


SEARCHED AND SERIALIZED
DATA ENTRY PROCESS



CHB
3

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE / U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Central Assistance Activity / Bureau of the Census
Access Control Center / Data and Local Government
Information Center / Federal Bureau of Investigation
FBI - WFO

Other Writings by the Author (Continued)

THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA, DOING BUSINESS AS THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA
THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA, DOING BUSINESS AS THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

Grand Hotel Victoria Berlin • 1910-1930

The following is a list of the names of the members of the Board of Education, the Board of Superintendence, and the Board of Control of the State of Oregon.

WILHELMUS VAN DER KEMP

THE VENUE - The event will be held at the **Grand Ballroom** of the **Westin Hotel** in **Dallas, Texas**.

10. The following table gives the results of the experiments made by the author on the effect of the different factors on the rate of absorption of the different solvents.

1. The first step in the process of creating a new product is to identify a market need or opportunity.

Journal of Polymer Science: Part A: Polymer Chemistry

General, or the Secretary of State, or the Attorney General, or the
Secretary of the Treasury, or the Postmaster General, or the
Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, or
the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency.

The National Association of the Deaf of the United States
and Canada, Inc., is a non-profit organization.
Society of Friends.

1966-1967
1967-1968
1968-1969
1969-1970
1970-1971
1971-1972
1972-1973
1973-1974
1974-1975
1975-1976
1976-1977
1977-1978
1978-1979
1979-1980
1980-1981
1981-1982
1982-1983
1983-1984
1984-1985
1985-1986
1986-1987
1987-1988
1988-1989
1989-1990
1990-1991
1991-1992
1992-1993
1993-1994
1994-1995
1995-1996
1996-1997
1997-1998
1998-1999
1999-2000
2000-2001
2001-2002
2002-2003
2003-2004
2004-2005
2005-2006
2006-2007
2007-2008
2008-2009
2009-2010
2010-2011
2011-2012
2012-2013
2013-2014
2014-2015
2015-2016
2016-2017
2017-2018
2018-2019
2019-2020
2020-2021
2021-2022
2022-2023
2023-2024
2024-2025
2025-2026
2026-2027
2027-2028
2028-2029
2029-2030
2030-2031
2031-2032
2032-2033
2033-2034
2034-2035
2035-2036
2036-2037
2037-2038
2038-2039
2039-2040
2040-2041
2041-2042
2042-2043
2043-2044
2044-2045
2045-2046
2046-2047
2047-2048
2048-2049
2049-2050
2050-2051
2051-2052
2052-2053
2053-2054
2054-2055
2055-2056
2056-2057
2057-2058
2058-2059
2059-2060
2060-2061
2061-2062
2062-2063
2063-2064
2064-2065
2065-2066
2066-2067
2067-2068
2068-2069
2069-2070
2070-2071
2071-2072
2072-2073
2073-2074
2074-2075
2075-2076
2076-2077
2077-2078
2078-2079
2079-2080
2080-2081
2081-2082
2082-2083
2083-2084
2084-2085
2085-2086
2086-2087
2087-2088
2088-2089
2089-2090
2090-2091
2091-2092
2092-2093
2093-2094
2094-2095
2095-2096
2096-2097
2097-2098
2098-2099
2099-20100

**Report of the Executive Department and Comptroller
of the State of Oregon
Report of the Executive Department and Comptroller, First
Semester.**

New Directions in Planning and Design of Residential Areas
Berlin-Veddel

W. G. Felt, DeMolay, and others, members of the Masonic Lodge at Denver, presented a resolution to the Board of Directors of the Denver DeMolay Chapter, asking that the DeMolay boys be allowed to attend the meetings of the Lodge.

10. The following table shows the number of hours worked by each employee in a company.

19. The following table gives the number of deaths from smallpox in the United States during the year 1800.

Differentiation of the *liver* from the *gut* is a process which begins in the *embryo*.

19. The following table shows the number of hours worked by each of the 1000 workers.

1. The first step in the process of socialization is the birth of the child.

Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System 1975

Issued March 1977

NCJRS

MAY 16 1977

ACQUISITIONS

PHOTOGRAPHIC

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Griffin B. Bell,
Attorney General

Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
National Criminal Justice
Information and Statistics Service
SD-EE No. 9

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Juanita M. Kreps
Secretary

Bureau of the Census
State and Local Government
Special Studies, No. 82

**LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE
ADMINISTRATION**

Richard W. Velde
Administrator

Paul K. Wormeli
Deputy Administrator

Harry Bratt, Assistant Administrator
National Criminal Justice Information
and Statistics Service

Benjamin H. Renshaw, Director
Statistics Division

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Robert L. Hagan,
Acting Director

Shirley Kallek
Associate Director for
Economic Fields

Sherman Landau, Chief
Governments Division

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared jointly by the Bureau of the Census and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. In the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, general supervision was provided by Charles R. Kindermann, with project direction by Sue A. Lindgren and editorial supervision by Marilyn Marbrook, all of the Statistics Division. In the Bureau of the Census, Governments Division, general supervision was provided by Alan R. Jones and Diana M. Cull. Significant contributions were made by Bernice J. Brigham, Victoria E. Campbell, Ora E. Dorsey, Purnell E. Johnson, Edward C. Mallo Jr., and Joan B. Taylor; and Operations units under the supervision of Ulvey S. Harris and Howard S. Sales. Preparation of publication copy was supervised by Helen D. Files. In the Field Division, general coordination was provided by E. Wilson Burdorff; and in Data Preparation Division by Clyde N. Rains.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 76-7484

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Department of Justice, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and U.S. Bureau of the Census, **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1975** U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1977

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402 - Price \$4.40

Stock No. 003-024-01370-2

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Table A. Total criminal justice direct expenditure and percent change by level of government, fiscal years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974, and 1975	1
Table B. Total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees and percent change, by level of government, October 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974, and 1975	2
Table C. Percent distribution of total direct expenditure for the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, fiscal year 1975	3
Table D. Percent distribution of full-time equivalent employment in the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, October 1975	3
Figure 1. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure by level of government, fiscal year 1975	4
Figure 2. Percent distribution of criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment by level of government, October 1975	4
Figure 3. Federal Government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1975	5
Figure 4. Federal Government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1975	5
Table E. Percent distribution of Federal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1975	6
Table F. Average monthly salaries for Federal full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1975	6
Figure 5. State government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1975	7
Figure 6. State government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1975	7
Table G. Percent distribution of State criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1975	8
Table H. Average monthly salaries for State full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1975	8
Figure 7. Local government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1975	9
Figure 8. Local government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1975	9
Figure 9. County government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1975	10
Figure 10. County government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1975	10
Figure 11. Municipal government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1975	11
Figure 12. Municipal government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1975	11
Table I. Percent distribution of county criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1975	12
Table J. Percent distribution of municipal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1975	12
Table K. Average monthly salaries for county full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1975	13
Table L. Average monthly salaries for municipal full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1975	13
Table M. Criminal justice system direct expenditure and employment of independent cities and consolidated city-county governments, fiscal year 1975	14
Table N. Total criminal justice direct expenditure of all local governments and local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, fiscal year 1975	14
Table O. Relative standard errors of local government totals of criminal justice expenditure	16

CONTENTS—Continued

Section I. VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

Table	Page
1. Percent distribution of total criminal justice expenditure from own sources of State and local governments, by State, fiscal year 1975	19

Section II. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA

2. Percent distribution of expenditure for the criminal justice system, by level of government, fiscal year 1975	21
3. Percent distribution of employment and payrolls for the criminal justice system, by level of government, October 1975	21
4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	22
5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government, October 1975	24
6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975	26
7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975	34
8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975	42
9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1975	46
10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1975	54
11. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1975	62
12. Criminal justice system employment of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1975	66
13. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1975	70
14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975	74
15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975	86
16. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	98
17. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 394 large city governments, October 1975	104
18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975	116
19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975	128
20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975	138
21. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 334 large county governments, October 1975	148
22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975	158
23. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	168

Section III. POLICE PROTECTION

24. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975	174
25. Police protection expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	179
26. Police protection expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	185

Section IV. JUDICIAL

27. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975	193
28. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of State governments, fiscal year 1975	198
29. Judicial expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	199
30. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975	205
31. Judicial expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	211
32. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1975	218
33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975	220

Section V. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975 ..	233
35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975 ..	238
36. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975 ..	244

Section VI. PUBLIC DEFENSE

37. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975	252
38. Public defense expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	257
39. Indigent defense expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	263

CONTENTS—Continued

Section VII. CORRECTIONS

Table	Page
40. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975	271
41. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of State governments, fiscal year 1975.....	276
42. Corrections expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975	277
43. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975	283
44. Corrections expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975.....	289
45. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975	296
46. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1975.....	299
47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975.....	302
48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments, October 1975	312

Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

49. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975	327
Appendix 1: Exhibit tables A, B, C, and D.....	333
Appendix 2: Definitions of Terms	359
Appendix 3: Survey Forms	363

TABLE FINDING GUIDE

Subjects by Type of Government and Table Designation

This guide lists all subjects covered in this report, but does not indicate all the detail shown in some tables, e.g., percent distributions, capital outlay, employment by full-time and full-time equivalent, etc. Unless otherwise noted, expenditure data are for FY 1975 and employment and payroll data for October 1975. Symbols appear with some table designations to indicate that only U.S. summary data are shown. A guide to the symbols appears at the end of the matrix.

Subject	Total U.S.	Federal government	State governments	Local governments			
				Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	334 large counties	394 large cities
CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM							
Direct expenditure: FY '71, '72, '73, '74, '75	A	A	A*	A*			
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2*,6,8	2*,6,8		19,23	14,16
Total expenditure from own sources (variable pass-through)			1	1			
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C*,7	C*,M,N*,7	N**,11	20	15
Number of employees: FY '71, '72, '73, '74, '75	B	B	B*	B*			
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D*,G*,3*, 9	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	21	17
Payroll	E,3	E,3,5	G*,3*,10	I†,J‡,3*, 10	13	22	18
POLICE PROTECTION¹							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2*,6,24	2*,6,24		19,25	14,26
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C*,7	C*,M,N*,7	N**,11	20	15
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D*,G*,3*, 9	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	21	17
Payroll	3	E,3,5	G*,3*,10	I†,J‡,3*,10	13	22	18
Average monthly salaries		F	H*	K†,L‡			
JUDICIAL							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2*,6,27	2*,6,27		19,29	14,31
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C*,7,28	C*,M,N*,7	N**,11	20,30	15
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D*,G*,3*, 9,32	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	21,33	17
Payroll	3	E,3,5	G*,3*, 10,32	I†,J‡,3*, 10	13	22,33	18
Average monthly salaries		F	H*	K†,L‡			
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll, by type of court and miscellaneous			28,32			30,33	

Subject	Total U.S.	Federal government	State governments	Local governments			
				Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	334 large counties	394 large cities
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION							
Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2*,6,34	2*,6,34		19,35	14,36
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C*,7	C*,M,N*,7	N**,11	20	15
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D*,G*, 3*,9	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	21	17
Payroll	3	E,3,5	G*, 3*, 10	I†,J‡,3*,10	13	22	18
Average monthly salaries		F	H*	K†,I‡			
PUBLIC DEFENSE							
Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2*,6,37	2*,6,37		19,38	14,39
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C*,7	C*,M,N*,7	N**,11	20	15
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D*,G*, 3*,9	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	21	17
Payroll	3	E,3,5	G*, 3*, 10	I†,J‡,3*,10	13	22	18
Average monthly salaries		F	H*	K†,L‡			
CORRECTIONS							
Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2*,6,40	2*,6,40		19,42	14,44
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C*,7,41	C*,M,N*,7	N**,11	20,43	15,45
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D*,G*,3*, 9,46	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	21,47	17,48
Payroll	3	E,3,5	G*,3*, 10,46	I†,J‡,3*, 10	13	22,47	18,48
Average monthly salaries		F	H*	K†,L‡			
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll for correctional institutions, by type, and for administration, probation/parole/pardon, and miscellaneous			41,46			43,47	45,48
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE							
Total expenditure: direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2*,6,49	2*,6,49		19	14
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C*,7	C*,M,N*,7	N**,11	20	15
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D*,G*, 3*,9	D*,I†,J‡, 3*,9	12	21	17
Payroll	E,3	E,3,5	G*, 3*, 10	I†,J‡,3*,10	13	22	18
Average monthly salaries		F	H*	K†,L‡			

¹ Exhibit Tables A and B in Appendix 1 present expenditure and employment data for large special police forces which are part of independent school districts or special districts. These data are not included in other police protection data in this report.

Exhibit Tables C and D in Appendix 1 present expenditure and employment data for special police forces which are part of State and local colleges and universities. These data are included in other police protection data in this report.

*Table shows total data for combined state or local governments only; it does not give data for individual state or local governments.

†Table shows data for combined county governments only; it does not give data for individual counties.

‡Table shows data for combined municipal governments only; it does not give data for individual municipalities.

**Table shows data for 17 SMSA's combined; it does not give data for individual SMSA's.

IMPORTANT

We have provided a User Evaluation Questionnaire at the end of this publication. It will assist us in improving future reports if you will complete and return it at your earliest convenience. The questionnaire is a self-mailing form and requires no postage.

INTRODUCTION

This publication is the ninth in a series of annual reports that present public expenditure and employment data on criminal justice activities in the United States. In this report, expenditure data cover the fiscal year (FY) 1975, and employment data are for the month of October 1975. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for a discussion of the variation in some fiscal year ending dates.

As in previous years, specific data are supplied for the Federal Government, each of the 50 State governments, and the aggregate local level of government within each State. Survey coverage was designed to produce reliable estimates for each State of the percent of total State and local law enforcement expenditure funded and expended by units of general local government. These estimates, shown in table 1, are necessary for compliance with the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended. Data are published separately for the aggregate county governments and the aggregate municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) within each State, for each of the 334 counties with a 1973 population of 100,000 or more, for the 394 cities with a 1973 population of 50,000 or more, and for the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's).

Historical overview

Although this annual series of reports began in fiscal year 1967, caution should be exercised in comparing data in the FY 1971 and later volumes with data in earlier volumes. Beginning with FY 1971, the survey was greatly expanded: The survey panel of governmental units was increased by a third, and the number of units for which data are compiled in the field by specially trained agents was more than doubled. Data collection further benefited from a growing familiarity with the criminal

justice systems of the various States and of the individual units within the States. Data from the FY 1971 through FY 1975 annual volumes are essentially comparable; these data were collected in each year from the same panel of governments and were edited and processed in a uniform fashion. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for more detail.

Table A shows the steady rise in expenditure for criminal justice activities at all levels of government over the 5 years. The number of full-time equivalent criminal justice employees also increased at all levels of government but at a much slower rate than expenditure (see table B). A report entitled Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-1974, which summarizes data from the annual publications, is available. The next trends report, covering fiscal years 1971 through 1975, is being prepared for release in the spring of 1977.

Obtaining copies of reports

Copies of the annual and trends reports are available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; U.S. Department of Commerce District Offices; and the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Washington, D.C. 20531.

Organization of this report

In this introductory text, Federal, State, and local government criminal justice expenditure and employment data are discussed and compared with 1974 data. Accompanying tables and charts are followed by a description of survey methodology, data sources, and limitations.

**Table A. Total criminal justice direct expenditure and percent change by level of government, fiscal years
1971, 1972, 1973, 1974, and 1975**

Level of government	Amount (millions of dollars)					Percent increase or decrease (-)				
	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1971 to 1972	1972 to 1973	1973 to 1974	1974 to 1975	1971 to 1975
Total ¹	10,517	11,732	13,007	14,851	17,249	11.6	10.9	14.2	16.1	64.0
Federal ¹	21,215	1,502	1,651	1,859	2,188	23.6	9.9	12.6	17.7	280.1
State.....	2,681	2,948	3,304	3,900	4,612	10.0	12.1	18.0	18.3	72.0
Local.....	6,621	7,281	8,052	9,092	10,449	10.0	10.6	12.9	14.9	57.8

Note: Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

¹Federal Government data for fiscal years 1971, 1972, 1973, and 1974 have been revised, with resulting revisions made to total.

²The Federal expenditure amount shown for 1971 does not include data for the Office of Building Security Services of the Federal Reserve System; the Judicial, Prevention and Enforcement Services Division of the Bureau of Indian Affairs; or the Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency at the National Institute of Mental Health, as these agencies were not included in the 1971 survey.

INTRODUCTION

**Table B. Total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees and percent change, by level of government,
October 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974, and 1975**

Level of government	Number of employees					Percent increase or decrease (-)				
	October 1971	October 1972	October 1973	October 1974	October 1975	October 1971 to October 1972	October 1972 to October 1973	October 1973 to October 1974	October 1974 to October 1975	October 1971 to October 1975
Total	861,776	898,305	945,309	1,011,205	1,050,503	4.2	5.2	7.0	3.9	21.9
Federal....	177,523	85,222	87,139	93,755	96,136	19.9	2.2	7.6	2.5	124.0
State.....	205,859	216,603	232,299	252,588	263,208	5.2	7.2	8.7	4.2	27.9
Local.....	578,394	596,480	625,871	664,862	691,159	3.1	4.9	6.2	4.0	19.5

¹The Federal employment figure shown for October 1971 does not include employees at the Office of Building Security Services of the Federal Reserve System; the Judicial, Prevention and Enforcement Services Division of the Bureau of Indian Affairs; or the Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency at the National Institute of Mental Health, as these agencies were not canvassed in the 1971 survey.

Following the introductory text are the main tables, which are organized into three major parts. Section I presents "variable pass-through" data (table 1), with an accompanying explanation of this unique concept. Section II presents summary data for the criminal justice system at the Federal, State, and local government levels and for selected SMSA's and individual governments, showing the interrelationship of the various sectors of the system (tables 2-23). Sections III-VIII cover each of the six "sectors" of the criminal justice system individually, providing where possible a further breakdown of data on particular criminal justice activities (tables 24-49).

In addition, appendix 1 includes two tables that show expenditure and employment data for special police force activities in selected school districts and special districts not included in the summary totals for general local governments. For the first time, appendix 1 includes two tables that show expenditure and employment data for campus police forces of State and local governments—data which are included in the summary tables. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for a discussion of the differences in general local governments and independent school districts and special districts in the presentation of data in this report.

Immediately preceding this introduction, a table-finding guide has been provided for quick reference to the subjects covered. The guide cross-references all subjects contained in this report pertaining to criminal justice activities by all governments combined and each level of government—Federal, State, and local. Local governments are further broken down into counties and municipalities.

Definitions for concepts, categories and terms used in this report are contained in appendix 2. Two concepts that recur frequently, however, are worth noting here. Total expenditure is comprised of direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for criminal justice activities. In most expenditure tables, certain totals must be adjusted to exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. For example, money paid by a State government to a county government within that State is reported by the State government as an intergovernmental expenditure and by the county government as a direct expenditure when the money is spent. Therefore, in order to arrive at a combined State-local government total that does not duplicate these amounts, intergovern-

mental expenditure amounts are deducted from the State-local total, since those amounts are also reflected in the direct expenditures of the recipient governments. The same is true of intergovernmental payments between counties and municipalities within the same State. Full-time equivalent employment is a statistical measure that represents the total number of employees (full and part-time), discounted by applying average full-time earning rates. It is calculated by dividing the total payroll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payroll and multiplying the resultant quotient by the number of full-time employees.

It is also important to note that in this report the judicial, legal services and prosecution, and public defense categories include expenditure and employment data for both criminal and civil justice activities because available source documents for many governments do not segregate these activities for courts or agencies that handle both. Nor are the State and local government officials who provide the survey data able to make this break reliably and consistently. Therefore, in the absence of a consistent and reliable basis for proration, and to preserve uniformity in the collection and presentation of data, both criminal and civil activities are included for these categories.

Appendix 3 exhibits the mail questionnaires used in the 1975 survey, followed by a user evaluation questionnaire soliciting comments from readers.

General, fiscal year 1975

Local governments continued to spend more than the Federal and State governments combined for all criminal justice activities. Figure 1 shows that local governments accounted for 61 percent of all direct criminal justice expenditure; State governments contributed 26 percent and the Federal government 13 percent. However, when each activity is examined separately, the proportion accounted for by the different levels of government varied throughout the criminal justice system.

As seen in table C, four of the activities—police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, and public defense, were supported mainly by local governments. For the first time in 1975, local governments also expended the largest amount for activities included under "other criminal justice." State governments contributed the largest amounts for corrections.

Table C. Percent distribution of total direct expenditure for the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, fiscal year 1975

Level of government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal ¹	12.7	14.9	8.0	19.0	31.0	5.6	23.9
State.....	26.7	15.5	24.1	23.1	23.4	57.1	37.9
Local.....	60.6	69.6	67.9	57.9	45.6	37.3	38.2

¹Federal Government data for fiscal years 1971, 1972, 1973, and 1974 have been revised. See text table A.

Relationships similar to those found for expenditure among the various levels of government also apply to employment. Figure 2 shows that almost two-thirds of the total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees of all governments were employed by local governments. As seen in table D, the proportion of people employed by the three levels of government in each sector generally approximated the proportion of expenditures made in the sector. An exception to this pattern is seen in the public defense sector, where the Federal government accounted for 31 percent of the total expenditure, but only 3 percent of the employees. This is because the Federal government makes more extensive use of court-appointed counsel systems, whereby fees are paid to private counsel to defend indigent clients accused of crimes, than do State and local governments which more frequently hire employees directly to provide public defense services. It should also be noted that 82 percent of Federal public defense expenditure was accounted for by the Community Services Administration's legal services program (formerly a part of OEO) for counseling indigent persons in purely civil matters. Other Federal public defense programs service clients involved in criminal matters or mixed criminal and civil matters.

Federal Government (tables 4 and 5)

General expenditure by the Federal government totaled \$252,707 million in fiscal year 1975. Of this amount, \$3,019 million, or 1 percent, was expended on criminal justice activities. The \$3,019 million represents an increase of \$417 million, or 16 percent over the \$2,602 million expended in FY 1974.

The police protection sector accounted for the largest portion of the overall dollar increase: \$240 million. However, the legal services and prosecution sector accounted for the largest percentage increase, 51 percent or \$59.5 million, reflecting large increases within the Department of Justice for the Executive

Office of U.S. Attorneys, up \$28.8 million, and the Office of Management and Finance, up \$14.7 million.

Increases also occurred in the judicial sector, up 21 percent; in "other criminal justice," up 11 percent; and in corrections, up 2 percent. The public defense sector showed a slight decrease of \$4.6 million or 5 percent.

Figure 3 shows that as in previous years, the largest share of Federal criminal justice money was expended for police protection activities, including those agencies that enforce Federal law and those that provide security and police services to Federal property and buildings. Of the \$3,019 million in total Federal criminal justice expenditure, nearly half (or \$1,464 million) was for agencies in the police protection sector, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation (\$417 million), the Bureau of Customs (\$288 million), the Drug Enforcement Administration (\$126 million), and the Postal Inspection Service (\$105 million). The second largest block of Federal criminal justice expenditure (\$882 million or 29 percent) was reported by agencies in the "other criminal justice" sector, primarily by LEAA with FY 1975 expenditure of \$852 million. The other four sectors accounted for 22 percent of Federal criminal justice expenditure.

If Federal grants to State and local governments are not included, the increase over 1974 in Federal criminal justice direct expenditure (current operating expenses and capital outlay) was 18 percent.


The number of full-time equivalent Federal employees in criminal justice activities increased 3 percent from October 1974 to October 1975. There were 96,136 full-time equivalent employees in October 1975, including 69,196 (72 percent) in the police protection sector; 10,707 (11 percent) in the corrections sector; 7,099 (7 percent) in the legal services and prosecution sector; and 7,278 (8 percent) in the judicial sector

Table D. Percent distribution of full-time equivalent employment in the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, October 1975

Level of government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal.....	7.1	11.1	5.5	12.8	2.9	4.8	23.1
State.....	25.1	14.8	19.4	22.3	40.1	56.5	46.6
Local.....	65.8	74.1	75.1	64.9	57.0	38.7	30.3


INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure by level of government, fiscal year 1975




¹ Federal government data for fiscal years 1971, 1972, 1973, and 1974 have been revised. See text table A. For each level of government, this graph depicts direct expenditure only and excludes intergovernmental expenditure to avoid duplication, since payments from one level of government to another are reflected in the direct expenditures of the recipient level of government. On the other hand, figures 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 depict total expenditure, including both direct and intergovernmental expenditure, of individual levels and types of governments. See text and Appendix 2: Definitions of terms.

FIGURE 2. Percent distribution of criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment by level of government, October 1975



**FIGURE 3. Federal government criminal justice system total expenditure,
fiscal year 1975**


\$3,019 million¹



¹ Federal government data for fiscal years 1971, 1972, 1973, and 1974 have been revised. See text table A.

**FIGURE 4. Federal Government criminal justice system full-time equivalent
employment, October 1975**

96,136



INTRODUCTION

Table E. Percent distribution of Federal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1975
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1975 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1975 payroll
Total.....	96,136	\$145,110	100.0	100.0
Police protection.....	69,196	102,289	72.0	70.5
Judicial.....	7,278	13,118	7.6	9.0
Legal services.....	7,099	11,270	7.4	7.8
Public defense.....	185	315	0.1	0.2
Corrections.....	10,707	15,693	11.1	10.8
Other criminal justice.....	1,671	2,425	1.8	1.7

(see figure 4). Public defense and "other criminal justice" together accounted for only 1,856 full-time equivalent employees (2 percent) although these two sectors accounted for more than 32 percent of the total Federal criminal justice expenditure. As previously mentioned, the greatest portion of public defense expenditure was for court-appointed counsel, who are not considered regular public employees, and the greatest portion of the "other criminal justice" expenditure was in the form of intergovernmental grants.

The 96,136 Federal employees drew an October 1975 payroll of \$145.1 million; each sector's percentage of the total payroll was generally proportionate to its percentage of total employees (see table E). There is a slight disparity in some sectors because of a concentration of higher paid employees. For example, the judicial sector had 8 percent of the employees but received 9 percent of the payroll. Average monthly salaries by sector are shown in table F.

Table F. Average monthly salaries for Federal full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1975

Activity	October 1975
Police protection.....	\$1,478
Judicial.....	1,802
Legal services.....	1,587
Public defense.....	1,703
Corrections.....	1,466
Other criminal justice.....	1,451

As in past years, the corrections sector accounted for the largest portion of State criminal justice expenditure (\$2,292 million, or 43 percent); these expenditures included the operation of State prison systems and, in many States, the operation of the probation and parole systems as well. The balance of State criminal justice expenditure was distributed as follows: Police protection, \$1,578 million, or 30 percent; judicial, \$561 million, or 11 percent; legal services and prosecution, \$219 million, or 4 percent; public defense, \$73 million, or 1 percent; and "other criminal justice," \$598 million, or 11 percent (see figure 5).

Increases in expenditure were accompanied by increases in criminal justice employment and payroll in all sectors. The

number of full-time equivalent employees in State criminal justice agencies increased 4 percent and payroll increased 11 percent between October 1974 and October 1975. The 263,208 employees (10 percent of the total 2,727,462 full-time equivalent employees on State payrolls) received \$281 million in salaries, wages, fees, and commissions in the month of October 1975. The percentage of total employees in each sector was generally proportionate to the percentage of total expenditure in each sector with the exception of "other criminal justice" (see figures 5 and 6).

State governments (tables 6-10)

The total general expenditure of State governments in FY 1975 was \$138.3 billion, of which \$5.3 billion or 4 percent was expended on criminal justice activities, about the same proportion as in past years. This represents an increase of \$775 million or 17 percent in criminal justice expenditure from the previous fiscal year, slightly less than the 18 percent increase from 1973 to 1974.


The increase in expenditure by State governments was reflected in all criminal justice sectors. The public defense sector at the State level showed the largest percentage increase, up 26 percent; but this increase was considerably less than the 39 percent increase in FY 1974 and the 64 percent increase in FY 1973.

As with public defense, the "other criminal justice" sector continued to expand but at a much slower rate—8 percent in FY 1975 compared to a 23 percent increase in FY 1974 and a 49 percent increase in FY 1973. The rate of expansion over 5 years, in this sector more than any other, reflects the growth pattern of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration; several years of rapid growth were followed by a gradual leveling off as the program matured. Intergovernmental grants to local governments continued to increase but at a lower rate—\$62.6 million more in FY 1975 than in FY 1974 for all criminal justice activities.

The remaining 4 sectors showed rates of increase similar to those of previous years: Corrections increased by 21 percent (compared to an 18 percent increase in FY 1974); legal services by 21 percent (compared to a 25 percent increase in FY 1974); judicial by 18 percent (compared to 14 percent); and police protection by 14 percent (compared to 17 percent).


**FIGURE 5. State government criminal justice system total expenditure,
fiscal year 1975**

\$5,321 million



**FIGURE 6. State government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment,
October 1975**

263,208



INTRODUCTION

**Table G. Percent distribution of State criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll,
by activity, October 1975**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1975 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1975 payroll
Total.....	263,208	1280,593	100.0	100.0
Police protection.....	92,445	97,737	35.1	34.8
Judicial.....	25,578	37,372	9.7	13.3
Legal services.....	12,334	15,615	4.7	5.6
Public defense.....	2,547	3,057	1.0	1.1
Corrections.....	126,933	123,252	48.2	43.9
Other criminal justice.....	3,371	3,561	1.3	1.3

¹Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

Public defense, which showed the greatest increase in expenditure, actually experienced a slight decrease (3 percent) in full-time equivalent employees, from 2,625 employees in October 1974 to 2,547 employees in October 1975. The increase in expenditure in this sector reflects a growing use of court-appointed counsel systems. The other sectors experienced modest increases in employment, ranging from a 3 percent increase in police protection employees to a 7 percent increase in judicial employees.

The October 1975 payroll of \$281 million was divided among the six criminal justice sectors roughly in proportion to the number of employees in the sector (see table G). For those sectors characterized by higher-paid employees, namely judicial, legal services, and public defense (see table H), the sector's share of payroll of course exceeded the sector's share of employees.

local governments (i.e., all expenditure except intergovernmental payments) amounted to \$10,449 million in fiscal year 1975, a 15 percent increase from FY 1974.

Total local full-time equivalent employment was also distributed among the six sectors roughly in the same proportions as total expenditures (see figures 7 and 8). Two slight exceptions to this generalization are the public defense sector, where payments are frequently made to private court-appointed counsel who are not direct employees of the government, and the "other criminal justice" sector, where expenditures are frequently grant funds used to assist with operations of private agencies associated with criminal justice activities or used for construction of criminal justice facilities. In both instances, large expenditures may be made without the governments involved directly employing the workers.

Analyzing local criminal justice expenditure in total, however, tends to obscure important differences between the county level of government and the municipal level. Municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) outspent county governments on criminal justice by almost 2 to 1 overall (\$6,697 million to \$3,896 million), but the difference can be attributed almost exclusively to the police sector, where the municipal governments outspent the county governments by better than a 4 to 1 margin. Municipal governments spent \$5,574 million (or 83 percent of all their criminal justice expenditure) on police protection, more than county governments spent on all six sectors altogether. On a sector-by-sector basis, however, county governments spent substantially more than municipal governments for judicial activities, public defense, and corrections. No one sector dominates county criminal justice expenditure as police protection does municipal expenditure (see figures 9 and 11).

County criminal justice expenditure increased for all activities over FY 1974 by 19 percent. Proportionately the greatest increase occurred in the "other criminal justice" sector, \$20.8 million or 47 percent. As in previous years, counties received and expended increasing amounts of grant funds. Additionally, for the past several years, expenditure in the "other criminal justice" sector has reflected significant capital outlay for the construction of multifunctional criminal justice buildings, e.g., a police-jail facility or a police-court facility. Expenditure increases in the other five sectors ranged from 18 percent in the legal services sector to 29 percent in the public defense sector.

Table H. Average monthly salaries for State full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1975


Activity	October 1975
Police protection.....	\$1,057
Judicial.....	1,461
Legal services.....	1,266
Public defense.....	1,200
Corrections.....	971
Other criminal justice.....	1,056

Local governments: Counties and municipalities
(tables 6-10 and 14-23)

Total expenditure for criminal justice by local governments in fiscal year 1975 was \$10,502 million, a \$1,372 million or 15 percent increase from FY 1974, slightly higher than the 13 percent increase from 1973 to 1974. As in past years, close to two-thirds of this expenditure occurred in the police protection sector (\$6,817 million or 65 percent). The judicial sector and the corrections sector each accounted for 14 percent of expenditure, while the other three sectors accounted for relatively smaller percents (see figure 7). Direct criminal justice expenditure by

**FIGURE 7. Local government criminal justice system total expenditure,
fiscal year 1975**


\$10,502 million¹



¹ Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

**FIGURE 8. Local government criminal justice system full-time equivalent
employment, October 1975**


691,159



INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 9. County government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1975

\$3,896 million¹



¹ Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 10. County government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1975

257,592





FIGURE 11. Municipal government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1975



¹ Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 12. Municipal government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1975




Table I. Percent distribution of county criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1975 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1975 payroll
Total.....	257,592	\$251,535	100.0	¹ 100.0
Police protection.....	89,273	90,874	34.7	36.1
Judicial.....	72,761	64,488	28.2	25.6
Legal services.....	23,261	26,172	9.0	10.4
Public defense.....	3,423	4,629	1.3	1.8
Corrections.....	67,942	64,478	26.4	25.6
Other criminal justice.....	932	893	0.4	0.4

¹Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

At the municipal level, also, the largest proportionate increase year-to-year was in the "other criminal justice" sector, 17 percent; but this increase was considerably less than the 55 percent increase between FY 1973 and FY 1974. Municipal expenditure for the other sectors ranged from a 9 percent increase in legal services to a 17 percent increase in the corrections sector.

At both the county and municipal levels, employment and payrolls were distributed throughout the various sectors in nearly the same percentages as expenditure (see tables I and J). There were 257,592 full-time equivalent county criminal justice employees in October 1975 drawing a monthly payroll of \$252 million. As at the State level, in those sectors with a concentration of higher-paid employees, such as legal services and public defense (see table K), the percentage of total payroll exceeded the percentage of total employees. There were 433,567 full-time equivalent municipal criminal justice employees in October 1975 with a monthly payroll of \$482 million. Among the sectors with a significant number of employees, the legal services and corrections sectors paid the highest average salaries (see table L).

Overall, the number of county full-time equivalent employees in October 1975 increased by 18,421 or 8 percent over October

1974. The police protection sector showed the greatest increase in the number of full-time equivalent employees, 6,205 (8 percent), and the "other criminal justice" sector showed the greatest proportionate increase, 50 percent (311 employees). The number of municipal full-time equivalent employees increased by 2 percent compared with October 1974. The police sector had the greatest increase in the number of full-time equivalent employees, 7,612 (2 percent); and the public defense sector showed the greatest proportionate increase, 13 percent, but the numbers were very small—179 employees in October 1974 and 202 employees in October 1975.

Separate data are presented in tables 19-23 for the 334 county governments with a 1974 population of 100,000 or more. Over one-half (54 percent) of the total 1974 United States population lives in the 334 largest counties, and these counties accounted for more than three-fourths (\$3,033 million) of the total expenditure for criminal justice activities at the county level. These same counties employed 73 percent of all county-level full-time equivalent criminal justice employees (186,958) and paid them 80 percent of the total county criminal justice payroll for October 1975. These figures, of course, reflect the greater need for criminal justice services and the larger salaries in densely populated areas.

Table J. Percent distribution of municipal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1975 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1975 payroll
Total.....	433,567	\$481,634	100.0	100.0
Police protection.....	374,131	417,988	86.3	86.8
Judicial.....	26,371	26,144	6.1	5.4
Legal services.....	12,670	14,638	2.9	3.0
Public defense.....	202	212	0.1	(Z)
Corrections.....	18,938	21,213	4.4	4.4
Other criminal justice.....	1,255	1,439	0.3	0.3

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Table K. Average monthly salaries for county full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1975

Activity	October 1975
Police protection.....	\$1,018
Judicial.....	886
Legal services.....	1,125
Public defense.....	1,352
Corrections.....	949
Other criminal justice.....	958

Separate data are presented in tables 14-18 for the 394 city governments with a 1973 population of 50,000 or more. Total criminal justice expenditure by the 394 large city governments amounted to \$4,576 million, and full-time equivalent criminal justice employees numbered 275,991. These 394 cities have slightly more than one-half (53 percent) of the municipal population and accounted for more than two-thirds of the municipal criminal justice expenditure, 68 percent. These same 394 cities employed 64 percent of all municipal full-time equivalent employees working in the criminal justice system and paid them 69 percent of the total municipal criminal justice payroll. Again, as with the large counties, the proportion of payroll exceeded the proportion of employees, reflecting the generally larger salaries in the large metropolitan areas.

Table L. Average monthly salaries for municipal full-time equivalent employees in the criminal justice system, by activity, October 1975

Activity	October 1975
Police protection.....	\$1,117
Judicial.....	991
Legal services.....	1,155
Public defense.....	1,049
Corrections.....	1,120
Other criminal justice.....	1,147

The distribution of expenditure and employment throughout the various criminal justice sectors in the 334 counties and the 394 cities reflects the distribution for counties and cities generally.

It should be noted that in the individual city tables, certain cities displayed are either independent, being wholly outside any county area, or operate wholly or in part as a consolidated city-county. In general, these cities are more similar to large counties than to other large cities in the scope of their criminal justice responsibilities; that is, in addition to police protection, which is the primary criminal justice function in most cities, these cities also operate extensive judicial and corrections systems and may have significant public defense expenditures. The independent cities are: Washington, D.C.; Baltimore, Md.; St. Louis, Mo.; and the following cities in Virginia: Alexandria, Chesapeake, Hampton, Lynchburg, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Richmond, Roanoke, Virginia Beach, and 31 others, 23 of which are included in the survey sample and are

shown in table M below. Data are not available for the eight cities not included in the survey sample. The consolidated city-county governments are: San Francisco, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Jacksonville, Fla.; Columbus, Ga.; Honolulu, Hawaii; Indianapolis, Ind.; Lexington, Ky.; Baton Rouge, La.; New Orleans, La.; Boston, Mass.; New York City, N.Y.; Philadelphia, Pa.; Nashville-Davidson, Tenn.; and four others that are not displayed in the individual city tables because they had populations of less than 50,000 in 1973. They are: Juneau, Alaska; Sitka, Alaska; Nantucket, Mass.; and Carson City, Nev. All 17, however, are displayed in table M below to show their effect on total municipal direct expenditure and employment for all criminal justice functions.

Because New York City's criminal justice expenditure accounted for 50 percent of the combined total of independent cities and consolidated city-counties, the effect of these units on total municipal expenditure is less dramatic when New York City is removed, dropping from 29 percent to 17 percent. Similarly, New York City accounts for 45 percent of total criminal justice employment for this group, and when it is removed, the impact on total municipal employment is reduced from 24 percent to 14 percent.

Local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's (tables 11, 12, and (tables 11, 12, and 13)

Data are presented on criminal justice expenditure, employment, and payroll of all governments in the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) and within the individual SMSA for each of its component county areas. The county area data include aggregate figures for the county government and for all municipalities within that county. In a few instances data for individual cities are listed separately in the SMSA tables because the city is either the only government within a county area, e.g., consolidated city-county governments such as Philadelphia or New York City, or the city is organizationally independent and within no recognized county boundary, e.g., St. Louis, Mo., or Baltimore, Md. The State Economic Area (SEA) rather than the SMSA is shown for Boston because the SMSA's in New England are made up of the towns rather than the counties, a deviation from the general SMSA concept. The SEA, on the other hand, is made up of counties.

As seen in table 11, the 17 largest SMSA's expended \$4,987 million for criminal justice activities in FY 1975. Almost half (48 percent) of the Nation's total local criminal justice direct expenditure was made by governments in these areas, where 30 percent of the 1970 United States population resides. On a sector-by-sector basis, governments in these SMSA's accounted for 48 percent of all local government direct expenditure for police protection; 47 percent of local expenditure for judicial activities; 44 percent of local expenditure for legal services and prosecution activities; 50 percent of local expenditure for public defense activities; 49 percent of all local expenditure for corrections activities; and 41 percent of local expenditure for "other criminal justice" activities (see table N).

The local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's employed 288,520 full-time equivalent personnel in criminal justice, or 42 percent of the total number of local government full-time equivalent employees working in criminal justice. The percentage of all local government employees working in each criminal justice sector in these SMSA's was police protection, 42 percent; judicial, 40 percent; legal services and prosecution, 39 percent; public defense, 46 percent; corrections, 44 percent; and "other criminal justice," 51 percent.

Table M. Criminal justice system direct expenditure and employment of independent cities and consolidated city-county governments, fiscal year 1975
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total criminal justice system		State and type of government	Total criminal justice system	
	Direct expenditure	Total employees		Direct expenditure	Total employees
United States:					
Local governments, total ¹ .	10,448,613	756,627	Kentucky:		
Counties, total.....	4,040,844	306,080	Lexington-Fayette.....	9,329	683
Municipalities, total ¹ ..	7,155,858	509,765	Louisiana:		
Independent cities and consolidated city-county governments.....	2,103,770	120,098	Baton Rouge-East Baton Rouge.....	15,368	1,406
Alaska:			New Orleans-Orleans.....	40,261	3,178
Juneau.....	894	35	Maryland:		
Sitka.....	261	20	Baltimore.....	81,621	5,616
California:			Massachusetts:		
San Francisco.....	75,513	4,002	Boston-Suffolk.....	89,799	4,485
Colorado:			Nantucket.....	468	51
Denver.....	40,924	2,368	Missouri:		
District of Columbia.....	200,378	9,525	St. Louis.....	57,383	3,978
Florida:			Nevada:		
Jacksonville-Duval.....	26,566	1,807	Carson City.....	916	69
Georgia:			New York:		
Columbus-Muscogee.....	6,881	604	New York--Bronx, Kings, New York, Queens, Richmond.....	1,054,895	54,454
Hawaii:			Pennsylvania:		
Honolulu.....	29,397	1,981	Philadelphia.....	232,500	14,974
Indiana:			Tennessee:		
Indianapolis-Marion.....	30,379	2,746	Nashville-Davidson.....	22,491	1,755
			Virginia:		
			Independent cities (33) ² .	87,546	6,361

¹Expenditure and employment data for independent cities and consolidated city-county governments are included.

²There are 41 independent cities in Virginia; however, 8 of these cities are not included in the survey sample.

Table N. Total criminal justice direct expenditure of all local governments and local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, fiscal year 1975

(Millions of dollars)

Local government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
All local governments.....	\$10,449	\$6,813	\$1,405	\$540	\$128	\$1,434	\$129
Local governments in 17 largest SMSA's.....	4,987	3,266	663	237	64	705	53
Percent of all local governments ¹	47.7	47.9	47.2	43.9	50.0	49.2	41.1

¹Percentages based on unrounded figures shown in tables 7 and 11.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY—SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA

General

Survey coverage and collection methods are described in detail later in the text, and the definitions applied in the collection of data are presented in appendix 2.

As in the previous editions of this annual series, several tables show comparisons of criminal justice expenditure and full-time equivalent employment with the total general expenditure and full-time equivalent employment for all functions of the particular government or level of government. Prior to the FY 1971 report, local government total expenditure and full-time equivalent employment included data for independent school districts and special districts as well as for general local governments, i.e., counties, municipalities, and townships.

The "variable pass-through" provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control Act of 1970, however, required that the concept of "local" government be confined to units of general local government only in determining the ratio of State-to-local government criminal justice expenditure. Beginning with the FY 1971 report, therefore, the same concept was applied consistently throughout the publication to reflect the intent of the law, and the total general expenditure and total full-time equivalent employment figures shown for the "local" level of government in these comparisons include data for units of general local government only and do not include data for independent school districts or special districts. This change may result in significant differences from the percentages shown in years prior to FY 1971 in those States where education is largely the responsibility of independent school districts, or where there are large special districts. Criminal justice expenditure and employment data in this series, however, have always been for units of general local government only; i.e., no data on the criminal justice activities of independent school districts or special districts have ever been included. As in previous reports, however, appendix 1 presents separate data for those independent school districts and special districts having significant special police expenditure and employment. In addition, appendix 1 includes separate data for campus police of colleges and universities that are dependent agencies of State and local general governments—data that are also included in the summary figures for State and local governments.

Survey coverage

The survey through which basic figures for this report were collected covered the Federal government, all State governments, and a representative sample of local governments within each State. Data were collected for all county governments (regardless of size), for all municipalities (and townships in the New England and Middle Atlantic States) having a 1970 population of 10,000 or more, and for a sample of the remaining municipalities and townships with less than 10,000 population, selected according to the relative size of their annual expenditures as reported in the 1967 Census of Governments. The survey panel therefore included the Federal government, the 50 State governments, and 9,045 local governments (the 3,043 county governments, 4,305 municipalities, and 1,697 townships).

The survey period

Federal Government data are shown for FY 1975, which ended June 30, 1975.

The State expenditure data presented in this report cover the fiscal year ending June 30, 1975, for all States except three

whose fiscal years ended as follows: New York, March 31, 1975; Texas, August 31, 1975; and Alabama, September 30, 1975. However, there are some State agencies that operate on a different fiscal year basis from the rest of the State government. In such instances, figures shown are for the agency's fiscal year that ended within the State's regular fiscal year.

For local governments, the 1975 fiscal years reported are those that closed between July 1, 1974, and June 30, 1975. Most municipalities and counties ended their fiscal years on December 31, 1974, or June 30, 1975.

Employment data shown for Federal, State, and local governments are for October 1975.

Data collection

The survey was accomplished using two methods of data collection: Field compilation and mail canvass. Trained field representatives compiled expenditure and employment data from the governments' own records for the 50 States, the 334 largest counties, the 394 largest cities, and selected smaller units. The compilation work was done between July 1975 and June 1976 in accordance with the definitions presented in appendix 2. All other units in the sample were canvassed by mail for expenditure and employment data, over a 5-month period beginning in January 1976 and ending in May 1976. Response for field-compiled units was 100 percent. The response from mail canvass units was 95 percent. The mail canvass questionnaires are exhibited in appendix 3.

The field survey efforts and mail canvass were supplemented by reference to a variety of published government documents such as budgets, financial statements, and audit reports. In some cases, such sources were the basis for breakdowns of totals into more detailed employment and expenditure figures.

The expenditure and employment data for the Federal Government were collected through a special mail survey, with telephone followup, of Federal criminal justice agencies, in accordance with definitions used for State and local governments (see appendix 2). For reports prior to 1971, expenditure data were derived from the Budget of the United States Government, and employment figures were obtained from the U.S. Civil Service Commission.

Data limitations

The sample selected to develop local government figures is one of all possible samples of the same size that could have been chosen using the same sampling design. Estimates derived from these different samples would differ from each other and also from a complete census using the same data collection procedures. This variation among all possible estimates is sampling error. Because all State and county governments were included in the survey, State and county figures are not subject to sampling error.

The local government sample was designed to produce an estimate for each State of the portion of total criminal justice expenditures made by local governments with a relative sampling error of less than one-half of 1 percent. For the fiscal year 1975 data, the errors were less than one-half of 1 percent in all but 11 States; however, in all States, the errors were less than three-quarters of 1 percent, at the 95 percent confidence level.

The relative sampling error of the State-by-State estimates of local government criminal justice expenditure has been calculated at the two-thirds confidence level and found to be within 3

INTRODUCTION

percent of the estimated totals for all but 2 States and less than 2 percent for 37 States. The results of the computations of standard errors for local government totals on a relative standard error basis are summarized in table O below.

Because State government figures are not subject to sampling variation, the State-local aggregates shown for individual States are relatively more reliable than the local government estimates they include.

The data are also subject to the inaccuracies in classification, response, and processing that would occur if a complete census had been conducted under the same conditions as the sample survey. Every effort was made to keep such errors to a minimum through care in examining, editing, and tabulating the data submitted by government officials. Followup procedures were used extensively to clarify inadequate and inconsistent survey returns.

Readers should be generally cautious in comparing governments, because other differences in functional responsibilities from State to State and government to government can also affect the comparability of expenditure and employment data. For example, some State governments directly administer certain activities that elsewhere are undertaken by local governments, with or without fiscal aid, and the same variation in the division of responsibilities exists for counties and cities.

The available source documents did not consistently provide full itemization of expenditure or employment for the sector subcategories presented in this report. As a result, sector breakdowns may be incomplete for particular governmental units.

Because of rounding, the detail data in some tables may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Changes in survey methodology

As discussed earlier, this series of annual reports dates back to FY 1967. The survey originated in the Bureau of the Census as a special in-house study in which police protection, judicial, and corrections data were extracted for selected large governments from data compiled in the Bureau's regular annual finance and employment sample surveys. In the FY 1969 survey, prosecution and public defense were added to the original three criminal justice categories, coverage was extended to the entire regular annual survey sample of counties and municipalities,

and a mail canvass questionnaire designed specifically to elicit criminal justice data was used for all but the largest governments which were canvassed by field agents. These changes must be taken into account in making year-to-year comparisons from FY 1967 through FY 1970.

In the FY 1970 report, individual unit data were published for 128 large counties (as compared with 55 counties in earlier reports) and for 158 large cities (compared with 48 cities in earlier reports). The Federal expenditure data presented in all reports through FY 1970 were extracted from the Budget of the United States Government, and the employment data were provided by the U.S. Civil Service Commission. Since FY 1971 Federal data have been collected by a special mail canvass.

For the FY 1971 survey, a new and enlarged panel of local governments was drawn specifically for the criminal justice expenditure and employment survey, including all county governments and a larger sample of municipalities and townships, to produce more reliable estimates for use by LEAA in the allocation of block grant funds between State and local governments. The data presented in reports since FY 1971 are therefore essentially comparable, because they are derived from the same sample using the same methods of data collection and processing. The only significant variations occurred in classification of certain expenditure items discussed in detail in the following section.

Changes in classification

In the FY 1971 report, police protection expenditure includes amounts expended for traffic safety and related traffic engineering. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, these expenditures were excluded from the police protection figures. Also, data for special police forces administered by general-purpose governments (such as airport police, park police, housing police, etc.) were included in the police protection figures if the force employed 10 or more full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, data for these forces were included regardless of size.

In the FY 1971 report, corrections expenditure figures covered a number of drug and alcohol abuse facilities and programs administered by assorted agencies, departments, and private organizations receiving public funding. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, these institutions and programs were included only if administered by a corrections agency of the criminal justice system.

Table O. Relative standard errors of local government totals of criminal justice expenditure

0.5 or less	0.5-1.0	1.0-2.0	2.0-3.0	3.0-4.0
Alaska	Arizona	Colorado	New Jersey	Alabama
California	Connecticut	Delaware	New Mexico	Arkansas
Hawaii	Indiana	Florida	North Carolina	Idaho
Nevada	Maryland	Georgia	Ohio	Minnesota
New York	Massachusetts	Illinois	Oklahoma	Missouri
Rhode Island	Michigan	Iowa	Oregon	Nebraska
	Texas	Kansas	Pennsylvania	New Hampshire
		Kentucky	South Dakota	North Dakota
		Louisiana	Tennessee	Utah
		Maine	Vermont	West Virginia
		Mississippi	Virginia	Wisconsin
		Montana	Washington	

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of major trial courts. In the FY 1971 report, an attempt was made to count these judges and their total payroll only at the State level. However, this effort was not uniformly successful. Therefore, beginning with the FY 1972 report, judges actually receiving a check from both the State and local governments were counted as part-time employees at both levels.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA

In addition to this publication, data are also available on magnetic tape and computer printout. For details, contact the

Statistics Division, National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Washington, D.C. 20531.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Bureau of the Census is indebted to the many Federal, State, and local government officials who provided the information and gave other assistance for the preparation of this report.

Section I. VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

The data in this table were developed to comply with the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended, which requires that the block grants made by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration to each State be allocated between the State and local governments according to the ratio of State-to-local law enforcement expenditure from their own revenue sources.

The concept of "expenditure from own sources" specified in the law and used in the data collection means that the expenditure figures from which the State-to-local ratio was developed do not include amounts expended from revenue received from other governments. For example, State or local government expenditure from sales or property tax revenue are included but not amounts expended from intergovernmental revenue, such as Federal grant monies. (It should be noted that this is the only table in this publication based on this concept. All other expenditure tables reflect expenditure from all sources.)

Specifically for local government, any expenditure from revenue received from another local government, or received directly from the Federal Government or from the State government must be excluded. Likewise for State governments, expenditure from revenue received from local governments or from the Federal Government must be excluded.

The following items were deducted from total criminal justice expenditure of local governments to arrive at local government expenditure from own revenue sources: Revenue received directly from the Federal Government for criminal justice purposes, State payments to local governments for criminal justice purposes, and payments from local government(s) to other local government(s) for criminal justice purposes. The same procedure was followed to arrive at State government expenditure from own revenue sources. Criminal justice revenue received directly from the Federal Government and local criminal justice payments to the State government were deducted from the total State expenditure for criminal justice. This procedure assumes that all intergovernmental payments received by a government will be expended during the same fiscal year they were received. While this may not be the case in a particular year, any discrepancy will cancel out over time.

Table 1. Percent distribution of total criminal justice expenditure from own sources of State and local governments, by State, fiscal year 1975
 (Amounts in thousands of dollars)

Item	Expenditures from own sources			Percent distribution	
	Total State-local ¹	State	Local ¹	State	Local
UNITED STATES, TOTAL . . .	13 599 116	4 385 122	9 213 994	32.2	67.8
ALABAMA	119 531	44 650	74 881	37.4	62.6
ALASKA	64 741	53 660	11 081	82.9	17.1
ARIZONA	177 083	55 018	122 065	31.1	68.9
ARKANSAS	58 813	26 763	32 050	45.5	54.5
CALIFORNIA	2 094 267	565 172	1 529 095	27.0	73.0
COLORADO	162 679	65 490	97 189	40.3	59.7
CONNECTICUT	187 934	100 786	87 148	53.6	46.4
DELAWARE	38 181	29 143	9 038	76.3	23.7
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	193 176	-	193 176	-	100.0
FLORIDA	557 781	207 249	350 532	37.2	62.8
GEORGIA	239 117	84 066	155 051	35.2	64.8
HAWAII	55 101	17 547	37 554	31.8	68.2
IDAHO	34 761	14 051	20 710	40.4	59.6
ILLINOIS	698 387	184 713	513 674	26.4	73.6
INDIANA	201 958	72 538	129 420	35.9	64.1
IOWA	110 075	41 350	68 725	37.6	62.4
KANSAS	110 617	50 478	60 139	45.6	54.4
KENTUCKY	126 357	56 319	70 038	44.6	55.4
LOUISIANA	191 240	67 469	123 771	35.3	64.7
MAINE	39 658	21 150	18 508	53.3	46.7
MARYLAND	311 420	167 035	144 385	53.6	46.4
MASSACHUSETTS	412 339	121 460	290 879	29.5	70.5
MICHIGAN	610 330	160 740	449 590	26.3	73.7
MINNESOTA	181 762	47 006	134 756	25.9	74.1
MISSISSIPPI	77 836	36 230	41 606	46.5	53.5
MISSOURI	237 770	60 441	177 329	25.4	74.6
MONTANA	32 749	12 486	20 263	38.1	61.9
NEBRASKA	69 170	26 383	42 787	38.1	61.9
NEVADA	70 156	20 346	49 810	29.0	71.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	33 109	12 363	20 756	37.3	62.7
NEW JERSEY	589 283	157 747	431 536	26.8	73.2
NEW MEXICO	54 551	26 723	27 828	49.0	51.0
NEW YORK	1 979 499	466 727	1 512 772	23.6	76.4
NORTH CAROLINA	261 940	148 933	113 067	56.9	43.1
NORTH DAKOTA	20 125	6 617	13 508	32.9	67.1
OHIO	520 997	173 409	347 588	33.3	66.7
OKLAHOMA	98 142	38 162	59 980	38.9	61.1
OREGON	145 186	51 808	93 378	35.7	64.3
PENNSYLVANIA	657 809	210 120	447 689	31.9	68.1
RHODE ISLAND	48 712	26 551	22 161	54.5	45.5
SOUTH CAROLINA	124 445	61 219	63 226	49.2	50.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	25 397	10 968	14 429	43.2	56.8
TENNESSEE	190 956	65 343	125 613	34.2	65.8
TEXAS	530 134	151 791	378 343	28.6	71.4
UTAH	48 668	22 806	25 862	46.9	53.1
VERMONT	25 237	18 740	6 497	74.3	25.7
VIRGINIA	273 807	138 423	135 384	50.6	49.4
WASHINGTON	210 132	79 712	130 420	37.9	62.1
WEST VIRGINIA	54 338	24 630	29 708	45.3	54.7
WISCONSIN	224 591	75 013	149 578	33.4	66.6
WYOMING	17 069	7 588	9 481	44.5	55.5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

Section II. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA

Table 2. Percent distribution of expenditure for the criminal justice system, by level of government, fiscal year 1975
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity ¹	Amount				Percent distribution		
	All governments ²	Federal Government ²	State governments ²	Local governments ²	Federal Government ²	State governments ²	Local governments ²
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM ³	17 248 860	3 013 566	5 321 378	10 501 604	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	17 248 860	2 187 875	4 612 373	10 448 612	12.7	26.7	60.6
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	630 691	709 005	144 501	(x)	(x)	(x)
POLICE PROTECTION ³	9 786 162	1 464 244	1 577 889	6 817 005	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	9 786 162	1 460 625	1 512 130	6 813 407	14.9	15.5	69.6
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	3 619	65 759	64 620	(x)	(x)	(x)
JUDICIAL ³	2 067 664	165 332	561 291	1 412 763	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	2 067 664	165 332	497 660	1 404 672	8.0	24.1	67.9
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	-	63 631	17 226	(x)	(x)	(x)
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION ³	933 126	177 275	219 247	542 460	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	933 126	177 275	215 997	539 854	19.0	23.1	57.9
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	-	3 250	2 967	(x)	(x)	(x)
PUBLIC DEFENSE ³	280 270	87 017	73 127	127 938	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	280 270	87 017	65 481	127 772	31.0	25.4	45.6
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	-	7 646	545	(x)	(x)	(x)
CORRECTIONS ³	3 843 313	243 113	2 291 749	1 471 470	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	3 843 313	216 778	2 193 000	1 433 535	5.6	57.1	37.3
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	26 335	98 749	58 170	(x)	(x)	(x)
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ³	338 325	881 585	598 075	129 988	(x)	(x)	(x)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	338 325	80 848	128 105	129 372	23.9	37.9	38.2
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(³)	800 737	469 970	1 007	(x)	(x)	(x)

Note: Data in "Local governments" column are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²Data and percent distributions for total criminal justice system and other criminal justice for fiscal years 1971, 1972, 1973 and 1974 are revised. See text.

³The total line for each sector, and for the total criminal justice system, excludes duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount. The intergovernmental expenditure lines are not totaled for the same reason.

Table 3. Percent distribution of employment and payrolls for the criminal justice system, by level of government, October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	All governments ¹	Federal Government	State governments	Local governments ¹	Percent distribution		
					Federal Government	State governments	Local governments
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	1 123 563	97 623	274 319	756 627	8.7	24.3	67.0
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	1 024 505	95 465	257 633	671 407	9.3	25.2	65.5
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	1 050 503	96 136	263 204	691 159	9.1	35.1	65.8
OCTOBER PAYROLL	1 158 872	145 110	230 593	733 169	12.5	24.2	63.3
POLICE PROTECTION:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	669 515	70 087	100 272	499 159	10.5	15.0	74.5
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	612 321	68 924	89 428	453 969	11.3	14.6	74.1
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	625 045	69 196	92 445	463 404	11.1	14.8	74.1
OCTOBER PAYROLL	708 888	102 289	97 737	508 662	14.4	13.8	71.8
JUDICIAL:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	151 534	7 351	26 402	117 781	4.9	17.4	77.7
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	127 940	7 238	24 183	96 519	5.7	18.9	75.4
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	131 938	7 278	25 578	99 132	5.5	19.4	75.1
OCTOBER PAYROLL	141 122	13 118	37 372	90 632	9.3	26.5	64.2
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	61 403	7 323	13 122	40 959	11.0	24.4	66.7
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	50 323	6 992	11 980	31 381	13.9	23.7	62.4
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	55 364	7 099	12 334	35 931	12.8	22.3	64.9
OCTOBER PAYROLL	67 695	11 270	15 615	40 810	16.6	23.1	60.3
PUBLIC DEFENSE:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	6 647	185	2 602	3 860	2.8	39.1	58.1
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	6 021	185	2 518	3 318	3.1	41.8	55.1
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	6 357	185	2 547	3 625	2.9	40.1	57.0
OCTOBER PAYROLL	8 213	315	3 057	4 841	3.8	37.2	58.9
CORRECTIONS:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	232 009	10 894	128 523	92 592	4.7	55.4	39.9
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	220 952	10 647	126 196	84 109	4.8	57.1	38.1
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	224 520	10 707	126 933	86 890	4.8	56.5	38.7
OCTOBER PAYROLL	224 635	15 693	123 292	85 690	7.0	54.9	38.1
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	7 458	1 783	3 398	2 277	23.9	45.6	30.5
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	6 948	1 479	3 358	2 111	21.3	48.3	30.4
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	7 229	1 671	3 371	2 187	23.1	46.6	30.3
OCTOBER PAYROLL	8 319	2 425	3 561	2 333	29.2	42.8	28.0

¹Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975**

(Thousands of dollars)

Item ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL EXPENDITURE, ALL FUNCTIONS	252 707 000	203 079 000	181 281 000	21 798 000	49 628 000	35 451 000	14 177 000
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	3 018 566	2 187 875	2 101 546	86 329	830 601	735 546	95 145
<u>POLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL</u>	<u>1 464 244</u>	<u>1 460 625</u>	<u>1 407 702</u>	<u>52 923</u>	<u>3 619</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3 619</u>
THE CONGRESS:							
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE	16 258	14 497	14 498	449	1 311	-	1 311
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS POLICE FORCE	1 204	1 204	1 204	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES POLICE FORCE	775	775	730	45	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:							
U.S. FOREST SERVICE:							
COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	3 359	1 051	992	59	2 308	-	2 308
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:							
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE:							
U.S. PARK POLICE	11 899	11 899	11 228	671	-	-	-
U.S. PARK RANGERS ²	14 162	14 162	14 052	110	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	125 820	125 820	122 150	3 670	-	-	-
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	416 740	416 740	397 748	18 992	-	-	-
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE:							
U.S. BORDER PATROL	51 147	51 147	46 215	4 932	-	-	-
INVESTIGATION DIVISION	27 137	27 137	27 073	64	-	-	-
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	12 425	12 425	12 245	180	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION:							
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION:							
AIRPORT POLICE	3 056	3 056	2 989	67	-	-	-
U.S. COAST GUARD ²	23 901	23 901	23 759	142	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY:							
BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS	83 085	83 085	77 396	5 689	-	-	-
CONSOLIDATED FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER	2 974	2 974	2 681	293	-	-	-
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE:							
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION	93 934	93 934	89 025	4 909	-	-	-
INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION	10 772	10 772	10 715	57	-	-	-
OFFICE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT	424	424	424	-	-	-	-
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE	287 614	287 614	285 743	1 871	-	-	-
U.S. SECRET SERVICE	78 492	78 492	75 248	3 244	-	-	-
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:							
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE MANAGEMENT	75 985	75 985	71 385	4 600	-	-	-
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION:							
NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE	469	469	469	-	-	-	-
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE	243	243	240	3	-	-	-
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE:							
POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE	105 033	105 033	102 901	2 132	-	-	-
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION:							
SECURITY DIVISION	17 336	17 336	16 592	744	-	-	-
JUDICIAL TOTAL	165 332	165 332	156 919	8 413	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	17 394	17 394	17 143	251	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S. COURTS	4 784	4 784	4 717	67	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER	1 844	1 844	1 708	136	-	-	-
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	5 653	5 653	4 982	671	-	-	-
U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS	1 927	1 927	1 915	12	-	-	-
U.S. COURT OF CUSTOMS AND PATENT APPEALS	659	659	652	7	-	-	-
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS	16 492	16 492	16 177	315	-	-	-
U.S. CUSTOMS COURT	2 147	2 147	2 132	15	-	-	-
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	103 999	103 999	102 141	1 858	-	-	-
U.S. TAX COURT	10 433	10 433	5 352	5 081	-	-	-
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION, TOTAL	177 275	177 275	173 683	3 592	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
ANTITRUST DIVISION	16 767	16 767	16 660	107	-	-	-
BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS	698	698	684	14	-	-	-
CIVIL DIVISION	10 971	10 971	10 959	12	-	-	-
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION	8 254	8 254	8 226	28	-	-	-
CRIMINAL DIVISION	16 665	16 665	16 534	131	-	-	-
LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION	6 550	6 550	6 510	40	-	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

23

**Table 4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Thousands of dollars)

Item ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION--CONTINUED							
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE--CONTINUED							
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL	888	888	875	15	-	-	-
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS	506	506	503	3	-	-	-
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE	22 891	22 891	21 007	1 884	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1 121	1 121	1 107	14	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL	942	942	921	21	-	-	-
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEYS	76 094	76 094	74 874	1 220	-	-	-
OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE	594	594	576	18	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	1 604	1 604	1 568	16	-	-	-
OFFICE OF WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE	2 411	2 411	2 408	3	-	-	-
TAX DIVISION	10 319	10 319	10 253	66	-	-	-
PUBLIC DEFENSE, TOTAL	87 017	87 017	86 874	143	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS	1 701	1 701	1 701	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS	3 465	3 465	3 322	143	-	-	-
REPRESENTATION BY COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL	10 394	10 394	10 394	-	-	-	-
COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:							
LEGAL SERVICES PROGRAM ⁴	71 457	71 457	71 457	-	-	-	-
CORRECTIONS, TOTAL	243 113	216 778	196 009	20 769	26 335	-	26 335
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:							
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE:							
ADDITION RESEARCH CENTER (LEXINGTON, KY.)	1 960	1 960	1 852	108	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
BOARD OF PAROLE	2 938	2 938	2 907	31	-	-	-
BUREAU OF PRISONS	188 014	161 679	142 275	19 404	26 335	-	26 335
OFFICE OF THE PARDON ATTORNEY	191	191	191	-	-	-	-
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	14 909	14 909	14 694	215	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
FEDERAL PROBATION SERVICE	35 101	35 101	34 090	1 011	-	-	-
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE, TOTAL	881 585	80 848	80 359	489	800 737	735 546	65 191
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:							
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH:							
CENTER FOR STUDIES OF CRIME AND DELINQUENCY.	9 373	6 809	6 809	-	2 564	2 309	255
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:							
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS:							
DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES.	10 795	10 795	10 520	275	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE	3 413	3 413	3 379	34	-	-	-
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION ⁵	851 545	53 372	53 264	108	798 173	733 237	64 936
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	4 970	4 970	4 898	72	-	-	-
TEMPORARY STUDY COMMISSIONS	1 489	1 489	1 489	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a canvass of all Federal criminal justice agencies; see text for data limitations.²Estimated criminal justice activities only.³Total expenditure allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.⁴Primarily focuses on matters of a civil nature in contrast to State and local programs which focus on criminal and civil matters.⁵Law Enforcement Assistance Administration data presented in the fifth (FY 1971), sixth (FY 1972), seventh (FY 1973), and eighth (FY 1974) reports in this series for total expenditure, total direct expenditure, direct current expenditure, total intergovernmental expenditure, intergovernmental expenditure to State governments, and intergovernmental expenditure to local governments are revised as follows: 1971--\$232,938, \$10,831, \$10,783, \$222,107, \$198,209, and \$23,898; 1972--\$379,230, \$19,848, \$19,801, \$359,382, \$325,068, and \$34,314; 1973--\$623,214, \$33,602, \$33,551, \$589,612, \$539,190, and \$50,422; 1974--\$769,429, \$53,087, \$53,070, \$716,347, \$655,180, and \$61,182. Dollar amounts are in thousands. These revisions affect the "other criminal justice" total and criminal justice system total in these earlier reports. Revised figures for the Federal Government and the total for all levels of government are shown in text table A.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government,
October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item ¹	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT, ALL FUNCTIONS.	2 889 698	2 647 246	2 757 319	3 583 761
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	97 623	95 465	96 136	145 110
<u>POLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL</u>	<u>70 087</u>	<u>68 924</u>	<u>69 196</u>	<u>102 289</u>
THE CONGRESS:				
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE	1 104	1 104	1 104	1 336
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS POLICE FORCE	100	100	100	108
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES POLICE FORCE	54	54	54	64
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,				
U.S. FOREST SERVICE:				
COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	42	37	41	59
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,				
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE:				
U.S. PARK POLICE	655	640	650	830
U.S. PARK RANGERS ²	1 545	1 498	1 545	997
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION	4 080	4 057	4 060	7 687
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	19 172	19 170	19 171	29 281
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE:				
U.S. BORDER PATROL	2 323	2 218	2 245	3 583
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION	1 166	1 141	1 145	1 824
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	549	490	511	645
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,				
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION:				
AIRPORT POLICE	172	171	171	201
U.S. COAST GUARD ²	1 948	1 948	1 948	1 294
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY:				
BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS	3 758	3 711	3 752	5 905
CONSOLIDATED FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER	197	197	197	272
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE:				
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION	3 919	3 826	3 838	5 746
INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION	556	556	556	849
OFFICE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT	16	16	16	30
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE	14 475	13 786	13 866	22 315
U.S. SECRET SERVICE	3 155	3 155	3 155	5 359
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:				
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE MANAGEMENT	3 766	3 753	3 761	3 599
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION:				
NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE	30	30	30	44
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE	41	41	41	33
U.S. POSTAL SERVICE:				
POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE	5 535	5 496	5 510	8 818
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION:				
SECURITY DIVISION	1 729	1 729	1 729	1 413
<u>JUDICIAL, TOTAL</u>	<u>7 351</u>	<u>7 238</u>	<u>7 278</u>	<u>13 118</u>
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	769	686	715	903
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S. COURTS	330	330	330	476
FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER	58	58	58	104
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	248	226	234	364
U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS	92	92	92	172
U.S. COURT OF CUSTOMS AND PATENT APPEALS	35	35	35	64
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS	840	840	840	1 513
U.S. CUSTOMS COURT	115	115	115	197
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS	4 664	4 664	4 664	9 006
U.S. TAX COURT	200	192	195	319

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item ¹	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<u>LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION, TOTAL</u>	7 323	6 992	7 099	11 270
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
ANTITRUST DIVISION	788	735	758	1 241
BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS	33	33	33	69
CIVIL DIVISION	528	492	507	776
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION	347	332	341	527
CRIMINAL DIVISION	690	661	679	1 138
LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION	241	234	238	404
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL	30	30	30	58
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS	20	20	20	36
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE	732	682	703	1 115
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	40	40	40	72
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL	59	55	57	92
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEYS	3 219	3 129	3 137	4 793
OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE	23	22	22	47
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	45	44	44	82
OFFICE OF WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE	40	39	40	61
TAX DIVISION	488	444	450	759
<u>PUBLIC DEFENSE, TOTAL</u>	185	185	185	315
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS	185	185	185	315
REPRESENTATION BY COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:				
LEGAL SERVICES PROGRAM	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
<u>CORRECTIONS, TOTAL</u>	10 894	10 647	10 707	15 693
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:				
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE:				
ADDITION RESEARCH CENTER (LEXINGTON, KY.)	109	103	105	186
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
BOARD OF PAROLE	129	128	128	207
BUREAU OF PRISONS	7 612	7 444	7 477	11 330
OFFICE OF THE PARDON ATTORNEY	9	8	8	16
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	659	588	613	774
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
FEDERAL PROBATION SERVICE	2 376	2 376	2 376	3 180
<u>OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE, TOTAL</u>	1 783	1 479	1 671	2 425
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:				
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH:				
CENTER FOR STUDIES OF CRIME AND DELINQUENCY	10	10	10	19
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:				
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS:				
DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES	564	390	521	925
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE	120	102	114	215
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION	839	757	795	1 361
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE ³	220	196	204	258
TEMPORARY STUDY COMMISSIONS	30	24	27	47

¹ Not applicable.² Data are based on a canvass of all Federal criminal justice agencies; see text for data limitations.³ Estimated criminal justice activities only.³ Total employment and payroll allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.

**Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government,
fiscal year 1975**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total general expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ³	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	170 119 961	15 060 987	8.9	8 325 537	55.3	1 902 332	12.6
STATES	138 302 913	5 321 378	3.8	1 577 889	29.7	561 291	10.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	85 048 297	10 501 604	12.3	6 817 005	64.9	1 412 763	13.5
COUNTIES	32 744 225	3 896 347	11.9	1 303 993	33.5	1 013 652	26.0
MUNICIPALITIES	54 283 380	6 696 801	12.3	5 574 033	83.2	408 246	6.1
ALABAMA	2 203 895	149 497	6.8	89 348	59.8	21 851	14.6
STATE	2 049 795	64 070	3.1	22 396	35.0	6 197	9.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	744 491	95 654	12.8	67 139	70.2	15 931	16.7
COUNTIES	252 348	34 230	13.6	11 128	32.5	14 059	41.1
MUNICIPALITIES	534 473	61 926	11.6	56 024	90.5	1 974	3.2
ALASKA	979 189	67 877	6.9	27 090	39.9	12 587	18.5
STATE	797 754	56 327	7.1	16 734	29.7	12 482	22.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	360 101	12 694	3.5	10 483	82.6	187	1.5
BOROUGHS	184 341	2 475	1.3	1 789	72.3	77	3.1
MUNICIPALITIES	177 915	11 958	6.7	10 433	87.2	110	0.9
ARIZONA	1 549 836	201 958	13.0	117 976	58.4	21 188	10.5
STATE	1 459 738	63 660	4.4	32 671	51.3	3 236	5.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	737 644	142 873	19.4	85 312	59.7	17 952	12.6
COUNTIES	310 005	71 108	22.9	19 532	27.5	15 509	21.8
MUNICIPALITIES	434 234	72 093	16.6	65 846	91.3	2 476	3.4
ARKANSAS	1 092 731	70 353	6.4	36 732	52.2	7 532	10.7
STATE	1 135 590	34 288	3.0	10 969	32.0	2 097	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	300 629	40 792	13.5	25 829	63.3	5 450	13.4
COUNTIES	135 162	18 652	13.8	6 383	34.2	4 452	23.9
MUNICIPALITIES	166 828	22 599	13.5	19 613	86.8	1 246	5.5
CALIFORNIA	18 131 786	2 234 343	12.3	1 137 706	50.9	266 837	11.9
STATE	15 271 687	636 845	4.2	230 906	36.3	28 446	4.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 625 620	1 685 361	15.9	916 488	54.4	239 517	14.2
COUNTIES	6 569 270	987 457	15.0	273 764	27.7	228 633	23.2
MUNICIPALITIES	4 228 620	719 902	17.0	663 913	92.2	11 054	1.5
COLORADO	1 854 008	188 406	10.2	100 830	53.5	26 601	14.1
STATE	1 616 188	84 322	5.2	16 128	19.1	18 733	22.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	840 732	115 379	13.7	84 729	73.4	7 872	6.8
COUNTIES	299 070	23 144	7.7	10 765	46.5	2 125	9.2
MUNICIPALITIES	555 092	92 415	16.6	73 999	80.1	5 749	6.2
CONNECTICUT	3 190 625	205 342	6.4	117 236	57.1	22 960	11.2
STATE	1 930 237	111 660	5.8	23 881	21.4	22 829	20.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 715 113	99 831	5.8	95 319	95.5	382	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 750 200	100 034	5.7	95 513	95.5	389	0.4
DELAWARE	510 072	45 341	8.9	22 064	48.7	8 604	19.0
STATE	514 979	32 377	6.3	10 101	31.2	7 178	22.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	117 501	14 187	12.1	11 978	84.4	1 548	10.9
COUNTIES	37 666	4 768	12.7	3 529	74.0	995	20.9
MUNICIPALITIES	80 010	9 420	11.8	8 449	89.7	553	5.9
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 328 336	200 378	15.1	97 056	48.4	19 112	9.5
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 328 366	200 378	15.1	97 056	48.4	19 112	9.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 368 780	200 378	14.6	97 056	48.4	19 112	9.5
FLORIDA	4 985 835	634 052	12.7	314 507	49.6	85 405	13.5
STATE	4 528 405	268 454	5.9	48 275	18.0	31 639	11.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 313 696	376 634	16.3	266 271	70.7	53 783	14.3
COUNTIES	1 118 721	182 680	16.3	94 726	51.9	46 459	25.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 212 583	194 120	16.0	171 595	88.4	7 326	3.8
GEORGIA	2 956 316	282 758	9.6	142 785	50.5	37 801	13.4
STATE	2 764 481	115 805	4.2	30 838	26.6	7 112	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	998 769	182 342	18.3	111 991	61.4	30 700	16.8
COUNTIES	569 347	97 405	17.1	36 719	37.7	27 846	28.6
MUNICIPALITIES	528 899	87 268	16.5	77 205	88.5	2 980	3.4
HAWAII	1 349 514	58 777	4.4	36 608	62.3	8 461	14.4
STATE	1 082 473	20 569	1.9	228	1.1	8 461	41.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	295 270	39 745	13.5	36 380	91.5	-	-
COUNTIES	74 755	10 354	13.9	9 479	91.5	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	220 515	29 397	13.3	26 908	91.5	-	-
IDAHO	533 946	40 979	7.7	20 224	49.4	5 908	14.4
STATE	536 390	18 348	3.4	4 799	26.2	3 247	17.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	153 457	23 928	15.6	15 495	64.8	2 661	11.1
COUNTIES	98 475	13 158	13.4	5 311	40.4	2 611	19.8
MUNICIPALITIES	73 487	11 066	15.1	10 350	93.5	156	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

27

**Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	755 851	5.0	193 253	1.3	3 626 535	24.1	257 478	1.7
STATES	219 247	4.1	73 127	1.4	2 291 749	43.1	598 075	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	542 440	5.2	127 938	1.2	1 471 470	14.0	129 988	1.2
COUNTIES	319 540	8.2	102 280	2.6	1 091 552	28.0	65 330	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES	223 282	3.3	26 036	0.4	400 153	6.0	65 050	1.0
ALABAMA	6 424	4.3	1 396	0.9	29 246	19.6	1 233	0.8
STATE	3 097	4.8	1 243	1.9	21 889	34.2	9 248	14.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 341	3.5	191	0.2	8 953	9.4	99	0.1
COUNTIES	1 984	5.8	79	0.2	6 966	20.3	14	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	1 360	2.2	111	0.2	2 372	3.8	85	0.1
ALASKA	5 588	8.2	1 304	1.9	20 738	30.6	1 570	0.8
STATE	4 070	7.2	1 302	2.3	20 717	36.8	1 022	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 518	12.0	2	(Z)	505	4.0	-	-
BOROUGHS	601	24.3	-	-	8	0.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	917	7.7	2	(Z)	497	4.2	-	-
ARIZONA	10 145	5.0	3 535	1.8	43 786	21.7	5 329	2.6
STATE	1 745	2.7	-	-	20 341	32.0	5 667	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 400	5.9	3 535	2.5	23 445	16.4	4 229	3.0
COUNTIES	5 636	7.9	3 247	4.6	23 169	32.6	4 014	5.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 775	3.8	288	0.4	492	0.7	215	0.3
ARKANSAS	2 763	3.9	452	0.6	20 951	29.8	1 924	2.7
STATE	944	2.8	20	0.1	13 755	40.1	6 503	19.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 829	4.5	475	1.2	7 200	17.6	9	(Z)
COUNTIES	1 176	6.3	474	2.5	6 166	33.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	655	2.9	8	(Z)	1 068	4.7	9	(Z)
CALIFORNIA	137 385	6.1	40 255	1.8	630 900	28.2	21 260	1.0
STATE	21 628	3.4	1 878	0.3	299 087	47.0	54 900	8.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	115 757	6.9	39 152	2.3	366 194	21.7	8 252	0.5
COUNTIES	88 479	9.0	38 096	3.9	352 138	35.7	6 346	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	27 290	3.8	1 056	0.1	14 682	2.0	1 907	0.3
COLORADO	12 876	6.8	2 977	1.6	41 209	21.9	3 912	2.1
STATE	2 760	3.3	2 794	3.3	31 167	37.0	12 740	15.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 116	8.8	183	0.2	10 043	8.7	2 435	2.1
COUNTIES	5 375	23.2	20	0.1	3 719	16.1	1 140	4.9
MUNICIPALITIES	4 852	5.3	163	0.2	6 356	6.9	1 297	1.4
CONNECTICUT	8 989	4.4	2 024	1.0	52 529	25.6	1 605	0.8
STATE	5 659	5.1	2 007	1.8	52 323	46.9	4 961	4.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 480	3.5	17	(Z)	206	0.2	428	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 480	3.5	17	(Z)	207	0.2	428	0.4
DELAWARE	1 949	4.3	560	1.2	11 361	25.1	803	1.8
STATE	1 346	4.2	540	1.7	11 361	35.1	1 851	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	603	4.3	20	0.1	-	-	38	0.3
COUNTIES	186	3.9	20	0.4	-	-	38	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	418	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	(Z)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	6 736	3.4	1 935	1.0	73 114	36.5	2 425	1.2
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 736	3.4	1 935	1.0	73 114	36.5	2 425	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	6 736	3.4	1 935	1.0	73 114	36.5	2 425	1.2
FLORIDA	29 541	4.7	10 068	1.6	184 802	29.1	9 729	1.5
STATE	20 741	7.7	9 041	3.4	145 802	54.3	12 956	4.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 803	2.3	1 028	0.3	39 077	10.4	7 673	2.0
COUNTIES	3 709	2.0	921	0.5	30 461	16.7	6 405	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES	5 105	2.6	134	0.1	8 692	4.5	1 268	0.7
GEORGIA	10 632	3.8	2 854	1.0	86 306	30.5	2 379	0.8
STATE	3 478	3.0	1 316	1.1	56 185	48.5	16 876	14.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 157	3.9	1 638	0.9	30 569	16.8	287	0.2
COUNTIES	5 284	5.4	1 570	1.6	25 948	26.6	38	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	1 875	2.1	87	0.1	4 873	5.6	249	0.3
HAWAII	4 039	6.9	1 269	2.2	7 862	13.4	538	0.9
STATE	1 655	8.0	1 269	6.2	6 916	33.6	2 040	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 384	6.0	3	(Z)	946	2.4	32	0.1
COUNTIES	614	5.9	3	(Z)	227	2.2	32	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 770	6.0	-	-	719	2.4	-	-
IDAHO	2 357	5.8	681	1.7	10 770	26.3	1 039	2.5
STATE	628	3.4	-	-	7 851	42.8	1 823	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 729	7.2	681	2.8	2 931	12.2	432	1.8
COUNTIES	1 248	9.5	685	5.2	2 877	21.9	426	3.2
MUNICIPALITIES	481	4.3	1	(Z)	69	0.6	9	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total general expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ³	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	7 370 931	778 322	10.6	513 159	65.9	87 365	11.2
STATE	7 119 197	217 121	3.0	63 807	29.4	30 340	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 811 203	589 564	21.0	452 018	76.7	57 034	9.7
COUNTIES	746 923	172 166	23.1	39 895	23.2	56 847	33.0
MUNICIPALITIES	2 114 513	423 997	20.1	412 887	97.4	437	0.1
INDIANA	2 882 074	223 026	7.7	131 775	59.1	24 386	10.9
STATE	2 706 998	91 121	3.4	33 409	36.7	5 009	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 275 938	146 431	11.5	98 371	67.2	19 853	13.6
COUNTIES	544 022	48 797	9.0	15 238	31.2	14 122	28.9
MUNICIPALITIES	751 446	97 923	13.0	83 156	84.9	5 896	6.0
IOWA	1 968 287	125 689	6.4	68 954	54.8	17 794	14.2
STATE	1 770 611	54 040	3.1	19 107	35.4	5 918	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	869 758	77 428	8.9	49 935	64.4	11 921	15.4
COUNTIES	442 718	35 406	8.0	9 435	26.6	12 324	34.8
MUNICIPALITIES	440 042	43 382	9.9	41 094	94.7	25	0.1
KANSAS	1 478 810	121 155	8.2	55 592	45.9	15 927	13.1
STATE	1 228 422	58 127	4.7	11 154	19.2	5 377	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	604 728	69 869	11.6	44 462	63.6	10 551	15.1
COUNTIES	256 009	30 518	11.9	9 529	31.2	9 318	30.5
MUNICIPALITIES	379 982	40 589	10.7	36 098	88.9	1 248	3.1
KENTUCKY	2 120 812	154 555	7.3	85 285	55.2	19 288	12.5
STATE	2 032 510	67 785	3.3	24 116	35.6	6 588	9.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	538 170	97 601	19.1	62 822	64.4	12 818	13.1
COUNTIES	183 676	46 342	25.2	17 859	38.5	10 462	22.6
MUNICIPALITIES	370 051	52 353	14.1	45 509	86.9	2 359	4.5
LOUISIANA	2 532 052	226 362	8.9	135 579	59.9	29 162	12.9
STATE	2 585 136	86 974	3.4	32 889	37.8	5 615	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	776 215	153 850	19.8	102 759	66.8	23 547	15.3
PARISHES	333 507	62 609	18.8	38 066	60.8	12 155	19.4
MUNICIPALITIES	470 860	91 527	19.4	64 847	70.9	11 392	12.4
MAINE	857 188	45 911	5.4	25 982	56.6	6 115	13.3
STATE	736 675	25 300	3.4	8 297	32.8	3 714	14.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	323 208	22 612	7.0	17 686	78.2	2 529	11.2
COUNTIES	12 235	6 827	55.8	2 283	33.4	2 511	36.8
MUNICIPALITIES	324 403	16 149	5.0	15 427	95.5	232	1.4
MARYLAND	4 924 111	346 097	7.0	185 916	53.7	35 422	10.2
STATE	3 136 475	190 258	6.1	62 904	33.1	21 018	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 123 957	192 679	6.2	147 569	76.6	15 430	8.0
COUNTIES	2 118 591	97 447	4.6	71 654	75.5	9 998	10.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 060 529	95 592	9.0	76 271	79.8	5 436	5.7
MASSACHUSETTS	6 683 017	472 711	7.1	281 883	59.6	62 368	13.2
STATE	4 360 815	143 465	3.3	40 860	28.5	12 646	8.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 169 978	345 327	8.3	242 663	70.3	52 136	15.1
COUNTIES	129 579	68 172	52.6	1 135	1.7	37 054	54.4
MUNICIPALITIES	4 174 804	278 911	6.7	241 561	86.6	16 786	6.0
MICHIGAN	7 431 578	675 409	9.1	391 059	57.9	94 065	13.9
STATE	6 499 624	200 962	3.1	66 905	33.3	18 719	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 181 356	513 311	16.1	326 131	63.5	78 240	15.2
COUNTIES	1 224 834	191 686	15.6	44 151	23.0	58 700	30.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 102 570	328 763	15.6	285 807	86.9	21 242	6.5
MINNESOTA	3 142 140	203 024	6.5	110 812	54.6	27 247	13.4
STATE	2 918 245	64 505	2.2	22 184	34.4	4 722	7.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 615 262	148 760	9.2	92 748	62.3	22 542	15.2
COUNTIES	854 050	71 500	8.4	22 242	31.1	21 939	30.7
MUNICIPALITIES	809 090	79 962	9.9	71 704	89.7	911	1.1
MISSISSIPPI	1 439 665	87 209	6.1	53 383	61.2	10 797	12.4
STATE	1 433 630	43 440	3.0	20 267	46.7	2 960	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	525 305	46 815	8.9	33 133	70.8	7 843	16.8
COUNTIES	311 916	20 275	6.5	8 652	42.7	7 232	35.7
MUNICIPALITIES	215 837	26 699	12.4	24 537	91.9	614	2.3
MISSOURI	2 569 004	272 022	10.6	164 416	60.4	35 109	12.9
STATE	2 219 820	86 276	3.9	24 603	28.5	12 306	14.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	996 879	197 702	19.8	140 243	70.9	23 246	11.8
COUNTIES	285 850	54 056	18.9	20 704	38.3	15 230	28.2
MUNICIPALITIES	743 522	144 429	19.4	120 216	83.2	8 052	5.6
MONTANA	545 700	38 793	7.1	19 400	50.0	4 544	11.7
STATE	476 517	16 858	3.5	4 189	24.8	1 135	6.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	186 906	23 300	12.5	15 271	65.5	3 409	14.6
COUNTIES	174 774	12 985	7.4	5 919	45.6	3 066	23.6
MUNICIPALITIES	73 495	10 503	14.3	9 493	90.4	344	3.3

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	35 892	4.6	7 393	0.9	127 490	16.4	7 023	0.9
STATE	10 183	4.7	1 712	0.8	85 735	39.5	25 344	11.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 204	4.6	5 681	1.0	43 874	7.4	3 752	0.6
COUNTIES	18 133	10.5	5 660	3.3	48 416	28.1	3 215	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES	9 072	2.1	21	(Z)	915	0.2	666	0.2
INDIANA	10 406	4.7	1 797	0.8	50 029	22.4	4 633	2.1
STATE	4 214	4.6	252	0.3	33 910	37.2	14 327	15.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 232	4.3	1 545	1.1	17 715	12.1	2 715	1.9
COUNTIES	3 479	7.1	1 335	2.7	13 318	27.3	1 306	2.7
MUNICIPALITIES	2 761	2.8	214	0.2	4 487	4.6	1 409	1.4
IOWA	6 607	5.3	1 736	1.4	29 086	23.1	1 512	1.2
STATE	1 836	3.4	18	(Z)	21 659	40.1	5 502	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 771	6.2	1 718	2.2	8 054	10.4	1 030	1.3
COUNTIES	3 310	9.3	1 746	4.9	8 108	22.9	483	1.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 495	3.4	-	-	216	0.5	553	1.3
KANSAS	8 557	7.1	1 507	1.2	36 900	30.5	2 672	2.2
STATE	3 745	6.4	1 337	2.3	28 845	49.6	7 669	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 813	6.9	170	0.2	8 069	11.5	1 805	2.6
COUNTIES	3 376	11.1	153	0.5	7 325	24.0	819	2.7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 438	3.5	18	(Z)	801	2.0	986	2.4
KENTUCKY	9 074	5.9	1 083	0.7	32 359	20.9	7 468	4.8
STATE	4 007	5.9	1 890	2.8	22 790	33.6	8 394	12.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 067	5.2	444	0.5	9 569	9.8	6 883	7.1
COUNTIES	3 066	6.6	450	1.0	7 649	16.5	6 856	14.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 001	3.8	45	0.1	2 413	4.6	28	0.1
LOUISIANA	10 862	4.8	1 169	0.5	48 177	21.3	1 414	0.6
STATE	5 420	6.2	-	-	27 840	52.0	15 210	17.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 442	3.5	1 169	0.8	20 337	13.2	597	0.4
PARISHES	2 784	4.4	691	1.1	8 759	14.0	154	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 667	2.9	484	0.5	11 694	12.8	443	0.5
MAINE	2 020	4.4	411	0.9	10 449	22.8	9 933	2.0
STATE	1 423	5.6	150	0.6	8 976	35.5	2 740	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	598	2.6	261	1.2	1 473	6.5	64	0.3
COUNTIES	225	3.3	259	3.8	1 482	21.7	66	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	374	2.3	2	(Z)	83	0.5	30	0.2
MARYLAND	12 977	3.7	5 579	1.6	99 870	28.9	6 333	1.8
STATE	1 791	0.9	5 569	2.9	84 272	44.3	14 704	7.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 186	5.8	10	(Z)	15 598	8.1	2 887	1.5
COUNTIES	6 194	6.4	10	(Z)	7 875	8.1	1 716	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES	4 991	5.2	-	-	7 724	8.1	1 171	1.2
MASSACHUSETTS	16 567	3.5	5 100	1.1	97 347	20.6	9 446	2.0
STATE	5 216	3.6	3 095	2.2	63 364	44.2	18 284	12.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 355	3.3	2 083	0.6	33 985	9.8	3 105	0.9
COUNTIES	2 883	4.2	2 074	3.0	24 948	36.6	78	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	8 472	3.0	9	(Z)	9 057	3.2	3 027	1.1
MICHIGAN	34 012	5.0	12 490	1.8	134 851	20.0	8 932	1.3
STATE	7 422	3.7	6 532	3.3	71 552	35.6	29 832	14.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	26 593	5.2	11 437	2.2	68 608	13.4	2 303	0.4
COUNTIES	17 254	9.0	9 559	5.0	60 829	31.7	1 193	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	9 384	2.9	1 878	0.6	9 330	2.8	1 121	0.3
MINNESOTA	11 323	5.6	2 172	1.1	47 852	23.6	3 618	1.8
STATE	1 934	3.0	335	0.5	28 235	43.8	7 095	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 389	6.3	1 838	1.2	20 312	13.7	1 931	1.3
COUNTIES	5 544	7.8	1 920	2.7	19 465	27.2	390	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	3 858	4.8	113	0.1	1 835	2.3	1 541	1.9
MISSISSIPPI	3 581	4.1	594	0.7	17 237	19.8	1 617	1.9
STATE	1 788	4.1	-	-	14 239	32.8	4 186	9.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 793	3.8	594	1.3	3 170	6.8	284	0.6
COUNTIES	1 123	5.5	551	2.7	2 461	12.1	256	1.3
MUNICIPALITIES	670	2.5	42	0.2	808	3.0	28	0.1
MISSOURI	11 350	4.2	2 067	0.8	55 475	20.4	3 605	1.3
STATE	1 551	1.8	1 781	2.1	34 015	39.4	12 020	13.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 799	5.0	286	0.1	21 543	10.9	2 585	1.3
COUNTIES	4 983	9.2	36	0.1	11 425	21.1	1 677	3.1
MUNICIPALITIES	4 819	3.3	250	0.2	10 184	7.1	908	0.6
MONTANA	2 541	6.6	381	1.0	10 927	28.2	1 000	2.6
STATE	655	3.9	-	-	8 712	51.7	2 167	12.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 886	8.1	381	1.6	2 215	9.5	138	0.6
COUNTIES	1 483	11.4	370	2.8	2 015	15.5	132	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	403	3.8	11	0.1	246	2.3	6	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total general expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ³	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	1 097 325	77 467	7.1	40 445	52.2	12 064	15.6
STATE	821 592	32 992	4.0	9 488	28.8	5 929	18.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	510 721	48 507	9.5	30 984	63.9	6 140	12.7
COUNTIES	229 970	19 898	8.7	5 715	28.7	5 053	25.4
MUNICIPALITIES	294 334	29 019	9.9	25 461	87.7	1 098	3.8
NEVADA	574 148	76 371	13.3	39 690	52.0	6 771	8.9
STATE	411 571	24 715	6.0	3 911	15.8	1 306	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	285 056	54 295	19.0	35 779	65.9	5 471	10.1
COUNTIES	208 535	39 099	18.7	23 405	59.9	4 444	11.4
MUNICIPALITIES	99 634	25 794	25.9	22 963	89.0	1 028	4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	602 860	37 411	6.2	22 794	60.9	5 100	13.6
STATE	433 954	14 970	3.4	6 858	45.8	1 535	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	253 452	23 878	9.4	17 177	71.9	3 754	15.7
COUNTIES	28 648	5 864	20.5	1 085	18.5	2 669	45.5
MUNICIPALITIES	225 439	18 060	8.0	16 110	89.2	1 091	6.0
NEW JERSEY	5 918 486	646 367	10.9	383 058	59.3	74 209	11.5
STATE	4 325 766	188 912	4.4	65 905	34.9	17 846	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 296 993	484 539	14.7	324 866	67.0	58 026	12.0
COUNTIES	1 295 093	153 029	11.8	19 991	13.1	44 999	29.4
MUNICIPALITIES	2 033 838	332 304	16.3	305 523	91.9	13 162	4.0
NEW MEXICO	784 556	63 050	8.0	37 885	60.1	6 916	11.0
STATE	857 584	32 247	3.8	10 729	33.3	5 564	17.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	239 069	33 886	14.2	27 469	81.1	1 352	4.0
COUNTIES	77 708	8 131	10.5	5 282	65.0	285	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES	162 851	25 976	16.0	22 302	85.9	1 068	4.1
NEW YORK	24 328 192	2 061 406	8.5	1 180 757	57.3	269 380	13.1
STATE	15 704 675	522 396	3.3	110 434	21.1	73 902	14.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 358 634	1 647 893	9.5	1 071 843	65.0	221 890	13.5
COUNTIES	3 698 083	363 254	9.8	174 617	48.1	61 981	17.1
MUNICIPALITIES	13 865 371	1 292 371	9.3	903 970	69.9	160 287	12.4
NORTH CAROLINA	4 397 185	290 414	6.6	144 227	49.7	35 415	12.2
STATE	3 226 479	166 620	5.2	39 977	24.0	25 936	15.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 624 609	133 917	5.1	104 850	78.3	9 484	7.1
COUNTIES	2 126 031	54 286	2.6	26 958	49.7	9 486	17.5
MUNICIPALITIES	547 638	80 564	14.7	78 684	97.7	2	(2)
NORTH DAKOTA	473 588	23 775	5.0	12 512	52.6	3 902	16.4
STATE	464 387	10 163	2.2	2 357	23.2	1 103	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	133 875	15 559	11.6	10 161	65.3	2 799	18.0
COUNTIES	78 007	7 280	9.3	2 500	34.3	2 479	34.0
MUNICIPALITIES	71 108	8 491	11.9	7 844	92.4	320	3.8
OHIO	6 674 781	611 165	9.2	323 254	52.9	84 707	13.9
STATE	5 449 408	226 454	4.2	49 148	21.5	12 496	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 188 359	428 567	13.4	274 476	64.0	74 558	17.4
COUNTIES	1 251 945	147 915	11.8	38 177	25.8	51 646	34.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 995 557	286 337	14.3	237 901	83.1	23 548	8.2
OKLAHOMA	1 733 396	115 964	6.7	63 255	54.5	14 862	12.8
STATE	1 536 607	57 278	5.7	18 043	31.5	6 349	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	614 062	66 944	10.9	45 320	67.7	9 140	13.7
COUNTIES	204 329	20 485	10.0	5 412	26.4	7 628	37.2
MUNICIPALITIES	427 175	46 589	10.9	39 942	85.7	1 595	3.4
OREGON	1 746 158	165 492	9.5	80 607	48.7	20 381	12.3
STATE	1 499 491	68 182	4.5	20 653	30.3	5 494	8.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	626 653	104 826	16.7	60 799	58.3	15 180	14.5
COUNTIES	333 817	58 811	17.6	19 518	33.2	14 214	24.2
MUNICIPALITIES	310 517	46 954	15.1	41 902	89.2	1 086	2.3
PENNSYLVANIA	7 919 397	751 287	9.5	422 000	56.2	114 127	15.2
STATE	7 933 758	300 691	5.8	107 324	35.7	49 847	16.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 588 204	531 280	20.5	315 595	59.4	88 338	16.6
COUNTIES	764 816	128 344	16.8	10 098	7.9	49 519	38.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 919 591	404 730	21.1	306 875	75.8	38 921	9.6
RHODE ISLAND	943 034	55 042	5.8	32 369	58.8	8 207	14.9
STATE	656 013	29 734	4.5	6 697	22.3	7 906	26.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	421 691	27 665	6.6	26 519	95.9	301	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	424 007	27 665	6.5	26 519	95.9	301	1.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 789 437	146 297	8.2	71 150	48.6	15 106	10.3
STATE	1 854 946	74 288	4.0	23 642	31.8	1 934	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	426 740	77 606	18.2	47 801	61.6	13 199	17.0
COUNTIES	286 769	45 719	15.9	18 340	40.1	12 040	26.3
MUNICIPALITIES	143 404	32 061	22.4	29 483	92.0	1 184	3.7

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	4 702	6.1	975	1.3	17 546	22.6	1 735	2.2
STATE	417	1.3	—	—	12 350	37.4	4 808	14.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 285	8.8	975	2.0	5 208	10.7	915	1.9
COUNTIES	2 940	14.8	976	4.9	4 867	24.5	346	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 346	4.6	3	(2)	492	1.7	618	2.1
NEVADA	5 217	6.8	1 222	1.6	22 118	29.0	1 354	1.8
STATE	1 062	4.3	161	0.7	14 862	60.1	3 413	13.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 155	7.7	1 094	2.0	7 767	14.3	29	0.1
COUNTIES	3 081	7.9	1 081	2.8	7 059	18.1	29	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 074	4.2	13	0.1	716	2.8	—	—
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 342	3.6	230	0.6	7 479	20.0	465	1.2
STATE	638	4.3	230	1.5	5 417	36.2	292	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	704	2.9	—	(2)	2 070	8.7	173	0.7
COUNTIES	249	4.2	—	—	1 855	31.6	5	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	451	2.5	—	(2)	236	1.3	168	0.9
NEW JERSEY	42 264	6.5	10 930	1.7	127 865	19.8	8 040	1.2
STATE	7 189	3.8	10 547	5.6	66 813	35.4	20 612	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 075	7.2	383	0.1	61 083	12.6	5 105	1.1
COUNTIES	25 696	16.8	236	0.2	60 848	39.8	1 259	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	9 379	2.8	147	(2)	243	0.1	3 850	1.2
NEW MEXICO	3 722	5.9	1 845	2.9	11 569	18.3	1 113	1.8
STATE	2 543	7.9	1 813	5.6	8 415	26.1	3 183	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 779	3.5	32	0.1	3 535	10.4	319	0.9
COUNTIES	292	3.6	—	(2)	1 971	24.2	301	3.7
MUNICIPALITIES	892	3.4	32	0.1	1 661	6.4	21	0.1
NEW YORK	94 632	4.6	26 295	1.3	447 937	21.7	42 405	2.1
STATE	23 607	4.5	4 004	0.8	263 120	50.4	47 329	9.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	71 310	4.3	22 291	1.4	233 581	14.2	26 978	1.6
COUNTIES	25 134	6.9	8 106	2.2	89 033	24.5	4 383	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	46 176	3.6	14 185	1.1	145 158	11.2	22 595	1.7
NORTH CAROLINA	7 818	2.7	4 963	1.7	89 583	30.8	8 406	2.9
STATE	5 230	3.1	4 965	3.0	76 309	45.8	14 203	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 583	1.9	—	—	13 285	9.9	3 709	2.8
COUNTIES	1 037	1.9	—	—	13 316	24.5	3 488	6.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 550	1.9	—	—	105	0.1	222	0.3
NORTH DAKOTA	1 726	7.3	205	0.9	5 120	21.5	311	1.3
STATE	522	5.1	—	—	3 958	38.9	2 223	21.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 204	7.7	205	1.3	1 162	7.5	30	0.2
COUNTIES	952	13.1	205	2.8	1 123	15.4	21	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	252	3.0	—	—	66	0.8	9	0.1
OHIO	32 136	5.3	4 282	0.7	160 997	26.3	5 789	0.9
STATE	11 302	4.9	50	(2)	112 280	49.1	43 178	18.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 834	4.9	4 235	1.0	50 580	11.8	3 884	0.9
COUNTIES	10 309	7.0	3 548	2.4	41 999	28.4	2 235	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES	10 586	3.7	695	0.2	11 952	4.2	1 655	0.8
OKLAHOMA	6 674	5.8	739	0.6	27 494	23.7	2 940	2.5
STATE	4 542	7.9	—	—	22 456	39.2	5 888	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 499	6.7	739	1.1	5 042	7.5	2 203	3.3
COUNTIES	2 899	14.2	704	3.4	3 842	18.8	—	—
MUNICIPALITIES	1 605	3.4	35	0.1	1 207	2.6	2 203	4.7
OREGON	13 260	8.0	2 660	1.6	42 275	25.5	6 309	3.8
STATE	5 924	8.7	113	0.2	27 020	39.6	8 978	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 336	7.0	2 547	2.4	15 264	14.6	3 700	3.5
COUNTIES	5 597	9.5	2 472	4.2	15 137	25.7	1 873	3.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 740	3.7	77	0.2	323	0.7	1 827	3.9
PENNSYLVANIA	31 217	4.2	7 168	1.0	165 947	22.1	10 828	1.4
STATE	5 517	1.8	—	—	99 337	33.0	38 666	12.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 700	4.8	7 168	1.3	87 456	16.5	7 023	1.3
COUNTIES	11 818	9.2	4 042	3.1	52 645	40.9	321	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	13 883	3.4	3 125	0.8	35 223	8.7	6 702	1.7
RHODE ISLAND	1 978	3.6	420	0.8	11 549	21.0	519	0.9
STATE	1 172	3.9	420	1.4	11 549	38.8	2 040	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	806	2.9	—	—	—	—	39	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	806	2.9	—	—	—	—	39	0.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	3 451	2.4	1 049	0.7	46 952	32.1	8 591	5.9
STATE	1 875	2.5	608	0.8	38 869	52.3	7 360	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 577	2.0	442	0.6	8 083	10.4	6 506	8.4
COUNTIES	1 025	2.2	429	0.9	7 444	16.3	6 442	14.1
MUNICIPALITIES	564	1.8	13	(2)	674	2.1	142	0.4

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total general expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ³	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ³	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	497 730	29 425	5.9	14 637	49.7	4 529	15.4
STATE	406 779	14 637	3.6	4 651	31.8	2 065	14.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	153 420	16 119	10.5	9 987	62.0	2 569	15.9
COUNTIES	64 412	8 364	13.0	2 634	31.5	2 470	29.5
MUNICIPALITIES	90 234	8 188	9.1	7 582	92.6	242	3.0
TENNESSEE	3 488 725	212 046	6.1	109 739	51.8	30 012	14.2
STATE	2 187 759	80 616	3.7	17 878	22.2	6 565	8.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 947 810	144 956	7.4	92 126	63.6	23 502	16.2
COUNTIES	1 028 585	55 280	5.4	14 862	26.9	18 074	32.7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 063 445	91 477	8.6	77 357	84.6	6 469	7.1
TEXAS	6 568 763	594 175	9.0	347 277	58.4	74 738	12.6
STATE	5 754 523	192 732	3.3	68 765	35.7	10 880	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 511 373	428 651	17.1	278 663	65.0	65 299	15.2
COUNTIES	825 030	169 487	20.6	45 178	26.6	54 400	32.0
MUNICIPALITIES	1 701 967	260 480	15.3	234 025	89.8	10 920	4.2
UTAH	778 027	56 974	7.3	33 014	57.9	6 416	11.3
STATE	809 336	27 507	3.4	8 866	32.2	2 148	7.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	230 880	34 195	14.8	24 455	71.5	4 352	12.7
COUNTIES	115 808	13 467	11.6	6 443	17.8	2 420	18.0
MUNICIPALITIES	116 652	20 827	17.9	18 028	86.6	1 947	9.3
VERMONT	404 220	26 913	6.7	12 084	44.9	3 359	2.5
STATE	411 128	20 110	4.9	5 670	28.2	3 196	15.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	71 283	6 932	9.7	6 421	92.6	163	2.4
COUNTIES	407	245	60.2	37	15.1	163	66.5
MUNICIPALITIES	71 366	6 775	9.5	6 450	95.2	20	0.3
VIRGINIA	4 734 459	293 836	6.2	153 929	52.4	33 204	11.3
STATE	3 040 962	156 994	5.2	49 416	31.5	14 571	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 668 479	160 350	6.0	106 760	66.6	21 351	13.3
COUNTIES	1 340 962	62 875	4.7	39 994	63.6	10 542	16.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 372 459	98 517	7.2	66 966	68.0	10 987	11.2
WASHINGTON	2 762 245	228 506	8.3	119 575	52.3	24 720	10.8
STATE	2 655 762	91 843	3.4	27 225	29.6	4 548	5.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	913 159	145 846	16.0	92 389	63.3	20 226	13.9
COUNTIES	442 226	73 062	16.5	28 071	38.4	16 958	23.2
MUNICIPALITIES	536 136	76 351	14.2	65 870	86.3	3 456	4.5
WEST VIRGINIA	1 162 287	64 385	5.5	34 489	53.6	8 702	13.5
STATE	1 230 731	31 614	2.6	13 412	42.4	2 816	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	225 101	35 959	16.0	21 080	58.6	6 541	18.2
COUNTIES	79 602	19 037	23.9	4 978	26.1	6 294	33.1
MUNICIPALITIES	146 845	17 128	11.7	16 114	94.1	247	1.4
WISCONSIN	3 800 820	262 721	6.9	152 101	57.9	28 526	10.8
STATE	3 406 685	90 727	2.7	21 048	23.2	8 661	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 117 540	182 372	8.6	133 016	72.9	21 357	11.7
COUNTIES	894 804	72 527	8.1	28 079	38.7	20 825	28.7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 258 556	110 550	8.8	105 132	95.1	953	.9
WYOMING	328 684	20 185	6.1	11 367	56.3	2 546	12.6
STATE	300 645	9 953	3.3	3 175	31.9	970	9.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	110 882	11 835	10.7	8 194	69.2	1 577	13.3
COUNTIES	100 794	5 670	5.6	2 730	48.1	1 362	24.0
MUNICIPALITIES	42 476	6 237	14.7	5 519	88.5	216	3.5

¹ Represents zero or rounds to zero.² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.³ Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.² The relation of criminal justice total expenditure to total general expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, independent school districts or special districts.² For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

**Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	2 780	9.4	267	0.9	6 296	21.4	916	3.1
STATE	1 336	9.1	-	-	4 449	30.4	2 136	14.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 444	9.0	267	1.7	1 849	11.5	2	(Z)
COUNTIES	1 154	13.8	261	3.1	1 844	22.0	2	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	290	3.5	6	0.1	59	0.7	8	0.1
TENNESSEE	7 419	3.5	1 669	0.8	60 169	28.4	3 038	1.4
STATE	4 511	5.6	955	1.2	39 968	49.6	10 739	13.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 908	2.0	714	0.5	23 796	16.4	1 911	1.3
COUNTIES	1 012	1.8	469	0.8	19 812	35.8	1 051	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 896	2.1	244	0.3	4 645	5.1	865	0.9
TEXAS	33 027	5.6	4 020	0.7	107 776	10.1	27 337	4.6
STATE	7 272	3.8	-	-	59 802	31.0	46 013	23.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 773	6.0	4 020	0.9	47 987	11.2	6 889	1.6
COUNTIES	18 427	10.9	4 020	2.4	42 081	24.8	5 381	3.2
MUNICIPALITIES	7 347	2.8	15	(Z)	6 618	2.5	1 554	0.6
UTAH	3 398	6.0	395	0.7	12 943	22.7	809	1.4
STATE	1 353	4.9	-	-	10 938	39.8	4 202	15.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 318	6.8	395	1.2	2 676	7.8	-	-
COUNTIES	1 579	11.7	382	2.8	2 642	19.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	739	3.6	13	0.1	100	0.5	-	-
VERMONT	1 581	5.9	628	2.3	8 822	32.8	440	1.6
STATE	1 291	6.4	628	3.1	8 775	43.6	550	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	290	4.2	-	-	47	0.7	12	0.2
COUNTIES	-	(Z)	-	-	34	13.9	11	4.5
MUNICIPALITIES	290	4.3	-	-	13	0.2	1	(Z)
VIRGINIA	10 868	3.7	4 068	1.4	87 544	29.8	4 224	1.4
STATE	3 425	2.2	3 850	2.5	74 491	47.4	11 241	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 598	4.7	218	0.1	22 290	13.9	2 134	1.3
COUNTIES	3 348	5.3	111	0.2	8 513	13.5	367	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	4 261	4.3	107	0.1	14 427	14.6	1 769	1.8
WASHINGTON	13 132	5.7	3 425	1.5	65 017	28.5	2 636	1.2
STATE	4 357	4.7	416	0.5	47 658	51.9	7 639	8.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 103	6.2	3 009	2.1	19 698	13.5	1 421	1.0
COUNTIES	6 150	8.4	2 580	3.5	18 713	25.6	611	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 954	3.9	434	0.6	2 827	3.7	810	1.1
WEST VIRGINIA	3 254	5.1	71	0.1	16 863	26.2	1 006	1.6
STATE	913	2.9	-	-	11 229	35.5	3 244	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 342	6.5	71	0.2	5 634	15.7	292	0.8
COUNTIES	1 848	9.7	71	0.4	5 628	29.6	218	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	494	2.9	-	-	200	1.2	74	0.4
WISCONSIN	13 693	5.2	2 687	1.0	60 631	23.1	5 083	1.9
STATE	3 878	4.3	286	0.3	46 563	51.3	10 291	11.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 499	5.8	2 407	1.3	14 828	8.1	265	0.1
COUNTIES	6 188	8.5	2 407	3.3	14 848	20.5	180	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	4 329	3.9	-	-	53	(Z)	84	0.1
WYOMING	1 367	6.8	253	1.3	4 420	21.9	231	1.1
STATE	435	4.4	-	-	3 583	36.0	1 790	18.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	932	7.9	253	2.1	848	7.2	32	0.3
COUNTIES	677	11.9	250	4.4	643	11.3	9	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	255	4.1	2	(Z)	221	3.5	23	0.4

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure,
by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total direct expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	170 119 961	15 060 987	8.9	8 325 537	55.3	1 902 332	12.6
STATES	86 335 299	4 612 373	5.3	1 512 130	32.8	497 660	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	83 784 662	10 448 613	12.5	6 813 407	65.2	1 404 672	13.4
COUNTIES	30 903 381	3 828 436	12.4	1 294 838	33.8	1 006 601	26.3
MUNICIPALITIES	52 881 277	6 620 178	12.5	5 518 569	83.4	398 072	6.0
ALABAMA	2 203 895	149 497	6.8	89 348	59.8	21 851	14.6
STATE	1 462 070	54 358	3.7	22 387	41.2	6 197	11.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	741 825	95 140	12.8	66 981	70.4	15 654	16.5
COUNTIES	221 877	34 053	15.3	10 986	32.3	14 059	41.3
MUNICIPALITIES	519 948	61 087	11.7	55 975	91.6	1 594	2.6
ALASKA	979 189	67 877	6.9	27 090	39.9	12 587	18.5
STATE	619 192	55 315	8.9	16 616	30.0	12 482	22.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	359 997	12 562	3.5	10 474	83.4	105	0.8
BOROUGHS	182 456	650	0.4	50	7.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	177 541	11 912	6.7	10 424	87.5	105	0.9
ARIZONA	1 549 836	201 958	13.0	117 976	58.4	21 188	10.5
STATE	815 300	59 092	7.2	32 671	55.3	3 236	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	734 536	142 866	19.4	85 305	59.7	17 952	12.6
COUNTIES	301 253	71 065	23.6	19 516	27.5	15 485	21.8
MUNICIPALITIES	433 283	71 801	16.6	65 788	91.6	2 467	3.4
ARKANSAS	1 092 731	70 353	6.4	36 732	52.2	7 532	10.7
STATE	792 781	29 672	3.7	10 941	36.9	2 097	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	299 950	40 681	13.6	25 791	63.4	5 435	13.4
COUNTIES	134 273	18 513	13.8	6 382	34.4	4 386	23.7
MUNICIPALITIES	165 678	22 169	13.4	19 429	87.6	1 049	4.7
CALIFORNIA	18 131 786	2 234 343	12.3	1 137 706	50.9	266 837	11.9
STATE	7 827 715	555 630	7.1	221 591	39.9	27 546	5.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 304 071	1 678 713	16.3	916 115	54.6	239 291	14.3
COUNTIES	6 169 399	980 319	15.9	273 270	27.9	228 245	23.3
MUNICIPALITIES	4 134 672	698 394	16.9	642 845	92.0	11 046	1.6
COLORADO	1 854 008	188 406	10.2	100 830	53.5	26 601	14.1
STATE	1 013 276	73 033	7.2	16 102	22.0	18 733	25.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	840 732	115 373	13.7	84 728	73.4	7 868	6.8
COUNTIES	290 910	23 026	7.9	10 765	46.8	2 120	9.2
MUNICIPALITIES	549 822	92 347	16.8	73 964	80.1	5 747	6.2
CONNECTICUT	3 190 625	205 342	6.4	117 236	57.1	22 960	11.2
STATE	1 476 653	106 195	7.2	22 596	21.3	22 580	21.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 713 972	99 147	5.8	94 640	95.5	380	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 713 972	99 147	5.8	94 640	95.5	380	0.4
DELAWARE	510 072	45 341	8.9	22 064	48.7	8 604	19.0
STATE	392 571	31 169	7.9	10 101	32.4	7 056	22.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	117 501	14 172	12.1	11 963	84.4	1 548	10.9
COUNTIES	37 499	4 753	12.7	3 514	73.9	995	20.9
MUNICIPALITIES	80 001	9 419	11.8	8 449	89.7	553	5.9
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 328 336	200 378	15.1	97 056	48.4	19 112	9.5
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 328 336	200 378	15.1	97 056	48.4	19 112	9.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 328 336	200 378	15.1	97 056	48.4	19 112	9.5
FLORIDA	4 985 835	634 052	12.7	314 507	49.6	85 405	13.5
STATE	2 682 540	257 554	9.6	48 275	18.7	31 639	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 303 295	376 498	16.3	266 232	70.7	53 766	14.3
COUNTIES	1 094 026	182 568	16.7	94 722	51.9	46 442	25.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 209 269	193 930	16.0	171 510	88.4	7 323	3.8
GEORGIA	2 956 316	282 758	9.6	142 785	50.5	37 801	13.4
STATE	1 959 984	100 527	5.1	30 838	30.7	7 112	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	996 332	182 231	18.3	111 947	61.4	30 689	16.8
COUNTIES	475 988	95 447	20.1	34 915	36.6	27 793	29.1
MUNICIPALITIES	520 343	86 784	16.7	77 032	88.8	2 897	3.3
HAWAII	1 349 514	58 777	4.4	36 608	62.3	8 461	14.4
STATE	1 059 320	19 035	1.8	228	1.2	8 461	44.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	290 194	39 742	13.7	36 380	91.5	-	-
COUNTIES	74 616	10 345	13.9	9 472	91.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	215 578	29 397	13.6	26 908	91.5	-	-
IDAHO	533 946	40 979	7.7	20 224	49.4	5 908	14.4
STATE	380 887	17 066	4.5	4 732	27.7	3 247	19.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	153 059	23 913	15.6	15 492	64.8	2 661	11.1
COUNTIES	79 699	13 128	16.5	5 308	40.4	2 606	19.9
MUNICIPALITIES	73 359	10 786	14.7	10 184	94.4	55	0.5

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure,
by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	755 851	5.0	193 253	1.3	3 626 535	24.1	257 478	1.7
STATES	215 997	4.7	65 481	1.4	2 193 000	47.5	128 105	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	539 854	5.2	127 772	1.2	1 433 535	13.7	129 372	1.2
COUNTIES	316 765	8.3	101 901	2.7	1 043 818	27.3	64 513	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES	223 090	3.4	25 871	0.4	389 718	5.9	64 860	1.0
ALABAMA	6 424	4.3	1 396	0.9	29 246	19.6	1 233	0.6
STATE	3 097	5.7	1 243	2.3	20 293	37.3	1 141	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 327	3.5	153	0.2	8 953	9.4	92	0.1
COUNTIES	1 984	5.8	71	0.2	6 946	20.4	7	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	1 344	2.2	82	0.1	2 007	3.3	85	0.1
ALASKA	5 588	8.2	1 304	1.9	20 738	30.6	570	0.8
STATE	4 070	7.4	1 302	2.4	20 275	36.7	570	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 518	12.1	2	(Z)	463	3.7	—	—
BOROUGHS	601	92.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
MUNICIPALITIES	917	7.7	2	(Z)	463	3.9	—	—
ARIZONA	10 145	5.0	3 535	1.8	43 786	21.7	5 329	2.6
STATE	1 745	3.0	—	—	10 341	34.4	1 099	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 400	5.9	3 535	2.5	23 445	16.4	4 229	3.0
COUNTIES	5 636	7.9	3 247	4.6	23 166	32.6	4 014	5.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 764	3.8	288	0.4	278	0.4	215	0.3
ARKANSAS	2 763	3.9	452	0.6	20 951	29.8	1 924	2.7
STATE	944	3.2	20	0.1	13 755	46.4	1 915	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 819	4.5	432	1.1	7 196	17.7	9	(Z)
COUNTIES	1 176	6.4	430	2.3	6 158	33.3	—	—
MUNICIPALITIES	643	2.9	1	(Z)	1 037	4.7	9	(Z)
CALIFORNIA	137 385	6.1	40 255	1.8	630 900	28.2	21 260	1.0
STATE	21 628	3.9	1 103	0.2	270 735	48.7	13 027	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	115 757	6.9	39 152	2.3	360 165	21.5	8 232	0.5
COUNTIES	88 478	9.0	38 096	3.9	345 904	35.3	6 325	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	27 279	3.9	1 056	0.2	14 261	2.0	1 907	0.3
COLORADO	12 876	6.8	2 977	1.6	41 209	21.9	3 912	2.1
STATE	2 760	3.8	2 794	3.8	31 167	42.7	1 477	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 116	8.8	183	0.2	10 042	8.7	2 435	2.1
COUNTIES	5 264	22.9	20	0.1	3 716	16.1	1 140	5.0
MUNICIPALITIES	4 852	5.3	163	0.2	6 327	6.9	1 294	1.4
CONNECTICUT	8 989	4.4	2 024	1.0	52 529	25.6	1 605	0.8
STATE	5 512	5.2	2 007	1.9	52 323	49.3	1 177	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 477	3.5	17	(Z)	206	0.2	428	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 477	3.5	17	(Z)	206	0.2	428	0.4
DELAWARE	1 949	4.3	560	1.2	11 361	25.1	803	1.8
STATE	1 346	4.3	540	1.7	11 361	36.4	765	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	603	4.3	20	0.1	—	—	38	0.3
COUNTIES	186	3.9	20	0.4	—	—	38	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	417	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	(Z)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	6 736	3.4	1 935	1.0	73 114	36.5	2 425	1.2
STATE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 736	3.4	1 935	1.0	73 114	36.5	2 425	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	6 736	3.4	1 935	1.0	73 114	36.5	2 425	1.2
FLORIDA	29 541	4.7	10 068	1.6	184 802	29.1	9 729	1.5
STATE	20 741	8.1	9 041	3.5	145 802	56.6	2 056	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 800	2.3	1 027	0.3	39 000	10.4	7 673	2.0
COUNTIES	3 701	2.0	917	0.5	30 382	16.6	6 405	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES	5 100	2.6	110	0.1	8 619	4.4	1 268	0.7
GEORGIA	10 632	3.8	2 854	1.0	86 306	30.5	2 379	0.8
STATE	3 478	3.5	1 221	1.2	55 785	55.5	2 093	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 154	3.9	1 633	0.9	30 521	16.7	287	0.2
COUNTIES	5 279	5.5	1 546	1.6	25 876	27.1	38	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	1 875	2.2	87	0.1	4 646	5.4	249	0.3
HAWAII	4 039	6.9	1 269	2.2	7 862	13.4	538	0.9
STATE	1 655	8.7	1 269	6.7	6 916	36.3	506	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 384	6.0	—	—	946	2.4	32	0.1
COUNTIES	614	5.9	—	—	227	2.2	32	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 770	6.0	—	—	719	2.4	—	—
IDAHO	2 357	5.8	681	1.7	10 770	26.3	1 039	2.5
STATE	628	3.7	—	—	7 851	46.0	608	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 729	7.2	681	2.8	2 919	12.2	432	1.8
COUNTIES	1 248	9.5	680	5.2	2 863	21.8	423	3.2
MUNICIPALITIES	481	4.5	1	(Z)	55	0.5	9	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total direct expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	7 370 931	778 322	10.6	513 159	65.9	87 365	11.2
STATE	4 563 210	188 810	4.1	61 186	32.4	30 332	16.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 807 721	589 512	21.0	491 973	75.7	57 033	9.7
COUNTIES	726 765	166 329	22.9	39 833	23.9	56 596	34.0
MUNICIPALITIES	2 080 955	423 183	20.3	412 140	97.4	437	0.1
INDIANA	2 882 074	223 026	7.7	131 775	59.1	24 386	10.9
STATE	1 608 716	78 339	4.9	33 409	42.6	4 635	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 273 358	144 688	11.4	98 366	68.0	19 751	13.7
COUNTIES	528 924	47 192	8.9	15 231	32.3	13 883	29.4
MUNICIPALITIES	744 434	97 496	13.1	83 135	85.3	5 868	6.0
IOWA	1 968 287	125 689	6.4	68 954	54.8	17 794	14.2
STATE	1 126 829	48 954	4.3	19 032	36.9	5 918	12.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	841 458	76 735	9.1	49 922	65.0	11 876	15.5
COUNTIES	402 710	34 062	8.5	9 426	27.6	11 870	34.8
MUNICIPALITIES	438 748	42 673	9.7	40 495	94.9	6	(Z)
KANSAS	1 478 810	121 155	8.2	55 592	45.9	15 927	13.1
STATE	876 814	51 325	5.9	11 154	21.7	5 377	10.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	601 996	69 830	11.6	44 438	63.6	10 550	15.1
COUNTIES	223 835	30 478	13.6	9 511	31.2	9 302	30.5
MUNICIPALITIES	378 160	39 352	10.4	34 927	88.8	1 248	3.2
KENTUCKY	2 120 812	154 555	7.3	85 285	55.2	19 288	12.5
STATE	1 584 737	56 979	3.6	22 463	39.4	6 470	11.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	536 075	97 576	18.2	62 822	64.4	12 818	13.1
COUNTIES	175 614	45 818	26.1	17 383	37.9	10 462	22.8
MUNICIPALITIES	360 461	51 759	14.4	45 439	87.8	2 356	4.6
LOUISIANA	2 532 052	226 362	8.9	135 579	59.9	29 162	12.9
STATE	1 765 326	72 528	4.1	32 835	45.3	5 615	7.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	766 726	153 834	20.1	102 744	66.8	23 547	15.3
PARISHES	297 692	62 541	21.0	38 027	60.8	12 155	19.4
MUNICIPALITIES	469 034	91 293	19.5	64 717	70.9	11 392	12.5
MAINE	857 188	45 911	5.4	25 982	56.6	6 115	13.3
STATE	535 166	23 301	4.4	8 297	35.6	3 586	15.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	322 022	22 610	7.0	17 685	78.2	2 529	11.2
COUNTIES	11 997	6 813	56.8	2 282	33.5	2 511	36.9
MUNICIPALITIES	310 025	15 797	5.1	15 403	97.5	18	0.1
MARYLAND	4 924 111	346 097	7.0	185 916	53.7	35 422	10.2
STATE	1 813 573	153 723	8.5	38 653	25.1	19 992	13.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 110 538	192 373	6.2	147 263	76.6	15 430	8.0
COUNTIES	2 050 268	96 852	4.7	71 064	73.4	9 994	10.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 060 270	95 521	9.0	76 199	79.8	5 436	5.7
MASSACHUSETTS	6 683 017	472 711	7.1	281 883	59.6	62 368	13.2
STATE	3 011 904	128 376	4.3	39 220	30.6	11 215	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 671 113	344 335	9.4	242 663	70.5	51 153	14.9
COUNTIES	124 295	68 148	54.8	1 131	1.7	37 043	54.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 546 818	276 187	7.8	241 532	87.5	14 111	5.1
MICHIGAN	7 431 578	675 409	9.1	391 059	57.9	94 065	13.9
STATE	4 268 921	166 256	3.9	64 954	39.1	16 224	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 162 657	509 152	16.1	326 105	64.0	77 841	15.3
COUNTIES	1 191 444	186 420	15.6	43 962	23.6	58 121	31.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 971 213	322 732	16.4	282 143	87.4	19 720	6.1
MINNESOTA	3 142 140	203 024	6.5	110 812	54.6	27 247	13.4
STATE	1 541 790	54 389	3.5	18 087	33.3	4 722	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 600 350	148 635	9.3	92 725	62.4	22 525	15.2
COUNTIES	831 434	69 877	8.4	22 082	31.6	21 646	31.0
MUNICIPALITIES	768 915	78 758	10.2	70 643	89.7	879	1.1
MISSISSIPPI	1 439 665	87 209	6.1	53 383	61.2	10 797	12.4
STATE	920 519	40 416	4.4	20 267	50.1	2 960	7.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	519 146	46 794	9.0	33 116	70.8	7 837	16.7
COUNTIES	305 586	20 258	6.6	8 641	42.7	7 228	35.7
MUNICIPALITIES	213 560	26 535	12.4	24 476	92.2	609	2.3
MISSOURI	2 569 004	272 022	10.6	164 416	60.4	35 109	12.9
STATE	1 574 299	74 446	4.7	24 188	32.5	11 891	16.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	994 705	197 576	19.9	140 228	71.0	23 218	11.8
COUNTIES	260 927	53 802	20.6	20 639	38.4	15 167	28.2
MUNICIPALITIES	733 778	143 774	19.6	119 589	83.2	8 051	5.6
MONTANA	545 700	38 793	7.1	19 400	50.0	4 544	11.7
STATE	361 382	15 498	4.3	4 134	26.7	1 135	7.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	184 318	23 295	12.6	15 266	65.5	3 409	14.6
COUNTIES	110 975	12 960	11.7	5 917	45.7	3 065	23.5
MUNICIPALITIES	73 343	19 334	14.1	9 349	90.5	344	3.3

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure,
by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	35 892	4.6	7 393	0.9	127 490	16.4	7 023	0.9
STATE	8 688	4.6	1 712	0.9	83 616	44.3	3 276	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 204	4.6	5 681	1.0	43 874	7.4	3 747	0.6
COUNTIES	18 132	10.9	5 660	3.4	43 005	25.9	3 102	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES	9 072	2.1	21	(2)	869	0.2	645	0.2
INDIANA	10 406	4.7	1 797	0.8	50 029	22.4	4 633	2.1
STATE	4 214	5.4	252	0.3	33 910	43.3	1 919	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 192	4.3	1 545	1.1	16 119	11.1	2 715	1.9
COUNTIES	3 449	7.3	1 332	2.8	11 992	25.4	1 306	2.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 743	2.8	214	0.2	4 128	4.2	1 409	1.4
IOWA	6 607	5.3	1 736	1.4	29 086	23.1	1 512	1.2
STATE	1 836	3.8	18	(2)	21 655	44.2	495	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 771	6.2	1 718	2.2	7 431	9.7	1 017	1.3
COUNTIES	3 310	9.7	1 718	5.0	7 268	21.3	470	1.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 462	3.4	-	-	163	0.4	547	1.3
KANSAS	8 557	7.1	1 507	1.2	36 900	30.5	2 672	2.2
STATE	3 745	7.3	1 337	2.6	28 845	56.2	867	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 812	6.9	170	0.2	8 055	11.5	1 805	2.6
COUNTIES	3 374	11.1	152	0.5	7 320	24.0	819	2.7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 438	3.7	18	(2)	735	1.9	986	2.5
KENTUCKY	9 074	5.9	1 083	0.7	32 359	20.9	7 468	4.8
STATE	4 007	7.0	664	1.2	22 790	40.0	585	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 067	5.2	419	0.4	9 569	9.8	6 883	7.1
COUNTIES	3 066	6.7	419	0.9	7 632	16.7	6 856	15.0
MUNICIPALITIES	2 001	3.9	-	-	1 937	3.7	27	0.1
LOUISIANA	10 882	4.8	1 169	0.5	48 177	21.3	1 414	0.6
STATE	5 420	7.5	-	-	27 840	38.4	818	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 442	3.5	1 169	0.8	20 337	13.2	596	0.4
PARISHES	2 783	4.4	685	1.1	8 738	14.0	154	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 659	2.9	484	0.5	11 599	12.7	442	0.5
MAINE	2 020	4.4	411	0.9	10 449	22.8	933	2.0
STATE	1 423	6.1	150	0.6	8 976	38.5	869	3.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	597	2.6	261	1.2	1 473	6.5	64	0.3
COUNTIES	224	3.3	259	3.8	1 473	21.6	63	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	373	2.4	2	(2)	-	-	1	(2)
MARYLAND	12 977	3.7	5 579	1.6	99 870	28.9	6 333	1.8
STATE	1 791	1.2	5 569	3.6	84 272	54.8	3 446	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 186	5.8	10	(2)	15 598	8.1	2 887	1.5
COUNTIES	6 194	6.4	10	(2)	7 874	8.1	1 716	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES	4 991	5.2	-	-	7 724	8.1	1 171	1.2
MASSACHUSETTS	16 567	3.5	5 100	1.1	97 347	20.6	9 446	2.0
STATE	5 216	4.1	3 017	2.4	63 364	49.4	6 344	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 351	3.3	2 083	0.6	33 983	9.9	3 102	0.9
COUNTIES	2 879	4.2	2 074	3.0	24 946	36.6	75	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	8 472	3.1	9	(2)	9 037	3.3	3 027	1.1
MICHIGAN	34 012	5.0	12 490	1.8	134 851	20.0	8 932	1.3
STATE	7 422	4.5	1 060	0.6	69 967	42.1	6 629	4.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	26 590	5.2	11 430	2.2	64 884	12.7	2 303	0.5
COUNTIES	17 205	9.2	9 551	5.1	56 399	30.3	1 182	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	9 384	2.9	1 878	0.6	8 485	2.6	1 123	0.3
MINNESOTA	11 323	5.6	2 172	1.1	47 852	23.6	3 618	1.8
STATE	1 934	3.6	335	0.6	27 623	50.8	1 688	3.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 389	6.3	1 837	1.2	20 229	13.6	1 920	1.3
COUNTIES	5 543	7.9	1 747	2.5	18 470	26.4	3 879	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	3 846	4.9	90	0.1	1 759	2.2	1 541	2.0
MISSISSIPPI	3 581	4.1	594	0.7	17 237	19.8	1 617	1.9
STATE	1 788	4.4	-	-	14 067	34.8	1 334	3.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 793	3.8	594	1.3	3 170	6.8	284	0.6
COUNTIES	1 123	5.5	551	2.7	2 459	12.1	256	1.3
MUNICIPALITIES	670	2.5	42	0.2	711	2.7	28	0.1
MISSOURI	11 350	4.2	2 067	0.8	55 475	20.4	3 605	1.3
STATE	1 551	2.1	1 781	2.4	34 015	45.7	1 020	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 799	5.0	286	0.1	21 460	10.9	2 585	1.3
COUNTIES	4 980	9.3	36	0.1	11 303	21.0	1 677	3.1
MUNICIPALITIES	4 819	3.4	250	0.2	10 158	7.1	908	0.6
MONTANA	2 541	6.6	381	1.0	10 927	28.2	1 000	2.6
STATE	655	8.2	-	-	8 712	56.2	862	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 886	8.1	381	1.6	2 215	9.5	138	0.6
COUNTIES	1 483	11.4	370	2.9	1 994	15.4	132	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	403	3.9	11	0.1	221	2.1	6	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure,
by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total direct expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	1 097 325	77 467	7.1	40 445	52.2	12 064	15.6
STATE	595 195	29 004	4.9	9 488	32.7	5 929	20.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	502 130	48 463	9.7	30 957	63.9	6 135	12.7
COUNTIES	210 792	19 794	9.4	5 711	28.9	5 037	25.4
MUNICIPALITIES	291 337	28 669	9.6	25 246	88.1	1 097	3.8
NEVADA	574 148	76 371	13.3	39 690	52.0	6 771	8.9
STATE	290 807	22 116	7.6	3 911	17.7	1 306	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	283 341	54 255	19.1	35 779	65.9	5 465	10.1
COUNTIES	194 033	39 057	20.1	23 405	59.9	4 437	11.4
MUNICIPALITIES	89 308	15 198	17.0	12 373	81.4	1 028	6.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE	602 860	37 411	6.2	22 794	60.9	5 100	13.6
STATE	350 854	13 731	3.9	5 619	40.9	1 535	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	252 006	23 679	9.4	17 175	72.5	3 565	15.1
COUNTIES	28 427	5 635	19.8	1 068	19.0	2 487	44.1
MUNICIPALITIES	223 580	18 044	8.1	16 107	89.3	1 079	6.0
NEW JERSEY	5 918 486	646 367	10.9	383 058	59.3	74 209	11.5
STATE	2 669 094	162 248	6.1	58 446	36.0	16 318	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 249 392	484 119	14.9	324 612	67.1	57 891	12.0
COUNTIES	1 245 885	153 000	12.3	19 991	13.1	44 999	29.4
MUNICIPALITIES	2 003 507	331 119	16.5	304 621	92.0	12 893	3.9
NEW MEXICO	784 556	63 050	8.0	37 885	60.1	6 916	11.0
STATE	550 781	29 545	5.4	10 416	35.3	5 564	18.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	233 775	33 505	14.3	27 469	82.0	1 352	4.0
COUNTIES	71 351	7 619	10.7	5 190	68.1	284	3.7
MUNICIPALITIES	162 424	25 887	15.9	22 279	86.1	1 068	4.1
NEW YORK	24 328 192	2 061 406	8.5	1 180 757	57.3	269 380	13.1
STATE	7 122 163	424 742	6.0	108 999	25.7	47 828	11.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 206 029	1 636 664	9.5	1 071 758	65.5	221 552	13.5
COUNTIES	3 420 621	353 115	10.3	174 568	49.4	61 751	17.5
MUNICIPALITIES	13 785 408	1 283 549	9.3	897 190	69.9	159 801	12.4
NORTH CAROLINA	4 397 185	290 414	6.6	144 227	49.7	35 415	12.2
STATE	1 773 204	156 632	8.8	39 477	25.2	25 936	16.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 623 981	133 783	5.1	104 750	78.3	9 479	7.1
COUNTIES	2 080 335	53 469	2.6	26 264	49.1	9 478	17.7
MUNICIPALITIES	543 646	80 313	14.8	78 486	97.7	1	(2)
NORTH DAKOTA	473 588	23 775	5.0	12 512	52.6	3 902	16.4
STATE	342 138	8 219	2.4	2 355	28.7	1 103	13.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	131 450	15 586	11.8	10 157	65.3	2 799	18.0
COUNTIES	60 768	7 268	12.0	2 495	34.3	2 479	34.1
MUNICIPALITIES	70 682	8 288	11.7	7 662	92.4	320	3.9
OHIO	6 674 781	611 165	9.2	323 254	52.9	84 707	13.9
STATE	3 495 045	183 594	5.3	79 147	26.8	10 183	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 179 736	427 572	13.4	274 107	64.1	74 524	17.4
COUNTIES	1 222 775	142 741	11.7	36 811	25.8	51 461	36.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 956 961	284 830	14.6	237 296	83.3	23 063	8.1
OKLAHOMA	1 733 396	115 964	6.7	63 255	54.5	14 862	12.8
STATE	1 121 042	52 003	4.6	17 935	34.5	6 335	12.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	612 354	63 161	10.4	45 320	70.9	8 527	13.3
COUNTIES	185 760	17 112	9.4	5 412	31.1	6 932	39.8
MUNICIPALITIES	426 595	46 549	10.9	39 907	85.7	1 595	3.4
OREGON	1 746 158	165 492	9.5	80 607	48.7	20 381	12.3
STATE	1 122 482	60 748	5.4	19 829	32.6	5 253	8.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	623 676	104 744	16.8	60 778	58.0	15 128	14.4
COUNTIES	314 713	58 618	18.6	19 511	33.3	14 054	24.0
MUNICIPALITIES	308 963	46 126	14.9	41 267	89.5	1 074	2.3
PENNSYLVANIA	7 919 397	751 287	9.5	422 000	56.2	114 127	15.2
STATE	5 348 806	233 011	4.4	106 408	45.7	25 847	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 570 591	518 276	20.2	315 592	60.9	68 280	17.0
COUNTIES	700 975	115 944	16.5	10 075	8.7	49 360	42.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 869 616	402 332	21.5	305 517	75.9	38 920	9.7
RHODE ISLAND	943 034	55 042	5.8	32 369	58.8	8 207	14.9
STATE	521 571	27 377	5.2	5 850	21.4	7 906	28.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	421 463	27 665	6.6	26 519	95.9	301	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	421 462	27 665	6.6	26 519	95.9	301	1.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 789 437	146 297	8.2	71 150	48.6	15 106	10.3
STATE	1 364 152	69 032	5.1	23 642	34.2	1 934	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	425 285	77 265	18.2	47 508	61.5	13 172	17.0
COUNTIES	282 095	45 323	16.1	18 108	40.0	12 000	26.5
MUNICIPALITIES	143 190	31 942	22.3	29 399	92.0	1 172	3.7

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

39

**Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure,
by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	4 702	6.1	975	1.3	17 546	22.6	1 735	2.2
STATE	417	1.4	-	-	12 350	42.6	820	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 285	8.8	975	2.0	5 196	10.7	915	1.9
COUNTIES	2 940	14.9	975	4.9	4 784	24.2	346	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 345	4.7	-	-	412	1.4	568	2.0
NEVADA	5 217	6.8	1 222	1.6	22 118	29.0	1 354	1.0
STATE	1 062	4.8	161	0.7	14 351	64.9	1 325	6.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 155	7.7	1 061	2.0	7 767	14.3	29	0.1
COUNTIES	3 081	7.9	1 048	2.7	7 057	18.1	29	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 074	7.1	13	0.1	710	4.7	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 342	3.6	230	0.6	7 479	20.0	465	1.2
STATE	638	4.6	230	1.7	5 417	39.5	292	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	704	3.0	-	(Z)	2 062	8.7	173	0.7
COUNTIES	249	4.4	-	-	1 826	32.4	5	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	454	2.5	-	(Z)	236	1.3	168	0.9
NEW JERSEY	42 264	6.5	10 930	1.7	127 865	19.8	8 040	1.2
STATE	7 189	4.4	10 547	6.5	66 813	41.2	2 935	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 075	7.2	383	0.1	61 052	12.6	5 105	1.1
COUNTIES	25 696	18.8	236	0.2	60 819	39.8	1 259	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	9 379	2.8	147	(Z)	233	0.1	3 846	1.2
NEW MEXICO	3 722	5.9	1 845	2.9	11 569	18.3	1 113	1.8
STATE	2 543	6.6	1 813	6.1	8 415	28.5	794	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 179	3.5	32	0.1	3 154	9.4	319	1.0
COUNTIES	287	3.8	-	(Z)	1 560	20.5	298	3.9
MUNICIPALITIES	892	3.4	32	0.1	1 595	6.2	21	0.1
NEW YORK	94 632	4.6	26 295	1.3	447 937	21.7	42 405	2.1
STATE	23 322	5.5	4 004	0.9	225 162	53.0	15 427	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	71 310	4.4	22 291	1.4	222 775	13.6	26 978	1.6
COUNTIES	25 134	7.1	8 106	2.3	79 173	22.4	4 383	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	46 176	3.6	14 185	1.1	143 602	11.2	22 595	1.8
NORTH CAROLINA	7 818	2.7	4 965	1.7	89 583	30.8	8 406	2.9
STATE	5 230	3.3	4 965	3.2	76 309	48.7	4 715	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 588	1.9	-	-	13 274	9.9	3 691	2.8
COUNTIES	1 037	1.9	-	-	13 219	24.7	3 471	6.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 550	1.9	-	-	56	0.1	220	0.3
NORTH DAKOTA	1 726	7.3	205	0.9	5 120	21.5	311	1.3
STATE	522	6.4	-	-	3 958	48.2	281	3.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 204	7.7	205	1.3	1 162	7.5	30	0.2
COUNTIES	952	13.1	205	2.8	1 117	15.4	21	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	252	3.0	-	-	45	0.5	9	0.1
OHIO	32 136	5.3	4 282	0.7	160 997	26.3	5 789	0.9
STATE	11 302	6.2	50	(Z)	111 002	60.5	1 910	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 834	4.9	4 232	1.0	49 995	11.7	3 879	0.9
COUNTIES	10 248	7.2	3 548	2.5	38 450	26.9	2 224	1.6
MUNICIPALITIES	10 586	3.7	684	0.2	11 546	4.1	1 655	0.6
OKLAHOMA	6 674	5.8	739	0.6	27 494	23.7	2 940	2.5
STATE	4 542	8.7	-	-	22 454	43.2	737	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 132	3.3	739	1.2	5 040	7.9	2 203	3.4
COUNTIES	527	3.0	704	4.0	3 838	22.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 605	3.4	35	0.1	1 202	2.6	2 203	4.7
OREGON	13 260	8.0	2 660	1.6	42 275	25.5	6 309	3.8
STATE	5 924	9.8	113	0.2	27 020	44.5	2 609	4.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 336	7.0	2 547	2.4	15 255	14.6	3 700	3.5
COUNTIES	5 597	9.5	2 472	4.2	15 111	25.8	1 873	3.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 740	3.8	75	0.2	144	0.3	1 827	4.0
PENNSYLVANIA	31 217	4.2	7 168	1.0	165 947	22.1	10 828	1.4
STATE	5 517	2.4	-	-	91 413	39.2	3 826	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 700	5.0	7 168	1.4	74 534	14.4	7 002	1.4
COUNTIES	11 816	10.2	4 042	3.5	40 350	34.8	300	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	13 883	3.5	3 125	0.8	34 184	8.5	6 702	1.7
RHODE ISLAND	1 978	3.6	420	0.8	11 549	21.0	519	0.9
STATE	1 172	4.3	420	1.5	11 549	42.2	480	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	806	2.9	-	-	-	-	39	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	806	2.9	-	-	-	-	39	0.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	3 451	2.4	1 049	0.7	46 952	32.1	8 591	5.9
STATE	1 875	2.7	608	0.9	38 869	56.3	2 104	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 576	2.0	441	0.6	8 083	10.5	6 486	8.4
COUNTIES	1 011	2.2	428	0.9	7 427	16.4	6 349	14.0
MUNICIPALITIES	564	1.8	13	(Z)	656	2.1	137	0.4

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure,
by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total direct expenditure ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	497 730	29 425	5.9	14 637	49.7	4 529	15.4
STATE	345 333	13 414	3.9	4 650	34.7	2 065	15.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	152 397	16 011	10.5	9 987	62.4	2 464	15.4
COUNTIES	62 456	8 017	12.8	2 529	31.5	2 242	28.0
MUNICIPALITIES	89 941	7 994	8.9	7 458	93.3	222	2.8
TENNESSEE	3 488 725	211 966	6.1	109 659	51.7	30 012	14.2
STATE	1 547 802	67 421	4.4	17 878	26.5	6 565	9.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 940 923	144 545	7.4	91 781	63.5	23 447	16.2
COUNTIES	892 580	54 903	6.2	14 614	26.6	18 027	32.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 048 344	89 642	8.6	77 168	86.1	5 420	6.0
TEXAS	6 568 763	594 175	9.0	347 277	58.4	74 738	12.6
STATE	4 059 955	166 453	4.1	68 760	41.3	9 668	5.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 508 808	427 723	17.0	278 517	65.1	65 070	15.2
COUNTIES	820 475	168 579	20.5	45 053	26.7	54 302	32.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 688 333	259 144	15.3	233 464	90.1	10 769	4.2
UTAH	778 027	56 974	7.3	33 014	57.9	6 416	11.3
STATE	547 356	22 779	4.2	8 559	37.6	2 064	9.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	230 671	34 195	14.8	24 455	71.5	4 352	12.7
COUNTIES	115 256	13 394	11.6	6 437	48.1	2 404	17.9
MUNICIPALITIES	115 415	20 804	18.0	18 017	86.6	1 947	9.4
VERMONT	404 220	26 913	6.7	12 084	44.9	3 359	12.5
STATE	333 037	19 989	6.0	5 670	28.4	3 196	16.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	71 183	6 924	9.7	6 414	92.6	163	2.4
COUNTIES	406	245	60.5	37	15.1	163	66.5
MUNICIPALITIES	70 778	6 679	9.4	6 377	95.5	-	"
VIRGINIA	4 734 459	293 836	6.2	153 929	52.4	33 204	11.3
STATE	2 073 474	136 364	6.6	47 169	34.6	14 571	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 660 985	157 472	5.9	106 760	67.8	18 633	11.8
COUNTIES	1 309 222	61 420	4.7	39 989	65.1	9 435	15.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 351 763	96 052	7.1	66 771	69.5	9 198	9.6
WASHINGTON	2 702 245	228 506	8.3	119 575	52.3	24 720	10.8
STATE	1 869 172	82 685	4.4	27 201	32.9	4 502	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	893 073	145 821	16.3	92 374	63.3	20 218	13.9
COUNTIES	375 398	72 571	19.3	27 989	38.6	16 934	23.3
MUNICIPALITIES	517 675	73 250	14.1	64 385	87.9	3 284	4.5
WEST VIRGINIA	1 162 287	64 385	5.5	34 489	53.6	8 702	13.5
STATE	937 594	28 432	3.0	13 412	47.2	2 163	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	224 693	35 954	16.0	21 077	58.6	6 539	18.2
COUNTIES	78 601	19 025	24.2	4 972	26.1	6 292	33.1
MUNICIPALITIES	146 012	16 928	11.6	16 105	95.1	247	1.5
WISCONSIN	3 800 820	262 721	6.9	152 101	57.9	28 526	10.9
STATE	1 698 225	82 490	4.9	19 177	23.2	8 461	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 102 595	180 231	8.6	132 924	73.8	20 065	11.1
COUNTIES	860 131	70 209	8.2	27 868	39.7	19 510	27.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 242 464	110 022	8.9	105 055	95.5	555	0.5
WYOMING	328 684	20 185	6.1	11 367	56.3	2 546	12.6
STATE	220 542	8 362	3.8	3 175	38.0	970	11.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	108 142	11 822	10.9	8 192	69.3	1 576	13.3
COUNTIES	65 785	5 659	8.6	2 730	48.2	1 360	24.0
MUNICIPALITIES	42 357	6 164	14.6	5 462	88.6	216	3.5

¹ Represents zero or rounds to zero.² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²The relation of criminal justice direct expenditure to total direct expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for independent school districts or special districts.

**Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure,
by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	2 780	9.4	267	0.9	6 296	21.4	916	3.1
STATE	1 336	10.0	—	—	4 449	33.2	914	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 444	9.0	267	1.7	1 847	11.5	2	(2)
COUNTIES	1 154	14.4	261	3.3	1 830	22.8	2	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES	290	3.6	6	0.1	18	0.2	—	—
TENNESSEE	7 419	3.5	1 669	0.8	60 169	28.4	3 038	1.4
STATE	4 511	6.7	955	1.4	36 385	54.0	1 127	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 908	2.0	714	0.5	23 784	16.5	1 911	1.3
COUNTIES	1 012	1.8	469	0.9	19 731	35.9	1 051	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 896	2.1	244	0.3	4 054	4.5	860	1.0
TEXAS	33 027	5.6	4 020	0.7	107 776	18.1	27 337	4.6
STATE	7 272	4.4	—	—	59 802	35.9	20 951	12.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 755	6.0	4 020	0.9	47 974	11.2	6 387	1.5
COUNTIES	18 408	10.9	4 020	2.4	41 909	24.8	4 888	3.0
MUNICIPALITIES	7 347	2.8	—	—	6 065	2.3	1 499	0.6
UTAH	3 398	6.0	395	0.7	12 943	22.7	809	1.4
STATE	1 080	4.7	—	—	10 267	45.1	809	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 318	6.8	395	1.2	2 676	7.8	—	—
COUNTIES	1 579	11.8	382	2.9	2 592	19.4	—	—
MUNICIPALITIES	739	3.6	13	0.1	84	0.4	—	—
VERMONT	1 581	5.9	628	2.3	8 822	32.8	440	1.6
STATE	1 291	6.5	628	3.1	8 775	43.9	429	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	290	4.2	—	—	47	0.7	11	0.2
COUNTIES	—	(2)	—	—	34	13.9	11	4.5
MUNICIPALITIES	290	4.3	—	—	13	0.2	—	—
VIRGINIA	10 868	3.7	4 068	1.4	87 544	29.8	4 224	1.4
STATE	3 367	2.5	3 850	2.8	65 317	47.9	2 090	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 501	4.8	218	0.1	22 227	14.1	2 134	1.4
COUNTIES	3 300	5.4	111	0.2	8 220	13.4	365	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	4 201	4.4	107	0.1	14 006	14.6	1 769	1.8
WASHINGTON	13 132	5.7	3 425	1.5	65 017	28.5	2 636	1.2
STATE	4 029	4.9	416	0.5	45 322	54.8	1 215	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 103	6.2	3 009	2.1	19 695	13.5	1 421	1.0
COUNTIES	6 150	8.5	2 580	3.6	18 308	25.2	611	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 954	4.0	429	0.6	1 387	1.9	810	1.1
WEST VIRGINIA	3 254	5.1	71	0.1	16 863	26.2	1 006	1.6
STATE	933	3.2	—	—	11 229	39.5	715	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 341	6.5	71	0.2	5 634	15.7	292	0.8
COUNTIES	1 847	9.7	71	0.4	5 625	39.6	218	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	494	2.9	—	—	9	0.1	74	0.4
WISCONSIN	13 693	5.2	2 687	1.0	60 631	23.1	5 083	1.9
STATE	3 214	3.9	286	0.3	46 533	56.4	4 819	5.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 479	5.8	2 401	1.3	14 098	7.8	265	0.1
COUNTIES	6 151	8.8	2 401	3.4	14 098	20.1	180	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	4 328	3.9	—	—	—	(2)	84	0.1
WYOMING	1 367	6.8	253	1.3	4 420	21.9	231	1.1
STATE	435	5.2	—	—	3 583	42.8	199	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	932	7.9	253	2.1	837	7.1	32	0.3
COUNTIES	677	12.0	250	4.4	633	11.2	9	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	255	4.1	2	(2)	205	3.3	23	0.4

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	15 060 987	15 060 987	14 177 015	883 972	853 540	52 957	800 582
STATES	5 321 378	4 612 373	4 299 801	312 572	709 005	(X)	709 005
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 501 604	10 448 613	9 877 213	571 400	144 535	52 957	91 577
COUNTIES	3 896 347	3 828 435	3 546 926	281 509	67 912	43 769	24 142
MUNICIPALITIES	6 696 801	6 620 178	6 330 287	289 891	76 623	9 188	67 435
ALABAMA	149 497	149 497	140 263	9 234	10 728	514	10 214
STATE	64 070	54 358	49 507	4 851	9 712	(X)	9 712
LOCAL, TOTAL	95 654	95 140	90 757	4 383	1 016	514	502
COUNTIES	34 230	34 053	32 618	1 435	177	157	20
MUNICIPALITIES	61 926	61 087	58 139	2 948	839	357	482
ALASKA	67 877	67 877	58 075	9 802	2 884	132	2 752
STATE	56 327	55 315	45 886	9 429	1 012	(X)	1 012
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 694	12 562	12 189	373	1 872	132	1 740
BOROUGHS	2 475	650	640	10	1 825	85	1 740
MUNICIPALITIES	11 958	11 912	11 549	363	47	47	-
ARIZONA	201 958	201 958	168 612	33 346	4 903	8	4 895
STATE	63 660	59 092	51 549	7 543	4 568	(X)	4 568
LOCAL, TOTAL	142 873	142 866	117 063	25 803	335	8	327
COUNTIES	71 108	71 065	54 423	16 642	43	1	42
MUNICIPALITIES	72 093	71 801	62 640	9 161	292	7	285
ARKANSAS	70 353	70 353	57 639	12 714	5 186	111	5 075
STATE	34 288	29 672	23 031	6 641	4 616	(X)	4 616
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 792	40 681	34 608	6 073	570	111	459
COUNTIES	18 652	18 513	14 327	4 186	159	72	67
MUNICIPALITIES	22 599	22 169	20 281	1 887	431	39	392
CALIFORNIA	2 234 344	2 234 343	2 132 159	102 184	109 861	6 648	103 213
STATE	636 845	555 630	534 151	21 479	81 215	(X)	81 215
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 685 362	1 678 713	1 598 007	80 705	28 646	6 648	21 998
COUNTIES	987 457	980 319	924 962	55 357	7 138	6 537	601
MUNICIPALITIES	719 903	698 394	673 045	25 348	21 508	111	21 397
COLORADO	188 406	188 406	176 569	11 837	11 475	6	11 469
STATE	84 322	73 033	68 879	4 154	11 289	(X)	11 289
LOCAL, TOTAL	115 379	115 373	107 690	7 683	186	6	180
COUNTIES	23 144	23 026	20 992	2 034	118	5	113
MUNICIPALITIES	92 415	92 347	86 699	5 649	68	1	68
CONNECTICUT	205 342	205 342	186 528	18 814	6 352	685	5 667
STATE	111 660	106 195	90 709	15 486	5 465	(X)	5 465
LOCAL, TOTAL	99 831	99 147	95 819	3 328	887	685	202
MUNICIPALITIES	100 034	99 147	95 819	3 328	887	685	202
DELAWARE	45 341	45 341	42 922	2 419	1 224	15	1 209
STATE	32 377	31 169	29 075	2 094	1 208	(X)	1 208
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 187	14 172	13 847	325	16	15	1
COUNTIES	4 768	4 753	4 547	206	15	15	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9 420	9 419	9 300	119	1	-	1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	200 378	200 378	181 988	18 390	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	200 378	200 378	181 988	18 390	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	200 378	200 378	181 988	18 390	-	-	-
FLORIDA	634 052	634 052	572 164	61 887	11 203	136	11 067
STATE	268 454	257 554	224 396	33 158	10 900	(X)	10 900
LOCAL, TOTAL	376 634	376 498	347 769	28 729	303	136	167
COUNTIES	182 680	182 568	166 657	15 911	112	90	22
MUNICIPALITIES	194 120	193 930	181 112	12 818	191	46	145
GEORGIA	282 758	282 758	260 682	22 076	17 720	111	17 609
STATE	115 805	100 527	90 787	9 740	15 278	(X)	15 278
LOCAL, TOTAL	182 342	182 231	169 895	12 336	2 442	111	2 331
COUNTIES	97 405	95 447	88 633	6 814	1 958	68	1 889
MUNICIPALITIES	87 268	86 784	81 262	5 522	484	43	441
HAWAII	56 777	56 777	57 329	1 448	1 543	3	1 541
STATE	20 569	19 035	18 763	272	1 534	(X)	1 534
LOCAL, TOTAL	39 745	39 742	38 566	1 176	9	3	7
COUNTIES	10 354	10 345	9 941	404	9	3	7
MUNICIPALITIES	29 397	29 397	28 625	772	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
IDAHO	40 979	40 979	35 736	5 243	1 594	15	1 579
STATE	18 348	17 066	15 079	1 987	1 282	(X)	1 282
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 928	23 913	20 657	3 256	312	15	297
COUNTIES	13 158	13 128	10 291	2 836	31	13	18
MUNICIPALITIES	11 066	10 786	10 366	420	281	2	279
ILLINOIS	778 322	778 322	743 012	35 310	34 962	52	34 910
STATE	217 121	188 810	184 912	3 898	28 311	(X)	28 311
LOCAL, TOTAL	589 564	589 512	558 100	31 412	6 651	52	6 599
COUNTIES	172 166	166 329	150 647	15 682	5 837	7	5 831
MUNICIPALITIES	423 997	423 183	407 453	15 731	813	45	768
INDIANA	223 026	223 026	207 820	15 206	14 814	1 743	13 071
STATE	91 121	78 339	70 794	7 545	12 782	(X)	12 782
LOCAL, TOTAL	146 431	144 688	137 027	7 661	2 032	1 743	289
COUNTIES	48 797	47 192	43 639	3 553	1 605	1 362	243
MUNICIPALITIES	97 923	97 496	93 387	4 108	427	381	46
IOWA	125 689	125 689	118 316	7 373	7 139	693	6 446
STATE	54 040	48 954	45 182	3 772	5 086	(X)	5 086
LOCAL, TOTAL	77 428	76 735	73 134	3 601	2 053	693	1 360
COUNTIES	35 406	34 062	32 902	1 160	1 344	681	663
MUNICIPALITIES	43 382	42 673	40 232	2 441	709	12	697
KANSAS	121 155	121 155	112 505	8 650	8 079	39	8 040
STATE	58 127	51 325	47 912	3 413	6 802	(X)	6 802
LOCAL, TOTAL	69 869	69 830	64 593	5 237	1 277	39	1 238
COUNTIES	30 518	30 478	26 711	3 768	40	4	35
MUNICIPALITIES	40 589	39 352	37 883	1 469	1 237	35	1 203
KENTUCKY	154 555	154 555	135 504	19 051	11 924	25	11 899
STATE	67 785	56 979	50 837	6 142	10 806	(X)	10 806
LOCAL, TOTAL	97 601	97 576	84 668	12 909	1 118	25	1 093
COUNTIES	46 342	45 818	35 546	10 272	524	25	499
MUNICIPALITIES	52 353	51 759	49 122	2 637	594	-	594
LOUISIANA	226 362	226 362	210 429	15 933	14 748	16	14 732
STATE	86 974	72 528	68 632	3 896	14 446	(X)	14 446
LOCAL, TOTAL	153 850	153 834	141 797	12 037	302	16	286
PARISHES	62 609	62 541	58 803	3 738	68	6	62
MUNICIPALITIES	91 527	91 293	82 993	8 300	234	11	224
MAINE	45 911	45 911	43 024	2 887	2 364	2	2 363
STATE	25 300	23 301	21 781	1 520	1 999	(X)	1 999
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 612	22 610	21 243	1 367	365	2	364
COUNTIES	6 827	6 813	6 345	468	13	2	12
MUNICIPALITIES	16 149	15 797	14 898	899	352	-	352
MARYLAND	346 097	346 097	333 968	12 129	37 201	306	36 896
STATE	190 258	153 723	146 482	7 241	36 535	(X)	36 535
LOCAL, TOTAL	192 679	192 373	187 485	4 888	666	306	361
COUNTIES	97 447	96 852	92 819	4 033	595	306	289
MUNICIPALITIES	95 592	95 521	94 666	855	71	-	71
MASSACHUSETTS	472 711	472 711	454 399	18 312	17 837	992	16 846
STATE	143 465	128 376	123 379	4 997	15 089	(X)	15 089
LOCAL, TOTAL	345 327	344 335	331 020	13 315	2 748	992	1 757
COUNTIES	68 172	68 148	61 824	6 324	24	9	15
MUNICIPALITIES	278 911	276 187	269 196	6 992	2 724	983	1 742
MICHIGAN	675 409	675 409	639 555	35 853	46 002	4 159	41 844
STATE	200 962	166 256	152 062	14 194	34 706	(X)	34 706
LOCAL, TOTAL	513 311	509 152	487 493	21 659	11 296	4 159	7 138
COUNTIES	191 686	186 420	178 519	7 902	5 265	3 762	1 504
MUNICIPALITIES	328 763	322 732	308 975	13 757	6 031	397	5 634
MINNESOTA	203 024	203 024	193 773	9 252	12 943	125	12 818
STATE	64 505	54 389	52 111	2 278	10 116	(X)	10 116
LOCAL, TOTAL	148 760	148 635	141 661	6 974	2 827	125	2 702
COUNTIES	71 500	69 877	65 904	3 973	1 623	102	1 521
MUNICIPALITIES	79 962	78 758	75 757	3 001	1 204	23	1 181
MISSISSIPPI	87 209	87 209	76 619	10 591	3 205	22	3 183
STATE	43 440	40 416	33 899	6 517	3 024	(X)	3 024
LOCAL, TOTAL	46 815	46 794	42 720	4 074	181	22	159
COUNTIES	20 275	20 258	18 000	2 259	17	7	10
MUNICIPALITIES	26 699	26 535	24 720	1 815	164	15	149

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MISSOURI	272 022	272 022	260 080	11 942	12 739	126	12 613
STATE	86 276	74 446	69 352	5 094	11 830	(X)	11 830
LOCAL, TOTAL	197 702	197 576	190 728	6 848	909	126	783
COUNTIES	54 056	53 802	51 620	2 182	254	115	139
MUNICIPALITIES	144 429	143 774	139 108	4 666	655	10	644
MONTANA	38 793	38 793	34 597	4 196	1 553	5	1 548
STATE	16 858	15 498	13 342	2 156	1 360	(X)	1 360
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 300	23 295	21 255	2 040	193	5	188
COUNTIES	12 985	12 960	12 061	900	24	1	23
MUNICIPALITIES	10 503	10 334	9 194	1 140	169	4	165
NEBRASKA	77 467	77 467	73 545	3 922	4 441	44	4 398
STATE	32 992	29 004	27 250	1 754	3 988	(X)	3 988
LOCAL, TOTAL	48 507	48 463	46 295	2 168	453	44	410
COUNTIES	19 898	19 794	18 605	1 189	104	5	98
MUNICIPALITIES	29 019	28 669	27 690	979	349	38	311
NEVADA	76 371	76 371	67 705	8 666	13 237	39	13 197
STATE	24 715	22 116	16 635	5 481	2 599	(X)	2 599
LOCAL, TOTAL	54 295	54 255	51 070	3 185	10 638	39	10 598
COUNTIES	39 099	39 057	38 154	902	42	39	2
MUNICIPALITIES	25 794	15 198	12 916	2 282	10 596	-	10 596
NEW HAMPSHIRE	37 411	37 411	35 817	1 594	1 483	199	1 284
STATE	14 970	13 731	13 103	628	1 239	(X)	1 239
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 878	23 679	22 714	966	244	199	45
COUNTIES	5 864	5 635	5 312	323	229	190	39
MUNICIPALITIES	18 060	18 044	17 402	642	15	9	7
NEW JERSEY	646 367	646 367	621 053	25 314	27 878	420	27 459
STATE	188 912	162 248	147 500	14 748	26 664	(X)	26 664
LOCAL, TOTAL	484 539	484 119	473 553	10 566	1 214	420	795
COUNTIES	153 029	153 000	148 244	4 755	30	22	8
MUNICIPALITIES	332 304	331 119	325 308	5 811	1 185	398	787
NEW MEXICO	63 050	63 050	60 081	2 969	3 304	380	2 923
STATE	32 247	29 545	28 460	1 085	2 702	(X)	2 702
LOCAL, TOTAL	33 886	33 505	31 622	1 884	602	380	221
COUNTIES	8 131	7 619	6 628	991	512	380	132
MUNICIPALITIES	25 976	25 887	24 994	693	90	-	90
NEW YORK	2 061 406	2 061 406	1 978 939	82 467	116 615	11 228	105 387
STATE	522 396	424 742	408 796	15 946	97 654	(X)	97 654
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 647 893	1 636 664	1 570 143	66 521	18 961	11 228	7 733
COUNTIES	363 254	353 115	339 207	13 908	10 140	9 462	677
MUNICIPALITIES	1 292 371	1 283 549	1 230 936	52 613	8 822	1 766	7 056
NORTH CAROLINA	290 414	290 414	264 253	26 162	11 056	134	10 922
STATE	166 620	156 632	146 643	9 989	9 988	(X)	9 988
LOCAL, TOTAL	133 917	133 783	117 610	16 173	1 068	134	934
COUNTIES	54 286	53 469	42 071	11 398	817	40	777
MUNICIPALITIES	80 564	80 313	73 539	4 775	251	94	157
NORTH DAKOTA	23 775	23 775	22 150	1 625	2 159	4	2 155
STATE	10 163	8 219	7 461	758	1 944	(X)	1 944
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 559	15 556	14 689	867	215	4	211
COUNTIES	7 280	7 268	6 573	695	12	4	8
MUNICIPALITIES	8 491	8 288	8 116	172	203	-	203
OHIO	611 165	611 165	581 900	29 265	51 541	995	50 546
STATE	228 454	183 594	179 015	4 579	44 860	(X)	44 860
LOCAL, TOTAL	428 567	427 572	402 885	24 686	6 681	995	5 686
COUNTIES	147 915	142 741	129 718	13 024	5 174	613	4 561
MUNICIPALITIES	286 337	284 830	273 168	11 662	1 507	382	1 125
OKLAHOMA	115 964	115 964	107 863	8 101	8 388	2 983	5 404
STATE	57 278	52 003	47 754	4 249	5 275	(X)	5 275
LOCAL, TOTAL	66 944	63 961	60 109	3 852	3 113	2 983	129
COUNTIES	20 485	17 412	16 562	850	3 073	2 983	90
MUNICIPALITIES	46 239	46 549	43 547	3 002	39	-	39
OREGON	165 492	165 492	157 033	8 460	8 455	82	8 373
STATE	68 182	60 748	58 419	2 329	7 434	(X)	7 434
LOCAL, TOTAL	104 826	104 744	98 613	6 131	1 021	82	939
COUNTIES	58 811	58 618	53 053	5 565	193	40	153
MUNICIPALITIES	46 954	46 126	45 561	566	828	42	786

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
PENNSYLVANIA	751 287	751 287	727 743	23 544	82 478	13 004	69 474
STATE	300 691	233 011	225 100	7 911	67 680	(X)	67 680
LOCAL, TOTAL	531 280	518 276	502 643	15 633	14 798	13 004	1 794
COUNTIES	128 344	115 944	111 626	4 318	12 400	11 962	438
MUNICIPALITIES	404 736	392 332	391 017	11 315	2 398	1 042	1 356
RHODE ISLAND	55 042	55 042	53 360	1 682	2 407	"	2 407
STATE	29 784	27 377	26 693	684	2 407	(X)	2 407
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 665	27 665	26 667	998	-	"	-
MUNICIPALITIES	27 665	27 665	26 667	998	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	146 297	146 297	121 469	24 828	5 771	341	5 430
STATE	74 288	69 032	57 521	11 511	5 256	(X)	5 256
LOCAL, TOTAL	77 606	77 265	63 948	13 317	515	341	174
COUNTIES	45 719	45 323	34 143	11 180	396	258	138
MUNICIPALITIES	32 061	31 942	29 804	2 138	119	83	36
SOUTH DAKOTA	29 425	29 425	27 486	1 938	1 764	108	1 656
STATE	14 637	13 414	12 835	579	1 223	(X)	1 223
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 119	16 011	14 652	1 359	541	108	433
COUNTIES	8 364	8 017	6 869	1 147	348	102	246
MUNICIPALITIES	8 188	7 994	7 782	212	193	6	188
TENNESSEE	211 966	211 966	182 551	29 415	15 327	331	14 996
STATE	80 616	67 421	56 327	11 094	13 195	(X)	13 195
LOCAL, TOTAL	144 876	144 545	126 224	18 321	2 132	331	1 801
COUNTIES	55 280	54 903	44 622	10 281	377	292	85
MUNICIPALITIES	91 397	89 642	81 602	8 040	1 755	39	1 716
TEXAS	594 175	594 175	555 665	38 510	28 524	908	27 615
STATE	192 732	166 453	156 044	10 409	26 279	(X)	26 279
LOCAL, TOTAL	428 631	427 723	399 621	28 101	2 245	908	1 336
COUNTIES	169 487	168 579	154 730	13 849	908	650	258
MUNICIPALITIES	260 480	259 144	244 891	14 252	1 336	258	1 078
UTAH	56 974	56 974	53 539	3 435	4 827	"	4 827
STATE	27 507	22 779	21 127	1 652	4 728	(X)	4 728
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 195	34 195	32 412	1 783	99	-	99
COUNTIES	13 467	13 394	12 619	776	72	-	72
MUNICIPALITIES	20 827	20 801	19 794	1 007	27	-	27
VERMONT	26 913	26 913	24 115	2 798	216	8	209
STATE	20 110	19 989	17 382	2 607	121	(X)	121
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 932	6 924	6 733	191	95	8	88
COUNTIES	245	245	210	35	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 775	6 679	6 523	156	95	8	88
VIRGINIA	293 836	293 836	278 357	15 479	24 549	2 878	21 671
STATE	156 994	136 364	132 540	3 824	20 630	(X)	20 630
LOCAL, TOTAL	160 350	157 472	145 817	11 655	3 919	2 878	1 041
COUNTIES	62 875	61 420	57 407	4 013	1 455	1 132	323
MUNICIPALITIES	98 517	96 052	88 410	7 642	2 465	1 746	719
WASHINGTON	228 506	228 506	217 543	10 962	12 750	26	12 725
STATE	91 843	82 685	77 964	4 721	9 158	(X)	9 158
LOCAL, TOTAL	145 846	145 821	139 579	6 241	3 592	26	3 567
COUNTIES	73 062	72 571	68 395	4 176	491	4	487
MUNICIPALITIES	76 351	73 250	71 185	2 065	3 101	22	3 079
WEST VIRGINIA	64 385	64 385	56 722	7 663	3 393	6	3 387
STATE	31 614	28 432	24 822	3 610	3 182	(X)	3 182
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 959	35 954	31 901	4 053	211	6	205
COUNTIES	19 037	19 025	15 785	3 240	11	6	6
MUNICIPALITIES	17 128	16 928	16 116	813	200	-	200
WISCONSIN	262 721	262 721	254 782	7 938	11 083	2 141	8 943
STATE	90 727	82 490	79 828	2 662	8 237	(X)	8 237
LOCAL, TOTAL	182 372	180 231	174 955	5 276	2 846	2 141	706
COUNTIES	72 527	70 209	67 908	2 301	2 318	2 138	180
MUNICIPALITIES	110 550	110 022	107 047	2 975	528	3	526
WYOMING	20 185	20 185	19 075	1 110	1 676	13	1 663
STATE	9 653	8 362	8 097	265	1 591	(X)	1 591
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 835	11 822	10 978	845	85	13	72
COUNTIES	5 670	5 659	5 114	545	12	12	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 237	6 164	5 864	300	73	2	72

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system			Police protection			Judicial					
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees			Number of employees					
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
1	STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL.	6 319 927	1 030 946	929 040	954 379	15.1	599 431	543 397	555 849	57.8	144 183	120 702	24 712	13.1
2	STATES, LOCAL, TOTAL.	2 727 462	274 319	257 633	263 208	9.7	100 272	89 428	92 445	35.1	26 402	24 183	25 578	9.7
3	LOCAL, TOTAL.	3 592 465	756 627	671 407	691 159	19.2	493 159	453 969	463 404	67.1	117 781	96 519	99 132	14.3
4	COUNTIES.	1 399 874	283 234	250 840	257 592	18.4	95 229	87 057	89 273	34.7	85 216	72 913	72 761	28.2
5	MUNICIPALITIES.	2 192 591	473 393	420 567	433 567	19.8	403 930	366 912	374 131	86.3	32 565	23 606	26 371	6.1
6	ALABAMA	92 749	13 241	11 212	12 117	13.1	7 963	7 283	7 520	62.7	2 286	1 451	1 803	15.0
7	STATE	51 323	3 522	3 058	3 343	6.5	1 625	1 488	1 505	45.0	332	116	326	9.8
8	LOCAL, TOTAL.	41 426	9 719	8 154	8 693	21.0	6 338	5 795	6 015	69.6	1 954	1 335	1 476	17.1
9	COUNTIES.	15 477	3 865	3 131	3 124	22.1	1 216	1 058	1 131	33.5	1 604	1 246	1 344	39.7
10	MUNICIPALITIES.	25 949	5 854	5 023	5 269	20.2	5 122	4 737	4 884	92.7	350	89	132	2.5
11	ALASKA	24 842	2 469	2 244	2 304	9.3	1 209	1 147	1 156	50.2	560	433	462	20.1
12	STATE	13 352	1 792	1 601	1 649	12.4	627	583	589	35.7	553	427	456	27.7
13	LOCAL, TOTAL.	11 490	671	643	655	5.7	582	564	567	86.6	7	6	6	0.9
14	BOROUGHS.	2 806	8	8	8	0.3	3	3	3	37.5	-	-	-	-
15	MUNICIPALITIES.	8 684	663	635	647	7.5	579	561	564	87.2	7	6	6	0.9
16	ARIZONA	62 064	11 266	10 890	11 077	17.8	6 639	6 531	6 552	59.1	1 540	1 344	1 483	13.4
17	STATE	31 731	2 889	2 801	2 865	9.0	1 515	1 494	1 498	52.3	151	85	145	5.1
18	LOCAL, TOTAL.	30 333	8 377	8 089	8 212	27.1	5 124	5 037	5 054	61.5	1 389	1 259	1 338	16.3
19	COUNTIES.	13 426	4 095	3 920	4 003	29.8	1 260	1 229	1 234	30.8	1 157	1 068	1 127	28.2
20	MUNICIPALITIES.	16 907	4 282	4 169	4 209	24.9	3 864	3 808	3 820	90.8	232	191	211	5.0
21	ARKANSAS	52 628	6 472	5 289	5 687	10.8	3 741	3 378	3 493	61.4	1 121	502	709	12.5
22	STATE	31 812	1 723	1 707	1 709	5.4	726	710	712	41.7	88	88	88	5.1
23	LOCAL, TOTAL.	20 816	4 749	3 582	3 978	19.1	3 015	2 668	2 781	69.9	1 033	414	621	15.6
24	COUNTIES.	11 359	2 026	1 417	1 632	14.4	764	666	695	42.6	746	346	491	30.1
25	MUNICIPALITIES.	9 457	2 723	2 165	2 346	24.8	2 251	2 002	2 086	88.9	287	68	130	5.5
26	CALIFORNIA	573 466	120 631	113 797	116 214	20.3	63 387	60 837	61 389	52.8	13 545	11 984	12 932	11.1
27	STATE	218 389	26 749	25 255	26 003	11.9	11 880	11 602	11 685	44.9	906	495	906	3.5
28	LOCAL, TOTAL.	355 077	93 882	88 542	90 211	25.4	51 507	49 235	49 704	55.1	12 639	11 489	12 026	13.3
29	COUNTIES.	206 484	53 591	50 156	51 353	24.9	14 240	13 609	13 766	26.8	12 060	10 974	11 452	22.3
30	MUNICIPALITIES.	148 593	40 291	38 386	38 858	26.2	37 267	35 626	35 938	92.5	579	515	574	1.5
31	COLORADO	76 442	12 803	11 895	12 160	15.9	7 364	7 013	7 084	58.3	1 851	1 628	1 692	13.9
32	STATE	41 169	4 183	4 015	4 063	9.9	985	939	949	23.4	1 130	1 074	1 082	26.6
33	LOCAL, TOTAL.	35 273	8 620	7 880	8 097	23.0	6 379	6 074	6 135	75.8	721	554	610	7.5
34	COUNTIES.	13 223	2 141	1 837	1 938	14.7	1 038	948	972	50.2	188	179	180	9.3
35	MUNICIPALITIES.	22 050	6 479	6 043	6 159	27.9	5 341	5 126	5 163	83.8	533	375	430	7.0
36	CONNECTICUT	124 275	12 934	11 818	11 879	9.6	8 509	7 569	7 556	63.6	1 180	1 118	1 125	9.5
37	STATE	39 535	5 569	5 463	5 491	13.9	1 370	1 340	1 346	24.5	1 141	1 111	1 121	20.4
38	LOCAL, TOTAL.	84 740	7 365	6 355	6 388	7.5	7 139	6 229	6 210	97.2	39	7	4	0.1
39	MUNICIPALITIES.	84 740	7 365	6 355	6 388	7.5	7 139	6 229	6 210	97.2	39	7	4	0.1
40	DELAWARE	20 314	3 237	3 106	3 128	15.4	1 576	1 488	1 505	48.1	663	643	645	20.6
41	STATE	14 129	2 165	2 109	2 116	15.0	717	682	687	32.5	492	492	492	23.3
42	LOCAL, TOTAL.	6 185	1 072	997	1 012	16.4	859	806	818	80.8	171	151	153	15.1
43	COUNTIES.	2 380	340	337	340	14.3	221	221	221	65.0	106	104	106	31.2
44	MUNICIPALITIES.	3 805	732	660	672	17.7	638	585	597	88.8	65	47	47	7.0
45	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	45 246	9 525	9 249	9 353	20.7	5 639	5 409	5 492	58.7	921	913	917	9.8
46	STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	LOCAL, TOTAL.	45 246	9 525	9 249	9 353	20.7	5 639	5 409	5 492	58.7	921	913	917	9.8
48	MUNICIPALITIES.	45 246	9 525	9 249	9 353	20.7	5 639	5 409	5 492	58.7	921	913	917	9.8
49	FLORIDA	211 934	44 438	42 990	43 370	20.5	23 842	23 174	23 285	53.7	5 691	5 261	5 389	12.4
50	STATE	94 355	15 722	15 395	15 497	16.4	3 068	3 040	3 046	19.7	1 228	1 136	1 160	7.5
51	LOCAL, TOTAL.	117 579	28 716	27 595	27 873	23.7	20 774	20 134	20 239	72.6	4 463	4 125	4 229	15.2
52	COUNTIES.	56 180	13 796	13 449	13 536	24.1	7 436	7 318	7 351	54.3	3 768	3 602	3 630	26.8
53	MUNICIPALITIES.	61 399	14 920	14 146	14 337	23.4	13 338	12 816	12 888	89.9	695	523	599	4.2
54	GEORGIA	126 520	22 223	20 531	21 067	16.7	12 608	11 912	12 123	57.6	2 846	2 288	2 478	11.8
55	STATE	72 488	6 477	6 407	6 443	8.9	2 256	2 236	2 240	34.8	349	315	342	5.3
56	LOCAL, TOTAL.	54 032	15 746	14 124	14 624	27.1	10 352	9 676	9 883	67.6	2 497	1 973	2 136	14.6
57	COUNTIES.	22 407	7 853	6 990	7 255	32.4	3 323	3 157	3 191	44.0	2 142	1 748	1 881	25.9
58	MUNICIPALITIES.	31 625	7 893	7 134	7 369	23.3	7 029	6 519	6 692	90.8	355	225	255	3.5
59	HAWAII	41 449	3 643	3 621	3 624	8.7	2 451	2 432	2 434	67.2	534	534	534	14.7
60	STATE	30 714	1 050	1 050	1 050	3.4	6	6	6	0.6	534	534	534	50.9
61	LOCAL, TOTAL.	10 735	2 593	2 571	2 574	24.0	2 445	2 426	2 428	94.3	-	-	-	-
62	COUNTIES.	2 518	612	590	593	23.6	968	949	951	92.9	-	-	-	-
63	MUNICIPALITIES.	8 217	1 981	1 981	1 981	24.1	1 877	1 877	1 877	94.8	-	-	-	-
64	IDAHO	23 602	3 350	2 922	3 024	12.8	1 997	1 734	1 779	58.8	494	436	454	15.0
65	STATE	14 674	947	912	919	6.3	294	278	280	30.5	184	178	180	19.6
66	LOCAL, TOTAL.	8 928	2 403	2 010	2 105	23.6	1 703	1 456	1 499	71.2	310	258	274	13.0
67	COUNTIES.	5 011	1 257	1 071	1 126	22.5	624	540	559	49.6	300	258	271	24.1
68	MUNICIPALITIES.	3 917	1 146	939	979	25.0	1 079	916	940	96.0	10	3	3	0.3

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

47

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1975

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Line number
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
54 080	43 331	48 265	5.1	6 462	5 836	6 172	0.6	221 115	210 305	213 813	22.2	5 675	5 469	5 558	0.6	1
13 122	11 950	12 334	4.7	2 602	2 518	2 547	1.0	128 523	126 196	126 933	48.2	3 398	3 358	3 371	1.3	2
40 958	31 381	35 931	5.2	3 860	3 318	3 625	0.5	92 592	84 109	86 880	12.6	2 277	2 111	2 187	0.3	3
25 125	21 332	23 261	9.0	3 626	3 153	3 423	1.3	73 052	65 498	67 942	26.4	986	887	932	0.4	4
15 833	10 049	12 670	2.9	234	165	202	(Z)	19 540	18 611	18 938	4.4	1 291	1 224	1 255	0.3	5
705	300	491	4.1	6	-	3	(Z)	2 232	2 129	2 171	18.0	49	49	49	0.4	6
221	144	189	5.7	-	-	-	-	1 302	1 268	1 281	38.3	42	42	42	1.3	7
484	156	302	3.5	6	-	3	(Z)	930	861	890	10.1	7	7	7	0.1	8
265	118	210	6.2	4	-	3	(Z)	776	709	736	21.4	-	-	-	-	9
219	38	92	1.7	2	-	-	-	154	152	154	2.9	7	7	7	0.1	10
184	172	179	7.8	40	40	40	1.7	463	440	455	19.7	13	12	12	0.5	11
133	127	128	7.8	40	40	40	2.4	432	412	424	25.7	13	12	12	0.7	12
51	45	51	7.8	-	-	-	-	31	28	31	4.7	-	-	-	-	13
5	5	5	62.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
46	40	46	7.1	-	-	-	-	31	28	31	4.8	-	-	-	-	15
637	609	622	5.6	149	143	146	1.3	2 264	2 226	2 237	20.2	37	37	37	0.3	16
88	87	87	3.0	-	-	-	-	1 103	1 103	1 103	38.5	32	32	32	1.1	17
549	522	535	6.5	149	143	146	1.8	1 161	1 123	1 134	13.8	5	5	5	0.1	18
389	375	381	9.5	147	143	145	3.6	1 141	1 104	1 115	27.9	1	1	1	(Z)	19
160	147	154	3.7	2	-	1	(Z)	20	19	19	0.5	4	4	4	0.1	20
350	210	263	4.6	25	16	19	0.3	1 180	1 128	1 148	20.2	55	55	55	1.0	21
62	62	62	3.6	-	-	-	-	792	792	792	46.3	55	55	55	3.2	22
288	148	201	5.1	25	16	19	0.5	388	336	356	8.9	-	-	-	-	23
184	119	144	8.8	25	16	19	1.2	307	270	283	17.3	-	-	-	-	24
104	29	57	2.4	-	-	-	-	81	66	73	3.1	-	-	-	-	25
7 714	7 348	7 491	6.4	1 590	1 552	1 564	1.3	34 103	31 831	32 572	28.0	292	245	266	0.2	26
684	664	668	2.6	-	-	-	-	13 237	12 455	12 704	48.9	42	39	40	0.2	27
7 030	6 684	6 823	7.6	1 590	1 552	1 564	1.7	20 866	19 376	19 868	22.0	250	206	226	0.3	28
5 635	5 415	5 487	10.7	1 526	1 489	1 500	2.9	19 978	18 552	19 014	37.0	152	117	134	0.3	29
1 395	1 269	1 336	3.4	64	63	64	0.2	888	824	854	2.2	98	89	92	0.2	30
1 015	758	869	7.1	127	124	125	1.0	2 385	2 313	2 331	19.2	61	59	59	0.5	31
152	135	152	3.7	127	124	125	3.1	1 734	1 690	1 702	41.9	55	53	53	1.3	32
863	623	717	8.9	-	-	-	-	651	623	629	7.8	6	6	6	0.1	33
534	357	427	22.0	-	-	-	-	375	347	353	18.2	6	6	6	0.3	34
329	266	290	4.7	-	-	-	-	276	276	276	4.5	-	-	-	-	35
465	395	450	3.8	89	89	89	0.7	2 624	2 582	2 593	21.8	67	65	66	0.6	36
306	304	304	5.5	89	89	89	1.6	2 624	2 582	2 593	47.2	39	37	38	0.7	37
159	91	146	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	28	28	0.4	38
159	91	146	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	28	28	0.4	39
126	124	125	4.0	33	33	33	1.1	810	789	791	25.3	29	29	29	0.9	40
84	84	84	4.0	33	33	33	1.6	810	789	791	37.4	29	29	29	1.4	41
42	40	41	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
13	12	13	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
29	28	28	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44
162	162	162	1.7	-	-	-	-	2 759	2 725	2 741	29.3	44	40	41	0.4	45
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
162	162	162	1.7	-	-	-	-	2 759	2 725	2 741	29.3	44	40	41	0.4	47
162	162	162	1.7	-	-	-	-	2 759	2 725	2 741	29.3	44	40	41	0.4	48
1 940	1 859	1 910	4.4	687	674	675	1.6	12 025	11 774	11 861	27.3	2 3	248	250	0.6	49
1 547	1 537	1 539	9.9	650	650	650	4.2	9 147	8 954	9 022	58.2	82	78	80	0.5	50
393	322	371	1.3	37	24	25	0.1	2 878	2 820	2 839	10.2	171	170	170	0.6	51
134	117	128	0.9	23	20	20	0.1	2 296	2 253	2 268	16.8	139	139	139	1.0	52
259	205	243	1.7	14	5	5	(Z)	582	567	571	4.0	32	31	31	0.2	53
863	592	667	3.2	58	45	52	0.2	5 796	5 642	5 695	27.0	52	52	52	0.2	54
189	173	178	2.8	6	6	6	0.1	3 637	3 637	3 637	56.4	40	40	40	0.6	55
674	419	489	3.3	52	39	46	0.3	2 159	2 005	2 058	14.1	12	12	12	0.1	56
493	343	390	5.4	45	32	39	0.5	1 849	1 709	1 753	24.2	1	1	1	(Z)	57
181	76	99	1.3	7	7	7	0.1	310	296	305	4.1	11	11	11	0.1	58
215	215	215	5.9	46	46	46	1.3	382	379	380	10.5	15	15	15	0.4	59
80	80	80	7.6	46	46	46	4.4	371	371	371	35.3	13	13	13	1.2	60
135	135	135	5.2	-	-	-	-	11	8	9	0.3	2	2	2	0.1	61
31	31	31	5.2	-	-	-	-	11	8	9	1.5	2	2	2	0.3	62
104	104	104	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
248	180	207	6.8	31	23	25	0.8	552	521	531	17.6	28	28	28	0.9	64
40	36	37	4.0	-	-	-	-	401	392	394	42.9	28	28	28	3.0	65
208	144	170	8.1	31	23	25	1.2	151	129	137	6.5	-	-	-	-	66
153	123	136	12.1	31	23	25	2.2	149	127	135	12.0	-	-	-	-	67
55	21	34	3.5	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.2	-	-	-	-	68

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system			Police protection			Judicial			Percent of total criminal justice system employees		
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	ILLINOIS	249 828	53 469	48 975	50 592	20.3	35 407	32 360	33 301	65.8	6 812	6 162	6 458	12.8
2	STATE	119 801	10 837	10 571	10 664	8.9	3 598	3 515	3 532	33.1	1 383	1 371	1 373	12.9
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	130 027	42 632	38 404	39 928	30.7	31 809	28 845	29 769	74.6	5 429	4 791	5 085	12.7
4	COUNTIES	39 626	13 520	12 220	12 776	32.2	3 330	3 043	3 113	24.4	5 385	4 763	5 051	39.5
5	MUNICIPALITIES	90 401	29 112	26 184	27 152	30.0	28 479	25 802	26 656	98.2	44	28	34	0.1
6	INDIANA	129 254	19 053	16 689	17 605	13.6	11 223	10 351	10 547	59.9	2 632	1 951	2 308	13.1
7	STATE	58 276	4 839	4 599	4 800	8.2	2 043	2 012	2 020	42.1	294	112	294	6.1
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	70 978	14 214	12 090	12 805	18.0	9 180	8 339	8 527	66.6	2 338	1 839	2 014	15.7
9	COUNTIES	32 125	4 681	3 812	4 179	13.0	1 531	1 325	1 370	32.8	1 431	1 244	1 326	31.7
10	MUNICIPALITIES	38 853	9 533	8 278	8 626	22.2	7 649	7 014	7 157	83.0	907	595	688	8.0
11	IOWA	71 050	10 061	8 253	8 768	12.3	5 821	4 879	5 074	57.9	1 487	1 037	1 142	13.0
12	STATE	37 672	2 865	2 605	2 664	7.1	976	924	931	34.9	361	187	229	8.6
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	33 378	7 196	5 648	6 104	18.3	4 845	3 955	4 143	67.9	1 126	850	913	15.0
14	COUNTIES	17 699	3 213	2 451	2 716	15.3	987	832	864	31.8	1 126	850	913	33.6
15	MUNICIPALITIES	15 679	3 983	3 197	3 388	21.6	3 858	3 123	3 279	96.8	-	-	-	-
16	KANSAS	65 069	10 942	9 341	9 700	14.9	5 505	4 799	4 928	50.8	1 735	1 240	1 334	13.8
17	STATE	35 572	3 454	3 316	3 335	9.4	851	746	764	22.9	223	190	191	5.7
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	29 497	7 488	6 025	6 365	21.6	4 654	4 053	4 164	65.4	1 512	1 050	1 143	18.0
19	COUNTIES	13 595	3 277	2 611	2 782	20.5	1 060	870	910	32.7	1 172	947	1 000	35.9
20	MUNICIPALITIES	15 902	4 211	3 414	3 583	22.5	3 594	3 183	3 254	90.8	340	103	143	4.0
21	KENTUCKY	84 104	12 685	10 850	11 335	13.5	7 350	6 618	6 781	59.8	2 098	1 456	1 604	14.2
22	STATE	55 546	3 996	3 849	3 872	7.0	1 914	1 822	1 840	47.5	284	284	284	7.3
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	28 558	8 689	7 001	7 463	26.1	5 436	4 796	4 941	66.2	1 814	1 172	1 320	17.7
24	COUNTIES	11 562	3 942	2 988	3 267	28.3	1 469	1 203	1 274	39.0	1 427	971	1 082	33.1
25	MUNICIPALITIES	16 996	4 747	4 013	4 196	24.7	3 967	3 593	3 667	87.4	387	201	238	5.7
26	LOUISIANA	107 094	24 628	16 853	19 039	17.8	16 355	9 849	11 570	60.8	3 188	2 405	2 664	14.0
27	STATE	66 064	9 984	5 120	6 198	9.4	6 671	1 859	2 919	47.1	379	327	345	5.6
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	41 030	14 644	11 733	12 841	31.3	9 684	7 990	8 651	67.4	2 809	2 078	2 319	18.1
29	PARISHES	14 835	6 301	4 641	5 168	34.8	3 522	2 775	3 015	58.3	1 641	1 077	1 222	23.6
30	MUNICIPALITIES	26 195	8 343	7 092	7 673	29.3	6 162	5 215	5 636	73.5	1 168	1 001	1 097	14.3
31	MAINE	37 159	4 180	3 260	3 550	9.6	2 751	2 024	2 251	63.5	412	326	357	10.1
32	STATE	16 361	1 597	1 544	1 680	10.3	594	580	709	42.2	223	206	208	12.4
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	20 798	2 583	1 716	1 870	10.0	2 157	1 444	1 542	82.8	189	120	149	8.0
34	COUNTIES	837	705	433	497	59.4	309	172	191	38.8	182	120	147	29.6
35	MUNICIPALITIES	19 961	1 878	1 371	1 848	6.9	1 272	1 351	98.5	7	-	2	0.1	
36	MARYLAND	199 931	21 879	21 420	21 557	10.8	12 481	12 336	12 360	57.4	2 247	2 081	2 134	9.9
37	STATE	67 688	9 069	8 895	8 948	13.2	2 506	2 501	2 502	28.0	1 154	1 072	1 098	12.3
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	132 243	12 810	12 525	12 609	9.5	9 975	9 835	9 858	78.2	1 093	1 009	1 036	8.2
39	COUNTIES	88 133	5 946	5 771	5 839	6.6	4 320	4 285	4 295	73.7	690	606	633	10.8
40	MUNICIPALITIES	44 110	6 864	6 754	6 770	15.3	5 655	5 550	5 563	82.2	403	403	403	6.0
41	MASSACHUSETTS	244 300	28 942	26 507	27 183	11.1	18 584	16 688	17 089	62.9	3 636	3 350	3 488	12.8
42	STATE	67 757	6 671	6 651	6 655	9.8	2 444	2 431	2 434	36.6	606	599	600	9.0
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	176 543	22 271	19 856	20 528	11.6	16 140	14 257	14 655	71.4	3 030	2 751	2 888	14.1
44	COUNTIES	6 684	4 162	3 766	3 935	58.9	48	36	38	1.0	2 037	1 776	1 907	48.5
45	MUNICIPALITIES	169 859	18 109	16 090	16 593	9.8	16 092	14 221	14 617	88.1	993	975	981	5.9
46	MICHIGAN	217 319	40 319	36 909	37 904	17.4	24 193	22 275	22 630	59.7	6 199	5 464	5 925	15.6
47	STATE	109 190	8 476	8 116	8 157	7.5	3 706	3 409	3 442	42.2	590	590	590	7.2
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	108 129	31 843	28 793	29 747	27.5	20 487	18 866	19 188	64.5	5 609	4 874	5 335	17.9
49	COUNTIES	43 410	12 391	11 046	11 599	26.7	3 206	2 915	2 975	25.6	4 343	3 792	4 111	35.4
50	MUNICIPALITIES	64 719	19 452	17 747	18 148	28.0	17 281	15 951	16 213	89.3	1 266	1 082	1 224	6.7
51	MINNESOTA	102 173	14 572	12 440	13 042	12.8	8 610	7 306	7 537	57.8	1 806	1 631	1 685	12.9
52	STATE	50 123	3 030	2 900	2 956	5.9	1 141	1 110	1 120	37.9	139	139	139	4.7
53	LOCAL, TOTAL	52 050	11 542	9 540	10 086	19.4	7 469	6 196	6 417	63.6	1 667	1 492	1 546	15.3
54	COUNTIES	26 481	5 265	4 537	4 839	18.3	1 633	1 427	1 466	30.3	1 661	1 489	1 542	31.9
55	MUNICIPALITIES	25 569	6 277	5 003	5 247	20.5	5 836	4 769	4 951	94.4	6	3	4	0.1
56	MISSISSIPPI	63 627	7 529	6 189	6 591	10.4	4 700	4 164	4 299	65.2	1 094	606	754	11.4
57	STATE	32 830	2 386	2 279	2 304	7.0	1 109	1 093	1 097	47.6	129	114	116	5.0
58	LOCAL, TOTAL	30 797	5 143	3 910	4 287	13.9	3 591	3 071	3 202	74.7	965	492	638	14.9
59	COUNTIES	17 251	2 133	1 406	1 620	9.4	841	675	705	43.5	877	459	575	35.5
60	MUNICIPALITIES	13 546	3 010	2 504	2 667	19.7	2 750	2 396	2 497	93.6	88	33	63	2.4
61	MISSOURI	112 592	22 958	19 934	20 600	18.3	13 723	12 323	12 519	60.8	3 625	2 838	3 025	14.7
62	STATE	61 728	4 931	4 696	4 731	7.7	1 451	1 406	1 413	29.9	909	880	883	18.7
63	LOCAL, TOTAL	50 864	18 027	15 238	15 869	31.2	12 272	10 917	11 106	70.0	2 716	1 958	2 142	13.5
64	COUNTIES	16 169	5 353	4 514	4 866	30.0	1 976	1 820	1 854	38.2	1 622	1 330	1 468	30.2
65	MUNICIPALITIES	34 695	12 674	10 724	11 003	31.7	10 296	9 097	9 252	84.1	1 094	628	674	6.1
66	MONTANA	24 170	3 409	2 678	2 911	12.0	1 706	1 482	1 545	53.1	566	349	402	13.8
67	STATE	14 993	975	873	911	6.1	263	258	259	28.4	52	49	49	5.4
68	LOCAL, TOTAL	9 177	2 434	1 805	2 000	21.8	1 443	1 224	1 286	64.3	514	300	353	17.6
69	COUNTIES	5 713	1 446	1 070	1 197	21.0	644	531	564	47.1	414	280	321	26.8
70	MUNICIPALITIES	3 464	988	735	803	23.2	799	693	722	89.9	100	20	32	4.0

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

49

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal Justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal Justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal Justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal Justice system employees	Line number
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
2 515	2 009	2 249	4.4	531	449	506	1.0	8 035	7 832	7 914	15.6	169	163	164	0.3	1
652	461	555	5.2	97	97	97	0.9	4 981	4 981	4 981	48.7	126	126	126	1.2	2
1 863	1 528	1 694	4.2	434	352	409	1.0	3 054	2 851	2 933	7.3	43	37	38	0.1	3
1 302	1 194	1 256	9.8	432	352	407	3.2	3 043	2 842	2 923	22.9	28	26	26	0.2	4
561	334	438	1.6	2	-	2	(2)	11	9	10	(2)	15	11	12	(2)	5
1 258	789	1 007	5.7	127	75	116	0.7	3 653	3 392	3 480	19.8	160	131	147	0.8	6
332	325	682	6.8	22	22	22	0.5	2 081	2 064	2 072	43.2	67	67	67	1.4	7
926	467	682	5.3	105	53	94	0.7	1 572	1 328	1 408	11.0	93	64	80	0.6	8
497	227	378	9.0	82	52	75	1.8	1 081	915	977	23.4	59	49	53	1.3	9
429	240	364	3.5	23	1	19	0.2	491	413	431	5.0	34	15	27	0.3	10
539	364	488	5.6	19	17	18	0.2	2 152	1 915	2 005	22.9	43	41	41	0.5	11
114	99	102	3.8	-	-	-	-	1 389	1 370	1 377	51.7	25	25	25	0.9	12
425	265	386	6.3	19	17	18	0.3	763	545	628	10.3	18	16	16	0.3	13
327	217	303	11.2	19	17	18	0.7	752	534	617	22.7	2	1	1	(2)	14
98	48	83	2.4	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	0.3	16	15	15	0.4	15
750	539	622	6.4	16	14	15	0.2	2 845	2 664	2 713	28.0	91	85	88	0.9	16
151	151	45	14	14	14	14	0.4	2 194	2 194	2 194	65.8	21	21	21	0.6	17
599	388	471	7.4	2	-	1	(2)	651	470	519	8.2	70	64	67	1.1	18
376	305	335	12.0	-	-	-	-	613	439	484	17.4	56	50	53	1.9	19
223	83	136	3.8	2	-	1	(2)	38	31	35	1.0	14	14	14	0.4	20
784	479	606	5.3	58	50	58	0.5	2 395	2 247	2 286	20.2	-	-	-	-	21
231	176	181	4.7	42	42	42	1.1	1 525	1 525	1 525	39.4	-	-	-	-	22
553	303	425	5.7	16	8	16	0.2	870	722	761	10.2	-	-	-	-	23
319	222	275	8.4	16	8	16	0.5	711	584	620	19.0	-	-	-	-	24
234	81	150	3.6	-	-	-	-	159	138	141	3.4	-	-	-	-	25
1 161	857	1 014	5.3	73	54	62	0.3	3 761	3 598	3 639	19.1	90	90	90	0.5	26
403	403	403	6.5	-	-	-	-	2 466	2 466	2 466	39.8	65	65	65	1.0	27
758	454	611	4.8	73	54	62	0.5	1 295	1 132	1 173	9.1	25	25	25	0.2	28
412	218	332	6.4	19	7	12	0.2	698	555	578	11.2	9	9	9	0.2	29
346	236	279	3.6	54	47	50	0.7	597	577	595	7.8	16	16	16	0.2	30
145	122	135	3.8	-	-	-	-	843	761	780	22.0	29	27	27	0.8	31
97	97	97	5.8	-	-	-	-	654	634	639	38.0	29	27	27	1.6	32
48	25	38	2.0	-	-	-	-	189	127	141	7.6	-	-	-	-	33
25	14	20	4.1	-	-	-	-	189	127	141	28.7	-	-	-	-	34
23	11	18	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
866	804	836	3.9	310	255	271	1.3	5 819	5 789	5 800	26.9	156	155	156	0.7	36
119	94	101	1.1	309	254	270	3.0	4 826	4 820	4 822	53.9	155	154	155	1.7	37
747	710	735	5.8	1	1	1	(2)	993	969	978	7.8	1	1	1	(2)	38
434	402	424	7.3	1	1	1	(2)	500	476	485	8.3	1	1	1	(2)	39
313	308	311	4.6	-	-	-	-	493	493	493	7.3	-	-	-	-	40
963	820	929	3.4	175	175	175	0.6	5 459	5 353	5 381	19.8	125	121	121	0.4	41
321	321	428	4.8	132	132	132	2.0	3 085	3 085	3 085	46.4	83	83	83	1.2	42
642	499	608	3.0	43	43	43	0.2	2 374	2 268	2 296	11.2	42	38	38	0.2	43
196	179	187	4.8	43	43	43	1.1	1 838	1 732	1 760	44.7	-	-	-	-	44
446	320	421	2.5	-	-	-	-	536	536	536	3.2	42	38	38	0.2	45
1 852	1 677	1 687	4.5	182	155	161	0.4	7 812	7 257	7 420	19.6	81	81	81	0.2	46
304	304	304	3.7	104	104	104	1.3	3 714	3 651	3 659	44.9	58	58	58	0.7	47
1 548	1 373	1 383	4.6	78	51	57	0.2	4 098	3 606	3 761	12.6	23	23	23	0.1	48
1 133	1 047	1 083	9.3	74	47	53	0.5	3 619	3 229	3 361	29.0	16	16	16	0.1	49
415	326	300	1.7	4	4	4	(2)	479	377	400	2.2	7	7	7	(2)	50
939	552	746	5.7	120	85	108	0.8	2 978	2 748	2 848	21.8	119	118	118	0.9	51
89	81	2.7	11	10	10	9	0.3	1 568	1 485	1 524	51.6	82	82	82	2.8	52
850	478	665	6.6	109	75	98	1.0	1 410	1 263	1 324	13.1	37	36	36	0.4	53
515	346	473	9.8	109	75	98	2.0	1 335	1 189	1 249	25.8	12	11	11	0.2	54
335	132	192	3.7	-	-	-	-	75	74	75	1.4	25	25	25	0.5	55
352	177	259	3.9	14	4	9	0.1	1 309	1 182	1 213	18.4	60	56	57	0.9	56
102	102	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	990	914	933	40.5	56	56	56	2.4	57
250	75	157	3.7	14	4	9	0.2	319	268	280	6.5	4	1	1	(2)	58
133	50	103	6.4	8	2	4	0.2	270	220	232	14.3	4	1	1	0.1	59
117	25	54	2.0	6	2	5	0.2	49	48	48	1.8	-	-	-	-	60
1 136	749	889	4.3	99	98	98	0.5	4 336	3 887	4 030	19.6	39	39	39	0.2	61
99	83	85	1.8	98	98	98	2.1	2 339	2 194	2 217	46.9	35	35	35	0.7	62
1 037	666	804	5.1	1	-	-	-	1 997	1 693	1 813	11.4	4	4	4	-	63
513	425	485	10.0	-	-	-	-	1 242	939	1 059	21.8	-	-	-	-	64
524	241	319	2.9	1	-	-	-	755	754	754	6.9	4	4	4	(2)	65
323	164	236	8.1	23	11	15	0.5	737	626	665	22.8	54	46	48	1.6	66
85	26	51	5.6	-	-	-	-	526	496	507	55.7	49	44	45	4.9	67
238	138	185	9.2	23	11	15	0.7	211	130	158	7.9	5	2	3	0.1	68
168	127	151	12.6	23	11	15	1.3	192	119	143	11.9	5	2	3	0.3	69
70	11	34	4.2	-	-	-	-	19	11	15	1.9	-	-	-	-	70

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	NEBRASKA	48 184	6 332	5 308	5 659	11.7	3 421	2 882	3 106	54.9	966	836	867	15.3
2	STATE	26 336	2 031	1 921	1 950	7.4	597	586	587	30.1	473	419	431	22.1
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	21 848	4 301	3 387	3 709	17.0	2 824	2 296	2 519	67.9	493	417	436	11.8
4	COUNTIES	11 299	1 656	1 318	1 411	12.5	512	440	455	32.2	387	322	339	24.0
5	MUNICIPALITIES	10 549	2 645	2 069	2 298	21.8	2 312	1 856	2 064	89.8	106	95	97	4.2
6	NEVADA	21 140	4 341	4 159	4 221	20.0	2 293	2 224	2 237	53.0	452	385	418	9.9
7	STATE	9 561	9 980	9 952	9 960	10.0	2 228	2 219	2 221	23.0	66	61	62	6.5
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	11 579	3 361	3 207	3 261	28.2	2 065	2 005	2 016	61.8	386	324	356	10.9
9	COUNTIES	7 790	2 434	2 333	2 363	30.3	1 332	1 305	1 310	55.4	302	252	272	11.5
10	MUNICIPALITIES	3 789	927	874	898	23.7	733	700	706	78.6	84	72	84	9.4
11	NEW HAMPSHIRE	25 164	3 722	2 545	2 779	11.0	2 613	1 641	1 780	64.1	414	273	333	12.0
12	STATE	12 100	889	873	875	7.2	365	353	354	40.5	85	85	85	9.7
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	13 064	2 833	1 672	1 904	14.6	2 248	1 288	1 426	74.9	329	188	248	13.0
14	COUNTIES	2 285	460	361	413	18.1	98	78	84	20.3	156	116	137	33.2
15	MUNICIPALITIES	10 779	2 373	1 311	1 491	13.8	2 150	1 210	1 342	90.0	173	72	111	7.4
16	NEW JERSEY	218 332	43 725	39 967	41 098	18.8	25 905	23 854	24 146	58.8	5 903	4 984	5 443	13.2
17	STATE	67 491	8 697	8 459	8 528	12.6	3 341	3 209	3 234	37.9	814	809	810	9.5
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	150 841	35 028	31 508	32 570	21.6	22 564	20 645	20 912	64.2	5 089	4 175	4 633	14.2
19	COUNTIES	49 235	11 424	11 005	11 138	22.6	1 515	1 480	1 494	13.4	3 267	3 143	3 183	28.6
20	MUNICIPALITIES	101 606	23 604	20 503	21 432	21.1	21 049	19 165	19 418	90.6	1 822	1 032	1 450	6.8
21	NEW MEXICO	38 833	5 148	4 886	4 919	12.7	3 192	3 046	3 038	61.8	668	598	618	12.6
22	STATE	25 656	2 361	2 296	2 306	9.0	871	811	818	35.5	488	487	487	21.1
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	13 177	2 787	2 590	2 613	19.8	2 321	2 235	2 220	85.0	180	111	131	5.0
24	COUNTIES	4 928	704	610	640	13.0	580	530	543	84.8	40	19	23	3.6
25	MUNICIPALITIES	8 249	2 083	1 980	1 973	23.9	1 741	1 705	1 677	85.0	140	92	108	5.5
26	NEW YORK	703 562	118 835	110 806	113 259	16.1	72 181	68 543	69 432	61.3	14 862	12 099	12 889	11.4
27	STATE	188 026	23 328	23 078	23 119	12.3	6 679	6 552	6 563	28.4	1 951	1 951	1 951	8.4
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	515 536	95 507	87 728	90 140	17.5	65 502	61 991	62 869	69.7	12 911	10 148	10 938	12.1
29	COUNTIES	101 488	22 295	20 394	21 097	20.8	10 540	9 839	10 047	47.6	3 913	3 613	3 712	17.6
30	MUNICIPALITIES	414 048	73 212	67 334	69 043	16.7	54 962	52 152	52 822	76.5	8 998	6 535	7 226	10.5
31	NORTH CAROLINA	231 555	26 648	25 731	21 133	9.1	11 837	11 164	11 297	53.5	7 525	7 475	2 670	12.6
32	STATE	80 199	10 910	10 861	10 869	13.6	2 526	2 477	2 485	22.9	2 210	2 210	2 210	20.3
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	151 356	15 738	14 870	10 264	6.8	9 311	8 687	8 812	85.9	5 315	5 265	460	4.5
34	COUNTIES	122 728	8 656	8 323	3 600	2.9	2 333	2 198	2 224	61.8	5 315	5 265	460	12.8
35	MUNICIPALITIES	28 628	7 082	6 547	6 664	23.3	6 978	6 489	6 588	98.9	-	-	-	-
36	NORTH DAKOTA	16 844	2 506	1 756	1 898	11.3	1 321	1 001	1 043	54.9	585	291	345	18.2
37	STATE	10 642	558	537	545	5.1	161	151	153	28.0	64	64	64	11.7
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	6 202	1 948	1 219	1 353	21.8	1 160	850	890	65.8	521	227	281	20.8
39	COUNTIES	3 209	926	581	673	21.0	320	237	250	37.1	380	211	256	38.0
40	MUNICIPALITIES	2 993	1 022	638	680	22.7	840	613	640	94.1	141	16	25	3.7
41	OHIO	237 457	49 420	41 531	43 790	18.4	28 446	23 468	24 277	55.4	8 022	6 265	7 220	16.5
42	STATE	92 942	10 599	10 115	10 399	11.2	2 952	2 862	2 877	27.7	435	122	373	3.6
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	144 515	38 821	31 416	33 391	23.1	25 494	20 606	21 400	64.1	7 587	6 847	20.5	
44	COUNTIES	62 128	12 440	10 541	11 363	18.3	3 082	2 714	2 802	24.7	5 256	4 292	4 801	42.3
45	MUNICIPALITIES	82 387	26 381	20 875	22 028	26.7	22 412	17 892	18 598	84.4	2 331	1 851	2 046	9.3
46	OKLAHOMA	78 823	11 303	10 240	10 491	13.3	6 382	5 896	6 019	57.4	1 539	1 178	1 238	11.8
47	STATE	45 150	4 510	4 466	4 479	9.9	1 536	1 492	1 505	33.6	425	425	425	9.5
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	33 673	6 793	5 774	6 012	17.9	4 846	4 404	4 514	75.1	1 114	753	813	13.5
49	COUNTIES	12 241	1 694	1 507	1 580	12.9	563	512	531	33.6	677	602	634	40.1
50	MUNICIPALITIES	21 432	5 099	4 267	4 432	20.7	4 283	3 892	3 983	89.9	437	151	179	4.0
51	OREGON	63 383	10 853	9 745	9 973	15.7	5 679	5 225	5 277	52.9	1 487	1 180	1 286	12.9
52	STATE	38 447	3 524	3 411	3 445	9.0	1 276	1 216	1 231	33.7	237	210	217	6.3
53	LOCAL, TOTAL	24 936	7 329	6 334	6 528	26.2	4 403	4 009	4 046	62.0	1 250	970	1 069	16.4
54	COUNTIES	13 379	4 031	3 487	3 569	26.7	1 498	1 309	1 335	37.4	1 055	907	949	26.6
55	MUNICIPALITIES	11 557	3 298	2 847	2 959	25.6	2 905	2 700	2 711	91.6	195	63	120	4.1
56	PENNSYLVANIA	249 973	52 354	47 129	48 580	19.4	30 276	26 741	27 380	56.4	9 411	8 635	8 98	18.5
57	STATE	129 515	11 476	11 231	11 268	8.7	5 836	5 609	5 628	49.9	1 085	1 067	1 085	9.6
58	LOCAL, TOTAL	120 458	40 878	35 898	37 312	31.0	24 440	21 132	21 752	58.3	8 326	7 568	7 896	21.2
59	COUNTIES	40 700	11 255	9 648	10 305	25.3	1 059	866	954	9.3	4 975	4 217	4 545	44.1
60	MUNICIPALITIES	79 758	29 623	26 250	27 007	33.9	23 381	20 266	20 798	77.0	3 351	3 351	3 351	12.4
61	RHODE ISLAND	41 017	3 809	3 547	3 624	8.8	2 425	2 222	2 262	62.4	493	464	478	13.2
62	STATE	18 022	1 567	1 552	1 554	8.6	293	282	283	18.2	441	437	438	28.2
63	LOCAL, TOTAL	22 995	2 242	1 995	2 070	9.0	2 132	1 940	1 979	95.6	52	27	40	1.9
64	MUNICIPALITIES	22 995	2 242	1 995	2 070	9.0	2 132	1 940	1 979	95.6	52	27	40	1.9
65	SOUTH CAROLINA	78 726	11 318	10 186	10 525	13.4	6 073	5 672	5 778	54.9	1 601	1 106	1 253	11.9
66	STATE	51 304	4 347	4 303	4 311	8.4	1 550	1 530	1 532	35.5	88	87	87	2.0
67	LOCAL, TOTAL	27 422	6 971	5 883	6 214	22.7	4 523	4 142	4 246	68.3	1 513	1 019	1 166	18.8
68	COUNTIES	16 096	3 677	3 085	3 269	20.3	1 557	1 478	1 493	45.7	1 332	960	1 072	32.8
69	MUNICIPALITIES	11 326	3 294	2 798	2 945	26.0	2 966	2 664	2 753	93.5	181	59	94	3.2

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

51

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued

Legal services and prosecution			Public defense			Corrections			Other criminal justice			Line number
Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal Justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal Justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal Justice system employees	Line number
Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		
509	339	384	6.8	49	42	44	0.8	1 337	1 161	1 210	21.4	50
45	45	45	2.3	-	-	-	-	886	843	859	44.1	30
464	294	339	9.1	49	42	44	1.2	451	318	351	9.5	20
287	226	252	17.9	49	42	44	3.1	407	274	307	21.8	14
177	68	87	3.8	-	-	-	-	44	44	44	1.9	6
356	340	348	8.2	65	64	64	1.5	1 131	1 102	1 110	26.3	44
59	56	57	5.9	7	6	6	0.6	578	568	572	59.6	42
297	284	291	8.9	58	58	58	1.8	553	534	538	16.5	2
232	227	228	9.6	58	58	58	2.5	508	489	493	20.9	2
65	57	63	7.0	-	-	-	-	45	45	45	5.0	-
92	65	85	3.1	-	-	-	-	581	547	561	20.2	22
44	44	44	5.0	-	-	-	-	377	373	374	42.7	18
48	21	41	2.2	-	-	-	-	204	174	187	9.8	4
25	12	25	6.1	-	-	-	-	181	155	167	40.4	-
23	9	16	1.1	-	-	-	-	23	19	20	1.3	4
2 986	2 511	2 760	6.7	548	527	536	1.3	8 163	7 879	7 995	19.5	220
519	484	489	5.7	523	514	517	6.1	3 384	3 327	3 362	39.4	116
2 467	2 027	2 271	7.0	25	13	19	0.1	4 779	4 552	4 633	14.2	104
1 831	1 790	1 797	16.1	10	9	10	0.1	4 751	4 539	4 605	41.4	50
636	237	474	2.2	15	4	9	(Z)	28	13	28	0.1	54
303	284	291	5.9	59	57	59	1.2	873	848	860	17.5	53
235	233	234	10.1	58	56	58	2.5	658	658	658	28.5	51
68	51	57	2.2	1	1	1	(Z)	215	190	202	7.7	2
8	7	8	1.2	-	-	-	-	76	54	66	10.3	-
60	44	49	2.5	1	1	1	0.1	139	136	136	6.9	2
6 138	5 327	5 822	5.1	201	120	170	0.2	23 783	23 056	23 284	20.6	1 670
1 430	1 430	1 430	6.2	-	-	-	-	12 392	12 269	12 299	53.2	876
4 708	3 897	4 392	4.9	201	120	170	0.2	11 391	10 787	10 985	12.2	794
1 663	1 450	1 598	7.6	201	120	170	0.8	5 875	5 273	5 471	25.9	103
3 045	2 447	2 794	4.0	-	-	-	-	5 516	5 514	5 514	8.0	691
465	372	409	1.9	54	54	54	0.3	6 625	6 529	6 563	31.1	142
304	304	304	2.8	54	54	54	0.5	5 722	5 722	5 722	52.6	94
161	68	105	1.0	-	-	-	-	903	807	841	8.2	48
69	22	41	1.1	-	-	-	-	898	802	836	23.2	41
92	46	64	1.0	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	0.1	7
202	128	153	8.1	5	3	3	0.2	374	316	336	17.7	19
38	37	37	6.8	-	-	-	-	278	268	274	50.2	17
164	91	116	8.6	5	3	3	0.2	96	48	62	4.6	2
126	85	104	15.5	5	3	3	0.4	93	45	59	8.8	2
38	6	12	1.8	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	0.4	-
2 589	1 926	2 258	5.2	48	32	48	0.1	10 226	9 752	9 903	22.6	89
592	577	581	5.6	5	5	5	(Z)	6 528	6 462	6 476	62.3	87
1 997	1 349	1 677	5.0	43	27	39	0.1	3 698	3 290	3 427	10.3	2
990	824	909	8.0	33	20	31	0.3	3 079	2 691	2 820	24.8	-
1 007	625	768	3.5	10	7	8	(Z)	619	599	607	2.8	2
679	521	575	5.5	42	31	34	0.3	2 569	2 522	2 533	24.1	92
400	400	400	8.9	-	-	-	-	2 108	2 108	2 108	47.1	41
279	121	175	2.9	42	31	34	0.6	461	14	425	7.1	51
32	29	37	2.3	40	29	32	2.0	382	335	346	21.9	-
247	92	138	3.1	2	2	2	(Z)	79	79	79	1.8	51
933	792	849	8.5	30	19	26	0.3	2 661	2 469	2 472	24.8	60
320	318	318	9.2	21	12	17	0.5	1 639	1 624	1 631	47.3	31
613	474	531	8.1	9	7	9	0.1	1 022	845	841	12.9	32
464	428	444	12.4	9	7	9	0.3	1 005	836	832	23.3	-
149	46	87	2.9	-	-	-	-	17	9	9	0.3	32
2 513	1 985	2 308	4.8	418	385	410	0.8	9 534	9 181	9 299	19.1	202
320	320	320	2.8	-	-	-	-	4 054	4 054	36.0	181	181
2 193	1 665	1 988	5.3	418	385	410	1.1	5 480	5 127	5 245	14.1	21
1 336	1 066	1 164	11.3	418	385	410	4.0	3 446	3 093	3 211	31.2	21
857	599	824	3.1	-	-	-	-	2 034	2 034	7.5	-	-
136	106	129	3.6	31	31	31	0.9	694	694	694	19.2	30
78	78	50	3.0	31	31	31	2.0	694	694	694	44.7	30
58	28	51	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	28	51	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
291	203	235	2.2	35	29	33	0.3	3 233	3 096	3 144	29.9	85
102	102	102	2.4	-	-	-	-	2 528	2 510	2 514	58.3	74
189	101	133	2.1	35	29	33	0.5	705	586	630	10.1	6
129	85	102	3.1	35	29	33	1.0	622	531	567	17.3	2
60	16	31	1.1	-	-	-	-	83	55	63	2.1	4

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system			Police protection			Judicial			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
			Number of employees		Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		
			Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only			
1	SOUTH DAKOTA	18 452	2 625	2 043	2 183	11.8	1 492	1 152	1 222	56.0	457	381	392 18.0
2	STATE	11 548	1 066	955	980	8.5	254	237	238	24.3	416	367	376 38.4
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	6 904	1 559	1 088	1 203	17.4	1 238	915	984	81.8	39	14	16 1.3
4	COUNTIES	3 300	578	401	442	13.4	320	236	246	55.7	23	14	16 3.6
5	MUNICIPALITIES	3 604	981	687	761	21.1	918	679	738	97.0	16	-	- -
6	TENNESSEE	184 501	16 529	15 114	15 422	8.4	9 452	8 748	8 880	57.6	2 557	2 129	2 199 14.3
7	STATE	55 025	4 421	4 262	4 288	7.8	1 320	1 168	1 189	27.7	322	322	322 7.5
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	129 476	12 108	10 852	11 134	8.6	8 152	7 580	7 691	69.1	2 235	1 807	1 877 16.9
9	COUNTIES	64 312	4 260	3 655	3 787	5.9	1 514	1 366	1 389	36.7	1 579	1 323	1 359 35.9
10	MUNICIPALITIES	65 164	7 848	7 197	7 347	11.3	6 618	6 214	6 302	85.8	656	484	518 7.1
11	TEXAS	292 030	48 088	44 087	45 400	15.5	28 942	27 729	28 077	54.0	7 657	5 986	6 567 12.6
12	STATE	147 855	10 978	10 615	10 708	7.2	5 734	5 565	5 604	52.3	463	457	458 4.3
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	144 175	37 110	33 472	34 692	24.1	23 208	22 164	22 473	54.4	7 194	5 529	6 109 14.8
14	COUNTIES	52 141	15 374	13 408	14 137	27.1	4 135	3 815	3 926	18.9	5 754	4 667	5 082 24.5
15	MUNICIPALITIES	92 034	21 736	20 064	20 555	22.3	19 073	18 349	18 547	90.2	1 440	862	1 027 5.0
16	UTAH	36 119	4 760	3 931	4 228	11.7	2 820	2 404	2 533	59.9	598	408	505 11.9
17	STATE	24 752	1 616	1 448	1 496	6.0	628	567	580	38.8	129	111	116 7.8
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	11 367	3 144	2 483	2 732	24.0	2 192	1 837	1 953	71.5	469	297	389 14.2
19	COUNTIES	6 016	1 296	1 037	1 117	18.6	675	559	581	52.0	235	167	199 17.8
20	MUNICIPALITIES	5 351	1 848	1 446	1 615	30.2	1 517	1 278	1 372	85.0	234	130	190 11.8
21	VERMONT	12 920	1 908	1 640	1 683	13.0	1 135	924	951	56.5	.94	165	174 10.3
22	STATE	9 916	1 164	1 100	1 112	11.2	428	403	405	36.4	166	152	156 14.0
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	3 004	744	540	571	19.0	707	521	546	95.8	28	13	18 3.2
24	COUNTIES	25	35	17	24	96.0	4	2	3	12.5	28	13	18 75.0
25	MUNICIPALITIES	2 979	709	523	547	18.4	703	519	543	99.5	-	-	- -
26	VIRGINIA	234 844	23 521	17 852	20 232	8.6	13 497	9 614	11 281	55.8	2 671	2 289	2 438 12.1
27	STATE	78 849	11 264	7 824	9 272	11.8	5 091	2 071	3 383	36.5	1 398	1 396	1 397 15.1
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	155 995	12 257	10 028	10 960	7.0	8 406	7 583	7 898	72.1	1 273	893	1 041 9.5
29	COUNTIES	81 696	4 948	3 542	4 170	5.1	3 070	2 368	2 692	64.6	741	476	568 13.6
30	MUNICIPALITIES	74 299	7 309	6 486	6 790	9.1	5 336	5 175	5 206	76.7	532	417	473 7.0
31	WASHINGTON	96 507	15 420	13 882	13 866	14.4	7 947	7 512	7 242	52.2	2 137	1 605	1 719 12.4
32	STATE	60 564	4 686	4 505	4 546	7.5	1 667	1 574	1 587	34.9	234	225	227 5.0
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	35 943	10 734	9 377	9 320	25.9	6 280	5 938	5 655	60.7	1 903	1 380	1 492 16.0
34	COUNTIES	15 883	5 473	4 840	5 036	31.7	1 888	1 802	1 821	36.2	1 424	1 162	1 263 25.1
35	MUNICIPALITIES	20 060	5 261	4 537	4 284	21.4	4 392	4 136	3 834	89.5	479	218	229 5.3
36	WEST VIRGINIA	47 931	5 271	4 683	4 842	10.1	3 089	2 801	2 848	58.8	784	627	673 13.9
37	STATE	35 342	1 918	1 888	1 893	5.4	962	938	941	49.7	97	96	96 5.1
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	12 589	3 353	2 795	2 949	23.4	2 127	1 863	1 907	64.7	687	531	577 19.6
39	COUNTIES	5 391	1 566	1 345	1 441	26.7	481	442	458	31.8	600	512	547 38.0
40	MUNICIPALITIES	7 198	1 787	1 450	1 508	21.0	1 646	1 421	1 449	96.1	87	19	30 2.0
41	WISCONSIN	147 145	19 748	16 797	17 543	11.9	12 605	10 608	10 951	62.4	2 171	1 723	1 886 10.8
42	STATE	53 193	4 897	4 603	4 681	8.8	1 400	1 273	1 297	27.7	449	402	424 9.1
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	93 952	14 851	12 194	12 862	13.7	11 205	9 335	9 654	75.1	1 722	1 321	1 462 11.4
44	COUNTIES	34 691	5 528	4 752	5 000	14.4	2 310	2 037	2 085	41.7	1 538	1 307	1 407 23.1
45	MUNICIPALITIES	59 261	9 323	7 442	7 862	13.3	8 895	7 569	96.3	184	14	55	0.7
46	WYOMING	13 284	1 904	1 613	1 719	12.9	1 074	965	993	57.8	260	189	216 12.6
47	STATE	7 755	628	591	601	7.7	241	219	225	37.4	50	50	50 8.3
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	5 529	1 276	1 022	1 118	20.2	833	746	768	68.7	210	139	166 14.8
49	COUNTIES	3 522	605	478	527	15.0	274	237	242	45.9	160	124	139 26.4
50	MUNICIPALITIES	2 007	671	544	591	29.4	559	509	526	89.0	50	15	27 4.6

* Represents zero or rounds to zero.

^a Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

The relation of criminal justice full-time equivalent employees to total full-time equivalent employees is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, locally operated utility systems, or for independent school districts and special districts.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

53

equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued

Legal services and prosecution			Public defense			Corrections			Other criminal justice			Line number			
Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
264	166	200	9.2	7	7	0.3	373	306	331	15.2	32	31	31	1.4	
79	66	69	7.0	-	-	-	283	254	266	27.1	32	31	31	3.2	
185	100	131	10.9	7	7	0.6	90	52	65	5.4	-	-	-	-	
139	93	109	24.7	7	7	1.6	89	51	64	14.5	-	-	-	-	
46	7	22	2.9	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	
588	386	451	2.9	82	76	79	0.5	3 789	3 717	3 754	24.3	61	58	59	0.4
257	257	6.0	23	19	21	0.5	2 464	2 461	2 464	57.5	35	35	35	0.8	
331	129	194	1.7	59	57	58	0.5	1 325	1 256	1 290	11.6	26	23	24	0.2
166	36	74	2.0	42	40	41	1.1	948	879	913	24.1	11	11	11	0.3
165	93	120	1.6	17	17	0.2	377	377	377	5.1	15	12	13	0.2	
2 947	2 342	2 540	5.6	13	5	9	(Z)	8 241	7 759	7 927	15.2	288	266	280	0.5
429	358	371	3.5	-	-	-	-	4 278	4 165	4 203	39.3	74	70	72	0.7
2 518	1 984	2 169	6.3	13	5	9	(Z)	3 963	3 594	3 724	9.0	214	196	208	0.5
1 768	1 580	1 647	11.7	13	5	9	(Z)	3 514	3 161	3 288	15.9	190	180	185	0.9
750	404	522	2.5	-	-	-	-	449	433	436	2.1	24	16	23	0.1
323	223	253	6.0	5	-	2	(Z)	981	866	904	21.4	33	30	31	0.7
91	62	70	4.7	-	-	-	-	735	678	699	46.7	33	30	31	2.1
232	161	183	6.7	5	-	2	0.1	246	188	205	7.5	-	-	-	-
142	126	135	12.1	3	-	1	0.1	241	185	201	18.0	-	-	-	-
90	35	48	3.0	2	-	1	0.1	5	3	4	0.2	-	-	-	-
85	78	79	4.7	36	36	2.1	437	416	422	25.1	21	21	21	1.2	
80	75	76	6.8	36	36	3.2	433	413	418	37.6	21	21	21	1.9	
5	3	3	0.5	-	-	-	-	4	3	4	0.7	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	3	12.5	-	-	-	-
5	3	3	0.5	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.2	-	-	-	-
1 046	398	675	3.3	17	17	17	0.1	6 202	5 449	5 735	28.4	88	85	86	0.4
465	62	192	2.1	11	11	11	0.1	4 239	4 224	4 229	45.6	60	60	60	0.6
581	336	483	4.4	6	6	6	0.1	1 963	1 225	1 506	13.7	28	25	26	0.2
316	184	275	6.6	-	-	-	-	795	491	611	14.7	26	23	24	0.6
265	152	208	3.1	6	6	6	0.1	1 168	734	895	13.2	2	2	2	(Z) 30
1 036	774	844	6.1	53	47	51	0.4	4 190	3 892	3 955	28.5	57	52	55	0.4
261	238	244	5.4	-	-	-	-	2 493	2 437	2 457	54.0	31	31	31	0.7
775	536	600	6.4	53	47	51	0.5	1 697	1 455	1 498	16.1	26	21	24	0.3
459	420	432	8.6	53	47	51	1.0	1 623	1 388	1 445	28.7	26	21	24	0.5
316	116	168	3.9	-	-	-	-	74	67	53	1.2	-	-	-	35
303	240	274	5.7	-	-	-	-	1 052	973	1 004	20.7	43	42	43	0.9
57	56	57	3.0	-	-	-	-	762	759	759	40.1	40	39	40	2.1
246	184	217	7.4	-	-	-	-	290	214	245	8.3	3	3	3	0.1
193	174	189	13.1	-	-	-	-	289	214	244	16.9	3	3	3	0.2
53	10	28	1.9	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	0.1	-	-	-	40
919	695	855	4.9	21	18	20	0.1	3 985	3 710	3 787	21.6	47	43	44	0.3
198	154	163	3.5	13	13	13	0.3	2 790	2 718	2 740	58.5	47	43	44	0.9
721	541	692	5.4	8	5	7	0.1	1 195	992	1 047	8.1	-	-	-	43
477	411	454	9.1	8	5	7	0.1	1 195	992	1 047	20.9	-	-	-	44
244	130	238	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
170	104	134	7.8	15	9	14	0.8	372	335	350	20.4	13	11	12	0.7
34	28	29	4.8	-	-	-	-	292	283	286	47.6	11	11	11	1.8
136	76	105	9.4	15	9	14	1.3	80	52	64	5.7	2	-	1	0.1
87	69	80	15.2	15	9	14	2.7	67	39	51	9.7	2	-	1	0.2
49	7	25	4.2	-	-	-	-	13	13	13	2.2	-	-	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	5 971 039	1 013 762	17.0	606 599	59.8	128 034	12.6
STATES	2 638 867	280 593	10.6	97 737	34.8	37 173	13.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 332 172	733 169	22.0	508 862	69.4	90 432	12.4
COUNTIES	1 174 459	251 535	21.4	90 874	36.1	44 488	11.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 157 713	481 634	22.3	417 988	86.8	54 144	12.4
ALABAMA	72 348	9 858	13.6	6 094	61.8	1 538	15.5
STATE	45 216	3 065	6.8	1 295	42.2	428	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 132	6 793	25.0	4 799	70.6	1 100	16.2
COUNTIES	9 528	2 511	26.4	842	33.5	994	39.6
MUNICIPALITIES	17 604	4 283	24.3	3 957	92.4	106	2.5
ALASKA	37 973	3 865	10.2	1 982	51.3	709	18.3
STATE	20 093	2 854	14.2	1 091	38.2	703	24.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 880	1 011	5.7	891	88.2	5	0.5
BOROUGHS	4 294	14	0.3	3	23.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13 586	996	7.3	888	89.1	5	0.5
ARIZONA	58 858	11 148	18.9	6 720	60.3	1 315	11.8
STATE	31 712	3 066	9.7	1 601	52.2	227	7.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 147	8 082	29.8	5 119	63.3	1 089	13.5
COUNTIES	11 088	3 751	33.8	1 194	31.8	901	24.0
MUNICIPALITIES	16 058	4 331	27.0	3 925	90.6	187	4.3
ARKANSAS	36 147	4 068	11.3	2 457	60.4	540	13.3
STATE	25 116	1 439	5.7	597	41.5	155	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 030	2 629	23.8	1 240	70.7	385	14.7
COUNTIES	5 140	1 018	19.8	427	41.9	298	29.3
MUNICIPALITIES	5 891	1 611	27.4	1 433	88.9	87	5.4
CALIFORNIA	679 707	152 789	22.5	81 876	53.6	16 840	11.0
STATE	264 512	33 240	12.6	13 726	41.3	2 347	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	415 195	119 549	28.8	68 150	57.0	14 493	12.1
COUNTIES	232 203	66 751	28.7	19 335	29.0	13 788	20.7
MUNICIPALITIES	182 992	52 798	28.9	48 815	92.5	705	1.3
COLORADO	75 866	12 821	16.9	7 342	57.3	1 812	14.1
STATE	44 914	4 713	10.5	1 067	22.6	1 258	26.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 952	8 108	26.2	6 275	77.4	554	6.8
COUNTIES	9 399	1 574	16.7	777	49.4	147	9.4
MUNICIPALITIES	21 553	6 534	30.3	5 498	84.1	407	6.2
CONNECTICUT	123 109	12 487	10.1	8 137	65.2	1 174	9.4
STATE	36 667	5 574	15.2	1 403	25.2	1 169	21.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	86 442	6 913	8.0	6 733	97.4	5	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	86 442	6 913	8.0	6 733	97.4	5	0.1
DELAWARE	16 964	3 104	18.3	1 926	49.1	610	19.6
STATE	11 438	2 074	18.1	668	32.2	485	23.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 527	1 030	18.6	858	83.3	125	12.1
COUNTIES	1 910	366	19.2	273	74.5	80	21.7
MUNICIPALITIES	3 617	664	18.4	585	88.1	45	6.8
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	55 932	12 324	22.0	7 053	57.2	1 184	9.6
STATE	55 932	12 324	22.0	7 053	57.2	1 184	9.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	55 932	12 324	22.0	7 053	57.2	1 184	9.6
FLORIDA	186 500	41 604	22.3	23 990	57.7	5 224	12.6
STATE	86 088	14 097	16.4	2 789	19.8	1 961	13.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	100 412	27 507	27.4	21 201	77.1	3 263	11.9
COUNTIES	46 716	12 623	27.0	7 555	59.9	2 786	22.1
MUNICIPALITIES	53 696	14 884	27.7	13 646	91.7	477	3.2
GEORGIA	97 258	16 910	17.4	9 640	57.0	2 235	13.2
STATE	59 489	5 367	9.0	1 808	33.7	509	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	37 769	11 543	30.6	7 832	67.8	1 726	15.0
COUNTIES	15 921	5 647	35.5	2 516	44.6	1 498	26.5
MUNICIPALITIES	21 847	5 896	27.0	5 315	90.2	228	3.9
HAWAII	44 968	4 190	9.3	2 728	65.1	604	14.4
STATE	34 650	1 260	3.6	5	0.4	604	47.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 319	2 929	28.4	2 723	93.0	-	-
COUNTIES	2 308	695	30.1	635	91.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8 011	2 234	27.9	2 088	93.5	-	-
IDAHO	19 271	2 455	12.7	1 401	57.1	410	16.7
STATE	13 435	940	7.0	278	29.6	254	27.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 836	1 515	26.0	1 123	74.1	156	10.3
COUNTIES	3 039	718	23.6	359	50.0	154	21.4
MUNICIPALITIES	2 797	797	28.5	764	95.8	2	0.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	56 425	5.6	7 898	0.8	208 942	20.6	5 893	0.6
STATES	15 615	5.6	3 057	1.1	123 252	43.9	3 561	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 810	5.6	4 841	0.7	85 690	11.7	2 333	0.3
COUNTIES	26 172	10.4	4 621	1.8	64 478	25.6	893	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	14 638	3.0	212	(Z)	21 213	4.4	1 439	0.3
ALABAMA	507	5.1	2	(Z)	1 676	17.0	51	0.5
STATE	255	3.3	-	-	1 042	34.0	46	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	252	3.7	2	(Z)	634	9.3	5	0.1
COUNTIES	164	6.5	2	0.1	508	20.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	88	2.1	-	(Z)	125	2.9	5	0.1
ALASKA	372	9.6	78	2.0	701	18.1	23	0.6
STATE	291	10.2	78	2.7	667	23.4	23	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	81	8.0	-	-	33	3.3	-	-
BOROUGHS	11	76.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	70	7.0	-	-	33	3.3	-	-
ARIZONA	770	6.9	185	1.7	2 110	18.9	47	0.4
STATE	121	3.9	-	-	1 075	35.1	42	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	649	8.0	185	2.3	1 035	12.8	5	0.1
COUNTIES	452	12.1	184	4.9	1 018	27.1	(Z)	-
MUNICIPALITIES	197	4.6	1	(Z)	17	0.4	4	0.1
ARKANSAS	233	5.7	22	0.6	766	18.8	50	1.2
STATE	77	5.4	-	-	560	38.9	50	3.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	155	5.9	22	0.9	206	7.8	-	-
COUNTIES	113	11.1	22	2.2	157	15.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	42	2.6	-	-	49	3.1	-	-
CALIFORNIA	11 179	7.3	2 676	1.8	39 914	26.1	305	0.2
STATE	1 097	3.3	-	-	16 017	48.2	53	0.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 082	8.4	2 676	2.2	23 896	20.0	252	0.2
COUNTIES	7 959	11.9	2 575	3.9	22 938	34.4	157	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 122	4.0	101	0.2	959	1.8	95	0.2
COLORADO	956	7.5	179	1.4	2 453	19.1	79	0.6
STATE	241	5.1	179	3.8	1 896	40.2	72	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	715	8.8	-	-	556	6.9	7	0.1
COUNTIES	379	24.1	-	-	264	16.8	7	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	336	5.1	-	-	292	4.5	-	-
CONNECTICUT	543	4.3	127	1.0	2 446	19.6	61	0.5
STATE	392	7.0	127	2.3	2 446	43.9	37	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	151	2.2	-	-	-	-	24	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	151	2.2	-	-	-	-	24	0.3
DELAWARE	139	4.5	34	1.1	760	24.5	36	1.2
STATE	91	4.4	34	1.7	760	36.6	36	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	47	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	14	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	34	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	271	2.2	-	-	3 766	30.6	49	0.4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	271	2.2	-	-	3 766	30.6	49	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	271	2.2	-	-	3 766	30.6	49	0.4
FLORIDA	2 057	4.9	721	1.7	9 362	22.5	250	0.6
STATE	1 586	11.3	695	4.9	6 985	49.6	80	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	470	1.7	26	0.1	2 377	9.6	170	0.6
COUNTIES	178	1.4	22	0.2	1 937	15.3	144	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	292	2.0	4	(Z)	440	3.0	26	0.2
GEORGIA	749	4.4	61	0.4	4 158	24.6	66	0.4
STATE	286	5.3	6	0.1	2 713	50.5	45	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	463	4.0	55	0.5	1 445	12.5	21	0.2
COUNTIES	361	6.4	49	0.9	1 222	21.6	(Z)	-
MUNICIPALITIES	102	1.7	6	0.1	223	3.8	21	0.4
HAWAII	313	7.5	67	1.6	454	10.8	24	0.6
STATE	117	9.2	67	5.3	446	35.4	22	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	196	6.7	-	-	8	0.3	2	0.1
COUNTIES	50	7.3	-	-	8	1.1	2	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	146	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	172	7.0	21	0.9	420	17.1	31	1.3
STATE	43	4.5	-	-	334	35.5	31	3.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	129	8.5	21	1.4	86	5.7	-	-
COUNTIES	99	13.8	21	3.0	85	11.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	30	3.8	-	-	1	0.1	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	254 272	58 425	23.0	40 355	69.1	7 143	12.2
STATE	126 092	12 946	10.3	4 125	31.9	2 893	22.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	128 180	45 479	35.5	36 230	79.7	4 250	9.3
COUNTIES	34 307	11 629	33.9	2 913	25.1	4 223	36.3
MUNICIPALITIES	93 872	33 851	36.1	33 317	98.4	27	0.1
INDIANA	97 801	14 863	15.2	9 196	61.9	1 769	11.9
STATE	51 935	4 734	9.1	1 985	41.9	459	9.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	45 866	10 129	22.1	7 210	71.2	1 310	12.9
COUNTIES	19 104	2 919	15.3	1 038	35.5	862	29.5
MUNICIPALITIES	26 762	7 210	26.9	6 173	85.6	449	6.2
IOWA	64 322	8 156	12.7	4 580	56.2	1 191	14.6
STATE	39 102	2 936	7.5	991	33.8	468	16.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 220	5 220	20.7	3 589	68.8	723	13.8
COUNTIES	12 276	2 216	18.0	692	31.2	723	32.6
MUNICIPALITIES	12 944	3 004	23.2	2 897	96.4	-	-
KANSAS	51 50-	7 598	14.8	3 935	51.8	1 040	13.7
STATE	31 841	2 902	9.1	735	25.3	296	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 661	4 696	23.9	3 201	68.2	744	15.8
COUNTIES	7 832	1 838	23.5	600	32.7	643	35.0
MUNICIPALITIES	11 829	2 858	24.2	2 600	91.0	101	5.5
KENTUCKY	63 921	9 747	15.2	6 051	62.1	1 348	13.8
STATE	44 661	3 660	8.2	1 782	48.7	423	11.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 260	6 087	31.6	4 269	70.1	925	15.2
COUNTIES	6 761	2 466	36.5	1 050	42.6	743	30.1
MUNICIPALITIES	12 499	3 621	29.0	3 219	88.9	182	5.0
LOUISIANA	78 232	15 472	19.8	9 522	61.5	2 108	13.6
STATE	52 003	5 293	10.2	2 346	44.3	494	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	26 229	10 179	38.8	7 176	70.5	1 614	15.9
PARISHES	9 090	3 669	40.4	2 191	59.7	852	23.2
MUNICIPALITIES	17 139	6 510	38.0	4 985	76.6	762	11.7
MAINE	28 475	2 881	10.1	1 806	62.7	293	10.2
STATE	13 122	1 380	10.5	507	36.7	211	15.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 353	1 500	9.8	1 299	86.6	82	5.4
COUNTIES	488	315	64.5	132	41.8	80	25.5
MUNICIPALITIES	14 864	1 185	8.0	1 167	98.5	1	0.1
MARYLAND	201 843	23 536	11.7	13 380	56.9	2 416	10.3
STATE	65 176	10 185	15.6	2 924	28.7	1 390	13.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	136 667	13 351	9.8	10 456	78.3	1 026	7.7
COUNTIES	96 713	6 656	6.9	4 995	75.0	641	9.6
MUNICIPALITIES	39 954	6 696	16.8	5 462	81.6	385	5.7
MASSACHUSETTS	235 059	29 135	12.4	19 019	65.3	3 578	12.3
STATE	61 651	6 822	11.1	2 551	37.4	736	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	173 497	22 313	12.9	16 469	73.8	2 842	12.7
COUNTIES	5 873	3 832	65.2	33	0.9	1 817	47.4
MUNICIPALITIES	167 534	18 481	11.0	16 436	88.9	1 025	5.5
MICHIGAN	234 704	45 742	19.5	28 563	62.4	6 490	14.2
STATE	123 317	10 040	8.1	4 135	41.2	1 086	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	111 387	35 702	32.1	24 428	68.4	5 404	15.1
COUNTIES	40 340	12 259	30.4	3 157	25.8	4 137	33.7
MUNICIPALITIES	71 046	23 444	33.0	21 271	90.7	1 267	5.4
MINNESOTA	103 475	13 972	13.5	8 115	58.1	1 937	13.9
STATE	55 325	3 226	5.8	1 328	41.1	305	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	48 150	10 746	22.3	6 788	63.2	1 631	15.2
COUNTIES	22 695	5 057	22.3	1 448	28.6	1 628	32.2
MUNICIPALITIES	25 454	5 689	22.4	5 340	93.9	3	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	41 957	4 983	11.9	3 229	64.8	625	12.5
STATE	24 846	2 079	8.4	1 014	48.8	221	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 111	2 904	17.0	2 215	76.3	404	13.9
COUNTIES	9 043	1 042	11.5	474	45.6	362	34.8
MUNICIPALITIES	8 068	1 863	23.1	1 740	93.4	42	2.3
MISSOURI	94 698	17 458	18.4	10 977	62.9	2 541	14.6
STATE	54 060	4 240	7.8	1 341	31.6	1 032	24.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 638	13 219	32.5	9 637	72.9	1 510	11.4
COUNTIES	11 382	3 582	31.5	1 466	40.9	989	27.6
MUNICIPALITIES	29 256	9 637	32.9	8 171	84.8	521	5.4
MONTANA	20 596	2 525	12.3	1 328	52.6	324	12.8
STATE	14 080	963	6.8	263	27.3	87	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 516	1 562	24.0	1 065	68.2	237	15.2
COUNTIES	3 693	871	23.6	436	50.0	210	24.1
MUNICIPALITIES	2 823	691	24.5	629	91.1	27	3.9

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

57

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	2 497	4.3	613	1.0	7 624	13.0	194	0.3
STATE	548	4.2	116	0.9	5 102	39.4	163	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 949	4.3	497	1.1	2 522	5.5	31	0.1
COUNTIES	1 460	12.6	496	4.3	2 516	21.6	20	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	489	1.4	1	(Z)	6	(Z)	11	(Z)
INDIANA	839	5.6	102	0.7	2 827	19.0	130	0.9
STATE	353	7.5	22	0.5	1 847	39.0	67	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	485	4.8	80	0.8	980	9.7	63	0.6
COUNTIES	253	8.7	62	2.1	661	22.6	44	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES	233	3.2	18	0.3	319	4.4	19	0.3
IOWA	500	6.1	21	0.3	1 814	22.2	49	0.6
STATE	137	4.7	-	-	1 307	44.5	33	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	364	7.0	21	0.4	507	9.7	17	0.3
COUNTIES	280	12.6	21	1.0	499	22.5	1	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	83	2.8	-	-	8	0.3	16	0.5
KANSAS	526	6.9	15	0.2	2 011	26.5	72	0.9
STATE	153	5.3	14	0.5	1 682	58.0	21	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	372	7.9	-	(Z)	328	7.0	51	1.1
COUNTIES	254	13.8	-	-	302	16.4	39	2.1
MUNICIPALITIES	118	4.1	-	(Z)	26	0.9	12	0.4
KENTUCKY	537	5.5	56	0.6	1 754	18.0	-	-
STATE	186	5.1	44	1.2	1 224	33.5	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	352	5.8	12	0.2	530	8.7	-	-
COUNTIES	234	9.5	12	0.5	427	17.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	118	3.2	-	-	103	2.8	-	-
LOUISIANA	896	5.8	46	0.3	2 823	18.2	77	0.5
STATE	446	8.4	-	-	1 959	37.0	47	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	449	4.4	46	0.5	864	8.5	29	0.3
PARISHES	220	6.0	7	0.2	386	10.5	13	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	230	3.5	39	0.6	478	7.3	16	0.3
MAINE	137	4.8	-	-	621	21.5	24	0.8
STATE	109	7.9	-	-	529	38.3	24	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	28	1.9	-	-	92	6.1	-	-
COUNTIES	11	3.6	-	-	92	29.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	17	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	1 069	4.5	368	1.6	6 113	26.0	190	0.8
STATE	140	1.4	367	3.6	5 176	50.8	187	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	930	7.0	1	(Z)	937	7.0	2	(Z)
COUNTIES	530	8.0	1	(Z)	487	7.3	2	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	400	6.0	-	-	450	6.7	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	950	3.3	184	0.6	5 278	18.1	126	0.4
STATE	354	5.2	142	2.1	2 950	43.2	90	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	596	2.7	43	0.2	2 327	10.4	36	0.2
COUNTIES	173	4.5	43	1.1	1 765	46.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	422	2.3	-	-	562	3.0	36	0.2
MICHIGAN	2 303	5.0	219	0.5	8 063	17.6	103	0.2
STATE	448	4.5	150	1.5	4 146	41.3	74	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 855	5.2	68	0.2	3 917	11.0	29	0.1
COUNTIES	1 421	11.6	64	0.5	3 458	28.2	22	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	434	1.9	4	(Z)	459	2.0	8	(Z)
MINNESOTA	880	6.3	140	1.0	2 779	19.9	121	0.9
STATE	112	3.5	11	0.3	1 387	43.0	84	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	768	7.1	129	1.2	1 393	13.0	37	0.3
COUNTIES	526	10.4	129	2.6	1 313	26.0	12	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	241	4.2	-	-	80	1.4	25	0.4
MISSISSIPPI	236	4.7	5	0.1	836	16.8	51	1.0
STATE	124	6.0	-	-	669	32.2	50	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	112	3.8	5	-	167	5.8	-	(Z)
COUNTIES	65	6.3	3	0.3	136	13.0	-	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	46	2.5	2	0.1	32	1.7	-	-
MISSOURI	827	4.7	98	0.6	2 974	17.0	41	0.2
STATE	102	2.4	97	2.3	1 631	38.5	36	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	725	5.5	-	(Z)	1 343	10.2	5	(Z)
COUNTIES	394	11.0	-	-	733	20.5	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	331	3.4	-	(Z)	609	6.3	5	(Z)
MONTANA	191	7.6	15	0.6	615	24.3	53	2.1
STATE	63	6.5	-	-	500	51.9	51	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	128	8.2	15	0.9	115	7.4	2	0.1
COUNTIES	104	11.9	15	1.7	105	12.0	2	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	24	3.5	-	-	10	1.5	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	36 521	5 087	13.9	2 810	55.2	832	16.4
STATE	20 676	1 849	8.9	592	32.0	488	26.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 845	3 238	20.4	2 218	68.5	344	10.6
COUNTIES	7 261	1 135	15.6	353	31.1	259	22.8
MUNICIPALITIES	8 584	2 103	24.5	1 865	88.7	85	4.0
NEVADA	22 096	4 767	21.6	2 566	53.8	428	9.0
STATE	10 395	1 112	10.7	247	22.2	114	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 701	3 655	31.2	2 319	63.5	314	8.6
COUNTIES	7 620	2 618	34.4	1 488	56.8	239	9.1
MUNICIPALITIES	4 081	1 037	25.4	831	80.2	74	7.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	20 544	2 461	12.0	1 589	64.6	311	12.6
STATE	10 724	873	8.1	357	40.9	124	14.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 820	1 587	16.2	1 232	77.6	187	11.8
COUNTIES	1 297	309	24.6	71	23.1	104	33.8
MUNICIPALITIES	8 562	1 278	14.9	1 161	90.8	83	6.5
NEW JERSEY	211 034	44 251	21.0	27 241	61.6	5 303	12.0
STATE	68 671	9 319	13.6	3 517	37.7	1 130	12.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	142 363	34 932	24.5	23 724	67.9	4 173	11.9
COUNTIES	43 199	11 252	26.0	1 499	13.3	3 198	28.4
MUNICIPALITIES	99 164	23 680	23.9	22 225	93.9	974	4.1
NEW MEXICO	31 385	4 140	13.2	2 545	61.5	529	12.8
STATE	22 321	1 960	8.8	683	34.9	424	21.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 063	2 180	24.1	1 862	85.4	105	4.8
COUNTIES	3 137	451	14.4	391	86.8	18	4.1
MUNICIPALITIES	5 926	1 729	29.2	1 471	85.0	86	5.0
NEW YORK	782 286	146 326	18.7	92 076	62.9	17 410	11.9
STATE	196 324	29 431	15.0	8 157	27.7	3 887	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	585 963	116 895	19.9	83 919	71.8	13 523	11.6
COUNTIES	94 725	24 648	26.0	12 765	51.8	4 265	17.3
MUNICIPALITIES	491 238	92 247	18.8	71 154	77.1	9 258	10.0
NORTH CAROLINA	183 631	17 462	9.5	9 412	53.9	2 143	12.3
STATE	67 720	9 312	13.8	2 305	24.7	1 804	19.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	115 911	8 150	7.0	7 107	87.2	339	4.2
COUNTIES	94 099	2 598	2.8	1 647	63.4	339	13.0
MUNICIPALITIES	21 812	5 552	25.5	5 460	98.3	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	14 683	1 635	11.1	920	56.3	301	18.4
STATE	10 163	522	5.1	149	28.5	100	19.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 520	1 113	24.6	772	69.3	201	18.0
COUNTIES	2 134	485	22.7	183	37.6	175	36.1
MUNICIPALITIES	2 386	628	26.3	589	93.7	26	4.1
OHIO	214 540	44 800	20.9	26 458	59.1	6 224	13.9
STATE	89 357	11 478	12.8	3 184	27.7	744	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	125 183	33 322	26.6	23 274	69.8	5 479	16.4
COUNTIES	46 305	9 224	19.9	2 364	25.6	3 723	40.4
MUNICIPALITIES	78 878	24 098	30.6	20 910	86.8	1 756	7.3
OKLAHOMA	58 690	8 216	14.0	4 645	56.5	1 052	12.8
STATE	36 922	3 716	10.1	1 242	33.4	530	14.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 768	4 500	20.7	3 403	75.6	522	11.6
COUNTIES	6 544	1 029	15.7	319	31.0	391	38.0
MUNICIPALITIES	15 225	3 471	22.8	3 084	88.8	131	3.8
OREGON	63 304	10 786	17.0	5 975	55.4	1 288	11.9
STATE	39 393	3 901	9.9	1 406	36.0	425	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 911	6 885	28.8	4 569	66.4	863	12.5
COUNTIES	11 913	3 684	30.9	1 578	42.8	785	21.3
MUNICIPALITIES	11 998	3 201	26.7	2 991	93.5	78	2.4
PENNSYLVANIA	233 168	51 456	22.1	31 466	61.2	8 398	16.3
STATE	127 385	13 880	10.9	7 024	50.6	1 921	13.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	105 783	37 576	35.5	24 442	65.0	6 477	17.2
COUNTIES	27 494	7 739	28.1	807	10.4	3 194	41.3
MUNICIPALITIES	78 289	29 836	38.1	23 635	79.2	3 283	11.0
RHODE ISLAND	39 374	3 704	9.4	2 230	60.2	489	13.2
STATE	16 826	1 761	10.5	354	20.1	464	26.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 549	1 943	8.6	1 875	96.5	25	1.3
MUNICIPALITIES	22 549	1 943	8.6	1 875	96.5	25	1.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	57 117	8 219	14.4	4 519	55.0	968	11.8
STATE	40 404	3 718	9.2	1 383	37.2	132	3.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 713	4 501	26.9	3 137	69.7	836	18.6
COUNTIES	9 705	2 338	24.1	1 118	47.8	768	32.9
MUNICIPALITIES	7 009	2 163	30.9	2 019	93.3	68	3.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	368	7.2	68	1.3	964	19.0	44	0.9
STATE	56	3.0	-	-	684	37.0	28	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	312	9.6	68	2.1	280	8.6	16	0.5
COUNTIES	208	16.3	68	6.0	238	21.0	9	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	104	5.0	-	-	42	2.0	7	0.3
NEVADA	418	8.8	95	2.0	1 217	25.5	43	0.9
STATE	63	5.6	10	0.9	637	57.3	40	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	355	9.7	85	2.3	580	15.9	2	0.1
COUNTIES	273	10.4	85	3.2	530	20.2	2	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	81	7.8	-	-	50	4.8	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	95	3.9	-	-	447	18.2	19	0.8
STATE	54	6.2	-	-	321	36.7	18	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	41	2.6	-	-	126	7.9	1	0.1
COUNTIES	24	7.9	-	-	109	35.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	17	1.3	-	-	17	1.3	1	0.1
NEW JERSEY	3 204	7.2	643	1.5	7 640	17.3	220	0.5
STATE	633	6.8	629	6.7	3 274	35.1	136	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 571	7.4	14	(Z)	4 366	12.5	84	0.2
COUNTIES	2 155	19.2	9	0.1	4 347	38.6	44	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	416	1.8	6	(Z)	19	0.1	40	0.2
NEW MEXICO	307	7.4	62	1.5	647	15.6	50	1.2
STATE	232	11.8	61	3.1	511	26.1	49	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	75	3.4	1	(Z)	136	6.2	2	0.1
COUNTIES	8	1.8	-	-	33	7.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	67	3.9	1	(Z)	103	6.0	2	0.1
NEW YORK	7 455	5.1	176	0.1	27 348	18.7	1 861	1.3
STATE	2 080	7.1	-	-	14 468	49.2	839	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 376	4.6	176	0.2	12 880	11.0	1 022	0.9
COUNTIES	2 034	8.3	176	0.7	5 287	21.5	121	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	3 341	3.6	-	-	7 593	8.2	901	1.0
NORTH CAROLINA	544	3.1	60	0.3	5 187	29.7	116	0.7
STATE	418	4.5	60	0.6	4 644	49.9	81	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	126	1.5	-	-	543	6.7	35	0.4
COUNTIES	42	1.6	-	-	540	20.8	29	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	84	1.5	-	-	3	(Z)	6	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA	136	8.3	3	0.2	258	15.8	17	1.1
STATE	42	8.1	-	-	215	41.1	17	3.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	94	8.5	3	0.3	43	35.9	1	0.1
COUNTIES	83	17.2	3	0.6	40	8.3	1	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	11	1.8	-	-	3	0.4	-	-
OHIO	2 294	5.1	35	0.1	9 695	21.6	94	0.2
STATE	662	5.8	4	(Z)	6 790	59.2	94	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 632	4.9	31	0.1	2 905	8.7	1	(Z)
COUNTIES	845	9.2	24	0.3	2 267	24.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	787	3.3	7	(Z)	638	2.6	1	(Z)
OKLAHOMA	583	7.1	37	0.5	1 809	22.0	91	1.1
STATE	396	10.6	-	-	1 511	40.7	38	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	187	4.2	37	0.8	297	6.6	53	1.2
COUNTIES	52	5.0	35	3.4	232	22.5	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	135	3.9	2	0.1	66	1.9	53	1.5
OREGON	922	8.5	29	0.3	2 500	23.2	73	0.7
STATE	380	9.7	17	0.4	1 633	41.9	40	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	542	7.9	12	0.2	867	12.6	33	0.5
COUNTIES	453	12.3	12	0.3	857	23.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	88	2.8	-	-	10	0.3	33	1.0
PENNSYLVANIA	2 148	4.2	323	0.6	8 892	17.3	229	0.4
STATE	384	2.8	-	-	4 342	31.3	209	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 764	4.7	323	0.9	4 550	12.1	19	0.1
COUNTIES	950	12.3	323	4.2	2 446	31.6	19	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	814	2.7	-	-	2 104	7.1	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	134	3.6	35	0.9	787	21.3	50	0.8
STATE	91	5.2	35	2.0	787	44.7	29	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	43	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	43	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	253	3.1	29	0.4	2 363	28.8	86	1.0
STATE	136	3.7	-	-	1 987	53.4	80	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	117	2.6	29	0.6	576	8.4	6	0.1
COUNTIES	90	3.9	29	1.3	332	14.2	1	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	27	1.2	-	-	45	2.1	5	0.2

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	14 642	1 876	12.8	988	52.7	432	23.0
STATE	10 269	885	8.6	234	23.4	338	38.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 373	991	22.7	754	76.1	94	9.5
COUNTIES	1 878	380	20.2	162	42.7	93	24.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 495	611	24.5	592	96.9	1	0.1
TENNESSEE	134 260	13 062	9.7	7 600	58.2	1 967	15.1
STATE	41 939	3 872	9.2	1 088	28.1	495	12.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	92 321	9 189	10.0	6 512	70.9	1 473	16.0
COUNTIES	41 687	2 826	6.8	1 015	35.9	1 046	37.0
MUNICIPALITIES	50 634	6 363	12.6	5 497	85.4	427	6.7
TEXAS	244 539	40 503	16.6	25 600	63.2	5 569	13.8
STATE	137 757	10 282	7.5	5 051	49.1	962	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	106 782	30 221	28.3	20 549	68.0	4 607	15.2
COUNTIES	32 941	10 859	33.0	2 910	26.8	3 869	35.6
MUNICIPALITIES	73 841	19 362	26.2	17 639	91.1	738	3.8
UTAH	29 227	3 992	13.7	2 390	59.9	451	11.3
STATE	20 007	1 555	7.8	609	39.2	161	10.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 220	2 437	26.4	1 781	73.1	290	11.9
COUNTIES	4 842	1 007	20.8	544	54.0	152	15.1
MUNICIPALITIES	4 378	1 430	32.7	1 237	86.5	138	9.7
VERMONT	11 285	1 533	13.6	866	55.9	181	11.8
STATE	9 083	1 062	11.7	403	38.0	170	16.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 202	471	21.4	453	96.2	11	2.4
COUNTIES	16	14	88.1	2	10.8	11	80.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 186	457	20.9	452	98.8	-	-
VIRGINIA	200 130	18 995	9.5	10 893	57.3	2 376	12.5
STATE	72 689	8 960	12.3	3 415	38.1	1 505	16.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	127 441	10 035	7.9	7 477	74.5	871	8.7
COUNTIES	68 780	3 958	5.8	2 732	69.0	495	12.5
MUNICIPALITIES	58 661	6 077	10.4	4 745	78.1	376	6.2
WASHINGTON	102 109	15 073	14.8	8 323	55.2	1 713	11.4
STATE	66 131	4 995	7.6	1 780	35.6	343	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 978	10 079	28.0	6 543	64.9	1 370	13.6
COUNTIES	14 713	4 917	33.4	1 900	38.6	1 142	23.2
MUNICIPALITIES	21 266	5 161	24.3	4 644	90.0	228	4.4
WEST VIRGINIA	34 412	3 633	10.6	2 264	62.3	478	13.1
STATE	26 154	1 444	5.5	739	51.2	128	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 258	2 189	26.5	1 524	69.6	350	16.0
COUNTIES	3 000	922	30.7	302	32.8	329	35.7
MUNICIPALITIES	5 258	1 267	24.1	1 222	96.4	20	1.6
WISCONSIN	145 288	18 261	12.6	11 400	62.4	1 991	10.9
STATE	59 747	5 049	8.5	1 249	24.7	700	13.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	85 542	13 212	15.4	10 151	76.8	1 291	9.8
COUNTIES	27 875	4 769	17.1	2 013	42.2	1 249	26.2
MUNICIPALITIES	57 667	8 443	14.6	8 138	96.4	42	0.5
WYOMING	11 017	1 408	12.8	829	58.9	182	12.9
STATE	7 272	563	7.7	213	37.8	81	14.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 745	844	22.6	616	73.0	101	11.9
COUNTIES	2 191	354	16.2	173	48.9	84	23.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 554	491	31.6	443	90.4	16	3.3

¹ Represents zero or rounds to zero.² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

The relation of criminal justice October payroll to total October payroll is based on data for general governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, locally operated utility systems, or for independent school districts and special districts.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	167	8.9	6	0.3	258	13.7	25	1.3
STATE	69	7.8	-	-	219	24.7	25	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	98	9.9	6	0.6	39	3.9	-	-
COUNTIES	80	21.1	6	1.7	38	10.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	18	2.9	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
TENNESSEE	547	4.2	91	0.7	2 804	21.5	53	0.4
STATE	363	9.4	25	0.7	1 872	48.3	30	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	185	2.0	66	0.7	932	10.1	23	0.2
COUNTIES	71	2.5	46	1.6	637	22.5	12	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	113	1.8	19	0.3	295	4.6	11	0.2
TEXAS	2 616	6.5	10	(Z)	6 458	15.9	250	0.6
STATE	562	5.5	-	-	3 604	35.1	102	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 053	6.8	10	(Z)	2 854	9.4	148	0.5
COUNTIES	1 488	13.7	10	0.1	2 442	22.5	140	1.3
MUNICIPALITIES	565	2.9	-	-	412	2.1	8	(Z)
UTAH	303	7.6	1	(Z)	820	20.5	27	0.7
STATE	97	6.2	-	-	661	42.5	27	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	206	3.4	1	(Z)	159	6.5	-	-
COUNTIES	154	15.3	1	0.1	157	15.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	52	3.6	-	(Z)	2	0.2	-	-
VERMONT	89	5.8	38	2.5	349	22.8	20	1.3
STATE	85	8.0	38	3.5	347	32.7	20	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	4	0.9	-	-	2	0.5	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	1	8.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4	1.0	-	-	1	0.2	-	-
VIRGINIA	809	4.3	11	0.1	4 818	25.4	88	0.5
STATE	278	3.1	11	0.1	3 688	41.2	63	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	531	5.3	-	-	1 131	11.3	25	0.2
COUNTIES	257	6.5	-	-	451	11.4	23	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	274	4.5	-	-	680	11.2	2	(Z)
WASHINGTON	1 061	7.0	53	0.4	3 859	25.6	63	0.4
STATE	343	6.9	-	-	2 487	49.8	41	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	718	7.1	53	0.5	1 372	13.6	22	0.2
COUNTIES	497	10.1	53	1.1	1 303	26.5	22	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	221	4.3	-	-	69	1.3	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	226	6.2	-	-	631	17.4	34	0.9
STATE	57	4.0	-	-	486	33.7	33	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	169	7.7	-	-	145	6.6	2	0.1
COUNTIES	144	15.7	-	-	144	15.6	2	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	25	1.9	-	-	1	(Z)	-	-
WISCONSIN	987	5.4	26	0.1	3 809	20.9	47	0.3
STATE	224	4.4	17	0.3	2 812	55.7	47	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	763	5.8	9	0.1	998	7.6	-	-
COUNTIES	500	10.5	9	0.2	998	20.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	263	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	112	8.0	10	0.7	264	18.8	10	0.7
STATE	39	6.9	-	-	221	39.2	9	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	73	8.7	10	1.2	44	5.2	-	0.1
COUNTIES	55	15.4	10	2.8	31	8.9	-	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	19	3.8	-	-	12	2.5	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 11. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	4 987 347	(X)	3 265 960	(X)	662 893	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA	127 668	100.0	97 623	100.0	9 838	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	11 322	8.9	9 169	9.4	874	8.9
BALTIMORE CITY	81 621	63.9	63 041	64.6	5 420	55.1
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	25 181	19.7	21 178	21.7	1 462	14.9
CARROLL COUNTY AREA	2 822	2.2	23	(Z)	1 032	10.5
HARFORD COUNTY AREA	3 135	2.5	1 449	1.5	720	7.3
HOWARD COUNTY AREA	3 587	2.8	2 763	2.8	330	3.4
BOSTON SEA	228 153	100.0	163 204	100.0	32 680	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	29 353	12.9	21 544	13.2	3 856	11.8
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	72 622	31.8	50 277	30.8	11 323	34.6
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	31 948	14.0	24 225	14.8	4 062	12.4
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	94 230	41.3	67 158	41.1	13 439	41.1
CHICAGO SMSA	480 163	100.0	372 359	100.0	48 321	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA	417 384	86.9	324 435	87.1	43 234	89.5
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA	21 994	4.6	15 967	4.3	2 392	5.0
KANE COUNTY AREA	11 260	2.3	8 921	2.4	598	1.2
LAKE COUNTY AREA	16 963	3.5	13 140	3.5	1 156	2.4
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA	4 084	0.9	3 239	0.9	286	0.6
WILL COUNTY AREA	8 478	1.8	6 657	1.8	655	1.4
CLEVELAND SMSA	99 609	100.0	60 824	100.0	18 719	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	87 960	88.3	53 569	88.1	16 334	87.3
GEauga COUNTY AREA	1 598	1.6	620	1.0	568	3.0
LAKE COUNTY AREA	6 757	6.8	4 407	7.2	1 152	6.2
MEDINA COUNTY AREA	3 294	3.3	2 228	3.7	665	3.6
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	113 970	100.0	77 918	100.0	15 858	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA	2 266	2.0	1 919	2.5	160	1.0
DALLAS COUNTY AREA	72 224	63.4	49 068	63.0	10 501	66.2
DENTON COUNTY AREA	2 588	2.3	1 462	1.9	433	2.7
ELLIS COUNTY AREA	1 037	0.9	778	1.0	12	0.1
HOOD COUNTY AREA	145	0.1	57	0.1	56	0.4
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	904	0.8	595	0.8	159	1.0
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	691	0.6	409	0.5	136	0.9
PARKER COUNTY AREA	751	0.7	452	0.6	161	1.0
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	540	0.5	216	0.3	220	1.4
TARRANT COUNTY AREA	32 120	28.2	22 715	29.2	3 835	24.2
WISE COUNTY AREA	704	0.6	247	0.3	185	1.2
DETROIT SMSA	323 162	100.0	216 451	100.0	46 649	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA	1 696	0.5	1 056	0.5	246	0.5
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	4 335	1.3	1 324	0.6	957	2.1
MACOMB COUNTY AREA	37 654	11.7	24 542	11.3	6 311	13.5
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA	54 303	16.8	34 333	15.9	7 977	17.1
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	4 413	1.4	2 720	1.3	669	1.4
WAYNE COUNTY AREA	220 761	68.3	152 476	70.4	30 489	65.4
HOUSTON SMSA	108 599	100.0	70 001	100.0	16 531	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	2 817	2.6	1 862	2.7	499	3.0
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	1 394	1.3	1 111	1.6	156	0.9
HARRIS COUNTY AREA	101 446	93.4	65 910	94.2	15 218	92.1
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	1 583	1.5	358	0.5	310	1.9
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	1 087	1.0	690	1.0	224	1.4
WALLER COUNTY AREA	272	0.3	70	0.1	124	0.8
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	664 130	100.0	402 530	100.0	93 181	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	664 130	100.0	402 530	100.0	93 181	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	94 860	100.0	58 711	100.0	12 471	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY AREA	6 153	6.5	4 211	7.2	896	7.2
CARVER COUNTY AREA	857	0.9	625	1.1	116	0.9
CHISAGO COUNTY AREA	584	0.6	448	0.8	92	0.7
DAKOTA COUNTY AREA	5 517	5.8	4 099	7.0	814	6.5
HENNEPIN COUNTY AREA	47 939	50.5	30 025	51.1	5 799	46.5
RAMSEY COUNTY AREA	25 466	26.8	14 390	24.5	3 072	24.6
ST. CROIX COUNTY AREA	1 837	1.9	1 183	2.0	336	2.7
SCOTT COUNTY AREA	1 924	2.0	888	1.5	486	3.9
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	3 177	3.3	2 052	3.5	546	4.4
WRIGHT COUNTY AREA	1 406	1.5	790	1.3	314	2.5
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	233 067	100.0	163 205	100.0	28 741	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA	144 038	61.8	99 990	61.3	19 274	57.1
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	89 029	38.2	63 215	38.7	9 467	32.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 11. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	236 735	(X)	63 949	(X)	705 160	(X)	52 650	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA	7 747	100.0	-	100.0	11 646	100.0	814	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	695	9.0	-	-	584	5.0	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	4 645	60.0	-	-	7 701	66.1	814	100.0
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	1 122	14.5	-	-	1 419	12.2	-	-
CARROLL COUNTY AREA	688	8.9	-	-	1 079	9.3	-	-
HARFORD COUNTY AREA	331	4.3	-	-	635	5.5	-	-
HOWARD COUNTY AREA	266	3.4	-	-	228	2.0	-	-
BOSTON SEA	7 759	100.0	1 361	100.0	20 662	100.0	2 487	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	717	9.2	16	1.2	2 954	14.3	266	10.7
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	2 303	29.7	1 254	92.1	6 951	33.6	514	20.7
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	1 460	18.8	91	6.7	2 110	10.2	-	-
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	3 279	42.3	-	-	8 647	41.8	1 707	68.6
CHICAGO SMSA	18 708	100.0	4 056	100.0	34 322	100.0	2 397	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA	14 802	79.1	3 341	82.4	29 318	85.4	2 254	94.0
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA	1 324	7.1	382	9.4	1 908	5.6	21	0.9
KANE COUNTY AREA	498	2.7	58	1.4	1 150	3.4	35	1.5
LAKE COUNTY AREA	1 054	5.6	127	3.1	1 409	4.1	77	3.2
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA	281	1.5	45	1.1	233	0.7	-	-
WILL COUNTY AREA	749	4.0	103	2.5	304	0.9	10	0.4
CLEVELAND SMSA	4 896	100.0	1 493	100.0	11 651	100.0	2 026	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	4 092	83.6	1 412	94.6	10 527	90.4	2 026	100.0
GEauga COUNTY AREA	146	3.0	8	0.5	256	2.2	-	-
LAKE COUNTY AREA	519	10.6	60	4.0	619	5.3	-	-
MEDINA COUNTY AREA	139	2.8	13	0.9	249	2.1	-	-
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	6 511	100.0	1 139	100.0	12 050	100.0	494	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA	89	1.4	17	1.5	81	0.7	-	-
DALLAS COUNTY AREA	3 467	53.2	814	71.5	7 996	66.4	378	76.5
DENTON COUNTY AREA	339	5.2	52	4.6	302	2.5	-	-
ELLIS COUNTY AREA	27	0.4	-	-	220	1.8	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA	19	0.3	1	0.1	12	0.1	-	-
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	59	0.9	5	0.4	69	0.6	17	3.4
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	67	1.0	3	0.3	76	0.6	-	-
PARKER COUNTY AREA	54	0.8	3	0.3	81	0.7	-	-
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	60	0.9	8	0.7	36	0.3	-	-
TARRANT COUNTY AREA	2 288	35.1	236	20.7	2 947	24.5	99	20.0
WISE COUNTY AREA	42	0.6	-	-	230	1.9	-	-
DETROIT SMSA	14 586	100.0	7 720	100.0	37 043	100.0	713	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA	108	0.7	30	0.4	248	0.7	8	1.1
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	242	1.7	97	1.3	1 715	4.6	-	-
MACOMB COUNTY AREA	2 220	15.2	676	8.8	3 746	10.1	159	22.3
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA	3 110	21.3	1 089	14.1	7 503	20.3	291	40.8
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	288	2.0	115	1.5	621	1.7	-	-
WAYNE COUNTY AREA	8 618	59.1	5 713	74.0	23 210	62.7	255	35.8
HOUSTON SMSA	5 609	100.0	1 070	100.0	13 327	100.0	2 061	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	251	4.5	22	2.1	183	1.4	-	-
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	25	0.4	3	0.3	99	0.7	-	-
HARRIS COUNTY AREA	5 142	91.7	1 016	95.0	12 099	90.8	2 061	100.0
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	66	1.2	21	2.0	828	6.2	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	71	1.3	4	0.4	98	0.7	-	-
WALLER COUNTY AREA	54	1.0	4	0.4	20	0.2	-	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	37 228	100.0	12 754	100.0	116 939	100.0	1 498	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	37 228	100.0	12 754	100.0	116 939	100.0	1 498	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	6 039	100.0	1 161	100.0	14 701	100.0	1 777	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY AREA	523	8.7	65	5.6	458	3.1	-	-
CARVER COUNTY AREA	30	0.5	25	2.2	61	0.4	-	-
CHISAGO COUNTY AREA	25	0.4	2	0.2	17	0.1	-	-
DAKOTA COUNTY AREA	274	4.5	29	2.5	301	2.0	-	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY AREA	2 888	47.8	774	66.7	7 911	53.8	542	30.5
RAMSEY COUNTY AREA	1 539	25.5	156	13.4	5 074	34.5	1 235	69.5
ST. CROIX COUNTY AREA	114	1.9	78	6.7	126	0.9	-	-
SCOTT COUNTY AREA	367	6.1	-	-	183	1.2	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	205	3.4	32	2.8	342	2.3	-	-
WRIGHT COUNTY AREA	74	1.2	-	-	228	1.6	-	-
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	13 102	100.0	2 809	100.0	24 926	100.0	284	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA	7 734	59.0	1 451	51.7	15 454	62.0	135	47.5
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	5 368	41.0	1 358	48.3	9 472	38.0	149	52.5

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 11. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA	169 192	100.0	105 813	100.0	22 251	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	101 750	60.1	61 245	57.9	14 073	63.2
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	20 165	11.9	14 751	13.9	1 908	8.6
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	10 167	6.0	6 210	5.9	1 328	6.0
UNION COUNTY AREA	37 110	21.9	23 607	22.3	4 942	22.2
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	1 196 995	100.0	801 207	100.0	156 484	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	51 314	4.3	38 312	4.8	5 748	3.7
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	1 054 895	88.1	707 008	88.2	140 333	89.7
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	2 219	0.2	1 123	0.1	327	0.2
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	17 347	1.4	9 790	1.2	1 858	1.2
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	71 220	5.9	44 974	5.6	8 218	5.3
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	362 365	100.0	218 432	100.0	59 592	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	16 141	4.5	8 689	4.0	3 187	5.3
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	14 158	3.9	8 849	4.1	1 883	3.2
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	24 338	6.7	16 403	7.5	2 648	4.4
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	12 865	3.6	4 075	1.9	2 955	5.0
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	26 359	7.3	13 841	6.3	4 934	8.3
GOULCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	8 844	2.4	4 855	2.2	1 300	2.2
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	27 160	7.5	16 417	7.5	5 328	8.9
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	232 500	64.2	145 303	66.5	37 357	62.7
PITTSBURGH SMSA	88 153	100.0	56 416	100.0	15 850	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	68 612	77.8	45 961	81.5	11 449	72.2
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	7 509	8.5	3 916	6.9	2 173	13.7
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	3 622	4.1	2 333	4.1	607	3.8
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	8 410	9.5	4 204	7.5	1 621	10.2
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	122 904	100.0	89 127	100.0	14 365	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	413	0.3	132	0.1	74	0.5
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	1 034	0.8	668	0.7	211	1.5
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	1 538	1.3	821	0.9	400	2.8
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	7 439	6.1	4 706	5.3	1 204	8.4
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	163	0.1	107	0.1	27	0.2
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	5 857	4.8	4 739	5.3	452	3.1
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	8 964	7.3	6 295	7.1	950	6.6
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	57 383	46.7	42 016	47.1	5 262	36.6
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	40 113	32.6	29 643	33.3	5 785	40.3
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	276 648	100.0	143 979	100.0	41 711	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	96 218	34.8	44 610	31.0	16 110	38.6
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	45 770	16.5	21 044	14.6	6 464	15.5
MARIN COUNTY AREA	16 352	5.9	7 134	5.0	2 731	6.5
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	75 513	27.3	49 290	34.2	10 995	26.4
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	42 795	15.5	21 901	15.2	5 411	13.0
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	297 709	100.0	168 160	100.0	29 651	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	6 596	2.2	4 488	2.7	522	1.8
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	11 010	3.7	8 203	4.9	890	3.0
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	2 009	0.7	1 372	0.8	410	1.4
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	1 097	0.4	989	0.6	33	0.1
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	19 801	6.7	14 214	8.5	3 185	10.7
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	777	0.3	685	0.4	22	0.1
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	943	0.3	557	0.3	146	0.5
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	24 582	8.3	17 641	10.5	2 423	8.2
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	26 577	8.9	20 400	12.1	2 218	7.5
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	3 950	1.3	2 555	1.5	690	2.3
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	200 367	67.3	97 056	57.7	19 112	64.5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

65

Table 11. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA	12 563	100.0	207	100.0	25 077	100.0	3 281	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	6 159	49.0	174	84.1	17 279	68.9	2 820	85.9
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	1 613	12.8	24	11.6	1 822	7.3	47	1.4
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	1 084	8.6	9	4.3	1 536	6.1	-	-
UNION COUNTY AREA	3 707	29.5	-	-	4 440	17.7	414	12.6
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	44 552	(X)	15 222	(X)	157 571	(X)	21 959	(X)
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	3 245	7.3	22	0.1	3 692	2.3	295	1.3
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	34 099	76.5	13 846	91.0	140 482	89.2	19 127	87.1
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	196	0.4	66	0.4	507	0.3	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	1 607	3.6	320	2.1	3 703	2.4	69	0.3
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	5 405	12.1	968	6.4	9 187	5.8	2 468	11.2
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	19 001	100.0	4 788	100.0	54 429	100.0	6 123	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 545	8.1	257	5.4	2 463	4.5	-	-
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 384	7.3	3	0.1	2 039	3.7	-	-
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	2 242	11.8	-	-	2 942	5.4	103	1.7
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 695	8.9	444	9.3	3 695	6.8	-	-
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 941	10.2	551	11.5	5 092	9.4	-	-
GLoucester County, N.J. AREA	919	4.8	1	(Z)	1 769	3.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 705	9.0	499	10.4	3 211	5.9	-	-
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA..	7 570	39.8	3 033	63.3	33 217	61.0	6 020	98.3
PITTSBURGH SMSA	4 912	100.0	987	100.0	9 847	100.0	141	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	3 719	75.7	743	75.3	6 616	67.2	124	87.9
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	449	9.1	119	12.1	833	8.5	17	12.1
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	240	4.9	38	3.9	404	4.1	-	-
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	504	10.3	87	8.8	1 994	20.2	-	-
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	5 402	100.0	319	100.0	13 585	100.0	106	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	79	1.5	14	4.4	114	0.8	-	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	103	1.9	-	-	52	0.4	-	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	160	3.0	-	-	157	1.2	-	-
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	469	8.7	128	40.1	871	6.4	61	57.5
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	27	0.5	2	0.6	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	351	6.5	-	-	315	2.3	-	-
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	557	10.3	174	54.5	988	7.3	-	-
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	2 068	38.3	-	-	7 992	58.8	45	42.5
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	1 588	29.4	1	0.3	3 096	22.8	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	16 602	100.0	6 815	100.0	65 865	100.0	1 676	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	5 842	35.2	3 037	44.6	26 370	40.0	249	14.9
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	3 864	23.3	1 286	18.9	13 112	19.9	-	-
MARIN COUNTY AREA	1 041	6.3	648	9.5	4 316	6.6	482	28.8
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	3 599	21.7	959	14.1	10 264	15.6	406	24.2
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	2 256	13.6	885	13.0	11 803	17.9	539	32.2
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	11 518	100.0	2 048	100.0	81 519	100.0	4 813	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	295	2.6	29	1.4	909	1.1	353	7.3
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	357	3.1	-	-	1 335	1.6	225	4.7
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	139	1.2	-	-	88	0.1	-	-
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	75	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	720	6.3	80	3.9	1 499	1.8	103	2.1
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	25	0.2	2	0.1	43	0.1	-	-
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	70	0.6	-	-	170	0.2	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 254	10.9	2	0.1	1 560	1.9	1 702	35.4
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 620	14.1	-	-	2 323	2.8	16	0.3
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	227	2.0	-	-	478	0.6	-	-
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	6 736	58.5	1 935	94.5	73 114	89.7	2 414	50.2

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

67

in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1975

(in thousands)

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number	
Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees					
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees		
14 330	13 039	14 158	(X)	1 624	1 545	1 649	(X)	37 518	36 213	37 771	(X)	1 149	1 107	1 123	(X)	1	
487	471	526	100.0	1	1	5	100.0	709	697	812	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	2	
47	45	47	8.9	-	-	-	-	37	37	37	4.6	-	-	-	-	3	
303	303	303	57.6	-	-	-	-	492	492	492	60.6	-	-	-	-	4	
84	84	84	16.0	-	-	-	-	108	99	101	12.4	-	-	-	-	5	
8	8	46	8.7	1	1	5	100.0	22	22	22	15.8	-	-	-	-	6	
23	10	25	4.8	-	-	-	-	28	28	33	4.1	-	-	-	-	7	
22	21	21	4.0	-	-	-	-	22	19	21	2.6	-	-	-	-	8	
374	346	384	100.0	41	41	43	100.0	1 343	1 311	1 385	100.0	28	25	26	100.0	9	
38	27	40	10.4	8	8	8	18.6	233	212	233	16.8	5	5	5	19.2	10	
97	90	103	26.8	27	27	29	67.4	455	447	483	34.9	23	20	21	80.8	11	
60	52	63	16.4	6	6	6	14.0	136	133	150	10.8	-	-	-	-	12	
179	177	178	46.4	-	-	-	-	519	519	37.5	-	-	-	-	-	13	
1 037	952	1 056	100.0	301	280	297	100.0	1 945	1 900	2 001	100.0	38	33	34	100.0	14	
817	757	833	78.9	249	240	250	84.2	1 533	1 513	1 576	78.8	7	4	5	14.7	15	
76	68	89	8.4	22	19	24	8.1	136	116	155	7.7	2	2	2	5.9	16	
32	26	28	2.7	4	4	3	1.0	103	99	93	4.6	2	1	-	-	17	
56	52	63	6.0	9	9	10	3.4	111	110	125	6.2	21	21	23	67.6	18	
18	17	14	1.3	5	5	4	1.3	31	31	26	1.3	-	-	-	-	19	
8	32	29	2.7	12	3	6	2.0	31	31	26	1.3	6	5	4	11.8	20	
377	231	323	100.0	-	-	-	-	718	652	685	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	21
287	199	267	82.7	-	-	-	-	627	571	591	86.3	-	-	-	-	-	22
10	2	14	4.3	-	-	-	-	14	13	26	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	23
66	24	29	9.0	-	-	-	-	61	53	52	7.6	-	-	-	-	-	24
14	6	13	4.0	-	-	-	-	16	15	16	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	25
441	405	439	100.0	5	5	5	100.0	849	797	855	100.0	9	9	9	100.0	26	
10	10	6	1.4	-	-	-	-	10	10	6	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	27
212	205	209	47.6	-	-	-	-	537	534	538	62.9	2	2	2	22.2	28	
24	23	31	7.1	-	-	-	-	31	19	30	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	29
2	-	4	0.9	-	-	-	-	4	4	18	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	30
4	-	2	0.5	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	31
6	5	6	1.4	-	-	-	-	12	5	9	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	32
7	6	7	1.6	-	-	-	-	6	3	5	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	33
7	6	7	1.6	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	34
2	2	8	1.8	-	-	-	-	3	-	4	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	35
164	146	155	35.3	5	5	5	100.0	234	212	228	26.7	7	7	7	77.8	36	
3	2	4	0.9	-	-	-	-	4	3	9	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	37
650	603	669	100.0	33	18	23	100.0	1 909	1 785	1 941	100.0	14	14	14	100.0	38	
9	8	6	0.9	-	-	-	-	17	13	12	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	39
8	7	17	2.5	-	-	-	-	25	17	42	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	40
97	91	113	16.9	17	15	17	73.9	204	191	229	11.8	5	5	5	35.7	41	
122	108	132	19.7	-	-	-	-	447	384	461	23.8	6	6	6	42.9	42	
26	18	13	1.9	-	-	-	-	84	66	52	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	43
388	371	388	58.0	16	3	6	26.1	1 132	1 114	1 145	59.0	3	3	3	21.4	44	
389	333	351	100.0	-	-	-	-	941	914	907	100.0	115	115	112	100.0	45	
17	14	15	4.3	-	-	-	-	21	21	20	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	46
5	4	3	0.9	-	-	-	-	14	8	7	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	47
345	301	313	89.2	-	-	-	-	874	860	847	93.4	115	115	112	100.0	48	
7	3	7	2.0	-	-	-	-	8	7	12	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	49
10	8	9	2.6	-	-	-	-	18	16	17	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	50
5	3	4	1.1	-	-	-	-	6	2	4	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	51
2 601	2 551	2 584	100.0	587	586	589	100.0	6 664	6 463	6 518	100.0	3	2	2	100.0	52	
2 601	2 551	2 584	100.0	587	586	589	100.0	6 664	6 463	6 518	100.0	3	2	2	100.0	53	
406	297	332	100.0	82	67	72	100.0	940	897	933	100.0	30	30	30	100.0	54	
30	29	33	9.9	1	1	1	1.4	26	25	27	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	55
5	5	2	0.6	8	-	3	4.2	13	5	3	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	56
20	2	4	1.2	-	-	-	-	3	2	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	57
15	13	14	4.2	-	-	-	-	22	22	23	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	58
152	138	147	44.3	58	54	55	76.4	485	474	473	50.7	5	5	4	13.3	59	
89	83	90	27.1	8	8	8	11.1	337	325	347	37.2	25	25	26	86.7	60	
5	5	12	3.6	-	-	-	-	4	3	10	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	61
66	8	15	4.5	-	-	-	-	16	8	18	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	62
14	11	11	3.3	7	4	5	6.9	26	26	24	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	63
10	3	4	1.2	-	-	-	-	8	7	7	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	64
653	629	656	100.0	-	-	-	-	1 592	1 551	1 607	100.0	25	25	24	100.0	65	
359	340	367	55.9	-	-	-	-	962	921	983	61.2	11	11	11	45.8	66	
294	289	289	44.1	-	-	-	-	630	630	624	38.8	14	14	13	54.2	67	
729	670	741	100.0	11	11	11	100.0	1 662	1 603	1 719	100.0	34	33	36	100.0	68	
395	367	386	52.1	11	11	11	100.0	1 089	1 067	1 098	63.9	10	10	10	27.8	69	
76	62	74	10.0	-	-	-	-	154	140	163	9.5	-	-	-	-	-	70
49	45	62	8.4	-	-	-	-	129	108	147	8.6	4	3	5	13.9	71	
209	196	219	29.6	-	-	-	-	290	288	311	18.1	20	20	21	58.3	72	

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 12. Criminal justice system employment of local governments

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	Area ¹	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Number of employees			Number of employees			Number of employees					
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees
1	NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA . .	62 743	60 784	62 053	100.0	46 296	44 857	45 657	100.0	6 687	6 384	6 563	100.0
2	BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . .	3 271	2 897	3 210	5.2	2 289	2 092	2 267	5.0	427	329	402	6.1
3	NEW YORK CITY, N.Y. . .	54 454	53 288	53 661	86.5	40 913	39 750	40 123	87.9	5 491	5 491	5 491	83.7
4	PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . .	123	107	153	0.2	59	51	73	0.2	12	12	16	0.2
5	ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . .	841	641	939	1.5	412	393	522	1.1	197	81	122	1.9
6	WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	4 054	3 851	4 090	6.6	2 623	2 571	2 672	5.9	560	471	532	8.1
7	PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. AREA . .	24 115	22 680	24 698	100.0	14 348	13 486	14 316	100.0	5 050	4 700	5 306	100.0
8	BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA . .	980	881	1 050	4.3	576	491	586	4.1	207	192	233	4.4
9	BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA . .	888	723	1 005	4.1	501	379	519	3.6	151	128	188	3.5
10	CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . .	2 885	2 220	1 983	8.0	1 854	1 433	1 222	8.5	437	283	319	6.0
11	CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA . .	582	497	968	3.9	187	165	312	2.2	156	131	264	5.0
12	DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA . .	1 648	1 585	2 077	8.4	732	703	925	6.5	390	382	498	9.4
13	GLoucester County, N.J. AREA	482	433	719	2.9	230	218	352	2.5	105	83	145	2.7
14	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 667	1 367	1 922	7.8	962	791	1 094	7.6	391	288	445	8.4
15	PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	14 974	14 974	14 974	60.6	9 306	9 306	9 306	65.0	3 213	3 213	3 213	60.6
16	PITTSBURGH SMSA	6 862	6 143	6 855	100.0	4 349	3 792	4 209	100.0	1 301	1 241	1 388	100.0
17	ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	4 945	4 822	5 265	76.8	3 151	3 071	3 334	79.2	925	907	992	71.5
18	BEAVER COUNTY AREA	565	351	595	8.7	308	145	277	6.6	137	121	185	13.3
19	WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	636	422	344	5.0	445	258	220	5.2	101	90	66	4.5
20	WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	716	548	651	9.5	445	318	378	9.0	138	123	145	10.4
21	ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA . .	9 814	9 024	9 595	100.0	6 794	6 475	6 809	100.0	1 384	1 186	1 269	100.0
22	CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . .	35	19	48	0.5	19	6	16	0.2	5	4	10	0.8
23	FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA . .	142	108	124	1.3	89	74	83	1.2	29	20	23	1.8
24	JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA . .	158	142	167	1.7	75	73	83	1.2	52	48	55	4.3
25	MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . .	551	492	619	6.5	315	299	356	5.2	101	93	112	8.8
26	MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA . .	71	14	18	0.2	46	9	15	0.2	5	4	2	0.2
27	ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	649	593	706	7.4	546	543	635	9.3	70	27	37	2.9
28	ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	572	553	710	7.4	378	371	475	7.0	76	72	92	7.2
29	ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	3 978	3 978	3 978	41.5	2 785	2 785	2 785	40.9	451	451	451	35.5
30	ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	3 658	3 125	3 225	33.6	2 541	2 315	2 361	34.7	595	467	487	38.4
31	SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA . .	14 476	13 513	13 920	100.0	7 834	7 406	7 540	100.0	1 851	1 720	1 821	100.0
32	ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	4 872	4 624	4 743	34.1	2 512	2 397	2 447	32.5	512	501	536	29.4
33	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	2 364	2 187	2 363	17.0	1 138	1 099	1 160	15.4	292	271	293	16.1
34	MARIN COUNTY AREA	834	772	839	6.0	362	353	374	5.0	150	142	154	8.5
35	SAN FRANCISCO CITY	4 002	3 775	3 866	27.8	2 503	2 394	2 428	32.2	577	513	547	30.0
36	SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	2 404	2 155	2 109	15.2	1 319	1 163	1 131	15.0	320	293	291	16.0
37	WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	15 090	14 652	14 903	100.0	9 740	9 448	9 617	100.0	1 618	1 550	1 584	100.0
38	ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	386	383	383	2.6	268	268	268	2.8	50	49	49	3.1
39	ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	566	494	520	3.5	386	357	366	3.8	52	35	42	2.7
40	CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	115	102	112	0.8	82	79	85	0.9	17	10	11	0.7
41	FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	54	50	54	0.4	50	50	50	0.5	4	-	4	0.3
42	FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	1 110	1 105	1 119	7.5	800	800	809	8.4	180	160	182	11.5
43	FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	43	40	43	0.3	35	35	35	0.4	3	-	3	0.2
44	LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	128	108	80	0.5	89	70	52	0.5	18	18	13	0.8
45	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 280	1 271	1 280	8.6	986	984	991	10.3	128	124	125	7.9
46	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 645	1 613	1 636	11.0	1 255	1 246	1 264	13.1	205	182	185	11.7
47	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	236	237	323	2.2	150	150	205	2.1	40	39	53	3.3
48	WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	9 525	9 249	9 353	62.8	5 639	5 409	5 492	57.1	921	913	917	57.9

* Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

69

in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1975—Continued

(in thousands)

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees	
2 723	2 552	2 759	(X)	22	15	20	(X)	6 324	6 295	6 370	(X)	691	681	684	(X)	1
245	192	234	8.5	5	-	1	5.0	293	275	295	4.6	12	9	11	1.6	2
2 011	2 011	2 011	72.9	-	-	-	-	5 388	5 388	5 388	84.6	651	648	648	94.7	3
14	13	19	0.7	-	-	-	-	38	31	45	0.7	-	-	-	-	4
130	69	167	6.1	17	15	19	95.0	81	81	106	1.7	4	2	3	0.4	5
323	267	328	11.9	-	-	-	-	524	520	536	8.4	24	22	22	3.2	6
1 193	1 054	1 230	100.0	101	101	137	100.0	3 415	3 331	3 703	100.0	8	8	6	100.0	7
69	62	74	6.0	20	20	23	16.8	117	116	134	3.6	-	-	-	-	8
68	61	89	7.2	-	-	-	-	168	155	209	5.6	-	-	-	-	9
238	155	147	12.0	-	-	-	-	348	341	289	7.8	8	8	6	100.0	10
59	42	82	6.7	18	18	33	24.1	162	141	277	7.5	-	-	-	-	11
147	144	190	15.4	34	34	44	32.1	345	322	419	11.3	-	-	-	-	12
43	39	67	5.4	-	-	-	-	104	93	155	4.2	-	-	-	-	13
91	73	103	8.4	29	29	37	27.0	194	186	243	6.6	-	-	-	-	14
478	478	478	38.9	-	-	-	-	1 977	1 977	1 977	53.4	-	-	-	-	15
421	349	423	100.0	94	94	101	100.0	676	646	712	100.0	21	21	22	100.0	16
298	273	322	76.1	67	67	72	71.3	483	483	523	73.5	21	21	22	100.0	17
42	24	39	9.2	10	10	14	13.9	68	51	80	11.2	-	-	-	-	18
27	19	18	4.3	8	8	5	5.0	55	47	35	4.9	-	-	-	-	19
54	33	44	10.4	9	9	10	9.9	70	65	74	10.4	-	-	-	-	20
509	315	386	100.0	29	18	31	100.0	1 094	1 026	1 096	100.0	4	4	4	100.0	21
3	2	6	1.6	-	-	-	-	8	7	16	1.5	-	-	-	-	22
18	8	12	3.1	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	0.5	-	-	-	-	23
16	12	14	3.6	-	-	-	-	15	9	15	1.4	-	-	-	-	24
39	19	45	11.7	12	5	14	45.2	84	76	92	8.4	-	-	-	-	25
19	1	1	0.3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
11	10	12	3.1	-	-	-	-	22	13	22	2.0	-	-	-	-	27
40	36	49	12.7	15	13	17	54.8	63	61	77	7.0	-	-	-	-	28
141	141	141	36.5	-	-	-	-	597	597	597	54.5	4	4	4	100.0	29
222	86	106	27.5	1	-	-	-	299	257	271	24.7	-	-	-	-	30
898	848	853	100.0	317	308	315	100.0	2 512	3 182	3 331	100.0	64	49	60	100.0	31
294	278	262	30.7	165	158	161	51.1	1 377	1 278	1 325	39.8	12	12	12	20.0	32
229	208	226	26.5	66	64	67	21.3	639	545	617	18.5	-	-	-	-	33
47	47	49	5.7	23	23	24	7.6	222	191	210	6.3	30	16	28	46.7	34
186	184	185	21.7	63	63	63	20.0	654	603	625	18.8	19	18	18	30.0	35
142	131	131	15.4	-	-	-	-	620	565	554	16.6	3	3	2	3.3	36
442	433	446	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	3 225	3 163	3 196	100.0	65	58	60	100.0	37
22	21	21	4.7	-	-	-	-	46	45	45	1.4	-	-	-	-	38
18	16	18	4.0	-	-	-	-	95	74	81	2.5	15	12	13	21.7	39
9	6	9	2.0	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.2	-	-	-	-	40
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41
39	37	39	8.7	-	-	-	-	86	83	84	2.6	5	5	5	8.3	42
2	2	2	0.4	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	0.1	-	-	-	-	43
6	6	4	0.9	-	-	-	-	15	14	11	0.3	-	-	-	-	44
69	68	69	15.5	-	-	-	-	96	94	94	2.9	1	1	1	1.7	45
98	98	99	22.2	-	-	-	-	87	87	88	2.8	-	-	-	-	46
17	17	23	5.2	-	-	-	-	31	31	42	1.3	44	40	41	-	47
162	162	162	36.3	-	-	-	-	2 759	2 725	2 741	85.8	44	40	41	68.3	48

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 13. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	359 233	(x)	249 710	(x)	43 665	(x)
BALTIMORE SMSA	8 905	100.0	6 843	100.0	667	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	732	8.2	583	8.5	60	9.0
BALTIMORE CITY	5 684	63.8	4 466	65.3	383	57.4
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	1 820	20.4	1 508	22.0	94	14.1
CARROLL COUNTY AREA	205	2.3	-	-	58	8.7
HARFORD COUNTY AREA	215	2.4	102	1.5	49	7.3
HOWARD COUNTY AREA	249	2.8	184	2.7	23	5.4
BOSTON SEA	14 549	100.0	10 632	100.0	2 006	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	1 955	13.4	1 448	13.6	230	11.5
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	5 015	34.5	3 757	35.3	598	29.8
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	2 070	14.2	1 630	15.3	212	10.6
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	5 509	37.9	3 797	35.7	966	48.2
CHICAGO SMSA	37 735	100.0	30 389	100.0	3 712	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA	33 365	88.4	27 036	89.0	3 378	91.0
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA	1 581	4.2	1 138	3.7	163	4.4
KANE COUNTY AREA	770	2.0	631	2.1	39	1.1
LAKE COUNTY AREA	1 097	2.9	829	2.7	73	2.0
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA	278	0.7	221	0.7	18	0.5
WILL COUNTY AREA	644	1.7	534	1.8	41	1.1
CLEVELAND SMSA	7 764	100.0	5 588	100.0	1 285	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	7 008	90.3	5 117	91.6	1 133	88.2
GEauga COUNTY AREA	98	1.3	34	0.6	30	2.3
LAKE COUNTY AREA	434	5.6	280	5.0	78	6.1
MEDINA COUNTY AREA	224	2.9	157	2.8	44	3.4
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	8 526	100.0	6 123	100.0	1 099	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA	140	1.6	116	1.9	13	1.2
DALLAS COUNTY AREA	5 681	66.6	4 181	68.3	715	65.1
DENTON COUNTY AREA	174	2.0	95	1.6	27	2.5
ELLIS COUNTY AREA	77	0.9	63	1.0	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA	10	0.1	5	0.1	4	0.4
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	65	0.8	46	0.8	10	0.9
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	45	0.5	30	0.5	9	0.8
PARKER COUNTY AREA	44	0.5	25	0.4	10	0.9
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	30	0.4	13	0.2	10	0.9
TARRANT COUNTY AREA	2 225	26.1	1 533	25.0	290	26.4
WISE COUNTY AREA	35	0.4	16	0.3	11	1.0
DETROIT SMSA	22 939	100.0	16 254	100.0	3 317	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA	108	0.5	76	0.5	18	0.5
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	221	1.0	94	0.6	68	2.1
MACOMB COUNTY AREA	2 457	10.7	1 665	10.2	375	11.3
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA	3 545	15.5	2 340	14.4	524	15.8
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	332	1.4	207	1.3	52	1.6
WAYNE COUNTY AREA	16 276	71.0	11 872	73.0	2 280	68.7
HOUSTON SMSA	7 067	100.0	4 611	100.0	1 129	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	213	3.0	148	3.2	40	3.5
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	107	1.5	87	1.9	13	1.2
HARRIS COUNTY AREA	6 572	93.0	4 282	92.9	1 029	91.1
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	65	0.9	32	0.7	20	1.8
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	90	1.3	56	1.2	18	1.6
WALLER COUNTY AREA	20	0.3	6	0.1	9	0.8
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	51 131	100.0	31 614	100.0	5 954	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	51 131	100.0	31 614	100.0	5 954	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	7 072	100.0	4 425	100.0	945	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY AREA	438	6.2	304	6.9	62	6.6
CARVER COUNTY AREA	66	0.9	51	1.2	7	0.7
CHISAGO COUNTY AREA	37	0.5	27	0.6	6	0.6
DAKOTA COUNTY AREA	397	5.6	301	6.8	56	5.9
HENNEPIN COUNTY AREA	3 502	49.5	2 212	50.0	407	43.1
RAMSEY COUNTY AREA	2 095	29.6	1 206	27.3	307	32.5
ST. CROIX COUNTY AREA	99	1.4	62	1.4	20	2.1
SCOTT COUNTY AREA	143	2.0	68	1.5	30	3.2
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	223	3.2	146	3.3	37	3.9
WRIGHT COUNTY AREA	72	1.0	48	1.1	13	1.4
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	16 747	100.0	11 835	100.0	2 135	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA	10 224	61.0	7 101	60.0	1 403	65.7
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	6 523	39.0	4 734	40.0	732	34.3
NEWARK SMSA	11 980	100.0	7 658	100.0	1 591	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	7 019	58.6	4 302	56.2	990	62.2
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	1 451	12.1	1 084	14.2	147	9.2
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	769	6.4	479	6.3	83	5.2
UNION COUNTY AREA	2 741	22.9	1 793	23.4	371	23.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 13. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	18 515	(X)	2 489	(X)	43 537	(X)	1 317	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA	648	100.0	4	100.0	743	100.0	-	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	56	5.6	-	-	33	4.4	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	387	59.7	-	-	448	60.3	-	-
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	102	15.7	-	-	116	15.6	-	-
CARROLL COUNTY AREA	51	7.9	4	100.0	92	12.4	-	-
HARFORD COUNTY AREA	27	4.2	-	-	37	5.0	-	-
HOWARD COUNTY AREA	25	3.9	-	-	17	2.3	-	-
BOSTON SEA	409	100.0	42	100.0	1 437	100.0	23	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	38	9.3	8	19.0	227	15.8	4	17.4
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	105	25.7	27	64.3	509	35.4	19	82.6
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	67	16.4	7	16.7	154	10.7	-	-
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	199	48.7	-	-	547	38.1	-	-
CHICAGO SMSA	1 291	100.0	398	100.0	1 919	100.0	26	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA	1 042	80.7	335	84.2	1 571	81.9	3	11.5
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA	98	7.6	36	9.0	144	7.5	2	7.7
KANE COUNTY AREA	27	2.1	4	1.0	68	3.5	1	3.8
LAKE COUNTY AREA	75	5.8	11	2.8	93	4.8	16	61.5
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA	15	1.2	4	1.0	20	1.0	-	-
WILL COUNTY AREA	34	2.6	8	2.0	23	1.2	4	15.4
CLEVELAND SMSA	326	100.0	-	100.0	565	100.0	-	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	274	84.0	-	-	484	85.7	-	-
GEauga COUNTY AREA	14	4.3	-	-	20	3.5	-	-
LAKE COUNTY AREA	29	8.9	-	-	47	8.3	-	-
MEDINA COUNTY AREA	9	2.8	-	-	14	2.5	-	-
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	544	100.0	7	100.0	748	100.0	5	100.0
COL. IN COUNTY AREA	6	1.1	-	-	5	0.7	-	-
DALLAS COUNTY AREA	295	54.2	-	-	488	65.2	2	40.0
DENTON COUNTY AREA	27	5.0	-	-	25	3.3	-	-
ELLIS COUNTY AREA	2	0.4	-	-	12	1.6	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	4	0.7	-	-	5	0.7	-	-
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	4	0.7	-	-	2	0.3	-	-
PARKER COUNTY AREA	4	0.7	-	-	5	0.7	-	-
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	5	0.9	-	-	2	0.3	-	-
TARRANT COUNTY AREA	193	35.5	7	100.0	199	26.6	3	60.0
WISE COUNTY AREA	3	0.6	-	-	3	0.7	-	-
DETROIT SMSA	1 034	100.0	27	100.0	2 289	100.0	18	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA	6	0.6	-	-	8	0.3	-	-
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	18	1.7	-	-	41	1.8	-	-
MACOMB COUNTY AREA	155	15.0	20	74.1	235	10.3	7	38.9
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA	187	18.1	-	-	488	21.3	6	33.3
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	20	1.9	-	-	53	2.3	-	-
WAYNE COUNTY AREA	648	62.7	7	25.9	1 464	64.0	5	27.8
HOUSTON SMSA	428	100.0	-	100.0	811	100.0	88	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	13	3.0	-	-	12	1.5	-	-
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	2	0.5	-	-	5	0.6	-	-
HARRIS COUNTY AREA	397	92.8	-	-	776	95.7	88	100.0
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	5	1.2	-	-	8	1.0	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	7	1.6	-	-	9	1.1	-	-
WALLER COUNTY AREA	4	0.9	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	3 962	100.0	1 141	100.0	8 457	100.0	3	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	3 962	100.0	1 141	100.0	8 457	100.0	3	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	498	100.0	108	100.0	1 064	100.0	32	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY AREA	41	8.2	1	0.9	30	2.8	-	-
CARVER COUNTY AREA	2	0.4	2	1.9	4	0.4	-	-
CHISAGO COUNTY AREA	3	0.6	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
DAKOTA COUNTY AREA	17	3.4	-	-	23	2.2	-	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY AREA	242	48.6	90	83.3	545	51.2	6	18.8
RAMSEY COUNTY AREA	133	26.7	11	10.2	412	38.7	26	81.3
ST. CROIX COUNTY AREA	11	2.2	-	-	6	0.6	-	-
SCOTT COUNTY AREA	31	6.2	-	-	14	1.3	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	13	2.6	4	3.7	23	2.2	-	-
WRIGHT COUNTY AREA	5	1.0	-	-	6	0.6	-	-
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	910	100.0	-	100.0	1 831	100.0	36	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA	530	58.2	-	-	1 173	64.1	17	47.2
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	380	41.8	-	-	658	35.9	19	52.8
NEWARK SMSA	881	100.0	9	100.0	1 815	100.0	26	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	476	54.0	9	100.0	1 234	68.0	8	30.8
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	86	9.8	-	-	134	7.4	-	-
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	70	7.9	-	-	133	7.3	4	15.4
UNION COUNTY AREA	249	28.3	-	-	314	17.3	14	53.8

Table 13. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	85 337	100.0	63 541	100.0	8 998	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	3 717	4.4	2 822	4.4	365	4.1
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	75 278	88.2	56 537	89.0	7 884	87.6
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	160	0.2	84	0.1	13	0.1
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	1 103	1.3	711	1.1	130	1.4
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	5 079	6.0	3 387	5.3	606	6.7
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. AREA	27 132	100.0	17 303	100.0	4 763	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	997	3.7	634	3.7	160	3.4
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	954	3.5	543	3.1	152	3.2
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 963	7.2	1 210	7.0	241	5.1
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	870	3.2	318	1.8	200	4.2
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 960	7.2	1 015	5.9	368	7.7
GLoucester County, N.J. AREA	638	2.4	344	2.0	105	2.2
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 902	7.0	1 179	6.8	382	8.0
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	17 848	65.8	12 060	69.7	3 155	66.2
PITTSBURGH SMSA	6 432	100.0	4 392	100.0	1 043	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	5 213	81.0	3 678	83.7	786	75.4
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	466	7.2	243	5.5	116	11.1
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	253	3.9	175	4.0	39	3.7
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	500	7.8	296	6.7	102	9.8
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	8 257	100.0	6 055	100.0	916	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	27	0.3	10	0.2	5	0.5
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	77	0.9	53	0.9	14	1.5
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	112	1.4	61	1.0	32	3.5
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	556	6.7	356	5.9	77	8.4
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	9	0.1	6	0.1	1	0.1
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	438	5.3	387	6.4	26	2.8
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	641	7.8	448	7.4	60	6.6
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	3 541	42.9	2 580	42.6	326	35.6
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	2 856	34.6	2 154	35.6	375	40.9
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	18 634	100.0	10 273	100.0	2 211	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	6 505	34.9	3 406	33.2	656	29.7
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	3 071	16.5	1 556	15.1	337	15.2
MARIN COUNTY AREA	1 082	5.8	490	4.8	172	7.8
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	5 140	27.6	3 267	31.8	701	31.7
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	2 836	15.2	1 554	15.1	345	15.6
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	19 026	100.0	12 174	100.0	1 891	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	475	2.5	357	2.9	44	2.3
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	723	3.8	563	4.6	44	2.3
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	105	0.6	81	0.7	10	0.5
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	73	0.4	70	0.6	3	0.2
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	1 298	6.8	966	7.9	193	10.2
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	48	0.3	42	0.3	1	0.1
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	66	0.3	43	0.4	12	0.6
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 777	9.3	1 404	11.5	146	7.7
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 821	9.6	1 381	11.3	211	11.1
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	319	1.7	215	1.8	47	2.5
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	12 321	64.8	7 052	57.9	1 183	62.5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

³Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

Table 19. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's,
by county area, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	3 410	100.0	23	100.0	8 470	100.0	895	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	240	7.0	-	-	281	3.3	9	1.0
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	2 575	75.5	-	-	7 422	87.6	860	96.1
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	18	0.5	-	-	45	0.5	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	130	3.8	23	100.0	105	1.2	4	0.4
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	447	13.1	-	-	617	7.3	22	2.5
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. AREA	1 394	100.0	118	100.0	3 549	100.0	5	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	70	5.0	23	19.5	110	3.1	-	-
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	95	6.8	-	-	164	4.6	-	-
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	228	16.4	-	-	279	7.9	5	100.0
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	78	5.6	32	27.1	242	6.8	-	-
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	171	12.3	34	28.8	372	10.5	-	-
GOULCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	62	4.4	-	-	127	3.6	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	101	7.2	29	24.6	211	5.9	-	-
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	589	42.3	-	-	2 044	57.6	-	-
PITTSBURGH SMSA	324	100.0	80	100.0	573	100.0	20	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	241	74.4	57	71.2	451	75.2	20	100.0
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	34	10.5	12	15.0	61	10.6	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	12	3.7	3	3.7	24	4.2	-	-
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	37	11.4	8	10.0	57	9.9	-	-
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	398	100.0	30	100.0	854	100.0	4	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	5	1.3	-	-	7	0.8	-	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	8	2.0	-	-	2	0.2	-	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	10	2.5	-	-	9	1.1	-	-
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	40	10.1	12	40.0	71	8.3	-	-
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	2	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	11	2.8	-	-	14	1.6	-	-
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	56	14.1	18	60.0	59	6.9	-	-
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	155	38.9	-	-	476	55.7	4	100.0
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	111	27.9	-	-	216	25.3	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	1 390	100.0	502	100.0	4 190	100.0	68	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	469	33.7	263	52.4	1 698	40.5	13	13.1
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	310	22.3	100	19.9	768	18.3	-	-
MARIN COUNTY AREA	81	5.8	39	7.8	269	6.4	31	5.6
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	338	24.3	100	19.9	713	17.0	21	10.9
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	192	13.8	-	-	742	17.7	3	4.4
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	668	100.0	-	100.0	4 222	100.0	68	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	133	4.9	-	-	41	1.0	-	-
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	24	3.6	-	-	80	1.9	12	17.6
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	9	1.3	-	-	5	0.1	-	-
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	57	8.5	-	-	77	1.8	5	7.4
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	2	0.3	-	-	3	0.1	-	-
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	4	0.6	-	-	7	0.2	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	108	16.2	-	-	117	2.8	2	2.9
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	138	20.7	-	-	91	2.2	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	22	3.3	-	-	35	0.8	-	-
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	271	40.6	-	-	3 766	89.2	49	72.1

