n

Coursition

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR W. N. DOAK, Secretary

CHILDREN'S BUREAU

GRACE ABBOTT, Chief

123472

JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS
1929

BASED ON INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY 96 COURTS

ERRATA

[Children's Bureau Publication No. 207.]

Page 2, line 4: For p. 62, read p. 60.
Page 8, line 12: For p. 51, read p. 49.
Page 10, line 14: For pp. 40, 42, read pp. 38, 40.
Page 13, line 15: For pp. 44, 46, read pp. 42, 44.
Page 22, line 10: For p. 58, read p. 56.
Page 23, line 4: For p. 54, read p. 52.
Page 25, line 7: For pp. 60, 61, read pp. 58, 59.

UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1931

CONTENTS

Plan of the result	
Plan of the report	
The comes cooperating	
Ommuch involved in the cases	
FOULCUS OF LEIGEBURG TO COULT	
Faces of care pending hearing or disposition	
Reasons for reference to court	
1/10/10/2010/10/	
Denomination and new regions crasps	
Canada a table of the cases	
Sources of reference to court and reasons for reference	
Places of care pending hearing or disposition	· -
Dispositions.	-
Cases of children discharged from supervision	-
Part II.—Comparative tables for 1927, 1928, and 1929	_
Trends in invenile delinements	_
Trends in juvenile delinquency Comparative summary tables Part III.—Source tables	-
Part III Course 4 Mar	_
Appendix.—Courts furnishing statistical material for 1929	_

123472

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

II

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this material has been granted by

Public Domain/U.S. Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the search bowner.

JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS, 1929

PLAN OF THE REPORT

This report, which is the third annual report based on data supplied by courts cooperating with the Children's Bureau in the plan for obtaining uniform statistics of delinquency, dependency, and neglect, cases dealt with by juvenile courts, is arranged in three parts: I. General discussion and summary tables based upon figures received from all courts reporting in 1929; II. Comparative tables for the three years 1927, 1928, and 1929, including a table showing increase or decrease in the number of delinquency cases reported by courts sending cards for two or more years, and comparative summary tables for. the three years; and III. Source tables giving figures for individual courts reporting in 1929. The courts for which figures are shown in the source tables comprising Part III fall into two groups: (1) Those serving populations of 100,000 or more and (2) those serving populations of 25,000 to 100,000 according to the census of 1920. The tables dealing with what seem to be the more significant items show figures for both groups; the remainder of the tables show figures for the first group only. Figures reported by courts serving areas with populations of less than 25,000 in 1920 are not shown in the source tables but are included in the summary tables of Part I. The number of cases of each type reported by these courts is shown in the first of the summary tables (p. 3).

 $1 \cdot \cdot_{i_1} \cdot \cdot_i$

¹ Population figures for 1930 were not available when these tabulations were made.

PART I.—GENERAL DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY TABLES THE COURTS COOPERATING

Ninety-six courts sent in statistical data for the entire calendar year 1929, as compared with 65 courts for 1928 and 43 for 1927.2 The names of the 96 courts reporting for 1929, with the largest city or town in the area served by each court, are given in the appendix (p. 62). For convenience each court will be designated in all other places only by the territory over which it has jurisdiction. The cooperating courts reported 46,312 delinquency cases, 18,805 dependency and neglect cases, and 10,493 cases of children discharged from supervision. The number of cases reported by each court for the year is shown in Table 1. Although all the courts have jurisdiction over both delinquency and dependency or neglect cases, 7 courts reported delinquency cases only and 3 reported dependency or neglect cases only. Ninetythree of the courts, therefore, reported cases of delinquency, and 89 reported cases of dependency and neglect. Sixty-six courts reported cases of children discharged from supervision; 61 of these courts reported cases of delinquent children, and 48 courts cases of dependent and neglected children. These figures, representing the number of courts reporting each type of case, will be used in the summary tables and discussions in this report.

The work of the court as to both number and types of cases was reported more completely by some courts than by others. Incomplete records or divided responsibility in checking cards was responsible for many of the failures to report.3 All the courts were asked to report unofficial cases, but no such cases were reported by 25 4 courts, although it is probable that in some of these courts a few complaints are adjusted unofficially. In some courts records are not kept of

unofficial work.

The failure of 30 courts to report cases of children discharged from supervision may be due to incomplete probation records or to the practice of allowing cases to become inactive without dismissal or removal

from the list or index of active cases.

Table 1 shows wide variation among the courts in the relative number of delinquency and of dependency and neglect cases reported for the year. This variation is due in part to the extent to which local agencies other than the court are caring for dependent and neglected children in the different communities.

Juvenile-Court Statistics, 1927 and 1928. United States Children's Dureau Publications No. 195 (Washington, 1929) and No. 200 (Washington, 1930).

The organization of the probation office associated with the court, from which most of the cards were

TABLE 1 .- Number of boys' and girls' delinquency and dependency and neglect cases disposed of and number of cases of children discharged from supervision by 96 specified courts during 1929

Court	Deli	nguency	cases	Dapand	lency and cases	l neglect	Cases of children discharged
Court	Total	Boys	Oiris	Total	Noys	Oirls	from su- pervision
Total	46, 312	38, 461	7, 851	18, 805	9,507	9, 238	10, 493
Alabama:		1	•	.,	. ,,	,,	
Autauga County Baldwin County	16	13	3	24 10	117	13	12
Bullack County	3		3	63	30	33	16
Colhoun County	62	48	14	44	21 9	23	15 5
Chambers County	5 2	5	2	16 8	3	7 8	
Chilton County	24	20	8	10	5	5	1
Clarko County	9	8	1	32	16	16	3
Claburna County	2 18	1 11	1 7	72 72	38	34	7
Colbort County Coosa County	i		i	4	2	"2	6
Crenshaw County				29	18	11	20
Dale County	11 22	11		2 84	43	41	16 22
Dallas County	22	1 4	2	2	2	l	L
Escambia County				12	7	5	14
Etowah County	61	40	12 1	17 86	10	44	6 8
Fayette CountyFranklin County	13	12	l i	, "	l ï	3	l
Halo County	iŏ	7	. 3	31	16	15	26
Houston County	18	10	3	46	23	23	22
Jackson County Lauderdale County	12	9 7	1 7	104	39	65	69
Lee County	3	3		26	. 11	15	16
Limestone County	7	6	1	19	15	10 23	11
Lowndes County	2 3	2 2		38	33	28	19
Marshall County	8	8	<u></u>	7	4	1 3	
Mobile County	219	170	49	9	26	_3	18 2
Monroe County	21	16	0	54 30	13	29 - 17	เรื่
Morgan CountyPerry County	4	1 3	ı	64	38	26	11 24
Pickens County	3	3		53	25	28	4
Talladega County	19 6	15	4	42 23	22 10	20 13	7
Tallapoosa County	7	1 4	3	27	10	17	14
Washington CountyCalifornia: San Diego County	1, 656	1, 417	239	438	201	234	
Connecticut: Bridgeport	461	391	70 324	70 348	197	33 151	168 624
District of Columbia	1, 947	1,623	17	162	70	92	16
Indiana:						_	
Clay County	19	13	109	13 240	113	7 133	10 92
Lake County Marion County	242 985	134 653	332	282	163	119	278
Monroe County Steuben County	21	14	7				11
Stenben County	17 24	11	6 8	5		5	12
Union County Vanderburg County	92	10 37	55				
Iowa: Polk County	747	569	178	631	309	322	
Louisiana: Bossier and Webster Parishes		3	1	5	2.	3	
Caddo Parish	275	236	39	107	51	50	20
Ouachita Parish	269	252	17	110	133	50	
Michigan: Kent County	431	346	95	279	133	146	
Hennepin County	1,097	897	200	343	161	182	562
Ramsey CountyWinona County	396	309	87	138	67	71	812 31
Winona County	- 38	32	6	17	(1	10	61
New Jersey: Hudson County	1,840	1, 584	202				189
Mercer County	433	414	19				148
New York:	932	866	66	72	48	24	142
BuffaloChemung County		100	33	135	65	70	
Columbia County	120	118	8	133	65	68	46
Delaware County	10	14 200	23	293	154	139	6
Dutchess County Eric County		192	ii ii	68	41	27	95
Monroe County	233	194	39	294	144	140	148
New York City	7, 056	6, 868	1, 088	3, 891 73	2, 045 29	1,846	8, 313
Orleans County	30	29	1 1	21	15	9	
Orleans County. Rensselaer County. Westchester County. North Carolina: Buncombe County.	318	258	60	187	100	87	
Westchester County	888 146	749 124	139	270 103	134 57	136 46	407
North Carolina: Buncombe County	146	124	1 22	1 103	1 01	1 10	!

The organization of the probation office associated with the court, from which most of the cards were received, and its relation to the court differ from place to place. In some localities this office is an integral part of the court; in others it is a separate organization. The office may function as a unit or, especially in the larger courts, be divided into separate departments. In some communities the court receives casework service from another agency; for example, a county child-welfare department.

Alabama—Franklin County, Mobile County; libinois—Rock Island County; Louisiana—Bossier and Webster Parishes; Michigan—Kent County; Minnesota—Hennepin County; Rumsey County; New Jorsey—Hudson County, Afercer County; New York—Buffalo, Cheming County, Delaware County, Erie County, New York City, Ontario County, Orleans County, Rensselaer County; North Dakota—Third Judicial district; Ohio—Franklin County, Lake County; Pennsylvania—Allegheny County, Montgomery County; Virginia—Lynchburg, Norfolk; Washington—Pierce County.

Table 1.—Number of boys' and girls' delinquency and dependency and neglect cases disposed of and number of cases of children discharged from supervision by 96 specified courts during 1929-Continued

Court	Deli	nqtiency	C8503	Depen	Cases of children discharged		
	Total	Воуз	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	from su- pervision
North Dakota: Third judicial district (in part)	7	4	3	10	2	8	•
Ohio:	•	'	°	10	-	°	
Auglaize County	95	80	15	28	16	12	
Clark County	40ĭ	320	75	78	35	43	59
Cuyahoga County	3, 853	3, 172	7i1	1, 396	720	676	703
Franklin County	473	274	199	659	342	317	
Ramilton County	2,034	1.394	640	468	213	255	345
Lake County	59	48	11	31	10	15	25
Mahoning County	2, 021	1, 689	332	202	145	147	
Montgomery County	752	523	229	385	190	195	130
Sandusky County.	69	42	27	40	22	18	14
Oregon: Muitnomah County	902	750	152	443	202	241	97
Pennsylvania:			, 1			, ,	
Allegheny County	1, 290	1,090	200	756	364	392	
Lycoming County.	19	9	.10	39	15	24	
Montgomery County	55	47	8	13	- 8	5	
Philadelphia	6, 955	6,089	866	3, 670	1,918	1,752	1,611
South Carolina: Greenville County	120	103	23	114	54	60 (75
Utah:		!					
First district	279	250	29				
Second district	535	473	62	18	11	7	
Third district	871	710	161	130	67	63	41
Fourth district	385	317	68	19	11	8	3
Fifth district.	601	537	64	27	19	8	55
Carbon County	59	52	7	4	3	1	4H
Other counties	204	236	28	7	1	6 [- 14
VIrginia:					_		
Lynchburg	246	211	35	12	8	4	.64
Washington: Pierce County	852	709	143	209	103	100	197
wasnington: Pierce County	135	100	35	61	37	24	

Most of the courts reporting have county-wide jurisdiction, but a few are serving a city only. In most of the State of Utah the juvenile courts are organized on a district basis, each district including several counties.6 Utah is the only State in which all the juvenile courts reported.

The populations of the areas served by the courts, shown in Table 1, varied from less than 25,000 to 500,000 or over in 1920. Six of the courts served populations of 500,000 or over; 24, populations of 100,000 to 500,000; 49, populations of 25,000 to 100,000; and 17, populations of less than 25,000. Eighty-nine per cent of the delinquency cases and 85 per cent of the dependency and neglect cases were reported by courts coming within the first two groups.

The maximum age of original jurisdiction of the 96 courts varied from 16 to 21 years. Fifty-seven courts had jurisdiction over children under 16 years of age; 5 had jurisdiction under 17 years; 25 had jurisdiction under 18 years; and 1 (San Diego County, Calif.) had jurisdiction under 21 years. Of the remaining 8 courts, 7 (in Indiana) had jurisdiction over delinquent and dependent and neglected boys under 16 years, delinquent girls under 18 years, and dependent and neglected girls under 17 years; and 1 (Rock Island County, Ill.) had jurisdiction over boys under 17 years and girls under 18 years.

DELINQUENCY CASES

CHILDREN INVOLVED IN THE CASES !

Age.

The extent to which the age limitation of original jurisdiction of the court affected the number of cases dealt with is shown in Table 2.11 The cases of 16 and 17 year old children constituted almost one-third of the boys' cases and almost two-fifths of the girls' cases for which the age of the child was reported in courts having jurisdiction over children under 18 years, and more than one-third of the boys' cases and almost two-fifths of the girls' cases in the one court having jurisdiction over children under 21 years. Cases of 14 and 15 year old children constituted the largest group in courts of each age jurisdiction under 18 years.

TABLE 2 .- Age limitation of original court jurisdiction and age of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 93 courts during 1929 1

				De	linquency	CBS85				
			Age	limitat	ion of orig	inal cou	rt jurisdic	tion		
Age and sex of child	Total	Under	16 years 1	Under	17 years	Under	18 years	Under 21 years		
	-	Num- ber	Per cent distri- bution	Num- ber	Per cent distri- bution	Num- ber	Per cent distri- bution	Num- ber	Per cent distri- bution	
Total cases	46, 312	23, 973		2, 913		17, 740		1,656		
Boys' cases	38, 461	20, 863		2, 477		13, 704		1, 417		
Age reported	38, 043	20, 635	100	2,462	100	13, 531	100	1,415	100	
Under 10 years	2, 630 4, 736 9, 626 15, 259 5, 698 94	1, 572 3, 069 6, 182 9, 533 275 6	8 15 30 46 1 (4)	201 280 571 875 527 2	8 12 23 36 21 (4)	768 1, 256 2, 666 4, 404 4, 331 26	6 10 20 33 32 (4)	89 96 207 447 515 61	18 32 36	
Age not reported	418	228		15		173		2		
Girls' cases	7, 851	3, 110		466		4, 036		239		
Age reported	7,753	3,066	100	466	100	3, 984	100	237	100	
Under 10 years	286 426 1,434 3,765 1,800 42	117 208 699 1, 945 93	4 7 23 63 8 (4)	27 45 116 188 90	6 10 25 40 19	132 167 579 1,566 1,527	3 4 15 39 38 (4)	10 6 40 68 90 25	4 2 17 28 38 11	
Age not reported	98	44				52		2		

189 of the 93 courts reported boys' cases and 86, girls' cases.

Includes San Diego County, Calif., only.

Less than 1 par cent.

"The inclusion in the tables of a few cases of children beyond the age of original jurisdiction may be explained by the fact that some courts have jurisdiction beyond the age of original jurisdiction in certain situations; for example, a case in which the offensa was committed before the age limit was reached, even though the case did not come to the attention of the court until afterward, and a case in which a child made

a ward before reaching the age limit was brought before the court on a new charge.

New York City includes five boroughs, or countles, each of which has a subdivision of the court.

The courts for each of the remaining counties, although not organized on a district plan, have been dealt with in two groups for statistical purposes, "Carbon County" and "Other counties." 136 in Alabama, 1 in Connecticut, 2 in New Jersey, 12 in New York, 1 in North Carolina, 4 in Pennsylvania, and 1 in South Carolina.

I in the District of Columbia, 3 in Louisiana, and 1 in Michigan. 1 in Iowa, 3 in Minnesota, 1 in North Dakota, 9 in Ohio, 1 in Oregon, 7 in Utab, 2 in Virginia, and 1 in

Includes truancy cases in Westchester County, N. Y. (where jurisdiction to 17 years authorized by the state-wide education law is exercised)

¹⁶ As a number of the children were dealt with more than once, the 46,312 delinquency cases reported for 1929 represented 41,101 children -33,793 boys and 7,308 girls. In 1927 and 1928 tables showing age and social characteristics of the children involved in the cases were based on children, not cases, the information about the child contained in the record of the first case disposed of during the year being used. A comparison of tables relating to social data based on children and on cases revealed no significant differences in per cent distribution. All tables for 1929 are therefore based on cases, each child being counted as many times during the year as he was referred on a new complaint.

Color and nativity.

Colored boys were involved in one-sixth and colored girls in one-fifth of the delinquency cases for which color of child was reported by the courts. (See Table 3A, below)

Few children of foreign birth are reported to the courts in delinquency cases. This is doubtless due, at least in part, to the fact that a smaller proportion of the foreign-born white population than of the native-born white population is of juvenile-court age.

Information regarding the nativity of the parents of the nativeborn white children, whose cases constituted the largest proportion of the delinquency cases, was obtained in the 31,264 cases shown in Table 3B. In only two-fifths of the delinquency cases of native-born white girls were one or both parents foreign born. The situation is reversed, however, in cases of native-born white boys who became delinquent. In slightly more than one-half of the boys' cases, one or both parents were foreign born.

