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## ABSTRACT

This report describes work carried out under the NRC-RCMP Patrol Deployment Project, whose objectives were to optimize patrol operations of the Detachment and to seek new research results. The map of Burnaby was divided into 368 small areas called "geographical atoms" or simply "atoms". Data on calls for service were obtained during a two-week period, and each call was classified according to time of receipt, nature and atom. Analysis of these data served as the basis for a set of 324 computer simulations in which the number of cars, arrangements of zones and number of calls for service were varied. The simulation results were used together with the data on calls to prepare patrol car and response time forecasting tables. Suggestions for improving patrol operations were made.
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# PATROL OPERATIONS OF BURNABY R.C.M.P. DETACHMENT ANALYSIS AND SIMULATION 

F.R. Lipsett, A.F. Dalley and J.G. Arnold

## COMPLETE REPORT

## I. INTRODUCTION

## The NRC-RCMP Patrol Deployment Project

This report describes work carried out under the NRC-RCMP Patrol Deployment Project. The project includes two RCMP Detachments-Burnaby and Red Deer (rural)-of which only the work in Burnaby is discussed here. The program is a continuation of work carried out with the Gloucester Police Force $(1,2)$ and is similar to a project in progress with the Ottawa Police Force. The analysis and computer programs were similar to those used for the Gloucester work and originated in the work of Professor R.C. Larson of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (3).

## Use of Simulations

The simulations attempt to duplicate the operations of police patrols by mathematical operations carried out by a computer. Naturally only the mathematical, not the human, aspects of the patrol are dealt with. In the simulation it is assumed that calls for service arrive at a certain rate, that radio-equipped patrol cars are dispatched in answer to the calls, and that a certain time is taken for the patrol car to reach the scene of a call and to deal with it. If calls for service arrive at a low rate the patrolmen will have adequate time for preventive patrol. However, if calls arrive at a high rate it is unlikely that there will be enough patrol cars to answer each call as it is received, and calls will have to be placed in a queue.

At the outset, data obtained for the force under consideration are employed and the current operations of the force are duplicated as closely as possible. Then various factors are altered and their effects are observed. These may include the rate of calls for service, number of patrol cars on duty, alterations of the areas patrolled by the force, and so on. Thus the effect of a change in the strategy of the force, or the effect of a change in the area for which the force is responsible, may be deduced without the expense or difficulty of experiments on the force itself, or prior to implementation of a new strategy.

Burnaby is one of the municipalities of the Vancouver metropolitan region The region includes approximately $1,000,000$ people and is served by twelve police forces; Burnaby's population is approximately 150,000 . It is bordered on the north by Burrard Inlet (an extension of Vancouver's harbour), on the east by Port Moody and Coquitlam, on the south by New Westminster and the Fraser River, and on the west by Vancouver. A large part of Burnaby is residential, along with substantial areas of light and heavy industry, several commercial areas, and Simon Fraser University. A large shopping center on the eastern boundary attracts customers from throughout the lower mainland of British Columbia.

## II. DATA ON PATROL OPERATIONS

## Method of Obtaining Data

All radio dispatchers and radio car officers on duty during the experimental period were briefed by the authors and asked to fill out forms giving details of all calls to which a car was dispatched. The form filled out by the dispatchers is shown in Fig. 1 and notes regarding it are given in Fig. 2. The form completed by the radio car officer is shown in Fig. 3 and notes concerning it (which appeared on the back) are given in Fig. 4. Occurrences to which cars were dispatched were classified according to a list given in Table I.


Fig. 1. Shift and occurrence records filled out by dispatchers during the data taking period 13-26 March 1974. (Shown reduced)
 notes


Message and Remarks - Include appropriate detalln puch as 1 -code, address, name of callor, nder arrival) occurrence (as found after arrival).
outcome of call
Fill in the appropriate number chosen rom the following:
1 gone on arriva
2 all in order
all in order
call completed
by officer/no action/
warning/ticket on arriva
arrest/charge/summons
5 arrest/charge/summons
6 report/continuing investigation

Fig. 4. Notes on completing the log sheet of Fig. 3 These were printed on the back of the log sheet.

List of occurrence types used by dispatchers completing occurrence records (Fig.1)
ADMINISTRATIVE ..... 678
Meals ..... 679
Refuelling ..... 680
Courier Service/Deliver Message ..... 682
Transfer of Personnel ..... 683
Transfer to Station
685
Directing Traffic ..... 686CourtEscort (Funeral, VIP's)692ASSISTOfficer in Trouble
Other Forces (Criminal)694
687
other Agencies ..... 690
Private Citizen in Distress696

Damage to Building ..... 615
ISORDERLY, DISTURBANCE, ASSAULT, ETC. (080) ..... 601
Fight ..... 602
oud parties/Noise ..... 603
Juvenile Loitering, Disturbances ..... 604
andlord-Tename Dispute ..... 605
Public Mischief/Neighbourhood Trouble ..... 606
607Morality608Barking Do616
Barking Dog ..... 617
o Shelter (P.U.D.) ..... 618
Bite ..... 619
DOMESTIC TROUBLE620
Husband-Wife ..... 610
Boyfriend-Girlfriend ..... 611
Unwanted Person ..... 612
Minion Signal ..... 648
Burglar Alarm ..... 650
property Check/Insecure ..... 693
DROWNING.. ..... 636
IRE ALARM ..... 626
GAS SICKNESS, ASBYYIATIO ..... 634
Gas Leaks, smells ..... 635
HIT AND RUN DRIVER.... ..... 64
HOLDUP, ROBBERY WITH VIOLENCE (O91) ..... 66
INDECENT EXPOSURE (OSI) ..... 630

## TABLE I (cont'd)

7 March 1974
LOST OR MISSING PERSON ..... 666
Adult or Teenager ..... 668
Child ..... 66
OUND LOST OR MISSING PERSON
OUND LOST OR MISSING PERSON ..... 670 ..... 670
ELOPEE/INSTITUTION. ..... 665
URDER SNOW CLEARING, ETC ..... 645
private Parking ..... 647
Municipal Parking ..... 671
PATROL-INITIAT ..... 672
walk-Stop ..... 673
Car-Stop (Car Check) ..... 674
Property Checks/Water Patrol ..... 675
CPIC Check ..... 67
Serving Summons and Warrants ..... 625
EEPING TOM TRESPAST, PUBLIC CONVEYANCE, ETC ..... 637
ERSON SICK ON SRED, PUBLIC ..... 638
General Medica ..... 639
640
Suicide Attempt ..... 641
Slumper ..... 642
Death ..... 642
PERSON USING FIREARMS (OFFENSIVE WEAPONS) (180)
PERSON USING FIREARMS (OFFENSIVE WEAPONS) (180)
632
632
Explosives ..... 63
Bomb Scare ..... 688
PURSE SNATC ..... 664
RAPE (050) ..... 628
SEE COMPLAINANT
629
629
Obscene or Threatening Phone Calls ..... 660
SHOPBREAKING, HOUSEBREAKING (B\&E) (IO ..... 661
662
Shopbreaking (in progress)
Housebreaking (in progress) (loi) ..... 662
SHOPLIFTING (120) ..... 656
STOLEN AUTOMOBILE (110). ..... 657
Recovered Automobile ..... 658
Removal of Abandoned Autos ..... 659
SUSPICIOUS CHARACTER ..... 622
Walking, Loitering ..... 623
ehicles
624
624
Noises
697
697
THEFT (over $\$ 200.00$ ) (031) ..... 698
THEFT (MISCELLANEOUS)/NON-PAYMENT BILL (150 ..... 651
Bogus Bills/Forged or NSF Cheque/ ..... 652Stolen Credit Cards (152)
Recovery of Property (140) ..... 653
TRAFFIC ACCIDENT, PERSON INJURED IN FALI, ETC. ..... 643

## Results

The number of calls per day for the experimental period is given in Fig. 5. Saturday and Sunday were the busiest days of the week. The average number of calls per day was 102. The percentages of calls for various types of occurrences are given in Table II. At the time of data collection Burnaby was divided into the eight zones shown in Fig. 6. The atoms are also shown in Fig. 6. The number of calls received in each zone during the experimental period is shown in Fig. 7. The number per zone is fairly uniform, indicating that the present arrangement of zones is satisfactory. The number of calls per atom is given in Table III. It ranged from 0 to 40 , but most atoms ( $70.4 \%$ ) had 0 to 4 calls during the iwoweek period.


