

123443

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice

Permission to reproduce this material has been granted by

Public Domain

U.S. Dept. of Labor

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the common owner.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR FRANCES PERKINS, Secretary CHILDREN'S BUREAU KATHARINE F. LENROOT, Chief

123443

JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS AND FEDERAL JUVENILE OFFENDERS 1932

BASED ON INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY 267 JUVENILE
'COURTS AND BY THE UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

SIXTH ANNUAL REPORT

Ø

Bureau Publication No. 226



UNITED STATES

COVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

WASHINGTON: 1935

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D. C. - - - - - -

CONTENTS

Development of statistical reporting
The cooperating courts.
State wide reporting
State-wide reporting Statistics of Federal juvenile offenders
Juvenile-court statistics
Lucalita delimananay rates
Juvenile-delinquency rates Trends in general delinquency rates Delinquency rates and age jurisdiction of the court
Delinquency rates and age jurisdiction of the court
Delinquency rates and race.
Trends in delinquency cases.
Number of cases disposed of
Sex and age of children
Home conditions.
Reason for reference to court
Place of care pending hearing or disposition
Disposition of cases Trends in dependency and neglect cases
Trends in dependency and neglect cases
Number of cases disposed of
Ages of children
Home conditions
Disposition of cases
Disposition of cases Delinquency cases reported in 1932
Sex and age of children
Color and nativity
Home conditions
Source of reference to court
Reason for reference to court
Previous court experience
Place of care pending hearing or disposition.
Disposition of cases Dependency and neglect cases reported in 1932
Dependency and neglect cases reported in 1932
Sex and age of children
Color and nativity
Home conditions
Reason for reference to courtPlace of care pending hearing or disposition
Place of care pending nearing or disposition.
Other types of children's cases. Cases of children discharged from supervision.
Cases of children discourged from supervision
Federal juvenile offenders Program of the United States Department of Justice
Statistical data available.
Indications as to trends
Number of cases
Geographical distribution
Sex and age of children
Race
State of home residence.
Offense
Period between arrest and disposition.
Release prior to final disposition
Bail
Place of detention.
Length of detention
Disposition of cases
Term of probation Term of commitment to juvenile institutions
Term of commitment to juvenile institutions
Term of sentence to jails and other penal institutions
Source tables

JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS AND FEDERAL JUVENILE OFFENDERS, 1932.

DEVELOPMENT OF STATISTICAL REPORTING

The report on juvenile-court statistics for 1932 is the sixth annual report based on data supplied by courts cooperating with the Children's Bureau in furnishing statistical information. During 1932 progress was made in the number of courts reporting and in the development of State-wide reporting. Since 1931 the Children's Bureau has been cooperating with the Bureau of Prisons of the United States Department of Justice in the development of methods of dealing with juvenile offenders who violate Federal laws and come to the attention of Federal authorities. Statistical information for the year 1932 concerning these juveniles, compiled from records on file in the Bureau of Prisons, are presented, for the first time, as part of this report.

The fifth annual report discussed in some detail the material presented on children involved in delinquency and dependency cases, the methods of detention, reasons for reference of the child to the court, and the dispositions made by the court. In this report tables showing these items will be presented with only brief comment. The section on trends in delinquency, on the other hand, will be presented more fully, for the purpose of showing such significant variations as may be revealed, not only in delinquency rates but also in such items as age, race, reason for reference, and action taken by the courts. Similar material on trend is presented for the first time for cases of dependency and neglect.

THE COOPERATING COURTS

For the calendar year 1932 reports were received from all the courts in three States (Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Utah); from 38 courts in New York, serving 90 percent of the population of that State; from 48 courts in 20 other States; and from the District of Columbia. The total number of courts reporting on an individual or State-wide basis was 267. Massachusetts and New York (incomplete) were added to the reporting area during the year. Twentyfive courts serving areas of 100,000 or more population and 76 serving smaller areas were added from these two States. Thirteen courts serving areas of less than 100,000 population discontinued reporting.

Reports for the 6-year period 1927 to 1932 have been received from 18 courts' erving areas of 100,000 or more population,3 and 12 other courts have reported consecutively from 1928 or 1929 to 1932. These

Juvenile Court Statistics, 1931. U.S. Children's Bureau Publication No. 222. Washington, 1933.
 Includes 8 courts serving the city of Boston, not all of which served areas of 100,000 population.
 Previous reports showed this group as 10 courts; 2 courts—those of Buffalo and Eric County, N.Y.—have been consolidated into a single court.

courts form the basis for much of the discussion of trends in delinquency rates and other items.

Included in the 267 courts cooperating on an individual or Statewide basis are 68 serving areas of 100,000 or more population, of which 33 reported on an individual basis and 35 on the State-wide system; and 199 courts serving areas of less than 100,000, of which 16 reported on an individual basis and 183 on the State-wide system. It is estimated that these courts serve areas including about 28 percent

of the population of the United States.

Information for 1932 was obtained from the courts reporting for 65,274 delinquency cases, 23,235 dependency or neglect cases, and 1,171 children's cases of other types. Reports were also received concerning 18,737 cases of children who had been discharged from probation or supervision during the year. More detailed information was submitted by the courts reporting on an individual basis than by courts reporting as part of a State-wide plan. (See p. 3.) The former group with one exception (Philadelphia) filled out an individual card for each case reported, so that it was possible to make correlations between two or more of the items reported; for example, the age of the child and the reason for reference to the court, or the age of the child and the place of care pending hearing. The courts included in State-wide reporting plans furnished the State department responsible for collecting the information with summary tables, which did not show extensive correlations.

For each year of the 6-year period during which the plan for promoting and assembling uniform statistics has been in operation, the number of courts cooperating, the percentage of the total population served by these courts, and the number of States represented are shown in table 1, and the number of cases of various types reported

are shown in table 2.

TABLE 1 .- Number of courts included in a State-wide system of reporting, and number of individual courts reporting, that served areas with 100,000 or more and with less than 100,000 population according to the 1930 census, and percentage of population served: 1927-32

				Co	urts repor	ting			
		Total		Includ	ed in Sta system	te-wide	I	ndividual	ly
Year		Number	Percent		Servin wit	ng nrons			g areas
	Number	of States repre- sented!	of popu- lation served	Total	100,000 or more popula- tion	nest then (NX),(NI) popula- tion	Total	100,000 or more popula- tion	Less than 100,000 popula- tion
1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932	7 43 65 90 92 169 267	16 17 21 24 24 24 25	15 17 18 20 22 28	7 7 8 97 1 218	1 1 1 4 35	6 6 7 93 183	* 43 58 89 84 72 40	1 27 31 33 36 39 33	16 27 50 48 33

Includes the District of Columbia.

Table 2.- Number of cases of each specified type reported by cooperating courts;

Year	Total	Delln- queñcy	Depend- ency and neglect	Children discharged from su- pervision	Special proceed- ings 1
1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1931	49, 562 65, 600 75, 610 82, 963 100, 660 108, 417	30, 363 38, 882 46, 312 53, 757 69, 880 65, 274	12, 552 16, 289 18, 805 20, 711 22, 317 23, 235	6, 647 10, 429 10, 493 * 7, 562 17, 356 18, 737	933 1, 116 1, 171

¹ Special-proceedings cases were not reported prior to 1930. They include cases of petitions for commitment of feeble-minded children, adoption cases, controversies regarding custody of a child, children held as material witnesses, and certain other types.

1 Exclusive of New York City, for which a complete report was not available.

STATE-WIDE REPORTING

Twenty-nine States 4 have made some provision, by statute, for reporting juvenile-court statistics through a State department of welfare or some other State agency concerned with juvenile-court and probation work. In a few other States some interest in developing State-wide reporting has been shown from time to time. Very few State departments, however, have the personnel required for statistical and promotional service in this field. In some of the States with legal provision for reporting, the statute is practically inoperative. When the Children's Bureau, therefore, initiated its plan for collection of juvenile-court statistics it was necessary to deal with individual courts, enlisting their cooperation in reporting directly to the Bureau.

From the beginning, however, the cooperation of State agencies was sought, and the ultimate development of State reporting systems that would function in harmony with a national plan was recognized as an important objective. State welfare departments and other State agencies cooperated cordially with the Children's Bureau in calling the plan to the attention of judges and probation officers in an endeavor to harmonize with the national plan their own requirements for monthly and annual reports from courts and probation officers. As the program developed, the expense of direct national contact with small courts having only a few children's cases during the year came to be disproportionately great, and the cooperation of State departments in reaching these courts was recognized as essential. Simple forms, calling for fewer items than those furnished by the larger courts, were drawn up for use of State departments. Under this plan the courts usually submit monthly reports to the State agency, which summarizes them and furnishes the Children's Bureau with an annual report for each court in the State. The policy was adopted of gradually limiting direct reports to the Children's Bureau to courts serving areas of 100,000 or more population. The 18 courts serving areas of small populations which discontinued reporting in 1931 and the 13 courts serving areas of small populations which discontinued reporting in 1932 were dropped in accordance with this policy.

^{*} Includes the District of Columbia; cards received after tabulations were completed Includes New York State courts serving 90 percent of the total population of that State

Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana (part), Mussachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Naw Hampshira, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahotma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina (part), Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia.

DEVELOPMENT OF STATISTICAL REPORTING

cause of its centralized plan of juvenile-court administration through a State juvenile-court commission, Utah has been able since 1928 to furnish reports for the entire State. Through field service to State departments in the development of State reporting plans, it has been possible to add Connecticut, Massachusetts (delinquency cases only), and New York (reports covering 90 percent of the population) to the State-reporting areas. Encouraging progress toward State-wide reporting in Alabama was interrupted by the assignment of county child-welfare workers to emergency relief administration. Early in 1934 definite arrangements were completed for the inclusion of Indiana through cooperative plans developed with the State probation department, in which the University of Indiana is also interested. New Jersey is experimenting with State-wide reporting for 1933. Considerable service has been given to other States, looking toward the development of State-reporting plans. The gradual extension of these State systems throughout the country in accordance with a uniform plan would afford a foundation for Nation-wide statistics on an inclusive rather than a representative or sample basis.

STATISTICS OF FEDERAL JUVENILE OFFENDERS

The Bureau of Prisons of the United States Department of Justice maintains a current "juvenile index file" made up from reports of juvenile cases dealt with by Federal authorities throughout the country. From the cards in this file tabulations have been made by the Children's Bureau for all cases of persons under 19 years of age disposed of during the period July 1 to December 31, 1932, showing age, sex, race, reason for apprehension, release, detention pending trial, disposition of the case, and certain other items. These cases are not included in the statistics obtained from juvenile courts in the States, unless Federal jurisdiction is waived and arrangements are made for these juvenile offenders to be dealt with under State law in their home communities.

JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY RATES

Trends in general delinquency rates

In 1931 a definite drop in delinquency rates (number of delinquent children referred to the juvenile court per 10,000 children of juvenile-court age and of the same sex) was reported for the 18 courts reporting from 1927 to 1932 for both boys and girls, following a period of gradually diminishing increase in the rates. In 1932 delinquency rates continued to decrease. The juvenile delinquency rate for boys in that year was identical with the rate in the first year of the period (1927), and the delinquency rate for girls was lower in 1932 than in 1927. For 25 courts reporting for a 5-year period, 1928 to 1932, and for 30 courts reporting for a 4-year period, 1929 to 1932, the trends are similar. The figures are shown in table 3.

Table 3.—Juvenile delinquency rates per 10,000 boys and girls of juvenile-court age dealt with by courts serving areas with 100,000 or more population in 1930, reporting throughout specified periods

		Ju	venile delli	iquency re	ites	
Year		reporting 7-32	25 courts 192		30 courts 1920	reporting 1–32
	Boys	(lirls i	Boys	Clirls 1	Воув	Girls
1927 1928 1929 1930 1931	162 174 183 184 172 162	31 33 34 34 30 25	164 172 170 159 149	32 34 33 29 25	177 177 166 154	38 37 32

[!] Only 17 courts reported girls' cases.

Juvenile delinquency rates are given in table 4 for 42 court sserving areas of 100,000 or more population that reported in 1932, the highest rate for each court being in bold-face italics. The trend for 30 of these courts reporting for 4 years follows in general that of the smaller group of 18 courts reporting for 4 years or more, but great variations are shown in the trends for individual courts. Twenty-six of the 39 courts reporting for both years had lower boys' delinquency rates in 1932 than in 1931, 15 having decreases sufficient to be statistically significant.¹ Thirteen had higher rates, but in only four was the difference great enough to be statistically significant.² Com-

*Increases statistically significand: Marion County, Ind.; Ramsey County, Minn.; Mahoning County, Ohio; Norfolk, Va. Increases not statistically significant: San Francisco County, Calif.; Bridgeport, Conn.; Polk County, Iowa; Baltimore, Mid.; Kent County, Mich.; New York, N.Y.; Hamilton County, Ohio; Montgomery County, Pa.; Spokane, Wash.

Only 24 courts reported girls' cases.

Decreases statistically significant: San Diego County, Calif.; Lake County, Ind.; Orleans Parish, La.: Wayne County, Mich.; Hennepin County, Minn.; Hudson and Mercer Counties, N.J.; Erle, Monroe, and Rensselaer Counties, N.Y.; Franklin County, Ohlo; Multnomah County, Oreg.; Allegheny County and Philadelphia, Pa.; third district of Utah. Decreases not statistically significant: Mobile County, Ala.; District of Columbia; Dade County, Fla.; Fulton County, Ca.; Syracuse and Westchester County, N.Y.; Montgomery County, Ohlo; Fayette County, Pa.; Greenville County, S.C.; Pierce County, Wash.; Milwaukoe County, Wis.

Increases statistically significant: Marion County, Ind.; Ramsey County, Minn.; Mahoning County, Ohlo; Netchly, Vol. Lycenses and statistically significant: San Francisco County, Calif. Bridgeport

Juvenile delinguency rates per 10,000 boys and girls of juvenile-court age dend with by courts serving areas with 100,000 or more population in 1930;

			Be	ıys					() ir	ls		
Area served by court	- -		<u>-</u> `									
	1927	1929	1929	1930	1931	1932	1027	1928	1020	1930	1931	1032
	l	l		l								
Alahama: Mobile County	1	١	143	123	95	80	({	35	22	14	7
California:			1.03	123	8.5	1 ~0			-33		1 11	'
San Diego County			484	501	454	392			103	82	95	73
San Francisco County	143				74	75	13	}			26	22
Connecticut:	293	25.8	270	276	265	306	50	60	52	47	50	47
Bridgeport (city) Hartlord (city)		357	270	210	20.5	130	65	19		"	,,,,,	1 41
District o Columbia.	427	448	417	409	417	414	72	79	79	63	64	49
Florida: Dade County					337	311				, 	73	75
Georgia: Fulton County				351	308	301				70	5H	52
Indiana:				100	82	49	67	52	37	71	41	38
Lake County		133	57 186	146	113	168	82	76	87	77	54	43
Iowa: Polk County			325	252	202	217		87	100	81	57	56
Louisiana:		1	,,,,,,					i .	1	1	} "	
Caddo Parish	 	(1)	185	181	(1)	173	}	(1)	31	30	(1)	- 46
	l				170	143	}] <u></u>	19	17
Maryland: Haltimore (city).	{·			309	347	352	{			32	33	34
Michigan: Kent County	108	1	155	183	176	181	Į.	1	39	29	32	33
Wayne County			133	152	133	121			3,5	22	17	15
Minnesota:				132	,	1						
Hennepln County	164	178	187	153	188	148	42	50	42	41	41	32
Ramsey County	96	109	103	138	106	126	27	30	33	28	36	23
New Jersey					~~~	121	٠	200		36	26	20
Hudson County	206	218	219 219	232 210	206 198	131	29	39 12	10	13	26	16
Mercer County New York:	11,70	113	219	210	נישנ	131	111	1 12	10	.10	20	
Erie County	139	148	146	157	166	85	111	13	12	16	15	8
Monroe County	l	52	58	40	53	41		16	12	10	9	5
New York (city)	83	115	124	122	110	114	14	18	20	19	16	14
Renselaer County			177	209	162	115			48	43	37 10	18
Byrncuse (city) Westchester County	203	164	154	100	146	125	35	30	27	19	111	12
Obio:	203	105	1.73	100	100	1 ""	33	30				
Franklin County	195	101	80	4.89	482	+ 66	65	64	59	4 58	4 50	142
Hamilton County	230	201	244	248	294	304			116	105	104	79
Mahoning County	439	477	480	496	444	497	108	97	113	115	117	90 63
Montgomery County		127	182	132	•121	107	-	78	88	85	75	0.3
Oregon: Multnomah	1	ļ :	221	283	310	218	1 :	!	52	46	43	33
County Pennsylvania:				2.0	3,0	2.0						"
Allegheny County		72	70	- 61	51	44	; 	13	13	11	. 8	10
Berks County	19	10		\		27	5	10				7
Fayette County	:				15	10		};-	} <u>-</u> -	;-	4	3
Montgomery County	18	23	20	36	27	30	6	5	4	5	•	1
Philadelphia (city and county)	280	280	320	342	320	287	42	43	48	51	47	42
South Carolina: Greenville	2,5			1 332] "" [[-//		["		-		
County	l <i>-</i>	60	78	56	55	46	l	16	17	15	12	. 8
Utah: Third district		252	258	261	320	271		41	.50	88	65	60
Virginia: Norfolk (city)	467	309	533	470	422	507	93	115	113	DHS.	96	113
Washington:	۱	7.			50	149	16	20	22	17	25	4 21
Pierce County Spokane County	01	76	58	342	324	333	10	47	1 44	57	59	54
Wisconsin: Milwaukee] ""	""	"""	}					"
County.				254	370	368				68	68	78
	1	1]	ì]	1	1	j	1	1	i '	1

¹ Courts reporting in 1932 that reported 2 or more years during the period 1927-32. The highest delinquency rate of each court is shown in bold-face tradic type.

1 Rate not computed, as number of colored delinquent children was not reported.

parison of the 1932 rates for 38 areas which began reporting before 1931 (1927 to 1930) with the rates for the earliest years for which figures are available shows that 24 of the 38 areas had lower rates in 1932, and 14 had higher rates. For 19 areas the 1932 boys' delinquency rate was lower than either the rate for 1931 or that for the

earliest year reported, and for 9 it was higher.3

Delinquency rates for girls were lower in 1932 than in 1931 for 32 of the 39 areas reporting in both years, and for 15 the decreases were statistically significant. Seven areas had higher rates in 1932 than in 1931, and in one of these the increase was statistically significant.5 Comparison of the 1932 rate with the rate for the earliest year (1927 1928, 1929, or 1930) for which figures were available shows that 27 of the 38 areas reporting before 1931 had a lower delinquency rate for girls in 1932 than in the earliest year reported, 9 had a higher rate, and 2 had the same rate. The 1932 rate for 23 areas was lower than either the rate in 1931 or that in the earliest year reported; for 3 areas it was higher.6

Among the 18 courts reporting continuously from 1927 to 1932, the year in which the highest delinquency rate for boys occurred was as

1927-3 courts (Lake County, Ind.; Westchester County, N.Y.; Franklin County, Ohio).

1928-1 court (District of Columbia).

1929-4 courts (Marion County, Ind.; Mercer County, N.J.; New York

City; Norfolk, Va.).
1930-5 courts (Ramsey County, Minn.; Hudson County, N.J.; Montgomery County and Philadelphia, Pa.; Pierce County, Wash.).
1931-2 courts (Hennepin County, Minn.; Eric County, N.Y.).

1932-3 courts (Bridgeport, Conn.; Hamilton and Mahoning Countles,

The peak year of the delinquency rate for girls was not always the same as that for the boys. The years of highest rates for girls for the 17 courts reporting continuously throughout the period 1927-32 are as follows:

1927-3 courts (Westchester County, N.Y.; Franklin County, Ohio; Montgomery County, Pa.).

1928-3 courts (Bridgeport, Conn.; Hennepin County, Minn.; Norfolk,

1928 and 1929-1 court (District of Columbia-rate same for both years). 1929-3 courts (Marion County, Ind.; Hudson County, N.J.; New York

1930-3 courts (Lake County, Ind.; Eric County, N.Y.; Philadelphia,

1931-4 courts (Ramsey County, Minn.; Mercer County, N.J.; Mahoning County, Ohio; Pierce County, Wash.).