TABLE 3A.—Color and nativity of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 93 courts during 1929

	Delinquency cases										
Color and nativity of child	T	otal	В	oys	Oiris						
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution					
Total cases	46, 312		38, 461		7, 851						
Color reported	45, 183	100	37, 438	100	7,745	100					
White	37, 832 33, 195 743 3, 894	84 73 2 9	31, 513 27, 469 595 3, 549	84 73 2 9	6, 210 6, 720 148 345	80					
Colored	7, 351	16	5, 825	16	1, 526	20					
Color not reported	1, 129		1,023		106						

189 of the 93 courts reported boys' cases, and 86, girls' cases.

TABLE 3B.—Parent nativity of native white boys and girls ! dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 93 courts during 1929 2

Parent nativity	Delinquency cases of native white children										
	To	tal	Во	ys	Girls						
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution					
Total cases	31, 264	100	25, 658	100	5, 600	100					
Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage	15, 778 15, 489	50 50	12, 375 13, 283	48 52	3, 400 2, 206	61 89					

¹ Excludes cases of children for whom parent nativity was not reported.

*80 of the 93 courts reported boys' cases, and 86, girls' cases.

Where living when referred to court.

In two-thirds of the cases of delinquent boys, but in slightly less than one-half of the cases of delinquent girls for whom this information was reported (Table 4), the children were living with both their own parents when they were referred to court. This rather striking difference between boys and girls is probably due to several factors. The lack of normal family life may play a more significant part in the delinquency of girls than of boys. It is generally conceded that the difficulties which bring girls into court are usually more serious in character and more closely related to home conditions than the difficulties of boys.

Table 4.—Whereabouts, when referred to court, of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 93 courts during 1929 1

	Delinquency cases										
Whereabouts of child	Т	otal	В	nys	Oirls						
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution					
Total enses	46, 312		38, 461		7 851						
Whereabouts reported	40, 503	100	33, 538	100	6,965	100					
With both own parents. With mother and stepfather. With father and stepmother. With mother only. With father only. In adoptive home. In other family home. In institution. Other.	25, 833 2, 136 1, 255 5, 755 2, 382 202 2, 159 336 446	64 5 3 14 6 (2) 5 1	22, 487 1, 506 974 4, 508 1, 830 128 1, 491 231 287	67 5 3 13 6 (1) 4 1	3, 346 540 281 1, 247 516 74 668 105 158	48 8 4 18 8 1 10 2					
Whereabouts not reported	. 5, 809		4, 923		884						

¹⁸⁹ of the 93 courts reported boys' cases, and 86, girls' cases.

1 Less than 1 per cent.

SOURCES OF REFERENCE TO COURT

The distribution of the sources from which cases are referred to court is some indication of the relation of the court to the community. The proportion referred by such sources as parents and relatives, other individuals, and social agencies shows to a certain extent whether the court is regarded as a general agency to deal with all conduct problems or only as an agency to deal with cases of marked conflict with public authority. More than half the cases shown in Table 5 were reported by the police. Parents or relatives, or other individuals, referred one-fourth of the cases. Probation officers were reported as source of reference in a small percentage of the cases.

[&]quot;Bome courts may have reported the person signing the petition rather than the person making the original complaint, thus reporting "probation officer" as the source in cases actually referred by others.

Table 5.—Source of reference to court of delinquency cases disposed of by 93 courts during 1929

	Delinqu	ency cases
Source of reference to court	Number	Per cent alstribu- tion
Total cases	46, 312	100
Police	25, 350	5!
Parents or relatives Other individual School department Probation officer	1 7.461	10
Probation officer Social agency Other sources	2, 634 957 638	2
Source not reported	50	

PLACES OF CARE PENDING HEARING OR DISPOSITION

Table 6A shows that more than half the delinquent children were not detained pending the hearing or disposition of their cases, or their cases were disposed of on the day the complaint was made. For the children who were detained, a diversity of places were used according to the facilities available in the local community. Detention homes were used in one-fourth of the cases. Practically all the cases of children cared for in detention homes were reported by courts situated in cities or counties of 100,000 or more population. Although a number of courts reported the use of institutions other than detention homes, including the institutional resources of private agencies, the majority of the cases in which children were so cared for were reported by the New York City court. (See Table VII, p. 51.) Five per cent of the boys and two per cent of the girls were detained in jails or police stations. In all 1,896 children, of whom 713 were under the age of 16 years, were detained in jails or police stations. 13

A marked difference is shown in the type of detention care given children over 16 years of age and that given younger children. A smaller percentage of the older children were detained in detention homes and other institutions and a larger percentage in jails or police stations.

TABLE GA .- Place of care pending hearing or disposition and age of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 93 courts during 1929 1

					De	linque	ncy cas	rs.				
							Agon	f child				
Place of care and sex of child	To	Total Under 14 years			14 years, 16 under 16 un		16 years, under 18		18 years and over		Not re- ported	
	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- her	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num-	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cen dis tribi tlor
Total cases	46, 312		19, 138		10, 024		7, 499		136		516	
Boys' cases	38, 461		16, 992		15, 259		5, 699		94		418	
Place of care reported	38, 211	100	16, 890	100	15, 158	100	5, 664	100	94	100	405	1
Own home or case dis- posed of same day Bearding home Detention home or	22, 035 131	,58 (²)	10, 355 47	(1)	8, 076 45	(¹) ⁵³	3, 301 32	58 1	49 6	52 6	254 1	(1)
other institution 1 Detention home 1 Other institution	9, 935	20	6, 198 4, 287		6, 363 4, 448 1, 915		1, 184 1, 072 112	21 19 2	11 10 1	12 11	135 118	
Jail or police station Only place of care One of the places of	1,741 1,503	5 4	1,911 156 128	1	487	13 3 3	1,066	19 16	23	1 24 24	17 9 8	
More than one place of	238 249	1 1	23 96	(i) 1	74 123	(J)	135 29	2 1			1	(ŋ (ŋ
Other place of care	164	(1)	. 38	(1)	64	(1)	52	î	6	5	1 5	`
Ince of care not reported	250		102		101		34				13	
Oiris' cases	7,851		2, 146		3,765		1,800		42		98	
Ince of care reported	7, 790	100	2, 136	100	3, 735	100	1, 783	100	41	(1)	95	10
Own home or case disposed of same day Boarding home	3, 865 94	50 1	1, 278 11	60 1	1, 646 33	44	872 50	49 3	14		85	
other institution * Detention home * Other institution	3, 437 2, 233 1, 204	44 20 15	788 454 334	37 21 16	1, 892 1, 157 735	51 31 20	712 590 122	40 33 7	18 14 4		27 18 0 3	1
Jail or police station Only place of care One of the places of	155 117	2 2	12 11	1	58 37	2 1	76 60	3	6		3	
More than one place of	38 73	(2)	1 20	(J)	21 40	1	16 11	1			1	
Other place of care	166	2	27	i	66	2	62	3	2		9	
lace of care not reported	61		10		30		17		1		3	

¹⁸⁹ of the 93 courts reported boys' cases and 86, girls' cases.

Table 6B shows that white boys were more frequently cared for in their own homes or had their cases disposed of the same day, than the colored boys, and that a larger proportion of the colored than of the white were cared for in detention homes or in jails or police stations. Approximately the same proportion of white and colored girls had their cases disposed of the same day or were allowed to remain in their own homes. But in the case of girls cared for in places other than their own homes, detention homes were used more frequently for colored girls and institutions other than detention homes for the care of white girls.

Although courts using the cards were instructed that a child held for a few hours only should not be considered detained, it is probable that some of the children reported as detained in Jalls or police stations were held for a few hours only, and not overnight. A few courts stated that a "detention room" for children was located in the courthouse or in the jail. Detention in the same building as the jail was classified as detention in jail.

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsowhere, but excludes cases of children also held in Jalis or police stations.

Excludes cases of children hold in detention homes, Jalis, or police stations.

Not shown because number of cases was less than 50.

TABLE GR.—Place of care pending hearing or disposition and color of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 93 courts during 1929

			Delinqu	ency case	.	
Place of care and sex of child		White	children	Colored	cbildren	Children
en e	Total	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	whose color was not reported
Total cases	46,312	37, 832		7, 351		1, 129
Boys' cases	38, 461	31,613		5, 825		1, 023
Place of care reported	38, 211	31,391	100	5, 797	100	1,023
Own home or case disposed of same day Boarding home Detention home or other institution in the institution in the institution. Other institution. Juli or police station. Only place of care. One of the places of care. More than one place of care in the care in the care. Other place of care.	22, 035 131 13, 501 9, 935 3, 956 1, 741 1, 503 238 249 164	19, 199 123 10, 393 7, 039 3, 354 1, 321 1, 143 178 214 141	61 (7) 33 22 11 4 4 1 1	2, 637 8 2, 674 2, 072 602 420 360 60 35 23	(7) 46 36 10 7 6 1	199 824 824
Place of care not reported	250	222		28		
Girls' cases	7, 851	6, 219		1, 526		108
Place of care reported	. 7,790	6, 164	100	1, 520	100	100
Own home or case disposed of same day Boarding home Detention home or other institution i Other institution	3, 865 94 3, 437 2, 233 1, 204 155 117 38 73 166	3, 063 86 2, 669 1, 652 1, 017 120 89 31 59 137	50 1 43 27 16 2 1	742 8 692 506 186 35 28 7 14 29	49 1 46 33 12 2 2 (7) 1 2	30 76 75 1
Fince of care not reported	61	55		6		

¹⁸⁹ of the 93 courts reported boys' cases and 86, girls' cases.

1 Less than 1 per cent.

Excludes cases of children held in detention homes, Jails, or police stations,

REASONS FOR REFERENCE TO COURT "

Although an attempt is being made to secure uniformity in the use of terms, the reasons reported for referring children to courts as delinquents give a very incomplete picture of their behavior problems. A child may have committed several offenses at or about the same time but be referred to the court on only one of them. The specific offense for which he is referred may be much less serious than offenses discovered in the course of the social investigation. When the case is investigated before the filing of a petition instead of afterward, the formal charge is usually more accurate, but even in such cases the offense stated in the complaint may reflect the desire of the court to protect the child. These differences in the attitudes and practices of the court are apparent in the proportion of cases referred for the various reasons by the different courts. (See Tables IIIA, IIIB, pp. 40, 42.)

It is generally accepted that the offenses for which boys and girls are referred to court represent different delinquency problems. Table 7A shows that "stealing or attempted stealing" and "act of carelessness or mischief" were the most usual offenses reported in boys' cases, whereas the closely related offenses of "running away," "ungovernable or beyond parental control," and "sex offense" were reported more often in girls' cases.

TABLE 7A .- Reason for reference to court and color of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 93 courts during 19291

			Del	Inquency	Cases		
Reason for reference to court, and sex of	т	otal	White	children	Colorec	i children	Children
chlld	Num- ber	Per cent distri- bution	Num- ber	Per cent distri- bution	Num- ber	Per cent distri- bution	whose cold: was not reported
Total cases	46, 312		37, 832		7, 351		7,12
Boys' cases	38, 461		31,613		5, 825		1,02
Reason reported	38, 339	100	31, 510	100	5, 806	100	1,02
Stealing or attempted stealing	2, 572	42 7 12 2 14 7 9 6	12, 827 2, 182 3, 927 682 3, 985 2, 051 2, 936 1, 854	41 7 12 2 13 7 9	2, 681 335 541 105 1, 179 521 377 368	46 6 9 2 20 9 6	444 58 311 4: 222 13 211
trol. Sex offense. Injury or attempted injury to person. Act of carelessness or mischief. Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication. Other reason.	2, 696 698 1, 053 10, 999 356 914	7 2 3 29 1 2	2, 158 478 814 9, 380 309 754	7 2 3 30	482 120 228 1, 377 44 129	8 2 4 24 1	56 10 11 242 8
Reason not reported	122		103		. 19		
Girls' cases	7, 851		6, 219		1, 526		106
Reason reported	7, 778	100	6, 171	100	1, 501	100	100
Stealing or attempted stealing Automobile stealing Burglary or unlawful entry Robbery Other type of stealing Type of stealing Truancy Running away Ungovernable or beyond parental con-	853 15 62 59 451 266 1,093 1,200	(2) 1 1 6 6 3 14 17	658 14 46 48 329 221 981 1,020	11 (2) 1 1 5 4 16 17	187 1 13 11 117 45 110 203	(³) 1 1 8 3 7 14	8 8 8 8 87
trol Sex offenso	2, 060 1, 512 201 566	26 19 3 7	1, 561 1, 269 100 433	25 21 2 7	478 239 101 131	32 16 7 9	21 4 2
ontionOther reason	74 129	1 2	58 91	1 1	16 36	1 2	2
Beason not reported	73		48		25		

¹⁸⁹ of the 93 courts reported boys' cases and 86, girls' cases.

The distribution of offenses for white and colored children, though apparently quite similar, is significantly different. White boys were referred to court more frequently than colored for "truancy" and act of carclessness or mischief, whereas colored boys were referred

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations.

¹⁴ The term "charge" was used in earlier reports.
13 A girl may be charged with incorrigibility instead of a sex offense, a boy with mischlef instead of stealing, or a charge of burglary and entry be reduced to trespassing and taking the property of another.

¹ Less than 1 per cent.

for stealing and "injury or attempted injury to person" more frequently than the white boys. An analysis of the various forms of stealing shows that white boys were reported for "burglary or unlawful entry" more often than colored boys and that colored boys were referred for "other type of stealing" more often than the white. White girls were referred more frequently than colored for truancy, whereas colored girls were referred more often than white for injury to person. The other offenses showed little difference for white and colored girls.

TABLE 7B .- Per cent distribution according to reason for reference to court of cases of bous and girls of each age period dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 93 courts during 1929 1

			. 1	Delinqu	ency en	ses		
Reason for reference to court, and sex of child					ge of ch	ild		
	Total	Under 10 years	years, under 12	12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16	16 years, under 18		Not re- ported
Boys' cases	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Stealing or attempted stealing Automobile stealing. Burglary or unlawful entry Robbery Other type of stealing. Type of stealing not reported Truancy Kunning away Ungovernable or beyond parental control. Sec offense. Injury or attempted injury to persen Act of carelessness or mischief Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication. Other reason.	7 12 2 14 7 9 6 7	37 1 13 2 16 7 7 7 6 9 1 4 35	44 2 15 2 17 7 5 6 8 1 3 31 (2)	45 4 14 2 17 8 8 7 7 1 3 28 (1)	42 9 12 2 13 7 10 6 7 2 3 27 1	36 13 8 2 9 5 10 6 6 3 3 3 29 4	41 13 10 4 12 3 5 19 5	28 2 3 10 6 0 10 4 4 2 3 40 (7)
Girls' cases.	100	100	100	100	100	100	(3)	100
Stealing or attempted stealing. Automobile stealing. Burglary or unlawful entry. Robbery. Other type of stealing. Type of stealing not reported. Truancy. Running away. Uncovernable or beyond parental control Sex offense. Injury or attempted injury to person. Act of carelessness or mischief. Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication. Other reason.	11 (7) 1 6 3 14 17 26 19 3 7	22 5 (1) 12 5 19 4 18 7 4 26 (1) (1)	23 1 1 2 12 7 11 8 22 7 8 22 7 8	16 (1) 1 1 9 5 13 14 30 14 4 4 8 (1)	(1) (2) 4 3 15 21 28 10 2 5 1	8 (1) (2) 1 5 2 14 14 23 30 2 5 2 3 3		33 1 1 14 15 27 10 0 2 23

¹⁸⁹ of the 93 courts reported boys' cases and 86, girls' cases.

The types of offenses committed by children vary with their age, reflecting changing interests and pursuits. Table 7B shows that the offenses committed by girls under 12 years of age corresponded more closely to those committed by boys of that age group than did the offenses of older girls to those of older boys. In boys' cases stealing and acts of carelessness or mischief were the major offenses in each age group under 18 years, although the type of stealing changed as the boys grew older. For the group 18 years and over, in which the majority of the cases were reported by San Diego County, Calif., stealing was still one of the major offenses, but the percentage re-

ferred for being ungovernable was greater than that referred for acts of carclessness or mischief. (In California, courts have only concurrent jurisdiction between the ages of 18 and 21 years, and many cases of young people in this age group are dealt with by adult courts.) In girls' cases the percentages referred for running away, being ungovernable, and sex offenses were larger for the higher than for the lower age groups. In both boys' and girls' cases the percentage referred to court for acts of carelessness or mischief decreased as the age of the children increased, although the decrease was much more pronounced in girls' than in boys' cases, while the percentages referred for sex offenses and violations of liquor or drug laws or intoxication rose as the age of the children increased.

DISPOSITIONS

Individual courts showed wide variation in the extent to which different types of dispositions were used (See Tables IVA, IVB, pp. 44, 46.) Such variations are due in many instances to differences in court procedure and practice. For instance, the number of official cases dismissed or continued indefinitely 16 is small if cases are investigated before the filing of a petition and trivial cases are dealt with unofficially and dropped. The proportion of cases in which the child is placed on probation is influenced by several factors, among them the number of cases dismissed or continued indefinitely upon first hearing, the extent to which unofficial probation is used, the local institutions available for short-time commitments, and the care with which children are selected for probation both as to those likely to profit by it and as to the court's facilities for giving adequate supervision.

Official cases.

Table 8A shows the extent to which different types of dispositions were used by the courts in official delinquency cases. Placing the child on probation was the disposition most frequently used. The number of cases dismissed or continued indefinitely was also large; in a smaller number the children were committed to institutions. Only about one-seventh of the cases were disposed of by any other method than one of these three. Although the same percentage of boys and girls were placed on probation, the percentage of cases dismissed or continued indefinitely was larger for boys and the percentage of commitments to institutions was larger for girls. Other slight differences in the methods of dealing with boys and girls are shown in this table. The types of dispositions reported in cases of white and colored children show some differences: white children were relatively more apt to be fined than the colored; colored children were more often committed to boards, departments, or agencies than the white.