Fig. 5. Number of calls to which a radio car was dispatched for the whole of Burnaby, by time of day and day of week. The total number of calls was 1431 for the two weeks.

The number of calls per hour is given in Table IV and is shown in Fig. 8. The number varied from zero to twenty-one per hour, with the largest numbers of calls per hour on Sunday morning, Monday afternoon and Wednesday morning. The overall average was 4.3 calls per hour.

Total Number of Calls for Service

## Administrative

Traffic Accidents
Parking

Criminal
Assault
Damage to Property
Theft Miscellaneous
Theft Over $\$ 200$
Theft Under \$200
Shoplifting
Stolen Auto
Break and Enter
Other
Total Criminal

Total Non-Criminal


Fig. 6. Zone map showing zones in use during March 1974 and atoms (D1974. 8). The basic map was drawn by a computer-controlled plotter.


Fig. 7. Number of dispatched calls by zone for the experimentat period

by time of day, for 13-26 March 1974.

| Atom | No. of Calls | Atom | No. of Calls | Atom | No. of Calls | Atom | No. of Calls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1. | 51 | 3 | 101 | 4 | 151 | 0 |
| 2 | 4 | 52 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 152 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 53 | 7 | 103 | 7 | 153 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 54 | 10 | 104 | 0 | 154 | 7 |
| 5 | 0 | 55 | 4 | 105 | 1 | 155 | 7 |
| 6 | 11 | 56 | 4 | 106 | 4 | 1.56 | 1 |
| 7 | 2 | 57 | 1 | 107 | 1 | 157 | 4 |
| 8 | 2 | 58 | 1 | 108 | 3 | 158 | 4 |
| 9 | 2 | 59 | 0 | 109 | 5 | 159 | 2 |
| 10 | 4 | 60 | 5 | 110 | 0 | 160 | 3 |
| 11 | 1 | 61 | 2 | 111 | 3 | 161 | 4 |
| 12 | 0 | 62 | 7 | 112 | 1 | 162 | 3 |
| 13 | 0 | 63 | 6 | 113 | 18 | 163 | 5 |
| 14 | 0 | 64 | 5 | 114 | 0 | 164 | 2 |
| 15 | 0 | 65 | 6 | 115 | 9 | 165 | 2 |
| 16 | 4 | 66 | 3 | 116 | 7 | 166 | 2 |
| 17 | 1 | 67 | 3 | 117 | 6 | 167 | 3 |
| 18 | 0 | 68 | 5 | 118 | 13 | 168 | 2 |
| 19 | 6 | 69 | 4 | 119 | 0 | 169 | 13 |
| 20 | 6 | 70 | 3 | 120 | 1 | 170 | 4 |
| 21 | 2 | 71 | 4 | 121 | 11 | 171 | 4 |
| 22 | 1 | 72 | 5 | 122 | 10 | 172 | 2 |
| 23 | 3 | 73 | 1 | 123 | 14 | 173 | 1 |
| 24 | 3 | 74 | 5 | 124 | 33 | 174 | 0 |
| 25 | 1 | 75 | 2 | 125 | 3 | 175 | 3 |
| 26 | 23 | 76 | 2 | 126 | 3 | 176 | 13 |
| 27 | 8 | 77 | 8 | 127 | 6 | 177 | 7 |
| 28 | 6 | 78 | 1 | 128 | 2 | 178 | 0 |
| 29 | 1 | 79 | 3 | 129 | 2 | 179 | 1 |
| 30 | 6 | 80 | 11 | 130 | 5 | 180 | 9 |
| 31 | 8 | 81 | 4 | 131 | 3 | 181 | 2 |
| 32 33 | 3 | 82 | 3 | 132 | 5 | 182 | 6 |
| 34 | 2 | 83 | 11 | 133 | 8 | 183 | 1 |
| 35 | 2 | 85 | 7 | 135 | 3 | 185 | 1 |
| 36 | 0 | 86 | 3 | 136 | 4 | 186 | 2 |
| 37 | 3 | 87 | 0 | 137 | 4 | 187 | 7 |
| 38 | 5 | 88 | 13 | 138 | 1 | 188 | 0 |
| 39 | 3 | 89 | 2 | 139 | 5 | 189 | 7 |
| 40 | 3 | 90 | 3 | 140 | 17 | 190 | 1 |
| 41 | 3 | 91 | 3 | 141 | 0 | 191 | 4 |
| 42 | 11 | 92 | 7 | 142 | 3 | 192 | 0 |
| 43 | 7 | 93 | 0 | 143 | 3 | 193 | 13 |
| 44 | 1 | 94 | 2 | 144 | 5 | 194 | 10 |
| 45 | 3 | 95 | 2 | 145 | 16 | 195 | 17 |
| 47 | 12 5 | 96 97 | 0 | 146 | 4 | 196 | 5 |
| 48 | 2 | 98 | 2 | 148 | 0 | 198 | 5 |
| 49 | 1 | 99 | 2 | 149 | 10 | 199 | 8 |
| 50 | 18 | 100 | 1 | 150 | 0 | 200 | 19 |

TABLE III. (Cont'd)

| Atom | No. of Calls | Atom | No. of Calls | Atom | No. of Calls | Atom | No. of Calls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201 | 10 | 251 | 2 | 301 | 9 | 351 | 0 |
| 202 | 15 | 252 | 8 | 302 | 2 | 352 | 4 |
| 203 | 5 | 253 | 0 | 303 | 4 | 353 | 3 |
| 204 | 2 | 254 | 2 | 304 | 3 | 354 | 6 |
| 205 | 3 | 255 | 13 | 305 | 1 | 355 | 0 |
| 206 | 0 | 256 | 4 | 306 | 3 | 356 | 5 |
| 207 | 2 | 257 | 3 | 307 | 2 | 357 | 2 |
| 208 | 1 | 258 | 4 | 308 | 1 | 358 | 1 |
| 209 | 3 | 259 | 4 | 309 | 0 | 359 | 5 |
| 210 | 3 | 260 | 1 | 310 | 0 | 360 | 7 |
| 211 | 6 | 261 | 5 | 311 | 2 | 361 | 3 |
| 212 | 0 | 262 | 5 | 312 | 1 | 362 | 4 |
| 213 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 313 | 3 | 363 | 1 |
| 214 | 1 | 264 | 1 | 314 | 2 | 364 | 6 |
| 215 | 9 | 265 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 365 | 4 |
| 216 | 0 | 266 | 4 | 316 | 3 | 366 | 1 |
| 217 | 2 | 267 | 3 | 317 | 0 | 367 | 4 |
| 218 | 1 | 268 | 8 | 318 | 2 | 368 | 2 |
| 219 | 2 | 269 | 6 | 319 | 5 |  |  |
| 220 | 2 | 270 | 5 | 320 | 1 |  |  |
| 221 | 4 | 271 | 4 | 321 | 2 |  |  |
| 222 | 4 | 272 | 1 | 322 | 0 |  |  |
| 223 | 2 | 273 | 2 | 323 | 5 |  |  |
| 224 | 2 | 274 | 1 | 324 | 0 |  |  |
| 225 | 4 | 275 | 3 | 325 | 5 |  |  |
| 226 | 2 | 276 | 1 | 326 | 6 |  |  |
| 227 | 2 | 277 | 9 | 327 | 0 |  |  |
| 228 | 1 | 278 | 7 | 328 | 4 |  |  |
| 229 230 | 2 | 279 280 | 6 0 | 329 <br> 330 | 1 |  |  |
| 231 | 0 | 281 | 1 | 331 | 4 |  |  |
| 232 | 1 | 282 | 4 | 332 | 3 |  |  |
| 233 | 0 | 283 | 6 | 333 | 3 |  |  |
| 234 | 5 | 284 | 4 | 334 | 5 |  |  |
| 235 | 1 | 285 | 0 | 335 | 1 |  |  |
| 236 | 3 | 286 | 1 | 336 | 3 |  |  |
| 237 | 12 | 287 | 1 | 337 | 2 |  |  |
| 238 | 2 | 288 | 2 | 338 | 2 |  |  |
| 239 | 13 | 289 | 4 | 339 | 3 |  |  |
| 240 | 3 | 290 | 0 | 340 | 2 |  |  |
| 241 | 6 | 291 | 4 | 341 | 0 |  |  |
| 242 | 2 | 292 | 1 | 342 | 10 |  |  |
| 243 | 3 | 293 | 7 | 343 | 3 |  |  |
| 244 | 2 | 294 | 3 | 344 | 3 |  |  |
| 245 | 2 | 295 | 2 | 345 | 7 |  |  |
| 246 | 1 | 296 | 2 | 346 | 6 |  |  |
| 247 | 4 | 297 | 2 | 347 | 3 |  |  |
| 248 249 | 0 2 | 298 | 8 | 348 | 1 |  |  |
| 250 | 8 | 300 | 0 | 345 | 3 |  |  |




