	57	0.4
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	7	2.8	-	-	4	1.7	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	12	1.6	2	0.3	14	1.9	-	-
GAINESVILLE	3	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	1	0.3	-	(2)	10	2.3	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	10	2.1	-	(2)	8	1.7	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	68	3.3	-	(2)	451	22.3	14	0.7
MIAMI	47	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	14	2.4	1	0.2	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	7	1.1	-	-	57	8.4	3	0.5
PENSACOLA	4	2.2	-	-	7	3.3	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	19	2.4	-	-	22	2.7	16	2.1
TALLAHASSEE	3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	26	3.0	-	-	-	-	14	1.6
WEST PALM BEACH	6	2.3	-	-	10	4.4	-	-
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	1	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	43	2.0	4	0.2	133	6.3	14	0.7
AUGUSTA	1	0.6	-	-	6	3.8	3	2.2
COLUMBUS	23	5.7	1	0.1	47	11.9	-	-
MACON	3	1.3	-	-	17	6.8	-	-
SAVANNAH	3	1.0	-	-	9	3.3	-	-
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	158	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	9	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	5	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	4	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	267	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	7	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	3	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	6	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED							
EVANSTON	1 041	259	24.8	253	97.9	-	-
JOLIET	715	260	36.4	252	96.8	-	-
OAK LAWN	428	147	34.3	147	100.0	-	-
OAK PARK	738	249	33.7	243	97.7	-	-
PEORIA	1 243	426	34.3	412	96.6	-	-
ROCKFORD	1 278	412	32.2	396	96.2	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	438	111	25.3	108	97.8	1	1.3
SKOKIE	648	195	30.1	187	95.8	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	788	229	29.1	214	93.3	-	-
WAUKEGAN	609	185	30.4	181	97.8	-	-
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	545	150	27.5	141	93.9	4	2.6
EVANSVILLE	1 806	326	18.1	315	96.6	-	-
FORT WAYNE	1 510	390	25.8	382	98.0	-	-
GARY	1 489	444	29.8	394	88.9	29	6.6
HAMMOND	814	228	28.0	203	89.1	17	7.3
INDIANAPOLIS	8 703	2 523	29.0	1 686	66.8	342	13.6
MUNCIE	467	136	29.1	127	93.7	6	4.1
SOUTH BEND	991	307	30.9	301	98.3	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	408	110	26.9	101	92.1	5	4.5
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	1 052	196	18.6	189	96.1	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	486	147	30.3	138	93.4	-	-
DAVENPORT	782	167	21.4	156	93.2	-	-
DES MOINES	2 184	476	21.8	419	88.1	-	-
DUBUQUE	536	98	18.3	94	95.6	-	-
SIOUX CITY	838	163	19.5	148	90.6	-	-
WATERLOO	662	175	26.4	170	97.4	-	-
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	1 695	425	25.1	368	86.6	12	2.8
OVERLAND PARK	297	153	51.5	136	88.7	9	5.9
TOPEKA	1 206	328	25.1	299	91.1	5	1.6
WICHITA	2 556	590	23.1	541	91.6	25	4.2
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	393	134	34.1	124	92.7	2	1.8
LEXINGTON	2 002	676	33.8	502	74.2	66	9.8
LOUISVILLE	4 427	1 133	25.6	1 058	93.4	32	2.8
OWENSBORO	1 128	116	10.3	107	92.3	5	4.5
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	2 702	1 116	41.3	699	62.6	262	23.5
LAFAYETTE	572	102	17.8	92	90.1	9	8.7
LAKE CHARLES	540	112	20.8	102	91.0	7	6.3
MONROE	503	118	23.4	95	80.6	9	7.9
NEW ORLEANS	6 539	3 917	59.9	2 842	72.5	524	13.4
SHREVEPORT	1 630	412	25.3	363	88.2	27	6.6
MAINE:							
PORTLAND	2 524	180	7.1	170	94.3	-	-
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	38 623	7 091	18.4	5 746	81.0	401	5.7
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	33 357	5 331	16.0	3 607	67.7	994	18.6
BROCKTON	3 562	273	7.7	268	98.3	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	4 165	513	12.3	502	97.8	-	-
CHICOPEE	1 569	144	9.2	140	97.0	-	-
FALL RIVER	2 716	341	12.5	316	92.8	-	-
HOLYOKE	1 261	127	10.1	122	96.2	-	-
LAWRENCE	1 876	215	11.5	211	98.2	-	-
LOWELL	2 061	333	16.2	317	95.3	-	-
LYNN	2 988	341	11.4	325	95.1	-	-
MALDEN	1 596	161	10.1	157	97.7	-	-
MEDFORD	1 655	192	11.6	188	98.3	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	3 365	314	9.3	304	96.8	-	-
NEWTON	3 446	387	11.2	377	97.6	-	-
PITTSFIELD	2 041	154	7.5	152	98.6	-	-
QUINCY	4 157	364	8.8	358	98.4	-	-
SOMERVILLE	2 223	211	9.5	195	92.4	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	7 176	627	8.7	604	96.4	-	-
WALTHAM	1 953	229	11.7	221	96.5	-	-
WORCESTER	6 927	656	9.5	636	97.0	-	-
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	1 246	320	25.6	274	85.8	28	8.8
DEARBORN	1 647	449	27.3	404	89.9	19	4.2
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	321	203	63.2	180	88.7	14	6.9
DETROIT	23 618	11 359	48.1	10 343	91.1	630	5.5

See footnotes at end of table.

CONTINUED

2 OF 5

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON	5	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	6	2.3	-	-	-	-	2	0.9
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	16	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	15	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	12	3.0	-	-	-	-	4	0.9
ROCK ISLAND	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	8	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	12	5.2	-	-	3	1.5	-	-
WAUKEGAN	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	4	3.0	-	0.3	-	0.2	-	-
EVANSVILLE	11	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	8	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	11	2.6	1	0.2	6	1.4	2	0.3
HAMMOND	6	2.7	-	-	2	0.9	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	142	5.6	20	0.8	330	13.1	3	0.1
MUNCIE	2	1.5	-	-	-	0.3	1	0.5
SOUTH BEND	5	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	8	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	5	3.3	-	-	5	3.3	-	-
DAVENPORT	8	4.5	-	-	-	-	4	2.2
DES MOINES	21	4.3	-	-	32	6.8	4	0.8
DUBUQUE	4	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOUX CITY	9	5.7	-	-	5	3.2	1	0.5
WATERLOO	5	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	20	4.8	-	-	16	3.7	9	2.0
OVERLAND PARK	8	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	11	3.4	2	0.7	6	1.9	4	1.3
WICHITA	25	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	7	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	28	4.1	-	-	81	12.0	-	-
LOUISVILLE	36	3.2	-	-	-	-	8	0.7
OWENSBORO	4	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	82	7.4	11	1.0	62	5.6	-	-
LAFAYETTE	1	0.9	-	0.3	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	3	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	9	7.3	-	-	5	4.2	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	130	3.3	31	0.8	375	9.6	16	0.4
SHREVEPORT	8	2.0	-	-	13	3.1	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	10	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	389	5.5	-	-	554	7.8	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	188	3.5	-	-	541	10.2	-	-
BROCKTON	5	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	6	1.3	-	-	-	-	5	0.9
CHICOPEE	4	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	5	1.5	-	-	14	4.1	5	1.6
HOLYOKE	5	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	4	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	16	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	6	1.8	-	-	-	-	10	3.0
MALDEN	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	3	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	6	2.0	-	-	-	-	4	1.2
NEWTON	9	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	6	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	6	2.9	-	-	-	-	10	4.7
SPRINGFIELD	17	2.8	-	-	-	-	5	0.8
WALTHAM	8	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	20	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	10	3.1	-	-	7	2.3	-	-
DEARBORN	16	3.6	-	-	11	2.4	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	9	4.4	-	-
DETROIT	168	1.5	-	-	217	1.9	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
FARMINGTON HILLS	246	100	40.5	100	100.0	-	-
FLINT	3 868	713	18.4	629	88.2	45	6.3
GRAND RAPIDS	2 200	622	28.3	516	82.9	57	9.2
KALAMAZOO	952	291	30.6	250	85.7	22	7.5
LANSING	1 804	542	30.0	433	79.9	41	7.5
LINCOLN PARK	329	121	36.6	110	91.2	8	6.8
LIVONIA	943	299	31.7	247	82.8	16	5.8
PONTIAC	2 934	465	15.9	396	85.1	33	7.2
ROSEVILLE	434	162	37.4	147	90.4	16	9.6
ROYAL OAK	627	202	32.1	171	84.8	17	8.3
SAGINAW	1 092	309	28.3	293	94.9	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	817	319	39.1	271	84.8	29	8.9
ST. CLAIR SHORES	539	166	30.9	156	93.9	9	5.1
STERLING HEIGHTS	617	246	39.9	224	91.2	18	7.4
TAYLOR	525	196	37.4	182	92.8	13	6.6
WARREN	1 574	458	29.1	398	87.0	41	8.9
WESTLAND	449	173	38.6	147	84.9	23	13.1
WYOMING	426	153	35.8	138	90.4	13	8.7
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	529	164	30.9	154	94.4	-	-
DULUTH	1 063	255	24.0	233	91.5	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	6 630	1 488	22.4	1 362	91.5	-	-
ROCHESTER	507	125	24.7	118	94.2	-	-
ST. PAUL	3 923	1 015	25.9	938	92.4	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:							
BILOXI	282	64	22.8	62	96.4	2	3.2
JACKSON	1 761	452	25.7	398	88.0	18	4.1
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	556	130	23.4	119	91.1	4	3.3
FLORISSANT	166	85	51.1	81	95.4	2	2.7
INDEPENDENCE	826	222	26.9	203	91.6	8	3.7
KANSAS CITY	6 025	2 056	34.1	1 803	87.7	76	3.7
ST. JOSEPH	558	155	27.8	145	93.8	3	2.0
ST. LOUIS	11 914	3 804	31.9	2 796	73.5	360	9.5
SPRINGFIELD	1 064	257	24.2	238	92.4	8	2.9
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS	647	141	21.8	134	94.9	7	5.1
GREAT FALLS	500	105	21.0	100	94.7	2	2.3
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	2 026	410	20.2	315	76.9	42	10.2
OMAHA	3 193	875	27.4	756	86.4	59	6.7
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	1 311	64	4.9	-	-	35	55.2
RENO	1 225	445	36.3	379	85.2	23	5.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	2 389	221	9.3	191	86.5	15	6.8
NASHUA	1 530	169	11.0	147	87.3	10	6.0
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	2 342	279	11.9	259	92.9	7	2.6
BLOOMFIELD	547	160	29.2	150	93.8	4	2.5
CAMDEN	1 277	546	42.7	511	93.5	18	3.3
CLIFTON	1 798	238	13.2	225	94.7	7	3.1
EAST ORANGE	2 250	413	18.4	364	88.2	29	7.0
ELIZABETH	3 173	475	15.0	441	92.9	9	1.9
IRVINGTON	750	238	31.7	215	90.6	11	4.5
JERSEY CITY	8 738	1 417	16.2	1 347	95.1	46	3.3
NEWARK	16 900	2 069	12.2	1 971	95.3	64	3.1
PASSAIC	453	183	40.3	163	89.4	7	3.6
PATERSON	4 382	672	15.3	625	93.1	18	2.7
TRENTON	1 793	597	33.3	565	94.6	19	3.2
UNION CITY	778	201	25.9	188	93.3	9	4.6
VINELAND	1 568	132	8.4	124	93.5	6	4.8
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	2 967	897	30.2	692	77.1	59	6.6
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	1 495	431	28.8	403	93.6	16	3.6
BINGHAMTON	1 466	188	12.8	168	89.7	13	6.8
BUFFALO	13 631	1 776	13.0	1 603	90.3	100	5.7
MOUNT VERNON	892	287	32.2	266	89.3	19	5.7
NEW ROCHELLE	1 131	332	29.3	300	90.5	21	6.3
NEW YORK CITY	343 583	81 048	23.6	60 899	75.1	7 304	9.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	5	0.7	-	-	35	4.8	-	-
KALAMAZOO	25	4.1	-	-	17	2.7	7	1.1
LANSING	14	4.8	-	-	6	2.0	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	13	2.4	-	-	55	10.2	-	-
LIVONIA	1	1.1	-	-	1	1.2	-	-
PONTIAC	11	4.0	-	-	7	2.3	-	-
ROSEVILLE	27	5.7	-	-	9	2.0	-	-
ROYAL OAK	9	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	16	5.1	-	-	5	2.7	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	12	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	2	1.0	-	-	8	2.6	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	2	0.7	-	-	1	0.6	-	-
TAYLOR	-	-	-	-	1	0.6	-	-
WARREN	19	4.1	-	-	4	2.0	-	-
WESTLAND	-	-	-	-	1	0.9	-	-
WYOMING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	9	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	22	8.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	96	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	7	5.8	-	-	30	2.0	-	-
ST. PAUL	54	5.3	-	-	-	-	23	2.3
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	15	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	7	5.6	-	-	21	4.6	-	-
FLORISSANT	2	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	10	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	81	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	7	4.3	-	-	95	4.6	-	-
ST. LOUIS	156	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	8	3.2	-	-	488	12.8	5	0.1
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	1.5
GREAT FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	26	6.4	-	-	3	3.1	-	-
OMAHA	53	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	29	44.8	-	-	27	6.6	6	0.6
RENO	20	4.4	-	-	2	0.3	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	7	3.2	-	-	23	5.1	-	-
NASHUA	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	12	4.2	-	-	8	3.6	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	6	3.7	1	0.3	9	5.1	2	1.0
CAMDEN	17	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	5	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	11	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	11	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	12	4.8	-	-	-	-	9	2.1
JERSEY CITY	20	1.4	-	-	-	-	14	3.0
NEWARK	33	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	3	0.2
PATERSON	14	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	9	1.5	-	-	-	-	9	4.9
UNION CITY	4	2.1	1	0.2	-	-	15	2.2
VINELAND	2	1.6	-	-	-	-	3	0.5
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	55	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	12	2.8	-	-	91	10.1	-	-
BINGHAMTON	7	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	73	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	11	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	11	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	3 493	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED	1 000	261	26.1	233	89.2	19	7.1
NIAGARA FALLS	11 047	1 228	11.1	1 102	89.7	81	6.6
ROCHESTER	640	80	12.5	69	86.4	7	8.7
ROME	764	204	26.7	188	92.2	54	4.3
SCHENECTADY	5 757	711	12.4	639	89.8	9	7.6
SYRACUSE	592	170	28.6	153	90.1	23	5.1
TROY	792	218	27.6	183	84.0	20	10.6
UTICA	1 218	327	26.8	285	87.4	55	6.1
WHITE PLAINS	6 035	772	12.8	670	86.8	—	7.1
YONKERS	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
NORTH CAROLINA:	708	136	19.2	127	93.5	—	—
ASHEVILLE	3 405	735	21.6	717	97.6	—	—
CHARLOTTE	1 194	371	31.1	361	97.1	—	—
DURHAM	589	144	24.4	142	98.8	—	—
FAYETTEVILLE	1 758	495	28.2	486	98.1	—	—
GREENSBORO	772	145	18.8	140	96.8	—	—
HIGH POINT	1 440	411	28.5	402	97.8	—	—
RALEIGH	569	122	21.4	119	97.2	—	—
WINSTON-SALEM	1 769	455	25.7	450	98.8	—	—
NORTH DAKOTA:	561	141	25.2	131	93.1	4	2.8
FARGO	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
OHIO:	3 284	768	23.4	638	83.2	73	9.5
AKRON	1 171	316	26.9	258	81.7	35	11.1
CANTON	10 804	2 363	21.9	1 827	77.3	227	9.6
CINCINNATI	10 012	3 536	35.3	3 110	88.0	258	7.3
CLEVELAND	481	131	27.2	111	84.8	11	8.1
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	6 293	2 046	32.5	1 664	81.3	214	10.5
COLUMBUS	3 497	941	26.9	734	78.0	87	9.2
DAYTON	651	118	18.0	86	73.3	19	15.8
ELYRIA	693	169	24.3	149	88.2	11	6.7
EUCLID	660	178	26.9	158	88.8	11	6.2
HAMILTON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
KETTERING	410	148	36.0	111	75.1	18	12.2
LAKEWOOD	1 309	126	9.7	109	86.2	12	9.4
LIMA	462	143	31.1	108	75.2	20	13.9
LORAIN	662	143	21.6	108	75.5	17	12.0
MANSFIELD	515	164	31.8	117	71.4	31	18.7
PARMA	534	146	27.4	110	75.2	27	18.5
SPRINGFIELD	695	207	29.8	166	80.3	21	10.1
TOLEDO	3 958	1 358	34.3	1 143	84.2	114	8.4
WARREN	480	164	34.0	115	70.2	28	16.9
YOUNGSTOWN	1 281	400	31.2	313	78.4	42	10.6
OKLAHOMA:	559	155	27.7	134	86.7	9	5.6
LAWTON	629	94	14.9	84	89.3	2	2.2
MIDWEST	781	109	13.9	100	91.8	4	3.7
NORMAN	3 407	958	28.1	810	84.6	47	4.9
OKLAHOMA CITY	2 807	898	32.0	799	89.0	30	3.3
TULSA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
OREGON:	1 032	255	24.7	243	95.3	12	4.7
EUGENE	4 730	1 266	26.8	1 211	95.7	6	2.7
PORTLAND	1 039	213	20.5	192	90.3	—	—
SALEM	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
PENNSYLVANIA:	899	253	28.1	247	97.8	—	—
ALLENTOWN	407	114	28.1	112	97.6	—	—
ALTOONA	646	177	27.4	173	97.6	—	—
BETHLEHEM	556	197	35.4	189	96.3	6	2.2
CHESTER	874	269	30.8	255	94.9	—	—
ERIE	672	207	30.7	204	98.7	—	—
HARRISBURG	540	145	26.9	145	100.0	—	—
LANCASTER	42 975	19 894	46.3	13 155	68.1	3 619	18.2
PHILADELPHIA	4 741	1 769	37.3	1 707	96.5	26	1.5
PITTSBURGH	666	232	34.9	226	97.0	—	—
READING	616	215	34.9	210	97.5	—	—
SCRANTON	528	115	21.9	112	97.1	—	—
WILKES-BARRE	431	130	30.2	128	98.1	—	—
YORK	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
RHODE ISLAND:	2 103	170	8.1	162	95.5	1	0.4
CRANSTON	1 921	182	9.5	174	95.5	2	1.1
PAWTUCKET	4 535	616	13.6	593	96.3	15	2.4
PROVIDENCE	2 830	242	8.6	231	95.4	—	0.2
WARWICK	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOUTH CAROLINA:	654	181	27.6	174	96.2	3	1.6
CHARLESTON	1 118	314	28.1	261	83.1	21	6.6
COLUMBIA	562	151	26.9	140	92.9	6	4.1
GREENVILLE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
NIAGARA FALLS	10	3.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
ROCHESTER	40	3.2	—	—	—	—	6	0.5
ROME	4	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
SCHENECTADY	7	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
SYRACUSE	13	1.8	—	—	—	—	6	0.8
TROY	8	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
UTICA	12	5.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
WHITE PLAINS	22	6.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
YONKERS	28	3.6	—	—	15	2.0	4	0.5
NORTH CAROLINA:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ASHEVILLE	2	1.7	—	—	—	—	6	4.8
CHARLOTTE	18	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
DURHAM	11	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
FAYETTEVILLE	2	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
GREENSBORO	9	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
HIGH POINT	5	3.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
RALEIGH	9	2.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
WINSTON-SALEM	3	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
WINSTON-SALEM	5	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
NORTH DAKOTA:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
FARGO	6	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
OHIO:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
AKRON	28	3.6	—	—	28	3.7	—	—
CANTON	20	6.4	—	—	3	0.8	—	—
CINCINNATI	127	5.4	—	—	182	7.7	—	—
CLEVELAND	51	1.4	—	—	116	3.3	—	—
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	5	3.9	—	—	4	4.0	—	—
COLUMBUS	86	4.2	—	—	82	9.1	—	—
DAYTON	35	3.7	—	—	86	9.1	—	—
ELYRIA	10	8.3	—	—	3	2.6	—	—
EUCLID	9	5.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
HAMILTON	7	4.2	—	—	2	0.9	—	—
KETTERING	11	7.4	—	—	8	5.2	—	—
LAKEWOOD	6	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
LIMA	6	4.5	1	1.0	8	5.5	—	—
LORAIN	14	9.5	—	—	4	3.0	—	—
MANSFIELD	11	6.8	—	—	5	3.1	—	—
PARMA	9	6.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
SPRINGFIELD	16	7.7	—	—	4	2.0	—	—
TOLEDO	34	2.5	—	—	66	4.9	—	—
WARREN	8	4.9	—	—	5	3.3	8	4.8
YOUNGSTOWN	21	5.3	—	—	23	5.7	—	—
OKLAHOMA:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAWTON	6	4.2	2	1.2	4	2.3	—	—
MIDWEST	2	1.7	—	—	6	6.7	—	—
NORMAN	5	4.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
OKLAHOMA CITY	43	4.5	—	—	18	1.1	40	4.2
TULSA	32	3.5	—	—	37	4.2	—	—
OREGON:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
EUGENE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
PORTLAND	34	2.7	—	—	—	—	20	1.6
SALEM	15	7.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
PENNSYLVANIA:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ALLENTOWN	6	2.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
ALTOONA	3	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
BETHLEHEM	4	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHESTER	7	3.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
ERIE	7	2.5	—	—	—	—	1	0.4
HARRISBURG	3	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
LANCASTER	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
PHILADELPHIA	629	3.2	—	—	2 490	12.5	—	—
PITTSBURGH	36	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
READING	7	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
SCRANTON	5	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
WILKES-BARRE	3	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
YORK	2	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
RHODE ISLAND:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CRANSTON	4	2.2	—	—	—	—	3	2.0
PAWTUCKET	4	2.1	—	—	—	—	2	1.3
PROVIDENCE	8	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
WARWICK	4	1.6	—	—	—	—	7	2.8
SOUTH CAROLINA:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHARLESTON	4	2.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
COLUMBIA	6	1.9	—	—	26	8.4	—	—
GREENVILLE	5	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA:							
SIOUX FALLS	682	146	21.5	143	97.6	-	-
TENNESSEE:							
CHATTANOOGA	4 748	498	10.5	479	96.2	15	3.0
KNOXVILLE	3 967	403	10.2	358	88.8	5	1.2
MEMPHIS	18 045	1 833	10.2	1 628	88.8	61	3.3
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	12 855	1 663	12.9	1 110	66.7	297	17.8
TEXAS:							
ABILENE	713	158	22.2	142	89.9	6	4.0
AMARILLO	1 155	323	28.0	290	89.6	14	4.2
ARLINGTON	667	222	33.2	196	88.6	7	3.3
AUSTIN	5 033	827	16.4	763	92.2	37	4.5
BEAUMONT	959	289	30.1	262	90.6	9	3.1
BROWNSVILLE	418	85	20.4	79	93.1	3	3.0
CORPUS CHRISTI	2 057	492	23.9	423	86.1	22	4.5
DALLAS	11 263	3 190	28.3	2 856	89.5	132	4.1
EL PASO	2 935	888	30.3	847	95.4	24	2.7
FORT WORTH	3 810	1 057	27.7	963	91.1	41	3.8
GALVESTON	859	155	18.1	136	87.8	7	4.3
GARLAND	874	225	25.7	205	91.3	4	1.6
GRAND PRAIRIE	349	92	26.3	84	91.1	3	3.7
HOUSTON	14 552	4 510	31.0	4 194	93.0	166	3.7
IRVING	824	174	21.1	148	85.0	8	4.7
LAREDO	553	86	15.5	78	91.3	6	6.6
LUBBOCK	1 151	343	29.8	294	85.5	33	9.6
MESQUITE	494	146	29.6	140	95.7	2	1.5
MIDLAND	505	148	29.3	136	92.1	7	4.5
ODESSA	437	149	34.1	131	88.0	8	5.6
PASADENA	578	245	42.4	224	91.3	11	4.6
PORT ARTHUR	605	105	17.3	95	90.8	2	2.4
RICHARDSON	488	99	20.2	94	95.5	4	4.5
SAN ANGELO	444	124	28.0	113	90.7	6	5.1
SAN ANTONIO	6 440	1 674	29.1	1 783	95.1	43	2.3
TYLER	447	104	23.2	93	89.6	5	5.1
WACO	1 018	203	20.0	187	91.8	4	2.0
WICHITA FALLS	732	153	20.9	132	86.2	9	5.7
UTAH:							
OGDEN	511	159	31.1	131	82.8	20	12.6
PROVO	353	81	23.0	68	84.2	8	9.5
SALT LAKE CITY	1 902	564	29.7	498	88.4	44	7.9
VIRGINIA:							
ALEXANDRIA	3 311	510	15.4	380	74.4	49	9.6
CHESAPEAKE	3 375	317	9.4	205	64.7	21	6.7
HAMPTON	3 709	294	7.9	204	69.3	12	3.9
LYNCHBURG	1 894	201	10.6	145	72.0	1	0.4
NEWPORT NEWS	4 309	411	9.5	246	59.9	37	9.1
NORFOLK	9 141	850	9.3	681	80.1	31	3.7
PORTSMOUTH	2 952	350	11.9	242	69.1	29	8.2
RICHMOND	9 647	1 124	11.7	804	71.5	81	7.2
ROANOKE	3 011	330	11.0	198	59.9	41	12.3
VIRGINIA BEACH	5 957	534	9.0	396	74.2	38	7.2
WASHINGTON:							
BELLEVUE	670	180	26.8	155	86.3	3	1.7
EVERETT	604	145	24.0	139	95.6	-	-
SEATTLE	8 714	2 227	25.6	2 003	89.9	115	5.2
SPOKANE	1 930	433	22.4	396	91.4	12	2.9
TACOMA	2 750	518	18.8	441	85.1	24	4.7
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CHARLESTON	895	218	24.3	209	96.3	5	2.2
HUNTINGTON	795	171	21.5	165	96.2	2	1.0
WISCONSIN:							
APPLETON	1 491	118	7.9	115	97.3	-	-
GREEN BAY	2 813	210	7.5	199	94.9	4	2.1
KENOSHA	724	200	27.6	191	95.3	3	1.6
LA CROSSE	1 113	101	9.1	96	95.0	1	1.3
MADISON	5 282	514	9.7	488	94.9	-	-
MILWAUKEE	11 082	3 368	30.4	3 253	96.6	22	0.7
OSHKOSH	1 578	108	6.9	105	96.8	-	-
RACINE	1 189	354	29.8	340	96.1	2	0.6
WAUWATOSA	1 455	146	10.1	142	97.0	1	0.9
WEST ALLIS	1 958	203	10.4	195	96.3	1	0.6

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.³ Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.⁴ Payroll for city-operated utilities excluded.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS	4	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	17	4.1	-	-	24	5.9	-	-
MEMPHIS	39	2.1	-	-	106	5.8	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	50	3.0	2.7	1.3	179	10.8	5	0.3
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	7	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	10	3.1	-	-	2	1.3	-	-
ARLINGTON	14	3.3	-	-	10	3.1	1	0.4
AUSTIN	27	3.3	-	-	4	1.8	-	-
BEAUMONT	12	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	3	3.9	-	-	7	2.3	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	21	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	93	2.9	-	-	25	5.1	-	-
EL PASO	17	2.0	-	-	106	3.3	2	0.1
FORT WORTH	26	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	4	2.9	-	-	28	2.6	-	-
GARLAND	6	2.8	-	-	8	5.0	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	5	5.2	-	-	10	4.3	-	-
HOUSTON	93	2.1	-	-	56	1.2	-	-
IRVING	8	4.6	-	-	10	5.7	-	-
LAREDO	2	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	14	4.2	-	-	2	0.7	-	-
MESQUITE	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	5	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	6	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	10	4.1	-	-	4	2.4	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	4	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	1	4.2	-	-	3	2.6	-	-
SAN ANGELO	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	48	2.6	-	-	3	2.1	-	-
TYLER	6	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	8	4.0	-	-	4	2.2	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	7	4.3	-	-	6	3.9	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN	7	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	5	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	21	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	34	6.7	-	-	48	9.3	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	14	4.6	-	-	76	24.0	-	-
HAMPTON	22	7.6	-	-	48	16.2	-	-
LYNCHBURG	12	6.0	-	-	44	21.7	9	3.0
NEWPORT NEWS	24	5.9	-	-	100	24.4	3	0.6
NORFOLK	50	5.9	0.1	-	89	10.4	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	28	7.9	-	-	52	14.9	-	-
RICHMOND	56	5.0	-	-	182	16.2	-	-
ROANOKE	21	6.3	-	-	71	21.5	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	35	6.6	-	-	68	12.0	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	11	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	6	4.2	-	-	10	5.7	-	-
SEATTLE	79	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	24	5.5	-	-	30	1.4	-	-
TACOMA	31	6.0	-	-	1	0.2	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	3	1.6	-	-	22	4.2	-	-
HUNTINGTON	5	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	3	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	6	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	6	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	4	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	26	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	93	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	3	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	12	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	6	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-

Section III. POLICE PROTECTION

FIGURE 16. Percent distribution of police protection direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976




Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	9 416 604	9 416 604	8 918 836	497 768	152 401	3 445	148 956
STATES	1 789 471	1 696 460	1 538 889	157 571	93 011	(X)	93 011
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 723 588	7 720 144	7 379 947	340 197	59 390	3 445	55 945
COUNTIES	1 500 463	1 494 472	1 395 170	99 302	5 991	1 342	4 649
MUNICIPALITIES	6 261 864	6 208 465	5 984 777	223 688	53 399	2 103	51 296
ALABAMA	100 459	100 459	93 336	7 123	214	72	142
STATE	24 448	24 448	22 789	1 659	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	76 083	76 011	70 547	5 464	214	72	142
COUNTIES	14 054	14 012	12 612	1 400	41	5	36
MUNICIPALITIES	62 171	61 998	57 935	4 063	173	67	106
ALASKA	36 028	36 028	34 909	1 119	407	8	399
STATE	20 774	20 375	19 668	707	399	(X)	399
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 661	15 653	15 241	412	8	8	-
BOROUGHs	75	75	75	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	15 586	15 578	15 166	412	8	8	-
ARIZONA	135 862	135 862	120 932	14 930	75	75	-
STATE	32 708	32 708	27 981	4 727	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	103 229	103 154	92 951	10 203	75	75	-
COUNTIES	24 281	24 206	19 396	4 810	75	75	-
MUNICIPALITIES	78 948	78 948	73 555	5 393	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	42 838	42 838	38 678	4 160	118	65	52
STATE	12 444	12 444	10 359	2 085	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 459	30 394	28 319	2 075	118	65	52
COUNTIES	7 913	7 883	7 090	793	30	15	14
MUNICIPALITIES	22 599	22 511	21 229	1 282	88	50	38
CALIFORNIA	1 251 478	1 251 478	1 197 209	54 269	32 848	40	32 808
STATE	248 041	237 908	221 397	16 511	10 133	(X)	10 133
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 013 610	1 013 570	975 812	37 758	22 715	40	22 675
COUNTIES	294 953	294 736	281 883	12 853	217	37	180
MUNICIPALITIES	741 333	718 834	693 929	24 905	22 499	4	22 495
COLORADO	117 577	117 577	109 828	7 749	141	3	138
STATE	16 696	16 688	15 373	1 315	8	(X)	8
LOCAL, TOTAL	100 892	100 889	94 455	6 434	133	3	130
COUNTIES	14 398	14 381	13 466	915	17	3	13
MUNICIPALITIES	86 625	86 508	80 989	5 519	117	-	117
CONNECTICUT	125 114	125 114	119 396	5 718	1 798	746	1 052
STATE	23 576	22 798	21 256	1 542	778	(X)	778
LOCAL, TOTAL	103 063	102 316	98 140	4 176	1 020	746	274
MUNICIPALITIES	100 736	99 718	98 140	1 578	1 020	746	274
DELAWARE	24 208	24 208	23 561	648	53	-	53
STATE	10 515	10 515	10 187	328	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 693	13 693	13 374	320	53	-	53
COUNTIES	4 271	4 271	4 185	86	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9 476	9 422	9 189	234	53	-	53
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	94 818	94 818	93 148	1 670	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	94 818	94 818	93 148	1 670	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	94 818	94 818	93 148	1 670	-	-	-
FLORIDA	381 870	381 870	348 077	33 793	334	123	211
STATE	49 865	49 865	45 109	4 756	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	332 128	332 005	302 968	29 037	334	123	211
COUNTIES	119 343	119 215	108 794	10 421	128	76	52
MUNICIPALITIES	212 996	212 790	194 174	18 616	206	47	159
GEORGIA	158 576	158 576	150 110	8 466	1 583	8	1 575
STATE	31 839	31 627	30 261	1 366	212	(X)	212
LOCAL, TOTAL	126 957	126 949	119 849	7 100	1 371	8	1 363
COUNTIES	43 312	42 044	39 039	3 007	1 266	5	1 261
MUNICIPALITIES	85 008	84 903	80 810	4 093	105	3	102

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	41 290	41 290	40 112	1 178	-	-	-
STATE	1 291	1 291	1 291	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	39 999	39 999	38 821	1 178	-	-	-
COUNTIES	9 618	9 618	9 338	280	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	30 381	30 381	29 483	898	-	-	-
IDAHO	24 604	24 604	22 565	2 039	316	-	316
STATE	5 934	5 850	5 183	667	84	(X)	84
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 755	18 754	17 382	1 372	232	-	232
COUNTIES	7 084	7 079	6 154	925	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	11 904	11 677	11 228	449	227	-	227
ILLINOIS	633 022	633 022	567 926	65 096	4 244	21	4 223
STATE	110 352	106 854	60 884	45 970	3 498	(X)	3 498
LOCAL, TOTAL	526 190	526 168	507 042	19 126	746	21	725
COUNTIES	49 594	49 565	46 886	2 679	29	-	29
MUNICIPALITIES	477 322	476 605	460 156	16 449	717	21	696
INDIANA	151 594	151 594	140 788	10 806	59	5	54
STATE	38 876	38 876	33 637	5 239	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	112 723	112 718	107 151	5 567	59	5	54
COUNTIES	18 664	18 657	17 253	1 404	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES	94 113	94 062	89 898	4 164	51	5	47
IOWA	77 706	77 706	73 548	4 158	949	19	930
STATE	19 744	19 744	18 119	1 625	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	57 981	57 962	55 429	2 533	949	19	930
COUNTIES	13 013	12 911	12 083	828	102	3	99
MUNICIPALITIES	45 898	45 052	43 346	1 706	847	16	831
KANSAS	65 412	65 412	61 915	3 497	555	1	554
STATE	13 207	13 207	12 172	1 035	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	52 207	52 205	49 743	2 462	555	1	554
COUNTIES	11 835	11 725	10 841	884	110	-	110
MUNICIPALITIES	40 926	40 481	38 902	1 579	445	1	444
KENTUCKY	103 910	103 910	96 258	7 652	540	124	416
STATE	32 024	31 937	28 953	2 984	87	(X)	87
LOCAL, TOTAL	72 097	71 973	67 305	4 668	453	124	329
COUNTIES	20 101	19 687	18 084	1 603	414	122	292
MUNICIPALITIES	52 325	52 287	49 221	3 066	39	2	37
LOUISIANA	161 364	161 364	151 758	9 606	305	154	151
STATE	40 101	40 073	37 581	2 492	28	(X)	28
LOCAL, TOTAL	121 445	121 291	114 177	7 114	277	154	123
PARISHES	46 941	46 772	44 000	2 772	170	122	47
MUNICIPALITIES	74 627	74 519	70 177	4 342	107	32	75
MAINE	28 702	28 702	27 205	1 497	69	33	36
STATE	9 039	9 039	8 460	579	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 697	19 663	18 745	918	69	33	36
COUNTIES	2 291	2 281	2 104	177	10	10	-
MUNICIPALITIES	17 147	17 088	16 641	447	59	23	36
MARYLAND	208 566	208 566	201 607	6 959	55 591	121	55 470
STATE	100 117	45 026	41 669	3 357	55 091	(X)	55 091
LOCAL, TOTAL	163 661	163 540	159 938	3 602	500	121	379
COUNTIES	80 939	80 553	78 107	2 446	386	121	265
MUNICIPALITIES	83 103	82 989	81 831	1 158	114	-	114
MASSACHUSETTS	308 472	308 472	295 928	12 544	1 345	92	1 253
STATE	39 088	37 866	37 555	311	1 222	(X)	1 222
LOCAL, TOTAL	270 698	270 606	258 373	12 233	92	92	31
COUNTIES	1 435	1 435	1 302	133	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	265 325	265 203	257 071	8 132	123	92	31
MICHIGAN	433 200	433 200	415 020	18 180	6 920	40	6 880
STATE	72 754	70 320	65 041	5 279	2 434	(X)	2 434
LOCAL, TOTAL	362 921	362 880	349 979	12 901	4 486	40	4 446
COUNTIES	54 056	53 741	51 048	2 693	315	14	300
MUNICIPALITIES	312 100	307 928	298 931	8 997	4 171	26	4 146

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	132 268	132 268	121 611	10 657	4 719	130	4 588
STATE	26 493	22 915	21 532	1 383	3 578	(X)	3 578
LOCAL, TOTAL	109 483	109 353	100 079	9 274	1 141	130	1 010
COUNTIES	26 759	26 686	22 237	4 449	72	-	72
MUNICIPALITIES	83 578	82 510	77 842	4 668	1 068	130	938
MISSISSIPPI	59 926	59 926	53 168	6 758	129	11	117
STATE	22 381	22 381	18 608	3 773	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	37 556	37 545	34 560	2 985	129	11	117
COUNTIES	8 790	8 785	8 281	504	5	4	1
MUNICIPALITIES	28 883	28 760	26 279	2 481	123	7	116
MISSOURI	181 449	181 449	171 403	10 046	1 054	17	1 037
STATE	27 167	26 792	23 915	2 877	375	(X)	375
LOCAL, TOTAL	154 673	154 657	147 488	7 169	679	17	662
COUNTIES	23 917	23 884	22 660	1 224	33	-	33
MUNICIPALITIES	131 418	130 773	124 828	5 945	645	17	629
MONTANA	22 622	22 622	20 897	1 726	394	9	385
STATE	5 038	4 977	4 489	488	61	(X)	61
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 655	17 645	16 408	1 238	333	9	324
COUNTIES	7 377	7 368	6 920	448	9	3	6
MUNICIPALITIES	10 602	10 278	9 488	790	324	6	318
NEBRASKA	44 928	44 928	42 888	2 040	158	27	131
STATE	10 915	10 915	10 359	556	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 040	34 013	32 529	1 484	158	27	131
COUNTIES	7 131	7 120	6 333	787	12	-	11
MUNICIPALITIES	27 040	26 893	26 196	697	146	27	120
NEVADA	42 945	42 945	39 930	3 015	11 863	-	11 863
STATE	6 666	6 666	6 149	517	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	36 279	36 279	33 781	2 498	11 863	-	11 863
COUNTIES	24 167	24 167	23 132	1 035	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	23 975	12 112	10 649	1 463	11 863	-	11 863
NEW HAMPSHIRE	25 194	25 194	23 701	1 493	1 006	1	1 005
STATE	7 093	6 114	5 301	813	979	(X)	979
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 081	19 080	18 400	680	27	1	26
COUNTIES	1 126	1 103	1 023	80	23	-	23
MUNICIPALITIES	17 737	17 732	17 378	355	4	1	3
NEW JERSEY	415 538	415 538	406 889	8 649	6 962	176	6 786
STATE	62 833	56 091	53 934	2 157	6 742	(X)	6 742
LOCAL, TOTAL	359 623	359 447	352 955	6 492	220	176	44
COUNTIES	24 906	24 906	22 561	2 345	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	332 261	332 041	330 394	1 647	220	176	44
NEW MEXICO	44 247	44 247	41 873	2 375	539	-	539
STATE	12 391	12 055	11 453	602	336	(X)	336
LOCAL, TOTAL	32 192	32 192	30 420	1 773	203	-	203
COUNTIES	7 272	7 109	6 478	631	163	-	163
MUNICIPALITIES	25 123	25 083	23 942	1 141	40	-	40
NEW YORK	1 314 610	1 314 610	1 291 991	22 619	1 982	37	1 945
STATE	112 138	110 614	107 323	3 291	1 524	(X)	1 524
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 204 034	1 203 996	1 184 668	19 328	458	37	421
COUNTIES	189 880	189 831	184 312	5 519	49	-	49
MUNICIPALITIES	1 012 818	1 012 409	1 000 356	12 053	409	37	372
NORTH CAROLINA	154 839	154 839	143 751	11 088	1 825	10	1 815
STATE	44 152	43 758	39 170	4 588	394	(X)	394
LOCAL, TOTAL	111 091	111 081	104 581	6 500	1 431	10	1 421
COUNTIES	28 559	27 680	25 348	2 332	879	9	878
MUNICIPALITIES	83 955	83 404	79 233	4 171	551	1	543
NORTH DAKOTA	15 931	15 931	14 295	1 636	181	3	178
STATE	2 841	2 831	2 727	104	10	(X)	10
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 103	13 100	11 568	1 532	171	3	168
COUNTIES	3 047	3 043	2 881	162	4	3	1
MUNICIPALITIES	10 224	10 057	8 687	1 370	167	-	167

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	378 209	378 209	344 128	34 081	587	182	405
STATE	47 518	47 518	44 745	2 773	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	330 873	330 691	299 383	31 308	587	182	405
COUNTIES	39 732	39 646	36 149	3 497	86	22	64
MUNICIPALITIES	291 547	291 046	263 234	27 812	502	160	341
OKLAHOMA	73 902	73 902	68 791	5 111	262	16	246
STATE	21 555	21 451	19 894	1 557	104	(X)	104
LOCAL, TOTAL	52 467	52 451	48 897	3 554	158	16	142
COUNTIES	5 751	5 681	5 614	66	71	4	67
MUNICIPALITIES	46 858	46 771	43 283	3 488	87	11	75
OREGON	92 357	92 357	85 776	6 581	1 139	26	1 113
STATE	23 319	22 453	20 014	2 439	866	(X)	866
LOCAL, TOTAL	69 930	69 904	65 762	4 142	273	26	247
COUNTIES	24 888	24 869	22 169	2 700	19	-	19
MUNICIPALITIES	45 290	45 036	43 593	1 443	254	26	228
PENNSYLVANIA	460 630	460 630	445 335	15 295	2 982	20	2 962
STATE	119 596	118 537	113 153	5 384	1 059	(X)	1 059
LOCAL, TOTAL	342 113	342 093	332 182	9 911	1 923	20	1 903
COUNTIES	13 562	13 562	12 541	1 021	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	326 513	324 590	319 641	4 949	1 923	20	1 903
RHODE ISLAND	35 064	35 064	33 831	1 232	1 040	-	1 040
STATE	7 565	6 525	6 324	201	1 040	(X)	1 040
LOCAL, TOTAL	28 539	28 539	27 507	1 031	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	28 186	28 186	27 507	679	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	78 488	78 488	72 717	5 771	552	369	183
STATE	24 875	24 875	22 756	2 119	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	53 983	53 613	49 961	3 652	552	369	183
COUNTIES	22 280	21 938	19 923	2 015	341	289	53
MUNICIPALITIES	31 886	31 675	30 038	1 637	211	81	131
SOUTH DAKOTA	20 864	20 864	16 263	4 601	238	35	203
STATE	5 334	5 334	5 272	62	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 565	15 530	10 991	4 539	238	35	203
COUNTIES	7 242	7 212	2 974	4 238	30	28	2
MUNICIPALITIES	8 526	8 318	8 017	301	208	7	201
TENNESSEE	117 287	117 287	109 661	7 626	666	340	326
STATE	17 352	17 352	15 783	1 569	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	100 274	99 935	93 878	6 057	666	340	326
COUNTIES	16 286	15 992	14 623	1 369	294	281	13
MUNICIPALITIES	84 315	83 943	79 255	4 688	372	59	313
TEXAS	403 741	403 741	382 212	21 529	971	188	783
STATE	85 431	85 429	78 828	6 601	2	(X)	2
LOCAL, TOTAL	318 500	318 312	303 384	14 928	969	188	781
COUNTIES	48 461	48 350	43 717	4 633	111	60	51
MUNICIPALITIES	270 820	269 962	259 667	10 295	858	128	730
UTAH	39 536	39 536	36 360	3 176	136	-	136
STATE	11 197	11 075	9 981	1 094	122	(X)	122
LOCAL, TOTAL	28 461	28 461	26 379	2 082	14	-	14
COUNTIES	8 864	8 864	8 499	365	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	19 611	19 597	17 880	1 717	14	-	14
VERMONT	13 522	13 522	12 788	735	51	3	47
STATE	6 368	6 368	5 799	569	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 157	7 154	6 989	166	51	3	47
COUNTIES	193	190	168	22	3	3	47
MUNICIPALITIES	6 975	6 928	6 820	107	48	-	47
VIRGINIA	175 463	175 463	165 821	9 642	193	-	193
STATE	54 077	54 077	51 945	2 132	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	121 386	121 386	113 876	7 510	193	-	193
COUNTIES	46 540	46 536	43 405	3 131	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	75 040	74 851	70 471	4 380	189	-	189

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	139 009	139 009	132 010	6 999	1 724	7	1 716
STATE	27 591	27 591	25 081	2 510	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	111 425	111 418	106 929	4 489	1 724	7	1 716
COUNTIES	32 647	32 593	29 839	2 754	54	1	52
MUNICIPALITIES	80 495	78 625	77 090	1 735	1 670	6	1 664
WEST VIRGINIA	38 142	38 142	35 319	2 823	38	15	23
STATE	14 710	14 710	12 891	1 819	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 447	23 432	22 428	1 004	38	15	23
COUNTIES	4 937	4 915	4 565	350	21	14	7
MUNICIPALITIES	18 533	18 517	17 863	654	17	-	16
WISCONSIN	175 372	175 372	168 628	6 744	2 490	60	2 430
STATE	24 477	22 632	21 810	822	1 845	(X)	1 845
LOCAL, TOTAL	152 800	152 740	146 818	5 922	645	60	585
COUNTIES	34 857	34 473	32 159	2 314	384	15	369
MUNICIPALITIES	118 367	118 106	114 659	3 447	261	45	216
WYOMING	13 849	13 849	12 986	863	56	6	50
STATE	4 965	4 965	4 728	237	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 890	8 884	8 258	626	56	6	50
COUNTIES	3 124	3 121	2 919	202	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 816	5 763	5 339	424	53	3	50

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

POLICE PROTECTION

Table 26. Total police protection expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL	1 789 471	1 696 460	1 538 889	157 571	99 938	17 781	39 852	93 011
ALABAMA	24 448	24 448	22 789	1 659	1 252	407	-	-
ALASKA	20 774	20 375	19 668	707	707	-	-	399
ARIZONA	32 708	32 708	27 981	4 727	4 137	443	147	-
ARKANSAS	12 444	12 444	10 359	2 085	1 488	596	1	-
CALIFORNIA	248 041	237 908	221 397	16 511	12 744	3 740	27	10 133
COLORADO	16 696	16 688	15 373	1 315	1 315	-	-	8
CONNECTICUT	23 576	22 798	21 256	1 542	98	561	-	778
DELAWARE	10 515	10 515	10 187	328	191	138	-	-
FLORIDA	49 865	49 865	45 109	4 756	2 923	1 833	-	-
GEORGIA	31 839	31 627	30 261	1 366	1 366	-	-	212
HAWAII	1 291	1 291	1 291	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	5 934	5 850	5 183	667	653	-	14	84
ILLINOIS	110 352	106 854	60 884	45 970	6 366	96	39 508	3 498
INDIANA	38 876	38 876	33 637	5 239	4 952	287	-	-
IOWA	19 744	19 744	18 119	1 625	977	648	-	-
KANSAS	13 207	13 207	12 172	1 035	1 035	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	32 024	31 937	28 953	2 984	2 762	222	-	87
LOUISIANA	40 101	40 073	37 581	2 492	2 321	171	-	28
MAINE	9 039	9 039	8 460	579	558	21	-	-
MARYLAND	100 117	45 026	41 669	3 357	2 798	435	124	55 091
MASSACHUSETTS	39 088	37 866	37 555	311	307	4	-	1 222
MICHIGAN	72 754	70 320	65 041	5 279	3 036	2 243	-	2 434
MINNESOTA	26 493	22 915	21 532	1 383	1 383	-	-	3 578
MISSISSIPPI	22 381	22 381	18 608	3 773	2 470	1 303	-	-
MISSOURI	27 167	26 792	23 915	2 877	2 334	537	6	375
MONTANA	5 038	4 977	4 489	488	474	14	-	61
NEBRASKA	10 915	10 915	10 359	556	556	-	-	-
NEVADA	6 666	6 666	6 149	517	512	5	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	7 093	6 114	5 301	813	813	-	-	979
NEW JERSEY	62 833	56 091	53 934	2 157	1 736	421	-	6 742
NEW MEXICO	12 391	12 055	11 453	602	590	12	-	336
NEW YORK	112 138	110 614	107 323	3 291	3 018	273	-	1 524
NORTH CAROLINA	44 152	43 758	39 170	4 588	2 864	1 724	-	394
NORTH DAKOTA	2 841	2 831	2 727	104	104	-	-	10
OHIO	47 518	47 518	44 745	2 773	2 741	32	-	-
OKLAHOMA	21 555	21 451	19 894	1 557	1 549	1	7	104
OREGON	23 319	22 453	20 014	2 439	2 438	1	-	866
PENNSYLVANIA	119 596	118 537	113 153	5 384	5 111	273	-	1 059
RHODE ISLAND	7 565	6 525	6 324	201	190	11	-	1 040
SOUTH CAROLINA	24 875	24 875	22 756	2 119	2 118	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	5 334	5 334	5 272	62	62	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	17 352	17 352	15 783	1 569	1 569	-	-	-
TEXAS	85 431	85 429	78 828	6 601	6 595	6	-	2
UTAH	11 197	11 075	9 981	1 094	1 071	23	-	122
VERMONT	6 368	6 368	5 799	569	569	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	54 077	54 077	51 945	2 132	1 930	193	9	-
WASHINGTON	27 591	27 591	25 081	2 510	2 198	312	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	14 710	14 710	12 891	1 819	1 016	794	9	-
WISCONSIN	24 477	22 632	21 810	822	822	-	-	1 845
WYOMING	4 965	4 965	4 728	237	237	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 27. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	1 058 853	1 055 107	992 605	62 502	45 183	16 697	622	3 746	804	2 942
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	206	206	171	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	3 120	3 120	2 754	366	105	261	-	-	-	-
MADISON	447	447	355	92	92	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	1 436	1 436	1 185	251	251	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	490	490	450	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	526	526	490	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	6 957	6 957	4 158	2 799	432	2 367	-	-	-	-
PIMA	10 080	10 008	8 801	1 207	699	508	-	72	72	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	896	896	830	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	8 514	8 514	8 458	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
BUTTE	1 357	1 357	1 279	78	78	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	4 905	4 731	4 673	58	57	1	-	174	-	174
FRESNO	6 259	6 259	6 007	252	166	86	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT	1 883	1 883	1 871	12	10	2	-	-	-	-
KERN	7 919	7 919	6 792	1 127	1 015	112	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	121 281	121 281	116 180	5 101	2 177	2 453	471	-	-	-
MARIN	2 152	2 117	2 067	50	13	37	-	35	35	-
MERCED	1 312	1 312	1 205	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	3 693	3 693	3 521	172	172	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	11 265	11 265	11 101	164	157	7	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	10 128	10 128	9 136	992	330	662	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	13 969	13 969	13 600	369	366	3	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	11 473	11 473	11 444	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	14 775	14 775	13 466	1 309	527	727	55	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	4 367	4 367	4 338	29	11	18	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 925	1 925	1 793	132	41	91	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	6 474	6 474	6 448	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	4 918	4 918	4 630	288	116	172	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	11 160	11 160	10 976	184	38	146	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	2 666	2 666	2 563	103	103	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	1 656	1 656	1 617	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	3 821	3 821	3 390	431	427	4	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	2 708	2 708	2 684	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE	3 497	3 497	3 453	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	7 177	7 177	7 146	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
YOLO	1 719	1 719	1 619	100	49	51	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	2 044	2 044	1 991	53	53	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	1 441	1 441	1 428	13	-	13	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	1 352	1 352	1 165	187	187	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	659	659	588	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	2 142	2 142	1 967	175	98	77	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	919	919	830	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	497	497	435	62	62	-	-	-	-	-
WELD	593	593	586	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	4 271	4 271	4 185	86	86	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	2 020	2 020	1 835	185	178	7	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	2 790	2 790	2 605	185	185	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	6 789	6 709	5 472	1 237	1 237	-	-	80	74	6
DADE	32 538	32 538	31 861	677	677	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	2 168	2 150	1 996	154	154	-	-	18	-	18
HILLSBOROUGH	5 181	5 181	4 520	661	607	54	-	-	-	-
LEE	2 723	2 723	2 420	303	303	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	1 529	1 529	1 354	175	175	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
MANATEE	1 678	1 678	1 485	193	193	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	6 352	6 352	6 274	78	47	31	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	8 593	8 566	7 683	883	882	1	-	27	-	27
PASCO	2 024	2 024	1 786	238	238	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	5 348	5 348	4 418	930	684	246	-	-	-	-
POLK	3 211	3 211	3 012	199	199	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	5 030	5 030	2 421	2 609	149	2 460	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	1 869	1 869	1 735	134	134	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	2 022	2 022	1 759	263	263	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	1 124	1 124	984	140	140	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	1 365	1 365	1 277	88	78	10	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	2 150	2 150	2 129	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	3 557	3 557	3 254	303	303	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	8 601	8 601	8 370	231	213	18	-	-	-	-
FULTON	2 495	1 353	1 203	150	150	-	-	1 142	-	1 142
RICHMOND	1 650	1 649	1 465	184	181	3	-	1	-	1
IDAH0:										
ADA	876	871	764	107	107	-	-	5	-	5
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	812	812	745	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	14 659	14 659	13 872	787	787	-	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE	2 330	2 330	2 206	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
KANE	1 199	1 199	1 163	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	2 385	2 385	2 205	180	180	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	431	431	404	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
MCHEMRY	1 215	1 215	1 150	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	885	885	862	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	635	635	596	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	1 300	1 295	1 184	111	111	-	-	5	-	5
PEORIA	1 241	1 241	1 220	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	1 085	1 085	1 053	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	1 057	1 052	979	73	73	-	-	5	-	5
SANGAMON	955	955	909	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	652	652	585	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	1 610	1 610	1 412	198	67	131	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	1 529	1 529	1 479	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	1 690	1 690	1 510	180	180	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	532	532	464	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	616	616	558	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	2 337	2 337	2 266	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE	496	496	370	126	126	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	462	462	429	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	890	890	842	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	537	537	489	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH	745	745	698	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
VIGO	404	404	336	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	385	385	285	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	715	715	687	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	866	866	855	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	569	569	512	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	407	407	403	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	1 932	1 932	1 666	266	266	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	1 143	1 143	1 062	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	610	610	433	177	173	4	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	304	304	300	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON	9 869	9 662	8 509	1 153	1 153	-	-	207	-	207
KENTON	598	598	575	23	23	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	2 974	2 974	2 567	407	407	-	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU	2 534	2 416	2 337	79	79	-	-	118	118	-
JEFFERSON	8 548	8 548	8 094	454	454	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	1 907	1 907	1 760	147	147	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	1 239	1 239	1 146	93	93	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	1 789	1 789	1 710	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	446	436	400	36	36	-	-	10	10	-
PENOBSCOT	163	163	101	62	22	40	-	-	-	-
YORK	161	161	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	9 308	9 308	8 926	382	382	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	21 399	21 399	21 246	153	153	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	990	990	926	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	18 680	18 622	18 335	287	155	132	-	58	-	58
PRINCE GEORGES	22 720	22 720	21 720	1 000	1 000	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	271	271	233	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE	369	369	358	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE	67	67	38	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	147	147	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	386	386	374	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	828	828	758	70	70	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	1 523	1 389	1 261	128	128	-	-	134	-	134
CALHOUN	846	839	796	43	43	-	-	7	-	7
GENESEE	2 598	2 598	2 550	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
INGHAM	1 676	1 676	1 588	88	88	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	1 085	1 085	997	88	88	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	1 638	1 638	1 569	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
KENT	1 861	1 861	1 829	32	25	7	-	-	-	-
MACOMB	2 712	2 712	2 651	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	1 343	1 337	1 257	80	80	-	-	6	-	6
MUSKEGON	975	854	800	54	54	-	-	121	-	121
OAKLAND	5 274	5 273	5 150	123	123	-	-	1	-	1
OTTAWA	987	987	904	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	1 137	1 137	1 091	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	805	799	756	43	43	-	-	6	-	6
WASHTENAW	2 680	2 680	2 519	161	161	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	7 633	7 633	7 263	370	370	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	1 554	1 554	1 427	127	127	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	771	771	476	295	295	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	3 897	3 897	3 585	312	103	209	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY	2 326	2 326	2 284	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 976	1 976	1 218	758	610	148	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	817	417	764	53	53	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	468	468	414	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	407	407	386	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	385	389	361	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	607	607	404	203	33	170	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	1 235	1 235	1 235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	601	601	526	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES	762	762	616	146	146	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	13 117	13 117	12 594	523	523	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	1 436	1 436	1 204	232	151	81	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	507	507	436	71	69	2	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK	17 472	17 472	16 790	682	658	24	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	2 653	2 653	2 489	164	164	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	102	86	85	1	1	-	-	16	-	16
ROCKINGHAM	269	269	230	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	433	433	433	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	3 474	3 474	3 412	62	57	5	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	1 555	1 555	1 84	1 371	-	1 371	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	1 022	1 022	1 022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	235	235	235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	4 925	4 925	4 921	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	377	377	377	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	3 429	3 429	3 343	86	86	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	720	720	713	7	6	1	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	1 507	1 507	1 412	95	83	12	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	739	739	713	26	-	26	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	1 751	1 751	1 205	546	62	484	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	1 027	1 027	975	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	917	917	917	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	755	755	697	58	26	32	-	-	-	-
UNION	1 237	1 237	1 237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	2 432	2 432	2 410	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	1 045	1 045	981	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME	940	940	891	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA	857	857	796	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG	597	597	593	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	1 262	1 262	1 228	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	5 889	5 889	5 727	162	162	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	5 881	5 881	5 624	257	257	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	83 897	83 897	82 074	1 823	1 753	70	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA	1 230	1 230	1 160	70	70	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA	648	648	608	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	3 087	3 087	2 925	162	107	55	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO	502	502	457	45	32	13	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	295	295	284	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	1 030	1 030	1 002	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	386	386	358	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	386	386	364	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	136	136	107	29	7	22	-	-	-	-
STEBEN	180	180	163	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	67 452	67 452	65 521	1 931	1 017	909	5	-	-	-
ULSTER	421	421	390	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	5 235	5 235	4 882	353	157	196	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	845	835	785	50	50	-	-	10	-	10
CUMBERLAND	1 783	1 686	1 353	333	333	-	-	97	-	97
DURHAM	402	402	385	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	1 004	992	924	68	68	-	-	12	-	12
GASTON	964	964	848	116	116	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	1 342	1 342	1 249	93	90	2	1	-	-	-
HECKLENBURG	2 907	2 288	2 249	39	39	-	-	619	-	619
ONSWLOW	444	444	375	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE	458	390	370	20	20	-	-	68	-	68