Less than I per cent.
 Not shown because number of cases was less than 50.

¹⁶ The classification "case dismissed" was used for cases closed without further action, cases referred to other courts for commitment to institutions for the feeble-minded, and cases dismissed because of lack of jurisdiction in the juvenile court. Cases were considered as "continued indefinitely" when no further action was taken or supervision given the children, but when jurisdiction was maintained so that if a like situation arose later the case might be brought into court again without the filing of a new petition. Cases of children placed on probation to parents or committed to institutions with commitment suspended when no further action was contemplated were also classed as "continued indefinitely."

Table 8a.—Disposition of case and color of boys and girls dealt with in official delinquency cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929 1

			Official	delinque	ncy cases	3	
Esisposition of case and sex of child	Т	ntal	White	children	Colored	children	Children whose
	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	color was not re- ported
Total cases	31, 814		25, 964		5, 144		703
Disposition reported	31, 806	100	25, 956	100	5, 144	100	706
Dismissed or continued indefinitely Child placed on probation Child committed to institution	9, 561 12, 588 5, 029	30 40 16	8, 151 10, 047 4, 025	31 39 16	1, 341 2, 178 822	26 42 10	69 303 182
State institution for delinquent children. Other institution for delinquent	1, 974	0	1,462	6	486	9	46
chlidren. Type of institution for delinquent	2,603	8	2, 221	9	261	5	121
children not reported Other institution Restitution, fine, or costs	189 203 2, 260	1 1 7	157 185 2,056	1 1 8	32 63 196	1	15 8
Fine Imposed or payment of costs ordered Restitution or reparation ordered Other disposition Child placed under supervision of	1, 579 681 2, 368	5 2 7	1, 457 599 1, 677	6 2 -6	122 74 607	2 1 12	8 84
individual other than proba- tion officer	509	2	397	2	111	2	1
partment, or agency Child returned home Child referred for criminal prose-	1, 109 353	3 1	653 279	. 3 . 1	386 63	8	70 11
cution Child otherwise cared for Disposition not reported	3 <u>8</u> 359 8	(')	33 315 8	(*)	4 43	(')	1
Boys' cases	26, 569		21,854		4, 093		n22
Disposition reported	26, 566	100	21, 851	100	4, 093	100	622
Dismissed or continued indefinitely. Child placed on probation. Child committed to institution	8, 464 10, 503 3, 626	32 40 - 14	7, 263 8, 478 2, 873	33 39 13	1, 138 1, 096 596	28 41 15	63 329 157
State institution for delinquent children	1,409	6	1,098	5	359	9	42
childrenType of institution for delinquent	1,847	7	1, 555	7	. 184	4	108
children not reported Other institution Restitution, fine, or costs Fine imposed or payment of costs	108 172 2, 205	(¹) 1 8	95 125 2, 008	(*) 1 9	12 40 189	(³) 1 5	7 8
Restitution or reparation ordered. Other disposition.	1, 542 603 1, 768	8 2 7	1, 426 582 1, 229	7 3 6	116 73 474	3 2 12	8 65
Child placed under supervision of individual other than probation officer	385	1	303	1	81	2	1
Child committed to board, de- partment, or agency	779 247	3	412 196	2	314 42	8	53 9
Child referred for criminal prose- cution	37 320	(7)	33 285	(2)	3 34	(1)	1
Child otherwise cared for Disposition not reported	32)	l	3	l		l	l <u>.</u>

¹⁸⁹ of the 93 courts reporting delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases; 87 of the 89 courts reported boys' cases and 76, girls' cases.

1 Less than 1 per cent.

Table Sa. - Disposition of case and color of boys and girls dealt with in official delinquency cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929 - Continued

Angle - grant Brigain - 2 days			Official	dellique	ncy ensea	5	
	Tı	ital	White	children	Colored	l children	Children
Disposition of ease and sex of child	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	color was not re- ported
Cirls' cases	5, 245		4, 110		1, 051		84
Disposition reported	5, 210	100	4, 105	100	1, 051	100	84
Dismissed or continued indefinitely. Child placed on probation. Child committed to institution.	1, 697 2, 695 1, 403	21 40 27	899 1,569 1,152	22 38 28	203 482 226	19 46 22	6 34 25
State institution for delinquent	475	0	364	9	107	10	4
Other institution for delinquent children	756	14	666	16	77	7	13
Type of institution for delinquent children not reported. Other institution. Restitution, fine, or costs.	81 91 55	2 2 1	62 60 49	2 1 1	19 23 7	2 2 1	8
Fine Imposed or payment of costs ordered	37 18 600	(²) 11	31 17 448	(1)	8 1 133	(7) 13	19
individual other than probation	124	2	94	,2	30	3	
Child committed to board, de- partment, or agency	330 106	6 2	241 83	6 2	72 21	7 2	17
Child referred for criminal prose- cution	1 39	(7)	30		. 1	(2)	
Disposition not reported	1					-	-

^{11.}ess than 1 per cent.

TABLE 88.—Per cent distribution, according to disposition of cases of boys and girls of each age period dealt with in official delinquency cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929.

			OMc	ini delir	quency	cuses.		
•				. A	ge of chi	ld		
Disposition of case and sex of child	Total	Under 10 years	10 years, under 12		14 years, under 16	ifi yenrs, under 18	18 years and over	Not re- ported
Boys' casos	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Dismissed or continued indefinitely	40 14 6 7	38 37 8 1 5	32 42 12 3 8	31 43 14 5 8	33 40 14 6 7	28 33 15 10 4	29 37 10 8	30 20 21 1
Other institution Restitution, fine, or costs Other disposition	1 8	. 8 8	1 9 5	8 5	(3) 7	11 13	19	
Oiris' cases	100	100	100	190	100	100	(1)	<u>(n</u>
Dismissed or continued indefinitely	21 40 27 9	12 29 11 2 7	28 41 16 4	21 42 27 8 15	20 41 26 9 15	19 34 32 14 15		
a Type of institution for damagnate smaller and reported. Other institution. Restitution, fine, or costs	2	2 3 15	1 3 3 13	2 2 1 10	1 1 1 11	2 2 1 13		

¹⁸⁹ of the 63 courts reporting delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases; 87 of the 89 courts reported boys' cases and 76, girls' cases.

2 Less than 1 per cent.

4 Not shown because number of cases was less than 50.

Stealing Total T			-			Official d	Official delinquency cases	y cases	-			
Total Stealing Total Stealing Total Stealing Total Stealing Total Stealing Total Stealing Total Stealing Total Stealing Total Stealing Total Stealing			-			Reaso	a for refere	ance to cou	t	-		
100 100	rD .	Total	Stealing or utternpt- ed steal- ing	Trusacy	Running away	Ungov- ernable or beyond parental	Sex offense	Injury or attempt- ed injury to person	Act of careless- ness or mischlef	Violating liquor or drug law or intoxi- cation	Other	Not report- ed
1	Boys' cases	100	81	81	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
t children t chil	Dismissed or continued indefinitely. Child pluced on probation. Child committed to institution. State institution for delinquent children. Type of institution for delinquent children not reported. Type of institution for delinquent children not proper of the institution. Type of institution. Restitution, fine, or costs. Girls' cases.	32 40 14 7 7 (3) 1 8 1 7 7 100				요 # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	21 55 17 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(C)	83 20 3 3 10 10 10 10 10 10		883412 128 81	28 27 27 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29
	Dismissed or continued indefinitely. Child placed on probation. Child committed to institution. State institution for delinquent children. Other institution for delinquent children not reported. Type of institution of delinquent children not reported. Other institution. Restitution, fine, or costa.	26201441	€	1	1		11 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4.63	SPAGE-		2558- 1 1	878 8 11

Both the age of the child and the character of his offense affect the disposition of his case. Table 8B shows the relation between the disposition of the case and the age of the child, and Table 8c, between the disposition of the case and the reason given for referring the child

Table 8B shows a larger percentage of cases of boys under 10 years of age than of older boys dismissed or continued indefinitely and a steadily increasing percentage of commitments to institutions in each higher age period. Comparison of the age group 16, under 18 years with age groups under 16 years shows that in a smaller percentage of the older group than of each of the younger groups the case was dismissed or continued indefinitely or the boy placed on probation. In a higher percentage of the older group than of each of the younger groups the disposition was one of a miscellaneous list classed as "other." The age group 18 years and over in which percentages were based on a small number of cases (52), the majority reported by one court (San Diego County, Calif.), may be excluded from consideration.

In cases of girls under 10 years of age dismissal or indefinite continuance constituted a much larger percentage and probation a much smaller percentage of the dispositions than in each of the higher age groups. As in boys' cases, commitment to institutions constituted an increasing percentage of the dispositions as the age of the girls increased.

Table 8c shows that with a few exceptions the treatment for different types of offenses was quite similar in boys' and girls' cases. Dismissal or indefinite continuance was the disposition most often used in both boys' and girls' cases when the offense was truancy, injury or attempted injury to person, and act of carelessness or mischief. Probation was the most usual disposition in cases of both boys and girls charged with stealing, running away, and being ungovernable. The contrast in methods of dealing with boys and girls committing sex offenses is striking, probation being used most often for boys and commitment to an institution for girls. In a group of miscellaneous offenses classed as "other" dismissal or indefinite continuance was used most often for the boys and commitment to an institution for the girls.17

Unofficial cases.

Sixty-four of the 93 courts reporting delinquency cases disposed of cases unofficially. Table 9 shows that a large percentage of these cases were dealt with either by adjusting the difficulty or by dropping the case without action of any sort. In a small percentage of the cases children were placed on unofficial probation, and in still smaller percentages they were referred to institutions or agencies. The return of runaways or children living away from home to their homes also constituted a small percentage of the cases.

II The high percentage of commitments to institutions in the cases of girls whose offense was classed as "other" is due to the figures reported by one court.

Table 9 .- Disposition of case and color of boys and girls dealt with in unofficial delinquency cases disposed of by 64 courts during 19291

		U	noMeial d	clinquancy	y cases	
Disposition of case and color of child	Т	otal	Во	y's	G	iris
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total cases	14, 498		11, 992		2,606	
Disposition reported	14, 494	100	11,883	100	2, 601	108
Dismissod	3, 011 7, 553 1, 615 901	21 52 11 6	2, 535 6, 392 1, 302 673	21 54 11 6	476- 1, 161- 313- 228	1: 4: 1:
Pincement of child elsewhere recom-	334 167	2 1	237 113	2	97 54	-
mendedReferred to agency or other courtOther disposition	459 415	3 3	272 359	2 3	216 56	
Disposition not reported	- 14		9		5	:
White	11,869		9, 759		2, 109	
Disposition reported	11,854	100	9, 750	100	2, 101	10
Dismissed Difficulty adjusted Child placed on unofficial probation Child returned home 1	2, 471 6, 319 1, 237 718	21 51 10 6	2, 126 5, 399 979 523	22 5.5 10 5	345 960 -259 195	I
Placement of child in institution recom- mended	259	2	188	2	-71	
mendedReferred to agency or other court Other disposition	78 359 354	1 8 3	43 195 307	(⁷) 2 3	35 193 47	
Disposition not reported	14		9		5	
Colored	2, 207		1,732		476	
Disposition reported	2, 207	100	1, 732	100	475	10
Dismissed Difficulty adjusted Child placed on unofficial probation Child returned home?	540 989 272 118	24 45 12 5	409 703 220 88	24 40 13 5	131 195 52 30	2 4 1
Placement of child in institution recom- mended	51	2	33	2	18	
mended. Referred to agency or other court. Other disposition.	89 97 52	4 4 2	70 74 45	- 4 4 3	19 23 7	-
Disposition not reported						
Color not reported	423		401		22	
Disposition reported	423	100	401	100	22	(1)
Dismissed Difficulty adjusted Child placed on unofficial probation Child returned home Placement of child in institution recommended Placement of child elsewhere recom-	216 106 65	51 25 15	210 103 62	52 20 15	0 3 3 8	
nended	3 0	1 2	3 7	1 2	2	
	1	}	1		1	"

¹⁶¹ of the 93 courts reporting delinquency cases reported unofficial delinquency cases; 56 of the 64 courts

DEPENDENCY AND NEGLECT CASES

Although the proportions of dependency and neglect and of delinquency cases reported by the different courts showed much variation, 18 dependency and neglect cases generally constituted a smaller part of the work of the courts than delinquency cases.19 Seven courts dealing with delinquent children did not report dependency and neglect cases. CHILDREN INVOLVED IN THE CASES!

Tables 10, 11A, 11B, and 12 show the age, sex, race, nativity, nativity of parents, and whereabouts when referred to court of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases. Nearly as many boys as girls were dealt with in these cases in which the children were fairly evenly distributed in the age groups under 14 years. The number who were 14 and 15 years of age was slightly smaller than the numbers in the lower age groups, and the number 16 years of age or older was very small.

A comparison of Tables 11A and 3A shows practically no difference in the distribution of dependency and neglect cases and delinquency cases among white and colored children; neither is there any significant difference in the percentage of native and foreign-born children referred in these two types of cases. However, there is a marked difference in the two types of cases if the parent nativity of the native white group is considered, a much larger proportion of the children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases being of native parentage than of those dealt with in delinquency cases (Tables 11B and 3B).

In little more than one-fourth of the dependency and neglect cases were the children living with both of their own parents when referred to court. Families were broken by death, divorce, desertion, or other cause in about one-half of the cases, as shown by the number of children living with a parent and step-parent or with only one parent, and it is probable that most of the families were similarly broken in the remainder of the cases, almost one-fourth, in which children were living in adoptive or other family homes, institutions, and elsewhere (Table 12).

court cases and other children were dealt with more than once, the 18,805 dependency and neglect cases.

19 As a number of the children. In 1973 and 1928 tables showing age and social characteristics of the children represented 18,287 children. In 1973 and 1928 tables showing age and social characteristics of the children involved in the cases were based on "children" not "cases." Life information about the child contained in the record of the first case disposed of during the year being used. A comparison of tables relating to social than based on children and on cases revealed on significant differences in per cent distribution. All tables therefore based on gase such child being counted as many times during the year as he was for 1920 are therefore based on cases, each child being counted as many times during the year as he was

referred on a new complaint.

11 The apparent difference in the tables is due to the large number of delinquency cases in which nativity of child was not reported.

reported boys' cases and 50, girls' cases,

Applies only to runaways or children living away from their own homes at time they were referred to

⁴ Not shown because number of cases was less than 50.

¹⁹ This variation in the proportion of dependency and neglect and delinquency cases is due to several factors, among them the practice in some courts of filing the complaint against the adult responsible for the dependency or neglect instead of bringing the children into court as dependent or neglected. Another factor is the policy in some localities of bringing to the attention of the court only those dependency and neglect cases which require commitment or legal decision as to existed or parental obligation. In other localities the court is the principal or only local agency caring for such children. Cases of mothers' allowances, which frequently are administered by courts, are not included in the tabulations.

Another allowances, which frequently are administered by courts, are not included in the tabulations.

Another allowances, which frequently are administered by courts, are not included in the tabulations.

By 13 20 the courts reporting both delinquency and dependency and neglect cases the number of delinquency and neglect cases was greater than the number of delinquency cases. Most of these were small courts in Alahama where the counts upperintendent of child welfare is also probation officer of the juvenile court. In such situations it is frequently difficult for the worker to distinguish between unafficial juvenile court cases and other child-welfare cases. Three Alabama courts reported dependency and neglect cases but no delinquency cases.

Table 10.—Ages of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929

		iency and et cases
Age of child	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total cases	18, 805	
ge reported	18, 487	10
Under 2 years. 2 years, under 4. 4 years, under 6. 6 years, under 8. 8 years, under 10. 10 years, under 12. 12 years, under 14. 14 years, under 14. 16 years and over.	2, 396 2, 453 2, 578 2, 650 2, 190	12 13 37 14 14 12 11
ge not reported	318	

Table 11a.—Color and nativity of boys and girls dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929

	-	D	ependency	and negle	ct cases	
Color and nativity of child	Т	otnl	В	oys	O	iris
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion		Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total cases	18, 805		9, 567		9, 238	
Color reported	18, 663	100	9, 487	100	9, 176	101
White	16, 186 15, 556 194 436 2, 477	87 93 1 2 13	8, 190 7, 894 93 209 1, 291	86 83 1 2 14	7, 990 7, 662 181 227 1, 186	87 83 1 2 13
Color not reported	142		80		62	

¹⁸⁷ of the 89 courts reported boys' cases and 88, girls' cases.

TABLE 11B.—Parent nativity of native white boys and girls 1 dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929 2 .

	Depen	dency and	neglect cas	ses of nativ	ve white cl	hlldren
Parent nativity	То	otal	Вс	oys .	GI	rls
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- butlon
Total cases	15, 352	100	7, 700	100	7, 562	100
Native parentageForeign or mixed parentage	9, 988 5, 364	65 35	5, 012 2, 748	C5 35	4, 916 2, 616	6:

Excludes cases of children for whom parent nativity was not reported.

187 of the 89 courts reported boys' cases and 88, girls' cases.