These data were averaged for 3 -hour intervals for each day of the week with the results shown in Fig. 9. Here an approximately daily variation is shown, with a minimum of about 1.5 calls per hour around 4 o'clock in the morning, and a maximum of 5-8 calls per hour in late afternoon to early evening. On Friday and Saturday evening this maximum carries over to the following morning. Saturday and Sunday show a maximum around noon.


Fig. 9. Number of calls per hour to which a radio car was dispatched, by time of day, for 13-26 March 1974. In this diagram the calls have been averaged for three-hour intervals in order to emphasize daily variations.

The distribution of calls per hour is given Fig. 10, in which the experimental data are shown as a histogram, and a theoretical curve (known as a Poisson distribution) is fitted to the data. From the figure it will be seen that $4-5$ calls per hour may be expected about $19 \%$ of the time ; 5-6 may be expected $15 \%$ of the time; and so on. More than 12 calls per hour seldom occurred.


Number of Colls per hour
Fig. 10. Distribution of number of calls per hour as a bercentage of time. The histogram shows experimental data to which a theoretical curve has been fitted. The equation for the curve is $\% T=N^{a_{e}}-a N / N!$ where $a=4.637$.

The time taken from the receipt of a call for service to the dispatch of a car, or dispatch delay, is given in Table V and plotted in Fig. 11. The accuracy of the histogram is in doubt since it was found, after the data were taken, that the clock used for recording the time of receipt of a call and the clock used for recording the dispatch were not necessarily synchronized. On the other hand, the delays are comparable with those of other forces and should decrease with planned changes in the communications system. The curve fitted to the data is a negative exponential and is of the same form as that found for the Ottawa Police Force (4). The dispatch delay includes time necessary to effect transmission of details of the call, which was chosen as $3 / 4$ minute for the prediction of response time, and time for a patrol car to become available. The latter may be expected to depend on the number of calls per hour. To verify this the data of Tables IV and V were averaged and plotted on a graph shown in Fig. 12. Although there is a large variation in the results, indicated by vertical bars, the dispatch delay clearly increases with the number of calls per hour.

Travel times (from dispatch to arrival at the scene) are shown as a histogram in Fig. 13. A Poisson distribution has been fitted to the data. The most probable travel time was 4.5 minutes.

Response times (dispatch delay plus travel time) were also determined. They are shown plotted in a histogram in Fig. 14. A theoretical curve (the sum of two exponentials) has been fitted to the data. The most likely response time is about 6 minutes, but there is a great variation, probably resulting from the large variation in dispatch delay.




Fig. 11. Dispatch delay, D, or time taken from receipt of a call for service to dispatch of a car, including waiting time (or queuing time). The longest delays are for calls to which dispatch was postponed. A negative exponential has been fitted to the data, with the equation $\% C=24.1 \exp (-0.265 \mathrm{D})$.


Fig. 12. Dispatch delay, D, as a function of number of calls per hour, $N$. The data of Tables IV and $V$ were used to determine the average dispatch delay indicated by small circles. Where enough data were available the standard deviation was calculated, and is indicated by vertical bars. A straight line was fitted to the average values of $D$ with the equation $D=2.20+0.78 \mathrm{~N}$.


Fig. 13. Travel time, T, or time taken from dispatch of a car to arrival at the scene of the call. Experimental data are shown as a histogram, to which a Poisson distribution has been fitted, with the equation $\% C=T a_{e}-a T / T!$ where $a=5.22$.


Pig. 14. Response time, $R$, or time taken from receipt of a call to arrival at the scene. In principle response time is the sum of dispatch delay plus travel time. The curve fitted to the experimental data shown in the histogram is a sum of exponentiais, with the equation $\% C=a \exp (b R)(1-\exp -b R)$ with $a=0.264$ and $b=0.115$.

Service times (from arrival at the scene to return to patrol) are shown in Fig. 15. As before the data are shown as a histogram and a curve has been fitted, in this case a negative exponential.


Service Time (Min.)

Tig. 15. Service time, S, or time spent at the scene of the call A negative exponential has been fitted to the data, with the equation $\% C=5.17 \exp (-0.425 \mathrm{~S})$.

The curves fitted to the travel times, response times, and service times are of the same form as those for the Ottawa Police Force (4).

## Division into Atoms

During the data taking period, a large map of Burnaby was divided into atoms with the assistance of experienced officers. A master atom map was drawn, digitized and later redrawn on a convenient scale using a computer-controlled plotter for use in recording data and in arranging zones as shown in Fig. 6. Another version of the atom map was prepared by drawing atom boundaries and numbers on a map published by the Burnaby Planning Department. A section of this map is shown in Fig. 16. It was photographed in a copying camera to give an $8 \times 10$ inch negative, and from this negative two enlargements approximately $22 \times 30$ inches and $40 \times 54$ inches were produced on Kodagraph film. The enlargements may be used to produce inexpensive Ozalid prints for keeping records and planning.

## Computer Summary of Data

The data on all calls were keypunched and run through a program which summarized all types of occurrences atom by atom for the experimental period. A portion of the computer printout is given in Fig. 17. Such a table can be produced periodically for management purposes if the data are regularly keypunched.


Pig. 16. Portion of the Burnaby atom map

TABLE VII. Input data and strategies for simulations

Number of atoms
Number of zones
Number of patrol cars
Number of priorities
Abbreviations
Priority Distribution (based
on analysis of calls for service)

368

3

## P1,P2, P3

P1-15\% of all calls P2-45\% of all call P3-40\% of all calls

## Preemption rules and distances:

A car may be preempted from a call, reassigned to a queued call or assigned from patrol if the priority of the arriving call is high enough and if the car is within a certain distance of the cali. The distances for the three priorities are as follows.

|  | Type of Dispatch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priority |  | Reassignment | Assignment |
| P1 | 4.0 miles | 4.0 miles | 4.0 miles |
| P2 | 4.0 miles | 3.0 miles | 4.0 miles |
| P3 | 4.0 miles | 2.0 miles | 4.0 miles |

Service time por call, excluding travel time, minutes

| Priority | Average | Maximum | Minimum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | 5 | 25 | 0 |
| P2 | 10 | 50 | 0 |
| P3 | 15 | 75 | 1 |

Types of strategy used in assigning cars :
1A. Municipality assignment (D)
IB. District assignment (for 6 zone arrangements) (D)
2. Zone assignment (Z)

Types of strategy used in assigning queued calls

1. Closest waiting call (CWC)
2. First come first served (FCFS)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Relative rate of calls } & -\quad \text { calculated from Table III. } \\ \text { Average speed of car } & -\quad 22.0 \mathrm{mph} \text { for all priorities. }\end{array}$

## Zones

Four basic arrangements of zones were used. The first, shown in Fig. 6 , included 8 zones as used by the force during the data-taking period of March 1974 and is labeled D1974. These zones were used with 1,2 or 3 cars per zone.