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total ¹	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN	461	461	449	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA	475	475	370	105	105	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	642	642	581	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK	551	551	481	70	69	-	1	-	-	-
CLERMONT	282	282	270	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA	574	574	555	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	2 783	2 783	2 119	664	104	560	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	2 005	2 005	1 953	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	517	517	456	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	5 580	5 580	5 390	190	157	33	-	-	-	-
LAKE	816	816	692	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING	490	490	414	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	737	681	680	1	1	-	-	56	-	56
LUCAS	857	857	813	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING	638	638	580	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	2 814	2 814	2 629	185	185	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE	655	655	606	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	539	539	479	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
STARK	1 474	1 474	1 248	226	226	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT	1 454	1 454	1 425	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL	1 291	1 291	1 067	224	224	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	207	207	205	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	203	203	193	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	500	500	481	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	1 587	1 582	1 490	92	92	-	-	5	-	5
JACKSON	1 324	1 324	1 187	137	137	-	-	-	-	-
LANE	2 235	2 235	2 174	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	1 008	1 008	964	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	7 253	7 253	7 189	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	2 105	2 105	2 009	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	6 383	6 383	6 137	246	246	-	-	-	-	-
BEAVER	480	480	459	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
BERKS	144	144	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLAIR	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUCKS	761	761	706	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRE	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	733	733	494	239	239	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN	376	376	156	220	220	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	628	628	624	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE	146	146	107	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	251	251	239	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	951	951	796	155	51	104	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	48	48	47	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND	229	229	228	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	308	308	307	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	673	673	598	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	2 707	2 654	2 452	202	201	1	-	53	53	-
GREENVILLE	2 882	2 882	2 392	490	490	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	757	734	699	35	35	-	-	23	23	-
RICHLAND	2 028	1 924	1 776	148	148	-	-	104	104	-
SPARTANBURG	2 113	2 073	1 793	280	230	50	-	40	40	-
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	1 726	1 726	1 516	210	210	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	1 168	1 168	1 115	53	53	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	2 589	2 334	2 236	98	98	-	-	255	255	-
SULLIVAN	555	555	522	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	188	188	169	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR	2 187	2 187	1 965	222	222	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	751	751	622	129	129	-	-	-	-	-
CAMERON	473	473	355	118	118	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	4 009	4 009	3 499	510	510	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	961	961	924	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	851	851	781	70	70	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	8 830	8 830	8 105	725	725	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	481	481	449	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	831	819	712	107	75	32	-	12	12	-
LUBBOCK	425	425	381	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	494	494	485	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES	734	734	610	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
SMITH	333	332	316	16	-	16	-	1	-	1
TARRANT	2 121	2 121	2 060	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	154	154	116	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	1 230	1 230	1 161	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	309	309	252	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
DAVIS	413	413	351	62	62	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE	5 568	5 568	5 550	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	303	303	228	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	550	550	515	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	7 598	7 598	7 583	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	15 156	15 156	13 675	1 481	1 466	15	-	-	-	-
HENRICO	4 875	4 873	4 673	200	185	15	-	2	-	2
PRINCE WILLIAM	2 686	2 686	2 556	130	130	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	1 411	1 406	1 400	6	6	-	-	5	-	5
KING	10 302	10 302	8 945	1 357	302	886	89	-	-	-
KITSAP	908	908	723	185	185	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	4 521	4 482	4 006	476	296	180	-	39	-	39
SNOMOMISH	2 279	2 279	2 277	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	2 356	2 356	2 274	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	1 105	1 105	1 008	97	97	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	264	264	253	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	424	424	326	98	98	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	1 779	1 779	1 658	121	121	-	-	-	-	-
DANE	2 952	2 873	2 441	432	432	-	-	79	-	79
KENOSHA	1 141	1 141	1 035	106	106	-	-	-	-	-
MARATHON	903	903	776	127	127	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	4 318	4 318	4 191	127	116	11	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	856	856	796	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	1 712	1 712	1 552	160	141	19	-	-	-	-
ROCK	806	798	750	48	48	-	-	8	8	-
WAUKESHA	1 461	1 451	1 361	90	90	-	-	10	-	10
WINNEBAGO	935	935	854	81	81	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 28. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	4 085 801	4 067 099	3 926 297	140 802	75 259	64 178	1 365	18 702	137	18 565
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	12 042	12 042	11 740	302	302	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	1 184	1 184	1 142	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	3 402	3 402	3 109	293	291	2	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	5 571	5 571	5 179	392	392	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	4 226	4 226	3 999	227	227	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	2 360	2 360	2 134	226	151	75	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	6 569	6 569	6 423	146	146	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDAL	1 873	1 873	1 834	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	2 605	2 605	2 218	387	232	155	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	41 034	41 034	38 907	2 127	1 123	1 004	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	3 039	3 039	3 009	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	2 940	2 940	2 933	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	15 456	15 456	13 176	2 280	121	2 159	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	1 092	1 092	1 032	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	3 734	3 734	3 619	115	115	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	1 628	1 611	1 527	84	84	-	-	17	-	17
PINE BLUFF	1 058	1 058	1 002	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	2 347	2 347	2 304	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	2 150	2 139	2 022	117	117	-	-	11	-	11
ANAHEIM	8 039	8 026	7 722	304	304	-	-	13	-	13
BAKERSFIELD	5 459	5 459	3 874	1 585	393	1 192	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	1 109	44	44	-	-	-	-	1 065	-	1 065
BERKELEY	4 925	4 903	4 881	22	22	-	-	22	-	22
BUENA PARK	2 234	2 227	2 215	12	9	3	-	7	-	7
BURBANK	4 792	4 776	4 757	19	19	-	-	16	-	16
CARSON	1 987	123	91	32	32	-	-	1 864	-	1 864
CHULA VISTA	2 305	2 305	2 281	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	4 587	4 522	4 184	338	338	-	-	65	-	65
CONCORD	3 914	3 893	3 366	527	39	488	-	21	-	21
COSTA MESA	3 626	3 617	3 572	45	45	-	-	9	-	9
DAILY CITY	2 263	2 233	2 186	47	44	3	-	30	-	30
DOWNEY	2 926	2 915	2 811	104	104	-	-	11	-	11
EL CAJON	2 099	2 099	2 089	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	2 330	2 322	2 246	76	44	32	-	8	-	8
FREMONT	3 407	3 407	3 293	114	96	18	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	8 776	8 776	8 620	156	156	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	3 559	3 559	3 252	307	130	177	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	3 487	3 466	3 224	242	142	100	-	21	-	21
GLENDAL	4 197	4 181	4 132	49	49	-	-	16	-	16
HAWTHORNE	1 704	1 697	1 623	74	62	12	-	7	-	7
HAYWARD	3 366	3 366	3 276	90	65	25	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	6 009	5 990	5 623	367	367	-	-	19	-	19
INGLEWOOD	6 242	6 230	6 168	62	62	-	-	12	-	12
LAKEWOOD	1 320	179	178	1	1	-	-	1 141	-	1 141
LONG BEACH	21 816	21 816	21 574	242	218	24	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	205 625	205 625	197 758	7 867	2 356	5 510	1	-	-	-
MODESTO	2 774	2 774	2 728	46	7	39	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	1 920	1 920	1 852	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	3 821	3 810	3 575	235	149	82	4	11	-	11
NORWALK	1 709	1	-	1	1	-	-	1 708	-	1 708
OAKLAND	20 613	20 613	20 577	36	26	10	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	2 877	2 877	2 864	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	3 347	3 332	3 316	16	15	1	-	15	-	15
OXNARD	2 957	2 943	2 598	345	73	67	205	14	-	14
PALO ALTO	2 701	2 701	2 650	51	5	46	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	5 861	5 861	5 684	177	155	22	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
POMONA	3 338	3 338	3 313	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	2 845	2 821	2 755	66	66	-	-	24	-	24
REDWOOD CITY	1 791	1 791	1 762	29	26	3	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	5 268	5 268	5 128	140	140	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	5 521	5 521	5 468	53	53	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	13 857	13 857	13 778	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	2 525	2 447	2 335	112	112	-	-	78	-	78
SAN BERNARDINO	5 113	5 033	4 923	110	109	1	-	80	-	80
SAN BUENAVENTURA	2 092	2 083	2 029	54	26	28	-	9	-	9
SAN DIEGO	25 299	25 299	24 345	954	876	78	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	50 158	50 158	49 527	631	506	125	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	21 451	21 308	20 932	376	376	-	-	143	-	143
SAN LEANDRO	2 424	2 424	2 406	18	13	5	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	2 992	2 992	2 799	193	193	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	8 863	8 819	8 223	596	593	3	-	44	-	44
SANTA BARBARA	3 343	3 341	3 246	95	93	2	-	2	-	2
SANTA CLARA	3 214	3 214	3 075	139	24	115	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	4 401	4 367	4 238	129	122	7	-	34	-	34
SANTA ROSA	1 822	1 822	1 729	93	93	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	1 445	1 445	1 423	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	2 109	2 100	2 008	92	78	14	-	9	-	9
STOCKTON	5 886	5 886	5 830	56	36	20	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	2 672	2 672	2 660	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	6 270	6 246	6 172	74	74	-	-	24	-	24
VALLEJO	2 655	2 655	2 601	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	2 296	2 290	2 201	89	89	-	-	6	-	6
WESTMINSTER	2 188	2 176	2 022	154	154	-	-	12	-	12
WHITTIER	2 466	2 464	2 387	77	77	-	-	2	-	2
COLORADO:										
ARVADA	1 986	1 986	1 861	125	20	105	-	-	-	-
AURORA	3 512	3 512	3 485	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	2 261	2 261	2 187	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	7 406	7 406	7 022	384	365	19	-	-	-	-
DENVER	34 133	34 133	31 006	3 127	761	2 355	11	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS	1 303	1 303	1 203	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	4 501	4 501	4 395	106	106	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	3 481	3 481	3 427	54	18	36	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	8 071	8 071	8 070	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	1 564	1 564	1 501	63	63	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	1 884	1 884	1 799	85	-	85	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	8 881	8 881	8 847	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	1 503	1 503	1 455	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	1 783	1 783	1 712	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	2 936	2 936	2 893	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	7 212	7 212	7 131	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	2 806	2 808	2 766	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	5 124	5 111	4 974	137	115	22	-	13	-	13
WATERBURY	4 541	4 516	4 393	123	123	-	-	25	9	16
WEST HAVEN	1 679	1 679	1 633	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	5 580	5 580	5 451	129	129	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	94 818	94 818	93 148	1 670	80	1 584	6	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	2 721	2 721	2 633	88	71	17	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	8 734	8 734	8 211	523	419	104	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	2 921	2 921	2 825	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	4 669	4 669	4 443	226	171	55	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	7 146	7 146	4 885	2 261	401	1 860	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	17 500	17 500	17 077	423	423	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	24 308	24 308	18 478	5 830	96	5 734	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	7 070	7 070	6 957	113	113	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	8 247	8 247	8 220	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	2 191	2 191	2 111	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	10 496	10 496	9 839	657	515	142	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	2 540	2 540	2 439	101	92	9	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	12 585	12 585	12 485	100	75	25	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	3 180	3 163	3 095	68	68	-	-	17	17	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 28. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	2 176	2 176	2 025	151	151	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	26 896	26 896	25 843	1 053	987	66	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	2 083	2 083	1 976	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	6 388	6 388	6 032	356	355	1	-	-	-	-
MACON	2 953	2 953	2 757	196	152	44	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	3 591	3 591	3 539	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	30 381	30 381	29 483	898	808	90	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	2 185	2 185	2 096	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	1 850	1 850	1 801	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	2 964	2 964	2 895	69	14	55	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	981	981	956	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	1 897	1 897	1 820	77	77	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	283 645	283 645	276 647	6 998	6 583	415	-	-	-	-
CICERO	1 647	1 647	1 539	108	8	100	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	2 070	2 070	2 009	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	3 172	3 172	3 121	51	100	1 221	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	2 520	2 620	2 581	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	1 858	1 858	1 807	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	2 955	2 955	2 949	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	3 965	3 965	3 761	204	100	104	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	1 919	1 919	1 849	70	70	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	2 913	2 913	2 818	95	85	10	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	6 920	6 920	6 456	464	407	57	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	5 226	5 226	5 004	222	91	131	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	1 356	1 356	1 355	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	2 691	2 691	2 577	114	114	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	2 779	2 779	2 719	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	2 558	2 547	2 501	46	46	-	-	11	-	11
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	2 088	2 088	1 937	151	78	73	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	4 401	4 401	4 256	145	116	-	29	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	4 995	4 995	4 875	120	120	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	5 742	5 742	5 685	57	54	3	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	3 528	3 528	3 363	165	165	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	26 020	26 020	24 116	1 904	1 904	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	1 904	1 904	1 838	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	3 993	3 993	3 703	290	290	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	1 420	1 420	1 299	121	121	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	2 878	2 878	2 646	232	232	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	1 787	1 787	1 661	126	126	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	2 050	2 050	1 947	103	103	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	7 200	7 200	7 144	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	1 163	1 163	1 132	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	2 276	2 276	2 149	127	78	49	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	2 248	2 248	2 194	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	4 790	4 790	4 704	86	86	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	1 713	1 713	1 642	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	4 262	4 262	3 937	325	178	147	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	7 513	7 513	7 450	63	63	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	2 056	2 056	1 807	249	248	1	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	6 360	6 360	6 135	225	225	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	19 982	19 982	18 683	1 299	942	357	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	1 501	1 501	1 404	97	90	7	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

POLICE PROTECTION

Table 28. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	9 882	9 843	9 398	445	445	-	-	39	-	39
LAFAYETTE	1 906	1 876	1 570	306	223	-	83	30	30	-
LAKE CHARLES	1 388	1 371	1 299	72	72	-	-	17	-	17
MONROE	1 628	1 628	1 290	338	338	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	31 516	31 516	29 788	1 728	1 728	-	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	6 079	6 079	5 647	432	301	131	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	2 663	2 663	2 623	40	36	4	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	67 867	67 867	67 417	450	326	124	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	66 911	66 911	65 217	1 694	1 301	393	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	5 143	5 143	5 079	64	34	30	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	6 311	6 311	6 125	186	162	24	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	2 642	2 642	1 801	841	36	805	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	4 561	4 561	4 474	87	87	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	1 831	1 831	1 750	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	2 814	2 814	2 767	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	3 524	3 524	3 481	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	4 439	4 439	4 302	137	1	136	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	2 430	2 430	2 403	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	2 759	2 759	2 720	39	37	2	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	4 549	4 549	4 247	302	300	2	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	5 503	5 503	4 850	653	653	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	1 765	1 765	1 682	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	5 697	5 697	5 671	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	2 674	2 674	2 654	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	7 094	7 094	6 970	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	2 742	2 742	2 678	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	8 412	8 412	8 020	392	227	165	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	3 937	3 937	3 717	220	220	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	4 299	4 293	4 276	17	17	-	-	6	-	6
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	2 610	2 495	2 417	78	76	2	-	115	-	115
DETROIT	117 935	117 935	115 237	2 698	1 825	873	-	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS	1 618	1 612	1 419	193	104	89	-	6	-	6
FLINT	8 305	8 296	8 286	10	7	3	-	9	-	9
GRAND RAPIDS	7 244	7 244	7 112	132	101	31	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	3 576	3 576	3 225	351	177	174	-	-	-	-
LANSING	5 538	5 538	5 355	183	183	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	1 493	1 493	1 452	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	3 600	3 495	3 344	151	137	14	-	105	-	105
PONTIAC	5 242	5 242	5 134	108	86	22	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	2 127	2 127	2 080	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	2 170	2 170	2 163	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	4 428	4 426	4 194	232	8	224	-	2	-	2
SOUTHFIELD	3 991	3 985	3 814	171	96	75	-	6	-	6
ST. CLAIR SHORES	2 542	2 538	2 528	280	56	224	-	4	-	4
STERLING HEIGHTS	3 200	3 200	3 087	113	113	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	2 354	2 351	2 304	47	47	-	-	3	-	3
WARREN	5 460	5 460	5 298	162	162	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	2 727	2 639	2 309	330	40	290	-	88	-	88
WYOMING	2 373	2 353	1 712	641	106	535	-	20	-	20
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	2 373	2 373	2 358	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	2 793	2 793	2 636	157	157	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	18 810	18 810	17 677	1 133	1 133	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	1 619	1 619	1 554	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	12 213	12 213	11 276	937	754	183	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI	1 002	1 002	815	187	180	-	7	-	-	-
JACKSON	5 341	5 341	5 003	338	338	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	1 635	1 635	1 604	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	1 158	1 158	1 122	36	23	-	13	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	2 841	2 841	2 816	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	28 418	28 405	26 559	1 846	31	1 407	408	13	13	-
ST. JOSEPH	1 807	1 807	1 691	116	116	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	42 661	42 661	41 144	1 517	1 517	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	3 076	3 076	3 019	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	1 985	1 985	1 839	146	113	33	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	1 633	1 633	1 259	374	374	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	4 144	4 141	4 048	93	93	-	-	3	-	3
OMAHA	11 355	11 355	11 057	298	298	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	11 108	-	-	-	-	-	-	11 108	-	11 108
RENO	5 761	5 759	4 708	1 051	853	198	-	2	-	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	2 471	2 471	2 351	120	30	90	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	2 125	2 125	2 043	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	3 174	3 174	3 100	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	2 396	2 396	2 396	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	8 509	8 509	8 427	82	-	82	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	2 989	2 989	2 950	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	4 909	4 909	4 909	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	5 445	5 445	5 442	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	2 810	2 810	2 809	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	17 958	17 958	17 921	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	36 242	36 242	35 695	547	357	190	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	2 294	2 294	2 251	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	7 909	7 909	7 909	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	7 516	7 516	7 448	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	2 870	2 870	2 842	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	1 694	1 694	1 694	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	11 532	11 532	11 204	328	328	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	6 088	6 088	5 897	191	133	58	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	2 125	2 125	2 060	65	63	2	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	22 216	22 216	22 097	119	52	67	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	3 569	3 569	3 513	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	4 004	4 004	3 839	165	165	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	799 448	799 448	790 581	8 867	193	8 674	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	3 575	3 575	3 500	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	13 674	13 674	13 475	199	199	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	885	885	845	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	2 731	2 731	2 687	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	8 358	8 358	8 150	208	208	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	2 065	2 065	1 723	342	47	295	-	-	-	-
UTICA	2 549	2 549	2 477	72	72	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	3 800	3 800	3 744	56	48	8	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	10 329	10 329	10 318	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	1 705	1 691	1 608	83	83	-	-	14	-	14
CHARLOTTE	10 138	10 138	9 763	375	375	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	4 588	4 588	4 215	373	261	112	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	2 049	1 972	1 856	116	111	5	-	77	-	77
GREENSBORO	6 894	6 886	6 612	274	274	-	-	8	-	8
HIGH POINT	2 093	2 089	1 957	132	132	-	-	4	-	4
RALEIGH	4 754	4 754	4 502	252	252	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	1 670	1 670	1 610	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	6 666	6 666	6 485	181	181	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	1 694	1 694	1 616	78	33	45	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON	8 732	8 732	8 438	294	294	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON	3 685	3 680	3 559	121	121	-	-	5	-	5
CINCINNATI	28 281	28 281	26 334	1 947	76	1 837	34	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	54 264	54 264	40 034	14 230	1 437	12 793	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1 649	1 649	1 591	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	25 068	25 068	23 941	1 127	867	260	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	12 179	12 179	11 636	543	543	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	1 067	1 067	1 024	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	2 107	2 107	2 038	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	2 548	2 548	2 078	470	90	-	380	-	-	-
KETTERING	1 479	1 479	1 403	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	1 472	1 472	1 357	115	115	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	1 151	1 151	1 137	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	1 503	1 475	1 393	82	82	-	-	28	28	-
MANSFIELD	1 606	1 606	1 503	103	103	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	1 846	1 846	1 827	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	2 125	2 125	1 934	191	191	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	13 759	13 759	13 173	586	499	87	-	-	-	-
WARREN	1 717	1 717	1 645	72	72	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	4 464	4 464	4 441	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	1 760	1 743	1 627	116	115	1	-	17	-	17
MIDWEST	974	974	974	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	1 448	1 448	1 349	99	99	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	10 471	10 471	9 367	1 104	83	1 021	-	-	-	-
TULSA	9 695	9 695	9 194	501	497	4	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	3 266	3 266	3 177	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	17 655	17 655	17 583	72	71	1	-	-	-	-
SALEM	2 833	2 799	2 785	14	14	-	-	34	-	34
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	3 446	3 446	3 139	307	307	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	1 563	1 563	1 534	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	2 124	2 124	2 075	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	2 658	2 658	2 538	120	120	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	3 405	3 405	3 325	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	2 917	2 917	2 763	154	154	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	2 040	2 040	1 916	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	151 665	151 665	149 907	1 758	164	1 594	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	19 396	19 396	19 357	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
READING	2 580	2 580	2 579	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	2 413	2 413	2 413	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	1 482	1 482	1 436	46	27	19	-	-	-	-
YORK	1 817	1 817	1 781	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	2 106	2 106	2 031	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	2 354	2 354	2 310	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	6 457	6 457	6 392	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	2 742	2 742	2 687	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	2 614	2 595	2 446	149	149	-	-	19	-	19
COLUMBIA	3 384	3 337	3 126	211	202	9	-	47	-	47
GREENVILLE	2 294	2 294	2 164	130	130	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	1 921	1 921	1 847	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	6 939	6 901	6 460	441	398	43	-	38	-	38
KNOXVILLE	5 925	5 925	5 347	578	575	3	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	23 906	23 906	23 148	758	748	10	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	16 400	16 400	15 509	891	889	2	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	1 501	1 501	1 463	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	3 543	3 543	3 486	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	3 043	3 043	2 871	172	172	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	10 454	10 454	10 250	204	204	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	3 288	3 288	2 973	315	196	119	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	1 187	1 187	1 115	72	62	-	10	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	5 621	5 621	5 434	187	187	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	37 582	37 582	37 370	212	212	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	8 559	8 559	8 229	330	330	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	15 059	15 059	14 693	366	31	335	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	1 845	1 845	1 758	87	87	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	2 350	2 350	2 100	250	218	-	32	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	1 090	1 090	1 042	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	57 045	57 045	55 963	1 082	1 082	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	1 959	1 959	1 915	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	787	787	679	108	108	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	3 919	3 919	3 670	249	200	25	24	-	-	-
MESQUITE	1 752	1 752	1 593	159	159	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	1 583	1 583	1 551	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	2 023	2 023	1 921	102	102	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	2 785	2 785	2 770	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	1 489	1 489	1 410	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	1 182	1 182	1 115	67	64	3	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	1 686	1 686	1 476	210	107	-	103	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	24 808	24 808	23 207	1 601	1 251	350	-	-	-	-
TYLER	1 901	1 901	1 158	743	79	649	15	-	-	-
WACO	3 177	3 177	3 110	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	1 679	1 679	1 589	90	90	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	2 147	2 147	1 973	174	174	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	976	976	878	98	98	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	6 989	6 989	6 457	532	529	3	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	5 132	5 132	4 895	237	237	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	4 069	4 069	3 029	1 040	178	862	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	3 563	3 563	3 365	198	198	-	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	2 053	2 053	1 988	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	4 808	4 808	3 824	984	61	923	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	9 760	9 760	9 527	233	233	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	3 478	3 478	3 477	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	11 974	11 974	11 883	91	91	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	2 692	2 692	2 616	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	6 296	6 296	5 772	524	189	335	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	2 145	2 145	1 990	155	155	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	1 825	1 804	1 792	12	12	-	-	21	-	21
SEATTLE	31 242	31 242	30 857	385	136	249	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	4 971	4 854	4 771	83	83	-	-	117	-	117
TACOMA	6 163	6 163	6 032	131	131	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	2 669	2 669	2 622	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	2 179	2 179	2 050	129	129	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	1 710	1 710	1 537	173	173	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	2 534	2 534	2 430	104	104	-	-	-	-	-
KEOSHA	2 529	2 529	2 426	103	103	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	1 383	1 383	1 341	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	6 635	6 595	6 402	193	193	-	-	40	40	-
MILWAUKEE	40 969	40 969	40 311	658	568	90	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	1 348	1 348	1 315	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	4 030	4 030	3 927	103	103	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	1 979	1 979	1 971	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	2 480	2 480	2 416	64	64	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section IV. JUDICIAL

FIGURE 17. Percent distribution of judicial direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976




Table 29. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	2 209 027	2 209 027	2 080 903	128 124	96 040	9 769	86 271
STATES	663 068	585 151	568 409	16 742	77 917	(X)	77 917
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 633 645	1 623 876	1 512 494	111 382	18 123	9 769	8 354
COUNTIES	1 201 003	1 191 231	1 101 565	89 666	9 772	6 489	3 284
MUNICIPALITIES	440 908	432 557	410 929	21 629	8 351	3 280	5 070
ALABAMA	25 523	25 523	25 177	346	397	-	397
STATE	7 303	7 303	7 254	49	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 220	18 220	17 923	297	397	-	397
COUNTIES	16 514	16 514	16 241	273	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 103	1 706	1 682	23	397	-	397
ALASKA	15 482	15 482	14 760	721	191	191	-
STATE	15 379	15 379	14 660	719	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	294	103	100	2	191	191	-
BOROUGHs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	294	103	100	2	191	191	-
ARIZONA	38 222	38 222	25 404	12 818	23	-	23
STATE	3 601	3 601	3 481	120	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 621	34 621	21 923	12 698	23	-	23
COUNTIES	30 770	30 757	18 076	12 681	13	-	13
MUNICIPALITIES	3 876	3 865	3 847	18	11	-	11
ARKANSAS	9 113	9 113	8 992	121	364	106	258
STATE	2 818	2 818	2 797	21	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 401	6 295	6 195	100	364	106	258
COUNTIES	5 225	5 047	4 977	70	177	100	78
MUNICIPALITIES	1 434	1 248	1 219	29	187	6	181
CALIFORNIA	315 701	315 701	285 865	29 836	3 677	246	3 431
STATE	35 566	32 891	32 541	350	2 675	(X)	2 675
LOCAL, TOTAL	283 056	282 810	253 324	29 486	1 002	246	756
COUNTIES	271 153	270 151	241 295	28 856	1 002	246	756
MUNICIPALITIES	12 659	12 659	12 029	630	-	-	-
COLORADO	29 111	29 111	28 403	708	9	-	9
STATE	19 819	19 819	19 424	395	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 292	9 292	8 979	313	9	-	9
COUNTIES	2 824	2 819	2 706	114	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	6 477	6 473	6 273	200	4	-	4
CONNECTICUT	22 681	22 681	21 588	1 094	109	3	107
STATE	22 494	22 395	21 314	1 081	99	(X)	99
LOCAL, TOTAL	289	286	274	13	10	3	8
MUNICIPALITIES	294	284	274	10	10	3	8
DELAWARE	10 423	10 423	10 098	324	878	-	878
STATE	9 040	8 162	8 072	90	878	(X)	878
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 261	2 261	2 026	234	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 622	1 622	1 391	231	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	639	639	635	3	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	25 312	25 312	18 640	6 672	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 312	25 312	18 640	6 672	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	25 312	25 312	18 640	6 672	-	-	-
FLORIDA	99 968	99 968	92 170	7 798	257	210	48
STATE	33 154	33 154	31 491	1 663	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	67 024	66 814	60 679	6 135	257	210	48
COUNTIES	58 877	58 798	52 817	5 981	79	32	47
MUNICIPALITIES	8 194	8 016	7 862	154	178	178	-
GEORGIA	41 860	41 860	40 405	1 455	62	2	60
STATE	7 520	7 520	7 441	79	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 342	34 340	32 964	1 376	62	2	60
COUNTIES	30 926	30 864	29 535	1 329	62	2	60
MUNICIPALITIES	3 476	3 476	3 429	47	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	12 337	12 337	10 992	1 345	-	-	-
STATE	12 337	12 337	10 992	1 345	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	6 930	6 930	6 506	425	115	-	115
STATE	3 730	3 730	3 657	73	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 200	3 200	2 849	352	115	-	115
COUNTIES	3 115	3 115	2 773	342	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	205	89	76	13	115	-	115
ILLINOIS	106 680	106 680	105 726	954	113	70	43
STATE	43 749	43 749	43 557	192	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	63 001	62 931	62 169	762	113	70	43
COUNTIES	62 599	62 486	61 724	762	113	70	43
MUNICIPALITIES	449	449	445	4	-	-	-
INDIANA	31 104	31 104	30 292	812	887	108	779
STATE	7 238	6 844	6 763	81	394	(X)	394
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 368	24 260	23 529	731	493	108	385
COUNTIES	17 154	16 662	16 056	606	493	108	385
MUNICIPALITIES	7 599	7 599	7 473	126	-	-	-
IOWA	20 961	20 961	20 800	161	379	-	379
STATE	6 750	6 750	6 732	18	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 211	14 211	14 068	143	379	-	379
COUNTIES	14 585	14 210	14 067	143	376	-	376
MUNICIPALITIES	5	2	1	-	3	-	3
KANSAS	21 696	21 696	16 811	4 884	127	72	56
STATE	9 408	9 408	4 902	4 506	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 359	12 288	11 909	378	127	72	56
COUNTIES	10 887	10 761	10 392	369	126	72	54
MUNICIPALITIES	1 530	1 529	1 517	11	1	-	1
KENTUCKY	21 161	21 161	20 044	1 116	903	108	800
STATE	7 972	7 173	6 929	244	799	(X)	799
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 096	13 988	13 115	872	109	108	1
COUNTIES	11 682	11 574	10 743	831	108	108	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 417	2 416	2 372	43	1	-	1
LOUISIANA	37 962	37 962	36 379	1 583	66	10	55
STATE	10 572	10 572	10 572	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 400	27 390	25 807	1 583	66	10	55
PARISHES	16 293	16 234	15 204	1 030	59	6	53
MUNICIPALITIES	11 162	11 156	10 603	553	6	4	2
MAINE	6 615	6 615	6 144	472	123	-	123
STATE	4 261	4 138	3 677	461	123	(X)	123
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 477	2 477	2 467	11	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 476	2 476	2 465	11	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	36 133	36 133	35 087	1 046	40	-	40
STATE	20 408	20 368	19 899	469	40	(X)	40
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 765	15 765	15 188	577	-	-	-
COUNTIES	9 394	9 394	9 029	365	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 372	6 372	6 159	213	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	66 935	66 935	59 807	7 128	1 763	-	1 763
STATE	12 734	11 796	11 796	-	938	(X)	938
LOCAL, TOTAL	55 139	55 139	48 011	7 128	825	-	825
COUNTIES	38 853	38 849	31 964	6 885	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	17 112	16 291	16 047	244	821	-	821
MICHIGAN	110 698	110 698	107 780	2 918	4 293	383	3 910
STATE	20 187	18 061	17 883	178	2 126	(X)	2 126
LOCAL, TOTAL	93 020	92 637	89 897	2 740	2 167	383	1 784
COUNTIES	72 580	71 939	69 859	2 080	641	-	641
MUNICIPALITIES	22 219	20 693	20 038	655	1 526	383	1 143

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	31 412	31 412	31 020	392	290	33	257
STATE	4 458	4 455	4 435	20	3	(X)	3
LOCAL, TOTAL	26 989	26 957	26 585	372	287	33	254
COUNTIES	27 155	26 884	26 516	368	271	33	238
MUNICIPALITIES	89	73	69	4	16	-	16
MISSISSIPPI	13 096	13 096	12 750	346	10	9	1
STATE	3 168	3 168	3 136	32	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 936	9 928	9 614	314	10	9	1
COUNTIES	9 273	9 269	8 955	314	4	3	1
MUNICIPALITIES	664	658	658	-	6	6	-
MISSOURI	39 644	39 644	38 159	1 485	387	-	387
STATE	13 852	13 477	13 159	318	375	(X)	375
LOCAL, TOTAL	26 167	26 167	25 000	1 167	12	-	12
COUNTIES	17 414	17 402	16 538	864	12	-	12
MUNICIPALITIES	8 766	8 766	8 462	304	-	-	-
MONTANA	5 272	5 272	4 996	276	9	-	9
STATE	1 201	1 201	1 172	29	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 071	4 071	3 824	247	9	-	9
COUNTIES	3 661	3 661	3 418	243	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	419	410	406	4	9	-	9
NEBRASKA	13 356	13 356	13 210	145	6	-	6
STATE	6 260	6 260	6 212	48	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 096	7 096	6 998	97	6	-	6
COUNTIES	5 695	5 695	5 620	75	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 407	1 402	1 378	23	5	-	5
NEVADA	8 732	8 732	8 462	270	7	7	-
STATE	1 689	1 689	1 663	26	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 050	7 043	6 799	244	7	7	-
COUNTIES	5 754	5 747	5 532	216	7	7	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 297	1 296	1 267	29	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5 770	5 770	5 688	81	374	345	29
STATE	1 740	1 740	1 735	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 375	4 030	3 953	76	374	345	29
COUNTIES	3 168	2 878	2 806	72	289	289	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 232	1 147	1 147	-	85	56	29
NEW JERSEY	89 248	89 248	83 082	6 165	1 766	147	1 619
STATE	23 213	21 732	21 602	130	1 481	(X)	1 481
LOCAL, TOTAL	67 663	67 516	61 480	6 035	285	147	138
COUNTIES	53 603	53 592	47 643	5 949	11	10	1
MUNICIPALITIES	14 138	13 864	13 837	27	274	137	137
NEW MEXICO	8 476	8 476	8 159	316	1	-	1
STATE	6 966	6 966	6 691	275	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 510	1 510	1 468	41	1	-	1
COUNTIES	267	267	267	-	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 243	1 243	1 202	41	-	-	-
NEW YORK	281 416	281 416	276 532	4 884	38 048	394	37 654
STATE	93 474	55 830	54 552	1 278	37 644	(X)	37 644
LOCAL, TOTAL	225 980	225 586	221 980	3 606	404	394	10
COUNTIES	71 500	71 187	68 134	3 053	313	303	10
MUNICIPALITIES	154 435	154 344	153 846	498	91	91	-
NORTH CAROLINA	40 403	40 403	36 061	4 342	10	-	10
STATE	27 139	27 139	27 104	35	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 264	13 264	8 957	4 307	10	-	10
COUNTIES	13 274	13 264	8 957	4 307	10	-	10
MUNICIPALITIES	5	5	-	5	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	4 466	4 466	4 428	38	4	-	4
STATE	1 272	1 272	1 264	8	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 194	3 194	3 164	30	4	-	4
COUNTIES	2 833	2 829	2 801	29	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	365	365	364	2	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	101 804	101 804	93 097	8 707	3 386	1	3 385
STATE	15 027	12 178	11 906	272	2 849	(X)	2 849
LOCAL, TOTAL	89 628	89 626	81 191	8 435	537	1	536
COUNTIES	56 091	56 029	54 325	1 704	62	-	62
MUNICIPALITIES	34 073	33 598	26 866	6 732	475	1	473
OKLAHOMA	15 897	15 897	15 634	263	1 632	1 504	128
STATE	6 916	6 898	6 828	70	18	(X)	18
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 503	8 999	8 806	193	1 614	1 504	110
COUNTIES	8 854	7 240	7 069	171	1 614	1 504	110
MUNICIPALITIES	1 759	1 759	1 737	23	-	-	-
OREGON	23 357	23 357	22 349	1 008	406	76	331
STATE	6 258	6 036	6 027	9	222	(X)	222
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 397	17 321	16 322	999	184	76	109
COUNTIES	16 271	16 108	15 113	995	162	65	97
MUNICIPALITIES	1 238	1 216	1 209	7	22	11	11
PENNSYLVANIA	128 845	128 845	126 201	2 644	24 028	-	24 028
STATE	50 646	26 646	26 646	-	24 000	(X)	24 000
LOCAL, TOTAL	102 199	102 199	99 555	2 644	28	-	28
COUNTIES	58 657	58 629	56 083	2 546	28	-	28
MUNICIPALITIES	43 574	43 574	43 472	102	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	9 800	9 800	9 756	43	-	-	-
STATE	9 474	9 474	9 432	42	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	326	326	324	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	326	326	324	1	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	16 287	16 287	15 959	328	33	6	26
STATE	2 067	2 067	2 038	29	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 227	14 220	13 921	299	33	6	26
COUNTIES	13 346	13 314	13 019	295	33	6	26
MUNICIPALITIES	907	907	902	5	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	6 655	6 655	6 083	572	465	421	44
STATE	4 412	4 412	4 265	147	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 663	2 243	1 818	425	465	421	44
COUNTIES	2 478	2 124	1 705	419	354	310	44
MUNICIPALITIES	230	119	113	6	111	111	-
TENNESSEE	32 359	32 359	30 319	2 040	594	53	541
STATE	8 824	8 824	7 367	1 457	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 588	23 535	22 952	583	594	53	541
COUNTIES	17 620	17 571	17 043	528	49	49	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 513	5 968	5 909	59	544	4	541
TEXAS	94 695	94 695	89 018	5 677	2 054	236	1 818
STATE	14 587	12 789	12 776	13	1 798	(X)	1 798
LOCAL, TOTAL	82 142	81 906	76 242	5 664	256	236	20
COUNTIES	68 452	68 363	66 047	2 316	89	80	8
MUNICIPALITIES	13 714	13 547	10 195	3 352	167	155	12
UTAH	8 405	8 405	8 140	265	111	1	110
STATE	2 594	2 486	2 478	8	108	(X)	108
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 920	5 919	5 662	257	3	1	2
COUNTIES	3 515	3 513	3 311	202	3	1	2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 406	2 406	2 351	55	-	-	-
VERMONT	3 420	3 420	3 361	59	15	-	15
STATE	3 061	3 061	3 034	27	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	359	359	327	32	15	-	15
COUNTIES	357	357	325	32	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	17	2	2	1	15	-	15
VIRGINIA	36 351	36 351	34 126	2 224	5 298	3 772	1 527
STATE	16 671	15 547	15 410	137	1 124	(X)	1 124
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 575	20 804	18 716	2 087	4 174	3 772	403
COUNTIES	12 956	11 057	9 607	1 450	1 899	1 863	36
MUNICIPALITIES	12 023	9 747	9 110	638	2 275	1 909	366

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	27 935	27 935	27 607	328	267	34	233
STATE	5 010	5 010	4 972	38	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 960	22 925	22 635	290	267	34	233
COUNTIES	19 129	19 119	18 880	239	10	-	10
MUNICIPALITIES	4 065	3 807	3 755	51	258	34	223
WEST VIRGINIA	12 966	12 966	12 779	186	14	2	12
STATE	6 892	6 892	6 755	137	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 076	6 074	6 024	49	14	2	12
COUNTIES	5 782	5 780	5 734	45	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	307	294	290	4	12	-	12
WISCONSIN	33 185	33 185	32 957	228	2 044	1 220	824
STATE	9 030	8 807	8 789	18	223	(X)	223
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 597	24 378	24 168	210	1 821	1 220	601
COUNTIES	24 565	23 278	23 073	205	1 287	1 220	67
MUNICIPALITIES	1 634	1 100	1 095	5	534	-	534
WYOMING	3 170	3 170	3 096	74	1	1	-
STATE	1 127	1 127	1 127	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 043	2 043	1 969	74	1	1	-
COUNTIES	1 804	1 804	1 732	72	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	239	239	237	2	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the name of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 30. Total judicial expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL	663 068	585 151	568 409	16 742	7 400	8 245	1 097	77 917
ALABAMA	7 303	7 303	7 254	49	49	-	-	-
ALASKA	15 379	15 379	14 660	719	339	380	-	-
ARIZONA	3 601	3 601	3 481	120	120	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	2 818	2 818	2 797	21	21	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	35 566	32 891	32 541	350	74	276	-	2 675
COLORADO	19 819	19 819	19 424	395	384	11	-	-
CONNECTICUT	22 494	22 395	21 314	1 081	1 015	66	-	99
DELAWARE	9 040	8 162	8 072	90	77	13	-	878
FLORIDA	33 154	33 154	31 491	1 663	1 663	-	-	-
GEORGIA	7 520	7 520	7 441	79	79	-	-	-
HAWAII	12 337	12 337	10 992	1 345	315	5	1 025	-
IDAHO	3 730	3 730	3 657	73	61	12	-	-
ILLINOIS	43 749	43 749	43 557	192	192	-	-	-
INDIANA	7 238	6 844	6 763	81	81	-	-	394
IOWA	6 750	6 750	6 732	18	18	-	-	-
KANSAS	9 408	9 408	4 902	4 506	26	4 480	-	-
KENTUCKY	7 972	7 173	6 929	244	244	-	-	799
LOUISIANA	10 572	10 572	10 572	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE	4 261	4 138	3 677	461	76	385	-	123
MARYLAND	20 408	20 368	19 899	469	208	189	72	40
MASSACHUSETTS	12 734	11 796	11 796	-	-	-	-	938
MICHIGAN	20 187	18 061	17 883	178	178	-	-	2 126
MINNESOTA	4 458	4 455	4 435	20	18	2	-	3
MISSISSIPPI	3 168	3 168	3 136	32	32	-	-	-
MISSOURI	13 852	13 477	13 159	318	283	35	-	375
MONTANA	1 201	1 201	1 172	29	29	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	6 260	6 260	6 212	48	48	-	-	-
NEVADA	1 689	1 689	1 663	26	26	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 740	1 740	1 735	5	5	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	23 213	21 732	21 602	130	77	53	-	1 481
NEW MEXICO	6 966	6 966	6 691	275	274	1	-	-
NEW YORK	93 474	55 830	54 552	1 278	204	1 074	-	37 644
NORTH CAROLINA	27 139	27 139	27 104	35	35	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	1 272	1 272	1 264	8	8	-	-	-
OHIO	15 027	12 178	11 906	272	272	-	-	2 849
OKLAHOMA	6 916	6 898	6 828	70	70	-	-	18
OREGON	6 258	6 036	6 027	9	9	-	-	222
PENNSYLVANIA	50 646	26 646	26 646	-	-	-	-	24 000
RHODE ISLAND	9 474	9 474	9 432	42	26	16	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 067	2 067	2 038	29	29	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	4 412	4 412	4 265	147	147	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	8 824	8 824	7 367	1 457	210	1 247	-	-
TEXAS	14 587	12 789	12 776	13	13	-	-	1 798
UTAH	2 594	2 486	2 478	8	8	-	-	108
VERMONT	3 061	3 061	3 034	27	27	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	16 671	15 547	15 410	137	137	-	-	1 124
WASHINGTON	5 010	5 010	4 972	38	38	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	6 892	6 892	6 755	137	137	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	9 030	8 807	8 789	18	18	-	-	223
WYOMING	1 127	1 127	1 127	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 31. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of State governments, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Appellate courts			Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
		Total	Courts of last resort	Intermediate appellate courts			
TOTAL	568 409	112 645	54 635	58 010	268 362	100 485	86 917
ALABAMA	7 254	1 651	993	658	2 988	-	2 615
ALASKA	14 660	981	981	-	8 200	2 022	3 457
ARIZONA	3 481	2 105	930	1 175	1 136	-	240
ARKANSAS	2 797	490	490	(X)	2 072	-	235
CALIFORNIA	32 541	10 679	2 393	8 286	17 565	-	4 297
COLORADO	19 424	1 435	732	703	15 203	1 454	1 332
CONNECTICUT	21 314	642	642	(X)	8 075	9 895	2 702
DELAWARE	8 072	257	257	(X)	1 650	4 750	1 415
FLORIDA	31 491	4 002	1 912	2 090	19 150	-	8 339
GEORGIA	7 441	1 971	923	1 048	4 428	-	1 042
HAWAII	10 992	666	666	(X)	5 002	3 651	1 673
IDAHO	3 657	1 127	1 127	(X)	2 372	(X)	158
ILLINOIS	43 557	8 183	2 987	5 196	25 921	(X)	9 453
INDIANA	6 763	1 611	665	946	4 717	-	435
IOWA	6 732	1 264	1 264	(X)	4 743	(X)	725
KANSAS	4 902	1 078	1 078	(X)	3 304	-	520
KENTUCKY	6 929	958	958	-	2 378	-	3 593
LOUISIANA	10 572	3 660	1 092	2 568	5 700	820	392
MAINE	3 677	418	418	(X)	943	1 885	431
MARYLAND	19 899	1 782	774	1 008	3 309	13 877	931
MASSACHUSETTS	11 796	2 185	1 465	720	2 630	5 424	1 557
MICHIGAN	17 883	5 910	1 935	3 975	3 693	4 400	3 880
MINNESOTA	4 435	1 541	1 541	(X)	2 572	-	322
MISSISSIPPI	3 136	730	730	(X)	2 130	-	276
MISSOURI	13 159	4 075	2 321	1 754	3 230	3 976	1 878
MONTANA	1 172	332	332	(X)	761	-	79
NEBRASKA	6 212	295	295	(X)	1 623	3 004	1 290
NEVADA	1 663	672	672	(X)	856	-	135
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 735	470	470	(X)	908	280	77
NEW JERSEY	21 602	2 970	950	2 020	6 060	-	12 572
NEW MEXICO	6 691	830	476	354	3 642	1 607	612
NEW YORK	54 552	16 245	2 988	13 257	18 855	6 701	12 751
NORTH CAROLINA	27 104	1 402	730	672	16 958	7 199	1 545
NORTH DAKOTA	1 264	668	668	(X)	593	-	3
OHIO	11 906	4 205	1 746	2 459	7 524	-	177
OKLAHOMA	6 828	1 687	1 428	259	5 107	-	34
OREGON	6 027	1 947	1 382	565	3 915	135	30
PENNSYLVANIA	26 646	5 844	3 269	2 575	12 053	8 530	219
RHODE ISLAND	9 432	1 390	1 390	(X)	3 011	3 286	1 745
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 038	470	470	(X)	1 361	-	207
SOUTH DAKOTA	4 265	542	542	(X)	3 723	(X)	-
TENNESSEE	7 367	1 745	775	970	4 689	-	933
TEXAS	12 776	5 337	1 780	3 557	6 928	-	511
UTAH	2 478	320	320	(X)	1 337	819	2
VERMONT	3 034	308	308	(X)	927	1 494	305
VIRGINIA	15 410	1 278	1 278	(X)	3 207	10 868	57
WASHINGTON	4 972	2 263	1 068	1 195	1 710	-	999
WEST VIRGINIA	6 755	631	631	(X)	1 568	4 408	148
WISCONSIN	8 789	1 042	1 042	(X)	7 201	-	546
WYOMING	1 127	351	351	(X)	734	-	42

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 32. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments,
October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Total judicial				Appellate courts									
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total appellate courts				Courts of last resort				Intermediate appellate courts	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees	
					Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only
TOTAL . .	28 535	25 629	27 632	42 786	5 031	4 930	4 947	7 923	2 513	2 460	2 470	3 664	2 518	2 470
ALABAMA	371	123	363	476	81	81	81	123	51	51	51	72	30	30
ALASKA	613	511	534	903	44	38	39	77	44	38	39	77	-	53
ARIZONA	171	100	164	255	100	100	100	155	47	47	47	68	53	53
ARKANSAS	132	131	131	238	28	28	28	40	28	28	28	40	(X)	(X)
CALIFORNIA . . .	938	510	938	2 667	347	340	341	772	77	77	77	169	270	263
COLORADO	1 178	1 133	1 146	1 429	77	77	77	120	40	40	40	57	37	37
CONNECTICUT . .	1 139	1 103	1 112	1 137	25	25	25	43	25	25	25	43	(X)	(X)
DELAWARE	538	536	536	587	14	14	14	22	14	14	14	22	(X)	(X)
FLORIDA	1 257	1 156	1 185	2 049	211	208	210	254	92	90	92	94	119	118
GEORGIA	381	341	368	573	99	99	99	159	47	47	47	71	52	52
HAWAII	605	588	594	752	45	45	45	69	45	45	45	69	(X)	(X)
IDAHO	176	162	165	262	48	44	45	53	48	44	45	53	(X)	(X)
ILLINOIS	1 785	1 302	1 562	3 109	337	337	337	501	87	87	87	118	250	250
INDIANA	387	129	503	598	108	105	106	131	44	42	43	54	64	63
IOWA	373	195	241	517	54	51	52	69	54	51	52	69	(X)	(X)
KANSAS	229	216	217	318	48	48	48	69	48	48	48	69	(X)	(X)
KENTUCKY	380	380	380	597	47	47	47	77	47	47	47	77	-	-
LOUISIANA	420	348	374	820	158	156	156	263	47	47	47	70	111	109
MAINE	287	268	274	265	20	20	20	26	20	20	20	26	(X)	(X)
MARYLAND	1 140	1 140	1 140	1 669	82	82	82	217	31	31	31	85	51	51
MASSACHUSETTS .	639	631	632	817	102	97	97	149	69	64	64	95	33	33
MICHIGAN	658	658	658	1 322	249	249	249	464	76	76	76	159	173	173
MINNESOTA	144	144	144	304	61	61	61	98	61	61	61	98	(X)	(X)
MISSISSIPPI . . .	130	116	117	225	41	41	41	52	41	41	41	52	(X)	(X)
MISSOURI	955	931	935	1 087	223	204	206	255	104	97	98	115	119	107
MONTANA	55	53	53	89	23	22	22	28	23	22	22	28	(X)	(X)
NEBRASKA	456	433	437	515	9	9	9	24	9	9	9	24	(X)	(X)
NEVADA	70	64	70	133	28	26	26	40	28	26	26	40	(X)	(X)
NEW HAMPSHIRE . .	86	85	85	121	18	18	18	28	18	18	18	28	(X)	(X)
NEW JERSEY . . .	804	799	799	1 167	134	134	134	237	43	43	43	69	91	91
NEW MEXICO . . .	479	479	479	621	41	41	41	60	19	19	19	28	22	22
NEW YORK	2 046	2 037	2 039	4 090	664	655	657	1 064	116	107	109	176	548	548
NORTH CAROLINA .	2 307	2 307	2 307	2 525	60	60	60	91	30	30	30	44	30	30
NORTH DAKOTA . .	52	52	52	93	31	31	31	41	31	31	31	41	(X)	(X)
OHIO	445	126	397	741	146	124	128	240	62	62	62	93	84	62
OKLAHOMA	450	450	450	570	125	125	125	149	111	111	111	130	14	14
OREGON	257	231	233	492	89	84	85	129	59	55	56	86	30	29
PENNSYLVANIA . .	1 137	1 103	1 132	2 339	257	257	257	375	141	141	141	198	116	116
RHODE ISLAND . .	487	487	487	530	73	73	73	81	73	73	73	81	(X)	(X)
SOUTH CAROLINA .	116	115	116	191	35	35	35	44	35	35	35	44	(X)	(X)
SOUTH DAKOTA . .	426	426	426	359	27	27	27	34	27	27	27	34	(X)	(X)
TENNESSEE	358	348	350	604	107	107	107	161	64	64	64	73	43	43
TEXAS	471	466	467	1 027	214	212	212	374	88	88	88	144	126	124
UTAH	133	117	120	182	20	13	14	23	20	13	14	23	(X)	(X)
VERMONT	171	164	166	181	14	14	14	19	14	14	14	19	(X)	(X)
VIRGINIA	1 752	1 518	1 624	1 761	67	67	67	92	67	67	67	92	(X)	(X)
WASHINGTON . . .	251	251	251	369	100	100	100	166	48	48	48	81	52	52
WEST VIRGINIA . .	216	216	216	307	29	29	29	53	29	29	29	53	(X)	(X)
WISCONSIN	431	399	412	718	50	49	49	80	50	49	49	80	(X)	(X)
WYOMING	53	51	51	85	21	21	21	32	21	21	21	32	(X)	(X)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 32. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments,
October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Appellate courts--Continued		Courts of general jurisdiction				Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Intermediate appellate courts--Continued		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
	Number of employees--Continued	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
Full-time equivalent														
TOTAL . .	2 478	4 259	12 320	10 661	12 307	22 495	7 354	7 004	7 164	8 069	3 830	3 034	3 572	4 299
ALABAMA	30	51	102	-	102	237	-	-	-	-	188	42	127	116
ALASKA	-	-	269	253	257	440	88	77	81	124	212	143	156	262
ARIZONA	53	87	71	-	71	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	(X)	(X)	83	82	82	182	-	-	-	-	21	21	21	16
CALIFORNIA . . .	264	603	508	89	431	1 764	-	-	-	-	83	81	82	131
COLORADO	37	63	986	944	956	1 170	77	77	77	92	38	35	36	47
CONNECTICUT . .	(X)	(X)	347	343	344	418	590	590	590	538	177	145	154	138
DELAWARE	(X)	(X)	101	101	101	135	394	394	394	402	29	27	27	28
FLORIDA	118	160	706	608	633	1 202	-	-	-	-	340	340	340	593
GEORGIA	52	88	225	199	223	360	-	-	-	-	57	43	46	54
HAWAII	(X)	(X)	267	261	263	362	249	239	243	270	44	43	43	51
IDAHO	(X)	(X)	123	117	119	207	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	5	1	1	2
ILLINOIS	250	383	932	926	927	2 033	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	516	39	377	575
INDIANA	63	77	253	-	253	442	-	-	-	-	26	24	25	25
IOWA	(X)	-	296	126	163	426	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	23	18	19	22
KANSAS	(X)	-	140	140	140	231	-	-	-	-	41	28	30	18
KENTUCKY	-	-	85	85	85	248	-	-	-	-	248	248	248	272
LOUISIANA	109	192	183	171	175	465	58	8	19	71	21	13	15	21
MAINE	(X)	(X)	120	113	116	112	140	129	132	119	7	6	6	8
MARYLAND	51	132	86	86	86	167	909	909	909	1 216	63	63	63	69
MASSACHUSETTS .	33	54	85	82	82	182	440	440	440	478	12	12	12	8
MICHIGAN	173	305	135	135	135	305	187	187	187	379	87	87	87	174
MINNESOTA	(X)	(X)	72	72	72	193	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	13
MISSISSIPPI . . .	(X)	(X)	79	65	66	165	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	8
MISSOURI	108	140	152	152	152	310	337	336	337	362	243	239	240	160
MONTANA	(X)	(X)	26	26	26	55	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	6
NEBRASKA	(X)	(X)	48	48	48	130	316	293	298	258	83	83	83	103
NEVADA	(X)	(X)	34	30	36	81	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	12
NEW HAMPSHIRE . .	(X)	(X)	36	35	35	68	30	30	30	23	2	2	2	2
NEW JERSEY . . .	91	168	300	299	299	496	-	-	-	-	370	366	366	434
NEW MEXICO . . .	22	32	261	261	261	429	128	128	128	92	49	49	49	40
NEW YORK	548	888	808	808	808	2 124	234	234	234	478	340	340	340	424
NORTH CAROLINA .	30	47	1 476	1 476	1 476	1 723	691	691	691	638	80	80	80	73
NORTH DAKOTA . .	(X)	(X)	20	20	20	50	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	2
OHIO	66	147	297	-	297	498	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	3
OKLAHOMA	14	19	323	323	323	418	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	3
OREGON	29	43	161	142	143	355	5	5	5	7	2	-	2	1
PENNSYLVANIA . .	116	177	311	277	293	1 100	561	561	561	851	8	8	8	13
RHODE ISLAND . .	(X)	(X)	99	99	99	133	176	176	176	199	139	139	139	117
SOUTH CAROLINA .	(X)	(X)	72	72	72	136	-	-	-	-	9	8	9	11
SOUTH DAKOTA . .	(X)	(X)	399	399	399	325	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	43	88	194	184	185	406	-	-	-	-	57	57	57	37
TEXAS	124	230	230	230	230	626	-	-	-	-	27	24	25	27
UTAH	(X)	(X)	63	57	58	107	50	47	48	52	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	(X)	(X)	30	30	30	44	110	103	106	101	17	17	17	17
VIRGINIA	(X)	(X)	101	101	101	350	1 584	1 350	1 479	1 319	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . .	52	85	101	101	101	144	-	-	-	-	50	50	50	59
WEST VIRGINIA . .	(X)	(X)	132	132	132	178	-	-	-	-	55	55	55	76
WISCONSIN	(X)	(X)	362	332	344	612	-	-	-	-	19	18	18	26
WYOMING	(X)	(X)	30	29	29	51	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	2

Table 33. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	927 231	921 848	847 332	74 516	9 838	62 304	2 374	5 383	3 512	1 871
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	468	468	465	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	3 427	3 427	3 345	82	14	68	-	-	-	-
MADISON	1 048	1 048	985	63	58	5	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	2 190	2 190	2 151	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 066	1 066	1 051	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	751	751	749	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	18 084	18 084	7 689	10 395	56	10 339	-	-	-	-
PIMA	6 518	6 506	6 056	450	450	-	-	12	-	12
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	986	976	973	3	3	-	-	10	10	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	18 420	18 180	13 699	4 481	63	4 418	-	240	240	-
BUTTE	1 327	1 327	1 319	8	6	2	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	8 049	7 460	7 418	42	33	9	-	589	-	589
FRESNO	4 353	4 353	4 306	47	24	23	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT	1 239	1 239	1 238	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	3 692	3 692	3 438	254	29	225	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	111 214	111 214	97 866	13 348	298	13 038	12	-	-	-
MARIN	2 995	2 995	2 982	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED	1 020	1 020	921	99	10	89	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	2 642	2 642	2 576	66	11	55	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	18 246	18 246	16 898	1 348	76	1 272	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	6 763	6 601	5 297	1 304	38	1 266	-	162	-	162
SACRAMENTO	7 231	7 231	6 751	480	65	415	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	8 940	8 938	8 929	9	9	-	-	2	-	2
SAN DIEGO	17 057	17 057	16 392	665	90	575	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	3 829	3 829	3 619	210	23	187	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 241	1 241	1 170	71	63	8	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	6 136	6 136	6 095	41	22	8	11	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	3 386	3 386	3 257	129	93	36	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	13 579	13 579	11 694	1 885	8	1 877	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	1 878	1 878	1 721	157	7	-	150	-	-	-
SOLANO	2 918	2 918	1 844	1 074	6	1 068	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	4 004	4 004	2 021	1 983	9	1 974	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	2 893	2 893	2 516	377	28	342	7	-	-	-
TULARE	1 670	1 670	1 643	27	10	15	2	-	-	-
VENTURA	4 647	4 647	4 568	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
YOLO	1 154	1 154	1 150	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	229	229	229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	550	550	451	99	-	99	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	1 181	1 181	1 181	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	128	128	127	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	147	147	144	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WELD	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	1 149	1 149	1 144	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	897	897	718	179	15	164	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	1 364	1 347	1 339	8	8	-	-	17	17	-
BROWARD	4 794	4 794	4 712	82	81	1	-	-	-	-
DADE	16 343	16 343	16 161	182	182	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	2 875	2 875	2 462	413	24	389	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	3 105	3 105	3 039	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	958	958	806	152	52	-	100	-	-	-
LEON	966	966	924	42	42	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
MANATEE	620	620	599	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	4 579	4 579	2 424	2 155	58	2 097	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	4 000	4 000	2 684	1 316	36	1 280	-	-	-	-
PASCO	978	978	874	104	73	31	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	4 562	4 562	4 436	126	3	123	-	-	-	-
POLK	2 092	2 092	1 364	728	30	698	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	845	845	828	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	886	886	879	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	1 189	1 176	1 176	-	-	-	-	13	13	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	1 039	1 039	1 007	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	1 970	1 915	1 528	387	37	-	350	55	-	55
CLAYTON	1 121	1 121	1 121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	2 228	2 228	2 154	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	2 932	2 932	2 882	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	7 184	7 184	7 090	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	1 018	1 018	998	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA	637	637	597	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	383	383	374	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	42 113	42 113	41 684	429	408	21	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE	2 182	2 182	2 155	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
KANE	767	767	762	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	1 169	1 169	1 155	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	295	269	265	4	4	-	-	26	-	26
MCHEERY	358	358	357	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	451	451	444	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
HACON	374	374	374	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	1 141	1 141	1 124	17	15	2	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	604	604	604	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	345	345	342	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	767	767	764	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	482	482	478	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	353	353	343	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	1 012	1 012	875	137	137	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	1 001	1 001	991	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	1 567	1 545	1 526	19	19	-	-	22	-	22
DELAWARE	378	368	364	4	4	-	-	10	-	10
ELKHART	476	468	443	25	25	-	-	8	-	8
LAKE	2 959	2 882	2 789	93	93	-	-	77	-	77
LA PORTE	406	395	378	17	17	-	-	11	3	8
MADISON	663	640	638	2	2	-	-	23	-	23
ST. JOSEPH	1 054	1 045	1 045	-	-	-	-	9	-	9
TIPPECANOE	225	222	211	11	11	-	-	3	-	3
VANDERBURGH	802	780	760	20	20	-	-	22	-	22
VIGO	747	735	694	41	8	33	-	12	-	12
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	1 030	1 030	1 030	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	1 027	987	976	11	11	-	-	40	-	40
POLK	2 462	2 462	2 422	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	610	610	606	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	691	691	681	10	2	8	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	1 097	1 097	1 073	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	2 133	2 129	2 076	53	53	-	-	4	-	4
SHAWNEE	948	948	909	39	37	2	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	1 255	1 255	1 183	72	-	72	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON	1 558	1 558	1 555	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
KENTON	312	312	312	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	680	680	653	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU	657	651	642	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	4 077	4 077	4 077	-	-	-	-	6	6	-
LAFAYETTE	560	560	535	25	5	20	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	315	315	285	30	5	25	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	478	439	414	25	25	-	-	39	-	39
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	426	426	426	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	331	331	331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	314	314	313	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	1 031	1 031	988	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	1 638	1 638	1 615	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	471	471	455	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	2 498	2 498	2 264	234	11	223	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	2 234	2 234	2 219	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	231	231	227	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE	985	985	981	4	3	1	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE	804	804	800	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	2 589	2 588	2 532	56	30	26	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	5 754	5 754	3 798	1 956	6	1 950	-	1	-	1
HAMPDEN	5 667	5 667	3 442	2 225	-	2 225	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	829	826	576	250	-	250	-	3	-	3
MIDDLESEX	9 954	9 954	9 244	710	7	703	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	3 669	3 669	3 651	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	2 989	2 989	2 198	791	10	781	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	5 126	5 126	4 258	868	3	865	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	869	869	832	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	1 612	1 579	1 549	30	26	4	-	33	-	33
CALHOUN	1 888	1 837	1 784	53	53	-	-	51	-	51
GENESEE	4 412	4 366	4 343	23	23	-	-	46	-	46
INGHAM	2 231	2 231	2 193	38	36	2	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	1 059	1 059	1 039	20	18	2	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	1 778	1 756	1 335	421	25	396	-	22	-	22
KENT	1 899	1 894	1 671	223	29	194	-	5	-	5
MACOMB	3 778	3 774	3 483	291	172	119	-	4	-	4
MONROE	989	964	964	-	-	-	-	25	-	25
MUSKEGON	1 376	1 376	1 344	32	26	6	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	5 102	5 073	5 006	67	66	1	-	29	-	29
OTTAWA	739	739	724	15	7	8	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	1 690	1 690	1 676	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	1 061	1 061	1 059	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW	2 177	2 166	2 166	-	-	-	-	11	-	11
WAYNE	25 425	25 079	24 933	146	146	-	-	346	-	346
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	962	962	946	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	927	877	874	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	7 150	7 150	7 045	105	105	-	-	50	-	50
RAMSEY	3 837	3 837	3 737	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 814	1 814	1 802	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	903	903	883	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	939	939	937	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	573	573	573	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	304	304	302	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	592	592	475	117	5	112	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	4 117	4 117	4 042	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	705	697	381	316	7	309	-	8	-	8
ST. CHARLES	441	441	427	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	6 216	6 216	6 181	35	35	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	2 246	2 246	2 228	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	1 031	1 031	1 023	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK	3 094	3 094	2 927	167	167	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	1 658	1 658	1 642	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	984	883	847	36	5	31	-	101	101	-
ROCKINGHAM	612	545	535	10	10	-	-	67	67	-
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	887	887	857	30	8	22	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	4 658	4 658	4 632	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	1 158	1 148	1 148	-	-	-	-	10	10	-
CAMDEN	2 927	2 927	2 927	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	657	657	657	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	11 499	11 499	11 470	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	756	756	756	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	4 534	4 534	4 534	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	2 439	2 439	2 395	44	-	44	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	8 528	8 528	3 537	4 991	21	4 970	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	1 832	1 831	1 831	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
MORRIS	1 267	1 267	1 250	17	16	1	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	1 657	1 657	1 121	536	26	510	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	3 485	3 485	3 387	98	-	-	98	-	-	-
SOMERSET	866	866	858	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
UNION	3 993	3 993	3 975	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	1 319	1 319	1 297	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME	658	658	650	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA	517	517	498	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG	437	437	409	28	-	28	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	1 317	1 317	1 288	29	7	22	-	-	-	-
ERIE	4 999	4 999	4 906	93	93	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	4 788	4 788	4 737	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	17 020	17 020	16 878	142	142	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA	1 271	1 271	1 234	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA	1 031	1 031	1 006	25	8	17	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	2 748	2 748	2 402	346	43	303	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	2 311	2 308	1 899	409	25	384	-	3	3	-
OSWEGO	541	541	528	13	10	3	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	662	662	628	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	1 680	1 670	1 540	130	11	119	-	10	-	10
ST. LAWRENCE	470	470	453	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	565	565	551	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	657	657	655	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
STEBEN	497	497	475	22	21	1	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	10 496	10 496	10 472	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
ULSTER	735	735	654	81	3	78	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	7 222	7 213	6 397	816	44	772	-	9	9	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	330	330	320	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	1 725	1 725	703	1 022	4	-	1 018	-	-	-
DURHAM	370	370	350	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	1 074	1 074	951	123	123	-	-	-	-	-
GASTON	250	250	242	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	714	714	686	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG	3 511	3 511	1 073	2 438	112	2 326	-	-	-	-
ONSLow	315	315	254	61	6	55	-	-	-	-
WAKE	565	565	538	27	27	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN	560	560	543	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA	498	498	490	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	919	919	917	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK	637	637	608	29	23	6	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT	448	448	438	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA	542	542	535	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	10 982	10 982	10 893	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	3 550	3 550	3 504	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	619	619	607	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	5 424	5 424	5 375	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	1 122	1 122	959	163	17	146	-	-	-	-
LICKING	542	542	539	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	971	971	969	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS	2 775	2 775	2 739	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING	1 320	1 320	1 286	34	1	33	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	3 645	3 645	3 645	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE	764	764	764	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	635	635	635	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK	1 765	1 765	1 491	274	30	244	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT	2 520	2 520	2 490	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL	1 150	1 150	1 127	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	552	435	423	12	12	-	-	117	117	-
OKLAHOMA	2 144	1 725	1 714	11	-	11	-	419	419	-
TULSA	1 757	1 422	1 392	30	28	2	-	335	332	3
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	846	846	834	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	548	548	536	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
LANE	2 564	2 564	2 537	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	1 204	1 204	1 183	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	5 489	5 397	5 335	62	22	20	20	92	36	56
WASHINGTON	744	744	742	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	11 732	11 729	11 374	355	355	-	-	3	-	3
BEAVER	1 723	1 723	1 679	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
BERKS	1 777	1 777	1 751	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
BLAIR	708	708	693	15	15	-	580	-	-	-
BUCKS	3 498	3 498	2 873	625	45	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	521	521	503	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA	931	931	922	9	9	-	-	2	-	2
CENTRE	539	537	537	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	1 908	1 908	1 891	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	603	603	603	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN	1 544	1 544	1 533	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	4 878	4 878	4 699	179	179	-	-	20	-	20
ERIE	1 482	1 462	1 441	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE	763	763	625	138	138	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	355	355	350	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA	1 196	1 196	1 188	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	1 958	1 958	1 258	700	24	676	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	487	487	472	15	10	5	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	450	450	444	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	1 299	1 299	1 264	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE	1 644	1 644	1 630	14	9	5	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING	802	802	787	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	621	621	615	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	4 754	4 754	4 719	35	16	19	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	1 191	1 191	1 160	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	826	826	815	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	1 020	1 020	1 013	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND	1 835	1 835	1 810	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	1 519	1 519	1 511	8	8	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	463	463	461	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	1 397	1 397	1 261	136	13	123	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	1 551	1 532	1 448	84	84	-	-	19	-	19
LEXINGTON	493	493	492	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	1 746	1 746	1 713	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG	942	936	931	5	5	-	-	6	6	-
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	1 646	1 646	1 557	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	1 777	1 777	1 483	294	14	280	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	4 688	4 688	4 651	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN	503	503	500	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	520	520	515	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR	3 544	3 544	3 464	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	518	510	506	4	4	-	-	8	8	-
CAMERON	682	682	681	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	9 967	9 967	9 931	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	1 218	1 218	1 216	2	-	2	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	1 083	1 083	1 075	8	6	2	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	15 167	15 167	14 775	392	392	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	657	657	642	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 292	1 246	1 237	9	9	-	-	46	46	-
LUBBOCK	575	575	563	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	506	506	502	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES	1 673	1 673	1 618	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
SMITH	421	421	420	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	4 148	4 148	3 849	299	299	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	385	385	383	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	2 135	2 135	2 033	102	102	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	400	400	394	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
DAVIS	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE	1 875	1 875	1 849	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	284	284	284	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	216	216	210	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	78	78	70	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	1 064	715	708	7	7	-	-	349	349	-
FAIRFAX	3 236	2 336	2 258	78	52	-	26	900	900	-
HENRICO	1 322	1 322	849	473	5	468	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	738	653	633	20	20	-	-	85	85	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	997	997	993	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
KING	6 477	6 477	6 434	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	555	555	544	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	1 791	1 791	1 790	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	1 448	1 448	1 442	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	1 333	1 333	1 319	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	991	991	965	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	480	480	476	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	527	527	526	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	462	378	374	4	4	-	-	84	84	-
DANE	1 847	1 718	1 709	9	9	-	-	129	129	-
KENOSHA	651	588	584	4	4	-	-	63	63	-
MARATHON	432	389	386	3	3	-	-	43	43	-
MILWAUKEE	8 947	8 947	8 857	90	71	19	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	438	333	331	2	2	-	-	105	105	-
RACINE	1 151	1 045	1 040	5	5	-	-	106	106	-
ROCK	691	638	635	3	3	-	-	53	53	-
WAUKESHA	1 025	937	925	12	12	-	-	88	88	-
WINNEBAGO	518	454	451	3	3	-	-	64	64	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 34. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
TOTAL	847 332	388 754	275 538	183 040
ALABAMA:				
CALHOUN	465	214	159	92
JEFFERSON	3 345	1 276	1 513	556
MADISON	985	481	301	203
MOBILE	2 151	781	810	560
MONTGOMERY	1 051	486	354	211
TUSCALOOSA	749	319	227	203
ARIZONA:				
MARICOPA	7 689	5 718	1 292	679
PIMA	6 056	3 965	497	1 594
ARKANSAS:				
PULASKI	973	610	56	307
CALIFORNIA:				
ALAMEDA	13 699	2 480	6 309	4 910
BUTTE	1 319	380	429	510
CONTRA COSTA	7 418	1 002	2 826	3 590
FRESNO	4 306	1 263	2 087	956
HUMBOLDT	1 238	279	580	379
KERN	3 438	1 091	1 367	980
LOS ANGELES	97 866	52 142	42 658	3 066
MARIN	2 982	479	981	1 522
MERCED	921	173	489	259
MONTEREY	2 576	902	1 334	340
ORANGE	16 89	3 198	11 164	2 536
RIVERSIDE	5 297	1 105	2 033	2 159
SACRAMENTO	6 751	2 137	2 665	1 949
SAN BERNARDINO	8 929	2 405	3 962	2 562
SAN DIEGO	16 392	2 565	6 725	7 102
SAN JOAQUIN	3 619	541	1 733	1 345
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 170	457	534	179
SAN MATEO	6 095	1 658	2 442	1 995
SANTA BARBARA	3 257	1 619	1 546	92
SANTA CLARA	11 694	3 039	4 742	3 913
SANTA CRUZ	1 721	491	815	415
SOLANO	1 844	288	918	638
SONOMA	2 021	380	859	782
STANISLAUS	2 516	432	1 064	1 020
TULARE	1 643	305	819	519
VENTURA	4 568	1 575	1 625	1 368
YOLO	1 150	208	417	525
COLORADO:				
ADAMS	229	35	-	194
ARAPAHOE	451	52	59	340
BOULDER	42	-	-	42
EL PASO	1 181	-	-	1 181
JEFFERSON	127	-	-	127
LARIMER	76	76	-	-
PUEBLO	144	-	-	144
WELD	134	-	-	134
DELAWARE:				
NEW CASTLE	1 144	-	-	1 144
FLORIDA:				
ALACHUA	718	427	16	275
BREVARD	1 339	1 082	28	229
BROWARD	4 712	2 578	26	2 108
DADE	16 161	5 001	3 389	7 771
ESCAMBIA	2 462	936	121	1 405
HILLSBOROUGH	3 039	1 251	949	839
LEE	806	623	22	161
LEON	924	492	432	-
MANATEE	599	379	129	91
ORANGE	2 424	868	440	1 116
PALM BEACH	2 684	2 389	28	267
PASCO	874	575	199	100
PINELLAS	4 436	2 852	905	679

