

1990 STATISTICAL REPORT

ALABAMA JUVENILE COURTS
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

144411

U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Alabama Department of Youth
Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

Prepared and Edited By:

Alabama Department of Youth services
Support Operations Division
P.O. Box 66
Mt. Meigs, Alabama 36057

Phone: (205)272-9100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. METHODOLOGY	2
III. JUVENILE COURT STATISTICS	4
A. Five Year Comparison	4
B. Figures Illustrating Delinquency and CHINS Disposition	
1. Actual Offenses (Delinquency and CHINS)	7
2. Rate of Offenses (Delinquency and CHINS)	7
3. Actual Offenses (Delinquency Only)	8
4. Rate of Offenses (Delinquency Only)	8
5. Actual Offenses (CHINS Only)	9
6. Rate of Offenses (CHINS Only)	9
7. Five Year Comparison (Bar Graph)	10
8. Offense Category Comparison (Bar Graph)	11
IV. 1990 JUVENILE COURT CASES WITH DISPOSITIONS (DELINQUENCY AND CHINS)	12
A. Summary of Findings	12
B. Data Tables and Figures	
Figure 9: Offense Category Breakdown (pie Chart).....	15
Table 1: Referral Reason: Percentage Change from 1989	16
Table 2: Referral Reason: Distribution of Total	18
Figure 10: County Totals: Regional Distribution	21
Table 3: County Totals: Percentage Change from 1989 ..	22
Table 4: County Totals: Breakdown by Referral Reasons	25
Table 5: Race/Sex: Distribution of Total	32
Table 6: Age: Distribution of Total	33
Table 7: Referral Source: Distribution of Total	34
Table 8: Prior Court Referrals: Distribution of Total	35
Table 9: Care Pending Disposition: Distribution of Total.....	36
Table 10: Dispositions: Distribution of Total	37
Table 11: Dispositions: Breakdown by County	39
Table 12: Adjudication: Distribution of Total	46
V. REFERRAL DATA BY POPULATION	47
A. Counties with Over 100,000 Population	47
Table 13: Population and Referral Distribution	50
B. Referrals per 100,000 Population for All Counties	51
Figure 11: Total Statewide Referrals Per 100,000 population	53
Table 14: Rank Order of Alabama Counties: Actual Population	54
Table 15: County Rank per 100,000 - All Offenses	55
Table 16: County Rank per 100,000 - Delinquency Offenses	56

Table 17: County Rank per 100,000 - Violent Offenses	57
Table 18: County Rank per 100,000 Property Offenses	60
Table 19: County Rank per 100,000 Part II Offenses	59
Table 20: County Rank per 100,000 - CHINS Offenses	60
Table 21: County Rank per 100,000 - Technical Offenses	61

**VI. PROGRAMS LICENSED BY
THE DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES** 62

**VII. PROGRAMS OPERATED BY
THE DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES**

A. Total Youth Served - January - December 1990	65
B. Diagnostic and Evaluation Center: Summary	67
Figure 12: D & E Evaluations - Twelve Year Comparison (Bar Graph)	69
Figure 13: D & E Evaluations - Regional Distribution	70
Table 22: County Distribution of Evaluations	71
Table 23: Race/Age/Parental Status/ Parental Employment	74
Table 24: Previous Commitment/Past Offenses/Placement Recommendations.....	76
Table 25: Intellectual Level/Educational Data	77
Table 26: Classification Recommendations	78
Table 27: Assignment Recommendations	79

VIII. INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES 80

A. Alabama Runaways	
Table 28: State Went To	82
Table 29: County/Facility Left From	83
B. Out-Of-State Runaways	
Table 30: County Went To	84
Table 31: State Left From	85
C. Supervision Cases	
Table 32: Out-Of-State Referrals to Alabama	86
Table 33: Alabama Referrals to Other States	87

IX. ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

A. Summary of Findings	88
B. Data Tables	
Table 34: Sex/Race/Referral Reason/Source/Care Pending Disposition/Manner of Handling/ Adjudication/ Disposition.....	89
Table 35: Referrals by County: Percent Distribution ..	91

I. INTRODUCTION

The statistical information in this report has been compiled through a joint effort of the Alabama Department of Youth Services (DYS), the Alabama Juvenile Courts, and the Alabama Department of Human Resources (DHR). The data provides a summary and an analysis of juvenile delinquency and CHINS cases disposed of and reported to DYS by the juvenile courts during the calendar year 1990, as well as abuse, neglect, dependency and special proceedings cases reported to DHR.

Data concerning programs operated by, or licensed by DYS, is also presented. Programs operated by DYS include the campuses, group homes, Diagnostic and Evaluation Center, HIT and CPS programs and the Interstate Compact on Juveniles. Long- and short-term facilities and programs licensed by DYS include attention homes, group homes, detention centers, and day treatment programs.

Every effort has been made to insure that the data presented in this report is accurate. It is only as accurate as the information provided to the Department of Youth Services by courts and licensed facilities. Continued emphasis is on the importance of accurate and timely reporting for compilation into a cumulative report.

II. METHODOLOGY

The primary method of data collection utilized by the Department of Youth Services to obtain data on cases disposed of by the juvenile courts in Alabama during 1991 was the Juvenile Court Statistical Card. It is the responsibility of each Juvenile Court Judge to maintain records on every case receiving a disposition by the court, whether formal or informal. The most significant data elements appearing on the Juvenile Court Statistical Card include basic demographic information (e.g., county, date of birth, sex, and race) and general case history data: previous law encounters, reason for referral to the court, manner of handling (with or without a court appearance), adjudication and case disposition.

With computers becoming more widely available in the juvenile justice field, some counties have opted to generate their statistical cards from their own computer systems. Seven automated counties, Chambers, Jefferson, Lauderdale, Lee, Mobile, Montgomery and Russell, are now using computer generated statistical cards for reporting their juvenile offenders.

Data about the programs licensed by the Department of Youth Services were compiled from monthly population reports submitted by each program. Data on the DYS operated institutional programs and community placement facilities were collected from monthly population reports and child placement cards.

Detailed information is provided by the Diagnostic and Evaluation Center about juveniles who have been committed to the

Department of Youth Services. Data is also provide by the Interstate Compact on Juveniles correspondent about that program.

Information on abuse, neglect, dependent and special proceedings cases is provided for this report by the Alabama Department of Human Resources, Division of Data Analysis and Reporting.

III. JUVENILE COURT STATISTICS

Five Year Comparison

Five Years ago in 1986, the Department of Youth Services processed a total of 25,188 delinquency and CHINS cases that were disposed of by the Alabama Juvenile Courts. In 1990 there was a total of 32,808 disposed cases reported. This represents an overall 30.3% increase in reported juvenile crimes in the last five years. (See Figures 1,7 and 8.)

In addition to comparing actual offenses disposed of in the past five years, a comparison was also done on the rate per 100,000 population for reported offenses. This method of comparison is particularly useful because obtaining the rate of offenses eliminates the population variable. It compares offenses as if all counties had a population of 100,000 persons.

In 1990 the rate of delinquency and CHINS offenses disposed per 100,000 population was 812.0; in 1986 the rate was 598.5. This reflects a percentage increase of 35.7% in the past five years. (See Figure 2.)

DELINQUENCY AND CHINS OFFENSES

The percentage ratio of delinquency and CHINS offenses reported has not shifted significantly in the past five years. In 1986 approximately 75% of the total cases reported involved delinquency offenses, and 25% involved CHINS offenses. In 1990 the ratio was 72.8% of all cases were delinquency offenses and 27.2% of all cases were CHINS offenses.

The number of Violent offenses has increased by 41.3% from

1986 to 1990. Property crimes had a slight 7.5% increase and Part II offenses had a large increase of 38.8%. Technical offenses also had an increase of 20.7%. These increases can be contributed to the overall increase in the total juvenile crime rate.

The number of delinquency offenses has increased 27.0%, from 18,820 cases in 1986 to 23,894 cases in 1990. The number of CHINS offenses has increased 40.0%, from 6,368 cases in 1986 to 8,914 cases in 1990. (See Figures 3 and 5.)

The rate per 100,000 population for delinquency offenses has also increased in the last five years. Again, however, the rate per 100,000 population for CHINS offenses has experienced an overall increase. The rate per 100,000 population for delinquency offenses increased 32.2%, and the rate per 100,000 population for CHINS offenses increased 45.8%. (See Figures 3 and 5.)

CARE PENDING DISPOSITION

Since 1986 there has been a slight decrease in the overall percentage of youth detained overnight compared to those who were not detained. The percentage of youth detained was 21.5% in 1986; in 1990, that percentage was 15.5%. The rate per 100,000 population for those youth who were not detained overnight was 470.0 in 1986; in 1990 that rate was 685.1. The great majority of youth who were detained overnight were detained in a licensed detention facility. The percentage of all youth detained who were detained overnight in a detention facility has decreased slightly from 15.4% to 12.4% in the last five years.

MANNER OF HANDLING

In the past five years there has been a 35.7% increase in the number of reported cases that were disposed of with a court appearance (formally), and there has been a 20.8% increase in the number of cases disposed of without a court appearance (informally).

DISPOSITIONS

As stated above, the number of dispositions that were handled with a court appearance has realized an increase during the past five years. In addition, there has been increases in the number of dispositions involving probation and consent decrees. Since 1986, there has been a 19.7% increase in probation and a 42.7% increase in the number of consent decrees.

Of the total cases reported in 1990, 25.4% were placed on probation. In 1986 this percentage was 27.7%.

Considering that the percentage of cases disposed of without a court appearance has increased between 1986 and 1990, there was a 46.4% increase in the number of cases disposed of by an informal adjustment in that same time period. The percentage of cases involving informal adjustments has increase from 10.2% in 1986 to 11.4% in 1990.

Figure 1

DELINQUENCY AND CHINS OFFENSES

Five Year Comparison




Figure 2

DELINQUENCY AND CHINS RATE

Five Year Comparison




Figure 3

DELINQUENCY OFFENSES

Five Year Comparison




Figure 4

DELINQUENCY RATE

Five Year Comparison




Figure 5

CHINS OFFENSES

Five Year Comparison




Figure 6

CHINS RATE

Five Year Comparison




Figure 7

TOTAL JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITIONS

Five Year Comparison





Figure 6

JUVENILE COURT OFFENSES BY CATEGORY

Five Year Comparison



1990 JUVENILE COURT CASES

Summary of Findings

A total of 32,808 juvenile cases were processed by the Department of Youth Services on delinquency and CHINS cases disposed of by the Alabama Juvenile Courts in 1990, a 4.8% increase from the previous year. However, during the past five years the overall increase in reported juvenile court dispositions has been 30.3%.

Of the total dispositions reported to DYS, violent offenses accounted for 8.0%, property offenses 21.2%, part II offenses 38.6% and CHINS offenses 27.2%. The remaining 5.0% were referred for technical offenses such as violation of probation or violation of aftercare. Since some youth are referred to the courts more than once during the year, the 32,808 total cases reported involve a lesser number of youth.

Of the 2,628 violent offenses reported, the great majority (1,755) involved simple assault, while 421 offenses of aggravated assault were reported. In other violent offenses, there were 53 cases of murder, 8 cases of negligent manslaughter, 100 cases of forcible rape, and 291 cases of robbery (both weapon and strong arm).

Property offenses accounted for 6,966 of the offenses, a 11.4% increase over 1989. The most frequent property offense reported was larceny (non-shoplifting) 2,347. In addition, there were 1,978 burglaries, 1,928 larcenies (shoplifting), and 713 motor vehicle thefts.

There was a total of 12,652 cases involving Part II offenses reported. There were 68 cases of arson, an increase of 94.3% from 1989. There were also 334 cases of forgery, counterfeiting, fraud and embezzlement, 973 cases of buying, receiving or concealing stolen property, 1,264 cases of vandalism, 495 offenses involving weapons charges and 1,901 cases of disorderly conduct. There was a total of 869 drug offenses reported, an increase of 20.7% from last year. Sex offenses, including prostitution and commercial vice and excluding forcible rape, accounted for 197 offenses. Traffic violations for the state accounted for 1,601 cases and trespassing and game violations accounted for 1,015 cases. Liquor law violations accounted for 2,241 offenses. Liquor law violations include driving under the influence, violation of liquor laws and drunkenness.

CHINS offenses represented 8,914 of the total offenses reported. There were 3,143 cases of truancy reported, 2,096 cases of running away and 3,516 cases of ungovernable behavior/beyond control. The remaining 159 CHINS offenses involved offenses such as violation of curfew.

Technical Offenses accounted for 1,648 of the total cases for the 1990 calendar year. Violation of probation had a total of 1,555 cases reported and violation of aftercare had 93 cases reported for the state.

Of the total cases reported, 34.1% were disposed of without a court appearance, and 65.9% with a court appearance. Of the total cases without a court appearance, 19.2% were lectured and released and 11.4% involved an informal adjustment.

Approximately twenty-five percent (8,346) of the cases disposed of with a court appearance were to be supervised by a probation officer, and 1.3% (431) were waived to adult court. 18.7 percent (6,139) were dismissed, 8.2% (2,686) involved a consent decree, and 2.9% were fined. Only approximately one-third of the cases involving a court appearance were adjudicated delinquent (10,534) and 6.0% (1,954) were adjudicated CHINS.

Males composed 82.2% of the delinquent cases, but they accounted for approximately one-half of the CHINS cases. White males accounted for 39.7% of the delinquency cases, black males 42.1%, white females 9.6% and black females 8.1%. CHINS cases were composed of 28.8% white males, 21.3% black males, 29.2% white females, and 19.9% black females. Approximately 51.7% of the total cases were white and 47.8% were black.

The most frequently occurring age was 16-17 years old, with 43.2% of all referrals (CHINS and delinquency). Other age frequencies for offenses reported in 1990 are as follows in descending order: 14-15 years old (33.1%); 12-13 years old (12.5%); 11 years old and below (9.2%); and 18 years and older (2.0%).

Approximately fifty-five percent of the youths reported had no prior offenses. Forty-eight percent of the males had at least one prior offense, while only 35.7 percent of the females had at least one prior offense to the juvenile court.

Approximately 52.0% of all referrals were made by law enforcement agencies, (17,070), 12.7% by the victim, (4,154). 13.6% by parents/relatives, (4,458), and 12.0% by school

authorities, (3,947). The remaining 9.7% of the referrals were made by juvenile probation officers, social agencies, or other courts or sources.