The next set of zones, shown in Fig. 18, included 4 zones labeled JNO4Z1 and were used with 1,2 , or 4 cars per zone.

The next set of zones, shown in Fig. 19, divided the municipality into six zones labeled AD6Z1. These zones could in turn be divided into two sets of two districts. In the first pair of districts, called AD6Z2A, zones 1,2 , and 3 were combined to form District 1 and zones 4,5 , and 6 were combined to form District 2 . In the second pair of districts; called AD6Z2B, zones 1,5 , and 6 were combined to form District 1 while zones 2, 3, and 4 were combined to form District 2. In the AD6Z2A and AD6Z2B zones dispatch could either be confined within a zone (Z) or confined to a district (D). Each zone was patrolled by 1,2 , or 3 cars.

The final set of zones, shown in Fig. 20, included 8 zones with nearly equal workloads labeled FL8Z1. They were used with 1,2 , or 3 cars per zone.

## Set of Simulations

Simulations were run with different combinations of variable as follows:

Number of calls per hour - $1.875 ; 3.75 ; 7.5 ; 15 ; 30 ; 60$
Assignment and reassignment strategies* - DCWC; DFCFS; ZCWC; ZFCFS
Types of zones - JNO4Z1; AD6Z1; AD6Z2A; AD6Z2B; D1974; FL8Z1
Total number of cars - $4,6,8,12,16,18,24$.
A total of 324 simulations were made, as illustrated in Table VIII
*D - district dispatch, in which a car may be dispatched out of its zone to another if no car is available in the second zone.

Z - zone dispatch, in which a car may be dispatched only to calls within its own zone.
CWC - closest waiting call reassignment, in which a car completing a call is reassigned to the closest waiting call (if any) in queue.

FCFS - first come first served reassignment, in which a car completing a call is reassigned to the first call (if any) in queue.




## Computer Programs

The computer programs were written for Fortran IV and run on the National Research Council's time sharing computer (IBM 360 Model 67). The simulation programs were those used for Gloucester (2) with minor modifications. Four areas of programming were involved in the project: plotting, data analysis, data preparation and simulation.

The atom maps were digitized and plotted on scales ranging from $81 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ to $36^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$. The former were used for zone maps (Figs. 18-20) while the latter were used in redesigning zones by considering percentage rates of calls assigned to each atom.

In the analysis of the data there were approximately 1500 data cards. Three programs were written for the analysis. The first sorted the occurrences atom by atom. (It will be recalled that Burnaby was divided into 368 atoms and 100 different types of occurrences were listed (Table I and Fig. 17)). The second program was a statistical routine that grouped data such as communication center delay time, travel time, and service times by shift and by day, including calculations of means and standard deviations (Figs. 11-15). The third program was used on the grouped data to produce the various fitted curves. A least squares, nonlinear regression package called MARQRT (Marquardt) was used for this purpose (5).

The data preparation was the most exacting part of the study. Since the data base included only two weeks of data during March 1974 it was found that certain atoms had no occurrences. In the program this would always result in no calls to this atom. It was therefore decided to add one occurrence to each atom. Work maps were prepared with the adjusted rates and the data base was calculated as described in the following example. Zone 1 included 51 atoms and received 198 calls for service during the experimental period, which was $13.84 \%$ of the total calls. These calls were adjusted as shown in Table IX and the resulting value was used to calculate the zone normalization factor, ZNF , from the formula $\mathrm{ZNF}=\%$ calls $/ \Sigma(\mathrm{ANC} \times N A)=13.84 / 249=0.0556$. The percentage rates of calls were then calculated. The results for Zone 1 are shown in Fig. 21.

The simulation requires a three-priority distribution for each atom. For the present work the occurrences (Table 1) were divided into three priorities and the percentage of occurrences of each priority was calculated. They were $15 \%$ priority one, $45 \%$ priority two and $40 \%$ priority three.

In contrast to the Gloucester study (2) it was decided to calculate the center of mass (centroid) for each atom and to use it as input data. This was done to reduce computer time since there were more than three times as many atoms in Burnaby as in Gloucester. Up to three cars per zone were used in Burnaby while in Gloucester only one was used.

All 324 simulations were done in one day during a weekend when the computer was lightly loaded. Nine hours of central processing unit time were used. This was cheap and fast. Three input data sets were used in each simulation run. The first was the basic

TABLE IX. Calculation of zone normalization factor, ZNF, and percentage rates of calls for Zone 1, Fig. 6.

| No. of calls <br> N | Adjusted <br> No. of calls <br> ANC | No. of atoms NA | Product <br> (ANC)NA | Percentage Rate of calls (ANC) (ZNF) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0.0556 |
| 1 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 0.1112 |
| 2 | 3 | 8 | 24 | 0.1668 |
| 3 | 4 | 9 | 36 | 0.2224 |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 0.2780 |
| 5 | 6 | 3 | 18 | 0.3336 |
| 6 | 7 | 3 | 21 | 0.3892 |
| 7 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 0.4448 |
| 8 | 9 | 2 | 18 | 0.5004 |
| 11 | 12 | 2 | 24 | 0.6672 |
| 12 | 13 | 1 | 13 | 0.7228 |
| 18 | 19 | 1 | 19 | 0.0564 |
| 23 | 24 | 1 | 24 | 1.3344 |
|  |  | 51 | 249 |  |

$\mathrm{ZNF}=13.84 / 249=0.0556$

- 30-
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geographical information, the second the zone configurations for the run and the third contained the rate of calls and strategies (Table VII). There were one geographical, 18 zone, and 18 rate datasets for a total of 37 . The three datasets required 16,4 , and 1 pages respectively of storage (4096 bytes/page).

The simulation package contained the main program along with 15 subroutines. It required 73 pages of storage. (Source deck contains approximately 2000 cards.) The average simulation took approximately two minutes of central processing unit time.

## Output Data

Each computer printout included the initial positions of the cars and final sta-
tistics. For some simulations call-by-call details were printed. An example is given in Fig. 22.
They included the following: .
Time, number and priozity of call
Location of call-zone, atom, $x$ - and $y$-coordinates
Identity of car sent
Travel time and service time
Status for force - No. of cars on patrol - No. of cars on call

Number of calls in queue - P1, P2 and P3
Remarks
The final statistics included the following:
Duration of simulation until number of calls in queue reached 100, if applicable (saturation)
Number of calls being serviced at the end of the simulation
Number of calls in P1 queue at the end of the simulation
Number of calls in P2 queue at the end of the simulation
Number of calls in P3 queue at the end of the simulation
Number of P 2 calls preempted
Number of P3 calls preempted
Number of hours used to travel to calls
Number of hours used to service calls
Number of car hours in the simulation
Percentage of time available for preventive patrol
Hours of preventive patrol time available per 8 hour shift
Percentage of calls placed in queue
Number of calls placed in queue
Maximum number of calls in queue simultaneously
Maximum number of cars simultaneously on call
Average service time, with standard deviation and distribution by zone and priority
Work load (number of calls per 8 hour shift) by priority and car, with histogram
Average travel time, with standard deviation and distribution, by zone.
Average number of calls in queue, with standard deviation ard distribution, by zone and priority
Number and percentage of calls per car, all priorities, with histogram
Response time by car, all priorities
An example of a table and histogram printed out by the computer are given in Fig. 23.