In 4 of the 18 areas having lower rates in 1932 than in both the earliest year reported and in 1931, the · In 4 of the 18 areas having lower rates in 1932 than in both the earnest year reported and in 1931, the difference between the earliest year and 1932 was sufficient to be statistically significant, whereas the difference between 1931 and 1932 was not (Mobile County, Ala.; Fulton County, O.; Westchester County, N.Y.; Montgomery County, Ohio). In 3 other areas (Hennepin County, Minn.: Multnomah County, Oreg., and Philadelphia) the reverse was true, the difference between 1931 and 1932 being statistically significant and that between 1932 and the earliest year not important. In the remaining Hareas there were similar differences for the 2 particle.

similar differences for the 2 periods.
In 4 of the 9 areas having higher rates in 1932 than in both 1931 and the earliest year in which the court In 4 of the 9 areas having higher rates in 1932 than in both 1931 and the earliest year in which the court cooperated (Baltimore city; New York City; Hamilton County, Ohlo; and Montgomery County, Pa.) the difference between the earliest year and 1932 was statistically significant, whereas that between 1931 and 1932 was not. In Norfolk, Va., the difference between 1931 and 1932 was significant but not so the difference between 1927 and 1932. For the other 4 areas the difference variation for the 2 periods.

*San Diego County, Calif.; District of Columbia; Marion County, Ind.; Hennepin and Rame—Tounties, Minn.: Hudson County, N.J.; Eric County, Monroe County, New York City, Renselaer County, and Syracuso, N.Y.; Hamilton and Mahoning Counties, Ohlo; Montgomery County and Philadelphila, Pa.

Pa.

Milwaukee County, Wis.

Milwaukee County, Wis. • In 7 of the 23 areas having lower rates in 1932 than in both the earliest year reported and 1931 the difference between the enrilest year and 1932 was sufficient to be statistically significant, whereas the difference between 1931 and 1932 was not. (Mobile County, Ala.; Fulton County, Ca.; Lake County, Ind.; Polk County, Iowa; Wayne County, Mich.; Franklin County, Ohio; Multuomah County, Oreg.). In 2 areas (Ramsey County, Minn., and Frie County, N.Y.) the reverse was true, the difference between 1931 and 1932 heigg significant and that between 1927 and 1932 not so important. In the remaining 14 areas similar differences hold for the 2 periods. Of the 3 areas having higher rates in 1932 than in both the earliest year in which the court cooperated and 1931 the differences in Baltimore and Norfolk were not significant in either period. In Milwaukee County, Wis., they were significant in both periods.

Rate not computed, as the ages of the majority of boys and girls were not reported. *Based on official cases only, as unofficial cases were not reported in previous years.

Variations in delinquency rates may be due to change in personnel or in the policy of the juvenile court and may not reflect a true change in the size of the community's juvenile-delinquency problem. In 27 of the 18 courts reporting throughout the period a new judge took office in 1932. Other conditions also influence the rates, such, for example, as the absorption of parents and social workers in problems of unemployment relief, curtailment in school-attendance services, or lenient policies in the enforcement of school attendance because of extreme deprivation in the homes of the children. Unquestionably there is variation from year to year in the point of view of administrative officials and of the general public as to the types of children who should be taken before the juvenile court, either for their own protection or in the public interest.

Delinquency rates and age jurisdiction of the court

Delinquency rates, based on cases dealt with by the courts, vary widely from community to community, as table 4 shows. In 1932 the highest delinquency rate for boys in the group of 42 courts was 507, in Norfolk, Va., and the lowest was 10, in Fayette County (Uniontown), Pa. Delinquency rates for girls ranged from 113 in Norfolk,

Va., to 1 in Montgomery County (Norristown), Pa.

Many factors, such as the population and character of the area served, administrative policies, and public attitudes, are responsible for these variations. One factor of some, though not the predominating, influence is the age jurisdiction of the juvenile court. Twenty-three of the 42 courts serving areas with populations of 100,000 and more that reported in 1932 had jurisdiction over children who had passed their sixteenth birthday. Table 5 shows the 1932 delinquency rates for children from 7 to 15 years of age for all 42 areas and for all children within the courts' jurisdiction for areas served by courts having jurisdiction over children 16 years of age and over. Norfolk, Va., had the highest rates for both boys and girls, when all ages were included, but its rate for boys was exceeded in two areas, Mahoning County, Ohio, and Hartford, Conn., when comparisons were confined to cases of boys under 16. It still had the highest delinquency rate for girls when age differences were eliminated, though the rate was considerably lower for the younger age group than for the total.

TABLE 5.—Age limit of original court jurisdiction and juvenile delinquency rates per 10,000 hoys and girls of juvenile-court age dealt with by courts serving areas with 100,000 or more population in 1930; 1932

		8	Dellnque	ncy rates	
	Age under which ju-	В	nys	O	irls
Area served by court	venile court has original lurisdiction		7 to upper		7 to upp
		7 to 18 years	age limit, 16 and over	7 to 15 years	see limit
ourts with jurisdiction beyond 16th birthday: California:		-			
San Diego County	21	312	303	48	
Ban Francisco County	21	54	75	16	1
District of Columbia	17	372 295	414 311	- 41 69	1
Fiorida: Dade CountyIndiana:	17	290	1 1	0.5	l
Lake County	118	49	l o i	31	1
Marlon County	1 18 1	168	8 1	35	(
lowa: Polk County	18	199	217	44	1
Louisiana:	1				} .
Caddo Parish		138 132	173	87 14	ì
Orleans Parish	17	132	1 113	14	i
Michigan: Kent County	17	152	l 181 l	27	1
Wayne County	1 17	104	121	12	
Minnesota:	} " {		} }		1
Hennepin County	18	91	148	17	
Ramsey County	[18]	87	126	15	1
Ohio:	1		200	1 20	
Franklin County	18	245 225	304	48	•
Hamilton County Mahoning County	18	391	497	60	l
Montgomery County	l isl	79	107 1	46	
Oregon: Multnomah County	18	165	2!8	24	
Utah: Third district	181	194	271	41	
Virginia: Norfolk (city)	18	390	507	83	. 1
Washington:		ı 33	1 19	1 17	,
Pierce County	18	218	333	32	•
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	181	271	368	48	· ·
11 1300113111 11111 11111111			1 [(
ourts with jurisdiction under 16 only:	"		1 . 1		
Alahama: Mobile County	16	86	}{	7	
Connecticut:	16	306	1 1	47	1
Bridgeport (city)Hartford (city)	16	430		41	
Georgia: Fulton County	1 10	301		52	
Maryland: Baltimore (city)	101	352		34	\
New Jersey:	((1		1
Hudson County	16	121 131		20 16	
Mercer County	16	131		10	
New York: Erle County	16	85	1	8	
Monroe County	101	41		5	
New York (city)	l 16 i	114		14	
Panesalaar County	10 (115		18	·
Syracuse (city)	1 10 1	125 59		12	
Westchester County	16 }	59		. 12	
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County	16	44		10	
Barks County	1 15 1	27		7	
Favette County	io	10		3	
Fayette County Montgomery County	16	30		1	
Lipitationia (cità sua conntà)	10	287 46		42 8	
Bouth Carolina: Greenville County	16	10		•	·

¹ Age jurisdiction under 16 years for boys.

Based on official cases only.

Delinquency rates and race

Delinquency rates are generally much higher for Negro children than for white children. Delinquency rates for all boys were more than 20 percent above the delinquency rates for white boys in 12 of

Ramsey County, Minn., and Eric County, N.Y.
Includes 2 with jurisdiction over 16 years of age extending only to girls.

the 25 areas of for which rates for white and Negro boys were computed separately.10 In the District of Columbia, where 27 percent of the population was Negro, the rate for all boys was 68 percent higher than the rate for white boys. In Fulton County, Ga., where 31 percent of the population was Negro, the rate for all boys exceeded the rate for white boys by 67 percent. Delinquency rates for all girls were more than 20 percent higher than delinquency rates for white girls in all but 2 (Montgomery County, Pa., and Greenville County, S.C.) of these 12 areas and in 2 other areas (New York City and Westchester County, N.Y.). In 11 of the 13 areas in which the rate for all boys was less than 20 percent higher than the rate for white boys, the Negro population comprised less than 10 percent of the total popula-

TABLE 6.—Percentage of Negroes in the total population in 1930, and juvenile delinquency rates per 10,000 while and Negro boys and girls of juvenile-court age dealt with by courts serving areas with 100,000 or more total population and 10,000 or more Negro population in 1930; 1932

	Percent of			Delinqu	ency rate	îrs	
Area served by court	Negroos in total popula-		Boys			Oiris	
	tion	Total	White	Negro	Total	White	Negro
Alahama: Mobile County	35.7	86	71				
District of Columbia	27.1	- 414	240	119	. 7	1	10
lorida: Dade County	20 9	311	289	837	49	- 23	107
Deorgia: Fulton County	31,3	301	180	394	75	78	65
		0.71	1747	551	52	25	103
Lake County	9.1	49	45		1		1
Marion County	10.6	163	128	115 519	38	35	91
		• •••	120	914	43	29	164
Caddo Parish	45.8	173	163	184		-	ı
Orleans Parish faryland: Baltimore (city)		143	102	251	46	45	47
Ilchigan: Wayne County	- 17.7	352	264	834	17	. 8	39
ew Jersey:	7.0	121	106	384	34 15	23	83
Hudson County	- 1	- 1		303	10	13	51
Mercer County		121	116	366	20		
ew York:	6.4	131	115	403	16	19	82
Erie County	i	- 1			10 1	14	- 44
	2.1	85	80	342	8		
Westchester County	4.7	114	108	282	14	7	43
	4.4	59	55	181	12	11	86
Franklin County		- 1				9	94
	9. 9	166	348	1 260	142	20	1.1
Mahoning County Montgomery County	9.4	304	244	878	79	62	1 153
Montgomery County	7.4	497	474	884	00		226
	6.7	107	97	255	63		193
Allegheny County	[- 1	- 1		ا ت	60	105
Fayette County.	6.1	44	38	159	10	9	
	5.3	10	9	19	3	3	32
Philadelphia (city and county)	4.7	30	24	157	ĭ		
	11.3	287	234	760	42	29	140
ginla: Norfolk (city)	23.8	46	37	78	8	10	148
	33. 9	607	377	787	113	83 -	169

Based on official cases only.

Delinquency rates of 400 or more among boys were found in four areas, three with more than 10,000 Negro population (District of Columbia; Mahoning County, Ohio; and Norfolk, Va.), and one with less than 10,000 Negro population (Hartford, Conn.). In the District of Columbia and in Norfolk, Va., the rate for white boys was

14 Areas having 10,000 or more Negro population in 1930,

much lower than the rate for all boys, but in Mahoning County, Ohio, the rate for white boys was nearly as high as the rate for all boys (474 white, 497 total).

In all areas the rate for Negro boys was higher than the rate for white boys, sometimes four or more times as high; but in one area (Dade County, Fla.) the rate for Negro girls was somewhat lower than the rate for white girls. In some areas the community takes relatively little cognizance of problems of sexual misconduct among Negro girls, and the extent to which such problems are ignored affects the delinquency rate. The ratios of delinquency rates for Negro boys to white boys and for Negro girls to white girls in 1932 are shown for 25 areas in table 7.

The general trend in delinquency rates for Negro children appears to be similar to the trends in rates for all children and in rates for white children. For 14 areas with 100,000 or more total population including 10,000 or more Negro population in 1930 that reported throughout the period 1927 to 1932, the rate for both Negro boys and Negro girls was somewhat lower in 1932 than in any previous year, as is shown in table 8. The rates for white and Negro children in 19 areas reporting for 1932 and at least 3 years immediately preceding (1929 to 1931) are shown in table 9. ..

Table 7 .- Ratio of delinquency rates for Negro boys to white boys and for Negro girls to white girls dealt with by courts serving areas of 100,000 or more total population and 10,000 of more Negro population in 1930; 1932

Aren served by court
Inbama: Mobile County District of Columbia Iorida: Dade County oorgia: Fulton County oorgia: Fulton County Marion County Marion County Orleans Parish Orleans Parish Iaryland: Baltimore (city) Iichigan: Wayne County ow Jorsey; Hudson County Mercer County

TABLE 8. Juvenile delinquency rates per 10,000 white and Negro boys and girls of juvenile-court age dealt with by courts serving areas with 100,000 or more population and 10,000 or more Negro population in 1930, that reported through specified périods

	٠٠	•	1 3, 1	:	Jus	venile deli	dquency re	at es	. •	
- /: - ()			14	courts repo	ting 1927-	-32	19	courts rep	orting 1929	-32
4	Year		· B	ys ',	Oir	ls 1	Bo	уз	O	irts
			White	Negro	White	Negro	White	Negro	White	Negro
927 028 029 030 931 932			139 152 159 161 148 138	566 587 614 - 604 575 559	23 26 27 27 27 22 18	141 135 139 135 125 117	149 148 130 126	515 502 475 467	29 28 23 20	128 130 118 104

Only 13 courts reported girls' cases

^{*} Mobile County, Ala; District of Columbia; Fulton County, Ga.; Marion County, Ind.; Orleans Parish, La, Baltimore, Md.; Franklin and Hamilton Counties, Ohio; Montgomery County and Philadelphia, Pa; Greenvine County, S.C., and Norfolk, Va.

Table 9.—Juvenile delinquency rates per 10,000 white and Negro boys and girls of juvenile-court age dealt with by courts serving areas with 100,000 or more total population and 10,000 or more Negro population in 1930; 1927-32 1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 10	27	19	28	10	29	19	30	19	31	19	932
Area served by court	White	Negro	White	Negro	White	Negro	White	Negro	White	Negro	White	Negro
Boys	-										•	
Alabama: Mobile County District of Columbia	234	922	275	892	112 265	205 808	91 229	189 866	70 239 339	149 865 332	71 246 289	8: 8:
Florida: Dade County Beorgia: Fulton County Indiana:							220	644	184	554	180	5
Lake County Marion County	139 154	189 422	126 119	256 421	54 139	115 601	97 114	160 420	- 77 87	172 347	45 128	5
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Orleans Parish			277	(1)	240	125	206	- 154	(³) 112	(1) 328	163 102	1 2
Maryland: Baltimore(city) Michigan: Wayne County.							244 139	672 392	285 122	689 414	264 106	3
New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	197 97	898 270	211 134	627 306	211 193	658 690	225 183	632 604	198 184	635 441	116 115	3
Vew York: Erie County New York (city)	137 79	194 170	147 108	209 342	142 116	468 377	153 113	406 384	160 102	559 342	80 108	2
Westchester County Ohlo:	195	404	153	486	144	456	94	273 4 376	67 4 67	147	55 446	42
Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County	154 179 411	589 774 935	133 172 443	435 509 1, 105 464	55 200 459 155	332 695 1,011 601	204 463 117	086 1,006 362	238 415 106	834 888 343	244 474 97	1
Montgomery County ennsylvania: Allegheny County Fayette County			105 65	216	64	198	56	148	44	184	38	
Fayette County Montzomery County Philadelphia (city and	14	136	23	40	19	52	30	193	14 25	- 100	24	
county)outh Carolina: Greenville	245	761	238 52	713 86	269 75	809 85	295 48	788 81	269	788 90	234	
County	345	712	284	630	394	817	331	758	327	623	377	
labama: Mobile County	30	:::	35	182	29 39	45 169	15 20	33 160	10 21	19 160	1 23	
District of Columbia Torida: Dade County Teorgia: Fulton County		171					35	135	72 31	78 109	78 25	
ndiana: Lake County Marion County	61 57	163 287	49 64	100 174	32 77	117 160	62 67	197 153	35 36	124 200	35 29	-
ouisiana: Caddo Parish			57	(1)	38	24	25	35	(1)	(1) 42	45 8	
Orleans Parish							19 20	98 48	17 15	112 42	23 13	
lew Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	20 7	37 76	36 11	177 31	38 9	101 29	35 12	105 28	26 21	70 91	19 14	
lew York: Erie County New York (city)	10 13	89 53	12 17	58 63	10 18	105 83	15 17	59 87 91	14 14 9	74 70 43	7 11 9	
Westchester County hio: Franklin County	30 84	179	25 89	149	23 60	122 134	17	4 150	441	4 12R	1 29	
Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgomery County	94	344	87 60	259 298	94 100 80	324 316 188	75 101 73	383 319 246	77 101 63	344 : 347 237	41 1	1
ennsylvania: Allegheny County			11	67	11	53	8	52	7	35 9	y 3	
Fayette County Montgomery County Philadelphia (city and	5	83	4	21	3	10	4	29	3	19	- 1 29	
county)louth Carolina: Greenville County	29	170	30 20	174	34 18	174 22	30 15	161 16	34	151 22	10	
/irginia: Norfolk (city)	01	143	50	178	72	185	73	142	78	128	83	

Courts reporting in 1932 that reported 2 or more years during the period 1927-32.

TRENDS IN DELINQUENCY CASES

Number of cases disposed of

In this section trends in number of cases, age and sex, parental status, reason for reference to court, place of care pending hearing or disposition, and dispositions are analyzed for 30 courts serving populations of 100,000 or more, which reported delinquency cases disposed of throughout the 4-year period, 1929 to 1932. By means of percentage changes, figures for the year 1932 are compared with 1931 and with the first year of the period, 1929. As is indicated by figures for 6 years, 1927 to 1932, available for 18 courts, 1929 may be taken as representing a period of fairly high delinquency. (See table 3, p. 5.) It is used as a base year for measuring social statistics in other fields being studied by the Children's Bureau, especially dependency and relief.

From 1931 to 1932 there was a 9-percent decrease, and between 1929 and 1932 an 11-percent decrease in the total number of delinquency cases reported by these 30 courts. More cases were reported in 1930 than in any other year. The number of cases reported in each of the 4 years was as follows:

Figures showing trends for individual courts (table 10) show great variation. Twenty-one of the 30 courts reported fewer cases in 1932 than in 1931, and for all but 1 of these (Pierce County, Wash.) the decrease was statistically significant. On the other hand, 9 courts reported more cases in 1932 than in 1931, the increase being statistically significant for all but 1 court (Montgomery County, Pa.). The greatest decrease (49 percent) was in Eric County (including Buffalo), N.Y. The greatest increase (27 percent) was in Marion County, Ind. Twenty courts had fewer cases in 1932 as compared with 1929, and 10 had more cases.

While there was considerable difference in the amount of increase or decrease in 1932 as compared with 1929 and 1931, in many cases the change was in the same direction. Seventeen courts showed decreases for both periods, 6 showed increases for both periods. For 4 courts there were decreases between 1931 and 1932 and increases between 1929 and 1932; for 3 courts there was an increase between 1931 and

1932 and a decrease between 1929 and 1932.

Rate not computed as number of Negro delinquent children was not reported.
 Itate not computed as the ages of the majority of children were not reported.

[·] Based on official cases only as unofficial cases were not reported in previous years.