There were 84.5% of the referrals that were not detained over night in a juvenile detention facility. Only .6% of the total cases were detained in a jail or a police station overnight. The remaining 14.9% were held in either an attention home/shelter care or protective custody/shelter care.

Figure 9
1990 TOTAL OFFENSES
Juvenile Court Dispositions




Table 1

JUVENILE COURT CASES CLASSIFIED BY REASON FOR REFERRAL
 PERCENTAGE CHANGES FROM 1989

REFERERAL REASON	TOTAL CASES 1989	TOTAL CASES 1990	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
TOTAL JUVENILE COURT CASES	31,296	32,808	4.83%
Murder/Non-neg Manslaughter	65	53	-18.46%
Manslaughter by Negligence	13	8	-38.46%
Forcible Rape	98	100	2.04%
Robbery (Weapon)	140	221	57.86%
Robbery (Strong-Arm)	74	70	-5.41%
Assault (Aggravated)	515	421	-18.25%
Assault (Simple)	1,603	1,755	9.48%
Burglary	1,808	1,978	9.40%
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	1,760	2,347	33.35%
Larceny (Shoplifting)	2,098	1,928	-8.10%
Motor Vehicle Theft	589	713	21.05%
Arson	35	68	94.29%
Forgery/Counterfeiting	228	203	-10.96%
Fraud	80	130	62.50%
Embezzlement	4	1	-75.00%
Stolen Property: Buying, Receiving or Possessing	835	973	16.53%
Vandalism/Destruction of Property	1,118	1,264	13.06%
Weapons: Carrying, Possession, Etc.	543	495	-8.84%
Prostitution & Commercialized Vice	3	3	0.00%
Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape)	262	194	-25.95%
Violation of Drug Laws: Narcotic (Possession)	383	371	-3.13%

Table 1

JUVENILE COURT CASES CLASSIFIED BY REASON FOR REFERRAL
PERCENTAGE CHANGES FROM 1989

REFERERERAL REASON	TOTAL CASES 1989	TOTAL CASES 1990	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
Violation of Drug Laws: Narcotic (Selling)	38	51	34.21%
Violation of Drug Laws: Non-narcotic (Possession)	270	420	55.56%
Violation of Drug Laws: Non-narcotic (Selling)	29	27	-6.90%
Driving Under the Influence	230	269	16.96%
Liquor Laws	1,566	1,572	0.38%
Drunkenness	386	400	3.63%
Disorderly Conduct	1,561	1,901	21.78%
Traffic Violations (Other than Driving Under the Influence)	1,986	1,601	-19.39%
Tresspassing	713	723	1.40%
Game Violations	293	292	-0.34%
Other Delinquent Offenses	1,719	1,694	-1.45%
Truancy	2,393	3,143	31.34%
Running Away	2,096	2,096	0.00%
Beyond Control/Ungovernable Behavior	3,886	3,516	-9.52%
Other CHINS Offenses	227	159	-29.96%
Violation of Probation	1,575	1,555	-1.27%
Violation of Aftercare	74	93	25.68%

TABLE 2

REASON FOR REFERRAL

REFERRAL REASON	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL JUVENILE COURT CASES	32,808	100.00%	24,145	100.00%	8,663	100.00%
-VIOLENT OFFENSES-	2,628	8.01%	2,149	8.90%	479	5.53%
Murder/Non-Neg. Manslaughter	53	0.16%	45	0.19%	8	0.09%
Manslaughter by Negligence	8	0.02%	6	0.02%	2	0.02%
Forcible Rape	100	0.30%	96	0.40%	4	0.05%
Robbery (Weapon)	221	0.67%	217	0.90%	4	0.05%
Robbery (Strong-arm)	70	0.21%	64	0.27%	6	0.07%
Assault (Aggravated)	421	1.28%	348	1.44%	73	0.84%
Assault (Simple)	1,755	5.35%	1,373	5.69%	382	4.41%
-PROPERTY OFFENSES-	6,966	21.23%	5,659	23.44%	1,307	15.09%
Burglary	1,978	6.03%	1,888	7.82%	90	1.04%
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	2,347	7.15%	1,884	7.80%	463	5.34%
Larceny (Shoplifting)	1,928	5.88%	1,256	5.20%	672	7.76%
Motor Vehicle Theft	713	2.17%	631	2.61%	82	0.95%
-PART II OFFENSES-	12,652	38.56%	10,569	43.77%	2,083	24.04%
Arson	68	0.21%	64	0.27%	4	0.05%
Forgery/Counterfeiting	203	0.62%	126	0.52%	77	0.89%
Fraud	130	0.40%	89	0.37%	41	0.47%
Embezzlement	1	0.00%	1	0.00%	0	0.00%
Stolen Property: Buying, Receiving or Possessing	973	2.97%	883	3.66%	90	1.04%
Vandalism/Destruction of Property	1,264	3.85%	1,136	4.70%	128	1.48%

TABLE 2
REASON FOR REFERRAL

REFERRAL REASON	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
Weapons: Carrying, Possessing, Etc.	495	1.51%	466	1.93%	29	0.33%
Prostitution and Commercialized Vice	3	0.01%	2	0.01%	1	0.01%
Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape & Prostitution)	194	0.59%	182	0.75%	12	0.14%
Viol. Drug Laws: Narcotics Possession	371	1.13%	346	1.43%	25	0.29%
Viol. Drug Laws: Narcotics Selling	51	0.16%	44	0.18%	7	0.08%
Viol. Drug Laws: Non-Narcotics Possession	420	1.28%	344	1.42%	76	0.88%
Viol. Drug Laws: Non-Narcotics Selling	27	0.08%	26	0.11%	1	0.01%
Driving Under the Influence	269	0.82%	237	0.98%	32	0.37%
Liquor Laws	1,572	4.79%	1,274	5.28%	298	3.44%
Drunkenness	400	1.22%	362	1.50%	38	0.44%
Disorderly Conduct	1,901	5.79%	1,495	6.19%	406	4.69%
Traffic Violation (Other than DUI)	1,601	4.88%	1,288	5.33%	313	3.61%
Trespassing	723	2.20%	637	2.64%	86	0.99%
Game Violations	292	0.89%	277	1.15%	15	0.17%
Other	1,694	5.16%	1,290	5.34%	404	4.66%
-CHINS OFFENSES-	8,914	27.17%	4,513	18.69%	4,401	50.80%
Truancy	3,143	9.58%	1,752	7.26%	1,391	16.06%
Running Away	2,096	6.39%	759	3.14%	1,337	15.43%
Beyond Control/ Ungovernable Behavior	3,516	10.72%	1,904	7.89%	1,612	18.61%
Other CHINS Offenses	159	0.48%	98	0.41%	61	0.70%

TABLE 2
REASON FOR REFERRAL

REFERRAL REASON	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
-TECHNICAL OFFENSES-	1,648	5.02%	1,255	5.20%	393	4.54%
Violation of Probation	1,555	4.74%	1,181	4.89%	374	4.32%
Violation of Aftercare	93	0.28%	74	0.31%	19	0.22%

Table 3

REFERRALS BY COUNTY - PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1989

COUNTY	TOTAL CASES 1989	TOTAL CASES 1990	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
TOTAL CASES	31,296	32,808	4.83%
Jefferson	3,816	4,871	27.65%
Mobile	5,443	5,192	-4.61%
Montgomery	2,786	2,486	-10.77%
Autauga	120	130	8.33%
Baldwin	765	1,110	45.10%
Barbour	284	320	12.68%
Bibb	62	128	106.45%
Blount	419	538	28.40%
Bullock	28	28	0.00%
Butler	144	262	81.94%
Calhoun	693	1,002	44.59%
Chambers	368	518	40.76%
Cherokee	107	87	-18.69%
Chilton	118	62	-47.46%
Choctaw	21	46	119.05%
Clarke	93	189	103.23%
Clay	75	86	14.67%
Cleburne	48	79	64.58%
Coffee	479	309	-35.49%
Colbert	135	132	-2.22%
Conecuh	29	69	137.93%
Coosa	51	60	17.65%

Table 3

REFERRALS BY COUNTY - PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1989

COUNTY	TOTAL CASES 1989	TOTAL CASES 1990	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
Covington	293	302	3.07%
Crenshaw	113	105	-7.08%
Cullman	414	490	18.36%
Dale	491	594	20.98%
Dallas	621	350	-43.64%
DeKalb	414	328	-20.77%
Elmore	217	272	25.35%
Escambia	296	318	7.43%
Etowah	648	668	3.09%
Fayette	187	161	-13.90%
Franklin	658	448	-31.91%
Geneva	238	163	-31.51%
Greene	73	129	76.71%
Hale	118	45	-61.86%
Henry	103	62	-39.81%
Houston	1,495	1,154	-22.81%
Jackson	455	538	18.24%
Lamar	105	58	-44.76%
Lauderdale	379	482	27.18%
Lawrence	181	194	7.18%
Lee	334	788	135.93%
Limestone	230	125	-45.65%
Lowndes	38	72	89.47%

Table 3

REFERRALS BY COUNTY - PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1989

COUNTY	TOTAL CASES 1989	TOTAL CASES 1990	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
Macon	177	243	37.29%
Madison	1,741	1,612	-7.41%
Marengo	436	270	-38.07%
Marion	102	134	31.37%
Marshall	544	662	21.69%
Monroe	244	206	-15.57%
Morgan	594	552	-7.07%
Perry	32	8	-75.00%
Pickens	25	124	396.00%
Pike	140	187	33.57%
Randolph	143	121	-15.38%
Russell	237	257	8.44%
St. Clair	237	114	-51.90%
Shelby	458	548	19.65%
Sumter	56	68	21.43%
Talladega	409	375	-8.31%
Tallapoosa	83	97	16.87%
Tuscaloosa	957	1,194	24.76%
Walker	306	341	11.44%
Washington	92	72	-21.74%
Wilcox	46	10	-78.26%
Winston	52	63	21.15%

Table 4

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

COUNTIES	REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY												
	A	B	E	B	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
REFERRAL REASON	u	a	a	B	B	B	B	a	a	a	a	a	a
	t	i	r	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l
	a	d	b	o	o	o	o	l	b	l	o	l	l
	u	w	o	i	u	o	l	o	e	k	t	t	t
	g	i	u	b	n	c	a	u	r	e	c	a	a
	a	n	r	b	t	k	r	r	s	e	n	w	w
Murder/Non-negl Manslaughter	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
Manslaughter by Negligence	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forcible Rape	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Robbery (Weapon)	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	0
Robbery (Strong arm)	1	3	1	0	0	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
Assault (Aggravated)	2	7	14	1	0	2	1	9	6	1	0	1	1
Assault (Simple)	13	40	10	8	4	2	9	57	39	2	2	0	0
TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES	18	66	25	9	6	6	9	86	47	4	3	1	1
Burglary	21	77	13	21	5	0	4	60	48	0	3	4	4
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	7	76	17	19	0	2	12	69	37	2	15	5	5
Larceny (Shoplifting)	27	17	10	1	0	2	8	98	32	11	4	1	1
Motor Vehicle Theft	3	9	7	11	0	7	0	25	9	1	0	1	1
TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSE	58	179	47	52	5	11	24	252	126	14	28	11	11
Arson	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
Forgery/Counterfeiting	0	6	0	4	0	0	1	8	3	1	0	0	0
Fraud	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stolen Prop:(Buy/Rec/Conceal)	0	14	1	4	16	0	6	30	6	0	0	3	3
Vandal/Destruct of Property	4	22	28	9	12	0	4	31	34	5	10	5	5
Weapons:(Carry, Poss/Conceal)	1	3	0	2	1	0	2	5	7	0	0	1	1
Prostitution/Commercial Vice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense (except Rape & Prostitution)	1	4	1	1	1	0	2	11	4	1	0	0	0
Viol. Drug (Narc. Poss)	1	0	0	0	4	2	0	5	1	0	0	0	0
Viol. Drug (Narc. Selling)	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Poss)	4	17	3	0	2	0	0	21	5	0	1	1	1
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Selling)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0
DUI	1	2	5	3	7	0	1	8	4	2	1	2	2
Liquor Laws	2	68	1	4	31	0	31	85	35	10	0	1	1
Drunkenness	0	7	2	1	0	1	3	14	1	2	0	0	0
Disorderly Conduct	4	13	3	0	6	0	48	47	27	3	0	6	6
Traffic Viol. (except DUI)	0	35	10	4	9	0	31	31	8	5	0	3	3
Trespassing	4	16	2	0	1	0	5	19	4	0	0	2	2
Game Violations	5	5	0	0	1	0	6	9	3	8	1	0	0
Other Delinquent Offenses	3	26	9	6	0	2	2	19	18	2	3	3	3
TOTAL PART II OFFENSES	31	248	65	38	93	5	142	413	165	40	17	27	27
Truancy	7	351	46	0	329	1	54	45	28	16	0	0	0
Running Away	1	96	21	12	16	0	14	66	32	7	14	0	0
Ungovernable Behavior	10	96	83	15	84	3	16	93	94	6	6	6	6
Other CHINS Offense	2	2	6	0	0	1	1	0	6	0	0	1	1
TOTAL CHINS OFFENSES	20	545	156	27	429	5	85	204	160	29	20	7	7
Violation of Probation	3	67	24	2	5	1	2	44	12	0	0	0	0
Violation of Aftercare	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	0	0	0	0
TOTAL TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS	3	72	26	2	5	1	2	47	20	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REPORTED OFFENSES	130	1,110	320	128	538	28	262	1,002	518	87	62	46	46

Table 4 (Cont'd)