Fig. 22. Portion of a computer printout giving initial positions of patrol cars and call by call details of patrol operations. This simulation was with AD6Z1.12 zones, 60 calls per hour and DFCFS strategy


TCTAL $=306$

## IV. RESULTS OF SIMULATIONS

## Main Result

Response Time
One of the criteria for evaluating patrol operations is the response time, which is defined as the elapsed time from receipt of a call for service (normally a telephone call) to the time a patrol car arrives on the scene. Response time includes dispatch delay (the time from initial police contact until an available unit is dispatched), queuing time (if there are no available cars), and travel time (the time taken to travel from the unit's initial position to the scene of the call for seivice). The response times deduced for Burnaby are shown in Figs. 24 and 25. In both diagrams the dispatch delay has been fixed at $3 / 4$ minute; a time which might be changed as a result of more accurate measurements or an improved communications system. The response time is to a large extent dependent on the average number of calls received per hour and on the number of cars on duty.

If very fcw calls are being received ( $0-3$ per hour), the response time depend mainly on travel time. With four cars on duty the response time would average about 5.5 minutes, while with 16-24 cars it would average about 3 minutes. As $N$ (the average number of calls per hour) increases, the response time increases. If only four cars are available it soon becomes necessary to place calls in queue and the response time rapidly becomes unacceptably long. If 24 cars are on duty the response time increases only from 3 minutes to 5 minutes as $N$ goes from 0 to 30 calls per hour. The practical application of these results is to help decide how many cars to place on duty. This is discussed in section V .

In Fig. 25 the response time is shown plotted against the average time between calls, $\Delta T$. This method shows clearly the onset of saturation for different numbers of cars, that is, the onset of long queuing times leading to unacceptably long response times. For 4 cars, $\Delta T$ for the onset of saturation is about 10 minutes, or $N=60 / \Delta T=6$ per hour, while for 24 cars, the onset is at about $\Delta T=2.5$ minutes or $N=24$ per hour.

It should be noted that the district dispatch and CWC reassignment invariably give the shortest response times. Figs. 24 and 25 and subsequent figures have therefore been plotted only for the DCWC strategy.


Fig. 24. Response times deduced from simulations. The distribution of calls per hour from Fig. 10 has been added as a dashed curve. The dispatch delay has been set at $3 / 4$ minute, shown by a dashed straight line.


Fig. 25. Average response time as a function of average time between calls. The same data were used as for Fig. 24. The onset of saturation for 4 cars is shown

## Travel Time

The average travel time taken from the simulation is shown in Fig. 26, fitted with a negative exponential curve. It varies from a little over 2 minutes with 24 cars to over 4 minutes with 4 cars. These times are lower than would be expected from the times taken from $\log$ sheets shown in Fig. 13. Probably the average speed of 22 mph (taken from data for the Ottawa Police Force) used in the simulations should have been lower. An important point is that increasing the number of cars from 4 to 16 only reduces the average travel time by about $1 / 2$ minutes. This indicates that when forecasting the number of cars to be put into operation the possibility of waiting in a queue is more important for response times than travel time.


Fig. 26. Average travel time, T, taken from simulations. The times shown are short in comparison with times found during the data-taking session, shown in Fig. 13. See text for discussion. A negative exponential has been fitted to the circled points, with the equation $T=4.666 \exp (-.03255)$ where $C=$ the number of cars.

Workload (calls per 8 hour shift)
The workloads taken from the simulations are shown plotted on a graph in Fig. 27. The use of this graph is less straightforward than for Fig. 24, but may be illustrated by an example. The average workload for 13-28 March 1974, calculated from the data shown in Fig. 5, was 4.25 calls per 8 hours. A horizontal dashed line representing this workload has been drawn on Fig. 27, and from it we see that in a 4 -car force each car would have a workload of 4.25 calls per shift with an average of 2.2 calls per hour; an 8 -car force would have this workload with 4.25 calls per hour; a 16 -car force with 8.4 calls per hour; and a 24 -car force with 12.6 calls per hour.

It should be recalled that these workloads are deduced from simulations in which the average service time ranged from 5 to 15 minutes (Table VII). In very busy times (large $N$ ) there might be a tendency to reduce service times so that larger workloads could more readily be dealt with. The workloads deduced from Fis. 27 should therefore be regarded as applying best to quiet times.


Fig. 27. Workloads as a function of average number of calls per hour and number of cars. The dashed lines are for an example discussed in the text.

Preventive Patrol Time
Preventive patrol time is defined here as time not occupied in traveling to a call or servicing it. This time is therefore available for preventive activities such as examining closed stores for signs of break-ins, zone familiarization, community interaction, administrative calls and so on. Clearly some balance between time spent on calls and on preventive patrol time must be found. The percentage of patrol time available during a shift is shown in Fig. 28. If no calls are received all time is available for preventive patrol, so all curves join at $100 \%$ and zero calls. As the number of calls per hour increases, a 4 -car force rapidly becomes fully occupied so that at about 15 calls per hour it has no time available for patrol. At this rate of calls a 16 -car force, on the other hand, has about $75 \%$ of its time available for preventive patrol. The number of hours available for preventive patrol during an 8 -hour chift is shown in Fig. 29. Using the same example of about 15 calls per hour, we see that while a 4 -car force would have no preventive patrol time available during an 8 -hour shift, a 16 -car force would have 98 hours. Selecting the optimuny time required, and thus the optimum number of cars, is therefore a management decision.

## Related Results

## Queues

When the number of calls per hour is high there may not be enough cars on patrol to answer each call as it is received. It is therefore necessary to form a queue of calls waiting for service. The number of calls placed in queue directly affects the period of time before the arrival of police service, a delay to which the caller is sensitive and of which the force must be aware. The situation for Burnaby deduced from the simulations is shown in Figs. 30 and 31 and Table VIII and IX. In Fig. 30 the percentage of calls placed in queue is shown. With 4-8 cars on patrol the percentage of calls placed in queue rises rapidly, while with 12-24 cars on patrol the percentage in queue is reasonable for the highest numbers of calls likely to be received. The average wait in queue before dispatch of a car is shown'in Fig. 31. Some examples will show the effect of wait in queue as a criterion for choosing the number of cars. Suppose a 10 -minute wait is chosen for low-priority calls. Then if 10 calls per hour are expected a 4 -car force would be satisfactory; 20 calls per hour would require an 8 -car force; and 30 calls per hour about an 11 -car force. However if a 3-minute wait was considered the maximum then at 10 calls per hour a 7 -car force would be required; at 20 calls per hour, 12 cars; and at 30 calls per hour 17 cars.

The average number of calls in queue is shown in Table VIII and the maximum number of calls in queue in Table $X$. These results were taken directly from the simulations As would be expected the number of calls in queue is zero or small for low average calls per hour but increases rapidly for up to 8 cars when the number of calls becomes high.

Saturation
If a certain number of cars are on patrol and the number of calls per hour becomes unexpectedly large the officers would be unable to handle the calls promptly, with long waiting times resulting. As an extreme example of this, suppose that 4 cars are on patrol and that 20 calls per hour start to arrive. Many of the calls could not be handled and would enter a queue to wait for service. (The most serious calls would be serviced first). Response times would become increasingly long and the force could be said to be in "saturation", or no longer able to handle incoming calls. From the simulations it was possible to predict the time at which Burnaby operations would become saturated. This was done by inspection of Fig. 25. The "onset of saturation" was estimated for each curye, and the results were plotted in a graph shown in Fig. 32. From the graph Table XI was prepared, showing the onset of saturation for various numbers of cars. Table XI may be used in planning patrol operations.