IABLE 10.—Number of boys and g	grus de disp	disposed of by	n by co	0 con	cases aisposea of. 30 courts reportin	orting	throi	is aisposed of, and percentage courts reporting throughout the	the p	change i e period	11 1932 a.	ادو	mpar	פס תנו	compared with 1931	ana	1989;	cases
				П	Delinquency	ncy ca	cases disposed	o peso	-					Perce	Percent change in	ge in 19	1932—	•
Area served by court		1020		-	1930			1631			1932		As com	n pared 1931	with	As col	mpared 1929 t	with
	Total	Boys	Qirls	Total	Boys	Oiris	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Oirls	Total	Boys' cases	Girls' cases	Total	Boys'	Girls' cases
Total cases	37. 731 31	348	883	38, 536	32, 342	191	37, 073	31.365	50.3	33, 707	28, 767	96.	61	8-1	- 1	=-	8-	18
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diego County Connecticut: Bridgeport (city) District of Columbia	1, 656 1, 656 1, 947	1, 623	32032	1, 840 1, 840 1, 893	1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,	មទីនមី	1, 617 1, 927	1,588	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1, 385	8812	159 195 195	5457	= 7 80 ° 1 1 + 1	-19 -3 -28	85=1	811+1-158 1-1-158	127
Lote County. Marion County Iowa: Poli County Louisian: Caddo Parish Michigan: Rent County.	53.77.88.7 53.77.88.7	¥23888¥	332 332 38 38 38	\$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200 \$200	<u>87523</u>	215 301 147 40 70	350 457 338 507	법호청단효	8212 8212 8212 8212 8212 8212 8212 8212	252222 252222	588 588 588 64 77 78 88 78 88 78 88 78 88 78 88 78 78	77.200.88	14+1	14+14	1777	4111++ 4111++	186-15 188-188	+ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Ramey County	1,097	383	82,50	517	35	88	88	3.8	233	3.2	E 88	58	1+	1 4	88	1 - 1 + 16	1 F	1 1 28
Hadson County Mercer County	1, 846	1, 584	197	1.974	<u>ਦੂ</u>	87.7	1.6%	1. 520	178 52	1. 28.	88	喜紹	\$ 7 K	375	87	+ H + H	11	4-
Erie County. Monroe County. New York (city) Rensselar County.	1, 133 233 318 888 888	1, 058 1, 258 1, 40 1, 40	88884	1,306 1,70 1,867 414 597	1, 196 138 6, 857 493	1.032	2.18.28 2.18.28 2.18.28	1, 29 190 195 338	84843	715 167 7, 366 190 382	6.88.55 150 150 150	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	\$2±24	44424	\$ = E	1177	861148	1 111 1 123 25
Hamilton County Hamilton County Mahoning County Morgonery County Oregon: Multinomah County	44.02.02 6.42.02.02 6.42.02.02	1.088 1.088	233 335 152 152 153 153	542 2, 072 2, 151 1, 172	345 1, 488 1, 802 1, 024	248 248 136 148	1, 247 1, 247	395 1,941 1,613 360 1,110	888 888 515 518 518 518 518 518 518 518	51 + 10 839 339 339 339 339 339 339 339 339 339	311 1.825 1.825 315 131	159 467 178 108	1155	17 ⁺ 11	11111 58835	14467	14.44.b	82488
Allegheny County Allegheny County Nonteomery County Philadelphia (etty and county) South Carolina: Oreenville County Ush: Third district Weshington: Pleres County Weshington: Pleres County	6. 955 1. 286 1.26 1.26 1.26 1.26 1.35 1.35 1.35 1.35 1.35 1.35 1.35 1.35	6.089 1-108 1-108 1-108 1-108 1-108	8 8 8 8 5 5 5	2.5.5.2.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5	2888864 2888	E=84588	3.2823kg	5,524 5,524	132 151 133	45.11.08.48.85.	5. 888 121 121	813 148 148 148	141111	141714	7 77	8818111	4 : 1 : 1 : 1	8 9 TF

Sex and age of children

The decrease in number of cases was considerably more marked in girls' cases than in boys' cases. From 1931 to 1932 boys' cases decreased 8 percent and girls' cases 13 percent. Boys' cases decreased 8 percent and girls' cases 23 percent in 1932, as compared with 1929.

Because of the preponderance of boys' cases, trends for boys follow closely trends for all cases of boys and girls. Exceptions are noted in Caddo Parish, where the total number of cases was 11 per cent more in 1932 than in 1929, whereas in boys' cases the number was 1 percent less; also in Franklin County, Ohio, the total number of cases was 1 percent less in 1932 than in 1929 and the number of boys' cases 14 percent more. The fluctuations in girls' cases from year to year are less significant, because of the small number of cases, than the

fluctuations in boys' cases or in the total number of cases.

Decreases in the number of cases in 1932, as compared with 1931, are shown in table 11 for all age groups except boys 18 years of age and over, and girls under 10 years of age. Among boys the largest decrease occurred in the 14- to 16-year age group, and among girls, in the 12- to 14-year age group. Only 1 of the 30 courts (San Diego, Calif.) has original jurisdiction over minors 18 years of age and over. The increase in 1932 in cases of boys of this age (13 percent over 1931 and 90 percent over 1929) may be partly explained by the fact that, in the early years of reporting, cases of minors over the age of juvenile-court jurisdiction were questioned and excluded. Later they were included because it was learned that many courts handle such cases unofficially.

TABLE 11.—Age of boys and girls when referred to court in specified year and percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929; boys' and girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 30 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-321.

	Deli	пqueлсу са	ses disposed	lof _		change in
Age and sex of child	1929	1930	1931	1932	As com- pared with 1931	As com- pared with 1929
Total cases	37, 731	38, 536	37, 073	33, 707	-9	-11
Boys' cases	31, 348	32, 342	31, 365	28, 767	8	
Juder 10 years	2, 129	2,096	1,702	1, 631	-4	-2
0 years, under 12	3, 949	4, 084	3, 856	3, 545	-8	-1
2 years, under 14	8, 174	8,094	7, 451	6.920	-7	!
4 years, under 16	12, 939	13, 281	13, 053	11, 687	10 -2	-!
6 years, under 18.	3, 831	4, 289	4, 372	4, 282 150	+13	+
8 years and over	227	349	798	552	710	+6
Girls' cases	6, 383	6, 194	5, 708	4, 940	-13	-2
Inder 10 years	198	187	176	190	+8	
O years, under 12.	358	325	303	283	-7	-2
2 years, under 14	1, 201	1, 089	939	794	-15	3
yoars, under 16.	3, 145	3, 080	2, 785	2,396	-14	
i years, under 18.	1, 370	1, 411	1, 329	1, 167	-12	- -i
years and over	39	60	57	49	-14	(1)
lot reported	72	33	119	61		

¹ Includes only official cases for Franklin County, Ohio, and Pierce County, Wash., as these courts did not report unofficial cases every year.

1 Not shown, as number of cases was less than 50 in 1929.

Except in one age group (boys 16 to 18 years of age) and in the groups with age not reported, the number of cases was smaller in 1931 than in 1930, and in most age groups the number was smaller in 1931 than in 1929. It follows that the percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1929 was greater in most age groups than the percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931. The greatest decreases in 1932 as compared with 1929 occurred among boys in the age groups under 10 and from 12 to 14 years. The number of cases of 16- and 17-year-old boys declined slightly from 1931 to 1932 but was 12 percent larger in 1932 than in 1929. The greatest decrease in girls' cases occurred in the 12- to 14-year age group, which had onethird (34 percent) fewer cases in 1932 than in 1929.

JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS, 1932

Home conditions

Changes in the number of children living in homes of normal composition and the number in broken homes are shown in table 12. The reporting of this information has improved since 1929, a fact which accounts for a decrease of only 5 percent in reported cases but 11 percent in all cases, in 1932 as compared with 1929. The number of cases in which information as to home conditions was not reported has remained fairly constant from 1930 to 1932-8 or 9 percent.

Percentage changes in 1932 as compared with 1931 show decreases in the number of delinquency cases for all types of home conditions, the percentage change being considerably greater than the decrease for all cases in the following groups: Child with one parent and a step-parent, child with one parent only, parents divorced, father deserting, mother deserting, parents not married. The number of cases in which children were living with one parent, the mother had deserted, or the parents were not married was small throughout the period. The decrease in cases of children living with the father, the mother being dead, was much smaller than the decrease for all cases.

TABLE 12.—Marital status of parents, place child was living when referred to court, and percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929; delinquency cases disposed of by 30 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-321

	Delinq	uëncy c	osed of	Percent change in		
farital status of parents, and place child was liv- ing when referred to court	1929	1930	1931	1932	As com- pared with 1931	As com- pared with 1929
Total cases	37, 731	38, 536	37,073	33, 707	-9	-11
Marital status and place reported	32, 210	35, 633	34, 147	30,682	-10	5
Child living in own home	29, 680	32,671	31, 254	24, 082	-10	-5
With both own parents. With one parent and step-parent. With one parent only.		22, 739 2, 812 7, 120	21, 826 2, 567 6, 861	19, 780 2, 166 6, 136	-9 -16 -11	-3 -19 -6
Father dead	1,400	3, 014 1, 556 643	2, 901 1, 333 741	2, 579 1, 293 613	-11 -3 -17	-1 -8 +2
Father deserting mother	713	706	657	574	-13	-19
Mother deserting father Parents not married to each other Parents living apart for other or not	125 93	130 125	120 164	121	-33 -24	-36 +33
specified reasons	993	916	945	873	-8	12
Child living in other place	2, 530	2, 962	2,893	2,600	-10	+3
Marital status and place not reported.	5, 521	2, 903	2, 926	3, 025		

Includes only official cases for Franklin County, Ohio, and Pierce County, Wash., as these courts did not report unofficial cases every year.

The decrease for 1932 as compared with 1929 was greater for children living with one parent and a step-parent and for children whose mother or father had deserted than for all cases. The decrease was less for children living with either mother or father, the other parent being dead, than the decrease for all groups of children. An increase was shown in the number of cases of illegitimate children living with one parent, due probably in part to changes in methods of tabulating home conditions, and a small increase in the group living with neither parent. Information as to legitimacy of birth often is not obtained, especially in cases not receiving extensive investigation.

Reason for reference to court

The reasons for referring delinquency cases to the courts are given in table 13. The number of boys' cases reported for each type of reason, except acts of carelessness or mischief (including traffic violation), decreased from 1931 to 1932. The largest decreases were in offenses connected with the use, possession, or sale o liquor or drugs and in a miscellaneous group of offenses classified as "other." From 1929 to 1932 there were similar changes, but the greatest change in this period was the 43-percent decrease in truancy. To evaluate this decrease, which is apparent in the reports of most of the courts in this group, is difficult. In Hudson County, N.J., the decrease in truancy was 67 percent in 1932 as compared with 1929. This decrease was directly attributable to the establishment in 1931 of a special bureau which deals with most of the truancy cases. In some communities there is said to be an actual decrease in the amount of truancy from school, in others it is admitted that provision for the enforcement of school-attendance laws is less adequate than formerly, and cases are allowed to remain without attention.

Table 13 .- Reason for reference to court, and percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929; boys' and girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 30 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-32 1

	Delino	luency c	ases disp	osed of	Percent change in 1932-		
Reason for reference to court, and sex of child	1929	1930	1931	1932	As com- pared with 1931	As com- pared with 1929	
Total cases	37, 731	38, 536	37, 073	33, 707	-9	-11	
Boys' cases	31.348	32, 342	31, 365	24, 767	-×	-8	
Stealing	12, 936	13, 536	13, 759	11,826	-14	-8	
tion	9, 229	9, 726 2, 340	9, 302 1, 721	9,883	+6 -20	-4.7 43	
Running away	2,016	2,011	2, 217	1,993	-10		
Ungovernable	2, 303	2, 104	2,007	1,724	-14	2	
Rex offense		545 794	442 779	420 732	5 6	-1: -1:	
Use, possession, or sale of liquor or drugs.		147	203	143	-30	-2	
Other reason	820	I, 122	847	595	-30	-2	
Reason not reported	120	17	88	66			
Giris' cases	6,383	6, 194	8,708	4,940	-13	2	
Stealing	698	755	722	522	-28	-25	
flon	- 491	542	5/13	499	-11	+:	
Trunncy	678	703	610	458	-10	-3	
Running away	1, 100	1, 049	91X) 1, 572	885 1, 365	-11 -13	-20 -21	
Ungovernable	1,815	1, 654 1, 254	1,098	920	- 16	-2	
Injury to person	156	120	97	119	+21	-2	
Use, possession, or sale of liquor or drugs	55.	48	63	53	-16		
Other reason	119	49	56	68	+21	43	
Reason not reported	73	11	37	51			

Includes only official cases for Franklin County, Ohio, and Pierce County, Wash., as these courts did not report unofficial cases every year.

In girls' cases, also, for 1932 there were decreases in the number reported for most types of reasons for referring cases to the juvenile court. In 1932 as compared with 1931 the largest decrease was in the group referred because of stealing, and in 1932 as compared with 1929, in the groups referred for truancy and for reasons classified as "other."

The only increases in girls' cases from 1931 to 1932 were in cases of injury to person (23 percent) and in reasons classified as "other" (21 percent), but the number of cases on which these percentages were based was small; the increases, however, are sufficient to be statistically significant.

Table 14 shows for individual courts the changes in the total number of cases and in three main groups of cases—stealing, acts of carelessness or mischief, and a group including truancy, being ungovernable, and sex offenses.

Table 14.—Percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929 in total delinquency cases and in cases of specified types disposed of by 30 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-32

		nt change pared with				nt change pared wit		
Area served by court	Total delin- quency cases	Stealing cases	Cases Involv- Ing acts of care- lessness or mis- chief	Cases of truancy, being ungov- ernable, sex of- fense	Total della- quency cases	Stealing cases	Cases involv- ing acts of care- lessuess or this- chief	Cases of truency, being ungov- ernable, sex of- fense
Total cases		-15	+5	-15	-11	-9	+7	-29
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diego County.	-13 -14	-15 -29		20 15	-36 -16	-14 -18	+26	-41 -40
Connecticut: Bridgeport (city) District of Columbia	+15 -7	+17 +9	+15 -17	+8 -21	+11 -8	+4 +23	+101 -36	-27 -13
Indiana: Lake County Marion County Jowa: Polk County Louisiana: Caddo Parish Michigan: Kent County	-24 +27 +10 -10 +8	-33 +34 -12 -10 +1	+36 -52 +8	-18 +1 +11 +11	+10 -20 -33 +11 +27	-12 +8 -38 +29 +19	+11 -44 -55 +40	+1; -4; +1
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County	-22 +13	-20 +13	- 28 -+40	—]ñ +3	-14 +16	28 +10	+78 +47	-3: -1:
New Jersey; Hudson County Mercer County New York:	40 34	-46 -42	-36 -13	-36 -18	-44 -33	-35 -48	-38 -15	-5
Erie County	-49 -25 +1 -22 -4	-44 -26 -14 -30 -12	-69 +37	-38 -7 -11 +13	-37 -28 -7 -40 -57	-34 -28 -11 -29 -29	-61 +15 -86	-41 -30 -31 -60
Ohio: Franklin County: Hamilton County Mahoning County Moningmery County Oregon: Multnomah County.	-18 -5 +7 -15 -33	-15 -9 +32 -33 -42	+6 +14 +2 -30	-28 -19 -21 -14 -31	-1 +19 +4 -34 -7	+18 +32 +115 -43 -19	+38 -15 -9 +54	-24 -22 -24 -41
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Montgomery County Philadelphia (city and	-7 +3	-18	+43	-17	+38 +38	56	+104	-20
county)	-9	21	+3	-11	-4	-23	+26	-14
County	-12 -18 +19 -2	11 19 +-27 	+11 +23	20 2	-37 +8 +2 -7	-5 +12 +60 -7	+89 -32	-26 -18

¹ Not shown where number of cases was loss than 50.

Twenty-one areas showed decreases in cases of stealing in 1932 from 1931, and 18 areas showed such decreases in 1932 from 1929. Decreases in cases of truancy, being ungovernable, and sex offenses were shown in 19 areas in 1932 as compared with 1931, and in 20 areas in 1932 as compared with 1929. On the other hand, cases involving acts of carelessness or mischief, including traffic violations, increased between 1931 and 1932 in 12 of 20 areas reporting 50 or more of these cases in 1931, and between 1929 and 1932, in 12 of 22 areas reporting 50 or more cases in 1929.

Place of care pending hearing or disposition

Although a number of changes in detention policies are indicated in table 15, especially during the period 1921 to 1932, general conclusions as to trends in detention care are difficult. In several instances the change was confined to a few courts, or even to one court having cases sufficient to modify total figures. For example, I hiladelphia, Pa., is responsible for a large part of the decrease in 1932 from 1929 in cases in which boys are detained over night (27 percent) and in detention-home care (29 percent). In the District of Columbia a juvenile detention home was established in 1929 (previously juveniles were cared for in a house of detention which served both women and children). The District and New York, where there was a marked drop in the number of children detained in the shelter of the Society

Table 15.—Place of care pending hearing or disposition and percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929; delinquency cases disposed of by 30 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-32 1

	Delin	qиепсу о	Percent change in 1932—			
Place of detention care, and sex of child	1929	1930	1931	1932	As com- pared with 1931	As com- pared with 1929
Total cases	37, 731	38, 536	37, 073	33, 707	-9	-11
Bòys' cases	31,348	32,342	31, 365	28, 767	-8	-8
No detention care Detention care overnight or longer	16, 858 14, 291	17,077 11,172	19, 174 10, 917	17, 577 10, 363	8 5	· +4 -27
Boarding home or other family home Detention home *	97 8,816 3,876 1,178 324	41 6, 214 3, 689 1, 225 2	46 6,646 3,299 917 8	233 6, 276 3, 060 791 3	6 7 14	+140 -29 -21 -33 -99
Not reported whether detention care was given	199	4,093 6,194	1, 274 5, 708	827 4, 940	_13	
Girls' cases	2, 901	2, 936 3, 032	2, 689 2, 725	2,340 2,438	-13 -31	-21 -28
Boarding home or other family home	72 1, 842 1, 156 104	67 1, 813 1, 053 64 35	60 1,714 862 54 34	78 1, 469 831 57 3	+30 -14 -4 +6	+8 -20 -20 -45 -45
Not reported whether detention care was given	53	226	294	162		

Includes only official cases for Franklin County, Ohio, and Pierce County, Wash., as these courts did not report unofficial cases every year.

Includes only official cases, as court did not report unofficial cases every year.

¹ Not shown where number of cases was less than 50 in 1931.
2 Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere,

but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations.

Includes a few cases of children cared for part of the time in jails or police stations and part of the time.

Includes a few cases of children held in more than I place of care but in places other than detention homes, juils, or police stations.

for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children, were responsible for practically all the decrease in the use of institutions other than detention homes for both boys and girls. Developments in Eric County, N.Y.,

of cases in both years was very small.

account for the 140-percent increase in the use of boarding or other family homes in boys' cases. When the new court which serves both Eric County and the city of Buffalo was organized in 1932 the boarding-home plan was substituted for detention-home care pending hearing or disposition of cases. Changes in "other place of care" are due chiefly to changes in classification made when the statistical cards were revised in 1930. An encouraging decrease in the use of police

stations and jails is shown. There were still in 1932, however, 791 cases of boys and 57 cases of girls under the jurisdiction of the 30 courts who were detained in police stations or jails.

Figures for jail detention are in most courts too small to afford a basis for percentage changes. The actual figures for the 4 years are shown in table 16. Although jail detention decreased in most courts in 1932 from 1929, the greatest decrease was shown in Mahoning County, Ohio, which reduced the number of cases of children held in jail from 284 in 1929 to 67 in 1932, through changes in the detention home which provided greater security. Courts with more than 100 cases of children detained in jail in 1932 were those serving Hennepin County, Minn., Franklin County, Ohio, and Multnomah County, Oreg. In the Oregon court the number of cases of children so detained was larger in 1932 than in 1929, as was also the case in Ramsey County, Minn. A few other courts showed increases, but the number

Table 16.—Number of delinquency cases in which children were detained in juil or police station pending hearing or disposition disposed of by 26 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-32!

Area served by court	1929	1930	1931	1932
Total cases	1, 282	1, 240	971	848
Alabama: Mobile County	21	2	10	
		90	77	43
California: San Diego County	21	5	1	i
District of Columbia	6		l	}
ndiana:	ì			
Lake County.	18	a	8	1 :
Marion County.	l	10	ž	
owa: Polk County	27	21	30	17
Louisiana: Caddo Parish	- 4	13	őĭ	Š
linnesota:				
Hennepln County	172	193	129	165
Ramsey County.		164	70	98
New Jersey:				
Hudson County	1	1		
Mercer County	4			
New York:				
Erie County	1	1		1
New York (city)				i
New York (city)				1
Oblo:				
Franklin County !	144	143	147	126
Hamilton County	10		8	i
Mahoning County		276	75	67
Montgomery County	77	67	65 أ	59
Oregon: Multnomah County		130	183	117
Pennsylvania:				
Allegheny County	3	. 4	1 1	
Montgomery County	1			1
South Carolina: Greenville County.		29	18	16
Itah: Third district.	40	10 (28	20
Virginia: Norfolk (city)	133	88	80	58
Washington: Pierce County	28	20	29	33

¹ No cases of detention in fails or police stations were reported for 4 courts (Kent County, Mich.; Monroe and Rensselaer Counties, N.Y.; and Philadelphia, Pa.).

* includes only official cases, as court did not report unofficial cases every year.

Disposition of cases

Changes in the number of dispositions of various types are shown in table 17. In boys' cases there was a decrease in each type of disposition in 1932 from 1931 and in all but two types in 1932 from 1929. The encouraging decrease in the use of fines, restitutions, and costs (36 per cent from 1931 to 1932, and 62 percent between 1929 and 1932) is due primarily to the decline in this type of disposition reported by Hudson County, N.J., and New York City. The decrease in probation was greater than the decrease in the total number of cases, but there was a more significant decline in institutional commitments (including boys placed in institutions without official commitment). Fifteen percent fewer boys were committed to institutions in 1932 as compared with 1931, and 18 percent fewer in 1932 as compared with 1929. There was also a significant decrease in the cases of girls committed to institutions in 1932 as compared with both 1931 and 1929—19 percent and 29 percent, respectively.