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

REFERRAL REASON	COUNTIES											
	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl
	ay	ay	ay	ay	ay	ay	ay	ay	ay	ay	ay	ay
Murder/Non-negl Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manslaughter by Negligence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forcible Rape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robbery (Weapon)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Robbery (Strong arm)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Assault (Aggravated)	2	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Assault (Simple)	10	7	2	10	7	0	5	22	7	14	36	52
TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES	14	7	5	14	7	1	6	25	10	15	40	72
Burglary	8	4	0	19	4	6	0	18	1	37	33	20
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	0	3	2	22	1	0	3	25	11	31	27	15
Larceny (Shoplifting)	1	2	2	16	12	1	2	17	12	22	15	15
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	0	10	11	1	0	0	9	6	12	12	4
TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSE	10	9	14	68	18	7	5	69	30	102	87	54
Arson	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Forgery/Counterfeiting	4	0	1	6	0	0	0	3	0	3	9	1
Fraud	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	1	0	8	5	2
Ebezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stolen Prop:(Buy/Rec/Conceal)	24	1	3	5	0	2	0	8	0	10	11	7
Vandal/Destruct of Property	2	12	2	9	6	0	2	10	7	14	27	22
Weapons:(Carry/Poss/Conceal)	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	7	6
Prostitution/Commercial Vice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense (except Rape & Prostitution)	0	1	0	3	1	1	1	5	1	3	7	4
Viol. Drug (Narc. Poss)	4	0	1	1	0	1	0	4	0	2	4	6
Viol. Drug (Narc. Selling)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Poss)	0	0	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	8	27	1
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Selling)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
DUI	0	3	4	5	0	3	1	3	1	3	15	2
Liquor Laws	17	4	7	20	16	0	0	31	0	23	47	0
Drunkenness	0	1	0	3	13	3	0	0	2	19	2	2
Disorderly Conduct	3	8	7	34	14	2	3	32	10	69	24	19
Traffic Viol. (except DUI)	3	3	3	29	1	0	6	13	4	8	28	17
Trespassing	15	0	4	6	11	3	0	6	1	21	7	3
Game Violations	2	1	3	9	5	0	9	12	4	0	3	1
Other Delinquent Offenses	13	2	2	6	0	0	1	4	6	12	9	16
TOTAL PART II OFFENSES	89	39	42	148	68	16	24	134	36	205	235	112
Truancy	56	9	4	22	14	4	6	23	6	35	17	28
Running Away	0	8	8	13	12	1	4	20	4	51	44	4
Ungovernable Behavior	20	11	6	21	13	27	12	23	14	54	99	71
Other CHINS Offense	0	2	0	7	0	0	0	3	0	5	19	2
TOTAL CHINS OFFENSES	76	30	18	63	39	32	22	69	24	145	179	105
Violation of Probation	0	1	0	16	0	11	3	5	5	22	40	7
Violation of Aftercare	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	13	0
TOTAL TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS	0	1	0	16	0	13	3	5	5	23	53	7
TOTAL REPORTED OFFENSES	189	86	79	309	132	69	60	302	105	490	594	350

Table 4 (Cont'd)

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

COUNTIES	REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY											
	D	E	E	F	F	S	G	H	H	J	K	L
REFERRAL REASON	DeKalb	Eastman	Effingham	Fayette	Forsyth	Spalding	Gwinnett	Harris	Henry	Jackson	Knox	Lincoln
Murder/Non-negl Manslaughter	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Manslaughter by Negligence	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Forcible Rape	1	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Robbery (Weapon)	0	1	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
Robbery (Strong arm)	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	0
Assault (Aggravated)	0	0	5	6	0	4	1	3	2	1	3	7
Assault (Simple)	1	28	15	44	4	10	11	18	3	1	52	25
TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES	2	30	23	64	5	16	12	27	5	2	63	33
Burglary	17	11	19	57	27	18	21	3	1	10	65	13
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	17	20	16	32	6	23	11	1	1	2	41	39
Larceny (Shoplifting)	9	8	20	80	9	6	3	3	3	2	101	10
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	3	2	14	5	0	2	3	3	2	32	7
TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSE	45	42	57	183	47	47	37	10	8	16	239	69
Arson	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	5
Forgery/Counterfeiting	4	0	2	1	21	7	1	0	0	0	9	5
Fraud	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	1	1	1
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stolen Prop:(Buy/Rec/Conceal)	1	2	7	4	1	2	7	4	0	0	8	20
Vandal/Destruct of Property	8	20	13	47	7	22	12	4	4	3	37	25
Weapons:(Carry/Poss/Conceal)	5	1	4	1	2	5	1	1	0	0	6	2
Prostitution/Commercial Vice	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense (except Rape & Prostitution)	0	1	0	3	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	2
Viol. Drug (Narc. Poss)	2	2	4	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	7	3
Viol. Drug (Narc. Selling)	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Poss)	0	5	0	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	8	14
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Selling)	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
DUI	5	5	4	3	6	8	4	0	0	1	7	11
Liquor Laws	32	0	3	9	17	52	7	0	1	13	71	113
Drunkanness	4	0	3	16	14	16	3	0	0	0	2	3
Disorderly Conduct	31	5	18	44	6	18	13	0	1	4	45	35
Traffic Viol. (except DUI)	50	127	4	37	4	171	3	1	6	8	252	55
Trespassing	7	9	1	7	0	8	3	0	0	1	12	3
Game Violations	19	2	4	15	6	13	8	4	1	2	11	21
Other Delinquent Offenses	8	2	10	19	7	8	11	0	5	3	37	12
TOTAL PART II OFFENSES	180	181	79	211	95	340	80	16	19	36	525	336
Truancy	43	7	97	101	3	1	2	17	3	1	45	29
Running Away	34	5	7	2	5	11	15	6	0	4	75	35
Ungovernable Behavior	24	6	48	99	3	30	14	49	8	1	168	31
Other CHINS Offense	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	1	2	0	13	2
TOTAL CHINS OFFENSES	101	18	153	206	12	42	31	73	13	6	301	97
Violation of Probation	0	1	6	3	1	3	3	3	0	2	25	3
Violation of Aftercare	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
TOTAL TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS	0	1	7	4	2	3	3	3	0	2	26	3
TOTAL REPORTED OFFENSES	328	272	318	668	161	448	163	129	45	62	1,154	530

Table 4 (Cont'd)

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

REFERRAL REASON	COUNTIES												
	J	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	M	M	M	M	M
	Jefferson	Laramie	Lauderdale	Lewiston	Lincoln	Logan	Madison	Montezuma	Montrose	Morrison	North Platte	Platte	Rock
Murder/Non-negl Manslaughter	16	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0
Manslaughter by Negligence	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Forcible Rape	50	0	4	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2
Robbery (Weapon)	53	0	4	0	2	0	0	1	8	1	0	0	0
Robbery (Strong arm)	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Assault (Aggravated)	79	0	4	1	1	1	0	8	12	0	0	0	1
Assault (Simple)	393	1	20	17	35	5	18	9	68	11	6	34	34
TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES	582	1	32	19	41	6	18	21	99	12	6	37	37
Surglary	327	0	17	10	36	8	3	30	153	16	15	65	65
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	201	9	49	22	107	10	0	11	66	1	11	77	77
Larceny (Shoplifting)	377	0	43	2	51	12	1	28	229	13	4	30	30
Motor Vehicle Theft	96	1	29	2	9	3	4	9	50	1	9	18	18
TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSE	1,001	10	138	36	203	33	8	78	492	31	39	190	190
Arson	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Forgery/Counterfeiting	10	0	7	3	1	0	0	8	4	4	1	15	15
Fraud	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	9	9
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stolen Prop:(Buy/Rec/Conceal)	318	3	13	2	14	0	19	11	40	9	0	15	15
Vandal/Destruct of Property	120	4	12	8	19	2	5	13	46	5	8	45	45
Weapons:(Carry/Poss/Conceal)	234	0	4	3	11	2	1	2	33	0	1	4	4
Prostitution/Commercial Vice	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense (except Rape & Prostitution)	16	1	2	2	9	0	0	0	19	0	1	3	3
Viol. Drug (Narc. Poss)	161	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	8	1	0	3	3
Viol. Drug (Narc. Selling)	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Poss)	45	0	8	2	36	4	0	1	12	0	4	3	3
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Selling)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	1
DUI	20	0	8	5	2	12	0	0	6	1	5	8	8
Liquor Laws	105	4	16	5	53	6	0	1	91	6	7	24	24
Drunkenness	92	0	5	0	5	4	9	0	13	4	3	8	8
Disorderly Conduct	327	3	9	6	7	0	0	11	169	9	2	45	45
Traffic Viol. (except DUI)	86	10	34	12	5	8	0	1	35	2	11	14	14
Trespassing	138	4	4	1	7	2	0	3	59	3	0	9	9
Game Violations	0	9	19	6	4	0	1	0	1	1	0	4	4
Other Delinquent Offenses	224	1	35	16	96	23	2	6	38	0	1	14	14
TOTAL PART II OFFENSES	1,932	41	177	71	270	64	28	65	595	51	45	224	224
Truancy	574	1	70	3	37	3	9	28	136	128	15	63	63
Running Away	226	2	25	24	57	3	0	4	227	8	19	67	67
Unmanageable Behavior	379	1	33	17	113	12	9	39	16	38	9	54	54
Other CHINS Offense	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0
TOTAL CHINS OFFENSES	1,192	4	128	45	207	18	18	74	381	175	43	184	184
Violation of Probation	152	2	6	23	64	2	0	4	33	1	0	27	27
Violation of Aftercare	12	0	1	0	3	2	0	1	6	0	1	0	0
TOTAL TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS	164	2	7	23	67	4	0	5	39	1	1	27	27
TOTAL REPORTED OFFENSES	4,871	58	482	194	788	125	72	243	1,612	270	134	662	662

Table 4 (Cont'd)

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

COUNTIES	REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY											
	M	H	M	M	P	P	P	R	R	S	S	S
REFERRAL REASON	o	o	g	o	P	c	k	a	u	C	h	u
	b	n	e	r	e	k	i	d	s	l	e	a
	i	r	e	g	r	e	i	l	s	l	a	l
	l	o	r	a	r	n	k	p	l	i	b	e
	e	e	y	n	y	s	e	h	l	r	y	r
Murder/Non-negl Manslaughter	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Manslaughter by Negligence	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Forcible Rape	16	1	9	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Robbery (Weapon)	49	1	55	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Robbery (Strong arm)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Assault (Aggravated)	87	2	50	7	0	2	5	3	7	0	4	2
Assault (Simple)	257	18	91	22	0	9	19	2	22	2	25	4
TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES	414	22	210	32	1	11	25	8	31	2	32	6
Burglary	206	30	121	36	1	4	15	10	22	16	26	2
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	607	8	275	37	0	5	25	8	16	8	49	10
Larceny (Shoplifting)	102	17	55	63	2	22	10	4	20	10	3	9
Motor Vehicle Theft	75	1	30	28	0	1	5	0	8	8	11	0
TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSE	990	56	481	164	3	32	55	22	66	42	89	21
Arson	3	0	5	4	2	0	3	0	4	0	1	0
Forgery/Counterfeiting	12	1	5	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	2	0
Fraud	13	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stolen Prop:(Buy/Rec/Conceal)	193	0	59	11	0	5	1	0	5	4	19	0
Vandal/Destruct of Property	179	4	110	28	0	3	4	1	8	7	36	6
Weapons:(Carry/Poss/Conceal)	61	0	23	7	0	0	2	1	0	2	6	0
Prostitution/Commercial Vice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense (except Rape & Prostitution)	28	0	21	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	0
Viol. Drug (Narc. Poss)	81	1	17	5	0	0	1	0	0	1	9	0
Viol. Drug (Narc. Selling)	3	0	6	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Poss)	63	0	61	4	0	0	0	1	5	1	1	0
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Selling)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
DUI	6	2	0	3	0	2	2	8	1	0	14	0
Liquor Laws	292	1	46	30	0	0	14	13	8	0	31	1
Drunkenness	58	4	0	19	0	1	0	6	2	2	7	0
Disorderly Conduct	325	19	118	59	0	0	10	7	11	7	25	0
Traffic Viol. (except DUI)	76	3	149	47	0	3	6	6	3	0	12	1
Trespassing	163	5	67	13	0	0	2	1	5	2	15	0
Game Violations	7	4	0	16	0	0	1	0	2	4	0	0
Other Delinquent Offenses	328	0	377	17	0	16	19	5	8	2	58	3
TOTAL PART II OFFENSES	1,893	44	1,071	267	2	37	67	52	63	35	239	11
Truancy	91	26	289	26	0	8	8	20	18	12	25	0
Running Away	267	40	306	42	0	3	8	0	13	4	26	3
Un-governable Behavior	957	14	43	21	2	22	17	18	48	19	79	27
Other CHINS Offense	16	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	16	0	0	0
TOTAL CHINS OFFENSES	1,331	80	639	89	2	35	37	38	95	35	130	30
Violation of Probation	564	3	73	0	0	8	2	1	2	0	58	0
Violation of Aftercare	0	1	12	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS	564	4	85	0	0	9	3	1	2	0	58	0
TOTAL REPORTED OFFENSES	5,192	206	2,486	552	8	124	187	121	257	114	548	68

Table 4 (Cont'd)

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

COUNTIES	REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY								TOTAL
	T	T	T	H	W	W	W	S	
REFERRAL REASON	a	a	a	a	i	i	i	i	T
	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	l	A
	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	E
Murder/Non-negl Manslaughter	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	53
Manslaughter by Negligence	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
Forcible Rape	4	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	100
Robbery (Weapon)	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	221
Robbery (Strong arm)	2	0	14	0	0	0	1	1	70
Assault (Aggravated)	26	1	14	3	1	0	0	0	421
Assault (Simple)	39	3	33	6	8	2	5	5	1,755
TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES	71	7	75	11	10	2	7	7	2,628
Burglary	21	16	69	21	8	3	3	3	1,979
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	25	9	50	24	4	0	13	13	2,347
Larceny (Shoplifting)	41	8	164	26	0	0	0	0	1,928
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	0	81	5	6	0	1	1	713
TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSE	94	33	364	77	18	3	17	17	6,966
Arson	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	68
Forgery/Counterfeiting	3	1	14	5	0	0	1	1	203
Fraud	2	0	15	0	0	0	1	1	130
Embezzlement	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Stolen Prop:(Buy/Rec/Conceal)	1	0	21	3	0	0	0	0	973
Vandal/Destruct of Property	21	11	50	22	1	0	5	5	1,264
Weapons:(Carry/Poss/Conceal)	4	1	9	8	0	0	0	0	495
Prostitution/Commercial Vice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Sex Offense (except Rape & Prostitution)	2	0	16	2	0	0	0	0	194
Viol. Drug (Narc. Poss)	0	0	8	2	2	0	2	2	371
Viol. Drug (Narc. Selling)	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	51
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Poss)	6	1	19	12	0	0	0	0	420
Viol. Drug (NNarc. Selling)	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	27
DUI	3	1	17	9	0	0	4	4	269
Liquor Laws	7	6	18	30	0	0	6	6	1,572
Drunkennes	8	2	3	7	0	0	5	5	400
Disorderly Conduct	14	20	63	32	0	1	0	0	1,901
Traffic Viol. (except DUI)	6	4	31	15	2	0	0	0	1,601
Trespassing	16	2	11	10	1	0	0	0	723
Game Violations	0	0	9	0	6	0	3	3	292
Other Delinquent Offenses	23	1	80	12	0	0	1	1	1,694
TOTAL PART II OFFENSES	116	50	394	176	12	1	28	28	12,552
Tuancy	33	2	30	24	4	4	6	6	3,143
Running Away	10	2	22	30	10	0	1	1	2,096
Ungovernable Behavior	36	3	84	21	15	0	4	4	3,516
Other CHINS Offense	12	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	159
TOTAL CHINS OFFENSES	91	7	145	75	29	4	11	11	8,914
Violation of Probation	3	0	202	2	3	0	0	0	1,555
Violation of Aftercare	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	93
TOTAL TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS	3	0	216	2	3	0	0	0	1,648
TOTAL REPORTED OFFENSES	375	97	1,194	341	72	10	63	63	32,808