Another interpretation of saturation may be made from the simulations, which allow "operation" of the force under conditions which would or should never be encountered in reality. One such condition is to "operate" with so few cars and so many calls that it would be impossible to answer the calls in a reasonable time. In the simulations this was defined as having 100 calls in queue. The computer was programmed to stop the simulation if this


TABLE X. Maximum number of calls in a queue for service during simulation. Spaces showing 100 calls for simulations in saturation.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Zones } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Number of Cars } \end{gathered}$ | Average Nonlor of Cills per llour, N and Strategy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.875 |  | 3.75 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 15 |  |  |  | 30 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & Z \\ & C \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} z \\ C \\ W \\ C \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Z} \\ & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & z \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & W^{W} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~W}} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{i} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | z c W c | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Z} \\ \mathrm{Z} \\ \mathrm{C} \\ 1 \\ \mathrm{~S} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | D C W C | $\begin{aligned} & Z \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | $z$ $C$ $W$ $C$ | 2 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> c <br> 1 <br> S |
| JNO.421.4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 35 | 44 | 32 | 23 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| A). 621.6 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 15 | 89 | 86 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| AD. 622 A .6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | .11 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 84 | 86 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| AD. 622 B .6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 77 | 86 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| D1974.8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 28 | 32 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Fl821.8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 32 | 46 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| JN0.421.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 22 | 26 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| A0.621.12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 61 | 60 | 73 | 87 |
| N0.622A. 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 55 | 66 | 73 | 87 |
| ND.622B. 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 49 | 53 | 73 | 87 |
| D1974.16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 28 | 50 | 62 |
| FL8Z1.16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 17 | 20 | 40 | 27 |
| JNo.421.16 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 24 | 20 | 25 | 27 |
| AD. 621.13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 18 | 11 | 23 | 27 |
| AD. 622 A .18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 14 | 23 | 27 |
| AD. 622 B .18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 22 | 15 | 23 | 27 |
| D1974.24 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{4} 4$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 14 |
| FL821. 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 13 |

TABLE XI. Onset of Saturation: the average number of calls per hour at the "onset of saturation", or number above which response timer will become unacceptably long.

| Cars <br> on duty | Calls per hour <br> at onset | Cars <br> on duty | Calls per hour <br> at onset |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 6 | 9 |  |
| 5 | 7.5 | 10 | 13 |
| 6 | 9 | 11 | 14 |
| 7 | 10.5 | 12 | 15 |
| 8 | 12 | 14 | 17.5 |



Fig. 32. Number of calls per hour at "onset of saturation", taken from curves derived from the simulation shown in Fig. 25.
occurred. Saturation occurred for 4,6 or 8 car strategies with $30-60$ calls per hour. The number of hours until this happened is shown in Table XII. Clearly it would be foolish to deploy only $4-8$ cars when $30-60$ calls per hour were expected.

A related parameter is the maximum number of cars simultaneously on call,
shown in Table XIII. This table may be used to choose the minimum number of cars required for various average numbers of calls per hour. Thus if 1.865 calls per hour are expected 4 cars should be on patrol; for 3.75-7.5 calls, 6 cars; for 15 calls, 12 cars; for 30 calls, 16 cars and for 60 calls per hour, 24 cars.

## Percentage of Calls in Car's Own Zone

In the simulations the percentage of calls which each car answers originating in the car's own zone is calculated for each priority. The remaining calls are interzone dispatches. The results, combined for all cars and all priorities, are given in Table XIV. In principle if one set of zones is better than another, the first set should have fewer interzone dispatches than the second. Thus the zones with the highest percentage of calls in home zone should be the "best". Inspection of Table XIV shows that choosing the "best" zones for one average number of calls per hour is seldom the "best" for another number.

## Additional Details

The foregoing results were taken from the printouts of 324 computer simulations Many steps were necessary before the mass of data contained in the printouts could be reduced to a comprehensible form. It therefore seemed pointless to include more details, but additional information may be obtained from the authors.

## V. FORECASTING TABLES

## Preparation

The patrol car and response time forecasting tables were prepared by combining several results. First the number of calls per hour was chosen from Fig. 10 to range from 2 to 16. From Fig. 24 it was decided that response times ranging from 3 to 20 minutes would be realistic. The number of cars required to achieve a particular average response time was then read from Fig. 24. Thus the first three columns (labeled $N, A v, R T$ and No. $C$ ) were obtained in Table XIV.

When a certain number of patrol cars are on duty it is also important to know what proportion of their time will be available for preventive patrol. This was done with formulae using the results for preventive patrol shown in Fig. 28. The percentage of patrol time may be expressed (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\% P T=100-K N \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\% P T=$ percentage of time each car has for preventive patrol,
$K=$ a constant, and
$N=$ number of calls per hour.
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TABLE XIII. Maximum number of cars simultapeously on call during a simulation. The dashed lines may be used to choose the minimum number of cars required to deal with a certain $N$.

| ```Zones and Number of Cars``` | Averabw Namer of Calls per llour , N , imd Stritegy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.875 |  | 3.75 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 15 |  |  |  | 30 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
|  | D C W C | 2 C W C | D $C$ $W$ C | D i C F S | Z C IV C | $\begin{aligned} & Z \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 0 $C$ IV C | Z C W C | D C W C | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | Z C W C | L I C F S | D C V C | Z C W C | D C W C | D F C F S | Z C W C | 2 F C F S |
| JN0.421.4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| A1). 621.6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| AD.622A. 6 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| AD. 622 B .6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| D1974.8 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| FL821. 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| J10.421.8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| AD. 621.12 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 11. | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| ND.6Z3A. 12 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| AD.6Z2B. 12 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| D1974. 16 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| FL8E1. 16 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| JNO. 421.16 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| ND.621.18 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| AD. 6Z2A. 18 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| AD.622B. 18 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| D1974.24 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 24 | 24 | 23. | 21 |
| FL8Z1. 24 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 23 |

TABLE XIV. Percentage of calls in car's own zone. (District dispatch)

|  | Average Number of Calls per Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.875 | 3.75 |  | 7.5 | 15 |  | 30 | 60 |  |
| Zones and Number of Cars | D C W C | D C W C | $\begin{aligned} & \hline D \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{~W} \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | D C W C | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | D C W C | D C W C | D F C F S |
| JNO.421.4 | 83 | 81 | 78 | 63 | 38 | 35 | - | - | - |
| AD. 621.6 | 73 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 45 | 41. | 30 | - | - |
| AD. 622 A .6 | 78 | 88 | 78 | 64 | 57 | 55 | 46 | - | - |
| AD. 622 B .6 | 89 | 85 | 85 | 80 | 59 | 62 | 50 | - | - |
| D1974.8 | 47 | 44 | 44 | 38 | 32 | 37 | 29 | - | - |
| FL8Z1. 8 | 78 | 74 | 74 | 68 | 53 | 53 | 27 | - | - |
| JNO.4Z1.8 | 93 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 72 | 72 | 47 | - | - |
| AD.621.12 | 86 | 82 | 82 | 90 | 78 | 78 | 52 | 23 | 32 |
| AD. 622 A .12 | 89 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 77 | 79 | 63 | 52 | 48 |
| AD. 622 B .12 | 91 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 86 | 86 | 68 | 51. | 43 |
| D1974.16 | 88 | 90 | 91 | 85 | 73 | 74 | 6.3 | 34 | 33 |
| FL821.16 | 85 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 81 | 81 | 74 | 36 | 33 |
| JNO. 421. 16 | 92 | 95 | 95 | 93 | 90 | 89 | 75 | 40 | 56 |
| AD. 621.18 | 86 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 87 | 87 | 75 | 36 | 42 |
| AD. 622 A .18 | 89 | 93 | 93 | 94 | 85 | 85 | 81 | 54 | 40 |
| AD. 6Z2B. 18 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 94 | 91 | 91 | 83 | 66 | 62 |
| D1974.24 | 92 | 91 | 91 | 88 | 87 | 86 | 73 | 56 | 56 |
| FL8Z1. 24 | 96 | 89 | 89 | 88 | 82 | 80 | 81 | 62 | 61 |

A value of $K$ must be calculated for each number of patrol cars selected, by reading the intercept with the x -axis of the curve in Fig. 28 and solving $\mathrm{K}=100 /$ (Intercept.). For example, for 6 cars the intercept is 20.8 calls per hour and $K=100 / 20.8=4.81$.
Values of K for numbers of cars not shown in Fig. 28 were found by interpolation.
Similarly the number of hours of preventive patrol time the cars will have during an 8 hour shift, HrPT , was calculated using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HrPT}=8(\mathrm{No.C})-\mathrm{BN} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where No. $C=$ number of cars on patrol, and

$$
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{a} \text { constant }
$$

The constant B is the slope of the lines shown in Fig. 29 and was calculated as 2.02 Thus, for example, if 6 cars were on duty and 8 calls per hour were being dispatched we would have $\mathrm{HrPT}=8(6)-2.02(8)=32$ hours. Columns 4 and 5 of Table XIV were calculated using these procedures. Table XVI was prepared using the same procedures and formulae in a different order.