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
FLORIDA--CONTINUED				
POLK	1 364	367	358	639
SARASOTA	828	698	-	130
SEMINOLE	879	538	132	209
VOLUSIA	1 176	717	237	222
GEORGIA:				
BIBB	1 007	378	594	35
CHATHAM	1 528	937	377	14
CLAYTON	1 121	592	425	104
COBB	2 154	506	678	970
DE KALB	2 882	1 178	1 611	93
FULTON	7 090	2 414	3 206	1 470
RICHMOND	998	393	459	146
IDAHO:				
ADA	597	532	(X)	65
ILLINOIS:				
CHAMPAIGN	374	342	(X)	32
COOK	41 684	41 023	(X)	661
DU PAGE	2 155	2 130	(X)	25
KANE	762	749	(X)	13
LAKE	1 155	1 008	(X)	147
LA SALLE	265	265	(X)	-
MCHEMRY	357	349	(X)	8
MCLEAN	444	444	(X)	-
MACON	374	374	(X)	-
MADISON	1 124	1 087	(X)	37
PEORIA	604	604	(X)	-
ROCK ISLAND	342	325	(X)	17
ST. CLAIR	764	749	(X)	15
SANGAMON	478	454	(X)	24
TAZEWELL	348	344	(X)	4
WILL	875	875	(X)	-
WINNEBAGO	991	975	(X)	16
INDIANA:				
ALLEN	1 526	1 524	-	2
DELAWARE	364	357	-	7
ELKHART	443	272	-	171
LAKE	2 789	2 372	254	163
LA PORTE	378	333	-	45
MADISON	638	545	81	12
ST. JOSEPH	1 045	402	76	567
TIPPECANOE	211	120	-	91
VANDERBURGH	760	754	-	6
VIGO	694	453	35	206
IOWA:				
BLACK HAWK	1 030	819	(X)	211
LINN	976	818	(X)	158
POLK	2 422	2 136	(X)	286
SCOTT	606	545	(X)	61
WOODBURY	681	623	(X)	58
KANSAS:				
JOHNSON	1 073	491	468	114
SEDGWICK	2 076	796	991	289
SHAWNEE	909	315	352	242
WYANDOTTE	1 183	375	655	153
KENTUCKY:				
JEFFERSON	1 555	381	1 157	17
KENTON	312	190	67	55
LOUISIANA:				
CADDO	653	253	158	242
CALCASIEU	642	321	91	230
JEFFERSON	4 077	323	571	3 183
LAFAYETTE	535	365	57	113
OUACHITA	285	167	45	73
RAPIDES	414	261	50	103
MAINE:				
CUMBERLAND	426	334	82	10
PENOBSCOT	331	244	84	3
YORK	313	267	41	5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
MARYLAND:				
ANNE ARUNDEL	988	741	12	235
BALTIMORE	1 615	1 014	37	564
HARFORD	455	159	-	296
MONTGOMERY	2 264	1 212	-	1 052
PRINCE GEORGES	2 219	1 058	232	929
WASHINGTON	227	43	9	175
MASSACHUSETTS:				
BARNSTABLE	981	304	635	42
BERKSHIRE	800	334	425	41
BRISTOL	2 532	999	1 424	109
ESSEX	3 798	1 633	2 156	9
HAMPDEN	3 442	1 238	2 123	81
HAMPSHIRE	576	247	294	35
MIDDLESEX	9 244	3 350	5 568	326
NORFOLK	3 651	1 366	2 237	48
PLYMOUTH	2 198	843	1 314	41
WORCESTER	4 258	1 543	2 609	106
MICHIGAN:				
BAY	832	341	407	84
BERRIEN	1 549	528	984	37
CALHOUN	1 784	534	1 165	85
GENESEE	4 343	2 553	1 729	61
INGHAM	2 193	1 166	995	32
JACKSON	1 039	535	490	14
KALAMAZOO	1 335	601	678	56
KENT	1 671	798	793	80
MACOMB	3 483	2 084	1 362	37
MONROE	964	406	526	32
MUSKEGON	1 344	539	688	117
OAKLAND	5 006	2 420	2 331	255
OTTAWA	724	270	419	35
SAGINAW	1 676	733	896	47
ST. CLAIR	1 059	379	623	57
WASHTENAW	2 166	999	973	194
WAYNE	24 933	12 773	10 554	1 606
MINNESOTA:				
ANOKA	946	825	-	121
DAKOTA	874	189	471	214
HENNEPIN	7 045	2 431	3 757	857
RAMSEY	3 737	1 584	2 119	34
ST. LOUIS	1 802	534	962	306
MISSISSIPPI:				
HARRISON	883	287	419	177
HINDS	937	319	537	81
JACKSON	573	299	213	61
MISSOURI:				
CLAY	302	98	140	64
GREENE	475	325	150	-
JACKSON	4 042	3 334	708	-
JEFFERSON	381	169	136	76
ST. CHARLES	427	117	157	153
ST. LOUIS	6 181	1 232	4 065	884
NEBRASKA:				
DOUGLAS	2 228	1 506	204	518
LANCASTER	1 023	443	101	479
NEVADA:				
CLARK	2 927	1 640	871	416
WASHOE	1 642	909	310	423
NEW HAMPSHIRE:				
HILLSBOROUGH	847	502	69	276
ROCKINGHAM	535	379	52	104
NEW JERSEY:				
ATLANTIC	857	368	219	270
BERGEN	4 632	1 449	1 541	1 642
BURLINGTON	1 148	454	215	479
CAMDEN	2 927	1 327	534	1 066
CUMBERLAND	657	286	132	239
ESSEX	11 470	2 023	2 364	7 083

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
NEW JERSEY--CONTINUED				
GLOUCESTER	756	239	147	370
HUDSON	4 534	1 825	1 326	1 383
MERCER	2 395	987	233	1 175
MIDDLESEX	3 537	701	745	2 091
MONMOUTH	1 831	365	523	943
MORRIS	1 250	671	431	148
OCEAN	1 121	339	224	558
PASSAIC	3 387	713	952	1 722
SOMERSET	858	404	167	287
UNION	3 975	2 608	1 270	97
NEW MEXICO:				
BERNALILLO	125	-	60	65
NEW YORK:				
ALBANY	1 297	221	687	389
BROOME	650	47	436	167
CHAUTAUQUA	498	29	343	126
CHEMUNG	409	44	299	66
DUTCHESS	1 288	30	657	601
ERIE	4 906	522	2 167	2 217
MONROE	4 737	1 281	2 600	856
NASSAU	16 878	3 037	10 868	2 973
NIAGARA	1 234	82	698	454
ONEIDA	1 006	251	469	286
ONONDAGA	2 402	129	1 103	1 170
ORANGE	1 899	12	657	1 230
OSWEGO	528	59	273	196
RENSSELAER	628	48	324	256
ROCKLAND	1 540	31	814	695
ST. LAWRENCE	453	40	289	124
SARATOGA	551	76	287	188
SCHENECTADY	655	87	427	141
STEUBEN	475	49	244	182
SUFFOLK	10 472	1 562	7 607	1 303
ULSTER	654	128	442	84
WESTCHESTER	6 397	73	2 190	4 134
NORTH CAROLINA:				
BUNCOMBE	320	-	-	320
CUMBERLAND	703	246	-	457
DURHAM	350	-	-	350
FORSYTH	951	-	-	951
GASTON	242	-	10	232
GUILFORD	686	-	-	686
MECKLENBURG	1 073	-	-	1 073
ONslow	254	-	-	254
WAKE	538	-	-	538
OHIO:				
ALLEN	543	398	59	86
ASHTABULA	490	229	102	159
BUTLER	917	668	136	113
CLARK	608	490	48	70
CLERMONT	438	211	102	125
COLUMBIANA	535	365	144	26
CUYAHOGA	10 893	7 941	891	2 061
FRANKLIN	3 504	2 019	369	1 116
GREENE	607	434	108	65
HAMILTON	5 375	4 218	289	868
LAKE	959	678	88	193
LICKING	539	438	49	52
LORAIN	969	614	83	272
LUCAS	2 739	2 037	193	509
MAHONING	1 286	641	255	390
MONTGOMERY	3 645	2 386	417	842
PORTAGE	764	278	348	138
RICHLAND	635	496	68	71
STARK	1 491	1 126	146	219
SUMMIT	2 490	1 771	200	519
TRUMBULL	1 127	722	287	118
OKLAHOMA:				
COMANCHE	423	358	-	65
OKLAHOMA	1 714	1 008	-	706
TULSA	1 392	1 101	-	291

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
OREGON:				
CLACKAMAS.	834	209	120	505
JACKSON.	536	154	65	317
LANE.	2 537	1 648	89	800
MARION.	1 183	490	132	561
MULTNOMAH.	5 335	2 587	2 173	575
WASHINGTON.	742	343	229	170
PENNSYLVANIA:				
ALLEGHENY.	11 374	9 339	1 005	1 030
BEAVER.	1 679	731	238	710
BERKS.	1 751	1 042	352	357
BLAIR.	693	462	122	109
BUCKS.	2 873	1 689	737	447
BUTLER.	503	323	99	81
CAMBRIA.	922	584	225	113
CENTRE.	537	193	172	172
CHESTER.	1 891	1 243	446	202
CUMBERLAND.	603	359	140	104
DAUPHIN.	1 533	878	363	292
DELAWARE.	4 699	3 254	893	552
ERIE.	1 441	845	307	289
FAYETTE.	625	390	107	128
FRANKLIN.	350	177	122	51
LACKAWANNA.	1 188	876	221	91
LANCASTER.	1 258	749	350	159
LAWRENCE.	472	335	67	70
LEBANON.	444	272	71	101
LEHIGH.	1 264	751	236	277
LUZERNE.	1 630	1 152	285	193
LYCOMING.	787	550	134	103
MERCER.	615	393	111	111
MONTGOMERY.	4 719	2 745	941	1 033
NORTHAMPTON.	1 160	818	205	137
SCHUYLKILL.	815	607	109	99
WASHINGTON.	1 013	657	255	101
WESTMORELAND.	1 810	1 079	377	354
YORK.	1 511	910	339	262
SOUTH CAROLINA:				
ANDERSON.	461	95	201	165
CHARLESTON.	1 261	244	727	290
GREENVILLE.	1 448	86	753	609
LEXINGTON.	492	120	270	102
RICHLAND.	1 713	314	1 140	259
SPARTANBURG.	931	152	605	174
TENNESSEE:				
HAMILTON.	1 557	877	383	297
KNOX.	1 483	416	598	469
SHELBY.	4 651	1 140	1 700	1 811
SULLIVAN.	500	185	69	246
TEXAS:				
BELL.	515	180	233	102
BEXAR.	3 464	1 209	692	1 563
BRAZORIA.	506	228	249	29
CAMERON.	681	254	129	298
DALLAS.	9 931	3 287	2 266	4 378
EL PASO.	1 216	441	388	387
GALVESTON.	1 075	422	353	300
HARRIS.	14 775	4 103	3 419	7 253
HIDALGO.	642	309	171	162
JEFFERSON.	1 237	603	569	65
LUBBOCK.	563	224	170	169
MCLENNAN.	502	164	154	184
NUECES.	1 618	368	905	345
SMITH.	420	201	156	63
TARRANT.	3 849	1 519	1 012	1 318
TAYLOR.	383	108	228	47
TRAVIS.	2 033	685	1 043	305
WICHITA.	394	167	150	77

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
UTAH:				
DAVIS.	124	8	47	69
SALT LAKE.	1 849	454	518	877
UTAH.	284	134	66	84
WEBER.	210	103	10	97
VERMONT:				
CHITTENDEN.	70	65	-	5
VIRGINIA:				
ARLINGTON.	708	94	263	351
FAIRFAX.	2 258	563	642	1 053
HENRICO.	849	296	49	504
PRINCE WILLIAM.	633	14	7	612
WASHINGTON:				
CLARK.	993	245	464	284
KING.	6 434	2 739	2 116	1 579
KITSAP.	544	305	164	75
PIERCE.	1 790	958	559	273
SNOHOMISH.	1 442	444	652	346
SPOKANE.	1 319	890	387	42
YAKIMA.	965	432	270	263
WEST VIRGINIA:				
CABELL.	476	112	283	81
KANAWHA.	526	160	303	63
WISCONSIN:				
BROWN.	374	332	-	42
DANE.	1 709	1 709	-	-
KENOSHA.	584	407	-	177
MARATHON.	386	386	-	-
MILWAUKEE.	8 857	8 857	-	-
OUTAGAMIE.	331	288	-	43
RACINE.	1 040	1 024	16	-
ROCK.	635	526	-	109
WAUKESHA.	925	925	-	-
WINNEBAGO.	451	451	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data are based on field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	57 789	52 569	55 028	55 426	26 373	23 946	25 201	24 126
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	42	30	36	27	15	9	13	10
JEFFERSON	316	307	312	269	113	113	113	98
MADISON	91	77	88	68	38	27	37	27
MOBILE	216	191	208	161	69	54	65	55
MONTGOMERY	102	81	102	124	42	25	38	75
TUSCALOOSA	65	48	56	45	24	17	23	18
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	593	587	591	531	454	451	452	420
PIMA	329	326	327	317	232	229	230	217
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	65	64	64	50	43	42	42	34
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	569	569	569	783	54	54	54	86
BUTTE	68	63	65	60	10	10	10	9
CONTRA COSTA	381	355	366	507	48	39	43	59
FRESNO	249	210	227	278	61	50	57	73
HUMBOLDT	89	79	84	92	20	17	19	17
KERN	198	180	187	231	48	45	46	64
LOS ANGELES	4 396	4 065	4 206	6 053	2 263	2 066	2 180	2 932
MARIN	159	146	149	175	22	22	22	25
MERCED	67	58	62	56	10	7	9	7
MONTEREY	171	136	163	181	49	42	49	50
ORANGE	923	875	899	1 145	112	112	128	211
RIVERSIDE	339	311	325	370	44	30	38	51
SACRAMENTO	339	301	319	401	84	57	71	99
SAN BERNARDINO	533	489	506	573	90	85	87	103
SAN DIEGO	1 039	1 008	1 023	1 211	165	156	160	162
SAN JOAQUIN	213	196	206	224	14	6	10	13
SAN LUIS OBISPO	82	72	78	78	30	23	27	28
SAN MATEO	318	295	305	388	71	57	65	90
SANTA BARBARA	219	193	205	216	102	85	92	102
SANTA CLARA	639	532	573	779	117	81	98	135
SANTA CRUZ	89	79	84	97	23	20	22	24
SOLANO	111	107	108	114	12	12	12	13
SONOMA	108	100	108	129	12	11	11	17
STANISLAUS	136	125	130	140	15	15	15	14
TULARE	121	98	109	103	14	9	13	11
VENTURA	239	217	226	293	80	66	73	86
YOLO	78	72	75	71	11	9	10	7
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	12	12	12	12	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	25	24	24	23	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	79	79	79	69	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	9	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	13	13	13	12	-	-	-	-
WELD	5	5	5	4	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	94	94	94	86	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	59	58	58	53	45	44	44	31
BREVARD	131	118	123	80	116	103	108	67
BROWARD	395	372	381	289	285	269	276	197
DADE	1 138	1 134	1 134	971	559	553	556	385
ESCAMBIA	139	139	139	106	98	98	98	70
HILLSBOROUGH	238	238	238	194	79	79	79	63
LEE	74	72	73	54	58	57	57	40
LEON	87	87	87	64	46	46	46	32
MANATEE	45	41	42	34	13	13	13	13
ORANGE	283	283	283	212	102	102	102	70
PALM BEACH	126	122	124	96	89	86	87	64
PASCO	63	61	62	41	24	23	23	16
PINELLAS	344	340	342	303	186	186	186	170
POLK	143	138	141	97	40	39	40	26
SARASOTA	69	63	65	47	50	45	47	35
SEMINOLE	69	69	69	46	30	30	30	20
VOLUSIA	101	90	96	72	50	50	50	43

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Total			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	18 777	17 095	17 853	19 606	12 639	11 528	11 935	11 734
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	22	20	20	15	5	1	2	2
JEFFERSON	143	138	141	125	60	56	58	46
MADISON	30	29	30	24	23	21	22	17
MOBILE	95	88	92	72	52	49	50	34
MONTGOMERY	44	40	42	33	16	16	16	16
TUSCALOOSA	26	25	26	20	15	6	7	7
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	114	111	114	86	25	25	25	25
PIMA	44	44	44	38	53	53	53	62
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	4	4	4	3	18	18	18	13
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	283	283	283	388	232	232	232	309
BUTTE	22	20	21	22	36	33	34	29
CONTRA COSTA	146	134	139	183	187	182	185	265
FRESNO	133	113	120	151	55	47	50	54
HUMBOLDT	43	37	40	45	26	25	25	30
KERN	93	85	88	103	57	50	54	64
LOS ANGELES	2 040	1 944	1 967	3 049	93	55	69	72
MARIN	62	58	59	71	75	66	68	79
MERCED	38	32	33	31	19	19	19	18
MONTEREY	97	72	93	110	25	22	23	21
ORANGE	598	593	594	756	180	170	173	178
RIVERSIDE	135	132	133	156	160	149	153	163
SACRAMENTO	129	124	125	165	126	120	122	137
SAN BERNARDINO	221	199	208	235	222	205	211	221
SAN DIEGO	430	424	425	531	444	428	435	518
SAN JOAQUIN	114	107	111	124	85	83	84	87
SAN LUIS OBISPO	40	38	39	40	12	11	11	10
SAN MATEO	126	125	125	156	121	113	114	142
SANTA BARBARA	117	108	112	114	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	269	235	246	333	253	216	229	311
SANTA CRUZ	44	38	41	48	22	21	22	25
SOLANO	69	66	67	64	30	29	29	37
SONOMA	48	42	44	54	48	43	44	58
STANISLAUS	52	46	48	60	71	64	67	66
TULARE	62	49	54	56	45	40	43	36
VENTURA	87	34	85	103	72	67	68	104
YOLO	30	27	29	28	37	36	36	36
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	12
ARAPAHOE	-	-	-	-	25	24	24	23
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	9
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	79	79	79	69
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	9
LARIMER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	13	13	13	12
WELD	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	4
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	94	94	94	86
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	-	-	-	-	14	14	14	22
BREVARD	-	-	-	-	15	15	15	13
BROWARD	-	-	-	-	110	103	105	92
DADE	347	346	347	279	232	232	232	307
ESCAMBIA	7	7	7	7	34	34	34	29
HILLSBOROUGH	80	80	80	58	79	79	79	73
LEE	3	3	3	2	13	12	12	12
LEON	41	41	41	32	-	-	-	-
MANATEE	18	18	18	13	14	10	11	8
ORANGE	59	59	59	36	122	122	122	106
PALM BEACH	-	-	-	-	37	36	36	32
PASCO	19	18	18	11	20	20	20	14
PINELLAS	98	97	97	78	60	57	58	55
POLK	48	48	48	30	55	51	53	41
SARASOTA	-	-	-	-	19	18	18	12
SEMINOLE	14	14	14	8	25	25	25	18
VOLUSIA	26	26	26	16	25	14	21	13

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	103	72	84	66	45	32	37	26
CHATHAM	119	119	119	92	67	67	67	50
CLAYTON	65	62	63	50	28	25	26	18
COBB	209	178	192	144	41	41	41	27
DE KALB	198	188	193	173	80	70	76	58
FULTON	445	442	445	460	160	157	160	156
RICHMOND	83	78	83	65	27	23	26	22
IDAHO:								
ADA	69	62	64	42	62	55	57	36
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	33	28	29	19	26	24	25	17
COOK	3 505	3 069	3 291	2 911	3 466	3 039	3 258	2 875
DU PAGE	182	163	163	137	181	146	162	136
KANE	81	77	78	50	80	76	77	49
LAKE	99	94	95	65	98	93	94	64
LA SALLE	26	24	25	15	26	24	25	15
MCHENRY	37	29	31	20	36	29	31	20
MCLEAN	55	34	39	23	55	34	39	23
MACON	63	42	51	31	63	42	51	31
MADISON	95	82	85	61	90	78	81	58
PEORIA	53	51	52	31	53	51	52	31
ROCK ISLAND	46	31	36	22	46	31	36	22
ST. CLAIR	81	75	77	52	80	74	76	51
SANGAMON	52	44	46	31	47	42	43	29
TAZEWELL	53	32	40	21	52	32	40	21
WILL	78	78	78	58	78	78	78	58
WINNEBAGO	93	91	92	61	93	91	92	61
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	117	91	110	83	117	91	110	83
DELAWARE	51	40	47	31	40	37	37	25
ELKHART	62	51	58	38	32	27	28	20
LAKE	222	195	212	192	185	163	178	159
LA PORTE	52	46	50	38	31	27	30	22
MADISON	66	53	62	39	50	44	49	32
ST. JOSEPH	104	101	103	74	32	32	32	24
TIPPECANOE	31	26	29	19	12	8	10	7
VANDERBURGH	90	73	85	56	89	72	84	55
VIGO	58	53	58	43	30	26	30	22
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	89	68	71	65	67	52	54	49
LINN	84	65	70	62	54	53	53	49
POLK	142	142	142	132	129	129	129	122
SCOTT	51	48	48	43	44	42	42	39
WOODBURY	48	41	43	45	45	38	40	42
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	108	91	96	73	57	42	46	33
SEDGWICK	172	170	171	134	63	63	63	42
SHAWNEE	107	91	96	73	53	37	43	28
WYANDOTTE	129	103	111	79	51	29	36	22
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	137	137	137	105	32	32	32	25
KENTON	31	27	28	24	20	16	17	15
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	67	47	51	42	14	14	14	12
CALCASIEU	76	27	58	43	23	23	23	18
JEFFERSON	436	381	401	277	17	17	17	13
LAFAYETTE	77	40	54	39	29	21	25	21
OUACHITA	43	9	27	17	15	9	13	8
RAPIDES	62	30	36	27	19	19	19	12
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	14	7	13	9	6	1	5	4
PENOBSCOT	18	11	12	7	7	-	2	1
YORK	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	82	76	77	65	53	53	53	48
BALTIMORE	97	92	93	102	46	43	44	55
HARFORD	31	26	29	31	16	11	14	14
MONTGOMERY	118	115	116	151	57	54	55	72
PRINCE GEORGES	134	114	123	151	67	47	56	70
WASHINGTON	18	14	15	11	5	4	5	4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Total			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	57	39	46	40	1	1	1	(2)
CHATHAM	51	51	51	41	1	1	1	1
CLAYTON	29	29	29	23	8	8	8	8
COBB	51	51	51	41	117	86	99	76
DE KALB	106	106	106	105	12	12	12	10
FULTON	177	177	177	191	108	108	108	113
RICHMOND	41	40	41	31	15	15	15	12
IDAHO:								
ADA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	7	7	7	6
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	7	4	4	2
COOK	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	39	30	32	36
DU PAGE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
KANE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
LAKE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
LA SALLE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
MCHENRY	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	(2)
MCLEAN	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
MACON	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
MADISON	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	5	4	4	3
PEORIA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
ROCK ISLAND	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
ST. CLAIR	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
SANGAMON	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
TAZEWELL	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	5	2	3	2
WILL	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	(2)
WINNEBAGO	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	11	8	10	6	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	6	6	6	4	24	24	24	14
LAKE	37	32	38	33	4	4	4	4
LA PORTE	17	15	16	12	1	1	1	(2)
MADISON	15	8	13	7	63	61	62	44
ST. JOSEPH	9	8	9	6	16	16	16	10
TIPPECANOE	3	2	3	2	1	1	1	1
VANDERBURGH	16	16	16	16	23	23	23	17
VIGO	5	4	5	4	23	23	23	17
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	22	16	17	16
LINN	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	30	12	17	13
POLK	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	13	13	13	10
SCOTT	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	7	6	6	4
WOODBURY	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	3	3	3	3
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	42	40	41	32	9	9	9	8
SEDGWICK	88	86	87	75	21	21	21	17
SHAWNEE	31	31	31	25	23	23	23	20
WYANDOTTE	65	61	63	47	13	13	13	10
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	105	105	105	80	-	-	-	-
KENTON	8	8	8	7	3	3	3	2
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	33	13	17	12	20	20	20	18
CALCASIEU	31	-	10	7	22	4	22	18
JEFFERSON	44	34	36	31	375	330	348	233
LAFAYETTE	29	-	10	7	19	19	19	11
OUACHITA	19	-	5	3	9	9	9	6
RAPIDES	32	-	5	4	11	11	11	11
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	8	6	7	5	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	11	11	11	6	-	-	-	-
YORK	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	3	-	1	1	26	23	24	17
BALTIMORE	4	-	4	3	47	45	45	44
HARFORD	-	-	-	-	15	15	15	17
MONTGOMERY	-	-	-	-	61	61	61	79
PRINCE GEORGES	16	16	16	18	51	51	51	63
WASHINGTON	3	-	1	1	10	10	10	6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	58	47	50	48	9	5	7	7
BERKSHIRE	37	33	35	39	15	11	14	12
BRISTOL	157	153	154	144	51	51	51	42
ESSEX	238	184	209	211	67	43	56	56
HAMPDEN	172	169	170	163	49	49	49	51
HAMPSHIRE	27	26	26	25	7	7	7	7
MIDDLESEX	574	568	569	566	139	139	139	152
NORFOLK	216	184	201	192	75	51	63	68
PLYMOUTH	166	134	149	134	58	38	48	41
WORCESTER	279	258	273	274	71	70	70	75
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	66	60	65	59	27	24	27	23
BERRIEN	123	110	120	105	40	36	40	35
CALHOUN	120	108	116	117	37	33	36	34
GENESEE	202	172	191	225	108	99	107	129
INGHAM	161	144	157	144	88	79	87	80
JACKSON	74	64	73	68	41	36	41	36
KALAMAZOO	101	90	97	99	43	39	43	41
KENT	139	119	135	120	76	69	76	61
MACOMB	230	209	216	217	141	132	135	125
MONROE	82	69	77	70	31	23	27	25
MUSKEGON	103	91	99	100	41	37	41	39
OAKLAND	345	296	323	341	149	130	148	148
OTTAWA	63	48	56	51	22	17	20	18
SAGINAW	138	115	129	115	61	47	55	48
ST. CLAIR	71	58	79	68	30	25	29	27
WASHTENAW	159	135	151	156	68	55	63	67
WAYNE	1 081	1 067	1 072	1 577	491	483	485	685
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	51	49	50	61	46	44	45	54
DAKOTA	50	38	47	54	5	-	5	8
HENNEPIN	384	361	365	490	137	121	124	170
RAMSEY	247	243	245	306	89	88	89	110
ST. LOUIS	125	117	121	146	41	39	40	43
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	85	59	66	50	33	29	32	16
HINDS	61	52	53	43	13	13	13	8
JACKSON	44	35	36	25	31	28	29	16
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	41	32	37	28	13	10	13	11
GREENE	57	45	50	37	37	35	36	25
JACKSON	352	336	345	257	286	275	281	210
JEFFERSON	44	44	44	25	22	22	22	13
ST. CHARLES	49	46	47	34	13	11	12	8
ST. LOUIS	482	455	468	401	108	91	102	83
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	107	86	92	75	69	53	58	44
LANCASTER	76	62	64	73	33	25	26	22
NEVADA:								
CLARK	141	133	139	139	59	59	59	69
WASHOE	100	92	93	98	49	49	49	50
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	56	38	43	31	19	19	19	13
ROCKINGHAM	30	25	26	25	16	14	14	16
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	79	74	75	59	33	32	32	30
BERGEN	248	248	248	272	58	58	58	78
BURLINGTON	102	98	98	89	31	31	31	33
CAMDEN	228	224	225	211	81	81	81	96
CUMBERLAND	57	45	49	42	23	14	17	20
ESSEX	672	670	670	822	85	85	85	119
GLOUCESTER	63	48	53	48	17	16	16	22
HUDSON	327	321	322	307	124	121	122	125
MERCER	169	160	162	157	60	60	60	66
MIDDLESEX	286	280	281	272	44	43	43	55
MONMOUTH	164	162	162	136	24	24	24	33
MORRIS	87	69	76	93	34	34	34	36
OCEAN	102	98	99	93	20	20	20	32
PASSAIC	242	240	241	230	54	52	53	61
SOMERSET	81	61	68	65	36	36	36	41
UNION	281	277	277	293	178	176	176	189

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous				
	Total				Number of employees				October payroll
	Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent						
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BARNSTABLE	46	41	42	39	3	1	1	2	
BERKSHIRE	20	20	20	25	2	2	2	5	
BRISTOL	101	97	97	97	5	5	5	5	
ESSEX	164	141	151	153	7	-	2	5	
HAMPDEN	119	116	117	107	4	4	4	5	
HAMPSHIRE	19	18	18	17	1	1	1	1	
MIDDLESEX	427	422	423	406	8	7	7	8	
NORFOLK	139	131	135	121	2	2	2	3	
PLYMOUTH	104	95	100	91	4	1	1	2	
WORCESTER	202	182	197	193	6	6	6	6	
MICHIGAN:									
BAY	34	31	34	30	5	5	5	6	
BERRIEN	81	72	77	68	2	2	2	2	
CALHOUN	75	68	73	76	8	7	7	7	
GENESEE	92	72	83	94	2	1	2	2	
INGHAM	71	63	68	62	2	2	2	2	
JACKSON	33	28	32	32	-	-	-	-	
KALAMAZOO	56	49	52	56	2	2	2	2	
KENT	57	46	53	54	6	4	5	5	
MACOMB	85	76	79	90	4	1	2	2	
MONROE	49	44	48	43	2	2	2	2	
MUSKEGON	55	48	52	52	7	6	7	9	
OAKLAND	181	154	162	178	15	12	13	15	
OTTAWA	37	27	32	28	4	4	4	5	
SAGINAW	75	66	73	64	2	2	2	3	
ST. CLAIR	50	43	47	48	4	3	4	4	
WASHTENAW	80	69	77	77	11	11	11	12	
WAYNE	496	493	494	749	94	91	92	143	
MINNESOTA:									
ANOKA	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	7	
DAKOTA	30	27	28	31	15	11	12	15	
HENNEPIN	208	205	206	273	39	35	36	47	
RAMSEY	157	154	155	195	1	1	1	1	
ST. LOUIS	60	59	59	74	24	19	22	29	
MISSISSIPPI:									
HARRISON	17	17	17	23	35	13	19	11	
HINDS	38	34	35	30	10	5	5	5	
JACKSON	4	4	4	7	9	3	4	2	
MISSOURI:									
CLAY	21	15	17	11	7	7	7	6	
GREENE	20	10	14	12	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	66	61	64	47	5	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	17	17	17	9	5	5	5	3	
ST. CHARLES	21	20	20	13	15	15	15	13	
ST. LOUIS	276	268	271	245	98	96	96	73	
NEBRASKA:									
DOUGLAS	19	15	16	13	19	18	19	18	
LANCASTER	10	4	6	6	33	33	33	45	
NEVADA:									
CLARK	62	56	62	58	20	18	18	12	
WASHOE	18	15	15	18	33	28	29	30	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
HILLSBOROUGH	7	7	7	4	30	12	16	14	
ROCKINGHAM	6	4	5	3	8	7	7	6	
NEW JERSEY:									
ATLANTIC	24	24	24	18	22	18	18	11	
BERGEN	132	132	132	139	58	58	58	55	
BURLINGTON	20	19	19	19	51	48	48	37	
CAMDEN	56	56	56	44	91	87	88	71	
CUMBERLAND	15	15	15	9	19	16	16	13	
ESSEX	166	166	166	193	421	419	419	510	
GLOUCESTER	17	17	17	12	29	15	22	14	
HUDSON	112	111	111	98	91	89	89	84	
MERCER	24	22	22	19	85	78	80	72	
MIDDLESEX	61	60	60	65	182	177	178	152	
MONMOUTH	45	44	44	42	35	34	34	61	
MORRIS	36	33	34	27	7	2	9	10	
OCEAN	30	28	29	21	2	50	51	40	
PASSAIC	71	71	71	60	117	117	117	109	
SOMERSET	19	18	18	13	26	7	17	11	
UNION	100	100	100	103	3	1	1	1	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	10	10	10	8	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	85	83	84	63	5	5	5	2
BROOME	68	49	53	48	15	-	2	2
CHAUTAUQUA	41	32	35	39	1	1	1	1
CHEMUNG	19	19	19	22	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	78	75	76	76	-	-	-	28
ERIE	296	290	293	360	30	30	30	35
MONROE	184	183	184	217	35	35	35	35
NASSAU	881	881	881	1 277	150	150	150	243
NIAGARA	100	81	85	77	1	-	-	(2)
ONEIDA	78	75	76	71	14	14	14	9
ONONDAGA	147	145	146	156	9	9	9	9
ORANGE	98	93	94	106	-	-	2	2
OSWEGO	39	29	32	33	6	-	4	2
RENSSELAER	54	46	48	39	4	4	-	-
ROCKLAND	77	75	76	85	1	1	1	1
ST. LAWRENCE	35	28	29	30	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	46	35	37	40	9	-	2	2
SCHENECTADY	54	41	46	47	13	-	5	5
STEBEN	31	25	27	25	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	633	633	633	722	90	90	90	105
ULSTER	33	28	30	32	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	302	302	302	406	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	16	16	16	12	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	33	30	31	20	6	6	6	3
DURHAM	28	25	26	21	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	59	59	59	54	-	-	-	-
GASTON	19	19	19	14	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	40	33	34	29	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG	65	62	63	55	-	-	-	-
ONSLow	19	19	19	15	-	-	-	-
WAKE	54	47	49	45	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
ALLEN	53	36	47	34	40	31	38	27
ASHTABULA	50	32	45	35	19	15	19	16
BUTLER	97	74	93	71	67	61	67	54
CLARK	58	44	57	41	44	39	44	32
CLERMONT	66	50	65	37	24	19	24	17
COLUMBIANA	59	41	57	34	41	32	40	25
CUYAHOGA	960	854	911	786	626	626	626	573
FRANKLIN	322	280	311	250	165	153	159	143
GREENE	61	41	53	45	37	32	37	34
HAMILTON	465	428	465	434	399	375	398	369
LAKE	96	67	87	65	57	49	55	43
LICKING	52	34	46	34	39	30	37	27
LORAIN	111	84	106	81	58	50	58	49
LUCAS	257	212	250	215	175	155	174	158
MAHONING	143	107	135	105	65	57	65	60
MONTGOMERY	305	231	278	247	178	151	169	157
PORTAGE	86	71	76	62	35	30	32	28
RICHLAND	48	38	48	41	37	31	37	34
STARK	146	118	145	111	113	101	113	83
SUMMIT	257	207	245	202	201	182	198	159
TRUMBULL	112	86	107	86	69	62	69	57
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	20	16	19	13	15	11	14	10
OKLAHOMA	158	158	158	107	98	98	98	56
TULSA	148	128	141	99	122	103	116	77
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	71	54	60	62	16	13	13	14
JACKSON	54	45	47	35	12	9	10	9
LANE	182	170	172	174	104	94	96	111
MARION	77	66	70	64	22	21	21	23
MULTNOMAH	291	284	286	286	127	125	126	130
WASHINGTON	66	66	66	51	27	27	27	21
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	864	864	864	799	676	676	676	642
BEAVER	128	117	120	82	71	69	70	52
BERKS	128	126	127	88	69	68	68	50
BLAIR	73	56	68	38	37	36	36	21

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Total			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	6	6	6	5	4	4	4	3
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	38	37	37	35	42	41	41	26
BROOME	39	35	36	34	14	14	14	12
CHAUTAUQUA	28	20	23	28	12	11	11	10
CHEMUNG	15	15	15	18	4	4	4	4
DUTCHESS	42	41	41	49	36	34	35	27
ERIE	137	133	135	179	129	127	128	153
MONROE	99	99	99	131	50	49	50	51
NASSAU	614	614	614	883	117	117	117	151
NIAGARA	65	51	53	48	34	30	31	29
ONEIDA	36	35	35	40	28	26	26	22
ONONDAGA	70	69	69	79	68	67	67	68
ORANGE	37	37	37	52	61	56	57	54
OSWEGO	15	13	13	16	18	15	17	15
RENSSELAER	30	30	30	28	20	12	15	9
ROCKLAND	50	50	50	64	26	24	25	20
ST. LAWRENCE	18	18	18	21	17	10	12	9
SARATOGA	18	18	18	22	19	17	18	16
SCHENECTADY	29	29	29	32	12	12	12	10
STEBEN	18	13	14	15	13	12	12	10
SUFFOLK	518	518	518	593	25	25	25	23
ULSTER	28	25	27	30	5	4	4	2
WESTCHESTER	110	110	110	177	192	192	192	229
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	-	-	-	-	16	16	16	12
CUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	27	24	25	17
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	28	25	26	21
FORSYTH	-	-	-	-	59	59	59	54
GASTON	1	1	1	1	18	18	18	13
GUILFORD	-	-	-	-	40	33	34	29
MECKLENBURG	-	-	-	-	65	62	63	55
ONSLow	-	-	-	-	19	19	19	15
WAKE	-	-	-	-	54	47	49	45
OHIO:								
ALLEN	6	-	4	3	7	5	5	4
ASHTABULA	16	5	14	8	15	12	14	11
BUTLER	20	5	19	10	10	8	8	7
CLARK	7	5	6	4	7	5	5	5
CLERMONT	20	13	20	10	22	18	19	10
COLUMBIANA	18	9	18	9	18	18	18	10
CUYAHOGA	129	23	65	76	205	205	205	137
FRANKLIN	26	8	26	21	131	119	123	86
GREENE	19	7	15	9	5	2	2	2
HAMILTON	23	10	23	23	43	43	43	42
LAKE	12	-	8	6	27	18	23	16
LICKING	6	-	4	3	7	4	4	4
LORAIN	8	2	8	7	45	32	37	25
LUCAS	21	3	21	15	61	54	57	42
MAHONING	34	11	30	18	44	39	41	27
MONTGOMERY	53	12	33	34	74	68	73	56
PORTAGE	32	26	28	22	19	15	16	12
RICHLAND	-	-	-	-	11	7	8	7
STARK	16	-	14	11	17	17	17	17
SUMMIT	23	-	17	14	33	25	29	29
TRUMBULL	31	14	25	20	12	10	11	9
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	3
OKLAHOMA	-	-	-	-	60	60	60	51
TULSA	-	-	-	-	26	25	25	22
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	8	7	7	6	47	34	39	42
JACKSON	8	5	6	4	34	31	31	22
LANE	14	14	14	10	64	62	63	53
MARION	16	8	12	10	39	37	37	31
MULTNOMAH	140	136	137	131	24	23	23	25
WASHINGTON	19	19	19	14	20	20	20	16
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	81	81	81	59	107	107	107	98
BEAVER	25	20	23	10	32	28	29	20
BERKS	37	36	36	22	22	22	22	16
BLAIR	14	13	14	7	22	7	17	10

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
BUCKS	261	245	255	188	132	132	132	107
BUTLER	62	47	52	35	39	31	33	22
CAMBRIA	91	74	79	55	53	47	48	35
CENTRE	46	36	39	26	18	17	17	12
CHESTER	146	128	137	112	86	80	82	75
CUMBERLAND	62	48	52	40	35	29	31	25
DAUPHIN	183	120	140	96	92	63	71	53
DELAWARE	419	413	415	298	276	276	276	204
ERIE	134	103	113	96	80	62	67	58
FAYETTE	54	54	54	36	37	37	37	28
FRANKLIN	47	26	34	26	19	13	15	14
LACKAWANNA	108	101	103	78	73	70	71	56
LANCASTER	129	101	109	86	71	56	60	54
LAWRENCE	59	44	52	33	34	30	34	21
LEBANON	51	35	41	29	28	18	21	16
LEHIGH	144	133	138	87	87	87	87	55
LUZERNE	137	137	137	103	83	83	83	68
LYCOMING	60	51	54	44	37	31	33	29
MERCER	74	56	62	41	40	32	36	25
MONTGOMERY	392	300	349	318	204	162	181	186
NORTHAMPTON	115	87	94	70	68	64	66	51
SCHUYLKILL	81	78	81	56	62	59	58	43
WASHINGTON	87	87	87	58	58	58	58	39
WESTMORELAND	161	153	156	116	103	102	102	78
YORK	154	117	136	93	82	75	78	57
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	49	30	39	31	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	111	69	82	81	13	13	13	9
GREENVILLE	130	114	118	101	7	5	5	7
LEXINGTON	51	42	47	34	5	-	4	3
RICHLAND	135	117	121	122	10	9	9	10
SPARTANBURG	93	67	73	66	10	10	10	10
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	115	111	113	96	59	56	58	52
KNOX	152	127	134	98	75	66	68	44
SHELBY	385	382	383	369	95	94	94	87
SULLIVAN	23	23	23	21	18	18	18	13
TEXAS:								
BELL	50	47	48	32	16	16	16	8
BEXAR	307	302	304	244	127	125	126	98
BRAZORIA	52	40	43	20	20	20	20	16
CAMERON	87	77	79	52	32	32	32	23
DALLAS	635	624	627	690	180	180	180	171
EL PASO	112	112	112	97	54	54	54	46
GALVESTON	109	91	94	85	32	32	32	29
HARRIS	956	953	954	979	353	353	353	312
HIDALGO	60	46	48	39	21	21	21	16
JEFFERSON	95	85	93	94	49	44	48	45
LUBBOCK	60	46	53	37	21	17	20	11
MCLENNAN	56	48	52	31	18	14	15	12
NUECES	161	152	155	123	40	40	40	29
SMITH	40	33	36	26	13	11	12	9
TARRANT	255	250	251	229	93	93	93	92
TAYLOR	42	34	40	29	12	6	9	8
TRAVIS	183	161	170	147	62	53	56	46
WICHITA	39	34	36	26	16	14	15	13
UTAH:								
DAVIS	10	10	10	8	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE	148	122	131	125	37	32	34	35
UTAH	21	18	20	17	11	10	11	9
WEBER	20	18	19	15	8	7	7	6
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	8	7	7	5	8	7	7	5
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	47	35	39	46	3	3	3	3
FAIRFAX	195	181	185	215	-	-	-	-
HENRICO	51	51	51	47	20	20	20	15
PRINCE WILLIAM	44	44	44	38	1	1	1	1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Total			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
BUCKS	79	79	79	49	50	34	45	32
BUTLER	12	10	10	6	11	7	9	7
CAMBRIA	25	19	22	12	13	8	9	8
CENTRE	17	14	15	9	11	5	6	5
CHESTER	42	36	39	24	18	12	16	13
CUMBERLAND	13	11	12	6	14	8	9	8
DAUPHIN	40	38	38	22	51	19	28	21
DELAWARE	98	92	94	54	45	45	45	40
ERIE	30	21	25	17	24	20	22	21
FAYETTE	13	13	13	5	4	4	4	3
FRANKLIN	19	10	15	8	9	3	5	4
LACKAWANNA	24	20	22	13	11	11	11	9
LANCASTER	41	33	37	21	17	12	13	11
LAWRENCE	6	6	6	3	19	8	11	9
LEBANON	10	4	8	4	13	13	13	9
LEHIGH	31	29	30	16	26	17	20	16
LUZERNE	35	35	35	20	19	19	19	15
LYCOMING	12	11	11	7	11	9	10	8
MERCER	19	14	16	8	15	10	11	8
MONTGOMERY	85	69	79	47	103	69	89	85
NORTHAMPTON	26	13	19	10	21	10	11	9
SCHUYLKILL	11	11	11	7	8	8	8	6
WASHINGTON	20	20	20	12	9	9	9	7
WESTMORELAND	36	33	34	22	22	18	20	16
YORK	40	27	32	17	32	15	26	19
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	26	14	21	19	23	16	18	12
CHARLESTON	48	47	47	51	50	9	24	21
GREENVILLE	80	66	69	62	43	43	43	32
LEXINGTON	32	28	29	22	14	14	14	9
RICHLAND	110	93	97	97	15	15	15	15
SPARTANBURG	67	41	47	43	16	16	16	13
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	40	40	40	31	16	15	15	13
KNOX	74	58	63	52	3	3	3	2
SHELBY	163	162	162	155	127	126	126	127
SULLIVAN	2	2	2	6	3	3	3	2
TEXAS:								
BELL	23	21	22	18	11	10	10	6
BEXAR	45	42	43	45	135	135	135	101
BRAZORIA	30	19	23	23	2	1	1	1
CAMERON	20	16	17	10	35	29	30	19
DALLAS	129	128	128	184	326	316	319	335
EL PASO	34	34	34	34	24	24	24	17
GALVESTON	34	34	34	34	43	25	28	22
HARRIS	190	187	188	228	413	413	413	439
HIDALGO	20	13	13	14	19	12	13	9
JEFFERSON	38	34	37	44	8	7	7	5
LUBBOCK	18	16	17	13	21	13	16	13
MCLENNAN	23	19	22	12	15	15	15	7
NUECES	93	84	87	72	28	28	28	22
SMITH	16	13	14	12	11	9	10	5
TARRANT	83	78	79	75	79	79	79	62
TAYLOR	24	22	24	17	6	6	6	4
TRAVIS	95	85	90	79	26	23	24	22
WICHITA	19	17	18	11	4	3	3	2
UTAH:								
DAVIS	4	4	4	3	6	6	6	5
SALT LAKE	28	21	23	23	83	69	74	67
UTAH	2	-	1	1	8	8	8	7
WEBER	-	-	-	-	12	11	11	9
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	16	4	6	13	28	28	28	30
FAIRFAX	64	51	55	59	131	130	131	215
HENRICO	-	-	-	-	31	31	31	32
PRINCE WILLIAM	-	-	-	-	43	43	43	37

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	64	55	56	57	17	15	15	17
KING	434	387	397	439	137	130	131	171
KITSAP	52	39	42	43	29	24	25	26
PIERCE	131	109	115	120	75	69	70	72
SNOHOMISH	124	119	120	99	23	23	23	25
SPOKANE	104	90	95	99	61	58	59	62
YAKIMA	88	79	81	70	33	27	28	29
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	33	33	33	32	11	11	11	9
KANAWHA	48	43	44	39	23	21	22	16
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	46	26	34	31	43	23	31	28
DANE	132	123	125	123	132	123	125	123
KENOSHA	43	32	38	39	30	19	26	23
MARATHON	42	39	41	34	42	39	41	34
MILWAUKEE	447	417	423	563	447	417	423	563
OUTAGAMIE	32	27	30	27	25	20	23	20
RACINE	104	81	87	80	104	81	87	80
ROCK	53	48	49	41	45	40	42	31
WAUKESHA	79	67	73	67	79	67	73	67
WINNEBAGO	50	36	41	34	50	36	41	34

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.³Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Table 35. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Total			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Number of employees				Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent					
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	26	20	20	22	21	20	20	18
KING	165	131	137	149	132	126	129	119
KITSAP	19	12	14	13	4	3	3	4
PIERCE	43	31	35	35	13	9	10	13
SNOHOMISH	68	65	66	51	33	31	31	23
SPOKANE	41	30	34	36	2	2	2	1
YAKIMA	29	27	27	22	26	25	26	19
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	12	12	12	15	10	10	10	8
KANAWHA	14	14	14	17	11	8	8	6
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	3
DANE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	13	13	13	16
MARATHON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	7
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK	8	8	8	10	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 36. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	369 890	365 373	344 782	20 591	3 068	17 514	9	4 517	2 002	2 515
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	351	351	350	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	313	232	231	1	1	-	-	81	-	81
MONTGOMERY	447	148	146	2	2	-	-	299	-	299
TUSCALOOSA	129	129	111	18	-	18	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	215	40	38	2	2	-	-	175	175	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDAL	64	64	63	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	2 490	2 490	2 480	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	419	419	418	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	109	103	103	-	-	-	-	6	-	6
LITTLE ROCK	119	119	117	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	138	92	92	-	-	-	-	46	-	46
PINE BLUFF	93	78	78	-	-	-	-	15	1	14
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	45	45	43	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	22	22	18	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	12 317	12 317	11 700	617	617	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ARVADA	76	76	75	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	153	153	152	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	144	144	139	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	307	307	301	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	3 969	3 969	3 886	83	69	14	-	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	172	172	171	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	5	5	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	37	37	31	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	464	464	462	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	25 312	25 312	18 640	6 672	23	6 649	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	104	104	103	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	563	493	492	1	1	-	-	70	70	-
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	4 707	4 615	4 600	15	3	12	-	92	92	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	206	206	195	11	-	11	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	236	236	236	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	107	107	-	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	1 202	1 202	1 196	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	54	54	53	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	1 401	1 401	1 364	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	136	136	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	140	140	139	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	129	18	9	9	-	-	9	111	-	111
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	278	278	278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	162	162	161	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	350	350	347	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	226	226	226	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	5 209	5 209	5 105	104	104	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	207	207	207	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	297	297	296	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	899	899	886	13	10	3	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	504	504	487	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	3 850	3 850	3 750	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	142	142	136	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	5 360	5 360	5 176	184	156	28	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	390	390	362	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	6 371	6 371	6 159	212	212	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	15 432	15 432	15 195	237	127	110	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	366	366	358	8	5	3	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	234	234	233	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	281	281	204	77	1	76	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	9 095	8 868	8 817	51	33	18	-	227	-	227
FARMINGTON HILLS	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	121	-	121
FLINT	667	667	653	14	10	4	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	846	846	831	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	343	343	341	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING	569	569	564	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	272	272	269	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	443	443	430	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	246	246	235	11	10	1	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	222	219	212	7	7	-	-	3	3	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	509	509	465	44	3	41	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	105	105	104	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	251	251	248	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	168	168	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	663	663	533	130	1	129	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	342	342	337	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	339	339	144	195	17	178	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	31	31	27	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	275	275	275	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36 Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	48	48	47	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	1 230	1 230	1 229	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	5 824	5 824	5 540	284	2	282	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	78	77	76	1	1	-	-	1	-	1
GREAT FALLS	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	486	481	468	13	8	5	-	5	-	5
OMAHA	894	894	885	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	512	512	498	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	215	215	204	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	158	158	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	141	141	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	109	109	109	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	238	238	238	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	426	426	426	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	161	161	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	652	652	632	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	851	851	848	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	205	205	205	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	206	206	206	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	821	821	784	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	280	280	278	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	173	173	170	3	1	2	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	1 192	1 192	1 156	36	13	23	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	270	270	268	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	285	285	284	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	133 030	133 030	132 704	326	326	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	227	227	226	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	950	950	950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	86	86	84	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	693	693	689	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	207	207	122	85	5	80	-	-	-	-
UTICA	273	273	272	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	271	271	269	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	808	808	808	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	53	53	52	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON	964	964	960	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON	453	453	451	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	3 660	3 331	3 330	1	1	-	-	329	-	329
CLEVELAND	10 405	10 405	4 105	6 300	-	6 300	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	123	123	122	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	3 468	3 468	3 390	78	17	61	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	1 078	1 078	1 065	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	292	265	255	10	10	-	-	27	-	27
EUCLID	129	129	128	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	138	138	135	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	240	238	214	24	8	16	-	2	-	2
LAKEWOOD	151	151	149	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	316	316	309	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	208	208	207	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	371	371	371	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	362	358	354	4	4	-	-	4	-	4
SPRINGFIELD	374	374	372	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	1 275	1 230	1 223	7	7	-	-	45	-	45
WARREN	419	395	379	16	16	-	-	24	-	24
YOUNGSTOWN	604	604	587	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	129	129	124	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	454	454	454	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	282	282	281	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	42 664	42 664	42 570	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	358	358	355	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	190	190	189	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	263	263	259	4	1	3	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	92	44	43	1	1	-	-	48	48	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	207	207	207	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	1 274	778	771	7	7	-	-	496	-	496
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	3 895	3 895	3 851	44	44	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	73	73	71	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	171	171	169	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	506	506	506	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	150	150	149	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	304	304	303	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	1 502	1 502	1 492	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	301	301	299	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	487	487	487	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	54	54	52	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	4 867	4 867	1 603	3 264	29	3 235	-	-	-	-
IRVING	114	114	112	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	76	65	65	-	-	-	-	11	11	-
LUBBOCK	227	227	223	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	87	87	84	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	135	135	134	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	42	42	41	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	65	65	61	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	77	77	76	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	780	780	780	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	61	61	60	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	282	282	280	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	965	965	925	40	-	40	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	986	702	694	8	8	-	-	284	284	-
CHESAPEAKE	673	506	429	77	7	70	-	167	-	167
HAMPTON	690	447	444	3	3	-	-	243	243	-
LYNCHBURG	171	66	59	7	-	-	-	105	105	-
NEWPORT NEWS	1 460	1 281	1 046	235	233	2	-	179	179	-
NORFOLK	1 669	1 669	1 660	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	698	429	420	9	9	-	-	269	269	-
RICHMOND	2 269	2 269	2 176	93	80	13	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	910	578	567	11	11	-	-	332	332	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	858	668	559	109	22	87	-	190	190	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	2 106	2 106	2 064	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	210	210	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	413	413	412	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 034	524	524	-	-	-	-	510	-	510
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	29	29	24	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Represents zero or rounds to zero.
²Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section V. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

FIGURE 18. Percent distribution of legal services direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976