TABLE 5

RACE

RACE	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
A. TOTAL CASES	32,808	100.00%	24,145	100.00%	8,663	100.00%
White	16,957	51.69%	12,056	49.93%	4,901	56.57%
Black	15,675	47.78%	11,958	49.53%	3,717	42.91%
Other	176	0.54%	131	0.54%	45	0.52%
B. TOTAL DELINQUENT CASES	23,894	100.00%	19,632	100.00%	4,262	100.00%
White	11,790	49.34%	9,487	48.32%	2,303	54.04%
Black	12,001	50.23%	10,057	51.23%	1,944	45.61%
Other	103	0.43%	88	0.45%	15	0.35%
C. TOTAL CHINS CASES	8,914	100.00%	4,513	100.00%	4,401	100.00%
White	5,167	57.96%	2,569	56.92%	2,598	59.03%
Black	3,674	41.22%	1,901	42.12%	1,773	40.29%
Other	73	0.82%	43	0.95%	30	0.68%

TABLE 6

AGE

AGE	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL CASES	32,808	100.00%	24,145	100.00%	8,663	100.00%
< 10	1,852	5.64%	1,260	5.22%	592	6.83%
10 - 11	1,168	3.56%	872	3.61%	296	3.42%
12 - 13	4,113	12.54%	2,763	11.44%	1,350	15.58%
14 - 15	10,857	33.09%	7,590	31.44%	3,267	37.71%
16 - 17	14,163	43.17%	11,133	46.11%	3,030	34.98%
18 and over	655	2.00%	527	2.18%	128	1.48%

TABLE 7
SOURCE OF REFERRAL

REFERRAL SOURCE	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL REFERRALS	32,808	100.00%	24,145	100.00%	8,663	100.00%
Law Enforcement Agency	17,070	52.03%	14,102	58.41%	2,968	34.26%
School	3,947	12.03%	2,384	9.87%	1,563	18.04%
Probation Officer	1,565	4.77%	1,199	4.97%	366	4.22%
Parents/Relatives	4,458	13.59%	2,081	8.62%	2,377	27.44%
Victim	4,154	12.66%	3,237	13.41%	917	10.59%
Social Agency	614	1.87%	353	1.46%	261	3.01%
Traffic Court	544	1.66%	419	1.74%	125	1.44%
Other Court	294	0.90%	240	0.99%	54	0.62%
Other Source	162	0.49%	130	0.54%	32	0.37%

TABLE 8

PRIOR COURT REFERRALS

PRIOR COURT REFERRALS	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL CASES	32,808	100.00%	24,145	100.00%	8,663	100.00%
NO	18,127	55.25%	12,559	52.01%	5,568	64.27%
YES	14,681	44.75%	11,586	47.99%	3,095	35.73%

TABLE 9

CARE PENDING DISPOSITION

CARE PENDING DISPOSITION	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL CASES	32,808	100.00%	24,145	100.00%	8,663	100.00%
Not Detained	27,736	84.54%	20,187	83.61%	7,549	87.14%
Detention Facility	4,057	12.37%	3,476	14.40%	581	6.71%
Jail	140	0.43%	77	0.32%	63	0.73%
Jail/Detention - Both	57	0.17%	37	0.15%	20	0.23%
Attention Home/Shelter Care	818	2.49%	368	1.52%	450	5.19%

TABLE 10
DISPOSITIONS

DISPOSITION	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL CASES	32,808	100.00%	24,145	100.00%	8,663	100.00%
-WITHOUT COURT APPEARANCE-	11,194	34.12%	7,157	29.64%	4,037	46.60%
Lectured and Released	6,313	19.24%	4,184	17.33%	2,129	24.58%
Informal Adjustment	3,514	10.71%	2,277	9.43%	1,237	14.28%
Informal Adjustment-Cont'd	236	0.72%	126	0.52%	110	1.27%
Courtesy Supervision	56	0.17%	36	0.15%	20	0.23%
Referred to Another Agency	677	2.06%	351	1.45%	326	3.76%
Runaway Returned	347	1.06%	147	0.61%	200	2.31%
Other	51	0.16%	36	0.15%	15	0.17%
-WITH COURT APPEARANCE-	21,614	65.88%	16,988	70.36%	4,626	53.40%
Waived to Adult Court	431	1.31%	413	1.71%	18	0.21%
Dismissed	6,139	18.71%	4,694	19.44%	1,445	16.68%
Fined	959	2.92%	794	3.29%	165	1.90%
Courtesy Supervision	104	0.32%	83	0.34%	21	0.24%
Runaway Returned	33	0.10%	14	0.06%	19	0.22%
Consent Decree	2,673	8.15%	1,948	8.07%	725	8.37%
Consent Decree-Cont'd	13	0.04%	10	0.04%	3	0.03%
Probation	7,411	22.59%	5,870	24.31%	1,541	17.79%
Probation-Cont'd	935	2.85%	769	3.18%	166	1.92%
Aftercare-Cont'd	66	0.20%	57	0.24%	9	0.10%
Committed to DYS	2,151	6.56%	1,917	7.94%	234	2.70%
Committed to Mental Health	141	0.43%	76	0.31%	65	0.75%
Committed to Child Care Facility	167	0.51%	110	0.46%	57	0.66%

TABLE 10
DISPOSITIONS

DISPOSITION	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
Other	142	0.43%	105	0.43%	37	0.43%
-OTHER TRANSFER OF LEGAL CUSTODY-						
Human Resources	88	0.27%	42	0.17%	46	0.53%
Private Child Care Facility	29	0.09%	16	0.07%	13	0.15%
Relative	94	0.29%	43	0.18%	51	0.59%
Other	38	0.12%	27	0.11%	11	0.13%

Table 11

DISPOSITION BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	COUNTIES											
	A u t a u g a	B a d w i n	B a r b o r	B i b b	B l u n t	B l o c k	B u l o c k	B u l o c k	C a l e r n	C h a r l e s	C h e r o k e	C h i l t o n
W/OUT COURT APPEARANCE	64	387	109	22	367	2	188	191	101	15	28	
Lectured and Released	53	351	99	10	366	1	77	189	70	11	14	
Informal Adjustment	11	4	3	7	1	0	104	2	9	0	1	
Informal Adj.-Con't	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	
Courtesy Supervision	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	
Ref. to Another Agency	0	6	2	4	0	1	3	0	9	0	0	
Runaway Returned	0	24	3	0	0	0	4	0	2	4	13	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	
WITH COURT APPEARANCE	66	722	202	103	171	26	74	811	405	68	33	
Waived to Adult Court	1	7	2	1	2	0	2	42	2	0	1	
Dismissed	20	122	50	28	54	2	11	195	190	22	7	
Fined	6	84	11	1	0	0	27	3	34	18	1	
Courtesy Supervision	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
Runaway Returned	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Consent Decree	0	2	3	23	14	0	24	287	2	13	2	
Consent Decree-Con't	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Probation	20	369	58	5	93	9	2	189	113	8	17	
Probation-Con't	3	40	7	5	1	1	1	10	1	0	0	
Aftercare-Con't'd	0	0	0	5	2	2	0	0	11	0	0	
Committed to DYS	14	56	41	31	4	12	3	82	48	6	5	
Committed to Mental Health	0	19	11	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	
Child-Care Facility	1	16	6	4	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	
Other	1	4	10	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	
OTHER TRANSFER	0	1	9	3	0	0	0	0	12	4	1	
Dept. of Human Resources	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	1	
Private Child Care Fac.	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Relative	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	
Other	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
TOTAL	130	1,110	320	128	538	28	262	1,002	518	87	62	

Table 11

DISPOSITION BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	COUNTIES											
	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
	h	a	a	l	u	l	l	l	l	l	l	l
	w	k	a	y	e	e	t	h	a	n	w	n
W/OUT COURT APPEARANCE	11	79	47	32	117	79	15	21	100	25	126	
Lectured and Released	5	64	41	21	107	26	12	13	71	20	124	
Informal Adjustment	3	8	6	4	3	25	3	4	27	5	0	
Informal Adj.-Con't	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Courtesy Supervision	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Ref. to Another Agency	1	2	0	0	4	21	0	1	2	0	1	
Runaway Returned	0	0	0	6	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
WITH COURT APPEARANCE	35	110	37	47	183	53	52	38	200	78	359	
Waived to Adult Court	2	7	0	1	12	0	0	0	6	0	2	
Dismissed	15	49	6	8	30	3	1	15	60	19	130	
Fined	2	3	1	0	25	6	0	5	43	3	30	
Courtesy Supervision	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	2	0	1	0	
Runaway Returned	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	
Consent Decree	4	2	2	30	43	0	20	1	4	0	32	
Consent Decree-Con't	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Probation	9	41	19	6	45	43	18	9	54	35	135	
Probation-Con't	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	1	7	6	2	
Aftercare-Con't'd	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Committed to DYS	2	5	5	1	13	0	4	3	20	10	20	
Committed to Mental Health	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	4	0	1	
Child-Care Facility	0	0	3	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	3	
Other	0	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	
OTHER TRANSFER	0	0	2	0	9	0	2	1	2	2	5	
Dept. of Human Resources	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Private Child Care Fac.	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	
Relative	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	2	
Other	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	2	
TOTAL	46	189	86	79	309	132	69	60	302	105	490	

Table 11

DISPOSITION BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	COUNTIES										
	D	D	E	E	F	F	G	G	H		
	a	a	l	l	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	l	l	r	r	b	b	t	t	n	n	e
	e	s	b	e	a	a	h	e	n	a	e
W/OUT COURT APPEARANCE	169	91	111	124	81	122	14	35	18	79	10
Lectured and Released	139	81	47	118	61	119	13	25	12	70	4
Informal Adjustment	29	11	29	6	19	0	0	0	1	2	4
Informal Adj.-Con't	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Courtesy Supervision	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
Ref. to Another Agency	1	0	16	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0
Runaway Returned	0	0	19	0	0	1	1	6	5	5	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WITH COURT APPEARANCE	424	340	205	148	233	536	147	411	144	47	29
Waived to Adult Court	20	0	1	1	7	3	4	3	3	0	0
Dismissed	99	139	4	40	79	215	22	112	23	26	6
Fined	22	14	74	15	1	11	14	173	31	3	3
Courtesy Supervision	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0
Runaway Returned	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Consent Decree	41	55	17	10	48	51	9	27	29	0	9
Consent Decree-Con't	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
Probation	136	84	64	61	74	135	76	67	33	5	5
Probation-Con't	23	9	0	1	0	7	2	0	8	4	2
Aftercare-Con't'd	8	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Committed to DYS	40	30	24	15	21	95	20	24	13	8	3
Committed to Mental Health	21	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Child-Care Facility	0	4	14	1	1	16	0	2	1	0	0
Other	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
OTHER TRANSFER	1	1	12	0	4	10	0	2	1	3	6
Dept. of Human Resources	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	2	0
Private Child Care Fac.	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Relative	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	6
Other	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0
TOTAL	594	350	328	272	318	668	161	448	163	129	45

Table 11

DISPOSITION BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	COUNTIES										
	Y	N	H	J	F	L	R	L	E	L	M
W/OUT COURT APPEARANCE	2	749	193	1,730	1	85	16	63	60	6	35
Lectured and Released	0	342	172	786	1	76	1	54	7	3	15
Informal Adjustment	0	371	10	911	0	9	13	1	53	3	20
Informal Adj.-Con't	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Courtesy Supervision	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Ref. to Another Agency	2	25	4	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Runaway Returned	0	9	5	7	0	0	2	3	0	0	0
Other	0	1	2	19	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
WITH COURT APPEARANCE	60	395	335	3,113	55	393	178	686	64	66	201
Waived to Adult Court	0	2	2	59	0	12	5	9	3	1	0
Dismissed	12	101	111	1,074	19	85	86	183	6	23	55
Fined	16	2	56	6	17	1	21	11	5	0	6
Courtesy Supervision	3	0	3	0	0	17	0	46	0	1	0
Runaway Returned	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0
Consent Decree	3	59	63	624	4	68	8	126	0	3	7
Consent Decree-Con't	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probation	17	153	66	1,070	11	182	41	243	41	29	73
Probation-Con't	4	17	5	1	2	4	5	10	1	2	1
Aftercare-Con't'd	0	1	0	10	0	1	0	2	1	0	9
Committed to DYS	0	53	19	249	1	17	9	41	7	7	43
Committed to Mental Health	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Child-Care Facility	4	2	5	5	1	6	0	6	0	1	2
Other	1	4	2	12	0	0	2	4	0	0	5
OTHER TRANSFER	0	10	10	28	2	4	0	39	1	0	7
Dept. of Human Resources	0	7	3	7	0	1	0	18	0	0	5
Private Child Care Fac.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Relative	0	1	3	16	2	3	0	15	1	0	1
Other	0	2	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	62	1,154	538	4,871	58	482	194	788	125	72	243