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	32 153 558	4 576 129	14.2	3 629 211	79.3	340 975	7.5
ALABAMA:							
BIRMINGHAM	99 301	12 837	12.9	11 175	87.1	449	3.5
GADSDEN	10 283	1 194	11.6	1 100	92.1	27	2.3
HUNTSVILLE	49 171	3 266	6.6	2 870	87.9	80	2.4
MOBILE	41 776	6 559	15.7	5 741	87.5	289	4.4
MONTGOMERY	31 065	4 478	14.4	3 814	85.2	379	8.5
TUSCALOOSA	22 485	2 156	9.6	1 912	88.7	117	5.4
ARIZONA:							
GLENDALE	12 369	1 522	12.3	1 405	92.3	47	3.1
MESA	18 677	4 521	24.2	4 370	56.7	78	1.7
PHOENIX	198 775	33 995	17.1	30 989	91.2	1 239	5.6
SCOTTSDALE	19 897	2 842	14.3	2 602	91.6	88	3.1
TEMPE	20 896	2 427	11.6	2 157	88.9	111	4.6
TUCSON	101 177	13 309	13.2	12 298	92.4	374	2.8
ARKANSAS:							
FORT SMITH	12 942	1 178	9.1	918	77.9	109	9.3
LITTLE ROCK	26 304	3 708	14.1	3 250	87.6	191	5.2
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	11 815	1 456	12.3	1 093	75.1	137	9.4
PINE BLUFF	7 884	1 336	16.9	1 165	87.2	78	5.8
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	11 037	2 221	20.1	2 171	96.4	-	-
ALHAMBRA	13 320	1 948	14.6	1 898	97.4	-	-
ANAHEIM	43 947	7 686	17.5	7 311	95.1	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	18 828	5 447	28.9	5 181	95.1	-	-
BELLFLOWER	3 888	1 068	27.5	1 037	97.1	-	-
BERKELEY	33 219	4 622	13.9	4 332	93.7	-	-
BUENA PARK	9 312	2 033	21.8	1 989	97.8	-	-
BURBANK	31 007	5 406	17.4	5 001	92.5	-	-
CARSON	15 735	2 913	18.5	2 833	97.3	-	-
CHULA VISTA	10 718	1 992	18.6	1 911	95.9	-	-
COMPTON	17 302	3 006	17.4	2 943	97.9	-	-
CONCORD	16 783	3 068	18.3	3 004	97.9	-	-
COSTA MESA	14 886	4 142	27.8	3 935	95.0	-	-
DALY CITY	9 271	2 008	21.7	1 970	98.1	-	-
DOWNEY	13 560	2 862	21.1	2 800	97.8	-	-
EL CAJON	9 667	1 902	19.7	1 853	97.4	-	-
EL MONTE	10 042	2 244	22.3	2 193	97.7	-	-
FREMONT	19 675	3 098	15.7	2 991	96.5	-	-
FRESNO	64 179	8 950	13.9	8 522	95.2	-	-
FULLERTON	16 767	3 673	21.9	3 617	98.5	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	16 141	3 170	19.6	3 116	98.3	-	-
GLENDALE	42 043	4 126	9.8	3 950	95.7	-	-
HAWTHORNE	15 811	1 685	10.7	1 545	91.7	-	-
HAYWARD	21 020	3 198	15.2	3 032	94.8	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	25 498	5 398	21.2	5 151	95.4	-	-
INGLEWOOD	34 587	4 974	14.4	4 567	91.8	-	-
LAKEWOOD	10 163	1 550	15.3	1 475	95.2	-	-
LONG BEACH	142 920	19 597	13.7	17 279	88.2	-	-
LOS ANGELES	840 873	195 264	23.2	187 632	96.1	-	-
MODESTO	16 578	2 655	16.0	2 576	97.0	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	13 569	1 800	13.3	1 731	96.3	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	15 219	3 802	25.0	3 646	95.9	-	-
NORWALK	6 926	1 451	20.9	1 415	97.5	-	-
OAKLAND	125 971	19 429	15.4	17 969	92.5	-	-
ONTARIO	11 050	2 044	18.5	2 018	98.7	-	-
ORANGE	15 176	3 065	20.2	2 967	96.8	-	-
OXNARD	17 064	2 515	14.7	2 428	95.5	-	-
PALO ALTO	24 922	2 248	9.0	2 090	93.0	-	-
PASADENA	41 529	6 115	14.7	5 704	93.3	-	-
PICO RIVERA	5 216	1 151	22.1	1 129	90.1	-	-
POMONA	17 111	3 415	19.9	2 949	86.4	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	14 966	2 242	16.0	2 119	94.5	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	14 140	1 755	12.5	1 667	95.0	-	-
RICHMOND	14 29	4 437	11.5	4 323	97.4	-	-
RIVERSIDE	32 051	5 417	16.4	5 202	96.0	-	-
SACRAMENTO	72 697	12 377	17.0	12 138	98.2	-	-
SALINAS	16 218	2 1	14.2	2 041	88.3	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	13 544	4 898	11.3	4 764	97.3	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	4 248	2 187	15.3	2 085	95.3	-	-
SAN DIEGO	14 038	2 799	14.1	22 710	91.6	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	16 156	7 521	12.9	4 290	65.3	11 003	14.6
SAN JOSE	19 672	16 103	13.8	18 147	96.2	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	15 176	1 818	12.1	1 762	94.8	-	-
SAN MATEO	17 171	2 076	14.0	2 311	97.3	-	-
SANTA ANA	32 3	4 620	19.8	6 271	94.7	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	32 3	3 322	14.5	3 108	93.8	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	149 227	3.3	24 678	0.5	378 942	8.3	53 096	1.2
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	322	2.5	43	0.3	848	6.6	-	-
GADSDEN	20	1.7	-	-	47	3.9	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	77	2.8	20	0.6	219	6.7	-	-
MOBILE	94	1.4	-	-	360	5.5	75	1.1
MONTGOMERY	66	1.5	7	0.2	212	4.7	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	43	2.0	11	0.5	73	3.4	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDALE	35	2.3	-	-	35	2.3	-	-
MESA	65	1.4	8	0.2	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	1 302	3.8	230	0.7	242	0.9	25	0.1
SCOTTSDALE	141	5.0	-	-	-	0.4	-	-
TEMPE	113	4.7	-	-	-	-	46	1.9
TUCSON	602	4.5	20	0.2	15	0.1	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	43	3.7	7	0.6	101	8.6	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	88	2.4	-	-	179	4.8	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	76	5.2	-	-	150	10.3	-	-
PINE BLUFF	37	2.8	-	-	56	4.2	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	80	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	50	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	275	3.6	-	-	100	1.3	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	265	4.9	-	-	1	(2)	-	-
BELLFLOWER	31	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	211	4.6	-	-	-	-	79	1.7
BUENA PARK	44	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	287	5.3	-	-	118	2.2	-	-
CARSON	80	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	81	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	63	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	64	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	90	2.2	-	-	117	2.8	-	-
DALY CITY	38	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	32	1.1	-	-	30	1.	-	-
EL CAJON	49	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	51	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	93	3.0	-	-	-	-	14	0.5
FRESNO	269	3.0	-	-	-	-	159	1.8
FULLERTON	56	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	54	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	176	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	138	8.2	-	-	2	0.1	-	-
HAYWARD	98	3.1	-	-	-	-	68	2.1
HUNTINGTON BEACH	244	4.5	-	-	3	0.1	-	-
INGLEWOOD	261	5.2	-	-	146	2.9	-	-
LAKEWOOD	75	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 317	6.7	-	-	969	4.9	32	0.2
LOS ANGELES	7 331	3.8	-	-	301	0.2	-	-
MODESTO	79	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	89	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	118	3.1	-	-	38	1.0	-	-
NORWALK	36	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	342	1.8	-	-	1 118	5.8	-	-
ONTARIO	26	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	98	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	87	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	158	7.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	298	4.9	-	-	113	1.8	-	-
PICO RIVERA	22	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	62	1.8	-	-	404	11.8	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	57	2.5	-	-	66	2.9	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	88	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	114	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	204	3.8	11	0.2	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	219	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	67	2.9	-	-	2	0.1	201	8.7
SAN BERNARDINO	134	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	192	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	1 494	6.0	76	0.3	415	1.7	104	0.4
SAN FRANCISCO	3 599	4.8	969	1.3	10 264	13.6	406	0.5
SAN JOSE	708	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	96	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	67	2.7	-	-	-	-	35	0.5
SANTA ANA	314	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	204	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal Justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal Justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED							
SANTA CLARA	41 545	3 368	8.1	3 283	97.5	-	-
SANTA MONICA	21 884	4 275	19.5	4 014	93.9	-	-
SANTA ROSA	21 390	1 604	7.5	1 532	95.5	-	-
SEMI VALLEY	6 043	1 392	23.0	1 306	93.8	-	-
SOUTH GATE	8 496	2 252	26.5	2 067	91.8	-	-
STOCKTON	48 771	5 619	11.5	5 430	96.6	-	-
SUNNYVALE	20 848	2 378	11.4	2 264	95.2	-	-
TORRANCE	26 743	5 902	22.1	5 512	93.4	-	-
VALLEJO	13 836	2 392	17.3	2 310	96.6	-	-
WEST COVINA	15 776	2 130	13.5	2 105	98.8	-	-
WESTMINSTER	9 225	1 951	21.1	1 872	96.0	-	-
WHITTIER	9 875	2 606	26.4	2 551	97.9	-	-
COLORADO:							
ARVADA	9 254	1 634	17.7	1 512	92.5	61	3.7
AUROFA	29 173	3 158	10.8	2 875	91.0	130	4.1
BOULDER	16 751	2 541	15.2	1 754	69.0	151	5.9
COLORADO SPRINGS	45 630	5 399	11.8	4 959	91.9	236	4.4
DENVER	299 738	40 924	13.7	29 120	71.2	3 401	8.3
FORT COLLINS	11 777	1 348	11.4	1 262	93.6	44	3.3
LAKWOOD	16 140	7 911	49.0	6 910	87.3	355	4.5
PUEBLO	20 992	3 570	17.0	3 142	88.0	82	2.3
CONNECTICUT:							
BRIDGEPORT	84 616	8 567	10.1	8 013	93.5	63	0.7
BRISTOL	27 200	1 537	5.7	1 483	96.5	6	0.4
DANBURY	29 046	1 747	6.0	1 700	97.3	3	0.2
HARTFORD	154 926	8 898	5.7	8 373	94.1	-	-
MERIDEN	26 509	1 476	5.6	1 400	94.9	1	0.1
MILFORD	31 025	1 790	5.8	1 736	97.0	1	0.1
NEW BRITAIN	40 907	2 928	7.2	2 795	95.5	7	0.2
NEW HAVEN	74 593	6 610	8.9	6 486	98.1	12	0.2
NORWALK	44 345	2 858	6.4	2 648	92.7	5	0.2
STAMFORD	88 982	5 002	5.6	4 719	94.3	37	0.7
WATERBURY	71 152	4 895	6.9	4 717	96.4	15	0.3
WEST HAVEN	23 078	1 755	7.6	1 577	89.9	1	0.1
DELAWARE:							
WILMINGTON	65 330	5 537	8.5	4 543	87.5	386	7.0
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:							
WASHINGTON	1 438 580	200 367	13.9	97 056	48.4	19 112	9.5
FLORIDA:							
CLEARWATER	18 155	2 943	16.2	2 724	92.6	76	2.6
FORT LAUDERDALE	51 861	7 917	15.3	6 905	87.2	406	5.1
GAINESVILLE	18 410	3 677	20.0	3 569	97.1	-	-
HIALEAH	16 970	3 845	22.7	3 670	95.4	11	0.3
HOLLYWOOD	28 566	5 322	18.6	4 223	79.3	138	2.6
JACKSONVILLE	199 115	26 566	13.3	16 784	63.2	4 406	16.6
MIAMI	81 713	17 048	20.9	16 615	97.5	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	48 554	6 633	14.1	6 333	92.7	222	3.2
ORLANDO	43 061	7 897	18.3	6 777	85.8	207	2.6
PENSACOLA	17 858	2 364	13.2	2 196	92.9	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	52 444	9 386	17.9	8 535	90.9	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	45 157	2 472	5.5	2 390	96.7	-	-
TAMPA	86 352	10 431	12.1	10 140	97.2	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	13 501	2 819	20.9	2 530	89.7	87	3.1
GEORGIA:							
ALBANY	14 406	2 430	16.9	2 286	94.2	13	0.5
ATLANTA	190 155	28 593	17.0	24 515	85.7	1 037	3.6
AUGUSTA	16 209	2 138	13.2	1 927	90.1	52	2.4
COLUMBUS	48 229	6 881	14.3	4 479	65.1	1 135	16.5
MACON	20 290	3 871	19.1	2 993	77.3	139	3.6
SAVANNAH	23 319	4 082	17.5	3 676	90.1	130	3.2
HAWAII:							
HONOLULU	220 515	29 397	13.3	26 908	91.5	-	-
IDAHO:							
BOISE CITY	18 558	2 097	11.3	1 851	88.3	101	4.8
ILLINOIS:							
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	29 098	1 708	5.9	1 644	96.3	64	3.7
AURORA	14 605	2 652	18.2	2 574	97.1	1	(2)
BERWYN	4 618	.27	0.6	.27	100.0	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	13 363	1 564	11.7	1 494	95.5	-	-
CHICAGO	984 278	247 963	25.2	243 332	98.1	271	0.1
CICERO	6 912	1 542	22.3	1 470	95.3	-	-
DECATUR	12 982	1 811	14.0	1 755	96.9	-	-
DES PLAINES	9 819	1 801	18.3	1 694	94.1	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	10 741	3 066	28.5	2 920	95.2	-	-
ELGIN	9 659	1 679	17.4	1 625	96.8	11	0.7

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditures
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA—CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA	85	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA MONICA	261	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA ROSA	72	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
SINI VALLEY	86	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOUTH GATE	51	2.3	—	—	134	6.0	—	—
STOCKTON	189	3.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
SUNNYVALE	114	4.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
TORRANCE	254	4.3	—	—	136	2.3	—	—
VALLEJO	82	3.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
WEST COVINA	25	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
WESTMINSTER	26	1.3	—	—	2	0.1	51	2.6
WHITTIER	55	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	46	2.8	—	—	15	0.9	—	—
AURORA	153	4.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
BOULDER	117	4.6	—	—	5	0.2	514	20.2
COLORADO SPRINGS	187	3.5	—	—	17	0.3	—	—
DENVER	2 326	5.7	146	0.4	5 309	13.0	622	1.5
FORT COLLINS	42	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAKWOOD	627	7.9	—	—	19	0.2	—	—
PUEBLO	103	2.9	—	—	243	6.8	—	—
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	189	2.2	—	—	199	2.3	103	1.2
BRISTOL	38	2.5	—	—	—	—	10	0.7
DANBURY	42	2.4	—	—	—	—	2	0.1
HARTFORD	525	5.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
MERIDEN	75	5.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
MILFORD	53	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEW BRITAIN	126	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEW HAVEN	112	1.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
NORWALK	108	3.8	—	—	—	—	97	3.4
STAMFORD	234	4.7	12	0.2	—	—	—	—
WATERBURY	130	2.7	—	—	—	—	33	0.7
WEST HAVEN	94	5.4	—	—	—	—	83	4.7
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	308	5.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	6 736	3.4	1 935	1.0	73 114	36.5	2 414	1.2
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	77	2.6	10	0.3	56	1.9	—	—
FORT LAUDERDALE	168	2.1	13	0.2	425	5.4	—	—
GAINESVILLE	89	2.4	—	—	19	0.5	—	—
HIALEAH	26	0.7	—	—	138	3.6	—	—
HOLLYWOOD	124	2.3	2	(Z)	835	15.7	—	—
JACKSONVILLE	701	2.6	19	0.1	4 458	16.8	198	0.7
MIAMI	453	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
MIAMI BEACH	194	2.8	13	0.2	71	1.0	—	—
ORLANDO	131	1.7	23	0.3	591	7.5	168	2.1
PENSACOLA	71	3.0	—	—	97	4.1	—	—
ST. PETERSBURG	220	2.3	—	—	408	4.3	223	2.4
TALLAHASSEE	82	3.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
TAMPA	291	2.8	—	—	123	4.4	—	—
WEST PALM BEACH	79	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	54	2.2	—	—	75	3.1	—	—
ATLANTA	807	2.8	45	0.2	2 053	7.2	136	0.5
AUGUSTA	48	2.2	—	—	111	5.2	—	—
COLUMBUS	291	4.2	27	0.4	878	12.8	71	1.0
MACON	164	4.2	—	—	575	14.9	—	—
SAVANNAH	44	1.1	—	—	232	5.7	—	—
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	1 770	6.0	—	—	719	2.4	—	—
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	110	5.2	—	—	35	1.7	—	—
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
AURORA	77	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
BERWYN	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHAMPAIGN	57	3.6	—	—	13	0.8	—	—
CHICAGO	3 479	1.4	—	—	677	0.3	204	0.1
CICERO	72	4.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
MICATUR	56	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
VS PLAINES	107	5.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
EAST ST. LOUIS	95	3.1	—	—	51	1.7	—	—
ELGIN	43	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED							
EVANSTON	18 276	2 918	16.0	2 817	96.5	-	-
JOLIET	9 970	2 908	29.2	2 806	96.5	-	-
OAK LAWN	11 406	1 778	15.6	1 732	97.4	-	-
OAK PARK	15 694	2 416	15.4	2 359	97.6	-	-
PEORIA	20 081	5 255	26.2	4 941	94.0	-	-
ROCKFORD	30 152	5 384	17.9	5 184	96.3	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	12 939	1 285	9.9	1 252	97.4	16	1.2
SKOKIE	10 786	2 511	23.3	2 407	95.9	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	21 991	3 287	14.9	3 030	92.2	-	-
WAUKEGAN	12 130	2 606	21.5	2 558	98.2	-	-
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	10 627	1 903	17.9	1 800	94.6	38	2.0
EVANSVILLE	30 038	3 687	12.3	3 335	90.5	126	3.4
FORT WAYNE	35 371	4 959	14.0	4 565	92.1	-	-
GARY	39 468	6 856	17.4	5 589	81.5	324	4.7
HAMMOND	20 115	3 589	17.8	3 250	90.6	218	6.1
INDIANAPOLIS	237 053	30 704	13.0	21 508	70.0	3 708	12.1
MUNCIE	11 249	1 994	17.7	1 827	91.6	106	5.3
SOUTH BEND	25 512	3 906	15.3	3 846	98.5	-	-
TRE HAUTE	12 810	1 451	11.3	1 334	91.9	57	3.9
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	25 911	2 442	9.4	2 363	96.8	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	17 788	1 599	9.0	1 470	91.9	-	-
DAVENPORT	21 750	2 012	9.3	1 867	92.8	5	0.2
DES MOINES	64 853	6 134	9.5	5 865	95.6	-	-
DUBUQUE	10 759	1 264	11.7	1 159	91.7	-	-
SIOUX CITY	23 212	2 368	10.2	1 815	76.6	17	0.7
WATERLOO	27 997	1 908	6.8	1 849	96.9	-	-
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	37 399	5 710	15.3	5 023	88.0	156	2.7
OVERLAND PARK	7 546	1 618	21.4	1 441	89.1	78	4.8
TOPEKA	28 995	4 160	14.3	3 726	89.6	64	1.5
WICHITA	98 391	8 150	8.3	6 682	82.0	251	3.1
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	9 314	2 032	21.9	1 794	88.0	57	2.8
LEXINGTON	48 613	9 372	19.3	6 553	69.9	923	9.8
LOUISVILLE	144 146	18 140	12.6	17 000	93.7	517	2.9
OWENSBORO	25 221	1 484	5.9	1 353	91.2	42	2.8
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	76 461	15 396	20.1	9 090	59.0	3 609	23.4
LAFAYETTE	8 419	1 572	18.7	1 350	85.9	125	8.0
LAKE CHARLES	14 639	1 546	10.6	1 040	67.3	45	2.9
MONROE	14 143	1 629	11.5	1 392	85.5	95	5.8
NEW ORLEANS	197 409	43 351	22.0	27 083	62.5	6 129	14.1
SHREVEPORT	36 382	6 752	18.6	6 161	91.2	336	5.0
MAINE:							
PORLTAND	35 266	2 959	8.4	2 871	97.0	-	-
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	917 110	81 621	8.9	63 041	77.2	5 420	6.6
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	672 442	89 799	13.4	62 860	70.0	13 439	15.0
BROCKTON	52 126	4 060	7.8	3 981	98.1	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	66 582	5 731	8.6	5 319	92.8	-	-
CHICOPEE	30 788	1 832	6.0	1 776	96.9	-	-
FALL RIVER	59 272	4 760	8.0	4 355	91.5	-	-
HOLYOKE	34 723	1 810	5.2	1 734	95.8	-	-
LAWRENCE	33 858	2 375	7.0	2 130	89.7	-	-
LOWELL	44 468	3 304	7.4	3 126	94.6	-	-
LYNN	51 620	4 323	8.4	4 128	95.5	-	-
MALDEN	35 493	2 191	6.2	2 136	97.5	-	-
MEDFORD	35 336	2 502	7.1	2 451	98.0	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	52 498	4 415	8.4	4 177	94.6	-	-
NEWTON	61 899	4 605	7.4	4 460	96.9	-	-
PITTSFIELD	39 452	1 723	4.4	1 688	98.0	-	-
QUINCY	65 983	5 038	7.6	4 949	98.2	-	-
SOMERVILLE	33 150	2 611	7.9	2 327	89.1	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	118 024	6 704	5.7	6 358	94.8	-	-
WALTHAM	31 709	2 729	8.6	2 613	95.7	-	-
WORCESTER	123 329	8 207	6.7	7 678	93.6	1	(2)
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	29 643	4 450	15.0	3 585	80.6	517	11.6
DEARBORN	33 629	4 542	13.5	4 052	89.2	182	4.0
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	11 428	3 136	27.4	2 662	84.9	394	12.6
DETROIT	622 368	125 511	20.2	107 198	85.4	8 468	6.7

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS—CONTINUED								
EVANSTON	101	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
JOLIET	102	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
OAK LAWN	46	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
OAK PARK	57	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
PEORIA	285	5.4	—	—	29	0.6	—	—
ROCKFORD	145	2.7	6	0.1	—	—	49	0.9
ROCK ISLAND	17	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
SKOKIE	104	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
SPRINGFIELD	165	5.0	—	—	92	2.8	—	—
WAUKEGAN	48	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	56	2.9	5	0.3	4	0.2	—	—
EVANSVILLE	212	5.7	—	—	14	0.4	—	—
FORT WAYNE	103	2.1	—	—	—	—	291	5.9
GARY	170	2.5	—	—	63	0.9	710	10.4
HAMMOND	82	2.3	7	0.2	32	0.9	—	—
INDIANAPOLIS	1 176	3.8	184	0.6	4 027	13.1	101	0.3
MUNCIE	34	1.7	—	—	7	0.4	20	1.0
SOUTH BEND	60	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
TERRE HAUTE	60	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	77	3.2	—	—	2	0.1	—	—
COUNCIL BLUFFS	84	5.3	—	—	45	2.8	—	—
DAVENPORT	97	4.8	—	—	—	—	43	2.1
DES MOINES	236	3.8	—	—	33	0.5	—	—
DUBUQUE	46	3.6	—	—	59	4.7	—	—
SIOUX CITY	139	5.9	—	—	74	3.1	323	13.6
WATERLOO	59	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	220	3.9	—	—	241	4.2	70	1.2
OVERLAND PARK	96	5.9	3	0.2	—	—	—	—
TOPEKA	142	3.4	5	0.1	72	1.7	151	3.6
WICHITA	231	2.8	—	—	373	4.6	613	7.5
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	143	7.0	—	—	45	2.2	—	—
LEXINGTON	412	4.4	40	0.4	1 444	15.4	—	—
LOUISVILLE	424	2.3	—	—	182	1.0	17	0.1
OWENSBORO	54	3.6	—	—	28	1.9	7	0.5
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	897	5.8	165	1.1	1 444	9.4	191	1.2
LAFAYETTE	59	3.8	4	0.3	34	2.2	—	—
LAKE CHARLES	52	3.4	—	—	409	26.5	—	—
MONROE	64	3.9	—	—	70	4.8	—	—
NEW ORLEANS	1 033	2.4	294	0.7	8 579	19.8	233	0.5
SHREVEPORT	74	1.1	—	—	181	2.7	—	—
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	87	2.9	—	—	—	—	1	(Z)
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	4 645	5.7	—	—	7 701	9.4	814	1.0
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	3 146	3.5	—	—	8 647	9.6	1 707	1.9
BROCKTON	79	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
CAMBRIDGE	183	3.2	—	—	—	—	229	4.0
CHICOPEE	56	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
FALL RIVER	80	1.7	—	—	261	5.5	64	1.3
HOLYOKE	76	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAWRENCE	67	2.8	—	—	20	0.8	158	6.7
LOWELL	178	5.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
LYNN	105	2.4	—	—	—	—	90	2.1
MALDEN	55	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
MEDFORD	51	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEW BEDFORD	74	1.7	—	—	—	—	164	3.7
NEWTON	145	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
PITTSFIELD	35	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
QUINCY	89	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOMERVILLE	78	3.0	—	—	—	—	206	7.9
SPRINGFIELD	221	3.3	—	—	—	—	125	1.9
WALTHAM	116	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
WORCESTER	279	3.4	—	—	—	—	249	3.0
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	128	2.9	137	3.1	83	1.9	—	—
DEARBORN	182	4.0	1	(Z)	125	2.8	—	—
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	64	2.0	84	0.1	12	0.4	—	—
Detroit	2 503	2.0	1 344	1.1	5 908	4.7	90	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN—CONTINUED:							
FARMINGTON HILLS	6 458	1 546	23.9	1 393	90.1	79	5.1
FLINT	112 166	9 513	8.5	8 385	88.1	515	5.4
GRAND RAPIDS	51 095	8 502	16.6	6 790	79.9	748	8.8
KALAMAZOO	21 324	3 573	16.8	3 012	84.3	323	9.0
LANSING	52 263	6 738	12.9	5 045	74.9	543	8.1
LINCOLN PARK	9 253	1 751	18.9	1 575	89.9	98	5.6
LIVONIA	18 986	3 667	19.3	3 246	88.5	214	5.8
PONTIAC	56 908	5 771	10.1	4 918	85.2	456	7.9
ROSEVILLE	11 063	2 362	21.4	2 087	88.4	187	7.9
ROYAL OAK	12 888	2 536	19.7	2 201	86.8	204	8.0
SAGINAW	27 772	4 406	15.9	4 211	95.6	—	—
ST. CLAIR SHORES	13 722	2 372	17.3	2 228	93.9	102	4.3
SOUTHFIELD	21 020	4 386	20.9	3 550	80.9	521	11.9
STERLING HEIGHTS	15 346	3 349	21.8	2 955	88.2	197	5.9
TAYLOR	11 987	2 781	23.2	2 543	91.4	130	4.7
WARREN	38 049	6 650	17.5	5 301	79.7	1 133	17.0
WESTLAND	14 703	4 209	28.6	3 731	88.6	302	7.2
WYOMING	10 333	2 295	22.2	1 924	83.8	219	9.5
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	17 243	2 444	14.2	2 324	95.1	7	0.3
DULUTH	40 628	2 580	6.4	2 336	90.5	—	(2)
MINNEAPOLIS	155 757	18 032	11.6	15 019	83.3	—	—
ROCHESTER	13 315	1 692	12.7	1 624	96.0	—	—
ST. PAUL	98 979	12 687	13.0	10 344	80.3	753	5.8
MISSISSIPPI:							
BILOXI	6 037	1 047	17.3	955	91.2	19	1.8
JACKSON	52 878	5 522	10.4	4 686	84.9	218	3.9
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	9 695	1 271	13.1	1 173	92.3	38	3.0
FLORISSANT	4 187	1 326	31.7	1 284	96.8	24	1.8
INDEPENDENCE	19 727	2 636	13.4	2 429	92.1	88	3.3
KANSAS CITY	193 075	29 623	15.3	25 329	85.5	1 134	3.8
ST. JOSEPH	10 043	1 710	17.0	1 582	92.5	54	3.2
ST. LOUIS	213 261	57 383	26.9	42 016	73.2	5 262	9.2
SPRINGFIELD	24 598	2 870	11.7	2 667	92.9	127	4.4
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS	19 674	1 966	10.0	1 819	92.5	59	3.0
GREAT FALLS	15 470	1 995	12.9	1 816	91.0	33	1.7
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	63 698	5 378	8.4	3 803	70.7	379	7.0
OMAHA	135 397	11 885	8.8	10 443	87.9	716	6.0
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	33 380	11 052	33.1	10 274	95.0	345	3.1
RENO	26 509	5 303	20.0	4 671	88.1	174	3.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	56 374	2 547	4.5	2 241	88.0	154	6.0
NASHUA	34 723	2 194	6.3	1 851	84.4	111	5.1
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	16 172	2 732	16.9	2 520	92.2	93	3.4
BLOOMFIELD	16 291	2 202	13.5	2 071	94.1	60	2.7
CAMDEN	27 552	8 176	29.7	7 658	93.7	238	2.9
CLIFTON	25 532	2 757	10.8	2 593	94.1	89	3.2
EAST ORANGE	54 100	5 967	11.0	5 215	87.4	404	6.8
ELIZABETH	50 440	5 541	11.0	5 274	95.2	134	2.4
IRVINGTON	13 712	2 953	21.5	2 611	88.4	105	3.6
JERSEY CITY	153 555	18 352	12.0	17 432	95.0	494	2.7
NEWARK	314 155	36 366	11.6	32 070	88.2	1 494	4.1
PASSAIC	10 119	2 844	28.1	2 618	92.1	96	3.4
PATERSON	72 957	7 288	10.0	6 905	94.7	205	2.8
TRENTON	33 000	8 233	24.9	7 879	95.7	172	2.1
UNION CITY	9 089	2 489	27.4	2 287	91.9	115	4.6
VINELAND	30 954	1 682	5.4	1 517	90.2	73	4.3
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	78 642	12 788	16.3	10 304	80.6	685	5.4
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	36 868	6 341	17.2	5 847	92.2	251	4.0
BINGHAMTON	36 312	2 358	6.5	2 118	89.8	150	6.4
BUFFALO	308 579	24 036	7.8	21 298	88.6	1 844	7.7
MOUNT VERNON	21 843	3 513	16.1	3 068	87.9	228	6.5
NEW ROCHELLE	25 300	3 643	15.6	3 223	88.5	252	6.9
NEW YORK CITY	11 641 287	1 056 419	9.1	707 008	60.9	140 333	13.3

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	69	4.5	1	0.1	4	0.3	-	-
FLINT	195	2.0	30	0.3	388	4.1	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	303	3.6	17	0.2	270	3.2	374	4.4
KALAMAZOO	197	5.5	-	-	41	1.1	-	-
LANSING	171	2.5	57	0.8	922	13.7	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	30	1.7	1	0.1	47	2.7	-	-
LIVONIA	117	3.2	9	0.2	81	2.2	-	-
PONTIAC	251	4.3	22	0.4	124	2.1	-	-
ROSEVILLE	88	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	67	2.6	8	0.3	56	2.2	-	-
SAGINAW	195	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	42	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	152	3.5	6	0.1	157	3.6	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	102	3.0	1	(Z)	-	-	94	2.8
TAYLOR	83	3.0	1	(Z)	24	0.9	-	-
WARREN	215	3.2	1	(Z)	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	61	1.4	20	0.5	95	2.3	-	-
WYOMING	95	4.1	3	0.1	54	2.4	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	100	4.1	15	0.5	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	192	7.4	32	1.2	19	0.7	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	897	5.0	-	-	1 682	9.3	434	2.4
ROCHESTER	68	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	593	4.6	68	0.5	22	0.2	1 107	8.6
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	26	2.5	-	-	47	4.5	-	-
JACKSON	206	3.7	-	-	412	7.5	-	-
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	60	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	18	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	111	4.2	-	-	8	0.3	-	-
KANSAS CITY	864	2.9	237	0.8	1 485	5.0	574	1.9
ST. JOSEPH	72	4.2	-	-	2	0.1	-	-
ST. LOUIS	2 068	3.6	-	-	7 992	13.9	45	0.1
SPRINGFIELD	67	2.3	-	-	9	0.3	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	56	2.8	6	0.3	26	1.3	-	-
GREAT FALLS	28	1.4	1	0.1	117	5.9	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	273	5.1	3	0.1	364	6.8	556	10.3
OMAHA	552	4.6	-	-	116	1.0	58	0.5
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	130	3.9	-	-	3	(Z)	-	-
RENO	181	3.4	13	0.2	264	5.0	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	55	2.2	-	-	97	3.8	-	-
NASHUA	44	2.0	-	-	69	3.1	119	5.4
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	111	4.1	8	0.3	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	71	3.2	-	-	-	-	54	0.7
CAMDEN	226	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	75	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	234	3.9	-	-	-	-	114	1.9
ELIZABETH	133	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	193	6.5	-	-	-	-	44	1.5
JERSEY CITY	300	1.6	-	-	-	-	126	0.7
NEWARK	473	1.3	-	-	-	-	2 329	6.4
PASSAIC	85	3.0	-	-	-	-	45	1.6
PATERSON	147	2.0	-	-	-	-	31	0.4
TRENTON	124	1.5	9	0.1	-	-	49	0.6
UNION CITY	36	1.5	-	-	-	-	49	2.0
VINELAND	92	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	543	4.0	-	-	1 286	10.1	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	208	3.3	-	-	-	-	35	0.6
BINGHAMTON	88	3.7	-	-	2	0.1	-	-
BUFFALO	639	3.5	55	0.2	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	157	4.5	-	-	-	-	40	1.1
NEW ROCHELLE	168	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	34 099	3.2	13 846	1.3	142 006	13.4	19 127	1.8

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA FALLS	32 222	3 721	11.5	3 363	90.4	213	5.7
ROCHESTER	190 485	13 702	7.2	12 396	90.5	683	5.0
ROME	21 641	852	3.9	766	89.9	62	7.3
SCHENECTADY	19 104	2 406	12.6	2 195	91.2	105	4.4
SYRACUSE	102 279	8 319	8.1	7 687	92.4	397	4.8
TROY	18 688	2 104	11.3	1 874	89.1	118	5.6
UTICA	20 685	2 718	13.1	2 375	87.4	240	8.8
WHITE PLAINS	30 792	4 171	13.5	3 695	88.6	249	6.0
YONKERS	136 806	11 422	8.3	9 320	81.6	695	6.1
NORTH CAROLINA:							
ASHEVILLE	14 916	1 841	12.3	1 714	93.1	-	-
CHARLOTTE	90 497	10 621	11.7	10 369	97.6	-	-
DURHAM	27 246	4 157	15.3	4 046	97.3	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	12 517	1 863	14.9	1 767	94.8	-	-
GREENSBORO	45 503	6 720	14.8	6 556	97.6	-	-
HIGH POINT	18 664	2 120	11.4	2 052	96.8	-	-
RALEIGH	42 226	4 738	11.2	4 639	97.9	1	(2)
WILMINGTON	11 987	1 681	14.0	1 655	98.5	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	44 457	6 966	15.7	6 822	97.9	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:							
FAROO	14 083	1 595	11.3	1 484	93.0	59	3.7
OHIO:							
AKRON	69 842	9 775	14.0	8 106	82.9	849	8.7
CANTON	25 219	4 283	17.0	3 389	79.1	382	8.9
CINCINNATI	364 891	30 846	8.5	24 076	78.1	2 791	9.0
CLEVELAND	245 526	34 410	14.0	25 578	74.3	4 022	11.7
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	8 330	1 688	20.3	1 427	84.5	92	5.5
COLUMBUS	140 922	25 919	18.4	20 833	80.4	2 582	9.8
DAYTON	80 966	12 205	15.1	9 387	76.9	883	7.2
ELYRIA	13 190	1 369	10.4	966	70.6	252	18.4
EUCLID	12 939	2 063	15.9	1 817	88.1	135	6.5
HAMILTON	11 316	2 188	19.3	1 881	86.0	138	6.3
KETTERING	8 067	1 660	20.6	1 271	76.6	183	11.0
LAKESIDE	26 772	1 458	5.4	1 252	85.9	138	9.5
LIMA	17 128	1 759	10.3	1 251	71.1	278	15.8
LORAIN	11 681	1 641	14.0	1 261	76.8	195	11.9
MANSFIELD	11 176	2 465	22.1	2 010	81.5	321	13.0
PARMA	17 914	2 083	11.6	1 598	76.7	319	15.3
SPRINGFIELD	10 392	2 642	25.4	2 001	75.7	328	12.4
TOLEDO	109 671	16 101	14.7	13 425	83.4	1 041	6.5
WARREN	10 395	2 019	19.4	1 523	75.4	328	16.2
YOUNGSTOWN	31 293	5 331	17.0	4 107	77.0	533	10.0
OKLAHOMA:							
LAWTON	211 655	1 876	7.6	1 598	85.2	116	6.2
MIDWEST	9 748	920	9.4	832	90.4	35	3.8
NORMAN	15 062	1 638	10.9	1 462	89.3	96	5.9
OKLAHOMA CITY	96 098	11 691	12.2	8 684	74.3	394	3.4
TULSA	103 624	9 440	9.1	8 126	86.1	265	2.8
OREGON:							
EUGENE	23 162	3 712	16.0	3 182	85.7	181	4.9
PORTLAND	109 923	18 895	17.2	16 940	89.1	-	-
SALEM	39 969	3 553	8.9	3 322	93.8	61	1.7
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLENTOWN	21 320	3 051	14.3	2 987	97.9	-	-
ALTOONA	7 207	1 260	17.5	1 235	98.0	1	0.1
BETHLEHEM	16 642	2 078	12.5	2 030	97.7	-	-
CHESTER	10 904	2 548	23.4	2 474	97.1	-	-
ERIE	25 189	3 179	12.6	2 985	93.9	79	2.5
HARRISBURG	19 962	2 754	13.8	2 703	98.1	-	-
LANCASTER	15 625	1 935	12.4	1 913	98.9	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	929 140	233 509	25.1	145 303	62.2	37 357	16.0
PITTSBURGH	120 078	19 078	15.9	18 156	95.2	366	1.9
READING	18 959	2 525	13.3	2 439	96.6	-	-
SCRANTON	19 968	2 136	10.7	2 048	95.9	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	29 956	1 386	4.6	1 357	97.9	-	-
YORK	12 534	1 489	11.9	1 458	97.9	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:							
CRANSTON	36 115	2 046	5.7	1 958	95.7	9	0.4
PAWTUCKET	34 335	2 198	6.4	2 122	96.5	19	0.9
PROVIDENCE	80 176	6 339	7.9	5 962	94.1	172	2.7
WARWICK	37 505	2 521	6.7	2 467	97.9	5	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
CHARLESTON	14 111	2 252	16.0	2 187	97.1	39	1.7
COLUMBIA	24 132	4 297	17.8	3 471	80.8	508	11.8
GREENVILLE	11 408	2 366	20.7	2 188	92.5	62	2.6

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS	145	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	544	4.0	-	-	-	-	80	0.6
ROME	24	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	106	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	235	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	112	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	103	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	227	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	398	3.5	-	-	328	2.9	681	6.0
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	55	3.0	-	-	-	-	72	3.9
CHARLOTTE	201	1.9	-	-	-	-	51	0.5
DURHAM	111	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	89	4.8	-	-	-	-	7	0.4
GREENSBORO	118	1.8	-	-	46	0.7	-	-
HIGH POINT	68	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	96	2.0	-	-	-	-	2	(Z)
WILMINGTON	26	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	144	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	44	2.8	-	-	8	0.5	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	313	3.2	-	-	449	4.6	58	0.6
CANTON	169	3.9	-	-	343	8.0	-	-
CINCINNATI	1 055	3.4	-	-	2 390	7.7	534	1.7
CLEVELAND	830	2.4	191	0.6	3 377	9.8	412	1.2
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	78	4.6	-	-	21	1.2	70	4.1
COLUMBUS	1 073	4.1	117	0.5	1 344	5.2	-	-
DAYTON	410	3.4	140	1.1	1 385	11.3	-	-
ELYRIA	95	6.9	-	-	56	4.1	-	-
EUCLID	106	5.1	-	-	5	0.2	-	-
HAMILTON	95	4.3	9	0.4	65	3.0	-	-
KETTERING	114	6.9	-	-	92	5.5	-	-
LAKWOOD	68	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	74	4.2	25	1.4	131	7.4	-	-
LORAIN	108	6.6	4	0.2	73	4.4	-	-
MANSFIELD	94	3.8	8	0.3	32	1.3	-	-
PARMA	150	7.2	-	-	16	0.8	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	117	4.4	36	1.4	45	1.7	115	4.4
TOLEDO	317	2.0	50	0.3	1 268	7.9	-	-
WARREN	97	4.8	-	-	71	3.5	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	300	5.6	23	0.4	368	6.9	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	73	3.9	23	1.2	66	3.5	-	-
MIDWEST	18	2.0	-	-	35	3.8	-	-
NORMAN	76	4.6	-	-	4	0.2	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	416	3.6	-	-	423	3.6	1 774	15.2
TULSA	348	3.7	-	-	476	5.0	225	2.4
OREGON:								
EUGENE	215	5.8	37	1.0	97	2.6	-	-
PORTLAND	344	1.8	-	-	-	-	1 611	8.5
SALEM	158	4.4	12	0.3	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	64	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	24	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	48	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	74	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	115	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	51	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	22	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	7 570	3.2	3 033	1.3	34 226	14.7	6 020	2.6
PITTSBURGH	556	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	86	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	88	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	29	2.1	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
YORK	30	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	41	2.0	-	-	-	-	38	1.9
PAWTUCKET	57	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	205	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	49	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	26	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	84	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	69	2.9	-	-	47	2.0	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City*	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA:							
SIOUX FALLS	14 183	1 573	11.8	1 545	92.3	72	4.3
TENNESSEE:							
CHATTANOOGA	107 294	6 507	6.1	6 091	93.6	184	2.9
KNOXVILLE	82 582	5 925	7.2	5 392	91.0	82	1.4
MEMPHIS	318 323	27 347	8.6	23 106	84.5	1 624	5.9
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	258 773	22 491	8.7	15 746	70.0	3 540	15.7
TEXAS:							
ABILENE	9 323	1 582	17.0	1 385	87.5	61	3.9
AMARILLO	20 278	2 888	14.2	2 518	87.2	147	5.1
ARLINGTON	20 761	3 300	15.9	2 799	84.8	223	6.8
AUSTIN	93 740	9 064	9.7	8 242	90.9	461	5.1
BEAUMONT	27 075	2 978	11.0	2 688	90.3	86	2.9
BROWNSVILLE	14 532	1 098	7.6	1 009	91.9	30	2.7
CORPUS CHRISTI	33 111	5 022	15.2	4 249	84.6	245	4.9
DALLAS	245 344	36 273	14.8	32 531	89.7	1 364	3.8
EL PASO	50 787	7 488	14.7	7 032	93.9	271	3.6
FORT WORTH	76 126	14 871	19.5	13 674	92.0	453	3.0
GALVESTON	23 203	1 508	6.5	1 272	84.4	97	6.4
GARLAND	21 484	1 913	8.9	1 670	87.3	49	2.6
GRAND PRAIRIE	11 849	1 142	9.6	996	87.2	49	4.3
HOUSTON	296 157	60 614	20.5	53 527	88.3	2 697	4.4
IRVING	15 049	2 157	14.3	1 883	87.3	112	5.2
LAREDO	11 106	805	7.2	698	86.7	49	6.1
LUBBOCK	36 446	3 404	9.3	3 028	89.0	176	5.2
MESQUITE	10 722	1 608	15.0	1 539	95.7	29	1.8
MIDLAND	7 412	1 735	23.4	1 611	92.9	68	3.9
ODESSA	7 238	1 810	25.0	1 575	87.0	112	6.2
PASADENA	10 826	2 178	20.1	1 887	86.6	95	4.4
PORT ARTHUR	7 986	1 211	15.2	1 069	88.3	34	2.8
RICHARDSON	9 410	1 089	11.6	1 018	93.5	55	5.1
SAN ANGELO	8 787	1 576	17.9	1 423	90.3	61	3.9
SAN ANTONIO	126 184	21 997	17.4	20 301	92.3	786	3.6
TYLER	9 006	1 346	14.9	1 237	91.9	51	3.8
WACO	25 568	3 100	12.1	2 901	93.6	50	1.6
WICHITA FALLS	11 822	1 830	15.5	1 578	86.2	90	4.9
UTAH:							
OGDEN	9 680	2 105	21.7	1 783	84.7	242	1.5
PROVO	8 432	999	11.8	858	85.9	95	9.5
SALT LAKE CITY	39 075	8 957	22.9	7 970	89.0	709	7.9
VIRGINIA:							
ALEXANDRIA	78 842	6 879	8.7	4 488	65.2	742	10.8
CHESAPEAKE	57 630	4 564	7.9	2 838	62.2	510	11.2
HAMPTON	82 969	4 730	5.7	3 317	70.1	705	14.9
LYNCHBURG	34 448	2 349	6.8	1 644	70.0	166	7.1
NEWPORT NEWS	86 846	8 378	9.6	4 353	52.0	994	11.9
NORFOLK	190 210	11 540	6.1	8 428	73.0	1 404	12.2
PORTSMOUTH	73 549	4 626	6.3	3 151	68.1	895	19.3
RICHMOND	213 445	15 309	7.2	10 814	70.6	2 113	13.8
ROANOKE	80 303	5 710	7.1	2 518	44.1	910	15.9
VIRGINIA BEACH	113 023	7 034	6.2	5 059	71.9	710	10.1
WASHINGTON:							
DELLEVUE	16 025	1 926	12.0	1 604	83.3	56	2.9
EVERETT	13 724	1 785	13.0	1 683	94.3	-	-
SEATTLE	196 241	27 707	14.1	22 786	82.2	1 655	6.0
SPOKANE	38 167	5 682	14.9	5 013	88.2	223	3.9
TACOMA	54 001	6 542	12.1	5 344	81.7	363	5.5
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CHARLESTON	26 606	2 679	10.1	2 316	86.5	43	1.6
HUNTINGTON	14 422	2 220	15.4	2 101	94.6	27	1.2
WISCONSIN:							
APPLETON	31 025	1 657	5.3	1 622	97.9	-	-
GREEN BAY	52 281	2 226	4.3	2 132	95.8	29	1.3
KENOSHA	17 112	2 410	14.1	2 304	95.6	41	1.7
LA CROSSE	20 893	1 219	5.8	1 156	94.8	11	1.0
MADISON	94 603	6 142	6.5	5 857	95.4	-	-
MILWAUKEE	199 592	38 771	19.4	37 044	95.5	370	1.0
OSHKOSH	28 863	1 274	4.4	1 224	96.1	-	-
RACINE	19 923	3 368	16.9	3 229	95.9	23	0.7
WAUWATOSA	32 468	1 862	5.7	1 803	96.8	14	0.8
WEST ALLIS	39 052	2 329	6.0	2 226	95.6	17	0.7

* Represents zero or rounds to zero.

^ Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

**Table 14. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 394 large city governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA: SIOUX FALLS	47	2.8	-	-	9	0.5	-	-
TENNESSEE: CHATTANOOGA	232	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	229	3.9	-	-	222	3.7	-	-
MEMPHIS	367	1.3	-	-	1 589	5.8	661	2.4
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	521	2.3	232	1.0	2 377	10.6	75	0.3
TEXAS: ABILENE	89	5.6	-	-	40	2.5	7	0.4
AMARILLO	101	3.5	-	-	122	4.2	-	-
ARLINGTON	193	5.8	-	-	86	2.6	-	-
AUSTIN	361	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	99	3.3	-	-	105	3.5	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	30	2.7	-	-	29	2.6	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	253	5.0	-	-	275	5.5	-	-
DALLAS	1 000	2.8	-	-	1 057	2.9	321	0.9
EL PASO	121	1.6	-	-	64	0.9	-	-
FORT WORTH	318	2.1	-	-	412	2.8	14	0.1
GALVESTON	54	3.6	-	-	85	5.6	-	-
GARLAND	143	7.5	-	-	51	2.7	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	49	4.3	-	-	48	8.2	-	-
HOUSTON	858	1.4	-	-	2 940	4.9	592	1.0
IRVING	96	4.5	-	-	66	3.1	-	-
LAREDO	50	6.2	-	-	8	1.0	-	-
LUBBOCK	146	4.3	-	-	54	1.6	-	-
MESQUITE	40	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	56	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	50	2.8	-	-	47	2.6	26	1.4
PASADENA	105	4.8	-	-	91	4.2	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	55	4.5	-	-	53	4.4	-	-
RICHARDSON	16	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	37	2.3	-	-	32	2.0	23	1.5
SAN ANTONIO	960	2.5	-	-	350	1.6	-	-
TYLER	50	3.7	-	-	8	0.6	-	-
WACO	83	2.7	-	-	66	2.1	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	66	3.6	-	-	96	5.2	-	-
UTAH: OGDEN	68	3.2	12	0.6	-	-	-	-
PROVO	43	4.3	-	-	3	0.3	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	238	2.7	-	-	40	0.4	-	-
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA	295	4.3	29	0.4	972	14.1	383	5.1
CHESAPEAKE	167	3.7	-	-	1 049	23.0	-	-
HAMPTON	245	5.2	9	0.2	463	9.4	11	0.2
LYNCHBURG	123	5.2	17	0.7	399	17.0	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	261	3.1	30	0.4	2 727	32.5	15	0.2
NORFOLK	446	3.9	-	-	1 239	10.7	23	0.2
PORTSMOUTH	208	4.5	-	-	352	7.6	20	0.4
RICHMOND	821	5.4	-	-	1 561	10.2	-	-
ROANOKE	496	8.7	8	0.1	1 778	31.1	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	337	4.8	-	-	845	17	83	1.2
WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE	122	6.3	10	0.5	134	7.0	-	-
EVERETT	83	4.6	-	-	19	1.1	-	-
SEATTLE	825	3.0	238	0.9	1 553	5.6	650	2.3
SPOKANE	218	3.8	-	-	228	4.0	-	-
TACOMA	297	4.5	81	1.2	344	5.3	113	1.7
WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON	172	6.4	-	-	80	3.0	68	2.5
HUNTINGTON	55	2.5	-	-	37	1.7	-	-
WISCONSIN: APPLETON	35	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	62	2.8	-	-	3	0.1	-	-
KENOSHA	64	2.7	-	-	1	(Z)	-	-
LA CROSSE	42	3.4	-	-	9	0.7	-	-
MADISON	277	4.5	-	-	8	0.1	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 343	3.5	-	-	14	(Z)	-	-
OSHKOSH	49	3.8	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
RACINE	113	3.4	-	-	3	0.1	-	-
WAUWATOSA	45	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	85	3.6	-	-	1	(Z)	-	-



CONTINUED

1 OF 5

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	32 729 770	4 544 679	13.9	3 610 311	79.4	336 659	7.4
ALABAMA:							
BIRMINGHAM	97 405	12 837	13.2	11 175	87.1	449	3.5
GADSDEN	10 028	1 194	11.9	1 100	92.1	27	2.3
HUNTSVILLE	47 093	3 183	6.8	2 870	90.2	80	2.5
MOBILE	41 262	6 307	15.3	5 741	91.0	192	3.0
MONTGOMERY	30 101	4 235	14.1	3 814	90.1	136	3.2
TUSCALOOSA	21 169	2 139	10.1	1 912	89.4	117	5.5
ARIZONA:							
GLENDALE	12 369	1 522	12.3	1 405	92.3	47	3.1
MESA	18 677	4 521	24.2	4 370	96.7	78	1.7
PHOENIX	198 384	33 852	17.1	30 989	91.5	1 239	3.7
SCOTTSDALE	19 897	2 836	14.3	2 596	91.5	88	3.1
TEMPE	20 861	2 427	11.6	2 157	88.9	111	4.6
TUCSON	100 915	15 294	15.2	12 298	92.5	374	2.8
ARKANSAS:							
FORT SMITH	12 922	1 162	9.0	918	79.0	100	8.6
LITTLE ROCK	25 668	3 611	14.1	3 250	90.0	94	2.6
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	11 589	1 408	12.1	1 093	77.6	89	6.3
PINE BLUFF	7 884	1 301	16.5	1 153	88.6	64	4.9
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	11 037	2 221	20.1	2 141	96.4	-	-
ALHAMBRA	13 320	1 938	14.5	1 888	97.4	-	-
ANAHEIM	43 916	7 681	17.5	7 306	95.1	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	18 823	5 446	28.9	5 181	95.1	-	-
BELLFLOWER	3 210	110	3.4	80	72.7	-	-
BERKELEY	33 219	4 622	13.9	4 332	93.7	-	-
BUENA PARK	9 312	2 030	21.8	1 986	97.8	-	-
BURBANK	31 007	5 392	17.4	4 987	92.5	-	-
CARSON	8 562	78	0.9	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	10 296	1 992	19.3	1 911	95.9	-	-
COMPTON	17 302	2 925	16.9	2 862	97.8	-	-
CONCORD	16 783	3 068	18.3	3 004	97.9	-	-
COSTA MESA	14 886	4 083	27.4	3 927	96.2	-	-
DALY CITY	9 216	2 008	21.8	1 970	98.1	-	-
DOWNEY	13 560	2 822	20.8	2 791	98.9	-	-
EL CAJON	9 562	1 902	19.9	1 853	97.4	-	-
EL MONTE	9 643	2 237	23.2	2 186	97.7	-	-
FREMONT	19 492	3 098	15.9	2 991	96.5	-	-
FRESNO	64 179	8 950	13.9	8 522	95.2	-	-
FULLERTON	16 767	3 673	21.9	3 617	98.5	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	16 141	3 162	19.6	3 108	98.3	-	-
GLENDALE	12 043	4 126	9.8	3 950	95.7	-	-
HAWTHORNE	15 811	1 676	10.6	1 538	91.8	-	-
HAYWARD	20 970	3 198	15.3	3 032	94.8	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	25 498	5 392	21.1	5 148	95.5	-	-
INGLEWOOD	34 587	4 974	14.4	4 567	91.8	-	-
LAKWOOD	9 156	425	4.6	350	82.4	-	-
LONG BEACH	142 305	19 597	13.8	17 279	88.2	-	-
LOS ANGELES	827 143	194 963	23.6	187 632	96.2	-	-
MODESTO	15 955	2 655	16.6	2 576	97.0	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	13 569	1 800	13.3	1 731	96.2	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	15 179	3 793	25.0	3 637	95.9	-	-
NORWALK	3 726	35	0.9	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	124 853	19 429	15.6	17 969	92.5	-	-
ONTARIO	11 050	2 033	18.4	2 007	98.7	-	-
ORANGE	15 083	3 058	20.3	2 960	96.8	-	-
OXNARD	16 777	2 501	14.9	2 414	96.5	-	-
PALO ALTO	24 922	2 248	9.0	2 090	93.0	-	-
PASADENA	41 529	6 115	14.7	5 704	93.3	-	-
PICO RIVERA	2 672	23	0.9	1	4.3	-	-
POMONA	17 129	3 415	19.9	2 949	86.4	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	13 980	2 216	15.9	2 093	94.4	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	14 029	1 755	12.5	1 667	95.0	-	-
RICHMOND	38 329	4 437	11.6	4 323	97.4	-	-
RIVERSIDE	32 932	5 417	16.4	5 202	96.0	-	-
SACRAMENTO	72 697	12 357	17.0	12 138	98.2	-	-
SALINAS	16 218	2 249	13.9	1 981	88.1	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	43 344	4 858	11.2	4 724	97.2	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	14 247	2 178	15.3	2 076	95.3	-	-
SAN DIEGO	166 598	24 799	14.9	22 710	91.6	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	550 010	75 513	13.7	49 290	65.3	10 995	14.6
SAN JOSE	119 640	18 855	15.8	18 147	96.2	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	15 330	1 858	12.1	1 762	94.8	-	-
SAN MATEO	17 723	2 478	14.0	2 411	97.3	-	-
SANTA ANA	33 377	6 595	19.8	6 246	94.7	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	22 728	3 308	14.6	3 104	93.8	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	149 124	3.3	24 571	0.5	371 024	8.2	52 990	1.2
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	322	2.5	43	0.3	848	6.6	-	-
GADSDEN	20	1.7	-	-	47	3.9	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	77	2.4	20	0.6	136	4.3	-	-
MOBILE	94	1.5	-	-	205	3.3	75	1.2
MONTGOMERY	66	1.6	7	0.2	212	5.0	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	43	2.0	-	-	67	3.1	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLendale	35	2.3	-	-	35	2.3	-	-
MESA	65	1.4	8	0.2	-	-	25	0.1
PHOENIX	1 302	3.8	230	0.7	67	0.2	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	141	5.0	-	-	11	0.4	-	-
TEMPE	113	4.7	-	-	-	-	46	1.9
TUCSON	602	4.5	20	0.2	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	43	3.7	-	-	101	8.7	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	88	2.4	-	-	179	5.0	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	76	5.4	-	-	150	10.7	-	-
PINE BLUFF	28	2.2	-	-	56	4.3	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	80	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	50	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	275	3.6	-	-	100	1.3	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	265	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	30	27.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	211	4.6	-	-	-	-	79	1.7
BUENA PARK	44	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	287	5.3	-	-	118	2.2	-	-
CARSON	78	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	81	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	63	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	64	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	90	2.2	-	-	66	1.6	-	-
DALY CITY	38	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	31	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	49	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	51	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	93	3.0	-	-	-	-	14	0.5
FRESNO	269	3.0	-	-	-	-	159	1.8
FULLERTON	56	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	54	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	176	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	138	8.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	98	3.1	-	-	-	-	68	2.1
HUNTINGTON BEACH	244	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	261	5.2	-	-	146	2.9	-	-
LAKEWOOD	75	17.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 317	6.7	-	-	969	4.9	32	0.2
LOS ANGELES	7 331	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	79	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	69	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	118	3.1	-	-	38	1.0	-	-
NORWALK	35	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	342	1.8	-	-	1 118	5.8	-	-
ONTARIO	26	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	98	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	87	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	158	7.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	298	4.9	-	-	113	1.8	-	-
PICO RIVERA	22	95.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	62	1.8	-	-	404	11.8	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	57	2.6	-	-	66	3.0	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	88	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	114	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	204	3.8	11	0.2	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	219	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	67	3.0	-	-	-	-	201	8.9
SAN BERNARDINO	134	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	102	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	1 494	6.0	76	0.3	415	1.7	104	0.4
SAN FRANCISCO	3 599	4.8	959	1.3	10 264	13.6	406	0.6
SAN JOSE	708	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	96	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	67	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	314	4.8	-	-	-	-	35	0.5
SANTA BARBARA	204	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED							
SANTA CLARA	41 525	3 368	8.1	3 283	97.5	-	-
SANTA MONICA	21 884	4 243	19.4	3 982	95.8	-	-
SANTA ROSA	21 390	1 604	7.5	1 532	95.5	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	6 043	1 392	23.0	1 306	93.8	-	-
SOUTH GATE	8 496	2 243	26.4	2 059	91.8	-	-
STOCKTON	48 771	5 619	11.5	5 430	96.6	-	-
SUNNYVALE	20 848	2 378	11.4	2 264	95.2	-	-
TORRANCE	26 743	5 862	21.9	5 494	93.7	-	-
VALLEJO	13 836	2 392	17.3	2 310	96.6	-	-
WEST COVINA	15 307	2 122	13.9	2 098	98.9	-	-
WESTMINSTER	9 225	1 941	21.0	1 864	96.0	-	-
WHITTIER	9 875	2 602	26.3	2 549	98.0	-	-
COLORADO:							
ARVADA	9 252	1 619	17.5	1 512	93.4	61	3.8
AURORA	29 173	3 158	10.8	2 875	91.0	130	4.1
BOULDER	16 142	2 541	15.7	1 754	69.0	151	5.9
COLORADO SPRINGS	45 438	5 399	11.9	4 959	91.9	236	4.4
DENVER	295 847	40 924	13.8	29 120	71.2	3 401	8.3
FORT COLLINS	11 777	1 348	11.4	1 262	93.6	44	3.3
LAKWOOD	16 140	7 911	49.0	6 910	87.3	355	4.5
PUEBLO	20 992	3 570	17.0	3 142	88.0	82	2.3
CONNECTICUT:							
BRIDGEPORT	84 616	8 567	10.1	8 013	93.5	63	0.7
BRISTOL	27 137	1 537	5.7	1 483	96.5	6	0.4
DANBURY	29 046	1 747	6.0	1 700	97.3	3	0.2
HARTFORD	146 813	8 898	6.1	8 373	94.1	-	-
MERIDEN	26 505	1 472	5.6	1 399	95.0	1	0.1
MILFORD	31 025	1 790	5.8	1 736	97.0	1	0.1
NEW BRITAIN	39 925	2 928	7.3	2 795	95.5	7	0.2
NEW HAVEN	74 593	6 610	8.9	6 486	98.1	12	0.2
NORWALK	44 345	2 858	6.4	2 648	92.7	5	0.2
STAMFORD	88 982	4 988	5.6	4 705	94.3	37	0.7
WATERBURY	70 953	4 895	6.9	4 717	96.4	15	0.3
WEST HAVEN	22 835	1 755	7.7	1 577	89.9	1	0.1
DELAWARE:							
WILMINGTON	65 321	5 537	8.5	4 843	87.5	336	7.0
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:							
WASHINGTON	1 374 713	200 367	14.6	97 056	48.4	19 112	9.5
FLORIDA:							
CLEARWATER	18 008	2 943	16.3	2 724	92.6	76	2.6
FORT LAUDERDALE	51 861	7 917	15.3	6 905	87.2	406	5.1
GAINESVILLE	18 410	3 677	20.0	3 569	97.1	-	-
HIALEAH	16 970	3 845	22.7	3 670	95.4	11	0.3
HOLLYWOOD	28 566	5 322	18.6	4 223	79.3	138	2.6
JACKSONVILLE	196 188	26 566	13.5	16 784	63.2	4 406	16.6
MIAMI	81 664	17 048	20.9	16 615	97.5	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	48 554	6 833	14.1	6 333	92.7	222	3.2
ORLANDO	43 061	7 874	18.3	6 777	86.1	207	2.6
PENSACOLA	17 858	2 360	13.2	2 192	92.9	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	52 444	9 386	17.9	8 535	90.9	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	45 113	2 472	5.5	2 390	96.7	-	-
TAMPA	86 352	10 431	12.1	10 140	97.2	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	13 501	2 819	20.9	2 530	89.7	87	3.1
GEORGIA:							
ALBANY	14 251	2 355	16.5	2 288	97.2	13	0.6
ATLANTA	185 277	28 435	15.3	24 515	86.2	958	3.4
AUGUSTA	16 209	2 138	13.2	1 927	90.1	52	2.4
COLUMBUS	48 229	6 881	14.3	4 479	65.1	1 135	16.5
MACON	20 290	3 871	19.1	2 993	77.3	139	3.6
SAVANNAH	23 319	4 073	17.5	3 676	90.3	130	3.2
HAWAII:							
HONOLULU	215 578	29 397	13.6	26 908	91.5	-	-
IDAHO:							
BOISE CITY	18 558	2 009	10.8	1 851	92.1	13	0.6
ILLINOIS:							
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	29 098	1 708	5.9	1 644	96.3	64	3.7
AURORA	14 605	2 652	18.2	2 574	97.1	1	(2)
BERWYN	4 618	27	0.6	27	100.0	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	13 363	1 538	11.5	1 481	96.3	-	-
CHICAGO	973 838	247 963	25.5	243 332	98.1	271	0.1
CICERO	6 912	1 542	22.3	1 470	95.3	-	-
DECATUR	12 982	1 811	14.0	1 755	96.9	-	-
DES PLAINES	9 819	1 801	18.3	1 694	94.1	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	10 741	3 066	28.5	2 920	95.2	-	-
ELGIN	9 659	1 679	17.4	1 625	96.8	11	0.7

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

89

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA	85	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	261	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	72	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	86	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	50	2.2	-	-	134	6.0	-	-
STOCKTON	189	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	114	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	254	4.3	-	-	114	1.9	-	-
VALLEJO	82	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	24	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	26	1.3	-	-	-	-	51	2.6
WHITTIER	53	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	46	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	153	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	117	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	187	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	2 326	5.7	146	0.4	5 309	15.0	622	1.5
FORT COLLINS	42	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	627	7.9	-	-	19	0.2	-	-
PUEBLO	103	2.9	-	-	243	6.8	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	189	2.2	-	-	199	2.3	103	1.2
BRISTOL	38	2.5	-	-	-	-	10	0.7
DANBURY	42	2.4	-	-	-	-	2	0.1
HARTFORD	525	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	72	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	53	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	126	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	112	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	108	3.8	-	-	-	-	97	3.4
STAMFORD	234	4.7	12	0.2	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	130	2.7	-	-	-	-	33	0.7
WEST HAVEN	94	5.4	-	-	-	-	83	4.7
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	308	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	6 736	3.4	1 935	1.0	73 114	36.5	2 414	1.2
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	77	2.6	10	0.3	56	1.9	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	168	2.1	13	0.2	425	5.4	-	-
GAINESVILLE	89	2.4	-	-	19	0.5	-	-
HIALEAH	26	0.7	-	-	138	3.6	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	124	2.3	2	(Z)	835	15.7	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	701	2.6	19	0.1	4 458	16.8	198	0.7
MIAMI	433	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	194	2.8	13	0.2	71	1.0	-	-
ORLANDO	131	1.7	-	-	591	7.5	168	2.1
PENSACOLA	71	3.0	-	-	97	4.1	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	220	2.3	-	-	408	4.3	223	2.4
TALLAHASSEE	82	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	291	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	79	2.8	-	-	123	4.4	-	-
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	54	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	807	2.8	45	0.2	1 974	6.9	136	0.5
AUGUSTA	48	2.2	-	-	111	5.2	-	-
COLUMBUS	291	4.2	27	0.4	878	12.8	71	1.0
MACON	164	4.2	-	-	575	14.9	-	-
SAVANNAH	44	1.1	-	-	223	5.5	-	-
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	1 770	6.0	-	-	719	2.4	-	-
IDaho:								
BOISE CITY	110	5.5	-	-	35	1.7	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	77	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	57	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	3 479	1.4	-	-	677	0.3	204	0.1
CICERO	72	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	56	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	107	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	95	3.1	-	-	51	1.7	-	-
ELGIN	43	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ^a	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED							
EVANSTON	18 276	2 918	16.0	2 817	96.5	-	-
JOLIET	9 673	2 908	30.1	2 806	96.5	-	-
OAK LAWN	11 406	1 778	15.6	1 732	97.4	-	-
OAK PARK	15 694	2 416	15.4	2 359	97.6	-	-
PEORIA	20 081	5 226	26.0	4 941	94.5	-	-
ROCKFORD	30 152	5 384	17.9	5 184	96.3	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	12 939	1 285	9.9	1 252	97.4	16	1.2
SKOKIE	10 786	2 511	23.3	2 407	95.9	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	21 654	3 287	15.2	3 030	92.2	-	-
WAUKESHA	12 130	2 592	21.4	2 544	98.1	-	-
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	10 610	1 903	17.9	1 800	94.6	38	2.0
EVANSVILLE	30 000	3 687	12.3	3 335	90.5	126	3.4
FORT WAYNE	35 371	4 959	14.0	4 565	92.1	-	-
GARY	39 468	6 856	17.4	5 589	81.5	324	4.7
HAMMOND	20 115	3 589	17.8	3 250	90.6	218	6.1
INDIANAPOLIS	231 623	30 379	13.1	21 508	70.8	3 708	12.2
MUNCIE	11 249	1 994	17.7	1 827	91.6	106	5.3
SOUTH BEND	25 512	3 906	15.3	3 846	98.5	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	12 810	1 451	11.3	1 334	91.9	57	3.9
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	25 847	2 440	9.4	2 363	96.8	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	17 788	1 599	9.0	1 470	91.9	-	-
DAVENPORT	21 672	2 008	9.3	1 867	93.0	5	0.2
DES MOINES	64 853	6 123	9.4	5 865	95.8	-	-
DUBUQUE	10 759	1 227	11.4	1 159	94.5	-	-
SIOUX CITY	23 159	2 285	9.9	1 782	78.0	-	-
WATERLOO	27 997	1 908	6.8	1 849	96.9	-	-
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	37 069	5 710	15.4	5 023	88.0	156	2.7
OVERLAND PARK	7 515	1 618	21.5	1 441	89.1	78	4.8
TOPEKA	28 897	4 132	14.3	3 726	90.2	64	1.5
WICHITA	98 391	8 117	8.2	6 662	82.1	251	3.1
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	9 152	2 039	22.3	1 794	88.0	57	2.8
LEXINGTON	48 613	9 329	19.2	6 553	70.2	923	9.9
LOUISVILLE	135 107	17 958	13.3	17 000	94.7	517	2.9
OWENSBORO	25 221	1 456	5.8	1 353	92.9	42	2.9
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	76 451	15 368	20.1	9 063	59.0	3 609	23.5
LAFAYETTE	8 354	1 538	18.4	1 350	87.8	125	8.1
LAKE CHARLES	14 639	1 511	10.3	1 024	67.8	45	3.0
MONROE	14 143	1 629	11.5	1 392	85.5	95	5.8
NEW ORLEANS	195 786	43 351	22.1	27 083	62.5	6 129	14.1
SHREVEPORT	36 301	6 752	18.6	6 161	91.2	336	5.0
MAINE:							
PORTLAND	35 266	2 959	8.4	2 871	97.0	-	-
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	917 110	81 621	8.9	63 041	77.2	5 420	6.6
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	627 176	89 799	14.7	62 860	70.0	13 439	15.0
BROCKTON	51 074	4 060	7.9	3 981	98.1	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	60 220	5 731	9.5	5 319	92.8	-	-
CHICOPEE	30 569	1 832	6.0	1 776	96.9	-	-
FALL RIVER	58 038	4 760	8.2	4 355	91.5	-	-
HOLYOKE	34 549	1 810	5.2	1 734	95.8	-	-
LAWRENCE	32 020	2 375	7.4	2 130	89.7	-	-
LOWELL	40 233	3 304	8.2	3 126	94.6	-	-
LYNN	50 083	4 323	8.6	4 128	95.5	-	-
MALDEN	32 622	2 191	6.7	2 136	97.5	-	-
MEDFORD	33 603	2 502	7.4	2 451	98.0	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	52 498	4 413	8.4	4 177	94.6	-	-
NEWTON	57 848	4 605	8.0	4 460	96.9	-	-
PITTSFIELD	36 942	1 723	4.7	1 688	98.0	-	-
QUINCY	65 114	5 038	7.7	4 949	98.2	-	-
SOMERVILLE	30 763	2 611	8.5	2 327	89.1	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	117 426	6 704	5.7	6 358	94.8	-	-
WALTHAM	30 236	2 729	9.0	2 613	95.7	-	-
WORCESTER	123 329	8 207	6.7	7 678	93.6	1	(z)
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	29 593	4 450	15.0	3 585	80.6	517	11.6
DEARBORN	31 942	4 506	14.1	4 052	89.9	182	4.0
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	11 282	3 066	27.2	2 597	84.7	394	12.9
DETROIT	609 851	124 646	20.4	107 198	86.0	7 846	6.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON	101	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	102	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	46	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	57	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	285	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	145	2.7	6	0.1	-	-	49	0.9
ROCK ISLAND	17	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	104	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	165	5.0	-	-	92	2.8	-	-
WAUKEGAN	48	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	56	2.9	5	0.3	4	0.2	-	-
EVANSVILLE	212	5.7	-	-	14	0.4	-	-
FORT WAYNE	103	2.1	-	-	-	-	291	5.9
GARY	170	2.5	-	-	63	0.9	710	10.4
HAMMOND	82	2.3	7	0.2	32	0.9	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	1 176	3.9	184	0.6	3 702	12.2	101	0.3
MUNCIE	34	1.7	-	-	7	0.4	20	1.0
SOUTH BEND	60	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	60	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	77	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	84	5.3	-	-	45	2.8	-	-
DAVENPORT	97	4.8	-	-	-	-	39	1.9
DES MOINES	236	3.9	-	-	22	0.4	-	-
DUBUQUE	46	3.7	-	-	22	1.8	-	-
SIOUX CITY	106	4.6	-	-	74	3.2	323	14.1
WATERLOO	59	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	220	3.9	-	-	241	4.2	70	1.2
OVERLAND PARK	96	5.9	3	0.2	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	142	3.4	5	0.1	44	1.1	151	3.7
WICHITA	231	2.8	-	-	360	4.4	613	7.6
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	143	7.0	-	-	45	2.2	-	-
LEXINGTON	412	4.4	-	-	1 441	15.4	-	-
LOUISVILLE	424	2.4	-	-	-	-	17	0.1
OWENSBORO	54	3.7	-	-	-	-	7	0.5
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	897	5.8	165	1.1	1 444	9.4	190	1.2
LAFAYETTE	59	3.8	4	0.3	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	52	3.4	-	-	390	25.8	-	-
MONROE	64	3.9	-	-	78	4.8	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	1 033	2.4	294	0.7	8 579	19.8	233	0.5
SHREVEPORT	74	1.1	-	-	181	2.7	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	87	2.9	-	-	-	-	1	(Z)
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	4 645	5.7	-	-	7 701	9.4	814	1.0
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	3 146	3.5	-	-	8 647	9.6	1 707	1.9
BROCKTON	79	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	183	3.2	-	-	-	-	229	4.0
CHICOPEE	56	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	80	1.7	-	-	261	5.5	64	1.3
HOLYOKE	76	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	67	2.8	-	-	20	0.8	158	6.7
LOWELL	178	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	105	2.4	-	-	-	-	90	2.1
MALDEN	55	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	51	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	74	1.7	-	-	-	-	164	3.7
NEWTON	145	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	35	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	89	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	78	3.0	-	-	-	-	206	7.9
SPRINGFIELD	221	3.3	-	-	-	-	125	1.9
WALTHAM	116	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	279	3.4	-	-	-	-	249	3.0
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	128	2.9	137	3.1	83	1.9	-	-
DEARBORN	182	4.0	1	(Z)	89	2.0	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	64	2.1	4	0.1	7	0.2	-	-
DETROIT	2 503	2.0	1 344	1.1	5 665	4.5	90	0.1

See *fr.* notes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
FARMINGTON HILLS	6 458	1 542	23.9	1 393	90.3	79	5.1
FLINT	112 139	9 497	8.5	8 369	88.1	515	5.4
GRAND RAPIDS	49 487	8 433	17.0	6 790	80.5	748	8.9
KALAMAZOO	21 324	3 573	16.8	3 012	84.3	323	9.0
LANSING	52 263	6 723	12.9	5 045	75.0	528	7.9
LINCOLN PARK	9 139	1 673	18.3	1 512	90.4	98	5.9
LIVONIA	15 443	3 609	23.4	3 213	89.0	214	5.9
PONTIAC	56 608	5 671	10.0	4 918	86.7	456	8.0
ROSEVILLE	10 666	2 362	22.1	2 087	88.4	187	7.9
ROYAL OAK	12 419	2 535	20.4	2 201	86.8	203	8.0
SAGINAW	27 728	4 405	15.9	4 210	95.6	—	—
ST. CLAIR SHORES	13 188	2 367	17.9	2 223	93.9	102	4.3
SOUTHFIELD	18 702	4 373	23.4	3 541	81.0	521	11.9
STERLING HEIGHTS	13 631	3 349	24.6	2 955	88.2	197	5.9
TAYLOR	11 987	2 765	23.1	2 539	91.8	150	4.7
WARREN	35 622	6 650	18.7	5 301	79.7	1 133	17.0
WESTLAND	12 875	4 082	31.7	3 637	89.1	297	7.3
WYOMING	9 222	2 236	24.2	1 897	84.8	219	9.8
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	17 243	2 431	14.1	2 324	95.6	7	0.3
DULUTH	40 553	2 551	6.3	2 336	91.6	1	(2)
MINNEAPOLIS	139 233	18 032	13.0	15 019	83.3	—	—
ROCHESTER	13 148	1 692	12.9	1 624	96.0	—	—
ST. PAUL	81 958	12 887	15.7	10 344	80.3	753	5.8
MISSISSIPPI:							
BILOXI	5 954	1 047	17.6	955	91.2	19	1.8
JACKSON	51 128	5 521	10.8	4 686	84.9	218	3.9
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	9 695	1 271	13.1	1 173	92.3	38	3.0
FLORISSANT	4 187	1 326	31.7	1 284	96.8	24	1.8
INDEPENDENCE	19 727	2 628	13.3	2 429	92.4	88	3.3
KANSAS CITY	185 901	29 613	15.9	25 319	85.5	1 134	3.8
ST. JOSEPH	10 043	1 708	11.0	1 582	92.6	54	3.2
ST. LOUIS	211 052	57 383	27.2	42 016	73.2	5 262	9.2
SPRINGFIELD	24 598	2 861	11.6	2 667	93.2	127	4.4
MONTEZUMA:							
BILLINGS	19 674	1 957	9.9	1 819	92.9	59	3.0
GREAT FALLS	15 470	1 995	12.9	1 816	91.0	33	1.7
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	62 816	5 312	8.5	3 803	71.6	378	7.1
OMAHA	133 532	11 825	8.9	10 443	88.3	716	6.1
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	23 117	789	3.4	14	1.8	345	43.7
RENO	26 509	5 303	20.0	4 671	88.1	174	3.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	56 374	2 547	4.5	2 241	88.0	154	6.0
NASHUA	34 608	2 194	6.3	1 851	84.4	111	5.1
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	16 172	2 732	16.9	1 520	92.2	93	3.4
BLOOMFIELD	16 107	2 202	13.7	2 071	94.1	60	2.7
CAMDEN	27 552	8 176	29.7	7 658	93.7	238	2.9
CLIFTON	25 532	2 757	10.8	2 593	94.1	89	3.2
EAST ORANGE	54 100	5 967	11.0	5 215	87.4	404	6.8
ELIZABETH	50 440	5 641	11.0	5 274	95.2	134	2.4
IRVINGTON	13 712	2 953	21.5	2 611	88.4	105	3.6
JERSEY CITY	149 724	18 352	12.3	17 432	95.0	494	2.7
NEWARK	307 442	36 366	11.8	32 070	88.2	1 494	4.1
PASSAIC	9 935	2 844	28.6	2 618	92.1	96	3.4
PATERSON	72 000	7 288	10.1	6 905	94.7	205	2.8
TRENTON	33 000	8 233	24.9	7 879	95.7	172	2.1
UNION CITY	9 089	2 489	27.4	2 287	91.9	115	4.6
VINELAND	30 827	1 682	5.5	1 517	90.2	73	4.3
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	78 223	12 788	16.3	10 304	80.6	685	5.4
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	34 671	6 341	18.3	5 847	92.2	251	4.0
BINGHAMTON	36 144	2 356	6.5	2 118	89.9	150	6.4
BUFFALO	308 545	24 036	7.8	21 298	88.6	1 844	7.7
MOUNT VERNON	21 843	3 513	16.1	3 088	87.9	228	6.5
NEW ROCHELLE	23 300	3 643	15.6	3 223	88.5	252	6.9
NEW YORK CITY	11 571 555	1 054 895	9.1	707 008	67.0	140 333	13.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	69	4.5	1	0.1	-	-	-	-
FLINT	195	2.1	30	0.3	388	4.1	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	303	3.6	17	0.2	201	2.4	374	4.4
KALAMAZOO	197	5.5	-	-	41	1.1	-	-
LANSING	171	2.5	57	0.8	922	13.7	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	30	1.8	1	0.1	32	1.9	-	-
LIVONIA	117	3.2	9	0.2	56	1.6	-	-
PONTIAC	251	4.4	22	0.4	24	0.4	-	-
ROSEVILLE	88	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	67	2.6	8	0.3	56	2.2	-	-
SAGINAW	195	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	42	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	152	3.5	6	0.1	153	3.5	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	102	3.0	1	(Z)	-	-	94	2.8
TAYLOR	83	3.0	1	(Z)	12	0.4	-	-
WARREN	215	3.2	1	(Z)	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	61	1.5	20	0.5	67	1.6	-	-
WYOMING	95	4.2	3	0.1	22	1.0	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	100	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	192	7.5	22	0.9	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	897	5.0	-	-	1 682	9.3	434	2.4
ROCHESTER	68	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	593	4.6	68	0.5	22	0.2	1 107	8.6
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	26	2.5	-	-	47	4.5	-	-
JACKSON	206	3.7	-	-	411	7.4	-	-
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	60	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	18	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	111	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	864	2.9	237	0.8	1 485	5.0	574	1.9
ST. JOSEPH	72	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	2 068	3.6	-	-	7 992	13.9	45	0.1
SPRINGFIELD	67	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	56	2.9	6	0.3	17	0.9	-	-
GREAT FALLS	28	1.4	1	0.1	117	5.9	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	273	5.1	-	-	352	6.6	506	9.5
OMAHA	552	4.7	-	-	56	0.5	58	0.5
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	430	54.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	181	3.4	13	0.2	264	5.0	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	55	2.2	-	-	97	3.8	-	-
NASHUA	44	2.0	-	-	69	3.1	119	5.4
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	111	4.1	8	0.3	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	71	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	226	2.8	-	-	-	-	54	0.7
CLIFTON	75	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	234	3.9	-	-	-	-	114	1.9
ELIZABETH	133	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	193	6.5	-	-	-	-	44	1.5
JERSEY CITY	300	1.6	-	-	-	-	126	0.7
NEWARK	473	1.3	-	-	-	-	2 329	6.4
PASSAIC	85	3.0	-	-	-	-	45	1.6
PATERSON	147	2.0	-	-	-	-	31	0.4
TRENTON	124	1.5	9	0.1	-	-	49	0.6
UNION CITY	38	1.5	-	-	-	-	49	2.0
VINELAND	92	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	613	4.0	-	-	1 286	10.1	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	208	3.3	-	-	-	-	35	0.6
BINGHAMTON	88	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	839	3.5	55	0.2	-	-	40	1.1
MOUNT VERNON	157	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	168	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	34 099	3.2	13 846	1.3	140 482	13.3	19 127	1.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA FALLS	32 222	3 721	11.5	3 363	90.4	213	5.7
ROCHESTER	190 485	13 702	7.2	12 395	90.5	683	5.0
ROME	21 641	852	3.9	766	89.9	62	7.3
SCHENECTADY	19 104	2 406	12.6	2 195	91.2	105	4.4
SYRACUSE	101 449	8 319	8.2	7 687	92.4	397	4.8
TROY	18 688	2 104	11.3	1 874	89.1	118	5.6
UTICA	19 366	2 718	14.0	2 375	87.4	240	8.8
WHITE PLAINS	30 792	4 171	13.5	3 695	88.6	249	6.0
YONKERS	136 806	11 422	8.3	9 320	81.6	695	6.1
NORTH CAROLINA:							
ASHEVILLE	14 916	1 799	12.1	1 672	92.9	-	-
CHARLOTTE	90 065	10 621	11.8	10 369	97.6	-	-
DURHAM	27 233	4 157	15.3	4 046	97.3	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	12 517	1 863	14.9	1 767	94.8	-	-
GREENSBORO	45 144	6 674	14.8	6 556	98.2	-	-
HIGH POINT	18 498	2 120	11.5	2 052	96.8	-	-
RALEIGH	41 789	4 726	11.3	4 630	98.0	-	-
WILMINGTON	11 967	1 680	14.0	1 654	98.5	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	43 733	6 966	15.9	6 822	97.9	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:							
FARGO	14 083	1 587	11.3	1 484	93.5	59	3.7
OHIO:							
AKRON	69 562	9 659	13.9	8 106	83.9	849	8.8
CANTON	25 047	4 235	16.9	3 386	80.0	382	9.0
CINCINNATI	354 557	30 595	8.6	24 076	78.7	2 540	8.3
CLEVELAND	230 945	34 410	14.9	25 578	74.3	4 022	11.7
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	8 322	1 674	20.1	1 427	85.2	92	5.5
COLUMBUS	139 377	25 919	18.6	20 833	80.4	2 552	9.8
DAYTON	79 187	12 205	15.4	9 387	76.9	883	7.2
ELYRIA	13 190	1 339	10.2	966	72.1	230	17.2
EUCLID	12 930	2 058	15.9	1 817	88.3	135	6.6
HAMILTON	11 227	2 148	19.1	1 881	87.6	138	6.4
KETTERING	7 957	1 628	20.5	1 271	78.1	183	11.2
LAKewood	26 671	1 456	5.5	1 252	86.0	136	9.3
LIMA	16 987	1 757	10.3	1 251	71.2	278	15.8
LORAIN	11 606	1 620	14.0	1 250	77.2	195	12.0
MANSFIELD	11 176	2 463	22.0	2 010	81.6	321	13.0
PARMA	17 914	2 074	11.6	1 598	77.0	315	15.2
SPRINGFIELD	10 335	2 632	25.5	2 001	76.0	328	12.5
TOLEDO	107 461	16 060	14.9	13 413	83.5	1 012	6.3
WARREN	10 097	2 019	20.3	1 523	75.4	328	16.2
YOUNGSTOWN	31 293	5 331	17.0	4 107	77.0	533	10.0
OKLAHOMA:							
LAWTON	24 655	1 876	7.6	1 598	85.2	116	6.2
MIDWEST	9 748	920	9.4	832	90.4	35	3.8
NORMAN	15 062	1 634	10.8	1 462	89.5	96	5.9
OKLAHOMA CITY	96 095	11 691	12.2	8 684	74.3	394	3.4
TULSA	103 526	9 440	9.1	8 126	86.1	265	2.8
OREGON:							
EUGENE	23 162	3 615	15.6	3 182	88.0	181	5.0
PORTLAND	109 253	18 895	17.3	16 940	89.7	-	-
SALEM	39 963	3 553	8.9	3 322	93.5	61	1.7
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLENTOWN	20 284	3 051	15.0	2 987	97.9	-	-
ALTOONA	6 693	1 259	18.8	1 235	98.1	-	-
BETHLEHEM	15 265	2 078	13.6	2 030	97.7	-	-
CHESTER	10 904	2 548	23.4	2 474	97.1	-	-
ERIE	22 824	3 179	13.9	2 985	93.9	79	2.5
HARRISBURG	18 072	2 754	15.2	2 703	98.1	-	-
LANCASTER	12 843	1 935	15.1	1 913	98.9	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	922 337	232 500	25.2	145 303	62.5	37 357	16.1
PITTSBURGH	118 826	19 078	16.1	18 156	95.2	366	1.9
READING	18 898	2 525	13.4	2 439	96.6	-	-
SCRANTON	17 461	2 136	12.2	2 048	95.9	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	29 684	1 386	4.7	1 357	97.9	-	-
YORK	12 255	1 488	12.1	1 458	98.0	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:							
CRANSTON	36 115	2 046	5.7	1 958	95.7	9	0.4
PAWTUCKET	33 412	2 198	6.6	2 122	96.5	19	0.9
PROVIDENCE	80 176	6 339	7.9	5 962	94.1	172	2.7
WARWICK	37 502	2 521	6.7	2 467	97.9	5	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
CHARLESTON	14 111	2 240	15.9	2 175	97.1	39	1.7
COLUMBIA	24 132	4 297	17.8	3 471	80.8	508	11.8
GREENVILLE	11 408	2 366	20.7	2 188	92.5	62	2.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS	145	3.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
ROCHESTER	544	4.0	—	—	—	—	80	0.6
ROME	24	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
SCHENECTADY	106	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
SYRACUSE	235	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
TROY	112	5.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
UTICA	103	3.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
WHITE PLAINS	227	5.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
YONKERS	398	3.5	—	—	328	2.9	681	6.0
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	53	3.1	—	—	—	—	72	4.0
CHARLOTTE	241	1.9	—	—	—	—	51	0.5
DURHAM	111	2.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
FAYETTEVILLE	89	4.8	—	—	—	—	7	0.4
GREENSBORO	218	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
HIGH POINT	68	3.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
RALEIGH	96	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
WILMINGTON	26	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
WINSTON-SALEM	144	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	44	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
OHIO:								
AKRON	313	3.2	“	—	333	3.4	58	0.6
CANTON	169	4.0	—	—	298	7.0	—	—
CINCINNATI	1 055	3.4	—	—	2 390	7.8	534	1.7
CLEVELAND	830	2.4	191	0.6	3 377	9.8	412	1.2
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	78	4.7	—	—	7	0.4	70	4.2
COLUMBUS	1 073	4.1	117	0.5	1 344	5.2	—	—
DAYTON	410	3.4	140	1.1	1 385	11.3	—	—
ELYRIA	95	7.1	—	—	48	3.6	—	—
EUCLID	106	5.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
HAMILTON	95	4.4	9	0.4	25	1.2	—	—
KETTERING	114	7.0	—	—	60	3.7	—	—
LAKEWOOD	68	4.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
LIMA	74	4.2	25	1.4	129	7.3	—	—
LORAIN	108	6.7	4	0.2	63	3.9	—	—
MANSFIELD	94	3.8	8	0.3	50	1.2	—	—
PARMA	150	7.2	—	—	11	0.5	—	—
SPRINGFIELD	117	4.4	36	1.4	35	1.3	115	4.4
TOLEDO	317	2.0	50	0.3	1 268	7.9	—	—
WARREN	97	4.8	—	—	71	3.5	—	—
YOUNGSTOWN	300	5.6	23	0.4	368	6.9	—	—
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	73	3.9	23	1.2	66	3.5	—	—
MIDWEST	18	2.0	—	—	35	3.8	—	—
NORMAN	76	4.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
OKLAHOMA CITY	416	3.6	—	—	423	3.6	1 774	15.2
TULSA	348	3.7	—	—	476	5.0	225	2.4
OREGON:								
EUGENE	215	5.9	37	1.0	—	—	—	—
PORTLAND	344	1.8	—	—	—	—	1 611	8.5
SALEM	158	4.4	12	0.3	—	—	—	—
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	64	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
ALTOONA	24	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
BETHLEHEM	48	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHESTER	74	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
ERIE	115	3.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
HARRISBURG	51	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
LANCASTER	22	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
PHILADELPHIA	7 570	3.3	3 033	1.3	33 217	14.3	6 020	2.6
PITTSBURGH	556	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
READING	86	3.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
SCRANTON	88	4.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
WILKES-BARRE	29	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
YORK	30	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	41	2.0	—	—	—	—	38	1.9
PAWTUCKET	57	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
PROVIDENCE	205	3.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
WARWICK	49	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	26	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
COLUMBIA	84	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
GREENVILLE	69	2.9	—	—	—	—	47	2.0

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA:							
SIOUX FALLS	14 183	1 664	11.7	1 545	92.8	72	4.3
TENNESSEE:							
CHATTANOOGA	103 499	6 473	6.3	6 057	93.6	184	2.9
KNOXVILLE	82 014	5 925	7.2	5 392	91.0	82	1.4
MEMPHIS	310 277	25 862	8.3	23 106	89.3	638	2.5
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	257 745	22 491	8.7	15 746	70.0	3 540	15.7
TEXAS:							
ABILENE	9 323	1 582	17.0	1 385	87.5	61	3.9
AMARILLO.	19 288	2 888	15.0	2 518	87.2	147	5.1
ARLINGTON	20 443	3 300	16.1	2 798	84.8	223	6.8
AUSTIN.	93 740	9 064	9.7	8 242	90.9	461	5.1
BEAUMONT.	27 075	2 978	11.0	2 688	90.3	86	2.9
BROWNSVILLE	14 532	1 069	7.4	1 009	94.4	30	2.8
CORPUS CHRISTI.	33 111	5 022	15.2	4 249	84.6	245	4.9
DALLAS.	244 951	36 273	14.8	32 531	89.7	1 364	3.8
EL PASO	50 723	7 424	14.6	7 032	94.7	271	3.7
FORT WORTH.	76 126	14 871	19.5	13 674	92.0	453	3.0
GALVESTON	22 413	1 508	6.7	1 272	84.4	97	6.4
GARLAND.	21 484	1 913	8.9	1 670	87.3	49	2.6
GRAND PRAIRIE	11 641	1 142	9.8	996	87.2	49	4.3
HOUSTON.	290 568	60 614	20.9	53 527	88.3	2 697	4.4
IRVING.	15 049	2 157	14.3	1 883	87.3	112	5.2
LAREDO.	11 106	783	7.1	698	89.1	35	4.5
LUBBOCK	35 423	3 404	9.6	3 028	89.0	176	5.2
MESQUITE.	10 722	1 608	15.0	1 539	95.7	29	1.8
MIDLAND	7 327	1 735	23.7	1 611	92.9	68	3.9
ODESSA.	7 188	1 784	24.8	1 575	88.3	112	6.3
PASADENA.	10 826	2 178	20.1	1 887	86.6	95	4.4
PORT ARTHUR.	7 986	1 211	15.2	1 069	88.3	34	2.8
RICHARDSON.	9 410	1 089	11.6	1 018	93.5	55	5.1
SAN ANGELO.	8 787	1 553	17.7	1 423	91.6	61	3.9
SAN ANTONIO	124 523	21 647	17.4	20 301	93.8	786	3.6
TYLER.	8 609	1 338	15.5	1 237	92.5	51	3.8
WACO.	25 536	3 100	12.1	2 901	93.6	50	1.6
WICHITA FALLS	11 822	1 830	15.5	1 578	86.2	90	4.9
UTAH:							
OGDEN	8 808	2 101	23.9	1 779	84.7	242	11.5
PROVO	8 411	996	11.8	858	86.1	95	9.5
SALT LAKE CITY.	39 075	8 957	22.9	7 970	89.0	709	7.9
VIRGINIA:							
ALEXANDRIA.	73 794	6 596	8.9	4 488	68.0	522	7.9
CHESAPEAKE.	57 630	4 409	7.7	2 838	64.4	355	8.1
HAMPTON.	82 969	4 466	5.4	3 317	74.3	490	11.0
LYNCHBURG	34 422	2 268	6.6	1 644	72.5	85	3.7
NEWPORT NEWS.	86 687	8 227	9.5	4 353	52.9	843	10.2
NORFOLK.	187 481	11 540	6.2	8 428	73.0	1 404	12.2
PORTSMOUTH.	72 853	4 426	6.1	3 151	71.2	713	16.1
RICHMOND.	213 445	15 309	7.2	10 814	70.6	2 113	13.8
ROANOKE	79 509	5 417	6.8	2 518	46.5	617	11.4
VIRGINIA BEACH.	112 457	7 034	6.3	5 059	71.9	710	10.1
WASHINGTON:							
BELLEVUE.	15 680	1 922	12.3	1 604	83.5	56	2.9
EVERETT	13 724	1 760	12.8	1 677	95.3	-	-
SEATTLE	184 519	26 607	14.4	22 786	85.6	1 655	6.2
SPOKANE	37 382	5 363	14.3	4 887	91.1	223	4.2
TACOMA.	52 207	6 542	12.5	5 344	81.7	363	5.5
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CHARLESTON.	26 132	2 599	9.9	2 316	89.1	43	1.7
HUNTINGTON.	14 364	2 183	15.2	2 101	96.2	27	1.2
WISCONSIN:							
APPLETON.	31 025	1 657	5.3	1 622	97.9	-	-
GREEN BAY	52 281	2 223	4.3	2 132	95.9	29	1.3
KENOSHA	17 112	2 409	14.1	2 304	95.6	41	1.7
LA CROSSE	20 890	1 210	5.8	1 156	95.5	12	1.0
MADISON	94 603	6 134	6.5	5 857	95.5	-	-
MILWAUKEE	193 491	38 392	19.8	37 044	96.5	5	(Z)
OSHKOSH	28 823	1 273	4.4	1 224	96.2	-	-
RACINE.	19 923	3 365	16.9	3 229	96.0	-23	0.7
WAUWATOSA	32 153	1 862	5.8	1 803	96.8	14	0.8
WEST ALLIS.	38 601	2 328	6.0	2 226	95.6	17	0.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA: SIOUX FALLS	47	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE: CHATTANOOGA	232	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	229	3.9	-	-	222	3.7	-	-
MEMPHIS	367	1.4	-	-	1 090	4.2	661	2.6
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	521	2.3	232	1.0	2 377	10.6	75	0.3
TEXAS: ABILENE	89	5.6	-	-	40	2.5	7	0.4
AMARILLO.	101	3.5	-	-	122	4.2	-	-
ARLINGTON	193	5.8	-	-	86	2.6	-	-
AUSTIN.	361	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT.	99	3.3	-	-	105	3.5	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	30	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI.	253	5.0	-	-	275	5.5	-	-
DALLAS.	1 000	2.8	-	-	1 087	2.9	321	0.9
EL PASO	121	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH.	318	2.1	-	-	412	2.8	14	0.1
GALVESTON	54	3.6	-	-	85	5.6	-	-
GARLAND	143	7.5	-	-	51	2.7	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	4%	4.3	-	-	48	4.2	-	-
HOUSTON	858	1.4	-	-	2 940	4.9	592	1.0
IRVING.	96	4.5	-	-	66	3.1	-	-
LAREDO.	50	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	146	4.3	-	-	54	1.6	-	-
MESQUITE.	40	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	56	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA.	50	2.8	-	-	47	2.6	-	-
PASADENA.	105	4.8	-	-	91	4.2	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	55	4.5	-	-	53	4.4	-	-
RICHARDSON.	16	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO.	37	2.4	-	-	32	2.1	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	560	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	50	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO.	83	2.7	-	-	66	2.1	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	66	3.6	-	-	96	5.2	-	-
UTAH: OGDEN	68	3.2	12	0.6	-	-	-	-
PROVO	43	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY.	238	2.7	-	-	40	0.4	-	-
VIRGINIA: ALEXANDRIA.	295	4.5	29	0.4	909	13.8	353	5.4
CHESAPEAKE.	167	3.8	-	-	1 049	23.8	-	-
HAMPTON	196	4.4	9	0.2	443	9.9	11	0.2
LYNCHBURG	123	5.4	17	0.7	399	17.6	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS.	261	3.2	30	0.4	2 727	33.1	13	0.2
NORFOLK	446	3.9	-	-	1 239	10.7	23	0.2
PORTSMOUTH.	208	4.7	-	-	334	7.5	20	0.5
RICHMOND.	821	5.4	-	-	1 561	10.2	-	-
ROANOKE	496	9.2	8	0.1	1 778	32.8	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH.	337	4.8	-	-	845	12.0	83	1.2
WASHINGTON: BELLEVUE.	122	6.3	10	0.5	130	6.8	-	-
EVERETT	83	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	825	3.1	238	0.9	453	1.7	650	2.4
SPOKANE	218	8.1	-	-	35	0.7	-	-
TACOMA.	297	4.5	81	1.2	344	5.3	113	1.7
WEST VIRGINIA: CHARLESTON.	172	6.6	-	-	-	-	68	2.6
HUNTINGTON.	55	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN: APPLETON.	35	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	62	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	64	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	42	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	277	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 343	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	49	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE.	113	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	45	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS.	85	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 16. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	4 576 129	4 544 679	4 349 852	194 827	81 522	104 530	8 773	31 450	4 762	26 688
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	12 837	12 837	12 407	430	430	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	1 194	1 194	1 123	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	3 266	3 183	3 109	74	70	4	-	83	-	83
MOBILE	6 539	6 307	6 118	189	189	-	-	252	-	252
MONTGOMERY	4 478	4 235	3 877	358	358	-	-	243	243	-
TUSCALOOSA	2 156	2 139	2 026	113	106	7	-	17	11	6
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE	1 522	1 522	1 518	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	4 521	4 521	1 527	2 994	1 71	2 913	10	-	-	-
PHOENIX	33 995	33 852	30 373	3 479	1 084	2 136	259	143	-	143
SCOTTSDALE	2 842	2 836	2 807	29	29	-	-	6	-	-
TEMPE	2 427	2 427	2 251	176	170	6	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	13 309	13 294	12 619	675	374	301	-	15	-	15
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	1 178	1 162	1 106	56	56	-	-	16	-	16
LITTLE ROCK	3 708	3 611	3 445	166	124	42	-	97	-	97
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	1 456	1 408	1 322	86	86	-	-	48	-	48
PINE BLUFF	1 336	1 301	1 180	121	121	-	-	35	23	12
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	2 221	2 221	2 180	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	1 948	1 938	1 931	7	7	-	-	10	-	10
ANAHEIM	7 686	7 681	7 256	425	411	14	-	-	-	5
BAKERSFIELD	5 447	5 446	4 090	1 356	106	1 148	102	1	-	1
BELLFLOWER	1 068	110	110	-	-	-	-	958	-	958
BERKELEY	4 622	4 622	4 570	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	2 033	2 030	2 015	15	13	2	-	3	-	3
BURBANK	5 406	5 392	5 352	40	40	-	-	14	-	14
CARSON	2 913	78	78	-	-	-	-	2 835	-	2 835
CHULA VISTA	1 992	1 992	1 935	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	3 006	2 925	2 857	68	57	11	-	81	-	81
CONCORD	3 068	3 068	3 006	62	62	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	4 142	4 083	3 966	117	116	1	-	59	51	8
DALY CITY	2 038	2 008	1 978	30	27	3	-	-	-	40
DOWNEY	2 862	2 822	2 684	138	138	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	1 902	1 902	1 802	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	2 244	2 237	2 102	135	115	20	-	7	-	7
FREMONT	3 098	3 098	2 935	163	134	29	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	8 950	8 950	8 857	93	93	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	3 673	3 673	2 839	834	168	666	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	3 170	3 162	3 114	48	36	12	-	8	-	8
GLENDALE	4 126	4 126	4 107	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	1 665	1 676	1 658	18	18	-	-	9	-	9
HAYWARD	3 198	3 198	3 110	88	10	78	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	5 398	5 392	5 174	218	213	5	-	6	-	6
INGLEWOOD	4 974	4 974	4 754	220	66	154	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	1 550	425	371	54	54	-	-	1 125	-	1 125
LONG BEACH	19 597	19 597	19 297	300	153	147	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	195 264	194 963	189 113	5 850	3 344	2 448	58	301	-	301
MODESTO	2 655	2 655	2 533	122	37	85	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	1 800	1 800	1 685	115	115	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	3 802	3 793	3 329	464	136	328	-	9	-	9
NORWALK	1 451	35	35	-	-	-	-	1 416	-	1 416
OAKLAND	19 429	19 423	18 993	436	436	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	2 044	2 033	2 013	20	18	2	-	11	-	11
ORANGE	3 065	3 058	3 022	36	36	-	-	7	-	7
OXNARD	2 515	2 501	2 468	33	17	16	-	14	-	14
PALO ALTO	2 248	2 248	2 232	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	6 115	6 115	6 099	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	1 151	23	22	1	1	-	-	1 128	-	1 128
POMONA	3 415	3 415	3 364	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD BEACH	2 242	2 216	2 139	77	68	9	-	26	-	26
REDWOOD CITY	1 755	1 755	1 706	49	43	6	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	4 437	4 437	4 376	61	60	1	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	5 417	5 417	5 258	159	155	-	4	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	12 357	12 357	12 318	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	2 311	2 249	2 121	128	128	-	-	62	-	62
SAN BERNARDINO	4 898	4 858	4 645	213	210	3	-	40	-	40
SAN BUENAVENTURA	2 187	2 178	2 048	130	41	89	-	9	-	9
SAN DIEGO	24 799	24 799	24 167	632	551	81	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	75 521	75 513	73 981	1 532	1 202	330	-	8	-	8
SAN JOSE	18 855	18 855	18 164	691	691	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	1 858	1 858	1 807	51	39	12	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	2 478	2 478	2 364	114	92	22	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	6 620	6 595	6 221	374	370	4	-	25	-	25

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED									
SANTA BARBARA	3 312	3 308	2 949	359	205	154	-	4	-
SANTA CLARA	3 368	3 368	2 850	518	54	464	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	4 275	4 243	4 097	146	142	4	-	32	32
SANTA ROSA	1 604	1 604	1 509	95	95	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	1 392	1 392	1 374	18	18	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	2 252	2 243	2 094	149	92	57	-	9	9
STOCKTON	5 619	5 559	5 559	60	19	41	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	2 378	2 378	2 352	26	26	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	5 902	5 862	5 749	113	113	-	-	40	40
VALLEJO	2 392	2 392	2 368	24	24	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	2 130	2 122	2 101	21	21	-	-	8	8
WESTMINSTER	1 951	1 941	1 931	10	10	-	-	10	10
WHITTIER	2 606	2 602	2 539	63	63	-	-	4	4
COLORADO:									
ARVADA	1 634	1 619	1 538	81	81	-	-	15	-
AURORA	3 158	3 158	3 106	52	52	-	-	-	15
BOULDER	2 541	2 541	2 003	538	24	514	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	5 399	5 399	5 045	354	354	-	-	-	-
DENVER	40 924	40 924	38 495	2 429	484	450	1 495	-	-
FORT COLLINS	1 348	1 348	1 126	222	214	8	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	7 911	7 911	7 819	92	92	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	3 570	3 570	3 450	120	107	13	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:									
BRIDGEPORT	8 567	8 567	8 454	113	72	41	-	-	-
BRISTOL	1 537	1 537	1 485	52	51	1	-	-	-
DANBURY	1 747	1 747	1 714	33	3	30	-	-	-
HARTFORD	8 898	8 898	8 890	8	8	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	1 476	1 472	1 470	2	2	-	-	4	4
MILFORD	1 790	1 790	1 734	56	56	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	2 928	2 928	2 859	69	69	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	6 610	6 610	6 541	69	69	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	2 858	2 858	2 814	44	44	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	5 002	4 988	4 893	95	83	12	-	14	14
WATERBURY	4 895	4 895	4 561	334	334	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	1 755	1 755	1 702	53	53	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:									
WILMINGTON	5 537	5 537	5 483	54	54	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:									
WASHINGTON	200 367	200 367	181 977	18 390	129	18 250	11	-	-
FLORIDA:									
CLEARWATER	2 943	2 943	2 383	560	45	515	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	7 917	7 917	7 815	102	102	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	3 677	3 677	2 612	1 065	70	-	995	-	-
HIALEAH	3 845	3 845	3 407	438	438	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	5 322	5 322	5 231	91	91	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	26 566	26 566	22 457	4 109	675	3 434	-	-	-
MIAMI	17 048	17 048	16 140	908	30	186	692	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	6 833	6 833	6 827	6	6	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	7 897	7 874	7 819	55	55	-	-	23	23
PENSACOLA	2 364	2 360	2 136	224	224	-	-	4	4
ST. PETERSBURG	9 386	9 386	8 936	450	450	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	2 472	2 472	2 387	85	82	3	-	-	-
TAMPA	10 431	10 431	10 117	314	314	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	2 819	2 819	2 735	84	84	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:									
ALBANY	2 430	2 355	2 242	113	113	-	-	75	-
ATLANTA	28 593	28 435	26 793	1 642	1 244	13	385	158	158
AUGUSTA	2 138	2 138	2 044	94	94	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	6 881	6 881	6 583	298	278	20	-	-	-
MACON	3 871	3 871	3 195	676	200	476	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	4 082	4 073	3 968	105	105	-	-	9	9
HAWAII:									
HONOLULU	29 397	29 397	28 625	772	697	75	-	-	-
IDAHO:									
BOISE CITY	2 097	2 009	1 915	94	91	-	3	68	-
ILLINOIS:									
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	1 708	1 708	1 670	38	38	-	-	-	-
AURORA	2 652	2 652	2 453	199	112	87	-	-	-
BERWYN	27	-	-	27	27	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	1 584	1 538	1 483	55	55	-	-	26	26
CHICAGO	247 963	247 963	242 117	5 846	5 014	832	-	-	-
CICERO	1 542	1 542	1 533	9	9	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	1 811	1 811	1 753	58	58	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 16. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
DES PLAINES	1 801	1 801	1 737	64	64	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS.	3 066	3 066	2 928	138	78	60	-	-
ELGIN	1 679	1 679	1 634	45	45	-	-	-
EVANSTON.	2 918	2 918	2 756	162	162	-	-	-
JOLIET.	2 908	2 908	2 900	8	8	-	-	-
OAK LAWN.	1 778	1 778	1 733	45	45	-	-	-
OAK PARK.	2 416	2 416	2 312	104	-	104	-	-
PICORIA.	5 255	5 226	4 835	391	344	47	-	-
ROCKFORD.	5 384	5 384	4 676	708	83	625	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	1 285	1 285	1 249	36	4	32	-	-
SKOKIE.	2 511	2 511	2 431	80	80	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD.	3 287	3 287	2 636	651	113	538	-	-
WAUKEGAN.	2 606	2 592	2 387	205	205	-	-	14
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON.	1 903	1 903	1 873	30	30	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE.	3 687	3 687	3 508	179	179	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE.	4 959	4 959	4 804	155	155	-	-	-
GARY.	6 856	6 856	6 633	223	223	-	-	-
HAMMOND.	3 589	3 589	3 397	192	192	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS.	30 704	30 379	29 210	1 169	1 024	145	-	325
MUNCIE.	1 994	1 994	1 880	114	114	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND.	3 906	3 906	3 557	349	349	-	-	-
TERR. HAUTE	1 451	1 451	1 341	110	110	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS.	2 442	2 440	2 315	125	125	-	-	2
COUNCIL BLUFFS.	1 599	1 599	1 468	131	129	2	-	-
DAVENPORT.	2 012	2 008	1 898	110	110	-	-	4
DES MOINES.	6 134	6 123	5 946	177	144	33	-	11
DUBUQUE	1 264	1 227	1 186	41	41	-	-	37
SIOUX CITY.	2 368	2 285	2 209	76	76	-	-	83
WATERLOO.	1 908	1 908	1 802	106	106	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	5 710	5 710	5 392	318	318	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	1 618	1 618	1 534	84	84	-	-	-
TOPEKA.	4 160	4 132	3 988	144	144	-	-	28
WICHITA	8 150	8 117	8 021	96	96	-	-	33
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	2 039	2 039	1 983	56	56	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	9 372	9 329	8 778	551	328	158	65	43
LOUISVILLE.	18 140	17 958	16 742	1 216	495	721	-	182
OWENSBORO	1 484	1 456	1 438	18	14	-	4	28
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	15 396	15 368	14 511	857	570	287	-	28
LAFAYETTE	1 572	1 538	1 433	105	105	-	-	34
LAKE CHARLES.	1 546	1 511	1 006	505	118	387	-	35
MONROE.	1 629	1 629	1 514	115	115	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	43 351	43 351	38 690	4 661	1 532	252	2 877	-
SHREVEPORT.	6 752	6 752	5 808	944	944	-	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND.	2 959	2 959	2 633	326	152	174	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	81 621	81 621	81 098	523	320	193	10	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON.	89 799	89 799	87 488	2 311	2 179	132	-	-
BROCKTON.	4 060	4 060	4 049	11	11	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE.	5 731	5 731	5 604	127	119	8	-	-
CHICOOEE.	1 832	1 832	1 749	83	41	42	-	-
FALL RIVER.	4 760	4 760	4 717	43	43	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	1 810	1 810	1 734	76	76	-	-	-
LAWRENCE.	2 375	2 375	2 368	7	7	-	-	-
LOWELL.	3 304	3 304	3 246	58	58	-	-	-
LYNN.	4 323	4 323	4 321	2	2	-	-	-
MALDEN.	2 191	2 191	2 191	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD.	2 502	2 502	2 475	27	27	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD.	4 415	4 415	4 323	92	90	2	-	-
NEWTON.	4 605	4 605	4 176	429	429	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD.	1 723	1 723	1 572	151	151	-	-	-
QUINCY.	5 038	5 038	4 868	170	170	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE.	2 611	2 611	2 599	12	12	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD.	6 704	6 704	6 497	207	207	-	-	-
WALTHAM.	2 729	2 729	2 639	90	90	-	-	-
WORCESTER.	8 207	8 207	8 002	205	155	50	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		To local governments
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	4 450	4 450	4 432	18	18	-	-	-
DEARBORN	4 542	4 506	4 438	68	68	-	36	36
DEARBORN HILLS	3 136	3 066	2 446	620	382	238	70	70
DETROIT	125 511	124 646	121 256	3 390	2 483	907	865	865
FARMINGTON HILLS	1 546	1 542	1 460	82	72	10	4	4
FLINT	9 513	9 497	9 433	64	35	29	16	16
GRAND RAPIDS	8 502	8 433	8 308	125	119	6	69	69
KALAMAZOO	3 573	3 573	3 462	111	107	4	-	-
LANSING	6 738	6 723	6 520	203	195	8	15	15
LINCOLN PARK	1 751	1 673	1 598	75	75	-	78	78
LIVONIA	3 667	3 609	3 355	254	254	-	58	58
PONTIAC	5 771	5 671	5 527	144	144	-	100	100
ROSEVILLE	2 362	2 362	2 292	70	70	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	2 536	2 535	2 532	3	3	-	1	1
SAGINAW	4 406	4 405	4 367	38	38	-	1	1
SOUTHFIELD	4 386	4 373	3 919	454	320	134	13	13
ST. CLAIR SHORES	2 372	2 367	2 299	68	40	28	5	5
STERLING HEIGHTS	3 349	3 349	3 053	296	295	1	-	-
TAYLOR	2 781	2 765	2 287	478	168	310	16	14
WARREN	6 650	6 650	5 702	948	214	734	-	-
WESTLAND	4 209	4 082	2 427	1 655	183	1 472	127	122
WYOMING	2 295	2 236	1 647	589	99	490	59	59
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	2 444	2 431	2 392	39	39	-	13	13
DULUTH	2 580	2 551	2 483	68	68	-	29	29
MINNEAPOLIS	18 032	18 032	17 397	635	266	369	-	-
ROCHESTER	1 692	1 692	1 526	166	124	42	-	-
ST. PAUL	12 887	12 887	12 660	227	144	83	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	1 047	1 047	900	147	142	-	5	-
JACKSON	5 522	5 521	5 243	278	238	40	1	1
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	1 271	1 271	1 215	56	56	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	1 326	1 326	1 245	81	67	14	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	2 636	2 628	2 451	177	154	23	8	8
KANSAS CITY	29 623	29 613	28 899	714	14	616	84	10
ST. JOSEPH	1 710	1 708	1 651	57	57	-	2	2
ST. LOUIS	57 383	57 383	56 087	1 296	1 226	70	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	2 870	2 861	2 739	122	122	-	9	9
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	1 966	1 957	1 792	165	165	-	9	9
GREAT FALLS	1 995	1 995	1 279	716	65	651	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	5 378	5 312	5 004	308	305	3	66	12
OMAHA	11 885	11 825	11 415	410	410	-	60	54
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	11 052	789	770	19	19	-	10 263	-
RENO	5 303	5 303	4 967	336	296	40	-	10 263
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	2 547	2 547	2 449	98	88	10	-	-
NASHUA	2 194	2 194	1 999	195	92	103	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	2 732	2 732	2 703	29	29	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	2 202	2 202	2 195	7	-	7	-	-
CAMDEN	8 176	8 176	8 176	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	2 757	2 757	2 707	50	50	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	5 967	5 967	5 944	23	23	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	5 541	5 541	5 518	23	23	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	2 953	2 953	2 953	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	18 352	18 352	18 352	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	36 366	36 366	36 114	252	120	132	-	-
PASSAIC	2 844	2 844	2 796	48	48	-	-	-
PATERSON	7 288	7 288	7 288	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	8 233	8 233	8 233	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	2 489	2 489	2 455	34	34	-	-	-
VINELAND	1 682	1 682	1 682	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	12 788	12 788	12 606	152	182	-	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	6 341	6 341	6 283	58	58	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	2 358	2 356	2 224	132	132	-	2	2
BUFFALO	24 036	24 036	23 056	980	215	757	8	1
MOUNT VERNON	3 513	3 513	3 477	36	36	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	3 643	3 643	3 582	61	61	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED									
NEW YORK CITY	1 056 419	1 054 895	1 010 572	44 323	4 487	39 828	8	1 524	1 524
NIAGARA FALLS	3 721	3 721	3 695	26	26	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	13 702	13 702	13 702	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	852	852	827	25	25	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	2 406	2 406	2 251	155	55	100	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	8 319	8 319	8 099	220	220	-	-	-	-
TROY	2 104	2 104	1 848	256	205	51	-	-	-
UTICA	2 718	2 718	2 666	52	52	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	4 171	4 171	4 091	80	80	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	11 422	11 422	11 218	204	204	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:									
ASHEVILLE	1 841	1 799	1 737	62	62	-	-	42	-
CHARLOTTE	10 621	10 621	10 009	612	282	330	-	-	42
DURHAM	4 157	4 157	3 828	329	329	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	1 863	1 863	1 770	93	93	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	6 720	6 674	6 486	188	188	-	-	46	-
HIGH POINT	2 120	2 120	1 923	197	197	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	4 738	4 726	4 426	300	300	-	-	12	2
WILMINGTON	1 681	1 680	1 571	109	97	12	-	1	10
WINSTON-SALEM	6 966	6 966	6 188	778	315	-	463	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:									
FARGO	1 595	1 587	1 531	56	56	-	-	8	-
OHIO:									
AKRON	9 775	9 659	9 393	266	266	-	-	116	-
CANTON	4 283	4 235	4 200	35	35	-	-	48	48
CINCINNATI	30 846	30 595	29 162	1 433	584	849	-	251	251
CLEVELAND	34 410	34 410	32 421	1 989	-	1 989	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1 688	1 674	1 641	33	33	-	-	14	-
COLUMBUS	25 919	25 919	25 050	869	511	44	314	-	-
DAYTON	12 205	12 205	11 886	319	319	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	1 369	1 339	1 289	50	50	-	-	30	-
EUCLID	2 063	2 058	1 889	169	158	11	-	5	5
HAMILTON	2 188	2 148	2 086	62	62	-	-	40	40
KETTERING	1 660	1 628	1 559	69	62	7	-	32	-
LAKWOOD	1 458	1 456	1 450	6	6	-	-	2	2
LIMA	1 759	1 757	1 706	51	51	-	-	2	-
LORAIN	1 641	1 620	1 588	32	32	-	-	21	11
MANSFIELD	2 465	2 463	2 218	245	245	-	-	2	-
PARMA	2 083	2 074	1 928	146	146	-	-	9	9
SPRINGFIELD	2 642	2 632	2 490	142	142	-	-	10	10
TOLEDO	16 101	16 060	15 569	491	289	202	-	41	41
WARREN	2 019	2 019	1 833	186	186	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	5 331	5 331	5 236	95	47	-	48	-	-
OKLAHOMA:									
LAWTON	1 876	1 876	1 787	89	88	1	-	-	-
MIDWEST	920	920	920	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	1 638	1 634	1 505	129	129	-	-	4	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	11 691	11 691	11 059	632	630	2	-	-	-
TULSA	9 440	9 440	8 414	1 026	629	397	-	-	-
OREGON:									
EUGENE	3 712	3 615	3 587	28	28	-	-	97	-
PORTLAND	18 895	18 895	18 823	72	72	-	-	-	-
SALEM	3 553	3 553	3 540	13	13	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLENTOWN	3 051	3 051	2 858	193	193	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	1 260	1 259	1 230	29	29	-	-	1	-
BETHLEHEM	2 078	2 078	1 929	149	149	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	2 548	2 548	2 503	45	45	-	-	-	-
ERIE	3 179	3 179	2 991	188	187	1	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	2 754	2 754	2 658	96	76	20	-	-	-
LANCASTER	1 935	1 935	1 890	45	45	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	233 509	232 500	227 791	4 709	3 065	1 644	-	1 009	1 009
PITTSBURGH	19 078	19 078	19 038	40	40	-	-	-	-
READING	2 525	2 525	2 409	116	116	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	2 136	2 136	2 136	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	1 386	1 386	1 351	35	32	3	-	-	-
YORK	1 489	1 488	1 488	-	-	-	-	1	-
RHODE ISLAND:									
CRANSTON	2 046	2 046	1 889	157	132	25	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	2 198	2 198	2 134	64	64	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	6 339	6 339	6 268	71	71	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	2 521	2 521	2 437	84	80	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
CHARLESTON	2 252	2 240	2 079	161	161	-	-	12	-
COLUMBIA	4 297	4 297	3 728	569	384	185	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	2 366	2 366	2 110	256	256	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:									
SIOUX FALLS	1 673	1 664	1 606	58	58	-	-	9	-
TENNESSEE:									
CHATTANOOGA	6 507	6 473	4 810	1 663	1 619	44	-	34	-
KNOXVILLE	5 925	5 925	5 652	273	268	5	-	-	34
MEMPHIS	27 347	25 862	23 640	2 222	1 353	208	661	1 485	1 479
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	22 491	22 491	21 354	1 137	853	284	-	-	-
TEXAS:									
ABILENE	1 582	1 582	1 513	69	69	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO.	2 888	2 888	2 824	64	64	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	3 300	3 300	3 060	240	240	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN.	9 064	9 064	8 871	193	193	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT.	2 978	2 978	2 695	283	73	210	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	1 098	1 069	1 022	47	47	-	-	29	29
CORPUS CHRISTI.	5 022	5 022	4 738	284	284	-	-	-	-
DALLAS.	36 273	36 273	35 533	740	657	83	-	-	-
EL PASO	7 488	7 424	7 393	31	31	-	-	64	64
FORT WORTH.	14 871	14 871	14 657	214	162	52	-	-	-
GALVESTON	1 508	1 508	1 478	30	30	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	1 913	1 913	1 776	137	137	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	1 142	1 142	1 095	47	47	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	60 614	60 614	55 397	5 217	142	5 075	-	-	-
IRVING.	2 157	2 157	2 011	146	146	-	-	-	-
LAREDO.	805	783	696	87	87	-	-	22	14
LUBBOCK	3 404	3 404	3 148	256	256	-	-	-	8
MESQUITE.	1 608	1 608	1 332	276	168	108	-	-	-
MIDLAND	1 735	1 735	1 507	228	101	127	-	-	-
ODESSA.	1 810	1 784	1 716	68	68	-	-	26	26
PASADENA.	2 178	2 178	2 086	92	31	61	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR.	1 211	1 211	1 119	92	71	6	15	-	-
RICHARDSON.	1 089	1 089	1 004	85	24	61	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO.	1 576	1 553	1 432	121	92	-	29	23	23
SAN ANTONIO	21 997	21 647	20 598	1 049	810	239	-	350	350
TYLER	1 346	1 338	1 215	123	104	-	19	8	8
WACO.	3 100	3 100	3 044	56	56	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	1 830	1 830	1 643	187	187	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
OGDEN	2 105	2 101	2 081	20	20	-	-	4	4
PROVO	999	996	922	74	74	-	-	3	3
SALT LAKE CITY.	8 957	8 957	8 723	234	212	22	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ALEXANDRIA.	6 879	6 535	6 417	179	179	-	-	283	283
CHESAPEAKE.	4 564	4 409	4 156	253	233	-	-	155	155
HAMPTON	4 730	4 466	4 011	455	233	222	-	264	264
LYNCHBURG	2 349	2 268	2 161	107	91	-	16	81	81
NEWPORT NEWS.	8 378	8 227	5 955	2 272	145	2 127	-	151	151
NORFOLK	11 540	11 540	11 116	424	414	10	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	4 626	4 426	4 135	291	267	24	-	200	182
RICHMOND.	15 309	15 309	15 119	190	57	-	133	-	18
ROANOKE	5 710	5 417	4 542	875	133	742	-	293	293
VIRGINIA BEACH.	7 034	7 034	6 996	38	38	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:									
BELLEVUE.	1 926	1 922	1 840	82	82	-	-	4	4
EVERETT	1 785	1 760	1 753	7	7	-	-	25	25
SEATTLE	27 707	26 607	26 132	475	119	356	-	1 100	1 100
SPOKANE	5 682	5 363	5 275	88	88	-	-	319	319
TACOMA.	6 542	6 542	6 240	302	274	28	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CHARLESTON.	2 679	2 599	2 488	111	51	60	-	80	80
HUNTINGTON.	2 220	2 183	2 001	182	182	-	-	37	37
WISCONSIN:									
APPLETON.	1 657	1 657	1 330	327	34	293	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	2 226	2 223	2 215	8	8	-	-	3	3
KENOSHA	2 410	2 409	2 304	105	105	-	-	1	1
LA CROSSE	1 219	1 210	1 180	30	30	-	-	9	9
MADISON	6 142	6 134	5 975	159	159	-	-	8	8
MILWAUKEE	38 771	38 392	37 590	863	558	244	-	379	379
OSHKOSH	1 274	1 273	1 246	27	27	-	-	1	1
RACINE.	3 368	3 365	3 278	87	87	-	-	3	3
WAUWATOSA	1 862	1 862	1 862	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS.	2 329	2 328	2 299	29	29	-	-	1	1

¹Represents zero or rounds to zero.¹Data are based on field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 17. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system			Police protection			Judicial					
			Number of employees		Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees			
			Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only				
1	TOTAL	1 371 458	279 909	273 600	275 991	20.1	230 872	226 708	228 010	82.6	20 611	19 772	20 295	7.4
2	ALABAMA:													
2	BIRMINGHAM	3 395	903	901	901	26.5	792	792	792	87.9	33	31	31	3.4
3	GADSDEN	656	115	115	115	17.5	105	105	105	91.3	2	2	2	1.7
4	HUNTSVILLE	2 562	290	290	290	11.3	263	263	263	90.7	8	8	8	2.8
5	MOBILE	2 040	444	444	444	21.8	412	412	412	92.8	11	11	11	2.5
6	MONTGOMERY	2 025	341	337	340	16.8	294	294	294	86.5	18	17	17	5.0
7	TUSCALOOSA	2 008	176	168	169	8.4	161	159	159	94.1	12	6	7	4.1
8	ARIZONA:													
8	GLENDALE	488	122	119	120	24.6	111	110	110	91.7	5	4	4	3.3
9	MESA	660	129	125	129	19.5	117	117	117	90.7	7	6	7	5.4
10	PHOENIX	6 806	2 043	2 022	2 029	29.8	1 842	1 827	1 831	90.2	115	109	112	5.5
11	SCOTTSDALE	689	164	161	161	23.4	152	151	151	93.8	6	5	5	3.1
12	TEMPE	656	155	148	149	22.7	139	137	137	91.9	8	6	6	4.0
13	TUCSON	3 699	747	731	737	19.9	685	671	676	91.7	28	26	27	3.7
14	ARKANSAS:													
14	FORT SMITH	567	121	118	121	21.3	102	102	102	84.3	12	11	12	9.9
15	LITTLE ROCK	1 454	346	346	346	23.8	305	305	305	88.2	11	11	11	3.2
16	NORTH LITTLE ROCK	620	172	171	171	27.6	151	151	151	88.3	9	8	8	4.7
17	PINE BLUFF	403	127	125	126	31.3	108	108	108	85.7	10	10	10	7.9
18	CALIFORNIA:													
18	ALAMEDA	469	119	118	119	25.4	115	115	115	96.6	-	-	-	-
19	ALHAMBRA	428	113	110	110	25.7	110	108	108	98.2	-	-	-	-
20	ANAHEIM	1 609	461	389	405	25.2	434	362	378	93.3	-	-	-	-
21	BAKERSFIELD	687	207	199	201	29.3	201	193	195	97.0	-	-	-	-
22	BELLFLOWER	81	21	1	5	6.2	20	1	4	80.0	-	-	-	-
23	BERKELEY	1 513	306	259	270	17.8	297	252	261	96.7	-	-	-	-
24	BUENA PARK	347	152	123	128	36.9	151	123	127	99.2	-	-	-	-
25	BURBANK	1 001	243	236	237	23.7	222	216	217	91.6	-	-	-	-
26	CARSON	253	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
27	CHULA VISTA	593	126	120	121	20.4	120	115	116	95.9	-	-	-	-
28	COMPTON	750	210	208	208	27.7	200	198	198	95.2	-	-	-	-
29	CONCORD	536	184	174	176	32.8	181	171	173	98.3	-	-	-	-
30	COSTA MESA	600	215	173	179	29.8	207	166	171	95.5	-	-	-	-
31	DALY CITY	427	136	106	112	26.2	134	105	111	99.1	-	-	-	-
32	DOWNEY	458	137	130	132	30.1	137	130	132	100.0	-	-	-	-
33	EL CAJON	432	135	119	126	29.2	130	118	123	97.6	-	-	-	-
34	EL MONTE	351	149	126	130	37.0	147	124	128	98.5	-	-	-	-
35	FREMONT	633	184	172	173	27.3	178	166	167	96.5	-	-	-	-
36	FRESNO	2 308	475	406	441	19.1	464	395	430	97.5	-	-	-	-
37	FULLERTON	607	183	175	177	29.2	181	173	175	98.9	-	-	-	-
38	GARDEN GROVE	640	207	182	183	26.6	205	180	181	98.9	-	-	-	-
39	GLENDALE	1 117	230	222	224	20.1	222	214	216	96.4	-	-	-	-
40	HAWTHORNE	305	98	81	84	27.5	93	76	79	94.0	-	-	-	-
41	HAYWARD	702	188	176	178	25.4	176	164	166	93.3	-	-	-	-
42	HUNTINGTON BEACH	1 058	321	298	303	26.6	310	287	292	96.4	-	-	-	-
43	INGLEWOOD	825	267	242	248	30.1	248	228	232	93.5	-	-	-	-
44	LAKEWOOD	198	12	8	9	4.5	12	8	9	100.0	-	-	-	-
45	LONG BEACH	4 595	1 050	1 050	1 050	22.9	933	933	933	88.9	-	-	-	-
46	LOS ANGELES	35 778	11 100	11 096	11 097	31.0	10 633	10 633	10 633	95.8	-	-	-	-
47	MODESTO	674	160	160	160	23.7	154	154	154	96.2	-	-	-	-
48	MOUNTAIN VIEW	415	101	97	99	23.9	98	94	96	97.0	-	-	-	-
49	NEWPORT BEACH	638	193	184	185	29.0	185	176	177	95.7	-	-	-	-
50	NORWALK	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	OAKLAND	4 392	1 094	1 084	1 090	24.8	981	971	977	89.6	-	-	25	2.3
52	ONTARIO	563	132	122	124	22.0	131	122	123	99.2	-	-	-	-
53	ORANGE	575	183	160	164	28.5	177	156	159	97.0	-	-	-	-
54	OXNARD	655	150	139	141	21.5	144	133	135	95.7	-	-	-	-
55	PALO ALTO	716	137	126	127	17.7	130	119	120	94.5	-	-	-	-
56	PASADENA	1 409	293	281	284	20.2	269	257	260	91.5	-	-	-	-
57	PICO RIVERA	112	1	-	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	POMONA	860	221	191	203	23.6	198	175	185	91.1	-	-	-	-
59	REDONDO BEACH	503	102	96	97	19.3	92	86	87	89.7	-	-	-	-
60	REDWOOD CITY	444	94	91	92	20.7	87	86	86	93.5	-	-	-	-
61	RICHMOND	892	240	238	238	26.7	232	231	231	97.1	-	-	-	-
62	RIVERSIDE	1 329	295	294	294	22.1	287	286	286	97.3	-	-	-	-
63	SACRAMENTO	2 797	798	795	796	28.5	786	786	786	98.7	-	-	-	-
64	SALINAS	548	155	143	144	26.3	138	128	129	89.6	-	-	-	-
65	SAN BERNARDINO	1 293	280	280	280	21.7	273	273	273	97.5	-	-	-	-
66	SAN BUENAVENTURA	491	111	108	109	22.2	109	106	107	98.2	-	-	-	-
67	SAN DIEGO	8 677	1 547	1 545	1 545	27.2	1 450	1 449	1 449	93.8	-	-	-	-
68	SAN FRANCISCO	17 841	4 002	3 775	3 866	21.7	2 503	2 394	2 428	62.8	577	513	547	14.1
69	SAN JOSE	3 659	907	897	899	24.6	872	863	865	96.2	-	-	-	-
70	SAN LEANDRO	510	124	124	124	24.3	119	119	119	96.0	-	-	-	-
71	SAN MATEO	586	148	147	147	25.1	145	144	144	98.0	-	-	-	-
72	SANTA ANA	1 365	451	448	449	32.9	435	433	433	96.4	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 394 large city
October 1975**

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 17. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments.

Line number	City ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent
	CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED												
1	SANTA BARBARA	805	180	172	174	21.6	174	167	169	97.1	-	-	-
2	SANTA CLARA	683	168	132	140	20.5	163	128	135	96.4	-	-	-
3	SANTA MONICA	936	247	227	232	24.8	225	205	210	90.5	-	-	-
4	SANTA ROSA	475	92	84	86	18.1	87	81	83	96.5	-	-	-
5	SIMI VALLEY	190	82	81	82	43.2	78	77	78	95.1	-	-	-
6	SOUTH GATE	244	117	116	117	48.0	110	110	110	94.0	-	-	-
7	STOCKTON	1 265	283	283	283	22.4	275	275	275	97.2	-	-	-
8	SUNNYVALE	538	155	153	154	28.6	150	148	149	96.8	-	-	-
9	TORRANCE	1 120	262	262	262	25.2	262	262	262	92.3	-	-	-
10	VALLEJO	382	122	122	122	31.9	118	118	118	96.7	-	-	-
11	WEST COVINA	360	136	101	105	29.2	136	101	105	100.0	-	-	-
12	WESTMINSTER	353	125	114	116	32.9	121	110	112	96.6	-	-	-
13	WHITTIER	345	130	126	127	36.8	130	126	127	100.0	-	-	-
	COLORADO:												
14	ARVADA	272	92	90	91	33.5	85	84	84	92.3	4	3	4
15	AURORA	860	222	213	218	25.3	200	200	200	91.7	14	6	11
16	BOULDER	858	164	161	163	19.0	148	148	148	90.8	10	7	9
17	COLORADO SPRINGS	1 698	409	406	408	24.0	377	377	377	92.4	18	15	17
18	DENVER	11 297	2 368	2 368	2 368	21.0	1 719	1 719	1 719	72.6	242	242	10.2
19	FT. COLLINS	417	101	90	92	22.1	94	83	85	92.4	3	3	3.3
20	LAKWOOD	588	486	419	428	72.8	445	384	391	91.4	22	18	19
21	PUEBLO	907	264	261	261	28.8	246	243	243	93.1	8	8	3.1
	CONNECTICUT:												
22	BIRGEPORT	4 437	525	525	525	11.8	507	507	507	96.6	-	-	-
23	BRISTOL	1 454	102	91	94	6.5	99	89	91	96.8	-	-	-
24	DANBURY	1 383	111	107	111	8.0	107	107	107	96.4	-	-	-
25	HARTFORD	6 006	623	622	622	10.4	604	603	603	96.9	-	-	-
26	MERIDIAN	1 755	112	112	112	6.4	108	108	108	96.4	-	-	-
27	MILFORD	1 581	120	119	120	7.6	116	116	116	96.7	-	-	-
28	NEW BRITAIN	1 735	192	183	185	10.7	187	179	180	97.3	-	-	-
29	NEW HAVEN	3 885	472	453	465	12.0	462	450	455	97.8	1	1	0.2
30	NORWALK	2 300	272	195	223	9.7	259	185	210	94.2	-	-	-
31	STAMFORD	3 399	285	284	284	8.4	275	274	274	96.5	-	-	-
32	WATERBURY	3 244	323	319	323	10.0	312	312	312	96.6	1	1	0.3
33	WEST HAVEN	1 160	101	101	101	8.7	92	92	92	91.1	-	-	-
34	DELAWARE:												
34	WILMINGTON	2 941	383	378	378	12.9	321	321	321	84.9	38	33	33
35	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:												
35	WASHINGTON	45 246	9 525	9 249	9 753	20.7	5 639	5 409	5 492	58.7	921	913	917
	FLORIDA:												
36	CLEARWATER	1 148	212	212	212	18.5	188	188	188	88.7	11	11	5.2
37	FORT LAUDERDALE	2 127	609	605	606	28.5	558	554	555	91.6	38	38	6.3
38	GAINESVILLE	1 132	206	206	206	18.2	205	205	205	99.5	-	-	-
39	HIALEAH	1 027	301	297	298	29.0	283	283	283	95.0	2	1	0.3
40	HOLLYWOOD	1 281	369	358	360	28.1	340	340	340	94.4	16	12	13
41	JACKSONVILLE	8 961	1 807	1 730	1 768	19.7	1 071	1 061	1 065	60.2	354	292	328
42	MIAMI	3 667	1 223	1 223	1 223	33.4	1 195	1 195	1 195	97.7	-	-	-
43	MIAMI BEACH	1 671	436	429	430	25.7	400	400	400	93.0	15	15	3.5
44	ORLANDO	2 562	664	636	639	24.9	572	544	547	85.6	24	24	3.8
45	PENSACOLA	850	165	163	165	19.4	154	154	154	93.3	-	-	-
46	ST. PETERSBURG	3 116	698	697	697	22.4	648	648	648	93.0	-	-	-
47	TALLAHASSEE	2 456	194	193	194	7.9	193	193	193	99.5	-	-	-
48	TAMPA	3 952	842	842	842	21.3	822	822	822	97.6	-	-	-
49	WEST PALM BEACH	828	217	212	213	25.7	188	185	186	87.3	13	11	5.2
	GEORGIA:												
50	ALBANY	839	189	187	189	22.5	187	187	187	98.9	1	-	0.5
51	ATLANTA	8 181	2 079	2 066	2 071	25.3	1 794	1 794	1 794	86.6	84	76	3.8
52	AUGUSTA	850	208	205	214	24.1	191	189	189	92.2	5	4	2.4
53	COLUMBUS	3 867	604	597	601	15.5	413	411	411	68.4	99	96	16.3
54	MACON	1 389	291	291	291	21.0	260	260	260	89.3	13	13	4.5
55	SAVANNAH	1 665	305	305	183	279	279	279	279	91.5	13	13	4.3
	HAWAII:												
56	HONOLULU	8 218	1 981	1 981	1 981	24.1	1 877	1 877	1 877	94.8	-	-	-
	IDAHO:												
57	BOISE CITY	717	173	170	171	23.8	165	162	163	95.3	-	-	-
	ILLINOIS:												
58	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	373	90	90	90	24.1	88	88	88	97.8	2	2	2.2
59	AURORA	547	186	164	173	31.6	181	163	169	97.7	-	-	-
60	BERWYN	247	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	CHAMPAIGN	958	105	100	104	10.9	101	99	100	96.2	-	-	-
62	CHICAGO	46 239	15 853	15 757	15 837	34.3	15 602	15 520	15 595	98.5	33	19	24
63	CICERO	386	103	94	99	25.6	98	93	94	94.9	-	-	0.2
64	DECATUR	418	132	129	129	30.9	129	126	126	97.7	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 394 large city
October 1975—Continued**

Table 17. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	ILLINOIS--CONTINUED													
1	DES PLAINES	382	102	102	102	26.7	100	100	100	98.0	-	-	-	-
2	EAST ST. LOUIS	996	180	178	179	18.0	175	175	175	97.8	-	-	-	-
3	ELGIN	362	147	106	111	30.7	144	104	108	97.3	1	1	1	0.9
4	EVANSTON	775	185	185	185	23.9	181	181	181	97.8	-	-	-	-
5	JOLIET	592	210	206	207	35.0	200	197	198	95.7	-	-	-	-
6	OAK LAWN	332	102	102	102	30.7	102	102	102	100.0	-	-	-	-
7	OAK PARK	577	162	161	162	28.1	160	160	160	98.8	-	-	-	-
8	PEORIA	912	307	307	307	33.7	297	297	297	96.7	-	-	-	-
9	ROCKFORD	1 064	322	321	321	30.2	306	306	306	95.3	-	-	-	-
10	ROCK ISLAND	455	107	103	106	23.3	103	101	102	96.2	2	2	2	1.9
11	SKOKIE	413	113	105	111	26.9	105	104	104	93.7	-	-	-	-
12	SPRINGFIELD	912	207	207	207	22.7	189	189	189	91.3	-	-	-	-
13	WAUKEGAN	492	141	138	141	28.7	137	137	137	97.2	-	-	-	-
14	INDIANA:													
15	ANDERSON	731	182	162	170	23.3	161	157	157	92.4	12	5	6	3.5
16	EVANSVILLE	1 469	593	399	425	26.9	566	380	402	94.6	14	14	14	3.3
17	FORT WAYNE	1 476	399	390	398	27.0	390	388	389	97.7	-	-	-	-
18	GARY	1 949	587	529	550	28.2	509	452	473	86.0	50	49	49	8.9
19	HAMMOND	1 085	267	260	263	24.2	235	235	235	89.4	23	16	19	7.2
20	INDIANAPOLIS	11 474	2 746	2 574	2 657	23.2	1 626	1 611	1 614	60.7	466	417	455	17.1
21	MUNCIE	515	154	140	144	28.0	139	128	129	89.6	12	12	12	8.3
22	SOUTH BEND	1 244	320	315	320	25.7	312	312	312	97.5	-	-	-	-
23	TERRE HAUTE	593	139	136	139	23.4	128	128	128	92.1	6	5	6	4.3
24	IOWA:													
25	CEDAR RAPIDS	1 017	194	188	192	18.9	186	185	185	96.4	-	-	-	-
26	COUNCIL BLUFFS	537	147	146	146	27.2	134	134	134	91.8	-	-	-	-
27	DAVENPORT	776	163	160	161	20.7	152	151	151	93.8	-	-	-	-
28	DES MOINES	2 054	413	404	407	19.8	397	390	392	96.3	-	-	-	-
29	DUBUQUE	472	84	80	84	17.8	79	79	79	94.0	-	-	-	-
30	SIOUX CITY	839	161	158	158	18.8	139	137	137	86.7	-	-	-	-
31	WATERLOO	726	166	159	164	22.6	161	158	159	97.0	-	-	-	-
32	KANSAS:													
33	KANSAS CITY	1 664	512	494	497	29.9	458	440	443	89.1	15	15	15	3.0
34	OVERLAND PARK	274	127	124	125	45.6	112	110	110	88.0	10	9	10	8.0
35	TOPEKA	1 660	346	328	338	20.4	310	303	305	90.2	7	5	6	1.8
36	WICHITA	2 790	619	612	615	22.0	557	554	555	90.2	32	31	31	5.0
37	KENTUCKY:													
38	COVINGTON	423	113	113	113	26.7	103	103	103	91.2	3	3	3	2.7
39	LEXINGTON	2 426	683	667	672	27.7	444	440	441	65.6	95	90	92	13.7
40	LOUISVILLE	5 153	1 209	1 202	1 205	23.4	1 130	1 129	1 130	93.8	52	50	51	4.2
41	OWENSBOURG	1 453	122	120	121	8.3	112	112	112	92.6	6	6	6	5.0
42	LOUISIANA:													
43	BATON ROUGE	3 012	1 406	1 330	1 368	45.4	901	863	872	63.7	313	284	310	22.7
44	LAFAYETTE	874	154	141	152	17.4	135	135	135	88.8	17	6	15	9.9
45	LAKE CHARLES	779	127	108	119	15.3	116	107	112	94.1	7	-	4	3.4
46	MONROE	922	176	176	174	18.9	143	-	143	82.2	11	9	10	5.7
47	NEW ORLEANS	9 362	3 178	3 089	3 089	33.0	1 902	1 862	1 865	60.4	624	602	610	19.7
48	SHREVEPORT	2 502	551	541	550	22.0	486	485	486	88.4	33	33	33	6.0
49	MAINE:													
50	PORTLAND	2 756	218	218	218	7.9	211	211	211	96.8	-	-	-	-
51	MARYLAND:													
52	BALTIMORE	40 158	5 616	5 616	5 616	14.0	4 419	4 419	4 419	78.7	402	402	402	7.2
53	MASSACHUSETTS:													
54	BOSTON	24 613	4 485	4 485	4 485	18.2	2 920	2 920	2 920	65.1	876	876	876	19.5
55	BROCKTON	2 823	233	226	230	8.1	229	225	226	98.3	-	-	-	-
56	CAMBRIDGE	3 608	339	332	333	9.2	328	323	324	97.3	-	-	-	-
57	CHICOPEE	1 718	134	127	132	7.7	129	126	127	96.2	-	-	-	-
58	FALL RIVER	3 018	341	332	337	11.2	318	313	314	93.2	-	-	-	-
59	HOLYOKE	1 417	136	132	134	9.5	131	129	130	97.0	-	-	-	-
60	LAWRENCE	1 902	157	157	157	8.3	154	154	154	98.1	-	-	-	-
61	LOWELL	2 011	218	218	218	10.8	205	205	205	94.0	-	-	-	-
62	LYNN	2 486	192	192	192	7.7	182	182	182	94.8	-	-	-	-
63	MALDEN	1 357	132	126	128	9.4	128	124	125	97.7	-	-	-	-
64	MEDFORD	1 594	136	135	135	8.5	133	132	132	97.8	-	-	-	-
65	NEW BEDFORD	3 021	345	336	341	11.3	334	330	331	97.1	-	-	-	-
66	NEWTON	3 169	256	257	258	8.1	249	248	249	96.5	-	-	-	-
67	PITTSFIELD	1 738	115	112	114	6.6	112	111	111	97.4	-	-	-	-
68	QUINCY	3 890	290	284	286	7.4	285	279	281	98.3	-	-	-	-
69	SOMERVILLE	1 915	178	177	177	9.2	154	154	154	87.0	-	-	-	-
70	SPRINGFIELD	6 197	533	510	510	8.4	508	485	494	95.2	-	-	-	-
71	WALTHAM	1 726	153	153	153	8.9	149	149	149	97.4	-	-	-	-
72	WORCESTER	6 478	574	574	574	8.9	556	556	556	96.9	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 394 large city
October 1975—Continued**

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 17. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments.

Line number	City ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	MICHIGAN:													
1	ANN ARBOR	852	245	219	228	26.8	1'9	185	185	81.1	33	20	27	11.8
2	DEARBORN	1 191	275	258	264	22.2	242	228	232	87.9	18	16	18	6.8
3	DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . .	305	141	133	139	45.6	124	124	124	89.2	15	9	14	10.1
4	DETROIT	15 806	6 811	6 740	6 781	42.9	6 015	5 982	5 990	88.3	474	444	474	7.0
5	FARMINGTON HILLS . . .	167	72	70	71	42.5	72	70	71	100.0	-	-	-	-
6	FLINT	3 844	539	528	537	14.0	461	458	459	85.5	46	38	46	8.6
7	GRAND RAPIDS	1 944	510	448	466	24.0	414	369	379	81.3	54	49	54	11.6
8	KALAMAZOO	856	247	239	245	28.6	199	198	198	80.8	34	27	33	13.5
9	LANSING	1 578	465	415	429	27.2	321	316	318	74.1	45	40	45	10.5
10	LINCOLN PARK	287	97	86	30.0	83	73	74	86.0	10	4	8	9.3	
11	LIVONIA	645	205	194	198	30.7	178	172	173	87.4	16	13	15	7.6
12	PONTIAC	9 625	314	305	311	11.8	263	260	261	83.9	31	27	31	10.0
13	ROSEVILLE	358	119	116	119	33.2	100	100	100	84.0	19	16	19	16.0
14	ROYAL OAK	453	147	130	134	29.6	112	112	112	83.6	15	13	15	11.2
15	SAGINAW	1 156	264	257	259	22.4	253	246	248	95.8	-	-	-	-
16	SOUTHFIELD	600	208	199	203	33.8	159	158	158	77.8	34	28	32	15.8
17	ST. CLAIR SHORES . . .	452	118	113	117	25.9	108	107	107	91.5	8	6	8	6.8
18	STERLING HEIGHTS . . .	478	191	182	187	39.1	171	167	168	89.8	20	15	19	10.2
19	TAYLOR	438	119	116	118	28.2	104	109	109	92.4	9	6	8	6.8
20	WARREN	1 011	318	310	316	31.3	280	250	280	88.6	30	22	28	8.9
21	WESTLAND	439	132	124	128	29.2	110	107	108	84.4	20	15	18	14.1
22	WYOMING	358	113	113	113	31.6	104	104	104	92.0	8	8	8	7.1
23	MINNESOTA:													
23	BLOOMINGTON	420	104	104	104	24.8	98	98	98	94.2	-	-	-	-
24	DULUTH	998	214	214	214	21.4	202	202	202	94.4	-	-	-	-
25	MINNEAPOLIS	5 194	1 058	1 058	1 058	20.4	941	941	941	88.9	-	-	-	-
26	ROCHESTER	465	107	107	107	23.0	103	103	103	96.3	-	-	-	-
27	ST. PAUL	3 066	829	819	822	26.8	769	759	762	92.7	-	-	-	-
28	MISSISSIPPI:													
28	BILOXI	472	108	105	107	22.7	103	103	103	96.3	3	2	3	2.8
29	JACKSON	2 478	523	504	517	20.9	455	436	449	86.8	19	19	19	3.7
30	MISSOURI:													
30	COLUMBIA	462	105	103	103	22.3	95	94	94	91.3	5	4	4	3.9
31	FLORISSANT	220	84	79	82	37.3	77	77	77	93.9	5	2	3	3.7
32	INDEPENDENCE	822	203	197	201	24.5	183	182	182	90.5	13	12	12	6.0
33	KANSAS CITY	5 935	1 964	1 947	1 956	33.0	1 719	1 707	1 712	87.5	76	72	76	3.9
34	ST. JOSEPH	659	167	164	165	25.0	156	154	155	93.9	6	5	5	3.0
35	ST. LOUIS	13 036	3 978	3 978	3 978	30.5	2 785	2 785	2 785	70.0	451	451	451	11.3
36	SPRINGFIELD	1 167	251	231	235	20.1	232	214	217	92.3	12	12	12	5.1
37	MONTANA:													
37	BILLINGS	637	126	126	126	19.8	120	120	120	95.2	6	6	6	4.8
38	GREAT FALLS	484	105	100	104	21.5	89	89	89	85.6	6	3	5	4.8
39	NEBRASKA:													
39	LINCOLN	2 417	380	374	375	15.5	276	275	275	73.3	42	38	39	10.4
40	OMAHA	3 655	608	776	781	21.4	701	677	680	87.1	64	57	58	7.4
41	NEVADA:													
41	LAS VEGAS	1 136	50	48	48	4.2	-	-	-	-	27	27	27	56.3
42	RENO	954	330	329	329	34.5	292	291	291	88.4	12	12	12	3.6
43	NEW HAMPSHIRE:													
43	MANCHESTER	2 431	217	215	216	8.9	190	189	189	87.5	15	15	15	6.9
44	NASHUA	1 572	170	163	166	10.6	143	143	143	86.1	16	14	15	9.0
45	NEW JERSEY:													
45	BAYONNE	1 583	221	221	221	14.0	204	204	204	92.3	9	9	9	4.1
46	BLOOMFIELD	485	143	143	143	29.5	133	133	133	93.0	6	6	6	4.2
47	CAMDEN	1 864	485	485	485	26.0	446	446	446	92.0	22	22	22	4.5
48	CLIFTON	1 323	222	166	183	13.8	211	159	172	94.0	7	6	7	3.8
49	EAST ORANGE	2 212	364	363	363	16.4	312	312	312	86.0	36	36	36	9.9
50	ELIZABETH	2 738	378	378	378	13.8	358	358	358	94.7	10	10	10	2.6
51	IRVINGTON	672	226	226	226	33.6	202	202	202	89.4	14	14	14	6.2
52	JERSEY CITY	8 560	1 204	1 195	1 199	14.0	1 126	1 126	1 126	93.9	54	46	50	4.2
53	NEWARK	18 057	1 894	1 894	1 894	10.5	1 778	1 778	1 778	92.9	86	86	86	4.5
54	PASSAIC	463	198	198	198	42.8	177	177	177	89.4	7	7	7	3.5
55	PATERSON	3 718	436	435	435	11.7	403	403	403	92.6	24	23	23	5.3
56	TRENTON	1 902	529	528	528	27.8	498	498	498	94.3	18	18	18	3.4
57	UNION CITY	924	214	211	211	22.8	187	183	184	87.2	20	20	20	9.5
58	VINELAND	1 563	147	114	118	7.5	139	108	110	93.2	8	6	8	6.8
59	NEW MEXICO:													
59	ALBUQUERQUE	3 407	848	848	848	24.9	661	661	661	77.9	61	61	61	7.2
60	NEW YORK:													
60	ALBANY	2 616	524	486	499	19.1	449	447	448	89.8	55	22	33	6.6
61	BINGHAMTON	1 830	180	180	157	9.8	157	157	157	87.2	18	18	18	10.0
62	BUFFALO	13 008	1 560	1 560	1 259	12.0	1 451	1 451	1 451	93.0	67	67	67	4.3
63	MOUNT VERNON	852	256	256	30.3	224	223	224	86.8	17	15	16	6.2	

See footnotes at end of table.

**distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 394 large city
October 1975—Continued**

Legal services and prosecution			Public defense			Corrections			Other criminal justice			Line number
Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Line number
Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		
7	6	6	2.6	3	1.3	12	5	7	3.1	-	-	1
9	8	8	3.0	-	-	6	6	6	2.3	-	-	2
-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	0.7	-	-	3
95	89	92	1.4	-	-	227	225	225	3.3	-	-	4
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
5	5	5	0.9	-	-	27	27	27	5.0	-	-	6
17	16	17	3.6	-	-	19	8	10	2.1	-	6	7
11	11	11	4.5	-	-	3	3	3	1.2	-	-	8
9	9	9	2.1	-	-	90	50	57	13.3	-	-	9
2	-	2	2.3	-	-	2	2	2	2.3	-	-	10
7	7	7	3.5	-	-	4	2	3	1.5	-	-	11
12	10	11	3.5	-	-	8	8	8	2.6	-	-	12
-	3	3	2.2	-	-	-	2	4	3.0	-	-	13
11	11	11	4.2	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	14
8	7	7	3.4	-	-	-	6	6	3.0	-	-	15
2	-	2	1.7	-	-	1	1	1	0.8	-	-	16
-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	1.6	-	-	17
8	8	8	2.5	-	-	1	1	1	0.9	-	-	18
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
6	6	6	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
12	12	12	5.6	-	-	74	74	74	7.0	-	-	21
43	43	43	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
4	4	4	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
35	35	35	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
2	-	1	0.9	-	-	33	33	33	6.4	-	-	25
16	16	16	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
5	5	5	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
2	-	2	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
7	3	7	3.5	-	-	117	117	117	6.0	-	-	29
52	51	51	2.6	-	-	597	597	597	15.0	-	-	30
5	5	5	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31
141	141	141	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
7	5	6	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
2	-	2	1.9	-	-	8	8	8	7.7	-	-	35
21	20	20	5.3	-	-	41	41	41	10.9	-	-	36
34	33	34	4.4	-	-	3	3	3	0.4	-	-	37
23	21	21	43.8	-	-	15	15	15	4.6	-	-	38
11	11	11	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
4	3	4	1.9	-	-	8	8	8	3.7	-	-	40
1	-	1	0.6	-	-	6	5	5	3.0	-	-	41
7	7	7	3.2	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
4	4	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
17	17	17	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44
4	1	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
11	10	10	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
10	10	10	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
10	10	10	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48
20	19	19	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49
30	30	30	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50
4	4	4	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
9	9	9	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
9	8	8	1.5	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
5	5	5	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
26	26	26	3.1	-	-	100	100	100	11.8	-	-	56
17	14	15	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	57
5	5	5	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
42	42	42	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	59
8	8	8	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	60

Table 17. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal Justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	NEW YORK--CONTINUED NEW ROCHELLE	1 030	240	238	238	23.1	217	215	215	90.3	16	16	16	6.7
		304 562	54 454	53 288	53 661	17.6	40 913	39 750	40 123	74.8	5 491	5 491	5 491	10.2
		1 306	277	267	275	21.1	249	249	249	90.5	18	14	16	5.8
		9 745	859	859	859	8.8	776	776	776	90.3	50	50	50	5.8
		765	85	79	80	10.5	72	68	69	86.2	8	7	7	8.7
		847	197	184	190	22.4	178	171	172	90.5	11	10	10	5.3
		5 951	593	592	592	9.9	545	544	544	91.9	30	30	30	5.1
		658	184	158	172	26.1	164	141	154	89.5	13	10	11	6.4
		929	236	229	236	25.4	202	202	202	85.6	24	24	24	10.2
		905	263	240	251	27.7	225	216	224	89.2	27	13	16	6.4
		5 266	632	628	629	11.9	543	539	540	85.9	45	45	45	7.2
NORTH CAROLINA:														
12	ASHEVILLE	1 101	157	156	156	14.2	147	147	147	94.2	-	-	-	-
13	CHARLOTTE	3 561	675	674	675	19.0	663	663	663	98.2	-	-	-	-
14	DURHAM	1 392	320	319	319	22.9	314	313	313	98.1	-	-	-	-
15	FAYETTEVILLE	750	165	162	163	21.7	163	160	161	98.8	-	-	-	-
16	GREENSBORO	1 994	468	468	468	23.5	463	463	463	98.9	-	-	-	-
17	HIGH POINT	1 057	173	173	173	16.4	170	170	170	98.3	-	-	-	-
18	RALEIGH	1 806	347	347	347	19.2	342	342	342	98.6	-	-	-	-
19	WILMINGTON	730	122	122	122	16.7	121	121	121	99.2	-	-	-	-
20	WINSTON-SALEM	2 019	421	417	419	20.8	417	413	415	99.0	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:														
21	FARGO	473	111	106	106	22.4	101	97	97	91.5	6	5	5	4.7
OHIO:														
22	AKRON	2 798	615	609	613	21.9	486	486	486	79.3	84	80	83	13.5
23	CANTON	1 175	292	286	288	24.5	227	225	226	78.5	43	43	43	14.9
24	CINCINNATI	16 845	2 991	1 938	1 998	11.9	1 604	1 482	1 524	76.3	209	195	209	10.5
25	CLEVELAND	9 468	2 982	2 923	2 949	31.1	2 569	2 540	2 545	86.3	246	229	246	8.3
26	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	434	115	109	113	26.0	93	93	93	82.3	15	12	14	12.4
27	COLUMBUS	6 144	1 745	1 730	1 744	28.4	1 400	1 398	1 399	80.2	211	198	211	12.1
28	DAYTON	3 330	793	795	793	23.8	608	608	608	76.7	77	70	77	9.7
29	ELYRIA	447	105	98	102	22.8	70	70	70	68.6	22	22	22	21.6
30	EUCLID	574	141	135	137	23.9	120	118	118	86.1	13	11	12	8.8
31	HAMILTON	569	148	144	146	25.7	125	125	125	85.6	16	14	16	11.0
32	KETTERING	329	113	104	111	33.7	82	80	81	73.0	19	13	18	16.2
33	LAKewood	1 404	113	100	104	7.4	93	84	87	83.7	15	12	13	12.5
34	LIMA	422	159	135	152	36.0	111	103	106	69.7	29	22	29	19.1
35	LORAIN	651	127	123	125	19.2	92	89	91	72.8	18	18	18	14.4
36	MANSFIELD	587	169	160	167	28.4	123	123	123	73.7	34	25	32	19.2
37	PARMA	522	164	146	150	28.7	115	109	110	73.3	36	29	30	20.0
38	SPRINGFIELD	695	201	193	198	28.5	152	152	152	76.8	26	23	26	13.1
39	TOLEDO	3 595	1 036	1 021	1 031	28.7	830	824	826	80.1	113	105	113	11.0
40	WARREN	564	154	152	153	27.1	107	106	107	69.9	35	35	35	22.9
41	YOUNGSTOWN	1 461	402	399	400	27.4	308	308	308	77.0	53	50	51	12.7
OKLAHOMA:														
42	LAWTON	632	180	177	177	28.0	148	148	148	83.6	17	14	14	7.9
43	MIDWEST	753	87	84	87	11.6	78	78	78	89.7	3	2	3	3.4
44	NORMAN	1 087	116	111	112	10.3	103	102	102	91.1	8	6	7	6.3
45	OKLAHOMA CITY	3 464	839	837	838	24.2	715	713	714	85.2	35	35	35	6.2
46	TULSA	2 901	865	864	864	29.8	743	743	743	86.0	48	48	48	5.6
OREGON:														
47	EUGENE	928	231	230	230	24.8	215	215	215	93.5	16	15	15	6.5
48	PORTLAND	4 269	988	981	986	23.1	939	935	937	95.0	-	-	-	-
49	SALEM	941	180	177	177	18.8	164	164	164	92.7	7	5	5	2.8
PENNSYLVANIA:														
50	ALLEGTON	901	202	201	201	22.3	196	196	196	97.5	-	-	-	-
51	ALTOONA	455	116	108	110	24.2	114	106	108	98.2	-	-	-	-
52	BETHLEHEM	672	167	167	167	24.9	163	163	163	97.6	-	-	-	-
53	CHESTER	694	194	193	193	27.8	187	187	187	96.9	-	-	-	-
54	ERIE	1 075	264	263	264	24.6	242	241	242	91.7	12	12	12	4.5
55	HARRISBURG	809	198	196	196	24.2	195	194	194	99.0	-	-	-	-
56	LANCASTER	631	204	159	172	27.3	204	159	172	100.0	3 213	3 213	3 213	21.5
57	PHILADELPHIA	36 910	14 974	14 974	14 974	40.6	9 306	9 306	9 306	62.1	3 213	3 213	3 213	2.1
58	PITTSBURGH	5 303	1 526	1 525	1 526	28.8	1 460	1 460	1 460	95.7	32	32	32	-
59	READING	802	203	202	203	25.3	194	194	194	95.6	-	-	-	-
60	SCRANTON	759	191	191	191	25.2	182	182	182	95.3	-	-	-	-
61	WILKES-BARRE	532	113	113	113	21.2	109	109	109	96.5	-	-	-	-
62	YORK	501	116	116	116	23.2	113	113	113	97.4	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:														
63	CRANSTON	1 857	131	124	130	7.0	126	124	125	96.2	1	-	1	0.8
64	PAWTUCKET	1 679	189	185	189	11.3	181	181	181	95.8	4	-	4	2.1
65	PROVIDENCE	4 351	492	491	491	11.3	459	458	458	93.3	24	24	24	6.9
66	WARWICK	2 503	193	187	192	7.7	187	186	186	96.9	1	-	1	0.5

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

113

**distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 394 large city
October 1975—Continued**

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 17. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees ²	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
SOUTH CAROLINA:														
1 CHARLESTON		1 006	229	225	229	22.8	219	219	219	95.6	6	6	6	2.6
2 COLUMBIA		1 389	338	311	318	22.9	245	243	244	76.7	28	27	27	8.5
3 GREENVILLE		791	197	195	195	24.7	187	186	186	95.4	5	5	5	2.6
SOUTH DAKOTA:														
4 SIOUX FALLS		685	136	133	136	19.9	133	133	133	97.8	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:														
5 CHATTANOOGA		5 185	491	489	490	9.5	470	468	469	95.7	19	19	19	3.9
6 KNOXVILLE		5 709	550	547	549	9.6	492	489	491	89.4	9	9	9	1.6
7 MEMPHIS		18 635	1 797	1 785	1 797	9.6	1 595	1 583	1 595	88.8	74	74	74	4.1
8 NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON		16 379	1 755	1 719	1 731	10.6	1 138	1 136	1 138	65.7	327	321	323	18.7
TEXAS:														
9 ABILENE		851	157	150	152	17.9	139	132	134	88.2	7	7	7	4.6
10 AMARILLO		1 242	286	279	282	22.7	252	246	249	88.3	14	13	13	4.6
11 ARLINGTON		843	212	207	208	24.7	182	177	178	85.6	14	14	14	6.7
12 AUSTIN		5 193	726	710	716	13.8	658	658	658	91.9	49	34	40	5.6
13 BEAUMONT		1 107	256	254	254	22.9	227	227	227	89.4	14	12	12	4.7
14 BROWNSVILLE		597	112	112	112	18.8	105	105	105	93.8	5	5	5	4.5
15 CORPUS CHRISTI		2 375	469	469	469	19.7	404	404	404	86.1	30	30	30	6.4
16 DALLAS		10 861	2 854	2 845	2 848	26.2	2 558	2 558	2 558	89.8	141	133	136	4.8
17 EL PASO		3 176	740	740	740	23.3	692	692	692	93.5	35	35	35	4.7
18 FORT WORTH		3 978	975	952	957	24.1	871	848	853	89.1	48	48	48	5.0
19 GALVESTON		961	160	158	158	16.4	139	139	139	88.0	11	11	11	7.0
20 GARLAND		809	176	165	170	21.0	160	152	155	91.2	4	4	4	2.4
21 GRAND PRAIRIE		378	83	83	83	22.0	71	71	71	85.5	5	5	5	6.0
22 HOUSTON		13 101	3 496	3 495	3 495	26.7	3 096	3 096	3 096	88.6	156	156	156	4.5
23 IRVING		747	154	153	153	20.5	132	132	132	86.3	10	9	9	5.9
24 LAREDO		669	92	92	92	13.8	84	84	84	91.3	6	6	6	6.5
25 LUBBOCK		1 438	289	289	289	20.1	258	258	258	89.3	17	17	17	5.9
26 MESQUITE		492	126	125	125	25.4	120	120	120	96.0	3	3	3	2.4
27 MIDLAND		551	156	135	142	25.8	145	126	132	93.0	7	6	7	4.9
28 ODESSA		427	152	152	152	35.6	133	133	133	89.9	11	11	11	7.4
29 PASADENA		592	182	182	182	30.7	158	158	158	88.8	12	12	12	6.6
30 PORT ARTHUR		589	99	98	99	18.3	89	89	89	89.9	3	2	3	3.0
31 RICHARDSON		491	86	86	87	17.7	81	81	81	93.1	7	5	6	6.9
32 SAN ANGELO		580	125	125	125	21.6	106	106	106	84.8	11	11	11	8.8
33 SAN ANTONIO		7 079	1 620	1 619	1 619	22.9	1 525	1 525	1 525	94.2	62	61	61	3.8
34 TYLER		581	127	127	127	21.9	116	116	116	91.3	6	6	6	4.7
35 WACO		1 276	256	245	249	19.5	233	223	226	90.8	9	8	9	3.6
36 WICHITA FALLS		1 122	176	175	176	15.7	152	152	152	86.4	13	12	13	7.4
UTAH:														
37 OGDEN		589	180	178	178	30.2	156	146	146	82.0	28	26	26	14.6
38 PROVO		353	66	73	77	21.8	70	62	64	83.1	10	8	9	11.7
39 SALT LAKE CITY		2 068	591	518	530	25.6	523	480	482	87.2	51	51	51	9.6
VIRGINIA:														
40 ALEXANDRIA		3 001	386	383	383	12.8	268	268	268	70.0	50	49	49	12.8
41 CHESAPEAKE		3 755	345	343	344	9.2	224	224	224	65.1	28	27	27	7.8
42 HAMPTON		4 801	296	256	269	5.6	213	21	213	79.2	13	13	13	4.8
43 LYNCHBURG		1 634	209	166	182	11.1	139	13	139	76.4	1	1	1	0.5
44 NEWPORT NEWS		5 012	490	467	483	9.6	275	275	275	56.9	49	40	48	9.9
45 NORFOLK		10 811	845	759	787	7.3	636	636	636	80.8	35	35	35	4.4
46 PORTSMOUTH		4 096	345	278	316	7.7	244	244	244	77.2	36	28	32	10.1
47 RICHMOND		9 943	1 052	846	927	9.3	699	699	699	75.4	138	94	114	12.3
48 ROANOKE		3 535	364	356	358	10.1	216	216	216	60.3	44	44	44	12.3
49 VIRGINIA BEACH		6 754	531	526	528	7.8	402	402	402	76.1	49	44	46	8.7
WASHINGTON:														
50 BELLEVUE		551	138	133	134	24.3	116	114	114	85.1	3	3	3	2.2
51 EVERETT		522	116	107	110	21.1	109	104	105	95.5	-	-	-	-
52 SEATTLE		6 661	1 555	1 529	1 537	23.1	1 351	1 345	1 347	87.6	131	118	122	7.9
53 SPOKANE		1 567	359	359	359	22.9	319	319	319	88.9	22	22	22	6.1
54 TACOMA		2 251	382	379	380	16.9	322	319	320	84.2	26	26	26	6.8
WEST VIRGINIA:														
55 CHARLESTOWN		1 078	210	210	210	19.5	199	199	199	94.8	8	8	8	3.8
56 HUNTINGTON		672	174	172	173	25.7	167	167	167	96.5	3	2	3	1.7
WISCONSIN:														
57 APPLETON		1 486	113	110	110	7.4	110	109	109	99.1	-	-	-	-
58 GREEN BAY		2 509	199	195	197	7.9	192	190	190	96.4	3	3	3	1.5
59 KENOSHA		687	182	182	182	20.5	174	174	174	95.6	3	3	3	1.6
60 LA CROSSE		1 158	104	98	102	8.8	100	98	98	96.1	1	1	1	1.0
61 MADISON		4 688	385	384	384	7.9	370	370	370	96.4	-	-	-	-
62 MILWAUKEE		9 236	2 331	2 328	2 328	25.2	2 272	2 269	2 269	97.5	-	-	-	-
63 OSHKOSH		1 406	106	103	104	7.4	100	99	99	95.2	-	-	-	-
64 RACINE		1 005	290	290	289	8.9	281	281	281	96.9	2	2	2	0.7
65 WAUWATOSA		1 331	124	123	124	9.3	120	120	120	96.8	2	1	2	1.6
66 WEST ALLIS		1 688	155	153	155	9.2	150	150	150	96.8	1	1	1	0.6

* Represents zero or rounds to zero. Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown. ¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations. ²Employees of city-operated utilities are excluded.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

115

**distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 394 large city
October 1975—Continued**

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	1 450 937	331 182	22.8	276 501	83.5	21 771	6.6
ALABAMA:							
BIRMINGHAM	2 793	950	34.0	834	87.8	27	2.8
GADSDEN	456	85	18.6	76	90.1	2	2.1
HUNTSVILLE	1 673	229	13.7	209	91.3	5	2.2
MOBILE	1 488	356	23.9	332	93.5	7	2.1
MONTGOMERY	1 453	283	19.4	249	87.9	12	4.3
TUSCALOOSA	1 477	157	10.6	146	93.2	7	4.7
ARIZONA:							
GLENDALE	457	138	30.3	128	92.3	4	3.1
MESA	634	141	22.2	130	92.6	6	4.1
PHOENIX	7 119	2 125	29.8	1 915	90.1	102	4.8
SCOTTSDALE	676	174	25.7	160	92.1	5	2.8
TEMPE	642	169	26.4	155	91.7	6	3.4
TUCSON	3 453	774	22.4	708	91.5	24	3.0
ARKANSAS:							
FORT SMITH	426	86	20.2	74	85.5	8	9.3
LITTLE ROCK	1 169	296	25.3	261	88.2	10	3.5
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	484	144	31.7	126	87.4	8	5.4
PINE BLUFF	307	86	27.9	74	86.1	6	6.6
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	653	191	29.2	186	97.3	-	-
ALHAMBRA	472	152	32.3	150	98.5	-	-
ANAHEIM	1 920	538	28.0	506	94.0	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	852	254	29.8	244	96.1	-	-
BELLFLOWER	93	7	8.0	5	73.6	-	-
BERKELEY	1 813	387	21.3	372	96.0	-	-
BUENA PARK	458	153	33.3	150	98.6	-	-
BURBANK	1 318	316	24.0	289	91.6	-	-
CARSON	279	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	621	142	22.8	135	95.3	-	-
COMPTON	773	256	33.2	245	95.5	-	-
CONCORD	677	240	35.5	235	97.8	-	-
COSTA MESA	737	247	33.5	239	96.8	-	-
DALY CITY	479	151	31.5	148	98.3	-	-
DOWNEY	504	170	33.8	170	100.0	-	-
EL CAJON	470	121	25.7	118	97.3	-	-
EL MONTE	432	151	34.9	146	97.0	-	-
FREMONT	774	233	30.1	224	96.1	-	-
FRESNO	2 560	551	21.5	531	96.4	-	-
FULLERTON	657	207	31.5	203	98.4	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	815	247	30.3	243	98.2	-	-
GLENDALE	1 355	305	22.5	293	96.3	-	-
HAWTHORNE	413	124	30.0	114	91.8	-	-
HAYWARD	833	233	28.0	218	93.3	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	1 236	393	31.8	374	95.3	-	-
INGLEWOOD	1 195	373	31.2	345	92.6	-	-
LAKWOOD	239	13	5.5	13	100.0	-	-
LONG BEACH	6 098	1 486	24.4	1 289	86.7	-	-
LOS ANGELES	17 831	16 515	34.5	15 804	95.7	-	-
MONTEREY	780	194	24.6	186	95.9	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	503	128	25.4	122	95.6	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	849	254	29.9	244	95.9	-	-
YONKERS	142	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	5 533	1 499	27.1	1 370	91.4	-	-
ONTARIO	625	155	24.8	153	99.1	-	-
ORANGE	725	229	31.6	221	96.5	-	-
OXFORD	802	192	23.9	183	95.4	-	-
PALO ALTO	940	208	22.1	196	94.3	-	-
PASADENA	1 652	432	26.2	400	92.5	-	-
PICO RIVERA	112	2	1.6	-	-	-	-
POMONA	1 105	255	23.1	235	92.2	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	614	135	22.0	126	93.7	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	524	109	20.8	100	92.0	-	-
RICHMOND	1 163	331	28.4	318	96.4	-	-
RIVERSIDE	1 561	376	24.1	364	96.7	-	-
SACRAMENTO	3 671	1 002	27.3	985	98.3	-	-
SALINAS	547	161	29.4	145	90.1	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	1 354	343	25.4	333	96.9	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	583	130	22.3	127	98.1	-	-
SAN DIEGO	6 912	2 047	29.6	1 915	93.5	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	21 911	5 143	23.5	3 267	63.5	702	13.6
SAN JOSE	4 842	1 375	28.4	1 317	95.8	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	638	169	26.5	160	94.5	-	-
SAN MATEO	696	186	26.7	179	96.6	-	-
SANTA ANA	1 586	524	33.1	503	95.9	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	935	231	24.7	221	95.5	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	11 196	3.4	194	0.1	20 216	6.1	1 304	0.4
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	27	2.9	-	-	62	6.5	-	-
GADSDEN	2	2.4	-	-	5	5.4	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	6	2.6	-	-	9	3.9	-	-
MOBILE	3	0.9	-	-	8	2.2	5	1.4
MONTGOMERY	5	1.7	-	-	17	6.1	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDALE	4	2.6	-	-	3	2.0	-	-
MESA	4	2.8	1	0.5	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	103	4.9	-	-	2	0.1	2	0.1
SCOTTSDALE	9	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	8	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	42	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	1	1.4	-	-	3	3.8	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	8	2.8	-	-	16	5.5	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	4	2.7	-	-	6	4.5	-	-
PINE BLUFF	2	2.1	-	-	4	5.1	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	5	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	2	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	21	3.8	-	-	12	2.2	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	10	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	2	26.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	15	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	19	5.9	-	-	8	2.5	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	7	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	11	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	5	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	5	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	3	1.7	-	-	3	1.3	-	-
DOWNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	3	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	5	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	7	3.0	-	-	-	-	2	0.9
FRESNO	20	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	3	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	4	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	11	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	10	8.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	8	3.4	-	-	-	-	8	3.3
HUNTINGTON BEACH	18	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	19	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	128	8.6	-	-	69	4.6	-	-
LOS ANGELES	711	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	8	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	6	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	7	2.7	-	-	4	1.4	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	39	2.6	-	-	89	5.9	-	-
ONTARIO	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	8	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	9	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	12	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	25	5.7	-	-	8	1.9	-	-
PICO RIVERA	2	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	4	1.6	-	-	16	6.1	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	3	2.4	-	-	5	3.9	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	9	8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	12	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	12	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	17	1.7	-	-	-	-	11	7.0
SALINAS	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	11	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	2	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	123	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	358	6.6	100	1.9	714	13.9	21	0.4
SAN JOSE	58	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	9	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	6	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	21	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	10	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED							
SANTA CLARA	872	210	24.0	202	96.5	-	-
SANTA MONICA	1 088	302	27.7	274	90.6	-	-
SANTA ROSA	514	113	21.9	109	96.8	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	229	84	36.5	78	92.9	-	-
SOUTH GATE	304	158	52.0	152	96.1	-	-
STOCKTON	1 690	348	20.6	336	96.6	-	-
SUNNYVALE	771	131	17.0	123	93.6	-	-
TORRANCE	1 669	463	27.8	458	94.4	-	-
VALLEJO	485	164	33.8	158	96.4	-	-
WEST COVINA	414	137	33.0	137	100.0	-	-
WESTMINSTER	405	150	36.9	146	97.3	-	-
WHITTIER	450	170	37.8	170	100.0	-	-
COLORADO:							
ARVADA	279	104	37.5	97	92.5	3	3.1
AURORA	873	248	28.4	228	91.9	10	3.9
BOULDER	730	162	22.2	145	89.2	9	5.5
COLORADO SPRINGS	1 624	444	27.4	415	93.3	13	2.9
DENVER	12 157	2 773	22.8	2 067	74.6	248	8.9
FORT COLLINS	326	86	26.5	80	92.8	3	3.7
LAKWOOD	499	516	103.6	478	92.5	19	3.7
PUEBLO	779	231	29.6	217	93.9	6	2.7
CONNECTICUT:							
BRIDGEPORT	4 369	602	13.8	584	97.0	-	-
BRISTOL	1 543	87	5.6	84	96.9	-	-
DANBURY	1 543	118	7.7	115	97.5	-	-
HARTFORD	6 383	750	11.8	724	96.5	-	-
MERIDEN	1 683	107	6.4	102	95.4	-	-
MILFORD	1 632	122	7.5	117	96.5	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	1 761	186	10.6	182	97.7	-	-
NEW HAVEN	3 850	485	12.6	477	98.2	1	0.1
NORWALK	2 324	214	9.2	201	94.2	-	-
STAMFORD	3 619	310	8.6	297	96.0	-	-
WATERBURY	3 332	327	9.8	317	97.0	1	0.2
WEST HAVEN	1 099	107	9.7	98	92.0	-	-
DELAWARE:							
WILMINGTON	2 952	385	13.0	326	84.8	32	8.2
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:							
WASHINGTON	56 005	12 324	22.0	7 053	57.2	1 184	9.6
FLORIDA:							
CLEARWATER	795	216	27.2	197	90.9	7	3.5
FORT LAUDERDALE	2 183	683	31.3	638	93.3	33	4.9
GAINESVILLE	900	231	25.7	229	98.8	-	-
HIALEAH	1 213	365	30.1	353	96.7	(Z)	0.1
HOLLYWOOD	1 303	416	31.9	396	95.3	11	2.6
JACKSONVILLE	7 138	1 669	23.4	1 087	65.1	262	15.7
MIAMI	4 731	1 402	29.6	1 361	97.0	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	1 916	533	27.8	498	93.5	14	2.7
ORLANDO	2 296	671	29.2	598	89.2	20	3.0
PENSACOLA	799	182	22.7	170	95.5	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	2 572	767	29.8	725	94.5	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	1 831	173	9.5	173	99.7	-	-
TAMPA	3 427	829	24.2	805	97.0	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	775	229	29.6	205	89.4	8	3.6
GEORGIA:							
ALBANY	569	164	28.9	163	99.0	1	0.6
ATLANTA	6 831	2 036	29.8	1 768	86.8	76	3.7
AUGUSTA	494	145	29.3	135	92.9	3	2.0
COLUMBUS	2 360	471	19.9	313	66.5	92	19.5
MACON	902	217	24.1	194	89.5	10	4.4
SAVANNAH	1 207	272	22.5	249	91.8	10	3.6
HAWAII:							
HONOLULU	8 009	2 234	27.9	2 088	93.5	-	-
IDaho:							
BOISE CITY	597	163	27.3	154	94.3	-	-
ILLINOIS:							
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	443	122	27.4	120	98.6	2	1.4
AURORA	641	195	30.4	190	97.7	-	-
BERWYN	236	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	903	123	13.6	119	96.4	-	-
CHICAGO	52 738	21 365	40.5	21 088	98.7	19	0.1
CICERO	343	108	31.4	103	95.3	-	-
DECATUR	418	149	35.5	144	96.9	-	-
DES PLAINES	457	130	28.5	128	98.1	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	847	171	20.3	167	97.3	-	-
ELGIN	416	128	30.7	125	97.9	1	0.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA	7	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	28	9.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	4	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	6	7.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	3	1.7	-	-	4	2.2	-	-
STOCKTON	12	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	8	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	18	5.8	-	-	8	1.7	-	-
VALLEJO	6	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.7
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	5	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	10	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	9	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	17	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	205	7.4	-	-	253	9.1	-	-
FORT COLLINS	3	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	20	3.8	-	-	8	3.3	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BIDGEPORT	13	2.2	-	-	-	-	5	0.8
BRISTOL	3	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	3	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	26	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	5	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	8	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	6	2.7
STAMFORD	12	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	9	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	6	5.4	-	-	-	-	3	2.6
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	27	7.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	271	2.2	-	-	3 766	30.6	49	0.4
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	7	3.4	-	-	5	2.3	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	11	1.7	1	0.2	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	3	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	1	0.3	-	(Z)	10	2.8	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	9	2.1	-	(Z)	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	50	3.0	-	-	259	15.5	12	0.7
MIAMI	42	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	14	2.6	1	0.2	5	1.0	-	-
ORLANDO	6	0.9	-	-	44	6.5	3	0.4
PENSACOLA	4	2.2	-	-	8	4.3	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	15	1.9	-	-	18	2.3	10	1.2
TALLAHASSEE	1	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	25	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	7	3.2	-	-	9	3.8	-	-
GEORGIA:								
ATL. ANY	1	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	46	2.3	4	0.2	122	6.0	20	1.0
AUGUSTA	2	1.6	-	-	5	3.4	-	-
COLUMBUS	24	5.0	2	0.5	40	8.5	-	-
MACON	2	0.7	-	-	12	5.4	-	-
SAVANNAH	3	0.9	-	-	10	3.6	-	-
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	146	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDaho:								
BOISE CITY	9	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	4	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	259	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	5	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	5	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	2	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	5	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	2	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS—CONTINUED							
EVANSTON	909	231	25.5	226	97.7	-	-
JOLIET	780	263	33.7	249	95.0	-	-
OAK LAWN	423	130	30.6	130	100.0	-	-
OAK PARK	646	170	26.4	167	97.9	-	-
PEORIA	1 163	390	33.5	376	96.4	-	-
ROCKFORD	1 244	398	32.0	382	96.0	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	432	105	24.4	103	97.7	1	1.3
SKOKIE	488	139	28.5	132	94.9	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	788	200	25.4	185	92.4	-	-
WAUKEGAN	604	167	27.6	162	97.1	-	-
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	520	139	26.7	132	95.0	4	2.6
EVANSVILLE	1 106	323	29.2	304	94.1	10	3.0
FORT WAYNE	1 306	387	29.6	379	97.9	-	-
GARY	1 589	481	30.3	425	88.4	32	6.6
HAMMOND	814	282	34.7	258	91.2	16	5.6
INDIANAPOLIS	8 097	2 255	27.8	1 519	67.3	294	13.0
MUNCIE	467	121	25.9	111	91.6	8	6.5
SOUTH BEND	991	281	28.4	276	98.2	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	379	98	25.9	91	92.8	3	3.4
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	885	194	21.9	188	96.6	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	485	141	29.1	131	92.6	-	-
DAVENPORT	746	162	21.7	150	92.9	-	-
DES MOINES	1 955	411	21.0	391	95.1	-	-
DUBUQUE	475	83	17.6	80	95.6	-	-
SIOUX CITY	754	158	20.9	134	85.0	-	-
WATERLOO	664	163	24.5	158	97.4	-	-
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	1 397	422	30.2	375	88.8	11	2.7
OVERLAND PARK	260	120	46.2	107	89.1	6	5.1
TOPEKA	1 281	301	23.5	274	91.1	5	1.7
WICHITA	2 411	553	22.9	498	90.0	23	4.1
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	412	126	30.6	117	92.4	3	2.6
LEXINGTON	2 138	591	27.6	414	70.1	69	11.6
LOUISVILLE	4 526	1 300	28.7	1 236	95.0	41	3.1
OWENSBORO	1 003	103	10.3	94	91.7	5	4.7
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	2 184	989	45.3	616	62.3	233	23.6
LAFAYETTE	581	106	18.3	96	90.9	8	8.0
LAKE CHARLES	487	79	16.2	74	93.6	2	2.2
MONROE	547	115	21.0	95	82.7	9	8.1
NEW ORLEANS	6 539	3 407	52.1	2 466	72.4	412	12.1
SHREVEPORT	1 689	412	24.4	367	89.0	27	6.5
MAINE:							
PORTLAND	2 038	174	8.5	166	95.5	-	-
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	36 919	5 686	15.4	4 467	78.6	384	6.7
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	26 239	5 173	19.7	3 468	67.0	966	18.7
BROCKTON	3 008	237	7.9	233	98.2	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	4 154	836	12.9	525	97.9	-	-
CHICOPEE	1 580	143	9.1	139	97.0	-	-
FALL RIVER	2 486	312	12.6	295	94.4	-	-
HOLYOKE	1 294	118	9.1	113	96.0	-	-
LAWRENCE	1 938	157	8.1	153	97.7	-	-
LOWELL	2 023	229	11.3	218	94.9	-	-
LYNN	2 813	207	7.4	197	95.1	-	-
MALDEN	1 464	156	10.6	152	97.6	-	-
MEDFORD	1 807	163	9.0	160	98.1	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	3 214	315	9.8	306	97.2	-	-
NEWTON	3 357	262	7.8	251	96.0	-	-
PITTSFIELD	1 581	110	7.0	108	98.1	-	-
QUINCY	4 260	319	7.5	313	98.2	-	-
SOMERVILLE	1 927	196	10.2	176	89.9	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	5 925	511	8.6	488	95.4	-	-
WALTHAM	1 889	193	10.2	187	96.8	-	-
WORCESTER	5 976	628	10.5	609	97.0	-	-
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	1 049	285	27.1	241	84.5	25	8.7
DEARBORN	1 381	349	25.3	311	89.1	16	4.6
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	321	179	55.9	165	91.9	14	7.8
DETROIT	20 412	9 607	47.1	8 602	89.5	568	5.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON	5	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	9	3.3	-	-	-	-	4	1.7
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	14	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	13	3.2	-	-	-	-	3	0.7
ROCK ISLAND	1	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	7	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	10	5.0	-	-	5	2.6	-	-
WAUKEGAN	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	3	1.9	(2)	0.3	(2)	0.2	-	-
EVANSVILLE	9	2.7	-	-	1	0.3	-	-
FORT WAYNE	8	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	13	2.7	1	0.3	6	1.2	4	0.8
HAMMOND	6	2.2	-	-	3	1.0	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	132	5.9	15	0.7	287	12.7	8	0.4
MUNCIE	2	1.6	-	-	(2)	0.3	-	-
SOUTH BEND	5	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	4	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	7	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	6	3.9	-	-	5	3.4	-	-
DAVENPORT	8	5.0	-	-	-	-	3	2.1
DES MOINES	20	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	4	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	8	5.2	-	-	3	1.9	12	7.9
WATERLOO	4	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	15	3.5	-	-	18	4.2	4	0.8
OVERLAND PARK	7	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	15	4.9	-	-	4	1.3	3	1.1
WICHITA	29	5.2	-	-	-	-	4	0.8
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	6	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	25	4.3	-	-	83	14.0	-	-
LOUISVILLE	24	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	4	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	74	7.5	10	1.1	55	5.5	-	-
LAFAYETTE	1	0.8	(2)	0.3	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	3	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	5	4.1	-	-	6	5.1	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	114	3.3	27	0.8	373	11.0	16	0.5
SHREVEPORT	7	1.6	-	-	12	3.0	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	8	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	387	6.8	-	-	448	7.9	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	191	3.7	-	-	548	10.6	-	-
BROCKTON	4	1.8	-	-	-	-	4	0.7
CAMBRIDGE	7	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	4	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	5	1.5	-	-	8	2.5	5	1.5
HOLYOKE	5	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	12	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	6	2.7	-	-	-	-	5	2.2
MALDEN	4	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	3	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	5	1.7	-	-	-	-	4	1.1
NEWTON	10	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	2	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	6	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	6	2.9	-	-	-	-	14	7.1
SPRINGFIELD	18	3.6	-	-	-	-	5	1.0
WALTHAM	6	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	19	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	10	3.4	3	1.0	7	2.5	-	-
DEARBORN	14	4.0	-	-	8	2.3	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	1	0.4	-	-
DETROIT	162	1.7	-	-	275	2.9	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
FARMINGTON HILLS	176	87	49.5	87	100.0	—	—
FLINT	4 305	718	16.7	635	88.5	45	6.5
GRAND RAPIDS	2 266	588	26.0	499	84.9	49	8.4
KALAMAZOO	863	262	30.3	222	84.9	22	8.4
LANSING	1 464	500	34.1	390	78.1	40	8.1
LINCOLN PARK	329	102	30.9	92	90.9	6	6.3
LIVONIA	851	266	31.2	237	89.2	14	5.2
PONTIAC	2 787	381	13.7	323	84.8	29	7.6
ROSEVILLE	450	157	35.0	140	89.3	17	10.7
ROYAL OAK	576	185	32.1	159	85.8	16	8.8
SAGINAW	1 132	306	27.0	291	95.1	—	—
ST. CLAIR SHORES	524	148	28.2	139	94.0	7	4.9
SOUTHFIELD	770	261	33.9	212	81.4	31	12.1
STERLING HEIGHTS	559	231	41.3	216	93.5	15	6.5
TAYLOR	525	161	30.7	150	93.2	9	5.8
WARREN	1 322	450	34.1	409	90.7	27	6.1
WESTLAND	515	163	31.7	142	87.0	19	11.8
WYOMING	390	129	33.0	119	92.1	9	7.0
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	485	150	30.9	142	95.0	—	—
DULUTH	1 052	209	19.9	191	91.3	—	—
MINNEAPOLIS	6 533	1 284	19.7	1 132	88.2	—	—
ROCHESTER	477	114	24.0	109	95.2	—	—
ST. PAUL	3 717	962	25.9	887	92.1	—	—
MISSISSIPPI:							
BILOXI	249	61	24.5	59	96.2	2	2.6
JACKSON	1 635	430	26.3	377	87.7	16	3.6
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	426	108	25.3	98	91.1	3	2.9
FLORISSANT	195	83	42.4	79	96.0	2	2.5
INDEPENDENCE	773	191	24.7	174	91.3	8	4.0
KANSAS CITY	6 474	2 041	33.7	1 786	87.5	82	4.0
ST. JOSEPH	495	135	27.3	127	93.8	4	3.0
ST. LOUIS	11 448	3 543	30.9	2 580	72.8	327	9.2
SPRINGFIELD	1 016	218	21.4	200	92.1	11	4.8
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS	576	127	22.0	122	96.1	5	3.9
GREAT FALLS	461	99	21.4	88	88.8	3	3.0
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	2 001	382	19.1	290	75.9	31	8.1
OMAHA	3 355	882	26.3	770	87.2	54	6.1
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	1 154	54	4.7	—	—	23	43.1
RENO	1 223	404	33.0	357	88.4	13	3.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	2 106	202	9.6	176	87.0	14	7.2
NASHUA	1 296	139	10.7	119	86.0	13	9.4
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	1 488	258	17.4	242	93.5	8	3.0
BLOOMFIELD	504	169	33.5	159	93.8	5	2.7
CAMDEN	1 509	526	34.9	497	94.4	15	2.8
CLIFTON	1 684	224	13.3	214	95.4	6	2.8
EAST ORANGE	2 204	400	18.1	355	88.8	29	7.3
ELIZABETH	3 038	413	13.6	394	95.4	9	2.1
IRVINGTON	649	263	40.5	240	91.3	11	4.2
JERSEY CITY	8 242	1 454	17.6	1 386	95.4	40	2.8
NEWARK	16 900	2 212	13.1	2 108	95.3	75	3.4
PASSAIC	453	180	39.8	163	90.3	6	3.6
PATERSON	4 495	515	11.5	489	95.0	16	3.1
TRENTON	1 755	509	29.0	481	94.6	14	2.7
UNION CITY	721	208	28.8	191	91.7	12	5.8
VINELAND	1 442	118	8.2	112	95.2	6	4.8
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	2 967	855	28.8	675	78.9	56	6.6
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	1 470	422	28.7	388	92.0	20	4.8
BINGHAMTON	1 464	176	12.0	159	90.1	12	6.6
BUFFALO	14 145	1 941	13.7	1 792	92.3	88	4.5
MOUNT VERNON	754	293	38.8	260	88.8	18	6.1
NEW ROCHELLE	1 224	309	25.3	280	90.5	18	5.3
NEW YORK CITY	377 454	75 281	19.9	56 538	75.1	7 885	10.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	8	1.0	-	-	30	4.2	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	23	3.9	-	-	10	1.8	6	1.1
KALAMAZOO	14	5.4	-	-	3	1.3	-	-
LANSING	13	2.6	-	-	56	11.2	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	1	1.1	-	-	2	1.7	-	-
LIVONIA	10	3.9	-	-	4	1.7	-	-
PONTIAC	20	5.3	-	-	9	2.3	-	-
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	5	2.9	-	-	5	2.5	-	-
SAGINAW	15	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	2	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	11	4.1	-	-	6	2.4	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	-	-	-	-	2	1.0	-	-
WARREN	14	5.2	-	-	2	1.3	-	-
WESTLAND	-	-	-	-	1	1.0	-	-
WYOMING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	8	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	18	8.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	72	5.6	-	-	79	6.2	-	-
ROCHESTER	5	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	51	5.3	-	-	-	-	25	2.6
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	1	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	15	3.5	-	-	22	5.2	-	-
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	6	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	1	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	9	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	72	3.5	-	-	101	4.9	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	4	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	155	4.4	-	-	477	13.5	4	0.1
SPRINGFIELD	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	2	1.9	-	-	6	6.3	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	22	5.7	-	-	39	10.3	-	-
OMAHA	49	5.6	-	-	3	0.3	7	0.7
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	31	56.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	15	3.8	-	-	19	4.6	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	5	2.3	-	-	7	3.5	-	-
NASHUA	1	0.4	-	-	5	3.4	1	0.8
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	8	3.1	1	0.3	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	6	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	14	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	4	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	11	2.8	-	-	-	-	4	1.0
ELIZABETH	10	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	12	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	24	1.6	-	-	-	-	4	0.2
NEWARK	29	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	7	3.9
PATERSON	10	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	10	1.9	1	0.2	-	-	3	0.6
UNION CITY	4	2.0	-	-	-	-	1	0.5
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	41	4.8	-	-	83	9.8	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	11	2.6	-	-	-	-	3	0.7
BINGHAMTON	6	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	61	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	9	3.1	-	-	-	-	6	2.0
NEW ROCHELLE	11	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	2 575	3.4	-	-	7 422	9.9	861	1.1

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA FALLS	1 318	282	21.4	254	90.3	18	6.3
ROCHESTER	11 266	1 098	9.7	1 000	91.0	57	5.2
ROME	609	70	11.5	60	85.4	7	9.4
SCHENECTADY	684	204	29.9	189	92.3	8	4.1
SYRACUSE	5 809	667	11.5	610	91.5	36	5.4
TROY	546	157	28.7	139	89.0	10	6.2
UTICA	786	210	26.8	181	86.0	21	10.2
WHITE PLAINS	1 094	306	28.0	269	87.7	19	6.3
YONKERS	6 510	798	12.3	687	86.1	56	7.0
NORTH CAROLINA:							
ASHEVILLE	624	115	18.5	108	93.7	-	-
CHARLOTTE	3 297	720	21.8	704	97.8	-	-
DURHAM	1 178	318	27.0	310	97.5	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	513	135	26.4	132	97.7	-	-
GREENSBORO	1 779	461	25.9	453	98.2	-	-
HIGH POINT	721	130	18.0	126	96.6	-	-
RALEIGH	1 265	351	27.8	344	97.8	-	-
WILMINGTON	564	108	19.1	106	98.1	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	1 688	431	25.5	425	98.4	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:							
FARGO	513	125	24.4	116	92.5	5	4.0
OHIO:							
AKRON	3 077	682	22.2	570	83.6	62	9.1
CANTON	1 116	277	24.8	227	82.0	32	11.5
CINCINNATI	16 270	2 372	14.6	1 826	77.0	220	9.3
CLEVELAND	9 943	3 448	34.7	3 025	87.6	260	7.5
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	481	126	26.1	110	87.4	9	7.3
COLUMBUS	6 264	2 067	33.0	1 711	82.8	193	9.3
DAYTON	3 095	969	31.3	774	79.9	86	8.9
ELYRIA	437	108	24.8	76	70.5	23	21.0
EUCLID	614	148	24.0	130	88.0	10	6.6
HAMILTON	622	168	27.0	149	88.6	12	7.4
KETTERING	362	131	36.3	101	77.0	14	10.9
LAKESIDE	1 215	110	9.1	95	85.7	11	9.8
LIMA	408	132	32.4	100	75.5	19	14.2
LORAIN	630	131	20.8	102	77.4	15	11.2
MANSFIELD	501	157	31.3	119	76.0	26	16.7
PARMA	524	162	31.0	126	77.5	26	15.7
SPRINGFIELD	608	198	32.5	156	79.0	22	11.0
TOLEDO	3 958	1 290	32.6	1 082	83.9	97	7.5
WARREN	518	154	29.7	118	76.8	24	15.5
YOUNGSTOWN	1 290	385	29.9	305	79.3	40	10.5
OKLAHOMA:							
LAWTON	497	149	30.0	123	82.7	12	7.9
MIDWEST	460	76	16.6	70	91.5	2	2.5
NORMAN	769	107	14.0	97	90.1	5	4.5
OKLAHOMA CITY	2 823	817	28.9	690	84.5	27	3.2
TULSA	2 979	772	25.9	673	87.2	30	3.9
OREGON:							
EUGENE	978	231	23.6	220	95.4	11	4.6
PORTLAND	5 227	1 340	25.6	1 280	95.5	-	-
SALEM	859	192	22.3	175	91.4	5	2.6
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLENTOWN	905	213	23.5	208	97.6	-	-
ALTOONA	374	106	28.3	103	97.8	-	-
BETHLEHEM	606	169	27.9	165	97.7	-	-
CHESTER	580	192	33.2	186	96.8	-	-
ERIE	874	253	29.0	238	94.0	8	3.1
HARRISBURG	655	191	29.1	188	98.9	-	-
LANCASTER	533	152	28.5	152	100.0	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	43 236	17 850	41.5	12 060	67.6	3 156	17.7
PITTSBURGH	4 741	1 645	34.7	1 581	96.1	27	1.6
READING	647	214	33.1	208	97.0	-	-
SCRANTON	616	179	29.1	173	96.3	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	469	113	24.2	110	97.4	-	-
YORK	381	119	31.3	117	98.0	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:							
CRANSTON	1 962	120	6.1	116	96.7	1	0.5
PAWTUCKET	1 815	164	9.1	158	96.1	2	1.1
PROVIDENCE	4 106	459	11.2	436	94.9	15	3.1
WARWICK	2 514	188	7.5	184	97.5	(Z)	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
CHARLESTON	618	167	27.1	162	96.8	3	2.0
COLUMBIA	1 073	273	25.4	215	78.8	22	8.0
GREENVILLE	555	159	28.6	150	94.4	5	3.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS	9	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	36	3.3	-	-	-	-	5	0.5
ROME	4	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	7	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	21	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	8	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	8	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	18	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	28	3.5	-	-	22	2.8	5	0.6
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	2	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	16	2.2	-	-	-	-	5	4.6
DURHAM	8	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	3	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	8	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	4	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	8	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	2	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	7	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	23	3.4	-	-	27	3.9	-	-
CANTON	17	6.0	-	-	2	0.6	-	-
CINCINNATI	140	5.9	-	-	186	7.8	-	-
CLEVELAND	51	1.5	-	-	115	3.3	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	6	4.9	-	-	1	0.4	-	-
COLUMBUS	81	3.9	-	-	82	4.0	-	-
DAYTON	35	3.6	-	-	74	7.7	-	-
ELYRIA	6	5.2	-	-	4	3.5	-	-
EUCLID	8	5.5	-	-	1	0.5	-	-
HAMILTON	6	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	10	7.7	-	-	6	4.4	-	-
LAKESIDE	5	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	7	5.1	2	1.1	5	4.1	-	-
LORAIN	10	8.0	-	-	4	3.4	-	-
MANSFIELD	9	6.0	-	-	2	1.3	-	-
PARMA	10	6.1	-	-	1	0.7	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	13	6.5	4	2.0	3	1.5	-	-
TOLEDO	36	2.8	-	-	75	5.8	-	-
WARREN	6	3.8	-	-	6	3.9	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	18	4.6	-	-	22	5.7	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	8	5.6	2	1.2	4	2.7	-	-
MIDWEST	2	2.0	-	-	3	3.9	-	-
NORMAN	6	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	41	5.0	-	-	10	1.2	49	6.0
TULSA	32	4.1	-	-	37	4.9	-	-
OREGON:								
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	28	2.1	-	-	-	-	32	2.4
SALEM	12	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	5	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	4	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	6	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	7	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	2	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	590	3.3	-	-	2 045	11.5	-	-
PITTSBURGH	37	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	6	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	7	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	3	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	2	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	3	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	5	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	8	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	2	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	6	2.3	-	-	30	10.9	-	-
GREENVILLE	2	1.4	-	-	2	1.0	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total October payroll ²	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA:							
SIOUX FALLS	139	150	27.9	147	98.0	-	-
TENNESSEE:							
CHATTANOOGA	4 292	431	10.0	413	95.8	14	3.4
KNOXVILLE	3 726	401	10.8	363	90.6	6	1.4
MEMPHIS	16 788	2 022	12.0	1 842	91.1	54	2.7
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	12 960	1 528	11.8	1 034	67.7	278	18.2
TEXAS:							
ABILENE	625	140	22.4	125	89.2	6	4.1
AMARILLO	1 032	259	25.1	229	88.4	10	3.8
ARLINGTON	694	188	27.0	158	84.3	12	6.6
AUSTIN	4 694	746	15.9	686	91.9	34	4.6
BEAUMONT	990	227	23.0	202	89.0	8	3.6
BROWNSVILLE	356	79	22.2	74	94.2	3	3.9
CORPUS CHRISTI	1 903	418	21.9	365	87.5	21	5.1
DALLAS	10 572	3 297	31.2	3 002	91.1	124	3.8
EL PASO	2 448	659	28.9	625	94.8	22	3.3
FORT WORTH	3 580	1 007	28.1	968	90.1	35	3.4
GALVESTON	854	161	18.9	146	90.4	6	3.7
GARLAND	729	170	23.3	156	91.9	3	2.0
GRAND PRAIRIE	343	75	21.9	65	86.9	3	3.9
HOUSTON	12 288	3 839	31.2	3 435	89.5	120	3.1
IRVING	696	160	23.0	137	85.3	9	5.5
LAREDO	332	70	21.0	65	92.9	3	4.6
LUBBOCK	1 023	295	28.8	267	90.6	13	4.4
MESQUITE	475	114	23.9	108	95.0	2	1.9
MIDLAND	430	131	30.6	121	92.0	6	4.4
ODESSA	359	144	40.1	128	88.7	8	5.4
PASADENA	516	189	36.7	165	87.4	10	5.1
PORT ARTHUR	475	98	20.6	89	1.1	2	1.6
RICHARDSON	415	83	20.0	78	54.5	5	5.5
SAN ANGELO	402	106	26.4	96	90.3	6	5.5
SAN ANTONIO	5 929	1 605	27.1	1 519	94.7	43	2.7
TYLER	430	96	22.4	87	91.0	4	4.3
WACO	915	204	22.3	186	90.9	6	2.7
WICHITA FALLS	673	139	20.7	121	86.9	8	5.7
UTAH:							
OGDEN	530	167	31.6	140	83.8	21	12.3
PROVO	320	79	24.8	67	84.4	8	10.1
SALT LAKE CITY	1 806	536	29.6	477	89.0	40	7.4
VIRGINIA:							
ALEXANDRIA	3 192	476	14.9	357	75.0	44	9.3
CHESAPEAKE	3 035	298	9.8	198	66.5	20	6.7
HAMPTON	3 686	259	7.0	203	78.6	11	4.3
LYNCHBURG	1 453	163	11.2	128	78.6	1	0.4
NEWPORT NEWS	3 746	432	11.5	269	62.1	40	9.2
NORFOLK	8 305	734	8.8	607	82.6	25	3.4
PORTSMOUTH	2 980	274	9.2	222	81.1	21	7.7
RICHMOND	9 094	950	10.4	739	77.8	99	10.4
ROANOKE	2 988	322	10.8	194	60.1	38	11.9
VIRGINIA BEACH	5 330	486	9.1	373	76.7	35	7.2
WASHINGTON:							
BELLEVUE	621	145	23.3	122	84.5	2	1.7
EVERETT	632	128	20.3	121	94.3	-	-
SEATTLE	7 574	1 877	24.8	1 672	89.1	113	6.0
SPOKANE	1 748	385	22.0	351	91.2	15	3.8
TACOMA	2 604	456	17.5	389	85.3	25	5.4
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CHARLESTON	870	219	25.2	211	96.1	5	2.1
HUNTINGTON	533	151	28.3	145	95.9	2	1.3
WISCONSIN:							
APPLETON	1 515	113	7.4	110	97.4	-	-
GREEN BAY	2 619	204	7.8	197	96.6	3	1.3
KENOSHA	734	179	24.3	170	95.1	3	1.6
LA CROSSE	1 097	97	8.9	92	95.1	1	1.0
MADISON	5 265	473	9.0	449	95.0	-	-
MILWAUKEE	10 436	2 893	27.7	2 807	97.1	-	-
OSHKOSH	1 336	105	7.9	100	94.8	-	-
RACINE	1 103	300	27.2	289	96.2	2	0.7
WAUWATOSA	1 461	152	9.1	128	97.0	1	0.9
WEST ALLIS	1 894	185	9.8	178	96.4	1	0.6

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.³ Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.⁴ Payroll for city-operated utilities excluded.

Table 18. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 394 large city governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS	3	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	4	0.8	-	-	18	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	14	3.4	-	-	93	4.6	-	-
MEMPHIS	34	1.7	-	-	156	4.6	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	38	2.5	18	1.2	-	10.2	4	0.2
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	7	5.3	-	-	2	1.4	-	-
AMARILLO.	12	4.6	-	-	8	3.2	-	-
ARLINGTON	13	7.1	-	-	4	2.0	-	-
AUSTIN.	27	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT.	10	4.6	-	-	6	2.7	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	1	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI.	18	4.2	-	-	13	3.1	-	-
DALLAS.	98	3.0	-	-	71	2.1	2	0.1
EL PASO	13	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH.	30	2.9	-	-	35	3.5	-	-
GALVESTON	4	2.5	-	-	6	3.5	-	-
GARLAND	7	3.8	-	-	4	2.3	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	3	4.5	-	-	4	1.7	-	-
HOUSTON	83	2.2	-	-	202	5.3	-	-
IRVING.	10	6.0	-	-	5	3.2	-	-
LAREDO.	2	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	13	4.3	-	-	2	0.7	-	-
MESQUITE.	3	3.1	-	-	1	-	-	-
MIDLAND	5	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA.	5	3.6	-	-	3	2.2	-	-
PASADENA.	7	3.5	-	-	8	4.0	-	-
PORT ARTHUR.	4	4.0	-	-	3	3.3	-	-
RICHARDSON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO.	2	2.2	-	-	2	2.0	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	43	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	5	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO.	9	4.4	-	-	4	1.9	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	5	3.4	-	-	6	4.0	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN	7	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	4	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY.	19	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA.	33	7.0	-	-	41	8.7	-	-
CHESAPEAKE.	12	3.9	-	-	68	22.9	-	-
HAMPTON	16	6.1	-	-	27	10.6	1	0.3
LYNCHBURG	8	4.9	-	-	26	16.1	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS.	16	3.6	-	-	107	24.8	1	0.5
NORFOLK	39	5.3	-	-	64	8.7	-	-
PORTSMOUTH.	16	5.8	-	-	15	5.4	-	-
RICHMOND.	40	4.2	-	-	73	7.6	-	-
ROANOKE	20	6.1	-	-	71	21.9	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH.	31	6.3	-	-	47	9.7	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE.	10	6.6	-	-	10	7.2	-	-
EVERETT	7	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	68	3.6	-	-	24	1.3	-	-
SPOKANE	19	4.8	-	-	1	0.2	-	-
TACOMA.	27	5.9	-	-	16	3.4	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON.	4	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON.	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON.	3	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	5	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	4	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	24	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	85	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	5	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE.	9	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS.	6	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments,
fiscal year 1975**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal Justice system
TOTAL	22 795 430	3 033 132	13.3	937 979	30.9	788 017	26.0
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	3 976	827	20.8	238	28.8	374	45.2
JEFFERSON	74 241	7 671	10.3	2 244	29.3	3 055	39.8
MADISON	9 800	2 037	20.8	405	19.9	1 006	49.4
MOBILE	22 729	4 028	17.7	928	23.0	2 052	50.9
MONTGOMERY	9 251	1 989	21.5	416	20.9	892	44.8
TUSCALOOSA	10 793	1 255	11.6	397	31.6	559	44.5
ALASKA:							
GREATER ANCHORAGE	118 081	2 217	1.9	1 740	78.5	77	3.5
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	152 513	33 671	22.1	6 871	20.4	7 934	23.6
PIMA	85 299	22 953	26.9	6 255	27.3	4 002	17.4
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	11 521	5 168	44.9	586	11.3	848	16.4
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	302 916	56 351	18.6	7 510	13.3	16 170	28.7
BUTTE	36 016	4 325	12.0	1 486	34.4	1 033	23.9
CONTRA COSTA	196 791	29 827	15.2	6 544	21.9	6 194	20.8
FRESNO	174 024	19 610	11.3	5 660	28.9	3 499	17.8
HUMBOLDT	36 433	5 311	14.6	1 783	33.6	1 052	19.8
KERN	135 014	18 318	13.6	5 724	31.2	3 712	20.3
LOS ANGELES	2 534 703	364 116	14.4	113 002	31.0	92 781	25.5
MARIN	62 685	10 693	17.1	2 060	19.3	2 584	24.2
MERCED	50 639	3 942	7.8	1 142	29.0	800	20.3
MONTEREY	65 511	10 507	16.0	2 934	27.9	1 982	18.9
ORANGE	315 135	56 405	17.9	10 644	18.9	14 905	26.4
RIVERSIDE	191 725	30 038	15.7	8 756	29.1	6 601	22.0
SACRAMENTO	262 620	41 157	15.7	12 996	31.6	6 679	16.2
SAN BERNARDINO	231 429	36 206	15.6	10 177	28.1	8 154	22.5
SAN DIEGO	354 311	66 623	18.8	13 030	19.6	16 897	25.4
SAN JOAQUIN	109 853	15 222	13.9	4 835	31.8	2 814	18.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	42 185	5 361	12.7	1 946	36.3	1 233	23.0
SAN MATEO	145 105	27 081	18.7	5 831	21.5	5 657	20.9
SANTA BARBARA	84 893	15 590	18.4	4 389	25.2	2 875	18.4
SANTA CLARA	316 430	49 508	14.3	11 023	22.3	10 303	20.8
SANTA CRUZ	52 831	6 761	12.8	2 147	31.8	1 268	18.8
SOLANO	47 265	7 710	16.3	1 370	17.8	2 062	26.7
SONOMA	77 636	11 436	14.7	3 439	30.1	2 451	21.4
STANISLAUS	74 872	10 509	14.0	2 216	21.1	2 291	21.6
TULARE	78 881	8 966	11.4	3 104	34.6	1 601	17.9
VENTURA	122 833	21 953	17.9	6 978	31.8	4 610	21.0
YOLO	32 927	4 926	15.0	1 527	31.0	1 033	21.0
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	25 886	2 547	9.8	1 437	56.4	196	7.7
ARAPAHOE	13 449	2 483	18.5	1 142	46.0	436	17.6
BOULDER	18 035	2 334	12.9	839	35.9	135	5.8
EL PASO	29 338	2 668	9.1	455	17.1	769	26.8
JEFFERSON	26 694	2 873	10.8	1 530	53.3	261	9.1
LARIMER	11 782	1 160	9.8	643	55.4	55	4.7
PUEBLO	18 615	1 217	6.5	271	22.3	95	7.8
WELD	26 935	956	3.5	384	40.2	86	9.0
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	26 092	4 406	16.9	3 514	79.8	766	17.4
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	19 173	4 644	24.2	2 167	46.7	903	19.4
BREVARD	29 727	3 929	13.2	2 218	56.5	1 183	30.1
BROWARD	85 799	11 694	13.6	5 423	46.4	3 833	32.8
DADE	400 678	53 107	13.3	26 163	49.3	14 634	27.6
ESCAMBIA	24 468	5 714	23.4	2 778	48.6	1 400	24.5
HILLSBOROUGH	107 309	12 445	11.6	4 629	37.2	3 372	27.1
LEE	18 545	3 031	16.3	1 754	57.9	859	28.3
LEON	9 208	2 210	24.0	895	40.5	885	40.0
MANATEE	15 894	1 816	11.4	1 056	58.1	547	30.1
ORANGE	54 698	9 698	17.7	5 639	58.1	2 135	22.0
PALM BEACH	55 023	10 112	18.4	5 500	54.4	1 812	17.9
PASCO	12 037	2 863	23.8	1 616	56.4	889	31.1
PINELLAS	57 179	9 937	17.4	4 913	49.4	3 399	34.2
POLK	27 031	6 478	24.0	2 585	39.9	868	13.4
SARASOTA	21 191	4 705	22.2	3 338	70.9	930	19.8
SEMINOLE	10 190	2 546	25.0	1 192	46.8	597	23.4
VOLUSIA	21 200	4 227	19.9	1 803	42.7	1 238	29.3
GEORGIA:							
BIGGS	11 470	3 573	31.1	1 007	28.2	916	25.6
CHATHAM	18 821	3 695	19.6	1 218	33.0	1 427	38.6
CLAYTON	17 571	3 813	21.7	1 736	45.5	1 000	26.2
COBB	39 997	6 150	15.4	3 658	59.5	1 424	23.2
DE KALB	94 325	12 241	13.0	7 020	57.3	2 546	20.8
FULTON	126 153	18 020	14.3	1 956	10.9	7 759	43.1
RICHMOND	16 009	3 577	22.3	1 357	37.9	913	25.5

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	249 856	8.2	85 071	2.8	916 344	30.2	55 865	1.8
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	48	5.8	—	—	167	20.2	—	—
JEFFERSON	500	6.5	22	0.3	1 843	24.0	7	0.1
MADISON	207	10.2	17	0.8	402	19.7	—	—
MOBILE	169	4.2	—	—	879	21.8	—	—
MONTGOMERY	128	6.4	—	—	553	27.8	—	—
TUSCALOOSA	65	5.2	8	0.6	226	18.0	—	—
ALASKA:								
GREATER ANCHORAGE	392	17.7	—	—	8	0.4	—	—
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	2 357	7.0	1 690	5.0	14 819	44.0	—	—
PIMA	2 094	9.1	1 957	4.2	5 631	24.5	4 014	17.5
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	324	6.3	128	2.5	3 282	63.5	—	—
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	4 634	8.2	3 007	5.3	24 991	44.3	39	0.1
BUTTE	527	12.2	178	4.1	1 101	25.5	—	—
CONTRA COSTA	3 275	11.0	1 233	4.1	12 581	42.2	—	—
FRESNO	1 679	8.6	986	5.0	7 786	39.7	—	—
HUMBOLDT	611	11.5	120	2.3	1 579	29.7	166	3.1
KERN	1 774	9.7	528	2.9	6 580	35.9	—	—
LOS ANGELES	24 811	6.8	12 695	3.5	119 367	32.8	1 460	0.4
MARIN	885	8.3	614	5.7	4 091	38.3	457	4.3
MERCED	428	10.9	117	3.0	1 455	36.9	—	—
MONTEREY	1 346	12.8	559	5.3	3 686	35.1	—	—
ORANGE	6 051	10.7	2 285	4.1	22 270	39.5	250	0.4
RIVERSIDE	2 857	9.5	1 140	3.8	10 684	35.6	—	—
SACRAMENTO	6 695	16.3	1 995	4.8	12 738	30.9	54	0.1
SAN BERNARDINO	3 198	8.8	1 119	3.1	11 900	32.9	1 658	4.6
SAN DIEGO	6 754	10.1	3 217	4.8	26 416	39.6	309	0.5
SAN JOAQUIN	1 477	9.7	939	6.2	5 117	33.6	40	0.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	755	14.1	123	2.3	1 297	24.2	7	0.1
SAN MATEO	1 763	6.5	926	3.4	12 340	45.6	564	2.1
SANTA BARBARA	1 553	10.0	537	3.4	6 236	40.0	—	—
SANTA CLARA	5 026	10.2	1 713	3.5	21 153	42.7	290	0.6
SANTA CRUZ	925	13.7	192	2.8	2 157	31.9	72	1.1
SOLANO	593	7.7	469	6.1	3 216	41.7	—	—
SONOMA	903	7.9	321	2.8	4 322	37.8	—	—
STANISLAUS	1 186	11.3	205	2.0	4 483	42.7	128	1.2
TULARE	1 060	11.8	435	4.9	2 766	30.8	—	—
VENTURA	2 358	10.7	764	3.5	6 930	31.6	313	1.4
YOLO	514	10.4	286	5.8	1 566	31.8	—	—
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	559	21.9	—	—	355	13.9	—	—
ARAPAHOE	548	22.1	—	—	357	14.4	—	—
BOULDER	381	16.3	—	—	287	12.3	692	29.6
EL PASO	814	30.5	—	—	630	23.6	—	—
JEFFERSON	710	24.7	—	—	372	12.9	—	—
LARIMER	242	20.9	—	—	177	15.3	43	3.7
PUEBLO	376	30.9	20	1.6	381	31.3	74	6.1
WELD	300	31.4	—	—	162	16.9	24	2.5
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	126	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	136	2.9	24	0.5	1 326	28.6	88	1.9
BREVARD	115	2.9	25	0.6	360	9.2	28	0.7
BROWARD	218	1.9	137	1.2	1 991	17.0	92	0.8
DADE	671	1.3	160	0.3	7 781	14.7	3 698	7.0
ESCAMBIA	122	2.1	71	1.2	1 343	23.5	—	—
HILLSBOROUGH	308	2.5	79	0.6	3 942	31.7	115	0.9
LEE	106	3.5	17	0.6	295	9.7	—	—
LEON	60	2.7	22	1.0	348	15.7	—	—
MANATEE	42	2.3	6	0.3	165	9.1	—	—
ORANGE	206	2.1	25	0.3	1 693	17.5	—	—
PALM BEACH	281	2.8	120	1.2	2 233	22.1	166	1.6
PASCO	163	5.7	—	—	195	6.8	—	—
PINELLAS	215	2.2	—	—	1 309	13.2	101	1.0
POLK	112	1.7	4	0.1	936	14.4	1 973	30.5
SARASOTA	69	1.5	8	0.2	360	7.7	—	—
SEMINOLE	78	3.1	3	0.1	676	26.6	—	—
VOLUSIA	165	3.9	5	0.1	1 016	24.0	—	—
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	335	9.4	64	1.8	1 245	34.8	6	0.2
CHATAM	257	7.0	95	2.6	698	18.9	—	—
CLAYTON	231	6.1	43	1.1	788	20.7	15	0.4
COBB	224	3.6	139	2.3	705	11.5	—	—
DE KALB	583	4.8	139	1.1	1 953	16.0	—	—
FULTON	1 671	9.3	336	1.9	6 298	35.0	—	—
RICHMOND	231	6.5	40	1.1	1 036	29.0	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHo: ADA.	10 271	2 720	26.5	606	22.3	512	18.8
ILLINOIS: CHAMPAIGN	7 905	1 695	21.4	591	34.9	349	20.6
COOK	363 846	101 358	27.9	12 661	12.5	41 776	41.2
DU PAGE	37 536	6 275	16.7	1 767	28.2	1 924	50.7
KANE	12 656	3 594	28.4	1 308	36.4	636	17.7
LAKE	33 847	5 150	15.2	2 136	41.5	1 012	19.7
LA SALLE	7 061	2 029	28.7	392	19.3	289	14.2
MCHENRY	7 531	1 879	24.9	1 005	53.5	331	17.6
MCLEAN	9 440	1 349	14.3	457	33.9	439	32.5
MACON	6 519	1 678	25.7	622	37.1	370	22.1
MADISON	10 478	3 276	31.3	1 074	32.8	1 014	31.0
PEORIA	11 229	2 771	24.7	1 098	35.6	455	16.4
ROCK ISLAND	8 903	2 021	22.7	929	46.0	362	17.9
ST. CLAIR	15 143	3 135	20.7	605	19.3	747	23.8
SANGAMON	8 164	2 205	27.0	752	34.1	430	19.5
TAZEWELL	6 020	1 224	20.3	509	41.6	295	24.1
WILL	14 285	3 210	22.5	1 583	49.3	771	24.0
WINNEBAGO	15 921	3 532	22.2	1 385	39.2	753	21.3
INDIANA: ALLEN	33 923	5 216	15.4	1 380	26.5	1 567	30.0
DELAWARE	8 734	1 247	14.3	337	27.0	367	29.4
ELKHART	13 602	1 768	13.0	631	35.7	428	24.2
LAKE	66 093	7 109	10.8	1 964	27.6	2 209	31.1
LA PORTE	8 483	2 189	25.8	359	16.4	295	13.5
MADISON	8 734	1 355	15.5	296	21.8	478	35.3
ST. JOSEPH	22 572	3 226	14.3	1 005	31.2	1 024	31.7
TIPEPECANOE	8 837	1 093	12.4	448	41.0	275	25.2
VANDERBURGH	13 492	2 505	18.6	610	24.4	600	24.0
VIGO	9 316	1 491	16.0	353	23.7	503	33.7
IOWA: BLACK HAWK	10 692	2 105	19.7	394	18.7	719	34.2
LINN	20 662	2 305	11.2	486	21.1	802	34.8
POLK	36 685	6 483	17.7	687	10.5	2 069	31.9
SCOTT	13 906	1 827	13.1	490	26.8	556	30.4
WOODBURY	9 554	1 416	14.8	216	15.3	627	44.3
KANSAS: JOHNSON	28 254	3 446	12.2	1 439	41.8	937	27.2
SEDWICK	22 754	6 256	27.5	887	14.2	2 061	32.9
SHAWNEE	10 720	2 783	28.0	283	10.2	856	30.8
WYANDOTTE	10 131	2 299	22.7	359	15.6	1 012	44.0
KENTUCKY: JEFFERSON	81 577	20 388	25.0	9 177	45.0	1 130	5.5
KENTON	20 962	2 181	10.4	1 240	56.9	416	19.1
LOUISIANA: CADDO	10 287	3 873	37.7	1 817	46.9	556	14.4
CALCASIEU	20 958	3 723	17.8	2 489	66.9	507	13.6
JEFFERSON	113 785	13 372	11.8	7 779	58.2	3 453	25.8
LAFAYETTE	5 957	2 355	39.5	1 474	62.6	369	15.7
OUACHITA	5 954	1 967	33.0	1 063	54.0	321	16.3
RAPIDES	10 218	2 468	24.2	1 021	41.4	319	12.9
MAINE: CUMBERLAND	1 786	1 329	74.4	364	27.4	496	37.3
PENOBCOT	1 715	766	44.7	199	26.0	337	44.0
YORK	1 220	588	48.0	125	21.3	285	48.6
MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL	233 536	9 986	4.3	7 861	78.7	874	8.8
BALTIMORE	371 062	25 181	6.8	21 178	84.1	1 462	5.8
HARFORD	82 074	2 043	2.5	635	31.1	606	29.7
MONTGOMERY	474 390	23 624	5.0	16 841	71.3	2 408	10.2
PRINCE GEORGES	445 034	24 335	5.5	18 282	75.1	2 190	9.0
WASHINGTON	56 159	641	1.1	225	35.1	211	32.9
MASSACHUSETTS: BARNSTABLE	6 882	2 627	38.2	404	15.4	904	34.4
BERKSHIRE	2 533	1 664	65.7	36	2.2	744	44.7
BRISTOL	6 685	4 961	74.2	62	1.2	2 679	54.0
ESSEX	13 111	6 694	51.1	72	1.1	3 602	53.8
HAMPTON	14 144	9 908	70.1	80	0.8	6 403	64.6
HAMPSHIRE	7 105	1 683	23.7	19	1.1	564	33.5
MIDDLESEX	35 479	18 905	53.3	151	0.8	10 515	55.6
NORFOLK	13 365	6 340	47.4	56	0.9	3 626	57.2
PLYMOUTH	11 430	6 087	53.3	133	2.2	3 419	56.2
WORCESTER	17 082	8 394	49.1	68	0.8	4 229	50.4

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:								
ADA	185	6.8	78	2.9	945	34.7	394	14.5
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	239	14.1	84	5.0	432	25.5	-	-
COOK	9 218	9.1	3 232	3.2	32 428	22.0	2 043	2.0
DU PAGE	724	11.5	308	4.9	1 555	24.5	17	0.3
KANE	303	8.4	63	1.8	1 246	34.7	38	1.1
LAKE	588	11.4	112	2.2	1 234	24.0	68	1.3
LA SALLE	148	7.3	36	1.8	227	11.2	937	46.2
MCHENRY	199	10.6	53	2.8	291	15.5	-	-
MCLEAN	160	11.9	56	4.2	237	17.6	-	-
MACON	219	13.1	70	4.2	397	23.7	-	-
MADISON	289	8.8	104	3.2	739	22.6	56	1.7
PEORIA	294	10.6	91	3.3	833	30.1	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	231	11.4	31	1.5	468	23.2	-	-
ST. CLAIR	315	10.0	137	4.4	1 331	42.5	-	-
SANGAMON	322	14.6	48	2.2	652	29.6	1	(2)
TAZEWELL	192	15.7	44	3.6	180	14.7	4	0.3
WILL	361	11.2	122	3.8	361	11.2	12	0.4
WINNEBAGO	399	11.3	125	3.5	870	24.6	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	273	5.2	136	2.6	1 860	35.7	-	-
DELAWARE	70	5.6	42	3.4	417	33.4	14	1.1
ELKHART	78	4.4	39	2.2	592	33.5	-	-
LAKE	660	9.3	122	1.7	1 359	19.1	795	11.2
LA PORTE	98	4.5	26	1.2	1 411	68.5	-	-
MADISON	70	5.2	48	3.5	463	34.2	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	236	7.3	33	1.0	889	27.6	39	1.2
TIPPECANOE	85	7.8	25	2.3	260	23.8	-	-
VANDERBURGH	255	10.2	111	4.4	698	27.9	231	9.2
VIGO	105	7.0	50	3.4	466	31.3	14	0.9
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	148	7.0	133	6.3	711	33.8	-	-
LINN	154	6.7	159	6.9	704	30.5	-	-
POLK	486	7.4	282	4.3	2 875	44.0	84	1.3
SCOTT	191	10.5	95	5.2	487	26.7	8	0.4
WOODBURY	191	13.5	120	8.5	262	16.5	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	481	14.0	19	0.6	570	16.5	-	-
SEDWICK	536	8.6	29	0.5	2 612	41.8	131	2.1
SHAWNEE	317	11.4	-	-	1 015	36.5	312	11.2
WYANDOTTE	294	12.8	-	-	627	27.3	7	0.3
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	617	3.0	-	-	3 281	16.1	6 183	30.3
KENTON	148	6.8	84	3.9	293	13.4	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	160	4.1	51	1.3	1 289	33.3	-	-
CALCASIEU	236	6.3	18	0.5	473	12.7	-	-
JEFFERSON	644	4.8	116	0.9	1 252	9.4	128	1.0
LAFAYETTE	85	3.6	70	3.0	357	15.2	-	-
OUACHITA	131	6.7	25	1.3	427	21.7	-	-
RAPIDES	141	5.7	37	1.5	950	38.5	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	31	2.3	62	4.7	376	28.3	-	-
PENOBSCOT	40	5.2	57	7.4	132	17.2	1	0.1
YORK	26	4.4	1	0.2	149	25.4	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	667	6.7	-	-	584	5.8	-	-
BALTIMORE	1 122	4.5	-	-	1 419	5.6	-	-
HARFORD	269	13.2	-	-	533	26.1	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 130	4.8	2	(2)	1 551	6.6	1 692	7.2
PRINCE GEORGES	1 554	6.4	-	-	2 293	9.4	16	0.1
WASHINGTON	98	15.3	-	-	107	16.7	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	157	6.0	44	1.7	1 089	41.5	29	1.1
BERKSHIRE	54	3.2	-	-	827	49.7	3	0.2
BRISTOL	129	2.6	250	5.0	1 841	37.1	-	-
ESSEX	272	4.1	15	0.2	2 733	40.8	-	-
HAMPTON	343	3.9	204	2.1	2 838	28.6	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	158	9.4	34	2.0	908	54.0	-	-
MIDDLESEX	576	3.0	1 165	6.2	6 455	34.1	43	0.2
NORFOLK	687	10.8	82	1.3	1 886	29.7	3	(2)
PLYMOUTH	199	3.3	113	1.9	2 223	36.5	-	-
WORCESTER	228	2.7	160	1.9	3 709	44.2	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:							
BAY	15 364	2 365	15.4	590	24.9	774	32.7
BERRIEN	26 951	5 016	18.6	1 221	24.3	1 421	28.3
CALHOUN	12 926	3 592	27.8	776	21.6	1 112	31.0
GENESEE	74 686	11 493	15.4	2 430	21.1	3 474	30.2
INGHAM	38 378	5 438	14.2	1 496	27.5	1 803	33.2
JACKSON	24 220	3 183	13.1	853	26.8	854	26.8
KALAMAZOO	17 920	5 360	29.9	1 333	24.9	1 085	20.2
KENT	51 367	6 895	13.4	2 151	31.2	1 516	22.0
MACOMB	64 173	11 285	17.6	2 827	25.1	3 198	28.3
MONROE	14 113	3 059	21.7	1 113	36.4	902	29.5
MUSKEGON	26 402	3 742	14.2	570	15.2	1 476	39.4
OAKLAND	126 072	17 652	14.0	4 136	23.4	4 548	26.8
OTTAWA	12 115	2 082	17.2	801	38.5	569	27.3
SAGINAW	29 279	4 947	16.9	1 050	21.2	1 443	29.2
ST. CLAIR	19 834	2 820	14.2	602	21.3	885	31.4
WASHTENAW	22 674	7 073	31.2	2 233	31.6	1 585	22.4
WAYNE	373 133	53 119	14.2	5 635	10.6	19 680	37.0
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	22 578	2 885	12.8	1 310	45.4	809	28.0
DAKOTA	20 909	1 800	8.6	482	26.8	790	43.9
HENNEPIN	238 512	19 165	8.0	4 409	23.0	5 856	30.6
RAMSEY	126 236	9 446	7.5	1 629	17.2	2 120	22.4
ST. LOUIS	57 585	5 916	10.3	1 118	18.9	1 640	27.7
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	15 585	1 937	12.4	649	33.5	807	41.7
HINDS	17 105	1 549	9.1	403	26.0	699	45.1
JACKSON	14 839	987	6.7	488	49.4	355	36.0
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	4 524	1 480	32.7	365	24.7	376	25.4
GREENE	4 353	1 390	31.9	451	32.4	472	34.0
JACKSON	46 104	12 248	26.6	1 097	9.0	3 985	32.5
JEFFERSON	3 591	1 063	29.6	458	43.1	324	30.5
ST. CHARLES	4 550	1 212	26.6	473	39.0	340	28.1
ST. LOUIS	107 368	21 401	19.9	11 554	54.0	5 522	25.8
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	65 426	5 748	8.8	1 018	17.7	1 828	31.8
LANCASTER	15 950	2 652	16.6	418	15.8	879	33.1
NEVADA:							
CLARK	130 130	26 329	20.2	17 203	65.3	2 168	8.2
WASHOE	45 186	6 298	13.9	2 306	36.6	1 359	21.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	6 164	1 625	26.4	94	5.8	860	52.9
ROCKINGHAM	5 124	934	18.2	245	26.2	470	50.3
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	44 411	4 447	10.0	385	8.7	846	19.0
BERGEN	112 388	12 975	11.5	2 923	22.5	4 220	32.5
BURLINGTON	41 417	4 193	10.1	801	19.1	1 040	24.8
CAMDEN	105 971	8 620	8.1	687	8.0	2 387	27.7
CUMBERLAND	21 956	2 522	11.5	188	7.5	693	27.5
ESSEX	276 668	36 969	13.4	3 891	10.5	11 177	30.2
GLoucester	19 547	2 546	13.0	297	11.7	642	25.2
HUDSON	112 715	13 712	12.2	3 215	23.4	4 093	29.8
MERCER	63 808	9 521	14.9	631	6.6	2 222	23.3
MIDDLESEX	97 473	11 100	11.4	1 419	12.8	3 382	30.5
MONMOUTH	80 769	5 502	6.8	730	13.3	1 758	32.0
MORRIS	49 168	4 786	9.7	1 032	21.6	1 162	24.3
OCEAN	38 481	4 115	10.7	805	19.6	1 122	27.3
PASSAIC	70 465	11 039	15.7	765	6.9	4 164	37.7
SOMERSET	30 268	3 366	11.1	619	18.4	830	24.7
UNION	77 400	12 141	15.7	1 106	9.1	3 872	31.9
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	23 047	2 847	12.4	1 604	56.3	78	2.7
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	171 709	6 282	3.7	892	14.2	1 202	19.1
BROOME	69 382	2 967	4.3	865	29.2	618	20.8
CHAUTAUQUA	37 178	2 317	6.2	691	29.8	507	21.9
CHEMUNG	30 442	2 073	6.8	605	29.2	395	19.1
DUTCHESS	52 537	4 127	7.9	1 023	24.8	1 167	28.3
ERIE	423 476	24 338	5.7	4 313	17.7	4 443	18.3
MONROE	298 478	17 648	5.9	4 399	24.9	3 687	20.9
NASSAU	654 432	126 566	19.3	84 849	67.0	17 439	13.8

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	205	8.7	112	4.7	684	28.9	-	-
BERRIEN	425	8.5	179	3.6	1 770	35.3	-	-
CALHOUN	207	5.8	111	3.1	1 386	38.6	-	-
GENESEE	1 765	15.4	280	2.4	3 457	30.1	87	0.8
INGHAM	563	10.4	283	5.2	1 293	23.8	-	-
JACKSON	299	9.4	145	4.6	1 032	32.4	-	-
KALAMAZOO	595	11.1	229	4.3	2 118	39.5	-	-
KENT	513	7.4	201	2.9	2 388	34.6	126	1.8
MACOMB	1 294	11.5	566	5.0	3 359	29.8	41	0.4
MONROE	242	7.9	165	5.4	637	20.8	-	-
MUSKEGON	335	9.0	122	3.3	1 239	33.1	-	-
OAKLAND	1 511	8.6	872	4.9	6 344	35.9	241	1.4
OTTAWA	93	4.5	58	2.8	538	25.8	23	1.1
SAGINAW	418	8.4	279	5.6	1 757	35.5	-	-
ST. CLAIR	298	10.6	153	5.4	882	31.3	-	-
WASHTENAW	510	7.2	339	4.8	2 396	33.9	10	0.1
WAYNE	4 667	8.8	4 159	7.8	18 819	35.4	159	0.3
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	293	10.2	59	2.0	414	14.4	-	-
DAKOTA	160	8.9	80	4.4	288	16.0	-	-
HENNEPIN	1 458	7.6	783	4.1	6 544	34.1	115	0.6
RAMSEY	767	8.1	80	0.8	4 786	50.7	64	0.7
ST. LOUIS	344	5.8	114	1.9	2 700	45.6	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	148	7.6	38	2.0	295	15.2	-	-
HINDS	64	4.1	82	5.3	301	19.4	-	-
JACKSON	43	4.4	28	2.8	73	7.4	-	-
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	155	10.5	3	0.2	581	39.3	-	-
GREENE	147	10.6	3	0.2	317	22.8	-	-
JACKSON	1 095	8.9	-	-	4 457	36.4	1 614	13.2
JEFFERSON	139	13.1	-	-	142	13.4	-	-
ST. CHARLES	129	10.6	-	-	270	22.3	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 219	5.7	-	-	3 106	14.5	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	798	13.9	384	6.7	1 720	29.9	-	-
LANCASTER	304	11.5	153	5.8	898	33.9	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK	1 567	6.0	603	2.3	4 788	18.2	-	-
WASHOE	859	13.6	361	5.7	1 386	22.0	27	0.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	60	3.7	-	-	611	37.6	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	28	3.0	-	-	191	20.4	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	965	21.7	-	-	2 164	48.7	87	2.0
BERGEN	2 126	16.4	-	-	3 431	26.4	275	2.1
BURLINGTON	802	19.1	-	-	1 550	37.0	-	-
CAMDEN	1 991	23.1	-	-	3 486	40.4	69	0.8
CUMBERLAND	272	10.8	-	-	1 369	54.3	-	-
ESSEX	4 622	12.5	151	0.4	16 883	45.7	245	0.7
GLoucester	502	19.7	-	-	1 105	43.4	-	-
HUDSON	2 150	15.7	-	-	4 254	31.0	-	-
MERCER	1 358	14.3	-	-	5 289	55.6	21	0.2
MIDDLESEX	2 061	18.6	30	0.3	4 100	36.9	108	1.0
MONMOUTH	902	16.4	3	0.1	2 109	38.3	-	-
MORRIS	916	19.1	15	0.3	1 619	33.8	42	0.9
OCEAN	893	21.7	-	-	1 295	31.5	-	-
PASSAIC	1 571	14.2	-	-	4 219	38.2	320	2.9
SOMERSET	725	21.5	5	0.1	1 187	35.3	-	-
UNION	2 954	24.3	-	-	4 122	34.0	87	0.7
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	181	6.4	-	-	703	24.7	281	9.9
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	585	9.3	242	3.9	3 361	53.5	-	-
BROOME	312	10.5	115	3.9	1 028	34.6	29	1.0
CHAUTAUQUA	207	8.9	98	4.2	814	35.1	-	-
CHEMUNG	190	9.2	53	2.6	830	40.0	-	-
DUTCHESS	448	10.9	217	5.3	1 272	30.8	-	-
ERIE	2 458	10.1	795	3.3	12 168	50.0	163	0.7
MONROE	1 389	7.9	550	3.1	6 805	38.6	818	4.6
NASSAU	5 527	4.4	1 379	1.1	17 243	13.6	129	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA	68 288	4 248	6.2	1 110	26.1	1 191	28.0
ONEIDA	62 616	3 917	6.3	597	15.2	1 052	26.9
ONONDAGA	185 705	12 793	6.9	3 005	23.5	2 096	16.4
ORANGE	65 624	4 054	6.2	56	1.4	1 625	40.1
OSWEGO	21 719	1 720	7.9	526	30.6	479	27.8
RENSSELAER	61 315	2 357	3.8	288	12.2	530	22.5
ROCKLAND	95 472	6 098	6.4	1 213	19.9	1 057	17.3
ST. LAWRENCE	28 910	1 382	4.8	351	25.4	377	27.3
SARATOGA	21 400	1 359	6.4	284	20.9	441	32.5
SCHENECTADY	31 117	1 931	6.2	103	5.3	643	33.3
STEUBEN	21 110	1 507	7.1	242	16.1	395	26.2
SUFFOLK	461 561	81 429	17.6	57 003	70.0	9 252	11.4
ULSTER	36 769	2 257	6.1	357	15.8	685	30.4
WESTCHESTER	336 702	24 532	7.3	4 420	18.0	5 428	22.1
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE	57 433	1 678	2.9	773	46.1	333	19.8
CUMBERLAND	86 986	4 581	5.3	1 470	32.1	1 805	39.4
DURHAM	65 499	1 090	1.7	639	58.6	89	8.2
FORSYTH	104 528	3 131	3.0	688	22.0	521	16.6
GASTON	53 235	2 060	3.9	1 090	52.9	258	12.5
GUILFORD	122 345	3 162	2.6	1 325	41.9	625	19.8
MECKLENBURG	187 947	6 050	3.2	2 560	42.3	1 182	19.5
ONSLOW	28 597	976	3.4	460	47.1	306	31.4
WAKE	113 013	1 204	1.1	812	67.4	41	3.4
OHIO:							
ALLEN	13 889	2 511	18.1	398	15.9	545	21.7
ASHTABULA	7 478	1 316	17.6	444	33.7	391	29.7
BUTLER	15 975	2 617	16.4	570	21.8	848	32.4
CLARK	13 599	2 002	14.7	616	30.8	632	31.6
CLERMONT	9 618	1 118	11.6	364	32.6	425	38.0
COLUMBIANA	6 096	1 110	18.2	271	24.4	468	42.2
CUYAHOGA	241 370	26 675	11.1	2 641	9.9	10 563	39.6
FRANKLIN	121 507	9 865	8.1	2 480	25.1	3 665	37.2
GREENE	15 087	1 544	10.2	538	34.8	532	34.5
HAMILTON	104 754	16 745	16.0	5 870	35.1	5 411	32.3
LAKE	26 913	2 689	10.0	929	34.5	867	32.2
LICKING	8 674	1 368	15.8	411	30.0	463	33.8
LORAIN	24 288	3 123	12.9	1 101	35.3	894	28.6
LUCAS	47 550	8 249	17.3	980	11.9	2 601	31.5
MAHONING	34 279	3 223	9.4	744	23.1	1 190	36.9
MONTGOMERY	70 123	10 504	15.0	2 858	27.2	3 362	32.0
PORTAGE	22 726	2 025	8.9	615	30.4	765	37.8
RICHLAND	9 673	2 140	22.1	508	23.7	496	23.2
STARK	22 978	5 229	22.8	1 296	24.8	1 387	26.5
SUMMIT	52 968	6 081	11.5	1 436	23.6	2 237	36.8
TRUMBULL	19 803	2 733	13.8	1 040	38.1	1 000	36.6
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE	14 501	920	6.3	184	20.0	376	40.9
OKLAHOMA	32 030	4 169	13.0	201	4.8	2 136	51.2
TULSA	26 143	4 313	16.5	375	8.7	1 866	43.3
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS	23 911	3 630	15.2	1 472	40.6	793	21.8
JACKSON	16 344	2 270	13.9	690	30.4	476	21.0
LANE	36 171	5 743	15.9	1 779	31.0	2 028	35.3
MARION	13 153	2 961	22.5	839	28.3	764	25.8
MULTNOMAH	69 648	19 635	28.2	6 197	31.6	5 549	28.3
WASHINGTON	36 931	3 840	10.4	1 781	46.4	594	15.5
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	208 848	30 251	14.5	4 776	15.8	10 203	33.7
BEAVER	16 852	3 003	17.8	532	17.7	1 487	49.5
BERKS	20 248	3 370	16.6	135	4.0	1 413	41.9
BLAIR	7 219	1 359	18.8	20	1.5	543	40.0
BUCKS	32 864	6 598	20.1	525	8.0	2 756	41.8
BUTLER	8 402	1 121	13.3	93	8.3	458	40.9
CAMBRIA	14 063	1 562	11.1	31	2.0	806	51.6
CENTRE	5 110	975	19.1	13	1.3	490	50.3
CHESTER	19 472	5 176	26.6	394	7.6	1 608	31.1
CUMBERLAND	8 711	1 467	16.8	41	2.8	592	40.4
DAUPHIN	26 543	4 551	17.1	321	7.1	1 309	28.8
DELAWARE	48 335	10 406	21.5	517	5.0	3 792	36.4
ERIE	22 295	4 555	20.4	82	1.8	1 313	28.8
FAYETTE	5 822	1 259	21.6	25	2.0	694	55.1
FRANKLIN	5 082	1 058	20.8	10	0.9	325	30.7
LACKAWANNA	17 059	2 219	13.0	47	2.1	1 052	47.4
LANCASTER	16 368	3 031	18.5	82	2.7	1 197	39.5
LAWRENCE	4 337	909	21.0	23	2.5	458	50.4
LEBANON	8 463	957	11.1	16	1.7	418	43.7
LEHIGH	27 851	2 892	10.4	25	0.9	1 121	38.8

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA	342	8.1	123	2.9	1 482	34.9	-	-
ONEIDA	354	9.0	128	3.3	1 070	27.3	716	18.3
ONONDAGA	950	7.4	384	3.0	6 334	49.5	24	0.2
ORANGE	604	14.9	195	4.8	1 574	38.8	-	-
OSWEGO	137	8.0	24	1.4	554	32.2	-	-
RENSSELAER	243	10.3	77	3.3	1 219	51.7	-	-
ROCKLAND	780	12.8	243	4.0	2 805	46.0	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	118	8.5	59	4.3	477	34.5	-	-
SARATOGA	172	12.7	48	3.5	414	30.5	-	-
SCHEMECTADY	203	10.5	36	1.9	946	49.0	-	-
STEUBEN	146	9.7	56	3.7	668	44.3	-	-
SUFFOLK	3 895	4.8	1 370	1.7	9 775	12.0	134	0.2
ULSTER	297	13.2	77	3.4	839	37.2	2	0.1
WESTCHESTER	3 349	13.7	939	3.8	8 724	35.6	1 672	6.8
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	24	1.4	-	-	548	32.7	-	-
CUMBERLAND	58	1.3	-	-	484	10.6	764	16.7
DURHAM	15	1.4	-	-	235	21.6	112	10.3
FORSYTH	94	3.0	-	-	762	24.3	1 066	34.0
GASTON	57	2.8	-	-	365	17.7	290	14.1
GUILFORD	124	3.9	-	-	913	28.9	175	5.5
MECKLENBURG	87	1.4	-	-	1 308	21.6	913	15.1
ONslow	13	1.3	-	-	197	20.2	-	-
WAKE	61	5.1	-	-	275	22.8	15	1.3
OHIO:								
ALLEN	190	7.6	24	1.0	1 354	53.9	-	-
ASHTABULA	93	7.1	-	-	378	28.7	10	0.8
BUTLER	141	5.4	9	0.3	657	25.1	392	15.0
CLARK	128	6.4	18	0.9	553	27.6	55	2.7
CLERMONT	59	5.3	21	1.9	249	22.3	-	-
COLUMBIANA	118	10.6	57	5.1	196	17.7	-	-
CUYAHOGA	1 513	5.7	1 211	4.5	9 212	34.5	1 535	5.8
FRANKLIN	852	8.6	232	2.4	2 636	26.7	-	-
GREENE	126	8.2	65	4.2	283	18.3	-	-
HAMILTON	683	4.1	462	2.8	4 318	25.8	1	(2)
LAKE	210	7.8	64	2.4	619	23.0	-	-
LICKING	116	8.5	31	2.3	347	25.4	-	-
LORAIN	276	8.8	35	1.1	817	26.2	-	-
LUCAS	369	4.5	153	1.9	4 140	50.2	6	0.1
MAHONING	285	8.8	47	1.5	957	29.7	-	-
MONTGOMERY	770	7.3	153	1.5	3 361	32.0	-	-
PORTAGE	176	8.7	46	2.3	418	20.6	5	0.2
RICHLAND	209	9.8	31	1.4	759	35.5	137	6.4
STARK	354	6.8	97	1.9	2 095	40.1	-	-
SUMMIT	409	6.7	199	3.3	1 800	29.6	-	-
TRUMBULL	171	6.3	81	3.0	441	16.1	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	115	12.5	63	6.8	182	19.8	-	-
OKLAHOMA	532	12.8	232	5.6	1 068	25.6	-	-
TULSA	536	12.4	147	3.4	1 389	32.2	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	317	8.7	133	3.7	757	20.9	158	4.4
JACKSON	249	11.0	133	5.9	689	30.4	33	1.5
LANE	767	13.4	212	3.7	957	16.7	-	-
MARION	339	11.4	119	4.0	900	30.4	-	-
MULTNOMAH	2 015	10.3	985	5.0	4 872	24.8	17	0.1
WASHINGTON	393	10.2	179	4.7	893	23.3	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	2 141	7.1	686	2.3	12 330	40.8	115	0.4
BEAVER	258	8.6	82	2.7	632	21.0	12	0.4
BERKS	276	8.2	68	2.0	1 478	43.9	-	-
BLAIR	99	7.3	43	3.2	654	48.1	-	-
BUCKS	801	12.1	223	3.4	2 293	34.8	-	-
BUTLER	104	9.3	33	2.9	433	38.6	-	-
CAMBRIA	167	10.7	60	3.8	498	31.9	-	-
CENTRE	66	6.8	29	3.0	284	29.1	93	9.5
CHESTER	858	16.6	242	4.7	2 074	40.1	-	-
CUMBERLAND	125	8.5	31	2.1	678	46.2	-	-
DAUPHIN	440	9.7	120	2.6	2 361	51.9	-	-
DELAWARE	1 080	10.4	424	4.1	4 593	44.1	-	-
ERIE	429	9.4	166	3.6	2 494	54.8	71	1.6
FAYETTE	111	8.8	38	3.0	391	31.1	-	-
FRANKLIN	81	7.7	43	4.1	598	56.5	1	0.1
LACKAWANNA	255	11.5	80	3.6	785	35.4	-	-
LANCASTER	200	6.6	73	2.4	1 479	48.8	-	-
LAWRENCE	115	12.7	38	4.2	275	30.3	-	-
LEBANON	72	7.5	21	2.2	430	44.9	-	-
LEHIGH	296	10.2	83	2.9	1 367	47.3	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA—CONTINUED							
LUZERNE	17 707	2 695	15.2	91	3.4	1 318	48.9
LYCOMING	7 481	1 564	20.9	16	1.0	764	48.8
MERCER	6 944	1 481	21.3	37	2.5	603	40.7
MONTGOMERY	45 090	8 852	19.6	691	7.8	4 162	47.0
NORTHAMPTON	17 855	2 844	15.9	43	1.5	1 026	36.1
SCHUYLKILL	11 270	1 928	17.1	37	1.9	819	42.5
WASHINGTON	12 260	2 037	16.6	96	4.7	964	47.3
WESTMORELAND	21 784	4 202	19.3	221	5.3	1 445	34.4
YORK	12 519	2 923	23.3	275	9.4	1 174	40.2
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON	4 806	1 718	35.7	499	29.0	473	27.5
CHARLESTON	26 673	4 681	17.5	2 634	56.3	1 205	25.7
GREENVILLE	25 872	7 642	29.5	1 834	24.0	960	12.6
LEXINGTON	22 757	2 409	10.6	645	26.8	452	18.8
RICHLAND	32 690	4 476	13.7	1 879	42.0	1 654	37.0
SPARTANBURG	32 054	2 846	8.9	1 335	46.9	1 065	37.4
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON	86 098	8 687	10.1	1 437	16.5	1 504	17.3
KNOX	72 048	4 416	6.1	986	22.3	2 883	65.3
SHELBY	189 447	17 491	9.2	2 700	15.4	4 319	24.7
SULLIVAN	26 336	1 272	4.8	627	49.3	388	30.5
TEXAS:							
BELL	5 116	1 509	29.5	426	28.2	375	24.9
BEXAR	55 511	11 764	21.2	2 202	18.7	2 388	20.3
BRAZORIA	9 679	1 487	15.4	677	45.5	436	29.3
CAMERON	6 012	2 050	34.1	448	21.9	533	26.0
DALLAS	119 267	24 124	20.2	5 943	24.6	8 605	35.7
EL PASO	22 585	4 514	20.0	768	17.0	905	20.0
GALVESTON	20 132	2 395	11.9	641	26.8	954	39.8
HARRIS	151 793	35 828	23.6	7 314	20.4	12 507	34.9
HIDALGO	8 105	2 093	25.8	381	18.2	544	26.0
JEFFERSON	10 004	3 094	30.9	718	23.2	1 085	35.1
LUBBOCK	5 211	1 665	32.0	369	22.2	486	29.2
MCLENNAN	6 305	1 845	29.3	426	23.1	450	24.4
NUECES	34 009	4 982	14.6	728	13.8	1 337	25.4
SMITH	3 190	804	25.2	229	28.5	267	33.2
TARRANT	49 640	8 733	17.6	1 667	19.1	2 843	32.6
TAYLOR	2 758	974	35.3	211	21.7	397	40.8
TRAVIS	11 436	5 579	48.8	1 315	23.6	1 568	28.1
WICHITA	2 954	1 124	38.1	351	31.2	346	30.8
UTAH:							
DAVIS	4 748	734	15.5	348	47.4	103	14.0
SALT LAKE	49 857	7 578	15.2	3 933	51.9	1 110	14.6
UTAH	5 674	688	12.1	213	31.0	192	27.9
WEBER	9 889	1 208	12.2	336	27.8	233	19.3
VERMONT:							
CHITTENDEN	56	99	176.1	15	15.2	73	73.7
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON	120 140	11 466	9.5	8 203	71.5	1 311	11.4
FAIRFAX	379 778	19 397	5.1	13 592	70.1	3 351	17.3
HENRICO	66 351	5 662	8.5	3 878	68.5	849	15.0
PRINCE WILLIAM	72 391	2 997	4.1	1 865	62.2	607	20.3
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK	18 170	3 181	17.5	1 306	41.1	841	26.4
KING	160 688	24 747	15.4	8 765	35.4	6 151	24.9
KITSAP	10 049	1 972	19.6	710	36.0	412	20.9
PIERCE	31 425	7 882	25.1	3 288	41.7	1 454	18.4
SNOHOMISH	29 426	6 129	20.8	2 633	43.0	1 413	23.1
SPOKANE	32 143	5 736	17.8	2 149	37.5	1 175	20.5
YAKIMA	13 614	2 419	17.8	737	30.5	728	30.1
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL	6 048	1 385	22.9	355	25.6	545	39.4
KANAWHA	10 783	2 329	21.6	363	15.6	823	35.3
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN	26 041	2 467	9.5	1 431	58.0	437	17.7
DANE	48 619	5 848	12.0	2 336	39.9	1 524	26.1
KENOSHA	17 294	2 277	13.2	1 020	44.8	631	27.7
MARATHON	18 381	1 424	7.7	843	59.2	306	21.5
MILWAUKEE	287 248	20 249	7.0	3 569	17.6	7 542	37.2
OUTAGAMIE	16 423	1 671	10.2	795	47.6	420	25.1
RACINE	28 616	3 089	10.8	1 284	41.6	964	31.2
ROCK	18 204	2 288	12.5	574	25.1	608	26.6
WAUKESHA	26 301	3 058	11.6	1 264	41.3	882	28.8
WINNEBAGO	23 460	1 498	6.4	723	48.3	443	29.6

* Represents zero or rounds to zero.

z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

**Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure
(direct and intergovernmental) of 334 large county governments,
fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecutorial		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal Justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal Justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal Justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal Justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LUZERNE	271	10.1	106	3.9	909	33.7	-	-
LYCOMING	111	7.1	41	2.6	632	40.4	-	-
MERCER	123	8.3	85	5.7	620	41.9	13	0.9
MONTGOMERY	891	10.1	390	4.4	2 718	30.7	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	208	7.3	96	3.4	1 471	51.7	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	175	9.1	49	2.5	848	44.0	-	-
WASHINGTON	210	10.3	55	2.7	712	35.0	-	-
WESTMORELAND	363	8.6	78	1.9	2 079	49.5	16	0.4
YORK	192	6.6	42	1.4	1 240	42.4	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	42	2.4	-	-	704	41.0	-	-
CHARLESTON	102	2.2	10	0.2	730	15.6	-	-
GREENVILLE	146	1.9	36	0.5	510	6.7	4 156	54.4
LEXINGTON	50	2.1	-	-	189	7.8	1 073	44.5
RICHLAND	306	6.8	122	2.7	515	11.5	-	-
SPARTANBURG	59	2.1	48	1.7	282	9.9	57	2.0
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	148	1.7	-	-	5 598	64.4	-	-
KNOX	110	2.5	-	-	429	9.7	8	0.2
SHELBY	335	1.9	375	2.1	9 049	51.7	713	4.1
SULLIVAN	58	4.6	2	0.2	197	15.5	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	251	16.6	38	2.5	419	27.8	-	-
BEXAR	1 319	11.2	350	3.0	4 977	42.3	528	4.5
BRAZORIA	132	8.9	23	1.5	186	12.5	33	2.2
CAMERON	189	9.2	45	2.2	500	24.4	335	16.3
DALLAS	1 973	8.2	811	3.4	6 736	27.9	56	0.2
EL PASO	640	14.2	156	3.5	1 501	33.3	544	12.1
GALVESTON	228	9.5	47	2.0	494	20.6	31	1.3
HARRIS	4 110	11.5	1 040	2.9	9 348	26.1	1 509	4.2
HIDALGO	279	13.3	39	1.9	850	40.6	-	-
JEFFERSON	621	20.1	55	1.8	568	18.4	47	1.5
LUBBOCK	285	17.1	33	2.0	458	27.5	34	2.0
MCLENNAN	149	8.1	29	1.6	791	42.9	-	-
NUCES	367	7.0	90	1.7	867	16.5	1 593	32.0
SMITH	96	11.9	27	3.4	182	22.6	3	0.4
TARRANT	1 589	18.2	227	2.6	2 326	26.6	81	0.9
TAYLOR	80	8.2	48	4.9	214	22.0	24	2.5
TRAVIS	520	9.3	65	1.2	2 034	36.5	77	1.4
WICHITA	125	11.1	27	2.4	261	23.2	14	1.2
UTAH:								
DAVIS	167	22.8	-	-	116	15.8	-	-
SALT LAKE	759	10.0	228	3.0	1 548	20.4	-	-
UTAH	113	16.4	22	3.2	148	21.5	-	-
WEBER	132	10.9	60	5.0	447	37.0	-	-
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	11.1
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	392	3.4	-	-	1 335	11.6	225	2.0
FAIRFAX	688	3.5	79	0.4	1 585	8.2	102	0.5
HENRICO	252	4.5	-	-	683	12.1	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	166	5.5	-	-	359	12.0	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	250	7.9	119	3.7	665	20.9	-	-
KING	1 750	7.1	1 175	4.7	6 744	27.3	162	0.7
KITSAP	196	9.9	61	3.1	569	28.9	24	1.2
PIERCE	685	8.7	238	3.0	2 176	27.6	41	0.5
SNOHOMISH	451	7.4	257	4.2	1 333	21.7	42	0.7
SPOKANE	449	7.8	227	4.0	1 444	25.2	292	5.1
YAKIMA	286	11.8	6	0.2	662	27.4	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	123	8.9	10	0.7	352	25.4	-	-
KANAWHA	242	10.4	7	0.3	894	38.4	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	120	4.9	59	2.4	420	17.0	-	-
DANE	627	10.7	131	2.2	1 230	21.0	-	-
KENOSHA	183	8.0	79	3.5	364	16.0	-	-
MARATHON	77	5.4	20	1.4	178	12.5	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 857	9.2	745	3.7	6 466	31.9	70	0.3
OUTAGAMIE	157	9.4	124	7.4	175	10.5	-	-
RACINE	240	7.8	283	9.2	318	10.3	-	-
ROCK	196	8.6	89	3.9	821	35.9	-	-
WAUKESHA	244	8.0	81	2.6	587	19.2	-	-
WINNEBAGO	136	9.1	70	4.7	126	8.4	-	-

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	21 438 204	2 977 487	13.9	930 907	31.3	784 229	26.3
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	3 469	820	23.6	238	29.0	374	45.6
JEFFERSON	67 728	7 671	11.3	2 244	29.3	3 055	39.8
MADISON	9 272	2 037	22.0	405	19.9	1 006	49.4
MOBILE	18 256	4 028	22.1	928	23.0	2 052	50.9
MONTGOMERY	8 076	1 989	24.6	416	20.9	892	44.8
TUSCALOOSA	5 847	1 247	21.3	397	31.8	559	44.8
ALASKA:							
GREATER ANCHORAGE	116 197	392	0.3	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	149 731	33 671	22.5	6 871	20.4	7 934	23.6
PIMA	83 981	22 917	27.3	6 240	27.2	3 981	17.4
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	11 491	5 164	44.9	586	11.3	848	16.4
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	274 433	56 081	20.4	7 510	13.4	15 950	28.4
BUTTE	33 875	4 318	12.7	1 486	34.4	1 033	23.9
CONTRA COSTA	184 957	29 810	16.1	6 544	22.0	6 194	20.8
FRESNO	155 396	19 572	12.6	5 660	28.9	3 499	17.9
HUMBOLDT	34 097	5 311	15.6	1 783	33.6	1 052	19.8
KERN	123 900	18 318	14.6	5 724	31.2	3 712	20.3
LOS ANGELES	2 430 250	359 122	14.8	113 002	31.5	92 781	25.8
MARIN	60 408	10 689	17.7	2 060	19.3	2 586	24.2
MERCED	46 491	3 938	8.5	1 142	29.0	800	20.3
MONTEREY	61 797	10 500	17.0	2 934	27.9	1 982	18.9
ORANGE	275 190	56 365	20.5	10 644	18.9	14 905	26.4
RIVERSIDE	179 642	29 876	16.6	8 756	29.3	6 439	21.6
SACRAMENTO	237 478	40 814	17.2	12 996	31.8	6 679	16.4
SAN BERNARDINO	223 864	36 168	16.2	10 177	28.1	8 154	22.5
SAN DIEGO	331 444	66 620	20.1	13 030	19.6	16 897	25.4
SAN JOAQUIN	97 945	14 588	14.9	4 351	29.8	2 814	19.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	38 350	5 352	14.0	1 946	36.4	1 233	23.0
SAN MATEO	139 493	27 081	19.4	5 831	21.5	5 657	20.9
SANTA BARBARA	80 812	15 565	19.3	4 389	28.2	2 875	18.5
SANTA CLARA	327 095	49 508	15.1	11 023	22.3	10 303	20.8
SANTA CRUZ	48 369	6 750	14.0	2 147	31.8	1 268	18.8
SOLANO	44 906	7 703	17.2	1 370	17.8	2 062	26.8
SONOMA	71 180	11 436	16.1	3 439	30.1	2 451	21.4
STANISLAUS	67 839	10 393	15.3	2 216	21.3	2 291	22.0
TULARE	70 335	8 960	12.7	3 104	34.6	1 601	17.9
VENTURA	121 371	21 721	17.9	6 978	32.1	4 610	21.2
YOLO	30 339	4 897	16.1	1 527	31.2	1 033	21.1
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	25 553	2 547	10.0	1 437	56.4	196	7.7
ARAPAHOE	12 793	2 439	19.1	1 142	46.8	436	17.9
BOULDER	17 560	2 334	13.3	839	35.9	135	5.8
EL PASO	28 230	2 668	9.5	455	17.1	769	28.8
JEFFERSON	25 679	2 873	11.2	1 530	53.3	261	9.1
LARIMER	11 518	1 160	10.1	643	55.4	55	4.7
PUEBLO	18 324	1 217	6.6	271	22.3	95	7.8
WELD	26 562	956	3.6	384	40.2	86	9.0
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	25 967	4 406	17.0	3 514	79.8	766	17.4
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	18 624	4 644	24.9	2 167	46.7	903	19.4
BREVARD	29 118	3 929	13.5	2 218	56.5	1 183	30.1
BROWARD	82 202	11 694	14.2	5 423	46.4	3 833	32.8
DADE	393 264	53 107	13.5	26 163	49.3	14 634	27.6
ESCAMBIA	23 697	5 640	23.8	2 774	49.2	1 400	24.8
HILLSBOROUGH	106 394	12 445	11.7	4 629	37.2	3 372	27.1
LEE	17 976	3 031	16.9	1 754	57.9	859	28.3
LEON	9 208	2 210	24.0	895	40.5	885	40.0
MANATEE	15 535	1 816	11.7	1 056	58.1	547	30.1
ORANGE	52 723	9 698	18.4	5 639	58.1	2 135	22.0
PALM BEACH	53 146	10 112	19.0	5 500	51.4	1 812	17.9
PASCO	12 037	2 863	23.8	1 616	56.4	889	31.1
PINELLAS	55 085	9 937	18.0	4 913	49.4	3 399	34.2
POLK	26 309	6 478	24.6	2 585	39.9	868	13.4
SARASOTA	20 663	4 705	22.8	3 338	70.9	930	19.8
SEMINOLE	10 020	2 546	23.4	1 192	46.8	597	23.4
VOLUSIA	21 200	4 227	19.9	1 803	42.7	1 238	29.3
GEORGIA:							
BIBB	10 581	3 573	33.8	1 007	28.2	916	25.6
CHATHAM	17 621	3 645	20.7	1 218	33.4	1 377	37.8
CLAYTON	17 571	3 813	21.7	1 736	45.5	1 000	26.2
COBB	39 229	6 132	15.6	3 658	59.7	1 424	23.2
DE KALB	71 099	12 241	17.2	7 020	57.3	2 546	20.8
FULTON	65 160	16 362	25.1	2 98	1.8	7 759	47.4
RICHMOND	13 664	3 576	26.2	1 356	37.9	913	25.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of
334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	248 594	8.3	84 955	2.9	873 389	29.3	55 413	1.9
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	48	5.9	-	-	160	19.5	-	-
JEFFERSON	500	6.5	22	0.3	1 843	24.0	7	0.1
MADISON	207	10.2	17	0.8	402	19.7	-	-
MOBILE	169	4.2	-	-	879	21.8	-	-
MONTGOMERY	128	6.4	-	-	553	27.8	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	65	5.2	-	-	226	18.1	-	-
ALASKA:								
GREATER ANCHORAGE	392	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	2 357	7.0	1 690	5.0	14 819	44.0	-	-
PIMA	2 094	9.1	957	4.2	5 631	24.6	4 014	17.5
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	324	6.3	127	2.5	3 279	63.5	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	4 634	8.3	3 007	5.4	24 941	44.5	39	0.1
BUTTE	527	12.2	178	4.1	1 094	25.3	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	3 275	11.0	1 233	4.1	12 564	42.1	-	-
FRESNO	1 679	8.6	986	5.0	7 748	39.6	-	-
HUMBOLDT	611	11.5	120	2.3	1 579	29.7	166	3.1
KERN	1 774	9.7	528	2.9	6 580	35.9	-	-
LOS ANGELES	24 811	6.9	12 695	3.5	114 373	31.8	1 460	0.4
MARIN	885	8.3	614	5.7	4 087	38.2	457	4.3
MERCED	428	10.9	117	3.0	1 451	36.8	-	-
MONTEREY	1 346	12.8	559	5.3	3 679	35.0	-	-
ORANGE	6 051	10.7	2 285	4.1	22 231	39.4	249	0.4
RIVERSIDE	2 857	9.6	1 140	3.8	10 684	35.8	-	-
SACRAMENTO	6 695	16.4	1 995	4.9	12 395	30.4	54	0.1
SAN BERNARDINO	3 198	8.8	1 119	3.1	11 862	32.8	1 658	4.6
SAN DIEGO	6 754	10.1	3 217	4.8	26 413	39.6	309	0.5
SAN JOAQUIN	1 477	10.1	939	6.4	4 987	34.2	20	0.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	755	14.1	123	2.3	1 288	24.1	7	0.1
SAN MATEO	1 763	6.5	926	3.4	12 340	45.6	564	2.1
SANTA BARBARA	1 553	10.0	537	3.5	6 211	39.9	-	-
SANTA CLARA	5 026	10.2	1 713	3.5	21 153	42.7	290	0.6
SANTA CRUZ	925	13.7	192	2.8	2 146	31.8	72	1.1
SOLANO	593	7.7	469	6.1	3 209	41.7	-	-
SONOMA	903	7.9	321	2.8	4 322	37.8	-	-
STANISLAUS	1 186	11.4	205	2.0	4 367	42.0	128	1.2
TULARE	1 060	11.8	435	4.9	2 760	30.8	-	-
VENTURA	2 358	10.9	764	3.5	6 698	30.8	313	1.4
YOLO	514	10.5	286	5.8	1 537	31.4	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	559	21.9	-	-	355	13.9	-	-
ARAPAHOE	504	20.7	-	-	357	14.6	-	-
BOULDER	381	16.3	-	-	287	12.3	692	29.6
EL PASO	814	30.5	-	-	630	23.6	-	-
JEFFERSON	710	24.7	-	-	372	12.9	-	-
LARIMER	242	20.9	-	-	177	15.3	43	3.7
PUEBLO	376	30.9	20	1.6	381	31.3	74	5.1
WELD	300	31.4	-	-	162	16.9	24	2.5
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	126	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	136	2.9	24	0.5	1 326	28.6	88	1.9
BREVARD	115	2.9	25	0.6	360	9.2	28	0.7
BROWARD	218	1.9	137	1.2	1 991	17.0	92	0.8
DADE	671	1.3	160	0.3	7 781	14.7	3 698	7.0
ESCAMBIA	122	2.2	71	1.3	1 273	22.6	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	308	2.5	79	0.6	3 942	31.7	115	0.9
LEE	106	3.5	17	0.5	295	9.7	-	-
LEON	60	2.7	22	1.0	348	15.7	-	-
MANATEE	42	2.3	6	0.3	165	9.1	-	-
ORANGE	206	2.1	25	0.3	1 692	17.5	-	-
PALM BEACH	281	2.8	120	1.2	2 233	22.1	166	1.6
PASCO	163	5.7	-	-	195	6.8	-	-
PINELLAS	215	2.2	-	-	1 309	13.2	101	1.0
POLK	112	1.7	4	0.1	936	14.4	1 973	30.5
SARASOTA	69	1.5	8	0.2	360	7.7	-	-
SEMINOLE	78	3.1	3	0.1	676	26.6	-	-
VOLUSIA	165	3.9	5	0.1	1 016	24.0	-	-
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	335	9.4	64	1.8	1 245	34.8	6	0.2
CHATHAM	257	7.1	95	2.6	698	19.1	-	-
CLAYTON	231	6.1	43	1.1	788	20.7	15	0.4
COBB	224	3.7	121	2.0	705	11.5	-	-
DE KALB	583	4.8	139	1.1	1 953	16.0	-	-
FULTON	1 671	10.2	336	2.1	6 298	38.5	-	-
RICHMOND	231	6.5	40	1.1	1 036	29.0	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of
334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:							
ADA.	6 401	2 720	42.5	606	22.3	512	18.8
ILLINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN	7 905	1 695	21.4	591	34.9	349	20.6
COOK	352 015	96 341	27.4	12 661	3.1	41 552	43.1
DU PAGE	37 536	6 275	16.7	1 767	28.2	1 924	30.7
KANE	12 361	3 594	29.1	1 308	36.4	636	17.7
LAKE	33 356	5 150	15.4	2 136	41.5	1 012	19.7
LA SALLE	6 802	2 007	29.5	392	19.5	267	13.3
MCHENRY	7 531	1 857	24.7	1 005	54.1	331	17.8
MCLEAN	9 421	1 341	14.2	457	34.1	439	32.7
MACON	6 519	1 678	25.7	622	37.1	370	22.1
MADISON	10 476	3 269	31.2	1 074	32.5	1 014	31.0
PEORIA	10 609	2 761	26.0	1 088	39.4	455	16.5
ROCK ISLAND	8 903	1 978	22.2	901	45.6	362	18.3
ST. CLAIR	15 143	2 530	16.7	605	23.9	747	29.5
SANGAMON	7 566	2 205	29.1	752	34.1	430	19.5
TAZEWELL	6 026	1 224	20.3	509	41.6	295	24.1
WILL	13 476	3 207	23.8	1 583	49.4	771	24.0
WINNEBAGO	15 812	3 532	22.3	1 385	39.2	753	21.3
INDIANA:							
ALLEN	32 988	4 874	14.8	1 380	28.3	1 557	31.9
DELAWARE	8 596	1 155	13.4	337	29.2	363	31.4
ELKHART	10 838	1 719	15.9	631	36.7	426	24.8
LAKE	60 517	6 655	11.0	1 964	29.5	2 178	32.7
LA PORTE	8 196	2 184	26.6	359	16.4	290	13.3
MADISON	8 661	1 268	14.6	296	23.3	464	36.6
ST. JOSEPH	22 305	3 220	14.4	999	31.0	1 024	31.8
TIPPECANOE	8 757	1 078	12.3	448	41.6	275	25.5
VANDERBURGH	13 492	2 407	17.8	610	25.3	551	22.9
VIGO	9 295	1 476	15.9	353	23.9	480	33.1
IOWA:							
BLACK HAWK	7 651	2 105	27.5	394	18.7	719	34.2
LINN	18 714	2 265	12.1	486	21.5	762	33.6
POLK	32 639	6 071	18.6	687	11.2	2 069	34.1
SCOTT	12 317	1 620	13.2	490	30.2	495	30.6
WOODBURY	8 066	1 373	17.0	216	15.7	627	45.7
KANSAS:							
JOHNSON	26 619	3 442	12.5	1 439	41.8	933	27.1
SEDWICK	19 795	6 256	31.6	887	14.2	2 061	32.9
SHAWNEE	9 210	2 783	30.2	283	10.2	856	30.8
WYANDOTTE	9 025	2 296	25.4	359	15.3	1 012	44.1
KENTUCKY:							
JEFFERSON	75 233	19 983	26.6	8 780	43.9	1 100	5.7
KENTON	20 962	2 181	10.4	1 240	56.9	416	19.1
LOUISIANA:							
CADDO	9 589	3 854	40.2	1 803	46.8	556	14.4
CALCASIEU	20 685	3 722	18.0	2 488	66.8	507	13.6
JEFFERSON	103 791	13 372	12.9	7 779	58.2	3 453	25.8
LAFAYETTE	5 957	2 355	39.5	1 474	62.6	369	15.7
OUACHITA	5 281	1 957	37.1	1 053	53.8	321	16.4
RAPIDES	6 489	2 460	37.9	1 021	41.5	319	13.0
MAINE:							
CUMBERLAND	1 759	1 328	75.5	363	27.3	496	37.3
PENOBSCOT	1 715	766	44.7	199	26.0	337	44.0
YORK	1 220	586	48.0	125	21.3	285	48.6
MARYLAND:							
ANNE ARUNDEL	230 941	9 986	4.3	7 861	78.7	874	8.8
BALTIMORE	362 239	25 181	7.0	21 178	84.1	1 462	5.8
HARFORD	81 109	2 041	2.5	635	31.1	604	29.6
MONTGOMERY	447 814	23 568	5.3	16 785	71.2	2 408	10.2
PRINCE GEORGES	424 054	24 335	5.7	18 282	75.1	2 190	9.0
WASHINGTON	55 473	639	1.2	225	35.2	209	32.7
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BARNSTABLE	6 703	2 624	39.1	404	16.4	901	34.3
BERKSHIRE	2 163	1 604	76.9	36	2.2	744	44.7
BRISTOL	6 403	4 961	77.5	62	1.2	2 679	54.0
ESSEX	12 617	6 684	53.0	70	1.0	3 594	53.8
HAMPTON	13 787	9 906	71.8	78	0.8	6 403	64.6
HAMPSHIRE	7 060	1 683	23.6	19	1.1	564	33.5
MIDDLESEX	34 865	18 905	54.2	151	0.8	10 515	55.6
NORFOLK	12 982	6 331	48.8	56	0.9	3 626	57.3
PLYMOUTH	11 037	6 087	55.2	133	2.2	3 419	56.2
WORCESTER	15 197	8 394	55.2	68	0.8	4 229	50.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of
334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:								
ADA	185	6.8	78	2.9	945	34.7	394	14.5
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	239	14.1	84	5.0	432	25.5	-	-
COOK	9 218	6.6	3 232	3.4	27 742	28.8	1 936	2.0
DU PAGE	724	11.5	308	4.9	1 535	24.5	17	0.3
KANE	303	7.4	63	1.8	1 246	34.7	38	1.1
LAKE	588	11.4	112	2.2	1 234	24.0	68	1.3
LA SALLE	148	7.4	36	1.8	227	11.3	937	46.7
MCHENRY	199	10.7	53	2.9	269	14.5	-	-
MCLEAN	160	11.9	56	4.2	229	17.1	-	-
MACON	219	13.1	70	4.2	397	23.7	-	-
MADISON	289	8.8	104	3.2	736	22.5	52	1.6
PEORIA	294	10.6	91	3.3	833	30.2	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	231	11.7	31	1.6	453	22.9	-	-
ST. CLAIR	315	12.5	137	5.4	726	28.7	-	-
SANGAMON	322	14.6	48	2.2	652	29.6	1	(2)
TAZEWELL	192	15.7	44	3.6	180	14.7	4	0.3
WILL	361	11.3	122	3.8	358	11.2	12	0.4
WINNEBAGO	399	11.3	125	3.5	870	24.6	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	273	5.6	136	2.8	1 528	31.4	-	-
DELWARE	70	6.1	42	3.6	329	28.5	14	1.2
ELKHART	78	4.5	39	2.3	545	31.7	-	-
LAKE	660	9.9	122	1.8	936	14.1	795	11.9
LA PORTE	98	4.5	26	1.2	1 411	64.6	-	-
MADISON	70	5.5	48	3.8	390	30.8	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	236	7.3	33	1.0	889	27.6	39	1.2
TIPPECANOE	85	7.9	25	2.3	245	22.7	-	-
VANDERBURGH	255	10.6	111	4.6	649	27.0	231	9.6
VIGO	105	7.1	50	3.4	466	31.6	14	0.9
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	148	7.0	133	6.3	711	33.8	-	-
LINN	154	6.8	159	7.0	704	31.1	-	-
POLK	486	7.9	282	4.6	2 463	40.2	84	1.4
SCOTT	191	11.8	68	4.2	368	22.7	8	0.5
WOODBURY	191	13.9	120	8.7	219	16.0	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	481	14.0	19	0.6	570	16.6	-	-
SEDWICK	536	8.6	29	0.5	2 612	41.8	131	2.1
SHAWNEE	317	11.4	-	-	1 015	36.5	312	11.2
WYANDOTTE	294	12.8	-	-	624	27.2	7	0.3
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	617	3.1	-	-	3 273	16.4	6 183	30.9
KENTON	148	6.8	84	3.9	293	13.4	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
CADDY	160	4.2	46	1.2	1 289	3.4	-	-
CALCASIEU	236	6.3	18	0.5	473	3.7	-	-
JEFFERSON	644	4.8	116	0.9	1 252	3.4	128	1.0
LAFAYETTE	85	3.6	70	3.0	357	3.2	-	-
OUACHITA	131	6.7	25	1.3	427	21.8	-	-
RAPIDES	141	5.7	37	1.5	942	38.3	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	31	2.3	62	4.7	376	28.3	-	-
PENOBCOT	40	5.2	57	7.4	132	17.2	1	0.1
YORK	26	4.4	1	0.2	149	25.4	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	667	6.7	-	-	584	5.8	-	-
BALTIMORE	1 122	4.5	-	-	1 419	5.6	-	-
HARFORD	269	13.2	-	-	533	26.1	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 130	4.8	2	(2)	1 551	6.6	1 692	7.2
PRINCE GEORGES	1 554	6.4	-	-	2 293	9.4	16	0.1
WASHINGTON	98	15.3	-	-	107	16.7	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	157	6.0	44	1.7	1 089	41.5	29	1.1
BERKSHIRE	54	3.2	-	-	827	49.7	3	0.2
BRISTOL	129	2.6	250	5.0	1 841	37.1	-	-
ESSEX	272	4.1	15	0.2	2 733	40.9	-	-
HAMPDEN	383	3.9	204	2.1	2 838	28.6	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	158	9.4	34	2.0	908	54.0	-	-
MIDDLESEX	576	3.0	1 165	6.2	6 455	34.1	43	0.2
NORFOLK	683	10.8	82	1.3	1 884	29.8	-	-
PLYMOUTH	199	3.3	113	1.9	2 223	36.5	-	-
WORCESTER	228	2.7	160	1.9	3 709	44.2	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of
334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:							
BAY	15 092	2 281	15.1	590	25.9	774	33.9
BERRIEN	25 089	4 811	19.2	1 144	23.8	1 417	29.5
CALHOUN	12 926	3 558	27.5	776	21.8	1 106	31.1
GENESEE	74 686	10 921	14.6	2 428	22.2	3 435	31.5
INGHAM	38 003	5 428	14.3	1 496	27.6	1 833	33.2
JACKSON	24 017	3 072	12.8	853	27.8	854	27.8
KALAMAZOO	17 457	5 255	30.1	1 333	25.4	1 085	20.6
KENT	47 631	6 827	14.3	2 151	31.5	1 512	22.1
MACOMB	62 549	11 088	17.7	2 827	25.5	3 187	28.7
MONROE	13 935	2 979	21.4	1 100	36.9	876	29.4
MUSKEGON	26 276	3 566	13.6	516	14.5	1 476	41.4
OAKLAND	123 262	17 464	14.2	4 136	23.7	4 525	25.9
OTTAWA	12 001	2 017	16.8	801	39.7	569	28.2
SAGINAW	28 913	4 854	16.8	1 050	21.6	1 443	29.7
ST. CLAIR	19 288	2 760	14.3	602	21.8	885	32.1
WASHTENAW	21 998	7 006	31.8	2 233	31.9	1 572	22.4
WAYNE	358 597	50 572	14.1	5 635	11.1	19 300	38.2
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	22 578	2 885	12.8	1 310	45.4	809	28.0
DAKOTA	20 425	1 721	8.4	482	28.0	764	44.4
HENNEPIN	230 469	18 932	8.2	4 409	23.3	5 856	30.9
RAMSEY	124 653	9 446	7.6	1 629	17.2	2 120	22.4
ST. LOUIS	57 576	5 348	9.3	1 118	20.9	1 632	30.5
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	15 409	1 937	12.6	649	33.5	807	41.7
HINDS	15 592	1 549	9.9	403	26.0	699	45.1
JACKSON	14 195	987	7.0	488	49.4	355	36.0
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	4 524	1 478	32.7	365	24.7	376	25.4
GREENE	4 353	1 386	31.8	451	32.5	472	34.1
JACKSON	45 743	12 184	26.6	1 097	9.0	3 985	32.7
JEFFERSON	3 536	1 060	30.0	458	43.2	324	30.6
ST. CHARLES	4 490	1 212	27.0	473	39.0	340	28.1
ST. LOUIS	85 238	21 401	25.1	11 554	54.0	5 522	25.8
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	59 006	5 748	9.7	1 018	17.7	1 828	31.8
LANCASTER	15 252	2 581	16.9	418	16.2	879	34.1
NEVADA:							
CLARK	120 235	26 329	21.9	17 203	65.3	2 168	8.2
WASHOE	41 293	6 298	15.3	2 306	36.6	1 359	21.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	6 164	1 524	24.7	80	5.2	773	50.7
ROCKINGHAM	5 124	872	17.0	245	28.1	410	47.0
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	42 720	4 447	10.4	385	8.7	846	19.0
BERGEN	106 493	12 975	12.2	2 923	22.5	4 220	32.5
BURLINGTON	40 867	4 193	10.3	801	19.1	1 040	24.8
CAMDEN	103 059	8 620	8.4	687	8.0	2 387	27.7
CUMBERLAND	21 366	2 522	11.8	188	7.5	693	27.5
ESSEX	267 172	36 969	13.8	3 891	10.5	11 177	30.2
GOULCESTER	18 944	2 546	13.4	297	11.7	642	25.2
HUDSON	102 953	13 712	13.3	3 215	23.4	4 093	29.8
MERCER	61 393	9 521	15.5	631	6.6	2 222	23.3
MIDDLESEX	94 266	11 100	11.8	1 419	12.8	7 382	30.5
MONMOUTH	78 405	5 482	7.0	730	13.3	1 758	32.1
MORRIS	49 168	4 786	9.7	1 032	21.6	1 162	24.3
OCEAN	37 784	4 115	10.9	805	19.6	1 122	27.3
PASSAIC	67 132	11 039	16.4	765	6.9	4 164	37.7
SOMERSET	29 191	3 366	11.5	619	18.4	830	24.7
UNION	73 531	12 141	16.5	1 106	9.1	3 872	31.9
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	17 584	2 489	14.2	1 604	64.4	78	3.1
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	106 967	5 950	5.6	892	15.0	1 201	20.2
BROOME	61 134	2 830	4.6	865	30.6	618	21.8
CHAUTAUQUA	32 510	2 250	6.9	691	30.7	507	22.5
CHENUNG	27 902	2 018	7.2	605	30.0	395	19.6
DUTCHESS	52 349	3 939	7.5	1 023	26.0	1 167	29.6
ERIE	360 167	22 823	6.3	4 313	18.9	4 443	19.5
MONROE	264 528	16 191	6.1	4 399	27.2	3 687	22.8
NASSAU	637 988	124 001	19.4	84 849	68.4	17 439	14.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of
334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	205	9.0	109	4.8	603	26.4	-	-
BERRIEN	425	8.8	179	3.7	1 646	34.2	-	-
CALHOUN	206	5.8	111	3.1	1 359	38.2	-	-
GENESEE	1 719	15.7	280	2.6	2 972	27.2	87	0.8
INGHAM	563	10.4	283	5.2	1 283	23.6	-	-
JACKSON	299	9.7	145	4.7	921	30.0	-	-
KALAMAZOO	595	11.3	229	4.4	2 013	38.3	-	-
KENT	513	7.5	201	2.9	2 324	34.0	126	1.8
MACOMB	1 294	11.7	566	5.1	3 173	28.6	41	0.4
MONROE	242	8.1	165	5.5	596	20.0	-	-
MUSKEGON	335	9.4	122	3.4	1 117	31.3	-	-
OAKLAND	1 511	8.7	872	5.0	6 179	35.4	241	1.4
OTTAWA	93	4.6	58	2.9	484	24.0	12	0.6
SAGINAW	418	8.6	279	5.7	1 664	34.3	-	-
ST. CLAIR	298	10.8	153	5.5	822	29.8	-	-
WASHTENAW	510	7.3	339	4.8	2 342	33.4	10	0.1
WAYNE	4 667	9.2	4 159	8.2	16 652	32.9	159	0.3
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	293	10.2	59	2.0	414	14.4	-	-
DAKOTA	159	9.2	28	1.6	288	16.7	-	-
HENNEPIN	1 458	7.7	783	4.1	6 311	33.3	115	0.6
RAMSEY	767	8.1	80	0.8	4 786	50.7	64	0.7
ST. LOUIS	344	6.4	114	2.1	2 140	40.0	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	148	7.6	38	2.0	295	15.2	-	-
HINDS	64	4.1	82	5.3	301	19.4	-	-
JACKSON	43	4.4	28	2.8	73	7.4	-	-
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	155	10.5	3	0.2	579	39.2	-	-
GREENE	144	10.4	3	0.2	316	22.8	-	-
JACKSON	1 095	9.0	-	-	4 393	36.1	1 614	13.2
JEFFERSON	139	13.1	-	-	139	13.1	-	-
ST. CHARLES	129	10.6	-	-	270	22.3	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 219	5.7	-	-	3 106	14.5	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	798	13.9	384	6.7	1 720	29.9	-	-
LANCASTER	304	11.8	153	5.9	827	32.0	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK	1 567	6.0	603	2.3	4 788	18.2	-	-
WASHOE	859	13.6	361	5.7	1 386	22.0	27	0.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	60	3.9	-	-	611	40.1	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	28	3.2	-	-	189	21.7	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	965	21.7	-	-	2 164	48.7	87	2.0
BERGEN	2 126	16.4	-	-	3 431	26.4	275	2.1
BURLINGTON	802	19.1	-	-	1 550	37.0	-	-
CAMDEN	1 991	23.1	-	-	3 486	40.4	69	0.8
CUMBERLAND	272	10.8	-	-	1 369	54.3	-	-
ESSEX	4 622	12.5	151	0.4	16 883	45.7	245	0.7
GOULCESTER	502	19.7	-	-	1 105	43.4	-	-
HUDSON	2 150	15.7	-	-	4 254	31.0	-	-
MERCER	1 358	14.3	-	-	5 269	55.6	21	0.2
MIDDLESEX	2 061	18.6	30	0.3	4 100	36.9	108	1.0
MONMOUTH	902	16.5	3	0.1	2 089	38.1	-	-
MORRIS	916	19.1	15	0.3	1 619	33.8	42	0.9
OCEAN	893	21.7	-	-	1 295	31.5	-	-
PASSAIC	1 571	14.2	-	-	4 219	38.2	320	2.9
SOMERSET	725	21.5	5	0.1	1 187	35.3	-	-
UNION	2 954	24.3	-	-	4 122	34.0	87	0.7
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	181	7.3	-	-	348	14.0	278	11.2
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	585	9.8	242	4.1	3 030	50.9	-	-
BROOME	312	11.0	115	4.1	891	31.5	29	1.0
CHAUTAUQUA	207	9.2	98	4.4	747	33.2	-	-
CHEMUNG	190	9.4	53	2.6	775	38.4	-	-
DUTCHESS	448	11.4	217	5.5	1 084	27.5	-	-
ERIE	2 458	10.8	793	3.5	10 653	46.7	163	0.7
MONROE	1 389	8.6	550	3.4	5 348	33.0	818	5.1
NASSAU	5 527	4.5	1 379	1.1	14 678	11.8	129	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA	58 075	3 951	6.8	1 110	28.1	1 190	30.1
ONEIDA	61 956	3 680	5.9	597	16.2	1 052	28.6
ONONDAGA	166 116	11 839	7.1	3 005	25.4	2 096	17.7
ORANGE	65 022	3 791	5.8	56	1.5	1 623	42.8
OSWEGO	21 028	1 614	7.7	526	32.6	479	29.7
RENSSELAER	60 924	2 217	3.6	288	13.0	530	23.9
ROCKLAND	94 518	6 085	6.4	1 213	19.9	1 044	17.2
ST. LAWRENCE	27 365	1 368	5.0	351	25.7	377	27.6
SARATOGA	20 239	1 250	6.2	284	22.7	441	35.3
SCHENECTADY	30 633	1 766	5.8	103	5.8	643	36.4
STEUBEN	20 211	1 397	6.9	242	17.3	395	28.3
SUFFOLK	452 286	81 207	18.0	57 003	70.2	9 252	11.4
ULSTER	35 724	2 177	6.1	357	16.4	685	31.5
WESTCHESTER	329 448	24 377	7.4	4 420	18.1	5 420	22.2
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE	55 222	1 616	2.9	711	44.0	333	20.6
CUMBERLAND	83 533	4 512	5.4	1 401	31.1	1 805	40.0
DURHAM	63 148	1 090	1.7	639	58.6	89	8.2
FORSYTH	102 053	3 084	3.0	688	22.3	521	16.9
GASTON	52 124	1 895	3.6	925	48.8	258	13.6
GUILFORD	118 665	3 162	2.7	1 325	41.9	625	19.8
MECKLENBURG	182 077	5 757	3.2	2 267	39.4	1 182	20.5
ONSLOW	28 328	971	3.4	460	47.4	306	31.5
WAKE	106 326	1 121	1.1	744	66.4	41	3.7
OHIO:							
ALLEN	13 712	2 505	18.3	398	15.9	545	21.8
ASHTABULA	7 440	1 316	17.7	444	33.7	391	29.7
BUTLER	15 975	2 617	16.4	570	21.8	848	32.4
CLARK	13 356	2 002	15.0	616	30.8	632	31.6
CLERMONT	9 533	1 108	11.6	364	32.9	425	38.4
COLUMBIANA	6 071	1 110	18.3	271	24.4	468	42.2
CUYAHOGA	237 701	24 518	10.3	2 641	10.8	10 563	43.1
FRANKLIN	118 228	9 658	8.2	2 480	25.7	3 665	37.9
GREENE	14 479	1 544	10.7	538	34.8	532	34.5
HAMILTON	93 604	15 311	16.4	4 686	30.6	5 411	35.3
LAKE	26 863	2 689	10.0	929	34.5	867	32.2
LICKING	8 219	1 368	16.6	411	30.0	463	33.8
LORAIN	24 260	3 017	12.4	995	33.0	894	29.6
LUCAS	47 369	8 135	17.2	980	12.0	2 598	31.9
MAHONING	34 115	3 208	9.4	729	22.7	1 190	37.1
MONTGOMERY	68 714	10 032	14.6	2 858	28.5	3 362	33.5
PORTAGE	22 591	1 927	8.5	615	31.9	765	39.7
RICHLAND	9 635	2 060	21.4	507	24.6	445	21.6
STARK	22 347	5 199	23.3	1 296	24.9	1 387	26.7
SUMMIT	51 203	6 055	11.8	1 436	23.7	2 237	36.9
TRUMBULL	19 732	2 733	13.9	1 040	38.1	1 000	36.6
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE	14 146	795	5.6	184	23.1	347	43.6
OKLAHOMA	27 040	3 462	12.8	201	5.8	1 933	55.8
TULSA	22 542	3 696	16.4	375	10.1	1 717	46.5
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS	22 822	3 630	15.9	1 472	40.6	793	21.8
JACKSON	16 027	2 270	14.2	690	30.4	476	21.0
LANE	35 949	5 743	16.0	1 779	31.0	2 028	35.3
MARION	12 401	2 961	23.9	839	28.3	764	25.8
MULTNOMAH	65 496	19 514	29.8	6 197	31.8	5 428	27.8
WASHINGTON	36 549	3 840	10.5	1 781	46.4	594	15.5
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	178 530	24 029	13.5	4 776	19.9	10 203	42.5
BEAVER	16 852	2 941	17.5	532	18.1	1 487	50.6
BERKS	19 563	3 001	15.3	135	4.5	1 413	47.1
BLAIR	7 011	1 276	18.2	20	1.6	543	42.6
BUCKS	28 416	6 435	22.6	525	8.2	2 756	42.8
BUTLER	7 879	1 084	13.8	93	8.6	458	42.3
CAMBRIA	13 565	1 517	11.2	31	2.0	806	53.1
CENTRE	4 851	961	19.8	13	1.4	490	51.0
CHESTER	18 333	5 113	27.9	394	7.7	1 608	31.4
CUMBERLAND	8 226	1 376	16.7	41	3.0	592	43.0
DAUPHIN	24 735	4 284	17.3	321	7.5	1 309	30.6
DELAWARE	45 095	9 726	21.6	517	5.3	3 792	39.0
ERIE	21 095	4 174	19.8	82	2.0	1 313	31.5
FAYETTE	5 505	1 202	21.8	25	2.1	694	57.7
FRANKLIN	4 968	983	19.8	10	1.0	325	33.1
LACKAWANNA	16 455	2 093	12.7	47	2.2	1 052	50.3
LANCASTER	15 535	2 829	18.2	82	2.9	1 197	42.3
LAWRENCE	4 166	865	20.8	23	2.7	458	52.9
LEBANON	8 473	937	11.1	16	1.7	418	44.6
LEHIGH	26 262	2 644	10.1	25	0.9	1 121	42.4

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of
334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA	342	8.7	123	3.1	1 186	30.0	-	-
ONEIDA	354	9.6	128	3.5	833	22.6	716	19.5
ONONDAGA	950	8.0	384	3.2	5 380	45.4	24	0.2
ORANGE	604	15.9	195	5.1	1 313	34.6	-	-
OSWEGO	137	8.5	24	1.5	448	27.8	-	-
RENSSELAER	243	11.0	77	3.5	1 079	48.7	-	-
ROCKLAND	780	12.8	243	4.0	2 805	46.1	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	118	8.6	59	4.3	463	33.8	-	-
SARATOGA	172	13.8	48	3.8	305	24.4	-	-
SCHENECTADY	203	11.5	36	2.0	781	44.2	-	-
STEUBEN	146	10.5	56	4.0	558	39.9	-	-
SUFFOLK	3 895	4.8	1 370	1.7	9 553	11.8	134	0.2
ULSTER	297	13.6	77	3.5	759	34.9	2	0.1
WESTCHESTER	3 349	13.7	939	3.9	8 577	35.2	1 672	6.9
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	24	1.5	-	-	548	33.9	-	-
CUMBERLAND	58	1.3	-	-	484	10.7	764	16.9
DURHAM	15	1.4	-	-	235	21.6	112	10.3
FORSYTH	94	3.0	-	-	715	23.2	1 066	34.6
GASTON	57	3.0	-	-	365	19.3	290	15.3
GUILFORD	124	3.9	-	-	913	28.9	175	5.5
MECKLENBURG	87	1.5	-	-	1 308	22.7	913	15.9
ONSLOW	13	1.3	-	-	192	19.8	-	-
WAKE	61	5.4	-	-	275	24.5	-	-
OHIO:								
ALLEN	190	7.6	24	1.0	1 348	53.8	-	-
ASHTABULA	93	7.1	-	-	378	28.7	10	0.8
BUTLER	141	5.4	9	0.3	657	25.1	392	15.0
CLARK	128	6.4	18	0.9	553	27.6	55	2.7
CLERMONT	59	5.3	21	1.9	239	21.6	-	-
COLUMBIANA	118	10.6	57	5.1	196	17.7	-	-
CUYAHOGA	1 513	6.2	1 211	4.9	7 055	28.8	1 535	6.3
FRANKLIN	852	8.8	232	2.4	2 429	25.2	-	-
GREENE	126	8.2	65	4.2	283	18.3	-	-
HAMILTON	683	4.5	462	3.0	4 068	26.6	1	(2)
LAKE	210	7.8	64	2.4	619	23.0	-	-
LICKING	116	8.5	31	2.3	347	25.4	-	-
LORAIN	276	9.1	35	1.2	817	27.1	-	-
LUCAS	348	4.3	153	1.9	4 056	49.9	-	-
MAHONING	285	8.9	47	1.5	957	29.8	-	-
MONTGOMERY	770	7.7	153	1.5	2 889	28.8	-	-
PORTAGE	176	9.1	46	2.4	325	16.9	-	-
RICHLAND	209	10.1	31	1.5	731	35.5	137	6.7
STARK	324	6.2	97	1.9	2 095	40.3	-	-
SUMMIT	409	6.8	199	3.3	1 774	29.3	-	-
TRUMBULL	171	6.3	81	3.0	441	16.1	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	19	2.4	63	7.9	182	22.9	-	-
OKLAHOMA	30	0.9	232	6.7	1 066	30.8	-	-
TULSA	68	1.8	147	4.0	1 389	37.6	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	317	8.7	133	3.7	757	20.9	158	4.4
JACKSON	249	11.0	133	5.9	689	30.4	33	1.5
LANE	767	13.4	212	3.7	957	16.7	-	-
MARION	339	11.4	119	4.0	900	30.4	-	-
MULTNOMAH	2 015	10.3	985	5.0	4 872	25.0	17	0.1
WASHINGTON	393	10.2	179	4.7	893	23.3	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	2 141	6.9	686	2.9	6 108	26.4	115	0.5
BEAVER	258	8.2	82	2.8	570	19.4	12	0.4
BERKS	276	9.2	68	2.3	1 109	37.0	-	-
BLAIR	99	7.8	43	3.4	571	44.7	-	-
BUCKS	801	12.4	223	3.5	2 130	33.1	-	-
BUTLER	104	9.6	33	3.0	396	36.5	-	-
CAMBRIA	167	11.0	60	4.0	453	29.9	-	-
CENTRE	66	6.9	29	3.0	270	28.1	93	9.7
CHESTER	858	16.8	242	4.7	2 011	39.3	-	-
CUMBERLAND	125	9.1	31	2.3	587	42.7	-	-
DAUPHIN	440	10.3	120	2.8	2 094	48.9	-	-
DELAWARE	1 080	11.1	424	4.4	3 913	40.2	-	-
ERIE	429	10.3	166	4.0	2 118	50.7	66	1.6
FAYETTE	111	9.2	38	3.2	334	27.8	-	-
FRANKLIN	81	8.2	43	4.4	523	53.2	1	0.1
LACKAWANNA	255	12.2	80	3.8	659	31.5	-	-
LANCASTER	200	7.1	73	2.6	1 277	45.1	-	-
LAWRENCE	115	13.3	38	4.4	231	26.7	-	-
LEBANON	72	7.7	21	2.2	410	43.8	-	-
LEHIGH	296	11.2	83	3.1	1 119	42.3	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LUZERNE	17 015	2 602	15.3	91	3.5	1 318	50.7
LYCOMING	6 900	1 468	21.3	16	1.1	764	52.0
MERCER	6 719	1 223	18.2	37	3.0	603	49.3
MONTGOMERY	41 094	8 643	21.0	691	8.0	4 162	48.2
NORTHAMPTON	17 157	2 665	15.5	43	1.6	1 026	38.5
SCHUYLKILL	10 569	1 456	13.8	37	2.5	819	56.3
WASHINGTON	11 808	1 801	15.3	96	5.3	864	48.0
WESTMORELAND	20 398	3 884	19.0	221	5.7	1 445	37.2
YORK	11 760	2 761	23.5	275	10.0	1 174	42.5
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON	4 647	1 718	37.0	499	29.0	473	27.5
CHARLESTON	26 435	4 681	17.7	2 634	56.3	1 205	25.7
GREENVILLE	25 030	7 629	30.5	1 134	24.0	947	12.4
LEXINGTON	21 483	2 405	11.2	645	26.8	448	18.6
RICHLAND	32 430	4 354	13.4	1 773	40.7	1 654	38.0
SPARTANBURG	32 054	2 748	8.6	1 301	47.3	1 058	38.5
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON	73 179	8 687	11.9	1 437	16.5	1 504	17.3
KNOX	54 126	4 416	8.2	986	22.3	2 883	65.3
SHELBY	126 357	17 192	13.6	2 476	14.4	4 319	25.1
SULLIVAN	20 908	1 272	6.1	627	49.3	388	30.5
TEXAS:							
BELL	5 116	1 509	29.5	426	28.2	375	24.9
BEXAR	54 334	11 764	21.7	2 202	18.7	2 388	20.3
BRAZORIA	9 629	1 454	15.1	677	46.6	436	30.0
CAMERON	6 012	2 050	34.1	448	21.9	533	26.0
DALLAS	119 151	24 124	20.2	5 943	24.6	8 605	35.7
EL PASO	21 835	4 514	20.7	768	17.0	905	20.0
GALVESTON	20 132	2 395	11.9	641	26.8	954	39.8
HARRIS	151 163	35 828	23.7	7 314	20.4	12 507	34.9
HIDALGO	8 104	2 093	25.8	381	18.2	544	26.0
JEFFERSON	10 004	3 054	30.5	718	23.5	1 085	35.5
LUBBOCK	4 855	1 631	33.6	369	22.6	486	29.8
MCLENNAN	6 263	1 845	29.5	426	23.1	450	24.4
NUECES	34 009	4 980	14.6	728	13.8	1 336	25.4
SMITH	3 190	804	25.2	229	28.5	267	33.2
TARRANT	49 363	8 733	17.7	1 667	19.1	2 843	32.6
TAYLOR	2 694	950	35.3	211	22.2	397	41.8
TRAVIS	11 436	5 502	48.1	1 315	23.9	1 568	28.5
WICHITA	2 794	1 124	40.2	351	31.2	346	30.8
UTAH:							
DAVIS	4 748	702	14.8	348	49.6	103	14.7
SALT LAKE	49 857	7 578	15.2	3 933	51.9	1 110	14.6
UTAH	5 674	688	12.1	213	31.0	192	27.9
WEBER	9 668	1 208	12.5	336	27.8	233	19.3
VERMONT:							
CHITTENDEN	56	99	176.1	15	15.2	73	73.7
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON	110 095	11 010	10.0	8 203	74.5	890	8.1
FAIRFAX	368 455	19 089	5.2	13 592	71.2	3 147	16.5
HENRICO	66 322	5 656	8.5	3 878	68.6	849	15.0
PRINCE WILLIAM	71 788	2 884	4.0	1 865	64.7	504	17.5
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK	16 555	3 181	19.2	1 306	41.1	841	26.4
KING	124 168	24 747	19.9	8 765	35.4	6 151	24.9
KITSAP	9 094	1 972	21.7	710	36.0	412	20.9
PIERCE	28 573	7 801	27.3	3 288	42.1	1 454	18.6
SNOHOMISH	26 738	6 129	22.9	2 633	43.0	1 413	23.1
SPOKANE	29 287	5 736	19.6	2 149	37.5	1 175	20.5
YAKIMA	11 879	2 419	20.4	737	30.5	728	30.1
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL	5 901	1 385	23.5	355	25.6	545	39.4
KANAWHA	10 516	2 329	22.1	363	15.6	823	35.3
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN	25 442	2 377	9.3	1 428	60.1	369	15.5
DAE	47 642	5 612	11.8	2 238	39.9	1 411	25.1
KENOSHA	16 667	2 159	13.0	1 014	47.0	579	26.8
MARATHON	18 149	1 360	7.5	843	62.0	269	19.8
MILWAUKEE	277 800	19 998	7.2	3 558	17.8	7 542	37.7
OUTAGAMIE	15 850	1 538	9.7	793	51.6	315	20.5
RACINE	28 359	2 966	10.5	1 280	43.2	874	29.5
ROCK	17 816	2 191	12.3	567	25.9	555	25.3
WAUKESHA	25 045	2 942	11.7	1 242	42.2	810	27.5
WINNEBAGO	21 727	1 410	6.5	720	51.1	392	27.8

* Represents zero or rounds to zero.

^ Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

* Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

**Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of
334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LUZERNE	271	10.4	106	4.1	816	31.4	-	-
LYCOMING	111	7.6	41	2.8	536	36.5	-	-
MERCER	123	10.1	85	7.0	362	29.6	13	1.1
MONTGOMERY	891	10.3	390	4.5	2 509	29.0	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	208	7.8	96	3.6	1 292	46.5	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	175	12.0	49	3.4	376	25.8	-	-
WASHINGTON	210	11.7	55	3.1	576	32.0	-	-
WESTMORELAND	363	9.3	78	2.0	1 777	45.8	-	-
YORK	190	6.9	42	1.5	1 080	39.1	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	42	2.4	-	-	704	41.0	-	-
CHARLESTON	102	2.2	10	0.2	730	15.6	-	-
GREENVILLE	146	1.9	36	0.5	510	6.7	4 156	54.5
LEXINGTON	50	2.1	-	-	189	7.9	1 073	44.6
RICHLAND	306	7.0	122	2.8	499	11.5	-	-
SPARTANBURG	59	2.1	48	1.7	282	10.3	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	148	1.7	-	-	5 598	64.4	-	-
KNOX	110	2.5	-	-	429	9.7	8	0.2
SHELBY	335	1.9	375	2.2	8 974	52.2	713	4.1
SULLIVAN	58	4.6	2	0.2	197	15.5	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	251	16.6	38	2.5	419	27.8	-	-
BEXAR	1 319	11.2	350	3.0	4 977	42.3	528	4.5
BRAZORIA	132	9.1	23	1.6	186	12.8	-	-
CAMERON	189	9.2	45	2.2	500	24.4	335	16.3
DALLAS	1 973	8.2	811	3.4	6 736	27.9	56	0.2
EL PASO	640	14.2	156	3.5	1 501	33.3	544	12.1
GALVESTON	228	9.5	47	2.0	494	20.6	31	1.3
HARRIS	4 110	11.5	1 040	2.9	9 348	26.1	1 509	4.2
HIDALGO	279	13.3	39	1.9	850	40.6	-	-
JEFFERSON	612	20.0	55	1.8	568	18.6	16	0.5
LUBBOCK	285	17.5	33	2.0	458	28.1	-	-
MCLENNAN	149	8.1	29	1.6	791	42.9	-	-
NUEGES	367	7.0	90	1.7	866	16.5	1 596	32.0
SMITH	96	11.9	27	3.4	182	22.6	3	0.4
TARRANT	1 589	18.2	227	2.6	2 326	26.6	81	0.9
TAYLOR	80	8.4	48	5.1	214	22.5	-	-
TRAVIS	520	9.5	65	1.2	2 034	37.0	-	-
WICHITA	125	11.1	27	2.4	261	23.2	14	1.2
UTAH:								
DAVIS	167	23.8	-	-	84	12.0	-	-
SALT LAKE	759	10.0	228	3.0	1 548	20.4	-	-
UTAH	113	16.4	22	3.2	148	21.5	-	-
WEBER	132	10.9	60	5.0	447	37.0	-	-
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	11.1
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	357	3.2	-	-	1 335	12.1	225	2.0
FAIRFAX	688	3.6	79	0.4	1 481	7.8	102	0.5
HENRICO	252	4.5	-	-	677	12.0	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	166	5.8	-	-	349	12.1	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	250	7.9	119	3.7	665	20.9	-	-
KING	1 750	7.1	1 75	4.7	6 744	27.3	162	0.7
KITSAP	196	9.9	51	3.1	569	28.9	24	1.2
PIERCE	685	8.8	238	3.1	2 095	26.9	41	0.5
SNOHOMISH	451	7.4	257	4.2	1 333	21.7	42	0.7
SPOKANE	449	7.8	227	4.0	1 444	25.2	292	5.1
YAKIMA	286	11.8	6	0.2	662	27.4	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	123	8.9	10	0.7	352	25.4	-	-
WONAUGA	242	10.4	7	0.3	894	38.4	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	120	5.0	57	2.4	403	17.0	-	-
DAE	627	11.2	131	2.3	1 205	21.5	-	-
KENOSHA	183	8.5	79	3.7	304	14.1	-	-
MARATHON	77	5.7	20	1.5	151	11.1	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 857	9.3	745	3.7	6 226	31.1	70	0.4
OUTAGAMIE	157	10.2	124	8.1	149	9.7	-	-
RACINE	240	8.1	283	9.5	289	9.7	-	-
ROCK	196	8.9	89	4.1	784	35.8	-	-
WAUKESHA	244	8.3	81	2.8	565	19.2	-	-
WINNEBAGO	136	9.6	70	5.0	92	6.5	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 21. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments,

Line number	County ¹	Total criminal justice system						Police protection						Judicial					
		Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees		
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
1	TOTAL	869 532	195 003	181 591	186 958	21.5	59 677	57 152	58 001	31.0	55 916	50 592	53 118	28.4					
2	ALABAMA:																		
3	CALHOUN	284	75	70	71	25.0	20	17	18	25.4	34	33	33	46.5					
4	JEFFERSON	4 049	754	712	745	18.4	207	207	207	27.8	323	314	319	42.8					
5	MADISON	620	183	161	181	29.2	34	33	33	18.2	80	70	79	43.6					
6	MOBILE.	1 066	419	364	389	36.5	118	85	143	37.2	210	207	208	48.3					
7	MONTGOMERY.	539	211	192	202	37.5	36	35	36	17.8	87	79	84	41.6					
	TUSCALOOSA.	554	160	136	148	26.7	55	53	53	35.8	59	39	51	34.5					
8	ALASKA: GREATER ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	ARIZONA: MARIPOSA.	6 160	1 692	1 632	1 682	27.3	360	360	360	21.4	526	480	526	31.3					
10	PIMA.	3 584	1 211	1 173	1 186	35.0	366	360	362	30.5	303	292	296	25.0					
11	ARKANSAS: PULASKI	629	265	263	264	42.0	68	67	68	25.8	63	62	62	23.5					
12	CALIFORNIA:																		
13	ALAMEDA	9 361	2 584	2 451	2 498	26.7	390	385	387	15.5	512	501	506	20.3					
14	BUTTE	1 199	263	230	252	21.0	106	95	100	39.7	65	52	65	25.8					
15	CONTRA COSTA	6 246	1 563	1 420	1 490	25.9	358	347	354	23.8	292	271	281	18.9					
16	FRESNO.	5 229	1 236	1 091	1 140	21.8	326	321	323	28.3	225	195	206	18.1					
17	HUMBOLDT.	1 625	314	299	303	18.6	107	105	105	34.7	60	52	55	18.2					
18	KERN.	5 074	1 035	946	984	19.4	349	315	326	33.1	88	73	173	18.3					
19	LOS ANGELES	76 229	18 644	18 066	18 234	23.9	5 059	5 021	5 027	27.1	4 28	4 203	4 338	23.8					
20	MARIN.	1 791	567	508	533	29.8	98	92	94	17.6	150	142	146	27.4					
	MERCED.	1 481	283	247	256	17.3	83	63	66	25.8	69	59	64	25.0					
21	MONTEREY.	2 316	656	601	622	26.9	177	177	177	28.5	149	125	134	21.5					
22	ORANGE.	10 373	3 286	3 168	3 210	30.9	669	638	646	20.1	889	852	873	27.2					
23	RIVERSIDE.	5 495	1 597	1 535	1 557	28.3	470	465	466	29.9	329	301	314	20.2					
24	SACRAMENTO.	6 553	2 128	1 980	2 032	31.0	723	688	698	34.4	333	296	315	15.4					
25	SAN BERNARDINO.	7 873	2 225	2 032	2 104	26.7	657	592	600	28.5	511	446	491	25.3					
26	SAN DIEGO.	11 945	3 680	3 364	3 491	29.2	694	632	656	18.8	947	877	898	25.7					
27	SAN JOAQUIN.	4 443	938	851	890	20.0	246	237	239	26.9	187	169	178	20.0					
28	SAN LUIS OBISPO.	1 466	300	289	294	20.1	92	92	92	31.3	73	70	72	24.5					
29	SAN MATEO.	4 290	1 324	1 227	1 257	29.3	261	250	252	20.0	320	293	305	24.3					
30	SANTA BARBARA	2 970	902	833	860	29.0	252	249	250	29.1	197	177	186	21.6					
31	SANTA CLARA	9 928	2 499	2 219	2 309	22.3	534	463	486	21.0	579	485	521	22.6					
32	SANTA CRUZ.	1 509	396	367	377	25.0	124	121	122	32.4	91	82	87	23.1					
33	SOLANO.	1 347	444	421	432	32.1	81	77	79	18.3	111	101	105	24.3					
34	SONOMA.	3 078	612	532	563	18.3	183	157	162	28.8	116	100	106	18.8					
35	STANISLAUS.	2 550	623	567	584	22.9	177	159	163	27.9	117	109	112	19.2					
36	TULARE.	2 049	599	533	561	27.4	195	181	184	32.8	122	97	110	19.6					
37	VENTURA.	4 719	1 227	1 184	1 199	25.4	426	425	425	35.4	231	215	222	18.5					
38	YOLO.	1 211	351	308	323	26.7	104	98	102	31.6	72	67	69	21.4					
39	COLORADO:																		
40	ADAMS.	1 074	166	166	166	15.5	94	94	94	56.6	12	12	12	7.2					
41	ARAPAHOE.	506	162	147	152	30.0	86	80	82	53.9	29	27	28	18.4					
42	BOULDER.	734	166	159	161	21.9	72	70	71	44.1	12	12	12	7.5					
43	EL PASO.	1 103	267	266	267	24.2	42	41	42	15.7	77	77	77	28.8					
44	JEFFERSON.	1 248	240	240	240	19.2	138	138	138	57.5	20	20	20	8.3					
45	LARIMER.	563	94	90	91	16.2	52	49	50	54.9	6	6	6	6.6					
46	PUEBLO.	688	107	97	101	14.7	41	33	36	35.6	12	12	12	11.9					
	WELD.	1 550	92	80	81	5.2	39	30	31	38.3	8	6	6	7.4					
47	DELAWARE: NEW CASTLE.	1 872	310	308	310	16.6	221	221	221	71.3	79	77	79	25.5					
48	FLORIDA:																		
49	ALACHUA.	873	296	291	292	32.4	155	155	155	53.1	53	52	52	17.8					
50	BREVARD.	1 837	336	327	331	18.0	175	175	175	52.9	135	126	130	39.3					
51	BROWARD.	2 910	845	816	827	28.4	380	378	378	45.7	291	264	275	33.3					
52	DADE.	18 181	3 715	3 713	3 714	20.4	1 976	1 976	1 976	53.2	1 013	1 011	1 012	27.2					
53	ESCAMBIA.	1 101	399	389	390	35.4	210	210	210	53.8	120	111	112	28.7					
54	HILLSBOROUGH.	5 745	988	986	987	17.2	343	343	343	34.8	292	292	292	29.6					
55	LEE.	1 232	278	273	275	22.3	171	167	169	61.5	85	84	84	30.5					
	LEON.	631	218	218	218	34.5	107	107	107	49.1	81	81	81	57.2					
56	MANATEE.	753	193	186	189	25.1	118	116	117	61.9	54	49	51	27.0					
57	ORANGE.	2 475	733	729	732	29.6	370	368	370	50.5	249	247	248	33.9					
58	PALM BEACH.	2 985	686	678	681	22.8	420	420	420	61.7	86	80	83	12.2					
59	PASCO.	739	251	247	248	33.6	177	177	177	71.4	50	46	47	19.0					
60	PINELLAS.	2 479	807	791	795	32.1	451	450	450	56.6	238	224	228	28.7					
61	POLK.	2 588	424	421	422	16.3	248	248	248	58.8	93	90	91	21.6					
62	SARASOTA.	742	260	252	252	34.0	169	168	168	66.7	68	59	61	24.2					
63	SEMINOLE.	699	208	205	206	29.5	128	127	128	62.1	51	50	50	24.3					
64	VOLUSIA.	1 141	383	353	369	32.3	185	173	181	49.1	98	88	93	25.2					
65	GEORGIA:																		
66	BIBB.	563	253	201	219	38.9	75	75	75	34.2	108	67	81	37.0					
67	CHATHAM.	1 130	313	312	313	27.7	111	110	111	35.5	113	113	113	36.1					
68	CLAYTON.	1 056	320	300	303	28.7	167	166	166	54.8	60	56	58	1					

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM
of full-time equivalent employees of 334 large county
October 1975

149

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense			Corrections			Other criminal justice			Line number			
Number of employees	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Percent of total criminal justice system employees				
		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
17 420	16 197	16 748	9.0	3 061	2 357	2 974	1.6	58 064	55 998	55 286	29.6	865	795	831	0.4	1
6	5	5	7.0	-	-	-	-	15	15	15	21.1	-	-	-	-	2
50	26	47	6.3	3	-	-	0.4	171	165	169	22.7	-	-	-	-	3
20	9	20	11.0	-	-	-	-	49	49	49	27.1	-	-	-	-	4
20	2	10	2.6	-	-	-	-	71	70	70	18.2	-	-	-	-	5
22	14	17	8.4	-	-	-	-	66	64	65	32.2	-	-	-	-	6
3	3	3	2.0	-	-	-	-	43	41	41	27.7	-	-	-	-	7
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
156	156	156	9.3	92	91	91	5.4	558	545	549	32.6	-	-	-	-	9
137	129	131	11.0	53	52	52	4.4	351	339	344	29.0	1	1	1	0.1	10
48	48	48	18.2	8	8	8	3.0	78	78	78	29.5	-	-	-	-	11
231	220	224	9.0	165	158	160	6.4	1 286	1 187	1 221	48.9	-	-	-	-	12
31	31	31	12.3	-	-	-	-	61	52	56	22.2	-	-	-	-	13
208	193	198	13.3	66	64	65	4.4	639	545	592	39.7	-	-	-	-	14
157	142	148	13.0	46	40	41	3.6	482	393	422	37.0	-	-	-	-	15
35	35	35	11.6	7	7	7	2.3	101	96	97	32.0	4	4	4	1.3	16
108	99	102	10.4	27	27	27	2.7	363	322	349	35.5	-	-	-	-	17
1 921	1 899	1 902	10.4	586	586	586	3.2	6 550	6 357	6 381	35.0	-	-	-	-	18
44	44	44	8.3	23	23	23	4.3	222	191	199	37.3	30	16	27	5.1	19
33	33	33	12.9	5	5	5	2.0	93	87	88	34.4	-	-	-	-	20
79	79	79	12.7	25	23	24	3.9	226	197	208	33.4	-	-	-	-	21
317	313	313	9.8	96	95	95	3.0	1 307	1 263	1 275	39.7	8	8	8	0.2	22
157	154	155	10.0	40	39	39	2.5	601	576	583	37.4	-	-	-	-	23
304	291	296	14.6	71	69	70	3.4	697	636	655	32.2	-	-	-	-	24
187	183	185	8.8	61	60	60	2.9	805	747	764	36.3	4	4	4	0.2	25
385	347	366	10.5	-	-	-	-	1 622	1 484	1 545	44.5	32	24	27	0.8	26
111	104	106	11.9	52	49	50	5.6	342	292	317	35.6	-	-	-	-	27
42	39	40	13.6	-	-	-	-	93	88	90	30.6	-	-	-	-	28
120	116	117	9.3	-	-	-	-	620	565	580	46.1	3	3	3	0.2	29
82	76	79	9.2	25	24	24	2.8	346	307	321	37.3	-	-	-	-	30
276	260	263	11.4	91	86	88	5.8	1 008	917	942	40.8	11	8	9	0.4	31
59	53	55	14.6	-	-	-	-	121	110	112	29.7	1	1	1	0.3	32
35	35	35	8.1	21	19	19	4.4	196	189	194	44.9	-	-	-	-	33
65	59	60	10.7	13	13	13	2.3	235	203	222	39.4	-	-	-	-	34
93	91	92	15.8	13	13	13	2.2	218	190	199	34.1	5	5	5	0.9	35
71	61	63	11.2	24	24	24	4.3	187	170	180	32.1	-	-	-	-	36
129	122	124	10.3	28	27	28	2.3	388	373	377	31.4	25	22	23	1.9	37
32	30	31	9.6	15	13	14	4.3	128	100	107	33.1	-	-	-	-	38
37	37	37	22.3	-	-	-	-	23	23	23	13.9	-	-	-	-	39
18	18	18	11.8	-	-	-	-	29	22	24	15.8	-	-	-	-	40
34	29	30	18.6	-	-	-	-	48	48	48	29.8	-	-	-	-	41
80	80	80	50.0	-	-	-	-	68	68	68	25.5	-	-	-	-	42
53	53	53	22.1	-	-	-	-	29	29	29	12.1	-	-	-	-	43
19	18	18	19.8	-	-	-	-	17	17	17	18.7	-	-	-	-	44
32	31	32	31.7	-	-	-	-	18	17	17	16.8	4	4	4	4.0	45
22	21	21	25.9	-	-	-	-	21	21	21	25.9	2	2	2	2.5	46
10	10	10	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
3	3	3	1.0	-	-	-	-	82	78	79	27.1	3	3	3	1.0	48
18	18	18	2.2	-	-	-	-	24	24	24	7.3	2	2	2	0.6	49
31	31	31	0.8	16	16	16	0.4	566	566	566	15.2	113	113	113	0.7	50
3	2	2	0.5	-	-	-	-	66	66	66	16.9	-	-	-	-	51
12	12	12	1.2	5	4	4	0.4	330	329	330	33.4	6	6	6	0.6	52
5	5	5	1.8	-	-	-	-	17	17	17	6.2	-	-	-	-	53
3	3	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	27	27	27	12.4	-	-	-	-	54
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	21	21	11.1	-	-	-	-	55
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	114	114	114	15.6	-	-	-	-	56
12	12	12	1.8	-	-	-	-	168	166	166	24.4	-	-	-	-	57
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	24	24	9.7	-	-	-	-	58
12	12	12	1.5	-	-	-	-	100	99	99	12.5	6	6	6	0.8	59
6	6	6	1.4	-	-	-	-	77	77	77	18.2	-	-	-	-	60
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	23	23	9.1	-	-	-	-	61
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	28	28	13.6	-	-	-	-	62
7	7	7	1.9	-	-	-	-	93	85	88	23.8	-	-	-	-	63
26	16	20	9.1	-	-	-	-	44	43	43	19.6	-	-	-	-	64
23	23	23	7.3	-	-	-	-	66	66	66	21.1	-	-	-	-	65
20	17	18	5.9	-	-	-	-	73	61	63	20.8	-	-	-	-	66
25	25	25	4.9	-	-	-	-	53	51	51	10.0	-	-	-	-	67
48	47	48	5.3	8	8	8	0.9	170	170	170	18.9	-	-	-	-	68
124	114	122	10.3	21	14	19	1.6	426	420	423	35.8	-	-	-	-	69
21	21	21	6.3	-	-	-	-	88	88	88	26.2	-	-	-	-	70
												-	-	-	-	71

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 21. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments,

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	IDAHo: ADA	454	216	192	197	43.4	82	71	73	37.1	66	60	61	31.0
2	ILLINOIS: CHAMPAIGN	417	181	137	146	35.0	66	46	47	32.2	40	30	33	22.6
3	COOK	20 317	6 813	6 381	6 606	32.5	793	742	761	11.5	3 716	3 370	3 556	53.8
4	DU PAGE	1 621	557	492	521	32.1	150	146	146	28.0	181	147	165	31.7
5	KANE	532	289	280	283	53.2	85	85	85	30.0	71	67	68	24.0
6	LAKE	1 837	432	407	415	22.6	140	131	132	31.8	104	89	96	23.1
7	LA SALLE	284	106	92	100	35.2	35	30	31	31.0	28	24	26	26.0
8	MCHENRY	384	161	149	158	41.1	73	72	73	46.2	35	24	32	20.3
9	MCLEAN	393	126	102	110	28.0	37	35	35	31.8	54	35	41	37.3
10	MACON	362	165	136	150	41.4	55	52	53	35.3	63	48	55	36.7
11	MADISON	658	311	269	294	44.7	82	77	78	26.5	101	93	95	32.3
12	PEORIA	624	244	235	240	38.5	92	92	92	38.3	57	49	54	22.5
13	ROCK ISLAND	678	190	174	179	26.4	70	67	67	37.4	49	39	41	22.9
14	ST. CLAIR	1 024	276	262	266	26.0	89	83	85	32.0	76	72	73	27.4
15	SANGAMON	531	225	202	213	40.1	88	84	85	39.9	48	38	40	18.8
16	TAZEWELL	502	131	101	111	36.8	42	38	38	34.2	50	27	35	31.5
17	WILL	790	266	247	256	32.4	117	114	115	44.9	72	71	71	27.7
18	WINNEBAGO	964	312	297	304	31.5	109	102	105	34.5	71	71	71	23.4
19	INDIANA: ALLEN	1 494	387	362	379	25.4	130	126	128	33.8	95	83	87	23.0
20	DELAWARE	409	114	89	105	25.7	27	22	23	21.9	45	35	42	40.0
21	ELKHART	614	162	123	146	23.8	64	55	58	39.7	41	37	38	26.0
22	LAKE	2 599	629	596	614	23.6	184	170	174	28.3	240	225	237	38.6
23	LA PORTE	374	115	99	104	27.8	29	26	27	26.0	31	31	31	29.8
24	MADISON	399	123	114	119	29.8	20	18	19	16.0	53	52	53	44.5
25	ST. JOSEPH	1 163	298	278	288	24.8	67	63	64	22.2	103	99	100	34.7
26	TIPPECANOE	443	93	78	84	19.0	32	30	31	36.9	30	24	26	31.0
27	VANDERBURGH	660	220	157	190	28.8	49	47	49	25.8	59	46	50	26.3
28	VIGO	683	140	121	138	20.2	32	31	32	23.2	47	42	47	34.1
29	IOWA: BLACK HAWK	622	168	134	150	24.1	45	36	38	25.3	55	45	47	31.3
30	LINN	604	224	186	202	33.4	47	45	45	22.3	80	58	64	31.7
31	POLK	1 419	543	474	500	35.2	69	53	60	12.0	187	163	172	34.4
32	SCOTT	397	127	125	125	31.5	34	34	34	27.2	48	47	47	37.6
33	WOODBURY	401	106	84	93	23.2	24	24	24	25.8	47	41	43	46.2
34	KANSAS: JOHNSON	906	287	255	265	29.2	84	77	79	29.8	104	85	91	34.3
35	SEDWICK	1 306	439	384	404	30.9	68	65	66	16.3	227	205	212	52.5
36	SHAWNEE	484	312	256	272	56.2	32	30	31	11.4	91	70	76	27.9
37	WYANDOTTE	595	255	217	228	38.3	38	35	36	15.8	124	97	105	46.1
38	KENTUCKY: JEFFERSON	3 683	1 040	1 025	1 027	27.9	536	536	536	52.2	120	116	118	11.5
39	KENTON	345	98	91	94	27.2	35	32	34	36.2	31	30	31	33.0
40	LOUISIANA: CADDO	691	296	267	277	40.1	105	105	105	37.9	67	47	48	17.3
41	CALCASIEU	814	404	329	360	44.2	294	243	262	72.8	49	33	37	10.3
42	JEFFERSON	5 432	1 225	1 141	1 183	21.8	620	606	614	51.9	434	386	407	34.4
43	LAFAYETTE	498	223	170	197	39.6	103	99	101	51.3	64	28	48	24.4
44	OUACHITA	595	192	70	167	28.1	84	84	84	50.3	45	17	23	13.8
45	RAPIDES	581	224	154	170	29.3	134	96	106	62.4	49	21	27	15.9
46	MAINE: CUMBERLAND	120	95	76	85	70.8	30	29	30	35.3	28	18	23	27.1
47	PENOBSCT	58	61	47	50	86.2	11	10	10	20.0	30	18	21	42.0
48	YORK	85	85	41	54	63.5	24	7	9	19.1	22	15	22	40.7
49	MARYLAND: ANNE ARUNDEL	8 498	593	587	588	6.9	432	432	432	73.5	79	73	74	12.6
50	BALTIMORE	19 364	1 610	1 590	1 602	8.3	1 327	1 327	1 327	82.8	91	89	90	5.6
51	HARFORD	3 540	133	115	128	3.6	43	43	43	33.6	39	34	36	28.1
52	MONTGOMERY	18 506	1 224	1 217	1 219	6.6	934	934	934	76.6	128	124	125	10.3
53	PRINCE GEORGES	22 164	1 483	1 456	1 467	6.6	1 093	1 093	1 090	74.8	205	182	192	13.1
54	WASHINGTON	2 707	62	54	56	2.1	22	22	22	39.3	16	14	15	26.8
55	MASSACHUSETTS: BARNSTABLE	390	179	161	171	43.8	25	25	25	14.6	65	49	59	34.5
56	BERKSHIRE	153	98	75	90	58.8	-	-	-	-	45	29	42	46.7
57	BRISTOL	299	318	287	296	99.0	-	-	-	-	171	150	157	53.0
58	ESSEX	589	516	432	482	81.8	-	-	-	-	255	193	236	49.0
59	HAMPDEN	523	447	394	413	79.0	-	-	-	-	207	171	183	44.3
60	HAMPSHIRE	250	119	97	102	40.8	-	-	-	-	26	25	25	24.5
61	MIDDLESEX	1 894	1 083	1 061	1 065	56.2	-	-	-	-	566	552	554	52.0
62	NORFOLK	819	405	369	390	47.6	-	-	-	-	224	192	212	54.4
63	PLYMOUTH	567	352	314	330	58.2	-	-	-	-	1.5	161	132	146
64	WORCESTER	944	533	525	530	56.1	-	-	-	-	284	265	268	50.6

See footnotes at end of table.

**CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM
of full-time equivalent employees of 334 large county
October 1975—Continued**

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
21	20	20	10.2	-	-	-	-	47	41	43	21.8	-	-	-	-	1
18	18	18	12.3	7	5	6	4.1	50	38	42	28.8	-	-	-	-	2
525	516	520	7.9	248	240	242	3.7	1 531	1 513	1 527	23.1	-	-	-	-	3
66	62	63	12.1	22	19	20	3.8	136	116	125	24.0	2	2	2	0.4	4
24	24	8.5	4	4	4	4	1.4	103	99	101	35.7	2	1	1	0.4	5
47	47	11.3	9	9	9	9	2.2	111	110	110	26.5	21	21	21	5.1	6
16	14	16.0	3	-	3	3	3.0	24	24	24	24.0	-	-	-	-	7
17	17	10.8	5	5	5	5	3.2	31	31	31	19.6	-	-	-	-	8
12	12	10.9	-	-	-	-	-	23	20	22	20.0	-	-	-	-	9
17	15	15	10.0	4	-	4	2.7	26	21	23	15.3	-	-	-	-	10
33	18	32	10.9	11	5	11	3.7	84	76	78	26.5	-	-	-	-	11
27	27	11.2	-	-	-	-	-	68	67	67	27.9	-	-	-	-	12
23	23	12.8	3	-	3	3	1.7	45	45	45	25.1	-	-	-	-	13
33	33	12.4	15	13	14	14	5.3	63	61	61	22.9	-	-	-	-	14
28	26	12.7	6	-	6	6	2.8	55	54	55	25.8	-	-	-	-	15
15	12	12.6	4	4	4	4	3.6	30	20	20	18.0	-	-	-	-	16
33	27	30	11.7	12	3	8	3.1	31	31	31	12.1	1	1	1	0.4	17
28	28	9.2	10	10	10	10	3.3	94	86	90	29.6	-	-	-	-	18
11	11	11	2.9	13	6	13	3.4	148	136	140	36.9	-	-	-	-	19
9	2	7	6.7	3	-	3	2.9	30	30	30	28.6	-	-	-	-	20
13	-	12	8.2	4	-	3	2.1	40	31	35	24.0	-	-	-	-	21
76	73	75	12.2	13	13	13	2.1	103	102	102	16.6	13	13	13	2.1	22
12	10	11	10.6	-	-	-	-	43	32	35	33.7	-	-	-	-	23
12	11	12	10.1	-	-	-	-	38	33	35	29.4	-	-	-	-	24
26	21	26	9.0	-	-	-	-	91	85	88	30.6	11	10	10	3.5	25
-	-	-	-	2	1	1	1.2	29	23	26	31.0	-	-	-	-	26
28	2	17	8.9	6	4	6	3.2	52	41	47	24.7	26	17	21	11.1	27
12	2	12	8.7	5	5	5	3.6	44	41	42	30.4	-	-	-	-	28
14	3	14	9.3	-	-	-	-	54	50	51	34.0	-	-	-	-	29
17	9	17	8.4	-	-	-	-	80	74	76	37.6	-	-	-	-	30
36	34	35	7.0	13	12	12	2.4	238	212	221	44.2	-	-	-	-	31
15	15	15	12.0	-	-	-	-	30	29	29	23.2	-	-	-	-	32
15	8	13	14.0	-	-	-	-	20	11	13	14.0	-	-	-	-	33
40	40	40	15.1	-	-	-	-	59	53	55	20.8	-	-	-	-	34
45	44	44	10.9	-	-	-	-	99	70	82	20.3	-	-	-	-	35
28	20	22	8.1	-	-	-	-	109	90	95	34.9	52	46	48	17.6	36
26	23	24	10.5	-	-	-	-	64	59	60	26.3	3	3	3	1.3	37
60	60	60	5.8	-	-	-	-	324	313	313	30.5	-	-	-	-	38
15	13	13	13.8	-	-	-	-	17	16	16	17.0	-	-	-	-	39
17	8	17	6.1	-	-	-	-	107	107	107	38.6	-	-	-	-	40
23	15	23	6.4	-	-	-	-	38	38	38	10.6	-	-	-	-	41
75	58	70	5.9	-	-	-	-	88	83	84	7.1	8	8	8	0.7	42
12	10	11	5.6	2	2	2	1.0	42	31	35	17.8	-	-	-	-	43
22	16	22	13.2	1	-	-	-	40	37	38	22.8	-	-	-	-	44
15	15	8.8	-	-	-	-	-	26	22	22	12.9	-	-	-	-	45
6	1	3	3.5	-	-	-	-	31	28	29	34.1	-	-	-	-	46
5	5	5	10.0	-	-	-	-	15	14	14	28.0	-	-	-	-	47
1	1	1	2.1	-	-	-	-	38	18	22	46.8	-	-	-	-	48
45	45	45	7.7	-	-	-	-	37	37	37	6.3	-	-	-	-	49
84	84	84	5.2	-	-	-	-	108	99	101	6.3	-	-	-	-	50
23	10	21	16.4	-	-	-	-	28	28	28	21.9	-	-	-	-	51
65	64	65	5.3	-	-	-	-	96	94	94	7.7	1	1	1	0.1	52
98	98	98	6.7	-	-	-	-	87	87	87	6.0	-	-	-	-	53
11	6	7	12.5	-	-	-	-	13	12	12	21.4	-	-	-	-	54
8	8	8	4.7	-	-	-	-	81	79	79	46.2	-	-	-	-	55
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	46	48	53.3	-	-	-	-	56
17	12	13	4.4	-	-	-	-	130	125	126	42.6	-	-	-	-	57
20	19	20	4.1	8	8	8	1.7	233	212	218	45.2	-	-	-	-	58
29	25	27	6.5	-	-	-	-	211	198	203	49.2	-	-	-	-	59
13	13	13	12.7	2	2	2	2.0	78	57	62	60.8	-	-	-	-	60
35	35	35	3.3	27	27	27	2.5	455	447	449	42.2	-	-	-	-	61
39	38	38	9.7	6	6	6	1.5	136	133	134	34.4	-	-	-	-	62
9	9	9	2.7	-	-	-	-	177	168	170	51.5	-	-	-	-	63
20	20	20	3.8	-	-	-	-	249	240	242	45.7	-	-	-	-	64

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 21. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments,

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system			Police protection			Judicial		
			Number of employees		Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only	
1	MICHIGAN:										
1	BAY	756	174	161	168	22.2	44	44	26.2	61	55
2	BERRIEN	858	353	308	332	38.7	72	69	21.1	127	107
3	CALHOUN	747	273	249	261	34.9	48	44	17.2	103	91
4	GENESEE	1 821	683	606	645	35.4	155	153	23.7	209	176
5	INGHAM	1 835	403	363	383	20.9	93	93	24.3	145	122
6	JACKSON	859	235	213	226	26.9	59	55	24.8	73	64
7	KALAMAZOO	779	390	361	375	48.1	103	92	25.3	105	93
8	KENT	2 127	500	429	458	21.5	146	138	30.6	119	110
9	MACOMB	2 123	674	639	646	30.4	138	126	19.7	225	219
10	MONROE	703	221	204	215	30.6	81	79	37.2	79	67
11	MUSKEGON	921	237	209	224	24.3	55	55	24.6	100	88
12	OAKLAND	3 032	1 053	946	991	32.7	212	207	20.0	338	283
13	OTTAWA	564	171	150	160	28.4	66	66	41.2	61	48
14	SAGINAW	1 249	338	284	310	24.8	71	70	22.9	127	100
15	ST. CLAIR	743	262	178	198	26.6	81	30	19.2	80	67
16	WASHTENAW	1 168	503	445	472	40.4	169	155	33.5	132	112
17	WAYNE	9 006	2 576	2 542	2 550	28.3	321	320	12.5	1 106	1 092
	MINNESOTA:										
18	ANOKA	594	179	169	170	28.6	80	72	42.9	47	46
19	DAKOTA	412	118	103	115	27.9	33	30	27.8	48	38
20	HENNEPIN	6 512	1 159	1 126	1 141	17.5	242	232	20.6	352	349
21	RAMSEY	3 915	729	705	714	18.2	93	90	12.7	241	237
22	ST. LOUIS	2 083	352	326	332	15.9	71	71	21.4	117	104
	MISSISSIPPI:										
23	HARRISON	627	192	154	164	26.2	67	57	60	89	64
24	HINDS	1 026	109	101	102	9.9	33	30	30.4	56	55
25	JACKSON	1 048	104	94	95	9.1	51	50	52.6	28	21
	MISSOURI:										
26	CLAY	288	168	128	146	50.7	39	38	38	42	26
27	GREENE	383	149	121	127	33.2	54	51	52	41	44
28	JACKSON	2 097	1 071	964	1 046	49.9	87	87	8.3	400	365
29	JEFFERSON	288	124	110	118	41.0	45	43	37.3	48	46
30	ST. CHARLES	268	138	115	126	47.0	69	66	53.2	37	30
31	ST. LOUIS	4 428	1 722	1 644	1 684	38.0	879	868	51.8	474	449
	NEBRASKA:										
32	DOUGLAS	3 629	394	353	361	9.9	54	49	50	130	119
33	LANCASTER	959	143	129	132	13.8	23	23	17.4	64	57
	NEVADA:										
34	CLARK	4 301	1 511	1 502	1 507	35.0	894	894	59.3	129	123
35	WASHOE	2 141	384	367	371	17.3	132	128	35.0	90	83
	NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
36	HILLSBOROUGH	518	120	88	105	20.3	4	3	2.9	53	34
37	ROCKINGHAM	430	79	65	72	16.7	22	18	27.8	40	33
	NEW JERSEY:										
38	ATLANTIC	2 047	377	367	370	18.1	38	35	36	76	74
39	BERGEN	4 980	976	951	954	19.2	211	210	22.0	272	270
40	BURLINGTON	1 859	345	328	332	18.1	13	13	3.9	103	99
41	CAMDEN	4 384	803	790	792	18.1	80	79	10.0	228	225
42	CUMBERLAND	1 098	230	212	217	19.8	19	17	8.3	55	40
43	ESSEX	9 040	2 366	2 324	2 333	25.8	315	312	13.4	637	635
44	GLoucester	900	255	221	232	25.8	35	29	31	80	63
45	HUDSON	4 463	999	990	992	22.2	199	196	19.9	308	308
46	MERCER	2 236	554	545	548	24.5	45	40	42	74	74
47	MIDDLESEX	3 765	878	846	853	22.7	106	106	12.4	257	253
48	MONMOUTH	2 463	483	473	476	19.3	59	56	12.0	163	161
49	MORRIS	2 343	413	372	389	16.6	94	94	24.2	100	77
50	OCEAN	1 597	374	361	365	22.9	72	72	19.7	92	89
51	PASSAIC	2 430	800	780	789	32.5	67	67	8.5	254	252
52	SOMERSET	1 299	294	252	264	20.3	48	47	17.8	68	49
53	UNION	2 018	813	809	810	40.1	73	73	9.0	277	275
	NEW MEXICO:										
54	BERNALILLO	1 581	195	195	195	12.3	188	188	96.4	5	5
	NEW YORK:										
55	ALBANY	2 768	386	367	375	13.5	83	80	21.6	84	82
56	BROOME	2 106	251	199	213	10.1	63	57	28.6	62	44
57	CHAUTAUQUA	1 249	170	138	157	12.6	51	51	32.5	35	28
58	CHEMUNG	1 104	157	135	147	13.3	45	41	42	28.6	22
59	DUTCHESS	1 708	351	287	310	18.1	84	75	80	25.8	78
60	ERIE	10 626	1 524	1 347	1 396	13.1	337	298	308	22.1	312
61	MONROE	5 414	1 045	1 002	1 013	18.7	323	310	30.8	192	189
62	NASSAU	19 436	6 901	6 420	6 565	33.8	4 764	4 333	4 462	68.0	892

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM
of full-time equivalent employees of 334 large county
October 1975—Continued

Legal services and prosecution			Public defense			Corrections			Other criminal justice			Line number
Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
14	13	13	7.7	7	7	4.2	48	42	44	26.2	-	1
30	28	29	8.7	-	-	-	124	104	114	34.3	-	2
14	11	11	4.2	-	-	-	108	103	106	40.6	-	3
100	94	96	14.9	-	-	-	216	180	197	30.5	3	4
53	48	49	12.8	-	-	-	112	100	103	26.9	-	5
27	27	27	11.9	-	-	-	76	67	70	31.0	-	6
38	38	38	10.1	-	-	-	144	138	140	37.3	-	7
32	30	30	6.6	-	-	-	203	151	169	36.9	-	8
85	83	84	13.0	17	15	2.3	204	191	194	30.0	5	9
13	13	13	6.0	-	-	-	48	45	46	21.4	-	10
15	15	15	6.7	-	-	-	67	51	57	25.4	-	11
95	87	90	9.1	-	-	-	403	364	375	37.8	5	12
7	7	7	4.4	2	2	1.2	36	27	30	18.8	-	13
27	26	27	8.7	-	-	-	113	88	95	30.6	-	14
17	15	15	7.6	-	-	-	84	66	69	34.8	-	15
35	33	34	7.2	21	19	4.0	146	126	135	28.6	-	16
255	253	253	9.9	15	2	0.2	876	872	872	34.2	3	17
25	25	25	14.7	1	1	0.6	26	25	25	14.7	-	18
15	13	14	12.2	-	-	-	22	22	22	19.1	-	19
91	86	88	7.7	58	54	56	411	400	405	35.5	5	20
50	45	47	6.6	8	8	1.1	337	325	329	46.1	-	21
27	27	27	8.1	1	1	0.3	136	123	126	38.0	-	22
8	7	7	4.3	-	-	-	28	26	27	16.5	-	23
4	4	4	3.9	-	-	-	16	12	12	11.8	-	24
3	3	3	3.2	1	1	1.1	21	19	19	20.0	-	25
22	15	21	15.3	-	-	-	65	49	52	38.0	-	26
16	12	13	10.2	-	-	-	28	17	18	14.2	-	27
110	91	110	10.5	-	-	-	482	421	460	44.0	-	28
16	12	13	11.0	-	-	-	15	9	14	11.9	-	29
10	10	10	7.9	-	-	-	22	13	19	15.1	-	30
74	74	74	4.4	-	-	-	295	253	272	16.2	-	31
52	42	43	11.9	20	20	5.5	138	123	126	34.9	-	32
28	26	26	19.7	9	9	6.8	19	14	16	12.1	-	33
116	116	116	7.7	36	36	2.4	336	333	334	22.2	-	34
52	52	52	14.0	19	19	5.1	89	83	84	22.6	2	35
8	6	8	7.6	-	-	-	55	45	49	46.7	-	36
3	1	3	4.2	-	-	-	14	13	14	19.4	-	37
74	74	74	20.0	-	-	-	184	181	181	48.9	5	38
188	187	187	19.6	-	-	-	293	275	275	28.9	12	39
61	61	61	18.4	-	-	-	168	155	159	47.9	-	40
139	137	137	17.5	-	-	-	348	341	343	43.3	8	41
30	29	29	13.4	-	-	-	126	126	126	58.1	-	42
313	298	300	12.9	9	9	0.4	1 089	1 067	1 073	46.0	3	43
36	36	36	15.5	-	-	-	104	93	97	41.8	-	44
163	160	160	16.1	-	-	-	329	326	327	33.0	-	45
92	92	92	16.8	-	-	-	247	246	246	44.9	2	46
164	158	159	18.6	-	-	-	345	323	328	38.5	6	47
56	54	54	11.3	-	-	-	205	202	203	42.6	-	48
65	61	62	15.9	-	-	-	154	140	145	37.3	-	49
58	57	57	15.6	-	-	-	152	143	146	40.0	-	50
110	110	110	14.0	-	-	-	360	342	350	44.4	9	51
45	45	45	17.0	-	-	-	129	108	114	43.2	4	52
172	172	172	21.2	-	-	-	290	288	289	35.7	1	53
2	2	2	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54
40	37	39	10.4	21	20	5.3	158	148	152	40.5	-	55
19	19	19	8.9	8	8	3.8	96	68	74	34.7	3	56
18	7	15	9.6	9	2	5.7	57	50	51	32.5	-	57
17	8	13	8.8	5	5	3.4	68	62	66	44.9	-	58
31	28	29	9.4	26	10	4.8	132	101	109	35.2	-	59
164	158	159	11.4	-	-	-	695	571	606	43.4	16	60
87	83	84	8.3	33	33	3.3	373	350	356	35.1	37	61
266	263	264	4.0	-	-	-	962	921	934	44.2	11	62

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 21. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments.

Line number	County ¹	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial		
			Number of employees		Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
			Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		Total	Full-time only		
1	NEW YORK--CONTINUED											
2	NIAGARA	1 734	300	250	274	15.8	81	81	29.6	100	69	77
3	ONEIDA	1 798	249	224	230	12.8	54	53	23.0	73	72	31.3
4	ONONDAGA	4 863	969	845	899	18.5	229	216	24.6	140	134	15.1
5	ORANGE	2 206	221	193	205	9.3	4	-	1.0	94	89	43.9
6	OSWEGO	967	131	119	123	12.7	34	34	27.6	33	30	25.2
7	RENSSELAER	1 769	193	150	168	9.6	30	24	16.7	57	43	27.4
8	ROCKLAND	2 532	323	300	306	12.1	102	86	29.1	65	65	21.2
9	ST. LAWRENCE	750	122	115	116	15.5	37	33	29.3	23	23	19.8
10	SARATOGA	866	128	101	110	12.7	33	31	29.1	40	31	30.0
11	SCHEMECTADY	1 322	159	102	123	9.3	8	4	4.1	55	39	36.6
12	STEBEN	789	142	82	104	13.2	20	3	9	8.7	47	19
13	SUFFOLK	11 292	4 621	4 621	4 621	40.9	3 132	3 132	67.8	613	613	13.3
14	ULSTER	1 727	200	173	186	10.8	30	30	16.1	33	27	16.1
	WESTCHESTER	7 050	1 307	1 292	1 296	18.4	285	275	21.5	306	306	23.6
	NORTH CAROLINA:											
15	BUNCOMBE	3 279	126	121	123	3.8	73	72	58.5	15	15	12.2
16	CUMBERLAND	5 403	212	210	211	3.9	135	135	64.0	25	24	11.4
17	DURHAM	3 363	70	65	68	2.0	47	45	67.6	5	-	2.9
18	FORSYTH	5 599	150	145	146	2.6	44	44	30.1	41	41	28.1
19	GASTON	3 818	142	140	141	3.7	73	72	51.1	21	21	14.9
20	GUILFORD	7 298	230	209	216	3.0	103	99	45.8	35	31	14.4
21	MECKLENBURG	9 922	357	346	352	3.5	166	164	46.9	64	63	18.2
22	MONSLOW	1 905	65	65	65	3.4	40	40	61.5	10	10	15.4
23	WAKE	3 801	91	75	82	2.2	58	58	70.7	6	-	3.7
	OHIO:											
24	ALLEN	750	157	122	140	18.7	43	39	28.6	51	35	33.6
25	ASHTABULA	592	103	94	97	16.4	25	23	24.7	42	38	40.2
26	BUTLER	860	224	178	200	23.3	46	44	22.5	95	66	41.0
27	CLARK	699	157	123	141	20.2	43	38	27.7	55	42	38.3
28	CLERMONT	461	109	83	101	21.9	30	30	29.7	48	30	41.6
29	COLUMBIANA	559	120	91	116	20.8	22	19	17.2	63	44	54.3
30	CUYAHOGA	12 025	1 791	1 601	1 669	13.9	254	245	247	14.8	922	789
31	FRANKLIN	3 407	737	661	703	20.6	140	140	19.9	319	278	307
32	GREENE	581	173	120	144	24.8	57	39	31.9	63	43	37.5
33	HAMILTON	4 200	1 125	1 058	1 104	26.3	277	260	265	24.0	455	455
34	LAKE	1 588	204	170	180	11.3	48	34	20.6	82	72	41.7
35	LICKING	499	113	93	108	21.6	35	32	30.6	48	31	41.7
36	LOPAIN	994	282	225	257	25.9	75	58	24.1	111	79	40.5
37	LUCAS	2 363	571	493	540	22.9	64	60	61	11.3	222	189
38	MAHONING	1 559	334	279	299	19.2	59	50	53	17.7	163	149
39	MONTGOMERY	3 450	841	737	799	23.2	159	157	19.6	362	291	339
40	PORTAGE	1 354	222	156	173	12.8	46	43	25.4	95	67	42.2
41	RICHLAND	589	147	119	133	22.6	49	42	45	33.8	45	32.3
42	STARK	1 852	407	348	387	20.9	94	88	90	23.3	134	106
43	SUMMIT	2 680	569	508	533	19.9	118	113	21.4	267	226	248
44	TRUMBULL	1 227	235	195	211	17.2	73	64	31.3	106	78	91
	OKLAHOMA:											
45	COMANCHE	676	52	49	51	7.5	21	21	41.2	15	12	27.5
46	OKLAHOMA	1 067	281	281	281	26.3	11	11	3.9	146	146	52.0
47	TULSA	1 290	297	286	292	22.6	30	30	10.3	149	138	49.3
	OREGON:											
48	CLACKAMAS	746	282	228	237	31.8	115	98	99	41.8	68	53
49	JACKSON	857	216	190	151	17.6	82	79	52.3	53	46	31.8
50	LANE	1 315	470	406	416	31.6	174	139	144	34.6	164	151
51	MARION	663	274	231	241	36.9	81	70	29.5	72	63	27.4
52	MULTNOMAH	3 122	1 040	985	1 001	32.1	334	313	318	31.8	284	287
53	WASHINGTON	771	298	268	271	35.1	127	117	118	43.5	46	17.0
	PENNSYLVANIA:											
54	ALLEGHENY	9 083	2 107	2 089	2 098	23.1	408	408	19.4	893	875	884
55	BEAVER	547	322	246	270	49.4	76	40	53	19.6	137	127
56	BERKS	1 234	297	281	286	23.2	16	11	4.2	132	130	45.8
57	BLAIR	545	121	102	114	20.9	2	2	1.8	63	51	50.0
58	BUCKS	1 532	483	454	470	30.7	71	65	69	14.7	207	192
59	BUTLER	715	113	89	95	13.3	12	10	11	11.6	50	44
60	CAMBRIA	596	170	127	145	24.3	3	3	2.1	92	70	53.8
61	CENTRE	323	77	52	64	19.8	3	-	3.1	44	32	35
62	CHESTER	1 165	448	367	398	34.2	53	35	40	10.1	156	131
63	CUMBERLAND	581	150	110	129	22.2	6	4	5	3.9	65	46
64	DAUPHIN	948	369	257	311	32.8	13	1	8	2.6	189	107
65	DELAWARE	3 466	975	939	946	27.3	73	68	73	7.7	390	384
66	ERIE	1 090	312	286	294	27.0	15	15	5.1	131	116	41.2
67	FAYETTE	545	139	124	128	23.5	2	2	1.6	69	64	67
68	FRANKLIN	354	107	86	93	26.3	4	3	4.3	37	25	31.2
69	LACKAWANNA	920	233	213	225	24.5	3	1	2	0.9	116	107
70	LANCASTER	1 331	307	256	269	20.2	5	3	3	1.1	119	89
71	LAWRENCE	292	103	74	85	29.1	5	1	2	2.4	48	39
72	LEBANON	619	109	77	88	14.2	2	1	2.3	48	31	36
73	LEHIGH	1 451	294	257	270	18.6	1	1	0.4	126	112	43.0

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

**of full-time equivalent employees of 334 large county
October 1975—Continued**

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
24	18	24	8.8	11	2	11	4.0	34	80	81	29.6	-	-	-	-	1
24	19	22	9.6	7	7	7	3.0	91	76	76	33.0	-	-	-	-	2
85	80	84	9.3	-	-	-	-	512	412	455	50.6	3	3	3	0.3	3
29	29	29	14.1	-	-	-	-	94	75	84	41.0	-	-	-	-	4
11	10	11	8.9	-	-	-	-	53	45	47	38.2	-	-	-	-	5
18	12	16	9.5	8	3	7	4.2	80	68	71	42.3	-	-	-	-	6
58	53	56	18.3	17	15	15	4.9	81	81	81	26.5	-	-	-	-	7
10	9	9	7.8	2	2	2	1.7	50	48	48	41.4	-	-	-	-	8
17	6	10	9.1	-	-	-	-	38	33	35	31.8	-	-	-	-	9
16	5	13	10.6	-	-	-	-	80	54	60	48.8	-	-	-	-	10
13	8	11	10.6	2	1	2	1.9	60	51	54	51.9	-	-	-	-	11
232	232	232	5.0	-	-	-	-	630	630	630	13.6	14	14	14	0.3	12
19	14	17	9.1	1	-	1	0.5	117	102	108	58.1	-	-	-	-	13
204	203	203	15.7	-	-	-	-	503	501	501	38.7	9	7	7	0.5	14
3	3	3	2.4	-	-	-	-	35	31	33	26.8	-	-	-	-	15
3	2	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	49	49	49	23.2	-	-	-	-	16
1	1	1	1.5	-	-	-	-	19	19	19	27.9	-	-	-	-	17
5	5	5	3.4	-	-	-	-	60	55	56	38.4	-	-	-	-	18
3	2	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	42	42	42	29.8	3	3	3	2.1	19
4	4	4	1.9	-	-	-	-	88	78	82	38.0	-	-	-	-	20
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	89	86	87	24.7	38	33	36	10.2	21
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	15	15	23.1	-	-	-	-	22
4	2	2	2.4	-	-	-	-	23	15	19	23.2	-	-	-	-	23
21	14	16	11.4	-	-	-	-	42	34	37	26.4	-	-	-	-	24
10	8	9	9.3	-	-	-	-	26	25	25	25.8	-	-	-	-	25
16	14	15	7.5	-	-	-	-	67	54	58	29.0	-	-	-	-	26
11	7	10	7.1	-	-	-	-	48	36	38	27.0	-	-	-	-	27
9	4	8	7.9	5	2	4	4.0	17	17	17	16.8	-	-	-	-	28
13	13	13	11.2	5	1	5	4.3	17	14	15	12.9	-	-	-	-	29
109	108	108	6.5	-	-	-	-	506	459	472	28.3	-	-	-	-	30
60	48	53	7.5	-	-	-	-	218	196	203	28.9	-	-	-	-	31
24	16	18	12.5	-	-	-	-	29	22	26	18.1	-	-	-	-	32
52	50	51	4.6	-	-	-	-	341	333	333	30.2	-	-	-	-	33
19	17	18	10.0	-	-	-	-	55	47	50	27.8	-	-	-	-	34
11	11	11	10.2	-	-	-	-	19	19	19	17.6	-	-	-	-	35
25	25	25	9.7	-	-	-	-	71	63	66	25.7	-	-	-	-	36
33	33	33	6.1	-	-	-	-	252	211	227	42.0	-	-	-	-	37
37	24	31	10.4	-	-	-	-	75	56	64	21.4	-	-	-	-	38
68	66	67	8.4	-	-	-	-	252	223	236	29.5	-	-	-	-	39
17	14	15	8.7	4	3	3	1.7	60	29	38	22.0	-	-	-	-	40
18	14	15	11.3	-	-	-	-	35	29	30	22.6	-	-	-	-	41
34	26	29	7.5	6	5	5	1.3	139	123	129	33.3	-	-	-	-	42
36	36	36	6.8	-	-	-	-	148	133	135	25.3	-	-	-	-	43
14	12	13	6.2	-	-	-	-	42	41	41	19.4	-	-	-	-	44
-	-	-	-	16	16	16	5.7	16	16	16	31.4	-	-	-	-	45
-	-	-	-	10	10	10	3.4	108	108	108	38.4	-	-	-	-	46
-	-	-	-	10	10	10	3.4	108	108	108	37.0	-	-	-	-	47
23	23	23	9.7	-	-	-	-	76	54	57	24.1	-	-	-	-	48
19	17	18	11.9	-	-	-	-	62	48	6	4.0	-	-	-	-	49
58	50	51	12.3	-	-	-	-	74	66	68	16.3	-	-	-	-	50
33	29	31	12.9	-	-	-	-	88	69	73	30.3	-	-	-	-	51
154	153	154	15.4	-	-	-	-	258	235	242	24.2	-	-	-	-	52
32	32	32	11.8	-	-	-	-	93	73	75	27.7	-	-	-	-	53
235	235	235	11.2	67	67	67	3.2	483	483	483	23.0	21	21	21	1.0	54
31	24	25	9.3	10	10	10	3.7	68	51	55	20.4	-	-	-	-	55
31	24	27	9.4	10	10	10	3.5	108	106	106	37.1	-	-	-	-	56
10	10	10	8.8	5	1	5	4.4	41	38	40	35.1	-	-	-	-	57
68	61	63	13.4	20	20	20	4.3	117	116	116	24.7	-	-	-	-	58
19	9	11	11.6	3	3	3	3.2	29	25	26	27.4	-	-	-	-	59
22	15	16	11.0	8	6	7	4.8	45	33	41	28.3	-	-	-	-	60
6	2	6	9.4	1	-	1	1.6	23	18	20	31.3	-	-	-	-	61
59	42	45	11.3	18	18	18	4.5	162	141	151	37.9	-	-	-	-	62
16	9	10	7.8	7	6	6	4.7	56	44	52	40.3	-	-	-	-	63
52	36	41	13.2	16	15	16	5.1	99	98	99	31.8	-	-	-	-	64
133	133	133	14.1	34	34	34	3.6	345	322	322	34.0	-	-	-	-	65
35	24	27	9.2	15	15	15	5.1	118	116	116	39.5	-	-	-	-	66
20	13	14	10.9	5	5	5	5.9	43	40	40	31.3	-	-	-	-	67
8	6	7	7.5	4	4	4	4.3	54	48	49	52.7	-	-	-	-	68
32	24	30	13.3	9	9	9	4.0	73	72	72	32.0	-	-	-	-	69
25	17	19	7.1	13	13	13	4.8	145	134	137	50.9	-	-	-	-	70
19	9	13	15.3	5	4	4	4.7	26	21	22	25.9	-	-	-	-	71
8	6	6	6.8	2	2	2	2.3	49	37	42	47.7	-	-	-	-	72
36	24	28	10.4	9	8	9	3.3	122	112	116	43.0	-	-	-	-	73

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 21. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments,

Line number	County ¹	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
	PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED												
1	LUZERNE	1 393	266	254	261	18.7	9	4	9	130	130	130	49.8
2	LYCOMING	408	158	123	141	34.6	2	1	1	54	50	52	36.9
3	MERCER	321	126	95	107	33.3	9	1	4	37	62	46	47.7
4	MONTGOMERY	1 731	750	610	690	59.9	48	36	45	6.5	391	288	50.4
5	NORTHAMPTON	730	251	196	206	28.2	4	3	3	1.5	99	84	43.2
6	SCHUYLKILL	946	169	155	161	17.0	2	2	2	1.2	78	75	48.4
7	WASHINGTON	559	195	172	182	32.6	12	8	10	5.5	101	90	52.2
8	WESTMORELAND	1 059	296	267	278	26.0	39	38	38	13.7	138	123	46.8
9	YORK	841	298	219	254	30.2	24	23	24	9.4	159	100	50.4
	SOUTH CAROLINA:												
10	ANDERSON	235	164	137	148	63.0	45	43	44	29.7	50	31	27.0
11	CHARLESTON	1 515	387	327	351	23.2	184	182	182	51.9	118	78	26.5
12	GREENVILLE	1 114	345	310	316	28.4	172	171	171	54.1	114	81	27.5
13	LEXINGTON	299	152	140	145	48.5	60	58	58	40.0	57	49	36.6
14	RICHLAND	2 350	389	350	361	15.4	157	140	143	39.6	154	135	39.1
15	SPARTANBURG	2 095	239	188	197	9.4	100	90	92	46.7	106	66	57.1
	TENNESSEE:												
16	HAMILTON	5 051	398	346	355	7.0	149	114	117	33.0	103	102	28.7
17	KNOX	2 847	356	326	337	11.8	113	113	113	33.5	171	158	48.4
18	SHELBY	7 626	1 122	1 072	1 083	14.2	210	210	210	19.4	354	354	32.7
19	SULLIVAN	1 761	110	98	101	5.7	69	62	63	62.4	27	25	25.7
	TEXAS:												
20	BELL	289	146	132	138	47.8	33	32	32	23.2	47	37	42
21	BEXAR	4 175	960	905	927	22.2	223	223	223	24.1	234	217	23.8
22	BRAZORIA	460	150	139	143	31.1	66	65	66	46.2	52	42	31.5
23	CAMERON	577	195	178	187	32.4	37	37	37	19.8	75	62	36.4
24	DALLAS	5 481	1 446	1 441	1 441	26.3	359	359	359	24.9	532	526	52.7
25	EL PASO	1 592	352	346	351	22.0	81	77	81	23.1	90	90	25.6
26	GALVESTON	1 275	238	200	215	16.9	53	41	48	22.3	108	90	43.7
27	HARRIS	8 143	2 419	2 350	2 376	29.2	456	456	456	19.2	934	859	37.8
28	HIDALGO	534	164	154	156	29.2	22	22	22	14.1	58	48	32.1
29	JEFFERSON	649	262	251	260	40.1	57	57	57	21.9	88	77	33.1
30	LUBBOCK	332	153	140	145	43.7	35	35	35	24.1	58	45	34.5
31	MCLENNAN	547	187	156	167	50.5	35	35	35	21.0	58	35	26.3
32	NUCES	2 117	288	282	282	13.3	61	61	61	21.6	125	123	43.6
33	SMITH	239	85	79	81	33.9	29	25	27	33.3	29	28	34.6
34	TARRANT	2 984	721	680	694	23.3	158	158	158	22.8	245	226	33.6
35	TAYLOR	166	91	83	87	52.4	17	17	17	19.5	43	35	44.8
36	TRAVIS	850	529	482	501	58.9	85	85	85	17.0	181	158	33.1
37	WICHITA	208	110	106	109	52.4	25	25	25	22.9	39	36	35.8
	UTAH:												
38	DAVIS	248	61	58	59	23.8	32	29	30	50.8	8	8	13.6
39	SALT LAKE	3 116	719	578	605	19.4	428	335	350	57.9	97	77	82
40	UTAH	329	84	62	72	21.9	27	23	24	33.3	26	15	30.6
41	WEBER	658	98	94	97	14.7	35	35	35	56.1	16	14	15.5
	VERMONT:												
42	CHITTENDEN	7	7	7	7	100.0	-	-	-	-	7	7	7
	VIRGINIA:												
43	ARLINGTON	4 220	366	494	520	12.3	386	357	366	70.4	52	35	8.1
44	FAIRFAX	17 682	1 077	1 074	1 074	6.1	769	769	769	71.6	180	180	16.8
45	HENRICO	4 422	435	434	434	9.8	323	322	322	74.2	39	39	9.0
46	PRINCE WILLIAM	4 107	238	237	237	5.8	150	150	150	63.3	40	39	16.5
	WASHINGTON:												
47	CLARK	787	267	237	246	31.3	95	95	95	38.6	63	55	22.8
48	KING	3 888	1 628	1 491	1 523	39.2	500	497	498	32.7	431	397	26.6
49	KITSAP	630	164	149	152	24.1	52	52	52	34.2	43	38	25.7
50	PIERCE	1 601	529	459	507	31.7	196	193	194	38.3	122	77	119
51	SNOHOMISH	1 096	404	392	395	36.0	129	129	129	32.7	113	109	27.8
52	SPOKANE	986	429	392	405	41.1	161	148	152	37.5	99	87	22.7
53	YAKIMA	680	259	250	252	37.1	86	85	85	33.7	68	64	25.8
	WEST VIRGINIA:												
54	CABELL	345	92	89	89	25.8	28	28	28	31.5	27	26	29.2
55	KANAWHA	525	186	169	177	33.7	48	42	46	26.0	47	45	26.0
	WISCONSIN:												
56	BROWN	926	196	172	180	19.4	111	110	111	61.7	38	32	18.9
57	DANE	1 424	418	369	381	26.8	143	132	136	35.7	122	110	29.7
58	KENOSHA	714	135	125	130	18.2	66	64	65	50.0	36	30	25.4
59	MARATHON	909	148	99	110	12.1	68	55	56	50.9	27	22	24.5
60	MILWAUKEE	0 297	1 208	1 198	1 200	12.9	251	250	250	20.8	445	436	36.5
61	OUTAGAMIE	726	107	96	101	13.7	52	52	52	51.5	30	22	25.7
62	RACINE	1 009	233	212	219	21.7	105	104	105	47.9	72	65	30.6
63	ROCK	992	186	167	174	17.5	54	48	49	28.2	51	42	27.0
64	WAUKESHA	1 213	247	227	241	19.9	97	97	97	40.2	82	66	32.0
65	WINNEBAGO	796	130	109	119	14.9	58	57	58	48.7	47	29	31.1

* Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM
of full-time equivalent employees of 334 large county
October 1975—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		Number of employees		Percent of total criminal justice system employees		Line number
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
36	31	33	12.6	16	16	16	6.1	75	73	73	28.0	-	-	-	-	1
15	9	12	8.5	4	4	4	2.8	83	59	72	51.1	-	-	-	-	2
12	10	10	9.3	6	6	6	5.6	37	32	36	33.6	-	-	-	-	3
88	71	78	11.3	29	29	29	4.2	194	186	190	27.5	-	-	-	-	4
29	20	22	10.7	6	6	6	2.9	93	83	86	41.7	-	-	-	-	5
26	15	18	11.2	11	11	11	6.8	52	52	52	32.3	-	-	-	-	6
19	19	19	10.4	8	8	8	4.4	55	47	50	27.5	-	-	-	-	7
40	32	35	12.6	9	9	9	3.2	70	65	66	23.7	-	-	-	-	8
24	16	18	7.1	6	6	6	2.4	85	74	78	30.7	-	-	-	-	9
8	8	8	5.4	1	1	1	0.7	60	54	55	37.2	-	-	-	-	10
13	5	9	2.6	-	-	-	-	72	62	67	19.1	-	-	-	-	11
21	21	21	6.6	-	-	-	-	38	37	37	11.7	-	-	-	-	12
2	2	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	31	29	30	20.7	2	2	2	1.4	13
23	23	23	6.4	12	12	12	3.3	43	40	42	11.6	-	-	-	-	14
4	4	4	2.0	3	3	3	1.5	26	25	25	12.7	-	-	-	-	15
22	9	13	3.7	-	-	-	-	124	121	123	34.6	-	-	-	-	16
11	4	6	1.8	-	-	-	-	61	51	55	16.3	-	-	-	-	17
62	12	23	2.1	36	36	36	3.3	449	449	449	41.5	11	11	11	1.0	18
4	1	2	2.0	-	-	-	-	10	10	9.9	-	-	-	-	-	19
27	26	26	18.8	-	-	-	-	39	37	38	27.5	-	-	-	-	20
132	125	127	13.7	-	-	-	-	363	332	348	37.5	8	8	8	0.9	21
11	11	11	7.7	-	-	-	-	21	21	21	14.7	-	-	-	-	22
18	18	18	9.6	-	-	-	-	65	61	64	34.2	-	-	-	-	23
123	123	123	8.5	-	-	-	-	432	432	432	30.0	-	-	-	-	24
39	37	38	10.8	-	-	-	-	109	109	109	31.1	33	33	33	9.4	25
16	16	16	7.4	-	-	-	-	42	42	42	19.5	11	15	15	7.0	26
224	224	224	9.4	-	-	-	-	691	677	684	28.8	114	114	114	4.8	27
22	22	22	14.1	-	-	-	-	62	62	62	39.7	-	-	-	-	28
42	42	42	16.2	-	-	-	-	75	75	75	28.8	-	-	-	-	29
25	25	25	17.2	-	-	-	-	35	35	35	24.1	-	-	-	-	30
16	15	15	9.0	-	-	-	-	78	71	73	43.7	-	-	-	-	31
29	28	28	9.9	-	-	-	-	73	70	70	24.8	-	-	-	-	32
10	10	10	12.3	-	-	-	-	17	16	16	19.8	-	-	-	-	33
111	111	111	16.0	5	5	5	0.7	195	173	180	25.9	7	7	7	1.0	34
9	9	9	10.3	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	25.3	-	-	-	-	35
50	44	46	9.2	-	-	-	-	213	195	204	40.7	-	-	-	-	36
16	15	15	13.8	-	-	-	-	30	30	30	27.5	-	-	-	-	37
15	15	15	25.4	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	10.2	-	-	-	-	38
58	58	58	9.6	-	-	-	-	136	108	115	19.0	-	-	-	-	39
12	11	11	15.3	-	-	-	-	19	13	15	20.8	-	-	-	-	40
13	13	13	13.4	-	-	-	-	34	34	34	35.1	-	-	-	-	41
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
18	16	18	3.5	-	-	-	-	95	74	81	15.6	15	12	13	2.5	43
37	37	37	3.4	-	-	-	-	86	83	83	7.7	5	5	5	0.5	44
18	18	18	4.1	-	-	-	-	55	55	55	12.7	-	-	-	-	45
17	17	17	7.2	-	-	-	-	31	31	31	13.1	-	-	-	-	46
19	18	18	7.3	12	7	10	4.1	78	62	67	27.2	-	-	-	-	47
129	118	122	8.0	6	6	6	0.4	559	470	489	32.1	3	3	3	0.2	48
14	14	9.2	7	7	7	4.6	48	38	40	26.3	-	-	-	-	49	
51	45	47	9.3	7	7	7	1.4	145	129	132	26.0	8	8	8	1.6	50
29	26	27	6.8	3	3	3	0.8	128	123	124	31.4	2	2	2	0.5	51
32	29	30	7.4	16	16	16	4.0	117	109	111	27.4	4	3	4	1.0	52
21	20	20	7.9	-	-	-	-	84	81	82	32.5	-	-	-	-	53
12	10	10	11.2	-	-	-	-	25	25	25	28.1	-	-	-	-	54
19	19	19	10.7	-	-	-	-	72	63	66	37.3	-	-	-	-	55
11	8	11	6.1	-	-	-	-	36	22	24	13.3	-	-	-	-	56
44	33	35	9.2	-	-	-	-	109	94	97	25.5	-	-	-	-	57
14	14	14	10.8	-	-	-	-	19	17	18	13.8	-	-	-	-	58
7	7	7	6.4	-	-	-	-	46	15	20	18.2	-	-	-	-	59
106	106	106	8.8	-	-	-	-	406	406	406	33.8	-	-	-	-	60
10	10	10	9.9	3	3	3	3.0	12	9	10	9.9	-	-	-	-	61
21	20	21	9.6	-	-	-	-	35	23	26	11.9	-	-	-	-	62
17	17	17	9.8	-	-	-	-	64	60	61	35.1	-	-	-	-	63
22	18	21	8.7	-	-	-	-	46	46	46	19.1	-	-	-	-	64
13	13	13	10.9	-	-	-	-	12	10	11	9.2	-	-	-	-	65

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	824 444	200 272	24.3	67 281	33.6	51 035	25.5
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	177	50	28.4	14	28.5	23	45.3
JEFFERSON	3 327	715	21.5	213	29.8	279	39.1
MADISON	349	126	36.0	24	18.9	59	46.9
MOBILE	729	296	40.7	72	24.4	162	54.8
MONTGOMERY	407	155	38.1	33	21.2	64	41.3
TUSCALOOSA	364	105	28.8	42	39.7	34	32.2
ALASKA:							
GREATER ANCHORAGE ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	5 416	1 715	31.7	419	24.4	431	25.1
PIMA	2 854	1 163	40.7	368	31.7	255	21.9
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	338	199	59.0	48	24.2	46	23.3
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	10 300	3 385	32.9	519	15.3	650	19.2
BUTTE	1 139	237	20.8	86	36.4	55	23.2
CONTRA COSTA	7 158	1 872	26.1	451	24.1	324	17.3
FRESNO	5 103	1 294	25.4	386	29.8	218	16.9
HUMBOLDT	1 530	451	29.5	161	35.6	70	15.5
KERN	5 393	1 228	22.8	448	36.5	199	16.2
LOS ANGELES	92 311	26 588	28.8	8 330	31.3	5 929	22.3
MARIN	2 155	698	32.4	140	20.0	163	23.4
MERCED	1 386	242	17.5	67	27.8	53	22.0
MONTEREY	2 470	699	28.3	202	28.9	128	18.3
ORANGE	12 349	4 084	33.1	850	20.8	1 043	25.5
RIVERSIDE	5 951	1 782	29.9	520	29.2	330	18.5
SACRAMENTO	7 387	2 589	35.0	934	36.1	365	14.1
SAN BERNARDINO	8 370	2 487	29.7	778	31.3	510	20.5
SAN DIEGO	12 911	4 396	34.0	884	20.1	1 062	24.2
SAN JOAQUIN	4 472	998	22.3	300	30.1	181	18.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 615	336	20.8	115	34.2	63	18.7
SAN MATEO	5 152	1 722	33.4	412	23.9	361	20.9
SANTA BARBARA	3 198	1 043	32.6	215	30.2	195	18.7
SANTA CLARA	11 792	3 130	26.5	709	22.7	638	20.4
SANTA CRUZ	1 558	419	26.9	141	33.6	87	20.9
SOLANO	1 541	512	33.2	96	18.7	114	22.3
SONOMA	3 055	645	21.1	197	30.6	109	16.8
STANISLAUS	2 293	542	23.7	132	24.3	106	19.5
TULARE	1 891	578	30.6	209	36.1	94	16.2
VENTURA	5 157	1 483	28.8	547	36.9	251	16.9
YOLO	1 005	298	29.6	97	32.6	55	18.4
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	868	169	19.4	94	55.5	12	6.9
ARAPAHOE	430	143	33.4	73	51.0	24	16.8
BOULDER	599	151	25.3	67	44.1	12	7.7
EL PASO	833	211	25.3	33	15.8	61	28.8
JEFFERSON	1 044	242	23.2	142	58.7	15	6.3
LARIMER	430	82	19.1	45	55.2	5	6.0
PUEBLO	503	78	15.5	24	30.4	8	10.5
WELD	1 061	59	5.5	23	39.5	5	8.7
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	1 611	348	21.6	273	78.4	63	18.2
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	652	262	40.2	141	53.8	38	14.4
BREVARD	1 238	249	20.1	153	61.6	74	29.6
BROWARD	2 497	745	29.8	400	53.7	191	25.6
DADE	18 043	4 130	22.9	2 525	61.1	841	20.4
ESCAMBIA	801	356	44.4	200	56.2	91	25.6
HILLSBOROUGH	4 683	945	20.2	381	40.3	239	25.3
LEE	798	218	27.3	137	62.7	60	27.6
LEON	455	173	38.0	89	51.4	61	35.3
MANATEE	528	143	27.1	95	66.3	35	24.6
ORANGE	1 982	653	32.9	384	58.8	173	26.5
PALM BEACH	2 416	695	28.8	472	67.9	66	9.6
PASCO	412	172	41.7	129	74.8	29	16.6
PINELLAS	2 164	732	33.8	437	59.7	178	24.4
POLK	2 094	384	18.3	255	66.3	66	17.2
SARASOTA	575	235	40.9	170	72.4	48	20.3
SEMINOLE	471	157	33.3	107	68.4	31	19.8
VOLUSIA	854	274	32.1	139	50.6	72	26.3
GEORGIA:							
BIBB	400	174	43.5	62	31.5	60	34.5
CHATTHAM	787	241	30.6	91	37.8	86	35.8
CLAYTON	794	243	30.6	138	56.7	42	17.1
COBB	1 654	416	25.2	263	63.1	93	22.3
DE KALB	3 351	835	24.9	497	59.5	145	17.3
FULTON	2 456	1 141	46.4	144	12.6	462	40.5
RICHMOND	611	216	35.4	96	44.3	561	25.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	20 988	10.5	4 229	2.1	55 941	27.9	799	0.4
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	4	7.2	-	-	10	19.1	-	-
JEFFERSON	53	7.4	2	0.3	167	23.4	-	-
MADISON	13	10.2	-	-	30	24.0	-	-
MOBILE	13	4.3	-	-	49	16.5	-	-
MONTGOMERY	16	10.3	-	-	42	27.2	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	3	3.0	-	-	26	25.1	-	-
ALASKA:								
GREATER ANCHORAGE ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	217	12.6	119	7.0	529	30.9	-	-
PIMA	148	12.7	64	5.5	327	28.1	1	0.1
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	44	22.2	11	5.3	50	25.0	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	366	10.8	261	7.7	1 589	46.9	-	-
BUTTE	40	16.7	-	-	56	23.7	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	264	14.1	97	5.2	736	39.3	-	-
FRESNO	191	14.8	61	4.7	438	33.8	-	-
HUMBOLDT	62	13.7	13	2.9	140	31.0	6	1.3
KERN	141	11.5	50	4.0	390	31.8	-	-
LOS ANGELES	2 898	10.9	1 136	4.3	8 296	31.2	-	-
MARIN	73	10.4	38	5.4	255	36.5	30	4.3
MERCED	35	14.5	7	2.7	80	33.0	-	-
MONTEREY	106	15.2	36	5.2	227	32.5	-	-
ORANGE	492	12.1	163	4.0	1 525	37.4	10	0.2
RIVERSIDE	209	11.8	63	3.5	659	37.0	-	-
SACRAMENTO	395	15.2	103	4.0	792	30.6	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	261	10.5	98	3.9	834	35.5	8	0.3
SAN DIEGO	556	12.7	-	-	1 869	42.5	25	0.6
SAN JOAQUIN	130	13.0	69	6.9	317	31.8	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	59	17.5	-	-	100	29.6	-	-
SAN MATEO	170	9.8	-	-	776	45.1	4	0.2
SANTA BARBARA	119	11.4	43	4.1	371	35.6	12	0.4
SANTA CLARA	418	13.4	141	4.5	1 211	38.7	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	68	16.3	-	-	122	29.1	1	0.2
SOLANO	53	10.4	26	5.2	223	43.5	-	-
SONOMA	82	12.8	24	3.8	233	36.1	-	-
STANISLAUS	97	17.9	15	2.8	187	34.5	6	1.1
TULARE	77	13.3	29	5.0	170	29.4	-	-
VENTURA	187	12.6	53	3.6	419	28.2	27	1.8
YOLO	37	12.6	17	5.9	91	30.6	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	43	25.2	-	-	21	12.5	-	-
ARAPAHOE	26	18.0	-	-	20	14.1	-	-
BOULDER	30	19.7	-	-	43	28.5	-	-
EL PASO	67	31.6	-	-	50	23.8	-	-
JEFFERSON	56	24.0	-	-	27	11.0	-	-
LARIMER	17	21.3	-	-	14	17.5	-	-
PUEBLO	31	39.6	-	-	10	13.2	5	6.3
WELD	15	25.3	-	-	14	23.4	2	3.1
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	12	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	4	1.7	-	-	76	28.9	3	1.2
BREVARD	-	-	-	-	20	8.0	2	0.8
BROWARD	24	3.3	-	-	123	16.5	7	0.9
DADE	50	1.2	16	0.4	584	14.1	114	2.8
ESCAMBIA	4	1.2	-	-	60	16.9	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	16	1.7	5	0.5	297	31.4	7	0.7
LEE	7	3.2	-	-	14	6.5	-	-
LEON	4	2.3	-	-	19	11.0	-	-
MANATEE	-	-	-	-	13	9.1	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	96	14.7	-	-
PALM BEACH	15	2.2	-	-	141	20.3	-	-
PASCO	-	-	-	-	15	8.6	-	-
PINELLAS	18	2.4	-	-	91	12.4	8	1.1
POLK	8	2.2	-	-	55	14.3	-	-
SARASOTA	-	-	-	-	17	7.4	-	-
SEMINOLE	-	-	-	-	18	11.7	-	-
VOLUSIA	9	3.3	-	-	54	19.8	-	-
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	20	11.8	-	-	32	18.2	-	-
CHATTHAM	21	8.9	-	-	42	17.4	-	-
CLAYTON	17	7.0	-	-	47	19.2	-	-
COBB	20	4.8	-	-	41	9.8	-	-
DE KALB	43	5.1	9	1.1	141	16.9	-	-
FULTON	142	12.5	27	2.4	365	32.0	-	-
RICHMOND	12	5.7	-	-	52	24.2	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:							
ADA.	304	142	46.7	51	36.2	42	29.4
ILLINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN	290	120	41.2	41	33.9	22	18.2
COOK	20 800	6 691	32.2	902	13.5	3 255	46.6
DU PAGE	1 483	510	34.4	163	32.0	131	25.8
KANE	453	225	49.7	80	35.5	42	18.5
LAKE	1 434	343	23.9	119	34.7	64	18.6
LA SALLE	191	80	41.9	32	39.6	14	17.6
MCHENRY	297	145	48.9	78	53.7	21	14.4
MCLEAN	265	84	31.6	31	36.4	24	28.9
MACON	263	114	43.3	48	42.6	27	23.3
MADISON	498	238	47.8	72	30.3	65	27.5
PEORIA	401	195	48.6	87	44.9	29	15.0
ROCK ISLAND	431	144	33.3	59	40.9	25	17.6
ST. CLAIR	727	219	30.1	73	33.1	48	21.7
SAUGANAHON	338	171	50.7	73	42.4	26	15.2
TAZEWELL	256	90	35.2	38	41.8	19	20.6
WILL	511	228	44.7	110	48.3	48	21.2
WINNEBAGO	700	275	39.3	116	42.3	47	17.1
INDIANA:							
ALLEN	1 116	334	29.9	132	39.5	70	21.0
DELAWARE	251	65	26.0	18	27.2	23	35.0
ELKHART	399	108	27.2	48	43.9	26	24.3
LAKE	1 944	557	28.7	173	31.0	204	36.6
LA PORTE	243	74	30.2	23	31.5	20	27.8
MADISON	239	74	31.1	15	19.8	33	44.1
ST. JOSEPH	714	215	30.1	46	21.4	68	31.9
TIPPECANOE	250	63	25.0	26	42.3	17	27.3
VANDERBURGH	403	148	36.8	41	27.4	30	20.0
VIGO	390	87	22.2	22	25.3	31	36.1
IOWA:							
BLACK HAWK	467	128	27.4	33	25.9	42	32.5
LINN	503	167	33.2	41	24.5	51	30.8
POLK	1 128	474	42.0	58	12.2	161	34.1
SCOTT	308	108	35.0	31	28.4	38	35.3
WOODBURY	318	89	28.1	22	24.3	41	45.8
KANSAS:							
JOHNSON	677	226	33.4	79	34.7	68	30.0
SEGWICK	966	287	29.7	45	15.8	146	50.9
SHAWNEE	349	198	56.7	23	11.5	55	27.6
WYANDOTTE	401	160	39.9	26	16.3	73	45.8
KENTUCKY:							
JEFFERSON	2 676	884	33.0	530	60.0	80	9.1
KENTON	233	73	31.5	27	36.8	20	27.6
LOUISIANA:							
CADDY	407	225	55.3	112	49.8	35	15.5
CALCASIEU	473	238	50.3	163	68.6	33	13.9
JEFFERSON	3 385	820	24.2	423	51.6	279	34.0
LAFAYETTE	285	108	37.9	58	53.7	24	22.4
OUACHITA	424	132	31.0	72	54.4	18	13.8
RAPIDES	381	141	37.0	88	62.4	22	15.6
MAINE:							
CUMBERLAND	79	61	76.6	23	38.4	14	22.7
PENOBSBOT	35	31	87.9	7	21.8	11	37.1
YORK	52	30	56.4	6	19.5	10	34.1
MARYLAND:							
ANNE ARUNDEL	8 190	612	7.5	464	75.9	60	9.9
BALTIMORE	22 524	1 822	8.1	1 508	82.8	94	5.2
HARFORD	3 464	149	4.3	53	35.5	41	27.6
MONTGOMERY	24 429	1 703	7.0	1 338	78.5	145	8.5
PRINCE GEORGES	25 846	1 648	6.4	1 212	73.5	208	12.6
WASHINGTON	2 464	41	1.7	14	34.9	10	25.5
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BARNSTABLE	346	164	47.6	24	14.5	54	33.1
BERKSHIRE	130	87	67.0	-	-	39	44.6
BRISTOL	229	281	122.9	-	-	144	51.1
ESSEX	542	453	83.7	-	-	215	47.5
HAMPDEN	474	388	81.9	-	-	164	42.2
HAMPSHIRE	204	99	48.4	-	-	25	25.1
MIDDLESEX	1 734	1 087	62.7	-	-	556	51.2
NORFOLK	710	375	52.8	-	-	189	50.4
PLYMOUTH	479	305	63.8	5	1.5	136	44.7
WORCESTER	841	530	63.0	-	-	269	50.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:								
ADA	17	12.1	-	-	32	22.4	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	19	15.9	6	5.4	32	26.6	-	-
COOK	686	10.2	325	4.9	1,523	22.8	-	-
DU PAGE	68	13.4	29	5.7	116	22.7	2	0.3
KANE	23	10.2	5	2.1	74	33.0	1	0.7
LAKE	55	16.0	10	2.9	81	23.7	14	4.1
LA SALLE	15	18.5	2	2.8	17	21.6	-	-
MCHENRY	17	12.0	5	3.5	24	16.4	-	-
MCLEAN	12	14.6	-	-	17	20.1	-	-
MACON	19	16.3	5	4.0	16	13.8	-	-
MADISON	30	12.6	10	4.2	61	25.5	-	-
PEORIA	27	13.9	-	-	51	26.1	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	24	17.0	3	1.9	33	22.7	-	-
ST. CLAIR	38	17.3	14	6.5	47	21.4	-	-
SANGAMON	29	17.1	5	3.2	38	22.1	-	-
TAZEWELL	16	17.8	4	4.2	14	15.6	-	-
WILL	31	13.7	10	4.3	27	12.0	1	0.5
WINNEBAGO	33	12.2	8	3.1	70	25.4	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	7	2.2	10	3.1	114	34.2	-	-
DELWARE	5	7.3	2	2.7	18	27.9	-	-
ELKHART	6	5.7	2	1.4	27	24.8	-	-
LAKE	65	11.7	14	2.6	89	16.0	11	2.0
LA PORTE	7	9.5	-	-	23	31.2	-	-
MADISON	6	8.6	-	-	20	27.5	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	23	10.7	-	-	69	32.0	8	3.9
TIPEPECANOE	-	-	2	3.0	17	27.4	-	-
VANDERBURGH	19	13.0	4	3.0	37	25.1	17	11.5
VIGO	7	8.5	4	4.4	22	25.7	-	-
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	12	9.6	-	-	41	32.1	-	-
LINN	16	9.5	-	-	59	35.2	-	-
POLK	46	9.8	16	3.3	193	40.7	-	-
SCOTT	16	14.5	-	-	24	21.8	-	-
WOODBURY	14	15.3	-	-	13	14.7	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	35	15.6	-	-	45	19.7	-	-
SEDGWICK	46	16.1	-	-	49	17.2	-	-
SHAWNEE	21	10.9	-	-	63	32.1	35	17.9
WYANDOTTE	23	14.5	-	-	35	22.1	2	1.3
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	49	5.5	-	-	224	25.4	-	-
KENTON.	13	18.0	-	-	13	17.6	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	13	6.0	-	-	65	28.8	-	-
CALCASIEU	17	7.3	-	-	24	10.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	46	5.7	-	-	61	7.5	11	1.3
LAFAYETTE	8	7.2	2	1.4	16	15.2	-	-
OUACHITA	14	10.9	-	0.2	27	20.7	-	-
RAPIDES	14	10.1	-	-	17	11.8	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	2	3.3	-	-	22	35.6	-	-
PENOBSCT	3	11.3	-	-	9	29.8	-	-
YORK	-	1.3	-	-	13	45.1	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	54	8.8	-	-	33	5.4	-	-
BALTIMORE	102	5.6	-	-	117	6.4	-	-
HARFORD	23	15.6	-	-	32	21.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY	101	5.9	-	-	116	6.8	2	0.1
PRINCE GEORGES	137	8.3	-	-	90	5.5	-	-
WASHINGTON	7	17.6	-	-	9	22.0	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	8	4.7	-	-	78	47.7	-	-
BERKSHIRE	-	-	-	-	48	55.4	-	-
BRISTOL	13	4.6	-	-	125	44.3	-	-
ESSEX	18	4.0	8	1.8	212	46.7	-	-
HAMPDEN	24	6.1	-	-	201	51.7	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	11	11.1	2	1.9	61	62.0	-	-
MIDDLESEX	32	3.0	26	2.4	473	43.5	-	-
NORFOLK	41	10.9	7	1.9	138	36.8	-	-
PLYMOUTH	7	2.3	-	-	157	51.5	-	-
WORCESTER	18	3.3	-	-	243	45.9	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:							
BAY	666	171	25.7	49	28.5	55	31.9
BERRIEN	572	290	50.0	66	22.8	98	34.0
CALHOUN	674	247	36.7	44	17.7	95	38.6
GENESEE	1 923	748	38.9	191	25.5	207	27.7
INGHAM	1 483	385	25.9	101	26.3	125	32.4
JACKSON	722	208	28.9	59	28.1	61	29.4
KALAMAZOO	706	365	51.7	94	25.9	90	24.8
KENT	1 724	439	25.5	149	33.9	99	22.6
MACOMB	2 093	701	33.5	149	21.3	214	30.5
MONROE	627	202	32.3	85	42.1	63	30.9
MUSKEGON	799	215	26.9	58	27.1	89	41.5
OAKLAND	3 411	1 079	31.6	137	22.0	318	29.5
OTTAWA	525	146	27.8	52	42.7	47	32.1
SAGINAW	1 075	281	26.2	75	26.8	98	34.9
ST. CLAIR	712	211	29.7	48	22.9	70	33.2
WASHTENAW	1 296	495	38.2	173	35.0	121	24.5
WAYNE	11 208	3 582	32.0	510	14.2	1 502	41.9
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	565	208	36.8	92	44.5	56	27.1
DAKOTA	367	133	36.1	39	29.2	54	40.8
HENNEPIN	6 654	1 429	20.8	290	20.3	412	28.9
HAMSEY	3 735	876	23.4	113	12.9	288	32.9
FT. LOUIS	1 841	358	19.4	80	22.2	125	35.0
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	352	109	31.1	41	37.2	46	42.1
HINDS	706	79	11.2	26	32.8	41	51.2
JACKSON	668	72	10.8	33	46.1	18	24.7
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	196	108	55.1	29	27.3	27	25.0
GREENE	232	88	38.0	34	39.1	30	34.0
JACKSON	1 581	752	47.5	72	9.6	264	35.1
JEFFERSON	192	79	40.9	34	43.0	27	34.0
ST. CHARLES	182	95	52.0	52	54.8	21	21.8
ST. LOUIS	3 857	1 488	38.6	822	55.3	360	24.2
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	2 512	350	13.9	64	18.2	93	26.6
LANCASTER	712	133	18.7	24	18.4	53	39.9
NEVADA:							
CLARK	4 116	1 759	38.1	1 073	61.0	111	6.3
WASHOE	1 908	423	22.2	153	36.1	83	19.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	308	75	24.3	3	4.1	31	42.1
ROCKINGHAM	241	57	23.5	17	30.2	26	45.5
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	1 517	319	21.0	35	10.8	55	17.3
BERGEN	4 666	967	20.7	228	23.5	269	27.8
BURLINGTON	1 499	289	19.3	12	4.0	86	29.6
CAMDEN	3 965	854	21.5	71	8.3	208	24.3
CUMBERLAND	788	175	22.2	13	7.5	42	24.1
ESSEX	9 216	2 751	29.8	337	12.3	810	29.4
GOULCESTER	708	193	27.3	25	13.1	54	27.7
HUDSON	3 500	1 001	28.6	205	20.5	297	29.7
MERCER	2 208	502	22.7	38	7.5	155	30.9
MIDDLESEX	3 662	841	23.0	100	11.9	244	29.0
MONMOUTH	1 898	399	21.0	48	12.0	127	31.7
MORRIS	1 988	366	18.4	88	24.2	85	23.1
OCEAN	1 413	337	23.9	72	21.3	80	23.8
PASSAIC	2 095	776	37.0	70	9.1	246	31.8
SOMERSET	1 174	250	21.3	40	16.1	51	20.3
UNION	1 666	866	52.0	83	9.5	291	33.6
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	1 117	151	13.5	144	95.8	4	2.8
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	1 941	284	14.6	57	19.9	62	21.7
BROOME	1 543	199	12.9	57	28.7	44	22.0
CHAUTAUQUA	902	157	17.4	56	35.8	34	21.6
CHEMUNG	819	138	16.8	38	27.4	22	16.0
DUTCHESS	1 621	292	18.0	75	25.7	74	25.4
ERIE	9 635	1 489	15.5	341	22.9	314	21.1
MONROE	5 477	1 224	22.4	404	33.0	211	17.3
SAUSSAU	22 496	8 790	39.1	5 991	68.2	1 273	14.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	19	11.3	10	6.1	38	22.2	-	-
BERRIEN	32	10.9	-	-	94	32.3	-	-
CALHOUN	18	7.3	-	-	90	36.4	-	-
GENESEE	129	17.2	-	-	217	29.0	-	0.5
INGHAM	58	15.0	-	-	101	26.3	-	-
JACKSON	28	13.4	-	-	61	29.1	-	-
KALAMAZOO	46	12.5	-	-	134	36.9	-	-
KENT	37	8.5	-	-	154	35.1	-	-
MACOMB	114	16.3	17	2.5	200	28.5	6	0.9
MONROE	16	7.8	-	-	39	19.1	-	-
MUSKEGON	19	8.7	-	-	49	22.7	-	-
OAKLAND	120	11.1	-	-	398	36.9	5	0.5
OTTAWA	9	6.0	2	1.0	26	18.1	-	-
SAGINAW	29	10.3	-	-	79	28.0	-	-
ST. CLAIR	22	10.2	-	-	71	33.7	-	-
WASHTENAW	42	8.4	23	4.7	135	27.4	-	-
WAYNE	426	11.9	7	0.2	1 131	31.6	6	0.2
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	30	14.5	1	0.5	28	13.4	-	-
DAKOTA	17	12.8	-	-	23	17.2	-	-
HENNEPIN	156	10.9	92	6.4	472	33.0	7	0.5
RAMSEY	73	8.4	10	1.2	391	44.6	-	-
ST. LOUIS	31	8.6	1	0.3	121	33.9	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	6	5.6	-	-	16	15.1	-	-
HINDS	4	4.6	-	-	9	11.4	-	-
JACKSON	3	4.1	1	2.0	17	23.1	-	-
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	15	14.1	-	-	36	33.6	-	-
GREENE	10	11.7	-	-	13	15.2	-	-
JACKSON	94	12.5	-	-	321	42.8	-	-
JEFFERSON	9	12.1	-	-	9	10.9	-	-
ST. CHARLES	10	10.1	-	-	13	13.4	-	-
ST. LOUIS	89	6.0	-	-	217	14.6	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	43	12.2	28	8.1	123	35.0	-	-
LANCASTER	29	21.6	12	9.3	14	10.8	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK	149	8.5	55	3.1	371	21.1	-	-
WASHOE	67	15.8	24	5.7	94	22.3	2	0.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	8	10.6	-	-	32	43.1	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	3	5.5	-	-	11	18.8	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	83	26.0	-	-	142	44.4	5	1.5
BERGEN	200	20.7	-	-	262	27.1	-	0.9
BURLINGTON	67	23.2	-	-	125	43.2	-	-
CAMDEN	237	27.8	-	-	331	38.8	7	0.8
CUMBERLAND	23	13.0	-	-	97	55.3	-	-
ESSEX	387	14.1	8	0.3	1 206	43.8	3	0.1
GLoucester	35	18.0	-	-	80	21.1	-	-
HUDSON	188	18.8	-	-	311	31.1	-	-
MERCER	101	20.1	-	-	207	41.1	2	0.4
MIDDLESEX	193	23.0	-	-	297	35.3	6	0.8
MONMOUTH	63	15.8	-	-	162	40.5	-	-
MORRIS	73	20.0	-	-	120	32.7	-	-
OCEAN	61	18.1	-	-	124	36.8	-	-
PASSAIC	131	16.9	-	-	320	41.2	8	1.0
SOMERSET	53	21.1	-	-	103	41.2	3	1.3
UNION	200	23.1	-	-	292	33.7	1	0.1
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	42	14.7	15	5.4	108	38.2	-	-
BROOME	24	12.3	10	5.1	61	30.7	2	1.2
CHAUTAUQUA	18	11.2	9	5.5	41	25.9	-	-
CHEMUNG	18	13.3	5	3.6	55	39.7	-	-
DUTCHESS	39	13.3	18	6.2	86	29.4	-	-
ERIE	213	14.3	-	-	605	40.6	16	1.1
MONROE	120	9.8	48	3.9	394	32.2	46	3.8
NASSAU	395	4.5	-	-	1 115	12.7	16	0.2

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA	1 563	268	17.1	78	29.3	75	28.1
ONEIDA	1 441	202	14.0	41	20.5	65	32.2
ONONDAGA	4 135	854	20.7	223	26.1	133	15.6
ORANGE	2 140	227	10.6	1	0.4	108	47.6
OSWEGO	633	115	18.2	32	27.7	32	28.0
RENSSELAER	1 352	133	9.8	17	13.0	39	29.3
ROCKLAND	2 723	323	11.8	92	28.5	69	21.2
ST. LAWRENCE	552	99	18.0	28	27.8	25	24.9
SARATOGA	636	101	15.9	29	28.2	34	34.0
SCHENECTADY	1 031	110	10.6	6	5.0	44	39.9
STEUBEN	555	90	16.3	10	11.4	26	29.3
SUFFOLK	12 764	6 023	47.2	4 311	71.6	717	11.9
ULSTER	1 218	158	13.0	25	15.8	31	19.8
WESTCHESTER	6 943	1 615	23.3	340	21.0	384	23.7
NORTH CAROLINA							
BUNCOMBE	2 547	81	3.2	47	57.5	11	12.9
CUMBERLAND	4 242	149	3.5	97	65.0	17	11.1
DURHAM	2 659	50	1.9	36	73.0	1	1.5
FORSYTH	4 582	138	3.0	42	30.5	39	28.4
GASTON	3 083	92	3.0	51	55.1	13	13.8
GUILFORD	5 984	169	2.8	81	48.2	24	14.4
MECKLENBURG	8 384	330	3.9	178	53.9	52	15.8
ONSLOW	1 406	46	3.2	31	67.1	6	14.2
WAKE	3 377	67	2.0	48	72.4	2	2.3
OHIO:							
ALLEN	504	107	21.1	34	32.0	33	31.4
ASHTABULA	473	76	16.0	22	29.3	28	36.6
BUTLER	562	157	28.0	41	25.9	60	33.4
CLARK	438	107	24.4	31	29.4	38	35.5
CLERMONT	285	71	24.9	24	34.2	26	36.2
COLUMBIANA	380	80	21.0	19	23.8	37	46.9
CUYAHOGA	10 272	1 433	13.9	210	14.6	735	51.3
FRANKLIN	2 562	590	23.0	114	19.3	231	39.2
GREENE	491	121	24.6	43	35.8	43	35.7
HAMILTON	3 488	1 070	30.7	267	25.0	444	41.5
LAKE	886	153	17.3	35	23.1	59	38.7
LICKING	371	81	21.9	27	33.2	31	38.3
LORAIN	714	200	28.0	53	26.3	72	35.9
LUCAS	1 906	472	24.8	56	11.9	190	40.3
MAHONING	1 010	243	24.1	44	18.0	120	49.4
MONTGOMERY	2 437	722	29.6	174	24.1	268	37.1
PORTAGE	1 104	145	13.2	46	32.0	55	37.9
RICHLAND	436	106	24.4	33	31.3	33	30.9
STARK	1 341	320	23.8	77	24.0	97	30.5
SUMMIT	2 010	479	23.9	111	23.2	210	43.7
TRUMBULL	963	179	18.6	55	30.9	77	42.8
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE	388	33	8.5	13	40.6	9	28.2
OKLAHOMA	720	201	28.0	9	4.6	95	47.0
TULSA	939	207	22.0	25	12.0	92	44.2
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS	800	259	32.4	117	45.1	52	20.2
JACKSON	701	170	24.3	77	45.1	32	18.9
LANE	1 168	403	34.5	144	35.7	142	35.1
MARION	617	214	34.8	67	31.3	50	23.5
MULTNOMAH	3 072	1 263	41.1	548	43.3	257	20.3
WASHINGTON	710	279	39.3	134	47.9	39	13.8
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	6 915	1 748	25.3	407	23.3	699	40.0
BEAVER	387	193	50.0	41	21.4	80	41.3
BERKS	766	209	27.2	8	4.1	87	41.9
BLAIR	315	62	19.7	1	1.9	29	46.8
BUCKS	1 210	369	30.5	54	14.6	139	37.6
BUTLER	315	65	20.6	8	11.9	27	41.2
CAMBRIA	358	94	26.3	2	2.1	48	51.5
CENTRE	217	45	20.9	1	1.9	25	54.4
CHESTER	908	336	37.0	35	10.3	109	32.5
CUMBERLAND	398	93	23.4	3	3.3	40	43.1
DAUPHIN	661	233	35.2	8	3.3	96	41.2
DELAWARE	2 358	769	32.6	53	6.9	283	36.9
ERIE	766	232	30.3	9	4.0	86	47.1
FAYETTE	310	75	24.1	2	2.1	37	49.2
FRANKLIN	235	63	26.6	3	4.9	20	31.4
LACKAWANNA	571	155	27.1	2	1.5	78	50.5
LANCASTER	839	186	22.1	3	1.7	64	34.6
LAWRENCE	166	59	35.7	2	2.9	29	49.0
LEBANON	370	66	17.7	1	2.2	25	38.5
LEHIGH	910	186	20.4	1	0.6	71	38.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA	24	9.0	9	3.2	81	30.4	-	-
ONEIDA	30	14.7	9	4.6	57	28.0	-	-
ONONDAGA	96	11.2	-	-	399	46.8	3	0.3
ORANGE	42	18.3	-	-	76	33.7	-	-
OSWEGO	14	11.8	-	-	37	32.5	-	-
RENNESLAER	21	15.8	7	5.4	48	36.4	-	-
ROCKLAND	65	20.2	18	5.4	80	24.7	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	9	8.9	1	1.5	37	36.8	-	-
SARATOGA	15	14.6	-	-	24	23.2	-	-
SCHENECTADY	16	14.8	-	-	44	40.3	-	-
STEUBEN	13	14.3	1	1.5	39	43.5	-	-
SUFFOLK	310	5.1	-	-	664	11.0	20	0.3
ULSTER	20	12.5	-	0.3	82	51.6	-	-
WESTCHESTER	305	18.9	-	-	576	35.6	11	0.7
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	2	3.0	-	-	22	26.6	-	-
CUMBERLAND	4	2.5	-	-	32	21.3	-	-
DURHAM	1	2.6	-	-	11	22.9	-	-
FORSYTH	7	5.2	-	-	50	36.0	-	-
GASTON	3	3.0	-	-	24	26.6	1	1.6
GUILFORD	5	2.7	-	-	58	34.7	-	-
MECKLENBURG	-	-	-	-	73	22.0	27	8.2
ONSLOW	-	-	-	-	9	18.6	-	-
WAKE	4	6.1	-	-	13	19.2	-	-
OHIO:								
ALLEN	13	11.8	-	-	26	24.8	-	-
ASHTABULA	8	9.9	-	-	18	24.1	-	-
BUTLER	12	7.9	-	-	44	27.8	-	-
CLARK	9	8.6	-	-	28	26.6	-	-
CLERMONT	6	6.6	3	3.8	12	17.3	-	-
COLUMBIANA	9	11.7	3	3.9	11	13.7	-	-
CUYAHOGA	125	8.7	-	-	363	25.3	-	-
FRANKLIN	62	10.5	-	-	183	31.0	-	-
GREENE	14	11.2	-	-	21	17.3	-	-
HAMILTON	62	5.8	-	-	297	27.7	-	-
LAKE	14	9.1	-	-	45	29.0	-	-
LICKING	10	11.7	-	-	14	16.8	-	-
LORAIN	21	10.6	-	-	55	27.2	-	-
LUCAS	30	6.4	-	-	196	41.4	-	-
MAHONING	30	12.4	-	-	49	20.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY	75	10.4	-	-	206	28.5	-	-
PORTEAGE	13	8.7	3	2.1	28	19.3	-	-
PICHLAND	15	14.5	-	-	25	23.4	-	-
STARK	27	8.4	5	1.7	113	35.4	-	-
SUMMIT	39	8.2	-	-	119	24.9	-	-
TRUMBULL	14	7.9	-	-	33	18.5	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	-	-	-	-	13	31.2	-	-
OKLAHOMA	-	-	20	9.7	78	38.6	-	-
TULSA	-	-	11	5.3	80	38.5	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	27	10.2	-	-	63	24.5	-	-
JACKSON	16	9.1	-	-	46	26.9	-	-
LANE	56	13.9	-	-	61	15.2	-	-
MARION	29	13.7	-	-	68	31.5	-	-
MULTNOMAH	169	13.3	-	-	290	23.0	-	-
WASHINGTON	34	12.3	-	-	72	26.0	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	171	9.8	53	3.0	398	22.8	19	1.1
BEAVER	22	11.2	8	4.3	42	21.9	-	-
HERKS	24	11.3	9	4.3	80	38.5	-	-
BLAIR	7	10.8	3	5.6	22	34.9	-	-
BUCKS	60	16.3	20	5.5	96	26.0	-	-
BUTLER	8	13.1	2	3.5	20	30.3	-	-
CAMBRIA	13	13.8	5	5.1	26	27.5	-	-
CENTRE	5	11.2	1	1.3	14	31.2	-	-
CHESTER	43	12.8	17	5.2	132	39.2	-	-
CUMBERLAND	9	10.0	3	3.7	37	39.9	-	-
DAUPHIN	37	15.8	13	5.4	80	34.3	-	-
DELAWARE	120	15.6	27	3.4	286	37.2	-	-
ERIE	28	11.9	15	6.3	94	40.6	-	-
FAYETTE	9	11.7	3	4.4	24	32.6	-	-
FRANKLIN	5	7.9	3	4.4	32	51.4	-	-
LACKAWANNA	22	14.4	7	4.5	45	29.0	-	-
LANTCASTER	15	8.3	9	4.9	94	50.5	-	-
LAWRENCE	10	16.2	3	5.0	16	26.9	-	-
LEBANON	5	8.0	2	2.9	32	48.5	-	-
LEHIGH	28	14.8	8	4.3	78	42.2	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LUZERNE	944	193	20.4	7	3.4	94	48.7
LYCOMING	252	107	42.6	1	0.9	41	38.6
MERCER	161	75	46.4	2	3.3	32	42.5
MONTGOMERY	1 390	601	43.3	39	6.5	299	49.7
NORTHAMPTON	545	161	29.6	2	1.4	65	40.6
SCHUYLKILL	518	109	21.1	1	1.1	51	46.4
WASHINGTON	341	117	34.2	7	5.9	56	48.3
WESTMORELAND	778	207	26.7	26	12.8	91	44.0
YORK	504	169	33.5	16	9.5	77	45.3
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON	150	103	68.9	31	30.4	29	28.5
CHARLESTON	1 037	276	26.6	143	51.9	81	29.3
GREENVILLE	768	256	33.3	141	55.2	69	27.0
LEXINGTON	166	95	57.1	43	45.4	34	36.5
RICHLAND	1 633	286	17.5	114	40.0	113	39.4
SPARTANBURG	1 453	176	12.1	76	42.9	74	42.2
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON	3 779	292	7.7	116	39.6	86	29.5
KNOX	2 077	203	9.8	74	36.5	93	45.9
SHELBY	5 558	979	17.6	218	22.3	311	31.8
SULLIVAN	1 500	70	4.7	39	55.5	22	32.1
TEXAS:							
BELL	187	96	51.5	21	21.5	30	30.7
BEXAR	2 692	707	26.2	174	24.6	176	24.9
BRAZORIA	343	105	30.6	46	44.1	36	34.4
CAMERON	309	111	35.7	24	21.9	39	35.2
DALLAS	3 502	1 471	42.0	347	23.6	551	37.5
EL PASO	896	252	28.1	55	21.9	74	29.4
GALVESTON	628	183	29.1	48	26.4	74	40.6
HARRIS	6 892	2 340	34.0	455	19.4	907	38.8
HIDALGO	256	100	39.3	16	15.6	36	35.6
JEFFERSON	536	230	42.9	52	22.8	78	34.1
LUBBOCK	215	105	48.9	29	27.4	32	30.4
MCLENNAN	379	125	32.9	34	27.5	30	23.8
NUCES	1 428	220	15.4	50	22.7	90	41.0
SMITH	157	60	38.2	17	27.9	23	39.1
TARRANT	2 242	644	28.7	125	19.3	219	33.9
TAYLOR	112	59	52.5	12	20.3	27	46.5
TRAVIS	757	447	59.0	77	17.3	143	31.9
WICHITA	156	76	48.3	18	22.9	24	31.1
UTAH:							
DAVIS	193	51	26.4	26	50.0	7	12.9
SALT LAKE	2 803	629	22.4	370	58.9	75	11.9
UTAH	234	58	24.8	20	33.8	17	28.4
WEBER	424	76	17.9	28	36.7	10	13.7
VERMONT:							
CHITTENDEN	5	5	91.1	-	-	5	100.0
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON	4 336	727	16.8	564	77.5	45	6.2
FAIRFAX	19 950	1 239	0.2	911	73.5	191	15.4
HENRICO	4 095	421	10.3	322	76.5	33	7.8
PRINCE WILLIAM	4 268	234	5.5	158	67.2	34	14.7
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK	778	246	31.6	104	42.3	53	21.8
KING	4 374	1 671	38.2	597	35.7	402	24.1
KITSAP	567	142	24.9	51	35.8	33	23.6
PIERCE	1 447	537	37.1	234	43.7	103	19.3
SNOHOMISH	1 019	351	34.5	128	36.6	92	26.1
SPOKANE	916	421	45.9	169	40.1	92	21.9
YAKIMA	535	211	39.4	70	33.2	54	25.8
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL	204	72	35.2	22	30.9	23	31.4
KANAWHA	351	129	36.7	29	22.5	37	29.0
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN	713	165	23.2	113	68.3	22	13.6
DANE	1 433	387	27.0	139	35.9	108	27.8
KENOSHA	636	139	21.9	71	51.1	31	22.3
MARATHON	690	97	14.0	51	53.0	22	22.2
MILWAUKEE	9 107	1 405	15.4	303	21.5	516	36.7
OUTAGAMIE	614	94	15.3	49	52.5	20	21.4
RACINE	924	214	23.2	112	52.3	56	26.1
ROCK	702	160	22.8	49	30.4	36	22.3
WAUKESHA	999	230	23.0	98	42.9	61	26.4
WINNEBAGO	614	104	16.9	55	53.2	28	27.3

* Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.²As of September 15, 1975, the governments of Anchorage city and Greater Anchorage Area borough merged to form the city and borough of Anchorage.

Table 22. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LUZERNE	27	13.8	12	6.2	54	27.9	-	-
LYCOMING	11	9.8	4	3.4	51	47.2	-	-
MERCER	9	11.8	5	7.1	26	35.4	-	-
MONTGOMERY	75	12.4	23	3.8	166	27.5	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	19	11.6	4	2.4	71	44.0	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	15	13.9	8	7.4	34	31.2	-	-
WASHINGTON	14	11.7	5	4.4	35	29.7	-	-
WESTMORELAND	31	15.0	8	3.6	51	24.6	-	-
YORK	13	7.9	5	3.1	58	34.3	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	6	5.5	1	1.1	36	34.5	-	-
CHARLESTON	10	3.5	-	-	42	15.3	-	-
GREENVILLE	20	7.9	-	-	25	9.9	-	-
LEXINGTON	1	1.4	-	-	15	16.0	1	0.7
RICHLAND	24	8.4	8	2.8	27	9.4	-	-
SPARTANBURG	5	2.6	4	2.4	18	10.0	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	11	3.7	-	-	80	27.3	-	-
KNOX	7	3.3	-	-	29	14.2	-	-
SHELBY	29	5.0	40	4.1	370	37.8	11	1.1
SULLIVAN	2	3.5	-	-	6	8.9	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	24	24.5	-	-	22	23.2	-	-
BEXAR	129	18.2	-	-	223	31.5	6	0.8
BRAZORIA	10	9.5	-	-	13	12.0	-	-
CAMERON	16	14.5	-	-	31	28.4	-	-
DALLAS	169	11.5	-	-	403	27.4	-	-
EL PASO	29	11.7	-	-	70	28.0	23	9.0
GALVESTON	20	10.7	-	-	28	15.5	12	6.7
HARRIS	303	13.0	-	-	585	25.0	90	3.8
HIDALGO	14	14.4	-	-	35	34.4	-	-
JEFFERSON	41	18.0	-	-	58	25.1	-	-
LUBBOCK	21	20.4	-	-	23	21.8	-	-
MCLENNAN	14	11.2	-	-	47	37.5	-	-
NUCES	28	12.5	-	-	52	23.8	-	-
SMITH	9	14.6	-	-	11	18.4	-	-
TARRANT	138	21.4	7	1.1	152	23.6	4	0.6
TAYLOR	7	11.5	-	-	13	21.6	-	-
TRAVIS	53	11.9	-	-	174	39.0	-	-
WICHITA	11	13.9	-	-	24	32.0	-	-
UTAH:								
DAVIS	14	27.6	-	-	5	9.5	-	-
SALT LAKE	84	13.3	-	-	100	15.9	-	-
UTAH	11	19.7	-	-	11	18.1	-	-
WEBER	13	17.2	-	-	25	32.4	-	-
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTOM	25	3.4	-	-	80	11.1	13	1.8
FAIRFAX	55	4.5	-	-	76	6.2	5	0.4
HENRICO	22	5.2	-	-	44	10.5	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	16	7.0	-	-	26	11.1	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	23	9.2	9	3.8	56	23.0	1	-
KING	149	8.9	7	0.4	513	30.7	4	0.2
KITSAP	18	12.5	8	5.6	32	22.6	-	-
PIERCE	61	11.4	6	1.1	124	23.1	8	1.4
SNOHOMISH	28	8.1	3	0.8	98	27.9	2	0.5
SPOKANE	33	7.9	20	4.7	103	24.6	4	0.9
YAKIMA	23	10.7	-	-	64	30.3	1	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	11	15.2	-	-	16	22.6	-	-
GANAWHA	21	16.6	-	-	41	31.9	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	9	5.7	-	-	20	12.4	-	-
DAE	43	11.2	-	-	97	25.0	-	-
KENOSHA	16	11.6	-	-	21	15.1	-	-
MARATHON	7	7.0	-	-	17	17.7	-	-
MILWAUKEE	151	10.7	-	-	436	31.0	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	11	11.3	5	5.3	9	9.4	-	-
RACINE	24	11.2	-	-	22	10.4	-	-
ROCK	17	10.5	-	-	59	36.8	-	-
WAUKESHA	23	9.9	-	-	48	20.9	-	-
WINNEBAGO	13	13.0	-	-	7	6.5	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 23. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	3 033 132	2 977 487	2 772 469	205 018	64 317	132 376	8 325	55 645	36 239	19 406
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	827	820	802	18	16	2	-	7	-	7
JEFFERSON	7 671	7 671	7 320	351	283	68	-	-	-	-
MADISON	2 037	2 037	1 878	159	67	92	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	4 028	4 028	3 768	260	230	30	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 989	1 989	1 923	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	1 255	1 247	1 181	66	66	-	-	3	8	-
ALASKA:										
GREATER ANCHORAGE	2 217	392	385	7	7	-	-	1 825	85	1 740
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	33 671	33 671	23 585	10 086	1 118	8 968	-	-	-	-
PIMA	22 953	22 917	17 323	5 594	412	5 182	-	36	-	36
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	5 168	5 164	2 363	2 801	138	2 663	-	4	4	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	56 351	56 081	50 316	5 765	355	4 684	726	270	270	-
BUTTE	4 325	4 318	4 177	141	115	26	-	7	7	-
CONTRA COSTA	29 827	29 810	28 388	1 452	284	1 096	72	17	17	-
FRESNO	19 610	19 572	18 567	1 005	130	718	157	38	20	15
HUMBOLDT	5 311	5 311	5 231	80	60	20	-	-	-	-
KERN	18 318	18 318	16 947	1 371	205	1 166	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	364 116	359 122	339 751	19 371	4 438	14 460	473	4 994	4 994	-
MARIN	10 693	10 689	10 626	63	63	-	-	4	4	-
MERCED	3 942	3 938	3 577	361	179	182	-	4	4	-
MONTEREY	10 507	10 500	9 920	580	285	295	-	7	7	-
ORANGE	56 405	56 365	55 540	825	666	159	-	40	39	1
RIVERSIDE	30 038	29 876	28 315	1 561	590	971	-	162	-	162
SACRAMENTO	41 157	40 814	37 058	3 756	924	2 832	-	343	343	-
SAN BERNARDINO	36 206	36 168	35 482	686	611	75	-	38	38	-
SAN DIEGO	66 623	66 620	65 142	1 478	1 144	292	42	3	-	3
SAN JOAQUIN	15 222	14 588	14 364	224	224	-	-	634	461	173
SAN LUIS OBISPO	5 361	5 352	5 046	306	173	133	-	9	9	-
SAN MATEO	27 081	27 081	26 269	812	309	503	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	15 590	15 565	14 717	848	475	373	-	25	25	-
SANTA CLARA	49 508	49 508	44 054	5 454	435	5 019	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	6 761	6 750	6 614	136	67	69	-	11	11	-
SOLANO	7 710	7 703	7 133	570	149	421	-	7	6	1
SONOMA	11 436	11 436	9 318	2 118	213	1 905	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	10 509	10 393	10 038	355	110	197	48	116	116	-
TULARE	8 966	8 960	8 393	567	309	241	17	6	6	-
VENTURA	21 953	21 721	20 651	1 070	305	765	-	232	32	200
YOLO	4 926	4 897	4 568	329	225	104	-	29	2	27
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	2 547	2 547	2 483	64	-	64	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	2 483	2 439	2 264	175	98	77	-	44	-	44
BOULDER	2 334	2 334	1 586	748	56	692	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	2 668	2 668	2 636	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	2 873	2 873	2 766	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	1 160	1 160	1 115	45	45	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	1 217	1 217	1 037	180	79	1	100	-	-	-
WELD	956	956	955	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	4 406	4 406	4 207	199	199	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	4 644	4 644	3 551	1 093	283	810	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	3 929	3 929	3 727	202	202	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	11 694	11 694	10 402	1 292	977	315	-	-	-	-
DADE	53 107	53 107	51 560	1 547	1 289	258	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	5 714	5 640	4 830	810	663	127	-	74	70	4
HILLSBOROUGH	12 445	12 445	12 211	234	170	64	-	-	-	-
LEE	3 031	3 031	2 752	279	227	52	-	-	-	-
LEON	2 210	2 210	2 095	115	115	-	-	-	-	-
MANATEE	1 816	1 816	1 710	106	106	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	9 698	9 698	8 543	1 155	255	900	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	10 112	10 112	9 514	598	368	230	-	-	-	-
PASCO	2 863	2 863	2 510	353	353	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	9 937	9 937	8 829	1 108	386	722	-	-	-	-
POLK	6 478	6 478	4 167	2 311	318	1 973	20	-	-	-
SARASOTA	4 705	4 705	3 055	1 650	282	538	830	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	2 546	2 546	2 019	527	162	365	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	4 227	4 227	4 022	205	205	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	3 573	3 573	2 874	699	153	98	448	-	-	-
CHATTHAM	3 695	3 645	3 579	66	66	-	-	50	10	40
CLAYTON	3 813	3 813	3 610	173	173	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	6 150	6 132	5 270	862	237	625	-	-	18	-
DE KALB	12 241	12 241	12 083	158	158	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	18 020	16 362	15 301	1 061	290	771	-	1 658	-	1 658
RICHMOND	3 577	3 576	3 412	164	135	29	-	1	-	1

Table 23. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
IDAHO:									
ADA	2 720	2 720	1 660	1 060	95	965	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:									
CHAMPAIGN	1 695	1 695	1 651	44	84	-	-	-	-
COOK	101 358	96 341	84 698	11 643	851	10 792	-	5 017	5 017
DU PAGE	6 275	6 275	5 995	280	157	123	-	-	-
KANE	3 594	3 594	3 391	203	78	125	-	-	-
LAKE	5 150	5 150	4 957	193	192	1	-	-	-
LA SALLE	2 029	2 007	1 031	976	39	937	-	22	22
MCHENRY	1 879	1 857	1 775	82	82	-	-	22	22
MCLEAN	1 349	1 341	1 304	37	37	-	-	8	8
MACON	1 678	1 678	1 636	40	40	-	-	-	-
MADISON	3 276	3 269	3 096	171	159	12	-	7	3
PEORIA	2 771	2 761	2 631	129	18	111	-	10	10
ROCK ISLAND	2 021	1 978	1 931	43	36	7	-	43	43
ST. CLAIR	3 135	2 530	2 506	24	24	-	-	605	605
SANGAMON	2 205	2 205	2 147	58	45	13	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	1 224	1 224	1 181	39	39	-	-	-	-
WILL	3 210	3 207	2 999	208	208	-	-	3	3
WINNEBAGO	3 532	3 532	3 465	67	67	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:									
ALLEN	5 216	4 874	4 704	170	169	-	1	312	332
DELAWARE	1 247	1 155	1 137	18	11	7	-	92	88
ELKHART	1 768	1 719	1 310	109	109	-	-	49	47
LAKE	7 109	6 655	6 565	90	90	-	-	454	423
LA PORTE	2 189	2 184	1 294	890	65	825	-	5	3
MADISON	1 355	1 268	1 206	62	62	-	-	87	73
ST. JOSEPH	3 226	3 220	3 095	125	125	-	-	6	6
TIPECANOE	1 093	1 078	1 011	67	67	-	-	15	15
VANDERBURGH	2 505	2 407	2 318	89	89	-	-	98	58
VIGO	1 491	1 476	1 419	57	57	-	-	15	15
IOWA:									
BLACK HAWK	2 105	2 105	2 074	31	31	-	-	-	-
LINN	2 305	2 265	2 104	161	29	132	-	40	40
POLK	6 483	6 071	6 060	11	11	-	-	412	388
SCOTT	1 827	1 620	1 565	55	55	-	-	207	119
WOODBURY	1 416	1 373	1 354	19	10	9	-	43	43
KANSAS:									
JOHNSON	3 446	3 442	3 189	253	253	-	-	4	4
SEDWICK	6 256	6 256	4 705	1 551	244	1 307	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	2 783	2 783	2 595	188	115	73	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	2 299	2 296	2 124	172	32	140	-	3	3
KENTUCKY:									
JEFFERSON	20 388	19 983	12 518	7 465	1 299	6 166	-	405	405
KENTON	2 181	2 181	1 311	870	427	443	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:									
CADDY	3 873	3 854	3 504	350	245	105	-	19	4
CALCASIEU	3 723	3 722	3 575	147	147	-	-	1	1
JEFFERSON	13 372	13 372	12 085	1 287	836	168	283	-	-
LAFAYETTE	2 355	2 355	2 120	235	235	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	1 967	1 957	1 889	68	55	13	-	10	10
RAPIDES	2 468	2 460	1 808	652	73	579	-	8	8
MAINE:									
CUMBERLAND	1 329	1 328	1 145	183	17	166	-	1	1
PENOBSCT	766	766	673	93	71	22	-	-	-
YORK	586	586	582	4	4	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:									
ANNE ARUNDEL	9 986	9 986	9 628	358	358	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	25 181	25 181	24 686	495	145	350	-	1	1
HARFORD	2 043	2 041	1 915	126	74	52	-	2	2
MONTGOMERY	23 624	23 568	22 546	1 022	127	895	-	56	56
PRINCE GEORGES	24 335	24 335	23 719	616	616	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	641	639	583	56	21	35	-	2	2
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BARNSTABLE	2 627	2 624	2 546	78	77	1	-	3	3
BERKSHIRE	1 664	1 664	1 624	40	20	20	-	-	-
BRISTOL	4 961	4 961	4 810	151	74	77	-	-	-
ESSEX	6 694	6 684	6 624	60	58	2	-	10	10
HAMDEN	9 908	9 906	6 538	-	3 368	-	-	2	2
HAMPSHIRE	1 683	1 683	1 650	25	25	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	18 905	18 905	17 826	1 079	27	1 052	-	9	9
NORFOLK	6 340	6 331	6 285	46	40	6	-	9	9
PLYMOUTH	6 087	6 087	4 873	1 214	103	1 111	-	-	-
WORCESTER	8 394	8 394	8 142	252	45	207	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 23. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
MICHIGAN:									
BAY	2 365	2 281	2 218	63	50	13	-	84	75
BERRIEN	5 016	4 811	4 415	396	196	200	-	205	119
CALHOUN	3 592	3 558	3 388	170	170	-	-	34	28
GENESEE	11 493	10 921	10 779	142	141	1	-	572	460
INGHAM	5 438	5 428	5 314	118	95	19	-	10	8
JACKSON	3 183	3 072	2 903	169	166	3	-	111	111
KALAMAZOO	5 360	5 255	5 108	147	147	-	-	105	105
KENT	6 895	6 827	6 208	619	349	270	-	68	64
MACOMB	11 285	11 088	11 012	76	76	-	-	197	181
MONROE	3 059	2 979	2 784	195	89	106	-	80	41
MUSKEGON	3 742	3 566	3 264	302	66	236	-	176	122
OAKLAND	17 652	17 464	17 032	432	160	272	-	188	165
OTTAWA	2 082	2 017	1 941	76	69	7	-	65	52
SAGINAW	4 947	4 854	4 744	110	97	13	-	93	93
ST. CLAIR	2 820	2 760	2 718	42	42	-	-	60	60
WASHTENAW	7 073	7 006	6 810	196	196	-	-	67	42
WAYNE	53 119	50 572	50 400	172	135	37	-	2 547	1 612
MINNESOTA:									935
ANOKA	2 885	2 885	2 551	334	334	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	1 800	1 721	1 661	63	60	-	-	79	79
HENNEPIN	19 165	18 932	18 664	268	268	-	-	233	233
RAMSEY	9 446	9 446	9 108	338	93	245	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	5 916	5 348	4 951	39	218	179	-	568	568
MISSISSIPPI:									
HARRISON	1 937	1 937	1 839	98	98	-	-	-	-
HINDS	1 549	1 549	1 466	83	81	2	-	-	-
JACKSON	987	987	933	54	54	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:									
CLAY	1 480	1 478	1 444	34	20	14	-	2	-
GREENE	1 390	1 386	1 208	178	80	98	-	4	3
JACKSON	12 248	12 184	12 017	167	167	-	-	64	64
JEFFERSON	1 063	660	985	75	75	-	-	3	3
ST. CHARLES	1 212	1 212	1 142	70	70	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	21 401	21 401	20 593	808	716	92	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:									
DOUGLAS	5 748	5 748	5 573	175	175	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	2 652	2 581	2 481	100	100	-	-	71	71
NEVADA:									
CLARK	26 329	26 329	25 973	356	356	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	6 298	6 298	6 105	193	193	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
HILLSBOROUGH	1 625	1 524	1 400	124	17	107	-	101	87
ROCKINGHAM	934	872	834	38	38	-	-	62	60
NEW JERSEY:									
ATLANTIC	4 447	4 447	4 001	446	22	424	-	-	-
BERGEN	12 975	12 975	12 783	192	120	72	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	4 193	4 193	3 564	629	-	629	-	-	-
CAMDEN	8 620	8 620	8 556	64	-	64	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	2 522	2 522	2 122	400	53	347	-	-	-
ESSEX	36 969	36 969	36 752	217	136	81	-	-	-
GLoucester	2 546	2 546	2 515	31	6	25	-	-	-
HUDSON	13 712	13 712	13 651	61	61	-	-	-	-
MERCER	9 521	9 521	8 626	895	5	890	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	11 100	11 100	10 817	285	41	242	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	5 502	5 482	5 420	62	-	62	-	20	20
MORRIS	4 786	4 786	4 687	99	99	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	4 115	4 115	4 081	34	34	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	11 039	11 039	11 039	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	3 366	3 366	3 162	204	115	89	-	-	-
UNION	12 141	12 141	11 444	697	130	256	311	-	-
NEW MEXICO:									
BERNALILLO	2 847	2 489	2 341	148	133	-	15	358	355
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY	6 282	5 950	5 799	151	151	-	-	332	332
BROOME	2 967	2 830	2 758	72	72	-	-	137	137
CHAUTAUQUA	2 317	2 250	2 025	225	68	157	-	67	67
CHENMING	1 073	2 018	1 832	186	152	34	-	55	55
DUTCHESS	4 127	3 939	3 860	79	79	-	-	188	188
ERIE	24 338	22 823	22 450	373	373	-	-	1 515	1 515
MONROE	17 648	16 191	15 791	400	376	24	-	1 457	1 457
NASSAU	126 566	124 001	121 469	2 532	1 993	392	147	2 565	2 219

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 23. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED									
NIAGARA	4 248	3 951	3 842	109	106	3	-	297	296
ONEIDA	3 917	3 680	2 818	862	55	807	-	237	237
ONONDAGA	12 793	11 839	11 087	752	252	500	-	954	954
ORANGE	4 054	3 791	3 753	38	38	-	-	263	263
OSWEGO	1 720	1 614	1 405	209	39	170	-	106	106
RENSSELAER	2 357	2 217	2 182	35	35	-	-	140	140
ROCKLAND	6 098	6 085	4 793	1 292	110	1 182	-	13	13
ST. LAWRENCE	1 382	1 368	1 338	30	30	-	-	14	14
SARATOGA	1 389	1 250	1 220	30	30	-	-	109	109
SCHEMECTADY	1 931	1 766	1 673	93	93	-	-	165	165
STEUBEN	1 507	1 397	1 304	93	70	23	-	110	110
SUFFOLK	81 429	81 207	79 202	2 005	2 005	-	-	222	222
ULSTER	2 257	2 177	1 935	242	30	212	-	80	80
WESTCHESTER	24 532	24 377	21 736	2 641	358	2 283	-	155	151
NORTH CAROLINA:									
BUNCOMBE	1 678	1 616	1 406	211	36	164	11	62	-
CUMBERLAND	4 581	4 512	2 068	2 444	249	747	1 448	69	69
DURHAM	1 090	1 090	877	213	101	110	2	-	-
FORSYTH	3 131	3 084	1 908	1 176	257	916	3	47	47
GASTON	2 060	1 895	1 733	162	116	18	28	165	-
GUILFORD	3 162	3 162	2 773	389	250	6	133	-	165
MECKLENBURG	6 050	5 757	5 181	576	344	232	-	293	-
ONSLOW	976	971	738	233	40	193	-	5	-
WAKE	1 204	1 121	1 050	71	71	-	-	83	68
OHIO:									
ALLEN	2 511	2 505	1 379	1 126	82	1 044	-	6	-
ASHTABULA	1 316	1 316	1 191	125	125	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	2 617	2 617	2 517	100	100	-	-	-	-
CLARK	2 002	2 002	1 618	384	69	95	220	-	-
CLERMONT	1 118	1 108	989	119	71	48	-	10	-
COLUMBIANA	1 110	1 110	1 084	26	26	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	26 675	24 518	22 266	2 252	330	1 922	-	2 157	2 157
FRANKLIN	9 865	9 658	8 314	1 344	772	572	-	207	207
GREENE	1 544	1 544	1 428	116	116	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	16 745	15 311	14 914	397	397	-	-	1 434	250
LAKE	2 689	2 689	2 304	385	385	-	-	-	1 184
LICKING	1 368	1 368	1 270	98	61	72	-	-	-
LORAIN	3 123	3 017	2 723	294	294	-	-	106	106
LUCAS	8 249	8 135	6 518	1 617	136	1 481	-	114	6
MAHONING	3 223	3 208	2 980	228	191	-	-	15	108
MONTGOMERY	10 504	10 032	9 984	48	48	-	-	472	472
PORTEAGE	2 025	1 927	1 819	108	108	-	-	98	98
RICHLAND	2 140	2 060	1 614	446	40	406	-	80	80
STARK	5 229	5 199	3 812	1 387	395	992	-	30	30
SUMMIT	6 081	6 055	6 013	42	42	-	-	26	26
TRUMBULL	2 733	2 733	2 486	247	167	80	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:									
COMANCHE	920	795	753	42	42	-	-	125	125
OKLAHOMA	4 169	3 482	3 337	125	120	5	-	707	707
ULSA	4 313	3 696	3 314	382	80	302	-	617	614
OREGON:									
CLACKAMAS	3 630	3 630	3 318	312	196	116	-	-	-
JACKSON	2 270	2 270	2 111	159	64	95	-	-	-
LANE	5 743	5 743	5 632	111	85	26	-	-	-
MARION	2 961	2 961	2 928	33	37	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	19 635	19 514	19 342	172	77	75	20	121	38
WASHINGTON	3 840	3 840	3 794	46	46	-	-	-	83
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLEGHENY	30 251	24 029	23 536	493	489	4	-	6 222	6 222
BEAVER	3 003	2 941	2 896	45	45	-	-	62	62
BERKS	3 370	3 001	2 918	83	83	-	-	369	369
BLAIR	1 359	1 276	1 074	202	12	190	-	83	56
BUCKS	6 598	6 435	6 286	149	59	90	-	163	163
BUTLER	1 121	1 084	1 076	8	8	-	-	37	37
CAMBRIA	1 562	1 517	1 499	18	18	-	-	45	45
CENTRE	975	961	955	6	6	-	-	14	13
CHESTER	5 176	5 113	4 988	125	125	-	-	63	63
CUMBERLAND	1 467	1 376	1 368	8	8	-	-	91	91
DAUPHIN	4 551	4 284	4 060	224	224	-	-	267	267
DELAWARE	10 406	9 726	9 241	485	54	431	-	680	680
ERIE	4 555	4 174	4 127	47	47	-	-	381	280
FAYETTE	1 259	1 202	1 099	103	27	76	-	57	57
FRANKLIN	1 058	983	983	"	-	-	-	75	75
LACKAWANNA	2 219	2 093	2 047	46	-	46	-	126	126
LANCASTER	3 031	2 829	2 779	53	16	34	-	202	202
LAWRENCE	909	865	845	20	12	8	-	44	44
LEBANON	957	937	922	15	15	-	-	20	20
LEHIGH	2 644	2 542	2 542	53	53	-	-	248	248

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 23. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED									
LUZERNE	2 695	2 602	2 552	50	12	38	-	93	93
LYCOMING	1 564	1 468	1 458	10	10	-	-	96	90
MERCER	1 481	1 223	1 161	62	25	37	-	258	258
MONTGOMERY	8 852	8 643	8 421	222	70	152	-	209	209
NORTHAMPTON	2 844	2 665	2 447	218	16	202	-	179	179
SCHUYLKILL	1 928	1 456	1 358	98	2	96	-	472	472
WASHINGTON	2 037	1 801	1 775	26	26	-	-	236	136
WESTMORELAND	4 202	3 884	2 685	1 199	47	1 152	-	318	176
YORK	2 923	2 761	2 745	16	16	-	-	162	160
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
ANDERSON	1 718	1 718	1 590	128	89	-	39	-	-
CHARLESTON	4 681	4 681	4 462	219	185	34	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	7 642	7 629	3 218	4 411	216	4 130	63	13	-
LEXINGTON	2 409	2 405	1 258	1 147	94	1 053	-	4	4
RICHLAND	4 476	4 354	3 862	492	381	111	-	122	106
SMARTANBURG	2 846	2 748	2 166	582	53	1	528	98	34
TENNESSEE:									
HAMILTON	8 687	8 687	3 911	4 776	84	4 692	-	-	-
KNOX	4 416	4 416	2 764	1 652	90	1 562	-	-	-
SHELBY	17 491	17 192	16 318	874	314	560	-	299	229
SULLIVAN	1 272	1 272	1 204	68	38	30	-	-	70
TEXAS:									
BELL	1 509	1 509	1 209	300	214	37	49	-	-
BEXAR	11 764	11 764	9 369	2 395	256	2 139	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	1 487	1 454	1 288	166	166	-	-	33	33
CAMP. IN.	2 050	2 050	1 911	139	137	2	-	-	-
DALLAS	24 124	24 124	23 028	1 096	741	355	-	-	-
EL PASO.	4 514	4 514	4 444	70	30	40	-	-	-
GALVESTON.	2 395	2 395	2 161	234	14	220	-	-	-
HARRIS	35 828	35 828	34 241	1 587	1 483	104	-	-	-
HIDALGO.	2 093	2 093	1 669	424	110	192	122	-	-
JEFFERSON.	3 094	3 054	2 960	94	92	2	40	40	-
LUBBOCK.	1 665	1 631	1 528	103	68	35	34	34	-
MCLENNAN	1 845	1 845	1 817	28	28	-	-	-	-
NUECES	4 982	4 980	3 130	1 850	224	-	1 626	2	1
SMITH.	804	804	784	20	2	18	-	-	-
TARRANT.	8 733	8 733	8 356	377	377	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR.	974	950	868	82	82	-	24	24	-
TRAVIS.	5 579	5 502	5 076	426	401	25	77	77	-
WICHITA.	1 124	1 124	1 065	59	59	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
DAVIS.	734	702	674	28	28	-	32	-	32
SALT LAKE.	7 578	7 578	7 345	233	228	5	-	-	-
UTAH.	688	688	644	44	44	-	-	-	-
WEBER.	1 208	1 208	1 172	36	32	1	3	-	-
VERMONT:									
CHITTENDEN	99	99	88	11	11	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ARLINGTON.	11 466	11 010	10 560	450	44	406	-	456	456
FAIRFAX.	19 397	19 089	18 483	606	394	-	212	308	204
HENRICO.	5 662	5 656	4 977	679	511	77	91	6	6
PRINCE WILLIAM	2 997	2 884	2 756	128	128	-	-	113	103
WASHINGTON:									
CLARK.	3 181	3 181	3 151	30	8	22	-	-	-
KING.	24 747	24 747	23 799	948	539	409	-	-	-
KITSAP.	1 972	1 972	1 864	108	34	74	-	-	-
PIERCE.	7 882	7 801	7 069	732	725	7	-	81	-
SNOHCMISH.	6 129	6 129	5 371	758	758	-	-	-	81
SPOKANE.	5 736	5 736	5 435	301	301	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA.	2 419	2 419	2 293	126	126	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CABELL.	1 385	1 385	1 355	30	24	6	-	-	-
KANAWHA.	2 329	2 329	2 241	88	50	38	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:									
BROWN.	2 467	2 377	2 285	92	92	-	-	90	90
DANE.	5 848	5 612	5 426	186	186	-	-	236	158
KENOSHA.	2 277	2 159	2 021	138	125	13	-	118	118
MARATHON.	1 424	1 360	1 272	88	88	-	-	64	64
MILWAUKEE.	20 249	19 998	19 788	210	197	13	-	251	251
OUTAGAMIE.	1 671	1 538	1 484	54	54	-	-	133	133
RACINE.	3 089	2 966	2 864	102	102	-	-	123	123
ROCK.	2 088	2 191	2 191	-	-	-	-	97	97
WAUKESHA.	3 058	2 942	2 830	107	103	4	-	116	107
WINNEBAGO.	1 498	1 410	1 379	31	31	-	-	88	88

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section III. POLICE PROTECTION

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	8 325 537	8 325 537	7 898 748	426 788	130 379	3 565	126 814
STATES	1 577 889	1 512 130	1 390 719	121 411	65 759	(X)	65 759
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 817 005	6 813 407	6 508 029	305 377	64 620	3 565	61 055
COUNTIES	1 303 993	1 294 838	1 209 505	85 333	9 155	1 464	7 692
MUNICIPALITIES	5 574 033	5 518 569	5 298 524	220 044	55 465	2 101	53 364
ALABAMA	89 348	89 348	83 730	5 618	201	178	22
STATE	22 396	22 387	20 476	1 911	9	(X)	9
LOCAL, TOTAL	67 179	66 961	63 254	3 707	192	178	13
COUNTIES	11 128	10 986	10 194	792	142	142	-
MUNICIPALITIES	56 024	55 975	53 060	2 915	49	36	13
ALASKA	27 090	27 090	25 690	1 400	1 866	9	1 858
STATE	16 734	16 616	15 564	1 052	118	(X)	118
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 483	10 474	10 126	348	1 748	9	1 740
BOROUGHS	1 789	50	50	-	1 740	-	1 740
MUNICIPALITIES	10 433	10 424	10 076	348	9	9	-
ARIZONA	117 976	117 976	101 357	16 619	74	8	67
STATE	32 671	32 671	27 644	5 027	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	85 312	85 305	73 713	11 592	74	8	67
COUNTIES	19 532	19 516	17 039	2 477	16	1	15
MUNICIPALITIES	65 846	65 788	56 674	9 114	58	7	52
ARKANSAS	36 732	36 732	32 275	4 457	233	38	195
STATE	10 969	10 941	8 538	2 403	28	(X)	28
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 829	25 791	23 737	2 054	205	38	167
COUNTIES	6 383	6 362	5 913	449	21	15	6
MUNICIPALITIES	19 613	19 429	17 824	1 605	184	23	161
CALIFORNIA	1 137 706	1 137 706	1 081 368	56 338	30 878	373	30 504
STATE	230 906	221 591	204 691	16 900	9 315	(X)	9 315
LOCAL, TOTAL	916 488	916 115	876 677	39 438	21 563	373	21 189
COUNTIES	273 764	273 270	258 210	15 060	494	313	181
MUNICIPALITIES	663 913	642 845	618 467	24 378	21 068	60	21 008
COLORADO	100 830	100 830	93 687	7 143	62	1	61
STATE	16 128	16 102	14 363	1 739	26	(X)	26
LOCAL, TOTAL	84 729	84 728	79 324	5 404	36	1	35
COUNTIES	10 765	10 765	10 119	646	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	73 999	73 964	69 205	4 759	35	1	35
CONNECTICUT	117 236	117 236	112 499	4 737	2 158	679	1 479
STATE	23 881	22 596	21 141	1 455	1 285	(X)	1 285
LOCAL, TOTAL	95 319	94 640	91 358	3 282	873	679	194
MUNICIPALITIES	95 513	94 640	91 358	3 282	873	679	194
DELAWARE	22 064	22 064	20 680	1 384	15	15	-
STATE	10 101	10 101	9 015	1 086	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 978	11 963	11 665	298	15	15	-
COUNTIES	3 529	3 514	3 320	194	15	15	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8 449	8 449	8 345	104	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	97 056	97 056	93 030	4 026	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	97 056	97 056	93 030	4 026	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	97 056	97 056	93 030	4 026	-	-	-
FLORIDA	314 507	314 507	288 123	26 384	89	39	50
STATE	48 275	48 275	43 075	5 200	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	266 271	266 232	245 048	21 184	89	39	50
COUNTIES	94 726	94 722	85 524	9 198	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	171 595	171 510	159 524	11 986	85	39	46
GEORGIA	142 785	142 785	132 259	10 526	1 977	44	1 933
STATE	30 838	30 838	27 915	2 923	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	111 991	111 947	104 344	7 603	1 977	44	1 933
COUNTIES	36 719	34 915	32 147	2 768	1 804	2	1 802
MUNICIPALITIES	77 205	77 032	72 197	4 835	173	43	131

See footnotes at end of table.

POLICE PROTECTION

175

Table 24. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	36 608	36 608	35 404	1 205	7	-	7
STATE	228	228	182	46	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	36 380	36 380	35 222	1 159	7	-	7
COUNTIES	9 479	9 472	9 076	397	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES	26 908	26 908	26 146	762	-	-	-
IDAHO	20 224	20 224	18 898	1 326	237	3	234
STATE	4 799	4 732	4 244	488	57	(X)	67
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 495	15 492	14 654	838	170	3	167
COUNTIES	5 311	5 308	4 880	427	4	1	3
MUNICIPALITIES	10 350	10 184	9 774	411	166	2	164
ILLINOIS	513 159	513 159	493 166	19 993	3 430	45	3 385
STATE	63 807	61 186	58 612	2 574	2 621	(X)	2 621
LOCAL, TOTAL	452 018	451 973	434 554	17 419	809	45	764
COUNTIES	39 895	39 833	37 498	2 335	62	-	62
MUNICIPALITIES	412 887	412 140	397 056	15 084	747	45	702
INDIANA	131 775	131 775	121 796	9 979	27	5	23
STATE	33 409	33 409	29 009	4 400	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	98 371	98 366	92 787	5 579	27	5	23
COUNTIES	15 238	15 231	13 562	1 669	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES	83 156	83 135	79 225	3 910	21	5	16
IOWA	68 954	68 954	63 448	5 506	681	13	668
STATE	19 107	19 032	16 427	2 605	75	(X)	75
LOCAL, TOTAL	49 935	49 922	47 021	2 901	606	13	593
COUNTIES	9 435	9 426	8 957	469	8	3	5
MUNICIPALITIES	41 094	40 495	38 064	2 431	598	10	588
KANSAS	55 592	55 592	52 629	2 963	1 188	24	1 165
STATE	11 154	11 154	10 356	798	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	44 462	44 438	42 273	2 165	1 188	24	1 165
COUNTIES	9 529	9 511	8 787	725	17	3	15
MUNICIPALITIES	36 098	34 927	33 487	1 440	1 171	21	1 150
KENTUCKY	85 285	85 285	77 351	7 934	2 199	-	2 199
STATE	24 116	22 463	19 318	3 145	1 653	(X)	1 653
LOCAL, TOTAL	62 822	62 822	58 033	4 789	543	-	546
COUNTIES	17 859	17 383	15 053	2 330	476	-	476
MUNICIPALITIES	45 509	45 439	42 980	2 459	70	-	70
LOUISIANA	135 579	135 579	127 000	8 579	224	15	209
STATE	32 889	32 835	30 162	2 673	54	(X)	54
LOCAL, TOTAL	102 759	102 744	96 838	5 906	170	15	155
PARISHES	38 066	38 027	35 991	2 036	39	6	34
MUNICIPALITIES	64 847	64 717	60 847	3 870	130	9	121
MAINE	25 982	25 982	24 354	1 629	25	1	24
STATE	8 297	8 297	7 738	559	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 686	17 685	16 616	1 070	25	1	24
COUNTIES	2 283	2 282	2 111	171	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	15 427	15 403	14 504	899	24	-	24
MARYLAND	185 916	185 916	180 421	5 495	24 912	306	24 607
STATE	62 904	38 653	36 219	2 434	24 251	(X)	24 251
LOCAL, TOTAL	147 569	147 263	144 202	3 061	661	306	356
COUNTIES	71 654	71 064	68 672	2 392	590	306	284
MUNICIPALITIES	76 271	76 199	75 530	669	71	-	71
MASSACHUSETTS	281 883	281 883	273 582	8 301	1 673	-	1 673
STATE	40 860	39 220	37 633	1 587	1 640	(X)	1 640
LOCAL, TOTAL	242 663	242 663	235 949	6 714	33	-	33
COUNTIES	1 135	1 131	1 072	59	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	241 561	241 532	234 877	6 655	29	-	29
MICHIGAN	391 059	391 059	372 012	19 047	5 804	26	5 778
STATE	66 905	64 954	59 604	5 350	1 951	(X)	1 951
LOCAL, TOTAL	326 131	326 105	312 408	13 697	3 853	26	3 664
COUNTIES	44 151	43 962	41 636	2 326	189	22	187
MUNICIPALITIES	285 807	282 143	270 772	11 371	3 664	3	3 660

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	110 812	110 812	104 842	5 970	5 318	23	5 295
STATE	22 184	18 087	17 080	1 007	4 097	(X)	4 097
LOCAL, TOTAL	92 748	92 725	87 762	4 963	1 221	23	1 198
COUNTIES	22 242	22 082	19 695	2 387	160	-	160
MUNICIPALITIES	71 704	70 643	68 067	2 576	1 061	23	1 038
MISSISSIPPI	53 383	53 383	46 369	7 014	74	16	57
STATE	20 267	20 267	16 668	3 599	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	33 133	33 116	29 701	3 415	74	16	57
COUNTIES	8 652	8 641	7 038	1 602	12	4	8
MUNICIPALITIES	24 537	24 476	22 663	1 813	62	12	49
MISSOURI	164 416	164 416	157 220	7 196	1 107	15	1 093
STATE	24 603	24 188	22 300	1 888	415	(X)	415
LOCAL, TOTAL	140 243	140 228	134 920	5 308	692	15	678
COUNTIES	20 704	20 639	19 587	1 052	65	4	61
MUNICIPALITIES	120 216	119 589	115 333	4 256	628	10	617
MONTANA	19 400	19 400	17 548	1 852	201	5	196
STATE	4 189	4 134	3 797	337	55	(X)	55
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 271	15 266	13 751	1 515	146	5	141
COUNTIES	5 919	5 917	5 540	377	2	1	1
MUNICIPALITIES	9 493	9 349	8 211	1 138	144	4	140
NEBRASKA	40 445	40 445	38 099	2 346	219	26	193
STATE	9 488	9 488	8 531	957	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 984	30 957	29 568	1 389	219	26	193
COUNTIES	5 715	5 711	5 267	444	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	25 461	25 246	24 301	945	215	26	188
NEVADA	39 690	39 690	36 368	3 322	10 590	-	10 590
STATE	3 911	3 911	3 431	480	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 779	35 779	32 937	2 842	10 590	-	10 590
COUNTIES	23 405	23 405	22 728	677	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22 963	22 373	20 209	2 164	10 590	-	10 590
NEW HAMPSHIRE	22 794	22 794	21 760	1 034	1 259	3	1 256
STATE	6 858	5 619	5 192	427	1 239	(X)	1 239
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 177	17 175	16 568	607	20	3	17
COUNTIES	1 085	1 068	994	74	17	-	17
MUNICIPALITIES	16 110	16 107	15 574	533	3	3	1
NEW JERSEY	383 058	383 058	369 397	13 661	8 361	254	8 107
STATE	65 905	58 446	51 605	6 841	7 459	(X)	7 459
LOCAL, TOTAL	324 866	324 612	317 792	6 820	902	254	648
COUNTIES	19 991	19 991	18 863	1 128	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	305 523	304 621	298 929	5 692	902	254	648
NEW MEXICO	37 885	37 885	36 082	1 802	429	-	429
STATE	10 729	10 416	9 844	572	313	(X)	313
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 469	27 469	26 238	1 230	116	-	116
COUNTIES	5 282	5 190	4 847	343	93	-	93
MUNICIPALITIES	22 302	22 279	21 391	888	23	-	23
NEW YORK	1 180 757	1 180 757	1 149 471	31 286	8 264	85	8 179
STATE	110 434	108 999	105 023	3 976	1 435	(X)	1 435
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 071 843	1 071 758	1 044 448	27 310	6 829	85	6 744
COUNTIES	174 617	174 568	168 651	5 917	49	-	49
MUNICIPALITIES	903 970	897 190	875 797	21 393	6 780	85	6 695
NORTH CAROLINA	144 227	144 227	132 688	11 539	1 392	100	1 292
STATE	39 977	39 477	34 867	4 610	500	(X)	500
LOCAL, TOTAL	104 850	104 750	97 821	6 929	892	100	792
COUNTIES	26 958	26 264	24 086	2 178	694	8	686
MUNICIPALITIES	78 684	78 486	73 735	4 751	198	92	106
NORTH DAKOTA	12 512	12 512	11 935	577	189	4	186
STATE	2 357	2 355	2 109	246	2	(X)	2
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 161	10 157	9 826	331	187	4	184
COUNTIES	2 500	2 495	2 334	161	5	4	1
MUNICIPALITIES	7 844	7 662	7 492	170	183	-	183

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	323 254	323 254	304 691	18 563	1 972	369	1 603
STATE	49 148	49 147	45 500	3 647	1	(X)	1
LOCAL, TOTAL	274 476	274 107	259 191	14 916	1 971	369	1 602
COUNTIES	38 177	36 811	32 555	4 256	1 366	-	1 366
MUNICIPALITIES	237 901	237 296	226 636	10 660	605	369	236
OKLAHOMA	63 255	63 255	59 240	4 014	143	-	143
STATE	18 043	17 935	16 782	1 153	108	(X)	108
LOCAL, TOTAL	45 320	45 320	42 458	2 861	35	-	35
COUNTIES	5 412	5 412	5 325	87	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	39 942	39 907	37 133	2 774	35	-	35
OREGON	80 607	80 607	78 129	2 478	1 465	21	1 445
STATE	20 653	19 829	18 725	1 104	824	(X)	824
LOCAL, TOTAL	60 799	60 778	59 404	1 374	641	21	621
COUNTIES	19 518	19 511	18 690	821	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES	41 902	41 267	40 714	553	634	21	614
PENNSYLVANIA	422 000	422 000	407 037	14 963	2 297	3	2 294
STATE	107 324	106 408	101 287	5 121	916	(X)	916
LOCAL, TOTAL	315 595	315 592	305 750	9 842	1 381	3	1 378
COUNTIES	10 098	10 075	9 622	453	23	-	23
MUNICIPALITIES	306 875	305 517	296 128	9 389	1 358	3	1 355
RHODE ISLAND	32 369	32 369	31 167	1 202	847	-	847
STATE	6 697	5 850	5 633	217	847	(X)	847
LOCAL, TOTAL	26 519	26 519	25 534	985	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	26 519	26 519	25 534	985	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	71 130	71 150	64 150	7 000	315	293	22
STATE	23 642	23 642	20 465	3 177	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	47 801	47 508	43 685	3 823	315	293	22
COUNTIES	18 340	18 108	15 943	2 165	231	227	4
MUNICIPALITIES	29 483	29 399	27 742	1 657	84	66	18
SOUTH DAKOTA	14 637	14 637	14 362	274	230	1	230
STATE	4 651	4 650	4 617	33	1	(X)	1
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 987	9 987	9 745	241	229	1	229
COUNTIES	2 634	2 529	2 486	42	105	-	105
MUNICIPALITIES	7 582	7 458	7 259	199	124	-	124
TENNESSEE	109 705	109 705	98 155	11 550	392	264	128
STATE	17 878	17 878	14 714	3 164	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	92 126	91 827	83 441	8 386	392	264	128
COUNTIES	14 862	14 610	13 436	1 178	249	240	9
MUNICIPALITIES	77 357	77 214	70 005	7 209	144	25	119
TEXAS	347 277	347 277	325 310	21 967	691	145	546
STATE	68 765	68 760	64 550	4 210	5	(X)	5
LOCAL, TOTAL	278 663	278 517	260 760	17 757	686	145	541
COUNTIES	45 178	45 053	40 155	4 898	125	53	72
MUNICIPALITIES	234 025	233 464	220 605	12 859	561	92	469
UTAH	33 014	33 014	30 678	2 336	323	-	323
STATE	8 866	8 559	7 633	926	307	(X)	307
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 455	24 455	23 045	1 410	16	-	16
COUNTIES	6 443	6 437	5 986	452	6	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	18 028	18 017	17 059	958	10	-	10
VERMONT	12 084	12 084	11 694	389	74	7	67
STATE	5 670	5 670	5 441	229	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 421	6 414	6 253	160	74	7	67
COUNTIES	37	37	33	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 450	6 377	6 221	156	74	7	67
VIRGINIA	153 929	153 929	147 113	6 816	2 447	-	2 447
STATE	49 416	47 169	45 874	1 295	2 247	(X)	2 247
LOCAL, TOTAL	106 760	106 760	101 239	5 521	200	-	200
COUNTIES	39 994	39 989	37 542	2 447	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	66 966	66 771	63 697	3 074	195	-	195

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	119 575	119 575	111 739	7 836	1 591	15	1 576
STATE	27 225	27 201	23 947	3 254	24	(X)	24
LOCAL, TOTAL	92 389	92 374	87 792	4 582	1 567	15	1 552
COUNTIES	28 071	27 989	25 367	2 622	82	1	81
MUNICIPALITIES	65 870	64 385	62 425	1 960	1 485	14	1 471
WEST VIRGINIA	34 489	34 489	31 508	2 981	14	3	11
STATE	13 412	13 412	11 668	1 744	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 080	21 077	19 840	1 237	14	3	11
COUNTIES	4 978	4 972	4 483	489	6	3	2
MUNICIPALITIES	16 114	16 105	15 357	748	9	-	9
WISCONSIN	152 101	152 101	146 926	5 175	2 159	92	2 067
STATE	21 048	19 177	18 593	584	1 871	(X)	1 871
LOCAL, TOTAL	133 016	132 924	128 333	4 591	288	92	196
COUNTIES	28 079	27 868	26 225	1 643	211	90	121
MUNICIPALITIES	105 132	105 055	102 108	2 947	77	2	75
WYOMING	11 367	11 367	10 311	1 056	57	2	55
STATE	3 175	3 175	2 917	258	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 194	8 192	7 394	798	57	2	55
COUNTIES	2 730	2 730	2 216	514	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 519	5 462	5 178	284	57	2	55

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975.

