


NCJRS

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION
NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFERENCE SERVICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20531

Date filmed 8/14/75



Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System 1972-73

Issued February 1975

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
William B. Saxbe,
Attorney General

National Criminal Justice
Information and Statistics Service
SD-EE No. 5

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Frederick B. Dent,
Secretary

Bureau of the Census
State and Local Government
Special Studies, No. 70

CONTENTS

**LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE
ADMINISTRATION**

Richard W. Velde
Administrator

Charles R. Work
Deputy Administrator

**National Criminal Justice Information
and Statistics Service**

Wayne P. Holtzman, Acting Deputy Assistant
Administrator

**SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC
STATISTICS ADMINISTRATION**

Edward D. Failor
Administrator

Bureau of the Census

Vincent P. Barabba
Director

Shirley Kallek
Associate Director for
Economic Fields

Governments Division
Sherman Landau, Chief

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared jointly by the Bureau of the Census and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. In the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, general supervision was supplied by Anthony G. Turner and Charles R. Kindermann, assisted by Dawn D. Nelson, all of the National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service.

In the Bureau of the Census, Governments Division, general supervision was provided by Kenneth E. Anderson and Alan R. Jones. Carolyn Y. Thompson directed the project. Significant contributions were made by Robert G. Bloomberg, Thomas P. Clifford, John Coleman, Purnell E. Johnson, Edward C. Malloy Jr.; Operations units under the supervision of Charles W. Meyer and Richard Neach including Marjorie Barnes, Elston Bridgewater, Carol Cropper, and Mary East; and the Data Preparation Division.

Library of Congress Card No. 71-609542

Suggested Citation

U.S. Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1972-73, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1975

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office,
Washington, D.C. 20402. Price \$4.05 Stock No. 0324-01046

	Page
Introduction	1
Graphic Summary	9
Section I. Variable Pass-Through Data	
Table	
1. Percent Distribution of Total Criminal Justice Expenditure From Own Sources of State and Local Governments, by State: Fiscal Year 1972-73	15
Section II. Criminal Justice System Summary Data	
2. Percent Distribution of Expenditure for the Criminal Justice System, by Level of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	17
3. Percent Distribution of Employment and Payrolls for the Criminal Justice System, by Level of Government: October 1973	17
4. Criminal Justice System Expenditure of the Federal Government, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	18
5. Criminal Justice System Employment and Payrolls of the Federal Government: October 1973	20
6. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	22
7. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	30
8. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	38
9. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution of Full-Time Equivalent Employees by State and Type of Government: October 1973	42
10. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll, by State and Type of Government: October 1973	50
11. Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's by County Area: Fiscal Year 1972-73	58
12. Criminal Justice System Employment and Payrolls of Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's by County Area: October 1973	62
13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73	66
14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73	78
15. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	90
16. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution of Full-Time Equivalent Employees of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973	96
17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973	108

CONTENTS—Continued

Table	Page
18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73	120
19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73	130
20. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution of Full-Time Equivalent Employees of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973	140
21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973	150
22. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	160

Section III. Police Protection

23. Police Protection Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	166
24. Police Protection Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	171
25. Police Protection Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	177

Section IV. Judicial

26. Judicial Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	185
27. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Judicial Activities of State Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73	190
28. Judicial Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	191
29. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Judicial Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73	197
30. Judicial Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	203
31. Detail of Judicial Employment and Payrolls of State Governments: October 1973	210
32. Detail of Judicial Employment and Payrolls of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973	212

Section V. Legal Services and Prosecution

33. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	223
34. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	228
35. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	234

Section VI. Indigent Defense

36. Indigent Defense Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	242
37. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	247
38. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	253

CONTENTS—Continued

Section VII. Correction

Table	Page
39. Correction Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	261
40. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of State Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73	266
41. Correction Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	267
42. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73	273
43. Correction Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73	279
44. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73	286
45. Detail of Correction Employment and Payroll of State Governments: October 1973	289
46. Detail of Correction Employment and Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973	292
47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973	302

Section VIII. Other Criminal Justice

48. Other Criminal Justice Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73	317
Appendix 1: Exhibit Tables A and B	323
Appendix 2: Definition of Terms	329
Appendix 3: Survey Forms	335

INTRODUCTION

This publication is the seventh in a series of annual reports that present public expenditure and employment data on criminal justice activities in the United States. In this report, expenditure data cover the fiscal year 1972-73, and employment data refer to the month of October 1973.

As in previous years, specific data are supplied for the Federal Government, each of the 50 State governments and the aggregate local level of government within each State. Survey coverage was designed to produce reliable estimates for each State of the percent of State and local law enforcement expenditure funded and expended by units of general local government. These estimates, shown in table 1, are necessary for compliance with the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended (further discussion with table 1). For the third year, data are published separately for the aggregate county governments and the aggregate municipal governments within each State, and for each of 312 counties with a 1970 population of 100,000 or more, as well as 384 cities with a 1970 population of 50,000 or more. Also, data are shown for the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's). Reports for 1969-70 through 1971-72 displayed data for the 15 largest SMSA's. This year's report reflects the reorganization of SMSA's announced by the Office of Management and Budget in April 1973, which defined two additional large SMSA's and redefined various others.

The presentation of the tables is organized into two major parts--the summary "Criminal Justice System" section showing the interrelationship of the various sectors of the system, and the six "Sector" sections providing, where possible, a further breakdown of data on a particular criminal justice activity. The six sectors of criminal justice activity covered in this report are: Police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, indigent defense, correction, and "other criminal justice." In addition, appendix 1 presents two tables which show expenditure and employment data for special police force activities in selected school districts and special districts not included in the summary totals.

Definitions for concepts, categories and terms used in this report are contained in appendix 2.

Historical overview

Although this annual series of reports began in 1966-67, caution should be exercised in comparing data in earlier volumes with data in the 1970-71, 1971-72, and current volumes. Beginning with 1970-71, the survey was greatly expanded: the sample size was increased by a third and the number of units compiled in the field by specially trained agents was more than doubled. Data collection further benefited by a growing familiarity with the criminal justice systems of the various States and of the individual units within the States. Also, some classification and definition changes have been instituted. (These changes can be traced in the appendices of the individual annual volumes.)

Data from the 1970-71, 1971-72, and current volumes are comparable; these data were collected in each year from the same sample of governments and were edited and processed in a uniform fashion. (See the section on Survey Methodology for further detail.) The following table shows the steady rise in expenditure for criminal justice activities at all levels of government over the three years (see table A).

The number of full-time equivalent criminal justice employees also increased at all levels of government but at a much slower rate than expenditures (see table B).

General, fiscal year 1972-73

Local governments continued to spend more than the Federal and State governments combined for all criminal justice activities. Table C shows that local governments accounted for 62.0 percent of all direct criminal justice expenditure (\$12,985 million), while State governments contributed 25.4 percent and the Federal Government 12.6 percent. However, when each activity is examined separately, the proportion accounted for by the different levels of government varied throughout the criminal justice system.

Table A. Total Criminal Justice Expenditure and Percent Change by Level of Government: Fiscal Years 1970-71, 1971-72, and 1972-73

Level of government	Amount (millions of dollars)			Percent increase or decrease (-)	
	1972-73	1971-72	1970-71	1971-72 to 1972-73	1970-71 to 1971-72
Federal.....	2,196	¹ 1,873	1,448	17.2	¹ 29.3
State.....	3,855	3,342	2,921	15.4	14.4
Local.....	6,094	7,373	6,663	9.8	10.6

¹Approximately \$39 million or 2.1 percent of this increase resulted from the inclusion of data for three agencies not canvassed in 1970-71.

Table B. Total Full-Time Equivalent Criminal Justice Employees and Percent Change, by Level of Government: October 1971, October 1972, and October 1973

Level of government	Number of employees			Percent increase or decrease (-)	
	Oct. 1973	Oct. 1972	Oct. 1971	Oct. 1972 to Oct. 1973	Oct. 1971 to Oct. 1972
Federal.....	87,139	¹ 85,222	77,523	2.2	¹ 9.9
State.....	232,299	216,603	205,859	7.2	5.2
Local.....	625,871	596,480	578,394	4.9	3.1

¹2,005 full-time equivalent employees or 2.6 percent of this increase resulted from the inclusion of data for three agencies not canvassed in 1970-71.

Three of the activities--police protection, judicial, and legal services and prosecution were supported mainly by local governments; the Federal Government was the principal supporter of indigent defense; and State governments were the largest supporters of correction and those activities included in the other criminal justice sector (see table C).

Relationships similar to those found for expenditure among the levels of government also apply to the employment situation. Table D shows that two-thirds of the total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees of all governments were employed by local governments. However,

the distribution of employees at each level of government varied for each activity. In general, the level of government accounting for the largest amount of the total expenditure for an activity also employed the greatest number of workers for that activity. The area of indigent defense was an exception to this pattern because of the extensive use of court-appointed counsel systems whereby fees are paid to private counsel to defend indigent clients accused of crimes. Therefore, though the Federal Government expended the largest amount on indigent defense, 43.8 percent of the total, the local governments employed the greatest number of employees in this activity, 57.3 percent of the total (see table D).

Table C. Percent Distribution of Total Direct Expenditure for the Criminal Justice System by Activity and Level of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

Level of government	Total	Police protection activities	Judicial activities	Prosecution activities	Indigent defense activities	Correction activities	Other activities
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal.....	12.6	14.3	7.5	18.6	43.8	6.2	21.8
State.....	25.4	14.8	24.4	21.6	17.9	56.0	41.8
Local.....	62.0	70.9	68.1	59.8	38.3	37.8	36.4

Table D. Percent of Full-Time Equivalent Employment in the Criminal Justice System by Activity and Level of Government: October 1973

Level of government	Total	Police protection activities	Judicial activities	Prosecution activities	Indigent defense activities	Correction activities	Other activities
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal.....	9.2	11.1	5.4	13.5	2.1	4.5	23.5
State.....	24.6	14.2	19.9	22.2	40.6	57.2	49.4
Local.....	66.2	74.7	74.7	64.3	57.3	38.3	27.1

Federal Government (tables 4 and 5)

General expenditure by the Federal Government totaled \$204,813 million in fiscal year 1972-73. Of this amount, \$2,196 million, or 1.1 percent, was expended on criminal justice activities. The \$2,196 million represents an increase of \$323 million, or 17.2 percent, more than the total of \$1,873 million expended in 1971-72. Substantially more than half of the increase (\$187 million or 57.9 percent) occurred in a single sector, "other criminal justice," and within that sector was accounted for by a single agency, the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, which increased its grants to State and local governments by \$180 million in 1972-73 over 1971-72. To further illustrate the impact of the grant funds: if the grants to State and local government were not included, the increase in Federal criminal justice expenditures (current operation and capital outlay) was only 9.2 percent, in contrast to the 17.2 percent overall increase.

Expenditures also increased in four of the five other sectors: Police protection, 13.2 percent; legal services and prosecution, 15.3 percent; indigent defense, 12.7 percent; and correction, 29.1 percent. Expenditures for judicial activities showed a decline of 33.9 percent. The operating expenses reported for the Federal judiciary were down by \$12 million or 10.7 percent; the balance of the decrease, however, was caused by a one-time U.S. Tax Court capital outlay expenditure of \$17 million in 1971-72 that did not recur in 1972-73 and also by the proration in this year's report of the U.S. Marshals Service expenditures among the police, judicial, correction, and other criminal justice sectors. In past years, the entire Marshals Service expenditure was attributed to the judicial sector.

Although the "other criminal justice" sector, primarily LEAA, becomes more significant in terms of overall expenditures each year, still the largest share of Federal criminal justice money is expended for police protection activities, including those agencies that enforce Federal law

and those that provide security and police services to Federal property and buildings. Of the \$2,196 million in total Federal criminal justice expenditures, nearly one-half (\$1,090 million or 49.7 percent) was for agencies in the police protection sector, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation (\$366 million), the Bureau of Customs (\$223 million), the Postal Inspection Service (\$80 million) and the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs (\$73 million). As noted earlier, the second largest block of Federal criminal justice expenditure was reported by agencies in the "other criminal justice" sector (\$584 million or 26.6 percent), primarily LEAA with 1972-73 expenditures of \$559 million. The other four sectors accounted for the remaining 23.7 percent of Federal criminal justice expenditure (see figure 1).

In contrast to the 9.2 percent increase in Federal criminal justice current operating expenditure (i.e., expenditure exclusive of grant funds), the number of full-time equivalent Federal employees in criminal justice activities increased only 2.2 percent from October 1972 to October 1973. There were 87,139 full-time equivalent employees in October 1973, including 63,996 (73.5 percent) in the police protection sector; 8,969 (10.3 percent) in the correction sector; 6,395 (7.3 percent) in the legal services and prosecution sector and 6,277 (7.2 percent) in the judicial sector (see figure 4). Indigent defense and "other criminal justice" together accounted for only 1,502 full-time equivalent employees (1.7 percent) although these two sectors accounted for more than 30 percent of the Federal criminal justice expenditure. As previously mentioned, the greatest portion of the indigent defense money was paid to court-appointed counsel who are not considered regular public employees, and the greatest portion of the "other criminal justice" expenditure was in the form of intergovernmental grants.

The 87,139 Federal employees drew an October 1973 payroll of \$113.6 million; each sector's percentage of the total payroll was generally proportionate to its percentage of total employees.

There is a disparity in some sectors because of a concentration of higher paid employees. For example, the judicial sector with only 7.2 percent of the employees, received 8.2 percent of the payroll; similarly, the legal services sector drew 8.0 percent of the payroll with only 7.3 percent of the employees.

State governments (tables 6-10)

The total general expenditure of State governments in fiscal year 1972-73 was \$108 billion. Of this amount \$3.9 billion, or 3.6 percent, was expended on criminal justice activities. This represents an increase of \$514 million, or 15.4 percent, in criminal justice expenditure over the previous fiscal year.

Increases occurred in all sectors with the indigent defense and "other criminal justice" sectors showing the greatest proportionate increases. State expenditures for indigent defense rose from \$25.6 million in 1971-72 to \$41.8 million in 1972-73, a 63.3 percent increase reflecting the expansion of existing programs and the initiation of new programs to provide counsel for the poor. In some States, counsel is provided not only to those accused of crime but also to those convicted persons still in need of attorney services.

State expenditures for the "other criminal justice" sector increased by 48.6 percent, from \$301.4 million in 1971-72 to \$448 million in 1972-73. As at the Federal level, the increase is attributable to expanding intergovernmental payments to local governments. These intergovernmental expenditures increased by \$157.5 million and consisted almost entirely of LEAA block grant funds distributed through the State planning agencies. Increases in the other four sectors were more typical of increases in previous years, and ranged from a 9.9 percent increase in correction to a 14.0 percent increase in legal services and prosecution.

Although indigent defense and "other criminal justice" were the fastest growing areas of State criminal justice expenditures, together they still comprised less than 15 percent of actual State expenditures. As in past years, the correction sector accounted for the largest portion of State criminal justice expenditures (\$1,613 million or 41.9 percent); these expenditures included the operation of the State prison system and, in many States, the operation of the probation and parole system as well. The balance of State criminal justice expenditure was distributed as follows: police protection, \$1,187 million, or 30.8 percent; judicial, \$419 million, or 10.9 percent; and legal services and prosecution, \$146 million, or 3.8 percent (see figure 2).

Increases in expenditure were accompanied by increases in criminal justice employment and payroll in all sectors. The number of full-time equivalent employees in State criminal justice agencies increased 7.2 percent, and payroll increased 15.4 percent, between October 1972 and October 1973. The 232,299 employees (9.1 percent of the total 2,546,728 full-time equivalent employees on State payrolls) received \$219 million in salaries, wages, fees, and commissions in the month of October 1973. The percentage of total employees in each sector was generally proportionate to the percentage of total expenditure in each sector (see figure 5).

Indigent defense, which showed the greatest increase in expenditure, also showed the greatest proportionate increase in full-time equivalent employees, rising from 1,406 employees in October 1972 to 2,102 employees in October 1973, a 49.5 percent increase. The other sectors experienced considerably more modest increases in employment ranging from a 4.1 percent increase in correction employees to a 16.1 percent increase in legal services and prosecution employees.

The October 1973 payroll of \$219 million was divided among the six criminal justice sectors roughly in proportion to the number of employees in the sector. For those sectors characterized by higher-paid employees, namely judicial and legal services, the sector's share of payroll, of course, exceeded the sector's share of employees.

Local governments--counties and municipalities (tables 6-10 and 13-22)

Total expenditure for criminal justice by local governments in fiscal year 1972-73 was \$8,094 million, a \$721 million or 9.8 percent increase over 1971-72. As in past years, more than two-thirds of this expenditure occurred in the police protection sector (\$5,405 million or 66.8 percent). The judicial sector and the correction sector each accounted for slightly more than 13 percent of expenditures while the other three sectors accounted for relatively smaller percents (see figure 3). Direct criminal justice expenditure by local governments (i.e., all expenditures except intergovernmental payments) amounted to \$8,052 million in fiscal year 1972-73, a 10.6 percent increase over 1971-72. Direct expenditure of local governments was distributed among the six criminal justice sectors in virtually the same proportions as total expenditure.

Analyzing local criminal justice expenditure in total, however, tends to obscure significant differences between the county level of government and the municipal level. Municipal governments outspent county governments on criminal justice by almost 2 to 1 overall (\$5,247 million to \$2,806 million), but the difference can be attributed almost exclusively to the police sector where the municipal governments outspent the county governments by better than a 4 to 1 margin. Municipal governments spent \$4,417 million (or 84.2 percent of all their criminal justice expenditure) on police protection, more than county governments spent on all six sectors altogether (see figure 9). On a sector-by-sector basis, however, county governments spent substantially more than municipal governments for judicial activities, legal services and prosecution, indigent defense, and correction. No one sector dominates county criminal justice expenditure as police protection does municipal expenditure (see fig. 7).

County criminal justice expenditures increased for all activities over 1971-72. Proportionately the greatest increase occurred in the "other criminal justice" sector, \$19.4 million or 259 percent, largely because of a one-time capital outlay of \$12.4 million for two multi-purpose criminal justice buildings. Excluding this expenditure, the increase is 93.5 percent. Many larger counties are establishing criminal justice planning agencies, and the expenditure figures reflect the ongoing operating expenses of these agencies and the grant funds they distribute. Expenditure increases were more typical for the other five sectors, ranging from 9.9 percent in the judicial sector to 21.7 percent in the indigent defense sector.

At the municipal level, the largest proportionate increase year to year was in the indigent defense sector. However, indigent defense represented only a small portion of municipal criminal justice expenditure, 0.3 percent, and the increase reflected expansion of programs in a limited number of large cities rather than a national trend. Municipal expenditures for the other sectors ranged from a 4.8 percent decrease in "other criminal justice" to a 15.1 percent increase in the judicial area.

At both the county and municipal levels, employment and payrolls were distributed throughout the various sectors in nearly the same percentages as expenditures (see figures 8 and 10). There were 219,894 full-time equivalent county criminal justice employees in October 1973 drawing a monthly payroll of \$185 million. As at the State level, in those sectors with a concentration of higher-paid employees (legal services and indigent defense), the percentage of total payroll exceeded

the percentage of total employees. There were 405,977 full-time equivalent municipal criminal justice employees in October 1973 with a monthly payroll of \$395 million. At the municipal level, the higher-paid employees were concentrated in the legal services and police sectors.

Overall, the number of county full-time equivalent employees in October 1973 increased by 14,920 or 7.3 percent over October 1972; the correction sector added the greatest number of employees, 3,891 (a 7.3 percent increase) and the "other criminal justice" sector showed the greatest proportionate increase, 35.8 percent (131 employees). The number of municipal full-time equivalent employees increased by only 2.2 percent compared with October 1972. The police sector added the greatest number of employees, 12,345 (a 3.7 percent increase) and the correction sector showed the greatest proportionate increase, 6.8 percent (1,164 employees).

Separate data are presented in tables 18-22 for the 312 county governments with a 1970 population of 100,000 or more and in tables 13-17 for the 384 city governments with a 1970 population of 50,000 or more. Only 57.1 percent of the total United States population lives in the 312 largest counties, but these counties accounted for over three-fourths (\$2,188 million) of the total direct expenditure for criminal justice activities at the county level, employed 72.3 percent of all county level full-time equivalent criminal justice employees (158,946) and paid these employees 79.2 percent of the total county criminal justice payroll for October 1973. These figures, of course, reflect the greater need for criminal justice services and the higher salaries in areas of high population density.

Direct criminal justice expenditure by the 384 large city governments amounted to \$3,672 million and full-time equivalent criminal justice employees numbered 264,803. These 384 cities have slightly over one-third (35.6 percent) of the total United States population, but accounted for more than two-thirds of the criminal justice expenditure and nearly two-thirds of the employment at the municipal level of government (70.0 percent and 65.2 percent of the total respectively).

The distribution of expenditure and employment throughout the various criminal justice sectors in the 312 counties and the 384 cities reflects the distribution for all county governments and for all city governments respectively.

It should be noted that in the individual city tables, certain cities are either independent, being wholly outside any county area, or operate wholly or in part as a consolidated city-county. These cities are: San Francisco, Denver, Washington, D.C., Jacksonville, Fla., Columbus, Ga., Honolulu, Indianapolis, Baton Rouge, New Orleans, Baltimore, Boston, St. Louis, New York City, Philadelphia, Nashville-Davidson, and all displayed cities in Virginia.

Local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's (tables 11 and 12)

Data are presented on criminal justice expenditure and employment of all governments in the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) and each of their component county areas. The county area data include aggregate figures for the county government and for all municipalities within the county. However, in a few instances, data for an individual government are included in the SMSA tables because it is the only government within a county area or county area equivalent. For example, data are shown for Baltimore city because it is the only government within the county area equivalent of Baltimore city. Similarly, New York City, Philadelphia, St. Louis, San Francisco, and Washington, D.C., and Alexandria, Fairfax, and Falls Church, all in Virginia, are the only governments within their respective county area equivalents. The State Economic Area (SEA) rather than SMSA is shown for Boston because the SMSA's in New England are comprised of the towns rather than the counties, a deviation from the general SMSA concept. The SEA, on the other hand, is comprised of counties.

The 17 largest SMSA's expended \$4,071 million for criminal justice activities in fiscal year 1972-73. Over one-half (50.5 percent) of the Nation's

total local criminal justice direct expenditure was made by governments in areas where 30.3 percent of the United States population resides. On a sector-by-sector basis, governments in these SMSA's accounted for 51.0 percent of all local government direct expenditure for police protection; 48.5 percent of local expenditure for judicial activities; 45.1 percent of local expenditure for legal services and prosecution activities; 50.9 percent of local expenditure for indigent defense activities; 52.0 percent of all local expenditure for correction activities; and 55.8 percent of local expenditure for "other criminal justice" activities (see table E).

The local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's employed 274,317 full-time equivalent personnel in criminal justice, or 43.8 percent of the total number of local government employees working in criminal justice. The percentage of all local government employees working in each criminal justice sector in these SMSA's was: Police protection, 44.1 percent; judicial, 41.8 percent; legal services and prosecution, 39.2 percent; indigent defense, 42.3 percent; correction, 46.0 percent; and "other criminal justice," 66.6 percent.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY - SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA

General

Survey coverage and collection methods are described in detail later in the text and the definitions applied in the collection of data are presented in appendix 2.

As in previous editions of this annual series, several tables show comparisons of criminal justice expenditure and full-time equivalent employment with the total general expenditure and full-time equivalent employment for all functions

of the particular government or level of government. Beginning with the 1970-71 report, however, the total general expenditure and total full-time equivalent employment figures shown for the "local" level of government in these comparisons include data for units of general local government only. Since the "variable pass-through" provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control Act of 1970 required that the concept of "local" government be confined to units of general local government in determining the ratio of State-to-local government criminal justice expenditure, the same concept was applied consistently throughout the publication to reflect the intent of the law. Therefore, the total general expenditure and total full-time equivalent employment figures used for comparison with criminal justice figures do not include independent school districts or special districts. This change may result in significant differences from the percentages shown in years previous to 1970-71 in those States where education is largely the responsibility of independent school districts, or where there are large special districts. In any case, criminal justice expenditure and employment data in this series have always been for units of general local government only. (As in previous reports, however, appendix 1 shows those independent school districts and special districts having significant special police expenditures and employment.)

Readers should be generally cautious in comparing governments, since other differences in functional responsibilities from State to State and government to government can also affect the comparability of expenditure and employment data. For example, some State governments directly administer certain activities that elsewhere are undertaken by local governments, with or without fiscal aid, and the same variation in the division of responsibilities exists for counties and cities. Sampling error and response error are discussed in the following section.

The available source documents did not consistently provide full itemization of expenditure or employment for the sector subcategories presented in this report. As a result, sector breakdowns may be incomplete for particular governmental units.

Because of rounding, the detail data in some tables may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Survey coverage

The survey through which basic figures for this report were collected covered all State governments and a representative sample of local governments within each State. Data were

collected for all county governments (regardless of size), for all municipalities (including townships in the New England and Middle Atlantic States) having a 1970 population of 10,000 or more, and for a sample of the remaining cities and townships under 10,000 population, selected according to the relative size of their annual expenditures as reported in the 1967 Census of Governments. The survey panel was therefore comprised of the 50 State governments and 9,036 local governments (the 3,044 county governments, and 5,992 municipalities, including 1,706 townships).

The sample selected to develop local government figures is one of all possible samples of the same size that could have been chosen using the same sampling design. Estimates derived from these different samples would differ from each other, and also from a complete census using the same data collection procedures. This variation among all possible estimates is sampling error.

Since all State and county governments were included in the survey, State and county figures are not subject to sampling error. The local government sample was designed to produce an estimate for each State of the ratio of State-to-local government criminal justice expenditure with a sampling error of less than one-half of one percent at the 95 percent confidence level.

The relative sampling error of the State-by-State estimates of local government criminal justice expenditure has also been calculated at the 95 percent confidence level. All States had a relative error of less than one percent except Wyoming (with 2.5 percent). The implication of this calculation is that there is a 19 out of 20 probability that the estimated State-by-State criminal justice total for the local level of government (except in Wyoming) is within one percent of the total that would result from a complete enumeration of local governments.

The estimated data are also subject to the inaccuracies in classification, response, and processing that would occur if a complete census had been conducted under the same conditions as the sample. Every effort was made to keep such errors to a minimum through care in examining, editing, and tabulating the data submitted by government officials. Followup procedures were used extensively to clarify inadequate and inconsistent survey returns.

The survey period

Federal Government data are shown for fiscal year 1972-73 which ended June 30, 1973.

Table E. Total Criminal Justice Direct Expenditure of All Local Governments and Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Millions of dollars)							
Local government	Total	Police protection activities	Judicial activities	Prosecution activities	Indigent defense activities	Correction activities	Other activities
All local governments..	8,052	5,403	1,075	397	79	1,035	62
Local governments in 17 largest SMSA's.....	4,071	2,757	522	179	40	538	35
Percent of all local governments ¹	50.5	51.0	48.5	45.1	50.8	52.0	55.8

¹Percentages based on unrounded figures shown in tables 7 and 11.

The State expenditure data presented in this report cover the fiscal year ending June 30, 1973, for all States except three whose fiscal years end as follows: New York, March 31, 1973; Texas, August 31, 1973; and Alabama, September 30, 1973. However, there are some State agencies that operate on a different fiscal year basis from the rest of the State government. In such instances, figures shown are for the agency's fiscal year that ended within the State's regular fiscal year.

For local governments, the 1972-73 fiscal years reported are those which closed between July 1, 1972 and June 30, 1973. Most municipalities and counties ended their fiscal years on December 31, 1972, or June 30, 1973.

Employment data shown for Federal, State, and local governments are for October 1973.

Data collection

The survey was accomplished using two methods of data collection: field compilation and mail canvass. Trained field representatives compiled expenditure and employment data for the 50 States, 312 largest counties, 384 largest cities, and selected smaller units from the governments' own records. The compilation work was done between July 1973 and June 1974 in accordance with the definitions presented in appendix 2. All other units in the sample were canvassed by mail

for expenditure and employment data, over a 5-month period beginning in January 1974 and ending in May 1974. Response for field-compiled units was 100 percent. The response from mail canvass units was 91.5 percent. The mail canvass questionnaires are included as appendix 3.

The field survey efforts and mail canvass were supplemented by reference to a variety of published government documents such as budgets, financial statements, and audit reports. In some cases, such sources were the basis for breakdowns of totals into more detailed employment and expenditure figures.

The expenditure and employment data for the Federal Government were collected through a special mail survey, with telephone followup, of Federal criminal justice agencies, in accordance with definitions used for State and local governments (see appendix 2). For reports previous to 1970-71, expenditure data were derived from the Budget of the United States Government, and employment figures were obtained from the U.S. Civil Service Commission.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Bureau of the Census is indebted to the many Federal, State, and local government officials who provided the information and gave other assistance for the preparation of this report.

FIGURE 1. Total Federal Government Expenditure for Criminal Justice ,
Fiscal Year 1972-73
2,196 million¹





FIGURE 2. Total State Government Expenditure for Criminal Justice ,
Fiscal Year 1972-73
3,855 million¹



^{1/} Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.

FIGURE 3. Total Local Government Expenditure for Criminal Justice, Fiscal Year 1972-73





FIGURE 4. Federal Government Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October 1973



1/ Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.

FIGURE 5. State Government Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October 1973





FIGURE 6. Local Government Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October 1973



1/ Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.

FIGURE 7. County Direct Expenditures for Criminal Justice, Fiscal Year 1972-73




FIGURE 8. County Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October 1973




FIGURE 9. Municipal Direct Expenditures for Criminal Justice, Fiscal Year 1972-73




FIGURE 10. Municipal Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October 1973




Table 1. Percent Distribution of Total Criminal Justice Expenditure From Own Sources of State and Local Governments, by State: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Amounts in thousands of dollars)

Section I. VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

The data in this table were developed to comply with the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended, which requires that the block grants made by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration to each State be allocated between the State and local governments according to the ratio of State-to-local law enforcement expenditure from their own revenue sources.

The concept of "expenditure from own sources" specified in the law and used in the data collection means that the expenditure figures from which the State-to-local ratio was developed do not include amounts expended from revenue received from other governments. For example, State or local government expenditure from sales or property tax revenue are included but not amounts expended from intergovernmental revenue, such as Federal grant monies. (It should be noted that this is the only table in this publication based on this concept. All other expenditure tables reflect expenditure from all sources.)

Specifically for local government, any expenditure from revenue received from another local government, or received directly from the Federal Government or from the State government must be excluded. Likewise for State governments, expenditure from revenue received from local governments or from the Federal Government must be excluded.

The following items were deducted from total criminal justice expenditure of local governments to arrive at local government expenditure from own revenue sources: Revenue received directly from the Federal Government for criminal justice purposes, State payments to local governments for criminal justice purposes, and payments from local government(s) to other local government(s) for criminal justice purposes. The same procedure was followed to arrive at State government expenditure from own revenue sources. Criminal justice revenue received directly from the Federal Government and local criminal justice payments to the State government were deducted from the total State expenditure for criminal justice. This procedure assumes that all intergovernmental payments received by a government will be expended during the same fiscal year they were received. While this may not be the case in a particular year, any discrepancy will cancel out over time.

Item	Expenditures from own sources			Percent distribution	
	Total State-local	State	Local	State	Local
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	10 602 093	3 117 906	7 484 187	29.4	70.6
ALABAMA	91 064	32 221	58 843	35.4	64.6
ALASKA	34 757	28 359	6 398	81.6	18.4
ARIZONA	117 196	35 242	81 954	30.1	69.9
ARKANSAS	40 097	11 148	28 949	27.8	72.2
CALIFORNIA	1 603 141	379 033	1 224 108	23.6	76.4
COLORADO	102 767	45 914	56 853	44.7	55.3
CONNECTICUT	158 391	75 938	82 453	47.9	52.1
DELAWARE	35 301	25 329	9 972	71.8	28.2
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	184 018	-	184 018	-	100.0
FLORIDA	386 613	120 669	265 944	31.2	68.8
GEORGIA	159 668	54 530	105 138	34.2	65.8
HAWAII	46 816	14 374	32 442	30.7	69.3
IDAHO	26 148	11 656	14 492	44.6	55.4
ILLINOIS	616 105	154 534	461 571	25.1	74.9
INDIANA	156 886	48 343	108 543	30.8	69.2
IOWA	79 386	25 584	53 802	32.2	67.8
KANSAS	80 294	34 326	45 968	42.8	57.2
KENTUCKY	87 497	40 643	46 854	46.5	53.5
LOUISIANA	150 724	51 410	99 314	34.1	65.9
MAINE	31 268	16 115	15 153	51.5	48.5
MARYLAND	266 668	146 898	119 770	55.1	44.9
MASSACHUSETTS	344 328	97 612	246 716	28.3	71.7
MICHIGAN	497 333	120 229	377 104	24.2	75.8
MINNESOTA	145 376	37 819	107 557	26.0	74.0
MISSISSIPPI	56 407	23 720	32 687	42.1	57.9
MISSOURI	177 795	42 512	135 283	23.9	76.1
MONTANA	22 533	9 910	12 623	44.0	56.0
NEBRASKA	50 466	17 724	32 742	35.1	64.9
NEVADA	47 882	12 505	35 377	26.1	73.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	26 085	9 061	17 024	34.7	65.3
NEW JERSEY	453 389	114 238	339 151	25.2	74.8
NEW MEXICO	42 827	22 777	20 050	53.2	46.8
NEW YORK	1 638 663	310 794	1 327 869	19.0	81.0
NORTH CAROLINA	184 637	99 844	84 793	54.1	45.9
NORTH DAKOTA	15 521	4 427	11 094	28.5	71.5
OHIO	422 433	131 886	290 547	31.2	68.8
OKLAHOMA	75 093	27 595	47 498	36.7	63.3
OREGON	105 657	40 886	64 771	38.7	61.3
PENNSYLVANIA	546 873	172 702	374 171	31.6	68.4
RHODE ISLAND	39 821	18 449	21 372	46.3	53.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	79 478	33 805	45 673	42.5	57.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	16 721	7 342	9 379	43.9	56.1
TENNESSEE	127 606	40 928	86 678	32.1	67.9
TEXAS	360 187	96 818	263 369	26.9	73.1
UTAH	35 335	14 649	20 686	41.5	58.5
VERMONT	19 896	14 949	4 947	75.1	24.9
VIRGINIA	184 976	87 918	97 058	47.5	52.5
WASHINGTON	159 522	61 665	97 857	38.7	61.3
WEST VIRGINIA	38 084	16 504	21 580	43.3	56.7
WISCONSIN	219 802	70 630	149 172	32.1	67.9
WYOMING	12 561	5 740	6 821	45.7	54.3

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 2. Percent Distribution of Expenditure for the Criminal Justice System, by Level of Government:
Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Amount				Percent distribution		
	All governments	Federal Government	State governments	Local governments	Federal Government	State governments	Local governments
Total criminal justice system ¹	12,085,155	2,195,501	3,855,356	8,094,225	(X)	(X)	(X)
Direct expenditure.....	12,085,155	1,029,315	3,303,608	8,052,232	12.6	25.4	62.0
Intergovernmental expenditure.....	(¹)	566,186	551,748	90,249	(X)	(X)	(X)
Police protection ¹	7,624,178	1,089,873	1,187,470	5,405,423	(X)	(X)	(X)
Direct expenditure.....	7,624,178	1,088,854	1,132,288	5,403,036	14.3	14.8	70.9
Intergovernmental expenditure.....	(¹)	1,019	55,182	32,697	(X)	(X)	(X)
Judicial ¹	1,579,457	118,359	419,247	1,082,257	(X)	(X)	(X)
Direct expenditure.....	1,579,457	118,359	385,619	1,075,479	7.5	24.4	68.1
Intergovernmental expenditure.....	(¹)	-	33,628	13,824	(X)	(X)	(X)
Legal services and prosecution ¹	663,810	123,494	145,805	398,783	(X)	(X)	(X)
Direct expenditure.....	663,810	123,494	143,417	396,899	18.6	21.6	59.8
Intergovernmental expenditure.....	(¹)	-	2,388	2,553	(X)	(X)	(X)
Indigent defense ¹	206,705	90,436	41,830	79,283	(X)	(X)	(X)
Direct expenditure.....	206,705	90,436	37,029	79,240	43.8	17.9	38.3
Intergovernmental expenditure.....	(¹)	-	4,801	257	(X)	(X)	(X)
Correction ¹	2,740,208	189,096	1,613,049	1,066,000	(X)	(X)	(X)
Direct expenditure.....	2,740,208	170,854	1,533,920	1,035,434	6.2	56.0	37.8
Intergovernmental expenditure.....	(¹)	18,242	79,129	40,275	(X)	(X)	(X)
Other criminal justice ¹	170,797	584,243	447,955	62,478	(X)	(X)	(X)
Direct expenditure.....	170,797	37,318	71,335	62,144	21.8	41.8	36.4
Intergovernmental expenditure.....	(¹)	546,925	376,620	643	(X)	(X)	(X)

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. X Not applicable.
¹The total line for each sector, and for the total criminal justice system, excludes duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government (s) ultimately expend (s) that amount. The intergovernmental expenditure lines are not totaled for the same reason.

Section II. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA

Table 3. Percent Distribution of Employment and Payrolls for the Criminal Justice System, by Level of Government:
October 1973

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	All governments	Federal Government	State governments	Local governments	Percent distribution		
					Federal Government	State governments	Local governments
Total criminal justice system:							
Total employees.....	1,024,116	88,560	241,765	693,791	8.7	23.6	67.7
Full-time employees.....	916,183	86,739	227,610	601,834	9.5	24.8	65.7
Full-time equivalent employees.....	948,309	87,139	232,299	625,871	9.2	24.6	66.2
October payroll.....	912,176	113,552	218,554	580,070	12.4	24.0	63.6
Police protection:							
Total employees.....	623,603	64,880	88,465	470,258	10.4	14.2	75.4
Full-time employees.....	561,646	63,786	79,475	418,385	11.4	14.1	74.5
Full-time equivalent employees.....	575,142	63,996	81,634	429,512	11.1	14.2	74.7
October payroll.....	570,871	82,367	77,140	411,364	14.4	13.5	72.1
Judicial:							
Total employees.....	130,528	6,360	23,602	100,564	4.9	18.1	77.0
Full-time employees.....	107,712	6,254	21,726	79,732	5.8	20.2	74.0
Full-time equivalent employees.....	115,490	6,277	22,977	86,236	5.4	19.9	74.7
October payroll.....	107,916	9,319	29,201	69,396	8.6	27.1	64.3
Legal services and prosecution:							
Total employees.....	54,781	6,649	11,082	37,050	12.2	20.2	67.6
Full-time employees.....	43,067	6,333	9,905	26,829	14.7	23.0	62.3
Full-time equivalent employees.....	47,304	6,385	10,490	30,419	13.5	22.2	64.3
October payroll.....	50,978	9,022	11,648	30,308	17.7	22.8	59.5
Indigent defense:							
Total employees.....	5,987	109	2,161	3,717	1.8	36.1	62.1
Full-time employees.....	4,765	109	2,071	2,585	2.3	43.6	54.2
Full-time equivalent employees.....	5,178	109	2,102	2,967	2.1	40.6	57.3
October payroll.....	5,728	183	2,244	3,331	2.6	39.2	58.2
Correction:							
Total employees.....	203,101	9,076	113,503	80,522	4.5	55.9	39.6
Full-time employees.....	193,172	8,897	111,536	72,739	4.6	57.7	37.7
Full-time equivalent employees.....	196,279	8,969	112,176	75,134	4.5	57.2	38.3
October payroll.....	170,405	10,887	95,565	63,953	6.4	56.1	37.5
Other criminal justice:							
Total employees.....	6,118	1,486	2,952	1,680	24.2	48.3	27.5
Full-time employees.....	5,821	1,360	2,897	1,564	23.3	46.8	26.9
Full-time equivalent employees.....	5,916	1,393	2,920	1,603	23.5	49.4	27.1
October payroll.....	6,278	1,804	2,766	1,718	28.7	43.9	27.4

Table 4. Criminal Justice System Expenditure of the Federal Government, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

Item	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
Federal government general expenditure, all functions.....	204,813,000	163,147,000	143,056,000	20,091,000	41,666,000	30,599,000	11,067,000
Total criminal justice system.....	2,195,501	1,629,315	1,566,257	63,058	566,186	539,432	26,754
Police protection, total.....	1,089,873	1,088,654	1,059,677	29,177	1,019	-	1,019
The Congress:							
U.S. Capitol Police.....	10,539	9,529	9,305	224	1,010	-	1,010
Department of the Interior:							
National Park Service:							
U.S. Park Police.....	7,885	7,885	7,198	687	-	-	-
U.S. Park Rangers ¹	14,412	14,412	12,178	2,234	-	-	-
Department of Justice:							
Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs ²	73,053	73,053	69,728	3,325	-	-	-
Federal Bureau of Investigation.....	336,420	336,420	328,855	7,565	-	-	-
Immigration and Naturalization Service:							
U.S. Border Patrol.....	38,695	38,695	35,410	3,285	-	-	-
Office for Drug Abuse Law Enforcement ³	1,750	1,750	1,738	12	-	-	-
U.S. Marshal Service ³	14,027	14,027	13,650	377	-	-	-
Department of Transportation:							
Federal Aviation Administration:							
Airport Police.....	1,106	1,097	1,034	63	9	-	9
Office of Air Transportation Security.....	20,109	20,109	20,109	-	-	-	-
U.S. Coast Guard ¹	12,467	12,467	12,467	-	-	-	-
Department of the Treasury:							
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms.....	65,696	65,696	65,399	297	-	-	-
Bureau of Customs ⁴	223,288	223,288	221,271	2,017	-	-	-
Consolidated Federal Law Enforcement Training Center.....	3,175	3,175	1,609	1,566	-	-	-
Internal Revenue Service:							
Intelligence Division.....	59,115	59,115	57,613	1,502	-	-	-
Internal Security Division.....	8,920	8,920	8,787	133	-	-	-
Office of Law Enforcement.....	469	469	469	-	-	-	-
U.S. Secret Service.....	61,190	61,190	59,177	2,013	-	-	-
General Services Administration:							
Office of Federal Protective Services Management	45,991	45,991	45,503	488	-	-	-
Smithsonian Institution:							
National Zoological Park Police.....	374	374	374	-	-	-	-
Smithsonian Institution Police Force.....	3,463	3,463	3,452	13	-	-	-
United States Postal Service:							
Postal Inspection Service.....	80,546	80,546	77,248	3,298	-	-	-
Veterans Administration:							
Hospital Protective Staff.....	7,181	7,181	7,103	78	-	-	-
Judicial, total.....	118,359	118,359	111,466	6,893	-	-	-
Department of Justice:							
U.S. Marshals Service ⁵	11,140	11,140	10,840	300	-	-	-
Federal judiciary:							
Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.....	3,564	3,564	3,548	16	-	-	-
Federal Judicial Center.....	1,177	1,177	1,169	8	-	-	-
Supreme Court of the United States.....	4,919	4,919	4,515	404	-	-	-
U.S. Court of Claims.....	1,812	1,812	1,802	10	-	-	-
U.S. Court of Customs and Patent Appeals.....	589	589	574	15	-	-	-
U.S. Courts of Appeals.....	19,143	19,143	18,723	420	-	-	-
U.S. Customs Court.....	1,977	1,977	1,965	12	-	-	-
U.S. District Courts.....	66,382	66,382	64,924	1,458	-	-	-
U.S. Tax Court.....	7,656	7,656	3,406	4,250	-	-	-
Legal services and prosecution, total.....	123,494	123,494	118,596	4,898	-	-	-
Department of Justice:							
Administrative Division.....	12,416	12,416	11,589	827	-	-	-
Antitrust Division.....	11,911	11,911	11,687	224	-	-	-
Board of Immigration Appeals.....	483	483	478	5	-	-	-
Civil Division.....	8,465	8,465	8,426	39	-	-	-
Civil Rights Division.....	6,191	6,191	5,876	315	-	-	-
Criminal Division.....	12,189	12,189	11,991	198	-	-	-
Internal Security Division ⁶	1,744	1,744	1,727	17	-	-	-
Land and Natural Resources Division.....	4,735	4,735	4,675	60	-	-	-
Office of Legal Counsel.....	684	684	679	5	-	-	-
Office of Legislative Affairs.....	382	382	362	20	-	-	-
Office of National Narcotics Intelligence ⁷	1,496	1,496	692	804	-	-	-
Office of the Attorney General.....	725	725	699	26	-	-	-
Office of the Deputy Attorney General.....	52,641	52,641	50,541	2,100	-	-	-
Office of the Solicitor General.....	1,090	1,090	1,084	6	-	-	-
Office of Watergate Special Prosecution Force..	288	288	105	183	-	-	-
Tax Division.....	8,054	8,054	7,985	69	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 4. Criminal Justice System Expenditure of the Federal Government, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Item	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
Indigent defense, total.....	90,436	90,436	90,417	19	-	-	-
Federal judiciary:							
Community Defender Organizations.....	1,194	1,194	1,194	-	-	-	-
Federal Public Defender Organizations.....	2,558	2,558	2,539	19	-	-	-
Representation by court-appointed counsel.....	12,104	12,104	12,104	-	-	-	-
Office of Economic Opportunity:							
Legal Services Program.....	74,580	74,580	74,580	-	-	-	-
Corrections, total.....	189,096	170,854	149,474	21,380	18,242	-	18,242
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare:							
National Institute of Mental Health:							
Clinical Research Center (Lexington, Ky.).....	8,890	8,890	8,534	356	-	-	-
Department of Justice:							
Board of Parole.....	1,221	1,221	1,208	13	-	-	-
Bureau of Prisons.....	149,275	131,033	110,732	20,301	18,242	-	18,242
Office of the Pardon Attorney.....	155	155	155	-	-	-	-
U.S. Marshals Service ³	11,140	11,140	10,840	300	-	-	-
Federal judiciary:							
Federal Probation Service.....	18,415	18,415	18,005	410	-	-	-
Other criminal justice, total.....	584,243	37,318	36,627	691	546,925	539,432	7,493
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare:							
National Institute of Mental Health:							
Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency....	4,509	4,164	4,164	-	345	242	103
Department of the Interior:							
Bureau of Indian Affairs:							
Division of Law Enforcement Services.....	8,410	8,410	8,139	271	-	-	-
Department of Justice:							
Community Relations Service.....	6,220	6,220	5,984	236	-	-	-
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.....	538,616	12,036	11,985	51	546,580	539,190	7,390
U.S. Marshals Service ³	4,951	4,951	4,818	133	-	-	-
Temporary study commissions.....	1,537	1,537	1,537	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. X Not applicable.

¹Estimated criminal justice activities only.

²Effective July 1, 1973 reorganized with part of the Bureau of Customs into the new Drug Enforcement Administration.

³Total expenditures allocated to Police protection, Judicial, Corrections, and Other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.

⁴Effective August 1, 1973 name changed to U.S. Customs Service.

⁵Effective March 26, 1973 merged with Criminal Division.

Table 5. Criminal Justice System Employment and Payrolls of the Federal Government: October 1973

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Federal government civilian employment, all functions.....	2,786,406	2,578,763	2,668,291	3,012,011
Total criminal justice system.....	88,560	86,739	87,139	113,552
Police protection, total.....	64,880	63,786	63,996	82,367
The Congress:				
U.S. Capitol Police.....	972	972	972	855
Department of the Interior:				
National Park Service:				
U.S. Park Police.....	526	526	526	684
U.S. Park Rangers ¹	1,473	1,386	1,430	726
Department of Justice:				
Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs ²	3,569	3,519	3,524	5,683
Federal Bureau of Investigation.....	20,195	20,187	20,189	26,582
Immigration and Naturalization Service:				
U.S. Border Patrol.....	1,996	1,964	1,970	2,937
Office for Drug Abuse Law Enforcement ²	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
U.S. Marshals Service ³	746	650	670	915
Department of Transportation:				
Federal Aviation Administration:				
Airport Police.....	84	84	84	95
Office of Air Transportation Security.....	68	68	68	114
U.S. Coast Guard ¹	1,387	1,387	1,387	868
Department of the Treasury:				
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms.....	3,703	3,690	3,693	5,167
Bureau of Customs ⁴	12,622	11,899	11,994	17,050
Consolidated Federal Law Enforcement Training Center.....	75	75	75	110
Internal Revenue Service:				
Intelligence Division.....	3,562	3,477	3,512	4,681
Internal Security Division.....	521	521	521	693
Office of Law Enforcement.....	14	14	14	25
U.S. Secret Service.....	2,828	2,828	2,828	4,283
General Services Administration:				
Office of Federal Protective Services Management.....	4,062	4,062	4,062	3,789
Smithsonian Institution:				
National Zoological Park Police.....	28	28	28	32
Smithsonian Institution Police Force.....	301	301	301	302
U.S. Postal Service:				
Postal Inspection Service.....	5,097	5,097	5,097	6,143
Veterans Administration:				
Hospital Protective Staff.....	1,051	1,051	1,051	613
Judicial, total.....	6,360	6,254	6,277	9,319
Department of Justice:				
U.S. Marshals Service ³	592	517	531	727
Federal judiciary:				
Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.....	261	261	261	308
Federal Judicial Center.....	34	34	34	63
Supreme Court of the United States.....	299	299	278	358
U.S. Court of Claims.....	92	92	92	152
U.S. Court of Customs and Patent Appeals.....	29	29	29	49
U.S. Courts of Appeals.....	785	785	785	1,636
U.S. Customs Court.....	117	117	117	168
U.S. District Courts.....	3,967	3,967	3,967	5,587
U.S. Tax Court.....	184	183	182	271
Legal services and prosecution, total.....	6,649	6,333	6,395	9,022
Department of Justice:				
Administrative Division.....	725	694	701	808
Antitrust Division.....	602	569	574	951
Board of Immigration Appeals.....	29	28	28	45
Civil Division.....	463	430	437	650
Civil Rights Division.....	357	337	341	453
Criminal Division.....	693	680	685	1,002
Internal Security Division ⁵	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Land and Natural Resources Division.....	226	213	215	331
Office of Legal Counsel.....	34	33	33	57
Office of Legislative Affairs.....	19	17	18	26
Office of National Narcotics Intelligence ²	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Office of the Attorney General.....	40	36	37	66
Office of the Deputy Attorney General.....	2,904	2,789	2,799	3,804
Office of the Solicitor General.....	39	37	38	72
Office of Watergate Special Prosecution Force.....	91	70	71	126
Tax Division.....	427	409	418	631

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 5. Criminal Justice System Employment and Payrolls of the Federal Government: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Indigent defense, total.....	109	109	109	153
Federal judiciary:				
Community Defender Organizations.....	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Federal Public Defender Organizations.....	109	109	109	153
Representation by court-appointed counsel.....	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Office of Economic Opportunity:				
Legal Services Program.....	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Corrections, total.....	9,076	8,897	8,969	10,887
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare:				
National Institute of Mental Health:				
Clinical Research Center (Lexington, Ky.).....	491	466	478	453
Department of Justice:				
Board of Parole.....	69	64	66	100
Bureau of Prisons.....	6,564	6,490	6,534	7,962
Office of the Pardon Attorney.....	9	9	9	13
U.S. Marshals Service ³	592	517	531	727
Federal judiciary:				
Federal Probation Service.....	1,351	1,351	1,351	1,632
Other criminal justice, total.....	1,486	1,360	1,393	1,804
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare:				
National Institute of Mental Health:				
Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency.....	11	10	10	19
Department of the Interior:				
Bureau of Indian Affairs:				
Division of Law Enforcement Services.....	413	388	406	399
Department of Justice:				
Community Relations Service.....	129	103	103	187
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.....	670	629	638	876
U.S. Marshals Service ³	263	230	236	323
Temporary study commissions.....	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)

X Not applicable.

¹Estimated criminal justice activities only.²Effective July 1, 1973 reorganized with part of the Bureau of Customs into the new Drug Enforcement Administration.³Total employment and payrolls allocated to Police protection, Judicial, Corrections, and Other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.⁴Effective August 1, 1973 name changed to U.S. Customs Service.⁵Effective March 26, 1973 merged with Criminal Division.

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total general expenditure ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ²	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	134 361 231	11 355 840	8.5	6 535 324	57.5	1 461 098	12.9
STATES	108 086 178	3 855 356	3.6	1 187 470	30.8	419 247	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	67 849 595	8 094 225	11.9	5 405 423	66.8	1 082 257	13.4
COUNTIES	26 074 777	2 846 672	10.9	990 935	34.8	753 316	26.5
MUNICIPALITIES	43 281 402	5 295 809	12.2	4 444 798	83.9	335 987	6.3
ALABAMA	1 675 955	102 058	6.1	61 169	59.9	16 497	16.2
STATE	1 605 210	43 010	2.7	14 580	33.9	4 545	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	540 649	66 899	12.4	46 635	69.7	11 959	17.9
COUNTIES	200 170	25 405	12.7	8 761	34.5	10 856	42.7
MUNICIPALITIES	376 991	41 963	11.1	37 890	90.3	1 424	3.4
ALASKA	783 487	36 732	4.7	15 882	43.2	7 903	21.5
STATE	622 957	30 223	4.9	9 339	30.9	7 635	25.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	284 771	8 459	3.0	6 550	77.4	312	3.7
COUNTIES	193 088	1 338	0.7	959	71.7	24	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES	93 082	8 081	8.7	6 550	81.1	288	3.6
ARIZONA	1 100 278	124 454	11.3	78 313	62.9	14 207	11.4
STATE	1 028 156	41 767	4.1	19 357	46.3	2 047	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	465 361	88 053	18.9	58 962	67.0	12 215	13.9
COUNTIES	214 377	35 767	16.7	12 051	33.7	10 065	28.1
MUNICIPALITIES	268 144	52 474	19.6	46 949	89.5	2 156	4.1
ARKANSAS	803 360	48 759	6.1	30 616	62.8	5 971	12.2
STATE	807 884	19 509	2.4	6 877	35.3	1 906	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	237 035	31 863	13.4	23 773	74.6	4 091	12.8
COUNTIES	100 561	9 875	9.8	4 299	43.5	2 933	29.7
MUNICIPALITIES	137 330	22 316	16.2	19 527	87.5	1 389	6.2
CALIFORNIA	14 642 633	1 708 028	11.7	900 522	52.7	191 196	11.2
STATE	11 474 085	479 366	4.2	185 469	38.7	22 749	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 826 548	1 295 256	14.7	726 019	56.1	168 488	13.0
COUNTIES	5 656 436	748 149	13.2	219 902	29.4	160 258	21.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 267 552	565 828	17.3	523 929	92.6	8 461	1.5
COLORADO	1 388 533	113 087	8.1	59 878	52.9	19 554	17.3
STATE	1 167 264	55 209	4.7	12 792	23.2	14 010	25.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	609 012	66 349	10.9	48 020	72.4	5 552	8.4
COUNTIES	221 706	16 082	7.3	7 301	45.4	1 885	11.7
MUNICIPALITIES	393 595	50 624	12.9	40 730	80.5	3 667	7.2
CONNECTICUT	2 698 063	167 419	6.2	98 121	58.6	26 402	15.8
STATE	1 618 224	85 426	5.3	18 222	21.3	26 515	31.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 512 466	85 425	5.6	81 405	95.3	193	0.2
COUNTIES	221 706	8 093	3.6	81 565	95.3	198	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	393 595	50 624	12.9	40 730	80.5	3 667	7.2
DELAWARE	470 711	37 824	8.0	17 751	46.9	7 624	20.2
STATE	472 826	27 848	5.9	8 379	30.1	6 441	23.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	117 026	11 255	9.6	9 689	86.1	1 183	10.5
COUNTIES	33 725	3 161	9.4	2 267	71.7	796	25.2
MUNICIPALITIES	83 793	8 093	9.7	7 422	91.7	387	4.8
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 146 308	192 289	16.8	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
STATE	1 146 322	192 289	16.8	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 201 991	192 289	16.0	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 201 991	192 289	16.0	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
FLORIDA	3 524 472	413 877	11.7	214 814	51.9	70 066	16.9
STATE	3 304 868	147 182	4.5	33 837	23.0	15 755	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 545 012	278 757	18.0	181 110	65.0	54 315	19.5
COUNTIES	740 625	145 209	19.6	66 022	45.5	47 667	32.8
MUNICIPALITIES	816 416	133 700	16.4	115 143	86.1	6 647	5.0
GEORGIA	2 360 603	192 069	8.1	96 209	50.1	26 691	13.9
STATE	2 256 244	85 756	3.8	19 254	22.5	4 832	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	779 807	127 669	16.4	77 085	60.4	21 869	17.1
COUNTIES	394 354	67 637	17.2	23 816	35.2	19 216	28.4
MUNICIPALITIES	450 153	61 547	13.7	54 657	88.8	2 675	4.3
HAWAII	1 090 786	48 840	4.5	30 167	61.8	7 268	14.9
STATE	894 315	16 399	1.8	332	2.0	7 268	44.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	216 191	33 596	15.5	29 835	88.8	-	-
COUNTIES	57 267	8 385	14.6	7 618	90.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	158 924	25 211	15.9	22 217	88.1	-	-
IDAHO	398 816	30 233	7.6	15 162	50.1	4 141	13.7
STATE	394 251	15 604	4.0	4 445	28.5	2 310	14.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	109 755	16 088	14.7	10 728	66.7	1 856	11.5
COUNTIES	78 383	8 279	10.6	3 443	41.6	1 775	21.4
MUNICIPALITIES	48 417	7 966	16.5	7 368	92.5	141	1.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	540 316	4.8	116 269	1.0	2 569 354	22.6	138 728	1.2
STATES	145 805	3.8	41 830	1.1	1 613 049	41.9	447 955	11.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	398 783	4.9	79 283	1.0	1 066 000	13.2	62 478	0.8
COUNTIES	230 066	8.1	61 463	2.2	783 789	27.5	27 102	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	169 386	3.2	18 033	0.3	291 920	5.5	35 685	0.7
ALABAMA	4 277	4.2	908	0.9	17 974	17.6	1 234	1.2
STATE	1 868	4.3	850	2.0	14 540	33.8	6 627	15.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 409	3.6	61	0.1	5 485	8.2	350	0.5
COUNTIES	1 298	5.1	59	0.2	4 148	16.3	283	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 110	2.6	7	(2)	1 466	3.5	67	0.2
ALASKA	3 535	9.6	845	2.3	8 324	22.7	242	0.7
STATE	2 710	9.0	827	2.7	8 899	29.4	813	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	831	9.8	18	0.2	749	8.8	-	-
COUNTIES	355	26.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	476	5.9	18	0.2	749	9.3	-	-
ARIZONA	6 250	5.0	1 375	1.1	23 375	18.8	935	0.8
STATE	943	2.3	-	-	13 586	32.5	5 834	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 307	6.0	1 375	1.6	9 789	11.1	405	0.5
COUNTIES	3 328	9.3	1 286	3.6	8 974	25.1	63	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 987	3.8	89	0.2	951	1.8	342	0.7
ARKANSAS	2 171	4.5	178	0.4	9 472	19.4	352	0.7
STATE	1 668	3.4	23	0.1	7 132	36.6	2 903	14.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 505	4.7	155	0.5	7 340	7.3	-	-
COUNTIES	782	7.9	152	1.5	1 709	17.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	723	3.2	2	(2)	674	3.0	-	-
CALIFORNIA	100 322	5.9	27 155	1.6	477 087	27.9	11 747	0.7
STATE	13 707	2.9	775	0.2	221 150	46.1	35 516	7.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	86 615	6.7	27 155	2.1	282 469	21.8	4 510	0.3
COUNTIES	66 089	8.8	26 336	3.5	271 839	36.3	3 724	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	20 551	3.6	818	0.1	11 271	2.0	798	0.1
COLORADO	6 681	5.9	2 067	1.8	24 177	21.4	730	0.6
STATE	769	1.4	1 508	2.7	17 923	32.5	8 207	14.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 912	8.9	559	0.8	6 264	9.4	41	0.1
COUNTIES	3 333	20.7	6	(2)	3 528	21.9	29	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 913	5.8	553	1.1	2 748	5.4	12	(2)
CONNECTICUT	6 561	3.9	1 263	0.8	32 635	19.5	2 438	1.5
STATE	3 875	4.5	1 184	1.4	32 594	38.2	3 036	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 737	3.2	79	0.1	41	(2)	970	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	2 737	3.2	79	0.1	41	(2)	970	1.1
DELAWARE	1 223	3.2	363	1.0	10 349	27.4	514	1.4
STATE	847	3.0	363	1.3	10 343	37.1	1 475	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	376	3.3	-	-	6	0.1	-	-
COUNTIES	99	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	277	3.4	-	-	6	0.1	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 364	0.7
STATE	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 364	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 364	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 364	0.7
FLORIDA	19 577	4.7	5 662	1.4	99 832	24.1	3 926	0.9
STATE	8 689	5.9	4 363	3.0	70 456	47.9	14 082	9.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 888	3.9	1 304	0.5	29 376	10.5	1 765	0.6
COUNTIES	6 529	4.5	1 148	0.8	22 859	15.7	984	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	4 359	3.3	164	0.1	6 606	4.9	781	0.6
GEORGIA	8 232	4.3	856	0.4	58 502	30.5	1 580	0.8

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total general expenditure	Total original justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount*	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount*	Percent of total original justice system	Amount*	Percent of total original justice system
ILLINOIS	6 218 738	648 891	10.4	433 517	66.8	76 277	11.8
STATE	6 639 533	185 223	3.3	56 908	30.7	26 621	14.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 398 778	490 492	20.4	379 804	77.4	49 686	10.1
COUNTIES	741 338	133 576	18.0	29 791	22.3	49 646	37.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 657 440	356 916	21.2	349 663	97.5	396	0.1
INDIANA	2 299 416	168 895	7.3	102 042	60.4	20 144	11.9
STATE	1 986 982	61 597	3.1	21 502	34.9	4 410	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 040 826	118 078	11.3	80 630	68.3	13 978	13.5
COUNTIES	473 804	37 477	7.9	12 058	32.2	10 628	28.3
MUNICIPALITIES	576 938	80 786	14.0	68 587	84.9	5 800	6.8
IOWA	1 381 699	88 328	6.4	51 459	58.3	11 297	12.8
STATE	1 304 680	34 373	2.6	11 672	34.0	2 623	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	622 612	58 865	9.5	39 861	67.7	8 681	14.7
COUNTIES	326 186	22 432	6.9	6 695	29.8	7 043	31.4
MUNICIPALITIES	304 397	37 579	12.3	33 279	88.6	2 453	6.5
KANSAS	1 167 352	87 368	7.5	42 965	49.2	10 196	11.7
STATE	938 431	40 610	4.3	7 981	19.7	3 171	7.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	480 387	49 907	10.4	34 985	70.1	7 027	14.1
COUNTIES	263 589	18 256	6.9	6 942	38.0	6 094	33.4
MUNICIPALITIES	216 798	31 651	11.2	28 043	89.5	980	3.0
KENTUCKY	1 676 837	98 349	5.9	57 837	58.8	13 034	13.3
STATE	1 688 964	51 706	3.1	20 485	39.6	5 535	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	366 539	47 336	15.6	40 352	70.8	7 859	13.2
COUNTIES	122 720	25 209	20.5	11 184	44.4	4 504	25.8
MUNICIPALITIES	243 819	22 127	12.9	29 310	90.3	1 054	3.2
LOUISIANA	2 002 452	164 268	8.2	101 105	61.5	23 543	14.3
STATE	2 086 028	63 811	3.1	32 933	51.9	5 589	8.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	600 168	111 310	18.5	78 412	70.4	17 984	16.1
COUNTIES	296 042	47 854	18.7	32 091	67.1	8 402	17.6
MUNICIPALITIES	304 126	63 456	17.7	46 345	72.9	9 582	15.1
MAINE	700 546	34 886	5.0	19 293	55.3	4 428	12.7
STATE	640 675	19 649	3.1	6 222	31.7	2 890	14.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	278 217	16 351	5.9	13 072	79.9	1 659	10.1
COUNTIES	11 586	4 307	38.9	1 472	32.7	1 628	36.1
MUNICIPALITIES	305 928	11 951	3.9	11 614	97.2	31	0.3
MARYLAND	3 694 327	278 026	7.5	151 466	54.5	20 359	10.6
STATE	2 421 299	157 755	6.5	89 643	56.8	17 192	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 321 340	192 743	8.3	117 498	76.9	13 172	8.0
COUNTIES	832 739	71 657	8.7	53 767	75.0	7 152	10.0
MUNICIPALITIES	1 797 320	81 423	10.2	64 053	78.7	5 018	6.2
MASSACHUSETTS	5 526 681	363 356	6.6	213 935	58.9	43 485	12.0
STATE	3 801 878	116 089	3.1	29 371	25.3	9 087	7.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 033 301	259 127	8.5	184 596	71.2	34 859	13.5
COUNTIES	102 679	49 527	48.2	779	1.6	24 105	48.7
MUNICIPALITIES	3 010 460	210 103	7.0	183 834	87.5	11 047	5.3
MICHIGAN	5 842 314	538 264	9.2	320 111	59.8	77 607	14.5
STATE	5 162 781	155 082	3.0	56 470	36.4	13 107	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 585 229	408 901	15.8	266 371	65.1	65 803	16.1
COUNTIES	1 076 176	152 936	14.2	37 489	24.5	51 367	33.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 574 772	261 815	16.6	230 998	88.2	16 125	6.2
MINNESOTA	2 417 041	159 710	6.6	88 666	55.5	20 759	13.0
STATE	2 483 863	52 075	2.1	14 029	26.9	3 648	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 348 217	116 601	8.6	74 961	64.3	17 158	14.7
COUNTIES	767 390	30 611	3.9	15 667	51.0	16 053	31.7
MUNICIPALITIES	620 153	67 911	10.9	60 192	89.2	1 472	2.2
MISSISSIPPI	1 121 493	62 240	5.5	39 942	64.2	7 766	12.5
STATE	1 129 902	29 492	2.6	14 250	48.3	2 101	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	381 834	39 906	10.5	25 848	74.1	3 681	16.3
COUNTIES	232 781	13 725	5.9	6 200	45.2	5 241	38.2
MUNICIPALITIES	152 140	21 254	14.0	19 671	92.6	451	2.1
MISSOURI	2 062 593	203 640	9.9	129 212	63.5	27 826	13.7
STATE	1 732 860	65 906	3.8	18 599	28.2	10 587	16.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	872 141	154 188	17.7	110 662	71.8	17 281	11.2
COUNTIES	224 365	38 926	17.3	15 113	38.8	10 782	27.7
MUNICIPALITIES	660 699	115 597	17.5	95 836	82.9	6 805	5.6
MONTANA	457 735	26 507	5.8	13 999	52.8	3 245	12.2
STATE	380 863	13 751	3.6	4 620	33.6	2 990	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	160 473	14 656	9.1	9 888	67.5	2 256	15.4
COUNTIES	149 025	7 880	5.3	3 826	48.6	2 007	25.5
MUNICIPALITIES	61 198	6 807	11.1	6 079	89.3	249	3.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount*	Percent of total original justice system	Amount*	Percent of total original justice system	Amount*	Percent of total original justice system	Amount*	Percent of total original justice system
ILLINOIS	27 303	4.2	3 220	0.5	108 027	16.2	3 517	0.5
STATE	8 153	4.4	91	0.1	70 515	38.1	22 932	12.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 388	4.2	3 126	0.6	36 486	7.4	1 463	0.3
COUNTIES	13 364	10.0	3 109	2.3	37 484	28.1	1 179	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	6 998	1.9	17	(2)	414	0.1	1 284	0.4
INDIANA	7 955	4.7	1 148	0.7	33 849	20.0	3 786	2.2
STATE	2 932	4.8	128	0.2	22 872	36.6	10 044	16.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 049	4.3	1 020	0.9	12 859	10.9	2 545	2.2
COUNTIES	2 679	7.1	914	2.4	9 882	26.4	1 319	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES	2 370	2.9	106	0.1	2 977	3.7	1 226	1.5
IOWA	4 983	5.6	1 009	1.1	18 427	20.9	1 152	1.3
STATE	1 119	3.3	17	0.0	14 877	12.6	4 333	12.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 864	6.4	1 009	1.7	4 719	6.0	770	1.2
COUNTIES	5 641	11.6	1 011	4.5	4 781	21.3	622	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 228	3.3	2	(2)	75	0.2	845	1.4
KANSAS	8 666	6.5	900	1.0	26 171	30.0	1 469	1.7
STATE	2 322	5.7	780	1.9	22 645	55.8	3 691	9.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 346	6.7	120	0.2	3 506	7.0	922	1.8
COUNTIES	2 154	11.8	119	0.7	2 866	15.7	81	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 192	3.7	1	(2)	680	2.1	812	2.6
KENTUCKY	4 603	4.7	444	0.5	21 380	21.7	1 090	1.1
STATE	1 514	2.9	1 018	2.0	15 707	30.4	7 473	14.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 086	5.4	303	0.8	5 909	10.3	30	0.1
COUNTIES	1 880	7.4	290	1.2	5 359	21.3	15	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 229	3.4	13	(2)	811	2.6	15	(2)
LOUISIANA	8 728	5.3	905	0.6	29 268	17.8	719	0.4
STATE	2 086	7.8	—	—	19 094	29.9	11 189	17.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 723	3.3	905	0.8	10 184	9.1	142	0.1
COUNTIES	1 885	3.9	362	1.1	4 922	10.3	11	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES	1 838	2.9	543	0.6	5 266	8.3	141	0.2
MAINE	1 299	3.7	204	0.6	9 127	26.2	536	1.5
STATE	951	4.8	—	—	8 078	41.1	1 499	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	348	2.1	204	1.3	1 049	6.4	19	0.1
COUNTIES	161	3.6	204	4.5	1 023	22.7	19	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	180	1.6	—	—	117	1.0	—	—
MARYLAND	9 700	4 410	1.6	76 549	27.5	6 542	2.4	
STATE	1 417	0.9	4 306	2.7	63 014	40.5	11 384	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 283	5.4	108	0.1	12 872	8.4	1 814	1.2
COUNTIES	4 355	6.1	104	0.1	5 417	7.6	860	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	3 929	4.8	—	—	7 470	9.2	954	1.2
MASSACHUSETTS	10 981	3.0	1 396	0.4	87 067	24.0	6 493	1.8
STATE	4 616	4.0	1 209	1.0	97 581	49.6	14 225	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 378	2.5	187	0.1	29 586	11.4	3 521	1.4
COUNTIES	1 503	3.0	187	0.4	22 954	46.3	—	—
MUNICIPALITIES	4 875	2.3	—	—	6 632	3.2	3 521	1.7
MICHIGAN	23 360	4.4	5 304	1.0	104 175	19.5	4 711	0.9
STATE	5 239	3.4	3 499	2.3	58 624	37.8	18 143	

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total general expenditure ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ²	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	781 817	55 276	7.1	31 281	56.6	7 997	14.5
STATE	595 575	21 965	3.7	7 137	32.5	3 496	15.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	339 490	35 829	10.6	24 144	67.4	4 502	12.6
COUNTIES	149 698	12 839	8.6	3 985	31.0	3 609	28.1
MUNICIPALITIES	192 131	23 180	12.1	20 181	87.1	894	3.9
NEVADA	433 567	50 750	11.7	28 226	55.6	4 440	8.7
STATE	328 356	15 299	4.7	3 168	20.8	1 314	8.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	208 624	36 988	17.7	25 038	67.7	3 126	8.5
COUNTIES	137 436	18 392	13.4	8 950	48.7	2 368	12.9
MUNICIPALITIES	82 131	18 785	22.9	16 266	86.6	758	4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	450 889	28 206	6.3	18 173	64.4	3 538	12.5
STATE	331 884	11 211	3.4	5 504	49.1	1 198	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	182 451	18 382	10.1	14 000	76.2	2 384	13.0
COUNTIES	19 658	3 721	18.9	1 422	26.0	1 422	38.2
MUNICIPALITIES	163 287	14 725	9.0	13 046	88.6	1 008	6.8
NEW JERSEY	4 863 234	472 710	9.7	285 441	60.4	55 270	11.7
STATE	3 264 473	131 810	4.0	42 424	32.2	14 061	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 888 731	352 678	12.2	243 030	68.9	43 048	12.2
COUNTIES	1 108 610	107 145	9.7	13 690	12.8	33 878	31.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 799 775	245 648	13.6	229 343	93.4	9 282	3.8
NEW MEXICO	617 981	47 664	7.7	28 313	59.4	5 336	11.2
STATE	682 222	27 103	4.0	9 507	35.1	4 573	16.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	188 106	22 900	12.2	19 092	83.4	761	3.3
COUNTIES	59 737	5 312	8.9	3 458	65.1	121	2.3
MUNICIPALITIES	128 792	17 788	13.8	15 648	88.0	639	3.6
NEW YORK	19 926 814	1 683 737	8.4	1 021 982	60.7	213 940	12.7
STATE	13 177 493	365 870	2.8	83 363	22.8	40 662	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 694 552	1 393 251	9.5	940 524	67.5	181 352	13.0
COUNTIES	3 037 298	311 980	10.3	159 282	51.1	49 199	15.8
MUNICIPALITIES	11 809 028	1 081 506	9.2	781 433	72.3	132 174	12.2
NORTH CAROLINA	3 183 104	197 553	6.2	101 258	51.3	24 296	12.3
STATE	2 378 753	111 710	4.7	24 430	21.9	22 312	20.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 843 113	91 876	5.0	76 956	83.8	1 984	2.2
COUNTIES	1 463 415	34 314	2.3	20 439	59.6	1 979	5.8
MUNICIPALITIES	406 392	57 902	14.2	56 795	98.1	5	(Z)
NORTH DAKOTA	377 023	17 492	4.6	10 161	58.1	2 831	16.2
STATE	360 747	6 378	1.8	1 515	23.8	796	12.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	113 676	12 249	10.8	8 651	70.6	2 035	16.6
COUNTIES	65 549	5 016	7.7	1 887	37.6	1 800	35.9
MUNICIPALITIES	64 755	7 318	11.3	6 842	93.5	236	3.2
OHIO	5 011 047	462 609	9.2	256 433	55.4	64 812	14.0
STATE	4 133 697	169 000	4.1	41 750	24.7	8 259	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 409 771	321 135	13.3	214 709	66.9	58 296	18.2
COUNTIES	908 674	104 457	11.5	29 965	28.7	41 251	39.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 533 735	220 289	14.4	186 521	84.7	17 629	8.0
OKLAHOMA	1 465 187	85 746	5.9	49 967	58.3	11 464	13.4
STATE	1 350 346	40 717	3.0	13 796	33.9	5 090	12.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	446 559	51 800	11.6	36 263	70.0	7 272	14.0
COUNTIES	157 075	15 836	10.1	4 860	30.7	5 984	37.8
MUNICIPALITIES	305 330	36 031	11.8	31 414	87.2	1 323	3.7
OREGON	1 426 001	114 740	8.0	60 934	53.1	14 153	12.3
STATE	1 133 666	49 346	4.4	17 221	34.9	4 203	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	571 196	70 359	12.3	43 865	62.3	10 268	14.6
COUNTIES	228 626	37 999	16.6	14 299	37.6	9 453	24.9
MUNICIPALITIES	364 782	33 354	9.1	30 169	90.5	844	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA	6 333 597	596 278	9.4	344 713	57.8	95 743	16.1
STATE	6 310 764	232 471	3.7	81 370	35.0	37 858	16.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 070 992	430 108	20.8	263 346	61.2	74 893	17.4
COUNTIES	554 850	92 295	16.6	7 279	7.9	39 324	42.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 624 217	338 414	20.8	256 494	75.8	35 581	10.5
RHODE ISLAND	763 591	43 497	5.7	26 726	61.4	6 578	15.1
STATE	538 156	22 077	4.1	5 014	22.7	6 322	28.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	343 789	23 386	6.8	22 261	95.2	256	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	347 293	23 388	6.7	22 261	95.2	256	1.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 209 025	89 985	7.4	48 102	53.5	9 369	10.4
STATE	1 279 098	43 735	3.4	13 517	30.9	1 286	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	306 508	51 300	16.7	34 823	67.9	8 086	15.8
COUNTIES	179 291	27 851	15.5	12 601	45.2	7 492	26.9
MUNICIPALITIES	128 412	23 537	18.3	22 243	94.5	594	2.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	3 586	6.5	607	1.1	10 738	19.4	1 067	1.9
STATE	337	1.5	-	-	7 926	36.1	3 069	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 249	9.1	607	1.7	2 836	7.9	491	1.4
COUNTIES	2 154	16.8	603	4.7	2 470	19.2	17	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 095	4.7	6	(Z)	531	2.3	474	2.0
NEVADA	3 621	7.1	723	1.4	13 125	25.9	616	1.2
STATE	777	5.1	117	0.8	7 922	51.8	1 981	12.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 844	7.7	613	1.7	5 368	14.5	-	-
COUNTIES	2 046	11.1	611	3.3	4 417	24.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	798	4.2	1	(Z)	961	5.1	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 136	4.0	200	0.7	4 867	17.3	292	1.0
STATE	553	4.9	196	1.7	3 525	31.4	235	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	583	3.2	4	(Z)	1 354	7.4	57	0.3
COUNTIES	157	4.2	2	0.1	1 138	30.6	35	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	428	2.9	2	(Z)	218	1.5	23	0.2
NEW JERSEY	30 067	6.4	7 999	1.7	90 870	19.2	3 063	0.6
STATE	6 112	4.6	7 802	5.9	49 713	37.7	11 698	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 955	6.8	197	0.1	41 197	11.7	1 251	0.4
COUNTIES	17 817	16.6	80	0.1	41 143	38.4	537	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	6 138	2.5	117	(Z)	54	(Z)	714	0.3
NEW MEXICO	2 479	5.2	522	1.1	10 304	21.6	710	1.5
STATE	1 855	6.8	515	1.9	8 074	29.8	2 577	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	624	2.7	7	(Z)	2 231	9.7	186	0.8
COUNTIES	124	2.3	-	-	1 423	26.8	186	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES	500	2.8	7	(Z)	994	5.6	-	-
NEW YORK	69 650	4.1	15 950	0.9	328 695	19.5	33 521	2.0
STATE	17 369	4.7	2 467	0.7	185 721	50.8	36 288	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	52 461	3.8	13 483	1.0	180 613	13.0	24 819	1.8
COUNTIES	18 661	6.0	5 807	1.9	69 803	22.4	9 228	3.0
MUNICIPALITIES	33 800	3.1	7 676	0.7	110 833	10.2	15 591	1.4
NORTH CAROLINA	4 918	2.5	3 664	1.9	58 736	29.7	4 681	2.4
STATE	3 523	3.1	3 664	3.3	51 210	45.8	6 571	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 395	1.5	-	-	7 557	8.2	3 985	4.3
COUNTIES	470	1.4	-	-	7 526	21.9	3 899	11.4
MUNICIPALITIES	925	1.6	-	-	90	0.2	88	0.2
NORTH DAKOTA	1 382	7.9	147	0.8	2 645	15.1	325	1.9
STATE	430	6.7	-	-	2 185	34.3	1 452	22.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	952	7.8	147	1.2	460	3.8	4	(Z)
COUNTIES	771	15.4	144	2.9	415	8.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	181	2.5	3	(Z)	53	0.7	4	0.1
OHIO	19 838	4.3	2 181	0.5	117 295	25.4	2 050	0.4
STATE	5 169	3.1	-	-	87 361	51.7	26 461	15.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 669	4.9	2 181	0.7	30 671	9.6	609	0.2
COUNTIES	7 019	6.7	2 073	2.0	24 011	23.0	139	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	7 659	3.5	114	0.1	7 877	3.6	489	0.2
OKLAHOMA	5 588	6.5	497	0.6	17 097	19.9	1 134	1.3
STATE	3 893	9.6	-	-	13 327	32.7	4 611	11.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 491	6.7	497	1.0	3 832	7.4	445	0.9
COUNTIES	2 035	12.9	465	2.9				

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total general expenditure ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount ²	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	388 784	19 594	5.0	10 748	54.9	2 523	12.9
STATE	341 880	10 158	3.0	3 035	29.9	682	6.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	104 959	11 794	11.2	7 713	65.4	1 841	15.6
COUNTIES	48 994	5 343	10.9	1 657	31.0	1 725	32.3
MUNICIPALITIES	59 757	6 671	11.2	6 062	90.9	285	4.3
TENNESSEE	2 535 135	36 120	5.4	72 158	53.0	20 125	14.8
STATE	1 593 703	48 796	3.1	12 771	26.2	3 936	8.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 429 135	92 586	6.5	59 549	64.3	16 190	17.5
COUNTIES	784 120	32 659	4.2	8 918	27.3	11 500	35.2
MUNICIPALITIES	732 745	61 121	7.8	50 693	82.9	5 205	8.5
TEXAS	4 825 882	395 301	8.2	240 502	60.8	53 063	13.4
STATE	4 288 988	130 468	3.0	44 474	34.1	9 312	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 854 156	292 950	15.8	196 094	66.9	45 076	15.4
COUNTIES	616 290	109 619	17.8	29 748	27.1	37 849	34.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 250 522	184 143	14.7	166 572	90.5	7 238	3.9
UTAH	620 988	39 801	6.4	22 503	56.5	4 941	12.4
STATE	629 219	19 022	3.0	5 630	29.6	2 204	11.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	155 101	23 504	15.2	16 908	71.9	2 784	11.8
COUNTIES	67 633	9 424	13.9	5 009	53.2	1 498	15.9
MUNICIPALITIES	89 387	14 077	15.8	11 911	84.5	1 286	9.1
VERMONT	349 477	21 907	6.3	9 633	44.0	2 985	13.6
STATE	349 682	16 931	4.8	4 672	27.6	2 820	16.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	60 808	5 332	8.8	4 965	93.1	165	3.1
COUNTIES	235	212	90.2	33	15.5	163	76.6
MUNICIPALITIES	60 606	5 210	8.6	5 016	96.3	9	0.2
VIRGINIA	3 386 581	195 684	5.8	110 766	56.6	23 733	12.1
STATE	2 232 562	98 458	4.4	34 390	34.9	10 736	10.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 930 927	112 581	5.8	77 522	68.9	16 109	14.3
COUNTIES	975 958	41 114	4.2	26 472	64.4	6 114	14.9
MUNICIPALITIES	1 001 995	71 960	7.2	51 086	71.0	10 080	14.0
WASHINGTON	2 337 213	174 207	7.5	90 979	52.2	18 886	10.8
STATE	2 241 900	73 502	3.3	21 373	29.1	3 567	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	734 942	110 091	15.0	69 662	63.3	15 319	13.9
COUNTIES	354 826	52 993	14.9	19 222	36.3	12 825	24.2
MUNICIPALITIES	443 356	58 979	13.3	51 645	87.6	2 762	4.7
WEST VIRGINIA	988 432	42 604	4.3	23 976	56.3	5 176	12.1
STATE	1 044 332	20 842	2.0	8 269	39.7	2 018	9.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	169 472	23 758	14.0	15 726	66.2	3 599	15.1
COUNTIES	63 018	11 116	17.6	3 664	33.0	3 393	30.5
MUNICIPALITIES	108 728	12 764	11.7	12 079	94.6	206	1.6
WISCONSIN	3 192 462	234 984	7.4	137 469	58.5	23 469	10.0
STATE	2 650 967	87 146	3.3	23 342	26.8	8 275	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 850 040	156 251	8.4	115 742	74.1	17 903	11.5
COUNTIES	873 661	59 716	6.8	23 466	39.3	17 388	29.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 028 150	97 437	9.5	92 462	94.9	1 052	1.1
WYOMING	243 174	14 001	5.8	7 711	55.1	1 673	11.9
STATE	235 061	6 920	2.9	2 240	32.4	683	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	72 481	7 809	10.8	5 475	70.1	990	12.7
COUNTIES	49 013	3 457	7.1	1 570	45.4	854	24.7
MUNICIPALITIES	25 786	4 421	17.1	3 967	89.7	136	3.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.
¹The relation of criminal justice total expenditure to total general expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for independent school districts or special districts.
²For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount ²	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	1 786	9.1	226	1.2	3 770	19.2	542	2.8
STATE	776	7.6	-	-	2 780	27.4	2 865	28.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 010	8.6	226	1.9	990	8.4	14	0.1
COUNTIES	764	14.3	220	4.1	963	18.0	14	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	246	3.7	10	0.1	68	1.0	1	(Z)
TENNESSEE	4 974	3.7	934	0.7	37 251	27.4	678	0.5
STATE	2 833	5.8	482	1.0	25 426	52.1	3 348	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 141	2.3	452	0.5	14 178	15.3	75	0.1
COUNTIES	771	2.4	313	1.0	11 128	34.1	28	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 370	2.2	140	0.2	3 666	6.0	47	0.1
TEXAS	22 467	5.7	2 480	0.6	71 530	18.1	5 259	1.3
STATE	4 603	3.5	-	-	43 475	33.3	28 604	21.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 864	6.1	2 480	0.8	28 135	9.6	3 301	1.1
COUNTIES	12 488	11.4	2 468	2.3	24 951	22.8	2 115	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES	5 378	2.9	15	(Z)	3 753	2.0	1 186	0.6
UTAH	2 312	5.8	248	0.6	8 876	22.3	921	2.3
STATE	762	4.0	-	-	7 365	38.7	3 061	16.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 655	7.0	248	1.1	1 781	7.6	128	0.5
COUNTIES	953	10.1	248	2.6	1 716	18.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	703	5.0	-	(Z)	70	0.5	128	0.9
VERMONT	1 510	6.9	298	1.4	7 203	32.9	278	1.3
STATE	1 327	7.8	296	1.7	7 187	42.4	629	3.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	183	3.4	2	(Z)	16	0.3	2	(Z)
COUNTIES	1	0.5	-	-	16	7.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	181	3.5	2	(Z)	-	-	2	(Z)
VIRGINIA	6 368	3.3	2 465	1.3	50 942	26.0	1 414	0.7
STATE	2 348	2.4	2 218	2.3	40 980	41.6	7 786	7.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 077	3.6	247	0.2	14 537	12.9	88	0.1
COUNTIES	1 671	4.1	88	0.2	6 705	16.3	66	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 421	3.4	159	0.2	8 191	11.4	22	(Z)
WASHINGTON	10 608	6.1	1 582	0.9	50 852	29.2	1 300	0.7
STATE	3 870	5.3	136	0.2	36 209	49.3	8 347	11.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 050	6.4	1 446	1.3	16 268	14.8	346	0.3
COUNTIES	4 800	9.1	1 411	2.7	14 530	27.4	205	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	2 250	3.8	126	0.2	2 055	3.5	141	0.2
WEST VIRGINIA	2 316	5.4	24	0.1	10 571	24.8	540	1.3
STATE	718	3.4	-	-	7 782	37.3	2 055	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 599	6.7	24	0.1	2 795	11.8	15	0.1
COUNTIES	1 258	11.3	24	0.2	2 778	25.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	341	2.7	-	(Z)	123	1.0	15	0.1
WISCONSIN	10 889	4.6	1 852	0.8	56 793	24.2	4 510	1.9
STATE	3 037	3.5	168	0.2	45 186	51.9	7 138	8.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 341	5.3	1 684	1.1	12 526	8.0	56	(Z)
COUNTIES	4 676	7.8	1 651	2.8	12 526	21.0	8	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	3 705	3.8	34	(Z)	136	0.1	48	(Z)
WYOMING	952	6.8	100	0.7	3 380	24.1	186	1.3
STATE	236	3.4	-	-	2 888	41.7	873	12.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	716	9.2	100	1.3	501	6.4	28	0.4
COUNTIES	543	15.7	100	2.9	391	11.3	-	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	172	3.9	-	-	118	2.7	27	0.6

Table 7. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total direct expenditure ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	134 361 231	11 355 840	8.5	6 535 324	57.6	1 461 098	12.9
STATES	67 264 043	3 303 608	4.9	1 132 288	34.3	385 619	11.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	67 095 632	8 052 232	12.0	5 403 036	67.1	1 075 479	13.4
COUNTIES	24 611 795	2 805 711	11.4	985 593	35.1	747 000	26.6
MUNICIPALITIES	42 483 833	5 246 521	12.3	4 417 443	84.2	328 479	6.3
ALABAMA	1 675 955	102 058	6.1	61 169	59.9	16 497	16.2
STATE	1 141 142	35 208	3.1	14 571	41.4	4 545	12.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	534 813	66 851	12.5	46 598	69.7	11 952	17.9
COUNTIES	175 131	25 392	14.5	8 752	34.5	10 856	42.8
MUNICIPALITIES	359 682	41 459	11.5	37 846	91.3	1 096	2.6
ALASKA	783 487	36 732	4.7	15 882	43.2	7 903	21.5
STATE	498 720	28 323	5.7	9 339	33.0	7 635	27.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	284 767	8 409	3.0	6 543	77.8	268	3.2
COUNTIES	191 794	355	0.2	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	92 974	8 054	8.7	6 543	81.2	268	3.3
ARIZONA	1 100 278	124 454	11.3	78 313	62.9	14 207	11.4
STATE	636 749	36 463	5.7	19 357	53.1	2 047	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	463 529	87 991	19.0	58 956	67.0	12 160	13.8
COUNTIES	195 770	35 688	18.2	12 036	33.7	10 010	28.0
MUNICIPALITIES	267 759	52 303	19.5	46 919	89.7	2 151	4.1
ARKANSAS	803 360	48 759	6.1	30 616	62.8	5 971	12.2
STATE	566 513	16 958	3.0	6 877	40.6	1 906	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	236 847	31 801	13.4	23 739	74.6	4 065	12.8
COUNTIES	99 624	9 814	9.9	4 286	43.7	2 901	29.6
MUNICIPALITIES	137 222	21 988	16.0	19 453	88.5	1 164	5.3
CALIFORNIA	14 642 633	1 708 028	11.7	900 522	52.7	191 196	11.2
STATE	5 974 738	413 441	6.9	174 616	42.2	22 749	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 667 895	1 294 587	14.9	725 906	56.1	168 447	13.0
COUNTIES	5 457 379	747 053	13.7	219 867	29.4	160 096	21.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 210 516	547 534	17.1	506 039	92.4	8 351	1.5
COLORADO	1 388 533	113 087	8.1	59 878	52.9	19 554	17.3
STATE	779 532	46 757	6.0	11 858	25.4	14 010	30.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	609 001	66 330	10.9	48 020	72.4	5 544	8.4
COUNTIES	216 549	15 726	7.3	7 300	46.4	1 878	11.9
MUNICIPALITIES	392 451	50 604	12.9	40 720	80.5	3 666	7.2
CONNECTICUT	2 698 063	167 419	6.2	98 121	58.6	26 482	15.8
STATE	1 189 293	82 475	6.9	17 196	20.9	26 209	31.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 508 770	84 944	5.6	80 925	95.3	193	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 508 770	84 944	5.6	80 925	95.3	193	0.2
DELAWARE	470 711	37 824	8.0	17 751	46.9	7 624	20.2
STATE	352 211	26 570	7.5	8 062	30.3	6 441	24.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	116 943	11 255	9.6	9 689	86.1	1 183	10.5
COUNTIES	33 477	3 161	9.4	2 267	71.7	796	25.2
MUNICIPALITIES	83 466	8 093	9.7	7 422	91.7	387	4.8
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 146 308	192 289	16.8	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
STATE	1 146 308	192 289	16.8	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 146 308	192 289	16.8	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 146 308	192 289	16.8	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
FLORIDA	3 524 472	413 877	11.7	214 814	51.9	70 066	16.9
STATE	1 989 959	135 261	6.8	33 837	25.0	15 755	11.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 534 513	278 616	18.2	180 977	65.0	54 311	19.5
COUNTIES	719 344	145 180	20.2	65 997	45.5	47 667	32.8
MUNICIPALITIES	815 169	133 437	16.4	114 980	86.2	6 644	5.0
GEORGIA	2 360 603	192 069	8.1	96 209	50.1	26 691	13.9
STATE	1 582 288	64 437	4.1	19 150	29.7	4 832	7.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	778 315	127 632	16.4	77 059	60.4	21 859	17.1
COUNTIES	337 194	66 235	19.6	22 448	33.9	19 184	29.0
MUNICIPALITIES	441 121	61 396	13.9	54 611	88.9	2 675	4.4
HAWAII	1 090 786	48 880	4.5	30 167	61.8	7 268	14.9
STATE	878 233	15 245	1.7	332	2.2	7 268	47.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	212 553	33 596	15.8	29 835	88.8	-	-
COUNTIES	56 689	8 385	14.8	7 618	90.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	155 864	25 211	16.2	22 217	88.1	-	-
IDAHO	398 816	30 233	7.6	15 162	50.1	4 141	13.7
STATE	289 243	14 229	4.9	4 440	31.2	2 310	16.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	109 573	16 004	14.6	10 722	67.0	1 831	11.4
COUNTIES	61 355	8 189	13.3	3 433	41.9	1 750	21.4
MUNICIPALITIES	48 218	7 815	16.2	7 289	93.3	81	1.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	540 316	4.8	116 269	1.0	2 569 354	22.6	133 479	1.2
STATES	143 417	4.3	38 029	1.1	1 533 920	46.4	71 335	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	396 899	4.9	79 240	1.0	1 035 434	12.9	62 144	0.8
COUNTIES	227 860	8.1	61 316	2.2	757 095	27.0	26 847	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES	169 039	3.2	17 924	0.3	278 339	5.3	35 297	0.7
ALABAMA	4 277	4.2	908	0.9	17 974	17.6	1 234	1.2
STATE	1 868	5.3	850	2.4	12 489	35.5	885	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 409	3.6	58	0.1	5 485	8.2	350	0.5
COUNTIES	1 298	5.1	55	0.2	4 148	16.3	283	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 110	2.7	3	(2)	1 337	3.2	67	0.2
ALASKA	3 535	9.6	845	2.3	8 324	22.7	242	0.7
STATE	2 704	9.5	827	2.9	7 576	22.7	242	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	831	9.9	18	0.2	748	8.9	-	-
COUNTIES	355	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	476	5.9	18	0.2	748	9.3	-	-
ARIZONA	6 250	5.0	1 375	1.1	23 375	18.8	935	0.8
STATE	943	2.6	-	-	13 586	37.3	530	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 307	6.0	1 375	1.6	9 789	11.1	405	0.5
COUNTIES	3 328	9.3	1 286	3.6	8 965	25.1	63	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 979	3.8	89	0.2	824	1.6	342	0.7
ARKANSAS	2 171	4.5	178	0.4	9 472	19.4	352	0.7
STATE	668	3.9	23	0.1	7 132	42.1	352	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 503	4.7	155	0.5	2 340	7.4	-	-
COUNTIES	780	7.9	152	1.6	1 694	17.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	723	3.3	2	(2)	645	2.9	-	-
CALIFORNIA	100 322	5.9	27 155	1.6	477 087	27.9	11 747	0.7
STATE	13 707	3.3	-	-	195 129	47.2	7 240	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	86 615	6.7	27 155	2.1	281 958	21.8	4 507	0.3
COUNTIES	66 087	8.8	26 336	3.5	270 946	36.3	3 721	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	20 528	3.7	818	0.1	11 012	2.0	786	0.1
COLORADO	6 681	5.9	2 067	1.8	24 177	21.4	730	0.6
STATE	769	1.6	1 508	3.2	17 923	38.3	689	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 912	8.9	559	0.8	6 254	9.4	41	0.1
COUNTIES	2 998	19.1	6	(2)	3 515	22.4	29	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 913	5.8	553	1.1	2 739	5.4	12	(2)
CONNECTICUT	6 561	3.9	1 263	0.8	32 635	19.5	2 438	1.5
STATE	3 824	4.6	1 184	1.4	32 594	39.5	1 468	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 737	3.2	79	0.1	41	(2)	970	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	2 737	3.2	79	0.1	41	(2)	970	1.1
DELAWARE	1 223	3.2	363	1.0	10 349	27.4	514	1.4
STATE	847	3.2	363	1.4	10 343	38.9	514	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	376	3.3	-	-	6	0.1	-	-
COUNTIES	99	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	277	3.4	-	-	6	0.1	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 364	0.7
STATE	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 364	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 364	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 364	0.7
FLORIDA	19 577	4.7	5 662	1.4	99 832	24.1	3 926	0.9
STATE	8 689	6.4	4 363	3.2	70 456	52.1	2 161	1

Table 7. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total direct expenditure ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	6 215 735	648 891	10.4	433 517	66.8	76 277	11.8
STATE	3 819 221	158 481	4.1	54 171	34.2	26 621	16.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 396 514	490 411	20.5	379 346	77.4	49 656	10.1
COUNTIES	723 037	131 780	18.2	29 767	22.6	49 308	37.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 673 477	358 630	21.4	349 579	97.5	349	0.1
INDIANA	2 299 416	168 895	7.3	102 042	60.4	20 144	11.9
STATE	1 260 437	52 633	4.2	21 502	40.8	4 244	8.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 038 979	116 213	11.2	80 540	69.3	15 900	13.7
COUNTIES	463 315	35 942	7.8	11 964	33.3	10 401	28.9
MUNICIPALITIES	575 664	80 271	13.9	68 576	85.4	5 500	6.9
IOWA	1 381 699	88 328	6.4	51 459	58.3	11 297	12.8
STATE	793 416	30 141	3.8	11 602	38.5	2 623	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	588 283	58 187	9.9	39 857	68.5	8 674	14.9
COUNTIES	286 062	20 959	7.3	6 683	31.9	6 396	30.5
MUNICIPALITIES	302 221	37 227	12.3	33 174	89.1	2 279	6.1
KANSAS	1 167 352	87 368	7.5	42 965	49.2	10 196	11.7
STATE	704 122	37 466	5.3	7 981	21.3	3 171	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	463 230	49 902	10.8	34 984	70.1	7 025	14.1
COUNTIES	178 700	18 185	10.2	6 895	37.9	6 075	33.4
MUNICIPALITIES	284 530	31 717	11.1	28 089	88.6	950	3.0
KENTUCKY	1 676 837	98 349	5.9	57 837	58.8	13 034	13.3
STATE	1 312 517	41 539	3.2	17 600	42.4	5 488	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	364 320	56 810	15.6	40 217	70.8	7 546	13.3
COUNTIES	117 694	24 629	20.9	6 493	44.5	6 493	26.4
MUNICIPALITIES	246 626	32 181	13.0	29 281	91.0	1 052	3.3
LOUISIANA	2 002 452	164 268	8.2	101 105	61.5	23 543	14.3
STATE	1 410 684	52 966	3.8	22 699	42.9	5 889	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	591 768	111 301	18.8	78 406	70.4	17 954	16.1
COUNTIES	231 966	47 813	20.6	32 083	67.1	8 391	17.5
MUNICIPALITIES	359 802	63 488	17.6	46 323	73.0	9 563	15.1
MAINE	700 546	34 886	5.0	19 293	55.3	4 428	12.7
STATE	423 146	18 539	4.4	6 222	33.6	2 771	14.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	277 400	16 347	5.9	13 071	80.0	1 657	10.1
COUNTIES	11 194	4 503	40.2	1 472	32.7	1 626	36.1
MUNICIPALITIES	266 206	11 844	4.4	11 599	97.9	31	0.3
MARYLAND	3 694 327	278 026	7.5	151 466	54.5	29 359	10.6
STATE	1 386 181	125 287	9.0	33 988	27.1	17 191	13.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 308 146	152 739	6.6	117 498	76.9	12 168	8.0
COUNTIES	1 511 082	71 583	4.7	53 712	75.0	7 150	10.0
MUNICIPALITIES	797 064	81 156	10.2	63 786	78.6	5 018	6.2
MASSACHUSETTS	5 526 631	363 356	6.6	213 935	58.9	43 485	12.0
STATE	2 597 155	104 262	4.0	29 371	28.2	8 626	8.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 929 526	259 094	8.8	184 564	71.2	34 859	13.5
COUNTIES	99 133	49 495	49.9	779	1.6	24 102	48.7
MUNICIPALITIES	2 830 392	209 599	7.4	183 785	87.7	10 757	5.1
MICHIGAN	5 842 314	535 264	9.2	320 111	59.8	77 607	14.5
STATE	3 279 769	130 565	4.0	53 761	41.2	12 011	9.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 562 545	404 699	15.8	266 350	65.8	65 596	16.2
COUNTIES	1 043 382	147 236	14.1	37 480	25.5	50 959	34.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 519 163	257 462	16.9	228 870	88.9	14 637	5.7
MINNESOTA	2 417 041	159 710	6.6	88 666	55.5	20 759	13.0
STATE	1 083 983	43 195	4.0	13 719	31.8	3 648	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 333 058	116 514	8.7	78 947	64.3	17 111	14.7
COUNTIES	745 635	49 910	6.7	15 563	31.2	15 676	31.4
MUNICIPALITIES	587 423	66 605	11.3	59 384	89.2	1 436	2.2
MISSISSIPPI	1 121 493	62 240	5.5	39 942	64.2	7 766	12.5
STATE	740 471	27 507	3.7	14 250	51.8	2 101	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	381 022	34 733	9.1	25 692	74.0	5 665	16.3
COUNTIES	229 880	13 698	6.0	6 199	45.2	5 216	38.1
MUNICIPALITIES	151 141	21 035	13.9	19 493	92.7	448	2.1
MISSOURI	2 062 593	203 640	9.9	129 212	63.5	27 826	13.7
STATE	1 191 130	49 587	4.2	18 558	37.4	10 587	21.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	871 463	154 052	17.7	110 654	71.8	17 239	11.2
COUNTIES	217 037	38 744	17.9	15 097	39.0	10 714	27.7
MUNICIPALITIES	654 426	115 308	17.6	95 557	82.9	6 525	5.7
MONTANA	457 735	26 507	5.8	13 999	52.8	3 245	12.2
STATE	303 745	11 867	3.9	4 126	34.8	990	8.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	153 990	14 640	9.5	9 873	67.4	2 255	15.4
COUNTIES	92 893	7 876	8.5	3 822	48.5	2 007	25.5
MUNICIPALITIES	61 097	6 764	11.1	6 051	89.5	248	3.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	27 303	4.2	3 220	0.5	105 027	16.2	3 547	0.5
STATE	6 946	4.4	94	0.1	68 564	43.3	2 085	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 357	4.2	3 126	0.6	36 463	7.4	1 463	0.3
COUNTIES	13 364	10.1	3 109	2.4	36 055	27.4	1 179	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	6 994	2.0	17	(2)	408	0.1	1 284	0.4
INDIANA	7 955	4.7	1 148	0.7	33 849	20.0	3 756	2.2
STATE	2 932	5.6	128	0.2	22 572	42.8	1 303	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 023	4.3	1 020	0.9	11 277	9.7	2 453	2.1
COUNTIES	2 653	7.4	914	2.5	8 691	24.2	1 319	3.7
MUNICIPALITIES	2 370	3.0	106	0.1	2 586	3.2	1 134	1.4
IOWA	4 983	5.6	1 009	1.1	18 427	20.9	1 152	1.3
STATE	1 118	3.7	-	-	14 374	47.7	424	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 865	6.6	1 009	1.7	4 053	7.0	728	1.3
COUNTIES	2 640	12.6	1 007	4.8	3 981	19.0	252	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 225	3.3	2	(2)	71	0.2	476	1.3
KANSAS	5 666	6.5	900	1.0	26 171	30.0	1 469	1.7
STATE	2 322	6.2	780	2.1	22 665	60.5	547	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 344	6.7	120	0.2	3 506	7.0	922	1.8
COUNTIES	2 152	11.8	119	0.7	2 863	15.7	81	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	1 192	3.8	1	(2)	643	2.0	842	2.7
KENTUCKY	4 603	4.7	444	0.5	21 340	21.7	1 090	1.1
STATE	1 518	3.7	166	0.4	15 707	37.8	1 060	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 085	5.4	278	0.5	5 633	9.9	30	0.1
COUNTIES	1 856	7.5	265	1.1	5 043	20.5	15	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 229	3.8	13	(2)	590	1.8	15	(2)
LOUISIANA	8 728	5.3	905	0.6	29 268	17.8	719	0.4
STATE	5 006	9.5	-	-	19 094	36.0	578	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 722	3.3	905	0.8	10 174	9.1	141	0.1
COUNTIES	1 883	3.9	543	1.1	4 912	10.3	1	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES	1 838	2.9	362	0.6	5 262	8.3	140	0.2
MAINE	1 299	3.7	204	0.6	9 127	26.2	536	1.5
STATE	951	5.1	-	-	8 078	43.6	517	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	348	2.1	204	1.2	1 049	6.4	19	0.1
COUNTIES	160	3.6	204	4.5	1 023	22.7	19	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	188	1.6	-	-	26	0.2	-	-
MARYLAND	9 706	3.5	4 410	1.6	76 549	27.5	6 542	2.4
STATE	1 417	1.1	4 306	3.4	63 677	50.8	4 728	3.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 289	5.4	104	0.1	12 872	8.4	1 814	1.2
COUNTIES	4 355	5.1	104	0.1	5 402	7.5	860	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	3 929	4.8	-	-	7 470	9.2	954	1.2
MASSACHUSETTS	10 981	3.0	1 396	0.4	87 067	24.0	6 493	1.8
STATE	4 603	4.4	1 209	1.2	57 481	55.1	2 972	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 378	2.5	187	0.1	29 586	11.4	3 521	1.4
COUNTIES	1 503	3.0	187	0.4	22 925	46.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 875	2.3	-	-	6 661	3.2	3 521	1.7
MICHIGAN	23 360	4.4	5 304	1.0	104 171	19.5	4 711	0.9
STATE	5 239	4.0	412	0.3	55 642	42.6	3 500	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 121	4.5	4 892	1.2	48 529	12.0	1 211	0.3
COUNTIES								

Table 7. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total direct expenditure ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	781 817	55 276	7.1	31 281	56.4	7 997	14.5
STATE	451 631	19 472	4.3	7 137	35.7	3 496	18.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	330 186	35 804	10.8	24 144	67.4	4 501	12.6
COUNTIES	139 748	12 943	9.1	3 985	31.3	3 608	28.3
MUNICIPALITIES	190 437	23 061	12.1	20 159	87.4	893	3.9
NEVADA	433 567	50 750	11.7	28 226	55.6	4 440	8.7
STATE	226 347	13 769	6.1	3 188	23.2	1 314	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	207 220	36 982	17.8	25 038	67.7	3 126	3.5
COUNTIES	125 519	18 385	14.6	8 950	48.7	2 368	12.9
MUNICIPALITIES	81 701	18 596	22.8	16 088	86.5	758	4.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	450 889	28 206	6.3	18 173	64.4	3 538	12.5
STATE	269 878	9 886	3.7	4 179	42.3	1 198	12.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	181 011	18 319	10.1	13 994	76.4	2 340	12.8
COUNTIES	18 851	3 710	19.7	967	26.1	1 414	38.1
MUNICIPALITIES	162 159	14 609	9.0	13 027	89.2	926	6.3
NEW JERSEY	4 863 234	472 710	9.7	285 441	60.4	55 270	11.7
STATE	2 016 415	120 088	6.0	42 411	35.3	12 236	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 846 819	352 622	12.4	243 030	68.9	43 034	12.2
COUNTIES	1 070 419	107 105	10.0	13 690	12.8	33 878	31.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 776 400	245 517	13.8	229 340	92.4	9 156	3.7
NEW MEXICO	617 981	47 664	7.7	28 313	59.4	5 336	11.2
STATE	430 476	24 799	5.8	9 256	37.3	4 575	18.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	187 505	22 864	12.2	19 057	83.3	761	3.3
COUNTIES	58 964	5 116	8.7	3 411	66.7	121	2.4
MUNICIPALITIES	128 541	17 748	13.8	15 645	88.2	639	3.6
NEW YORK	19 926 814	1 683 737	8.4	1 021 982	60.7	213 940	12.7
STATE	5 424 605	303 676	5.6	81 468	26.8	36 186	11.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 502 209	1 380 061	9.5	940 514	68.2	177 754	12.9
COUNTIES	2 750 500	304 950	11.1	159 372	52.2	48 044	15.8
MUNICIPALITIES	11 751 710	1 075 111	9.1	781 242	72.7	129 710	12.1
NORTH CAROLINA	3 183 104	197 553	6.2	101 258	51.3	24 296	12.3
STATE	1 342 065	105 878	7.9	24 430	23.1	22 312	21.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 841 039	91 675	5.0	76 828	83.8	1 984	2.2
COUNTIES	1 437 605	33 998	2.4	20 205	59.4	1 979	5.8
MUNICIPALITIES	403 434	57 677	14.3	56 623	98.2	5	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	377 023	17 492	4.6	10 161	58.1	2 831	16.2
STATE	267 148	5 247	2.0	1 515	28.9	796	15.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	109 875	12 244	11.1	8 846	70.6	2 035	16.6
COUNTIES	47 251	5 004	10.6	1 876	37.5	1 800	36.0
MUNICIPALITIES	62 624	7 240	11.6	6 771	93.5	236	3.3
OHIO	5 011 047	462 609	9.2	256 433	55.4	64 812	14.0
STATE	2 608 525	141 536	5.4	41 745	29.5	6 516	4.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 402 522	321 073	13.4	214 688	66.9	58 296	18.2
COUNTIES	884 690	101 713	11.5	28 490	28.0	41 017	40.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 517 832	219 359	14.5	186 198	84.9	17 279	7.9
OKLAHOMA	1 465 187	85 746	5.9	49 967	58.3	11 464	13.4
STATE	1 018 835	36 632	3.6	13 704	37.4	5 081	13.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	446 352	49 114	11.0	36 263	73.8	6 383	13.0
COUNTIES	141 477	13 104	9.3	37.1	5 057	38.6	3.7
MUNICIPALITIES	304 875	36 009	11.8	31 402	87.2	1 325	3.7
OREGON	1 426 001	114 740	8.0	60 934	53.1	14 153	12.3
STATE	855 311	44 538	5.2	17 093	38.4	3 952	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	570 690	70 201	12.3	43 841	62.5	10 201	14.5
COUNTIES	209 062	37 563	18.0	14 294	38.1	9 370	24.9
MUNICIPALITIES	361 628	32 636	9.0	29 547	90.5	831	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA	6 333 597	596 278	9.4	344 713	57.8	95 743	16.1
STATE	4 276 159	178 767	4.2	81 370	45.5	20 854	11.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 057 438	417 511	20.3	263 343	63.1	74 889	17.9
COUNTIES	498 350	84 948	17.0	7 278	8.6	39 308	46.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 559 088	332 563	21.3	256 065	77.0	35 581	10.7
RHODE ISLAND	763 591	43 497	5.7	26 726	61.4	6 578	15.1
STATE	419 807	20 112	4.8	4 466	22.2	6 322	31.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	343 784	23 385	6.8	22 260	95.2	256	1.1
COUNTIES	343 784	23 385	6.8	22 260	95.2	256	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES							
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 209 025	89 985	7.4	48 102	53.5	9 369	10.4
STATE	903 716	38 932	4.3	13 517	34.7	1 286	3.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	305 309	51 053	16.7	34 585	67.7	8 083	15.8
COUNTIES	177 047	27 731	15.7	12 539	45.2	7 492	27.0
MUNICIPALITIES	128 262	23 321	18.2	22 046	94.5	591	2.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	3 586	6.5	607	1.1	10 738	19.4	1 067	1.9
STATE	337	1.7	-	-	7 926	40.7	576	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 249	9.1	607	1.7	2 812	7.9	491	1.4
COUNTIES	2 154	16.9	601	4.7	2 378	18.7	17	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 095	4.7	6	(Z)	435	1.9	474	2.1
NEVADA	3 621	7.1	723	1.4	13 125	25.9	616	1.2
STATE	777	5.6	117	0.8	7 757	56.3	616	4.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 844	7.7	606	1.6	5 368	14.5	-	-
COUNTIES	2 046	11.1	605	3.3	4 417	24.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	798	4.3	1	(Z)	951	5.1	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 136	4.0	200	0.7	4 867	17.3	292	1.0
STATE	553	5.6	196	2.0	3 525	35.7	235	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	583	3.2	4	(Z)	1 342	7.3	57	0.3
COUNTIES	157	4.2	2	0.1	1 136	30.6	34	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	426	2.9	2	(Z)	205	1.4	23	0.2
NEW JERSEY	30 067	6.4	7 999	1.7	90 870	19.2	3 063	0.6
STATE	6 112	5.1	7 802	6.5	49 713	41.4	1 814	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 955	6.8	197	0.1	41 157	11.7	1 249	0.4
COUNTIES	17 817	16.6	80	0.1	41 103	38.4	537	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	6 138	2.5	117	(Z)	54	(Z)	712	0.3
NEW MEXICO	2 479	5.2	522	1.1	10 304	21.6	710	1.5
STATE	1 855	7.5	515	2.1	8 074	32.6	524	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	624	2.7	7	(Z)	2 230	9.8	186	0.8
COUNTIES	124	2.4	-	-	1 274	24.9	186	3.6
MUNICIPALITIES	500	2.8	7	(Z)	956	5.4	-	-
NEW YORK	69 650	4.1	15 950	0.9	328 695	19.5	33 521	2.0
STATE	17 189	5.7	2 467	0.8	157 664	51.9	8 702	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	52 461	3.8	13 483	1.0	171 031	12.4	24 819	1.8
COUNTIES	18 661	6.1	5 807	1.9	63 938	21.0	9 228	3.0
MUNICIPALITIES	33 800	3.1	7 676	0.7	107 093	10.0	15 591	1.5
NORTH CAROLINA	4 918	2.5	3 664	1.9	58 736	29.7	4 681	2.4
STATE	3 523	3.3	3 664	3.5	51 210	48.4	7 739	7.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 395	1.5	-	-	7 526	8.2	3 942	4.3
COUNTIES	470	1.4	-	-	7 465	22.0	3 880	11.4
MUNICIPALITIES	925	1.6	-	-	61	0.1	62	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA	1 382	7.9	147	0.8	2 645	15.1	325	1.9
STATE	430	8.2	-	-	2 185	41.6	321	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	952	7.8	147	1.2	460	3.8	4	(Z)
COUNTIES	771	15.4	144	2.9	414	8.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	181	2.5	3	(Z)	46	0.6	4	0.1
OHIO	19 838	4.3	2 181	0.5	117 295	25.4	2 050	0.4
STATE	5 169	3.7	-	-	86 665	61.2	1 441	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 669	4.6	2 181	0.7	30 630	9.5	609	0.2
COUNTIES	7 019	6.9	2 067	2.0	23 000	22.6	120	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	7 650	3.5	114	0.1	7 630	3.5	489	0.2
OKLAHOMA	5 588	6.5	497	0.6	17 097	19.9	1 134	1.3
STATE	3 893	10.6	-	-	13 265	36.2	689	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 695	3.5	497	1.0	3 832	7.8	445	0.9
COUNTIES	239	1.8	465	3.6	2 483	18.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 456	4.0	31	0.1	1 350	3.7	445	1.2
OREGON	9 038	7.9	1 255	1.1	28 105	24.5	1 255	1.1
STATE	4 084	9.2	102	0.2	18 584	41.7	723	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 954	7.1	1 153	1.6	9 521	13.6	531	0.8
COUNTIES	3 648	9.7	1 135	3.0	8 921	23.7	196	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 306	4.0	18	0.1	600	1.8	336	1.0
PENNSYLVANIA	22 558	3.8	5 712	1.0	123 362	20.7	4 190	0.7

Table 7. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total direct expenditure ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	388 784	19 594	5.0	10 748	54.9	2 523	12.9
STATE	284 786	7 801	2.7	3 035	38.9	682	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	103 998	11 794	11.3	7 713	65.4	1 841	15.6
COUNTIES	44 432	5 191	11.7	1 657	31.9	1 500	30.4
MUNICIPALITIES	59 566	6 602	11.1	6 056	91.7	261	4.0
TENNESSEE	2 535 135	136 120	5.4	72 158	53.0	20 125	14.8
STATE	1 118 394	43 704	3.9	12 771	29.2	3 936	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 416 741	92 415	6.5	59 387	64.3	16 189	17.5
COUNTIES	668 391	32 452	4.9	8 775	27.0	11 500	35.4
MUNICIPALITIES	748 350	59 963	8.0	50 612	84.4	4 689	7.8
TEXAS	4 825 882	395 301	8.2	240 502	60.8	53 063	13.4
STATE	2 975 049	102 863	3.5	44 473	43.2	8 204	8.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 850 833	292 438	15.8	196 029	67.0	44 859	15.3
COUNTIES	611 272	108 956	17.8	29 688	27.2	37 653	34.6
MUNICIPALITIES	1 239 562	183 482	14.8	166 341	90.7	7 206	3.9
UTAH	620 988	39 801	6.4	22 503	56.5	4 941	12.4
STATE	466 565	16 310	3.5	5 605	34.4	2 160	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	154 423	23 492	15.2	16 898	71.9	2 781	11.8
COUNTIES	66 216	9 418	14.2	5 008	53.2	1 496	15.9
MUNICIPALITIES	88 207	14 073	16.0	11 890	84.5	1 285	9.1
VERMONT	349 477	21 907	6.3	9 633	44.0	2 985	13.6
STATE	289 034	16 578	5.7	4 672	28.2	2 820	17.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	60 443	5 329	8.8	4 961	93.1	165	3.1
COUNTIES	231	212	91.8	33	15.5	163	76.6
MUNICIPALITIES	60 213	5 116	8.5	4 928	96.3	2	(Z)
VIRGINIA	3 386 581	195 684	5.8	110 766	56.6	23 733	12.1
STATE	1 461 069	83 706	5.7	33 246	39.7	8 170	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 925 512	111 978	5.8	77 520	69.2	15 563	13.9
COUNTIES	947 225	40 698	4.3	26 461	65.0	5 947	14.6
MUNICIPALITIES	978 286	71 280	7.3	51 059	71.6	9 615	13.5
WASHINGTON	2 337 213	174 207	7.5	90 979	52.2	18 886	10.8
STATE	1 616 803	64 172	4.0	21 361	33.3	3 567	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	720 410	110 035	15.3	69 618	63.3	15 319	13.9
COUNTIES	292 558	52 852	18.1	19 222	36.4	12 715	24.1
MUNICIPALITIES	427 852	57 183	13.4	50 395	88.1	2 604	4.6
WEST VIRGINIA	988 432	42 604	4.3	23 976	56.3	5 176	12.1
STATE	820 060	18 874	2.3	8 269	43.8	1 580	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	168 372	23 729	14.1	15 707	66.2	3 596	15.2
COUNTIES	60 179	11 069	18.4	3 633	32.8	3 390	30.6
MUNICIPALITIES	108 193	12 660	11.7	12 074	95.4	206	1.6
WISCONSIN	3 192 462	234 984	7.4	137 469	58.5	23 469	10.0
STATE	1 362 870	80 611	5.9	21 972	27.3	6 325	7.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 829 592	154 373	8.4	115 497	74.8	17 144	11.1
COUNTIES	822 930	57 824	7.0	23 268	40.2	16 599	28.7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 006 662	96 549	9.6	92 230	95.5	546	0.6
WYOMING	243 174	14 001	5.8	7 711	55.1	1 673	11.9
STATE	173 896	6 205	3.6	2 240	36.1	683	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	69 278	7 796	11.3	5 471	70.2	990	12.7
COUNTIES	43 562	3 432	7.9	1 557	45.4	854	24.9
MUNICIPALITIES	25 715	4 364	17.0	3 915	89.7	136	3.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.
¹The relation of criminal justice direct expenditure to total direct expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for independent school districts or special districts.