Table 11

DISPOSITION BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	COUNTIES											
	Madison	Madison	Madison	Madison	Madison	Madison	Madison	Madison	Madison	Madison	Madison	Madison
W/OUT COURT APPEARANCE	924	129	60	196	2,633	108	706	91	1	0	0	
Lectured and Released	574	46	28	153	932	43	267	68	1	0	0	
Informal Adjustment	238	83	10	36	1,282	36	15	0	0	0	0	
Informal Adj.-Con't	19	0	0	0	207	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Courtesy Supervision	1	0	7	0	6	0	3	1	0	0	0	
Ref. to Another Agency	44	0	0	7	161	7	326	1	0	0	0	
Runaway Returned	47	0	15	0	38	21	90	0	0	0	0	
Other	1	0	0	0	7	1	2	1	0	0	0	
WITH COURT APPEARANCE	687	140	74	462	2,558	89	1,780	460	5	123	185	
Waived to Adult Court	33	1	2	4	23	1	90	7	0	1	0	
Dismissed	116	1	21	192	680	5	630	100	0	34	89	
Fined	3	0	5	4	2	0	45	17	0	4	0	
Courtesy Supervision	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Runaway Returned	2	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Consent Decree	62	59	3	33	110	1	253	4	2	39	13	
Consent Decree-Con't	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Probation	387	66	27	180	890	73	369	308	1	27	51	
Probation-Con't	0	4	1	4	590	1	65	7	0	7	3	
Aftercare-Cont'd	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Committed to DYS	80	6	11	31	205	7	300	16	1	5	22	
Committed to Mental Health	0	0	1	0	53	0	1	0	0	0	3	
Child-Care Facility	0	0	1	11	0	0	4	0	1	5	3	
Other	1	0	0	0	4	0	22	1	0	0	1	
OTHER TRANSFER	1	1	0	4	1	9	0	1	2	1	2	
Dept. of Human Resources	0	0	0	3	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	
Private Child Care Fac.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
Relative	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	
TOTAL	1,612	270	134	662	5,192	206	2,486	552	8	124	187	

Table 11

DISPOSITION BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	COUNTIES											
	R a n d o l p h	R u s s i a	S t e a l b u r g	S h e l b u r g	S h e l b u r g	S h e l b u r g	T a l l e g a n c e	T a l l e g a n c e	T a l l e g a n c e	T a l l e g a n c e	W a s h i n g t o n	W a s h i n g t o n
W/OUT COURT APPEARANCE	55	29	29	90	41	146	7	65	2	36	8	
Lectured and Released	54	17	23	71	18	132	3	13	0	24	8	
Informal Adjustment	1	11	1	7	19	3	0	49	0	11	0	
Informal Adj.-Con't	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Courtesy Supervision	0	0	1	0	0	8	1	1	0	0	0	
Ref. to Another Agency	0	1	0	6	1	11	2	0	2	1	0	
Runaway Returned	0	0	3	1	3	11	1	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	1	5	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	
WITH COURT APPEARANCE	66	211	84	458	22	221	90	1,128	339	36	2	
Waived to Adult Court	2	3	3	0	1	3	4	22	3	3	0	
Dismissed	7	58	21	255	2	42	17	220	77	6	0	
Fined	2	4	4	1	0	8	7	20	15	0	0	
Courtesy Supervision	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	1	0	0	
Runaway Returned	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Consent Decree	0	45	25	54	12	28	0	109	50	0	2	
Consent Decree-Con't	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Probation	41	62	17	105	0	98	40	477	174	25	0	
Probation-Con't	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	38	10	0	0	
Aftercare-Con't'd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	
Committed to DYS	12	28	13	19	6	35	13	202	8	2	0	
Committed to Mental Health	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	8	0	0	0	
Child-Care Facility	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	20	1	0	0	
Other	0	10	0	19	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	
OTHER TRANSFER	0	17	1	0	5	8	0	1	0	0	0	
Dept. of Human Resources	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Private Child Care Fac.	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Relative	0	11	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
TOTAL	121	257	114	548	68	375	97	1,194	341	72	10	

Table 11

DISPOSITION BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	COUNTIES		S T A T E
	W i n s t o n		
W/DUT COURT APPEARANCE	0		11,194
Lectured and Released	0		6,313
Informal Adjustøent	0		3,514
Informal Adj.-Con't	0		236
Courtesy Supervision	0		56
Ref. to Another Agency	0		677
Runaway Returned	0		347
Other	0		51
WITH COURT APPEARANCE	62		21,365
Waived to Adult Court	0		431
Dismissed	9		6,139
Fined	13		959
Courtesy Supervision	0		104
Runaway Returned	0		33
Consent Decree	0		2,673
Consent Decree-Con't	0		13
Probation	31		7,411
Probation-Con't	0		935
Aftercare-Con't'd	0		66
Committed to DYS	5		2,151
Committed to Mental Health	0		141
Child-Care Facility	4		167
Other	0		142
OTHER TRANSFER	1		249
Dept. of Human Resources	1		88
Private Child Care Fac.	0		29
Relative	0		94
Other	0		38
TOTAL	63		32,808

TABLE 12
ADJUDICATION

ADJUDICATION	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL CASES	32,808	100.00%	24,145	100.00%	8,663	100.00%
None	20,149	61.41%	13,974	57.88%	6,175	71.28%
Delinquent	10,534	32.11%	9,002	37.28%	1,532	17.68%
CHINS	1,954	5.96%	1,074	4.45%	880	10.16%
Dependent	171	0.52%	95	0.39%	76	0.88%

V. REFERRAL DATA BY POPULATION

A. COUNTIES WITH OVER 100,000 POPULATION

Counties with over 100,000 persons are considered "urbanized areas" by the Bureau of the Census. Alabama has seven such counties, and these were chosen for close analysis because of their large populations. The counties are Calhoun, Jefferson, Madison, Mobile, Montgomery, Morgan and Tuscaloosa (See Table 13).

The rate per 100,000 population provides a uniform basis for comparing one county to another. It is a standard unit of measurement used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation as a basis for comparing the number of crimes reported in a particular area to the number of persons residing in that area. For example, Montgomery County's present population is approximately 209,100, the number of juvenile court referrals disposed of (delinquent and CHINS offenses only) during 1990 was 2,486; therefore, Montgomery County's rate of referrals disposed of is 1,188.90 per 100,000 population.

Calhoun County, which comprises 2.87% of the state's total population, accounts for 3.05% of the total court dispositions but it is rated nineteenth out of the 67 counties with a rate of referrals disposed of at 863.79 per 100,000 population (an increase of 62.79% from 1989).

Approximately 16.12% of the state's population is located in Jefferson County, and it represents 14.85% of the total juvenile court referrals. Of these seven counties, Jefferson County has the third lowest rate of referrals disposed of per 100,000 or

747.66 which is an increase over the 1989 percentage of 554.81.

Madison County has 5.91% of the total population, represents 4.91% of the total juvenile court referrals, and has a rate per 100,000 of 674.76, a 3.1% decrease from 1989.

Of these seven counties, Mobile represents 9.37% of the state's population which is slightly more than half of Jefferson County's population, but note that Mobile County contributes 15.83% of the total juvenile court dispositions, which is higher than Jefferson County's percentage of referrals, and its rate per 100,000 (1,371.37) is almost double that of Jefferson County. In fact, Mobile County has the fifth highest rate per 100,000 population of any county in the state.

Montgomery and Madison County are very close in population (each accounting for over 5.0% of the states's population). This year, their percentage of juvenile court referrals disposed was 7.58% and 4.91% respectively. Montgomery County experienced a decrease in its rate per 100,000 to 1,188.90 and Madison County's rate per 100,000 also decreased to 674.76.

Morgan County is the seventh largest county on the basis of population. They were ranked 43rd in the state per 100,00 with a rate of 552.00, a decrease from 583.50 in 1989. The county has 2.47% of the states population and it deals with 1.68% of the total juvenile court dispositions.

Tuscaloosa County was ranked twenty-second in the state for referral per 100,000 with 793.36 (a 21.37% increase from 1989), and it comprises 3.72% of the state's population.

In summary, Jefferson County is the most populous of the

seven counties with over 100,000 population, and has the third lowest rate per 100,000 of juvenile court referrals disposed. Mobile County (the second largest county of the seven) has the highest rate of referrals per 100,000 , of the counties with over 100,000 population, with 1,371.37. It is also the fifth highest ranked per 100,000 of all the counties in the state and it also has the largest number of juvenile cases reported.

Three of the most populous counties (Jefferson, Tuscaloosa, and Calhoun) realized an increase in the the state's juvenile court referrals disposed of from 1989, while four counties (Mobile, Montgomery, Madison, and Morgan) realized a decrease.

There were increases in the rates per 100,000 population for all Alabama counties with over 100,000 population except for three counties (Madison, Montgomery and Morgan). Montgomery County's rate per 100,000 decreased 4.88% from 1989, Madison County's rate per 100,000 decreased 3.11% and Morgan County's rate decreased by 5.40%. Three of the other four counties with over 100,000 realized a significant increase in the rate per 100,000 population during 1990, while Mobile County realized a small increases in the rate per 100,000 population.

* 1990 population estimates prepared by the United States Census Burea.

As stated above, the 1990 population report is taken from the latest census count. The previous year's population figures have been estimates taken from the center of Business and Economic Research at the University of Alabama. The actual 1990 census drops Alabama's population by 201,069 people from the 1989 estimate. Because of this population decrease, Etowah county has been dropped from the list of counties with populations over 100,000.

TABLE 13

COUNTIES WITH OVER 100,000 POPULATION

COUNTY	% OF STATE POPULATION	% OF STATE'S JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITIONS	RATE PER 100,000	RATE/100,000 PERCENT CHANGE FROM 1989
JEFFERSON	16.12%	14.85%	747.66	34.76%
MOBILE	9.37%	15.83%	1,371.37	0.05%
MONTGOMERY	5.17%	7.58%	1,188.90	-4.88%
MADISON	5.91%	4.91%	674.76	-3.11%
TUSCALOOSA	3.72%	3.64%	793.36	21.37%
CALHOUN	2.87%	3.05%	863.79	62.79%
MORGAN	2.47%	1.68%	552.00	-5.40%
TOTAL	45.65%	51.54%		

NOTE: The rate per 100,000 population for the entire state equals 811.96% an 10.05% increase over 1989. This includes dispositions on delinquency and CHINS offenses.

B. REFERRALS PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR ALL COUNTIES*

The rate of referrals disposed of per 100,000 population ranges from 1,611.51 in Franklin County to 62.50 in Perry County (See Tables 14 through 21). The state as a whole has a rate of 811.96, the rates per 100,000 population for the state as well as for the individual counties are slightly higher in 1990 in comparison to the previous year primarily due to the fact that Alabama experienced an increase in the number of reported delinquency and CHINS offenses with dispositions. There are 21 counties with juvenile court disposition rates higher than the state as a whole, and 46 counties with lower rates.


There were four counties that changed among the ten counties with the highest referrals per 100,000 population in 1990. The counties of Butler, Chambers, Dale and Greene replaced the counties of Coffee, Dallas, Marengo and Monroe in the top ten, of the remaining 6 counties, Barbour and Blount increased their standings, and Mobile and Montgomery decreased their standings from the previous year. The ten counties with the highest rate of referral per 100,000 are:

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>DISPOSITIONS PER 100,000</u>
Franklin	1,611.51
Houston	1,419.43
Chambers	1,403.79
Blount	1,372.45
Mobile	1,371.37
Greene	1,264.71
Barbour	1,259.84
Dale	1,197.58
Butler	1,196.35
Montgomery	1,188.90

*NOTE: Precaution must be taken when using this method of analysis with small counties and small numbers of referral. This conversion method was used to equalize the effects of population variables.

Figure 11

TOTAL STATEWIDE REFERRALS DISPOSED OF
RATE PER 100,000 POPULATION



STATE RATE PER 100,000 - 811.96

Table 14

RANK ORDER OF ALABAMA COUNTIES
BY ACTUAL POPULATION

Counties Greater than 100,000		Counties 25,001 to 50,000 - Cont'd	
1. Jefferson	651,525	32. Escambia	35,518
2. Mobile	378,643	33. Autauga	34,222
3. Madison	238,912	34. Chilton	32,458
4. Montgomery	209,085	35. Lawrence	31,513
5. Tuscaloosa	150,522	36. Marion	29,830
6. Calhoun	116,034	37. Franklin	27,814
7. Morgan	100,043	38. Pike	27,595
		39. Clarke	27,240
		40. Barbour	25,417
Counties 50,001 to 100,000		Counties 10,000 to 25,000	
8. Etowah	99,840	41. Macon	24,928
9. Shelby	99,358	42. Monroe	23,968
10. Baldwin	98,280	43. Geneva	23,647
11. Lee	87,146	44. Marengo	23,084
12. Houston	81,331	45. Winston	22,053
13. Lauderdale	79,661	46. Butler	21,892
14. Talladega	74,107	47. Pickens	20,699
15. Marshall	70,832	48. Randolph	19,881
16. Walker	67,670	49. Cherokee	19,543
17. Cullman	67,613	50. Fayette	17,962
18. DeKalb	54,651	51. Washington	16,694
19. Limestone	54,135	52. Bibb	16,576
20. Colbert	51,666	53. Sumter	16,174
21. St. Clair	50,009	54. Choctaw	16,018
		55. Lamar	15,715
		56. Hale	15,498
		57. Henry	15,374
		58. Conecuh	14,054
		59. Crenshaw	13,635
		60. Wilcox	13,568
		61. Clay	13,252
		62. Perry	12,756
		63. Cleburne	12,730
		64. Lowndes	12,658
		65. Coosa	11,063
		66. Bullock	11,042
		67. Greene	10,153
Counties 25,001 to 50,000			
22. Dale	49,633		
23. Elmore	49,210		
24. Dallas	48,130		
25. Jackson	47,796		
26. Russell	46,860		
27. Coffee	40,240		
28. Blount	39,248		
29. Tallapoosa	38,826		
30. Chambers	36,876		
31. Covington	36,478		

The Population of the entire state is approximately 4,040,584.

1990 population estimates prepared by the United States Census Bureau.