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
TOTAL	937 979	930 907	874 637	56 270	42 785	11 823	1 662	7 072
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	238	238	228	10	10	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	2 244	2 244	2 013	231	164	67	-	-
MADISON	405	405	364	41	41	-	-	-
MOBILE	928	928	768	160	160	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	416	416	386	30	30	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	397	397	356	41	41	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
GREATER ANCHORAGE	1 740	-	-	-	-	-	1 740	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	6 871	6 871	5 753	1 118	649	469	-	-
PIMA	6 255	6 240	5 362	878	191	687	15	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	586	586	523	63	63	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	7 510	7 510	7 411	99	99	-	-	-
BUTTE	1 486	1 486	1 384	102	94	8	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	6 544	6 544	6 473	71	70	1	-	-
FRESNO	5 660	5 660	5 608	52	52	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT	1 783	1 783	1 743	40	40	-	-	-
KERN	5 724	5 724	5 653	71	48	23	-	-
LOS ANGELES	113 002	113 002	106 725	6 277	2 728	3 415	134	-
MARIN	2 060	2 060	2 040	20	20	-	-	-
MERCED	1 142	1 142	1 006	136	136	-	-	-
MONTEREY	2 934	2 934	2 738	196	186	10	-	-
ORANGE	10 644	10 644	10 436	208	187	21	-	-
RIVERSIDE	8 756	8 756	8 379	377	291	86	-	-
SACRAMENTO	12 996	12 996	12 312	684	677	7	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	10 177	10 177	9 763	414	414	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	13 030	13 030	11 952	1 078	758	278	42	-
SAN JOAQUIN	4 835	4 351	4 180	171	171	-	484	311
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 946	1 946	1 759	187	119	68	-	-
SAN MATEO	5 831	5 831	5 673	158	158	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	4 389	4 389	4 323	66	66	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	11 023	11 023	10 788	235	235	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	2 147	2 147	2 108	39	33	6	-	-
SOLANO	1 370	1 370	1 305	65	65	-	-	-
SONOMA	3 439	3 439	3 289	150	150	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	2 216	2 216	2 183	33	33	-	-	-
TULARE	3 104	3 104	2 826	278	276	2	-	-
VENTURA	6 978	6 978	6 848	130	130	-	-	-
YOLO	1 527	1 527	1 353	174	174	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	1 437	1 437	1 437	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	1 142	1 142	1 047	95	95	-	-	-
BOULDER	839	839	790	49	49	-	-	-
EL PASO	455	455	438	17	17	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 530	1 530	1 449	81	81	-	-	-
LARIMER	643	643	598	45	45	-	-	-
PUEBLO	271	271	220	51	50	1	-	-
WELD	384	384	384	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	3 514	3 514	3 320	194	194	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	2 167	2 167	1 981	186	170	16	-	-
BREVARD	2 218	2 218	2 038	180	180	-	-	-
BROWARD	5 423	5 423	4 581	842	842	-	-	-
DADE	26 163	26 163	25 371	792	703	89	-	-
ESCAMBIA	2 778	2 774	2 283	491	491	-	4	4
HILLSBOROUGH	4 629	4 629	4 558	71	49	22	-	-
LEE	1 754	1 754	1 578	176	124	52	-	-
LEON	895	895	825	70	70	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED									
MANATEE	1 056	1 056	969	87	87	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	5 639	5 639	4 537	1 102	202	900	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	5 500	5 500	5 162	338	333	5	-	-	-
PASCO	1 616	1 616	1 323	293	293	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	4 913	4 913	3 900	1 013	350	683	-	-	-
POLK	2 585	2 585	2 343	242	242	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	3 338	3 338	1 772	1 566	222	514	830	-	-
SEMINOLE	1 192	1 192	1 067	125	125	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	1 803	1 803	1 631	172	172	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:									
BIBB	1 007	1 007	899	108	108	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	1 218	1 218	1 205	13	13	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	1 736	1 736	1 606	130	130	-	-	-	-
COBB	3 658	3 658	2 897	761	136	625	-	-	-
DE KALB	7 020	7 020	6 899	121	121	-	-	-	-
FULTON	1 956	298	290	8	8	-	-	1 658	1 658
RICHMOND	1 357	1 356	1 255	101	77	24	-	1	1
IDAHO:									
ADA	606	606	589	17	17	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:									
CHAMPAIGN	591	591	559	32	32	-	-	-	-
COOK	12 661	12 661	11 690	971	368	603	-	-	-
DU PAGE	1 767	1 767	1 652	115	115	-	-	-	-
KANE	1 308	1 308	1 264	44	44	-	-	-	-
LAKE	2 136	2 136	2 013	123	123	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	392	392	361	31	31	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	1 005	1 005	934	71	71	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	457	457	423	29	29	-	-	-	-
MACON	622	622	583	39	39	-	-	-	-
MADISON	1 074	1 074	947	127	127	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	1 098	1 088	1 078	10	10	-	-	10	10
ROCK ISLAND	929	901	875	26	26	-	-	28	28
ST. CLAIR	605	605	581	24	24	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	752	752	714	38	38	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	509	509	479	30	30	-	-	-	-
WILL	1 583	1 583	1 382	201	201	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	1 385	1 385	1 341	44	44	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:									
ALLEN	1 380	1 380	1 243	137	137	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	337	337	330	7	7	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	631	631	559	72	72	-	-	-	-
LAKE	1 964	1 964	1 886	78	78	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE	359	359	317	42	42	-	-	-	-
MADISON	296	296	242	54	54	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	1 005	999	874	125	125	-	-	6	6
TIPEPECANO	448	448	394	54	54	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH	610	610	549	61	61	-	-	-	-
VIGO	353	353	326	27	27	-	-	-	-
IOWA:									
BLACK HAWK	394	394	373	21	21	-	-	-	-
LINN	486	486	476	10	10	-	-	-	-
POLK	687	687	677	10	10	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	490	490	442	48	48	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	216	216	207	9	9	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:									
JOHNSON	1 439	1 439	1 293	146	146	-	-	-	-
SEDWICK	887	887	720	167	167	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	283	283	253	30	30	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	359	359	327	32	32	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:									
JEFFERSON	9 177	8 780	7 528	1 252	1 252	-	-	397	397
KENTON	1 240	1 240	490	750	422	328	-	-	-


See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
LOUISIANA:									
CADDY	1 817	1 803	1 598	205	145	60	-	14	4
CALCASIEU	2 489	2 488	2 354	134	134	-	-	1	1
JEFFERSON	7 779	7 779	6 969	810	810	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	1 474	1 474	1 241	233	233	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	1 063	1 053	995	58	50	8	-	10	10
RAPIDES	1 021	1 021	956	65	65	-	-	-	-
MAINE:									
CUMBERLAND	364	363	351	12	8	4	-	1	1
PENOBSCOT	199	199	125	74	66	8	-	-	-
YORK	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:									
ANNE ARUNDEL	7 861	7 861	7 548	313	313	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	21 178	21 178	20 701	477	127	350	-	-	-
HARFORD	635	635	609	26	26	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	16 841	16 785	16 419	366	95	271	-	56	56
PRINCE GEORGES	18 282	18 282	17 728	554	554	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	225	225	213	12	12	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BARNSTABLE	404	404	348	56	56	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE	36	36	35	1	1	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	72	70	70	-	-	-	-	2	2
HAMPDEN	80	78	78	-	-	-	-	2	2
HAMPSHIRE	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	133	133	131	2	2	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:									
BAY	590	590	559	31	31	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	1 221	1 144	1 057	87	87	-	-	77	3
CALHOUN	776	776	626	150	150	-	-	-	74
GENESEE	2 430	2 428	2 361	67	66	1	-	-	-
INGHAM	1 496	1 496	1 410	36	72	14	-	-	-
JACKSON	853	853	733	120	120	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	1 333	1 333	1 283	50	50	-	-	-	-
KENT	2 151	2 151	1 879	272	272	-	-	-	-
MACOMB	2 827	2 827	2 762	65	65	-	-	-	-
MONROE	1 113	1 100	962	138	60	78	-	13	13
MUSKEGON	570	516	464	52	52	-	-	54	54
OAKLAND	4 136	4 136	4 036	100	100	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA	801	801	748	53	53	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	1 050	1 050	1 002	48	48	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	602	602	575	27	27	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW	2 233	2 233	2 081	152	152	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	5 635	5 635	5 622	13	13	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:									
ANOKA	1 310	1 310	988	322	322	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	482	482	422	60	60	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	4 409	4 409	4 251	158	158	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY	1 629	1 629	1 580	49	49	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 118	1 118	1 078	40	40	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:									
HARRISON	649	649	569	80	80	-	-	-	-
HINDS	403	403	338	65	65	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	488	488	437	51	51	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:									
CLAY	365	365	353	12	12	-	-	-	-
GREENE	451	451	374	77	67	10	-	-	-
JACKSON	1 097	1 097	1 096	1	1	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	458	458	397	61	61	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES	473	473	411	62	62	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	11 554	11 554	10 899	655	628	27	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



CONTINUED

2 OF 5

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
NEBRASKA:									
DOUGLAS	1 018	1 018	912	106	106	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	418	418	372	46	46	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:									
CLARK	17 203	17 203	16 952	251	251	-	-	-	-
WASHOE.	2 306	2 306	2 147	159	159	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
HILLSBOROUGH.	94	80	71	9	9	-	-	14	-
ROCKINGHAM.	245	245	234	11	11	-	-	-	14
NEW JERSEY:									
ATLANTIC.	385	385	385	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN.	2 923	2 923	2 779	144	79	65	-	-	-
BURLINGTON.	801	801	172	629	-	629	-	-	-
CAMDEN.	687	687	687	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND.	188	188	164	24	24	-	-	-	-
ESSEX.	3 891	3 891	3 803	88	58	30	-	-	-
GOULCESTER.	297	297	297	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON.	3 215	3 215	3 169	46	46	-	-	-	-
MERCER.	631	631	631	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX.	1 419	1 419	1 353	66	10	56	-	-	-
MONMOUTH.	730	730	668	62	-	62	-	-	-
MORRIS.	1 032	1 032	982	50	50	-	-	-	-
OCEAN.	805	805	795	10	10	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC.	765	765	765	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET.	619	619	615	4	4	-	-	-	-
UNION	1 106	1 106	1 106	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:									
BERNALILLO.	1 604	1 604	1 476	128	128	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY.	892	892	863	29	29	-	-	-	-
BROOME.	865	865	824	41	41	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA.	691	691	651	40	40	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG.	605	605	489	116	116	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS.	1 023	1 023	985	38	38	-	-	-	-
ERIE.	4 313	4 313	4 131	182	182	-	-	-	-
MONROE.	4 399	4 399	4 186	213	213	-	-	-	-
NASSAU.	84 849	84 849	83 113	1 736	1 651	85	-	-	-
NIAGARA	1 110	1 110	1 048	62	62	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA.	597	597	560	37	37	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA.	3 005	3 005	2 605	400	169	231	-	-	-
ORANGE.	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO.	526	526	341	185	16	169	-	-	-
RENSSELAER.	288	288	269	19	19	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND.	1 213	1 213	1 159	54	54	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE.	351	351	326	25	25	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	284	284	257	27	27	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	103	103	96	7	7	-	-	-	-
STEUBEN	242	242	218	24	24	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	57 003	57 003	55 187	1 816	1 816	-	-	-	-
ULSTER.	357	357	346	11	11	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	4 420	4 420	4 141	279	225	54	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:									
BUNCOMBE.	773	711	682	29	29	-	-	62	62
CUMBERLAND.	1 470	1 401	1 193	208	208	-	-	69	69
DURHAM.	639	639	551	88	88	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	688	688	588	100	100	-	-	-	-
GASTON.	1 090	925	833	92	92	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD.	1 325	1 325	1 162	163	135	4	24	165	165
MECKLENBURG	2 560	2 267	2 261	6	6	-	-	293	293
ONSLOW.	460	460	423	37	37	-	-	-	-
WAKE.	812	744	689	55	55	-	-	68	68

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
OHIO:								
ALLEN	398	398	357	41	41	-	-	-
ASHTABULA	444	444	324	120	120	-	-	-
BUTLER	570	570	538	32	32	-	-	-
CLARK	616	616	473	143	55	3	85	-
CLERMONT	364	364	308	56	56	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA	271	271	252	19	19	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	2 641	2 641	2 461	180	180	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	2 480	2 480	1 743	737	735	2	-	-
GREENE	538	538	439	99	99	-	-	-
HAMILTON	5 870	4 686	4 376	310	310	-	1 184	1 184
LAKE	929	929	570	359	359	-	-	-
LICKING	411	411	378	33	33	-	-	-
LORAIN	1 101	995	713	282	282	-	106	106
LUCAS	980	980	900	80	80	-	-	-
MAHONING	744	729	542	187	187	-	15	15
MONTGOMERY	2 858	2 858	2 610	48	48	-	-	-
PORTAGE	615	615	507	108	108	-	-	-
RICHLAND	508	507	467	40	40	-	1	1
STARK	1 296	1 296	1 002	294	294	-	-	-
SUMMIT	1 436	1 436	1 417	19	19	-	-	-
TRUMBULL	1 040	1 040	897	143	143	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	184	184	179	5	5	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	201	201	176	25	25	-	-	-
TULSA	375	375	373	2	2	-	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	1 472	1 472	1 248	224	183	41	-	-
JACKSON	690	690	646	44	44	-	-	-
LANE	1 779	1 779	1 762	17	17	-	-	-
MARION	839	839	824	15	15	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	6 197	6 197	6 123	74	46	28	-	-
WASHINGTON	1 781	1 781	1 752	29	29	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	4 776	4 776	4 690	86	86	-	-	-
BEAVER	532	532	526	6	6	-	-	-
BERKS	135	135	134	1	1	-	-	-
BLAIR	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
BUCKS	525	525	525	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	93	93	92	1	1	-	-	-
CAMBRIA	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRE	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	394	394	344	50	50	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN	321	321	121	200	200	-	-	-
DELAWARE	517	517	515	2	2	-	-	-
ERIE	82	82	73	9	9	-	-	-
FAYETTE	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	37	37	25	12	12	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	691	691	629	62	4	58	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	43	43	42	1	1	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND	221	221	220	1	1	-	-	-
YORK	275	275	271	4	4	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 25. Police protection expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
ANDERSON	499	499	434	65	65	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	2 634	2 634	2 469	165	152	13	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	1 834	1 834	1 669	165	165	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	645	645	553	92	92	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	1 879	1 773	1 352	421	310	111	-	106	106
SPARTANBURG	1 335	1 301	819	482	26	-	456	34	34
TENNESSEE:									
HAMILTON	1 437	1 437	1 416	21	21	-	-	-	-
KNOX.	986	986	920	66	66	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	2 700	2 476	2 302	174	174	1	-	224	224
SULLIVAN	627	627	596	31	31	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:									
BELL	426	426	231	195	195	-	-	-	-
BEXAR	2 202	2 202	1 966	236	216	20	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	677	677	520	157	157	-	-	-	-
CAMERON	448	448	318	130	130	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	5 943	5 943	5 447	496	486	10	-	-	-
EL PASO	768	768	739	29	29	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	641	641	633	8	8	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	7 314	7 314	6 744	570	570	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	381	381	284	97	97	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	718	718	677	41	41	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	369	369	316	53	53	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	426	426	399	27	27	-	-	-	-
NUCES	728	728	685	43	43	-	-	-	-
SMITH	229	229	209	20	2	18	-	-	-
TARRANT	1 667	1 667	1 497	170	170	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	211	211	152	59	59	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	1 315	1 315	960	355	355	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	351	351	297	54	54	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
DAVIS	348	348	325	23	23	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE	3 933	3 933	3 732	201	201	-	-	-	-
UTAH	213	213	176	37	37	-	-	-	-
WEBER	336	336	310	26	26	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:									
CHITTENDEN	15	15	13	2	2	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ARLINGTON	8 203	8 203	8 040	163	22	141	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	13 592	13 592	13 248	344	344	-	-	-	-
HENRICO	3 878	3 878	3 348	530	438	1	91	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	1 865	1 865	1 750	115	115	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:									
CLARK	1 306	1 306	1 306	-	-	-	-	-	-
KING	8 765	8 765	8 248	517	376	141	-	-	-
KITSAP	710	710	685	25	25	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	3 288	3 288	2 690	598	597	1	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	2 633	2 633	1 950	683	683	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	2 149	2 149	1 926	223	223	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	737	737	666	71	71	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CABELL	355	355	331	24	24	-	-	-	-
LANAWHA	363	363	345	18	18	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:									
BROWN	1 431	1 428	1 353	75	75	-	-	3	3
DANE	2 336	2 238	2 066	172	172	-	-	98	20
KENOSHA	1 020	1 014	913	101	101	-	-	6	6
MARATHON	843	843	762	81	81	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	3 569	3 558	3 468	90	81	9	-	11	11
OUTAGAMIE	795	793	742	51	51	-	-	2	2
RACINE	1 284	1 280	1 186	94	94	-	-	4	4
ROCK	574	567	567	-	-	-	-	7	7
WAUKESHA	1 264	1 242	1 150	92	92	-	-	22	13
WINNEBAGO	723	720	689	31	31	-	-	3	3

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

POLICE PROTECTION

185

Table 26. Police protection expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
TOTAL	3 629 211	3 610 311	3 479 573	130 738	76 257	49 735	4 746	18 900	93 18 807
ALABAMA:									
BIRMINGHAM	11 175	11 175	10 745	430	430	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	1 100	1 100	1 029	71	71	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	2 870	2 870	2 799	71	67	4	-	-	-
MOBILE	5 741	5 741	5 566	175	175	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	3 814	3 814	3 461	353	353	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	1 912	1 912	1 808	104	104	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:									
GLENDALE	1 405	1 405	1 401	4	4	-	-	-	-
MESA	4 370	4 370	1 376	2 994	71	2 913	10	-	-
PHOENIX	30 989	30 989	27 526	3 463	1 078	2 126	259	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	2 602	2 596	2 567	29	29	-	-	6	6
TEMPE	2 157	2 157	1 990	167	161	6	-	-	-
TUCSON	12 298	12 298	11 637	661	360	301	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:									
FORT SMITH	918	918	862	56	56	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	3 250	3 250	3 085	165	123	42	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	1 093	1 093	1 010	83	83	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	1 165	1 153	1 032	121	121	-	-	12	12
CALIFORNIA:									
ALAMEDA	2 141	2 141	2 103	38	38	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	1 898	1 888	1 881	7	7	-	-	10	10
ANAHEIM	7 311	7 306	6 885	421	407	14	-	5	5
BAKERSFIELD	5 181	5 181	3 825	1 356	106	1 148	102	-	-
BELLFLOWER	1 037	80	80	-	-	-	-	957	957
BERKELEY	4 332	4 332	4 281	51	51	-	-	3	3
BUENA PARK	1 989	1 986	1 971	15	13	2	-	-	-
BURBANK	5 001	4 987	4 956	31	31	-	-	14	14
CARSON	2 833	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 833	2 833
CHULA VISTA	1 911	1 911	1 855	56	56	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	2 943	2 862	2 797	65	54	11	-	81	81
CONCORD	3 004	3 004	2 942	62	62	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	3 935	3 927	3 823	104	103	1	-	8	8
DALY CITY	1 970	1 970	1 940	30	27	3	-	-	-
DOWNEY	2 800	2 791	2 653	138	138	-	-	9	9
EL CAJON	1 853	1 853	1 753	100	100	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	2 193	2 186	2 051	135	115	20	-	7	7
FREMONT	2 991	2 991	2 828	163	134	29	-	-	-
FRESNO	8 522	8 522	8 433	89	89	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	3 617	3 617	2 783	834	168	666	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	3 116	3 108	3 060	48	36	12	-	8	8
GLENDALE	3 950	3 950	3 941	9	9	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	1 545	1 538	1 521	17	17	-	-	7	7
HAYWARD	3 032	3 032	2 947	85	7	78	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	5 151	5 148	4 932	216	211	5	-	3	3
INGLEWOOD	4 567	4 567	4 350	217	63	154	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	1 475	350	296	54	54	-	-	1 125	1 125
LONG BEACH	17 279	17 279	17 019	260	128	132	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	187 632	187 632	182 014	5 618	3 112	2 448	58	-	-
MODESTO	2 576	2 576	2 456	120	35	85	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	1 731	1 731	1 616	115	115	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	3 646	3 637	3 176	461	133	328	-	9	9
NORWALK	1 415	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 415	1 415
OAKLAND	17 969	17 969	17 537	432	432	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	2 018	2 007	1 987	20	18	2	-	11	11
ORANGE	2 967	2 960	2 924	36	36	-	-	7	7
OXNARD	2 428	2 414	2 382	32	16	16	-	14	14
PALO ALTO	2 090	2 090	2 077	13	13	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	5 704	5 704	5 692	12	12	-	-	1 128	1 128
PICO RIVERA	1 129	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
POMONA	2 949	2 949	2 902	47	47	-	-	-	-
FEDONDO BEACH	2 119	2 093	2 017	77	68	9	-	26	26
REDWOOD CITY	1 667	1 667	1 619	48	42	6	-	-	-
RICHMOND	4 323	4 323	4 262	61	60	1	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	5 202	5 202	5 046	156	152	-	-	4	4

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 26. Police protection expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED									
SACRAMENTO	12 138	12 138	12 100	38	38	-	-	-	-
SALINAS.	2 041	1 981	1 853	128	128	-	60	-	60
SAN BERNARDINO	4 764	4 724	4 512	212	209	3	40	-	40
SAN BUENAVENTURA	2 085	2 076	1 946	130	41	89	9	-	9
SAN DIEGO.	22 710	22 710	22 082	628	547	81	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO.	49 290	49 290	48 241	1 049	939	110	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	18 147	18 147	17 461	686	686	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO.	1 762	1 762	1 711	51	39	12	-	-	-
SAN MATEO.	2 411	2 411	2 298	113	91	22	-	-	-
SANTA ANA.	6 271	6 246	5 882	364	362	2	25	-	25
SANTA BARBARA.	3 108	3 104	2 748	356	202	154	4	-	4
SANTA CLARA.	3 283	3 283	2 765	518	54	464	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	4 014	3 982	3 839	143	139	4	32	-	32
SANTA ROSA	1 532	1 532	1 438	94	94	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	1 306	1 306	1 289	17	17	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	2 067	2 059	1 919	140	83	57	8	-	8
STOCKTON	5 430	5 430	5 372	58	17	41	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE.	2 264	2 264	2 238	26	26	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	5 512	5 494	5 388	106	106	-	18	-	18
VALLEJO.	2 310	2 310	2 295	15	15	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA.	2 105	2 098	2 077	21	21	-	7	-	7
WESTMINSTER.	1 872	1 864	1 854	10	10	-	8	-	8
WHITTIER	2 551	2 549	2 486	63	63	-	2	-	2
COLORADO:									
ARVADA	1 512	1 512	1 432	80	80	-	-	-	-
AURORA	2 875	2 875	2 823	52	52	-	-	-	-
BOULDER.	1 754	1 754	1 735	19	19	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	4 959	4 959	4 610	349	349	-	-	-	-
DENVER	29 120	29 120	26 917	2 203	258	450	1 495	-	-
FORT COLLINS	1 262	1 262	1 040	222	214	8	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	6 910	6 910	6 833	77	77	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	3 142	3 142	3 035	107	107	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:									
BRIDGEPORT	8 013	8 013	7 925	88	47	41	-	-	-
BRISTOL.	1 483	1 483	1 437	46	45	1	-	-	-
DANBURY.	1 700	1 700	1 669	31	1	30	-	-	-
HARTFORD.	8 373	8 373	8 365	8	8	-	-	-	-
MERIDAN.	1 400	1 399	1 398	1	1	-	1	1	-
MILFORD.	1 736	1 736	1 681	55	55	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN.	2 795	2 795	2 727	68	68	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN.	6 486	6 486	6 417	69	69	-	-	-	-
NORWALK.	2 648	2 648	2 607	41	41	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	4 719	4 705	4 610	95	83	12	14	14	-
WATERBURY.	4 717	4 717	4 583	334	334	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	1 577	1 577	1 525	52	52	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:									
WILMINGTON	4 843	4 843	4 803	40	40	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:									
WASHINGTON	97 056	97 056	93 030	4 026	128	3 887	11	-	-
FLORIDA:									
CLEARWATER	2 724	2 724	2 165	559	44	515	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE.	6 905	6 905	6 808	97	97	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE.	3 569	3 569	2 504	1 065	70	-	995	-	-
HIALEAH.	3 670	3 670	3 232	438	438	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD.	4 223	4 223	4 189	34	34	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	16 784	16 784	13 321	3 463	435	3 028	-	-	-
MIAMI.	16 615	16 615	15 709	906	28	186	692	-	-
MIAMI BEACH.	6 333	6 333	6 328	5	5	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO.	6 777	6 777	6 728	49	49	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA.	2 196	2 192	1 970	222	222	-	-	4	4
ST. PETERSBURG	8 535	8 535	8 099	436	436	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE.	2 390	2 390	2 305	85	82	3	-	-	-
TAMPA.	10 140	10 140	9 828	312	312	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH.	2 530	2 530	2 448	82	82	-	-	-	-

Table 26. Police protection expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
GEORGIA:									
ALBANY.	2 288	2 288	2 175	113	113	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	24 515	24 515	22 916	1 599	1 202	12	385	-	-
AUGUSTA	1 927	1 927	1 835	92	92	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	4 479	4 479	4 229	250	230	20	-	-	-
MACON	2 993	2 993	2 659	334	200	134	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	3 676	3 676	3 575	101	101	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:									
HONOLULU	26 908	26 908	26 146	762	687	75	-	-	-
IDAHO:									
BOISE CITY	1 851	1 851	1 763	88	88	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:									
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	1 644	1 644	1 606	38	38	-	-	-	-
AURORA	2 574	2 574	2 375	199	112	87	-	-	-
BERWYN	27	27	-	27	27	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	1 494	1 481	1 427	54	54	-	-	13	13
CHICAGO	243 332	243 332	238 077	5 255	5 014	241	-	-	-
CICERO	1 470	1 470	1 461	9	9	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	1 755	1 755	1 697	58	58	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	1 694	1 694	1 630	64	64	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	2 920	2 920	2 784	136	78	58	-	-	-
ELGIN	1 625	1 625	1 580	45	45	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	2 817	2 817	2 656	161	161	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	2 806	2 806	2 798	8	8	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	1 732	1 732	1 687	45	45	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	2 359	2 359	2 255	104	-	104	-	-	-
PEORIA	4 941	4 941	4 551	390	343	47	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	5 184	5 184	4 479	705	80	625	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	1 252	1 252	1 216	36	4	32	-	-	-
SKOKIE	2 407	2 407	2 328	79	79	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	3 030	3 030	2 385	645	107	538	-	-	14
WAUKEGAN	2 558	2 544	2 339	205	205	-	-	-	14
INDIANA:									
ANDERSON	1 800	1 800	1 770	30	30	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	3 335	3 335	3 156	179	179	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	4 565	4 565	4 410	155	155	-	-	-	-
GARY	5 589	5 589	5 372	217	217	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	3 250	3 250	3 060	190	190	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	21 508	21 508	20 503	1 005	1 005	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	1 827	1 827	1 713	114	114	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	3 846	3 846	3 497	349	349	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	1 334	1 334	1 227	107	107	-	-	-	-
IOWA:									
CEDAR RAPIDS	2 363	2 363	2 238	125	125	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	1 470	1 470	1 340	130	128	2	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	1 867	1 867	1 761	106	106	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	5 865	5 865	5 691	174	141	33	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	1 159	1 159	1 118	41	41	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	1 815	1 782	1 707	75	75	-	-	33	33
WATERLOO	1 849	1 849	1 743	106	106	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:									
KANSAS CITY	5 023	5 023	4 713	310	310	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	1 441	1 441	1 357	84	84	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	3 726	3 726	3 597	129	129	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	6 682	6 662	6 570	92	92	-	-	20	20
KENTUCKY:									
COVINGTON	1 794	1 794	1 744	50	50	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	6 553	6 553	6 138	415	280	70	65	-	-
LOUISVILLE	17 000	17 000	15 787	1 213	492	721	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	1 353	1 353	1 336	17	13	4	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 26. Police protection expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
LOUISIANA:									
BATON ROUGE	9 090	9 063	8 467	596	341	255	-	27	-
LAFAYETTE	1 350	1 350	1 248	102	102	-	-	-	27
LAKE CHARLES	1 040	1 024	906	118	118	-	-	16	-
MONROE	1 392	1 392	1 277	115	115	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	27 083	27 083	25 628	1 455	1 455	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	6 161	6 161	5 239	922	922	-	-	-	-
MAINE:									
PORTLAND	2 871	2 871	2 545	326	152	174	-	-	-
MARYLAND:									
BALTIMORE	63 041	63 041	62 703	338	294	34	10	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BOSTON	62 860	62 860	60 844	2 016	2 001	15	-	-	-
BROCKTON	3 981	3 981	3 971	10	10	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	5 319	5 319	5 192	127	119	8	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	1 776	1 776	1 693	83	41	42	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	4 355	4 355	4 315	40	40	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	1 734	1 734	1 658	76	76	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	2 130	2 130	2 123	7	7	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	3 126	3 126	3 068	58	58	-	-	-	-
LYNN	4 128	4 128	4 126	2	2	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	2 136	2 136	2 136	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	2 451	2 451	2 424	27	27	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	4 177	4 177	4 085	92	90	2	-	-	-
NEWTON	4 460	4 460	4 031	429	429	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	1 688	1 688	1 537	151	151	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	4 949	4 949	4 779	170	170	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	2 327	2 327	2 315	12	12	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	6 358	6 358	6 153	205	205	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	2 613	2 613	2 549	64	64	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	7 678	7 678	7 475	203	153	50	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:									
ANN ARBOR	3 585	3 585	3 581	4	4	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	4 052	4 052	3 987	65	65	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . .	2 662	2 597	2 177	420	182	238	-	65	65
DETROIT	107 198	107 198	104 547	2 651	2 313	338	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS . . .	1 393	1 393	1 311	82	72	10	-	-	-
FLINT	8 385	8 369	8 325	44	15	29	-	16	16
GRAND RAPIDS	6 790	6 790	6 672	118	113	5	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	3 012	3 012	2 925	87	84	3	-	-	-
LANSING	5 045	5 045	4 858	187	187	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	1 575	1 512	1 439	73	73	-	-	63	63
LIVONIA	3 246	3 213	2 964	249	249	-	-	33	33
PONTIAC	4 918	4 918	4 800	118	118	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	2 087	2 087	2 017	70	70	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	2 201	2 201	2 199	2	2	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	4 211	4 210	4 172	38	38	-	-	1	1
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . .	2 228	2 223	2 168	55	37	18	-	5	5
SOUTHFIELD	3 550	3 541	3 187	354	306	48	-	9	9
STERLING HEIGHTS . . .	2 955	2 955	2 757	198	197	1	-	-	-
TAYLOR	2 543	2 539	2 061	478	168	310	-	4	2
WARREN	5 301	5 301	5 113	188	188	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	3 731	3 637	2 031	1 606	178	1 428	-	94	94
WYOMING	1 924	1 897	1 430	467	98	369	-	27	27
MINNESOTA:									
BLOOMINGTON	2 324	2 324	2 286	38	38	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	2 336	2 336	2 272	64	64	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	15 019	15 019	14 760	259	259	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	1 624	1 624	1 460	164	122	42	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	10 344	10 344	10 153	191	126	65	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:									
BILOXI	955	955	808	147	142	-	5	-	-
JACKSON	4 686	4 686	4 410	276	236	40	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 26. Police protection expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
MISSOURI:									
COLUMBIA	1 173	1 173	1 123	50	50	"	"	"	"
FLORISSANT	1 284	1 284	1 204	80	66	14	"	"	"
INDEPENDENCE	2 429	2 429	2 254	175	152	23	"	"	"
KANSAS CITY	25 329	25 319	24 658	661	10	567	84	10	10
ST. JOSEPH	1 582	1 582	1 525	57	57	"	"	"	"
ST. LOUIS.	42 016	42 016	40 815	1 201	1 201	"	"	"	"
SPRINGFIELD.	2 667	2 667	2 550	117	117	"	"	"	"
MONTANA:									
BILLINGS	1 819	1 819	1 655	164	164	"	"	"	"
GREAT FALLS.	1 816	1 816	1 100	716	65	651	"	"	"
NEBRASKA:									
LINCOLN.	3 803	3 803	3 525	278	275	3	"	"	"
OMAHA.	10 443	10 443	10 037	406	406	"	"	"	"
NEVADA:									
LAS VEGAS.	10 274	14	-	14	14	"	"	10 260	10 260
RENO	4 671	4 671	4 348	323	283	40	"	"	"
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
MANCHESTER	2 241	2 241	2 143	98	88	10	"	"	"
NASHUA	1 851	1 851	1 759	92	92	"	"	"	"
NEW JERSEY:									
BAYONNE.	2 520	2 520	2 491	29	29	"	"	"	"
BLOOMFIELD	2 071	2 071	2 064	7	"	7	"	"	"
CAMDEN	7 658	7 658	7 658	-	"	"	"	"	"
CLIFTON.	2 593	2 593	2 543	50	50	"	"	"	"
EAST ORANGE.	5 215	5 215	5 192	23	23	"	"	"	"
ELIZABETH.	5 274	5 274	5 251	23	23	"	"	"	"
IRVINGTON.	2 611	2 611	2 611	-	"	"	"	"	"
JERSEY CITY.	17 432	17 432	17 432	-	-	"	"	"	"
NEWARK	32 070	32 070	31 819	251	119	132	"	"	"
PASSAIC.	2 618	2 618	2 570	48	48	"	"	"	"
PATERSON	6 905	6 905	6 905	-	"	"	"	"	"
TRENTON.	7 879	7 879	7 879	-	"	"	"	"	"
UNION CITY	2 287	2 287	2 256	31	31	"	"	"	"
VINELAND	1 517	1 517	1 517	-	"	"	"	"	"
NEW MEXICO:									
ALBUQUERQUE.	10 304	10 304	10 124	180	180	"	"	"	"
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY	5 847	5 847	5 792	55	55	"	"	"	"
BINGHAMTON	2 118	2 118	1 986	132	132	"	"	"	"
BUFFALO.	21 298	21 298	20 980	318	215	103	"	"	"
MOUNT VERNON	3 088	3 088	3 062	26	26	"	"	"	"
NEW ROCHELLE	3 223	3 223	3 162	61	61	"	"	"	"
NEW YORK CITY	707 008	707 008	691 850	15 158	4 108	11 042	8	"	"
NIAGARA FALLS.	3 363	3 363	3 338	25	25	"	"	"	"
ROCHESTER.	12 395	12 395	12 395	-	-	"	"	"	"
ROME	766	766	742	24	24	"	"	"	"
SCHENECTADY.	2 195	2 195	2 040	155	55	100	"	"	"
SYRACUSE	7 687	7 687	7 473	214	214	"	"	"	"
TROY	1 874	1 874	1 638	236	186	51	"	"	"
UTICA.	2 375	2 375	2 323	52	52	"	"	"	"
WHITE PLAINS	3 695	3 695	3 619	76	76	"	"	"	"
YONKERS.	9 320	9 320	9 116	204	204	"	"	"	"
NORTH CAROLINA:									
ASHEVILLE.	1 714	1 672	1 618	54	54	"	"	42	42
CHARLOTTE.	10 369	10 369	9 761	608	278	330	"	"	"
DURHAM.	4 046	4 046	3 717	329	329	"	"	"	"
FAYETTEVILLE	1 767	1 767	1 681	86	86	"	"	"	"
GREENSBORO	6 556	6 556	6 368	188	188	"	"	"	"
HIGH POINT	2 052	2 052	1 855	197	197	"	"	"	"
RALEIGH.	4 639	4 630	4 330	300	300	"	"	9	9
WILMINGTON.	1 655	1 654	1 545	109	97	12	"	1	1
WINSTON-SALEM.	6 822	6 822	6 044	778	315	"	463	"	"

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 26. Police protection expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land		
NORTH DAKOTA:									
FARGO	1 484	1 484	1 428	56	56	-	-	-	-
OHIO:									
AKRON	8 106	8 106	7 852	254	254	-	-	-	-
CANTON.	3 389	3 386	3 364	22	22	-	-	3	3
CINCINNATI.	24 076	24 076	22 690	1 386	584	802	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	25 578	25 578	23 686	1 892	-	1 892	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1 427	1 427	1 394	33	33	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS.	20 853	20 833	20 316	517	474	10	33	-	-
DAYTON.	9 387	9 387	9 078	309	309	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA.	966	966	927	39	39	-	-	-	-
EUCLID.	1 817	1 817	1 650	167	156	11	-	-	-
HAMILTON.	1 881	1 881	1 823	58	58	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	1 271	1 271	1 218	53	53	-	-	-	-
LAKESIDE.	1 252	1 252	1 246	6	6	-	-	-	-
LIMA.	1 251	1 251	1 212	39	39	-	-	-	-
LORAIN.	1 261	1 250	1 227	23	23	-	-	11	11
MANSFIELD	2 010	2 010	1 765	245	245	-	-	-	-
PARMA	1 598	1 598	1 460	138	138	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	2 001	2 001	1 861	140	140	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO.	13 425	13 413	12 977	436	288	148	-	12	12
WARREN.	1 523	1 523	1 340	183	183	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN.	4 107	4 107	4 064	43	43	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:									
LAWTON.	1 598	1 598	1 517	81	80	1	-	-	-
MIDWEST	832	832	832	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN.	1 462	1 462	1 333	129	129	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	8 684	8 684	8 094	590	590	-	-	-	-
TULSA	8 126	8 126	7 251	875	478	397	-	-	-
OREGON:									
EUGENE.	3 182	3 182	3 156	26	26	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND.	16 940	16 940	16 870	70	70	-	-	-	-
SALEM	3 322	3 322	3 311	11	11	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLENTOWN	2 987	2 987	2 794	193	193	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	1 235	1 235	1 206	29	29	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	2 030	2 030	1 881	149	149	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	2 474	2 474	2 429	45	45	-	-	-	-
ERIE.	2 985	2 985	2 798	187	186	1	-	-	-
HARRISBURG.	2 703	2 703	2 607	96	76	20	-	-	-
LANGASTER	1 913	1 913	1 868	45	45	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA.	145 303	145 303	142 458	2 845	2 541	304	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH.	18 156	18 156	18 121	35	35	-	-	-	-
READING	2 439	2 439	2 323	116	116	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON.	2 048	2 048	2 048	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE.	1 357	1 357	1 322	35	32	3	-	-	-
YORK.	1 458	1 458	1 458	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:									
CRANSTON.	1 958	1 958	1 801	157	132	25	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	2 122	2 122	2 059	63	63	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE.	5 962	5 962	5 895	67	67	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	2 467	2 467	2 383	84	84	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
CHARLESTON.	2 187	2 175	2 015	160	160	-	-	12	12
COLUMBIA.	3 471	3 471	3 165	306	290	16	-	-	-
GREENVILLE.	2 188	2 188	1 934	254	254	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:									
SIOUX FALLS	1 545	1 545	1 489	56	56	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:									
CHATTANOOGA	6 091	6 057	4 403	1 654	1 610	44	-	34	34
KNOXVILLE	5 392	5 392	5 140	252	247	5	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	23 106	23 106	21 559	1 547	1 339	208	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	15 746	15 746	14 736	1 010	726	284	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 26. Police protection expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
TEXAS:									
ABILENE	1 385	1 385	1 331	54	54	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	2 518	2 518	2 459	59	59	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	2 798	2 798	2 565	233	233	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	8 242	8 242	8 049	193	193	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	2 688	2 688	2 408	280	70	210	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	1 009	1 009	962	47	47	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	4 249	4 249	3 993	256	256	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	32 531	32 531	31 826	705	622	83	-	-	-
EL PASO	7 032	7 032	7 001	31	31	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	13 674	13 674	13 467	207	155	52	-	-	-
GALVESTON	1 272	1 272	1 246	26	26	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	1 670	1 670	1 539	131	131	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	996	996	951	45	45	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	53 527	53 527	49 498	4 029	133	3 896	-	-	-
IRVING	1 883	1 883	1 738	145	145	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	698	698	611	87	87	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	3 028	3 028	2 780	248	248	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	1 539	1 539	1 265	274	166	108	-	-	-
MIDLAND	1 611	1 611	1 387	224	97	127	-	-	-
ODESSA	1 575	1 575	1 517	58	58	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	1 887	1 887	1 800	87	26	61	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	1 069	1 069	981	88	67	6	15	-	-
RICHARDSON	1 018	1 018	933	85	24	61	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	1 423	1 423	1 304	119	90	-	29	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	20 301	20 301	19 255	1 046	807	239	-	-	-
TYLER	1 237	1 237	1 119	118	99	-	19	-	-
WACO	2 901	2 901	2 848	53	53	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	1 578	1 578	1 392	186	186	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
OGDEN	1 783	1 779	1 760	19	19	-	4	-	4
PROVO	858	858	787	71	71	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	7 970	7 970	7 758	212	212	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ALEXANDRIA	4 488	4 488	4 326	162	162	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	2 838	2 838	2 620	218	218	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	3 317	3 317	3 100	217	217	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	1 644	1 644	1 562	82	82	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	4 353	4 353	3 584	769	88	681	-	-	-
NORFOLK	8 428	8 428	8 059	369	369	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	3 151	3 151	3 146	5	5	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	10 814	10 814	10 757	57	57	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	2 518	2 518	2 451	67	53	14	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	5 059	5 059	5 033	26	26	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:									
BELLEVUE	1 604	1 604	1 522	82	82	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	1 683	1 677	1 670	7	7	-	6	-	6
SEATTLE	22 786	22 786	22 388	398	107	291	-	-	-
SPOKANE	5 013	4 887	4 800	87	87	-	126	-	126
TACOMA	5 344	5 344	5 049	295	267	28	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CHARLESTON	2 316	2 316	2 265	51	51	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	2 101	2 101	1 919	182	182	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:									
APPLETON	1 622	1 622	1 295	327	34	293	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	2 132	2 132	2 124	8	8	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	2 304	2 304	2 201	103	103	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	1 156	1 156	1 126	30	30	-	-	-	-
MADISON	5 857	5 857	5 699	158	158	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	37 044	37 044	36 253	791	547	244	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	1 224	1 224	1 197	27	27	-	-	-	-
RACINE	3 229	3 229	3 149	80	80	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	1 803	1 803	1 803	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	2 226	2 226	2 197	29	29	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section IV. JUDICIAL

Table 27. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government,
fiscal year 1975
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	1 902 332	1 902 332	1 822 941	79 391	80 857	8 091	72 767
STATES	561 291	497 660	487 879	9 781	63 631	(X)	63 631
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 412 763	1 404 672	1 335 062	69 610	17 226	8 091	9 136
COUNTIES	1 013 652	1 006 601	959 162	47 439	7 052	4 323	2 728
MUNICIPALITIES	408 246	398 072	375 901	22 171	10 175	3 767	6 407
ALABAMA	21 851	21 851	21 332	519	380	278	102
STATE	6 197	6 197	6 073	124	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 931	15 654	15 259	395	380	278	102
COUNTIES	14 059	14 059	13 683	376	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 974	1 594	1 576	19	380	278	102
ALASKA	12 587	12 587	12 196	392	82	82	-
STATE	12 482	12 482	12 091	391	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	187	105	105	1	82	82	-
BOROUGHS	77	-	-	-	77	77	-
MUNICIPALITIES	110	105	105	1	4	4	-
ARIZONA	21 188	21 188	19 257	1 932	33	-	33
STATE	3 236	3 236	3 001	235	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 952	17 952	16 256	1 697	33	-	33
COUNTIES	15 509	15 485	13 807	1 678	24	-	24
MUNICIPALITIES	2 476	2 467	2 449	18	9	-	9
ARKANSAS	7 532	7 532	7 348	184	264	16	248
STATE	2 097	2 097	2 019	78	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 450	5 435	5 329	106	264	16	248
COUNTIES	4 452	4 386	4 284	102	66	9	57
MUNICIPALITIES	1 246	1 049	1 045	4	198	7	191
CALIFORNIA	266 837	266 837	251 925	14 912	1 296	225	1 071
STATE	28 446	27 546	27 461	85	900	(X)	900
LOCAL, TOTAL	239 517	239 291	224 464	14 827	396	225	171
COUNTIES	228 633	228 245	213 485	14 760	388	225	163
MUNICIPALITIES	11 054	11 046	10 979	67	8	-	8
COLORADO	26 601	26 601	25 174	1 427	6	4	1
STATE	18 733	18 733	17 423	1 310	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 872	7 868	7 751	117	6	4	1
COUNTIES	2 125	2 120	2 039	81	4	4	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 749	5 747	5 711	36	1	-	1
CONNECTICUT	22 960	22 960	21 263	1 696	258	2	256
STATE	22 829	22 580	20 890	1 690	249	(X)	249
LOCAL, TOTAL	382	380	373	6	9	2	7
MUNICIPALITIES	389	380	373	6	9	2	7
DELAWARE	8 604	8 604	8 405	198	122	-	122
STATE	7 178	7 056	6 875	181	122	(X)	122
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 548	1 548	1 530	17	-	-	-
COUNTIES	995	995	986	9	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	553	553	544	8	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	19 112	19 112	19 112	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 112	19 112	19 112	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	19 112	19 112	19 112	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	85 405	85 405	82 856	2 548	19	17	1
STATE	31 639	31 639	31 073	566	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	53 783	53 766	51 783	1 982	19	17	1
COUNTIES	46 459	46 442	44 760	1 682	16	15	1
MUNICIPALITIES	7 326	7 323	7 023	300	2	2	-
GEORGIA	37 801	37 801	36 457	1 344	137	11	126
STATE	7 112	7 112	7 046	66	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 700	30 689	29 411	1 278	137	11	126
COUNTIES	27 846	27 793	26 534	1 259	53	11	42
MUNICIPALITIES	2 980	2 897	2 877	19	84	-	84

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	8 461	8 461	8 351	110	-	-	-
STATE.	8 461	8 461	8 351	110	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	5 908	5 908	5 597	311	106	-	106
STATE.	3 247	3 247	3 199	48	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 661	2 661	2 398	263	105	-	106
COUNTIES	2 611	2 606	2 349	257	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	156	55	48	6	101	-	101
ILLINOIS	87 365	87 365	86 022	1 343	259	2	257
STATE.	30 340	30 332	30 152	180	6	(X)	8
LOCAL, TOTAL	57 034	57 033	55 870	1 163	251	2	249
COUNTIES	56 847	56 596	55 433	1 163	251	2	249
MUNICIPALITIES	437	437	437	-	-	-	-
INDIANA	24 386	24 386	23 962	424	641	102	539
STATE.	5 009	4 635	4 541	94	374	(X)	374
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 853	19 751	19 421	330	267	102	165
COUNTIES	14 122	13 883	13 570	313	239	82	157
MUNICIPALITIES	5 896	5 868	5 851	17	28	21	8
IOWA	17 794	17 794	17 676	118	473	44	428
STATE.	5 918	5 918	5 899	19	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 921	11 876	11 777	99	473	44	428
COUNTIES	12 324	11 870	11 772	98	454	43	411
MUNICIPALITIES	25	6	5	1	19	2	17
KANSAS	15 927	15 927	14 395	1 533	16	1	15
STATE.	5 377	5 377	4 266	1 111	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 551	10 550	10 129	422	16	1	15
COUNTIES	9 318	9 302	8 890	412	16	1	15
MUNICIPALITIES	1 248	1 248	1 238	10	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	19 288	19 288	18 748	540	121	-	121
STATE.	6 588	6 470	6 463	7	118	(X)	118
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 818	12 818	12 285	533	3	-	3
COUNTIES	10 462	10 462	10 056	406	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 359	2 356	2 229	127	3	-	3
LOUISIANA	29 162	29 162	28 647	515	-	-	-
STATE.	5 615	5 615	5 615	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 547	23 547	23 032	515	-	-	-
PARISHES	12 155	12 155	12 031	124	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	11 392	11 392	11 001	391	-	-	-
MAINE	6 115	6 115	5 854	261	342	-	342
STATE.	3 714	3 586	3 462	124	128	(X)	128
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 529	2 529	2 392	137	214	-	214
COUNTIES	2 511	2 511	2 375	137	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	232	18	18	-	214	-	214
MARYLAND	35 422	35 422	34 050	1 372	1 030	-	1 030
STATE.	21 018	19 992	19 828	164	1 026	(X)	1 026
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 430	15 430	14 222	1 208	4	-	4
COUNTIES	9 998	9 994	8 787	1 208	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	5 436	5 436	5 436	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	62 368	62 368	56 249	6 119	4 117	983	3 134
STATE.	12 646	11 215	11 196	19	1 431	(X)	1 431
LOCAL, TOTAL	52 136	51 153	45 053	6 100	2 686	983	1 703
COUNTIES	37 054	37 043	31 138	5 905	11	-	11
MUNICIPALITIES	16 786	14 111	13 915	195	2 675	983	1 692
MICHIGAN	94 065	94 065	91 340	2 724	4 596	399	4 197
STATE.	18 719	16 224	16 088	136	2 495	(X)	2 495
LOCAL, TOTAL	78 240	77 841	75 252	2 588	2 101	399	1 702
COUNTIES	58 700	58 121	56 928	1 193	579	28	551
MUNICIPALITIES	21 242	19 720	18 324	1 395	1 523	371	1 152

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government,
fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	27 247	27 247	26 826	421	325	17	307
STATE	4 722	4 722	4 623	99	—	(X)	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 542	22 525	22 203	322	325	17	307
COUNTIES	21 939	21 646	21 328	318	293	17	276
MUNICIPALITIES	911	879	875	4	32	—	32
MISSISSIPPI	10 797	10 797	10 720	77	9	5	3
STATE	2 960	2 960	2 920	40	—	(X)	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 843	7 837	7 800	37	9	5	3
COUNTIES	7 232	7 228	7 191	37	4	3	1
MUNICIPALITIES	614	609	609	—	5	3	2
MISSOURI	35 109	35 109	34 092	1 017	479	29	450
STATE	12 306	11 891	11 353	538	415	(X)	415
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 246	23 218	22 739	479	64	29	35
COUNTIES	15 230	15 167	14 851	316	63	29	35
MUNICIPALITIES	8 052	8 051	7 888	163	—	—	—
MONTANA	4 544	4 544	4 448	96	1	—	1
STATE	1 135	1 135	1 114	21	—	(X)	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 409	3 409	3 334	75	1	—	1
COUNTIES	3 066	3 065	2 991	74	1	—	1
MUNICIPALITIES	344	344	343	2	—	—	—
NEBRASKA	12 064	12 064	11 936	127	16	5	11
STATE	5 929	5 929	5 910	19	—	(X)	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 140	6 135	6 026	108	16	5	11
COUNTIES	5 053	5 037	4 936	101	15	5	10
MUNICIPALITIES	1 098	1 097	1 090	7	1	—	1
NEVADA	6 771	6 771	6 634	137	7	7	—
STATE	1 306	1 306	1 296	10	—	(X)	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 471	5 465	5 338	127	7	7	—
COUNTIES	4 444	4 437	4 329	108	7	7	—
MUNICIPALITIES	1 028	1 028	1 009	18	—	—	—
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5 100	5 100	4 871	229	195	189	6
STATE	1 535	1 535	1 522	13	—	(X)	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 754	3 565	3 349	216	195	189	6
COUNTIES	2 669	2 487	2 272	214	183	183	—
MUNICIPALITIES	1 091	1 079	1 077	2	12	6	6
NEW JERSEY	74 209	74 209	73 775	435	1 797	135	1 663
STATE	17 846	16 318	16 173	145	1 528	(X)	1 528
LOCAL, TOTAL	58 026	57 891	57 602	290	269	135	135
COUNTIES	44 999	44 999	44 717	282	—	—	—
MUNICIPALITIES	13 162	12 893	12 885	8	269	135	135
NEW MEXICO	6 916	6 916	6 703	213	1	—	1
STATE	5 564	5 564	5 353	211	—	(X)	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 352	1 352	1 350	2	1	—	1
COUNTIES	285	284	284	—	1	—	1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 068	1 068	1 066	2	—	—	—
NEW YORK	269 380	269 380	251 596	17 784	26 791	338	26 453
STATE	73 902	47 828	47 658	170	26 074	(X)	26 074
LOCAL, TOTAL	221 890	221 552	203 938	17 614	717	338	379
COUNTIES	61 981	61 751	60 084	1 667	231	213	18
MUNICIPALITIES	160 287	159 801	143 854	15 947	486	125	361
NORTH CAROLINA	35 415	35 415	32 069	3 346	6	5	3
STATE	25 936	25 936	25 608	328	—	(X)	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 484	9 479	6 461	3 018	8	5	3
COUNTIES	9 486	9 478	6 460	3 018	7	5	2
MUNICIPALITIES	2	1	1	—	1	—	1
NORTH DAKOTA	3 902	3 902	3 880	22	—	—	—
STATE	1 103	1 103	1 094	9	—	(X)	—
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 799	2 799	2 786	13	—	—	—
COUNTIES	2 479	2 479	2 467	11	—	—	—
MUNICIPALITIES	320	320	319	2	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 27. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	84 707	84 707	82 299	2 408	2 984	33	2 950
STATE	12 496	10 183	9 884	299	2 313	(X)	2 313
LOCAL, TOTAL	74 558	74 524	72 415	2 109	671	33	637
COUNTIES	51 646	51 461	49 760	1 701	186	23	163
MUNICIPALITIES	23 548	23 063	22 655	408	485	10	475
OKLAHOMA	14 862	14 862	14 514	348	710	614	97
STATE	6 349	6 335	6 291	44	14	(X)	14
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 140	8 527	8 223	304	696	614	83
COUNTIES	7 628	6 932	6 646	286	696	614	83
MUNICIPALITIES	1 595	1 595	1 577	18	-	-	-
OREGON	20 381	20 381	20 126	255	413	52	361
STATE	5 494	5 253	5 253	-	241	(X)	241
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 180	15 128	14 873	255	172	52	120
COUNTIES	14 214	14 054	13 804	250	160	40	120
MUNICIPALITIES	1 086	1 074	1 069	5	12	12	-
PENNSYLVANIA	114 127	114 127	112 501	1 626	24 170	58	24 102
STATE	49 847	25 847	25 847	-	24 000	(X)	24 000
LOCAL, TOTAL	88 338	88 280	86 654	1 626	160	58	102
COUNTIES	49 519	49 360	48 102	1 258	159	58	101
MUNICIPALITIES	38 921	38 920	38 552	368	1	-	1
RHODE ISLAND	8 207	8 207	8 123	84	-	-	-
STATE	7 906	7 906	7 823	83	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	301	301	300	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	301	301	300	1	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	15 106	15 106	13 800	1 306	52	27	25
STATE	1 934	1 934	1 789	145	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 199	13 172	12 011	1 161	52	27	25
COUNTIES	12 040	12 000	11 123	877	40	14	25
MUNICIPALITIES	1 184	1 172	888	284	12	12	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	4 529	4 529	4 416	113	248	105	143
STATE	2 065	2 065	2 003	62	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 569	2 464	2 413	51	248	105	143
COUNTIES	2 470	2 242	2 201	41	228	100	128
MUNICIPALITIES	242	222	212	11	20	5	15
TENNESSEE	30 012	30 012	27 197	2 815	1 096	55	1 041
STATE	6 565	6 565	6 043	522	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 502	23 447	21 154	2 293	1 096	55	1 041
COUNTIES	18 074	18 027	15 809	2 218	47	47	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 469	5 420	5 345	75	1 049	8	1 041
TEXAS	74 738	74 738	72 054	2 684	1 462	229	1 232
STATE	10 880	9 668	9 650	18	1 212	(X)	1 212
LOCAL, TOTAL	65 299	65 070	62 404	2 666	250	229	20
COUNTIES	54 400	54 302	52 880	1 422	98	89	9
MUNICIPALITIES	10 920	10 769	9 524	1 245	152	140	12
UTAH	6 416	6 416	6 181	235	100	-	100
STATE	2 148	2 064	2 054	10	84	(X)	84
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 352	4 352	4 127	225	16	-	16
COUNTIES	2 420	2 404	2 221	183	16	-	16
MUNICIPALITIES	1 947	1 947	1 906	41	-	-	-
VERMONT	3 359	3 359	3 300	59	20	-	20
STATE	3 196	3 196	3 155	41	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	153	163	145	18	20	-	20
COUNTIES	163	163	145	18	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	20	-	-	-	20	-	20
VIRGINIA	33 204	33 204	31 454	1 750	2 897	2 718	178
STATE	14 571	14 571	14 571	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 351	18 633	16 883	1 750	2 897	2 718	178
COUNTIES	10 542	9 435	8 518	917	1 107	1 084	23
MUNICIPALITIES	10 987	9 198	8 365	833	1 789	1 634	155

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	24 720	24 720	24 051	669	221	8	214
STATE.	4 548	4 502	4 422	80	46	(X)	46
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 226	20 218	19 629	589	175	8	168
COUNTIES	16 938	16 934	16 428	506	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 456	3 284	3 201	83	171	8	164
WEST VIRGINIA.	8 702	8 702	8 324	378	654	1	653
STATE.	2 816	2 163	2 076	87	653	(X)	653
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 541	6 539	6 248	291	1	1	-
COUNTIES	6 294	6 292	6 001	291	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	247	247	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN.	28 526	28 526	28 323	203	1 913	1 293	620
STATE.	8 661	8 461	8 412	49	200	(X)	200
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 357	20 065	19 911	154	1 713	1 293	420
COUNTIES	20 825	19 510	19 364	146	1 315	1 293	22
MUNICIPALITIES	953	555	547	8	398	-	398
WYOMING.	2 546	2 546	2 514	32	1	1	-
STATE.	970	970	970	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 577	1 576	1 544	32	1	1	-
COUNTIES	1 362	1 360	1 343	17	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	216	216	201	14	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

**Table 28. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of State governments,
fiscal year 1975**

(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Appellate courts			Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
		Total	Courts of last resort	Intermediate appellate courts			
TOTAL	487 879	96 321	47 866	48 455	240 643	87 211	63 704
ALABAMA	6 073	1 467	874	593	2 564	-	2 042
ALASKA	12 091	909	909	-	6 143	2 047	2 992
ARIZONA	3 001	1 804	777	1 027	980	-	217
ARKANSAS	2 019	426	426	-	1 380	-	213
CALIFORNIA	27 461	9 603	2 189	7 414	14 782	-	3 076
COLORADO	17 423	1 267	708	559	13 751	1 332	1 073
CONNECTICUT	20 890	602	602	-	7 665	9 937	2 686
DELAWARE	6 875	221	221	-	1 472	4 328	854
FLORIDA	31 073	3 922	1 926	1 996	18 780	-	8 371
GEORGIA	7 046	1 767	860	907	4 298	-	981
HAWAII	8 351	1 139	1 139	-	3 062	2 641	1 309
IDAHO	3 199	651	651	-	2 244	-	304
ILLINOIS	30 152	6 379	1 750	4 629	21 564	-	2 209
INDIANA	4 541	1 227	502	725	3 011	-	303
IOWA	5 899	947	947	-	4 474	-	478
KANSAS	4 266	1 030	1 030	-	2 993	-	243
KENTUCKY	6 463	918	918	-	2 569	-	2 976
LOUISIANA	5 615	2 499	835	1 664	2 659	342	115
MAINE	3 462	545	545	-	722	1 784	411
MARYLAND	19 828	1 740	865	875	3 034	13 477	1 577
MASSACHUSETTS	11 196	2 055	1 270	785	2 330	5 418	1 393
MICHIGAN	16 088	5 747	2 408	3 339	3 555	4 000	2 786
MINNESOTA	4 623	1 466	1 466	-	2 473	-	684
MISSISSIPPI	2 920	716	716	-	1 945	-	259
MISSOURI	11 353	2 790	1 102	1 688	3 093	3 961	1 509
MONTANA	1 114	333	333	-	760	-	21
NEBRASKA	5 910	546	546	-	1 545	2 862	957
NEVADA	1 296	508	508	-	724	-	64
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 522	374	374	-	821	265	61
NEW JERSEY	16 173	2 634	993	1 641	6 564	-	6 975
NEW MEXICO	5 353	702	417	285	3 032	1 145	474
NEW YORK	47 658	12 070	1 906	10 164	21 906	5 092	8 590
NORTH CAROLINA	25 608	1 370	688	682	15 674	6 908	1 656
NORTH DAKOTA	1 094	352	352	-	600	-	142
OHIO	9 884	3 837	1 713	2 124	5 893	-	154
OKLAHOMA	6 291	1 379	1 136	243	4 878	-	34
OREGON	5 253	1 658	1 213	445	3 455	115	25
PENNSYLVANIA	25 847	5 180	2 797	2 383	13 439	7 045	183
RHODE ISLAND	7 823	1 055	1 055	-	2 568	2 525	1 675
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 789	462	462	-	1 169	-	158
SOUTH DAKOTA	2 003	496	496	-	1 507	-	-
TENNESSEE	6 043	1 612	747	865	3 695	-	736
TEXAS	9 650	3 948	1 581	2 367	5 289	-	413
UTAH	2 054	258	258	-	1 031	765	-
VERMONT	3 155	315	315	-	899	1 521	420
VIRGINIA	14 571	1 274	1 274	-	3 512	9 700	85
WASHINGTON	4 422	2 006	951	1 055	1 468	-	948
WEST VIRGINIA	2 076	532	532	-	1 402	-	142
WISCONSIN	8 412	1 271	1 271	-	6 633	-	508
WYOMING	970	312	312	-	636	-	22

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government; see text for data limitations.

Table 29. Judicial expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	788 017	784 229	745 344	38 885	9 352	27 471	2 062	3 788	2 262	1 526
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	374	374	373	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	3 055	3 055	2 969	86	86	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	1 006	1 006	891	115	23	92	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	2 052	2 052	2 005	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	892	892	880	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	559	559	534	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
GREATER ANCHORAGE	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	77	77	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	7 934	7 934	6 806	1 128	139	989	-	-	-	-
PIMA	4 002	3 981	3 517	464	75	389	-	21	-	21
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	848	848	765	83	75	8	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	16 170	15 950	11 505	4 445	52	4 393	-	220	220	-
BUTTE	1 033	1 033	1 025	8	4	4	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	6 194	6 194	5 975	219	124	95	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	3 499	3 499	3 464	35	28	7	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT	1 052	1 052	1 045	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	3 712	3 712	3 111	601	26	575	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	92 781	92 781	88 565	4 216	417	3 615	184	-	-	-
MARIN	2 586	2 586	2 569	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED	800	800	795	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	1 982	1 982	1 943	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	14 905	14 905	14 620	285	147	138	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	6 601	6 439	5 628	811	212	599	-	162	-	162
SACRAMENTO	6 679	6 679	6 304	375	55	320	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	8 154	8 154	8 047	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	16 897	16 897	16 793	104	90	14	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	2 814	2 814	2 802	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 233	1 233	1 164	69	9	60	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	5 657	5 657	5 549	108	49	59	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	2 875	2 875	2 856	19	12	7	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	10 303	10 303	9 559	944	49	895	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	1 268	1 268	1 247	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	2 062	2 062	1 847	215	19	196	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	2 451	2 451	1 518	933	10	923	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	2 291	2 291	2 047	244	36	160	48	-	-	-
TULARE	1 601	1 601	1 365	236	23	213	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	4 610	4 610	4 010	600	67	533	-	-	-	-
YOLO	1 033	1 033	1 028	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	196	196	196	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	436	436	359	77	-	77	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	135	135	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	769	769	769	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	261	261	261	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WELD	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	766	766	764	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	903	903	562	341	26	315	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	1 183	1 183	1 165	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	3 833	3 833	3 411	422	122	300	-	-	-	-
DADE	14 634	14 634	14 393	241	217	24	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	1 400	1 400	1 373	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	3 372	3 372	3 308	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	859	859	774	85	85	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	885	885	851	34	34	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Judicial expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED									
MANATEE	547	547	532	15	15	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	2 135	2 135	2 085	50	50	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	1 812	1 812	1 789	23	23	-	-	-	-
PASCO	889	889	851	38	38	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	3 399	3 399	3 357	42	42	-	-	-	-
POLK	868	868	816	52	52	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	930	930	849	81	57	24	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	597	597	585	12	12	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	1 238	1 238	1 232	6	6	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:									
BIBB	916	916	879	37	37	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	1 427	1 377	1 329	48	48	-	-	50	10
CLAYTON	1 000	1 000	977	23	23	-	-	-	40
COBB	1 424	1 424	1 342	82	82	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	2 546	2 546	2 521	25	25	-	-	-	-
FULTON	7 759	7 759	7 281	478	232	246	-	-	-
RICHMOND	913	913	892	21	21	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:									
ADA	512	512	488	24	24	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:									
CHAMPAIGN	349	349	347	2	2	-	-	-	-
COOK	41 776	41 552	40 584	968	221	747	-	224	224
DU PAGE	1 924	1 924	1 902	22	22	-	-	-	-
KANE	636	636	632	4	4	-	-	-	-
LAKE	1 012	1 012	957	55	55	-	-	22	22
LA SALLE	289	267	263	4	4	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	331	331	325	6	6	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	439	439	432	7	7	-	-	-	-
MACON	370	370	370	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	1 014	1 014	986	28	16	12	-	-	-
PEORIA	455	455	455	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	362	362	353	9	9	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	747	747	747	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAUGANON	430	430	429	1	1	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	295	295	292	3	3	-	-	-	-
WILL	771	771	771	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	753	753	741	12	12	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:									
ALLEN	1 567	1 557	1 533	24	24	-	-	10	10
DELAWARE	367	363	363	-	-	-	-	4	4
ELKHART	428	426	406	20	20	-	-	2	2
LAKE	2 209	2 178	2 167	11	11	-	-	31	31
LA PORTE	295	290	283	7	7	-	-	5	2
MADISON	478	464	457	7	7	-	-	14	14
ST. JOSEPH	1 024	1 024	1 024	-	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	275	275	271	4	4	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH	600	551	528	23	23	-	-	49	9
VIGO	503	488	468	20	20	-	-	15	15
IOWA:									
BLACK HAWK	719	719	715	4	4	-	-	-	-
LINN	802	762	756	6	6	-	-	40	40
POLK	2 069	2 069	2 069	-	-	-	-	61	61
SCOTT	556	495	492	3	3	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	627	627	627	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:									
JOHNSON	937	933	878	55	55	-	-	4	4
SEDWICK	2 061	2 061	2 010	51	51	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	856	856	825	31	31	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	1 012	1 012	1 012	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:									
JEFFERSON	1 130	1 130	1 107	23	23	-	-	-	-
KENTON	416	416	296	120	5	115	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Judicial expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
LOUISIANA:									
CADDY	556	556	495	61	16	45	-	-	-
CALCASTEU	507	507	504	3	3	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	3 453	3 453	3 453	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	369	369	367	2	2	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	321	321	315	6	1	5	-	-	-
RAPIDES	319	319	319	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:									
CUMBERLAND	496	496	368	128	6	122	-	-	-
PENOBSKOT	337	337	337	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	285	285	282	3	3	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:									
ANNE ARUNDEL	874	874	835	39	39	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	1 462	1 462	1 451	11	11	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	606	604	574	30	30	-	2	-	2
MONTGOMERY	2 408	2 408	1 998	410	14	396	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	2 190	2 190	2 174	16	16	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	211	209	170	39	4	35	-	-	2
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BARNSTABLE	904	901	894	7	7	-	-	3	3
BERKSHIRE	744	744	717	27	7	20	-	-	-
BRISTOL	2 679	2 679	2 564	115	59	56	-	-	-
ESSEX	3 602	3 594	3 573	21	19	2	-	8	8
HAMPDEN	6 403	6 403	3 035	3 368	-	3 368	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	564	564	564	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	10 515	10 515	9 456	1 059	11	1 048	-	-	-
NORFOLK	3 626	3 626	3 603	23	23	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	3 419	3 419	2 269	1 150	39	1 111	-	-	-
WORCESTER	4 229	4 229	4 096	133	12	121	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:									
BAY	774	774	761	13	13	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	1 421	1 417	1 377	40	38	2	-	4	4
CALHOUN	1 112	1 106	1 094	12	12	-	-	6	6
GENESEE	3 474	3 435	3 420	15	15	-	-	39	39
INGHAM	1 803	1 803	1 788	15	10	5	-	-	-
JACKSON	854	854	839	15	15	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	1 085	1 085	1 082	3	3	-	-	-	-
KENT	1 516	1 512	1 417	95	31	64	-	4	4
MACOMB	3 198	3 187	3 178	9	9	-	-	11	11
MONROE	902	876	851	25	25	-	-	26	26
MUSKEGON	1 476	1 476	1 233	243	12	231	-	-	-
OAKLAND	4 548	4 525	4 481	44	44	-	-	23	23
OTTAWA	569	569	556	13	13	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	1 443	1 443	1 431	12	12	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	885	885	879	6	6	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW	1 585	1 572	1 546	26	26	-	-	13	13
WAYNE	19 680	19 300	19 225	75	75	-	-	380	380
MINNESOTA:									
ANOKA	809	809	801	8	8	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	790	764	764	-	-	-	-	26	26
HENNEPIN	5 856	5 856	5 790	66	66	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY	2 120	2 120	2 106	14	14	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 640	1 632	1 616	16	16	-	-	8	8
MISSISSIPPI:									
HARRISON	807	807	800	7	7	-	-	-	-
HINDS	699	699	696	3	3	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	355	355	352	3	3	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:									
CLAY	376	376	371	5	5	-	-	-	-
GREENE	472	472	462	10	1	9	-	-	-
JACKSON	3 985	3 985	3 892	93	93	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	324	324	319	5	5	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES	340	340	338	2	2	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	5 522	5 522	5 440	82	30	52	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 29. Judicial expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion			
NEBRASKA:									
DOUGLAS	1 828	1 828	1 805	23	23	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	879	879	871	8	8	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:									
CLARK	2 168	2 168	2 105	63	63	-	-	-	-
WASHOE.	1 359	1 359	1 350	9	9	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
HILLSBOROUGH.	860	773	661	112	8	104	-	87	-
ROCKINGHAM.	470	410	385	25	25	-	-	60	60
NEW JERSEY:									
ATLANTIC.	846	846	846	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN.	4 220	4 220	4 210	10	10	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON.	1 040	1 040	1 040	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN.	2 387	2 387	2 387	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND.	693	693	676	17	17	-	-	-	-
ESSEX.	11 177	11 177	11 140	37	37	-	-	-	-
GOULCESTER.	642	642	642	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON.	4 093	4 093	4 093	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER.	2 222	2 222	2 222	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX.	3 382	3 382	3 368	14	14	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH.	1 758	1 758	1 758	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS.	1 162	1 162	1 154	8	8	-	-	-	-
OCEAN.	1 122	1 122	1 122	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC.	4 164	4 164	4 164	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET.	830	830	785	45	6	39	-	-	-
UNION.	3 872	3 872	3 773	99	88	11	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:									
BERNALILLO.	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY.	1 202	1 201	1 174	27	27	-	-	1	-
BROOME.	618	618	605	13	13	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA.	507	507	486	21	21	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG.	395	395	385	10	2	8	-	-	-
DUTCHESS.	1 167	1 167	1 159	8	8	-	-	-	-
ERIE.	4 443	4 443	4 326	117	117	-	-	-	-
MONROE.	3 687	3 687	3 567	120	120	-	-	-	-
NASSAU.	17 439	17 439	17 052	387	227	160	-	-	-
NIAGARA.	1 191	1 190	1 156	34	34	-	-	1	-
ONEIDA.	1 052	1 052	951	101	10	91	-	-	-
ONONDAGA.	2 096	2 096	1 880	216	22	194	-	-	-
ORANGE.	1 625	1 623	1 606	17	17	-	-	2	-
OSWEGO.	479	479	471	8	7	1	-	-	-
RENSSELAER.	530	530	522	8	8	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND.	1 057	1 044	982	62	19	43	-	13	-
ST. LAWRENCE.	377	377	374	3	3	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA.	441	441	439	2	2	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY.	643	643	615	28	28	-	-	-	-
STEUBEN.	395	395	383	12	5	7	-	-	-
SUFFOLK.	9 252	9 252	9 158	94	94	-	-	-	-
ULSTER.	685	685	463	222	10	212	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER.	5 428	5 420	5 392	28	28	-	-	8	4
NORTH CAROLINA:									
BUNCOMBE.	333	333	316	17	6	-	11	-	-
CUMBERLAND.	1 805	1 805	354	1 451	3	-	1 448	-	-
DURHAM.	89	89	77	12	12	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH.	521	521	521	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASTON.	258	258	222	36	8	-	28	-	-
GUILFORD.	625	625	600	25	25	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG.	1 182	1 182	934	248	16	232	-	-	-
ONSLOW.	306	306	113	193	-	193	-	-	-
WAKE.	41	41	25	16	16	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Judicial expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure			To State govern- ments	To local govern- ments		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total					
				Total	Equip- ment	Construc- tion	Land					
OHIO:												
ALLEN	545	545	505	40	40	-	-	-	-	-		
ASHTABULA	391	391	388	3	3	-	-	-	-	-		
BUTLER	848	848	783	65	65	-	-	-	-	-		
CLARK	632	632	545	87	13	74	-	-	-	-		
CLERMONT	425	425	410	15	15	-	-	-	-	-		
COLUMBIANA	468	468	462	6	6	-	-	-	-	-		
CUYAHOGA	10 563	10 563	10 490	73	73	570	-	-	-	-		
FRANKLIN	3 665	3 665	3 065	600	30	-	-	-	-	-		
GREENE	532	532	520	12	12	-	-	-	-	-		
HAMILTON	5 411	5 411	5 348	63	63	-	-	-	-	-		
LAKE	867	867	849	18	18	-	-	-	-	-		
LICKING	463	463	440	23	23	-	-	-	-	-		
LORAIN	894	894	885	9	9	-	-	-	-	-		
LUCAS	2 601	2 598	2 556	42	42	-	-	-	3	3		
MAHONING	1 190	1 190	1 187	3	3	-	-	-	-	-		
MONTGOMERY	3 362	3 362	3 362	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PORTEAGE	765	765	765	-	-	-	-	-	51	51		
RICHLAND	496	445	445	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
STARK	1 387	1 387	1 309	78	78	-	-	-	-	-		
SUMMIT	2 237	2 237	2 227	10	10	-	-	-	-	-		
TRUMBULL	1 000	1 000	997	3	3	-	-	-	-	-		
OKLAHOMA:												
COMANCHE	376	347	320	27	27	-	-	-	29	29		
OKLAHOMA	2 136	1 933	1 839	94	89	5	-	-	203	203		
TULSA	1 866	1 717	1 595	122	42	80	-	-	149	146		
OREGON:												
CLACKAMAS	793	793	707	86	11	75	-	-	-	-		
JACKSON	476	476	466	10	10	-	-	-	-	-		
LANE	2 028	2 028	1 990	38	38	-	-	-	-	-		
MARION	764	764	759	5	5	-	-	-	-	-		
MULTNOMAH	5 549	5 428	5 382	46	18	8	20	-	121	38		
WASHINGTON	594	594	587	7	7	-	-	-	-	83		
PENNSYLVANIA:												
ALLEGHENY	10 203	10 203	9 832	371	371	-	-	-	-	-		
BEAVER	1 487	1 487	1 458	29	29	-	-	-	-	-		
BERKS	1 413	1 413	1 359	54	54	-	-	-	-	-		
BLAIR	543	543	536	7	7	-	-	-	-	-		
BUCKS	2 756	2 756	2 667	89	27	62	-	-	-	-		
BUTLER	458	458	454	4	4	-	-	-	-	-		
CAMBRIA	806	806	790	16	16	-	-	-	-	-		
CENTRE	490	490	485	5	5	-	-	-	-	-		
CHESTER	1 608	1 608	1 577	31	31	-	-	-	-	-		
CUMBERLAND	592	592	587	5	5	-	-	-	-	-		
DAUPHIN	1 309	1 309	1 295	14	14	-	-	-	-	-		
DELAWARE	3 792	3 792	3 766	26	26	-	-	-	-	-		
ERIE	1 313	1 313	1 289	24	24	-	-	-	-	-		
FAYETTE	694	694	591	103	27	76	-	-	-	-		
FRANKLIN	325	325	325	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LACKAWANNA	1 052	1 052	1 006	46	-	46	-	-	-	-		
LANCASTER	1 197	1 197	1 191	6	6	-	-	-	-	-		
LAWRENCE	458	458	444	14	6	8	-	-	-	-		
LEBANON	418	418	409	9	9	-	-	-	-	-		
LEHIGH	1 121	1 121	1 094	27	27	-	-	-	-	-		
LUZERNE	1 518	1 318	1 294	24	12	12	-	-	-	-		
LYCOMING	764	764	756	8	8	-	-	-	-	-		
MERCER	603	603	559	44	7	37	-	-	-	-		
MONTGOMERY	4 162	4 162	4 119	43	29	14	-	-	-	-		
NORTHAMPTON	1 026	1 026	1 020	6	6	-	-	-	-	-		
SCHUYLKILL	819	819	721	98	2	96	-	-	-	-		
WASHINGTON	964	864	861	3	3	-	-	-	-	-		
WESTMORELAND	1 445	1 445	1 405	40	40	-	-	-	100	-		
YORK	1 174	1 174	1 162	12	12	-	-	-	-	100		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Judicial expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
ANDERSON	473	473	431	42	3	-	39	-	-
CHARLESTON	1 205	1 205	1 172	33	30	3	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	960	947	907	40	40	-	-	13	13
LEXINGTON	452	448	447	1	1	-	-	4	4
RICHLAND	1 654	1 654	1 599	55	55	-	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG	1 065	1 058	960	98	25	1	72	7	7
TENNESSEE:									
HAMILTON	1 504	1 504	1 488	16	16	-	-	-	-
KNOX.	2 883	2 883	1 308	1 575	13	1 562	-	-	-
SHELBY	4 319	4 319	4 308	11	11	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN	388	388	386	2	2	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:									
BELL	375	375	372	3	3	-	-	-	-
BEXAR	2 388	2 388	2 299	89	19	70	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	436	436	431	5	5	-	-	-	-
CAMERON	533	533	532	1	1	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	8 605	8 605	8 456	149	132	17	-	-	-
EL PASO	905	905	891	14	1	13	-	-	-
GALVESTON	954	954	899	55	3	52	-	-	-
HARRIS	12 507	12 507	11 954	553	553	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	544	544	538	6	6	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 085	1 085	1 082	3	3	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	486	486	483	3	3	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	450	450	450	-	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES	1 337	1 336	1 225	111	111	-	-	1	1
SMITH	267	267	267	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	2 843	2 843	2 794	49	49	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	397	397	392	5	5	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	1 568	1 568	1 518	50	25	25	-	-	-
WICHITA	346	346	346	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
DAVIS	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE	1 110	1 110	1 101	9	9	-	-	-	-
UTAH	192	192	187	5	5	-	-	-	-
WEBER	233	233	231	2	1	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:									
CHITTENDEN	73	73	64	9	9	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ARLINGTON	1 311	890	755	135	11	124	-	421	421
FAIRFAX	3 351	3 147	2 890	257	45	-	212	204	204
HENRICO	849	849	764	85	47	38	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	607	504	494	10	10	-	-	103	103
WASHINGTON:									
CLARK	841	841	815	26	4	22	-	-	-
KING	6 151	6 151	5 846	305	60	245	-	-	-
KITSAP	412	412	405	7	7	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	1 454	1 454	1 452	2	2	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	1 413	1 413	1 381	32	32	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	1 175	1 175	1 151	24	24	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	728	728	717	11	11	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CABELL	545	545	545	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	823	823	817	6	6	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:									
BROWN	437	369	361	8	8	-	-	68	68
DANE	1 524	1 411	1 403	8	8	-	-	113	113
KENOSHA	631	579	557	22	22	-	-	52	52
MARATHON	506	269	265	4	4	-	-	37	37
MILWAUKEE	7 542	7 542	7 519	23	23	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	420	315	315	-	-	-	-	105	105
RACINE	964	874	874	-	-	-	-	90	90
ROCK	608	555	555	-	-	-	-	53	53
WAUKESHA	882	810	798	12	8	4	-	72	72
WINNEBAGO	443	392	392	-	-	-	-	51	51

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 30. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
TOTAL	744 893	347 402	246 473	151 018
ALABAMA:				
CALHOUN	373	189	163	21
JEFFERSON	2 969	1 071	1 419	479
MADISON	891	445	270	176
MOBILE	2 005	700	812	183
MONTGOMERY	880	353	349	178
TUSCALOOSA	534	272	234	28
ALASKA:				
GREATER ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:				
MARICOPA	6 806	5 429	1 377	-
PIMA	3 517	2 732	432	353
ARKANSAS:				
PULASKI	765	464	34	267
CALIFORNIA:				
ALAMEDA	11 505	2 225	6 181	3 099
BUTTE	1 025	251	354	420
CONTRA COSTA	5 975	1 250	3 025	1 700
FRESNO	3 464	1 096	1 767	601
HUMBOLDT	1 045	95	506	444
KERN	3 111	926	1 207	978
LOS ANGELES	88 565	47 743	38 338	2 484
MARIN	2 569	387	936	1 246
MERCED	795	162	432	201
MONTEREY	1 943	674	1 154	115
ORANGE	14 620	2 825	9 521	2 274
RIVERSIDE	5 628	1 185	2 004	2 439
SACRAMENTO	6 304	1 892	2 681	1 731
SAN BERNARDINO	8 047	2 142	3 520	2 385
SAN DIEGO	16 793	3 168	6 788	6 837
SAN JOAQUIN	2 802	500	1 500	802
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 164	381	522	261
SAN MATEO	5 549	1 620	2 109	1 820
SANTA BARBARA	2 856	1 491	1 306	59
SANTA CLARA	9 359	2 532	4 078	2 749
SANTA CRUZ	1 247	401	626	220
SOLANO	1 847	291	765	791
SONOMA	1 518	356	685	477
STANISLAUS	2 047	334	844	869
TULARE	1 365	244	738	383
VENTURA	4 010	1 021	1 488	1 501
YOLO	1 028	194	386	448
COLORADO:				
ADAMS	196	32	-	164
ARAPAHOE	359	55	30	274
BOULDER	135	-	-	135
EL PASO	769	74	-	695
JEFFERSON	261	-	-	261
LARIMER	55	-	-	55
PUEBLO	95	4	-	91
WELD	86	-	-	86
DELAWARE:				
NEW CASTLE	764	-	-	764
FLORIDA:				
ALACHUA	562	451	24	87
BREVARD	1 165	984	18	163
BROWARD	3 411	2 129	339	943
DADE	14 393	4 540	3 235	6 618
ESCAMBIA	1 373	838	117	418
HILLSBOROUGH	3 308	1 775	684	849
LEE	774	553	19	202
LEON	851	435	401	15
MANATEE	532	342	164	26
ORANGE	2 085	1 119	-	966
PALM BEACH	1 789	1 259	7	523
PASCO	851	759	45	47
PINELLAS	3 357	2 166	1 107	84

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
FLORIDA--CONTINUED				
POLK.	816	594	117	105
SARASOTA	849	795	-	54
SEMINOLE	585	408	130	47
VOLUSIA	1 232	689	355	188
GEORGIA:				
BIBB.	879	370	484	25
CHATHAM	1 329	812	515	2
CLAYTON	977	513	292	172
COBB.	1 342	477	670	195
DE KALB	2 521	1 007	1 416	98
FULTON	7 281	2 398	2 697	2 186
RICHMOND	892	190	387	315
IDAHO:				
ADA	488	418	-	70
ILLINOIS:				
CHAMPAIGN	347	282	-	65
COOK	40 584	40 000	-	584
DU PAGE	1 902	1 876	-	26
KANE	632	618	-	14
LAKE	957	943	-	14
LA SALLE	263	263	-	-
MCHENRY	325	320	-	5
MCLEAN	432	432	-	-
MACON	370	370	-	-
MADISON	986	860	-	126
PEORIA	455	428	-	27
ROCK ISLAND	353	338	-	15
ST. CLAIR	747	747	-	-
SANGAMON	429	411	-	18
TAZEWELL	292	292	-	-
WILL	771	771	-	-
WINNEBAGO	741	725	-	16
INDIANA:				
ALLEN	1 533	1 526	-	7
DELAWARE	363	357	-	6
ELKHART	406	406	-	-
LAKE	2 167	1 965	202	-
LA PORTE	283	254	-	29
MADISON	457	444	-	13
ST. JOSEPH	1 024	329	93	602
TIPEECAHNE	271	271	-	-
VANDERBURGH	528	513	-	15
VIGO	468	468	-	-
IOWA:				
BLACK HAWK	715	680	-	35
LINN	756	743	-	13
POLK	2 069	1 872	-	197
SCOTT	492	441	-	51
WOODBURY	627	620	-	7
KANSAS:				
JOHNSON	878	386	362	130
SEDWICK	2 010	551	924	535
SHAWNEE	825	274	364	187
WYANDOTTE	1 012	335	533	144
KENTUCKY:				
JEFFERSON	1 107	325	736	46
KENTON	296	41	216	39
LOUISIANA:				
CADDY	495	202	121	172
CALCASIEU	504	291	65	148
JEFFERSON	3 453	318	535	2 600
LAFAYETTE	367	196	73	98
OUACHITA	315	161	32	122
RAPIDES	319	159	56	104
MAINE:				
CUMBERLAND	368	294	64	10
PENOBSCT	337	254	80	3
YORK	282	239	38	5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
MARYLAND:				
ANNE ARUNDEL	835	610	12	213
BALTIMORE	1 451	891	42	518
HARFORD	574	177	1	396
MONTGOMERY	1 998	1 081	39	878
PRINCE GEORGES	2 174	1 082	35	1 057
WASHINGTON	170	36	8	126
MASSACHUSETTS:				
BARNSTABLE	894	234	618	42
BERKSHIRE	717	277	398	42
BRISTOL	2 564	1 027	1 432	105
ESSEX	3 573	1 138	2 342	93
HAMDEN	3 036	1 095	1 862	78
HAMPSHIRE	564	239	296	29
MIDDLESEX	9 456	3 240	6 059	157
NORFOLK	3 603	1 431	2 127	45
PLYMOUTH	2 269	824	1 374	71
WORCESTER	4 096	1 454	2 542	100
MICHIGAN:				
BAY	761	297	396	68
BERRIEN	1 377	481	864	32
CALHOUN	1 094	432	622	40
GENESEE	3 420	1 914	1 265	241
INGHAM	1 788	995	765	28
JACKSON	839	415	415	9
KALAMAZOO	1 082	503	542	37
KENT	1 417	794	568	55
MACOMB	3 178	1 810	1 327	41
MONROE	851	520	493	38
MUSKEGON	1 233	442	627	164
OAKLAND	4 481	2 217	1 984	280
OTTAWA	556	193	334	29
SAGINAW	1 431	617	800	14
ST. CLAIR	879	313	507	59
WASHTENAW	1 546	902	520	124
WAYNE	19 225	9 988	8 062	1 175
MINNESOTA:				
ANOKA	801	566	-	135
DAKOTA	764	154	443	167
HENNEPIN	5 790	2 298	3 492	-
RAMSEY	2 106	1 316	393	397
ST. LOUIS	1 616	479	909	228
MISSISSIPPI:				
HARRISON	800	344	327	129
HINDS	696	338	284	74
JACKSON	352	154	177	21
MISSOURI:				
CLAY	371	185	111	75
GREENE	462	317	145	-
JACKSON	3 892	3 278	614	-
JEFFERSON	319	143	119	57
ST. CHARLES	338	100	101	137
ST. LOUIS	5 440	1 060	3 589	791
NEBRASKA:				
DOUGLAS	1 805	1 280	206	319
LANCASTER	871	399	83	389
NEVADA:				
CLARK	2 105	1 074	572	459
WASHOE	1 350	715	252	383
NEW HAMPSHIRE:				
HILLSBOROUGH	661	392	59	210
ROCKINGHAM	385	252	32	101
NEW JERSEY:				
ATLANTIC	846	346	226	274
BERGEN	4 210	1 469	1 477	1 264
BURLINGTON	1 040	385	260	395
CAMDEN	2 387	1 092	412	883
CUMBERLAND	676	513	162	201
ESSEX	11 140	2 335	2 204	6 601

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ²	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
NEW JERSEY--CONTINUED				
GLOUCESTER	642	221	128	293
HUDSON	4 093	1 779	1 291	1 023
MERCER	2 222	1 015	177	1 070
MIDDLESEX	3 368	653	675	2 040
MONMOUTH	1 758	378	486	894
MORRIS	1 154	557	450	147
OCEAN	1 122	378	198	546
PASSAIC	4 164	694	1 001	2 469
SOMERSET	785	375	141	269
UNION	3 773	2 494	1 190	89
NEW MEXICO:				
BERNALILLO.	78	-	45	33
NEW YORK:				
ALBANY.	1 174	218	590	366
BROOME.	605	56	396	153
CHAUTAUQUA.	486	36	348	102
CHEMUNG.	385	27	255	103
DUTCHESS.	1 159	21	570	568
ERIE.	4 326	764	1 869	1 693
MONROE.	3 567	758	2 231	578
NASSAU.	17 052	3 093	11 125	2 834
NIAGARA.	1 156	72	673	411
ONEIDA.	951	256	430	265
ONONDAGA.	1 880	110	941	829
ORANGE.	1 606	23	570	1 013
OSWEGO.	471	70	240	161
RENSSELAER.	522	15	275	232
ROCKLAND.	982	31	624	327
ST. LAWRENCE.	374	31	249	94
SARATOGA.	439	45	247	147
SCHENECTADY.	615	84	398	133
STEUBEN.	383	46	220	117
SUFFOLK.	9 158	1 326	6 635	1 197
ULSTER.	463	-	389	74
WESTCHESTER.	5 392	84	1 937	3 371
NORTH CAROLINA:				
BUNCOMBE.	316	-	-	316
CUMBERLAND.	354	137	-	217
DURHAM.	77	-	-	77
FORSYTH.	521	-	-	521
GASTON.	222	-	8	214
GUILFORD.	600	-	-	600
MECKLENBURG.	934	-	-	934
ONSLOW.	113	-	-	113
WAKE.	25	-	-	25
OHIO:				
ALLEN.	505	355	69	81
ASHTABULA.	388	203	53	132
BUTLER.	783	572	110	101
CLARK.	545	440	44	61
CLERMONT.	410	237	83	90
COLUMBIANA.	462	287	118	57
CUYAHOGA.	10 490	7 279	855	2 356
FRANKLIN.	3 065	1 734	260	1 071
GREENE.	520	350	90	80
HAMILTON.	5 348	4 094	233	1 021
LAKE.	849	632	87	130
LICKING.	440	329	33	78
LORAIN.	885	593	81	211
LUCAS.	2 556	2 080	183	293
MAHONING.	1 187	540	226	421
MONTGOMERY.	3 362	2 185	321	856
PORTAGE.	765	279	283	203
RICHLAND.	445	384	-	61
STARK.	1 309	947	141	221
SUMMIT.	2 227	1 399	204	624
TRUMBULL.	997	607	221	169
OKLAHOMA:				
COMANCHE.	320	281	-	39
OKLAHOMA.	1 839	1 122	-	717
TULSA.	1 595	1 179	-	416

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
OREGON:				
CLACKAMAS	707	199	114	394
JACKSON	466	152	71	243
LANE	1 990	1 429	52	509
MARION	759	298	123	338
MULTNOMAH	5 382	2 134	1 975	1 273
WASHINGTON	587	201	200	186
PENNSYLVANIA:				
ALLEGHENY	9 632	7 840	967	1 025
BEAVER	1 458	654	181	623
BERKS	1 359	828	263	268
BLAIR	536	369	89	78
BUCKS	2 667	1 584	653	430
BUTLER	454	291	95	68
CAMBRIA	790	497	185	108
CENTRE	485	262	130	93
CHESTER	1 577	1 084	354	139
CUMBERLAND	587	390	106	91
DAUPHIN	1 295	724	321	250
DELAWARE	3 766	2 562	731	473
ERIE	1 289	745	267	279
FAYETTE	591	362	91	138
FRANKLIN	325	171	58	96
LACKAWANNA	1 006	748	181	77
LANCASTER	1 191	662	385	144
LAWRENCE	444	300	64	80
LEBANON	409	253	61	95
LEHIGH	1 094	679	198	217
LUZERNE	1 294	948	192	154
LYCOMING	756	543	99	114
MERCER	559	390	101	68
MONTGOMERY	4 119	2 555	817	747
NORTHAMPTON	1 020	718	174	128
SCHUYLKILL	721	552	83	86
WASHINGTON	861	512	215	134
WESTMORELAND	1 405	1 018	289	98
YORK	1 162	684	252	226
SOUTH CAROLINA:				
ANDERSON	431	76	195	160
CHARLESTON	1 172	158	688	326
GREENVILLE	907	78	652	171
LEXINGTON	447	72	282	93
RICHLAND	1 599	178	992	429
SPARTANBURG	960	106	497	357
TENNESSEE:				
HAMILTON	1 488	806	396	286
KNOX	1 308	311	568	429
SHELBY	4 308	1 139	1 637	1 532
SULLIVAN	386	176	56	154
TEXAS:				
BELL	372	160	149	63
BEXAR	2 299	1 052	493	754
BRAZORIA	431	189	203	39
CAMERON	532	217	90	125
DALLAS	8 456	3 058	2 484	2 514
EL PASO	891	374	311	206
GALVESTON	899	372	302	225
HARRIS	11 954	3 393	2 633	5 928
HIDALGO	538	254	149	135
JEFFERSON	1 082	487	529	66
LUBBOCK	483	198	137	148
MCLENNAN	450	108	156	186
NUECES	1 225	280	750	195
SMITH	267	100	98	69
TARRANT	2 794	471	881	1 442
TAYLOR	392	95	250	47
TRAVIS	1 518	509	776	233
WICHITA	346	149	131	66

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 30. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
UTAH:				
DAVIS.	103	12	29	62
SALT LAKE.	1 101	265	479	357
UTAH.	187	71	56	60
WEBER.	231	109	11	111
VERMONT:				
CHITTENDEN.	64	60	-	4
VIRGINIA:				
ARLINGTON.	755	130	246	379
FAIRFAX.	2 890	480	1 139	1 271
HENRICO.	764	319	144	301
PRINCE WILLIAM	494	10	8	476
WASHINGTON:				
CLARK.	815	245	340	230
KING.	5 846	2 430	1 845	1 571
KITSAP.	405	261	132	12
PIERCE.	1 452	867	473	112
SNOHOMISH.	1 381	466	577	338
SPOKANE.	1 151	757	353	41
YAKIMA.	717	358	235	124
WEST VIRGINIA:				
CABELL.	545	146	293	106
KANAWHA.	817	169	563	85
WISCONSIN:				
BROWN.	361	361	-	-
DANE.	1 403	1 391	-	12
KENOSHA.	557	385	-	172
MARATHON.	265	265	-	-
MILWAUKEE.	7 519	7 519	-	-
OUTAGAMIE.	315	273	-	42
RACINE.	874	854	-	20
ROCK.	555	432	-	123
WAUKESHA.	798	798	-	-
WINNEBAGO.	392	392	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 31. | Judicial expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				
TOTAL	340 975	336 659	316 507	20 152	2 150	17 975	27	4 316	1 562	2 754
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	449	449	449	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	289	192	187	5	5	-	-	97	-	97
MONTGOMERY	379	136	131	5	5	-	-	243	243	-
TUSCALOOSA	117	117	110	7	-	7	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	1 239	1 239	1 229	10	3	7	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	111	111	106	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	374	374	374	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	109	100	100	-	-	-	-	9	-	9
LITTLE ROCK	191	94	93	1	1	-	-	97	-	97
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . .	137	89	89	-	-	-	-	48	-	48
PINE BLUFF	78	64	64	-	-	-	-	14	2	12
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 31. Judicial expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	11 003	10 995	10 928	67	67	-	8	8
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADOS:								
ARVADA	61	61	60	1	1	-	-	-
AURORA	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	151	151	146	5	5	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	236	236	233	3	3	-	-	-
DENVER	3 401	3 401	3 390	11	11	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	355	355	353	2	2	-	-	-
PUEBLO	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	6	6	1	5	5	-	-	-
DANBURY	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDAN	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	386	386	378	8	8	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	19 112	19 112	19 112	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	76	76	75	1	1	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	406	406	406	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	4 406	4 406	4 134	272	200	72	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	222	222	222	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	207	207	204	3	3	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 31. Judicial expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
GEORGIA:									
ALBANY.	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	1 037	958	953	5	5	-	79	-	79
AUGUSTA	52	52	51	1	1	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	1 135	1 135	1 125	10	10	-	-	-	-
MACON	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	130	130	128	2	2	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:									
HONOLULU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:									
BOISE CITY	101	13	7	6	3	-	3	88	-
ILLINOIS:									
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	271	271	271	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:									
ANDERSON	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	126	126	126	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	324	324	321	3	3	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	218	218	216	2	2	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	3 708	3 708	3 708	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	57	57	56	1	1	-	-	-	-
IOWA:									
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	5	5	4	1	1	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:									
KANSAS CITY	156	156	148	8	8	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	64	64	63	1	1	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	251	251	251	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:									
COVINGTON	57	57	55	2	2	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	923	923	816	107	23	84	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	517	517	516	1	1	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	42	42	41	1	1	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 31. | Judicial expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
LOUISIANA:									
BATON ROUGE	3 609	3 609	3 470	139	137	2	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	125	125	122	3	3	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	6 129	6 129	6 024	105	20	85	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	336	336	315	21	21	-	-	-	-
MAINE:									
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:									
BALTIMORE	5 420	5 420	5 420	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BOSTON	13 439	13 439	13 246	193	109	84	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:									
ANN ARBOR	517	517	503	14	14	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	182	182	180	2	2	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . .	394	394	194	200	200	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	8 468	7 846	7 814	32	32	-	-	622	622
FARMINGTON HILLS . . .	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	515	515	496	19	19	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	748	748	746	2	1	1	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	323	323	301	22	22	-	-	-	-
LANSING	543	528	512	16	8	8	-	15	15
LINCOLN PARK	98	98	96	2	2	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	214	214	211	3	3	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	456	456	434	22	22	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	187	187	187	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	204	203	202	1	1	-	-	1	1
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . .	102	102	89	13	3	10	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	521	521	494	27	2	25	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS . . .	197	197	193	4	4	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	1 133	1 133	373	760	26	734	-	-	-
WESTLAND	302	297	292	5	5	-	-	5	5
WYOMING	219	219	97	122	1	121	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:									
BLOOMINGTON	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	753	753	751	2	2	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:									
BILOXI	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	218	218	218	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 31. Judicial expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion			
MISSOURI:									
COLUMBIA	38	38	37	1	1	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	24	24	23	1	1	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	88	88	87	1	1	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	1 134	1 134	1 083	51	2	49	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	5 262	5 262	5 241	21	21	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	127	127	122	5	5	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:									
BILLINGS	59	59	58	1	1	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:									
LIN. JLN	379	378	375	3	3	-	1	-	1
OMAHA	716	716	712	4	4	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:									
LAS VEGAS	345	345	342	3	3	-	-	-	-
RENO	174	174	168	6	6	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
MANCHESTER	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:									
BAYONNE	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	238	238	238	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	404	404	404	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	494	494	494	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	1 494	1 494	1 493	1	1	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	205	205	205	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:									
ALBUQUERQUE	685	685	685	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY	251	251	250	1	1	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	150	150	150	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	1 844	1 844	1 182	662	-	654	8	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	228	228	223	5	5	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	252	252	252	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	140 333	140 333	126 089	14 244	-	14 244	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	213	213	212	1	1	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	683	683	683	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	397	397	395	2	2	-	-	-	-
TROY	118	118	112	6	6	-	-	-	-
UTICA	240	240	240	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	249	249	249	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	695	695	695	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:									
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 31. Judicial expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	To local governments
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	849	849	846	3	3	-	-	-
CANTON	382	382	370	12	12	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	2 791	2 540	2 540	-	-	-	-	251
CLEVELAND	4 022	4 022	4 022	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . .	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	2 552	2 552	2 503	49	15	34	-	-
DAYTON	883	883	883	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	252	230	219	11	11	-	-	22
EUCLID	135	135	134	1	1	-	-	-
HAMILTON	138	138	134	4	4	-	-	-
KETTERING	183	183	168	15	8	7	-	-
LAKESIDE	138	136	136	-	-	-	2	-
LIMA	278	278	267	11	11	-	-	-
LORAIN	195	195	187	8	8	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	321	321	321	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	319	315	308	7	7	-	-	4
SPRINGFIELD	328	328	326	2	2	-	-	-
TOLEDO	1 041	1 012	1 012	-	-	-	-	29
WARREN	328	328	325	3	3	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	533	533	529	4	4	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	116	116	109	7	7	-	-	-
MIDWEST	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . .	394	394	388	6	6	-	-	-
TULSA	265	265	264	1	1	-	-	-
OREGON:								
EUGENE	181	181	179	2	2	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	37 357	37 357	37 001	356	293	63	-	-
PITTSBURGH	366	366	364	2	2	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	172	172	171	1	1	-	-	-
WARWICK	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	39	39	38	1	1	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	508	508	255	253	84	169	-	-
GREENVILLE	62	62	60	2	2	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS	72	72	70	2	2	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	184	184	182	2	2	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	1 624	638	626	12	12	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . .	3 540	3 483	57	57	-	-	986	986

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 31. Judicial expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
TEXAS:									
ABILENE	61	61	59	2	2	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	147	147	146	1	1	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	223	223	219	4	4	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	461	461	461	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	245	245	239	6	6	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	1 364	1 364	1 350	14	14	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	271	271	271	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	453	453	453	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	49	49	47	2	2	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	2 697	2 697	1 516	1 181	2	1 179	-	-	-
IRVING	112	112	111	1	1	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	49	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	176	176	173	3	3	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	29	29	28	1	1	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	68	68	67	1	1	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	112	112	102	10	10	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	95	95	94	1	1	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	34	34	33	1	1	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	786	786	786	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	51	51	50	1	1	-	-	-	-
WACO	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	90	90	89	1	1	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
OGDEN	242	242	241	1	1	-	-	-	-
PROVO	95	95	92	3	3	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	709	709	687	22	-	22	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ALEXANDRIA	742	522	511	11	11	-	-	220	220
CHESAPEAKE	510	355	349	6	6	-	-	155	155
HAMPTON	705	490	271	219	3	216	-	215	215
LYNCHBURG	166	85	62	23	7	-	-	81	81
NEWPORT NEWS	994	843	824	19	19	-	-	151	151
NORFOLK	1 404	1 404	1 383	21	21	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	895	713	427	286	262	24	-	182	182
RICHMOND	2 113	2 113	2 113	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	910	617	539	78	69	9	-	293	293
VIRGINIA BEACH	710	710	698	12	12	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:									
BELLEVUE	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	1 655	1 655	1 587	68	3	65	-	-	-
SPOKANE	223	223	222	1	1	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	363	363	363	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CHARLESTON	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:									
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	41	41	40	1	1	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	370	5	5	-	-	-	-	365	365
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	23	23	17	6	6	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 32. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments,
October 1975**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Total judicial			October payroll ²	Appellate courts									
	Number of employees				Total appellate courts			Courts of last resort			Intermediate appellate courts			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			Number of employees		
					Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
TOTAL . . .	26 402	24 183	25 579	37 372	4 698	4 618	4 633	7 091	2 348	2 305	2 314	3 282	2 350	2 313
ALABAMA . . .	332	116	326	428	76	75	75	114	46	45	45	66	30	30
ALASKA. . .	553	427	456	703	36	33	34	66	36	33	34	66	-	-
ARIZONA . . .	151	85	145	227	85	85	85	133	34	34	34	50	51	51
ARKANSAS. . .	88	88	88	155	28	28	28	33	28	28	28	33	(X)	(X)
CALIFORNIA. . .	906	495	906	2 347	341	331	335	707	80	77	78	159	261	254
COLORADO. . .	1 130	1 074	1 082	1 258	79	78	78	113	40	40	40	56	39	38
CONNECTICUT . . .	1 141	1 111	1 121	1 169	35	35	35	53	35	35	35	53	(X)	(X)
DELAWARE. . .	492	492	492	485	12	12	12	19	12	12	12	19	(X)	(X)
FLORIDA . . .	1 228	1 136	1 160	1 961	206	206	206	257	93	93	93	104	113	113
GEORGIA . . .	349	315	342	509	100	100	100	146	48	48	48	65	52	52
HAWAII. . .	534	534	534	604	43	43	43	63	43	43	43	63	(X)	(X)
IDAHO . . .	184	178	180	254	60	56	57	63	60	56	57	63	(X)	(X)
ILLINOIS. . .	1 383	1 371	1 373	2 893	313	311	311	485	86	85	85	117	227	226
INDIANA . . .	294	112	294	459	93	90	90	107	42	39	39	46	51	51
IOWA. . .	361	187	229	468	42	41	41	57	42	41	41	57	(X)	(X)
KANSAS . . .	223	190	191	296	44	44	44	65	44	44	44	65	(X)	(X)
KENTUCKY. . .	284	284	284	423	50	50	50	67	50	50	50	67	(X)	-
LOUISIANA . . .	379	327	345	494	151	151	151	204	41	41	41	57	110	110
MAINE . . .	223	206	208	211	12	12	12	19	12	12	12	19	(X)	(X)
MARYLAND. . .	1 154	1 072	1 098	1 390	80	79	79	130	31	30	30	53	49	49
MASSACHUSETTS . . .	606	599	600	736	100	96	96	145	68	64	64	93	32	32
MICHIGAN. . .	590	590	590	1 086	236	236	236	385	75	75	75	129	161	161
MINNESOTA . . .	139	139	139	305	59	59	59	94	59	59	59	94	(X)	(X)
MISSISSIPPI . . .	129	114	116	221	41	41	41	51	41	41	41	51	(X)	(X)
MISSOURI . . .	909	880	883	1 032	195	192	192	241	88	88	88	101	107	104
MONTANA . . .	52	49	49	87	22	20	21	26	22	20	21	26	(X)	(X)
NEBRASKA. . .	473	419	431	488	31	28	29	43	31	28	29	43	(X)	(X)
NEVADA. . .	66	61	62	114	29	28	28	41	29	28	28	41	(X)	(X)
NEW HAMPSHIRE. . .	85	85	85	124	17	17	17	26	17	17	17	26	(X)	(X)
NEW JERSEY. . .	814	809	810	1 130	124	124	124	185	43	43	43	65	81	81
NEW MEXICO. . .	488	487	487	424	42	42	42	57	20	20	20	27	22	22
NEW YORK. . .	1 951	1 951	1 951	3 887	611	611	611	977	115	115	115	160	496	496
NORTH CAROLINA. . .	2 210	2 210	2 210	1 804	70	70	70	97	36	36	36	50	34	34
NORTH DAKOTA. . .	64	64	64	100	25	25	25	30	25	25	25	30	(X)	(X)
OHIO. . .	435	122	373	744	140	120	122	250	60	60	60	103	80	60
OKLAHOMA. . .	425	425	425	530	96	96	96	106	82	82	82	87	14	14
OREGON. . .	237	210	217	425	77	74	75	105	52	50	51	69	25	24
PENNSYLVANIA. . .	1 085	1 067	1 085	1 921	209	209	209	322	103	103	103	156	106	106
RHODE ISLAND. . .	441	437	438	464	50	46	47	54	50	46	47	54	(X)	(X)
SOUTH CAROLINA. . .	88	87	87	132	29	29	29	36	29	29	29	36	(X)	(X)
SOUTH DAKOTA. . .	418	367	376	338	24	24	24	31	24	24	24	31	(X)	(X)
TENNESSEE. . .	322	322	322	495	90	90	90	116	51	51	51	55	39	39
TEXAS. . .	463	457	458	962	208	204	205	344	87	87	87	138	121	117
UTAH. . .	129	111	116	161	21	14	15	22	21	14	14	22	(X)	(X)
VERMONT. . .	166	152	156	170	14	14	14	21	14	14	14	21	(X)	(X)
VIRGINIA. . .	1 398	1 396	1 397	1 505	58	58	58	79	58	58	58	79	(X)	(X)
WASHINGTON. . .	234	225	227	343	97	95	96	162	48	46	47	74	49	49
WEST VIRGINIA. . .	97	96	96	128	25	25	25	39	25	25	25	39	(X)	(X)
WISCONSIN. . .	449	402	424	700	55	54	54	78	55	54	54	78	(X)	(X)
WYOMING . . .	50	50	50	81	17	17	17	26	17	17	17	26	(X)	(X)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 32. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Appellate courts--Continued		Courts of general jurisdiction			Courts of limited jurisdiction			Miscellaneous			October payroll		
	Intermediate appellate courts--Continued		Number of employees			Number of employees			Number of employees					
	Number of employees--Continued	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
	Full-time equivalent													
TOTAL . . .	2 321	3 809	11 790	10 198	11 419	20 095	7 018	6 787	6 859	7 001	2 900	2 580	2 698	3 185
ALABAMA	30	48	99	-	157	206	-	-	-	-	157	41	114	108
ALASKA	-	-	204	184	189	313	114	102	105	140	199	108	129	184
ARIZONA	51	83	66	-	60	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	(X)	(X)	55	55	55	116	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	6
CALIFORNIA	257	548	480	81	399	1 508	-	-	-	-	85	83	84	132
COLORADO	38	57	930	878	885	1 012	84	84	84	92	37	34	35	41
CONNECTICUT	(X)	(X)	321	315	317	409	593	593	593	563	192	168	177	144
DELAWARE	(X)	(X)	100	100	100	128	352	352	352	314	28	28	28	24
FLORIDA	113	153	635	543	565	1 079	-	-	-	-	387	387	387	625
GEORGIA	52	81	201	178	201	323	-	-	-	-	48	37	40	40
HAWAII	(X)	(X)	246	246	246	305	230	230	230	220	15	15	15	16
IDAHO	(X)	(X)	124	122	123	191	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	226	368	1 027	1 024	1 024	2 351	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	46	36	38	57
INDIANA	51	61	179	-	292	331	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	21
IOWA	(X)	(X)	292	123	158	388	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	27	23	23	23
KANSAS	(X)	(X)	134	134	134	216	-	-	-	-	45	12	13	15
KENTUCKY	(X)	(X)	86	86	86	187	-	-	-	-	148	148	148	169
LOUISIANA	110	147	174	164	167	249	50	8	20	35	4	4	4	6
MAINE	(X)	(X)	56	49	49	71	149	139	142	115	6	6	6	6
MARYLAND	49	77	85	85	85	270	954	873	904	945	35	35	35	45
MASSACHUSETTS	32	52	85	82	82	173	419	419	419	416	2	2	2	2
MICHIGAN	161	256	138	138	138	311	183	183	183	335	33	33	33	55
MINNESOTA	(X)	(X)	72	72	72	203	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	8
MISSISSIPPI	(X)	(X)	76	64	65	102	-	-	-	-	12	9	10	8
MISSOURI	104	140	265	257	258	387	336	318	320	330	113	113	113	74
MONTANA	(X)	(X)	28	28	28	60	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	1
NEBRASKA	(X)	(X)	48	48	48	128	333	282	298	241	61	61	61	76
NEVADA	(X)	(X)	34	30	31	70	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	(X)	(X)	36	36	36	73	30	30	30	23	2	2	2	2
NEW JERSEY	81	120	331	330	330	495	-	-	-	-	359	355	355	450
NEW MEXICO	22	30	274	274	274	249	126	126	126	83	46	45	45	35
NEW YORK	496	817	760	760	760	2 008	242	242	242	495	338	338	338	407
NORTH CAROLINA	34	47	1 340	1 340	1 340	1 012	685	685	685	582	115	115	115	113
NORTH DAKOTA	(X)	(X)	30	30	30	56	-	-	-	-	10	9	9	14
OHIO	64	147	293	-	246	491	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	3
OKLAHOMA	14	19	327	327	327	421	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	3
OREGON	24	36	153	131	136	312	5	5	5	7	2	-	-	1
PENNSYLVANIA	106	166	291	273	283	950	578	578	578	639	7	7	7	10
RHODE ISLAND	(X)	(X)	96	96	96	123	158	158	158	174	137	137	137	113
SOUTH CAROLINA	(X)	(X)	50	50	50	86	-	-	-	-	9	8	8	10
SOUTH DAKOTA	(X)	(X)	394	343	352	307	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	39	61	185	185	185	350	-	-	-	-	47	47	47	29
TEXAS	118	206	231	231	231	594	-	-	-	-	24	22	23	24
UTAH	(X)	(X)	57	49	51	91	51	48	49	48	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	(X)	(X)	27	27	27	37	109	95	99	96	16	16	16	16
VIRGINIA	(X)	(X)	101	101	101	317	1 237	1 237	1 237	1 108	2	-	2	1
WASHINGTON	49	88	99	99	99	141	-	-	-	-	38	31	33	40
WEST VIRGINIA	(X)	(X)	65	65	65	84	-	-	-	-	7	6	7	5
WISCONSIN	(X)	(X)	379	334	355	603	-	-	-	-	15	14	14	19
WYOMING	(X)	(X)	31	31	31	54	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government; see text for data limitations.²Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals shown.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction				October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
TOTAL	59 916	50 592	53 118	51 035	25 987	23 492	24 827	22 914		
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	34	33	33	23	16	16	16	10		
JEFFERSON	323	314	319	279	116	114	115	98		
MADISON	80	70	79	59	33	26	33	23		
MOBILE	210	207	208	162	70	70	70	63		
MONTGOMERY	87	79	84	64	24	18	22	19		
TUSCALOOSA	59	39	51	34	29	17	27	18		
ALASKA:										
GREATER ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)		
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	526	480	526	431	416	374	416	351		
PIMA	303	292	296	255	236	227	231	199		
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	63	62	62	46	42	41	41	32		
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	512	501	506	650	52	45	48	73		
BUTTE	65	52	65	55	11	7	11	9		
CONTRA COSTA	292	271	281	324	33	28	32	37		
FRESNO	225	195	206	218	60	50	56	62		
HUMBOLDT	60	52	55	70	5	2	3	5		
KERN	188	173	180	199	32	29	31	38		
LOS ANGELES	4 528	4 203	4 338	5 929	2 264	2 069	2 180	2 852		
MARIN	150	142	146	163	20	18	18	21		
MERCED	69	59	64	53	14	11	14	9		
MONTGOMERY	149	125	134	128	51	46	50	41		
ORANGE	889	852	873	1 043	137	107	122	192		
RIVERSIDE	329	301	314	330	37	26	33	40		
SACRAMENTO	333	296	313	365	83	58	70	96		
SAN BERNARDINO	511	446	491	510	78	61	78	87		
SAN DIEGO	947	877	898	1 062	151	117	124	146		
SAN JOAQUIN	187	169	178	181	14	4	8	15		
SAN LUIS OBISPO	73	70	72	63	27	25	27	22		
SAN MATEO	320	293	305	361	69	57	65	80		
SANTA BARBARA	197	177	186	195	100	84	92	97		
SANTA CLARA	579	485	521	638	112	82	99	127		
SANTA CRUZ	91	82	87	87	28	24	26	26		
SOLANO	111	101	105	114	12	12	12	12		
SONOMA	116	100	106	109	18	15	16	20		
STANISLAUS	117	109	112	106	14	14	14	11		
TULARE	122	97	110	94	14	9	13	11		
VENTURA	231	215	222	251	55	47	52	59		
YOLO	72	67	69	55	7	3	5	3		
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	12	12	12	12	-	-	-	-		
ARAPAHOE	29	27	28	24	-	-	-	-		
BOULDER	12	12	12	12	-	-	-	-		
EL PASO	77	77	77	61	-	-	-	-		
JEFFERSON	20	20	20	15	-	-	-	-		
LARIMER	6	6	6	5	-	-	-	-		
PUEBLO	12	12	12	8	-	-	-	-		
WELD	8	6	6	5	-	-	-	-		
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	79	77	79	63	-	-	-	-		
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	53	52	52	38	50	49	49	35		
BREVARD	135	126	130	74	121	112	116	62		
BROWARD	291	264	275	191	228	207	216	149		
DADE	1 013	1 011	1 012	841	394	394	394	316		
ESCAMBIA	120	111	112	91	90	81	83	59		
HILLSBOROUGH	292	292	292	239	156	156	156	131		
LEE	85	84	84	60	70	70	70	47		
LEON	81	81	81	61	44	44	44	31		
MANATEE	54	49	51	35	33	31	32	22		
ORANGE	249	247	248	173	160	158	159	101		
PALM BEACH	86	80	83	66	62	56	59	41		
PASCO	50	46	47	29	43	40	41	24		
PINELLAS	238	224	228	178	146	136	138	103		
POLK	93	90	91	66	67	66	66	49		
SARASOTA	68	59	61	48	62	54	56	44		
SEMINOLE	51	50	50	31	28	27	27	19		
VOLUSIA	98	88	93	72	51	51	51	44		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction			Miscellaneous			October payroll	
	Total			Number of employees				
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	18 126	16 469	17 096	18 018	11 784	10 631	11 046	10 097
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	18	17	17	13	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	135	132	134	116	72	68	70	65
MADISON	28	28	28	22	19	16	18	14
MOBILE	89	89	89	63	51	48	49	36
MONTGOMERY	42	40	41	31	21	21	21	14
TUSCALOOSA	20	20	20	13	10	2	3	3
ALASKA:								
GREATER ANCHORAGE	(x)	(x)	(x)	(x)	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	110	106	108	80	-	-	-	-
PIMA	43	41	41	32	24	24	24	24
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	2	2	2	2	19	19	19	12
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	264	260	261	350	196	196	196	227
BUTTE	22	15	22	20	32	30	31	26
CONTRA COSTA	144	134	137	162	115	109	112	125
FRESNO	125	110	113	122	40	35	37	35
HUMBOLDT	44	39	40	52	11	11	11	13
KERN	89	83	85	93	67	61	64	68
LOS ANGELES	2 158	2 066	2 083	2 995	106	68	83	82
MARIN	59	56	58	64	71	68	69	78
MERCED	36	31	33	30	19	17	17	14
MONTEREY	83	68	73	79	15	11	12	8
ORANGE	584	584	584	687	168	161	162	163
RIVERSIDE	127	122	124	136	165	153	156	154
SACRAMENTO	126	121	122	144	124	117	119	125
SAN BERNARDINO	219	191	202	217	214	194	203	206
SAN DIEGO	379	362	370	436	417	398	404	480
SAN JOAQUIN	110	106	107	110	63	59	61	58
SAN LUIS OBISPO	36	36	36	32	10	9	9	9
SAN MATEO	128	123	125	141	123	113	115	140
SANTA BARBARA	97	93	94	98	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	271	258	248	316	196	165	174	195
SANTA CRUZ	49	44	47	48	14	14	14	13
SOLANO	60	54	56	59	39	35	36	43
SONOMA	57	48	51	49	41	39	39	40
STANISLAUS	51	45	47	49	52	50	51	46
TULARE	63	47	53	50	45	41	43	33
VENTURA	83	81	82	93	93	87	88	99
YOLO	27	27	27	23	38	37	38	29
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	12
ARAPAHOE	-	-	-	-	29	27	28	24
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	12
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	77	77	77	61
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	20	20	20	15
LARIMER	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	5
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	8
WELD	-	-	-	-	8	6	6	5
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	79	77	79	63
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	3
BREVARD	-	-	-	-	14	14	14	12
BROWARD	-	-	-	-	63	57	60	42
DADE	348	347	348	251	271	270	270	274
ESCAMBIA	9	9	9	7	21	21	21	25
HILLSBOROUGH	58	58	58	58	78	78	78	70
LEE	2	2	2	1	13	12	12	12
LEON	37	37	37	30	-	-	-	-
MANATEE	16	16	16	11	5	2	3	2
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	89	89	89	72
PALM BEACH	-	-	-	-	24	24	24	25
PASCO	-	-	-	-	7	6	6	5
PINELLAS	87	87	87	73	5	1	2	2
POLK	16	14	15	9	10	10	10	8
SARASOTA	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	4
SEMINOLE	16	16	16	8	7	7	7	4
VOLUSIA	23	23	23	15	24	14	20	13

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities			Courts of general jurisdiction			October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only		
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	108	67	81	60	28	24	26	
CHATHAM	113	113	113	86	67	67	48	
CLAYTON	60	56	58	42	28	24	18	
COBB	142	108	123	93	40	40	25	
DE KALB	177	170	173	145	76	72	50	
FULTON	464	439	459	462	157	133	145	
RICHMOND	94	94	94	56	10	10	6	
IDAHO:								
ADA	66	60	61	42	58	52	36	
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	40	30	33	22	26	24	16	
COOK	3 716	3 370	3 556	3 255	3 675	3 337	3 520	
DU PAGE	181	147	165	131	180	146	139	
KANE	71	67	68	42	70	66	41	
LAKE	104	89	96	64	103	88	63	
LA SALLE	28	24	26	14	28	24	14	
MCHENRY	35	24	32	21	34	24	21	
MCLEAN	54	35	41	24	54	35	24	
MACON	63	48	55	27	63	48	27	
MADISON	101	93	95	65	88	80	53	
PEORIA	57	49	54	29	54	49	28	
ROCK ISLAND	49	39	41	25	49	39	25	
ST. CLAIR	76	72	73	48	76	72	48	
SANGAMON	48	38	40	26	43	36	24	
TAZEWELL	50	27	35	19	50	27	19	
WILL	72	71	71	48	72	71	48	
WINNEBAGO	71	71	71	47	71	71	47	
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	95	83	87	70	95	83	70	
DELWARE	45	35	42	23	45	35	23	
ELKHART	41	37	38	26	41	37	26	
LAKE	240	225	237	204	214	200	184	
LA PORTE	31	31	31	20	27	27	18	
MADISON	53	52	53	32	52	51	32	
ST. JOSEPH	103	99	100	68	28	28	22	
TIPPECANOE	30	24	26	17	30	24	17	
VANDERBURGH	59	46	50	30	58	45	29	
VIGO	47	42	47	31	47	42	31	
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	55	45	47	42	55	45	42	
LINN	80	58	64	51	80	58	51	
POLK	187	163	172	161	161	143	143	
SCOTT	48	47	47	38	41	41	34	
WOODBURY	47	41	43	41	47	41	41	
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	104	85	91	68	52	36	41	
SEDWICK	227	205	212	146	55	45	29	
SHAWNEE	91	70	76	55	37	23	16	
WYANDOTTE	124	97	105	73	46	26	19	
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	120	116	118	80	32	32	23	
KENTON	31	30	31	20	4	4	3	
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	67	47	48	35	18	18	14	
CALCASIEU	49	33	37	33	19	17	19	
JEFFERSON	434	386	407	279	17	17	15	
LAFAYETTE	64	28	48	24	19	13	10	
OUACHITA	45	17	23	18	11	7	6	
RAPIDES	49	21	27	22	14	14	11	
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	28	18	23	14	19	12	16	
PENOBSQUIT	30	18	21	11	9	7	5	
YORK	22	15	22	10	17	10	7	
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	79	73	74	60	53	53	45	
BALTIMORE	91	89	90	94	42	40	51	
HARFORD	39	34	36	41	17	12	13	
MONTGOMERY	128	124	125	145	59	55	68	
PRINCE GEORGES	205	182	192	208	64	42	51	
WASHINGTON	16	14	15	10	5	4	3	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction			Miscellaneous			October payroll	
	Total			Number of employees				
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA:								
BIBB.	45	42	43	35	35	1	20	9
CHATTHAM	46	46	46	38	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	32	32	32	24	-	-	-	-
COBB.	60	60	60	49	42	8	20	19
DE KALB	92	89	90	88	9	9	9	7
FULTON.	190	190	190	202	117	116	116	115
RICHMOND.	45	45	45	28	39	39	39	22
IDAHO:								
ADA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	8	8	8	6
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	14	6	7	6
COOK.	-	-	-	-	41	33	35	39
DU PAGE	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
KANE.	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
LAKE.	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
LA SALLE.	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
MCHENRY	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	(Z)
MCLEAN.	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
MACON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	13	13	13	12
PEORIA.	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	1
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON.	-	-	-	-	5	2	3	2
TAZEWELL.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILL.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELWARE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE.	26	25	26	20	14	4	4	2
LA PORTE.	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	(Z)
ST. JOSEPH.	8	7	8	6	67	64	64	40
TIPPECANOE.	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-
VANDERBURGH	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
VIGO.	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK.	-	-	-	-	26	20	23	18
SCOTT	-	-	-	-	7	6	7	4
WOODBURY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	42	39	40	32	10	10	10	9
SEDWICK.	110	98	101	75	62	62	62	42
SHAWNEE	34	27	28	24	20	20	20	15
WYANDOTTE	65	58	60	45	13	13	13	9
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	84	84	84	56	4	-	-	1
KENTON.	23	23	23	14	4	3	3	3
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	34	14	14	9	15	15	15	12
CALCASIEU	12	-	-	2	18	16	17	12
JEFFERSON	44	44	44	37	372	325	344	229
LAFAYETTE	29	-	-	6	16	15	16	8
OUACHITA.	22	-	-	2	12	10	11	10
RAPIDES	28	-	-	5	7	7	7	6
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND.	9	6	7	5	-	-	-	-
PENOBCOT	11	11	11	6	-	-	-	-
YORK.	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	3	-	-	1	23	20	21	15
BALTIMORE	4	4	4	3	45	45	45	40
HARFORD	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	28
MONTGOMERY.	-	-	-	-	69	69	69	77
PRINCE GEORGES.	14	13	13	16	127	127	127	132
WASHINGTON.	1	-	-	(Z)	10	10	10	7

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction				October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE	65	49	59	54	10	6	8	8		
BERKSHIRE	45	29	42	39	15	10	13	12		
BRISTOL	171	150	157	144	55	50	53	45		
ESSEX	255	193	236	215	72	45	63	61		
HAMPDEN	207	171	183	164	55	53	53	53		
HAMPSHIRE	26	25	25	25	7	7	7	7		
MIDDLESEX	566	552	554	556	136	135	135	152		
NORFOLK	234	192	212	189	75	49	65	60		
PLYMOUTH	161	132	146	136	55	41	47	42		
WORCESTER	284	265	268	269	72	71	71	75		
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	61	55	60	55	24	21	23	23		
BERRIEN	127	107	119	98	41	35	40	35		
CALHOUN	103	91	99	95	36	33	35	32		
GENESEE	209	176	196	207	93	85	93	102		
INGHAM	145	122	138	125	79	67	76	69		
JACKSON	73	64	73	62	39	34	39	31		
KALAMAZOO	105	93	102	90	47	42	47	38		
KENT	119	110	119	99	70	65	70	54		
MACOMB	225	219	221	214	139	138	138	128		
MONROE	79	67	76	63	27	19	23	22		
MUSKEGON	100	88	97	89	36	32	36	33		
OAKLAND	338	283	313	318	138	117	134	137		
OTTAWA	61	48	55	47	23	17	20	17		
SAGINAW	127	100	117	98	53	37	46	39		
ST. CLAIR	80	67	76	70	27	23	27	23		
WASHTENAW	132	112	126	121	72	57	65	67		
WAYNE	1 106	1 092	1 097	1 502	489	489	489	655		
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	47	46	46	56	41	40	40	49		
DAKOTA	48	38	47	54	-	-	-	8		
HENNEPIN	352	349	352	412	151	149	151	162		
RAMSEY	241	237	239	288	89	87	88	101		
ST. LOUIS	117	104	107	125	36	34	34	37		
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	89	64	70	46	39	33	36	16		
HINDS	56	55	55	41	29	29	29	17		
JACKSON	28	21	22	18	9	7	7	8		
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	42	26	35	27	14	11	14	11		
GREENE	51	41	44	30	31	28	29	19		
JACKSON	400	365	389	264	336	304	326	221		
JEFFERSON	48	46	47	27	26	24	25	14		
ST. CHARLES	37	26	30	21	15	9	12	7		
ST. LOUIS	474	449	466	360	108	92	108	74		
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	130	119	122	93	75	66	68	40		
LANCASTER	64	57	58	53	30	24	25	19		
NEVADA:										
CLARK	129	123	127	111	44	41	43	47		
WASHOE	90	83	84	83	42	42	42	40		
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	53	34	45	31	19	19	19	12		
ROCKINGHAM	40	33	35	26	20	20	20	15		
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	76	74	74	55	31	31	31	26		
BERGEN	272	270	271	269	79	77	78	87		
BURLINGTON	103	99	99	86	32	32	32	33		
CAMDEN	228	225	225	208	91	91	91	98		
CUMBERLAND	55	40	44	42	23	12	14	22		
ESSEX	637	635	635	810	88	88	88	127		
GLoucester	80	63	68	54	12	11	11	19		
HUDSON	308	308	308	297	118	118	118	121		
MERCER	168	165	166	155	65	65	65	68		
MIDDLESEX	257	253	254	244	40	39	39	54		
MONMOUTH	163	161	162	127	24	24	24	30		
MORRIS	100	77	88	85	35	34	34	42		
OCEAN	92	89	90	80	17	17	17	27		
PASSAIC	254	252	253	246	44	44	44	51		
SOMERSET	58	49	54	51	27	27	27	31		
UNION	277	275	275	291	171	171	171	184		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			October payroll	
	Total			October payroll	Number of employees				
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BARNSTABLE.	53	42	50	44	2	1	1	2	
BERKSHIRE	28	17	27	25	2	2	2	2	
BRISTOL	107	95	99	94	9	5	5	5	
ESSEX	175	144	168	149	5	4	4	5	
HAMPOEN	148	114	126	107	4	4	4	4	
HAMPSHIRE	18	17	17	17	1	1	1	1	
MIDDLESEX	422	410	412	397	8	7	7	7	
NORFOLK	147	141	145	127	2	2	2	2	
PLYMOUTH	102	90	98	92	4	1	1	2	
WORCESTER	206	188	191	188	6	6	6	6	
MICHIGAN:									
BAY	33	30	32	28	4	4	4	4	
BERRIEN	84	70	77	63	2	2	2	2	
CALHOUN	64	55	60	60	3	3	3	3	
GENESEE	95	70	82	87	21	21	21	18	
INGHAM	64	53	60	54	2	2	2	2	
JACKSON	34	30	33	31	-	-	-	-	
KALAMAZOO	56	49	53	50	2	2	2	2	
KENT	46	42	45	42	3	3	3	3	
MACOMB	82	80	81	84	4	1	2	2	
MONROE	48	44	48	37	4	4	4	4	
MUSKEGON	58	50	55	49	6	6	6	7	
OAKLAND	185	155	167	165	15	11	12	16	
OTTAWA	36	29	33	28	2	2	2	2	
SAGINAW	74	63	71	59	-	-	-	-	
ST. CLAIR	49	42	46	44	4	2	3	3	
WASHTENAW	48	44	48	44	12	11	12	10	
WAYNE	520	514	516	711	97	89	92	136	
MINNESOTA:									
ANOKA	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	7	
DAKOTA	29	27	28	32	14	11	12	14	
HENNEPIN	201	200	200	250	-	-	-	-	
RAMSEY	100	98	99	122	52	52	52	65	
ST. LOUIS	58	53	54	68	23	17	18	20	
MISSISSIPPI:									
HARRISON	22	20	20	21	28	11	15	9	
HINDS	20	20	20	18	7	6	6	5	
JACKSON	13	13	13	10	6	1	1	(z)	
MISSOURI:									
CLAY	20	7	13	10	8	8	8	6	
GREENE	20	13	15	11	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	64	61	62	43	-	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	14	14	14	8	8	8	8	5	
ST. CHARLES	16	11	13	9	6	6	6	5	
ST. LOUIS	269	264	266	223	97	93	94	63	
NEBRASKA:									
DOUGLAS	21	20	21	16	34	33	33	37	
LANCASTER	5	4	4	4	29	29	29	30	
NEVADA:									
CLARK	53	50	51	44	32	32	32	20	
WASHOE	17	14	14	17	31	27	28	26	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
HILLSBOROUGH	7	7	7	4	27	8	17	15	
ROCKINGHAM	8	4	6	3	12	9	10	8	
NEW JERSEY:									
ATLANTIC	24	24	24	18	21	19	19	11	
BERGEN	124	124	124	120	69	69	69	62	
BURLINGTON	19	18	18	17	52	49	49	36	
CAMDEN	53	53	53	42	84	81	81	68	
CUMBERLAND	15	14	14	9	17	14	14	11	
ESSEX	166	166	166	200	383	381	381	483	
GOULCESTER	16	16	16	11	52	36	42	24	
HUDSON	127	127	127	111	63	63	63	65	
MERCER	21	21	21	17	82	79	81	70	
MIDDLESEX	53	52	52	54	164	162	162	136	
MONMOUTH	47	45	46	40	92	92	92	57	
MORRIS	43	40	41	32	22	3	17	11	
OCEAN	27	26	27	19	48	46	46	34	
PASSAIC	74	73	73	69	136	135	135	126	
SOMERSET	19	18	18	13	22	4	12	7	
UNION	101	101	101	105	5	3	3	2	

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total Judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees				
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
NEW MEXICO:									
BERNALILLO.	5	5	5	4	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY.	84	82	83	62	3	3	3	2	
BROOME.	62	44	48	44	8	-	-	1	
CHAUTAUQUA.	35	28	31	34	1	1	1	1	
CHEMUNG.	22	21	21	22	-	-	-	-	
DUTCHESS.	78	73	77	74	-	-	-	-	
ERIE.	312	304	307	314	31	31	31	28	
MONROE.	192	189	191	211	39	39	39	35	
NASSAU.	898	892	894	1 273	154	154	154	245	
NIAGARA.	100	69	77	75	1	-	(z)		
ONEIDA.	73	72	72	65	13	12	12	7	
ONONDAGA.	140	134	136	133	9	9	9	8	
ORANGE.	94	89	90	108	-	-	-	-	
OSWEGO.	33	30	31	32	-	-	-	-	
RENNSLAER.	57	43	46	39	4	4	4	2	
ROCKLAND.	65	65	65	69	1	1	1	1	
ST. LAWRENCE.	23	23	23	25	-	-	-	-	
SARATOGA.	40	31	33	34	9	-	-	1	
SCHENECTADY.	55	39	45	44	-	-	-	-	
STEUBEN.	47	19	28	26	-	-	-	-	
SUFFOLK.	613	613	613	717	92	92	92	106	
ULSTER.	33	27	30	31	-	-	-	-	
WESTCHESTER.	306	306	306	384	-	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA:									
BUNCOMBE.	15	15	15	11	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND.	25	24	24	17	-	-	-	-	
DURHAM.	3	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	
FORSYTH.	41	41	41	39	-	-	-	-	
GASTON.	21	21	21	13	-	-	-	-	
GUILFORD.	35	28	31	24	-	-	-	-	
MECKLENBURG.	64	63	64	52	-	-	-	-	
ONSLOW.	10	10	10	6	-	-	-	-	
WAKE.	6	-	3	2	-	-	-	-	
OHIO:									
ALLEN.	51	35	47	33	35	29	35	24	
ASHTABULA.	42	38	39	28	16	15	15	13	
BUTLER.	95	66	82	60	63	51	57	42	
CLARK.	55	42	54	38	41	37	41	30	
CLERMONT.	48	30	42	26	20	15	19	13	
COLUMBIANA.	63	44	63	37	42	32	41	25	
CUYAHOGA.	922	789	842	735	612	588	599	560	
FRANKLIN.	319	278	307	231	170	158	162	136	
GREENE.	63	43	54	43	41	34	39	32	
HAMILTON.	41	415	456	444	390	363	390	380	
LAKE.	82	72	75	59	55	53	55	46	
LICKING.	48	31	45	31	35	27	35	24	
LORAIN.	111	79	104	72	57	46	57	43	
LUCAS.	222	189	219	190	169	155	169	154	
MAHONING.	163	149	151	120	87	87	87	80	
MONTGOMERY.	362	291	339	268	186	157	176	155	
PORTAGE.	95	67	73	55	34	31	33	24	
RICHLAND.	45	34	45	33	35	28	35	28	
STARK.	134	106	134	97	101	89	101	70	
SUMMIT.	267	226	248	210	194	176	183	154	
TRUMBULL.	106	78	91	77	59	57	58	50	
OKLAHOMA:									
COMANCHE.	15	12	14	9	12	10	11	8	
OKLAHOMA.	146	146	146	95	98	98	98	56	
TULSA.	149	138	144	92	118	107	113	66	
OREGON:									
CLACKAMAS.	68	53	58	52	15	13	13	12	
JACKSON.	53	46	48	32	11	9	9	8	
LANE.	164	151	153	142	102	92	93	97	
MARION.	72	63	66	50	22	19	20	19	
MULTNOMAH.	294	284	287	257	134	131	132	122	
WASHINGTON.	46	46	46	39	14	14	14	13	
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLEGHENY.	893	875	884	699	707	689	698	561	
BEAVER.	137	121	127	80	68	64	65	42	
BERKS.	132	130	131	87	75	75	75	53	
BLAIR.	63	51	57	29	33	33	33	17	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction			Miscellaneous			October payroll
	Total			Number of employees			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	5	5	5	4	-	-	-
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	39	38	38	35	42	41	25
BROOME	40	30	32	32	14	14	11
CHAUTAUQUA	25	18	20	25	9	9	8
CHEMUNG	14	13	13	16	8	8	6
DUTCHESS	39	34	37	47	39	39	27
ERIE	135	129	131	166	146	144	120
MONROE	103	107	108	128	45	43	48
NASSAU	618	616	617	875	126	122	153
NIAGARA	65	40	46	47	34	29	28
ONEIDA	32	32	32	37	28	28	21
ONONDAGA	76	71	73	79	55	54	46
ORANGE	33	33	33	51	61	56	58
OSWEGO	17	14	15	19	16	16	13
RENNSELAER	25	24	24	26	28	15	12
ROCKLAND	45	45	45	51	19	19	17
ST. LAWRENCE	16	16	16	20	7	7	5
SARATOGA	15	15	15	19	16	16	14
SCHENECTADY	28	27	27	29	27	12	15
STEUBEN	19	13	15	15	28	6	11
SUFFOLK	478	478	478	567	43	43	44
ULSTER	28	24	26	29	5	3	2
WESTCHESTER	112	112	112	168	194	194	216
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE	-	-	-	-	15	15	11
CUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	25	24	17
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	3	2	1
FORSYTH	-	-	-	-	41	41	39
GASTON	2	2	2	1	19	19	11
GUILFORD	-	-	-	-	35	28	24
MECKLENBURG	-	-	-	-	64	63	52
ONSLOW	-	-	-	-	10	10	6
WAKE	-	-	-	-	6	3	2
OHIO:							
ALLEN	7	-	-	-	9	6	5
ASHTABULA	8	8	8	4	18	15	11
BUTLER	22	7	18	11	10	8	7
CLARK	7	-	-	4	7	5	4
CLERMONT	4	-	-	3	24	15	10
COLUMBIANA	21	12	21	12	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	122	20	51	57	188	181	118
FRANKLIN	24	7	24	20	125	113	75
GREENE	17	7	14	9	5	2	2
HAMILTON	22	9	22	22	43	43	42
LAKE	12	10	10	6	15	9	7
LICKING	6	-	-	3	7	4	4
LORAIN	9	3	9	6	45	30	23
LUCAS	20	2	20	13	33	32	23
MAHONING	40	30	32	20	36	32	20
MONTGOMERY	50	12	36	29	126	122	84
PORTAGE	45	24	27	22	16	12	13
RICHLAND	-	-	-	-	10	6	5
STARK	16	-	-	11	17	17	16
SUMMIT	29	8	26	19	44	42	37
TRUMBULL	35	12	25	19	12	9	8
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	3	2	1
OKLAHOMA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	42	42	39
TULSA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	31	31	26
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS	10	9	9	7	43	31	33
JACKSON	8	4	5	3	34	33	21
LANE	7	7	7	5	55	52	40
MARION	16	11	14	8	34	33	23
MULTNOMAH	135	128	130	106	25	25	29
WASHINGTON	18	18	18	14	14	14	12
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	82	82	82	53	194	104	85
BEAVER	23	19	21	10	46	38	28
BERKS	38	36	37	21	19	19	13
BLAIR	13	11	13	5	17	7	7

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction				October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED										
BUCKS	207	192	202	139	111	108	108	83		
BUTLER	50	42	44	27	32	27	28	17		
CAMBRIA	92	70	78	48	54	49	50	32		
CENTRE	44	32	35	25	23	15	17	15		
CHESTER	156	131	144	109	96	86	90	75		
CUMBERLAND	65	47	56	40	33	26	30	24		
DAUPHIN	189	107	147	96	115	56	79	56		
DELAWARE	390	382	384	283	268	265	266	195		
ERIE	131	116	121	86	79	74	75	52		
FAYETTE	69	64	67	37	47	42	45	25		
FRANKLIN	37	25	29	20	15	11	12	9		
LACKAWANNA	116	107	112	78	78	73	76	57		
LANCASTER	119	89	97	64	60	47	50	36		
LAWRENCE	48	39	44	29	27	26	27	19		
LEBANON	48	31	36	25	29	18	20	15		
LEHIGH	126	112	116	71	75	70	71	43		
LUZERNE	130	130	130	94	78	78	78	62		
LYCOMING	54	50	52	41	35	32	34	27		
MERCER	62	46	51	32	39	28	31	20		
MONTGOMERY	391	288	348	299	197	153	172	169		
NORTHAMPTON	99	84	89	65	65	60	62	48		
SCHUYLKIL'	78	75	78	51	60	57	60	38		
WASHINGTON	101	90	95	56	53	53	53	34		
WESTMORELAND	138	123	130	91	94	87	90	66		
YORK	159	100	128	77	83	59	70	44		
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	50	51	40	29	-	-	-	-		
CHARLESTON	118	78	93	81	11	11	11	8		
GREENVILLE	114	81	87	69	9	7	8	9		
LEXINGTON	57	49	53	34	8	-	-	2		
RICHLAND	154	135	141	113	10	7	8	8		
SPARTANBURG	106	66	73	14	9	7	7	7		
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	103	102	102	86	58	58	58	48		
KNOX	171	158	163	93	60	50	53	33		
SHELBY	354	354	354	311	98	98	98	77		
SULLIVAN	27	25	26	22	19	17	18	13		
TEXAS:										
BELL	47	37	42	29	15	13	14	9		
BEXAR	234	217	221	176	131	119	122	88		
BRAZORIA	52	42	45	36	21	21	21	16		
CAMERON	75	62	68	39	26	26	26	15		
DALLAS	532	526	527	551	221	221	221	208		
EL PASO	90	90	90	74	47	47	47	37		
GALVESTON	108	90	94	74	36	36	36	29		
HARRIS	934	859	898	907	315	286	315	286		
HIDALGO	58	48	50	36	22	22	22	16		
JEFFERSON	88	77	86	78	44	39	43	34		
LUBBOCK	58	45	50	32	22	19	20	11		
MCLENNAN	58	35	44	30	21	13	15	12		
NUCES	125	123	123	90	37	36	36	24		
SMITH	29	28	28	23	9	9	9	9		
TARRANT	245	226	233	219	44	38	41	52		
TAYLOR	43	35	39	27	15	10	12	8		
TRAVIS	181	158	166	143	58	53	55	45		
WICHITA	39	36	39	24	14	14	14	11		
UTAH:										
DAVIS	8	8	8	7	-	-	-	-		
SALT LAKE	97	77	82	75	18	16	16	15		
UTAH	26	15	22	17	7	7	7	5		
WEBER	16	14	15	10	6	5	6	4		
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN	7	7	7	5	7	7	7	5		
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	52	35	42	45	6	3	4	3		
FAIRFAX	180	180	180	191	-	-	-	-		
HENRICO	39	39	39	33	19	19	19	15		
PRINCE WILLIAM	40	39	39	34	1	1	1	1		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			October payroll	
	Total			October payroll	Number of employees				
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED									
BUCKS.	65	64	65	36	31	20	28	20	
BUTLER.	10	10	10	5	8	5	6	5	
CAMBRIA.	18	16	17	9	20	5	10	7	
CENTRE.	17	13	14	9	4	4	4	3	
CHESTER.	40	33	36	20	20	12	18	14	
CUMBERLAND.	17	14	17	8	15	7	9	8	
DAUPHIN.	35	33	34	18	39	18	31	22	
DELAWARE.	86	81	82	49	36	36	36	39	
ERIE.	28	20	23	15	24	22	22	19	
FAYETTE.	13	13	13	5	9	9	9	7	
FRANKLIN.	10	4	7	4	14	10	11	7	
LACKAWANNA.	28	24	26	14	10	10	10	7	
LANCASTER.	41	33	36	19	18	9	11	9	
LAWRENCE.	6	6	6	3	15	7	10	7	
LEBANON.	8	3	6	3	11	10	10	7	
LEHIGH.	28	24	26	14	23	18	19	14	
LUZERNE.	31	31	31	17	21	21	21	15	
LYCOMING.	6	6	6	7	13	12	12	7	
MERCER.	16	11	15	7	7	7	7	5	
MONTGOMERY.	80	65	73	42	114	70	102	88	
NORTHAMPTON.	24	14	19	9	10	10	10	8	
SCHUYLKILL.	9	9	9	6	9	9	9	7	
WASHINGTON.	28	18	23	12	20	19	20	10	
WESTMORELAND.	33	27	31	18	11	9	10	7	
YORK.	37	28	31	15	39	13	24	18	
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
ANDERSON.	26	14	20	17	24	17	19	12	
CHARLESTON.	59	59	59	54	48	8	25	19	
GREENVILLE.	96	66	71	55	9	8	8	5	
LEXINGTON.	36	36	36	23	15	13	13	9	
RICHLAND.	110	94	99	75	34	34	34	26	
SPARTANBURG.	69	33	38	44	28	26	27	23	
TENNESSEE:									
HAMILTON.	34	33	33	30	11	11	11	8	
KNOX.	76	74	75	45	35	34	35	15	
SHELBY.	137	137	137	121	119	119	119	113	
SULLIVAN.	2	2	2	5	6	6	6	4	
TEXAS:									
BELL.	21	20	20	15	11	4	8	5	
BEZAR.	37	32	33	36	66	66	66	52	
BRAZORIA.	27	17	20	18	4	4	4	2	
CAMERON.	15	15	15	7	34	21	26	17	
DALLAS.	131	129	129	172	180	176	176	172	
EL PASO.	29	29	29	29	14	14	14	8	
GALVESTON.	32	32	32	27	40	22	26	18	
HARRIS.	162	156	158	206	457	417	423	415	
HIDALGO.	17	14	14	12	19	12	15	8	
JEFFERSON.	36	31	35	39	8	7	7	5	
LUBBOCK.	19	15	17	12	17	11	13	9	
MCLENNAN.	23	14	18	12	14	8	11	6	
NUECES.	83	82	82	63	5	5	5	3	
SMITH.	13	13	13	10	7	6	6	4	
TARRANT.	77	70	71	71	124	118	120	96	
TAYLOR.	23	20	22	16	5	5	5	3	
TRAVIS.	96	81	85	74	27	24	25	24	
WICHITA.	20	18	20	11	5	4	4	2	
UTAH:									
DAVIS.	2	2	2	2	6	6	6	5	
SALT LAKE.	-	-	-	1	79	61	65	60	
UTAH.	10	-	-	5	9	8	8	7	
WEBER.	-	-	-	1	10	9	9	6	
VERMONT:									
CHITTENDEN.	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA:									
ARLINGTON.	23	9	14	14	23	23	23	26	
FAIRFAX.	28	28	28	27	152	152	152	164	
HENRICO.	-	-	-	1	20	20	20	18	
PRINCE WILLIAM.	-	-	-	1	39	38	38	33	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction				October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	63	55	56	53	16	15	15	17		
KING.	431	397	405	402	136	131	132	153		
KITSAP.	43	38	39	33	22	19	20	19		
PIERCE.	122	77	119	103	69	45	69	64		
SNOHOMISH.	113	109	110	92	23	23	23	25		
SPOKANE	99	87	92	92	62	59	60	60		
YAKIMA.	68	64	65	54	29	27	27	26		
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL.	27	26	26	23	12	11	11	9		
KANAWHA	47	45	46	37	24	22	23	14		
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	38	32	34	22	58	32	34	22		
DANE.	122	110	113	108	121	109	112	107		
KENOSHA	36	30	33	31	25	19	22	18		
MARATHON.	27	22	27	22	27	22	27	22		
MILWAUKEE	445	436	438	516	445	436	438	516		
OUTAGAMIE	30	22	26	20	26	19	23	18		
RACINE.	72	65	67	56	72	65	67	56		
ROCK.	51	42	47	36	41	33	38	27		
WAUKESHA.	82	66	77	61	82	66	77	61		
WINNEBAGO	47	29	37	28	47	29	37	28		

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

²Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Table 33. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County ¹	Courts of limited jurisdiction			Miscellaneous				October payroll	
	Total			Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
WASHINGTON:									
CLARK	25	19	19	20	22	21	21	16	
KING	161	136	141	132	134	130	133	117	
KITSAP	21	19	19	14	-	-	-	-	
PIERCE	47	30	37	35	6	2	3	4	
SNOHOMISH	63	60	61	49	27	26	26	18	
SPOKANE	35	26	30	30	2	2	2	2	
YAKIMA	25	23	23	19	14	14	14	9	
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CABELL	11	11	11	11	4	4	4	3	
KANAWHA	12	12	12	15	11	11	11	8	
WISCONSIN:									
BROWN	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	
DANE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	1	
KENOSHA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	11	11	11	13	
MARATHON	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	
MILWAUKEE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	
OUTAGAMIE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	4	3	3	2	
RACINE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	
ROCK	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	10	9	9	9	
WAUKESHA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	
WINNEBAGO	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	

Section V. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	755 851	755 851	746 226	9 625	6 217	2 586	3 632
STATES	219 247	215 997	213 141	2 856	3 250	(X)	3 250
LOCAL, TOTAL	542 440	539 854	533 085	6 769	2 967	2 586	382
COUNTIES	319 540	316 765	311 540	5 224	2 775	2 497	278
MUNICIPALITIES	223 282	223 090	221 545	1 545	193	89	104
ALABAMA	6 424	6 424	6 287	137	16	14	3
STATE	3 097	3 097	3 036	61	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 341	3 327	3 251	76	16	14	3
COUNTIES	1 984	1 984	1 914	70	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 360	1 344	1 337	6	16	14	3
ALASKA	5 588	5 588	5 541	47	-	-	-
STATE	4 070	4 070	4 047	23	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 518	1 518	1 494	24	-	-	-
BOROUGHS	601	601	591	10	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	917	917	903	14	-	-	-
ARIZONA	10 145	10 145	9 969	176	11	-	11
STATE	1 745	1 745	1 707	38	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 400	8 400	8 262	138	11	-	11
COUNTIES	5 636	5 636	5 526	110	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 775	2 764	2 736	28	11	-	11
ARKANSAS	2 763	2 763	2 755	8	12	10	2
STATE	944	944	937	7	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 829	1 819	1 818	1	12	10	2
COUNTIES	1 176	1 176	1 176	-	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	655	643	642	1	11	9	2
CALIFORNIA	137 385	137 385	134 334	3 051	12	-	12
STATE	21 628	21 628	21 510	118	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	115 757	115 757	112 824	2 933	12	-	12
COUNTIES	88 479	88 478	86 009	2 469	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	27 290	27 279	26 815	464	12	-	12
COLORADO	12 876	12 876	12 692	184	110	-	110
STATE	2 760	2 760	2 701	59	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 116	10 116	9 991	125	110	-	110
COUNTIES	5 375	5 264	5 195	70	110	-	110
MUNICIPALITIES	4 852	4 852	4 797	55	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	8 989	8 989	8 927	62	150	3	147
STATE	5 659	5 512	5 461	51	147	(X)	147
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 480	3 477	3 466	11	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 480	3 477	3 466	11	3	3	-
DELAWARE	1 949	1 949	1 850	99	1	-	1
STATE	1 346	1 346	1 256	90	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	603	603	594	9	1	-	1
COUNTIES	186	186	183	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	418	417	411	6	1	-	1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	6 736	6 736	6 736	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 736	6 736	6 736	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 736	6 736	6 736	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	29 541	29 541	28 965	576	14	2	11
STATE	20 741	20 741	20 301	440	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 803	8 800	8 664	136	14	2	11
COUNTIES	3 709	3 701	3 608	93	9	2	6
MUNICIPALITIES	5 105	5 100	5 056	43	5	-	5
GEORGIA	10 632	10 632	10 516	116	5	4	1
STATE	3 478	3 478	3 459	19	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 157	7 154	7 057	97	5	4	1
COUNTIES	5 284	5 279	5 195	84	5	4	1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 875	1 875	1 862	13	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	4 039	4 039	4 025	14	-	-	-
STATE.	1 655	1 655	1 655	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 384	2 384	2 370	14	-	-	-
COUNTIES	614	614	607	7	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 770	1 770	1 763	7	-	-	-
IDAHO.	2 357	2 357	2 330	27	-	-	-
STATE.	628	628	619	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 729	1 729	1 711	18	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 248	1 248	1 230	18	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	481	481	481	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	35 892	35 892	35 681	211	1 496	-	1 496
STATE.	10 183	8 688	8 635	53	1 495	(X)	1 495
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 204	27 204	27 046	168	1	-	1
COUNTIES	18 133	18 132	17 994	138	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	9 072	9 072	9 052	19	-	-	-
INDIANA.	10 406	10 406	10 278	128	47	40	7
STATE.	4 214	4 214	4 155	59	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 232	6 192	6 123	69	47	40	7
COUNTIES	3 479	3 449	3 396	53	30	27	3
MUNICIPALITIES	2 761	2 743	2 727	16	18	14	4
IOWA	6 607	6 607	6 540	67	33	-	33
STATE.	1 836	1 836	1 784	52	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 771	4 771	4 756	15	33	-	33
COUNTIES	3 310	3 310	3 300	10	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 495	1 462	1 456	5	33	-	33
KANSAS	8 557	8 557	8 209	348	2	1	1
STATE.	3 745	3 745	3 503	242	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 813	4 812	4 706	106	2	1	1
COUNTIES	3 376	3 374	3 283	91	1	1	1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 438	1 438	1 423	15	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	9 074	9 074	8 962	112	-	-	-
STATE.	4 007	4 007	3 917	90	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 067	5 067	5 045	22	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 066	3 066	3 062	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 001	2 001	1 983	17	-	-	-
LOUISIANA.	10 862	10 862	10 673	189	9	-	9
STATE.	5 420	5 420	5 310	110	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 442	5 442	5 363	79	9	-	9
PARISHES	2 784	2 783	2 739	43	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	2 667	2 659	2 624	36	8	-	8
MAINE.	2 020	2 020	2 002	18	2	1	1
STATE.	1 423	1 423	1 408	15	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	598	597	594	3	2	1	1
COUNTIES	225	224	221	3	1	1	1
MUNICIPALITIES	374	373	373	-	1	-	1
MARYLAND	12 977	12 977	12 930	46	-	-	-
STATE.	1 791	1 791	1 791	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 186	11 186	11 139	46	-	-	-
COUNTIES	6 194	6 194	6 148	46	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 991	4 991	4 991	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS.	16 567	16 567	16 477	89	4	4	-
STATE.	5 216	5 216	5 188	28	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 355	11 351	11 289	61	4	4	-
COUNTIES	2 883	2 879	2 864	15	4	4	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8 472	8 472	8 426	46	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	34 012	34 012	33 679	313	49	3	46
STATE.	7 422	7 422	7 359	63	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	26 593	26 590	26 340	250	49	3	46
COUNTIES	17 254	17 205	16 991	214	49	3	46
MUNICIPALITIES	9 384	9 384	9 349	36	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	11 323	11 323	11 258	65	13	-	13
STATE	1 934	1 934	1 920	14	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 389	9 389	9 338	51	13	-	13
COUNTIES	5 544	5 543	5 511	32	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	3 858	3 846	3 827	19	12	-	12
MISSISSIPPI	3 581	3 581	3 554	26	-	-	-
STATE	1 788	1 788	1 781	7	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 793	1 793	1 773	19	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 123	1 123	1 106	17	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	670	670	667	3	-	-	-
MISSOURI	11 350	11 350	11 285	65	3	-	3
STATE	1 551	1 551	1 526	25	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 799	9 799	9 759	40	3	-	3
COUNTIES	4 983	4 980	4 952	27	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	4 819	4 819	4 806	13	-	-	-
MONTANA	2 541	2 541	2 492	49	-	-	-
STATE	655	655	641	14	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 886	1 886	1 851	35	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 483	1 483	1 448	35	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	403	403	403	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	4 702	4 702	4 652	50	-	-	1
STATE	417	417	417	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 285	4 285	4 235	50	1	-	1
COUNTIES	2 940	2 940	2 909	31	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 346	1 345	1 326	19	1	-	1
NEVADA	5 217	5 217	5 164	53	-	-	-
STATE	1 062	1 062	1 056	6	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 155	4 155	4 108	47	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 081	3 081	3 046	35	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 074	1 074	1 063	11	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 342	1 342	1 335	7	-	-	-
STATE	638	638	635	3	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	704	704	700	4	-	-	-
COUNTIES	249	249	247	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	454	454	453	1	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	42 264	42 264	41 794	470	-	-	-
STATE	7 189	7 189	7 069	120	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 075	35 075	34 725	350	-	-	-
COUNTIES	25 696	25 696	25 411	285	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9 379	9 379	9 314	65	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	3 722	3 722	3 621	101	5	-	5
STATE	2 543	2 543	2 445	98	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 179	1 179	1 176	3	5	-	5
COUNTIES	292	287	287	-	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	892	892	889	3	-	-	-
NEW YORK	94 632	94 632	94 075	557	285	-	285
STATE	23 607	23 322	23 076	246	285	(X)	285
LOCAL, TOTAL	71 310	71 310	70 999	311	-	-	-
COUNTIES	25 134	25 134	24 869	265	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	46 176	46 176	46 130	46	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA	7 818	7 818	7 774	44	-	-	-
STATE	5 230	5 230	5 202	28	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 588	2 588	2 572	16	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 037	1 037	1 033	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 550	1 550	1 538	12	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	1 726	1 726	1 714	11	-	-	-
STATE	522	522	517	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 204	1 204	1 197	6	-	-	-
COUNTIES	952	952	946	6	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	252	252	251	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	32 136	32 136	32 015	121	61	-	61
STATE	11 302	11 302	11 255	47	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 834	20 834	20 760	74	61	-	61
COUNTIES	10 309	10 248	10 191	57	61	-	61
MUNICIPALITIES	10 586	10 586	10 569	17	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	6 674	6 674	6 609	65	2 372	2 367	5
STATE	4 542	4 542	4 518	24	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 499	2 132	2 091	41	2 372	2 367	5
COUNTIES	2 899	527	504	22	2 372	2 367	5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 605	1 605	1 586	19	-	-	-
OREGON	13 260	13 260	13 170	90	-	-	-
STATE	5 924	5 924	5 924	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 336	7 336	7 246	90	-	-	-
COUNTIES	5 597	5 597	5 514	83	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 740	1 740	1 732	8	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	31 217	31 217	31 021	196	2	-	2
STATE	5 517	5 517	5 509	8	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 700	25 700	25 512	188	2	-	2
COUNTIES	11 818	11 816	11 711	105	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	13 883	13 883	13 801	82	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	1 978	1 978	1 939	39	-	-	-
STATE	1 172	1 172	1 145	27	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	806	806	794	12	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	806	806	794	12	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	3 451	3 451	3 370	81	13	1	13
STATE	1 875	1 875	1 819	56	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 577	1 576	1 551	25	13	1	13
COUNTIES	1 025	1 011	996	15	13	1	13
MUNICIPALITIES	564	564	554	10	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	2 780	2 780	2 468	311	-	-	-
STATE	1 336	1 336	1 059	277	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 444	1 444	1 409	34	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 154	1 154	1 122	32	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	290	290	288	3	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	7 419	7 419	7 301	118	-	-	-
STATE	4 511	4 511	4 460	51	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 908	2 908	2 841	67	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 012	1 012	981	31	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 896	1 896	1 860	36	-	-	-
TEXAS	33 027	33 027	32 505	522	19	18	1
STATE	7 272	7 272	7 223	49	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 773	25 755	25 282	473	19	18	1
COUNTIES	18 427	18 408	18 062	346	19	18	1
MUNICIPALITIES	7 347	7 347	7 220	27	-	-	-
UTAH	3 398	3 398	3 355	43	273	-	273
STATE	1 353	1 080	1 062	18	273	(X)	273
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 318	2 318	2 293	25	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 579	1 579	1 556	23	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	739	739	738	2	-	-	-
VERMONT	1 581	1 581	1 570	11	-	-	-
STATE	1 291	1 291	1 280	11	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	290	290	290	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	290	290	290	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	10 868	10 868	10 687	181	166	97	69
STATE	3 425	3 367	3 367	-	58	(X)	58
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 598	7 501	7 320	181	108	97	11
COUNTIES	3 348	3 300	3 282	17	48	48	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 261	4 201	4 038	164	60	49	11

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	13 132	13 132	12 984	148	328	-	328
STATE	4 357	4 029	4 001	28	328	(X)	328
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 103	9 103	8 983	120	-	-	-
COUNTIES	6 150	6 150	6 043	107	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 954	2 940	13	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	3 254	3 254	3 241	13	1	1	-
STATE	913	913	906	7	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 342	2 341	2 335	6	1	1	-
COUNTIES	1 848	1 847	1 841	6	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	494	494	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	13 693	13 693	13 540	153	701	20	681
STATE	3 878	3 214	3 161	53	664	(X)	664
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 499	10 479	10 379	100	37	20	17
COUNTIES	6 188	6 151	6 071	80	37	20	17
MUNICIPALITIES	4 329	4 328	4 308	20	1	-	-
WYOMING	1 367	1 367	1 353	14	-	-	-
STATE	435	435	428	7	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	932	932	925	7	-	-	-
COUNTIES	677	677	669	7	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	255	255	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion		
TOTAL	249 856	248 594	244 093	4 501	2 747	1 754	-	1 262
ALABAMA:								1 117
CALHOUN	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	145
JEFFERSON	500	500	474	26	26	-	-	-
MADISON	207	207	207	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE.	169	169	169	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY.	128	128	109	19	19	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA.	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
GREATER ANCHORAGE . . . ,	392	392	385	7	7	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA.	2 357	2 357	2 305	52	52	-	-	-
PIMA.	2 094	2 094	2 061	33	33	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	324	324	324	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	4 634	4 634	4 597	37	37	-	-	-
BUTTE	527	527	510	17	17	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	3 275	3 275	3 253	22	22	-	-	-
FRESNO.	1 679	1 679	1 665	14	14	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT.	611	611	609	2	2	-	-	-
KERN.	1 774	1 774	1 764	10	10	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	24 811	24 811	24 693	118	118	-	-	-
MARIN	885	885	883	2	2	-	-	-
MERCED.	428	428	425	3	3	-	-	-
MONTEREY.	1 346	1 346	1 303	43	43	-	-	-
ORANGE.	6 051	6 051	6 018	33	33	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE.	2 857	2 857	2 845	12	12	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO.	6 695	6 695	4 902	1 793	131	1 662	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO.	3 198	3 198	3 151	47	47	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO.	6 754	6 754	6 685	69	69	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	1 477	1 477	1 473	4	4	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	755	755	743	12	12	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	1 763	1 763	1 758	5	5	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	1 553	1 553	1 551	2	2	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	5 026	5 026	4 971	55	55	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ.	925	925	915	10	10	-	-	-
SOLANO.	593	593	577	16	16	-	-	-
SONOMA.	903	903	899	4	4	-	-	-
STANISLAUS.	1 186	1 186	1 173	13	13	-	-	-
TULARE.	1 060	1 060	1 039	21	6	15	-	-
VENTURA	2 358	2 358	2 352	6	6	-	-	-
YOLO.	514	514	480	34	34	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	559	559	559	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE.	548	504	504	-	-	-	44	44
BOULDER	381	381	377	4	4	-	-	-
EL PASO	814	814	802	12	12	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	710	710	684	26	26	-	-	-
LARIMER	242	242	242	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO.	376	376	365	11	11	-	-	-
WELD.	300	300	300	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE.	126	126	123	3	3	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	136	136	135	1	1	-	-	-
BREVARD	115	115	114	1	1	-	-	-
BROWARD	218	218	217	1	1	-	-	-
DADE.	671	671	664	7	7	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA.	122	122	118	4	4	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH.	308	308	289	19	19	-	-	-
LEE	106	106	88	18	18	-	-	-
LEON.	60	60	50	10	10	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

239

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 334 large county governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED									
MANATEE	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	206	206	206	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	281	281	281	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASCO	163	163	158	5	5	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	215	215	202	13	13	-	-	-	-
POLK	112	112	104	8	8	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:									
BIBB	335	327	329	6	6	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	257	257	254	3	3	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	231	231	222	9	9	-	-	-	-
COBB	224	224	215	9	9	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	583	583	574	9	9	-	-	-	-
FULTON	1 671	1 671	1 654	17	17	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	231	231	225	6	6	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:									
ADA	185	185	179	6	6	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:									
CHAMPAIGN	239	239	238	1	1	-	-	-	-
COOK	9 218	9 218	9 134	84	84	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE	724	724	717	7	7	-	-	-	-
KANE	303	303	295	8	8	-	-	-	-
LAKE	588	588	585	3	3	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	148	148	147	1	1	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	199	199	198	1	1	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	160	160	159	1	1	-	-	-	-
MACON	219	219	218	1	1	-	-	-	-
MADISON	289	289	286	3	3	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	294	294	294	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	231	231	230	1	1	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	315	315	315	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	322	322	321	1	1	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	192	192	187	5	5	-	-	-	-
WILL	361	361	361	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	399	399	396	3	3	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:									
ALLEN	273	273	273	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	660	660	660	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	236	236	236	-	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH	255	255	250	5	5	-	-	-	-
VIGO	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:									
BLACK HAWK	148	148	146	2	2	-	-	-	-
LINN	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	486	486	485	1	1	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	191	191	187	4	4	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	191	191	191	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:									
JOHNSON	481	481	434	47	47	-	-	-	-
SEDWICK	536	536	518	18	18	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	317	317	314	3	3	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	294	294	294	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:									
JEFFERSON	617	617	615	2	2	-	-	-	-
KENTON	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 334 large county governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion			
LOUISIANA:									
CADDY	160	160	156	4	4	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU	236	236	226	10	10	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	644	644	634	10	10	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	131	131	127	4	4	5	-	-	-
RAPIDES	141	141	133	8	8	-	-	-	-
MAINE:									
CUMBERLAND	31	31	30	1	1	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:									
ANNE ARUNDEL	667	667	661	6	6	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	1 122	1 122	1 116	6	6	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	269	269	269	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 130	1 130	1 116	14	14	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	1 554	1 554	1 542	12	12	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BARNSTABLE	157	157	154	3	3	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE	54	54	53	1	1	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	129	129	127	2	2	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	272	272	270	2	2	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	383	383	383	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	158	158	158	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	576	576	576	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	687	683	678	5	5	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	199	199	197	2	2	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	228	228	228	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:									
BAY	205	205	203	2	2	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	425	425	409	16	16	-	-	-	-
CALHOUN	207	206	206	-	-	-	-	-	-
GENESEE	1 765	1 719	1 660	59	59	-	-	-	-
INGHAM	563	563	552	11	11	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	299	299	296	3	3	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	595	595	548	47	47	-	-	-	-
KENT	513	513	511	2	2	-	-	-	-
MACOMB	1 294	1 294	1 294	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	242	242	241	1	1	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON	335	335	334	1	1	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	1 511	1 511	1 503	8	8	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA	93	93	92	1	1	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	418	418	412	6	6	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	298	298	294	4	4	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW	510	510	508	2	2	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	4 667	4 667	4 645	22	22	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:									
ANOKA	293	293	291	2	2	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	160	159	159	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	1 458	1 458	1 453	5	5	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY	767	767	757	10	10	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	344	344	343	1	1	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:									
HARRISON	148	148	137	11	11	-	-	-	-
HINDS	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:									
CLAY	155	155	152	3	3	-	-	-	-
GREENE	147	144	144	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	1 095	1 095	1 095	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	139	139	130	9	9	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 219	1 219	1 209	10	10	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 334 large county governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion		
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	798	798	793	5	5	-	-	-
LANCASTER	304	304	304	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK	1 567	1 567	1 560	7	7	-	-	-
WASHOE.	859	859	840	19	19	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH.	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM.	28	28	26	2	2	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC.	965	965	951	14	14	-	-	-
BERGEN.	2 126	2 126	2 106	20	20	-	-	-
BURLINGTON.	802	802	802	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN.	1 991	1 991	1 991	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND.	272	272	272	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX.	4 622	4 622	4 604	18	18	-	-	-
GLoucester.	502	502	496	6	6	-	-	-
HUDSON.	2 150	2 150	2 135	15	15	-	-	-
MERCER.	1 358	1 358	1 358	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX.	2 061	2 061	2 044	17	17	-	-	-
MONMOUTH.	902	902	902	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS.	916	916	889	27	27	-	-	-
OCEAN.	893	893	893	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC.	1 571	1 571	1 571	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET.	725	725	600	125	75	50	-	-
UNION	2 954	2 954	2 912	42	42	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO.	181	181	181	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY.	585	585	572	13	13	-	-	-
BROOME.	312	312	311	1	1	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA.	207	207	207	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG.	190	190	189	1	1	-	-	-
DUTCHESS.	448	448	445	3	3	-	-	-
ERIE.	2 458	2 458	2 430	28	28	-	-	-
MONROE.	1 389	1 389	1 376	13	13	-	-	-
NASSAU.	5 527	5 527	5 490	37	37	-	-	-
NIAGARA.	342	342	341	1	1	-	-	-
ONEIDA.	354	354	348	6	6	-	-	-
ONONDAGA.	950	950	943	7	7	-	-	-
ORANGE.	604	604	600	4	4	-	-	-
OSWEGO.	137	137	135	2	2	-	-	-
RENNESLAER.	243	243	237	6	6	-	-	-
ROCKLAND.	780	780	755	25	25	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE.	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA.	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY.	203	203	202	1	1	-	-	-
STEUBEN.	146	146	145	1	1	-	-	-
SUFFOLK.	3 895	3 895	3 853	42	42	-	-	-
ULSTER.	297	297	292	5	5	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	3 349	3 349	3 308	41	41	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE.	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND.	58	58	57	1	1	-	-	-
DURHAM.	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH.	94	94	93	1	1	-	-	-
GASTON.	57	57	55	2	2	-	-	-
GUILFORD.	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-
ONSLOW.	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE.	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 334 large county governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
OHIO:									
ALLEN	190	190	189	1	1	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA	93	93	92	1	1	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	141	141	140	1	1	-	-	-	-
CLARK	128	128	128	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA	118	118	117	1	1	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	1 513	1 513	1 507	6	6	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	852	852	852	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	126	126	123	3	3	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	683	683	679	4	4	-	-	-	-
LAKE	210	210	204	6	6	-	-	-	-
LICKING	116	116	111	5	5	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	276	276	276	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS	369	348	346	2	2	-	-	21	21
MAHONING	285	285	285	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	770	770	770	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE	176	176	176	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	209	209	209	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK	354	324	310	14	14	-	-	30	30
SUMMIT	409	409	409	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:									
COMANCHE	115	19	19	-	-	-	-	96	96
OKLAHOMA	532	30	28	2	2	-	-	502	502
TULSA	536	68	66	2	2	-	-	468	468
OREGON:									
CLACKAMAS	317	317	317	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	249	249	244	5	5	-	-	-	-
LANE	767	767	752	15	15	-	-	-	-
MARION	339	339	336	3	3	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	2 015	2 015	1 983	32	7	25	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	393	393	390	3	3	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLEGHENY	2 141	2 141	2 130	11	11	-	-	-	-
BEAVER	258	258	257	1	1	-	-	-	-
BERKS	276	276	274	2	2	-	-	-	-
BLAIR	99	99	98	1	1	-	-	-	-
BUCKS	801	801	798	3	3	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	104	104	103	1	1	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA	167	167	166	1	1	-	-	-	-
CENTRE	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	858	858	840	18	18	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	125	125	124	1	1	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN	440	440	434	6	6	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	1 080	1 080	1 077	3	3	-	-	-	-
ERIE	429	429	427	2	2	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA	255	255	255	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	200	200	198	2	2	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	115	115	112	3	3	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	72	72	69	3	3	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	296	296	292	4	4	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE	271	271	271	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	891	891	865	26	26	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	208	208	207	1	1	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	175	175	175	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	210	210	210	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND	363	363	359	4	4	-	-	-	-
YORK	192	190	190	-	-	-	-	2	2