In boys' cases only two types of dispositions increased in 1932 as compared with 1929—those dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action and a miscellaneous group classified as "other." Analysis of the dispositions made by individual courts shows that New York City and Philadelphia are chiefly responsible for the increase in dismissals, and Philadelphia and Hamilton County, Ohio, for the increase in the dispositions classified as "other." In both these courts the increase in "other dispositions" was due to changes in the classification of certain types of dispositions.

Table 17.—Disposition of case and percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929; boys' and girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 30 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-32!

	Delin	quency ca	Percent change in 1932—			
Disposition of case, and sex of child	1929	1930	1931	1932	As com- pared with 1931	As com- nared with 1929
Total cases	37, 731	38, 536	37, 073	33, 707	_0	11
Boys' cases	31, 348	32, 342	31, 365	28, 767	-8	-8
Dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action Child supervised by probation officer Child committed or referred to an institution Child committed or referred to an agency or individual. Restitution, fine, or costs ordered Other disposition of caso Disposition not reported	14, 333 9, 758 3, 119 1, 128 1, 825 1, 182	15, 830 9, 370 3, 197 1, 213 1, 601 1, 128 3	15, 306 9, 349 2, 992 1, 119 1, 087 1, 502	14,775 8,346 2,552 1,061 692 1,340	-3 -11 -15 -5 -36 -11	+8 -14 -18 -62 +13
Giris' cases	6, 383	6, 194	8,708	4, 940	-13	-2
Dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action. Child supervised by probation officer. Child committed or referred to an institution Child committed or referred to an agency or individual	2, 262 1, 921 1, 238 508 47	2, 316 1, 842 1, 190 439 39	2, 185 1, 650 1, 095 419 28	1, 840 1, 536 882 382 29	-16 -7 -19 -9	19 20 20 23 (7)
Restitution, fine, or costs ordered Other disposition of case Disposition not reported	341 6	365 3	330 1	270 1	-18	

Includes only official cases for Franklin County, Ohio, and Pierce County, Wash., as these courts did

not report unofficial cases every year.

Percentage change not shown, as number of cases was less than 50

In girls' cases the number of dispositions of every type decreased in 1932 as compared with 1931 and with 1929 except for a very minor increase in fines, restitutions, or costs in 1932 as compared with 1931. The greatest decreases were in the commitment or reference to institutions and to agencies or individuals in 1932 as compared with 1929.

Table 18.—Percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929 in total delinquency cases disposed of and in cases with specified type of disposition by 30 courts reporting throughout the period 1929 33

	Percent	change in with	1932 as co 1931 ¹	mpared	Percent change in 1932 as compare with 1929					
Area served by court	Total delin- quency cases	Case dis- missed, adjusted, or held open without further setion	Chlld	Child com- mitted or re- ferred to an institu- tion	Total delin- quency cases	Case dis- missed, adjusted, or held open without further action	Child	Child com- mitted or re- ferred to an institu		
m +-1			-10	-16	-11	(1)	15			
Total cases						<u>-</u>				
Alahama: Mobile County California: San Diego County Connecticut: Bridgeport	13 14		13	-11 -23	-36 -16	-65 +11	-34			
(city)District of Columbia	+15 -7	+9 +9	+48 -15	+27	+11 -8	+30 -19	+2 -6	+		
ndinus: Lake County Marion County	-24 +27 +10	-23 +16 +45	-37 +34 -10	-19 -10	+10 -20 -33	-18 -3 -43	+22 -24 +122	+		
owa: Polk County Kuisiana: Caddo Parish Michigan: Kent County	-10 -10	+29	+7 -10	-27 +13	+11 +27	-10 +40	+48	+		
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County New Jersey:	-22 +13	-22 -19	-32 +36	(1) +2	-14 +16	+46 	-43 +15	+		
Hudson County Mercer County	-40 -34	—45	-35 -31	-29 -56	-44 -33	61	-34 -28	+		
Erie County Monroe County New York (city)	-49 -25 +1	-65 +19	-12 -36 -6	-59 -27	-37 -28 -7	-43 +10	-16 -45 -6	=		
Rensselaer County Westchester County	-22 -4	-23 +10	-10		40 57	-49 -82	-39			
Oblo: Pranklin County Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	-18 -5 +7 -15 -5	+1 +10 -13 -45	-4 -10 +11 -37 -23	-32 -27 +4 +11 -40	-1 +19 +4 -34 -7	+18 +3 -32 -39	+12 -3 +31 -66 +197			
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Montgomery County Philadelphia (city and	-7 +3		-15	+54	-38 +38		-32	_		
county) South Carolina: Greenville	-0 -12	-11	+2	-4	-4 -37	+25	-57	_		
County Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk (city) Washington: Pierce County 1	-12 18 +19 2	+16 +28	-41 +25	+2 -39	+8 +2 -7	+54 (²)	+76 +24			

¹ Not shown where number of cases was less than 50.

Table 18 shows for individue courts the percentage change in the total number of delinquency cases and in three groups of cases: (1) Those dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action; (2) those in which the child was placed under the supervision of a probation officer, and (3) those in which the child was committed or referred to an institution. In some courts decreases or increases in the number of dispositions were approximately the same as accreases or increases in the total number of delinquency cases. Eighteen courts disposing of 50 or more cases placed fewer children on probation in 1932 than in 1931, and 14 courts, fewer than in 1929. In 5 courts in 1931, and 6 in 1929, the total number of probation cases was less than 50, and comparisons were not attempted. Fourteen of the 22 courts for which changes in commitments or referrals to institutions between 1931 and 1932 were shown in terms of percentages, reported fewer such dispositions in 1932 than in 1931, and 19 of the 25 for which such comparisons between 1929 and 1932 were made, reported smaller numbers of commitments or referrals.

TRENDS IN DEPENDENCY AND NEGLECT CASES

Number of cases disposed of

Twenty-eight courts reported dependency and neglect cases throughout the period 1929 to 1932. The total number of cases reported by these courts in each of the 4 years is as follows:

	14 009 1	1021	14, 473
1929	14, 803	1931	13, 188
1030	15,012 (1932	20, 20-

In each year except 1930 the number of cases disposed of was less than in 1929. In 1932 the decrease from 1931 was 9 percent and from 1929, 11 percent. These decreases correspond closely to those shown in delinquency cases.

The trend toward fewer dependency and neglect cases was general. In 1932, 17 courts reported fewer cases than in 1931, and 21 courts, fewer than in 1929. The percentage decrease varied from 1 to 35, as compared with 1931, and from 3 to 67, as compared with 1929. Philadelphia, Pa., was responsible for more than half the decrease in

cases from 1931 to 1932. No doubt several factors are responsible for the drop in dependency cases in most courts. Decrease in budgets of courts, agencies, and institutions is partly responsible. It is believed that some cases are not referred to court because it is known that money for care outside the child's home is not available. On the other hand, it is undoubtedly true that families from which children would otherwise be removed are being kept together by relief funds. It is also possible that under the pressure of heavy case loads some situations of neglect are being overlooked which normally would be brought to the attention of the courts. Large increases in 1932 over 1929 were shown in Caddo Parish, La., and Westchester County, N.Y. (table 19). In Caddo Parish the court was assuming greater responsibility for dependent and neglected children because of the weakening of other community resources for their care. In Westchester County, N.Y., part of the increase was due to changes in methods of classifying cases as delinquent or neglected.

Includes only official cases as court did not report unofficial cases every year.

TABLE 19.-Number of dependency and neglect cases and percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929 in cases disposed of by 28 specified courts reporting throughout the period 1929-32

	Depen	lency a	nd negle	rt cases	Percent 193	rhange in 12
Area served by court	1929	1930	1931	1932	As com- pared with 1931	As com- pared with 1929 !
Total cases	14, 863	15, 012	14, 473	13, 188	-0	-11
Alabama: Mobile County. California: San Diego County Connecticut: Bridgeport (city). District of Columbia	438	395 51 315	5 349 40 207	5 437 71 303	+25	(1) +1 -13
Indiana: Lake County	246 282 631 107 279	326 282 559 53 338	225 242 404 155 275	173 260 278 202 236	-23 +7 -31 +30 -14	-30 -8 -50 +89 -15
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County New York:	343 138	349 115	296 193	344 125	+16 -35	(²) 9
Erie County. Monroe County. New York (city). Rensselaer County. Westchester County.	140 284 3, 891 187 270	148 228 3,890 161 394	178 192 4, 17,1 162 438	136 175 4, 230 140 532	-24 -9 +1 -10 +21	-3 -38 + 9 -22 + 97
Ohio: Franklin County I Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County Oregon: Multnomah County	650 468 292 385 443	462 442 214 321 475	280 371 188 348 646	217 344 137 266 423	-23 -7 -27 -24 -35	67 26 53 31
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County. Montgomery County. Philadelphia (city and county)	756 13 3, 670	970 10 4, 060	909 7 3, 854	705 29 2, 960	-22 -19	-7 -20
South Carolina: Örcenville County Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk (city) Washington: Pierce County ³	114 130 209 61	74 175 152 49	58 172 159 48	53 171 180 44	+13 -1 -8	-54 +32 -14 -28

[!] Not shown where number of cases was less than 50.

Ages of children

There were decreases in 1932 from 1931 and from 1929 in dependency and neglect cases in each age group except that of minors 16 years of age and over. This small group of older children in most of the courts showed an increase which is no doubt related to economic conditions. (Table 20.)

TABLE 20 .- Age of child and percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929 in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 28 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-32 1

	Ререп е	fency an dispo	Percent change in 1932 -			
Age of child	1929	1930	1931	1932	As com- pared with 1931	As com- pared with 1929
Total cases.	14, 843	15,012	14, 473	13, 188	-9	-11
Under ? years	1,764	1,843	1,799	1,653	-8	-6
2 years, under 4	1, 930	1,841	1,692	1,636	-3	-15
4 years, under 6	1,082	1,946	1,760	1,716	-3	-13
6 years, under 8	2,042	2,037	1,915	1,742	-9	15 16
8 years, under 10	1.697	2, 103 1, 790	1,972	1, 738	-12 -13	-10 -3
10 years, under 12	1, 651	1.000	1, 498	1, 458	-13	-12
12 years, under 14	1. 205	1.348	1,260	1, 140	-10	10
16 years and over	206	222	207	257	+24	+25
Not reported.	- 249	222	483	207]

Includes only official cases for Franklin County, Ohio, and Pierce County, Wash., as these courts dld not report unofficial cases every year.

Home conditions

Changes in home conditions are shown in table 21. When 1932 is compared with 1931, there were decreases in numbers of cases from all types of home conditions reported, but the greatest decreases occurred in cases in which the child was living with one parent owing to the desertion of the father (29 percent), death of the father (27 percent), or desertion of the mother (24 percent). When the comparison is extended back to 1929, even more marked decreases in the desertion groups are shown (desertion of father, 35 percent, and desertion of mother, 39 percent), and also significant decreases in cases of children with divorced parents (30 percent), widowed fathers (30 percent), widowed mothers (26 percent), and step-parents (28 percent). On the other hand, small but significant increases in children living with both their own parents (3 percent), and in children with parents separated for reasons other than death, divorce, or desertion (2 percent), occurred in 1932 as compared with 1929. There was a marked increase of 16 percent in children born out of wedlock who were living with one parent, due probably in part to changes in methods of statistical treatment. As in delinquency cases, the total number of children of illegitimate birth is not shown. Many such children are doubtless included in the group living with neither parent and in other groups

TABLE 21 .- Marital status of parents and place child was living when referred to court and percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929; dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 28 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-52

	Depen		nd negle posed of	Percent change in		
Marital status of parents, and place child was living when referred to court	1929	1930	1931	1932	As com- pared with 1931	As com- parcil with 1929
Total cases	14, 803	15, 012	14, 473	13, 199	-0	-11
Marital status and place reported	12, 220	13, 376	12, 386	10, 956	-12	-10
Child living in own home	9, 540	10, 404	9, 544	8, 412	-12	-12
With both own parents. With one parent and step-parent With one parent only.	3, 022 447 6, 071	3, 295 493 6, 616	3, 141 401 6, 002	3, 121 320 4, 971	-1 -20 -17	+3 -28 -18
Father dead	693 1, 097 420 1, 055 541 495	753 1, 073 329 1, 248 517 607	708 853 340 967 436 693	515 764 295 689 332 572	-27 -10 -13 -29 -24 -17	-26 -30 -30 -35 -39 +16
Parents living apart for other or not specified reasons	1, 770	2, 089	2,005	1,804	-10	+2
Child living in other place	2, 680	2,972	2, 842	2, 544	-10	5
Marital status and place not reported	2, 643	1, 636	2,097	2, 232		

Includes only official cases for Franklin County, Ohio, and Pierce County, Wash., as these courts

Disposition of cases

Changes in dispositions of cases are shown in table 22. The increase in dismissals in 1932 as compared with both 1931 and 1929 is due entirely to the large increase in the number of dispositions of this type reported by New York City. If figures for this court were excluded there would have been a decrease in 1932 as compared with

Less than I percent.
 Includes only official cases, as court did not report unofficial cases every year.

both 1931 and 1929. The increase in dispositions reported as "other" in 1932 over 1929 is due to the inclusion in this group since 1930 of cases of physically handicapped children. In Westchester County, N.Y., especially, the court deals with a number of handicapped children. The disposition in these cases is frequently an order for appliances. transportation, or other care outside an institution. With these exceptions there was a decrease in 1932 in each type of disposition as compared with the years 1931 and 1929. Proportionately the largest decreases occurred in the number of cases of children committed or referred to agencies or individuals and to institutions. This doubtless reflects in part curtailed intake of agencies and institutions due to financial difficulties and difficulties in discharging children on account of economic conditions.

TABLE 22.—Disposition of case and percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1981 and 1929; dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 28 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-32 1

		dency a dispo	nd negle sed of	Percent change in 1932		
Disposition of case	1929	1930	1931	1932	As com- pared with 1931	As com- pared with 1929
Total cases	14, 883	15, 012	14, 473	13, 188	-0	-11
Dismissed, adjusted, or held open without fur- ther action. Child supervised by probation efficer. Child committed or referred to institution. Child committed or referred to agency or indi- vidual. Other disposition of case. Disposition not reported.	4, 181 3, 036 3, 283 4, 192 162 9	4, 537 3, 057 3, 252 3, 930 232 4	4, 111 2, 918 3, 197 4, 032 214 1	4, 535 2, 572 2, 636 3, 232 213	+10 -12 -18 -20	+8 -15 -20 -21 +31

Includes only official cases for Franklin County, Ohio, and Pierce County, Wash., as these courts did not report unofficial cases every year.

I Less than I percent.

Analysis for 28 individual courts of dependency and neglect cases disposed of through commitment or reference to institutions or agencies, or in some cases to individuals, shows a decrease from 1931 to 1932 in 15 courts reporting 50 or more cases and an increase in 7 (table 23). The other six courts reported no cases or a very small number and the percentage change was not computed. Decreases in 1932 as compared with 1929 occurred in 16 courts and increases in 8; in the other 4 the numbers were so small that the percentage change was not computed. Decreases in commitments or referrals to child-caring institutions or agencies were usually greater than decreases in the total number of dependency and neglect cases disposed of. The very large increase in Westchester County, N.Y., is due in part to a change in policy according to which many cases formerly classified as delinquent are now classified as neglected.

Table 23.—Percentage change in 1932 as compared with 1931 and 1929 in total dependency and neglect cases disposed of and in cases of children committed or referred to institutions, agencies, or individuals by 26 courts reporting throughout the period 1929-32 1

		nge in 1932 as with 1931 F		nge in 1932 as with 1920 i
Area served by court	Total dependency and neglect cases	Child com- mitted or referred to institution, agency, or individual	Total dependency and neglect cases	Child com- mitted or referred to institution, agency, or individual
Total cases	р	-19	-11	-21
California: San Diego County.	+25	+53	(1)	+70
Connecticut: Bridgeport (city) District of Columbin Indiana:	+2	+10	-13	+20
Lake County Marion County Iowa: Polk County Louisiana: Caddo Parish	+7 -31 +30	-12 +3 +8 -18	-30 -8 -56 +89	-22 -24 -64 +1
Michigan: Kent County Minnesota: Hennepln County Ramsey County	-14 +16 -35	-40 +23 -41	-15 (a) -9	-20 +78 +81
New York: Erie County Monroe County New York (city) Rensselner County Westchester County	-9 +1 -10	-36 -26 -35 -26 +63	-3 -38 +9 -22 +97	-10 50 12 31 116
Oblo: Franklin County I Hamilton County Mahoning County Montponiery County Oregon: Multnomah County	-23 -7 -27 -24 -35	-9 -1 -43 -20 -24	-67 -26 -53 -31 -8	-66 +30 -60 -14 -13
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Philadolphia (city and county) South Carolina: Orcenville County Utah: Third district Virgini : Norfolk (city) Washington: Pierce County 4	-19 -9 -1	-33 -37 +26	-7 -20 -54 +32 -14 -28	-85 -30 -62 -21 -11

¹ Two other courts (Mobile County, Ala., and Montgomery County, Pa.) reported throughout the period, but the number of cases was less than 50 each year.

Not shown where number of cases was less than 50

DELINQUENCY CASES REPORTED IN 1932

Sex and age of children

Included in the 267 courts reporting cases of all types disposed of in 1932 were 33 small courts reporting no delinquency cases for that year. The remaining 234 courts reported a total of 65,274 cases. Of these cases 56,639 (87 percent) involved boys and 8,635 (13 percent) involved girls. In 1931 girls' cases represented 14 percent of the total cases reported by 169 courts. In 1932, 22 courts disposed of boys' cases, but no girls' cases, and 12 courts disposed of girls' cases only.

In both boys' and girls' cases the numbers were concentrated most heavily in the 14- and 15-year-age groups, but this was due partly to low limits of age jurisdiction in many courts. When the age jurisdiction extended through 16 years, the number of 16-year-old children was larger than the number of any other age, except in one small group of cases where jurisdiction extended to the age of 21 years (table 24).

Includes only official cases as court did not report unofficial cases every year.

TABLE 24.—Age limit of original court jurisdiction and age of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 234 courts during 1932

				, 13	elinquer	ich curez		,					
4			A	Age limit of original court jurisdiction, and sex of child									
Age of child	10	ital	Under 16 years 2 Under 17 years			t'nder	18 years	Under 21 years					
	Boys	Oirls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Oirls	Roys	Oirls	Boys	Oirls			
Total cases	56, 639	8, 635	27, 295	3, 526	12, 465	1, 413	15, 172	3, 371	1, 707	325			
Under 10 years	3, 313 2, 946 4, 058 6, 101 7, 214 10, 204 11, 657 6, 963 3, 282 251 7(a)	323 190 298 539 827 1, 667 2, 355 1, 375 817 81 81	2, 107 1, 815 2, 562 3, 732 4, 168 5, 778 6, 060 473 78 15 502	193 98 163 290 491 859 1, 237 143 20 5	489 584 684 1, 141 1, 426 2, 246 2, 671 3, 160 65 10 20	32 33 47 93 125 288 373 397 12 2	644 515 751 1,148 1,504 2,071 2,57 2,57 2,12 2,734 136 165	86 49 85 145 261 480 699 753 718 42	73 32 58 80 116 149 297 403 405 90 4	12 10 3 11 17 40 47 82 67 32			

1 Of the 231 courts, 222 reported boys' cases and 212 reported girls' cases.

Includes trunney cases in Westchester and Remselaer Counties, N.Y. (where jurisdiction to 17 years authorized by the State-wide education law is exercised).

Includes only San Diego and San Francisco Counties, Calif.

TABLE 25.—Age of white and colored boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932.

			-		Delinque	ency case	8					
Age of child Total		Boys					Oirls					
	Total	W	hite	Colored			W	hite .	Colored			
		Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	Color not re- ported	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution		
Total cases	52,713	36,070		9, 214		2	5, 663		1, 764			
Age reported	51,920	35, 461	100	9, 125	100		5, 586	100	1,748	100		
Under 10 years. 10 years. 11 years. 12 years. 13 years. 14 years. 15 years. 16 years. 17 years. 18 years. 19 years. 19 years. 19 years. 19 years. 10 years. 10 years.	2,880 2,456 3,555 5,327 6,571 9,558 11,130 6,012 4,099	1,883 1,740 2,401 3,642 4, 35 0,539 7,526 4,131 2,915	5 7 10 13 18 21 12 8	730 563 900 1, 259 1, 313 1, 535 1, 610 736 367	8 6 10 14 15 17 18 8 4		197 90 169 274 536 1,009 1,561 975 698	4 2 3 5 10 18 28 17 12	70 64 85 152 227 425 433 170 119	4 3 5 9 13 24 25 10 7		
Age not reported	793	800		89		2	77		16			

Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases, only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information for correlating age and color.
 Less than 1 percent.