Table 7. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	1 786	9.1	226	1.2	3 770	19.2	542	2.8
STATE	775	9.9	-	-	2 780	35.6	528	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 010	8.6	226	1.9	990	8.4	14	0.1
COUNTIES	764	14.7	220	4.2	957	18.4	14	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	246	3.7	6	0.1	33	0.5	1	(Z)
TENNESSEE	4 974	3.7	934	0.7	37 251	27.4	678	0.5
STATE	2 833	6.5	482	1.1	23 080	52.8	602	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 141	2.3	452	0.5	14 171	15.3	75	0.1
COUNTIES	771	2.4	313	1.0	11 066	34.1	28	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 370	2.3	140	0.2	3 105	5.2	47	0.1
TEXAS	22 467	5.7	2 480	0.6	71 530	18.1	5 259	1.3
STATE	4 603	4.5	-	-	43 475	42.3	2 108	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 864	6.1	2 480	0.8	28 055	9.6	3 151	1.1
COUNTIES	12 486	11.5	2 465	2.3	24 699	22.7	1 965	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES	5 377	2.9	15	(Z)	3 357	1.8	1 186	0.6
UTAH	2 312	5.8	248	0.6	8 876	22.3	921	2.3
STATE	657	4.0	-	-	7 095	43.5	793	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 655	7.0	248	1.1	1 781	7.6	128	0.5
COUNTIES	953	10.1	248	2.6	1 714	18.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	703	5.0	-	-	67	0.5	128	0.9
VERMONT	1 510	6.9	298	1.4	7 203	32.9	278	1.3
STATE	1 327	8.0	296	1.8	7 197	43.4	276	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	183	3.4	2	(Z)	16	0.3	2	(Z)
COUNTIES	1	0.5	-	-	16	7.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	181	3.5	2	(Z)	-	-	2	(Z)
VIRGINIA	6 364	3.3	2 465	1.3	50 942	26.0	1 414	0.7
STATE	2 317	2.8	2 218	2.6	36 429	43.5	1 326	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 047	3.6	247	0.2	14 513	13.0	88	0.1
COUNTIES	1 671	4.1	88	0.2	6 465	15.9	66	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 377	3.3	159	0.2	8 047	11.3	22	(Z)
WASHINGTON	10 608	6.1	1 582	0.9	50 852	29.2	1 300	0.7
STATE	3 558	5.5	136	0.2	34 584	53.9	966	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 050	6.4	1 446	1.3	16 268	14.8	335	0.3
COUNTIES	4 800	9.1	1 411	2.7	14 511	27.5	194	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	2 250	3.9	35	0.1	1 758	3.1	141	0.2
WEST VIRGINIA	2 316	5.4	24	0.1	10 571	24.8	540	1.3
STATE	718	3.8	-	-	7 782	41.2	525	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 598	6.7	24	0.1	2 789	11.8	15	0.1
COUNTIES	1 257	11.4	24	0.2	2 766	25.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	341	2.7	-	(Z)	23	0.2	15	0.1
WISCONSIN	10 889	4.6	1 852	0.8	56 793	24.2	4 510	1.9
STATE	2 554	3.2	168	0.2	45 138	56.0	4 454	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 335	5.4	1 684	1.1	11 655	7.6	56	(Z)
COUNTIES	4 660	8.1	1 651	2.9	11 639	20.1	8	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	3 675	3.8	34	(Z)	16	(Z)	48	(Z)
WYOMING	952	6.8	100	0.7	3 380	24.1	186	1.3
STATE	236	3.8	-	-	2 888	46.5	158	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	716	9.2	100	1.3	492	6.3	28	0.4
COUNTIES	543	15.8	100	2.9	379	11.0	-	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	172	3.9	-	-	113	2.6	27	0.6

Table 8. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	11 355 840	11 355 840	10 753 792	602 048	641 997	41 993	600 004
STATES	3 855 356	3 303 608	3 105 059	198 549	551 748	(X)	551 748
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 094 225	8 052 232	7 648 733	403 499	90 249	41 993	48 256
COUNTIES	2 846 672	2 805 711	2 622 345	183 366	40 962	27 345	13 616
MUNICIPALITIES	5 295 809	5 246 521	5 026 388	220 133	49 287	14 648	34 639
ALABAMA	102 058	102 058	96 198	5 860	8 320	48	8 271
STATE	43 010	35 208	32 860	2 348	7 802	(X)	7 802
LOCAL, TOTAL	66 899	66 851	63 339	3 512	518	48	469
COUNTIES	25 405	25 392	24 277	1 114	14	-	14
MUNICIPALITIES	41 963	41 459	39 061	2 398	504	48	456
ALASKA	36 732	36 732	35 101	1 631	2 911	51	2 860
STATE	30 223	28 323	27 343	980	1 900	(X)	1 900
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 459	8 409	7 757	651	1 011	51	960
COUNTIES	1 338	355	346	9	983	24	959
MUNICIPALITIES	8 081	8 054	7 412	642	27	26	1
ARIZONA	124 454	124 454	107 919	16 535	5 554	61	5 493
STATE	41 767	36 463	30 798	5 665	5 304	(X)	5 304
LOCAL, TOTAL	88 053	87 991	77 121	10 870	250	61	189
COUNTIES	35 767	35 688	33 551	2 137	79	55	24
MUNICIPALITIES	52 474	52 303	43 570	8 733	171	6	165
ARKANSAS	48 759	48 759	44 077	4 682	2 940	62	2 878
STATE	19 509	16 958	14 474	2 484	2 551	(X)	2 551
LOCAL, TOTAL	31 863	31 801	29 604	2 198	389	62	327
COUNTIES	9 875	9 814	9 488	326	61	8	53
MUNICIPALITIES	22 316	21 988	20 116	1 872	328	54	274
CALIFORNIA	1 708 028	1 708 028	1 638 037	69 991	85 315	668	84 646
STATE	479 366	413 441	402 663	10 778	65 925	(X)	65 925
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 295 256	1 294 587	1 235 374	59 213	19 390	668	18 721
COUNTIES	748 149	747 053	703 559	43 495	1 096	516	579
MUNICIPALITIES	565 828	547 534	531 815	15 719	18 294	152	18 142
COLORADO	113 087	113 087	107 049	6 038	8 827	18	8 809
STATE	55 209	46 757	45 261	1 496	8 452	(X)	8 452
LOCAL, TOTAL	66 349	66 330	61 788	4 542	375	18	357
COUNTIES	16 082	15 726	13 231	2 495	355	18	337
MUNICIPALITIES	50 624	50 604	48 557	2 047	20	-	20
CONNECTICUT	167 419	167 419	149 279	18 140	3 596	480	3 116
STATE	85 426	82 475	67 311	15 164	2 951	(X)	2 951
LOCAL, TOTAL	85 425	84 944	81 968	2 976	645	480	165
MUNICIPALITIES	85 589	84 944	81 968	2 976	645	480	165
DELAWARE	37 824	37 824	35 129	2 695	1 278	-	1 278
STATE	27 848	26 570	24 007	2 563	1 278	(X)	1 278
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 255	11 255	11 122	132	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 161	3 161	3 125	36	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8 093	8 093	7 997	96	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	192 289	192 289	183 596	8 693	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	192 289	192 289	183 596	8 693	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	192 289	192 289	183 596	8 693	-	-	-
FLORIDA	413 877	413 877	389 052	24 825	12 213	141	12 072
STATE	147 182	135 261	124 664	10 597	11 921	(X)	11 921
LOCAL, TOTAL	278 757	278 616	264 388	14 228	292	141	151
COUNTIES	145 209	145 180	137 226	7 954	29	29	-
MUNICIPALITIES	133 700	133 437	127 162	6 274	263	112	151
GEORGIA	192 069	192 069	178 053	14 016	22 872	37	22 835
STATE	85 756	64 437	59 765	4 672	21 319	(X)	21 319
LOCAL, TOTAL	127 669	127 632	118 288	9 344	1 553	37	1 516
COUNTIES	67 637	66 235	60 447	5 788	1 402	11	1 391
MUNICIPALITIES	61 547	61 396	57 841	3 556	151	26	125
HAWAII	48 840	48 840	48 072	768	1 154	-	1 154
STATE	16 399	15 245	14 893	352	1 154	(X)	1 154
LOCAL, TOTAL	33 596	33 596	33 179	416	-	-	-
COUNTIES	8 385	8 385	8 113	272	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	25 211	25 211	25 067	144	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
IDAHO	30 233	30 233	26 052	4 181	1 616	84	1 532
STATE	15 604	14 229	11 589	2 640	1 375	(X)	1 375
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 088	16 004	14 463	1 541	241	84	157
COUNTIES	8 279	8 189	6 981	1 208	90	77	14
MUNICIPALITIES	7 966	7 815	7 482	333	151	8	144
ILLINOIS	648 891	648 891	618 246	30 645	28 636	82	28 555
STATE	185 223	158 481	149 277	9 204	26 742	(X)	26 742
LOCAL, TOTAL	490 492	490 411	468 970	21 441	1 894	82	1 813
COUNTIES	133 576	131 780	120 659	11 122	1 795	47	1 749
MUNICIPALITIES	358 729	358 630	348 311	10 319	99	35	64
INDIANA	168 895	168 895	159 044	9 851	10 966	1 865	9 101
STATE	61 597	52 681	48 736	3 945	8 916	(X)	8 916
LOCAL, TOTAL	118 078	116 213	110 308	5 906	2 050	1 865	185
COUNTIES	37 477	35 942	33 577	2 365	1 535	1 361	174
MUNICIPALITIES	80 786	80 271	76 731	3 540	515	504	11
IOWA	88 328	88 328	84 655	3 673	6 057	678	5 379
STATE	34 373	30 141	28 995	1 146	4 232	(X)	4 232
LOCAL, TOTAL	58 865	58 187	55 659	2 527	1 825	678	1 147
COUNTIES	22 432	20 959	20 479	480	1 473	674	799
MUNICIPALITIES	37 579	37 227	35 180	2 047	352	5	347
KANSAS	87 368	87 368	81 884	5 484	3 383	5	3 378
STATE	40 610	37 466	34 360	3 106	3 144	(X)	3 144
LOCAL, TOTAL	49 907	49 902	47 524	2 378	2 339	5	2 34
COUNTIES	18 256	18 185	17 097	1 088	71	4	67
MUNICIPALITIES	31 886	31 717	30 427	1 290	169	1	168
KENTUCKY	98 349	98 349	92 521	5 828	11 029	426	10 602
STATE	51 706	41 539	38 779	2 760	10 167	(X)	10 167
LOCAL, TOTAL	57 236	56 810	53 742	3 068	862	426	435
COUNTIES	25 209	24 629	23 118	1 511	580	415	580
MUNICIPALITIES	32 463	32 181	30 623	1 557	282	11	271
LOUISIANA	164 268	164 268	155 147	9 121	10 955	18	10 937
STATE	63 811	52 966	50 611	2 355	10 845	(X)	10 845
LOCAL, TOTAL	111 319	111 301	104 536	6 766	110	18	92
COUNTIES	47 854	47 813	45 230	2 584	40	17	24
MUNICIPALITIES	63 558	63 488	59 306	4 182	70	1	69
MAINE	34 886	34 886	33 186	1 700	1 220	4	1 216
STATE	19 649	18 539	17 326	1 213	1 110	(X)	1 110
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 351	16 347	15 860	487	110	4	106
COUNTIES	4 507	4 503	4 340	164	4	4	-
MUNICIPALITIES	11 951	11 844	11 520	323	107	1	106
MARYLAND	278 026	278 026	263 458	14 568	32 810	4	32 806
STATE	125 756	117 287	117 284	8 003	32 469	(X)	32 469
LOCAL, TOTAL	152 743	152 739	146 174	6 565	341	4	337
COUNTIES	71 657	71 583	66 936	4 647	74	4	70
MUNICIPALITIES	81 423	81 156	79 238	1 918	267	-	267
MASSACHUSETTS	363 356	363 356	341 816	21 540	12 363	32	12 331
STATE	116 089	104 262	94 725	9 537	11 827	(X)	11 827
LOCAL, TOTAL	259 127	259 094	247 091	12 003	536	32	504
COUNTIES	49 527	49 495	42 770	6 725	32	-	32
MUNICIPALITIES	210 103	209 599	204 321	5 278	504	32	472
MICHIGAN	535 264	535 264	507 537	27 727	34 569	4 202	30 367
STATE	155 082	130 565	124 761	5 804	24 517	(X)	24 517
LOCAL, TOTAL	408 901	404 699	382 776	21 923	10 052	4 202	5 850
COUNTIES	152 936	147 236	131 612	15 624	5 700	3 982	1 717
MUNICIPALITIES	261 815	257 462	251 164	6 299	4 353	220	4 133
MINNESOTA	159 710	159 710	154 200	5 510	10 488	87	10 402
STATE	52 075	43 195	41 409	1 786	8 880	(X)	8 880
LOCAL, TOTAL	116 601	116 514	112 790	3 724	1 608	87	1 522
COUNTIES	50 611	49 910	48 144	1 766	701	86	615
MUNICIPALITIES	67 511	66 605	64 646	1 958	907	-	907
MISSISSIPPI	62 240	62 240	55 764	6 476	2 230	173	2 057
STATE	29 492	27 507	23 287	4 220	1 985	(X)	1 985
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 906	34 733	32 477	2 256	245	173	72
COUNTIES	13 725	13 698	12 790	908	26	15	12
MUNICIPALITIES	21 254	21 035</					

Table 8. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MISSOURI	203 640	203 640	191 196	12 444	16 789	136	16 653
STATE	65 906	49 587	46 803	2 784	16 319	(X)	16 319
LOCAL, TOTAL	154 188	154 052	144 392	9 660	470	136	334
COUNTIES	38 926	38 744	35 646	3 098	181	130	52
MUNICIPALITIES	115 597	115 308	108 746	6 561	289	7	282
MONTANA	26 507	26 507	25 416	1 091	1 931	16	1 915
STATE	13 751	11 867	11 324	543	1 884	(X)	1 884
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 656	14 640	14 092	548	47	16	31
COUNTIES	7 880	7 876	7 528	349	4	2	2
MUNICIPALITIES	6 807	6 764	6 564	200	44	14	30
NEBRASKA	55 276	55 276	52 188	3 088	2 709	26	2 683
STATE	21 965	19 472	17 637	1 835	2 493	(X)	2 493
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 829	35 804	34 551	1 253	26	26	190
COUNTIES	12 839	12 743	12 279	464	96	25	71
MUNICIPALITIES	23 180	23 061	22 272	789	120	1	119
NEVADA	50 750	50 750	48 083	2 667	1 725	6	1 718
STATE	15 299	13 769	12 288	1 481	1 530	(X)	1 530
LOCAL, TOTAL	36 988	36 982	35 795	1 186	195	6	188
COUNTIES	18 392	18 385	17 791	594	6	6	6
MUNICIPALITIES	18 785	18 596	18 004	592	188	-	188
NEW HAMPSHIRE	28 206	28 206	27 067	1 138	1 452	63	1 389
STATE	11 211	9 886	9 442	444	1 325	(X)	1 325
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 382	18 319	17 625	694	127	63	64
COUNTIES	3 721	3 710	3 445	265	11	8	3
MUNICIPALITIES	14 725	14 609	14 180	429	116	55	61
NEW JERSEY	472 710	472 710	458 342	14 369	11 893	56	11 837
STATE	131 810	120 088	111 392	8 696	11 722	(X)	11 722
LOCAL, TOTAL	352 678	352 622	346 949	5 673	171	56	115
COUNTIES	107 145	107 105	105 306	1 799	40	40	-
MUNICIPALITIES	245 648	245 517	241 643	3 874	131	16	115
NEW MEXICO	47 664	47 664	44 142	3 522	2 540	36	2 504
STATE	27 103	24 799	22 769	2 030	2 304	(X)	2 304
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 900	22 864	21 372	1 492	236	36	200
COUNTIES	5 312	5 116	4 595	521	196	35	161
MUNICIPALITIES	17 788	17 748	16 777	971	40	2	38
NEW YORK	1 683 737	1 683 737	1 583 883	99 854	75 619	13 190	62 429
STATE	365 870	303 676	290 581	13 095	62 194	(X)	62 194
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 393 251	1 380 061	1 293 302	86 759	13 425	13 190	235
COUNTIES	311 980	304 950	283 103	21 847	7 030	6 981	49
MUNICIPALITIES	1 081 506	1 075 111	1 010 199	64 912	6 395	6 209	186
NORTH CAROLINA	197 553	197 553	181 291	16 262	6 373	201	6 172
STATE	111 710	105 878	100 280	5 598	5 832	(X)	5 832
LOCAL, TOTAL	91 876	91 675	81 010	10 664	541	201	340
COUNTIES	34 314	33 998	27 066	6 932	316	51	265
MUNICIPALITIES	57 902	57 677	53 944	3 733	225	150	74
NORTH DAKOTA	17 492	17 492	16 955	536	1 221	5	1 216
STATE	6 378	5 247	5 080	167	1 131	(X)	1 131
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 249	12 244	11 875	369	90	5	85
COUNTIES	5 016	5 004	4 954	50	13	5	8
MUNICIPALITIES	7 318	7 240	6 921	319	78	-	77
OHIO	462 609	462 609	444 514	18 095	31 137	62	31 076
STATE	169 000	141 536	136 356	5 180	27 464	(X)	27 464
LOCAL, TOTAL	321 135	321 073	308 158	12 915	3 673	62	3 612
COUNTIES	104 457	101 713	95 962	5 751	2 743	40	2 703
MUNICIPALITIES	220 289	219 359	212 196	7 163	930	21	909
OKLAHOMA	85 746	85 746	81 023	4 723	6 839	2 686	4 152
STATE	40 717	36 632	33 796	2 333	4 085	(X)	4 085
LOCAL, TOTAL	51 800	49 114	47 226	1 887	2 754	2 686	67
COUNTIES	15 836	13 104	12 551	554	2 732	2 686	46
MUNICIPALITIES	36 031	36 009	34 676	1 333	22	-	21
OREGON	114 740	114 740	111 979	2 760	5 960	158	5 802
STATE	49 346	44 538	43 691	847	4 808	(X)	4 808
LOCAL, TOTAL	70 359	70 201	68 288	1 913	1 152	158	994
COUNTIES	37 999	37 563	36 310	1 253	436	120	316
MUNICIPALITIES	33 354	32 638	31 978	660	715	37	678

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
PENNSYLVANIA	596 278	596 278	581 106	15 172	66 902	12 598	54 304
STATE	232 471	178 767	173 613	5 154	53 704	(X)	53 704
LOCAL, TOTAL	430 108	417 511	407 493	10 018	13 198	12 598	600
COUNTIES	92 295	84 948	81 363	3 584	7 347	7 208	140
MUNICIPALITIES	338 414	332 563	326 129	6 434	5 851	5 390	461
RHODE ISLAND	43 497	43 497	41 764	1 733	1 968	1	1 967
STATE	22 077	20 112	19 580	532	1 965	(X)	1 965
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 386	23 385	22 184	1 201	3	1	2
MUNICIPALITIES	23 388	23 385	22 184	1 201	3	1	2
SOUTH CAROLINA	89 985	89 985	78 000	11 985	5 138	248	4 891
STATE	43 735	38 932	32 883	6 049	4 803	(X)	4 803
LOCAL, TOTAL	51 300	51 053	45 117	5 936	335	248	88
COUNTIES	27 851	27 731	24 280	3 451	119	51	68
MUNICIPALITIES	23 537	23 321	20 837	2 484	216	197	20
SOUTH DAKOTA	19 594	19 594	18 487	1 107	2 577	-	2 577
STATE	10 158	7 801	7 082	719	2 357	(X)	2 357
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 794	11 794	11 406	388	220	-	220
COUNTIES	5 343	5 191	5 002	189	152	-	152
MUNICIPALITIES	6 671	6 602	6 403	199	69	-	68
TENNESSEE	136 120	136 120	126 738	9 381	6 456	171	6 286
STATE	48 796	43 704	41 020	2 684	5 092	(X)	5 092
LOCAL, TOTAL	92 586	92 415	85 718	6 697	1 364	171	1 194
COUNTIES	32 659	32 452	30 139	2 314	207	151	56
MUNICIPALITIES	61 121	59 963	55 579	4 384	1 157	19	1 138
TEXAS	395 301	395 301	372 645	22 656	28 929	512	28 417
STATE	130 468	102 863	93 553	9 310	27 605	(X)	27 605
LOCAL, TOTAL	292 950	292 438	279 093	13 346	1 324	512	812
COUNTIES	109 619	108 956	105 607	3 350	663	431	231
MUNICIPALITIES	184 143	183 482	173 486	9 996	661	81	580
UTAH	39 801	39 801	37 386	2 415	2 741	13	2 729
STATE	19 022	16 310	15 268	1 042	2 712	(X)	2 712
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 504	23 492	22 118	1 373	29	13	17
COUNTIES	9 424	9 418	8 803	615	5	2	3
MUNICIPALITIES	14 097	14 073	13 315	758	24	10	14
VERMONT	21 907	21 907	20 110	1 797	447	3	444
STATE	16 931	16 578	15 061	1 517	353	(X)	353
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 332	5 329	5 049	280	94	3	91
COUNTIES	212	212	156	57	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 210	5 116	4 893	223	94	3	91
VIRGINIA	195 684	195 684	183 147	12 537	15 849	603	15 246
STATE	98 458	83 706	79 698	4 008	14 752	(X)	14 752
LOCAL, TOTAL	112 581	111 978	103 449	8 529	1 097	603	494
COUNTIES	41 114	40 698	35 144	5 554	416	176	240
MUNICIPALITIES	71 960	71 280	68 305	2 975	680	427	253
WASHINGTON	174 207	174 207	165 095	9 112	11 267	56	11 211
STATE	73 502	64 172	60 541	3 631	9 330	(X)	9 330
LOCAL, TOTAL	110 091	110 035	104 555	5 481	1 937	56	1 881
COUNTIES	52 993	52 852	48 639	4 213	141	11	130
MUNICIPALITIES	58 979	57 183	55 916	1 268	1 796	45	1 751
WEST VIRGINIA	42 604	42 604	38 874	3 730	2 119	28	2 091
STATE	20 842	18 874	16 406	2 468	1 968	(X)	1 968
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 758	23 729	22 468	1 262	151	28	123
COUNTIES	11 116	11 069	10 387	682	47	28	19
MUNICIPALITIES	12 764	12 660	12 081	580	104	-	104
WISCONSIN	234 984	234 984	225 514	9 470	9 315	1 878	7 437
STATE	87 146	80 611	77 539	3 072	6 535	(X)	6 535
LOCAL, TOTAL	156 251	154 373	147 975	6 3			

Table 9. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution Government:

Table with columns for State and type of government, Total full-time equivalent employees, Total criminal justice system, Police protection, and Judicial. Rows include states like Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, etc.

See footnotes at end of table.

of Full-Time Equivalent Employees by State and Type of October 1973

Table with columns for Legal services and prosecution, Indigent defense, Correction, and Other criminal justice. Rows include states like Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, etc.

Table 9. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution Government:

Line number	State and type of government	Total full-time equivalent employees*	Total criminal justice system			Police protection			Judicial					
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees				
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
1	ILLINOIS	240 653	51 150	45 587	47 388	19.7	24 724	30 839	32 077	67.7	6 255	5 682	5 946	12.5
2	STATE	108 097	9 986	9 670	9 807	9.1	3 410	3 325	3 337	34.0	1 293	1 280	1 283	13.1
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	132 556	41 164	35 917	37 581	28.4	31 314	27 514	28 740	76.5	4 962	4 402	4 663	12.4
4	COUNTIES	42 449	11 955	10 584	10 995	25.9	2 912	2 564	2 635	24.0	4 956	4 396	4 657	42.4
5	MUNICIPALITIES	90 107	29 209	25 333	26 586	29.5	28 402	24 950	26 105	98.2	6	6	6	(2)
6	INDIANA	121 397	17 614	14 949	15 848	13.1	10 567	9 415	9 666	61.0	2 285	1 665	2 034	12.8
7	STATE	55 200	4 263	4 010	4 194	7.6	1 762	1 682	1 693	40.4	1 105	1 057	1 078	6.6
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	66 097	13 351	10 939	11 654	17.6	8 805	7 733	7 973	68.4	2 007	1 560	1 756	15.1
9	COUNTIES	30 678	4 217	3 187	3 566	11.6	1 415	1 132	1 192	33.4	1 247	967	1 087	30.5
10	MUNICIPALITIES	35 419	9 134	7 752	8 088	22.8	7 390	6 601	6 781	83.8	7 60	593	669	8.3
11	IOWA	69 807	9 139	7 263	7 679	11.0	5 779	4 559	4 759	62.0	1 042	796	866	11.3
12	STATE	36 177	2 533	2 408	2 334	6.7	1 150	1 065	1 067	43.8	136	136	136	5.6
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	33 630	6 606	4 855	5 245	15.6	4 629	3 514	3 692	70.4	906	660	730	13.9
14	COUNTIES	16 236	2 661	1 918	2 111	13.0	890	663	699	33.1	865	650	708	33.6
15	MUNICIPALITIES	17 394	3 945	2 937	3 134	18.0	3 739	2 851	2 993	95.5	41	10	22	0.7
16	KANSAS	63 786	9 947	8 113	8 530	13.4	5 299	4 341	4 565	53.5	1 374	964	1 047	12.3
17	STATE	34 054	3 073	2 934	2 950	8.7	1 777	1 650	1 665	22.5	210	178	178	6.0
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	29 732	6 874	5 179	5 580	18.8	4 543	3 691	3 900	69.9	1 164	787	869	15.6
19	COUNTIES	12 554	2 690	1 965	2 130	17.0	971	738	802	37.7	889	707	758	35.6
20	MUNICIPALITIES	17 178	4 184	3 214	3 450	20.1	3 572	2 953	3 098	89.8	275	80	111	3.2
21	KENTUCKY	79 507	10 343	8 771	9 112	11.5	5 982	5 408	5 499	60.3	1 630	1 060	1 199	13.2
22	STATE	50 621	3 417	3 303	3 322	6.6	1 477	1 433	1 441	43.4	295	294	294	8.9
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	28 886	6 926	5 468	5 790	20.0	4 505	3 975	4 058	70.1	1 335	766	905	15.6
24	COUNTIES	11 152	2 952	2 217	2 428	21.8	1 088	921	949	39.1	1 041	680	798	32.9
25	MUNICIPALITIES	17 734	3 974	3 251	3 362	19.0	3 417	3 054	3 109	92.5	294	86	107	3.2
26	LOUISIANA	102 457	22 210	13 763	16 294	15.9	14 606	7 939	9 975	61.2	3 275	2 873	2 352	14.4
27	STATE	60 652	8 461	4 028	4 892	8.1	5 586	1 238	2 071	42.3	396	349	368	7.5
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	41 805	13 749	9 735	11 402	27.3	9 020	6 701	7 904	69.3	2 879	1 724	1 984	17.4
29	COUNTIES	14 146	6 034	3 917	4 283	30.3	3 325	2 641	2 650	61.9	1 756	727	930	21.7
30	MUNICIPALITIES	27 659	7 715	5 818	7 119	25.7	5 695	4 060	5 254	73.8	1 123	997	1 054	14.8
31	MAINE	28 455	4 222	2 998	3 202	8.3	2 763	1 810	1 930	60.3	419	291	337	10.5
32	STATE	16 840	1 545	1 468	1 487	8.8	544	511	513	34.5	194	176	183	12.3
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	21 615	2 677	1 530	1 715	7.9	2 219	1 299	1 417	82.6	225	115	154	9.0
34	COUNTIES	900	733	352	424	47.1	307	132	147	34.7	223	114	153	36.1
35	MUNICIPALITIES	20 715	1 944	1 178	1 291	6.2	1 912	1 167	1 270	98.4	2	1	1	0.1
36	MARYLAND	188 062	20 022	19 459	19 582	10.4	11 479	11 105	11 175	57.1	2 017	1 929	1 947	9.9
37	STATE	58 298	8 393	8 297	8 314	14.3	2 259	2 193	2 201	26.5	989	989	989	11.9
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	129 764	11 629	11 162	11 268	8.7	9 220	8 912	8 974	79.6	1 028	940	958	8.5
39	COUNTIES	87 970	5 091	4 919	4 968	5.6	3 741	3 712	3 721	74.9	633	545	563	11.3
40	MUNICIPALITIES	41 794	6 538	6 243	6 300	15.1	5 479	5 200	5 253	83.4	395	395	395	6.3
41	MASSACHUSETTS	248 728	27 138	24 060	24 831	10.0	17 858	15 323	15 873	63.9	3 085	2 845	2 921	11.8
42	STATE	68 598	6 462	6 446	6 446	9.4	2 257	2 257	2 257	35.0	592	531	533	8.3
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	180 130	20 676	17 636	18 385	10.2	15 601	13 066	13 616	74.1	2 543	2 314	2 388	13.0
44	COUNTIES	6 643	3 464	3 082	3 197	48.1	30	22	24	0.8	1 773	1 544	1 618	50.6
45	MUNICIPALITIES	173 487	17 212	14 556	15 188	8.8	15 571	13 044	13 592	89.5	1 770	1 770	1 770	5.1
46	MICHIGAN	207 924	35 906	32 784	33 386	16.1	22 514	20 627	20 828	62.4	5 645	4 967	5 235	15.7
47	STATE	97 368	7 262	7 063	7 102	7.3	3 248	3 118	3 144	44.3	515	515	515	7.3
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	110 558	28 644	25 721	26 284	23.8	19 266	17 509	17 684	67.3	5 130	4 472	4 720	18.0
49	COUNTIES	27 626	10 054	9 013	9 207	24.5	2 547	2 347	2 359	25.6	3 907	3 422	3 541	38.4
50	MUNICIPALITIES	72 932	18 590	16 708	17 077	23.4	16 719	15 162	15 325	89.8	1 223	1 050	1 179	6.9
51	MINNESOTA	99 317	13 462	11 090	11 653	11.7	8 003	6 606	6 879	59.0	1 661	1 383	1 440	12.4
52	STATE	46 294	2 535	2 587	2 587	5.6	833	793	800	30.9	135	135	135	5.2
53	LOCAL, TOTAL	52 923	10 776	8 555	9 066	17.1	7 170	5 813	6 079	67.1	1 526	1 248	1 305	14.4
54	COUNTIES	23 809	4 388	3 667	3 889	16.3	1 458	1 252	1 296	33.3	1 340	1 155	1 204	31.0
55	MUNICIPALITIES	29 114	6 388	4 888	5 177	17.8	5 712	4 561	4 783	92.4	186	93	101	2.0
56	MISSISSIPPI	66 156	6 452	5 292	5 703	8.6	4 276	3 828	3 979	69.8	826	386	547	9.6
57	STATE	33 064	2 092	1 993	2 019	6.1	1 047	1 018	1 027	50.9	107	99	100	5.0
58	LOCAL, TOTAL	13 092	3 269	2 684	2 829	11.1	3 229	2 810	2 952	80.1	719	287	447	12.1
59	COUNTIES	18 276	1 564	1 168	1 285	6.4	570	591	591	50.6	621	283	384	32.9
60	MUNICIPALITIES	14 816	2 796	2 349	2 516	17.0	2 544	2 240	2 361	93.8	84	44	63	2.5
61	MISSOURI	108 298	20 566	17 809	18 375	17.0	12 683	11 209	11 463	62.4	3 067	2 400	2 544	13.8
62	STATE	54 452	4 488	4 402	4 421	8.1	1 665	1 643	1 647	37.3	737	724	725	16.4
63	LOCAL, TOTAL	53 846	16 078	13 407	13 542	25.9	11 018	9 566	9 816	70.3	2 330	1 676	1 819	13.0
64	COUNTIES	14 146	4 387	3 679	3 884	27.5	1 658	1 472	1 502	38.7	1 262	1 039	1 128	29.0
65	MUNICIPALITIES	39 700	11 691	9 728	10 700	25.4	9 360	8 094	8 314	82.6	1 068	637	691	6.9
66	MONTANA	22 667	3 172	2 480	2 658	11.7	1 670	1 440	1 485	55.9	482	286	331	12.5
67	STATE	14 068	1 046	926	968	6.9	380	348	352	36.4	49	49	49	5.1
68	LOCAL, TOTAL	8 599	2 126	1 554	1 690	19.7	1 290	1 092	1 133	67.0	433	237	282	16.7
69	COUNTIES	4 996	1 229	904	998	20.0	558	481	493	49.4	352	218	260	26.1
70	MUNICIPALITIES	3 603	897	650	692	19.2	732	611	640	92.5	81	19	22	3.2

See footnotes at end of table.

of Full-Time Equivalent Employees by State and Type of October 1973—Continued

Line number	State and type of government	Total full-time equivalent employees*	Legal services and prosecution				Indigent defense				Corroction				Other criminal justice			
			Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees				

Table 9. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution Government:

Line number	State and type of government	Total full-time equivalent employees ¹	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial				Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees				Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	NEBRASKA	44 452	6 094	4 737	4 972	11.2	3 633	2 735	2 882	58.0	851	734	753	15.1	
2	STATE	23 431	1 740	1 679	1 695	7.2	557	546	548	32.3	438	421	425	25.1	
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	21 021	4 354	3 058	3 277	15.6	3 076	2 189	2 334	71.2	413	313	328	10.0	
4	COUNTIES	9 628	1 376	1 064	1 128	11.7	488	416	432	38.3	312	226	235	20.8	
5	MUNICIPALITIES	11 393	2 978	1 994	2 149	18.9	2 588	1 773	1 902	88.5	101	87	93	4.3	
6	NEVADA	18 036	3 642	3 448	3 491	18.5	2 044	1 967	1 979	56.7	336	277	293	8.4	
7	STATE	7 885	782	755	761	9.7	205	196	197	25.9	49	48	48	6.3	
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	10 951	2 860	2 693	2 730	24.9	1 839	1 771	1 782	65.3	287	229	245	9.0	
9	COUNTIES	7 350	2 045	1 933	1 957	25.3	1 196	1 163	1 168	59.7	209	166	177	9.0	
10	MUNICIPALITIES	3 601	815	760	773	21.5	643	608	614	79.4	78	63	68	8.8	
11	NEW HAMPSHIRE	25 139	3 784	2 191	2 488	9.9	2 899	1 732	1 732	69.6	333	199	244	9.8	
12	STATE	11 763	1 702	1 702	1 702	14.0	304	304	304	43.3	72	72	72	10.3	
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	13 376	3 082	1 786	1 786	13.4	2 595	1 200	1 428	80.0	261	127	172	9.5	
14	COUNTIES	2 383	326	282	298	13.3	91	75	79	26.5	80	71	73	24.5	
15	MUNICIPALITIES	11 193	2 756	1 207	1 488	13.3	2 504	1 125	1 349	90.7	181	56	99	6.7	
16	NEW JERSEY	215 065	39 894	35 838	36 778	17.0	24 139	21 354	21 830	59.4	5 311	4 869	4 869	13.2	
17	STATE	67 993	8 002	7 752	7 801	11.5	2 989	2 851	2 874	36.8	705	698	699	9.0	
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	148 069	31 892	28 086	28 977	19.5	21 150	18 503	18 956	65.4	4 606	3 924	4 170	14.4	
19	COUNTIES	42 910	10 124	9 751	9 847	22.9	1 307	1 253	1 276	13.0	3 258	3 089	3 116	31.7	
20	MUNICIPALITIES	105 159	21 768	18 335	19 130	18.2	19 843	17 250	17 680	92.4	1 348	835	1 054	5.5	
21	NEW MEXICO	36 735	4 479	4 180	4 266	11.6	2 831	2 653	2 692	63.1	521	468	483	11.3	
22	STATE	22 533	1 907	1 842	1 850	8.2	739	675	682	36.9	386	386	386	20.9	
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	14 202	2 572	2 338	2 416	17.0	2 092	1 978	2 010	63.2	135	82	97	4.0	
24	COUNTIES	5 580	584	510	538	9.6	410	385	391	72.7	11	14	14	2.6	
25	MUNICIPALITIES	8 622	1 988	1 828	1 878	21.8	1 682	1 593	1 619	86.2	26	71	83	4.4	
26	NEW YORK	774 633	117 853	109 679	111 741	14.4	74 979	70 483	71 307	63.8	13 697	11 378	12 011	10.7	
27	STATE	186 782	20 412	20 212	20 251	10.8	5 876	5 783	5 766	28.5	1 651	1 641	1 644	8.1	
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	587 851	97 441	89 467	91 490	15.6	69 103	64 700	65 541	71.6	12 046	9 737	10 367	11.3	
29	COUNTIES	92 366	20 931	19 260	19 887	21.5	11 006	10 248	10 406	52.3	3 223	2 994	3 044	15.3	
30	MUNICIPALITIES	495 485	76 510	70 207	71 603	14.5	58 977	54 492	55 135	77.0	8 823	6 741	7 323	10.2	
31	NORTH CAROLINA	217 148	18 589	17 410	17 644	8.1	10 327	9 464	9 585	54.3	2 300	2 233	2 272	12.9	
32	STATE	70 998	9 188	9 127	9 158	12.9	1 973	1 960	1 963	21.4	2 126	2 110	2 126	23.2	
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	146 150	9 401	8 283	8 486	5.8	8 354	7 504	7 622	89.8	174	123	146	1.7	
34	COUNTIES	118 389	3 160	2 746	2 838	2.4	2 233	2 016	2 035	71.7	174	123	146	5.1	
35	MUNICIPALITIES	27 761	6 241	5 537	5 648	20.3	6 121	3 488	5 587	98.9	-	-	-	-	
36	NORTH DAKOTA	16 536	3 049	1 610	1 748	10.6	1 393	994	1 029	58.9	1 061	232	287	16.4	
37	STATE	10 342	468	461	463	4.5	153	151	151	32.6	52	52	52	11.2	
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	3 194	2 581	1 149	1 285	20.7	1 240	843	878	68.3	1 009	180	235	18.3	
39	COUNTIES	3 412	850	488	583	17.1	288	195	208	35.7	334	172	216	37.0	
40	MUNICIPALITIES	2 782	1 731	661	702	25.2	952	648	670	95.4	675	19	19	2.7	
41	OHIO	247 358	42 828	36 528	38 595	15.6	23 093	19 943	20 592	53.4	7 752	5 956	6 833	17.7	
42	STATE	10 437	9 743	10 070	10 070	10.3	2 872	2 754	2 774	27.5	430	332	332	3.3	
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	149 490	32 391	26 785	28 525	19.1	20 221	17 189	17 618	62.4	7 322	5 818	6 501	22.8	
44	COUNTIES	58 356	11 445	9 381	10 230	17.5	2 901	2 607	2 607	25.2	5 268	4 188	4 719	48.1	
45	MUNICIPALITIES	91 134	20 946	17 404	18 295	20.1	17 320	14 712	15 211	83.1	2 054	1 630	1 782	9.7	
46	OKLAHOMA	75 961	10 061	8 811	9 046	11.9	5 868	5 407	5 528	61.1	1 452	974	1 028	11.4	
47	STATE	41 652	3 630	3 604	3 608	8.7	1 305	1 279	1 283	35.6	1 386	386	386	10.7	
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	34 309	6 431	5 207	5 438	15.8	4 563	4 128	4 245	78.1	1 066	588	642	11.8	
49	COUNTIES	11 318	1 612	1 372	1 443	12.7	643	576	591	41.0	544	469	497	34.4	
50	MUNICIPALITIES	22 991	4 819	3 835	3 993	17.4	3 920	3 552	3 654	91.5	522	119	145	3.6	
51	OREGON	59 323	9 443	8 417	8 647	14.6	5 137	4 722	4 807	55.6	1 243	956	1 046	12.1	
52	STATE	24 569	2 960	2 832	2 856	8.3	1 133	1 137	1 137	39.8	229	193	198	6.9	
53	LOCAL, TOTAL	24 754	6 483	5 585	5 789	23.4	3 974	3 639	3 670	63.4	1 014	763	848	14.6	
54	COUNTIES	12 597	3 361	2 909	3 027	24.0	1 228	1 096	1 118	36.9	844	709	753	24.9	
55	MUNICIPALITIES	12 157	3 122	2 676	2 822	22.7	2 746	2 543	2 552	92.4	170	54	95	3.4	
56	PENNSYLVANIA	249 215	48 753	43 310	44 624	17.9	29 311	25 532	26 243	58.8	8 224	7 517	7 796	17.5	
57	STATE	132 209	10 993	10 839	10 855	8.2	5 586	5 441	5 452	50.2	1 033	1 024	1 029	9.5	
58	LOCAL, TOTAL	117 006	37 760	32 471	33 769	28.9	23 725	20 091	20 791	61.6	7 191	6 493	6 787	20.0	
59	COUNTIES	34 978	9 410	8 006	8 528	24.4	860	741	780	9.1	4 346	3 652	3 926	46.0	
60	MUNICIPALITIES	82 028	28 350	24 465	25 241	30.8	22 865	19 350	20 011	79.3	2 845	2 841	2 871	11.3	
61	RHODE ISLAND	58 832	3 701	3 333	3 404	8.8	2 500	2 192	2 238	65.7	470	449	454	13.3	
62	STATE	15 691	1 396	1 358	1 369	8.7	308	285	292	21.3	425	415	421	30.8	
63	LOCAL, TOTAL	23 141	2 305	1 975	2 035	8.8	2 192	1 907	1 946	95.6	45	30	33	1.6	
64	MUNICIPALITIES	23 141	2 305	1 975	2 035	8.8	2 192	1 907	1 946	95.6	45	30	33	1.6	
65	SOUTH CAROLINA	70 822	9 240	8 005	8 398	11.9	5 208	4 703	4 835	57.6	1 263	781	946	11.3	
66	STATE	44 202	3 155	3 103	3 109	7.0	1 247	1 176	1 178	37.9	63	63	63	2.0	
67	LOCAL, TOTAL	26 620	6 055	4 902	5 289	19.9	3 961	3 527	3 657	69.1	1 200	718	883	16.7	
68	COUNTIES	15 094	3 220	2 468	2 736	18.1	1 327	1 187	1 222	44.7	1 103	678	830	30.3	
69	MUNICIPALITIES	11 526	2 835	2 434	2 553	22.1	2 634	2 340	2 435	95.4	97	46	53	2.1	

See footnotes at end of table

of Full-Time Equivalent Employees by State and Type of October 1973—Continued

Line number	State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution				Indigent defense				Correction				Other criminal justice			
		Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	NEBRASKA	476	284	320	6.4	61	39	45									

Table 9. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution Government:

Line number	State and type of government	Total full-time equivalent employees ¹	Total criminal justice system				Police protection ²				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	SOUTH DAKOTA	19 114	2 352	1 631	1 797	9.4	1 367	1 017	1 070	59.5	310	146	200	11.1
2	STATE	11 062	534	512	516	4.7	190	190	190	36.8	39	39	39	7.6
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	8 052	1 818	1 125	1 281	15.9	1 177	827	880	58.7	271	107	161	12.6
4	COUNTIES	3 509	753	458	541	15.4	265	183	193	35.7	190	92	136	25.1
5	MUNICIPALITIES	4 543	1 065	667	740	16.3	912	644	687	23.0	81	15	25	3.4
6	TENNESSEE	188 411	14 189	12 668	12 989	6.9	7 960	7 178	7 317	56.3	2 192	1 827	1 899	14.6
7	STATE	54 771	4 950	3 975	3 996	7.3	1 187	1 157	1 164	29.1	310	310	310	7.8
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	134 640	10 139	8 693	8 993	6.7	6 773	6 021	6 153	68.4	1 882	1 517	1 589	17.7
9	COUNTIES	64 082	3 375	2 759	2 914	4.5	1 137	966	1 005	34.5	1 324	1 084	1 134	38.9
10	MUNICIPALITIES	69 558	6 764	5 934	6 077	8.7	5 636	5 055	5 148	84.7	558	433	455	7.5
11	TEXAS	268 467	41 440	38 168	39 172	14.6	24 863	23 955	24 191	61.8	6 347	5 002	5 416	13.8
12	STATE	129 299	8 999	8 863	8 905	6.9	4 064	3 959	3 987	44.8	431	430	430	4.8
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	139 168	32 441	29 305	30 267	21.7	20 799	19 996	20 204	66.8	5 916	4 572	4 986	16.5
14	COUNTIES	40 642	13 400	11 225	11 873	29.2	3 712	3 405	3 486	29.4	4 726	3 779	4 137	34.8
15	MUNICIPALITIES	98 526	19 341	18 080	18 394	18.7	17 087	16 591	16 718	90.9	1 190	793	849	4.6
16	UTAH	33 216	4 211	3 434	3 602	10.8	2 464	2 133	2 208	61.3	565	318	360	10.0
17	STATE	22 305	1 385	1 288	1 311	5.9	539	510	514	39.2	120	107	110	8.4
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	10 911	2 826	2 146	2 291	21.0	1 925	1 623	1 694	73.9	445	211	250	10.9
19	COUNTIES	4 835	1 117	887	936	19.4	549	525	529	56.5	220	97	115	12.3
20	MUNICIPALITIES	6 076	1 709	1 259	1 355	22.3	1 376	1 098	1 165	86.0	225	114	135	10.0
21	VERMONT	14 220	1 942	1 595	1 638	11.5	1 169	887	917	56.0	204	175	179	10.9
22	STATE	10 059	1 120	1 084	1 092	10.9	390	388	388	35.5	182	168	172	15.8
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	4 161	822	511	546	13.1	779	499	529	96.9	22	7	7	1.3
24	COUNTIES	11	1	1	1	100.0	6	4	4	18.2	2	2	2	63.6
25	MUNICIPALITIES	4 150	791	503	535	12.2	773	498	527	98.5	1	1	1	0.1
26	VIRGINIA	220 874	19 239	13 999	16 119	7.3	11 695	8 154	9 520	59.1	1 925	1 669	1 760	10.9
27	STATE	75 830	8 591	5 865	7 021	9.3	4 179	1 865	2 754	39.2	938	936	936	13.3
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	145 044	10 648	8 134	9 098	6.3	7 516	6 289	6 766	74.4	987	733	824	9.1
29	COUNTIES	76 925	3 783	2 387	2 993	3.9	2 452	1 646	2 003	66.9	455	340	388	13.0
30	MUNICIPALITIES	68 109	6 865	5 747	6 105	9.0	5 064	4 643	4 763	78.0	532	393	436	7.1
31	WASHINGTON	98 668	14 422	12 853	13 226	13.4	7 635	7 039	7 090	53.6	1 873	1 418	1 583	12.0
32	STATE	57 533	4 313	4 227	4 255	7.4	1 458	1 429	1 434	33.7	221	213	214	5.0
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	41 135	10 109	8 626	8 971	21.8	6 177	5 610	5 656	63.0	1 652	1 205	1 369	15.3
34	COUNTIES	17 321	4 766	4 267	4 417	25.5	1 747	1 678	1 694	38.4	1 230	1 010	1 090	24.7
35	MUNICIPALITIES	23 814	5 343	4 359	4 554	19.1	4 430	3 932	3 962	87.0	422	195	279	6.1
36	WEST VIRGINIA	47 612	4 707	4 105	4 310	9.1	2 718	2 492	2 562	59.4	633	428	499	11.6
37	STATE	34 038	1 651	1 619	1 627	4.8	765	759	761	46.8	96	89	91	5.6
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	13 574	3 056	2 486	2 683	19.8	1 953	1 733	1 801	67.1	537	339	408	15.2
39	COUNTIES	5 329	1 483	1 192	1 273	23.9	485	433	454	35.7	481	320	379	29.8
40	MUNICIPALITIES	8 245	1 593	1 334	1 410	17.1	1 468	1 300	1 347	95.5	56	19	29	2.1
41	WISCONSIN	146 597	18 598	15 303	16 114	11.0	12 188	9 891	10 330	64.1	1 917	1 465	1 652	10.3
42	STATE	51 583	4 587	4 404	4 468	8.7	1 397	1 282	1 320	29.5	409	389	405	9.1
43	LOCAL, TOTAL	95 014	14 011	10 899	11 646	12.3	10 791	8 609	9 010	77.4	1 508	1 076	1 247	10.7
44	COUNTIES	23 209	4 798	4 023	4 275	12.9	2 181	1 900	1 933	45.2	1 296	1 053	1 178	27.6
45	MUNICIPALITIES	61 805	9 213	6 876	7 371	11.9	8 610	6 709	7 077	96.0	212	23	69	0.9
46	WYOMING	12 908	1 638	1 296	1 403	10.9	908	777	801	57.1	229	137	165	11.8
47	STATE	7 346	532	493	507	6.9	177	166	167	32.9	47	46	46	9.1
48	LOCAL, TOTAL	5 562	1 106	803	896	16.1	731	611	634	70.8	182	91	119	13.3
49	COUNTIES	3 205	495	356	406	12.3	228	183	192	47.3	130	84	102	25.1
50	MUNICIPALITIES	2 257	611	447	490	21.7	503	428	442	90.2	52	7	17	3.5

¹ Represents zero or rounds to zero. ² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.
³ The relation of criminal justice full-time equivalent employees to total full-time equivalent employees is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for independent school districts or special districts.

of Full-Time Equivalent Employees by State and Type of October 1973—Continued

Line number	Legal services and prosecution				Indigent defense				Correction				Other criminal justice			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	252	142	172	9.6	39	6	11	0.6	372	314	332	18.5	12	12	12	0.7
2	60	50	52	10.1	-	-	-	-	234	222	224	43.4	11	11	11	2.1
3	192	92	120	9.4	39	6	11	0.9	138	92	108	8.4	1	1	1	0.1
4	124	88	96	17.7	39	6	11	2.0	134	88	104	19.2	1	1	1	0.2
5	68	4	24	3.2	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	0.5	-	-	-	-
6	551	343	394	3.0	57	52	55	0.4	3 382	3 222	3 278	25.3	47	46	46	0.4
7	234	234	234	5.9	11	6	9	0.2	2 275	2 236	2 247	56.2	33	32	32	0.8
8	317	109	160	1.8	46	46	46	0.5	1 107	986	1 031	11.5	14	14	14	0.1
9	127	26	56	1.9	27	27	27	0.9	750	646	684	23.5	10	10	10	0.3
10	190	83	104	1.7	19	19	19	0.3	357	340	347	5.7	4	4	4	0.1
11	2 449	1 922	2 108	5.4	117	15	29	0.1	7 415	7 028	7 181	18.3	249	246	247	0.6
12	330	330	330	3.7	-	-	-	-	4 096	4 066	4 080	45.8	78	78	78	0.9
13	2 119	1 592	1 778	5.9	117	15	29	0.1	3 319	2 962	3 101	10.2	171	168	169	0.5
14	1 400	1 212	1 278	10.6	117	15	29	0.2	2 977	2 649	2 777	23.4	168	165	166	1.4
15	719	380	500	2.7	-	-	-	-	342	313	324	1.8	3	3	3	(Z) 15
16	284	201	217	6.0	13	-	3	0.1	837	735	767	21.3	48	47	47	1.3
17	64	54	59	4.5	-	-	-	-	621	576	587	44.8	41	41	41	3.1
18	220	147	158	6.9	13	-	3	0.1	216	159	180	7.9	7	6	6	0.3
19	122	106	110	11.8	12	-	3	0.3	218	159	179	19.1	-	-	-	-
20	98	41	48	3.5	1	-	-	-	2	-	1	0.1	7	6	6	0.4
21	92	70	75	4.6	9	9	9	0.5	447	433	437	26.7	21	21	21	1.3
22	74	65	67	6.1	9	9	9	0.8	444	433	435	39.8	21	21	21	1.9
23	18															

Table 10. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll, by State and Type of Government: October 1973

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total October payroll ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	5 145 431	798 524	15.5	488 504	61.2	98 597	12.3
STATES	2 145 972	218 554	10.2	77 140	35.3	29 201	13.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 999 459	580 070	19.3	411 364	70.9	69 396	12.0
COUNTIES	951 692	185 103	19.4	69 177	37.4	47 182	25.5
MUNICIPALITIES	2 047 767	394 967	19.3	342 187	86.6	22 214	5.6
ALABAMA	58 499	6 929	11.8	4 343	62.7	1 115	16.1
STATE	33 802	2 115	6.3	908	42.9	309	14.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 697	4 814	19.5	3 435	71.4	806	16.7
COUNTIES	7 525	1 755	23.3	623	35.5	721	41.1
MUNICIPALITIES	17 172	3 059	17.8	2 812	91.9	84	2.8
ALASKA	27 520	2 213	8.0	1 074	48.5	451	20.4
STATE	13 084	1 639	12.5	635	38.7	432	26.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 436	574	4.0	439	76.5	19	3.3
COUNTIES	2 395	27	0.3	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 051	547	10.8	439	80.2	19	3.5
ARIZONA	44 751	8 241	18.4	5 162	62.6	1 011	12.3
STATE	23 241	2 256	9.7	1 222	54.2	165	7.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 510	5 985	27.8	3 940	65.8	846	14.1
COUNTIES	8 184	2 516	30.7	824	32.7	693	27.5
MUNICIPALITIES	13 326	3 470	26.0	3 117	89.8	153	4.4
ARKANSAS	26 944	3 175	11.8	2 083	65.6	392	12.4
STATE	17 449	978	5.6	418	42.8	135	13.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 495	2 197	23.1	1 664	75.8	257	11.7
COUNTIES	4 451	608	13.7	261	43.0	172	28.3
MUNICIPALITIES	5 044	1 589	31.5	1 403	88.3	85	5.3
CALIFORNIA	558 402	120 183	21.5	67 194	55.9	11 750	9.8
STATE	217 252	27 477	12.6	12 128	44.1	1 679	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	341 150	92 705	27.2	55 066	59.4	10 071	10.9
COUNTIES	169 998	49 501	29.1	14 986	30.3	9 422	19.0
MUNICIPALITIES	171 152	43 205	25.2	40 080	92.8	649	1.5
COLORADO	58 339	8 342	14.3	4 712	56.5	1 320	15.8
STATE	31 231	3 268	9.5	1 786	24.0	967	29.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 108	5 074	21.0	3 926	77.4	353	7.0
COUNTIES	6 689	910	13.6	504	55.4	56	6.2
MUNICIPALITIES	17 419	4 164	23.9	3 421	82.2	297	7.1
CONNECTICUT	112 971	10 433	9.2	6 889	66.0	1 073	10.3
STATE	34 084	4 445	13.0	1 080	24.3	1 069	24.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	78 887	5 989	7.6	5 808	97.0	4	0.1
COUNTIES	78 887	5 989	7.6	5 808	97.0	4	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	14 207	2 330	16.4	1 191	51.1	480	20.6
STATE	9 826	1 544	15.7	515	33.4	395	25.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 381	787	18.0	676	85.9	84	10.7
COUNTIES	1 098	228	20.8	169	74.0	51	22.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 283	559	17.0	507	90.7	33	5.9
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	51 315	10 110	19.7	5 874	58.1	1 065	10.5
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	51 315	10 110	19.7	5 874	58.1	1 065	10.5
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	150 838	31 204	20.7	16 725	53.6	4 924	15.8
STATE	71 510	11 033	15.4	2 093	19.0	1 831	16.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	79 328	20 172	25.4	14 632	72.5	3 093	15.3
COUNTIES	33 377	9 779	29.3	5 240	53.6	2 721	27.8
MUNICIPALITIES	45 951	10 392	22.6	9 392	90.4	371	3.6
GEORGIA	80 921	12 777	15.8	7 066	55.3	1 749	13.7
STATE	47 498	4 075	8.6	1 226	30.1	430	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	33 423	8 702	26.0	5 840	67.1	1 319	15.2
COUNTIES	14 033	4 131	29.4	4 131	100.0	1 131	27.4
MUNICIPALITIES	19 390	4 571	23.6	4 145	90.7	188	4.1
HAWAII	36 063	3 516	9.7	2 267	64.5	474	13.5
STATE	26 879	1 022	3.8	2 27	2.6	474	46.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 184	2 494	27.2	2 240	89.8	-	-
COUNTIES	2 178	603	27.7	531	88.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7 008	1 892	27.0	1 709	90.3	-	-
IDaho	14 182	1 772	12.5	980	55.3	291	16.4
STATE	9 191	755	8.2	220	29.2	193	25.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 991	1 017	20.4	760	74.7	98	9.6
COUNTIES	2 349	459	19.5	51.5	93	20.3	
MUNICIPALITIES	2 642	558	21.1	524	93.8	4	0.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll, by State and Type of Government: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	41 956	5.3	5 575	0.7	159 518	20.0	4 475	0.6
STATES	11 648	5.3	2 244	1.0	95 565	43.7	2 756	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 308	5.2	3 331	0.6	63 953	11.0	1 718	0.3
COUNTIES	18 441	10.0	3 180	1.7	46 742	25.3	381	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	11 866	3.0	151	(2)	17 211	4.4	1 338	0.3
ALABAMA	326	4.7	5	0.1	1 103	15.9	37	0.5
STATE	164	7.7	3	0.1	695	31.9	36	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	162	3.4	2	(2)	409	8.5	1	(2)
COUNTIES	90	5.1	1	0.1	319	18.2	1	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES	73	2.4	(2)	(2)	90	2.9	-	-
ALASKA	231	10.4	40	1.8	403	18.2	14	0.6
STATE	158	9.6	40	2.4	360	22.0	14	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	73	12.7	(2)	(2)	43	7.5	-	-
COUNTIES	27	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	46	8.4	(2)	(2)	43	7.8	-	-
ARIZONA	522	6.3	106	1.3	1 403	17.0	37	0.4
STATE	76	3.4	-	-	761	33.7	31	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	445	7.4	106	1.8	642	10.7	5	0.1
COUNTIES	289	11.5	106	4.2	601	23.9	3	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	156	4.5	1	(2)	41	1.2	3	0.1
ARKANSAS	171	5.4	26	0.8	479	15.1	24	0.7
STATE	53	5.4	-	-	348	35.6	24	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	118	5.4	26	1.2	132	6.0	-	-
COUNTIES	68	11.2	26	4.3	80	13.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	50	3.1	(2)	(2)	51	3.2	-	-
CALIFORNIA	8 003	6.7	1 975	1.6	31 039	25.8	222	0.2
STATE	861	3.1	-	-	12 691	46.2	118	0.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 142	7.7	1 975	2.1	18 348	19.8	103	0.1
COUNTIES	5 584	11.3	1 894	3.8	17 528	35.4	86	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	1 558	3.6	81	0.2	820	1.9	17	(2)
COLORADO	541	6.5	145	1.7	1 601	19.2	24	0.3
STATE	82	2.5	145	4.4	1 268	38.8	20	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	459	9.0	(2)	(2)	333	6.6	3	0.1
COUNTIES	216	23.7	(2)	(2)	131	14.3	3	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	243	5.8	-	-	202	4.9	-	-
CONNECTICUT	402	3.9	76	0.7	1 907	18.3	87	0.8
STATE	263	5.9	74	1.7	1 907	42.9	52	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	139	2.3	2	(2)	-	-	35	0.6
COUNTIES	139	2.3	2	(2)	-	-	35	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	95	4.1	25	1.1	522	22.4	18	0.8
STATE	68	4.4	25	1.6	522	33.8	18	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	27	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	8	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	19	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	251	2.5	-	-	2 883	28.5	36	0.4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	251	2.5	-	-	2 883	28.5	36	0.4
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	1 771	5.7	577	1.9	7 116	22.8	91	0.3
STATE	1 329	12.0	559	5.1	5 157	46.7	64	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	442	2.2	18	0.1	1 959	9.7	27	0.1
COUNTIES	182	1.9	17	0.2	1 603	16.4	16	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	260	2.5	2	(2)	357	3.4	11	0.1
GEORGIA	590	4.6	50	0.4	3 294	25.8	28	0.2
STATE	218	5.3	3	0.1	2 170	53.3	28	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	372	4.3	46	0.5	1 124	12.9	(2)	(2)
COUNTIES	287	6.9	40	1.0	978	23.7	(2)	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES	86	1.9	6	0.1	145	3.2	-	-
HAWAII	276	7.9	33	1.0	450	12.8	16	0.5
STATE	101	9.9	33	3.3	371	36.3	15	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	175	7.0	-	-	79	3.2	1	(2)
COUNTIES	53	2.9	-	-	18	8.8	1	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	122	6.4	-	-	61	3.2	-	-
IDaho	115	6.5	14	0.8	346	19.5	26	1.4
STATE	24	3.2	-	-	292	38.7		

Table 10. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll, by State and Type of Government: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total October payroll ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	202 813	48 146	23.7	33 695	70.0	5 556	11.5
STATE	86 817	11 047	12.7	3 821	34.6	2 128	19.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	115 996	37 099	32.0	29 875	80.5	3 428	9.2
COUNTIES	72 772	8 914	27.2	2 158	24.2	3 422	38.4
MUNICIPALITIES	83 223	28 185	33.9	27 717	98.3	6	(Z)
INDIANA	81 493	11 460	14.1	7 143	62.3	1 377	12.0
STATE	42 004	3 498	8.3	1 404	40.1	354	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	39 489	7 962	20.2	5 739	72.1	1 024	12.9
COUNTIES	15 842	2 144	13.5	761	35.5	622	29.0
MUNICIPALITIES	23 647	5 818	24.6	4 978	85.5	401	6.9
IOWA	52 719	5 977	11.3	3 624	60.6	705	11.8
STATE	30 144	2 237	7.4	1 912	60.8	247	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 575	3 740	16.6	2 712	72.5	458	12.3
COUNTIES	9 887	1 384	14.0	473	34.2	446	32.2
MUNICIPALITIES	12 688	2 357	18.6	2 238	95.0	12	0.5
KANSAS	41 874	5 668	13.5	3 057	53.9	706	12.5
STATE	24 764	2 158	8.7	533	24.7	219	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 110	3 510	20.5	2 524	71.9	487	13.9
COUNTIES	6 069	1 152	19.0	418	36.3	409	35.5
MUNICIPALITIES	11 041	2 359	21.4	2 106	89.3	78	3.3
KENTUCKY	50 668	6 883	13.6	4 325	62.8	850	12.3
STATE	33 698	2 652	7.9	1 174	44.3	317	12.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 970	4 231	24.9	3 151	74.5	533	12.6
COUNTIES	5 762	1 630	28.3	750	46.0	449	27.6
MUNICIPALITIES	11 208	2 601	23.2	2 401	92.3	83	3.2
LOUISIANA	64 486	10 621	16.5	6 469	60.9	1 623	15.3
STATE	41 369	3 489	8.4	1 453	41.7	429	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 117	7 132	30.9	5 016	70.3	1 195	16.8
COUNTIES	7 701	2 888	37.5	1 902	65.9	577	20.0
MUNICIPALITIES	15 416	4 245	27.5	3 114	73.4	618	14.6
MAINE	26 188	2 327	8.9	1 373	59.0	247	10.6
STATE	12 146	1 203	9.9	405	33.7	174	14.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 042	1 124	8.0	968	86.1	174	6.5
COUNTIES	425	230	54.1	89	38.5	73	31.5
MUNICIPALITIES	13 617	894	6.6	879	98.3	1	0.1
MARYLAND	166 979	18 412	11.0	10 331	56.1	1 879	10.2
STATE	46 333	8 196	17.7	2 150	26.2	1 085	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	120 646	10 216	8.5	8 181	80.1	794	7.8
COUNTIES	86 340	4 921	5.7	3 788	77.0	493	10.0
MUNICIPALITIES	34 306	5 296	15.7	4 392	82.9	301	5.7
MASSACHUSETTS	216 008	23 668	11.0	15 620	66.0	2 791	11.8
STATE	56 355	5 907	10.5	2 208	37.4	614	10.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	159 653	17 761	11.1	13 412	75.5	2 177	12.3
COUNTIES	5 344	2 795	52.1	19	0.7	1 389	49.7
MUNICIPALITIES	154 289	14 966	9.7	13 394	89.5	788	5.3
MICHIGAN	201 532	36 688	18.2	23 962	65.3	5 133	14.0
STATE	96 600	8 338	8.6	3 676	44.1	927	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	104 932	28 351	27.0	20 285	71.6	4 206	14.8
COUNTIES	31 762	8 544	26.9	2 180	25.5	3 162	37.0
MUNICIPALITIES	73 170	19 807	27.1	18 105	91.4	1 043	5.3
MINNESOTA	85 506	10 961	12.8	6 418	58.6	1 429	13.0
STATE	43 145	2 645	6.1	831	31.4	281	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	42 361	8 316	19.6	5 587	67.2	1 149	13.8
COUNTIES	17 754	3 363	18.9	1 072	31.9	1 040	30.9
MUNICIPALITIES	24 607	4 953	20.1	4 514	91.2	108	2.2
MISSISSIPPI	38 077	3 651	9.6	2 483	68.0	409	11.2
STATE	21 781	1 511	6.9	757	50.1	148	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 296	2 140	13.1	1 726	80.7	260	12.2
COUNTIES	8 404	702	8.4	381	54.3	224	31.9
MUNICIPALITIES	7 892	1 438	18.2	1 345	93.6	36	2.5
MISSOURI	82 732	14 407	17.4	9 466	65.7	1 936	13.4
STATE	41 118	3 407	8.3	1 314	38.6	781	22.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	41 614	11 001	26.4	8 152	74.1	1 154	10.5
COUNTIES	3 635	2 550	29.5	1 052	41.3	676	26.5
MUNICIPALITIES	32 979	8 451	25.6	7 100	84.0	478	5.7
MONTANA	16 258	1 874	11.5	1 046	55.8	242	12.9
STATE	11 162	741	6.6	258	34.8	70	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 096	1 133	22.2	788	69.6	172	15.2
COUNTIES	2 675	629	23.5	329	52.3	153	23.3
MUNICIPALITIES	2 421	504	20.8	460	91.1	19	3.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll, by State and Type of Government: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice ¹	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS	1 997	4.1	405	0.8	6 340	13.2	154	0.3
STATE	486	4.4	91	0.8	4 380	39.6	141	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 511	4.1	313	0.8	1 960	5.3	13	(Z)
COUNTIES	1 084	12.2	312	3.5	1 931	21.7	7	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	427	1.5	1	(Z)	29	0.1	6	(Z)
INDIANA	710	6.2	78	0.7	2 085	18.2	67	0.6
STATE	293	8.4	13	0.4	1 397	39.9	36	1.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	416	5.2	65	0.8	688	8.6	31	0.4
COUNTIES	218	10.2	56	2.6	485	22.6	1	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	198	3.4	9	0.2	202	3.5	30	0.5
IOWA	376	6.3	12	0.2	1 209	20.2	52	0.9
STATE	91	4.0	-	-	964	43.1	24	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	286	7.6	12	0.3	245	6.5	28	0.7
COUNTIES	203	14.7	12	0.9	240	17.3	8	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	82	3.5	-	-	5	0.2	19	0.8
KANSAS	387	6.8	14	0.2	1 445	25.5	59	1.0
STATE	137	6.4	10	0.5	1 241	57.5	18	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	250	7.1	4	0.1	204	5.8	41	1.2
COUNTIES	161	14.0	4	0.3	159	13.8	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	88	3.7	(Z)	(Z)	45	1.9	41	1.7
KENTUCKY	272	3.9	37	0.5	1 358	19.7	42	0.6
STATE	54	2.1	25	1.0	1 040	39.2	42	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	217	5.1	11	0.3	318	7.5	(Z)	(Z)
COUNTIES	140	8.6	11	0.7	279	17.1	(Z)	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	77	3.0	-	-	39	1.5	-	-
LOUISIANA	624	5.9	28	0.3	1 838	17.3	38	0.4
STATE	269	8.3	-	-	1 289	37.0	29	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	335	4.7	28	0.4	549	7.7	9	-
COUNTIES	156	5.4	5	0.2	248	8.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	179	4.2	23	0.6	301	7.1	9	0.2
MAINE	98	4.2	1	(Z)	583	25.0	26	1.1
STATE	80	6.6	-	-	519	43.2	25	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	19	1.6	1	0.1	64	5.7	(Z)	(Z)
COUNTIES	6	2.8	1	0.4	61	26.7	(Z)	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	12	1.3	-	-	2	0.2	-	-
MARYLAND	749	4.1	244	1.3	5 041	27.4	169	0.9
STATE	95	1.2	244	3.0	4 454	54.3	168	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	654	6.4	(Z)	(Z)	586	5.7	1	(Z)
COUNTIES	354	7.2	(Z)	(Z)	284	5.8	1	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	300	5.7	-	-	303	5.7	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	681	2.9	117	0.5	4 312	18.2	147	0.6
STATE	293	5.0	117	2.0	2 578	43.6	97	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	387	2.2	-	-	1 734	9.8	50	0.3
COUNTIES	87	3.1	-	-	1 301	46.5	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	300	2.0	-	-	433	2.9	50	0.3
MICHIGAN	1 593	4.3	62	0.2	5 850	15.9	89	0.2
STATE	326	3.9	35	0.4	3 310	39.7	63	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 267	4.5	27	0.1	2 540	9.0	26	0.1
COUNTIES	923	10.8	27	0.3	2 229	26.1	22	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	344	1.7	-	-	311	1.6	4	(Z)
MINNESOTA	697	6.4	48	0.4	2 314	21.1	54	0.5
STATE	123	4.7	9	0.3	1 361	51.5	39	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	574	6.9	38	0.5	953	11.5	15	0.2
COUNTIES	327	9.7	36	1.1	877	26.1	8	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	247	5.0	(Z)	(Z)	76	1.5	7	0.1
MISSISSIPPI	160	4.4	5	0.1	558	15.3	37	1.0
STATE	96							

Table 10. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll, by State and Type of Government: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total October payroll ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	28 388	3 819	13.5	2 200	57.6	595	15.6
STATE	15 097	1 353	9.0	1 447	37.0	384	28.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 291	2 466	18.6	1 754	71.1	212	8.6
COUNTIES	5 194	766	14.7	264	34.5	154	20.2
MUNICIPALITIES	8 097	1 700	21.0	1 490	87.6	57	3.4
NEVADA	17 201	3 417	19.9	1 997	58.4	265	7.8
STATE	7 527	777	10.3	222	28.5	78	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 674	2 641	27.3	1 776	67.2	187	7.1
COUNTIES	6 120	1 866	30.5	1 153	61.8	130	7.0
MUNICIPALITIES	3 554	774	21.8	622	80.4	57	7.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	17 614	1 894	10.7	1 279	67.5	231	12.4
STATE	8 653	594	6.9	237	40.0	97	16.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 961	1 300	14.5	1 041	80.1	138	10.6
COUNTIES	940	187	19.9	53	28.4	48	25.7
MUNICIPALITIES	8 021	1 113	13.9	988	88.8	90	8.1
NEW JERSEY	196 447	34 970	17.8	21 810	62.4	4 233	12.1
STATE	63 543	7 976	12.6	3 026	37.9	985	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	132 904	26 991	20.3	18 784	69.6	3 248	12.0
COUNTIES	33 361	8 278	24.8	1 058	12.8	2 582	31.2
MUNICIPALITIES	99 543	18 712	18.8	17 725	94.7	666	3.6
NEW MEXICO	23 771	3 023	12.7	1 921	63.5	352	11.6
STATE	15 167	1 305	8.6	474	36.3	292	22.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 604	1 718	20.0	1 447	84.2	60	3.5
COUNTIES	3 037	329	10.8	246	74.5	9	2.9
MUNICIPALITIES	5 567	1 389	25.0	1 201	86.5	50	3.6
NEW YORK	770 314	131 331	17.0	86 812	66.1	14 549	11.1
STATE	180 575	23 933	13.3	7 098	29.7	2 898	12.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	589 739	107 399	18.2	79 714	74.2	11 651	10.8
COUNTIES	76 191	19 788	26.0	11 074	56.0	3 114	15.7
MUNICIPALITIES	513 548	87 611	17.1	68 641	78.3	8 538	9.7
NORTH CAROLINA	156 631	13 029	8.3	7 079	54.3	1 679	12.9
STATE	54 491	7 041	12.9	1 617	23.0	1 593	22.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	102 140	5 988	5.9	5 462	91.2	86	1.4
COUNTIES	84 081	1 828	2.2	1 365	74.7	86	4.7
MUNICIPALITIES	18 059	4 160	23.0	4 097	98.5	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	11 615	1 209	10.4	711	58.8	211	17.4
STATE	7 771	356	4.6	104	29.4	74	20.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 844	853	22.2	607	71.1	136	16.0
COUNTIES	1 843	342	18.6	125	36.5	120	35.0
MUNICIPALITIES	2 001	511	25.5	482	94.3	16	3.2
OHIO	196 588	33 147	16.9	18 860	56.9	4 905	14.8
STATE	83 472	9 031	10.8	2 517	27.9	459	5.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	113 116	24 116	21.3	16 343	67.8	4 447	18.4
COUNTIES	38 123	7 236	19.0	1 975	27.3	3 102	42.9
MUNICIPALITIES	74 993	16 879	22.5	14 368	85.1	1 344	8.0
OKLAHOMA	48 281	5 979	12.4	3 689	61.7	800	13.4
STATE	28 867	2 492	8.6	955	38.3	429	17.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 414	3 487	18.0	2 734	78.4	371	10.6
COUNTIES	5 658	808	14.3	321	39.8	272	33.7
MUNICIPALITIES	13 756	2 679	19.5	2 413	90.1	99	3.7
OREGON	51 273	7 789	15.2	4 368	56.1	920	11.8
STATE	31 007	2 780	9.0	1 042	37.5	330	11.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 266	5 009	24.7	3 325	66.4	590	11.8
COUNTIES	9 549	2 548	26.7	1 024	40.2	533	20.9
MUNICIPALITIES	10 717	2 461	23.0	2 302	93.5	57	2.3
PENNSYLVANIA	208 390	41 241	19.8	25 288	61.3	6 855	16.6
STATE	117 156	11 774	10.0	5 841	49.6	1 781	15.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	91 234	29 466	32.3	19 447	66.0	5 074	17.2
COUNTIES	20 329	5 508	27.1	576	10.5	2 382	43.3
MUNICIPALITIES	70 905	23 958	33.8	18 871	78.8	2 692	11.2
RHODE ISLAND	31 967	3 125	9.8	2 040	65.3	392	12.5
STATE	12 305	1 379	11.2	357	25.9	372	27.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 662	1 745	8.9	1 683	96.5	19	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES	19 662	1 745	8.9	1 683	96.5	19	1.1
SOUTH CAROLINA	45 031	5 523	12.3	3 151	57.1	667	12.1
STATE	30 663	2 220	7.2	838	37.8	101	4.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 368	3 303	23.0	2 313	70.0	566	17.1
COUNTIES	7 761	1 695	21.8	791	46.7	524	30.9
MUNICIPALITIES	6 607	1 608	24.3	1 522	94.6	42	2.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll, by State and Type of Government: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA	286	7.5	44	1.2	639	16.7	54	1.4
STATE	38	2.8	-	-	465	34.4	19	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	247	10.0	44	1.8	174	7.0	35	1.4
COUNTIES	161	21.1	44	5.7	143	10.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	86	5.1	(2)	(2)	31	1.8	35	2.1
NEVADA	300	8.8	59	1.7	776	22.7	20	0.6
STATE	64	8.2	5	0.6	389	50.0	20	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	237	9.0	54	2.0	388	14.7	-	-
COUNTIES	174	9.3	54	2.9	355	19.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	62	8.1	-	-	33	4.3	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	72	3.8	(2)	(2)	296	15.7	12	0.6
STATE	43	7.2	-	-	206	34.6	11	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	29	2.2	(2)	(2)	91	7.0	1	0.1
COUNTIES	12	6.5	-	-	73	39.0	1	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	17	1.5	(2)	(2)	18	1.6	-	-
NEW JERSEY	2 268	6.5	547	1.6	5 962	17.0	150	0.4
STATE	457	5.7	539	6.8	2 863	35.9	107	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 810	6.7	7	(2)	3 099	11.5	43	0.2
COUNTIES	1 511	18.2	-	-	3 096	37.4	31	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	300	1.6	7	(2)	3	(2)	11	0.1
NEW MEXICO	184	6.1	-	-	534	17.7	33	1.1
STATE	133	10.2	-	-	388	29.7	18	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	51	3.0	-	-	146	8.5	15	0.9
COUNTIES	6	1.9	-	-	53	16.2	15	4.5
MUNICIPALITIES	45	3.2	-	-	93	6.7	-	-
NEW YORK	5 837	4.4	127	0.1	22 336	17.0	1 670	1.3
STATE	1 483	6.2	-	-	11 799	49.3	655	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 354	4.1	127	0.1	10 537	9.8	1 015	0.9
COUNTIES	1 516	7.7	127	0.6	3 927	19.8	31	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 838	3.2	-	-	6 610	7.5	984	1.1
NORTH CAROLINA	438	3.4	108	0.8	3 682	28.3	43	0.3
STATE	342	4.9	108	1.5	3 341	47.5	39	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	96	1.6	-	-	341	5.7	4	0.1
COUNTIES	36	2.0	-	-	337	18.5	4	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	60	1.4	-	-	3	0.1	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	108	9.0	11	0.9	156	12.9	12	1.0
STATE	40	11.2	-	-	125	35.2	12	3.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	69	8.1	11	1.2	31	3.6	-	-
COUNTIES	59	17.2	10	3.0	28	8.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	10	2.0	(2)	(2)	3	0.5	-	-
OHIO	1 725	5.2	10	(2)	7 562	22.8	86	0.3
STATE	456	5.1	-	-	5 526	61.2	73	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 268	5.3	10	(2)	2 035	8.4	13	0.1
COUNTIES	645	8.9	7	0.1	1 500	20.7	8	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	624	3.7	2	(2)	536	3.2	5	(2)
OKLAHOMA	430	7.2	23	0.4	1 004	16.8	33	0.6
STATE	325	13.0	-	-	753	30.2	29	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	104	3.0	23	0.7	250	7.2	4	0.1
COUNTIES	10	1.3	21	2.6	183	22.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	94	3.5	2	0.1	68	2.5	4	0.1
OREGON	665	8.5	15	0.2	1 780	22.9	42	0.5
STATE	277	10.0	10	0.4	1 090	39.2	30	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	387	7.7	5	0.1	690	13.8	12	0.2
COUNTIES	304	11.9	4	0.2	678	26.6	6	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	84	3.4	(2)	(2)	13	0.5	5	0.2
PENNSYLVANIA	1 725	4.2	225	0.5	6 996	17.0	152	0.4
STATE	284	2.4	-	-	3 717	31.6	151	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 441	4.9	225	0.8	3 279	11.1	1	(2)

Table 10. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll, by State and Type of Government: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Total October payroll ¹	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	12 270	1 250	10.2	724	58.0	170	13.6
STATE	8 127	427	5.3	160	37.5	60	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 143	823	19.9	564	68.6	110	13.4
COUNTIES	1 597	334	20.9	113	33.9	92	27.6
MUNICIPALITIES	2 546	489	19.2	451	92.3	18	3.7
TENNESSEE	119 038	8 993	7.6	5 108	56.8	1 352	15.0
STATE	36 378	2 824	7.8	841	29.8	285	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	82 660	6 169	7.5	4 263	69.1	1 067	17.3
COUNTIES	35 325	1 863	5.3	641	34.4	734	39.4
MUNICIPALITIES	47 335	4 307	9.1	3 623	84.1	333	7.7
TEXAS	190 528	29 128	15.3	18 291	62.8	4 035	13.9
STATE	101 776	6 933	6.8	2 982	45.0	772	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	88 752	22 194	25.0	15 309	69.0	3 263	14.7
COUNTIES	22 005	7 885	35.8	2 225	28.2	2 717	34.5
MUNICIPALITIES	66 747	14 309	21.4	13 084	91.4	546	3.8
UTAH	23 553	2 763	11.7	1 685	61.0	288	10.4
STATE	16 498	1 088	6.6	421	38.7	128	11.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 055	1 675	23.7	1 264	75.5	160	9.5
COUNTIES	2 920	650	22.3	383	58.9	64	9.8
MUNICIPALITIES	4 135	1 025	24.8	882	86.0	96	9.4
VERMONT	10 943	1 383	12.6	746	53.8	173	12.8
STATE	8 215	984	12.0	361	36.7	170	17.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 728	398	14.6	385	95.7	4	1.9
COUNTIES	6	6	100.0	1	16.7	4	66.7
MUNICIPALITIES	2 722	392	14.4	384	97.8	-	-
VIRGINIA	166 082	13 249	8.0	7 918	59.8	1 614	12.2
STATE	59 793	5 903	9.9	2 266	38.4	1 020	17.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	106 287	7 346	6.9	5 652	76.9	594	8.1
COUNTIES	58 067	2 632	4.5	1 889	71.8	289	11.0
MUNICIPALITIES	48 220	4 714	9.8	3 763	79.8	305	6.5
WASHINGTON	69 987	11 776	13.1	6 662	56.6	1 304	11.1
STATE	54 205	3 804	7.0	1 333	35.0	257	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	35 782	7 971	22.3	5 330	66.9	1 047	13.1
COUNTIES	13 035	3 576	27.4	1 441	46.3	850	23.8
MUNICIPALITIES	22 747	4 396	19.3	3 889	88.5	197	4.5
WEST VIRGINIA	30 137	2 746	9.1	1 648	60.0	354	12.9
STATE	22 629	1 072	4.7	481	44.9	124	11.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 508	1 673	22.3	1 166	69.7	229	13.7
COUNTIES	2 517	252	28.6	252	35.0	214	29.7
MUNICIPALITIES	4 991	953	19.1	914	96.0	15	1.6
WISCONSIN	128 716	14 940	11.6	9 410	63.0	1 540	10.3
STATE	51 245	4 488	8.8	1 235	27.5	588	13.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	77 471	10 452	13.5	8 175	78.2	952	9.1
COUNTIES	23 647	3 664	15.5	1 652	45.1	908	24.8
MUNICIPALITIES	53 824	6 789	12.6	6 523	96.1	43	0.6
WYOMING	8 373	936	11.2	538	57.5	131	14.0
STATE	5 324	384	7.2	129	33.6	70	18.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 049	553	18.1	409	74.0	61	11.1
COUNTIES	1 727	228	13.2	114	49.7	51	22.3
MUNICIPALITIES	1 322	324	24.5	295	91.1	10	3.2

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

% Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

¹The relation of criminal justice October payroll to total October payroll is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for independent school districts or special districts.

Table 10. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll, by State and Type of Government: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA	113	9.1	8	0.7	222	17.7	12	1.0
STATE	36	8.4	-	-	160	37.5	11	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	77	9.4	8	1.0	61	7.5	1	0.1
COUNTIES	60	18.1	8	2.5	59	17.7	1	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES	17	3.5	-	-	2	0.4	-	-
TENNESSEE	425	4.7	40	0.4	2 035	22.6	37	0.4
STATE	279	9.9	5	0.2	1 388	49.1	26	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	145	2.4	35	0.6	647	10.5	11	0.2
COUNTIES	48	2.6	24	1.3	408	21.9	9	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	97	2.3	11	0.3	240	5.6	2	0.1
TEXAS	1 904	6.5	22	0.1	4 696	16.1	180	0.6
STATE	375	5.4	-	-	2 721	39.2	83	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 529	6.9	22	0.1	1 975	8.9	97	0.4
COUNTIES	1 087	13.8	22	0.3	1 741	22.1	93	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES	442	3.1	-	-	233	1.6	4	(Z)
UTAH	207	7.5	2	0.1	544	19.7	37	1.3
STATE	65	6.0	-	-	441	40.6	32	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	141	8.4	2	0.1	103	6.1	5	0.3
COUNTIES	100	15.3	2	0.3	102	15.7	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	41	4.0	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	5	0.5
VERMONT	83	6.0	9	0.6	352	25.4	19	1.4
STATE	75	7.6	9	0.9	351	35.7	19	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	8	2.1	-	-	1	0.3	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	679	5.1	10	0.1	2 976	22.5	51	0.4
STATE	342	5.8	10	0.2	2 218	37.6	46	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	338	4.6	-	-	758	10.3	4	0.1
COUNTIES	151	5.7	-	-	299	11.4	4	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	187	4.0	-	-	459	9.7	1	(Z)
WASHINGTON	819	7.0	26	0.2	2 928	24.9	37	0.3
STATE	266	7.0	-	-	1 932	50.8	17	0.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	553	6.9	26	0.3	996	12.5	20	0.2
COUNTIES	373	10.4	26	0.7	866	24.2	20	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES	180	4.1	(Z)	(Z)	130	3.0	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	192	7.0	1	(Z)	519	18.9	32	1.2
STATE	55	5.2	-	-	379	35.4	32	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	137	8.2	1	0.1	140	8.4	-	-
COUNTIES	115	15.9	1	0.1	139	19.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22	2.3	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
WISCONSIN	763	5.1	19	0.1	3 169	21.2	40	0.3
STATE	174	3.9	15	0.3	2 436	54.3	40	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	589	5.6	4	(Z)	732	7.0	-	-
COUNTIES	366	10.0	4	0.1	732	20.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	222	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	80	8.5	2	0.2	176	18.8	9	1.0
STATE	26	6.8	-	-	150	39.0	9	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	54	9.8	2	0.3	27	4.8	-	-
COUNTIES	43	18.7	2	0.8	19	8.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	11	3.4	-	-	7	2.3	-	-

Table 11. Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's by County Area: Fiscal Year 1972-73
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S . . .	4 070 793	(x)	2 756 995	(x)	521 946	(x)
BALTIMORE SMSA	105 589	100.0	80 441	100.0	8 328	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	8 305	7.9	6 700	8.3	614	7.4
BALTIMORE CITY	70 996	67.2	54 178	67.4	5 018	60.3
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	19 209	18.2	16 212	20.2	1 087	13.1
CARROLL COUNTY AREA	2 265	2.1	264	0.3	924	11.1
HARFORD COUNTY AREA	2 052	1.9	828	1.0	466	5.6
HOWARD COUNTY AREA	2 762	2.6	2 259	2.8	219	2.6
BOSTON SEA	176 976	100.0	125 224	100.0	26 364	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	22 240	12.6	15 861	12.7	2 668	10.1
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	58 136	32.8	39 270	31.4	9 904	37.6
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	24 251	13.7	18 150	14.5	3 438	13.0
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	72 349	40.9	51 943	41.5	10 354	39.3
CHICAGO SMSA	399 089	100.0	310 646	100.0	42 482	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA	351 435	88.1	278 469	89.6	38 257	90.1
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA	14 350	3.6	10 623	3.4	1 106	2.6
KANE COUNTY AREA	11 539	2.9	6 100	2.0	551	1.3
LAKE COUNTY AREA	10 812	2.7	8 295	2.7	1 002	2.4
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA	3 760	0.9	2 312	0.7	234	0.6
WILL COUNTY AREA	7 193	1.8	4 847	1.6	1 332	3.1
CLEVELAND SMSA	93 701	100.0	65 916	100.0	13 895	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	85 356	91.1	60 746	92.2	11 963	86.1
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA	1 129	1.2	594	0.9	376	2.7
LAKE COUNTY AREA	5 627	6.0	3 642	5.5	1 087	7.8
MEDINA COUNTY AREA	1 589	1.7	934	1.4	469	3.4
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	81 096	100.0	57 969	100.0	10 453	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA	90	0.1	74	0.1	10	0.1
DALLAS COUNTY AREA	55 417	68.3	41 035	70.8	6 410	61.3
DENTON COUNTY AREA	1 416	1.7	907	1.6	217	2.1
ELLIS COUNTY AREA	1 432	1.8	1 146	2.0	30	0.3
HOOD COUNTY AREA	97	0.1	30	0.1	46	0.4
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	741	0.9	449	0.8	160	1.5
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	627	0.8	382	0.7	118	1.1
PARKER COUNTY AREA	396	0.5	228	0.4	99	0.9
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	232	0.3	98	0.2	69	0.7
TARRANT COUNTY AREA	20 192	24.9	13 425	23.2	3 132	30.0
WISE COUNTY AREA	456	0.6	195	0.3	162	1.5
DETROIT SMSA	272 265	100.0	186 359	100.0	42 295	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA	998	0.4	669	0.4	202	0.5
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	2 431	0.9	866	0.5	553	1.3
MACOMB COUNTY AREA	27 324	10.0	18 957	10.2	4 715	11.1
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA	50 077	18.4	34 366	18.4	7 959	18.8
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	3 350	1.2	2 194	1.2	458	1.1
WAYNE COUNTY AREA	188 085	69.1	129 307	69.4	28 408	67.2
HOUSTON SMSA	65 567	100.0	41 670	100.0	10 966	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	2 092	3.2	1 397	3.4	360	3.3
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	925	1.4	759	1.8	98	0.9
HARRIS COUNTY AREA	60 901	92.9	38 746	93.0	9 943	90.7
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	712	1.1	238	0.6	306	2.8
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	741	1.1	455	1.1	171	1.6
WALLER COUNTY AREA	196	0.3	75	0.2	88	0.8
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	534 919	100.0	329 132	100.0	65 883	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	534 919	100.0	329 132	100.0	65 883	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	74 225	100.0	46 892	100.0	9 887	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY AREA	4 268	5.8	2 991	6.4	693	7.0
CARVER COUNTY AREA	498	0.7	363	0.8	45	0.5
CHISAGO COUNTY AREA	171	0.2	133	0.3	22	0.2
DAKOTA COUNTY AREA	4 353	5.9	3 187	6.8	690	7.0
HENNEPIN COUNTY AREA	39 218	52.8	24 357	51.9	5 056	51.7
RAMSEY COUNTY AREA	19 946	26.9	12 331	26.3	2 241	22.7
ST. CROIX COUNTY AREA	1 637	2.2	1 096	2.3	318	3.3
SCOTT COUNTY AREA	1 803	2.4	857	1.8	428	4.4
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	1 299	1.8	949	2.0	160	1.6
WRIGHT COUNTY AREA	1 032	1.4	628	1.3	234	2.4
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	206 963	100.0	152 686	100.0	23 312	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA	125 352	60.6	92 411	60.5	14 665	62.9
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	81 611	39.4	60 275	39.5	8 647	37.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 11. Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's by County Area: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	178 818	(x)	40 372	(x)	537 985	(x)	34 677	(x)
BALTIMORE SMSA	5 767	100.0	-	100.0	10 419	100.0	634	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	559	9.7	-	-	432	4.1	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	3 699	64.1	-	-	7 467	71.7	634	100.0
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	815	14.1	-	-	1 095	10.5	-	-
CARROLL COUNTY AREA	344	6.0	-	-	733	7.0	-	-
HARFORD COUNTY AREA	183	3.2	-	-	575	5.5	-	-
HOWARD COUNTY AREA	167	2.9	-	-	117	1.1	-	-
BOSTON SEA	4 487	100.0	215	100.0	17 373	100.0	3 313	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	452	10.1	-	-	2 895	16.7	364	11.0
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	1 588	35.4	215	100.0	6 667	38.4	492	14.9
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	997	22.2	-	-	1 666	9.6	-	-
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	1 450	32.3	-	-	6 145	35.4	2 457	74.2
CHICAGO SMSA	13 705	100.0	1 986	100.0	29 258	100.0	1 012	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA	11 010	80.3	1 602	80.7	21 229	72.6	868	85.8
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA	817	6.0	208	10.5	1 596	5.5	-	-
KANE COUNTY AREA	357	2.6	44	2.2	4 401	15.0	86	8.5
LAKE COUNTY AREA	615	4.5	54	2.7	788	2.7	58	5.7
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA	415	3.0	29	1.5	770	2.6	-	-
WILL COUNTY AREA	491	3.6	49	2.5	474	1.6	-	-
CLEVELAND SMSA	3 647	100.0	912	100.0	9 280	100.0	51	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	3 082	84.5	882	96.7	8 632	93.0	51	100.0
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA	78	2.1	5	0.5	76	0.8	-	-
LAKE COUNTY AREA	399	10.9	18	2.0	481	5.2	-	-
MEDINA COUNTY AREA	88	2.4	7	0.8	91	1.0	-	-
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	4 487	100.0	639	100.0	7 044	100.0	504	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA	3	0.1	-	-	3	(2)	-	-
DALLAS COUNTY AREA	2 635	58.7	475	74.3	4 365	62.0	497	98.6
DENTON COUNTY AREA	163	3.6	23	3.6	106	1.5	-	-
ELLIS COUNTY AREA	95	2.1	-	-	161	2.3	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA	10	0.2	-	-	11	0.2	-	-
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	54	1.2	9	1.4	69	1.0	-	-
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	48	1.1	2	0.3	77	1.1	-	-
PARKER COUNTY AREA	23	0.5	1	0.2	45	0.6	-	-
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	29	0.6	2	0.3	34	0.5	-	-
TARRANT COUNTY AREA	1 385	30.9	127	19.9	2 116	30.0	7	1.4
WISE COUNTY AREA	42	0.9	-	-	57	0.8	-	-
DETROIT SMSA	10 115	100.0	2 646	100.0	30 412	100.0	438	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA	66	0.7	10	0.4	51	0.2	-	-
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	137	1.4	76	2.9	799	2.6	-	-
MACOMB COUNTY AREA	1 597	15.8	260	9.8	1 751	5.8	44	10.0
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA	1 917	19.0	12	0.5	5 612	18.5	211	48.2
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	249	2.5	55	2.1	394	1.3	-	-
WAYNE COUNTY AREA	6 149	60.8	2 233	84.4	21 805	71.7	183	41.8
HOUSTON SMSA	3 581	100.0	566	100.0	7 642	100.0	1 142	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	178	5.0	13	2.3	143	1.9	1	0.1
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	23	0.6	1	0.2	44	0.6	-	-
HARRIS COUNTY AREA	3 257	91.0	536	94.7	7 278	95.2	1 141	99.9
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	56	1.6	9	1.6	103	1.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	47	1.3	4	0.7	64	0.8	-	-
WALLER COUNTY AREA	20	0.6	3	0.5	10	0.1	-	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	30 922	100.0	10 343	100.0	97 683	100.0	956	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	30 922	100.0	10 343	100.0	97 683	100.0	956	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	4 446	100.0	262	100.0	11 669	100.0	1 069	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY AREA	361	8.1	37	14.1	186	1.6	-	-
CARVER COUNTY AREA	66	1.5	11	4.2	13	0.1	-	-
CHISAGO COUNTY AREA	11	0.2	-	-	5	(2)	-	-
DAKOTA COUNTY AREA	221	5.0	-	-	255	2.2	-	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY AREA	2 148	48.3	76	29.0	6 690	57.3	891	83.3
RAMSEY COUNTY AREA	1 075	24.2	85	32.4	4 036	34.6	178	16.7
ST. CROIX COUNTY AREA	84	1.9	46	17.6	93	0.8	-	-
SCOTT COUNTY AREA	368	8.3	-	-	150	1.3	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	62	1.4	7	2.7	121	1.0	-	-
WRIGHT COUNTY AREA	50	1.1	-	-	120	1.0	-	-
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	10 240	100.0	1 945	100.0				

Table 11. Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's by County Area: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA	124 648	100.0	81 082	100.0	15 568	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	73 477	58.9	45 464	56.1	9 612	61.7
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	14 219	11.4	10 605	13.1	1 472	9.5
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	7 306	5.9	4 667	5.8	864	5.5
UNION COUNTY AREA	29 646	23.8	20 346	25.1	3 620	23.3
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	987 621	100.0	688 645	100.0	120 044	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	40 278	4.1	29 024	4.2	5 557	4.6
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	871 637	88.3	616 206	89.5	107 237	89.3
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	1 897	0.2	963	0.1	304	0.3
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	10 637	1.1	4 769	1.0	1 426	1.2
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	63 172	6.4	35 683	5.2	5 520	4.6
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	295 202	100.0	185 369	100.0	51 655	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	12 306	4.2	6 773	3.7	2 630	5.1
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	9 304	3.2	5 633	3.0	1 353	2.6
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	19 227	6.5	12 988	7.0	2 428	4.7
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	7 975	2.7	3 286	1.8	1 726	3.3
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	20 574	7.0	10 782	5.8	4 150	8.0
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	6 337	2.1	3 526	1.9	836	1.6
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	19 059	6.5	11 702	6.3	4 356	8.4
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	200 420	67.9	130 679	70.5	34 176	66.2
PITTSBURGH SMSA	74 287	100.0	49 924	100.0	13 512	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	59 232	79.7	41 045	82.2	10 031	74.2
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	5 079	6.8	3 136	6.3	1 147	8.5
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	3 849	5.2	2 248	4.5	783	5.8
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	6 127	8.2	3 495	7.0	1 551	11.5
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	99 113	100.0	70 721	100.0	11 697	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	900	0.9	259	0.4	183	1.6
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	480	0.5	299	0.4	87	0.7
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	874	0.9	543	0.8	205	1.8
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	6 848	6.9	4 303	6.1	933	8.0
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	706	0.7	516	0.7	86	0.7
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	1 757	1.8	1 364	1.9	187	1.6
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	6 907	7.0	5 065	7.2	656	5.6
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	48 157	48.6	34 771	49.2	4 462	38.1
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	32 484	32.8	23 601	33.4	4 898	41.9
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	216 561	100.0	118 682	100.0	29 408	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	73 696	34.0	37 234	31.4	10 432	35.5
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	33 441	15.4	17 074	14.4	3 959	13.5
MARIN COUNTY AREA	13 633	6.3	5 995	5.1	2 414	8.2
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	62 124	28.7	41 978	35.4	8 274	28.1
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	33 667	15.5	16 401	13.8	4 329	14.7
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	262 971	100.0	165 637	100.0	26 197	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	4 795	1.8	3 558	2.1	620	2.4
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	8 574	3.3	6 041	3.6	877	3.3
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 007	0.4	752	0.5	132	0.5
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	903	0.3	820	0.5	51	0.2
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	13 110	5.0	10 018	6.0	1 649	6.3
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	715	0.3	559	0.3	88	0.3
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	820	0.3	387	0.2	243	0.9
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	18 285	7.0	13 732	8.3	1 638	6.3
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	19 153	7.3	14 708	8.9	2 003	7.6
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	3 358	1.3	2 043	1.2	625	2.4
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	192 251	73.1	113 019	68.2	18 271	69.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
X Not applicable.