Table 37. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	898 527	898 527	888 580	9 946	9 010	2 699	6 311
STATES	253 591	247 723	244 746	2 977	5 868	(X)	5 868
LOCAL, TOTAL	653 502	650 804	643 834	6 969	3 142	2 699	443
COUNTIES	396 489	393 648	388 249	5 399	2 841	2 617	224
MUNICIPALITIES	257 424	257 124	255 585	1 538	300	82	219
ALABAMA	7 515	7 515	7 343	172	3	3	-
STATE	3 757	3 757	3 724	33	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 760	3 758	3 619	139	3	3	-
COUNTIES	2 423	2 421	2 288	133	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 337	1 337	1 332	5	-	-	-
ALASKA	6 107	6 107	6 064	43	-	-	-
STATE	4 580	4 580	4 560	20	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 527	1 527	1 504	23	-	-	-
BOROUGH	350	350	350	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 177	1 177	1 154	23	-	-	-
ARIZONA	12 322	12 322	12 175	147	3	-	3
STATE	2 555	2 555	2 495	60	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 767	9 767	9 680	87	3	-	3
COUNTIES	6 335	6 332	6 257	74	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	3 436	3 436	3 422	13	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	3 546	3 546	3 486	60	31	19	12
STATE	984	984	940	44	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 561	2 562	2 546	16	31	19	12
COUNTIES	1 790	1 782	1 766	16	8	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES	804	780	780	-	23	12	12
CALIFORNIA	174 789	174 789	173 084	1 705	67	2	65
STATE	23 930	23 930	23 559	371	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	150 861	150 859	149 525	1 334	67	2	65
COUNTIES	118 891	118 891	117 855	1 036	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	32 036	31 969	31 670	299	67	2	65
COLORADO	15 360	15 360	15 163	197	125	-	125
STATE	3 460	3 460	3 366	94	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 900	11 900	11 797	103	125	-	125
COUNTIES	6 981	6 912	6 842	70	69	-	69
MUNICIPALITIES	5 044	4 988	4 955	33	56	-	56
CONNECTICUT	8 946	8 946	8 908	38	26	-	26
STATE	5 629	5 607	5 581	26	22	(X)	22
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 339	3 339	3 327	12	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 341	3 337	3 327	10	-	-	-
DELAWARE	2 082	2 082	2 074	8	1	-	1
STATE	1 361	1 361	1 353	8	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	721	721	721	-	1	-	1
COUNTIES	297	297	297	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	425	424	424	-	1	-	1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	4 095	4 095	4 095	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 095	4 095	4 095	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 095	4 095	4 095	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	33 317	33 317	32 714	602	-	-	-
STATE	22 552	22 552	22 083	469	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 765	10 765	10 631	133	-	-	-
COUNTIES	4 292	4 292	4 213	80	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 472	6 472	6 419	54	-	-	-
GEORGIA	11 700	11 700	11 617	83	2	-	2
STATE	3 989	3 989	3 988	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 711	7 711	7 629	82	2	-	2
COUNTIES	5 967	5 965	5 890	75	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 746	1 746	1 739	7	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	5 719	5 719	5 681	38	-	-	-
STATE	2 235	2 235	2 235	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 484	3 484	3 446	38	-	-	-
COUNTIES	963	963	962	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 521	2 521	2 484	37	-	-	-
IDAHO	2 898	2 898	2 866	32	-	-	-
STATE	786	786	771	15	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 112	2 112	2 095	17	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 485	1 485	1 469	15	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	628	628	626	2	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	44 954	44 954	44 731	223	1 523	-	1 523
STATE	13 667	12 152	12 108	44	1 515	(X)	1 515
LOCAL, TOTAL	32 802	32 802	32 623	179	8	-	8
COUNTIES	23 045	23 037	22 890	147	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES	9 765	9 765	9 733	32	-	-	-
INDIANA	12 542	12 542	12 399	143	130	127	3
STATE	4 773	4 773	4 744	29	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 896	7 769	7 655	114	130	127	3
COUNTIES	4 358	4 228	4 134	95	130	127	3
MUNICIPALITIES	3 540	3 540	3 521	19	-	-	-
IOWA	7 719	7 719	7 678	41	21	-	21
STATE	1 937	1 937	1 928	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 782	5 782	5 750	32	21	-	21
COUNTIES	4 202	4 181	4 157	24	21	-	21
MUNICIPALITIES	1 602	1 602	1 594	8	-	-	-
KANSAS	9 339	9 339	9 131	208	11	-	11
STATE	3 532	3 532	3 372	160	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 807	5 807	5 759	48	11	-	11
COUNTIES	4 198	4 187	4 145	42	11	-	11
MUNICIPALITIES	1 620	1 620	1 614	6	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	7 956	7 956	7 836	119	3 008	-	3 008
STATE	5 675	2 667	2 629	38	3 008	(X)	3 008
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 289	5 289	5 207	81	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 992	2 992	2 925	67	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 297	2 297	2 282	15	-	-	-
LOUISIANA	14 547	14 547	14 299	248	7	-	7
STATE	7 529	7 529	7 459	70	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 018	7 018	6 840	178	7	-	7
PARISHES	3 735	3 729	3 587	142	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES	3 290	3 290	3 253	37	-	-	-
MAINE	2 321	2 321	2 305	16	1	1	-
STATE	1 537	1 537	1 532	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	785	784	773	11	1	1	-
COUNTIES	322	322	311	11	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	463	462	462	-	1	1	-
MARYLAND	15 453	15 453	15 339	114	-	-	-
STATE	2 207	2 207	2 163	44	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 246	13 246	13 176	70	-	-	-
COUNTIES	7 563	7 563	7 504	59	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 684	5 684	5 672	12	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	19 080	19 080	18 993	88	6	6	-
STATE	5 521	5 521	5 521	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 565	13 559	13 472	88	6	6	-
COUNTIES	3 340	3 334	3 275	59	6	6	-
MUNICIPALITIES	10 224	10 224	10 197	28	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	39 209	39 209	38 963	246	58	-	58
STATE	8 408	8 408	8 366	42	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 801	30 801	30 597	204	58	-	58
COUNTIES	20 661	20 609	20 477	132	52	-	52
MUNICIPALITIES	10 198	10 192	10 120	72	6	-	6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	14 057	14 057	13 954	103	337	-	337
STATE	3 013	2 698	2 671	27	315	(X)	315
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 359	11 359	11 283	76	22	-	22
COUNTIES	6 970	6 948	6 887	62	22	-	22
MUNICIPALITIES	4 411	4 411	4 396	14	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	4 044	4 044	4 019	26	1	-	1
STATE	1 884	1 884	1 880	4	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 160	2 160	2 139	22	1	-	1
COUNTIES	1 135	1 134	1 114	20	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 026	1 026	1 025	1	-	-	-
MISSOURI	12 127	12 127	12 006	121	-	-	-
STATE	1 383	1 383	1 368	15	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 744	10 744	10 638	106	-	-	-
COUNTIES	5 662	5 662	5 584	78	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 082	5 082	5 053	28	-	-	-
MONTANA	3 263	3 263	3 160	102	-	-	-
STATE	1 023	1 023	971	52	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 240	2 240	2 189	50	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 803	1 803	1 753	50	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	436	436	436	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	5 540	5 540	5 452	88	-	-	-
STATE	553	553	538	15	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 987	4 987	4 914	73	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 595	3 595	3 539	56	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 392	1 392	1 375	18	-	-	-
NEVADA	6 494	6 494	6 299	195	-	-	-
STATE	1 416	1 416	1 401	15	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 078	5 078	4 898	180	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 924	3 924	3 752	172	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 154	1 154	1 146	8	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 608	1 608	1 598	11	-	-	-
STATE	789	789	782	7	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	819	819	816	4	-	-	-
COUNTIES	337	337	334	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	483	483	482	1	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	45 581	45 581	45 275	306	-	-	-
STATE	7 457	7 457	7 393	64	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	38 124	38 124	37 882	242	-	-	-
COUNTIES	28 983	28 983	28 758	225	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9 137	9 137	9 124	13	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	4 599	4 599	4 530	69	8	2	5
STATE	3 143	3 143	3 077	66	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 458	1 456	1 453	3	8	2	5
COUNTIES	386	378	378	-	8	2	5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 077	1 077	1 075	2	-	-	-
NEW YORK	117 699	117 699	116 763	936	280	-	280
STATE	27 595	27 315	26 834	481	280	(X)	280
LOCAL, TOTAL	90 384	90 384	89 929	455	-	-	-
COUNTIES	28 656	28 656	28 404	252	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	61 695	61 695	61 525	170	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA	8 469	8 469	8 428	40	-	-	-
STATE	5 415	5 415	5 415	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 054	3 054	3 013	40	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 299	1 299	1 273	26	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 756	1 756	1 741	15	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	2 353	2 353	2 288	65	-	-	-
STATE	935	935	876	59	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 418	1 418	1 412	6	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 170	1 170	1 167	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	248	248	245	3	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	34 484	34 484	34 294	190	3	2	1
STATE	10 675	10 675	10 621	54	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 811	23 809	23 673	136	3	2	1
COUNTIES	12 291	12 291	12 211	80	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	11 521	11 518	11 462	56	3	2	1
OKLAHOMA	7 508	7 508	7 452	56	2 451	2 451	-
STATE	4 952	4 952	4 941	11	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 007	2 556	2 511	45	2 451	2 451	-
COUNTIES	3 139	689	670	18	2 451	2 451	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 867	1 867	1 840	27	-	-	-
OREGON	16 916	16 916	16 629	287	-	-	-
STATE	7 879	7 879	7 879	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 037	9 037	8 750	287	-	-	-
COUNTIES	7 066	7 066	6 788	277	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 972	1 972	1 962	10	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	36 360	36 360	35 450	910	-	-	-
STATE	6 813	6 813	6 798	15	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 547	29 547	28 652	895	-	-	-
COUNTIES	14 050	14 050	13 385	665	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	15 497	15 497	15 267	230	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	2 573	2 573	2 557	16	-	-	-
STATE	1 711	1 711	1 698	13	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	862	862	859	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	862	862	859	3	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	4 544	4 544	4 507	37	17	-	17
STATE	2 437	2 437	2 416	21	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 107	2 107	2 091	16	17	-	17
COUNTIES	1 561	1 544	1 528	16	17	-	17
MUNICIPALITIES	563	563	563	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	3 345	3 345	3 272	72	-	-	-
STATE	1 696	1 696	1 630	66	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 649	1 649	1 642	6	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 335	1 335	1 331	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	314	314	311	2	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	8 551	8 551	8 453	99	-	-	-
STATE	5 110	5 110	5 044	66	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 442	3 441	3 409	33	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 400	1 400	1 382	18	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 042	2 042	2 026	16	-	-	-
TEXAS	41 727	41 727	40 922	805	17	15	1
STATE	10 257	10 257	10 079	178	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	31 485	31 470	30 843	627	17	15	1
COUNTIES	23 104	23 088	22 576	512	17	15	1
MUNICIPALITIES	8 382	8 382	8 267	115	-	-	-
UTAH	4 107	4 107	3 961	146	266	-	266
STATE	1 164	954	935	19	210	(X)	210
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 153	3 153	3 026	127	56	-	56
COUNTIES	2 420	2 420	2 296	124	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	789	733	730	3	56	-	56
VERMONT	1 687	1 687	1 687	-	-	-	-
STATE	1 292	1 292	1 292	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	395	395	395	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	15	15	15	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	380	380	380	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	12 601	12 601	12 523	78	156	70	86
STATE	3 989	3 922	3 912	10	67	(X)	67
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 749	8 679	8 611	68	89	70	19
COUNTIES	4 161	4 154	4 125	29	7	6	1
MUNICIPALITIES	4 608	4 526	4 487	39	82	64	18

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	15 651	15 651	15 339	311	434	-	434
STATE	5 367	4 933	4 847	86	434	(X)	434
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 718	10 718	10 492	225	-	-	-
COUNTIES	7 202	7 202	7 001	202	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 516	3 516	3 492	24	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	3 535	3 535	3 399	136	-	-	-
STATE	978	978	960	18	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 557	2 557	2 439	118	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 100	2 100	1 982	118	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	457	457	457	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	15 862	15 862	15 733	128	18	-	18
STATE	3 524	3 507	3 487	20	17	(X)	17
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 355	12 355	12 246	108	1	-	1
COUNTIES	7 591	7 591	7 507	84	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 764	4 764	4 740	24	-	-	-
WYOMING	1 729	1 729	1 686	43	-	-	-
STATE	537	537	524	13	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 192	1 192	1 162	30	-	-	-
COUNTIES	945	945	917	28	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	247	247	245	2	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 38. Total legal services and prosecution expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovern- mental expenditure	
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay					Total
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
TOTAL	253 591	247 723	244 746	2 977	2 917	60	-	5 868	
ALABAMA	3 757	3 737	3 724	33	33	-	-	-	
ALASKA	4 580	4 580	4 560	20	19	1	-	-	
ARIZONA	2 555	2 555	2 495	60	60	-	-	-	
ARKANSAS	984	984	940	44	44	-	-	-	
CALIFORNIA	23 930	23 930	23 559	371	371	-	-	-	
COLORADO	3 460	3 460	3 366	94	94	-	-	-	
CONNECTICUT	5 629	5 607	5 581	26	26	-	-	22	
DELAWARE	1 361	1 361	1 353	8	8	-	-	-	
FLORIDA	22 552	22 552	22 083	469	469	-	-	-	
GEORGIA	3 989	3 989	3 988	1	1	-	-	-	
HAWAII	2 235	2 235	2 235	-	-	-	-	-	
IDAHO	786	786	771	15	14	1	-	-	
ILLINOIS	13 667	12 152	12 108	44	44	-	-	1 515	
INDIANA	4 773	4 773	4 744	29	29	-	-	-	
IOWA	1 937	1 937	1 928	9	9	-	-	-	
KANSAS	3 532	3 532	3 372	160	160	-	-	-	
KENTUCKY	5 675	2 667	2 629	38	38	-	-	3 008	
LOUISIANA	7 529	7 529	7 459	70	67	3	-	-	
MAINE	1 537	1 537	1 532	5	5	-	-	-	
MARYLAND	2 207	2 207	2 163	44	44	-	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS	5 521	5 521	5 521	-	-	-	-	-	
MICHIGAN	8 408	8 408	8 366	42	42	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA	3 013	2 698	2 671	27	27	-	-	315	
MISSISSIPPI	1 884	1 884	1 880	4	4	-	-	-	
MISSOURI	1 383	1 383	1 368	15	15	-	-	-	
MONTANA	1 023	1 023	971	52	52	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA	553	553	538	15	15	-	-	-	
NEVADA	1 416	1 416	1 401	15	15	-	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	789	789	782	7	7	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY	7 457	7 457	7 393	64	38	26	-	-	
NEW MEXICO	3 143	3 143	3 077	66	66	-	-	-	
NEW YORK	27 595	27 315	26 834	481	481	-	-	280	
NORTH CAROLINA	5 415	5 415	5 415	-	-	-	-	-	
NORTH DAKOTA	935	935	876	59	59	-	-	-	
OHIO	10 675	10 675	10 621	54	28	26	-	-	
OKLAHOMA	4 952	4 952	4 941	11	11	-	-	-	
OREGON	7 879	7 879	7 879	-	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA	6 813	6 813	6 798	15	15	-	-	-	
RHODE ISLAND	1 711	1 711	1 698	13	13	-	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 437	2 437	2 416	21	21	-	-	-	
SOUTH DAKOTA	1 696	1 696	1 630	66	66	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE	5 110	5 110	5 044	66	66	-	-	-	
TEXAS	10 257	10 257	10 079	178	177	1	-	-	
UTAH	1 164	954	935	19	17	2	-	210	
VERMONT	1 292	1 292	1 292	-	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA	3 989	3 922	3 912	10	10	-	-	67	
WASHINGTON	5 367	4 933	4 847	86	86	-	-	434	
WEST VIRGINIA	978	978	960	18	18	-	-	-	
WISCONSIN	3 524	3 507	3 487	20	20	-	-	17	
WYOMING	537	537	524	13	13	-	-	-	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	311 502	310 163	306 003	4 160	3 735	425	-	1 339	1 268	71
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	542	542	542	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	245	245	228	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	260	260	178	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	222	222	204	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	2 546	2 546	2 524	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
PIMA	2 351	2 348	2 311	37	37	-	-	3	-	3
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	553	553	551	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	6 719	6 719	6 675	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
BUTTE	663	663	655	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	4 343	4 343	4 324	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	2 830	2 830	2 713	117	52	65	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT	745	745	726	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	2 154	2 154	2 123	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	40 792	40 792	40 592	200	200	-	-	-	-	-
MARIN	996	996	994	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED	501	501	488	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	1 657	1 657	1 651	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	6 977	6 977	6 897	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	3 125	3 125	3 103	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	5 986	5 986	5 956	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	3 804	3 804	3 732	72	72	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	8 072	8 072	7 976	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	1 833	1 833	1 827	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	965	965	944	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	2 643	2 643	2 630	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	1 825	1 825	1 776	49	48	1	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	5 538	5 538	5 528	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	1 176	1 176	1 167	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	750	750	749	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	1 200	1 200	1 169	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	1 816	1 816	1 800	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE	1 513	1 513	1 502	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	2 917	2 917	2 892	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
YOLO	712	712	700	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	781	781	777	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	723	723	723	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	461	461	460	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	1 233	1 233	1 218	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	802	882	850	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	302	302	297	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	585	585	582	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WELD	396	396	393	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	211	211	211	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	153	153	151	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	304	304	290	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
DADE	842	842	842	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	310	310	282	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	114	114	112	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
MANATEE	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	232	232	232	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	366	366	361	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
PASCO	147	147	143	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	278	278	273	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	115	115	106	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	116	116	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	160	160	160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	141	141	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	317	317	307	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	264	264	263	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	295	295	295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	292	292	288	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	683	683	676	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	1 793	1 793	1 787	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	283	283	272	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA	239	239	236	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	291	291	291	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	11 783	11 783	11 704	79	76	3	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE	968	968	947	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
KANE	321	321	321	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	783	783	769	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	202	202	199	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	223	223	222	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	187	187	184	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	233	233	233	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	366	366	364	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	343	343	343	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	298	298	298	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	437	437	436	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	341	341	341	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	259	259	256	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	427	427	427	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	420	420	419	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	334	334	332	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	108	108	108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	821	821	821	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	87	87	86	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	211	211	211	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	112	112	108	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH	279	279	278	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
VIGO	119	119	111	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	178	178	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	237	237	237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	619	619	608	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	197	197	195	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	214	214	214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	631	631	612	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	780	779	775	4	4	-	-	1	-	-
SHAWNEE	471	471	462	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	340	340	340	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON	736	736	720	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
KENTON	106	106	98	8	8	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	189	189	186	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU	286	286	267	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	808	808	804	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	74	74	67	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	268	268	228	40	15	25	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	233	233	213	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	22	22	19	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	846	846	837	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	1 323	1 323	1 319	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	365	365	362	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 437	1 437	1 423	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	1 818	1 818	1 811	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	110	110	109	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE	145	145	145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	202	196	195	1	1	-	-	6	6	-
ESSEX	344	344	293	51	-	51	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	411	411	411	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	185	185	185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	706	706	706	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	676	676	670	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	332	332	331	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	240	240	240	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	266	266	261	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	478	477	473	4	4	-	-	1	1	1
CALHOUN	264	264	262	2	2	-	-	50	50	50
GENESEE	2 465	2 415	2 409	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
INGHAM	713	713	700	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	362	362	356	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	661	661	642	19	5	14	-	-	-	-
KENT	580	580	564	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
MACOMB	1 481	1 481	1 478	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	227	227	227	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON	263	263	263	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	1 715	1 715	1 708	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	455	455	453	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	332	332	332	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW	555	555	555	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	5 854	5 854	5 832	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	430	430	421	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	210	210	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	1 898	1 898	1 884	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY	941	941	930	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	450	450	450	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	65	65	48	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	187	187	187	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	202	202	142	60	-	60	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	1 386	1 386	1 385	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES	131	131	129	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 211	1 211	1 204	7	7	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	903	903	900	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	583	583	564	19	6	13	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK	2 262	2 262	2 129	133	133	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	955	955	942	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	89	89	88	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	40	40	39	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	1 038	1 038	1 036	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	2 516	2 516	2 499	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	854	854	854	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	2 500	2 500	2 500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	325	325	325	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	4 963	4 963	4 954	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	476	476	476	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	2 464	2 464	2 384	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	1 442	1 442	1 442	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	2 438	2 438	2 431	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	1 004	1 004	1 004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	1 097	1 097	1 054	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	1 068	1 068	1 048	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	1 693	1 693	1 693	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	806	806	769	37	28	9	-	-	-	-
UNION	2 989	2 989	2 983	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	224	224	224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	622	622	619	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME	331	331	330	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA	251	251	249	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG	224	224	224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	514	514	514	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	2 988	2 988	2 960	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	1 773	1 773	1 766	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	5 896	5 896	5 862	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA	390	390	389	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA	413	413	412	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	1 132	1 132	1 125	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	667	667	664	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO	178	178	177	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	278	278	276	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	892	892	889	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	118	118	117	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	197	197	196	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	227	227	226	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STEUBEN	182	182	180	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	4 424	4 424	4 408	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
ULSTER	324	324	319	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	3 895	3 895	3 842	53	53	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASTON	52	52	51	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	83	83	71	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG	164	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONSLow	28	28	27	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN	195	195	194	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	189	189	189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK	147	147	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT	94	94	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA	142	142	135	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	2 776	1 776	1 755	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	1 233	1 263	1 263	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	183	183	182	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	786	786	780	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	297	297	290	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING	186	186	184	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	301	301	301	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS	387	387	386	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING	299	299	299	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	899	899	899	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE	183	183	183	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	236	236	236	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK	385	385	376	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT	486	486	486	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL	213	213	213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	140	23	23	-	-	-	-	117	117	-
OKLAHOMA	551	32	30	2	2	-	-	519	519	-
TULSA	674	62	59	3	3	-	-	612	612	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	451	451	451	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	264	264	260	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LANE	961	961	942	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	439	439	429	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	2 555	2 555	2 513	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	459	459	450	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	2 407	2 407	2 377	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
BEAVER	291	291	290	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BERKS	345	345	344	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BLAIR	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUCKS	988	988	971	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	118	118	117	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA	182	182	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRE	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	857	857	850	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN	486	486	480	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	1 873	1 873	1 479	394	379	15	-	-	-	-
ERIE	437	437	424	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA	285	285	284	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	350	350	246	104	2	102	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	114	114	112	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	80	80	74	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	370	370	367	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE	328	328	328	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING	156	156	155	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	149	149	144	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 082	1 082	1 037	45	45	-	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	233	233	229	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	224	224	224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	213	213	213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND	413	413	401	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	208	208	207	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	162	162	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	256	256	254	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	432	417	407	10	10	-	-	15	-	15
SPARTANBURG	94	93	93	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	168	168	162	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	126	126	121	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	405	405	401	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	334	334	328	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR	1 636	1 636	1 635	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	153	139	130	9	9	-	-	14	14	-
CAMERON	215	215	214	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	2 868	2 868	2 773	95	95	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	515	515	515	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	320	320	317	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	5 696	5 696	5 486	210	210	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	239	239	238	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	679	679	657	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	303	303	301	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	255	255	254	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES	401	401	397	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SMITH	116	116	115	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	1 867	1 867	1 824	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	69	69	68	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	643	643	631	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	136	136	128	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
DAVIS	223	223	217	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE	1 359	1 359	1 273	86	86	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	155	155	142	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	169	169	168	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	349	349	347	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	860	860	858	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HENRICO	346	346	344	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	220	220	219	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	307	307	307	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KING	1 979	1 979	1 969	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	231	231	227	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	893	893	754	139	139	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	461	461	460	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	508	508	506	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	316	316	316	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	353	353	255	98	33	65	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	110	110	109	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DANE	797	797	792	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	216	216	215	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MARATHON	108	108	106	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	2 193	2 193	2 181	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	181	181	180	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	316	316	316	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK	184	184	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA	292	292	290	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	143	143	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown, see text for data limitations.

LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

Table 40. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	177 990	177 812	176 518	1 294	1 285	4	5	178	63	115
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	378	378	378	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	75	75	74	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	106	106	105	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	93	93	92	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	42	42	40	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	666	666	645	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	1 692	1 692	1 687	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	155	155	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	181	181	180	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	745	745	744	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	43	33	33	-	-	-	-	10	10	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	83	83	80	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	295	295	295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	248	246	247	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	34	32	32	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
BERKELEY	191	191	189	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	54	53	53	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
BURBANK	338	338	320	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	133	131	131	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
CHULA VISTA	98	98	96	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	161	161	157	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	106	106	104	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	46	46	44	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	36	35	35	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
EL CAJON	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	51	48	48	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
FREMONT	152	152	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	343	343	337	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	239	239	219	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
HANTHORNE	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	264	264	254	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	357	357	349	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	44	43	43	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
LONG BEACH	1 634	1 634	1 611	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	8 767	8 726	8 710	16	16	-	-	41	-	41
MODESTO	92	92	90	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	123	121	121	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
NORWALK	40	39	39	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
OAKLAND	427	427	425	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	135	135	131	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	112	112	111	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	186	186	186	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	282	282	281	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	31	29	29	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
POMONA	75	74	70	4	4	-	-	1	-	1
REDONDO BEACH	69	69	68	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	92	92	91	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	156	156	154	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	184	184	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 40. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	262	262	261	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	144	144	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	159	159	158	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	1 609	1 609	1 607	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	4 655	4 655	4 532	123	123	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	838	838	833	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	136	136	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	368	368	364	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	159	159	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	293	293	286	7	5	2	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	155	155	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	57	56	55	1	1	-	-	1	-	1
STOCKTON	149	149	148	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	265	265	265	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	91	91	86	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	59	57	57	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
COLORADO:										
ARVADA	73	73	72	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	170	170	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	131	131	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	222	222	221	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	2 474	2 474	2 450	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	284	284	283	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	147	147	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	46	46	45	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	469	469	466	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	157	157	156	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	94	94	91	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	282	282	281	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	103	103	102	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	334	334	334	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	4 095	4 095	4 095	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	86	83	86	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	191	191	190	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	142	142	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	158	158	157	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	888	888	879	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	545	545	544	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	229	229	229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	168	168	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	99	99	94	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	271	271	264	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	413	413	412	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	110	110	108	2	2	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 40. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	740	740	739	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	42	42	41	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	310	310	306	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	55	55	54	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	2 521	2 521	2 484	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	124	124	123	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	108	108	107	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	71	71	68	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	3 809	3 809	3 809	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	77	77	76	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	99	99	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	119	119	118	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	214	214	200	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	188	188	184	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	158	158	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	216	216	216	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	164	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	180	180	179	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	1 632	1 632	1 620	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	121	121	120	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	55	55	54	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	107	107	106	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	88	88	87	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	269	269	265	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	261	261	261	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	103	103	100	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	155	155	152	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	350	350	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	373	373	372	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	642	642	631	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 40. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	1 093	1 093	1 066	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	213	213	213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	116	116	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	1 060	1 060	1 056	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	96	96	92	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	5 325	5 325	5 314	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	3 253	3 253	3 241	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	181	181	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	272	272	272	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	85	85	81	4	1	-	3	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	170	170	169	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	33	33	31	2	-	-	2	-	-	-
QUINCY	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	220	220	218	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	99	99	96	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	258	258	256	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	116	116	115	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	189	189	188	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	2 390	2 390	2 370	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	242	242	242	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	323	323	321	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	225	225	224	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING	207	207	207	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	135	135	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	284	284	274	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	189	188	188	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
SOUTHFIELD	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	234	234	233	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	124	124	121	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	214	214	211	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	1 053	1 053	1 053	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	81	81	79	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	564	564	562	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	325	325	325	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 40. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	93	93	90	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	1 054	1 054	1 045	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	2 211	2 211	2 211	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	93	93	91	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	307	307	294	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
OMAHA	619	619	615	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	452	452	452	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	243	243	242	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	228	228	228	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	168	168	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	139	139	138	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	186	186	186	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	350	350	340	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	413	413	413	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	164	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	601	601	599	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	204	204	184	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	98	98	96	2	-	-	2	-	-	-
BUFFALO	848	848	838	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	163	163	156	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	181	181	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	48 241	48 241	48 141	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	140	140	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	665	665	655	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	43	43	42	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	127	127	127	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	290	290	285	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	129	129	128	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	158	158	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	282	282	281	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	407	407	407	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	76	76	75	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	276	276	271	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	65	65	64	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	177	177	177	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	195	195	193	2	2	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 40. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	66	66	63	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON	577	577	560	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON	197	197	187	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	1 035	1 035	1 035	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	978	978	978	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	94	94	92	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	1 132	1 132	1 131	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	456	456	455	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	83	83	81	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	120	120	118	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	152	152	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	145	145	144	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	174	174	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	388	388	388	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	369	367	367	-	-	-	-	2	2	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	102	102	101	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	603	603	599	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	384	384	371	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	197	197	197	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	400	400	397	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	208	208	208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEYHLEHEM	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	99	99	98	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	125	125	124	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	9 347	9 347	9 128	219	219	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	484	484	478	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
READING	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	73	73	72	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	232	232	230	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	224	224	221	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	257	257	251	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	456	456	455	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	595	595	591	4	4	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 40. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	97	97	89	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	156	156	153	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	191	191	190	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	337	337	337	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	131	131	130	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	305	305	299	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	1 140	1 140	1 125	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	212	212	207	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	385	385	379	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	58	58	57	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	81	81	80	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	1 004	1 004	995	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	188	188	182	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	45	45	43	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	64	64	61	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	65	65	64	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	134	134	130	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	66	66	63	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	48	48	44	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	641	641	633	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	57	57	56	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	106	106	103	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	79	79	78	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	310	256	256	-	-	-	-	54	-	54
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	476	476	476	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	243	243	237	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	227	176	176	-	-	-	-	51	51	-
LYNCHBURG	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	681	681	674	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	257	257	253	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	775	775	768	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	263	263	262	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	440	440	427	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	149	149	148	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	94	94	93	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	1 003	1 003	986	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	256	256	255	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	359	359	357	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	71	71	69	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	310	310	308	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 761	1 761	1 750	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	68	68	67	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	145	145	145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	78	78	77	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section VI. PUBLIC DEFENSE




Table 41. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	227 384	227 384	226 521	863	9 762	119	9 643
STATES	78 622	70 139	69 782	357	8 483	(X)	8 483
LOCAL, TOTAL	157 364	157 245	156 739	506	1 279	119	1 160
COUNTIES	130 006	129 213	128 713	500	793	106	687
MUNICIPALITIES	28 518	28 032	28 026	6	486	13	474
ALABAMA	1 122	1 122	1 122	-	23	8	15
STATE	1 001	1 001	1 001	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	130	121	121	-	23	8	15
COUNTIES	45	37	37	-	8	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES	99	84	84	-	15	-	15
ALASKA	1 324	1 324	1 321	3	-	-	-
STATE	1 324	1 324	1 321	3	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOROUGHs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	4 669	4 669	4 631	39	260	-	260
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 669	4 669	4 631	39	260	-	260
COUNTIES	4 562	4 561	4 523	39	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	367	108	108	-	259	-	259
ARKANSAS	572	572	571	1	20	15	5
STATE	15	15	15	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	572	557	556	1	20	15	5
COUNTIES	542	527	526	1	15	15	-
MUNICIPALITIES	36	30	30	-	5	-	5
CALIFORNIA	49 317	49 317	49 199	117	775	-	775
STATE	2 154	1 379	1 351	28	775	(X)	775
LOCAL, TOTAL	47 938	47 938	47 848	89	-	-	-
COUNTIES	46 604	46 604	46 717	87	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 133	1 133	1 131	2	-	-	-
COLORADO	3 020	3 020	3 015	5	6	-	6
STATE	2 980	2 980	2 975	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	40	40	40	-	6	-	6
COUNTIES	23	23	23	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22	17	17	-	6	-	6
CONNECTICUT	2 076	2 076	2 056	20	-	-	-
STATE	2 049	2 049	2 029	20	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	27	27	27	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	27	27	27	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	610	610	599	11	-	-	-
STATE	605	605	594	11	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5	5	5	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	5	5	5	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	4 807	4 807	4 807	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 807	4 807	4 807	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 807	4 807	4 807	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	11 161	11 161	11 026	135	27	1	26
STATE	9 803	9 803	9 675	128	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 359	1 358	1 351	7	27	1	26
COUNTIES	1 220	1 212	1 209	4	8	1	7
MUNICIPALITIES	165	146	142	4	20	-	20
GEORGIA	3 300	3 300	3 299	1	181	15	166
STATE	1 664	1 538	1 538	-	126	(X)	126
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 776	1 762	1 761	1	55	15	40
COUNTIES	1 688	1 633	1 632	1	55	15	40
MUNICIPALITIES	129	129	129	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CONTINUED

3 OF 5

Table 41. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	1 648	1 648	1 648	-	-	-	-
STATE	1 647	1 647	1 647	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	726	726	725	1	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	726	726	725	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	726	726	725	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	-	1	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	9 843	9 843	9 766	77	-	-	-
STATE	1 780	1 780	1 753	27	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 063	8 063	8 013	50	-	-	-
COUNTIES	7 978	7 978	7 928	50	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	86	86	85	1	-	-	-
INDIANA	2 454	2 454	2 454	-	-	-	-
STATE	343	343	343	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 111	2 111	2 111	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 814	1 814	1 814	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	297	297	297	-	-	-	-
IOWA	3 028	3 028	3 028	-	10	-	10
STATE	28	28	28	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 000	3 000	3 000	-	10	-	10
COUNTIES	3 009	2 999	2 999	-	10	-	10
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
KANSAS	1 965	1 965	1 963	2	-	-	-
STATE	1 767	1 767	1 765	2	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	198	198	198	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	191	191	191	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7	7	6	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	1 281	1 281	1 260	21	1 701	10	1 690
STATE	2 071	773	752	21	1 298	(X)	1 298
LOCAL, TOTAL	513	508	508	-	403	10	392
COUNTIES	824	508	508	-	316	10	306
MUNICIPALITIES	86	-	-	-	86	-	86
LOUISIANA	1 581	1 581	1 581	-	7	-	7
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 581	1 581	1 581	-	7	-	7
PARISHES	896	889	889	-	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES	692	692	692	-	-	-	-
MAINE	446	446	446	-	-	-	-
STATE	176	176	176	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	270	270	270	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	270	270	270	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	6 847	6 847	6 832	15	-	-	-
STATE	6 834	6 834	6 819	15	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	5 149	5 149	5 149	-	-	-	-
STATE	2 818	2 818	2 818	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 331	2 331	2 331	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 307	2 307	2 307	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	25	25	24	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	13 439	13 439	13 437	2	6 288	-	6 288
STATE	7 149	865	865	-	6 284	(X)	6 284
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 574	12 574	12 572	2	4	-	4
COUNTIES	11 331	11 327	11 325	2	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 247	1 247	1 247	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	2 784	2 784	2 744	40	165	-	165
STATE	288	288	288	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 496	2 496	2 456	40	165	-	165
COUNTIES	2 633	2 468	2 428	40	165	-	165
MUNICIPALITIES	29	29	29	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	774	774	773	1	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	774	774	773	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	743	743	742	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	31	31	31	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	2 219	2 219	2 211	8	-	-	-
STATE	1 888	1 888	1 880	8	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	331	331	331	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	45	45	45	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	287	287	287	-	-	-	-
MONTANA	589	589	585	4	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	589	589	585	4	-	-	-
COUNTIES	577	577	573	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	12	12	12	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	1 160	1 160	1 150	10	3	-	3
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 160	1 160	1 150	10	3	-	3
COUNTIES	1 160	1 160	1 150	10	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3	-	-	-	3	-	3
NEVADA	1 526	1 526	1 507	19	28	28	-
STATE	199	199	198	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 356	1 327	1 309	18	28	28	-
COUNTIES	1 348	1 320	1 302	18	28	28	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7	7	7	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	491	491	491	-	-	-	-
STATE	491	491	491	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	10 724	10 724	10 681	43	-	-	-
STATE	10 385	10 385	10 342	43	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	339	339	339	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	243	243	243	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	96	96	96	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	2 414	2 414	2 385	29	-	-	-
STATE	2 386	2 386	2 357	29	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	28	28	28	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	28	28	28	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	26 657	26 657	26 638	19	-	-	-
STATE	2 994	2 994	2 994	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 663	23 663	23 644	19	-	-	-
COUNTIES	9 919	9 919	9 900	19	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13 744	13 744	13 744	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA	4 840	4 840	4 840	-	105	13	92
STATE	4 825	4 825	4 825	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	27	15	15	-	105	13	92
COUNTIES	93	15	15	-	79	-	79
MUNICIPALITIES	27	-	-	-	26	13	14
NORTH DAKOTA	200	200	200	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	200	200	200	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	200	200	200	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	5 451	5 451	5 450	1	20	-	20
STATE	72	72	71	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 379	5 379	5 379	-	20	-	20
COUNTIES	4 805	4 805	4 805	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	594	574	574	-	20	-	20
OKLAHOMA	918	918	917	1	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	918	918	917	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	890	890	889	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	29	29	29	-	-	-	-
OREGON	3 335	3 335	3 329	6	3	1	2
STATE	228	228	228	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 107	3 107	3 101	6	3	1	2
COUNTIES	2 989	2 988	2 982	6	1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	121	119	118	-	2	-	2
PENNSYLVANIA	8 347	8 347	8 212	135	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 347	8 347	8 212	135	-	-	-
COUNTIES	5 154	5 154	5 020	135	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 192	3 192	3 192	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	521	521	521	-	-	-	-
STATE	521	521	521	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 158	1 158	1 158	-	67	-	67
STATE	616	616	616	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	542	542	542	-	67	-	67
COUNTIES	605	538	538	-	67	-	67
MUNICIPALITIES	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	558	558	558	-	20	20	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	578	558	558	-	20	20	-
COUNTIES	578	558	558	-	20	20	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	2 246	2 246	2 213	33	3	-	3
STATE	1 353	1 353	1 353	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	893	893	860	33	3	-	3
COUNTIES	613	613	580	33	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	284	281	281	-	3	-	3
TEXAS	5 223	5 223	5 194	29	23	-	23
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 223	5 223	5 194	29	23	-	23
COUNTIES	5 192	5 192	5 163	29	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	55	32	32	-	23	-	23
UTAH	530	530	530	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	530	530	530	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	514	514	514	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	16	16	16	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	879	879	874	5	-	-	-
STATE	879	879	874	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	4 822	4 822	4 816	5	-	-	-
STATE	4 529	4 529	4 524	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	293	293	292	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	143	143	143	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	150	150	149	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 41. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	4 411	4 411	4 397	14	26	8	19
STATE	200	200	200	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 219	4 211	4 197	14	26	8	19
COUNTIES	3 651	3 644	3 630	14	8	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES	586	567	567	-	19	-	19
WEST VIRGINIA	27	27	27	-	-	(X)	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	27	27	27	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	27	27	27	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	3 901	3 901	3 891	10	-	(X)	-
STATE	560	560	555	5	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 341	3 341	3 336	5	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 341	3 341	3 336	5	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	294	294	293	1	-	(X)	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	294	294	293	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	294	294	293	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 42. Total public defense expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL	78 622	70 139	69 782	357	356	1	-	8 483
ALABAMA	1 001	1 001	1 001	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA	1 324	1 324	1 321	3	3	-	-	-
ARIZONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	2 154	1 379	1 351	28	28	-	-	775
COLORADO	2 980	2 980	2 975	5	4	1	-	-
CONNECTICUT	2 049	2 049	2 029	20	20	-	-	-
DELAWARE	605	605	594	11	11	-	-	-
FLORIDA	9 803	9 803	9 675	128	128	-	-	-
GEORGIA	1 664	1 538	1 538	-	-	-	-	126
HAWAII	1 647	1 647	1 647	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	1 780	1 780	1 753	27	27	-	-	-
INDIANA	343	343	343	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS	1 767	1 767	1 765	2	2	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	2 071	773	752	21	21	-	-	1 298
LOUISIANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE	176	176	176	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	6 834	6 834	6 819	15	15	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	2 818	2 818	2 818	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	7 149	865	865	-	-	-	-	6 284
MINNESOTA	288	288	288	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	1 888	1 888	1 880	8	8	-	-	-
MONTANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	199	199	198	1	1	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	491	491	491	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	10 385	10 385	10 342	43	43	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	2 386	2 386	2 357	29	29	-	-	-
NEW YORK	2 994	2 994	2 994	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA	4 825	4 825	4 825	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO	72	72	71	1	1	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON	228	328	228	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	521	521	521	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	616	616	616	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	1 353	1 353	1 353	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	879	879	874	5	5	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	4 529	4 529	4 524	5	5	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	200	200	200	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	560	560	555	5	5	-	-	-
WYOMING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	107 532	107 231	106 791	440	330	110	-	301	8	293
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	2 087	2 087	2 076	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
PIMA	1 739	1 739	1 713	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	4 643	4 643	4 624	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
BUTTE	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	2 188	2 188	2 188	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	1 011	1 011	1 010	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT	197	197	196	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	652	652	647	5	1	4	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	14 204	14 204	14 186	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
MARIN	1 019	1 019	1 018	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED	145	145	144	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	711	711	704	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	2 448	2 448	2 438	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	1 626	1 626	1 624	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	2 491	2 491	2 486	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	1 213	1 213	1 213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	3 142	3 142	3 142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	998	998	997	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	283	283	283	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	1 172	1 172	1 172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	649	649	648	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	2 509	2 509	2 509	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	316	316	316	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	542	542	542	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	401	401	398	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	267	267	265	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE	717	717	716	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	884	884	884	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOLO	364	364	358	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	173	173	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DADE	231	231	229	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	126	126	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	111	111	110	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
MANATEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASCO	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	158	158	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	159	122	122	-	-	-	-	37	-	37
DE KALB	169	169	168	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	339	339	339	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	4 424	4 424	4 407	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE	409	409	391	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
KANE	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	143	143	142	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	134	134	133	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	126	126	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	203	203	202	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	311	311	309	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	159	159	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	146	146	146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	157	157	157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERSBURGH	255	255	255	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIGO	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	251	251	251	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	254	254	254	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	778	778	778	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	163	163	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTON	132	29	29	-	-	-	-	103	-	103

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	77	70	70	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
CALCASIEU	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	279	279	279	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	209	209	209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	281	281	281	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	820	820	820	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	278	278	278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	221	221	221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	162	162	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	215	215	215	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALHOUN	138	137	137	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
GENESEE	433	433	433	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGHAM	443	443	443	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	228	228	228	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	208	208	208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENT	336	336	336	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACOMB	635	635	635	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	1 065	1 065	1 065	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA	95	94	94	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	469	469	469	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	246	246	246	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW	478	477	477	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	4 408	4 408	4 408	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	79	44	44	-	-	-	-	35	-	35
HENNEPIN	1 108	1 108	1 073	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY	199	199	195	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	262	262	262	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	382	382	382	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	199	199	198	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK	808	808	793	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	398	398	396	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	256	256	255	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	245	245	245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	1 142	1 142	1 142	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	698	698	695	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	1 514	1 514	1 514	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA	137	137	136	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	610	610	610	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	210	210	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	89	89	88	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	345	345	345	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	48	48	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	51	51	51	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STEUBEN	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	1 489	1 489	1 485	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ULSTER	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	1 392	1 392	1 392	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	-	59
ONslow	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	1 418	1 418	1 418	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	180	180	180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	455	455	455	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS	210	210	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	430	430	430	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK	156	156	156	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT	247	247	247	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	291	291	290	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANE	334	334	334	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	1 085	1 085	1 085	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	234	234	234	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	802	802	790	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BEAVER	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKS	98	98	97	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BLAIR	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUCKS	276	276	275	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRE	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	346	346	340	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN	154	154	153	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	557	557	551	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	217	217	217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	138	138	117	21	-	21	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	41	41	39	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE	242	242	159	83	25	58	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING	46	46	45	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	427	427	420	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	-	51
GREENVILLE	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	529	529	499	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	116	116	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR	427	427	427	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMERON	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	901	901	901	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	196	196	196	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	1 189	1 189	1 189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON										
LUBBOCK	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	76	76	48	28	1	27	-	-	-	-
NUECES	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SMITH	126	126	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	305	305	305	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
DAVIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE	305	305	305	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENRICO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	135	135	134	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KING	1 546	1 546	1 544	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	325	325	324	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	261	261	261	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	290	290	284	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANE	335	335	335	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	99	99	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARATHON	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 179	1 179	1 179	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	298	298	298	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK	149	149	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 44. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	26 875	26 484	26 482	2	2	-	-	391	12	379
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
HUNTSVILLE	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	10
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	246	-	-	-	-	-	-	246	-	246
SCOTTSDALE	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
LITTLE ROCK	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	1 087	1 087	1 085	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ARVADA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	4 807	4 807	4 807	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	18
ST. PETERSBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	240	240	240	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	75	-	75
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	176	176	176	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	478	478	478	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	747	747	747	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	266	266	266	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
OMAHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	13 295	13 295	13 295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	13
RALEIGH	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	230	230	230	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	3 154	3 154	3 154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	246	246	246	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.


CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT
Table 44. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	363	363	363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section VII. CORRECTIONS

FIGURE 20. Percent distribution of corrections direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976



CORRECTIONS

Table 45. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	4 129 160	4 129 160	3 761 578	367 582	164 373	24 502	139 871
STATES	2 589 609	2 474 783	2 276 335	198 448	114 826	(X)	114 826
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 678 879	1 654 377	1 485 243	169 134	49 547	24 502	25 045
COUNTIES	1 271 086	1 234 907	1 097 541	137 366	36 179	17 854	18 325
MUNICIPALITIES	432 876	419 509	387 703	31 806	13 368	6 647	6 720
ALABAMA	38 080	38 080	34 438	3 642	2 026	-	2 026
STATE	28 960	27 241	24 447	2 794	1 719	(X)	1 719
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 839	10 839	9 991	848	307	-	307
COUNTIES	8 763	8 731	7 885	845	32	-	32
MUNICIPALITIES	2 383	2 108	2 106	2	275	-	275
ALASKA	15 441	15 441	15 218	223	543	35	508
STATE	15 101	14 593	14 389	204	508	(X)	508
LOCAL, TOTAL	883	848	829	19	35	35	-
BOROUGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	883	848	829	19	35	35	-
ARIZONA	46 203	46 203	40 420	5 783	485	229	257
STATE	22 111	22 111	20 682	1 429	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 320	24 092	19 738	4 354	485	229	257
COUNTIES	23 585	23 325	18 972	4 353	260	229	31
MUNICIPALITIES	993	768	766	2	225	-	225
ARKANSAS	23 687	23 687	15 817	7 870	105	18	88
STATE	17 469	17 469	11 297	6 172	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 236	6 218	4 520	1 698	105	18	88
COUNTIES	5 120	5 102	3 734	1 368	18	18	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 205	1 117	786	331	88	-	88
CALIFORNIA	698 822	698 822	666 212	32 610	30 531	1 316	29 214
STATE	320 417	292 636	286 798	5 838	27 781	(X)	27 781
LOCAL, TOTAL	407 503	406 186	379 414	26 772	2 750	1 316	1 433
COUNTIES	389 959	387 616	360 962	26 654	2 343	1 316	1 027
MUNICIPALITIES	18 977	18 570	18 452	118	407	-	407
COLORADO	41 875	41 875	41 013	862	25	-	25
STATE	32 009	32 009	31 312	697	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 866	9 866	9 701	165	25	-	25
COUNTIES	4 890	4 871	4 780	91	19	-	19
MUNICIPALITIES	5 001	4 995	4 921	74	6	-	6
CONNECTICUT	48 097	48 097	41 280	6 817	-	-	-
STATE	47 707	47 707	40 908	6 799	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	390	390	372	18	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	390	390	372	18	-	-	-
DELAWARE	14 104	14 104	12 865	1 239	-	-	-
STATE	14 104	14 104	12 865	1 239	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	73 105	73 105	60 619	12 486	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	73 105	73 105	60 619	12 486	-	(X)	-
MUNICIPALITIES	73 105	73 105	60 619	12 486	-	-	-
FLORIDA	229 479	229 479	178 236	51 243	194	25	170
STATE	179 235	179 235	134 924	44 311	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	50 269	50 244	43 312	6 932	194	25	170
COUNTIES	39 094	38 980	32 996	5 984	114	25	90
MUNICIPALITIES	11 344	11 264	10 316	948	80	-	80
GEORGIA	95 682	95 682	85 205	10 476	3 446	35	3 412
STATE	64 537	61 424	53 784	7 640	3 113	(X)	3 113
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 292	34 258	31 421	2 836	333	35	299
COUNTIES	30 126	30 077	27 558	2 519	49	29	19
MUNICIPALITIES	4 465	4 180	3 863	317	285	5	279

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	11 808	11 808	11 649	159	35	35	-
STATE	11 167	11 167	11 008	159	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	676	641	641	-	35	35	-
COUNTIES	641	641	641	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	35	-	-	-	35	35	-
IDAHO	10 783	10 783	9 783	1 000	75	14	60
STATE	9 279	9 279	8 360	919	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 518	1 504	1 423	81	75	14	60
COUNTIES	1 470	1 454	1 373	81	16	14	2
MUNICIPALITIES	110	52	50	2	58	-	58
ILLINOIS	168 927	168 927	151 572	17 355	6 599	5	6 593
STATE	107 550	106 766	102 586	4 180	784	(X)	784
LOCAL, TOTAL	62 167	62 161	48 986	13 175	5 815	5	5 809
COUNTIES	67 367	61 552	48 776	12 776	5 815	5	5 809
MUNICIPALITIES	611	611	210	401	-	-	-
INDIANA	66 279	66 279	56 968	9 311	1 827	1 660	167
STATE	42 084	42 084	38 044	4 040	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 855	24 195	18 924	5 271	1 827	1 660	167
COUNTIES	20 491	19 088	14 161	4 927	1 403	1 336	167
MUNICIPALITIES	5 531	5 107	4 763	344	424	424	-
IOWA	36 597	36 597	35 781	816	677	67	610
STATE	26 727	26 426	25 739	687	301	(X)	301
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 237	10 171	10 042	129	376	67	309
COUNTIES	9 853	9 519	9 391	128	334	67	267
MUNICIPALITIES	694	652	650	2	42	-	42
KANSAS	40 961	40 961	38 872	2 089	103	7	96
STATE	33 938	33 938	32 229	1 709	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 030	7 023	6 643	380	103	7	96
COUNTIES	6 119	6 106	5 753	353	13	6	7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 008	918	890	28	90	1	89
KENTUCKY	35 176	35 176	31 244	3 932	1 966	-	1 966
STATE	25 157	23 438	20 653	2 785	1 719	(X)	1 719
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 738	11 738	10 591	1 147	247	-	247
COUNTIES	9 446	9 434	8 306	1 128	13	-	13
MUNICIPALITIES	2 539	2 305	2 285	20	234	-	234
LOUISIANA	63 112	63 112	56 960	6 152	179	18	161
STATE	40 597	40 597	39 854	743	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 533	22 515	17 106	5 409	179	18	161
PARISHES	11 261	11 242	7 861	3 381	19	-	19
MUNICIPALITIES	11 434	11 274	9 245	2 029	160	18	143
MAINE	11 373	11 373	10 522	851	89	-	89
STATE	9 234	9 234	8 818	416	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 139	2 139	1 704	435	89	-	89
COUNTIES	2 156	2 137	1 702	435	19	-	19
MUNICIPALITIES	72	2	2	-	70	-	70
MARYLAND	119 608	119 608	109 773	9 835	2	2	-
STATE	97 887	97 887	91 877	6 010	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 723	21 721	17 896	3 825	2	2	-
COUNTIES	13 165	13 163	9 386	3 777	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8 558	8 558	8 510	48	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	101 930	101 930	97 365	4 565	44	-	44
STATE	63 765	63 765	60 795	2 970	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	38 165	38 165	36 570	1 595	44	-	44
COUNTIES	27 564	27 538	26 448	1 090	26	-	26
MUNICIPALITIES	10 645	10 627	10 122	505	18	-	18
MICHIGAN	159 434	159 434	143 448	15 986	9 344	3 001	6 342
STATE	87 345	86 561	74 667	11 894	784	(X)	784
LOCAL, TOTAL	75 874	72 873	68 781	4 092	8 560	3 001	5 558
COUNTIES	72 134	64 173	60 585	3 588	7 962	3 001	4 960
MUNICIPALITIES	9 299	8 701	8 196	505	598	-	598

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	56 364	56 364	52 409	3 955	5 083	88	4 995
STATE	34 437	30 647	29 717	930	3 790	(X)	3 790
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 805	25 717	22 692	3 025	1 293	88	1 205
COUNTIES	25 427	24 198	21 562	2 636	1 229	88	1 141
MUNICIPALITIES	1 584	1 520	1 130	391	64	-	64
MISSISSIPPI	23 166	23 166	15 313	7 853	405	-	405
STATE	16 723	16 514	11 976	4 538	209	(X)	209
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 652	6 652	3 337	3 315	196	-	196
COUNTIES	6 061	5 988	2 674	3 314	73	-	73
MUNICIPALITIES	787	664	663	1	123	-	123
MISSOURI	58 181	58 181	53 738	4 443	284	213	71
STATE	34 065	34 065	31 948	2 117	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 328	24 116	21 790	2 326	284	213	71
COUNTIES	15 032	14 764	12 700	2 064	269	213	56
MUNICIPALITIES	9 368	9 352	9 090	263	16	-	16
MONTANA	14 373	14 373	10 353	4 020	143	75	69
STATE	12 225	12 225	8 260	3 965	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 223	2 148	2 093	55	143	75	69
COUNTIES	2 041	1 930	1 875	55	111	75	36
MUNICIPALITIES	251	219	218	-	32	-	32
NEBRASKA	21 474	21 474	20 228	1 246	308	-	308
STATE	15 565	15 553	14 799	754	12	(X)	12
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 921	5 921	5 429	492	296	-	296
COUNTIES	5 709	5 478	4 986	492	231	-	231
MUNICIPALITIES	509	444	443	-	65	-	65
NEVADA	22 692	22 692	19 980	2 712	905	-	905
STATE	13 290	12 400	10 755	1 645	890	(X)	890
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 292	10 292	9 225	1 067	13	-	15
COUNTIES	9 582	9 580	8 528	1 051	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	725	712	697	15	13	-	13
NEW HAMPSHIRE	9 084	9 084	8 440	644	6	-	6
STATE	6 369	6 369	5 815	554	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 715	2 715	2 625	90	6	-	6
COUNTIES	2 483	2 477	2 387	90	6	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	238	238	238	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	133 639	133 639	125 834	7 805	61	24	38
STATE	63 325	63 325	60 797	2 528	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	70 338	70 314	65 037	5 277	61	24	38
COUNTIES	70 099	70 047	64 770	5 277	52	14	38
MUNICIPALITIES	277	268	267	-	9	9	-
NEW MEXICO	14 395	14 395	13 215	1 180	586	-	586
STATE	10 540	10 540	10 310	230	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 855	3 855	2 905	950	586	-	586
COUNTIES	2 360	1 856	960	896	504	-	504
MUNICIPALITIES	2 081	1 999	1 944	54	82	-	82
NEW YORK	494 123	494 123	462 400	31 723	54 909	10 003	44 905
STATE	289 552	244 990	227 235	17 755	44 562	(X)	44 562
LOCAL, TOTAL	259 136	249 133	235 165	13 968	10 347	10 003	343
COUNTIES	100 475	93 132	86 644	6 488	7 343	7 000	343
MUNICIPALITIES	159 007	156 003	148 521	7 482	3 004	3 003	1
NORTH CAROLINA	100 414	100 414	91 218	9 196	367	6	361
STATE	89 073	89 073	80 875	8 198	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 347	11 341	10 343	998	367	6	361
COUNTIES	11 538	11 231	10 234	997	307	6	301
MUNICIPALITIES	172	112	109	3	60	-	60
NORTH DAKOTA	5 993	5 993	4 997	996	32	-	32
STATE	4 218	4 218	3 946	272	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 775	1 775	1 051	724	32	-	32
COUNTIES	1 780	1 772	1 048	724	8	-	8
MUNICIPALITIES	27	3	3	-	24	-	24

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	199 689	199 689	176 407	23 282	3 199	9	3 190
STATE	129 827	128 293	124 675	3 618	1 534	(X)	1 534
LOCAL, TOTAL	71 405	71 396	51 732	19 664	1 665	9	1 656
COUNTIES	60 624	59 364	40 044	19 320	1 260	1	1 259
MUNICIPALITIES	12 438	12 032	11 688	344	405	8	397
OKLAHOMA	31 294	31 294	28 440	2 854	14	-	14
STATE	25 811	25 809	22 986	2 823	2	(X)	2
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 485	5 485	5 454	31	12	-	12
COUNTIES	4 253	4 253	4 223	30	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 244	1 232	1 231	1	12	-	12
OREGON	50 491	50 491	47 408	3 083	182	1	181
STATE	33 746	33 746	33 237	509	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 746	16 745	14 171	2 574	182	1	181
COUNTIES	16 335	16 287	13 720	2 567	48	1	47
MUNICIPALITIES	597	462	451	11	134	-	134
PENNSYLVANIA	191 712	191 712	187 818	3 894	15 230	6 841	8 389
STATE	110 421	102 443	101 581	862	7 978	(X)	7 978
LOCAL, TOTAL	96 110	89 269	86 237	3 032	7 252	6 841	411
COUNTIES	54 827	50 630	48 017	2 613	4 196	3 785	411
MUNICIPALITIES	41 699	38 643	38 220	423	3 056	3 056	-
RHODE ISLAND	14 117	14 117	13 949	168	-	-	-
STATE	14 115	14 115	13 947	168	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	1	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	52 108	52 108	43 476	8 631	83	15	69
STATE	42 717	42 717	35 855	6 862	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 405	9 391	7 621	1 769	83	15	69
COUNTIES	8 783	8 740	7 148	1 592	43	14	29
MUNICIPALITIES	691	651	473	177	40	1	39
SOUTH DAKOTA	6 735	6 735	6 635	100	125	18	107
STATE	5 694	5 694	5 620	74	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 060	1 041	1 015	26	125	18	107
COUNTIES	1 043	1 003	976	26	41	18	22
MUNICIPALITIES	123	39	39	-	85	-	85
TENNESSEE	63 967	63 967	51 828	12 139	5 740	20	5 719
STATE	49 002	43 925	34 008	9 917	5 077	(X)	5 077
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 063	20 042	17 820	2 222	663	20	642
COUNTIES	14 703	14 627	13 006	1 621	76	7	70
MUNICIPALITIES	6 005	5 419	4 814	604	586	14	572
TEXAS	128 967	128 967	116 956	12 011	671	21	650
STATE	74 601	74 601	67 629	6 972	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	54 386	54 366	49 327	5 039	671	21	650
COUNTIES	50 204	50 075	45 229	4 846	129	21	108
MUNICIPALITIES	4 836	4 294	4 098	196	542	-	542
UTAH	16 503	16 503	15 195	1 308	637	2	635
STATE	13 707	13 172	12 082	1 090	535	(X)	535
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 333	3 331	3 113	218	102	2	100
COUNTIES	3 306	3 253	3 035	218	53	2	51
MUNICIPALITIES	126	77	77	-	49	-	49
VERMONT	7 422	7 422	6 637	785	3	-	3
STATE	7 386	7 386	6 603	783	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	36	36	34	2	3	-	3
COUNTIES	36	36	34	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6	2	-	2	3	-	3
VIRGINIA	100 922	100 922	91 917	9 065	14 493	38	14 455
STATE	85 270	71 764	68 034	3 730	13 506	(X)	13 506
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 196	29 158	23 883	5 275	987	38	949
COUNTIES	12 615	11 924	10 267	1 657	691	-	691
MUNICIPALITIES	17 532	17 236	13 616	3 620	296	38	258

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	70 208	70 208	68 347	1 862	1 610	-	1 610
STATE	46 349	46 349	45 283	1 066	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 859	23 859	23 064	796	1 610	-	1 610
COUNTIES	22 645	22 369	21 584	785	276	-	276
MUNICIPALITIES	2 825	1 491	1 480	11	1 334	-	1 334
WEST VIRGINIA	19 381	19 381	14 778	4 603	155	-	155
STATE	11 726	11 726	11 020	706	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 655	7 655	3 758	3 897	155	-	155
COUNTIES	7 646	7 631	3 734	3 897	15	-	15
MUNICIPALITIES	164	25	24	1	140	-	140
WISCONSIN	65 854	65 854	63 090	2 764	822	660	161
STATE	49 123	49 101	46 954	2 147	22	(X)	22
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 413	16 753	16 136	617	800	660	139
COUNTIES	17 504	16 752	16 135	617	752	660	92
MUNICIPALITIES	49	1	1	-	48	-	48
WYOMING	5 331	5 331	5 314	17	23	1	22
STATE	4 352	4 352	4 352	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	980	979	962	17	23	1	22
COUNTIES	772	764	750	14	8	1	7
MUNICIPALITIES	230	215	212	3	15	-	15

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 46. Total corrections expenditure of State governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976
(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure	
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay					Total
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
TOTAL	2 589 609	2 474 783	2 276 335	198 448	35 522	160 718	2 220	114 826	
ALABAMA	28 960	27 241	24 447	2 794	1 883	911	-	1 719	
ALASKA	15 101	14 593	14 389	204	70	134	-	508	
ARIZONA	22 111	22 111	20 682	1 429	207	1 216	6	-	
ARKANSAS	17 469	17 469	11 297	6 172	778	5 394	-	-	
CALIFORNIA	320 417	292 636	286 798	5 838	3 417	2 421	-	27 781	
COLORADO	32 009	32 009	31 312	697	358	339	-	-	
CONNECTICUT	47 707	47 707	40 908	6 799	127	6 672	-	-	
DELAWARE	14 104	14 104	12 865	1 239	43	1 196	-	-	
FLORIDA	179 235	179 235	134 924	44 311	1 496	42 815	-	-	
GEORGIA	64 537	61 424	53 784	7 640	1 997	5 643	-	3 113	
HAWAII	11 167	11 167	11 008	159	130	29	-	-	
IDAHO	9 279	9 279	8 360	919	332	587	-	-	
ILLINOIS	107 550	106 766	102 586	4 180	1 001	3 179	-	784	
INDIANA	42 084	42 084	38 044	4 040	874	3 166	-	-	
IOWA	26 727	26 426	25 739	687	442	245	-	301	
KANSAS	33 938	33 938	32 229	1 709	574	1 135	-	-	
KENTUCKY	25 157	23 438	20 653	2 785	2 504	281	-	1 719	
LOUISIANA	40 597	40 597	39 854	743	165	578	-	-	
MAINE	9 234	9 234	8 818	416	35	381	-	-	
MARYLAND	97 887	97 887	91 877	6 010	5 092	918	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS	63 765	63 765	60 795	2 970	-	2 970	-	-	
MICHIGAN	87 345	86 561	74 667	11 894	655	9 677	1 562	784	
MINNESOTA	34 437	30 647	29 717	930	427	503	-	3 790	
MISSISSIPPI	16 723	16 514	11 976	4 538	211	4 327	-	209	
MISSOURI	34 065	34 065	31 948	2 117	565	1 552	-	-	
MONTANA	12 225	12 225	8 260	3 965	642	3 323	-	-	
NEBRASKA	15 565	15 553	14 799	754	224	530	-	12	
NEVADA	13 290	12 400	10 755	1 645	174	1 471	-	890	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	6 369	6 369	5 815	554	112	442	-	-	
NEW JERSEY	63 325	63 325	60 797	2 528	592	1 936	-	-	
NEW MEXICO	10 540	10 540	10 310	230	184	45	1	-	
NEW YORK	289 552	244 990	227 235	17 755	1 197	16 483	75	44 562	
NORTH CAROLINA	89 073	89 073	80 875	8 198	554	7 644	-	-	
NORTH DAKOTA	4 218	4 218	3 946	272	130	142	-	-	
OHIO	129 827	128 293	124 675	3 618	400	3 218	-	1 534	
OKLAHOMA	25 811	25 809	22 986	2 823	1 019	1 799	5	2	
OREGON	33 746	33 746	33 237	509	37	472	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA	110 421	102 443	101 581	842	862	-	-	7 978	
RHODE ISLAND	14 115	14 115	13 947	168	70	98	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA	42 717	42 717	35 855	862	565	6 297	-	-	
SOUTH DAKOTA	5 694	5 694	5 620	74	64	10	-	-	
TENNESSEE	49 002	43 925	34 008	9 917	522	9 395	-	5 077	
TEXAS	74 601	74 601	67 629	6 972	1 486	5 425	61	-	
UTAH	13 707	13 172	12 082	1 090	266	824	-	535	
VERMONT	7 386	7 386	6 603	783	223	560	-	-	
VIRGINIA	85 270	71 764	68 034	3 730	1 399	1 823	508	13 506	
WASHINGTON	46 349	46 349	45 283	1 066	517	549	-	-	
WEST VIRGINIA	11 726	11 726	11 020	706	557	149	-	-	
WISCONSIN	49 123	49 101	46 954	2 147	331	1 814	2	22	
WYOMING	4 352	4 352	4 352	-	-	-	-	-	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 47. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of State governments,
fiscal year 1976
(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions					Corrections administration	Probation, parole, and pardon	Miscellaneous
		Total	For men	For women	For juveniles	Other and combined			
TOTAL	2 276 335	1 730 262	1 115 973	52 088	459 426	102 775	189 708	309 359	47 006
ALABAMA	24 447	17 055	12 750	711	3 594	-	2 658	4 727	7
ALASKA	14 389	11 584	3 633	-	4 344	3 607	409	1 893	503
ARIZONA	20 682	12 837	1 895	-	3 971	6 971	3 363	4 265	217
ARKANSAS	11 297	10 153	6 693	31	3 429	-	431	603	110
CALIFORNIA	286 798	206 756	143 466	6 565	56 725	-	27 252	38 868	13 922
COLORADO	31 312	22 602	14 859	(NA)	7 743	-	1 024	7 546	140
CONNECTICUT	40 908	28 216	20 394	1 971	3 928	1 923	4 591	7 447	654
DELAWARE	12 865	10 288	5 867	241	3 576	604	1 786	736	55
FLORIDA	134 924	94 853	64 596	353	25 410	4 494	6 088	33 983	-
GEORGIA	53 784	37 392	25 994	945	10 453	-	4 576	11 144	672
HAWAII	11 008	7 576	3 004	-	1 156	3 416	646	2 438	348
IDAHO	8 360	6 005	4 199	19	1 787	-	473	1 882	-
ILLINOIS	102 586	67 287	43 567	2 969	20 751	-	18 629	15 215	1 455
INDIANA	38 044	31 689	24 501	1 258	5 930	-	2 084	1 978	2 293
IOWA	25 739	22 248	16 426	697	5 125	-	327	1 836	1 328
KANSAS	32 229	30 300	15 534	736	4 817	9 213	954	854	121
KENTUCKY	20 653	15 537	10 981	585	3 701	270	739	3 642	735
LOUISIANA	39 854	23 600	16 233	764	6 603	-	5 894	10 360	-
MAINE	8 818	7 351	4 120	-	3 231	-	73	898	496
MARYLAND	91 877	66 983	41 025	1 842	19 473	4 643	10 848	17 686	208
MASSACHUSETTS	60 795	50 041	31 398	2 127	15 053	1 463	5 423	5 321	10
MICHIGAN	74 667	64 124	48 362	1 950	13 812	-	3 559	5 827	1 157
MINNESOTA	29 717	23 454	14 649	657	6 652	1 496	2 746	3 321	196
MISSISSIPPI	11 976	10 165	-	-	2 052	8 113	132	1 679	-
MISSOURI	31 948	24 424	16 118	600	7 706	-	2 441	4 846	237
MONTANA	8 260	6 391	3 806	22	2 563	-	476	1 393	-
NEBRASKA	14 799	9 582	6 794	473	2 315	-	430	2 015	2 772
NEVADA	10 755	8 893	5 568	-	2 916	409	(NA)	1 862	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5 815	4 610	2 585	-	1 025	-	(NA)	1 205	-
NEW JERSEY	60 797	52 600	25 986	3 697	11 808	11 109	1 699	4 992	1 506
NEW MEXICO	10 310	7 292	4 500	60	2 732	-	214	2 796	8
NEW YORK	227 235	188 134	136 994	3 910	22 937	24 293	14 966	22 445	1 690
NORTH CAROLINA	80 875	61 262	44 391	2 068	13 814	989	5 219	11 455	2 939
NORTH DAKOTA	3 946	3 611	1 901	65	1 645	-	(NA)	335	-
OHIO	124 675	94 709	51 975	2 830	30 864	9 040	22 666	7 300	-
OKLAHOMA	22 986	15 748	11 034	647	4 067	-	6 212	1 026	-
OREGON	33 237	22 375	12 831	586	8 958	-	1 047	5 882	3 933
PENNSYLVANIA	101 581	85 038	46 068	2 874	36 096	-	2 791	12 227	1 525
RHODE ISLAND	13 947	9 325	7 200	(NA)	2 125	-	1 707	2 555	360
SOUTH CAROLINA	35 855	25 648	18 023	790	6 835	-	3 527	3 099	3 581
SOUTH DAKOTA	5 620	5 082	-	-	2 123	2 959	17	315	206
TENNESSEE	34 008	29 036	19 610	959	8 467	-	955	4 017	-
TEXAS	67 629	56 172	41 964	1 886	12 322	-	4 542	6 915	-
UTAH	12 082	8 112	-	157	3 146	4 809	175	3 715	80
VERMONT	6 603	4 702	1 955	-	2 447	300	292	999	610
VIRGINIA	68 034	46 843	34 830	1 942	10 071	-	9 439	10 529	1 223
WASHINGTON	45 283	35 055	20 936	2 110	12 009	-	1 679	6 896	1 653
WEST VIRGINIA	11 020	9 725	6 772	272	2 681	-	(NA)	1 239	56
WISCONSIN	46 954	33 952	18 192	1 669	11 437	2 654	4 339	8 663	-
WYOMING	4 352	3 845	1 794	50	2 001	-	170	337	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Not available.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government; see text for data limitations.