Only the 68 courts reporting on individual cards or, as did one court, by tables prepared in harmony with the tabulations made from cards by the Children's Bureau, furnished information which permitted much detailed analysis or correlation. These 68 courts reported 52,713 delinquency cases, or 81 percent of the total reported

by 234 courts. One of the 68 courts reported no girls' cases. Forty-two of the 68 courts served communities of 100,000 or more population, 13 served communities of 50,000 to 100,000, and 13 served smaller communities.

The age distribution in white and colored cases reported by these courts, presented in table 25, shows a greater proportion of younger

children among the colored than among the white.

Color and nativity

The color and nativity of the children dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts, and the nativity of the parents of native-born white children are shown in tables 26 and 27. Three-fourths of the cases (76 percent of the boys' and 74 percent of the girls') were of white children born in the United States, and only 1 percent were of white children of foreign birth. One-fifth of the boys' cases and almost one-fourth of the girls' cases were of colored children. Native-born white boys in 46 percent of the boys' cases and 37 percent of the corresponding group in girls' cases had one or both parents of foreign birth. The distribution corresponds closely to that reported in 1931.

Table 26.—Color and nativity of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932 1

	·	Delinque	псу сазев		
o a la calcular d'abilità	Во	уз	Oirls		
Color and nativity of child	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution	
Total cases.	45, 286		7, 427		
Color reported.	45, 2:4	100	7, 427	100	
White	36, 070	80	5, 663	7(
Native horn	34, 529 628 913	76 I 2	5. 498 111 54	71	
Colored	9, 214	20	1, 764	. 2	
NegroOther	9, 159 55	(1) 20	1, 753 11	(1)	
Color not reported	2				

Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases, only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information on color and nativity.

I Less than I percent.

TABLE 27.—Parent nativity of native white boys and girls 1 dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932 1

	Delinquency cases of native white children					
Parent nativity	В	oys	Girls			
	Number	Percent distribu- tion	Number	Percent distribu- tion		
Total cases	32, 844	100	5, 168	100		
Native parentage	17, 706 15, 048	54 46	3, 246 1, 922	63		

Excludes 1,635 boys' cases and 330 girls' cases in which parent nativity was not reported.

Of the 234 courts reporting, only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) reported on parent nativity.

Home conditions

In approximately two-thirds of the boys' cases but less than half the girls' cases the children were living at home with both their own parents, as table 28 shows for the 68 courts reporting this information. In general, the distribution of cases according to the place where the child was living was practically the same in 1932 as in 1931.

TABLE 28.—Place where boys and girls were living when referred to court in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932.

		Delingu	впсу сазы	
Place child was living when referred to court	18	loys	Ciris	
	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution
Total cases	45, 286		7, 427	
Place reported	42, 523	100	6, 892	10
In own home	39, 426	93	5, 799	100
With both own parents. With mother and stepfather. With father and stepmother. With mother only. With father only.	27, 828 2, 106 938 6, 409 2, 145	65 5 2 15	3, 287 523 252 1, 274	48
In other family home	2, 390 315 392	6	463 867 116 110	18
lace not reported	2, 763		535	•

1 Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases, only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information on the place where the child was living when referred to court.

In two-thirds of the boys' cases, but in only half the girls' cases, were the parents married and living together (table 29). Broken homes due to death or to desertion were more common in cases of delinquent girls than in cases of delinquent boys. The distribution of cases according to marital status of the parents corresponds closely to that reported in 1931. Marital status of parents and place where the child was living when referred to court are shown in table 30.

TABLE 29.—Marital status of parents in boys' and girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932 1

		Delluque	псу свзе6	
Marital status of parents	В	уз	G	ir is
Maritai status or parenta	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution
Total cases	45, 286		7, 427	
Status reported	42, 037	100	6, 737	100
Parents married and living togetherOne or both parents dead.	28, 224 9, 034	87 21	3, 432 2, 008	5 30
Both dead Father dead Mother dead	936 5, 149 2, 949	2 12 7	223 1,002 780	10
Parents separated	4, 291	10	1, 139	- 17
Divorced. Father deserting mother. Mother deserting father Other reasons.	960	(1) 3	512 211 40 376	
Perents not married to each otherOther status	470 18	(F) 1	158 3	e i
Status not reported	3, 249		.000	

Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information on marital status of parents.

Loss than I percent.

TABLE 30.—Marital status of parents, according to place child was living when referred to court, in boys' and girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932!

					Delingu	iency ci	363				
			Pl	ace chil	d was l	ving w	hen rei	erred (o cour	t	
Marital status of parents				In own	home	-		In			
	Total	Total	With both own par- ents	With mother and step- father	With father and step- mother	With mother only		other	In Insti- tu- tion	In other place	Not re- port- ed
Total cases	52, 713	45, 225	31, 115	2, 629	1, 190			3, 257	431	502	8, 29
Boys' cases	45, 2\6	39, 426	27, 828	2, 106	938	6, 409	2, 145	2, 390	315	392	2, 76
Parents married and living together Both parents dead	28, 224 936		27, 801					133 841	80 12	200 52	
Father dead	5, 149 2, 949	4, 847 2, 357		1, 254	724	3, 593	1, 633	224 497	33 45	38 40	- '
Parents divorced	1.744			504	150	650	167	122	25	28	٠
Father deserting mother	960	876		16		854	6	64	15	5	
Mother deserting father Parents separated for other	142	122			4	18	100	16	3	l l	
reasons Parents not married to each	1, 445	1, 229		2	1	1, 036	190	167	733	- 11	
other	470	282	24	78	4	165	14	175		2	
Other status Status not reported	3, 249	351	3	165	55	93	35	16 135	19	9	2,73
Girls' cases	7, 427	5, 799	3, 287	623	252	1, 274	463	867	110	110	63
Parents married and living		-									
together	3, 432	3, 283	3, 283					84 202	27	84 10	+
Both parents dead	223 1,002	894		266		628		74	. 11 19	16	
Mother dead	780	539		200	198		341	209	17	14	
Parents divorced	512	433		175	36	172	50	- 55	111	18	
Father deserting mother	211	184		6		177	1	21	24	3	
Mother deserting father Parents separated for other	40	28			1	. 5	22	11		1	
reasons Parents not married to each	376	273		. 8	1	236	33	74	18	- 8	1
other	158	76	4	28	. 8	37	4	75	4		
Other status	3						12	. 3			52
Status not reported	680	89		45	13	19	14	89	- 4	Ŋ	02

¹ Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases, only 58 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information on marital status of parents and place child was living when referred to court.

Source of reference to court

Police referred 65 percent of the delinquency cases reported by 68 courts in 1932 (table 31). In 1931, 63 percent were referred from this source. School departments referred 6 percent in 1932 and 7 percent in 1931; probation officers, 5 percent in 1932 and 6 percent in 1931. The other percentages were identical in the 2 years.

TABLE 31.—Source of reference to court of delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932!

	Delinqu	ency cases		Delinque	ncy cases
Source of reference to court	Number	Percent distribu- tion	Source of reference to court	Number	Percent distribu- tion
Total cases	52, 713 52, 630 34, 400 3, 317 2, 612 460	100 65 6 5	Source reported—Continued Social agency Parents or relatives Individual Other source Source not reported	774 4, 176 6, 688 197 83	1 8 13 (1)

Of the 234 courts reporting, only 68 furnished information on source of reference to court.

Less than 1 percent.

Reason for reference to court

Variations from year to year in the number of children referred to the court for offenses of various types have been discussed in the section on trends. (See p. 17.) The reasons for reference in 1932 as reported by 234 courts are shown in table 32. In boys' cases the percentages of cases referred for automobile stealing, truancy, and running away were somewhat smaller in 1932 than in 1931, whereas the percentages of cases referred for acts of carelessness or mischief and traffic violations were somewhat larger, but these variations were slight.¹² The percentages referred for other reasons were identical in the 2 years. In girls' cases the percentage distribution in 1932 was the same as the 1931 distribution with two very slight exceptions, ungovernable (28 percent, 1932; 27 percent, 1931) and sex offense (19 percent, 1932; 20 percent, 1931).

The reason for reference to the court for boys' and girls' cases and the age of the child are shown in table 33, and the reason for reference and color of the child in table 34, both tables relating to 68 cour's. The percentage distribution of cases for 1932 according to reason for reference and color is closely similar to the distribution of cases presented in the 1931 report. There were slight changes, the most important being in the cases of white boys referred for acts of carelessness or mischief (31 percent in 1932 as compared with 27 percent in 1931) and in the cases of colored girls referred as ungovernable (34 percent in 1932 and 32 percent in 1931).

Table 32.—Reason for reference to court of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 234 courts in 1932 1

		Delinque	ncy cases		
Reason for reference to court	В	nys	Girls		
	Number	Percent distribu- tion	Number	Percent distribu tion	
Total cases	56, 639		8, 635		
ason reported	56, 330	100	8, 574	10	
Automobile stealing Burglary or unlawful entry. Holdup Other stealing. Act of carelessness or mischief Traffle violation Trunney Running away. Ungovernable. Sex offense Injury to person. Use, possession, or sale of liquor or drugs. Other reason.	7, 213 415 15, 369 16, 115 2, 383 2, 817 3, 002 3, 114 934 1, 473 407	3 13 1 27 29 4 5 5 6 2 2 3	14 8i 6 988 742 117 847 1, 250 2, 431 1, 661 208 121 88	(a)	
son not reported	309		61		

Of the 234 courts, 222 reported boys' cases and 212 girls' cases.

TABLE 33.—Reason for reference to court of boys and girls of each age period dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932 1

			1	Pelinque	ncy case	5		
Reason for reference to court, and sex				A	ge of chil	ld		
of child	Total	Under 10 years	10 years, under 12	12 years, under 14	years, under 16	16 years, under 18	18 years and over	Age not re- ported
Total cases	52, 713	2, 880	6, 011	11,898	20, 688	10, 111	332	70
Boys' cases	45, 2º6	2, 613	5, 604	10, 709	17, 260	8, 149	251	70
Automobile stealingBurglary or unlawful entry	1, 672 5, 551	12 276	42 696 19	164 1, 410 83	841 2, 088 135	593 829 90	14 27 8	2
Holdup Other stealing Act of carelessness or mischief	349 12, 116 13, 390	615 1, 124	1, 612 2, 159	3, 255 3, 668	4, 678 4, 836	1, 709 1, 355	58 40	0 17
Fraffic violation Frunney	1, 576 2, 281 2, 907	2 91 141	3 198 242	18 446 555	344 1,036 1,690	1, 159 492 578	36 1	1 1 28
Running away	2, 699 741	193 45	383 50	614 110	1, 027 287	442 228	15 10	2
njury to person	1, 129 351	92	128	226 24	439 92	197 213	11 14	3
drugs Other reason Reason not reported	657 67	16	56	122 14	319 48	134 1	3	
Girls' cases	7, 427	267	407	1, 189	2, 428	1,912	81	9
Automobile stealingBurglary or unlawful entry	12 62 6	8	11	16	20 20	7 10 3		
Hordup Other stealing Act of carelessness or mischief	780 655	45 104	81 92	221 153	306 200 22	112 93 76	8 1	1
Fraffle violation Frunney Lunning away	100 720 1, 153	22 14	21 38	77 159	357 661	233 243	17	
Ingovernable ex offense njury to person	2, 117 1, 411 174	39 20 9	90 39 26	349 142 43	1, 059 647 57	539 524 31	28 21 2	
se, possession, or sale of liquor or drugs	111		2	6	41 20	60 31	2	
Other reason Reason not reported	75 51	5	3	11	32			-

Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases, only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information for correlating reason for reference to court and age of child.

ii With the exception of "other source", from which I percent were referred in 1931, and less than I percent in 1932.

^{11 1931:} Automobile stealing, 5 percent; trainicy, 6 percent; running away, 6 percent; act of carelessness or mischief, 27 percent; traffic violation, 3 percent.

¹ Less than 1 percent.

Table 34.—Reason for reference to court, and color of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932.

· .	•		Deli	quency c	8.965		
teason for reference to court, and sex	Tot	al	White	children	Colored	children	Children
of child	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution	whose color was not reported
Total cases	52, 713		41, 733		10, 978		
Boys' cases	45, 286		36, 070		9, 214		
eason reported	45, 219	100	36, 020	100	9, 197	100	
Automobile stealing Burglary or unlawful entry Holdup Other stealing Act of carelessness or mischlef Traffle violation Trunney Running away Ungovernable Bex offense Injury to person Use, possession, or sale of liquor or drugs Other reason teason not reported Girls' cases	1, 672 5, 351 321 12, 116 13, 390 1, 576 2, 281 2, 297 2, 090 741 1, 129 351 657 67 7, 427	4 12 1 27 30 3 8 6 6 2 2 2	1, 410 4, 242 226 8, 934 11, 092 1, 500 1, 941 2, 398 2, 070 597 792 204 534 50 5, 663	4 12 1 25 31 4 5 7 6 2 2 2	262 1, 109 3, 182 2, 216 340 509 629 154 337 57 123 17	3 12 1 35 25 1 4 0 7 2 4	
Automobile stealing Burglary or unlawful entry Holdup Other stealing Act of carelessness of mischlef. Traffle violation Truancy Running away Ungovernable Sex offense Injury to person Use, possession, or sale of liquor or drugs. Other reason	7, 376 12 62 6 780 655 100 720 1, 153 2, 117 1, 411 174	100 (7) 1 (7) 11 9 1 10 16 29 19 2	5, 632 11 43 6 880 440 96 651 923 1, 517 1, 100 68	100 (2) 1 (7) 10 8 2 12 16 27 21 1	1,744 1 19 200 215 4 69 230 600 251 108	100 (2) 1 11 12 (2) 4 13 34 14 6	

Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases, only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information for correlating reason for reference to court and color of child.
 Less than 1 percent.

Previous court experience

In 12 percent of the boys' cases and in 7 percent of the girls' cases reported by 68 courts the children had been dealt with previously in a delinquency case in 1932. In one-third of the boys' cases and about one-fifth of the girls' cases the children had previous court experiences either in 1932 or in a prior year, as shown in table 35. The 1931 report showed approximately the same proportions of cases of children with repeated court experiences.

Table 35.—Court experience of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932 1

		Delinque	ncy ca388	
Court experience	Вс	ys	O.	ris
Count experience	Number	Percent distribu- tion	Number	Percent distribu- tion
Total cases	45, 286	100	7, 427	100
First 1932 court experience.	39, 891	89	6, 919	93
Child having no court experience previous to 1932. Child having 1 or more court experiences previous to 1932. Court experience previous to 1932 not reported.	29, 799 9, 943 149	66 22 (7)	5, 844 1, 036 39	76
Bubsequent 1932 court experience	5, 295	12	506	

¹ Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases, only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information on previous court experience.
1 Less than 1 percent.

Place of care pending hearing or disposition

In 64 percent of the boys' cases and 52 percent of the girls' cases the child was not detained pending the court hearing or the disposition of the case but was allowed to remain at home. The proportions are very similar to those in the cases reported for 1931. The percentage of boys detained increased steadily with increasing age, except for the small group 18 years of age and over, in which it was practically the same as for the group 16 and 17. In girls' cases, however, a larger percentage of those 14 and 15 years of age than those aged 16 and 17 years were given detention care (table 36).

Some slight progress in 1932, as compared with 1931, is indicated in reduction of the use of jail detention for children in the older age groups. However, in the cases of 1,150 boys (7 percent) and 87 girls (3 percent) of those detained overnight or longer, the children were detained in jails or police stations in 1932. Among the cases of children detained in jail were those of 66 boys and 10 girls under the age of 14 years, and of 290 boys and 23 girls between 14 and 16 years of age.

¹¹ In 1931, 11 percent of the boys 16 to 18 years of age were detained in jail, and in 1932, 9 percent. For hose 18 years of age and over, 15 percent in 1931 and 12 percent in 1932 were so detained.

courts sn

88

of by

care pending hearing or disposition, and age of boys and girls dealt with in delinguency cases disposed $_{1938}^{\circ}$.

TABLE 36 .- Place of

81-51-28 **უ**გ⊸ო 8 52 18 years and over 3 3 윤호 - ფოგო -85 23 34 05g 22 2006 7.5 8 16 years, under 18 8, 054 3,558 -25=6 \$3 8 38 D Minquency 14 years, under 16 Number 30,68 16, 921 10, 260 6, 661 ន្ទ 등路 38 --엄끄--8 Under 14 years ΞΞ Number 18, 558 13, 030 5, 528 3,815 1,519 34 34 358 #월쬟요1 18, 926 20, 789 1, 808 8 38 55.55 Number 225 5,5 Place of detention care, and sex of child Report on detention care Report on detention care No report on detention Total cases.

JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS, 1932

and part of the time elsawhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations ce stations and part of the time elsewhere. Places other than detention homes, jails, or police stations. cases, only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information for correlating place of detention care and age of child.

Disposition of cases

Cases dealt with officially by the courts constituted 68 percent of the total number disposed of in 1932, and 63 percent in 1931 (table 37). Thirty-two percent in 1932 were dealt with unofficially, usually by probation officers. Many cases adjusted unofficially, usually through office interviews, are not included in statistical reports or made a matter of record.

In about one-third of the cases reported by 234 courts, the child was kept under the supervision of the court, chiefly under the guidance of a probation officer. Probationary supervision by the court was the method of treatment employed in 32 percent of all cases, 42 percent of the official cases, and 10 percent of the unofficial cases. In only 8 percent of all cases and 11 percent of the official cases was the child committed to an institution for delinquents. Ninety-three cases (less than 1 percent) were of children committed to penal institutions. In a slightly larger percentage of cases the children were placed under care of a probation officer in 1932 (32 percent) than in 1931 (29 percent). The percentage of commitments to institutions for delinquents was the same in both years.

TABLE 37 .- Disposition and manner of handling delinquency cases disposed of by 234 courts in 1932 1

)elinque	псу сазе	5	
Disposition of case	Тс	tal	om	cial	Unot	ficial
	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	Num ber	Percent distri- bution	Num- ber	Percen distri- bution
Total cases	65, 274		44, 643		20, 631	
Disposition reported	65, 270	100	44, 640	100	20, 630	10
Child kept under supervision of court Probation officer supervising. Agency or Individual supervising.	22, 452 20, 868 752	34 32 1	20, 148 18, 717 697	45 42 2 2	2, 304 2, 151 55 08	9
Under temporary care of an institution Child not kept under supervision of court	832 37, 605	1 58	734 19, 656	44	17, 949	(-)
Case dismissed or adjusted	25, 959	40	11,070	25	14, 850	
State institution for delinquents Other institution for delinquents Penal institution	1 83	(1) (2)	2, 623 2, 436 93 237	(1)		
Other institution	217 517	1	517	i		
Institution	385 1,022 537	1 2	183 369 338	(*)	202 653 119	
Referred to other court Restitution, the, or costs ordered Runaway returned	1, 726 1, 721	3	1, 365 265 160	(1)	361 1,458 189	
Other disposition of case	349 5, 213	8	4, 836	11	377	
Disposition not reported	4		3		1	

Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases. 232 reported official cases and 66 unofficial cases

Less than I percent.

For the cases reported by 68 courts, table 38 shows the disposition of the case and the age of the child, and table 39, the disposition of the case and the reason for reference to the court. In these tables, and in table 40, showing disposition of cases of white and colored children, the dispositions have been grouped so as to show type of care without regard to retention of responsibility by the court. There was little change from 1931 in the relative use of the different methods of care, as shown for 1932 in table 40, except that fewer cases, proportionately, of colored girls were dismissed and more were placed on probation in 1932.

Table 38.—Disposition of cases of boys and of girls of each age period dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932.

			. 1	Delinque	ncy case	6		
Disposition of case, and sex of child					ge of chi	ld	-	
	Total	Under 10 years	10 years, under 12	12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16	16 years, under 18	18 years and over	Age not re- ported
Total cases	52, 713	2, 880	6, 011	11, 898	20, 688	10, 111	332	793
Boys' cases	45, 286	2, 613	5, 604	10, 709	17, 260	8, 149	251	700
Dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action Bupervised by probation officer. Committed or referred to an institution. Committed or referred to an agency or individual. Restitution.fine, or costs ordered Other disposition Disposition not reported	23, 277 12, 909 4, 284 1, 491 1, 305 2, 017 3	1,717 505 135 92 84 80	3, 179 1, 490 456 202 160 117	8, 882 3, 221 1, 049 336 276 273 2	8, 436 5, 421 1, 830 575 407 590	8, 982 2, 141 774 271 334 647	136 55 21 4 7 28	275 76 19 11 37 282
Girls' cases	7, 427	267	407	1, 189	3, 428	1, 962	81	93
Dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action	2, 800 2, 339 1, 317 517 71 373	182 42 12 19 7 5	211 111 34 30 7 14	469 392 195 75 9 49	1, 070 1, 250 681 238 24 164	805 510 368 146 20 113	28 18 17 5	44 16 10 4 4 15

¹ Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases, only 68 (67 of which reported girls' cases) furnished information for correlating disposition of case and age of child.