Use

The forecasting tables may be used to plan the number of cars for a particular period when a certain number of calls per hour are expected, including the likely effect should the number of calls change during this period. Table XV shows the number of patrol cars needed to maintain a certain average response time when a certain average number of calls per hour are being received. Table XV may be used as follows. Suppose that during a certain shift (whose calls could be depicted by Fig. 9) 5 calls per hour were expected and a 5 minute response time was desired. From Table XV we see that 8 cars would be required to maintain this level of response. Also we see that with 8 cars on duty $84 \%$ of the time would be available for preventive patrol or duties other than answering calls. During an 8 hour shift there would be 54 man-hours available for these duties.

Table XVI gives the same data arranged in a different way. If 8 cars are on duty and 5 calls per hour are arriving we again have a 5 minute response time, $84 \%$ preventive patrol time and 54 hours of preventive patrol time. Suppose now that the number of calls suddenly increases to 10 per hour. The average response time would increase to 6 minutes, preventive patrol time to $67 \%$ and hours of preventive patrol to 44 . This level of calls would still be below the onset of saturation ( 12 calls per hour from Table X ) so this increase in calls per hour could adequately be handled by 8 cars.

Tables XI, XV and XVI may be used for planning patrol car deployment. Since they are based on the limited amount of data taken 13-26 March 1974, however, they should be used with caution until theirpredictions are verified.

Based on data obtained 13-26 March 1974
nuber of calls per hour expected to which a radio car will be dispatcined.
Av RT $=$ average response time desired, in minutes.
No. $C=$ number of cars required to achieve this Av RT.
$\% \mathrm{PT}=$ percentage of time each car has for preventive patrol.

| N | Av_RT | No. C | \% PT | Hr PT | N | Av RT | No. C | \% PT | Hr PT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 24 | 98 | 188 |  | 3 | 24 | 97 | 186 |
|  | 4 | 13 | 96 | 100 |  | 4 | 15 | 95 | 114 |
|  | 5 | 7 | 92 | 52 |  | 5 | 7 | 88 | 50 |
|  | 6 | 4 | 87 | 28 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 86 | 42 |
|  | 7 | 4 | 87 | 28 |  | 7 | 4 | 82 | 26 |
|  | 8 | 4 | 87 | 28 |  | 8 | 4 | 82 | 26 |
|  | 9 | 4 | 87 | 28 |  | 10 | 4 | 82 82 | 26 26 |
|  | 10 | 4 | 87 | 28 |  | 10 |  | 82 |  |
| 4 | 3 | 24 | 96 | 184 |  | '3 | 24 | 95 | 182 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 93 | 112 |  | 4 | 16 | 92 | 118 |
|  | 5 | 7 | 84 | 48 |  | 5 | 8 | 84 | 54 |
|  | 6 | 6 | 81 | 40 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 84 | 46 |
|  | 7 | 5 | 78 | 32 |  | 7 | 6 | 76 | 38 |
|  | 8 | 4 | 74 | 24 |  | 8 | 4 | 67 | 22 |
|  | 9 | 4 | 74 | 24 |  | 9 | 4 | 67 | 22 |
|  | 10 | 4 | 74 | 24 |  | 10 | 4 | 67 |  |
| 6 | 3 | 24 | 94 | 180 |  | , | 24 | 93 | 178 |
|  | 4 | 16 | 90 | 116 |  | 4 | 16 | 89 | $\begin{array}{r}114 \\ 74 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
|  | 5 | 9 | 82 | 60 |  | 5 | 11 | 83 | 74 |
|  | 6 | 7 | 77 | 44 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 77 | 50 |
|  | 7 | 7 | 77 | 44 |  | 7 | 7 | 73 | 42 |
|  | 8 | 5 | 67 | 28 |  | 8 | 6 | 06 | $\begin{array}{r}34 \\ 26 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
|  | 9 | 4 | 61 | 20 |  | ${ }^{9}$ | 5 4 | 61 54 | 26 18 |
|  | 10 | 4 | 61 | 20 |  | 10 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 3 | >24 |  |  |  | 3 | 724 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 17 | 88 |  |  | 4 | 18 | 88 |  |
|  | 5 | 12 | 82 | 80 |  | 5 | 12 | 80 | 78 |
|  | 6 | 8 | 74 | 48 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 71 | 46 |
|  | 7 | 7 | 69 | 28 |  | 7 | 7 | 65 | 38 |
|  | 8 |  | 61 | 32 |  | 8 | 7 | 65 | 38 |
|  | 9 | 6 | 61 | 32 |  | 9 | 6 | 57 57 | 30 30 |
|  | 10 | 5 | 56 | 24 |  | 10 | 6 | 57 | 30 |
| 10 |  | 18 | 87 | 124 |  | 4 | 18 | 84 | 120 |
|  | 6 |  | 67 | 52 |  | 6 | 10 | 68 | 56 |
|  | 8 | 7 | 61 | 36 |  | 8 | 8 | 61 | 40 |
|  | 10 | 6 | 52 | 28 |  | 10 | 7 | 53 | 32 |
|  | 12 | 5 | 45 | 20 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 42 | 24 |
|  | 14 | 5 | 45 | 20 |  | 14 | 5 | 34 | 16 |
|  | 16 | 5 | 45 | 20 |  | 16 | 5 | 34 | 16 |
|  | 18 | 4 | 35 | 12 |  | 18 | 5 | 34 | 16 |
|  | 20 | 4 | 35 | 12 |  | 20 | 5 | 34 | 16 |
| 14 | 4 | 19 | 82 | 124 |  | 4 | 20 | 81 | 128 |
|  | 6 | 11 | 66 | 60 |  | 6 | 12 | 64 | 64 |
|  | 8 | 8 | 54 | 36 |  | 8 | 9 | 53 | 40 |
|  | 10 | 7 | 46 | 28 |  | 10 | 8 | 48 | 32 |
|  | 12 | 6 | 33 | 20 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 38 | 24 |
|  | 14 | 6 | 33 | 20 |  | 14 | 6 | 23 | 16 |
|  | 16 | 6 | 33 | 20 |  | 16 | 6 | 23 | 16 |
|  | 18 | 5 | 23 | 12 |  | 18 | 6 | 23 | 16 |
|  | 20 | 5 | 23 | 12 |  | 20 | 5 | 12 | 8 |

TABEE XVI
Response Time Foriscasting Table R.C.M.P. Burnaby Detachment Based on data obtained Detachment $13-26$ March 1974

No. C $=$ number of radio patrol cars on duty
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of dispatched calls per hour
AV RT $=$ average response time to be expected with this No. C and $N$
$\%$ PT $=$ percentage of time each car has for preventive patrol
during an 8 hour shift.