TABLE 12.—Whereabouts when referred to court of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929

		ency and
Whereabouts of child	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total cases	18, 805	
Whoreabouts reported	16, 150	100
With both own parents. With mother and stepfather. With inther and stepmother. With mother only. With inther only. With father only. In adoptive home. In other family home	305 265 4, 971 2, 828 132 2, 551	27 31 18
In institutionOther	521 178	1
Whereabouts not reported	2, 649	

SOURCES OF REFERENCE TO COURT AND REASONS FOR REFERENCE

Since several children in a family may be referred to court at the same time and for the same reason, the families represented as well as the children's cases are shown in Tables 13 and 14. Each family was counted only once for each time it was dealt with by the court on a new complaint involving one or more of the children.

It is to be expected that social agencies would be one of the most important sources of reference in dependency and neglect cases. In some localities the court prefers to have such cases investigated first by a social agency so that only those actually needing court action are brought to court. In other localities the court undertakes the initial work and receives complaints from any interested persons including parents and relatives. Table 13 shows that the largest group of families were referred by social agencies and the next largest by parents and relatives, these two groups referring almost three-fourths of the families.

Some form of neglect²² on the part of parents or guardians, and situations involving dependency²³ primarily, were the two major reasons for referring families to court. The proportion of families referred for each of these two reasons was the same, more than two-fifths of the total number. Less than one-tenth of the families were referred for reasons related to questions of custody and a still smaller proportion for other reasons.

[&]quot;Abandonment or desertion, abuse or cruel treatment, improper conditions in the home.
"The courts were asked to interpret the term "insufficient parental care," as well as "financial need," a inability, rather than neglect, to provide for children.

Table 13.—Source of reference to court and families represented in dependency and hegical cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929

	Der	ondency a	nd neglect	cusus
Source of reference to court	Tota	l cases	Families	opresented
	Number	Per cont distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total	18, 805		0, 253	
Source reported	18, 780	100	0, 245	100
Social agency	6, 236 1, 591 1, 135 1, 096 776	41 33 8 6 0	3, 424 3, 138 896 681 581 373	37 31 10 7 6 4
Other source	213 19	1	152 8	2

TABLE 14.—Reason for reference to court and families represented in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929

	Den	endency n	nd neglect	cazes
Reason for reference to court	Total	cnses	Familles	epresented
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total	18, 805		P, 253	
Reason reported	18,773	100	9, 230	100
Abandonment or desertion	6, 134 6, 109 2, 352	9 4 33 33 13 5 4	898 334 2,730 2,988 1,018 714 498	10 4 30 32 12 8 5
Reason not reported	32		23	

PLACES OF CARE PENDING HEARING OR DISPOSITION

The detention of dependent and neglected children presents problems different from those involved in the detention of delinquent children. A comparison of Tables 6A and 15 shows that boarding homes and other institutions were used more frequently in dependency and neglect cases than in delinquency cases. The large number of cases in which dependent and neglected children were detained in "other institutions" is due primarily to the inclusion of figures for New York and Philadelphia. Almost three-fourths of the cases of children so detained were reported by these two courts. (See Table XII, p. 58.) The percentage of cases in which children were left in their own homes or which were disposed of on the day the complaint was made was slightly larger in dependency and neglect cases than in delinquency cases.

Table 15.—Place of care of child pending hearing or disposition in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 89 courts during 1929

	Depende neglec	ency and
Place of care of child	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total cases	18, 805	
Pince of care reported	18, 581	100
Own home or case disposed of same day	881 5, 054 1, 213 4, 441	62 86 (2)
Place of care not reported.	224	

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jalls or police stations.

DISPOSITIONS

The majority of dependency and neglect cases were official, but 58 courts reported some unofficial cases. The extent to which individual courts dealt unofficially with dependency and neglect cases varied considerably. (See Table IX, p. 54.)

As is shown by Table 16, some definite action such as committing the child to an institution or agency or placing him under the supervision of an officer of the court or some individual was taken in four-fifths of the official cases. In more than one-fourth of the unofficial cases placement or supervision of the child was advised, as is shown by Table 17, the proportion placed under the supervision of the probation officer being much larger in 1929 than in 1928. One-half of the unofficial cases were disposed of by making some adjustment of the difficulties involved.

Less than 1 per cent.
Excludes cases of children held in detention homes, juils, or police stations.

Table 16.—Disposition of official dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 81 courts during 1929 1

		depend- nd neglect
Disposition of case	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total cases.	14, 763	
Disposition reported	14,754	100
Dismissed or continued indefinitely Child placed under court supervision Child placed under supervision of individual other than probation officer. Child committed to board, department, or agency State agency— Other arency— Type of agency not reported— Child committed to institution— State institution for dependents— Other institution for dependents— Type of institution for dependents not reported— Institution for definquent children— Institution for feeble-minded or epileptic children— Institution for physically handicapped children— Other institution— Other disposition—	2, 818 3, 496 1, 127 3, 657 451 3, 117 89 3, 514 2, 850 149 78 30 76 109 142	19 24 8 25 3 21 1 24 1 19 1 1 (*)

¹⁸¹ of the 89 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported official dependency and neglect cases.

1 Less than 1 per cent.

Table 17.—Disposition of unofficial dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 58 courts during 1929 1

	Unofficia ency & cases	l depend nd neglect
Disposition of case	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total cases	4,012	
isposition reported	4, 027	100
Dismissed. Difficulty adjusted		5 11 22
sisposition not reported	.] 15	

¹⁵⁸ of the 89 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported unofficial dependency and neglect cases.

CASES OF CHILDREN DISCHARGED FROM SUPERVISION

Cases of delinquent children discharged from supervision or probation were reported by 61 courts and cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 48 courts. Tables 18 and 19 show that in the majority of cases children were discharged from supervision because of improvement in conduct or home conditions or because further supervision seemed unnecessary. Almost one-tenth

of the delinquent children were reported as discharged because they had reached the age limit of court jurisdiction, without comment as to improvement or lack of improvement in behavior. Failure of probation as indicated by commitment to an institution for delinquent children was shown in about one-eighth of the cases. Some interesting differences as to the length of the supervision period in different courts are shown in Tables XIV and XVI. (See pp. 60, 61.)

Table 18.—Reason for discharge in cases of delinquent children discharged from supervision by 61 courts during 1929

	children :	lelinquent lischarged pervision
Reason for discharge of child	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total casea	8, 020	
Renson reported	8,018	100
Further supervision not recommended, or discharged with improvement before age ilmit. Child committed to institution. Institution for delinquent children Other institution. Child committed to agency or individual. Child reached age limit. Other reason Transferred to other court. Whereahouts unknown Moved from jurisdiction of court. Other reason	1,007 97 376 701 720 57 202	64 14 13 1 1 9 9 9
teason not reported	8	

TABLE 19.—Reason for discharge in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 48 courts during 1929

Reason for discharge of child	and child	ren dis-
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total cases	2, 467	
leason reported	2, 457	100
Further supervision not recommended, or discharged with improvement before age limit. Child committed to institution		61 11 9 4 2 13
lenson not reported	10	

PART II.—COMPARATIVE TABLES FOR 1927, 1928, AND 1929

TRENDS IN JUVENILE DELINQUENCY

Table A shows the number of delinquency cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by courts which dealt with at least 50 cases and reported for two or three years. Figures for the total number of cases reported by the courts during a 2-year or a 3-year period show a definite increase in the number of delinquency cases. The 21 courts reporting for the three years show an increase of 11 per cent in 1929 over the number reported for 1927, and an increase of 7 per cent over 1928. For the courts reporting for 1928 and 1929 only, the percentage increase was higher, but this increase is due in part to the figures of one court which failed to report all of its cases in 1928.

It is difficult to determine how much of this increase may be attributed to an actual increase in delinquency and how much to other causes. It should be borne in mind always that the amount of delinquency which comes to the attention of the juvenile court is only a small part of the total amount in the community and may or may

not be a reliable index of the actual delinquency situation.

Growth in population is one factor which may affect the increase in cases reported by the courts. Information as to increase in the number of children of juvenile-court age in the areas served by the courts is not available, but during the 10-year period 1920–1930 there was an average annual increase of 2 per cent in the total population of these areas. In several jurisdictions, including suburban areas, the increase in population considerably exceeded this 2 per cent.

The extent to which the courts kept complete statistical records would also affect the number of cases reported to the Children's Bureau. In one instance it is stated that reporting was less complete in 1928 and in 1929 than in 1927. In most instances, however, it is believed that it has been growing more, rather than less, complete and that this fuller reporting has influenced the figures of many of the

courts.

In individual courts a certain amount of variation in the number of cases dealt with from year to year is to be expected on the basis of chance alone. In courts reporting a small number of cases, a noticeable increase or decrease in numbers may be due entirely to this factor. The marked variations in the number of cases reported by some courts, which might easily be assumed to indicate changes in delinquency, are frequently due to changes in the policy, personnel, or equipment of the court or to changes in the law that revise the age of jurisdiction or bring children before the court for offenses not previously considered of juvenile-court jurisdiction. The number of cases reported may also be affected by alterations in the policy, personnel, or equipment of other official and nonofficial agencies dealing with children who exhibit conduct difficulties.

COMPARATIVE SUMMARY TABLES

Although the reasons for referring children to court and the methods of dealing with them differ somewhat from court to court, the per cent distributions of the combined figures for all courts reporting in each of the three years 1927, 1928, and 1929, as shown in the following

tables, reveal only minor differences. This similarity is found also with respect to sex, age, and social characteristics of the children concerned. That the data are similar, despite the increase in the number of reporting courts and in the number of cases reported, suggests that the uniform reporting of juvenile-court statistics has made available information fairly representative for the United States regarding the nature of the problems dealt with by the juvenile court, the sex, ages, and social characteristics of the children involved, and the extent to which certain types of treatment are used.

Table A.—Number of delinquency cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929, by specified courts reporting 50 or more delinquency cases

	Del	inquency	CRSBS
Courts reporting 50 or more delinquency cases	1029	1928	1927
All courts reporting in 1928 and 1929	41,037	37, 115	
Courts reporting in 1927, 1928, and 1929	29, 543	27, 579	26, 5
Connecticut: Bridgeport	451 1, 947	431 2,004	1, 9
Inke County	242 985	454 822	8
Hennepin County	1, 097 396	1, 149 375	3
Hudson County Mercer County	1, 846 433	1, 850 294	1,6
Buffalo Columbia County Erie County	932 126 203	938 65 197	8
New York City Westchester County North Carolina: Buncombe County	7, 956 859 145	7, 204 888 106	1 6, 1 1, 1
Dilo: Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County	473 1,394 2,021	763 1,097 1,854	1, 3 1, 6
Pennsylvania: Mantgamery County	55 6, 955	65 6, 200	6, 1
Virginia: Norfolk	852 135	669 154	7: 1:
Courts reporting in 1928 and 1929 only	11, 494	9, 536	
owa: Polk County	747	763	
Caddo Parish	275 260	232 257	
Chemung County Mooroe County Ontario County	133 233 86	124 222 100	
hlo: Clark County Cuynhoga County	401 3, 883	395 2,636	
Lake County	752 1, 290	67 534 1, 243	
tnh: First district.	279 535	105 347	
Second district. Third district. Fourth district.	871 385	318 825 308	
Fifth district. Carbon County Other counties.	601 59 264	453 97 241	
'irginia: Lynchburg	246	279	

¹ Figures incomplete, cases pending on Jan. 1, 1927, not included.

Includes boys' cases only

^{*} Exclusive of unofficial cases not reported for three months.

TABLE B .- Per cent distribution according to color and nativity of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Burcau 1

	Children dealt with in delinquency cases						
Color and nativity of child	Boys			Girls			
	1927	1928	1929	1927	1928	1920	
Total.	100	100	100	100	100	100	
White	85 72 4 9	85 74 2 10	85 73 2 10	79 48 5 6	79 73 2 5	80 74 2 5	
Colored	15	15	15	21	21	20	

¹⁴² courts reported delinquency cases in 1927, 62 in 1928, and 93 in 1929.

TABLE C .- Per cent distribution according to parent nativity of native white boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Bureau 1

	Children dealt with in delinquency cases					nses
Nativity of parenta	Boys			Girls		
	1927	1928	1929	1927	1028	1020
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100
Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage	43 57	44 50	18 52	55 -45	55 45	61

¹⁴² courts reported delinquency cases in 1927, 62 in 1929, and 93 in 1929.

Table D.—Per cent distribution according to place of care pending hearing or disposition of boys' and girls' delinquency cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Bureau 1

	Delinquency cases						
Place of care of child	Boys				Girls		
	1027	1928	1929	. 1927	1928	1920	
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Own home or case disposed of same day	(2) 34 4 1 (2)	(1) 35 4 1 (1)	(1) 36 5 1	51 1 43 2 2 2	50 1 45 2 1	50 i 44 2 1 2	

^{1 42} courts reported delinquency cases in 1927, 62 in 1928, and 93 in 1929.

TABLE E.—Per cent distribution according to reason for reference to court of boys' and girls' delinquency cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Bureau 1

Delinquency cases							
Boys				Girls			
1927	1928	1929	1927	1928	1929		
100	100	100	. 100	100	100		
42 8 7 7 2 3 28	43 9 6 7 2 3 28	42 9 6 7 2 3 20	13 10 19 28 19 3 7	12 12 15 28 10 3	11 14 17 20 19 3		
	100 42 8 7 7 7 2	1927 1928 100 100 42 43 8 9 7 6 7 7 2 2 3 3	Hoys 1927 1928 1929 100 100 100 42 43 42 8 9 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	1927 1928 1920 1927 100	Boys Girls 1927 1928 1929 1927 1928 100 100 100 100 100 100 42 43 42 13 12 8 9 0 10 12 7 7 6 6 19 15 7 7 7 7 28 28 28 2 2 2 19 10 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		

^{1 42} courts reported delinquency cases in 1927, 62 in 1928, and 93 in 1929.

TABLE F.—Per cent distribution according to disposition of boys' and girls' official delinquency cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Bureau 1

	Official delinquency cases						
Disposition of case	Boys				Girls		
	1927	1928	1929	1927	1928	1929	
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Dismissed or continued indefinitely	36 39 14 7	30 43 14 7	32 40 14 8 7	27 41 22 2 8	22 41 26 1	21 40 27 1	

^{1 42} courts reported official delinquency cases in 1927, 61 in 1923, and 87 in 1929.

TABLE G .- Per cent distribution according to color and nativity of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 ! by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Bureau

Color and nativity of child		ı dealt wit and negle	
	1927	1928	1929
Total	100	100	100
White Native. Foreign born Nativity not reported	87 79 5	86 82 1 3	87 83 1 2
Colored	13	14	18

¹ Figures for 1927 and 1928 are based on children, each child being counted only once during the year; figures for 1929 are based on cases, a child being counted each time he is dealt with by the court on a new

 ¹ Less than 1 per cent.
 2 Incit than 1 per cent.
 3 Incit than 1 per cent.
 4 Incit than 1 per cent.
 5 Incit than 1 per cent.
 6 Incit that 1 per cent.
 7 Incit that 1 per cent.
 8 Incit that 1 per cent.
 9 Incit that 1 per c

² 34 courts reported dependency and neglect cases in 1927, 63 in 1928, and 89 in 1929.

TABLE II .- Per cent distribution according to parent nativity of native white children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Bureau 2

Nativity of parents	Children dealt with in de- pendency and neglect cases ¹					
	1927	1028	1920			
Total	100	100	100			
Native parentage	60	. 61	• 65			
Foreign or mixed parentage	40	39	35			

I Figures for 1927 and 1928 are based on children, each child being counted only once during the year; figures for 1929 are based on cases, a child being counted each time he is dealt with by the court on a new charge during the year.

134 courts reported dependency and neglect cases in 1927, 53 in 1928, and 89 in 1929.

TABLE I .- Per cent distribution according to place of care of child pending hearing or disposition of dependency and neglect cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Bureau 1

Diameters of shill	Dependen	icy and neg	lect cases
Place of care of child	1927	1928	1929
Total	100	100	100
Own home or case disposed of same day.	- 56	61	62
Boarding home. Detention home or other institution 1	_ 36	31	36
Jail or police station * More than one place of care *	- (9 1	(9)	(9)
Other place of care	_ 3	. 2	2

· Excludes cases of children held in Jalls, police stations, or detention homes.

Table J.—Per cent distribution according to reason for reference to court of families represented in dependency and neglect cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Bureau 1

Reason for reference to court	Families	represent	ed in do-
	pendenc	y and negl	ect cases
	1927	1028	1929
Total	100	100	100
Abandonment or descrition	10	12	10
	3	3	4
	20	22	30
Insufficient parental care	34	. 36	32
	15	12	12
Question of custody	10 7	7	8 5

¹³³ courts reported families represented in dependency and neglect cases in 1927, 53 in 1928, and 89 in 1929.

TABLE K.—Per cent distribution according to disposition of official dependency and neglect cases disposed of during 1927, 1928, and 1929 by juvenile courts reporting statistics to the Children's Bureau 1

Disposition of case	Official de	pendency lect cases	and neg-
	1927	1928	1920
Total	100	100	100
Dismissed or continued indefinitely Child placed under court supervision Child placed under supervision of individual other than probation officer. Child committed to board, department, or agency Child committed to institution Other disposition	22	20 23 7 26 22 1	19 24 8 25 24

¹³⁴ courts reported official dependency and neglect cases in 1927, 53 in 1928, and 81 in 1929.