						Delinquency cases	त्र एक्ष अस					
					Res	Reason for reference to court	rence to co	urt				
Disposition of case, and sex of child	Total	Stealing	Act of careless- ness or mischief	Traffic	Truancy	Running	Running Ungovern- away able	Sex offense	Injury to person	Cse, possessina, or sale of liquor or drugs	Other	Reason not re- ported
Total cases.	52, 713	33,348	14,045	1, 676	3,001	4, 060	4,816	2, 152	1,303	462	732	118
Boys' cases.	45, 286	19, 488	13, 390	1, 576	2,281	2 907	2, 699	14.1	1,139	351	553	19
Dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action. Supervised by probation office. Committed or referred to an institution. Committed or referred to an agency or individual. Restitution, fine, or costs ordered. Other disposition. Disposition not reported.	23, 277 12, 909 1, 284 1, 365 1, 365 3	2, 336 2, 543 751 462 177	10 560 1, 659 1, 304 171 814 82	1, 124 195 195 11 76 11 76 154	987 749 383 140 6 160	1, 11	999 828 828 826 99 05 1	552	£822868	251 201 215 215 217 28 28	72 88 88 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	888
Girls' cases	7. 427	860	555	81	85	1, 153	2,117	1,411	174	111	55	51
Dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action. Supervised by probation officer. Committed or referred to an institution. Committed or referred to an agency or individual. Restitution, fine, or costs ordered. Other disposition. Disposition not reported.	2, 809 2, 339 1, 317 517 713 373	358 306 114 35 28 28 19	510 86 15 15 21 21 13	2 ∞−∞∞4	372 228 74 74 82 83 83	217 202 303 61 73	72 750 731 185 185 185	365 436 425 142 142 142 142	8.1.0 ∞ E.4.	\$\$\$.c.ee	133	80 ET

boys' and girls' delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1032

^{** 1932—38} percent dismissed and 33 percent placed on probation; 1931—43 percent dismissed and 30 percent placed on probation.

Table 40.—Disposition of case and color of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by 68 courts in 1932.

	-		Do	linquency	Cases		
Disposition of case, and sex of child	7	otal	White	children	Colorec	1 children	Chil- dren whose
	Num- ber	Percent distri- buti: n	Num-	Percent distri- bution	Num- ber	Percent distri- bution	color was not re- ported
Total cases	52, 713		41, 733		10, 078		2
Boys' cases	45, 286		36, 070		9, 214	=====	2
Disposition reported.	45, 283	100	36, 067	100	9, 214	100	2
Dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action Supervised by probation officer. Committed or referred to an institution Committed or referred to an agency or individual. Restitution, fine, or costs ordered Other disposition Disposition not reported	23, 277 12, 79 4, 284 1, 491 1, 305 2, 017	51 29 9 3 3 4	18, 941 10, 404 3, 105 554 1, 071 1, 700	53 29 9 2 3 5	4, 334 2, 505 1, 170 651 234 308	47 27 13 7 3	2
Giris' cases	7, 427		5, 663				
Disposition reported	7, 126	100	5, 613	100	1, 764	100	
Dismissed, adjusted, or held open without further action. But risked by probation officer. Committed or referred to an institution. Committed or referred to an agency or individual. Restitution, fine, or costs ordered.	2, 809) 2, 339 1, 317 517 71 373	38 31 18 7 1 5	2, 146 1, 758 1, 034 381 39 305	38 31 18 7 1 5	603 581 22:3 136 32 68	38 - 33 - 16 - 8 - 2 - 4	
Disposition not reported	1		 .		1		

¹ Of the 234 courts reporting delinquency cases, only fix (fix of which reported girls' cases) furnished information for correlating disposition of case and color of child.

DEPENDENCY AND NEGLECT CASES REPORTED IN 1932

Sex and age of children

Only 177 of the 267 courts furnishing information for 1932 reported cases of dependency and neglect disposed of in that year. Of the remaining 90 courts, 73 were in Massachusetts and 2 in New Jersey, where this type of case was not included in the reports made to the Children's Bureau, and 15 were courts not having cases of this type to report during 1932. These 177 courts reported 23,235 cases of dependency and neglect—11,889 boys' and 11,346 girls' cases. The age distribution, which is shown in table 41, is very similar to the distribution reported in 1931.

Table 41.—Age of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 177 courts in 1932

		ency and			ency and t cases
Age of child	Number	Percent distri- bution	Age of child	Number	Percent distri- bution
Total cases	23, 235		Age reported—Continued. 8 years, under 10	3, 106	14
Age reported	22, 954	100	10 years, under 12	2, 915 2, 591	13
Under 2 years.	2, 737	12	12 years, under 14	2,739	.9
2 years, under 4	2, 603	12	16 years and over	787	8
4 years, under 6 6 years, under 8	2, 983 3, 103	13	Age not reported	279	

Celor and nativity

The color and nativity of 19,273 children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 66 courts are shown in table 42. Eighty-six percent of the cases were of white children and 14 percent of colored children. Cases of foreign-born white children constituted only 1 percent of the total. The percentage of colored children was considerably smaller than in delinquency cases (21 percent). (See p. 29.)

In two-thirds (67 percent) of the cases of native white children for whom parent nativity was reported both parents were native born. In delinquency cases only 55 percent had native-born parents. The figures for dependency and neglect cases are as follows:

Total native white children	16,	128
Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage	5,	113
Parentage not reported		805

Table 42.—Color and nativity of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 66 courts in 1932 1

		ency and t cases
Color and nativity of child	Number	Percent distri- bution
Total cases	19, 273	
Color reported	19, 271	100
White	16, 534	86
Native born Foreign born Nativity not reported	250	84 1 1
Colored	2, 735	14
NegroOther	2, 633 102	14
Color not reported	. 2	

¹ Of the 177 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases only 66 furnished information on color and nativity of child.

をはないというできるというというという

Home conditions

In three-fourths (75 percent) of the cases of dependent and neglected children for whom place of living was reported the children were living in their own homes when referred to the court, in 19 percent they were living in other family homes, in 4 percent in institutions, and in 2 percent elsewhere, as table 43 shows. This distribution varied somewhat from that in 1931, a smaller percentage living in their own homes. 16 Only 27 percent of the cases, however, were of children living with both their own parents in 1932. This percentage is much smaller than the 63 percent of delinquent children living with both their own parents. (See p. 30.)

TABLE 43.—Place child was living when referred to court in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 66 courts in 1932 1

		ency and
Place child was living when referred to court	Number	Percent distri- bution
Total cases	19, 273	
Place reported	17, 001	100
In own home	12, 699	75
With both own parents With mother and steplather With father and steplather With father enly With father only	4, 612 315 238 4, 987 2, 547	27 2 1 29 15
In other family home In institution In other place	3, 237 745 320	19 4 2
Place not reported	2, 272	

¹ Of the 177 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases, only 66 furnished information on place child was living when referred to court.

In 28 percent of the dependency and neglect cases in which information was reported the parents were married and living together, and in the other 72 percent of the cases the home was broken through death or separation or (in 10 percent) the parents were not married to each other (table 44). The distribution of cases according to marital status was practically the same as in 1931. The place where the child was living when referred to court, and the marital status of the parents. are shown in table 45.

TABLE 44.—Marital status of parents of children referred to court in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 66 courts in 1932 1

		ency and t cases
Marital status of parents	Number	Percent distri- bution
Total cases	19, 273	
Status reported	16, 764	100
Parents married and living together One or both parents dead	4, 685 4, 108	25 21
Both dead Father dead Mother dead	1, 334	3 6 13
Parents separated	6, 189	37
Divorced Father deserting mother Mother deserting father Other reasons	1, 036 1, 261 606 3, 286	20
Parents not married to each other	1, 703 79	. 10 (7)
Status not reported	2, 509	

Of the 177 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases, only 66 furnished information on marital status of parents.
Less than 1 percent.

TABLE 45 .- Marital status of parents, according to place child was living when referred to court, in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 66 courts in 19321

				Depe	ndenc	y and :	neglect	C8563			
			Place	where	child 1	was liv	Ing wi	en refe	rred to	court	
				In own	a home						
Marital status of parents			both own	nother and father	th father and stepmother	other only	father only	r family bome	institution	r place	orted
	Total	Total	With	With mother stepfather	With t	With mother	With	In other	In insti	In other	Not reported
Total cases	19, 273	12, 699	4, 612	315	238	4, 987	2, 547	3, 237	745	320	2, 272
Parents married and living to- gether doth parents dead	4, 685 681 1, 334 2, 193 1, 036	1, 072 1, 314		144	197	925	1, 127	82 500 183 713 232	55 51 51 102 63	11 30 27 64 13	1
'ather deserting mother Jother deserting father_ 'arents separated for other reasons 'arents not married to each other_	1, 261 606 3, 286 1, 703	1, 096 502 2, 385 967	72	35	3,	1, 079 69 1, 624 808	17 433 761 49	114 79 705 474	35 17 112 221	16 8 77 88	
Other status Untus not reported	79 2, 509	99 99	<u>a</u>	17	ii	2 49	19	60 95	7 31	2 84	2, 250

⁴ Of the 177 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases, only 66 furnished information on marital status of parents and place child was living when referred to court.

^{18 1931:} In own homes .77 percent; other family homes 18 percent; institutions 4 percent; elsewhere,

Source of reference to court

Thirty-seven percent of the families involved in dependency and neglect cases reported by 66 courts were referred by parents or relatives, and 32 percent by social agencies, as is shown in table 46.

Table 46.—Source of reference to court of families represented in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 66 courts in 1932 1

Source of reference to court	sented	es repre- in depend- ad nerlect
	Number	Percent distribu tion
Total	10, 664	
Source reported	10, 631	100
Parents or relatives, Social apency Individual	3, 946 3, 446 1, 135	37 32 11
Police Probation officer School department	960 753 283	9 7 - 3
Other source	108	1
Source not reported	33	

Of the 177 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases, only 66 furnished information on number of families represented.

Reason for reference to court

In three-fourths of the 23,235 dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 177 courts in 1932 the children were referred to court because they were without adequate parental care or support. The reasons for reference were as follows:

Reason for reference	Number of cases 23, 235
Without adequate care or support from parent or guardian_Abandonment or descrition	912 536 2, 295

Frequently several children in the same family are dealt with by the court as dependent or neglected. Figures on number of cases are based on a count which considers each child as a s. parate case. For 19,273 dependency and neglect cases reported by 66 courts, information was obtained concerning the number of families represented and is presented in table 47, which shows the reasons for reference to the court. The percent distribution according to reason for reference is closely similar to that reported for 1931, although a somewhat smaller proportion of cases were referred for abandonment or desertion in 1932 (5 percent, as compared with 7 percent in 1931) and a somewhat larger proportion because the children were physically handicapped and in need of public care (8 percent, as compared with 6 percent in 1931).

Table 47.—Reason for reference to court and number of families represented in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 66 courts in 1932.

	Depende	glect cases		
Reason for reference to court		Families repre- sented		
	Total cases	Number	Percent distribu- tion	
Total	19, 273	10, 1114	100	
Without adequate care or support from parent or guardian	15, 335 826 465 1, 779 858 10	3, 128 503 292 924 812 5	76 5 8 8 9	

Of the 177 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases, only 66 furnished information on number of families represented.

Less than I percent.

Place of care pending hearing or disposition

In 63 percent of the dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 66 courts the child remained at home pending the hearing or disposition of the case. This percentage is almost the same as that reported for delinquency cases (62 percent). Table 48 shows a relatively small use of public detention homes for dependent children, other institutions being utilized much more extensively.

Table 48.—Place of care of child pending hearing or disposition in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 66 courts in 1932

	Dependency and neglect cases				
Place of defention care	Number	Percent distribu- tion			
Total	19, 273				
Report on detention care	18, 553	100			
No detention care	11, 645 6, 908	63 37			
Boarding home or other family home Detention home '. Other institution. Juli or police station. Other place of care '. Place of care not reported.	861 1, 308 4, 717 2 15 5	8 7 25 (2) (1) (1)			
No report on detention care	720				

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in falls and police stations.

I Less than I percent.
I nehides a few cases of children held in more than I place of care but in places other than detention homes, jails, or police stations.

Disposition of cases

A smaller percentage of dependency and neglect cases (17 percent) than of delinquency cases (32 percent) were dealt with unofficially by the courts. In 27 percent of the dependency and neglect cases the

child was retained under court supervision. In only 14 percent of these cases, but in 32 percent of the delinquency cases, the child was placed under the supervision of a probation officer. Institutional commitments were reported in 12 percent of the dependency and neglect cases, and in an additional 4 percent the child was placed in an institution temporarily, the court retaining jurisdiction (table 49). The percentage of cases in which the court retained supervision was considerably smaller than in 1931 (35 percent, including 19 percent in which the child was placed on probation).

Table 49.—Disposition and manner of handling dependency and neglect cases disposed of by 177 courts in 1932 1

		Depe	ndency ar	nd neglec	t cases		
Disposition of case	То	tal	om	clal	Unofficial		
	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution	
Total cases.	23, 235	100	19, 364	100	3, 871	100	
Child kept under supervision of court	6, 270	27	6, 003	31	273	7	
Probation officer supervising	3, 341 1, 892 1, 043	14 8 4	3, 145 1, 836 1, 022	16 9 5	196 88 21	8 1 1	
Child not kept under supervision of court	15, 797	68	12, 394	64	3, 403	88	
Case dismissed or adjusted	6, 384	27	3, 945	20	2, 439	63	
State institution Other institution Public department Other agency Individual	347 2, 552 950 1, 934 579	1 11 4 8 2	347 2, 552 950 1, 934 579	2 13 5 10			
Referred without commitment to: Institution	1, 096 1, 021 123 811	5 4 1 3	1, 002 331 48 706	(3) ⁵ / ₄	94 600 75 105	18 2 3	
Case held open without further action	1, 162	5	967	5	195	. 5	

¹ Of the 177 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases. 175 reported official cases and 38 reported unofficial cases.

OTHER TYPES OF CHILDREN'S CASES

Cases classified in appendix tables 14 and 18 as "Special proceedings" were reported by 35 courts serving areas of 100,000 or more population, and 23 other courts. These cases include those involving provision for the care of feeble-minded children, children dealt with as material witnesses, adoption proceedings, and proceedings concerning the custody or guardianship of children. Of the 1,171 cases of this type, 606 were reported by Philadelphia, 104 by New York City, 228 by other courts in New York State, and 57 by the San Diego County, Calif., court. No other court reported as many as 30 cases.

The Philadelphia court did not report the sex of the children involved. Of the 565 cases reported by other courts 204 involved boys and 361 involved girls.

The types of cases were as follows:

Type of case Total special-proceedings cases	Number of cases 1,171
Care of feeble-minded child	18 382
Material witness 17	
Adoption proceedings	241
Custody or guardianship proceedings	105
Permission to marry	101
Permission to enlist in Army or NavyOther	. 10 55
Not reported	5

CASES OF CHILDREN DISCHARGED FROM SUPERVISION

After periods of supervision by the court delinquent children in 15,572 cases, dependent and neglected children in 3,156 cases, and children in 9 cases of other types were discharged from care in 1932, as reported by 187 courts giving information on this point. Seventy percent of the delinquency cases and 64 percent of the cases of dependent and neglected children were reported discharged because of improvement in the child's conduct or in home conditions. In 1931 somewhat smaller percentages were discharged for these reasons (64 percent of the delinquency cases and 62 percent of the dependency and neglect cases). (Table 50.)

Table 50.—Reason for discharge in cases of delinquent and of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 187 courts in 1932!

	Cases of children discharged from supervision							
Reason for discharge		quent	Dependent and neglected					
	Number	Percent distribu- tion	Number	Percent distribu- tion				
Total cases	15, 572		3, 156					
eason reported	15, 566	100	3, 155	100				
Conduct of child satisfactory or conditions improved Expiration of period specified by court	10, 959 1, 150	70	2, 005 79	6				
Order court fulfilled	242	2	20					
supervision not advired	292	2	110					
Child committed or referred to an institution	1,642	11	309	1				
Child committed or referred to an agency or individual	212) <u>.</u>	309	1				
Referred to other court	95	1	53					
Whereabouts of child unknown or moved from jurisdiction	546	4	170	•				
of courtOther reason	428	i a	28					
	1 120	"		l				
eason not reported	6		1					

¹ Of the 187 courts reporting supervision cases, 186 reported delinquency cases, and 40 reported dependency and neglect cases.

Il Includes 20 cases of action in juvenile court to terminate parental rights or to declare child eligible for stoppion, prior to adoption proceedings in another court.

Less than I percent.

¹⁰ In all but 33 of these cases court action was brought for the purpose of committing the child to an insti-

Theoreted by the following courts only: Polk County, Iowe; Baltimore, Md.; New York City, Syracuse, and Westchester County, N.Y.; Hamilton County, Ohio; and Philadelphia, Pa. These cases in most courts are classified as cases of delinquency, neglect, or dependency.

Thirty-seven percent of the delinquency cases were under supervision less than 6 months, and 34 percent, between 6 months and 1 year. In only 11 percent of the delinquency cases had supervision continued as long as 18 months. Thirty-five percent of the dependency and neglect cases were discharged within 6 months, but in contrast with the delinquency cases, 28 percent were retained under supervision 18 months or longer (table 51).

Table 51.—Length of time child was under supervision in cases of delinquent and of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 187 courts in 1932.

	Cases of children discharged supervision				
Duration of supervision	Deli	nquent	Dependent and neglected		
	Number	Percent distribu- tion	Number	Percent distribu- tion	
Total cases.	15, 572		3, 156		
Duration reported	15, 523	100	3, 153	100	
Less than 6 months. 6 months, less than 1 year. 1 year, less than 18 months 18 months, less than 2 years. 2 years, less than 3 years. 3 years or more.		37 34 18 5 4	1, 097 738 433 274 325 286	3.5 23 14 9	
Duration not reported	49		3		

Of the 187 courts reporting supervision cases, 186 reported delinquency cases and 40 reported dependency and neglect cases.

FEDERAL JUVENILE OFFENDERS

PROGRAM OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

In August 1931 the Attorney General of the United States addressed a Department circular to officials in the Federal judicial system, establishing the policy of turning over juvenile delinquents who come into Federal custody to State authorities for care and supervision or punishment whenever practicable and consistent with the due enforcement of Federal statutes. At that time he requested the cooperation of the Children's Bureau in ascertaining the availability of local resources and developing cooperation between State and Federal authorities. Since then the Children's Bureau of the Department of Labor and the Bureau of Prisons of the Department of Justice have been working together to make effective the policy developed by the Attorney General and specifically authorized by act of Congress approved June 11, 1932. For administrative purposes the Department of Justice has defined "juvenile offender" as a person under the age of 19 years. Some young persons between the ages of 19 and 21 who are immature or who need special attention are also included.

Studies by the Children's Bureau² and the National Commission on Law Observance and Enforcement³ had emphasized the need for treatment of Federal juvenile offenders in accordance with juvenile-court principles, and the advisability of transferring jurisdiction from Federal to State authorities whenever possible.

STATISTICAL DATA AVAILABLE

Prior to July 1, 1932, no adequate source of statistical information concerning Federal juvenile offenders was in existence. Certain information about juveniles had been compiled from time to time in the course of studies of the problem. After the program of the United States Department of Justice had been inaugurated special counts had been made from record cards received by the Department for persons of all ages who had been arrested by Federal authorities and detained in jail or whose cases had been disposed of by the courts. This was a somewhat unsatisfactory arrangement for two reasons. The relatively few juvenile cards were filed among the cards for adults and were therefore not easily accessible for frequent use, and the card in use for persons of all ages did not contain many items needed for an effective analysis of the problems connected with

The law provides that United States attorneys may forego prosecution and surrender any person under 21 years of age arrested for a Federal offense, after investigation by the Department of Justice, if "it shall appear that such person has committed a criminal offense or is a delinquent under the laws of any State that can and will assume jurisdiction over such juvenile and will take him into custody and deal with him according to the laws of such State, and that it will be to the best interest of the United States and of the juvenile offender to surrender the offender to the authorities of such State." (47 Stat. 301; Supp. No. VI to U.S. Colle. Title 18 sec. 602.)