Table 15

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

ALL OFFENSES

1. Franklin	1,611.51	34. Clay	646.62
2. Houston	1,419.43	35. Cleburne	622.05
3. Chambers	1,403.79	36. Lawrence	615.87
4. Blount	1,372.45	37. Randolph	608.04
5. Mobile	1,371.37	38. Lauderdale	604.77
6. Greene	1,264.71	39. DeKalb	599.63
7. Barbour	1,259.84	40. Pickens	599.03
8. Dale	1,197.58	41. Lowndes	566.93
9. Butler	1,196.35	42. Elmore	552.85
10. Montgomery	1,188.90	43. Morgan	552.00
11. Marengo	1,168.83	44. Shelby	551.31
12. Baldwin	1,129.20	45. Russell	547.97
13. Jackson	1,125.52	46. Coosa	540.54
14. Macon	975.90	47. Talladega	506.07
15. Marshall	935.03	48. Walker	503.69
16. Lee	904.71	49. Conecuh	489.36
17. Escambia	895.77	50. Marion	449.66
18. Fayette	894.44	51. Cherokee	446.15
19. Calhoun	863.79	52. Washington	431.14
20. Monroe	858.33	53. Sumter	419.75
21. Covington	827.40	54. Henry	402.60
*** State of Alabama	811.96 ***	55. Autauga	380.12
22. Tuscaloosa	793.36	56. Lamar	369.43
23. Crenshaw	772.06	57. Hale	290.32
24. Bibb	771.08	58. Choctaw	287.50
25. Coffee	768.66	59. Winston	285.07
26. Jefferson	747.66	60. Colbert	255.32
27. Dallas	727.65	61. Bullock	254.55
28. Cullman	724.85	62. Tallapoosa	250.00
29. Clarke	694.85	63. Limestone	231.05
30. Geneva	690.68	64. St. Clair	228.00
31. Pike	677.54	65. Chilton	190.77
32. Madison	674.76	66. Wilcox	73.53
33. Etowah	669.34	67. Perry	62.50

Table 16

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

DELINQUENCY OFFENSES

1. Franklin	1,460.43	34. Etowah	462.93
2. Houston	1,049.20	35. Lauderdale	444.17
3. Mobile	1,019.81	36. Pickens	429.95
4. Chambers	970.19	37. Lowndes	425.20
5. Jackson	922.59	38. Clay	421.05
6. Montgomery	883.31	39. Shelby	420.52
7. Dale	836.69	40. Randolph	417.09
8. Fayette	827.78	41. Clarke	415.44
9. Butler	808.22	42. DeKalb	414.99
10. Tuscaloosa	697.01	43. Marengo	411.26
11. Calhoun	687.93	44. Walker	392.91
12. Macon	678.71	45. Talladega	383.27
13. Marshall	675.14	46. Henry	363.64
14. Lee	667.05	47. Russell	345.42
15. Barbour	645.67	48. Lamar	343.95
16. Covington	638.36	49. Coosa	342.34
17. Coffee	611.94	50. Autauga	321.64
18. Bibb	608.43	51. Marion	305.37
19. Crenshaw	595.59	52. Cherokee	297.44
*** State of Alabama	591.35 ***	53. Blount	278.06
20. Baldwin	574.77	54. Conecuh	262.41
21. Jefferson	564.70	55. Washington	257.49
22. Geneva	559.32	56. Choctaw	243.75
23. Greene	549.02	57. Winston	235.29
24. Pike	543.48	58. Sumter	234.57
25. Monroe	525.00	59. Tallapoosa	231.96
26. Elmore	516.26	60. Bullock	209.09
27. Madison	515.28	61. Hale	206.45
28. Cullman	510.36	62. Limestone	197.78
29. Dallas	509.36	63. Colbert	179.88
30. Cleburne	480.31	64. St. Clair	158.00
31. Lawrence	473.02	65. Chilton	129.23
32. Escambia	464.79	66. Perry	46.88
33. Morgan	463.00	67. Wilcox	44.12

Table 17

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

VIOLENT OFFENSES

1. Greene	264.71	34. Marengo	51.95
2. Dallas	149.69	35. Clarke	51.47
3. Lowndes	141.73	36. Geneva	50.85
4. Chambers	127.37	37. Tuscaloosa	49.83
5. Mobile	109.35	38. Lee	47.07
6. Montgomery	100.43	39. Madison	41.44
7. Barbour	98.43	40. Butler	41.10
8. Talladega	95.82	41. Randolph	40.20
9. Monroe	91.67	42. Lauderdale	40.15
10. Pike	90.58	43. Cleburne	39.37
11. Jefferson	89.33	44. Sumter	37.04
12. Macon	84.34	45. Coffee	34.83
13. Dale	80.65	46. Hale	32.26
14. Houston	77.49	47. Shelby	32.19
15. Calhoun	74.14	48. Morgan	32.00
16. Crenshaw	73.53	49. Winston	31.67
17. Jackson	69.04	50. Fayette	27.78
18. Covington	68.49	51. Cullman	22.19
19. Baldwin	67.14	52. Cherokee	20.51
20. Russell	66.10	53. Marion	20.13
*** State of Alabama	65.04 ***	54. Tallapoosa	18.04
21. Etowah	64.13	55. Walker	16.25
22. Escambia	61.97	56. Blount	15.31
23. Elmore	60.98	57. Wilcox	14.71
24. Lawrence	60.32	58. Colbert	13.54
25. Washington	59.88	59. Henry	12.99
26. Franklin	57.55	60. Limestone	11.09
27. Bullock	54.55	61. Chilton	9.23
28. Bibb	54.22	62. Perry	7.81
29. Coosa	54.05	63. Conecuh	7.09
30. Pickens	53.14	64. Lamar	6.37
31. Autauga	52.63	65. Choctaw	6.25
32. Clay	52.63	66. St. Clair	4.00
33. Marshall	52.26	67. DeKalb	3.66

Table 18

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

PROPER Y OFFENSES

1. Chambers	341.46	34. Marion	130.87
2. Bibb	313.25	35. Sumter	129.63
3. Macon	313.25	36. Talladega	126.86
4. Houston	293.97	37. Lawrence	114.29
5. Marshall	268.36	38. Walker	113.74
6. Mobile	261.49	39. Dallas	112.27
7. Fayette	261.11	40. Randolph	110.55
8. Tuscaloosa	241.86	41. Cleburne	110.24
9. Monroe	233.33	42. Butler	109.59
10. Lee	233.07	43. Washington	107.78
11. Montgomery	230.03	44. Henry	103.90
12. Crenshaw	220.59	45. Bullock	100.00
13. Calhoun	217.24	46. Greene	98.04
14. Madison	208.46	47. Shelby	89.54
15. Pike	199.28	48. Elmore	85.37
16. Covington	189.04	49. Tallapoosa	85.05
17. Barbour	185.04	50. St. Clair	84.00
18. Etowah	183.37	51. DeKalb	82.27
19. Baldwin	182.10	52. Winston	76.92
20. Dale	175.40	53. Cherokee	71.79
21. Lauderdale	173.15	54. Choctaw	68.75
*** State of Alabama	172.40 ***	55. Chilton	67.69
22. Autauga	169.59	56. Clay	67.67
23. Coffee	169.15	57. Lamar	63.69
24. Franklin	169.06	58. Lowndes	62.99
25. Morgan	164.00	59. Limestone	61.00
26. Escambia	160.56	60. Hale	51.61
27. Geneva	156.78	61. Conecuh	49.65
28. Pickens	154.59	62. Coosa	45.05
29. Jefferson	153.65	63. Clarke	36.76
30. Cullman	150.89	64. Colbert	34.82
31. Jackson	144.35	65. Perry	23.44
32. Russell	140.72	66. Wilcox	22.06
33. Marengo	134.20	67. Blount	12.76

Table 19

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

PART II OFFENSES

1. Franklin	1,223.02	34. Shelby	240.44
2. Jackson	702.93	35. Blount	237.24
3. Butler	648.40	36. Henry	233.77
4. Houston	645.76	37. Dallas	232.85
5. Fayette	527.78	38. Bibb	228.92
6. Montgomery	512.20	39. Lawrence	225.40
7. Mobile	500.00	40. Escambia	222.54
8. Dale	473.79	41. Lauderdale	222.08
9. Chambers	447.15	42. Marengo	220.78
10. Coffee	368.16	43. Lowndes	220.47
11. Elmore	367.89	44. Coosa	216.22
12. Covington	367.12	45. Etowah	211.42
13. Calhoun	356.03	46. Cherokee	205.13
14. Geneva	338.98	47. Monroe	183.33
15. Cleburne	330.71	48. Pickens	178.74
16. DeKalb	329.07	49. Choctaw	168.75
17. Clarke	327.21	50. Greene	156.86
18. Marshall	316.38	51. Talladega	156.55
*** State of Alabama	313.12 ***	52. Marion	151.01
19. Lee	309.99	53. Russell	134.33
20. Cullman	303.25	54. Colbert	131.53
21. Jefferson	296.55	55. Tallapoosa	128.87
22. Clay	293.23	56. Winston	126.70
23. Morgan	267.00	57. Hale	122.58
24. Crenshaw	264.71	58. Limestone	118.30
25. Tuscaloosa	261.79	59. Conecuh	113.48
26. Randolph	261.31	60. Autauga	90.64
27. Lamar	261.15	61. Washington	71.86
28. Macon	261.04	62. St. Clair	70.00
29. Walker	259.97	63. Sumter	67.90
30. Barbour	259.84	64. Chilton	52.31
31. Baldwin	252.29	65. Bullock	45.45
32. Madison	249.06	66. Perry	15.63
33. Pike	242.75	67. Wilcox	7.35

Table 20

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

CHINS OFFENSES

1. Blount	1,094.39	34. Pickens	169.08
2. Marengo	757.58	35. Bibb	162.65
3. Greene	715.69	36. Lauderdale	160.60
4. Barbour	614.17	37. Madison	159.48
5. Baldwin	554.43	38. Coffee	156.72
6. Chambers	433.60	39. Franklin	151.08
7. Escambia	430.99	40. Cherokee	148.72
8. Butler	388.13	41. Marion	144.30
9. Houston	370.23	42. Lawrence	142.86
10. Dale	360.89	43. Cleburne	141.73
11. Mobile	351.56	44. Lowndes	141.73
12. Monroe	333.33	45. Pike	134.06
13. Montgomery	305.60	46. Geneva	131.36
14. Macon	297.19	47. Shelby	130.78
15. Clarke	279.41	48. Talladega	122.81
16. Marshall	259.89	49. Walker	110.78
17. Lee	237.66	50. Tuscaloosa	96.35
18. Conecuh	226.95	51. Morgan	89.00
19. Clay	225.56	52. Hale	83.87
*** State of Alabama	220.61 ***	53. Colbert	75.44
20. Dallas	218.30	54. St. Clair	70.00
21. Cullman	214.50	55. Fayette	66.67
22. Etowah	206.41	56. Chilton	61.54
23. Jackson	202.93	57. Autauga	58.48
24. Russell	202.56	58. Winston	49.77
25. Coosa	198.20	59. Bullock	45.45
26. Randolph	190.95	60. Choctaw	43.75
27. Covington	189.04	61. Henry	38.96
28. Sumter	185.19	62. Elmore	36.59
29. DeKalb	184.64	63. Limestone	33.27
30. Jefferson	182.96	64. Wilcox	29.41
31. Crenshaw	176.47	65. Lamar	25.48
32. Calhoun	175.86	66. Tallapoosa	18.04
33. Washington	173.65	67. Perry	15.63

Table 21

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

TECHNICAL OFFENSES

1. Mobile	148.97	34. Fayette	11.11
2. Tuscaloosa	143.52	35. Pike	10.87
3. Dale	106.85	36. Franklin	10.79
4. Barbour	102.36	37. Butler	9.13
5. Conecuh	92.20	38. Bullock	9.09
6. Lee	76.92	39. Lauderdale	8.78
7. Baldwin	73.25	40. Autauga	8.77
8. Lawrence	73.02	41. Clay	7.52
9. Shelby	58.35	42. Limestone	7.39
10. Chambers	54.20	43. Jackson	6.28
11. Pickens	43.48	44. Randolph	5.03
*** State of Alabama	40.79 ***	45. Marengo	4.33
12. Montgomery	40.65	46. Russell	4.26
13. Calhoun	40.52	47. Talladega	4.05
14. Coffee	39.80	48. Etowah	4.01
15. Marshall	38.14	49. Marion	3.36
16. Crenshaw	36.76	50. Walker	2.95
17. Cullman	34.02	51. Elmore	2.03
18. Houston	31.98	52. Cherokee	0.00
19. Greene	29.41	53. Chilton	0.00
20. Coosa	27.03	54. Choctaw	0.00
21. Jefferson	25.17	55. Clarke	0.00
22. Macon	20.08	56. Cleburne	0.00
23. Escambia	19.72	57. Colbert	0.00
24. Washington	17.96	58. DeKalb	0.00
25. Monroe	16.67	59. Hale	0.00
26. Madison	16.32	60. Lowndes	0.00
27. Dallas	14.55	61. Morgan	0.00
28. Covington	13.70	62. Perry	0.00
29. Henry	12.99	63. St. Clair	0.00
30. Blount	12.76	64. Sumter	0.00
31. Lamar	12.74	65. Tallapoosa	0.00
32. Geneva	12.71	66. Wilcox	0.00
33. Bibb	12.05	67. Winston	0.00

VI. PROGRAMS LICENSED BY THE
DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES

Programs licensed by the Department of Youth Services include eighteen (18) short-term facilities, nine (9) detention centers, thirteen (13) long-term facilities, three (3) wilderness programs, and eight (8) day treatment centers. These fifty-one (51) facilities served a total of 11,874 youths during 1990.