SOURCE

and neglect cases disposed of by 79 specified courts during Number of while and colored boys' and girls' delinquency

					STATE	SPICS,	1929			
	;	dren whose color was not reported		<u> </u>						
	Iren	Girls		20.2	21-5	8 228	9		-	: g =
ct cases	Colored children	Воуз		1, 207	-26.5	음성용	7.6			កដ្ឋកូន
nd negle	Colc	Total		96.	-£125	84.48	ឧ		- (,Eu6
Dependency and neglect cases	ren	Girls		6,632	ន្តដែប	15 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	81.		នមន	1. 8.5.8.1
Dep	White children	Boys		6,924	335	136 136 133	7.8		2 75	5882
	1.14	Total		13, 606	416 67 146	05 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	ម្ពង្គ	-	185	1838
		Total		16, 038	438 70 348	2828	34 138		282	.81 181 181 181 181 181 181 181 181 181
	S.	whose color was not re-		1, 129						
	dren	Girls		1, 417	21.21	8227	r-10	16	2-	ន្ទកឥ
-	Colored children	Boys		5, 341	SS 11.	8528	415	22	4 0	21.1
y cases	Cok	Total		6, 758	101 76 14 1, 148	다쫎쫎다	10	55.	201	85.38
Delinquency cases	en En	Girls	-	5, 509	ងដីឧនិ	ន្តមីដ្ឋា	25	246	828	8821 8821
De	White children	Воуз	-	27,817	1,352	422 422 511 330	302	1, 495	25.55	6, 173 618
	W	Total		33, 326	1,580 1,580 1,580	288 414 683 714 714 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715	1,988	1,741	1881	25.55 25.55 25.55
		Total		41, 213	1,656 1,947 1,947	242 985 747 431	1,007	1,946	888	2,038 318 888 888
	# EO		COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POFULATION	Total	Alabama: Mobile County. Caldornia: Mobile County. Cannecticatt: Bridgeport. District of Columbia.	Liste County Marion County Marion County Mishigan Kent County Almeson:	Henneplu County Ramsey County New Jerey:	Mercer County New York:	Buffalo. Erie County. Monroe County	Rensselaer County Westchester County

51303°-31---3

 ¹³⁴ courts reported dependency and neglect cases in 1927, 53 in 1929, and 89 in 1920.
 1 Includes cases of children held part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations.
 1 Includes cases of children held part of the time in jails or police stations and part of the time elsewhere.

Table I.—Number of white and colored boys' and girls' delinquency and dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 79 specified courts during 1929—Continued

		:	De	linquenc	y cases						Deper	ndency a	nd negle	ct cases		
Court		Wh	ite child	ren	Colo	red child	lren	Chil- dren		Wb	ite child	ren	Cold	ored child	iren	Chil-
Com-	Total	Total	Воуз	Girls	Total	Воуз	Girls	whose color was not re- ported	Total	Total	Воуз	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	dren whose color was not reported
Ohio: Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County Pennsylvania: Allecheny County Montgomery County Yontgomery County Third district Virginia: Norfolk Washington: Pierce County	2, 021 752 902 1, 290 55 6, 955 871 852	3. 367 321 1, 470 1, 773 612 889 1, 101 49 4, 372 868 369 130	2,774 168 1,000 1,497 417 741 941 42 3,877 708 342 96	593 155 470 276 195 148 160 7 495 160 57	516 152 564 248 140 13 189 6 1, 454 3 453 5	398 108 394 192 106 9 149 5 1, 189 367 4	118 44 170 56 34 4 40 1 265 1 86	1, 129	1, 396 659 468 292 385 443 756 13 3, 670 130 209 61	1, 152 562 321 265 300 424 670 13 2, 823 129 169 58	594 295 138 129 146 193 312 312 8 1,493 67 78	558 267 183 136 154 231 358 5 1,330 62 91	244 97 147 27 85 19 86 705 1 40	126 47 75 16 44 9 52 345	118 50 72 11 41 10 34 360 1	142
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 25,000 TO 100,000 POPULATION Total	4, 884	4, 302	3, 641	661	582	475	107		2, 501	2, 324	1, 150	1, 174	177	80	97	
Alabama: Bullock County Calhoun County Chambers County Clarke County Colbert County Dallas County Elmore County Etowah County Houston County Jackson County Lauderdale County Lee County	62 5 9 18 22 6 61 18 12 14 3	3 51 4 8 14 22 6 49 18 10 13 3 2	38 4 7 7 18 4 37 16 7 6 3	3 13 1 7 4 2 12 2 3 7	11 1 1 4 	10 1 1 4 12 2 1	1		63 44 16 32 72 84 2 17 46 6 104 26	50 38 16 32 65 83 2 15 46 6 104 26	25 19 9 16 37 42 2 9 23 23 39 11	25 19 7 16 28 41 6 23 4 65 15	13 6 7 1	5 2	6	

Lowndes County Marengo County Marshall Cousty Monroe County Morgan County Perry County Pickens County Talladega County Talladega County Illinois: Rock Island County Illinois: Rock Island County Indiana: Clay County Vanderburg County Louisiana: Bossier and Webster Parishes Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish Minnesota: Winona County New York: Chemung County Columbia County Delaware County Ontario County Ontario County Orleans County Orleans County North Carolina; Buncombe County North Dakota: Third Judicial district (in part) Ohio: Auglaize County Clurke County	2 3 1 21 4 3 19 6 34 10 92 275 269 38 126 16 223 84 30 149 7 95 401	3 8 1 1 9 4 2 12 4 32 10 58 4 185 225 38 120 16 21 21 21 21 21 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	2 8 3 3 2 4 15 13 160 214 32 100 214 32 100 214 32 14 55 14 15 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	1 4 17 6 1 4 2 1 25 11 6 8 3 3 7 2 21 18 1 6 3 3 15 5 6 6 11	2 2 1 7 2 2 2 34 4 4 6 12 2 1 50 50 94 2 2 2 2 2 3 4 2 4 2 1 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2	1 2 1	38 61 7 54 30 64 53 162 23 162 13 15 107 117 135 133 734 103 10 28 78 78	37 61 7 54 29 61 53 39 23 161 13 5 79 109 17 135 127 23 89 10 23 26 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	15 33 4 26 13 36 25 20 10 60 6 2 38 59 7 65 62 146 27 14 51	22 28 3 3 28 16 25 28 19 13 92 7 7 3 41 50 10 70 65 44 9 38 8 12 38 18 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1 - 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 1 1 3 1 3 8 2 1 6	1	
Auglaize County		95 307 57 64 17 89	80 251 46 40 7 75		94 2 5 2 37	75 2 2 2 25	3	 78	62	28 (34		7 2 1 18	9 3 22	
Ctah: First district. Second district. Fourth district. Fourth district. Fifth district. Other countles. Virginia: Lynchburg.	279 535 383 601 264 246	275 524 382 600 260 158	248 462 315 538 233 142	29 62 67 64 27 18	11 3 1 4 89	11 2 1 3 69	1 1 19	18 19 27 7 12	18 19 27 7 6	11 11 19 1 5	7 8 8 6 1	6	3	3	 í

Table IIA.—Age limitation of original court jurisdiction and age of boys dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 77 specified courts during 1929.

				Ro	ys' deli	nittenei	20203		
Court	Age limi- tation of original					ge of h	n y		-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	court luris- diction	Total	(Inder - 10 years	years, under 12	12 years, under		t6 years, under	years and	Not report- ed
		<u> </u>			1				
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	•							-	
Total cases		34, 181	2, 216	4, 226	8, 735	13, 867	4,671	80	350
Alabama: Mobile County	Under 16.: Under 21 Under 16 Under 17	170 1, 417 391 1, 623	16 89 43 121	27 96 68 187	65 207 129 373	52 447 152 578	5 515 353	61	5 2 8
Inke County Marion County Iowa: Polk County Michigan: Keut County	Under 18.	134 653 569 346	4 29 66 20	20 106 64 40	47 182 99 95	63 334 158 119	182 71		i
Minnesota; Hennepin County	do	897 309	15 7	80 18	165 62	336 119	298 102	1.	2
New York:	do	1, 584 414	134 61	269 74	493 149	678 140	10		
Buffalo	dododododo	866 192 194 6, 868 258 749	51 15 3 382 11 62	141 28 18 923 12 109	295 61 62 2, 128 58 172	375 87 111 3, 402 133 323	2 40 82		3I 4 1
Cuyshoga County	do do	3, 172 274 1, 394 1, 689 523 750	155 6 61 123 55 28	299 21 120 151 44 72	614 47 268 366 102 166	1, 054 64 441 559 180 250	889 130 496 483 128 224	7 2 3 1 1	124 1 5 6 13
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Montgomery County Philadelphia Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk Washington: Pierce County	dodoUnder 18	1,090 47 6,089 710 700 100	67 2 568 29 29	147 6 924 91 58 10	296 11 1,708 159 112 15	541 27 2, 068 210 103 32	37 1 81 184 309 42	5 1 3	135

¹ Includes all courts reporting boys' delinquency cases that served areas with 25,000 or more population in 1920.

Table IIA.—Age limitation of original court jurisdiction and age of boys dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 77 specified courts during 1929—Contd.

				Bog	ys' delir	เปกรุมต3	rases		
_	Ago limi- tation of				Α	go of bo	у	-	
Court	original court juris- diction	Total	Under 10	10 years, under	12 years, under	14 years, under	in years, under	18 years and	Not report
			Leurs	12	14	16	18	over	ed
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 25,000 TO 100,000 POPULATION				-					
Total cases		4, 116	365	480	800	1,316	1,011	8	
Alabama: Calhoun County	Under 16	48	5	4	19	20		}	ŀ
Chambers County		5	i "		2	3			
Clarka Canada	do	l s	[i		2	5			
Colbort County	_do.	l ii	i	2	5	3	1	l	
Dollas County	do	iŝ	2	1	3	7			1
Elmore County	do-	4	- 1		1	3	1		1
Elowah County	do .	49	3	8	12	23	1		ł
Houston County	do	iñ	l	ı š	5	7			Į
Jackson County	do	9		Ī	4 :	3	1		[
Lauderdale County	do	7	1		3	3			l
Lee County	do	3		1	1	1			
Limestone County	do	6		1	2	2	1		Ì
Lowndes County	dn	2		1		1			
Marengo County	do	2			1	2			
Marshall County	dn	Я		2		6			
Morgan County	do	15			2	I			,
Perry County	do	3	[[1	1 1	1	l		
Pickens County	do	3		1	1	1			
Talladega County	dn	15			6	9			
Tallapoosa County	dn	6			2	4			[
Calbert County Dallas County Elmore County Etowah County Houston County Jackson County Lauderdale County Lee County Limestone County Lowndes County Marshall County Marshall County Perry County Pickens County Talladega County Talladega County Talladega County Ullnois: Rock Island County	Under 17.	17	2	1	3	5	•	2	
Clay County	Under 16.	13		1	7	4	1		[
Clay County Vanderburg County	do	37	1	10	14	12			
Bossler and Webster Parishes.	Under 17	3					1		ļ
Caddo Parish	_do	236	25	27	53	82	48		ł
Ounchita Parish	do	252	30	31	47	91	50		
Ouachita Parish Minnesota: Winona County	Under 18	32		1	9	8	13		l
New York: Chemung County	Harler IS	100	10	23	18	26	1		
		110	16	27	30	45			l
Dolowero County	do	14	10		4	9			
Delaware County Dutchess County Ontario County	do	200	32	35	68	63	i		
Ontario County	do	67	ő	6	25	27			
Orleans. County	do	29	Ĭ	5	10	10			
North Carolina: Buncombe				l .	!		!		Ì
County	do	121	22	24	32	43)
North Dakota: Third Judicial	1			i		_	i	1	١
district (in part)	Under 18.	4			1	- 3			
	do	80	6	4	13	22	- 30	3	1
Clark County	do	326	19	35	53	113	105	1	
Lake County	do	48	5	2	3	8	28	1	
Sandusky County	do	42	2	6	9	12	. 13		
Augiaize County Clark County Lake County Sandusky County Cennsylvania: Lycoming County	Under 16.	9		1	2	6			
ty Bouth Carolina: Greenville	Onaer m.,				1. 1	, ,			
County Caronna: Orecnvine	do	103	13	15	30	44	1	i	
(?ounty	117	1(4)	1.3	10	1 00	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Ti-4 31-4-1-4	Under 18	250	20	24	43	68	94	1	I
4 11 0 V UIO 1 I V	do .	473	49	60	101	124	137		
Second district		317	iΫ	25	68	93	112		
Second district	do .								
Second districtFourth district	do				91	139	200	l	
Second district Fourth district Fifth district Other counties	do do	537	39 J4	59 22	30	139 79	87		
First district. Second district Fourth district Fifth district Other counties. Virginia: Lynchburg.	do do do		39	. 59					

With in delinquency cases disposed of by 78 specified courts during 1929

				Girls'	delingt	iency c	nses		
Court	Age limi- tation of original				J	ke of g	irl		
	court Jurisdic- tion	Total	Under 10 years	10 years, under 12	12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16	years, under 18	18 years and over	Not re- ported
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION					-				
Total cases		7,032	221	379	1, 295	3,415	1,591	40	88
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diego County Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia Indiana:	Under 16	49 239 70 324	10 5 14	3 6 9 34	10 40 16 86	33 60 40 137	3 90 53	2.5	2
Lake County Marion County Iowa: Polk County Michigan: Kent County Minnesota:	do i	108 372 178 85	3 17 7	1 7 17 4	21 49 31 21	53 172 52 32	33 101 61 21		
Hennepin County Rumsey County New Jersey:	Under 18do	200 87	2	9	22	78 29	88 54	1	
Hudson County Mercer County	do	262 19	11	15 2	56 5	170 12			
Huffalo Frie County Monroe County New York City Rensselaer County Westchester County	do do	56 11 30 1.089 60 139	1 2 29 5	73 1 3	16 4 11 260 10 22	48 5 28 717 30 74	14 31		0
Ohlo: Cuyahoga County	Under 18dodododododo	711 199 640 132 229	30 1 10 9 13	20 20 19 10	107 18 88 49	293 80 208 140 82	233 88 286 115 63	1 5 4	19 3 24 3 1
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Montgomery County Philadelphia. Utah: 'Third district	Under 16dododododododo	152 200 866 161 143 35	7 26 4 5	78 3 7	15 48 3 181 21 24	51 115 5 534 63 43 16	76 - 14 - 23 - 70 - 63 13 - 13	3	3

Includes all courts reporting girls' delinquency cases that served areas with 25,000 or more population in 1920.

Table IIn.—Age limitation of original court jurisdiction and age of girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 78 specified courts during 1929—Continued

				Girls*	delinqu	енеу са	ses		
	Age limi- tation of original		<u>}</u>			ge of gi	r)		
Court	court juri-dic- tion	Total	Under 10	Lyems,	12 years, under		16 years, under	18 years and	Not re- porte
			yenra	12	14	16	18	over	porte
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 25,000 TO 100,000 POPULATION									
Total cases		768	62	43	129	330	193	2	
Alabama.	T'ndon 16	,				3		1	
Bullock County	Chart. 16"	14	2	 	3	g			
Clarke County	do	i i	1						
Clarke County	do	7	i i	i	3	2		Í	1
Thelles (founds)	da .	1 4				2	1	1	
Elmore County Etowah County Houston County	do	أ أ		1		2	İ		
Flowah County	do	12	2	1	2	ñ	1		
Roselon County	do	2	i	·	l	2	ļ		
Jackson County Landerdale County	do	3			1	2			
Louderdale County	do	7	1		ì	4	1		1
Limestone County	do	l i				1			j
Marango County	do	j	1						
Monroe County Morgan County	do	1				1			
Morgan County	do	G				3	i	 -	}
Porry County	((0	1	1				·		
Talladera County	do	4			1	2	1	i	
Hinois: Rock Island County	Under 18	17		1	1	9	6		
ndinna: Clay CountyVanderburg County		0	<u>-</u> -		1	. 5 25			
Vanderburg County Louisiana: Bossier and Webster Par-		55	1	3	5	20	21		
Ishes	Under 17	1			Į.		1	İ	l
Caddo Parish.	do	39	6	4	R	12	9		
Ouachita Parish	do	37	["	3	1 1	7	6		
Minnesota: Winona County	Tinder 18	6]i	ľ	l i	2	lϊ		
New York:	OWier 10	. "	1 1		1 1	_			l
Cheming County	Under 16	33	5	1	5	20	·]
Columbia County		8			3	5			l
Delaware County		2	,		1	1			
Dutchess County	do	23	2		9	12			
Ontario County	do	19		1	5	13			
Orleans County	do	ï		J	1				
North Carolina: Buncombe				1		1			1
County	do	22	2	2	8	10]
North Dakota: Third Judicial district (in part)	Under 18	3				2	. 1		
Ohio:	4	٠,,	!	1	1	6	- 6	i	Į į
Auginize County	do	15 75	3	4	8	31	28	! 	l
Clark County	((0	11	2	1	, "	i"	7		
Lake County	UU	27	3	i	7	lii	4	i	
Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Lycoming County	Under in	10	2	i	i	4		l	l
South Carolina: Greenville		23	1		6	11			
County	(iu	23	1	•	1 "	, ,,			l
Utah:	Tinder 10	20	4	١.	4	13	8	l	
First district	410	62	13	6	14	17	12		
Second district	18U	68	13	2	5	ái	27		
FORTH district	uu	64	2	2	lő	23	รับ		
Fifth district	UV	28	1 1	î) š	i i	13		
Other countiesVirginia: Lynchburg		35	3	3	10	11	8		
virging: Lynchbing.	uv	l ""	1 "	1 .	1		Ι "	1	1