Table 11. Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's by County Area: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA	9 024	100.0	69	100.0	18 273	100.0	632	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA	4 869	54.0	63	91.3	13 108	71.7	361	57.1
MORRIS COUNTY AREA	936	10.4	-	-	1 206	6.6	-	-
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	726	8.0	6	8.7	1 043	5.7	-	-
UNION COUNTY AREA	2 493	27.6	-	-	2 916	16.0	271	42.9
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	31 579	100.0	8 405	100.0	117 579	100.0	21 369	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	2 515	8.0	12	0.1	3 170	2.7	-	-
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	24 206	76.7	7 461	88.8	104 389	88.8	12 138	56.8
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	158	0.5	61	0.7	411	0.3	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	968	3.1	221	2.6	1 252	1.1	1	(2)
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	3 732	11.8	650	7.7	8 357	7.1	9 230	43.2
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA	13 584	100.0	4 043	100.0	40 297	100.0	254	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	730	5.4	185	4.6	1 988	4.9	-	-
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	860	6.3	-	(2)	1 457	3.6	-	-
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 417	10.4	-	-	2 363	5.9	31	12.2
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	801	5.9	145	3.6	2 017	5.0	-	-
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 717	12.6	431	10.7	3 494	8.7	-	-
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	475	3.5	-	-	1 500	3.7	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	893	6.6	197	4.9	1 911	4.7	-	-
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	6 691	49.3	3 084	76.3	25 567	63.4	223	87.8
PITTSBURGH SMSA	3 918	100.0	681	100.0	6 053	100.0	199	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	2 989	76.3	465	68.3	4 503	74.4	199	100.0
BEAVER COUNTY AREA	261	6.7	86	12.6	449	7.4	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	226	5.8	61	9.0	531	8.8	-	-
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	442	11.3	69	10.1	570	9.4	-	-
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	4 167	100.0	845	100.0	11 625	100.0	58	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	124	3.0	27	3.2	307	2.6	-	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	49	1.2	-	-	45	0.4	-	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	68	1.6	-	-	58	0.5	-	-
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	629	15.1	109	12.9	866	7.4	8	13.8
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	90	2.2	12	1.4	2	(2)	-	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	111	2.7	-	-	95	0.8	-	-
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	356	8.5	110	13.0	720	6.2	-	-
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	1 401	33.6	356	42.1	7 117	61.2	50	86.2
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	1 339	32.1	231	27.3	2 415	20.8	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	12 607	100.0	4 870	100.0	50 296	100.0	698	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	4 639	36.8	2 182	44.8	19 128	38.0	81	11.6
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	2 260	17.9	786	16.1	9 301	18.5	61	8.7
MARIN COUNTY AREA	979	7.8	391	8.0	3 854	7.7	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO CITY	2 857	22.7	772	15.9	8 093	16.1	150	21.5
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	1 872	14.8	739	15.2	9 920	19.7	406	58.2
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	12 542	100.0	1 945	100.0	54 406	100.0	2 244	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	214	1.7	20	1.0	383	0.7	-	-
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	87	0.7	-	-	1 569	2.9	-	-
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	71	0.6	-	-	52	0.1	-	-
FAIRFAX CITY, VA.	32	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	370	3.0	62	3.2	975	1.8	36	1.6
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	37	0.3	-	-	31	0.1	-	-
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	61	0.5	-	-	106	0.2	23	1.0
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	975	7.8	61	3.1	1 036	1.9	843	37.6
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 085	8.7	38	2.0	1 303	2.4	16	0.7
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	186	1.5	-	-	504	0.9	-	-
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	9 424	75.1	1 764	90.7	48 447	89.0	1 326	59.1

Table 12. Criminal Justice System Employment and by County Area:

(Dollar amounts

Line number	Area	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll	
		Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
1	TOTAL 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	274 317	(x)	298 647	(x)	189 458	(x)	213 521	(x)	36 050	(x)	34 742	(x)
2	BALTIMORE SMSA	7 915	100.0	6 925	100.0	6 249	100.0	5 482	100.0	634	100.0	508	100.0
3	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	616	7.8	544	7.9	481	7.7	433	7.9	64	10.1	46	9.1
4	BALTIMORE CITY	5 366	67.8	4 574	66.1	4 330	69.3	3 682	67.2	395	62.3	301	59.3
5	BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	1 436	18.1	1 411	20.4	1 206	19.3	1 183	21.6	75	11.8	72	14.2
6	CARROLL COUNTY AREA	161	2.0	118	1.7	22	0.4	15	0.3	44	6.9	39	7.7
7	HARFORD COUNTY AREA	163	2.1	142	2.1	81	1.3	67	1.2	39	6.2	37	7.3
8	HOWARD COUNTY AREA	173	2.2	136	2.0	129	2.1	102	1.9	17	2.7	13	2.6
9	BOSTON SEA	11 870	100.0	12 130	100.0	8 566	100.0	9 029	100.0	1 793	100.0	1 663	100.0
10	ESSEX COUNTY AREA	1 711	14.4	1 598	13.2	1 272	14.8	1 226	13.6	199	11.1	164	9.9
11	MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	3 967	33.4	4 023	33.2	2 784	32.5	2 964	32.8	647	36.1	568	34.2
12	NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	1 761	14.8	1 838	15.2	1 361	15.9	1 485	16.4	204	11.4	171	10.3
13	SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	4 639	37.4	4 671	38.5	3 149	36.8	3 354	37.1	743	41.4	760	45.7
14	CHICAGO SMSA	29 001	100.0	30 444	100.0	22 274	100.0	24 732	100.0	3 848	100.0	2 988	100.0
15	COOK COUNTY AREA	25 628	88.4	27 357	89.9	19 855	89.1	22 362	90.4	3 440	89.4	2 741	91.7
16	DU PAGE COUNTY AREA	1 066	3.7	1 024	3.4	737	3.3	764	3.1	139	3.6	94	3.1
17	KANE COUNTY AREA	631	2.2	585	1.9	473	2.1	466	1.9	67	1.7	35	1.2
18	LAKE COUNTY AREA	850	2.9	758	2.5	630	2.8	602	2.4	78	2.0	43	1.4
19	MCHENNY COUNTY AREA	252	0.9	205	0.7	187	0.8	156	0.6	23	0.6	14	0.5
20	WILL COUNTY AREA	574	2.0	515	1.7	392	1.8	382	1.5	101	2.6	61	2.0
21	CLEVELAND SMSA	7 397	100.0	6 950	100.0	4 927	100.0	4 671	100.0	1 453	100.0	1 144	100.0
22	CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	6 609	89.3	6 344	91.3	4 480	90.9	4 592	92.4	1 223	84.2	1 007	88.0
23	GAUGA COUNTY AREA	124	1.7	76	1.1	65	1.3	42	0.8	41	2.8	22	1.9
24	LAKE COUNTY AREA	493	6.7	412	5.9	296	6.0	270	5.4	126	8.7	80	7.0
25	MEDINA COUNTY AREA	171	2.3	118	1.7	86	1.7	67	1.3	63	4.3	35	3.1
26	DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	7 729	100.0	6 429	100.0	5 787	100.0	4 814	100.0	930	100.0	754	100.0
27	COLLIN COUNTY AREA	11	0.1	6	0.1	11	0.2	6	0.1	6	0.1	6	0.1
28	DALLAS COUNTY AREA	4 983	64.5	4 557	70.9	3 867	66.8	3 561	74.0	567	61.0	488	64.7
29	DENTON COUNTY AREA	181	2.3	114	1.8	118	2.0	72	1.5	23	2.5	15	2.0
30	ELLIS COUNTY AREA	208	2.7	103	1.6	169	2.9	83	1.7	3	0.3	2	0.3
31	HOOD COUNTY AREA	17	0.2	6	0.1	8	0.1	3	0.1	8	0.9	3	0.4
32	JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	93	1.2	52	0.8	55	1.0	34	0.7	23	2.5	10	1.3
33	KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	64	0.8	41	0.6	32	0.6	25	0.5	16	1.7	10	1.3
34	PARKER COUNTY AREA	55	0.7	29	0.5	31	0.5	17	0.4	12	1.3	6	0.8
35	ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	25	0.3	12	0.2	8	0.1	4	0.1	10	1.1	5	0.7
36	TARRANT COUNTY AREA	2 029	26.3	1 481	23.0	1 452	25.1	994	20.6	256	27.5	207	27.5
37	WISE COUNTY AREA	63	0.8	28	0.4	36	0.6	15	0.3	15	1.6	8	1.1
38	DETROIT SMSA	15 984	100.0	18 327	100.0	11 286	100.0	13 403	100.0	2 590	100.0	2 608	100.0
39	LAPEER COUNTY AREA	82	0.5	64	0.3	53	0.5	46	0.3	15	0.6	9	0.3
40	LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	138	0.9	108	0.6	44	0.4	43	0.3	48	1.9	30	1.2
41	MACOMB COUNTY AREA	1 772	11.1	1 844	10.1	1 151	10.2	1 292	9.6	376	14.5	311	11.9
42	OAKLAND COUNTY AREA	2 425	15.2	2 691	14.7	1 532	13.6	1 834	13.7	497	19.2	441	16.9
43	ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	1 220	1.4	236	1.3	138	1.2	165	1.2	42	1.6	35	1.3
44	WAYNE COUNTY AREA	11 347	71.0	13 384	73.0	8 368	74.1	10 023	74.8	1 612	62.2	1 782	68.3
45	HOUSTON SMSA	6 199	100.0	4 927	100.0	3 847	100.0	3 151	100.0	1 083	100.0	822	100.0
46	BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	248	4.0	137	2.8	178	4.6	96	3.0	45	4.2	24	2.9
47	FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	105	1.7	68	1.4	86	2.2	57	1.8	13	1.2	8	1.0
48	HARRIS COUNTY AREA	5 647	91.1	4 609	93.5	3 493	90.8	2 947	93.5	960	88.6	750	91.2
49	LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	82	1.3	47	1.0	27	0.7	15	0.5	31	2.9	21	2.6
50	MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	96	1.5	55	1.1	58	1.5	34	1.1	23	2.1	13	1.6
51	WALLER COUNTY AREA	21	0.3	11	0.2	5	0.1	3	0.1	11	1.0	6	0.7
52	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	31 172	100.0	38 464	100.0	19 444	100.0	24 465	100.0	3 136	100.0	3 767	100.0
53	LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	31 172	100.0	38 464	100.0	19 444	100.0	24 465	100.0	3 136	100.0	3 767	100.0
54	MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	5 233	100.0	5 349	100.0	3 483	100.0	3 564	100.0	674	100.0	651	100.0
55	ANOKA COUNTY AREA	263	5.0	278	5.2	181	5.2	200	5.6	41	6.1	43	6.6
56	CARVER COUNTY AREA	32	0.6	33	0.6	26	0.7	29	0.8	3	0.4	3	0.5
57	CHISAGO COUNTY AREA	13	0.2	10	0.2	12	0.3	9	0.3	1	0.1	1	0.2
58	DAKOTA COUNTY AREA	321	6.1	312	5.8	240	6.9	232	6.5	45	6.7	47	7.2
59	HENNEPIN COUNTY AREA	2 654	50.7	2 766	51.7	1 763	50.6	1 832	51.4	326	48.4	315	48.4
60	RAMSEY COUNTY AREA	1 512	28.9	1 558	29.1	972	27.9	1 008	28.3	178	26.4	176	27.0
61	ST. CROIX COUNTY AREA	108	2.1	87	1.6	75	2.2	66	1.9	21	3.1	11	1.7
62	SCOTT COUNTY AREA	120	2.3	125	2.3	70	2.0	63	1.8	24	3.6	24	3.7
63	WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	105	2.0	99	1.9	77	2.2	74	2.1	15	2.2	14	2.2
64	WRIGHT COUNTY AREA	105	2.0	81	1.5	67	1.9	51	1.4	20	3.0	17	2.6
65	NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	13 123	100.0	14 404	100.0	9 255	100.0	10 424	100.0	1 591	100.0	1 729	100.0
66	NASSAU COUNTY AREA	7 574	57.7	8 896	61.8	5 314	57.4	6 384	61.1	979	61.5	1 136	65.7
67	SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	5 549	42.3	5 508	38.2	3 941	42.6	4 060	38.9	612	38.5	593	34.3
68	NEWARK SMSA	9 930	100.0	9 677	100.0	6 225	100.0	6 410	100.0	1 424	100.0	1 180	100.0
69	ESSEX COUNTY AREA	5 988	60.3	5 864	60.6	3 624	58.2	3 763	58.7	872	61.2	729	61.8
70	MORRIS COUNTY AREA	1 171	11.8	1 051	10.9	826	13.3	790	12.3	151	10.6	106	9.0
71	SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	618	6.2	576	6.0	405	6.5	399	6.2	69	4.8	53	4.5
72	UNION COUNTY AREA	2 153	21.7	2 186	22.6	1 370	22.0	1 458	22.7	332	23.3	292	24.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Payrolls of Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's, October 1973

(in thousands)

Line number	Area	Legal services and protection				Indigent defense				Correction				Other criminal justice			
		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll	
		Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
1	TOTAL 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	11 928	(x)	13 862	(x)	1 256	(x)	1 681	(x)	34 558	(x)	33 645	(x)	1 067	(x)	1 196	(x)
2	BALTIMORE SMSA	436	100.0	446	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	596	100.0	489	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0
3	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	35	8.0	37	8.3	1	100.0	1	100.0	36	6.0	28	5.7	1	100.0	1	100.0
4	BALTIMORE CITY	270	61.9	289	64.8	1	100.0	1	100.0	371	82.2	302	61.8	1	100.0	1	100.0
5	BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	74	17.0	69	15.5	1	100.0	1	100.0	81	18.6	67	17.8	1	100.0	1	100.0
6	CARROLL COUNTY AREA	22	5.0	20	4.5	1	100.0	1	100.0	73	16.6	44	9.0	1	100.0	1	100.0
7	HARFORD COUNTY AREA	21	4.8	18	4.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	22	5.0	20	4.1	1	100.0	1	100.0
8	HOWARD COUNTY AREA	14	3.2														

Table 12. Criminal Justice System Employment and by County Area:

(Dollar amounts

Line number	Area	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll	
		Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
1	NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA	64 033	100.0	86 399	100.0	47 440	100.0	61 123	100.0	6 770	100.0	8 274	100.0
2	BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	3 149	4.9	3 093	3.8	2 089	4.4	2 206	3.6	531	7.8	445	5.4
3	NEW YORK CITY, N.Y.	56 372	88.0	72 367	90.0	42 314	89.2	55 475	90.8	5 717	84.4	7 312	88.4
4	PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	150	0.2	132	0.2	71	0.1	69	0.1	29	0.4	21	0.3
5	ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	738	1.2	855	1.1	466	1.0	586	1.0	101	1.5	107	1.3
6	WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA	3 624	5.7	3 952	4.9	2 500	5.3	2 787	4.6	392	5.8	389	4.7
7	PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. AREA	22 233	100.0	21 057	100.0	13 695	100.0	13 731	100.0	4 388	100.0	3 669	100.0
8	BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 021	4.6	814	3.9	573	4.2	508	3.7	235	5.4	139	3.8
9	BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA	766	3.5	661	3.1	444	3.2	391	2.8	96	2.2	87	2.4
10	CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA	1 826	8.2	1 507	7.2	1 157	8.4	1 018	7.4	276	6.3	185	5.0
11	CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA	719	3.2	540	2.6	287	2.1	249	1.8	177	4.0	111	3.0
12	DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 849	8.3	1 420	6.7	878	6.4	795	5.8	434	9.9	261	7.1
13	GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA	537	2.4	391	1.9	294	2.1	237	1.7	76	1.7	40	1.1
14	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA	1 580	7.1	1 288	6.1	916	6.7	819	6.0	375	8.5	259	7.1
15	PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA.	13 918	62.6	14 436	68.6	9 146	66.8	9 714	70.7	2 719	62.0	2 587	70.5
16	PITTSBURGH SMSA	6 495	100.0	5 357	100.0	4 160	100.0	3 758	100.0	1 274	100.0	834	100.0
17	ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA	5 039	77.6	4 362	81.4	3 363	80.8	3 138	83.5	905	71.0	637	76.4
18	BEAVER COUNTY AREA	475	7.3	344	6.4	258	6.2	216	5.7	124	9.7	69	8.3
19	WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA	380	5.9	236	4.4	207	5.0	147	3.9	86	6.8	40	4.8
20	WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA	601	9.3	415	7.7	332	8.0	257	6.8	159	12.5	88	10.6
21	ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA	8 629	100.0	7 109	100.0	6 041	100.0	5 250	100.0	1 268	100.0	785	100.0
22	CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	79	0.9	51	0.7	16	0.3	13	0.3	16	1.3	9	1.1
23	FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA	67	0.8	39	0.5	42	0.7	27	0.5	15	1.2	6	0.8
24	JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA	107	1.2	57	0.8	68	1.1	40	0.8	25	2.1	11	1.4
25	HADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA	590	6.8	481	6.8	358	5.9	319	6.1	99	8.2	54	6.9
26	MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA	80	0.9	39	0.5	67	1.1	30	0.6	7	0.6	4	0.5
27	ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA	168	1.9	112	1.6	122	2.0	89	1.7	22	1.8	10	1.3
28	ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA	641	7.4	477	6.7	448	7.4	349	6.6	81	6.7	49	6.2
29	ST. LOUIS CITY, MO.	4 175	48.4	3 688	51.9	2 966	49.1	2 782	53.0	487	40.3	330	42.0
30	ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA	2 722	31.5	2 165	30.5	1 954	32.3	1 601	30.5	456	37.7	312	39.7
31	SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	12 817	100.0	15 610	100.0	7 533	100.0	9 454	100.0	1 671	100.0	1 788	100.0
32	ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA	4 164	32.5	5 186	33.2	2 465	32.7	3 055	32.3	507	30.3	532	29.8
33	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA	1 949	15.2	2 287	14.7	997	13.2	1 237	13.1	238	14.2	238	13.3
34	MARIN COUNTY AREA	766	6.0	881	5.6	360	4.8	423	4.5	128	7.7	136	7.6
35	SAN FRANCISCO CITY	3 998	31.2	5 143	32.9	2 649	35.2	3 572	37.8	557	33.3	644	36.0
36	SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA	1 940	15.1	2 113	13.5	1 062	14.1	1 167	12.3	241	14.4	238	13.3
37	WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA	14 548	100.0	15 089	100.0	9 246	100.0	9 760	100.0	1 583	100.0	1 578	100.0
38	ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA.	352	2.4	345	2.3	250	2.7	260	2.7	44	2.8	34	2.2
39	ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA.	460	3.2	535	3.5	344	3.7	427	4.4	41	2.6	37	2.3
40	CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA	81	0.6	65	0.4	63	0.7	52	0.5	9	0.6	7	0.4
41	FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA.	58	0.4	67	0.4	55	0.6	65	0.7	3	0.2	2	0.1
42	FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA	913	6.3	1 037	6.9	659	7.1	797	8.2	138	8.7	118	7.5
43	FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA.	38	0.3	39	0.3	31	0.3	34	0.3	2	0.1	1	0.1
44	LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA	85	0.6	58	0.4	47	0.5	33	0.3	23	1.5	16	1.0
45	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 133	7.8	1 312	8.7	886	9.6	1 052	10.8	106	6.7	103	6.5
46	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA	1 291	8.9	1 302	8.6	1 008	10.9	1 029	10.5	178	11.2	162	10.3
47	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA	265	1.8	221	1.5	159	1.7	138	1.4	45	2.8	33	2.1
48	WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY	9 872	67.9	10 108	67.0	5 744	62.1	5 873	60.2	994	62.8	1 065	67.5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 X Not applicable.
 2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Payrolls of Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's, October 1973—Continued

(in thousands)

Line number	Legal services and protection				Indigent defense				Correction				Other criminal justice			
	Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll		Full-time equivalent employees		October payroll	
	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Number	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
2 468	100.0	2 771	100.0	14	100.0	15	100.0	6 674	100.0	7 258	100.0	667	100.0	958	100.0	73
201	8.1	183	6.6	1	7.1	-	-	327	4.9	259	3.6	-	-	-	-	74
1 942	78.7	2 193	79.1	-	-	-	-	5 748	86.1	6 439	88.7	651	97.6	948	99.0	75
13	0.5	13	0.5	-	-	-	-	37	0.6	29	0.4	-	-	-	-	76
78	3.2	82	3.0	13	92.9	15	100.0	80	1.2	65	0.9	-	-	-	-	77
234	9.5	300	10.8	-	-	-	-	482	7.2	466	6.4	16	2.4	10	1.0	78
1 065	100.0	1 046	100.0	104	100.0	78	100.0	2 981	100.0	2 533	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	79
64	6.0	57	5.4	23	22.1	21	26.9	126	4.2	89	3.5	-	-	-	-	80
70	6.6	67	6.4	-	-	-	-	176	5.9	116	4.6	-	-	-	-	81
146	13.7	134	12.8	-	-	-	-	247	8.3	170	6.7	-	-	-	-	82
53	5.0	42	4.0	22	21.2	15	19.2	180	6.0	123	4.9	-	-	-	-	83
151	14.2	111	10.6	31	29.8	23	29.5	352	11.8	230	9.1	-	-	-	-	84
54	5.1	41	3.9	-	-	-	-	113	3.8	73	2.9	-	-	-	-	85
78	7.3	62	5.9	28	26.9	19	24.4	183	6.1	129	5.1	-	-	-	-	86
449	42.2	532	50.9	-	-	-	-	1 604	53.8	1 603	63.3	-	-	-	-	87
379	100.0	296	100.0	91	100.0	68	100.0	591	100.0	401	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	88
276	72.8	224	75.7	68	74.7	54	79.4	427	72.3	309	77.1	-	-	-	-	89
26	6.9	20	6.8	10	11.0	6	8.8	57	9.6	33	8.2	-	-	-	-	90
28	7.4	18	6.1	7	7.7	3	4.4	52	8.8	28	7.0	-	-	-	-	91
49	12.9	34	11.5	6	6.6	5	7.4	55	9.3	31	7.7	-	-	-	-	92
334	100.0	324	100.0	23	100.0	17	100.0	321	100.0	732	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	93
10	3.0	12	3.7	-	-	-	-	07	3.6	17	2.3	-	-	-	-	94
7	2.1	5	1.5	-	-	-	-	3	0.3	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	95
8	2.4	4	1.2	-	-	-	-	6	0.6	2	0.3	-	-	-	-	96
43	12.9	37	11.4	10	43.5	9	52.9	80	7.8	62	8.5	-	-	-	-	97
4	1.2	5	1.5	2	8.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98
8	2.4	6	1.9	-	-	-	-	16	1.6	7	1.0	-	-	-	-	99
36	10.8	27	8.3	11	47.8	8	47.1	65	6.4	44	6.0	-	-	-	-	100
116	34.7	124	38.3	-	-	-	-	604	59.2	451	61.6	2	100.0	1	100.0	101
102	30.5	104	32.1	-	-	-	-	210	20.6	148	20.2	-	-	-	-	102
538	100.0	1 028	100.0	250	100.0	341	100.0	2 783	100.0	2						

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	29 602 950	3 696 098	12.5	2 976 927	80.5	283 645	7.7
ALABAMA:							
BIRMINGHAM	78 178	8 954	11.5	7 827	87.4	268	3.0
GADSDEN	6 083	711	11.7	645	90.7	25	3.5
HUNTSVILLE	31 417	2 348	7.5	2 037	86.8	95	4.0
MOBILE	28 619	4 733	16.5	4 197	88.7	256	5.4
MONTGOMERY	30 080	3 031	10.1	2 559	84.4	275	9.1
TUSCALOOSA	18 047	1 433	7.9	1 316	91.8	17	1.2
ARIZONA:							
MESA	10 385	1 468	14.1	1 363	92.8	54	3.7
PHOENIX	132 093	28 721	21.7	26 065	90.8	1 080	3.8
SCOTTSDALE	12 571	1 418	11.3	1 279	90.2	52	3.7
TEMPE	9 401	1 562	16.6	1 377	88.2	98	6.3
TUCSON	63 929	8 493	13.3	7 643	90.0	256	3.0
ARKANSAS:							
FORT SMITH	6 339	939	14.8	845	90.0	29	3.1
LITTLE ROCK	23 907	3 149	13.2	2 797	88.8	161	5.1
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	15 352	1 388	9.0	1 166	84.0	114	8.2
PINE BLUFF	4 842	830	17.1	716	86.3	56	6.7
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	7 648	1 657	21.7	1 606	96.9	-	-
ALHAMBRA	11 866	1 639	13.8	1 578	96.3	-	-
ANAHEIM	33 979	5 442	16.0	5 110	93.9	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	13 284	2 893	21.8	2 772	95.8	-	-
BELLFLOWER	3 146	902	28.7	875	97.0	-	-
BERKELEY	24 847	3 884	15.6	3 624	93.3	57	1.5
BUENA PARK	10 220	1 706	16.7	1 668	97.8	-	-
BURBANK	17 621	3 452	19.6	3 174	91.9	-	-
CARSON	9 467	1 504	15.9	1 424	94.7	-	-
CHULA VISTA	9 377	1 794	19.1	1 719	95.8	-	-
COMPTON	13 718	3 259	23.8	3 207	98.4	-	-
CONCORD	10 084	2 297	22.8	2 249	97.9	-	-
COSTA MESA	9 118	2 594	28.4	2 535	97.7	-	-
DALY CITY	7 451	1 473	19.8	1 440	97.8	-	-
DOWNEY	9 573	2 360	24.7	2 298	97.4	-	-
EL CAJON	6 921	1 468	21.2	1 435	97.8	-	-
EL MONTE	6 406	1 604	25.0	1 565	97.6	-	-
FREMONT	14 821	2 471	16.7	2 398	97.0	-	-
FRESNO	46 643	6 462	13.9	6 276	97.1	-	-
FULLERTON	12 198	2 539	20.8	2 486	97.9	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	12 511	2 617	20.9	2 548	97.4	-	-
GLENDALE	23 097	3 598	15.6	3 426	95.2	-	-
HANTHORNE	6 965	1 281	18.4	1 201	93.8	-	-
HAYWARD	14 362	2 204	15.3	2 133	96.8	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	23 710	4 271	18.0	4 085	95.6	-	-
INGLEWOOD	19 557	4 898	25.0	4 701	96.0	-	-
LAKEWOOD	6 339	1 673	26.4	1 639	98.0	-	-
LONG BEACH	105 058	14 611	13.9	12 955	88.7	-	-
LOS ANGELES	679 306	157 229	23.1	152 346	96.9	-	-
MODESTO	10 045	1 813	18.0	1 750	96.5	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	10 625	1 329	12.5	1 283	96.5	-	-
NORWALK	3 857	961	24.9	929	96.7	-	-
OAKLAND	115 270	16 339	14.2	15 148	92.7	-	-
ONTARIO	9 837	1 583	16.1	1 566	98.9	-	-
ORANGE	11 514	2 211	19.2	2 143	97.0	-	-
OXNARD	11 583	1 811	15.6	1 740	96.1	-	-
PALO ALTO	15 476	1 974	12.8	1 826	92.5	-	-
PASADENA	38 671	5 036	13.0	4 804	95.4	-	-
PICO RIVERA	3 094	1 045	33.8	1 026	98.2	-	-
POMONA	14 797	2 917	19.7	2 680	91.9	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	12 154	1 829	15.0	1 762	96.3	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	9 709	1 425	14.7	1 338	93.9	-	-
RICHMOND	23 228	3 610	15.5	3 534	97.9	-	-
RIVERSIDE	26 206	4 293	16.4	4 173	97.2	-	-
SACRAMENTO	64 726	10 257	15.8	10 111	98.6	-	-
SALINAS	9 337	1 790	19.2	1 588	88.7	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	26 456	3 651	13.8	3 526	96.6	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	14 608	1 648	11.3	1 589	96.4	-	-
SAN DIEGO	138 923	18 650	13.4	16 970	91.0	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	537 674	62 124	11.6	41 978	67.6	8 274	13.3
SAN JOSE	94 768	14 115	14.9	13 555	96.0	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	11 644	1 710	14.7	1 616	94.5	-	-
SAN MATEO	11 381	2 134	18.8	2 088	97.8	-	-
SANTA ANA	19 676	4 133	21.0	3 934	95.2	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	15 699	2 219	14.1	2 150	96.9	-	-
SANTA CLARA	15 536	2 136	13.7	2 065	96.7	-	-
SANTA MONICA	16 549	3 543	21.4	3 135	88.5	-	-
SANTA ROSA	13 692	1 023	7.5	962	94.0	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	7 562	930	12.3	870	93.5	-	-
SOUTH GATE	7 325	1 596	21.8	1 503	94.2	-	-
STOCKTON	26 219	4 271	16.3	4 170	97.6	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	113 742	3.1	17 237	0.5	276 149	7.5	28 399	0.8
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	240	2.7	-	-	553	6.2	66	0.7
GADSDEN	25	3.5	-	-	16	2.3	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	48	2.0	-	-	168	7.2	-	-
MOBILE	89	1.9	-	-	191	4.0	-	-
MONTGOMERY	36	1.2	-	-	161	5.3	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	38	2.7	-	-	62	4.3	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MESA	47	3.2	-	0.3	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	848	3.0	75	0.3	570	2.0	83	0.3
SCOTTSDALE	87	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	87	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	368	4.3	-	-	98	1.2	128	1.5
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	32	3.4	-	-	33	3.5	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	71	2.3	-	-	120	3.8	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	36	2.6	-	-	72	5.2	-	-
PINE BLUFF	18	2.2	-	-	40	4.8	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	51	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	61	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	251	4.6	-	-	81	1.5	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	121	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	27	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	133	3.4	-	-	-	-	70	1.8
BUENA PARK	38	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	191	5.5	-	-	87	2.5	-	-
CARSON	80	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	75	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	50	1.5	-	-	-	-	2	0.1
CONCORD	48	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	59	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	33	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	29	1.3	-	-	33	1.4	-	-
EL CAJON	33	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	39	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	63	2.5	-	-	-	-	10	0.4
FRESNO	170	2.6	-	-	16	0.2	-	-
FULLERTON	53	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	69	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	172	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
HANTHORNE	80	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	71	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	186	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	197	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	34	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 089	7.5	-	-	567	3.9	-	-
LOS ANGELES	4 657	3.0	-	-	226	0.1	-	-
MODESTO	63	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	46	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	32	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	275	1.7	-	-	916	5.6	-	-
ONTARIO	17	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	67	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	71	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	148	7.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	232	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	19	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	64	2.2	-	-	173	5.9	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	67	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	87	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	76	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	120	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	146	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	35	2.0	-	-	60	3.4	107	6.0
SAN BERNARDINO	125	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	59	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	1 040	5.6	-	-	640	3.4	-	-

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED							
SUNNYVALE	16 078	2 283	14.2	2 185	95.7	-	-
TORRANCE	23 542	4 731	20.1	4 402	93.0	-	-
VALLEJO	10 191	1 932	19.0	1 888	97.7	-	-
WEST COVINA	12 301	1 476	12.0	1 459	98.0	-	-
WESTMINSTER	5 917	1 367	23.1	1 327	97.1	-	-
WHITTIER	7 986	1 842	23.1	1 810	98.3	-	-
COLORADO							
AURORA	11 925	1 839	15.4	1 632	88.7	115	6.3
BOULDER	9 977	1 348	13.5	1 182	87.7	80	5.9
COLORADO SPRINGS	24 251	3 406	14.0	3 114	91.4	172	5.0
DENVER	243 452	26 160	10.7	18 975	72.5	2 441	9.3
LAKEWOOD	6 875	1 699	24.7	1 479	87.1	81	4.8
PUEBLO	22 876	2 205	9.6	1 978	89.7	61	2.8
CONNECTICUT							
BRIDGEPORT	81 502	7 010	8.6	6 076	86.7	59	0.8
BRISTOL	23 798	1 241	5.2	1 200	96.7	2	0.2
DANBURY	27 831	1 657	6.0	1 615	97.5	3	0.2
HARTFORD	130 708	8 394	6.4	8 039	95.8	-	-
MERIDEN	22 959	1 650	7.2	1 560	94.5	-	-
MILFORD	28 223	1 481	5.2	1 425	96.2	1	0.1
NEW BRITAIN	32 202	2 236	6.9	2 086	93.3	7	0.3
NEW HAVEN	78 096	6 850	8.8	6 601	96.4	13	0.2
NORWALK	42 946	2 745	6.4	2 667	97.2	4	0.1
STAMFORD	83 302	4 544	5.5	4 325	95.2	10	0.2
WATERBURY	49 967	4 086	8.2	3 878	94.9	13	0.3
WEST HAVEN	22 632	1 538	6.8	1 470	95.6	1	0.1
DELAWARE							
WILMINGTON	69 311	4 978	7.2	4 484	90.1	281	5.6
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA							
WASHINGTON	1 201 991	192 251	16.0	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
FLORIDA							
CLEARWATER	12 940	1 680	13.0	1 522	90.6	52	3.1
FORT LAUDERDALE	34 910	6 257	17.9	5 418	86.6	359	5.7
GAINESVILLE	11 938	1 935	16.2	1 765	91.2	55	2.8
HIALEAH	10 062	2 518	25.0	2 458	97.6	10	0.4
HOLLYWOOD	18 254	4 486	24.6	4 145	92.4	128	2.9
JACKSONVILLE	131 065	19 417	14.8	10 786	55.5	3 755	19.3
MIAMI	57 980	12 728	22.0	11 745	92.3	263	2.1
MIAMI BEACH	26 766	6 102	22.8	5 729	93.9	178	2.9
ORLANDO	30 504	4 822	15.8	4 189	86.9	76	1.6
PENSACOLA	11 151	1 532	13.7	1 395	91.1	19	1.2
ST. PETERSBURG	33 615	4 812	14.3	4 235	88.0	68	1.4
TALLAHASSEE	21 837	2 031	9.3	1 878	92.5	20	1.0
TAMPA	56 181	8 046	14.3	7 202	89.5	386	4.8
WEST PALM BEACH	10 867	2 294	21.1	2 076	90.5	25	1.1
GEORGIA							
ALBANY	16 462	1 840	11.2	1 805	98.1	9	0.5
ATLANTA	182 910	19 031	10.4	16 357	85.9	917	4.8
AUGUSTA	10 914	1 789	16.4	1 629	91.1	52	2.9
COLUMBUS	46 026	5 424	11.8	3 438	63.4	1 130	20.8
HACON	15 662	2 500	16.0	2 322	92.9	102	4.1
SAVANNAH	23 838	2 693	11.3	2 366	87.9	92	3.4
HAWAII							
HONOLULU	158 924	25 210	15.9	22 216	88.1	-	-
IDAHO							
BOISE CITY	10 384	1 525	14.7	1 360	89.2	66	4.3
ILLINOIS							
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	6 416	1 700	26.5	1 296	76.2	-	-
AURORA	9 374	1 912	20.4	1 745	91.3	-	-
BERWYN	3 745	810	21.6	789	97.4	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	13 104	1 304	10.0	1 249	95.8	-	-
CHICAGO	900 602	219 159	24.3	215 882	98.5	238	0.1
CICERO	5 704	1 390	24.4	1 332	95.8	-	-
DECATUR	10 734	1 614	15.0	1 557	96.5	-	-
DES PLAINES	7 846	1 362	17.4	1 321	97.0	-	-
EAST ST LOUIS	21 824	2 025	9.3	1 946	96.1	-	-
ELGIN	5 482	1 365	24.9	1 331	97.5	-	-
EVANSTON	13 020	2 288	17.6	2 216	96.9	-	-
JOLIET	10 409	2 121	20.4	1 990	93.8	-	-
OAK LAWN	7 115	1 501	21.1	1 457	97.1	-	-
OAK PARK	10 306	1 590	15.4	1 535	96.5	-	-
PEORIA	18 297	3 790	20.7	3 657	96.5	-	-
ROCKFORD	21 823	3 526	16.2	3 356	95.2	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	11 839	1 210	10.2	1 173	96.9	14	1.2
SKOKIE	9 094	2 095	23.0	2 004	95.7	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	13 313	2 220	16.7	2 008	90.5	-	-
WAUKEGAN	7 589	1 810	23.8	1 771	97.8	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SUNNYVALE	98	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	247	5.2	-	-	82	1.7	-	-
VALLEJO	44	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	17	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	23	1.7	-	-	15	1.1	2	0.1
WHITTIER	32	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO								
AURORA	92	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	86	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	120	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	1 653	6.3	544	2.1	2 547	9.7	-	-
LAKEWOOD	133	7.8	6	0.4	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	67	3.0	-	-	99	4.5	-	-
CONNECTICUT								
BRIDGEPORT	115	1.6	-	-	-	-	760	10.8
BRISTOL	39	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	39	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	355	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	84	3.1	-	-	-	-	6	0.4
MILFORD	55	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	143	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	95	1.4	62	0.9	-	-	79	1.2
NORWALK	74	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	194	4.3	15	0.3	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	195	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	67	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE								
WILMINGTON	213	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA								
WASHINGTON	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 326	0.7
FLORIDA								
CLEARWATER	61	3.6	1	0.1	44	2.6	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	152	2.4	-	-	328	5.2	-	-
GAINESVILLE	65	1.4	-	-	50	2.6	-	-
HIALEAH	28	1.1	-	-	22	0.9	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	117	2.6	-	-	95	2.1	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	961	4.9	124	0.6	3 635	18.7	156	0.8
MIAMI	335	2.6	-	-	385	3.0	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	124	2.0	11	0.2	60	1.0	-	-
ORLANDO	104	2.2	8	0.2	321	6.7	124	2.6
PENSACOLA	43	2.8	-	-	75	4.9	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	153	3.2	-	-	356	7.4	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	112	5.5	-	-	21	1.0	-	-
TAMPA	259	3.2	-	-	152	1.9	47	0.6
WEST PALM BEACH	90	3.9	-	-	103	4.5	-	-
GEORGIA								
ALBANY	26	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	576	3.0	-	-	1 181	6.2	-	-
AUGUSTA	16	0.9	-	-	92	5.1	-	-
COLUMBUS	215	4.0	11	0.2	630	11.6	-	-
HACON	27	1.1	-	-	49	2.0	-	-
SAVANNAH	33	1.2	-	-	202	7.5	-	-
HAWAII								
HONOLULU	2 197	8.7	-	-	797	3.2	-	-
IDAHO								
BOISE CITY	78	5.1	-	-	21	1.4	-	-
ILLINOIS								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	42	2.3	-	-	-	-	362	21.3
AURORA	60	3.1	-	-	107	5.6	-	-
BERWYN	21	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	55	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	2 594	1.2	-	-	27	(2)	418	0.2
CICERO	58	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	57	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	41	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST LOUIS	79	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	34	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	72	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	71	3.3	-	-	60	2.8	-	-
OAK LAWN	44	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	55	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	133	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	100	2.8	-	-	70	2.0	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	23	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	91	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	142	6.4	-	-	70	3.2	-	-
WAUKEGAN	39	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued.

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	9 418	1 637	17.4	1 513	92.4	49	3.0
EVANSVILLE	21 065	2 833	13.4	2 480	87.5	38	1.3
FORT WAYNE	25 687	3 902	15.2	3 627	93.0	-	-
GARY	33 194	5 467	16.5	4 554	83.3	261	4.8
HAMMOND	20 115	2 964	14.7	2 621	88.4	167	5.6
INDIANAPOLIS	206 593	25 945	12.6	18 494	71.3	3 912	15.1
MUNCIE	9 772	1 561	16.0	1 452	93.0	55	3.5
SOUTH BEND	22 191	3 233	14.6	3 078	95.2	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	10 103	1 059	10.5	966	91.2	9	0.8
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	20 279	2 214	10.9	1 795	81.1	277	12.5
COUNCIL BLUFFS	12 213	1 081	8.9	906	83.8	103	9.5
DAVENPORT	13 624	1 906	14.0	1 606	84.3	224	11.5
DES MOINES	43 980	7 179	16.3	6 247	87.0	691	9.6
DUBUQUE	8 117	1 357	16.7	1 148	84.6	181	13.3
SIoux CITY	16 499	1 915	11.6	1 264	66.0	171	8.9
WATERLOO	20 877	1 732	8.3	1 530	88.3	165	9.5
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	40 848	4 524	11.1	4 073	90.0	93	2.1
OVERLAND PARK	6 060	1 190	19.6	1 039	87.3	66	5.5
TOPEKA	23 058	3 414	14.8	3 207	93.9	58	1.7
WICHITA	63 199	6 366	10.1	4 965	78.0	216	3.4
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	7 127	1 436	20.1	1 325	92.3	26	1.8
LEXINGTON	23 149	3 221	13.9	2 307	87.1	63	2.0
LOUISVILLE	106 911	12 155	11.4	11 395	93.7	331	2.7
OWENSBORO	15 709	1 171	7.5	1 124	95.0	38	3.2
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	54 970	12 310	22.4	7 007	56.9	3 633	29.5
LAFAYETTE	8 593	889	10.3	764	85.9	87	9.8
LAKE CHARLES	15 706	939	6.0	847	90.2	37	3.9
MONROE	10 681	1 282	12.0	1 087	84.8	101	7.9
NEW ORLEANS	142 887	27 494	19.2	18 578	67.6	4 387	16.0
SHREVEPORT	27 898	4 705	16.9	4 223	89.8	294	6.2
MAINE:							
PORTLAND	33 050	1 926	5.8	1 803	93.6	-	-
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	730 168	70 996	9.7	54 178	76.3	5 018	7.1
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	549 854	69 292	12.6	48 858	70.5	10 354	14.9
BROCKTON	44 303	2 954	6.7	2 910	96.5	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	64 815	4 450	6.9	4 281	96.2	-	-
CHICOPEE	22 381	1 380	6.2	1 331	96.4	-	-
FALL RIVER	41 172	3 075	7.5	2 955	96.1	-	-
HOLYOKE	27 168	1 428	5.3	1 380	96.6	-	-
LAWRENCE	25 464	1 800	7.1	1 740	96.7	-	-
LOWELL	34 457	2 867	8.3	2 476	86.4	-	-
LYNN	47 055	3 312	7.0	2 918	88.1	-	-
MALDEN	30 193	1 647	5.5	1 611	97.8	-	-
MEDFORD	26 441	1 861	7.0	1 803	96.9	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	50 448	3 565	7.1	3 413	95.7	-	-
NEWTON	58 235	4 034	6.9	3 862	95.7	-	-
PITTSFIELD	24 720	1 187	4.8	1 168	98.4	-	-
QUINCY	63 639	3 791	6.0	3 720	98.1	-	-
SOMERVILLE	30 552	2 139	7.0	1 934	90.4	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	84 016	5 253	6.3	4 808	91.5	3	0.1
WALTHAM	23 490	1 855	7.9	1 800	97.0	-	-
WORCESTER	109 377	6 709	6.1	6 428	95.8	-	-
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	25 844	3 589	13.9	3 044	84.8	435	12.1
DEARBORN	28 308	3 735	13.2	3 338	89.4	168	4.5
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	8 517	1 903	22.3	1 624	85.3	232	12.2
DETROIT	540 268	108 961	20.2	94 281	86.5	7 218	6.6
FLINT	70 148	8 018	11.4	7 053	88.0	447	5.6
GRAND RAPIDS	47 017	6 401	13.6	5 589	87.3	517	8.1
KALAMAZOO	14 881	2 882	19.4	2 326	80.7	300	10.4
LANSING	40 969	5 371	13.1	4 625	86.1	484	9.0
LINCOLN PARK	6 839	1 383	20.2	1 246	90.1	105	7.6
LIVONIA	21 018	3 408	16.2	3 002	88.1	239	7.0
PONTIAC	35 927	3 838	10.7	3 277	85.4	356	9.3
ROSEVILLE	6 928	1 762	25.4	1 555	88.3	135	7.7
ROYAL OAK	9 295	2 088	22.5	1 765	84.5	126	6.0
SAGINAW	30 458	2 886	9.5	2 748	95.2	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	18 594	3 083	16.6	2 567	83.3	365	11.8
ST. CLAIR SHORES	11 265	2 212	19.6	2 079	94.0	97	4.4
STERLING HEIGHTS	12 321	1 963	15.9	1 803	91.8	131	6.7
TAYLOR	15 874	1 901	12.0	1 763	92.7	100	5.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued.

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	28	1.7	5	0.3	4	0.2	38	2.3
EVANSVILLE	91	3.2	-	-	6	0.2	218	7.7
FORT WAYNE	74	1.9	-	-	-	-	201	5.2
GARY	157	2.9	-	-	194	3.5	301	5.5
HAMMOND	58	2.0	-	-	118	4.0	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	1 054	4.1	92	0.4	2 393	9.2	-	-
MUNCIE	18	1.2	-	-	6	0.4	30	1.9
SOUTH BEND	60	1.9	-	-	53	1.6	42	1.3
TERRE HAUTE	32	3.0	-	-	-	-	52	4.9
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	71	3.2	-	-	2	0.1	69	3.1
COUNCIL BLUFFS	39	3.6	-	-	33	3.1	-	-
DAVENPORT	66	3.5	-	-	-	-	10	0.5
DES MOINES	241	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	28	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIoux CITY	76	4.0	-	-	32	1.7	372	19.4
WATERLOO	37	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	139	3.1	-	-	177	3.9	42	0.9
OVERLAND PARK	85	7.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	81	2.4	-	-	33	1.0	35	1.0
WICHITA	158	2.5	-	-	336	5.3	691	10.9
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	51	3.6	-	-	34	2.4	-	-
LEXINGTON	130	4.0	12	0.4	209	6.5	-	-
LOUISVILLE	305	2.5	-	-	110	0.9	14	0.1
OWENSBORO	9	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	617	5.0	135	1.1	917	7.4	1	(Z)
LAFAYETTE	15	1.7	2	0.2	21	2.4	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	48	5.1	-	-	7	0.7	-	-
MONROE	22	1.7	-	-	72	5.6	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	669	2.4	186	0.7	3 544	12.9	130	0.5
SHREVEPORT	38	0.8	-	-	150	3.2	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	52	2.7	-	-	71	3.7	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	3 699	5.2	-	-	7 467	10.5	634	0.9
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	1 337	1.9	-	-	6 286	9.1	2 457	3.5
BROCKTON	44	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	157	3.5	-	-	-	-	12	0.3
CHICOPEE	49	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	80	2.6	-	-	-	-	40	1.3
HOLYOKE	48	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	29	1.6	-	-	-	-	31	1.7
LOWELL	122	4.3	-	-	-	-	269	9.4
LYNN	90	2.7	-	-	-	-	304	9.2
MALDEN	36	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	58	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	76	2.1	-	-	-	-	76	2.1
NEWTON	172	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	19	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	71	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	65	3.0	-	-	-	-	140	6.5
SPRINGFIELD	192	3.7	-	-	251	4.8	-	-
WALTHAM	55	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	206	3.1	-	-	9	0.1	66	1.0
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	110	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	211	5.6	-	-	18	0.5	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	43	2.3	-	-	4	0.2	-	-
DETROIT	1 616	1.5	1 825	1.7	3 695	3.4	326	0.3
FLINT	236	2.9	-	-	282	3.5	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	517	3.6	-	-	62	1.0	-	-
KALAMAZOO	163	5.7	-	-	93	3.2	-	-
LANSING	134	2.5	-	-	128	2.4	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	25	1.8	-	-	7	0.5	-	-
LIVONIA	111	3.3	-	-	56	1.6	-	-
PONTIAC	116	3.0	3	0.1	50	1.3	36	0.9
ROSEVILLE	72	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	54	2.6	-	-	143	6.8	-	-
SAGINAW	138	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	74	2.4	-	-	77	2.5	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	36	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	29	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	27	1.4	2	0.1	9			

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
WARREN	28 723	4 501	15.7	4 018	89.3	339	7.5
WESTLAND	9 384	1 791	19.1	1 452	81.1	278	15.5
WYOMING	8 698	1 103	12.7	913	82.8	103	9.3
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	14 131	1 969	13.9	1 850	94.0	16	0.8
DULUTH	22 892	2 535	11.1	2 083	82.2	264	10.4
MINNEAPOLIS	116 449	14 977	12.9	12 305	82.2	-	-
ROCHESTER	10 931	1 628	14.9	1 575	95.8	-	-
ST. PAUL	96 326	10 098	10.5	8 562	84.8	660	6.5
MISSISSIPPI:							
JACKSON	34 759	5 256	15.1	4 634	88.2	149	2.8
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	6 502	974	15.0	908	93.2	21	2.2
FLORISSANT	3 669	1 026	28.0	988	96.3	23	2.2
INDEPENDENCE	15 432	1 875	12.2	1 715	91.5	69	3.7
KANSAS CITY	159 556	26 150	16.4	23 274	89.0	766	2.9
ST. JOSEPH	8 154	1 268	15.6	1 181	93.1	33	2.6
ST. LOUIS	192 834	48 157	25.0	34 771	72.2	4 462	9.3
SPRINGFIELD	17 199	1 854	10.8	1 760	94.9	57	3.1
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS	10 021	1 138	11.4	987	86.7	43	3.8
GREAT FALLS	11 000	1 011	9.2	896	88.6	37	3.7
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	33 097	3 755	11.3	2 484	66.2	305	8.1
OMAHA	75 689	9 882	13.1	8 730	88.3	517	5.2
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	30 186	8 888	29.4	7 779	87.5	253	2.8
RENO	20 312	3 974	19.6	3 554	89.4	150	3.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	33 588	2 014	6.0	1 760	87.4	134	6.7
NASHUA	17 528	1 809	10.3	1 568	86.7	126	7.0
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	23 264	2 819	12.1	2 647	93.9	82	2.9
BLOOMFIELD	16 291	1 730	10.6	1 621	93.7	59	3.4
CAMDEN	22 228	5 218	23.5	4 881	93.5	172	3.3
CLIFTON	21 501	2 292	10.7	2 184	93.6	76	3.3
EAST ORANGE	36 633	3 891	10.6	3 295	84.7	288	7.4
ELIZABETH	44 848	4 341	9.7	4 070	93.8	143	3.3
IRVINGTON	25 326	2 099	8.3	1 921	91.5	88	4.2
JERSEY CITY	139 827	13 123	9.4	12 602	96.0	312	2.4
NEWARK	264 622	24 408	9.2	23 283	95.4	699	2.9
PASSAIC	9 599	1 942	20.2	1 806	93.0	95	4.9
PATERSON	66 925	6 885	10.3	6 414	93.2	242	3.5
TRENTON	28 514	5 960	20.9	5 734	96.2	127	2.1
UNION CITY	20 155	2 152	10.7	1 963	91.2	107	5.0
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	69 626	8 235	11.8	7 060	85.7	277	3.4
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	32 533	4 924	15.1	4 446	90.3	232	4.7
BINGHAMTON	50 944	1 660	3.3	1 359	81.9	115	6.9
BUFFALO	255 140	28 723	11.3	20 690	72.0	7 234	25.2
MOUNT VERNON	17 909	3 063	17.1	2 674	87.3	205	6.7
NEW ROCHELLE	20 274	3 156	15.6	2 837	89.9	178	5.6
NEW YORK CITY	9 656 944	877 352	9.1	616 206	70.2	109 461	12.5
NIAGARA FALLS	26 546	2 837	10.7	2 534	89.3	172	6.1
ROCHESTER	184 954	13 310	7.2	10 953	82.3	695	5.2
ROME	13 808	814	5.9	745	91.5	52	6.4
SCHENECTADY	17 523	3 132	17.9	2 947	94.1	93	3.0
SYRACUSE	101 471	6 547	6.5	5 772	88.2	510	7.8
TROY	11 420	1 600	14.0	1 401	87.6	98	6.1
UTICA	19 260	2 232	11.6	1 939	86.9	196	8.8
WHITE PLAINS	32 104	3 408	10.6	2 967	87.1	209	6.1
YONKERS	113 625	8 601	7.6	7 372	85.7	620	7.2
NORTH CAROLINA:							
ASHEVILLE	10 681	1 375	12.9	1 358	98.8	-	-
CHARLOTTE	64 952	8 179	12.6	8 051	98.4	-	-
DURHAM	22 312	2 890	13.0	2 758	95.4	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	7 609	1 218	16.0	1 204	98.9	-	-
GREENSBORO	35 681	5 452	15.3	5 366	98.4	-	-
HIGH POINT	18 274	1 917	10.5	1 868	97.4	-	-
RALEIGH	26 061	3 614	13.9	3 528	97.6	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	47 325	4 751	10.0	4 607	97.0	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:							
FARGO	12 576	1 252	10.0	1 184	94.6	36	2.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
WARREN	142	3.2	-	-	2	(2)	-	-
WESTLAND	43	2.4	3	0.2	15	0.8	-	-
WYOMING	82	7.4	-	-	5	0.5	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	90	4.6	-	-	13	0.7	-	-
DULUTH	151	6.0	10	0.4	27	1.1	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	719	4.8	-	-	1 185	7.9	767	5.1
ROCHESTER	52	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	388	3.8	21	0.2	289	2.9	176	1.7
MISSISSIPPI:								
JACKSON	105	2.0	-	-	368	7.0	-	-
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	45	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	15	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	91	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	733	2.8	25	0.1	1 352	5.2	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	51	4.0	-	-	3	0.2	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 401	2.9	356	0.7	7 117	14.8	50	0.1
SPRINGFIELD	37	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	45	4.0	5	0.4	20	1.8	38	3.3
GREAT FALLS	23	2.3	-	-	53	5.2	2	0.2
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	220	5.9	-	-	360	9.6	386	10.3
OMAHA	463	4.7	-	-	109	1.1	63	0.6
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	344	3.9	-	-	512	5.8	-	-
RENO	113	2.8	-	-	197	4.0	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	36	1.8	-	-	84	4.2	-	-
NASHUA	21	1.2	-	-	72	4.0	22	1.2
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	90	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	46	2.7	-	-	4	0.2	-	-
CAMDEN	165	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	70	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	112	2.9	-	-	-	-	196	5.0
ELIZABETH	98	2.3	-	-	-	-	30	0.7
IRVINGTON	66	3.1	-	-	-	-	24	1.1
JERSEY CITY	209	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	403	1.7	-	-	-	-	23	0.1
PASSAIC	41	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	70	1.0	69	1.0	-	-	90	1.3
TRENTON	96	1.6	-	-	-	-	3	0.1
UNION CITY	50	2.3	-	-	-	-	32	1.5
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	194	2.4	-	-	704	8.5	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	219	4.4	-	-	-	-	27	0.5
BINGHAMTON	62	3.7	-	-	124	7.5	-	-
BUFFALO	754	2.6	45	0.2	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	113	3.7	-	-	-	-	71	2.3
NEW ROCHELLE	141	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	24 206	2.8	7 461	0.9	107 880	12.3	12 138	1.4
NIAGARA FALLS	131	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	320	2.4	-	-	-	-	1 342	10.1
ROME	17	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	92	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	265	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	101	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	97	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	232	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	343	4.0	-	-	266	3.1	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	17	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	128	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	132	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	14	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	86	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	49	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	68	1.9	-	-	-	-	18	0.5
WINSTON-SALEM	102	2.1	-	-	36	0.8	6	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	29	2.3	-	-	2	0.2	1	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
OHIO:							
AKRON	65 796	8 587	13.1	7 148	83.2	739	8.6
CANTON	20 484	2 480	12.1	2 029	81.8	313	12.6
CINCINNATI	286 475	20 679	7.2	16 704	80.8	2 101	10.2
CLEVELAND	175 495	40 500	23.1	34 421	85.0	2 618	6.5
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	7 636	1 294	16.9	1 149	88.8	76	5.9
COLUMBUS	109 397	19 573	17.9	15 948	81.5	1 877	9.6
DAYTON	70 285	10 257	14.6	8 148	79.4	784	7.6
ELYRIA	8 040	919	11.4	684	74.4	157	17.1
EUCLID	20 302	1 659	8.2	1 454	87.6	108	6.5
HAMILTON	10 344	1 650	16.0	1 490	90.3	112	6.8
KETTERING	5 907	1 560	26.4	1 287	82.5	171	11.0
LAKEWOOD	21 593	1 235	5.7	1 039	84.1	114	9.2
LIHA	7 300	1 495	20.5	1 162	77.7	187	12.5
LORAIN	14 728	1 157	7.9	920	79.5	117	10.1
MANSFIELD	8 192	1 255	15.3	922	73.5	222	17.7
PARMA	8 315	1 879	22.6	1 522	81.0	252	13.4
SPRINGFIELD	10 342	2 045	19.8	1 632	79.8	270	13.2
TOLEDO	82 796	12 475	15.1	10 725	86.0	831	6.7
WARREN	9 472	1 321	13.9	1 022	77.4	242	18.3
YOUNGSTOWN	23 318	3 775	16.2	3 046	80.7	402	10.6
OKLAHOMA:							
LAWTON	7 298	1 262	17.3	1 113	88.2	44	3.5
NORMAN	10 892	1 043	9.6	904	86.7	60	5.8
OKLAHOMA CITY	73 866	8 737	11.8	7 310	83.7	319	3.7
TULSA	72 180	6 719	9.3	5 642	84.0	175	2.6
OREGON:							
EUGENE	17 973	2 570	14.3	2 109	82.1	81	3.2
PORTLAND	92 876	13 501	14.5	12 739	94.4	-	-
SALEM	23 312	1 965	8.4	1 797	91.5	50	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLENTOWN	17 436	2 256	12.9	2 198	97.4	-	-
ALTOONA	5 859	879	15.0	840	95.6	-	-
BETHLEHEM	14 149	1 746	12.3	1 707	97.8	-	-
CHESTER	12 633	2 008	15.9	1 935	96.4	-	-
ERIE	23 320	2 967	12.7	2 789	94.0	77	2.6
HARRISBURG	13 140	2 324	17.7	2 250	96.8	-	-
LANCASTER	10 361	1 708	16.5	1 608	94.1	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	809 580	205 661	25.4	130 679	63.5	34 176	16.6
PITTSBURGH	112 460	20 534	18.3	19 630	95.6	354	1.7
READING	16 756	2 011	12.0	1 921	95.5	-	-
SCRANTON	15 650	1 821	11.6	1 770	97.2	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	15 314	1 131	7.4	1 105	97.7	-	-
YORK	9 093	1 278	14.1	1 244	97.3	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:							
CRANSTON	28 886	1 654	5.7	1 439	87.0	6	0.4
PAWTUCKET	33 454	1 895	5.7	1 812	95.6	4	0.2
PROVIDENCE	79 889	6 433	8.1	4 067	94.3	170	2.6
WARWICK	25 893	2 141	8.3	2 092	97.7	4	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
CHARLESTON	10 402	2 559	24.6	2 501	97.7	35	1.4
COLUMBIA	21 581	2 970	13.8	2 560	86.2	215	7.2
GREENVILLE	9 649	1 515	15.7	1 400	92.4	51	3.4
SOUTH DAKOTA:							
SIOUX FALLS	14 139	1 357	9.6	1 257	92.6	62	4.6
TENNESSEE:							
CHATTANOOGA	56 165	4 320	7.7	3 973	92.0	168	3.9
KNOXVILLE	63 611	4 243	6.7	3 836	90.4	50	1.2
MEMPHIS	257 831	17 849	6.9	15 321	85.8	839	4.7
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	178 836	16 363	9.1	11 040	67.5	3 291	20.1
TEXAS:							
ABILENE	8 474	1 319	15.6	1 183	89.7	50	3.8
AMARILLO	15 743	2 297	14.6	2 004	87.2	134	5.8
ARLINGTON	25 636	1 867	7.3	1 522	81.5	169	9.1
AUSTIN	59 125	6 998	11.8	6 237	89.1	329	4.7
BEAUMONT	14 413	2 517	17.5	1 990	79.1	73	2.9
BROWNSVILLE	7 169	854	11.9	797	93.3	28	3.3
CORPUS CHRISTI	30 386	4 311	14.2	3 774	87.5	139	3.2
DALLAS	184 336	31 572	17.1	29 575	93.7	1 122	3.6
EL PASO	43 018	5 893	13.7	5 520	93.7	211	3.6
FORT WORTH	67 675	8 552	12.6	7 735	90.4	192	2.2
GALVESTON	16 025	1 244	7.8	1 045	84.0	68	5.5
GARLAND	13 657	1 426	10.4	1 295	90.8	36	2.5
GRAND PRAIRIE	9 724	1 255	12.9	1 202	95.8	18	1.4
HOUSTON	199 150	34 064	17.1	30 285	86.9	1 215	3.6
IRVING	16 198	1 564	9.7	1 353	86.5	81	5.2
LAREDO	7 576	654	8.6	560	85.6	42	5.4
LUBBOCK	30 740	2 602	8.5	2 310	88.8	148	6.4
MESQUITE	6 055	936	15.5	885	94.6	20	2.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
OHIO:								
AKRON	220	2.6	-	-	381	4.4	99	1.2
CANTON	126	5.1	-	-	12	0.5	-	-
CINCINNATI	623	3.4	-	-	1 181	5.7	-	-
CLEVELAND	577	1.4	-	-	2 884	7.1	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	69	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	761	3.9	61	0.3	926	4.7	-	-
DAYTON	423	4.1	-	-	902	8.8	-	-
ELYRIA	50	5.4	-	-	28	3.0	-	-
EUCLID	79	4.8	-	-	18	1.1	-	-
HAMILTON	19	1.2	2	0.1	27	1.6	-	-
KETTERING	102	5	-	-	27	2.2	-	-
LAKEWOOD	55	4.5	-	-	70	4.7	24	1.6
LIHA	52	3.5	-	-	51	4.4	-	-
LORAIN	69	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	47	3.7	2	0.2	62	4.9	-	-
PARMA	91	4.8	-	-	14	0.7	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	80	3.9	32	1.6	31	1.5	-	-
TOLEDO	216	1.7	-	-	703	5.6	-	-
WARREN	57	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	214	5.7	-	-	113	3.0	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	43	3.4	18	1.4	44	3.5	-	-
NORMAN	74	7.1	-	-	5	0.5	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	302	3.5	-	-	771	8.8	35	0.4
TULSA	265	3.9	-	-	279	4.2	358	5.3
OREGON:								
EUGENE	98	3.8	8	0.3	274	10.7	-	-
PORTLAND	326	2.4	-	-	216	1.6	220	1.6
SALEM	118	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	58	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	25	2.8	-	-	14	1.6	-	-
BETHLEHEM	39	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	73	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	101	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	55	2.4	-	-	19	0.8	-	-
LANCASTER	17	1.0	-	-	-	-	83	4.9
PHILADELPHIA	6 691	3.3	3 084	1.5	30 808	15.0	223	0.1
PITTSBURGH	498	2.4	-	-	50	0.2	2	(2)
READING	90	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	51	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	26	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	34	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	38	2.3	-	-	-	-	171	10.3
PAWTUCKET	58	3.1	-	-	-	-	21	1.1
PROVIDENCE	196	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	45	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	23	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	54	1.8	-	-	141	4.7	-	-
GREENVILLE	33	2.2	-	-	31	2.0	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS	38	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	154	3.6	-	-	25	0.6	-	-
KNOXVILLE	119	2.8	-	-	238	5.6	-	-
MEMPHIS	285	1.6	-	-	1 404	7.9	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	364	2.2	123	0.8	1 499	9.2	46	0.3
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	55	4.2	-	-	31	2.4	-	-
AMARILLO	98	4.3	-	-	60	2.6	1	(2)
ARLINGTON	126	6.7	-	-	50	2.7	-	-
AUSTIN	216	3.1	-	-	-	-	216	3.1
BEAUMONT	90	3.6	-	-	67	2.7	297	11.8
BROWNSVILLE	8	0.9	-	-	21	2.5	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	187	4.3	-	-	211	4.9	-	-
DALLAS	814	2.6	-	-	32	0.5	-	-

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TEXAS--CONTINUED							
MIDLAND	6 679	1 250	18.7	1 145	91.6	55	4.4
ODESSA	5 726	1 414	24.7	1 245	88.0	82	5.8
PASADENA	10 461	2 382	22.8	1 906	80.0	322	13.5
PORT ARTHUR	7 883	1 349	17.1	1 251	92.7	16	1.2
SAN ANGELO	5 976	1 114	18.6	1 008	90.5	45	4.0
SAN ANTONIO	90 549	15 055	16.7	13 701	90.8	715	4.7
TYLER	5 422	1 045	19.3	966	92.4	41	3.9
WACO	16 488	1 774	10.8	1 620	91.3	37	2.1
WICHITA FALLS	14 074	1 489	10.6	1 223	82.1	87	5.8
UTAH:							
OGDEN	8 582	1 598	18.6	1 390	87.0	161	10.1
PROVO	5 581	816	14.6	711	87.1	71	8.7
SALT LAKE CITY	37 659	5 468	14.5	4 671	85.4	451	8.2
VIRGINIA:							
ALEXANDRIA	63 754	4 804	7.5	3 558	74.1	620	12.9
CHESAPEAKE	40 144	3 277	8.2	1 960	59.8	495	15.1
HAMPTON	49 506	3 058	6.2	1 963	64.2	701	22.9
LYNCHBURG	25 095	1 824	7.3	1 282	70.3	179	9.8
NEWPORT NEWS	64 921	4 282	6.6	2 638	61.6	631	14.7
NORFOLK	165 041	9 965	6.0	6 593	66.2	1 240	12.4
PORTSMOUTH	57 485	3 472	6.0	2 475	71.3	566	16.3
RICHMOND	194 782	12 758	6.5	8 866	69.5	2 519	19.7
ROANOKE	45 554	3 244	7.1	1 885	58.1	624	19.2
VIRGINIA BEACH	67 020	4 394	6.9	3 203	69.7	841	18.3
WASHINGTON:							
BELLEVUE	11 161	1 515	13.6	1 370	90.4	57	3.8
EVERETT	12 049	1 632	13.5	1 510	92.5	-	-
SEATTLE	168 781	22 443	13.3	19 324	86.1	1 199	5.3
SPOKANE	29 228	4 823	16.5	4 274	88.6	163	3.4
TACOMA	35 784	5 002	14.0	4 001	80.0	273	5.5
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CHARLESTON	17 250	1 982	11.5	1 818	91.7	21	1.1
HUNTINGTON	15 927	1 662	10.4	1 586	95.4	19	1.1
WISCONSIN:							
APPLETON	22 758	1 138	5.0	1 104	97.0	-	-
GREEN BAY	43 687	1 891	4.3	1 804	95.4	22	1.2
KENOSHA	13 303	1 875	14.1	1 816	96.9	12	0.6
LA CROSSE	17 433	1 025	5.9	979	95.5	12	1.2
MADISON	83 165	4 877	5.9	4 652	95.4	-	-
MILWAUKEE	180 293	37 219	20.6	35 714	96.0	307	0.8
OSHKOSH	20 286	1 036	5.1	994	95.9	-	-
RACINE	18 178	2 831	15.6	2 725	96.3	-	-
WAUWATOSA	24 748	1 704	6.9	1 651	96.9	11	0.6
WEST ALLIS	32 245	2 031	6.3	1 947	95.9	14	0.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Table 13. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TEXAS--CONTINUED								
MIDLAND	43	3.4	-	-	7	0.6	-	-
ODESSA	30	2.1	-	-	57	4.0	-	-
PASADENA	93	3.9	-	-	61	2.6	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	46	3.4	-	-	35	2.4	3	0.2
SAN ANGELO	32	2.9	-	-	29	2.6	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	400	2.6	-	-	278	1.8	1	(2)
TYLER	27	2.6	-	-	11	1.1	-	-
WACO	68	3.8	-	-	49	2.8	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	63	4.2	-	-	116	7.8	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN	47	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	34	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	176	3.2	-	-	51	0.9	119	2.2
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	214	4.5	20	0.4	392	8.2	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	103	3.1	-	-	719	21.9	-	-
HAMPTON	149	4.9	-	-	245	8.0	-	-
LYNCHBURG	63	3.5	6	0.3	294	16.1	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	125	2.9	22	0.5	866	20.2	-	-
NORFOLK	297	3.0	105	1.1	1 708	17.1	22	0.2
PORTSMOUTH	139	4.0	-	-	292	8.4	-	-
RICHMOND	418	3.3	-	-	955	7.5	-	-
ROANOKE	122	3.8	-	-	613	18.9	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	189	4.1	-	-	361	7.9	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	76	5.0	-	-	12	0.8	-	-
EVERETT	66	4.0	-	-	56	3.4	-	-
SEATTLE	695	3.1	90	0.4	1 135	5.1	-	-
SPOKANE	196	4.1	-	-	190	3.9	-	-
TACOMA	246	4.9	10	0.2	332	6.6	140	2.8
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	86	4.3	-	-	57	2.9	-	-
HUNTINGTON	45	2.7	-	-	12	0.7	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	34	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	63	3.3	-	-	2	0.1	-	-
KENOSHA	46	2.5	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
LA CROSSE	34	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	225	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 161	3.1	-	-	37	0.1	-	-
OSHKOSH	46	3.9	-	-	2	0.2	-	-
RACINE	102	3.7	-	-	1	(2)	-	-
WAUWATOSA	42	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	68	3.3	-	-	2	0.1	-	-

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	29 130 226	3 672 462	12.6	2 970 805	80.9	278 742	7.6
ALABAMA:							
BIRMINGHAM	74 058	8 954	12.1	7 827	87.4	268	3.0
GADSDEN	6 083	711	11.7	645	90.7	25	3.5
HUNTSVILLE	29 738	2 282	7.7	2 037	89.3	67	2.9
MOBILE	28 619	4 612	16.1	4 197	91.0	199	4.3
MONTGOMERY	29 342	2 833	9.7	2 559	90.3	77	2.7
TUSCALOOSA	17 933	1 433	8.0	1 316	91.8	17	1.2
ARIZONA:							
MESA	10 385	1 468	14.1	1 363	92.8	54	3.7
PHOENIX	132 017	28 721	21.8	26 065	90.8	1 080	3.8
SCOTTSDALE	12 571	1 418	11.3	1 279	90.2	52	3.7
TEMPE	9 307	1 562	16.8	1 377	88.2	98	6.3
TUCSON	63 874	8 395	13.1	7 643	91.0	256	3.0
ARKANSAS:							
FORT SMITH	6 339	939	14.8	845	90.0	29	3.1
LITTLE ROCK	23 907	3 064	12.8	2 797	91.3	76	2.5
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	15 337	1 341	8.7	1 166	87.0	67	5.0
PINE BLUFF	4 819	805	16.7	704	87.5	43	5.3
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	7 648	1 657	21.7	1 606	96.9	-	-
ALHAMBRA	11 866	1 639	13.8	1 578	96.3	-	-
ANAHEIM	33 928	5 400	15.9	5 068	93.9	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	13 190	2 893	21.9	2 772	95.8	-	-
BELLFLOWER	3 146	55	1.7	28	50.9	-	-
BERKELEY	24 847	3 884	15.6	3 624	93.3	57	1.5
BUENA PARK	10 220	1 686	16.5	1 648	97.7	-	-
BURBANK	17 621	3 452	19.6	3 174	91.9	-	-
CARSON	7 899	80	1.0	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	8 978	1 794	20.0	1 719	95.8	-	-
COMPTON	13 718	3 259	23.8	3 207	98.4	-	-
CONCORD	10 084	2 297	22.8	2 249	97.9	-	-
COSTA MESA	9 119	2 594	28.4	2 535	97.7	-	-
DALY CITY	7 451	1 473	19.8	1 440	97.8	-	-
DOWNEY	9 401	2 326	24.7	2 298	98.8	-	-
EL CAJON	6 905	1 468	21.3	1 435	97.8	-	-
EL MONTE	6 386	1 604	25.1	1 565	97.6	-	-
FREMONT	14 753	2 471	16.7	2 398	97.0	-	-
FRESNO	46 643	6 462	13.9	6 276	97.1	-	-
FULLERTON	12 198	2 539	20.8	2 486	97.9	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	12 511	2 617	20.9	2 548	97.4	-	-
GLENDALE	23 097	3 598	15.6	3 426	95.2	-	-
HAWTHORNE	6 965	1 281	18.4	1 201	93.8	-	-
HAYWARD	14 362	2 204	15.3	2 133	96.8	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	23 693	4 229	17.8	4 043	95.6	-	-
INGLEWOOD	19 557	4 898	25.0	4 701	96.0	-	-
LAKEWOOD	5 330	311	5.8	278	89.4	-	-
LONG BEACH	104 758	14 611	13.9	12 955	88.7	-	-
LOS ANGELES	678 823	157 003	23.1	152 346	97.0	-	-
MODESTO	10 020	1 813	18.1	1 750	96.5	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	10 625	1 329	12.5	1 283	96.5	-	-
NORWALK	2 500	37	1.5	5	13.5	-	-
OAKLAND	114 366	16 339	14.3	15 148	92.7	-	-
ONTARIO	9 837	1 583	16.1	1 566	98.9	-	-
ORANGE	11 496	2 185	19.0	2 118	96.9	-	-
OXNARD	11 583	1 811	15.6	1 740	96.1	-	-
PALO ALTO	15 476	1 974	12.8	1 826	92.5	-	-
PASADENA	38 671	5 036	13.0	4 804	95.4	-	-
PICO RIVERA	1 978	19	1.0	-	-	-	-
POMONA	14 797	2 917	19.7	2 680	91.9	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	12 154	1 829	15.0	1 762	96.3	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	9 696	1 425	14.7	1 358	93.9	-	-
RICHMOND	22 937	3 610	15.7	3 534	97.9	-	-
RIVERSIDE	26 186	4 293	16.4	4 173	97.2	-	-
SACRAMENTO	64 726	10 257	15.8	10 111	98.6	-	-
SALINAS	9 337	1 790	19.2	1 588	88.7	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	26 456	3 651	13.8	3 526	96.6	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	14 608	1 648	11.3	1 589	96.4	-	-
SAN DIEGO	133 780	18 650	13.9	16 970	91.0	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	510 443	62 124	12.2	61 978	67.6	8 274	13.3
SAN JOSE	94 707	14 115	14.9	13 555	96.0	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	11 644	1 710	14.7	1 616	94.5	-	-
SAN MATEO	11 381	2 134	18.8	2 088	97.8	-	-
SANTA ANA	19 641	4 133	21.0	3 934	95.2	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	15 699	2 219	14.1	2 150	96.9	-	-
SANTA CLARA	15 490	2 136	13.8	2 065	96.7	-	-
SANTA MONICA	16 548	3 543	21.4	3 135	88.5	-	-
SANTA ROSA	13 697	1 023	7.5	94.0	94.0	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	7 562	930	12.3	870	93.5	-	-
SOUTH GATE	7 325	1 595	21.8	1 503	94.2	-	-
STOCKTON	26 166	4 271	16.3	4 170	97.6	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	113 527	3.1	17 139	0.5	264 140	7.2	28 110	0.8
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	240	2.7	-	-	553	6.2	66	0.7
GADSDEN	25	3.5	-	-	16	2.3	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	48	2.1	-	-	130	5.7	-	-
MOBILE	89	1.9	-	-	127	2.8	-	-
MONTGOMERY	36	1.3	-	-	161	5.7	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	38	2.7	-	-	62	4.3	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MESA	47	3.2	4	0.3	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	848	3.0	75	0.3	570	2.0	83	0.3
SCOTTSDALE	87	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	27	5.6	-	-	-	-	128	1.3
TUCSON	368	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	32	3.4	-	-	33	3.5	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	71	2.3	-	-	120	3.9	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	36	2.7	-	-	72	5.4	-	-
PINE BLUFF	18	2.2	-	-	40	5.0	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	51	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	61	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	251	4.6	-	-	81	1.5	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	121	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	27	49.1	-	-	-	-	70	1.8
BERKELEY	133	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	38	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	191	5.5	-	-	87	2.5	-	-
CARSON	80	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	75	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	50	1.5	-	-	-	-	2	0.1
CONCORD	48	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	59	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	33	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	28	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	33	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	39	1.4	-	-	-	-	10	0.4
FREMONT	63	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	170	2.6	-	-	16	0.2	-	-
FULLERTON	53	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	69	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	172	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	80	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	71	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	186	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	197	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	33	10.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 089	7.5	-	-	567	3.9	-	-
LOS ANGELES	4 657	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	63	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	46	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	32	86.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	275	1.7	-	-	916	5.6	-	-
ONTARIO	17	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	67	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	71	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	148	7.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	232	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	19	100.0	-	-	173	5.9	-	-
POMONA	64	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	67	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	87	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	76	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	120	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	146	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	35	2.0	-	-	60	3.4	107	6.0
SAN BERNARDINO	125	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	59	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	1 040	5.6	-	-	640	3.4	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	2 857	4.6	772	1.2	8 093	13.0	150	0.2
SAN JOSE	560	4.0	-	-				

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED							
SUNNYVALE	16 078	2 283	14.2	2 185	98.7	-	-
TORRANCE	23 542	4 731	20.1	4 402	93.0	-	-
VALLEJO	10 191	1 932	19.0	1 888	97.7	-	-
WEST COVINA	12 070	1 476	12.2	1 459	99.8	-	-
WESTMINSTER	5 917	1 352	22.9	1 312	97.0	-	-
WHITTIER	7 941	1 842	23.2	1 810	98.3	-	-
COLORADO:							
AURORA	11 925	1 839	15.4	1 632	88.7	115	6.3
BOULDER	9 909	1 348	13.6	1 182	87.7	80	5.0
COLORADO SPRINGS	23 932	3 406	14.2	3 114	91.4	172	5.0
DENVER	243 452	26 160	10.7	18 975	72.5	2 441	9.3
LAKEWOOD	6 875	1 699	24.7	1 479	87.1	81	4.8
PUEBLO	22 598	2 205	9.8	1 978	89.7	61	2.8
CONNECTICUT:							
BRIDGEPORT	81 456	7 010	8.6	6 076	86.7	59	0.8
BRISTOL	23 765	1 241	5.2	1 200	96.7	2	0.2
DANBURY	27 760	1 657	6.0	1 615	97.5	3	0.2
HARTFORD	130 709	8 394	6.4	8 039	95.8	-	-
MERIDEN	22 955	1 650	7.2	1 560	94.5	-	-
MILFORD	28 223	1 481	5.2	1 425	96.2	1	0.1
NEW BRITAIN	30 605	2 236	7.3	2 086	93.3	7	0.3
NEW HAVEN	78 096	6 850	8.8	6 601	96.4	13	0.2
NORWALK	42 946	2 745	6.4	2 667	97.2	4	0.1
STAMFORD	43 229	4 548	10.5	4 325	95.2	4	0.2
WATERBURY	49 850	4 086	8.2	3 878	94.9	13	0.3
WEST HAVEN	22 628	1 538	6.8	1 470	95.6	1	0.1
DELAWARE:							
WILMINGTON	69 290	4 978	7.2	4 484	90.1	281	5.6
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:							
WASHINGTON	1 146 308	102 251	16.8	113 019	58.8	18 271	9.5
FLORIDA:							
CLEARWATER	12 940	1 680	13.0	1 522	90.6	52	3.1
FORT LAUDERDALE	34 910	6 257	17.9	5 418	86.6	359	5.7
GAINESVILLE	11 914	1 928	16.2	1 758	91.2	55	2.9
HIALEAH	10 062	2 518	25.0	2 458	97.6	10	0.4
HOLLYWOOD	18 254	4 486	24.6	4 145	92.4	128	2.9
JACKSONVILLE	130 533	19 417	14.9	10 786	55.5	3 755	19.3
MIAMI	57 941	12 728	22.0	11 745	92.3	263	2.1
MIAMI BEACH	26 766	6 102	22.8	5 729	93.9	178	2.9
ORLANDO	30 504	4 814	15.8	4 189	87.0	76	1.6
PENSACOLA	11 031	1 528	13.9	1 395	91.3	19	1.2
ST. PETERSBURG	33 615	4 812	14.3	4 235	89.0	68	1.4
TALLAHASSEE	21 837	2 010	9.2	1 878	93.4	20	1.0
TAMPA	56 181	8 043	14.3	7 199	89.5	386	4.8
WEST PALM BEACH	10 867	2 294	21.1	2 076	90.5	25	1.1
GEORGIA:							
ALBANY	16 338	1 840	11.3	1 805	98.1	9	0.5
ATLANTA	178 261	18 986	10.7	16 357	86.2	917	4.8
AUGUSTA	10 914	1 789	16.4	1 629	91.1	52	2.9
COLUMBUS	46 026	5 424	11.8	3 438	63.4	1 130	20.8
MACON	15 662	2 500	16.0	2 322	92.9	102	4.1
SAVANNAH	23 780	2 693	11.3	2 366	87.9	92	3.4
HAWAII:							
HONOLULU	155 864	25 210	16.2	22 216	88.1	-	-
IDAHO:							
BOISE CITY	10 384	1 470	14.2	1 360	92.5	11	0.7
ILLINOIS:							
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	6 416	1 700	26.5	1 296	76.2	-	-
AURORA	9 374	1 912	20.4	1 745	91.3	-	-
BERWYN	3 745	810	21.6	789	97.4	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	13 104	1 304	10.0	1 249	95.8	-	-
CHICAGO	886 860	219 159	24.7	215 882	98.5	238	0.1
CICERO	5 704	1 390	24.4	1 332	95.8	-	-
DECATUR	9 895	1 614	16.3	1 557	96.5	-	-
DES PLAINES	7 846	1 362	17.4	1 321	97.0	-	-
EAST ST LOUIS	21 024	2 925	13.9	2 846	96.1	-	-
ELGIN	5 482	1 365	24.9	1 331	97.5	-	-
EVANSTON	13 020	2 288	17.6	2 216	96.9	-	-
JOLIET	10 359	2 121	20.5	1 990	93.8	-	-
OAK LAWN	7 115	1 501	21.1	1 457	97.1	-	-
OAK PARK	10 306	1 590	15.4	1 535	96.5	-	-
PEORIA	18 297	3 790	20.7	3 657	96.5	-	-
ROCKFORD	21 742	3 526	16.2	3 356	95.2	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	11 839	1 210	10.2	1 173	96.9	14	1.2
SKOKIE	9 094	2 095	23.0	2 004	95.7	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	12 657	2 220	17.5	2 008	90.5	-	-
WAUKEGAN	7 589	1 810	23.8	1 771	97.8	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SUNNYVALE	98	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	247	5.2	-	-	82	1.7	-	-
VALLEJO	44	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	17	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	23	1.7	-	-	15	1.1	2	0.1
WHITTIER	32	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
AURORA	92	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	86	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	120	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	1 653	6.3	544	2.1	2 547	9.7	-	-
LAKEWOOD	133	7.8	6	0.4	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	67	3.0	-	-	99	4.5	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	115	1.6	-	-	-	-	760	10.8
BRISTOL	39	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	39	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	355	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	84	5.1	-	-	-	-	6	0.4
MILFORD	55	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	143	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	95	1.4	62	0.9	-	-	79	1.2
NORWALK	74	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	194	4.3	15	0.3	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	195	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	67	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	213	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	9 424	4.9	1 764	0.9	48 447	25.2	1 326	0.7
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	61	3.6	1	0.1	44	2.6	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	152	2.4	-	-	328	5.2	-	-
GAINESVILLE	65	3.4	-	-	50	2.6	-	-
HIALEAH	78	1.1	-	-	22	0.9	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	117	2.6	-	-	96	2.1	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	961	4.9	124	0.6	3 635	18.7	156	0.8
MIAMI	335	2.6	-	-	385	3.0	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	124	2.0	11	0.2	40	1.0	-	-
ORLANDO	104	2.2	-	-	321	6.7	124	2.6
PENSACOLA	43	2.8	-	-	71	4.6	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	153	3.2	-	-	356	7.4	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	112	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	259	3.2	-	-	152	1.9	47	0.6
WEST PALM BEACH	90	3.9	-	-	103	4.5	-	-
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	26	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	576	3.0	-	-	1 136	6.0	-	-
AUGUSTA	16	0.9	-	-	92	5.1	-	-
COLUMBUS	215	4.0	11	0.2	630	11.6	-	-
MACON	27	1.1	-	-	49	2.0	-	-
SAVANNAH	33	1.2	-	-	202	7.5	-	-
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	2 197	8.7	-	-	797	3.2	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	78	5.3	-	-	21	1.4	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	42	2.5	-	-	-	-	362	21.3
AURORA	60	3.1	-	-	107	5.6	-	-
BERWYN	21	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	55	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	2 594	1.2	-	-	27	(2)	418	0.2
CICERO	58	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	57	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	41	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST LOUIS	43	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	34	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	72	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	71	3.3	-	-	60	2.8	-	-
OAK LAWN	44	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	55	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	133	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	100	2.8	-	-	70	2.0	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	23	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	91	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	142	6.4	-	-	70	3.2	-	-
WAUKEGAN	39	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	9 418	1 637	17.4	1 513	92.4	49	3.0
EVANSVILLE	21 065	2 833	13.4	2 480	87.5	38	1.3
FORT WAYNE	25 687	3 878	15.1	3 627	93.5	-	-
GARY	33 122	5 467	16.5	4 554	83.3	261	4.8
HAMMOND	20 115	2 964	14.7	2 621	88.4	167	5.6
INDIANAPOLIS	205 559	25 551	12.4	18 494	72.4	3 912	15.3
MUNCIE	9 772	1 561	16.0	1 452	93.0	55	3.5
SOUTH BEND	22 191	3 233	14.6	3 078	95.2	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	10 103	1 059	10.5	966	91.2	9	0.8
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	20 279	2 138	10.5	1 790	83.7	277	13.0
COUNCIL BLUFFS	12 213	1 081	8.9	906	83.8	103	9.5
DAVENPORT	13 616	1 906	14.0	1 606	84.3	224	11.8
DES MOINES	42 191	7 179	17.0	6 247	87.0	691	9.6
DUBUQUE	8 117	1 357	16.7	1 148	84.6	181	13.3
SIoux CITY	16 466	1 915	11.6	1 264	66.0	171	8.9
WATERLOO	20 865	1 732	8.3	1 530	88.3	165	9.5
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	40 848	4 524	11.1	4 073	90.0	93	2.1
OVERLAND PARK	5 647	1 190	21.1	1 039	87.3	66	5.5
TOPEKA	23 023	3 413	14.8	3 207	94.0	58	1.7
WICHITA	63 195	6 366	10.1	4 965	78.0	216	3.4
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	7 127	1 406	19.7	1 325	94.2	26	1.8
LEXINGTON	22 846	3 221	14.1	2 807	87.1	63	2.0
LOUISVILLE	102 279	12 035	11.8	11 385	94.6	331	2.8
OWENSBORO	15 709	1 171	7.5	1 124	96.0	38	3.2
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	54 970	12 294	22.4	6 992	56.9	3 633	29.6
LAFAYETTE	8 541	868	10.2	764	88.0	87	10.0
LAKE CHARLES	15 704	932	5.9	847	90.9	37	4.0
MONROE	10 681	1 282	12.0	1 087	84.8	101	7.9
NEW ORLEANS	142 885	27 494	19.2	18 578	67.6	4 387	15.0
SHREVEPORT	27 816	4 705	16.9	4 223	89.8	294	6.2
MAINE:							
PORTLAND	33 045	1 855	5.6	1 803	97.2	-	-
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	730 168	70 996	9.7	54 178	77.3	5 018	7.1
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	502 985	69 151	13.7	48 858	70.7	10 354	15.0
BROCKTON	43 929	2 954	6.7	2 910	95.5	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	59 729	4 450	7.5	4 281	96.2	-	-
CHICOPEE	22 258	1 380	6.2	1 331	96.4	-	-
FALL RIVER	40 967	3 075	7.5	2 955	96.1	-	-
HOLYOKE	27 010	1 428	5.3	1 380	96.6	-	-
LAWRENCE	24 323	1 800	7.4	1 740	96.7	-	-
LOWELL	34 019	2 867	8.4	2 476	86.4	-	-
LYNN	45 236	3 312	7.3	2 918	88.1	-	-
MALDEN	27 711	1 647	5.9	1 611	97.8	-	-
MEDFORD	23 384	1 861	8.0	1 803	96.9	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	50 436	3 565	7.1	3 413	95.7	-	-
NEWTON	54 712	4 034	7.4	3 862	95.7	-	-
PITTSFIELD	24 499	1 187	4.8	1 168	98.4	-	-
QUINCY	60 535	3 791	6.3	3 720	98.1	-	-
SOMERVILLE	27 723	2 139	7.7	1 934	90.4	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	83 600	5 253	6.3	4 806	91.5	3	0.1
WALTHAM	21 885	1 855	8.5	1 800	97.0	-	-
WORCESTER	108 766	6 709	6.2	6 428	95.8	-	-
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	25 782	3 589	13.9	3 044	84.8	435	12.1
DEARBORN	26 249	3 717	14.2	3 338	89.8	168	4.5
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	8 323	1 899	22.8	1 624	85.5	232	12.2
DETROIT	524 652	107 640	20.5	94 281	87.6	6 375	5.9
FLINT	69 655	8 018	11.5	7 053	88.0	447	5.6
GRAND RAPIDS	46 364	6 363	13.7	5 551	87.2	517	8.1
KALAMAZOO	14 881	2 882	19.4	2 326	80.7	300	10.4
LANSING	40 960	5 371	13.1	4 625	86.1	484	9.0
LINCOLN PARK	6 473	1 376	21.3	1 246	90.6	105	7.6
LIVONIA	17 828	3 408	19.1	3 002	88.1	239	7.0
PONTIAC	35 309	3 788	10.7	3 277	86.5	356	9.4
ROSEVILLE	6 360	1 762	27.7	1 555	88.3	135	7.7
ROYAL OAK	8 565	2 088	24.4	1 765	84.5	126	6.0
SAGINAW	30 458	2 886	9.5	2 748	95.2	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	17 164	3 077	17.9	2 567	83.4	365	11.9
ST. CLAIR SHORES	10 692	2 212	20.7	2 079	94.0	97	4.4
STERLING HEIGHTS	10 333	1 963	19.0	1 803	91.8	131	6.7
TAYLOR	15 874	1 897	12.0	1 763	92.9	100	5.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	28	1.7	5	0.3	4	0.2	38	2.3
EVANSVILLE	91	3.2	-	-	6	0.2	218	7.7
FORT WAYNE	74	1.9	-	-	-	-	177	4.6
GARY	157	2.9	-	-	194	3.5	301	5.5
HAMMOND	58	2.0	-	-	118	4.0	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	1 054	4.1	92	0.4	1 999	7.8	-	-
HUNTINGTON	18	1.2	-	-	6	0.4	30	1.9
SOUTH BEND	60	1.9	-	-	53	1.6	42	1.3
TERRE HAUTE	32	3.0	-	-	-	-	52	4.9
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	71	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	39	3.6	-	-	33	3.1	-	-
DAVENPORT	224	3.5	-	-	-	-	10	0.5
DES MOINES	241	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	28	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIoux CITY	76	4.0	-	-	32	1.7	372	19.4
WATERLOO	37	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	139	3.1	-	-	177	3.9	42	0.9
OVERLAND PARK	85	7.1	-	-	-	-	35	1.0
TOPEKA	81	2.4	-	-	32	0.9	691	10.9
WICHITA	158	2.5	-	-	336	5.3	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	51	3.6	-	-	4	0.3	-	-
LEXINGTON	130	4.0	12	0.4	209	6.5	-	-
LOUISVILLE	305	2.5	-	-	-	-	14	0.1
OWENSBORO	9	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	617	5.0	135	1.1	917	7.5	-	-
LAFAYETTE	15	1.7	2	0.2	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	48	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	22	1.7	-	-	72	5.6	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	669	2.4	186	0.7	3 544	12.9	130	0.5
SHREVEPORT	38	0.8	-	-	150	3.2	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	52	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	3 699	5.2	-	-	7 467	10.5	634	0.9
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	1 337	1.9	-	-	6 145	8.9	2 457	3.6
BROCKTON	44	1.5	-	-	-	-	12	0.3
CAMBRIDGE	157	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	49	3.6	-	-	-	-	40	1.3
FALL RIVER	80	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	48	3.4	-	-	-	-	31	1.7
LAWRENCE	29	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	122	4.3	-	-	-	-	269	9.4
LYNN	90	2.7	-	-	-	-	304	9.2
MALDEN	36	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	58	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	76	2.1	-	-	-	-	76	2.1
NEWTON	172	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	19	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	71	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	65	3.0	-	-	-	-	140	6.5
SPRINGFIELD	193	3.7	-	-	251	4.8	-	-
WALTHAM	55	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	206	3.1	-	-	9	0.1	66	1.0
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	110	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	211	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	43	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	1 434	1.3	1 825	1.7	3 568	3.3	157	0.1
FLINT	236	2.9	-	-	282	3.5	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	233	3.7	-	-	62	1.0	-	-
KALAMAZOO	163	5.7	-	-	93	3.2	-	-
LANSING	134	2.5	-	-	128	2.4	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	25	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	111	3.3	-	-	56	1.6	-	-
PONTIAC	116	3.1	3	0.1	-	-	36	1.0
ROSEVILLE	72	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	54	2.6	-	-	143	6.8	-	-
SAGINAW	138	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	74	2.4	-	-	71	2.3	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	36	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	29	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	27	1.4	2	0.1	5	0.3	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
WARREN	28 723	4 501	15.7	4 018	89.3	339	7.5
WESTLAND	7 604	1 779	23.4	1 452	81.6	278	15.6
WYOMING	8 382	1 103	13.2	913	82.8	103	9.3
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	13 354	1 956	14.6	1 850	94.6	16	0.8
DULUTH	22 892	2 521	11.0	2 083	82.6	264	10.5
MINNEAPOLIS	109 953	14 977	13.6	12 306	82.2	-	-
ROCHESTER	10 575	1 626	15.4	1 574	96.8	-	-
ST. PAUL	77 031	10 096	13.1	8 562	84.8	660	6.5
MISSISSIPPI:							
JACKSON	34 684	5 256	15.2	4 634	88.2	149	2.8
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	6 306	974	15.4	908	93.2	21	2.2
FLORISSANT	3 669	1 026	28.0	988	96.3	23	2.2
INDEPENDENCE	15 432	1 875	12.2	1 715	91.5	69	3.7
KANSAS CITY	154 349	26 150	16.9	23 274	89.0	766	2.9
ST. JOSEPH	8 154	1 265	15.5	1 181	93.4	33	2.6
ST. LOUIS	192 032	48 157	25.1	34 771	72.2	4 462	9.3
SPRINGFIELD	17 199	1 854	10.8	1 760	94.9	57	3.1
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS	10 021	1 136	11.3	987	86.9	43	3.8
GREAT FALLS	10 955	1 011	9.2	896	88.5	37	3.7
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	33 097	3 755	11.3	2 484	66.2	305	8.1
OMAHA	74 219	9 795	13.2	8 730	89.1	517	5.3
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	30 186	8 745	29.0	7 636	87.3	253	2.9
RENO	19 898	3 974	20.0	3 554	89.4	150	3.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	33 588	2 014	6.0	1 760	87.4	134	6.7
NASHUA	17 407	1 809	10.4	1 568	86.7	126	7.0
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	23 220	2 819	12.1	2 647	93.9	82	2.9
BLOOMFIELD	16 107	1 730	10.7	1 621	93.7	59	3.4
CAMDEN	22 228	5 218	23.5	4 881	93.5	172	3.3
CLIFTON	21 501	2 292	10.7	2 146	93.6	76	3.3
EAST ORANGE	36 633	3 889	10.6	3 295	84.7	288	7.4
ELIZABETH	44 672	4 341	9.7	4 070	93.8	143	3.3
IRVINGTON	25 326	2 099	8.3	1 921	91.5	88	4.2
JERSEY CITY	135 343	13 123	9.7	12 602	96.0	312	2.4
NEWARK	258 632	24 408	9.4	23 283	95.4	699	2.9
PASSAIC	9 394	1 942	20.7	1 806	93.0	95	4.9
PATERSON	66 154	6 885	10.4	6 414	93.2	242	3.5
TRENTON	27 883	5 960	21.4	5 734	96.2	127	2.1
UNION CITY	19 962	2 152	10.8	1 963	91.2	107	5.0
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	69 418	8 235	11.9	7 060	85.7	277	3.4
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	30 214	4 924	16.3	4 446	90.3	252	4.7
BINGHAMTON	50 821	1 562	3.1	1 359	87.0	115	7.4
BUFFALO	255 140	28 723	11.3	20 690	72.0	7 234	25.2
MOUNT VERNON	17 909	3 063	17.1	2 674	87.3	205	6.7
NEW ROCHELLE	20 274	3 156	15.6	2 837	89.9	178	5.6
NEW YORK CITY	9 604 758	871 637	9.1	616 206	70.7	107 237	12.3
NIAGARA FALLS	26 546	2 837	10.7	2 534	89.3	172	6.1
ROCHESTER	184 954	13 310	7.2	10 953	82.3	695	5.2
ROME	13 808	814	5.9	745	91.5	52	6.4
SCHENECTADY	17 523	3 132	17.9	2 947	94.1	93	3.0
SYRACUSE	101 471	6 547	6.5	5 772	88.2	510	7.8
TROY	11 420	1 600	14.0	1 401	87.6	98	6.1
UTICA	19 260	2 232	11.6	1 939	86.9	196	8.8
WHITE PLAINS	32 106	3 408	10.6	2 967	87.1	209	6.1
YONKERS	113 625	8 601	7.6	7 372	85.7	620	7.2
NORTH CAROLINA:							
ASHEVILLE	10 681	1 361	12.7	1 344	98.8	-	-
CHARLOTTE	63 662	8 179	12.8	8 051	98.4	-	-
DURHAM	22 250	2 890	13.0	2 758	95.4	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	7 549	1 218	16.1	1 204	98.9	-	-
GREENSBORO	35 590	5 452	15.3	5 366	98.4	-	-
HIGH POINT	18 110	1 917	10.6	1 868	97.4	-	-
RALEIGH	25 725	3 596	14.0	3 528	98.1	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	46 311	4 718	10.2	4 607	97.6	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:							
FARGO	12 348	1 250	10.1	1 184	94.7	36	2.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
WARREN	142	3.2	-	-	2	(2)	-	-
WESTLAND	43	2.4	3	0.2	3	0.2	-	-
WYOMING	82	7.4	-	-	5	0.5	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	90	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	151	6.0	10	0.4	13	0.5	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	719	4.8	-	-	1 185	7.9	767	5.1
ROCHESTER	52	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	388	3.8	21	0.2	289	2.9	176	1.7
MISSISSIPPI:								
JACKSON	105	2.0	-	-	368	7.0	-	-
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	45	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	15	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	91	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	733	2.8	25	0.1	1 352	5.2	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	51	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 401	2.9	356	0.7	7 117	14.8	50	0.1
SPRINGFIELD	37	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	45	4.0	5	0.4	18	1.6	38	3.3
GREAT FALLS	23	2.3	-	-	53	5.2	2	0.2
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	220	5.9	-	-	360	9.6	386	10.3
OMAHA	463	4.7	-	-	22	0.2	63	0.6
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	344	3.9	-	-	512	5.9	-	-
RENO	113	2.8	-	-	157	4.0	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	36	1.8	-	-	84	4.2	-	-
NASHUA	21	1.2	-	-	72	4.0	22	1.2
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	90	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	46	2.7	-	-	4	0.2	-	-
CAMDEN	165	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	70	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	112	2.9	-	-	-	-	194	5.0
ELIZABETH	98	2.3	-	-	-	-	30	0.7
IRVINGTON	66	3.1	-	-	-	-	24	1.1
JERSEY CITY	209	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	403	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	41	2.1	-	-	-	-	23	0.1
PATERSON	70	1.0	69	1.0	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	96	1.6	-	-	-	-	90	1.3
UNION CITY	50	2.3	-	-	-	-	3	0.1
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	194	2.4	-	-	704	8.5	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	219	4.4	-	-	-	-	27	0.5
BINGHAMTON	62	4.0	-	-	26	1.7	-	-
BUFFALO	754	2.6	45	0.2	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	113	3.7	-	-	-	-	71	2.3
NEW ROCHELLE	141	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	24 206	2.8	7 461	0.9	104 389	12.0	12 138	1.4
NIAGARA FALLS	131	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	320	2.4	-	-	-	-	1 342	10.1
ROME	17	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	92	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	265	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	101	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	97	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	232	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	343	4.0	-	-	266	3.1	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	17	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	128	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	132	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	14	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	86	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	49	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	68	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	102	2.2	-	-	9	0.2	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	29	2.3	-	-	-	-	1	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
OHIO:							
AKRON	65 526	8 483	12.9	7 148	84.3	739	8.7
CANTON	20 484	2 468	12.0	2 029	82.2	313	12.7
CINCINNATI	283 521	20 508	7.2	16 704	81.5	1 930	9.4
CLEVELAND	175 204	40 500	23.1	34 421	85.0	2 618	6.5
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	7 636	1 294	16.9	1 149	88.8	76	5.9
COLUMBUS	109 249	19 573	17.9	15 948	81.5	1 877	9.6
DAYTON	68 521	10 257	15.0	8 148	79.4	784	7.6
ELYRIA	8 038	905	11.3	684	75.6	187	17.3
EUCLID	20 217	1 659	8.2	1 454	87.6	108	6.5
HAMILTON	10 297	1 623	15.8	1 490	91.8	112	6.9
KETTERING	5 819	1 560	26.8	1 287	82.5	171	11.0
LAKEWOOD	21 593	1 235	5.7	1 039	84.1	114	9.2
LIMA	7 296	1 495	20.5	1 162	77.7	187	12.5
LORAIN	14 707	1 157	7.9	920	79.5	117	10.1
MANSFIELD	8 192	1 248	15.2	922	73.9	222	17.8
PARMA	8 209	1 874	22.8	1 522	81.2	252	13.4
SPRINGFIELD	10 342	2 026	19.6	1 632	80.6	270	13.3
TOLEDO	80 226	12 456	15.5	10 706	86.0	831	6.7
WARREN	9 363	1 321	14.1	1 022	77.4	242	18.3
YOUNGSTOWN	23 318	3 775	16.2	3 046	80.7	402	10.6
OKLAHOMA:							
LAWTON	7 298	1 262	17.3	1 113	88.2	44	3.5
NORMAN	10 892	1 038	9.5	904	87.1	60	5.8
OKLAHOMA CITY	73 866	8 737	11.8	7 310	83.7	319	3.7
TULSA	72 065	6 719	9.3	5 642	84.0	175	2.6
OREGON:							
EUGENE	17 973	2 570	14.3	2 109	82.1	81	3.2
PORTLAND	91 424	13 478	14.7	12 739	94.5	-	-
SALEM	23 308	1 965	8.4	1 797	91.5	50	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLENTOWN	16 399	2 256	13.8	2 198	97.4	-	-
ALTOONA	5 315	879	16.5	840	95.6	-	-
BETHLEHEM	12 772	1 746	13.7	1 707	97.8	-	-
CHESTER	12 633	2 008	15.9	1 935	96.4	-	-
ERIE	20 479	2 967	14.5	2 789	94.0	77	2.6
HARRISBURG	13 140	2 305	17.5	2 250	97.6	-	-
LANCASTER	9 510	1 708	18.0	1 608	94.1	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	783 159	200 420	25.6	130 679	65.2	34 176	17.1
PITTSBURGH	111 303	20 534	18.4	19 630	95.6	354	1.7
READING	16 695	2 011	12.0	1 921	95.5	-	-
SCRANTON	13 205	1 821	13.8	1 770	97.2	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	14 974	1 131	7.6	1 105	97.7	-	-
YORK	9 093	1 278	14.1	1 244	97.3	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:							
CRANSTON	28 886	1 654	5.7	1 439	87.0	6	0.4
PANTUCKET	32 692	1 895	5.8	1 812	95.6	4	0.2
PROVIDENCE	79 889	6 433	8.1	6 067	94.3	170	2.6
WARWICK	25 846	2 141	8.3	2 092	97.7	4	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
CHARLESTON	10 402	2 559	24.6	2 501	97.7	35	1.4
COLUMBIA	21 581	2 970	13.8	2 560	86.2	215	7.2
GREENVILLE	9 589	1 515	15.8	1 400	92.4	51	3.4
SOUTH DAKOTA:							
SIoux FALLS	14 132	1 357	9.6	1 257	92.6	62	4.6
TENNESSEE:							
CHATTANOOGA	52 382	4 320	8.2	3 973	92.0	168	3.9
KNOXVILLE	63 036	4 243	6.7	3 836	90.4	50	1.2
MEMPHIS	230 309	16 844	7.3	15 321	91.0	337	2.0
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	177 455	16 363	9.2	11 040	67.5	3 291	20.1
TEXAS:							
ABILENE	8 474	1 319	15.6	1 183	89.7	50	3.8
AMARILLO	14 828	2 297	15.5	2 004	87.2	134	5.8
ARLINGTON	25 404	1 867	7.3	1 522	81.5	169	9.1
AUSTIN	59 030	6 998	11.9	6 237	89.1	329	4.7
BEAUMONT	14 413	2 517	17.5	1 990	79.1	73	2.9
BROWNSVILLE	7 163	833	11.6	797	95.7	28	3.4
CORPUS CHRISTI	30 086	4 302	14.3	3 765	87.5	139	3.2
DALLAS	182 649	31 572	17.3	29 575	93.7	1 122	3.6
EL PASO	42 989	5 861	13.6	5 520	94.2	211	3.6
FORT WORTH	67 675	8 552	12.6	7 735	90.4	192	2.2
GALVESTON	16 025	1 244	7.8	1 045	84.0	68	5.5
GARLAND	13 657	1 426	10.4	1 295	90.8	36	2.5
GRAND PRAIRIE	9 111	1 255	13.8	1 202	95.8	18	1.4
HOUSTON	194 272	34 066	17.5	30 285	88.9	1 215	3.6
IRVING	16 198	1 564	9.7	1 353	86.5	81	5.2
LAREDO	7 573	634	8.4	560	88.3	32	4.2
LUBBOCK	30 655	2 602	8.5	2 310	88.8	148	5.7
MESQUITE	6 055	936	15.5	885	94.6	20	2.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
OHIO:								
AKRON	220	2.6	-	-	277	3.3	99	1.2
CANTON	126	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	693	3.4	-	-	1 181	5.8	-	-
CLEVELAND	577	1.4	-	-	2 884	7.1	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	69	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	761	3.9	61	0.3	926	4.7	-	-
DAYTON	423	4.1	-	-	902	8.8	-	-
ELYRIA	50	5.5	-	-	14	1.5	-	-
EUCLID	79	4.8	-	-	18	1.1	-	-
HAMILTON	19	1.2	2	0.1	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	102	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	55	4.5	-	-	27	2.2	-	-
LIMA	52	3.5	-	-	70	4.7	24	1.6
LORAIN	69	6.0	-	-	51	4.4	-	-
MANSFIELD	47	3.8	2	0.2	55	4.4	-	-
PARMA	91	4.9	-	-	9	0.5	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	80	3.9	32	1.6	12	0.6	-	-
TOLEDO	216	1.7	-	-	703	5.6	-	-
WARREN	57	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	214	5.7	-	-	113	3.0	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	43	3.4	18	1.4	44	3.5	-	-
NORMAN	74	7.1	-	-	-	-	35	0.4
OKLAHOMA CITY	302	3.5	-	-	771	8.8	358	5.3
TULSA	265	3.9	-	-	279	4.2	-	-
OREGON:								
EUGENE	98	3.8	8	0.3	274	10.7	-	-
PORTLAND	326	2.4	-	-	193	1.4	220	1.6
SALEM	118	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN	58	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	25	2.8	-	-	14	1.6	-	-
BETHLEHEM	39	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	73	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	101	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	55	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	17	1.0	-	-	-	-	83	4.9
PHILADELPHIA	6 691	3.3	3 084	1.5	25 567	12.8	223	0.1
PITTSBURGH	498	2.4	-	-	50	0.2	2	(2)
READING	90	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	51	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	26	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	34	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	38	2.3	-	-	-	-	171	10.3
PANTUCKET	58	3.1	-	-	-	-	21	1.1
PROVIDENCE	196	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	45	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	23	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	54	1.8	-	-	141	4.7	-	-
GREENVILLE	33	2.2	-	-	31	2.0	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIoux FALLS	38	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	154	3.6	-	-	25	0.6	-	-
KNOXVILLE	119	2.8	-	-	238	5.6	-	-
MEMPHIS	285	1.7	-	-	901	5.3	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	364	2.2	123	0.8	1 499	9.2	46	0.3
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	55	4.2	-	-	31	2.4	-	-
AMARILLO	98	4.3	-	-	60	2.6	1	(2)
ARLINGTON	126	6.7	-	-	50	2.7	-	-
AUSTIN	216	3.1	-	-	67	2.7	216	3.1
BEAUMONT	90	3.6	-	-	67	2.7	297	11.8
BROWNSVILLE	8	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	187	4.3	-	-	211	4.9	-	-
DALLAS	814	2.6	-	-	-	-	61	0.2
EL PASO	130	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	194	2.3	-	-	431	5.0	-	-
GALVESTON	57	4.6	-	-	74	5.9	-	-
GARLAND	60	4.2	-	-	35	2.5	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	35	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	629	1.8	-	-	1 718	5.0	219	0.6
IRVING	75	4.8	-	-	55	3.5	-	-
LAREDO	42	6.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	110	4.2	-	-	34	1.3	-	-
MESQUITE	31	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of
384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TEXAS--CONTINUED							
MIDLAND	6 679	1 250	18.7	1 145	91.6	55	4.4
ODESSA	5 713	1 414	24.8	1 245	88.0	32	5.8
PASADENA	10 461	2 382	22.8	1 906	80.0	322	13.5
PORT ARTHUR	7 883	1 349	17.1	1 251	92.7	16	1.2
SAN ANGELO	5 975	1 113	18.6	1 008	90.6	44	4.0
SAN ANTONIO	89 590	14 817	16.5	13 701	92.5	715	4.8
TYLER	5 400	1 034	19.1	966	93.4	41	4.0
WACO	16 488	1 731	10.5	1 588	91.7	37	2.1
WICHITA FALLS	14 074	1 489	10.6	1 223	82.1	87	5.8
UTAH:							
OGDEN	8 174	1 598	19.6	1 390	87.0	161	10.1
PROVO	5 551	811	14.6	706	87.1	71	8.8
SALT LAKE CITY	37 249	5 468	14.7	4 671	85.4	451	8.2
VIRGINIA:							
ALEXANDRIA	53 557	4 795	9.0	3 558	74.2	620	12.9
CHESAPEAKE	40 144	3 182	7.9	1 940	61.6	400	12.6
HAMPTON	49 314	2 754	5.6	1 963	71.3	427	15.5
LYNCHBURG	25 095	1 824	7.3	1 282	70.3	179	9.8
NEWPORT NEWS	64 772	4 282	6.6	2 638	61.6	631	14.7
NORFOLK	162 493	9 955	6.1	6 593	66.2	1 240	12.5
PORTSMOUTH	57 485	3 459	6.0	2 475	71.6	566	16.4
RICHMOND	194 782	12 756	6.5	8 864	69.5	2 519	19.7
ROANOKE	45 554	3 244	7.1	1 885	58.1	624	19.2
VIRGINIA BEACH	67 020	4 568	6.8	3 203	70.1	841	18.4
WASHINGTON:							
BELLEVUE	10 856	1 515	14.0	1 370	90.4	57	3.8
EVERETT	12 049	1 598	13.3	1 510	94.5	-	-
SEATTLE	158 506	22 353	14.1	19 324	86.4	1 199	5.4
SPOKANE	29 228	4 554	15.6	4 195	92.1	163	3.6
TACOMA	34 055	5 002	14.7	4 001	80.0	273	5.5
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CHARLESTON	16 867	1 925	11.4	1 818	94.4	21	1.1
HUNTINGTON	15 866	1 650	10.4	1 586	96.1	19	1.2
WISCONSIN:							
APPLETON	22 758	1 138	5.0	1 104	97.0	-	-
GREEN BAY	43 687	1 889	4.3	1 804	95.5	22	1.2
KENOSHA	13 303	1 874	14.1	1 816	96.9	12	0.6
LA CROSSE	17 433	1 025	5.9	979	95.5	12	1.2
MADISON	83 025	4 877	5.9	4 652	95.4	-	-
MILWAUKEE	175 312	36 882	21.0	35 714	96.8	7	(2)
OSHKOSH	20 286	1 034	5.1	994	96.1	-	-
RACINE	18 173	2 830	15.6	2 725	96.3	-	-
WAUWATOSA	24 484	1 704	7.0	1 651	96.9	11	0.6
WEST ALLIS	31 874	2 029	6.4	1 947	96.0	14	0.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

CONTINUED

1 OF 4

Table 14. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TEXAS--CONTINUED								
MIDLAND	43	3.4	-	-	7	0.6	-	-
ODESSA	30	2.1	-	-	57	4.0	-	-
PASADENA	93	3.9	-	-	61	2.6	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	46	3.4	-	-	33	2.4	-	-
SAN ANGELO	32	2.9	-	-	29	2.6	3	0.2
SAN ANTONIO	400	2.7	-	-	-	-	1	(2)
TYLER	27	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	68	3.9	-	-	38	2.2	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	63	4.2	-	-	116	7.8	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN	47	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	34	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	176	3.2	-	-	51	0.9	119	2.2
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	214	4.5	20	0.4	383	8.0	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	103	3.2	-	-	719	22.6	-	-
HAMPTON	119	4.3	-	-	245	8.9	-	-
LYNCHBURG	63	3.5	-	-	294	16.1	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	125	2.9	22	0.5	866	20.2	-	-
NORFOLK	297	3.0	105	1.1	1	17.1	22	0.2
PORTSMOUTH	139	4.0	-	-	279	8.1	-	-
RICHMOND	418	3.3	-	-	955	7.5	-	-
ROANOKE	122	3.8	-	-	613	18.9	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	189	4.1	-	-	335	7.3	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	76	5.0	-	-	12	0.8	-	-
EVERETT	66	4.1	-	-	22	1.4	-	-
SEATTLE	695	3.1	-	-	1 135	5.1	-	-
SPOKANE	196	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	246	4.9	10	0.2	332	6.6	140	2.8
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	86	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	45	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	34	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	63	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	46	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	34	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	225	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 161	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	40	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	105	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	42	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	68	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 15. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL	3 696 098	3 672 462	3 518 565	153 897	61 379	89 907	2 611	23 636	11 965	11 671
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	8 954	8 954	8 254	700	700	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	711	711	700	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	2 348	2 282	2 161	121	120	1	-	66	-	66
MOBILE	4 733	4 612	4 546	66	49	17	-	121	-	121
MONTGOMERY	3 031	2 833	2 795	38	38	-	-	198	-	198
TUSCALOOSA	1 433	1 433	1 390	43	40	3	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
HESA	1 468	1 468	1 287	181	64	38	79	-	-	-
PHOENIX	28 721	28 721	22 050	6 671	525	5 222	924	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	1 418	1 418	1 336	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	1 562	1 562	1 417	145	129	16	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	8 493	8 395	7 919	476	337	139	-	98	-	98
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	939	939	907	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	3 149	3 064	2 705	359	276	83	-	85	-	85
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	1 388	1 341	1 215	126	71	55	-	47	-	47
PINE BLUFF	830	805	738	67	67	-	-	25	-	25
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	1 657	1 657	1 627	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	1 639	1 639	1 633	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	5 442	5 400	5 181	219	219	-	-	42	-	42
BAKERSFIELD	2 893	2 893	2 756	137	130	7	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	902	855	855	-	-	-	-	847	-	847
BERKELEY	3 884	3 884	3 853	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	1 706	1 686	1 681	5	5	-	-	20	-	20
BURBANK	3 452	3 452	3 364	88	88	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	1 504	1 504	1 504	-	-	-	-	1 424	-	1 424
CHULA VISTA	1 794	1 794	1 724	70	41	29	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	3 259	3 259	3 201	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	2 297	2 297	2 186	111	111	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	2 594	2 594	2 515	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	1 473	1 473	1 459	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	2 360	2 326	2 282	44	44	-	-	34	-	34
EL CAJON	1 468	1 468	1 384	84	46	38	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	1 604	1 604	1 570	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	2 471	2 471	2 414	57	44	13	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	6 462	6 462	6 319	143	143	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	2 539	2 539	2 396	143	110	33	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	2 617	2 617	2 553	64	34	30	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	3 598	3 598	3 481	157	157	-	-	-	-	-
HAYTHORNE	1 281	1 281	1 221	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	2 204	2 204	2 147	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	4 271	4 229	3 990	239	239	-	-	42	-	42
INGLEWOOD	4 898	4 898	4 725	173	173	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	1 673	1 673	1 673	-	-	-	-	1 362	-	1 362
LONG BEACH	14 611	14 611	14 370	241	92	149	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	157 229	157 003	151 959	5 044	1 835	2 645	564	226	-	226
MODESTO	1 813	1 813	1 801	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	1 329	1 329	1 278	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	961	961	961	-	-	-	-	924	-	924
OAKLAND	16 339	16 339	16 167	172	172	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	1 583	1 583	1 570	13	10	3	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	2 211	2 185	2 159	26	26	-	-	26	-	26
OXNARD	1 811	1 811	1 798	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	1 974	1 974	1 964	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	5 036	5 036	4 965	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	1 045	1 045	1 045	-	-	-	-	1 026	-	1 026
POKONA	2 917	2 917	2 853	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	1 829	1 829	1 767	62	62	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	1 425	1 425	1 413	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	3 610	3 610	3 543	67	66	1	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	4 293	4 293	4 099	194	169	25	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	10 257	10 257	9 871	386	386	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	1 790	1 790	1 512	278	46	232	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	3 651	3 651	3 624	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	1 648	1 648	1 597	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	18 650	18 650	18 145	505	458	47	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	62 124	62 124	61 045	1 078	1 007	68	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	14 115	14 115	13 737	378	378	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	1 710	1 710	1 692	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
SAN HATEO	2 134	2 134	2 088	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	4 133	4 133	4 093	40	40	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SANTA BARBARA	2 219	2 219	2 203	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	2 136	2 136	2 051	85	85	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	3 543	3 543	3 517	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	1 023	1 023	966	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	930	930	921	9	9	-	-	66	-	66
SOUTH GATE	1 596	1 595	1 566	29	28	1	-	121	-	121
STOCKTON	4 271	4 271	4 108	163	156	7	-	198	-	198
SUNNYVALE	2 283	2 283	2 272	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	4 731	4 731	4 693	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	1 932	1 932	1 908	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	1 476	1 476	1 465	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	1 367	1 367	1 345	7	7	-	-	15	-	15
WHITTIER	1 842	1 842	1 826	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
AURORA	1 839	1 839	1 772	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	1 348	1 348	1 337	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	3 406	3 406	3 239	167	167	-	-	85	-	85
DENVER	26 160	26 160	25 121	1 039	748	288	3	47	-	47
LAKEWOOD	1 699	1 699	1 538	161	161	-	-	25	-	25
PUEBLO	2 205	2 205	2 131	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	7 010	7 010	6 657	353	353	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	1 241	1 241	1 200	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	1 657	1 657	1 590	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	8 394	8 394	8 004	390	390	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	1 650	1 650	1 621	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	1 481	1 481	1 430	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	2 236	2 236	2 156	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	6 850	6 850	6 674	176	176	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	2 745	2 745	2 593	152	152	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	4 544	4 544	4 450	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	4 086	4 086	4 011	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	1 538	1 538	1 538	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	4 978	4 978	4 938	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	192 251	192 251	183 558	8 693	569	7 275	849	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	1 680	1 680	1 451	229	229	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	6 257	6 257	6 197	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	1 935	1 928	1 694	230	199	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	2 518	2 518	2 359	159	159	-	-	35	-	35
HOLLYWOOD	4 486	4 486	4 373	113	113	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	19 417	19 417	18 828	589	49	535	5	-	-	-
MIAMI	12 728	12 728	12 138	590	47	543	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	6 102	6 102	5 861	241	241</					

Table 15. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED										
DES PLAINES	1 362	1 362	1 335	27	27	-	-	-	-	
EAST ST. LOUIS	2 025	2 025	2 025	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ELGIN	1 365	1 365	1 288	77	77	-	-	-	-	
EVANSTON	2 288	2 288	2 254	34	8	26	-	-	-	
JOLIET	2 121	2 121	2 060	61	61	-	-	-	-	
OAK LAWN	1 501	1 501	1 433	68	68	-	-	-	-	
OAK PARK	1 590	1 590	1 534	56	53	3	-	-	-	
PEORIA	3 790	3 790	3 786	4	4	-	-	-	-	
ROCKFORD	3 526	3 526	3 369	157	66	91	-	-	-	
ROCK ISLAND	1 210	1 210	1 080	130	11	119	-	-	-	
SKOKIE	2 095	2 095	2 033	62	62	-	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	2 220	2 220	1 993	227	69	158	-	-	-	
WAUKEGAN	1 810	1 810	1 641	169	169	-	-	-	-	
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	1 637	1 637	1 583	54	42	12	-	-	-	
EVANSVILLE	2 833	2 833	2 828	5	96	-	-	-	-	
FORT WAYNE	3 902	3 878	3 782	96	177	-	24	24	-	
GARY	5 467	5 467	5 290	177	60	-	-	-	-	
HAMMOND	2 964	2 964	2 904	60	60	-	-	-	-	
INDIANAPOLIS	25 945	25 951	23 952	1 599	1 599	-	394	394	-	
MUNCIE	1 561	1 561	1 489	72	72	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH BEND	3 233	3 233	2 933	300	300	-	-	-	-	
TERRE HAUTE	1 059	1 059	1 019	40	40	-	-	-	-	
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	2 214	2 138	2 035	103	103	-	76	-	76	
COUNCIL BLUFFS	1 081	1 081	1 008	73	61	12	-	-	-	
DAVENPORT	1 906	1 906	1 811	95	95	-	-	-	-	
DES MOINES	7 179	7 179	6 762	417	52	365	-	-	-	
DUBUQUE	1 357	1 357	1 339	18	18	-	-	-	-	
SIOUX CITY	1 915	1 915	1 873	42	42	-	-	-	-	
WATERLOO	1 732	1 732	1 642	90	90	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	4 524	4 524	4 444	80	80	-	-	-	-	
OVERLAND PARK	1 190	1 190	1 147	43	43	-	-	-	-	
TOPEKA	3 414	3 413	3 116	297	297	-	1	1	-	
WICHITA	6 366	6 366	6 264	102	102	-	-	-	-	
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	1 436	1 406	1 332	74	74	-	30	-	30	
LEXINGTON	3 221	3 221	3 202	19	19	-	-	-	-	
LOUISVILLE	12 195	12 035	11 263	772	739	33	120	10	110	
OWENSBORO	1 171	1 171	1 051	120	120	-	-	-	-	
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	12 310	12 294	10 531	1 763	801	962	16	1	15	
LAFAYETTE	889	868	806	62	59	3	21	-	21	
LAKE CHARLES	939	932	833	99	99	-	7	-	7	
MONROE	1 282	1 282	1 217	65	65	-	-	-	-	
NEW ORLEANS	27 494	27 494	26 490	1 004	590	414	-	-	-	
SHREVEPORT	4 705	4 705	4 502	203	203	-	-	-	-	
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	1 926	1 855	1 817	38	-	38	71	-	71	
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	70 996	70 996	69 334	1 662	806	856	-	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	69 292	69 151	67 556	1 595	1 026	569	141	-	141	
BROCKTON	2 954	2 954	2 935	19	19	-	-	-	-	
CAMBRIDGE	4 450	4 450	4 430	20	20	-	-	-	-	
CHICOPEE	1 380	1 380	1 351	29	29	-	-	-	-	
FALL RIVER	3 075	3 075	3 042	33	33	-	-	-	-	
HOLYOKE	1 428	1 428	1 408	20	20	-	-	-	-	
LAWRENCE	1 800	1 800	1 784	16	16	-	-	-	-	
LOWELL	2 867	2 867	2 672	195	195	-	-	-	-	
LYNN	3 312	3 312	3 165	147	22	125	-	-	-	
MALDEN	1 647	1 647	1 645	2	2	-	-	-	-	
MEDFORD	1 861	1 861	1 832	29	29	-	-	-	-	
NEW BEDFORD	3 565	3 565	3 511	54	48	6	-	-	-	
NEWTON	4 034	4 034	3 958	76	76	-	-	-	-	
PITTSFIELD	1 187	1 187	1 170	17	17	-	-	-	-	
QUINCY	3 791	3 791	3 682	109	109	-	-	-	-	
SOMERVILLE	2 139	2 139	2 099	40	40	-	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	5 253	5 253	5 124	127	85	42	-	-	-	
WALTHAM	1 855	1 855	1 848	7	7	-	-	-	-	
WORCESTER	6 709	6 709	6 709	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	3 589	3 589	3 564	25	25	-	-	-	-	
DEARBORN	3 735	3 717	3 699	18	18	-	-	-	-	
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	1 903	1 899	1 809	90	90	-	18	-	18	
DETROIT	108 961	107 640	105 407	2 233	1 957	276	1 321	-	1 321	
FLINT	8 018	7 649	7 649	369	369	-	-	-	-	
GRAND RAPIDS	6 401	6 363	6 315	48	48	-	38	-	38	
KALAMAZOO	2 882	2 882	2 787	95	77	18	-	-	-	
LANSING	5 371	5 371	5 109	262	212	-	50	-	-	
LINCOLN PARK	1 383	1 376	1 354	22	22	-	7	-	7	
LIVONIA	3 408	3 408	3 397	11	11	-	-	-	-	
PONTIAC	3 838	3 788	3 763	25	25	-	50	-	50	
ROSEVILLE	1 762	1 762	1 709	53	50	3	-	-	-	
ROYAL OAK	2 088	2 088	2 080	8	8	-	-	-	-	
SAGINAW	2 886	2 886	2 802	84	84	-	-	-	-	
SOUTHFIELD	3 083	3 077	2 976	101	101	-	6	-	6	
ST. CLAIR SHORES	2 212	2 212	2 151	61	61	-	-	-	-	
STERLING HEIGHTS	1 963	1 963	1 689	274	273	1	-	-	-	
TAYLOR	1 901	1 897	1 854	43	39	4	-	-	-	
WARREN	4 501	4 501	4 437	64	29	35	-	-	-	
WESTLAND	1 791	1 779	1 767	12	12	-	12	-	12	
WYOMING	1 103	1 103	1 058	45	45	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	1 969	1 956	1 951	5	5	-	13	-	13	
DULUTH	2 535	2 521	2 469	52	50	2	14	-	14	
MINNEAPOLIS	14 977	14 977	14 902	75	67	8	-	-	-	
ROCHESTER	1 628	1 626	1 591	35	35	-	2	-	2	
ST. PAUL	10 096	10 096	9 858	238	235	3	-	-	-	
MISSISSIPPI:										
JACKSON	5 256	5 256	4 883	373	373	-	-	-	-	
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	974	974	872	102	102	-	-	-	-	
FLORISSANT	1 026	1 026	965	61	52	9	-	-	-	
INDEPENDENCE	1 875	1 875	1 773	102	102	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS CITY	26 150	26 150	24 167	1 983	248	1 635	-	-	-	
ST. JOSEPH	1 268	1 265	1 183	82	82	-	3	-	3	
ST. LOUIS	48 157	48 157	45 239	1 918	535	1 383	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	1 854	1 854	1 817	37	32	5	-	-	-	
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	1 138	1 136	1 126	10	10	-	2	-	2	
GREAT FALLS	1 011	1 011	966	45	35	10	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	3 755	3 755	3 588	167	165	2	-	-	-	
OMAHA	9 882	9 795	9 544	251	251	-	87	-	87	
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	8 888	8 745	8 638	107	107	-	143	-	143	
RENO	3 974	3 974	3 762	212	159	53	-	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	2 014	2 014	2 005	9	9	-	-	-	-	
NASHUA	1 809	1 809	1 767	42	10	32	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	2 819	2 819	2 819	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLOOMFIELD	1 730	1 730	1 730	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	5 218	5 218	5 218	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLIFTON	2 292	2 292	2 292	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EAST ORANGE	3 891	3 889	3 775	111	111	-	2	-	2	
ELIZABETH	4 341	4 341	4 341	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IRVINGTON	2 099	2 099	2 081	18	18	-	-	-	-	
JERSEY CITY	13 123	13 123	13 123	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWARK	24 408	24 408	23 625	783	532	251	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	1 942	1 942	1 934	8	8	-	-	-	-	
PATERSON	6 885	6 885	6 885	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TRENTON	5 960	5 960	5 444	516	15	501	-	-	-	
UNION CITY	2 152	2 152	2 132	20	20	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	8 235	8 235	7 856	379	379	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	4 924	4 924	4 814	110	101	9	-	-	-	
BINGHAMTON	1 660	1 562	1 486	76	76	-	98	-	98	
BUFFALO	28 723	28 723	22 285	6 438	191	6 247	-	-	-	
MOUNT VERNON	3 063	3 063	3 042	21	21	-	-	-	-	
NEW ROCHELLE	3 156	3 156	3 102	54	54	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
NEW YORK CITY	177 352	871 637	819 066	52 571	3 066	49 505	--	5 715	5 715	--
NIAGARA FALLS	2 837	2 837	2 824	13	13	--	--	--	--	--
ROCHESTER	13 310	13 310	12 729	581	581	--	--	--	--	--
ROME	814	814	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
SCHENECTADY	3 132	3 132	2 104	1 028	57	971	--	--	--	--
SYRACUSE	6 547	6 547	6 323	224	224	--	--	--	--	--
TROY	1 600	1 600	1 596	4	4	--	--	--	--	--
UTICA	2 232	2 232	2 154	78	78	--	--	--	--	--
WHITE PLAINS	3 408	3 408	3 396	12	12	--	--	--	--	--
YONKERS	8 601	8 601	8 601	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	1 375	1 361	1 317	44	44	--	--	14	--	14
CHARLOTTE	8 179	8 179	7 754	425	425	--	--	--	--	--
DURHAM	2 890	2 890	2 724	166	166	--	--	--	--	--
FAYETTEVILLE	1 218	1 218	1 133	85	85	--	--	--	--	--
GREENSBORO	5 452	5 452	4 728	724	724	--	--	--	--	--
HIGH POINT	1 917	1 917	1 748	169	169	--	--	--	--	--
RALEIGH	3 614	3 596	3 366	230	230	--	18	18	--	--
WINSTON-SALEM	4 751	4 718	4 301	417	417	--	33	6	27	--
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	1 252	1 250	1 208	42	42	--	--	2	--	2
OHIO:										
AKRON	8 587	8 483	8 212	271	271	--	104	--	104	--
CANTON	2 480	2 468	2 404	64	64	--	12	--	12	--
CINCINNATI	20 679	20 508	20 278	230	63	167	171	--	171	--
CLEVELAND	40 500	40 500	39 728	772	--	772	--	--	--	--
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1 294	1 294	1 226	68	68	--	--	--	--	--
COLUMBUS	19 573	19 573	19 358	215	215	--	--	--	--	--
DAYTON	10 257	10 257	10 241	16	16	--	--	--	--	--
ELYRIA	919	905	865	40	40	--	14	--	14	--
EUCLID	1 659	1 659	1 611	48	48	--	--	--	--	--
HAMILTON	1 650	1 623	1 592	31	31	--	27	--	27	--
KETTERING	1 560	1 560	1 264	296	160	136	--	--	--	--
LAKEWOOD	1 235	1 235	1 212	23	23	--	--	--	--	--
LIMA	1 495	1 495	1 434	61	61	--	--	--	--	--
LORAIN	1 157	1 157	1 157	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MANSFIELD	1 255	1 248	1 241	7	7	--	7	--	7	--
PARMA	1 879	1 874	1 743	131	131	--	5	--	5	--
SPRINGFIELD	2 045	2 026	1 872	154	114	40	19	--	19	--
TOLEDO	12 475	12 456	12 216	240	129	111	19	--	19	--
WARREN	1 321	1 321	1 306	15	15	--	--	--	--	--
YOUNGSTOWN	3 775	3 775	3 715	60	60	--	--	--	--	--
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	1 262	1 262	1 209	53	53	--	--	--	--	--
NORMAN	1 043	1 038	923	115	115	--	5	--	5	--
OKLAHOMA CITY	8 737	8 737	8 439	298	298	--	--	--	--	--
TULSA	6 719	6 719	6 661	58	58	--	--	--	--	--
OREGON:										
EUGENE	2 570	2 570	2 547	23	23	--	--	--	--	--
PORTLAND	13 501	13 478	13 409	69	69	--	23	--	23	--
SALEM	1 965	1 965	1 942	23	23	--	--	--	--	--
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	2 256	2 256	2 195	61	61	--	--	--	--	--
ALTOONA	879	879	861	18	18	--	--	--	--	--
BETHLEHEM	1 746	1 746	1 713	33	33	--	--	--	--	--
CHESTER	2 008	2 008	1 932	76	76	--	--	--	--	--
ERIE	2 967	2 967	2 889	78	78	--	--	--	--	--
HARRISBURG	2 324	2 305	2 144	161	161	--	19	--	19	--
LANCASTER	1 708	1 708	1 601	107	107	--	--	--	--	--
PHILADELPHIA	205 661	200 420	197 197	3 223	3 077	141	5	5 241	5 241	--
PITTSBURGH	20 534	20 534	20 469	65	65	--	--	--	--	--
READING	2 011	2 011	1 953	58	58	--	--	--	--	--
SCRANTON	1 821	1 821	1 821	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
WILKES-BARRE	1 131	1 131	1 098	33	33	--	--	--	--	--
YORK	1 278	1 278	1 234	44	44	--	--	--	--	--
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	1 654	1 654	1 582	72	62	10	--	--	--	--
PAWTUCKET	1 895	1 895	1 867	28	28	--	--	--	--	--
PROVIDENCE	6 433	6 433	6 254	179	179	--	--	--	--	--
WARWICK	2 141	2 141	2 106	35	35	--	--	--	--	--