| No. C | N | Av RT | 2 P | Hr PT | No. C | N | Av RT | 2 PT | Hr PT | No. C | N | AV RT | Z PT | Hr PT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2 | 7 | 87 | 28 |  | 3 | 6 | 89 | 36 |  | 2 | 5 | 90 | 44 |
|  | 3 | 7 | 82 | 26 |  | 3 | 6 | 83 | 34 |  | 3 | 6 | 86 | 42 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 74 | 24 |  | 4 | 7 | 78 | 32 |  | 4 | 6 | 81 | 40 |
|  | 5 | 8 | 67 | 22 |  | 5 | 7 | 72 | 30 |  | 5 | 7 | 76 | 38 |
|  | 6 | 9 | 61 | 20 |  | 5 | 8 | 67 | 28 |  | 6 | 7 | 71 | 36 |
|  | 7 | 10 | 54 | 18 |  | 7 | 9 | 61. | 26 |  | 7 | 8 | 66. | 34 |
|  | 8 | 12 | 48 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 56 | 24 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 61 | 32 |
|  | 9 | 15 | 41 | 14 |  | 9 | 12 | 50 | 22 |  | 9 | g | 57 | 30 |
|  | 10 | 18 | 35 | 12 |  | 10 | 12 | 45 | 20 |  | 10 | 10 | 52 | 28 |
|  | 12 | 27 | 22 | 8 |  | 12 | 14 | 34 | 16 |  | 12 | 12 | 42 | 14 |
|  | 14 | >30 |  |  |  | 14 | 18 | 23 | 12 |  | 14 | 12 | 33 | 20 |
|  | 16 | >30 |  |  |  | 16 | 20 | 12 | B |  | 16 | 14 | 23 | 16 |
| 7 | 2 | 5 | 92 | 52 |  | 2 | 4 | 93 | 60 |  | 2 | 4 | 94 | 68 |
|  | 3 | 5 | 88 | 50 |  |  | 4 | 90 | 58 |  | 3 | 4 | 91 | 66 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 84 | 48 |  | 4 | 5 | 87 | 56 |  | 4 | 5 | 88 | 64 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 84 | 46 |  | 5 | 5 | 84 | 54 |  | 5 | 5 | 85 | 62 |
|  | 6 | 6 | 77 | 44 |  |  | 5 | 80 | 52 |  | 6 | 5 | 82 | 60 |
|  | 7 | 7 | 73 | 42 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 77 | 50 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 75 | 58 |
|  | 8 | 7 | 69 | 40 |  | 8 | 6 | 74 | 48 |  | 8 | 6 | 77 | 56 |
|  | 9 | 7 | 65 | 38 |  | 9 | 6 | 71 | 46 |  | 10 | 6 | 74 | 54 |
|  | 10 | 8 | 61 | 36 |  | 10 | 6 | 67 | 44 |  | 10 | 6 | 67 | 52 |
|  | 12 | 10 | 53 | 32 |  | 12 | 8 | 61. | 40 |  | 12 | 7 | 65 | 48 |
|  | 14 | 10 | 46 | 28 |  | 14 | 10 | 54 | 36 |  | 14 | 8 | 59 | 44 |
|  | 16 | 12 | 38 | 24 |  | 16 | 10 | 48 | 32 |  | 16 | 8 | 53 | 40 |
| 10 | ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 95 | 16 |  | 3 | 4 | 95 | 84 |  | 2 | 4 | 3 5 | 92 |
|  | 3 | 5 | 92 | 74 |  | 4 | 4 | 93 | 82 |  | 3 |  | 93 | 90 |
|  | 4 | 5 | 89 | 72 |  | 4 | 4 | 90 | 80 |  | 4 | 4 | 91 | 88 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 87 | 70 |  | 5 | 5 | 88 | 78 |  | 5 | 5 | 89 | 86 |
|  | 6 | 5 | 84 | 68 |  | 6 | 5 | 85 | 76 |  | 6 |  | 87 | 84 |
|  | 7 | 5 | 81 | 66 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 83 | 74 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 84 | 82 |
|  | 8 | 5 | 79 | 64 |  | 8 | 5 | 81 | 72 |  | 8 | 5 | 82 | 30 |
|  | 9 | 5 | 76 | 62 |  | 9 | 5 | 78 | 70 |  | 9 | 5 | 80 | 78 |
|  | 10 | 6 | 73 | 60 |  | 10 |  | 76 | 68 |  | 10 | 5 | 78 | 76 |
|  | 12 | 7 | 68 63 | 56 52 |  | 12 14 | 6 | 71 66 | 64 60 |  | 12 | 5 | 73 | 72 |
|  | 14 | 7 | 57 | 48 |  | 14 | 7 | 61 | 56 |  | 14 | 6 6 | 69 64 | 68 64 |
| 14 | 2 | 4 | 96 | 108 |  | 2 | 4 | 97 | 124 |  | 2 | 4 | 97 | 140 |
|  | 3 | 4 | 94 | 106 |  | 3 | 4 | 95 | 122 |  | 3 | 4 | 96 | 138 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 92 | 104 |  | 4 | 4 | 94 | 120 |  |  | 4 | 95 | 136 |
|  | 5 | 4 | 91 | 102 |  | 5 | 4 | 92 | 118 |  | 5 | 4 | 93 | 134 |
|  | 6 | 4 | 89 | 100 |  | 6 | 4 | 90 | 116 |  | 6 | 4 | 92 | 132 |
|  | 7 | 4 | 87 | 98 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 89 | 114 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 91 | 130 |
|  | 8 | 5 | 85 | 96 |  | 8 | 4 | 87 | 112 |  | 8 | 4 | 89 | 128 |
|  |  | 5 | 83 | 94 |  | 9 | 4 | 86 | 110 |  | 9 | 4 | 88 | 126 |
|  | 10 | 5 | 81 | 92 |  | 10 | 4 | 84 | 108 |  | 10 | 4 | 87 | 124 |
|  | 12 | 5 | 78 | 88 |  | 12 | 4 | 81 | 104 |  | 12 | 4 | 84 | 120 |
|  | 14 | 5 | 74 70 | 84 |  | 14 | 5 | 78 | 100 |  | 14 | 4 | 81 | 116 |
|  | 16 | 6 | 70 | 80 |  | 16 | 5 | 74 | 96 |  | 16 | 4 | 79 | 112 |
| 20 | 2 | 3 | 98 | 156 |  | 2 | 3 | 98 | 172 |  | 2 | 3 | 98 | 188 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 96 | 154 |  | 3 | 3 | 97 | 170 |  |  | , | 97 | 186 |
|  | 5 | 3 | 94 | 152 150 |  | 4 | 3 | 95 | 168 166 |  | 4 | 3 | 96 | 184 |
|  | 6 | 3 | 93 | 148 |  | 6 | 3 | 93 | 164 |  | 6 | 3 | 94. | 180 |
|  | 7 | 4 | 91 | 146 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 92 | 162 | 24 | 7 | 3 | 93 | 178 |
|  | 8 | 4 | 90 | 144 |  |  | 3 | 91 | 160 |  | 8 |  | 92 | 176 |
|  | 9 | 4 | 89 | 142 |  | 9 | 3 | 90 | 1.58 |  | 9 | 3 | 91 | 174 |
|  | 10 | 4 | 88 | 140 |  | 10. | 4 | 89 | 156 |  | 10 | 3 | 90 | 172 |
|  | 12 | 4 | 85 | 136 |  | 12 | 4 | 87. | 152 |  | 12 | 3 | 88 | 168 |
|  | ${ }_{16}^{14}$ | 4 | ${ }_{81}^{83}$ | 132 128 |  | ${ }_{16}^{14}$ | 4 | 85 82 | 148 144 |  | 14 16 | 4 | 88 | 164 160 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## VI. SUGGESTIONS FOR OPERATION*

It is suggested that records of occurrences include the atom number, time and a number indicating the type of occurrence. If this is done a continuing record of occurrences - atom by atom and hour by hour - will be acquired which should be valuable both as record of past occurrences and as an aid in forecasting. Also the number of calls per hour during various times of the day, days of the week, and seasons of the year will become known and facilitate the use of Tables XV-XVI for forecasting patrol operations. The use of a computer as an aid in keeping track of these data should be considered

It is suggested that one of the arrangements of zones worked out by the authors on the basis of equal workloads be adopted. The division into zones should be reviewed from time to time, say every 1-2 years, so that changes in the pattern of occurrences can be dealt with.

Finally, it is suggested that Tables X, XV and XVI be used for determining manpower requirements for various shifts. A logical step after forecasting the number of calls per hour is to make appropriate shift schedules. Such schedules were beyond the scope of the work described here, but it is hoped that the results will be useful for this purpose.
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[^0]END


[^0]:    * Some of the suggestions have already been adopted.