TABLE IIIA.—Reason for reference to court in boys' delinquency cases disposed of by 77 specified courts during 19291

	Ī			Res	vs' deli						==
		,	,	1,01	ra uen	milite:	- CILS				
•				Re	nson fo	r refer	ence t	o court			
Court		attempted ng			heyond		on on	ness or	or drug	-	
		teal		вжву	natte or	8	attempted to person	carelessness mischief	liquor intoxic		ted
	Total	Stealing	Truancy	Running away	Ongovernatie or hey parental control	Sex offense	Injury or s	Act of o	Violating liquor or law or intoxicati	Other	Not reported
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION											
Total cases	34, 181	14, 260	2, 773	2, 229	2, 509	531	881	9, 850	202	819	121
Alabama: Mobile County	170 1, 417 391 1, 623	69 412 222 691	40 115 44 48	12 155 18 16	185 26 167	39 0 4	17 17 8 50	404 68	5 23 1	3 67 1 13	
Lake County Marion County Iown: Polk County Michigan: Kent County Michigan: Kent County Minnesota:	134 653 569 346	338,	27 92 14 18	14. 33. 4		5 5 7	14 14 8	89 214 89	4 9 2	20 12 2	
Henneplo County Hamsey County New Jørsey: Hudson County	897 309 1.584	504 207	28	5	87 18	41 5	12 3	133 57	14 1	13 14	
Mercer County New York: Buffalo	1, 384 414 860	535 277 584	490 25	10° 4 35,	174 9	17 3	33	320 84	1		
Erle County Monron County New York City Rensselver County	192 194; 6, 868 258,	90. 132, 2, 483 71:	4 3 96 112	13 508 10	11 7 555 17	3 6 56 2	20 9 3 248 9	109 64 30 2, 548	1	7 251	120
Westchester County Ohlo: Cuyahoga County Franklin County	749 3, 172 274	250 1, 459 209	203 369 19	240	219 3	18 58 28	14 83	191 728	7	8	1
Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County Pennsylvanis:	1, 394 1, 699 523 750	055 340 191 400	23 242 134 63	100 114 36 19	49 132 35 61	18 21 22 10	12 44 22 17	2 378 689 75 120	17 13 5 15	43 88 3 39	
Allegheny County Montgomery County Philadelphia Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk Washington: Pierce County	1,090 47 6,089 710 709, 100	816 39, 2, 243, 354 208 79	185 2 192 154 25 2	84 617 30 29 4	81 3 329 25 54	17 1 98 13 10	18 1 152 6 34	53 1 2, 226 103 313 8	27 15 26	1	

¹ Includes all courts reporting boys' delinquency cases that served areas with 25,000 or more population in 1920.

Table IIIa.—Reason for reference to court in boys' delinquency cases disposed of by 77 specified courts during 1929—Continued

				Воу	s"dellr	quenc	y Ca305	1			
· .				Re	nson fo	r refer	nco to	court			
Court	Total	Stealing or attempted stealing	Trusacy	Running away	Ungovernable or beyond parental control	Ser offense	Injury or attempted in- jury to person	Act of carelessness or mischief	Violating liquor or drug law or intexication	Other	Not reported
COURTS BERVING AREAS WITH 25,000 TO 100,000 POPULATION										0.5	
Total cases	4, 116	1, 610	520	198	182	73	160	1, 114	151	95	1
Alabama: Calhoun County Chambers County Clarke County Colbert County Dallas County Elmore County	48 5 8 11 18 4	31 4 4 5 0 2	4	6 i	7		i	4	1 i	i	
Etowah County Houston County Jackson County Lauderdale County	49 16 9 7 3 6	34 13 6 2	4	1	4 2 4 1		3 1 1	3			
Limestone County. Lowndes County. Marengo County. Marshall County. Morgan County. Perry County. Plekeus County.	2 8 15 3	2 2 4 8	i i 2		5		i i i	2 I			
Talladega County Tallapoosa County Illinols: Rock Island County Indiana: Clay County Vanderburg County	15 6 17 13 37	8 12 8 24	2 1 1 4 0	2	2 i 1 1	i		2	1		
Louislann: Bossier and Webster Parishes Caddo Parish. Outschila Parish Minnesota: Winona County New York:	3 236 252 32	47 20	25	12 35	1 7 15	δ 1	29 17 1	94 89 6	2 2 2	9 17 3	
Cheming County Columbia County Delaware County Dutchess County Outerio County	118 14 200 67	38 10 63	30	15 5	10 3 8	2	3 <u>1</u> 5	47 1 83		i	
Orleans County Orth Carolina: Buncombe County North Dakota: Third judicial district (in part)	29 124	21 53	10	3	4	i	2	40	2	i	
(in paro) Ohio: Auglaize County Clark County Lake County Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Lycoming County South County	80 326 48 42	40 02 16	2 77 1 1	6 32 1 1	4	1	3	82 15 5	9	6	
Utah: First district. Second district Fourth district	103 250 473 317 537	57 79 190 144 207	5 47 40 51 68	15 8 27 13	6 4 28 1	11 13 12 1	8 30 6 15	71 134 70	8 60	11 23	
Other counties Virginia; Lynchburg	236 211	91 59		5 1	6		8	85			

TABLE IXIB.—Reason for reference to court in girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 73 specified courts during 19291

	-		2	Gir	ls' deli	ពក្សរខ្មោ	ry ensc	3	*****		===
				Reaso	on for r	elerene	o to cr	nirt			
Court	Total	Stealing or attempted stealing	Truancy	Running away	Ungovernable or be- yond parental con- trol	Sex offense	Injury or attempted injury to person	Act of carelessness or mischlef	Violating liquor or drug law or intoxi- cution	Other	Not reported
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION											
Total cases	7,032	759	899	1, 150	1,919	1,340	161	ត់គេ	62	110	73
Alahama: Mohile County California: San Deigo County Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia Indiana: Lake County Marion County	239 70 324 103 332	9 15 9 72 7 23	27 7 3 6 46	7 44 8 18 15 24	68 J0 112 21	17 48 34 9 67	3 3 8 1	J 21 2 79	1 7 2 1	0 21	
Iowa: Polk County Michigan: Kent County Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County New Jersey:	178 85 200 87	15 24 42 16	2	11 3 7 5	27 62 18	22 23 69 48	1	10		 8	
Hudson County Mercer County New York: Buffalo	262 19	20 6 23	140 2	16 - 10	53 4	34	2 1 2	2		2	
Eric County Monroe County New York City Rensselaer County Westelester County	11 39 1, 688 60 139	6 147 1	11 33 70	9 279 2	407 15 21!	21 72 4 40	26 2	5 76 3		3	07
Ohlo: Cuyahoga County	711 199 640 332 220 152	70 6 51 13 16	223 29 29 68 64 54	96 12 130 30 34 7	110 21 105 65 44 31	140 120 103 03 48	14 3 33 3 4	31 1 32 65 23	7 3 6 4 5	2 3 18 12	2 3
Pennsylvania: Aligheny County Montgomery County Philadelphia Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk Washington: Pierce County	209 8 866 161 143 35	29 1 89 19 9	32 27 44 13	35 1 335 22 10	46, 3, 247, 27, 64, 10,	53 3 39 35 35 9	2 23 22	81 4 13	3 6 8 3	10 2	

 $^{^{1}}$ includes all courts reporting girls' delinquency cases that served areas with 25,000 or more population in 1920.

Table IIIn.—Reason for reference to court in girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 73 specified courts during 1939—Continued

				Oirl	s' della	quenc	у сазе	3			
				Rer	son fo	r refere	nce to	court			-,
Court	Total	Stealing or attempted stealing	Тгизпсу	Running away	Ungovernable or be- yond parental con- trol	Sex offense	Injury or attempted injury to person	Act of carelessness or mischief	Violating liquor or drug law or interi- cation	Other	Not reported
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 25,000 TO 100,000 POPULATION											
Total cases	763	89	189	96	134	153	35	7.0	12	10	
Alabama: Bullock County	33 14 17 44 12 22 33 77 11 60 14 17 65 55		1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 4 4 3 3	1 7 7 3 3 3 1 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1 4 1 24		7	j		
New York: Cheming County	33 8 23 19 1 22 3 15 75 75 10 23 20	2 2 1 9 2 8 2	43 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	13 13 1 6	0	6 2 1 13 6 1 5 5 6 3 7 5 1	3 1 2	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2	1 2	
First district. Second district. Fourth district. Fifth district. Other counties. Virginia: Lynchburg.	62 64 28 35	10 8 12	8	10	15 7 5	. 5 18	3 i	14	4 2 1		

Table IVA.—Disposition and manner of handling boys' delinquency cases disposed of by 77 specified courts during 1929 1

			1	30ys* de	ompulle	ncy cas	P.O		
		1			Official				
Court					Dispe	sition			
	Total	Total	Dis- missed or con- tinued indefi- nitely	hacea	Child com- mitted to in- stitu- tion	tertion.		Not report- ed	Unof- ficini
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION									
Total cases	34, 181	24, 109	7, 729	Ω, 747	3, 259	1,805	1, 567	3	10, 072
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diego County Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia	170 1, 417 391 1, 623	170 548 242 1, 114	51 193 34 272	10 100 165 508	93 39 40 36	15 2 15	1 214 3 283		869 119 509
Indiana: Lake County Marion County Iows: Polk County Michigan: Kent County	134 653 569 346	114 595 225 346	14 271 92 115	34 176 53 115	30 84 50 93	10 46 23 12	26 16 7 11		20 54 314
Minnesota: Ileunepin County Ramsey County New Jersey:	897 309	897 309	184 32	484 219	218 50	5	6 8		
Hudson County Mercer County New York:	1,584 414	1,584 414	723 8	263 334	210 71	371 1	17		
Buffalo Erie County Monroe County New York City Rensselaor County West-chester County	869 192 194 6.868 258 749	866 192 193 6, 868 258 599	474 38 3,010 205 220	162 115 167 2, 525 9 308	106 28 26 576 28 24	750 11 28	7 - 4 19	1	150
Ohlo: Cuyshoga County Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgonery County Oregon: Multinomiah County	3, 172 274 1, 394 1, 689 523 750	1, 832 274 84 377 296 620	177 12 12 12 35 62 429	868 155 21 189 165 65	403 88 41 63 59	18 7 62 1 67	305 11 10 29 9	1	1, 310 1, 310 1, 312 227 130
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County	1,090 47 6,089 710 709 100	1,090 47 2,958 188 709 100	5 24 728 40 235 33	822 5 1,413 57 229 12	172 17 441 54 38 42	98 23 105 6	91 278 14 102 7		3, 131 522

 $^{^{\}rm I}$ includes all courts reporting boys' delinquency cases that served areas with 25,000 or more population in 1020.

Table IVa.—Disposition and manner of handling boys' delinquency cases disposed of by 77 specified courts during 1929—Continued

			1	loys' de	elinquer	icy case	23		
					Omcial				
Court		•			Dispo	sition			
0.0	Total	Total	Dis- missed or con- tinuod indefi-	Child placed on pro-	Child com- mitted to in- stitu-	Resti- tution, fine, or	Other	Not report- ed	Unof- ficial
			nitely	hation	tion	costs			
OURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 25,000 TO 100,000 POPULATION									
Total cases	4, 116	2, 323	727	695	333	302	170	<u> </u>	1,79
Alabama: Callioun County	48	30	2	13	13		2	 	1
Chambers County	5	4	 		3		1		
Clarke County Colbert County	R	10	2	2	4		2]	1
Dallas County	18	4			4				. 1
Elmore County	4	4	1	I	2		5		
Etowah County	49	.48	3	24 13	16		1 3		
Houston County	16	8		8	2				1
Lauderdale County	7	5			5				1 .
Lee County	7	1		1					!
Limestone County	1 6	6		3	3				
Lowndes County	2 2 8	1		<i>-</i>	1				1 :
Marengo County	2	6		3	3				
Marshall County	15	15		1 1	13		i		
Morgan County	3						<u> </u>		
Perry CountyPickens County	3	2		i	1				
Talladega County	15	14	4	2	7] 1	}	ì
Tallapoosa County	.0	5		2	3		}		1
Illnois: Rock Island County	17	17		0	0		2		
ndinna:		,,	1	4	6	1	1		1 1
Clay County	13 37	12 24	1	15	6		2		1
Vanderburg County	37	23	'	10	\ \ \		•		_ ^
Hossier and Webster Parishes	3	3		2	1]		
Caddo Parish	236	173	80] 11	48	31	3		63
Ouachita Parish	252	60	12	21	23		4		192
finnesota: Winona County	32	11	2	σ	2		1		2
Vew York: Cheming County	1 100	100	51	19	13	14	3	l	
Columbia County	1 118	117	69	16	8	17	17		
Delaware County	14	14	4	5	2		3		
Dutchess County	200	194	93	34	10	25	23		(
Ontario County	67	67	13	50	2	2			
Orleans County	20	29	6	17	. 3		3		12
North Carolina: Buncomba County.	124	2	i	1 1			•		
Vorth Dakota: Third judicial dis- triet (in part)	4	4	. 4				l		
Ohlo:		į		[1	-		(· ·	l .
Angleize County	80	17	1	12	` <u>-</u> -	1	3		21
Clark County	326	- 114	23	47	25	13	6		21.
Lake County	48 42	48 19	5	21 10	6	15	2		2
Sandusky County	42	19		1 10	6		l		l
outh Carolina: Grouvilla County	103	89	38	26	10	7	8	1	1
Bah:	} '``	· "		l -"	}		}	1	1
First district	. 250	- છુવ	27	17	13	24	17		15
Second district	473	151	36	7!	12	30	2		32
Fourth district	317	161	24	71	20	20	17	 	15 30
Fifth district	537	235	81	42	5 10	95	2 26		7
Other countiesVirginia: Lynchburg,	236 211	162 211	22 112	37 56	10	67 31	8		l"

TABLE IVE.—Disposition and manner of handling girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 73 specified courts during 1929 1

	1			Jirls' de	linquet	icy case	73		
		<u> </u>			Official				
					Dispo	sition	,,,,, ,		
Court	1	1	i			·			Unof-
	Total	Total	Dis- missed or con- tinued indefi- nitely	pateo	Child com- mitted to in- stitu- tion	Resti- tution, fine, or costs	Other	Not report- ed	Relal
			111(6)						
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE FOULLATION			-				•		-
Total cases	7,032	4,810	1,002	1,939	1,266	45	553	5	2, 222
Alabama: Mobile CountyCalifornia: San Diego County Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia	.] 70	49 05 48 247	15 20 18 38	3 27 17 116	28 11 12 50	3	3 7 1 40		174 22 77
Indiana: Lake CountyMarion CountyIowa: Polk County	108 332 178	83 144 73 85	15 50 12 34	18 61 13 17	28 23 39 29	3	21 10 6 5		25 189 105
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County	200 87	200 87	37 6	102 37	61 43		<u>i</u> -		
New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County New York:	262 19	262 19	127 2	50 11	39 5	23 1	18		
Buffalo	60 11 30	00 11 39	19 3	17 5 13	28 3 24		2		
New York City Rensselaer County Westchester County	. 1,058 . 60	1,088 60 124	284 48 46	560	234 11 22	3	1 1		1
Ohlo: Cuyahoga County Franklin County	. 199	522 199	30 12	218 05	142 94		132 23 8	5	180
Hamilton County	332 229	30 75 98 123	2 6 28 17	2 24 30 34	18 33 25 59		12 15 13		25 13 2
Oregon: Multnomah County Pennsylvania: Allegheny County	200	200	1	118	47		34		
Montgomery County Philadelphia Utah: Third district	868	590 37 143	87 2 37	250 10 59	101 20 9	1 5	152 4 33		27 12

¹ Includes all courts reporting girls' delinquency cases that served areas with 25,000 or more population in 1920.

Table IVB .- Disposition and manner of handling girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 73 specified courts during 1929—Continued

			(liris' d	elinquoi	ncy .case	2.5		
					Official				
Court	-				Dispo	sition			
Com	Total	}	l	1			f		Unot
	T (MAI	Total	Dis- missed or con- tinued indefi- nitely	Child placed on pro- bation	Child com- mitted to in- stitu- tion	Restl- tution, fine, or costs	Other	Not report- ed	Nelal
OURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 25,000 TO 100,000 POPULATION									
Total cases	768	412	94	137	130	n	42	<u> </u>	8:
Alabama:]	}	_		
Bullock County	3 14	1 7			1 5				
Clarke County	1		}						ļ ·
Colbert County	7	3		1	1		1		
Dallas CountyElmore County	4 2								ľ
Etowah County	12	12	1	4	7				-
Houston County	2	1		1	2				ł
Jackson County	3 7	3		1	4				
Limestone County	i	i		i					
Marengo County	1	 							į
Monroe County Morgan County	6	6			6	[1
Perry County	ï								
Talladega County Blinois: Rock Island County	- 4	4	i		4		2		
Hinois: Rock Island County ndiana:	17	17	1	8	G		2		
Clay County	6	0		3	3				
Vanderburg County	55	35	3	18	10		4		2
Louisiana: Bossier and Webster Parishes	1	1	- 1		1			1 . :	
Caddo Parish	39	วเ	5	i	18	2	5		
Ouachita Parish	17	2			2				1
Minnesota: Winona County	6	4		4					
New York: Cheming County	33	33	18	7	6	1	1		
Columbia County	8 2	8	3	1	1 - 1		3		
Delaware County	23	2 22	5	1 3	6		R		
Dutchess County	10	10	3	7	l ä		3		
Ontario CountyOrleans County	1	1		i					
North Carolina: Buncombe County	22	2			2				1 3
North Dakota: Third judicial dis- trict (in part)	3	3			3			1	
Obio:	_	,			1				
Auglaize County	15	4		3			1		
Clark CountyLake County	75 11	14	3	6 2	6	2	i		}
Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Lycoming County South Carolina: Greenville County	27	14	ľ	11	. 2				1
Pennsylvania: Lycoming County	10	10	7	. 6	4 7				
South Carolina: Greenville County Utah:	23	19	(1	l '		1		l
First district	29	- 19	3	1	3		2	{	1 :
Second district	62	8	2	2	4	{			!
Fourth district	68 64	37 16	2 13	27 1	5	2	3		1
Other counties	28	7	2		1	1	3		}
Virginia: Lynchburg	35	35	20	0	i	i	4	1	l

TABLE VA.—Color, nativity, and parent nativity of boys dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 30 specified courts during 1929!