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	2 559	2 559	1 467	1 092	83	1 009	--	--	--	--
COLUMBIA	2 970	2 970	2 832	138	138	--	--	--	--	--
GREENVILLE	1 515	1 515	1 466	49	49	--	--	--	--	--
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIoux FALLS	1 357	1 357	1 302	55	55	--	--	--	--	--
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	4 320	4 320	4 159	161	161	--	--	--	--	--
KNOXVILLE	4 243	4 243	4 151	92	92	--	--	--	--	--
MEMPHIS	17 849	16 844	15 652	1 192	1 166	26	1 005	--	1 005	--
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	16 363	16 363	15 295	1 068	1 068	--	--	--	--	--
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	1 319	1 319	1 299	20	20	--	--	--	--	--
AMARILLO	2 297	2 297	2 129	108	108	--	--	--	--	--
ARLINGTON	1 867	1 867	1 779	88	88	--	--	--	--	--
AUSTIN	6 998	6 998	6 585	413	197	216	--	--	--	--
BEAUMONT	2 517	2 517	2 426	91	91	--	--	--	--	--
BROWNSVILLE	854	833	778	55	55	--	21	--	21	--
CORPUS CHRISTI	4 311	4 302	3 882	420	323	97	9	--	9	--
DALLAS	31 572	31 572	31 254	318	292	26	--	--	--	--
EL PASO	5 893	5 861	5 524	337	337	--	32	--	32	--
FORT WORTH	8 552	8 552	8 520	32	32	--	--	--	--	--
GALVESTON	1 244	1 244	1 179	65	65	--	--	--	--	--
GARLAND	1 426	1 426	1 356	70	70	--	--	--	--	--
GRAND PRAIRIE	1 255	1 255	1 255	607	49	558	--	--	--	--
HOUSTON	34 066	34 066	32 226	1 840	1 442	397	1	--	1	--
IRVING	1 564	1 564	1 349	215	52	163	--	--	--	--
LAREDO	1 654	1 634	1 632	2	2	--	20	--	20	--
LUBBOCK	2 602	2 602	2 493	109	109	--	10	--	10	--
MESQUITE	936	936	854	82	82	--	--	--	--	--
MIDLAND	1 250	1 250	1 248	2	2	--	--	--	--	--
ODESSA	1 414	1 414	1 366	48	48	--	--	--	--	--
PASADENA	2 382	2 382	1 666	716	118	598	--	--	--	--
PORT ARTHUR	1 349	1 349	1 004	345	53	292	--	--	--	--
SAN ANGELO	1 114	1 113	1 076	37	36	1	1	--	1	--
SAN ANTONIO	15 095	14 817	14 170	647	608	39	278	--	278	--
TYLER	1 045	1 034	949	85	85	--	11	--	11	--
WACO	1 774	1 731	1 660	71	71	--	43	--	43	--
WICHITA FALLS	1 489	1 489	1 427	62	62	--	--	--	--	--
UTAH:										
OGDEN	1 598	1 598	1 535	63	60	3	--	--	--	--
PROVO	816	811	775	36	36	--	5	--	5	--
SALT LAKE CITY	5 468	5 468	5 227	241	70	171	--	--	--	--
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	4 804	4 795	4 631	164	163	1	9	--	9	--
CHESAPEAKE	3 277	3 182	3 029	153	153	--	95	--	95	--
HAMPTON	3 058	2 754	2 711	43	43	--	304	--	304	--
LYNCHBURG	1 824	1 824	1 744	80	80	--	--	--	--	--
NEWPORT NEWS	4 282	4 282	4 142	140	140	--	--	--	--	--
NORFOLK	9 965	9 955	9 221	734	510	224	10	--	10	--
PORTSMOUTH	3 472	3 459	3 372	87	51	35	13	--	13	--
RICHMOND	12 758	12 756	12 546	210	210	--	2	--	2	--
ROANOKE	3 244	3 244	3 185	59	59	--	--	--	--	--
VIRGINIA BEACH	4 594	4 568	4 504	64	47	17	26	--	26	--
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	1 515	1 515	1 422	93	93	--	--	--	--	--
EVERETT	1 632	1 598	1 539	59	1	58	34	--	34	--
SEATTLE	22 443	22 353	22 020	333	147	186	90	--	90	--
SPOKANE	4 823	4 554								

Table 16. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution Governments:

Line number	City	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial				
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees				
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
1	TOTAL	1 336 392	268 645	262 253	264 803	19.8	222 414	217 788	219 455	82.9	19 540	18 896	19 332	7.3
2	ALABAMA:													
3	BIRMINGHAM	3 752	892	877	879	23.4	780	773	774	88.1	38	31	32	3.6
4	GAUSDEN	607	86	84	85	14.0	80	80	80	94.1	2	2	2	2.4
5	HUNTSVILLE	2 169	298	296	298	13.7	269	269	269	90.3	11	9	11	3.7
6	MOBILE	1 985	443	442	443	22.3	414	414	414	93.5	13	12	13	2.9
7	MONTGOMERY	1 883	317	317	317	16.8	275	275	275	86.8	17	17	17	5.4
8	TUSCALOOSA	1 679	151	144	148	8.8	134	134	134	90.5	5	-	3	2.0
9	ARIZONA:													
10	MESA	6 600	119	109	114	19.0	108	103	103	90.4	7	5	5	4.4
11	PHOENIX	6 422	1 739	1 715	1 728	26.9	1 535	1 525	1 527	88.4	96	85	95	5.5
12	SCOTTSDALE	585	127	122	123	21.0	117	114	115	93.5	5	4	4	3.3
13	TEMPE	512	144	126	128	25.0	130	114	116	90.6	7	6	6	4.7
14	TUCSON	3 122	714	697	701	22.5	655	644	646	92.2	24	22	23	3.3
15	ARKANSAS:													
16	FORT SMITH	482	117	111	115	23.9	108	108	108	93.9	6	-	4	3.5
17	LITTLE ROCK	1 443	336	331	333	23.1	302	299	300	90.1	7	6	6	1.8
18	NORTH LITTLE ROCK	673	140	138	139	20.7	122	120	121	87.1	7	7	7	5.0
19	PINE BLUFF	361	119	119	119	33.0	102	102	102	85.7	9	9	9	7.6
20	CALIFORNIA:													
21	ALAMEDA	430	107	107	107	24.9	104	104	104	97.2	-	-	-	-
22	ALHAMBRA	414	113	110	111	26.8	109	107	107	96.4	-	-	-	-
23	ANAHEIM	1 396	428	357	366	26.2	409	338	347	94.8	-	-	-	-
24	BAKERSFIELD	681	208	204	205	30.1	202	198	199	97.1	-	-	-	-
25	BELLFLOWER	75	16	1	3	4.0	15	1	2	66.7	-	-	-	-
26	BERKELEY	1 265	290	240	250	19.8	280	231	240	96.0	4	4	4	1.6
27	BUENA PARK	342	126	115	117	34.2	125	115	116	99.1	-	-	-	-
28	BURBANK	903	226	216	218	24.1	210	200	202	92.7	-	-	-	-
29	CARSON	127	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	CHULA VISTA	475	111	105	106	22.3	107	101	102	96.2	-	-	-	-
31	COMPTON	598	211	210	210	35.1	208	207	207	98.6	-	-	-	-
32	CONCORD	391	155	141	147	37.6	153	140	145	98.6	-	-	-	-
33	COSTA MESA	496	158	156	158	31.9	158	156	158	100.0	-	-	-	-
34	DAILY CITY	345	104	97	98	28.4	102	96	97	99.0	-	-	-	-
35	DOWNNEY	430	133	130	131	30.5	133	130	131	100.0	-	-	-	-
36	EL CAJON	327	113	100	104	31.8	108	99	101	97.1	-	-	-	-
37	EL MONTE	319	115	100	102	32.0	113	98	100	98.0	-	-	-	-
38	FREMONT	516	172	151	153	29.7	168	148	150	98.0	-	-	-	-
39	FRESNO	1 920	458	407	419	21.8	447	396	408	97.4	-	-	-	-
40	FULLERTON	564	174	165	167	29.6	172	163	165	98.8	-	-	-	-
41	GARDEN GROVE	551	186	170	171	31.0	184	168	169	98.8	-	-	-	-
42	GLENDALE	1 061	229	209	205	19.3	220	200	205	100.0	-	-	-	-
43	HAWTHORNE	246	80	73	74	30.1	74	68	69	93.2	-	-	-	-
44	HAYWARD	613	169	165	166	27.1	162	159	159	96.8	-	-	-	-
45	HUNTINGTON BEACH	857	302	282	281	30.5	282	251	251	96.2	-	-	-	-
46	INGLEWOOD	637	252	222	227	35.8	243	214	219	96.5	-	-	-	-
47	LAKEWOOD	177	12	5	7	4.0	11	5	6	85.7	-	-	-	-
48	LONG BEACH	4 074	893	893	893	21.9	787	787	787	88.1	-	-	-	-
49	LOS ANGELES	32 450	10 161	10 157	10 158	31.3	9 839	9 839	9 839	96.9	-	-	-	-
50	MODESTO	582	146	142	142	24.4	141	138	138	97.2	-	-	-	-
51	MOUNTAIN VIEW	361	85	78	80	22.2	83	76	78	97.5	-	-	-	-
52	NORWALK	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	OAKLAND	4 377	1 023	1 019	1 019	23.3	920	916	916	89.9	-	-	-	-
54	ONTARIO	412	131	111	115	27.9	130	111	114	99.1	-	-	-	-
55	ORANGE	495	165	149	151	30.5	161	146	148	98.0	-	-	-	-
56	OXNARD	588	133	127	128	21.8	129	123	124	96.9	-	-	-	-
57	PALO ALTO	681	131	119	122	17.9	124	114	116	95.1	-	-	-	-
58	PASADENA	1 436	330	292	296	20.6	314	276	280	94.6	-	-	-	-
59	PICO RIVERA	74	1	-	1	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
60	POMONA	752	183	174	175	23.3	182	162	172	98.3	-	-	-	-
61	REDONDO BEACH	399	104	100	101	25.3	101	97	98	97.0	-	-	-	-
62	REDWOOD CITY	405	87	83	84	20.7	81	78	79	98.0	-	-	-	-
63	RICHMOND	865	185	185	185	21.4	181	181	181	97.8	-	-	-	-
64	RIVERSIDE	1 221	281	276	277	22.7	275	270	271	97.8	-	-	-	-
65	SACRAMENTO	2 798	737	669	686	24.5	725	660	675	98.4	-	-	-	-
66	SALINAS	424	130	118	122	28.8	113	105	107	87.7	-	-	-	-
67	SAN BERNARDINO	1 201	270	270	270	22.5	263	263	263	97.4	-	-	-	-
68	SAN BUENAVENTURA	472	111	107	107	22.7	108	104	104	97.2	-	-	-	-
69	SAN DIEGO	5 485	1 408	1 408	1 408	25.7	1 290	1 290	1 290	91.6	-	-	-	-
70	SAN FRANCISCO	17 386	4 228	3 872	3 998	23.0	2 834	2 605	2 649	66.3	561	482	557	13.9
71	SAN JOSE	3 500	863	852	854	24.5	831	820	824	96.3	-	-	-	-
72	SAN LEANDRO	476	115	115	115	24.2	110	110	110	95.7	-	-	-	-
73	SAN MATEO	561	142	140	140	25.0	138	137	137	97.9	-	-	-	-
74	SANTA ANA	1 047	297	296	297	28.4	283	283	283	95.3	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

of Full-Time Equivalent Employees of 384 Large City October 1973

Line number	City	Total full-time equivalent employees	Legal services and prosecution				Indigent defense				Correction				Other criminal justice			
			Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	TOTAL	7 978	7 538	7 753	2.9	136	109	127	(2)	17 436	16 814	17 011	6.4	1 141	1 108	1 125	0.4	
2	ALABAMA:																	
3	BIRMINGHAM	20	19	19	2.2	-	-	-	-	54	54	54	6.1	-	-	-	-	
4	GAUSDEN	4	4	4	1.2	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	2.4	-	-	-	-	
5	HUNTSVILLE	4	4	4	1.3	-	-	-	-	14	14	14	4.7	-	-	-	-	
6	MOBILE	5	5	5	1.1	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	2.5	-	-	-	-	
7	MONTGOMERY	3	3	3	0.9	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	6.9	-	-	-	-	
8	TUSCALOOSA	5	3	4	2.7	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	4.7	-	-	-	-	
9	ARIZONA:																	
10	MESA	5	1	5	4.4	1	-	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11	PHOENIX	69	66															

Table 16. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution Governments:

Line number	City	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial										
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees						
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent							
1	CALIFORNIA--CONT.																			
2	SANTA BARBARA	711	184	176	177	24.9	179	171	172	97.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	SANTA CLARA	611	132	126	129	21.1	127	122	124	96.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	SANTA MONICA	851	231	209	215	25.3	215	195	201	93.5	-	-	-	14	6.5	-	-	-	-	-
5	SANTA ROSA	508	76	73	74	14.6	71	69	70	94.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	SIMI VALLEY	161	70	69	69	42.9	67	66	66	95.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	SOUTH GATE	305	109	106	107	35.1	102	100	100	93.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	STOCKTON	1 107	263	254	256	23.1	258	249	251	98.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	SUNNYVALE	506	228	62	108	21.3	223	59	105	97.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	TORRANCE	1 069	269	264	265	24.8	251	247	248	93.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	VALLEJO	385	129	129	129	33.5	127	127	127	98.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	WEST COVINA	348	122	94	97	27.9	122	94	97	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	WESTMINSTER	246	103	93	94	38.2	103	93	94	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	WHITTIER	412	110	109	109	26.5	110	109	109	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	COLORADO:																			
16	AURORA	624	169	164	167	26.8	149	149	149	89.2	12	8	11	6.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	BOULDER	587	163	134	136	23.2	148	120	122	89.7	9	9	9	6.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	COLORADO SPRINGS	1 543	318	316	317	20.5	291	289	290	91.5	15	15	15	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	DENVER	10 701	2 310	2 257	2 267	21.2	1 722	1 685	1 685	74.3	229	225	226	10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	LAKWOOD	509	249	245	246	48.3	216	216	216	87.8	12	12	12	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	PUEBLO	672	211	205	206	30.7	191	187	188	91.3	9	8	8	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	CONNECTICUT:																			
23	BRIDGEPORT	4 780	521	516	518	10.8	480	480	480	92.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Bristol	1 413	98	90	94	6.7	94	88	89	94.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	DANBURY	1 396	113	102	107	7.7	110	102	104	97.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	HARTFORD	5 574	595	593	593	10.6	577	576	576	97.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	MERIDAN	1 633	103	103	103	6.3	99	99	99	96.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	MILFORD	1 509	113	110	113	7.5	109	109	109	96.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	NEW BRITAIN	1 708	188	188	188	11.0	184	184	184	97.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	NEW HAVEN	4 004	474	464	473	11.8	459	459	459	97.0	1	-	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	NORWALK	2 471	241	198	210	8.5	236	195	205	97.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	STAMFORD	3 322	298	291	292	8.8	288	281	282	96.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	WATERBURY	3 004	370	369	370	12.3	357	357	357	96.5	1	-	1	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	WEST HAVEN	1 121	112	98	103	9.2	106	95	98	95.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	DELAWARE:																			
36	WILMINGTON	3 062	406	406	406	13.3	359	359	359	88.4	30	30	30	7.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:																			
38	WASHINGTON	48 523	9 872	9 872	9 872	20.3	5 744	5 744	5 744	58.2	994	994	994	10.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	FLORIDA:																			
40	CLEARWATER	977	197	194	194	19.9	175	175	175	90.2	11	9	9	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	FORT LAUDERDALE	2 077	555	554	554	26.7	479	479	479	86.5	33	32	32	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	GAINESVILLE	966	187	187	187	19.4	181	181	181	96.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	HIALEAH	737	233	230	231	31.3	226	226	226	97.8	2	-	1	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	HOLLYWOOD	1 018	339	331	333	32.7	309	305	306	91.9	13	12	12	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	JACKSONVILLE	7 814	1 549	1 549	1 575	20.2	905	892	894	56.8	327	301	315	20.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	MIAMI	3 379	1 034	1 034	1 034	30.6	1 008	1 008	1 008	97.5	3	3	3	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	MIAMI BEACH	1 573	426	426	426	27.1	396	396	396	93.0	17	17	17	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	ORLANDO	2 041	528	503	509	24.9	464	440	446	87.6	18	17	17	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	PENSACOLA	725	135	135	135	18.6	124	124	124	91.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	ST. PETERSBURG	2 391	471	412	418	17.5	438	379	385	92.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	TALLAHASSEE	2 207	156	156	156	7.1	154	154	154	98.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	TAMPA	3 491	759	754	755	21.6	745	740	741	98.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	WEST PALM BEACH	742	194	191	192	25.9	178	176	177	92.2	2	2	2	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	GEORGIA:																			
55	ALBANY	739	160	160	160	21.7	158	158	158	98.7	1	1	1	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	ATLANTA	6 774	1 800	1 827	1 827	27.0	1 713	1 594	1 618	88.6	84	75	78	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	AUGUSTA	825	215	214	214	25.9	197	197	197	92.1	5	5	5	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	COLUMBUS	3 721	585	580	583	15.7	379	376	378	64.8	104	104	104	17.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	MACON	2 674	219	215	216	8.1	199	199	199	92.1	12	8	9	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
60	SAVANNAH	1 235	276	276	276	22.3	249	249	249	90.2	11	11	11	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
61	HAWAII:																			
62	HONOLULU	6 883	1 880	1 877	1 877	27.3	1 725	1 725	1 725	91.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
63	IDAHO:																			
64	BOISE CITY	627	168	162	163	26.0	157	152	153	93.9	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
65	ILLINOIS:																			
66	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	313	95	96	96	30.7	94	94	94	97.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
67	AURORA	464	143	131	138	29.7	130	123	125	90.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
68	BERWYN	253	63	56	59	23.3	61	56	57	96.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	CHAMPAIGN	933	98	97	97	10.4	96	95	95	97.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
70	CHICAGO	43 253	15 423	15 359	15 404	35.6	15 214	15 150	15 195	98.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
71	CICERO	403	106	102	103	25.6	101	97	98	95.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
72	DECATUR	402	127	124	125	31.1	125	122	123	98.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution Governments:

Line number	City	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Line number
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Number of employees				
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	ILLINOIS--CONTINUED													
2	DES PLAINES	341	96	95	96	28.2	93	93	3	96.9				
3	EAST ST. LOUIS	856	182	166	172	20.1	168	164	165	95.9				
4	ELGIN	348	103	99	101	29.0	101	98	99	98.0				
5	EVANSTON	813	163	157	159	19.6	159	154	155	97.5				
6	JOLIET	491	170	165	167	34.0	158	155	156	93.4				
7	OAK LAWN	276	100	100	100	36.2	100	100	100	100.0				
8	OAK PARK	530	120	118	119	22.5	117	116	116	97.5				
9	PEORIA	960	286	286	286	29.8	279	279	279	97.6				
10	ROCKFORD	950	269	269	269	28.3	256	256	256	95.2				
11	ROCK ISLAND	517	93	91	93	18.0	89	89	85	91.4	2	2	2	2.2
12	SKOKIE	468	166	163	165	35.3	156	156	156	94.5				
13	SPRINGFIELD	908	221	214	218	23.8	202	195	197	91.2				
14	WAUKEGAN	438	126	113	117	26.7	122	111	113	96.6				
15	INDIANA:													
16	ANDERSON	594	161	150	157	26.4	146	143	144	91.7	7	7	7	4.5
17	EVANSVILLE	1 278	312	305	309	24.2	296	293	293	94.8	6	6	6	1.9
18	FORT WAYNE	1 500	359	349	356	23.7	349	347	348	97.8				
19	GARY	2 047	552	537	541	26.4	456	449	452	83.5	40	36	36	6.7
20	HAMMOND	975	268	252	259	26.6	231	226	228	88.0	16	16	16	6.2
21	INDIANAPOLIS	9 589	2 483	2 410	2 471	25.8	1 570	1 564	1 564	63.3	497	451	496	20.1
22	MUNCIE	580	169	147	152	26.2	159	140	144	94.7	7	6	6	3.9
23	SOUTH BEND	1 236	346	304	321	26.0	321	287	298	92.8				
24	TERRE HAUTE	526	118	116	117	22.2	114	112	113	96.6	1	1	1	0.9
25	IOWA:													
26	CEDAR RAPIDS	939	176	171	173	18.4	168	166	166	96.0				
27	COUNCIL BLUFFS	442	93	93	93	21.0	88	88	88	94.6				
28	DAVENPORT	768	154	152	153	19.9	144	144	144	94.1				
29	DES MOINES	1 977	439	408	414	20.9	424	394	400	92.6				
30	DUBUQUE	471	83	81	82	17.4	80	80	80	97.6				
31	SIoux CITY	787	154	150	153	19.4	123	123	123	80.4				
32	WATERLOO	616	140	130	137	22.2	134	130	131	95.6				
33	KANSAS:													
34	KANSAS CITY	1 392	508	473	480	34.5	464	429	436	90.8	12	12	12	2.5
35	OVERLAND PARK	199	105	98	100	50.3	91	87	87	87.0	10	7	9	9.0
36	TOPEKA	1 238	309	295	300	24.2	285	277	279	93.0	7	5	6	2.0
37	WICHITA	2 863	819	770	807	28.2	572	559	568	70.4	30	25	30	3.7
38	KENTUCKY:													
39	COVINGTON	424	115	115	115	27.1	109	109	109	94.8	2	2	2	1.7
40	LEXINGTON	1 594	410	371	376	23.6	361	325	330	87.8	9	9	9	2.4
41	LOUISVILLE	4 673	1 066	1 057	1 065	22.7	1 005	1 005	1 005	94.8	42	38	39	3.7
42	OWENSBORO	1 357	99	99	99	7.3	94	94	94	94.9	4	4	4	4.0
43	LOUISIANA:													
44	BATON ROUGE	2 875	1 233	677	1 233	42.9	773	250	773	62.7	288	271	288	23.4
45	LAFAYETTE	762	114	14	109	14.3	96	8	96	82.1	15	6	11	10.1
46	LAKE CHARLES	604	104	88	96	15.9	93	86	89	92.7	7	3	3	3.1
47	MONROE	1 074	145	23	142	13.2	118		118	83.1	11	11	11	7.7
48	NEW ORLEANS	9 587	2 873	2 831	2 864	29.9	1 794	1 758	1 789	62.5	589	584	586	20.5
49	SHREVEPORT	2 030	579	507	519	25.6	523	452	463	89.2	28	28	28	5.4
50	MAINE:													
51	PORTLAND	2 343	194	194	194	8.3	189	189	189	97.4				
52	MARYLAND:													
53	BALTIMORE	36 690	5 366	5 366	5 366	14.6	4 330	4 330	4 330	80.7	395	395	395	7.4
54	MASSACHUSETTS:													
55	BOSTON	23 397	4 207	4 205	4 206	18.0	2 925	2 923	2 924	69.5	743	743	743	17.7
56	BROCKTON	2 634	209	209	209	7.9	205	205	205	98.1				
57	CAMBRIDGE	3 690	248	248	248	6.7	242	242	242	97.6				
58	CHICOPEE	1 737	126	125	125	7.2	122	122	122	97.6				
59	FALL RIVER	2 729	356	295	312	11.4	345	291	301	96.5				
60	HOLYOKE	1 402	145	143	143	10.3	142	140	140	97.2				
61	LAWRENCE	1 676	148	148	148	8.8	143	143	143	96.6				
62	LOWELL	2 088	207	207	207	9.9	191	191	191	92.3				
63	LYNN	2 531	285	212	225	8.9	267	197	208	92.4				
64	MALDEN	1 307	154	130	136	10.4	150	128	133	97.8				
65	MEDFORD	1 548	136	136	136	8.8	133	133	133	97.8				
66	NEW BEDFORD	2 775	293	292	292	10.5	282	281	281	96.2				
67	NEWTON	3 406	224	224	224	6.0	216	216	216	96.4				
68	PITTSFIELD	1 799	135	97	106	5.9	132	94	103	97.2				
69	QUINCY	3 396	299	294	295	8.7	295	290	291	98.6				
70	SOMERVILLE	1 848	152	152	152	8.2	147	147	147	96.7				
71	SPRINGFIELD	5 413	547	447	467	8.6	529	449	449	96.1				
72	WALTHAM	1 655	171	125	135	8.2	169	123	133	98.5				
73	WORCESTER	6 462	589	491	528	8.2	565	467	504	95.5				

See footnotes at end of table.

of Full-Time Equivalent Employees of 384 Large City October 1973--Continued

Line number	Legal services and prosecution				Indigent defense				Correction				Other criminal justice				Line number	
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees		
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
1	3	2	3	3.1														1
2	14	2	7	4.1														2
3	1	1	2	2.0														3
4	3	3	4	2.5														4
5	5	5	5	3.0							7	5	6	3.6				5
6																		6
7	3	2	3	2.5														7
8	7	7	7	2.4														8
9	9	9	9	3.3							4	4	4	1.5				9
10	2	2	2	2.2														10
11	10	7	9	5.5														11
12	10	10	10	4.6							9	9	9	4.2				12
13	4	2	4	3.4														13
14	6	5	4	2.5														14
15	9	5	9	2.9							1	1	1	0.6				15
16	10																	

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	1 220 397	279 669	22.9	234 444	83.8	18 740	6.7
ALABAMA:							
BIRMINGHAM	2 513	679	27.0	599	88.2	21	3.2
GADSDEN	367	55	15.0	51	92.5	2	2.8
HUNTSVILLE	1 210	210	17.4	192	91.1	6	3.0
MOBILE	1 212	294	24.2	275	93.7	8	2.8
MONTGOMERY	1 126	224	19.9	198	88.5	10	4.4
TUSCALOOSA	1 065	118	11.0	109	92.7	2	1.5
ARIZONA:							
MESA	483	102	21.2	94	92.0	4	4.0
PHOENIX	5 737	1 750	30.5	1 558	89.0	79	4.5
SCOTTSDALE	486	116	23.8	106	91.6	4	3.4
TEMPE	438	120	27.4	109	90.8	5	3.9
TUCSON	2 512	647	25.8	595	92.0	19	3.0
ARKANSAS:							
FORT SMITH	314	73	23.1	69	94.9	2	2.5
LITTLE ROCK	881	233	26.4	208	89.4	7	2.8
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	391	103	26.2	88	85.7	6	6.1
PINE BLUFF	210	73	34.8	64	87.9	4	5.4
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	497	141	28.3	136	97.0	-	-
ALHAMBRA	427	128	30.0	125	97.3	-	-
ANAHEIM	1 493	420	28.1	395	94.0	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	674	231	34.2	223	96.6	-	-
BELLFLOWER	68	4	6.1	2	59.7	-	-
BERKELEY	1 405	335	23.9	324	96.6	3	0.8
BUENA PARK	404	134	33.1	131	98.4	-	-
BURBANK	1 059	275	25.9	254	92.5	-	-
CARSON	126	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	459	123	26.7	118	96.2	-	-
COMPTON	606	211	34.9	208	98.6	-	-
CONCORD	457	186	40.6	182	97.8	-	-
COSTA MESA	539	198	36.7	198	100.0	-	-
DALY CITY	363	113	31.0	110	98.0	-	-
DOWNEY	492	164	33.4	164	100.0	-	-
EL CAJON	326	107	32.9	105	97.7	-	-
EL MONTE	360	137	37.9	132	96.5	-	-
FREMONT	608	189	31.1	184	97.1	-	-
FRESNO	1 928	456	23.7	441	96.7	-	-
FULLERTON	571	171	29.9	168	98.6	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	204	59	29.3	58	98.1	-	-
GLENDALE	1 095	222	20.3	200	90.1	-	-
HAWTHORNE	335	94	28.0	85	91.0	-	-
HAYWARD	647	175	27.1	167	95.4	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	1 053	317	30.1	302	95.4	-	-
INGLEWOOD	707	307	43.4	295	96.1	-	-
LAKELAND	164	9	5.4	7	78.4	-	-
LONG BEACH	4 481	1 118	25.0	975	87.2	-	-
LOS ANGELES	37 822	12 868	34.0	12 464	96.9	-	-
MODESTO	551	149	27.1	144	96.4	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	386	92	23.9	89	96.7	-	-
NORWALK	94	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	4 767	1 333	28.0	1 195	89.6	-	-
ONTARIO	431	136	31.6	135	99.1	-	-
ORANGE	574	192	33.4	186	96.9	-	-
OXNARD	594	154	26.0	149	96.4	-	-
PALO ALTO	762	169	22.2	161	95.0	-	-
PASADENA	1 596	359	22.5	339	94.4	-	-
PICO RIVERA	71	21	29.7	20	94.8	-	-
POMONA	915	272	29.7	258	94.0	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	446	116	26.1	114	97.5	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	417	97	23.3	90	92.8	-	-
RICHMOND	922	242	26.3	236	97.5	-	-
RIVERSIDE	1 222	334	27.4	326	97.5	-	-
SACRAMENTO	2 925	830	28.4	816	98.3	-	-
SALINAS	394	119	30.3	108	89.0	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	1 176	303	25.8	295	97.2	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	471	124	26.4	119	96.3	-	-
SAN DIEGO	5 669	1 606	28.3	1 468	91.4	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	18 791	1 146	27.4	1 046	69.4	645	12.5
SAN JOSE	3 928	1 040	26.5	994	95.6	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	530	140	26.5	133	94.6	-	-
SAN MATEO	579	152	26.2	147	96.8	-	-
SANTA ANA	1 114	363	32.6	347	95.5	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	717	195	27.1	187	96.3	-	-
SANTA CLARA	677	154	22.7	148	95.9	-	-
SANTA MONICA	891	213	24.2	197	92.4	-	-
SANTA ROSA	476	86	18.0	81	94.5	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	209	47	22.5	45	93.4	-	-
SOUTH GATE	322	120	37.3	114	95.0	-	-
STOCKTON	1 194	341	28.6	334	98.0	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	8 713	3.1	137	(2)	16 376	5.9	1 258	0.4
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	19	2.8	-	-	39	5.8	-	-
GADSDEN	2	2.9	-	-	1	1.8	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	4	2.1	-	-	8	3.8	-	-
MOBILE	4	1.3	-	-	6	2.2	-	-
MONTGOMERY	3	1.5	-	-	13	5.7	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	3	2.5	-	-	4	3.3	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MESA	4	3.5	1	0.5	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	78	4.4	-	-	33	1.9	2	0.1
SCOTTSDALE	6	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	6	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	33	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	-	-	-	-	2	2.6	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	7	2.9	-	-	11	4.9	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	2	2.4	-	-	6	5.8	-	-
PINE BLUFF	1	1.9	-	-	3	4.7	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	4	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	3	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	19	4.5	-	-	6	1.5	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	8	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	2	40.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	8	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	2	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	15	5.5	-	-	6	2.0	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	5	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	2	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	2	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	5	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	6	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	15	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	3	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	4	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	11	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	8	9.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	6	3.6	-	-	-	-	2	1.0
HUNTINGTON BEACH	15	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	12	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKELAND	2	21.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	93	8.3	-	-	50	4.5	-	-
LOS ANGELES	404	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	5	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	3	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	33	2.5	-	-	105	7.9	-	-
ONTARIO	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	6	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	5	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	9	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	20	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	5	1.7	-	-	9	3.5	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	3	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	7	7.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	6	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	8	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	14	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	4	3.4	-	-	4	3.5	5	4.1
SAN BERNARDINO	9	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	5	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	98	6.1	-	-	40	2.5	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	265	5.2	80	1.6	582	11.3	-	-
SAN JOSE	46	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	8	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	5	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	16	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	6	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	16	7.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	4	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	5	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	4	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH								

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED							
SUNNYVALE	549	119	21.7	114	95.3	-	-
TORRANCE	1 302	372	28.6	353	94.9	-	-
VALLEJO	429	147	34.2	144	97.9	-	-
WEST COVINA	365	137	37.6	137	100.0	-	-
WESTMINSTER	247	113	45.7	113	100.0	-	-
WHITTIER	485	146	30.1	146	100.0	-	-
COLORADO:							
AURORA	536	149	27.7	133	89.5	7	4.9
BOULDER	495	113	22.9	100	88.5	7	6.0
COLORADO SPRINGS	1 139	264	23.2	244	92.4	10	3.8
DENVER	9 183	2 173	23.7	1 632	75.1	205	9.4
LAKEWOOD	393	236	60.0	209	88.6	11	4.6
PUEBLO	529	174	33.0	160	91.9	5	3.1
CONNECTICUT:							
BRIDGEPORT	4 265	478	11.2	448	93.6	-	-
BRISTOL	1 339	77	5.7	74	96.1	-	-
DANBURY	1 390	99	7.2	97	97.5	-	-
HARTFORD	5 146	589	11.5	567	96.2	-	-
MERIDEN	1 499	100	6.7	96	95.8	-	-
MILFORD	1 393	99	7.1	95	96.5	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	1 522	150	9.8	145	96.9	-	-
NEW HAVEN	3 612	432	11.9	419	97.1	1	0.2
NORWALK	2 241	205	9.1	200	97.7	-	-
STAMFORD	3 441	317	9.2	304	96.1	-	-
WATERBURY	2 818	311	11.0	301	96.5	1	0.2
WEST HAVEN	1 018	100	9.8	95	95.0	-	-
DELAWARE:							
WILMINGTON	2 650	340	12.8	299	87.9	25	7.3
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:							
WASHINGTON	50 750	10 110	19.9	5 874	58.1	1 065	10.5
FLORIDA:							
CLEARWATER	663	153	23.0	138	90.7	6	3.9
FORT LAUDERDALE	1 898	531	28.0	465	87.5	27	5.0
GAINESVILLE	751	173	23.0	167	96.3	-	-
HIALEAH	699	272	38.9	268	98.7	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	947	319	33.7	300	93.9	9	2.9
JACKSONVILLE	5 271	1 246	23.6	777	62.4	212	17.0
MIAMI	3 075	1 228	39.9	1 195	97.3	4	0.3
MIAMI BEACH	1 572	427	27.1	401	93.9	12	2.9
ORLANDO	1 502	440	29.3	398	90.6	12	2.7
PENSACOLA	542	121	22.3	112	92.3	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	1 772	326	18.4	299	92.0	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	1 340	131	9.8	129	96.2	-	-
TAMPA	2 448	668	27.3	652	97.6	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	639	175	27.4	160	91.3	2	1.1
GEORGIA:							
ALBANY	394	100	25.3	98	98.6	1	0.8
ATLANTA	5 452	1 639	30.1	1 455	88.8	77	4.7
AUGUSTA	468	128	27.4	120	93.4	3	2.1
COLUMBUS	1 867	378	20.2	252	66.6	68	18.0
MACON	1 432	151	10.6	140	92.7	6	4.0
SAVANNAH	891	195	21.8	177	90.7	7	3.8
HAWAII:							
HONOLULU	6 391	1 892	29.6	1 709	90.3	-	-
IDAHO:							
BOISE CITY	439	123	28.0	113	92.4	-	0.2
ILLINOIS:							
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	347	98	28.4	96	97.9	-	-
AURORA	505	160	31.7	146	91.5	-	-
BERWYN	198	61	30.9	60	97.8	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	716	94	13.1	91	97.0	-	-
CHICAGO	43 218	17 797	41.2	17 586	98.8	-	-
CICERO	317	100	31.7	96	95.4	-	-
DECATUR	363	118	32.4	115	97.6	-	-
DES PLAINES	371	120	32.4	118	97.9	-	-
EAST ST LOUIS	662	144	21.7	137	95.5	-	-
ELGIN	316	103	32.5	100	97.8	-	-
EVANSTON	784	191	24.3	186	97.8	-	-
JOLIET	543	174	32.1	162	92.8	-	-
OAK LAWN	284	121	42.4	121	100.0	-	-
OAK PARK	516	137	26.6	134	97.8	-	-
PEORIA	974	336	34.5	327	97.3	-	-
ROCKFORD	824	289	35.1	276	95.7	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	402	79	19.6	77	97.3	1	1.4
SKOKIE	486	160	32.9	153	95.6	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	673	177	26.3	162	91.5	-	-
WAUKEGAN	425	117	27.4	113	97.1	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SUNNYVALE	6	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	14	3.8	-	-	5	1.4	-	-
VALLEJO	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
AURORA	8	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	6	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	6	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	147	6.8	-	-	188	8.7	-	-
LAKEWOOD	16	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	9	5.0	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	7	1.5	-	-	-	-	23	4.9
BRISTOL	3	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	3	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	22	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	3	3.0	-	-	-	-	1	1.2
MILFORD	3	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	5	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	8	1.8	2	0.4	-	-	2	0.5
NORWALK	5	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	12	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	10	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	5	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	16	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	251	2.5	-	-	2 883	28.5	36	0.4
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	6	3.9	-	-	2	1.6	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	11	2.0	-	-	29	5.4	-	-
GAINESVILLE	11	1.4	-	-	3	2.3	-	-
HIALEAH	1	0.4	-	-	2	0.8	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	6	1.8	-	-	4	1.3	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	45	3.6	-	-	204	16.4	8	0.6
MIAMI	30	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	11	2.5	-	-	3	0.6	-	-
ORLANDO	2	0.5	-	-	25	5.6	2	0.6
PENSACOLA	3	2.9	-	-	6	4.9	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	14	4.2	-	-	13	3.9	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	2	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	16	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	5	2.7	-	-	8	4.8	-	-
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	1	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	37	2.3	-	-	71	4.3	-	-
AUGUSTA	1	0.8	-	-	5	3.7	-	-
COLUMBUS	18	4.6	6	1.5	35	9.3	-	-
MACON	1	0.6	-	-	1	2.7	-	-
SAVANNAH	3	1.4	-	-	8	4.1	-	-
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	122	6.4	-	-	61	3.2	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	8	6.2	-	-	2	1.3	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	2	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	4	2.6	-	-	9	5.9	-	-
BERWYN	1	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	3	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	211	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	5	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	3	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST LOUIS	6	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	6	3.5	-	-	6	3.7	-	-
JOLIET	6	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	3	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	9	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	9	3.0	-	-	4	1.4	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	1	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	7	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	11	6.0	-	-	4	2.5	-	-
WAUKEGAN	3	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON	398	119	29.8	112	94.5	4	3.1
EVANSVILLE	746	206	27.6	196	95.1	2	2.1
FORT WAYNE	1 081	283	26.2	278	97.4	4	5.1
GARY	1 414	449	31.8	385	85.6	23	5.0
HAMMOND	690	211	30.6	190	89.9	10	4.7
INDIANAPOLIS	1 741	587	33.7	587	100.0	0	0.0
MUNCIE	6 587	1 741	26.4	1 199	68.9	290	16.7
SOUTH BEND	354	103	29.1	98	94.6	4	3.4
TERRE HAUTE	948	253	26.7	238	94.0	15	1.6
	311	80	25.8	78	97.2	2	6.4
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS	750	146	19.4	140	95.9	6	4.1
COUNCIL BLUFFS	347	74	21.3	69	93.0	5	1.4
DAVENPORT	683	136	19.9	128	93.7	8	1.2
DES MOINES	1 626	367	22.6	351	95.6	16	1.0
DUBUQUE	394	71	18.0	68	96.3	3	0.8
SIoux CITY	579	122	21.0	95	78.1	27	4.7
WATERLOO	431	112	25.9	109	97.2	3	0.7
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY	1 061	365	34.4	329	90.1	8	2.2
OVERLAND PARK	167	83	50.8	73	88.4	10	6.2
TOPEKA	892	229	25.6	213	93.0	16	1.8
WICHITA	2 150	540	25.1	448	83.0	92	4.3
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON	345	96	27.8	90	94.0	2	2.0
LEXINGTON	1 201	375	31.2	333	88.8	7	1.8
LOUISVILLE	3 568	1 009	28.3	968	95.9	27	2.7
OWENSBORO	768	68	8.8	64	94.8	3	4.2
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE	1 880	812	43.2	515	63.3	183	22.5
LAFAYETTE	470	78	16.7	70	89.2	7	8.3
LAKE CHARLES	353	61	17.4	58	94.4	1	2.1
HONROE	545	90	14.6	68	84.7	5	6.6
NEW ORLEANS	5 330	1 738	32.6	1 102	63.4	331	19.1
SHREVEPORT	1 200	358	29.8	322	90.0	21	6.0
MAINE:							
PORTLAND	1 700	153	9.0	149	97.8	4	2.6
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE	29 290	4 576	15.6	3 683	80.5	301	6.6
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON	23 713	4 451	18.8	3 139	70.5	760	17.1
BROCKTON	2 157	189	8.8	185	97.8	4	1.9
CAMBRIDGE	2 796	302	10.8	296	98.0	6	2.2
CHICOPEE	1 300	101	7.7	98	97.2	3	2.3
FALL RIVER	2 249	269	12.0	261	97.0	4	1.7
HOLYOKE	1 043	107	10.3	104	96.5	3	3.5
LAWRENCE	1 335	117	8.7	112	95.7	5	3.7
LOWELL	1 870	185	9.9	173	93.6	12	6.5
LYNN	2 422	279	11.5	267	95.4	12	5.0
MALDEN	1 304	133	10.2	129	97.6	4	3.1
MEDFORD	1 468	139	9.5	136	98.0	3	2.0
NEW BEDFORD	2 238	260	11.6	252	96.9	5	1.8
NEWTON	3 336	289	8.7	282	97.5	7	2.5
PITTSFIELD	1 477	97	6.6	95	98.3	2	1.7
QUINCY	3 068	317	10.3	312	98.5	5	1.5
SOMERVILLE	1 605	151	9.4	146	97.0	5	3.0
SPRINGFIELD	4 940	392	7.9	377	96.1	10	2.5
WALTHAM	1 434	143	10.0	140	97.7	3	2.3
WORCESTER	5 721	502	8.8	480	95.5	17	3.4
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR	940	301	32.0	263	87.4	29	9.8
DEARBORN	1 270	288	22.5	264	91.9	12	9.2
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	301	134	44.4	121	90.6	13	9.4
DETROIT	24 174	8 295	34.3	7 474	90.1	498	6.0
FLINT	3 364	609	18.1	541	88.8	34	5.6
GRAND RAPIDS	1 609	475	29.5	406	85.3	46	9.6
KALAMAZOO	754	213	28.3	182	85.6	20	9.2
LANSING	1 271	383	30.1	334	87.2	28	7.4
LINCOLN PARK	241	97	40.4	91	93.6	5	5.4
LIVONIA	664	194	29.2	167	86.1	14	7.4
PONTIAC	2 065	271	13.1	230	84.8	23	8.6
ROSEVILLE	326	126	38.8	116	91.7	11	8.3
ROYAL OAK	530	162	30.6	135	83.2	12	7.1
SAGINAW	887	236	26.6	222	94.2	14	1.6
SOUTHFIELD	536	217	40.6	182	83.6	23	10.5
ST. CLAIR SHORES	432	117	27.0	108	92.4	7	6.4
STERLING HEIGHTS	402	122	30.3	114	93.2	8	6.8
TAYLOR	377	106	28.2	98	92.0	8	7.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	2	1.8	-	0.4	-	0.3	-	-
EVANSVILLE	5	2.5	-	-	1	0.3	-	-
FORT WAYNE	7	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	11	2.4	-	-	15	3.4	16	3.5
HAMMOND	5	2.2	-	-	6	3.0	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	84	4.9	8	0.4	160	9.2	-	-
MUNCIE	2	1.6	-	-	-	0.3	-	-
SOUTH BEND	2	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	2	2.0	-	-	6	2.3	3	1.2
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	6	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	3	4.7	-	-	2	2.3	-	-
DAVENPORT	6	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	16	4.4	-	-	-	-	2	1.8
DUBUQUE	3	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIoux CITY	7	5.9	-	-	3	2.4	17	13.7
WATERLOO	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	11	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	4	5.3	-	-	13	3.7	3	0.9
TOPEKA	7	3.0	-	-	-	-	4	1.6
WICHITA	16	3.0	-	-	24	4.5	34	6.2
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	4	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	9	2.4	-	-	26	7.0	-	-
LOUISVILLE	15	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	58	7.1	-	-	57	7.0	-	-
LAFAYETTE	2	2.2	-	0.3	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	2	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
HONROE	2	2.6	-	-	5	6.1	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	78	4.5	22	1.2	196	11.3	8	0.5
SHREVEPORT	4	1.1	-	-	10	2.9	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	3	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	289	6.3	-	-	303	6.6	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	116	2.6	-	-	412	9.3	24	0.5
BROCKTON	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	6	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	3	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	4	1.7	-	-	-	-	4	1.3
HOLYOKE	4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	2	1.8	-	-	-	-	3	2.5
LOWELL	6	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	6	2.7	-	-	-	-	3	1.5
MALDEN	3	2.4	-	-	-	-	7	2.5
MEDFORD	3	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	5	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	7	2.5	-	-	-	-	3	1.3
PITTSFIELD	2	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	5	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	5	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	10	2.5	-	-	5	1.3	-	-
WALTHAM	3	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	17	3.4	-	-	-	-	5	1.1
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	8	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	11	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	111	1.3	-	-	212	2.6	-	-
FLINT	8	1.3	-	-	26	4.2	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	18	3.5	-	-	6	1.2	-	-
KALAMAZOO	8	3.7	-	-	3	1.5	-	-
LANSING	9	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	1	1.0	-	-	11	3.0	-	-
LIVONIA	8	4.3	-	-	4	2.2	-	-
PONTIAC	15	5.4	-	-	-	-	3	1.3
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	5	2.8	-	-	11	6.9	-	-
SAGINAW	14	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	7	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	1	1.2	-	-	6	2.7	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	-	-

see footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
WARREN	1 098	346	31.5	310	89.8	24	6.8
WESTLAND	392	133	34.0	118	88.5	14	10.2
WYOMING	263	83	31.4	78	90.5	3	9.5
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON	334	110	33.0	104	94.5	-	-
DULUTH	1 140	208	18.2	171	82.6	21	10.3
MINNEAPOLIS	5 200	1 169	22.5	1 038	88.8	-	-
ROCHESTER	393	100	25.5	96	96.2	-	-
ST. PAUL	3 142	833	26.5	724	86.9	57	6.9
MISSISSIPPI:							
JACKSON	1 378	314	22.8	278	88.6	14	4.5
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA	352	74	21.0	70	94.9	2	3.0
FLORISSANT	141	63	44.7	60	94.8	2	2.6
INDEPENDENCE	589	129	21.9	116	90.1	5	3.9
KANSAS CITY	5 199	1 893	36.4	1 678	88.7	58	3.0
ST. JOSEPH	361	101	27.9	94	93.7	2	2.5
ST. LOUIS	11 359	3 691	32.5	2 783	75.4	331	9.0
SPRINGFIELD	652	141	21.7	133	94.0	5	3.4
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS	392	87	22.2	83	95.2	3	3.6
GREAT FALLS	359	73	20.2	65	89.5	3	3.5
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN	1 404	303	21.6	207	68.2	25	8.4
OMAHA	2 348	697	29.7	622	89.3	28	4.0
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS	1 028	45	4.4	-	-	19	43.5
RENO	903	299	33.1	267	89.4	9	3.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER	1 862	154	8.3	132	86.0	12	7.7
NASHUA	1 108	123	11.1	111	90.4	8	6.7
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE	1 368	206	15.0	192	93.4	6	3.0
BLOOMFIELD	1 063	134	12.6	123	92.2	4	2.9
CAMDEN	1 376	412	30.0	385	93.3	15	3.6
CLIFTON	1 492	165	11.0	156	94.8	5	3.1
EAST ORANGE	2 112	301	14.3	262	86.9	26	8.5
ELIZABETH	2 712	331	12.2	318	95.8	6	1.8
IRVINGTON	1 319	195	14.8	181	92.8	7	3.6
JERSEY CITY	5 473	1 249	19.3	1 209	96.8	23	1.8
NEWARK	12 098	2 051	17.0	1 966	95.9	59	2.9
PASSAIC	1 008	137	13.6	129	94.1	5	3.5
PATERSON	3 565	467	13.1	444	95.2	14	2.9
TRENTON	3 461	411	11.9	392	95.3	10	2.4
UNION CITY	1 327	146	11.0	137	94.2	6	4.1
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE	2 397	745	31.1	628	84.3	23	3.1
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	3 188	390	12.2	364	93.3	17	4.3
BINGHAMTON	1 211	147	12.1	133	90.5	10	6.5
BUFFALO	12 895	1 876	14.6	1 735	92.5	79	4.2
MOUNT VERNON	754	216	28.7	186	86.0	15	6.7
NEW ROCHELLE	892	273	30.6	247	90.6	15	5.5
NEW YORK CITY	333 237	72 370	21.7	55 475	76.7	7 313	10.1
NIAGARA FALLS	1 087	278	25.6	253	91.1	14	5.1
ROCHESTER	9 505	962	10.1	878	91.3	58	6.0
ROME	510	58	11.3	52	89.8	4	7.4
SCHENECTADY	715	178	24.8	163	91.6	7	4.2
SYRACUSE	4 843	579	11.9	520	89.9	42	7.3
TROY	426	134	31.5	119	88.3	8	6.0
UTICA	733	167	22.8	145	86.6	16	9.7
WHITE PLAINS	898	263	31.4	249	88.1	16	5.7
YONKERS	5 236	649	12.4	559	86.2	45	7.0
NORTH CAROLINA:							
ASHEVILLE	479	100	20.8	99	99.5	-	-
CHARLOTTE	2 318	588	25.4	579	98.5	-	-
DURHAM	745	219	29.4	212	97.0	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	414	100	24.1	99	98.8	-	-
GREENSBORO	1 331	353	26.5	347	98.2	-	-
HIGH POINT	554	116	20.9	111	96.0	-	-
RALEIGH	921	271	29.4	264	97.7	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	1 304	340	26.0	335	98.7	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:							
FARGO	365	93	25.4	90	97.4	2	2.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
WARREN	12	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	-	-	-	-	2	1.4	-	-
WYOMING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	6	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	14	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	59	5.0	-	-	72	6.1	-	-
ROCHESTER	4	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	45	5.4	-	-	-	-	7	0.8
MISSISSIPPI:								
JACKSON	7	2.3	-	-	14	4.5	-	-
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	2	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	2	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	2	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	59	3.1	-	-	98	5.2	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	4	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	125	3.4	-	-	452	12.2	2	(2)
SPRINGFIELD	4	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	1	1.1	-	-
GREAT FALLS	2	2.1	-	-	4	4.9	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	18	5.8	-	-	23	7.5	31	10.1
OMAHA	39	5.5	-	-	5	0.8	3	0.4
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	25	56.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	10	3.4	-	-	12	4.1	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	3	1.6	-	-	7	4.6	-	-
NASHUA	-	-	-	-	4	3.0	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	7	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	5	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	13	3.2	-	-	2	1.2	-	-
CLIFTON	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	11	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	8	2.3	-	-	-	-	3	1.0
IRVINGTON	5	2.7	-	-	-	-	2	0.9
JERSEY CITY	17	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	26	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	3	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	5	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	9	2.2	-	-	1	0.8	-	-
UNION CITY	2	1.3	-	-	-	-	1	0.4
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	23	3.1	-	-	71	9.5	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	7	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	4	3.0	-	-	-	-	2	0.6
BUFFALO	63	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	9	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	11	3.9	-	-	-	-	6	3.0
NEW YORK CITY	2 193	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	10	3.8	-	-	6 440	8.9	949	1.3
ROCHESTER	21	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	2	2.8	-	-	-	-	5	0.5
SCHENECTADY	7	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	16	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	8	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	6	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	17	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	23	3.6	-	-	22	3.3	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	9	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	7	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	1	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	6	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	6	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	6	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	4	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
OHIO:							
AKRON	2 349	637	27.1	543	85.3	59	9.3
CANTON	757	225	29.7	189	84.3	23	10.3
CINCINNATI	11 366	1 799	15.8	1 434	79.7	138	7.7
CLEVELAND	9 250	3 342	36.1	2 862	85.7	253	7.6
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	275	94	34.0	83	89.1	5	5.8
COLUMBUS	4 916	1 618	32.9	1 326	82.0	158	9.7
DAYTON	2 440	705	28.9	565	80.0	57	8.0
ELYRIA	357	78	21.8	63	81.4	11	13.9
EUCLID	473	128	27.1	114	89.1	9	7.0
HAMILTON	521	136	26.2	125	91.4	9	6.3
KETTERING	267	93	34.7	77	83.5	7	7.6
LAKEWOOD	989	99	10.0	87	87.4	7	7.5
LIMA	300	104	34.6	80	77.3	13	12.9
LORAIN	480	101	21.0	81	80.6	10	9.7
MANSFIELD	350	113	32.4	90	79.0	17	15.1
PARMA	479	141	29.5	111	78.3	22	15.4
SPRINGFIELD	548	162	29.6	138	85.0	18	11.1
TOLEDO	3 708	1 086	29.3	922	84.9	83	7.6
WARREN	447	116	26.0	90	77.2	20	17.6
YOUNGSTOWN	1 166	311	26.7	252	81.2	33	10.6
OKLAHOMA:							
LAWTON	410	108	26.3	95	88.0	4	3.4
NORMAN	554	80	14.4	71	88.7	5	6.5
OKLAHOMA CITY	2 656	652	24.5	582	89.3	22	3.4
TULSA	2 054	520	25.3	453	87.2	19	3.7
OREGON:							
EUGENE	706	180	25.5	173	96.3	7	3.7
PORTLAND	4 065	1 054	25.9	1 026	97.3	4	2.4
SALEM	736	156	21.1	141	90.4	4	2.4
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLENTOWN	723	181	25.1	177	97.7	—	—
ALTOONA	300	89	29.5	86	97.7	—	—
BETHLEHEM	510	139	27.2	135	97.6	—	—
CHESTER	536	145	27.0	140	96.5	—	—
ERIE	838	205	24.4	195	95.2	5	2.6
HARRISBURG	583	163	28.0	160	98.0	—	—
LANCASTER	452	121	26.8	120	99.0	—	—
PHILADELPHIA	35 348	14 438	40.8	9 714	67.3	2 587	17.9
PITTSBURGH	4 864	1 530	31.4	1 473	96.3	26	1.7
READING	541	153	28.3	148	96.6	—	—
SCRANTON	595	140	23.5	136	97.1	—	—
WILKES-BARRE	367	93	25.2	90	97.7	—	—
YORK	322	104	32.2	101	97.8	—	—
RHODE ISLAND:							
CRANSTON	1 649	109	6.6	100	92.1	—	0.4
PAWTUCKET	1 435	149	10.4	144	97.0	—	0.2
PROVIDENCE	3 816	502	13.1	480	95.8	13	2.6
WARWICK	2 162	159	7.4	155	97.4	—	0.2
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
CHARLESTON	474	145	30.6	140	96.7	3	2.2
COLUMBIA	742	214	28.9	186	86.8	13	5.9
GREENVILLE	475	122	25.7	114	93.2	4	3.7
SOUTH DAKOTA:							
SIoux FALLS	440	104	23.6	98	94.0	4	3.6
TENNESSEE:							
CHATTANOOGA	2 700	275	10.2	251	91.2	14	5.2
KNOXVILLE	3 091	312	10.1	280	89.9	6	1.8
MEMPHIS	12 656	1 311	10.4	1 185	90.4	32	2.4
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	10 189	1 143	11.2	757	66.2	220	19.3
TEXAS:							
ABILENE	444	100	22.6	90	89.6	4	4.0
AMARILLO	752	171	23.5	155	87.7	9	5.2
ARLINGTON	640	171	26.5	121	86.1	8	5.9
AUSTIN	3 558	553	15.5	506	91.4	28	5.0
BEAUMONT	656	179	27.3	163	91.0	6	3.3
BROWNSVILLE	246	53	21.6	50	94.9	2	3.6
CORPUS CHRISTI	1 410	285	20.2	247	86.8	14	5.0
DALLAS	8 772	2 832	32.3	2 638	93.2	110	3.9
EL PASO	2 080	564	27.1	534	94.8	19	3.4
FORT WORTH	2 511	608	24.2	542	89.3	23	3.8
GALVESTON	738	112	15.2	100	88.9	5	4.9
GARLAND	581	123	21.2	114	92.6	2	1.7
GRAND PRAIRIE	288	67	23.2	64	95.7	2	2.4
HOUSTON	8 630	2 584	29.9	2 306	89.3	85	3.3
IRVING	512	116	22.6	98	84.6	8	6.7
LAREDO	328	42	12.8	38	91.1	2	5.5
LUBBOCK	843	218	25.8	193	88.8	10	4.8
MESQUITE	368	69	18.8	65	93.5	2	2.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
OHIO:								
AKRON	16	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
CANTON	12	5.4	—	—	18	2.8	—	—
CINCINNATI	101	5.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
CLEVELAND	55	5.6	—	—	127	7.0	—	—
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	5	1.6	—	—	172	5.1	—	—
COLUMBUS	5	5.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
DAYTON	70	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
ELYRIA	30	4.3	—	—	65	4.0	—	—
EUCLID	4	4.7	—	—	54	7.7	—	—
HAMILTON	5	3.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
KETTERING	3	2.3	—	—	—	0.2	—	—
LAKEWOOD	8	8.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
LIMA	5	4.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
LORAIN	5	5.2	—	—	—	0.5	—	—
MANSFIELD	6	6.2	—	—	4	4.0	—	0.6
PARMA	5	4.0	—	—	—	3.5	—	—
SPRINGFIELD	8	5.7	—	—	2	1.9	—	—
TOLEDO	3	1.9	2	1.5	1	0.6	—	—
WARREN	27	2.5	—	—	1	0.5	—	—
YOUNGSTOWN	6	5.3	—	—	54	5.0	—	—
OKLAHOMA:	17	5.4	—	—	9	2.9	—	—
LAWTON	5	4.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
NORMAN	4	4.8	2	1.6	3	2.6	—	—
OKLAHOMA CITY	18	2.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
TULSA	24	4.6	—	—	27	4.1	3	0.5
OREGON:	—	—	—	—	24	4.6	—	—
EUGENE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
PORTLAND	23	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
SALEM	11	7.2	—	—	—	—	5	0.5
PENNSYLVANIA:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
ALLENTOWN	4	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
ALTOONA	2	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
BETHLEHEM	3	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHESTER	3	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
ERIE	3	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
HARRISBURG	3	2.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
LANCASTER	3	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
PHILADELPHIA	1	1.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
PITTSBURGH	533	3.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
READING	31	2.0	—	—	1 604	11.1	—	—
SCRANTON	5	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
WILKES-BARRE	4	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
YORK	2	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
RHODE ISLAND:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CRANSTON	3	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
PAWTUCKET	4	2.8	—	—	—	—	5	4.9
PROVIDENCE	8	1.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
WARWICK	4	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOUTH CAROLINA:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHARLESTON	2	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
COLUMBIA	5	2.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
GREENVILLE	3	2.1	—	—	11	5.2	—	—
SOUTH DAKOTA:	—	—	—	—	1	1.1	—	—
SIoux FALLS	2	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
TENNESSEE:	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
CHATTANOOGA	10	3.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
KNOXVILLE	8	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
MEMPHIS	20	1.8	—	—	18	5.7	—	—
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	32	2.8	10	0.9	74	5.7	—	—
TEXAS:	—	—	—	—	122	10.7	2	0.2
ABILENE	4	4.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
AMARILLO	9	4.8	—	—	2	2.3	—	—
ARLINGTON	8	3.9	—	—	4	2.3	—	—
AUSTIN	8	3.5	—	—	3	2.1	—	—
BEAUMONT	19	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
BROWNSVILLE	6	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
CORPUS CHRISTI	1	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
DALLAS	14	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
EL PASO	79	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
FORT WORTH	10	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
GALVESTON	19	3.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
GARLAND	4	2.4	—	—	24	3.9	—	—
GRAND PRAIRIE	4	3.6	—	—	4	3.8	—	—
HOUSTON	1	1.9	—	—	3	2.0	—	—
IRVING	59	2.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAREDO	5	4.3	—	—	134	5.2	—	—
LUBBOCK	1	3.4	—	—	5	4.4	—	—
MESQUITE	11	4.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
	3	3.9	—	—	3	1.5	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TEXAS--CONTINUED							
MIDLAND	385	92	23.9	85	92.3	3	3.6
ODESSA	336	99	29.5	88	88.7	6	5.8
PASADENA	359	128	35.7	109	84.9	8	5.9
PORT ARTHUR	378	80	21.1	73	91.0	1	1.4
SAN ANGELO	325	93	28.7	84	90.5	3	3.7
SAN ANTONIO	4	1 211	29.4	1 133	93.5	42	3.4
TYLER	116	77	22.4	70	91.2	3	4.4
WACO	670	146	21.8	132	90.7	4	2.6
WICHITA FALLS	438	105	24.0	86	82.2	7	6.4
UTAH:							
OGDEN	391	120	30.8	99	82.7	16	13.1
PROVO	229	59	25.9	51	85.5	6	9.4
SALT LAKE CITY	1 407	417	29.7	367	88.0	28	6.8
VIRGINIA:							
ALEXANDRIA	2 140	346	16.2	260	75.2	34	9.9
CHESAPEAKE	2 388	226	9.5	152	67.3	13	5.6
HAMPTON	3 125	195	6.2	161	82.5	8	4.3
LYNCHBURG	1 213	115	9.4	95	83.1	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	3 203	297	9.3	221	74.5	16	5.6
NORFOLK	7 284	612	8.4	487	79.5	17	2.8
PORTSMOUTH	2 481	214	8.7	176	82.4	17	7.7
RICHMOND	7 784	759	9.8	593	78.1	19	11.9
ROANOKE	2 396	231	9.7	158	68.5	19	8.3
VIRGINIA BEACH	3 834	329	8.6	252	76.6	40	12.2
WASHINGTON:							
BELLEVUE	450	113	25.0	102	90.6	2	1.9
EVERETT	547	124	22.6	119	96.5	-	-
SEATTLE	7 153	1 852	25.9	1 604	86.6	94	5.1
SPOKANE	1 430	336	23.5	309	92.1	10	3.1
TACOMA	1 978	351	17.8	292	83.2	20	5.6
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CHARLESTON	675	162	24.0	158	97.3	2	1.1
HUNTINGTON	466	118	25.4	113	95.4	2	1.5
WISCONSIN:							
APPLETON	1 189	91	7.6	88	97.2	-	-
GREEN BAY	2 207	148	6.7	142	95.9	2	1.6
KENOSHA	2 187	156	7.1	149	95.4	3	1.6
LACROSSE	2 919	73	2.5	69	94.3	1	1.4
MADISON	4 267	371	8.7	354	95.3	-	-
MILWAUKEE	8 853	2 364	26.7	2 297	96.7	-	-
OSHKOSH	1 103	83	7.5	80	96.0	-	-
RACINE	1 700	234	13.8	226	96.4	1	0.8
WAUWATOSA	1 292	113	8.8	110	96.6	1	0.6
WEST ALLIS	1 717	165	9.6	158	96.0	1	0.6

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Table 17. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TEXAS--CONTINUED								
MIDLAND	4	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	3	3.2	-	-	2	2.2	-	-
PASADENA	7	5.2	-	-	5	4.0	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	4	4.7	-	-	2	3.0	-	-
SAN ANGELO	2	2.6	-	-	3	3.3	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	37	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	3	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	6	4.3	-	-	4	2.4	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	5	4.9	-	-	7	6.6	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN	5	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	3	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	17	4.0	-	-	-	-	5	1.2
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	21	6.2	-	-	30	8.8	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	9	4.1	-	-	52	22.9	-	-
HAMPTON	9	4.5	-	-	17	8.6	-	-
LYNCHBURG	4	3.6	-	-	15	13.3	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	9	3.2	-	-	50	16.8	-	-
NORFOLK	25	4.1	-	-	82	13.4	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	11	5.1	-	-	10	4.8	1	0.1
RICHMOND	31	4.1	-	-	44	5.8	-	-
ROANOKE	10	4.4	-	-	44	18.8	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	13	3.8	-	-	24	7.4	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	6	5.7	-	-	2	1.7	-	-
EVERETT	4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	61	3.3	-	-	94	5.1	-	-
SPOKANE	16	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	20	5.7	-	-	19	5.4	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	2	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	4	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	3	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	4	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	5	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACROSSE	3	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	17	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	77	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	3	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	8	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	3	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	6	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	18 221 812	2 221 246	12.2	714 238	32.2	582 374	26.2
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	3 672	613	16.7	169	27.6	305	49.8
JEFFERSON	58 332	5 938	10.2	1 816	30.6	1 957	33.0
MADISON	6 635	1 364	20.6	390	28.6	571	41.9
MOBILE	17 876	2 700	15.1	986	36.5	1 165	43.1
MONTGOMERY	6 588	1 521	23.1	551	36.2	584	38.4
TUSCALOOSA	4 784	1 017	21.3	338	33.2	433	42.6
ALASKA:							
ANCHORAGE	135 223	1 243	0.9	946	76.1	24	2.0
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	105 473	16 595	15.7	4 208	25.4	5 051	30.4
PIHA	47 636	9 337	19.6	3 411	36.5	2 199	23.6
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	6 199	1 797	29.0	547	30.4	578	32.2
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	276 389	41 003	14.8	6 889	16.8	10 228	24.9
BUTTE	35 094	2 859	8.1	1 011	35.4	728	25.5
CONTRA COSTA	165 740	20 925	12.6	5 022	24.0	3 929	18.8
FRESNO	143 583	13 772	9.6	3 447	25.0	3 077	22.3
KERN	117 927	13 304	11.3	4 646	34.9	2 129	16.0
LOS ANGELES	2 247 848	291 195	13.0	95 970	33.0	65 621	22.5
MARIN	51 477	9 009	17.5	1 968	21.8	2 265	25.1
MERCED	39 550	2 549	6.4	663	26.0	628	24.6
MONTEREY	56 082	7 685	13.7	2 258	29.4	1 581	20.6
ORANGE	257 891	45 814	17.8	8 407	18.4	12 226	26.7
RIVERSIDE	145 721	19 343	13.3	6 512	33.7	3 683	19.0
SACRAMENTO	234 770	31 292	13.3	12 241	39.1	4 612	14.7
SAN BERNARDINO	196 124	29 666	15.1	9 303	31.4	6 103	20.6
SAN DIEGO	284 593	50 813	17.9	9 268	18.2	12 499	24.6
SAN JOAQUIN	104 512	11 435	10.9	3 335	29.2	1 948	17.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	35 283	3 643	10.3	1 324	36.3	689	18.9
SAN MATEO	126 628	22 948	18.1	4 982	21.7	4 605	20.1
SANTA BARBARA	75 570	11 416	15.1	3 838	33.6	1 758	15.4
SANTA CLARA	276 694	34 228	12.4	7 465	21.8	6 581	19.2
SANTA CRUZ	43 489	4 778	11.0	1 501	31.4	924	19.3
SOLANO	36 923	5 402	14.6	1 304	24.1	1 280	23.7
SONOMA	69 182	6 991	10.1	2 198	31.4	1 011	14.5
STANISLAUS	70 284	6 062	9.7	1 824	30.1	1 133	18.7
TULARE	70 284	6 365	9.1	2 042	32.1	1 137	17.9
VENTURA	103 207	14 836	14.4	4 944	33.3	2 995	20.2
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	20 787	1 651	7.9	888	53.8	177	10.7
ARAPAHOE	9 067	1 444	15.9	517	35.8	400	27.7
BOULDER	11 756	1 074	9.1	535	49.8	70	6.5
EL PASO	25 854	3 670	14.2	702	19.1	647	17.6
JEFFERSON	15 494	2 089	13.5	1 299	62.2	162	7.8
PUEBLO	17 440	715	4.1	130	18.2	166	23.2
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	26 092	2 949	11.3	2 235	75.8	633	21.5
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	11 791	2 037	17.3	1 272	62.4	405	19.9
BREVARD	21 439	3 876	18.1	1 714	44.2	1 285	33.2
BROWARD	42 121	10 471	24.9	3 632	34.7	3 573	34.1
DADE	303 888	38 613	12.7	21 168	54.8	10 490	27.2
ESCAMBIA	12 691	3 970	31.3	1 794	45.2	1 182	29.8
HILLSBOROUGH	70 099	9 828	14.0	1 968	20.0	4 592	46.7
LEE	9 844	1 787	18.2	859	48.1	502	28.1
LEON	4 942	1 541	31.2	576	37.4	599	38.9
ORANGE	27 742	6 168	22.2	2 453	39.8	1 956	31.7
PALM BEACH	38 541	9 254	24.0	4 761	51.4	2 165	23.4
PINELLAS	29 703	5 163	17.4	2 343	45.4	1 603	31.0
POLK	18 444	3 913	21.2	1 381	35.3	1 359	34.7
SARASOTA	9 771	2 526	25.9	1 205	47.7	897	35.5
VOLUSIA	13 170	2 732	20.7	902	33.0	1 159	42.4
GEORGIA:							
BIBB	10 068	2 501	24.8	841	33.6	812	32.5
CHATHAM	17 225	2 844	16.5	862	30.3	1 173	41.2
COBB	17 763	3 272	18.4	1 691	51.7	758	23.2
DE KALB	67 174	8 867	13.2	3 911	44.0	1 736	19.5
FULTON	85 128	12 019	14.1	1 479	12.3	5 297	44.1
RICHMOND	10 237	2 693	26.3	1 230	45.4	744	27.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	177 303	8.0	50 418	2.3	672 827	30.3	24 087	1.1
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	21	3.4	-	-	118	19.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	394	6.6	-	-	1 502	25.3	269	4.5
MADISON	162	11.9	-	-	241	17.7	-	-
MOBILE	76	2.8	-	-	473	17.5	-	-
MONTGOMERY	48	3.2	-	-	338	22.2	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	48	4.7	-	-	198	19.5	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE	273	21.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	1 556	9.4	650	3.9	5 130	30.9	-	-
PIHA	919	9.8	407	4.4	2 401	25.7	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	246	13.7	39	2.2	387	21.5	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	3 736	9.1	2 152	5.2	17 998	43.9	-	-
BUTTE	350	12.2	162	5.7	608	21.3	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	1 919	9.2	780	3.7	9 275	44.3	-	-
FRESNO	1 111	8.1	399	2.9	5 738	41.7	-	-
KERN	1 261	9.5	421	3.2	4 847	36.4	-	-
LOS ANGELES	21 886	7.5	10 299	3.5	96 468	33.1	951	0.3
MARIN	789	8.8	367	4.1	3 620	40.2	-	-
MERCED	268	10.5	67	2.6	923	36.2	-	-
MONTEREY	854	11.1	293	3.8	2 699	35.1	-	-
ORANGE	4 447	9.7	1 486	3.2	18 723	40.9	525	1.1
RIVERSIDE	1 778	9.2	592	3.1	6 778	35.0	-	-
SACRAMENTO	3 376	10.8	894	2.9	10 169	32.5	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	2 286	7.7	765	2.6	10 828	36.5	381	1.3
SAN DIEGO	4 671	9.2	2 002	3.9	21 905	45.1	468	0.9
SAN JOAQUIN	1 091	9.5	423	3.7	4 638	40.6	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	549	15.1	69	1.9	1 012	27.8	-	-
SAN MATEO	1 540	6.7	790	3.4	10 597	46.2	434	1.9
SANTA BARBARA	1 321	11.6	389	3.4	4 110	36.0	-	-
SANTA CLARA	3 520	10.3	1 236	3.6	15 141	44.2	285	0.8
SANTA CRUZ	640	13.4	118	2.5	1 595	33.4	-	-
SOLANO	425	7.9	369	6.8	2 022	37.4	2	(2)
SONOMA	644	9.2	192	2.7	2 946	42.1	-	-
STANISLAUS	698	11.5	147	2.4	2 196	36.2	64	1.1
TULARE	744	11.7	255	4.0	2 187	34.4	-	-
VENTURA	1 597	10.8	490	3.3	4 358	29.4	452	3.0
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	387	23.4	-	-	199	12.1	-	-
ARAPAHOE	354	24.5	-	-	173	12.0	-	-
BOULDER	308	28.7	-	-	161	15.0	-	-
EL PASO	498	13.6	-	-	1 823	49.7	-	-
JEFFERSON	400	19.1	-	-	228	10.9	-	-
PUEBLO	227	31.7	-	-	164	22.9	28	3.9
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	81	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	135	6.6	15	0.7	210	10.3	-	-
BREVARD	302	7.8	44	1.1	531	13.7	-	-
BROWARD	320	3.1	41	0.4	2 726	26.0	179	1.7
DADE	1 342	3.5	157	0.4	4 910	12.7	546	1.4
ESCAMBIA	161	4.1	57	1.4	776	19.5	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	679	6.9	136	1.4	2 287	23.3	166	1.7
LEE	53	3.0	14	0.8	359	20.1	-	-
LEON	61	4.0	13	0.8	292	18.9	-	-
ORANGE	456	7.4	146	2.4	1 157	18.8	-	-
PALM BEACH	669	7.2	77	0.8	1 582	17.1	-	-
PINELLAS	212	4.1	122	2.4	879	17.0	4	0.1
POLK	279	7.1	21	0.5	873	22.3	-	-
SARASOTA	10							

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:							
ADA	8 147	1 190	14.6	404	33.9	353	29.7
ILLINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN	8 059	1 453	18.0	513	35.3	298	20.5
COOK	431 165	75 358	17.5	8 627	11.4	37 256	49.4
DU PAGE	42 983	4 972	11.6	1 749	35.2	1 021	20.5
KANE	9 725	6 543	67.3	892	13.6	598	9.1
LAKE	20 894	3 173	15.2	1 097	34.6	889	28.0
LA SALLE	5 350	960	17.9	361	37.6	275	28.6
MCHEMRY	6 104	1 944	31.8	565	29.1	274	14.1
MCLEAN	4 762	1 032	21.7	406	39.3	339	32.8
MACON	5 183	1 106	21.3	441	39.9	311	28.1
MADISON	10 478	2 670	25.5	971	36.4	682	25.5
PEORIA	8 973	1 952	21.8	832	42.6	384	19.7
ROCK ISLAND	8 802	1 323	15.0	524	39.6	331	25.0
ST. CLAIR	12 966	2 975	23.0	814	27.4	591	19.9
SANGAMON	5 740	1 473	25.7	653	44.3	251	17.0
TAZEWELL	4 532	1 960	43.4	425	44.3	252	26.2
WILL	12 089	2 788	23.1	1 081	39.8	1 047	37.6
WINNEBAGO	13 564	2 922	21.5	1 173	40.1	591	20.2
INDIANA:							
ALLEN	25 663	3 720	14.5	950	25.5	885	23.8
DELAWARE	6 984	1 105	15.8	210	19.0	283	25.6
ELKHART	10 353	1 499	14.5	597	39.8	345	23.0
LAKE	70 682	5 276	7.5	1 678	31.8	1 607	30.5
LA PORTE	7 003	1 011	14.4	256	25.3	292	28.9
MADISON	7 786	972	12.5	212	21.8	310	31.9
ST. JOSEPH	20 020	2 245	11.2	513	22.9	781	34.8
TIPPECANOE	6 941	878	12.6	391	44.5	182	20.7
VANDERBURGH	13 467	2 082	15.5	742	35.6	595	28.6
VIGO	8 691	1 671	19.2	299	17.9	589	35.2
IOWA:							
BLACK HAWK	9 216	1 157	12.6	247	21.3	417	36.0
LINN	15 227	1 406	9.2	290	20.6	401	28.5
POLK	24 183	3 355	13.9	496	14.8	1 098	32.7
SCOTT	10 638	1 205	11.3	251	20.8	381	31.7
WOODBURY	8 543	1 143	13.4	173	15.1	412	36.0
KANSAS:							
JOHNSON	26 454	1 870	7.1	803	42.9	558	29.8
SEDGWICK	26 025	3 451	13.3	775	22.5	1 587	46.0
SHAWNEE	11 217	1 389	12.4	274	19.7	452	32.5
WYANDOTTE	14 141	1 583	11.2	450	28.4	619	39.1
KENTUCKY:							
FAYETTE	4 573	1 762	38.5	830	47.1	320	18.2
JEFFERSON	48 503	9 915	20.4	5 954	60.1	821	8.3
KENTON	13 306	589	4.4	252	42.8	84	14.3
LOUISIANA:							
CADDO	9 400	3 215	34.2	1 574	49.0	383	11.9
CALCASIEU	12 365	2 783	22.5	1 750	62.9	516	18.5
JEFFERSON	82 343	9 744	11.8	6 587	67.6	1 881	19.3
LAFAYETTE	5 957	1 505	25.3	815	54.2	267	17.7
OUACHITA	3 948	1 203	30.5	575	47.8	212	17.6
RAPIDES	7 942	1 860	23.4	1 360	73.1	228	12.3
MAINE:							
CUMBERLAND	1 502	869	57.9	227	26.1	289	33.3
PENOBSCOT	1 120	421	37.6	78	18.5	192	45.6
YORK	792	425	53.7	81	19.1	221	52.0
MARYLAND:							
ANNE ARUNDEL	182 356	7 169	3.9	5 594	78.0	614	8.6
BALTIMORE	303 155	19 209	6.3	16 212	84.4	1 087	5.7
HARFORD	57 636	1 376	2.4	268	19.5	428	31.1
MONTGOMERY	332 279	17 636	5.3	13 169	74.7	1 631	9.2
PRINCE GEORGES	324 615	18 563	5.7	13 943	75.1	2 099	11.3
WASHINGTON	33 465	578	1.7	137	23.7	151	26.1
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BERKSHIRE	2 582	1 131	43.8	30	2.7	497	43.9
BRISTOL	4 774	2 931	61.4	52	1.8	1 671	57.0
ESSEX	10 536	5 269	50.0	64	1.2	2 451	46.5
HAMPDEN	6 884	3 858	56.0	63	1.6	1 898	49.2
HAMPSHIRE	3 248	3 982	121.3	19	1.9	466	47.5
MIDDLESEX	35 902	14 887	41.5	150	1.0	8 467	56.9
NORFOLK	9 111	4 633	50.9	45	1.0	2 739	59.1
PLYMOUTH	6 577	3 577	54.4	38	1.1	1 873	52.4
WORCESTER	16 766	9 994	59.6	54	0.5	3 017	30.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:								
ADA	148	12.4	92	7.7	193	16.2	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	213	14.7	47	3.2	372	25.6	10	0.7
COOK	6 552	8.7	1 550	2.1	21 364	28.4	9	(2)
DU PAGE	537	10.8	192	3.9	1 473	29.6	-	-
KANE	245	3.7	48	0.7	4 666	71.3	94	1.4
LAKE	388	12.2	48	1.5	699	22.0	52	1.6
LA SALLE	129	13.4	25	2.6	170	17.7	-	-
MCHEMRY	153	7.9	35	1.8	917	47.2	-	-
MCLEAN	108	10.5	45	4.4	134	13.0	-	-
MACON	143	12.9	40	3.6	171	15.5	-	-
MADISON	311	11.6	80	3.0	620	23.2	6	0.2
PEORIA	247	12.7	47	2.4	442	22.6	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	165	12.5	28	2.1	275	20.8	-	-
ST. CLAIR	219	7.4	99	3.3	1 253	42.1	-	-
SANGAMON	236	16.0	44	3.0	288	19.6	1	0.1
TAZEWELL	148	15.4	22	2.3	113	11.8	-	-
WILL	308	11.0	39	1.4	313	11.2	-	-
WINNEBAGO	273	9.3	84	2.9	801	27.4	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	183	4.9	88	2.4	1 376	37.0	238	6.4
DELAWARE	59	5.3	15	1.4	345	31.2	193	17.5
ELKHART	62	4.1	20	1.3	377	25.2	98	6.5
LAKE	492	9.3	37	0.7	1 161	22.0	301	5.7
LA PORTE	83	8.2	20	2.0	360	35.6	-	-
MADISON	58	6.0	35	3.6	357	36.7	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	173	7.7	25	1.1	604	26.9	149	6.6
TIPPECANOE	51	5.8	19	2.2	315	26.8	-	-
VANDERBURGH	151	7.3	67	3.2	315	15.1	212	10.2
VIGO	87	5.2	27	1.6	669	40.0	-	-
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	107	9.2	64	5.5	322	27.8	-	-
LINN	194	13.8	123	8.7	398	28.3	-	-
POLK	323	9.6	202	6.0	1 189	35.4	47	1.4
SCOTT	97	8.0	46	3.8	429	35.6	-	-
WOODBURY	200	17.5	63	5.5	295	25.8	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	243	13.0	-	-	266	14.2	-	-
SEDGWICK	308	8.9	-	-	715	20.7	66	1.9
SHAWNEE	126	9.1	-	-	537	38.7	-	-
WYANDOTTE	170	10.7	16	1.0	315	19.9	13	0.8
KENTUCKY:								
FAYETTE	114	6.5	69	3.9	429	24.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	438	4.4	-	-	2 688	27.1	14	0.1
KENTON	124	21.1	7	1.2	122	20.7	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	113	3.5	38	1.2	1 107	34.4	-	-
CALCASIEU	134	4.8	14	0.5	369	13.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	412	4.2	86	0.9	778	8.0	-	-
LAFAYETTE	90	6.0	76	5.0	257	17.1	-	-
OUACHITA	111	9.2	18	1.5	287	23.9	-	-
RAPIDES	85	4.6	19	1.0	168	9.0	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	21	2.4	57	6.6	275	31.6	-	-
PENOBSCOT	23	3.5	20	4.8	97	23.0	11	2.6
YORK	16	3.8	16	3.8	91	21.4	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	529	7.4	-	-	432	6.0	-	-
BALTIMORE	815	4.2	-	-	1 095	5.7	-	-
HARFORD	153	11.1	-	-	527	38.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY	903	5.1	61	0.3	1 032	5.9		

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:							
BAY	12 188	1 817	14.9	422	23.2	595	32.7
BERRIEN	13 446	3 214	23.9	434	13.5	1 655	51.5
CALHOUN	9 994	2 060	20.6	234	11.4	587	28.5
GENESEE	64 502	6 935	10.8	1 339	19.3	2 397	34.6
INGHAM	26 591	4 114	15.5	1 102	26.8	1 663	40.4
JACKSON	15 593	2 061	13.2	377	18.3	658	32.4
KALAMAZOO	9 507	7 816	82.2	785	10.0	726	9.3
KENT	36 100	4 881	13.5	1 281	26.2	1 241	25.4
MACOMB:	54 896	7 711	14.0	2 277	29.5	2 803	36.4
MONROE	21 439	1 942	9.1	680	35.0	668	34.4
HUSKELON	36 778	2 288	6.2	365	16.0	819	35.8
OAKLAND	135 582	22 338	16.5	10 856	48.6	5 353	24.0
OTTAWA	10 101	1 466	14.5	577	39.4	468	31.9
SAGINAW	21 586	3 003	13.9	741	24.7	1 136	37.8
ST. CLAIR	13 476	2 033	15.1	463	22.8	633	31.1
WASHTENAW	22 585	5 088	22.5	1 501	29.5	1 666	32.7
WAYNE	323 346	48 251	14.9	3 929	8.1	10 717	40.9
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	17 395	1 979	11.4	763	38.6	769	38.9
DAKOTA	14 613	1 464	10.0	481	32.9	627	42.8
HENNEPIN	231 160	15 597	6.7	3 588	23.0	5 198	33.3
RAMSEY	111 276	7 300	6.6	1 587	21.7	1 413	19.4
ST. LOUIS	58 470	3 123	5.3	931	29.8	604	19.3
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	15 214	1 400	9.2	467	33.4	691	49.4
HINDS	10 784	1 290	12.0	387	30.0	630	48.8
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	3 214	1 079	33.5	320	29.7	255	23.6
GREENE	4 211	1 020	24.2	469	48.9	260	25.5
JACKSON	50 402	9 762	19.4	1 066	10.9	2 980	30.1
JEFFERSON	2 426	619	25.5	319	51.5	182	29.4
ST. LOUIS	78 981	15 161	19.2	7 580	50.0	4 218	27.8
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	40 690	3 861	9.5	584	15.1	1 384	35.8
LANCASTER	12 114	1 465	12.1	343	23.4	548	37.4
NEVADA:							
CLARK	73 090	11 089	15.2	5 359	48.3	1 296	11.7
WASHOE	33 858	3 747	11.1	1 369	36.5	620	16.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	5 021	1 146	22.8	14	1.2	682	59.5
ROCKINGHAM	3 233	747	23.1	310	41.5	241	32.3
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	38 077	2 692	7.1	193	7.2	918	34.1
BERGEN	97 813	10 333	10.6	1 991	19.3	3 999	38.7
BURLINGTON	33 407	2 340	7.0	193	8.2	731	31.2
CAMDEN	91 795	5 544	6.0	446	8.0	1 793	32.3
CUMBERLAND	12 579	1 431	11.4	153	10.7	480	33.5
ESSEX	245 808	26 797	10.9	3 140	11.7	7 586	28.2
GLOUCESTER	16 363	1 777	10.9	164	9.2	409	23.0
HUDSON	105 763	10 579	10.0	1 758	16.6	3 815	36.1
MERCER	56 838	5 481	9.6	396	7.2	1 335	24.4
MIDDLESEX	77 290	7 133	9.2	933	13.1	2 369	33.2
MONMOUTH	62 292	4 589	7.4	570	12.4	1 453	31.7
MORRIS	45 734	3 557	7.8	738	20.7	1 024	28.8
OCEAN	30 137	2 993	9.9	527	17.6	1 234	41.2
PASSAIC	65 335	7 293	11.2	697	9.6	2 439	33.4
SOMERSET	19 594	2 173	11.1	406	18.7	534	24.6
UNION	61 487	8 531	13.9	950	11.1	2 793	32.7
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	20 490	2 196	10.7	1 099	50.0	75	3.4
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	240 257	4 493	1.9	854	19.0	1 213	27.0
BROOME	45 708	2 449	5.4	808	33.0	482	19.7
CHAUTAUGUA	26 276	1 624	6.2	500	30.8	35	2.1
CHEMUNG	25 521	1 580	6.2	391	24.7	350	22.2
DUTCHESS	28 671	3 188	11.1	818	25.7	1 085	34.0
ERIE	339 514	18 785	5.5	3 601	19.2	3 274	17.4
MONROE	237 087	16 059	6.8	3 623	22.6	3 181	19.8
NASSAU	569 145	111 248	19.5	79 531	71.5	13 566	12.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	130	7.2	101	5.6	568	31.3	1	0.1
BERRIEN	226	7.0	136	4.2	763	23.7	-	-
CALHOUN	133	6.5	80	3.9	1 026	49.8	-	-
GENESEE	866	12.5	208	3.0	1 928	27.8	197	2.8
INGHAM	435	10.6	211	5.1	703	17.1	-	-
JACKSON	171	8.3	132	6.4	713	34.6	-	-
KALAMAZOO	350	4.5	91	1.2	5 833	74.6	31	0.4
KENT	534	6.8	108	2.2	1 879	38.5	38	0.8
MACOMB:	913	11.8	209	2.7	1 473	19.1	36	0.5
MONROE	109	5.6	57	2.9	428	22.0	-	-
HUSKELON	213	9.3	101	4.4	790	34.5	-	-
OAKLAND	1 012	4.5	-	-	4 971	22.3	146	0.7
OTTAWA	50	3.4	20	1.4	371	23.9	-	-
SAGINAW	231	7.7	175	5.8	715	23.8	5	0.2
ST. CLAIR	173	8.5	77	3.8	687	33.8	-	-
WASHTENAW	355	7.0	272	5.3	1 227	24.1	67	1.3
WAYNE	3 708	7.7	347	0.7	20 528	42.5	22	(2)
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	230	11.6	36	1.8	181	9.1	-	-
DAKOTA	101	6.9	18	1.2	237	16.2	-	-
HENNEPIN	950	6.1	78	0.5	5 642	36.2	141	0.9
RAMSEY	555	7.6	60	0.8	3 685	50.5	-	-
ST. LOUIS	239	7.7	89	2.8	1 260	40.3	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	53	3.8	18	1.3	171	12.2	-	-
HINDS	31	2.4	62	4.8	180	14.0	-	-
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	92	8.5	4	0.4	408	37.8	-	-
GREENE	86	8.4	-	-	175	17.2	-	-
JACKSON	755	7.7	-	-	5 001	51.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	61	9.9	-	-	57	9.2	-	-
ST. LOUIS	860	5.7	219	1.4	2 284	15.1	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	487	12.6	264	6.8	1 142	29.6	-	-
LANCASTER	201	13.7	65	4.4	293	20.0	15	1.0
NEVADA:								
CLARK	1 006	9.1	332	3.0	3 096	27.9	-	-
WASHOE	589	15.7	241	6.4	928	24.8	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	49	4.3	-	-	401	35.0	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	15	2.0	-	-	181	24.2	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	619	23.0	-	-	928	34.5	34	1.3
BERGEN	1 594	15.4	-	-	2 749	26.6	-	-
BURLINGTON	439	18.8	-	-	977	41.8	-	-
CAMDEN	1 480	17.7	-	-	2 292	41.3	30	0.5
CUMBERLAND	983	14.5	-	-	590	41.2	-	-
ESSEX	208	13.8	54	0.2	12 265	45.8	97	0.4
GLOUCESTER	3 695	15.0	-	-	938	52.8	-	-
HUDSON	266	17.0	-	-	3 207	30.3	-	-
MERCER	1 065	19.4	-	-	2 662	48.6	23	0.4
MIDDLESEX	1 353	19.0	20	0.3	2 382	33.4	76	1.1
MONMOUTH	691	15.1	3	0.1	1 872	40.8	-	-
MORRIS	442	18.0	-	-	1 156	32.5	-	-
OCEAN	442	14.8	-	-	790	26.4	-	-
PASSAIC	1 158	15.9	-	-	2 951	40.5	48	0.7
SOMERSET	1 404	18.6	3	0.1	826	38.0	-	-
UNION	1 889	22.1	-	-	2 762	32.4	137	1.6
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	47	2.1	-	-	812	37.0	163	7.4
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	375	8.3	151	3.4	1 900	42.3	-	-
BROOME	256	10.5	93	3.8	514	30.1	73	3.0
CHAUTAUGUA	158	9.7	99	6.1	514	31.7	-	-
CHEMUNG	110	7.0	36	2.3	439	43.9	-	-
DUTCHESS	293	9.2	128	4.0	864	47.8	-	-
ERIE	2 187	11.6	563	3.0	8 976	47.8	184	1.0
MONROE	1 173	7.3	419	2.6	7 640	47.6	23	0.1
NASSAU	4 110	3.7	1 076	1.0	12 918	11.6	47	(2)

See footnotes at end of table

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA	65 070	3 429	5.3	862	25.1	940	27.4
ONEIDA	59 370	2 483	4.2	584	23.5	701	28.2
ONONDAGA	148 692	10 061	6.8	2 534	25.2	1 703	16.9
ORANGE	55 165	3 083	5.6	401	13.0	863	28.0
OSWEGO	15 456	1 345	8.7	402	29.9	406	30.2
RENSSELAER	37 803	1 816	4.8	277	15.3	478	26.3
ROCKLAND	65 172	3 495	5.4	909	26.0	864	24.7
ST. LAWRENCE	21 734	1 213	5.6	318	26.2	399	32.9
SARATOGA	15 014	1 197	8.0	278	23.2	415	34.7
SCHENECTADY	27 450	1 964	7.2	92	4.7	739	37.6
SUFFOLK	327 450	70 161	21.4	51 603	73.5	7 918	11.3
ULSTER	27 393	3 126	11.4	239	7.6	433	13.9
WESTCHESTER	248 940	26 249	10.5	4 089	15.6	3 210	12.2
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE	33 255	757	2.3	516	68.2	12	1.6
CUMBERLAND	56 217	1 240	2.2	914	73.7	83	6.7
DURHAM	45 144	612	1.4	422	69.0	16	2.6
FORSYTH	54 194	1 154	2.1	819	71.0	16	1.4
GASTON	42 102	2 153	5.1	533	56.1	196	20.6
GUILFORD	92 253	5 811	6.3	1 076	18.5	295	5.1
HECKLENBURG	138 278	4 747	3.4	2 222	46.8	922	19.4
ONSLOW	18 253	520	2.8	350	67.3	43	8.3
WAKE	67 168	787	1.2	556	70.6	22	2.8
OHIO:							
ALLEN	9 293	1 089	11.7	359	33.0	509	46.7
BUTLER	13 552	1 853	13.7	562	30.3	889	48.0
CLARK	9 814	914	9.3	345	37.7	366	40.0
COLUMBIANA	5 781	796	13.8	189	23.7	401	50.4
CUYAHOGA	170 205	19 704	11.6	3 734	19.0	8 007	40.6
FRANKLIN	60 809	8 840	14.5	2 401	27.2	2 567	29.0
GREENE	11 165	1 212	10.9	522	43.1	487	40.2
HAMILTON	84 886	11 692	13.8	4 057	34.7	3 935	33.7
LAKE	24 293	1 861	7.7	552	29.7	732	39.3
LICKING	6 649	947	14.2	234	24.7	501	52.9
LORAIN	19 547	2 295	11.5	562	25.0	919	40.9
LUCAS	46 916	6 007	12.8	622	10.4	2 829	47.1
MAHONING	24 458	2 156	8.8	509	23.6	1 045	48.5
MONTGOMERY	59 709	7 104	11.9	1 654	23.3	2 389	33.6
PORTAGE	17 091	1 159	6.8	364	31.4	539	46.5
RICHLAND	7 758	1 239	16.0	345	27.8	557	45.0
STARK	22 978	3 047	13.3	1 131	37.1	1 073	35.2
SUMMIT	40 214	5 732	14.3	1 458	25.4	2 579	45.0
TRUMBULL	16 238	1 902	11.7	618	32.5	857	45.1
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE	7 576	740	9.8	159	21.5	337	45.5
OKLAHOMA	18 279	3 143	17.2	586	18.6	1 188	37.8
TULSA	18 313	3 698	20.2	530	14.3	1 847	49.9
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS	12 473	2 025	16.2	844	41.7	477	23.6
LANE	21 314	4 270	20.0	1 379	32.3	1 720	40.3
MARION	10 035	2 135	21.3	617	28.9	506	23.7
MULTNOMAH	62 435	14 898	23.9	5 365	36.0	3 519	23.6
WASHINGTON	13 905	2 277	16.4	975	42.8	385	16.9
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	173 131	19 254	11.1	3 651	19.0	9 080	47.2
BEAVER	14 813	1 997	13.5	159	8.0	997	49.9
BERKS	12 740	2 631	20.7	36	1.4	1 150	43.7
BLAIR	4 644	635	18.0	16	1.9	302	36.2
BUCKS	21 111	5 018	23.8	480	9.6	2 012	40.1
BUTLER	4 732	862	18.2	34	3.9	376	43.6
CAMBRIA	7 571	1 245	16.4	27	2.2	639	51.3
CHESTER	12 030	3 413	28.4	291	8.5	1 068	31.3
CUMBERLAND	4 410	1 047	23.7	64	6.1	361	34.5
DAUPHIN	13 062	3 328	25.5	32	1.0	967	29.1
DELAWARE	34 754	7 516	21.6	388	5.2	2 897	38.5
ERIE	12 380	2 965	23.9	57	1.9	1 060	35.8
FAYETTE	5 586	1 054	18.9	21	2.0	482	45.7
FRANKLIN	4 330	780	18.0	11	1.4	260	33.3
LACKAWANNA	15 889	1 784	11.2	29	1.6	876	49.1
LANCASTER	11 384	3 399	29.9	61	1.8	1 148	33.8
LAWRENCE	2 682	752	28.0	22	2.9	373	49.6
LEHIGH	14 561	2 291	15.7	25	1.1	909	39.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA	249	7.3	111	3.2	1 267	36.9	-	-
ONEIDA	286	11.5	112	4.5	800	32.2	-	-
ONONDAGA	732	7.3	465	4.6	4 627	46.0	-	-
ORANGE	446	14.5	139	4.5	1 234	40.0	-	-
OSWEGO	111	8.3	19	1.4	407	30.3	-	-
RENSSELAER	185	10.2	68	3.7	808	44.5	-	-
ROCKLAND	535	15.3	178	5.1	1 008	28.8	1	(2)
ST. LAWRENCE	97	8.0	33	2.7	366	30.2	-	-
SARATOGA	127	10.6	35	2.9	342	28.6	-	-
SCHENECTADY	170	8.7	25	1.3	938	47.8	-	-
SUFFOLK	2 882	4.1	795	1.1	6 909	9.8	54	0.1
ULSTER	186	6.0	32	1.0	2 236	71.5	-	-
WESTCHESTER	1 960	7.5	618	2.4	7 669	29.2	8 703	33.2
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	24	3.2	-	-	205	27.1	-	-
CUMBERLAND	19	1.5	-	-	224	18.1	-	-
DURHAM	11	1.8	-	-	163	26.6	-	-
FORSYTH	66	5.7	-	-	269	23.3	-	-
GASTON	9	0.9	-	-	212	22.3	-	-
GUILFORD	48	0.8	-	-	664	11.4	3 728	64.2
HECKLENBURG	29	0.6	-	-	1 574	33.2	-	-
ONSLOW	12	1.3	-	-	115	22.1	-	-
WAKE	14	2.8	-	-	178	22.6	17	2.2
OHIO:								
ALLEN	79	7.3	22	2.0	120	11.0	-	-
BUTLER	103	5.6	36	1.9	263	14.2	-	-
CLARK	65	7.1	9	1.0	129	14.9	-	-
COLUMBIANA	77	9.7	10	1.3	119	14.9	-	-
CUYAHOGA	1 170	5.9	877	4.5	5 916	30.0	-	-
FRANKLIN	524	5.9	162	1.8	3 186	36.0	-	-
GREENE	75	6.2	23	1.9	105	8.7	-	-
HAMILTON	429	3.7	275	2.4	2 931	25.1	65	0.6
LAKE	146	7.8	16	0.9	415	22.3	-	-
LICKING	67	7.1	14	1.5	131	13.8	-	-
LORAIN	227	10.1	26	1.2	511	22.8	-	-
LUCAS	270	4.5	118	2.0	2 150	35.8	18	0.3
MAHONING	210	9.7	-	-	392	18.2	-	-
MONTGOMERY	559	7.9	110	1.5	2 390	33.6	-	-
PORTAGE	106	9.1	22	1.9	128	11.0	-	-
RICHLAND	104	8.4	12	1.0	221	17.8	-	-
STARK	220	7.2	60	2.0	563	18.5	-	-
SUMMIT	349	6.1	-	-	1 346	23.5	-	-
TRUMBULL	141	7.4	30	1.6	256	13.5	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	89	12.0	56	7.6	99	13.4	-	-
OKLAHOMA	457	14.5	129	4.1	763	24.9	-	-
TULSA	350	9.5	123	3.3	848	22.9	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	196	9.7	43	2.1	465	23.0	-	-
LANE	525	12.3	130	3.0	616	12.1	-	-
MARION	238	11.1	87	4.1	687	32.2	-	-
MULTNOMAH	1 322	8.9	280	1.9	4 192	28.1	217	1.5
WASHINGTON	236	10.4	81	3.6	600	26.4	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	1 716	8.9	438	2.3	4 183	21.7	186	1.0
BEAVER	184	9.2	75	3.8	582	29.1	-	-
BERKS	231	8.8	45	1.7	1 169	44.4	-	-
BLAIR	61	7.3	28	3.4	428	51.3	-	-
BUCKS	488	9.7	142	2.8	1 896	37.8	-	-
BUTLER	75	8.7	27	3.1	350	40.6	-	-
CAMBRIA	144	11.6	35	2.8	400	32.1	-	-
CHESTER	436	12.8	90	2.6	1 528	44.8	-	-
CUMBERLAND	86	8.2	22	2.1	514	49.1	-	-
DAUPHIN	298	9.0	80	2.4	1 914	58.6	-	-
DELAWARE	641	11.2	301	4.0	3 089	41.1	-	-
ERIE	482	8.2	91	3.1	1 515	51.1	-	-
FAYETTE	80	7.6	26	2.5	445	42.2	-	-
FRANKLIN	71	9.1	22	2.8	415	53.2	1	0.1
LACKAWANNA	166	9.3	53	3.0	660	37.0	-	-
LANCASTER	115	3.4	64	1.9	2 011	59.8	-	-
LAWRENCE	81	10.8	27					

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LUZERNE	15 639	2 517	16.1	61	2.4	1 088	43.2
LYCOMING	5 840	843	14.4	10	1.2	395	46.9
MERCER	4 650	1 305	28.1	18	1.4	469	35.9
MONTGOMERY	32 653	6 792	20.8	472	6.9	3 543	52.2
NORTHAMPTON	12 902	2 348	18.2	18	0.8	985	42.0
SCHUYLKILL	7 034	1 159	16.5	26	2.2	553	47.7
WASHINGTON	9 107	1 687	18.5	50	3.0	718	42.6
WESTMORELAND	19 301	2 650	13.7	136	5.1	1 365	51.5
YORK	8 994	2 156	24.0	133	6.2	945	43.8
SOUTH CAROLINA							
ANDERSON	3 183	893	28.1	291	32.6	297	33.3
CHARLESTON	15 291	3 492	22.8	1 974	56.5	923	26.4
GREENVILLE	11 494	3 035	26.4	878	28.9	681	22.5
RICHLAND	26 615	3 568	12.7	1 131	33.6	1 111	33.0
SPARTANBURG	22 873	1 763	7.7	664	37.7	556	31.5
TENNESSEE							
HAMILTON	67 956	3 440	5.1	765	22.2	1 267	36.8
KNOX	51 660	1 891	3.7	541	28.6	1 009	53.4
SHELBY	148 928	12 004	8.1	2 279	19.0	3 032	25.3
SULLIVAN	20 771	729	3.5	300	41.2	319	43.8
TEXAS							
BELL	3 521	885	25.1	219	24.7	245	27.7
BEXAR	34 854	6 410	18.4	1 491	23.3	1 591	24.8
BRAZORIA	6 776	1 110	16.4	583	52.5	283	25.5
CAMERON	3 898	917	23.5	141	15.4	346	37.7
DALLAS	94 312	15 238	16.2	3 491	22.9	5 032	33.0
EL PASO	18 689	3 054	16.3	634	20.8	608	19.9
GALVESTON	16 939	1 614	9.5	462	28.6	640	39.7
HARRIS	96 621	21 800	22.6	3 836	17.6	8 434	38.7
HIDALGO	5 759	1 015	17.6	155	15.3	396	39.0
JEFFERSON	7 725	2 354	30.5	535	22.7	971	41.2
LUBBOCK	3 729	1 118	30.0	282	25.2	352	31.5
MCLENNAN	3 933	1 359	34.6	309	25.7	314	23.1
NUECES	23 823	2 316	9.7	569	24.6	963	41.6
TARRANT	33 227	6 496	19.6	1 288	19.4	2 582	39.7
TRAVIS	7 724	3 034	39.3	655	21.6	894	29.5
WICHITA	2 698	849	31.5	194	22.9	255	30.0
UTAH							
SALT LAKE	37 707	5 748	15.2	3 086	53.7	1 011	17.6
UTAH	4 242	416	12.8	187	45.0	74	17.8
WEBER	7 144	843	11.8	314	37.2	139	16.5
VIRGINIA							
ARLINGTON	99 517	8 574	8.6	6 041	70.5	877	10.2
FAIRFAX	275 344	12 630	4.6	9 531	75.5	1 628	12.9
HENRICO	53 105	3 955	7.4	2 231	56.4	1 226	31.0
PRINCE WILLIAM	50 174	2 004	4.0	1 219	60.8	373	18.6
WASHINGTON							
CLARK	12 436	2 151	17.3	1 035	48.1	539	25.1
KING	141 749	17 405	12.3	5 723	32.9	4 933	28.3
KITSAP	8 142	3 758	46.2	532	14.2	380	10.1
PIERCE	28 234	4 883	17.3	1 754	35.9	1 307	26.8
SNOHOMISH	26 159	4 403	16.8	1 544	35.1	1 193	27.1
SPOKANE	23 367	3 881	16.6	1 521	39.3	949	24.5
YAKIMA	11 538	1 844	16.0	406	22.0	594	32.2
WEST VIRGINIA							
CABELL	3 663	806	22.0	167	20.7	283	35.1
KANAWHA	7 831	1 597	20.4	335	21.0	467	29.2
WISCONSIN							
BROWN	33 113	1 836	5.7	952	51.9	528	28.8
DANE	44 643	4 745	10.6	2 178	45.9	1 092	23.0
KENOSHA	16 294	1 741	8.6	769	44.2	516	29.6
MILWAUKEE	28 286	19 107	6.7	2 877	15.1	6 948	36.4
OUTAGAMIE	17 727	1 160	6.5	573	49.4	349	30.1
RACINE	25 623	2 163	8.4	1 035	47.9	578	26.7
ROCK	17 726	2 295	12.9	885	38.6	546	23.8
WAUKESHA	24 318	2 605	10.7	1 101	42.3	861	33.1
WINNEBAGO	19 660	1 227	6.2	625	50.9	421	34.3

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Table 18. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Total Expenditure (Direct and Intergovernmental) of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LUZERNE	222	8.8	69	2.7	1 077	42.8	-	-
LYCOMING	73	8.7	24	2.8	341	40.5	-	-
MERCER	67	5.1	36	2.8	658	50.4	57	4.4
MONTGOMERY	624	9.2	161	2.4	1 992	29.3	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	144	6.1	81	3.4	1 120	47.7	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	107	9.2	18	1.6	455	39.3	-	-
WASHINGTON	180	10.7	56	3.3	683	40.5	-	-
WESTMORELAND	286	10.8	61	2.3	802	30.3	-	-
YORK	90	4.2	34	1.6	954	44.2	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA								
ANDERSON	29	3.2	-	-	276	30.9	-	-
CHARLESTON	66	1.9	-	-	529	15.1	-	-
GREENVILLE	81	2.7	21	0.7	1 372	45.2	-	-
RICHLAND	132	3.9	48	1.4	946	28.1	-	-
SPARTANBURG	38	2.2	39	2.2	466	26.4	-	-
TENNESSEE								
HAMILTON	110	3.2	40	1.2	1 258	36.6	-	-
KNOX	106	5.6	-	-	235	12.4	-	-
SHELBY	290	2.4	243	2.0	6 160	51.3	-	-
SULLIVAN	15	2.1	-	-	95	13.0	-	-
TEXAS								
BELL	130	14.7	21	2.4	215	24.3	55	6.2
BEXAR	1 008	15.7	201	3.1	2 050	32.0	69	1.1
BRAZORIA	78	7.0	12	1.1	125	11.5	26	2.3
CAMERON	108	11.8	19	2.1	303	33.0	-	-
DALLAS	1 546	10.1	474	3.1	4 260	28.0	435	2.9
EL PASO	478	15.7	-	-	969	31.7	365	12.0
GALVESTON	171	10.6	27	1.7	314	19.5	-	-
HARRIS	2 483	11.4	546	2.5	5 558	25.5	943	4.3
HIDALGO	143	14.1	24	2.4	297	29.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	322	13.7	32	1.4	473	20.1	21	0.9
LUBBOCK	162	14.5	36	3.2	286	25.6	-	-
MCLENNAN	102	7.5	27	2.0	567	41.7	-	-
NUECES	227	9.8	49	2.1	508	21.9	-	-
TARRANT	932	14.3	124	1.9	1 593	24.5	7	0.1
TRAVIS	269	8.9	104	3.4	1 109	36.6	3	0.1
WICHITA	86	10.1	18	2.1	296	34.9	-	-
UTAH								
SALT LAKE	429	7.5	117	2.0	1 105	19.2	-	-
UTAH	42	10.1	14	3.4	99	23.8	-	-
WEBER	63	7.5	55	6.5	272	32.3	-	-
VIRGINIA								
ARLINGTON	87	1.0	-	-	1 569	18.3	-	-
FAIRFAX	349	2.8	62	0.5	1 024	8.1	36	0.3
HENRICO	139	3.5	-	-	359	9.1	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	111	5.5	-	-	301	15.0	-	-
WASHINGTON								
CLARK	147	6.8	51	2.4	368	17.1	11	0.5
KING	1 436	8.3	623	3.6	4 666	26.8	24	0.1
KITSAP	159	4.2	19	0.5	2 651	70.5	17	0.5
PIERCE	489	10.0	102	2.1	1 161	23.8	70	1.4
SNOHOMISH	403	9.2	103	2.3	1 109	25.2	51	1.2
SPOKANE	335	8.6	129	3.3	947	24.4	-	-
YAKIMA	230	12.5	56	3.0	558	30.3	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA								
CABELL	84	10.4	-	-	272	33.7	-	-
KANAWHA	182	11.4	5	0.3	608	38.1	-	-
WISCONSIN								
BROWN	103	5.6	4	0.2	249	13.6	-	-
DANE	554	11.7	141	3.0	780	18.4	-	-
KENOSHA	138	7.9	-	-	318	18.3	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 385	7.2	775	4.1	7 118	37.2	8	(2)
OUTAGAMIE	94	8.1	14	1.2	130	11.2	-	-
RACINE	166	7.7	161	7.4	223	10.3	-	-
ROCK	153	6.7	71	3.1	640	27.9	-	-
WAUKESHA	161	6.2	57	2.2	425	16.3	-	-
WINNEBAGO	109	8.9	1	0.1	71	5.8	-	-