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 35. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 334 large county governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion			
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
ANDERSON.	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON.	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE.	146	146	139	7	7	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON.	50	50	49	1	1	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND.	306	306	300	6	6	-	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:									
HAMILTON.	148	148	140	8	8	-	-	-	-
KNOX.	110	110	104	6	6	-	-	-	-
SHELBY.	335	335	320	15	15	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN.	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:									
BELL.	251	251	238	13	13	-	-	-	-
BEZAR.	1 319	1 319	1 318	1	1	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA.	132	132	130	2	2	-	-	-	-
CAMERON.	189	189	184	5	5	-	-	-	-
DALLAS.	1 973	1 973	1 965	8	8	-	-	-	-
EL PASO.	640	640	640	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON.	228	228	226	2	2	-	-	-	-
HARRIS.	4 110	4 110	3 994	116	116	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO.	279	279	275	4	4	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON.	621	612	563	49	47	2	-	9	9
LUBBOCK.	285	285	279	6	6	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN.	149	149	149	-	-	-	-	-	-
NUCES.	367	367	365	2	2	-	-	-	-
SMITH.	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT.	1 589	1 589	1 513	76	76	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR.	80	80	79	1	1	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS.	520	520	517	3	3	-	-	-	-
WICHITA.	125	125	124	1	1	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
DAVIS.	167	167	162	5	5	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE.	759	759	748	11	11	-	-	-	-
UTAH.	113	113	111	2	2	-	-	-	-
WEBER.	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:									
CHITTENDEN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ARLINGTON.	392	357	353	4	4	-	-	35	35
FAIRFAX.	688	688	686	2	2	-	-	-	-
HENRICO.	252	252	251	1	1	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM.	166	166	165	1	1	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:									
CLARK.	250	250	250	-	-	-	-	-	-
KING.	1 750	1 750	1 732	18	18	-	-	-	-
KITSAP.	196	196	195	1	1	-	-	-	-
PIERCE.	685	685	684	1	1	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH.	451	451	442	9	9	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE.	449	449	440	9	9	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA.	286	286	250	36	36	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CABELL.	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA.	242	242	242	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:									
BROWN.	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANE.	627	627	624	3	3	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA.	183	183	181	2	2	-	-	-	-
MARATHON.	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE.	1 857	1 857	1 832	25	25	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE.	157	157	155	2	2	-	-	-	-
RACINE.	240	240	240	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK.	196	196	196	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA.	244	244	241	3	3	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO.	136	136	136	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Represents zero or rounds to zero.¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 36. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 394 large city governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975**
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				
TOTAL	149 227	149 124	147 901	1 223	1 083	7	133	103	61	42
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	322	322	322	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	77	77	74	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	94	94	93	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	43	43	41	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	1 302	1 302	1 296	6	3	3	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	141	141	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	113	113	109	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	602	602	588	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	37	28	28	-	-	-	-	9	9	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	80	80	77	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	275	275	273	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	265	265	265	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	31	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
BERKELEY	211	211	210	1	1	-	-	-	-	1
BUENA PARK	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	287	287	278	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	80	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
CHULA VISTA	81	81	80	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	63	63	60	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	90	90	77	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	32	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
EL CAJON	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	269	269	265	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	176	176	166	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	138	138	137	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	244	244	242	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	261	261	258	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 317	1 317	1 293	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	7 331	7 331	7 099	232	232	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	79	79	77	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	118	118	117	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	36	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
OAKLAND	342	342	338	4	4	-	-	-	-	1
ONTARIO	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	87	87	86	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	158	158	155	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	298	298	295	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	62	62	59	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	57	57	56	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	88	88	87	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	204	204	201	3	3	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 36. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 394 large city governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED									
SACRAMENTO	219	219	218	1	1	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	134	134	133	1	1	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	1 494	1 494	1 490	4	4	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	3 599	3 599	3 581	18	18	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	708	708	703	5	5	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	67	67	66	1	1	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	314	314	304	10	8	2	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	204	204	201	3	3	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	261	261	258	3	3	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	72	72	71	1	1	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	86	86	85	1	1	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	51	50	41	9	9	-	-	1	1
STOCKTON	189	189	187	2	2	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	254	254	247	7	7	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	82	82	73	9	9	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	25	24	24	-	-	-	-	1	1
WESTMINSTER	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	55	53	53	-	-	-	-	2	2
COLORADO:									
ARVADA	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	187	187	185	2	2	-	-	-	-
DENVER	2 326	2 326	2 296	30	30	-	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	627	627	614	13	13	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:									
BRIDGEPORT	189	189	189	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	38	38	37	1	1	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	525	525	525	-	-	-	-	3	3
MERIDEN	75	72	71	1	1	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	53	53	52	1	1	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	126	126	125	1	1	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	108	108	105	3	3	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	234	234	234	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	94	94	93	1	1	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:									
WILMINGTON	308	308	302	6	6	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:									
WASHINGTON	6 736	6 736	6 736	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:									
CLEARWATER	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	168	168	167	1	1	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	701	701	701	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	433	433	431	2	2	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	194	194	193	1	1	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	131	131	129	2	2	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	71	71	69	2	2	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	220	220	215	5	5	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	291	291	289	2	2	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	79	79	77	2	2	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 36. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 394 large city governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	807	807	805	2	2	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	291	291	282	9	9	-	-	-
MACON	164	164	164	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	44	44	43	1	1	-	-	-
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	1 770	1 770	1 763	7	7	-	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	57	57	56	1	1	-	-	-
CHICAGO	3 479	3 479	3 479	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	107	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	101	101	100	1	1	-	-	-
JOLIET	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	285	285	284	1	1	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	145	145	142	3	3	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	104	104	103	1	1	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	165	165	164	1	1	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	212	212	212	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	170	170	167	3	3	-	-	-
HAMMOND	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	1 176	1 176	1 169	7	7	-	-	-
MUNCIE	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	60	60	58	2	2	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	84	84	83	1	1	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	97	97	96	1	1	-	-	-
DES MOINES	236	236	233	3	3	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY	139	106	106	-	-	-	-	33
WATERLOO	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	33
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	220	220	220	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	142	142	128	14	14	-	-	-
WICHITA	231	231	231	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	143	143	139	4	4	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	412	412	405	7	7	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	424	424	422	2	2	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

247

Table 36. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 394 large city governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	897	897	875	22	22	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	1 033	1 033	1 022	11	11	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	74	74	73	1	1	-	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	4 645	4 645	4 645	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	3 146	3 146	3 135	11	11	-	-	-
BROCKTON	79	79	78	1	1	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	183	183	183	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	178	178	178	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	145	145	145	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	221	221	219	2	2	-	-	-
WALTHAM	116	116	90	26	26	-	-	-
WORCESTER	279	279	277	2	2	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	128	128	128	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	182	182	181	1	1	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	2 503	2 503	2 495	8	8	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	195	195	195	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	303	303	301	2	2	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	197	197	195	2	1	1	-	-
LANSING	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	117	117	115	2	2	-	-	-
PONTIAC	251	251	247	4	4	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	195	195	195	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	152	152	140	12	11	1	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	215	215	215	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	100	100	99	1	1	-	-	-
DULUTH	192	192	189	3	3	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	897	897	897	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	68	68	66	2	2	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	593	593	585	8	8	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	206	206	204	2	2	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 36. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 394 large city governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
MISSOURI:									
COLUMBIA	60	60	55	5	5	—	—	—	—
FLORISSANT	18	18	18	—	—	—	—	—	—
INDEPENDENCE	111	111	110	1	1	—	—	—	—
KANSAS CITY	864	864	864	—	—	—	—	—	—
ST. JOSEPH	72	72	72	—	—	—	—	—	—
ST. LOUIS	2 068	2 068	2 064	4	4	—	—	—	—
SPRINGFIELD	67	67	67	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONTANA:									
BILLINGS	56	56	56	—	—	—	—	—	—
GREAT FALLS	28	28	28	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEBRASKA:									
LINCOLN	273	273	254	19	19	—	—	—	—
OMAHA	552	552	552	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEVADA:									
LAS VEGAS	430	430	428	2	2	—	—	—	—
RENO	181	181	178	3	3	—	—	—	—
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
MANCHESTER	55	55	55	—	—	—	—	—	—
NASHUA	44	44	44	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEW JERSEY:									
BAYONNE	111	111	111	—	—	—	—	—	—
BLOOMFIELD	71	71	71	—	—	—	—	—	—
CAMDEN	226	226	226	—	—	—	—	—	—
CLIFTON	75	75	75	—	—	—	—	—	—
EAST ORANGE	234	234	234	—	—	—	—	—	—
ELIZABETH	133	133	133	—	—	—	—	—	—
IRVINGTON	193	193	193	—	—	—	—	—	—
JERSEY CITY	300	300	300	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEWARK	473	473	473	—	—	—	—	—	—
PASSAIC	85	85	85	—	—	—	—	—	—
PATERSON	147	147	147	—	—	—	—	—	—
TRENTON	124	124	124	—	—	—	—	—	—
UNION CITY	38	38	38	—	—	—	—	—	—
VINELAND	92	92	92	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEW MEXICO:									
ALBUQUERQUE	513	513	511	2	2	—	—	—	—
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY	208	208	206	2	2	—	—	—	—
BINGHAMTON	88	88	88	—	—	—	—	—	—
BUFFALO	839	839	839	—	—	—	—	—	—
OUNT VERNON	157	157	152	5	5	—	—	—	—
NEW ROCHELLE	168	168	168	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEW YORK CITY	34 099	34 099	34 099	—	—	—	—	—	—
NIAGARA FALLS	145	145	145	—	—	—	—	—	—
ROCHESTER	544	544	544	—	—	—	—	—	—
ROME	24	24	23	1	1	—	—	—	—
SCHENECTADY	106	106	106	—	—	—	—	—	—
SYRACUSE	235	235	231	4	4	—	—	—	—
TROY	112	112	98	14	14	—	—	—	—
UTICA	103	103	103	—	—	—	—	—	—
WHITE PLAINS	227	227	223	4	4	—	—	—	—
YONKERS	398	398	398	—	—	—	—	—	—
NORTH CAROLINA:									
ASHEVILLE	55	55	51	4	4	—	—	—	—
CHARLOTTE	201	201	197	4	4	—	—	—	—
DURHAM	111	111	111	—	—	—	—	—	—
FAYETTEVILLE	89	89	89	—	—	—	—	—	—
GREENSBORO	118	118	118	—	—	—	—	—	—
HIGH POINT	68	68	68	—	—	—	—	—	—
RALEIGH	96	96	96	—	—	—	—	—	—
WILMINGTON	26	26	26	—	—	—	—	—	—
WINSTON-SALEM	144	144	144	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

249

Table 36. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 394 large city governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
NORTH DAKOTA:									
FARGO	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:									
AKRON	313	313	312	1	1	-	-	-	-
CANTON	169	169	168	1	1	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	1 055	1 055	1 055	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	830	830	830	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	1 073	1 073	1 071	2	2	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	410	410	410	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	106	106	105	1	1	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	114	114	113	1	1	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	74	74	73	1	1	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	108	108	107	1	1	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	94	94	94	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	150	150	149	1	1	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	317	317	317	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	300	300	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:									
LAWTON	73	73	72	1	1	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	416	416	409	7	7	-	-	-	-
TULSA	348	348	340	8	8	-	-	-	-
OREGON:									
EUGENE	215	215	215	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	344	344	342	2	2	-	-	-	-
SALEM	158	158	156	2	2	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLENTOWN	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	115	115	114	1	1	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	7 570	7 570	7 496	74	74	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	556	556	553	3	3	-	-	-	-
READING	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:									
CRANSTON	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	57	57	56	1	1	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	205	205	202	3	3	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
CHARLESTON	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	84	84	75	9	9	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:									
SIOUX FALLS	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:									
CHATTANOOGA	232	232	225	7	7	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	229	229	208	21	21	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	367	367	367	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	521	521	515	6	6	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 36. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 394 large city governments,
by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued**
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
TEXAS:									
ABILENE	89	89	76	13	13	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO.	101	101	97	4	4	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	193	193	190	3	3	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN.	361	361	361	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT.	99	99	96	3	3	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI.	253	253	239	14	14	-	-	-	-
DALLAS.	1 000	1 000	984	16	16	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH.	318	318	312	6	6	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	54	54	50	4	4	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	143	143	139	4	4	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	49	49	47	2	2	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	858	858	851	7	7	-	-	-	-
IRVING.	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO.	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	146	146	141	5	5	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE.	40	40	39	1	1	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	56	56	53	3	3	-	-	-	-
ODESSA.	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA.	105	105	101	4	4	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	55	55	52	3	3	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON.	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO.	37	37	35	2	2	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	560	560	557	3	3	-	-	-	-
TYLER	50	50	46	4	4	-	-	-	-
WACO.	83	83	80	3	3	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
OGDEN	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY.	238	238	238	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ALEXANDRIA.	295	295	295	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE.	167	167	166	1	1	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	245	196	196	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	123	123	122	1	1	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	261	261	247	14	14	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	446	446	432	14	14	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH.	208	208	208	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND.	821	821	688	133	-	-	-	133	-
ROANOKE	496	496	496	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH.	337	337	337	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:									
BELLEVUE.	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	825	825	816	9	9	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	218	218	218	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA.	297	297	297	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CHARLESTON.	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON.	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:									
APPLETON.	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	64	64	63	1	1	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	277	277	276	1	1	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 343	1 343	1 332	11	11	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	19	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACINE.	113	113	112	1	1	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS.	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-

^a Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹ Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section VI. PUBLIC DEFENSE

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 37. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	193 253	193 253	192 422	831	8 191	166	8 025
STATES	73 127	65 481	65 074	407	7 646	(X)	7 646
LOCAL, TOTAL	127 938	127 772	127 348	424	545	166	379
COUNTIES	102 280	101 901	101 508	393	379	134	246
MUNICIPALITIES	26 036	25 871	25 840	31	165	32	133
ALABAMA	1 396	1 396	1 396	-	38	38	-
STATE	1 243	1 243	1 243	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	191	153	153	-	38	38	-
COUNTIES	79	71	71	-	8	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES	111	82	82	-	29	29	-
ALASKA	1 304	1 304	1 297	7	-	-	-
STATE	1 302	1 302	1 295	7	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
BOROUGHS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	3 535	3 535	3 500	35	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 535	3 535	3 500	35	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 247	3 247	3 212	35	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	288	288	288	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	452	452	448	3	51	44	7
STATE	20	20	20	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	475	432	428	3	51	44	7
COUNTIES	474	430	427	3	44	44	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8	1	1	-	7	-	7
CALIFORNIA	40 255	40 255	40 087	168	775	-	775
STATE	1 878	1 103	1 103	-	775	(X)	775
LOCAL, TOTAL	39 152	39 152	38 984	168	-	-	-
COUNTIES	38 096	38 096	37 933	163	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 056	1 056	1 051	5	-	-	-
COLORADO	2 977	2 977	2 568	9	-	-	-
STATE	2 794	2 794	2 785	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	183	183	183	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	20	20	20	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	163	163	163	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	2 024	2 024	2 024	-	-	-	-
STATE	2 007	2 007	2 007	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	17	17	17	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	17	17	17	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	560	560	553	7	-	-	-
STATE	540	540	533	7	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	20	20	20	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	20	20	20	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 935	1 935	1 935	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 935	1 935	1 935	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 935	1 935	1 935	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	10 068	10 068	9 838	230	28	1	27
STATE	9 041	9 041	8 825	216	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 028	1 027	1 013	14	28	1	27
COUNTIES	921	917	907	11	3	1	3
MUNICIPALITIES	134	110	106	4	25	-	25
GEORGIA	2 854	2 854	2 827	27	119	5	114
STATE	1 316	1 221	1 221	-	95	(X)	95
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 638	1 633	1 606	27	24	5	19
COUNTIES	1 570	1 546	1 519	27	24	5	19
MUNICIPALITIES	87	87	87	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	1 269	1 269	1 269	-	3	3	-
STATE	1 269	1 269	1 269	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3	-	-	-	3	3	-
COUNTIES	3	-	-	-	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	681	681	679	2	5	-	5
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	681	681	679	2	5	-	5
COUNTIES	685	680	678	2	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	7 393	7 393	7 331	62	-	-	-
STATE	1 712	1 712	1 681	31	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 681	5 681	5 650	31	-	-	-
COUNTIES	5 660	5 660	5 629	31	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	21	21	21	-	-	-	-
INDIANA	1 797	1 797	1 796	1	4	-	4
STATE	252	252	252	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 545	1 545	1 544	1	4	-	4
COUNTIES	1 335	1 332	1 330	1	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	214	214	214	-	-	-	-
IOWA	1 736	1 736	1 734	1	29	-	29
STATE	18	18	18	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 718	1 718	1 716	1	29	-	29
COUNTIES	1 746	1 718	1 716	1	29	-	29
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS	1 507	1 507	1 505	2	1	-	1
STATE	1 337	1 337	1 335	2	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	170	170	170	-	1	-	1
COUNTIES	153	152	152	-	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	18	18	18	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	1 083	1 083	1 070	13	1 302	25	1 277
STATE	1 890	664	651	13	1 226	(X)	1 226
LOCAL, TOTAL	444	419	419	-	76	25	51
COUNTIES	450	419	419	-	31	25	6
MUNICIPALITIES	45	-	-	-	45	-	45
LOUISIANA	1 169	1 169	1 169	-	6	-	6
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 169	1 169	1 169	-	6	-	6
PARISHES	691	685	685	-	6	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	484	484	484	-	-	-	-
MAINE	411	411	407	4	-	-	-
STATE	150	150	150	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	261	261	257	4	-	-	-
COUNTIES	259	259	255	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	5 579	5 579	5 523	56	-	-	-
STATE	5 569	5 569	5 513	56	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	10	10	10	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	10	10	10	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	5 100	5 100	5 099	-	78	-	78
STATE	3 095	3 017	3 017	-	78	(X)	78
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 083	2 083	2 082	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 074	2 074	2 074	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	12 490	12 490	12 488	2	5 479	7	5 472
STATE	6 532	1 060	1 060	2	5 472	(X)	5 472
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 437	11 430	11 428	2	7	7	7
COUNTIES	9 559	9 551	9 549	2	7	7	7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 878	1 878	1 878	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 37. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	2 172	2 172	2 152	20	196	1	195
STATE	335	335	335	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 838	1 837	1 817	20	196	1	195
COUNTIES	1 920	1 747	1 727	20	173	1	172
MUNICIPALITIES	113	90	90	-	23	-	23
MISSISSIPPI	594	594	594	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	594	594	594	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	551	551	551	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	42	42	42	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	2 067	2 067	2 067	-	-	-	-
STATE	1 781	1 781	1 781	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	286	286	286	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	36	36	36	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	250	250	250	-	-	-	-
MONTANA	381	381	377	3	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	381	381	377	3	-	-	-
COUNTIES	370	370	366	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	11	11	11	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	975	975	968	7	4	-	4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	975	975	968	7	4	-	4
COUNTIES	976	975	968	7	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	3	-	-	-	3	-	3
NEVADA	1 222	1 222	1 219	3	33	33	-
STATE	161	161	160	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 094	1 061	1 059	2	33	33	-
COUNTIES	1 081	1 048	1 046	2	33	33	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	230	230	230	-	-	-	-
STATE	230	230	230	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	10 930	10 930	10 905	25	-	-	-
STATE	10 547	10 547	10 522	25	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	383	383	383	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	236	236	236	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	147	147	147	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	1 845	1 845	1 835	10	-	-	-
STATE	1 813	1 813	1 803	10	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	32	32	32	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	32	32	32	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	26 295	26 295	26 261	34	-	-	-
STATE	4 004	4 004	4 004	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 291	22 291	22 257	34	-	-	-
COUNTIES	8 106	8 106	8 072	34	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	14 185	14 185	14 185	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA	4 965	4 965	4 965	-	-	-	-
STATE	4 965	4 965	4 965	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	205	205	205	-	-	1	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	205	205	205	-	-	1	-
COUNTIES	205	205	205	-	-	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	1	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	4 282	4 282	4 282	-	11	3	8
STATE	50	50	50	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 235	4 232	4 232	-	11	3	8
COUNTIES	3 548	3 548	3 548	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	695	684	684	-	11	3	8
OKLAHOMA	739	739	737	2	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	739	739	737	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES	704	704	701	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	35	35	35	-	-	-	-
OREGON	2 660	2 660	2 658	2	2	-	2
STATE	113	113	113	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 547	2 547	2 545	2	2	-	2
COUNTIES	2 472	2 472	2 470	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	77	75	75	-	2	-	2
PENNSYLVANIA	7 168	7 168	7 151	16	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 168	7 168	7 151	16	-	-	-
COUNTIES	4 042	4 042	4 026	16	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 125	3 125	3 125	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	420	420	420	-	-	-	-
STATE	420	420	420	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 049	1 049	1 046	3	1	1	-
STATE	608	608	608	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	442	441	438	3	1	1	-
COUNTIES	429	428	424	3	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	267	267	267	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	267	267	267	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	261	261	261	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	1 669	1 669	1 652	17	-	-	-
STATE	955	955	955	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	714	714	697	17	-	-	-
COUNTIES	469	469	469	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	244	244	227	17	-	-	-
TEXAS	4 020	4 020	4 015	5	15	-	15
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 020	4 020	4 015	5	15	-	15
COUNTIES	4 020	4 020	4 015	5	-	-	15
MUNICIPALITIES	15	-	-	-	15	-	15
UTAH	395	395	395	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	395	395	395	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	382	382	382	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	628	628	603	25	-	-	-
STATE	628	628	603	25	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	4 068	4 068	4 065	3	-	-	-
STATE	3 850	3 850	3 850	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	218	218	215	3	-	-	-
COUNTIES	111	111	111	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	107	107	104	3	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 37. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	3 425	3 425	3 405	20	5	-	5
STATE	416	416	416	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 009	3 009	2 989	20	5	-	5
COUNTIES	2 580	2 580	2 563	17	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	434	429	426	3	5	-	5
WEST VIRGINIA.	71	71	71	-	-	-	-
STATE.	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	71	71	71	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	71	71	71	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN.	2 687	2 687	2 682	5	5	5	-
STATE.	286	286	281	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 407	2 401	2 401	-	5	5	-
COUNTIES	2 407	2 401	2 401	-	5	5	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING.	253	253	253	-	-	-	-
STATE.	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	253	253	253	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	250	250	250	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 38. Public defense expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				
TOTAL	85 071	84 955	84 668	287	287	-	-	116	14	102
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	-
ALASKA:										
GREATER ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	1 690	1 690	1 678	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
PIMA	957	957	934	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	128	127	127	-	-	-	-	1	1	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	3 007	3 007	2 992	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
BUTTE	178	178	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	1 233	1 233	1 223	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	986	986	981	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	528	528	527	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	12 695	12 695	12 686	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
MARIN	614	614	608	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	559	559	552	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	2 285	2 285	2 253	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	1 140	1 140	1 139	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	1 995	1 995	1 986	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	1 119	1 119	1 116	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	3 217	3 217	3 217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	939	939	936	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	926	926	926	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	537	537	534	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	1 713	1 713	1 713	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	192	192	192	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	469	469	467	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	321	321	319	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	205	205	200	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE	435	435	434	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	764	764	754	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOLO	286	286	282	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DADE	160	160	154	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	79	79	78	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Public defense expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
FLORIDA--CONTINUED								
MANATEE	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-
PASCO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	139	121	119	2	2	-	18	18
DE KALB	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	336	336	334	2	2	-	-	-
RICHMOND	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:								
ADA	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	232	232	219	13	13	-	-	-
DU PAGE	308	308	300	8	8	-	-	-
KANE	63	63	62	1	1	-	-	-
LAKE	112	112	109	3	3	-	-	-
LA SALLE	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	53	53	49	4	4	-	-	-
MCLEAN	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	104	104	103	1	1	-	-	-
PEORIA	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	125	125	124	1	1	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	136	136	135	1	1	-	-	-
DELAWARE	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-
VIGO	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	133	133	133	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	159	159	159	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	282	282	282	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	95	68	68	-	-	-	27	27
WOODBURY	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
SEDWICK	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTON	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Public defense expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
LOUISIANA:									
CADDY	51	46	46	—	—	—	5	—	5
CALCASIEU	18	18	18	—	—	—	—	—	—
JEFFERSON	116	116	116	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAFAYETTE	70	70	70	—	—	—	—	—	—
OUACHITA	25	25	25	—	—	—	—	—	—
RAPIDES	37	37	37	—	—	—	—	—	—
MAINE:									
CUMBERLAND	62	62	62	—	—	—	—	—	—
PENOBCOT	57	57	53	4	4	—	—	—	—
YORK	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
MARYLAND:									
ANNE ARUNDEL	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
BALTIMORE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
HARFORD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONTGOMERY	2	2	2	—	—	—	—	—	—
PRINCE GEORGES	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
WASHINGTON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BARNSTABLE	44	44	44	—	—	—	—	—	—
BERKSHIRE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
BRISTOL	250	250	250	—	—	—	—	—	—
ESSEX	15	15	15	—	—	—	—	—	—
HAMPDEN	204	204	204	—	—	—	—	—	—
HAMPSHIRE	34	34	34	—	—	—	—	—	—
MIDDLESEX	1 165	1 165	1 165	—	—	—	—	—	—
NORFOLK	82	82	82	—	—	—	—	—	—
PLYMOUTH	113	113	113	—	—	—	—	—	—
WORCESTER	160	160	160	—	—	—	—	—	—
MICHIGAN:									
BAY	112	109	108	1	1	—	—	3	3
BERRIEN	179	179	179	—	—	—	—	—	—
CALHOUN	111	111	111	—	—	—	—	—	—
GENESEE	280	280	280	—	—	—	—	—	—
INGHAM	283	283	283	—	—	—	—	—	—
JACKSON	145	145	145	—	—	—	—	—	—
KALAMAZOO	229	229	229	—	—	—	—	—	—
KENT	201	201	201	—	—	—	—	—	—
MACOMB	566	566	566	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONROE	165	165	165	—	—	—	—	—	—
MUSKEGON	122	122	122	—	—	—	—	—	—
OAKLAND	872	872	872	—	—	—	—	—	—
OTTAWA	58	58	57	1	1	—	—	—	—
SAGINAW	279	279	279	—	—	—	—	—	—
ST. CLAIR	153	153	153	—	—	—	—	—	—
WASHTENAW	339	339	339	—	—	—	—	—	—
WAYNE	4 159	4 159	4 159	—	—	—	—	—	—
MINNESOTA:									
ANOKA	59	59	59	—	—	—	—	—	—
DAKOTA	80	28	28	—	—	—	—	52	52
HENNEPIN	783	783	766	17	17	—	—	—	—
RAMSEY	80	80	80	—	—	—	—	—	—
ST. LOUIS	114	114	114	—	—	—	—	—	—
MISSISSIPPI:									
HARRISON	38	38	38	—	—	—	—	—	—
HINDS	82	82	82	—	—	—	—	—	—
JACKSON	28	28	28	—	—	—	—	—	—
MISSOURI:									
CLAY	3	3	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
GREENE	3	3	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
JACKSON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
JEFFERSON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ST. CHARLES	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ST. LOUIS	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 38. Public defense expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
NEBRASKA:									
DOUGLAS	384	384	383	1	1	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	153	153	152	1	1	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:									
CLARK	603	603	602	1	1	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	361	361	360	1	1	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
HILLSBOROUGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:									
ATLANTIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-
GOULCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:									
BERNALILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY	242	242	238	4	4	-	-	-	-
BROOME	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	217	217	216	1	1	-	-	-	-
ERIE	793	793	793	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	550	550	540	10	10	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	1 379	1 379	1 379	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA	128	128	128	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	384	384	384	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	195	195	195	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENSSELAKER	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	243	243	240	3	3	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	59	59	58	1	1	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-
STEUBEN	56	56	55	1	1	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	1 370	1 370	1 360	10	10	-	-	-	-
ULSTER	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	939	939	939	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:									
BUNCOMBE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONSLOW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Public defense expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
OHIO:								
ALLEN	24	24	24	—	—	—	—	—
ASHTABULA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
BUTLER	9	9	9	—	—	—	—	—
CLARK	18	18	18	—	—	—	—	—
CLERMONT	21	21	21	—	—	—	—	—
COLUMBIANA	57	57	57	—	—	—	—	—
CUYAHOGA	1 211	1 211	1 211	—	—	—	—	—
FRANKLIN	232	232	232	—	—	—	—	—
GREENE	65	65	65	—	—	—	—	—
HAMILTON	462	462	462	—	—	—	—	—
LAKE	64	64	64	—	—	—	—	—
LICKING	31	31	31	—	—	—	—	—
LORAIN	35	35	35	—	—	—	—	—
LUCAS	153	153	153	—	—	—	—	—
MAHONING	47	47	47	—	—	—	—	—
MONTGOMERY	153	153	153	—	—	—	—	—
PORTAGE	46	46	46	—	—	—	—	—
RICHLAND	31	31	31	—	—	—	—	—
STARK	97	97	97	—	—	—	—	—
SUMMIT	199	199	199	—	—	—	—	—
TRUMBULL	81	81	81	—	—	—	—	—
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	63	63	63	—	—	—	—	—
OKLAHOMA	232	232	230	2	2	—	—	—
TULSA	147	147	147	—	—	—	—	—
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	133	133	133	—	—	—	—	—
JACKSON	133	133	133	—	—	—	—	—
LANE	212	212	212	—	—	—	—	—
MARION	119	119	119	—	—	—	—	—
MULTNOMAH	985	985	985	—	—	—	—	—
WASHINGTON	179	179	179	—	—	—	—	—
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	686	686	684	2	2	—	—	—
BEAVER	82	82	81	1	1	—	—	—
BERKS	68	68	68	—	—	—	—	—
BLAIR	43	43	42	1	1	—	—	—
BUCKS	223	223	223	—	—	—	—	—
BUTLER	33	33	33	—	—	—	—	—
CAMBRIA	60	60	60	—	—	—	—	—
CENTRE	29	29	29	—	—	—	—	—
CHESTER	242	242	237	5	5	—	—	—
CUMBERLAND	31	31	31	—	—	—	—	—
DAUPHIN	120	120	120	—	—	—	—	—
DELAWARE	424	424	424	—	—	—	—	—
ERIE	166	166	165	1	1	—	—	—
FAYETTE	38	38	38	—	—	—	—	—
FRANKLIN	43	43	43	—	—	—	—	—
LACKAWANNA	80	80	80	—	—	—	—	—
LANCASTER	73	73	73	—	—	—	—	—
LAWRENCE	38	38	36	2	2	—	—	—
LEBANON	21	21	21	—	—	—	—	—
LEHIGH	83	83	82	1	1	—	—	—
LUZERNE	106	106	106	—	—	—	—	—
LYCOMING	41	41	41	—	—	—	—	—
MERCER	85	85	84	1	1	—	—	—
MONTGOMERY	390	390	389	1	1	—	—	—
NORTHAMPTON	96	96	96	—	—	—	—	—
SCIUEYLKILL	49	49	49	—	—	—	—	—
WASHINGTON	55	55	55	—	—	—	—	—
WESTMORELAND	78	78	77	1	1	—	—	—
YORK	42	42	42	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 38. Public defense expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	375	375	375	-	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR	350	350	350	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
CAMERON	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	811	811	811	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	156	156	156	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	1 040	1 040	1 040	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-
SMITH	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	227	227	227	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:								
DAVIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE	228	228	228	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
HENRICO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-
KING	1 175	1 175	1 171	4	4	-	-	-
KITSAP	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	238	238	234	4	4	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	257	257	251	6	6	-	-	-
SPOKANE	227	227	225	2	2	-	-	-
YAKIMA	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	59	57	57	-	-	-	2	2
DANE	131	131	131	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
MARATHON	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	745	745	745	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	283	283	283	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 39. Indigent defense expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				
TOTAL	24 678	24 571	24 548	23	23	-	-	107	11	96
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	11	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	230	230	230	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	7
LITTLE ROCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 39. Indigent defense expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	959	959	955	4	4	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	146	146	145	-	-	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	1 935	1 935	1 935	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	23	-	-	-	-	-	23	23
PENSACOLA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Indigent defense expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ATLANTA	45	45	45	—	—	—	—	—
AUGUSTA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
COLUMBUS	27	27	27	—	—	—	—	—
MACON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAVANNAH	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
AURORA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
BERWYN	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHAMPAIGN	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHICAGO	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CICERO	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
DECATUR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
DES PLAINES	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
EAST ST. LOUIS	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ELGIN	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
EVANSTON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
JOLIET	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
OAK LAWN	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
OAK PARK	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
PEORIA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ROCKFORD	6	6	6	—	—	—	—	—
ROCK ISLAND	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
SKOKIE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
SPRINGFIELD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
WAUKEGAN	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	5	5	5	—	—	—	—	—
EVANSVILLE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
FORT WAYNE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
GARY	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
HAMMOND	7	7	7	—	—	—	—	—
INDIANAPOLIS	184	184	134	—	—	—	—	—
MUNCIE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOUTH BEND	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
TERRE HAUTE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
COUNCIL BLUFFS	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
DAVENPORT	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
DES MOINES	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
DUBUQUE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
SIOUX CITY	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
WATERLOO	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
OVERLAND PARK	3	3	3	—	—	—	—	—
TOPEKA	5	5	5	—	—	—	—	—
WICHITA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
LEXINGTON	40	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
LOUISVILLE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
OWENSBORO	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Indigent defense expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
LOUISIANA:									
BATON ROUGE	165	165	165	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAFAYETTE	4	4	4	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAKE CHARLES	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONROE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEW ORLEANS	294	294	294	—	—	—	—	—	—
SHREVEPORT	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
MAINE:									
PORTLAND	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
MARYLAND:									
BALTIMORE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BOSTON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
BROCKTON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CAMBRIDGE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHICOPEE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
FALL RIVER	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
HOLYOKE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAWRENCE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
LOWELL	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
LYNN	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
MALDEN	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
MEDFORD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEW BEDFORD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEWTON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
PITTSFIELD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
QUINCY	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOMERVILLE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
SPRINGFIELD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
WALTHAM	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
WORCESTER	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
MICHIGAN:									
ANN ARBOR	137	137	137	—	—	—	—	—	—
DEARBORN	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	4	4	4	—	—	—	—	—	—
DETROIT	1 344	1 344	1 344	—	—	—	—	—	—
FARMINGTON HILLS	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
FLINT	30	30	30	—	—	—	—	—	—
GRAND RAPIDS	17	17	17	—	—	—	—	—	—
KALAMAZOO	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
LANSING	57	57	57	—	—	—	—	—	—
LINCOLN PARK	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
LIVONIA	9	9	9	—	—	—	—	—	—
PONTIAC	22	22	22	—	—	—	—	—	—
ROSEVILLE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ROYAL OAK	8	8	8	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAGINAW	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ST. CLAIR SHORES	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOUTHFIELD	6	6	6	—	—	—	—	—	—
STERLING HEIGHTS	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
TAYLOR	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
WARREN	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
WESTLAND	20	20	20	—	—	—	—	—	—
WYOMING	3	3	5	—	—	—	—	—	—
MINNESOTA:									
BLOOMINGTON	13	—	—	—	—	—	—	13	—
DULUTH	32	22	22	—	—	—	—	10	—
MINNEAPOLIS	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10
ROCHESTER	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ST. PAUL	68	68	68	—	—	—	—	—	—
MISSISSIPPI:									
BILOXI	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
JACKSON	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Indigent defense expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
MISSOURI:									
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	237	237	237	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:									
BILLINGS	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:									
LINCOLN	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
OMAHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
NEVADA:									
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
MANCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:									
BAYONNE	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:									
ALBUQUERQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	13 846	13 846	13 846	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:									
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 39. Indigent defense expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
NORTH DAKOTA:									
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:									
AKRON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	191	191	191	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	140	140	140	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKewood	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAKeE, N.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:									
LAWTON	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:									
EUGENE	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	3 033	3 033	3 033	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:									
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:									
SIOUX FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:									
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	232	232	216	16	16	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Indigent defense expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
TEXAS:									
ABILENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
OGDEN	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ALEXANDRIA	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:									
BELLEVUE	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	238	238	238	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	81	81	78	3	3	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:									
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Section VII. CORRECTIONS

Table 40. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	3 626 535	3 626 535	3 299 215	327 321	156 919	37 935	118 984
STATES	2 291 749	2 193 000	2 015 826	177 174	98 749	(X)	98 749
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 471 470	1 433 535	1 283 389	150 147	58 170	37 935	20 235
COUNTIES	1 091 552	1 043 818	936 447	107 371	47 734	34 771	12 963
MUNICIPALITIES	400 153	389 718	346 942	42 776	10 435	3 164	7 271
ALABAMA	29 246	29 246	26 287	2 959	1 981	-	1 981
STATE	21 889	20 293	17 538	2 755	1 596	(X)	1 596
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 953	8 953	8 749	204	385	-	385
COUNTIES	6 966	6 946	6 750	196	20	-	20
MUNICIPALITIES	2 372	2 007	1 999	8	365	-	365
ALASKA	20 738	20 738	12 786	7 952	484	42	442
STATE	20 717	20 275	12 323	7 952	442	(X)	442
LOCAL, TOTAL	505	463	463	-	42	42	-
BOROUGHS	8	-	-	-	8	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES	497	463	463	-	34	33	-
ARIZONA	43 786	43 786	33 121	10 664	217	-	217
STATE	20 341	20 341	18 117	2 224	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 445	23 445	15 004	8 440	217	-	217
COUNTIES	23 169	23 166	14 726	8 440	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	492	278	278	-	214	-	214
ARKANSAS	20 951	20 951	12 931	8 020	38	4	35
STATE	13 755	13 755	9 644	4 111	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 200	7 196	3 287	3 909	38	4	35
COUNTIES	6 166	6 158	2 527	3 632	8	4	4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 068	1 037	760	278	31	-	31
CALIFORNIA	630 900	630 900	603 347	27 553	35 006	6 029	28 977
STATE	299 087	270 735	266 447	4 288	28 352	(X)	28 352
LOCAL, TOTAL	366 194	360 165	336 900	23 265	6 654	6 029	625
COUNTIES	352 138	345 904	323 057	22 847	6 234	5 978	255
MUNICIPALITIES	14 682	14 261	13 843	418	421	51	369
COLORADO	41 209	41 209	39 853	1 356	32	1	31
STATE	31 167	31 167	30 144	1 023	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 043	10 042	9 709	333	32	1	31
COUNTIES	3 719	3 716	3 491	224	3	1	2
MUNICIPALITIES	6 356	6 327	6 218	109	29	-	29
CONNECTICUT	52 529	52 529	40 217	12 312	1	-	1
STATE	52 323	52 323	40 036	12 287	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	206	206	181	25	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	207	206	181	25	1	-	1
DELAWARE	11 361	11 361	10 634	727	-	-	-
STATE	11 361	11 361	10 634	727	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	73 114	73 114	58 750	14 364	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	73 114	73 114	58 750	14 364	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	73 114	73 114	58 750	14 364	-	-	-
FLORIDA	184 802	184 802	155 224	29 578	153	77	77
STATE	145 802	145 802	119 073	26 729	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	39 077	39 000	36 151	2 849	153	77	77
COUNTIES	30 461	30 382	28 006	2 376	80	72	8
MUNICIPALITIES	8 692	8 619	8 145	473	73	5	69
GEORGIA	86 306	86 306	76 275	10 031	699	48	651
STATE	56 185	55 785	49 061	6 724	400	(X)	400
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 569	30 521	27 214	3 307	299	48	251
COUNTIES	25 948	25 876	23 200	2 676	72	48	24
MUNICIPALITIES	4 873	4 646	4 014	631	227	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 40. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	7 862	7 862	7 743	119	-	-	-
STATE	6 916	6 916	6 800	116	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	946	946	943	3	-	-	-
COUNTIES	227	227	227	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	719	719	716	3	-	-	-
IDAH0	10 770	10 770	7 603	3 166	27	12	15
STATE	7 851	7 851	6 428	1 423	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 931	2 919	1 175	1 743	27	12	15
COUNTIES	2 877	2 863	1 123	1 741	14	12	2
MUNICIPALITIES	69	55	53	3	14	-	14
ILLINOIS	127 490	127 490	116 168	11 323	7 575	-	7 575
STATE	85 735	83 616	82 578	1 038	2 119	(X)	2 119
LOCAL, TOTAL	43 874	43 874	33 590	10 285	5 456	-	5 456
COUNTIES	48 416	43 005	33 345	9 660	5 411	-	5 411
MUNICIPALITIES	915	869	245	625	45	-	45
INDIANA	50 029	50 029	45 384	4 645	1 686	1 596	90
STATE	33 910	33 910	30 943	2 967	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 715	16 119	14 441	1 678	1 686	1 596	90
COUNTIES	13 318	11 992	10 479	1 513	1 326	1 254	72
MUNICIPALITIES	4 487	4 128	3 962	165	360	342	18
IOWA	29 086	29 086	27 745	1 341	897	622	275
STATE	21 659	21 655	20 564	1 091	4	(X)	4
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 054	7 431	7 181	250	893	622	271
COUNTIES	8 108	7 268	7 019	249	840	622	218
MUNICIPALITIES	216	163	162	1	53	-	53
KANSAS	36 900	36 900	33 396	3 504	71	14	57
STATE	28 845	28 845	27 587	1 258	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 069	8 055	5 809	2 246	71	14	57
COUNTIES	7 325	7 320	5 074	2 246	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	801	735	735	-	66	14	53
KENTUCKY	32 359	32 359	28 743	3 616	493	-	493
STATE	22 790	22 790	19 903	2 887	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 569	9 569	8 840	729	493	-	493
COUNTIES	7 649	7 632	6 938	694	17	-	17
MUNICIPALITIES	2 413	1 937	1 902	34	476	-	476
LOUISIANA	48 177	48 177	41 613	6 564	116	-	116
STATE	27 840	27 840	26 743	1 097	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 337	20 337	14 870	5 467	116	-	116
PARISHES	8 759	8 738	7 203	1 535	21	-	21
MUNICIPALITIES	11 694	11 599	7 667	3 932	95	-	95
MAINE	10 449	10 449	9 536	914	92	-	92
STATE	8 976	8 976	8 154	822	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 473	1 473	1 382	92	92	-	92
COUNTIES	1 482	1 473	1 382	92	9	-	9
MUNICIPALITIES	83	-	-	-	83	-	83
MARYLAND	99 870	99 870	94 730	5 140	1	-	1
STATE	84 272	84 272	79 705	4 567	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 598	15 598	15 025	573	1	-	1
COUNTIES	7 875	7 874	7 486	387	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	7 724	7 724	7 538	186	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	97 347	97 347	93 556	3 792	22	2	20
STATE	63 364	63 364	60 012	3 352	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	33 985	33 983	33 544	440	22	2	20
COUNTIES	24 948	24 946	24 602	344	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9 057	9 037	8 942	95	20	-	20
MICHIGAN	134 851	134 851	121 734	13 117	6 860	3 724	3 136
STATE	71 552	69 967	61 322	8 645	1 585	(X)	1 585
LOCAL, TOTAL	68 608	64 884	60 412	4 472	5 275	3 724	1 551
COUNTIES	60 829	56 399	52 782	3 617	4 430	3 701	730
MUNICIPALITIES	9 330	8 485	7 630	855	845	23	822

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 40. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	47 852	47 852	45 201	2 651	1 683	83	1 601
STATE	28 235	27 623	26 465	1 158	612	(X)	612
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 312	20 229	18 736	1 493	1 071	83	989
COUNTIES	19 465	18 470	17 371	1 099	995	83	912
MUNICIPALITIES	1 835	1 759	1 365	394	76	-	76
MISSISSIPPI	17 237	17 237	14 042	3 195	271	-	271
STATE	14 239	14 067	11 227	2 840	172	(X)	172
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 170	3 170	2 815	355	99	-	99
COUNTIES	2 461	2 459	2 104	355	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	808	711	711	-	97	-	97
MISSOURI	55 475	55 475	51 827	3 648	149	83	66
STATE	34 015	34 015	31 388	2 627	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 543	21 460	20 439	1 021	149	83	66
COUNTIES	11 425	11 303	10 516	787	122	83	40
MUNICIPALITIES	10 184	10 158	9 923	235	27	-	27
MONTANA	10 927	10 927	8 842	2 085	46	-	46
STATE	8 712	8 712	6 948	1 764	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 215	2 215	1 894	321	46	-	46
COUNTIES	2 015	1 994	1 673	321	21	-	21
MUNICIPALITIES	246	221	221	-	25	-	25
NEBRASKA	17 546	17 546	16 175	1 371	164	12	152
STATE	12 350	12 350	11 584	766	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 208	5 196	4 591	605	164	12	152
COUNTIES	4 867	4 784	4 179	605	83	-	83
MUNICIPALITIES	402	412	412	-	80	12	68
NEVADA	22 118	22 118	17 001	5 117	519	-	519
STATE	14 862	14 351	9 402	4 949	511	(X)	511
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 767	7 767	7 599	168	8	-	8
COUNTIES	7 059	7 057	6 977	79	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	716	710	622	88	6	-	6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	7 479	7 479	7 267	213	29	7	22
STATE	5 417	5 417	5 232	185	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 070	2 062	2 035	28	29	7	22
COUNTIES	1 855	1 826	1 799	28	29	7	22
MUNICIPALITIES	236	236	236	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	127 865	127 865	117 197	10 668	39	31	8
STATE	66 813	66 813	59 201	7 612	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	61 083	61 052	57 996	3 056	39	31	8
COUNTIES	60 849	60 419	57 763	3 056	50	22	8
MUNICIPALITIES	243	233	233	-	9	9	-
NEW MEXICO	11 569	11 569	10 741	828	478	380	97
STATE	8 415	8 415	8 235	180	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 535	3 154	2 506	648	478	380	97
COUNTIES	1 971	1 560	911	648	411	380	31
MUNICIPALITIES	1 661	1 595	1 595	-	67	-	67
NEW YORK	447 937	447 937	418 009	29 928	49 374	10 806	38 568
STATE	263 120	225 162	213 630	11 532	37 958	(X)	37 958
LOCAL, TOTAL	233 581	222 775	204 379	18 396	11 416	10 806	610
COUNTIES	89 033	79 173	75 992	3 181	9 860	9 250	610
MUNICIPALITIES	145 158	143 602	128 387	15 215	1 556	1 556	-
NORTH CAROLINA	89 583	89 583	80 855	8 728	147	11	136
STATE	76 309	76 309	71 297	5 012	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 285	13 274	9 558	3 716	147	11	136
COUNTIES	13 316	13 219	9 503	3 716	98	11	86
MUNICIPALITIES	105	56	56	-	50	-	50
NORTH DAKOTA	5 120	5 120	4 106	1 014	27	-	27
STATE	3 958	3 958	3 461	497	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 162	1 162	645	517	27	-	27
COUNTIES	1 123	1 117	600	517	6	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	66	45	45	-	21	-	21

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 40. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	160 997	160 997	154 435	6 562	5 234	584	4 649
STATE	112 280	111 002	110 416	586	1 278	(X)	1 278
LOCAL, TOTAL	50 580	49 995	44 019	5 976	3 956	584	3 371
COUNTIES	41 999	38 450	33 042	5 408	3 549	584	2 965
MUNICIPALITIES	11 952	11 546	10 977	569	406	-	406
OKLAHOMA	27 494	27 494	23 976	3 518	11	2	9
STATE	22 456	22 454	19 427	3 027	2	(X)	2
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 042	5 040	4 549	491	9	2	7
COUNTIES	3 842	3 838	3 386	452	4	2	2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 207	1 202	1 164	38	5	-	5
OREGON	42 275	42 275	38 287	3 988	205	9	196
STATE	27 020	27 020	25 814	1 206	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 264	15 255	12 473	2 782	205	9	196
COUNTIES	15 137	15 111	12 329	2 782	26	-	26
MUNICIPALITIES	323	144	144	-	179	9	170
PENNSYLVANIA	165 947	165 947	159 205	6 742	21 159	12 922	8 237
STATE	99 337	91 413	88 631	2 782	7 924	(X)	7 924
LOCAL, TOTAL	87 456	74 534	70 574	3 960	13 235	12 922	313
COUNTIES	52 545	40 350	37 866	2 484	12 196	11 883	313
MUNICIPALITIES	35 223	34 184	32 708	1 476	1 039	1 039	-
RHODE ISLAND	11 549	11 549	11 192	357	-	-	-
STATE	11 549	11 549	11 192	357	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	46 952	46 952	37 201	9 751	35	-	35
STATE	38 869	38 869	31 097	7 772	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 083	8 083	6 104	1 979	35	-	35
COUNTIES	7 444	7 427	5 634	1 793	17	-	17
MUNICIPALITIES	674	656	470	186	18	-	18
SOUTH DAKOTA	6 296	6 296	5 062	1 234	56	2	54
STATE	4 449	4 449	4 247	202	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 849	1 847	815	1 032	56	2	54
COUNTIES	1 844	1 830	797	1 032	14	2	13
MUNICIPALITIES	59	18	18	-	41	-	41
TENNESSEE	60 169	60 169	46 232	13 937	4 256	12	4 244
STATE	39 968	36 385	29 043	7 342	3 583	(X)	3 583
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 796	23 784	17 189	6 595	673	12	661
COUNTIES	19 812	19 731	13 172	6 559	81	5	76
MUNICIPALITIES	4 645	4 054	4 017	36	592	6	586
TEXAS	107 776	107 776	96 101	11 675	725	13	712
STATE	59 802	59 802	53 683	6 119	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	47 987	47 974	42 418	5 556	725	13	712
COUNTIES	42 081	41 909	36 372	5 537	172	13	159
MUNICIPALITIES	6 618	6 065	6 046	19	553	-	553
UTAH	12 943	12 943	12 125	818	737	-	737
STATE	10 938	10 267	9 572	695	671	(X)	671
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 676	2 676	2 553	123	66	-	66
COUNTIES	2 642	2 592	2 475	118	50	-	50
MUNICIPALITIES	100	84	78	5	16	-	16
VERMONT	8 822	8 822	6 508	2 314	1	-	1
STATE	8 775	8 775	6 474	2 301	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	47	47	34	13	1	-	1
COUNTIES	34	34	21	13	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13	13	13	-	1	-	1
VIRGINIA	87 544	87 544	82 106	5 438	9 887	63	9 824
STATE	74 491	65 317	62 809	2 508	9 174	(X)	9 174
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 290	22 227	19 297	2 930	713	63	650
COUNTIES	8 513	8 220	7 594	626	293	-	293
MUNICIPALITIES	14 427	14 006	11 703	2 303	420	63	357

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 40. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	65 017	65 017	62 731	2 286	4 181	3	4 177
STATE	47 658	45 322	43 963	1 359	2 336	(X)	2 336
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 698	19 695	18 768	927	1 845	3	1 841
COUNTIES	18 713	18 308	17 385	923	405	3	402
MUNICIPALITIES	2 827	1 387	1 383	4	1 439	-	1 439
WEST VIRGINIA.	16 863	16 863	12 652	4 211	194	-	194
STATE	11 229	11 229	9 472	1 757	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 634	5 634	3 180	2 454	194	-	194
COUNTIES	5 628	5 625	3 171	2 454	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	200	9	9	-	191	-	191
WISCONSIN.	60 631	60 631	58 349	2 282	833	730	103
STATE	46 563	46 533	44 577	1 956	30	(X)	30
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 828	14 098	13 772	326	803	730	73
COUNTIES	14 848	14 098	13 772	326	750	730	20
MUNICIPALITIES	53	-	-	-	53	-	53
WYOMING.	4 420	4 420	4 413	8	27	10	17
STATE	3 583	3 583	3 583	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	848	837	830	8	27	10	17
COUNTIES	643	633	626	7	10	10	-
MUNICIPALITIES	221	205	203	1	17	-	17

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 41. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of State governments, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

State ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions					Corrections administration	Probation, parole, and pardon	Miscellaneous
		Total	For men	For women	For juveniles	Other and combined			
TOTAL	2 015 826	1 550 973	989 979	44 270	419 306	97 418	155 747	271 824	37 282
ALABAMA	17 538	14 255	10 644	644	2 967	-	157	2 706	420
ALASKA	12 323	9 945	3 125	-	3 527	3 293	397	1 649	332
ARIZONA	18 117	14 271	2 991	-	3 627	7 653	1 519	2 216	111
ARKANSAS	9 644	8 845	5 711	124	3 010	-	83	521	195
CALIFORNIA	266 447	192 953	135 657	5 677	51 619	-	25 836	34 707	12 951
COLORADO	30 144	21 939	18 140	-	6 799	-	1 215	6 931	59
CONNECTICUT	40 036	27 599	19 716	2 061	3 952	1 870	4 849	6 584	1 004
DELAWARE	10 634	9 002	4 832	226	3 211	733	880	695	57
FLORIDA	119 073	78 395	51 432	204	23 187	3 572	5 790	34 888	-
GEORGIA	49 061	33 104	21 663	973	10 468	-	5 560	9 815	582
HAWAII	6 800	5 303	-	-	1 427	3 876	270	1 123	104
IDAHO	6 428	5 373	3 804	95	1 474	-	-	1 055	-
ILLINOIS	82 578	60 192	37 190	1 752	21 250	-	12 150	10 236	-
INDIANA	30 943	25 402	19 486	888	5 028	-	1 431	1 825	2 285
IOWA	20 564	17 943	12 168	608	5 167	-	660	1 250	711
KANSAS	27 587	26 608	13 558	732	4 257	8 061	309	670	-
KENTUCKY	19 903	15 114	10 379	521	4 003	211	898	3 177	714
LOUISIANA	26 743	18 806	11 212	726	6 868	-	1 632	3 559	2 746
MAINE	8 154	6 840	3 918	25	2 897	-	195	814	305
MARYLAND	79 705	58 357	35 392	1 620	17 028	4 317	5 661	15 443	244
MASSACHUSETTS	60 012	49 086	32 023	2 148	14 915	-	5 593	5 315	18
MICHIGAN	61 322	53 548	40 750	-	12 798	-	2 894	4 880	-
MINNESOTA	26 465	20 799	13 324	637	6 838	-	4 702	253	711
MISSISSIPPI	11 227	9 435	-	-	1 936	7 499	135	1 657	-
MISSOURI	31 388	21 951	16 034	474	5 443	-	4 842	4 366	229
MONTANA	6 948	5 421	3 285	20	2 116	-	425	1 102	-
NEBRASKA	11 584	9 098	6 460	439	2 199	-	426	2 060	-
NEVADA	9 402	7 626	4 826	-	2 724	76	-	1 776	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5 232	4 110	2 091	-	2 019	-	-	1 098	24
NEW JERSEY	59 201	51 641	25 635	3 335	11 931	10 740	1 726	5 193	641
NEW MEXICO	8 235	6 113	3 598	53	2 462	-	190	1 932	-
NEW YORK	213 630	177 516	123 769	4 036	22 564	27 147	11 546	21 708	2 860
NORTH CAROLINA	71 297	55 521	39 228	1 841	11 554	898	4 196	10 703	2 877
NORTH DAKOTA	3 461	3 167	1 533	62	1 572	-	-	294	-
OHIO	110 416	81 661	44 415	2 594	26 513	8 139	22 241	5 958	556
OKLAHOMA	19 427	14 113	10 308	464	3 341	-	3 567	1 747	-
OREGON	25 814	19 239	11 267	414	7 558	-	837	5 738	-
PENNSYLVANIA	88 631	74 065	41 840	2 618	29 607	-	2 693	10 786	1 087
RHODE ISLAND	11 192	8 655	6 784	-	1 871	-	889	1 648	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	31 097	21 937	15 578	524	5 835	-	2 945	2 458	3 747
SOUTH DAKOTA	4 247	3 741	-	-	1 238	2 503	8	333	165
TENNESSEE	29 043	24 264	15 128	869	8 267	-	933	3 846	-
TEXAS	53 683	46 088	32 466	1 459	12 163	-	3 207	4 388	-
UTAH	9 572	6 259	-	133	2 103	4 023	138	3 129	46
VERMONT	6 474	4 866	2 473	-	2 093	300	333	875	400
VIRGINIA	67 809	45 346	34 939	1 685	8 722	-	7 994	8 416	1 053
WASHINGTON	43 363	35 368	20 513	2 129	12 726	-	348	8 247	-
WEST VIRGINIA	9 472	8 240	5 463	219	2 558	-	-	1 184	48
WISCONSIN	44 577	30 635	16 722	1 197	10 209	2 507	3 342	10 600	-
WYOMING	3 583	3 218	1 509	44	1 665	-	95	270	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government; see text for data limitations.

CORRECTIONS


277

Table 42. Corrections expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				
TOTAL	916 344	873 389	797 535	75 854	8 146	64 811	2 897	42 955	31 827	11 128
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	167	160	153	7	5	2	-	-	7	-
JEFFERSON	1 843	1 843	1 836	7	7	-	-	-	-	7
MADISON	402	402	399	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	879	879	826	53	23	30	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	553	553	548	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	226	226	226	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
GREATER ANCHORAGE	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	14 819	14 819	7 043	7 776	266	7 510	-	-	-	-
PIMA	5 631	5 631	5 336	295	88	207	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	3 282	3 279	624	2 655	-	2 655	-	3	3	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	24 991	24 941	23 772	1 169	153	290	726	50	50	-
BUTTE	1 101	1 094	1 080	14	-	14	-	7	7	-
CONTRA COSTA	12 581	12 564	11 434	1 130	58	1 000	72	17	17	-
FRESNO	7 786	7 748	6 849	899	31	711	157	38	20	18
HUMBOLDT	1 579	1 579	1 548	31	11	20	-	-	-	-
KERN	6 580	6 580	5 892	688	120	568	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	119 367	114 373	105 622	8 751	1 166	7 430	155	4 994	4 994	-
MARIN	4 091	4 087	4 072	15	15	-	-	4	-	4
MERCED	1 455	1 451	1 234	217	35	182	-	4	4	-
MONTEREY	3 686	3 679	3 384	295	10	285	-	7	7	-
ORANGE	22 270	22 231	21 966	265	265	-	-	39	39	-
RIVERSIDE	10 684	10 684	10 324	360	74	286	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	12 738	12 395	11 500	895	52	843	-	343	343	-
SAN BERNARDINO	11 900	11 862	11 747	115	40	75	-	38	38	-
SAN DIEGO	26 416	26 413	26 187	226	226	-	-	3	-	3
SAN JOAQUIN	5 117	4 987	4 961	26	26	-	-	130	130	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 297	1 288	1 250	38	33	5	-	9	9	-
SAN MATEO	12 340	12 340	11 810	530	86	444	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	6 236	6 211	5 453	758	392	366	-	25	25	-
SANTA CLARA	21 153	21 153	16 933	4 220	96	4 124	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	2 157	2 146	2 080	66	3	63	-	11	11	-
SOLANO	3 216	3 209	2 937	272	47	225	-	7	6	1
SONOMA	4 322	4 322	3 293	1 029	47	982	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	4 483	4 367	4 308	59	22	37	-	116	116	-
TULARE	2 766	2 760	2 729	31	3	11	-	6	6	-
VENTURA	6 930	6 698	6 365	333	101	232	-	232	32	200
YOLO	1 566	1 537	1 425	112	8	104	-	29	2	27
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	355	355	291	64	-	64	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	357	357	354	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	287	287	284	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	630	630	627	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	372	372	372	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER	177	177	177	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	381	381	280	101	1	-	-	100	-	-
WELD	162	162	161	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	1 326	1 326	820	506	27	479	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	360	360	357	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	1 991	1 991	1 964	27	12	15	-	-	-	-
DADE	7 781	7 781	7 618	163	84	79	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	1 343	1 273	985	288	161	127	-	70	70	-
HILLSBOROUGH	3 942	3 942	3 864	78	36	42	-	-	-	-
LEE	295	295	295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	348	348	347	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of tables.



CONTINUED

3 OF 5

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 42. Corrections expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Land	Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED									
MANATEE	165	165	161	4	4	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	1 693	1 693	1 690	3	3	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	2 233	2 233	2 119	114	12	102	-	-	-
PASCO	195	195	178	17	17	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	1 309	1 309	1 270	39	-	39	-	-	-
POLK	936	936	900	36	16	-	20	-	-
SARASOTA	360	360	357	3	3	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE	676	676	286	390	25	365	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	1 016	1 016	989	27	27	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:									
BIBB	1 245	1 245	697	548	2	98	448	-	-
CHATHAM	698	698	696	2	2	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON	788	788	777	11	11	-	-	-	-
COBB	705	705	697	8	8	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	1 953	1 953	1 950	3	3	-	-	-	-
FULTON	6 298	6 298	5 742	556	31	525	-	-	-
RICHMOND	1 036	1 036	1 000	36	31	5	-	-	-
IDAHO:									
ADA	945	945	297	648	48	600	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:									
CHAMPAIGN	432	432	423	9	9	-	-	-	-
COOK	32 428	27 742	19 527	8 215	162	8 053	-	4 686	4 686
DU PAGE	1 535	1 535	1 411	124	1	123	-	-	-
KANE	1 246	1 246	1 100	146	21	125	-	-	-
LAKE	1 234	1 234	1 225	9	8	1	-	-	-
LA SALLE	227	227	224	3	3	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	291	269	269	-	-	-	-	22	22
MCLEAN	237	229	229	-	-	-	-	8	8
MACON	397	397	397	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	739	736	730	6	6	-	-	3	3
PEORIA	833	833	714	119	8	111	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	468	453	446	7	-	7	-	15	15
ST. CLAIR	1 331	726	726	-	-	-	-	605	605
SANGAMON	652	652	634	18	5	13	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	180	180	179	1	1	-	-	-	-
WILL	361	358	355	3	3	-	-	3	3
WINNEBAGO	870	870	863	7	7	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:									
ALLEN	1 860	1 528	1 520	8	7	-	1	332	332
DELAWARE	417	329	318	11	4	7	-	88	88
ELKHART	592	545	528	17	17	-	-	47	47
LAKE	1 359	936	935	1	1	-	-	423	423
LA PORTE	1 411	1 411	570	841	16	825	-	-	-
MADISON	463	390	389	1	1	-	-	73	73
ST. JOSEPH	889	889	889	-	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	260	245	236	9	9	-	-	15	15
VANDERBURGH	698	649	649	-	-	-	-	49	49
VIGO	466	466	456	10	10	-	-	-	-
IOWA:									
BLACK HAWK	711	711	707	4	4	-	-	-	-
LINN	704	704	559	145	13	132	-	-	-
POLK	2 875	2 463	2 463	-	-	-	-	412	388
SCOTT	487	368	368	-	-	-	-	119	119
WOODBURY	262	219	209	10	1	9	-	43	43
KANSAS:									
JOHNSON	570	570	565	5	5	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	2 612	2 612	1 297	1 315	8	1 307	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	1 015	1 015	891	124	51	73	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	527	624	484	140	-	140	-	3	3
KENTUCKY:									
JEFFERSON	3 281	3 273	3 251	22	22	-	-	8	8
KENTON	293	293	293	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 42. Corrections expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
LOUISIANA:									
CADDY	1 289	1 289	1 209	80	80	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU	473	473	473	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 252	1 252	785	467	16	168	283	-	-
LAFAYETTE	357	357	357	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	427	427	427	-	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	950	942	365	579	-	579	-	8	8
MAINE:									
CUMBLE ND.	376	376	334	42	2	40	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	132	132	117	15	1	14	-	-	-
YORK	149	149	148	1	1	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:									
ANNE ARUNDEL	584	584	584	-	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	1 419	1 419	1 418	1	1	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	533	533	463	70	18	52	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 551	1 551	1 319	232	4	228	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	2 293	2 293	2 259	34	34	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	107	107	102	5	5	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BARNSTABLE	1 089	1 089	1 077	12	11	1	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE	827	827	816	11	11	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	1 841	1 841	1 807	34	13	21	-	-	-
ESSEX	2 733	2 733	2 696	37	37	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	2 838	2 838	2 838	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	908	908	883	25	25	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	6 455	6 455	6 435	20	16	4	-	-	-
NORFOLK	1 886	1 884	1 866	18	12	6	-	2	2
PLYMOUTH	2 223	2 223	2 163	60	60	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	3 709	3 709	3 590	119	33	86	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:									
BAY	684	603	587	16	3	13	-	81	72
BERRIEN	1 770	1 646	1 393	253	55	198	-	124	116
CALHOON	1 386	1 359	1 351	8	8	-	-	27	27
GENESEE	3 457	2 972	2 971	1	1	-	-	485	458
INGHAM	1 293	1 283	1 281	2	2	-	-	10	8
JACKSON	1 032	921	890	31	28	3	-	111	111
KALAMAZOO	2 118	2 013	1 966	47	47	-	-	105	105
KENT	2 388	2 324	2 078	246	44	202	-	64	64
MACOMB	3 359	3 173	3 171	2	2	-	-	186	181
MONROE	637	596	565	31	3	28	-	41	41
MUSKEGON	1 239	1 117	1 111	6	1	5	-	122	122
OAKLAND	6 344	6 179	5 968	211	8	203	-	165	165
OTTAWA	538	484	478	6	1	5	-	54	52
SAGINAW	1 757	1 664	1 620	44	31	13	-	93	93
ST. CLAIR	882	822	817	5	5	-	-	60	60
WASHTENAW	2 396	2 342	2 326	16	16	-	-	54	42
WAYNE	18 819	16 652	16 590	62	25	37	-	2 167	1 612
MINNESOTA:									
ANOKA	414	414	412	2	2	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	288	288	288	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	6 544	6 311	6 289	22	22	-	-	233	233
RAMSEY	4 786	4 786	4 521	265	20	245	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	2 700	2 140	1 800	340	161	179	-	560	560
MISSISSIPPI:									
HARRISON	295	295	295	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	301	301	286	15	13	2	-	-	-
JACKSON	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:									
CLAY	581	579	565	14	-	14	-	2	2
GREENE	317	316	225	91	12	79	-	1	1
JACKSON	4 457	4 393	4 320	73	73	-	-	64	64
JEFFERSON	142	139	139	-	-	-	-	3	3
ST. CHARLES	270	270	264	6	6	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	3 106	3 106	3 045	61	48	13	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 42. Corrections expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
NEBRASKA:									
DOUGLAS	1 720	1 720	1 680	40	40	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	898	827	782	45	45	-	-	71	71
NEVADA:									
CLARK	4 788	4 788	4 754	34	34	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	1 386	1 386	1 381	5	5	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
HILLSBOROUGH	611	611	608	3	-	3	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	191	189	189	-	-	-	-	2	2
NEW JERSEY:									
ATLANTIC	2 164	2 164	1 732	432	8	424	-	-	-
BERGEN	3 431	3 431	3 418	13	11	2	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	1 550	1 550	1 550	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	3 486	3 486	3 422	64	-	64	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	1 369	1 369	1 010	359	12	347	-	-	-
ESSEX	16 883	16 883	16 809	74	23	51	-	-	-
GOULCESTER	1 105	1 105	1 080	25	-	25	-	-	-
HUDSON	4 254	4 254	4 254	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	5 289	5 289	4 394	895	5	890	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	4 100	4 100	3 914	186	-	186	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	2 109	2 089	2 089	-	-	-	-	20	20
MORRIS	1 619	1 619	1 605	14	14	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	1 295	1 295	1 271	24	24	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	4 219	4 219	4 219	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	1 187	1 187	1 157	30	30	-	-	-	-
UNION	4 122	4 122	3 566	556	-	245	311	-	-
NEW MEXICO:									
BERNALILLO	703	348	328	20	5	-	15	355	355
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY	3 361	3 030	2 952	78	78	-	-	331	331
BROOME	1 028	891	875	16	16	-	-	137	137
CHAUTAUQUA	814	747	583	164	7	157	-	67	67
CHEMUNG	830	775	716	59	33	26	-	55	55
DUTCHESS	1 272	1 084	1 055	29	29	-	-	188	188
ERIE	12 168	10 653	10 608	45	45	-	-	1 515	1 515
MONROE	6 805	5 348	5 304	44	20	24	-	1 457	1 457
NASSAU	17 243	14 678	14 307	371	77	147	147	2 565	2 219
NIAGARA	1 482	1 186	1 174	12	9	3	-	296	296
ONEIDA	1 070	833	831	2	2	-	-	237	237
ONONDAGA	6 334	5 380	5 251	129	54	75	-	954	954
ORANGE	1 574	1 313	1 296	17	17	-	-	261	261
OSWEGO	554	448	434	14	14	-	-	106	106
RENSSELAKER	1 219	1 079	1 077	2	2	-	-	140	140
ROCKLAND	2 805	2 805	1 657	1 148	9	1 139	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	477	463	462	1	1	-	-	14	14
SARATOGA	414	305	304	1	1	-	-	109	109
SCHENECTADY	946	781	724	57	57	-	-	165	165
STEUBEN	668	558	503	55	39	16	-	110	110
SUFFOLK	9 775	9 553	9 513	40	40	-	-	222	222
ULSTER	839	759	755	4	4	-	-	80	80
WESTCHESTER	8 724	8 577	7 903	674	64	610	-	147	147
NORTH CAROLINA:									
BUNCOMBE	548	548	383	165	1	164	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	484	484	449	35	35	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	235	235	234	1	1	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	762	715	706	9	6	-	3	47	47
GASTON	365	365	333	32	14	18	-	-	-
GUILFORD	913	913	887	26	24	2	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG	1 308	1 308	1 305	3	3	-	-	5	5
ONSLOW	197	192	189	3	3	-	-	-	-
WAKE	275	275	275	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

281

Table 42. Corrections expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State-governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
OHIO:								
ALLEN	1 354	1 348	304	1 044	-	1 044	-	6
ASHTABULA	378	378	377	1	1	-	-	-
BUTLER	657	657	655	2	2	-	-	-
CLARK	553	553	399	154	1	18	135	-
CLERMONT	249	239	191	48	-	48	-	10
COLUMBIANA	196	196	196	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	9 212	7 055	6 597	458	71	387	-	2 157
FRANKLIN	2 636	2 429	2 422	7	7	-	207	207
GREENE	283	283	281	2	2	-	-	-
HAMILTON	4 318	4 068	4 048	20	20	-	-	250
LAKE	619	619	617	2	2	-	-	-
LICKING	347	347	310	37	-	37	-	-
LORAIN	817	817	814	3	3	-	-	-
LUCAS	4 140	4 056	2 563	1 493	12	1 481	-	84
MAHONING	957	957	919	38	1	-	37	3
MONTGOMERY	3 361	2 889	2 889	-	-	-	-	472
PORTAGE	418	325	325	-	-	-	-	93
RICHLAND	759	731	325	406	-	406	-	28
STARK	2 095	2 095	1 094	1 001	9	992	-	-
SUMMIT	1 800	1 774	1 761	13	13	-	26	-
TRUMBULL	441	441	340	101	21	80	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	182	182	172	10	10	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	1 068	1 066	1 064	2	2	-	2	2
TULSA	1 389	1 389	1 133	256	34	222	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	757	757	755	2	2	-	-	-
JACKSON	689	689	589	100	5	95	-	-
LANE	957	957	916	41	15	26	-	-
MARION	900	900	890	10	10	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	4 872	4 872	4 852	20	6	14	-	-
WASHINGTON	893	893	886	7	7	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	12 330	6 108	6 085	23	19	4	-	6 222
BEAVER	632	570	562	8	8	-	-	62
BERKS	1 478	1 109	1 083	26	26	-	-	369
BLAIR	654	571	378	193	3	190	-	83
BUCKS	2 293	2 130	2 073	57	29	28	-	163
BUTLER	433	396	394	2	2	-	-	37
CAMBRIA	498	453	452	1	1	-	-	45
CENTRE	284	270	269	1	1	-	-	14
CHESTER	2 074	2 011	1 990	21	21	-	-	63
CUMBERLAND	678	587	585	2	2	-	-	91
DAUPHIN	2 361	2 094	2 090	4	4	-	-	267
DELAWARE	4 593	3 913	3 459	454	23	431	-	680
ERIE	2 494	2 118	2 107	11	11	-	-	376
FAYETTE	391	334	334	-	-	-	-	57
FRANKLIN	598	523	523	-	-	-	-	75
LACKAWANNA	785	659	659	-	-	-	-	126
LANCASTER	1 479	1 277	1 235	42	8	34	-	202
LAWRENCE	275	231	230	1	1	-	-	44
LEBANON	430	410	407	3	3	-	-	20
LEHIGH	1 367	1 119	1 098	21	21	-	-	248
LUZERNE	909	816	790	26	-	26	-	93
LYCOMING	632	536	534	2	2	-	-	96
MERCER	620	362	358	4	4	-	-	258
MONTGOMERY	2 718	2 509	2 419	90	10	80	-	209
NORTHAMPTON	1 471	1 292	1 082	210	8	202	-	179
SCHUYLKILL	848	376	376	-	-	-	-	472
WASHINGTON	712	576	553	23	23	-	-	136
WESTMORELAND	2 079	1 777	624	1 153	1	1 152	-	302
YORK	1 240	1 080	1 080	-	-	-	-	160

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 42. Corrections expenditure of 334 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
ANDERSON	704	704	683	21	21	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	730	730	709	21	3	18	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	510	510	467	43	6	-	37	-	-
LEXINGTON	189	189	188	1	-	1	-	-	-
RICHLAND	515	499	489	10	10	-	-	16	16
SPARTANBURG	282	282	280	2	2	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:									
HAMILTON	5 598	5 598	867	4 731	39	4 692	-	-	-
KNOX	429	429	424	5	5	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	9 049	8 974	8 317	657	97	560	-	75	5
SULLIVAN	197	197	162	35	5	30	-	-	70
TEXAS:									
BELL	419	419	330	89	3	37	49	-	-
BEXAR	4 977	4 977	2 908	2 069	20	2 049	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	186	186	184	2	2	-	-	-	-
CAMERON	500	500	497	3	1	2	-	-	-
DALLAS	6 736	6 736	6 293	443	115	328	-	-	-
EL PASO	1 501	1 501	1 474	27	-	27	-	-	-
GALVESTON	494	494	325	169	1	168	-	-	-
HARRIS	9 348	9 348	9 028	320	218	104	-	-	-
HIDALGO	850	850	533	317	3	192	122	-	-
JEFFERSON	568	568	568	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	458	458	417	41	6	35	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	791	791	790	1	1	-	-	-	-
NUECES	867	866	740	126	67	-	59	1	1
SMITH	182	182	182	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	2 326	2 326	2 253	73	73	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	214	214	197	17	17	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	2 034	2 034	2 016	18	18	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	261	261	257	4	4	-	-	-	-
UTAH:									
DAVIS	116	84	84	-	-	-	-	32	32
SALT LAKE	1 548	1 548	1 536	12	7	5	-	-	-
UTAH	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	447	447	439	8	5	-	3	-	-
VERMONT:									
CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:									
ARLINGTON	1 335	1 335	1 191	144	3	141	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	1 585	1 481	1 478	3	3	-	-	104	104
HENRICO	683	677	614	63	25	38	-	6	6
PRINCE WILLIAM	359	349	347	2	2	-	-	10	10
WASHINGTON:									
CLARK	665	665	661	4	4	-	-	-	-
KING	6 744	6 744	6 640	104	81	23	-	-	-
KITSAP	569	569	494	75	1	74	-	-	-
PIERCE	2 176	2 095	1 969	126	120	6	-	81	81
SNOHOMISH	1 333	1 333	1 305	28	28	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	1 444	1 444	1 401	43	43	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	662	662	654	8	8	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:									
CABELL	352	352	346	6	-	6	-	-	-
KANAWHA	894	894	830	64	26	38	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:									
BROWN	420	403	394	9	9	-	-	17	17
DANE	1 230	1 205	1 202	3	3	-	-	25	25
KENOSHA	364	304	291	13	-	13	-	60	60
MARATHON	178	151	148	3	3	-	-	27	27
MILWAUKEE	6 466	6 226	6 154	72	68	4	-	240	240
OUTAGAMIE	175	149	148	1	1	-	-	26	26
RACINE	318	289	281	8	8	-	-	29	29
ROCK	821	784	784	-	-	-	-	37	37
WAUKESHA	587	565	565	-	-	-	-	22	22
WINNEBAGO	126	92	92	-	-	-	-	34	34

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 43. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
TOTAL	797 535	519 031	169 626	349 405	258 809	19 695
ALABAMA:						
CALHOUN	153	85	-	85	68	-
JEFFERSON	1 836	1 363	414	949	473	-
MADISON	399	264	70	194	135	-
MOBILE	826	669	299	370	157	-
MONTGOMERY	548	457	285	172	91	-
TUSCALOOSA	226	137	-	137	89	-
ALASKA:						
GREATER ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:						
MARICOPA	7 043	3 306	1 112	2 194	3 737	-
PIMA	5 336	3 285	1 625	1 660	877	1 174
ARKANSAS:						
PULASKI	624	530	50	480	94	-
CALIFORNIA:						
ALAMEDA	23 772	11 644	4 063	7 581	9 067	3 061
BUTTE	1 080	758	178	580	322	-
CONTRA COSTA	11 434	6 884	4 711	2 173	4 550	-
FRESNO	6 849	4 251	1 702	2 549	2 598	-
HUMBOLDT	1 548	1 022	152	870	526	-
KERN	5 892	3 823	1 691	2 132	2 069	-
LOS ANGELES	105 622	62 253	21 531	40 722	43 369	-
MARIN	4 072	1 643	996	647	1 929	500
MERCED	1 234	691	114	577	543	-
MONTEREY	3 384	1 964	659	1 305	1 380	40
ORANGE	21 966	11 551	6 043	5 508	9 181	1 234
RIVERSIDE	10 324	4 990	2 298	2 692	5 334	-
SACRAMENTO	11 500	7 172	2 923	4 249	4 311	17
SAN BERNARDINO	11 747	6 579	2 972	3 607	5 168	-
SAN DIEGO	26 187	13 584	5 482	8 102	9 820	2 783
SAN JOAQUIN	4 961	3 080	847	2 233	1 852	29
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 250	623	181	442	626	1
SAN MATEO	11 810	5 533	2 398	3 135	6 277	-
SANTA BARBARA	5 453	3 790	1 233	2 557	1 663	-
SANTA CLARA	16 933	14 140	7 933	6 207	2 744	49
SANTA CRUZ	2 080	1 220	373	847	860	-
SOLANO	2 937	1 655	752	803	1 153	229
SONOMA	3 293	2 260	1 021	1 239	1 014	19
STANISLAUS	4 308	3 085	385	2 700	1 195	28
TULARE	2 729	1 715	655	1 060	1 014	-
VENTURA	6 365	3 920	768	3 152	2 360	85
YOLO	1 425	733	206	527	582	110
COLORADO:						
ADAMS	291	291	-	291	-	-
ARAPAHOE	354	354	-	354	-	-
BOULDER	284	284	-	284	-	-
EL PASO	627	627	-	627	-	-
JEFFERSON	372	372	-	372	-	-
LARIMER	177	116	-	116	-	61
PUEBLO	280	268	-	268	9	3
WELD	161	75	37	38	-	86
DELAWARE:						
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:						
ALACHUA	820	642	5	637	-	178
BREVARD	357	357	37	320	-	43
BROWARD	1 964	1 921	82	1 839	-	-
DADE	7 618	7 618	1 541	6 077	-	-
ESCAMBIA	985	861	67	794	124	-
HILLSBOROUGH	3 864	3 864	794	3 070	-	-
LEE	295	295	36	257	-	-
LEON	347	347	20	327	-	-
MANATEE	161	161	16	145	-	-
ORANGE	1 690	1 690	-	1 690	-	-
PALM BEACH	2 119	2 067	460	1 607	42	10
PASCO	178	178	-	178	-	-
PINELLAS	1 270	1 270	349	921	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 43. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
FLORIDA--CONTINUED						
POLK.	900	900	50	850	-	-
SARASOTA	357	357	43	314	-	-
SEMINOLE	286	271	-	271	-	15
VOLUSIA	989	934	57	877	-	55
GEORGIA:						
BIBB	697	408	-	408	289	-
CHATHAM	696	623	239	384	73	-
CLAYTON	777	655	265	390	122	-
COBB	697	573	-	573	124	-
DE KALB	1 950	1 368	366	1 002	582	-
FULTON	5 742	3 203	640	2 563	2 539	-
RICHMOND	1 000	942	-	942	32	26
IDAHO:						
ADA	297	230	138	92	67	-
ILLINOIS:						
CHAMPAIGN	423	329	226	103	94	-
COOK	19 527	16 765	3 673	13 092	2 752	10
DU PAGE	1 411	904	386	518	507	-
KANE	1 100	500	224	276	328	272
LAKE	1 225	842	392	450	383	-
LA SALLE	224	172	69	103	52	-
MCHENRY	269	112	-	112	157	-
MCLEAN	229	138	-	138	91	-
MACON	397	178	19	159	219	-
MADISON	730	530	183	347	200	-
PEORIA	714	451	111	340	263	-
ROCK ISLAND	446	112	-	112	334	-
ST. CLAIR	726	547	162	385	179	-
SANGAMON	634	449	220	229	185	-
TAZEWELL	179	96	-	96	83	-
WILL	355	286	-	286	69	-
WINNEBAGO	863	635	285	350	167	61
INDIANA:						
ALLEN	1 520	1 091	385	706	429	-
DELaware	318	237	96	141	81	-
ELKHART	528	363	42	321	165	-
LAKE	935	411	192	219	524	-
LA PORTE	570	66	-	66	165	339
MADISON	389	302	90	212	87	-
ST. JOSEPH	889	605	74	531	284	-
TIPPECANOE	236	172	132	40	43	21
VANDERBURGH	649	416	-	416	233	-
VIGO	456	391	289	102	65	-
IOWA:						
BLACK HAWK	707	176	24	152	531	-
LINN	559	165	-	165	394	-
POLK	2 463	935	572	363	1 498	30
SCOTT	368	262	11	251	106	-
WOODBURY	209	90	40	50	119	-
KANSAS:						
JOHNSON	565	370	110	260	195	-
SEDWICK	1 297	607	313	294	316	374
SHAWNEE	891	618	354	264	233	40
WYANDOTTE	484	344	145	199	99	41
KENTUCKY:						
JEFFERSON	3 251	2 589	1 311	1 278	436	226
KENTON	293	149	9	140	72	72
LOUISIANA:						
CADDO	1 209	1 120	75	1 045	89	-
CALCASIEU	473	430	145	285	-	43
JEFFERSON	785	694	308	386	91	-
LAFAYETTE	357	357	195	162	-	-
OUACHITA	427	384	125	259	43	-
RAPIDES	363	297	122	175	66	-
MAINE:						
CUMBERLAND	334	334	-	334	-	-
PENOBSCOT	117	117	-	117	-	-
YORK	148	148	-	148	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
MARYLAND:						
ANNE ARUNDEL	584	584	-	584	"	-
BALTIMORE	1 418	868	28	840	550	-
CHARLES	463	463	5	458	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 319	1 319	-	1 319	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	2 259	2 060	-	2 060	115	84
WASHINGTON	102	93	-	93	9	-
MASSACHUSETTS:						
BARNSTABLE	1 077	732	-	732	345	-
BERKSHIRE	816	526	-	526	290	-
BRISTOL	1 807	935	-	935	872	-
ESSEX	2 696	1 392	107	1 285	1 304	-
HAMPTON	2 838	1 304	10	1 294	1 523	11
HAMPSHIRE	883	582	-	582	206	95
MIDDLESEX	6 435	3 169	128	3 041	3 266	-
NORFOLK	1 866	785	-	785	1 081	-
PLYMOUTH	2 163	1 148	-	1 148	1 015	-
WORCESTER	3 590	1 917	-	1 917	1 673	-
MICHIGAN:						
BAY	587	403	248	155	184	-
BERRIEN	1 393	947	470	477	221	225
CALHOUN	1 351	869	581	288	441	41
GENESEE	2 971	2 257	1 278	979	683	31
INGHAM	1 281	882	244	638	399	-
JACKSON	890	644	478	166	235	11
KALAMAZOO	1 966	1 491	610	881	400	75
KENT	2 078	1 798	561	1 237	280	-
MACOMB	3 171	2 340	1 156	1 184	790	41
MONROE	565	434	191	243	131	-
MUSKEGON	1 111	1 036	481	555	73	2
OAKLAND	5 968	4 473	2 666	1 807	1 495	-
OTTAWA	478	352	217	135	126	-
SAGINAW	1 620	785	309	476	250	585
ST. CLAIR	817	693	398	295	124	-
WASHTENAW	2 326	1 670	1 117	553	656	-
WAYNE	16 590	12 002	5 983	6 019	4 588	-
MINNESOTA:						
ANOKA	412	219	-	219	193	-
DAKOTA	288	156	-	156	132	-
HENNEPIN	6 289	3 236	2 325	911	2 491	562
RAMSEY	4 521	2 618	880	1 738	1 499	404
ST. LOUIS	1 800	1 397	314	1 083	403	-
MISSISSIPPI:						
HARRISON	295	295	115	180	-	-
HINDS	286	243	-	243	43	-
JACKSON	73	73	-	73	-	-
MISSOURI:						
CLAY	565	450	309	141	115	-
GREENE	225	225	28	197	-	-
JACKSON	4 320	4 320	2 730	1 590	-	-
JEFFERSON	139	79	31	48	60	-
ST. CHARLES	264	167	37	140	97	-
ST. LOUIS	3 045	2 577	1 180	1 397	245	223
NEBRASKA:						
DOUGLAS	1 680	1 430	124	1 306	128	-
LANCASTER	782	441	441	-	145	216
NEVADA:						
CLARK	4 754	3 609	2 020	1 589	1 145	-
WASHOE	1 381	918	412	506	463	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:						
HILLSBOROUGH	608	608	-	608	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	189	189	-	189	-	-
NEW JERSEY:						
ATLANTIC	1 732	1 303	404	899	429	-
BERGEN	3 418	2 104	468	1 636	1 314	-
BURLINGTON	1 550	970	228	742	580	-
CAMDEN	3 422	2 307	455	1 852	1 115	-
CUMBERLAND	1 010	750	87	663	260	-
ESSEX	16 809	12 047	2 102	9 945	4 762	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
NEW JERSEY--CONTINUED						
GLOUCESTER.	1 080	693	212	481	387	-
HUDSON.	4 254	2 681	708	1 973	1 573	-
MERCER.	4 394	3 514	488	3 026	798	82
MIDDLESEX.	3 914	2 089	530	1 559	1 662	163
MONMOUTH.	2 089	1 525	260	1 265	564	-
MORRIS.	1 605	868	177	691	737	-
OCEAN.	1 271	855	269	586	416	-
PASSAIC.	4 219	2 425	787	1 638	1 794	-
SOMERSET.	1 157	492	124	368	665	-
UNION.	3 566	1 978	574	1 404	1 588	-
NEW MEXICO:						
BERNALILLO.	328	328	328	-	-	-
NEW YORK:						
ALBANY.	2 952	2 590	1 255	1 335	362	-
BROOME.	875	348	43	305	527	-
CHAUTAUQUA.	583	314	97	217	269	-
CHEMUNG.	716	260	-	260	432	24
DUTCHESS.	1 055	728	4	724	327	-
ERIE.	10 608	5 920	875	5 045	2 030	2 658
MONROE.	5 304	3 430	988	2 442	1 874	-
NASSAU.	14 307	7 272	839	6 433	7 035	-
NIAGARA.	1 174	744	39	705	430	-
ONEIDA.	831	462	-	462	333	36
ONONDAGA.	5 251	4 032	874	3 158	1 219	-
ORANGE.	1 296	880	244	636	416	-
OSWEGO.	434	238	12	226	196	-
RENSSELAER.	1 077	795	511	284	282	-
ROCKLAND.	1 657	1 161	520	641	496	-
ST. LAWRENCE.	462	189	15	174	273	-
SARATOGA.	304	180	8	172	124	-
SCHENECTADY.	724	513	211	302	211	-
STEUBEN.	503	268	9	259	235	-
SUFFOLK.	9 513	5 418	1 754	3 664	4 095	-
ULSTER.	755	585	11	574	170	-
WESTCHESTER.	7 903	5 496	371	5 125	2 407	-
NORTH CAROLINA:						
BUNCOMBE.	383	383	41	342	-	-
CUMBERLAND.	449	449	114	335	-	-
DURHAM.	234	234	39	195	-	-
FORSYTH.	706	706	89	617	-	-
GASTON.	333	323	37	286	10	-
GUILFORD.	887	887	176	711	-	-
ME' KLENBURG.	1 305	1 113	216	897	192	-
ONSLOW.	189	189	-	189	-	-
WAKE.	275	275	51	224	-	-
OHIO:						
ALLEN.	304	197	40	157	56	51
ASHTABULA.	377	170	9	161	62	145
BUTLER.	655	402	131	271	253	-
CLARK.	399	212	32	180	150	37
CLERMONT.	191	110	-	110	72	9
COLUMBIANA.	196	136	56	80	60	-
CUYAHOGA.	6 597	4 083	2 742	1 341	2 212	302
FRANKLIN.	2 422	1 308	520	788	1 114	-
GREENE.	281	215	-	215	64	2
HAMILTON.	4 048	2 302	1 517	785	1 265	481
LAKE.	617	415	228	187	126	76
LICKING.	310	155	89	66	155	-
LORAIN.	814	244	221	23	570	-
LUCAS.	2 563	1 738	899	839	825	-
MAHONING.	919	710	184	526	209	-
MONTGOMERY.	2 889	1 706	931	775	1 183	-
PORTAGE.	325	208	71	137	117	-
RICHLAND.	325	149	-	149	129	47
STARK.	1 094	834	248	586	260	-
SUMMIT.	1 761	916	458	458	719	126
TRUMBULL.	340	264	66	198	76	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

Table 43. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
OKLAHOMA:						
COMANCHE.	172	121	16	105	51	-
OKLAHOMA.	1 064	539	168	371	525	-
TULSA	1 133	723	262	461	410	-
OREGON:						
CLACKAMAS	755	343	-	343	412	-
JACKSON	589	331	118	213	258	-
LANE	916	916	307	609	-	-
MARION	890	490	199	291	400	-
MULTNOMAH	4 852	3 120	506	2 614	1 540	192
WASHINGTON	886	438	112	326	448	-
PENNSYLVANIA:						
ALLEGHENY	6 085	4 930	3 019	1 911	1 155	-
BEAVER	562	379	118	261	183	-
BERKS	1 083	882	79	803	201	-
BLAIR	378	290	118	172	88	-
BUCKS	2 073	1 667	682	985	393	13
BUTLER	394	170	39	131	147	77
CAMBRIA	452	265	77	188	187	-
CENTRE	269	150	29	121	67	52
CHESTER	1 990	1 674	465	1 209	316	-
CUMBERLAND	585	410	89	321	175	-
DAUPHIN	2 090	1 836	932	904	254	-
DELAWARE	3 459	2 784	850	1 934	675	-
ERIE	2 107	1 449	1 084	365	537	121
FAYETTE	334	196	8	188	133	5
FRANKLIN	523	421	81	340	102	-
LACKAWANNA	659	543	142	401	116	-
LANCASTER	1 235	983	80	903	252	-
LAWRENCE	230	183	34	149	47	-
LEBANON	407	321	-	321	86	-
LEHIGH	1 098	891	123	768	207	-
LUZERNE	790	623	-	623	167	-
LYCOMING	534	386	226	160	148	-
MERCER	358	269	95	174	89	-
MONTGOMERY	2 419	1 691	341	1 350	728	-
NORTHAMPTON	1 082	910	124	786	172	-
SCHUYLKILL	376	287	56	231	89	-
WASHINGTON	553	387	94	293	166	-
WESTMORELAND	624	184	141	43	440	-
YORK	1 080	900	356	544	180	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:						
ANDERSON	683	677	128	549	6	-
CHARLESTON	709	704	120	584	5	-
GREENVILLE	467	467	-	467	-	-
LEXINGTON	188	188	-	188	-	-
RICHLAND	489	489	-	489	-	-
SPARTANBURG	280	223	-	223	57	-
TENNESSEE:						
HAMILTON	867	688	141	547	159	20
KNOX	424	415	-	415	9	-
SHELBY	8 317	7 777	285	7 492	540	-
SULLIVAN	162	153	22	131	9	-
TEXAS:						
BELL	330	111	-	111	219	-
BEXAR	2 908	1 915	194	1 721	993	-
BRAZORIA	184	57	-	57	127	-
CAMERON	497	265	21	244	232	-
DALLAS	6 293	3 522	1 027	2 495	2 771	-
EL PASO	1 474	590	173	417	884	-
GALVESTON	325	210	-	210	115	-
HARRIS	9 028	5 659	1 611	4 048	3 287	82
HIDALGO	533	318	82	236	215	-
JEFFERSON	568	491	199	292	77	-
LUBBOCK	417	153	-	133	284	-
MCLENNAN	790	305	25	280	485	-
NUCES	740	372	94	278	368	-
SMITH	182	94	-	94	88	-
TARRANT	2 253	1 227	310	917	980	-
TAYLOR	197	122	-	122	75	-
TRAVIS	2 016	689	193	496	1 327	-
WICHITA	257	91	-	91	166	-

Table 43. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 334 large county governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County ¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
UTAH:						
DAVIS	84	84	-	84	-	-
SALT LAKE	1 536	1 536	486	1 050	-	-
UTAH.	148	148	89	59	-	-
WEBER	439	426	225	201	13	-
VERMONT:						
CHITTENDEN.	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:						
ARLINGTON	1 191	618	-	618	573	-
FAIRFAX	1 478	874	180	694	604	-
HENRICO	614	244	-	244	370	-
PRINCE WILLIAM.	347	236	-	236	111	-
WASHINGTON:						
CLARK	661	342	73	269	319	-
KING.	6 640	4 439	1 823	2 616	2 201	-
KITSAP.	594	261	95	166	233	-
PIERCE.	1 969	1 327	908	419	417	225
SNOHOMISH	1 305	568	207	361	737	-
SPOKANE	1 401	1 221	582	639	180	-
YAKIMA.	654	365	152	213	289	-
WEST VIRGINIA:						
CABELL.	346	302	112	190	44	-
KANAWHA	830	651	151	500	179	-
WISCONSIN:						
BROWN	394	394	139	255	-	-
DANE.	1 202	923	286	637	279	-
KENOSHA	291	291	18	273	-	-
MARATHON.	148	90	-	90	58	-
MILWAUKEE	6 154	5 091	1 336	3 755	488	575
OUTAGAMIE	148	148	-	148	-	-
RACINE.	281	135	60	75	146	-
ROCK.	784	687	146	541	97	-
WAUKESHA.	565	554	-	554	11	-
WINNEBAGO	92	87	-	87	-	5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 44. Corrections expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975
(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construction	Land		
TOTAL	378 942	371 024	330 179	40 845	1 503	36 136	3 206	7 918	3 006 4 912
ALABAMA:									
BIRMINGHAM	848	848	848	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	219	136	136	-	-	-	-	83	83
MOBILE	360	205	197	8	8	-	-	155	155
MONTGOMERY	212	212	212	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	73	67	67	-	-	-	-	6	6
ARIZONA:									
GLENDALE	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	210	67	67	-	-	-	-	143	143
SCOTTSDALE	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	15
ARKANSAS:									
FORT SMITH	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	179	179	179	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	150	150	147	3	3	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:									
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	100	100	98	2	2	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	117	66	66	-	-	-	-	51	51
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	30
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3
INGLEWOOD	146	146	146	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	969	969	953	16	1	15	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	301	-	-	-	-	-	-	301	301
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	38	38	36	2	2	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	113	113	112	1	1	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	404	404	403	1	1	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 44. Corrections expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED									
SACRAMENTO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	415	415	415	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	10 264	10 264	9 870	394	174	220	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	136	114	114	-	-	-	-	22	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:									
ARVADA	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	5 309	5 309	5 228	81	81	-	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	243	243	230	13	-	13	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:									
BRIDGEPORT	199	199	174	25	25	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:									
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:									
WASHINGTON	73 114	73 114	58 750	14 364	1	14 363	-	-	-
FLORIDA:									
CLEARWATER	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	425	425	421	4	4	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	835	835	778	57	57	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	4 458	4 458	4 081	372	38	334	-	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	591	591	590	1	1	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	408	408	408	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Corrections expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
GEORGIA:									
ALBANY	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	75	-
ATLANTA	2 053	1 974	1 938	36	35	1	-	79	-
AUGUSTA	111	111	110	1	1	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	878	878	872	6	6	-	-	-	-
MACON	575	575	233	342	-	342	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	232	223	222	1	1	-	-	9	-
HAWAII:									
HONOLULU	719	719	716	3	3	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:									
BOISE CITY	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:									
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-
CHICAGO	677	677	86	591	-	591	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	51	51	49	2	-	2	-	-	-
ELGIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	92	92	87	5	5	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:									
ANDERSON	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	4 027	3 702	3 545	157	12	145	-	325	325
MUNCIE	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:									
CEDAR RAPIDS	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	33	22	22	-	-	-	-	11	-
DUBUQUE	59	22	22	-	-	-	-	37	-
SIOUX CITY	74	74	73	1	1	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:									
KANSAS CITY	241	241	241	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	72	44	44	-	-	-	-	28	-
WICHITA	373	360	360	-	-	-	-	15	15
KENTUCKY:									
COVINGTON	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	1 444	1 441	1 419	22	18	4	-	3	-
LOUISVILLE	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	182	-
OWENSBORO	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 44. Corrections expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
LOUISIANA:									
BATON ROUGE	1 444	1 444	1 412	32	2	30	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	34	-	-	-	-	-	34	-	34
LAKE CHARLES	409	390	3	387	-	387	-	19	19
MONROE	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	8 579	8 579	5 489	3 090	46	167	2 877	-	-
SHREVEPORT	181	181	181	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:									
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:									
BALTIMORE	7 701	7 701	7 516	185	26	159	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:									
BOSTON	8 647	8 647	8 556	91	58	33	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	261	261	258	3	3	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:									
ANN ARBOR	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	125	89	89	-	-	-	36	-	36
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	12	7	7	-	-	-	5	-	5
DETROIT	5 908	5 665	4 966	699	130	569	-	243	243
FARMINGTON HILLS	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
FLINT	388	388	387	1	1	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	270	201	198	3	3	-	69	-	69
KALAMAZOO	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING	922	922	922	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	47	32	32	-	-	-	-	15	15
LIVONIA	81	56	56	-	-	-	-	25	25
PONTIAC	124	24	24	-	-	-	-	100	100
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	157	153	92	61	1	60	-	4	4
STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	24	12	12	-	-	-	-	12	12
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	95	67	23	44	-	44	-	28	28
WYOMING	54	22	22	-	-	-	-	32	32
MINNESOTA:									
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	19
MINNEAPOLIS	1 682	1 682	1 306	376	7	369	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	4	18	-	18	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:									
BILOXI	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	412	411	411	-	-	-	-	1	1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Corrections expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object,
fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
MISSOURI:									
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	8
KANSAS CITY	1 485	1 485	1 483	2	2	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2
ST. LOUIS.	7 992	7 992	7 922	70	-	70	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD.	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9
MONTANA:									
BILLINGS	26	17	17	-	-	-	-	9	9
GREAT FALLS.	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:									
LINCOLN.	364	352	352	-	-	-	-	12	12
OMAHA.	116	56	56	-	-	-	-	60	60
NEVADA:									
LAS VEGAS.	3	-	260	4	4	-	-	3	3
RENO	264	264	260	4	4	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
MANCHESTER	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:									
BAYONNE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:									
ALBUQUERQUE.	1 286	1 286	1 286	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2
BUFFALO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY.	142 006	140 482	125 561	14 921	379	14 542	-	1 524	1 524
NIAGARA FALLS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS.	328	328	328	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:									
ASHEVILLE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	46
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Corrections expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State govern-ments	To local govern-ments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construction			
NORTH DAKOTA:									
FARGO.	8	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
OHIO ² :									
AKRON.	449	333	333	-	-	-	116	-	116
CANTON.	343	298	298	-	-	-	45	-	45
CINCINNATI.	2 390	2 390	2 343	47	-	47	-	-	-
CLEVELAND.	3 377	3 377	3 280	97	-	97	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS.	21	7	7	-	-	-	14	-	14
COLUMBUS.	1 344	1 344	1 043	301	20	-	281	-	-
DAYTON.	1 385	1 385	1 375	10	10	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA.	56	48	48	-	-	-	8	-	8
EUCLID.	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
HAMILTON.	65	25	25	-	-	-	40	-	40
KETTERING.	92	60	60	-	-	-	32	-	32
LAKEWOOD.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA.	131	129	129	-	-	-	2	-	2
LORAIN.	73	63	63	-	-	-	10	-	10
MANSFIELD.	32	30	30	-	-	-	2	-	2
PARMA.	16	11	11	-	-	-	5	-	5
SPRINGFIELD.	45	35	35	-	-	-	10	-	10
TOLEDO.	1 268	1 268	1 213	55	1	54	-	-	-
WARREN.	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN.	368	368	320	48	-	-	48	-	-
OKLAHOMA:									
LAWTON.	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST.	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN.	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
OKLAHOMA CITY.	423	423	394	29	27	2	-	-	-
TULSA.	476	476	474	2	2	-	-	-	-
OREGON:									
EUGENE.	97	-	-	-	-	-	97	-	97
PORTLAND.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLENTOWN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA.	34 226	33 217	31 783	1 434	157	1 277	-	1 009	1 009
PITTSBURGH.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
YORK.	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:									
CRANSTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
CHARLESTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA.	234	234	233	1	1	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE.	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:									
SIOUX FALLS.	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-
TENNESSEE:									
CHATTANOOGA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE.	222	222	222	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS.	1 589	1 090	1 088	2	2	-	-	499	6
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	2 377	2 377	2 346	31	31	-	-	-	493

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Corrections expenditure of 394 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	29	-	-	-	-	-	29	29
CORPUS CHRISTI	275	275	267	8	8	-	-	-
DALLAS	1 057	1 057	1 053	4	4	-	-	-
EL PASO	64	-	-	-	-	-	64	64
FORT WORTH	412	412	411	1	1	-	-	-
GALVESTON	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	2 940	2 940	2 940	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	8	-	-	-	-	-	8	8
LUBBOCK	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	350	-	-	-	-	-	350	350
TYLER	8	-	-	-	-	-	8	8
WACO	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	3
SALT LAKE CITY	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	972	909	903	6	6	-	63	63
CHESAPEAKE	1 049	1 049	1 021	28	28	-	-	-
HAMPTON	443	443	424	19	13	6	-	-
LYNCHBURG	399	399	398	1	1	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	2 727	2 727	1 257	1 470	24	1 446	-	-
NORFOLK	1 239	1 239	1 219	20	10	10	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	352	352	354	-	-	-	18	18
RICHMOND	1 561	1 561	1 561	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	1 778	1 778	1 048	730	11	719	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	845	845	845	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	134	130	130	-	-	-	4	4
EVERETT	19	-	-	-	-	-	19	19
SEATTLE	1 553	453	453	-	-	-	1 100	1 100
SPOKANE	228	35	35	-	-	-	193	193
TACOMA	344	344	341	3	3	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	80	-	-	-	-	-	80	80
HUNTINGTON	37	-	-	-	-	-	37	37
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	3
KENOSHA	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
LA CROSSE	9	-	-	-	-	-	9	9
MADISON	8	-	-	-	-	-	8	8
MILWAUKEE	14	-	-	-	-	-	14	14
OSHKOSH	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
RACINE	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	3
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 45. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institu-tions	Probation and parole	Miscel-laneous	City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institu-tions	Probation and parole	Miscel-laneous
TOTAL	330 131	252 372	54 192	23 567	CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED				
ALABAMA:					SANTA MONICA	-			
BIRMINGHAM	848	750	98	-	SANTA ROSA	-			
GADSDEN	47	47	-	-	SIMI VALLEY	-			
HUNTSVILLE	136	136	-	-	SOUTH GATE	134	134		
MOBILE	197	197	-	-	STOCKTON	-			
MONTGOMERY	212	212	-	-	SUNNYVALE	-			
TUSCALOOSA	67	67	-	-	TORRANCE	114	114		
ARIZONA:					VALLEJO	-			
GLENDALE	35	-	-	35	WEST COVINA	-			
MESA	-	-	-	-	WESTMINSTER	-			
PHOENIX	67	3	64	-	WHITTIER	-			
SCOTTSDALE	11	-	-	11	COLORADO:				
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	ARVADA	-			
TUSCON	-	-	-	-	AURORA	-			
ARKANSAS:					BOULDER	5	5		
FORT SMITH	101	101	-	-	COLORADO SPRINGS	17	17		
LITTLE ROCK	179	144	35	-	DENVER	5 228	3 484	51	1 693
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	147	64	83	-	FORT COLLINS	-			
PINE BLUFF	56	56	-	-	LAKWOOD	19	-	19	-
CALIFORNIA:					PUEBLO	230	119	-	111
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	CONNECTICUT:				
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	BRIDGEPORT	174	-	-	174
ANAHEIM	98	98	-	-	BRISTOL	-			
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	DANBURY	-			
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	HARTFORD	-			
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	MERIDEN	-			
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	MILFORD	-			
BURBANK	118	118	-	-	NEW BRITAIN	-			
CARSON	-	-	-	-	NEW HAVEN	-			
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	NORWALK	-			
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	STAMFORD	-			
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	WATERBURY	-			
COSTA MESA	66	66	-	-	WEST HAVEN	-			
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	DELAWARE:				
DOWNEY	-	-	-	-	WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:				
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	WASHINGTON	58 720	48 388	4 915	5 417
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	FLORIDA:				
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	CLEARWATER	56	56	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	FORT LAUDERDALE	421	421	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	GAINESVILLE	19	19	-	-
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	HIALEAH	138	138	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	HOLLYWOOD	778	778	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	JACKSONVILLE	4 086	3 805	277	4
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	MIAMI	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	146	146	-	-	MIAMI BEACH	71	71	-	-
LAKWOOD	-	-	-	-	ORLANDO	590	590	-	-
LONG BEACH	953	953	-	-	PENSACOLA	97	97	-	-
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	ST. PETERSBURG	408	302	-	106
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	TAMPA	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH	36	36	-	-	WEST PALM BEACH	123	123	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	GEORGIA:				
OAKLAND	1 118	1 118	-	-	ALBANY	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	ATLANTA	1 938	1 931	-	7
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	AUGUSTA	110	110	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	COLUMBUS	872	718	154	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	MACON	233	233	-	-
PASADENA	112	112	-	-	SAVANNAH	222	222	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	HAWAII:				
POMONA	403	158	245	-	HONOLULU	716	716	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	66	66	-	-	IDaho:				
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	BOISE CITY	35	35	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	ILLINOIS:				
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	AURORA	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	BERWYN	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVVENTURA	-	-	-	-	CHICAGO	86	-	-	86
SAN DIEGO	415	379	-	36	CICERO	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	9 870	6 113	3 757	-	DECATUR	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	EAST ST. LOUIS	49	-	21	28
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	ELGIN	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-					
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-					
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-					

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED					MICHIGAN--CONTINUED				
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	FLINT	387	287	100	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	GRAND RAPIDS	198	-	198	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	KALAMAZOO	41	-	41	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	LANSING	922	200	214	508
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	LINCOLN PARK	32	32	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	LIVONIA	56	-	50	6
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	PONTIAC	24	-	24	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	87	87	-	-	ROYAL OAK	56	6	50	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	SAGINAW	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:					ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-
ANDERSON	4	-	4	-	SOUTHFIELD	92	-	92	-
EVANSVILLE	14	-	14	-	STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	TAYLOR	12	-	12	-
GARY	63	-	63	-	WARREN	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	32	-	32	-	WESTLAND	23	-	23	-
INDIANAPOLIS	3 545	2 814	731	-	WYOMING	22	-	22	-
MUNCIE	7	-	7	-	MINNESOTA:				
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	DULUTH	-	-	-	-
IOWA:					MINNEAPOLIS	1 306	1 306	-	-
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	45	45	-	-	ST. PAUL	4	4	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	MISSISSIPPI:				
DES MOINES	22	-	22	-	BILOXI	47	37	-	10
DUBUQUE	22	22	-	-	JACKSON	411	411	-	-
SIOUX CITY	73	73	-	-	MISSOURI:				
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:					FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	241	240	1	-	INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	KANSAS CITY	1 483	998	485	-
TOPEKA	44	-	26	-	ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	360	360	-	-	ST. LOUIS	7 934	4 635	2 800	499
KENTUCKY:					SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-
COVINGTON	45	45	-	-	MONTANA:				
LEXINGTON	1 419	1 192	227	-	BILLINGS	17	-	17	-
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-	-	GREAT FALLS	117	117	-	-
OWENSBORO	-	-	-	-	NEBRASKA:				
LOUISIANA:					LINCOLN	325	235	90	-
BATON ROUGE	1 412	1 304	108	-	OMAHA	56	-	31	25
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	NEVADA:				
LAKE CHARLES	3	3	-	-	LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-
MONROE	78	78	-	-	RENO	260	260	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	5 489	4 946	527	-	NEW HAMPSHIRE:				
SHREVEPORT	181	181	-	-	MANCHESTER	97	-	97	-
MAINE:					NASHUA	69	-	69	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	NEW JERSEY:				
MARYLAND:					BAYONNE	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	7 516	7 419	-	-	BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:					CAMDEN	-	-	-	-
BOSTON	8 566	5 449	3 117	-	CLIFTON	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	258	-	-	-	JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	NEWARK	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	20	-	20	-	PASSAIC	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	PATERSON	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	TRENTON	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	VINELAND	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	UNION CITY	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	NEW MEXICO:				
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	AUBUQUERQUE	1 286	1 104	182	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	NEW YORK:				
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	ALBANY	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	BUFFALO	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:					NEW YORK CITY	125 561	96 161	20 728	8 672
ANN ARBOR	83	-	83	-	NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	89	89	-	-	ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	7	-	7	-	ROME	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	4 966	4 966	-	-					
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-					

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 394 large city governments, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institu-tions	Probation and parole	Miscel-laneous	City ¹	Total direct current expenditure	Institu-tions	Probation and parole	Miscel-laneous
NEW YORK--CONTINUED					SOUTH DAKOTA:				
SCHEECTADY	-	-	-	-	SIOUX FALLS.	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	TENNESSEE:				
TROY	-	-	-	-	CHATTANOOGA.	-	-	-	-
UTICA.	-	-	-	-	KNOXVILLE.	222	222	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	MEMPHIS.	1 085	1 072	16	-
YONKERS.	328	328	-	-	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	2 346	2 346	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:					TEXAS:				
ASHEVILLE.	-	-	-	-	ABILENE.	40	40	-	-
CHARLOTTE.	-	-	-	-	AMARILLO.	122	122	-	-
DURHAM.	-	-	-	-	ARLINGTON.	86	86	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	AUSTIN.	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	BEAUMONT.	105	105	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	BROWNSVILLE.	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH.	-	-	-	-	CORPUS CHRISTI.	267	267	-	-
WILMINGTON.	-	-	-	-	DALLAS.	1 053	1 053	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM.	-	-	-	-	EL PASO.	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:					FORT WORTH.	411	411	-	-
FARGO.	-	-	-	-	GALVESTON.	85	85	-	-
OHIO:					GARLAND.	51	51	-	-
AKRON.	333	250	83	-	GRAND PRAIRIE.	48	48	-	-
CANTON.	298	-	298	-	HOUSTON.	2 940	2 479	-	461
CINCINNATI.	2 343	1 741	587	15	IRVING.	66	66	-	-
CLEVELAND.	3 280	2 220	1 060	-	LAREDO.	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS.	7	-	7	-	LUBBOCK.	54	54	-	-
COLUMBUS.	1 043	896	147	-	MESQUITE.	-	-	-	-
DAYTON.	1 375	1 297	78	-	MIDLAND.	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA.	48	48	-	-	ODESSA.	47	47	-	-
EUCLID.	-	-	-	-	PASADENA.	91	91	-	-
HAMILTON.	25	-	11	14	PORT ARTHUR.	53	53	-	-
KETTERING.	60	52	-	8	RICHARDSON.	-	-	-	-
LAKWOOD.	-	-	-	-	SAN ANGELO.	32	32	-	-
LIMA.	129	74	9	46	SAN ANTONIO.	-	-	-	-
LORAIN.	63	63	-	-	TYLER.	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD.	30	6	24	-	WACO.	66	66	-	-
PARMA.	11	-	11	-	WICHITA FALLS.	96	96	-	-
SPRINGFIELD.	35	-	35	-	UTAH:				
TOLEDO.	1 213	824	389	-	OGDEN.	-	-	-	-
WARREN.	71	71	-	-	PROVO.	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN.	320	278	42	-	SALT LAKE CITY.	40	40	-	-
OKLAHOMA:					VIRGINIA:				
LAWTON.	66	66	-	-	ALEXANDRIA.	903	602	105	196
NORMAN.	-	-	-	-	CHESAPEAKE.	1 021	1 013	8	-
MIDWEST.	35	35	-	-	HAMPTON.	424	200	224	-
OKLAHOMA CITY.	394	194	200	27	LYNCHBURG.	398	312	86	-
TULSA.	474	417	30	-	NEWPORT NEWS.	1 244	899	332	13
OREGON:					NORFOLK.	1 219	1 079	-	140
EUGENE.	-	-	-	-	PORTSMOUTH.	334	279	-	55
PORTLAND.	-	-	-	-	RICHMOND.	1 561	1 510	51	-
SALEM.	-	-	-	-	ROANOKE.	1 048	560	488	-
PENNSYLVANIA:					VIRGINIA BEACH.	845	439	406	-
ALLENTOWN.	-	-	-	-	WASHINGTON:				
ALTOONA.	-	-	-	-	BELLEVUE.	130	-	130	-
BETHLEHEM.	-	-	-	-	EVERETT.	-	-	-	-
CHESTER.	-	-	-	-	SEATTLE.	453	149	304	-
ERIE.	-	-	-	-	SPOKANE.	35	35	-	-
HARRISBURG.	-	-	-	-	TACOMA.	341	243	98	-
LANCASTER.	-	-	-	-	WEST VIRGINIA:				
PHILADELPHIA.	31 783	18 108	8 922	4 753	CHARLESTON.	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH.	-	-	-	-	HUNTINGTON.	-	-	-	-
READING.	-	-	-	-	WISCONSIN:				
SCRANTON.	-	-	-	-	APPLETON.	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE.	-	-	-	-	GREEN BAY.	-	-	-	-
YORK.	-	-	-	-	KENOSHA.	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:					LACROSSE.	-	-	-	-
CRANSTON.	-	-	-	-	MADISON.	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET.	-	-	-	-	MILWAUKEE.	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE.	-	-	-	-	OSHKOSH.	-	-	-	-
WARWICK.	-	-	-	-	RACINE.	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:					WAUWATOSA.	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON.	-	-	-	-	WEST ALLIS.	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA.	233	233	-	-					
GREENVILLE.	47	47	-	-					

^a Represents zero or rounds to zero.^b Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

**Table 46. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments,
October 1975**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
	Number of employees				Total				For men			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent ²	October payroll ²	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equiva- lent	October payroll
TOTAL	128 523	126 196	126 933	123 252	98 983	97 285	97 824	94 322	60 638	60 071	60 259	59 656
ALABAMA	1 302	1 268	1 281	1 042	980	961	969	758	609	607	608	473
ALASKA	432	412	424	667	333	320	329	517	122	119	122	192
ARIZONA	1 103	1 103	1 103	1 075	931	931	931	878	170	170	170	162
ARKANSAS	792	792	792	560	682	682	682	487	363	363	363	256
CALIFORNIA	13 237	12 455	12 704	16 017	10 124	9 515	9 698	11 865	6 648	6 403	6 486	8 034
COLORADO	1 734	1 690	1 702	1 896	1 104	1 088	1 091	1 281	677	663	666	830
CONNECTICUT	2 624	2 582	2 593	2 446	1 891	1 866	1 872	1 744	1 311	1 298	1 301	1 260
DELAWARE	810	789	791	760	673	652	654	640	324	323	323	349
FLORIDA	9 147	8 954	9 022	6 985	6 154	6 001	6 057	4 579	3 742	3 674	3 698	2 915
GEORGIA	3 637	3 637	3 637	2 713	2 491	2 491	2 491	1 787	1 572	1 572	1 572	1 198
HAWAII	371	371	371	446	276	276	276	357	NA	NA	NA	NA
IDAHO	401	392	394	334	306	298	299	246	186	180	181	150
ILLINOIS	4 981	4 981	4 981	5 102	3 970	3 970	3 970	3 954	2 543	2 543	2 543	2 468
INDIANA	2 081	2 064	2 072	1 847	1 889	1 872	1 880	1 648	1 404	1 399	1 403	1 227
IOWA	1 389	1 370	1 377	1 307	1 201	1 183	1 190	1 143	773	768	770	780
KANSAS	2 194	2 194	2 194	1 682	2 091	2 091	2 091	1 591	873	873	873	723
KENTUCKY	1 525	1 525	1 525	1 224	1 176	1 176	1 176	931	772	772	772	660
LOUISIANA	2 466	2 466	2 466	1 959	1 629	1 629	1 629	1 275	903	903	903	750
MAINE	654	634	639	529	568	560	563	461	283	283	283	231
MARYLAND	4 826	4 820	4 822	5 176	3 329	3 326	3 327	3 616	2 093	2 093	2 093	2 353
MASSACHUSETTS	3 085	3 085	3 085	2 950	2 454	2 454	2 454	2 304	1 920	1 920	1 920	1 894
MICHIGAN	3 714	3 651	3 659	4 146	3 221	3 158	3 166	3 533	2 487	2 444	2 450	2 725
MINNESOTA	1 568	1 485	1 524	1 387	1 226	1 161	1 193	1 216	733	705	719	848
MISSISSIPPI	990	914	933	669	808	732	751	542	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	2 339	2 194	2 217	1 631	1 427	1 399	1 405	1 009	1 005	982	987	695
MONTANA	526	496	507	500	420	392	402	396	214	202	207	218
NEBRASKA	886	843	859	684	694	668	675	538	459	449	452	369
NEVADA	578	568	572	637	456	451	453	501	306	302	303	335
NEW HAMPSHIRE	377	373	374	321	291	289	290	244	142	142	142	124
NEW JERSEY	3 384	3 327	3 362	3 274	2 926	2 875	2 908	2 835	1 264	1 251	1 261	1 226
NEW MEXICO	658	658	658	511	426	426	426	328	225	225	225	172
NEW YORK	12 392	12 269	12 299	14 468	10 349	10 226	10 257	11 926	7 049	7 049	7 049	8 268
NORTH CAROLINA	5 722	5 722	5 722	4 644	4 362	4 362	4 362	3 430	3 302	3 302	3 302	2 616
NORTH DAKOTA	278	268	274	215	247	239	244	188	110	103	107	85
OHIO	6 528	6 462	6 476	6 790	5 496	5 430	5 444	5 703	2 782	2 782	2 782	2 935
OKLAHOMA	2 108	2 108	2 108	1 511	1 287	1 287	1 287	883	908	908	908	645
OREGON	1 639	1 624	1 631	1 633	1 192	1 181	1 187	1 183	745	745	745	760
PENNSYLVANIA	4 054	4 054	4 054	4 342	3 314	3 314	3 314	3 505	1 891	1 891	1 891	2 055
RHODE ISLAND	694	694	694	787	496	496	496	581	370	370	370	455
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 528	2 510	2 514	1 987	1 710	1 692	1 696	1 252	1 066	1 066	1 066	775
SOUTH DAKOTA	283	254	266	219	238	223	229	188	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	2 464	2 461	2 464	1 872	2 036	2 033	2 036	1 542	1 214	1 214	1 214	1 001
TEXAS	4 278	4 165	4 203	3 604	3 623	3 544	3 568	3 016	2 493	2 457	2 465	2 147
UTAH	735	678	699	661	458	433	445	416	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	433	413	418	347	319	300	304	287	106	98	100	85
VIRGINIA	4 239	4 224	4 229	3 688	2 857	2 842	2 847	2 487	1 997	1 995	1 995	1 797
WASHINGTON	2 493	2 437	2 457	2 487	1 956	1 917	1 931	1 942	1 065	1 061	1 063	1 083
WEST VIRGINIA	762	759	759	486	644	641	641	395	413	410	410	260
WISCONSIN	2 790	2 718	2 740	2 812	1 999	1 984	1 987	2 045	905	897	899	994
WYOMING	292	283	286	221	253	248	251	189	99	95	97	78

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 46. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments,
October 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Institutions--Continued														
	For women			October payroll ²	For juveniles			October payroll	Other and combined			October payroll			
	Number of employees		Full-time equiva- lent ³		Number of employees		Full-time equiva- lent		Number of employees		Full-time equiva- lent				
	Total	Full-time only			Total	Full-time only			Total	Full-time only					
TOTAL	3 007	2 975	2 988	2 793	28 760	27 762	28 082	25 266	6 578	6 477	6 508	6 607			
ALABAMA	42	42	42	33	329	312	319	252	-	-	-	-			
ALASKA	-	-	-	-	107	97	104	151	104	104	104	174			
ARIZONA	-	-	-	-	248	248	248	237	513	513	513	479			
ARKANSAS	10	10	10	7	309	309	309	224	-	-	-	-			
CALIFORNIA	295	289	291	354	3 181	2 823	2 921	3 477	-	-	-	-			
COLORADO	NA	NA	NA	NA	427	425	426	451	-	-	-	-			
CONNECTICUT	139	135	136	122	300	292	294	245	141	141	141	117			
DELAWARE	16	16	16	17	266	246	248	210	67	67	67	64			
FLORIDA	24	24	24	17	2 112	2 032	2 063	1 423	276	271	273	224			
GEORGIA	75	75	75	51	844	844	844	538	-	-	-	-			
HAWAII.	-	-	-	-	107	107	107	107	169	169	169	250			
IDAHO	-	-	-	-	120	118	118	96	-	-	-	-			
ILLINOIS	129	129	129	125	1 298	1 298	1 298	1 361	-	-	-	-			
INDIANA	80	78	79	67	405	395	397	354	-	-	-	-			
IOWA	43	42	42	36	385	373	378	327	-	-	-	-			
KANSAS	63	63	63	47	345	345	345	252	810	810	810	569			
KENTUCKY	46	46	46	34	350	350	350	229	8	8	8	8			
LOUISIANA	56	56	56	42	670	670	670	483	-	-	-	-			
MAINE	-	-	-	-	285	277	279	230	-	-	-	-			
MARYLAND	99	99	99	112	839	836	837	829	298	298	298	322			
MASSACHUSETTS	133	133	133	119	401	401	401	291	-	-	-	-			
MICHIGAN	-	-	-	-	734	714	717	808	-	-	-	-			
MINNESOTA	44	36	39	42	449	420	438	326	-	-	-	-			
MISSISSIPPI	-	-	-	-	237	232	234	140	571	500	515	402			
MISSOURI	47	45	46	32	375	372	373	282	-	-	-	-			
MONTANA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	206	190	196	178	-	-	-	-			
NEBRASKA	43	41	42	32	192	178	182	137	-	-	-	-			
NEVADA	-	-	-	-	140	140	140	157	10	9	9	9			
NEW HAMPSHIRE	-	-	-	-	149	147	148	120	-	-	-	-			
NEW JERSEY	278	275	277	248	720	696	708	702	664	653	662	659			
NEW MEXICO	12	12	12	9	189	189	189	147	-	-	-	-			
NEW YORK	198	198	198	208	1 527	1 404	1 439	1 474	1 575	1 575	1 575	1 976			
NORTH CAROLINA	133	133	133	104	831	831	831	636	96	96	96	74			
NORTH DAKOTA	-	-	-	-	137	136	137	103	-	-	-	-			
OHIO	186	186	186	202	1 923	1 857	1 871	1 930	605	605	605	636			
OKLAHOMA	54	54	54	40	325	325	325	198	-	-	-	-			
OREGON	28	26	27	29	419	410	415	394	-	-	-	-			
PENNSYLVANIA	139	139	139	147	1 284	1 284	1 284	1 303	-	-	-	-			
RHODE ISLAND	NA	NA	NA	NA	126	126	126	126	-	-	-	-			
SOUTH CAROLINA	51	51	51	38	593	575	579	439	-	-	-	-			
SOUTH DAKOTA	-	-	-	-	117	107	111	82	121	116	118	106			
TENNESSEE	71	71	71	50	751	748	751	491	-	-	-	-			
TEXAS	110	110	110	96	1 020	977	994	773	-	-	-	-			
UTAH	14	12	13	12	141	126	136	113	303	295	298	291			
VERMONT	-	-	-	-	192	181	184	145	21	21	21	17			
VIRGINIA	118	118	118	100	742	729	734	590	-	-	-	-			
WASHINGTON	110	110	110	111	781	746	758	748	-	-	-	-			
WEST VIRGINIA	18	18	18	10	213	213	213	125	-	-	-	-			
WISCONSIN	103	103	103	100	765	758	760	721	226	226	226	230			
WYOMING	-	-	-	-	154	153	153	111	-	-	-	-			

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 46. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments,
October 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State ¹	Corrections administration				Probation, parole, and pardon				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time equivalent ²	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	8 264	8 116	8 161	8 28,	19 731	19 295	19 429	19 112	1 545	1 500	1 515	1 530
ALABAMA	73	68	69	66	249	239	242	216	-	-	-	-
ALASKA	18	18	18	30	81	74	77	120	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	91	91	91	103	75	75	75	87	6	6	6	7
ARKANSAS	60	60	60	3	50	50	50	37	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	924	888	900	1 140	1 595	1 497	1 536	2 305	594	555	569	707
COLORADO	68	52	56	68	550	538	542	537	12	12	12	10
CONNECTICUT	273	263	266	243	445	438	440	446	15	15	15	13
DELAWARE	66	66	66	60	63	63	63	55	8	8	8	5
FLORIDA	338	319	324	306	2 655	2 634	2 641	2 100	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA	237	237	237	224	854	854	854	653	55	55	55	49
HAWAII	12	12	12	8	68	68	68	68	15	15	15	13
IDAHO	NA	NA	NA	NA	95	94	94	88	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	368	368	368	447	643	643	643	701	-	-	-	-
INDIANA	48	48	48	53	140	140	140	143	4	4	4	3
IOWA	42	42	42	48	98	97	98	77	48	48	48	39
KANSAS	25	25	25	26	78	78	78	65	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	30	30	30	31	297	297	297	241	22	22	22	21
LOUISIANA	368	368	368	258	234	234	234	209	235	235	235	217
MAINE	9	8	8	6	77	66	68	62	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	222	222	222	258	1 259	1 256	1 257	1 287	16	16	16	15
MASSACHUSETTS	314	314	314	313	317	317	317	333	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	110	110	110	137	383	383	383	476	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA	331	313	318	160	11	11	11	11	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	10	10	10	10	172	172	172	117	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	412	396	401	300	500	399	411	322	-	-	-	-
MONTANA	30	30	30	36	76	74	75	68	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	21	20	20	23	171	155	164	123	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	NA	NA	NA	NA	122	117	119	136	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	NA	NA	NA	NA	86	84	85	77	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	84	84	95	366	360	362	337	8	8	8	8	7
NEW MEXICO	9	9	9	10	223	223	223	173	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	667	667	667	926	1 338	1 338	1 572	38	38	38	38	44
NORTH CAROLINA	270	270	270	273	909	909	909	804	181	181	181	137
NORTH DAKOTA	NA	NA	NA	NA	31	29	30	27	-	-	-	-
OHIO	568	568	568	610	464	464	464	476	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	418	418	418	304	403	403	403	324	-	-	-	-
OREGON	188	187	187	165	259	256	257	285	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	102	102	102	138	638	638	638	699	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	51	51	51	58	147	147	147	148	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	258	258	258	274	298	298	298	237	262	262	262	224
SOUTH DAKOTA	"	-	-	-	32	23	29	22	13	8	9	9
TENNESSEE	62	62	62	55	366	366	366	275	-	-	-	-
TEXAS	270	265	268	262	385	356	366	326	-	-	-	-
UTAH	12	10	11	13	263	233	241	230	2	2	2	2
VERMONT	18	18	18	20	85	85	85	72	11	10	10	8
VIRGINIA	550	550	550	440	832	832	761	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	NA	NA	NA	NA	537	520	526	545	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	NA	NA	NA	NA	118	118	118	91	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	227	209	215	241	564	525	538	526	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	10	10	10	10	29	25	25	22	-	-	-	-

¹Represents zero or rounds to zero.²NA Not available.

X Not applicable.

¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government; see text for data limitations.²Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities					Institutions									
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions					Institutions for juveniles					
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent
1	TOTAL	58 064	53 998	55 365	55 941	37 098	33 877	34 992	33 876	13 111	11 315	11 946	10 979			
2	ALABAMA:															
3	CALHOUN	15	15	15	10	7	7	7	4	-	-	-	-			
4	JEFFERSON	171	165	169	167	121	115	120	116	48	42	47	39			
5	MADISON	49	49	49	30	37	37	37	20	11	11	11	5			
6	MOBILE	71	70	70	49	56	55	55	36	29	28	28	17			
7	MONTGOMERY	66	64	65	42	61	59	60	37	38	36	37	22			
8	TUSCALOOSA	43	41	41	26	28	28	28	16	-	-	-	-			
9	ALASKA:															
10	GREATER ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
11	ARIZONA:															
12	MARICOPA	558	545	549	529	236	233	234	226	90	87	88	85			
13	PIMA	351	339	344	327	263	251	256	238	144	133	137	142			
14	ARKANSAS:															
15	PULASKI	78	78	78	50	64	64	64	41	8	8	8	4			
16	CALIFORNIA:															
17	ALAMEDA	1 286	1 187	1 221	1 589	684	610	630	844	275	225	240	280			
18	BUTTE	61	52	56	56	39	30	34	31	15	10	11	10			
19	CONTRA COSTA	639	545	592	736	349	267	311	372	251	180	215	247			
20	FRESNO	482	393	422	438	305	230	253	245	119	106	111	104			
21	HUMBOLDT	101	96	97	140	61	56	57	85	18	14	15	15			
22	KERN	363	332	349	390	211	182	197	223	109	92	100	107			
23	LOS ANGELES	6 550	6 357	6 381	8 296	3 814	3 761	3 770	4 417	1 712	1 661	1 670	1 785			
24	MARIN	222	191	199	255	98	81	84	111	68	51	54	72			
25	MERCED	93	87	88	80	52	46	47	43	12	9	9	8			
26	MONTEREY	226	197	208	227	128	105	114	121	56	36	44	47			
27	ORANGE	1 307	1 263	1 275	1 525	677	656	663	739	397	385	389	413			
28	RIVERSIDE	601	576	583	659	286	266	271	302	143	126	131	155			
29	SACRAMENTO	697	636	653	792	395	344	359	432	178	138	149	179			
30	SAN BERNARDINO	805	747	764	834	448	396	410	454	252	206	219	219			
31	SAN DIEGO	1 622	1 484	1 545	1 869	762	656	706	863	280	269	273	317			
32	SAN JOAQUIN	312	292	317	292	153	153	177	178	89	45	68	62			
33	SAN LUIS OBISPO	93	88	90	100	43	38	40	42	9	6	7	7			
34	SAN MATEO	620	565	580	776	282	243	253	364	156	124	133	159			
35	SANTA BARBARA	346	307	321	371	217	189	197	219	78	56	63	65			
36	SANTA CLARA	1 008	917	942	1 211	822	732	757	995	556	475	497	597			
37	SANTA CRUZ	121	110	112	122	66	58	59	66	34	26	28	28			
38	SOLANO	196	189	194	223	95	92	95	111	50	49	50	55			
39	SONOMA	235	203	222	233	151	125	142	147	68	60	68	72			
40	STANISLAUS	218	190	199	187	105	79	87	87	27	20	23	22			
41	TULARE	187	170	180	170	113	98	107	100	61	47	56	48			
42	VENTURA	388	373	377	419	219	204	208	233	66	52	55	56			
43	YOLO	128	100	107	91	62	51	54	44	29	18	23	13			
44	COLORADO:															
45	ADAMS	23	23	23	21	23	23	23	21	-	-	-	-			
46	ARAPAHOE	29	22	24	20	29	22	24	20	-	-	-	-			
47	BOULDER	48	48	48	43	48	48	48	43	-	-	-	-			
48	EL PASO	68	68	68	50	68	68	68	50	-	-	-	-			
49	JEFFERSON	29	29	29	27	29	29	29	27	-	-	-	-			
50	LARIMER	17	17	17	14	11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-			
51	PUEBLO	18	17	17	10	18	17	17	10	-	-	-	-			
52	WELD	21	21	21	14	10	10	10	6	5	5	5	3			
53	DELAWARE:															
54	NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
55	FLORIDA:															
56	ALACHUA	82	78	79	76	53	53	53	55	-	-	-	-			
57	BREVARD	24	24	24	20	24	24	24	20	-	-	-	-			
58	BROWARD	150	150	123	150	150	150	150	125	-	-	-	-			
59	DADE	566	566	584	566	566	566	566	584	102	102	102	89			
60	ESCAMBIA	66	66	66	60	64	64	64	58	6	6	6	4			
61	HILLSBOROUGH	350	329	330	297	330	329	330	297	63	62	63	49			
62	LEE	17	17	17	14	17	17	17	14	-	-	-	-			
63	LEON	27	27	19	27	27	27	27	19	-	-	-	-			
64	MANATEE	21	21	21	13	21	21	21	13	-	-	-	-			
65	ORANGE	114	114	114	96	114	114	114	96	-	-	-	-			
66	PALM BEACH	168	166	167	141	164	162	163	138	48	46	47	35			
67	PASCO	24	24	24	15	24	24	24	15	-	-	-	-			
68	PINELLAS	100	99	99	81	100	99	99	91	4	4	4	5			
69	POLK	77	77	77	55	77	77	77	55	-	-	-	-			
70	SARASOTA	23	23	23	17	23	23	23	17	-	-	-	-			
71	SEMINOLE	29	28	28	18	29	28	28	20	18	-	-	-			
72	VOLUSIA	93	85	88	54	83	80	82	49	-	-	-	-			
73	GEORGIA:															
74	BIBB	44	43	43	32	20	20	20	13	-	-	-	-			
75	CHATTHAM	66	66	66	42	59	59	59	36	27	27	27	13			
76	CLAYTON	73	61	63	47	52	51	51	37	19	19	19	12			

See footnotes at end of table.