			!	Roys' de	linquene	y cases			
				White	boys				Boys
Court	Total	Total	Native, native parent- age	Native, foreign of mixed parent- ngo	Native, parent- age not report- ed	For- eign born	Nn- tivity not ra- ported	Col- ored boys	whose color was not re- ported
Total cases	34, 181	27, 817	9, 107	12, 893	1, 707	573	3,447	5, 341	1.023
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diego County Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia	170 1, 417 391 1, 623	90 1, 352 350 696	90 951 86 209	272 294 46	24 54	n.i	49 378	80 65 11 927	
Indiana: Linke County Marion County Iowa: Polk County Michigan: Kent County		114 422 511 330	28 389 475 229	82 1 36 80	2	32 	2 19	20 231 58 16	
Minnesota: Hennepin County	897 309	872 302	408 166	442 136	8	4	10	25 7	
New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County New York:	1, 584 414	1, 495 343	303 79	-1, 149 259	4	38 5	1	89 71	
Bufinio Erie County Monroe County	866 192 194	825 183 193	240 53 52	552 124 141	2	33 3 217	10	41 9 1 695	
New York City		6, 173 257 678	1,577 111 166	4, 361 137 482	3	1 22	6	71	
Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County	3, 172 274 1, 394 1, 689	2,774 166 1,000 1,497	331 161 64 270	1, 198 2 29 695 30	906 255	14 2 1 27	731 1 250	398 109 394 102 106	
Montgomery County Oregon: Nultnomah County Pennsylvania: Allegheny County	523 750 1,090	941 941	370 505 258	185 679	18	5	28 28	149 5	
Montgomery County	47 6, 089 710 709 100	3. 877 709 342 96	684 431 318 81	19 1, 185 234 21 15	22 17	50 22	1, 936 4 3	1, 189 2 367 4	1, 023

fincludes all courts reporting boys' delinquency cases that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1920.

Table Vn.—Color, nativity, and parent nativity of girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 30 specified courts during 1929 1

				Airls' de	linquenc	y enses			
			•	White	girls				
Court	Total	Total	Native, native parent- uge	Native, foreign or inixed purent- uge	Native, parent- age not report- ed	For- eign born	Nn- tivity not re- ported	Col- ored girls	Oirls whose color was not re- ported
Total cases	7, 032	5, 509	2, 708	2, 154	117	144	326	1, 417	100
Alabama: Mobile County	49	29	27	50	2	- 1	23	21 11	
California: San Diego County	239	228	147	49	-	ľ	43	3	
Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia	70 321	103	17 41	5	5	i	61	221	
Indiana:	100	80	31	53	1	1	1	22	1
Lake County Marion County	109 332	261	251	(00		10		71	
Iowa: Polk County.	178	151	113	8		1		27	
Michigan: Kent County	85	84	02	16			Ø	l i	
Minnesota:	110	1	\ \ <u>\</u>	1	1		1		
Hennepin County	200	193	103	85		2	8	7	
Rainsey County	87	84	48	36				į a	ļ
New Jersey:		1	ŀ			ĺ	1 .	l	1
Hudson County	262	246	07	175]	14		16	
Mercer County	10	15	δ	10				1	
New York:				41		2		10	Į.
Buffalo	66	55	13	6]	2		1 1	
Erie County	11 39	10	18	21				į .	
Monroe County New York City	1,038	935	285	593	i	56		153	
Rensselaer County.	60	58	34	21		ĭ	2	2	
Westchester County	139	115	20	79	2	4	1	24	
Ohio:									
Cuyahoga County	711	593	117	319	18	12	64	118	
Franklin County	190	155	135	15		2	3	44	
Hamilton County	640	470	394	15	3	4	84	170	
Mahoning County	332	276	109	121	14	3 2	30	56 34	
Montgomery County	229	195	168	18	1	1	2	37	
Oregon: Multnomah County	152	148	110	- 00		•			
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County	200	160	70	89			1	40	
Montgomery County	8	100	5	2				i	
Philadelphia	866	495	150	248	2	17	78	265	100
Utah: Third district	101	160	107	42		4	2	1	
Virginia; Norfolk	143	67	- 66	1				86	
Washington: Pierce County	35	34	28	6			احتجدت	. 1	l

¹ Includes all courts reporting girls' delinquency cases that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1920.

51303°—31——

TABLE VI.—Source of reference to court of delinquency cases disposed of by 30 spec-ified courts during 1929)

		a mangan at aptibility		Delir	duency	เสเรดส	-		
Court				Sourc	e of refer	ence to	rourt		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Total	Police	Parents or rela- tives		School depart- ment	Pro- bation officer	Social agency	Other	Not re- ported
Total cases	11, 213	23, 000	3, 958	6, 515	4, 057	1, 584	888	508	43
Alabama: Mobile County	219 1,658 461 1,947	57 709 410 1,307	32 -200 17 214	43 247 11 223		15 38 6 180	5 29 6 17	221 3 1	i
Anke County Anarion County Iowa: Polk County Michigan: Kent County Minnesots:	242 985 747 431	88 569 291 289	26 143 73 58	23 80 257 43	49 149 41 19	50 16 9 6	5 12 15 16	11 61	1 5
Hennepin County Ramsey County New Jersey:	1, 097 396	621 313	158 18	193 52	50 2	33	33 4	8 7	1
Hudson County Mercer County New York:	1, 846 433	510 332	104 7	430 51	617 21	57 20	15 2	83	
Buffalo Erie County Monroe County New York City Reusselner County Westchester County	932 203 233 7, 950 318 888	839 116 143 4, 289 75 306	42 5 38 1, 156 25 49	22 59 28 2, 070 53 154	14 2 205 150 309	18 1 3 5	10 9 21 226	1 0 2	I 8
Ohio: Cuyahoga County	3, 883 473 2, 034 2, 021 752 902	2,036 258 1,272 860 195 650	268 55 240 201 114 22	706 30 232 467 157 116	645 53 100 426 192 85	105 35 20 14 75 12	115 31 110 49 18	3 5 53 4	5 1 1 7
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Montgomery County Philadelphia. Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk Washington: Pierco County	1, 290 55 6, 955 871 852 135	386 30 5, 641 416 554 98	112 7 463 42 56 7	14 11 583 31 120	68 4 229 247 52 10	691 1 112 50 5	15 3 30 7 8	3 8 8	8

Includes all courts reporting delinquency cases that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1920.

Table VII.—Place of care of child pending hearing or disposition in delinquency cases disposed of by 30 specified courts during 1929 1

				Delin	duency	Cases			
			•	Pl	are of c	re of ch	11व		
Court	Total	Own home or case dis- posed of same day	ing	Deten- tion home ²	insti-	Jail or police sta- tion 3	More than one place of care	Other	Not re- ported
Total cases	41, 213	21, 777	171	11, 873	5, 040	1, 542	280	200	27
Alabama: Mobile County	210 1,656 461 1,947	89 1, 053 399 1, 275	29 4 2	108 382 250	1 21 33 375	21 90 24 0	32	70 1 6	
Inke County Marion County Iowa: Polk County Michigan: Kont County	242 985 747 431	158 794 456 239	5 5 3 2	53 173 250 187	8 3 7 1	18 27	2	8 4 1	
Minnesota: Honnopin County Ramsoy County	1,097 306	833 253	71 2		16 71	172 70	1	3	
Now Jersey: Hudson County Morcer County New York:	1,846 433	1, 259 406	2	580 21	4 2	14			
Buffalo	932 203 233	600 151 126	2 3	328	31 101	1	17		
New York City Rensselaer County Westchester County	7, 956 318 858	3, 838 210 508	4 2		4, 039 104 93		12 1 163	31	
Ohlo: Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County	3, 883 473 2, 034 2, 021	2, 273 31 697 1, 052	5 4 9 3	1, 250 265 1, 200 630	14 21 36 10	300 144 10 284	2 2 6 2	19 3 50	1
Montgomery County Orogon: Multnomah County Ponnsylvania:	752 902	452 671	· 1	193 113	10 14	77 83	6 2	5	
Allegheny County	55 6, 955 871	326 23 2, 503 534	3 1 7	771 30 4, 441 282	9 5	3 1 40		7	18
Virginia: Norfolk Washington: Pierce County	852 135	442 26		276 81	1	133 28			

¹ Includes all courts reporting delinquency cases that served areas with 100,000 or more population in

¹ includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations.

1 includes cases of children cared for part of the time in jails or police stations and part of the time elsewhere.

4 Excludes cases of children held in detention homes, jalls, or police stations.

TABLE VIII.—Reason for reference to court of families represented in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 74 specified courts during 1929

		Familie	s repres	outed fr	a dopen	dency n	nd negl	ect case	:s
				Reason	for refe	rence to	court		
Court	Total		A buse	Im- proper	In-		Ques-		
	Julian	don- ment or de- section	or critel trent- ment		ciont paren- tal	Finan- cial nced	tion of cus- tody	Other	Not re- ported
			<u> </u>						
COURTS PERVING AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION									
Total favzilles	7, 966	787	277	2, 455	2,612	770	8974	445	22
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diego County	271	30	30	3 94	1 61	23	28	5	
Connecticut: Bridgoport	31	7	130	15	7	2	20	\	
District of Columbia	240	5	3	33	173	4		2	20
Indiana:		1	•			1			
Lake County	150	18	8	23	63	20	8	3	1
Marion County	159	37	6	83	21	4	7		
Iowa: Polk County	361	26	10	62	117	33	33	70	
Michigan: Kent County	136	3		12	64	54	1	2	
Minnesota:			1			10	56	Ī	Į.
Hennepin County	176	18	1 1	53 12	32 50	i 10	20		
Ramsey County New York:	1 68	. 6		12.	90				
Buffalo	37	1		15	22		ì	1	
Erie County	17			îĭ	6				
Monroe County				8	111	!			
New York City	1,813	19	21	1, 289	415	34	4	1	
Renselaer County		10		10	55	23	3	1	
Westchester County	. 124	6	1	17	100				
Obio:	1								ł
Cuyahoga County	608	59	40	99	277	62	30	41	
Franklin County		20	6	62	148	35	98	49	
Hamilton County	264	22	14	124	46	4	17	37 20	
Mahoning County		3	1	17	88	13 23	59	16	!
Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	245 227	20 21	8	33 78	88 95	12	12	, , ,	·i
Pennsylvania:	1 221	41	۰ ا	18	. 80	- 12	14		,
Allegheny County	322	70	35	74	100	39	4	4	l
Montgomery County		1 '1		'i	5		l	l	[
Philadelphia	1, 683	314	80	128	390	351	205	185	
Utah: Third district	7, 61	17	l "ï	ő	25	5	ı	ã	
Virginia: Norfolk	123	4	. 5	55	40	i	18		
Washington: Pierce County	42	l ğ	l i	5	5	6	10	6	

Includes all courts reporting dependency and neglect cases that served areas with 25,000 or more population in 1920.

Table VIII.—Reason for reference to court of families represented in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 74 specified courts during 1929—Continued

	:	Familie	s ropres	ented is	ı depen	dency a	nd negl	ect caso	S
				Rensor	for ref	erenco t	o court	-	
Court	Total	don- ment	trent-	con-	clent paren- tal	Finan- cial need	Ques- tion of cus- tody	Other	No^re- ported
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 25,000 TO 100,000 POPULATION					-				
Total families	1, 179	97	50	244	352	290	100	46	1
Alahama: Bullock County Calhoun County Chambers County Clarka County Collort County Dallas County Elmore County Elmore County Houston County Jackson County Lauderdale County Lee County Lowndes County Lowndes County Lowndes County Marshall County Marshall County Monroe County Morgan County Perry County Perry County Talladoga County Talladoga County Talladosa County Indinas: Clay County Indinas: Clay County Indinas: Bossier and Webster Parishes Condo Parishe	27 30 9 17 21 31 1 12 12 15 4 4 14 18 18 19 20 23 24 10 4 3 10 4 10 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	2 8 1 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 3 3 7 7 5 5 5 5 6 6 7 1 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 5 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 6 5 4 4 6 3 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 10 6 5 3 3 4 7 7 1 13 4 4 3 3 10 1 4 4 5 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5	11 2 2 2 9 4 4 20 21 1 3 8 17 11 2 4 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 2	2 4 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 3 6	3 1 1 1 2 2 5 1 4 1	1
Ounchita Parish Minnesola: Winona County New York: Chemung County Columbia County Dutchess County Ontario County Orleans County North Carolina: Buncombe County North Dakota: Third Judicial district (In part) Ohlo: Auglaize County Clark County Lake County Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Lycoming County South Carolina: Greenville County Utah: Second district Fourth district	11 66 54 120 32 15 70 2 10 41 15 20 23 69	3 3 2 2 9 9	10 3 1 2 1 7 7 1 1	22 25 21 9 1 20 2 2 9 5 6 6 7 7	7 25 8 7 7 3 16 2 1	14 9 21 0 12 1 13	10 2 10 	2 3 3 1 2 2 1	
Fifth districtOther countlesVirginia: Lynchburg	9 2 7	1 5		5	2	2	1		

Table IX.—Disposition and manner of handling dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 74 specified courts during 1929

			1)	epende	ncy and	i neglec	t enses					
			Omrial									
	-				Di	spositio	n					
Court	Total	ı	indell-	imder	under super-		com- mitted to in- stitu- tion	Other	Not re- port- ed	Un- offi- cial		
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH					-							
100,000 OR MORE FORULATION Total cases	16, 039	13, 253	2, ≰ 30	3, 155	*13	3, 545	3, 206	95	9	2, 785		
Alabama: Mobile CountyCalifornia: San Diego CountyConnecticut: Bridgeport	9 438 70	9 133 51 345	8	65 1	, ;	3 216	39 38	2		305 10		
District of Columbia Indiana: Lake County Marion County Iowa: Polk County	348 246 282 631	169 282 285	14 8 83	5 I 3	34 22 51	46 72	58 178 130	1		77		
Michigan: Kent County	279 343 133	279 343 138	186 172 3	70	10 18 7	i	50 29 19	1				
New York: Buffelo Eric County Monroe County New York City	72 68 284 3, 891	72 68 284 3, 891	1 29 1, 015	6 23 1, 713		51 36 62 7	14 3 194 1, 131					
Renselner County Westchester County Ohio:	187 270	187 269	28 87	i	6	167	159 3	5		1		
Cuyshoga County Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	1, 396 659 468 202 385 443	1, 000 659 111 197 207 333		203 70 11 86 138	68 174 4 18 15 50	145 94 20 31	20 245 1 121 129 85	7 1 	7	336 357 95 118 110		
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Montgomery County Philadelphia Utah: Third district	756 13	756 13 2, 678 101 200	3 244 4 94	312 377 2	22 5 197 14 54	1,374	17 491 81	 5		002 20		

¹ Includes all courts reporting dependency and neglect cases that served areas with 25,000 or more population in 1920.