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
	Number of employees				Total				For men			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²	October payroll ³	Number of employees				Number of employees			
					Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
TOTAL	136 400	133 520	134 420	137 928	105 513	103 764	104 394	105 326	63 182	62 582	62 813	65 045
ALABAMA	1 482	1 482	1 482	1 271	1 103	1 103	1 103	908	738	738	738	614
ALASKA	518	487	493	814	404	381	385	631	140	136	137	229
ARIZONA	1 200	1 200	1 200	1 203	1 022	1 022	1 022	992	160	160	160	152
ARKANSAS	818	812	813	597	765	759	760	555	405	403	403	297
CALIFORNIA	13 218	12 299	12 590	16 832	10 131	9 403	9 622	12 560	6 526	6 260	6 358	8 267
COLORADO	1 811	1 798	1 802	2 156	1 169	1 166	1 166	1 464	706	703	703	921
CONNECTICUT	2 556	2 525	2 533	2 446	1 819	1 800	1 805	1 710	1 257	1 246	1 249	1 232
DELAWARE	831	830	831	844	724	723	724	726	353	353	353	384
FLORIDA	9 945	9 725	9 812	8 423	7 219	7 032	7 110	5 904	4 759	4 671	4 699	4 025
GEORGIA	3 949	3 949	3 949	3 324	2 686	2 686	2 686	2 212	1 703	1 703	1 703	1 444
HAWAII	597	597	597	740	422	422	422	543	174	174	174	227
IDAH0	753	370	471	486	274	274	274	294	154	154	154	185
ILLINOIS	5 203	5 169	5 180	5 525	3 833	3 833	3 833	4 120	2 403	2 403	2 403	2 571
INDIANA	2 071	2 048	2 065	2 105	1 866	1 844	1 860	1 877	1 383	1 378	1 383	1 405
IOWA	1 416	1 405	1 409	1 416	1 250	1 240	1 244	1 243	828	820	823	861
KANSAS	2 176	2 176	2 176	1 791	2 046	2 046	2 046	1 671	847	847	847	748
KENTUCKY	1 513	1 513	1 513	1 457	1 149	1 149	1 149	1 096	762	762	762	614
LOUISIANA	3 021	3 021	3 021	2 514	2 269	2 269	2 269	1 791	1 554	1 554	1 554	1 259
MAINE	611	609	610	514	543	541	542	447	339	337	338	275
MARYLAND	4 960	4 960	4 960	5 419	3 370	3 370	3 370	3 714	2 132	2 132	2 132	2 430
MASSACHUSETTS	3 363	3 362	3 362	3 850	2 628	2 627	2 627	2 951	1 964	1 964	1 964	2 304
MICHIGAN	4 096	4 035	4 045	4 848	3 582	3 539	3 548	4 173	2 715	2 700	2 705	3 160
MINNESOTA	1 583	1 483	1 524	1 877	1 244	1 175	1 205	1 491	767	730	746	930
MISSISSIPPI	994	954	976	684	830	795	815	567	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	2 493	2 343	2 402	1 837	1 735	1 635	1 675	1 266	1 046	997	1 021	756
MONTANA	543	534	535	557	428	422	423	436	221	220	220	251
NEBRASKA	593	830	852	805	692	645	663	634	449	426	434	451
NEVADA	610	588	595	755	481	473	476	614	304	300	301	401
NEW HAMPSHIRE	372	370	371	331	270	270	270	239	147	147	147	136
NEW JERSEY	3 796	3 682	3 727	3 912	3 278	3 172	3 215	3 350	1 405	1 393	1 402	1 443
NEW MEXICO	751	744	746	642	508	501	503	432	290	284	286	250
NEW YORK	12 586	12 433	12 470	14 991	10 535	10 382	10 413	12 475	7 545	7 545	7 545	9 055
NORTH CAROLINA	6 000	6 000	6 000	5 356	4 614	4 614	4 614	3 976	1 018	1 018	1 018	878
NORTH DAKOTA	283	273	276	235	252	242	245	208	115	105	108	96
OHIO	6 402	6 402	6 402	6 321	5 435	5 435	5 435	5 250	2 772	2 772	2 772	2 702
OKLAHOMA	2 113	2 113	2 113	1 586	1 406	1 406	1 406	1 002	1 020	1 020	1 020	737
OREGON	1 691	1 673	1 677	1 852	1 195	1 179	1 182	1 314	727	717	719	827
PENNSYLVANIA	4 172	4 172	4 172	4 828	3 446	3 446	3 446	3 936	1 921	1 921	1 921	2 252
RHODE ISLAND	719	719	719	848	434	434	434	547	336	336	336	449
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 751	2 749	2 751	2 257	1 913	1 913	1 913	1 498	1 232	1 232	1 232	967
SOUTH DAKOTA	334	334	334	288	290	290	290	249	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	2 765	2 751	2 755	1 974	2 316	2 302	2 306	1 600	1 510	1 499	1 502	1 027
TEXAS	4 856	4 807	4 826	4 242	4 205	4 159	4 177	3 564	2 933	2 933	2 933	2 526
UTAH	830	754	780	810	493	463	470	487	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	469	436	445	386	358	327	335	285	147	126	131	116
VIRGINIA	5 705	5 516	5 539	5 000	3 740	3 737	3 740	3 041	2 517	2 515	2 517	2 066
WASHINGTON	2 638	2 631	2 632	2 685	2 153	2 146	2 147	2 228	1 189	1 186	1 187	1 275
WEST VIRGINIA	836	836	836	647	722	722	722	542	452	452	452	337
WISCONSIN	2 804	2 730	2 752	3 165	2 008	2 001	2 002	2 274	1 015	1 014	1 014	1 202
WYOMING	303	291	294	282	258	249	252	239	98	96	97	95

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Institutions--Continued											
	For women				For juveniles				Other and combined			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	3 455	3 364	3 398	3 375	32 394	31 445	31 787	30 134	6 482	6 373	6 410	6 772
ALABAMA	45	45	45	39	320	320	320	255	-	-	-	-
ALASKA	6	6	6	9	133	121	123	186	125	118	119	207
ARIZONA	-	-	-	-	305	305	305	283	557	557	557	557
ARKANSAS	10	10	10	7	350	346	347	251	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	326	302	315	418	3 279	2 841	2 948	3 875	-	-	-	-
COLORADO	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	463	463	463	543	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	136	131	133	123	282	279	280	232	144	144	144	123
DELAWARE	14	14	14	17	308	308	308	267	49	48	49	58
FLORIDA	29	29	29	28	2 129	2 031	2 086	1 567	302	301	301	284
GEORGIA	82	82	82	64	901	901	901	704	-	-	-	-
HAWAII	-	-	-	-	72	72	72	84	176	176	176	232
IDAHO	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	120	120	120	109	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	129	129	129	134	1 301	1 301	1 301	1 415	-	-	-	-
INDIANA	83	80	81	75	400	386	396	397	-	-	-	-
IOWA	41	41	41	40	381	379	379	342	-	-	-	-
KANSAS	57	57	57	47	359	359	359	276	783	783	783	600
KENTUCKY	47	47	47	37	328	328	328	216	12	12	12	13
LOUISIANA	57	57	57	43	658	658	658	489	-	-	-	-
MAINE	-	-	-	-	204	204	204	172	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	99	99	99	112	839	839	839	840	300	300	300	332
MASSACHUSETTS	154	154	154	166	469	468	468	432	41	41	41	49
MICHIGAN	119	117	118	138	744	722	726	875	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA	52	45	48	52	411	386	398	489	14	14	14	20
MISSISSIPPI	-	-	-	-	251	248	251	145	579	547	564	422
MISSOURI	68	45	51	36	621	593	603	474	-	-	-	-
MONTANA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	207	202	203	185	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	50	44	46	39	193	175	183	144	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	-	-	-	-	141	139	140	174	36	34	35	39
NEW HAMPSHIRE	-	-	-	-	123	123	123	103	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	320	310	315	293	783	760	773	846	770	709	726	768
NEW MEXICO	14	14	14	12	204	203	203	170	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	223	223	223	246	1 521	1 368	1 410	1 494	1 246	1 246	1 246	1 680
NORTH CAROLINA	143	143	143	117	3 358	3 358	3 358	2 901	95	95	95	80
NORTH DAKOTA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	137	137	137	112	-	-	-	-
OHIO	200	200	200	206	1 884	1 884	1 884	1 760	579	579	579	582
OKLAHOMA	61	61	61	48	325	325	325	217	-	-	-	-
OREGON	32	31	31	33	436	431	432	454	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	140	140	140	161	1 385	1 385	1 385	1 523	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	98	98	98	98	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	79	79	79	60	602	602	602	471	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	-	-	-	-	152	152	152	121	138	138	138	128
TENNESSEE	75	75	75	55	731	728	729	518	-	-	-	-
TEXAS	132	132	132	114	1 140	1 094	1 113	924	-	-	-	-
UTAH	32	22	23	22	149	134	139	131	312	307	309	334
VERMONT	-	-	-	-	195	183	187	152	18	18	18	17
VIRGINIA	129	129	129	110	1 094	1 093	1 095	865	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	127	127	127	136	837	833	834	817	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	26	26	26	17	243	243	243	186	1	1	1	2
WISCONSIN	118	118	118	121	670	664	665	706	205	205	205	245
WYOMING	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	160	153	155	144	-	-	-	-

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Corrections administration				Probation, parole, and pardon				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time equivalent	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	8 493	8 124	8 198	9 387	20 792	20 069	20 309	21 481	1 602	1 563	1 580	1 734
ALABAMA	86	86	86	94	293	293	293	269	-	-	-	-
ALASKA	20	19	19	37	87	82	83	138	7	5	6	8
ARIZONA	79	79	79	92	81	81	81	101	18	18	18	18
ARKANSAS	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	50	50	50	39	3	3	3	3
CALIFORNIA	907	850	868	1 183	1 578	1 478	1 518	2 310	602	568	581	779
COLORADO	96	95	95	117	536	527	531	566	10	10	10	9
CONNECTICUT	264	259	260	256	454	447	449	463	19	19	19	17
DELAWARE	40	40	40	55	57	57	57	54	10	10	10	9
FLORIDA	309	295	299	324	2 417	2 398	2 404	2 195	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA	192	192	192	209	1 016	1 016	1 016	846	55	55	55	57
HAWAII	57	57	57	38	111	111	111	151	7	7	7	8
IDAHO	28	25	25	28	451	71	193	164	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	600	600	600	591	770	736	746	814	-	-	-	-
INDIANA	64	63	63	75	134	134	134	147	7	7	7	6
IOWA	13	13	13	16	107	107	107	116	46	45	45	41
KANSAS	48	48	48	47	80	80	80	70	2	2	2	3
KENTUCKY	41	41	41	51	313	313	313	297	10	10	10	13
LOUISIANA	173	173	173	156	579	579	579	567	-	-	-	-
MAINE	6	6	6	7	62	62	62	60	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	200	200	200	247	1 375	1 375	1 375	1 445	15	15	15	13
MASSACHUSETTS	392	392	392	496	343	343	343	403	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	123	120	121	156	391	376	380	519	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA	158	142	148	180	172	158	162	195	9	8	8	11
MISSISSIPPI	11	11	11	11	153	148	150	106	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	268	224	239	213	490	484	486	358	-	-	-	-
MONTANA	38	36	37	42	77	76	76	79	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	26	25	25	29	154	139	143	124	21	21	21	18
NEVADA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	129	115	119	141	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	102	100	101	92	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	48	48	48	71	351	344	346	358	119	118	118	133
NEW MEXICO	12	12	12	16	231	231	231	194	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	796	796	796	1 017	1 214	1 214	1 214	1 449	41	41	41	50
NORTH CAROLINA	285	285	285	315	979	979	979	948	122	122	122	117
NORTH DAKOTA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	31	31	31	27	-	-	-	-
OHIO	500	500	500	595	467	467	467	476	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	458	458	458	364	249	249	249	220	-	-	-	-
OREGON	53	53	53	57	295	293	294	345	148	148	148	136
PENNSYLVANIA	100	100	100	142	626	626	626	750	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	92	92	92	120	149	149	149	152	44	44	44	29
SOUTH CAROLINA	290	290	290	294	297	295	298	246	251	251	251	219
SOUTH DAKOTA	2	2	2	2	32	32	32	28	10	10	10	9
TENNESSEE	66	66	66	62	383	383	383	312	-	-	-	-
TEXAS	257	254	255	290	394	394	394	388	-	-	-	-
UTAH	16	9	10	11	299	260	277	287	22	22	22	25
VERMONT	21	19	20	22	86	86	86	76	4	4	4	3
VIRGINIA	976	790	806	912	989	989	989	1 047	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	39	39	39	64	446	446	446	593	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	114	114	114	105	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	233	210	217	270	563	519	533	621	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	10	10	10	13	35	32	32	30	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Not available.

X Not applicable.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.²Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals shown.

Table 49. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	1 053 949	1 023 230	923 029	100 201	8 431	90 402	1 368	30 719	16 022	14 697
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	161	139	139	-	-	-	-	22	-	22
JEFFERSON	2 439	2 439	2 358	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	591	591	577	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	975	975	969	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	663	663	645	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	329	329	328	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARIKOPA	11 079	11 079	9 116	1 963	36	1 927	-	-	-	-
PIMA	7 106	6 857	6 450	407	11	396	-	249	219	30
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	1 345	1 332	876	456	34	422	-	13	13	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	28 953	28 690	27 619	1 071	142	590	339	263	48	215
BUTTE	2 597	2 590	1 348	1 242	6	1 236	-	7	-	7
CONTRA COSTA	14 084	14 058	12 615	1 443	27	1 416	-	26	26	-
FRESNO	7 943	7 903	7 693	210	9	201	-	40	18	22
HUMBOLDT	1 399	1 399	1 397	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	6 856	6 856	6 156	700	84	616	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	130 228	129 639	117 299	12 340	851	11 489	-	589	589	-
MARIN	4 420	4 410	4 340	70	18	52	-	10	10	-
MERCED	1 388	1 384	1 360	24	24	-	-	4	4	-
MONTEREY	6 902	6 896	4 023	2 873	69	2 804	-	6	6	-
ORANGE	24 425	24 370	24 099	271	271	-	-	55	55	-
RIVERSIDE	11 766	11 766	11 563	203	53	150	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	13 839	13 463	12 812	651	29	622	-	376	376	-
SAN BERNARDINO	12 987	12 952	12 223	729	5	724	-	35	35	-
SAN DIEGO	29 310	29 306	28 972	334	205	112	17	4	-	4
SAN JOAQUIN	5 365	5 352	5 317	35	32	3	-	13	13	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 818	1 810	1 680	130	8	122	-	8	8	-
SAN MATEO	13 723	13 723	13 671	52	32	20	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	6 190	6 166	5 770	396	81	315	-	24	24	-
SANTA CLARA	19 316	19 316	18 848	468	22	446	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	2 879	2 870	2 769	101	40	61	-	9	9	-
SOLANO	3 475	3 450	3 407	43	12	23	8	25	24	1
SONOMA	4 021	4 021	3 971	50	37	13	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	5 091	4 944	4 697	247	12	235	-	147	-	147
TULARE	3 588	3 582	3 556	26	14	12	-	6	6	-
VENTURA	7 312	7 068	6 932	136	12	124	-	244	44	200
YOLO	2 474	2 421	1 596	825	142	683	-	53	-	53
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	404	404	385	19	-	19	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	428	428	425	3	-	3	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	485	485	475	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	1 043	1 043	1 040	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	420	414	410	4	4	-	-	6	-	6
LARIMER	276	276	273	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	322	322	299	23	7	-	16	-	-	-
WELD	252	252	245	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	2 482	2 482	1 030	1 452	26	1 426	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	365	365	364	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	2 295	2 295	2 279	16	3	13	-	-	-	-
DADE	10 225	10 225	10 017	208	146	62	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	3 627	3 603	3 365	2 238	14	2 224	-	24	24	-
HILLSBOROUGH	4 292	4 205	4 097	108	83	25	-	87	-	87
LEE	212	212	211	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	469	469	373	96	3	93	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 49. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
MANATEE	264	264	261	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	1 921	1 921	1 915	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	2 015	2 015	1 898	117	117	-	-	-	-	-
PASCO	258	258	254	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	2 334	2 334	1 784	550	13	537	-	-	-	-
POLK	1 592	1 592	840	752	19	733	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	339	339	339	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	344	344	344	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	1 608	1 608	1 496	112	5	107	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	793	793	630	163	9	124	30	-	-	-
CHATHAM	728	728	713	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	715	715	713	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	992	992	981	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	2 914	2 914	2 905	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	6 752	6 752	6 484	268	16	252	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	1 221	1 221	1 202	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA	470	470	463	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	580	580	572	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	42 043	37 376	28 598	8 778	340	8 438	-	4 667	-	4 667
DU PAGE	1 795	1 795	1 768	27	8	19	-	-	-	-
KANE	1 497	1 497	1 182	315	251	64	-	-	-	-
LAKE	1 952	1 952	1 925	27	12	15	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	313	313	311	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	393	380	377	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	352	349	348	1	1	-	-	13	-	13
MACON	394	394	394	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
MADISON	1 336	1 335	1 025	310	3	167	140	-	-	-
PEORIA	1 812	1 812	1 066	746	5	621	120	1	-	1
ROCK ISLAND	572	572	572	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	1 388	783	781	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	612	612	604	8	8	-	-	605	-	605
TAZEWELL	193	193	190	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	411	409	409	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	1 071	1 071	1 038	33	33	-	-	2	-	2
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	2 140	1 862	1 824	38	5	33	-	278	278	-
DELAWARE	475	474	424	50	49	1	-	1	-	-
ELKHART	571	521	506	15	15	-	-	50	50	1
LAKE	6 049	5 439	2 147	3 292	-	3 292	-	610	610	-
LA PORTE	784	783	430	353	18	335	-	1	-	1
MADISON	684	599	492	107	86	21	-	85	83	2
ST. JOSEPH	911	907	889	18	2	16	-	4	4	-
TIPPECANOE	441	362	354	8	8	-	-	79	-	79
VANDERBURGH	735	724	724	-	-	-	-	11	-	11
VIGO	468	463	451	5	2	3	-	5	5	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	823	823	813	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	1 047	995	950	45	6	39	-	52	-	-
POLK	3 241	3 215	3 202	13	4	9	-	26	52	26
SCOTT	421	421	417	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	260	260	259	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	745	745	692	53	1	52	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	2 072	2 072	1 894	178	142	36	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	815	815	780	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	640	640	636	4	3	1	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON	3 824	3 824	3 787	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
KENTON	193	193	193	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

Table 49. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	1 387	1 387	1 323	64	4	60	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU	1 082	1 082	761	321	26	295	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	2 314	2 314	1 028	1 286	3	1 111	172	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	443	443	443	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	489	489	489	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	315	315	315	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	410	410	405	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	221	221	146	75	-	75	-	-	-	-
YORK	257	257	219	38	1	37	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	647	647	646	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	1 680	1 680	1 680	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	613	611	606	5	5	-	-	2	2	-
MONTGOMERY	3 791	3 791	1 847	1 944	10	1 934	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	4 507	4 507	2 745	1 762	7	1 755	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	266	266	247	19	7	12	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE	1 292	1 292	1 188	104	24	80	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE	833	833	831	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	1 879	1 853	1 843	10	10	-	-	26	-	26
ESSEX	4 036	4 036	3 279	757	16	741	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	3 018	3 018	3 018	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	1 016	1 016	982	34	9	25	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	6 796	6 796	6 727	69	63	6	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	2 031	2 031	1 972	59	22	37	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	2 503	2 503	2 481	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	3 785	3 785	3 776	9	6	3	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	835	698	696	2	2	-	-	137	-	137
BERRIEN	1 913	1 793	1 724	69	19	50	-	120	-	120
CALHOUN	1 437	1 348	1 344	4	4	-	-	89	-	89
GENESEE	4 259	3 799	3 775	24	24	-	-	460	460	-
INGHAM	1 861	1 763	1 752	11	1	10	-	98	48	50
JACKSON	1 180	1 038	1 028	10	6	4	-	142	-	142
KALAMAZOO	2 344	2 213	2 193	20	20	-	-	131	-	131
KENT	4 477	4 244	3 209	1 035	10	1 025	-	233	-	233
MACOMB	4 705	4 587	3 230	1 357	9	1 348	-	118	118	-
MONROE	681	621	596	25	2	23	-	60	-	60
MUSKEGON	1 463	1 308	1 302	6	-	6	-	155	-	155
OAKLAND	7 354	7 108	6 568	540	12	528	-	246	-	246
OTTAWA	595	535	532	3	3	-	-	60	58	2
SAGINAW	2 142	1 984	1 977	7	7	-	-	158	-	158
ST. CLAIR	1 025	876	851	25	23	2	-	149	-	149
WASHTENAW	2 228	2 090	2 089	1	1	-	-	138	-	138
WAYNE	24 443	19 614	19 501	113	80	33	-	4 829	2 194	2 635
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	402	402	400	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	435	435	435	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	8 509	8 329	7 753	576	32	544	-	180	-	180
RAMSEY	6 941	6 941	6 589	352	352	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 618	797	786	11	11	-	-	821	-	821
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	331	331	331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	2 615	2 555	426	2 129	81	2 048	-	60	-	60
JACKSON	189	189	143	46	-	46	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	719	719	711	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	282	281	276	5	5	-	-	1	1	-
JACKSON	6 675	6 475	5 259	1 216	40	1 176	-	200	200	-
JEFFERSON	121	119	105	14	8	-	6	2	2	-
ST. CHARLES	257	257	256	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	3 819	3 819	3 784	35	35	-	-	-	-	-

Table 49. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	2 220	2 220	2 200	20	8	12	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	823	671	604	67	2	65	-	152	-	152
NEVADA:										
CLARK	6 173	6 173	6 135	38	26	12	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	1 446	1 446	1 431	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	676	676	649	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	206	202	202	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	1 992	1 992	1 976	16	2	14	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	3 806	3 806	3 780	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	3 455	3 455	1 861	1 594	-	1 594	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	4 917	4 917	4 917	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	1 648	1 648	1 560	88	-	88	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	17 704	17 704	17 682	22	17	5	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	1 148	1 148	1 148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	4 641	4 641	4 527	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	5 537	5 537	3 576	1 961	20	1 941	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	4 535	4 535	4 488	47	40	7	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	2 350	2 338	2 338	-	-	-	-	12	12	-
MORRIS	1 693	1 693	1 671	22	17	5	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	1 601	1 601	1 569	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	4 234	4 234	4 234	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	1 413	1 407	1 386	21	20	1	-	6	-	6
UNION	4 490	4 490	4 158	332	15	317	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	944	472	73	399	-	-	399	472	-	472
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	4 090	3 905	3 862	43	43	-	-	185	185	-
BROOME	1 143	940	929	11	11	-	-	203	202	1
CHAUTAUQUA	1 100	1 084	638	446	4	442	-	16	16	-
CHEMUNG	883	857	857	-	-	-	-	26	26	-
DUTCHESS	1 732	1 532	1 510	22	22	-	-	200	200	-
ERIE	11 610	10 550	10 497	53	53	-	-	1 060	1 060	-
MONROE	8 135	7 385	7 355	30	30	-	-	750	750	-
NASSAU	18 306	16 300	15 406	894	153	741	-	2 006	1 962	44
NIAGARA	1 398	1 216	1 207	9	7	2	-	172	172	-
ONEIDA	1 965	965	963	2	2	-	-	100	100	-
ONONDAGA	8 838	8 090	6 415	1 675	59	1 616	-	748	748	-
ORANGE	1 866	1 646	1 494	152	12	140	-	220	220	-
OSWEGO	691	549	540	9	9	-	-	142	-	142
RENSSELAER	1 180	1 065	1 056	9	9	-	-	115	115	-
ROCKLAND	2 430	2 430	2 124	306	2	304	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	552	543	541	2	2	-	-	9	9	-
SARATOGA	469	345	344	1	1	-	-	124	124	-
SCHENECTADY	1 068	910	883	27	27	-	-	158	158	-
STEUBEN	842	697	632	65	4	61	-	145	145	-
SUFFOLK	10 864	10 630	10 568	62	21	41	-	234	234	-
ULSTER	1 224	1 079	1 064	15	15	-	-	145	-	145
WESTCHESTER	10 838	10 814	9 040	1 774	33	1 741	-	24	22	2
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	453	453	418	35	7	28	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	651	651	643	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	265	265	264	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	598	534	529	5	3	2	-	64	-	64
GASTON	481	481	464	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	1 265	1 161	1 085	76	43	33	-	104	-	104
HECKLENBURG	1 290	1 290	1 274	16	6	10	-	-	-	-
ONSLOW	233	233	229	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE	340	340	334	6	6	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN	706	697	591	106	3	103	-	9	-	9
ASHTABULA	289	289	284	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	706	706	704	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK	652	652	652	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT	345	339	258	81	-	81	-	6	-	6
COLUMBIANA	233	233	221	12	1	11	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	7 632	7 632	7 622	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	3 169	2 941	2 937	4	4	-	-	228	-	228
GREENE	501	501	491	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	4 821	4 674	4 335	339	38	301	-	147	-	147
LAKE	1 609	1 609	721	888	5	883	-	-	-	-
LICKING	341	341	329	12	-	12	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	4 411	4 411	1 130	3 281	8	3 273	-	-	-	-
LUCAS	15 959	15 893	3 208	12 685	3	12 682	-	66	-	66
MAHONING	1 010	1 010	968	42	2	25	15	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	3 760	3 236	3 236	-	-	-	-	524	-	524
PORTAGE	595	511	458	53	1	52	-	84	-	84
RICHLAND	933	928	485	443	25	418	-	5	-	5
STARK	1 919	1 919	1 823	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT	2 347	2 323	2 310	13	13	-	-	24	-	24
TRUMBULL	551	551	547	4	2	2	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	208	208	207	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	1 202	1 202	1 195	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	1 469	1 469	1 454	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	883	881	879	2	2	-	-	2	-	2
JACKSON	758	758	740	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
LANE	1 072	1 072	1 064	8	5	3	-	-	-	-
MARION	1 672	1 672	1 043	629	91	538	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	5 041	5 041	5 027	14	8	6	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	996	983	980	3	3	-	-	13	1	12
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	6 793	6 793	6 726	67	34	33	-	-	-	-
BEAVER	786	734	732	2	2	-	-	52	-	52
BERKS	1 773	1 407	1 393	14	14	-	-	366	366	-
BLAIR	486	484	473	11	2	9	-	2	-	2
BUCKS	2 919	2 753	2 652	101	51	50	-	166	166	-
BUTLER	445	424	423	1	1	-	-	21	-	21
CAMBRIA	558	502	500	2	2	-	-	56	56	-
CENTRE	429	429	429	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	2 760	2 623	2 571	52	17	35	-	137	89	48
CUMBERLAND	762	719	718	1	1	-	-	43	26	17
DAUPHIN	2 367	2 165	2 156	9	9	-	-	202	202	-
DELAWARE	5 007	4 993	4 949	44	44	-	-	14	14	-
ERIE	2 982	2 802	2 667	135	135	-	-	180	180	-
FAYETTE	428	413	368	45	-	45	-	15	15	-
FRANKLIN	668	614	614	-	-	-	-	54	54	-
LACKAWANNA	782	724	724	-	-	-	-	58	58	-
LANCASTER	1 895	1 468	1 459	9	9	-	-	427	427	-
LAWRENCE	428	390	277	113	3	110	-	38	-	38
LEBANON	501	485	480	5	5	-	-	16	16	-
LEHIGH	1 868	1 618	1 336	282	23	259	-	250	250	-
LUZERNE	1 377	963	940	23	-	23	-	414	414	-
LYCOMING	975	904	901	3	3	-	-	71	62	9
MERCER	1 040	1 026	696	330	4	326	-	14	9	5
MONTGOMERY	3 332	2 968	2 959	9	9	-	-	364	364	-
NORTHAMPTON	1 648	1 490	1 192	298	87	211	-	158	158	-
SCHUYLKILL	1 332	1 284	1 263	21	21	-	-	48	48	-
WASHINGTON	656	601	584	17	17	-	-	55	55	-
WESTMORELAND	2 037	1 713	790	923	2	921	-	324	181	143
YORK	1 627	1 514	1 509	5	5	-	-	113	107	6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	645	645	645	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	753	753	737	16	15	1	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	604	604	597	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	453	453	297	156	56	-	100	-	-	-
RICHLAND	663	635	633	2	2	-	-	28	-	28
SPARTANBURG	189	189	188	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	1 377	1 377	1 377	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	933	933	852	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	7 984	7 916	7 131	785	74	711	-	68	6	62
SULLIVAN	211	211	198	13	4	9	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	857	857	369	488	10	477	1	-	-	-
BEXAR	3 650	3 650	3 631	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	212	210	204	6	6	-	-	2	2	-
CAMERON	632	632	622	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	8 352	8 352	7 988	364	14	349	1	-	-	-
EL PASO	1 138	1 138	1 129	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	817	817	525	292	4	288	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	12 309	12 309	11 766	543	543	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	1 038	1 038	718	320	8	312	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	791	791	756	35	22	13	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	441	441	439	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	1 349	1 349	1 347	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MUECES	833	832	827	5	5	-	-	1	-	1
SMITH	199	199	199	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	2 191	2 191	2 079	112	112	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	195	195	191	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	2 635	2 635	2 609	26	22	-	4	-	-	-
WICHITA	313	313	297	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
DAVIS	119	95	84	11	11	-	-	24	-	24
SALT LAKE	2 023	2 023	1 966	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	461	447	446	1	1	-	-	14	-	14
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	1 165	1 165	1 161	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	2 912	2 788	1 971	817	15	802	-	124	-	124
HENRICO	1 228	1 162	668	494	26	468	-	66	-	66
PRINCE WILLIAM	496	488	486	2	2	-	-	8	-	8
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	989	989	814	175	32	143	-	-	-	-
KING	8 229	8 229	8 096	133	43	90	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	494	494	493	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	2 341	2 341	2 323	18	17	1	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	1 494	1 494	1 483	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	1 970	1 970	1 762	208	8	200	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	1 112	1 112	963	149	14	135	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	434	434	418	24	8	16	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	658	658	626	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	448	439	394	45	16	29	-	9	9	-
DANE	1 201	1 165	1 137	28	28	-	-	36	36	-
KENOSHA	365	300	297	3	-	3	-	65	65	-
MARATHON	279	230	227	3	3	-	-	49	-	49
MILWAUKEE	7 730	7 485	7 366	119	68	51	-	245	245	-
OUTAGAMIE	210	176	174	2	2	-	-	34	34	-
RACINE	463	428	411	17	17	-	-	35	35	-
ROCK	896	848	829	19	19	-	-	48	48	-
WAUKESHA	775	752	669	83	22	61	-	23	23	-
WINNEBAGO	116	91	91	-	-	-	-	25	25	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 50. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
TOTAL	923 029	597 197	194 053	403 144	304 171	21 661
ALABAMA:						
CALHOUN	139	60	-	60	79	-
JEFFERSON	2 358	1 789	600	1 189	569	-
MADISON	577	401	114	287	176	-
MOBILE	969	834	346	488	135	-
MONTGOMERY	645	500	366	134	145	-
TUSCALOOSA	328	182	-	182	146	-
ARIZONA:						
MARICOPA	9 116	4 143	1 543	2 600	4 973	-
PIMA	6 450	4 747	1 358	3 389	1 610	93
ARKANSAS:						
PULASKI	876	779	104	675	97	-
CALIFORNIA:						
ALAMEDA	27 619	14 111	5 386	8 725	9 817	3 691
BUTTE	1 348	971	284	687	377	-
CONTRA COSTA	12 615	7 212	4 385	2 827	5 403	-
FRESNO	7 693	4 923	1 775	3 148	2 770	-
HUMBOLDT	1 397	819	183	636	578	-
KERN	6 156	3 899	1 699	2 200	2 257	-
LOS ANGELES	117 299	68 503	25 597	42 906	48 796	-
MARIN	4 340	1 756	1 049	707	2 056	528
MERCED	1 360	735	128	607	625	-
MONTEREY	4 023	2 414	847	1 567	1 602	7
ORANGE	24 099	12 067	6 073	5 994	9 567	2 465
RIVERSIDE	11 563	5 685	2 519	3 166	5 878	-
SACRAMENTO	12 812	7 780	3 045	4 735	5 032	-
SAN BERNARDINO	12 223	6 820	3 063	3 757	5 403	-
SAN DIEGO	28 972	15 373	6 164	9 209	10 634	2 965
SAN JOAQUIN	5 317	3 265	914	2 351	1 925	127
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 680	882	297	585	797	1
SAN MATEO	13 671	5 710	2 142	3 568	7 961	-
SANTA BARBARA	5 770	3 768	1 208	2 560	2 002	-
SANTA CLARA	18 848	15 697	8 649	7 048	3 092	59
SANTA CRUZ	2 769	1 576	494	1 082	1 193	-
SOLANO	3 407	1 811	821	990	1 370	226
SONOMA	3 971	2 817	1 165	1 652	1 154	-
STANISLAUS	4 697	2 875	495	2 380	1 798	24
TULARE	3 556	2 265	919	1 346	1 291	-
VENTURA	6 932	4 105	757	3 348	2 749	78
YOLO	1 596	816	217	599	685	95
COLORADO:						
ADAMS	385	385	-	385	-	-
ARAPAHOE	425	425	-	425	-	-
BOULDER	475	475	-	475	-	-
EL PASO	1 040	954	-	954	-	86
JEFFERSON	410	410	-	410	-	-
LARIMER	273	150	-	150	-	123
PUEBLO	299	296	-	296	3	-
WELD	245	80	42	38	-	165
DELAWARE:						
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:						
ALACHUA	1 030	718	-	718	-	312
BREVARD	364	364	-	364	-	41
BROWARD	2 279	2 224	-	2 224	14	-
DADE	10 017	10 017	2 167	7 850	-	-
ESCAMBIA	1 365	1 252	95	1 157	76	37
HILLSBOROUGH	4 097	4 097	22	4 075	-	-
LEE	211	210	-	210	1	-
LEON	373	373	-	373	-	-
MANATEE	261	248	-	248	13	-
ORANGE	1 915	1 564	-	1 564	-	351
PALM BEACH	1 898	1 775	443	1 332	55	68
PASCO	254	254	14	240	-	-
PINELLAS	1 784	1 750	267	1 483	34	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
FLORIDA--CONTINUED						
POLK.	840	840	-	840	-	-
SARASOTA.	339	339	-	339	-	-
SEMINOLE.	344	275	-	275	-	69
VOLUSIA.	1 496	1 379	-	1 379	-	117
GEORGIA:						
BIBB.	630	420	-	420	210	-
CHATHAM.	713	640	230	410	73	-
CLAYTON.	713	613	173	440	100	-
COBB.	981	758	-	758	223	-
DE KALB.	2 905	1 807	577	1 230	1 098	-
FULTON.	6 484	3 703	636	3 067	2 781	-
RICHMOND.	1 202	1 075	-	1 075	127	-
IDAHO:						
ADA.	463	372	211	161	91	-
ILLINOIS:						
CHAMPAIGN.	572	326	255	71	246	-
COOK.	28 598	19 104	4 059	15 045	9 392	102
DU PAGE.	1 768	1 101	463	638	667	-
KANE.	1 182	621	217	404	436	125
LAKE.	1 925	1 387	697	690	538	-
LA SALLE.	311	229	69	160	82	-
MCHENRY.	377	153	-	153	224	-
MCLEAN.	348	126	-	126	222	-
MACON.	394	165	19	146	229	-
MADISON.	1 025	671	243	428	354	-
PEORIA.	1 066	586	142	444	480	-
ROCK ISLAND.	572	214	-	214	358	-
ST. CLAIR.	781	561	168	393	220	-
SANGAMON.	604	384	137	247	220	-
TAZEWELL.	190	92	-	92	98	-
WILL.	409	330	-	330	79	-
WINNEBAGO.	1 038	641	229	412	338	59
INDIANA:						
ALLEN.	1 824	922	420	502	902	-
DELAWARE.	424	315	167	148	109	-
ELKHART.	506	329	62	267	177	-
LAKE.	2 147	1 415	240	1 175	732	-
LA PORTE.	430	86	-	86	130	214
MADISON.	492	371	162	209	121	-
ST. JOSEPH.	889	699	83	616	190	-
TIPPECANOE.	354	268	136	132	54	32
VANDERBURGH.	724	419	-	419	305	-
VIGO.	458	372	246	126	86	-
IOWA:						
BLACK HAWK.	813	203	-	203	610	-
LINN.	950	386	115	271	564	-
POLK.	3 202	1 032	603	429	1 840	330
SCOTT.	417	292	-	292	125	-
WOODBURY.	259	67	18	49	192	-
KANSAS:						
JOHNSON.	692	451	129	322	241	-
SEDGWICK.	1 894	1 062	468	594	298	534
SHAWNEE.	780	724	416	308	16	40
WYANDOTTE.	636	514	194	320	96	26
KENTUCKY:						
JEFFERSON.	3 787	3 272	1 547	1 725	284	231
KENTON.	193	137	11	126	56	-
LOUISIANA:						
CADDO.	1 323	1 211	90	1 121	112	-
CALCASIEU.	761	580	191	389	101	80
JEFFERSON.	1 028	911	374	537	117	-
LAFAYETTE.	443	414	208	206	29	-
OUACHITA.	489	444	156	288	45	-
RAPIDES.	315	239	114	125	76	-
MAINE:						
CUMBERLAND.	405	405	-	405	-	-
PENOBSCOT.	146	146	-	146	-	-
YORK.	219	219	-	219	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
MARYLAND:						
ANNE ARUNDEL.	646	646	-	646	-	-
BALTIMORE.	1 680	1 074	28	1 046	606	-
HARFORD.	606	606	-	606	-	-
MONTGOMERY.	1 847	1 847	-	1 847	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES.	2 745	2 526	-	2 526	118	101
WASHINGTON.	247	247	-	247	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:						
BARNSTABLE.	1 188	817	-	817	371	-
BERKSHIRE.	831	529	-	529	302	-
BRISTOL.	1 843	887	-	887	956	-
ESSEX.	3 279	1 603	91	1 512	1 650	26
HAMPDEN.	3 018	1 469	10	1 459	1 547	2
HAMPSHIRE.	982	646	-	646	225	111
MIDDLESEX.	6 727	3 321	-	3 321	3 406	-
NORFOLK.	1 972	875	-	875	1 097	-
PLYMOUTH.	2 481	1 159	-	1 159	1 322	-
WORCESTER.	3 776	1 864	-	1 864	1 912	-
MICHIGAN:						
BAY.	696	510	289	221	186	-
BERRIEN.	1 724	1 130	568	562	297	297
CALHOUN.	1 344	1 004	674	330	294	46
GENESEE.	3 775	2 811	1 690	1 121	794	170
INGHAM.	1 752	1 404	586	818	348	-
JACKSON.	1 028	740	522	218	283	5
KALAMAZOO.	2 193	1 592	674	918	443	158
KENT.	3 209	2 923	1 119	1 804	286	-
MACOMB.	3 230	2 281	1 058	1 223	828	121
MONROE.	596	437	211	226	159	-
MUSKEGON.	1 302	1 153	599	554	139	10
OAKLAND.	6 568	4 803	2 989	1 814	1 208	557
OTTAWA.	532	396	195	201	136	-
SAGINAW.	1 977	1 375	799	576	291	311
ST. CLAIR.	851	632	333	299	129	90
WASHTENAW.	2 089	1 608	968	640	437	44
WAYNE.	19 501	13 690	5 448	8 242	5 811	-
MINNESOTA:						
ANOKA.	400	150	-	150	250	-
DAKOTA.	435	275	-	275	160	-
HENNEPIN.	7 753	4 254	2 588	1 666	3 070	429
RAMSEY.	6 589	3 105	1 028	2 077	2 167	1 317
ST. LOUIS.	786	275	-	275	511	-
MISSISSIPPI:						
HARRISON.	331	331	150	181	-	-
HINDS.	426	425	-	425	-	1
JACKSON.	143	143	42	101	-	-
MISSOURI:						
CLAY.	711	245	33	212	466	-
GREENE.	276	276	44	232	-	-
JACKSON.	5 259	4 830	3 086	1 744	429	-
JEFFERSON.	105	98	65	33	7	-
ST. CHARLES.	256	178	18	160	78	-
ST. LOUIS.	3 784	3 204	1 278	1 926	345	235
NEBRASKA:						
DOUGLAS.	2 200	1 792	228	1 564	207	201
LANCASTER.	604	205	205	-	230	169
NEVADA:						
CLARK.	6 135	4 570	2 472	2 098	1 565	-
WASHOE.	1 431	944	464	480	487	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:						
HILLSBOROUGH.	649	649	-	649	-	-
ROCKINGHAM.	202	202	-	202	-	-
NEW JERSEY:						
ATLANTIC.	1 976	1 487	500	987	489	-
BERGEN.	3 780	2 251	369	1 882	1 529	-
BURLINGTON.	1 861	1 121	240	881	740	-
CAMDEN.	4 917	3 350	810	2 540	1 567	-
CUMBERLAND.	1 560	1 226	489	737	334	-
ESSEX.	17 682	12 598	2 242	10 356	5 084	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
NEW JERSEY--CONTINUED						
GLOUCESTER	1 148	684	145	539	464	-
HUDSON	4 627	3 124	898	2 226	1 503	94
MERCER	3 576	2 677	457	2 220	805	-
MIDDLESEX	4 488	2 453	657	1 796	1 902	133
MONMOUTH	2 338	1 739	276	1 463	599	-
MORRIS	1 671	840	175	665	831	-
OCEAN	1 569	996	360	636	573	-
PASSAIC	4 234	2 428	761	1 667	1 806	-
SOMERSET	1 386	585	126	459	801	-
UNION	4 158	2 237	744	1 493	1 921	-
NEW MEXICO:						
BERNALILLO	73	40	10	30	29	4
NEW YORK:						
ALBANY	3 862	3 557	1 904	1 653	305	-
BROOME	929	390	41	349	539	-
CHAUTAUQUA	638	284	42	242	354	-
CHEMUNG	857	375	-	375	478	4
DUTCHESS	1 510	1 109	6	1 103	401	-
ERIE	10 497	8 269	3 379	4 890	2 228	-
MONROE	7 355	4 588	1 431	3 157	2 767	-
NASSAU	15 406	7 640	930	6 710	7 766	-
NIAGARA	1 207	755	36	719	452	-
ONEIDA	963	601	58	543	362	-
ONONDAGA	6 415	4 910	1 147	3 763	1 505	-
ORANGE	1 494	1 017	240	777	477	-
OSWEGO	540	301	15	286	239	-
RENSSELAER	1 056	751	458	293	305	-
ROCKLAND	2 124	1 545	465	1 080	579	-
ST. LAWRENCE	541	214	8	206	327	-
SARATOGA	344	209	13	196	135	-
SCHENECTADY	883	635	277	358	248	-
STEBEN	632	359	16	343	273	-
SUFFOLK	10 568	5 694	1 365	4 329	4 874	-
ULSTER	1 064	814	14	800	250	-
WESTCHESTER	9 040	6 080	400	5 680	2 960	-
NORTH CAROLINA:						
BUNCOMBE	418	418	59	359	-	-
CUMBERLAND	643	643	75	568	-	-
DURHAM	264	264	41	223	-	-
FORSYTH	529	529	91	438	-	-
GASTON	464	430	91	339	34	-
GUILFORD	1 085	1 085	184	901	-	-
MECKLENBURG	1 274	1 132	239	893	142	-
ONslow	229	229	19	210	-	-
WAKE	334	334	126	208	-	-
OHIO:						
ALLEN	591	359	183	176	66	166
ASHTABULA	284	191	11	180	60	33
BUTLER	704	390	126	264	264	50
CLARK	652	333	131	202	319	-
CLERMONT	258	177	-	177	81	-
COLUMBIANA	221	143	47	96	78	-
CUYAHOGA	7 622	6 520	4 020	2 500	1 102	-
FRANKLIN	2 937	1 519	691	828	1 265	153
GREENE	491	356	56	300	133	2
HAMILTON	4 335	2 641	1 772	869	1 280	414
LAKE	721	516	312	204	142	63
LICKING	329	213	80	133	116	-
LORAIN	1 130	350	236	114	780	-
LUCAS	3 208	2 021	1 003	1 018	1 180	7
MAHONING	968	738	270	468	230	-
MONTGOMERY	3 236	1 826	1 014	812	1 335	75
PORTAGE	458	325	188	137	133	-
RICHLAND	485	229	55	174	237	19
STARK	1 823	1 517	867	650	306	-
SUMMIT	2 310	1 289	474	815	865	156
TRUMBULL	547	375	183	192	106	66

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
OKLAHOMA:						
COMANCHE	207	143	18	125	64	-
OKLAHOMA	1 195	587	216	371	608	-
TULSA	1 454	1 026	361	665	428	-
OREGON:						
CLACKAMAS	879	386	-	386	493	-
JACKSON	740	388	136	252	352	-
LANE	1 064	1 064	331	733	-	-
MARION	1 043	613	216	397	430	-
MULTNOMAH	5 027	3 263	482	2 781	1 249	515
WASHINGTON	980	462	136	326	518	-
PENNSYLVANIA:						
ALLEGHENY	6 726	4 232	2 061	2 171	2 494	-
BEAVER	732	491	161	330	241	-
BERKS	1 393	1 092	140	952	301	-
BLAIR	473	364	131	233	109	-
BUCKS	2 652	2 138	801	1 337	456	58
BUTLER	423	230	65	165	193	-
CAMBRIA	500	328	114	214	172	-
CENTRE	429	216	58	158	88	125
CHESTER	2 571	2 158	784	1 374	413	-
CUMBERLAND	718	505	128	377	213	-
DAUPHIN	2 156	1 874	890	984	282	-
DELAWARE	4 949	4 636	1 893	2 743	313	-
ERIE	2 667	1 995	1 424	571	622	50
FAYETTE	368	225	6	219	143	-
FRANKLIN	614	487	111	376	127	-
LACKAWANNA	724	604	172	432	120	-
LANCASTER	1 459	1 162	92	1 070	297	-
LAWRENCE	277	219	51	168	58	-
LEBANON	480	369	-	369	111	-
LEHIGH	1 336	1 092	170	922	244	-
LUZERNE	940	729	-	729	211	-
LYCOMING	901	701	323	378	200	-
MERCER	696	368	166	202	124	204
MONTGOMERY	2 959	2 045	371	1 674	914	-
NORTHAMPTON	1 192	962	119	843	230	-
SCHUYLKILL	1 263	1 123	685	438	140	-
WASHINGTON	584	411	67	344	173	-
WESTMORELAND	790	400	328	72	390	-
YORK	1 509	1 306	651	655	203	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:						
ANDERSON	645	631	111	520	14	-
CHARLESTON	737	715	127	588	22	-
GREENVILLE	597	597	-	597	-	-
LEXINGTON	297	269	-	269	28	-
RICHLAND	633	553	-	553	80	-
SPARTANBURG	188	180	-	180	8	-
TENNESSEE:						
HAMILTON	1 377	1 179	133	1 046	181	17
KNOX	852	815	33	782	37	-
SHELBY	7 131	6 574	288	6 286	557	-
SULLIVAN	193	188	67	121	10	-
TEXAS:						
BELL	369	104	-	104	265	-
BEXAR	3 631	2 413	207	2 206	1 218	-
BRAZORIA	204	77	-	77	127	-
CAMERON	622	349	30	319	273	-
DALLAS	7 988	5 051	1 072	3 979	2 937	-
EL PASO	1 129	479	25	454	620	30
GALVESTON	525	306	-	306	219	-
HARRIS	11 766	7 035	2 038	4 997	4 686	45
HIDALGO	718	439	87	352	279	-
JEFFERSON	756	642	242	400	114	-
LUBBOCK	439	154	-	154	285	-
MCLENNAN	1 347	449	42	407	898	-
NUECES	827	419	111	308	408	-
SMITH	199	85	-	85	114	-
TARRANT	2 076	1 987	350	737	992	-
TAYLOR	191	123	-	123	68	-
TRAVIS	2 609	933	209	724	1 631	45
WICHITA	297	105	-	105	192	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 50. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
UTAH:						
DAVIS	84	84	-	84	-	-
SALT LAKE	1 966	1 787	574	1 213	-	179
UTAH	171	171	100	71	-	-
WEBER	446	446	205	241	-	-
VERMONT:						
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:						
ARLINGTON	1 161	680	-	680	481	-
FAIRFAX	1 971	1 077	295	782	894	-
HENRICO	668	306	-	306	362	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	486	313	-	313	173	-
WASHINGTON:						
CLARK	814	423	95	328	391	-
KING	8 096	5 659	2 261	3 398	2 437	-
KITSAP	493	323	123	200	170	-
PIERCE	2 323	1 470	963	507	672	181
SNOHOMISH	1 483	702	201	501	781	-
SPOKANE	1 762	1 268	516	752	494	-
YAKIMA	963	582	191	391	381	-
WEST VIRGINIA:						
CABELL	410	410	134	276	-	-
KANAWHA	626	612	168	444	14	-
WISCONSIN:						
BROWN	394	394	134	260	-	-
DANE	1 137	1 137	362	775	-	-
KENOSHA	297	297	26	271	-	-
MARATHON	227	105	1	104	122	-
MILWAUKEE	7 366	6 081	1 301	4 780	414	871
OUTAGAMIE	174	174	-	174	-	-
RACINE	411	184	78	106	227	-
ROCK	829	712	164	548	117	-
WAUKESHA	669	655	-	655	14	-
WINNEBAGO	91	91	-	91	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

CORRECTIONS

Page left blank to preserve table sequence.

Table 51. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	TOTAL	61 694	57 817	59 233	63 502	38 126	34 941	36 120	37 289	12 838	11 056	11 721	11 440
2	ALABAMA:												
3	CALHOUN	12	12	12	8	7	7	7	4	-	-	-	-
4	JEFFERSON	197	190	193	201	140	133	136	155	50	43	46	48
5	MADISON	56	55	55	34	43	42	42	23	15	14	14	7
6	MOBILE	76	75	75	57	62	61	61	45	39	38	38	26
7	MONTGOMERY	70	68	68	53	60	58	58	44	35	33	33	24
	TUSCALOOSA	35	35	35	29	26	26	26	21	-	-	-	-
8	ARIZONA:												
9	MARICOPA	643	638	640	662	301	300	300	294	92	91	91	101
	PIMA	436	427	431	435	287	278	282	284	123	114	118	121
10	ARKANSAS:												
	PULASKI	87	86	86	60	74	73	73	52	9	8	8	4
	CALIFORNIA:												
11	ALAMEDA	1 358	1 358	1 358	1 625	687	687	687	780	267	267	267	269
12	BUTTE	56	50	52	56	34	28	29	30	17	12	13	13
13	CONTRA COSTA	634	547	586	778	336	261	296	378	218	149	182	214
14	FRESNO	500	404	452	523	324	240	285	307	116	102	107	112
15	HUMBOLDT	80	76	77	98	49	45	46	62	16	12	14	14
16	KERN	387	337	366	435	233	183	211	252	112	94	103	115
17	LOS ANGELES	7 894	7 818	7 857	10 590	3 691	3 615	3 655	4 844	1 720	1 644	1 689	1 969
18	MARIN	246	193	207	274	116	77	88	120	86	47	58	79
19	MERCED	94	88	91	95	51	46	48	52	12	9	10	9
20	MONTEREY	228	202	212	259	133	109	118	148	58	39	46	53
21	ORANGE	1 293	1 267	1 272	1 636	606	598	600	729	368	362	363	423
22	RIVERSIDE	603	574	585	699	301	279	288	338	145	126	134	164
23	SACRAMENTO	682	625	640	841	397	352	347	472	179	144	154	193
24	SAN BERNARDINO	828	730	764	891	467	379	409	481	273	188	218	235
25	SAN DIEGO	1 586	1 414	1 508	1 882	709	575	650	830	204	187	201	256
26	SAN JOAQUIN	359	318	333	376	215	176	190	229	85	62	65	80
27	SAN LUIS OBISPO	97	90	92	112	43	36	38	47	10	6	8	10
28	SAN MATEO	599	583	586	809	244	234	236	324	121	111	113	141
29	SANTA BARBARA	318	286	295	345	191	163	171	191	75	53	60	64
30	SANTA CLARA	1 020	934	954	1 407	818	732	752	1 139	561	486	503	682
31	SANTA CRUZ	144	130	133	163	89	79	81	103	35	25	28	30
32	SOLANO	225	211	219	236	87	82	87	81	16	15	16	18
33	SONOMA	227	184	210	236	144	109	134	148	64	56	64	72
34	STANISLAUS	250	217	223	240	154	119	124	137	30	17	20	24
35	TULARE	183	167	174	185	107	93	99	105	57	43	50	47
36	VENTURA	368	345	352	442	230	211	216	271	65	46	51	59
37	YOLO	118	100	107	121	55	46	50	62	18	12	15	15
38	COLORADO:												
39	ADAMS	22	22	22	23	22	22	22	23	-	-	-	-
40	ARAPAHOE	34	33	33	28	34	33	33	28	-	-	-	-
41	BOULDER	54	51	53	58	54	51	53	58	-	-	-	-
42	EL PASO	78	78	78	73	72	72	72	57	-	-	-	-
43	JEFFERSON	29	29	29	30	29	29	29	30	-	-	-	-
44	LARIMER	32	29	31	26	15	14	15	13	-	-	-	-
45	PUEBLO	25	22	24	17	25	22	24	17	-	-	-	-
	WELD	18	18	18	14	15	15	15	11	4	4	4	2
46	DELAWARE:												
	NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	FLORIDA:												
48	ALACHUA	81	81	81	62	50	50	50	42	-	-	-	-
49	BREVARD	25	25	25	21	25	25	25	21	-	-	-	-
50	BROWARD	172	172	172	154	150	150	150	134	-	-	-	-
51	DADE	557	557	557	655	557	557	557	655	58	58	58	62
52	ESCAMBIA	95	95	95	87	84	84	84	79	11	11	11	8
53	HILLSBOROUGH	289	289	289	272	289	289	289	272	-	-	-	-
54	LEE	20	20	20	18	18	18	18	19	-	-	-	-
	LEON	31	31	31	25	31	31	31	25	-	-	-	-
55	MANATEE	29	28	28	19	21	20	20	13	-	-	-	-
56	ORANGE	142	142	142	129	117	117	117	112	-	-	-	-
57	PALM BEACH	176	175	175	164	160	159	160	150	53	52	52	40
58	PASCO	23	23	23	16	23	23	23	16	-	-	-	-
59	PINELLAS	121	121	121	111	121	121	121	111	19	19	19	17
60	POLK	87	87	87	60	87	87	87	60	-	-	-	-
61	SARASOTA	35	35	35	22	35	35	35	22	-	-	-	-
62	SEMINOLE	33	32	32	33	32	32	32	23	-	-	-	-
63	VOLUSIA	106	103	104	70	95	95	95	63	-	-	-	-
64	GEORGIA:												
65	BIBB	42	42	42	53	22	22	22	16	-	-	-	-
66	CHATHAM	72	72	72	49	60	60	60	39	28	28	28	15
67	CLAYTON	77	65	68	54	55	55	55	43	23	23	23	16

See footnotes at end of table.