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	17 173 372	2 188 495	12.7	709 727	32.4	578 856	26.4
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	3 155	613	19.4	169	27.6	305	49.8
JEFFERSON	48 306	5 938	12.3	1 816	30.6	1 957	33.0
MADISON	6 158	1 364	22.2	390	28.6	571	41.9
MOBILE	14 945	2 700	18.1	986	36.5	1 165	43.1
MONTGOMERY	5 455	1 521	27.9	551	36.2	584	38.4
TUSCALOOSA	3 874	1 017	26.3	338	33.2	433	42.6
ALASKA:							
ANCHORAGE	133 979	273	0.2	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	93 643	16 595	17.7	4 208	25.4	5 051	30.4
PIMA	45 720	9 258	20.2	3 396	36.7	2 144	23.2
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	6 078	1 797	29.6	547	30.4	578	32.2
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	272 976	40 946	15.0	6 889	16.8	10 228	25.0
BUTTE	33 904	2 854	8.4	1 011	35.4	3 728	25.5
CONTRA COSTA	158 826	20 880	13.1	5 022	24.1	3 929	18.2
FRESNO	130 339	13 665	10.5	3 447	25.2	3 077	22.5
KERN	114 534	13 304	11.6	4 646	34.9	2 329	16.0
LOS ANGELES	2 223 899	290 954	13.1	95 970	33.0	65 821	22.6
MARIN	49 600	9 005	18.2	1 968	21.9	2 265	25.2
MERCED	37 934	2 545	6.7	663	26.1	628	24.7
MONTREY:							
ORANGE	52 775	7 677	14.5	2 258	29.4	1 581	20.6
RIVERSIDE	236 920	45 782	19.3	8 407	18.4	12 226	26.7
SACRAMENTO	134 170	19 181	14.3	6 512	34.0	3 521	18.4
SAN BERNARDINO	223 469	31 292	14.0	12 241	39.1	4 612	14.7
SAN DIEGO	193 451	29 666	15.3	9 303	31.4	6 103	20.6
SAN JOAQUIN	268 937	50 813	18.9	9 268	18.2	12 499	24.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	96 864	11 416	11.8	3 335	29.2	1 948	17.1
SANTA BARBARA	33 064	3 629	11.0	1 324	36.5	689	19.0
SANTA CLARA	120 052	22 948	19.1	4 982	21.7	4 605	20.1
SANTA CRUZ	72 112	11 414	15.8	3 838	33.6	1 758	15.4
SOLANO	266 505	34 228	12.8	7 465	21.8	6 581	19.2
SONOMA	40 224	4 770	11.9	1 501	31.5	924	19.4
STANISLAUS	36 252	5 393	14.9	1 304	24.2	1 290	23.7
TULARE	63 464	6 991	11.0	2 198	31.4	1 011	14.5
VENTURA	58 603	6 062	10.3	1 824	30.1	1 133	18.7
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	65 299	6 358	9.7	2 042	32.1	1 137	17.9
ARAPAHOE	99 861	14 642	14.7	4 917	33.6	2 995	20.5
BOULDER	20 454	1 641	8.0	888	54.1	177	10.8
EL PASO	8 525	1 120	13.1	517	46.2	400	35.7
JEFFERSON	11 364	1 074	9.5	535	49.8	70	6.5
PUEBLO	25 054	3 670	14.6	702	19.1	647	17.6
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	14 783	2 089	14.1	1 299	62.2	162	7.8
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	17 308	715	4.1	130	18.2	166	23.2
BREVARD	25 967	2 949	11.4	2 235	75.8	633	21.5
BROWARD	11 791	2 037	17.3	1 272	62.4	405	19.9
DADE	21 416	3 876	18.1	1 714	44.2	1 285	33.2
ESCAMBIA	41 500	10 471	25.2	3 632	34.7	3 573	34.1
HILLSBOROUGH	297 532	38 613	13.0	21 168	54.8	10 490	27.2
LEE	12 011	3 968	33.0	1 792	45.2	1 182	29.8
LEON	68 370	9 808	14.3	1 948	19.9	4 592	46.8
ORANGE	9 264	1 787	19.3	859	48.1	502	28.1
PALM BEACH	4 774	1 541	32.3	576	37.4	599	38.9
PINELLAS	26 316	6 168	23.4	2 453	39.8	1 956	31.7
POLK	36 586	9 254	25.3	4 761	51.4	2 165	23.4
SARASOTA	28 194	5 163	18.3	2 343	45.4	1 603	31.0
VOLUSIA	17 637	3 913	22.2	1 381	35.3	1 359	34.7
GEORGIA:							
BIBB	9 347	2 526	27.0	1 205	47.7	897	35.5
CHATHAM	13 168	2 732	20.7	902	33.0	1 159	42.4
COBB	8 621	2 501	29.0	841	33.6	812	32.5
DE KALB	16 129	2 816	17.5	862	30.6	1 145	40.7
FULTON	17 747	3 272	18.4	1 691	51.7	758	23.2
RICHMOND	55 071	8 887	16.1	3 911	44.0	1 736	19.5
	46 406	10 786	23.2	246	2.3	5 297	49.1
	10 121	2 693	26.6	1 230	45.7	744	27.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	176 086	8.0	50 394	2.3	649 499	29.7	23 933	1.1
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	21	3.4	-	-	118	19.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	394	6.6	-	-	1 502	25.3	269	4.5
MADISON	162	11.9	-	-	241	17.7	-	-
MOBILE	76	2.8	-	-	473	17.5	-	-
MONTGOMERY	48	3.2	-	-	338	22.2	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	48	4.7	-	-	198	19.5	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE	273	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	1 556	9.4	650	3.9	5 130	30.9	-	-
PIMA	919	9.9	407	4.4	2 392	25.8	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	246	13.7	39	2.2	387	21.5	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	3 736	9.1	2 152	5.3	17 941	43.8	-	-
BUTTE	350	12.3	162	5.7	603	21.1	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	1 919	9.2	780	3.7	9 230	44.2	-	-
FRESNO	1 111	8.1	399	2.9	5 631	41.2	-	-
KERN	1 261	9.5	421	3.2	4 847	36.4	-	-
LOS ANGELES	21 886	7.5	10 299	3.5	96 227	33.1	951	0.3
MARIN	789	8.8	367	4.1	3 616	40.2	-	-
MERCED	268	10.5	67	2.6	919	36.1	-	-
MONTREY:								
ORANGE	854	11.1	293	3.8	2 691	35.1	-	-
RIVERSIDE	4 447	9.7	1 486	3.2	18 691	40.8	525	1.1
SACRAMENTO	1 778	9.3	592	3.1	6 778	35.3	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	3 376	10.8	894	2.9	10 169	32.5	-	-
SAN DIEGO	2 286	7.7	765	2.6	10 828	36.5	381	1.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4 671	9.2	2 002	3.9	21 905	43.1	468	0.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 091	9.6	423	3.7	4 619	40.5	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	549	15.1	69	1.9	998	27.5	-	-
SANTA CLARA	1 540	6.7	790	3.4	10 597	46.2	434	1.9
SANTA CRUZ	1 321	11.6	389	3.4	4 108	36.0	-	-
SOLANO	3 520	10.3	1 236	3.6	15 141	44.2	285	0.8
SONOMA	640	13.4	118	2.5	1 587	33.3	-	-
STANISLAUS	425	7.9	369	6.8	2 013	37.3	2	(2)
TULARE	644	9.2	192	2.7	2 946	42.1	-	-
VENTURA	698	11.5	147	2.4	2 196	36.2	64	1.1
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	744	11.7	255	4.0	2 180	34.3	-	-
ARAPAHOE	1 597	10.9	490	3.3	4 191	28.6	452	3.1
BOULDER	387	23.6	-	-	189	11.5	-	-
EL PASO	30	2.7	-	-	173	15.4	-	-
JEFFERSON	308	28.7	-	-	161	15.0	-	-
PUEBLO	498	13.6	-	-	1 823	49.7	-	-
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	400	19.1	-	-	228	10.9	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	227	31.7	-	-	164	22.9	28	3.9
BREVARD	81	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	135	6.6	15	0.7	210	10.3	-	-
DADE	302	7.8	44	1.1	531	13.7	-	-
ESCAMBIA	320	3.1	41	0.4	2 726	26.0	179	1.7
HILLSBOROUGH	1 342	3.5	157	0.4	4 910	12.7	546	1.4
LEE	161	4.1	57	1.4	4 776	19.6	-	-
LEON	679	6.9	136	1.4	2 287	23.3	166	1.7
ORANGE	53	3.0	14	0.8	359	20.1	-	-
PALM BEACH	61	4.0	13	0.8	292	18.9	-	-
PINELLAS	456	7.4	146	2.4	1 157	18.8	-	-
POLK	669	7.2	77	0.8	1 582	17.1	-	-
SARASOTA	212	4.1	122	2.4	879	17.0	4	0.1
VOLUSIA	279	7.1	21	0.5	873	22.3	-	-
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	101	4.0	5	0.2	318	12.6	-	-
CHATHAM	113	4.1	32	1.2	526	19.3	-	-
COBB								

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:							
ADA	4 382	1 175	26.8	404	34.4	353	30.0
ILLINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN	8 059	1 453	18.0	513	35.3	298	20.5
COOK	416 457	74 264	17.8	8 627	11.6	36 918	49.7
DU PAGE	42 983	4 972	11.6	1 749	35.2	1 021	20.5
LAKE	9 725	6 543	67.1	892	13.6	598	9.1
LAKE	20 518	3 173	15.0	1 097	34.6	889	28.0
LA SALLE	5 048	1 960	19.0	361	37.6	275	28.6
MCHENRY	6 104	1 927	31.6	565	29.3	274	14.2
MCLEAN	4 762	1 032	21.7	406	39.3	339	32.8
MACON	5 140	1 106	21.5	441	39.9	311	28.1
MADISON	10 476	2 663	25.4	966	36.3	682	25.6
PEORIA	8 293	1 952	23.5	832	42.6	384	19.7
ROCK ISLAND	8 802	1 323	15.0	524	39.6	331	25.0
ST. CLAIR	12 866	2 371	18.4	814	34.3	591	24.9
SANGAMON	5 468	1 473	26.9	653	44.3	251	17.0
TAZEWELL	4 502	960	21.3	425	44.3	252	26.2
WILL	12 089	2 771	22.9	1 064	38.4	1 047	37.8
WINNEBAGO	13 450	2 922	21.7	1 173	40.1	591	20.2
INDIANA:							
ALLEN	25 211	3 485	13.8	950	27.3	885	25.4
DELAWARE	6 984	1 047	15.0	210	20.1	275	26.3
ELKHART	9 779	1 455	14.9	597	41.0	340	23.4
LAKE	63 485	4 876	7.7	1 678	34.4	1 546	31.7
LA PORTE	7 838	959	14.0	232	24.2	290	30.2
MADISON	7 706	935	12.1	212	22.7	301	32.2
ST. JOSEPH	19 700	2 186	11.1	513	23.5	781	35.7
TIPPECANOE	6 861	829	12.1	383	46.2	182	22.0
VANDERBURGH	13 364	1 986	14.9	718	36.2	577	29.1
VIGO	8 691	1 625	18.7	299	18.4	580	35.7
IOWA:							
BLACK HAWK	6 867	1 019	14.8	247	24.2	314	30.8
LINN	12 691	1 178	9.3	290	24.6	276	23.4
POLK	21 321	2 993	14.0	496	16.6	859	28.7
SCOTT	8 501	920	10.8	251	27.3	305	33.2
WOODBURY	6 473	1 017	15.7	173	17.0	412	40.5
KANSAS:							
JOHNSON	19 335	1 870	9.7	803	42.9	558	29.8
SEDGWICK	14 992	3 451	23.0	775	22.5	1 587	46.0
SHAWNEE	7 094	1 389	19.6	274	19.7	452	32.5
WYANDOTTE	9 333	1 583	17.0	450	28.4	619	39.1
KENTUCKY:							
FAYETTE	4 243	1 714	40.4	830	48.4	320	18.7
JEFFERSON	45 025	9 579	21.3	5 842	61.0	821	8.6
KENTON	13 306	566	4.3	252	44.5	84	14.8
LOUISIANA:							
CADDO	9 191	3 215	35.0	1 574	49.0	383	11.9
CALCASIEU	12 299	2 783	22.6	1 750	62.9	516	18.5
JEFFERSON	74 316	9 744	13.1	6 587	67.6	1 881	19.3
LAFAYETTE	5 957	1 499	25.2	809	54.0	267	17.8
OUACHITA	3 948	1 203	30.5	575	47.8	212	17.6
RAPIDES	5 337	1 860	34.9	1 360	73.1	228	12.3
MAINE:							
CUMBERLAND	1 473	869	59.0	227	26.1	289	33.3
PENOBSCOT	1 103	421	38.2	78	18.5	192	45.6
YORK	792	425	53.7	81	19.1	221	52.0
MARYLAND:							
ANNE ARUNDEL	180 560	7 169	4.0	5 594	78.0	614	8.6
BALTIMORE	297 777	19 209	6.5	16 212	84.4	1 087	5.7
HARFORD	56 885	1 375	2.4	268	19.5	427	31.1
MONTGOMERY	329 933	17 581	5.3	13 114	74.6	1 631	9.3
PRINCE GEORGES	318 808	18 563	5.8	13 943	75.1	2 099	11.3
WASHINGTON	33 369	574	1.7	137	23.9	147	25.6
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BERKSHIRE	2 299	1 131	49.2	30	2.7	497	43.9
BRISTOL	4 535	2 931	64.6	52	1.8	1 671	57.0
ESSEX	10 029	5 269	52.5	64	1.2	2 451	46.5
HAMPDEN	6 476	3 855	59.5	63	1.6	1 895	49.2
HAMPSHIRE	3 211	982	30.6	19	1.9	1 466	47.5
MIDDLESEX	35 157	14 887	42.3	150	1.0	8 467	56.9
NORFOLK	8 867	4 608	52.0	45	1.0	2 739	59.4
PLYMOUTH	6 368	3 577	56.2	38	1.1	1 873	52.4
WORCESTER	16 214	9 990	61.6	54	0.5	3 017	30.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:								
ADA	148	12.6	92	7.8	178	15.1	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	213	14.7	47	3.2	372	25.6	10	0.7
COOK	6 552	8.8	1 550	2.1	20 608	27.7	9	(2)
DU PAGE	537	10.8	192	3.9	1 473	29.6	-	-
LAKE	245	3.7	48	0.7	4 666	71.3	94	1.4
LAKE	388	12.2	48	1.5	699	22.0	52	1.6
LA SALLE	129	13.4	25	2.6	170	17.7	-	-
MCHENRY	153	7.9	35	1.8	900	46.7	-	-
MCLEAN	108	10.5	45	4.4	134	13.0	-	-
MACON	143	12.9	40	3.6	171	15.5	-	-
MADISON	311	11.7	80	3.0	618	23.2	-	-
PEORIA	247	12.7	47	2.1	442	22.6	6	0.2
ROCK ISLAND	165	12.5	28	2.1	275	20.8	-	-
ST. CLAIR	219	9.2	99	4.2	648	27.3	-	-
SANGAMON	236	16.0	44	3.0	288	19.6	1	0.1
TAZEWELL	148	15.4	22	2.3	113	11.3	-	-
WILL	308	11.1	39	1.4	313	11.3	-	-
WINNEBAGO	273	9.3	84	2.9	801	27.4	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	183	5.3	88	2.5	1 141	32.7	238	6.8
DELAWARE	59	5.6	15	1.4	295	28.2	193	18.4
ELKHART	62	4.3	20	1.4	338	23.2	98	6.7
LAKE	492	10.1	37	0.8	822	16.9	301	6.2
LA PORTE	58	8.7	20	2.1	334	34.8	-	-
MADISON	58	6.2	35	3.7	329	35.2	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	173	7.9	25	1.1	545	24.9	149	6.8
TIPPECANOE	51	6.2	19	2.3	194	23.4	-	-
VANDERBURGH	151	7.6	67	3.4	261	13.1	212	10.7
VIGO	87	5.4	27	1.7	632	38.9	-	-
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	107	10.5	64	6.3	287	28.2	-	-
LINN	194	16.5	123	10.4	295	25.0	-	-
POLK	323	10.8	202	6.7	1 066	35.6	47	1.6
SCOTT	83	10.5	46	5.0	221	24.0	-	-
WOODBURY	200	19.7	63	6.2	169	16.6	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	243	13.0	-	-	266	14.2	-	-
SEDGWICK	308	8.9	-	-	715	20.7	-	-
SHAWNEE	126	9.1	-	-	537	38.7	66	1.9
WYANDOTTE	170	10.7	16	1.0	315	19.9	13	0.8
KENTUCKY:								
FAYETTE	114	6.7	65	4.0	381	22.2	-	-
JEFFERSON	438	4.6	-	-	2 464	25.7	14	0.1
KENTON	124	21.9	7	1.2	99	17.5	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	113	3.5	38	1.2	1 107	34.4	-	-
CALCASIEU	134	4.8	14	0.5	369	13.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	412	4.2	86	0.9	778	8.0	-	-
LAFAYETTE	90	6.0	76	5.1	257	17.1	-	-
OUACHITA	111	9.2	18	1.5	287	23.9	-	-
RAPIDES	85	4.6	19	1.0	168	9.0	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	21	2.4	57	6.6	275	31.6	-	-
PENOBSCOT	23	5.5	20	4.8	97	23.0	11	2.6
YORK	16	3.8	16	3.8	91	21.4	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	529	7.4	-	-	432	6.0	-	-
BALTIMORE	815	4.2	-	-	1 095	5.7	-	-
HARFORD	153	11.1	-	-	527	38.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY	903	5.1	61	0.3	1 032	5.9	840	4.8

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:							
BAY	11 816	1 533	13.0	422	27.5	595	38.8
BERRIEN	13 260	3 060	23.1	434	14.2	1 655	54.1
CALHOUN	9 681	2 028	20.9	234	11.5	2 587	28.9
GENESEE	63 977	6 712	10.5	1 339	19.9	2 397	35.7
INGHAM	26 297	4 079	15.5	1 102	27.0	1 663	40.8
JACKSON	15 188	1 979	13.0	377	19.1	668	33.8
KALAMAZOO	9 016	7 685	85.2	785	10.2	726	9.4
KENT	34 851	4 827	13.9	1 281	26.5	1 237	25.6
MACOMB	53 938	7 643	14.2	2 277	29.8	2 803	36.7
MONROE	21 371	1 905	8.9	680	35.7	668	35.1
MUSKEGON	36 778	2 213	6.0	365	16.5	819	37.0
OAKLAND	132 403	21 956	16.6	10 856	49.4	5 353	24.4
OTTAWA	9 972	1 337	13.4	577	43.2	468	35.0
SAGINAW	21 196	2 912	13.8	741	25.4	1 136	39.0
ST. CLAIR	13 379	1 891	14.1	463	24.5	633	33.5
WASHTENAW	22 585	5 036	22.3	1 501	29.8	1 666	33.1
WAYNE	302 913	44 904	14.8	3 929	8.7	19 346	43.1
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	17 395	1 882	10.8	763	40.5	672	35.7
DAKOTA	13 793	1 415	10.3	481	34.0	599	42.3
HENNEPIN	222 058	15 486	7.0	3 588	23.2	5 097	32.9
RAMSEY	109 650	7 300	6.7	1 587	21.7	1 413	19.4
ST. LOUIS	58 363	3 114	5.3	931	29.9	595	19.1
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	15 207	1 400	9.2	467	33.4	691	49.4
HINDS	10 670	1 279	12.0	387	30.3	619	48.4
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	2 737	1 079	39.4	320	29.7	255	23.6
GREENE	4 211	1 018	24.2	499	49.0	260	25.5
JACKSON	50 143	9 697	19.3	1 066	11.0	2 940	30.3
JEFFERSON	2 411	1 615	25.3	319	19.2	1 82	29.6
ST. LOUIS	73 779	15 161	20.5	7 580	50.0	4 218	27.3
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	35 566	3 861	10.9	584	15.1	1 384	35.8
LANCASTER	10 478	1 413	13.5	343	24.3	548	38.8
NEVADA:							
CLARK	65 282	11 089	17.0	5 359	48.3	1 296	11.7
WASHOE	30 495	3 747	12.3	1 369	36.5	620	16.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	4 670	1 146	24.5	14	1.2	682	59.5
ROCKINGHAM	3 233	745	23.0	310	41.6	241	32.3
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	36 788	2 692	7.3	193	7.2	918	34.1
BERGEN	93 678	10 333	11.0	1 991	19.3	3 999	38.7
BURLINGTON	32 537	2 340	7.2	193	8.2	731	31.2
CAMDEN	89 338	5 504	6.2	466	8.1	1 793	32.6
CUMBERLAND	11 780	1 431	12.1	153	10.7	480	33.5
ESSEX	238 467	26 797	11.2	3 140	11.7	7 546	28.2
GLOUCESTER	15 518	1 777	11.5	164	9.2	409	23.0
HUDSON	101 673	10 579	10.4	1 758	16.6	3 815	36.1
MERCER	54 526	5 481	10.1	396	7.2	1 335	24.4
MIDDLESEX	78 822	7 133	9.5	933	13.1	2 369	33.2
MONMOUTH	60 238	4 589	7.6	570	12.4	1 453	31.7
MORRIS	45 734	3 557	7.8	738	20.7	1 024	28.8
OCEAN	29 405	2 993	10.2	527	17.6	1 234	41.2
PASSAIC	61 273	7 293	11.9	697	9.6	2 439	33.4
SOMERSET	286 731	2 173	11.1	406	18.7	534	24.6
UNION	218 042	8 331	14.7	950	11.1	2 793	32.7
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	19 949	2 026	10.2	1 066	52.6	75	3.7
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	113 675	3 745	3.3	854	22.8	754	20.1
BROOME	37 906	2 416	6.4	808	33.4	480	19.9
CHAUTAUQUA	22 244	1 564	7.0	500	32.0	353	22.6
CHEMUNG	22 536	1 433	6.3	391	27.3	350	24.4
DUTCHESS	28 640	3 157	11.0	818	25.9	1 085	34.4
ERIE	286 731	17 758	6.2	3 601	20.3	3 274	18.4
MONROE	218 042	15 452	7.1	3 623	23.4	3 180	20.6
NASSAU	558 359	109 550	19.6	79 531	72.6	13 566	12.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	130	8.5	101	6.6	285	18.6	-	-
BERRIEN	226	7.4	136	4.4	609	19.9	-	-
CALHOUN	133	6.6	80	3.9	994	49.0	-	-
GENESEE	866	12.9	208	3.1	1 705	25.4	197	2.9
INGHAM	435	10.7	211	5.2	668	16.4	-	-
JACKSON	171	8.6	132	6.7	631	31.9	-	-
KALAMAZOO	350	4.6	91	1.2	5 702	74.2	31	0.4
KENT	334	6.9	108	2.2	1 829	37.9	38	0.8
MACOMB	913	11.9	209	2.7	1 405	18.4	36	0.5
MONROE	109	5.7	57	3.0	391	20.5	-	-
MUSKEGON	213	9.6	101	4.6	715	32.3	-	-
OAKLAND	1 012	4.6	-	-	4 589	20.9	146	0.7
OTTAWA	50	3.7	20	1.5	222	16.6	-	-
SAGINAW	231	7.9	175	6.0	625	21.5	4	0.1
ST. CLAIR	173	9.1	77	4.1	545	28.8	-	-
WASHTENAW	355	7.0	272	5.4	1 175	23.3	67	1.3
WAYNE	3 708	8.3	347	0.8	17 552	39.1	22	(2)
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	230	12.2	36	1.9	181	9.6	-	-
DAKOTA	98	6.9	-	-	237	16.7	-	-
HENNEPIN	950	6.1	78	0.5	5 632	36.4	141	0.9
RAMSEY	555	7.6	60	0.8	3 685	50.5	-	-
ST. LOUIS	239	7.7	89	2.9	1 260	40.5	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	53	3.8	18	1.3	171	12.2	-	-
HINDS	31	2.4	62	4.8	180	14.1	-	-
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	92	8.5	4	0.4	408	37.8	-	-
GREENE	86	8.4	-	-	173	17.0	-	-
JACKSON	755	7.8	-	-	4 936	50.9	-	-
JEFFERSON	61	9.9	-	-	53	8.6	-	-
ST. LOUIS	860	5.7	219	1.4	2 284	15.1	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	487	12.6	264	6.8	1 142	29.6	-	-
LANCASTER	201	14.2	65	4.6	241	17.1	15	1.1
NEVADA:								
CLARK	1 006	9.1	332	3.0	3 096	27.9	-	-
WASHOE	589	15.7	241	6.4	928	24.8	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	49	4.3	-	-	401	35.0	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	15	2.0	-	-	179	24.0	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	619	23.0	-	-	928	34.5	34	1.3
BERGEN	1 594	15.4	-	-	2 749	26.6	-	-
BURLINGTON	439	18.8	-	-	977	41.8	-	-
CAMDEN	983	17.9	-	-	2 252	40.9	30	0.5
CUMBERLAND	208	14.5	-	-	590	41.2	-	-
ESSEX	3 695	13.8	54	0.2	12 265	45.8	97	0.4
GLOUCESTER	266	15.0	-	-	938	52.8	-	-
HUDSON	1 799	17.0	-	-	3 207	30.3	-	-
MERCER	1 065	19.4	-	-	2 662	48.6	23	0.4
MIDDLESEX	1 353	19.0	20	0.3	2 382	33.4	76	1.1
MONMOUTH	691	15.1	3	0.1	1 872	40.8	-	-
MORRIS	639	18.0	-	-	1 156	32.5	-	-
OCEAN	442	14.8	-	-	790	26.4	-	-
PASSAIC	1 158	15.9	-	-	2 951	40.5	48	0.7
SOMERSET	404	18.6	3	0.1	826	38.0	-	-
UNION	1 889	22.1	-	-	2 762	32.4	137	1.6
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	47	2.3	-	-	675	33.3	163	8.0
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	375	10.0	151	4.0	1 611	43.0	-	-
BROOME	256	9.3	93	3.8	706	29.2	73	3.0
CHAUTAUQUA	158	10.1	99	6.3	454	29.0	-	-
CHEMUNG	110	7.7	36	2.5	546	38.1	-	-
DUTCHESS	293	9.3	128	4.1	833	26.4	-	-
ERIE	2 187	12.3	563	3.2	7 949	44.8	184	1.0
MONROE	1 173	7.6	419	2.7	7 034	45.5	23	0.1
NASSAU	4 110	3.8	1 076	1.0	11 220	10.2	47	(2)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA	57 458	3 307	5.8	862	26.1	940	28.4
ONEIDA	58 586	2 358	4.0	584	24.8	698	29.6
ONONDAGA	132 077	9 460	7.2	2 524	26.7	1 703	18.0
ORANGE	54 785	2 927	5.3	401	13.7	854	29.2
OSWEGO	14 873	1 297	8.8	402	31.0	406	31.3
RENSSELAER	37 253	1 714	4.6	277	16.2	478	27.9
ROCKLAND	64 782	3 492	5.4	909	26.0	861	24.7
ST. LAWRENCE	20 675	1 201	5.8	318	26.5	399	33.2
SARATOGA	14 540	1 133	7.8	278	24.5	415	36.6
SCHENECTADY	27 314	1 667	6.1	92	5.5	739	44.3
SUFFOLK	322 517	70 007	21.7	51 603	73.7	7 918	11.3
ULSTER	27 045	3 075	11.4	239	7.8	432	14.0
WESTCHESTER	246 030	26 249	10.7	4 089	15.6	3 210	12.2
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE	31 697	757	2.4	516	68.2	12	1.6
CUMBERLAND	56 217	1 204	2.1	878	72.9	83	6.9
DURHAM	43 357	612	1.4	422	69.0	16	2.6
FORSYTH	57 196	1 154	2.1	819	71.0	-	-
GASTON	41 067	950	2.3	533	56.1	196	20.6
GUILFORD	88 942	5 811	6.5	1 076	18.5	295	5.1
HECKLENBURG	132 453	4 656	3.5	2 131	45.8	922	19.8
ONSLow	12 048	520	2.9	350	67.3	43	8.3
WAKE	64 588	710	1.1	496	69.9	22	3.1
OHIO:							
ALLEN	9 181	1 077	11.7	359	33.3	509	47.3
BUTLER	12 904	1 853	14.4	562	30.3	889	48.0
CLARK	9 649	914	9.5	345	37.7	366	40.0
COLUMBIANA	5 743	796	13.9	189	23.7	401	50.4
CUYAHOGA	168 472	19 434	11.5	3 734	19.2	8 007	41.2
FRANKLIN	58 174	8 707	15.0	2 401	27.6	2 567	29.5
GREENE	11 062	1 212	11.0	522	43.1	487	40.2
HAMILTON	75 724	10 057	13.3	2 601	25.9	3 935	39.1
LAKE	24 163	1 861	7.7	552	29.7	732	39.3
LICKING	6 633	947	14.3	234	24.7	501	52.9
LORAIN	19 492	2 245	11.5	562	25.0	919	40.9
LUCAS	46 568	5 916	12.7	622	10.5	2 829	47.8
MAHONING	24 275	2 156	8.9	509	23.6	1 045	48.5
MONTGOMERY	57 024	6 839	12.0	1 656	24.2	2 389	34.9
PORTAGE	17 008	1 159	6.8	364	31.4	539	46.5
RICHLAND	7 717	1 155	15.0	345	29.9	513	44.4
STARK	22 387	3 047	13.6	1 131	37.1	1 073	35.2
SUMMIT	37 999	5 732	15.1	1 458	25.4	2 579	45.0
TRUMBULL	16 232	1 836	11.3	618	33.7	797	43.4
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE	7 259	651	9.0	159	24.4	337	51.8
OKLAHOMA	14 974	2 686	17.9	586	21.8	1 188	44.2
TULSA	15 178	2 751	18.1	530	19.3	1 244	45.2
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS	11 543	2 010	17.4	844	42.0	477	23.7
LANE	18 848	4 019	21.3	1 379	34.3	1 720	42.8
MARION	8 923	2 135	23.9	617	28.9	506	23.7
MULTNOMAH	60 898	14 876	24.4	5 368	36.1	3 519	23.7
WASHINGTON	13 524	2 277	16.8	975	42.8	385	16.9
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY	145 112	19 221	13.2	3 651	19.0	9 080	47.2
BEAVER	14 813	1 806	12.2	159	8.8	997	55.2
BERKS	12 186	2 409	19.8	36	1.5	1 150	47.7
BLAIR	4 381	677	15.5	16	2.4	302	44.6
BUCKS	18 918	4 643	24.5	480	10.3	2 012	43.3
BUTLER	4 089	723	17.7	34	4.7	376	52.0
CAMBRIA	6 562	1 169	17.8	27	2.3	639	54.7
CHESTER	11 116	3 135	28.2	291	9.3	1 068	34.1
CUMBERLAND	3 726	960	25.8	64	6.7	361	37.6
DAUPHIN	11 662	2 751	23.6	32	1.2	967	35.2
DELAWARE	31 891	6 866	21.5	388	5.7	2 897	42.2
ERIE	11 510	2 697	23.4	57	2.1	1 060	39.3
FAYETTE	5 109	894	17.5	21	2.3	482	53.9
FRANKLIN	4 267	676	15.8	11	1.6	260	38.5
LACKAWANNA	15 124	1 561	10.3	29	1.9	876	56.1
LANCASTER	10 478	2 958	28.2	61	2.1	1 148	38.8
LAWRENCE	2 552	678	26.6	22	3.2	55.0	35.0
LEHIGH	14 025	2 009	14.3	25	1.2	909	45.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA	249	7.5	111	3.4	1 145	34.6	-	-
ONEIDA	285	12.1	112	4.7	4 678	28.8	-	-
ONONDAGA	732	7.7	465	4.9	4 036	42.7	-	-
ORANGE	446	15.2	139	4.7	1 087	37.1	-	-
OSWEGO	111	8.6	19	1.5	359	27.7	-	-
RENSSELAER	185	10.8	68	4.0	1 706	41.2	-	-
ROCKLAND	535	15.3	178	5.1	1 008	28.9	1	(2)
ST. LAWRENCE	97	8.1	33	2.7	354	29.5	-	-
SARATOGA	127	11.2	35	3.1	278	24.5	-	-
SCHENECTADY	170	10.2	25	1.5	641	38.5	-	-
SUFFOLK	2 882	4.1	795	1.1	6 755	9.6	54	0.1
ULSTER	186	6.0	32	1.0	2 186	71.1	-	-
WESTCHESTER	1 960	7.5	618	2.4	7 669	29.2	8 703	33.2
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE	24	3.2	-	-	205	27.1	-	-
CUMBERLAND	19	1.6	-	-	224	18.6	-	-
DURHAM	11	1.8	-	-	163	26.6	-	-
FORSYTH	66	5.7	-	-	269	23.3	-	-
GASTON	9	0.9	-	-	212	22.3	-	-
GUILFORD	48	0.8	-	-	664	11.4	3 728	64.2
HECKLENBURG	29	0.6	-	-	1 574	33.8	-	-
ONSLow	12	2.3	-	-	115	22.1	-	-
WAKE	14	2.0	-	-	178	25.1	-	-
OHIO:								
ALLEN	79	7.3	22	2.0	108	10.0	-	-
BUTLER	103	5.6	36	1.9	263	14.2	-	-
CLARK	65	7.1	9	1.0	129	14.1	-	-
COLUMBIANA	77	9.7	10	1.3	119	14.9	-	-
CUYAHOGA	1 170	6.0	877	4.5	5 646	29.1	-	-
FRANKLIN	524	6.0	162	1.9	3 053	35.1	-	-
GREENE	75	6.2	23	1.9	105	8.7	-	-
HAMILTON	429	4.3	275	2.7	2 752	27.4	65	0.6
LAKE	146	7.8	16	0.9	415	22.3	-	-
LICKING	67	7.1	14	1.5	131	13.8	-	-
LORAIN	227	10.1	26	1.2	511	22.8	-	-
LUCAS	270	4.6	118	2.0	2 077	35.1	-	-
MAHONING	210	9.7	-	-	392	18.2	-	-
MONTGOMERY	559	8.2	110	1.6	2 125	31.1	-	-
PORTAGE	105	9.1	12	1.9	128	11.0	-	-
RICHLAND	104	9.0	22	1.0	181	15.7	-	-
STARK	220	7.2	60	2.0	563	18.5	-	-
SUMMIT	349	6.1	-	-	1 346	23.5	-	-
TRUMBULL	141	7.7	24	1.3	256	13.9	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	-	-	56	8.6	99	15.2	-	-
OKLAHOMA	-	-	129	4.8	783	29.2	-	-
TULSA	6	0.2	123	4.5	848	30.8	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	196	9.8	43	2.1	450	22.4	-	-
LANE	525	13.1	130	3.2	265	6.6	-	-
MARION	238	11.1	87	4.1	687	32.2	-	-
MULTNOMAH	1 322	8.9	280	1.9	4 192	28.2	195	1.3
WASHINGTON	236	10.4	81	3.6	600	26.4	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	1 716	8.9	438	2.3	4 150	21.6	186	1.0
BEAVER	184	10.2	75	4.2	391	21.7	-	-
BERKS	231	9.6	45	1.9	947	39.3	-	-
BLAIR	61	9.0	28	4.1	270	39.9	-	-
BUCKS	488	10.5	142	3.1	1 521	32.8	-	-
BUTLER	75	10.4	27	3.7	211	29.2	-	-
CAMBRIA	144	12.3	35	3.0	324	27.7	-	-
CHESTER	436	13.9	90	2.9	1 250	39.9	-	-
CUMBERLAND	86	9.0	22	2.3	427	44.5	-	-
DAUPHIN	298	10.8	80	2.9	1 374	49.9	-	-
DELAWARE	841	12.2	301	4.4	2 439	35.5	-	-
ERIE	242	9.0	91	3.4	1 247	46.2	-	-
FAYETTE	80	8.9	26	2.9	285	31.9	-	-
FRANKLIN	71	10.5	22	3.3	311	46.0	1	0.1
LACKAWANNA	166	10.6	53	3.4	437	28.0	-	-
LANCASTER	115	3.9	64	2.2	1 570	53.1	-	-
LAWRENCE	81	11.9	27	4.0	175	25.8	-	-
LEHIGH	193	9.6	67	3.3	8			

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LUZERNE	14 902	2 392	16.1	61	2.6	1 088	45.5
LYCOMING	5 567	753	13.5	10	1.3	395	52.5
MERCER	4 230	1 146	27.1	18	1.6	469	40.9
MONTGOMERY	29 410	6 353	21.6	472	7.4	3 543	55.8
NORTHAMPTON	11 674	2 110	18.1	18	0.9	985	46.7
SCHUYLKILL	6 519	977	15.0	26	2.7	553	56.6
WASHINGTON	8 763	1 491	17.0	50	3.4	718	58.2
WESTMORELAND	18 579	2 343	12.6	136	5.8	1 365	58.3
YORK	8 100	1 854	22.9	133	7.2	945	51.0
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON	3 095	893	28.9	291	32.6	297	33.3
CHARLESTON	15 203	3 492	23.0	1 974	56.5	923	26.4
GREENVILLE	10 858	3 033	27.9	878	28.9	681	22.5
RICHLAND	26 294	3 368	12.8	1 131	33.6	1 111	33.0
SPARTANBURG	22 839	1 731	7.6	632	36.5	556	32.1
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON	59 790	3 440	5.8	765	22.2	1 267	36.8
KNOX	35 210	1 891	5.4	541	28.6	1 009	53.4
SHELBY	95 516	11 809	12.4	2 138	18.1	3 032	25.7
SULLIVAN	16 706	729	4.4	300	41.2	319	43.8
TEXAS:							
BELL	3 520	847	24.1	219	25.9	245	28.9
BEXAR	33 302	6 410	19.2	1 491	23.3	1 591	24.8
BRAZORIA	6 370	1 085	17.0	583	53.7	283	26.1
CAMERON	3 853	915	23.7	141	15.4	346	37.8
DALLAS	94 217	15 238	16.2	3 491	22.9	5 032	33.0
EL PASO	17 925	3 054	17.0	634	20.8	608	19.9
GALVESTON	16 939	1 614	9.5	462	28.6	640	39.7
HARRIS	96 621	21 800	22.6	3 836	17.6	8 434	38.7
HIDALGO	5 750	1 015	17.7	155	15.3	396	39.0
JEFFERSON	7 713	2 261	29.3	535	23.7	971	42.9
LUBBOCK	3 445	1 118	32.5	282	25.2	352	31.5
MCLENNAN	3 907	1 359	34.8	349	25.7	314	23.1
NUECES	23 763	2 314	9.7	569	24.6	962	41.6
TARRANT	33 026	6 478	19.6	1 258	19.4	2 582	39.9
TRAVIS	7 724	3 005	38.9	655	21.8	865	28.8
WICHITA	2 503	849	33.9	194	22.9	255	30.0
UTAH:							
SALT LAKE	37 307	5 748	15.4	3 086	53.7	1 011	17.6
UTAH	3 242	416	12.8	187	45.0	74	17.8
WEBER	6 858	843	12.3	314	37.2	139	16.5
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON	90 180	8 574	9.5	6 041	70.5	877	10.2
FAIRFAX	262 453	12 569	4.8	9 531	75.8	1 628	13.0
HENRICO	53 032	3 943	7.4	2 231	56.3	1 226	31.1
PRINCE WILLIAM	49 890	2 004	4.0	1 219	60.8	373	18.6
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK	10 633	2 140	20.1	1 035	48.4	539	25.2
KING	98 583	17 405	17.7	5 723	32.9	4 933	28.3
KITSAP	7 471	3 758	50.3	532	14.2	380	10.1
PIERCE	26 016	4 883	18.8	1 754	35.9	1 307	26.8
SNOHOMISH	24 311	4 403	18.1	1 544	35.1	1 193	27.1
SPOKANE	21 254	3 881	18.3	1 521	39.2	949	24.5
YAKIMA	10 269	1 844	18.0	406	22.0	594	32.2
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL	3 573	806	22.6	167	20.7	283	35.1
KANAWHA	7 461	1 597	21.4	335	21.0	467	29.2
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN	31 444	1 747	5.6	948	54.3	460	26.3
DANE	43 287	4 562	10.5	2 143	47.0	992	21.7
KENOSHA	19 346	1 652	8.5	762	46.1	466	28.2
MILWAUKEE	254 062	18 677	7.4	2 855	15.3	6 948	37.2
OUTAGAMIE	17 188	1 037	6.0	533	51.4	299	28.8
RACINE	24 403	2 103	8.6	1 021	48.5	578	27.5
ROCK	17 268	2 210	12.8	877	39.7	504	22.8
WAUKESHA	23 070	2 498	10.8	1 080	43.2	808	32.3
WINNEBAGO	18 962	1 152	6.1	618	53.6	374	32.5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Table 19. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LUZERNE	222	9.3	69	2.9	952	39.8	-	-
LYCOMING	73	9.7	24	3.2	251	33.3	-	-
MERCER	67	5.9	36	3.1	499	43.5	57	5.0
MONTGOMERY	624	6.8	161	2.5	1 553	24.4	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	144	6.8	81	3.8	882	41.8	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	107	11.0	19	1.8	273	37.9	-	-
WASHINGTON	180	12.1	56	3.8	447	32.7	-	-
WESTMORELAND	286	12.2	61	2.6	495	21.1	-	-
YORK	90	4.9	34	1.8	652	35.2	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	29	3.2	-	-	276	30.9	-	-
CHARLESTON	66	1.9	-	-	529	15.1	-	-
GREENVILLE	81	2.7	21	0.7	1 372	45.2	-	-
RICHLAND	132	3.9	48	1.4	946	28.1	-	-
SPARTANBURG	38	2.2	39	2.3	466	26.9	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	110	3.2	40	1.2	1 258	36.6	-	-
KNOX	106	5.6	-	-	235	12.4	-	-
SHELBY	290	2.5	243	2.1	6 106	51.7	-	-
SULLIVAN	15	2.1	-	-	95	13.0	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	130	15.3	21	2.5	215	25.4	17	2.0
BEXAR	1 008	15.7	201	3.1	2 050	32.0	69	1.1
BRAZORIA	78	7.2	12	1.1	128	11.8	-	0.1
CAMERON	108	11.8	19	2.1	301	32.9	-	-
DALLAS	1 546	10.1	474	3.1	4 260	28.0	435	2.9
EL PASO	478	15.7	-	-	969	31.7	365	12.0
GALVESTON	171	10.6	27	1.7	314	19.5	-	-
HARRIS	2 483	11.4	546	2.5	5 558	25.5	943	4.3
HIDALGO	143	14.1	24	2.4	297	29.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	322	14.2	32	1.4	401	17.7	-	-
LUBBOCK	162	14.5	36	3.2	286	25.6	-	-
MCLENNAN	102	7.5	27	2.0	567	41.7	-	-
NUECES	227	9.8	49	2.1	507	21.9	-	-
TARRANT	932	14.4	124	1.9	1 575	24.3	7	0.1
TRAVIS	269	9.0	104	3.5	1 109	36.9	3	0.1
WICHITA	86	10.1	18	2.1	296	34.9	-	-
UTAH:								
SALT LAKE	429	7.5	117	2.0	1 105	19.2	-	-
UTAH	42	10.1	14	3.4	99	23.8	-	-
WEBER	63	7.5	55	6.5	272	32.3	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	87	1.0	-	-	1 569	18.3	-	-
FAIRFAX	349	2.8	62	0.5	963	7.7	36	0.3
HENRICO	139	3.5	-	-	347	8.8	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	111	5.5	-	-	301	15.0	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	147	6.9	51	2.4	368	17.2	-	-
KING	1 436	8.3	623	3.6	4 664	26.8	24	0.1
KITSAP	159	4.2	19	0.5	2 651	70.5	17	0.5
PIERCE	489	10.0	102	2.1	1 161	23.8	70	1.4
SNOHOMISH	403	9.2	103	2.3	1 109	25.2	51	1.2
SPOKANE	335	8.6	129	3.3	947	24.4	-	-
YAKIMA	230	12.5	56	3.0	558	30.3	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	84	10.4	-	-	272	33.7	-	-
KANAWHA	182	11.4	5	0.3	608	38.1	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	103	5.9	4	0.2	232	13.3	-	-
DANE	554	12.1	141	3.1	732	16.0	-	-
KENOSHA	138	8.4	-	-	286	17.3	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 385	7.4	775	4.1	6 706	35.9	8	(2)
OUTAGAMIE	94	9.1	14	1.4	97	9.4	-	-
RACINE	166	7.9	161	7.7	177	8.4	-	-
ROCK	153	6.9	71	3.2	605	27.4	-	-
WAUKESHA	161	6.4	57	2.3	392	15.7	-	-
WINNEBAGO	109	9.5	1	0.1	50	4.3	-	-

Table 20. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution of Full-Time

Line number	County	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial				
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	TOTAL	763 832	166 418	154 798	159 032	20.8	52 786	50 476	51 108	32.1	47 739	42 747	44 981	28.3
2	ALABAMA:													
3	CALHOUN	289	76	67	70	24.2	20	18	18	25.7	37	33	35	50.0
4	JEFFERSON	3 576	611	579	585	16.4	179	178	178	30.4	216	207	208	35.6
5	MADISON	567	167	158	161	28.4	44	43	43	26.7	68	61	64	39.8
6	MOBILE	963	369	334	360	37.4	134	131	132	36.7	157	138	152	42.2
7	MONTGOMERY	519	175	160	167	32.2	43	43	43	29.7	62	53	58	34.7
	TUSCALOOSA	368	130	117	123	33.4	35	33	33	26.8	48	43	44	35.8
8	ALASKA:													
	ANCHORAGE	4 247	16	15	16	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	ARIZONA:													
10	MARICOPA	5 295	1 451	1 415	1 446	27.3	340	340	340	23.5	457	428	457	31.6
	PIMA	2 856	913	860	892	31.2	259	257	257	28.8	273	252	273	30.6
11	ARKANSAS:													
	PULASKI	559	199	192	195	34.9	66	64	65	33.3	55	53	54	27.7
12	CALIFORNIA:													
13	ALAMEDA	8 814	2 367	2 261	2 074	23.5	539	535	536	25.8	503	477	496	23.9
14	BUTTE	1 167	225	203	208	17.8	92	85	86	41.3	58	52	54	26.0
15	CONTRA COSTA	1 292	1 167	1 113	1 113	20.8	292	286	287	23.7	253	223	237	19.5
16	FRESNO	4 435	988	925	951	21.4	269	267	267	28.1	199	175	186	19.6
17	KERN	4 585	940	863	894	19.5	304	283	290	32.4	162	140	154	17.2
18	LOS ANGELES	74 591	16 353	15 613	15 830	21.2	4 846	4 708	4 738	29.9	3 487	2 924	3 110	19.6
19	MARIN	1 728	494	462	470	27.2	91	90	90	19.1	125	117	121	25.7
	MERCED	1 349	239	212	220	16.3	53	43	45	20.5	63	54	59	26.8
20	MONTREY	2 174	563	544	553	25.4	179	179	179	32.4	103	89	97	17.5
21	ORANGE	9 896	3 047	2 864	2 930	29.6	633	577	577	19.7	786	746	774	26.4
22	RIVERSIDE	4 767	1 380	1 346	1 362	28.6	429	428	428	31.4	271	255	268	19.7
23	SACRAMENTO	6 502	1 760	1 723	1 732	26.6	618	618	618	35.7	236	236	236	13.6
24	SAN BERNARDINO	7 157	2 102	1 831	1 908	26.7	675	550	570	29.9	431	383	403	21.1
25	SAN DIEGO	10 345	3 336	3 099	3 175	30.7	616	571	580	18.3	915	853	883	27.8
26	SAN JOAQUIN	3 333	333	333	333	24.6	246	246	246	30.9	118	118	118	15.6
27	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 452	251	220	230	15.8	91	88	89	38.7	50	34	40	17.4
28	SAN MATEO	4 136	1 247	1 138	1 185	28.7	296	273	284	24.0	270	238	256	21.6
29	SANTA BARBARA	2 878	800	713	737	25.6	262	236	241	32.7	137	114	124	16.8
30	SANTA CLARA	8 471	2 106	1 911	1 975	23.3	506	449	460	23.3	387	345	358	18.1
31	SANTA CRUZ	1 533	347	325	343	21.4	103	103	103	31.4	74	67	70	21.3
32	SOLANO	1 309	398	385	393	30.0	90	90	88	22.4	94	87	94	23.9
33	SONOMA	2 508	556	456	486	19.4	172	158	161	33.1	74	66	71	14.6
34	STANISLAUS	1 882	421	380	394	20.9	142	122	126	32.0	70	62	70	17.8
35	TULARE	1 954	557	488	507	25.9	191	171	175	34.5	105	79	90	17.8
36	VENTURA	4 094	1 040	997	1 011	24.7	341	338	338	33.4	206	192	200	19.8
37	COLORADO:													
38	ADAMS	887	153	146	149	16.8	87	85	86	57.7	15	15	15	10.1
39	ARAPAHOE	421	105	104	104	24.7	51	51	51	49.0	34	34	34	32.7
40	BOULDER	536	119	114	115	21.5	71	71	71	61.7	-	-	-	-
41	EL PASO	1 011	146	143	144	14.2	68	68	68	47.2	-	-	-	-
42	JEFFERSON	1 021	201	197	198	19.4	122	122	122	61.6	14	14	14	7.1
	PUEBLO	565	65	58	61	10.8	11	11	11	16.4	9	7	8	13.1
43	DELAWARE:													
	NEW CASTLE	1 329	245	245	245	18.4	173	173	173	70.6	64	64	64	26.1
44	FLORIDA:													
45	ALACHUA	1 348	193	177	181	13.4	125	111	114	63.0	42	40	41	22.7
46	BREVARD	1 348	361	346	351	26.0	162	162	162	46.2	153	142	146	41.6
47	BROWARD	2 360	794	744	770	32.6	332	311	323	41.9	259	243	252	32.7
48	DADE	15 665	3 197	3 195	3 196	20.4	1 655	1 655	1 655	51.8	993	991	992	31.0
49	ESCAMBIA	787	320	307	313	39.8	165	165	165	52.7	89	77	82	26.2
50	HILLSBOROUGH	4 456	963	847	860	19.3	236	187	191	22.2	487	429	436	50.7
	LEE	834	236	222	229	27.5	119	112	115	50.2	69	62	66	28.8
51	LEON	439	162	147	151	34.4	71	71	71	47.0	55	42	45	29.8
52	ORANGE	2 246	664	655	661	29.4	327	325	327	49.5	204	203	203	30.7
53	PALM BEACH	2 184	775	763	767	35.4	369	369	369	48.1	237	220	232	30.2
54	PINELLAS	1 726	463	428	438	25.4	242	242	242	55.3	88	69	70	16.0
55	POLK	1 594	343	342	342	21.5	130	130	130	38.0	113	113	113	33.0
56	SARASOTA	573	261	252	254	44.3	150	150	150	59.1	74	74	74	29.1
57	VOLUSIA	975	325	288	313	32.1	106	99	102	32.6	120	118	119	38.0
58	GEORGIA:													
59	BIBB	522	176	165	171	32.8	56	56	56	32.7	64	58	60	35.1
60	CHATHAM	1 283	281	274	279	21.7	90	90	90	32.3	108	105	108	38.7
61	COBB	1 291	417	389	396	30.7	225	219	220	55.6	100	81	86	21.7
62	DE KALB	3 612	737	735	736	20.4	428	428	428	58.2	113	112	112	15.2
63	FULTON	2 871	950	903	931	32.4	22	19	20	2.1	446	427	443	47.6
	RICHMOND	920	305	301	302	32.6	138	138	138	45.7	78	78	78	25.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Equivalent Employees of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973

Line number	County	Legal services and prosecution				Indigent defense			Correction				Other criminal justice				
		Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	TOTAL	13 909	12 995	13 186	8.3	2 349	2 162	2 259	1.4	49 217	46 035	47 101	29.6	418	383	387	0.3
2	ALABAMA:																
3	CALHOUN	3	2	2	2.9	-	-	-	-	16	14	15	21.4	-	-	-	-
4	JEFFERSON	39	21	25	4.3	-	-	-	-	177	173	174	29.7	-	-	-	-
5	MADISON	18	17	17	10.6	-	-	-	-	37	37	37	23.0	-	-	-	-
6	MOBILE	13	-	11	3.1	-	-	-	-	55	65	65	18.1	-	-	-	-
7	MONTGOMERY	10	10	10	6.0	-	-	-	-	60	54	56	33.5	-	-	-	-
	TUSCALOOSA	5	1	5	4.1	-	-	-	-	42	40	41	33.3	-	-	-	-
8	ALASKA:																
	ANCHORAGE	16	15	16	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	ARIZONA:																
10	MARICOPA	120	120	120	8.3	53	53	53	3.7	481	474	476	32.9	-	-	-	

Table 20. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution of Full-Time

Line number	County	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial				Line number	
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees				Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	IDAHO	327	152	127	135	41.3	63	50	54	40.0	54	43	47	34.8	
2	ILLINOIS:														
3	CHAMPAIGN	449	160	127	132	29.4	63	47	49	37.1	28	24	24	18.2	
4	COOK	24 938	6 103	5 765	5 987	23.9	631	629	629	10.6	3 467	3 159	3 344	56.1	
5	DU PAGE	1 457	483	397	442	30.3	151	144	145	32.8	146	110	129	29.2	
6	KANE	515	280	218	240	46.6	85	81	82	34.2	79	67	73	30.4	
7	LAKE	1 205	303	267	293	24.3	102	102	102	34.8	74	68	70	23.9	
8	LA SALLE	298	99	79	88	29.5	33	28	30	34.1	25	23	24	27.3	
9	MCHENRY	327	135	120	127	38.8	51	48	49	36.6	50	24	27	21.3	
10	MCLEAN	210	133	104	114	54.3	43	37	39	34.2	59	41	46	40.4	
11	MASSON	360	152	119	136	37.8	55	46	55	40.4	55	38	43	31.6	
12	MADISON	659	263	238	243	36.9	83	81	81	33.3	81	71	73	30.0	
13	PEORIA	588	211	203	206	35.0	83	83	83	40.3	52	47	49	23.8	
14	ROCK ISLAND	535	165	151	156	29.2	63	60	61	39.1	45	37	38	24.4	
15	ST. CLAIR	599	256	230	239	39.9	77	70	73	30.5	77	72	73	30.5	
16	SANGAMON	383	163	139	146	38.1	59	58	58	39.7	43	33	36	24.7	
17	TAZEWELL	310	111	87	96	31.0	37	37	37	38.5	43	23	30	31.3	
18	WILL	727	234	211	218	30.0	94	83	84	38.5	82	79	80	36.7	
19	WINNEBAGO	806	283	256	267	33.1	104	102	103	38.6	62	59	60	22.5	
20	INDIANA:														
21	ALLEN	1 336	332	260	303	22.7	81	76	77	25.4	87	69	83	27.4	
22	DELAWARE	371	117	80	95	25.6	39	18	20	21.1	34	26	33	34.7	
23	ELKHART	460	136	101	123	26.7	35	46	55	44.7	34	30	34	27.7	
24	LAKE	2 244	492	452	465	20.7	171	156	159	34.2	160	147	152	32.7	
25	LA PORTE	267	136	71	104	39.0	28	9	17	16.3	32	27	31	29.8	
26	MADISON	380	91	67	83	21.8	20	18	19	22.9	28	23	28	33.7	
27	ST. JOSEPH	882	253	217	246	27.9	61	56	58	23.6	95	82	95	38.6	
28	TIPPECANOE	344	88	67	81	23.5	29	28	28	34.6	17	22	22	27.2	
29	VANDERBURGH	611	169	162	168	27.5	45	45	45	26.8	64	61	66	39.3	
30	VIGO	600	141	126	136	22.7	34	28	30	22.1	49	43	49	36.0	
31	IOWA:														
32	BLACK HAWK	440	152	96	105	23.9	58	26	29	27.6	42	27	30	28.6	
33	LINN	571	154	135	140	24.5	31	29	29	20.7	56	56	58	41.4	
34	POLK	1 141	350	291	321	28.1	68	52	58	18.1	118	94	104	32.4	
35	SCOTT	378	107	104	104	27.5	26	26	26	25.0	41	41	41	39.4	
36	WOODBURY	374	114	93	97	25.9	22	19	19	19.6	47	43	44	45.4	
37	KANSAS:														
38	JOHNSON	659	226	187	198	30.0	79	71	74	37.4	81	62	67	33.8	
39	SEDGWICK	1 168	369	305	317	27.1	102	98	100	31.5	157	130	136	42.9	
40	SHAWNEE	495	204	161	175	35.4	36	31	32	18.3	65	54	58	33.1	
41	WYANDOTTE	503	184	158	170	33.8	39	37	37	21.8	79	69	73	42.9	
42	KENTUCKY:														
43	FAYETTE	540	114	107	112	20.7	8	5	6	5.4	43	39	43	38.4	
44	JEFFERSON	3 572	903	894	897	25.1	489	489	489	54.5	105	105	105	11.7	
45	KENTON	298	53	50	50	16.8	24	23	23	46.0	10	10	10	20.0	
46	LOUISIANA:														
47	CADDO	665	256	221	239	35.9	111	111	111	46.4	52	26	35	14.6	
48	CALCASIEU	745	338	220	262	35.2	197	130	148	56.5	81	45	60	22.9	
49	JEFFERSON	3 888	980	908	964	24.8	577	567	572	59.3	263	221	259	26.9	
50	LAFAYETTE	403	207	149	177	43.9	86	81	82	46.3	64	26	45	25.4	
51	OUACHITA	437	161	127	133	30.4	73	67	68	51.1	35	11	15	11.3	
52	RAPIDES	422	207	125	137	32.5	117	96	97	70.8	68	9	19	13.9	
53	MAINE:														
54	CUMBERLAND	117	82	69	77	65.8	18	18	18	23.4	30	24	30	39.0	
55	PENOBSCOT	56	59	33	44	78.6	11	10	10	23.7	25	14	22	50.0	
56	YORK	75	46	37	43	57.3	10	10	10	23.3	24	15	21	48.8	
57	MARYLAND:														
58	ANNE ARUNDEL	8 255	534	523	526	6.4	393	393	393	74.7	71	61	64	12.2	
59	BALTIMORE	16 513	1 430	1 430	1 436	8.7	1 206	1 206	1 206	84.0	76	75	75	5.2	
60	HARFORD	2 876	111	101	102	3.5	27	25	25	24.5	43	35	36	35.3	
61	MONTGOMERY	17 010	1 082	1 081	1 081	6.4	839	839	839	77.6	106	106	106	9.8	
62	PRINCE GEORGES	20 580	1 210	1 200	1 200	5.8	907	901	903	75.2	192	184	187	15.6	
63	WASHINGTON	2 356	43	40	41	1.7	11	11	11	26.8	14	8	9	22.0	
64	MASSACHUSETTS:														
65	BERKSHIRE	136	102	76	85	62.5	3	-	1	1.2	39	37	37	43.5	
66	BRISTOL	458	268	201	221	48.3	-	-	-	-	161	98	118	53.4	
67	ESSEX	632	406	360	372	58.9	-	-	-	-	201	175	183	49.2	
68	HAMPDEN	451	372	293	310	68.7	-	-	-	-	153	129	137	44.2	
69	HAMPSHIRE	246	75	62	66	26.8	-	-	-	-	26	25	25	37.9	
70	MIDDLESEX	1 468	1 023	928	958	65.3	-	-	-	-	612	330	554	57.8	
71	NORFOLK	954	314	306	309	32.4	-	-	-	-	164	163	163	52.8	
72	PLYMOUTH	522	265	251	259	49.6	-	-	-	-	116	102	110	42.5	
73	WORCESTER	816	421	419	419	51.3	-	-	-	-	208	207	207	49.4	

See footnotes at end of table.

Equivalent Employees of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

Line number	Legal services and prosecution				Indigent defense				Correction				Other criminal justice				Line number
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	16	16	16	11.9	-	-	-	-	19	18	18	13.3	-	-	-	-	1
2	18	16	16	12.1	7	7	7	5.3	44	33	36	27.3	-	-	-	-	2
3	455	455	455	7.6	172	164	166	2.8	1 378	1 358	1 363	27.9	-	-	-	-	3
4	54	51	52	11.8	27	7	22	4.0	105	85	94	21.3	-	-	-	-	4
5	31	2	11	4.6	4	4	4	1.7	75	61	66	17.5	-	-	-	-	5
6	37	34	35	11.9	7	4	4	1.4	79	78	78	26.6	-	-	-	-	6
7	13	10	12	13.6	3	1	2	2.3	25	17	20	22.7	-	-	-	-	7
8	14	10	12	9.4	2	2	2	1.6	38	36	37	29.1	-	-	-	-	8
9	11	11	11	9.6	5	3	4	3.5	15	12	14	12.3	-	-	-	-	9
10	16	14	14	10.3	5	5	5	3.7	21	16	19	14.					

Table 20. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution of Full-Time

Line number	County	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total original justice system				Police protection			Judicial				Line number	
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total original justice system employees	Number of employees				Percent of total original justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	MICHIGAN	541	133	126	133	24.6	34	34	34	25.6	53	46	53	39.8	
2	BAY	858	259	239	253	29.5	27	24	25	9.9	150	140	156	61.7	
3	CALHOUN	445	181	173	174	39.6	21	18	19	10.8	59	59	59	33.5	
4	GENESEE	1 779	453	416	441	24.8	50	88	89	20.2	163	158	163	37.0	
5	INGHAM	1 147	285	266	278	24.2	74	74	74	26.6	112	102	112	40.3	
6	JACKSON	805	178	161	170	21.1	30	35	35	20.6	55	48	55	32.4	
7	KALAMAZOO	661	332	312	324	49.0	107	106	106	32.7	61	51	61	18.6	
8	KENT	1 804	386	325	358	19.8	137	114	119	11.2	116	88	112	31.3	
9	MACOMB	1 913	551	548	550	28.8	147	145	147	26.7	216	216	216	39.3	
10	MONROE	632	169	159	169	26.6	55	55	55	32.7	65	57	65	38.7	
11	MUSKEGON	843	205	199	204	24.2	35	35	35	17.2	99	89	98	48.0	
12	OAKLAND	2 930	656	795	814	27.8	212	212	212	26.0	310	280	300	36.9	
13	OTTAWA	487	143	124	138	28.3	56	54	55	39.9	51	42	51	37.0	
14	SAGINAW	1 079	283	256	280	25.9	72	72	72	25.7	117	105	117	41.8	
15	ST. CLAIR	604	200	132	150	24.8	69	31	37	24.7	58	51	58	38.7	
16	WASHTENAW	976	384	355	366	37.5	92	88	88	24.0	147	134	137	37.4	
17	WAYNE	8 254	2 239	2 202	2 211	26.8	264	263	263	11.9	969	931	939	42.3	
18	MINNESOTA														
19	ANDKA	468	125	123	124	26.5	50	50	50	40.3	41	39	40	32.3	
20	DAKOTA	396	114	96	99	25.0	30	30	30	30.3	53	37	39	39.4	
21	HENNEPIN	5 522	1 053	974	992	18.0	259	227	232	23.4	344	329	332	33.5	
22	RAMSEY	3 566	558	532	540	15.2	121	115	117	21.7	119	114	116	21.5	
23	ST. LOUIS	2 127	252	243	244	11.5	76	70	71	29.1	59	56	56	23.0	
24	MISSISSIPPI														
25	HARRISON	560	103	103	103	18.4	45	45	45	43.7	30	30	30	29.1	
26	HINDS	899	96	88	92	10.2	29	29	29	31.5	47	42	46	50.0	
27	MISSOURI														
28	CLAY	288	125	104	110	38.2	37	36	36	32.7	26	23	24	21.8	
29	GREENE	347	150	129	136	39.2	61	57	58	42.6	43	30	42	30.9	
30	JACKSON	2 041	927	920	932	45.7	121	121	121	13.0	291	290	290	31.1	
31	JEFFERSON	216	79	70	76	35.2	40	38	39	31.3	23	23	23	30.3	
32	ST. LOUIS	3 851	1 297	1 297	1 278	33.2	629	610	616	48.2	405	380	395	30.9	
33	NEBRASKA														
34	DOUGLAS	2 566	318	282	293	11.3	53	46	48	16.4	101	86	89	30.4	
35	LANCASTER	693	133	113	117	16.9	32	32	32	27.4	55	44	46	39.3	
36	NEVADA														
37	CLARK	3 896	1 313	1 284	1 292	33.2	831	824	826	63.9	98	96	92	7.1	
38	WASHOE	2 060	317	302	305	14.8	117	117	117	36.4	47	38	39	12.6	
39	NEW HAMPSHIRE														
40	HILLSBOROUGH	495	96	90	92	18.6	-	-	-	-	39	38	38	41.3	
41	ROCKINGHAM	325	52	51	51	15.7	26	26	26	51.0	12	11	11	21.6	
42	NEW JERSEY														
43	ATLANTIC	1 391	302	284	287	20.6	13	13	13	4.5	86	82	82	28.6	
44	BERGEN	4 131	967	967	967	23.4	158	158	158	16.3	373	373	373	38.6	
45	BURLINGTON	1 496	254	154	226	15.1	24	-	24	10.6	64	31	46	20.4	
46	CAMDEN	2 376	609	604	605	25.5	58	55	55	9.1	204	202	203	33.6	
47	CUMBERLAND	749	160	136	144	19.2	14	14	14	9.7	54	33	39	27.1	
48	ESSEX	8 092	2 270	2 270	2 270	28.1	297	297	297	13.1	646	646	646	28.5	
49	GLOUCESTER	762	157	130	143	18.8	21	10	12	8.4	35	19	30	21.0	
50	HUDSON	4 281	1 045	1 045	1 045	24.4	177	177	177	16.9	408	408	408	39.0	
51	MERCER	1 211	451	451	451	37.2	38	38	38	5.4	104	104	104	23.1	
52	MIDDLESEX	3 304	733	733	733	22.2	93	93	93	12.7	256	256	256	34.9	
53	MONMOUTH	2 083	440	439	439	21.1	49	48	48	10.9	164	164	164	37.4	
54	MORRIS	1 839	393	327	344	18.7	79	77	77	22.4	126	74	64	24.4	
55	OCEAN	1 254	315	300	307	24.5	66	64	65	21.2	98	96	97	31.6	
56	PASSAIC	2 102	638	627	630	30.0	50	49	49	7.8	214	212	213	33.8	
57	SOMERSET	845	194	193	193	22.8	47	47	47	24.4	41	40	40	20.7	
58	UNION	1 861	709	709	709	38.1	71	71	71	18.0	248	248	248	35.0	
59	NEW MEXICO														
60	BERNALILLO	1 925	219	205	210	10.9	145	142	143	68.1	11	9	10	4.8	
61	NEW YORK														
62	ALBANY	2 828	397	337	374	14.2	124	115	119	31.8	76	56	64	17.1	
63	BROOME	1 862	240	204	214	11.5	69	69	69	32.2	49	40	41	19.2	
64	CHAUTAUQUA	959	148	108	127	13.2	49	51	43	33.9	32	23	26	20.5	
65	CHEMUNG	855	122	107	121	14.2	40	39	39	32.2	14	14	14	11.6	
66	DUTCHESS	1 417	290	231	265	18.7	87	76	80	30.2	56	55	55	20.8	
67	ERIE	9 459	1 378	1 233	1 278	13.5	351	320	326	25.5	203	195	197	15.4	
68	MONROE	3 874	901	887	891	23.0	251	287	288	27.3	210	210	210	23.6	
69	NASSAU	18 917	6 814	6 351	6 307	34.4	4 769	4 328	4 475	68.8	871	865	867	13.3	

See footnotes at end of table.

Equivalent Employees of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

Line number	Local services and prosecution				Indigent defense				Correction				Other original justice				Line number
	Number of employees			Percent of total original justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total original justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total original justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total original justice system employees	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	16	16	16	12.0	-	-	-	-	30	30	30	22.6	-	-	-	1	
2	20	20	20	7.7	-	-	-	-	56	49	82	20.5	-	-	-	2	
3	13	11	12	11.8	-	-	-	-	88	85	86	40.2	-	-	-	3	
4	52	52	52	10.4	-	-	-	-	148	118	137	31.1	-	-	-	4	
5	29	29	29	10.4	-	-	-	-	70	61	63	22.7	-	-	-	5	
6	22	22	22	12.9	-	-	-	-	63	56	58	34.1	-	-	-	6	
7	28	28	28	8.6	-	-	-	-	133	124	126	38.9	3	3	3	0.9	
8	25	25	25	7.0	-	-	-	-	106	96	100	27.9	2	2	2	0.6	
9	72	72	72	13.1	-	-	-	-	111	110	110	20.0	5	5	5	0.9	
10	12	12	12	7.1	-	-	-	-	37	35	36	21.4	-	-	-	10	
11	12	12	12	5.9	-	-	-	-	59	59	59	28.9	-	-	-	11	
12	85	77	75	9.6	-	-	-	-	240	217	223	27.4	1	1	1	0.1	
13	6	5	5	3.6	-	-	-	-	32	23	27	19.6	-	-	-	13	
14	22	21	22	7.9	-	-	-	-	72	58	69	24.6	-	-	-	14	
15	9	8	8	5.3	-	-	-	-	64	42	47	11.3	-	-	-	15	
16	29	27	28	5.7	11	11	11	3.0	101	96	98	24.8	4	4	4	1.1	
17	171	171	171	7.7	-	-	-	-	835	833	834	37.7	4	4	4	0.2	
18	16	16	16	12.9	4	4	4	3.2	14	14	14	11.3	-	-	-	18	
19	12	10	11	11.1	-	-	-	-	19	19	19	19.2	-	-	-	19	
20	55	50	51	5.1	-	-	-	-	391	364	373	37.6	4	4	4	0.4	
21	38	37	37	6.9	7	7	7	1.3	273	259	263	48.7	-	-	-	21	
22	20	20	20	8.2	1	1	1	0.4	96	96	96	39.3	-	-	-	22	
23	5	5	5	4.9	-	-	-	-	23	23	23	22.3	-	-	-	23	
24	3	2	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	17	15	15	16.3	-	-	-	24	
25	13	13	13	11.8	-	-	-	-	49	32	37	33.6	-	-	-	25	
26	16	13	14	10.3	-	-	-	-	30	21	22	16.2	-	-	-	26	
27	79	79</															

Table 20. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution of Full-Time

Table with columns for County, Total criminal justice system, Police protection, and Judicial. Rows include New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, and Pennsylvania counties.

See footnotes at end of table.

Equivalent Employees of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

Table with columns for Legal services and prosecution, Indigent defense, Correction, and Other criminal justice. Rows list various counties and their corresponding employment data.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 20. Criminal Justice System Employment and Percent Distribution of Full-Time

Line number	County	Total criminal justice system				Police protection			Judicial				Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
		Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Number of employees					
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED														
1	LUZERNE	1 077	251	238	249	23.1	8	8	8	3.2	114	114	114	45.8
2	LYCOMING	352	108	67	80	22.7	1	1	1	1.2	41	32	35	43.8
3	MERCER	332	111	84	93	28.0	6	1	2	2.2	55	42	46	49.5
4	MONTGOMERY	1 098	633	540	578	52.6	40	40	40	6.9	347	277	305	52.8
5	NORTHAMPTON	788	206	180	190	24.1	2	1	1	0.5	99	81	65	49.2
6	SCHUYLKILL	697	153	119	132	18.9	4	1	2	1.5	73	60	71	43.7
7	WASHINGTON	579	162	143	152	26.3	4	4	4	2.8	76	66	141	59.7
8	WESTMORELAND	1 015	259	214	236	23.3	16	12	13	5.5	148	128	141	59.7
9	YORK	670	246	183	205	30.6	15	15	15	7.3	138	84	103	50.2
SOUTH CAROLINA														
10	ANDERSON	192	119	93	103	53.6	37	36	36	35.0	44	25	33	32.0
11	CHARLESTON	1 341	345	290	312	23.3	163	163	163	52.2	107	64	81	26.0
12	GREENVILLE	520	316	260	276	52.9	111	113	113	41.1	107	69	77	28.0
13	RICHLAND	1 996	350	342	344	17.3	114	114	114	33.1	119	111	113	32.8
14	SPARTANBURG	1 876	211	160	173	9.2	70	61	63	36.4	74	43	50	28.9
TENNESSEE														
15	HAMILTON	5 139	359	312	322	6.3	112	112	112	34.8	145	114	118	36.6
16	KNOX	2 569	246	190	205	8.0	72	60	63	30.7	123	106	111	54.1
17	SHELBY	7 837	995	921	940	12.0	195	172	178	18.9	296	291	292	31.1
18	SULLIVAN	1 752	85	82	83	4.7	41	41	41	49.4	33	32	32	38.6
TEXAS														
19	BELL	1 218	111	87	98	45.0	22	18	20	20.4	32	22	26	26.5
20	BEXAR	1 363	722	684	707	51.9	160	160	160	22.6	175	159	174	24.6
21	BRAZORIA	450	135	101	112	24.9	64	54	57	50.9	41	28	33	29.5
22	CAMERON	487	168	132	147	32.2	30	30	30	20.4	43	40	47	32.0
23	DALLAS	2 554	1 213	1 217	1 217	47.7	388	382	384	31.6	386	379	381	31.3
24	EL PASO	1 171	338	327	330	52.6	77	77	77	23.3	64	57	59	17.9
25	GALVESTON	1 171	187	164	171	14.6	39	39	39	22.8	91	68	75	43.9
26	HARRIS	3 940	2 184	2 158	2 184	55.4	435	435	435	19.9	828	802	828	37.9
27	HIDALGO	463	139	114	120	25.9	18	17	17	14.2	57	45	47	39.2
28	JEFFERSON	609	223	196	213	35.0	54	54	54	25.4	84	65	79	37.1
29	LUBBOCK	323	142	127	135	41.8	33	33	33	24.4	46	36	40	29.6
30	MCLENNAN	393	146	140	152	38.7	41	37	38	25.0	39	22	29	19.1
31	NUECES	1 190	585	571	580	48.7	141	141	141	12.7	192	197	197	34.0
32	TARRANT	1 056	387	351	365	55.6	64	60	61	16.7	113	96	102	27.9
33	TRAVIS	656	387	351	365	55.6	64	60	61	16.7	113	96	102	27.9
34	WICHITA	202	91	73	80	39.6	17	16	16	20.0	34	25	29	36.2
UTAH														
35	SALT LAKE	2 452	569	540	551	22.5	327	326	326	59.2	77	72	73	13.2
36	UTAH	239	62	45	50	20.9	19	19	19	38.0	13	2	5	10.0
37	WEBER	613	105	87	93	15.2	36	35	36	38.7	15	12	13	14.0
VIRGINIA														
38	ARLINGTON	4 204	480	437	460	10.9	345	344	344	74.8	46	36	41	8.9
39	FAIRFAX	16 652	876	874	876	5.3	625	625	625	71.3	137	137	137	15.6
40	HENRICO	4 085	274	274	274	6.7	193	193	193	70.4	32	32	32	11.7
41	PRINCE WILLIAM	3 660	159	159	159	4.3	95	95	95	59.7	27	27	27	17.0
WASHINGTON														
42	CLARK	580	209	206	207	35.7	94	94	94	45.4	53	52	52	25.1
43	KING	5 300	1 509	1 404	1 429	27.0	513	504	506	35.4	436	397	407	28.5
44	KITSAP	555	157	126	135	24.5	51	49	50	36.8	39	29	31	22.8
45	PIERCE	1 452	446	403	428	29.5	149	149	149	34.8	116	87	109	25.5
46	SNOHOMISH	1 133	406	397	399	35.2	135	135	135	33.8	119	113	115	28.8
47	SPOKANE	1 047	370	354	360	34.4	126	126	126	35.0	96	89	91	25.3
48	YAKIMA	628	208	191	194	30.9	52	51	51	26.3	68	60	62	32.0
WEST VIRGINIA														
49	CABELL	239	94	94	94	39.3	33	33	33	35.1	20	20	20	21.3
50	KANAWHA	512	169	169	169	33.0	44	44	44	26.0	47	47	47	27.8
WISCONSIN														
51	BROWN	858	158	139	146	17.0	91	88	89	61.0	37	23	28	19.2
52	DANE	1 310	363	303	325	24.8	145	134	135	41.5	94	81	89	27.4
53	KENOSHA	601	135	126	131	21.8	68	67	67	51.1	35	27	32	24.4
54	MILWAUKEE	9 324	1 053	1 051	1 051	11.3	175	175	175	16.7	396	394	394	37.5
55	OUTAGAMIE	661	108	82	92	13.9	52	47	48	52.2	35	18	25	27.2
56	WAUKEGON	852	194	156	169	19.8	94	92	93	55.0	53	31	39	23.1
57	WISCONSIN	812	165	145	154	19.0	94	92	93	37.7	52	36	43	27.9
58	WISCONSIN	1 073	204	185	199	18.5	89	89	89	44.7	67	51	64	32.2
59	WISCONSIN	756	102	90	94	12.4	49	49	49	52.1	33	24	27	28.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Equivalent Employees of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973--Continued

Line number	Legal services and prosecution			Indigent defense			Correction			Other criminal justice			Total number of employees
	Number of employees			Number of employees			Number of employees			Number of employees			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	29	18	28	11.2	11	11	11	4.4	89	87	88	35.3	1
2	13	7	9	11.2	3	3	3	3.7	24	24	24	40.0	2
3	14	7	11	11.8	5	5	5	5.4	29	27	27	29.0	3
4	66	55	61	10.6	23	23	23	4.0	157	145	149	25.8	4
5	21	14	15	7.9	6	6	6	3.2	78	78	78	41.1	5
6	23	15	17	12.9	6	6	6	4.5	47	37	42	31.1	6
7	26	20	22	14.5	7	7	7	4.6	49	46	48	31.6	7
8	34	25	29	12.3	7	6	6	2.5	54	43	47	19.9	8
9	13	13	13	6.3	5	5	5	2.4	75	66	69	33.7	9
10	3	3	3	2.9	-	-	-	-	35	29	31	30.1	10
11	9	6	6	4.9	-	-	-	-	66	62	62	19.9	11
12	11	11	11	4.0	5	-	3	1.1	74	69	71	25.8	12
13	5	11	11	3.2	4	4	4	2.3	106	106	106	30.8	13
14	11	11	5	2.9	4	4	4	2.3	58	49	51	29.5	14
15	18	6	10	3.1	-	-	-	-	84	80	82	25.5	15
16	12	6	7	3.4	-	-	-	-	39	18	24	11.7	16
17	53	10	20	2.1	26	26	26	2.8	418	415	417	44.4	17
18	3	1	2	2.4	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	9.6	18
19	19	17	18	18.4	-	-	-	-	31	23	27	27.6	19
20	102	102	102	14.4	-	-	-	-	290	261	269	38.0	20
21	10	7	7	6.3	-	-	-	-	20	12	15	13.4	21
22	17	15	16	10.9	-	-	-	-	58	47	54	36.7	22
23	121	121	121	9.9	-	-	-	-	331	331	331	27.2	23
24	39	38	38	11.5	-	-	-	-	122	122	122	37.0	24
25	15	15	15	8.8	-	-	-	-	41	41	41	24.0	25
26	196	196	196	9.0	-	-	-	-	617	617	617	28.3	26
27													

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	607 611	146 591	24.1	50 780	34.4	37 232	25.4
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN	148	44	29.6	13	29.6	21	47.7
JEFFERSON	2 209	441	19.9	147	33.3	142	32.3
MADISON	290	96	33.2	25	26.4	41	42.9
MOBILE	568	207	36.4	75	36.3	90	43.7
MONTGOMERY	325	109	33.6	33	30.4	39	35.9
TUSCALOOSA	204	71	34.7	21	29.1	26	37.3
ALASKA:							
ANCHORAGE	5 389	22	0.4	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA	3 774	1 177	31.2	302	25.7	329	27.9
PIMA	2 126	745	35.0	226	30.3	208	28.0
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI	242	124	51.4	36	28.6	32	25.6
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA	8 485	2 536	29.9	617	24.3	522	20.6
BUTTE	905	188	20.8	82	43.5	39	20.9
CONTRA COSTA	5 436	1 387	25.5	369	26.6	236	17.0
FRESNO	3 860	940	24.4	280	29.8	171	18.2
KERN	4 073	900	22.1	312	34.6	133	14.8
LOS ANGELES	52 465	19 152	36.5	5 949	31.1	3 752	19.6
MARIN	1 625	543	33.4	113	20.8	129	23.7
MERCED	1 000	175	17.5	41	23.4	40	23.1
MONTEREY	1 815	540	29.8	179	33.2	83	15.4
ORANGE	10 106	3 273	32.4	689	21.0	801	24.5
RIVERSIDE	4 437	1 352	30.5	447	33.0	231	17.1
SACRAMENTO	6 302	1 944	30.8	725	37.3	235	12.1
SAN BERNARDINO	6 182	1 928	31.2	620	32.1	367	19.0
SAN DIEGO	9 744	3 372	34.6	657	19.5	907	26.9
SAN JOAQUIN	3 156	783	24.8	256	32.7	111	14.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 199	222	18.5	90	40.5	28	12.5
SAN MATEO	4 062	1 303	32.1	331	25.4	253	19.4
SANTA BARBARA	2 650	770	29.1	272	35.4	111	14.4
SANTA CLARA	8 920	2 439	27.3	691	28.3	412	16.9
SANTA CRUZ	1 229	316	25.7	101	32.1	62	19.6
SOLANO	1 177	379	32.2	93	24.4	79	20.8
SONOMA	2 224	469	21.1	158	33.8	62	13.1
STANISLAUS	1 523	357	23.5	117	32.8	54	15.1
TULARE	1 540	456	29.6	179	39.3	61	13.4
VENTURA	3 904	1 094	28.0	381	34.9	194	17.8
COLORADO:							
ADAMS	598	118	19.7	65	55.2	11	9.2
ARAPAHOE	308	78	25.3	38	48.6	25	32.4
BOULDER	357	81	22.8	47	58.4	-	-
EL PASO	617	94	15.2	40	42.4	-	-
JEFFERSON	658	147	22.3	86	58.6	7	5.0
PUEBLO	358	40	11.3	5	12.7	4	10.6
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE	937	212	22.7	167	78.6	39	18.3
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA	660	137	20.8	91	66.5	25	18.0
BREVARD	866	219	25.3	112	51.0	83	37.7
BROWARD	1 881	550	29.2	249	45.3	150	27.3
DADE	13 175	3 259	24.7	1 925	59.1	818	25.1
ESCAMBIA	559	250	44.8	152	60.6	52	20.7
HILLSBOROUGH	2 992	691	23.1	162	23.5	344	49.8
LEE	526	160	30.4	85	53.0	41	25.3
LEON	237	114	48.2	61	53.4	30	26.6
ORANGE	1 325	386	29.1	202	52.2	114	29.4
PALM BEACH	1 507	609	40.4	323	53.1	155	25.4
PINELLAS	1 237	333	27.0	181	54.4	53	16.0
POLK	1 007	235	23.3	94	40.3	71	30.1
SARASOTA	343	174	50.6	100	57.6	54	30.9
VOLUSIA	590	185	31.3	61	33.2	75	40.4
GEORGIA:							
BIBB	333	127	38.1	45	35.8	43	34.2
CHATHAM	695	197	28.3	62	31.5	72	36.7
COBB	786	262	33.3	157	60.0	55	21.0
DE KALB	2 395	566	23.6	358	63.2	76	13.5
FULTON	2 108	823	39.1	17	2.1	383	46.5
RICHMOND	509	179	35.2	82	45.6	47	26.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL	14 575	9.9	2 793	1.9	40 896	27.9	315	0.2
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN	1	2.8	-	-	9	19.8	-	-
JEFFERSON	21	4.9	-	-	130	29.6	-	-
MADISON	10	10.2	-	-	20	20.5	-	-
MOBILE	6	2.7	-	-	36	17.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY	4	4.1	-	-	32	29.5	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	3	4.9	-	-	20	28.7	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE	22	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA	126	10.7	62	5.3	358	30.4	-	-
PIMA	97	13.1	43	5.8	170	22.8	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI	30	23.9	9	6.9	19	15.0	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	291	11.5	166	6.5	940	37.1	-	-
BUTTE	30	15.8	-	-	37	19.8	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	157	11.3	68	4.9	552	39.8	5	0.3
FRESNO	99	10.5	37	3.9	353	37.5	-	-
KERN	100	11.1	32	3.5	323	35.9	-	-
LOS ANGELES	1 971	10.3	915	4.8	6 564	34.3	-	-
MARIN	55	10.2	24	4.4	208	38.2	14	2.7
MERCED	23	13.3	4	2.2	66	38.0	-	-
MONTEREY	78	14.4	26	4.7	174	32.3	-	-
ORANGE	390	11.9	115	3.5	1 268	38.7	11	0.3
RIVERSIDE	154	11.4	41	3.1	478	35.4	-	-
SACRAMENTO	281	14.4	80	4.1	624	32.1	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	189	9.8	66	3.4	685	35.5	2	0.1
SAN DIEGO	371	11.0	-	-	1 417	42.0	20	0.6
SAN JOAQUIN	92	11.8	41	5.2	283	36.1	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	36	16.4	-	-	68	30.6	-	-
SAN MATEO	127	9.7	-	-	585	44.9	7	0.5
SANTA BARBARA	97	12.5	31	4.0	260	33.8	-	-
SANTA CLARA	288	11.8	104	4.3	937	36.4	8	0.3
SANTA CRUZ	54	17.0	-	-	99	31.4	-	-
SOLANO	37	9.7	19	5.0	152	40.0	-	-
SONOMA	62	13.1	17	3.6	176	37.6	-	-
STANISLAUS	56	12.0	2	0.5	123	34.5	4	1.1
TULARE	54	11.9	17	3.7	145	31.8	-	-
VENTURA	140	12.8	43	3.9	331	30.3	5	0.4
COLORADO:								
ADAMS	28	23.8	-	-	14	11.8	-	-
ARAPAHOE	2	2.0	-	-	13	16.9	-	-
BOULDER	22	27.6	-	-	11	14.1	-	-
EL PASO	33	35.1	-	-	21	22.5	-	-
JEFFERSON	36	24.3	-	-	18	12.1	-	-
PUEBLO	18	45.8	-	-	9	22.9	3	8.0
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA	4	2.6	-	-	18	12.9	-	-
BREVARD	-	-	-	-	25	11.2	-	-
BROWARD	18	3.2	-	-	133	24.2	-	-
DADE	51	1.6	11	0.3	455	14.0	-	-
ESCAMBIA	3	1.4	-	-	43	17.3	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	12	1.8	3	0.4	164	23.7	5	0.8
LEE	4	2.2	-	-	31	19.5	-	-
LEON	1	1.1	-	-	21	18.4	-	-
ORANGE	2	0.5	-	-	69	17.9	-	-
PALM BEACH	15	2.4	-	-	116	19.0	-	-
PINELLAS	12	3.5	-	-	83	24.8	5	1.4
POLK	5	2.2	-	-	64	27.5	-	-
SARASOTA	1	0.6	-	-	19	10.9	-	-
VOLUSIA	6	3.3	-	-	43	23.1	-	-
GEORGIA:								
BIBB	12	9.3	-	-	26	20.7	-	-
CHATHAM	17	8.5	-	-	46	23.4	-	-
COBB	13	5.1	-	-	37	14.0	-	-
DE KALB	29	5.2	9	1.5	94	16.6	-	-
FULTON	119	14.4	21	2.5	283	34.4	-	-
RICHMOND	7	4.0	-	-	43	24.1	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:							
ADA	163	83	51.2	34	41.0	25	30.5
ILLINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN	265	97	36.8	38	38.9	13	13.6
COOK	21 313	5 256	24.7	669	12.7	2 663	50.7
DU PAGE	1 112	373	33.6	140	37.6	87	23.3
KANE	345	182	52.8	68	37.2	38	21.1
LAKE	853	219	25.7	86	39.0	39	17.7
LA SALLE	168	60	35.6	23	38.8	12	19.5
MCHENRY	199	100	50.2	39	39.2	17	17.4
MCLEAN	141	69	49.4	27	39.4	19	27.9
MACON	215	80	37.2	31	38.4	21	26.1
MADISON	413	180	43.5	67	37.4	40	22.3
PEORIA	404	150	37.1	73	49.0	24	15.8
ROCK ISLAND	318	105	33.1	43	40.5	20	19.4
ST. CLAIR	266	164	61.7	56	33.8	44	26.9
SANGAMON	236	109	46.3	46	42.0	21	19.6
TAZEWELL	177	62	35.2	26	44.7	14	22.6
WILL	441	165	37.4	72	47.7	48	29.3
WINNEBAGO	562	218	38.8	94	42.9	40	18.1
INDIANA:							
ALLEN	822	228	27.7	65	28.7	57	24.9
DELAWARE	194	79	27.9	15	27.9	15	28.5
ELKHART	269	79	29.2	35	44.1	21	26.2
LAKE	1 369	346	25.3	124	35.9	105	30.3
LA PORTE	154	68	44.4	13	19.6	18	25.9
MADISON	197	60	25.5	13	25.9	17	34.8
ST. JOSEPH	559	162	28.9	42	26.0	55	34.0
TIPPECANOE	179	49	27.1	21	42.4	12	24.2
VANDERBURGH	354	109	30.8	35	32.1	34	31.5
VIGO	299	75	25.2	18	23.6	28	37.7
IOWA:							
BLACK HAWK	226	68	30.1	20	29.5	18	26.6
LINN	401	96	24.0	21	22.1	39	41.0
POLK	768	234	30.4	43	18.4	75	32.3
SCOTT	238	74	31.1	21	28.2	26	34.5
WOODBURY	255	74	29.2	14	18.5	37	49.9
KANSAS:							
JOHNSON	359	132	36.9	53	40.4	42	31.4
SEDGWICK	641	183	28.6	54	29.4	79	43.3
SHAWNEE	259	104	40.2	18	17.7	33	31.6
WYANDOTTE	295	101	34.2	24	23.3	43	42.5
KENTUCKY:							
FAYETTE	280	68	24.4	6	9.1	23	33.0
JEFFERSON	2 281	727	31.9	473	65.1	62	6.5
KENTON	172	35	20.2	16	46.2	6	18.0
LOUISIANA:							
CADDO	384	159	41.4	84	53.0	22	13.8
CALCASIEU	361	171	47.3	102	59.5	36	22.2
JEFFERSON	2 247	659	29.3	422	64.0	150	22.7
LAFAYETTE	202	99	48.7	52	52.1	22	22.4
OUACHITA	222	89	40.2	50	56.0	12	13.4
RAPIDES	233	95	40.9	70	73.2	12	12.4
MAINE:							
CUMBERLAND	62	45	73.1	11	24.6	16	36.1
PENOBSCOT	25	23	94.6	6	25.3	11	45.0
YORK	35	21	60.3	6	27.6	9	42.3
MARYLAND:							
ANNE ARUNDEL	7 147	463	6.5	353	76.3	46	10.0
BALTIMORE	17 801	1 412	7.9	1 183	83.8	72	5.1
HARFORD	2 316	96	4.1	26	27.5	34	35.7
MONTGOMERY	17 556	1 261	7.2	1 006	79.8	103	8.1
PRINCE GEORGES	20 189	1 249	6.2	963	77.1	170	13.6
WASHINGTON	2 088	26	1.3	8	28.5	6	23.1
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BERKSHIRE	73	74	100.7	-	0.3	31	41.6
BRISTOL	301	183	60.7	-	-	88	48.0
ESSEX	486	317	65.2	-	-	151	47.7
HAMPDEN	354	259	73.1	-	-	116	44.9
HAMPSHIRE	168	58	34.9	-	-	23	39.6
MIDDLESEX	832	857	103.1	-	-	486	56.7
NORFOLK	726	272	37.5	-	-	136	50.1
PLYMOUTH	383	222	57.9	-	-	97	43.5
WORCESTER	622	397	62.2	-	-	190	49.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Corroption		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
IDAHO:								
ADA	12	14.4	-	-	12	14.1	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	15	15.9	6	6.2	25	25.4	-	-
COOK	515	9.9	185	3.5	1 224	23.3	-	-
DU PAGE	47	12.6	23	3.9	76	20.3	-	-
KANE	21	11.8	5	2.9	46	25.2	3	1.8
LAKE	35	15.9	6	2.9	50	25.8	4	1.8
LA SALLE	11	12.7	2	3.1	12	20.0	-	-
MCHENRY	13	12.6	3	3.2	28	27.6	-	-
MCLEAN	9	13.2	3	4.4	10	14.7	-	-
MACON	14	17.5	4	4.9	11	13.1	-	-
MADISON	20	10.9	7	3.9	46	25.5	-	-
PEORIA	21	14.2	4	2.6	28	18.4	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	20	14.8	2	2.1	24	23.1	-	-
ST. CLAIR	17	10.4	8	4.7	40	24.1	-	-
SANGAMON	3	19.9	3	2.9	17	15.7	-	-
TAZEWELL	11	18.1	2	2.7	7	11.9	-	-
WILL	26	15.9	3	1.8	16	9.4	-	-
WINNEBAGO	26	11.7	6	2.8	53	24.5	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	15	6.6	10	4.4	81	35.4	-	-
DELAWARE	5	8.6	1	2.2	18	32.7	-	-
ELKHART	5	5.9	2	1.9	17	21.9	-	-
LAKE	55	15.9	1	0.4	41	17.5	-	-
LA PORTE	6	9.2	-	0.4	31	45.9	-	-
MADISON	4	8.6	3	5.1	13	25.7	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	13	7.9	2	1.3	50	30.9	-	-
TIPPECANOE	4	8.7	2	3.6	10	21.1	-	-
VANDERBURGH	12	11.4	3	3.2	24	21.9	-	-
VIGO	7	9.2	3	4.0	19	25.5	-	-
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	10	15.0	-	-	20	28.9	-	-
LINN	13	13.3	-	-	23	23.7	-	-
POLK	28	11.8	8	3.3	76	32.4	4	1.8
SCOTT	12	16.2	-	-	16	21.1	-	-
WOODBURY	15	20.6	-	-	8	11.0	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	16	11.7	-	-	22	16.5	-	-
SEDGWICK	20	11.1	-	-	30	16.2	-	-
SHAWNEE	13	12.9	-	-	39	37.9	-	-
WYANDOTTE	14	13.6	-	-	21	20.6	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
FAYETTE	10	15.2	-	-	29	42.7	-	-
JEFFERSON	38	5.2	-	-	154	21.2	-	-
KENTON	9	25.2	-	-	4	10.6	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	9	5.5	-	-	44	27.6	-	-
CALCASIEU	12	6.8	-	-	20	11.5	-	-
JEFFERSON	35	5.3	1	0.1	52	7.9	-	-
LAFAYETTE	5	5.1	1	1.2	19	18.9	-	-
OUACHITA	8	9.3	-	-	19	21.3	-	-
RAPIDES	7	7.5	-	-	7	7.0	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	1	3.0	-	-	16	36.3	-	-
PENOBSCOT	-	1.9	-	-	7	27.8	-	-
YORK	1	4.2	-	-	6	26.0	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	35	7.6	-	-	28	6.1	-	-
BALTIMORE	69	4.9	-	-	87	6.2	-	-
HARFORD	17	17.4	-	-	18	19.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY	74	5.9	-	-	78	6.2	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	92	7.4	-	-	23	1.8	1	0.1
WASHINGTON	5	19.2	-	-	8	29.2	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BERKSHIRE	2	2.7	-	-	41	55.3	-	-
BRISTOL	3	1.6	-	-	92	50.4	-	-
ESSEX	13	4.0	-	-	153	48.4	-	-
HAMPDEN	6	2.2	-	-	137	52.9	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	1	2.0	-	-	34	58.4	-	-
MIDDLESEX	12	1.4	-	-	359	41.9	-	-
NORFOLK	29	10.8	-	-	107	39.2	-	-
PLYMOUTH	8	3.8	-	-	117	52.7	-	-
WORCESTER	11	2.9	-	-	185	47.9	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:							
BAY	394	112	28.5	38	33.7	38	34.1
BERRIEN	372	187	50.3	22	11.9	113	60.3
CALHOUN	352	143	40.5	15	10.2	45	31.6
GENESEE	1 614	436	27.0	100	23.0	149	34.1
INGHAM	876	242	27.6	67	27.6	91	37.8
JACKSON	552	142	25.7	37	26.2	42	29.8
KALAMAZOO	530	287	54.1	98	34.1	51	17.6
KENT	1 391	297	21.3	102	34.3	85	28.7
MACOMB	1 687	518	30.7	155	29.9	183	35.3
MONROE	478	133	27.8	48	36.2	46	34.4
MUSKOGON	811	162	26.5	32	19.6	75	46.5
OAKLAND	2 915	820	28.1	237	28.9	278	33.9
OTTAWA	275	100	26.7	45	45.1	32	32.1
SAGINAW	684	229	33.5	65	28.4	88	38.4
ST. CLAIR	493	135	27.5	39	28.5	48	35.8
WASHTENAW	896	355	39.6	97	27.4	121	34.0
WAYNE	9 473	2 605	27.5	317	12.2	1 122	43.0
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA	371	119	32.1	49	41.2	42	35.0
DAKOTA	329	103	31.3	33	31.7	42	41.0
HENNEPIN	4 683	984	21.0	214	21.7	321	32.6
RAMSEY	2 816	544	19.3	121	22.3	107	19.6
ST. LOUIS	1 855	212	11.4	67	31.5	44	20.7
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON	236	72	30.5	37	50.6	22	30.0
HINDS	497	64	12.9	21	32.6	31	49.1
MISSOURI:							
CLAY	143	72	50.4	26	36.0	13	18.7
GREENE	193	81	41.8	35	43.4	22	27.7
JACKSON	1 311	617	47.1	89	14.5	177	28.7
JEFFERSON	123	39	31.6	22	57.0	19	26.1
ST. LOUIS	2 868	1 008	35.2	524	52.0	272	27.0
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS	1 546	253	16.4	42	16.6	65	25.5
LANCASTER	475	96	20.3	27	27.9	34	35.7
NEVADA:							
CLARK	3 571	1 324	37.1	881	66.5	69	5.2
WASHOE	1 645	300	18.2	116	38.6	37	12.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH	208	51	24.4	-	-	21	42.0
ROCKINGHAM	155	36	23.2	17	47.6	9	23.7
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC	894	210	23.5	7	3.6	60	28.7
BERGEN	3 680	856	23.3	159	18.5	329	38.5
BURLINGTON	1 012	176	17.4	13	7.2	46	26.3
CAMDEN	1 329	440	33.1	35	14.9	137	31.0
CUMBERLAND	472	103	21.9	9	8.4	35	33.5
ESSEX	7 361	2 053	27.9	270	13.1	564	27.5
GLOUCESTER	535	99	18.4	12	12.5	16	16.3
HUDSON	2 754	847	30.7	131	15.5	323	38.2
MERCER	646	386	59.8	29	7.6	84	21.7
MIDDLESEX	2 756	594	21.6	75	12.6	199	33.5
HONMOUTH	1 365	319	23.3	35	11.1	111	34.8
MORRIS	1 467	270	18.4	54	19.9	70	25.9
OCEAN	966	227	23.5	50	21.9	72	31.6
PASSAIC	1 543	568	36.8	48	8.4	192	33.7
SOMERSET	1 678	161	23.7	33	20.6	33	20.6
UNION	1 352	688	50.9	69	10.0	234	34.1
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO	1 203	135	11.2	90	66.7	6	4.5
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY	1 529	235	15.4	74	31.4	40	17.2
BROOME	1 262	161	12.7	53	33.0	31	19.1
CHAUTAUQUA	703	119	16.9	44	36.7	25	21.2
CHEMUNG	530	83	15.7	26	31.5	12	14.5
DUTCHESS	1 167	218	18.7	63	29.0	52	23.8
ERIE	7 792	1 211	15.5	317	26.1	208	17.2
MONROE	3 555	880	24.8	294	33.4	197	22.4
NASSAU	18 811	7 723	41.1	5 410	70.1	1 050	13.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN:								
BAY	15	13.7	-	-	21	18.5	-	-
BERRIEN	16	8.7	-	-	36	19.1	-	-
CALHOUN	12	8.6	-	-	71	49.6	-	-
GENESEE	62	14.2	-	-	125	28.7	-	-
INGHAM	32	13.2	-	-	52	21.4	-	-
JACKSON	29	13.9	-	-	43	30.1	-	-
KALAMAZOO	29	10.2	-	-	107	37.3	-	-
KENT	28	9.5	-	-	80	26.8	2	0.8
MACOMB	84	16.1	-	-	91	17.6	6	1.1
MONROE	12	8.8	-	-	27	20.6	-	-
MUSKOGON	13	7.7	-	-	42	26.1	-	-
OAKLAND	95	11.6	-	-	209	29.2	1	0.2
OTTAWA	5	5.3	-	-	18	17.5	-	-
SAGINAW	23	10.2	-	-	53	23.1	-	-
ST. CLAIR	10	7.7	-	-	38	28.0	-	-
WASHTENAW	32	9.0	12	3.5	89	25.0	4	1.1
WAYNE	266	10.2	-	-	894	34.3	7	0.3
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA	14	12.0	3	2.9	11	8.9	-	-
DAKOTA	10	10.0	-	-	18	17.2	-	-
HENNEPIN	80	8.1	-	-	365	37.1	5	0.5
RAMSEY	50	9.3	5	1.0	260	47.8	-	-
ST. LOUIS	21	10.1	1	0.6	79	37.2	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON	4	5.5	-	-	10	13.8	-	-
HINDS	3	4.2	-	-	9	14.2	-	-
MISSOURI:								
CLAY	9	12.0	-	-	24	33.4	-	-
GREENE	10	12.5	-	-	13	16.4	-	-
JACKSON	65	10.6	-	-	286	46.3	-	-
JEFFERSON	4	10.8	-	-	2	6.1	-	-
ST. LOUIS	72	7.1	-	-	140	13.9	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS	41	16.0	25	10.0	80	31.8	-	-
LANCASTER	19	19.3	6	6.8	10	10.4	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK	86	6.5	33	2.5	255	19.2	-	-
WASHOE	56	18.6	19	6.2	73	24.2	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH	2	4.7	-	-	27	53.3	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	2	4.5	-	-	9	24.2	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC	63	29.8	-	-	76	36.1	4	1.7
BERGEN	143	16.7	-	-	225	26.3	-	-
BURLINGTON	39	22.3	-	-	78	44.2	-	-
CAMDEN	107	24.2	-	-	162	36.9	-	-
CUMBERLAND	17	16.4	-	-	43	41.6	-	-
ESSEX	286	13.9	-	-	930	45.3	3	0.1
GLOUCESTER	24	24.6	-	-	46	46.6	-	-
HUDSON	142	16.7	-	-	251	29.6	-	-
MERCER	85	22.1	-	-	185	48.0	2	0.6
MIDDLESEX	121	20.4	-	-	194	32.7	5	0.9
HONMOUTH	48	15.1	-	-	124	39.0	-	-
MORRIS	70	16.9	-	-	93	34.5	-	-
OCEAN	53	19.7	-	-	67	29.6	-	-
PASSAIC	38	33.7	-	-	220	38.7	5	0.9
SOMERSET	104	18.3	-	-	58	36.3	-	-
UNION	36	22.4	-	-	213	31.0	7	1.0
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO	1	1.1	-	-	23	17.3	14	10.4
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	28	12.1	9	3.8	84	35.6	-	-
BROOME	18	11.4	8	4.7	48	30.0	3	1.8
CHAUTAUQUA	13	11.1	7	5.9	30	25.1	-	-
CHEMUNG	7	8.1	3	3.9	35	42.1	-	-
DUTCHESS	28	12.6	14	6.2	62	28.3	-	-
ERIE	177	14.6	-	-	495	40.9	14	1.1
MONROE	89	10.2	30	3.4	268	30.5	2	0.2
NASSAU	335	4.3	-	-	924	12.0	4	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK—CONTINUED							
NIAGARA	1 073	193	18.0	72	37.4	45	23.3
ONEIDA	1 239	169	13.6	46	27.0	42	24.9
ONONDAGA	3 590	588	16.4	188	31.9	81	13.9
ORANGE	1 484	182	12.2	28	15.2	62	34.1
OSWEGO	499	86	17.2	30	35.0	21	24.6
RENSSELAER	1 289	110	8.5	21	19.1	28	25.9
ROCKLAND	1 689	244	14.5	72	29.6	60	24.5
ST. LAWRENCE	406	75	18.4	23	30.6	16	22.0
SARATOGA	543	70	12.9	21	30.4	18	25.5
SCHENECTADY	801	83	10.3	5	7.1	32	38.7
SUFFOLK	9 319	4 761	51.1	3 473	72.9	541	11.4
ULSTER	319	79	24.8	17	21.7	19	23.5
WESTCHESTER	5 818	1 156	19.9	144	29.7	212	18.4
NORTH CAROLINA							
BUNCOMBE	2 123	56	2.6	36	64.6	-	-
CUMBERLAND	3 188	46	1.4	54	82.9	1	1.8
DURHAM	1 469	42	2.9	32	75.7	1	1.9
FORSYTH	3 774	90	2.4	67	74.6	-	-
GASTON	2 245	59	2.6	36	60.4	12	20.9
GUILFORD	5 021	132	2.6	71	53.5	13	9.5
HECKLENBURG	6 949	241	3.5	133	55.1	44	18.9
ONSLOW	1 040	37	3.5	27	72.1	2	5.6
WAKE	3 676	56	1.5	43	75.8	1	2.1
OHIO							
ALLEN	366	81	22.1	31	38.2	32	39.7
BUTLER	545	127	23.3	40	31.5	64	47.2
CLARK	255	81	31.8	32	39.0	34	42.3
COLUMBIANA	304	65	21.8	14	20.5	37	55.3
CUYAHOGA	8 325	1 283	15.4	190	14.8	658	51.3
FRANKLIN	2 144	499	23.3	89	17.9	238	47.8
GREENE	539	94	17.5	41	43.3	39	41.7
HAMILTON	3 197	786	24.6	233	29.6	302	38.4
LAKE	886	140	15.8	44	31.3	56	40.1
LICKING	194	63	32.4	18	29.2	31	48.6
LORAIN	609	165	27.0	40	24.5	71	42.9
LUCAS	1 663	383	23.0	42	11.0	195	51.0
MAHONING	927	170	18.3	39	23.3	74	47.8
MONTGOMERY	2 362	534	22.6	144	26.9	148	27.1
PORTAGE	723	78	10.8	30	38.4	31	39.8
RICHLAND	344	86	25.0	27	32.0	38	44.2
STARK	1 042	228	21.9	67	29.4	67	38.3
SUMMIT	1 769	482	27.2	138	28.6	191	39.6
TRUMBULL	678	134	19.8	49	36.7	60	45.1
OKLAHOMA							
COMANCHE	299	25	8.4	10	38.9	8	31.6
OKLAHOMA	472	175	26.0	42	23.9	65	37.1
TULSA	762	179	23.5	43	24.2	56	31.4
OREGON							
CLACKAMAS	381	148	38.9	67	45.1	29	19.5
LANE	921	294	32.0	98	33.4	120	40.8
MARION	427	146	34.3	48	32.6	30	20.6
MULTNOMAH	2 652	999	37.7	366	36.7	185	18.5
WASHINGTON	477	165	34.7	80	48.4	24	14.4
PENNSYLVANIA							
ALLEGHENY	5 707	1 419	24.9	344	24.2	574	40.4
BEAVER	454	123	27.1	12	10.1	60	49.0
BERKS	481	143	29.7	1	0.8	68	48.0
BLAIR	198	40	20.0	1	2.7	16	41.3
BUCKS	525	264	50.4	31	11.7	107	40.3
BUTLER	188	52	27.9	2	4.2	23	44.1
CAMBRIA	268	62	23.3	2	2.5	30	47.7
CHESTER	583	200	34.3	19	9.7	69	34.6
CUMBERLAND	221	67	30.2	4	5.8	20	29.5
DAUPHIN	466	177	36.5	1	0.5	75	42.4
DELAWARE	1 502	456	30.3	30	6.9	183	40.1
ERIE	594	173	29.1	5	2.6	76	43.9
FAYETTE	153	56	36.6	1	2.6	27	48.7
FRANKLIN	140	47	33.6	1	1.4	19	40.7
LACKAWANNA	458	110	24.1	1	1.0	61	55.1
LANCASTER	392	134	34.1	1	0.8	56	42.1
LAWRENCE	74	46	62.2	2	3.4	24	52.9
LEHIGH	416	130	31.2	1	0.9	51	39.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK—CONTINUED								
NIAGARA	18	9.2	6	3.2	52	26.9	-	-
ONEIDA	25	14.5	8	4.5	49	29.1	-	-
ONONDAGA	59	10.1	-	-	260	44.1	-	-
ORANGE	37	20.3	-	-	55	30.4	-	-
OSWEGO	10	11.2	-	-	25	29.0	-	-
RENSSELAER	16	14.2	6	5.3	39	35.6	-	-
ROCKLAND	47	19.2	12	5.1	53	21.5	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	7	9.7	2	2.0	27	35.7	-	-
SARATOGA	11	15.7	-	-	20	28.4	-	-
SCHENECTADY	13	15.8	-	0.6	31	37.7	-	-
SUFFOLK	239	5.0	-	-	508	10.7	-	-
ULSTER	13	16.5	-	0.4	30	37.7	-	-
WESTCHESTER	175	15.1	-	-	422	36.5	3	0.3
NORTH CAROLINA								
BUNCOMBE	4	7.4	-	-	16	28.0	-	-
CUMBERLAND	2	2.4	-	-	8	12.9	-	-
DURHAM	1	2.5	-	-	8	19.9	-	-
FORSYTH	5	5.6	-	-	18	19.8	-	-
GASTON	1	1.7	-	-	10	17.1	-	-
GUILFORD	5	3.6	-	-	44	33.4	-	-
HECKLENBURG	-	-	-	-	63	26.0	-	-
ONSLOW	1	1.7	-	-	8	20.6	-	-
WAKE	2	3.0	-	-	11	19.0	-	-
OHIO								
ALLEN	8	10.3	-	-	10	11.8	-	-
BUTLER	8	6.5	-	-	15	11.9	-	-
CLARK	8	9.4	-	-	8	9.3	-	-
COLUMBIANA	5	8.1	3	3.8	8	12.3	-	-
CUYAHOGA	117	9.1	-	-	318	24.8	-	-
FRANKLIN	57	11.5	-	-	114	22.8	-	-
GREENE	7	7.5	-	-	7	7.5	-	-
HAMILTON	44	5.7	-	-	207	26.4	-	-
LAKE	14	9.8	-	-	26	18.8	-	-
LICKING	6	9.5	-	-	8	12.7	-	-
LORAIN	18	11.0	-	-	36	21.6	-	-
LUCAS	23	6.0	-	-	122	32.0	-	-
MAHONING	20	11.6	-	-	35	20.4	-	-
MONTGOMERY	46	8.7	-	-	199	37.3	-	-
PORTAGE	8	10.2	-	-	9	11.5	-	-
RICHLAND	9	10.3	-	-	12	13.6	-	-
STARK	18	7.9	-	-	56	24.4	-	-
SUMMIT	43	8.9	-	-	110	22.8	-	-
TRUMBULL	11	8.3	-	-	13	9.9	-	-
OKLAHOMA								
COMANCHE	-	-	-	-	7	29.5	-	-
OKLAHOMA	-	-	10	5.6	58	33.4	-	-
TULSA	-	-	8	4.6	71	39.9	-	-
OREGON								
CLACKAMAS	19	13.0	-	-	33	22.4	-	-
LANE	39	13.3	-	-	37	12.6	-	-
MARION	18	12.5	-	-	50	34.3	-	-
MULTNOMAH	120	12.0	-	-	323	32.3	16	0.6
WASHINGTON	19	11.4	-	-	43	25.8	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA								
ALLEGHENY	161	11.3	51	3.6	289	20.4	-	-
BEAVER	15	12.5	6	4.5	29	23.9	-	-
BERKS	17	12.1	5	3.2	51	36.0	-	-
BLAIR	6	14.2	-	-	17	41.8	-	-
BUCKS	42	16.0	16	6.2	68	25.8	-	-
BUTLER	7	12.6	2	3.3	19	35.7	-	-
CAMBRIA	10	13.9	3	5.4	18	28.4	-	-
CHESTER	25	12.7	9	4.7	76	38.2	-	-
CUMBERLAND	7	11.1	2	3.6	33	50.0	-	-
DAUPHIN	29	16.2	8	4.5	64	36.4	-	-
DELAWARE	66	14.5	16	3.6	161	35.4	-	-
ERIE	21	12.4	8	4.5	63	36.5	-	-
FAYETTE	7	12.0	3	5.2	18	31.4	-	-
FRANKLIN	5	11.3	2	4.4	20	42.1	-	-
LACKAWANNA	15	13.4	5	4.5	29	26.1	-	-
LANCASTER	11	8.1	5	3.1	61	45.3	-	-
LAWRENCE	8	16.4	3	6.1	10	21.2	-	-
LEHIGH	17	13.3	5	3.9	56	42.8	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LUZERNE	605	156	25.8	5	3.1	71	45.2
LYCOMING	138	52	37.5	1	1.3	21	41.4
MERCER	159	57	36.0	1	1.9	26	45.2
MONTGOMERY	614	407	66.3	26	6.5	211	51.8
NORTHAMPTON	468	113	24.1	1	0.7	52	46.1
SCHUYLKILL	346	73	21.1	1	1.7	37	50.3
WASHINGTON	269	85	31.6	3	3.6	37	43.3
WESTMORELAND	567	141	24.9	9	6.6	78	55.2
YORK	315	121	38.5	8	6.4	60	49.0
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON	114	66	58.3	25	37.5	21	32.0
CHARLESTON	757	207	27.4	108	52.3	62	29.8
GREENVILLE	248	162	65.6	72	44.6	47	29.0
RICHLAND	1 155	229	19.8	81	35.5	73	32.0
SPARTANBURG	1 051	116	11.1	40	34.7	41	35.0
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON	3 430	198	5.8	75	37.9	77	38.9
KNOX	1 515	121	8.0	41	33.7	60	49.5
SHELBY	5 029	697	13.9	156	22.4	214	30.6
SULLIVAN	1 082	53	4.9	26	49.8	21	39.8
TEXAS:							
BELL	106	59	55.9	11	18.7	18	30.8
BEXAR	771	475	61.6	106	22.3	120	25.3
BRAZORIA	262	70	26.5	34	49.5	19	27.5
CAMERON	222	84	37.7	17	20.7	27	32.8
DALLAS	2 018	1 075	53.3	319	29.7	356	33.1
EL PASO	358	205	57.3	45	22.1	43	20.8
GALVESTON	455	125	27.5	28	22.4	55	44.0
HARRIS	3 000	1 718	57.3	345	20.1	661	38.5
HIDALGO	216	71	32.7	11	15.6	27	38.1
JEFFERSON	418	163	39.0	40	24.6	64	39.2
LUBBOCK	191	84	44.1	21	25.2	25	29.2
MCLENNAN	233	100	42.9	26	25.9	18	18.4
NUECES	264	169	64.0	43	25.5	6	38.8
TARRANT	867	479	55.2	102	21.1	163	34.1
TRAVIS	431	255	59.0	93	20.8	68	26.5
WICHITA	148	53	36.1	11	21.1	17	32.7
UTAH:							
SALT LAKE	1 532	395	25.8	248	62.7	39	9.8
UTAH	152	33	22.0	14	41.1	3	7.8
WEBER	359	62	17.3	25	40.6	8	12.9
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON	4 121	536	13.0	427	79.6	37	6.9
FAIRFAX	15 323	998	6.5	760	76.1	117	11.7
HENRICO	3 156	228	7.2	164	72.0	24	10.6
PRINCE WILLIAM	3 011	133	4.4	82	61.9	20	15.0
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK	487	172	35.4	80	46.7	43	24.8
KING	4 416	1 269	28.7	493	38.8	338	26.6
KITSAP	375	101	27.0	40	39.6	23	22.2
PIERCE	1 093	367	33.5	148	40.4	80	21.9
SNOHOMISH	853	284	33.3	103	36.2	76	28.8
SPOKANE	812	289	35.6	111	38.4	67	23.2
YAKIMA	429	134	31.1	36	27.3	42	31.3
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL	110	63	57.3	20	31.4	16	24.7
KANAWHA	287	118	41.3	29	24.5	33	27.9
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN	583	125	21.4	81	65.1	21	17.0
DANE	1 129	323	28.6	152	47.1	74	22.8
KENOSHA	475	116	24.4	63	54.2	22	19.1
MILWAUKEE	7 995	1 126	14.1	198	17.5	397	35.2
OUTAGAMIE	463	73	15.7	39	54.0	17	23.7
RACINE	652	146	22.5	91	62.0	25	17.2
ROCK	513	119	23.1	54	45.2	25	21.4
WAUKESHA	805	176	21.8	85	48.9	44	25.0
WINNEBAGO	472	71	15.1	40	56.6	18	24.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 21. Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System Payroll of 312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County	Legal services and prosecution		Indigent defense		Correction		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LUZERNE	19	12.3	7	4.5	54	34.8	-	-
LYCOMING	6	12.4	2	4.4	21	40.5	-	-
MERCER	8	14.8	4	7.0	17	39.5	-	-
MONTGOMERY	49	11.9	16	4.0	105	25.8	1	1.6
NORTHAMPTON	12	10.2	3	3.1	45	39.9	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	10	14.3	4	5.2	21	28.5	-	-
WASHINGTON	15	18.0	4	4.3	26	30.8	-	-
WESTMORELAND	22	15.5	5	3.4	27	19.3	-	-
YORK	9	7.1	3	2.3	43	35.2	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	2	3.0	-	-	18	27.5	-	-
CHARLESTON	5	2.3	-	-	32	15.7	-	-
GREENVILLE	7	4.6	1	0.9	34	20.8	-	-
RICHLAND	10	4.5	-	-	64	28.0	-	-
SPARTANBURG	4	3.1	3	2.7	29	24.6	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	7	3.7	-	-	39	19.5	-	-
KNOX	7	5.9	-	-	13	11.0	-	-
SHELBY	22	3.1	23	3.2	276	39.5	7	1.1
SULLIVAN	1	1.7	-	-	5	8.7	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL	13	21.7	-	-	13	21.9	4	6.9
BEXAR	92	19.3	-	-	155	32.7	2	0.4
BRAZORIA	7	10.4	-	-	9	12.6	-	-
CAMERON	13	15.9	-	-	26	30.5	-	-
DALLAS	135	12.5	-	-	265	24.7	-	-
EL PASO	29	13.9	-	-	69	33.7	19	9.5
GALVESTON	14	11.6	-	-	27	21.5	1	0.6
HARRIS	236	13.7	-	-	415	24.2	62	3.6
HIDALGO	13	17.8	-	-	20	28.4	-	-
JEFFERSON	27	16.6	-	-	32	19.6	-	-
LUBBOCK	16	19.2	-	-	22	26.3	-	-
MCLENNAN	10	9.9	-	-	46	45.8	-	-
NUECES	21	12.6	-	-	39	23.2	-	-
TARRANT	87	18.1	5	1.1	123	25.6	-	-
TRAVIS	29	11.3	3	1.3	102	40.2	-	-
WICHITA	6	10.5	-	-	19	35.6	-	-
UTAH:								
SALT LAKE	48	12.3	-	-	60	15.3	-	-
UTAH	8	25.0	-	-	9	26.1	-	-
WEBER	10	16.1	-	-	19	30.4	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	11	2.0	-	-	61	11.4	-	-
FAIRFAX	37	3.7	-	-	82	8.2	3	0.3
HENRICO	14	6.3	-	-	25	11.2	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	10	7.6	-	-	21	15.5	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	16	9.5	1	0.4	32	18.5	-	-
KING	110	8.6	4	0.3	325	25.6	-	-
KITSAP	12	11.4	-	-	27	26.8	-	-
PIERCE	39	10.6	-	-	84	23.0	15	4.1
SNOHOMISH	29	10.1	-	-	73	25.7	3	1.1
SPOKANE	29	9.9	13	4.4	70	24.0	-	-
YAKIMA	16	12.0	-	-	39	29.4	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL	10	15.5	-	-	18	28.5	-	-
KANAWHA	17	14.7	-	-	39	32.9	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	8	6.3	-	-	15	11.6	-	-
DANE	36	11.3	-	-	61	18.8	-	-
KENOSHA	13	11.4	-	-	18	15.3	-	-
MILWAUKEE	113	10.0	-	-	419	37.2	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	9	12.8	-	-	7	9.5	-	-
RACINE	15	10.0	-	-	16	10.8	-	-
ROCK	11	9.0	-	-	29	24.4	-	-
WAUKESHA	14	8.2	-	-	32	18.3	-	-
WINNEBAGO	9	12.5	-	-	4	6.3	-	-