¹⁰ U.S. Code, Title 18, sec. 6021)

The Federal Courts and the Delinquent Child; a study of the methods of dealing with children who have violated Federal laws. U.S. Children's Bureau Publication No. 103. Washington, 1922.

Report on the Child Offender in the Federal System of Justice. National Commission on Law Observance and Enforcement. Washington, 1931.

handling juvenile offenders in the Federal system. In the early part of 1932 a plan was developed for prompt and separate reporting to the Department of Justice of all cases of juveniles coming to the attention of Federal authorities throughout the country. A "juvenile index file" maintained in the probation section of the Bureau of Prisons, affords current information on individual cases and a ready source for special tabulations which are made from time to time.

From this file the Children's Bureau, as part of its service in the development of the program, has compiled and tabulated information concerning cases of Federal juvenile offenders (under the age of 19 years), disposed of by Federal authorities during the last 6 months of 1932. It plans to make similar tabulations for the calendar year 1933. which will be included in the report of the Children's Bureau on juvenile-court statistics for that year. The information covers the entire country.

INDICATIONS AS TO TRENDS

Because the statistics presented in this report are the first comprehensive statistics to be compiled, it is impossible to present comparative data as to trends over a period of years. It is known, however, that between 1918, to which the first partial figures to be compiled relate, and 1932 there was a marked increase in the total number of juvenile offenders dealt with by Federal authorities, due largely to new legislation relating to transportation of stolen motor vehicles in interstate commerce, the National Prohibition Act, and to the immigration acts of 1921 and 1924. On the other hand, there was an encouraging decrease in the number of juveniles arrested for larceny of mail, due largely to constructive policies of the Post Office Department with reference to (1) the employment of boys as special-delivery messengers and (2) reference of violators of postal laws to State authorities. In 1925 the Federal courts were given authority to place convicted offenders, juveniles or adults, on probation. but extensive development of the United States Probation Service did not begin until 1930. The probation system not only affected the number of institutional commitments, but also made possible the development of the program inaugurated in 1931, of waiving jurisdiction after investigation in certain juvenile cases which can be dealt with satisfactorily by State authorities.

In the report of the study made by the Children's Bureau for the years 1918 and 1919 it was estimated that probably 1,000 children under the age of 18 years were arrested for Federal violations each year. Annual reports of the Bureau of Prisons on Federal offenders show the following numbers of juvenile offenders under the age of 18 years committed to jail to be held for trial, for the fiscal years ended June 30: 1930, 2,795; 1931, 3,233; 1932, 3,139; 1933, 2,148.

Tabulations for 1932 are based on the age classification "under 19 years", established by the Department of Justice, and include only cases disposed of during the period July 1 to December 31, 1932.

They do not cover cases of juveniles held in jail that were not disposed of prior to December 31. The total number of cases involving boys and girls under 19 years reported disposed of by Federal authorities during this period was 1,168. Repeal of the prohibition amendment, more liberal policies with reference to deportation of aliens, and the continued development of the program of waiving jurisdiction and turning juveniles over to State authorities in proper cases, when local facilities are available, are important factors which will affect later figures as to volume and character of juvenile-delinquency problems dealt with by Federal authorities.

Persons under the age of 18 years arrested for violation of postal laws numbered 491 in 1918, 617 in 1919, and 381 in 1928.º In 1918 and 1919 this group of offenses led all others; but by 1930, as judged by statistics of commitments to the National Training School for Boys, it was surpassed in importance by the Motor Vehicle Theft Act and the liquor laws.10 In the last 6 months of 1932, only 62 of the 1,168 cases involved violations of the postal laws, the Dyer Act was second, instead of first, in relative importance (180 cases), and violations of the liquor laws led all other charges (562 cases). Violations of the Immigration Act (177 cases) were almost as numerous as Motor Vehicle Theft Act cases (table 53). Many violations of postal laws are now reported directly to State authorities by post-office inspectors, and thus do not appear in the statistics herein presented.

CASES REPORTED IN 6 MONTHS, JULY TO DECEMBER 1932

Number of cases

In the last 6 months of 1932, 1,168 cases of juveniles under the age of 19 years, of whom 1,066 were boys and 102 were girls, were disposed of by Federal authorities after arrest on charges of violation of Federal laws. Of these cases only 72 were transferred to State authorities. Many other cases, their number being unknown, were referred directly to State authorities by Federal officials without the initiation of Federal court proceedings.

The National Motor Vehicle Theft Act, commonly known as the "Dyer Act", approved Oct. 29, 1919

⁴ The National Motor Vehicle Theft Act, commonly known as the "Dyer Act", approved Oct. 29, 1919 (41 Stat. 324; U.S. Code, Titlo 18, sec. 408).

1 National Prohibition Act, approved Oct. 28, 1919 (41 Stat. 305), as amended by act of Nov. 23, 1921 (42 Stat. 223) and by act of Mar. 3, 1925 (43 Stat. 1116; U.S. Code, Title 27).

4 The Quota Act of May 19, 1921 (42 Stat. 5), as amended by act of May 11, 1922 (42 Stat. 540), and the Quota Act of May 20, 1921 (43 Stat. 53; U.S. Code, Title 8, secs. 201-226). Allens deported under warrant proceedings after entering the United States totaled 1,569 in 1918, 16,631 in 1930, and 19,426 in 1932 (years)

¹ Act of Mar. 4, 1925 (43 Stat. 1259; U.S. Code, Title 18, secs. 724-727).

The Federal Courts and the Delinquent Child, p. 64.

^{*} The Delinquent Child, Report of the Committee on Socially Handicapped—Delinquency, p. 421. White House Conference on Child Health and Protection. Century Co., 1932.

W The Delinquent Child, p. 442.

Geographical distribution

The States (and Alaska and Puerto Rico), listed in order of number of cases of Federal juvenile offenders reported in the last 6 months of 1932, are as follows:¹¹

Texas	157	I Non Moute
Tonto-les		New Mexico
Kentucky	81	Month Turkottr
Oklahoma	71	Ohio1
North Carolina	62	Indiana 1
Alabama	56	Idaho1
Alaska	46	Michigan
Georgia	46	Colorado
West Virginia	45	Maine
Florida	41	Montana
Illinois	40	Nebraska
Louisiana	39	Kansas.
New York	38	New Jersey
Mississippi	35	South Dakota
South Carolina	35	Nevada
Missouri	32	Puerto Rico
Arkansas	27	Massachusetts
Tennessee	27	
	26	Oregon
Arizona		Rhode Island
Maryland	24	Utah
Virginia	21	Connecticut:
California	20	Iowa
Pennsylvania	15	Wisconsin
Vermont	15	Wyoming
Minnesota	14	New Hampshire
Washington	13	Delaware
**	- ,	

The problem of the Federal juvenile offender is chiefly a southern problem. As table 52 shows, 767 cases, or two-thirds (66 percent) of the total number, were reported from the three southern geographical divisions ¹² whose total population comprises less than one-third (30 percent) of the population of continental United States, Alaska, and Puerto Rico. Only 242 cases, or one-fifth (21 percent) were reported by the four northern divisions, ¹³ whose total population comprises three-fifths (59 percent) of the total population of the same territory. The number from the two western divisions, ¹⁴ 109, or one-eleventh (9 percent) of the total, was about in proportion to population. The disproportionate number (46) from Alaska is due to the fact that all delinquency cases in the Territory come to the attention of the Federal authorities. (See table XVII, p. 114.)

II In the District of Columbia all courts are Federal, and no cases from this area are included.
If South Atlantic—Delaware (no cases), Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, Bouth Carolina, Georgia, Florida; East South Central—Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi; West South Central—Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas.

ii Mountain - Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada; Pacific-Washington, Oregon, California.

Table 52.—Sex and race of Federal juvenile offenders whose cases were disposed of by Federal authorities in each geographic division and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

				Cases of	f Federa	l Juven	ile offe	nders		
Geographic division and	Population,					Ra	ce of o	ffende	15	
Territory	1930	Total	Roys	Olris	White	Negro	Mex- ican	In- dian	Other	Not re- port- ed
Total	123, 891, 368	1, 168	1,086	102	794	142	136	59	10	37
Continental United States 1.	122, 298, 177	1, 118	1, 035	143	774	140	134	26	5	37
4 northern divisions	73, 021, 191	242	217	25	214	12		6	1	
New England	8, 166, 341 26, 200, 750 23, 297, 185 13, 296, 915	33 58 75 76	33 51 64 69	7 11 7	33 51 65 65	4 5 3		i	i	
3 southern divisions	37, 370, 764	767	717	50	.499	126	114	3		2:
Bouth Atlantic East South Central West South Central	15, 306, 720 9, 557, 214 12, 176, 830	274 11/9 294	263 195 259	11 4 35	216 154 129	70 40 36	114	3		8 5 12
2 western divisions	11, 896, 222	109	101	8	61	2	22	17	4	3
Mountain Pacific	3, 701, 759 8, 194, 433	73 36	68 33	5 3	33 28		18 4	14 3	4	3
Alaska Puerto Rico	59, 278 1, 543, 913	46 4	27 4	19	8 2	2		33	5	

¹ The District of Columbia is excluded because all its courts are Federal.

Statistics furnished by the juvenile courts suggest a greater frequency of delinquency cases in the Southern States than in the Northern, due in part to the greater number of Negro delinquency cases brought to the attention of the court. This does not explain the juvenile offenses against Federal laws, as only 142 of the 1,168 cases involved Negro juveniles, and in the three southern divisions, only 126 of the 767 cases reported were cases of Negro boys and girls.

Violations of different Federal laws.—Although the South exceeded the North in all the major types of cases, the great excess was found in liquor cases, of which 474 were reported for the 3 southern divisions, as compared with 65 for the 4 northern divisions. The 180 cases involving violations of the Motor Vehicle Theft (Dyer) Act were fairly well distributed among the divisions, except for a disproportionately large number in the South Atlantic States. The 62 postal cases were principally in the South Atlantic and West South Central divisions. (Table 53.) Immigration cases were confined almost entirely to the States on the Canadian and Mexican borders. Of the 177 immigration cases, 93 were reported from Texas, as table XVIII (p. 116) shows.

Variation in State juvenile-court facilities.—In addition to the special problems of certain areas where violations of liquor laws or immigration laws are common, comparatively large numbers of Federal juvenile offenders in certain States may be accounted for in part by the limited State facilities for juvenile-court and probation work. Where

i New England, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut; Middle Atlantic-New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey; East North Central-Ohlo, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin; West North Central-Minnesota, Iswa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas

such facilities were well established the practice usually grew up, even prior to the development of a national policy by the Department of Justice, of referring to State courts for investigation and disposition juvenile offenders coming to the attention of Federal courts.15 In many Northern and Middle-Western States juvenile court and probation service has been in existence for many years in the larger centers and to some extent in the less populous communities.

Table 53 .- Offense charged or reason for arrest in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities in each geographic division and Territory. July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

			Cases of	Federal j	uvenile (offenders		
Committee that the same to		-	Offense	charged	Violat	ion of—	 	Ī
Geographic division and Territory	Total	Liquor laws	Motor Vehicle Theft Act	Imml- gration Act	Postal laws	Other laws	Offense not re- parted	Held an materi- ni wit- ness
Total cases	1,168	542	180	177	62	1 161	13	13
4 northern divisions	242	65	08	48	11	40	4	6
New England	33 58 75 76	5 25 19 16	2 11 30 25	24 6 3 15	1 8 2	2 12 11 15	1 2 1	2 2 2 2
3 southern divisions	767	474	90	94	144	59	4	. 2
Bouth Atlantic	274 196) 291	204 147 123	42 20 28	93	17 9 18	10 22 27	1 3	2
2 western divisions	109	18	22	35	5	22	2	. 8
Mountain	73 36	13 5	18	25 10	2 3	10 12	2	3 2
AlaskaPuerto Rico	46 4	4 1			2	96 1	3	-

¹ includes counterfelting, 39; Narcotic Drug Act, 14; Interstate Commerce Act, 13; Mann Act, 8; National Banking Act, 1; not specified, 86 (39 in Alaska).

Age limit of original juvenile-court jurisdiction.—The age up to which State juvenile courts have original jurisdiction is an important factor influencing the extent to which it is possible to transfer jurisdiction from Federal authorities to local juvenile courts. Two-fifths of the population of the continental United States between 7 and 19 years of age live in States where the age under which the juvenile court has original jurisdiction is not higher than 16 years, 16 and more than onefourth in States where the original jurisdiction does not extend beyond the seventeenth birthday (in four of these States jurisdiction is up to 18 years in girls' cases). The age limit of original juvenile-court jurisdiction, however, does not appear to have been a major factor, in 1932, in determining numbers of cases dealt with by Federal authorities.

Table 54.—Number of States in each geographic division having specified age of original court jurisdiction, and number of cases of Federal juvenile offenders of and over juvenile-court age disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	Age under	Cases of Federal juvenile offenders					
Geographic division and Territory	which Juvenile court has Jurisdiction	Total	Of juve- nile-court age	Over Juvenile- court age	Age not reported		
Total		1, 168	324	838	6		
Continental United States		1, 118	305	807	-		
4 northern divisions		242	45	197			
9 States ¹ 5 States ² 7 States	17	103 86 53	4 17 24	99 69 29			
3 southern divisions	t 1	767	200	562	5		
6 States 1	17 18	296 318 136 17	26 68 79 27	258 247 57	3		
2 western divisions		109	60	48	1		
9 States	18 21	87 22	38 22	48	1		
Alaska and Puerto Rico	16	50	19	31			

Includes Maine, where the age limit for special procedure in juvenile cases was 15 in 1932 (it was changed

Includes Marle, where the age limit for special procedure in juvenile cases was 13 in 1932 (it was changed to 17 in 1933), and Indians, where the age limit was 18 for girls.

Includes Illinois, where the age limit was 18 for girls.

Includes Maryland, where the age limit in Baltimore city and in countles having special "magistrates for juvenile causes" was 16 years; where a circuit-court judge is designated the limit was under 18 years for girls and under 20 years for boys; elsewhere there was no provision.

Includes Delaware, Kentucky, and Texas, where the age limit was 18 for girls.

In Wyoming and Alaska there are no juvenile-court laws but certain special procedures are provided.

As is shown by table 54, only 324 of the 1,168 juvenile offenders reported were within the age jurisdiction of the juvenile courts in their States; 838 were over juvenile-court age, and the ages of 6 were not reported. The three southern divisions had 66 percent of those of juvenile-court age and 70 percent of those over juvenile-court age in the continental United States.

The age limit of original juvenile-court jurisdiction for each State, and the number of cases of boys and girls of and over juvenile-court age that were disposed of by Federal authorities in the last 6 months of 1932 are shown in table 55. (See also table XIX, p. 117.)

¹⁸ The Federal Courts and the Delinquent Child, p. 6; The Delinquent Child, p. 425; Report on the Child Offender in the Federal System of Justice, p. 149.

[&]quot;Including Maine, where the age under which special procedure is authorized was 15 in 1932, 17 in 1933, and Indiana, where the age limit is 18 for girls.

Table 55.—Age of original juvenile-court jurisdiction, and number of cases of Federal juvenile offenders of and over juvenile-court age disposed of by Federal authorities in each geographic division, State, and Territory, July 1 Dec. 31, 1932

	Age under	Cases	Cases of Federal juvenile offenders					
Geographic division, State, and Territory	which Juvenilo court has jureshetion	Total	Of Juve- nife-court age	Over Juvenile- court are	Age not reported			
Total		1, 168	324	RUR				
Continental United States		1, 118	305	807	6			
New England.		33		33				
Maine	15	9		()				
Vermont	l in	15		15]			
Massachusetts	i 17	3		3	1			
Rhode Island	16	3		3				
Connecticut	1 16	2		2	[
Countries and a second	l. ""	-	-					
Middle Atlantic		58	4	51				
New York.	16	318		38	1			
New Jersey	16	5	2	37	·			
Penasylvania	iñ	15	1 2	13				
East North Central	1,,	75	13	62				
Ohlo	18	12	<u>-</u> -	8				
Charm	16	da .	3					
intimatiaigi-la	18] 11		- 11				
Illinois [boys.	17	40	- 6	34	i			
(81.14	18	"	-		[
Michigan	17	10	2	. 8				
Wisconsin.	18	2	1	1	·			
West North Central		78	28	48				
Minnesota	18	14	5	9				
lown	18	2	ī	1				
Missouri	17	32	9	23				
North Dakota	- 18	12	7	5				
South Dakota	18	5	3	2				
Nebraska	18	6	3 \	3				
Kansas	. 16	. 5		5				
South Atlantic	••••	274	81	192	1			
[boys	17	1						
Delaware	18	}						
Maryland	. 16	21	3	21				
Virginia	18	21	- 15	6)				
West Virginia	18	45	24	21				
North Caroura	10 }	62	. 5 }	57				
South Carolin t	- 18	35	. 19	tu				
Georgia Florida	16 17	46 41	2 13	43 28	I			
East South Central		199	43	155	. 1			
Kentucky Shave	17	} 81	15	65				
[#1115]	18 [, ,						
Tennessee	16	27		27				
Alabama	10	56		49				
Mississippi	18	35	21	14				
West South Central	<u></u>	204	70	215	3			
Arkansas	21	27	27					
Louisiana	17	39	13	26				
Okfahoma	16	71	. 9	61	1			
				61 128	1 2			

^{&#}x27;Age limit was 16 years in Baltimore city and in counties having special "magistrates for juvenile causes": where a circuit-judge was designated the limit was under 18 years for girls and under 20 years for boys; elsewhere there was no provision.

Table 55.—Age of original juvenile-court jurisdiction, and number of cases of Federal juvenile offenders of and over juvenile-court age disposed of by Federal authorities in each geographic division, State, and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932—Continued

	Age under which	Cases	Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders							
Geographic division, State, and Territory	Juvenila court bus jurisdiction	Total	Of Juve- nile-court age	Over Juvenile- court age	Age not reported					
Continental United States - Continued. Mountain		73	34	38	. 1					
Montana Idaho. W yoming Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona Utah Novada.	18 21 18 18 18	7 10 2 9 12 26 3	4 2 2 6 3 13 2 2	3 8 2 9 13 1	1					
Pacific		36 13 3 20	26 3 3 20	10						
AlaskaPuerto Rico		46 - 4	18	28 3						

Sex and age of children

Of the 1,168 Federal juvenile offenders under the age of 19 years reported, 1,066 (91 percent) were boys and 102 (9 percent) were girls. The percentage of boys was slightly higher than that found among the 65,274 juvenile-delinquency cases reported by State juvenile courts in 1932 (see p. 27).

The age distribution of the Federal juvenile offenders is shown in table 56. Eight percent of the boys and 25 percent of the girls were under the age of 16 years. Boys 17 or 18 years of age constituted 80 percent of the total number of boys, and girls of these ages 63 percent of the total number of girls. The most frequent age reported, in both boys' and girls' cases, was 18 years.

Table 56.—Sex and age of Federal juvenile offenders whose cases were disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

Number distribution Number distribution			Cases of I	ederal juv	enile offen	ders -	
Number Percent distribution Perc	Age of Juvenile		В	руз	Girls		
Age reported.		Total	Number	distri-	Number	Percent distri- bution	
Under 10 years. 5 3 (!) 2 10 years, under 14. 15 9 1 6 14 years 23 15 1 8 15 years 68 59 6 9 10 years 139 126 12 13 17 years 334 311 20 23 15 years 578 537 51 41	Total cases	1, 168	1, 004		102		
10 yours, under 14.	Age reported	l, 162	1,080	100	102	!00	
Age not reported	10 yours, Undor 14. 14 yours 15 yours. 10 yeurs. 17 yours.	15 23 68 139 331 578	9 15 59 126 311 537	1 6 12 20	23	23 40	

[!] Less than I percent.

Race

White juveniles constituted about three-fourths (71 percent) of the boys, but only 55 percent of the girls reported. Negroes, Mexicans, and Indians were included in comparatively large numbers, as is shown in table 57.

TABLE 57.—Sex and race of Federal juvenile offenders whose cases were disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

		Cases of I	ederal Juv	enile offen	ilers	
Race of juvenile		В	оуя	Girls		
	Total	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution	
Total cases	1, 168	1,065		102		
Race reported	1, 131	1,030	100	101	100	
White	784 142 130 59 3 7	728 134 120 41 2 5	71 13 12 4 (1)	56 8 10 18 1 2	55 8 16 18 1	
Race not reported	37	36		1		

¹ Less than 1 percent.