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY - DECEMBER, 1990

FACILITIES	UNDER	ADMISSIONS JANUARY-DECEMBER					TOTAL	TOTAL
	CARE	W/M	B/M	W/F	B/F	OTHER		
	1/1/90							
SHORT-TERM FACILITIES								
Baldwin Co. Youth Services-Boys	4	30	8	0	0	1	39	43
Baldwin Co. Youth Services-Girls	4	0	0	30	9	0	39	43
Colbert Co.-Boys	4	53	11	0	0	0	64	68
Coosa Valley	10	36	5	41	9	0	91	101
Freedom Ranch *	0	9	4	0	0	0	13	13
Jefferson Co. CHINS Cottage	10	78	75	78	97	1	329	339
Lauderdale Co.-Girls	2	0	0	47	22	0	69	71
Lee Co. Youth Dev. Ctr.-Shelter	9	35	32	52	30	1	150	159
Lee Co. Youth Dev. Ctr.-D&E	2	25	21	14	16	0	76	78
M.A.R.Y.S.-Shelter Care	5	70	58	78	75	2	283	288
Marshall Co. Attention Home	7	37	3	60	0	0	100	107
Mobile Crisis Center	7	313	163	382	160	2	1020	1027
SE AL Youth Svs.-Boys Attn	10	35	27	0	0	2	64	74
SE AL Youth Svs.-Girls Attn	7	0	0	37	13	0	50	57
Shelby Co. Attn Home	4	40	12	47	10	0	109	113
Thirteenth Place-Gadsden	0	46	26	69	31	0	172	172
Tri-County Shelter Care	0	1	2	29	1	0	33	33
Tuscaloosa Shelter Care	5	38	20	45	32	0	135	140
TOTAL	90	846	467	1009	505	9	2836	2926

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY - DECEMBER, 1990

FACILITIES	UNDER	ADMISSIONS JANUARY-DECEMBER					TOTAL	TOTAL
	CARE	W/M	B/M	W/F	B/F	OTHER	ADM.	SERVED
	1/1/90							
DETENTION CENTERS								
Central AL Regional	25	143	327	42	105	3	620	645
Coosa Valley Regional	29	299	144	72	23	0	538	567
James T. Strickland (Mobile Co.)	58	490	998	102	125	25	1740	1798
Jefferson Co.	42	156	906	24	96	0	1182	1224
Lee County	13	138	206	36	29	11	420	433
Montgomery Co. Youth Facility	29	72	302	28	50	0	452	481
Robert Neaves (Madison Co.)	13	436	555	183	128	0	1302	1315
SE AL Youth Svs.-Diversion Ctr.	41	253	337	120	57	2	769	810
Tuscaloosa Co. Regional	21	135	236	31	49	0	451	472
TOTAL	271	2122	4011	638	662	41	7474	7745
LONG-TERM FACILITIES								
Beacon House	11	10	3	7	1	0	21	32
Cornerstone, Inc.	10	8	6	5	2	0	21	31
Genesis House (UMCH)	7	4	9	0	0	0	13	20
Home of Grace for Women **	4	0	0	10	2	0	12	16
King's Ranch-Adderhold	10	3	2	0	0	0	5	15
King's Ranch-Independent Living	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
King's Ranch-Oneonta	13	7	1	0	0	0	8	21
Lee Co. Youth Dev. Ctr.-ILP	4	3	2	3	1	0	9	13
Lee Co. Youth Dev. Ctr.-Treatment	12	12	13	4	4	0	33	45
Mobile Co. Halfway House	6	14	18	2	3	0	37	43
Montgomery Co. for CHINS, Inc.	7	4	1	6	1	0	12	19
Northport Group Home	4	0	0	6	4	0	10	14
River Place	6	9	6	0	0	0	15	21
TOTAL	98	74	61	43	18	0	196	294

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY - DECEMBER, 1990

FACILITIES	UNDER	ADMISSIONS JANUARY-DECEMBER					TOTAL	TOTAL
	CARE	W/M	B/M	W/F	B/F	OTHER	ADM.	SERVED
	11/1/90							
WILDERNESS PROGRAMS								
Glenwood Wilderness Camp	28	31	6	0	0	0	37	65
Pathway, Inc.	38	34	19	11	4	0	68	106
Three Springs	62	50	3	30	2	0	85	147
TOTAL	128	115	28	41	6	0	190	318
COMPREHENSIVE YOUTH SVS. CENTERS (DAY TREATMENT)								
C.I.T.Y. Program-Birmingham	27	6	26	2	2	0	36	63
C.I.T.Y. Program-Gadsden	29	27	24	8	4	0	63	92
C.I.T.Y. Program-Huntsville	19	11	25	1	1	0	38	57
C.I.T.Y. Program-Mobile	28	15	32	1	1	0	49	77
C.I.T.Y. Program-Montgomery	29	12	34	8	5	0	59	88
C.I.T.Y. Program-Tuscaloosa	22	12	28	0	4	0	44	66
Dev. AL Youth Foun.-Shelby Co.	36	43	6	26	2	0	77	113
Youth Alternative Prog-Anniston	12	8	5	6	4	0	23	35
TOTAL	202	134	180	52	23	0	389	591
TOTAL OF ALL DYS LICENSED FACILITIES	789	3291	4747	1783	1214	50	11085	11874

*Opened July 1990

**Opened January 1990

VII. PROGRAMS OPERATED BY THE
DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES

A. Programs operated by the Department of Youth Services include four (4) institutions (Chalkville, Mt. Meigs, Vacca and ITU), five (5) group homes, two, (2) HIT Programs, two (2) Homeward Bound Programs, the Diagnostic and Evaluation Center, the Wilderness Program, and six (6) CPS Programs.

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY- DECEMBER, 1990

Facilities	UNDER	ADMISSIONS JANUARY-DECEMBER					TOTAL	TOTAL
	CARE	W/M	B/M	W/F	B/F	OTHER	ADM.	SERVED
	11/1/90							
GROUP HOMES								
Birmingham	7	13	8	0	0	0	21	28
Gadsden	13	22	18	0	0	0	40	53
Mobile	12	20	29	0	0	0	49	61
Montgomery	15	27	30	0	0	0	57	72
Troy	11	0	0	14	17	0	31	42
TOTAL	58	82	85	14	17	0	198	256
CAMPUSES								
Chalkville	125	55	68	34	53	0	210	335
Intensive Treatment Unit	20	31	41	0	0	0	72	92
Mt. Meigs	130	87	257	0	0	0	344	474
Vacca	137	40	181	0	0	2	223	360
TOTAL	412	213	547	34	53	2	849	1261
D&E Center	80	218	531	50	57	0	856	936
HIT Program-D&E *	0	130	187	21	14	0	352	352
HIT Program-Vacca *	0	146	213	0	0	0	359	359
Wilderness Program	8	84	95	0	0	0	179	187
Homeward Bound-Mt. Meigs	5	3	15	0	0	0	18	23
Homeward Bound-Vacca	5	0	14	0	0	0	14	19

*Opened January 1990

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY- DECEMBER, 1990

Facilities	UNDER	ADMISSIONS JANUARY-DECEMBER					TOTAL	TOTAL
	CARE	W/M	B/M	W/F	B/F	OTHER	ADM.	SERVED
	1/1/90							
COMMUNITY PLACEMENT/ SUPERVISION PROGRAM								
Etowah **	0	1	2	1	0	0	4	4
Jefferson ***	0	4	30	2	0	0	36	36
Madison **	0	3	3	0	1	0	7	7
Mobile ***	0	9	21	0	2	0	32	32
Montgomery ***	0	4	27	0	5	0	36	36
Tuscaloosa **	0	1	4	0	0	0	5	5
TOTAL	0	22	87	3	8	0	120	120
GRAND TOTAL	568	898	1774	122	149	2	2945	2415

**Opened October 1990

***Opened July 1990

NOTE: 2,945 reflects the total number of youths admitted to each facility -- some youths may have been admitted to more than one facility.

The total served by DYS operated facilities excludes the number served at the D & E Center in order to avoid duplication in counting. It also excludes the two Homeward Bound Programs and the six CPS Programs because they are non-residential programs.

B. DIAGNOSTIC AND EVALUATION CENTER

During the calendar year 1990, the Diagnostic and Evaluation Center completed 851 evaluations, a .9% decrease from 1989. Of these evaluations, 99.2% (844) represented actual commitments to the Department of Youth Services, while the remaining 0.8% were predispositional evaluations. Included in these evaluations were medical, psychological, social and educational assessments. Vocational assessments were also completed on 626 youths during this period.

Eighty-seven percent of all evaluations involved males, and 13% involved females. White males accounted for 25.6% of the assessments, black males 61.5%, white females for 5.8% and black females for 7.2%. The most frequent occurring ages were 16 and 17 years old, with 50.1% of the total evaluations.

In accordance with the Department of Youth Services classification recommendations, 20.8% of the 851 youths were classified as Maximum Risk and fifty-seven percent were classified as Minimum Risk students. The six most populous counties (Calhoun, Jefferson, Madison, Mobile, Montgomery and Tuscaloosa) accounted for 49.0% of the total referrals.

Twenty-five and one half percent of the students evaluated had previous commitments to the Department of Youth Services, with the remaining 74.5% being a first commitment. Approximately 72.7% of the referrals had three or more past offenses, 11.3% had two past offenses, 11.9% had one past offense, while 4.1% had no past offenses.

Approximately 25.4% of the youths evaluated tested in the average and above level of intelligence; 35.7% tested in the low average level; and 38.9% tested in the borderline retarded and retarded levels. 13.4 percent of the youth's functional educational level was at or above grade level while the remaining 86.6% were functioning below grade level.

See Figure 12 for an eleven year comparison of the total number of Diagnostic and Evaluation Center Evaluations completed.

Figure 12

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

Thirteen Year Comparison




Figure 13

REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS
CALENDAR YEAR 1990




TABLE 22

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTY

COUNTY	TOTAL EVAL.	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL	851	100.00%	741	100.00%	110	100.00%
Autauga	9	1.06%	6	0.81%	3	2.73%
Baldwin	23	2.70%	22	2.97%	1	0.91%
Barbour	13	1.53%	7	0.94%	6	5.45%
Bibb	6	0.71%	6	0.81%	0	0.00%
Blount	5	0.59%	5	0.67%	0	0.00%
Bullock	2	0.24%	2	0.27%	0	0.00%
Butler	2	0.24%	2	0.27%	0	0.00%
Calhoun	29	3.41%	25	3.37%	4	3.64%
Chambers	22	2.59%	19	2.56%	3	2.73%
Cherokee	1	0.12%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%
Chilton	1	0.12%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%
Choctaw	3	0.35%	3	0.40%	0	0.00%
Clarke	1	0.12%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%
Clay	2	0.24%	0	0.00%	2	1.82%
Cleburne	1	0.12%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%
Coffee	3	0.35%	3	0.40%	0	0.00%
Colbert	6	0.71%	6	0.81%	0	0.00%
Conecuh	3	0.35%	3	0.40%	0	0.00%
Coosa	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Covington	8	0.94%	8	1.08%	0	0.00%
Crenshaw	2	0.24%	2	0.27%	0	0.00%
Cullman	8	0.94%	7	0.94%	1	0.91%

TABLE 22

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTY

COUNTY	TOTAL EVAL.	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
Dale	10	1.18%	7	0.94%	3	2.73%
Dallas	15	1.76%	12	1.62%	3	2.73%
DeKalb	2	0.24%	2	0.27%	0	0.00%
Elmore	9	1.06%	4	0.54%	5	4.55%
Escambia	15	1.76%	12	1.62%	3	2.73%
Etowah	24	2.82%	21	2.83%	3	2.73%
Fayette	1	0.12%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%
Franklin	8	0.94%	8	1.08%	0	0.00%
Geneva	3	0.35%	2	0.27%	1	0.91%
Greene	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Hale	2	0.24%	2	0.27%	0	0.00%
Henry	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Houston	25	2.94%	22	2.97%	3	2.73%
Jackson	1	0.12%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%
Jefferson	171	20.09%	161	21.73%	10	9.09%
Lamar	1	0.12%	0	0.00%	1	0.91%
Lauderdale	10	1.18%	6	0.81%	4	3.64%
Lawrence	4	0.47%	3	0.40%	1	0.91%
Lee	20	2.35%	19	2.56%	1	0.91%
Limestone	4	0.47%	3	0.40%	1	0.91%
Lowndes	3	0.35%	2	0.27%	1	0.91%
Macon	19	2.23%	14	1.89%	5	4.55%
Madison	31	3.64%	26	3.51%	5	4.55%
Marengo	11	1.29%	10	1.35%	1	0.91%

TABLE 22

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTY

COUNTY	TOTAL EVAL.	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
Marion	2	0.24%	1	0.13%	1	0.91%
Marshall	6	0.71%	6	0.81%	0	0.00%
Mobile	66	7.76%	62	8.37%	4	3.64%
Monroe	7	0.82%	7	0.94%	0	0.00%
Montgomery	73	8.58%	63	8.50%	10	9.09%
Morgan	14	1.65%	14	1.89%	0	0.00%
Perry	1	0.12%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%
Pickens	4	0.47%	2	0.27%	2	1.82%
Pike	17	2.00%	12	1.62%	5	4.55%
Randolph	7	0.82%	6	0.81%	1	0.91%
Russell	10	1.18%	10	1.35%	0	0.00%
St. Clair	10	1.18%	7	0.94%	3	2.73%
Shelby	5	0.59%	5	0.67%	0	0.00%
Sumter	1	0.12%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%
Talladega	24	2.82%	20	2.70%	4	3.64%
Tallapoosa	7	0.82%	7	0.94%	0	0.00%
Tuscaloosa	47	5.52%	39	5.26%	8	7.27%
Walker	8	0.94%	8	1.08%	0	0.00%
Washington	2	0.24%	1	0.13%	1	0.91%
Wilcox	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Winston	1	0.12%	1	0.13%	0	0.00%

TABLE 23

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

I. RACE	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	PERCENT		PERCENT	
			MALES	MALES	FEMALES	FEMALES
TOTAL	851	100.00%	741	100.00%	110	100.00%
White	267	31.37%	218	29.42%	49	44.55%
Black	584	68.63%	523	70.58%	61	55.45%
II. AGE						
12 and below	18	2.12%	14	1.89%	4	3.64%
13	41	4.82%	29	3.91%	12	10.91%
14	139	16.33%	112	15.11%	27	24.55%
15	193	22.68%	170	22.94%	23	20.91%
16	227	26.67%	198	26.72%	29	26.36%
17	199	23.38%	186	25.10%	13	11.82%
18 and above	34	4.00%	32	4.32%	2	1.82%
III. PARENTAL STATUS						
Both Natural Parents in Home	127	14.92%	114	15.38%	13	11.82%
One Natural Parent in Home	488	57.34%	429	57.89%	59	53.64%
One Natural Parent and Stepparent in Home	103	12.10%	86	11.61%	17	15.45%
Neither Parent in Home	133	15.63%	112	15.11%	21	19.09%
IV. PARENTAL EMPLOYMENT						
Both Employed	114	13.40%	98	13.23%	16	14.55%
One Nat. Parent/ Guardian Employed	378	44.42%	332	44.80%	46	41.82%

TABLE 23

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

IV. PARENTAL EMPLOYMENT	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
Stepparent only Employed	37	4.35%	32	4.32%	5	4.55%
Both Nat. Parents/ Guardian Unemployed	261	30.67%	230	31.04%	31	28.18%
Not Available	61	7.17%	49	6.61%	12	10.91%

TABLE 24

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

V. PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL	851	100.00%	741	100.00%	110	100.00%
Yes	217	25.50%	194	26.18%	23	20.91%
No	634	74.50%	547	73.82%	87	79.09%
VI. PAST OFFENSE						
None	35	4.11%	30	4.05%	5	4.55%
One	101	11.87%	81	10.93%	20	18.18%
Two	96	11.28%	79	10.66%	17	15.45%
Three or more	619	72.74%	551	74.36%	68	61.82%
VII. PLACEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS						
Chalkville Campus	190	22.33%	112	15.11%	78	70.91%
Mt. Meigs Campus	246	28.91%	246	33.20%	0	0.00%
Vacca Campus	187	21.97%	187	25.24%	0	0.00%
Birmingham Group Home	15	1.76%	15	2.02%	0	0.00%
Gadsden Group Home	28	3.29%	28	3.78%	0	0.00%
Mobile Group Home	42	4.94%	42	5.67%	0	0.00%
Montgomery Group Home	35	4.11%	35	4.72%	0	0.00%
Troy State Group Home	24	2.82%	0	0.00%	24	21.82%
HIT	46	5.41%	46	6.21%	0	0.00%
Predispositional	7	0.82%	7	0.94%	0	0.00%
Intensive Treatment Unit	5	0.59%	5	0.67%	0	0.00%
Other	10	1.18%	10	1.35%	0	0.00%
Released	16	1.88%	8	1.08%	8	7.27%