Table 22. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73
(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equipment	Construction				Land
TOTAL	2 221 246	2 188 495	2 042 943	145 552	37 558	104 399	3 595	32 751	21 847	10 904
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	613	613	599	14	14	-	215	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	5 938	5 938	5 606	332	117	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	1 364	1 364	1 343	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	2 700	2 700	2 619	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 521	1 521	1 482	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	1 017	1 017	969	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	1 243	273	264	9	9	-	-	970	24	946
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	16 595	16 595	15 774	821	510	311	-	79	55	24
PIMA	9 337	9 258	8 802	456	378	78	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	1 797	1 797	1 753	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	41 003	40 946	39 529	1 417	409	866	142	57	57	-
BUTTE	2 859	2 854	2 720	134	88	46	-	5	5	-
CONTRA COSTA	20 925	20 880	20 282	598	98	500	-	45	45	85
FRESNO	13 772	13 665	12 908	757	66	691	-	107	22	-
KERN	13 304	13 304	13 069	235	82	153	-	241	241	-
LOS ANGELES	291 195	290 954	264 391	26 563	2 788	21 791	1 984	4	4	-
MERCED	9 009	9 005	8 952	53	53	-	-	4	4	-
MONTEREY	2 549	2 545	2 506	39	39	-	-	8	8	-
ORANGE	7 685	7 677	7 353	324	170	154	-	32	32	162
RIVERSIDE	45 814	45 782	43 806	1 976	321	1 655	460	162	-	162
SACRAMENTO	19 343	19 181	18 229	952	74	418	687	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	31 292	31 292	27 313	3 979	204	3 088	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	29 666	29 666	29 141	525	525	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	50 813	50 813	48 879	1 934	940	961	33	19	19	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	11 435	11 416	11 329	87	87	-	-	14	14	-
SANTA BARBARA	3 643	3 629	3 530	99	44	55	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	22 948	22 948	22 567	381	95	286	-	2	2	-
SANTA CRUZ	11 416	11 414	11 224	190	141	49	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	34 228	34 228	33 182	1 046	105	941	-	8	8	-
SONOMA	4 778	4 770	4 659	111	31	80	-	9	9	-
STANISLAUS	5 402	5 393	5 332	61	31	30	-	-	-	-
TULARE	6 991	6 991	6 774	217	91	126	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	6 062	6 062	5 973	89	41	48	62	7	7	175
YUBA	6 365	6 358	6 137	221	41	118	-	194	19	-
YUBA	14 636	14 642	14 463	179	129	50	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	1 651	1 641	1 634	7	7	-	-	10	10	324
ARAPAHOE	1 444	1 120	1 091	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	1 074	1 074	1 059	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	3 670	3 670	3 572	98	98	2 078	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	2 089	2 089	2 019	70	68	2	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	715	715	699	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	2 949	2 949	2 913	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	2 037	2 037	1 895	142	139	3	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	3 876	3 876	3 740	136	136	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	10 471	10 471	9 108	1 363	349	1 014	-	-	-	-
DADE	38 613	38 613	37 546	1 067	1 065	2	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	3 970	3 968	3 851	117	117	-	-	20	20	-
HILLSBOROUGH	9 828	9 808	9 277	531	531	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	1 787	1 787	1 733	54	47	7	-	-	-	-
LEON	1 541	1 541	1 458	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	6 168	6 168	5 923	245	239	6	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	9 254	9 254	8 707	547	495	52	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	5 163	5 163	4 919	244	233	11	-	-	-	-
POLK	3 913	3 913	3 661	252	251	1	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	2 526	2 526	2 365	161	160	1	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	2 732	2 732	2 551	181	141	40	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	2 501	2 501	2 199	302	302	-	-	28	9	19
CHATAM	2 844	2 816	2 630	186	167	19	-	-	-	-
COBB	3 272	3 272	3 105	167	167	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	8 887	8 887	8 607	2 080	123	1 957	-	1 233	-	1 233
FULTON	12 019	10 786	10 561	225	133	92	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	2 693	2 693	2 522	171	171	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure				
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay		Total	To State governments	To local governments		
				Total	Equipment				Construction	Land
IDAHO:										
ADA	1 190	1 175	1 149	26	26	-	-	15	15	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	1 453	1 453	1 425	28	24	4	-	-	-	-
COOK	75 358	74 264	69 787	4 477	810	3 667	-	1 094	-	1 094
DU PAGE	4 972	4 972	4 495	477	208	269	-	-	-	-
KANE	6 543	6 543	2 489	4 054	33	4 021	-	-	-	-
LAKE	3 173	3 173	3 092	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	960	960	923	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	1 944	1 944	1 404	523	50	473	-	17	-	17
MCLEAN	1 032	1 032	1 010	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	1 106	1 106	1 075	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	2 670	2 653	2 588	75	66	9	-	7	-	5
PEORIA	1 952	1 952	1 932	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	1 323	1 323	1 272	51	46	5	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	2 976	2 371	2 364	7	7	-	-	605	-	605
SANGAMON	1 473	1 473	1 453	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	960	960	948	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	2 788	2 771	2 542	229	94	135	-	17	-	17
WINNEBAGO	2 922	2 922	2 856	66	49	17	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	3 720	3 485	3 365	120	116	4	-	235	235	-
DE WARE	1 105	1 047	956	91	85	6	-	58	50	8
ELMHART	1 499	1 455	1 248	207	207	-	-	44	39	5
LAKE	5 276	4 876	4 875	1	1	-	-	400	339	61
LA PORTE	1 011	959	852	107	45	62	-	52	50	2
MADISON	972	935	898	37	37	-	-	37	28	9
ST. JOSEPH	2 245	2 186	2 155	31	31	-	-	59	59	-
TIPPECANOE	878	829	815	61	23	38	-	49	49	-
VANDERBURGH	2 082	1 986	1 967	19	19	-	-	96	78	18
VIGO	1 671	1 625	1 342	283	1	282	-	46	37	9
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	1 157	1 019	1 013	6	6	-	-	138	35	103
LINN	1 406	1 178	1 159	19	19	-	-	228	103	125
POLK	3 355	2 993	2 978	15	15	-	-	362	123	239
SCOTT	1 205	920	914	6	6	-	-	285	208	77
WOODBURY	1 143	1 017	1 012	5	5	-	-	126	50	76
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	1 870	1 870	1 823	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	3 451	3 451	3 115	336	134	202	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	1 389	1 389	1 374	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	1 583	1 583	1 555	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
FAYETTE	1 762	1 714	1 285	429	353	76	-	48	48	-
JEFFERSON	9 915	9 579	8 816	763	763	-	-	336	190	146
KENTON	589	566	536	30	19	11	-	23	23	-

Table 22. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
MICHIGAN:									
BAY	1 817	1 533	1 511	22	22	-	284	283	1
BERRIEN	3 214	3 060	2 964	96	94	2	154	154	-
CALHOUN	2 060	2 028	1 980	48	48	-	32	32	-
GENESEE	6 935	6 712	6 650	62	62	-	223	223	-
INGHAM	4 114	4 079	4 026	53	53	-	35	9	26
JACKSON	2 061	1 979	1 962	17	17	-	82	82	-
KALAMAZOO	7 816	7 685	7 531	154	144	4 010	131	131	-
KENT	4 881	4 827	4 570	257	47	210	54	50	4
MACOMB	7 711	7 643	7 586	57	57	-	68	68	-
MONROE	1 942	1 905	1 870	35	35	-	37	37	-
MUSKOGON	2 268	2 213	2 176	37	18	19	75	75	-
OAKLAND	22 338	21 956	13 393	8 563	61	8 302	382	382	-
OTTAWA	1 466	1 337	1 264	73	73	-	129	129	-
SAGINAW	3 003	2 912	2 887	25	25	-	91	80	11
ST. CLAIR	2 033	1 891	1 866	25	25	-	142	142	-
WASHTENAW	5 088	5 036	4 912	124	123	1	52	52	-
WAYNE	48 251	44 904	43 984	920	170	750	3 347	1 784	1 563
MINNESOTA:									
ANOKA	1 979	1 882	1 834	48	48	-	97	-	97
DAKOTA	1 464	1 415	1 387	28	28	-	49	1	48
HENNEPIN	15 597	15 486	15 233	253	253	-	111	-	111
RAMSEY	7 300	7 300	7 202	98	98	-	-	-	9
ST. LOUIS	3 123	3 114	2 790	324	56	268	9	-	9
MISSISSIPPI:									
HARRISON	1 400	1 400	1 331	69	69	-	11	-	11
HINDS	1 290	1 279	1 189	90	90	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:									
CLAY	1 079	1 079	1 049	30	30	-	2	-	-
GREENE	1 020	1 018	1 003	15	15	-	65	2	65
JACKSON	9 762	9 697	7 805	1 892	180	1 712	4	-	4
JEFFERSON	619	615	550	65	65	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	15 161	15 161	14 417	744	582	162	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:									
DOUGLAS	3 861	3 861	3 756	105	36	69	-	-	-
LANGASTER	1 465	1 413	1 380	33	33	-	52	-	52
NEVADA:									
CLARK	11 089	11 089	10 860	229	229	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	3 747	3 747	3 599	148	148	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:									
HILLSBOROUGH	1 146	1 146	1 141	5	5	-	2	-	2
ROCKINGHAM	747	745	643	102	102	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:									
ATLANTIC	2 692	2 692	2 692	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	10 333	10 333	10 333	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	2 340	2 340	2 340	-	-	-	40	40	-
CAMDEN	5 544	5 504	5 392	112	-	112	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	1 431	1 431	1 429	2	2	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	26 797	26 797	26 344	453	44	409	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	1 777	1 777	1 777	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	10 579	10 579	10 512	67	67	-	-	-	-
MERCER	5 481	5 481	5 343	138	122	16	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	7 133	7 133	7 003	130	2	128	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	4 589	4 589	4 589	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	3 557	3 557	3 506	51	51	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	2 993	2 993	2 972	21	21	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	7 293	7 293	7 293	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	2 173	2 173	2 093	80	4	76	-	-	-
UNION	8 531	8 531	8 083	448	-	448	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:									
BERNALILLO	2 196	2 026	1 770	256	130	126	170	33	137
NEW YORK:									
ALBANY	4 493	3 745	3 607	138	138	-	748	747	1
BROOME	2 449	2 416	2 350	66	66	-	33	29	4
CHAUTAUGUA	1 624	1 564	1 533	31	29	2	60	60	-
CHEMUNG	1 580	1 433	1 389	44	44	-	147	136	11
DUTCHESS	3 188	3 157	3 100	57	57	-	31	31	-
ERIE	18 785	17 758	17 523	235	214	21	1 027	1 027	-
MONROE	16 059	15 452	13 970	1 482	149	1 333	607	606	1
NASSAU	111 248	109 550	105 399	4 151	2 395	1 755	1 698	1 698	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure				Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED									
NIAGARA	3 429	3 307	3 155	152	131	21	122	122	-
ONEIDA	2 483	2 358	2 321	37	36	1	125	125	-
ORANDE	10 061	9 860	9 591	270	250	20	601	591	10
OSWEGO	3 083	2 927	2 900	27	27	-	156	156	-
OSWEGO	1 345	1 297	1 231	66	65	1	48	48	-
RENSELAER	1 816	1 714	1 670	44	44	-	102	102	-
ROCKLAND	3 495	3 492	3 342	150	100	50	3	3	-
ST. LAWRENCE	1 213	1 201	1 188	13	13	-	12	12	-
SARATOGA	1 197	1 133	1 114	19	19	-	64	64	-
SCHENECTADY	1 667	1 664	1 654	10	10	-	297	297	-
SUFFOLK	70 161	70 007	69 018	989	817	172	154	154	-
ULSTER	3 126	3 075	2 992	83	83	-	51	42	9
WESTCHESTER	26 249	26 249	15 838	10 411	164	10 247	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:									
BUNCOMBE	757	757	704	53	50	3	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	1 240	1 204	1 098	106	93	13	36	-	36
DURHAM	612	612	591	21	21	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	1 154	1 154	1 085	69	69	-	-	-	-
GASTON	950	950	924	26	26	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	5 811	5 811	1 890	3 921	199	3 721	1	1	-
HECKLENBURG	4 747	4 654	4 560	94	96	-	91	-	91
ONSLOW	520	520	482	38	38	-	-	-	-
WAKE	787	710	684	26	26	-	77	17	60
OHIO:									
ALLEN	1 089	1 077	1 032	45	45	-	12	-	12
BUTLER	1 853	1 853	1 837	16	16	-	-	-	-
CLARK	914	914	879	35	35	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA	796	796	783	13	13	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	19 704	19 434	18 243	1 191	168	1 023	270	-	270
FRANKLIN	8 840	8 707	6 373	2 334	121	2 213	133	-	133
GREENE	1 212	1 212	1 178	34	34	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	11 692	10 057	9 716	341	303	38	1 635	-	1 635
LAKE	1 861	1 861	1 813	48	48	-	-	-	-
LICKING	947	947	926	21	21	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	2 245	2 245	2 198	47	47	-	-	-	-
LUCAS	6 007	5 914	5 772	144	94	50	91	-	91
MAHONING	2 156	2 156	2 139	17	17	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	7 104	6 839	6 813	26	26	-	265	-	265
PORTAGE	1 159	1 159	1 138	21	21	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	1 239	1 155	1 132	23	23	-	84	40	44
STARK	3 047	3 047	2 883	164	164	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT	5 732	5 732	5 580	152	152	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL	1 902	1 836	1 782	54	54	-	66	-	66
OKLAHOMA:									
COMANCHE	740	651	515	136	136	-	89	89	-
OKLAHOMA	3 143	2 686	2 631	55	55	-	457	457	-
TULSA	3 698	2 751	2 514	237	15	222	947	947	-
OREGON:									
CLACKAMAS	2 025	2 010	1 973	37	37	-	15	15	-
LANE	4 270	4 019	3 923	96	96	-	251	1	250
MARION	2 135	2 135	2 090	45	45	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	14 898	14 876	14 416	460	154	306	22	22	-
WASHINGTON	2 277	2 277	2 190	87	87	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:									
ALLEGHENY	19 254	19 221	18 451	770	537	233	33	33	-
BEAVER	1 997	1 806	1 748	58	58	-	191	191	-
BERKS	2 631	2 409	2 341	68	68	-	222	222	-
BLAIR	835	677	665	12	12	-	158	158	-
BUCKS	5 018	4 643	4 500	143	143	-	375	375	-
BUTLER	862	723	723	-	-	-	139	139	-
CAMBRIA	1 245	1 169	1 160	9	9	-	76	76	-
CHESTER	3 413	3 135	3 040	95	95	-	278	278	-
CUMBERLAND	1 047	960	947	13	13	-	87	87	-
DAUPHIN	3 328	2 751	2 736	15	15	-	577	577	-
DELAWARE	7 516	6 866	6 821	45	45	-	650	650	-
ERIE	2 697	2 595	2 575	102	26	76	268	268	-
FAYETTE	1 054	894	875	1	1	-	160	160	-
FRANKLIN	780	776	776	-	-	-	104	104	-
LACKAWANNA	1 784	1 561	1 561	-	-	-	223	223	-
LANCASTER	3 399	2 958	2 100	858	8	850	441	441	-
LAWRENCE	752	678	652	26	11	15	74	74	-
LEHIGH	2 291	2 009	1 961	48	28	20	282	282	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Total Criminal Justice System Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED										
LUZERNE	2 517	2 392	2 388	4	4	-	-	125	125	-
LYCOMING	843	753	736	17	17	-	-	90	90	-
MERCER	1 305	1 146	833	313	13	300	-	159	159	-
MONTGOMERY	6 792	6 353	6 197	156	92	64	-	439	439	-
NORTHAMPTON	2 348	2 110	1 972	138	138	-	-	238	238	-
SCHUYLKILL	1 159	977	977	-	-	-	-	182	182	-
WASHINGTON	1 687	1 491	1 468	23	23	-	-	196	196	-
WESTMORELAND	2 650	2 343	2 296	47	46	1	-	307	307	-
YORK	2 156	1 854	1 803	51	43	8	-	302	302	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	893	893	893	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	3 492	3 492	2 956	536	536	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	3 033	3 033	2 311	722	113	609	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	3 368	3 368	3 218	150	150	-	-	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG	1 763	1 731	1 693	38	36	2	-	32	32	-
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	3 440	3 440	2 857	583	102	481	-	-	-	-
KNOX	1 891	1 891	1 856	35	24	11	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	12 004	11 809	11 555	254	158	96	-	195	143	52
SULLIVAN	729	729	702	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	885	847	778	69	69	-	-	38	38	-
BEAR	6 410	6 410	6 325	85	85	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	1 110	1 085	970	115	115	-	-	25	25	-
CAMERON	917	915	894	21	15	6	-	2	-	2
DALLAS	15 238	15 238	15 040	198	133	65	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	3 054	3 054	2 978	76	71	5	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	1 614	1 614	1 564	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	21 800	21 800	21 249	551	542	9	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	1 015	1 015	976	39	38	1	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	2 354	2 261	2 200	61	61	-	-	93	93	-
LUBBOCK	1 118	1 118	1 092	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	1 359	1 359	1 225	134	75	59	-	-	-	-
NUECES	2 316	2 314	2 264	50	50	-	-	2	1	1
TARRANT	6 496	6 472	6 361	117	113	4	-	18	-	18
TRAVIS	3 034	3 005	2 988	17	13	4	-	29	29	-
WICHITA	849	849	833	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
SALT LAKE	5 748	5 748	5 305	443	443	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	416	416	395	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	843	843	811	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	8 574	8 574	6 603	1 971	19	1 952	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	12 630	12 569	11 814	755	507	248	-	61	-	61
HENRICO	3 955	3 943	3 111	832	53	779	-	12	-	12
PRINCE WILLIAM	2 004	2 004	1 854	150	150	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	2 151	2 140	2 023	117	117	-	-	11	11	-
KING	17 405	17 405	16 968	437	437	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	3 758	3 758	1 396	2 362	18	2 344	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	4 883	4 883	4 751	132	61	71	-	-	-	-
SNOWHOMISH	4 403	4 403	4 255	148	132	16	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	3 881	3 881	3 816	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	1 844	1 844	1 761	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	806	806	761	45	36	9	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	1 597	1 597	1 593	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	1 836	1 747	1 695	52	52	-	-	89	89	-
DANE	4 745	4 562	4 489	73	73	-	-	183	183	-
KENOSHA	1 741	1 652	1 579	73	73	-	-	89	89	-
MILWAUKEE	19 107	18 677	18 327	350	117	233	-	430	430	-
OUTAGAMIE	1 160	1 037	975	62	62	-	-	123	90	33
RACINE	2 163	2 103	2 048	55	55	-	-	60	60	-
ROCK	2 295	2 210	1 998	212	82	130	-	85	85	-
WAUKESHA	2 605	2 498	2 246	252	70	182	-	107	107	-
WINNEBAGO	1 227	1 152	1 119	33	33	-	-	75	75	-

Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Section III. POLICE PROTECTION

Table 23. Police Protection Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	6 535 324	6 535 324	6 213 209	322 115	87 879	2 387	85 491
STATES	1 187 470	1 132 288	1 050 031	82 257	55 182	(X)	55 182
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 405 423	5 403 036	5 163 178	239 858	32 697	2 387	30 309
COUNTIES	990 935	985 593	919 311	66 282	5 342	708	4 634
MUNICIPALITIES	4 444 798	4 417 443	4 243 867	173 576	27 354	1 679	25 675
ALABAMA	61 169	61 169	56 432	4 737	62	37	25
STATE	14 580	14 571	12 892	1 679	9	(X)	9
LOCAL, TOTAL	46 635	46 598	43 540	3 058	53	37	16
COUNTIES	8 761	8 752	8 058	694	9	-	9
MUNICIPALITIES	37 890	37 846	35 482	2 364	44	37	6
ALASKA	15 882	15 882	15 018	864	966	7	959
STATE	9 339	9 339	9 102	237	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 550	6 543	5 916	627	966	7	959
COUNTIES	959	-	-	-	959	-	959
MUNICIPALITIES	6 550	6 543	5 916	627	7	7	-
ARIZONA	78 313	78 313	65 331	12 982	44	6	38
STATE	19 357	19 357	15 818	3 539	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	58 962	58 956	49 513	9 443	44	6	38
COUNTIES	12 051	12 036	10 987	1 049	15	-	15
MUNICIPALITIES	46 949	46 919	38 526	8 393	29	6	23
ARKANSAS	30 616	30 616	27 621	2 995	87	34	53
STATE	6 877	6 877	5 883	994	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 773	23 739	21 738	2 001	87	34	53
COUNTIES	4 299	4 286	4 016	270	13	-	13
MUNICIPALITIES	19 527	19 453	17 722	1 731	74	34	40
CALIFORNIA	900 522	900 522	865 220	35 302	28 778	113	28 665
STATE	185 469	174 616	163 389	9 227	10 853	(X)	10 853
LOCAL, TOTAL	726 019	725 906	699 831	26 075	17 925	113	17 812
COUNTIES	219 902	219 867	209 167	10 700	35	2	33
MUNICIPALITIES	523 929	506 039	490 664	15 375	17 890	111	17 779
COLORADO	59 878	59 878	57 044	2 834	944	-	944
STATE	12 792	11 858	11 039	819	934	(X)	934
LOCAL, TOTAL	48 020	48 020	46 005	2 015	10	-	10
COUNTIES	7 301	7 300	6 997	303	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	40 730	40 720	39 008	1 712	10	-	10
CONNECTICUT	98 121	98 121	94 006	4 115	1 666	480	1 186
STATE	18 222	17 196	15 691	1 505	1 026	(X)	1 026
LOCAL, TOTAL	81 405	80 925	78 315	2 610	640	480	160
COUNTIES	81 565	80 925	78 315	2 610	640	480	160
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	17 751	17 751	16 760	991	317	-	317
STATE	8 379	8 062	7 199	863	317	(X)	317
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 689	9 689	9 561	128	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 267	2 267	2 231	36	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7 422	7 422	7 330	92	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	113 019	113 019	108 708	4 311	-	(X)	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	113 019	113 019	108 708	4 311	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	113 019	113 019	108 708	4 311	-	-	-
FLORIDA	214 814	214 814	200 595	14 219	187	132	55
STATE	33 837	33 837	29 873	3 964	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	181 110	180 977	170 722	10 255	187	132	55
COUNTIES	66 022	65 997	61 332	4 665	24	-	24
MUNICIPALITIES	115 143	114 980	109 390	5 590	163	108	55
GEORGIA	96 209	96 209	89 321	6 888	1 518	26	1 492
STATE	19 254	19 150	17 091	2 059	1 04	(X)	1 04
LOCAL, TOTAL	77 085	77 059	72 230	4 829	1 414	26	1 388
COUNTIES	23 816	22 448	20 804	1 644	1 368	-	1 368
MUNICIPALITIES	54 657	54 611	51 426	3 185	46	26	20

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 23. Police Protection Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	30 167	30 167	29 760	407	-	-	-
STATE	332	332	332	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 835	29 835	29 428	407	-	-	-
COUNTIES	7 618	7 618	7 350	268	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22 217	22 217	22 078	139	-	-	-
IDAHO	15 162	15 162	14 153	1 008	95	6	88
STATE	4 445	4 440	3 996	444	5	(X)	5
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 728	10 722	10 157	564	90	6	83
COUNTIES	3 443	3 433	3 199	234	10	1	9
MUNICIPALITIES	7 368	7 289	6 959	330	79	5	74
ILLINOIS	433 517	433 517	418 229	15 288	2 845	58	2 788
STATE	56 908	54 171	50 899	3 272	2 737	(X)	2 737
LOCAL, TOTAL	379 404	379 346	367 330	12 016	108	58	31
COUNTIES	29 791	29 767	27 897	1 870	24	24	1
MUNICIPALITIES	349 663	349 579	339 433	10 146	84	34	50
INDIANA	102 042	102 042	95 776	6 266	105	90	15
STATE	21 502	21 502	19 433	2 069	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	80 630	80 540	76 343	4 197	105	90	15
COUNTIES	12 058	11 964	11 218	746	94	90	4
MUNICIPALITIES	68 576	68 576	65 125	3 450	12	-	11
IOWA	51 459	51 459	48 441	3 018	187	4	183
STATE	11 672	11 602	10 948	654	70	(X)	70
LOCAL, TOTAL	39 861	39 857	37 493	2 364	117	4	113
COUNTIES	6 695	6 683	6 343	340	13	2	10
MUNICIPALITIES	33 279	33 174	31 150	2 024	105	2	103
KANSAS	42 965	42 965	40 387	2 577	179	1	178
STATE	7 981	7 981	7 387	594	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 985	34 984	33 000	1 983	179	1	178
COUNTIES	6 942	6 895	6 180	714	48	-	48
MUNICIPALITIES	28 221	28 089	26 820	1 269	132	1	131
KENTUCKY	57 837	57 837	53 599	4 238	3 112	115	2 997
STATE	17 455	17 600	15 991	1 609	2 855	(X)	2 855
LOCAL, TOTAL	40 352	40 237	37 608	2 629	257	115	142
COUNTIES	11 184	10 956	9 790	1 166	228	104	124
MUNICIPALITIES	29 310	29 281	27 818	1 463	29	11	18
LOUISIANA	101 105	101 105	95 127	5 978	264	6	257
STATE	22 933	22 699	21 325	1 374	234	(X)	234
LOCAL, TOTAL	78 412	78 406	73 802	4 604	30	6	23
COUNTIES	32 091	32 083	30 031	2 052	8	6	2
MUNICIPALITIES	46 345	46 323	43 771	2 552	22	-	22
MAINE	19 293	19 293	18 130	1 163	15	1	15
STATE	6 222	6 222	5 510	712	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 072	13 071	12 620	451	15	1	15
COUNTIES	1 472	1 472	1 341	131	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	11 614	11 599	11 279	321	15	1	15
MARYLAND	151 466	151 466	142 707	8 759	25 997	-	25 997
STATE	59 643	59 643	56 935	2 708	25 675	(X)	25 675
LOCAL, TOTAL	117 498	117 498	111 772	5 726	322	-	322
COUNTIES	53 767	53 712	49 928	3 884	55	-	55
MUNICIPALITIES	64 053	63 786	61 844	1 842	267	-	267
MASSACHUSETTS	213 935	213 935	208 414	5 521	49	32	17
STATE	29 371	29 371	28 687	684	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	184 596	184 564	179 727	4 837	49	32	17
COUNTIES	779	779	756	23	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	183 834	183 785	178 971	4 814	49	32	17
MICHIGAN	320 111	320 111	300 551	19 560	4 846	21	4 825
STATE	56 470	53 761	49 967	3 794	2 709	(X)	2 709
LOCAL, TOTAL	266 371	266 350	250 584	15 766	2 137	21	2 116
COUNTIES	37 489	37 480	27 692	9 788	9	-	9
MUNICIPALITIES	230 998	228 870	222 892	5 978	2 128	21	2 107

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 23. Police Protection Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	88 666	88 666	85 311	3 355	1 222	14	1 208
STATE	14 029	13 719	12 893	826	310	(X)	310
LOCAL, TOTAL	74 961	74 947	72 418	2 529	912	14	898
COUNTIES	15 667	15 563	14 920	643	104	14	90
MUNICIPALITIES	60 192	59 384	57 498	1 886	808	-	808
MISSISSIPPI	39 942	39 942	35 688	4 254	180	157	23
STATE	14 250	14 250	12 012	2 238	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 848	25 692	23 676	2 016	180	157	23
COUNTIES	6 200	6 199	5 510	689	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	19 671	19 493	18 166	1 327	178	155	23
MISSOURI	129 212	129 212	122 076	7 136	337	8	329
STATE	18 599	18 558	17 079	1 479	41	(X)	41
LOCAL, TOTAL	110 682	110 654	104 997	5 657	296	8	288
COUNTIES	15 113	15 097	14 420	677	16	2	15
MUNICIPALITIES	95 836	95 557	90 577	4 980	280	7	273
MONTANA	13 999	13 999	13 260	739	526	15	511
STATE	4 620	4 126	3 783	343	494	(X)	494
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 888	9 873	9 477	396	32	15	17
COUNTIES	3 826	3 822	3 624	198	4	2	2
MUNICIPALITIES	6 079	6 051	5 853	198	28	13	15
NEBRASKA	31 281	31 281	29 417	1 864	23	-	23
STATE	7 137	7 137	6 298	839	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 144	24 144	23 119	1 025	23	-	23
COUNTIES	3 985	3 985	3 684	301	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	20 181	20 159	19 435	724	23	-	23
NEVADA	28 226	28 226	26 879	1 346	178	-	178
STATE	3 188	3 188	2 874	314	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	25 038	25 038	24 005	1 032	178	-	178
COUNTIES	8 950	8 950	8 479	470	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	16 266	16 088	15 526	562	178	-	178
NEW HAMPSHIRE	18 173	18 173	17 203	970	1 345	6	1 339
STATE	5 504	4 179	3 872	307	1 325	(X)	1 325
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 000	13 994	13 331	663	20	6	14
COUNTIES	967	967	713	255	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13 046	13 027	12 618	409	20	6	14
NEW JERSEY	285 441	285 441	279 771	5 670	16	-	16
STATE	42 424	42 411	40 730	1 681	13	(X)	13
LOCAL, TOTAL	243 030	243 030	239 041	3 989	3	-	3
COUNTIES	13 690	13 690	13 527	163	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	229 343	229 340	225 514	3 826	3	-	3
NEW MEXICO	28 313	28 313	25 528	2 784	300	35	265
STATE	9 507	9 256	7 645	1 611	251	(X)	251
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 092	19 057	17 883	1 173	49	35	14
COUNTIES	3 458	3 411	3 159	252	47	34	13
MUNICIPALITIES	15 648	15 645	14 724	921	2	2	1
NEW YORK	1 021 982	1 021 982	980 466	41 516	2 096	10	2 086
STATE	83 363	81 468	79 080	2 388	1 895	(X)	1 895
LOCAL, TOTAL	940 524	940 514	901 386	39 128	201	10	191
COUNTIES	159 282	159 272	153 579	5 693	10	-	10
MUNICIPALITIES	781 433	781 242	747 807	33 435	191	10	181
NORTH CAROLINA	101 258	101 258	93 446	7 812	406	128	278
STATE	24 430	24 430	22 079	2 351	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	76 956	76 828	71 367	5 461	406	128	278
COUNTIES	20 439	20 205	18 456	1 749	235	2	232
MUNICIPALITIES	56 795	56 623	52 911	3 712	171	125	46
NORTH DAKOTA	10 161	10 161	9 720	441	83	5	77
STATE	1 515	1 515	1 425	90	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 651	8 646	8 295	351	83	5	77
COUNTIES	1 887	1 876	1 839	37	12	5	7
MUNICIPALITIES	6 842	6 771	6 457	314	71	-	71

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 23. Police Protection Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	256 433	256 433	242 826	13 607	1 803	21	1 782
STATE	41 750	41 745	38 348	3 397	5	(X)	5
LOCAL, TOTAL	214 709	214 688	204 478	10 210	1 798	21	1 777
COUNTIES	29 965	28 490	25 040	3 450	1 475	-	1 475
MUNICIPALITIES	186 521	186 198	179 438	6 760	323	21	302
OKLAHOMA	49 967	49 967	46 894	3 072	104	-	103
STATE	13 796	13 704	12 020	1 684	92	(X)	92
LOCAL, TOTAL	36 263	36 263	34 874	1 388	12	-	11
COUNTIES	4 860	4 860	4 770	90	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	31 414	31 402	30 104	1 298	12	-	11
OREGON	60 934	60 934	58 752	2 182	755	23	732
STATE	17 221	17 093	16 396	697	128	(X)	128
LOCAL, TOTAL	43 865	43 841	42 356	1 485	627	23	604
COUNTIES	14 299	14 294	13 444	850	6	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	30 169	29 547	28 912	635	622	23	598
PENNSYLVANIA	344 713	344 713	334 454	10 249	430	3	427
STATE	81 370	81 370	77 361	4 009	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	263 346	263 343	257 103	6 240	430	3	427
COUNTIES	7 279	7 278	7 002	276	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	256 494	256 065	250 101	5 964	429	2	427
RHODE ISLAND	26 726	26 726	25 521	1 205	549	1	548
STATE	5 014	4 466	4 418	48	548	(X)	548
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 261	22 260	21 103	1 157	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22 261	22 260	21 103	1 157	1	1	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	48 102	48 102	41 869	6 233	258	237	21
STATE	13 517	13 517	11 831	1 686	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 823	34 585	30 038	4 547	258	237	21
COUNTIES	12 601	12 539	10 399	2 140	62	51	11
MUNICIPALITIES	22 243	22 046	19 639	2 407	196	186	10
SOUTH DAKOTA	10 748	10 748	10 112	635	6	-	6
STATE	3 035	3 035	2 623	412	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 713	7 713	7 489	223	6	-	6
COUNTIES	1 657	1 657	1 629	28	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 062	6 056	5 861	195	6	-	6
TENNESSEE	72 158	72 158	66 648	5 509	225	162	63
STATE	12 771	12 771	11 869	902	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	59 549	59 387	54 779	4 607	225	162	63
COUNTIES	8 918	8 775	8 353	421	144	144	-
MUNICIPALITIES	50 693	50 612	46 426	4 186	81	18	63
TEXAS	240 502	240 502	224 575	15 927	292	65	227
STATE	44 474	44 473	39 901	4 572	1	(X)	1
LOCAL, TOTAL	196 094	196 029	184 674	11 355	291	65	226
COUNTIES	29 748	29 688	27 563	2 125	59	16	44
MUNICIPALITIES	166 572	166 341	157 111	9 230	231	49	182
UTAH	22 503	22 503	20 640	1 863	47	10	37
STATE	5 630	5 605	5 050	555	25	(X)	25
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 908	16 898	15 590	1 308	22	10	12
COUNTIES	5 009	5 008	4 446	562	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	11 911	11 890	11 144	746	21	10	11
VERMONT	9 633	9 633	9 117	516	87	3	84
STATE	4 672	4 672	4 379	293	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 965	4 961	4 738	223	87	3	84
COUNTIES	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 016	4 928	4 706	223	87	3	84
VIRGINIA	110 766	110 766	104 530	6 236	1 182	2	1 180
STATE	34 390	33 246	31 146	2 100	1 144	(X)	1 144
LOCAL, TOTAL	77 522	77 520	73 384	4 136	38	2	36
COUNTIES	26 472	26 461	24 171	2 290	10	-	10
MUNICIPALITIES	51 086	51 059	49 213	1 846	27	2	25

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 23. Police Protection Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	90 979 ¹	90 979	86 294	4 685	1 262	45	1 218
STATE	21 373	21 361	18 919	2 442	12	(X)	12
LOCAL, TOTAL	69 662	69 618	67 375	2 243	1 250	45	1 206
COUNTIES	19 222	19 222	18 185	1 037	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	51 645	50 395	49 190	1 205	1 250	45	1 206
WEST VIRGINIA	23 976	23 976	22 052	1 924	36	19	17
STATE	8 269	8 269	7 091	1 178	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 726	15 707	14 961	746	36	19	17
COUNTIES	3 664	3 633	3 464	168	32	19	13
MUNICIPALITIES	12 079	12 074	11 497	577	5	-	5
WISCONSIN	137 469	137 469	131 306	6 163	1 801	244	1 556
STATE	23 342	21 972	21 282	690	1 370	(X)	1 370
LOCAL, TOTAL	115 742	115 497	110 024	5 473	431	244	186
COUNTIES	23 466	23 268	22 182	1 086	199	164	34
MUNICIPALITIES	92 462	92 230	87 842	4 387	232	80	152
WYOMING	7 711	7 711	7 509	202	66	3	62
STATE	2 240	2 240	2 240	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 475	5 471	5 269	202	66	3	62
COUNTIES	1 570	1 557	1 502	54	13	1	12
MUNICIPALITIES	3 967	3 915	3 767	147	52	2	50

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 X Not applicable.
¹For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government (s) ultimately expend (s) that amount.

Table 24. Police Protection Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TOTAL	714 238	709 727	664 229	45 498	24 667	20 143	688	4 511	437	4 074
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	169	169	155	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 816	1 816	1 749	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	390	390	378	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	986	986	905	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	551	551	530	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	338	338	296	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	946	-	-	-	-	-	-	946	-	946
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	4 208	4 208	3 740	468	393	75	-	-	-	-
PIMA	3 411	3 396	3 112	284	246	38	-	15	-	15
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	547	547	514	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	6 889	6 889	5 966	923	54	727	142	-	-	-
BUTTE	1 011	1 011	967	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	5 022	5 022	5 014	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	3 447	3 447	3 439	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	4 646	4 646	4 547	99	33	66	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	95 970	95 970	92 395	3 575	1 471	2 019	85	-	-	-
MARIN	1 968	1 968	1 961	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED	663	663	647	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	2 258	2 258	2 158	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	8 407	8 407	8 328	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	6 512	6 512	5 995	517	25	32	460	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	12 241	12 241	9 270	2 971	68	2 903	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	9 303	9 303	8 928	375	375	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	9 268	9 268	8 608	660	660	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	3 335	3 335	3 314	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 324	1 324	1 298	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	4 982	4 982	4 943	39	38	1	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	3 838	3 838	3 764	74	51	23	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	7 465	7 465	7 385	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	1 501	1 501	1 494	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	1 304	1 304	1 298	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	2 198	2 198	2 146	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	1 824	1 824	1 811	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE	2 042	2 042	2 026	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	4 944	4 917	4 882	35	35	-	-	27	-	27
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	888	888	881	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	517	517	488	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	535	535	521	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	702	702	693	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 299	1 299	1 241	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	130	130	115	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	2 235	2 235	2 199	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	1 272	1 272	1 141	131	129	2	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	1 714	1 714	1 617	97	97	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	3 632	3 632	3 394	238	238	-	-	-	-	-
DADE	21 168	21 168	20 391	777	777	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	1 794	1 792	1 707	85	85	-	-	2	-	2
HILLSBOROUGH	1 968	1 948	1 544	404	404	-	-	20	-	20
LEE	859	859	824	35	28	7	-	-	-	-
LEON	576	576	508	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	2 453	2 453	2 314	139	139	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	4 761	4 761	4 393	368	368	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	2 343	2 343	2 141	202	202	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Police Protection Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
POLK	1 381	1 381	1 170	211	211	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	1 205	1 205	1 079	125	126	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	902	902	779	123	123	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	841	841	703	138	138	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	862	862	721	141	124	17	-	-	-	-
COBB	1 691	1 691	1 565	126	126	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	3 911	3 911	3 879	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	1 479	1 479	238	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	1 230	1 230	1 077	153	153	-	-	-	1 233	1 233
IDAHO:										
ADA	404	404	383	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	513	513	494	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	8 627	8 627	8 128	499	414	85	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE	1 749	1 749	1 632	117	117	-	-	-	-	-
KANE	892	892	860	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	1 097	1 097	1 024	73	73	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	361	361	326	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	565	565	515	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	406	406	392	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	441	441	418	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	971	966	918	48	48	-	5	5	-	-
PEORIA	832	832	826	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	524	524	490	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	814	814	811	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	653	653	634	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	425	425	420	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	1 081	1 064	842	222	87	135	-	-	17	17
WINNEBAGO	1 173	1 173	1 136	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	950	950	852	98	98	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	210	210	176	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	597	597	411	186	186	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	1 678	1 678	1 678	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE	256	232	191	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	212	212	197	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	513	513	489	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	391	383	362	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH	742	718	701	17	17	-	-	-	24	24
VIGO	299	299	299	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	247	247	243	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	290	290	272	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	496	496	492	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	251	251	249	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	173	173	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	803	803	765	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	775	775	729	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	274	274	274	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	450	450	422	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
FAYETTE	830	830	493	337	337	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	5 954	5 842	5 189	653	653	-	-	-	112	112
KENTON	252	252	224	28	17	11	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	1 574	1 574	1 402	172	87	85	-	-	-	-
CALCASTEU	1 750	1 750	1 585	165	165	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	6 587	6 587	6 362	225	225	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	815	809	692	117	117	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	575	575	499	76	76	-	-	-	6	6
RAPIDES	1 360	1 360	1 315	45	45	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Police Protection Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	227	227	212	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	78	78	71	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	81	81	80	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	5 594	5 594	5 326	268	268	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	16 212	16 212	15 838	374	148	226	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	268	268	252	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	13 169	13 114	12 274	840	33	807	-	-	55	55
PRINCE GEORGES	13 943	13 943	12 777	1 166	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BERKSHIRE	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	150	150	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	422	422	403	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	434	434	406	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
CALHOUN	234	234	197	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
GENESEE	1 339	1 339	1 291	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
INGHAM	1 102	1 102	1 068	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	377	377	361	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	785	785	758	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
KENT	1 281	1 281	1 262	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
MACOMB	2 277	2 277	2 237	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	680	680	656	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON	365	365	350	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	10 856	10 856	2 395	8 461	32	8 429	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA	577	577	533	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	741	741	719	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	463	463	438	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW	1 501	1 501	1 412	89	88	1	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	3 929	3 929	3 884	45	45	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	763	763	730	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	481	481	458	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	3 588	3 588	3 435	153	153	-	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY	1 587	1 587	1 544	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	931	931	884	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	467	467	411	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	387	387	303	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	320	320	310	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	499	499	484	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	1 066	1 066	1 003	63	63	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	319	319	268	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	7 580	7 580	7 211	369	369	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	584	584	542	42	4	38	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	343	343	310	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK	5 359	5 359	5 204	155	155	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	1 369	1 369	1 246	123	123	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	14	14	9	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKILL: SHAM	310	310	208	102	102	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Police Protection Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	193	193	193	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BERGEN	1 991	1 991	1 991	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BURLINGTON	193	193	193	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	446	446	446	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ESSEX	3 140	3 140	3 140	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GLOUCESTER	164	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HUDSON	1 758	1 720	1 720	38	38	-	-	-	-	
MERCER:										
MIDDLESEX	933	933	894	39	2	37	-	-	-	
MONMOUTH	570	570	570	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MORRIS	738	738	692	46	46	-	-	-	-	
OCEAN	527	527	506	21	21	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	697	697	697	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOMERSET	406	406	402	4	4	-	-	-	-	
UNION	950	950	950	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	1 099	1 066	974	92	92	-	-	33	33	
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	854	854	808	46	46	-	-	-	-	
BROOME	808	808	769	39	39	-	-	-	-	
CHAUTAUQUA	500	500	483	17	17	-	-	-	-	
CHEMUNG	391	391	360	31	31	-	-	-	-	
DUTCHESS	818	818	787	31	31	-	-	-	-	
ERIE	3 601	3 601	3 506	95	95	-	-	-	-	
MONROE	3 623	3 623	3 532	91	91	-	-	-	-	
NASSAU	79 531	79 531	76 026	3 505	2 137	1 368	-	-	-	
NIAGARA	862	862	825	37	37	-	-	-	-	
ONEIDA	584	584	553	31	31	-	-	-	-	
ONONDAGA:										
ONONDAGA	2 534	2 524	2 283	241	85	156	-	10	10	
ORANGE	401	401	385	16	16	-	-	-	-	
OSWEGO	402	402	363	39	39	-	-	-	-	
RENSSELAER	277	277	252	25	25	-	-	-	-	
ROCKLAND	909	909	844	65	65	-	-	-	-	
ST. LAWRENCE	318	318	307	11	11	-	-	-	-	
SARATOGA	278	278	260	18	18	-	-	-	-	
SCHENECTADY	92	92	87	5	5	-	-	-	-	
SUFFOLK	51 603	51 603	50 770	833	731	102	-	-	-	
ULSTER	239	239	224	15	15	-	-	-	-	
WESTCHESTER	4 089	4 089	3 971	118	117	1	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	516	516	471	45	45	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	914	878	788	90	90	-	-	36	36	
DURHAM	422	422	406	16	16	-	-	-	-	
FORSYTH	819	819	754	65	65	-	-	-	-	
GASTON	533	533	525	8	8	-	-	-	-	
GUILFORD	1 076	1 076	901	175	175	-	-	-	-	
MECKLENBURG	2 222	2 131	2 049	82	82	-	-	91	91	
ONSWLOW	350	350	312	38	38	-	-	-	-	
WAKE	516	496	470	26	26	-	-	60	60	
OHIO:										
ALLEN	359	359	320	39	39	-	-	-	-	
BUTLER	562	562	546	16	16	-	-	-	-	
CLARK	345	345	311	34	34	-	-	-	-	
COLUMBIANA	189	189	178	11	11	-	-	-	-	
CUYAHOGA	3 734	3 734	3 075	659	105	554	-	-	-	
FRANKLIN	2 401	2 401	1 237	1 164	95	1 069	-	-	-	
GREENE:										
HAMILTON	522	522	495	27	27	-	-	-	-	
LAKE	4 057	2 601	2 416	185	185	-	-	1 456	1 456	
LICKING	552	552	521	31	31	-	-	-	-	
LORAIN	234	234	216	18	18	-	-	-	-	
LUCAS	562	562	534	28	28	-	-	-	-	
MAHONING	622	622	582	40	40	-	-	-	-	
	509	509	493	16	16	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Police Protection Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
OHIO--CONTINUED										
MONTGOMERY	1 656	1 656	1 630	26	26	-	-	-	-	
PORTAGE	364	364	343	21	21	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	345	345	327	18	18	-	-	-	-	
STARK	1 131	1 131	1 011	120	120	-	-	-	-	
SUMMIT	1 458	1 458	1 347	111	111	-	-	-	-	
TRUMBULL	618	618	582	36	36	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	159	159	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA	586	586	583	3	3	-	-	-	-	
TULSA	530	530	515	15	15	-	-	-	-	
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	844	844	813	31	31	-	-	-	-	
LANE	1 379	1 379	1 320	59	59	-	-	-	-	
MARION	617	617	593	24	24	-	-	-	-	
MULTNOMAH	5 368	5 368	5 138	230	105	125	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	975	975	898	77	77	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	3 651	3 651	3 504	147	147	-	-	-	-	
BEAVER	159	159	150	9	9	-	-	-	-	
BERKS	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLAIR	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BUCKS	480	480	402	78	78	-	-	-	-	
BUTLER	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMBRIA	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHESTER	291	291	291	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DAUPHIN:										
DAUPHIN	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DELAWARE:										
DELAWARE	388	388	385	3	3	-	-	-	-	
ERIE:										
ERIE	57	57	52	5	5	-	-	-	-	
FAYETTE:										
FAYETTE	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FRANKLIN:										
FRANKLIN	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LACKAWANNA:										
LACKAWANNA	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANCASTER:										
LANCASTER	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAWRENCE:										
LAWRENCE	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LEHIGH:										
LEHIGH	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LUZERNE:										
LUZERNE	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LYCOMING:										
LYCOMING	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MERCER:										
MERCER	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTGOMERY:										
MONTGOMERY	472	472	458	14	6	8	-	-	-	
NORTHAMPTON:										
NORTHAMPTON	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCHUYLKILL:										
SCHUYLKILL	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON:										
WASHINGTON	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WESTMORELAND:										
WESTMORELAND	136	136	134	2	2	-	-	-	-	
YORK	133	133	123	10	10	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	291	291	291	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLESTON	1 974	1 974	1 458	516	516	-	-	-	-	
GREENVILLE	878	878	807	71	71	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	1 131	1 131	1 002	129	129	-	-	-	-	
SPARTANBURG	664	632	599	33	33	-	-	32	32	
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	765	765	765	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KNOX	541	541	527	14	14	-	-	-	-	
SHELBY	2 279	2 138	2 041	97	97	-	-	141	141	
SULLIVAN	300	300	275	25	25	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS:										
BELL	219	219	162	57	57	-	-	-	-	
BEXAR	1 491	1 491	1 463	28	28	-	-	-	-	
BRAZORIA	583	583	471	112	112	-	-	-	-	
CAMERON	141	141	129	12	12	-	-	-	-	
DALLAS	3 491	3 491	3 402	89	89	-	-	-	-	
EL PASO	634	634	605	29	29	-	-	-	-	
GALVESTON	462	462	424	38	38	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Police Protection Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TEXAS--CONTINUED										
HARRIS	3 836	3 836	3 566	270	270	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	155	155	134	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	535	535	474	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	282	282	270	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
Lubbock	349	349	245	104	75	29	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	569	569	537	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES	1 258	1 258	1 216	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	655	655	642	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	194	194	182	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA										
UTAH:										
SALT LAKE	3 086	3 086	2 679	407	407	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	187	187	168	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	314	314	289	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	6 041	6 041	5 062	979	11	968	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	9 531	9 531	9 052	479	479	-	-	-	-	-
HENRICO	2 231	2 231	2 191	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	1 219	1 219	1 112	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	1 035	1 035	941	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
KING	5 723	5 723	5 629	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	532	532	519	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	1 754	1 754	1 712	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
SNOWMISH	1 544	1 544	1 454	90	90	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	1 521	1 521	1 475	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	406	406	364	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	167	167	131	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	335	335	335	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	952	948	903	45	45	-	-	-	-	-
DANE	2 178	2 143	2 085	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	769	762	691	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	2 877	2 855	2 825	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	573	533	477	56	56	-	-	-	-	33
RACINE	1 035	1 021	971	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK	885	877	810	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA	1 101	1 080	1 016	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	625	618	588	30	30	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 25. Police Protection Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73
(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TOTAL	2 976 927	2 970 805	2 860 232	110 573	57 141	50 827	2 605	6 122	34	6 088
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	7 827	7 827	7 154	673	673	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	645	645	634	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	2 037	2 037	1 916	121	120	1	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	4 197	4 197	4 133	64	47	17	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	2 559	2 559	2 521	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	1 316	1 316	1 275	41	38	3	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MESA	1 363	1 363	1 183	180	63	38	79	-	-	-
PHOENIX	26 065	26 065	19 421	6 644	498	5 222	924	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	1 279	1 279	1 197	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	1 377	1 377	1 233	144	128	16	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	7 643	7 643	7 296	347	336	11	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	845	845	815	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	2 797	2 797	2 439	358	275	83	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	1 166	1 166	1 043	123	68	55	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	716	704	637	67	67	-	12	12	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	1 606	1 606	1 578	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	1 578	1 578	1 572	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	5 110	5 068	4 850	218	218	-	42	-	-	42
BAKERSFIELD	2 772	2 772	2 635	137	130	7	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	875	875	875	28	28	-	-	-	-	847
BERKELEY	3 624	3 624	3 598	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	1 668	1 648	1 643	5	5	-	20	-	-	20
BURBANK	3 174	3 174	3 093	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	1 424	-	-	-	-	-	1 424	-	-	1 424
CHULA VISTA	1 719	1 719	1 649	70	41	29	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	3 207	3 207	3 150	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	2 249	2 249	2 138	111	111	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	2 535	2 535	2 456	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	1 440	1 440	1 426	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	2 298	2 298	2 254	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	1 435	1 435	1 351	84	46	38	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	1 565	1 565	1 531	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	2 398	2 398	2 341	57	44	13	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	6 276	6 276	6 135	141	141	-	-	-	-	-
FULLETON	2 486	2 486	2 343	143	110	33	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	2 548	2 548	2 489	59	29	30	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	3 426	3 426	3 269	157	157	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	1 201	1 201	1 143	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	2 133	2 133	2 096	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	4 085	4 043	3 805	238	238	-	42	-	-	42
INGLEWOOD	4 701	4 701	4 529	172	172	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	1 639	278	278	-	-	-	1 361	-	-	1 361
LONG BEACH	12 955	12 955	12 735	220	74	146	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	152 346	152 346	147 334	5 012	1 803	2 645	564	-	-	-
MODESTO	1 750	1 750	1 740	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	1 283	1 283	1 233	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	929	5	2	3	3	-	924	-	-	924
OAKLAND	15 148	15 148	14 976	172	172	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	1 566	1 566	1 553	13	10	3	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	2 144	2 118	2 092	26	26	-	26	-	-	26
OXNARD	1 740	1 740	1 727	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	1 826	1 826	1 817	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	4 804	4 804	4 736	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	1 026	-	-	-	-	-	1 026	-	-	1 026
POMONA	2 680	2 680	2 617	63	63	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	1 762	1 762	1 700	62	62	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	1 338	1 338	1 324	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	3 534	3 534	3 467	67	66	1	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	4 173	4 173	3 979	194	169	-	25	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police Protection Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	10 111	10 111	9 727	384	384	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	1 588	1 588	1 311	277	45	232	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	3 526	3 526	3 502	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	1 589	1 589	1 540	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	16 970	16 970	16 482	488	452	36	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	41 978	41 978	41 062	916	916	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	13 555	13 555	13 182	373	373	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	1 616	1 616	1 598	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	2 088	2 088	2 043	45	45	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	3 934	3 934	3 899	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	2 150	2 150	2 134	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	2 065	2 065	1 980	85	85	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	3 135	3 135	3 110	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	962	962	906	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	870	870	861	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	1 503	1 503	1 474	29	28	1	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	4 170	4 170	4 007	163	156	7	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	2 185	2 185	2 174	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	4 402	4 402	4 364	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	1 888	1 888	1 867	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	1 459	1 459	1 448	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	1 327	1 312	1 305	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	1 810	1 810	1 794	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
AURORA	1 632	1 632	1 567	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	1 182	1 182	1 171	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	3 114	3 114	2 960	154	154	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	18 975	18 975	18 231	744	715	26	3	-	-	-
LAKWOOD	1 479	1 479	1 336	143	143	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	1 978	1 978	1 904	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	6 076	6 076	6 065	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	1 200	1 200	1 159	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	1 615	1 615	1 548	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	8 039	8 039	7 664	375	375	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	1 560	1 560	1 531	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	1 425	1 425	1 374	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	2 086	2 086	2 006	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	6 601	6 601	6 425	176	176	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	2 667	2 667	2 515	152	152	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	4 325	4 325	4 233	92	92	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	3 878	3 878	3 803	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	1 470	1 470	1 470	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	4 484	4 484	4 444	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	113 019	113 019	108 708	4 311	120	3 342	849	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	1 522	1 522	1 296	226	226	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	5 418	5 418	5 364	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	1 765	1 758	1 525	233	198	-	35	7	7	-
HIALEAH	2 458	2 458	2 299	159	159	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	4 145	4 145	4 032	113	113	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	10 786	10 786	10 759	27	25	2	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	11 745	11 745	11 157	588	45	543	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	5 729	5 729	5 488	241	241	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	4 189	4 189	4 122	67	63	4	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	1 395	1 395	1 356	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	4 235	4 235	4 053	182	182	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	1 878	1 878	1 878	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	7 202	7 199	7 185	14	9	5	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	2 076	2 076	1 989	87	87	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police Protection Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	1 805	1 805	1 508	297	297	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	16 357	16 357	15 611	746	746	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	1 629	1 629	1 567	62	661	85	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	3 438	3 438	3 058	380	62	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	2 322	2 322	2 235	87	380	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	2 366	2 366	2 340	26	87	26	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	22 216	22 216	22 078	139	139	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	1 360	1 360	1 286	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	1 296	1 296	1 249	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	1 745	1 745	1 706	39	37	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	789	789	766	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	1 249	1 249	1 198	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	215 882	215 882	211 409	4 473	3 969	504	-	-	-	-
CICERO	1 332	1 332	1 331	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	1 557	1 557	1 512	45	45	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	1 321	1 321	1 294	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	1 946	1 946	1 946	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	1 331	1 331	1 254	77	77	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	2 216	2 216	2 182	34	77	8	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	1 990	1 990	1 930	60	60	26	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	1 457	1 457	1 389	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	1 535	1 535	1 479	56	53	3	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	3 657	3 657	3 653	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	3 356	3 356	3 199	157	66	91	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	1 173	1 173	1 043	130	11	119	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	2 004	2 004	1 942	62	62	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	2 008	2 008	1 794	214	56	158	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	1 771	1 771	1 602	169	169	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	1 513	1 513	1 480	33	21	12	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	2 480	2 480	2 475	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	3 627	3 627	3 531	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	4 554	4 554	4 388	166	166	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	2 621	2 621	2 566	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	18 494	18 494	16 898	1 596	1 596	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	1 452	1 452	1 400	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	3 078	3 078	2 783	295	295	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	966	966	927	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	1 795	1 790	1 690	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	906	906	834	72	60	12	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	1 606	1 606	1 514	92	92	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	6 247	6 247	5 839	408	43	365	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	1 148	1 148	1 130	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
SIoux CITY	1 264	1 264	1 225	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	1 530	1 530	1 440	90	90	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	4 073	4 073	3 994	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	1 039	1 039	998	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	3 207	3 207	2 916	291	291	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	4 965	4 945	4 872	93	93	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	1 325	1 325	1 251	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	2 807	2 807	2 793	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	11 395	11 385	10 614	771	738	33	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	1 124	1 124	1 005	119	119	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police Protection Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	7 007	6 992	6 263	729	717	12	-	15	-	15
LAFAYETTE	764	764	708	56	54	2	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	847	847	748	99	99	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	1 087	1 087	1 022	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	18 578	18 578	18 023	555	548	7	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	4 223	4 223	4 026	197	197	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	1 803	1 803	1 765	38	-	38	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	54 178	54 178	52 582	1 596	755	841	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	48 858	48 858	47 538	1 320	877	443	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	2 910	2 910	2 891	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	4 281	4 281	4 261	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	1 331	1 331	1 302	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	2 955	2 955	2 922	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	1 380	1 380	1 360	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	1 740	1 740	1 724	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	2 476	2 476	2 281	195	195	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	2 918	2 918	2 896	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	1 611	1 611	1 609	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	1 803	1 803	1 774	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	3 413	3 413	3 360	53	47	6	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	3 862	3 862	3 787	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	1 168	1 168	1 151	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	3 720	3 720	3 611	109	109	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	1 934	1 934	1 894	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	4 806	4 806	4 681	125	83	42	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	1 800	1 800	1 793	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	6 428	6 428	6 428	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	3 044	3 044	3 019	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	3 338	3 338	3 321	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	1 624	1 624	1 537	87	87	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	94 281	94 281	92 092	2 189	1 917	272	-	-	-	-
FLINT	7 053	7 053	6 699	354	354	-	38	38	-	38
GRAND RAPIDS	5 589	5 551	5 503	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	2 326	2 326	2 235	91	73	18	-	-	-	-
LANSING	4 625	4 625	4 363	262	212	-	50	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	1 246	1 246	1 224	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	3 002	3 002	2 997	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	3 277	3 277	3 254	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	1 555	1 555	1 503	52	49	3	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	1 765	1 765	1 757	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	2 748	2 748	2 664	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	2 567	2 567	2 482	85	85	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	2 079	2 079	2 019	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	1 803	1 803	1 533	270	269	1	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	1 763	1 763	1 724	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	4 018	4 018	3 993	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	1 452	1 452	1 445	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	913	913	872	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	1 850	1 850	1 846	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	2 083	2 083	2 039	44	42	2	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	12 306	12 306	12 276	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	1 576	1 574	1 539	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	8 562	8 562	8 332	230	230	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
JACKSON	4 634	4 634	4 268	366	366	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police Protection Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	908	908	808	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	988	988	927	61	52	9	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	1 715	1 715	1 613	102	102	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	23 274	23 274	21 325	1 949	321	1 628	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	1 181	1 181	1 099	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	34 771	34 771	34 272	499	499	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	1 760	1 760	1 723	37	32	5	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	987	987	977	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	896	896	851	45	35	-	10	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	2 484	2 484	2 363	121	121	-	-	-	-	-
OMAHA	8 730	8 730	8 494	236	236	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	7 779	7 636	7 534	102	102	-	-	143	-	143
RENO	3 554	3 554	3 349	205	152	-	53	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	1 760	1 760	1 751	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	1 568	1 568	1 543	25	9	16	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	2 647	2 647	2 647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	1 621	1 621	1 621	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	4 881	4 881	4 881	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	2 146	2 146	2 146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	3 295	3 295	3 185	110	110	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	4 070	4 070	4 070	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	1 921	1 921	1 903	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	12 602	12 602	12 602	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	23 283	23 283	22 502	781	530	251	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	1 806	1 806	1 798	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	6 414	6 414	6 414	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	5 734	5 734	5 218	516	15	501	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	1 963	1 963	1 947	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	7 060	7 060	6 720	340	340	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	4 446	4 446	4 339	107	98	9	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	1 359	1 359	1 284	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	20 690	20 690	20 491	199	173	26	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	2 674	2 674	2 660	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	2 837	2 837	2 783	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	616 206	616 206	587 513	28 693	3 025	25 668	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	2 534	2 534	2 522	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	10 953	10 953	10 920	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	745	745	745	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	2 947	2 947	1 919	1 028	57	971	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	5 772	5 772	5 557	215	215	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	1 401	1 401	1 399	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	1 939	1 939	1 891	78	78	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	2 967	2 967	2 955	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	7 372	7 372	7 372	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	1 358	1 344	1 300	44	44	-	14	-	-	14
CHARLOTTE	8 051	8 051	7 630	421	421	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	2 758	2 758	2 595	163	163	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	1 204	1 204	1 119	85	85	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	5 366	5 366	4 644	722	722	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	1 868	1 868	1 699							

Table 25. Police Protection Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	1 184	1 184	1 142	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON	7 148	7 148	6 883	265	265	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON	2 029	2 029	1 972	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	16 704	16 704	16 474	230	63	167	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	34 421	34 421	33 750	671	-	671	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1 149	1 149	1 081	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	15 948	15 948	15 751	197	197	-	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	8 148	8 148	8 132	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	684	684	650	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	1 454	1 454	1 410	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	1 490	1 490	1 462	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	1 287	1 287	1 047	240	138	102	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	1 039	1 039	1 036	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	1 162	1 162	1 102	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	920	920	920	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	922	922	915	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	1 522	1 522	1 396	126	126	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	1 632	1 632	1 527	105	65	40	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	10 725	10 706	10 501	205	115	90	19	-	19	-
WARREN	1 022	1 022	1 007	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	3 046	3 046	2 994	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	1 113	1 113	1 060	53	53	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	904	904	789	115	115	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	7 310	7 310	7 019	291	291	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	5 642	5 642	5 590	52	52	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	2 109	2 109	2 087	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	12 739	12 739	12 672	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	1 797	1 797	1 776	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	2 198	2 198	2 137	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	840	840	823	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	1 707	1 707	1 674	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	1 935	1 935	1 859	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	2 789	2 789	2 711	78	78	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	2 250	2 250	2 090	160	160	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	1 608	1 608	1 501	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	130 679	130 679	127 906	2 773	2 656	112	5	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	19 630	19 630	19 570	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
READING	1 921	1 921	1 863	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	1 770	1 770	1 770	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	1 105	1 105	1 072	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	1 244	1 244	1 200	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	1 439	1 439	1 396	43	33	10	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	1 812	1 812	1 787	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	6 067	6 067	5 892	175	175	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	2 092	2 092	2 057	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	2 501	2 501	1 409	1 092	83	1 009	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	2 560	2 560	2 480	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	1 400	1 400	1 354	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	1 257	1 257	1 203	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	3 973	3 973	3 823	150	150	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	3 836	3 836	3 747	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	15 321	15 321	14 155	1 166	1 153	13	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	11 040	11 040	10 034	1 006	1 006	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police Protection Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	1 183	1 183	1 166	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	2 004	2 004	1 909	95	95	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	1 522	1 522	1 441	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	6 237	6 237	6 044	193	193	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	1 990	1 990	1 919	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	797	797	742	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	3 774	3 765	3 351	414	317	97	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	29 575	29 575	29 261	314	288	26	9	-	-	9
EL PASO	5 520	5 520	5 185	335	335	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	7 735	7 735	7 708	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	1 045	1 045	981	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	1 295	1 295	1 230	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	1 202	1 202	596	606	48	558	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	30 285	30 285	28 594	1 691	1 425	266	1	-	-	-
IRVING	1 353	1 353	1 145	208	45	163	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	560	560	558	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	2 310	2 310	2 201	109	109	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	885	885	804	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	1 145	1 145	1 144	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	1 245	1 245	1 197	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	1 906	1 906	1 419	487	113	374	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	1 251	1 251	909	342	50	292	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	1 008	1 008	971	37	36	1	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	13 701	13 701	13 083	618	579	39	-	-	-	-
TYLER	966	966	882	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	1 620	1 588	1 520	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	1 223	1 223	1 169	54	54	-	32	-	-	32
UTAH:										
OGDEN	1 390	1 390	1 329	61	59	2	-	-	-	-
PROVO	711	706	671	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	4 671	4 671	4 432	239	70	169	5	-	-	5
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	3 558	3 558	3 411	147	147	-	-	-	-	-
CHESEAPEAKE	1 960	1 960	1 825	135	135	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	1 963	1 963	1 927	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	1 282	1 282	1 206	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	2 638	2 638	2 535	103	103	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	6 593	6 593	6 188	405	405	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	2 475	2 475	2 400	75	44	31	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	8 866	8 864	8 655	209	209	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	1 885	1 885	1 840	45	45	-	2	-	-	2
VIRGINIA BEACH	3 203	3 203	3 169	34	28	6	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	1 370	1 370	1 281	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	1 510	1 510	1 451	59	1	58	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	19 324	19 324	19 033	291	105	186	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	4 274	4 195	4 173	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	4 001	4 001	3 972	29	29	-	79	-	-	79
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	1 818	1 818	1 775	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	1 586	1 586	1 368	218	218	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	1 104	1 104	1 078	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	1 804	1 804	1 750	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	1 816	1 816	1 746	70	70	-	-	-	-	-
LA CRUSSE	979	979	948	31	31	-	-	-	-	-

Section IV. JUDICIAL

CONTINUED

2 OF 4

Table 26. Judicial Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	1 461 098	1 461 098	1 395 135	65 963	47 452	6 778	40 674
STATES	419 247	385 619	371 730	13 889	33 628	(X)	33 628
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 082 257	1 075 479	1 023 405	52 074	13 824	6 778	7 046
COUNTIES	753 316	747 000	723 961	23 039	6 316	3 541	2 775
MUNICIPALITIES	335 987	328 479	299 444	29 035	7 508	3 237	4 271
ALABAMA	16 497	16 497	16 285	212	328	7	321
STATE	4 545	4 545	4 490	55	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	11 959	11 952	11 795	157	328	7	321
COUNTIES	10 856	10 856	10 713	143	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 424	1 096	1 082	14	328	7	321
ALASKA	7 903	7 903	7 316	587	44	43	1
STATE	7 635	7 635	7 049	586	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	312	268	267	1	44	43	1
COUNTIES	24	-	-	-	24	24	-
MUNICIPALITIES	288	268	267	1	19	19	1
ARIZONA	14 207	14 207	13 856	351	61	55	6
STATE	2 047	2 047	1 994	53	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 215	12 160	11 862	298	61	55	6
COUNTIES	10 065	10 010	9 801	209	55	55	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 156	2 151	2 061	89	6	-	6
ARKANSAS	5 971	5 971	5 796	175	258	26	232
STATE	1 906	1 906	1 900	6	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 091	4 065	3 896	169	258	26	232
COUNTIES	2 933	2 901	2 857	44	32	7	25
MUNICIPALITIES	1 389	1 164	1 039	125	226	19	206
CALIFORNIA	191 196	191 196	180 117	11 079	273	42	231
STATE	22 749	22 749	22 705	44	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	168 488	168 447	157 412	11 035	273	42	231
COUNTIES	160 258	160 096	149 183	10 913	162	-	162
MUNICIPALITIES	8 461	8 351	8 229	122	111	42	69
COLORADO	19 554	19 554	18 662	892	8	8	1
STATE	14 010	14 010	13 832	178	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 552	5 544	4 830	714	8	8	1
COUNTIES	1 885	1 878	1 281	597	8	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 667	3 666	3 549	117	1	-	1
CONNECTICUT	26 402	26 402	17 213	9 189	311	-	311
STATE	26 515	26 209	17 020	9 189	306	(X)	306
LOCAL, TOTAL	193	193	193	-	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES	198	193	193	-	5	-	5
DELAWARE	7 624	7 624	7 494	130	-	-	-
STATE	6 441	6 441	6 311	130	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 183	1 183	1 183	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	796	796	796	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	387	387	387	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	18 271	18 271	18 271	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 271	18 271	18 271	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	18 271	18 271	18 271	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	70 066	70 066	68 903	1 163	3	3	-
STATE	15 755	15 755	15 654	101	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	54 315	54 311	53 249	1 062	3	3	-
COUNTIES	47 667	47 667	46 630	1 037	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 647	6 644	6 619	25	3	3	-
GEORGIA	26 691	26 691	25 769	922	32	10	22
STATE	4 832	4 832	4 806	26	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	21 869	21 859	20 963	896	32	10	22
COUNTIES	19 216	19 184	18 361	823	32	10	22
MUNICIPALITIES	2 675	2 675	2 602	73	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 26. Judicial Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	7 268	7 268	6 964	304	-	-	-
STATE	7 268	7 268	6 964	304	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	4 141	4 141	4 003	138	85	25	60
STATE	2 310	2 310	2 301	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 856	1 831	1 702	129	85	25	60
COUNTIES	1 775	1 750	1 621	129	25	25	-
MUNICIPALITIES	141	81	80	-	60	-	60
ILLINOIS	76 277	76 277	75 687	589	349	-	349
STATE	26 621	26 621	26 479	142	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	49 656	49 656	49 208	447	349	-	349
COUNTIES	49 648	49 306	48 860	446	342	-	342
MUNICIPALITIES	356	349	348	1	7	-	7
INDIANA	20 144	20 144	19 786	358	399	74	325
STATE	4 419	4 244	4 131	113	175	(X)	175
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 974	15 900	15 655	245	224	74	150
COUNTIES	10 625	10 401	10 173	228	224	74	150
MUNICIPALITIES	5 500	5 500	5 482	18	-	-	-
IOWA	11 297	11 297	11 223	75	822	6	816
STATE	2 623	2 623	2 620	3	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 681	8 674	8 603	72	822	6	816
COUNTIES	7 043	6 396	6 340	56	648	3	644
MUNICIPALITIES	2 453	2 279	2 263	16	174	3	171
KANSAS	10 196	10 196	9 877	319	18	2	16
STATE	3 171	3 171	3 166	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 027	7 025	6 711	314	18	2	16
COUNTIES	6 094	6 075	5 764	312	18	2	16
MUNICIPALITIES	950	950	947	2	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	13 034	13 034	12 915	119	59	10	49
STATE	5 535	5 488	5 479	9	47	(X)	47
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 555	7 546	7 436	110	12	10	2
COUNTIES	6 504	6 493	6 417	76	11	10	1
MUNICIPALITIES	1 054	1 052	1 019	34	2	-	2
LOUISIANA	23 543	23 543	22 321	1 222	15	-	15
STATE	5 589	5 589	5 589	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 954	17 954	16 732	1 222	15	-	15
COUNTIES	8 402	8 391	8 332	58	12	-	12
MUNICIPALITIES	9 566	9 563	8 399	1 164	3	-	3
MAINE	4 428	4 428	4 397	31	130	2	128
STATE	2 899	2 771	2 756	15	128	(X)	128
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 659	1 657	1 641	16	2	2	-
COUNTIES	1 628	1 626	1 613	13	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	31	31	28	3	-	-	-
MARYLAND	29 359	29 359	28 321	1 038	6	4	2
STATE	17 192	17 191	16 470	721	1	(X)	1
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 172	12 168	11 851	317	5	4	1
COUNTIES	7 155	7 150	6 840	310	5	4	1
MUNICIPALITIES	5 018	5 018	5 011	7	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	43 485	43 485	41 671	1 813	755	-	755
STATE	9 087	8 626	8 384	242	461	(X)	461
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 859	34 859	33 287	1 571	294	-	294
COUNTIES	24 105	24 102	22 707	1 395	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	11 047	10 757	10 580	176	291	-	291
MICHIGAN	77 607	77 607	77 022	585	2 992	208	2 784
STATE	13 107	12 011	12 051	-	1 096	(X)	1 096
LOCAL, TOTAL	65 803	65 596	65 011	585	1 896	208	1 688
COUNTIES	51 367	50 959	50 624	335	408	13	395
MUNICIPALITIES	16 125	14 637	14 387	250	1 488	195	1 293

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 26. Judicial Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	20 759	20 759	20 493	266	414	47	367
STATE	3 648	3 648	3 648	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 158	17 111	16 845	266	414	47	367
COUNTIES	16 053	15 676	15 424	252	378	47	331
MUNICIPALITIES	1 472	1 436	1 421	14	36	-	36
MISSISSIPPI	7 766	7 766	7 445	321	28	16	12
STATE	2 101	2 101	1 892	209	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 681	5 665	5 553	112	28	16	12
COUNTIES	5 241	5 216	5 118	98	25	13	12
MUNICIPALITIES	451	448	435	14	3	-	3
MISSOURI	27 826	27 826	27 513	313	68	42	26
STATE	10 587	10 587	10 553	34	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 281	17 239	16 960	279	68	42	26
COUNTIES	10 782	10 714	10 481	233	68	42	26
MUNICIPALITIES	6 525	6 525	6 479	46	-	-	-
MONTANA	3 245	3 245	3 200	45	1	1	-
STATE	990	990	990	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 256	2 255	2 210	45	1	1	-
COUNTIES	2 007	2 007	1 963	44	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	249	248	246	2	1	1	-
NEBRASKA	7 997	7 997	7 805	192	2	1	1
STATE	3 496	3 496	3 406	90	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 502	4 501	4 399	102	2	1	1
COUNTIES	3 609	3 608	3 513	95	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	894	893	886	7	1	1	-
NEVADA	4 440	4 440	4 174	265	-	-	-
STATE	1 314	1 314	1 084	230	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 126	3 126	3 090	35	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2 368	2 368	2 344	24	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	758	758	747	11	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3 538	3 538	3 519	19	90	44	45
STATE	1 198	1 198	1 198	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 384	2 384	2 321	19	90	44	45
COUNTIES	1 422	1 414	1 413	8	8	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 008	926	908	18	82	36	45
NEW JERSEY	55 270	55 270	55 147	123	1 951	14	1 937
STATE	14 061	12 236	12 139	97	1 825	(X)	1 825
LOCAL, TOTAL	43 048	43 034	43 008	26	126	14	112
COUNTIES	33 878	33 878	33 862	16	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9 282	9 156	9 146	10	126	14	112
NEW MEXICO	5 336	5 336	5 114	221	-	-	-
STATE	4 575	4 575	4 397	178	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	761	761	717	43	-	-	-
COUNTIES	121	121	121	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	639	639	596	43	-	-	-
NEW YORK	213 940	213 940	187 990	25 950	8 095	3 598	4 497
STATE	40 662	36 186	36 062	124	4 476	(X)	4 476
LOCAL, TOTAL	181 352	177 754	151 928	25 826	3 619	3 598	21
COUNTIES	49 199	48 044	47 184	860	1 155	1 139	16
MUNICIPALITIES	132 174	129 710	104 744	24 966	2 465	2 459	5
NORTH CAROLINA	24 296	24 296	23 985	312	-	-	-
STATE	22 312	22 312	22 110	202	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 984	1 984	1 875	110	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 979	1 979	1 872	108	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5	5	3	2	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	2 831	2 831	2 821	10	-	-	-
STATE	796	796	796	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 035	2 035	2 025	10	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 800	1 800	1 791	9	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	236	236	234	2	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 26. Judicial Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	64 812	64 812	64 187	625	2 326	-	2 326
STATE	8 259	6 516	6 414	102	1 743	(X)	1 743
LOCAL, TOTAL	58 296	58 296	57 773	523	583	-	583
COUNTIES	41 251	41 017	40 711	306	234	-	234
MUNICIPALITIES	17 629	17 279	17 062	217	350	-	349
OKLAHOMA	11 464	11 464	10 959	505	935	889	46
STATE	5 090	5 081	5 030	51	9	(X)	9
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 272	6 383	5 929	454	926	889	37
COUNTIES	5 984	5 057	4 616	441	926	889	37
MUNICIPALITIES	1 325	1 325	1 313	12	-	-	-
OREGON	14 153	14 153	14 055	97	347	67	280
STATE	4 203	3 952	3 952	-	251	(X)	251
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 268	10 201	10 103	97	96	67	29
COUNTIES	9 453	9 370	9 282	88	82	53	29
MUNICIPALITIES	844	831	822	9	13	13	-
PENNSYLVANIA	95 743	95 743	94 485	1 258	17 020	4	17 016
STATE	37 858	20 854	20 854	-	17 004	(X)	17 004
LOCAL, TOTAL	74 893	74 889	73 631	1 258	16	4	12
COUNTIES	39 324	39 308	38 380	928	16	4	12
MUNICIPALITIES	35 581	35 581	35 251	330	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	6 578	6 578	6 480	98	-	-	-
STATE	6 322	6 322	6 225	97	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	256	256	255	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	256	256	255	1	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	9 369	9 369	9 177	192	3	3	-
STATE	1 286	1 286	1 255	31	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 086	8 083	7 922	161	3	3	-
COUNTIES	7 492	7 492	7 389	103	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	594	591	534	58	3	3	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	2 523	2 523	2 493	30	169	-	169
STATE	682	682	660	22	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 841	1 841	1 833	8	169	-	169
COUNTIES	1 725	1 580	1 575	4	146	-	146
MUNICIPALITIES	285	261	257	4	24	-	24
TENNESSEE	20 125	20 125	19 953	172	516	1	515
STATE	3 936	3 936	3 916	20	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 190	16 189	16 037	152	516	1	515
COUNTIES	11 500	11 500	11 437	63	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	5 205	4 689	4 600	89	516	1	515
TEXAS	53 063	53 063	52 246	818	1 336	217	1 119
STATE	9 312	8 204	8 172	32	1 108	(X)	1 108
LOCAL, TOTAL	45 076	44 859	44 074	786	228	217	11
COUNTIES	37 849	37 653	37 293	360	198	185	11
MUNICIPALITIES	7 238	7 206	6 781	426	32	32	-
UTAH	4 941	4 941	4 665	276	47	3	44
STATE	2 204	2 160	1 921	239	44	(X)	44
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 784	2 781	2 744	37	3	3	-
COUNTIES	1 498	1 496	1 471	25	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 286	1 285	1 273	12	-	-	-
VERMONT	2 985	2 985	2 929	57	6	-	6
STATE	2 820	2 820	2 820	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	165	165	109	57	6	-	6
COUNTIES	163	163	106	57	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9	2	2	-	6	-	6
VIRGINIA	23 733	23 733	22 043	1 690	3 197	547	2 651
STATE	10 736	8 170	8 111	59	2 566	(X)	2 566
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 109	15 563	13 932	1 631	631	547	85
COUNTIES	6 114	5 947	4 780	1 167	166	161	6
MUNICIPALITIES	10 080	9 615	9 151	464	465	386	79

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 26. Judicial Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	18 886	18 886	18 550	336	268	-	268
STATE	3 567	3 567	3 505	62	-	-	62
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 319	15 319	15 045	274	268	(X)	268
COUNTIES	12 825	12 715	12 479	236	110	-	110
MUNICIPALITIES	2 762	2 604	2 566	38	157	-	157
WEST VIRGINIA	5 176	5 176	5 048	128	441	3	438
STATE	2 018	1 580	1 512	68	438	(X)	438
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 599	3 596	3 536	60	3	3	-
COUNTIES	3 393	3 390	3 330	60	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES	206	206	206	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	23 469	23 469	23 127	343	3 246	758	2 488
STATE	8 275	6 325	6 314	11	1 950	(X)	1 950
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 903	17 144	16 813	332	1 296	758	538
COUNTIES	17 388	16 599	16 267	332	790	758	32
MUNICIPALITIES	1 052	546	546	-	506	-	506
WYOMING	1 673	1 673	1 664	9	-	-	-
STATE	683	683	683	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	990	990	981	9	-	-	-
COUNTIES	854	854	846	7	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	136	136	135	1	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government (s) ultimately expend (s) that amount.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 27. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Judicial Activities of State Governments:
Fiscal Year 1972-73
(Thousands of dollars)

State	Total direct current expenditure	Courts of last resort	Intermediate appellate courts	Major trial courts	Other courts	Miscellaneous
Total	371,730	36,465	39,849	188,549	69,040	37,827
Alabama	4,490	687	458	1,864	-	1,481
Alaska	7,049	696	(X)	2,930	1,664	1,759
Arizona	1,994	491	632	709	-	162
Arkansas	1,900	352	(X)	1,444	-	104
California	22,705	1,891	6,234	12,809	-	1,771
Colorado	13,832	543	302	11,179	944	864
Connecticut	17,020	522	(X)	6,312	8,905	1,281
Delaware	6,311	241	(X)	1,639	3,795	836
Florida	15,654	1,013	1,679	10,248	-	2,714
Georgia	4,806	588	691	3,203	25	299
Hawaii	6,964	800	(X)	3,805	2,045	314
Idaho	2,301	535	(X)	1,760	-	8
Illinois	26,479	1,584	3,664	19,946	-	1,285
Indiana	4,131	450	559	2,873	-	249
Iowa	2,620	617	(X)	1,790	-	213
Kansas	3,166	719	(X)	2,323	-	124
Kentucky	5,479	841	(X)	3,430	-	1,208
Louisiana	5,589	763	1,631	2,736	374	85
Maine	2,756	404	(X)	550	1,475	327
Maryland	16,470	696	772	2,058	11,900	1,044
Massachusetts	8,384	892	396	2,074	4,224	798
Michigan	12,011	2,655	2,701	3,083	3,417	155
Minnesota	3,648	903	(X)	2,230	-	515
Mississippi	1,892	467	(X)	1,313	-	112
Missouri	10,553	1,265	809	3,455	3,603	1,421
Montana	990	269	(X)	688	-	33
Nebraska	3,406	358	(X)	1,730	1,189	129
Nevada	1,084	400	(X)	632	-	52
New Hampshire	1,198	297	(X)	623	238	40
New Jersey	12,139	667	1,347	4,682	-	5,443
New Mexico	4,397	323	270	2,559	517	728
New York	36,062	1,590	10,741	15,721	4,366	3,644
North Carolina	22,110	836	601	12,827	6,843	1,003
North Dakota	796	284	(X)	453	-	59
Ohio	6,414	747	1,064	3,213	-	1,390
Oklahoma	5,030	1,103	(NA)	3,927	-	-
Oregon	3,952	785	319	2,537	102	209
Pennsylvania	20,854	2,038	1,737	10,875	6,006	198
Rhode Island	6,225	629	(X)	2,264	1,871	1,461
South Carolina	1,255	353	(X)	863	-	39
South Dakota	360	206	(X)	453	-	1
Tennessee	3,916	518	530	2,212	-	656
Texas	8,172	1,236	1,817	4,869	-	250
Utah	1,921	260	(X)	780	878	3
Vermont	2,820	236	(X)	678	1,335	571
Virginia	8,111	647	(X)	2,446	3,324	1,694
Washington	3,505	815	895	1,034	-	761
West Virginia	1,512	393	(X)	927	-	192
Wisconsin	6,314	677	(X)	5,309	-	328
Wyoming	683	183	(X)	484	-	16

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
NA Not available.
X Not applicable.

Table 28. Judicial Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73
(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TOTAL	582,374	578,856	558,833	20,023	5,909	14,114	-	3,518	1,598	1,919
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	305	305	305	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1,957	1,957	1,928	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	571	571	563	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	1,165	1,165	1,165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	584	584	584	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	433	433	427	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	24	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	5,051	5,051	5,008	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
PIMA	2,199	2,144	2,075	69	69	-	-	55	55	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	578	578	571	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	10,228	10,228	10,093	135	123	12	-	-	-	-
BUTTE	728	728	643	85	41	44	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	3,929	3,929	3,912	17	14	3	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	3,077	3,077	3,055	22	22	8	-	-	-	-
KERN	2,129	2,129	2,120	9	8	1	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	65,621	65,621	56,853	8,768	1,017	7,751	-	-	-	-
MARIN	2,265	2,265	2,239	26	26	2	-	-	-	-
MERCED	628	628	626	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	1,581	1,581	1,559	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	12,226	12,226	11,231	995	80	915	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	3,683	3,521	3,461	60	12	48	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	4,612	4,612	4,570	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	6,103	6,103	6,035	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	12,499	12,499	12,401	98	98	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	1,948	1,948	1,928	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	689	689	678	11	1	10	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	4,605	4,605	4,539	66	23	43	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	1,758	1,758	1,742	16	11	5	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	6,581	6,581	6,451	130	4	126	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	924	924	915	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	1,280	1,280	1,272	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	1,011	1,011	995	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	1,133	1,133	1,122	11	5	6	-	-	-	-
TULARE	1,137	1,137	1,112	25	6	19	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	2,995	2,995	2,926	69	68	1	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	177	177	177	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	400	400	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	647	647	70	577	-	577	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	162	162	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	166	166	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	633	633	633	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	405	405	398	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	1,285	1,285	1,262	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	3,573	3,573	3,352	221	63	158	-	-	-	-
DADE	10,490	10,490	10,316	174	172	2	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	1,182	1,182	1,156	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	4,592	4,592	4,546	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	502	502	484	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	599	599	585	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	1,956	1,956	1,886	70	70	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	2,165	2,165	2,069	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	1,603	1,603	1,590	13	13	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Judicial Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
POLK	1 359	1 359	1 340	19	19	-	-	-	-	
SARASOTA	897	897	869	28	28	-	-	-	-	
VOLUSIA	1 159	1 159	1 155	4	4	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	812	812	792	20	20	-	-	-	-	
CHATTAHOOCHEE	1 173	1 145	1 104	41	41	-	28	9	19	
COBB	758	758	736	22	22	-	-	-	-	
DE KALB	1 736	1 736	1 391	345	77	268	-	-	-	
FULTON	5 297	5 297	5 102	195	103	92	-	-	-	
RICHMOND	744	744	737	7	7	-	-	-	-	
IDAHO:										
ADA	353	353	349	4	4	-	-	-	-	
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	298	298	295	3	3	-	-	-	-	
COOK	37 256	36 918	36 551	367	166	201	338	-	338	
DU PAGE	1 021	1 021	1 018	3	3	-	-	-	-	
KANE	598	598	597	1	1	-	-	-	-	
LAKE	889	889	886	3	3	-	-	-	-	
LA SALLE	275	275	274	1	1	-	-	-	-	
MCHENRY	274	274	274	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MCLEAN	339	339	333	6	6	-	-	-	-	
MACON										
MADISON	682	682	668	14	14	-	-	-	-	
PEORIA	384	384	384	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCK ISLAND	331	331	327	4	4	-	-	-	-	
ST. CLAIR	591	591	591	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SANGAMON	251	251	250	1	1	-	-	-	-	
TAZEWELL	252	252	251	1	1	-	-	-	-	
WILL	1 047	1 047	1 047	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WINNEBAGO	591	591	583	8	8	-	-	-	-	
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	885	885	872	13	13	-	-	-	-	
DELAWARE	283	275	275	-	-	-	8	-	8	
ELKHART	345	340	321	19	19	-	5	-	5	
LAKE	1 607	1 546	1 546	-	-	-	61	-	61	
LA PORTE	292	290	287	3	3	-	2	-	2	
MADISON										
ST. JOSEPH	781	781	781	-	-	-	9	-	9	
TIPPECANOE	182	182	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VANDERBURGH	595	577	575	2	2	-	18	-	18	
VIGO	589	580	579	1	1	-	9	-	9	
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	417	314	313	1	1	-	103	-	103	
LINN	401	276	276	-	-	-	125	-	125	
POLK	1 098	859	859	-	-	-	239	-	239	
SCOTT	382	305	305	-	-	-	77	-	77	
WOODBURY	412	412	407	5	5	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	558	558	552	6	6	-	-	-	-	
SEDGWICK	1 587	1 587	1 310	277	75	202	-	-	-	
SHAWNEE	452	452	447	5	5	-	-	-	-	
WYANDOTTE	619	619	619	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KENTUCKY:										
FAYETTE	320	320	309	11	11	-	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	821	821	804	17	17	-	-	-	-	
KENTON	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	383	383	383	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CALCASIEU	516	516	513	3	3	-	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	1 881	1 881	1 881	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAFAYETTE	267	267	267	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OUACHITA	212	212	202	10	10	-	-	-	-	
RAPIDES	228	228	228	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Judicial Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	289	289	286	3	3	-	-	-	-	
PENOBSCOT	192	192	191	1	1	-	-	-	-	
YORK	221	221	220	1	1	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	614	614	600	14	14	-	-	-	-	
BALTIMORE	1 087	1 087	1 086	1	1	-	-	-	-	
HARFORD	428	427	411	16	16	-	1	1	-	
MONTGOMERY	1 631	1 631	1 510	121	9	112	-	-	-	
PRINCE GEORGES	2 099	2 099	1 975	124	124	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	151	147	145	2	2	-	4	3	1	
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BERKSHIRE	497	497	494	3	3	-	-	-	-	
BRISTOL	1 671	1 671	1 654	17	17	-	-	-	-	
ESSEX	2 451	2 451	2 438	13	11	2	-	-	-	
HAMPDEN	1 898	1 895	1 895	-	-	-	3	-	3	
HAMPSHIRE	466	466	450	16	16	-	-	-	-	
MIDDLESEX	8 467	8 467	7 454	1 013	30	983	-	-	-	
NORFOLK	2 739	2 739	2 729	10	10	-	-	-	-	
PLYMOUTH	1 873	1 873	1 586	287	21	266	-	-	-	
WORCESTER	3 017	3 017	2 986	31	31	-	-	-	-	
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	595	595	592	3	3	-	-	-	-	
BERRIEN	1 655	1 655	1 621	34	32	2	-	-	-	
CALHOUN	587	587	579	8	8	-	-	-	-	
GENESEE	2 397	2 397	2 392	5	5	-	-	-	-	
INGHAM	1 663	1 663	1 648	15	15	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	668	668	668	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KALAMAZOO	726	726	726	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KENT	1 241	1 237	1 232	5	5	-	4	-	4	
MACOMB										
MONROE	2 803	2 803	2 794	9	9	-	-	-	-	
MUSKOGON	668	668	661	7	7	-	-	-	-	
OAKLAND	819	819	817	2	2	-	-	-	-	
OTTAWA	5 353	5 353	5 267	86	18	68	-	-	-	
SAGINAW	468	468	465	3	3	-	-	-	-	
ST. CLAIR	1 136	1 136	1 134	2	2	-	-	-	-	
WASHTENAW	633	633	633	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WAYNE	1 666	1 666	1 654	12	12	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	19 717	19 346	19 268	78	78	-	371	-	371	
MINNESOTA	769	672	660	12	12	-	97	-	97	
DAKOTA	627	599	594	5	5	-	28	-	28	
HENNEPIN	5 198	5 097	5 016	81	81	-	101	-	101	
RAMSEY	1 413	1 374	1 374	39	39	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	604	595	589	6	6	-	9	-	9	
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	691	691	678	13	13	-	-	-	-	
HINDS	630	619	619	-	-	-	11	-	11	
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	255	255	247	8	8	-	-	-	-	
GREENE	260	260	260	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JACKSON	2 940	2 940	2 910	30	30	-	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	182	182	171	11	11	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	4 218	4 218	4 092	126	38	88	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	1 384	1 384	1 342	42	21	21	-	-	-	
LANCASTER	548	548	548	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEVADA:										
CLARK	1 296	1 296	1 280	16	16	-	-	-	-	
WASHOE	620	620	620	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	682	682	682	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCKINGHAM	241	241	241	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Judicial Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	918	918	918	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BERGEN	3 999	3 999	3 999	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BURLINGTON	731	731	731	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	1 793	1 793	1 793	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	480	480	480	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ESSEX	7 546	7 546	7 546	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GLOUCESTER	409	409	409	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HUDSON	3 815	3 815	3 808	7	7	-	-	-	-	
MERCER	1 335	1 335	1 331	4	4	-	-	-	-	
MIDDLESEX	2 369	2 369	2 369	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONMOUTH	1 453	1 453	1 453	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MORRIS	1 024	1 024	1 019	5	5	-	-	-	-	
OCEAN	1 234	1 234	1 234	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	2 439	2 439	2 439	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOMERSET	534	534	534	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION	2 793	2 793	2 793	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	1 213	754	736	18	18	-	459	458	1	
BROOME	482	480	464	16	16	-	2	2	-	
CHAUTAUQUA	353	353	348	5	5	-	-	-	-	
CHEMUNG	350	350	346	4	4	-	-	-	-	
DUTCHESS	1 085	1 085	1 073	12	12	-	-	-	-	
ERIE	3 274	3 274	3 237	37	37	-	-	-	-	
MONROE	3 181	3 180	3 142	38	38	-	1	1	-	
NASSAU	13 566	13 566	13 032	534	149	361	-	-	-	
NIAGARA	940	940	921	19	19	-	-	-	-	
ONEIDA	701	698	697	1	1	-	3	3	-	
ONONDAGA	1 703	1 703	1 699	4	4	-	-	-	-	
ORANGE	863	854	848	6	6	-	9	3	6	
OSWEGO	406	406	396	10	10	-	-	-	-	
RENSSELAER	478	478	476	2	2	-	-	-	-	
ROCKLAND	864	861	844	17	17	-	3	3	-	
ST. LAWRENCE	399	399	397	2	2	-	-	-	-	
SARATOGA	415	415	414	1	1	-	-	-	-	
SCHENECTADY	739	739	736	3	3	-	-	-	-	
SUFFOLK	7 918	7 918	7 909	9	9	-	-	-	-	
ULSTER	433	432	430	2	2	-	1	1	-	
WESTCHESTER	3 210	3 210	3 197	13	13	-	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	83	83	69	14	1	13	-	-	-	
DURHAM	16	16	12	4	4	-	-	-	-	
FORSYTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GASTON	196	196	187	9	9	-	-	-	-	
GUILFORD	295	295	295	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MECKLENBURG	922	922	919	3	3	-	-	-	-	
ONSLOW	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WAKE	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OHIO:										
ALLEN	509	509	504	5	5	-	-	-	-	
BUTLER	889	889	889	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLARK	366	366	365	1	1	-	-	-	-	
COLUMBIANA	401	401	399	2	2	-	-	-	-	
CUYAHOGA	8 007	8 007	7 961	46	46	-	-	-	-	
FRANKLIN	2 567	2 567	2 550	17	17	-	-	-	-	
GREENE	487	487	482	5	5	-	-	-	-	
HAMILTON	3 935	3 935	3 888	47	47	-	-	-	-	
LAKE	732	732	715	17	17	-	-	-	-	
LICKING	501	501	499	2	2	-	-	-	-	
LORAIN	919	919	908	11	11	-	-	-	-	
LUCAS	2 829	2 829	2 798	31	31	-	-	-	-	
MAHONING	1 045	1 045	1 045	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Judicial Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
OHIO--CONTINUED										
MONTGOMERY	2 389	2 389	2 389	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PORTAGE	539	539	539	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	557	513	513	-	-	-	44	-	44	
STARK	1 073	1 073	1 043	30	30	-	-	-	-	
SUMMIT	2 579	2 579	2 569	10	10	-	-	-	-	
TRUMBULL	857	797	782	15	15	-	60	-	60	
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	337	337	201	136	136	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA	1 188	1 188	1 138	50	50	-	-	-	-	
TULSA	1 847	1 244	1 022	222	-	222	603	603	-	
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	477	477	474	3	3	-	-	-	-	
LANE	1 720	1 720	1 693	27	27	-	-	-	-	
MARION	506	506	504	2	2	-	-	-	-	
MULTNOMAH	3 519	3 519	3 488	31	31	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	385	385	381	4	4	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	9 080	9 080	8 731	349	117	232	-	-	-	
BEAVER	997	997	964	33	33	-	-	-	-	
BERKS	1 150	1 150	1 083	67	67	-	-	-	-	
BLAIR	302	302	295	7	7	-	-	-	-	
BUCKS	2 012	2 012	1 990	22	22	-	-	-	-	
BUTLER	376	376	376	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMBRIA	639	639	633	6	6	-	-	-	-	
CHESTER	1 068	1 068	1 068	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	361	361	361	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DAUPHIN	967	967	958	9	9	-	-	-	-	
DELAWARE	2 897	2 897	2 862	35	35	-	-	-	-	
ERIE	1 060	1 060	1 043	17	17	-	-	-	-	
FAYETTE	482	482	481	1	1	-	-	-	-	
FRANKLIN	260	260	260	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LACKAWANNA	876	876	876	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANCASTER	1 148	1 148	1 141	7	7	-	-	-	-	
LAWRENCE	373	373	363	10	10	-	-	-	-	
LEHIGH	909	909	897	12	12	-	-	-	-	
LUZERNE	1 088	1 088	1 084	4	4	-	-	-	-	
LYCOMING	395	395	386	9	9	-	-	-	-	
MERCER	469	469	456	13	13	-	-	-	-	
MONTGOMERY	3 543	3 543	3 466	77	53	24	-	-	-	
NORTHAMPTON	985	985	974	11	11	-	-	-	-	
SCHUYLKILL	553	553	553	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	718	718	708	10	10	-	-	-	-	
WESTMORELAND	1 365	1 365	1 329	36	35	1	-	-	-	
YORK	945	945	933	12	12	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	297	297	297	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLESTON	923	923	907	16	16	-	-	-	-	
GREENVILLE	681	681	640	41	41	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	1 111	1 111	1 111	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SPARTANBURG	556	556	551	5	3	2	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	1 267	1 267	1 252	15	15	-	-	-	-	
KNOX	1 009	1 009	1 003	6	6	-	-	-	-	
SHELBY	3 032	3 032	3 026	6	6	-	-	-	-	
SULLIVAN	319	319	317	2	2	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS:										
BELL	245	245	237	8	8	-	-	-	-	
BEXAR	1 591	1 591	1 560	31	31	-	-	-	-	
BRAZORIA	283	283	282	1	1	-	-	-	-	
CAMERON	346	346	345	1	1	-	-	-	-	
DALLAS	5 032	5 032	5 017	15	15	-	-	-	-	
EL PASO	608	608	592	16	16	-	-	-	-	
GALVESTON	640	640	638	2	2	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 28. Judicial Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TEXAS--CONTINUED										
HARRIS	8 434	8 434	8 292	142	142	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	396	396	396	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	971	971	971	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	352	352	345	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	314	314	314	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES	963	962	946	16	16	-	-	1	1	-
TARRANT	2 582	2 572	2 572	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	894	865	865	-	-	-	-	29	29	-
WICHITA	255	255	255	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
SALT LAKE	1 011	1 011	994	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	74	74	73	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	139	139	137	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	877	877	872	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	1 628	1 628	1 370	258	10	248	-	-	-	-
HENRICO	1 226	1 226	446	780	1	779	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	373	373	355	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	539	539	533	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
KING	4 933	4 933	4 883	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	380	380	376	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	1 307	1 307	1 301	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	1 193	1 193	1 159	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	949	949	946	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	594	594	569	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	283	283	283	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	467	467	467	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	528	460	458	2	2	-	68	68	-	-
DANE	1 092	992	984	8	8	-	100	100	-	-
KENOSHA	516	466	464	2	2	-	50	50	-	-
MILWAUKEE	6 948	6 948	6 927	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	349	299	295	4	4	-	50	50	-	-
RACINE	578	578	576	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK	546	504	500	4	4	-	42	42	-	-
WAUKESHA	861	808	621	187	5	182	53	53	-	-
WINNEBAGO	421	374	371	3	3	-	47	47	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
NA Not available.

Table 29. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Judicial Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Major trial court	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous
			Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	
Total	558,833	262,708	191,640	16,000	24,575	151,065	104,485
Alabama:							
Calhoun	305	164	131	19	71	41	10
Jefferson	1,928	821	1,071	152	368	551	36
Madison	563	310	187	(X)	103	84	66
Mobile	1,165	528	619	(X)	328	291	18
Montgomery	584	211	359	(X)	239	120	14
Tuscaloosa	427	239	185	(X)	95	90	3
Alaska:							
Anchorage	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-
Arizona:							
Maricopa	5,008	3,500	1,094	(X)	-	1,094	414
Pima	2,075	1,425	242	(X)	-	242	408
Arkansas:							
Pulaski	571	497	29	(X)	-	29	45
California:							
Alameda	10,093	2,120	4,712	(X)	-	4,712	2,261
Butte	643	177	249	(X)	-	249	217
Contra Costa	3,912	779	1,798	(X)	-	1,798	1,335
Fresno	3,055	425	1,421	(X)	-	1,421	1,209
Kern	2,120	599	962	(X)	-	962	559
Los Angeles	56,853	23,522	31,672	(X)	-	31,672	1,659
Marin	2,239	346	771	(X)	-	771	1,122
Morced	626	104	325	(X)	-	325	197
Monterey	1,559	585	875	(X)	-	875	39
Orange	11,231	2,306	7,370	(X)	-	7,370	1,552
Riverside	3,461	921	1,461	(X)	-	1,461	1,079
Sacramento	4,570	1,534	1,813	(X)	-	1,813	1,223
San Bernardino	6,035	1,697	2,600	(X)	-	2,600	1,738
San Diego	12,401	1,944	5,110	(X)	-	5,110	5,347
San Joaquin	1,928	426	904	(X)	-	904	598
San Luis Obispo	678	186	342	(X)	-	342	150
San Mateo	4,539	1,088	1,821	(X)	-	1,821	1,630
Santa Barbara	1,742	584	1,025	(X)	-	1,025	133
Santa Clara	6,451	1,781	3,223	(X)	-	3,223	1,447
Santa Cruz	915	357	396	(X)	-	396	162
Solano	1,272	228	549	(X)	-	549	495
Sonoma	995	262	551	(X)	-	551	182
Stanislaus	1,122	340	599	(X)	-	599	182
Tulare	1,112	155	551	(X)	-	551	406
Ventura	2,926	540	1,135	(X)	-	1,135	1,251
Colorado:							
Adams	177	37	-	(X)	-	-	140
Arapahoe	400	57	24	(X)	-	24	319
Boulder	70	16	-	(X)	-	-	54
El Paso	70	67	3	(X)	-	3	-
Jefferson	162	-	-	(X)	-	-	162
Pueblo	166	70	-	(X)	-	-	96
Delaware:							
New Castle	633	-	-	-	-	-	633
Florida:							
Alachua	398	159	209	(X)	(X)	209	30
Brevard	1,262	594	510	(X)	(X)	510	158
Broward	3,352	517	1,271	240	300	731	1,514
Dade	10,316	2,317	5,416	615	477	4,324	2,507
Escambia	1,156	400	605	(X)	(X)	605	151
Hillsborough	4,546	1,859	1,011	11	(X)	1,000	1,676
Lee	484	313	125	(X)	(X)	125	46
Leon	585	337	161	(X)	(X)	161	87
Orange	1,886	586	608	(X)	(X)	606	694

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Judicial Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Major trial court	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous
			Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	
Florida--Continued							
Palm Beach.....	2,089	1,054	532	259	(X)	263	463
Pineillas.....	1,590	637	541	195	5	341	412
Polk.....	1,340	401	427	(X)	(X)	427	512
Sarasota.....	869	521	178	(X)	(X)	178	170
Volusia.....	1,155	480	170	(X)	(X)	170	505
Georgia:							
Bibb.....	792	365	405	55	70	280	22
Chatham.....	1,104	669	435	(X)	60	375	-
Cobb.....	736	311	365	29	122	214	60
De Kalb.....	1,391	685	706	146	99	461	-
Fulton.....	5,102	2,473	2,229	670	289	1,270	400
Richmond.....	737	182	368	55	84	229	187
Idaho:							
Ada.....	349	295	-	(X)	(X)	-	54
Illinois:							
Champaign.....	295	260	-	(X)	(X)	-	35
Cook.....	36,551	35,942	-	(X)	(X)	-	609
Du Page.....	1,018	876	-	(X)	(X)	-	142
Kane.....	597	589	-	(X)	(X)	-	8
Lake.....	886	712	-	(X)	(X)	-	174
La Salle.....	274	270	-	(X)	(X)	-	4
McHenry.....	274	271	-	(X)	(X)	-	3
McLean.....	333	300	-	(X)	(X)	-	33
Macon.....	311	302	-	(X)	(X)	-	9
Madison.....	668	661	-	(X)	(X)	-	7
Peoria.....	384	336	-	(X)	(X)	-	48
Rock Island.....	327	250	-	(X)	(X)	-	77
St. Clair.....	591	591	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Sangamon.....	250	230	-	(X)	(X)	-	20
Tazewell.....	251	220	-	(X)	(X)	-	31
Will.....	1,047	1,014	-	(X)	(X)	-	33
Winnebago.....	583	556	-	(X)	(X)	-	27
Indiana:							
Allen.....	872	822	-	(X)	(X)	-	50
Delaware.....	275	275	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Elkhart.....	321	263	-	(X)	(X)	-	58
Lake.....	1,546	1,385	161	(X)	(X)	-	-
La Porte.....	287	256	-	(X)	(X)	-	31
Madison.....	298	298	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
St. Joseph.....	781	485	119	(X)	119	-	177
Tippecanoe.....	182	175	-	(X)	(X)	-	7
Vanderburgh.....	575	564	-	(X)	(X)	-	11
Vigo.....	579	570	-	(X)	(X)	-	9
Iowa:							
Black Hawk.....	313	309	4	(X)	(X)	4	-
Linn.....	276	264	7	(X)	(X)	7	5
Polk.....	859	792	29	(X)	(X)	29	38
Scott.....	305	245	8	(X)	(X)	8	52
Woodbury.....	407	307	98	(X)	(X)	98	2
Kansas:							
Johnson.....	552	209	322	153	77	92	21
Sedgwick.....	1,310	513	646	159	133	354	151
Shawnee.....	447	171	275	123	58	99	1
Wyandotte.....	619	270	349	20	161	168	-
Kentucky:							
Fayette.....	309	73	214	(X)	(X)	214	22
Jefferson.....	804	255	516	(X)	(X)	516	33
Kenton.....	84	36	45	(X)	(X)	45	3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Judicial Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Major trial court	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous
			Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	
Louisiana:							
Caddo.....	383	126	98	87	(X)	11	159
Calcasieu.....	513	312	56	(X)	(X)	56	145
Jefferson.....	1,881	165	393	90	(X)	303	1,322
Lafayette.....	267	131	56	(X)	(X)	56	80
Ouachita.....	202	48	29	(X)	(X)	29	125
Rapides.....	228	123	-	(X)	(X)	-	105
Maine:							
Cumberland.....	286	223	56	(X)	51	5	7
Penobscot.....	191	132	55	(X)	51	4	4
York.....	220	134	33	(X)	33	-	53
Maryland:							
Anne Arundel.....	600	435	11	(X)	11	-	154
Baltimore.....	1,086	684	402	(X)	28	374	-
Harford.....	411	152	3	(X)	3	-	256
Montgomery.....	1,510	857	49	(X)	-	49	604
Prince Georges.....	1,975	812	22	(X)	22	-	1,141
Washington.....	145	72	6	(X)	6	-	67
Massachusetts:							
Berkshire.....	494	169	291	(X)	11	280	34
Bristol.....	1,654	414	963	-	62	901	277
Essex.....	2,438	323	1,366	(X)	70	1,296	749
Hampden.....	1,895	657	951	92	64	795	287
Hampshire.....	450	194	220	(X)	17	203	36
Middlesex.....	7,454	2,109	4,361	(X)	152	4,209	984
Norfolk.....	2,729	1,177	1,499	(X)	171	1,328	53
Plymouth.....	1,586	393	823	(X)	96	727	370
Worcester.....	2,986	828	1,713	141	42	1,530	445
Michigan:							
Bay.....	592	213	327	(X)	162	165	52
Berrien.....	1,621	370	826	(X)	314	512	425
Calhoun.....	579	205	295	(X)	90	205	79
Genesee.....	2,392	813	1,498	(X)	714	784	81
Ingham.....	1,648	885	746	(X)	545	201	17
Jackson.....	668	323	275	(X)	136	139	70
Kalamazoo.....	726	366	259	(X)	147	112	101
Kent.....	1,232	494	615	(X)	488	127	123
Macomb.....	2,794	1,424	1,204	(X)	1,025	179	166
Monroe.....	681	229	393	(X)	135	258	39
Muskegon.....	817	388	348	(X)	179	169	81
Oakland.....	5,267	1,832	2,395	(X)	2,073	322	1,040
Ottawa.....	465	82	322	(X)	153	169	61
Saginaw.....	1,134	430	693	(X)	285	408	11
St. Clair.....	633	236	364	(X)	181	183	33
Washtenaw.....	1,654	532	853	(X)	579	274	289
Wayne.....	19,288	11,277	7,065	(X)	5,358	1,707	926
Minnesota:							
Anoka.....	660	644	-	(X)	-	-	16
Dakota.....	594	162	365	(X)	-	365	67
Hennepin.....	5,016	2,735	2,281	(X)	518	1,763	-
Ramsey.....	1,374	1,086	237	(X)	237	-	41
St. Louis.....	589	124	175	(X)	175	-	290
Mississippi:							
Harrison.....	678	350	318	168	(X)	150	10
Hinds.....	619	272	212	(X)	(X)	212	135
Missouri:							
Clay.....	247	154	92	(X)	47	45	1
Greene.....	260	142	118	(X)	59	59	-
Jackson.....	2,910	2,365	190	(X)	-	190	355
Jefferson.....	171	77	62	(X)	-	62	32
St. Louis.....	4,092	2,345	899	(X)	414	485	848

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Judicial Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Major trial court	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous
			Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	
Nebraska:							
Douglas.....	1,342	879	313	240	(X)	73	150
Lancaster.....	548	272	108	59	(X)	49	158
Novada:							
Clark.....	1,280	756	404	(X)	(X)	404	120
Washoe.....	620	297	176	(X)	(X)	176	147
New Hampshire:							
Hillsborough.....	682	348	55	(X)	55	-	279
Rockingham.....	241	212	29	(X)	29	-	-
New Jersey:							
Atlantic.....	918	229	216	-	93	123	473
Bergen.....	3,999	1,752	1,332	316	221	795	915
Burlington.....	731	242	178	42	66	70	311
Camden.....	1,793	1,198	348	84	91	173	247
Cumberland.....	480	228	121	44	51	26	131
Essex.....	7,546	3,920	1,767	337	318	1,112	1,859
Gloucester.....	409	-	100	-	58	42	163
Hudson.....	3,808	1,805	1,108	413	155	540	895
Mercer.....	1,331	919	233	46	99	88	179
Middlesex.....	2,369	608	500	-	116	384	1,261
Monmouth.....	1,453	277	416	91	156	169	760
Morris.....	1,019	485	324	95	135	94	210
Ocean.....	1,234	674	161	-	73	88	399
Passaic.....	2,439	458	813	239	151	423	1,168
Somerset.....	534	328	125	-	69	56	81
Union.....	2,793	2,031	660	195	154	311	102
New Mexico:							
Bernalillo.....	75	-	36	(X)	4	32	39
New York:							
Albany.....	736	256	381	157	74	150	99
Broome.....	464	50	342	160	71	111	72
Chautauqua.....	348	35	288	75	87	106	45
Chemung.....	346	133	183	65	28	90	30
Dutchess.....	1,073	246	433	149	111	173	394
Erie.....	3,237	908	1,650	725	406	519	679
Monroe.....	3,142	750	1,855	1,091	209	555	537
Nassau.....	13,032	2,451	8,103	1,630	688	5,785	2,478
Niagara.....	921	246	546	176	204	166	129
Oneida.....	697	225	320	128	81	111	152
Onondaga.....	1,699	531	841	412	147	282	327
Orange.....	848	21	415	203	76	136	412
Oswego.....	396	141	192	62	57	73	63
Rensselaer.....	476	24	266	110	79	77	186
Rockland.....	844	24	533	233	118	182	287
St. Lawrence.....	397	149	203	72	54	77	45
Saratoga.....	414	180	204	72	61	71	30
Schenectady.....	736	285	352	155	73	124	99
Suffolk.....	7,909	943	5,500	710	433	4,357	1,466
Ulster.....	430	118	285	77	63	145	27
Westchester.....	3,197	79	1,531	732	379	420	1,587
North Carolina:							
Buncombe.....	12	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	12
Cumberland.....	69	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	69
Durham.....	12	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	12
Forsyth.....	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-
Gaston.....	187	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	187
Guilford.....	295	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	295
Mecklenburg.....	919	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	919
Onslow.....	43	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	43
Wake.....	22	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	22

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Judicial Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Major trial court	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous
			Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	
Ohio:							
Allen.....	504	399	40	(X)	(X)	40	65
Butler.....	889	523	105	(X)	(X)	105	261
Clark.....	365	320	36	(X)	(X)	36	9
Columbiana.....	399	305	94	(X)	(X)	94	-
Cuyahoga.....	7,961	5,611	681	(X)	(X)	681	1,669
Franklin.....	2,550	1,462	223	(X)	(X)	223	865
Greene.....	482	388	47	(X)	(X)	47	47
Hamilton.....	3,888	3,572	216	(X)	(X)	216	100
Lake.....	715	596	69	(X)	(X)	69	50
Licking.....	499	392	102	(X)	(X)	102	5
Lorain.....	908	491	72	(X)	(X)	72	345
Lucas.....	2,798	1,949	165	(X)	(X)	165	684
Mahoning.....	1,045	551	176	(X)	(X)	176	318
Montgomery.....	2,389	1,481	257	(X)	(X)	257	651
Portage.....	539	295	232	(X)	(X)	232	12
Richland.....	513	481	-	(X)	(X)	-	32
Stark.....	1,043	889	102	(X)	(X)	102	52
Summit.....	2,569	2,383	156	(X)	(X)	156	30
Trumbull.....	782	663	118	(X)	(X)	118	1
Oklahoma:							
Comanche.....	201	177	-	(X)	(X)	-	24
Oklahoma.....	1,138	1,088	-	(X)	(X)	-	50
Tulsa.....	1,022	1,002	-	(X)	(X)	-	20
Oregon:							
Clackamas.....	474	204	82	(X)	(X)	82	188
Lane.....	1,693	1,053	81	(X)	(X)	81	559
Marion.....	504	236	106	(X)	(X)	106	162
Multnomah.....	3,488	1,690	1,209	(X)	(X)	1,209	589
Washington.....	381	132	122	(X)	(X)	122	127
Pennsylvania:							
Allegheny.....	8,731	7,314	745	(X)	(X)	745	672
Beaver.....	964	514	135	(X)	(X)	135	315
Berks.....	1,083	739	192	(X)	(X)	192	152
Blair.....	295	182	48	(X)	(X)	48	65
Bucks.....	1,990	1,190	560	(X)	(X)	560	240
Butler.....	376	246	68	(X)	(X)	68	62
Cambria.....	633	413	128	(X)	(X)	128	92
Chester.....	1,068	696	258	(X)	(X)	258	114
Cumberland.....	361	244	68	(X)	(X)	68	49
Dauphin.....	958	591	166	(X)	(X)	166	201
Delaware.....	2,862	1,986	505	(X)	(X)	505	371
Erie.....	1,043	644	206	(X)	(X)	206	193
Fayette.....	481	314	78	(X)	(X)	78	89
Franklin.....	260	160	36	(X)	(X)	36	64
Lackawanna.....	876	655	131	(X)	(X)	131	90
Lancaster.....	1,141	759	219	(X)	(X)	219	163
Lawrence.....	363	243	50	(X)	(X)	50	70
Lehigh.....	897	575	148	(X)	(X)	148	174
Luzerne.....	1,084	804	156	(X)	(X)	156	124
Lycoming.....	386	253	70	(X)	(X)	70	63
Mercer.....	456	318	77	(X)	(X)	77	61
Montgomery.....	3,466	2,346	593	(X)	(X)	593	527
Northampton.....	974	716	138	(X)	(X)	138	120
Schuylkill.....	553	387	46	(X)	(X)	46	120
Washington.....	708	437	161	(X)	(X)	161	110
Westmoreland.....	1,329	1,036	256	(X)	(X)	256	37
York.....	933	592	158	(X)	(X)	158	183
South Carolina:							
Anderson.....	297	75	152	-	31	121	70
Charleston.....	907	153	464	286	78	100	290
Greenville.....	640	14	515	208	56	251	111
Richland.....	1,111	88	788	232	58	498	235
Spartanburg.....	551	57	388	74	61	253	106

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Judicial Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Major trial court	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous
			Total	Juvenile court	Probate court	Other	
Tennessee:							
Hamilton.....	1,252	547	395	231	(X)	164	310
Knox.....	1,003	261	407	180	31	196	335
Shelby.....	3,026	802	1,180	624	141	415	1,044
Sullivan.....	317	103	26	(X)	(X)	26	188
Texas:							
Bell.....	237	111	98	(X)	(X)	98	28
Bexar.....	1,560	701	365	(X)	(X)	365	494
Brazoria.....	282	147	114	26	(X)	88	21
Cameron.....	345	143	78	(X)	(X)	78	124
Dallas.....	5,017	1,466	1,480	106	146	1,228	2,071
El Paso.....	592	198	243	34	(X)	209	151
Galveston.....	638	252	229	28	(X)	201	157
Harris.....	8,292	2,241	1,415	108	178	1,129	4,636
Hidalgo.....	396	177	112	(X)	(X)	112	107
Jefferson.....	971	436	357	44	(X)	313	178
Lubbock.....	345	148	108	(X)	(X)	108	89
McLennan.....	314	157	99	(X)	(X)	99	48
Nueces.....	946	215	575	128	(X)	447	156
Tarrant.....	2,572	280	827	164	45	618	1,465
Travis.....	865	285	185	(X)	(X)	185	395
Wichita.....	255	111	105	(X)	(X)	105	39
Utah:							
Salt Lake.....	994	179	388	(X)	(X)	388	427
Utah.....	73	28	39	(X)	(X)	39	6
Weber.....	137	59	10	(X)	(X)	10	68
Virginia:							
Arlington.....	872	159	531	160	(X)	371	182
Fairfax.....	1,370	345	417	284	(X)	133	608
Henrico.....	446	230	33	-	(X)	33	183
Prince William.....	355	60	2	-	(X)	2	293
Washington:							
Clark.....	533	201	189	(X)	(X)	189	143
King.....	4,883	1,957	1,437	(X)	(X)	1,437	1,489
Kitsap.....	376	237	129	(X)	(X)	129	10
Pierce.....	1,301	814	381	(X)	(X)	381	106
Snohomish.....	1,159	352	534	(X)	(X)	534	273
Spokane.....	946	628	291	(X)	(X)	291	27
Yakima.....	569	271	177	(X)	(X)	177	121
West Virginia:							
Cabell.....	283	72	148	(X)	(X)	148	63
Kanawha.....	467	166	301	48	(X)	253	-
Wisconsin:							
Brown.....	458	396	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	62
Dane.....	984	977	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	7
Kenosha.....	464	344	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	120
Milwaukee.....	6,927	6,050	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	877
Outagamie.....	295	274	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	21
Racine.....	576	557	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	19
Rock.....	500	447	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	53
Waukesha.....	621	468	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	153
Winnebago.....	371	302	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	69

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
X Not applicable.