333 large county governments, October 1976
(in thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
25 288	23 885	24 447	25 848	22 649	22 052	22 241	25 286	919	824	858	926	1
7	7	7	4	5	5	5	4	-	-	-	-	2
90	90	90	107	57	57	57	46	-	-	-	-	3
28	28	28	16	13	13	13	11	-	-	-	-	4
23	23	23	19	14	14	14	12	-	-	-	-	5
25	25	25	20	10	10	10	9	-	-	-	-	6
26	26	26	21	9	9	9	8	-	-	-	-	7
209	209	209	193	342	338	339	368	-	-	-	-	8
164	164	164	163	141	141	141	143	8	8	8	8	9
65	65	65	48	13	13	13	8	-	-	-	-	10
420	420	420	511	556	556	556	719	115	115	115	126	11
17	16	16	17	22	22	22	26	-	-	-	-	12
118	112	116	164	298	286	291	400	-	-	-	-	13
208	198	178	195	176	164	169	216	-	-	-	-	14
33	33	33	48	31	31	31	36	-	-	-	-	15
121	89	107	137	154	154	154	183	-	-	-	-	16
1 971	1 971	1 971	2 875	4 203	4 203	4 203	5 746	-	-	-	-	17
30	30	30	41	98	93	93	129	32	23	25	25	18
39	37	38	43	43	42	42	43	-	-	-	-	19
75	70	72	95	95	93	94	111	-	-	-	-	20
238	236	237	306	574	574	574	770	113	95	98	137	21
156	153	154	174	302	295	297	361	-	-	-	-	22
218	208	211	279	285	273	276	369	-	-	-	-	23
194	191	193	246	361	351	355	410	-	-	-	-	24
505	388	449	574	783	761	769	940	94	78	87	112	25
130	130	130	164	138	136	136	141	6	6	6	6	26
33	30	30	37	54	54	54	65	-	-	-	-	27
123	123	123	183	355	349	350	485	-	-	-	-	28
116	110	112	127	127	123	124	154	-	-	-	-	29
257	246	249	457	199	199	199	264	3	3	3	4	30
54	54	54	73	55	51	52	60	-	-	-	-	31
71	67	71	63	128	120	123	140	10	9	9	15	32
80	53	65	76	83	75	77	88	-	-	-	-	33
124	112	105	113	94	93	94	101	2	1	1	2	34
50	50	50	58	76	74	75	80	-	-	-	-	35
165	165	165	212	138	134	136	171	-	-	-	-	36
37	34	36	46	56	49	51	55	7	5	6	4	37
22	22	22	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
34	33	33	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
54	51	53	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40
72	72	72	57	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	16	41
29	29	29	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
15	14	15	13	-	-	-	-	17	15	17	13	43
25	22	24	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44
11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	45
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
50	50	50	42	-	-	-	-	31	31	31	20	47
25	25	25	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48
150	150	150	134	13	13	13	12	9	9	9	8	49
499	499	499	593	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50
73	73	73	71	11	11	11	8	-	-	-	-	51
289	289	289	272	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
18	18	18	19	2	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	53
31	31	31	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54
21	20	20	13	8	8	8	6	-	-	-	-	55
117	117	117	112	-	-	-	-	25	25	25	17	56
107	107	107	110	4	4	4	4	12	12	12	10	57
23	23	23	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
102	102	102	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
87	87	87	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
35	35	35	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
33	32	32	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
95	95	95	63	-	-	-	-	11	8	9	7	63
22	22	22	16	20	20	20	17	-	-	-	-	64
32	32	32	24	12	12	12	10	-	-	-	-	65
32	32	32	27	22	10	12	11	-	-	-	-	66

Table 51. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of

(Dollar amounts

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions								
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles				
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	GEORGIA--CONTINUED													
2	COBB	62	61	61	49	39	38	38	30	-	-	-	-	-
3	DE KALB	188	188	188	176	102	102	102	87	44	44	44	33	33
4	FULTON	388	384	384	347	260	256	256	211	62	62	62	51	51
5	RICHMOND	101	100	100	64	85	85	85	54	-	-	-	-	-
6	IDAHO:													
7	ADA	43	40	41	31	35	32	33	24	23	20	21	14	14
8	ILLINOIS:													
9	CHAMPAIGN	38	30	31	25	25	17	18	14	18	12	13	9	9
10	COOK	1 991	1 981	1 987	2 130	1 260	1 250	1 256	1 308	295	286	293	284	284
11	DU PAGE	139	115	127	134	80	58	69	75	36	21	29	29	29
12	KANE	116	115	115	92	70	69	69	55	21	20	20	15	15
13	LAKE	125	123	124	102	71	70	70	57	20	20	20	16	16
14	LA SALLE	32	29	29	22	23	20	20	16	11	8	8	6	6
15	MCHENRY	34	34	34	27	11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-	-
16	MCLEAN	24	21	23	17	13	10	12	8	-	-	-	-	-
17	MACON	30	25	27	19	17	13	15	8	5	4	4	2	2
18	MADISON	82	78	79	62	55	54	54	40	26	25	25	16	16
19	PEORIA	83	80	81	66	57	54	55	42	13	13	13	12	12
20	ROCK ISLAND	26	26	26	18	14	14	14	10	-	-	-	-	-
21	ST. CLAIR	66	63	65	50	43	40	43	31	18	17	17	11	11
22	SANGAMON	61	59	60	45	44	42	43	30	26	24	25	16	16
23	TAZEWELL	18	16	16	11	7	5	5	3	-	-	-	-	-
24	WILL	44	42	43	39	25	23	24	25	-	-	-	-	-
25	WINNEBAGO	100	85	92	72	52	40	45	36	24	17	19	12	12
26	INDIANA:													
27	ALLEN	164	125	133	126	105	77	81	61	72	45	49	31	31
28	DELAWARE	42	29	37	30	30	22	30	21	18	11	16	13	13
29	ELKHART	47	45	46	36	25	24	24	20	7	7	7	5	5
30	LAKE	165	165	165	161	94	94	94	94	26	26	26	20	20
31	LA PORTE	27	22	23	19	8	6	6	5	-	-	-	-	-
32	MADISON	54	45	49	31	37	32	34	21	23	19	21	12	12
33	ST. JOSEPH	78	76	77	63	61	61	61	47	11	11	11	6	6
34	TIPPECANOE	32	26	27	20	25	21	22	16	14	11	12	9	9
35	VANDERBURGH	48	43	44	39	31	27	28	25	-	-	-	-	-
36	VIGO	32	26	28	21	26	21	22	17	21	17	18	14	14
37	IOWA:													
38	BLACK HAWK	70	64	66	59	20	17	19	17	-	-	-	-	-
39	LINN	100	93	95	81	42	41	41	33	17	17	17	14	14
40	POLK	225	218	219	270	87	80	82	65	55	55	55	40	40
41	SCOTT	32	29	30	25	21	18	19	16	-	-	-	-	-
42	WOODBURY	28	15	18	16	14	2	5	4	2	1	1	1	1
43	KANSAS:													
44	JOHNSON	58	53	55	50	33	33	33	28	13	13	13	9	9
45	SEDGWICK	91	90	91	62	57	56	57	35	29	28	29	18	18
46	SHAWNEE	81	78	79	58	81	78	79	58	54	52	53	35	35
47	WYANDOTTE	74	68	71	44	60	55	57	35	25	24	25	13	13
48	KENTUCKY:													
49	JEFFERSON	367	349	357	275	288	277	282	216	158	147	152	108	108
50	KENTON	18	15	17	13	11	8	11	7	1	1	1	(2)	(2)
51	LOUISIANA:													
52	CADDO	109	83	109	75	99	73	99	68	17	16	16	8	8
53	CALCASIEU	61	33	61	40	51	28	51	33	28	28	28	17	17
54	JEFFERSON	89	60	83	62	81	72	75	54	28	24	26	21	21
55	LAFAYETTE	70	51	58	31	65	47	54	29	47	30	37	19	19
56	OUACHITA	40	17	40	26	36	13	36	24	18	13	15	8	8
57	RAPIDES	36	26	28	21	29	19	21	15	20	10	12	8	8
58	MAINE:													
59	CUMBERLAND	53	39	43	34	53	39	43	34	-	-	-	-	-
60	PENOBSCOT	14	14	14	9	14	14	14	9	-	-	-	-	-
61	YORK	28	9	12	8	28	9	12	8	-	-	-	-	-
62	MARYLAND:													
63	ANNE ARUNDEL	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
64	BALTIMORE	111	103	105	120	65	57	59	72	7	-	7	2	2
65	HARFORD	36	36	36	39	36	36	36	39	-	-	-	-	-
66	MONTGOMERY	82	81	81	128	82	81	81	128	-	-	-	-	-
67	PRINCE GEORGES	143	143	143	178	143	143	143	178	-	-	-	-	-
68	WASHINGTON	20	20	20	15	20	20	20	15	-	-	-	-	-
69	MASSACHUSETTS:													
70	BARNSTABLE	81	81	81	82	50	50	50	51	-	-	-	-	-
71	BERKSHIRE	59	50	54	60	34	26	30	37	-	-	-	-	-
72	BRISTOL	134	130	131	130	57	53	53	56	-	-	-	-	-
73	ESSEX	234	206	214	219	98	84	87	93	4	4	4	4	4
74	HAMPDEN	200	192	195	202	86	83	84	87	-	-	-	-	-
75	HAMPSHIRE	84	62	67	65	51	35	34	38	-	-	-	-	-
76	MIDDLESEX	441	437	438	468	195	191	192	212	-	-	-	-	-
77	NORFOLK	143	139	141	150	55	51	52	60	-	-	-	-	-
78	PLYMOUTH	198	187	189	179	72	68	69	70	-	-	-	-	-
79	WORCESTER	271	259	268	266	108	99	106	110	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued

in thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
39	38	38	30	23	23	23	19	-	-	-	-	1
58	58	58	54	86	86	86	89	-	-	-	-	2
198	194	194	160	128	128	128	136	-	-	-	-	3
85	85	85	54	16	15	15	10	-	-	-	-	4
12	12	12	10	8	8	8	7	-	-	-	-	5
7	5	5	5	13	13	13	11	-	-	-	-	6
965	964	964	1 024	731	731	731	822	-	-	-	-	7
44	37	40	46	59	57	58	59	-	-	-	-	8
49	49	49	40	41	41	41	33	5	5	5	5	9
51	50	50	41	54	53	53	45	-	-	-	-	10
12	12	12	10	9	9	9	6	-	-	-	-	11
11	11	11	9	23	23	23	18	-	-	-	-	12
13	10	12	8	11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-	13
12	9	10	6	13	12	12	11	-	-	-	-	14
29	29	29	24	27	24	24	22	-	-	-	-	15
44	41	42	30	26	26	26	24	-	-	-	-	16
14	14	14	10	12	12	12	8	-	-	-	-	17
25	23	25	20	23	23	23	19	-	-	-	-	18
18	18	18	14	17	17	17	15	-	-	-	-	19
7	5	5	3	11	11	11	8	-	-	-	-	20
25	23	24	25	19	19	19	14	-	-	-	-	21
28	23	26	24	37	35	36	26	11	10	11	9	22
33	32	33	30	59	48	52	65	-	-	-	-	23
12	11	12	8	12	11	11	9	-	-	-	-	24
18	17	17	15	22	21	22	16	-	-	-	-	25
68	68	68	74	71	71	71	67	-	-	-	-	26
8	6	6	5	10	9	9	9	9	7	8	5	27
14	13	13	9	17	13	14	10	-	-	-	-	28
50	50	50	41	17	15	16	16	-	-	-	-	29
11	10	10	7	3	3	3	3	4	2	2	1	30
31	27	28	25	17	16	17	14	-	-	-	-	31
5	4	4	3	6	5	5	4	-	-	-	-	32
20	17	19	17	50	47	47	42	-	-	-	-	33
25	24	24	19	58	52	53	48	-	-	-	-	34
32	25	27	25	138	138	138	205	-	-	-	-	35
21	18	19	16	11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-	36
12	1	5	3	14	13	13	12	-	-	-	-	37
20	20	20	19	25	20	21	22	-	-	-	-	38
28	28	28	17	34	34	34	27	-	-	-	-	39
27	26	26	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40
35	31	33	22	14	13	14	9	-	-	-	-	41
130	130	130	108	39	38	38	30	40	34	37	29	42
10	7	10	7	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-	43
82	57	82	60	10	10	10	7	-	-	-	-	44
23	-	23	16	10	5	10	7	-	-	-	-	45
53	48	49	33	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	46
18	17	18	10	5	4	4	2	-	-	-	-	47
18	-	18	16	4	4	4	2	-	-	-	-	48
9	9	9	7	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-	49
53	39	43	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50
14	14	14	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
28	9	12	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
40	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
58	57	57	39	46	46	46	48	-	-	-	-	54
36	36	36	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
82	81	81	128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
143	143	143	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57
20	20	20	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
50	50	50	51	31	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	59
34	26	30	37	25	24	24	23	-	-	-	-	60
57	53	53	56	77	77	77	74	-	-	-	-	61
94	80	83	89	134	122	125	123	2	-	1	1	62
86	83	84	87	114	109	111	115	-	-	-	-	63
51	35	34	38	21	15	18	18	12	12	12	9	64
195	191	192	212	246	246	246	256	-	-	-	-	65
55	51	52	60	88	88	88	90	-	-	-	-	66
72	68	69	70	126	119	121	109	-	-	-	-	67
108	99	106	110	163	160	161	156	-	-	-	-	68

Table 51. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions								
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions			Institutions for juveniles					
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	MICHIGAN:													
2	BAY	43	39	40	39	29	27	27	26	16	14	15	11	11
3	BERRIEN	117	114	115	102	73	72	72	59	38	38	38	29	29
4	CALHOUN	87	79	82	103	61	54	57	64	39	33	35	38	38
5	GENESEE	202	174	190	235	150	136	143	176	85	71	78	95	95
6	INGHAM	104	92	95	98	74	65	67	68	31	22	24	22	22
7	JACKSON	78	64	70	70	52	38	44	42	38	25	31	29	29
8	KALAMAZOO	125	121	122	132	93	90	91	96	46	43	44	42	42
9	KENT	192	162	175	174	168	144	154	152	49	31	39	39	39
10	MACOMB	194	185	188	199	130	128	129	128	78	76	77	64	64
11	MONROE	54	42	47	40	39	29	33	27	23	18	19	13	13
12	MUSKEGON	76	65	69	68	60	52	55	56	21	14	17	13	13
13	OAKLAND	424	387	397	488	299	271	279	337	174	146	155	169	169
14	OTTAWA	36	27	30	29	19	19	19	18	5	5	5	4	4
15	SAGINAW	128	102	107	103	90	67	71	70	35	25	28	24	24
16	ST. CLAIR	73	51	56	57	53	34	38	38	35	17	21	21	21
17	WASHTENAW	136	119	126	144	105	92	97	105	39	29	32	36	36
18	WAYNE	962	958	960	1 309	677	673	675	900	187	187	187	239	239
19	MINNESOTA:													
20	ANOKA	40	38	38	46	10	10	10	16	-	-	-	-	-
21	DAKOTA	23	20	21	23	8	6	6	8	-	-	-	-	-
22	HENNEPIN	487	445	457	601	287	249	260	319	153	131	141	158	158
23	RAMSEY	367	348	354	403	198	186	191	212	73	65	69	63	63
24	ST. LOUIS	56	55	55	62	20	19	19	22	-	-	-	-	-
25	MISSISSIPPI:													
26	HARRISON	34	27	29	18	34	27	29	18	20	17	18	9	9
27	HINDS	24	19	20	15	24	19	20	15	-	-	-	-	-
28	JACKSON	32	28	29	18	32	28	29	18	29	25	26	16	16
29	MISSOURI:													
30	CLAY	65	48	52	42	32	18	21	18	14	-	4	3	3
31	GREENE	30	28	29	19	27	26	26	18	3	2	2	2	2
32	JACKSON	398	395	397	306	369	369	369	284	217	217	217	174	174
33	JEFFERSON	8	8	8	4	7	7	7	4	2	2	2	1	1
34	ST. CHARLES	19	10	16	12	9	9	9	7	2	2	2	1	1
35	ST. LOUIS	313	279	296	262	265	232	249	218	100	100	100	83	83
36	NEBRASKA:													
37	DOUGLAS	157	141	147	121	139	127	132	105	41	37	39	30	30
38	LANCASTER	38	28	29	23	28	20	21	13	28	20	21	13	13
39	NEVADA:													
40	CLARK	338	338	338	389	268	268	268	309	129	129	129	156	156
41	WASHOE	97	91	93	108	65	62	63	72	34	32	33	36	36
42	NEW HAMPSHIRE:													
43	HILLSBOROUGH	55	51	52	42	55	51	52	42	-	-	-	-	-
44	ROCKINGHAM	14	13	14	11	14	13	14	11	-	-	-	-	-
45	NEW JERSEY:													
46	ATLANTIC	172	167	169	136	116	111	113	95	37	37	37	26	26
47	BERGEN	300	293	295	308	151	145	146	162	35	34	35	30	30
48	BURLINGTON	203	191	195	164	125	113	117	100	29	17	22	16	16
49	CAMDEN	419	414	416	431	241	240	240	277	63	62	62	65	65
50	CUMBERLAND	158	151	153	120	116	109	111	86	56	49	52	36	36
51	ESSEX	1 110	1 106	1 107	1 257	747	747	747	840	122	122	122	117	117
52	GLOUCESTER	121	108	114	98	68	55	60	54	24	11	17	14	14
53	HUDSON	354	348	350	326	220	215	217	201	77	76	76	55	55
54	MERCER	243	241	242	220	167	165	166	155	33	32	32	29	29
55	MIDDLESEX	378	363	367	333	187	172	175	171	56	50	52	45	45
56	MONMOUTH	227	225	226	197	158	156	157	142	36	36	36	30	30
57	MORRIS	180	159	167	145	81	61	68	69	21	10	16	15	15
58	OCEAN	154	142	145	141	87	75	77	85	43	36	38	27	27
59	PASSAIC	352	296	309	303	200	144	158	147	75	54	65	51	51
60	SOMERSET	136	122	127	126	57	43	49	45	19	11	15	11	11
61	UNION	290	287	288	287	133	133	133	137	55	55	55	52	52
62	NEW MEXICO:													
63	BERNALILLO	35	35	35	24	31	31	31	22	31	31	31	22	22
64	NEW YORK:													
65	ALBANY	170	160	164	138	127	121	123	108	-	-	-	-	-
66	BROOME	91	69	76	63	44	22	28	25	2	1	1	1	1
67	CHAUTAUQUA	54	46	47	41	17	14	14	13	3	-	-	(2)	(2)
68	CHEMUNG	68	61	62	54	31	24	25	19	-	-	-	-	-
69	DUTCHESS	132	97	106	107	95	60	69	72	-	-	-	-	-
70	ERIE	574	541	550	603	431	398	407	437	79	51	59	60	60
71	MONROE	378	355	362	419	253	230	237	260	60	53	56	54	54
72	NASSAU	970	954	956	1 159	459	447	449	545	67	58	59	59	59
73	NIAGARA	91	87	88	86	57	53	54	51	-	-	-	-	-
74	ONEIDA	94	85	88	70	56	47	50	34	-	-	-	-	-
75	ONONDAGA	448	402	420	381	329	284	302	263	43	28	34	30	30
76	ORANGE	96	79	84	75	60	43	49	42	-	-	-	-	-
77	OSWEGO	53	48	50	41	29	24	26	19	1	1	1	1	1

See footnotes at end of table.

333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(in thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
13	13	13	15	14	12	13	13	-	-	-	-	1
35	34	34	30	32	30	31	31	-	-	-	-	2
22	21	21	25	26	25	25	27	12	12	12	12	3
65	65	65	81	52	38	46	59	-	-	-	-	4
43	43	43	46	30	27	28	30	-	-	-	-	5
14	13	13	13	26	26	26	28	-	-	-	-	6
47	47	47	54	32	31	31	36	-	-	-	-	7
119	113	115	113	24	18	21	22	-	-	-	-	8
52	52	52	64	59	52	54	65	-	-	-	-	9
16	11	13	14	15	13	14	13	5	5	5	5	10
39	38	39	43	16	13	14	12	-	-	-	-	11
125	125	125	168	88	81	83	103	-	-	-	-	12
14	14	14	14	17	8	11	103	37	35	36	48	13
55	42	44	46	27	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	14
18	17	18	17	11	8	9	25	11	10	11	8	15
66	63	65	69	28	25	27	36	9	9	9	8	16
490	486	488	661	285	285	285	409	3	2	3	3	17
10	10	10	16	30	28	28	30	-	-	-	-	18
8	6	6	8	15	14	14	15	-	-	-	-	19
134	118	120	161	179	176	177	251	21	20	20	31	20
125	121	122	149	124	123	123	158	45	39	41	33	21
20	19	19	22	36	36	36	40	-	-	-	-	22
14	10	11	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
24	19	20	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
3	3	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
18	18	18	15	33	30	31	24	-	-	-	-	26
24	24	24	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
152	152	152	110	29	26	28	22	3	2	2	1	28
5	5	5	3	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	29
7	7	7	6	10	1	6	5	-	-	-	-	30
165	132	148	135	32	32	32	28	16	15	15	16	31
98	90	93	75	18	14	15	16	-	-	-	-	32
-	-	-	-	10	8	8	10	-	-	-	-	33
139	139	139	153	70	70	70	80	-	-	-	-	34
31	30	31	36	32	29	30	36	-	-	-	-	35
55	51	52	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36
14	13	14	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
79	74	75	69	56	56	56	41	-	-	-	-	38
116	111	112	132	149	148	148	146	-	-	-	-	39
96	96	96	84	78	78	78	64	-	-	-	-	40
178	178	178	212	178	174	176	154	-	-	-	-	41
60	60	60	50	42	42	42	34	-	-	-	-	42
625	625	625	723	363	359	360	417	-	-	-	-	43
44	44	44	40	53	53	53	44	-	-	-	-	44
143	139	140	146	134	133	133	125	-	-	-	-	45
134	133	134	126	74	74	74	63	-	-	-	-	46
131	122	124	126	191	191	191	162	2	2	2	2	47
122	120	121	112	69	69	69	55	-	-	-	-	48
60	51	52	54	99	98	98	76	-	-	-	-	49
44	39	40	58	67	67	67	56	-	-	-	-	50
125	90	94	96	152	152	152	156	-	-	-	-	51
38	32	34	34	79	79	79	81	-	-	-	-	52
78	78	78	85	157	154	155	150	-	-	-	-	53
-	-	-	-	4	4	4	2	-	-	-	-	54
127	121	123	108	43	39	41	30	-	-	-	-	55
42	21	27	24	47	47	47	38	-	-	-	-	56
14	14	14	13	37	32	33	28	-	-	-	-	57
31	24	25	19	37	37	37	35	-	-	-	-	58
95	60	69	72	37	37	37	35	-	-	-	-	59
352	347	348	377	143	143	143	166	-	-	-	-	60
193	177	181	206	125	125	125	159	-	-	-	-	61
392	389	390	486	511	507	507	614	-	-	-	-	62
57	53	54	51	34	34	34	35	-	-	-	-	63
56	47	50	34	38	38	38	36	-	-	-	-	64
286	256	268	233	119	118	119	118	-	-	-	-	65
60	43	49	42	36	36	36	33	-	-	-	-	66
28	23	25	18	24	24	24	22	-	-	-	-	67

Table 51. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions								
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions			Institutions for juveniles					
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees				
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	NEW YORK--CONTINUED													
2	RENSSELAER.	84	77	79	61	49	42	44	32	16	13	14	10	
3	ROCKLAND.	108	103	107	103	59	55	59	53	-	-	-	-	
4	ST. LAWRENCE.	50	49	49	41	20	19	19	15	-	-	-	-	
5	SARATOGA.	43	32	37	30	29	18	24	17	-	-	-	-	
6	SCHENECTADY.	73	56	61	49	45	28	33	26	-	-	-	-	
7	STEEBEN.	66	54	58	42	39	27	31	20	-	-	-	-	
8	SUFFOLK.	579	579	579	660	263	263	263	309	-	-	-	-	
9	ULSTER.	108	92	101	84	83	68	77	65	2	2	2	2	
10	WESTCHESTER.	481	480	481	619	301	300	301	384	22	21	22	22	
11	NORTH CAROLINA:													
12	BUNCOMBE.	36	29	31	26	36	29	31	26	9	4	5	5	
13	CUMBERLAND.	53	53	53	40	53	53	53	40	6	6	6	5	
14	DURHAM.	15	13	14	9	13	9	14	9	5	3	4	2	
15	FORSYTH.	43	36	38	34	43	36	38	34	14	7	9	7	
16	GASTON.	44	40	41	28	39	36	37	26	15	12	13	7	
17	GUILFORD.	88	77	81	61	88	78	81	61	21	15	17	17	
18	MECKLENBURG.	80	77	77	66	70	68	68	56	21	19	19	17	
19	ONslow.	22	21	21	12	22	21	21	12	3	2	2	1	
20	WAKE.	16	15	15	14	16	15	15	14	3	2	2	2	
21	OHIO:													
22	ALLEN.	56	45	49	34	31	26	28	19	18	13	15	9	
23	ASHTABULA.	28	18	20	20	15	13	13	13	2	1	1	1	
24	BUTLER.	70	56	59	46	35	29	30	23	18	13	14	9	
25	CLARK.	60	56	57	44	41	38	39	30	21	20	20	13	
26	CLERMONT.	21	15	17	13	14	11	12	9	-	-	-	-	
27	COLUMBIANA.	26	24	25	17	15	14	15	10	3	3	3	1	
28	CUYAHOGA.	485	485	485	360	339	339	339	226	279	279	279	188	
29	FRANKLIN.	231	208	216	192	125	125	125	94	54	54	54	39	
30	GREENE.	41	34	37	32	32	25	28	23	7	6	6	5	
31	HAMILTON.	359	353	355	302	237	237	237	183	176	176	176	127	
32	LAKE.	60	50	53	46	35	30	31	27	21	18	19	16	
33	LICKING.	24	23	23	20	13	12	12	9	5	4	4	3	
34	LORAIN.	82	70	77	65	39	28	35	28	23	14	18	14	
35	LUCAS.	312	264	282	261	240	196	212	193	101	61	75	65	
36	MAHONING.	74	66	68	51	53	45	47	36	23	17	19	13	
37	MONTGOMERY.	229	205	214	201	127	112	119	110	87	75	81	72	
38	PORTAGE.	48	22	31	28	36	10	19	17	26	5	11	10	
39	RICHLAND.	69	44	52	42	38	19	26	19	24	6	13	8	
40	STARK.	164	142	151	112	128	109	117	89	82	73	78	51	
41	SUMMIT.	186	165	169	159	122	103	106	101	55	36	40	35	
42	TRUMBULL.	46	45	46	40	33	32	33	29	18	17	18	14	
43	OKLAHOMA:													
44	COMANCHE.	37	37	37	21	29	29	29	16	17	17	17	9	
45	OKLAHOMA.	109	109	109	76	48	48	48	33	24	24	24	15	
46	TULSA.	101	101	101	79	70	70	70	50	34	34	34	22	
47	OREGON:													
48	CLACKAMAS.	76	58	61	72	33	21	23	29	-	-	-	-	
49	JACKSON.	64	54	56	56	38	28	30	29	20	11	13	11	
50	LANE.	92	75	80	79	92	75	80	79	30	18	22	23	
51	MARION.	90	69	74	78	59	38	43	45	28	14	17	18	
52	MULTNOMAH.	262	230	238	295	200	168	176	209	35	28	30	39	
53	WASHINGTON.	85	69	73	74	43	32	35	33	16	7	9	10	
54	PENNSYLVANIA:													
55	ALLEGHENY.	458	413	430	400	279	234	252	215	158	119	135	100	
56	BEAVER.	72	54	64	55	46	28	38	34	18	11	15	11	
57	BERKS.	119	118	118	99	84	83	83	70	18	17	17	12	
58	BLAIR.	50	40	47	29	38	28	35	21	11	7	9	5	
59	BUCKS.	143	142	142	122	98	98	98	80	27	27	27	21	
60	BUTLER.	44	39	40	31	27	23	24	17	-	-	-	-	
61	CAMBRIA.	53	43	50	36	33	23	30	21	9	4	8	4	
62	CENTRE.	37	33	34	23	16	12	13	9	-	-	-	-	
63	CHESTER.	185	167	174	160	140	123	129	121	32	20	25	21	
64	CUMBERLAND.	49	48	48	40	30	30	30	23	1	1	1	1	
65	DAUPHIN.	102	102	102	89	71	71	71	63	-	-	-	-	
66	DELAWARE.	352	348	349	284	312	308	309	255	89	89	89	75	
67	ERIE.	141	140	140	120	85	84	84	68	24	24	24	19	
68	FAYETTE.	47	43	43	29	30	26	26	18	-	-	-	-	
69	FRANKLIN.	63	37	49	37	50	24	38	26	11	5	9	6	
70	LACKAWANNA.	64	64	64	46	52	52	52	36	13	13	13	6	
71	LANCASTER.	155	140	148	124	126	111	119	98	18	4	14	9	
72	LAWRENCE.	39	30	32	20	31	22	24	15	9	9	9	5	
73	LEBANON.	54	42	46	37	37	31	32	25	-	-	-	-	
74	LEHIGH.	135	135	135	97	107	107	107	74	14	14	14	8	
75	LUZERNE.	85	85	85	67	57	57	57	44	-	-	-	-	
76	LYCOMING.	84	65	70	54	64	46	51	36	23	17	18	13	
77	MERCER.	41	38	39	31	28	25	27	18	11	9	10	6	
78	MONTGOMERY.	189	178	182	173	125	115	119	114	41	34	37	30	
79	NORTHAMPTON.	90	80	84	78	72	62	66	62	17	13	15	9	

See footnotes at end of table.

333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
(in thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
33	29	30	22	35	35	35	29	-	-	-	-	1
59	55	59	53	49	48	48	50	-	-	-	-	2
20	19	19	15	30	30	30	26	-	-	-	-	3
29	18	24	17	14	14	14	13	-	-	-	-	4
45	28	33	26	28	28	28	23	-	-	-	-	5
39	27	31	20	27	27	27	22	-	-	-	-	6
263	263	263	309	316	316	316	351	-	-	-	-	7
81	66	75	63	25	24	24	19	-	-	-	-	8
279	279	279	358	180	180	180	235	-	-	-	-	9
27	25	26	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
47	47	47	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11
10	10	10	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
29	29	29	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
24	24	24	19	4	4	4	2	-	-	-	-	14
67	63	64	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
49	49	49	39	10	9	9	10	-	-	-	-	16
19	19	19	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
13	13	13	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
13	13	13	10	10	7	8	6	15	12	14	9	19
13	12	12	12	8	4	5	5	5	1	2	2	20
17	16	16	14	35	27	29	23	-	-	-	-	21
20	18	18	17	19	18	18	14	-	-	-	-	22
14	11	12	9	7	4	5	4	-	-	-	-	23
12	11	12	9	11	10	10	7	-	-	-	-	24
60	60	60	38	146	146	146	134	-	-	-	-	25
71	71	71	55	106	83	90	98	-	-	-	-	26
25	19	22	18	9	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	27
61	61	61	56	122	116	118	119	-	-	-	-	28
14	12	13	11	16	16	16	14	9	4	5	5	29
8	8	8	6	11	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	30
16	14	16	14	43	42	43	37	-	-	-	-	31
139	135	138	128	72	68	70	68	-	-	-	-	32
30	28	28	23	21	21	21	15	-	-	-	-	33
40	37	38	38	102	93	95	91	-	-	-	-	34
10	5	8	7	12	12	12	11	-	-	-	-	35
14	13	14	11	30	24	25	22	1	1	1	1	36
46	36	39	38	36	33	34	23	-	-	-	-	37
67	67	67	66	56	56	56	51	8	6	6	7	38
15	15	15	15	11	11	11	9	2	2	2	2	39
12	12	12	7	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-	40
24	24	24	18	61	61	61	43	-	-	-	-	41
36	36	36	28	31	31	31	29	-	-	-	-	42
33	21	23	29	43	37	38	43	-	-	-	-	43
18	17	17	18	26	26	26	27	-	-	-	-	44
62	57	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
31	24	26	27	31	31	37	33	-	-	-	-	46
165	140	147	170	30	30	30	46	32	32	32	40	47
27	25	26	23	42	37	38	41	-	-	-	-	48
121	115	119	115	179	179	179	185	-	-	-	-	49
28	17	23	23	26	26	26	21	-	-	-	-	50
66	66	66	58	35	35	35	29	-	-	-	-	51
27	21	26	16	12	12	12	8	-	-	-	-	52
71	71	71	59	45	44	44	42	-	-	-	-	53
27	23	24	17	17	16	16	14	-	-	-	-	54
24	19	23	17	20	20	20	15	-	-	-	-	55
16	12	13	9	9	9	9	7	12	12	12	7	56
108	103	105	100	45	44	45	39	-	-	-	-	57
30	30	30	23	19	18	18	17	-	-	-	-	58
70	70	70	62	31	31	31	26	-	-	-	-	59
223	219	220	180	40	40	40	29	-	-	-	-	60
61	60	60	49	54	54	54	50	2	2	2	2	61
30	26	26	18	17	17	17	11	-	-	-	-	62
39	19	29	20	13	13	13	11	-	-	-	-	63
39	39	39	30	12	12	12	10	-	-	-	-	64
108	107	108	89	29	29	29	26	-	-	-	-	65
22	13	15	10	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-	66
37	31	32	25	17	11	13	12	-	-	-	-	67
93	93	93	66	28	28	28	23	-	-	-	-	68
57	57	57	44	28	28	28	23	-	-	-	-	69
41	29	33	23	20	19	20	18	-	-	-	-	70
17	16	16	12	11	11	11	10	2	2	2	2	71
84	81	83	84	64	63	63	59	-	-	-	-	72
55	49	52	53	18	18	18	16	-	-	-	-	73

Table 51. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions								
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions			Institutions for juveniles					
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	PENNSYLVANIA--													
2	CONTINUED													
3	SCHUYLKILL.	55	55	55	37	39	39	39	24	4	4	4	3	3
4	WASHINGTON.	60	54	58	50	38	32	36	33	8	2	6	6	6
5	WESTMORELAND.	93	85	90	74	48	41	45	36	13	10	11	9	9
6	YORK.	98	87	91	72	74	63	67	52	20	11	15	9	9
7	SOUTH CAROLINA:													
8	ANDERSON.	30	27	28	22	28	25	26	21	-	-	-	-	-
9	CHARLESTON.	78	73	75	53	66	61	63	42	17	13	15	9	9
10	GREENVILLE.	58	57	57	40	58	57	57	40	-	-	-	-	-
11	LEXINGTON.	32	29	30	18	29	26	27	16	-	-	-	-	-
12	RICHLAND.	61	55	57	49	54	48	50	42	-	-	-	-	-
13	SPARTANBURG.	18	18	18	13	17	17	17	12	-	-	-	-	-
14	TENNESSEE:													
15	HAMILTON.	150	145	147	114	123	119	120	93	25	25	25	14	14
16	KNOX.	88	69	73	44	85	66	70	42	3	3	3	3	3
17	SHELBY.	434	407	415	373	389	362	370	331	26	26	26	17	17
18	SULLIVAN.	20	20	20	11	19	19	19	10	12	12	12	5	5
19	TEXAS:													
20	BELL.	53	50	51	32	12	12	12	7	-	-	-	-	-
21	BEXAR.	397	363	380	230	295	261	281	150	30	30	30	16	16
22	BRAZORIA.	16	10	12	10	5	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
23	CAMERON.	72	67	71	37	31	31	31	14	-	-	-	-	-
24	DALLAS.	569	547	558	338	368	349	359	320	96	77	89	68	68
25	EL PASO.	124	122	122	84	55	55	55	35	3	3	3	3	3
26	GALVESTON.	52	52	52	39	32	32	32	22	-	-	-	-	-
27	HARRIS.	692	682	687	676	403	393	398	367	117	107	113	82	82
28	HIDALGO.	80	60	67	40	36	36	36	20	12	12	12	5	5
29	JEFFERSON.	72	72	72	62	55	55	55	48	24	24	24	20	20
30	LUBBOCK.	35	35	35	24	10	10	10	6	-	-	-	-	-
31	MCLENNAN.	102	94	95	66	34	32	32	22	5	5	5	3	3
32	NUECES.	72	72	72	57	39	39	39	26	15	15	15	9	9
33	SMITH.	15	15	15	11	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-	-
34	TARRANT.	210	193	196	168	117	100	104	78	30	29	29	23	23
35	TAYLOR.	23	23	23	14	12	12	12	7	-	-	-	-	-
36	TRAVIS.	243	220	227	197	88	83	84	69	23	19	20	16	16
37	WICHITA.	41	41	41	36	6	6	6	4	-	-	-	-	-
38	UTAH:													
39	DAVIS.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
40	SALT LAKE.	147	94	113	115	147	94	113	115	71	25	41	45	45
41	UTAH.	23	14	16	13	23	14	16	13	16	8	10	7	7
42	WEBER.	42	24	32	26	42	24	32	26	21	6	12	10	10
43	VERMONT:													
44	CHITTENDEN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	VIRGINIA:													
46	ARLINGTON.	80	68	72	75	39	38	39	37	-	-	-	-	-
47	FAIRFAX.	104	99	102	103	29	29	29	24	18	18	18	18	18
48	HENRICO.	57	56	56	49	22	22	22	17	-	-	-	-	-
49	PRINCE WILLIAM.	24	22	24	22	14	12	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
50	WASHINGTON:													
51	CLARK.	104	87	90	83	39	37	38	33	11	11	11	9	9
52	KING.	519	448	462	501	369	305	317	340	167	121	130	127	127
53	KITSAP.	53	39	43	38	43	29	33	27	14	14	14	14	14
54	PIERCE.	177	149	153	167	139	116	119	134	118	95	98	104	104
55	SNOHOMISH.	130	110	114	93	74	61	64	48	22	15	17	11	11
56	SPOKANE.	125	112	121	115	82	76	78	74	37	32	33	31	31
57	YAKIMA.	88	83	85	71	52	47	49	38	17	12	14	9	9
58	WEST VIRGINIA:													
59	CABELL.	30	27	28	18	30	27	28	18	12	9	10	6	6
60	KANAWHA.	60	54	55	38	58	52	53	37	20	14	16	9	9
61	WISCONSIN:													
62	BROWN.	38	20	24	24	38	20	24	24	17	6	8	7	7
63	DANE.	103	80	84	92	103	80	84	92	53	35	39	35	35
64	KENOSHA.	22	19	20	26	22	19	20	26	2	-	1	1	1
65	MARATHON.	34	11	13	10	6	5	5	3	-	-	-	-	-
66	MILWAUKEE.	408	408	408	471	322	322	322	358	74	74	74	83	83
67	OUTAGAMIE.	15	15	15	12	15	15	15	12	-	-	-	-	-
68	RACINE.	40	33	34	33	24	17	19	12	16	9	11	8	8
69	ROCK.	59	59	59	61	47	47	47	51	13	13	13	12	12
70	WAUKESHA.	52	52	52	59	52	52	52	59	-	-	-	-	-
71	WINNEBAGO.	13	8	10	7	13	8	10	7	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

³ Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitation.

⁴ Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

333 large county governments, October 1976—Continued
in thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
35	35	35	21	16	16	16	13	-	-	-	-	1
30	30	30	27	22	22	22	17	-	-	-	-	2
35	31	34	27	45	44	45	38	-	-	-	-	3
54	52	53	43	24	24	24	20	-	-	-	-	4
28	25	26	21	2	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	5
49	48	48	33	12	12	12	11	-	-	-	-	6
58	57	57	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
29	26	27	16	3	3	3	2	-	-	-	-	8
54	48	50	42	7	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	9
17	17	17	12	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	10
98	94	95	79	25	25	25	20	2	1	2	1	11
82	63	67	39	3	3	3	2	-	-	-	-	12
363	336	344	314	45	45	45	42	-	-	-	-	13
7	7	7	5	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	14
12	12	12	7	41	38	39	25	-	-	-	-	15
265	231	251	134	102	102	102	80	-	-	-	-	16
5	4	4	4	11	6	8	6	-	-	-	-	17
31	31	31	14	41	36	39	23	-	-	-	-	18
272	272	272	252	201	198	200	218	-	-	-	-	19
52	52	52	32	67	65	65	47	2	2	2	2	20
32	32	32	22	20	20	20	17	-	-	-	-	21
286	286	286	285	289	289	289	509	-	-	-	-	22
24	24	24	15	44	24	31	20	-	-	-	-	23
31	31	31	28	17	17	17	14	-	-	-	-	24
10	10	10	6	25	25	25	18	-	-	-	-	25
29	27	27	19	68	62	63	44	-	-	-	-	26
24	24	24	17	33	33	33	31	-	-	-	-	27
5	5	5	3	10	10	10	8	-	-	-	-	28
87	71	75	55	93	93	93	90	-	-	-	-	29
12	12	12	7	11	11	11	7	-	-	-	-	30
65	64	65	53	148	130	135	123	7	7	7	5	31
6	6	6	4	35	35	35	32	-	-	-	-	32
6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
76	69	71	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
7	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
21	18	20	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
39	38	39	37	41	30	33	38	-	-	-	-	38
11	11	11	6	75	70	73	79	-	-	-	-	39
22	22	22	17	35	34	34	32	-	-	-	-	40
14	12	14	14	10	10	10	8	-	-	-	-	41
28	26	27	24	65	50	52	50	-	-	-	-	42
202	184	188	213	150	143	145	161	-	-	-	-	43
16	15	16	13	10	10	10	11	-	-	-	-	44
21	21	21	30	30	27	28	26	8	6	6	7	45
52	46	46	37	56	49	50	45	-	-	-	-	46
45	44	45	43	43	36	43	41	-	-	-	-	47
35	35	35	29	36	36	36	33	-	-	-	-	48
18	18	18	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49
38	38	38	28	2	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	50
21	14	16	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
50	45	46	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
20	19	19	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
6	5	5	3	28	6	8	7	-	-	-	-	54
248	248	248	275	25	25	25	38	61	61	61	75	55
15	15	15	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
8	8	8	4	16	16	16	21	-	-	-	-	57
34	34	34	39	12	12	12	10	-	-	-	-	58
52	52	52	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
13	8	10	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 52. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	408 586	397 433	367 758	29 675	1 721	26 185	1 769	11 153	6 461	4 692
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	973	973	972	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	89	-	89
HUNTSVILLE	236	147	147	-	-	-	-	131	-	131
MOBILE	395	264	264	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	228	228	228	-	-	-	-	14	-	14
TUSCALOOSA	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	32	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDAL	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
MESA	50	49	49	-	-	-	-	126	-	126
PHOENIX	477	351	350	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	22
TEMPE	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	35
TUCSON	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	126	81	81	-	-	-	-	45	-	45
LITTLE ROCK	235	235	235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	99	99	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	111	111	110	1	-	-	1	5	-	5
BAKERSFIELD	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	30
DOWNEY	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
GLENDAL	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 748	1 748	1 747	1	1	-	-	341	-	341
LOS ANGELES	341	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	1 308	1 308	1 307	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	652	652	650	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	183	183	182	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

Table 52. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	13 272	13 272	13 161	111	111	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	126	126	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	152	144	144	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ARVADA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	4 102	4 102	4 037	65	19	46	-	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	30	30	29	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	295	295	295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	305	305	287	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	73 105	73 105	60 619	12 486	269	12 217	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	552	525	525	-	-	-	-	27	-	27
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	193	193	136	57	57	671	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	7 172	7 172	6 367	805	134	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	116	116	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	671	671	671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	382	382	378	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	152	152	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 52. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	95	30	30	-	-	-	-	65	-	65
ATLANTA	1 723	1 661	1 624	37	32	5	-	62	-	62
AUGUSTA	108	108	107	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	1 044	1 044	1 027	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	252	252	244	8	-	8	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	207	199	199	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	35	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	-	51
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	489	489	116	373	-	373	-	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	64	64	58	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMCHD	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	5 023	4 624	4 301	323	150	173	-	399	399	-
MUNCIE	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	9
COUNCIL BLUFFS	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	490	472	472	-	-	-	-	18	-	18
DUBUQUE	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
SIOUX CITY	81	81	80	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	244	244	244	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	540	488	462	26	26	-	-	52	1	51
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	1 762	1 751	1 736	15	15	-	-	11	-	11
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	44	-	44

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 52. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	1 737	1 720	1 712	8	8	-	-	17	17	-
LAFAYETTE	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	-	80
LAKE CHARLES	167	142	-	142	-	142	-	25	-	25
MONROE	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	8 447	8 447	6 640	1 807	39	-	1 768	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	221	221	221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	8 557	8 557	8 509	48	33	15	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	9 871	9 871	9 387	484	85	399	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	460	460	452	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	190	111	111	-	-	-	-	79	-	79
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	159	154	154	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
DETROIT	5 380	5 351	5 057	294	82	212	-	29	-	29
FARMINGTON HILLS	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
FLINT	398	398	396	2	2	-	-	72	-	72
GRAND RAPIDS	348	276	276	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING	581	581	570	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	43	35	35	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
LIVONIA	91	91	76	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	218	108	105	3	3	-	-	110	-	110
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	202	196	101	95	-	95	-	6	-	6
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	21	17	17	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	99	99	56	43	2	41	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	69	30	30	-	-	-	-	39	-	39
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	15
MINNEAPOLIS	1 445	1 445	1 074	371	1	370	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	18	18	7	11	-	11	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	12
JACKSON	396	396	396	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 52. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	1 506	1 506	1 485	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	7 169	7 169	7 124	45	-	45	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	11
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	31	17	17	-	-	-	-	14	-	14
GREAT FALLS	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	409	409	409	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OMAHA	83	27	27	-	-	-	-	56	-	56
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	10
RENO	304	304	304	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	1 564	1 564	1 564	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	153 883	150 972	143 722	7 250	110	7 140	-	2 911	2 911	-
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	243	243	242	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	-	41
RALEIGH	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	15
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 52. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
OHIO:										
AKRON	564	443	364	79	3	76	-	121	-	121
CANTON	196	167	167	-	-	-	-	29	-	29
CINCINNATI	2 724	2 724	2 688	36	-	36	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	3 062	3 062	2 977	85	-	85	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	81	71	71	-	-	-	-	10	-	10
COLUMBUS	1 311	1 311	1 252	59	9	50	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	1 655	1 655	1 648	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	55	47	47	-	-	-	-	8	8	-
EUCLID	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	15
HAMILTON	115	39	39	-	-	-	-	76	-	76
KETTERING	75	44	44	-	-	-	-	31	-	31
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	86	68	68	-	-	-	-	18	-	18
MANSFIELD	94	90	88	2	2	-	-	4	-	4
PARMA	18	13	13	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
SPRINGFIELD	51	44	44	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
TOLEDO	1 025	1 025	1 025	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	448	448	381	67	-	67	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
OKLAHOMA CITY	361	361	360	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
TULSA	525	525	525	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	-	53
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	50
SALEM	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	41 213	38 202	37 788	414	56	358	-	3 011	3 011	-
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	305	305	301	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	342	342	342	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	1 722	1 239	1 239	-	-	-	-	483	9	474
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	3 230	3 230	2 675	555	65	490	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 52. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	127	127	127	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	99	99	99	-	-	-	-	27	-	27
BROWNSVILLE	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	390	390	372	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	1 211	1 211	1 209	2	2	-	-	75	-	75
EL PASO	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	486	486	486	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	311	311	310	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	868	868	698	170	5	165	-	-	-	-
IRVING	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
LAREDO	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	360	-	360
SAN ANTONIO	360	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
TYLER	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
PROVO	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	-	40
SALT LAKE CITY	80	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	1 024	1 017	1 005	12	12	-	-	7	7	-
CHESAPEAKE	1 405	1 363	1 254	109	51	58	-	42	-	42
HAMPTON	710	697	679	18	18	-	-	13	-	13
LYNCHBURG	489	489	482	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	3 744	3 744	1 771	1 973	12	1 961	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	1 778	1 778	1 625	153	68	85	-	13	-	13
PORTSMOUTH	434	421	421	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	2 108	2 108	2 041	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	1 258	1 177	1 177	81	7	74	-	31	31	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	1 701	1 670	944	726	10	716	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	132	130	129	1	1	-	-	2	-	2
EVERETT	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	21
SEATTLE	1 505	401	397	4	4	-	-	1 104	-	1 104
SPOKANE	104	23	23	-	-	-	-	81	-	81
TACOMA	489	489	487	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	82	-	82
HUNTINGTON	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	35
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
MADISON	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	6
MILWAUKEE	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 53. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 395
large city governments, fiscal year 1976
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
TOTAL	367 758	279 072	63 445	25 241	CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED				
ALABAMA:					SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-
BIRMINGHAM	972	835	137	-	SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	56	56	-	-	SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	147	147	-	-	SOUTH GATE	126	126	-	-
MOBILE	264	264	-	-	STOCKTON	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	228	228	-	-	SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	-	-	-	-	TORRANCE	144	144	-	-
ALASKA:					VALLEJO	-	-	-	-
ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:					WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	52	-	-	52	WHITTIER	-	-	-	-
MESA	49	49	-	-	COLORADO:				
PHOENIX	350	36	100	214	ARVADA	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	AURORA	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	BOULDER	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	-	-	-	-	COLORADO SPRINGS	13	13	-	-
ARKANSAS:					DENVER	4 037	2 716	33	1 288
FORT SMITH	81	61	20	-	FORT COLLINS	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	235	201	34	-	LAKEWOOD	29	-	29	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	99	78	21	-	PUEBLO	295	193	-	102
PINE BLUFF	82	82	-	-	CONNECTICUT:				
CALIFORNIA:					BRIDGEPORT	287	-	-	287
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	BRISTOL	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	DANBURY	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	110	110	-	-	HARTFORD	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	MERIDEN	48	-	-	48
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	MILFORD	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	-	-	-	-	NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-
BURBANK PARK	-	-	-	-	NORWALK	-	-	-	-
CARSON	118	118	-	-	STAMFORD	25	-	-	25
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	WATERBURY	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	DELAWARE:				
COSTA MESA	73	73	-	-	WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-
DAILY CITY	-	-	-	-	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:				
DOWNNEY	-	-	-	-	WASHINGTON	60 619	42 113	6 008	12 498
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	FLORIDA:				
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	CLEARWATER	70	70	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	FORT LAUDERDALE	525	525	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	HIALEAH	138	138	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	HOLLYWOOD	136	136	-	-
GLENDALE	124	124	-	-	JACKSONVILLE	6 367	4 830	1 362	175
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	MIAMI	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	MIAMI BEACH	116	116	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	ORLANDO	671	671	-	-
INGLEWOOD	165	165	-	-	PENSACOLA	87	87	-	-
LAKELAND	-	-	-	-	ST. PETERSBURG	378	362	-	16
LONG BEACH	1 747	1 013	734	-	TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	TAMPA	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	WEST PALM BEACH	152	152	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	54	54	-	-	GEORGIA:				
NEWPORT BEACH	-	-	-	-	ALBANY	30	30	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	ATLANTA	1 624	1 624	-	-
OAKLAND	1 307	1 307	-	-	AUGUSTA	107	107	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	COLUMBUS	1 027	913	114	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	MACON	244	244	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	SAVANNAH	199	199	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	HAWAII:				
PASADENA	104	104	-	-	HONOLULU	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	IDAHO:				
POMONA	650	146	504	-	BOISE CITY	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	182	131	51	-	ILLINOIS:				
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	AURORA	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	BERWYN	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	CHICAGO	116	-	-	116
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	CICERO	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	DECATUR	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	-	-	-	-	DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	13 161	7 838	4 835	488	EAST ST. LOUIS	7	-	7	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	ELGIN	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-					
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-					
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-					
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-					
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-					

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 53. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED					MICHIGAN--CONTINUED				
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	FLINT	396	314	82	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	GRAND RAPIDS	276	-	222	54
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	KALAMAZOO	68	-	68	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	LANSING	570	229	218	123
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	LINCOLN PARK	35	35	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	LIVONIA	76	-	64	12
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	PONTIAC	105	-	105	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	58	58	-	-	ROYAL OAK	64	5	59	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	SAGINAW	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:					ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-
ANDERSON	4	-	4	-	SOUTHFIELD	101	-	101	-
EVANSVILLE	21	-	21	-	STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	TAYLOR	17	-	17	-
GARY	88	-	88	-	WARREN	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	35	-	35	-	WESTLAND	56	-	25	31
INDIANAPOLIS	4 301	3 321	980	-	WYOMING	30	-	24	6
MUNCIE	6	-	6	-	MINNESOTA:				
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	DULUTH	-	-	-	-
IOWA:					MINNEAPOLIS	1 074	1 074	-	-
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	83	83	-	-	ST. PAUL	7	7	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	MISSISSIPPI:				
DES MOINES	472	158	314	-	BILOXI	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	-	-	-	-	JACKSON	396	396	-	-
SIoux CITY	80	80	-	-	MISSOURI:				
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:					FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	244	244	-	-	INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	KANSAS CITY	1 485	981	504	-
TOPEKA	42	34	8	-	ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	462	462	-	-	ST. LOUIS	7 124	4 263	2 694	167
KENTUCKY:					SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-
COVINGTON	51	51	-	-	MONTANA:				
LEXINGTON	1 736	1 652	84	-	BILLINGS	17	-	17	-
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-	-	GREAT FALLS	154	154	-	-
OWENSBORO	-	-	-	-	NEBRASKA:				
LOUISIANA:					LINCOLN	409	218	176	15
BATON ROUGE	1 712	1 165	138	409	OMAHA	27	-	27	-
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	NEVADA:				
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-
MONROE	83	83	-	-	RENO	304	304	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	6 640	5 743	857	40	NEW HAMPSHIRE:				
SHREVEPORT	221	221	-	-	MANCHESTER	87	-	87	-
MAINE:					NASHUA	87	-	79	8
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	NEW JERSEY:				
MARYLAND:					BAYONNE	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	8 509	8 454	-	55	BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:					CAMDEN	-	-	-	-
BOSTON	9 387	6 149	3 238	-	CLIFTON	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	452	-	-	452	JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	NEWARK	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	2	-	2	-	PASSAIC	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	PATERSON	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	TRENTON	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	VINELAND	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	UNION CITY	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	21	-	-	21	NEW MEXICO:				
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	ALBUQUERQUE	1 564	1 316	248	-
FITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	NEW YORK:				
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	ALBANY	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	BUFFALO	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:					NEW YORK CITY	143 722	119 642	22 048	2 032
ANN ARBOR	95	-	95	-	NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	111	99	12	-	ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	154	49	105	-	ROME	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	5 057	5 057	-	-					
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-					

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 53. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
NEW YORK--CONTINUED					SOUTH DAKOTA:				
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	SIoux FALLS	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	TENNESSEE:				
TROY	-	-	-	-	CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-
UTICA	-	-	-	-	KNOXVILLE	342	342	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	MEMPHIS	1 239	1 160	-	-
YONKERS	242	242	-	-	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	2 675	2 675	79	-
NORTH CAROLINA:					TEXAS:				
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	ABILENE	55	55	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	AMARILLO	127	127	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	ARLINGTON	50	50	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	AUSTIN	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	53	-	-	53	BEAUMONT	99	99	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	CORPUS CHRISTI	372	307	65	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	DALLAS	1 209	1 209	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	EL PASO	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:					FORT WORTH	486	486	-	-
FARGO	-	-	-	-	GALVESTON	104	104	-	-
OHIO:					GARLAND	310	310	-	-
AKRON	364	294	70	-	GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-
CANTON	167	-	167	-	HOUSTON	698	686	-	-
CINCINNATI	2 688	2 072	616	-	IRVING	86	86	-	-
CLEVELAND	2 977	1 794	1 183	-	LAREDO	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	71	-	7	64	LUBBOCK	63	63	-	-
COLUMBUS	1 252	1 099	153	-	MESQUITE	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	1 648	1 570	78	-	MIDLAND	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	47	47	-	-	ODESSA	70	70	-	-
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	PASADENA	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	39	-	19	20	PORT ARTHUR	36	36	-	-
KETTERING	44	-	-	44	RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	SAN ANGELO	32	32	-	-
LIMA	118	100	18	-	SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	68	68	-	-	TYLER	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	88	24	64	-	WACO	81	81	-	-
PARMA	13	-	13	-	WICHITA FALLS	111	111	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	44	-	44	-	UTAH:				
TOLEDO	1 025	768	257	-	OGDEN	-	-	-	-
WARREN	165	82	83	-	PROVO	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	381	286	35	60	SALT LAKE CITY	40	40	-	-
OKLAHOMA:					VIRGINIA:				
LAWTON	87	87	-	-	ALEXANDRIA	1 005	759	152	94
MIDWEST	57	57	-	-	CHESAPEAKE	1 254	1 241	13	-
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	HAMPTON	679	244	435	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	360	327	33	-	LYNCHBURG	482	387	95	-
TULSA	525	472	-	53	NEWPORT NEWS	1 771	1 268	482	21
OREGON:					NORFOLK	1 625	1 451	-	174
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	PORTSMOUTH	421	345	-	76
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	RICHMOND	2 041	2 034	7	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	ROANOKE	1 177	610	567	-
PENNSYLVANIA:					VIRGINIA BEACH	944	559	385	-
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	WASHINGTON:				
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	BELLEVUE	129	-	102	27
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	EVERETT	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	SEATTLE	397	21	376	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	SPOKANE	23	23	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	TACOMA	487	361	126	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	WEST VIRGINIA:				
PHILADELPHIA	37 788	21 120	10 963	5 705	CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	WISCONSIN:				
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	APPLETON	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	KENOSHA	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:					LACROSSE	-	-	-	-
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	MADISON	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	RACINE	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:					WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	301	301	-	-	WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-					
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-					

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	18 326	17 887	17 982	22 457	13 819	13 556	13 598	17 362
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	53	53	53	60	45	45	45	51
GADSDEN	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	5
HUNTSVILLE	11	11	11	7	11	11	11	7
MOBILE	13	11	12	12	13	11	12	12
MONTGOMERY	23	23	23	17	23	23	23	17
TUSCALOOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDAL	4	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
MESA	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
PHOENIX	22	22	22	20	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	6	6	6	5	4	4	4	3
LITTLE ROCK	22	21	21	17	18	17	17	14
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	8	8	8	7	6	6	6	5
PINE BLUFF	11	11	11	6	11	11	11	6
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	8	8	8	12	8	8	8	12
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDAL	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	9
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	8	7	8	11	8	7	8	11
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	83	82	82	120	55	55	55	83
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	84	84	84	97	84	84	84	97
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	9
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	39	13	16	18	7	7	7	8
REDONDO BEACH	8	8	8	10	8	8	8	10
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	3 847	3 726	3 755	4 266	660	605	612	828
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	8	8	8	9	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDAL	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	4
MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	22	22	22	20	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	4	4	4	3	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	28	27	27	37	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	32	6	9	10	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	677	640	651	783	370	334	345	417
SAN FRANCISCO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	226	224	225	258	215	214	214	249
DENVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	10	8	8	8	10	8	8	8
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	3	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	2 932	2 932	2 932	4 000	2 088	2 088	2 088	2 761
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	6	6	6	4	6	6	6	4
FORT LAUDERDALE	13	13	13	14	13	13	13	14
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	11	11	11	10	11	11	11	10
HOLLYWOOD	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	8
JACKSONVILLE	561	553	556	451	479	476	477	394
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	71	71	71	57	71	71	71	57
PENSACOLA	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
ST. PETERSBURG	24	24	24	22	24	24	24	22
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	11	11	11	10	11	11	11	10

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	302	301	301	361	5	5	5	5
SAN FRANCISCO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	11	10	10	9	-	-	-	-
DENVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	2
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	-	-	-	-	3	2	2	2
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	324	324	324	490	520	520	520	749
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	57	55	56	42	25	22	23	15
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA.	162	162	162	133	162	162	162	133
AUGUSTA.	13	10	11	6	13	10	11	6
COLUMBUS.	64	63	64	47	51	50	51	37
MACON.	23	23	23	17	23	23	23	17
SAVANNAH.	11	10	10	9	11	10	10	9
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD.	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	3
WAUKEGAN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON.	1	-	-	(Z)	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY.	8	7	7	6	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND.	4	2	3	2	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS.	410	396	402	330	307	295	300	250
MUNCIE.	1	-	-	(Z)	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS.	12	2	6	5	12	2	6	5
DAVENPORT.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES.	27	27	27	32	11	11	11	12
DUBUQUE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY.	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5
WATERLOO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY.	17	17	17	16	17	17	17	16
OVERLAND PARK.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA.	9	9	9	6	5	5	5	3
WICHITA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON.	101	92	96	81	95	86	90	76
LOUISVILLE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS.	13	13	13	10	-	-	-	-
MACON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON.	1	-	-	(Z)	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY.	8	7	7	6	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND.	4	2	3	2	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS.	103	101	102	80	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE.	1	-	-	(Z)	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES.	16	16	16	20	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA.	4	4	4	3	-	-	-	-
WICHITA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON.	6	6	6	5	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	88	88	88	62	74	74	74	51
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	8	2	8	5	8	2	8	5
NEW ORLEANS	403	403	403	375	368	368	368	341
SHREVEPORT	22	21	22	13	22	21	22	13
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	504	504	504	554	504	504	504	554
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	506	506	506	541	312	312	312	322
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	22	18	21	14	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	10	6	7	7	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	7	7	7	11	5	5	5	9
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	22	4	10	9	4	4	4	3
DETROIT	155	155	155	217	155	155	155	217
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	28	28	28	35	22	22	22	29
GRAND RAPIDS	26	13	17	17	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
LANSING	97	40	40	55	15	15	15	21
LINCOLN PARK	5	1	1	1	5	1	1	1
LIVONIA	6	4	5	7	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	17	3	5	5	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	9	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	3	3	3	4	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	23	22	22	30	23	22	22	30
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	31	31	31	21	31	31	31	21

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	14	14	14	11	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	35	35	35	34	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	194	194	194	219	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	22	18	21	14
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	10	6	7	7	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	18	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	23	13	16	16	3	-	-	1
KALAMAZOO	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
LANSING	33	20	20	24	49	5	10	10
LINCOLN PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	6	4	5	7	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	8	8	8	9	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	17	3	5	5	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	9	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
WYOMING	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of tables.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolis of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	106	106	106	95	61	61	61	58
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	568	568	568	488	392	392	392	311
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	28	28	28	27	14	14	14	12
OMAHA	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	16	16	16	23	16	16	16	23
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	10	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	106	106	106	91	85	85	85	75
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	5 080	5 080	5 080	8 229	3 981	3 981	3 981	6 945
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	10	10	10	15	10	10	10	15
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	45	45	45	37	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	176	176	176	176	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	14	14	14	15	-	-	-	-
OMAHA	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	9	8	8	8	1	1	1	1
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	21	21	21	16	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	1 099	1 099	1 099	1 284	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	25	23	24	28	19	17	18	21
CANTON	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	159	159	159	182	116	116	116	133
CLEVELAND	119	117	118	116	86	84	85	77
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	4	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	76	76	76	82	65	65	65	70
DAYTON	87	83	85	86	83	80	82	81
ELYRIA	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	7	7	7	8	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	7	7	7	8	5	5	5	6
LORAIN	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
MANSFIELD	6	6	6	5	3	3	3	2
PARMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	5	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	55	55	55	66	40	40	40	45
WARREN	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	4
YOUNGSTOWN	26	26	26	23	23	23	23	20
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
MIDWEST	10	10	10	6	10	10	10	6
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	23	23	23	18	23	23	23	18
TULSA	41	41	41	37	41	41	41	37
OREGON:								
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	1 963	1 963	1 963	2 490	1 161	1 161	1 161	1 585
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	34	33	33	26	34	33	33	26
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	36	36	36	24	36	36	36	24
MEMPHIS	106	103	104	106	94	91	92	98
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	228	228	228	179	228	228	228	179

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	6	6	6	7	-	-	-	-
CANTON	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	43	43	43	49	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	33	33	33	39	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	4
COLUMBUS	11	11	11	12	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	4	3	3	5	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	8
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
PARMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	5	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	15	15	15	21	1	1	1	1
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:								
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	802	802	802	905	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	12	12	12	8	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	2
AMARILLO	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	10
ARLINGTON	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	29	29	29	25	19	19	19	14
DALLAS	109	109	109	106	109	109	109	106
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	26	26	26	28	26	26	26	28
GALVESTON	12	6	8	8	12	6	8	8
GARLAND	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	70	70	70	56	70	70	70	56
IRVING	12	12	12	10	12	12	12	10
LAREDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	2
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	3
SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	6	6	6	4	6	6	6	4
WICHITA FALLS	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
UTAH:								
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	50	49	49	48	42	41	41	39
CHESAPEAKE	118	84	91	76	118	84	91	76
HAMPTON	58	58	58	48	34	34	34	27
LYNCHBURG	63	52	58	44	54	45	50	38
NEWPORT NEWS	135	135	135	100	110	110	110	77
NORFOLK	179	77	105	89	170	68	96	82
PORTSMOUTH	64	64	64	52	64	64	64	52
RICHMOND	222	222	222	182	222	222	222	182
ROANOKE	97	86	90	71	55	50	52	37
VIRGINIA BEACH	76	75	76	64	41	40	41	31
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	10	8	9	10	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	28	22	24	30	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
TACOMA	21	21	21	22	15	15	15	16
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,
October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	10	10	10	11	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	8	8	8	9	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	24	24	24	21	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	9	7	8	6	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	23	23	23	21	2	2	2	2
NORFOLK	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	7
PORTSMOUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	42	36	38	34	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	35	35	35	33	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	10	8	9	10	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	28	22	24	30	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹ Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

² Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals shown.

Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

FIGURE 21. Percent distribution of other criminal justice direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976




Table 55. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	350 478	350 478	238 045	112 434	484 693	821	33 872
STATES	612 289	129 970	127 708	2 262	482 319	(X)	482 319
LOCAL, TOTAL	221 329	220 508	110 336	110 172	2 374	821	1 553
COUNTIES	152 994	151 596	47 681	103 915	1 398	740	657
MUNICIPALITIES	69 857	68 880	62 655	6 225	977	81	896
ALABAMA	1 414	1 414	1 414	-	8 271	-	8 271
STATE	9 212	941	941	-	8 271	(X)	8 271
LOCAL, TOTAL	473	473	473	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	318	318	318	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	156	156	156	-	-	-	-
ALASKA	2 665	2 665	2 663	2	819	-	819
STATE	3 484	2 665	2 663	2	819	(X)	819
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOROUGHES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	6 334	6 334	4 755	1 580	5 054	-	5 054
STATE	6 882	1 828	1 788	40	5 054	(X)	5 054
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 506	4 506	2 966	1 540	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 302	3 302	1 763	1 539	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 205	1 205	1 204	1	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	2 516	2 516	2 466	49	4 332	-	4 332
STATE	6 648	2 316	2 268	48	4 332	(X)	4 332
LOCAL, TOTAL	200	200	198	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	196	196	195	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	31 345	31 345	29 239	2 106	33 610	-	33 610
STATE	44 191	10 680	10 680	-	33 511	(X)	33 511
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 665	20 665	18 559	2 106	99	-	99
COUNTIES	10 390	10 388	8 287	2 101	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	10 374	10 277	10 272	5	97	-	97
COLORADO	6 281	6 281	3 358	2 922	9 869	-	9 869
STATE	11 450	1 858	1 839	19	9 592	(X)	9 592
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 423	4 423	1 519	2 903	277	-	277
COUNTIES	3 169	3 169	407	2 762	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 531	1 254	1 112	142	277	-	277
CONNECTICUT	2 170	2 170	2 165	5	2 245	-	2 245
STATE	3 328	1 083	1 079	4	2 245	(X)	2 245
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 088	1 088	1 087	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 088	1 088	1 087	1	-	-	-
DELAWARE	888	888	880	8	970	-	970
STATE	1 858	888	880	8	970	(X)	970
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	862	862	862	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	862	862	862	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	862	862	862	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	9 218	9 218	8 977	241	16 410	-	16 410
STATE	18 238	1 828	1 817	11	16 410	(X)	16 410
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 390	7 390	7 160	230	-	-	-
COUNTIES	5 437	5 437	5 347	90	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 953	1 953	1 813	140	-	-	-
GEORGIA	2 315	2 315	2 291	24	11 570	66	11 504
STATE	13 256	1 752	1 746	6	11 504	(X)	11 504
LOCAL, TOTAL	630	563	546	18	66	66	-
COUNTIES	228	162	162	-	66	66	-
MUNICIPALITIES	402	402	384	18	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 55. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	4 748	4 748	3 339	1 409	1 984	-	1 984
STATE	6 425	4 441	3 032	1 409	1 984	(X)	1 984
LOCAL, TOTAL	307	307	307	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	294	294	294	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	1 866	1 866	867	999	1 062	-	1 062
STATE	1 890	828	818	10	1 062	(X)	1 062
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 038	1 038	49	989	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 038	1 038	49	989	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	20 591	20 591	5 463	15 128	26 010	4	26 006
STATE	29 623	3 622	3 600	22	26 001	(X)	26 001
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 973	16 969	1 863	15 106	9	4	5
COUNTIES	16 416	16 407	1 306	15 101	9	4	5
MUNICIPALITIES	562	562	556	5	-	-	-
INDIANA	4 514	4 514	4 461	53	15 409	-	15 409
STATE	17 636	2 227	2 202	25	15 409	(X)	15 409
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 287	2 287	2 259	28	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 168	1 168	1 165	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 119	1 119	1 094	24	-	-	-
IOWA	1 191	1 191	1 139	52	6 246	55	6 191
STATE	6 837	668	667	1	6 169	(X)	6 169
LOCAL, TOTAL	577	522	471	51	77	55	22
COUNTIES	254	189	138	51	64	42	22
MUNICIPALITIES	346	333	333	-	13	13	-
KANSAS	3 642	3 642	1 690	1 952	4 476	-	4 476
STATE	5 068	592	591	1	4 476	(X)	4 476
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 051	3 051	1 099	1 951	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 334	1 334	862	472	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 716	1 716	237	1 479	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	2 867	2 867	2 627	240	8 656	-	8 656
STATE	10 633	1 985	1 967	18	8 648	(X)	8 648
LOCAL, TOTAL	882	882	660	222	8	-	8
COUNTIES	639	633	546	87	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	251	248	114	135	3	-	3
LOUISIANA	1 568	1 568	1 558	10	15 801	-	15 801
STATE	16 587	786	781	5	15 801	(X)	15 801
LOCAL, TOTAL	782	782	776	5	-	-	-
PARISHES	239	239	239	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	542	542	537	5	-	-	-
MAINE	1 192	1 192	803	388	2 538	-	2 538
STATE	3 301	769	767	2	2 532	(X)	2 532
LOCAL, TOTAL	422	422	36	386	6	-	6
COUNTIES	428	422	36	386	6	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	5 395	5 395	5 301	95	6 751	-	6 751
STATE	9 390	2 640	2 621	19	6 750	(X)	6 750
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 756	2 756	2 680	76	1	-	1
COUNTIES	1 741	1 741	1 674	67	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 016	1 015	1 006	9	1	-	1
MASSACHUSETTS	5 087	5 087	5 086	1	14 400	-	14 400
STATE	17 002	2 602	2 602	1	14 400	(X)	14 400
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 486	2 486	2 484	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	17	17	17	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 469	2 469	2 467	1	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	14 091	14 091	11 034	3 056	16 935	-	16 935
STATE	21 587	4 715	4 715	-	16 872	(X)	16 872
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 376	9 376	6 319	3 056	63	-	63
COUNTIES	7 779	7 716	6 650	2 066	63	-	63
MUNICIPALITIES	1 659	1 659	669	991	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 55. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	6 047	6 047	4 374	1 672	9 418	-	9 418
STATE	12 622	3 204	3 197	7	9 418	(X)	9 418
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 843	2 843	1 178	1 665	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 005	2 005	340	1 665	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	838	838	838	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	2 003	2 003	1 876	127	2 170	-	2 170
STATE	4 106	1 969	1 874	95	2 137	(X)	2 137
LOCAL, TOTAL	34	34	1	32	33	-	33
COUNTIES	34	34	1	32	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	33	-	-	-	33	-	33
MISSOURI	2 026	2 026	1 605	420	16 943	21	16 923
STATE	18 256	1 343	1 315	28	16 913	(X)	16 913
LOCAL, TOTAL	703	682	290	392	30	21	10
COUNTIES	488	457	65	392	30	21	10
MUNICIPALITIES	225	225	225	-	-	-	-
MONTANA	1 866	1 866	1 774	91	1 110	-	1 110
STATE	2 358	1 248	1 237	11	1 110	(X)	1 110
LOCAL, TOTAL	617	617	537	80	-	-	-
COUNTIES	604	604	524	80	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	1 822	1 822	1 483	340	4 387	-	4 387
STATE	4 989	602	599	3	4 387	(X)	4 387
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 221	1 221	884	337	-	-	-
COUNTIES	505	505	168	337	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	716	716	716	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	1 173	1 173	1 163	10	3 307	-	3 307
STATE	4 412	1 105	1 095	10	3 307	(X)	3 307
LOCAL, TOTAL	68	68	68	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	40	40	40	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	28	28	28	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	498	498	498	-	-	-	-
STATE	477	477	477	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	21	21	21	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	21	21	21	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	10 023	10 023	10 003	20	14 073	-	14 073
STATE	17 254	3 185	3 165	20	14 069	(X)	14 069
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 837	6 837	6 837	-	4	-	4
COUNTIES	1 710	1 710	1 710	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 131	5 127	5 127	-	4	-	4
NEW MEXICO	2 453	2 453	2 446	7	1 312	-	1 312
STATE	3 608	2 296	2 289	7	1 312	(X)	1 312
LOCAL, TOTAL	157	157	157	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	142	142	142	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	15	15	15	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	37 485	37 485	35 943	1 543	42 375	-	42 375
STATE	55 946	13 571	13 531	40	42 375	(X)	42 375
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 915	23 915	22 412	1 503	-	-	-
COUNTIES	4 581	4 581	3 110	1 471	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	19 302	19 302	19 302	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA	6 287	6 287	3 525	2 761	13 526	-	13 526
STATE	15 484	2 040	2 022	18	13 444	(X)	13 444
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 246	4 246	1 503	2 743	82	-	82
COUNTIES	4 028	3 992	1 249	2 743	36	-	36
MUNICIPALITIES	300	254	254	-	46	-	46
NORTH DAKOTA	1 102	1 102	443	659	1 772	-	1 772
STATE	2 154	382	373	9	1 772	(X)	1 772
LOCAL, TOTAL	721	721	70	650	-	-	-
COUNTIES	649	649	-	649	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	71	71	70	1	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 55. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	52 508	52 508	6 382	46 126	29 422	7	29 415
STATE	31 637	2 222	2 222	-	29 415	(X)	29 415
LOCAL, TOTAL	50 294	50 286	4 160	46 126	7	-	-
COUNTIES	49 152	49 145	3 135	46 010	7	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 141	1 141	1 025	116	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	5 350	5 350	4 711	639	3 471	-	3 471
STATE	4 394	923	896	27	3 471	(X)	3 471
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 427	4 427	3 815	612	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 427	4 427	3 815	612	-	-	-
OREGON	9 698	9 698	2 666	7 032	8 470	-	8 470
STATE	9 982	1 512	1 471	41	8 470	(X)	8 470
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 186	8 186	1 195	6 991	-	-	-
COUNTIES	7 185	7 185	197	6 988	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 001	1 001	998	3	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	7 737	7 737	7 737	-	39 703	-	39 703
STATE	44 090	4 387	4 387	-	39 703	(X)	39 703
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 350	3 350	3 350	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	331	331	331	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 018	3 018	3 018	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	1 010	1 010	1 004	5	1 966	-	1 966
STATE	2 795	829	829	-	1 966	(X)	1 966
LOCAL, TOTAL	180	180	175	5	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	180	180	175	5	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	5 050	5 050	2 436	2 614	5 351	12	5 339
STATE	7 053	1 935	1 716	219	5 118	(X)	5 118
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 127	3 116	720	2 395	233	12	221
COUNTIES	3 199	3 075	679	2 395	124	-	124
MUNICIPALITIES	150	41	41	-	109	12	98
SOUTH DAKOTA	3 043	3 043	1 050	1 993	1 617	-	1 617
STATE	2 668	1 051	1 049	2	1 617	(X)	1 617
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 993	1 993	1	1 991	-	-	-
COUNTIES	769	769	1	768	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 223	1 223	-	1 223	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	3 393	3 393	2 051	1 342	6 232	-	6 232
STATE	7 416	1 206	1 201	5	6 210	(X)	6 210
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 187	2 187	850	1 337	22	-	22
COUNTIES	1 943	1 921	738	1 183	22	-	22
MUNICIPALITIES	265	265	112	154	-	-	-
TEXAS	38 998	38 998	27 815	11 183	26 413	644	25 769
STATE	47 443	21 996	21 988	8	25 447	(X)	25 447
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 647	17 002	5 827	11 175	966	644	322
COUNTIES	15 593	15 001	4 670	10 331	592	589	3
MUNICIPALITIES	2 375	2 001	1 157	844	374	56	319
UTAH	1 264	1 264	1 181	83	3 346	-	3 346
STATE	4 010	664	664	-	3 346	(X)	3 346
LOCAL, TOTAL	601	601	518	83	-	-	-
COUNTIES	133	133	92	41	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	468	468	426	42	-	-	-
VERMONT	415	415	415	-	562	-	562
STATE	977	415	415	-	562	(X)	562
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	3 406	3 406	3 352	54	10 247	-	10 247
STATE	12 192	1 948	1 914	34	10 244	(X)	10 244
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 458	1 458	1 438	20	3	-	3
COUNTIES	495	493	485	8	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	965	965	953	12	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 55. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	5 332	5 332	2 644	2 688	13 168	-	13 168
STATE	14 655	1 523	1 513	10	13 132	(X)	13 132
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 809	3 809	1 131	2 678	36	-	36
COUNTIES	3 474	3 442	767	2 675	32	-	32
MUNICIPALITIES	371	367	364	3	3	-	3
WEST VIRGINIA	844	844	832	12	2 686	11	2 674
STATE	3 022	673	671	2	2 349	(X)	2 349
LOCAL, TOTAL	182	171	161	10	377	11	325
COUNTIES	507	170	161	9	357	11	325
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	5 859	5 859	5 787	73	6 823	-	6 823
STATE	12 156	5 333	5 317	16	6 823	(X)	6 823
LOCAL, TOTAL	527	527	470	57	-	-	-
COUNTIES	507	507	451	57	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	19	19	19	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	1 026	1 026	410	616	1 406	-	1 406
STATE	1 609	219	219	-	1 390	(X)	1 390
LOCAL, TOTAL	807	807	192	616	16	-	16
COUNTIES	531	531	168	363	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	293	277	24	253	16	-	16

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

APPENDIX 1: EXHIBIT TABLES A, B, C, AND D

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1976
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Total	117,480	115,240	111,635	3,605	2,240
ARIZONA					
Independent school districts:					
Phoenix Union High School District	649	594	519	75	55
Tucson School District No. 1	305	305	262	43	-
CALIFORNIA					
Special districts:					
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Oakland	554	554	554	-	-
East Bay Regional Park District, Oakland	854	831	809	22	23
Los Angeles City Housing Authority	659	659	654	5	-
Oakland Housing Authority	196	196	194	2	-
Sacramento-Yolo Port District, West Sacramento	122	122	122	-	-
San Diego Unified Port District	1,127	1,127	1,105	22	-
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit, Oakland	2,278	2,278	2,278	-	-
San Francisco City-County Housing Authority	286	286	286	-	-
Stockton Port District	236	236	227	9	-
Independent school districts:					
Compton Community College	75	75	75	-	-
Compton Unified School District	700	700	700	-	-
El Camino College, Torrance	174	174	172	2	-
Foothill Community College District, Los Altos Hills	167	167	160	7	-
Grant Union High School District, Sacramento	128	128	128	-	-
Grossmont College, El Cajon	127	127	90	37	-
Kern Joint Union High School District, Bakersfield	46	46	46	-	-
Long Beach Unified School District	85	85	82	3	-
Los Angeles Community Colleges	1,377	1,377	1,377	-	-
Los Angeles Unified School District	5,749	5,749	5,749	-	-
Los Rios Junior College, Sacramento	237	237	215	22	-
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District, Norwalk	99	99	99	-	-
Oakland Unified School District	175	175	175	-	-
Pasadena Area Community College District	280	280	280	-	-
Peralta Community College District, Oakland	411	411	386	25	-
Pomona Unified School District	70	70	70	-	-
Richmond Unified School District	277	277	274	3	-
Sacramento City Unified School District	260	260	160	100	-
San Bernardino Community College District	78	78	78	-	-
San Diego City Schools	661	661	586	75	-
San Francisco Community College District	133	133	130	3	-
San Mateo Junior College District	141	120	120	-	21
Santa Ana College	116	116	115	1	-
Santa Ana Unified School District	138	138	138	-	-
State Center Community College District, Fresno	142	142	136	6	-
Ventura County Community College District	140	140	135	5	-
COLORADO					
Independent school districts:					
Denver Public School District No. 1	136	136	136	-	-
Jefferson County School District, Lakewood	309	309	300	9	-
CONNECTICUT					
Special districts:					
Hartford Metro District	159	159	154	5	-
Housing Authority-City of Bridgeport	290	290	290	-	-
Housing Authority-City of New Haven	426	426	426	-	-
DELAWARE					
Special districts:					
Delaware River-Bay Authority, New Castle	317	317	317	-	-
Housing Authority of Wilmington	70	-	-	-	70

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
Special districts:					
Washington Metro Area Transit Authority.....	2,186	2,186	2,088	98	-
FLORIDA					
Independent school districts:					
Broward County School District, Fort Lauderdale.....	164	164	164	-	-
Dade County School District, Miami.....	1,543	1,543	1,543	-	-
Duval County School Board, Jacksonville.....	481	314	313	1	167
Florida Junior College, Jacksonville.....	288	288	264	24	-
Hillsborough County School District, Tampa.....	250	250	250	-	-
Miami-Dade Junior College, Miami.....	226	185	185	-	41
Pensacola Junior College.....	186	173	172	1	13
Pinellas County School District, Clearwater.....	318	318	311	7	-
Volusia County School District, Deland.....	89	89	89	-	-
GEORGIA					
Special districts:					
Fulton-De Kalb Hospital Authority, Atlanta.....	504	504	504	-	-
Hall County Hospital Authority, Gainesville.....	84	84	84	-	-
Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority, Macon.....	85	85	85	-	-
Richmond County Hospital Authority, Augusta.....	150	150	150	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Atlanta Independent School District.....	572	355	355	-	217
Bibb County School District, Macon.....	122	122	103	19	-
Cobb County School District, Marietta.....	165	96	96	-	69
Muscogee County School District, Columbus.....	186	186	186	-	-
Savannah-Chatham County School District, Savannah.....	122	122	96	26	-
ILLINOIS					
Special districts:					
Chicago Housing Authority.....	770	-	-	-	770
Chicago Transit Authority.....	1,178	1,178	1,178	-	-
East St. Louis Park District.....	56	56	56	-	-
Metro Fair Exposition Authority, Chicago.....	500	500	500	-	-
Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago.....	995	995	990	5	-
Springfield Airport Authority.....	80	80	80	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Chicago Board of Education.....	3,500	3,500	3,500	-	-
Chicago City College.....	710	710	600	110	-
Peoria Public School District 150.....	215	215	215	-	-
INDIANA					
Special districts:					
Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority, Indianapolis...	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Independent school districts:					
Gary Community School Corporation.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Indianapolis Public Schools.....	938	938	938	-	-
IOWA					
Independent school districts:					
Waterloo Community School District.....	85	-	-	-	85
KANSAS					
Independent school districts:					
Kansas City School District.....	146	146	146	-	-
Unified School District 501, Topeka.....	122	122	122	-	-
Wichita Unified School District 259.....	445	445	445	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
KENTUCKY					
Independent school districts:					
Fayette County School District, Lexington.....	329	329	317	12	
Jefferson County School District, Louisville.....	742	742	742	-	
LOUISIANA					
Special districts:					
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	221	221	200	21	
Orleans Levee District, New Orleans.....	871	871	815	56	
Independent school districts:					
East Baton Rouge Parish School, Baton Rouge.....	120	120	120	-	
Orleans Parish School District, New Orleans.....	590	590	590	-	
MARYLAND					
Special districts:					
Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Silver Spring.....	1,818	1,818	1,668	150	
MASSACHUSETTS					
Special districts:					
Boston Housing Authority.....	644	515	515	-	129
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority, Jamaica Plain.....	1,181	1,181	1,181	-	
MICHIGAN					
Special districts:					
Huron-Clinton Metro Authority, Detroit.....	860	811	745	66	49
Tri-City Airport Commission, Freeland.....	106	106	106	-	
Independent school districts:					
Charles Stewart Mott Community College, Flint.....	122	122	122	-	
Detroit City School District.....	1,030	1,030	1,030	-	
Flint City School District.....	250	-	-	-	250
Grand Rapids Public Schools.....	328	328	303	25	
Lansing City School District.....	323	323	308	15	
Macomb County Community College, Warren.....	306	306	306	-	
Oakland Community College, Bloomfield Hills.....	182	182	182	-	
Pontiac City School District.....	62	-	-	-	62
MINNESOTA					
Special districts:					
Hennepin County Park Reserve, Maple Plain.....	123	123	116	7	
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metro Airports Commission, St. Paul.....	866	866	866	-	
MISSOURI					
Special districts:					
Housing Authority of Kansas City.....	600	600	600	-	
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	802	793	793	-	9
Independent school districts:					
Junior College District of St. Louis.....	750	750	750	-	
Kansas City School District.....	288	288	288	-	
St. Louis City School District.....	924	924	900	24	
Springfield School District.....	80	80	80	-	
NEBRASKA					
Independent school districts:					
Omaha City School District No. 1.....	134	134	134	-	
See footnotes at end of table.					

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter- governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
NEVADA					
Independent school districts:					
Clark County School District, Las Vegas.....	300	300	250	50	-
NEW JERSEY					
Special districts:					
Burlington County Bridge Commission, Palmyra.....	405	405	405	-	-
Delaware River Port Authority, Camden.....	1,544	1,544	1,544	-	-
Housing Authority of the City of Trenton.....	166	166	166	-	-
NEW MEXICO					
Independent school districts:					
Albuquerque School District.....	275	260	260	-	15
NEW YORK					
Special districts:					
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, New York City.....	28,412	28,412	28,412	-	-
Waterfront Commission of New York Harbor, New York City.....	1,293	1,293	1,283	10	-
Independent school districts:					
Farmingdale Public Schools.....	118	118	118	-	-
OHIO					
Special districts:					
Akron Metropolitan Park District.....	200	200	200	-	-
Cleveland Metro Housing Authority.....	627	627	627	-	-
Cleveland Metropolitan Park Authority.....	1,163	1,163	1,139	24	-
Hamilton County Park District, Cincinnati.....	241	241	227	14	-
Lorain County Metro Park District, Elyria.....	2,351	2,351	583	1,768	-
Metro Park District of the Toledo Area.....	331	331	331	-	-
Youngstown Township Park District.....	156	156	156	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Cincinnati City School District.....	423	423	423	-	-
Cuyahoga Community College, Cleveland.....	396	396	388	8	-
Dayton City School District.....	216	216	172	44	-
OKLAHOMA					
Independent school districts:					
Oklahoma City School District.....	323	323	323	-	-
OREGON					
Special districts:					
The Port of Portland District.....	748	748	734	14	-
Tri-Metro Transportation District, Portland.....	126	126	126	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Portland School District.....	342	342	318	24	-
PENNSYLVANIA					
Special districts:					
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission, Morrisville.....	3,791	3,791	3,791	-	-
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	2,748	2,643	2,431	212	105
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	540	540	540	-	-
Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh.....	399	309	307	2	90
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, Philadelphia.....	591	591	591	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Pittsburgh City School District.....	1,184	1,184	1,184	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
SOUTH CAROLINA					
Special districts:					
Columbia Metro Airport, West Columbia.....	216	216	216	-	-
TENNESSEE					
Special districts:					
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority.....	693	693	685	8	-
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority.....	642	642	642	-	-
TEXAS					
Special districts:					
Bexar County Hospital District, San Antonio.....	293	293	285	8	-
Brownsville Navigation District.....	77	77	77	-	-
Dallas-Fort Worth Airport Authority.....	4,810	4,810	4,661	149	-
Housing Authority-City of Houston.....	157	157	157	-	-
Nueces County Navigation District, Corpus Christi.....	89	89	88	1	-
Port of Houston Authority.....	1,530	1,530	1,530	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Dallas County Community College District.....	335	335	331	4	-
El Paso Independent School District.....	301	301	301	-	-
Houston Independent School District.....	705	705	688	17	-
Irving Independent School District.....	69	69	69	-	-
San Antonio Independent School District.....	813	813	813	-	-
San Antonio-St. Phillips College District.....	213	213	208	5	-
San Jacinto College, Pasadena.....	116	116	116	-	-
Tarrant County Junior College, Fort Worth.....	332	332	329	3	-
Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso.....	360	360	360	-	-
UTAH					
Independent school districts:					
Granite School District, Salt Lake City.....	200	200	140	60	-
VIRGINIA					
Special districts:					
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District, Cape Charles.....	614	614	614	-	-
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission, Norfolk.....	532	532	532	-	-
WASHINGTON					
Special districts:					
Port of Seattle District.....	1,404	1,404	1,373	31	-
Port of Tacoma District.....	68	68	67	1	-
Independent school districts:					
Seattle School District.....	430	430	430	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Data not available.

¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	8,353	6,826	7,492	8,117
ARIZONA				
Independent school districts:				
Phoenix Union High School District.....	69	69	69	49
Tucson School District No. 1.....	22	22	22	19
CALIFORNIA				
Special districts:				
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Oakland.....	21	21	21	27
East Bay Regional Park District, Oakland.....	26	25	25	41
Los Angeles City Housing Authority.....	46	46	46	54
Oakland Housing Authority.....	18	18	18	18
Sacramento-Yolo Port District, West Sacramento.....	10	10	10	11
San Diego Unified Port District.....	66	66	66	101
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit, Oakland.....	93	93	93	129
San Francisco City-County Housing Authority.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Stockton Port District.....	20	9	14	16
Independent school districts:				
Compton Community College.....	10	6	7	7
Compton Unified School District.....	87	82	86	63
El Camino College, Torrance.....	28	11	13	18
Foothill Community College District, Los Altos Hills.....	46	2	9	15
Grant Union High School District, Sacramento.....	30	11	15	13
Grossmont College, El Cajon.....	19	1	6	8
Kern Joint Union High School District, Bakersfield.....	4	4	4	6
Long Beach Unified School District.....	7	7	7	8
Los Angeles Community Colleges.....	81	81	81	112
Los Angeles Unified School District.....	431	335	371	447
Los Rios Junior College, Sacramento.....	22	19	21	19
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District, Norwalk.....	18	6	11	10
Oakland Unified School District.....	14	11	14	12
Pasadena Area Community College District.....	28	17	21	23
Peralta Community College District, Oakland.....	37	24	26	31
Pomona Unified School District.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Richmond Unified School District.....	22	22	22	23
Sacramento City Unified School District.....	19	12	15	13
San Bernardino Community College District.....	9	7	7	7
San Diego City Schools.....	43	43	43	50
San Francisco Community College District.....	44	9	11	14
San Mateo Junior College District.....	30	2	5	12
Santa Ana College.....	14	10	13	13
Santa Ana Unified School District.....	15	13	13	13
State Center Community College District, Fresno.....	42	7	13	13
Ventura County Community College District.....	9	5	6	4
COLORADO				
Independent school districts:				
Denver Public School District No. 1.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Jefferson County School District, Lakewood.....	20	20	20	16
CONNECTICUT				
Special districts:				
Hartford Metro District.....	11	11	11	15
Housing Authority-City of Bridgeport.....	3	3	3	4
Housing Authority-City of New Haven.....	23	23	23	31
DELAWARE				
Special districts:				
Delaware River-Bay Authority, New Castle.....	20	20	20	23
Housing Authority of Wilmington.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
Special districts:				
Washington Metro Area Transit Authority.....	181	181	181	178
FLORIDA				
Independent school districts:				
Broward County School District, Fort Lauderdale.....	10	10	10	14
Dade County School District, Miami.....	106	106	106	126
Duval County School Board, Jacksonville.....	7	7	7	7
Florida Junior College, Jacksonville.....	36	28	32	19
Hillsborough County School District, Tampa.....	18	18	18	18
Miami-Dade Junior College, Miami.....	23	23	23	19
Pensacola Junior College.....	24	18	18	12
Pinellas County School District, Clearwater.....	22	21	22	18
Volusia County School District, Deland.....	8	6	7	6
GEORGIA				
Special districts:				
Fulton-De Kalb Hospital Authority, Atlanta.....	70	70	70	44
Hall County Hospital Authority, Gainesville.....	6	6	6	8
Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority, Macon.....	11	10	10	7
Richmond County Hospital Authority, Augusta.....	17	17	17	12
Independent school districts:				
Atlanta Independent School District.....	23	23	23	26
Bibb County School District, Macon.....	16	14	15	9
Cobb County School District, Marietta.....	13	13	13	11
Muscogee County School District, Columbus.....	26	12	20	13
Savannah-Chatham County School District, Savannah.....	15	15	15	10
ILLINOIS				
Special districts:				
Chicago Housing Authority.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Chicago Transit Authority.....	70	70	70	97
East St. Louis Park District.....	7	5	8	4
Metro Fair Exposition Authority, Chicago.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago.....	67	67	67	82
Springfield Airport Authority.....	9	9	9	9
Independent school districts:				
Chicago Board of Education.....	590	86	413	275
Chicago City College.....	91	2	30	24
Peoria Public School District 150.....	19	19	19	20
INDIANA				
Special districts:				
Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority, Indianapolis.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Independent school districts:				
Gary Community School Corporation.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Indianapolis Public Schools.....	95	78	83	76
IOWA				
Independent school districts:				
Waterloo Community School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
KANSAS				
Independent school districts:				
Kansas City School District.....	14	14	14	13
Unified School District 501, Topeka.....	14	14	14	15
Wichita Unified School District 259.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
KENTUCKY				
Independent school districts:				
Fayette County School District, Lexington.....	29	25	26	33
Jefferson County School District, Louisville.....	80	64	69	64
LOUISIANA				
Special districts:				
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	19	19	19	14
Orleans Levee District, New Orleans.....	65	63	63	60
Independent school districts:				
East Baton Rouge Parish School District, Baton Rouge.....	13	13	13	9
Orleans Parish School District, New Orleans.....	15	15	15	9
MARYLAND				
Special districts:				
Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Silver Spring.....	208	208	208	162
MASSACHUSETTS				
Special districts:				
Boston Housing Authority.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority, Jamaica Plain.....	65	65	65	89
MICHIGAN				
Special districts:				
Huron-Clinton Metro Authority, Detroit.....	46	26	33	30
Tri-City Airport Commission, Freeland.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Independent school districts:				
Charles Stewart Mott Community College, Flint.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Detroit City School District.....	244	244	244	147
Flint City School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Grand Rapids Public Schools.....	72	38	43	37
Lansing City School District.....	27	26	27	26
Macomb County Community College, Warren.....	47	12	21	23
Oakland Community College, Bloomfield Hills.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Pontiac City School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
MINNESOTA				
Special districts:				
Hennepin County Park Reserve, Maple Plain.....	12	12	12	15
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metro Airports Commission, St. Paul.....	61	61	61	100
MISSOURI				
Special districts:				
Housing Authority of Kansas City.....	54	54	54	45
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	123	100	111	62
Independent school districts:				
Junior College District of St. Louis.....	54	43	46	46
Kansas City School District.....	30	30	30	27
St. Louis City School District.....	75	75	75	49
Springfield School District.....	7	7	7	6
NEBRASKA				
Independent school districts:				
Omaha City School District No. 1.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
NEVADA				
Independent school districts:				
Clark County School District, Las Vegas.....	22	20	21	24

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW JERSEY				
Special districts:				
Burlington County Bridge Commission, Palmyra.....	31	26	27	37
Delaware River Port Authority, Camden.....	147	147	147	165
Housing Authority of the City of Trenton.....	16	16	16	18
NEW MEXICO				
Independent school districts:				
Albuquerque School District.....	30	30	30	19
NEW YORK				
Special districts:				
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, New York City.....	1,209	1,209	1,209	1,961
Waterfront Commission of New York Harbor, New York City.....	70	68	69	101
Independent school districts:				
Farmingdale Public Schools.....	21	4	10	10
OHIO				
Special districts:				
Akron Metropolitan Park District.....	21	19	20	19
Cleveland Metro Housing Authority.....	45	45	45	49
Cleveland Metropolitan Park Authority.....	84	65	70	71
Hamilton County Park District, Cincinnati.....	33	21	27	19
Lorain County Metro Park District, Elyria.....	21	21	21	19
Metro Park District of the Toledo Area.....	30	29	29	28
Youngstown Township Park District.....	23	11	12	13
Independent school districts:				
Cincinnati City School District.....	127	1	28	43
Cuyahoga Community College, Cleveland.....	43	30	36	37
Dayton City School District.....	22	22	22	17
OKLAHOMA				
Independent school districts:				
Oklahoma City School District.....	41	41	41	26
OREGON				
Special districts:				
The Port of Portland District.....	45	45	45	56
Tri-Metro Transportation District, Portland.....	8	8	8	11
Independent school districts:				
Portland School District.....	22	21	21	23
PENNSYLVANIA				
Special districts:				
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission, Morrisville.....	145	145	145	181
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	210	210	210	202
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	24	24	24	19
Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh.....	20	20	20	19
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority Philadelphia	27	26	26	32
Independent school districts:				
Pittsburgh City School District.....	167	102	160	115
SOUTH CAROLINA				
Special districts:				
Columbia Metro Airport, West Columbia.....	10	10	10	9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TENNESSEE				
Special districts:				
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority.....	67	67	67	55
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority.....	49	49	49	53
TEXAS				
Special districts:				
Bexar County Hospital District, San Antonio.....	25	25	25	22
Brownsville Navigation District.....	12	8	10	6
Dallas-Fort Worth Airport Authority.....	203	203	203	227
Housing Authority-City of Houston.....	38	-	24	17
Nueces County Navigation District, Corpus Christi.....	1	1	1	1
Port of Houston Authority.....	110	99	101	146
Independent school districts:				
Dallas County Community College District.....	32	32	32	29
El Paso Independent School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Houston Independent School District.....	73	70	72	53
Irving Independent School District.....	10	10	10	5
San Antonio Independent School District.....	50	49	49	39
San Antonio-St. Phillips College District.....	51	38	38	38
San Jacinto College, Pasadena.....	20	12	14	9
Tarrant County Junior College, Fort Worth.....	37	37	37	27
Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso.....	60	60	60	30
UTAH				
Independent school districts:				
Granite School District, Salt Lake City.....	17	14	16	11
VIRGINIA				
Special districts:				
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District, Cape Charles.....	66	66	66	51
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission, Norfolk.....	49	49	49	44
WASHINGTON				
Special districts:				
Port of Seattle District.....	98	98	98	134
Port of Tacoma District.....	6	4	5	6
Independent school districts:				
Seattle School District.....	34	34	34	38

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Data not available.

¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.

²Unit of government does not directly employ police personnel. Police services are provided by contract with another government or with a private security firm.

³No longer has power of arrest.

CONTINUED

4 OF 5

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1976

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Total.....	121,304	120,762	118,415	2,347	542
Alabama:					
Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University, Normal.....	148	148	148	-	-
Alabama Institute for the Deaf and Blind, Talladega.....	7	7	7	-	-
Alabama State University, Montgomery.....	173	173	173	-	-
Alexander City State Junior College, Alexander.....	10	10	10	-	-
Auburn University, Auburn.....	375	365	365	-	10
Bessemer State Technical College, Bessemer.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Enterprise State Junior College, Enterprise.....	25	25	25	-	-
Faulkner State Junior College, Day Minette.....	9	9	9	-	-
Gadsden State Junior College, Gadsden.....	38	38	38	-	-
George E. Wallace State Junior College, Dothan.....	29	29	28	1	-
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville.....	82	82	81	1	-
Jefferson State Junior College, Birmingham.....	155	155	144	11	-
John C. Calhoun State Community College, Decatur.....	23	23	23	-	-
Livingston University, Livingston.....	91	91	91	-	-
Northeast Alabama State Junior College, Rainsville.....	11	11	11	-	-
Northwest Alabama Junior College, Phil Campbell.....	21	21	21	-	-
Troy State University, Troy.....	68	68	68	-	-
University of Alabama, Birmingham.....	557	557	553	4	-
University of Alabama, University.....	412	412	412	-	-
University of Montevallo, Montevallo.....	69	69	69	-	-
University of North Alabama, Florence.....	64	64	64	-	-
University of South Alabama, Mobile.....	145	145	144	1	-
Alaska:					
University of Alaska, Fairbanks.....	330	330	326	4	-
Arizona:					
Arizona State University, Tempe.....	635	635	608	27	-
Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.....	259	259	259	-	-
University of Arizona, Tucson.....	957	957	925	32	-
Arkansas:					
Arkansas Tech University, Russellville.....	34	34	34	-	-
Arkansas State University, Beebe.....	8	8	7	1	-
Arkansas State University, State University.....	110	110	110	-	-
Henderson State University, Arkadelphia.....	56	56	50	6	-
Southern State College, Magnolia.....	18	18	18	-	-
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.....	309	309	309	-	-
University of Arkansas, Little Rock.....	111	111	111	-	-
University of Arkansas, Monticello.....	56	56	52	4	-
University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff.....	90	90	90	-	-
University of Central Arkansas, Conway.....	122	122	122	-	-
California:					
California State College, Bakersfield.....	142	142	142	-	-
California State College, Dominguez Hills.....	281	281	281	-	-
California State College, San Bernardino.....	188	188	188	-	-
California State College, Sonoma.....	148	148	148	-	-
California State College, Stanislaus.....	116	116	116	-	-
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.....	299	299	294	5	-
California Polytechnic University, Pomona.....	375	375	375	-	-
California State University, Chico.....	216	216	216	-	-
California State University, Fresno.....	393	393	389	4	-
California State University, Fullerton.....	273	273	273	-	-
California State University, Hayward.....	255	255	255	-	-
California State University, Long Beach.....	507	507	507	-	-
California State University, Los Angeles.....	706	706	706	-	-
California State University, Northridge.....	363	363	355	8	-
California State University, Sacramento.....	427	427	382	45	-
California State University, Arcata.....	250	250	247	3	-
San Diego State University, San Diego.....	477	477	477	-	-
San Francisco State University, San Francisco.....	344	344	344	-	-
San Jose State University, San Jose.....	294	294	294	-	-
University of California, Berkeley (9 campuses).....	5,829	5,829	5,829	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Colorado:					
Adams State College, Alamosa.....	85	85	82	3	-
Colorado School of Mines, Golden.....	75	75	75	-	-
Colorado State University, Fort Collins.....	366	366	364	2	-
El Paso Community College, Colorado Springs.....	37	37	37	-	-
Otero Junior College, La Junta.....	5	-	-	-	5
University of Colorado, Boulder.....	681	681	676	5	-
University of Southern Colorado, Pueblo.....	142	142	142	-	-
Connecticut:					
Central Connecticut State College, New Britain.....	199	199	199	-	-
Eastern Connecticut State College, Willimantic.....	78	78	78	-	-
Manchester Community College, Manchester.....	68	68	68	-	-
Mattatuck Community College, Waterbury.....	5	-	-	-	5
Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven.....	238	238	238	-	-
University of Connecticut, Storrs.....	566	566	566	-	-
Western Connecticut State College, Danbury.....	108	108	108	-	-
Delaware:					
University of Delaware, Newark.....	891	891	870	21	-
Florida:					
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Tallahassee...	235	235	235	-	-
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton.....	253	253	253	-	-
Florida International University, Miami.....	420	420	370	50	-
Florida State University, Tallahassee.....	616	616	616	-	-
Florida Technological University, Orlando.....	253	253	250	3	-
University of Florida, Gainesville.....	887	887	879	8	-
University of North Florida, Jacksonville.....	198	198	194	4	-
University of South Florida, Tampa.....	524	524	513	11	-
University of West Florida, Pensacola.....	184	184	179	5	-
Georgia:					
Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College, Tifton.....	43	43	41	2	-
Albany Junior College, Albany.....	28	28	28	-	-
Albany State College, Albany.....	90	90	90	-	-
Augusta College, Augusta.....	79	79	74	5	-
Columbus College, Columbus.....	73	73	73	-	-
Fort Valley State College, Fort Valley.....	127	127	126	1	-
Georgia College, Milledgeville.....	58	58	58	-	-
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.....	606	606	591	15	-
Georgia Southern College, Statesboro.....	120	120	120	-	-
Georgia Southwestern College, Americus.....	105	105	105	-	-
Georgia State University, Atlanta.....	712	712	700	12	-
Medical College of Georgia, Augusta.....	422	422	408	14	-
Middle Georgia College, Cochran.....	51	51	51	-	-
North Georgia College, Dahlonega.....	46	46	46	-	-
Savannah State College, Savannah.....	92	92	92	-	-
South Georgia College, Douglas.....	46	46	46	-	-
University of Georgia, Athens.....	488	488	486	2	-
Valdosta State College, Valdosta.....	138	138	138	-	-
West Georgia College, Carrollton.....	135	135	127	8	-
Idaho:					
Boise State University, Boise.....	41	-	-	-	41
Idaho State University, Pocatello.....	66	66	62	4	-
Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston.....	14	14	14	-	-
University of Idaho, Moscow.....	107	64	64	-	43
Illinois:					
Chicago State University, Chicago.....	343	343	343	-	-
Eastern Illinois University, Charleston.....	194	194	190	4	-
Governors State University, Park Forest South.....	285	285	284	1	-
Illinois State University, Normal.....	454	454	451	3	-
Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago.....	167	167	164	3	-
Northern Illinois University, De Kalb.....	525	525	525	-	-
Sanjamon State University, Springfield.....	165	165	165	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Illinois--Continued					
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.....	919	919	915	4	-
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville.....	623	623	536	87	-
University of Illinois, Chicago Circle Campus.....	812	812	812	-	-
University of Illinois Medical Center, Chicago.....	659	659	659	-	-
University of Illinois, Urbana.....	1,017	1,017	1,017	-	-
Western Illinois University, Macomb.....	327	327	327	-	-
Indiana:					
Ball State University, Muncie.....	605	605	585	20	-
Indiana State University, Terre Haute.....	321	321	316	5	-
Indiana University, Bloomington.....	949	949	928	21	-
Indiana University Regional Campuses.....	200	200	200	-	-
Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis.....	801	801	790	11	-
Purdue University, Lafayette.....	629	629	602	27	-
Iowa:					
Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames.....	400	400	400	-	-
University of Iowa, Iowa City.....	639	639	639	-	-
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls.....	197	197	197	-	-
Kansas:					
Emporia Kansas State College, Emporia.....	181	181	181	-	-
Fort Hays Kansas State College, Hays.....	95	95	95	-	-
Kansas State College, Pittsburg.....	132	132	123	9	-
Kansas State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, Manhattan.....	553	553	408	145	-
University of Kansas, Lawrence.....	729	729	601	128	-
University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City.....	281	281	278	3	-
Wichita State University, Wichita.....	272	272	265	7	-
Kentucky:					
Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond.....	369	369	350	19	-
Kentucky State University, Frankfort.....	75	75	71	4	-
Morehead State University, Morehead.....	121	113	107	6	8
Murray State University, Murray.....	168	168	164	4	-
University of Kentucky, Lexington.....	486	486	471	15	-
Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green.....	353	353	353	-	-
Louisiana:					
Delgado Junior College, New Orleans.....	115	115	107	8	-
Grambling State University, Grambling.....	130	130	130	-	-
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.....	336	336	329	7	-
Louisiana State University Medical Center, New Orleans.....	230	230	230	-	-
Louisiana Tech University, Ruston.....	151	151	149	2	-
McNeese State University, Lake Charles.....	94	94	94	-	-
Nicholls State University, Thibodaux.....	132	132	132	-	-
Northeast Louisiana University, Monroe.....	158	158	152	6	-
Northwestern State University of Louisiana, Natchitoches.....	151	151	151	-	-
Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond.....	205	205	204	1	-
Southern University Agriculture and Mechanical College, Baton Rouge.....	340	340	339	1	-
Southern University, New Orleans.....	78	78	78	-	-
Southern University, Shreveport.....	42	42	42	-	-
University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette.....	239	239	232	7	-
Maine:					
Northern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, Presque Isle.....	2	2	2	-	-
Southern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, South Portland.....	28	28	28	-	-
University of Maine, Bangor.....	511	511	511	-	-
University of Maine, Farmington.....	55	55	55	-	-
University of Maine, Orono.....	274	274	264	10	-
University of Maine, Portland-Gorham.....	108	108	108	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Maryland:					
Bowie State College, Bowie.....	210	210	210	-	-
Coppin State College, Baltimore.....	172	172	172	-	-
Frostburg State College, Frostburg.....	168	168	168	-	-
Morgan State University, Baltimore.....	372	372	372	-	-
Saint Mary's College of Maryland.....	105	105	97	8	-
Salisbury State College, Salisbury.....	144	144	144	-	-
Towson State University, Baltimore.....	328	328	325	3	-
University of Maryland, College Park.....	1,244	1,244	1,225	19	-
Massachusetts:					
Boston State College, Boston.....	209	209	209	-	-
Bridgewater State College, Bridgewater.....	100	100	100	-	-
Bristol Community College, Fall River.....	65	65	65	-	-
Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg.....	65	65	65	-	-
Framingham State College, Framingham.....	117	117	113	4	-
Greenfield Community College, Greenfield.....	75	75	75	-	-
Holyoke Community College, Holyoke.....	125	125	125	-	-
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay.....	28	28	28	-	-
Massasoit Community College, Brockton.....	50	50	50	-	-
Mount Washuset Community College, Gardner.....	59	59	59	-	-
North Adams State College, North Adams.....	90	90	90	-	-
Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester.....	62	62	62	-	-
Salem State College, Salem.....	180	180	180	-	-
Southeastern Massachusetts University, North Dartmouth.....	170	170	170	-	-
Springfield Technical Community College, Springfield.....	142	142	142	-	-
University of Lowell, Lowell.....	281	281	281	-	-
University of Massachusetts, Amherst.....	818	818	799	19	-
Westfield State College, Westfield.....	113	113	113	-	-
Worcester State College, Worcester.....	59	59	58	1	-
Michigan:					
Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant.....	373	373	364	9	-
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti.....	811	811	558	253	-
Ferris State College, Big Rapids.....	244	244	239	5	-
Grand Valley State College, Allendale.....	139	139	139	-	-
Michigan State University, East Lansing.....	951	951	951	-	-
Michigan Technological University, Houghton.....	129	129	129	-	-
Northern Michigan University, Marquette.....	177	177	177	-	-
Oakland University, Rochester.....	375	375	375	-	-
Saginaw Valley State College, University Center.....	46	46	40	6	-
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.....	1,690	1,500	1,500	-	190
Wayne State University, Detroit.....	1,005	1,005	967	38	-
Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.....	685	676	670	6	9
Minnesota:					
Mankato State College, Mankato.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.....	1,230	1,230	1,230	-	-
Willmar Community College, Willmar.....	4	-	-	-	4
Mississippi:					
Alcorn State University, Lorman.....	71	71	71	-	-
Delta State University, Cleveland.....	76	76	75	1	-
Jackson State University, Jackson.....	171	171	171	-	-
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State.....	200	200	194	6	-
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus.....	42	42	42	-	-
Mississippi Valley State University, Itta Bena.....	113	113	112	1	-
University of Mississippi, University.....	262	262	258	4	-
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson.....	376	376	365	11	-
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg.....	285	285	268	17	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year 1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Missouri:					
Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg.....	161	161	161	-	-
Missouri Southern State College, Joplin.....	35	35	35	-	-
Missouri Western State College, St. Joseph.....	62	62	62	-	-
Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville.....	156	156	156	-	-
Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville.....	65	65	65	-	-
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau.....	72	72	68	4	-
Southwest Missouri State University, Springfield.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Missouri, Columbia.....	571	571	571	-	-
University of Missouri, Kansas City.....	407	407	380	27	-
University of Missouri, Rolla.....	241	241	241	-	-
University of Missouri, St. Louis.....	358	358	358	-	-
Montana:					
Eastern Montana University, Billings.....	112	112	112	-	-
Montana State University, Bozeman.....	108	108	108	-	-
University of Montana, Missoula.....	121	121	120	1	-
Nebraska:					
Kearney State College, Kearney.....	69	69	69	-	-
Peru State College, Peru.....	20	20	15	5	-
University of Nebraska, Lincoln.....	578	578	578	-	-
Wayne State College, Wayne.....	37	37	37	-	-
Nevada:					
University of Nevada, Las Vegas.....	105	105	105	-	-
University of Nevada, Reno.....	229	229	219	10	-
New Hampshire:					
New Hampshire Technical Institute, Concord.....	11	11	11	-	-
University of New Hampshire, Durham.....	505	505	491	14	-
New Jersey:					
College of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark.....	999	999	999	-	-
Glassboro State College, Glassboro.....	336	336	250	86	-
Kean College of New Jersey, Union.....	251	251	251	-	-
Montclair State College, Upper Montclair.....	371	371	371	-	-
Ramapo College of New Jersey, Mahwah.....	183	183	183	-	-
Richard Stockton State College, Pomona.....	164	164	159	5	-
Rutgers the State University, New Brunswick.....	1,946	1,946	1,946	-	-
Trenton State College, Trenton.....	301	301	301	-	-
William Paterson College of New Jersey, Wayne.....	445	445	445	-	-
New Mexico:					
Eastern New Mexico University, Portales.....	66	66	66	-	-
New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas.....	90	90	79	11	-
New Mexico Military Institute, Roswell.....	30	30	30	-	-
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.....	417	406	386	20	11
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.....	661	661	661	-	-
Western New Mexico University, Silver City.....	33	33	33	-	-
New York:					
State University of New York, Albany.....	660	660	660	-	-
State University of New York, Binghamton.....	382	382	382	-	-
State University of New York, Buffalo.....	1,163	1,163	1,163	-	-
State University of New York, Stony Brook.....	989	989	908	81	-
State University of New York, Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn.....	622	622	622	-	-
State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse.....	132	132	132	-	-
State University of New York College, Brockport.....	311	311	311	-	-
State University of New York College, Buffalo.....	381	381	380	1	-
State University of New York College, Cortland.....	230	230	230	-	-
State University of New York College, Fredonia.....	214	214	214	-	-
State University of New York College, Geneseo.....	216	216	216	-	-
State University of New York College, New Paltz.....	390	390	390	-	-
State University of New York College, Old Westbury.....	405	405	383	22	-
State University of New York College, Oneonta.....	307	307	305	2	-
State University of New York College, Oswego.....	276	276	276	-	-
State University of New York College, Plattsburgh.....	264	264	264	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
New York--Continued					
State University of New York College, Potsdam.....	177	177	177	-	-
State University of New York College, Purchase.....	264	264	264	-	-
State University of New York College, Utica-Rome.....	31	31	31	-	-
State University of New York Maritime College, Bronx.....	125	125	125	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Alfred.....	235	235	235	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Canton.....	165	165	164	1	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Cobleskill.....	128	128	128	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Delhi.....	110	110	110	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Farmingdale.....	289	289	289	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Morrisville.....	116	116	116	-	-
North Carolina:					
Appalachian State University, Boone.....	216	216	111	105	-
East Carolina University, Greenville.....	229	229	222	7	-
Elizabeth City State University, Elizabeth City.....	80	80	80	-	-
Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville.....	114	114	114	-	-
North Carolina Agriculture and Technical State University, Greensboro.....	216	216	216	-	-
North Carolina Central University, Durham.....	200	200	189	11	-
North Carolina State University, Raleigh.....	363	363	363	-	-
Pembroke State University, Pembroke.....	61	61	61	-	-
University of North Carolina, Asheville.....	73	73	73	-	-
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.....	425	425	425	-	-
University of North Carolina, Charlotte.....	195	195	195	-	-
University of North Carolina, Greensboro.....	198	198	196	2	-
University of North Carolina, Wilmington.....	120	120	86	34	-
Western Carolina University, Cullowhee.....	171	171	171	-	-
Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem.....	125	125	125	-	-
North Dakota:					
North Dakota State School of Science, Wahpeton.....	33	33	33	-	-
North Dakota State University, Fargo.....	136	127	127	-	9
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.....	109	108	102	6	1
Ohio:					
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green.....	336	336	329	7	-
Central State University, Wilberforce.....	147	147	147	-	-
Cleveland State University, Cleveland.....	750	750	746	4	-
Kent State University, Kent.....	565	565	565	-	-
Medical College of Ohio, Toledo.....	111	111	111	-	-
Miami University, Oxford.....	490	490	490	-	-
Ohio State University, Columbus.....	1,234	1,234	1,193	41	-
Ohio University, Athens.....	408	408	400	8	-
University of Akron, Akron.....	329	329	326	3	-
University of Toledo.....	405	405	405	-	-
Wright State University, Dayton.....	195	195	195	-	-
Youngstown State University, Youngstown.....	294	294	294	-	-
Oklahoma:					
Cameron University, Lawton.....	47	47	47	-	-
Central State University, Edmond.....	79	79	74	5	-
Connors State Agriculture College, Warner.....	11	11	11	-	-
Langston University, Langston.....	34	34	34	-	-
Murray State College, Tishomingo.....	12	12	12	-	-
Northeastern Oklahoma State University, Tahlequah.....	141	141	130	11	-
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Alva.....	23	23	23	-	-
Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Goodwell.....	8	8	8	-	-
Oklahoma State University, Stillwater.....	340	340	340	-	-
Southeastern Oklahoma State University, Durant.....	49	49	49	-	-
Tulsa Junior College, Tulsa.....	6	6	6	-	-
University of Oklahoma, Norman.....	502	502	502	-	-
University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, Oklahoma City...	268	268	268	-	-
University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma, Chickasha.....	38	38	38	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Oregon:					
Oregon State University, Corvallis.....	188	188	188	-	-
University of Oregon, Eugene.....	609	466	457	9	143
Pennsylvania:					
Bloomsburg State College, Bloomsburg.....	202	202	201	1	-
California State College, California.....	177	177	177	-	-
Cheyney State College, Cheyney.....	164	164	164	-	-
Clarion State College, Clarion.....	222	222	222	-	-
East Stroudsburg State College, East Stroudsburg.....	168	168	161	7	-
Edinboro State College, Edinboro.....	229	229	229	-	-
Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana.....	265	265	182	83	-
Kutztown State College, Kutztown.....	205	205	205	-	-
Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven.....	110	110	110	-	-
Mansfield State College, Mansfield.....	153	153	148	5	-
Millersville State College, Millersville.....	218	218	218	-	-
Pennsylvania State University, University Park.....	1,371	1,371	1,337	34	-
Shippensburg State College, Shippensburg.....	202	202	202	-	-
Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock.....	250	250	250	-	-
West Chester State College, West Chester.....	338	338	338	-	-
South Carolina:					
Citadel Military College of South Carolina, Charleston.....	123	123	122	1	-
Clemson University, Clemson.....	303	303	303	-	-
Frances Marion College, Florence.....	58	58	57	1	-
Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston.....	472	472	472	-	-
South Carolina State College, Orangeburg.....	129	129	129	-	-
University of South Carolina, Columbia.....	859	859	816	43	-
Winthrop College, Rock Hill.....	250	250	250	-	-
South Dakota:					
South Dakota State University, Brookings.....	104	104	90	14	-
University of South Dakota, Springfield.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Tennessee:					
Austin Peay State University, Clarksville.....	89	89	89	-	-
Cleveland State Community College, Cleveland.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
East Tennessee State University, Johnson City.....	129	129	128	1	-
Memphis State University, Memphis.....	441	441	422	19	-
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro.....	252	252	248	4	-
Motlow State Community College, Tullahoma.....	28	28	28	-	-
Tennessee State University, Nashville.....	188	188	188	-	-
Tennessee Technical University, Cookeville.....	96	96	95	1	-
University of Tennessee, Knoxville.....	1,524	1,524	1,524	-	-
Walter State Community College, Morristown.....	29	29	29	-	-
Texas:					
Angelo State University, San Angelo.....	75	75	73	2	-
East Texas State University, Commerce.....	188	188	188	-	-
Lamar University, Beaumont.....	214	214	200	14	-
Midwestern State University, Wichita.....	111	111	111	-	-
North Texas State University, Denton.....	331	331	321	10	-
Pan American University, Edinburg.....	86	86	86	-	-
Prairie View Agricultural and Mechanical University, Prairie View.....	147	147	143	4	-
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville.....	159	159	159	-	-
Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos.....	180	180	179	1	-
Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches.....	161	161	161	-	-
Sul Ross State University, Alpine.....	60	60	60	-	-
Tarleton State University, Stephenville.....	50	50	50	-	-
Texas Agricultural and Industrial University, Kingsville.....	228	226	222	4	2
Texas Agricultural and Mechanical University, College Station.....	560	560	559	1	-
Texas Southern University, Houston.....	276	276	265	11	-
Texas State Technical Institute--James Connolly Campus, Waco..	142	142	134	8	-
Texas Technical University, Lubbock.....	497	497	485	12	-
Texas Womens University, Denton.....	202	202	198	4	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Texas--Continued					
University of Houston, Houston.....	962	962	936	26	-
University of Texas, Arlington.....	411	411	399	12	-
University of Texas, Austin.....	1,535	1,535	1,512	23	-
University of Texas, Dallas.....	184	184	183	1	-
University of Texas, El Paso.....	337	337	337	-	-
University of Texas Health Science Center, Dallas.....	441	441	431	10	-
University of Texas Cancer Center, Houston.....	428	428	416	12	-
University of Texas Dental School, San Antonio.....	259	259	252	7	-
University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.....	284	284	264	20	-
West Texas State University, Canyon.....	94	94	87	7	-
Utah:					
Dixie College, Saint George.....	13	13	13	-	-
Snow College, Ephraim.....	17	17	15	2	-
Southern Utah State College, Cedar City.....	24	24	24	-	-
University of Utah, Salt Lake City.....	680	680	680	-	-
Utah State University, Logan.....	31	-	-	-	31
Weber State College, Ogden.....	103	103	99	4	-
Vermont:					
Castleton State College, Castleton.....	47	47	47	-	-
Lyndon State College, Lyndonville.....	46	46	46	-	-
University of Vermont, Burlington.....	386	386	386	-	-
Virginia:					
Central Virginia Community College, Lynchburg.....	19	19	19	-	-
Clinch Valley College, Wise.....	29	29	29	-	-
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg.....	226	226	226	-	-
Dabney S. Lancaster Community College, Clifton Forge.....	16	16	16	-	-
Danville Community College, Danville.....	27	27	27	-	-
George Mason University, Fairfax.....	178	178	178	-	-
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond.....	81	81	81	-	-
Madison College, Harrisonburg.....	192	192	192	-	-
Mary Washington College, Fredericksburg.....	113	113	112	1	-
Norfolk State College, Norfolk.....	213	213	213	-	-
Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale.....	374	374	371	3	-
Old Dominion University, Norfolk.....	279	279	279	-	-
Paul D. Camp Community College, Franklin.....	24	24	24	-	-
Radford College, Radford.....	168	168	168	-	-
Southside Virginia Community College, Albemarle.....	55	55	55	-	-
Southwest Virginia Community College, Richlands.....	11	11	11	-	-
Thomas Nelson Community College, Richmond.....	46	46	46	-	-
University of Virginia, Charlottesville.....	663	663	663	-	-
Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond.....	854	854	854	-	-
Virginia Highlands Community College, Richmond.....	8	8	8	-	-
Virginia Military Institute, Lexington.....	74	74	74	-	-
Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg.....	402	402	402	-	-
Virginia State College, Petersburg.....	120	120	120	-	-
Virginia Western Community College, Roanoke.....	86	86	86	-	-
Wytheville Community College, Wytheville.....	18	18	18	-	-
Washington:					
Big Bend Community College, Moses Lake.....	20	20	20	-	-
Central Washington State College, Ellensburg.....	131	131	131	-	-
Eastern Washington State College, Cheney.....	173	173	173	-	-
Fort Steilacoom Community College, Tacoma.....	30	30	30	-	-
Green River Community College, Auburn.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Seattle Community College, Seattle.....	60	60	53	7	-
Tacoma Community College, Tacoma.....	76	76	76	-	-
The Evergreen State College, Olympia.....	88	88	88	-	-
University of Washington, Seattle.....	1,329	1,329	1,326	3	-
Washington State University, Pullman.....	274	274	274	-	-
Western Washington State College, Bellingham.....	274	274	269	5	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1976—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
West Virginia:					
Bluefield State College, Bluefield.....	26	26	26	-	-
Concord College, Athens.....	43	43	43	-	-
Fairmont State College, Fairmont.....	52	52	51	1	-
Glenville State College, Glenville.....	55	55	55	-	-
Marshall University, Huntington.....	186	186	179	7	-
Potomac State College, Keyser.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Shepherd College, Shepherdstown.....	29	29	29	-	-
West Liberty State College, West Liberty.....	37	37	37	-	-
West Virginia Institute of Technology, Montgomery.....	52	52	52	-	-
West Virginia State College, Institute.....	44	44	44	-	-
West Virginia University, Morgantown.....	269	269	269	-	-
Wisconsin:					
University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.....	168	168	163	5	-
University of Wisconsin, Green Bay.....	130	130	130	-	-
University of Wisconsin, La Crosse.....	94	94	94	-	-
University of Wisconsin, Madison.....	1,084	1,084	1,059	25	-
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee.....	444	444	431	13	-
University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh.....	158	158	158	-	-
University of Wisconsin-Parkside, Kenosha.....	145	145	143	2	-
University of Wisconsin, Platteville.....	79	79	76	3	-
University of Wisconsin, River Falls.....	73	73	73	-	-
University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point.....	257	257	257	-	-
University of Wisconsin, Stout.....	102	72	68	4	30
University of Wisconsin, Superior.....	81	81	80	1	-
University of Wisconsin, Whitewater.....	133	133	130	3	-
Wyoming:					
University of Wyoming, Laramie.....	232	232	231	1	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.