TABLE 25

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

VIII. INTELLECTUAL LEVEL	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL	851	100.00%	741	100.00%	110	100.00%
Superior	7	0.82%	4	0.54%	3	2.73%
Above Average	10	1.18%	8	1.08%	2	1.82%
Average	199	23.38%	164	22.13%	35	31.82%
Below Average	304	35.72%	267	36.03%	37	33.64%
Borderline Retarded	302	35.49%	273	36.84%	29	26.36%
Retarded	29	3.41%	25	3.37%	4	3.64%
IX. FUNCTIONAL EDUCATIONAL LEVEL						
Above Grade Level	36	4.23%	33	4.45%	3	2.73%
At Grade Level	78	9.17%	64	8.64%	14	12.73%
Below Grade Level	737	86.60%	644	86.91%	93	84.55%
X. ACADEMIC EXPECTANCY VERSUS GRADE PLACEMENT						
At or Above Grade Placement	69	8.11%	56	7.56%	13	11.82%
One Year Below Grade Placement	159	18.68%	129	17.41%	30	27.27%
Two Years Below Grade Placement	311	36.55%	267	36.03%	44	40.00%
Three Years Below Grade Placement	207	24.32%	190	25.64%	17	15.45%
Four Years Below Grade Placement	83	9.75%	79	10.66%	4	3.64%
Five or More Years Below Grade Placement	22	2.59%	20	2.70%	2	1.82%

TABLE 26

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

XI. CLASSIFICATION RECOMMENDATIONS	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	MALES	PERCENT MALES	FEMALES	PERCENT FEMALES
TOTAL	851	100.00%	741	100.00%	110	100.00%
Maximum Risk	177	20.80%	175	23.62%	2	1.82%
Minimum Risk	269	31.61%	230	31.04%	39	35.45%
Minimum Risk (Waived)	216	25.38%	179	24.16%	37	33.64%
Predispositional	7	0.82%	7	0.94%	0	0.00%
Other	182	21.39%	150	20.24%	32	29.09%

TABLE 27

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

XII. VOCATIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS*	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL
TOTAL	626	100.00%
Vocational Counseling	626	100.00%
On-the-Job Training	58	9.27%
Vocational Training	578	92.33%
Vocational Rehabilitation Services	25	3.99%
Work Adjustment	0	0.00%

* Vocational recommendations will not equal one hundred percent due to the overlapping of categories, i.e., several recommendations may apply to one youth.

VIII. INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES

The Interstate Compact on Juveniles is the legal vehicle for cooperation between states on juvenile delinquency supervision and the return of runaway youths.

It is the legal mechanism for returning delinquent youths who have run away from other states and have been apprehended by authorities in Alabama and is also responsible for arranging for the return from other states of Alabama runaways.

In 1990, there were 225 runaways handled through the interstate Compact on Juveniles representing a 28.3% decrease over the previous year. Ninety-eight (43.6%) were runaways from Alabama who went to other states (a 48.5% increase from 1989), and 127 (56.4%) were runaways from other states who were apprehended in Alabama (a 48.8% decrease from 1989).

Alabama's border states (Tennessee, Georgia, Florida and Mississippi) received 36.7% of the runaways from Alabama. Texas received 16.3% of Alabama runaways. The four border states concurrently provided Alabama with 40.9% of its out-of-state runaways. It is interesting to note that 53.5% of the out-of-state runaways were detained in Alabama's six most populous counties (Calhoun, Jefferson, Madison, Mobile, Montgomery and Tuscaloosa).

Of the 225 runaways handled in 1990, 84 (37.3%) were female and 141 (62.7%) were male. The average age for Alabama runaways was 16.4 years old while the average age for out-of-state runaways was 16.2 years old.

The Interstate Compact on Juveniles is also responsible for arranging placement for supervision with other states of delinquent juveniles, provided they are eligible for probation or parole. During 1990, a total of 280 placements were approved, an overall decrease of 7.3%. There were 150 out-of-state youths placed in Alabama (a 3.4% increase) and 130 Alabama youths placed in other states (a 17.2% decrease).

TABLE 28

ALABAMA RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

A. STATE WENT TO

STATE	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL		TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL
	98	100.00%			
Arizona	1	1.02%		Maryland	1 1.02%
Arkansas	1	1.02%		Massachusetts	2 2.04%
California	1	1.02%		Michigan	4 4.08%
Colorado	3	3.06%		Mississippi	9 9.18%
Connecticut	1	1.02%		New Jersey	1 1.02%
Florida	20	20.41%		New York	3 3.06%
Georgia	5	5.10%		North Carolina	3 3.06%
Hawaii	3	3.06%		Ohio	2 2.04%
Indiana	2	2.04%		South Carolina	1 1.02%
Kansas	2	2.04%		South Dakota	1 1.02%
Kentucky	6	6.12%		Tennessee	2 2.04%
Louisiana	6	6.12%		Texas	16 16.33%
Maine	1	1.02%		Washington	1 1.02%

TABLE 29

ALABAMA RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

B. COUNTY/FACILITY LEFT FROM

COUNTY	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL		TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL
	98	100.00%			
Baldwin	2	2.04%	Limestone	1	1.02%
Barbour	1	1.02%	Madison	8	8.16%
Calhoun	1	1.02%	Marengo	2	2.04%
Chambers	1	1.02%	Marion	5	5.10%
Cherokee	2	2.04%	Marshall	2	2.04%
Conecuh	1	1.02%	Mobile	8	8.16%
Cullman	1	1.02%	Monroe	2	2.04%
Dale	3	3.06%	Montgomery	9	9.18%
Dallas	3	3.06%	Pickens	2	2.04%
DeKalb	2	2.04%	Pike	1	1.02%
Etowah	2	2.04%	Russell	1	1.02%
Houston	1	1.02%	Shelby	2	2.04%
Jackson	1	1.02%	Tuscaloosa	3	3.06%
Jefferson	19	19.39%	Walker	1	1.02%
Lee	6	6.12%	DYS Facilities	5	5.10%

TABLE 30
OUT-OF-STATE RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

A. COUNTY WENT TO

COUNTY	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL		TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL
	127	100.00%			
Baldwin	2	1.57%	Greene	1	0.79%
Calhoun	5	3.94%	Houston	4	3.15%
Chambers	1	0.79%	Jefferson	28	22.05%
Cherokee	1	0.79%	Lee	6	4.72%
Chilton	5	3.94%	Limestone	4	3.15%
Coffee	1	0.79%	Madison	6	4.72%
Conecuh	2	1.57%	Mobile	20	15.75%
Covington	1	0.79%	Montgomery	6	4.72%
Cullman	7	5.51%	Pike	2	1.57%
Dale	4	3.15%	Shelby	3	2.36%
Dallas	4	3.15%	Sumpter	2	1.57%
DeKalb	3	2.36%	Tuscaloosa	3	2.36%
Etowah	3	2.36%	Walker	1	0.79%
Geneva	1	0.79%	Winston	1	0.79%

TABLE 31

OUT-OF-STATE RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

STATE LEFT FROM

STATE	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL		TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL
	127	100.00%			
Arizona	3	2.36%	Mississippi	5	3.94%
Arkansas	2	1.57%	Montana	1	0.79%
California	5	3.94%	Nevada	2	1.57%
Colorado	3	2.36%	New Jersey	1	0.79%
Connecticut	1	0.79%	New Mexico	2	1.57%
Florida	20	15.75%	New York	6	4.72%
Georgia	11	8.66%	North Carolina	2	1.57%
Idaho	1	0.79%	Ohio	6	4.72%
Illinois	4	3.15%	Oklahoma	1	0.79%
Kentucky	8	6.30%	Pennsylvania	4	3.15%
Louisiana	1	0.79%	Tennessee	16	12.60%
Maine	4	3.15%	Texas	4	3.15%
Michigan	9	7.09%	Virginia	3	2.36%
			Washington	2	1.57%

TABLE 32
SUPERVISION CASES

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

OUT-OF-STATE REFERRALS TO ALABAMA

STATE	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL		TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL
	150	100.00%			
Arkansas	1	0.67%	Mississippi	10	6.67%
California	2	1.33%	Missouri	2	1.33%
Colorado	1	0.67%	Montana	1	0.67%
Connecticut	1	0.67%	Nevada	2	1.33%
Florida	32	21.33%	New Jersey	2	1.33%
Georgia	36	24.00%	New York	10	6.67%
Illinois	11	7.33%	North Carolina	3	2.00%
Indiana	1	0.67%	Ohio	5	3.33%
Kansas	1	0.67%	South Carolina	2	1.33%
Kentucky	5	3.33%	Tennessee	9	6.00%
Louisiana	4	2.67%	Texas	1	0.67%
Maryland	1	0.67%	Virginia	1	0.67%
Massachusetts	1	0.67%	Wisconsin	1	0.67%
Michigan	2	1.33%	Wyoming	1	0.67%
			Virgin Islands	1	0.67%

TABLE 33
SUPERVISION CASES

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

ALABAMA REFERRALS TO OTHER STATES

STATE	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL		TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL	
	130	100.00%				
Arkansas	1	0.77%		Mississippi	6	4.62%
California	2	1.54%		New Jersey	2	1.54%
Colorado	1	0.77%		New York	3	2.31%
Connecticut	1	0.77%		North Carolina	1	0.77%
Delaware	1	0.77%		Ohio	6	4.62%
Florida	41	31.54%		Oklahoma	1	0.77%
Georgia	23	17.69%		Oregon	1	0.77%
Hawaii	1	0.77%		Pennsylvania	2	1.54%
Illinois	5	3.85%		South Carolina	4	3.08%
Kentucky	2	1.54%		Tennessee	11	8.46%
Louisiana	5	3.85%		Texas	2	1.54%
Maine	1	0.77%		Virginia	3	2.31%
Michigan	2	1.54%		Washington	1	0.77%

IX. ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

A. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A total of 5,805 cases of abuse, neglect, dependency and special proceedings were disposed of by the 43 juvenile courts that reported during 1990.

The 5,805 cases disposed of represented 553 cases of abuse, 1,643 cases of neglect, 2,726 dependency cases, and 883 special proceedings cases*. Ninety-one percent of the cases were disposed of with a court appearance and almost nine percent without a court appearance.

In forty-one percent of the cases, the children were placed in the custody of a relative, and in twenty-seven percent, custody of the children was awarded to the Department of Human Resources. Eleven percent of the cases were dismissed.

Boys accounted for forty-eight percent while girls were fifty-two percent of the cases disposed of. White youths accounted for 45 percent of the cases and black youths accounted for 53 percent.

Children in 35 percent of the cases were brought to the attention of the court by parents, guardians, or relatives. Social agencies referred the children in 55 percent of the cases.

In twenty percent of the cases the youth received protective custody, shelter, attention home, or other care pending disposition of their cases.

* Includes emancipation of children, consent to marry, involuntary commitment to Mental Health, etc.

TABLE 34

DEMOGRAPHIC/REFERRAL/DISPOSITION INFORMATION

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION	NUMBER	PERCENT
TOTAL	5,805	100.00%
I. SEX		
Male	2,784	47.96%
Female	3,021	52.04%
II. RACE		
White	2,588	44.58%
Black	3,088	53.20%
Other	94	1.62%
Unknown or not Reported	35	0.60%
III. REFERRAL REASON		
Abuse	553	9.53%
Neglect	1,643	28.30%
Dependency	2,726	46.96%
Special Proceedings	883	15.21%
IV. REFERRAL SOURCE		
Law Enforcement Agency	268	4.62%
School	42	0.72%
Parent/Guardian/Relative	2,043	35.19%
Social Agency	3,181	54.80%
Other Source	119	2.05%
Unknown or not reported	152	2.62%

TABLE 34

DEMOGRAPHIC/REFERRAL/DISPOSITION INFORMATION

V. CARE PENDING DISPOSITION

Not Detained	4,341	74.78%
Attention Home/Shelter Care	1,157	19.93%
Other Place	35	0.60%
Unknown or not reported	272	4.69%

VI. MANNER OF HANDLING

With Court Appearance	5,269	90.77%
Without Court Appearance	536	9.23%

VII. DISPOSITION

Dismissed	640	11.02%
Referred or Committed to Another Agency	93	1.60%
Committed to Child Care Facility	73	1.26%
Placed in Custody of DHR	1,543	26.58%
Placed in Custody of Relative	2,399	41.33%
Other Disposition (includes informal)	1,032	17.78%
Unknown or Not Reported	25	0.43%

VIII. ADJUDICATION

None	1,618	27.87%
Delinquency	31	0.53%
CHINS	41	0.71%
Dependent	3,899	67.17%
Unknown or Not Reported	216	3.72%

TABLE 35

REFERRALS BY COUNTY

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION					
COUNTY	TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL		TOTAL CASES	PERCENT TOTAL
	5,805	100.00%			
Autauga	1	0.02%	Jackson	64	1.10%
Baldwin	46	0.79%	Jefferson	1,332	22.95%
Barbour	187	3.22%	Lamar	27	0.47%
Bibb	81	1.40%	Lauderdale	1	0.02%
Blount	47	0.81%	Lawrence	11	0.19%
Calhoun	260	4.48%	Lee	306	5.27%
Chambers	103	1.77%	Limestone	1	0.02%
Cherokee	15	0.26%	Lowndes	8	0.14%
Clarke	26	0.45%	Macon	89	1.53%
Cleburne	29	0.50%	Madison	53	0.91%
Coffee	212	3.65%	Marengo	2	0.03%
Coosa	15	0.26%	Marion	55	0.95%
Covington	163	2.81%	Mobile	1,094	18.85%
Cullman	70	1.21%	Monroe	1	0.02%
Dale	20	0.34%	Montgomery	407	7.01%
Dallas	25	0.43%	Pickens	26	0.45%
Etowah	143	2.46%	Pike	53	0.91%
Fayette	26	0.45%	Russell	172	2.96%
Franklin	10	0.17%	Talladega	136	2.34%
Greene	95	1.64%	Tallapoosa	52	0.90%
Houston	1	0.02%	Tuscaloosa	330	5.68%
			Winston	10	0.17%