Table 30. Judicial Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TOTAL	283 645	278 742	251 438	27 304	1,312	25,992	-	4 903	2 617	2 286
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM.....	268	268	254	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN.....	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE.....	95	67	67	-	-	-	28	-	-	28
MOBILE.....	256	199	199	-	-	-	57	-	-	57
MONTGOMERY.....	275	77	77	-	-	-	198	-	-	198
TUSCALOOSA.....	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MESA.....	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX.....	1 080	1 080	1 074	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE.....	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE.....	98	93	97	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON.....	256	256	255	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH.....	29	29	27	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK.....	161	76	75	1	1	-	85	-	-	85
NORTH LITTLE ROCK.....	114	67	64	3	3	-	47	-	-	47
PINE BLUFF.....	56	43	43	-	-	-	13	-	-	13
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY.....	57	57	54	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKELAND.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Judicial Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	8 274	8 274	8 155	119	51	68	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
AURORA	115	115	114	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	172	172	162	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	2 441	2 441	2 349	92	11	81	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	81	81	71	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	281	281	281	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	18 271	18 271	18 271	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	52	52	51	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	359	359	353	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	55	55	54	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	128	128	128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	3 755	3 755	3 742	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	263	263	261	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	178	178	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	386	386	386	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Judicial Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	917	917	914	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	52	52	51	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	1 130	1 130	1 076	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
HACON	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	92	92	90	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	66	11	11	-	-	-	-	55	-	55
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	238	238	238	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	261	261	252	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	167	167	163	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	3 912	3 912	3 912	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	277	277	274	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	103	103	102	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	224	224	223	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	691	691	685	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	181	181	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIoux CITY	171	171	169	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	93	93	92	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	58	58	57	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	216	216	216	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	63	63	59	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	331	331	331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	38	38	37	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Judicial Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	3 633	3 633	2 615	1 018	68	950	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	87	87	81	6	5	1	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	4 387	4 387	4 349	38	25	13	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	294	294	288	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	5 018	5 018	5 011	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	10 354	10 354	10 184	170	84	86	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	435	435	435	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	168	168	167	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	232	232	229	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	7 218	6 375	6 357	18	18	-	843	-	843	-
FLINT	447	447	442	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	517	517	517	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	300	300	298	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING	484	484	484	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	239	239	239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	356	356	354	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	135	135	134	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	126	126	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	365	365	352	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	97	97	96	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	131	131	127	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	100	100	96	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	339	339	300	39	4	35	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	278	278	273	5	4	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	103	103	99	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	264	264	258	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	660	660	656	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
JACKSON	149	149	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Judicial Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	766	766	758	8	1	7	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	4 462	4 462	4 441	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	305	305	301	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
OMAHA	517	517	514	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	253	253	252	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	150	150	145	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	126	126	110	16	-	16	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	288	288	287	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	143	143	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	312	312	312	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	699	699	698	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	242	242	242	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	127	127	127	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	107	107	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	277	277	243	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	232	232	230	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	115	115	114	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	7 234	7 234	1 000	6 234	13	6 221	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	205	205	204	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	178	178	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	109 461	107 237	89 149	18 088	1	18 088	2 224	-	2 224	-
NIAGARA FALLS	172	172	171	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	695	695	689	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	510	510	506	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	98	98	96	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	196	196	196	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	209	209	209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	620	620	620	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Judicial Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON	739	739	738	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON	313	313	311	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	2 101	1 930	1 930	-	-	-	171	-	-	171
CLEVELAND	2 618	2 618	2 618	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	1 877	1 877	1 866	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	784	784	784	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	157	157	151	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	108	108	106	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	112	112	110	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	171	171	117	54	20	34	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	187	187	186	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	222	222	222	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	252	252	247	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	270	270	221	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	831	831	822	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	242	242	242	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	402	402	395	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	319	319	315	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	175	175	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	81	81	80	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	34 176	34 176	33 856	320	291	29	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	354	354	353	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	170	170	169	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	215	215	165	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	62	62	61	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	168	168	167	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	839	337	329	8	8	-	502	-	-	502
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	3 291	3 291	3 229	62	62	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Judicial Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	134	134	133	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	169	169	163	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	329	329	327	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	139	139	137	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	1 122	1 122	1 122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	211	211	210	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	192	192	192	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	68	68	67	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	1 215	1 215	1 075	140	8	132	-	-	-	-
IRVING	81	81	78	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	42	32	32	-	-	-	10	-	-	10
LUBBOCK	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	322	322	97	225	1	224	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	45	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	715	715	688	27	27	-	1	-	-	1
TYLER	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	87	87	86	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	161	161	159	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
PROVO	71	71	70	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	451	451	449	2	-	2	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	620	620	609	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	495	400	396	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	701	427	424	3	3	-	95	-	-	95
LYNCHBURG	179	179	178	1	1	-	274	-	-	274
NEWPORT NEWS	631	631	626	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	1 240	1 199	1 199	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	566	566	564	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	2 519	2 519	2 519	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	624	624	615	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	841	841	827	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	1 199	1 199	1 169	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	163	163	162	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	273	273	271	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	307	7	7	-	-	-	300	-	-	300
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 31. Detail of Judicial Employment and Payrolls of State Governments: October 1973

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State	Total judicial				Courts of last resort				Intermediate appellate courts			
	Number of employees			October payroll ¹	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	23,602	21,726	22,977	29,201	2,220	2,182	2,182	2,783	1,965	1,950	1,950	2,959
Alabama.....	302	93	258	309	44	44	44	53	29	29	29	38
Alaska.....	432	322	353	432	38	34	35	49	(X)	(X)	(X)	38
Arizona.....	133	74	129	165	32	32	32	40	38	38	38	51
Arkansas.....	83	81	83	135	27	27	27	39	(X)	(X)	(X)	3
California.....	798	326	798	1,079	76	76	76	106	210	207	207	353
Col. Yado.....	1,135	1,061	1,077	967	42	42	42	60	22	22	22	30
Connecticut.....	1,267	1,182	1,189	1,089	37	37	37	64	(X)	(X)	(X)	86
Delaware.....	504	478	486	595	12	12	12	16	(X)	(X)	(X)	17
Florida.....	1,213	1,180	1,182	1,831	85	64	64	75	97	97	97	131
Georgia.....	378	378	378	430	34	34	34	48	42	42	42	63
Hawaii.....	480	479	479	474	36	36	36	47	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Idaho.....	163	153	155	193	46	44	44	44	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Illinois.....	1,293	1,280	1,289	2,128	59	98	98	110	199	199	199	292
Indiana.....	278	105	278	354	42	42	42	40	40	40	40	47
Iowa.....	136	136	136	247	40	40	40	46	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Kansas.....	210	177	178	219	43	43	43	52	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Kentucky.....	295	294	294	317	51	50	50	61	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Louisiana.....	396	349	368	429	41	41	41	52	102	101	101	126
Maine.....	194	176	183	174	12	12	12	17	(X)	(X)	(X)	56
Maryland.....	989	989	989	1,085	32	32	32	45	40	40	40	44
Massachusetts.....	542	531	533	614	63	46	47	70	29	29	29	44
Michigan.....	515	515	515	927	97	97	97	155	116	116	116	188
Minnesota.....	135	135	135	281	55	55	55	78	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Mississippi.....	107	99	100	148	36	36	36	38	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Missouri.....	737	724	725	781	117	106	107	102	60	58	58	71
Montana.....	49	49	49	70	19	19	19	20	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Nebraska.....	438	421	425	384	31	29	29	34	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Nevada.....	49	48	48	78	23	23	23	29	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
New Hampshire.....	72	72	72	97	15	15	15	23	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
New Jersey.....	705	698	699	985	40	40	40	63	60	60	60	104
New Mexico.....	386	386	386	292	19	19	19	24	18	18	18	22
New York.....	1,641	1,641	1,644	2,898	86	88	86	126	495	495	495	798
North Carolina.....	2,126	2,110	2,126	1,593	36	29	33	52	33	24	30	45
North Dakota.....	52	52	52	74	24	24	24	26	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Ohio.....	430	138	332	459	59	59	59	77	38	38	38	89
Oklahoma.....	386	386	386	429	84	84	84	95	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Oregon.....	229	193	198	330	40	40	40	50	23	23	23	29
Pennsylvania.....	1,033	1,024	1,029	1,781	90	90	90	122	77	77	77	109
Rhode Island.....	425	419	421	372	46	41	42	46	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
South Carolina.....	63	63	63	101	23	23	23	28	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
South Dakota.....	39	39	39	60	18	18	18	21	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Tennessee.....	310	310	310	285	51	51	51	42	38	38	38	40
Texas.....	431	430	430	772	96	96	96	120	114	114	114	178
Utah.....	120	107	110	128	17	13	13	14	17	(X)	(X)	(X)
Vermont.....	182	168	172	170	13	13	13	19	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Virginia.....	938	936	936	1,020	44	44	44	56	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Washington.....	221	213	214	257	48	47	47	63	45	45	45	59
West Virginia.....	96	89	91	125	21	21	21	34	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Wisconsin.....	409	388	405	588	44	43	43	53	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Wyoming.....	47	46	46	70	15	15	15	20	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 31. Detail of Judicial Employment and Payrolls of State Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State	Major trial courts				Other courts				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	10,784	9,454	10,511	15,530	6,512	6,280	6,341	5,831	1,112	1,880	1,904	2,126
Alabama.....	97	-	97	136	-	-	-	-	132	20	80	82
Alaska.....	142	133	135	172	139	80	100	123	113	75	85	87
Arizona.....	63	4	59	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arkansas.....	53	53	53	100	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	3
California.....	469	-	469	1,156	-	-	-	-	43	43	43	64
Colorado.....	940	867	883	775	83	82	82	69	48	48	48	43
Connecticut.....	395	353	371	353	723	665	672	566	110	107	108	86
Delaware.....	104	95	97	105	366	350	356	258	22	21	21	17
Florida.....	619	610	613	1,035	-	-	-	-	412	409	410	579
Georgia.....	286	286	286	306	1	1	1	1	15	15	15	12
Hawaii.....	235	235	235	254	197	197	197	161	12	11	11	12
Idaho.....	117	109	111	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Illinois.....	953	953	953	1,684	-	-	-	-	42	30	33	43
Indiana.....	173	-	173	249	-	-	-	-	23	23	23	19
Iowa.....	83	83	83	190	-	-	-	-	13	13	13	11
Kansas.....	130	130	130	162	-	-	-	-	37	4	5	5
Kentucky.....	240	240	240	254	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	3
Louisiana.....	198	194	195	210	49	7	18	35	6	6	6	6
Maine.....	49	49	49	57	128	110	118	95	5	5	5	5
Maryland.....	59	59	59	170	839	839	839	794	19	19	19	20
Massachusetts.....	72	68	68	147	386	386	386	351	2	2	2	2
Michigan.....	126	126	126	281	171	171	171	299	5	5	5	5
Minnesota.....	72	72	72	195	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	7
Mississippi.....	63	57	58	106	-	-	-	-	8	6	6	5
Missouri.....	31	216	216	298	344	344	344	311	-	-	-	-
Montana.....	28	28	28	49	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	1
Nebraska.....	88	88	88	145	311	297	300	198	8	7	7	6
Nevada.....	23	23	23	47	-	-	-	-	3	2	2	2
New Hampshire.....	27	27	27	52	30	30	30	22	-	-	-	-
New Jersey.....	342	335	336	483	-	-	-	-	263	263	263	335
New Mexico.....	196	196	196	162	70	70	70	43	83	83	83	42
New York.....	600	600	600	1,329	197	197	197	316	273	263	267	328
North Carolina.....	1,231	1,231	1,231	843	779	779	779	609	47	47	47	45
North Dakota.....	25	25	25	45	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	4
Ohio.....	292	-	194	288	-	-	-	-	41	41	41	25
Oklahoma.....	302	302	302	334	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon.....	159	125	129	245	5	5	5	6	2	-	1	1
Pennsylvania.....	280	271	274	914	577	577	577	629	9	9	9	11
Rhode Island.....	86	86	86	95	163	163	163	144	130	129	129	88
South Carolina.....	39	39	39	72	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	(2)
South Dakota.....	21	21	21	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee.....	171	171	171	176	-	-	-	-	50	50	50	27
Texas.....	203	203	203	460	-	-	-	-	18	17	17	14
Utah.....	48	47	47	70	55	47	49	41	-	-	-	-
Vermont.....	24	24	24	31	103	69	93	66	42	42	42	34
Virginia.....	98	98	98	288	794	794	794	674	2	-	1	(2)
Washington.....	98	98	98	110	-	-	-	-	30	23	24	25
West Virginia.....	96	89	91	125	-	-	-	-	7	6	7	8
Wisconsin.....	321	332	347	590	-	-	-	-	14	14	14	15
Wyoming.....	20	20	20	48	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	2

Table 32. Detail of Judicial Employment and Payrolls of
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County	Total judicial activities				Major trial courts				Courts of limited jurisdiction			
		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Total			October payroll
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Number of employees			
										Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Michigan:													
1	Bay	53	46	53	38	17	15	17	11	34	29	34	24
2	Berrien	166	146	166	113	38	35	38	27	88	81	86	59
3	Calhoun	59	59	59	45	23	23	23	18	27	27	27	20
4	Genesee	163	158	163	149	32	32	32	30	125	120	124	117
5	Ingham	112	102	112	91	41	37	41	35	68	62	68	54
6	Jackson	55	48	55	42	27	24	27	20	23	19	23	19
7	Kalamazoo	61	51	61	51	29	25	28	24	25	19	23	21
8	Kent	116	88	112	85	51	39	46	35	63	48	63	50
9	Macomb	216	216	216	183	102	102	102	84	109	105	105	90
10	Monroe	65	57	65	46	24	22	24	16	37	31	37	27
11	Muskegon	98	89	98	75	41	38	39	33	53	46	50	38
12	Oakland	318	288	300	278	95	92	94	83	217	190	200	187
13	Ottawa	51	42	51	32	8	8	8	6	38	34	37	25
14	Saginaw	117	105	117	88	37	33	37	29	80	72	80	59
15	St. Clair	58	51	58	48	18	16	18	15	37	32	35	32
16	Washtenaw	147	134	137	121	47	44	45	42	71	71	71	63
17	Wayne	965	931	939	1,122	377	357	360	441	512	505	508	598
Minnesota:													
18	Anoka	41	39	40	42	41	39	39	42	-	-	-	-
19	Dakota	53	37	39	42	16	5	8	9	29	26	27	28
20	Hennepin	344	329	332	321	186	172	175	154	158	157	157	167
21	Ramsay	119	114	116	107	100	95	87	86	17	17	17	20
22	St. Louis	59	58	56	44	13	13	13	10	16	16	16	14
Mississippi:													
23	Harrison	30	30	30	22	9	9	9	6	21	21	21	16
24	Hinds	47	42	46	31	25	21	25	14	11	10	10	11
Missouri:													
25	Clay	28	23	24	13	12	12	12	7	14	11	11	7
26	Greene	43	38	42	22	22	17	21	11	21	21	21	11
27	Jackson	291	290	290	177	210	209	209	140	30	30	30	15
28	Jefferson	23	23	23	10	11	11	11	5	10	10	10	4
29	St. Louis	405	380	395	272	196	174	186	141	114	114	114	73
Nebraska:													
30	Douglas	101	86	89	65	63	48	51	35	23	23	23	18
31	Lancaster	53	44	46	34	32	24	26	16	6	4	4	5
Nevada:													
32	Clark	98	90	92	69	39	33	34	34	41	41	41	30
33	Washoe	47	38	39	37	12	12	12	11	17	14	14	15
New Hampshire:													
34	Hillsborough	39	38	38	21	14	14	14	7	8	7	8	4
35	Rockingham	12	11	11	9	8	8	8	7	4	3	4	2
New Jersey:													
36	Atlantic	86	82	82	69	16	15	15	17	27	27	27	18
37	Bergen	373	373	373	329	164	164	164	162	142	142	142	111
38	Burlington	64	31	46	46	10	8	9	17	21	20	20	16
39	Camden	204	202	203	137	152	150	151	106	45	45	45	29
40	Cumberland	54	53	53	39	35	29	12	15	14	14	10	10
41	Essex	646	646	646	564	297	297	297	269	190	190	190	152
42	Gloucester	35	19	30	16	6	-	6	4	12	12	12	6
43	Hudson	408	408	408	323	127	127	127	115	141	141	141	94
44	Mercer	104	104	104	84	81	81	81	70	20	20	20	13
45	Middlesex	256	256	256	199	41	41	41	51	42	42	42	34
46	Monmouth	164	164	164	111	24	24	24	27	45	45	45	33
47	Morris	126	74	84	70	51	31	37	42	41	40	40	25
48	Ocean	98	96	97	72	52	50	51	47	27	27	27	14
49	Passaic	214	212	213	192	37	36	36	45	76	76	76	68
50	Somerset	41	40	40	33	23	23	23	23	16	16	16	9
51	Union	248	248	248	234	162	162	162	174	80	80	80	58
New Mexico:													
52	Bernalillo	11	9	10	6	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	5	4	5	3
New York:													
53	Albany	76	56	64	40	15	-	5	4	41	40	40	29
54	Brockton	49	40	41	31	6	-	-	(2)	35	32	32	25
55	Chautauque	32	23	26	25	2	1	1	1	26	18	20	22
56	Chester	14	14	14	12	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	11
57	Dutchess	56	55	55	52	2	2	2	2	36	35	35	37
58	Essex	203	195	197	208	26	26	26	21	135	128	129	144
59	Monroe	210	210	210	197	38	38	38	30	134	134	134	131
60	Nassau	871	865	867	1,050	164	164	164	222	587	584	585	698
61	Niagara	74	47	54	45	1	-	-	(2)	59	38	43	37
62	Oneida	54	54	54	42	10	10	10	6	28	28	28	25
63	Onondaga	91	89	89	81	7	7	7	5	77	76	76	71
64	Orange	53	52	52	62	2	2	2	2	30	30	30	43

See footnotes at end of table.

312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued
(in thousands)

Line number	County	Courts of limited jurisdiction--Continued												Miscellaneous				
		Juvenile court				Probate court				Other courts				Number of employees				
		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent						
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	11	10	11	10	23	19	23	14	2	2	2	2	1
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	33	30	33	26	55	51	53	33	30	30	30	26	2
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	7	7	7	7	20	20	20	13	9	9	9	6	3
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	52	48	52	52	73	72	72	65	6	6	6	2	4
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	52	48	52	43	16	14	16	11	3	3	3	2	6
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	11	9	11	12	12	10	12	7	5	5	5	3	6
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	10	8	10	12	15	11	13	9	7	7	7	6	7
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	50	37	50	43	13	11	13	7	2	1	1	1	8
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	92	92	92	81	13	13	13	9	9	9	9	9	9
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	13	13	13	9	24	18	24	18	4	4	4	3	10
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	19	17	19	16	34	29	31	22	5	5	5	5	11
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	190	164	174	164	27	26	26	23	6	6	6	7	12
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	18	17	18	14	20	17	19	11	5	-	-	2	13
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	28	26	28	26	52	46	52	33	-	-	-	-	14
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	17	16	17	18	20	16	18	14	3	3	3	3	16
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	47	47	47	46	24	24	24	17	29	19	21	15	16
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	365	358	361	422	147	147	147	176	76	69	71	83	17
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	27	26	27	28	29	26	27	28	8	6	7	5	19
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	29	28	28	28	129	129	129	139	-	-	-	-	20
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	17	17	17	20	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	1	21
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	16	16	16	14	-	-	-	-	30	27	27	19	22
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	14	14	14	10	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	7	7	7	-	23
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	11	10	10	11	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	11	10	10	11	24
		(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	7	4	4										

Table 32. Detail of Judicial Employment and Payrolls of

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County	Total judicial activities				Major trial courts				Courts of limited jurisdiction			
		Number of employees			October payroll ¹	Number of employees			October payroll	Total			October payroll
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Number of employees			
										Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
South Carolina:													
1	Anderson.....	44	25	33	21	-	-	-	25	16	21	15	15
2	Charleston.....	107	64	81	62	10	10	10	49	48	48	39	39
3	Greenville.....	107	69	77	47	3	1	2	98	62	69	43	43
4	Richland.....	119	111	113	73	3	1	2	100	94	95	63	63
5	Spartanburg.....	74	43	60	41	1	1	1	48	29	33	31	31
Tennessee:													
6	Hamilton.....	145	114	118	77	46	46	46	49	49	49	30	30
7	Knox.....	123	106	111	60	35	29	31	128	60	51	85	85
8	Shelby.....	296	291	292	214	76	75	75	2	2	2	2	2
9	Sullivan.....	33	32	32	21	14	13	13	8	8	8	8	8
Texas:													
10	Bell.....	32	22	26	18	11	9	10	12	10	11	8	8
11	Bexar.....	175	159	174	120	108	97	108	71	27	27	25	25
12	Brazoria.....	41	28	33	19	17	17	17	10	23	10	8	8
13	Cameron.....	63	40	47	27	18	15	15	10	15	10	6	6
14	Dallas.....	386	379	381	356	159	159	159	193	98	95	116	116
15	El Paso.....	64	57	59	43	36	36	36	25	21	20	16	16
16	Galveston.....	91	68	75	55	27	24	26	20	30	24	21	21
17	Harris.....	828	802	828	661	279	253	279	196	185	185	166	166
18	Hidalgo.....	57	45	47	27	23	21	21	13	15	12	9	9
19	Jefferson.....	84	65	79	64	38	31	36	25	25	17	24	24
20	Lubbock.....	46	36	40	25	16	16	16	7	13	14	10	10
21	McLennan.....	39	22	29	18	17	13	14	9	15	6	7	7
22	Nueces.....	112	93	101	66	33	26	30	19	74	65	68	68
23	Tarrant.....	198	192	197	163	18	12	18	12	68	68	59	59
24	Travis.....	113	96	102	68	35	28	32	20	17	17	13	13
25	Wichita.....	34	25	29	17	12	12	12	8	21	13	18	18
Utah:													
26	Salt Lake.....	77	72	73	39	3	3	3	2	9	8	7	7
27	Utah.....	13	2	5	3	2	2	2	1	11	3	2	2
28	Weber.....	15	12	13	8	5	3	4	3	-	-	-	-
Virginia:													
29	Arlington.....	46	36	41	37	4	4	4	3	22	12	17	16
30	Fairfax.....	137	137	137	117	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	22
31	Henrico.....	32	32	32	24	16	16	16	10	-	-	-	-
32	Prince William.....	27	27	27	20	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
Washington:													
33	Clark.....	53	52	52	43	15	15	15	14	19	19	17	17
34	King.....	436	397	407	330	133	128	129	127	153	125	132	105
35	Kitsap.....	39	29	31	23	21	18	19	14	18	11	12	9
36	Pierce.....	116	87	109	80	68	55	68	51	41	26	30	23
37	Snohomish.....	119	113	115	76	22	22	22	19	69	64	38	38
38	Spokane.....	96	89	91	67	64	57	64	46	31	31	21	21
39	Yakima.....	68	60	62	42	26	23	23	19	23	20	21	14
West Virginia:													
40	Cabell.....	20	20	20	16	8	8	8	5	5	5	5	6
41	Kanawha.....	47	47	47	33	24	24	24	13	23	23	23	20
Wisconsin:													
42	Brown.....	37	23	28	21	32	18	24	17	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
43	Dane.....	94	81	89	74	93	80	88	73	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
44	Konosha.....	35	27	32	22	31	23	29	19	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
45	Milwaukee.....	396	394	394	397	290	298	288	284	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
46	Outagamie.....	35	18	25	17	30	18	22	15	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
47	Rock.....	53	31	39	23	46	31	38	25	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
48	Waukesha.....	67	51	64	44	54	38	41	22	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
49	Winnebago.....	33	24	27	18	29	20	23	14	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 X Not applicable.
 2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.
 1 Due to rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

(in thousands)

Line number	Courts of limited jurisdiction—Continued													Miscellaneous			
	Juvenile court				Probate court				Other courts				Number of employees				
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent						
-	-	-	-	4	4	4	3	21	12	17	12	19	9	12	7	1	
34	34	34	24	8	7	7	6	7	7	7	8	48	6	24	17	2	
24	24	24	16	8	8	8	4	66	30	37	23	8	6	6	3	3	
26	26	26	19	6	6	6	4	68	62	63	40	16	16	16	9	4	
5	5	5	5	8	8	8	6	35	16	20	20	25	13	16	10	5	
31	31	31	17	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	18	18	18	13	50	19	23	16	6	
25	24	24	15	5	5	5	2	30	22	24	13	28	26	27	13	7	
74	70	71	46	11	11	11	10	43	43	43	29	92	92	92	72	8	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	2	2	2	2	17	17	17	11	9	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	12	10	11	8	9	3	6	4	10	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	27	27	27	25	40	35	36	24	11	
-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	23	10	15	8	1	1	1	(2)	12	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	15	10	12	6	30	15	20	12	13	
4	4	4	9	10	10	10	13	84	81	82	94	129	125	126	107	14	
2	2	2	3	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	21	20	20	16	7	1	3	1	15	
2	2	2	2	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	28	22	24	18	34	20	23	14	16	
26	26	26	26	14	14	14	18	145	145	145	121	364	364	364	299	17	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	15	12	12	8	19	12	14	6	18	
2	2	2	2	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	23	15	22	23	21	17	18	13	19	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	16	13	14	10	14	7	9	7	20	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	15	6	10	7	7	3	5	2	21	
7	7	7	5	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	67	58	61	39	5	2	3	2	22	
4	4	4	9	4	4	4	4	60	60	60	46	112	112	112	92	23	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	17	17	17	13	61	51	54	35	24	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	21	13	18	9	1	-	-	(2)	25	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	9	8	8	7	65	61	62	30	26	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	11	3	2	-	-	-	-	27		
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	10	9	9	9	5	28	
2	2	2	2	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	20	10	15	15	20	20	20	18	29	
22	22	22	16	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	115	115	115	101	30	
-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	16	16	16	14	31	
-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-	26	26	26	19	32	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	19	19	19	17	19	18	18	12	33	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	153	125	132	105	150	144	146	106	34	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	18	11	12	9	-	-	-	35		
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	41	26	30	23	7	6	6	6	36	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	69	64	65	38	28	27	28	18	37	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	31	31	31	21	1	1	1	1	38	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	23	20	21	14	19	17	17	9	39	
(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	5	5	5	6	7	7	7	5	40	
5	5	5															

Table 33. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	540 316	540 316	535 134	5 182	4 941	1 884	3 057
STATES	145 805	143 417	141 101	2 316	2 388	(X)	2 388
LOCAL, TOTAL	398 783	396 899	394 033	2 866	2 553	1 884	669
COUNTIES	230 066	227 860	225 862	1 997	2 206	1 852	354
MUNICIPALITIES	169 386	169 039	168 171	868	347	32	315
ALABAMA	4 277	4 277	4 241	35	-	-	-
STATE	1 868	1 868	1 860	8	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 409	2 409	2 381	27	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 298	1 298	1 286	12	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 110	1 110	1 095	15	-	-	-
ALASKA	3 535	3 535	3 506	29	6	-	6
STATE	2 710	2 704	2 687	17	6	(X)	6
LOCAL, TOTAL	831	831	819	12	-	-	-
COUNTIES	355	355	346	9	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	476	476	473	3	-	-	-
ARIZONA	6 250	6 250	6 165	86	8	-	8
STATE	943	943	929	14	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 307	5 307	5 236	72	8	-	8
COUNTIES	3 328	3 328	3 263	65	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 977	1 979	1 973	6	8	-	8
ARKANSAS	2 171	2 171	2 140	31	2	2	-
STATE	668	668	658	10	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 505	1 503	1 482	21	2	2	-
COUNTIES	782	780	774	6	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES	723	723	708	15	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	100 322	100 322	99 429	893	25	-	25
STATE	13 707	13 707	13 427	280	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	86 615	86 615	86 002	613	25	-	25
COUNTIES	66 089	66 087	65 652	435	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	20 551	20 528	20 350	178	22	-	22
COLORADO	6 681	6 681	6 605	76	335	-	335
STATE	769	769	760	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 912	5 912	5 845	67	335	-	335
COUNTIES	3 333	2 998	2 963	35	335	-	335
MUNICIPALITIES	2 913	2 913	2 881	32	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	6 561	6 561	6 544	17	51	-	51
STATE	3 875	3 824	3 824	-	51	(X)	51
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 737	2 737	2 720	17	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 737	2 737	2 720	17	-	-	-
DELAWARE	1 223	1 223	1 207	16	-	-	-
STATE	847	847	831	16	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	376	376	376	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	99	99	99	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	277	277	277	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	9 424	9 424	9 424	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 424	9 424	9 424	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	9 424	9 424	9 424	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	19 577	19 577	19 146	431	-	-	-
STATE	8 689	8 689	8 382	307	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 888	10 888	10 764	124	-	-	-
COUNTIES	6 529	6 529	6 418	110	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4 359	4 359	4 345	14	-	-	-
GEORGIA	8 232	8 232	8 169	62	1	1	-
STATE	2 582	2 582	2 566	16	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 651	5 650	5 603	46	1	1	-
COUNTIES	4 270	4 269	4 229	40	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 380	1 380	1 374	6	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Section V. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

Table 33. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	3 993	3 993	3 991	2	-	-	
STATE	1 251	1 251	1 251	-	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 742	2 742	2 740	2	-	-	
COUNTIES	545	545	543	2	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	2 197	2 197	2 197	-	-	-	
IDAHO	1 608	1 608	1 582	26	-	-	
STATE	319	319	311	8	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 289	1 289	1 271	18	-	-	
COUNTIES	896	896	881	15	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	393	393	390	3	-	-	
ILLINOIS	27 303	27 303	27 165	138	1 208	1 207	
STATE	8 153	6 946	6 901	45	1 207	(X) 1 207	
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 358	20 357	20 264	93	1	1	
COUNTIES	13 364	13 364	13 290	74	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	6 995	6 994	6 974	20	1	1	
INDIANA	7 955	7 955	7 899	56	26	26	
STATE	2 932	2 932	2 908	24	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 049	5 023	4 991	32	26	26	
COUNTIES	2 679	2 653	2 637	16	26	26	
MUNICIPALITIES	2 370	2 370	2 354	16	-	-	
IOWA	4 983	4 983	4 956	27	1	1	
STATE	1 118	1 118	1 103	15	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 865	3 865	3 853	12	1	1	
COUNTIES	2 641	2 640	2 635	5	1	1	
MUNICIPALITIES	1 225	1 225	1 218	7	-	-	
KANSAS	5 666	5 666	5 469	197	2	1	
STATE	2 322	2 322	2 159	163	-	(X) 2	
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 346	3 344	3 310	34	2	1	
COUNTIES	2 154	2 152	2 126	26	2	1	
MUNICIPALITIES	1 192	1 192	1 184	8	-	-	
KENTUCKY	4 603	4 603	4 553	50	1	1	
STATE	1 518	1 518	1 506	12	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 086	3 085	3 047	38	1	1	
COUNTIES	1 858	1 856	1 846	10	1	1	
MUNICIPALITIES	1 229	1 229	1 201	29	-	-	
LOUISIANA	8 728	8 728	8 221	506	2	2	
STATE	5 006	5 006	4 540	466	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 723	3 722	3 681	40	2	2	
COUNTIES	1 885	1 883	1 858	25	2	2	
MUNICIPALITIES	1 838	1 838	1 823	15	-	-	
MAINE	1 299	1 299	1 291	8	1	1	
STATE	951	951	946	5	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	348	348	345	3	1	1	
COUNTIES	161	160	157	3	1	1	
MUNICIPALITIES	188	188	188	-	-	-	
MARYLAND	9 700	9 700	9 623	78	-	-	
STATE	1 417	1 417	1 385	32	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 283	8 283	8 238	46	-	-	
COUNTIES	4 355	4 355	4 328	27	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	3 929	3 929	3 909	19	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS	10 981	10 981	10 873	108	13	13	
STATE	4 616	4 603	4 563	40	13	(X) 13	
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 378	6 378	6 310	68	-	-	
COUNTIES	1 503	1 503	1 478	25	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	4 875	4 875	4 832	43	-	-	
MICHIGAN	23 360	23 360	23 200	160	218	218	
STATE	5 239	5 239	5 230	9	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 121	18 121	17 970	151	218	218	
COUNTIES	11 555	11 555	11 421	134	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	6 784	6 566	6 549	17	218	218	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	8 527	8 527	8 490	38	3	2	
STATE	1 442	1 442	1 423	19	-	(X) 1	
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 086	7 085	7 067	19	3	2	
COUNTIES	3 892	3 889	3 878	11	1	1	
MUNICIPALITIES	3 196	3 196	3 188	8	3	2	
MISSISSIPPI	2 107	2 107	2 078	29	-	-	
STATE	1 214	1 214	1 186	28	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	893	893	892	1	-	-	
COUNTIES	466	466	466	-	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	427	427	426	1	-	-	
MISSOURI	8 148	8 148	8 066	82	-	-	
STATE	1 071	1 071	1 063	8	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 077	7 077	7 003	74	-	-	
COUNTIES	3 474	3 474	3 443	31	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	3 603	3 603	3 559	43	-	-	
MONTANA	1 745	1 745	1 707	39	-	-	
STATE	634	634	617	17	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 111	1 111	1 090	22	-	-	
COUNTIES	813	813	792	21	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	299	299	298	1	-	-	
NEBRASKA	3 586	3 586	3 546	40	-	-	
STATE	337	337	333	4	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 249	3 249	3 213	36	-	-	
COUNTIES	2 154	2 154	2 138	16	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	1 095	1 095	1 075	20	-	-	
NEVADA	3 621	3 621	3 561	60	-	-	
STATE	777	777	769	8	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 844	2 844	2 792	52	-	-	
COUNTIES	2 046	2 046	2 006	40	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	798	798	786	12	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 136	1 136	1 127	9	3	3	
STATE	553	553	545	8	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	583	583	582	1	3	3	
COUNTIES	157	157	157	-	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	428	426	425	1	3	3	
NEW JERSEY	30 067	30 067	29 884	183	-	-	
STATE	6 112	6 112	5 953	159	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	23 955	23 955	23 931	24	-	-	
COUNTIES	17 817	17 817	17 798	19	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	6 138	6 138	6 133	5	-	-	
NEW MEXICO	2 479	2 479	2 425	54	-	-	
STATE	1 855	1 855	1 803	52	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	624	624	622	2	-	-	
COUNTIES	124	124	124	-	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	500	500	498	2	-	-	
NEW YORK	69 650	69 650	69 324	326	180	180	
STATE	17 369	17 189	17 138	51	180	(X) 180	
LOCAL, TOTAL	52 461	52 461	52 186	275	-	-	
COUNTIES	18 661	18 661	18 428	233	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	33 800	33 800	33 758	42	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA	4 918	4 918	4 894	24	-	-	
STATE	3 523	3 523	3 513	10	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 395	1 395	1 381	14	-	-	
COUNTIES	470	470	470	-	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	925	925	911	14	-	-	
NORTH DAKOTA	1 382	1 382	1 379	3	-	-	
STATE	430	430	430	-	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	952	952	949	3	-	-	
COUNTIES	771	771	768	3	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	181	181	181	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	19 838	19 838	19 703	134	9	-	9
STATE	5 169	5 169	5 100	69	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 669	14 669	14 603	65	9	-	9
COUNTIES	7 018	7 019	6 979	40	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7 651	7 650	7 624	25	9	-	9
OKLAHOMA	5 588	5 588	5 539	48	1 797	1 797	-
STATE	3 893	3 893	3 869	24	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 491	1 695	1 670	24	1 797	1 797	-
COUNTIES	2 035	2 239	2 26	13	1 797	1 797	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 456	1 456	1 445	11	-	-	-
OREGON	9 038	9 038	9 002	36	13	13	-
STATE	4 084	4 084	4 084	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 967	4 954	4 918	36	13	13	-
COUNTIES	3 660	3 648	3 617	31	12	12	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 307	1 306	1 301	5	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	22 558	22 558	22 259	299	12	1	11
STATE	3 512	3 512	3 451	61	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	19 047	19 046	18 808	238	12	1	11
COUNTIES	8 321	8 320	8 137	183	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	10 737	10 726	10 671	56	11	-	11
RHODE ISLAND	1 439	1 439	1 433	6	-	-	-
STATE	803	803	803	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	636	636	630	6	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	636	636	630	6	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 879	1 879	1 861	18	-	-	-
STATE	1 017	1 017	1 004	13	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	862	862	857	5	-	-	-
COUNTIES	583	583	581	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	280	279	276	3	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	1 786	1 786	1 626	161	-	-	-
STATE	776	776	616	160	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 010	1 010	1 010	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	764	764	764	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	246	246	246	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	4 974	4 974	4 927	47	-	-	-
STATE	2 833	2 833	2 816	17	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 141	2 141	2 111	30	-	-	-
COUNTIES	771	771	757	14	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 370	1 370	1 354	15	-	-	-
TEXAS	22 467	22 467	22 184	282	3	-	2
STATE	4 603	4 603	4 576	27	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	17 864	17 864	17 608	255	3	-	2
COUNTIES	12 488	12 486	12 308	178	2	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	5 378	5 377	5 300	77	1	-	1
UTAH	2 312	2 312	2 294	18	105	-	105
STATE	762	657	646	11	105	(X)	105
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 655	1 655	1 648	7	-	-	-
COUNTIES	953	953	946	7	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	703	703	703	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	1 510	1 510	1 510	-	-	-	-
STATE	1 327	1 327	1 327	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	183	183	183	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	181	181	181	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	6 364	6 364	6 312	53	75	30	45
STATE	2 348	2 317	2 301	16	31	(X)	31
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 077	4 047	4 011	37	44	30	14
COUNTIES	1 671	1 671	1 653	18	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 421	2 377	2 358	19	44	30	14

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 33. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	10 608	10 608	10 551	57	312	-	312
STATE	3 870	3 558	3 555	3	312	(X)	312
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 050	7 050	6 996	54	-	-	-
COUNTIES	4 800	4 800	4 764	36	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2 250	2 250	2 232	19	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	2 316	2 316	2 278	38	1	1	-
STATE	718	718	684	34	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 599	1 598	1 594	4	1	1	-
COUNTIES	1 258	1 257	1 255	2	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	341	341	339	2	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	10 889	10 889	10 830	59	529	6	524
STATE	3 037	2 554	2 533	21	483	(X)	483
LOCAL, TOTAL	8 341	8 335	8 297	38	46	6	41
COUNTIES	4 676	4 660	4 636	23	17	6	11
MUNICIPALITIES	3 705	3 675	3 661	15	29	-	29
WYOMING	952	952	945	4	-	-	-
STATE	236	236	236	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	716	716	712	4	-	-	-
COUNTIES	543	543	539	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	172	172	172	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 34. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
TOTAL	177 303	176 086	174 559	1 527	1 507	20	-	1 217	891	326
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	394	394	384	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	162	162	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	48	48	47	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	273	273	264	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	1 556	1 556	1 540	16	6	10	-	-	-	-
PIMA	919	919	888	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	246	246	242	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	3 736	3 736	3 726	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
BUTTE	350	350	347	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	1 919	1 919	1 911	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	1 111	1 111	1 102	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	1 261	1 261	1 255	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	21 886	21 886	21 829	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
MARIN	789	789	783	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED	268	268	265	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	854	854	843	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	4 447	4 447	4 398	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	1 778	1 778	1 775	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	3 376	3 376	3 330	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	2 286	2 286	2 269	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	4 671	4 671	4 615	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	1 091	1 091	1 088	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	549	549	546	3	2	1	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	1 540	1 540	1 537	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	1 321	1 321	1 278	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	3 520	3 520	3 513	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	640	640	637	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	425	425	423	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	644	644	639	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	698	698	695	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE	744	744	727	17	14	3	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	1 597	1 597	1 594	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	387	387	387	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	354	30	30	-	-	-	-	324	-	324
BOULDER	308	308	307	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	498	498	487	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	400	400	399	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	227	227	226	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	135	135	132	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	302	302	300	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	320	320	308	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
DADE	1 342	1 342	1 341	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	161	161	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	679	679	659	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	456	456	443	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	669	669	647	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	212	212	204	8	8	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure			
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
POLK	279	279	268	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	113	113	109	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	172	172	170	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	235	235	234	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	144	144	143	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	404	404	397	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	1 258	1 258	1 254	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	99	99	91	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA	148	148	147	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	213	213	213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	6 552	6 552	6 497	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE	537	537	535	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KANE	245	245	245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	388	388	387	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	108	108	106	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	143	143	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	311	311	311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	247	247	247	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	219	219	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	236	236	236	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	148	148	145	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	308	308	308	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	273	273	273	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	183	183	183	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	492	492	492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE	83	83	82	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	173	173	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIGO	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	107	107	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	194	194	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	323	323	323	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	97	97	96	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	200	200	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	243	243	240	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	308	308	297	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	126	126	125	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	170	170	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
FAYETTE	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	438	438	433	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
KENTON	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU	134	134	128	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	412	412	404	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	529	529	522	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	815	815	813	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	903	903	902	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	1 099	1 099	1 091	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	60	60	59	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BERKSHIRE	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	59	59	55	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	385	385	385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	496	496	490	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	194	194	184	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	115	115	112	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	226	226	209	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
CALHOUN	133	133	131	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
GENESEE	866	866	866	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGHAM	435	435	431	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	350	350	308	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
KENT	334	334	328	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MACOMB	913	913	910	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	109	109	108	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON	213	213	213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	1 012	1 012	1 007	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA	50	50	49	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	231	231	230	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	173	173	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW	355	355	345	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	3 708	3 708	3 689	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	230	230	228	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	101	98	98	-	-	-	-	3	1	2
HENNEPIN	950	950	950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY	555	555	553	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	239	239	239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	755	755	755	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	61	61	58	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	860	860	855	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	487	487	482	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	201	201	201	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK	1 006	1 006	987	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	589	589	576	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	619	619	619	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	1 594	1 594	1 594	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	439	439	439	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	983	983	983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	208	208	208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	3 695	3 695	3 695	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	266	266	266	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	1 799	1 799	1 782	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	1 065	1 065	1 063	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	1 353	1 353	1 353	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	691	691	691	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	639	639	639	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	442	442	442	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	1 158	1 158	1 158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	404	404	404	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION	1 889	1 889	1 889	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	375	375	365	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME	256	256	249	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA	158	158	156	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	293	293	293	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	2 187	2 187	2 157	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	1 173	1 173	1 165	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	4 110	4 110	4 082	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA	249	249	248	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA	286	286	286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	732	732	702	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	446	446	443	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	185	185	182	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	535	535	524	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	127	127	127	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	170	170	169	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	2 882	2 882	2 831	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
ULSTER	186	186	180	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	1 960	1 960	1 934	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASTON	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONSLOW	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
ALLEN	79	79	78	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	1 170	1 170	1 157	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	524	524	524	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	75	75	74	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	429	429	427	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	146	146	146	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING	67	67	66	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	227	227	225	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS	270	270	269	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING	210	210	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
OHIO--CONTINUED										
MONTGOMERY	559	559	559	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PORTAGE	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STARK	220	220	213	7	7	-	-	-	-	
SUMMIT	349	349	348	1	1	-	-	-	-	
TRUMBULL	141	141	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	89	89	
OKLAHOMA	457	-	-	-	-	-	-	457	457	
TULSA	350	6	6	-	-	-	-	344	344	
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	196	196	194	2	2	-	-	-	-	
LANE	525	525	515	10	10	-	-	-	-	
MARION	238	238	235	3	3	-	-	-	-	
MULTNOMAH	1 322	1 322	1 319	3	3	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	236	236	234	2	2	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	1 716	1 716	1 649	67	67	-	-	-	-	
BEAVER	184	184	183	1	1	-	-	-	-	
BERKS	231	231	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLAIR	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BUCKS	488	488	477	11	11	-	-	-	-	
BUTLER	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMBRIA	144	144	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHESTER	436	436	397	39	39	-	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DAUPHIN	298	298	294	4	4	-	-	-	-	
DELAWARE	841	841	841	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ERIE	242	242	241	1	1	-	-	-	-	
FAYETTE	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FRANKLIN	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LACKAWANNA	166	166	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANCASTER	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAWRENCE	81	81	80	1	1	-	-	-	-	
LEHIGH	193	193	189	4	4	-	-	-	-	
LUZERNE	222	222	222	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LYCOMING	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MERCER	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTGOMERY	624	624	613	11	11	-	-	-	-	
NORTHAMPTON	144	144	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCHUYLKILL	107	107	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	180	180	179	1	1	-	-	-	-	
WESTMORELAND	286	286	280	6	6	-	-	-	-	
YORK	90	90	89	1	1	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLESTON	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREENVILLE	81	81	80	1	1	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SPARTANBURG	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KNOX	106	106	103	3	3	-	-	-	-	
SHELBY	290	290	279	11	11	-	-	-	-	
SULLIVAN	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS:										
BELL	130	130	129	1	1	-	-	-	-	
BEXAR	1 008	1 008	1 008	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BRAZORIA	78	78	77	1	1	-	-	-	-	
CAMERON	108	108	107	1	1	-	-	-	-	
DALLAS	1 546	1 546	1 544	2	2	-	-	-	-	
EL PASO	478	478	447	31	26	5	-	-	-	
GALVESTON	171	171	161	10	10	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
TEXAS--CONTINUED										
HARRIS	2 483	2 483	2 450	33	33	-	-	-	-	
HIDALGO	143	143	135	8	7	1	-	-	-	
JEFFERSON	322	322	322	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LUBBOCK	162	162	161	1	1	-	-	-	-	
MCLENNAN	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NUECES	227	227	226	1	1	-	-	-	-	
TARRANT	932	932	871	61	61	-	-	-	-	
TRAVIS	269	269	269	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WICHITA	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UTAH:										
SALT LAKE	429	429	422	7	7	-	-	-	-	
UTAH	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WEBER	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FAIRFAX	349	349	349	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HENRICO	139	139	138	1	1	-	-	-	-	
PRINCE WILLIAM	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	147	147	145	2	2	-	-	-	-	
KING	1 436	1 436	1 436	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KITSAP	159	159	158	1	1	-	-	-	-	
PIERCE	489	489	483	6	6	-	-	-	-	
SNOHOMISH	403	403	401	2	2	-	-	-	-	
SPOKANE	335	335	335	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YAKIMA	230	230	226	4	4	-	-	-	-	
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KANAWHA	182	182	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	103	103	102	1	1	-	-	-	-	
DANE	554	554	552	2	2	-	-	-	-	
KENOSHA	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MILWAUKEE	1 385	1 385	1 375	10	10	-	-	-	-	
OUTAGAMIE	94	94	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RACINE	166	166	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCK	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WAUKESHA	161	161	160	1	1	-	-	-	-	
WINNEBAGO	109	109	109	-	-	-	-	-	-	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 35. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion			
TOTAL	113 742	113 527	112 944	583	583	-	215	30	185
ALABAMA:									
BIRMINGHAM	240	240	228	12	12	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	89	89	87	2	2	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	38	38	37	1	1	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:									
MESA	47	47	46	1	1	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	848	848	843	5	5	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	368	368	368	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:									
FORT SMITH	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:									
ALAMEDA	51	51	49	2	2	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	251	251	251	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	133	133	132	1	1	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	191	191	184	7	7	-	-	-	-
CARSON	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	50	50	49	1	1	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	29	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	170	170	168	2	2	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	69	69	64	5	5	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	80	80	78	2	2	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	186	186	185	1	1	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	197	197	196	1	1	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	34	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	1 089	1 089	1 072	17	17	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	4 657	4 657	4 625	32	32	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	63	63	61	2	2	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	46	46	45	1	1	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	275	275	275	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	148	148	147	1	1	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	232	232	229	3	3	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	64	64	63	1	1	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure					Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED									
SACRAMENTO	146	146	144	2	2	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	35	35	34	1	1	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	125	125	122	3	3	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	59	59	57	2	2	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	1 040	1 040	1 034	6	6	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	2 857	2 857	2 836	21	21	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	560	560	555	5	5	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	94	94	94	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	46	46	45	1	1	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	199	199	194	5	5	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	408	408	407	1	1	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	61	61	60	1	1	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	35	34	34	-	-	-	1	-	1
STOCKTON	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	247	247	247	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	44	44	41	3	3	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:									
AURORA	92	92	91	1	1	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	120	120	117	3	3	-	-	-	-
DENVER	1 653	1 653	1 635	18	18	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	133	133	125	8	8	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:									
BRIDGEPORT	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	355	355	340	15	15	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	143	143	143	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	194	194	192	2	2	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	195	195	195	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:									
WILMINGTON	213	213	213	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:									
WASHINGTON	9 424	9 424	9 424	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:									
CLEARWATER	61	61	60	1	1	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	152	152	152	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	961	961	961	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	335	335	335	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	43	43	41	2	2	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	153	153	149	4	4	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	259	259	259	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	90	90	87	3	3	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	576	576	575	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	215	215	210	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	2 197	2 197	2 197	(2)	(2)	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	42	42	41	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	60	60	59	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	2 594	2 594	2 594	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	57	57	56	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	71	71	70	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	133	133	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	142	142	129	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	157	157	155	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	58	58	57	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	1 054	1 054	1 051	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	32	32	31	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	66	66	64	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	241	241	238	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIoux CITY	76	76	75	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	85	85	83	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	81	81	80	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	158	158	155	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	305	305	304	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	617	617	607	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	669	669	665	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	3 699	3 699	3 690	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	1 337	1 337	1 333	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	157	157	157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	76	76	75	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	172	172	171	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	193	193	191	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	206	206	206	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	211	211	211	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	1 616	1 434	1 430	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	236	236	229	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	233	233	233	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	163	163	161	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	116	116	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	74	74	71	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	142	142	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	90	90	89	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	151	151	149	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	719	719	719	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	388	388	387	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
JACKSON	105	105	104	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	45	45	43	2	2					
FLORISSANT	15	15	15							
INDEPENDENCE	91	91	91							
KANSAS CITY	733	733	725	8	8					
ST. JOSEPH	51	51	51							
ST. LOUIS	1 401	1 401	1 401							
SPRINGFIELD	37	37	37							
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	45	45	45							
GREAT FALLS	23	23	23							
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	220	220	214	6	6					
OMAHA	463	463	451	12	12					
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	344	344	343	1	1					
RENO	113	113	111	2	2					
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	36	36	36							
NASHUA	21	21	21							
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	90	90	90							
BLOOMFIELD	46	46	46							
CAMDEN	165	165	165							
CLIFTON	70	70	70							
EAST ORANGE	112	112	112							
ELIZABETH	98	98	98							
IRVINGTON	66	66	66							
JERSEY CITY	209	209	209							
NEWARK	403	403	402	1	1					
PASSAIC	41	41	41							
PATERSON	70	70	70							
TRENTON	96	96	96							
UNION CITY	50	50	50							
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	194	194	193	1	1					
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	219	219	218	1	1					
BINGHAMTON	62	62	62							
BUFFALO	754	754	749	5	5					
MOUNT VERNON	113	113	107	6	6					
NEW ROCHELLE	141	141	141							
NEW YORK CITY	24 206	24 206	24 206							
NIAGARA FALLS	131	131	131							
ROCHESTER	320	320	315	5	5					
ROME	17	17	17							
SCHENECTADY	92	92	92							
SYRACUSE	265	265	260	5	5					
TROY	101	101	101							
UTICA	97	97	97							
WHITE PLAINS	232	232	232							
YONKERS	343	343	343							
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	17	17	17							
CHARLOTTE	128	128	124	4	4					
DURHAM	132	132	129	3	3					
FAYETTEVILLE	14	14	14							
GREENSBORO	86	86	84	2	2					
HIGH POINT	49	49	49							
RALEIGH	68	68	67	1	1					
WINSTON-SALEM	102	102	99	3	3					

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	29	29	29							
OHIO:										
AKRON	220	220	217	3	3					
CANTON	126	126	121	5	5					
CINCINNATI	693	693	693							
CLEVELAND	577	577	577							
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	69	69	69							
COLUMBUS	761	761	757	4	4					
DAYTON	423	423	423							
ELYRIA	50	50	50							
EUCLID	79	79	77	2	2					
HAMILTON	19	19	18	1	1					
KETTERING	102	102	100	2	2					
LAKEWOOD	55	55	55							
LIMA	52	52	52							
LORAIN	69	69	69							
MANSFIELD	47	47	47							
PARMA	91	91	91							
SPRINGFIELD	80	80	80							
TOLEDO	216	216	216							
WARREN	57	57	57							
YOUNGSTOWN	214	214	213	1	1					
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	43	43	43							
NORMAN	74	74	74							
OKLAHOMA CITY	302	302	299	3	3					
TULSA	265	265	259	6	6					
OREGON:										
EUGENE	98	98	98							
PORTLAND	326	326	324	2	2					
SALEM	118	118	116	2	2					
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	58	58	58							
ALTOONA	25	25	24	1	1					
BETHLEHEM	39	39	39							
CHESTER	73	73	73							
ERIE	101	101	101							
HARRISBURG	55	55	54	1	1					
LANCASTER	17	17	17							
PHILADELPHIA	6 691	6 691	6 643	48	48					
PITTSBURGH	498	498	494	4	4					
READING	90	90	90							
SCRANTON	51	51	51							
WILKES-BARRE	26	26	26							
YORK	34	34	34							
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	38	38	38							
PAWTUCKET	58	58	56	2	2					
PROVIDENCE	196	196	193	3	3					
WARWICK	45	45	45							
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	23	23	23							
COLUMBIA	54	54	54							
GREENVILLE	33	33	30	3	3					
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS	38	38	38							
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	154	154	144	10	10					
KNOXVILLE	119	119	116	3	3					
MEMPHIS	285	285	283	2	2					
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	364	364	364							

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Legal Services and Prosecution Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments,
by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	55	55	52	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	98	98	87	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	126	126	125	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	216	216	214	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	90	90	89	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	187	187	183	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	814	814	814	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	130	130	129	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	194	194	189	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	60	60	55	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	35	35	34	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	629	629	626	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	75	75	72	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	31	31	30	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	43	43	42	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	93	93	89	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	46	46	43	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	400	400	398	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	27	27	26	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	68	68	65	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	63	63	62	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	176	176	176	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	214	214	210	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	103	103	102	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	149	149	118	1	1	-	30	30	-	-
LYNCHBURG	63	63	62	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	125	125	123	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	297	297	292	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	418	418	417	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	122	122	121	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	189	189	186	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	76	76	72	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	695	695	686	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	196	196	196	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	246	246	245	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	45	45	43	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	225	225	225	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	1 161	1 161	1 150	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	105	105	104	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	42	42	41	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Section VI. INDIGENT DEFENSE

Table 36. Indigent Defense Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	116 269	116 269	115 728	540	5 058	43	5 015
STATES	41 830	37 029	36 709	320	4 801	(X)	4 801
LOCAL, TOTAL	79 283	79 240	79 019	220	257	43	214
COUNTIES	61 463	61 316	61 104	211	148	39	109
MUNICIPALITIES	18 033	17 924	17 915	9	109	4	105
ALABAMA	908	908	908	-	8	4	4
STATE	850	850	850	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	61	58	58	-	8	4	4
COUNTIES	59	55	55	-	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	7	3	3	-	4	-	-
ALASKA	845	845	841	4	-	-	-
STATE	827	827	823	4	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	18	18	18	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	18	18	18	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	1 375	1 375	1 351	24	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 375	1 375	1 351	24	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 286	1 286	1 263	23	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	89	89	87	1	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	178	178	178	-	-	-	-
STATE	23	23	23	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	155	155	155	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	152	152	152	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	27 155	27 155	27 073	81	775	-	775
STATE	775	-	-	-	775	(X)	775
LOCAL, TOTAL	27 155	27 155	27 073	81	-	-	-
COUNTIES	26 336	26 336	26 260	76	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	818	818	813	5	-	-	-
COLORADO	2 067	2 067	2 056	11	-	-	-
STATE	1 508	1 508	1 499	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	559	559	557	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES	6	6	6	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	553	553	551	2	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	1 263	1 263	1 263	-	-	-	-
STATE	1 184	1 184	1 184	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	79	79	79	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	79	79	79	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	363	363	360	3	-	-	-
STATE	363	363	360	3	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 764	1 764	1 764	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 764	1 764	1 764	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 764	1 764	1 764	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	5 662	5 662	5 486	176	13	5	8
STATE	4 363	4 363	4 198	165	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 304	1 299	1 288	11	13	5	8
COUNTIES	1 148	1 143	1 132	11	5	5	8
MUNICIPALITIES	164	156	156	-	8	-	8
GEORGIA	856	856	853	2	87	-	87
STATE	147	60	60	2	87	(X)	87
LOCAL, TOTAL	796	796	793	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	780	780	778	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	15	15	15	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Indigent Defense Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	675	675	675	-	-	-	-
STATE	675	675	675	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	445	445	445	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	445	445	445	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	441	441	441	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	3 220	3 220	3 209	11	-	-	-
STATE	94	94	94	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 126	3 126	3 115	11	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3 109	3 109	3 098	11	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	17	17	17	-	-	-	-
INDIANA	1 148	1 148	1 148	-	-	-	-
STATE	128	128	128	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 020	1 020	1 020	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	914	914	914	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	106	106	106	-	-	-	-
IOWA	1 009	1 009	1 008	1	3	-	3
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 009	1 009	1 008	1	3	-	3
COUNTIES	1 011	1 007	1 006	1	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
KANSAS	900	900	896	4	-	-	-
STATE	780	780	776	4	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	120	120	120	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	119	119	119	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	444	444	422	22	877	25	852
STATE	1 018	166	144	22	852	(X)	852
LOCAL, TOTAL	303	278	278	-	25	25	-
COUNTIES	290	265	265	-	25	25	-
MUNICIPALITIES	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA	905	905	903	2	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	905	905	903	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES	543	543	541	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	362	362	362	-	-	-	-
MAINE	204	204	204	-	1	1	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	204	204	204	-	1	1	-
COUNTIES	204	204	204	-	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	4 410	4 410	4 355	55	-	-	-
STATE	4 306	4 306	4 251	55	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	104	104	104	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	104	104	104	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	1 396	1 396	1 396	-	-	-	-
STATE	1 209	1 209	1 209	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	187	187	187	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	187	187	187	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	5 304	5 304	5 304	1	3 089	-	3 089
STATE	3 499	412	412	-	3 087	(X)	3 087
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 892	4 892	4 892	1	2	-	2
COUNTIES	2 967	2 967	2 967	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 927	1 925	1 925	2	-	-	2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Indigent Defense Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	1 005	1 005	1 003	2	86	-	86
STATE	213	213	211	2	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	792	792	792	-	86	-	86
COUNTIES	839	753	753	-	86	-	86
MUNICIPALITIES	39	39	39	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	305	305	305	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	305	305	305	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	299	299	299	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	1 565	1 565	1 565	-	-	-	-
STATE	926	926	926	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	639	639	639	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	238	238	238	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	401	401	401	-	-	-	-
MONTANA	244	244	244	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	244	244	244	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	237	237	237	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	607	607	604	2	2	1	1
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	607	607	604	2	2	1	1
COUNTIES	603	601	599	2	2	1	1
MUNICIPALITIES	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	723	723	712	11	6	6	-
STATE	117	117	117	-	6	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	603	606	595	11	6	6	-
COUNTIES	611	605	593	11	6	6	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	200	200	200	-	-	-	-
STATE	196	196	196	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	7 999	7 999	7 947	52	-	-	-
STATE	7 802	7 802	7 780	52	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	197	197	197	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	80	80	80	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	117	117	117	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	522	522	522	-	-	-	-
STATE	515	515	515	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7	7	7	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7	7	7	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	15 950	15 950	15 902	48	-	-	-
STATE	2 467	2 467	2 467	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	13 483	13 483	13 435	48	-	-	-
COUNTIES	5 807	5 807	5 759	48	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	7 676	7 676	7 676	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA	3 664	3 664	3 664	-	-	-	-
STATE	3 664	3 664	3 664	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	147	147	147	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	147	147	147	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	144	144	144	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3	3	3	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Indigent Defense Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	2 181	2 181	2 180	1	6	-	6
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 181	2 181	2 180	1	6	-	6
COUNTIES	2 073	2 067	2 067	-	6	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	114	114	114	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	497	497	496	1	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	497	497	496	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	465	465	464	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	31	31	31	-	-	-	-
OREGON	1 255	1 255	1 250	5	-	-	-
STATE	102	102	102	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 153	1 153	1 148	5	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 135	1 135	1 130	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	18	18	18	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	5 712	5 712	5 703	9	4	-	4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 713	5 712	5 703	9	4	-	4
COUNTIES	2 546	2 542	2 533	9	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 170	3 170	3 170	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	395	395	395	-	-	-	-
STATE	395	395	395	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	595	595	594	1	-	-	-
STATE	384	384	384	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	211	211	210	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES	204	204	202	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	226	226	225	-	4	-	4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	226	226	225	-	4	-	4
COUNTIES	220	220	220	-	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES	10	6	6	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	934	934	933	1	-	-	-
STATE	482	482	481	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	452	452	452	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	313	313	313	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	140	140	140	-	-	-	-
TEXAS	2 480	2 480	2 479	1	3	-	3
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 480	2 480	2 479	1	3	-	3
COUNTIES	2 468	2 465	2 463	1	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES	15	15	15	-	-	-	-
UTAH	248	248	248	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	248	248	248	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	248	248	248	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	298	298	298	-	-	-	-
STATE	296	296	296	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	2 465	2 465	2 462	3	-	-	-
STATE	2 218	2 218	2 215	3	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	247	247	247	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	88	88	88	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	159	159	159	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Indigent Defense Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	1 582	1 582	1 578	4	91	-	91
STATE	136	136	136	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 446	1 446	1 442	4	91	-	91
COUNTIES	1 411	1 411	1 407	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	126	35	35	-	91	-	91
WEST VIRGINIA	24	24	24	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	24	24	24	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	24	24	24	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	1 852	1 852	1 852	-	-	-	-
STATE	168	168	168	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 684	1 684	1 684	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 651	1 651	1 651	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	34	34	34	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	100	100	100	-	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	100	100	100	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	100	100	100	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 X Not applicable.
¹For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figure shown on the "Local-total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and their counted again where the recipient government (s) ultimately expend (s) that amount.

Table 37. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TOTAL	50 418	50 394	50 219	175	140	35	-	24	-	24
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	650	650	639	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
PIMA	407	407	403	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	2 152	2 152	2 146	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
BUTTE	162	162	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	780	780	771	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	399	399	398	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	421	421	420	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	10 299	10 299	10 288	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
MARIN	367	367	366	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	293	293	289	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	1 486	1 486	1 484	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	592	592	592	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	894	894	882	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	765	765	760	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	2 002	2 002	2 002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	423	423	420	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	790	790	790	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	389	389	388	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	1 236	1 236	1 235	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO	369	369	368	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	192	192	188	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	147	147	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE	255	255	253	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	490	490	489	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	41	41	39	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DADE	157	157	157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	136	136	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	146	146	145	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	122	122	115	7	7	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
POLK	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BISS	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COBB	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	85	85	83	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	222	222	222	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COOK	1 550	1 550	1 547	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE	192	192	187	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
KANE	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCHEENY	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACON										
MADISON	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	99	99	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	22	22	19	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON										
ST. JOSEPH	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIGO	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINN	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	202	202	202	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
FAYETTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALCASTEU	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	76	76	74	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19										

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BERKSHIRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	184	184	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN	136	136	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALHOUN	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GENESEE	208	208	208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGHAM	211	211	211	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENT	108	108	108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACOMB										
MONROE	209	209	209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	175	175	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	272	272	272	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	347	347	347	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	18
RAMSEY	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	219	219	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	264	264	262	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	332	332	325	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	241	241	238	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	151	151	147	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA	99	99	97	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	128	128	127	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	563	563	563	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	419	419	416	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	1 076	1 076	1 076	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	465	465	430	35	-	35	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	178	178	176	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	795	795	795	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ULSTER	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	618	618	618	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONSLOW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
ALLEN	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA	877	877	877	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	162	162	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	275	275	275	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
OHIO--CONTINUED										
MONTGOMERY	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL	30	24	24	-	-	-	-	6	-	6
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	129	129	128	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANE	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	280	280	280	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	438	438	436	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BEAVER	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKS	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLAIR	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUCKS	142	142	138	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN	80	80	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	301	301	301	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	67	67	66	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	161	161	160	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY	243	243	243	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR	201	201	201	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMERON	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	474	474	474	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TEXAS--CONTINUED										
HARRIS	546	546	546	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	18	18	17	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
SALT LAKE	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENRICO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KING	623	623	622	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANE	141	141	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	775	775	775	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	161	161	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 38. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TOTAL	17 237	17 139	17 132	7	7	-	-	98	-	98
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MESA	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	772	772	767	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	544	544	542	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	1 764	1 764	1 764	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	8	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	8	-
PENSACOLA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIoux CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	135	135	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAFAYETTE	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONROE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW ORLEANS	186	186	186	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SHREVEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DEARBORN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DETROIT	1 825	1 825	1 825	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FLINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GRAND RAPIDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KALAMAZOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANSING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LINCOLN PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LIVONIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PONTIAC	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROYAL OAK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTHFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TAYLOR	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WESTLAND	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WYOMING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DULUTH	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. PAUL	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MISSISSIPPI:										
JACKSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS CITY	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	356	356	356	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREAT FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OMAHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RENO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NASHUA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PATERSON	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BUFFALO	45	45	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK CITY	7 461	7 461	7 461	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YONKERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	3 084	3 084	3 084	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIoux FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Indigent Defense Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	90	-	90
SPOKANE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 39: Correction Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	2 569 354	2 569 354	2 378 544	190 810	119 404	30 567	88 838
STATES	1 613 049	1 533 920	1 435 259	98 661	79 129	(X)	79 129
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 066 000	1 035 434	943 285	92 149	40 275	30 567	9 709
COUNTIES	783 789	757 095	679 787	77 308	26 694	21 000	5 695
MUNICIPALITIES	291 920	278 339	263 498	14 841	13 581	9 567	4 014
ALABAMA	17 974	17 974	17 318	656	2 180	-	2 180
STATE	14 540	12 489	11 883	606	2 051	(X)	2 051
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 485	5 485	5 435	50	129	-	129
COUNTIES	4 148	4 148	4 102	46	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 466	1 337	1 333	5	128	-	128
ALASKA	8 324	8 324	8 181	143	1 324	1	1 323
STATE	8 899	7 576	7 444	132	1 323	(X)	1 323
LOCAL, TOTAL	749	748	737	11	1	1	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	749	748	737	11	1	1	-
ARIZONA	23 375	23 375	20 439	2 936	137	-	137
STATE	13 586	13 586	11 545	2 041	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 789	9 789	8 894	895	137	-	137
COUNTIES	8 974	8 965	8 174	791	9	-	9
MUNICIPALITIES	951	824	720	104	128	-	128
ARKANSAS	9 472	9 472	8 019	1 453	43	-	43
STATE	7 132	7 132	5 687	1 445	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 340	2 340	2 332	8	43	-	43
COUNTIES	1 709	1 694	1 688	7	15	-	15
MUNICIPALITIES	674	645	644	1	28	-	28
CALIFORNIA	477 087	477 087	454 521	22 566	27 173	511	26 662
STATE	221 150	193 129	193 951	1 178	26 021	(X)	26 021
LOCAL, TOTAL	282 469	281 958	260 570	21 388	1 152	511	641
COUNTIES	271 839	270 946	249 588	21 358	893	511	382
MUNICIPALITIES	11 271	11 012	10 982	30	259	-	259
COLORADO	24 177	24 177	21 984	2 193	22	11	11
STATE	17 923	17 923	17 474	449	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 264	6 254	4 510	1 744	22	11	11
COUNTIES	3 528	3 515	1 955	1 560	13	11	2
MUNICIPALITIES	2 748	2 739	2 555	184	9	-	9
CONNECTICUT	32 635	32 635	28 170	4 465	-	-	-
STATE	32 594	32 594	28 129	4 465	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	41	41	41	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	41	41	41	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	10 349	10 349	8 795	1 555	-	-	-
STATE	10 343	10 343	8 792	1 551	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	6	6	3	4	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6	6	3	4	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	48 447	48 447	44 079	4 368	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	48 447	48 447	44 079	4 368	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	48 447	48 447	44 079	4 368	-	-	-
FLORIDA	99 832	99 832	91 032	8 801	88	-	88
STATE	70 456	64 407	64 407	6 049	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 376	29 376	26 625	2 752	88	-	88
COUNTIES	22 859	22 859	20 753	2 106	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	6 606	6 517	5 872	646	88	-	88
GEORGIA	58 502	58 502	52 530	5 973	1 597	-	1 597
STATE	37 900	36 409	33 853	2 556	1 491	(X)	1 491
LOCAL, TOTAL	22 093	22 093	18 677	3 417	106	-	106
COUNTIES	19 396	19 394	16 269	3 125	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	2 804	2 699	2 408	292	105	-	105

See footnotes at end of table.

Section VII. CORRECTION

Table 39. Correction Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	6 048	6 048	5 993	55	-	-	
STATE	5 033	5 033	4 985	48	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 015	1 015	1 008	7	-	-	
COUNTIES	218	218	216	2	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	797	797	792	5	-	-	
IDAHO	7 060	7 060	4 810	2 250	67	53	
STATE	6 112	6 112	3 938	2 174	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 001	948	872	76	67	53	
COUNTIES	964	909	833	76	55	51	
MUNICIPALITIES	50	39	39	-	12	2	
ILLINOIS	105 027	105 027	90 570	14 457	3 387	23	
STATE	70 515	68 564	62 827	5 737	1 951	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	36 486	36 463	27 743	8 720	1 436	23	
COUNTIES	37 484	36 055	27 335	8 720	1 429	23	
MUNICIPALITIES	414	408	408	-	7	-	
INDIANA	33 849	33 849	30 805	3 043	1 602	1 583	
STATE	22 572	22 572	20 842	1 730	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 859	11 277	9 963	1 313	1 602	1 583	
COUNTIES	9 882	8 691	7 377	1 313	1 191	1 172	
MUNICIPALITIES	2 997	2 586	2 586	-	411	411	
IOWA	18 427	18 427	17 882	545	1 056	666	
STATE	14 627	14 374	13 901	473	253	253	
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 719	4 053	3 981	72	803	666	
COUNTIES	4 781	3 981	3 910	72	799	666	
MUNICIPALITIES	75	71	71	-	4	-	
KANSAS	26 171	26 171	23 795	2 376	39	-	
STATE	22 465	22 665	20 325	2 340	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 506	3 506	3 470	36	39	39	
COUNTIES	2 866	2 863	2 827	36	2	2	
MUNICIPALITIES	680	643	643	-	37	37	
KENTUCKY	21 340	21 340	19 959	1 382	566	276	
STATE	15 707	15 707	14 616	1 091	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 909	5 633	5 343	291	566	276	
COUNTIES	5 359	5 043	4 785	258	315	276	
MUNICIPALITIES	841	590	557	32	251	-	
LOUISIANA	29 268	29 268	27 856	1 413	53	9	
STATE	19 094	19 094	18 579	515	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	10 184	10 174	9 277	898	53	9	
COUNTIES	4 922	4 912	4 466	446	9	9	
MUNICIPALITIES	5 306	5 262	4 811	451	44	44	
MAINE	9 127	9 127	8 642	484	91	-	
STATE	8 078	8 078	7 607	471	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 049	1 049	1 035	13	91	91	
COUNTIES	1 023	1 023	1 010	13	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	117	26	26	-	91	91	
MARYLAND	76 549	76 549	72 059	4 490	151	-	
STATE	63 814	63 677	59 614	4 063	137	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 872	12 872	12 445	427	14	-	
COUNTIES	5 417	5 402	5 015	387	14	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	7 470	7 470	7 430	40	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS	87 067	87 067	73 113	13 953	293	-	
STATE	57 581	57 481	48 925	8 556	100	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	29 586	29 586	24 188	5 397	193	-	
COUNTIES	22 958	22 925	17 643	5 282	29	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	6 628	6 661	6 545	115	164	-	
MICHIGAN	104 171	104 171	96 772	7 399	8 603	3 973	
STATE	58 624	55 642	53 641	2 001	2 982	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	52 502	48 529	43 131	5 398	5 621	3 973	
COUNTIES	48 965	43 684	38 319	5 365	5 280	3 969	
MUNICIPALITIES	5 186	4 845	4 812	33	341	4	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Correction Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	38 372	38 372	36 871	1 501	1 537	25	
STATE	24 697	23 353	22 425	928	1 344	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	15 043	15 019	14 446	573	193	25	
COUNTIES	13 585	13 455	12 932	523	131	25	
MUNICIPALITIES	1 626	1 564	1 514	50	62	-	
MISSISSIPPI	10 981	10 981	9 123	1 858	37	-	
STATE	8 856	8 856	7 126	1 730	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 125	2 125	1 997	128	37	-	
COUNTIES	1 513	1 513	1 392	121	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	649	611	605	6	37	-	
MISSOURI	36 260	36 260	31 353	4 907	107	86	
STATE	17 980	17 980	16 722	1 258	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	18 367	18 280	14 631	3 649	107	86	
COUNTIES	9 318	9 221	7 063	2 158	97	86	
MUNICIPALITIES	9 069	9 060	7 568	1 492	10	-	
MONTANA	6 577	6 577	6 320	257	15	-	
STATE	5 515	5 515	5 338	177	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 062	1 062	982	80	15	-	
COUNTIES	965	965	885	80	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	111	97	97	-	15	-	
NEBRASKA	10 738	10 738	9 797	941	188	24	
STATE	7 926	7 926	7 039	887	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 836	2 812	2 758	54	188	24	
COUNTIES	2 470	2 378	2 328	50	92	24	
MUNICIPALITIES	531	435	430	4	96	-	
NEVADA	13 125	13 125	12 151	975	175	-	
STATE	7 922	7 757	6 838	919	165	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	5 368	5 368	5 313	56	10	-	
COUNTIES	4 417	4 417	4 369	49	-	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	961	951	944	7	10	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4 867	4 867	4 738	129	15	13	
STATE	3 525	3 525	3 401	124	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 354	1 342	1 337	5	15	13	
COUNTIES	1 138	1 136	1 133	4	2	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	218	205	204	1	13	-	
NEW JERSEY	90 870	90 870	82 586	8 284	40	40	
STATE	49 713	49 713	43 030	6 683	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	41 197	41 157	39 556	1 601	40	40	
COUNTIES	41 143	41 103	39 502	1 601	40	40	
MUNICIPALITIES	54	54	54	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO	10 304	10 304	9 865	439	187	1	
STATE	8 074	8 074	7 899	175	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 231	2 230	1 966	264	187	1	
COUNTIES	1 423	1 274	1 014	260	149	1	
MUNICIPALITIES	994	956	952	4	37	-	
NEW YORK	328 695	328 695	305 894	22 801	37 662	9 582	
STATE	185 721	157 664	147 135	10 529	28 057	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	180 613	171 031	158 759	12 272	9 605	9 582	
COUNTIES	69 803	63 938	57 587	6 351	5 865	5 842	
MUNICIPALITIES	110 833	107 093	101 172	5 921	3 740	3 740	
NORTH CAROLINA	58 736	58 736	54 482	4 254	90	31	
STATE	51 210	51 210	48 207	3 003	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 557	7 526	6 275	1 251	90	31	
COUNTIES	7 526	7 465	6 214	1 251	62	31	
MUNICIPALITIES	90	61	61	-	28	-	
NORTH DAKOTA	2 645	2 645	2 565	81	7	-	
STATE	2 185	2 185	2 110	75	-	(X)	
LOCAL, TOTAL	460	460	455	6	7	-	
COUNTIES	415	414	412	2	1	-	
MUNICIPALITIES	53	46	42	4	7	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Correction Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	117 295	117 295	113 579	3 716	1 954	40	1 914
STATE	87 361	86 665	85 053	1 612	696	(X)	696
LOCAL, TOTAL	30 671	30 630	28 526	2 104	1 258	40	1 218
COUNTIES	24 011	23 000	21 056	1 944	1 011	40	970
MUNICIPALITIES	7 877	7 630	7 470	160	247	-	247
OKLAHOMA	17 097	17 097	16 007	1 090	72	-	72
STATE	13 327	13 265	12 195	1 070	62	(X)	62
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 832	3 832	3 812	20	10	-	10
COUNTIES	2 483	2 483	2 474	8	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 359	1 350	1 338	11	10	-	10
OREGON	28 105	28 105	27 674	431	393	32	361
STATE	18 584	18 584	18 434	150	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	9 553	9 521	9 240	281	393	32	361
COUNTIES	9 235	8 921	8 643	278	314	32	281
MUNICIPALITIES	680	600	597	3	80	-	80
PENNSYLVANIA	123 362	123 362	120 346	3 016	16 393	12 590	3 803
STATE	73 541	69 885	68 801	1 084	3 656	(X)	3 656
LOCAL, TOTAL	66 067	53 477	51 545	1 932	12 737	12 590	147
COUNTIES	34 409	27 083	25 235	1 848	7 326	7 202	124
MUNICIPALITIES	31 805	26 394	26 310	84	5 411	5 388	24
RHODE ISLAND	7 891	7 891	7 504	387	-	-	-
STATE	7 891	7 891	7 504	387	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	27 988	27 988	23 038	4 950	67	-	67
STATE	20 798	20 798	17 037	3 761	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 190	7 190	6 001	1 189	67	-	67
COUNTIES	6 946	6 888	5 709	1 179	57	-	57
MUNICIPALITIES	311	302	292	9	9	-	9
SOUTH DAKOTA	3 770	3 770	3 494	276	41	-	41
STATE	2 780	2 780	2 660	120	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	990	990	834	156	41	-	41
COUNTIES	963	957	801	156	6	-	6
MUNICIPALITIES	68	33	33	-	35	-	35
TENNESSEE	37 251	37 251	33 607	3 644	2 969	7	2 962
STATE	25 426	25 080	21 344	1 736	2 346	(X)	2 346
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 178	14 171	12 263	1 908	623	7	616
COUNTIES	11 128	11 066	9 251	1 815	63	7	56
MUNICIPALITIES	3 666	3 105	3 012	93	560	-	560
TEXAS	71 530	71 530	66 186	5 344	649	80	569
STATE	43 475	43 475	38 813	4 662	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	28 135	28 055	27 373	682	649	80	569
COUNTIES	24 951	24 699	24 037	662	253	80	172
MUNICIPALITIES	3 753	3 357	3 336	20	397	-	397
UTAH	8 876	8 876	8 621	255	275	-	275
STATE	7 365	7 095	6 861	234	270	(X)	270
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 781	1 781	1 760	21	5	-	5
COUNTIES	1 716	1 714	1 693	21	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	70	67	67	-	3	-	3
VERMONT	7 203	7 203	5 979	1 224	-	-	-
STATE	7 187	7 187	5 963	1 224	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	16	16	16	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	16	16	16	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	50 942	50 942	46 402	4 540	4 934	24	4 910
STATE	40 980	36 429	34 611	1 818	4 551	(X)	4 551
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 537	14 513	11 791	2 722	383	24	359
COUNTIES	6 705	6 465	4 388	2 077	240	15	224
MUNICIPALITIES	8 191	8 047	7 402	645	144	9	135

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Correction Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	50 852	50 852	46 832	4 020	1 942	-	1 942
STATE	36 209	34 584	33 468	1 116	1 625	(X)	1 625
LOCAL, TOTAL	16 268	16 268	13 364	2 904	317	-	317
COUNTIES	14 530	14 511	11 612	2 899	20	-	20
MUNICIPALITIES	2 055	1 758	1 752	6	297	-	297
WEST VIRGINIA	10 571	10 571	8 951	1 621	111	6	106
STATE	7 782	7 782	6 613	1 169	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 795	2 789	2 338	452	111	6	106
COUNTIES	2 778	2 766	2 314	452	12	6	6
MUNICIPALITIES	123	23	23	-	99	-	99
WISCONSIN	56 793	56 793	53 888	2 905	1 055	870	184
STATE	45 186	45 138	42 788	2 350	48	(X)	48
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 526	11 655	11 100	555	1 007	870	136
COUNTIES	12 526	11 639	11 084	555	887	870	17
MUNICIPALITIES	136	16	16	-	120	-	120
WYOMING	3 380	3 380	3 369	11	17	10	7
STATE	2 888	2 888	2 879	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	501	492	490	2	17	10	7
COUNTIES	391	379	377	2	12	10	2
MUNICIPALITIES	118	113	113	-	5	-	5

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

¹For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government (s) ultimately expend (s) that amount.

Table 40. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of State Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

State	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions					Correction administration	Probation, parole, and pardon	Miscellaneous
		Total	For men	For women	For juveniles	Other and combined			
Total	1,435,259	1,139,419	696,738	30,507	348,388	63,786	85,865	194,599	15,376
Alabama.....	11,883	10,268	7,846	538	1,884	-	-	1,463	152
Alaska.....	7,444	5,331	1,428	-	1,593	2,310	836	1,146	131
Arizona.....	11,545	9,440	735	-	3,549	5,156	341	1,691	73
Arkansas.....	5,687	5,177	3,184	76	1,937	-	91	378	41
California.....	193,951	152,410	110,349	4,058	38,003	-	9,521	31,411	609
Colorado.....	17,474	13,731	9,104	(NA)	4,627	-	-	3,743	-
Connecticut.....	28,129	22,579	16,000	1,726	3,613	1,240	1,235	4,119	106
Delaware.....	8,792	8,116	4,600	162	3,354	-	-	678	-
Florida.....	64,407	36,900	22,946	-	11,789	2,185	2,403	25,104	-
Georgia.....	23,853	24,661	17,073	387	7,201	-	2,167	7,025	-
Hawaii.....	4,985	4,135	-	-	1,198	2,937	90	636	124
Idaho.....	3,938	2,997	1,899	77	1,021	-	-	941	-
Illinois.....	62,827	50,549	29,105	1,297	20,147	-	7,444	4,834	-
Indiana.....	20,842	18,422	14,134	601	3,687	-	1,112	1,240	68
Iowa.....	13,901	12,490	8,981	474	3,035	-	347	943	121
Kansas.....	20,325	19,482	8,413	677	3,327	7,065	270	573	-
Kentucky.....	14,616	11,734	8,319	416	2,999	-	787	1,753	342
Louisiana.....	18,579	13,444	7,387	379	5,678	-	1,000	4,089	46
Maine.....	7,607	6,526	2,968	395	3,163	-	-	593	488
Maryland.....	59,614	43,204	25,811	1,326	12,476	3,591	3,056	10,692	2,662
Massachusetts.....	48,925	41,107	26,094	1,708	13,305	-	4,380	3,431	7
Michigan.....	53,641	40,029	21,226	(NA)	18,803	-	8,962	4,628	22
Minnesota.....	22,425	18,203	10,394	502	7,307	-	4,118	104	-
Mississippi.....	7,126	6,817	-	-	2,637	4,160	-	309	-
Missouri.....	16,722	11,961	7,921	311	3,729	-	2,086	2,675	-
Montana.....	5,338	4,656	2,679	(NA)	1,977	-	-	682	-
Nebraska.....	7,039	6,064	4,209	243	1,612	-	67	908	-
Nevada.....	6,838	5,829	3,163	(NA)	2,666	-	-	1,009	-
New Hampshire.....	3,401	2,645	1,133	-	1,512	-	-	756	-
New Jersey.....	43,030	37,959	16,127	2,668	10,588	8,572	1,098	3,769	204
New Mexico.....	7,899	5,308	3,139	74	2,125	-	-	2,591	-
New York.....	147,133	115,414	76,562	496	20,050	18,306	8,814	20,467	2,440
North Carolina.....	48,207	38,893	25,281	1,305	12,327	-	2,793	5,163	1,358
North Dakota.....	2,110	1,860	1,080	-	780	-	-	250	-
Ohio.....	85,053	68,070	45,282	2,241	20,547	-	11,862	4,239	882
Oklahoma.....	12,195	9,871	7,266	(NA)	2,605	-	1,795	529	-
Oregon.....	18,434	13,691	7,679	278	5,734	-	1,447	3,296	-
Pennsylvania.....	68,801	56,919	32,066	1,915	22,938	-	1,344	8,561	1,976
Rhode Island.....	7,504	5,456	3,976	-	1,480	-	745	1,300	3
South Carolina.....	17,037	10,487	6,394	320	3,773	-	2,199	1,732	2,619
South Dakota.....	2,660	2,222	-	-	801	1,421	178	218	42
Tennessee.....	21,344	17,965	11,330	599	6,036	-	641	2,738	-
Texas.....	36,813	36,152	24,110	517	11,525	-	-	2,660	1
Utah.....	6,861	5,027	-	-	1,706	3,321	171	1,593	70
Vermont.....	5,963	4,764	2,462	-	1,993	-	309	407	82
Virginia.....	34,611	30,488	21,894	1,359	7,235	-	895	2,521	707
Washington.....	33,468	27,537	14,020	1,854	11,663	-	199	5,732	-
West Virginia.....	6,613	6,041	3,797	145	2,099	-	-	572	-
Wisconsin.....	42,788	33,790	15,976	1,413	13,192	3,239	794	8,204	-
Wyoming.....	2,879	2,598	1,236	-	1,362	-	79	202	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
NA Not available.

Table 41. Correction Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
TOTAL	672 827	649 499	584 252	65 247	4 856	57 700	2 691	23 328	18 787	4 541
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	1 502	1 502	1 491	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	241	241	240	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	473	473	473	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	338	338	321	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	198	198	198	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA	5 130	5 130	4 847	283	57	226	-	-	-	-
PIMA	2 401	2 392	2 324	68	28	40	-	9	-	9
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI	387	387	387	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	17 998	17 941	17 598	343	216	127	-	57	57	-
BUTTE	608	603	601	2	-	2	-	5	5	-
CONTRA COSTA	9 275	9 230	8 674	556	59	497	-	45	45	-
FRESNO	5 738	5 631	4 914	717	26	691	-	22	22	-
KERN	4 847	4 847	4 727	120	34	86	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	96 468	96 227	82 075	14 152	232	12 021	1 899	244	241	-
MARIN	3 620	3 616	3 603	13	13	-	-	4	4	-
MERCED	923	919	901	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	2 699	2 691	2 504	187	33	154	-	8	8	-
ORANGE	18 723	18 691	17 840	851	111	740	-	32	32	-
RIVERSIDE	6 778	6 778	6 406	372	34	338	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	10 169	10 169	9 261	908	36	185	687	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	10 828	10 828	10 768	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	21 905	21 905	20 790	1 115	121	961	33	19	19	-
SAN JOAQUIN	4 638	4 619	4 579	40	40	-	-	14	14	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 012	998	939	59	15	44	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	10 597	10 597	10 324	273	31	242	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	4 110	4 108	4 052	56	35	21	-	2	2	-
SANTA CLARA	15 141	15 141	14 314	827	12	815	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	1 595	1 587	1 495	92	12	80	-	8	8	-
SOLANO	2 022	2 013	1 971	42	14	28	-	9	9	-
SONOMA	2 946	2 946	2 806	140	14	126	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	2 196	2 196	2 135	61	19	42	-	7	7	-
TULARE	2 187	2 180	2 019	161	3	96	62	-	-	-
VENTURA	4 358	4 191	4 121	70	21	49	-	167	19	148
COLORADO:										
ADAMS	199	189	189	-	-	-	-	10	10	-
ARAPAHOE	173	173	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	161	161	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	1 823	1 823	322	1 501	-	1 501	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	228	228	226	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	164	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA	210	210	209	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
BREVARD	531	531	517	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD	2 726	2 726	1 838	888	32	856	-	-	-	-
DADE	4 910	4 910	4 811	99	99	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA	776	776	770	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH	2 287	2 287	2 231	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	359	359	358	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LEON	292	292	291	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	1 157	1 157	1 135	22	16	6	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH	1 582	1 582	1 521	61	9	52	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS	879	879	866	13	2	11	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Correction Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
POLK	873	873	862	11	10	1	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA	318	318	311	7	6	1	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA	526	526	476	50	10	40	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB	497	497	495	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	518	518	515	3	1	2	-	-	-	-
COBB	623	623	605	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB	2 751	2 751	1 057	1 694	5	1 689	-	-	-	-
FULTON	3 763	3 763	3 745	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	605	605	602	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA	193	178	178	-	-	-	15	15	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN	372	372	366	6	2	4	-	-	-	-
COOK	21 364	20 608	17 055	3 553	172	3 381	756	-	756	-
DU PAGE	1 473	1 473	1 123	350	81	269	-	-	-	-
KANE	4 666	4 666	4 021	4 021	4	0 021	-	-	-	-
LAKE	699	699	695	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE	170	170	169	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY	917	900	427	473	-	473	17	-	17	-
MCLEAN	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACON	171	171	163	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	620	618	605	13	4	9	2	-	2	-
PEORIA	442	442	428	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	275	275	262	13	8	5	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR	1 253	648	644	4	4	-	605	-	605	-
SANGAMON	288	288	288	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILL	313	313	306	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	801	801	780	21	4	17	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN	1 376	1 141	1 132	9	5	4	235	235	-	-
DELAWARE	345	295	289	6	-	6	50	50	-	-
ELKHART	377	338	336	2	2	-	39	39	-	-
LARE	1 161	822	821	1	1	-	339	339	-	-
LA PORTE	360	334	272	62	-	62	26	26	-	-
MADISON	357	329	310	19	19	-	28	28	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	604	545	545	-	-	-	59	59	-	-
TIPPECANOE	235	194	154	40	2	38	41	41	-	-
VANDEBURGH	315	261	261	-	-	-	54	54	-	-
VIGO	669	632	350	282	-	282	37	37	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK	322	287	286	1	1	-	35	35	-	-
LINN	398	295	294	1	1	-	103	103	-	-
POLK	1 189	1 066	1 055	11	11	-	123	123	-	-
SCOTT	429	221	218	3	3	-	208	208	-	-
WOODBURY	295	169	169	-	-	-	126	50	76	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON	266	266	266	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK	715	715	713	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE	537	537	528	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE	315	315	315	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
FAYETTE	429	381	300	81	5	76	48	48	-	-
JEFFERSON	2 688	2 464	2 376	88	88	-	224	190	34	-
KENTON	122	99	97	2	2	-	23	23	-	-
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO	1 107	1 107	755	352	29	323	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU	369	369	369	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	778	778	774	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	257	257	257	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA	287	287	286	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES	168	168	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Correction Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND	275	275	271	4	-	4	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	91	91	90	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL	432	432	428	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	1 095	1 095	1 089	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	527	527	295	232	-	232	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1 032	1 032	956	76	11	65	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES	1 365	1 365	1 334	31	2	29	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	230	230	225	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BERKSHIRE	570	570	562	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	1 149	1 149	1 132	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	2 659	2 659	2 233	426	9	417	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	1 841	1 841	1 841	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	467	467	456	11	-	11	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	5 701	5 701	5 624	77	70	7	-	-	-	25
NORFOLK	1 353	1 328	1 319	9	5	4	-	-	-	25
PLYMOUTH	1 472	1 472	1 444	28	24	4	-	-	-	4
WORCESTER	6 808	6 804	2 110	4 694	13	4 681	4	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY	568	285	285	-	-	-	-	-	283	283
BERRIEN	763	609	592	17	17	-	-	-	154	154
CALHOUN	1 026	994	993	1	1	-	-	-	32	32
GENESEE	1 928	1 705	1 696	9	9	-	-	-	223	223
INHAM	703	668	668	-	-	-	-	-	35	9
JACKSON	713	631	630	1	1	-	-	-	82	82
KALAMAZOO	5 833	5 702	1 617	4 085	75	4 010	-	-	131	131
KENT	1 879	1 829	1 603	226	16	210	-	-	50	50
MACOMB	1 473	1 405	1 400	5	5	-	-	-	68	68
MONROE	428	391	388	3	3	-	-	-	37	37
MUSKEGON	790	715	695	20	1	19	-	-	75	75
OAKLAND	4 971	4 569	4 578	11	6	5	-	-	382	382
OTTAWA	351	222	197	25	25	-	-	-	129	129
SAGINAW	715	625	625	-	-	-	-	-	90	80
ST. CLAIR	687	545	545	-	-	-	-	-	142	142
WASHTENAW	1 227	1 175	1 163	12	12	-	-	-	52	52
WAYNE	20 528	17 552	16 774	778	28	750	-	-	2 976	1 784
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA	181	181	180	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA	237	237	237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN	5 642	5 632	5 613	19	19	-	-	-	10	-
RAMSEY	3 685	3 685	3 671	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	1 260	1 260	989	271	3	268	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON	171	171	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	180	180	174	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY	408	408	396	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE	175	175	175	-	-	-	-	-	2	2
JACKSON	5 001	4 936	3 137	1 799	87	1 712	-	-	65	65
JEFFERSON	57	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	4	4
ST. LOUIS	2 284	2 284	2 040	244	170	74	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS	1 142	1 142	1 128	14	4	10	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	293	241	241	-	-	-	-	-	52	52
NEVADA:										
CLARK	3 096	3 096	3 064	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE	928	928	919	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH	401	401	401	-	-	-	-	-	2	2
ROCKINGHAM	181	179	179	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Correction Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC	928	928	928	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BERGEN	2 749	2 749	2 749	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BURLINGTON	977	977	977	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	2 292	2 252	2 140	112	-	112	-	40	40	
CUMBERLAND	590	590	588	2	2	-	-	-	-	
ESSEX	12 265	12 265	11 812	453	44	409	-	-	-	
GLOUCESTER	938	938	938	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HUDSON	3 207	3 207	3 202	5	5	-	-	-	-	
MERCER	2 662	2 662	2 545	117	101	16	-	-	-	
MIDDLESEX	2 382	2 382	2 291	91	-	91	-	-	-	
MONMOUTH	1 872	1 872	1 872	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MORRIS	1 156	1 156	1 156	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OCEAN	790	790	790	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	2 951	2 951	2 951	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOMERSET	826	826	750	76	-	76	-	-	-	
UNION	2 762	2 762	2 314	448	-	448	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO	812	675	518	157	31	126	-	137	-	
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	1 900	1 611	1 551	60	60	-	289	289	-	
BROOME	737	706	702	4	4	-	31	27	4	
CHAUTAUQUA	514	454	449	5	3	2	60	60	-	
CHEMUNG	693	546	537	9	9	-	147	136	11	
DUTCHESS	864	833	820	13	13	-	31	31	-	
ERIE	8 976	7 949	7 876	73	52	21	1 027	1 027	-	
MONROE	7 640	7 034	5 692	1 342	9	1 333	606	506	-	
NASSAU	12 918	11 220	11 136	84	81	2	1 698	1 698	-	
NIAGARA	1 267	1 145	1 050	95	74	21	122	122	-	
ONEIDA	800	678	673	5	4	1	122	122	-	
ONONDAGA	4 627	4 036	3 991	45	11	34	591	591	-	
ORANGE	1 234	1 087	1 085	2	2	-	147	147	-	
OSWEGO	407	359	342	17	17	-	48	48	-	
RENSSELAER	808	706	692	14	14	-	102	102	-	
ROCKLAND	1 008	1 008	953	55	5	50	-	-	-	
ST. LAWRENCE	366	354	354	-	-	-	12	12	-	
SARATOGA	342	278	278	-	-	-	64	64	-	
SCHENECTADY	938	641	637	4	4	-	297	297	-	
SUFFOLK	6 909	6 755	6 659	96	26	70	154	154	-	
ULSTER	2 236	2 186	426	1 760	-	1 758	50	42	8	
WESTCHESTER	7 669	7 669	6 072	1 597	8	1 589	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE	205	205	197	8	5	3	-	-	-	
CUMBERLAND	224	224	222	2	2	-	-	-	-	
DURHAM	163	163	162	1	1	-	-	-	-	
FORSYTH	269	269	265	4	4	-	-	-	-	
GASTON	212	212	203	9	9	-	-	-	-	
GUILFORD	664	664	646	18	18	-	-	-	-	
MECKLENBURG	1 574	1 574	1 563	11	11	-	-	-	-	
ONSLOW	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WAKE	178	178	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OHIO:										
ALLEN	120	108	108	-	-	-	12	-	12	
BUTLER	263	263	263	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLARK	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COLUMBIANA	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CUYAHOGA	5 916	5 646	5 173	473	4	469	270	-	270	
FRANKLIN	3 186	3 053	1 900	1 153	9	1 144	133	-	133	
GREENE	105	105	104	1	1	-	-	-	-	
HAMILTON	2 931	2 752	2 652	100	69	31	179	-	179	
LAKE	415	415	415	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LICKING	131	131	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LORAIN	511	511	505	6	6	-	-	-	-	
LUCAS	2 150	2 077	2 005	72	22	50	73	-	73	
MAHONING	392	392	391	1	1	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Correction Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
OHIO--CONTINUED										
MONTGOMERY	2 390	2 125	2 125	-	-	-	265	-	265	
PORTAGE	128	128	128	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	221	181	176	5	5	-	40	40	-	
STARK	563	563	556	7	7	-	-	-	-	
SUMMIT	1 346	1 346	1 316	30	30	-	-	-	-	
TRUMBULL	256	256	253	3	3	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE	99	99	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA	783	783	782	1	1	-	-	-	-	
TULSA	848	848	848	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS	465	450	449	1	1	-	15	15	-	
LANE	516	265	265	-	-	-	251	1	250	
MARION	687	687	671	16	16	-	-	-	-	
MULTNOMAH	4 192	4 192	3 997	195	14	181	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	600	600	596	4	4	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY	4 183	4 150	4 112	38	37	1	33	33	-	
BEAVER	582	391	376	15	15	-	191	191	-	
BERKS	1 169	947	946	1	1	-	222	222	-	
BLAIR	428	270	265	5	5	-	158	158	-	
BUCKS	1 896	1 521	1 493	28	28	-	375	375	-	
BUTLER	350	211	211	-	-	-	139	139	-	
CAMBRIA	400	324	321	3	3	-	76	76	-	
CHESTER	1 528	1 250	1 194	56	56	-	278	278	-	
CUMBERLAND	514	427	414	13	13	-	87	87	-	
DAUPHIN	1 951	1 374	1 372	2	2	-	577	577	-	
DELAWARE	3 089	2 439	2 432	7	7	-	650	650	-	
ERIE	1 515	1 168	1 168	79	3	76	268	268	-	
FAYETTE	445	285	285	-	-	-	160	160	-	
FRANKLIN	415	311	310	1	1	-	104	104	-	
LACKAWANNA	660	437	437	-	-	-	223	223	-	
LANCASTER	2 011	1 570	1 519	851	1	850	441	441	-	
LAWRENCE	249	175	160	15	-	15	74	74	-	
LEHIGH	1 097	815	784	31	11	20	282	282	-	
LUZERNE	1 077	952	952	-	-	-	125	125	-	
LYCOMING	341	251	243	8	8	-	90	90	-	
MERCER	658	499	199	300	-	300	159	159	-	
MONTGOMERY	1 992	1 553	1 500	53	21	32	439	439	-	
NORTHAMPTON	1 120	882	755	127	127	-	238	238	-	
SCHUYLKILL	455	273	273	-	-	-	182	182	-	
WASHINGTON	683	487	475	12	12	-	196	196	-	
WESTMORELAND	802	495	492	3	3	-	307	307	-	
YORK	954	652	624	28	20	8	302	302	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON	276	276	276	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLESTON	529	529	525	4	4	-	-	-	-	
GREENVILLE	1 372	1 372	763	609	-	609	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	946	946	925	21	21	-	-	-	-	
SPARTANBURG	466	466	466	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON	1 258	1 258	690	568	87	481	-	-	-	
KNOX	235	235	223	12	1	11	-	-	-	
SHELBY	6 180	6 106	5 966	140	44	96	54	2	52	
SULLIVAN	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS:										
BELL	215	215	213	2	2	-	-	-	-	
BEXAR	2 050	2 050	2 025	25	25	-	-	-	-	
BRAZORIA	128	128	128	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMERON	303	303	294	7	1	6	2	-	2	
DALLAS	4 260	4 260	4 176	84	19	65	-	-	-	
EL PASO	969	969	969	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GALVESTON	314	314	314	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Correction Expenditure of 312 Large County Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
TEXAS--CONTINUED										
HARRIS	5 558	5 558	5 463	95	86	-	9	-	-	-
HIDALGO	297	297	287	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	473	401	401	-	-	-	-	72	72	-
LUBBOCK	286	286	290	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN	567	567	537	30	-	30	-	-	-	-
NUECES	508	507	506	1	1	-	-	1	-	1
TARRANT	1 593	1 575	1 571	4	-	4	-	18	-	18
TRAVIS	1 109	1 109	1 105	4	-	4	-	-	-	-
WICHITA	296	296	293	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
SALT LAKE	1 105	1 105	1 093	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH	99	99	98	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER	272	272	267	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON	1 569	1 569	522	987	3	984	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX	1 024	963	947	16	16	-	-	61	-	61
HENRICO	359	347	336	11	11	-	-	12	-	12
PRINCE WILLIAM	301	301	276	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK	368	368	353	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
KING	4 666	4 666	4 374	292	292	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP	2 651	2 651	307	2 344	-	2 344	-	-	-	-
PIERCE	1 161	1 161	1 085	76	5	71	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH	1 109	1 109	1 087	22	6	16	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	947	947	931	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA	558	558	546	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL	272	272	263	9	-	9	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA	608	608	604	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN	249	232	228	4	4	-	-	17	17	-
DANE	780	732	727	5	5	-	-	48	48	-
KENOSHA	318	286	286	-	-	-	-	32	32	-
MILWAUKEE	7 114	6 706	6 417	289	56	233	-	408	408	-
OUTAGAMIE	130	97	95	2	2	-	-	33	33	-
RACINE	223	177	174	3	3	-	-	46	46	-
ROCK	640	605	464	141	11	130	-	35	35	-
WAUKESHA	425	392	392	-	-	-	-	33	33	-
WINNEBAGO	71	50	50	-	-	-	-	21	21	-

- Represents zero.
NA Not available.