Table IX.—Disposition and manner of handling dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 74 specified courts during 1929—Continued

			Ľ	epende	ncy and	I neglec	t cases			
					om	cial				
				-	Dis	position	1			
Court	Total				Child placed under	Child	-			Un- ofii-
	10031	Total	Dis- missed or cou-	Child placed under court	super- vision	mitted to board,	Child com- mitted to in-	Other	Not re- port-	cial
		-	indefi-	super- vision	other than proba-	ele- part- ment, or	stitu- tion		ed	
					tion officer	agency				
COURTS SERVING AREAS WITH 25,000 TO 100,000 POPULATION										
Total cases	2, 501	1, 474	382	329	310	101	305	45		1,027
Alabama: Bullock CountyCalhoun County	03 44	4 17	1	1	12	I	1 5			55 27 11
Chambers CountyColhert County	16 32	5 9		4		5		7		23 58 83
Dallas County	72 84 2	14 I 2		7			Î	-		
Elmore County Etowah County Houston County	17 46	15		2	5		4	2		43
Jackson County	6 104	32	3	20			8			43 72 18
Landerdale CountyLoo CountyLimestone County	26 19	8 5		3 3	s					18
Lowning Chillip	39 61	1		;				i		37 82
Marengo County Marshall County Monroe County	7 54	5		5						5
Morgan County	30 64	0 3		3	i		. i	4		61
Perry County Pickens County	53	ĭ			1		;	;		57
Talladega County Tallapoosa County	42 23	0		1						2
Illinois: Rock Island County Indiana: Clay County Louisiana:	162 13	162 13	15	- 41 8	40		51 5	14		
Bossier and Webster Parishes Caddo Parish	107	5 105	11		53		5 3 <u>7</u>	4	' 	
Ounchita Parish Minnesota: Winona County New York:	110	55 6	13	21	6	8 2	21			8: 11
Cheming County Columbia County	135 133	135 133	102 87	20 20	10 5	1 33	- 19	1		2
Ontario County	293 73 24	265 73 24	81 7	78 52	68 2 3	33 4 8	! 8		}	
Orleans County	103	37 10	2	11 3	20	2	9	i		Ğ
trict (in part) Ohio: Auglaize County	10 28	28	11		11	6				
Clark County	78 31	77 31	6 12	3	16		51 18			
Sandusky County	40 39 114	19 38 81	20	1 24	3 1 25	11 18 2	14 14	1 4 2		21 30
Utah: Second district	18	1					1			1
Fourth district	19 27	2			6	 ,	2			20
Other counties Virginia: Lynchburg	7 12	4 12		4	;	3	2			

Table X.—Color, nativity, and parent nativity of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 28 specified courts during 1929 1

			De	pendenc:	y and ne	elect ca	F.0.9	_	
	·			White	hildren		-		Chil-
Court	Total	Total	Native, native parent- age	Native, foreign or mived parent- nge	Native, parent- age not report- ed	For- olgn born	Nativ- ity not report- ed	Col- ored chil- dren	dren whose color was not re- ported
Total cases	10,039	13,606	7, 684	5, 159	197	184	332	2, 260	142
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diego County Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia	9 438 70 348	8 416 67 146	8 230 27 5	97 40 7	16	4	125	22 3 202	
Indiana: Lake County Marion County Iown: Polk County Michigan: Kent County	246 282 631 279	190 233 562 279	88 237 528 233	100 34 36	2	2	1 8	56 44 69	
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsoy County	343 138	323 136	203 99	107 37		1	12	20 2	
New York: Buffalo Eric County Monroe County New York City. Rensselner County Westchestor County	72 68 294 3, 891 187 270	71 68 251 3, 520 195 230	34 40 141 1, 471 170 109	27 28 140 1, 907 14 114	14	111	9 1 2	3 371 2 40	
Ohio: Cuyshoga County Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	1, 396 659 468 292 385 443	1, 152 562 321 265 300 424	419 527 300 96 280 322	825 26 0 136 11 99	67	11 4 1	30 4 5 33 9 2	244 97 147 27 85 19	
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Monigomery County Philadelphia Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk Washington: Pierce County	756 13 3, 670 130 209 61	670 13 2,823 129 169 58	388 12 1,395 08 103 55	274 1 1,241 31 0 2	80	41	66 1	705 1 40 3	142

Includes all courts reporting dependency and neglect cases that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1920.

TABLE XI.—Source of reference to court of families represented in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 28 specified courts during 1929 1

		Familie	s repres	ented In	depen	dency a	nd negl	ect case	3			
Court		Source of reference to court										
	Total	Social agency	Par- ents or rela- tives	Other Indi- vidual	Police	Proba- tion officer	School de- part- ment	Other	Not re- ported			
Total families	7, 966	3, 209	2, 635	644	644	450	251	120	4			
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diego County Connecticut: Bridgeport	6 271 31	1 51 26	75 2	1 77	29	11 3	21	4				
District of Columbia	210	30	05	17	71	24	24	2				
Lake County	150 158 361 136	15 37 98 33	43 43 118 40	17 18 70 45	15 51 17 7	45 3 . 4 5	15 23 5	6 22 1				
Hennepin County Ramsey County New York:	176 68	166 63		2	3		10					
BuffaloEric County	37 17	19 17	7		8	3						
Monroe County	119 1, 813 102 124	114 1, 018 1 116	1 413 79 3	1 41 20 2	294 1	4	53 3					
Ohlo: Cuyahoga County	608 418 264 157 245 227	269 106 112 97 61 43	195 122 51 23 113	19 81 53 10 29 75	51 16 6 11 6 37	42 83 15 10 29 3	29 0 15 6 5	3 1 10 8 2				
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Montgomery County Philadelphia. Usin: Third district Virginia: Norfolk Washington: Pierce County	322 7 1, 693 61 123 42	143 2 514 30 14 7	27 1, 065 5 63 16	11 3 19 4 18 2	2 1 5 10 8 5	134 3 7 17 6	3 6 4 3 4	71 2				

¹ Includes all courts reporting dependency and neglect cases that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1920.

Table XII.—Place of care of child pending hearing or disposition in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 28 specified courts during 1929 1

			Depe	ndency	and ne	giect ca	ses		
				Pla	ice of ca	re of ch	iid		
Court	Total	Own home or case dis- posed of same day	ing	Deten- tion home ?	Insti-	Jail or police sta- tion *	More than one place of care 4	Other	Not re- ported
Total cases	16, 038	9, 259	694	1, 188	4, 320	1	128	242	200
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diezo County Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia Indiana: Lake County Marion County Iowa: Polk County Michigan: Kent County Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County New York: Buffalo Erie County Monne County New York City	9 438 70 348 246 282 631 279 343 138 72 63 281 3.891	7 279 43 276 146 137 359 206 245 65 45 43 61 1,289	26 1 14 47 42 8 30 65	20 24 34 8 149 57	2 90 24 25 32 72 49 7 68 8 5 12 214 2, 574		1 21	22 2 2 2 18 18 41	1
Renselver County Westchester County Oblo: Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	1, 396 659 468 292 385 443	1, 037 65 1, 037 318 191 277 255	116 89 49 17 30 12 76	161 151 6 16 63 45	22 5 91 130 99 36 15 23	1	76 3 3 2 4 2	8 18 25 13 14 37	9 1 1
Allegheny County Montgoriery County Philadelphia Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk Washington: Pierce County	756 13 3, 670 130 209 61	222 6 3, 006 37 156 28	1 1 18 8	335 7 9 57 9 15	649 25 23 9		i	1() 2 1	182

Includes all courts reporting dependency and neglect cases that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1920.
 Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in Julis or police stations.
 Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in Julis or police stations and part of the time elsewhere.

TABLE XIII.—Reason for discharge in cases of delinquent children discharged from supervision by 21 specified courts during 1929 1

	С	ases of delinquent children discharged from supervision									
			Res	son for d	ischarge						
Court	Total	Further supervision not recom- mended or discharged with im- provement before reach- ing age limit	Child commit- ted to institu- tion	Child commit- ted to ngency or indi- vidual	Child reached age limit	Other	Not reported				
Total cases	7, 521	4,760	1,063	. 361	609	661	7				
Alabama: Mobile County Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia Indiana:	18 166 617	12 94 209	4 22 47	147	40 69	2 8 55	i				
Lake County Marion County Minnesota:	75 278	47 177	6 28	8 2	- 4 - 23	9 43	1				
Hennepin County Ramsey County New Jersey;	562 237	418 194	130 34	2 1	2 3	9	1				
Hudson County	189 148	125 40	35 48	5		24 60					
Buffalo. Eric County	139 95 124 1, 594 -406	104 56 96 1,507	35 13 23 234 29	1 1 6 4	23 3 194 4	2 53 28	1				
Cuyahoga County Hamilton County Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County Pennsylvania: Philadelphia Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk	545 344 129 39 1, 179 41 197	282 150 51 3 610 30 144	127 46 40 7 127 4 24	42 20 5 7 105	13 44 8 10 211 1	81 103 25 11 125 6 13	1				

¹Includes all courts reporting cases of delinquout children discharged from supervision that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1920.

where.

* Excludes cases of children held in detention homes, falls, or police stations.

Table XIV.—Duration of supervision in cases of delinquent children discharged from supervision by 21 specified courts during 1929 1

	Ca	ses of de	linquent	children	dischar	ed from	supervis	ion
	Duration of supervision							
Court	Total	Less than 6 months	6 months, less than 12	1 year, less than 18 months	less than	2 years, less than 3	3 years and over	Not re- ported
· Total cases	7, 521	2,672	2, 924	1, 136	379	236	163	
Alabama: Mobile County Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia Indiana:	18 166 617	17 55 179	1 f/8 218	29 101	13 40	1 35	14	
Lake County Marion County	75 278	28 157	21 88	24 20	1 10	2	1 1-	
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County	562 237	289 98	243 69	27 40	10 1	2 13		
New Jorsey: Itudson County Morcer County New York:	189 148	42 28	59 13	59 G	. 24 . 9	5 13	79	
Bufinlo Frie County Monroe County New York City Westchester County	139 95 124 1,994 406	25 9 10 1, 025 98	47 8 47 850 164	52 49 48 99 84	9 19 10 19 31	5 10 2 25	1	
Ohio: Cuyahoga County Hamilton County Monigomery County Oregon: Multnomah County Pennsylvania: Philadelphia	545 344 129 38 1, 179	184 89 27 14 203	263 116 25 15 510	93 62 60 2 227	30 17 3 102	1 32 2 79	14 2 48	1
Utah: Third districtVirginia: Norfolk	41 197	41 40	69	55	21	9	3	

Includes all courts reporting cases of delinquent children discharged from supervision that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1920.

Table XV.—Reason for discharge in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 13 specified courts during 1929 1

	Case	s of dependent	and negl	ected chil	dren disci	arged fr	om supe	rvision				
		Reason for discharge										
Court	Tota!	Further su- pervision not recommended or child dis- charged with improvement before reach- ing age limit	commit- ted to in-	ted to	Child commit- ted to in- dividual		Other	Not re- ported				
Total cases	2,009	1,429	248	200	22	37	153	10				
Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia Indiana: Lake County Minnesota: Ramsey County	2 7 17 75	3 12 47	3 8	2	4	i	2 1 2 1					
New York: Buffalo	3 24 1,319 1	1 14 1,035	1 3 201	1 7 27		27	25 1	1				
Ohio: Cuyahoga County Hamilton County	158	78 1	G	35	1		33	5				
Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County_ Pennsylvania: Philadelphia	59 432	238	1 5 17	2 111	17	<u>ö</u>	35 53	4				

¹ Includes all courts reporting cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1626.

Table XVI.—Duration of supervision in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 13 specified courts during 1929

	Cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision										
5		Duration of supervision									
Court	Total	Loss than 6 months	6 months, less than 12	18	18 months, less than 2 years	2 years, less than 3	3 years and over	Not re- ported			
Total cases	2,099	961	739	204	110	41	41	3			
Connecticut: Bridgeport District of Columbia. Indiana: Lako County. Minnesota: Ramsey County. New York: Buffalo	2 7 17 75	30 2	2 6 0 11	12	Ü	ii	2				
Monroe County	1, 319 1	ñ. 754 1	1 470	10 67	7 29						
Ohlo: Cuyahoga County Hamilton County Montgomery County	158 1	33	82 1	37	6						
Oregon: Multnomali County Pennsylvania: Philadelphia	59 432	23 102	21 135	n 72	5 55	2 28	2 37	3			

¹ Includes all courts reporting cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision that served areas with 100,000 or more population in 1920.

APPENDIX.—COURTS FURNISHING STATISTICAL MATERIAL FOR 1929

Cards were received from 95 courts in 20 States and the District of Columbia for the entire calendar year 1929, and tables were prepared by 1 court (Philadelphia). The names of these courts with the largest city or town in the area served by each court are as follows: Largest city or town in

		area served
Alabama:	Autauga County	Prattville.
Juvenile court of	Baldwin County	
Juvenile court of	Dadwin Country	Union Springs
Juvenile court of	Bullock County	Anniston.
Juvenile court of	Calhoun County	
Juvenile court of	Chambers County	Lanett.
Juvenile court of	Cherokee County	Genar Bluit.
Tuvanila court of	Chilton County	Cianton.
Investile court of	Clarke County	Jackson.
Juvenile court of	Cleburne County	Hellin.
Tuvenile court of	Coosa County	Goodwater.
Invenile court of	Crenshaw County	Luverne.
Juvenile court of	Dale County	Ozark.
Juvenile court of	Dallas County	Selma,
Juvenile court of	Elmore County	Tallassee.
Juvenile court of	Escambia County	Brewton.
Juvenije court of	Etowah County	
Juvenile court of	Elowan County	
Juvenile court of	Fayette County	Russellville.
Juvenile court of	Franklin County	Creamblese
Juvenile court of	Hale County	Greensporo.
Juvenile court of	Houston County	Dothan.
Juvenile court of	Jackson County	Bridgeport.
Invanile court of	Lauderdale County	r mrence.
Invenile court of	Lee County	Phenix,
Invenile court of	Limestone County	Athens.
Invenile court of	Lowndes County	Fort Deposit.
Tuvenile court of	Marengo County	Demopolis.
Towns to sourt of	Marshall County	Guntersville.
Juvenne courv or	Mobile County	
Juvenile court of	Monroe County	W. W. W
Juvenile court of	Monroe County	
Juvenile court of	Morgan County	Marion
Juvenile court of	Perry County	Reform.
Juvenile court of	Pickens County	Tellerless
Juvenile court of	Talladega County	i anadega.
Juvenile court of	Tallapoosa County	Alexander City.
Luvenile court of	Washington County	
California: Juvenile	court of San Diego County	San Diego.
Connectiont Invenil	e court of the city of Bridgeport	Bridgeport.
District of Columbi	a: Juvenile court of the District of	222 32 32
Calumbia		Washington.
Illinois: Juvenile con	rt of Rock Island County	Rock Island.
Luctiona		
Invenile court of	Clay County	Brazil.
Juvenile court of	Lake County	Garv.
Juvenne court of	Marion County	Indianapolis.
Juvenije court of	Monroe County	Bloomington.
Juvenile court of	Monroe County	Angola
Juvenile court of	Steuben County	Tiborty
Juvenile court of	Union County Vanderburg County	Evenguille
Juvenile court of	Vanderburg County	D. Maine.
Iowa: Polk County j	uvenile court	Des Moines.
Lauiniana.		
Invenile court of	Bossier and Webster Parishes	Minden.
Invenile court of	Caddo Parish	Shreveport.
Tunnila court I	Parish of Quachita	Monroe.
Michigan: Juvenile e	ourt, Kent County	Grand Rapids.
mangair. Daicinto c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Minnesota:	Largest city or fown i
Juvenile court of Hennepin County	Minnonnolio
Juvenile court of Hennepin County	St. Paul
winona County myenile court	Winona
New Jersey	
Juvenile court of the County of Hudson	Jersey City.
Juvenue court of the County of Alercer	Trenton
New fork;	
Children's court of Buildlo	Buffalo
Cheming County children's court	Elmira
Columbia County children's court	Hudeon
Delaware County children's court	Walton
United a court of Dutchess County	Paughteopoio
Erie County children's court Monroe County court, children's divisen	Lankawawa
Monroe County court, children's divisor	Dochoston
Children's court of the city of New York	Non Vast
Ontario County court, children's part	Canous
Orleans County children's court	Modina
Children's court of Rensselacr County	Tagan
Westchester County enderen's courf	Vantana
North Carolina: Juvenile court of Buncombe County	I ORKEIS.
North Dakota: District court, third judicial district	Asheville,
Ohio:	wanpeton.
Juvenile court of Auglaize County	CL 36
Juvenile court of Clark County	Ot. Marys.
Juvenile court of Clark County Juvenile court, County of Cuyahoga.	opringueia.
Court of common pleas, division of domestic relations,	Gleveland,
Franklin County	Q.1
Franklin County Common-pleas court of Hamilton County, division of	Columbus.
domestic relations, juvenile court, and marital rela-	
tions	G:
Juvenile court of Lake Courts	Cincinnati.
Juvenile court of Lake County Common-pleas court of Mahoning County, division of	Paincsville.
demostic relations	37 1
domestic relations	Youngstown.
Court of common pleas, division of domestic relations,	
Montgomery County	Dayton.
Juvenile court of Sandusky County	Fremont.
Oregon: Court of domestic relations, County of Multnomah. Pennsylvania:	Portland.
	<u></u>
Juvenile court of Allegheny County	Pittsburgh.
Juvenile court of Lycoming County	Williamsport.
Juvenile court of Montgomery County	Norristown.
South Caralina Child Philadelphia, juvenile division	Philadelphia.
South Carolina: Children's court of Greenville County	Greenville.
	_
Juvenile court, first district	Logan.
Juvenile court, second district ²	Ogden.
Juvenile court, third district	Salt Lake City.
Juvenile court, fourth district	Provo.
Juvenile court, fifth district 5	Richfield.
Juvenile court, Carbon County	rice.
Juvenile courts, other counties 6	Jedar City.
Virginia:	
Juvenile and domestic-relations court of Lynchburg I	ynchburg.
Juvenile and domestic-relations court of Norfolk N	Vorfolk.
Washington: Juvenile court of Pierce County	'acoma.
Coche Bayelder and Rich Counties	

¹ Cache, Boxelder, and Rich Counties.
2 Weber, Morgan, and Davis Counties.
2 Salt Lake, Summit, and Toocie Counties.
4 Utah, Junb, and Wasateh Counties.
4 Pinte, Sanpete, Sevier, and Wayne Counties.
6 Reaver, Duchesne, Emery, Garfield, Grand, Iron, Kane, Millard, San Juan, Uintah, and Washington Counties.