²No longer has power of arrest.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1976

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	12,543	9,582	10,125	9,502
Alabama:				
Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University, Normal.....	18	18	18	12
Alabama Institute for the Deaf and Blind, Talladega.....	1	1	1	1
Alabama State University, Montgomery.....	26	13	17	13
Alexander City State Junior College, Alexander.....	1	1	1	1
Auburn University, Auburn.....	23	23	23	29
Bessemer State Technical College, Bessemer.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Enterprise State Junior College, Enterprise.....	3	3	3	2
Faulkner State Junior College, Bay Minette.....	2	2	2	2
Gadsden State Junior College, Gadsden.....	6	5	6	3
George C. Wallace State Community College, Dothan.....	6	5	5	2
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville.....	12	9	11	6
Jefferson State Junior College, Birmingham.....	19	15	16	13
John C. Calhoun State Community College, Decatur.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Livingston University, Livingston.....	8	5	6	4
Northeast Alabama State Junior College, Rainsville.....	1	1	1	1
Northwest Alabama State Junior College, Phil Campbell.....	4	2	3	2
Troy State University, Troy.....	7	7	7	6
The University of Alabama, University.....	55	35	41	35
University of Alabama, Birmingham.....	57	57	57	50
University of Montevallo, Montevallo.....	6	5	5	4
University of North Alabama, Florence.....	14	8	9	7
University of South Alabama, Mobile.....	17	11	13	8
Alaska:				
University of Alaska, Fairbanks.....	35	9	15	24
Arizona:				
Arizona State University, Tempe.....	64	47	49	51
Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.....	35	27	29	23
University of Arizona, Tucson.....	95	87	88	75
Arkansas:				
Arkansas Tech University, Russellville.....	5	5	5	3
Arkansas State University, Beebe.....	1	1	1	1
Arkansas State University, State University.....	16	15	16	10
Henderson State University, Arkadelphia.....	7	6	6	4
Southern State College, Magnolia.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.....	37	27	29	23
University of Arkansas, Little Rock.....	18	16	17	10
University of Arkansas, Monticello.....	7	7	7	4
University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff.....	19	14	15	7
University of Central Arkansas, Conway.....	15	15	15	8
California:				
California State College, Bakersfield.....	15	14	14	18
California State College, Dominguez Hills.....	16	15	15	20
California State College, San Bernardino.....	18	14	15	17
California State College, Sonoma.....	11	11	11	13
California State College, Stanislaus.....	8	7	7	10
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.....	31	15	17	23
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.....	25	25	25	27
California State University, Chico.....	16	11	12	14
California State University, Fresno.....	56	19	22	27
California State University, Fullerton.....	17	14	14	19
California State University, Hayward.....	16	12	13	17
California State University, Long Beach.....	34	34	34	36
California State University, Los Angeles.....	58	43	47	44
California State University, Northridge.....	40	33	38	44
California State University, Sacramento.....	32	27	28	29
Humboldt State University, Arcata.....	17	17	17	20
San Diego State University, San Diego.....	59	29	32	41
San Francisco State University, San Francisco.....	28	21	22	28
San Jose State University, San Jose.....	24	17	19	24
University of California, Berkeley (9 campuses).....	362	362	362	491
Colorado:				
Adams State College, Alamosa.....	9	6	7	6
Colorado School of Mines, Golden.....	5	5	5	5
Colorado State University, Fort Collins.....	37	23	25	26
El Paso Community College, Colorado Springs.....	10	3	5	5
Otero Junior College, La Junta.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Colorado, Boulder.....	48	41	44	45
University of Southern Colorado, Pueblo.....	10	10	10	11

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Connecticut:				
Central Connecticut State College, New Britain.....	19	19	19	16
Eastern Connecticut State College, Willimantic.....	6	6	6	5
Manchester Community College, Manchester.....	5	5	5	4
Mattatuck Community College, Waterbury.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven.....	20	20	20	17
University of Connecticut, Storrs.....	43	43	43	38
Western Connecticut State College, Danbury.....	21	8	10	9
Delaware:				
University of Delaware, Newark.....	93	65	69	62
Florida:				
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Tallahassee.....	19	19	19	19
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton.....	18	17	17	19
Florida International University, Miami.....	30	30	30	35
Florida State University, Tallahassee.....	48	48	48	52
Florida Technological University, Orlando.....	22	20	20	21
University of Florida, Gainesville.....	62	62	62	63
University of North Florida, Jacksonville.....	19	17	17	20
University of South Florida, Tampa.....	40	37	38	37
University of West Florida, Pensacola.....	17	15	15	17
Georgia:				
Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College, Tifton.....	6	6	6	3
Albany Junior College, Albany.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Albany State College, Albany.....	13	13	13	8
Augusta College, Augusta.....	14	9	10	6
Columbus College, Columbus.....	11	11	11	5
Fort Valley State College, Fort Valley.....	18	18	18	10
Georgia College, Milledgeville.....	9	9	9	5
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.....	100	44	50	47
Georgia Southern College, Statesboro.....	17	17	17	9
Georgia Southwestern College, Americus.....	12	12	12	7
Georgia State University, Atlanta.....	68	68	68	50
Medical College of Georgia, Augusta.....	39	39	39	23
Middle Georgia College, Cochran.....	9	9	9	4
North Georgia College, Dahlonega.....	6	6	6	5
Savannah State College, Savannah.....	11	11	11	8
South Georgia College, Douglas.....	7	6	6	4
University of Georgia, Athens.....	53	53	53	39
Valdosta State College, Valdosta.....	13	13	13	7
West Georgia College, Carrollton.....	20	19	20	11
Idaho:				
Boise State University, Boise.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Idaho State University, Pocatello.....	7	5	5	5
Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston.....	6	-	1	1
University of Idaho, Moscow.....	13	5	7	7
Illinois:				
Chicago State University, Chicago.....	25	25	25	25
Eastern Illinois University, Charleston.....	17	17	17	16
Governors State University, Park Forest South.....	26	22	23	24
Illinois State University, Normal.....	29	29	29	30
Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago.....	26	8	14	20
Northern Illinois University, De Kalb.....	50	37	39	43
Sangamon State University, Springfield.....	8	8	8	8
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.....	80	58	63	69
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville.....	27	27	27	39
University of Illinois, Chicago Circle Campus.....	40	40	40	57
University of Illinois Medical Center, Chicago.....	44	44	44	62
University of Illinois, Urbana.....	68	65	65	80
Western Illinois University, Macomb.....	23	23	23	27

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Indiana:				
Ball State University, Muncie.....	93	43	46	52
Indiana State University, Terre Haute.....	33	26	28	24
Indiana University, Bloomington.....	122	82	85	77
Indiana University, Regional Campuses.....	22	15	17	16
Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis.....	83	67	73	60
Purdue University, Lafayette.....	51	47	47	57
Iowa:				
Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames.....	100	35	41	46
University of Iowa, Iowa City.....	56	38	43	45
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls.....	22	21	21	19
Kansas:				
Emporia Kansas State College, Emporia.....	10	10	10	10
Fort Hays Kansas State College, Hays.....	11	10	10	9
Kansas State College, Pittsburg.....	12	12	12	10
Kansas State University, Manhattan.....	41	41	41	31
University of Kansas, Lawrence.....	112	46	57	54
University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City.....	21	21	21	17
Wichita State University, Wichita.....	36	22	24	23
Kentucky:				
Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond.....	82	61	66	38
Kentucky State University, Frankfort.....	11	10	11	8
Morehead State University, Morehead.....	13	13	13	11
Murray State University, Murray.....	47	9	20	15
University of Kentucky, Lexington.....	89	81	83	52
Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green.....	31	31	31	24
Louisiana:				
Delgado Junior College, New Orleans.....	13	12	12	9
Grambling State University, Grambling.....	16	16	16	13
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.....	30	30	30	26
Louisiana State University Medical Center, New Orleans.....	27	27	27	17
Louisiana Tech University, Ruston.....	16	16	16	11
McNeese State University, Lake Charles.....	8	8	8	7
Nichols State University, Thibodaux.....	12	12	12	9
Northeast Louisiana University, Monroe.....	50	15	16	13
Northwestern State University of Louisiana, Natchitoches.....	21	10	14	13
Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond.....	24	17	19	16
Southern University Agricultural and Mechanical College, Baton Rouge.....	36	36	36	38
Southern University, New Orleans.....	9	9	9	8
Southern University, Shreveport.....	6	6	6	5
University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette.....	29	19	21	19
Maine:				
Northern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, Presque Isle.....	1	-	-	-
Southern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, South Portland.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Maine, Bangor.....	69	65	67	47
University of Maine, Farmington.....	5	4	4	3
University of Maine, Orono.....	59	47	48	39
University of Maine, Portland-Gorham.....	13	11	12	9
Maryland:				
Bowie State College, Bowie.....	18	18	18	17
Coppin State College, Baltimore.....	20	20	20	29
Frostburg State College, Frostburg.....	15	15	15	15
Morgan State College, Baltimore.....	32	32	32	29
Saint Mary's College of Maryland, Saint Mary's.....	11	11	11	10
Salisbury State College, Salisbury.....	34	14	17	13
Towson State University, Baltimore.....	35	31	32	27
University of Maryland, College Park.....	80	80	80	91

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Massachusetts:				
Boston State College, Boston.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Bridgewater State College, Bridgewater.....	12	12	12	11
Bristol Community College, Fall River.....	7	4	6	5
Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg.....	6	6	6	6
Framingham State College, Framingham.....	17	14	15	13
Greenfield Community College, Greenfield.....	3	3	3	2
Holyoke Community College, Holyoke.....	15	13	14	12
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay.....	3	3	3	2
Massasoit Community College, Brockton.....	1	1	1	1
Mount Wachusett Community College, Gardner.....	7	7	7	6
North Adams State College, North Adams.....	13	7	9	8
Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester.....	7	7	7	6
Salem State College, Salem.....	18	15	15	14
Southeastern Massachusetts University, North Dartmouth.....	22	22	22	19
Springfield Technical Community College, Springfield.....	14	14	14	14
Westfield State College, Westfield.....	10	9	10	9
Worcester State College, Worcester.....	2	2	2	2
University of Lowell, Lowell.....	33	31	31	24
University of Massachusetts, Amherst.....	85	76	77	60
Michigan:				
Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant.....	43	28	30	35
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti.....	75	24	32	39
Ferris State College, Big Rapids.....	21	16	18	18
Grand Valley State College, Allendale.....	15	9	10	10
Michigan State University, East Lansing.....	200	47	53	72
Michigan Technological University, Houghton.....	9	9	9	8
Northern Michigan University, Marquette.....	30	13	17	11
Oakland University, Rochester.....	31	17	19	23
Saginaw Valley College, University Center.....	7	5	5	6
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.....	22	22	22	25
Wayne State University, Detroit.....	54	51	52	72
Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.....	64	48	51	64
Minnesota:				
Mankato State College, Mankato.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.....	69	69	69	93
Willmar Community College, Willmar.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Mississippi:				
Alcorn State University, Lorman.....	16	16	16	6
Delta State University, Cleveland.....	8	8	8	6
Jackson State University, Jackson.....	22	22	22	14
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State.....	25	21	22	14
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus.....	8	7	7	4
Mississippi Valley State University, Itta Bena.....	10	10	10	5
University of Mississippi, University.....	23	21	21	17
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson.....	41	41	41	27
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg.....	38	30	34	20
Missouri:				
Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg.....	22	16	19	12
Missouri Southern State College, Joplin.....	5	5	5	3
Missouri Western State College, St. Joseph.....	7	7	7	5
Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville.....	22	12	16	11
Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville.....	10	10	10	5
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau.....	8	6	6	5
Southwest Missouri State University, Springfield.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
University of Missouri, Columbia.....	64	50	51	44
University of Missouri, Kansas City.....	51	45	46	41
University of Missouri, Rolla.....	22	22	22	16
University of Missouri, St. Louis.....	28	27	28	22
Montana:				
Eastern Montana University, Billings.....	10	9	9	8
Montana State University, Bozeman.....	10	10	10	10
University of Montana, Missoula.....	11	11	11	9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Nebraska:				
Kearney State College, Kearney.....	14	8	9	6
Peru State College, Peru.....	2	2	2	1
University of Nebraska, Lincoln.....	57	48	54	45
Wayne State College, Wayne.....	4	4	4	3
Nevada:				
University of Nevada, Las Vegas.....	9	8	9	9
University of Nevada, Reno.....	25	11	15	22
New Hampshire:				
New Hampshire Technical Institute, Concord.....	1	1	1	1
University of New Hampshire, Durham.....	69	53	60	40
New Jersey:				
College of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark.....	102	98	101	73
Glassboro State College, Glassboro.....	37	27	30	25
Kean College of New Jersey, Union.....	56	25	31	29
Montclair State College, Upper Montclair.....	32	31	31	29
Ramapo College of New Jersey, Mahwah.....	21	16	18	15
Richard Stockton State College, Pomona.....	16	16	16	14
Rutgers the State University, New Brunswick.....	192	160	169	144
Trenton State College, Trenton.....	28	27	27	23
William Paterson College of New Jersey, Wayne.....	51	39	43	40
New Mexico:				
Eastern New Mexico University, Portales.....	22	6	8	5
New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas.....	14	13	13	8
New Mexico Military Institute, Roswell.....	4	3	3	3
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.....	64	24	30	27
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.....	83	48	54	44
Western New Mexico University, Silver City.....	3	3	3	2
New York:				
State University of New York, Albany.....	52	49	50	57
State University of New York, Binghamton.....	30	30	30	30
State University of New York, Buffalo.....	80	80	80	91
State University of New York, Stony Brook.....	69	52	53	69
State University of New York Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn.....	55	55	55	53
State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse.....	13	13	13	12
State University of New York College, Brockport.....	26	26	26	28
State University of New York College, Buffalo.....	29	29	29	27
State University of New York College, Cortland.....	19	19	19	19
State University of New York College, Fredonia.....	17	17	17	17
State University of New York College, Geneseo.....	19	19	19	19
State University of New York College, New Paltz.....	112	21	28	31
State University of New York College, Old Westbury.....	27	27	27	24
State University of New York College, Oneonta.....	23	23	23	24
State University of New York College, Oswego.....	20	20	20	22
State University of New York College, Plattsburgh.....	21	21	21	21
State University of New York College, Pottsdam.....	14	14	14	14
State University of New York College, Purchase.....	38	18	21	21
State University of New York College, Utica-Rome.....	3	2	2	2
State University of New York Maritime College, Bronx.....	33	10	12	13
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Alfred.....	18	18	18	18
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Canton.....	12	12	12	13
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Cobleskill.....	10	10	10	12
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Delhi.....	20	10	12	11
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Farmingdale.....	24	22	24	25
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Morrisville.....	18	10	11	11

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1976—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
North Carolina:				
Appalachian State University, Boone.....	21	10	11	9
East Carolina University, Greenville.....	23	23	23	18
Elizabeth City State University, Elizabeth City.....	8	8	8	6
Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville.....	10	10	10	10
North Carolina Agriculture and Technical State University, Greensboro.....	21	21	21	16
North Carolina Central University, Durham.....	19	19	19	16
North Carolina State University, Raleigh.....	32	32	32	35
Pembroke State University, Pembroke.....	7	7	7	6
University of North Carolina, Asheville.....	9	8	9	7
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.....	41	31	33	35
University of North Carolina, Charlotte.....	22	22	22	16
University of North Carolina, Greensboro.....	31	21	23	18
University of North Carolina, Wilmington.....	8	8	8	7
Western Carolina University, Cullowhee.....	12	12	12	10
Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem.....	12	12	12	10
North Dakota:				
North Dakota State School of Science, Wahpeton.....	4	3	3	3
North Dakota State University, Fargo.....	11	11	11	12
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.....	10	10	10	8
Ohio:				
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green.....	22	22	22	28
Central State University, Wilberforce.....	10	10	10	11
Cleveland State University, Cleveland.....	78	63	66	62
Kent State University, Kent.....	41	35	38	43
Medical College of Ohio, Toledo.....	12	10	10	9
Miami University, Oxford.....	53	43	44	44
Ohio State University, Columbus.....	95	69	72	84
Ohio University, Athens.....	30	28	29	29
University of Akron, Akron.....	26	26	26	27
University of Toledo, Toledo.....	36	28	30	30
Wright State University, Dayton.....	23	19	21	19
Youngstown State University, Youngstown.....	45	20	26	26
Oklahoma:				
Cameron University, Lawton.....	7	7	7	4
Central State University, Edmond.....	12	10	10	7
Connors State Agriculture College, Warner.....	2	1	1	1
Langston University, Langston.....	6	6	6	3
Murray State College, Tishomingo.....	3	2	3	1
Northeastern Oklahoma State University, Tahlequah.....	22	10	12	10
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Alva.....	3	3	3	2
Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Goodwell.....	2	-	1	-
Oklahoma State University, Stillwater.....	38	33	34	25
Southeastern Oklahoma State University, Durant.....	14	5	6	4
Tulsa Junior College, Tulsa.....	11	-	6	5
University of Oklahoma, Norman.....	56	34	39	42
University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, Oklahoma City.....	30	28	29	25
University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma, Chickasha.....	5	4	5	3
Oregon:				
Oregon State University, Corvallis.....	18	18	18	16
University of Oregon, Eugene.....	37	19	22	22
Pennsylvania:				
Bloomsburg State College, Bloomsburg.....	15	15	15	16
California State College, California.....	16	16	16	15
Cheyney State College, Cheyney.....	17	17	17	13
Clarion State College, Clarion.....	37	16	19	17
East Stroudsburg State College, East Stroudsburg.....	16	16	16	14
Edinboro State College, Edinboro.....	21	21	21	19
Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana.....	15	15	15	15
Kutztown State College, Kutztown.....	6	6	6	6
Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven.....	30	8	10	10
Mansfield State College, Mansfield.....	14	14	14	12
Millersville State College, Millersville.....	96	15	22	20
Pennsylvania State University, University Park.....	286	138	166	150
Shippensburg State College, Shippensburg.....	21	15	15	16
Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock.....	17	17	17	19
West Chester State College, West Chester.....	23	23	23	21

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
South Carolina:				
Citadel Military College of South Carolina, Charleston.....	11	11	11	10
Clemson University, Clemson.....	29	29	29	28
Frances Marion College, Florence.....	8	6	6	7
Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston.....	44	44	44	37
South Carolina State College, Orangeburg.....	13	13	13	11
University of South Carolina, Columbia.....	76	76	76	62
Winthrop College, Rock Hill.....	21	21	21	17
South Dakota:				
South Dakota State University, Brookings.....	27	10	16	9
University of South Dakota, Springfield.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Tennessee:				
Austin Peay State University, Clarksville.....	15	11	13	7
Cleveland State Community College, Cleveland.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
East Tennessee State University, Johnson City.....	19	14	15	20
Memphis State University, Memphis.....	55	55	55	55
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro.....	53	20	34	22
Motlow State Community College, Tullahoma.....	5	5	5	3
Tennessee State University, Nashville.....	22	22	22	14
Tennessee Technical University, Cookeville.....	15	15	15	8
University of Tennessee, Knoxville.....	126	91	96	96
Walter State Community College, Morristown.....	4	4	4	2
Texas:				
Angelo State University, San Angelo.....	14	11	11	8
East Texas State University, Commerce.....	23	23	23	17
Lamar University, Beaumont.....	25	24	24	14
Midwestern State University, Wichita.....	12	8	9	8
North Texas State University, Denton.....	33	22	30	21
Pan American University, Edinburg.....	11	11	11	7
Prairie View Agricultural and Mechanical University, Prairie View.....	28	17	20	13
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville.....	39	13	18	14
Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos.....	36	15	18	15
Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacodoches.....	29	19	24	16
Sul Ross State University, Alpine.....	7	6	6	5
Tarleton State University, Stephenville.....	7	6	7	4
Texas Agricultural and Industrial University, Kingsville.....	41	25	25	16
Texas Agricultural and Mechanical University, College Station.....	59	52	58	49
Texas Southern University, Houston.....	30	30	30	23
Texas State Technical Institute—James Connolly Campus, Waco.....	22	21	22	18
Texas Technical University, Lubbock.....	44	37	39	29
Texas Womens University, Denton.....	27	27	27	17
University of Houston, Houston.....	65	65	65	56
University of Texas, Arlington.....	40	33	36	30
University of Texas, Austin.....	162	142	145	126
University of Texas, Dallas.....	17	17	17	14
University of Texas, El Paso.....	46	32	34	27
University of Texas Cancer Center, Houston.....	46	46	46	41
University of Texas Dental School, San Antonio.....	27	27	27	24
University of Texas Health Science Center, Dallas.....	45	45	45	37
University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.....	27	27	27	24
West Texas State University, Canyon.....	15	9	10	7
Utah:				
Dixie College, Saint George.....	7	1	2	2
Snow College, Ephraim.....	5	2	3	2
Southern Utah State College, Cedar City.....	8	2	3	2
University of Utah, Salt Lake City.....	71	54	57	74
Utah State University, Logan.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Weber State College, Ogden.....	8	8	8	7
Vermont:				
Castleton State College, Castleton.....	17	2	6	5
Lyndon State College, Lyndonville.....	28	1	4	4
University of Vermont, Burlington.....	31	31	31	29

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1976—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Virginia:				
Central Virginia Community College, Lynchburg.....	2	2	2	1
Clinch Valley College, Wise.....	3	3	3	2
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg.....	19	19	19	21
Dabney S. Lancaster Community College, Clifton Forge.....	1	1	1	1
Danville Community College, Danville.....	7	1	5	2
George Mason University, Fairfax.....	18	17	17	14
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond.....	15	6	11	8
Madison College, Harrisonburg.....	38	19	22	16
Mary Washington College, Fredericksburg.....	17	12	13	9
Norfolk State College, Norfolk.....	29	29	29	20
Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale.....	35	28	31	22
Old Dominion University, Norfolk.....	39	34	35	27
Paul D. Camp Community College, Franklin.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Radford College, Radford.....	17	17	17	13
Southside Virginia Community College, Albemarle.....	6	6	6	4
Southwest Virginia Community College, Richlands.....	4	4	4	2
Thomas Nelson Community College, Richmond.....	8	7	7	4
University of Virginia, Charlottesville.....	54	54	54	56
Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond.....	117	65	82	68
Virginia Highlands Community College, Richmond.....	2	1	1	1
Virginia Military Institute, Lexington.....	6	6	6	5
Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg.....	161	36	40	33
Virginia State College, Petersburg.....	13	11	12	8
Virginia Western Community College, Roanoke.....	9	7	9	7
Wytheville Community College, Wytheville.....	2	2	2	2
Washington:				
Big Bend Community College, Moses Lake.....	3	1	2	2
Central Washington State College, Ellensburg.....	17	9	9	11
Eastern Washington State College, Cheney.....	34	9	12	12
Fort Steilacoom Community College, Tacoma.....	7	3	4	3
Green River Community College, Auburn.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Seattle Community College, Seattle.....	8	5	6	6
Tacoma Community College, Tacoma.....	4	4	4	5
The Evergreen State College, Olympia.....	7	7	7	6
University of Washington, Seattle.....	94	89	90	114
Washington State University, Pullman.....	40	20	21	25
Western Washington State College, Bellingham.....	37	13	18	20
West Virginia:				
Bluefield State College, Bluefield.....	3	3	3	1
Concord College, Athens.....	5	5	5	4
Fairmont State College, Fairmont.....	6	6	6	4
Glenville State College, Glenville.....	8	8	8	4
Marshall University, Huntington.....	19	18	18	14
Potomac State College, Keyser.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Shepherd College, Shepherdstown.....	4	4	4	3
West Liberty State College, West Liberty.....	5	5	5	4
West Virginia Institute of Technology, Montgomery.....	6	6	6	4
West Virginia State College, Institute.....	10	6	8	5
West Virginia University, Morgantown.....	31	31	31	20
Wisconsin:				
University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.....	31	12	14	13
University of Wisconsin, Green Bay.....	12	12	12	10
University of Wisconsin, La Crosse.....	10	10	10	8
University of Wisconsin, Madison.....	96	84	86	93
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee.....	32	28	29	56
University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh.....	17	14	15	13
University of Wisconsin-Parkside, Kenosha.....	17	11	12	11
University of Wisconsin, Platteville.....	6	6	6	6
University of Wisconsin, River Falls.....	14	6	7	6
University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point.....	15	15	15	13
University of Wisconsin, Stout.....	10	7	7	6
University of Wisconsin, Superior.....	8	8	8	8
University of Wisconsin, Whitewater.....	11	11	11	10
Wyoming:				
University of Wyoming, Laramie.....	21	20	20	17

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.²Unit of government does not directly employ police personnel. Police services are provided by contract with another government or with a private security firm.³No longer has power of arrest.

APPENDIX 2: DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

Following is a glossary of terms, concepts, and categories used in this report and comments concerning their limitations.

The definitions are those applied in the field compilation of data for the 50 States, 333 largest counties, and 395 largest cities. These definitions were necessarily summarized for inclusion in the survey questionnaires (see appendix 3) sent to governments in the mail portion of the survey.

Government expenditure

Expenditure is all amounts of money paid out (net of any correcting transactions) other than for retirement of debt (including interest), investment in securities, extensions of loans, or agency transactions. It includes only external cash payments and excludes any intragovernmental transfers and noncash transactions, such as the provision of meals or housing of employees. It also includes any payments financed from borrowing, fund balances, intergovernmental revenue, and other current revenue. In several instances, two or more governments share the expense of maintaining a court or other criminal justice agency. In these cases, the allocable direct expenditure amount is reported for each government in the appropriate category. When a government pays pensions directly to retired employees from appropriated funds, such payments are included as expenditure of the government concerned. However, State and local government contributions to retirement systems and various other employee benefits are not included in expenditure data, since the majority of governments make lump-sum contributions to plans covering all government employees and cannot report for criminal justice employees separately. Neither in government's basic accounting records (from which criminal justice expenditure figures are drawn) nor in the records of their general-coverage employee benefit systems is there usually any breakdown of amounts contributed in terms of the various agencies or functions involved. Nor has an adequate procedure for calculating the proportion of such contributions allocable to criminal justice employees been developed because of the wide variation in the coverage of various plans, employee status requirements, benefit rates, etc.

For those governments whose records reflect contributions of criminal justice employees separately, separate data were obtained. However, these data are subject to the same variation referred to above, and neither the amount nor the quality of the data reported would permit any attempt to estimate total contributions for all similar governments in a given State. Given the problems involved, no estimation procedure seems feasible. Such data as were reported separately were therefore excluded from total criminal justice expenditures to provide a consistent data base for administering the "variable pass-through" requirement.

Expenditure is divided into two major categories by character:

1. **Direct expenditure** is all expenditure except that classed as intergovernmental and is further divided into two principal object categories:

a. **Direct current**, which includes salaries, wages, fees, and commissions, purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services.

b. **Capital outlay**, which includes expenditure for the three subcategories below:

Construction: Production of fixed works and structures, and additions, replacements and major alterations there-to undertaken either on a contract basis by private contractors or through force account construction by the employees of the government. Included are the planning and designing of specific projects, the grading, landscaping, and other site improvement, and the provision of equipment and facilities that are integral parts of the structure.

Equipment: Purchase and installation of apparatus, furnishings, office equipment, motor vehicles and the like having an expected life of more than 5 years. This includes both additional equipment and replacements. Rentals for equipment, including rental payments that may be credited on the purchase price if purchase options are exercised, are classified as direct current expenditure. Equipment and facilities that are integral parts of constructed or purchased structures are classified respectively under construction or purchase of land and existing structures.

Purchase of land and existing structures: Purchase of these assets as such, purchase of rights-of-way, and title search and similar activities associated with purchase transactions.

The other object categories—interest on general debt, assistance and subsidies, and insurance benefits—are not applied to specific functions because they are not ordinarily available on a functional basis from government financial reports. In the few instances where bonded or mortgaged general indebtedness is identified for specific purposes, the interest payments are aggregated with other interest expenditures which make it virtually impossible to arrive at reliable and consistent breakouts of such data over a long period of time.

2. **Intergovernmental expenditure** is payments from one general purpose government to another, including grants-in-aid, shared revenues, payments in lieu of taxes, and amounts for services performed by one government for another on a reimbursable or cost-sharing basis (for example, payments by one government to another for boarding prisoners).

Total expenditure is direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for criminal justice activities.

Total general expenditure is all expenditure of a government or level of government for all government functions, including criminal justice activities, but excluding utility system expenditure, liquor store expenditure, and insurance trust expenditure. Total general expenditure shown for the local level of government includes expenditure only of general purpose governments, and excludes expenditure of special districts and school districts.

Government employment

Employees includes all persons paid for personal services performed, including all paid officials and persons in paid leave status, and excludes unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners and contractors. Under this definition are two classes:

1. **Full-time employees**, who are all persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1976, on a full-time basis, including all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period, as well as persons having permanent status.

2. **Part-time employees**, who are persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1976, on a basis other than full-time, and persons paid by more than one government.

Derived from these two classes is:

Full-time equivalent employees, which means the total number of employees, discounted by applying average full-time earning rates. This is calculated by dividing the total payroll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payroll and multiplying the results by the number of full-time employees, where both full-time and part-time employees and payroll are reported. Where only part-time employees and payroll are reported, full-time equivalent employees are calculated by dividing the total part-time payroll by the average full-time salary for the particular criminal justice sector and type of government. **Average full-time salary** is calculated by dividing the full-time payroll by the number of full-time employees for the particular criminal justice sector and type of government.

Payroll is the gross payroll before deductions and includes salaries, wages, fees and commissions paid to employees as defined above for the month of October 1976.

Governmental functions

Police protection is the function of enforcing the law, preserving order, and apprehending those who violate the law, whether these activities are performed by a police department, a sheriff's department, or a special police force maintained by an agency whose prime responsibility is outside the criminal justice system, but which has a police force to perform these activities in its specialized area (geographic or functional).

Included in this activity are regular police services, the maintenance of buildings used for police purposes, and such specialized police forces (including public and private contract forces) as airport police, free and toll highway police, free and toll bridge and tunnel police, housing police, maritime police, park police, transit and other utility system police, college and university campus police, and alcoholic beverage control agents. Coroners and medical examiners are also included. Excluded are vehicular inspection and licensing, traffic safety and engineering, fish and game wardens, fire marshals, and the like.

The special police forces included in the data are only those which are part of general purpose governments. Security forces, building guards, school crossing guards, and meter maids without general arrest power were excluded. Those special police forces that are part of independent school districts or special districts are not included in the data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected larger special police forces of these districts are displayed in appendix

1, tables A and B. In addition, data for State and local government dependent colleges and universities are displayed in tables C and D.

In most States, sheriffs' departments are multifunctional agencies providing police protection, judicial, and/or correctional services. In order to allocate expenditure and employment data to the proper activity, the data for sheriffs' departments are prorated using factors developed from a special survey of sheriffs' departments conducted in 1973, or more current estimates of manpower distribution if available.

Short-term custody and detention have traditionally been considered part of the police protection function; and in editions prior to the 1969-70 report, were treated as such. However, beginning with the 1969-70 report, the concept was modified on the basis of information obtained from the 1970 National Jail Census. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in the "corrections" sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in the "police protection" sector.

Judicial activities encompass all civil and criminal courts and activities associated with courts such as law libraries, grand juries, petit juries, and the like. Since the names of courts with similar functions and legal jurisdiction vary from State to State and even within States, data have been categorized by types of court rather than by court name.

1. **Appellate courts** include courts of last resort and intermediate appellate courts. These are courts having jurisdiction of appeal and review, with original jurisdiction conferred only in special cases.

a. **Court of last resort** is the final appeal within the judicial structure of each State. It is called the "Court of Appeals" in the District of Columbia, Maryland, and New York; the "Supreme Court of Appeals" in West Virginia; the "Supreme Judicial Court" in Maine and Massachusetts. In Texas and Oklahoma two courts of last resort are authorized—the "Court of Criminal Appeals" for criminal cases and a "Supreme Court" for civil cases. In every other State the court of last resort is titled the "Supreme Court."

b. **Intermediate appellate courts** are those that are limited in their appellate jurisdiction by State law or at the discretion of the court of last resort. In 18 of the 27 States with a court of this type operating in fiscal year 1976 the name "court of appeals" is used. These States are:

Arizona	Louisiana
California	Michigan
Colorado	Missouri
Florida	New Mexico
Georgia	North Carolina
Kansas	Ohio
Kentucky	Oklahoma
Indiana	Oregon
Iowa	Washington

In Illinois the title is "Appellate Court"; in Maryland, "Court of Special Appeals"; in Massachusetts, "Appeals Court"; in New Jersey, "Appellate Division of the Superior Court"; in New York¹, "Appellate Division of the Supreme Court"; and in Texas, "Court of Civil Appeals."

¹There are also appellate terms of the Supreme Court that have jurisdiction in specific cases that would otherwise be heard by the appellate division.

In Alabama the civil and criminal cases are heard on appeal by separate courts—a "Court of Civil Appeals" and a "Court of Criminal Appeals." In Tennessee the "Court of Appeals" hears only civil appeals; a separate "Court of Criminal Appeals" reviews criminal cases before review by the court of last resort. In Pennsylvania the "Commonwealth Court" reviews all cases brought by or against the State government or its agencies; the "Superior Court" reviews all other appeals except those within the exclusive jurisdiction of the court of last resort.

2. **Courts of general jurisdiction** are trial courts having unlimited original jurisdiction in civil and/or criminal cases, the names of which vary considerably. The list below shows the title of the courts of general jurisdiction in each State. Several States are listed more than once because local situations led to the development of separate courts, either to hear cases involving different types of pleadings or to hear cases in particular local jurisdictions.

Circuit courts

Alabama	Mississippi
Arkansas	Missouri
Florida	Oregon
Hawaii	South Carolina
Illinois	South Dakota
Indiana	Tennessee ²
Kentucky	Virginia
Maryland	West Virginia
Michigan ²	Wisconsin

District courts

Colorado	Nevada
Idaho	New Mexico
Iowa	North Dakota
Kansas	Oklahoma
Louisiana	Texas
Minnesota	Utah
Montana	Wyoming
Nebraska	

Superior courts

Alaska	Indiana ²
Arizona	Maine
California	Massachusetts
Connecticut	New Hampshire
Delaware	New Jersey
District of Columbia	North Carolina
Georgia	Rhode Island
	Washington

Chancery courts

Arkansas	Mississippi
Delaware	Tennessee

County courts

New Jersey	Vermont
New York	Wisconsin

²In these States, the above-named courts are supplemented in some counties and cities by general jurisdiction courts with varying names.

Common pleas courts

Missouri
Ohio
Pennsylvania

Supreme court

New York

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of general jurisdiction courts. These judges were counted as part-time employees at both the State and local levels when actually receiving a check from both governments.

3. **Courts of limited jurisdiction** are courts whose legal jurisdiction covers only a particular class of cases or cases where the amount in controversy is below a prescribed sum or is subject to specific exceptions. Included under this category are probate courts, juvenile courts and other courts of limited jurisdiction.

Probate courts are also called orphans courts, surrogate's courts, or courts of ordinary. The subject jurisdiction varies from place to place, but generally includes estate settlement; probate and contest of wills; adoption; commitment of the insane; administration of the affairs of orphans, mental defectives and incompetents; guardianship of minors; apprenticeship; receivership; change of name proceedings; and the administration of trusts.

Juvenile courts are those that deal primarily with delinquent and neglected children regardless of the name of the court. In various places such courts are called juvenile courts, family courts, juvenile and domestic relations courts, domestic relations courts, or other similar names. The jurisdiction of these courts can include crimes committed by persons under legal age; juvenile status offenses; offenses against children; probation of minor delinquents; adoption, custody, or disposition of minor and mentally incompetent children; child neglect or abandonment; child and wife support; and paternity.

Other courts includes various other State and local courts with limited jurisdiction such as justices of the peace, district magistrates, justice courts, county courts of limited jurisdiction, municipal courts, city courts, etc. Also included are specialized courts such as tax courts, courts of claims, and courts having jurisdiction over more than one type of case (e.g., a court that handles both juvenile and probate cases).

4. **Miscellaneous judicial** includes data on judicial activities that could not be reported under any of the above court categories, such as judicial councils and conferences, court administration offices (where identifiable), law libraries, jury commissions, and grand juries.

Legal services and prosecution includes the civil and criminal justice activities of the attorneys general, district attorneys, State's attorneys, and their variously named equivalents; corporation counsels, solicitors, and legal departments with various names. It includes providing legal advice to the chief executives and subordinate departmental officers, representation of the government in lawsuits, and the prosecution of accused violators of criminal law. These activities are included whether performed by one office or several, because in some jurisdictions a single officer provides all legal services, whereas in others a prosecutor's office

handles only criminal matters, and a separate attorney's office performs all civil legal services. The operations of various investigative agencies having full arrest powers and attached to offices of attorneys general, district attorneys, or their variously named equivalents are also included.

Public defense includes legal counsel and representation in either criminal or civil proceedings as provided by public defenders, and other government programs that pay the fees of court-appointed counsel. These include court-paid fees to individually retained counsel, fees paid by the court to court-appointed counsel, government contributions to private legal aid societies and bar association-sponsored programs, and the activities of an established public defender office or program. Employment data are included only for public defenders' offices, because fee-paid counselors are not considered government employees, nor are counselors working for bar associations or legal aid societies.

Corrections is that function of government involving the confinement and rehabilitation of adults and juveniles convicted of offenses against the law and the confinement of persons suspected of a crime and awaiting adjudication. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in this sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in "Police Protection." Correction includes the operation of prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, and other institutions. It also includes institutions, facilities and programs exclusively for the confinement of the criminally insane or for the examination, evaluation, classification, and assignment of inmates and institutions and programs for the confinement, treatment, and rehabilitation of drug addicts and alcoholics if the institution or program is administered by a correction agency of the criminal justice system. Pardon boards and parole and probation agencies, including resettlement or halfway houses for those not in need of institutionalization, are included in the correction sector as a separate subcategory.

1. Correctional institutions are prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, penitentiaries, correctional farms, workhouses, reception centers, diagnostic centers, industrial schools, training schools, detention centers, and a variety of other types of institutions for the confinement and correction of convicted adults or juveniles adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision, and for the detention of those adults and juveniles accused of a crime and awaiting trial or hearing. When an institution maintains a prison industry or agricultural program, data on the cost of production or the value of prison labor used by agencies of the same government, if identifiable, are excluded (and classed as expenditure for the function using the products or services). Expenditure for the manufacture, production, sale, and distribution of goods produced for sale or use outside the government are included under this heading.

a. Institutions for men includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult male offenders.

b. Institutions for women includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult female offenders. Where there is no separate women's prison, women offenders are either maintained in a prison complex that also houses other offenders or are boarded in private facilities or institutions in another

State. No attempt was made to prorate data on institutions housing more than one type of inmate, but where females are boarded in private institutions or in another State, available expenditure data was tabulated. Employment data were not tabulated because the personnel were employees of another government.

c. Institutions for juveniles are those institutions identified by the 1975 Juvenile Detention and Correction Facility Census as housing primarily juveniles and in some States, "youthful offenders." These institutions include those under the control of a juvenile court, a probation department, or a youth authority or other similarly designated administrative body, as well as independently administered institutions. Also included are government payments to private agencies for the detention or treatment of delinquent juveniles.

There is considerable variation from State to State in the legal definition of a juvenile, particularly in regard to the age at which a person is no longer considered a juvenile. Institutions for juveniles have been classified individually in accordance with the laws and age designations of their respective States.

d. Other and combined institutions are those institutions holding a combination of inmates. Where expenditure or employment data for physically separate institutions for juveniles, adult females, and adult males were not separable by institution or type of institution, the entire amounts were included under this category.

2. Correctional administration consists of data for the overall administration of the correctional system, including data on the central administrative office (for example, the department of corrections or a youth authority). Data on the administration of individual correctional institutions or probation/parole/pardon agencies are included in the appropriate subcategory if it was possible to deduct them.

3. Probation, parole, and pardon includes data on probation agencies, boards of parole, boards of pardon, and their variously named equivalents. Although probation agencies frequently function under the administration of the general jurisdiction court, the data are presented here after having been deducted from the judicial data, because of the correctional nature of the probation function. If the probation, parole, and pardon activities, or any of them individually, were part of the correctional administrative office, data were deducted where possible and shown separately here, and the balance was shown under the correctional administration category. The overlapping character of the probation, parole, and pardon activities prevented the separate presentation of these data.

4. Miscellaneous correction includes expenditure and employment data that could not be classified under one of the other subcategories.

Other criminal justice activities, shown in some tables, includes expenditure or employment data that are not elsewhere classified, that cut across more than one category, or that are not allocable to separate categories; e.g., expenditure on a general curriculum in educational institutions, the operation of State criminal justice agencies, crime commissions, etc. Such data are included in the totals, where they are not shown separately.

APPENDIX 3: SURVEY FORMS

Form CJ-6 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments

This form was mailed to the chief financial officer of the counties and municipalities surveyed.

Form CJ-6D Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments (Fee-Supported Offices)


This form was mailed to the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and/or Clerk of Court in the States of Kentucky and Louisiana. Data for these fee-supported offices were incorporated in the appropriate criminal justice function data for the county where located.

Form CJ-23 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Selected Special Police Forces

This form was mailed to special police forces serving colleges and universities, special districts, and independent school districts. Data for college and university forces were incorporated in the police protection data for the parent government and are displayed separately in appendix 1, tables C and D. Data for special police forces serving independent school districts or special districts were not included in the regular police protection data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected large police forces serving such districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B.

Form CJ-25 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of the Federal Government

This form was mailed to the chief financial or administrative officer of individual Federal agencies, bureaus, and offices identified as part of the criminal justice system. A list of the units canvassed in the survey for this year is presented in tables 4 and 5.

IMPORTANT — PLEASE READ		FORM CJ-6 (11-10-76)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS	
Instructions for reporting Expenditure data in part II on page 2. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• If your government's fiscal year ends on December 31, enter annual expenditure for calendar year 1975 (even though calendar year 1976 data may be available).• If your government's fiscal year ends on June 30, enter annual expenditure for the period July 1, 1975--June 30, 1976.• If your government's fiscal year ends on a date other than December 31 or June 30, enter annual expenditure for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1975 and June 30, 1976.		SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS			
		In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address			
RETURN TO Bureau of the Census 1201 East Tenth Street Jeffersonville, Indiana 47132		(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)			
Name		Position			
Data supplied by	Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)		Telephone		
			Area code	Number	Extension
FOURTH REQUEST — If you have already mailed your report, please disregard this request.					
<p>FROM THE DIRECTOR BUREAU OF THE CENSUS</p> <p>On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for six criminal justice functions: police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, public defense, corrections, and other criminal justice. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.</p> <p>The data collected in this survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.</p> <p>If there are any items on this questionnaire for which the answer cannot be obtained from available records, reasonable estimates are requested. Please indicate such estimates with an asterisk (*). The duplicate copy of this questionnaire is for your files. The addressed copy should be returned, preferably within 3 weeks, in the enclosed preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in completing this questionnaire, please call collect for assistance on Area Code (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.</p> <p>This report is authorized by Public Law 93-83. While you are not required to respond, your participation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p> ROBERT L. HAGAN Acting Director</p> <p>Enclosures</p> <p>Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System <input type="checkbox"/></p>					

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES	Part I — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL				Part II — EXPENDITURE				Your fiscal year was —	
	Enter employment and payroll for your government's one pay period which included October 15, 1976. Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data. Count each employee only once — in the activity where that person works the largest part of the time.				Enter annual expenditure for the activities listed below for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1975 and June 30, 1976. See instructions on cover of questionnaire.				<input type="checkbox"/> July 1, 1975 — June 30, 1976 <input type="checkbox"/> Jan. 1 — Dec. 31, 1975 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other — Specify <u> </u>	
	Please refer to the definitions below in completing columns (a) through (j).									
	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES		CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	
Number of employees	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1976) (Omit cents)	Number of employees	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1976) (Omit cents)	Annual salaries and all operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and enter in column (j).	Construction, equipment, and land	Payments to other local governments	Payments to the State government	FICA, PERS, insurance, workmen's comp., etc. Exclude employee contributions.		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(j)		
1. POLICE PROTECTION — In addition to all regular police activities, include special police force units (park, housing, etc.) having general power to arrest. Include also coroners and medical examiners. Exclude jails holding adults or juveniles more than 48 hours and report under "Corrections." Exclude also school crossing guards.										
a. Total (including sworn personnel)										
b. Sworn personnel only (i.e., having general power to arrest)										
2. JUDICIAL — All civil and criminal courts and court-related activities (e.g., jury fees, clerk of court, law libraries). Exclude probation and parole and report under "Corrections." Exclude fees to court-appointed counsel and report under "Public Defense." Exclude prosecutors and report under "Legal Services and Prosecution."										
3. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION — Prosecuting attorneys' offices and legal departments headed by an attorney or solicitor. Include annual retainers and/or fees paid to private attorneys/law firms in part II, column (e), only.										
4. PUBLIC DEFENSE — Public defenders' offices. Include fees paid to court-appointed counsel and contributions to private legal aid societies in part II, column (e), only.										
5. CORRECTIONS										
a. Total										
b. Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention homes, halfway houses, and the like holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.										
c. Probation and parole agencies and programs. Include judicially administered probation programs, also.										
d. Other corrections including non-residential treatment programs for adults and juveniles. Include alcohol and narcotic addiction programs only if administered by the correctional authority.										
6. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE — Other criminal justice activities (e.g., crime councils, criminal justice planning agencies) not reported above. Please list these activities separately on page 3.										

ITEM A -- Mark the pay period interval which applies to the payrolls reported above.		ITEM B -- Revenue direct from the Federal Government expended for the above activities													
1. Full-time employees ("X" one box only) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other -- Specify _____	2. Part-time employees ("X" one box only) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other -- Specify _____	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">1. Revenue Sharing</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">2. LEAA Discretionary Grants (not passed through a State agency) ..</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">4. Other -- Specify _____</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;"></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> </tr> </table>		Total	\$	1. Revenue Sharing	\$	2. LEAA Discretionary Grants (not passed through a State agency) ..	\$	3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA)	\$	4. Other -- Specify _____	\$		\$
Total	\$														
1. Revenue Sharing	\$														
2. LEAA Discretionary Grants (not passed through a State agency) ..	\$														
3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA)	\$														
4. Other -- Specify _____	\$														
	\$														
CENSUS USE	CENSUS USE														


DEFINITIONS	
<p>► Part I -- EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL</p> <p>• EMPLOYEES -- All persons paid by your government for personal services performed, including all paid officials, salaried workers, and other persons in paid leave status. Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your government.</p> <p>Full-time employees (column a) -- Persons employed on a full-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1976. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.</p> <p>Part-time employees (column c) -- Persons employed on a part-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1976. Include here all persons working for your government who are paid by more than one government (e.g., with supplemental check).</p> <p>• PAYROLL (columns b and d) -- Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1976, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.</p> <p>► Part II -- EXPENDITURE</p> <p>• CURRENT OPERATIONS (column e) -- Annual expenditure for salaries and wages of your government's officers and employees, including overtime, termination, and retroactive pay; and for the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services from individuals and firms in the private sector, e.g., attorney retainers or fees to court-appointed counsel. Exclude capital outlay and report in column "f." Also exclude expenditures for debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions, and within-government transactions. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and report in column "j."</p>	<p>► Part II -- EXPENDITURE -- Continued</p> <p>• CAPITAL OUTLAY (column f) -- Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other fixed improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.</p> <p>• INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE -- All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services or care and boarding of prisoners in another government's jail). Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property or utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.</p> <p>Payments to other local governments (column g) -- Payments of your government to another county, city, town, special district, or school district.</p> <p>Payments to the State government (column h) -- Payments of your government to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.</p> <p>• GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (column j) -- Any employer contributions, separable by activity, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid by your government for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.</p> <p>• ITEM A -- Report the pay period interval for which all or most of the full-time (or part-time) employees are paid.</p> <p>• ITEM B -- REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT -- Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue Sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlement(s); for boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, CETA, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.</p>

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES
List activities included on line 6, page 2.

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____
6. _____
7. _____
8. _____
9. _____
10. _____

COMMENTS

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

IMPORTANT — PLEASE READ		FORM CJ-6D (11-10-76)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS	
<p>Instructions for reporting Expenditure data in part II on page 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• If your government's fiscal year ends on December 31, enter annual expenditure for calendar year 1975 (even though calendar year 1976 data may be available).• If your government's fiscal year ends on June 30, enter annual expenditure for the period July 1, 1975—June 30, 1976.• If your government's fiscal year ends on a date other than December 31 or June 30, enter annual expenditure for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1975 and June 30, 1976.		<p>SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (FEE-SUPPORTED OFFICES)</p>			
		<p>In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address</p>			
<p>RETURN TO Bureau of the Census 1201 East Tenth Street Jeffersonville, Indiana 47132</p>		<p>(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)</p>			
Name		Position			
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)		Telephone			
		Area code	Number	Extension	
<p>SECOND REQUEST — If you have already mailed your report, please disregard this request.</p> <p>FROM THE DIRECTOR BUREAU OF THE CENSUS</p> <p>On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for various criminal justice activities. Since the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and Clerk of Court are included as part of the civil and criminal justice system, we will appreciate your cooperation in again providing information for three of these activities as they apply to your office: police protection, judicial, and corrections.</p> <p>The data collected in this survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.</p> <p>If your office has converted from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office, please follow the instructions at the top of page 2 of this questionnaire.</p> <p>If there are any items on this questionnaire for which the answer cannot be obtained from available records, reasonable estimates are requested. Please indicate such estimates with an asterisk (*). The duplicate copy of this questionnaire is for your files. The addressed copy should be returned preferably within 3 weeks, in the enclosed preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in completing this questionnaire, please call collect for assistance on Area Code (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.</p> <p>This report is authorized by Public Law 93-83. While you are not required to respond, your participation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate and timely.</p> <p>Sincerely,  ROBERT L. HAGAN Acting Director</p> <p>Enclosures</p> <p>Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System <input type="checkbox"/></p>					

INSTRUCTIONS		Before completing parts I and II and items A and B below, please complete the following question. Regardless of your answer to this question please complete the rest of the questionnaire.									
<p>Is your office a fee-supported office now? That is, is it financed and staffed in whole or in part by fees collected and retained?</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes — Answer a —————→ <input type="checkbox"/> No — Answer b —————→ <input type="checkbox"/> Never was a fee office </p>		<p>a. Will your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office on or before June 30, 1977? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes —————→ <input type="checkbox"/> No —————→</p> <p>b. When did your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes —————→ <input type="checkbox"/> No —————→</p>						<p>Enter date</p> <p>Enter date</p>			
CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES		Part I — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL Enter employment and payroll for your government's one pay period which included October 15, 1976. Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data. Count each employee only once — in the activity where that person works the largest part of the time.				Part II — EXPENDITURE Enter annual expenditure for the activities listed below for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1975 and June 30, 1976. See instructions on cover of questionnaire.				Your fiscal year was — <input type="checkbox"/> July 1, 1975–June 30, 1976 <input type="checkbox"/> Jan. 1–Dec. 31, 1975 <input type="checkbox"/> Other — Specify _____	
		Please refer to the definitions below in completing columns (a) through (j)									
		FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES		CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	
		Number of employees (a)	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1976) (Omit cents) (b)	Number of employees (c)	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1976) (Omit cents) (d)	Annual salaries and all operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and enter in column (j). (e)	Construction, equipment, and land (f)	Payments to other local governments (g)	Payments to the State government (h)	FICA, PERS, insurance, workmen's comp., etc. Exclude employee contributions. (i)	
1. POLICE PROTECTION (Sheriffs only) — In addition to all regular police activities, include also coroners and medical examiners if paid by your office. Exclude jails holding adults or juveniles more than 48 hours and report under "Corrections." Exclude also school crossing guards.											
a. Total (including sworn personnel)											
b. Sworn personnel only (i.e., having general power to arrest)											
2. JUDICIAL (Sheriffs and Clerks of Court) — All civil and criminal courts and court-related activities (e.g., jury fees and law libraries). Exclude probation and parole and report under "Corrections." Exclude also fees to court-appointed counsel and prosecution.											

3. CORRECTIONS (Sheriffs only)									
a. Total									
b. Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention homes, halfway houses, and the like holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.									
c. Probation and parole agencies and programs. Include judicially administered probation programs, also.									
d. Other corrections including non-residential treatment programs for adults and juveniles. Include alcohol and narcotic addiction programs only if administered by the correctional authority.									

<p>ITEM A — Mark the pay period interval which applies to the payrolls reported above.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>1. Full-time employees — ("X" one box only)</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year)</p> <p>3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year)</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other — Specify _____</p> </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>2. Part-time employees ("X" one box only)</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year)</p> <p>3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year)</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other — Specify _____</p> </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">CENSUS USE</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">CENSUS USE</div> </div>	<p>ITEM B — Revenue direct from the Federal Government expended for the above activities</p> <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">1. Revenue Sharing</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">2. LEAA Discretionary Grants (not passed through a State agency).</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">4. Other — Specify _____</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td> </tr> </table>	Total	\$ _____	1. Revenue Sharing	\$ _____	2. LEAA Discretionary Grants (not passed through a State agency).	\$ _____	3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA)	\$ _____	4. Other — Specify _____	\$ _____
Total	\$ _____										
1. Revenue Sharing	\$ _____										
2. LEAA Discretionary Grants (not passed through a State agency).	\$ _____										
3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA)	\$ _____										
4. Other — Specify _____	\$ _____										

DEFINITIONS	
<p>Part I — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL</p> <p>• EMPLOYEES — All persons paid by your government for personal services performed, including all paid officials, salaried workers, and other persons in paid leave status. Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your government.</p> <p>Full-time employees (column a) — Persons employed on a full-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1976. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.</p> <p>Part-time employees (column c) — Persons employed on a part-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1976. Include here all persons working for your government who are paid by more than one government (e.g., with supplemental check).</p> <p>• PAYROLL (columns b and d) — Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1976, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.</p> <p>Part II — EXPENDITURE</p> <p>• CURRENT OPERATIONS (column e) — Annual expenditure for salaries and wages of your government's officers and employees, including overtime, termination, and retroactive pay; and for the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services from individuals and firms in the private sector, e.g., attorney retainers or fees to court-appointed counsel. Exclude capital outlay and report in column "f." Also exclude expenditures for debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions, and within-government transactions. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and report in column "j."</p>	<p>Part II — EXPENDITURE — Continued</p> <p>• CAPITAL OUTLAY (column f) — Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other fixed improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.</p> <p>• INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE — All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services or care and boarding of prisoners in another government's jail). Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property or utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.</p> <p>Payments to other local governments (column g) — Payments of your government to another county, city, town, special district, or school district.</p> <p>Payments to the State government (column h) — Payments of your government to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.</p> <p>• GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (column j) — Any employer contributions, separable by activity, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid by your government for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.</p> <p>• ITEM A — Report the pay period interval for which all or most of the full-time (or part-time) employees are paid.</p> <p>• ITEM B — REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT — Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue Sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlement(s); for boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, CETA, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.</p>

COMMENTS

Data supplied by			FORM CJ-23 (11-23-76)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS	
Name			SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR SELECTED SPECIAL POLICE FORCES			
Position			In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address			
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)						
Telephone			(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)			
Area code	Number	Extension	RETURN TO		Bureau of the Census 1201 East Tenth Street Jeffersonville, Indiana 47132	

FROM THE DIRECTOR
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects expenditure and employment data for selected special police forces serving colleges, universities, independent school districts, and special districts. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.

The data collected in this survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.

If there are any items on this questionnaire for which the answer cannot be obtained from available records, reasonable estimates are requested. Please indicate such estimates with an asterisk (*). The duplicate copy of this questionnaire is for your files. The addressed copy should be returned preferably within 3 weeks, in the enclosed preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in completing this questionnaire, please call collect for assistance on Area Code (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.

This report is authorized by Public Law 93-83. While you are not required to respond, your participation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.

Sincerely,

Robert L. Hagan
ROBERT L. HAGAN
Acting Director

Enclosures

Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report
Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System → ☐

Part I – SWORN POLICE OFFICERS

Does your unit (as described in the address box on the front of the questionnaire) employ either directly or by contract a special police force, i.e., a group of sworn law enforcement officers with general power to arrest?

☐ Yes – Please complete the questions below
☐ No – Please disregard the remainder of this questionnaire and return in preaddressed envelope

Enter here the number of full-time and part-time sworn police officers (i.e., having general power to arrest) in your special police force unit.		FULL-TIME (a)	PART-TIME (b)
1. Officers employed directly by your unit			
2. Contract officers			

If applicable, enter here the name of the firm or police agency with whom you contract for police services

If you contract exclusively for police services and have no officers of your own, fill out only parts III and IV below. If you employ sworn police officers directly, fill out parts II, III, and IV below.

Part II – EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

A. For your special police force unit, enter employment and payroll for the one pay period which included October 15, 1976. include sworn police officers, security patrols, guards, clerical, communications, and other support personnel who are employees of the special police force.

Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data.

FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES	
Number of employees	Payroll amount (one pay period which included October 15, 1976) (Omit cents)	Number of employees	Payroll amount (one pay period which included October 15, 1976) (Omit cents)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	\$		\$

B. Mark the pay period interval which applies to the payrolls reported above.

1. Full-time employees (Mark "X" one box only) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other – Specify	2. Part-time employees (Mark "X" one box only) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other – Specify
---	---

Part III – EXPENDITURE

Enter the annual expenditure for your special police force unit for your fiscal year ending between July 1, 1975 and June 30, 1976.

Your fiscal year was –
☐ July 1, 1975 – June 30, 1976
☐ January 1 – December 31, 1975
☐ Other – Specify

CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
Annual salaries and all operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and enter in col. (i).	Construction, equipment, and land	Payments to local governments	Payments to the State government	FICA, PERS, insurance, workmen's comp., etc. (Exclude employee contributions.)
(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

Part IV – REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Revenue direct from the Federal Government expended for the above activities

Total	\$
1. Revenue Sharing	\$
2. LEAA Discretionary Grants (not passed through a State agency)	\$
3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA)	\$
4. Other – Specify	\$
	\$

DEFINITIONS

► Part I – SWORN POLICE OFFICERS

SPECIAL POLICE FORCE – A group of law enforcement officers with general power to arrest, regardless of geographical jurisdiction other than regular police agencies. Such forces usually service a special area or jurisdiction, e.g., campus police, park police, transit police, harbor police, airport police. Though a special police force usually cooperates fully with the regular local police force, it is administratively independent.

► Part II – EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

A. EMPLOYEES – All persons in your special police force unit (officers and employees) paid for personal services performed, including all officials, salary workers, and other persons in paid leave status in your unit. **Exclude** unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees; and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your unit.

FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (part II, column a) – Persons employed during the one pay period which included October 15, 1976, on a full-time basis. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

PART-TIME EMPLOYEES (part II, column c) – Persons employed during the one pay period which included October 15, 1976, on a part-time basis.

PAYROLL (part II, columns b and d) – Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1976, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

B. Report the pay period interval for which all or most of the full-time (or part-time) employees are paid.

► Part III – EXPENDITURE

● **CURRENT OPERATION (part III, column e)** – Annual salaries and payroll of your unit's officers and employees including overtime, termination, and retroactive pay, and the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services with individuals and firms in the private sector. **Exclude** all capital outlay and report in column

(f) (see below). Also exclude expenditures for debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions and within-government transactions. **Exclude** employer contributions for employee benefits and report in column (j) (see below).

● **CAPITAL OUTLAY (part III, column f)** – Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other fixed improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

● **INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE** – All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services). **Exclude** money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property, utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.

PAYMENTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (part III, column g) – Payments to a county, city, town, special district, school district, or any of their departments or agencies.

PAYMENTS TO THE STATE GOVERNMENT (part III, column h) – Payments to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

● **GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (part III, column j)** – Any employer contributions, separable for your unit, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid by your parent government for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. **Exclude** payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

► **Part IV – REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT** – Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue Sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlement(s), for boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, etc. **Do not** enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.

COMMENTS

This report has been cleared in accordance with FPMR 101-11.11 and assigned inter-agency report control number 1078-DOC-AN.			FORM CJ-25 (12-2-76)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS	
Data supplied by			SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT		
Name					
Position					
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)					
Telephone					
Area code	Number	Extension	(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)		
RETURN TO			Bureau of the Census ATTN: Governments Division Washington, D.C. 20233		

FROM THE DIRECTOR
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for civil and criminal justice activities from agencies of the Federal Government and from State and local governments. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.

The data collected in this voluntary survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. Federal data are used particularly for intergovernmental comparisons and to meet the needs of criminal justice planners at all levels of government. The data are published annually in a report entitled **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System**.

It would be most helpful if we could receive your reply within 3 weeks. A preaddressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience. The information you enter should be only for the bureau, agency, or office capitalized in the address block above. If you have any problems in filling out this questionnaire, please call for assistance on 763-7825 or 763-2843.

Sincerely,

Robert L. Hagan

ROBERT L. HAGAN
Acting Director

Enclosure

PART I - EXPENDITURE	
(FOR FISCAL YEAR 1976: JULY 1, 1975 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1976)	
NOTE - If unable to show actual cash disbursement, please show total obligations incurred minus the sum of unpaid accounts payable and undelivered orders.	
1. What was your total CASH disbursement for CURRENT OPERATIONS; i.e., annual salaries, wages, and expenses of officers and employees; purchase of supplies and materials; and contractual services with other Federal agencies or private organizations? (Do not include government contributions to employee benefits - report these amounts in item 5 below.)	\$
2. What was your total CASH disbursement for CAPITAL OUTLAY; i.e., direct expenditure FROM YOUR OWN BUDGET for acquisition of land, construction, renovation and repairs of buildings and facilities, and purchase of equipment?	\$
3. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all STATE GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants, including amounts which were "passed through" State governments to local governments) or for services rendered?	\$
4. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all LOCAL GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants) or for services rendered, made DIRECTLY to local governments and NOT "passed through" State governments or their departments or agencies?	\$
5. What was your total CONTRIBUTION FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS; i.e., payments made to the Federal Social Security program, Federal retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, or premiums paid for accident and health, hospital and other insurance programs? (Do not include payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees for any of the above programs.)	\$
PART II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL	
(FOR THE ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1976 - NOT ANNUAL DATA)	
NOTE - Please report all employees; executives, managers, operatives, and support personnel. Temporary employees should be reported as full- or part-time on the basis of number of hours worked.	
1. How many FULL-TIME employees, including sworn personnel, did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1976?	Full-time employees
2. What was the total payroll amount for all FULL-TIME employees reported in item 1 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1976?	\$
3. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 2? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____	CENSUS USE ONLY
4. How many FULL-TIME SWORN* employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1976? (Should be equal to or less than the number reported in item 1.)	Full-time sworn employees
5. What was the total payroll amount for all FULL-TIME SWORN* employees reported in item 4 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1976?	\$
6. How many PART-TIME employees, including sworn personnel, did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1976?	Part-time employees
7. What was the total payroll amount for all PART-TIME employees reported in item 6 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1976?	\$
8. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 7? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____	CENSUS USE ONLY
9. How many PART-TIME SWORN* employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1976? (Should be equal to or less than the number reported in item 6.)	Part-time sworn employees
10. What was the total payroll amount for all PART-TIME SWORN* employees reported in item 9 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1976?	\$
* Sworn employees defined: those persons having statutory authority to arrest suspected violators of the law. Examples: Criminal investigator position series GS-1811, police position series GS-083, customs enforcement officer position series GS-1891, and other positions having equivalent arrest authority whether inside or outside the competitive civil service.	

▶ PART III - EXPENDITURE ◀

(FOR TRANSITION QUARTER: JULY 1, 1976 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 1976)

NOTE - If unable to show actual cash disbursement, please show total obligations incurred minus the sum of unpaid accounts payable and undelivered orders.

1. What was your total CASH disbursement for CURRENT OPERATIONS; i.e., annual salaries, wages, and expenses of officers and employees; purchase of supplies and materials; and contractual services with other Federal agencies or private organizations? (Do not include government contributions to employee benefits - report these amounts in item 5 below.)	\$
2. What was your total CASH disbursement for CAPITAL OUTLAY; i.e., direct expenditure FROM YOUR OWN BUDGET for acquisition of land, construction, renovation and repairs of buildings and facilities, and purchase of equipment?	\$
3. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all STATE GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants, including amounts which were "passed through" State governments to local governments) or for services rendered?	\$
4. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all LOCAL GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants) or for services rendered, made DIRECTLY to local governments and NOT "passed through" State governments or their departments or agencies?	\$
5. What was your total CONTRIBUTION FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS; i.e., payments made to the Federal Social Security program, Federal retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, or premiums paid for accident and health, hospital and other insurance programs? (Do not include payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees for any of the above programs.)	\$
Comments	

FORM CJ-25 (12-2-76)

Page 2--Continued

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATIONUSER EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE
Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1976

Dear Reader:

We have provided an evaluation form below for whatever opinions you wish to express about this report. Please cut out both pages, staple them together on one corner, and fold so that the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration address appears on the outside. After folding, use tape to seal closed. No postage stamp is necessary.

Thank you for your help.

1. For what purpose did you use this report on Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1976?

2. For that purpose, the report -

☐ Met most of my needs☐ Met some of my needs☐ Met none of my needs

3. What particular types of data in the report did you use?

4. If the report did not meet your needs, what changes would increase its usefulness to you?

CUT ALONG THIS LINE

5. What additional types of data, if any, would you like to see collected regarding public employment and expenditure for criminal justice purposes?

6. Which parts of the report, if any, were difficult to understand or use?
How could they be improved?

7. Would a different format have been more useful to you?
If yes, please suggest a more useful organization of the material.

8. Can you point out specific parts of the text or table notes that are not clear or additional terms that need to be defined?

9. In what capacity did you use this report?

- ☐ Researcher
☐ Educator
☐ Student
☐ Criminal justice agency employee
☐ Government employee other than criminal justice - *Specify* _____
☐ Other - *Specify* _____

10. If you used this report as a governmental employee, please indicate the level of government.

- ☐ Federal
☐ State
☐ County
☐ City
☐ Other - *Specify* _____

11. If you used this report as a criminal justice agency employee, please indicate the sector in which you work.

- ☐ Law enforcement (police)
☐ Legal services and prosecution
☐ Public or private defense services
☐ Courts or court administration
☐ Probation
☐ Corrections
☐ Parole
☐ Criminal justice planning agency
☐ Other criminal justice agency - *Specify type* _____

12. If you used this report as a criminal justice employee, please indicate the type of position you hold.

Mark all that apply

- ☐ Agency or institution administrator
☐ General program planner/evaluator/analyst
☐ Operations or management planner/evaluator/analyst
☐ Budget planner/evaluator/analyst
☐ Program or project manager
☐ Statistician
☐ Other - *Specify* _____

13. Additional comments

**If your comments
require an answer,
please enter
your name and
mailing address.**

Name

Number and street

City

State

ZIP code

NCJRS REGISTRATION

SD-EE No. 11

National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) abstracts documents published in the criminal justice field. Persons who are registered with the Reference Service receive announcements of documents in their stated fields of interest and order forms for free copies of LEAA and NCJISS publications. If you are not registered with the Reference Service, and wish to be, please provide your name and mailing address below and check the appropriate box.

Name			<input type="checkbox"/> Please send me a NCJRS registration form. <input type="checkbox"/> Please send me the reports listed below.
Number and street			
City	State	Zip Code	

(Fold here)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
Washington, D.C. 20531

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
JUS-436



Director, Statistics Division
National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20531

(Fold here)

If you wish to receive copies of any of the National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service reports listed inside the front cover, please list them below and include your name and address in the space provided above.

END