334 large county governments, October 1975

(in thousands)

Institutions--Continued			Probation and parole			Miscellaneous			Line number			
Other and combined institutions			Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			Line number		
Number of employees		October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
23 987	22 562	23 068	22 897	20 147	19 381	19 615	21 337	819	740	770	732	1
7	7	7	4	8	8	8	6	-	-	-	-	2
73	73	73	77	50	50	50	51	-	-	-	-	3
26	26	26	15	12	12	12	10	-	-	-	-	4
27	27	27	19	15	15	15	13	-	-	-	-	5
23	23	23	15	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	6
28	28	28	16	15	13	13	10	-	-	-	-	7
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
146	146	146	141	322	312	315	303	-	-	-	-	9
119	118	118	96	79	79	79	80	9	9	9	9	10
56	56	56	37	14	14	14	9	-	-	-	-	11
409	385	390	564	502	489	495	637	100	88	98	108	12
24	20	23	21	22	22	22	25	-	-	-	-	13
98	87	96	125	290	278	282	364	-	-	-	-	14
186	124	142	141	177	163	169	193	-	-	-	-	15
43	42	42	70	40	40	40	55	-	-	-	-	16
102	90	97	116	152	150	151	167	-	-	-	-	17
2 102	2 100	2 100	2 632	2 736	2 596	2 610	3 879	-	-	-	-	18
30	30	30	39	101	95	96	126	23	17	19	18	19
40	37	38	35	41	41	37	-	-	-	-	-	20
72	69	70	74	98	92	95	106	-	-	-	-	21
280	271	274	326	572	549	555	700	58	58	58	86	22
143	140	140	147	315	310	312	35	-	-	-	-	23
217	206	210	253	302	292	294	-	-	-	-	-	24
196	190	193	235	357	351	353	-	-	-	-	-	25
488	387	432	546	631	610	617	847	223	218	222	179	26
113	108	111	116	134	133	134	133	6	6	6	6	27
34	32	33	35	50	50	50	58	-	-	-	-	28
126	119	121	195	338	322	326	422	-	-	-	-	29
139	133	135	154	129	118	123	152	-	-	-	-	30
266	257	261	398	183	182	182	213	3	3	3	3	31
32	32	32	38	55	52	52	56	-	-	-	-	32
45	43	44	56	94	90	92	101	7	7	7	11	33
83	65	69	75	80	78	79	85	4	-	-	1	34
78	59	64	65	111	110	110	99	2	1	1	1	35
52	51	51	52	74	72	73	70	-	-	-	-	36
153	152	153	177	169	169	169	186	-	-	-	-	37
33	33	33	31	43	43	43	41	23	6	13	6	38
23	23	23	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
29	22	24	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40
48	48	48	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41
68	68	68	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
29	29	29	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	5	44
18	17	17	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	8	46
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
53	53	53	55	-	-	-	-	29	23	26	21	48
24	24	24	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49
150	150	150	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50
464	464	464	495	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
58	58	58	54	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	52
267	267	267	248	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
17	17	17	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54
27	27	27	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
21	21	21	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
114	114	114	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57
116	116	116	103	4	4	4	3	-	-	-	-	58
24	24	24	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
96	95	95	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
77	77	77	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
23	23	23	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
29	28	28	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
83	80	82	49	-	-	-	-	10	5	6	5	64
20	20	20	13	24	23	23	19	-	-	-	-	65
32	32	32	23	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-	66
33	32	32	25	21	10	24	10	-	-	-	-	67

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities					Institutions								
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions			Institutions for juveniles			October payroll			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent
1	GEORGIA--CONTINUED														
1	COBB.	53	51	51	41	41	39	39	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	DE KALB	170	170	170	141	105	105	105	82	46	46	46	32	32	32
3	FULTON	426	420	423	365	237	234	234	196	56	56	56	45	45	45
4	RICHMOND	88	88	88	52	74	74	74	44	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	IDAHO:														
5	ADA	47	41	43	32	39	33	35	25	29	23	25	17	17	17
6	ILLINOIS:														
6	CHA PAIGN	50	38	42	32	40	28	31	24	33	25	27	20	20	20
7	COOK	1 531	1 513	1 527	1 523	1 218	1 201	1 215	1 245	288	271	285	277	277	277
8	DU PAGE	136	116	125	116	80	61	70	65	38	22	29	27	27	27
9	KANE	103	99	101	74	56	52	54	39	22	18	20	14	14	14
10	LAKE	111	110	110	81	66	66	66	45	17	17	17	12	12	12
11	LA SALLE	24	24	17	17	15	15	15	11	9	9	9	6	6	6
12	MCHENRY	31	31	31	24	11	11	11	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	MCLEAN	23	20	22	17	15	12	14	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	MACON	26	21	23	16	15	10	12	7	5	4	4	2	2	2
15	MADISON	84	76	78	61	56	51	53	39	23	20	21	13	13	13
16	PEORIA	68	67	67	51	33	33	33	22	10	10	10	8	8	8
17	ROCK ISLAND	45	45	45	33	18	18	18	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	ST. CLAIR	63	61	61	47	41	39	39	30	15	14	14	9	9	9
19	SANGAMON	55	54	55	38	32	32	32	21	12	12	12	7	7	7
20	TAZEWELL	20	20	20	14	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	WILL	31	31	31	27	22	22	22	21	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	WINNEBAGO	94	86	90	70	50	46	48	40	24	22	23	16	16	16
23	INDIANA:														
23	ALLEN	148	136	140	114	108	96	100	79	54	44	47	29	29	29
24	DELAWARE	30	30	30	18	21	21	21	12	12	12	12	6	6	6
25	ELKHART	40	31	35	27	23	17	20	15	7	2	2	4	4	4
26	LAKE	103	102	102	89	42	41	41	36	24	23	23	16	16	16
27	LA PORTE	43	32	35	23	5	3	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	MADISON	38	33	35	20	25	20	23	13	14	9	12	5	5	5
29	ST. JOSEPH	91	85	88	69	61	59	61	47	11	11	11	6	6	6
30	TIPPECANOE	29	23	26	17	21	19	19	12	15	14	14	9	9	9
31	VANDERBURGH	52	41	47	37	32	27	29	24	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	VIGO	44	41	42	22	35	32	33	16	28	26	27	12	12	12
33	IOWA:														
33	BLACK HAWK	54	50	51	41	16	16	16	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	LINN	80	74	76	59	25	25	25	19	4	4	4	3	3	3
35	POLK	238	212	221	193	96	80	84	72	61	53	55	45	45	45
36	SCOTT	30	29	29	24	18	17	17	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	WOODBURY	20	11	13	13	9	1	4	2	6	-	-	1	1	1
38	KANSAS:														
38	JOHNSON	59	53	55	45	35	34	35	27	15	14	15	9	9	9
39	SEDWICK	99	70	82	49	73	58	64	36	55	40	46	26	26	26
40	SHAWNEE	109	90	95	63	70	62	65	44	44	40	41	26	26	26
41	WYANDOTTE	64	59	60	35	51	47	48	28	20	20	20	9	9	9
42	KENTUCKY:														
42	JEFFERSON	324	313	320	224	271	260	267	185	146	135	142	89	89	89
43	KENTON	17	16	16	13	9	9	8	7	2	2	2	1	1	1
44	LOUISIANA:														
44	CADDO	107	107	107	65	97	97	97	57	13	13	13	5	5	5
45	CALCASIEU	38	38	38	24	35	35	35	21	25	25	25	13	13	13
46	JEFFERSON	88	83	84	61	80	75	76	54	27	27	27	21	21	21
47	LAFAYETTE	42	31	35	16	42	31	35	16	28	18	18	10	10	10
48	OUACHITA	40	37	38	27	35	32	33	24	16	13	14	7	7	7
49	RAPIDES	26	22	22	17	20	16	16	12	14	10	10	7	7	7
50	MAINE:														
50	CUMBERLAND	31	28	29	22	31	28	29	22	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	PENOBSBOT	15	14	14	9	15	14	14	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	YORN	38	18	22	13	38	18	22	13	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	MARYLAND:														
53	ANNE ARUNDEL	37	37	37	33	37	37	37	33	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	BALTIMORE	103	99	101	117	62	55	55	69	8	-	-	2	2	2
55	HARFORD	28	28	28	32	28	28	28	32	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	MONTGOMERY	96	94	94	116	96	94	94	116	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	PRINCE GEORGES	87	87	87	90	87	87	87	90	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	WASHINGTON	13	12	12	9	13	12	12	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	MASSACHUSETTS:														
59	BARNSTABLE	81	79	79	78	51	49	49	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
60	BERKSHIRE	53	46	48	48	30	26	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	BRISTOL	130	125	126	125	55	50	51	54	-	-	-	-	-	-
62	ESSEX	233	212	218	212	108	89	94	93	8	8	8	6	6	6
63	HAMDEN	211	198	203	201	82	75	78	80	-	-	-	-	-	-
64	HAMPSHIRE	78	57	62	61	47	31	34	37	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	MIDDLESEX	455	447	449	473	208	200	200	217	6	6	6	5	5	5
66	NORFOLK	136	133	134	138	50	47	48	51	-	-	-	-	-	-
67	PLYMOUTH	177	168	170	157	71	64	65	64	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	WORCESTER	249	240	242	243	107	102	103	107	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

305

334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

in thousands)

Institutions--Continued			Probation and parole			Miscellaneous			Line number			
Other and combined institutions			Number of employees			Number of employees						
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	
41	39	39	30	12	12	12	11	-	-	-	-	1
59	59	59	50	65	65	65	59	-	-	-	-	2
181	178	178	151	189	186	188	169	-	-	-	-	3
74	74	74	44	4	4	4	2	10	10	10	5	4
10	10	10	8	8	8	8	7	-	-	-	-	5
7	3	4	4	10	10	10	8	-	-	-	-	6
930	930	930	968	313	312	312	278	-	-	-	-	7
42	39	41	38	56	55	55	51	-	-	-	-	8
34	34	34	25	41	41	41	30	6	6	6	-	9
49	49	49	35	45	44	44	36	-	-	-	-	10
6	6	6	5	9	9	9	7	-	-	-	-	11
11	11	11	8	20	20	20	16	-	-	-	-	12
15	12	14	10	8	8	8	7	-	-	-	-	13
10	6	8	5	11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-	14
33	31	32	26	28	25	25	22	-	-	-	-	15
23	23	23	14	35	34	34	29	-	-	-	-	16
18	18	18	12	27	27	27	21	-	-	-	-	17
26	25	25	21	22	22	22	17	-	-	-	-	18
20	20	20	14	23	22	23	17	-	-	-	-	19
5	5	5	3	15	15	15	11	-	-	-	-	20
22	22	22	21	9	9	9	6	-	-	-	-	21
26	24	25	24	38	34	36	25	6	6	6	5	22
54	52	53	50	40	40	40	35	-	-	-	-	23
9	9	9	6	9	9	9	6	-	-	-	-	24
16	15	15	11	17	14	15	12	-	-	-	-	25
18	18	18	22	61	61	61	53	-	-	-	-	26
5	3	3	2	21	16	18	12	17	13	13	9	27
11	11	11	8	13	13	13	7	-	-	-	-	28
50	48	50	41	30	26	27	22	-	-	-	-	29
6	5	5	3	5	3	5	4	3	1	1	1	30
32	27	29	24	20	14	19	13	-	-	-	-	31
7	6	6	4	9	9	9	6	-	-	-	-	32
16	16	16	12	38	34	35	29	-	-	-	-	33
21	21	21	16	55	49	50	40	-	-	-	-	34
35	27	29	27	138	129	134	118	4	3	3	-	35
18	17	17	14	12	12	12	10	-	-	-	-	36
3	1	2	1	11	10	10	11	-	-	-	-	37
20	20	20	18	24	19	21	18	-	-	-	-	38
18	18	18	10	26	12	17	13	-	-	-	-	39
26	22	24	18	28	28	28	18	11	-	-	-	40
31	27	28	19	13	12	12	7	-	-	-	-	41
125	125	125	96	29	29	29	22	24	24	24	17	42
7	6	6	6	8	8	8	6	-	-	-	-	43
84	84	84	52	10	10	10	8	-	-	-	-	44
10	10	10	8	-	-	-	7	3	3	3	3	45
53	48	49	33	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	46
14	13	14	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
19	19	19	17	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	48
6	6	6	5	6	6	6	5	-	-	-	-	49
31	28	29	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50
15	14	14	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
38	18	22	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
37	37	37	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
54	53	53	67	46	46	46	48	-	-	-	-	54
28	28	28	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
96	94	94	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
87	87	87	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57
13	12	12	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
51	49	49	50	30	30	30	28	-	-	-	-	59
30	26	28	28	23	20	21	20	-	-	-	-	60
55	50	51	54	75	75	75	71	-	-	-	-	61
100	81	86	87	125	123	124	119	-	-	-	-	62
82	75	78	80	129	123	124	121	-	-	-	-	63
47	31	34	37	21	17	19	17	10	9	9	10	64
202	194	196	212	247	247	247	256	-	-	-	-	65
50	47	48	51	86	86	86	87	-	-	-	-	66
71	64	65	64	106	104	104	93	-	-	-	-	67
107	102	103	107	142	138	139	136	-	-	-	-	68

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions			Institutions for juveniles			October payroll	
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	MICHIGAN:												
1	BAY	48	42	44	38	34	30	32	16	14	15	11	
2	BERTRIDEN	124	104	114	94	81	67	74	56	44	34	27	
3	CALHOUN	108	103	106	90	73	59	71	55	50	48	34	
4	GENESEE	216	180	197	217	158	137	146	159	91	71	81	
5	INGHAM	112	100	103	101	75	66	68	66	30	21	19	
6	JACKSON	76	67	70	61	51	42	45	38	37	29	27	
7	KALAMAZOO	144	138	140	134	109	106	107	98	49	46	39	
8	KENT	203	151	169	154	174	130	144	128	51	34	35	
9	MACOMB	204	191	194	200	135	126	129	126	84	76	65	
10	MONROE	48	45	46	39	33	31	32	26	19	19	13	
11	MUSKEGON	67	51	57	49	58	45	50	43	25	12	13	
12	OAKLAND	403	364	375	398	286	253	263	262	181	148	159	
13	OTTAWA	35	27	30	26	18	18	18	15	5	5	3	
14	SAGINAW	113	88	95	79	79	57	63	51	36	24	21	
15	ST. CLAIR	84	66	69	71	63	47	50	53	37	22	27	
16	WASHTENAW	146	126	135	135	89	75	80	75	43	29	34	
17	WAYNE	876	872	872	1 131	588	584	584	748	214	212	259	
18	MINNESOTA:												
18	ANOKA	26	25	25	28	8	8	8	10	-	-	-	
19	DAKOTA	22	22	22	23	10	10	10	11	-	-	-	
20	HENNEPIN	411	400	405	472	225	218	220	221	59	57	70	
21	RAMSEY	337	325	329	391	176	167	169	200	62	54	58	
22	ST. LOUIS	136	123	126	121	96	84	87	82	18	17	16	
23	MISSISSIPPI:												
23	HARRISON	28	26	27	16	28	26	27	16	15	15	8	
24	HINDS	16	12	12	9	16	12	12	9	-	-	-	
25	JACKSON	21	19	19	17	21	19	19	17	17	15	15	
26	MISSOURI:												
26	CLAY	65	49	52	36	49	33	37	23	33	17	21	
27	GREENE	28	17	18	13	28	17	18	15	15	2	2	
28	JACKSON	482	421	460	321	444	385	424	292	308	249	288	
29	JEFFERSON	15	9	14	9	9	9	9	5	5	5	3	
30	ST. CHARLES	22	13	19	13	8	8	8	5	2	2	1	
31	ST. LOUIS	295	253	272	217	257	216	234	183	97	93	69	
32	NEBRASKA:												
32	DOUGLAS	138	123	126	123	114	100	103	101	15	12	15	
33	LANCASTER	19	14	16	14	8	2	2	2	2	2	2	
34	NEVADA:												
34	CLARK	336	333	334	371	242	239	240	263	132	129	142	
35	WASHOE	89	83	85	94	58	55	56	62	30	28	30	
36	NEW HAMPSHIRE:												
36	HILLSBOROUGH	55	45	49	32	55	45	49	32	-	-	-	
37	ROCKINGHAM	14	13	14	11	14	13	14	11	-	-	-	
38	NEW JERSEY:												
38	ATLANTIC	184	181	181	142	128	125	125	101	89	86	85	
39	BERGEN	293	275	284	262	153	135	144	137	37	30	25	
40	BURLINGTON	168	155	159	125	101	88	92	71	30	17	14	
41	CAMDEN	348	341	343	331	196	193	194	206	54	51	55	
42	CUMBERLAND	126	126	126	97	94	94	94	72	40	40	28	
43	ESSEX	1 089	1 067	1 073	1 206	768	754	75	826	136	127	127	
44	GLOUCESTER	104	93	97	80	60	49	53	44	17	6	8	
45	HUDSON	329	326	327	311	200	197	198	188	74	74	53	
46	MERCER	247	246	246	207	174	173	173	147	31	31	24	
47	MIDDLESEX	345	323	328	297	177	155	160	149	61	49	42	
48	MONMOUTH	205	202	203	162	140	139	139	116	32	31	20	
49	MORRIS	154	140	145	120	70	57	61	57	21	9	12	
50	OCEAN	152	143	146	124	94	86	88	77	49	44	27	
51	PASSAIC	360	342	350	320	189	171	179	156	71	53	47	
52	SOMERSET	129	108	114	103	60	39	46	37	22	9	13	
53	UNION	290	288	289	292	137	137	137	140	59	59	51	
54	NEW MEXICO:												
54	BERNALILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
55	NEW YORK:												
55	ALBANY	158	148	152	108	121	113	116	84	-	-	-	
56	BROOME	96	68	74	61	49	21	27	23	5	1	1	
57	CHAUTAUQUA	37	50	51	41	20	16	16	14	4	-	(2)	
58	CHEMUNG	68	62	66	55	27	21	25	19	-	-	-	
59	DUTCHESS	132	101	109	86	93	62	71	54	-	-	-	
60	ERIE	695	571	606	605	514	390	425	421	90	51	55	
61	MONROE	373	360	356	394	236	213	219	237	56	49	44	
62	NASSAU	962	921	934	1 118	438	405	416	507	73	59	67	
63	NIAGARA	84	80	81	81	51	47	48	47	-	-	-	
64	ONEIDA	91	76	79	57	60	45	49	29	4	2	3	
65	ONDONOGA	512	412	455	399	382	284	326	287	40	26	27	
66	ORANGE	94	75	84	76	60	41	50	44	-	32	-	
67	OSWEGO	53	45	47	37	32	24	27	18	1	1	1	

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

307

334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

(in thousands)

Institutions--Continued			Probation and parole			Miscellaneous			Line number	
Other and combined institutions			Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees		Line number	
Number of employees		October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	October payroll	
Total	Full-time only						Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
18	16	17	15	14	12	12	-	-	1	
37	33	35	29	29	25	26	24	14	2	
23	21	22	21	35	34	35	35	-	3	
67	66	67	78	58	43	51	58	-	4	
45	45	45	47	37	34	35	35	-	5	
14	13	13	11	25	25	25	23	-	6	
60	60	60	59	35	32	33	36	-	7	
123	96	103	93	29	21	25	26	-	8	
51	50	50	61	63	59	60	67	6	9	
14	12	13	13	15	14	14	13	-	10	
33	33	33	30	9	6	7	6	-	11	
105	105	105	103	117	111	112	136	-	12	
13	13	13	12	17	9	12	11	-	13	
43	33	35	30	23	22	22	21	11	14	
26	25	25	26	13	11	11	11	8	15	
46	46	46	41	57	51	54	60	-	16	
374	372	372	409	288	288	288	383	-	17	
8	8	8	10	18	17	17	18	-	18	
10	10	10	11	12	12	12	12	-	19	
166	161	163	151	186	182	185	251	-	20	
114	113	113	142	134	131	133	162	27	21	
78	67	70	66	40	39	39	39	-	22	
13	11	12	8	-	-	-	-	-	23	
16	12	12	9	-	-	-	-	-	24	
4	4	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	25	
16	16	16	11	16	16	16	13	-	26	
15	15	15	11	-	-	-	-	-	27	
136	136	136	93	38	36	37	29	-	28	
4	4	4	2	6	-	-	4	-	29	
6	6	6	4	14	5	11	8	-	30	
160	123	139	114	28	28	28	24	10	31	
99	88	90	86	18	17	17	16	6	32	
-	-	-	-	17	12	14	12	-	33	
110	110	110	121	94	94	94	108	-	34	
28	27	27	32	31	28	29	32	-	35	
55	45	49	32	-	-	-	-	-	36	
14	13	14	11	-	-	-	-	-	37	
79	79	79	68	56	56	56	41	-	38	
116	105	110	112	140	140	140	125	-	39	
71	71	71	57	67	67	67	54	-	40	
142	142	142	151	152	148	150	125	-	41	
54	54	54	44	32	32	32	25	-	42	
632	627	630	699	321	313	314	380	-	43	
45	43	43	36	44	44	44	36	-	44	
126	123	124	135	129	129	129	123	-	45	
143	142	142	123	73	73	73	60	-	46	
116	106	108	107	168	168	168	148	-	47	
108	108	108	96	65	63	64	46	-	48	
49	48	48	45	84	83	83	63	-	49	
45	42	42	50	58	57	57	47	-	50	
118	118	118	109	171	171	171	164	-	51	
38	30	33	28	69	69	69	66	-	52	
78	78	78	89	153	151	152	152	-	53	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	
121	113	116	84	37	35	35	24	-	55	
44	20	25	22	47	47	47	38	-	56	
16	16	16	14	37	34	34	27	-	57	
27	21	25	19	41	41	41	36	-	58	
93	62	71	54	39	39	39	32	-	59	
424	339	366	366	168	168	168	172	13	60	
180	164	168	193	137	137	137	157	13	61	
365	346	352	440	524	516	518	608	-	62	
51	47	48	47	33	33	33	34	-	63	
56	43	46	28	31	31	31	28	-	64	
342	258	294	260	130	128	129	112	-	65	
60	41	50	44	34	34	34	32	-	66	
31	23	26	17	21	21	21	19	-	67	

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities			October payroll ²	Institutions							
		Number of employees				Total institutions			Institutions for juveniles				
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
NEW YORK--CONTINUED													
1 RENSSELAER		80	68	71	48	52	40	43	25	13	11	12	8
2 ROCKLAND		81	81	81	80	37	37	37	34	-	-	-	-
3 ST. LAWRENCE		50	48	48	37	21	19	19	14	-	-	-	-
4 SARATOGA		38	33	35	24	26	21	23	14	-	-	-	-
5 SCHENECTADY		80	54	60	44	53	27	33	23	-	-	-	-
6 S. LUBEN		60	51	54	39	34	26	29	19	-	-	-	-
7 SUFFOLK		630	630	630	664	295	295	295	306	51	51	51	40
8 ULSTER		117	102	108	82	90	75	81	63	2	2	2	1
9 WESTCHESTER		503	501	501	576	313	311	311	356	27	25	25	27
NORTH CAROLINA:													
10 BUNCOMBE		35	31	33	22	35	31	33	22	7	4	6	3
11 CUMBERLAND		49	49	49	32	49	49	49	32	7	7	7	6
12 DURHAM		19	19	19	11	19	19	19	12	3	3	3	2
13 FORSYTH		60	55	56	50	60	55	56	50	15	8	10	7
14 GASTON		42	42	42	24	39	39	39	23	12	12	12	6
15 GUILFORD		88	78	82	58	88	78	82	58	21	16	18	12
16 MECKLENBURG		89	86	87	73	78	76	77	62	21	19	20	16
17 ONSLOW		15	15	15	9	15	15	15	9	-	-	-	-
18 WAKE		23	15	19	13	23	15	19	13	11	3	7	5
OHIO:													
19 ALLEN		42	34	37	26	26	22	24	16	13	9	11	7
20 ASHTABULA		26	25	25	18	14	13	13	1	1	1	1	1
21 BUTLER		67	54	58	44	33	30	31	23	15	14	14	9
22 CLARK		48	36	38	28	27	19	21	15	7	1	2	1
23 CLERMONT		17	17	17	12	9	9	9	7	-	-	-	-
24 COLUMBIANA		17	14	15	11	8	7	7	5	-	-	-	-
25 CUYAHOGA		506	459	472	363	347	300	314	230	282	237	250	186
26 FRANKLIN		218	195	203	183	112	112	112	88	47	47	47	36
27 GREENE		29	22	26	21	22	15	19	14	-	-	-	-
28 HAMILTON		341	333	333	297	226	218	218	179	168	160	160	125
29 LAKE		55	47	50	45	37	29	32	30	27	21	23	17
30 LICKING		19	19	19	14	6	6	6	3	-	-	-	-
31 LORAIN		71	63	66	55	27	21	24	19	22	17	20	15
32 LUCAS		252	211	227	196	183	143	159	133	88	65	72	62
33 MAHONING		78	56	64	49	53	34	43	31	24	8	16	11
34 MONTGOMERY		252	223	236	206	135	122	128	112	94	83	88	71
35 PORTAGE		60	29	38	28	50	19	28	20	39	13	20	14
36 RICHLAND		35	29	30	25	11	11	11	8	-	-	-	-
37 STARK		139	123	129	113	104	92	97	91	69	62	63	44
38 SUMMIT		148	133	135	119	89	74	76	70	53	38	40	34
39 TRUMBULL		42	41	41	33	35	34	34	27	17	17	17	13
OKLAHOMA:													
40 COMANCHE		16	16	16	10	9	9	9	6	1	1	1	1
41 OKLAHOMA		108	108	108	78	48	48	48	34	21	21	21	13
42 TULSA		108	108	108	80	75	75	75	51	39	39	39	24
OREGON:													
43 CLACKAMAS		76	54	57	63	39	19	21	25	-	-	-	-
44 JACKSON		62	49	52	46	33	24	26	22	18	10	12	9
45 LANE		74	66	68	61	74	66	68	61	27	19	21	19
46 MARION		88	69	73	68	54	39	43	37	24	14	17	15
47 MULTNOMAH		258	235	242	290	170	149	156	180	35	26	28	28
48 WASHINGTON		93	73	75	72	48	39	40	34	19	10	11	10
PENNSYLVANIA:													
49 ALLEGHENY		483	483	483	398	381	381	381	311	252	252	252	202
50 BEAVER		68	51	55	42	46	29	33	26	19	12	14	10
51 BERKS		108	106	106	80	87	85	85	63	19	17	17	9
52 BLAIR		41	38	40	22	29	26	29	14	8	8	8	4
53 BUCKS		117	116	116	96	87	86	86	67	22	21	21	15
54 BUTLER		29	25	26	20	14	10	11	7	-	-	-	-
55 CAMBRIA		45	33	41	16	31	19	28	16	9	2	8	4
56 CENTRE		23	18	20	14	15	11	13	8	-	-	-	-
57 CHESTER		162	141	151	132	130	110	119	103	31	20	27	19
58 CUMBERLAND		56	44	52	37	35	25	32	21	-	-	-	-
59 DAUPHIN		99	98	99	80	71	70	71	59	-	-	-	-
60 DELAWARE		345	322	336	286	285	262	276	235	93	93	93	79
61 ERIE		118	116	116	94	66	64	64	48	26	25	25	19
62 FAYETTE		43	40	40	24	26	23	23	14	-	-	-	-
63 FRANKLIN		54	48	49	32	41	35	36	21	12	10	11	6
64 LACKAWANNA		73	72	72	45	55	54	54	32	16	16	16	8
65 LANCASTER		145	134	137	94	119	108	111	71	14	7	10	5
66 LAWRENCE		26	21	22	16	18	13	14	11	-	-	-	-
67 LEBANON		49	37	42	32	38	26	31	23	-	-	-	-
68 LEHIGH		122	112	116	78	95	85	89	59	8	7	8	3
69 LUZERNE		75	73	73	54	53	51	51	37	-	-	-	-
70 LYCOMING		83	59	72	51	63	39	53	35	20	15	17	12
71 MERCER		37	32	36	26	27	24	25	17	10	7	8	6
72 MONTGOMERY		194	186	190	166	128	121	124	109	40	33	36	27
73 NORTHAMPTON		93	83	86	71	75	65	68	55	13	11	12	8

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

309

334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

(in thousands)

Institutions--Continued			Probation and parole						Miscellaneous			Line number					
Other and combined institutions			Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	October payroll						
Number of employees		October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Number of employees										
Total	Full-time only						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent								
39	29	32	17	28	28	23	-	-	-	-	-	1					
37	37	37	34	44	44	46	-	-	-	-	-	2					
21	19	19	14	29	29	23	-	-	-	-	-	3					
26	21	23	14	12	12	10	-	-	-	-	-	4					
53	27	33	23	27	27	21	-	-	-	-	-	5					
34	26	9	19	26	25	20	-	-	-	-	-	6					
244	244	44	266	335	335	358	-	-	-	-	-	7					
88	73	79	62	27	27	19	-	-	-	-	-	8					
286	286	286	329	190	190	220	-	-	-	-	-	9					
28	27	27	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10					
42	42	42	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11					
16	16	16	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12					
47	47	47	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13					
27	27	27	17	3	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	14					
67	62	64	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15					
57	57	57	46	11	10	11	-	-	-	-	-	16					
15	15	15	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17					
12	12	12	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18					
13	13	13	9	10	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	19					
13	12	12	12	7	7	4	-	-	-	-	-	20					
18	16	17	14	34	24	21	-	-	-	-	-	21					
20	18	18	14	21	17	13	-	-	-	-	-	22					
9	9	9	7	8	8	5	-	-	-	-	-	23					
8	7	7	5	9	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	24					
65	63	63	44	159	159	133	-	-	-	-	-	25					
65	65	65	52	106	83	95	-	-	-	-	-	26					
22	15	19	14	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	27					
58	58	58	54	115	115	118	-	-	-	-	-	28					
10	8	9	13	14	14	12	-	-	-	-	-	29					
6	6	6	3	13	13	11	-	-	-	-	-	30					
5	4	4	4	44	42	36	-	-	-	-	-	31					
95	78	87	71	69	68	63	-	-	-	-	-	32					
29	26	27	20	22	22	18	-	-	-	-	-	33					
41	39	40	41	117	101	94	-	-	-	-	-	34					
11	6	8	6	10	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	35					
11	11	11	8	22	16	15	-	-	-	-	-	36					
35	30	32	47	35	31	22	-	-	-	-	-	37					
36	36	36	36	47	47	39	-	-	-	-	-	38					
18	17	17	14	7	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	39					
8	8	8	5	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	40					
27	27	27	21	60	60	44	-	-	-	-	-	41					
36	36	36	27	33	33	29	-	-	-	-	-	42					
39	19	21	25	37	35	38	-	-	-	-	-	43					
15	14	14	13	29	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	44					
47	47	47	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45					
30	25	26	22	34	30	31	-	-	-	-	-	46					
135	123	128	152	74	72	93	14	14	14	14	14	47					
29	29	29	24	45	34	38	-	-	-	-	-	48					
129	129	129	109	102	102	37	-	-	-	-	-	49					
27	17	19	16	22	22	16	-	-	-	-	-	50					
68	68	68	54	21	21	17	-	-	-	-	-	51					
21	18	20	10	12	12	8	-	-	-	-	-	52					
65	65	65	52	30	30	29	-	-	-	-	-	53					
14	10	11	7	15	15	13	-	-	-	-	-	54					
22	17	21	12	14	14	10	-	-	-	-	-	55					
15	11	13	8	8	7	6	-	-	-	-	-	56					
99	90	93	84	32	31	29	-	-	-	-	-	57					
35	25	32	21	19	20	16	-	-	-	-	-	58					
71	70	71	59	28	28	21	-	-	-	-	-	59					
192	169	183	156	60	60	51	-	-	-	-	-	60					
39	39	39	29	53	52	46	-	-	-	-	-	61					
26	23	23	14	17	17	10	-	-	-	-	-	62					
29	25	26	15	13	13	11	-	-	-	-	-	63					
39	38	38	24	18	18	13	-	-	-	-	-	64					
105	101	102	66	26	26	23	-	-	-	-	-	65					
18	13	14	11	8	8	5	-	-	-	-	-	66					
38	26	21	11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-	-	67					
87	78	82	56	27	27	19	-	-	-	-	-	68					
53	51	51	37	22	22	17	-	-	-	-	-	69					
43	24	36	23	20	20	16	-	-	-	-	-	70					
17	17	17	11	10	8	9	-	-	-	-	-	71					
88	88	88	82	66	66	57	-	-	-	-	-	72					
62	54	56	47	18	18	16	-	-	-	-	-	73					

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 47. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County ¹	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll ²	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
PENNSYLVANIA--													
CONTINUED													
1 SCHUYLKILL	52	52	52	34	39	39	39	39	24	4	4	4	2
2 WASHINGTON	55	47	50	35	36	28	31	21	8	3	6	5	2
3 WESTMORELAND	70	65	66	51	15	11	12	10	11	7	8	8	5
4 YORK	85	74	78	58	65	54	58	41	20	10	15	15	8
SOUTH CAROLINA:													
5 ANDERSON	60	54	55	36	58	53	54	35	19	16	17	10	
6 CHARLESTON	72	62	67	42	72	62	67	42	18	11	15	8	
7 GREENVILLE	38	37	37	25	38	37	37	25	-	-	-	-	
8 LEXINGTON	31	29	30	15	31	29	30	15	-	-	-	-	
9 RICHLAND	43	40	42	27	43	40	42	27	-	-	-	-	
10 SPARTANBURG	26	25	25	18	18	18	18	12	-	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE:													
11 HAMILTON	124	121	123	80	95	92	94	57	26	24	26	13	
12 KNOX	61	51	55	29	60	50	54	28	-	-	-	-	
13 SHELBY	449	449	449	370	404	404	404	328	31	31	31	18	
14 SULLIVAN	10	10	10	6	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS:													
15 BELL	39	37	38	22	9	9	9	5	-	-	-	-	
16 BEXAR	363	332	348	223	260	233	248	147	31	31	31	16	
17 BRAZORIA	21	21	21	13	6	6	6	4	-	-	-	-	
18 CAMERON	65	61	64	31	30	30	30	14	-	-	-	-	
19 DALLAS	432	432	432	403	253	253	253	218	83	83	83	62	
20 EL PASO	109	103	109	70	67	67	67	41	23	23	23	15	
21 GALVESTON	42	42	42	28	28	28	28	18	-	-	-	-	
22 HARRIS	691	677	684	585	383	370	377	301	127	114	123	79	
23 HIDALGO	62	62	62	35	37	37	37	19	12	12	12	5	
24 JEFFERSON	75	75	75	58	58	58	58	45	26	26	26	19	
25 LUBBOCK	35	35	35	23	10	10	10	6	-	-	-	-	
26 MCLENNAN	78	71	73	47	28	27	28	16	5	5	5	2	
27 NUECES	73	70	70	52	39	36	36	23	16	13	13	7	
28 SMITH	17	16	16	11	7	7	7	4	-	-	-	-	
29 TARRANT	195	173	180	152	120	98	106	77	39	28	29	22	
30 TAYLOR	22	22	22	13	12	12	12	7	-	-	-	-	
31 TRAVIS	213	195	204	174	74	69	71	59	21	18	19	15	
32 WICHITA	30	30	30	24	7	7	7	4	-	-	-	-	
UTAH:													
33 DAVIS	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	5	-	-	-	-	
34 SALT LAKE	136	108	115	100	136	108	115	100	63	40	46	37	
35 UTAH	19	13	15	11	19	13	15	11	13	8	10	7	
36 WEBER	34	34	34	25	34	34	34	25	15	15	15	11	
VERMONT:													
37 CHITTENDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA:													
38 ARLINGTON	95	74	81	80	47	40	43	40	-	-	-	-	
39 FAIRFAX	86	83	83	76	29	28	28	19	19	19	19	16	
40 HENRICO	55	55	55	44	25	25	25	18	-	-	-	-	
41 PRINCE WILLIAM	31	31	31	26	16	16	16	15	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON:													
42 CLARK	78	62	67	56	40	37	38	28	12	10	10	8	
43 KING	559	470	489	513	384	301	318	354	182	123	135	125	
44 KITSAP	48	38	40	32	35	25	27	20	22	12	14	11	
45 PIERCE	145	129	132	124	124	109	112	105	112	97	100	89	
46 SNOHOMISH	128	123	124	98	68	65	65	50	18	15	15	10	
47 SPOKANE	117	109	111	103	99	93	94	86	49	45	46	41	
48 YAKIMA	84	81	82	64	49	46	47	35	17	14	15	10	
WEST VIRGINIA:													
49 CABELL	25	25	25	16	25	25	25	16	13	13	13	7	
50 KANAWHA	72	63	66	41	71	62	65	41	21	15	16	9	
WISCONSIN:													
51 BROWN	36	22	28	20	36	22	22	20	23	9	12	8	
52 DANE	109	94	97	97	89	74	77	73	40	30	33	28	
53 KENOSHA	19	17	18	21	19	17	18	21	2	-	-	1	
54 MARATHON	46	15	20	17	6	6	6	5	-	-	-	-	
55 MILWAUKEE	406	406	406	436	317	317	317	333	76	76	76	79	
56 OUTAGAMIE	12	9	10	9	12	9	10	9	-	-	-	-	
57 RACINE	35	23	26	22	21	9	14	8	13	6	8	5	
58 ROCK	64	60	61	59	52	48	49	49	15	13	13	12	
59 WAUKESHA	46	46	46	48	46	46	46	48	-	-	-	-	
60 WINNEBAGO	12	10	11	7	12	10	11	7	-	-	-	-	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.³Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitation.⁴Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

CORRECTIONS

311

334 large county governments, October 1975—Continued

in thousands)

Institutions--Continued			Probation and parole			Miscellaneous			Line number	
Other and combined institutions			Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
35	35	35	22	13	13	13	10	-	-	1
28	25	26	19	19	19	19	-	-	-	2
4	4	4	5	55	54	55	-	-	-	3
45	44	44	33	20	20	20	17	-	-	4
39	37	38	25	2	1	1	1	-	-	5
54	51	53	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
38	37	37	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
31	29	30	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
43	40	42	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
18	18	18	12	8	7	7	6	-	-	10
69	68	68	44	27	27	27	21	2	2	11
60	50	54	28	1	1	1	-	-	-	12
373	373	373	310	45	45	45	42	-	-	13
8	8	8	5	2	2	2	1	-	-	14
9	9	9	5	30	28	29	17	-	-	15
229	202	216	131	103	99	101	76	-	-	16
6	6	4	4	15	15	15	9	-	-	17
30	30	30	14	35	31	34	17	-	-	18
170	170	170	156	179	179	179	185	-	-	19
44	44	44	26	42	42	42	29	-	-	20
28	28	28	18	14	14	14	10	-	-	21
256	256	256	222	302	301	301	278	6	6	22
25	25	25	14	25	25	25	16	-	-	23
32	32	32	26	17	17	17	13	-	-	24
10	10	6	6	25	25	25	17	-	-	25
23	22	23	14	50	44	45	31	-	-	26
23	23	23	16	34	34	34	29	-	-	27
7	7	4	10	9	9	9	7	-	-	28
81	70	77	55	75	75	75	75	-	-	29
12	12	12	7	10	10	10	6	-	-	30
53	51	52	44	139	126	132	115	-	-	31
7	7	7	4	23	23	23	20	-	-	32
6	6	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
73	68	70	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
6	5	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
19	19	19	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	36
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
47	40	43	40	48	34	38	40	-	-	38
10	9	9	3	57	55	55	57	-	-	39
25	25	25	18	30	30	30	26	-	-	40
16	16	16	15	15	15	15	11	-	-	41
28	27	27	20	38	25	29	28	-	-	42
202	178	184	209	175	169	170	179	-	-	43
13	13	13	9	13	13	13	12	-	-	44
12	12	12	16	21	20	20	19	-	-	45
50	50	50	40	60	58	59	48	-	-	46
50	48	49	45	18	16	16	17	-	-	47
32	32	32	25	35	35	35	29	-	-	48
12	12	12	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	49
50	47	48	32	1	1	1	(2)	-	-	50
13	13	13	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
49	44	45	45	20	20	20	24	-	-	52
17	17	17	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
6	6	6	5	40	9	15	12	-	-	54
241	241	254	254	24	24	24	32	65	65	55
12	9	10	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
8	3	6	3	14	14	14	14	-	-	57
37	35	35	37	12	12	12	10	-	-	58
46	46	46	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
12	10	11	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	60

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions				October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
TOTAL	18 170	17 493	17 734	20 216	13 769	13 266	13 408	15 614		
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	59	59	59	62	51	51	51	54		
GADSDEN	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	5		
HUNTSVILLE	14	14	14	9	14	14	14	9		
MOBILE	11	11	11	8	11	11	11	8		
MONTGOMERY	25	25	25	17	25	25	25	17		
TUSCALOOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-		
MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PHOENIX	3	3	3	2	-	-	-	-		
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
TUSCON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	3		
LITTLE ROCK	21	21	21	16	17	17	17	13		
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	7	7	7	6	5	5	5	5		
PINE BLUFF	7	5	6	4	7	5	6	4		
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ANAHEIM	13	13	13	12	13	13	13	12		
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BURBANK	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
COSTA MESA	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3		
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DOWNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
INGLEWOOD	7	5	6	9	7	5	6	9		
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LONG BEACH	52	52	52	69	52	52	52	69		
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
NEWPORT BEACH	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
OAKLAND	88	88	88	89	88	88	88	89		
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PASADENA	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
POMONA	19	13	15	16	15	10	12	12		
REDONDO BEACH	7	7	7	5	7	7	7	5		
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
TOTAL	3 931	3 802	3 851	4 102	354	316	325	424		
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM.	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-		
GADSDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
HUNTSVILLE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MOBILE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MONTGOMERY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
TUSCALOOSA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE.	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	3		
MESA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PHOENIX	3	3	3	2	-	-	-	-		
SCOTTSDALE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
TUSCON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LITTLE ROCK	4	4	4	3	-	-	-	-		
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-		
PINE BLUFF.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ALHAMBRA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ANAHEIM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BELLFLOWER.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BERKELEY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BUENA PARK.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BURFANK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CAFSON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
COSTA MESA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DOWNEY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
EL CAJON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
EL MONTE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FRESNO.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
GLENDALE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LAKWOOD.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LONG BEACH.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
NEWPORT BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ORANGE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
OXNARD.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PASADENA.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
POMONA.	-	4	3	4	-	-	-	-		
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
REDWOOD CITY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
RICHMOND.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions				October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ³		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN DIEGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN FRANCISCO	654	603	625	714	292	282	290	334		
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SIMI VALLEY	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4		
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TORRANCE	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COLORADO:										
ARVADA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DENVER	235	235	235	253	210	210	210	232		
FORT COLLINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAKWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PUEBLO	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	6		
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STAMFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	2 759	2 725	2 741	3 766	2 196	2 167	2 178	2 955		
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	7	7	7	5	7	7	7	5		
FORT LAUDERDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HIALEAH	13	13	13	10	13	13	13	10		
HOLLYWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JACKSONVILLE	331	326	328	259	298	297	298	235		
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MIAMI BEACH	10	3	4	5	10	3	4	5		
ORLANDO	60	60	60	44	60	60	60	44		
PENSACOLA	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	8		
ST. PETERSBURG	24	24	24	18	24	24	24	18		
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WEST PALM BEACH	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	9		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole			Miscellaneous			October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only		
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-		-	-		
SALINAS	-	-	-		-	-		
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-		-	-		
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-		-	-		
SAN DIEGO	-	-	-		-	-		
SAN FRANCISCO	362	321	336	380	-	-		
SAN JOSE	-	-	-		-	-		
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-		-	-		
SAN MATEO	-	-	-		-	-		
SANTA ANA	-	-	-		-	-		
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-		-	-		
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-		-	-		
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-		-	-		
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-		-	-		
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-		-	-		
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-		-	-		
STOCKTON	-	-	-		-	-		
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-		-	-		
TORRANCE	-	-	-		-	-		
VALLEJO	-	-	-		-	-		
WEST COVINA	-	-	-		-	-		
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-		-	-		
WHITTIER	-	-	-		-	-		
COLORADO:								
ARVADA	-	-	-		-	-		
AURORA	-	-	-		-	-		
BOULDER	-	-	-		-	-		
COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-		-	-		
DENVER	-	-	-		25	25	25	
FORT COLLINS	-	-	-		-	-		
LAKWOOD	-	-	-		2	2	2	
PUEBLO	-	-	-		-	-	2	
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-		-	-		
BRISTOL	-	-	-		-	-		
DANBURY	-	-	-		-	-		
HARTFORD	-	-	-		-	-		
MERIDEN	-	-	-		-	-		
MILFORD	-	-	-		-	-		
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-		-	-		
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-		-	-		
NORWALK	-	-	-		-	-		
STAMFORD	-	-	-		-	-		
WATERBURY	-	-	-		-	-		
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-		-	-		
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	-	-	-		-	-		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	302	301	302	441	261	257	261	
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	-	-	-		-	-		
FORT LAUDERDALE	-	-	-		-	-		
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-		-	-		
HIALEAH	-	-	-		-	-		
HOLLYWOOD	-	-	-		-	-		
JACKSONVILLE	33	29	31	24	-	-		
MIAMI	-	-	-		-	-		
MIAMI BEACH	-	-	-		-	-		
ORLANDO	-	-	-		-	-		
PENSACOLA	-	-	-		-	-		
ST. PETERSBURG	-	-	-		-	-		
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-		-	-		
TAMPA	-	-	-		-	-		
WEST PALM BEACH	-	-	-		-	-		

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections			October payroll ²	Institutions			October payroll		
	Number of employees		Full-time equivalent ³		Number of employees		Full-time equivalent			
	Total	Full-time only			Total	Full-time only				
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ATLANTA	153	148	150	122	153	148	150	122		
AUGUSTA	10	9	9	5	10	9	9	5		
COLUMBUS	60	59	60	40	47	47	47	30		
MACON	17	17	17	12	17	17	17	12		
SAVANNAH	11	11	11	10	11	11	11	10		
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CHICAGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ELGIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SPRINGFIELD	9	9	9	5	9	9	9	5		
WAUKESHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	1	-	1	(Z)	-	-	-	-		
EVANSVILLE	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-		
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
GARY	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-		
HAMMOND	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-		
INDIANAPOLIS	447	374	389	287	342	276	287	214		
MUNCIE	1	-	1	(Z)	-	-	-	-		
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
COUNCIL BLUFFS	7	7	7	5	7	7	7	5		
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DES MOINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DUBUQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SIOUX CITY	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3		
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	23	23	23	18	23	23	23	18		
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
TOPEKA	6	4	5	4	6	4	5	4		
WICHITA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LEXINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LOUISVILLE	114	109	111	83	105	100	101	76		
OWENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole			October payroll	Miscellaneous			October payroll		
	Number of employees				Total	Number of employees				
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY.	-	-	-							
ATLANTA	-	-	-							
AUGUSTA	-	-	-							
COLUMBUS	13	12	13	10						
MACON	-	-	-							
SAVANNAH	-	-	-							
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	-	-	-							
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	-	-	-							
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-							
AURORA	-	-	-							
BERWYN	-	-	-							
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-							
CHICAGO	-	-	-							
CICERO	-	-	-							
DECATUR	-	-	-							
DES PLAINES	-	-	-							
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-							
ELGIN	-	-	-							
EVANSTON	-	-	-							
JOLIET	-	-	-							
OAK LAWN	-	-	-							
OAK PARK	-	-	-							
PEORIA	-	-	-							
ROCKFORD	-	-	-							
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-							
SKOKIE	-	-	-							
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-							
WAUKESHA	-	-	-							
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	1	-	-							
EVANSVILLE	1	1	1		(Z)	1				
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-							
GARY	7	7	7			6				
HAMMOND	3	3	3			3				
INDIANAPOLIS	105	98	102			73				
MUNCIE	1	-	-		(Z)					
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-							
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-							
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-							
COUNCIL BLUFFS	-	-	-							
DAVENPORT	-	-	-							
DES MOINES	-	-	-							
DUBUQUE	-	-	-							
SIOUX CITY	-	-	-							
WATERLOO	-	-	-							
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	-	-	-							
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-							
TOPEKA	-	-	-							
WICHITA	-	-	-							
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	-	-	-							
LEXINGTON	-	-	-							
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-							
OWENSBORO	-	-	-							

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions				October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	84	84	84	55	72	72	72	46		
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MONROE	11	2	11	6	-	-	-	-		
NEW ORLEANS	435	431	433	373	401	398	400	344		
SHREVEPORT	22	21	22	12	22	21	22	12		
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	492	492	492	448	492	492	492	448		
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	519	519	519	548	330	330	330	331		
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FALL RIVER	11	11	11	8	-	-	-	-		
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
WORCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	12	5	7	7	-	-	-	-		
DEARBORN	6	6	6	8	5	5	5	7		
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-		
DETROIT	227	225	225	275	227	225	225	275		
FARMINGTON HILLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
FLINT	27	27	27	30	20	20	20	24		
GRAND RAPIDS	19	8	10	10	-	-	-	-		
KALAMAZOO	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-		
LANSING	90	50	57	56	15	15	15	18		
LINCOLN PARK	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
LIVONIA	4	2	3	4	-	-	-	-		
PONTIAC	8	8	8	9	-	-	-	-		
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ROYAL OAK	17	2	4	5	-	-	-	-		
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SOUTHFIELD	7	6	6	6	-	-	-	-		
STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
TAYLOR	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	-		
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
WESTLAND	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-		
WYOMING	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-		
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
DULUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MINNEAPOLIS	74	74	74	79	74	74	74	79		
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ST. PAUL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
JACKSON	33	33	33	22	33	33	33	22		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	12	12	12	9	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE.	11	2	11	6	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	34	33	33	29	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND..	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON.	189	189	189	216	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER.	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	8
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCHESTER.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	12	5	7	7	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN.	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS.	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
DETROIT.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS.	19	8	10	10	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
LANSING	27	21	24	21	48	14	19	17
LINCOLN PARK.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	4	2	3	4	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	8	8	8	9	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	17	2	4	5	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD.	7	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR.	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	-
WARREN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND.	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of tables.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

**Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections				Institutions				October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees					
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS CITY	117	117	117	101	66	66	66	58		
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	597	597	597	477	405	405	405	301		
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREAT FALLS	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	6		
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	41	41	41	39	25	25	25	24		
OMAHA	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RENO	15	15	15	19	15	15	15	19		
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	8	8	8	7	-	-	-	-	-	
NASHUA	6	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	100	100	100	83	83	83	83	69		
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK CITY	5 388	5 388	5 388	7 422	4 077	4 077	4 077	5 986		
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YONKERS	19	19	19	22	19	19	19	22		
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

CORRECTIONS

321

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole			Miscellaneous			October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only		
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS CITY	51	51	51	43	-	-	-	
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	192	192	192	176	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREAT FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	16	16	16	15	-	-	-	
OMAHA	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RENO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	8	8	8	7	-	-	-	
NASHUA	6	5	5	5	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VINELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	17	17	17	14	-	-	-	
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK CITY	1 311	1 311	1 311	1 437	-	-	-	
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YONKERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections			October payroll ²	Institutions			October payroll		
	Number of employees				Number of employees	Institutions				
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²			Total	Full-time only			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		
OHIO:										
AKRON	26	24	25	27	20	18	19	20		
CANTON.	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-		
CINCINNATI	160	158	159	186	118	117	117	137		
CLEVELAND	115	110	112	115	83	78	80	77		
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-		
COLUMBUS.	75	75	75	82	65	65	65	71		
DAYTON.	85	34	85	74	79	79	79	68		
ELYRIA.	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4		
EUCLID.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
HAMILTON.	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-		
KETTERING	5	5	5	6	3	3	3	4		
LAKESIDE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LIMA.	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	4		
LORAIN.	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		
MANSFIELD	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-		
PARMA	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-		
SPRINGFIELD	4	3	3	3	-	-	-	-		
TOLEDO.	67	67	67	75	41	41	41	46		
WARREN.	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6		
YOUNGSTOWN.	25	25	25	22	21	21	21	18		
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON.	6	6	6	4	6	6	6	4		
MIDWEST	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3		
NORMAN.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
OKLAHOMA CITY	11	11	11	10	11	11	11	10		
TULSA	41	41	41	37	41	41	41	37		
OREGON:										
EUGENE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PORTLAND.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ERIE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
HARRISBURG.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PHILADELPHIA.	1 977	1 977	1 977	2 045	1 180	1 180	1 180	1 260		
PITTSBURGH.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SCRANTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
WILKES-BARRE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
YORK.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PROVIDENCE.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
COLUMBIA.	58	35	41	30	58	35	41	30		
GREENVILLE.	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	2		
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
KNOXVILLE	34	34	34	18	34	34	34	18		
MEMPHIS	91	91	91	93	91	91	91	93		
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON.	215	215	215	156	215	215	215	156		

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole			Miscellaneous			October payroll	
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only		
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OHIO:								
AKRON	6	6	6	7	-	-	-	
CANTON	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	
CINCINNATI	42	41	42	49	-	-	-	
CLEVELAND	32	32	32	38	-	-	-	
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	
COLUMBUS	10	10	10	11	-	-	-	
DAYTON	6	5	6	6	-	-	-	
ELYRIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HAMILTON	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	
KETTERING	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	
LAKewood	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LIMA	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	
LORAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MANSFIELD	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	
PARMA	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	
TOLEDO	26	26	26	29	-	-	-	
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YOUNGSTOWN	4	4	4	4	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MIDWEST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TULSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OREGON:								
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PHILADELPHIA	797	797	797	785	-	-	-	
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KNOXVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MEMPHIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Total corrections			Institutions			October payroll
	Number of employees			October payroll ²	Number of employees		
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent
TEXAS:							
ABILENE	4	4	4	2	4	4	4
AMARILLO	10	10	10	8	10	10	8
ARLINGTON	5	5	5	4	5	5	4
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	7	7	7	6	7	7	6
BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	19	19	19	13	19	19	13
DALLAS	89	89	89	71	89	89	71
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	34	34	34	35	34	34	35
GALVESTON	7	5	5	6	7	5	6
GARLAND	7	4	6	4	7	4	6
GRAND PRAIRIE	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
HOUSTON	176	176	176	202	176	176	202
IRVING	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
LAREDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	4	4	4	2	4	4	2
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	4	4	4	3	4	4	3
PASADENA	7	7	7	8	7	7	8
PORT ARTHUR	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	5	5	5	2	5	5	2
SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	6	6	6	4	6	6	4
WICHITA FALLS	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
UTAH:							
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:							
ALEXANDRIA	46	45	45	41	35	34	35
CHESAPEAKE	84	84	84	68	84	84	68
HAMPTON	52	22	31	27	30	-	7
LYNCHBURG	61	22	36	26	49	15	20
NEWPORT NEWS	149	143	147	107	113	107	111
NORFOLK	132	71	89	64	132	71	89
PORTSMOUTH	49	-	29	15	49	-	15
RICHMOND	180	36	88	73	180	36	88
ROANOKE	89	81	83	71	49	44	46
VIRGINIA BEACH	58	58	58	47	26	26	26
WASHINGTON:							
BELLEVUE	11	9	10	10	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	24	20	22	24	-	-	-
SPOKANE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
TACOMA	16	16	16	16	15	15	15
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:							
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 394 large city governments,
October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City ¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	11	11	11	10	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	22	22	22	20	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	12	7	9	6	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	34	34	34	31	2	2	2	-
NORFOLK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	40	37	37	35	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	32	32	32	29	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	11	9	10	10	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	24	20	22	24	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown. ¹Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations. *Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

327

Table 49. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975
 (Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	257 478	257 478	217 462	40 015	470 977	615	470 362
STATES	598 075	128 105	127 162	943	469 970	(X)	469 970
LOCAL, TOTAL	129 988	129 372	90 300	39 072	1 007	615	392
COUNTIES	65 330	64 513	28 764	35 749	817	581	236
MUNICIPALITIES	65 050	64 860	61 536	3 323	190	34	156
ALABAMA	1 233	1 233	1 232	1	8 114	7	8 107
STATE	9 248	1 141	1 141	-	8 107	(X)	8 107
LOCAL, TOTAL	99	92	91	1	7	7	-
COUNTIES	14	7	6	1	7	7	-
MUNICIPALITIES	85	85	85	-	-	-	-
ALASKA	570	570	566	4	452	-	452
STATE	1 022	570	566	4	452	(X)	452
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOROUGHS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	5 329	5 329	1 408	3 920	4 568	-	4 568
STATE	5 667	1 099	1 080	19	4 568	(X)	4 568
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 229	4 229	328	3 901	-	-	-
COUNTIES	4 014	4 014	113	3 901	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	215	215	215	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	1 924	1 924	1 882	42	4 588	-	4 588
STATE	6 503	1 915	1 873	42	4 588	(X)	4 588
LOCAL, TOTAL	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	21 260	21 260	21 098	162	41 894	20	41 874
STATE	54 900	13 027	12 939	88	41 873	(X)	41 873
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 252	8 232	8 158	74	21	20	1
COUNTIES	6 346	6 325	6 268	57	21	20	1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 907	1 907	1 890	17	-	-	-
COLORADO	3 912	3 912	2 195	1 717	11 265	-	11 265
STATE	12 740	1 477	1 463	14	11 263	(X)	11 263
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 435	2 435	732	1 703	2	-	2
COUNTIES	1 140	1 140	127	1 014	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 297	1 294	605	690	2	-	2
CONNECTICUT	1 605	1 605	1 599	6	3 784	-	3 784
STATE	4 961	1 177	1 174	3	3 784	(X)	3 784
LOCAL, TOTAL	428	428	425	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	428	428	425	3	-	-	-
DELAWARE	803	803	800	3	1 086	-	1 086
STATE	1 851	765	762	3	1 086	(X)	1 086
LOCAL, TOTAL	38	38	38	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	38	38	38	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	2 425	2 425	2 425	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 425	2 425	2 425	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 425	2 425	2 425	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	9 729	9 729	7 157	2 571	10 900	-	10 900
STATE	12 956	2 056	2 049	7	10 900	(X)	10 900
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 673	7 673	5 109	2 564	-	-	-
COUNTIES	6 405	6 405	3 852	2 552	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 268	1 268	2 257	12	-	-	-
GEORGIA	2 379	2 379	2 347	32	14 783	-	14 783
STATE	16 876	2 093	2 085	8	14 783	(X)	14 783
LOCAL, TOTAL	287	287	262	24	-	-	-
COUNTIES	38	38	38	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	249	249	224	24	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 49. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	538	538	538	-	1 534	-	1 534
STATE	2 040	506	506	-	1 534	(X)	1 534
LOCAL, TOTAL	32	32	32	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	32	32	32	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	1 039	1 039	629	410	1 219	-	1 219
STATE	1 823	608	589	19	1 215	(X)	1 215
LOCAL, TOTAL	432	432	40	391	4	-	4
COUNTIES	426	423	31	391	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	7 023	7 023	4 645	2 378	22 201	5	22 196
STATE	25 344	3 276	3 254	22	22 068	(X)	22 068
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 752	3 747	1 391	2 356	133	5	128
COUNTIES	3 215	3 102	748	2 354	113	5	107
MUNICIPALITIES	666	645	642	2	21	-	21
INDIANA	4 633	4 633	4 604	29	12 408	-	12 408
STATE	14 327	1 919	1 894	25	12 408	(X)	12 408
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 715	2 715	2 711	4	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 306	1 306	1 302	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 409	1 409	1 409	-	-	-	-
IOWA	1 512	1 512	1 173	340	5 026	13	5 013
STATE	5 502	495	490	5	5 007	(X)	5 007
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 030	1 017	683	335	19	13	6
COUNTIES	483	470	137	333	13	13	-
MUNICIPALITIES	553	547	545	2	6	-	6
KANSAS	2 672	2 672	2 371	300	6 802	-	6 802
STATE	7 669	867	865	2	6 802	(X)	6 802
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 805	1 805	1 506	298	-	-	-
COUNTIES	819	819	525	294	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	986	986	982	4	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	7 468	7 468	630	6 838	7 810	-	7 810
STATE	8 394	585	585	-	7 809	(X)	7 809
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 883	6 883	46	6 838	1	-	1
COUNTIES	6 856	6 856	19	6 838	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	28	27	27	-	1	-	1
LOUISIANA	1 414	1 414	1 327	87	14 393	1	14 392
STATE	15 210	818	802	16	14 392	(X)	14 392
LOCAL, TOTAL	597	596	525	71	1	1	-
PARISHES	154	154	154	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	443	442	371	71	1	1	-
MAINE	933	933	871	62	1 903	-	1 903
STATE	2 740	869	869	-	1 871	(X)	1 871
LOCAL, TOTAL	64	64	2	62	32	-	32
COUNTIES	66	63	1	62	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	30	1	1	-	29	-	29
MARYLAND	6 333	6 333	6 313	20	11 258	-	11 258
STATE	14 704	3 446	3 426	20	11 258	(X)	11 258
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 887	2 887	2 887	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 716	1 716	1 716	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 171	1 171	1 171	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	9 446	9 446	9 435	11	11 943	3	11 940
STATE	18 284	6 344	6 333	11	11 940	(X)	11 940
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 105	3 102	3 102	-	3	3	-
COUNTIES	78	75	75	-	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 027	3 027	3 027	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	8 932	8 932	8 283	649	23 214	-	23 214
STATE	29 832	6 629	6 629	-	23 203	(X)	23 203
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 303	2 303	1 654	649	11	-	11
COUNTIES	1 193	1 182	632	550	11	-	11
MUNICIPALITIES	1 121	1 121	1 021	99	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

329

Table 49. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	3 618	3 618	3 493	125	5 408	1	5 407
STATE	7 095	1 688	1 688	-	5 407	(X)	5 407
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 931	1 930	1 805	125	1	1	-
COUNTIES	390	389	272	117	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 541	1 541	1 533	8	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	1 617	1 617	1 339	278	2 852	-	2 852
STATE	4 186	1 334	1 303	31	2 852	(X)	2 852
LOCAL, TOTAL	284	284	37	247	-	-	-
COUNTIES	256	256	9	247	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	28	28	28	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	3 605	3 605	3 589	16	11 000	-	11 000
STATE	12 020	1 020	1 004	16	11 000	(X)	11 000
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 585	2 585	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 677	1 677	1 677	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	908	908	908	-	-	-	-
MONTANA	1 000	1 000	889	110	1 305	-	1 305
STATE	2 167	862	842	20	1 305	(X)	1 305
LOCAL, TOTAL	138	138	47	90	-	-	-
COUNTIES	132	132	42	90	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	1 735	1 735	1 714	21	4 038	-	4 038
STATE	4 808	820	808	12	3 988	(X)	3 988
LOCAL, TOTAL	915	915	906	9	50	-	50
COUNTIES	346	346	345	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	618	568	560	8	50	-	50
NEVADA	1 354	1 354	1 319	35	2 088	-	2 088
STATE	3 413	1 325	1 290	35	2 088	(X)	2 088
LOCAL, TOTAL	29	29	29	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	29	29	29	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	465	465	354	111	-	-	-
STATE	292	292	292	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	173	173	62	111	-	-	-
COUNTIES	5	5	-	5	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	168	168	62	106	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	8 040	8 040	7 985	55	17 681	-	17 681
STATE	20 612	2 935	2 930	5	17 677	(X)	17 677
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 105	5 105	5 055	50	4	-	4
COUNTIES	1 259	1 259	1 254	5	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 850	3 846	3 801	45	4	-	4
NEW MEXICO	1 113	1 113	1 099	14	2 392	-	2 392
STATE	3 183	794	780	14	2 389	(X)	2 389
LOCAL, TOTAL	319	319	319	-	3	-	3
COUNTIES	301	298	298	-	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	21	21	21	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	42 405	42 405	39 527	2 878	31 902	-	31 902
STATE	47 329	15 427	15 405	22	31 902	(X)	31 902
LOCAL, TOTAL	26 978	26 978	24 122	2 856	-	-	-
COUNTIES	4 383	4 383	1 539	2 844	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22 595	22 595	22 583	12	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA	8 406	8 406	5 902	2 504	9 508	17	9 490
STATE	14 203	4 715	4 704	11	9 488	(X)	9 488
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 709	3 691	1 198	2 493	20	17	2
COUNTIES	3 488	3 471	989	2 482	17	15	2
MUNICIPALITIES	222	220	209	12	2	2	-
NORTH DAKOTA	311	311	310	1	1 942	-	1 942
STATE	2 223	281	280	1	1 942	(X)	1 942
LOCAL, TOTAL	30	30	30	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	21	21	21	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9	9	9	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 49. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	5 789	5 789	4 178	1 611	41 279	5	41 274
STATE	43 178	1 910	1 910	-	41 268	(X)	41 268
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 884	3 879	2 268	1 611	11	5	6
COUNTIES	2 235	2 224	621	1 603	11	5	6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 655	1 655	1 647	8	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	2 940	2 940	2 787	153	5 151	-	5 151
STATE	5 888	737	736	1	5 151	(X)	5 151
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 203	2 203	2 051	152	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 203	2 203	2 051	152	-	-	-
OREGON	6 309	6 309	4 663	1 646	6 369	-	6 369
STATE	8 978	2 609	2 590	19	6 369	(X)	6 369
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 700	3 700	2 073	1 627	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 873	1 873	246	1 627	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 827	1 827	1 827	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	10 828	10 828	10 827	1	34 861	21	34 840
STATE	38 666	3 826	3 826	-	34 840	(X)	34 840
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 023	7 002	7 001	1	21	21	-
COUNTIES	321	300	299	1	21	21	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 702	6 702	6 702	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	519	519	519	-	1 560	-	1 560
STATE	2 040	480	480	-	1 560	(X)	1 560
LOCAL, TOTAL	39	39	39	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	39	39	39	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	8 591	8 591	1 903	6 688	5 354	19	5 335
STATE	7 360	2 104	1 743	361	5 256	(X)	5 256
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 506	6 486	160	6 327	98	19	79
COUNTIES	6 442	6 349	22	6 327	94	15	79
MUNICIPALITIES	142	137	137	-	4	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	916	916	911	5	1 230	-	1 230
STATE	2 136	914	909	5	1 222	(X)	1 222
LOCAL, TOTAL	2	2	2	-	8	-	8
COUNTIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8	-	-	-	8	-	8
TENNESSEE	3 038	3 038	2 014	1 024	9 617	-	9 617
STATE	10 739	1 127	1 112	15	9 612	(X)	9 612
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 911	1 911	902	1 009	5	-	5
COUNTIES	1 051	1 051	755	296	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	865	860	147	713	5	-	5
TEXAS	27 337	27 337	25 681	1 657	25 611	502	25 108
STATE	46 013	20 951	20 938	13	25 062	(X)	25 062
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 889	6 387	4 743	1 644	549	502	46
COUNTIES	5 381	4 888	3 246	1 642	493	476	17
MUNICIPALITIES	1 554	1 499	1 497	2	55	26	29
UTAH	809	809	806	3	3 393	-	3 393
STATE	4 202	809	806	3	3 393	(X)	3 393
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	440	440	440	-	122	1	121
STATE	550	429	429	-	121	(X)	121
LOCAL, TOTAL	12	11	11	-	1	1	-
COUNTIES	11	11	11	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	-	-	-	1	1	-
VIRGINIA	4 224	4 224	2 932	1 291	9 153	-	9 153
STATE	11 241	2 090	2 069	21	9 151	(X)	9 151
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 134	2 134	863	1 270	2	-	2
COUNTIES	367	365	360	5	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 769	1 769	503	1 266	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1975—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government ¹	Total ²	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	2 636	2 636	2 633	3	6 424	-	6 424
STATE	7 639	1 215	1 215	-	6 424	(X)	6 424
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 421	1 421	1 418	3	-	-	-
COUNTIES	611	611	609	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	810	810	809	1	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	1 006	1 006	926	80	2 529	-	2 529
STATE	3 244	715	700	15	2 529	(X)	2 529
LOCAL, TOTAL	292	292	227	65	-	-	-
COUNTIES	218	218	218	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	74	74	9	65	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	5 083	5 083	4 962	121	5 472	-	5 472
STATE	10 291	4 819	4 804	15	5 472	(X)	5 472
LOCAL, TOTAL	265	265	159	106	-	-	-
COUNTIES	180	180	74	106	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	231	231	231	-	1 591	-	1 591
STATE	1 790	199	199	-	1 591	(X)	1 591
LOCAL, TOTAL	32	32	32	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	23	23	23	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.



APPENDIX 1: EXHIBIT TABLES A, B, C, AND D

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1975

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Total.....	105,505	103,389	102,254	1,135	2,116
CALIFORNIA					
Special districts:					
Los Angeles City Housing Authority.....	564	564	534	30	-
East Bay Regional Park District (Oakland).....	630	630	600	30	-
Oakland Housing Authority.....	108	108	86	22	-
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit (Oakland).....	1,900	1,900	1,900	-	-
San Diego Unified Port Authority.....	1,000	1,000	976	24	-
San Francisco City-County Housing Authority.....	258	258	258	-	-
Stockton Port District.....	198	198	198	-	-
Sacramento Yolo Port District (West Sacramento).....	108	108	108	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Kern Joint Union High School District (Bakersfield).....	246	246	246	-	-
Compton Junior College.....	53	53	53	-	-
Compton Unified School District.....	500	500	500	-	-
State Center Community College District (Fresno).....	125	125	123	2	-
Long Beach Unified School District.....	73	73	69	4	-
Grant Union High School District (Sacramento).....	146	146	144	2	-
Foothill Community College District (Los Altos Hills).....	150	150	150	-	-
Los Angeles Community Colleges.....	1,324	1,324	1,324	-	-
Los Angeles Unified School District.....	4,271	4,271	4,271	-	-
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District.....	108	108	108	-	-
Oakland Unified School District.....	280	280	280	-	-
Peralta Community College District (Oakland).....	404	404	404	-	-
Pasadena Area Community College District.....	289	289	183	106	-
Pomona Unified School District.....	70	70	70	-	-
Richmond Unified School District.....	269	269	264	5	-
Los Rios Junior College (Sacramento).....	146	146	146	-	-
Sacramento City Unified School District.....	184	184	143	41	-
San Bernardino Community College District.....	69	69	68	1	-
San Diego City Schools.....	483	483	482	1	-
San Francisco Community College District.....	103	103	100	3	-
San Mateo Junior College District.....	123	102	102	-	21
Santa Ana College.....	106	106	105	1	-
Santa Ana Unified School District.....	129	129	125	4	-
El Camino College (Torrance).....	128	128	124	4	-
Ventura County Community College District.....	105	105	99	6	-
COLORADO					
Independent school districts:					
Denver Public School District No. 1.....	141	141	141	-	-
Jefferson County School District (Lakewood).....	268	268	260	8	-
CONNECTICUT					
Special districts:					
Housing Authority--City of Bridgeport.....	507	507	507	-	-
Hartford Metro District.....	178	178	172	6	-
Housing Authority--City of New Haven.....	393	393	393	-	-
DELAWARE					
Special districts:					
Delaware River Bay Authority (New Castle).....	317	317	317	-	-
Housing Authority of Wilmington.....	70	-	-	-	70
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
Special districts:					
Washington Metro Area Transit Authority.....	1,112	1,112	1,011	201	-
FLORIDA					
Independent school districts:					
Pinellas County School District (Clearwater).....	258	258	253	5	-
Broward County School District (Fort Lauderdale).....	158	158	158	-	-
Duval County School Board (Jacksonville).....	304	222	221	1	82

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
FLORIDA--Continued					
Independent school districts--Continued					
Florida Junior College (Jacksonville).....	252	252	233	19	-
Dade County School (Miami).....	1,480	1,480	1,480	-	
Miami-Dade Junior College.....	220	177	177	-	43
Pensacola Junior College.....	197	197	197	-	-
Hillsborough County School District (Tampa).....	372	372	372	-	-
GEORGIA					
Special districts:					
Fulton-De Kalb Hospital Authority (Atlanta).....	380	380	380	-	-
Richmond County Hospital Authority (Augusta).....	157	157	157	-	-
Hall County Hospital Authority (Gainesville).....	70	70	69	1	-
Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority.....	87	87	83	4	-
Independent school districts:					
Atlanta Independent School District.....	492	316	291	25	176
Muscogee County School District (Columbus).....	66	66	66	-	-
Bibb County School District (Macon).....	104	104	93	8	-
Cobb County School District (Marietta).....	171	105	100	5	66
Savannah-Chatham County School District (Savannah).....	84	25	25	-	59
ILLINOIS					
Special districts:					
Chicago City Board of Education.....	3,200	3,200	3,200	-	-
Chicago Housing Authority.....	770	-	-	-	770
Chicago Transit Authority.....	1,888	1,888	1,888	-	-
Metro Fair Exposition Authority (Chicago).....	484	484	480	4	-
Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago.....	946	946	946	-	-
East St. Louis Park District.....	52	52	52	-	-
Springfield Airport Authority.....	78	78	72	6	-
Independent school districts:					
Chicago City College.....	579	579	579	-	-
Peoria Public School District 150.....	221	221	215	6	-
INDIANA					
Special districts:					
Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority (Indianapolis).....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Independent school districts:					
Gary Commission School Corporation.....	737	737	737	-	-
Indianapolis Public Schools.....	962	962	962	-	-
IOWA					
Independent school districts:					
Waterloo Community School District.....	72	-	-	-	72
KANSAS					
Independent school districts:					
Kansas City School District.....	132	132	132	-	-
Unified School District 501 (Topeka).....	86	86	86	-	-
Wichita Unified School District 259.....	447	447	424	23	-
KENTUCKY					
Independent school districts:					
Fayette County School District (Lexington).....	269	269	262	7	-
Jefferson County School District (Louisville) ²	325	325	325	-	-
Louisville Public Schools ²	167	167	167	-	-
LOUISIANA					
Special districts:					
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	188	188	175	13	-
Orleans Levee District (New Orleans).....	598	598	574	24	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
LOUISIANA--Continued					
Independent school districts:					
East Baton Rouge Parish School (Baton Rouge).....	106	106	106	-	-
Orleans Parish School District (New Orleans).....	592	592	592	-	-
MARYLAND					
Special districts:					
Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (Riverdale).....	1,546	1,546	1,440	106	-
MASSACHUSETTS					
Special districts:					
Boston Housing Authority.....	774	571	571	-	203
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority (Jamaica Plain).....	1,213	1,213	1,213	-	-
MICHIGAN					
Special districts:					
Huron-Clinton Metro Authority (Detroit).....	1,047	1,000	1,000	-	47
Tri-City Airport Commission (Freeland).....	222	106	106	-	116
Peoples Community Hospital Authority (Wayne).....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Independent school districts:					
Oakland Community College (Bloomfield Hills).....	165	165	165	-	-
Detroit City School District.....	711	711	711	-	-
Flint City School District.....	150	-	-	-	150
Grand Rapids Public Schools.....	288	288	280	8	-
Lansing City School District.....	271	271	270	1	-
Fontiac City School District.....	59	-	-	-	59
Macomb County Community College (Warren).....	246	246	246	-	-
MINNESOTA					
Special districts:					
Hennepin County Park Reserve (Maple Plain).....	80	80	75	5	-
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metro Airports Commission (St. Paul).....	666	666	666	-	-
MISSOURI					
Special districts:					
Housing Authority of Kansas City.....	372	372	372	-	-
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	770	762	751	11	8
Independent school districts:					
Kansas City School District.....	352	352	342	-	-
Junior College District of St. Louis.....	564	564	564	-	-
St. Louis City School District.....	718	718	718	-	-
Springfield School District.....	84	84	70	14	-
NEBRASKA					
Independent school districts:					
Omaha City School District No. 1.....	184	184	184	-	-
NEVADA					
Independent school districts:					
Clark County School District (Las Vegas).....	235	235	235	-	-
NEW JERSEY					
Special districts:					
Delaware River Port Authority (Camden).....	1,739	1,739	1,739	-	-
Burlington County Bridge Commission (Palmyra).....	375	375	375	-	-
Housing Authority of the City of Trenton.....	153	153	153	-	-
NEW MEXICO					
Independent school districts:					
Albuquerque School District.....	202	190	190	-	12

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
NEW YORK					
Special districts:					
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (New York).....	25,692	25,692	25,692	-	-
Waterfront Commission of New York Harbor.....	1,310	1,310	1,289	21	-
Independent school districts:					
Farmingdale Public Schools.....	104	104	103	1	-
OHIO					
Special districts:					
Akron Metropolitan Park District.....	177	177	177	-	-
Hamilton County Park District (Cincinnati).....	239	239	225	14	-
Cleveland Metro Housing Authority.....	501	501	486	15	-
Cleveland Metropolitan Park District.....	1,320	1,320	1,197	123	-
Lorain County Metro Park District (Elyria).....	364	364	316	48	-
Metro Park District of the Toledo Area (Toledo).....	356	356	325	31	-
Youngstown Township Park District.....	151	151	151	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Cincinnati City School District.....	309	309	309	-	-
Cuyahoga Community College (Cleveland).....	353	353	348	5	-
Dayton City School District.....	169	169	169	-	-
OKLAHOMA					
Independent school districts:					
Oklahoma City School District.....	291	291	291	-	-
OREGON					
Special districts:					
The Port of Portland District.....	1,216	1,216	1,192	24	-
Independent school districts:					
Portland School District.....	267	267	245	22	-
PENNSYLVANIA					
Special districts:					
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission (Morrisville).....	3,753	3,753	3,753	-	-
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	3,145	3,145	3,145	-	-
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (Philadelphia).....	438	438	438	-	-
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	696	696	596	-	-
Port Authority of Allegheny County (Pittsburgh).....	389	307	307	-	82
Independent school districts:					
Penn Hills Township School District (Pittsburgh).....	80	-	-	-	80
Pittsburgh City School District.....	679	579	679	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA					
Special districts:					
Columbia Metro Airport.....	239	239	229	10	-
TENNESSEE					
Special districts:					
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority.....	688	688	681	7	-
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority.....	545	545	541	4	-
TEXAS					
Special districts:					
Dallas-Fort Worth Airport Authority (Dallas).....	5,031	5,031	5,031	-	-
Brownsville Navigation District.....	67	67	67	-	-
Nueces County Navigation District (Corpus Christi).....	79	79	79	-	-
Dallas County Hospital District.....	345	345	345	-	-
Housing Authority-City of Houston.....	91	91	91	-	-
Port of Houston Authority.....	1,421	1,421	1,421	-	-
Bexar County Hospital District (San Antonio).....	237	237	237	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			
TEXAS—Continued							
Independent school districts:							
Dallas County Community College District (Dallas).....	277	277	274	3	-		
El Paso Independent School District.....	192	192	192	-	-		
Ysleta Independent School District (El Paso).....	315	315	315	-	-		
Tarrant County Junior College (Fort Worth).....	218	218	216	2	-		
Houston Independent School District.....	790	790	790	-	-		
Irving Independent School District.....	62	62	62	-	-		
San Jacinto College (Pasadena).....	80	80	80	-	-		
San Antonio Independent School District.....	990	990	990	-	-		
San Antonio-St. Phillips College District (San Antonio)	167	167	167	-	-		
UTAH							
Independent school districts:							
Granite School District (Salt Lake City).....	205	205	145	60	-		
VIRGINIA							
Special districts:							
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District (Cape Charles).....	566	566	566	-	-		
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission (Norfolk).....	630	630	630	-	-		
WASHINGTON							
Special districts:							
Port of Seattle District.....	1,124	1,124	1,090	34	-		
Port of Tacoma District.....	68	68	63	5	-		
Independent school districts:							
Seattle School District.....	506	506	497	9	-		

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Data not available.

¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears in parenthesis after the unit name.

²Jefferson County School District and Louisville Public Schools merged.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1975

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	8 063	6 442	7 015	7 598
CALIFORNIA				
Special districts:				
Los Angeles City Housing Authority.....	47	47	47	57
East Bay Regional Park District (Oakland).....	42	34	37	43
Oakland Housing Authority.....	17	17	17	18
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit (Oakland).....	83	83	83	128
San Diego Unified Port Authority.....	66	66	66	101
San Francisco City-County Housing Authority.....	20	20	20	24
Stockton Port District.....	19	13	16	17
Sacramento-Yolo Port District (West Sacramento).....	10	10	10	12
Independent school districts:				
Kern Joint Union High School District (Bakersfield).....	41	-	19	22
Compton Junior College.....	5	5	5	5
Compton Unified School District.....	52	47	48	40
State Center Community College District (Fresno).....	23	7	9	10
Long Beach Unified School District.....	7	7	7	8
Grant Union High School District (Sacramento).....	28	11	18	15
Foothill Community College District (Los Altos Hills).....	39	2	9	12
Los Angeles Community Colleges.....	88	88	88	107
Los Angeles Unified School District.....	362	312	336	370
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District.....	17	6	11	9
Oakland Unified School District.....	21	18	21	20
Peralta Community College District (Oakland).....	18	18	18	21
Pasadena Area Community College District.....	32	17	23	19
Pomona Unified School District.....	4	4	4	4
Richmond Unified School District.....	19	19	19	20
Los Rios Junior College (Sacramento).....	14	12	13	12
Sacramento City Unified School District.....	12	12	12	12
San Bernardino Community College District.....	7	7	7	6
San Diego Unified School District.....	43	43	43	43
San Francisco Community College District.....	47	4	8	7
San Mateo Junior College District.....	34	2	9	9
Santa Ana College.....	14	10	13	12
Santa Ana Unified School District.....	22	13	14	12
El Camino College (Torrance).....	21	10	11	14
Ventura County Community College District.....	7	4	7	8
COLORADO				
Independent school districts:				
Denver Public School District No. 1.....	12	1	2	3
Jefferson County School District (Lakewood).....	24	24	24	33
CONNECTICUT				
Special districts:				
Housing Authority City of Bridgeport.....	3	3	3	3
Hartford Metro District.....	11	11	11	13
Housing Authority City of New Haven.....	33	29	30	35
DELAWARE				
Special districts:				
Delaware River Bay Authority (New Castle).....	19	19	19	21
Housing Authority of Wilmington.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
Special districts:				
Washington Metro Area Transit Authority.....	158	158	158	167
FLORIDA				
Independent school districts:				
Pinellas County School District (Clearwater).....	22	21	22	16
Broward County School District (Fort Lauderdale).....	10	10	10	13
Duval County School Board (Jacksonville).....	10	10	10	12

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
FLORIDA--Continued				
Independent school districts--Continued				
Florida Junior College (Jacksonville).....	35	32	34	19
Dade County School (Miami).....	111	111	111	114
Miami Dade Junior College.....	23	23	23	15
Pensacola Junior College.....	25	18	20	16
Hillsborough County School District (Tampa).....	15	15	15	15
GEORGIA				
Special districts:				
Fulton-De Kalb Hospital Authority (Atlanta).....	70	70	70	41
Richmond County Hospital Authority (Augusta).....	18	18	18	11
Hall County Hospital Authority (Gainesville).....	5	5	5	6
Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority (Macon).....	11	10	10	7
Independent school districts:				
Atlanta Independent School District.....	10	10	10	12
Muscogee County School District (Columbus).....	12	12	12	7
Bibb County School District (Macon).....	15	15	15	9
Cobb County School District (Marietta).....	20	20	20	16
Savannah-Chatham County School District.....	5	5	5	3
ILLINOIS				
Special districts:				
Chicago City Board of Education.....	700	40	259	287
Chicago Housing Authority.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Chicago Transit Authority.....	78	78	78	117
Metro Fair Exposition Authority (Chicago).....	45	37	40	39
Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago.....	67	67	67	82
East St. Louis Park District.....	8	6	8	5
Springfield Airport Authority.....	6	6	6	5
Independent school districts:				
Chicago City College.....	13	7	10	9
Peoria Public School District 150.....	21	21	21	18
INDIANA				
Special districts:				
Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority (Indianapolis)....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Independent school districts:				
Gary Commission School Corporation.....	139	59	116	77
Indianapolis Public Schools.....	100	75	82	70
IOWA				
Independent school districts:				
Waterloo Community School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
KANSAS				
Independent school districts:				
Kansas City School District.....	12	12	12	10
Unified School District 501 (Topeka).....	11	11	11	10
Wichita Unified School District 259.....	46	46	46	37
KENTUCKY				
Independent school districts:				
Fayette County School District (Lexington).....	25	25	25	23
Jefferson County School District (Louisville) ³	29	13	26	18
Louisville Public Schools.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
LOUISIANA				
Special districts:				
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	21	21	21	15
Orleans Levee District (New Orleans).....	67	62	64	60
Independent school districts:				
East Baton Rouge Parish School District (Baton Rouge).....	13	13	13	9
Orleans Parish School District (New Orleans).....	16	16	16	9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MARYLAND				
Special districts:				
Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (Riverdale).....	97	97	97	129
MASSACHUSETTS				
Special districts:				
Boston Housing Authority.....	(²) 67	(²) 67	(²) 67	(²) 105
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority (Jamaica Plain).....				
MICHIGAN				
Special districts:				
Huron-Clinton Metro Authority (Detroit).....	53	24	36	37
Tri City Airport Commission (Freeland).....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Peoples Community Hospital Authority (Wayne).....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Independent school districts:				
Oakland Community College (Bloomfield Hills).....	13	13	13	7
Detroit City School District.....	54	27	37	34
Flint City School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Grand Rapids Public Schools.....	34	6	25	25
Lansing City School District.....	24	24	24	23
Pontiac City School District.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Macomb County Community College (Warren).....	32	11	19	18
MINNESOTA				
Special districts:				
Hennepin County Park Reserve (Maple Plain).....	9	9	9	9
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metro Airports Commission (St. Paul).....	63	63	63	86
MISSOURI				
Special districts:				
Housing Authority of Kansas City.....	53	53	53	43
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	116	104	114	64
Independent school districts:				
Kansas City School District.....	40	40	40	34
Junior College District of St. Louis.....	47	40	43	44
St. Louis City School District.....	77	77	77	45
Springfield School District.....	7	7	7	6
NEBRASKA				
Independent school districts:				
Omaha City School District No. 1.....	24	24	24	13
NEVADA				
Independent school districts:				
Clark County School District (Las Vegas).....	22	20	22	21
NEW JERSEY				
Special districts:				
Delaware River Port Authority (Camden).....	119	119	119	127
Burlington County Bridge Commission (Palmyra).....	30	25	26	30
Housing Authority of the City of Trenton.....	19	19	19	14
NEW MEXICO				
Independent school districts:				
Albuquerque School District.....	28	28	28	18
NEW YORK				
Special districts:				
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (New York).....	1,325	1,325	1,325	1,956
Waterfront Commission of New York Harbor.....	74	72	73	101
Independent school districts:				
Farmingdale Public Schools.....	21	2	8	8

See footnotes at end of table

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
OHIO					
Special districts:					
Akron Metropolitan Park District (Akron).....	19	17	18	15	
Hamilton County Park District (Cincinnati).....	35	17	25	19	
Cleveland Metro Housing Authority.....	40	40	40	45	
Cleveland Metropolitan Park District.....	98	69	76	77	
Lorain County Metro Park District (Elyria).....	20	20	20	19	
Metro Park District of the Toledo Area (Toledo).....	31	29	30	26	
Youngstown Township Park District.....	19	11	12	12	
Independent school districts:					
Cincinnati City School District.....	134	1	27	51	
Cuyahoga Community College (Cleveland).....	45	25	33	28	
Dayton City School District.....	22	22	22	18	
OKLAHOMA					
Independent school districts:					
Oklahoma City School District.....	40	40	40	22	
OREGON					
Special districts:					
The Port of Portland District.....	83	83	83	91	
Independent school districts:					
Portland School District.....	22	20	21	26	
PENNSYLVANIA					
Special districts:					
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission (Morrisville).....	109	109	105	119	
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	222	222	222	243	
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (Philadelphia).....	31	31	31	34	
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	36	36	36	28	
Port Authority of Allegheny County (Pittsburgh).....	20	20	20	20	
Independent school districts:					
Penn Hills Township School District (Pittsburgh).....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)	
Pittsburgh City School District.....	85	60	65	63	
SOUTH CAROLINA					
Special districts:					
Columbia Metro Airport.....	16	16	16	17	
TENNESSEE					
Special districts:					
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority (Memphis).....	42	42	42	38	
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority.....	48	48	48	42	
TEXAS					
Special districts:					
Dallas/Fort Worth Airport Authority (Dallas).....	204	204	204	213	
Brownsville Navigation District.....	12	12	12	7	
Nueces County Navigation District (Corpus Christi).....	3	3	3	2	
Dallas County Hospital District (Dallas).....	(⁴)	(⁴)	(⁴)	(⁴)	
Housing Authority--City of Houston.....	46	-	21	13	
Port of Houston Authority.....	104	98	100	84	
Bexar County Hospital District (San Antonio).....	25	25	25	22	
Independent school districts:					
Dallas County Community College District (Dallas).....	40	28	29	26	
El Paso Independent School District.....	1	1	1	1	
Ysleta Independent School District (El Paso).....	50	50	50	26	
Tarrant County Junior College District (Fort Worth).....	32	32	32	25	
Houston Independent School District.....	75	75	75	60	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1975—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TEXAS--Continued				
Independent school districts--Continued				
Irving Independent School District.....	11	11	11	5
San Jacinto College (Pasadena).....	19	11	14	8
San Antonio Independent School District.....	70	70	70	43
San Antonio-St. Phillips College District (San Antonio).....	47	36	36	18
UTAH				
Independent school districts:				
Granite School District (Salt Lake City).....	20	16	18	12
VIRGINIA				
Special districts:				
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District (Cape Charles).....	66	66	66	47
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission (Norfolk).....	55	55	55	47
WASHINGTON				
Special districts:				
Port of Seattle District.....	101	101	101	127
Port of Tacoma District.....	5	4	5	5
Independent school districts:				
Seattle School District.....	37	37	37	33

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Not available.

¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears in parenthesis after the unit name.

²No units known meeting the criteria of 10 or more full-time equivalent employees or \$50,000 or more in annual expenditures for contract services.

³Jefferson County School District and Louisville Public Schools merged.

⁴No longer has power of arrest.

**Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1975**

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Total.....	107,685	107,012	104,740	2,272	673
Alabama:					
Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University, Normal.....	88	88	88	-	-
Alabama Institute for the Deaf and Blind, Talladega.....	7	7	7	-	-
Alabama State University, Montgomery.....	130	130	130	-	-
Alexander City State Junior College, Alexander.....	9	9	5	4	-
Auburn University, Auburn.....	389	380	324	56	9
Bessemer State Technical College, Bessemer.....	8	8	8	-	-
Enterprise State Junior College, Enterprise.....	24	24	24	-	-
Faulkner State Junior College, Bay Minette.....	10	10	10	-	-
Gadsden State Junior College, Gadsden.....	38	38	31	7	-
George C. Wallace State Community College, Dothan.....	29	29	29	-	-
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville.....	80	80	80	-	-
Jefferson State Junior College, Birmingham.....	95	95	95	-	-
John C. Calhoun State Community College, Decatur.....	22	22	22	-	-
Livingston University, Livingston.....	101	101	101	-	-
Northeast Alabama State Junior College, Rainsville.....	8	8	8	-	-
Northwest Alabama State Junior College, Phil Campbell.....	22	22	22	-	-
Troy State University, Troy.....	48	48	48	-	-
The University of Alabama, University.....	409	409	409	-	-
University of Alabama in Birmingham, Birmingham.....	496	496	496	-	-
University of Montevallo, Montevallo.....	54	54	54	-	-
University of North Alabama, Florence.....	47	47	47	-	-
University of South Alabama, Mobile.....	151	151	102	49	-
Arizona:					
Arizona State University, Tempe.....	579	579	579	-	-
Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.....	249	249	245	4	-
University of Arizona, Tucson.....	998	998	926	72	-
Arkansas:					
Arkansas Polytechnic College, Russellville.....	24	24	23	1	-
Arkansas State University, State University.....	117	117	105	12	-
Arkansas State University, Beebe.....	7	7	7	-	-
Henderson State University, Arkadelphia.....	49	49	48	1	-
Southern State College, Magnolia.....	18	18	18	-	-
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.....	304	304	235	69	-
University of Arkansas, Little Rock.....	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
University of Arkansas, Monticello.....	56	56	51	5	-
University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff.....	61	61	61	-	-
University of Central Arkansas, Conway.....	89	89	89	-	-
California:					
California State College, Bakersfield.....	126	126	126	-	-
California State College, Dominguez Hills.....	196	196	196	-	-
California State College, San Bernardino.....	145	145	144	1	-
California State College, Sonoma.....	135	135	135	-	-
California State College, Stanislaus.....	168	168	168	-	-
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.....	236	236	235	1	-
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.....	202	202	200	2	-
California State University, Chico.....	187	187	187	-	-
California State University, Fresno.....	293	293	293	-	-
California State University, Fullerton.....	187	187	187	-	-
California State University, Hayward.....	252	252	252	-	-
California State University, Long Beach.....	438	438	438	-	-
California State University, Los Angeles.....	489	489	489	-	-
California State University, Northridge.....	343	343	326	17	-
California State University, Sacramento.....	415	415	355	60	-
Humboldt State University, Arcata.....	179	179	172	7	-
San Diego State University, San Diego.....	356	356	356	-	-
San Francisco State University, San Francisco.....	313	313	313	-	-
San Jose State University, San Jose.....	279	279	275	4	-
University of California, Berkeley (9 campuses).....	5,373	5,373	5,373	-	-
Colorado:					
Adams State College, Alamosa.....	80	80	74	6	-
Colorado School of Mines, Golden.....	70	70	59	11	-
Colorado State University, Fort Collins.....	319	319	319	-	-
El Paso Community College, Colorado Springs.....	9	9	9	-	-
Otero Junior College, La Junta.....	5	-	-	-	5
University of Colorado, Boulder.....	926	926	926	-	-
University of Southern Colorado, Pueblo.....	133	133	133	-	-

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

Unit of Government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Connecticut:					
Central Connecticut State College, New Britain.....	197	197	197	-	-
Eastern Connecticut State College, Willimantic.....	77	77	77	-	-
Manchester Community College, Manchester.....	42	42	42	-	-
Mattatuck Community College, Waterbury.....	13	-	-	-	13
Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven.....	258	258	258	-	-
University of Connecticut, Storrs.....	610	610	610	-	-
Western Connecticut State College, Danbury.....	87	87	87	-	-
Delaware:					
University of Delaware, Newark.....	803	803	786	37	-
Florida:					
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Tallahassee.....	217	217	217	-	-
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton.....	253	253	250	3	-
Florida International University, Miami.....	226	226	225	1	-
Florida State University, Tallahassee.....	544	544	537	7	-
Florida Technological University, Orlando.....	243	243	243	-	-
University of Florida, Gainesville.....	812	812	799	13	-
University of North Florida, Jacksonville.....	172	172	172	-	-
University of South Florida, Tampa.....	508	508	493	15	-
University of West Florida, Pensacola.....	184	184	184	-	-
Georgia:					
Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College, Tifton.....	43	43	41	2	-
Albany Junior College, Albany.....	27	27	27	-	-
Albany State College, Albany.....	88	88	86	2	-
Augusta College, Augusta.....	69	69	65	4	-
Columbus College, Columbus.....	88	88	84	4	-
Fort Valley State College, Fort Valley.....	111	111	111	-	-
Georgia College, Milledgeville.....	56	56	56	-	-
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.....	548	548	512	36	-
Georgia Southern College, Statesboro.....	118	118	114	4	-
Georgia Southwestern College, Americus.....	93	93	93	-	-
Georgia State University, Atlanta.....	617	617	605	12	-
Medical College of Georgia, Augusta.....	331	331	313	18	-
Middle Georgia College, Cochran.....	62	62	62	-	-
North Georgia College, Dahlonega.....	46	46	46	-	-
Savannah State College, Savannah.....	107	107	107	-	-
South Georgia College, Douglas.....	40	40	40	-	-
University of Georgia, Athens.....	456	456	454	2	-
Valdosta State College, Valdosta.....	110	110	110	-	-
West Georgia College, Carrollton.....	132	132	126	6	-
Idaho:					
Boise State University, Boise.....	23	-	-	-	23
Idaho State University, Pocatello.....	71	66	62	4	5
Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston.....	11	11	11	-	-
University of Idaho, Moscow.....	140	101	98	3	39
Illinois:					
Chicago State University, Chicago.....	352	352	352	-	-
Eastern Illinois University, Charleston.....	178	178	178	-	-
Governors State University, Park Forest South.....	283	283	282	1	-
Illinois State University, Normal.....	341	341	341	-	-
Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago.....	128	128	128	-	-
Northern Illinois University, De Kalb.....	528	528	528	-	-
Sangamon State University, Springfield.....	155	155	155	-	-
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.....	898	898	898	-	-
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville.....	623	623	536	87	-
University of Illinois, Chicago Circle Campus.....	820	820	820	-	-
University of Illinois Medical Center, Chicago.....	698	698	698	-	-
University of Illinois, Urbana.....	963	963	963	-	-
Western Illinois University, Macomb.....	226	226	226	-	-
Indiana:					
Ball State University, Muncie.....	500	500	500	-	-
Indiana State University, Terre Haute.....	285	285	285	-	-
Indiana University, Bloomington.....	938	938	913	25	-
Indiana University Regional Campuses.....	191	191	177	14	-
Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis.....	711	711	695	16	-
Purdue University, Lafayette.....	591	591	581	10	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1975—Continued**
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Iowa:					
Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames.....	305	305	305	-	-
University of Iowa, Iowa City.....	469	469	452	17	-
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls.....	200	200	198	2	-
Kansas:					
Emporia Kansas State College, Emporia.....	133	133	132	1	-
Fort Hays Kansas State College, Hays.....	93	93	93	-	-
Kansas State College, Pittsburg.....	155	155	155	-	-
Kansas State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, Manhattan.....	326	326	322	4	-
University of Kansas, Lawrence.....	607	607	495	112	-
University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City.....	142	142	142	-	-
Wichita State University, Wichita.....	244	244	235	9	-
Kentucky:					
Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond.....	377	377	377	-	-
Kentucky State University, Frankfort.....	71	71	71	-	-
Morehead State University, Morehead.....	114	106	100	6	8
Murray State University, Murray.....	137	137	137	-	-
University of Kentucky, Lexington.....	440	440	425	15	-
University of Louisville, Louisville.....	322	322	310	12	-
Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green.....	353	353	353	-	-
Louisiana:					
Delgado Junior College, New Orleans.....	81	81	72	9	-
Grambling State University.....	126	126	126	-	-
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.....	340	340	340	-	-
Louisiana State University Medical Center, New Orleans.....	164	164	164	-	-
Louisiana Tech University, Ruston.....	134	134	130	4	-
McNeese State University, Lake Charles.....	90	90	90	-	-
Nicholls State University, Thibodaux.....	118	118	118	-	-
Northeast Louisiana University, Monroe.....	123	123	123	-	-
Northwestern State University of Louisiana, Natchitoches.....	143	143	142	1	-
Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond.....	161	161	160	1	-
Southern University Agriculture and Mechanical College, Baton Rouge.....	314	314	306	8	-
Southern University, New Orleans.....	219	219	211	8	-
Southern University, Shreveport.....	54	54	54	-	-
University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette.....	179	179	179	-	-
Maine:					
Northern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, Presque Isle.....	2	2	2	-	-
Southern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, South Portland.....	29	29	29	-	-
University of Maine, Bangor.....	454	454	442	12	-
University of Maine, Farmington.....	53	53	53	-	-
University of Maine, Orono.....	274	274	274	-	-
University of Maine, Portland-Gorham.....	96	96	96	-	-
Maryland:					
Bowie State College, Bowie.....	178	178	178	-	-
Coppin State College, Baltimore.....	128	128	119	9	-
Frostburg State College, Frostburg.....	166	166	166	-	-
Morgan State University, Baltimore.....	303	303	299	4	-
Saint Mary's College of Maryland.....	89	89	89	-	-
Salisbury State College, Salisbury.....	112	112	112	-	-
Towson State College, Baltimore.....	299	299	299	-	-
University of Maryland.....	1,009	1,009	997	12	-
Massachusetts:					
Bristol Community College, Fall River.....	56	56	56	-	-
Holyoke Community College, Holyoke.....	128	128	95	33	-
Massasoit Community College, Brockton.....	50	50	50	-	-
Mount Wachusett Community College, Gardner.....	59	59	59	-	-
Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester.....	281	281	281	-	-
Springfield Technical Community College, Springfield.....	137	137	136	1	-
Boston State College, Boston.....	211	211	209	2	-
Bridgewater State College, Bridgewater.....	90	90	89	1	-
Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg.....	60	60	60	-	-
Framingham State College, Framingham.....	96	96	96	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1975—Continued**
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Massachusetts--Continued					
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay.....	20	20	20	-	-
North Adams State College, North Adams.....	82	82	82	-	-
Salem State College, Salem.....	140	146	146	-	-
Westfield State College, Westfield.....	103	103	103	-	-
Worcester State College, Worcester.....	61	61	56	5	-
University of Lowell, Lowell.....	120	120	120	-	-
University of Massachusetts, Amherst.....	795	795	779	16	-
Michigan:					
Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant.....	360	360	352	8	-
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti.....	633	633	346	287	-
Ferris State College, Big Rapids.....	250	250	250	-	-
Grand Valley State College, Allendale.....	123	123	123	-	-
Michigan State University, East Lansing.....	918	918	918	-	-
Michigan Technological University, Houghton.....	118	116	116	-	-
Northern Michigan University, Marquette.....	125	125	125	-	-
Oakland University, Rochester.....	265	265	265	-	-
Saginaw Valley State College.....	23	23	23	-	-
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.....	1,176	944	944	-	232
Wayne State University, Detroit.....	1,181	1,181	1,078	103	-
Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.....	695	670	650	20	25
Minnesota:					
Mankato State College, Mankato.....	167	118	118	-	49
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.....	1,046	1,046	1,046	-	-
Willmar Community College, Willmar.....	4	-	-	-	4
Mississippi:					
Aicron State University, Lorman.....	57	57	57	-	-
Delta State University, Cleveland.....	79	79	79	-	-
Jackson State University, Jackson.....	158	158	157	1	-
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State.....	171	171	166	5	-
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus.....	34	34	34	-	-
Mississippi Valley State University, Itta Bena.....	99	99	98	1	-
University of Mississippi, University.....	203	203	203	-	-
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson.....	327	327	324	3	-
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg.....	279	279	267	12	-
Missouri:					
Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg.....	178	178	171	7	-
Missouri Southern State College, Joplin.....	35	35	35	-	-
Missouri Western State College, St. Joseph.....	60	60	60	-	-
Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville.....	142	142	142	-	-
Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville.....	71	71	71	-	-
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau.....	71	71	67	4	-
Southwest Missouri State University, Springfield.....	172	172	172	-	-
University of Missouri, Columbia.....	572	572	561	11	-
University of Missouri, Kansas City.....	384	384	358	26	-
University of Missouri, Rolla.....	228	228	228	-	-
University of Missouri, St. Louis.....	228	228	215	13	-
Montana:					
Eastern Montana University, Billings.....	101	101	101	-	-
Montana State University, Bozeman.....	119	119	119	-	-
University of Montana, Missoula.....	111	111	109	2	-
Nebraska:					
Kearney State College, Kearney.....	66	66	64	2	-
Peru State College, Peru.....	18	18	18	-	-
Wayne State College, Wayne.....	27	27	27	-	-
University of Nebraska, Lincoln.....	494	494	490	4	-
Nevada:					
University of Nevada, Las Vegas.....	100	100	100	-	-
University of Nevada, Reno.....	193	193	186	7	-
New Hampshire:					
New Hampshire Technical Institute, Concord.....	10	10	10	-	-
University of New Hampshire, Durham.....	246	246	229	17	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year
1975—Continued**
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of Government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
New Jersey:					
College of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark.....	753	753	753	-	-
Glassboro State College, Glassboro.....	321	321	290	31	-
Kean College of New Jersey, Union.....	346	346	346	-	-
Montclair State College, Upper Montclair.....	365	365	365	-	-
Ramapo College of New Jersey, Mahwah.....	182	182	182	-	-
Richard Stockton State College, Pomona.....	190	190	183	7	-
Rutgers, The State University, New Brunswick.....	1,640	1,630	1,630	-	10
Trenton State College, Trenton.....	329	329	320	9	-
New Mexico:					
Eastern New Mexico University, Portales.....	57	57	57	-	-
New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas.....	68	68	68	-	-
New Mexico Military Institute, Roswell.....	25	25	25	-	-
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.....	352	352	352	-	-
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.....	587	587	587	-	-
Western New Mexico University, Silver City.....	35	35	35	-	-
New York:					
State University of New York Albany.....	692	692	692	-	-
State University of New York, Binghamton.....	404	404	404	-	-
State University of New York, Buffalo.....	1,042	1,042	1,022	20	-
State University of New York, Stony Brook.....	822	822	822	-	-
State University of New York Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn.....	590	590	589	1	-
State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse.....	125	125	125	-	-
State University of New York College, Brockport.....	298	298	297	1	-
State University of New York College, Buffalo.....	337	337	336	1	-
State University of New York College, Cortland.....	229	229	229	-	-
State University of New York College, Fredonia.....	213	213	213	-	-
State University of New York College, Geneseo.....	210	210	210	-	-
State University of New York College, New Paltz.....	320	320	320	-	-
State University of New York College, Old Westbury.....	383	383	383	-	-
State University of New York College, Oneonta.....	283	283	283	-	-
State University of New York College, Oswego.....	251	251	251	-	-
State University of New York College, Plattsburgh.....	249	249	249	-	-
State University of New York College, Potsdam.....	168	168	168	-	-
State University of New York College, Purchase.....	253	253	253	-	-
State University of New York College, Utica-Rome.....	4	4	4	-	-
State University of New York Maritime College, Bronx.....	114	114	114	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Alfred.....	216	216	200	16	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Canton.....	135	135	135	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Cobleskill.....	158	158	158	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Delhi.....	114	114	114	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Farmingdale.....	322	322	322	-	-
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Morrisville.....	82	82	82	-	-
North Carolina:					
Appalachian State University, Boone.....	139	139	136	3	-
East Carolina University, Greenville.....	272	272	232	40	-
Elizabeth City State University, Elizabeth City.....	61	61	61	-	-
Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville.....	91	91	91	-	-
North Carolina Agriculture and Technical State University, Greensboro.....	175	175	175	-	-
North Carolina Central University, Durham.....	170	170	170	-	-
North Carolina State University, Raleigh.....	246	246	246	-	-
Pembroke State University, Pembroke.....	59	59	59	-	-
University of North Carolina, Asheville.....	58	58	58	-	-
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.....	385	385	385	-	-
University of North Carolina, Charlotte.....	192	192	192	-	-
University of North Carolina, Greensboro.....	193	193	193	-	-
University of North Carolina, Wilmington.....	78	78	72	6	-
Western Carolina University, Cullowhee.....	157	157	157	-	-
Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem.....	145	145	145	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

APPENDIX 1—Continued

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
North Dakota:					
North Dakota State School of Science, Wahpeton.....	31	31	31	-	-
North Dakota State University, Fargo.....	106	106	97	9	-
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.....	104	104	101	3	-
Ohio:					
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green.....	311	311	263	48	-
Central State University, Wilberforce.....	123	123	123	-	-
Cleveland State University, Cleveland.....	417	417	414	3	-
Kent State University, Kent.....	516	516	514	2	-
Medical College of Ohio, Toledo.....	88	88	88	-	-
Miami University, Oxford.....	439	439	439	-	-
Ohio State University, Columbus.....	1,134	1,134	1,121	13	-
Ohio University, Athens.....	425	425	425	-	-
University of Akron, Akron.....	328	328	323	5	-
University of Toledo.....	373	376	376	-	-
Wright State University, Dayton.....	224	224	223	1	-
Youngstown State University, Youngstown.....	285	285	281	4	-
Oklahoma:					
Cameron University, Lawton.....	36	36	34	2	-
Central State University, Edmond.....	68	68	67	1	-
Connors State Agriculture College, Warner.....	11	11	11	-	-
Langston University, Langston.....	30	30	30	-	-
Murray State College, Tishomingo.....	9	9	9	-	-
Northeastern Oklahoma State University, Tahlequah.....	110	110	100	10	-
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Alva.....	20	20	20	-	-
Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Goodwell.....	5	5	5	-	-
Oklahoma State University, Stillwater.....	262	282	272	10	-
Southeastern Oklahoma State University, Durant.....	21	21	21	-	-
Tulsa Junior College, Tulsa.....	81	81	70	11	-
University of Oklahoma, Norman.....	348	348	348	-	-
University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, Oklahoma City....	258	258	258	-	-
University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma, Chickasha.....	15	15	15	-	-
Oregon:					
Oregon State University, Corvallis.....	185	185	185	-	-
University of Oregon, Eugene.....	565	437	430	7	128
Pennsylvania:					
Bloomburg State College, Bloomburg.....	174	174	174	-	-
California State College, California.....	178	178	178	-	-
Cheyney State College, Cheyney.....	169	169	162	7	-
Clarion State College, Clarion.....	192	192	192	-	-
East Stroudsburg State College, East Stroudsburg.....	159	159	159	-	-
Edinboro State College, Edinboro.....	250	250	250	-	-
Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana.....	206	206	192	14	-
Kutztown State College, Kutztown.....	200	200	200	-	-
Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven.....	103	103	102	1	-
Mansfield State College, Mansfield.....	145	145	145	-	-
Millersville State College, Millersville.....	196	196	196	-	-
Shippensburg State College, Shippensburg.....	179	179	179	-	-
Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock.....	166	166	165	1	-
West Chester State College, West Chester.....	314	314	314	-	-
Pennsylvania State University, University Park.....	1,356	1,356	1,287	69	-
South Carolina:					
Citadel Military College of South Carolina, Charleston.....	116	116	116	-	-
Clemson University, Clemson.....	310	310	310	-	-
Frances Marion College, Florence.....	49	49	48	1	-
Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston.....	380	380	380	-	-
South Carolina State College, Orangeburg.....	132	132	131	1	-
University of South Carolina, Columbia.....	789	789	783	6	-
Winthrop College, Rock Hill.....	164	164	155	9	-
South Dakota:					
South Dakota State University, Brookings.....	97	97	92	5	-
University of South Dakota, Springfield.....	7	-	-	-	7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern-mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Tennessee:					
Austin Peay State University, Clarksville.....	83	83	83	-	-
Cleveland State Community College, Cleveland.....	27	27	27	-	-
East Tennessee State University, Johnson City.....	122	122	122	-	-
Memphis State University, Memphis.....	431	431	426	5	-
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro.....	232	232	228	4	-
Motlow State Community College, Tullahoma.....	23	23	23	-	-
Tennessee State University, Nashville.....	143	143	143	-	-
Tennessee Technical University, Cookeville.....	115	115	110	5	-
University of Tennessee, Knoxville.....	800	800	800	-	-
Walter State Community College, Morristown.....	32	32	32	-	-
Texas:					
Angelo State University, San Angelo.....	72	72	68	4	-
East Texas State University, Commerce.....	223	220	215	5	3
Lamar University, Beaumont.....	145	145	142	3	-
Midwestern State University, Wichita Falls.....	100	100	94	6	-
North Texas State University, Denton.....	301	301	291	10	-
Pan American University, Edinburg.....	93	93	92	1	-
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville.....	109	109	104	5	-
Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos.....	177	177	176	1	-
Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches.....	140	140	133	7	-
Sul Ross State University, Alpine.....	49	49	44	5	-
Texas Agricultural and Industrial University, Kingsville.....	170	168	168	-	2
Texas Agricultural and Mechanical University, College Station.....	362	362	338	24	-
Prairie View Agricultural and Mechanical University, Prairie View.....	108	108	108	-	-
Tarleton State University, Stephenville.....	65	65	65	-	-
Texas Southern University, Houston.....	169	169	167	2	-
Texas State Technical Institute-James Connally Campus, Waco.....	93	93	83	10	-
Texas Technical University, Lubbock.....	338	338	333	5	-
Texas Womens University, Denton.....	146	146	142	4	-
University of Houston, Houston.....	747	747	747	-	-
University of Texas, Austin.....	1,509	1,509	1,486	23	-
University of Texas, Arlington.....	411	411	399	12	-
University of Texas, Dallas.....	63	63	63	-	-
University of Texas, El Paso.....	286	286	285	-	-
University of Texas Health Science Center, Dallas.....	373	373	363	10	-
University of Texas Cancer Center, Houston.....	490	490	485	5	-
University of Texas Dental School, San Antonio.....	192	192	185	7	-
University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.....	190	190	186	4	-
West Texas State University, Canyon.....	87	87	87	-	-
Utah:					
University of Utah, Salt Lake.....	656	656	640	16	-
Utah State University, Logan.....	35	6	6	-	29
Southern Utah State College, Cedar City.....	15	15	15	-	-
Weber State College, Ogden.....	81	81	77	4	-
Dixie College, Saint George.....	15	15	14	1	-
Snow College, Ephraim.....	13	13	13	-	-
Vermont:					
Castleton State College, Castleton.....	34	34	34	-	-
Lyndon State College, Lyndonville.....	36	36	36	-	-
University of Vermont, Burlington.....	247	247	242	5	-
Virginia:					
Clinch Valley College, Wise.....	25	25	25	-	-
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg.....	234	234	234	-	-
George Mason University, Fairfax.....	158	158	158	-	-
Madison College, Harrisonburg.....	159	159	159	-	-
Mary Washington College, Fredericksburg.....	140	140	140	-	-
Norfolk State College, Norfolk.....	180	180	180	-	-
Old Dominion University, Norfolk.....	213	213	213	-	-
Radford College, Radford.....	110	110	110	-	-
University of Virginia, Charlottesville.....	630	630	630	-	-
Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond.....	711	711	711	-	-
Virginia Military Institute, Lexington.....	56	56	56	-	-
Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg.....	310	310	310	-	-
Virginia State College, Petersburg.....	82	82	82	-	-
Central Virginia Community College.....	16	16	16	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditure by character and object, fiscal year 1975—Continued
 (Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government ¹	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Virginia--Continued					
Dabney S. Lancaster Community College, Clifton Forge.....	8	8	8	-	-
Danville Community College, Danville.....	22	22	22	-	-
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond.....	72	72	72	-	-
Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale.....	242	242	229	13	-
Paul D. Camp Community College, Franklin.....	23	23	23	-	-
Southside Virginia Community College, Alberta.....	45	45	45	-	-
Southwest Virginia Community College, Echladns.....	9	9	9	-	-
Thomas Nelson Community College, Richmond.....	36	36	36	-	-
Virginia Highlands Community College, Richmond.....	9	9	9	-	-
Virginia Western Community College, Roanoke.....	65	65	65	-	-
Wytheville Community College, Wytheville.....	16	16	16	-	-
Washington:					
Big Bend Community College, Moses Lake.....	12	12	12	-	-
Central Washington State College, Ellensburg.....	134	134	134	-	-
Eastern Washington State College, Cheney.....	155	103	96	7	52
The Evergreen State College, Olympia.....	86	86	86	-	-
Fort Steilacoom Community College, Tacoma.....	30	30	30	-	-
Green River Community College, Auburn.....	44	44	44	-	-
Seattle Community College, Seattle.....	53	53	53	-	-
Tacoma Community College, Tacoma.....	64	64	64	-	-
University of Washington, Seattle.....	1,306	1,306	1,299	7	-
Washington State University, Pullman.....	241	241	240	1	-
Western Washington State College, Bellingham.....	209	209	196	13	-
West Virginia:					
Bluefield State College, Bluefield.....	41	41	41	-	-
Concord College, Athens.....	20	20	20	-	-
Fairmont State College, Fairmont.....	50	50	50	-	-
Glenville State College, Glenville.....	49	49	49	-	-
Marshall University, Huntington.....	190	190	155	35	-
Potowac State College, Keyser.....	25	25	25	-	-
Shepherd College, Shepherdstown.....	20	20	20	-	-
West Liberty State College, West Liberty.....	33	33	33	-	-
West Virginia Institute of Technology.....	56	56	56	-	-
West Virginia State College, Institute.....	39	39	39	-	-
West Virginia University, Morgantown.....	218	218	218	-	-
Wisconsin:					
University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.....	138	138	137	1	-
University of Wisconsin, Green Bay.....	132	132	130	2	-
University of Wisconsin, La Crosse.....	102	102	102	-	-
University of Wisconsin, Madison.....	1,075	1,075	1,032	43	-
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee.....	406	406	402	4	-
University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh.....	142	142	142	-	-
University of Wisconsin-Parkside, Kenosha.....	155	155	150	5	-
University of Wisconsin, Platteville.....	69	69	69	-	-
University of Wisconsin, River Falls.....	76	76	68	8	-
University of Wisconsin, Stout.....	104	74	73	1	30
University of Wisconsin, Superior.....	78	78	77	1	-
University of Wisconsin, Whitewater.....	130	130	122	8	-
Wyoming:					
University of Wyoming, Laramie.....	169	169	169	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1975
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	12,022	9,233	9,752	8,587
Alabama:				
Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University, Normal.....	11	11	11	9
Alabama Institute for the Deaf and Blind, Talladega.....	1	1	1	1
Alabama State University, Montgomery.....	25	15	17	13
Alexander City State Junior College, Alexander.....	1	1	1	1
Auburn University, Auburn.....	116	34	40	26
Bessemer State Technical College, Bessemer.....	-	-	-	-
Enterprise State Junior College, Enterprise.....	4	4	4	2
Faulkner State Junior College, Bay Minette.....	-	-	-	-
Gadsden State Junior College, Gadsden.....	6	5	5	3
George C. Wallace State Community College, Dothan.....	6	5	5	3
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville.....	12	12	12	5
Jefferson State Junior College, Birmingham.....	18	15	16	7
John C. Calhoun State Community College, Decatur.....	4	3	3	2
Livingston University, Livingston.....	10	7	9	8
Northeast Alabama State Junior College, Rainsville.....	1	-	1	1
Northwest Alabama State Junior College, Phil Campbell.....	5	1	2	1
Troy State University, Troy.....	7	6	6	5
The University of Alabama, University.....	54	34	38	31
University of Alabama in Birmingham, Birmingham.....	62	62	62	39
University of Montevallo, Montevallo.....	5	5	5	4
University of North Alabama, Florence.....	12	6	7	4
University of South Alabama, Mobile.....	17	11	13	8
Arizona:				
Arizona State University, Tempe.....	55	44	47	52
Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.....	40	30	32	21
University of Arizona, Tucson.....	92	92	92	75
Arkansas:				
Arkansas Polytechnic College, Russellville.....	4	4	4	2
Arkansas State University, State University.....	15	14	15	9
Arkansas State University, Beebe.....	1	1	1	1
Henderson State University, Arkadelphia.....	7	6	6	3
Southern State College, Magnolia.....	2	2	2	1
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.....	42	28	30	18
University of Arkansas, Little Rock.....	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
University of Arkansas, Monticello.....	7	7	7	4
University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff.....	10	10	10	7
University of Central Arkansas, Conway.....	14	14	14	8
California:				
California State College, Bakersfield.....	15	8	9	13
California State College, Dominguez Hills.....	17	16	16	19
California State College, San Bernardino.....	16	12	13	14
California State College, Sonoma.....	10	10	10	12
California State College, Stanislaus.....	9	7	7	10
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.....	32	14	17	22
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.....	25	23	24	26
California State University, Chico.....	16	10	12	14
California State University, Fresno.....	25	21	24	29
California State University, Fullerton.....	17	14	14	19
California State University, Hayward.....	17	17	17	24
California State University, Long Beach.....	36	36	36	33
California State University, Los Angeles.....	34	33	33	36
California State University, Northridge.....	40	33	35	38
California State University, Sacramento.....	42	32	35	26
Humboldt State University, Arcata.....	13	13	13	14
San Diego State University, San Diego.....	37	25	27	29
San Francisco State University, San Francisco.....	27	20	21	25
San Jose State University, San Jose.....	21	16	17	22
University of California, Berkeley (9 campuses).....	347	347	347	452
Colorado:				
Adams State College, Alamosa.....	9	5	6	7
Colorado School of Mines, Golden.....	6	6	6	6
Colorado State University, Fort Collins.....	30	24	25	24
El Paso Community College, Colorado Springs.....	7	3	3	2
Otero Junior College, La Junta.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Colorado, Boulder.....	67	57	63	61
University of Southern Colorado, Pueblo.....	17	10	11	11

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Connecticut:				
Central Connecticut State College, New Britain.....	23	23	23	17
Eastern Connecticut State College, Willimantic.....	7	7	7	5
Manchester Community College, Manchester.....	4	4	4	3
Mattatuck Community College, Waterbury.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven.....	42	23	25	20
University of Connecticut, Storrs.....	44	44	44	41
Western Connecticut State College, Danbury.....	7	7	7	7
Delaware:				
University of Delaware, Newark.....	106	71	76	63
Florida:				
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Tallahassee.....	17	17	17	20
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton.....	17	17	17	17
Florida International University, Miami.....	19	18	18	17
Florida State University, Tallahassee.....	43	42	42	58
Florida Technological University, Orlando.....	22	19	20	20
University of Florida, Gainesville.....	82	67	70	64
University of North Florida, Jacksonville.....	16	16	16	15
University of South Florida, Tampa.....	41	41	41	38
University of West Florida, Pensacola.....	17	15	15	17
Georgia:				
Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College, Tifton.....	6	6	6	3
Albany Junior College, Albany.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Albany State College, Albany.....	16	16	16	9
Augusta College, Augusta.....	12	9	10	5
Columbus College, Columbus.....	13	13	13	7
Fort Valley State College, Fort Valley.....	17	17	17	9
Georgia College, Milledgeville.....	8	8	8	4
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.....	43	43	43	38
Georgia Southern College, Statesboro.....	14	14	14	8
Georgia Southwestern College, Americus.....	14	14	14	7
Georgia State University, Atlanta.....	68	68	68	51
Medical College of Georgia, Augusta.....	33	33	33	27
Middle Georgia College, Cochran.....	6	6	6	3
North Georgia College, Dahlonega.....	6	6	6	4
Savannah State College, Savannah.....	14	14	14	9
South Georgia College, Douglas.....	7	6	6	3
University of Georgia, Athens.....	53	53	53	38
Valdosta State College, Valdosta.....	15	15	15	9
West Georgia College, Carrollton.....	22	18	18	17
Idaho:				
Boise State University, Boise.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Idaho State University, Pocatello.....	6	6	6	5
Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston.....	8	-	1	1
University of Idaho, Moscow.....	13	5	7	6
Illinois:				
Chicago State University, Chicago.....	29	26	27	31
Eastern Illinois University, Charleston.....	17	17	17	16
Governors State University, Park Forest South.....	21	21	21	24
Illinois State University, Normal.....	43	28	29	31
Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago.....	25	7	17	18
Northern Illinois University, De Kalb.....	51	36	38	33
Sangamon State University, Springfield.....	19	13	15	12
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.....	78	62	65	69
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville.....	27	27	27	33
University of Illinois Chicago Circle Campus.....	51	51	51	58
University of Illinois Medical Center, Chicago.....	41	41	41	52
University of Illinois, Urbana.....	69	69	69	98
Western Illinois University, Macomb.....	38	28	29	25
Indiana:				
Ball State University, Muncie.....	36	36	36	39
Indiana State University, Terre Haute.....	37	30	32	26
Indiana University, Bloomington.....	125	82	89	73
Indiana University Regional Campuses.....	22	15	17	15
Indiana University Purdue University, Indianapolis.....	83	67	72	66
Purdue University, Lafayette.....	50	49	49	52

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Iowa:				
Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames.....	63	28	32	25
University of Iowa, Iowa City.....	69	40	46	49
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls.....	20	18	19	15
Kansas:				
Emporia Kansas State College, Emporia.....	44	11	76	16
Fort Hays Kansas State College, Hays.....	11	10	10	8
Kansas State College, Pittsburg.....	18	13	14	12
Kansas State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, Manhattan....	41	41	41	30
University of Kansas, Lawrence.....	105	46	55	55
University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City.....	22	22	22	13
Wichita State University, Wichita.....	28	21	23	16
Kentucky:				
Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond.....	76	56	62	33
Kentucky State University, Frankfort.....	12	12	12	6
Morehead State University, Morehead.....	13	13	13	9
Murray State University, Murray.....	57	10	26	16
University of Kentucky, Lexington.....	86	78	81	51
University of Louisville, Louisville.....	42	32	35	21
Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green.....	38	31	32	22
Louisiana:				
Delgado Junior College, New Orleans.....	12	11	11	7
Grambling State University.....	16	16	16	11
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.....	53	35	37	34
Louisiana State University Medical Center, New Orleans.....	20	20	20	12
Louisiana Tech University, Ruston.....	15	15	15	11
McNeese State University, Lake Charles.....	9	9	9	6
Nicholls State University, Thibodaux.....	12	12	12	10
Northeast Louisiana University, Monroe.....	57	14	16	13
Northwestern State University of Louisiana, Natchitoches.....	22	10	15	12
Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond.....	16	16	16	12
Southern University Agriculture and Mechanical College, Baton Rouge.....	34	34	34	33
Southern University, New Orleans.....	23	23	23	16
Southern University, Shreveport.....	5	5	5	4
University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette.....	31	19	22	16
Maine:				
Northern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, Presque Isle.....	1	-	-	-
Southern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, South Portland.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
University of Maine, Bangor.....	66	64	65	45
University of Maine, Farmington.....	6	5	5	3
University of Maine, Orono.....	33	26	26	36
University of Maine, Portland-Gorham.....	10	10	10	6
Maryland:				
Bowie State College, Bowie.....	15	15	15	12
Coppin State College, Baltimore.....	16	16	16	11
Frostburg State College, Frostburg.....	14	14	14	14
Morgan State University, Baltimore.....	32	32	32	29
Saint Mary's College of Maryland.....	9	9	9	8
Salisbury State College, Salisbury.....	10	10	10	9
Towson State College, Baltimore.....	30	27	28	26
University of Maryland.....	70	70	70	80
Massachusetts:				
Bristol Community College, Fall River.....	4	4	4	3
Holyoke Community College, Holyoke.....	11	11	11	8
Massasoit Community College, Brockton.....	1	1	1	1
Mount Wachusett Community College, Gardner.....	7	7	7	5
Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester.....	8	8	8	5
Springfield Technical Community College, Springfield.....	15	15	15	10
Boston State College, Boston.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Bridgewater State College, Bridgewater.....	9	9	9	7
Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg.....	6	6	6	5
Framingham State College, Framingham.....	9	8	8	6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Massachusetts--Continued				
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay.....	2	2	2	2
North Adams State College, North Adams.....	9	8	8	7
Salem State College, Salem.....	16	16	16	11
Westfield State College, Westfield.....	11	11	11	8
Worcester State College, Worcester.....	3	3	3	2
University of Lowell, Lowell.....	12	12	12	10
University of Massachusetts, Amherst.....	88	80	81	61
Michigan:				
Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant.....	42	27	30	30
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti.....	51	22	33	44
Ferris State College, Big Rapids.....	26	16	19	18
Grand Valley State College, Allendale.....	13	7	8	11
Michigan State University, East Lansing.....	204	48	50	69
Michigan Technological University, Houghton.....	19	10	12	9
Northern Michigan University, Marquette.....	36	13	20	13
Oakland University, Rochester.....	35	16	18	18
Saginaw Valley State College.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.....	20	20	20	24
Wayne State University, Detroit.....	70	59	60	78
Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.....	68	49	52	60
Minnesota:				
Mankato State College, Mankato.....	-	-	-	-
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.....	69	69	69	50
Willmar Community College, Willmar.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Mississippi:				
Alcorn State University, Lorman.....	7	7	7	3
Delta State University, Cleveland.....	8	8	8	5
Jackson State University, Jackson.....	19	19	19	11
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State.....	25	21	22	14
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus.....	6	6	6	3
Mississippi Valley State University, Itta Bena.....	14	14	14	7
University of Mississippi, University.....	30	24	27	19
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson.....	46	46	46	31
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg.....	34	30	30	20
Missouri:				
Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg.....	25	17	21	13
Missouri Southern State College, Joplin.....	5	5	5	3
Missouri Western State College, St. Joseph.....	9	9	9	7
Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville.....	20	12	14	9
Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau.....	15	6	7	5
Southwest Missouri State University, Springfield.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
University of Missouri, Columbia.....	61	50	51	44
University of Missouri, Kansas City.....	43	38	39	39
University of Missouri, Rolla.....	24	24	24	20
University of Missouri, St. Louis.....	21	21	21	16
Montana:				
Eastern Montana University, Billings.....	9	9	9	7
Montana State University, Bozeman.....	11	10	10	9
University of Montana, Missoula.....	12	12	12	9
Nebraska:				
Kearney State College, Kearney.....	15	8	9	6
Peru State College, Peru.....	2	2	2	1
Wayne State College, Wayne.....	4	4	4	3
University of Nebraska, Lincoln.....	54	50	51	38
Nevada:				
University of Nevada, Las Vegas.....	9	8	9	8
University of Nevada, Reno.....	16	9	10	15
New Hampshire:				
New Hampshire Technical Institute, Concord.....	1	1	1	1
University of New Hampshire, Durham.....	38	26	28	20

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
New Jersey:				
College of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark.....	94	88	91	67
Glassboro State College, Glassboro.....	45	30	35	26
Kean College of New Jersey, Union.....	58	25	34	28
Montclair State College, Upper Montclair.....	42	41	41	33
Ramapo College of New Jersey, Mahwah.....	21	16	17	13
Richard Stockton State College, Pomona.....	23	16	18	15
Rutgers the State University, New Brunswick.....	159	144	151	123
Trenton State College, Trenton.....	30	28	29	23
New Mexico:				
Eastern New Mexico University, Portales.....	22	6	8	5
New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas.....	13	13	13	8
New Mexico Military Institute, Roswell.....	3	3	3	2
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.....	48	27	30	22
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.....	69	46	50	35
Western New Mexico University, Silver City.....	4	4	4	2
New York:				
State University of New York, Albany.....	53	46	47	59
State University of New York, Binghamton.....	33	33	33	33
State University of New York, Buffalo.....	77	75	75	81
State University of New York, Stony Brook.....	58	58	58	57
State University of New York Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn.....	57	57	57	56
State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse.....	13	13	13	12
State University of New York College, Brockport.....	27	27	27	27
State University of New York College, Buffalo.....	34	34	34	41
State University of New York College, Cortland.....	17	17	17	19
State University of New York College, Fredonia.....	17	17	17	17
State University of New York College, Geneseo.....	19	19	19	19
State University of New York College, New Paltz.....	106	18	25	27
State University of New York College, Old Westbury.....	29	24	25	29
State University of New York College, Oneonta.....	24	24	24	25
State University of New York College, Oswego.....	15	15	15	18
State University of New York College, Plattsburgh.....	21	21	21	20
State University of New York College, Potsdam.....	13	13	13	14
State University of New York College, Purchase.....	31	25	25	18
State University of New York College, Utica-Rome.....	1	1	1	1
State University of New York Maritime College, Bronx.....	10	9	9	10
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Alfred.....	18	18	18	17
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Canton.....	12	12	12	12
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Cobleskill.....	12	12	12	13
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Delhi.....	25	9	10	11
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Farmingdale.....	19	17	18	22
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Morrisville.....	8	8	8	7
North Carolina:				
Appalachian State University, Boone.....	14	13	13	10
East Carolina University, Greenville.....	24	24	24	17
Elizabeth City State University, Elizabeth City.....	8	8	8	6
Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville.....	10	10	10	7
North Carolina Agriculture and Technical State University, Greensboro.....	19	19	19	14
North Carolina Central University, Durham.....	19	19	19	14
North Carolina State University, Raleigh.....	32	32	32	25
Pembroke State University, Pembroke.....	7	7	7	5
University of North Carolina, Asheville.....	8	8	8	5
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.....	81	35	43	35
University of North Carolina, Charlotte.....	20	19	19	13
University of North Carolina, Greensboro.....	20	19	20	15
University of North Carolina, Wilmington.....	8	8	8	6
Western Carolina University, Cullowhee.....	12	12	12	9
Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem.....	17	17	17	12

See footnotes at end of table.

APPENDIX 1—Continued

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
North Dakota:				
North Dakota State School of Science, Wahpeton.....	4	3	3	3
North Dakota State University, Fargo.....	12	10	10	9
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.....	9	9	9	9
Ohio:				
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green.....	23	23	23	24
Central State University, Wilberforce.....	11	11	11	11
Cleveland State University, Cleveland.....	46	46	46	38
Kent State University, Kent.....	48	35	38	43
Medical College of Ohio, Toledo.....	10	10	10	8
Miami University, Oxford.....	50	43	44	38
Ohio State University, Columbus.....	105	78	82	86
Ohio University, Athens.....	39	35	35	30
University of Akron, Akron.....	27	27	27	28
University of Toledo.....	46	30	32	29
Wright State University, Dayton.....	24	21	22	17
Youngstown State University, Youngstown.....	40	20	25	25
Oklahoma:				
Cameron University, Lawton.....	7	7	7	4
Central State University, Edmond.....	9	9	9	6
Connors State Agriculture College, Warner.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Langston University, Langston.....	4	4	4	2
Murray State College, Tishomingo.....	3	2	3	1
Northeastern Oklahoma State University, Tahlequah.....	18	8	9	8
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Alva.....	4	3	3	2
Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Goodwell.....	2	-	(²)	(²)
Oklahoma State University, Stillwater.....	38	34	34	25
Southeastern Oklahoma State University, Durant.....	7	5	5	3
Tulsa Junior College, Tulsa.....	9	1	7	6
University of Oklahoma, Norman.....	50	34	39	26
University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, Oklahoma City.....	32	32	32	17
University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma, Chickasha.....	3	3	3	1
Oregon:				
Oregon State University, Corvallis.....	19	19	19	16
University of Oregon, Eugene.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Pennsylvania:				
Bloomsburg State College, Bloomsburg.....	17	17	17	15
California State College, California.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Cheyney State College, Cheyney.....	18	18	18	14
Clarion State College, Clarion.....	33	14	16	15
East Stroudsburg State College, East Stroudsburg.....	14	14	14	12
Edinboro State College, Edinboro.....	21	21	21	18
Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana.....	23	18	19	16
Kutztown State College, Kutztown.....	7	7	7	6
Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven.....	9	9	9	7
Mansfield State College, Mansfield.....	13	13	13	10
Millersville State College, Millersville.....	90	16	22	18
Shippensburg State College, Shippensburg.....	16	16	16	14
Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock.....	17	17	17	13
West Chester State College, West Chester.....	39	25	27	22
Pennsylvania State University, University Park.....	243	128	147	114
South Carolina:				
Citadel Military College of South Carolina, Charleston.....	11	11	11	9
Clemson University, Clemson.....	25	25	25	25
Frances Marion College, Florence.....	8	6	6	6
Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston.....	44	44	44	39
South Carolina State College, Orangeburg.....	16	15	15	13
University of South Carolina, Columbia.....	85	70	71	62
Wintrop College, Rock Hill.....	16	16	16	12
South Dakota:				
South Dakota State University, Brookings.....	26	9	10	8
University of South Dakota, Springfield.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Tennessee:				
Austin Peay State University, Clarksville.....	18	9	10	6
Cleveland State Community College, Cleveland.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
East Tennessee State University, Johnson City.....	20	14	15	10
Memphis State University, Memphis.....	55	55	55	46
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro.....	51	20	34	20
Motlow State Community College, Tullahoma.....	5	4	4	4
Tennessee State University, Nashville.....	18	18	18	12
Tennessee Technical University, Cookeville.....	20	19	19	10
University of Tennessee, Knoxville.....	198	95	105	86
Walter State Community College, Morristown.....	5	5	5	2
Texas:				
Angelo State University, San Angelo.....	10	10	10	7
East Texas State University, Commerce.....	23	22	22	15
Lamar University, Beaumont.....	32	20	20	13
Midwestern State University, Wichita.....	12	8	9	8
North Texas State University, Denton.....	43	27	35	30
Pan American University, Edinburg.....	13	13	13	6
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville.....	32	14	19	13
Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos.....	31	14	17	13
Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches.....	34	18	22	13
Sul Ross State University, Alpine.....	6	6	6	3
Texas Agricultural and Industrial University, Kingsville.....	33	24	26	15
Texas Agricultural and Mechanical University, College Station.....	60	50	52	35
Prairie View Agricultural and Mechanical University, Prairie View.....	17	11	15	11
Tarleton State University, Stephenville.....	9	6	8	5
Texas Southern University, Houston.....	22	22	22	14
Texas State Technical Institute—James Connally Campus, Waco.....	12	12	12	10
Texas Technical University, Lubbock.....	45	39	40	30
Texas Women's University, Denton.....	23	17	20	15
University of Houston, Houston.....	60	60	60	47
University of Texas, Austin.....	153	137	141	111
University of Texas, Arlington.....	51	40	44	34
University of Texas, Dallas.....	14	14	14	11
University of Texas, El Paso.....	43	29	32	23
University of Texas Health Science Center, Dallas.....	43	43	43	35
University of Texas Cancer Center, Houston.....	33	33	33	30
University of Texas Dental School, San Antonio.....	23	23	23	19
University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.....	22	22	22	18
West Texas State University, Canyon.....	13	9	10	6
Utah:				
University of Utah, Salt Lake.....	72	52	58	73
Utah State University, Logan.....	1	-	(²)	-
Southern Utah State College, Cedar City.....	11	2	3	2
Weber State College, Ogden.....	12	8	9	5
Dixie College, Saint George.....	3	1	2	1
Snow College, Ephraim.....	4	1	2	1
Vermont:				
Castleton State College, Castleton.....	17	2	4	3
Lyndon State College, Lyndonville.....	1	1	1	1
University of Vermont, Burlington.....	38	28	28	18
Virginia:				
Clinch Valley College, Wise.....	3	3	3	2
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg.....	33	21	24	19
George Mason University, Fairfax.....	17	17	17	12
Madison College, Harrisonburg.....	29	16	18	13
Mary Washington College, Fredericksburg.....	14	11	12	9
Norfolk State College, Norfolk.....	19	19	19	14
Old Dominion University, Norfolk.....	35	32	33	22
Radford College, Radford.....	17	17	17	12
University of Virginia, Charlottesville.....	53	53	53	50
Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond.....	114	60	79	60
Virginia Military Institute, Lexington.....	5	5	5	4
Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg.....	119	36	45	33
Virginia State College, Petersburg.....	9	9	9	7
Central Virginia Community College.....	2	2	2	1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1975—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government ¹	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Virginia--Continued				
Dabney S. Lancaster Community College, Clifton Forge.....	1	1	1	1
Danville Community College, Danville.....	8	1	3	2
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond.....	16	4	11	9
Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale.....	41	29	37	26
Paul D. Camp Community College, Franklin.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Southside Virginia Community College, Alberta.....	10	6	7	4
Southwest Virginia Community College, Richlands.....	1	1	1	1
Thomas Nelson Community College, Richmond.....	5	5	5	3
Virginia Highlands Community College, Richmond.....	1	1	1	1
Virginia Western Community College, Roanoke.....	9	7	9	7
Wytheville Community College, Wytheville.....	2	2	2	1
Washington:				
Big Bend Community College, Moses Lake.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Central Washington State College, Ellensburg.....	14	10	10	11
Eastern Washington State College, Cheney.....	45	8	11	10
The Evergreen State College, Olympia.....	14	5	6	7
Fort Steilacoom Community College, Tacoma.....	7	3	4	3
Green River Community College, Auburn.....	(²)	(²)	(²)	(²)
Seattle Community College, Seattle.....	4	4	4	4
Tacoma Community College, Tacoma.....	4	4	4	4
University of Washington, Seattle.....	92	89	90	89
Washington State University, Pullman.....	23	20	20	19
Western Washington State College, Bellingham.....	41	13	19	18
West Virginia:				
Bluefield State College, Bluefield.....	3	3	3	1
Concord College, Athens.....	3	3	3	2
Fairmont State College, Fairmont.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Glenville State College, Glenville.....	7	7	7	4
Marshall University, Huntington.....	25	18	19	13
Potomac State College, Keyser.....	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)
Shepherd College, Shepherdstown.....	4	4	4	2
West Liberty State College, West Liberty.....	5	5	5	3
West Virginia Institute of Technology.....	20	6	7	4
West Virginia State College, Institute.....	6	3	4	2
West Virginia University, Morgantown.....	36	36	36	25
Wisconsin:				
University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.....	24	12	13	12
University of Wisconsin, Green Bay.....	12	12	12	10
University of Wisconsin, La Crosse.....	10	10	10	9
University of Wisconsin, Madison.....	95	84	86	90
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee.....	54	32	32	33
University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh.....	17	14	15	13
University of Wisconsin-Parkside, Kenosha.....	17	10	11	10
University of Wisconsin, Platteville.....	6	6	6	6
University of Wisconsin, River Falls.....	12	6	8	6
University of Wisconsin, Stout.....	8	7	7	5
University of Wisconsin, Superior.....	7	7	7	7
University of Wisconsin, Whitewater.....	11	11	11	10
Wyoming:				
University of Wyoming, Laramie.....	33	16	21	17

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.

²Unit of government does not directly employ police personnel. Police services are provided by contract with another government or with a private security firm.

³No longer has power of arrest.

APPENDIX 2: DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

Following is a glossary of terms, concepts, and categories used in this report and comments concerning their limitations.

The definitions are those applied in the field compilation of data for the 50 States, 334 largest counties, and 394 largest cities. These definitions were necessarily summarized for inclusion in the survey questionnaires (see appendix 3) sent to governments in the mail portion of the survey.

Government expenditure

Expenditure is all amounts of money paid out (net of any correcting transactions) other than for retirement of debt (including interest), investment in securities, extensions of loans, or agency transactions. It includes only external cash payments and excludes any intragovernmental transfers and noncash transactions, such as the provision of meals or housing of employees. It also includes any payments financed from borrowing, fund balances, intergovernmental revenue, and other current revenue. In several instances, two or more governments share the expense of maintaining a court or other criminal justice agency. In these cases, the allocable direct expenditure amount is reported for each government in the appropriate category. When a government pays pensions directly to retired employees from appropriated funds, such payments are included as expenditure of the government concerned. However, State and local government contributions to retirement systems and various other employee benefits are not included in expenditure data, since the majority of governments make lump-sum contributions to plans covering all government employees and cannot report for criminal justice employees separately. Neither in government's basic accounting records (from which criminal justice expenditure figures are drawn) nor in the records of their general-coverage employee benefit systems is there usually any breakdown of amounts contributed in terms of the various agencies or functions involved. Nor has an adequate procedure for calculating the proportion of such contributions allocable to criminal justice employees been developed because of the wide variation in the coverage of various plans, employee status requirements, benefit rates, etc.

For those governments whose records reflect contributions of criminal justice employees separately, separate data were obtained. However, these data are subject to the same variation referred to above, and neither the amount nor the quality of the data reported would permit any attempt to estimate total contributions for all similar governments in a given State. Given the problems involved, no estimation procedure seems feasible. Such data as were reported separately were therefore excluded from total criminal justice expenditures to provide a consistent data base for administering the "variable pass-through" requirement.

Expenditure is divided into two major categories by character:

1. Direct expenditure is all expenditure except that classed as intergovernmental and is further divided into two principal object categories:

a. Direct current, which includes salaries, wages, fees, and commissions, purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services.

b. Capital outlay, which includes expenditure for the three subcategories below:

Construction: Production of fixed works and structures, and additions, replacements and major alterations thereto undertaken either on a contract basis by private contractors or through force account construction by the employees of the government. Included are the planning and designing of specific projects, the grading, landscaping, and other site improvement, and the provision of equipment and facilities that are integral parts of the structure.

Equipment: Purchase and installation of apparatus, furnishings, office equipment, motor vehicles and the like having an expected life of more than 5 years. This includes both additional equipment and replacements. Rentals for equipment, including rental payments that may be credited on the purchase price if purchase options are exercised, are classified as direct current expenditure. Equipment and facilities that are integral parts of constructed or purchased structures are classified respectively under construction or purchase of land and existing structures.

Purchase of land and existing structures: Purchase of these assets as such, purchase of rights-of-way, and title search and similar activities associated with purchase transactions.

The other object categories—interest on general debt, assistance and subsidies, and insurance benefits—are not applied to specific functions because they are not ordinarily available on a functional basis from government financial reports. In the few instances where bonded or mortgaged general indebtedness is identified for specific purposes, the interest payments are aggregated with other interest expenditures which make it virtually impossible to arrive at reliable and consistent breakouts of such data over a long period of time.

2. Intergovernmental expenditure is payments from one government to another, including grants-in-aid, shared revenues, payments in lieu of taxes, and amounts for services performed by one government for another on a reimbursable or cost-sharing basis (for example, payments by one government to another for boarding prisoners).

Total expenditure is direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for criminal justice activities.

Total general expenditure is all expenditure of a government or level of government for all government functions, including criminal justice activities, but excluding utility system expenditure, liquor store expenditure, and insurance trust expenditure. Total general expenditure shown for the local level of government includes expenditure only of general purpose governments, and excludes expenditure of special districts and school districts.

Government employment

Employees includes all persons paid for personal services performed, including all paid officials and persons in paid leave status, and excludes unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners and contractors. Under this definition are two classes:

1. Full-time employees, who are all persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1975, on a full-time basis, including all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period, as well as persons having permanent status.

2. Part-time employees, who are persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1975, on a basis other than full-time, and persons paid by more than one government.

Derived from these two classes is:

Full-time equivalent employees, which means the total number of employees, discounted by applying average full-time earning rates. This is calculated by dividing the total payroll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payroll and multiplying this by the number of full-time employees.

Payroll is the gross payroll before deductions and includes salaries, wages, fees and commissions paid to employees as defined above for the month of October 1975.

Governmental functions

Police protection is the function of enforcing the law, preserving order, and apprehending those who violate the law, whether these activities are performed by a police department, a sheriff's department, or a special police force maintained by an agency whose prime responsibility is outside the criminal justice system, but which has a police force to perform these activities in its specialized area (geographic or functional).

Included in this activity are regular police services, the maintenance of buildings used for police purposes, and such specialized police forces (including public and private contract forces) as airport police, free and toll highway police, free and toll bridge and tunnel police, housing police, maritime police, park police, transit and other utility system police, college and university campus police, and alcoholic beverage control agents. Coroners and medical examiners are also included. Excluded are vehicular inspection and licensing, traffic safety and engineering, fish and game wardens, fire marshals, and the like.

The special police forces included in the data are only those which are part of general purpose governments. Security forces, building guards, school crossing guards, and meter maids without general arrest power were excluded. Those special police forces that are part of independent school districts or special districts are not included in the data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected larger special police forces of these districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B. In addition, data for State and local government dependent colleges and universities are displayed in tables C and D.

In most States, sheriffs' departments are multifunctional agencies providing police protection, judicial, and/or correctional services. In order to allocate expenditure and employment data to the proper activity, the data for sheriffs' departments are

prorated using factors developed from a special survey of sheriffs' departments conducted in 1973, or more current estimates of manpower distribution if available.

Short-term custody and detention have traditionally been considered part of the police protection function; and in editions prior to the 1969-70 report, were treated as such. However, beginning with the 1969-70 report, the concept was modified on the basis of information obtained from the 1970 National Jail Census. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in the "corrections" sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in the "police protection" sector.

Judicial activities encompass all civil and criminal courts and activities associated with courts such as law libraries, grand juries, petit juries, and the like. Since the names of courts with similar functions and legal jurisdiction vary from State to State and even within States, data have been categorized by types of court rather than by court name.

1. Appellate courts include courts of last resort and intermediate appellate courts. These are courts having jurisdiction of appeal and review, with original jurisdiction conferred only in special cases.

a. Court of last resort is the court of final appeal within the judicial structure of each State. It is called the "Court of Appeals" in the District of Columbia, Maryland, and New York; the "Supreme Court of Appeals" in West Virginia; the "Supreme Judicial Court" in Maine and Massachusetts. In Texas and Oklahoma two courts of last resort are authorized—the "Court of Criminal Appeals" for criminal cases and a "Supreme Court" for civil cases. In every other State the court of last resort is titled the "Supreme Court."

b. Intermediate appellate courts are those that are limited in their appellate jurisdiction by State law or at the discretion of the court of last resort. In 16 of the 25 States with a court of this type operating in fiscal year 1974-75 the name "court of appeals" is used. These States are:

Arizona	Michigan
California	Missouri
Colorado	New Mexico
Florida	North Carolina
Georgia	Ohio
Kentucky	Oklahoma
Indiana	Oregon
Louisiana	Washington

In Illinois the title is "Appellate Court"; in Maryland, "Court of Special Appeals"; in Massachusetts, "Appeals Court"; in New Jersey, "Appellate Division of the Superior Court"; in New York¹, "Appellate Division of the Supreme Court"; and in Texas, "Court of Civil Appeals." In Alabama the civil and criminal cases are heard on appeal by separate courts—a "Court of Civil Appeals" and a "Court of Criminal Appeals." In Tennessee the "Court of Appeals" hears only civil appeals; a separate "Court of Criminal Appeals" reviews criminal cases before review by the court of last resort. In Pennsylvania the "Commonwealth Court" reviews all

¹There are also three appellate terms of the Supreme Court that have jurisdiction in specific cases that would otherwise be heard by the appellate division.

cases brought by or against the State government or its agencies; the "Superior Court" reviews all other appeals except those within the exclusive jurisdiction of the court of last resort.

2. Courts of general jurisdiction are trial courts having unlimited original jurisdiction in civil and/or criminal cases, the names of which vary considerably. The list below shows the title of the courts of general jurisdiction in each State. Several States are listed more than once because local situations led to the development of separate courts, either to hear cases involving different types of pleadings or to hear cases in particular local jurisdictions.

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of general jurisdiction courts. These judges were counted as part-time employees at both the State and local levels when actually receiving a check from both governments.

Circuit courts

Alabama	Mississippi
Arkansas	Missouri
Florida	Oregon
Hawaii	South Carolina
Illinois	South Dakota
Indiana	Tennessee ²
Kentucky	Virginia
Maryland	West Virginia ²
Michigan ²	Wisconsin

District courts

Colorado	Nevada
Idaho	New Mexico
Iowa	North Dakota
Kansas	Oklahoma
Louisiana	Texas
Minnesota	Utah
Montana	Wyoming
Nebraska	

Superior courts

Alaska	Indiana ²
Arizona	Maine
California	Massachusetts
Connecticut	New Hampshire
Delaware	New Jersey
District of Columbia	North Carolina
Georgia	Rhode Island
	Washington

Chancery courts

Arkansas	Mississippi
Delaware	Tennessee

County courts

New Jersey	Vermont
New York	Wisconsin

Common pleas courts

Missouri
Ohio
Pennsylvania

Supreme court
New York

3. Courts of limited jurisdiction are courts whose legal jurisdiction covers only a particular class of cases or cases where the amount in controversy is below a prescribed sum or is subject to specific exceptions. Included under this category are probate courts, juvenile courts and other courts of limited jurisdiction.

Probate courts are also called orphans courts, surrogate's courts, or courts of ordinary. The subject jurisdiction varies from place to place, but generally includes estate settlement; probate and contest of wills; adoption; commitment of the insane; administration of the affairs of orphans, mental defectives and incompetents; guardianship of minors; apprenticeship; receivership; change of name proceedings; and the administration of trusts.

Juvenile courts are those that deal primarily with delinquent and neglected children regardless of the name of the court. In various places such courts are called juvenile courts, family courts, juvenile and domestic relations courts, domestic relations courts, or other similar names. The jurisdiction of these courts can include crimes committed by persons under legal age; juvenile status offenses; offenses against children; probation of minor delinquents; adoption, custody, or disposition of minor and mentally incompetent children; child neglect or abandonment; child and wife support; and paternity.

Other courts includes various other State and local courts with limited jurisdiction such as justices of the peace, district magistrates, justice courts, county courts of limited jurisdiction, municipal courts, city courts, etc. Also included are specialized courts such as tax courts, courts of claims, and courts having jurisdiction over more than one type of case (e.g., a court that handles both juvenile and probate cases).

4. Miscellaneous judicial includes data on judicial activities that could not be reported under any of the above court categories, such as judicial councils and conferences, court administration offices (where identifiable), law libraries, jury commissions, and grand juries.

Legal services and prosecution includes the civil and criminal justice activities of the attorneys general, district attorneys, State's attorneys, and their variously named equivalents; corporation counsels, solicitors, and legal departments with various names. It includes providing legal advice to the chief executives and subordinate departmental officers, representation of the government in lawsuits, and the prosecution of accused violators of criminal law. These activities are included whether performed by one office or several, because in some jurisdictions a single officer provides all legal services, whereas in others a prosecutor's office handles only criminal matters, and a separate attorney's office performs all civil legal services. The operations of various investigative agencies having full arrest powers and attached to offices of attorneys general, district attorneys, or their variously named equivalents are also included.

²In these States, the above-named courts are supplemented in some counties and cities by general jurisdiction courts with varying names.

Public defense includes legal counsel and representation in either criminal or civil proceedings as provided by public defenders, and other government programs that pay the fees of court-appointed counsel. These include court-paid fees to individually retained counsel, fees paid by the court to court-appointed counsel, government contributions to private legal aid societies and bar association-sponsored programs, and the activities of an established public defender office or program. Employment data are included only for public defenders' offices, because fee-paid counselors are not considered government employees, nor are counselors working for bar associations or legal aid societies.

Corrections is that function of government involving the confinement and rehabilitation of adults and juveniles convicted of offenses against the law and the confinement of persons suspected of a crime and awaiting adjudication. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in this sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in "Police Protection." Correction includes the operation of prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, and other institutions. It also includes institutions, facilities and programs exclusively for the confinement of the criminally insane or for the examination, evaluation, classification, and assignment of inmates and institutions and programs for the confinement, treatment, and rehabilitation of drug addicts and alcoholics if the institution or program is administered by a correction agency of the criminal justice system. Pardon boards and parole and probation agencies, including resettlement or halfway houses for those not in need of institutionalization, are included in the correction sector as a separate subcategory.

1. Correctional institutions are prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, penitentiaries, correctional farms, workhouses, reception centers, diagnostic centers, industrial schools, training schools, detention centers, and a variety of other types of institutions for the confinement and correction of convicted adults or juveniles adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision, and for the detention of those adults and juveniles accused of a crime and awaiting trial or hearing. When an institution maintains a prison industry or agricultural program, data on the cost of production or the value of prison labor used by agencies of the same government, if identifiable, are excluded (and classed as expenditure for the function using the products or services). Expenditure for the manufacture, production, sale, and distribution of goods produced for sale or use outside the government are included under this heading.

a. Institutions for men includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult male offenders.

b. Institutions for women includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult female offenders. Where there is no separate women's prison, women offenders are either maintained in a prison complex that also houses other offenders or are boarded in private facilities or institutions in another State. No attempt was made to prorate data on institutions housing more than one type of inmate, but where females are boarded in private institutions or in another State, available expenditure data was tabulated. Employment data were not tabulated because the personnel were employees of another government.

New Hampshire boards its female offenders in the Massachusetts Correctional Institution (for Women). Montana operates a split system, housing some females at the

Warm Springs State Hospital and contracting with Nebraska for the rest. Vermont also operates a split system, housing some females and contracting with Massachusetts for the rest. North Dakota and Wyoming house all of their female offenders in the Nebraska Reformatory for Women and Idaho contracts with the State of Oregon for boarding its female offenders in the Oregon Women's Correctional Center.

c. Institutions for juveniles are those institutions identified by the 1974 Juvenile Detention and Correction Facility Census as housing primarily juveniles and in some States, "youthful offenders." These institutions include those under the control of a juvenile court, a probation department, or a youth authority or other similarly designated administrative body, as well as independently administered institutions. Also included are government payments to private agencies for the detention or treatment of delinquent juveniles.

There is considerable variation from State to State in the legal definition of a juvenile, particularly in regard to the age at which a person is no longer considered a juvenile. Institutions for juveniles have been classified individually in accordance with the laws and age designations of their respective States. Institutions housing youths treated as adults by the courts or other authority were included in the institutions for men category.

d. Other and combined institutions are those institutions holding a combination of inmates. Where expenditure or employment data for physically separate institutions for juveniles, adult females, and adult males were not separable by institution or type of institution, the entire amounts were included under this category.

2. Correctional administration consists of data for the administration of the correctional system, including data on the central administrative office (for example, the department of corrections or a youth authority) and available data on the administration of individual correctional institutions. For some individual institutions, administration data were not segregable from data on the operation of the institution.

3. Probation, parole, and pardon includes data on probation agencies, boards of parole, boards of pardon, and their variously named equivalents. Although probation agencies frequently function under the administration of the general jurisdiction court, the data are presented here after having been deducted from the judicial data, because of the correctional nature of the probation function. If the probation, parole, and pardon activities, or any of them individually, were part of the correctional administrative office, data were deducted and shown separately here, and the balance was shown under the correctional administration category. The overlapping character of the probation, parole, and pardon activities prevented the separate presentation of these data.

4. Miscellaneous correction includes expenditure and employment data that could not be classified under one of the other subcategories.

Other criminal justice activities, shown in some tables, includes expenditure or employment data that are not elsewhere classified, that cut across more than one category, or that are not allocable to separate categories; e.g., expenditure on a general curriculum in educational institutions, the operation of State criminal justice agencies, crime commissions, etc. Such data are included in the totals, where they are not shown separately.

APPENDIX 3: SURVEY FORMS

Form CJ-6 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments

This form was mailed to the chief financial officer of the counties and municipalities surveyed.

Form CJ-6D Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments (Fee-Supported Offices)

This form was mailed to the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and/or Clerk of Court in the States of Florida, Kentucky and Louisiana. Data for these fee-supported offices were incorporated in the appropriate criminal justice function data for the county where located.

Form CJ-23 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Selected Special Police Forces

This form was mailed to special police forces serving colleges and universities, special districts and independent school districts. Data for college and university forces were incorporated in the police protection data for the parent government and are displayed separately in appendix 1, tables C and D. Data for special police forces serving independent school districts or special districts were not included in the regular police protection data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected large police forces serving such districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B.

Form CJ-25 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of the Federal Government

This form was mailed to the chief financial or administrative officer of individual Federal agencies, bureaus, and offices identified as part of the criminal justice system. A list of the units canvassed in the survey for this year is presented in tables 4 and 5.

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1977-240-869/1039

IMPORTANT — PLEASE READ INSTRUCTIONS FOR Part II — EXPENDITURE, PAGE 2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If your government's fiscal year ends on December 31, enter annual expenditure for calendar year 1974 (even though calendar year 1975 data may be available). • If your government's fiscal year ends on June 30, enter annual expenditure for the period July 1, 1974–June 30, 1975. • If your government's fiscal year ends on a date other than December 31 or June 30, enter annual expenditure for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 1975. 		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS FORM CJ-6 <small>(10-6-76)</small> SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS <small>In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address</small>
<small>RETURN TO</small> Bureau of the Census 120x East Tenth Street Jeffersonville, Indiana 47130		<small>(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)</small>
Name _____ <small>Data supplied by</small> Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code) _____		Title _____ Telephone _____ <small>Area code Number Extension</small>
<p>Dear Friend:</p> <p>On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for six criminal justice functions: police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, indigent defense, corrections, and other criminal justice. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.</p> <p>The data collected in this voluntary survey are utilized by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.</p> <p>It would be most helpful if we could receive your reply within 3 weeks. A preaddressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience. If you have any problems in filling out this questionnaire, you may call collect for assistance on area code (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p><i>Vincent P. Barabba</i></p> <p>VINCENT P. BARABBA Director Bureau of the Census</p> <p>Enclosures</p> <p>Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System _____ <input type="checkbox"/></p>		



ACTIVITIES	Part I - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL				Part II - EXPENDITURE				Your fiscal year was - <input type="checkbox"/> July 1, 1974 - June 30, 1975 <input type="checkbox"/> Jan. 1 - Dec. 31, 1974 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify ↴
	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES		CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	
	Number of employees (a)	Payroll amount (one pay period which included October 15, 1975) (Omit cents) (b)	Number of employees (c)	Payroll amount (one pay period which included October 15, 1975) (Omit cents) (d)	Annual salaries and all operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits. Enter in col. (j) (e)	Construction, equipment, and land (f)	Payments to other local governments (g)	Payments to the State government (h)	FICA, PERS, insurance, workmen's comp., etc. Exclude employee contributions. (i)
1. POLICE PROTECTION - In addition to all regular police activities, include special police force units (park, housing, etc.) having general power to arrest. Include also coroners and medical examiners. Exclude jails holding adults or juveniles more than 48 hours and report under "Corrections." Exclude also school crossing guards.									
2. JUDICIAL - All civil and criminal courts and court-related activities (e.g., jury fees, clerk of court, law libraries). Exclude probation and parole and report under "Corrections." Exclude fees to court-appointed counsel and report under "Indigent Defense." Exclude prosecutors and report under "Legal Services and Prosecution."									
3. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION - Prosecuting attorneys' offices and legal departments headed by an attorney or solicitor. Include annual retainers and/or fees paid to private attorneys/law firms in part II, column (e), only.									
4. INDIGENT DEFENSE - Public defenders' offices. Include fees paid to court-appointed counsel and contributions to private legal aid societies in part II, column (e), only.									
5. CORRECTIONS - Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention homes and the like holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours. Also probation and parole including halfway houses. Include alcohol and narcotic addiction programs only if administered by the correctional authority. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.									
6. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE - Other criminal justice activities (e.g., crime councils, criminal justice planning agencies) not reported above.									

Please list these activities separately on page 3.

ITEM A — Mark the pay period interval which applies to the payrolls reported above.

1. Full-time employees ("X" one box only)

- Monthly
- Twice a month (24 pay periods per year)
- Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year)
- Weekly
- Other — Specify _____

CENSUS USE

2. Part-time employees ("X" one box only)

- Monthly
- Twice a month (24 pay periods per year)
- Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year)
- Weekly
- Other — Specify _____

CENSUS USE

ITEM B — Revenue direct from the Federal Government

Enter all revenue your government received for criminal justice activities direct from the Federal Government (not passed through any State agency) in your fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 1975.

\$

DEFINITIONS

► Part I — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

- **EMPLOYEES** — All persons paid by your government for personal services performed, including all officials, salaried workers, and other persons in paid leave status. Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees, and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your government.

Full-time employees (column a) — Persons employed on a full-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1975. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

Part-time employees (column c) — Persons employed on a part-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1975. Include here all persons working for your government who are paid by more than one government (e.g., with supplemental check).

- **PAYROLL (columns b and d)** — Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1975, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

► Part II — EXPENDITURE

- **CURRENT OPERATION (column e)** — Annual expenditure for salaries and wages of your government's officers and employees and for the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services from individuals and firms in the private sector, e.g., attorney retainers or fees to court-appointed counsel. Exclude all capital outlay (see below), debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions, within-government transactions, and employer contributions for employee benefits (report in column "j").

► Part II — EXPENDITURE — Continued

- **CAPITAL OUTLAY (column f)** — Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

- **INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE** — All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services or care and boarding of prisoners in another government's jail). Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property or utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.


Payments to other local governments (column g) — Payments of your government to another county, city, town, special district, or school district.

Payments to the State government (column h) — Payments of your government to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

- **GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (column i)** — Any employer contributions, separable by activity, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid by your government for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

- **ITEM A** — Report the pay period interval for which all or most of the full-time (or part-time) employees are paid.

- **ITEM B — REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT** — Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue Sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlement(s); for boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.



OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES

1. _____

2. _____

3. _____

4. _____

5. _____

6. _____

7. _____


8. _____

9. _____

10. _____

COMMENTS

IMPORTANT — PLEASE READ		FORM CJ-6D (10-8-75)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (FEE-SUPPORTED OFFICES)		
INSTRUCTIONS FOR Part II — EXPENDITURE, PAGE 2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If your office's fiscal year ends on December 31, enter annual expenditure for calendar year 1974 (even though calendar year 1975 data may be available). • If your office's fiscal year ends on June 30, enter annual expenditure for the period July 1, 1974–June 30, 1975. • If your office's fiscal year ends on a date other than December 31 or June 30, enter annual expenditure for your office's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 1975. 		In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address			
RETURN TO	Bureau of the Census 1201 East Tenth Street Jeffersonville, Indiana 47130		<i>(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)</i>		
Data supplied by	Name	Title			
	Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)	Telephone			
		Area code	Number	Extension	
<p>Dear Friend:</p> <p>On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for various criminal justice activities. Since the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and Clerk of Court are included as part of the civil and criminal justice system, we will appreciate your cooperation in again providing information for three of these activities as they apply to your office: police protection, judicial, and corrections.</p> <p>The data collected in this voluntary survey are utilized by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.</p> <p>If your office has converted from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office, please follow the instructions at the top of page 2 of this questionnaire.</p> <p>It would be most helpful if we could receive your reply within 3 weeks. A preaddressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience. If you have any problems in filling out this questionnaire, you may call collect for assistance on area code (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p><i>Vincent P. Barabba</i></p> <p>VINCENT P. BARABBA Director Bureau of the Census</p> <p>Enclosures</p> <p>Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System ————— <input type="checkbox"/></p>					





CONTINUED

4 OF 5

INSTRUCTIONS

Before completing parts I and II and items A and B below, please complete the following question. Regardless of your answer to this question please complete the rest of the questionnaire.

Is your office a fee-supported office now? That is, is it financed and staffed in whole or in part by fees collected and retained?

Yes - Answer a →

No - Answer b →

Never was a fee office

a. Will your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office on or before June 30, 1976?

Yes →

No

Enter date

b. When did your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office?

Enter date

ACTIVITIES

Part I - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

Enter employment and payroll for your office's one pay period which included October 15, 1975.

Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data.

Count a person only one time in the activity where he works the largest part of his time.

Part II - EXPENDITURE

Enter annual expenditure for the activities listed below for your office's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 1975.

See instructions on cover of questionnaire.

Your fiscal year was -

- July 1, 1974 - June 30, 1975
- Jan. 1 - Dec. 31, 1974
- Other - Specify ↴

EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
FICA, PERS, insurance, workers' comp., etc.
Exclude employee contributions.

	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES		CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
	Number of employees (a)	Payroll amount (one pay period which included October 15, 1975) (Omit cents) (b)	Number of employees (c)	Payroll amount (one pay period which included October 15, 1975) (Omit cents) (d)			Annual salaries and all operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits. Enter in col. (j)	Construction, equipment, and land (f)	Payments to other local governments (g)
1. POLICE PROTECTION (Sheriffs only) - In addition to all regular police activities, include also coroners and medical examiners if paid by your office. Exclude jails holding adults or juveniles more than 48 hours and report under "Corrections." Exclude also school crossing guards.									
2. JUDICIAL (Sheriffs and Clerks of Court) - All civil and criminal courts and court-related activities (e.g., jury fees and law libraries). Exclude probation and parole and report under "Corrections." Exclude also fees to court-appointed counsel and prosecution.									
3. CORRECTIONS (Sheriffs only) - Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention homes, and the like holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours, if administered by your office. Include alcohol and narcotic addiction programs only if administered by your office. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.									

ITEM A - Mark the pay period interval which applies to the payrolls reported above.		ITEM B - Revenue direct from the Federal Government	
1. Full-time employees ("X" one box only)		2. Part-time employees ("X" one box only)	
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every two weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <i>↓</i>		1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every two weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <i>↓</i>	
CENSUS USE		CENSUS USE	
		\$	

DEFINITIONS

► Part I - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

- **EMPLOYEES** - All persons paid by your office for personal services performed, including all officials, salaried workers, and other persons in paid leave status. Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees, and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your office.

Full-time employees (column a) - Persons employed on a full-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1975. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

Part-time employees (column c) - Persons employed on a part-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1975. Include here all persons working for your office who are paid by more than one government (e.g., with supplemental check).

PAYROLL (columns b and d) - Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1975, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

► Part II - EXPENDITURE

- **CURRENT OPERATION (column e)** - Annual expenditure for salaries and wages of your office's officers and employees and for the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services from individuals and firms in the private sector. Exclude all capital outlay (see below), debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions, within-government transactions, and employer contributions for employee benefits (report in column "j").

► Part II - EXPENDITURE - Continued

• **CAPITAL OUTLAY (column f)** - Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

• **INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE** - All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., for the care and boarding of prisoners in another government's jail). Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property or utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.


Payments to other local governments (column g) - Payments of your office to another county, city, town, special district, or school district.

Payments to the State government (column h) - Payments of your office to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

• **EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (column j)** - Any employer contributions, separable by activity, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid by your office for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

• **ITEM A** - Report the pay period interval for which all or most of the full-time (or part-time) employees are paid.

• **ITEM B - REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT** - Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue Sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlement(s); for boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.



COMMENTS

Data supplied by			FORM CJ-23 (10-24-75)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
Name			SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR SELECTED SPECIAL POLICE FORCES	
Title			In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address	
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)				
Telephone			(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)	
Area code	Number	Extension	RETURN TO 	Bureau of the Census ATTN: Governments Division Washington, D.C. 20233
<p>Dear Friend:</p> <p>On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects expenditure and employment data for Special Police Forces serving colleges, universities, independent school districts, and special districts. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.</p> <p>The data collected in this voluntary survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. They are published annually in a report entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.</p> <p>It would be most helpful if we could receive your reply within 3 weeks. A preaddressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience. If you have any problems in filling out this questionnaire, you may call collect for assistance on area code (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p><i>Vincent P. Barabba</i></p> <p>VINCENT P. BARABBA Director Bureau of the Census</p> <p>Enclosures</p> <p>NOTE: Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System  <input type="checkbox"/></p>				

Part I - SWORN POLICE OFFICERS

Does your unit (as described in the address box on the front of the questionnaire) employ either directly or by contract a special police force, i.e., a group of sworn law enforcement officers with general power to arrest?

Yes - Please complete the questions below

No - Please disregard the remainder of this questionnaire and return in preaddressed envelope

Enter here the number of full-time and part-time sworn police officers (i.e., having general power to arrest) in your special police force unit

1. Officers employed directly by your unit →
2. Contract officers →

FULL-TIME (a)	PART-TIME (b)

If applicable, enter here the name of the firm or police agency with whom you contract for police services . . .

If you contract exclusively for police services and have no officers of your own, fill out only parts III and IV below. If you employ sworn police officers directly, fill out parts II, III, and IV below.

Part II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

A. For your special police force unit, enter employment and payroll for the one pay period which included October 15, 1975. Include sworn police officers, security patrols, guards, clerical and communications, personnel, and other support personnel who are employees of the special police force.

Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data.

FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES	
Number of employees (a)	Payroll amount (one pay period which included October 15, 1975) (Omit cents) (b)	Number of employees (c)	Payroll amount (one pay period which included October 15, 1975) (Omit cents) (d)
	\$		\$

B. Mark the pay period interval which applies to the payrolls reported above.

(Mark (X) one box in each column) →

- 1 Monthly
- 2 Twice a month (24 pay periods per year)
- 3 Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year)
- 4 Weekly
- 5 Other - Specify

- 1 Monthly
- 2 Twice a month (24 pay periods per year)
- 3 Every 2 weeks (26 pay periods per year)
- 4 Weekly
- 5 Other - Specify

CENSUS USE ONLY →

Part III - EXPENDITURE

Enter the annual expenditure for your special police force unit for your fiscal year ending between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 1975.

Your fiscal year was

- July 1, 1974-June 30, 1975
 January 1-December 31, 1974
 Other - Specify

CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
Annual salaries and all operating expenses (Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits (enter in col. (e).)) (a)	Construction, equipment, and land (b)	Payments to local governments (c)	Payments to the State government (d)	FICA, PERS, insurance, workmen's comp., etc. (Exclude employee contributions.) (e)

Part IV - REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Enter all revenue your unit received for police activities direct from the Federal Government (not passed through any State agency) in your fiscal year ending between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 1975 → \$

DEFINITIONS

► Part I – SWORN POLICE OFFICERS

SPECIAL POLICE FORCE – A group of law enforcement officers other than the regular police which services a special area or jurisdiction, e.g., campus police, park police, transit police, harbor police, airport police. Though a special police force usually cooperates fully with the regular local police force, it is administratively independent.

► Part II – EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

A. EMPLOYEES – All persons in your special police force unit (officers and employees) paid for personal services performed, including all officials, salary workers, and other persons in paid leave status in your unit. **Exclude** unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees; and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your unit.

FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (Part II, column a) – Persons employed during the one pay period which included October 15, 1975, on a full-time basis. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

PART-TIME EMPLOYEES (Part II, column c) – Persons employed during the one pay period which included October 15, 1975, on a part-time basis.

PAYROLL (Part II, columns b and d) – Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1975, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

B. Report the pay period interval for which all or most of the full-time (or part-time) employees are paid.

► Part III – EXPENDITURE

CURRENT OPERATION (Part III, column a) – Annual salaries and payroll of your unit's officers and employees and the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services with individuals and firms in the private sector. **Exclude** all capital outlay (see below), debt retirement, securities investment, loan extension, within-government transactions and employer contributions to employee benefits (see below).

CAPITAL OUTLAY (Part III, column b) – Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE – All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services). **Exclude** money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property, utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.

PAYMENTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (Part III, column c) – Payments to a county, city, town, special district, school district, or any of their departments or agencies.

PAYMENTS TO THE STATE GOVERNMENT (Part III, column d) – Payments to the State government or any of its department or agencies.

GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (Part III, column e) – Any employer contributions, separable for your unit, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid by your parent government for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. **Exclude** payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

► Part IV – REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Enter only the revenue received directly from the Federal Government. **Do not enter amounts passed from the Federal Government through the State or its departments or agencies to your unit.**

<p>This report has been cleared in accordance with FPMR 101-11.11 and assigned interagency report control number 1078-DOC-AN.</p> <p>Data supplied by</p> <p>Name</p> <p>Title</p> <p>Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)</p> <p>Telephone</p> <table border="1" style="margin-top: 10px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;">Area code</td> <td style="width: 30%;">Number</td> <td style="width: 60%;">Extension</td> </tr> </table>			Area code	Number	Extension	<p style="text-align: center;">FORM CJ-25 (9-17-76)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">RETURN TO</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Bureau of the Census ATTN: Governments Division Washington, D.C. 20233</p>
Area code	Number	Extension					
<p>Dear Sir:</p> <p>On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for civil and criminal justice activities from agencies of the Federal Government and from State and local governments. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.</p> <p>The data collected in this voluntary survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. Federal data are used particularly for intergovernmental comparisons and to meet the needs of criminal justice planners at all levels of government. The data are published annually in a report entitled Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System.</p> <p>It would be most helpful if we could receive your reply within 3 weeks. A pre-addressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience. The information you enter should be only for the bureau, agency, or office capitalized in the address block above. If you have any problems in filling out this questionnaire, please call for assistance on 763-7825 or 763-2843.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p><i>Vincent P. Barabba</i></p> <p>VINCENT P. BARABBA Director Bureau of the Census</p> <p>Enclosure</p>							

► Part I – EXPENDITURE ◀

(FOR FISCAL YEAR 1975; JULY 1, 1974 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1975)

- NOTE – If unable to show actual cash disbursement, please show total obligations incurred minus the sum of unpaid accounts payable and undelivered orders.

1. What was your total CASH disbursement for CURRENT OPERATIONS; i.e., annual salaries, wages, and expenses of officers and employees; purchase of supplies and materials; and contractual services with other Federal agencies or private organizations? (Do not include government contributions to employee benefits – see item 5 below for further details.)	\$
2. What was your total CASH disbursement for CAPITAL OUTLAY; i.e., direct expenditure FROM YOUR OWN BUDGET for acquisition of land, construction, renovation and repairs of buildings and facilities, and purchase of equipment?	\$
3. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all STATE GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants, including amounts which were "passed through" State governments to local governments) or for services rendered?	\$
4. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all LOCAL GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants) or for services rendered, made DIRECTLY to local governments and NOT "passed through" State governments or their departments or agencies?	\$
5. What was your total CONTRIBUTION FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS; i.e., payments made to the Federal Social Security program, Federal retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, or premiums paid for accident and health, hospital and other insurance programs? (Do not include payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees for any of the above programs.)	\$

► Part II – EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL ◀

(FOR THE ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1975 – NOT ANNUAL DATA)

- NOTE – Please report all employees; executives, managers, operatives, and support personnel. Temporary employees should be reported as full- or part-time on the basis of number of hours worked.

1. How many FULL-TIME (40 hours or more a week) employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1975?	Full-time employees
2. What was the total payroll amount for all FULL-TIME employees noted in item 1 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1975?	\$
3. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 2? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other – Specify _____	CENSUS USE ONLY
4. How many PART-TIME (less than 40 hours a week) employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1975?	Part-time employees
5. What was the total payroll amount for all PART-TIME employees noted in item 4 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1975?	\$
6. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 5? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other – Specify _____	CENSUS USE ONLY

Comments

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION

USER EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE
Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1975

Dear Reader:

We have provided an evaluation form below for whatever opinions you wish to express about this report. Please cut out both pages, staple them together on one corner, and fold so that the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration address appears on the outside. After folding, use tape to seal closed. No postage stamp is necessary.

Thank you for your help.

1. For what purpose did you use this report on **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1975?**

2. For that purpose, the report –

Met most of my needs

Met some of my needs

Met none of my needs

3. What particular types of data in the report did you use?

4. If the report did not meet your needs, what changes would increase its usefulness to you?

CUT ALONG THIS LINE

5. What additional types of data, if any, would you like to see collected regarding public employment and expenditure for criminal justice purposes?

6. Which parts of the report, if any, were difficult to understand or use?
How could they be improved?

7. Would a different format have been more useful to you?
If yes, please suggest a more useful organization of the material.

8. Can you point out specific parts of the text or table notes that are not clear or additional terms that need to be defined?

9. In what capacity did you use this report?

- Researcher
- Educator
- Student
- Criminal justice agency employee
- Government employee other than criminal justice - Specify _____
- Other - Specify _____

10. If you used this report as a governmental employee, please indicate the level of government.

- Federal
 State
 County

- City
 Other - Specify

11. If you used this report as a criminal justice agency employee, please indicate the sector in which you work.

- Law enforcement (police)
 Legal services and prosecution
 Public or private defense services
 Courts or court administration
 Probation

- Corrections
 Parole
 Criminal justice planning agency
 Other criminal justice agency - Specify type

12. If you used this report as a criminal justice employee, please indicate the type of position you hold.

Mark all that apply

- Agency or institution administrator
 General program planner/evaluator/analyst
 Operations or management planner/evaluator/analyst
 Budget planner/evaluator/analyst

- Program or project manager
 Statistician
 Other - Specify

13. Additional comments

[Large area for additional comments, consisting of approximately 15 lines of horizontal space.]

If your comments
require an answer,
please enter
your name and
mailing address.

Name			
Number and street			
City	State	ZIP code	


U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
Washington, D.C. 20531

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

JUS-436



**Director, Statistics Division
National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20531**



END