Table 42. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and confined		
Total	584,252	381,141	137,238	243,903	186,883	16,228
Alabama:						
Calhoun	118	60	-	60	58	-
Jefferson	1,491	935	290	645	556	-
Madison	240	179	76	103	61	-
Mobile	473	405	129	276	68	-
Montgomery	321	321	146	175	-	-
Tuscaloosa	198	122	-	122	76	-
Alaska:						
Anchorage	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arizona:						
Maricopa	4,847	3,066	1,702	1,364	1,781	-
Pima	2,324	1,117	350	767	660	547
Arkansas:						
Pulaski	387	311	61	250	76	-
California:						
Alameda	17,598	8,400	3,385	5,015	7,665	1,533
Butte	601	375	177	198	226	-
Contra Costa	8,674	4,932	3,238	1,694	3,125	617
Fresno	4,914	3,164	1,403	1,761	1,750	-
Kern	4,727	2,824	1,374	1,450	1,903	-
Los Angeles	82,075	47,708	16,200	31,508	34,367	-
Marin	3,603	1,911	1,092	819	1,692	-
Merced	901	512	82	430	389	-
Monterey	2,504	1,415	529	886	1,089	-
Orange	17,840	8,880	4,900	3,980	7,255	1,705
Riverside	6,406	3,426	1,346	2,080	2,980	-
Sacramento	9,261	5,356	2,348	3,008	3,469	436
San Bernardino	10,768	6,644	3,163	3,481	4,124	-
San Diego	20,790	9,871	6,501	3,370	9,343	1,576
San Joaquin	4,579	2,721	1,147	1,574	1,806	52
San Luis Obispo	939	538	94	444	400	1
San Mateo	10,324	4,917	2,402	2,515	4,620	787
Santa Barbara	4,052	2,876	947	1,929	1,176	-
Santa Clara	14,314	11,567	6,347	5,220	1,903	844
Santa Cruz	1,495	888	309	579	607	-
Solano	1,971	1,102	636	466	670	199
Sonoma	2,806	1,833	624	1,209	916	57
Stanislaus	2,135	1,184	270	894	971	-
Tulare	2,019	1,247	454	793	772	-
Ventura	4,121	2,474	619	1,855	1,515	132
Colorado:						
Adams	189	189	18	171	-	-
Arapahoe	173	173	-	173	-	-
Boulder	161	161	-	161	-	-
El Paso	322	322	-	322	-	-
Jefferson	226	226	18	208	-	-
Pueblo	164	164	-	164	-	-
Delaware:						
New Castle	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida:						
Alachua	209	181	31	150	-	28
Brevard	517	517	163	354	-	-
Broward	1,838	1,795	756	1,039	43	-
DeSoto	4,811	4,611	827	3,984	-	-
Escambia	770	769	150	619	1	-
Hillsborough	2,231	2,034	652	1,382	197	-
Lee	358	358	112	246	-	-
Leon	291	291	31	260	-	-
Orange	1,135	1,135	282	854	-	-
Palm Beach	1,521	1,511	570	941	10	-
Pinellas	866	834	308	526	32	-
Folk	862	862	132	730	-	-
Sarasota	311	311	118	193	-	-
Volusia	476	464	108	356	-	12

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 42. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
Georgia:						
Bibb.....	495	285	105	180	210	-
Chatham.....	515	445	191	254	70	-
Cobb.....	605	518	176	342	87	-
De Kalb.....	1,057	875	211	464	382	-
Fulton.....	3,745	2,475	593	1,882	1,270	-
Richmond.....	602	572	-	572	30	-
Idaho:						
Ada.....	178	108	38	70	70	-
Illinois:						
Champaign.....	366	308	204	104	58	-
Cook.....	17,055	14,809	2,799	12,010	2,186	60
Du Page.....	1,123	581	284	369	173	173
Kane.....	645	326	190	136	245	74
Lake.....	695	453	103	350	242	-
La Salle.....	169	136	48	88	33	-
McHenry.....	427	243	-	243	123	61
McLean.....	134	75	-	75	59	-
Macon.....	163	92	16	76	71	-
Madison.....	605	447	169	278	158	-
Peoria.....	428	308	79	229	112	8
Rock Island.....	262	117	-	117	145	-
St. Clair.....	644	511	191	320	133	-
Tangamon.....	288	186	71	115	102	-
Waukegan.....	113	61	-	61	52	-
Will.....	306	263	51	212	43	-
Winnabago.....	780	433	193	240	116	231
Indiana:						
Allen.....	1,132	803	320	483	282	47
Delaware.....	289	214	81	133	75	-
Elkhart.....	336	231	35	196	105	-
Lake.....	821	407	184	223	414	-
La Porte.....	272	92	-	92	106	74
Madison.....	310	200	104	96	70	40
St. Joseph.....	545	347	63	284	177	21
Tippecanoe.....	154	135	94	41	19	-
Vanderburgh.....	261	183	-	183	78	-
Vigo.....	350	284	229	55	66	-
Iowa:						
Black Hawk.....	286	154	67	87	132	-
Linn.....	294	140	10	130	154	-
Polk.....	1,055	714	385	329	315	26
Scott.....	218	145	3	142	73	-
Woodbury.....	169	72	49	23	96	1
Kansas:						
Johnson.....	266	201	77	124	65	-
Sedgwick.....	713	550	230	320	163	-
Shawnee.....	528	394	203	191	109	25
Wyandotte.....	315	227	82	145	88	-
Kentucky:						
Fayette.....	300	295	181	114	-	5
Jefferson.....	2,376	1,962	1,153	809	256	158
Kenton.....	97	13	13	-	84	-
Louisiana:						
Caddo.....	755	688	71	617	67	-
Calcasieu.....	369	369	132	237	-	-
Jefferson.....	774	717	241	476	57	-
Lafayette.....	257	235	103	132	-	22
Ouachita.....	286	249	68	181	37	-
Rapides.....	168	126	-	126	42	-
Maine:						
Cumberland.....	271	246	-	246	25	-
Penobscot.....	97	97	-	97	-	-
York.....	90	90	-	90	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 42. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
Maryland:						
Anne Arundel.....	428	428	-	428	-	-
Baltimore.....	1,089	674	26	648	415	-
Harford.....	295	275	-	275	20	-
Montgomery.....	956	956	-	956	-	-
Prince Georges.....	1,334	915	-	915	419	-
Washington.....	225	217	-	217	8	-
Massachusetts:						
Berkshire.....	562	350	-	350	212	-
Bristol.....	1,132	675	-	675	457	-
Essex.....	2,233	1,363	388	975	870	-
Hampden.....	1,841	992	105	887	849	-
Hampshire.....	456	346	-	346	110	-
Middlesex.....	5,624	3,431	1,056	2,375	2,193	-
Norfolk.....	1,319	608	-	608	664	47
Plymouth.....	1,444	829	36	793	604	11
Worcester.....	2,110	1,119	-	1,119	991	-
Michigan:						
Bay.....	285	153	83	70	132	-
Berrien.....	592	458	67	391	22	112
Calhoun.....	993	973	730	243	20	-
Gonosee.....	1,596	1,344	711	633	240	112
Ingham.....	668	531	178	353	137	-
Jackson.....	630	432	338	94	193	5
Kalamazoo.....	1,617	827	564	263	790	-
Kent.....	1,603	1,435	528	907	24	144
Macomb.....	1,400	1,076	814	262	324	-
Monroe.....	388	222	67	155	116	50
Muskegon.....	695	614	254	360	81	-
Okland.....	4,578	4,099	2,521	1,578	386	93
Ottawa.....	197	142	45	97	55	-
Saginaw.....	625	474	291	183	86	65
St. Clair.....	545	487	281	206	58	-
Washtenaw.....	1,163	941	564	377	222	-
Wayne.....	16,774	13,304	7,362	5,942	3,470	-
Minnesota:						
Anoka.....	180	31	-	31	149	-
Dakota.....	237	124	-	124	113	-
Hennepin.....	5,613	2,835	2,062	773	2,417	361
Ramsay.....	3,671	2,012	834	1,178	1,046	613
St. Louis.....	989	678	130	548	311	-
Mississippi:						
Harrison.....	171	168	63	105	-	3
Hinds.....	174	174	-	174	-	-
Missouri:						
Clay.....	396	102	-	102	294	-
Greene.....	173	142	22	120	31	-
Jackson.....	3,137	2,789	1,881	908	319	29
Jefferson.....	53	16	-	16	37	-
St. Louis.....	2,040	1,820	810	1,010	121	99
Nebraska:						
Douglas.....	1,128	929	50	879	170	29
Lancaster.....	241	9	9	-	112	120
Nevada:						
Clark.....	3,064	2,254	1,482	772	809	1
Washoe.....	919	629	287	342	290	-
New Hampshire:						
Hillsborough.....	401	401	-	401	-	-
Rockingham.....	179	179	-	179	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 42. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
New Jersey:						
Atlantic.....	928	655	161	494	273	-
Bergen.....	2,749	1,746	487	1,259	1,003	-
Burlington.....	977	612	144	468	365	-
Camden.....	2,140	1,385	226	1,159	755	-
Cumberland.....	588	427	-	427	161	-
Essex.....	11,812	8,238	1,521	6,717	3,574	-
Gloucester.....	938	548	155	393	390	-
Hudson.....	3,202	2,165	580	1,585	1,037	-
Morcer.....	2,545	1,964	342	1,622	541	40
Middlesex.....	2,291	1,380	369	1,011	864	47
Monmouth.....	1,872	1,249	149	1,100	541	82
Morris.....	1,156	596	151	445	560	-
Ocean.....	790	570	156	414	220	-
Passaic.....	2,951	1,653	414	1,239	1,298	-
Somerset.....	760	296	-	296	447	7
Union.....	2,314	1,158	396	762	1,156	-
New Mexico:						
Bernalillo.....	518	409	312	97	-	109
New York:						
Albany.....	1,551	1,367	451	916	184	-
Broom.....	702	270	23	247	432	-
Chautauqua.....	449	219	35	184	230	-
Chemung.....	537	144	-	144	294	99
Dutchess.....	820	572	3	569	248	-
Erie.....	7,876	4,852	556	4,296	1,631	1,303
Monroe.....	5,692	4,370	1,606	2,764	1,322	-
Nassau.....	11,136	5,943	750	5,193	5,193	-
Niagara.....	1,050	888	123	565	362	-
Onida.....	673	348	-	348	252	73
Onondaga.....	3,991	2,557	271	2,286	937	497
Orange.....	1,085	785	300	485	300	-
Oswego.....	342	197	8	189	145	-
Rensselaer.....	692	486	279	207	206	-
Rockland.....	953	597	321	276	356	-
St. Lawrence.....	354	160	19	141	194	-
Saratoga.....	278	168	30	138	110	-
Schenectady.....	637	472	264	208	165	-
Suffolk.....	6,659	3,743	1,396	2,347	2,916	-
Ulster.....	426	320	11	309	106	-
Westchester.....	6,072	4,105	246	3,859	1,967	-
North Carolina:						
Buncombe.....	197	197	34	163	-	-
Cumberland.....	222	164	-	164	-	58
Durham.....	162	162	26	136	-	-
Forsyth.....	265	265	55	210	-	-
Gaston.....	203	203	28	175	-	-
Guilford.....	646	646	113	533	-	-
Mecklenburg.....	1,563	900	168	732	121	542
Onslow.....	115	115	-	115	-	-
Wake.....	178	178	37	141	-	-
Ohio:						
Allen.....	108	63	-	63	45	-
Butler.....	263	181	91	90	82	-
Clark.....	129	85	15	70	43	-
Columbiana.....	119	70	-	70	49	-
Cuyahoga.....	5,173	3,145	2,108	1,037	2,028	-
Franklin.....	1,900	1,147	385	762	753	-
Greene.....	104	73	-	73	31	-
Hamilton.....	2,652	1,526	937	589	1,126	-
Lake.....	415	289	107	182	96	30
Licking.....	131	65	-	65	66	-
Lorain.....	505	249	194	55	256	-
Lucas.....	2,053	1,285	703	582	720	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 42. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
Ohio--Continued						
Mahoning.....	391	236	96	140	155	-
Montgomery.....	2,125	1,049	800	249	1,076	-
Portage.....	128	69	-	69	59	-
Richland.....	176	82	-	82	94	-
Stark.....	556	397	161	236	159	-
Summit.....	1,316	1,153	541	612	163	-
Trumbull.....	253	204	84	120	49	-
Oklahoma:						
Comanche.....	99	54	6	48	17	28
Oklahoma.....	782	434	120	314	348	-
Tulsa.....	848	798	437	361	50	-
Oregon:						
Clackamas.....	449	226	-	226	223	-
Lane.....	265	241	241	-	-	24
Marion.....	671	315	134	181	356	-
Multnomah.....	3,997	3,462	2,024	1,438	535	-
Washington.....	596	223	-	223	373	-
Pennsylvania:						
Allegheny.....	4,112	3,590	2,125	1,465	522	-
Beaver.....	376	238	69	169	137	1
Berks.....	946	772	226	546	158	10
Blair.....	265	215	87	128	50	-
Bucks.....	1,493	1,217	433	784	266	10
Butler.....	211	109	-	109	93	9
Cambridg.....	321	199	73	126	122	-
Chester.....	1,194	910	279	631	218	66
Cumberland.....	414	213	47	166	201	-
Dauphin.....	1,372	800	63	737	134	438
Delaware.....	2,432	1,966	643	1,323	466	-
Erie.....	1,168	850	599	251	318	-
Fayette.....	285	142	9	133	101	42
Franklin.....	310	208	10	198	80	22
Lackawanna.....	437	353	89	266	82	-
Lancaster.....	719	564	73	491	155	-
Lawrence.....	160	122	31	91	38	-
Lehigh.....	784	639	56	583	145	-
Luzerne.....	952	720	98	622	232	-
Lycoming.....	243	160	70	90	83	-
Mercer.....	199	138	15	123	61	-
Montgomery.....	1,500	1,079	176	903	421	-
Northampton.....	755	673	115	558	82	-
Schuylkill.....	273	214	45	169	59	-
Washington.....	475	336	132	207	136	-
Westmoreland.....	492	95	95	-	309	88
York.....	624	494	184	310	130	-
South Carolina:						
Anderson.....	276	275	-	275	1	-
Charleston.....	525	521	89	432	1	-
Greenville.....	793	761	-	761	2	-
Richland.....	925	925	-	925	-	-
Spartanburg.....	466	421	-	421	45	-
Tennessee:						
Hamilton.....	690	673	107	476	-	17
Knox.....	223	216	-	216	7	-
Shelby.....	5,966	5,555	212	5,343	411	-
Sullivan.....	95	86	-	77	9	-
Texas:						
Boll.....	213	55	-	55	132	6
Bosar.....	2,025	1,356	159	1,197	689	-
Brazoria.....	128	51	-	51	77	-
Cameron.....	294	134	-	134	160	-
Dallas.....	4,176	2,557	859	1,698	1,619	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 42. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 312 Large County Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued
(Thousands of dollars)

County	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
Texas--Continued						
El Paso	969	406	92	314	555	8
Galveston	314	223	-	223	91	-
Harris	5,463	3,222	783	2,439	2,241	-
Hidalgo	287	145	-	145	142	-
Jefferson	401	354	135	219	47	-
Lubbock	280	96	-	96	185	-
McLennan	537	247	75	172	290	-
Nueces	506	253	77	176	253	-
Tarrant	1,571	868	257	611	703	-
Travis	1,105	414	145	269	639	52
Wichita	293	76	-	76	217	-
Utah:						
Salt Lake	1,093	1,093	385	708	-	-
Utah	98	98	52	46	-	-
Wasatch	267	267	102	165	-	-
Virginia:						
Arlington	582	221	-	221	361	-
Fairfax	947	557	112	445	390	-
Henrico	336	152	-	152	184	-
Prince William	276	205	-	205	71	-
Washington:						
Clark	353	233	79	154	120	-
King	4,374	2,376	975	1,401	1,998	-
Kitsap	307	220	103	117	87	-
Pierce	1,085	1,042	768	274	43	-
Snohomish	1,087	520	178	342	567	-
Spokane	931	563	125	438	128	240
Yakima	546	425	228	197	121	-
West Virginia:						
Cabell	263	216	91	125	47	-
Kanawha	604	452	122	330	152	-
Wisconsin:						
Brown	228	228	60	168	-	-
Dane	727	499	212	287	228	-
Kenosha	286	286	-	286	-	-
Milwaukee	6,417	4,872	1,523	3,349	1,059	486
Outagamie	95	95	-	95	-	-
Racine	174	99	37	62	75	-
Rochester	464	376	110	266	88	-
Waukesha	392	373	-	373	19	-
Winnebago	50	40	-	40	10	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 43. Correction Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73
(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TOTAL	276 149	264 140	250 376	13 764	1 123	12 635	6	12 009	9 233	2 776
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM	553	553	552	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	168	130	130	-	-	-	-	38	-	38
MOBILE	191	127	127	-	-	-	-	64	-	64
MONTGOMERY	161	161	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	62	62	61	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	570	570	555	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	98	-	98
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	81	81	80	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	33
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	567	567	563	4	1	3	-	226	-	226
LOS ANGELES	226	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	916	916	916	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	173	173	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Correction Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73 —Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	640	640	629	11	-	11	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	8 093	8 093	8 079	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	2 547	2 547	2 364	183	2	181	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	99	99	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON	48 447	48 447	44 079	4 368	435	3 933	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER	44	44	43	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	328	328	328	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	3 635	3 635	3 086	549	11	533	5	-	-	-
MIAMI	385	385	385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	321	321	245	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	75	71	71	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
ST. PETERSBURG	356	356	356	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	21
TAMPA	152	152	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

CONTINUED

3 OF 4

Table 43. Correction Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA	1 181	1 136	1 107	29	29	-	-	45	-	45
AUGUSTA	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	630	630	615	15	12	3	-	-	-	-
MACON	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH	202	202	202	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU	797	797	792	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA	107	107	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY	194	194	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS	2 393	1 999	1 999	-	-	-	-	394	394	-
MUNCIE	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
COUNCIL BLUFFS	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIoux CITY	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY	177	177	177	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA	33	32	32	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
WICHITA	336	336	336	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON	34	4	4	-	-	-	-	30	-	30
LEXINGTON	209	209	208	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	110	-	110
OWENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Correction Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE	917	917	911	6	6	-	-	-	-	
LAFAYETTE	21	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	21	
LAKE CHARLES	7	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	7	
MONROE	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW ORLEANS	3 544	3 544	3 137	407	13	394	-	-	-	
SHREVEPORT	150	150	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MAINE:										
PORTLAND	71	-	-	-	-	-	71	-	71	
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE	7 467	7 467	7 427	40	25	15	-	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON	6 286	6 145	6 044	101	61	40	141	-	141	
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SPRINGFIELD	251	251	251	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WORCESTER	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DEARBORN	18	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	18	
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	
DETROIT	3 695	3 568	3 546	22	18	4	127	-	127	
FLINT	282	282	279	3	3	-	-	-	-	
GRAND RAPIDS	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KALAMAZOO	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LANSING	128	128	128	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LINCOLN PARK	7	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	7	
LIVONIA	56	56	50	6	6	-	-	-	-	
PONTIAC	50	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	50	
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROYAL OAK	143	143	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTHFIELD	77	71	71	-	-	-	6	-	6	
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TAYLOR	9	5	5	-	-	-	4	-	4	
WARREN	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WESTLAND	15	3	3	-	-	-	12	-	12	
WYOMING	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON	13	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	13	
DULUTH	27	13	13	-	-	-	14	-	14	
MINNEAPOLIS	1 185	1 185	1 140	45	37	8	-	-	-	
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. PAUL	289	289	286	3	-	3	-	-	-	
MISSISSIPPI:										
JACKSON	368	368	362	6	6	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Correction Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay			Total	To State governments	To local governments	
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion				Land
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KANSAS CITY	1 352	1 352	1 334	18	18	-	-	-	-	
ST. JOSEPH	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ST. LOUIS	7 117	7 117	5 719	1 398	15	1 383	3	-	3	
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS	20	18	18	-	-	-	2	-	2	
GREAT FALLS	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN	360	360	356	4	4	-	-	-	-	
OMAHA	109	22	22	-	-	-	87	-	87	
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS	512	512	509	3	3	-	-	-	-	
RENO	157	157	157	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NASHUA	72	72	71	1	1	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLOOMFIELD	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE	704	704	700	4	4	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BINGHAMTON	124	26	26	-	-	-	98	-	98	
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK CITY	107 880	104 389	98 599	5 790	41	5 749	3 491	-	3 491	
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YONKERS	266	266	266	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WINSTON-SALEM	36	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Correction Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	
OHIO:										
AKRON	381	277	276	1	1	-	104	-	104	
CANTON	12	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	12	
CINCINNATI	1 181	1 181	1 181	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CLEVELAND	2 884	2 884	2 783	101	-	101	-	-	-	
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COLUMBUS	926	926	923	3	3	-	-	-	-	
DAYTON	902	902	902	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ELYRIA	28	14	14	-	-	-	14	-	14	
EUCLID	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HAMILTON	27	-	-	-	-	-	27	-	27	
KETTERING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAKEWOOD	27	27	7	20	20	-	-	-	-	
LIMA	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LORAIN	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MANSFIELD	62	55	55	-	-	-	7	-	7	
PARMA	14	9	9	-	-	-	5	-	5	
SPRINGFIELD	31	12	12	-	-	-	19	-	19	
TOLEDO	703	703	677	26	5	21	-	-	-	
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YOUNGSTOWN	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NORMAN	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5	
OKLAHOMA CITY	771	771	771	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TULSA	279	279	279	-	-	-	-	-	-	
OREGON:										
EUGENE	274	274	274	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PORTLAND	216	193	193	-	-	-	23	-	23	
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ALTOONA	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HARRISBURG	19	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	19	
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PHILADELPHIA	30 808	25 567	25 485	82	82	-	5 241	5 241	-	
PITTSBURGH	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COLUMBIA	141	141	133	8	8	-	-	-	-	
GREENVILLE	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIoux FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KNOXVILLE	238	238	238	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MEMPHIS	1 404	901	885	16	3	13	503	-	503	
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	1 499	1 499	1 499	-	-	-	-	-	-	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Correction Expenditure of 384 Large City Governments, by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equip-ment	Construc-tion	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	
AMARILLO	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ARLINGTON	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BEAUMONT	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BROWNSVILLE	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	21
CORPUS CHRISTI	211	211	211	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DALLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EL PASO	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	32
FORT WORTH	431	431	431	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GALVESTON	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GARLAND	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HOUSTON	1 718	1 718	1 712	6	6	-	-	-	-	
IRVING	55	55	54	1	1	-	-	-	-	
LAREDO	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	10
LUBBOCK	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MIDLAND	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ODESSA	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PASADENA	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PORT ARTHUR	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN ANGELO	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SAN ANTONIO	278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	278	278
TYLER	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	11
WACO	49	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	11	11
WICHITA FALLS	116	116	110	6	6	-	-	-	-	
UTAH:										
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SALT LAKE CITY	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA	392	383	381	2	1	1	-	9	9	
CHESAPEAKE	719	719	706	13	13	-	-	-	-	
HAMPTON	245	245	242	3	3	-	-	-	-	
LYNCHBURG	294	294	292	2	2	-	-	-	-	
NEWPORT NEWS	866	866	836	30	30	-	-	-	-	
NORFOLK	1 708	1 698	1 416	282	58	224	-	10	10	
PORTSMOUTH	292	279	269	10	5	4	1	13	13	
RICHMOND	955	955	955	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ROANOKE	613	613	609	4	4	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA BEACH	361	335	322	13	2	11	-	26	26	
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EVERETT	56	22	22	-	-	-	-	34	34	
SEATTLE	1 135	1 135	1 132	3	3	-	-	190	190	
SPOKANE	190	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TACOMA	332	332	331	1	1	-	-	-	-	
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	57	57	
HUNTINGTON	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GREEN BAY	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	
KENOSHA	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	
LA CROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MILWAUKEE	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	37	37	
OSHKOSH	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	
RACINE	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	
WAUNATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WEST ALLIS	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table 44. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73 (Thousands of dollars.)

City	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
Total	250,376	189,395	44,048	16,933	California--Continued				
Alabama:					Santa Clara				
Birmingham	552	516	36		Santa Monica				
Gadsden	16	16			Santa Rosa				
Huntsville	130	130			Simi Valley				
Mobile	127	127			South Gate	58	58		
Montgomery	161	161			Stockton				
Tuscaloosa	61	61			Sunnyvale				
Arizona:					Torrance	82	82		
Mesa		(NA)			Vallejo				
Phoenix	555	534	21		West Covina				
Scottsdale					Westminster	15	15		
Tempe					Whittier				
Tucson					Colorado:				
Arkansas:					Aurora				
Fort Smith	33	33			Boulder		(NA)		
Little Rock	120	108	12		Colorado Springs				
North Little Rock	72	54	18		Denver	2,364	2,334	30	
Pine Bluff	40	40			Lakewood				
California:					Pueblo	99	99		
Alameda					Connecticut:				
Alhambra					Bridgeport				
Anaheim	80	60			Bristol				
Bakersfield					Danbury				
Bellflower					Hartford				
Berkeley		(NA)			Meriden				
Buena Park					Milford				
Burbank	87	87			New Britain				
Carson					New Haven				
Chula Vista					Norwalk				
Compton					Stamford				
Concord		(NA)			Waterbury				
Coste Mesa					West Haven				
Daly City					Delaware:				
Downey					Wilmington				
El Cajon					District of Columbia:				
El Monte					Washington	44,079	35,033	4,489	4,557
Fremont					Florida:				
Fresno	16	16			Clearwater	43	43		
Fullerton		(NA)			Fort Lauderdale	328	328		
Garden Grove					Gainesville	50	50		
Glendale		(NA)			Hialeah	22	22		
Hawthorne					Hollywood	96	86	10	
Hayward					Jacksonville	3,064	3,064	21	1
Huntington Beach					Miami	385	385		
Inglewood		(NA)			Miami Beach	60	60		
Lakewood					Orlando	245	245		
Long Beach	563	563			Pensacola	71	71		
Los Angeles					St. Petersburg	356	210		146
Modesto					Tallahassee				
Mountain View					Tampa	152	(NA)		152
Norwalk					West Palm Beach	103	103		
Oakland	916	916			Georgia:				
Ontario					Albany				
Orange					Atlanta	1,107	1,107		
Oxnard					Augusta	92	92		
Palo Alto		(NA)			Columbus	615	588	27	
Pasadena					Macon	49	49		
Pico Rivera					Savannah	202	202		
Pomona	173	173			Hawaii:				
Redondo Beach		(NA)			Honolulu	792	792		
Redwood City					Idaho:				
Richmond					Boise City	21	21		
Riverside					Illinois:				
Sacramento					Arlington Heights				
Salinas	60			60	Aurora	107	107		
San Bernardino					Berwyn				
San Buenaventura					Champaign		(NA)		27
San Diego	629	629			Chicago	27			
San Francisco	8,079	4,797	3,282		Cicero				
San Jose		(NA)			Decatur				
San Leandro					Des Plaines				
San Mateo					East St. Louis				
Santa Ana									
Santa Barbara									

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 44. Detail of Direct Current Expenditure for Correction Activities of 384 Large City Governments: Fiscal Year 1972-73--Continued (Thousands of dollars.)

City	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
Illinois--Continued					Michigan:				
Elgin					Ann Arbor				
Evanston					Dearborn		(NA)		
Joliet	60	60			Dearborn Heights				
Oak Lawn					Detroit	3,546	3,546		
Oak Park					Flint	279	235	44	
Peoria					Grand Rapids	62		62	
Rockford	70	70			Kalamazoo	93	93		
Rock Island					Lansing	128	92	36	
Skokie					Lincoln Park		(NA)		
Springfield	70	70			Livonia	50		50	
Waukegan					Pontiac				
Indiana:					Roseville				
Anderson	4		4		Royal Oak	143	100	43	
Evansville	6		6		Saginaw		(NA)		
Fort Wayne					St. Clair Shores		(NA)		
Gary	194	137	57		Southfield	71		71	
Hammond	118	26	28	64	Sterling Heights				
Indianapolis	1,999	1,926	73		Taylor	5		5	
Muncie	6		6		Warren	2		2	
South Bend	53		53		Westland	3		3	
Terre Haute					Wyoming	5		5	
Iowa:					Minnesota:				
Cedar Rapids					Bloomington		(NA)		
Council Bluffs	33	33			Duluth	13		13	
Davenport					Minneapolis	1,140	1,140		
Des Moines					Rochester				
Dubuque					St. Paul	286	286		
Sioux City	32	32			Mississippi:				
Waterloo		(NA)			Jackson	362	362		
Kansas:					Missouri:				
Kansas City	177	177			Columbia				
Overland Park					Florissant		(NA)		
Topeka	32	21	11		Independence				
Wichita	336	336			Kansas City	1,334	860	474	
Kentucky:					St. Joseph				
Covington	4	4			St. Louis	5,719	3,357	2,219	143
Lexington	208	143	10	55	Springfield				
Louisville		(NA)			Montana:				
Owensboro					Billings	18	18		
Louisiana:					Great Falls	53	53		
Baton Rouge	911	833	78		Nebraska:				
Lafayette					Lincoln	356	236	120	
Lake Charles					Omaha	22		22	
Monroe	72	72			Nevada:				
New Orleans	3,137	2,777	360		Las Vegas	509	509		
Shreveport	150	150			Reno	157	157		
Maine:					New Hampshire:				
Portland					Manchester	84		84	
Maryland:					Nashua	71		71	
Baltimore	7,427	5,990	1,437		New Jersey:				
Massachusetts:					Bayonne				
Boston	6,044	3,833	2,211		Bloomfield	4		4	
Brockton					Camden				
Cambridge					Clifton				
Chicopee					East Orange				
Fall River					Elizabeth				
Holyoke					Irvington				
Lawrence					Jersey City		(NA)		
Lowell					Newark				
Lynn					Passaic				
Malden					Paterson				
Medford					Trenton				
New Bedford					Union City				
Newton					New Mexico:				
Pittsfield					Albuquerque	700	618	82	
Quincy									
Somerville									
Springfield	251	186		65					
Waltham									
Worcester	9								

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Detail of Correction Employment and Payroll of State Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State	Institutions—Continued											
	For women				For juveniles				Other and combined			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	2,832	2,782	2,816	2,319	20,628	28,734	20,018	23,068	4,303	4,340	4,357	3,479
Alabama.....	43	43	43	28	284	275	280	153	-	-	-	-
Alaska.....	-	-	-	-	102	97	90	106	74	73	74	77
Arizona.....	-	-	-	-	288	265	260	201	459	459	459	371
Arkansas.....	10	10	10	6	238	238	238	142	-	-	-	-
California.....	378	340	360	405	3,417	3,064	3,140	3,203	-	-	-	-
Colorado.....	-	-	-	-	480	465	460	370	-	-	-	-
Connecticut.....	122	152	129	119	295	286	290	221	102	101	102	79
Delaware.....	13	13	13	11	297	281	285	219	-	-	-	-
Florida.....	-	-	-	-	1,251	1,317	1,229	878	237	235	237	194
Georgia.....	53	53	53	32	785	785	785	473	-	-	-	-
Hawaii.....	-	-	-	-	101	89	101	91	195	187	190	211
Idaho.....	-	-	-	-	114	107	111	72	-	-	-	-
Illinois.....	113	113	113	105	1,518	1,516	1,517	1,515	-	-	-	-
Indiana.....	72	72	72	44	378	378	378	277	-	-	-	-
Iowa.....	42	41	41	30	275	275	275	214	-	-	-	-
Kansas.....	80	58	60	35	321	321	321	185	770	770	770	462
Kentucky.....	37	37	37	24	367	363	364	207	-	-	-	-
Louisiana.....	53	53	53	31	623	623	623	377	-	-	-	-
Maine.....	40	37	38	28	306	285	293	228	-	-	-	-
Maryland.....	97	97	97	97	958	958	958	835	293	293	293	272
Massachusetts.....	144	139	141	111	617	616	616	378	-	-	-	-
Michigan.....	-	-	-	-	1,125	1,082	1,091	1,161	-	-	-	-
Minnesota.....	57	45	53	42	485	456	472	415	-	-	-	-
Mississippi.....	-	-	-	-	248	241	243	125	401	360	370	256
Missouri.....	37	34	35	18	444	432	436	273	-	-	-	-
Montana.....	-	-	-	-	208	193	201	132	-	-	-	-
Nebraska.....	33	31	32	22	187	163	170	110	-	-	-	-
Nevada.....	-	-	-	-	149	147	148	125	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire.....	-	-	-	-	105	105	105	75	-	-	-	-
New Jersey.....	265	259	260	212	850	836	840	732	628	623	624	545
New Mexico.....	6	6	6	4	190	190	190	123	-	-	-	-
New York.....	183	193	193	186	1,443	1,386	1,402	1,266	1,563	1,563	1,563	1,758
North Carolina.....	116	116	116	78	942	942	942	660	-	-	-	-
North Dakota.....	-	-	-	-	68	66	66	43	-	-	-	-
Ohio.....	177	170	175	150	1,666	1,584	1,618	1,303	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma.....	-	-	-	-	406	406	406	146	-	-	-	-
Oregon.....	27	28	26	23	349	341	343	294	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania.....	127	127	127	116	1,327	1,327	1,327	1,188	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island.....	-	-	-	-	129	125	126	115	-	-	-	-
South Carolina.....	37	37	37	23	453	453	453	280	-	-	-	-
South Dakota.....	-	-	-	-	61	61	61	37	130	130	130	96
Tennessee.....	72	72	72	44	703	685	691	412	-	-	-	-
Texas.....	72	72	72	39	1,359	1,329	1,343	839	-	-	-	-
Utah.....	-	-	-	-	145	130	133	97	267	259	262	193
Vermont.....	-	-	-	-	180	177	178	129	18	18	18	14
Virginia.....	133	133	133	91	743	743	743	509	-	-	-	-
Washington.....	104	104	104	85	865	839	853	665	-	-	-	-
West Virginia.....	18	18	18	7	223	216	219	117	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin.....	77	77	77	73	708	708	708	641	209	209	209	203
Wyoming.....	-	-	-	-	145	134	140	76	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table

Table 45. Detail of Correction Employment and Payroll of State Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State	Correction administration											
	Correction administration				Probation, parole, and pardon				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	6,638	6,380	6,476	6,343	14,704	14,630	14,874	12,216	1,382	1,357	1,364	1,241
Alabama.....	45	45	45	45	157	157	157	134	-	-	-	-
Alaska.....	27	18	19	20	76	75	75	76	13	-	-	-
Arizona.....	71	68	69	65	84	82	83	72	5	5	5	11
Arkansas.....	11	11	11	9	46	46	46	28	-	-	-	-
California.....	1,623	1,521	1,679	1,697	84	77	78	93	542	521	527	568
Colorado.....	-	-	-	-	357	347	349	304	-	-	-	-
Connecticut.....	131	129	130	128	390	373	378	378	-	-	-	-
Delaware.....	-	-	-	-	49	49	49	49	-	-	-	-
Florida.....	214	201	206	182	2,373	2,348	2,355	1,687	-	-	-	-
Georgia.....	189	189	189	162	735	735	735	566	-	-	-	-
Hawaii.....	6	6	6	7	58	56	57	55	6	6	6	7
Idaho.....	-	-	-	-	150	146	146	92	-	-	-	-
Illinois.....	367	367	367	360	384	384	384	379	-	-	-	-
Indiana.....	22	22	22	25	148	126	126	102	-	-	-	-
Iowa.....	31	31	31	29	69	69	69	59	-	-	-	-
Kansas.....	15	15	15	12	60	50	50	39	-	-	-	-
Kentucky.....	45	42	43	37	280	248	248	185	21	20	20	17
Louisiana.....	152	152	152	115	449	449	449	285	-	-	-	-
Maine.....	-	-	-	-	66	66	66	54	-	-	-	-
Maryland.....	148	148	148	162	1,145	1,145	1,145	973	7	7	7	7
Massachusetts.....	322	322	322	307	256	256	256	277	-	-	-	-
Michigan.....	237	256	256	266	305	291	283	350	-	-	-	-
Minnesota.....	367	339	346	311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi.....	6	6	6	6	141	132	135	86	-	-	-	-
Missouri.....	155	142	145	91	342	337	339	231	-	-	-	-
Montana.....	-	-	-	-	70	66	67	48	-	-	-	-
Nebraska.....	5	5	5	5	105	101	102	75	-	-	-	-
Nevada.....	-	-	-	-	85	78	80	73	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire.....	-	-	-	-	71	71	71	56	-	-	-	-
New Jersey.....	124	121	121	118	290	291	292	253	14	14	14	12
New Mexico.....	-	-	-	-	179	179	179	120	-	-	-	-
New York.....	510	510	510	596	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,307	161	161	161	165
North Carolina.....	189	186	186	167	474	474	474	382	259	259	259	177
North Dakota.....	-	-	-	-	29	24	26	20	-	-	-	-
Ohio.....	738	691	701	641	392	387	389	318	97	96	97	74
Oklahoma.....	196	196	196	118	167	167	167	116	-	-	-	-
Oregon.....	89	63	69	71	197	197	197	165	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania.....	79	79	79	95	611	611	611	698	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island.....	54	54	54	53	110	105	106	96	-	-	-	-
South Carolina.....	201	196	197	171	224	224	224	142	237	237	237	181
South Dakota.....	4	4	4	5	36	24	26	19	3	3	3	4
Tennessee.....	47	47	47	37	366	362	363	244	-	-	-	-
Texas.....	-	-	-	-	277	277	277	210	-	-	-	-
Utah.....	10	8	8	8	189	188	173	136	11	11	11	8
Vermont.....	27	27	27	26	63	63	63	52	-	-	-	-
Virginia.....	88	88	88	69	308	306	306	234	8	8	8	8
Washington.....	17	15	17	15	400	400	400	337	-	-	-	-
West Virginia.....	-	-	-	-	68	65	65	44	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin.....	47	47	47	44	683	683	683	644	-	-	-	-
Wyoming.....	7	6	6	5	23	23	23	15	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
 *Due to rounding, detail figures may not add precisely to totals shown.

Table 46. Detail of Correction Employment and Payroll of
(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County	Total correction activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ¹		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	Total.....	49,217	46,035	47,101	40,896	31,797	29,203	30,087	25,106	12,428	10,830	11,359	9,200
2	Alabama:												
3	Calhoun.....	18	14	15	9	7	5	6	3	-	-	-	-
4	Jefferson.....	177	173	174	130	112	108	109	75	41	40	40	24
5	Madison.....	37	37	37	20	25	25	25	12	11	11	11	4
6	Mobile.....	65	65	65	36	33	33	33	22	12	12	12	9
7	Montgomery.....	80	84	84	32	60	64	66	32	42	36	38	21
8	Tuscaloosa.....	42	40	41	20	33	31	32	13	-	-	-	-
9	Alaska:												
10	Anchorage.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Arizona:												
12	Maricopa.....	481	474	476	358	249	249	249	166	113	113	113	67
13	Pima.....	240	227	233	170	150	145	150	97	46	35	40	26
14	Arkansas:												
15	Pulaski.....	38	36	37	19	28	26	27	13	4	4	4	1
16	California:												
17	Alameda.....	975	805	915	940	449	384	394	383	275	232	239	224
18	Butte.....	45	39	41	37	25	19	21	18	15	9	11	9
19	Contra Costa.....	562	478	506	562	317	245	271	293	228	162	186	188
20	Fresno.....	409	379	390	353	250	227	234	206	108	102	103	88
21	Kern.....	337	326	335	323	214	190	196	190	114	94	100	93
22	Los Angeles.....	5,019	6,018	6,018	6,564	3,434	3,434	3,434	3,624	1,461	1,461	1,461	1,509
23	Marin.....	194	176	179	208	110	94	96	108	76	60	62	63
24	Merced.....	93	85	86	86	57	49	50	38	12	8	9	6
25	Monterey.....	180	185	186	174	108	105	105	86	41	40	40	36
26	Orange.....	1,244	1,177	1,199	1,208	653	620	630	642	394	371	378	359
27	Riverside.....	515	501	503	478	251	240	242	230	121	116	117	110
28	Sacramento.....	617	580	589	624	363	326	335	351	178	141	150	152
29	San Bernardino.....	773	688	723	685	439	366	397	377	243	181	208	178
30	San Diego.....	1,470	1,354	1,383	1,417	682	587	611	612	437	413	423	419
31	San Joaquin.....	326	308	314	283	191	175	181	164	100	84	90	75
32	San Luis Obispo.....	81	70	73	68	44	35	38	32	12	8	8	6
33	San Mateo.....	567	515	533	585	269	231	246	277	145	110	128	137
34	Santa Barbara.....	305	288	277	260	201	169	177	157	79	54	61	48
35	Santa Clara.....	905	820	856	937	742	668	700	771	513	455	472	509
36	Santa Cruz.....	119	106	109	99	73	61	62	57	35	26	27	23
37	Spleno.....	247	177	187	176	103	122	105	83	48	39	52	42
38	Sonoma.....	34	141	135	137	123	73	67	62	25	19	21	18
39	Stanislaus.....	189	173	176	145	112	100	102	81	52	44	45	35
40	Tulare.....	347	330	333	331	218	204	208	204	98	54	56	50
41	Ventura.....												
42	Colorado:												
43	Adams.....	30	20	20	14	20	20	20	14	-	-	-	-
44	Arapahoe.....	19	18	18	13	18	18	18	13	-	-	-	-
45	Boulder.....	18	18	18	11	18	18	18	11	-	-	-	-
46	El Paso.....	41	38	39	21	41	38	39	21	-	-	-	-
47	Jefferson.....	26	25	25	18	26	25	25	18	2	1	1	1
48	Pueblo.....	18	17	17	9	18	17	17	9	-	-	-	-
49	Delaware:												
50	New Castle.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	Florida:												
52	Alachua.....	24	24	24	18	21	21	21	16	4	4	4	2
53	Brevard.....	46	42	43	25	46	42	43	24	26	22	23	11
54	Broward.....	189	176	181	133	189	176	182	133	105	92	98	69
55	Dade.....	497	497	497	455	497	497	497	455	101	101	101	78
56	Escambia.....	63	62	63	43	63	62	63	43	-	-	-	-
57	Hillborough.....	218	209	211	164	197	189	190	148	64	56	57	43
58	Lee.....	46	46	46	31	46	46	46	32	18	18	18	10
59	Leon.....	33	33	33	21	33	33	33	21	7	7	7	5
60	Orange.....	127	127	127	69	127	127	127	69	37	37	37	18
61	Palm Beach.....	154	150	151	116	153	149	150	115	66	62	63	38
62	Pinellas.....	120	109	113	83	120	109	113	82	63	62	63	46
63	Polk.....	97	96	96	64	97	96	96	65	21	20	20	11
64	Sarasota.....	36	27	29	19	36	27	29	19	23	14	16	10
65	Volusia.....	93	74	86	43	84	67	78	38	28	21	24	10
66	Georgia:												
67	Bibb.....	41	37	41	28	29	19	23	13	16	12	16	9
68	Chatham.....	60	59	59	48	55	54	54	42	29	29	29	26
69	Cobb.....	74	72	73	37	65	63	63	30	33	33	33	12
70	De Kalb.....	140	140	140	94	96	96	96	56	45	45	45	25
71	Fulton.....	362	347	351	283	226	204	210	161	71	61	63	47
72	Richmond.....	75	73	74	43	71	70	70	41	-	-	-	-
73	Idaho:												
74	Ada.....	19	18	18	12	11	10	10	7	6	4	4	3

See footnotes at end of table.

312 Large County Governments: October 1973

(In thousands)

Line number	County	Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
		Other and combined institutions			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	Total.....	19,369	18,373	18,728	15,906	17,071	16,532	16,697	15,832	349	300	313	270
2	Alabama:												
3	Calhoun.....	7	5	6	3	6	9	9	5	-	-	-	-
4	Jefferson.....	71	68	69	51	65	65	65	55	-	-	-	-
5	Madison.....	14	14	14	8	12	12	12	7	-	-	-	-
6	Mobile.....	21	21	21	13	32	32	32	14	-	-	-	-
7	Montgomery.....	18	18	18	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Tuscaloosa.....	33	31	32	13	9	9	9	7	-	-	-	-
9	Alaska:												
10	Anchorage.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Arizona:												
12	Maricopa.....	136	136	136	99	232	225	225	192	-	-	-	-
13	Pima.....	110	110	110	71	70	70	70	71	5	3	4	3
14	Arkansas:												
15	Pulaski.....	24	22	23	12	10	10	10	6	-	-	-	-
16	California:												
17	Alameda.....	174	152	155	159	487	485	485	524	39	36	37	33
18	Butte.....	89	83	85	9	20	20	20	19	-	-	-	-
19	Contra Costa.....	142	125	131	118	244	235	235	259	1	1	1	2
20	Fresno.....	100	96	96	97	159	151	154	147	-	-	-	-
21	Kern.....	1,974	1,973	1,973	2,115	2,584	2,584	2,584	2,440	-	-	-	-
22	Los Angeles.....	34	34	34	45	83	81	81	99	1	1	1	1
23	Marin.....	45	41	41	32	36	36	36	28	-	-	-	-
24	Merced.....	67	65</										

Table 46. Detail of Correction Employment and Payroll of

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County	Total correction activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll ¹	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ¹		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
New York--Continued													
1	Albany	42	39	39	25	25	22	22	12	4	1	1	1
2	Rensselaer	71	52	59	39	42	33	31	18	12	11	11	7
3	Rockland	73	59	65	53	33	27	30	22	-	-	-	-
4	St. Lawrence	41	39	40	27	19	17	18	11	-	-	-	-
5	Saratoga	36	31	32	20	23	18	19	11	-	-	-	-
6	Schenectady	69	46	52	31	46	23	30	17	-	-	-	-
7	Suffolk	575	575	575	508	271	271	271	243	46	46	46	32
8	Ulster	61	49	51	30	47	35	37	22	1	1	1	1
9	Westchester	437	437	437	422	266	266	266	265	22	22	22	16
North Carolina:													
10	Buncombe	30	26	27	16	30	26	27	16	8	4	5	2
11	Cumberland	19	19	19	8	19	19	19	8	-	-	-	-
12	Durham	15	14	15	8	15	14	15	8	1	-	1	(2)
13	Forsyth	33	25	26	18	33	25	26	18	14	7	8	5
14	Gaston	21	16	19	10	21	16	19	10	4	3	3	2
15	Guilford	70	62	64	44	70	62	64	44	22	16	18	11
16	Mocklenburg	98	98	98	63	78	78	78	45	19	19	19	12
17	Onslow	16	16	16	8	16	16	16	8	-	-	-	-
18	Wake	19	14	16	11	19	14	16	11	7	2	3	3
Ohio:													
19	Allen	18	14	16	10	9	9	9	5	-	-	-	-
20	Butler	22	22	22	15	15	14	14	10	9	8	8	5
21	Clark	18	0	11	8	13	5	7	4	7	1	2	1
22	Columbiana	14	12	13	8	7	6	7	4	-	-	-	-
23	Cuyahoga	487	437	453	318	347	299	315	214	282	239	253	164
24	Franklin	162	160	161	114	103	101	102	75	36	36	36	25
25	Greene	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	4	-	-	-	-
26	Hamilton	279	253	262	207	174	154	162	114	113	96	103	67
27	Lake	40	30	33	26	27	19	21	16	15	11	12	8
28	Licking	11	11	11	8	3	3	3	2	-	-	-	-
29	Lorain	58	49	53	36	27	21	23	15	23	17	19	12
30	Lucas	188	165	171	122	138	119	124	86	79	60	65	44
31	Mahoning	56	41	49	35	32	17	25	20	18	3	11	8
32	Montgomery	285	256	265	199	123	112	117	90	94	85	89	63
33	Portage	16	13	14	9	7	4	5	3	-	-	-	-
34	Richland	21	12	14	12	6	4	5	4	-	-	-	-
35	Stark	92	76	82	56	62	53	58	40	32	23	28	15
36	Summit	131	134	136	110	121	104	106	87	61	44	46	37
37	Trumbull	22	17	18	13	13	13	13	9	4	4	4	3
Oklahoma:													
38	Comanche	12	12	12	7	4	4	4	3	1	1	1	1
39	Oklahoma	94	94	94	58	44	44	44	28	21	21	21	11
40	Tulsa	114	114	114	71	108	108	108	67	68	66	66	42
Oregon:													
41	Clackamas	41	34	37	33	23	18	19	15	-	-	-	-
42	Lane	52	44	46	37	52	44	46	37	26	18	20	17
43	Marion	70	59	63	50	38	28	30	23	13	12	12	10
44	Multnomah	329	312	318	323	277	263	268	273	171	157	162	163
45	Washington	62	50	53	43	22	19	20	14	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania:													
46	Allegheny	454	388	400	289	403	337	360	254	286	220	233	157
47	Beaver	54	44	50	29	35	25	31	17	11	9	9	5
48	Berks	80	77	78	51	61	58	58	38	8	6	6	4
49	Blair	37	28	35	17	28	19	27	11	11	5	10	4
50	Bucks	97	97	97	68	68	68	68	47	13	13	13	8
51	Butler	31	29	30	19	14	12	13	8	-	-	-	-
52	Cambria	43	33	37	18	26	17	21	9	8	2	5	2
53	Chester	112	112	112	76	74	74	74	48	12	12	12	7
54	Cumberland	49	46	47	33	24	23	23	16	-	-	-	-
55	Dauphin	93	91	92	64	72	71	72	50	-	-	-	-
56	Delaware	246	246	246	161	176	176	176	124	72	72	72	51
57	Erie	92	79	81	63	49	36	39	27	21	13	13	9
58	Fayette	38	35	36	18	24	21	22	11	2	2	2	1
59	Franklin	43	36	39	20	33	26	30	14	10	6	9	4
60	Lackawanna	55	45	52	29	45	35	42	21	10	10	10	4
61	Lancaster	96	91	92	61	75	70	73	45	12	7	10	3
62	Lawrence	23	19	19	10	13	13	13	7	4	2	2	1
63	Lehigh	80	80	80	56	59	59	59	43	2	2	2	1
64	Luzerne	87	87	87	54	58	57	57	32	13	13	13	7
65	Lycoming	50	24	32	21	38	14	22	13	21	5	10	8
66	Morcer	29	27	27	17	22	20	20	12	6	4	4	2
67	Montgomery	157	145	149	105	103	91	96	66	38	30	34	19
68	Northampton	78	78	78	45	66	66	66	36	15	15	15	6
69	Schuylkill	47	37	42	21	36	26	34	15	5	2	4	2
70	Washington	49	46	48	26	30	27	30	15	3	2	3	1
71	Westmoreland	54	43	47	27	11	6	6	4	11	3	6	4
72	York	75	66	69	43	58	49	53	30	18	9	13	6

See footnotes at end of table.

312 Large County Governments: October 1973--Continued

(in thousands)

Line number	Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Other and combined institutions				Number of employees				Number of employees			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent									
1	21	21	21	11	17	17	17	13	-	-	-	-
2	30	12	20	11	29	29	29	21	-	-	-	-
3	33	27	30	22	40	39	36	31	-	-	-	-
4	19	17	16	11	22	22	22	16	-	-	-	-
5	23	18	19	11	19	17	17	9	-	-	-	-
6	46	23	30	11	33	33	33	15	-	-	-	-
7	225	225	225	211	304	304	304	295	-	-	-	-
8	46	34	36	21	14	14	14	8	-	-	-	-
9	244	244	244	247	171	171	171	156	-	-	-	-
10	22	22	22	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	10	19	19	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	14	14	14	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	19	18	18	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	17	13	16	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	48	46	47	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	59	59	59	33	9	9	9	8	11	11	11	10
17	16	16	16	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	12	12	12	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	9	9	9	5	9	5	7	5	-	-	-	-
20	6	6	6	5	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-
21	6	4	5	3	5	4	4	3	-	-	-	-
22	7	7	7	4	7	6	6	4	-	-	-	-
23	65	60	62	50	140	138	139	104	-	-	-	-
24	67	65	66	50	59	59	59	39	-	-	-	-
25	6	6	6	4	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
26	61	58	59	47	105	99	100	94	-	-	-	-
27	12	8	9	6	9	9	9	8	4	2	3	2
28	3	3	3	2	8	8	8	6	-	-	-	-
29	4	4	4	3	31	28	29	20	-	-	-	

Table 46. Detail of Correction Employment and Payroll of

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County	Total correction activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll ¹	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ²		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
South Carolina:													
1	Anderson	35	29	31	18	35	29	30	18	-	-	-	-
2	Charleston	66	59	62	32	66	59	62	33	17	10	13	7
3	Greenville	74	69	71	34	72	69	71	34	-	-	-	-
4	Richland	106	106	106	64	106	106	106	64	-	-	-	-
5	Spartanburg	58	49	51	29	53	45	47	26	-	-	-	-
Tennessee:													
6	Hamilton	84	80	82	39	82	78	80	37	39	39	39	14
7	Knox	39	18	24	13	38	17	23	13	-	-	-	-
8	Shelby	418	415	417	276	380	377	379	246	30	30	30	15
9	Sullivan	8	8	8	5	7	7	7	4	-	-	-	-
Texas:													
10	Bell	31	23	27	13	7	6	6	3	-	-	-	-
11	Bexar	290	281	289	155	209	180	189	100	30	50	30	14
12	Brazoria	20	12	15	9	4	4	4	2	-	-	-	-
13	Cameron	59	47	54	26	30	30	30	12	-	-	-	-
14	Dallas	331	331	331	265	198	198	198	147	82	82	82	48
15	El Paso	122	122	122	69	68	68	68	38	25	25	25	16
16	Galveston	41	41	41	27	28	28	28	17	-	-	-	-
17	Harris	617	617	617	415	349	349	349	231	117	117	117	72
18	Hidalgo	47	36	39	20	19	14	17	7	-	-	-	-
19	Jefferson	56	48	51	32	50	42	45	28	21	13	16	10
20	Lubbock	39	35	38	22	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-
21	McLennan	81	69	73	46	30	24	28	15	10	4	8	4
22	Nueces	67	65	65	39	36	34	34	18	14	12	12	6
23	Tarrant	166	168	162	123	102	94	98	67	31	31	31	20
24	Travis	170	166	163	102	59	56	56	34	20	18	18	10
25	Wichita	32	24	27	19	7	7	7	3	-	-	-	-
Utah:													
26	Salt Lake	110	96	106	60	119	98	105	61	55	35	43	27
27	Utah	20	14	16	9	20	14	16	8	14	9	11	5
28	Weber	42	28	32	19	42	28	32	19	23	10	14	8
Virginia:													
29	Arlington	76	56	62	61	28	24	25	24	-	-	-	-
30	Fairfax	82	82	82	82	50	50	50	49	12	12	12	10
31	Henrico	35	35	35	25	15	15	15	9	-	-	-	-
32	Prince William	26	26	26	21	19	19	19	15	-	-	-	-
Washington:													
33	Clark	46	44	45	32	34	33	33	21	14	14	14	8
34	King	449	394	407	325	285	214	228	180	174	130	142	103
35	Kitsap	64	35	42	27	39	21	28	16	21	7	13	8
36	Pierce	123	113	115	84	118	108	110	60	102	92	94	65
37	Snohomish	112	112	112	73	59	59	59	38	16	16	16	11
38	Spokane	102	98	100	70	60	57	59	37	21	18	20	10
39	Yakima	71	63	64	39	53	45	46	26	24	26	27	15
West Virginia:													
40	Cabell	30	30	30	18	24	24	24	13	10	10	10	5
41	Kanawha	62	62	62	39	43	43	43	25	17	17	17	9
Wisconsin:													
42	Brown	21	19	20	15	21	19	20	14	11	9	10	6
43	Dane	68	56	68	61	72	43	53	43	33	15	22	18
44	Konosha	19	19	19	18	19	19	18	-	-	-	-	-
45	Milwaukee	392	392	392	410	291	291	291	316	83	83	83	78
46	Outagamie	11	7	9	7	11	7	9	7	-	-	-	-
47	Racine	32	19	23	16	21	8	14	7	13	6	9	4
48	Rock	40	38	39	29	30	28	29	22	13	11	12	8
49	Waukesha	33	33	33	32	33	33	33	32	-	-	-	-
50	Winnobago	11	8	9	4	10	7	8	4	-	-	-	-

¹ Represents zero or rounds to zero.
² Less than half the unit of measurement shown.
³ Due to rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

312 Large County Governments: October 1973—Continued

In thousands)

Line number	County	Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
		Other and combined institutions			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
													October payroll
1	Anderson	35	29	30	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Charleston	49	49	49	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Greenville	72	69	71	34	2	-	-	(2)	-	-	-	-
4	Richland	106	106	106	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Spartanburg	53	45	47	26	5	4	4	3	-	-	-	-
6	Hamilton	43	39	41	22	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	1
7	Knox	38	17	23	13	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
8	Shelby	418	415	417	276	380	377	379	246	30	30	30	15
9	Sullivan	8	8	8	5	7	7	7	4	-	-	-	-
10	Bell	31	23	27	13	7	6	6	3	-	-	-	-
11	Bexar	290	281	289	155	209	180	189	100	30	50	30	14
12	Brazoria	20	12	15	9	4	4	4	2	-	-	-	-
13	Cameron	59	47	54	26	30	30	30	12	-	-	-	-
14	Dallas	331	331	331	265	198	198	198	147	82	82	82	48
15	El Paso	122	122	122	69	68	68	68	38	25	25	25	16
16	Galveston	41	41	41	27	28	28	28	17	-	-	-	-
17	Harris	617	617	617	415	349	349	349	231	117	117	117	72
18	Hidalgo	47	36	39	20	19	14	17	7	-	-	-	-
19	Jefferson	56	48	51	32	50	42	45	28	21	13	16	10
20	Lubbock	39	35	38	22	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-
21	McLennan	81	69	73	46	30	24	28	15	10	4	8	4
22	Nueces	67	65	65	39	36	34	34	18	14	12	12	6
23	Tarrant	166	168	162	123	102	94	98	67	31	31	31	20
24	Travis	170	166	163	102	59	56	56	34	20	18	18	10
25	Wichita	32	24	27	19	7	7	7	3	-	-	-	-
26	Salt Lake	110	96	106	60	119	98	105	61	55	35	43	27
27	Utah	20	14	16	9	20	14	16	8	14	9	11	5
28	Weber	42	28	32	19	42	28	32	19	23	10	14	8
29	Arlington	76	56	62	61	28	24	25	24	-	-	-	-
30	Fairfax	82	82	82	82	50	50	50	49	12	12	12	10
31	Henrico	35	35	35	25	15	15	15	9	-	-	-	-
32	Prince William	26	26	26	21	19	19	19	15	-	-	-	-
33	Clark	46	44	45	32	34	33	33	21	14	14	14	8
34	King	449	394	407	325	285	214	228	180	174	130	142	103
35	Kitsap	64	35	42	27	39	21	28	16	21	7	13	8
36	Pierce	123	113	115	84	118	108	110	60	102	92	94	65
37	Snohomish	112	112	112	73	59	59	59	38	16	16	16	11
38	Spokane	102	98	100	70	60	57	59	37	21	18	20	10
39	Yakima	71	63	64	39	53	45	46	26	24	26	27	15
40	Cabell	30	30	30	18	24	24	24	13	10	10	10	5
41	Kanawha	62	62	62	39	43	43	43	25	17	17	17	9
42	Brown	21	19	20	15	21	19	20	14	11	9	10	6
43	Dane	68	56	68	61	72	43	53	43	33	15	22	18
44	Konosha	19	19	19	18	19	19	18	-	-	-	-	-
45	Milwaukee	392	392	392	410	291	291	291	316	83	83	83	78
46	Outagamie	11	7	9	7	11	7	9	7	-	-	-	-
47	Racine	32	19	23	16	21							

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total correction				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	17 436	16 814	17 017	16 376	13 788	13 226	13 414	12 921
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	54	54	54	39	46	46	46	34
GADSDEN	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
HUNTSVILLE	14	14	14	8	14	14	14	8
MOBILE	11	11	11	6	11	11	11	6
MONTGOMERY	22	22	22	13	22	22	22	13
TUSCALOOSA	7	7	7	4	7	7	7	4
ARIZONA:								
MESA	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PHOENIX	37	37	37	33	37	37	37	33
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2
LITTLE ROCK	18	17	18	11	14	13	14	9
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	8	8	8	6	6	6	6	4
PINE BLUFF	6	6	6	3	6	6	6	3
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
BURBANK	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	46	46	46	50	46	46	46	50
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	80	80	80	105	80	80	80	105
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	13	9	10	9	13	9	10	9
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL	3 267	3 213	3 230	3 106	381	375	377	346
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN	8	8	8	6	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK	4	4	4	2	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total correction				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ¹		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	8	6	7	4	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	32	32	32	40	32	32	32	40
SAN FRANCISCO	615	570	577	582	317	272	279	271
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	6	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DENVER	210	207	208	188	206	203	203	185
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	11	10	10	9	11	10	10	9
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	2 922	2 922	2 922	2 883	2 272	2 272	2 272	2 190
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	5	4	4	2	5	4	4	2
FORT LAUDERDALE	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29
GAINESVILLE	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
HIALEAH	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2
HOLLYWOOD	7	7	7	4	7	7	7	4
JACKSONVILLE	338	309	319	204	338	309	319	204
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
ORLANDO	39	39	39	25	39	39	39	25
PENSACOLA	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	6
ST. PETERSBURG	21	21	21	13	21	21	21	13
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WEST PALM BEACH	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SACRAMENTO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS	-	-	-	-	8	6	7	4
SAN BERNARDINO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO	298	298	298	311	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
AURORA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER	4	4	4	3	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON	309	309	309	373	341	341	341	321
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total correction				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ATLANTA	100	100	100	71	100	100	100	71
AUGUSTA	12	11	11	5	12	11	11	5
COLUMBUS	69	69	69	35	62	62	62	31
MACON	7	7	7	4	7	7	7	4
SAVANNAH	13	13	13	8	13	13	13	8
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	64	64	64	61	64	64	64	61
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
AURORA	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	9
BERWYN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
CHAMPAIGN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
CHICAGO	--	--	--	--	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
CICERO	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DECATUR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DES PLAINES	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
EAST ST. LOUIS	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ELGIN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
EVANSTON	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
JOLIET	7	5	6	6	7	5	6	6
OAK LAWN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OAK PARK	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
PEORIA	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ROCKFORD	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
ROCK ISLAND	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
SKOKIE	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
SPRINGFIELD	9	9	9	4	9	9	9	4
WAUKEGAN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	1	--	1	(Z)	1	--	1	(Z)
EVANSVILLE	1	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
FORT WAYNE	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
GARY	21	20	20	15	15	14	14	11
HAMMOND	15	6	10	6	5	--	3	2
INDIANAPOLIS	255	255	255	160	239	239	239	151
MUNCIE	1	--	1	(Z)	--	--	--	--
SOUTH BEND	9	9	9	6	--	--	--	--
TERRE HAUTE	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
COUNCIL BLUFFS	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
DAVENPORT	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DES MOINES	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DUBUQUE	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
SIoux CITY	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
WATERLOO	--	--	--	--	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	19	19	19	13	19	19	19	13
OVERLAND PARK	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
TOPEKA	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	1
WICHITA	42	22	36	24	42	22	36	24
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
LEXINGTON	31	30	30	26	29	29	29	25
LOUISVILLE	--	--	--	--	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
OWENSBORO	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ATLANTA	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
AUGUSTA	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
COLUMBUS	7	7	7	4	--	--	--	--
MACON	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
SAVANNAH	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
AURORA	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
BERWYN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
CHAMPAIGN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
CHICAGO	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
CICERO	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DECATUR	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DES PLAINES	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
EAST ST. LOUIS	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ELGIN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
EVANSTON	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
JOLIET	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OAK LAWN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OAK PARK	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
PEORIA	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ROCKFORD	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
ROCK ISLAND	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
SKOKIE	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
SPRINGFIELD	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
WAUKEGAN	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
EVANSVILLE	1	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
FORT WAYNE	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
GARY	6	6	6	4	--	--	--	--
HAMMOND	3	3	3	2	--	--	--	--
INDIANAPOLIS	16	16	16	8	7	3	4	2
MUNCIE	1	--	1	(Z)	--	--	--	--
SOUTH BEND	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
TERRE HAUTE	--	--	--	--	9	9	9	6
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
COUNCIL BLUFFS	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DAVENPORT	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DES MOINES	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DUBUQUE	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
SIoux CITY	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
WATERLOO	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OVERLAND PARK	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
TOPEKA	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
WICHITA	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
LEXINGTON	1	1	1	1	--	--	--	--
LOUISVILLE	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OWENSBORO	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total correction				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ¹		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	96	96	96	57	90	90	90	52
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	11	11	11	5	11	11	11	5
NEW ORLEANS	339	338	338	196	309	308	308	178
SHREVEPORT	22	21	22	10	22	21	22	10
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	371	371	371	303	371	371	371	303
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	403	403	403	412	234	234	234	234
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	209	196	202	212	209	196	202	212
FLINT	21	21	21	26	17	17	17	22
GRAND RAPIDS	19	4	6	6	3	3	3	3
KALAMAZOO	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
LANSING	12	12	12	11	8	8	8	8
LINCOLN PARK	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LIVONIA	5	3	4	4	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	23	7	9	11	7	7	7	8
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SOUTHFIELD	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	1	-	1	(2)	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DULUTH	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	70	70	70	72	70	70	70	72
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
JACKSON	24	24	24	14	24	24	24	14

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE	6	6	6	5	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS	30	30	30	18	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON	169	169	169	178	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
WORCESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT	4	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
FLINT	19	4	6	6	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	4	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO	4	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
LANSING	5	3	4	4	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	16	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR	1	-	-	(2)	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
JACKSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total correction				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	118	118	118	98	57	57	57	55
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	604	604	604	452	362	362	362	258
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
GREAT FALLS	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	28	23	25	23	19	17	18	16
OMAHA	7	7	7	5	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	11	9	10	7	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	7	5	6	4	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	91	89	90	71	80	79	79	62
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	5 777	5 739	5 748	6 440	4 703	4 665	4 673	5 391
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	21	21	21	22	21	21	21	22
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	61	61	61	43	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	242	242	242	193	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	9	6	7	6	5	5	5	4
OMAHA	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	11	9	10	7	-	-	-	-
NASHUA	7	5	6	4	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	2
CAMDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	11	10	11	9	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY	1 074	1 074	1 074	1 049	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments:
October 1973—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total correction				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	21	20	20	18	17	16	16	13
CANTON	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
CINCINNATI	145	141	143	127	98	96	97	84
CLEVELAND	201	184	192	172	178	161	169	152
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	68	65	67	65	57	54	56	53
DAYTON	65	65	65	54	65	65	65	54
ELYRIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	1	-	1	(Z)	1	-	-	(Z)
HAMILTON	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KETTERING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	3	-	1	(Z)	3	-	1	(Z)
LIMA	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
LORAIN	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
MANSFIELD	4	4	4	2	4	-	4	2
PARMA	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	53	53	53	54	44	44	44	43
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	13	11	12	9	13	11	12	9
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	3
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	33	33	33	27	28	28	28	23
TULSA	30	26	28	24	30	26	28	24
OREGON:								
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEN TOWN	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	1 604	1 604	1 604	1 604	975	975	975	1 004
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	16	16	16	11	16	16	16	11
GREENVILLE	3	2	3	1	3	2	3	1
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	29	29	29	18	22	22	22	12
MEMPHIS	91	88	89	74	91	88	89	74
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	187	187	187	122	187	187	187	122

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments:
October 1973—Continued
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON	4	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
CANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI	47	45	46	42	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND	23	23	23	20	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS	11	11	11	12	-	-	-	-
DAYTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO	9	9	9	11	-	-	-	-
WARREN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-
TULSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:								
EUGENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEN TOWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	629	629	629	600	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Total correction				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent ¹		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	5	4	5	2	4	4	4	2
AMARILLO	7	7	7	4	7	7	7	4
ARLINGTON	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	6	6	6	4	6	6	6	4
BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	18	17	18	9	18	17	18	9
DALLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	30	30	30	24	24	24	24	19
GALVESTON	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
GARLAND	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HOUSTON	174	174	174	134	174	174	174	134
IRVING	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	5
LAREDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	2
PASADENA	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	5
PORT ARTHUR	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2
SAN ANGELO	7	7	7	3	7	7	7	3
SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	6	6	6	4	6	6	6	4
WICHITA FALLS	9	6	9	7	9	6	9	7
UTAH:								
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	41	41	41	30	32	32	32	22
CHESAPEAKE	100	100	100	52	100	100	100	52
HAMPTON	44	19	28	17	25	-	9	5
LYNCHBURG	43	22	30	15	43	22	30	15
NEWPORT NEWS	102	57	70	50	83	38	52	34
NORFOLK	170	98	117	82	114	42	64	38
PORTSMOUTH	51	-	20	10	51	-	20	10
RICHMOND	119	22	46	44	119	22	46	44
ROANOKE	93	58	67	44	56	21	32	16
VIRGINIA BEACH	37	37	37	24	19	19	19	10
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	94	87	90	94	65	65	65	70
SPOKANE	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TACOMA	24	24	24	19	24	24	24	19
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Detail of Correction Employment and Payrolls of 384 Large City Governments: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TEXAS:								
ABILENE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO	1	-	1	(z)	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	5
GARLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA	9	9	9	8	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON	19	19	19	12	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS	17	17	17	14	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK	56	56	56	44	2	2	2	1
PORTSMOUTH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE	37	37	37	27	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH	18	18	18	14	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
EVERETT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE	29	22	26	24	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACROSSE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. NA Not available. Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.
¹Detail may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 48 Other Criminal Justice Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
UNITED STATES, TOTAL	133 479	133 479	116 041	17 438	377 263	334	376 929
STATES	447 955	71 335	70 229	1 106	376 620	(X)	376 620
LOCAL, TOTAL	62 478	62 144	45 812	16 332	643	334	309
COUNTIES	27 102	26 847	12 320	14 527	255	205	50
MUNICIPALITIES	35 685	35 297	33 492	1 805	388	129	259
ALABAMA	1 234	1 234	1 015	219	5 742	-	5 742
STATE	6 627	885	885	-	5 742	(X)	5 742
LOCAL, TOTAL	350	350	131	219	-	-	-
COUNTIES	283	283	64	219	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	67	67	67	-	-	-	-
ALASKA	242	242	238	4	571	-	571
STATE	813	242	238	4	571	(X)	571
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	935	935	778	157	5 304	-	5 304
STATE	5 834	530	512	18	5 304	(X)	5 304
LOCAL, TOTAL	405	405	266	139	-	-	-
COUNTIES	63	63	63	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	342	342	203	139	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	352	352	323	29	2 551	-	2 551
STATE	2 903	352	323	29	2 551	(X)	2 551
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA	11 747	11 747	11 677	70	28 291	3	28 288
STATE	35 516	7 240	7 191	49	28 276	(X)	28 276
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 510	4 507	4 486	21	15	3	12
COUNTIES	3 724	3 721	3 709	12	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES	798	786	777	8	12	-	12
COLORADO	730	730	698	32	7 518	-	7 518
STATE	8 207	689	657	32	7 518	(X)	7 518
LOCAL, TOTAL	41	41	41	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	29	29	29	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	12	12	12	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	2 438	2 438	2 084	354	1 568	-	1 568
STATE	3 036	1 468	1 463	5	1 568	(X)	1 568
LOCAL, TOTAL	970	970	621	349	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	970	970	621	349	-	-	-
DELAWARE	514	514	514	-	961	-	961
STATE	1 475	514	514	-	961	(X)	961
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1 364	1 364	1 350	14	-	-	-
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 364	1 364	1 350	14	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 364	1 364	1 350	14	-	-	-
FLORIDA	3 926	3 926	3 891	35	11 921	-	11 921
STATE	14 082	2 161	2 150	11	11 921	(X)	11 921
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 765	1 765	1 741	24	-	-	-
COUNTIES	984	984	960	24	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	781	781	781	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA	1 580	1 580	1 411	168	19 637	-	19 637
STATE	21 041	1 404	1 389	15	19 637	(X)	19 637
LOCAL, TOTAL	175	175	22	153	-	-	-
COUNTIES	159	159	6	153	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	16	16	16	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Table 48: Other Criminal Justice Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII	689	689	689	-	1 154	-	1 154
STATE	1 840	686	686	-	1 154	(X)	1 154
LOCAL, TOTAL	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	1 818	1 818	1 059	759	1 370	-	1 370
STATE	2 418	1 048	1 043	5	1 370	(X)	1 370
LOCAL, TOTAL	770	770	16	754	-	-	-
COUNTIES	759	759	5	754	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	10	10	10	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	3 547	3 547	3 387	161	20 847	-	20 847
STATE	22 932	2 085	2 077	8	20 847	(X)	20 847
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 463	1 463	1 310	153	-	-	-
COUNTIES	1 279	1 279	1 179	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 284	1 284	1 131	153	-	-	-
INDIANA	3 756	3 756	3 629	127	8 833	92	8 741
STATE	10 044	1 303	1 294	9	8 741	(X)	8 741
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 545	2 453	2 355	118	92	92	-
COUNTIES	1 319	1 319	1 257	62	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 226	1 134	1 078	56	92	92	-
IOWA	1 152	1 152	1 144	8	3 987	2	3 985
STATE	4 333	424	423	1	3 909	(X)	3 909
LOCAL, TOTAL	730	728	721	7	78	2	76
COUNTIES	262	252	245	7	9	2	7
MUNICIPALITIES	545	476	476	-	69	-	69
KANSAS	1 469	1 469	1 459	10	3 144	-	3 144
STATE	3 691	547	547	-	3 144	(X)	3 144
LOCAL, TOTAL	922	922	912	10	-	-	-
COUNTIES	81	81	81	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	842	842	832	10	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	1 090	1 090	1 073	17	6 413	-	6 413
STATE	7 473	1 060	1 043	17	6 413	(X)	6 413
LOCAL, TOTAL	30	30	30	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	15	15	15	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	15	15	15	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA	719	719	719	-	10 622	1	10 621
STATE	11 189	578	578	-	10 611	(X)	10 611
LOCAL, TOTAL	142	141	141	-	11	1	10
COUNTIES	11	1	1	-	10	-	10
MUNICIPALITIES	141	140	140	-	1	1	-
MAINE	536	536	522	14	982	-	982
STATE	1 499	517	507	10	982	(X)	982
LOCAL, TOTAL	19	19	15	4	-	-	-
COUNTIES	19	19	15	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	6 542	6 542	6 394	148	6 656	-	6 656
STATE	11 384	4 728	4 629	99	6 656	(X)	6 656
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 814	1 814	1 765	49	-	-	-
COUNTIES	860	860	821	39	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	954	954	944	10	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	6 493	6 493	6 349	145	11 253	-	11 253
STATE	14 225	2 972	2 957	15	11 253	(X)	11 253
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 521	3 521	3 392	130	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	3 521	3 521	3 392	130	-	-	-
MICHIGAN	4 711	4 711	4 688	23	14 820	-	14 820
STATE	18 143	3 500	3 500	-	14 643	(X)	14 643
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 211	1 211	1 189	23	177	-	177
COUNTIES	593	591	589	2	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	795	620	599	21	175	-	175

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48 Other Criminal Justice Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA	2 380	2 380	2 032	348	7 226	-	7 226
STATE	8 046	820	809	11	7 226	(X)	7 226
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 559	1 559	1 223	337	-	-	-
COUNTIES	574	574	237	337	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	986	986	986	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	1 140	1 140	1 125	15	1 985	-	1 985
STATE	3 071	1 086	1 071	15	1 985	(X)	1 985
LOCAL, TOTAL	54	54	54	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	49	49	49	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	628	628	623	5	16 278	-	16 278
STATE	16 743	465	460	5	16 278	(X)	16 278
LOCAL, TOTAL	163	163	163	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	163	163	163	-	-	-	-
MONTANA	697	697	685	11	1 390	-	1 390
STATE	1 992	602	596	6	1 390	(X)	1 390
LOCAL, TOTAL	95	95	89	5	-	-	-
COUNTIES	32	32	27	5	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	63	63	63	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	1 067	1 067	1 019	48	2 493	-	2 493
STATE	3 069	576	561	15	2 493	(X)	2 493
LOCAL, TOTAL	491	491	458	33	-	-	-
COUNTIES	17	17	17	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	474	474	440	33	-	-	-
NEVADA	616	616	606	10	1 365	-	1 365
STATE	1 981	616	606	10	1 365	(X)	1 365
LOCAL, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	292	292	281	11	1	-	1
STATE	235	235	230	5	1	(X)	1
LOCAL, TOTAL	57	57	51	6	1	-	1
COUNTIES	35	34	28	6	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES	23	23	23	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	3 063	3 063	3 005	58	9 886	2	9 884
STATE	11 698	1 814	1 790	24	9 884	(X)	9 884
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 251	1 249	1 215	34	2	2	2
COUNTIES	537	537	537	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	714	712	678	34	2	2	2
NEW MEXICO	710	710	687	23	2 053	-	2 053
STATE	2 577	524	510	14	2 053	(X)	2 053
LOCAL, TOTAL	186	186	176	9	-	-	-
COUNTIES	186	186	176	9	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	33 521	33 521	24 307	9 214	27 586	-	27 586
STATE	36 288	8 702	8 699	3	27 586	(X)	27 586
LOCAL, TOTAL	24 819	24 819	15 608	9 211	-	-	-
COUNTIES	9 228	9 228	566	8 662	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	15 591	15 591	15 042	549	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA	4 681	4 681	820	3 861	5 877	43	5 834
STATE	6 571	739	707	32	5 832	(X)	5 832
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 985	3 942	113	3 829	45	43	2
COUNTIES	3 899	3 880	55	3 825	20	17	2
MUNICIPALITIES	88	62	58	4	25	25	-
NORTH DAKOTA	325	325	323	2	1 131	-	1 131
STATE	1 452	321	319	2	1 131	(X)	1 131
LOCAL, TOTAL	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	4	4	4	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Other Criminal Justice Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO	2 050	2 050	2 038	12	25 039	-	25 039
STATE	26 461	1 441	1 441	-	25 020	(X)	25 020
LOCAL, TOTAL	609	609	597	12	19	-	19
COUNTIES	139	120	109	11	18	-	18
MUNICIPALITIES	489	489	488	1	1	-	1
OKLAHOMA	1 134	1 134	1 127	7	3 931	-	3 931
STATE	4 611	689	682	7	3 922	(X)	3 922
LOCAL, TOTAL	445	445	445	-	9	-	9
COUNTIES	9	-	-	-	9	-	9
MUNICIPALITIES	445	445	445	-	-	-	-
OREGON	1 255	1 255	1 246	9	4 451	22	4 429
STATE	5 152	723	723	9	4 429	(X)	4 429
LOCAL, TOTAL	554	523	523	-	22	22	-
COUNTIES	218	195	195	1	22	22	-
MUNICIPALITIES	336	328	328	8	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	4 190	4 190	3 850	340	33 044	-	33 044
STATE	36 190	3 146	3 146	-	33 044	(X)	33 044
LOCAL, TOTAL	1 044	1 044	704	340	-	-	-
COUNTIES	417	417	77	340	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	627	627	627	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	468	468	431	36	1 419	-	1 419
STATE	1 652	235	235	-	1 417	(X)	1 417
LOCAL, TOTAL	233	233	196	36	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES	235	233	196	36	2	-	2
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 051	2 051	1 460	590	4 811	8	4 803
STATE	6 733	1 930	1 372	558	4 803	(X)	4 803
LOCAL, TOTAL	128	121	88	32	8	8	-
COUNTIES	26	26	-	26	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	102	95	88	6	8	8	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	542	542	537	5	2 357	-	2 357
STATE	2 885	528	523	5	2 357	(X)	2 357
LOCAL, TOTAL	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	678	678	670	8	2 743	-	2 746
STATE	3 348	602	594	8	2 746	(X)	2 746
LOCAL, TOTAL	75	75	75	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	28	28	28	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	47	47	47	-	-	-	-
TEXAS	5 259	5 259	4 975	283	26 646	150	26 496
STATE	28 604	2 108	2 091	17	26 496	(X)	26 496
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 301	3 151	2 885	266	150	150	-
COUNTIES	2 115	1 965	1 942	23	150	150	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 186	1 186	943	243	-	-	-
UTAH	921	921	918	3	2 268	-	2 268
STATE	3 061	793	790	3	2 268	(X)	2 268
LOCAL, TOTAL	128	128	128	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	128	128	128	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	278	278	278	-	353	-	353
STATE	629	276	276	-	353	(X)	353
LOCAL, TOTAL	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	1 414	1 414	1 399	15	6 460	-	6 460
STATE	7 786	1 326	1 314	12	6 460	(X)	6 460
LOCAL, TOTAL	88	88	85	3	-	-	-
COUNTIES	66	66	64	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	22	22	21	1	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Other Criminal Justice Expenditure, by Character and Object, by State and Type of Government: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government	Total ¹	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON	1 300	1 300	1 290	10	7 392	11	7 381
STATE	8 347	966	958	8	7 381	(X)	7 381
LOCAL, TOTAL	346	335	333	2	11	11	-
COUNTIES	205	194	192	2	11	11	-
MUNICIPALITIES	141	141	141	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	540	540	521	19	1 530	-	1 530
STATE	2 055	525	506	19	1 530	(X)	1 530
LOCAL, TOTAL	15	15	15	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	15	15	15	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	4 510	4 510	4 510	-	2 684	-	2 684
STATE	7 138	4 454	4 454	-	2 684	(X)	2 684
LOCAL, TOTAL	56	56	56	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	48	48	48	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	186	186	186	-	715	-	715
STATE	873	158	158	-	715	(X)	715
LOCAL, TOTAL	28	28	28	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	27	27	27	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
X Not applicable.

¹For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

APPENDIX 1: EXHIBIT TABLES A AND B

Table A. Special Police Force Expenditure of Selected Special Districts and School Districts by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Total.....	58,150	57,409	56,687	722	741
California:					
East Bay Regional Park District.....	518	518	482	36	-
Sacramento-Yolo Port District.....	114	114	114	-	-
San Diego Unified Port District.....	794	794	714	80	-
Housing Authority of the City of San Francisco.....	245	245	242	3	-
San Francisco Bay Transit Authority.....	1,173	1,173	1,173	-	-
Stockton Port District.....	198	198	195	3	-
Peralta Junior College District.....	421	421	330	91	-
Richmond Unified School District.....	190	190	190	-	-
Los Angeles City Unified School District.....	2,758	2,758	2,758	-	-
Los Angeles City Community College District.....	967	967	967	-	-
Pasadena City College.....	170	170	170	-	-
Sacramento City Unified School District.....	122	122	118	4	-
San Diego Unified School District.....	221	221	213	8	-
Colorado:					
Jefferson County School District.....	149	149	93	56	-
Connecticut:					
Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport.....	454	454	450	4	-
Hartford County Metropolitan District.....	129	129	119	10	-
Housing Authority of the City of New Haven.....	381	381	381	-	-
Delaware:					
Delaware River and Bay Authority.....	261	261	261	-	-
Florida:					
Dade County School District.....	1,026	1,026	971	55	-
Miami-Dade Junior College.....	254	229	227	2	25
Pensacola Junior College.....	132	132	129	3	-
Hillsborough County School District.....	171	171	167	4	-
Pinellas County School District.....	189	189	189	-	-
Georgia:					
Augusta Hospital Authority.....	106	106	106	-	-
Bibb County School District.....	87	87	87	-	-
Atlanta Independent School District.....	347	185	160	25	162
Illinois:					
Metropolitan Sanitary Commission of Greater Chicago.....	723	723	723	-	-
Chicago Transit Authority.....	897	897	897	-	-
Metropolitan Fair Exposition Authority.....	334	334	334	-	-
Board of Education of the City of Chicago.....	2,434	2,434	2,434	-	-
Chicago City College.....	675	675	675	-	-
Peoria Public School District 150.....	166	166	153	13	-
Indiana:					
Indianapolis City School District.....	563	563	563	-	-
Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority.....	121	121	121	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special Police Force Expenditure of Selected Special Districts and School Districts by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Kansas:					
Kansas City School District.....	120	120	120	-	-
Unified School District 501 (Topeka).....	87	87	87	-	-
Wichita Unified School District.....	313	313	310	3	-
Louisiana:					
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	142	133	128	5	9
Orleans Levee District.....	470	470	425	45	-
East Baton Rouge Parish School District.....	107	107	107	-	-
Maryland:					
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission....	1,310	1,310	1,251	59	-
Massachusetts:					
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority.....	891	891	891	-	-
Michigan:					
Huron-Clinton Metropolitan Authority.....	518	518	518	-	-
Macomb County Community College District.....	181	181	180	1	-
Detroit City School District.....	565	565	565	-	-
Flint City School District.....	151	-	-	-	151
Pontiac City School District.....	40	-	-	-	40
Tri-City Airport Police.....	79	-	-	-	79
Minnesota:					
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metropolitan Airports Commission....	466	466	466	-	-
Hennepin County Park Reserve District.....	88	88	85	3	-
Missouri:					
Kansas City School District.....	207	207	207	-	-
St. Louis City School District.....	600	600	600	-	-
Springfield School District.....	76	65	65	-	11
Forest Park Community College District.....	399	396	396	-	3
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	700	700	699	1	-
Nevada:					
Clark County School District.....	143	143	143	-	-
New Jersey:					
Burlington County Bridge Commission.....	294	294	293	1	-
Delaware River Port Authority.....	1,217	1,217	1,217	-	-
Paterson Housing Authority.....	40	-	-	-	40
New Mexico:					
Albuquerque Municipal School District.....	80	80	80	-	-
New York:					
Port of New York Authority.....	16,126	16,126	16,126	-	-
Waterfront and Airport Commission of New York and New Jersey.....	999	999	973	26	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special Police Force Expenditure of Selected Special Districts by Character and Object: Fiscal Year 1972-73—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Ohio:					
Cleveland Housing Authority.....	535	535	502	33	-
Akron Metropolitan Park District.....	139	139	139	-	-
Cleveland Metropolitan Park District.....	717	717	697	20	-
Toledo Metropolitan Park District.....	159	159	145	14	-
Hamilton County Park District.....	162	162	154	8	-
Lorain County Park District.....	307	307	301	6	-
Youngstown Township Park District.....	106	106	106	-	-
Cuyahoga County Community College District.....	294	294	294	-	-
Cincinnati City School District.....	186	186	186	-	-
Dayton City School District.....	93	93	93	-	-
Oklahoma:					
Oklahoma City School District 89.....	233	233	233	-	-
Oregon:					
Port of Portland District.....	883	883	883	-	-
Portland School District 1.....	264	264	264	-	-
Pennsylvania:					
Port Authority of Allegheny County.....	99	25	25	-	74
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission.....	1,977	1,977	1,977	-	-
Pottstown Borough Authority.....	623	623	623	-	-
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	2,048	2,048	2,048	-	-
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	600	600	600	-	-
South Carolina:					
Richland-Lexington Airport District.....	155	155	155	-	-
Texas:					
Bexar County Hospital District.....	126	126	126	-	-
Dallas County Hospital District.....	256	256	253	3	-
Port of Houston Authority.....	989	989	939	50	-
Nueces County Navigation District 1.....	48	48	48	-	-
San Antonio-St. Phillips College District.....	123	123	123	-	-
Dallas County Community College District (El Centro).....	183	183	180	3	-
Tarrant County Junior College District.....	138	138	135	3	-
El Paso Independent School District.....	141	141	141	-	-
Houston Independent School District.....	371	371	371	-	-
Irving Independent School District.....	61	61	61	-	-
Housing Authority of the City of Houston.....	93	93	93	-	-
Virginia:					
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission.....	614	614	607	7	-
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District.....	491	491	491	-	-
Washington:					
Port of Seattle District.....	1,037	890	856	34	147

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Table B. Special Police Force Employment and Payroll of Selected Special Districts and School Districts: October 1973

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	5,892	4,801	5,266	4,913
California:				
East Bay Regional Park District.....	46	37	41	37
Sacramento-Yolo Port District.....	11	10	11	10
San Diego Unified Port District.....	65	65	65	74
Housing Authority of the City of San Francisco.....	22	22	22	22
San Francisco Bay Transit Authority.....	91	91	91	111
Stockton Port District.....	20	16	18	15
Peralta Junior College District.....	39	29	33	28
Richmond Unified School District.....	18	18	18	16
Los Angeles City Unified School District.....	387	337	364	311
Los Angeles City Community College District.....	121	77	83	82
Pasadena City College.....	14	11	12	9
Sacramento City Unified School District.....	12	12	12	10
San Diego Unified School District.....	21	21	21	19
Colorado:				
Jefferson County School District.....	18	18	18	7
Connecticut:				
Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport.....	53	53	53	42
Hartford County Metropolitan District.....	11	11	11	13
Housing Authority of the City of New Haven.....	27	27	27	30
Delaware:				
Delaware River and Bay Authority.....	19	19	19	19
Florida:				
Dade County School District.....	68	68	68	68
Miami-Dade Junior College.....	32	14	18	11
Pensacola Junior College.....	18	18	18	10
Hillsborough County School District.....	19	19	19	15
Pinellas County School District.....	21	21	21	12
Georgia:				
Bibb County School District.....	13	13	13	6
Atlanta Independent School District.....	13	10	11	9
Illinois:				
Metropolitan Sanitary Commission of Greater Chicago.....	64	64	64	62
Chicago Transit Authority.....	63	63	63	65
Metropolitan Fair Exposition Authority.....	51	38	42	39
Board of Education of the City of Chicago.....	530	30	326	193
Chicago City College.....	4	4	4	4
Peoria Public School District 150.....	22	22	22	16
Indiana:				
Indianapolis City School District.....	103	60	75	63
Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority.....	15	15	15	9
Kansas:				
Kansas City School District.....	11	11	11	8
Unified School District 501 (Topeka).....	10	10	10	6
Wichita Unified School District.....	44	44	44	25

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special Police Force Employment and Payroll of Selected Special Districts and School Districts: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Louisiana:				
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	21	21	21	11
Orleans Levee District.....	55	53	54	38
East Baton Rouge Parish School District.....	13	13	13	8
Maryland:				
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission.....	100	100	100	104
Massachusetts:				
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority.....	57	57	57	79
Michigan:				
Huron-Clinton Metropolitan Authority.....	49	24	32	31
Macomb County Community College District.....	26	7	11	11
Minnesota:				
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metropolitan Airports Commission.....	156	45	61	74
Hennepin County Park Reserve District.....	10	10	10	8
Missouri:				
Kansas City School District.....	45	4	24	22
St. Louis City School District.....	27	27	27	16
Forest Park Community College District.....	59	49	55	36
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	17	17	17	9
Nevada:				
Clark County School District.....	16	16	16	13
New Jersey:				
Burlington County Bridge Commission.....	30	24	26	30
Delaware River Port Authority.....	104	104	104	97
New Mexico:				
Albuquerque Municipal School District.....	13	13	13	7
New York:				
Port of New York Authority.....	1,389	1,389	1,389	1,678
Waterfront and Airport Commission of New York and New Jersey.....	77	75	75	92
Ohio:				
Cleveland Housing Authority.....	44	44	44	40
Akron Metropolitan Park District.....	19	17	18	13
Cleveland Metropolitan Park District.....	65	61	62	54
Toledo Metropolitan Park District.....	19	18	19	16
Hamilton County Park District.....	33	15	21	12
Lorain County Metropolitan Park District.....	25	24	25	20
Youngstown Township Park District.....	20	11	13	10
Cuyahoga County Community College District.....	31	26	27	22
Cincinnati City School District.....	61	1	13	18
Dayton City School District.....	21	21	21	15
Oklahoma:				
Oklahoma City School District 89.....	51	51	51	22
Oregon:				
Port of Portland District.....	92	92	92	90
Portland School District 1.....	20	18	19	18

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B. Special Police Force Employment and Payroll of Selected Special Districts and School Districts: October 1973—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Pennsylvania:				
Port Authority of Allegheny County.....	2	2	2	2
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission.....	109	109	109	101
Pottstown Borough Authority.....	71	41	43	38
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	235	235	235	182
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	61	61	61	37
South Carolina:				
Richland-Lexington Airport District.....	17	17	17	11
Texas:				
Bexar County Hospital District.....	23	19	20	11
Dallas County Hospital District.....	43	43	43	25
Port of Houston Authority.....	106	94	98	68
Nueces County Navigation District 1.....	4	4	4	2
San Antonio-St. Phillips College District.....	26	26	26	10
Dallas County Community College District (El Centro).....	28	28	28	20
Tarrant County Junior College District.....	17	17	17	11
Houston Independent School District.....	37	37	37	25
Irving Independent School District.....	12	12	12	5
Housing Authority of the City of Houston.....	29	-	10	6
Virginia:				
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission.....	64	64	64	49
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District.....	65	65	65	42
Washington:				
Port of Seattle District.....	82	82	82	78

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

APPENDIX 2: DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

Following is a glossary of terms, concepts, and categories used in this report and comments concerning their limitations.

The definitions are those applied in the field compilation of data for the 50 States, 312 largest counties, and 384 largest cities. These definitions were necessarily summarized for inclusion in the survey questionnaires (see appendix 3) sent to governments on the mail panel.

Government Expenditure

Expenditure comprises all amounts of money paid out (net of any correcting transactions) other than for retirement of debt, investment in securities, extensions of loans, or agency transactions. It includes only external cash payments and excludes any intragovernmental transfers and noncash transactions, such as the provision of meals or housing of employees. It also includes any payments financed from borrowing, fund balances, intergovernmental revenue, and other current revenue. In several instances, two or more governments share the expense of maintaining a court or other criminal justice agency. In these cases, the allocable direct expenditure amount is reported for each government in the appropriate category. When a government pays pensions directly to retired employees from appropriated funds, such payments are included as expenditure of the government concerned. However, State and local government contributions to retirement systems and various other employee benefits are not included in expenditure data, since the majority of governments make lump-sum contributions to plans covering all government employees and cannot report for criminal justice employees separately. Neither in government's basic accounting records (from which criminal justice expenditure figures are drawn) nor in the records of their general-coverage employee benefit systems is there usually any breakdown of amounts contributed in terms of the various agencies or functions involved. Nor has an adequate procedure for calculating the proportion of such contributions allocable to criminal justice employees been developed due to the wide variation in the coverage of various plans, employee status requirements, benefit rates, etc.

For those governments whose records reflect contributions for criminal justice employees separately, separate data were obtained. However, these data are subject to the same variation referred to above and

neither the amount nor the quality of the data reported would permit any attempt to estimate total contributions for all similar governments in a given State. Given the problems involved, no estimation procedure seems feasible. Such data as were reported separately were therefore excluded from total criminal justice expenditures to provide a consistent data base for administering the variable pass-through requirement.

Expenditure is divided into two major categories by character:

1. **Direct expenditure** comprises all expenditure except that classed as intergovernmental and is further divided into two principal object categories:

a. **Current operation**, which includes salaries, wages, fees, and commissions, purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services.

b. **Capital outlay**, which includes expenditure for the three subcategories below:

Construction: Production of fixed works and structures, and additions, replacements and major alterations thereto undertaken either on a contract basis by private contractors or through force account construction by the employees of the government. Included are the planning and designing of specific projects, the grading, landscaping, and other site improvement, and the provision of equipment and facilities that are integral parts of the structure.

Equipment: Purchase and installation of apparatus, furnishings, office equipment, motor vehicles and the like having an expected life of more than 5 years. This includes both additional equipment and replacements. Rentals for equipment, including rental payments that may be credited on the purchase price if purchase options are exercised, are classified as current operation expenditure. Equipment and facilities that are integral parts of constructed or purchased structures are classified respectively under construction or purchase of land and existing structures.

Purchase of land and existing structures: Purchase of these assets as such, purchase of rights-of-way, and title search and similar activities associated with purchase transactions.

The other object categories—interest on general debt, assistance and subsidies, and insurance benefits—are not applied to specific functions.

2. Intergovernmental expenditure comprises payments from one government to another, including grants-in-aid, shared revenues, payments in lieu of taxes, and amounts for services performed by one government for another on a reimbursable or cost-sharing basis (for example, payments by one government to another for boarding prisoners).

Total expenditure is comprised of direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for criminal justice activities.

Total general expenditure comprises all expenditure of a government or level of government for all government functions, including criminal justice activities, but excluding utility system expenditure, liquor store expenditure and insurance trust expenditure. Total general expenditure shown for the local level of government includes expenditure only of general purpose governments, and excludes expenditure of special districts and school districts.

Government Employment

Employees includes all persons paid for personal services performed, including all paid officials and persons in paid leave status, and excludes unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners and contractors. Under this definition are two classes:

1. Full-time employees, which comprises all persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1973 on a full-time basis, including all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period as well as persons having permanent status.

2. Part-time employees, which includes persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1973 on a basis other than full-time, and persons paid by more than one government.

Derived from these two classes is:

Full-time equivalent employees, which is the total number of employees, discounted by applying average full-time earning rates. This is calculated by dividing the total payroll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payroll and multiplying this by the number of full-time employees.

Payroll is the gross payroll before deductions and includes salaries, wages, fees and commissions paid to employees as defined above for the month of October 1973.

Governmental Functions

Police protection is the function of enforcing the law, preserving order, and apprehending those who violate the law, whether these activities are performed by a police department, a sheriff's department, or a special police force maintained by an agency whose prime responsibility is outside the criminal justice system, but which has a police force to perform these activities in its specialized area (geographic or functional).

Included in this activity are regular police services, the maintenance of buildings used for police purposes and such specialized police forces (including public and private contract forces) as airport police, free and toll highway police, free and toll bridge and tunnel police, housing police, maritime police, park police, transit and other utility system police, college and university campus police and alcoholic beverage control agents. Coroners and medical examiners are also included. Excluded are vehicular inspection and licensing, traffic safety and engineering, fish and game wardens, fire marshals and the like.

The special police forces included in the data are only those which are part of general purpose governments. Security forces and building guards without the power to make a police arrest were excluded. Those special police forces which are part of independent school districts or special districts are not included in the data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected larger special police forces of these districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B.

At the county government level, both county police agencies and sheriffs' departments, where such departments exist, are included in the police protection sector, unless research has indicated that sheriffs have no substantial responsibility for police activities. The lack of needed information has prevented the consistent proration of expenditure or employment of sheriffs' departments where those departments are multifunctional.

Short-term custody and detention have traditionally been considered part of the "police protection" function; and in editions prior to the 1969-70 report were treated as such. However, beginning with the 1969-70 report, the concept was modified on the basis of information obtained from the 1970 National Jail Census. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in the "corrections" sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in the "police protection" sector.

Judicial activities encompass all courts and activities associated with courts such as law libraries, grand juries, petit juries and the like. Since the names of courts with similar functions and legal jurisdiction vary from State to State and even within States, data have been categorized by types of court rather than by court name.

1. Appellate courts include courts of last resort and intermediate appellate courts. These are courts having jurisdiction of appeal and review, with original jurisdiction conferred only in special cases.

a. Court of last resort is the court of final appeal within the judicial structure of each State. It is called the "Court of Appeals" in the District of Columbia, Kentucky, Maryland, and New York; the "Supreme Court of Appeals" in West Virginia; the "Supreme Judicial Court" in Maine and Massachusetts. In Texas and Oklahoma two courts of last resort are authorized—the "Court of Criminal Appeals" for criminal cases and a "Supreme Court" for civil cases. In every other State the court of last resort is titled the "Supreme Court."

b. Intermediate appellate courts are those which are limited in their appellate jurisdiction by State law or at the discretion of the court of last resort. In 15 of the 24 States with a court of this type operating in fiscal year 1972-73 the name "Court of Appeals" is used. These States are:

Arizona	Missouri
California	New Mexico
Colorado	North Carolina
Florida	Ohio
Georgia	Oklahoma
Indiana	Oregon
Louisiana	Washington
Michigan	

In Illinois the title is "Appellate Court"; in Maryland, "Court of Special Appeals"; in Massachusetts, "Appeals Court"; in New Jersey, "Appellate Division of the Superior Court"; in New York¹, "Appellate Division of the Supreme Court"; and in Texas, "Court of Civil Appeals." In Alabama the civil and criminal cases are heard on appeal by separate courts—a "Court of Civil Appeals" and a "Court of Criminal Appeals." In Tennessee the "Court of Appeals" hears only civil appeals; a separate "Court of Criminal Appeals" reviews criminal cases before review by the court of last resort. In Pennsylvania the "Commonwealth Court" reviews all cases brought by or against the State government or its agencies; the "Superior Court" reviews all other appeals except those within the exclusive jurisdiction of the court of last resort.

2. Major trial courts are trial courts of general jurisdiction having unlimited original jurisdiction in civil and/or criminal cases, the names of which vary considerably. The list below shows the title of the courts of general jurisdiction in each State. Several States are listed more than once because local situations led to the development of separate courts, either to hear cases involving different types of pleadings or to hear cases in particular local jurisdictions.

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of major trial courts. These judges were counted as part-time employees at both the State and local levels when actually receiving a check from both governments.

Circuit Courts

Alabama	Mississippi
Arkansas	Missouri
Florida	Oregon
Hawaii	South Carolina
Illinois	South Dakota
Indiana	Tennessee
Kentucky	Virginia
Maryland	West Virginia ²
Michigan ²	Wisconsin

District Courts

Colorado	Nevada
Idaho	New Mexico
Iowa	North Dakota
Kansas	Oklahoma
Louisiana	Texas
Minnesota	Utah
Montana	Wyoming
Nebraska	

Superior Courts

Alaska	Indiana
Arizona	Maine
California	Massachusetts
Connecticut	New Hampshire
Delaware	New Jersey
District of Columbia	North Carolina
Georgia	Rhode Island
	Washington

Chancery Courts

Arkansas	Mississippi
Delaware	Tennessee

County Courts

New Jersey Vermont
New York Wisconsin

Common Pleas Courts

Missouri
Ohio
Pennsylvania

Supreme Court

New York

Criminal Court

Indiana

3. Courts of limited jurisdiction are courts whose legal jurisdiction covers only a particular class of cases, or cases where the amount in controversy is below a prescribed sum or which is subject to specific exceptions. These courts are tabulated under three subcategories: juvenile, probate, and other. Juvenile Court and Probate Court data are reported in separate subcategories when such jurisdiction is exercised by an independent court having only juvenile or probate jurisdiction.

a. Probate courts are also called Orphans Courts, Surrogate's Courts or Courts of Ordinary. The subject jurisdiction varies from place to place, but generally includes estate settlement, probate and contest of wills, adoption, commitment of the insane, administration of the affairs of orphans, mental defectives and incompetents, guardianship of minors, apprenticeship, receivership, change of name proceedings, and the administration of trusts. Data were tabulated separately only for independent probate courts. Where probate matters are part of the jurisdiction of another court, either generally or in a separate division or branch, the data are not tabulated separately but are included with the court exercising jurisdiction.

b. Juvenile courts are those which deal primarily with delinquent and neglected children regardless of the name of the court. In various places such courts are Juvenile Courts, Family Courts, Juvenile and Domestic Relations Courts, Domestic Relations Courts, or other similar names. The jurisdiction of these courts can include crimes committed by persons under legal age, juvenile status offenses, offenses against children, probation of minor delinquents, adoption, custody, or disposition of minor and mentally incompetent children, child neglect or abandonment, child and wife

support, and paternity. Data were tabulated separately only for independent juvenile courts. Where juvenile cases are handled by another court, either generally or in a separate division or branch, the data are not tabulated separately but are included with the court exercising jurisdiction.

c. Other courts includes various other State and local courts with limited jurisdiction such as justices of the peace, district magistrates, justice courts, county courts of limited jurisdiction, municipal courts, city courts, etc. Also included in this category are data on specialized courts such as Tax Courts, Courts of Claims and courts having jurisdiction over more than one type of case (e.g., a court which handles both juvenile and probate cases).

4. Miscellaneous judicial includes data on judicial activities which could not be reported under any of the above court categories, such as judicial councils and conferences, court administration offices (where identifiable), law libraries, jury commissions, and grand juries.

Legal services and prosecution includes the civil and criminal justice activities of the attorneys general, district attorneys, State's attorneys and their variously named equivalents; corporation counsels, solicitors, and legal departments with various names. It includes providing legal advice to the chief executives and subordinate departmental officers, representation of the government in lawsuits, and the prosecution of accused violators of criminal law. These activities are included whether performed by one office or several, since in some jurisdictions a single officer provides all legal services, while in others a prosecutor's office handles only criminal matters and a separate attorney's office performs all civil legal services. The operations of various investigative agencies having full arrest powers and attached to offices of attorneys general, district attorneys or their variously named equivalents are also included.

Indigent defense includes activities associated with the right of persons to have legal counsel and representation, office of the public defender and other government programs which pay the fees of court-appointed counsel. These include court-paid fees to individually retained counsel, fees paid by the court to court-appointed counsel, government contributions to private legal aid societies and bar association-sponsored programs, and the activities of an established public defender office or program. Employment data are included only for public defender offices since fee-paid counselors are not considered government employees, nor are counselors working for bar associations or legal aid societies.

Correction is that function of government involving the confinement and rehabilitation of adults and juveniles convicted of offenses against the law, and the confinement of persons suspected of a crime and awaiting adjudication. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in this sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in "Police Protection." Correction includes the operation of prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, and other institutions. It also includes institutions, facilities and programs exclusively for the confinement of the criminally insane or for the examination, evaluation, classification, and assignment of inmates; and institutions and programs for the confinement, treatment, and rehabilitation of drug addicts and alcoholics if the institution or program is administered by a correction agency of the criminal justice system. Pardon boards, parole and probation agencies, including resettlement or halfway houses for those not in need of institutionalization, are included in the correction sector as a separate subcategory.

1. Correctional institutions are prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, penitentiaries, correctional farms, workhouses, reception centers, diagnostic centers, industrial schools, training schools, detention centers, and a variety of other types of institutions for the confinement and correction of convicted adults and juveniles, and for the incarceration of those accused of a crime and awaiting adjudication. When an institution maintains a prison industry or agricultural program, data on the cost of production or the value of prison labor used by agencies of the same government, if identifiable, are excluded (and classed as expenditure for the function using the products or services). Expenditure for the manufacture, production, sale and distribution of goods produced for sale or use outside the government are included under this heading.

a. Institutions for men includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult male offenders. This category also includes institutions for young adult offenders, described as "youthful offenders." (All such institutions presently known hold males only.)

b. Institutions for women includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult female offenders. Where there is no separate women's prison, women offenders are either maintained in a prison complex that also houses other offenders, or are boarded in private facilities or institutions in another State. No attempt was made to prorate data on institutions housing more than one type of inmate, but where females are boarded in private institutions or in another

State, available expenditure data was tabulated. Employment data were not tabulated because the personnel were employees of another government.

New Hampshire boards its female offenders in the Massachusetts Correctional Institution (for Women). Montana operates a split system, housing some females at the Warm Springs State Hospital and contracting with Nebraska for the rest. Vermont also operates a split system, housing some females and contracting with Massachusetts for the rest. North Dakota and Wyoming house all of their female offenders in the Nebraska Reformatory for Women; and Idaho contracts with the State of Oregon for boarding its female offenders in the Oregon Women's Correctional Center.

c. Institutions for juveniles are those institutions identified by the 1971 Juvenile Detention and Correction Facility Census as housing primarily juveniles. These institutions include those under the control of a juvenile court, a probation department, or a youth authority or other similarly designated administrative body, as well as independently administered institutions.

There is considerable variation from State to State in the legal definition of a juvenile particularly in regard to the age at which a person is no longer considered a juvenile. Institutions for juveniles have been classified individually in accordance with the laws and age designations of their respective States. Institutions housing youths treated as adults by the courts or other authority were included in the institutions for men category.

d. Other and combined institutions are those institutions holding a combination of inmates. Where expenditure or employment data for physically separate institutions for juveniles, adult females and adult males were not separable by institution or type of institution, the entire amounts were included under this category.

2. Correctional administration consists of data for the administration of the correctional system, including data on the central administrative office (for example, the department of corrections or a youth authority) and available data on the administration of individual correctional institutions. For some individual institutions, administration data were not segregable from data on the operation of the institution.

3. Probation, parole and pardon includes data on probation agencies, boards of parole, boards of pardon, and their variously named equivalents. Although probation agencies frequently function under the administration of the major trial court, the data are presented here after having been deducted from the judicial data, because of the correctional nature of the probation function. If the probation, parole, and pardon activities, or any of them individually, were part of the correctional administrative office, data were deducted and shown separately here and the balance under the correctional administration category. The overlapping character of the probation, parole, and pardon activities prevented the separate presentation of these data.

4. Miscellaneous correction includes expenditure and employment data which could not be classified under one of the other subcategories.

Other criminal justice activities, shown in some tables, includes expenditure or employment data not elsewhere classified, or which cuts across more than one category, or is unallocable to separate categories; e.g., expenditure on a general curriculum in educational institutions, the operation of State criminal justice agencies, crime commissions, etc. Such data are included in the totals, where they are not shown separately.

APPENDIX 3: SURVEY FORMS

O.M.B. No. 41-R2768; Approval Expires Dec. 31, 1975

<p>IMPORTANT: Please provide data for your fiscal year that ended between July 1, 1972 and June 30, 1973. Mark (X) the appropriate box to indicate the ending date of your office's fiscal year (12 month accounting period) and report data for this period only. Use the fiscal year called for by this instruction even though a more recent one is available.</p>		<p>FORM CJ-6D (12-6-73)</p> <p>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS</p>	
<p>1972</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> July</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> August</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> September</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> October</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> November</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> December</p>		<p>1973</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> January</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> February</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> March</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> April</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> May</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> June</p>	
<p>TO: Bureau of the Census ATTN: Governments Division Washington, D.C. 20233</p>		<p>(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)</p>	
<p>Data Supplied by</p>	<p>Name</p>		<p>Title</p>
	<p>Official address (Number and street, State, ZIP code)</p>		<p>Telephone</p>
	<p>Area code</p>	<p>Number</p>	<p>Extension</p>
<p>Dear Sir:</p> <p>On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for various criminal justice activities. Since the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and Clerk of Court are included as part of the civil and criminal justice system, we will appreciate your cooperation in again providing information for three of these activities as they apply to your office; police protection, judicial, and corrections.</p> <p>If your office has converted from a fee-supported to a county- or State-supported office, please follow the instructions at the top of page two on this questionnaire.</p> <p>The data collected in the survey are utilized by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. They are published annually in a report entitled "Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System." A copy of the current report will be sent to you upon request.</p> <p>Please complete and return the questionnaire in the preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in filling out this questionnaire, you may call for assistance collect on (301) 763-7826.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p><i>Vincent P. Barabba</i></p> <p>VINCENT P. BARABBA Director Bureau of the Census</p> <p>Enclosure</p>			

INSTRUCTIONS Before completing Parts I and II and Items A and B below, please complete the following question. Regardless of your answer to this question please complete the rest of the questionnaire even if fee-supported only part of your fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1972 and June 30, 1973.

Is your office a fee-supported office now? That is, is it financed and staffed in whole or in part by fees collected and retained?
 Yes — Answer a.
 No — Answer b.
 Never was a fee office

a. Will your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office on or before June 30, 1974?
 Yes — Enter date _____
 No

b. When did your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office? Enter date _____

ACTIVITY Count a person only one time in the activity where he works the largest part of his time.	Part I — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL Enter employment and payroll for your office's pay period which included October 15, 1973. Do NOT report annual payroll data				Part II — EXPENDITURES Enter annual expenditures for the activities listed below for your office's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1972 and June 30, 1973.				
	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES		CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		OFFICE CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS
	Number of employees (a)	Payroll amount (pay period including October 15, 1973) (Omit cents) (b)	Number of employees (c)	Payroll amount (pay period including October 15, 1973) (Omit cents) (d)	Exclude employer contributions to employee benefits, Enter in col. (j) (e)	Construction, equipment and land (f)	Payments to other local governments (g)	Payments to the State government (h)	Exclude employee contributions (i)
1. POLICE PROTECTION (Sheriffs only) — In addition to all regular police activities, include special police force units (park, housing, etc.) having the power to arrest. Include also coroners and medical examiners. Exclude jails holding adults or juveniles more than 48 hours and report under "Corrections."									
2. JUDICIAL (Sheriffs and Clerks of Court) — All civil and criminal courts and court-related activities (e.g., jury selection, law libraries). Exclude probation and parole and report under "Corrections." Exclude fees to court-appointed counsel and prosecution.									
3. CORRECTIONS (Sheriffs only) — Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention homes and the like holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours. Also, probation and parole including halfway houses. Include alcohol and narcotic addiction programs only if administered by the correctional authority. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.									

ITEM A — Mark the pay period interval which applies to the payrolls reported above.

1. Full-time employees ("X" one box only)
 1 Monthly
 2 Twice a month (24 pay periods per year)
 3 Every two weeks (26 pay periods per year)
 4 Weekly
 5 Other — Specify _____

2. Part-time employees ("X" one box only)
 1 Monthly
 2 Twice a month (24 pay periods per year)
 3 Every two weeks (26 pay periods per year)
 4 Weekly
 5 Other — Specify _____

ITEM B — Revenue direct from the Federal Government —
 Enter all revenue your office received for criminal justice activities direct from the Federal Government (not passed through any State agency) in your fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1972 and June 30, 1973. \$ _____

DEFINITIONS

• Part I — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

EMPLOYEES — All persons paid by your office for personal services performed, including all officials, salaried workers and other persons in paid leave status.

Exclude — Unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your office.

FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (Column a) — Persons employed during the pay period which included October 15, 1973, on a full-time basis. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

PART-TIME EMPLOYEES (Column c) — Persons employed during the pay period which included October 15, 1973, on a part-time basis. Include here all persons working for your office who are paid by more than one government (e.g., with supplemental check).

PAYROLL (Columns b and d) — Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the pay period which included October 15, 1973, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on some basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

• Part II — EXPENDITURES

CURRENT OPERATION (Column e) — Salaries and payroll of your office's officers and employees and the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services from individuals and firms in the private sector, e.g. attorney retainers, fees to court-appointed counsel.

Exclude — All capital outlay (see below), debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions, within-government transactions, and employer contributions to employee benefits (report in column "j").

• Part II — EXPENDITURES — Continued

CAPITAL OUTLAY (Column f) — Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE — All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government.

PAYMENTS TO OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (Column g) — Payments of your office to another county, city, town, special or school district.

PAYMENTS TO THE STATE GOVERNMENT (Column h) — Payments of your office to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property, utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.

GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (Column j) — Any employer contributions, separable by activity, to the Federal Social Security system, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds, and insurance premiums paid by your office for health, hospital, disability, and life insurance programs.

Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

• ITEM A — Report the pay period interval for which all or most of the full-time (or part-time) employees are paid.

• ITEM B — REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT — Enter only the revenue received directly from the Federal Government. Do not enter amounts passed from the Federal Government through the State or any of its departments or agencies.

COMMENTS

Form Approved: O.M.B. No. 41-R2629

Data supplied by			<small>FORM CJ-23 (11-19-73)</small> U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
Name			SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR SELECTED SPECIAL POLICE FORCES <i>In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the Census File number above your address</i>
Title			
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)			
Telephone			<i>(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)</i>
Area code	Number	Extension	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> RETURN TO </div> Bureau of the Census Attn: Governments Division Washington, D.C. 20233

Dear Sir:

The Bureau of the Census has been requested by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, to identify expenditure and employment data for Special Police Forces.

These data will be utilized by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended.

Please complete and return the questionnaire in the preaddressed envelope. Your cooperation and assistance in this project will be greatly appreciated. If you have any problems in filling out this questionnaire, you may call collect for assistance on area code (301) 763-7826.

Sincerely,

Vincent P. Barabba

VINCENT P. BARABBA
 Director
 Bureau of the Census

Enclosures

Part I - SWORN POLICE OFFICERS					
Enter here the number of full-time and part-time sworn police officers (i.e. having the power to arrest) in your special police force unit 1. Officers employed directly by your unit → _____ 2. Contract officers → _____		FULL-TIME (a)	PART-TIME (b)		
If applicable, enter here the name of the firm or police agency with whom you contract for police services: _____ If you contract exclusively for police services and have no officers of your own, fill out only parts III and IV below. If you employ sworn police officers directly, fill out parts II, III, and IV below.					
Part II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL					
A. For your special police force unit, enter employment and payroll for the pay period which included October 15, 1973. Include sworn police officers, security patrols, guards, clerical and communications personnel and other support personnel who are employees of the special police force. Do NOT report annual payroll data.	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES		
	Number of employees (a)	Payroll amount (pay period which included October 15, 1973) (Omit cents) (b)	Number of employees (c)	Payroll amount (pay period which included October 15, 1973) (Omit cents) (d)	
	\$		\$		
B. Mark the pay period interval which applies to the payrolls reported above. 1. FULL-TIME ("X" one box only)					
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every two weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____				CENSUS USE	
2. PART-TIME ("X" one box only)					
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month (24 pay periods per year) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every two weeks (26 pay periods per year) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____				CENSUS USE	
Part III - EXPENDITURES					
Enter the annual expenditures for your special police force unit for the fiscal year ending between July 1, 1972, and June 30, 1973.			Please specify your fiscal year ending date here.		
CURRENT OPERATION Exclude employer contributions to employee benefits and report in column (e) (a)	CAPITAL OUTLAY Construction, equipment and land (b)	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE Payments to local governments (c)	GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS Payments to the State government (d)	GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS Exclude employee contributions (e)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	
Part IV - REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT					
Enter all revenue your unit received for police activities direct from the Federal Government (not passed through any State agency) in the fiscal year ending between July 1, 1972 and June 30, 1973 → _____				\$	

DEFINITIONS

► Part I - SWORN POLICE OFFICERS

SPECIAL POLICE FORCE - A group of law enforcement agents other than the regular police which services a special area or jurisdiction, e.g., campus police, park police, transit police, harbor police, airport police. Though a special police force usually cooperates fully with the regular local police force, it is administratively independent.

► Part II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

A. EMPLOYEES - All persons in your special police force unit (officers and employees) paid for personal services performed, including all officials, salary workers and other persons in paid leave status in your unit.

Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors, and their employees and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your unit.

FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES (Part II, column a) - Persons employed during the pay period which included October 15, 1973, on a full-time basis. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

PART-TIME EMPLOYEES (Part II, column c) - Persons employed during the pay period which included October 15, 1973, on a part-time basis.

PAYROLL (Part II, columns b and d) - Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the pay period which included October 15, 1973, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on some basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

B. Report the pay period interval for which all or most of the full-time (or part-time) employees are paid.

► Part III - EXPENDITURES

CURRENT OPERATION (Part III, column a) - Salaries and payroll of your unit's officers and employees and the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services with individuals and firms in the private sector.

Exclude all capital outlay (see below), debt retirement, securities investment, loan extension, within-government transactions and employer contributions to employee benefits.

CAPITAL OUTLAY (Part III, column b) - Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE - All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government.

PAYMENTS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (Part III, column c) - Payments to another county, city, town, special or school district or any of their departments or agencies.

PAYMENTS TO THE STATE GOVERNMENT (Part III, column d) - Payments to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

Exclude money paid to a government for the purchase of commodities, property, utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.


GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (Part III, column e) - Any employer contributions, separable for your unit, to the Federal Social Security system, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds, and insurance premiums paid by your parent government for health, hospital, disability, and life insurance programs.

Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

► Part IV - REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Enter only the revenue received directly from the Federal Government. Do not enter amounts passed from the Federal Government through the State or its departments or agencies to your unit.

O.M.B. No. 41-R2702; Approval Expires December 31, 1975

Date supplied by		FORM CJ-25 (10-24-73)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
Name		SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT	
Title			
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)			
Telephone		(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)	
Area code	Number	Extension	RETURN TO  Bureau of the Census Governments Division Washington, D.C. 20233

Dear Sir:

In connection with its annual survey of public expenditure and employment for civil and criminal justice activities, the Bureau of the Census has been contracted by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, to collect civil and criminal justice expenditure and employment data from Federal agencies.

These data will be used to implement and administer the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. Information contained in the final report from the survey will include data on civil and criminal justice activities of the Federal government for intergovernmental comparisons and to meet the needs of criminal justice planners at all levels of government.

It is requested, therefore, that you complete and return this questionnaire in the enclosed envelope. The information you enter should be only for the bureau, agency, or office capitalized in the address block above. Your cooperation and assistance in this project will be greatly appreciated. Should you have any questions or problems in completing the questionnaire, please call Mr. Edward C. Malloy, Jr. on 763-7825.

Sincerely,



VINCENT P. BARABBA
Director
Bureau of the Census

Enclosure

Part I - EXPENDITURES (FOR FISCAL YEAR 1973; JULY 1, 1972 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1973)	
<p>NOTES: If unable to show actual cash disbursement please show total obligations incurred minus the sum of unpaid accounts payable and undelivered orders.</p> <p>Please indicate in boxes below your entry what the entry represents: cash disbursement (CD) or obligations incurred (OI).</p>	
1. What was your total CASH disbursement for CURRENT OPERATIONS; i.e. salaries, wages, and expenses of officers and employees, purchase of supplies and materials, and contractual services with other Federal agencies or private organizations? (Do NOT include government contributions to employee benefits - see item 5 below for further details)	\$ _____ <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> OI
2. What was your total CASH disbursement for CAPITAL OUTLAY; i.e. direct expenditure FROM YOUR OWN BUDGET for acquisition of land, construction, renovation and repairs of buildings and facilities, and purchase of equipment?	\$ _____ <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> OI
3. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH DISBURSEMENT to STATE GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g. grants, including amounts which were "passed through" State governments to local governments) or for services rendered?	\$ _____ <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> OI
4. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH DISBURSEMENT to LOCAL GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g. grants) or for services rendered, made DIRECTLY to local governments and NOT "passed through" State governments or their departments or agencies?	\$ _____ <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input type="checkbox"/> OI
5. What was your total CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS; i.e. payments made to the Federal Social Security program, Federal retirement systems, commercial or mutual insurance plans, or premiums paid for accident and health, hospital and other insurance programs? (Do NOT include payments made directly to and contributions made by employees for any of the above programs.)	\$ _____
Part II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL (FOR THE PAY PERIOD INCLUDING OCTOBER 15, 1973 ONLY - NOT ANNUAL DATA)	
<p>NOTES: Please report all employees; executives, managers, operatives, and support personnel. Temporary employees should be reported as full-or part-time on the basis of number of hours worked.</p>	
1. How many FULL-TIME (40 hours or more a week) employees did you have on your payroll during the PAY PERIOD INCLUDING OCTOBER 15, 1973?	Full-time employees _____
2. What was the total payroll amount for all FULL-TIME employees noted in item 1 for the PAY PERIOD INCLUDING OCTOBER 15, 1973?	\$ _____
3. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 2? <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____	CENSUS USE ONLY
4. How many PART-TIME (less than 40 hours a week) employees did you have on your payroll during the PAY PERIOD INCLUDING OCTOBER 15, 1973?	Part-time employees _____
5. What was the total payroll amount for all PART-TIME employees noted in item 4 for the PAY PERIOD INCLUDING OCTOBER 15, 1973?	\$ _____
6. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 5? <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____	CENSUS USE ONLY
Remarks	

Facts for our times

STATISTICAL ABSTRACT of the United States 1974

National Data Book and Guide to Sources



The 95th annual edition of the Nation's most respected statistical compendium presents more than 1,300 tables and charts on every facet of life in the United States. Data are furnished by more than 200 government, private, and international agencies.

SPECIAL FEATURES

- A special introductory table featuring **Recent Trends** for selected measures of social and economic change.
- An expanded **Energy** section, with eight new tables on consumption, prices, imports of fuels, and other subjects.
- A section on **Metropolitan Area Statistics*** showing the latest data for 157 large metropolitan areas.
- A section presenting a broad selection of statistics for **Federal Administrative Regions*** and their component States.
- A pocket-size insert of summary data **USA Statistics in Brief, 1974*** designed for quick and easy reference.
- A **Guide to Sources** listing over 800 statistical publications on 50 major subjects.

*Reprints available.

1,034 pp. paper at \$6.85
clothbound at \$10.20

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE • Social and Economic Statistics Administration • BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

ORDER FORM Indicate copies desired (please detach along this dotted line)

- Statistical Abstract of the United States 1974 (C56.243:974) paper \$6.85 each cloth \$10.20 each
- USA Statistics in Brief (C56.243/3 Sta/973) 10 pp. 25 cents each
- Statistics for Federal Regions, States, and Metropolitan Areas (C56.243/aF31) 84 pp. \$1.15 each
(Reprint of Metropolitan Area Statistics and Federal Administrative Regions)

I enclose \$ _____ (check, money order, or Supt. of Documents coupons) or charge to my Deposit Account No. _____
Total Amount \$ _____

Name _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip code _____

MAIL ORDER FORM WITH PAYMENT TO
Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402
or any U.S. Department of Commerce District Office

FOR USE OF SUPT. DOCS.
.....Enclosed.....
To be mailed
.....later.....
Refund.....
Coupon refund.....
Postage.....

MAKE CHECK OR MONEY ORDER PAYABLE TO SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS
To Insure Prompt, Accurate Shipment, Place Correct Address on Mailing Label Below

U.S. Government Printing Office
Public Documents
Washington, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
Statistical Abstract

Name _____
Street Address _____
City, State, and ZIP Code _____

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
375
Special Fourth Class Rate Book



(please type or print)

END