State of home residence

One of the problems involved in the development of adequate methods of dealing with juveniles who violate Federal laws is the fact that many are arrested away from their homes—sometimes in far-distant States." This difficulty is inherent in enforcement of the Motor Vehicle Theft (Dyer) Act, and the Mann (White Slave) Act, since transportation across State lines (or in foreign commerce) is an essential element of the offense. The law authorizing transfer of jurisdiction to State courts (see p. 49) authorizes payment by the Federal Government of the expense of transportation to the juvenile's home community.

State of home residence was reported in only 862 of the 1,168 cases disposed of in the last half of 1932. Of these 862 juveniles, 614 (71 percent) were arrested in the same State in which they lived, 159 (18 percent) in contiguous States, and 89 (10 percent) in other, more distant States.

One child under 14 years of age, 5 children 14 years of age, 14 children 15 years of age, and 34 children 16 years of age, were arrested outside their home States, as is shown in table 58.

Table 58.—Age, sex, and place of arrest of Federal juvenile offenders whose cases were disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	c	Cases of Fe	deral juve	nile offende	srs -
			Place o	f arrest	
Age and sex of juvenile	Total		Other	r State	Not re-
	Total	Home State	Contigu- ous to home State	Not con- tiguous to home State	ported whether home State
Total cases	1, 168	614	159	RD	306
Boys' cases	1, 066	569	150	79	268
Under 14 years. 14 years. 15 years. 10 years. 17 years. 18 years. Age not reported.	12 15 59 120 311 537 6	7 9 37 57 160 298	1 2 5 15 64 63	7 17 21 33	4 4 10 37 66 143
Girls' cases	102	45	. 9	10	38
Under 14 years	8 8 9 13 23 41	3 3 4 7 12 16	I 3 5	8 2 1 1	5 2 8 4 7 17

The offenses charged or the reason for arrest in the cases of 248 juveniles arrested outside their home States were as follows:

Boys Total arrested outside own State 229	Gir i e 10
Total allested outside own blavelilling buy	7.0
Violation of—	
Liquor laws16	2
Motor Vehicle Theft Act112	- -
Immigration Act 73	8
Postal laws8	
Mann (White Slave) Act	5
Other laws	19 2
Held as material witness1	4

Offense

The preponderance of arrests for violation of the liquor laws and, to a lesser extent, the National Motor Vehicle Theft Act and the Immigration Act, has been pointed out previously. Forty-nine percent of all the cases for which offense was reported were liquor cases. Motor-vehicle cases and immigration cases contributed 16 and 15 percent, respectively. Postal offenses comprised only a very small proportion (5 percent). Girls, as well as boys, were arrested more frequently for violation of the liquor laws than for any other offense, 32 percent of the girls being charged with this offense. Seventeen percent of the girls were held on immigration charges, 8 percent on Mann Act-charges, and 8 percent for postal offenses (table 59).

[&]quot; Report on the Child Offender in the Federal System of Justice, pp. 22-23, 68-71.

¹⁴ Drug Act, 1; counterfeiting, 7; Interstate Commerce Act, 5; not reported, 6. .• Drug Act, 1; not reported, L.

FEDERAL JUVENILE OFFENDERS

Table 60.—Age and sex of juvenile and offense charged or reason for arrest in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1- Dec. 31, 1932

				Case	s of Fe	deral J	uve ni	ie offer	nders -			
				or	tense c	harged	-Viol	atlon o	r-			wit
Age and sex of juvenile	,		Vehicle	tion		inst	Drug	Act			ē	msterial ness
	ą	Liquor laws	Motor Vehic Theft Act	igra Act	Postal laws	Laws against counterfeiting	Narcotic I	Interstate (Mann Act	Other laws	Offense not ported	eld as me
	Total	<u>ii</u> 	N .	I E	P. P.	8 5	×	<u> </u>	Nf8	100	O	He
Total cases	1, 168	562	180	177	62	39	14	13	Я	. 87	13	13
Boys' cases	1, 066	630	178	160	54	39	11	13		69	11	ī
Under 14 years	1 12 15 59	3 9 31	1 10	13	2 2 5					5 3 8	1	;
16 years 17 years 18 years	126 311 537	64 140 279	28 66 73	58 91	9 16 20	7 27	3 8	1 2 10		10 15 27	3 4 2	
Age not reported	6	4		·		ļ		·····		1	1	
Girls' cases	102	32	2	17	8		3	:	8	18	2	12
Under 14 years	18	2	- -	2	1				2	4		1
15 years	13	2		1 2	l i				Ī	1 3	i	3
16 years	23 41	11 13	<u>2</u>	5 7	1 2		3		i	4		

Includes 3 under 10 years (Immigration Act 1, other laws 2); 2 of 10 years (liquor laws 1, postal laws 1); 1 of 11 years (postal laws); 1 of 12 years (other laws); 5 of 13 years (liquor laws 2, other laws 2, not reported 1), 2 Includes 2 under 10 years (Immigration Act 1, other laws 1); 1 of 10 years (Immigration Act); δ of 13 years (postal laws 1, other laws 3, hold as material witness 1).

Period between arrest and disposition

Forty-two percent of the cases of Federal juvenile offenders for whom the period between arrest and disposition was reported were disposed of in a period of less than 1 month, 19 percent being disposed of in less than 1 week after arrest. Twenty-four percent were disposed of in a period of between 1 and 2 months, making a total of 67 percent disposed of within 2 months. In 33 percent of the cases the period between arrest and disposition was 2 months or more. For 43 juveniles (4 percent) from 6 months to 1 year elapsed between arrest and disposition. The period tended to be shorter for girls than for boys, 57 percent of the girls' cases, compared with 41 percent of the boys' cases, being disposed of in a period of less than 1 month, and a total of 76 percent of the girls' cases, compared with 66 percent of the boys' cases, in less than 2 months (table 61).

Table 59.—Sex of juvenile and offense charged or reason for arrest in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders										
Offense charged or reason for arrest	Tr	ntal .	Be	nys	Oirls						
	Number	Percent distribu- tion	Number	Percent distribu- tion	Number	Percent distribu- tion					
Total cases.	1, 168		1, 066		102	-					
Offense or reason reported	1, 155	100	1, 055	100	100	100					
Violation of— Liquor laws. Motor Vehicle Theft Act	562 180 177 62 39 14 13 8	49 16 15 5 3 1 1	530 178 160 54 39 11 13	50 17 15 5 4 1 1	32 2 17 8 3 	33 17 8 3 18					
Offense or reason not reported	.13		11		2						

¹ Includes 1, National Banking Act

Twelve of the 27 boys and 2 of the 16 girls under the age of 15 years were charged with violation of the liquor laws. Thirty-one boys and 2 girls of 15 years were charged with this offense, and 10 boys of 15 years were charged with motor-vehicle offenses. Two children (a boy and a girl) under 10 years of age, 1 girl of 10 years, and 4 children (3 boys and 1 girl) 15 years of age were arrested on immigration charges. Twelve children (9 boys and 3 girls) under 16 years of age were charged with postal offenses. Cases arising under the Mann (White Slave) Act were responsible for the arrests of two 14-year-old girls, and one 15 years of age. The age of the child and the offense with which he was charged are shown in table 60.

¹ Less than 1 percent.

Table 61.—Sex of juvenile and period between arrest and disposition in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders										
Period between arrest and disposition	Т	ota"	Be	ys.	Oirls						
	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution					
Total cases	1, 168		1,008		102						
Period reported	1,061	100	Pau	100	93	100					
Less than I week	1 200	19	169	17	31	33					
1 week, less than 2	90	. 8	79	8	11	12					
2 weeks, less than I month	160	15	149	15	11	12					
1 month, less than 2 2 months, less than 3	257	24	239	25	18	. 10					
	141	13	132	14	9	10					
8 months, less than 6	170	16	101	17	9	10					
6 months, less than 9.	31	3	29 10	3	2	. 2					
9 months, less than 12	. 12	1	10	1	2	2					
Period nos reported	107		98		. 9						

Includes 63, less than 1 day; 72, 1 to 2 days; 65, 3 to 6 days.

A slightly smaller percentage of liquor cases than of all cases were disposed of in less than 1 month, and liquor cases were somewhat more likely to remain open for 3 months or more. A larger percentage of immigration cases than of cases of other types were closed within 1 month, and no immigration case remained open as long as 6 months (table 62).

Table 62.—Offense charged or reason for arrest and period between arrest and disposition in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	•			Cas	es of F	ederal	Juveni	le offen	ders			
			-		Offen	se char	ged-\	/iolatic	n of—			-5
Period between arrest and disposition	То	otal		uor ws	hicle	or Ve- Theft ct		ilgra- Act		her ws	not reported	rial witness
	Number	Percent dis- tribution	Number	Percent dis-	Number	Percent dis- tribution	Number	Percent dis-	Number	Percent dis- tribution	Offense not re	Held as material
Total cases	1, 168		562		180		177		223		13	13
Period reported	1,061	100	500	100	167	100	166	100	190	100	10	13
Less than 1 month	450 257 141 170 31 12	42 24 13 16 3 1	202 94) 72 102 24 10	40 19 14 20 5 2	57 60 21 25 3	34 36 13 15 2	88 58 15 5	53 35 9 3	80 39 20 37 4 1	45 20 15 19 2	9	5 3 4 1
Period not reported	107		58		13		11		24	}	3	

¹ Percent distribution not shown as number of cases was less than 50.

From 19 States (table XX, p. 119) cases were reported in which a period of 6 months or more clapsed between arrest and final disposition, as follows: North Carolina, 6 cases; Mississippi, 5 cases; Alabama, 4 cases; Kontucky, West Virginia, and Texas, 3 cases each; Arizona, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, and Wyoming, 2 cases each; and Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Missouri, Pennsylvania, and South Carolina, 1 case each.

Release prior to final disposition

In the development of the Federal program for dealing with juvenile offenders, emphasis has been placed on avoiding jail detention whenever possible. Jail detention may be reduced by: (1) Increased use of release in proper cases, on the offender's own recognizance or the recognizance of responsible persons, a practice in juvenile-court procedure generally agreed to be sound; (2) fixing bail in low amounts; (3) shortening the period between apprehension and disposition; and (4) use of local facilities for juvenile detention when available.

During the period covered by these statistics comparatively little use was being made of these devices, as is shown by the following facts. Of the 977 cases of juvenile offenders for whom information as to release was reported, 250 (236 boys and 14 girls) were released on bail. Only 23 juveniles (20 boys and 3 girls) were known to have been released on their own recognizance pending trial, and 12 (11 boys and 1 girl) on the recognizance of others. Seventy-one percent were held until final disposition, without release, and of the 692 so held (623 boys and 69 girls) 61 were under the age of 16 years (table 63). Release on bail, or in a few cases, on their own recognizance or the recognizance of others, was much more common in liquor cases than in cases of other types, as table 64 shows. Release on bail or personal recognizance usually followed a period of detention.

TABLE 63.—Sex and age of juvenile and release pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	-	Cases of Federal juvenile offenders											
	To	tal	-		Boys				O	irls			
Release pending trial	Number	Percent dis- tribution	Number	Percent dis-	Under 16 years of age	16 to 18 years of age	Age not re-	Number	Percent dis- tribution	Under 16 years of age	16 to 18 years of age		
Total cases	1, 168		1,066		- 86	974	6	102		25	77		
Report as to release	977	100	890	100	71	816	3	87	100	24	63		
No release	892 285	71 29	623 267	70 30	43 28	578 238	2	- 69 18	79 21	18 6	51 12		
On hallOn own recognizance On recognizance of	250 23	26 2	236 20	27	16 6	219 14	1	14 3	16 3	3	11		
others	12	1	11	1	6	5		1	1		1		
No report as to release	191		176		15	158	8	15		1	14		

^{**} See, for example, U.S. Department of Justice Circular No. 2221 to United States Marshals, dated Sept. 25, 1931, in which it is said that, "it is the policy of the Department to avoid the use of jails for determined of any juveniles of immature years or experience. To this end effort should be made by you and your deputies to place such juveniles in custody of local detention homes or such other places of detention as are provided by local authorities for juveniles and wayward minors whenever such course can possibly be pursued with safety."



TABLE 64.—Offense charged or reason for arrest and release pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

											
	-	-	(Cases of	l Feder	al Juve	nile offe	nders			
				Offe	nse chai	rged	Violatio	n of—			
Release pending trial	Total	Lique	or laws	hicle	or Ve Theft ct		nigra- ı Act	Other	laws t	Of- fense	Held as ma- terial
	- -	Num- txor	Per- cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per- cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per- cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per- cent dis- tribu- tion	not re- ported	wit- ness *
Total cases	1, 168	562		180		177	<u></u>	223		13	13
Report as to release	. 977	406	100	150	100	157	100	181	100	10	13
No release	692 285	237 220	51 49	137 13	91 9	152 5	97 3	143 38	79 21	10	13
On hall	250	210	45	9	6	3	2	28	15		
On own recogni-	21	10	_2	2	1	2	1	9	Б		-
On recognizance of others	12	9	2	2	1			1	1		
No report as to release	191	96	·	30		20		42		3	

In 6 of the 8 Mann Act cases the offender was not released, in 1 case release was on ball, and 1 case on

offender's own recognizance.

• Percent distribution not shown as number of cases was less than 50.

The 35 juveniles released on their own recognizance or the recognizance of others were distributed among 17 States and the Territory of Alaska, as table XXI (p. 120) shows. Arizona released 5 juveniles, Alaska 4, and Missouri 3 in this way. In none of the other States were more than 1 or 2 children released without bail. Of the 250 juveniles reported released on bail 40 were reported from Kentucky, 30 from North Carolina, 20 from Georgia, 16 from Alabama, 15 from West Virginia, 14 from Oklahoma, 13 from New York, and 11 each from Tennessee and Texas. No other State reported as many as 10 cases of release on bail.

Ball

Setting of bail, which must be furnished before a prisoner can be released pending trial, is a common practice in criminal procedure, to which juveniles as well as adults dealt with by Federal courts are subject. Reports as to bail were obtained in 911 boys' cases and 89 girls' cases. Bail was set in 37 percent of the boys' cases and 38 percent of the girls' cases. In the cases of only 2 children under the age of 14 years (a boy of 11 and a girl of 13) was bail set, but 19 boys and 6 girls 14 and 15 years of age were reported as having bail set, in amounts ranging from \$100 to \$1,500 (table 65).

TABLE 65.—Sex and age of juvenile and amount of bail set in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders												
Amount of ball			Bo)ys			Oirls						
	Total	Total	Under 16 years of uga	In to 18 years of age	Age not re- ported	Total	Under 16 years of age	in to 18 years of age					
Total cases	1, 168	- 1, 066	86	974	6	102	25	77					
Ball set	372	338	20	317	1	34	7	7					
\$100, less than \$500	' 60	52	7	44	1	8	8						
\$500, less than \$1,000	³ 134	122	. 41	116		12	2	10					
\$1,000	89 l 12	81 12	1 1	77 11		8	1	,					
\$1,500 \$2,000	13	13	•	13									
\$2,500 or more	114	14		14									
Amount not reported	50	14	4	40		6	i						
No ball set	628	573	53	518	2	55	17	8					
No report as to ball	168	155	13	139	3	13	1	1					

¹ Includes 10 at \$100, I at \$150, 9 at \$200 (including 1 boy aged 11), 10 at \$250, 28 at \$300, 1 at \$350, 1 at \$400

Includes 123 at \$500 (including 1 girl aged 13), 4 at \$750, 7 at \$800.
 Includes 8 at \$2,500, 2 at \$3,000, 3 at \$5,000, 1 at \$10,000.

When the youth of the offenders and the types of offenses are considered, the amounts of bail appear to be high in the majority of cases. In only 19 percent of the 322 cases in which bail was set and the amount was reported, was the sum fixed under \$500. In 42 percent of the cases it was between \$500 and \$1,000 and in 40 percent of the cases it was \$1,000 or more. Eight cases of bail in the amount of \$2,500 were reported, 1 involving a boy of 16 and 7 involving boys of 18 years. Three of the eight cases were liquor cases, four were motor-vehicle cases, and one was a counterfeiting case. Two boys, 1 of 17 and 1 of 18, were held for \$3,000 bail on counterfeiting charges; 3 boys of 18 years were held for \$5,000 bail, 1 on a liquor charge, and 2 on counterfeiting charges; and 1 boy of 18 years was held for \$10,000 bail on a charge of counterfeiting. No girl was held for bail of more than \$1,000.

Bail was much more likely to be set in liquor cases (56 percent) than in cases of other types. In only 21 percent of the motor-vehicle cases and 11 percent of the immigration cases for which information was obtained on this point was bail set. When bail was set in motor-vehicle cases, however, the amounts were usually high, 22 of the 30 cases of this class having bail set at \$1,000 or more, and 4 of these having bail set at \$2,500 or more (table 66).

Table 68.—Amount of bail set and offense charged or reason for arrest in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	Cases of Federal juvenile offenders												
in the second of			Offense charged-Violation of-										
Amount of ball	Total		Total		350100				٠.	DieH es			
	Num- ber	Per- cent distri- bution	Num- ber	Per- cent distri- bution	Vehi- clo Theft Act	Immi- gra- tion Act	Postal inws	Other laws	Of- fense not re- ported	rial wit- ness			
Total cases	1, 168		562		180	177	62	161	13	13			
Ball set	372		268		32	18	21	28	1	4			
Amount reported	322	100	227	100	30	17	19	25	1	3			
\$100, less than \$500_ \$500, less than \$1,000_ \$1,000_ \$1,500_ \$2,000_ \$2,500 or more. Amount not reported	60 134 89 12 13 14 60	19 42 28 4 4 4	45 107 58 6 7 7 4	20 47 20 3 3 2	6 2 12 . 5 1 4 2	1 9 8 2	2 2	3 8 6 1 1 0 3	1	1 2 i			
No ball set No report as to bail	628 168		212 82		121 27	140 19	32 9	105 28	9	9			

In a large proportion of cases in New York State release was on bail, and the bail was high. Bail was set in 21 of the 38 New York cases, and in every case but 1, in which the amount was not reported, the amount of bail was \$1,000 or more. More than half the total New York cases (20 out of 38) were liquor cases. The number of cases in which bail was set at \$1,000 or more was as follows:

\$1,000	12	\$3,000	1
\$1.500	1	\$5,000	1
\$2.000		\$10.000	1

Twenty-five other States reported from 1 to 8 cases in which bail of \$1,000 was set; 7 other States, from 1 to 3 cases of bail of \$1,500; 9 other States, 1 or 2 cases of bail at \$2,000; 7 other States, 1 or 2 cases of bail at \$2,500 or \$3,000; and 2 other States, 1 case each of

bail of \$5,000 (see table XXII, p. 122).

In 254 of the 372 cases in which bail was set, the boy or girl was released—on bail in 250 cases and on his own recognizance in 4 cases. The juvenile was not released before trial in 103 of the cases in which bail was set, and information as to release was not obtained in 15 cases. In all but 12 of the 60 cases in which bail was fixed at less than \$500 the juvenile was known to have been released. In many cases in which larger amounts were fixed the juvenile was held throughout the period, as table 67 shows. This period is often prolonged. In 5 of the 89 cases of juveniles whose bail was set at \$1,000 the detention was for 2 to 5 months, and in 1 case it was for over 6 months. In 2 of the 12 cases in which bail was set at \$1,500, and in 2 of the 13 cases in which it was set at \$2,000, the child was detained from 3 to 5 months. One of the three boys held for \$5,000 bail was detained between 3 and 6 months, and the boy held for \$10,000 was detained for a similar period.

TABLE 67.—Release pending trial and amount of bail set in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1 Dec. 31, 1932

	Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders							
Amount of ball	Total	Released pending trial	Not re- leased pending trial	No report as to release pending trial				
Total cases	1, 168	29.5	692	- 191				
Ball set	372	254	103	15				
\$100, loss than \$500. \$600, less than \$1,000. \$1,000. \$1,600. \$2,000. \$2,600 or more.	60 -134 89 12 -13	48 101 54 5 6	11 27 32 6 6	1 6 3 1 1				
Amount not reported	60	1 34	14	1				
No ball setNo report as to ball	628 168	31	589	8 168				

¹ Includes 2 cases in which ball was waived and the juvenile was released on his own recognizance.
² Includes 10 cases in which the juvenile was released on his own recognizance and 12 on the recognizance of others.

Place of detention

By the last half of 1932 little progress had been made in substituting detention in local juvenile detention homes for jail detention. Of the 1,168 cases disposed of by Federal authorities, the juvenile was known to have been detained in 983. The cases of only 37 were disposed of without the juvenile having been detained at all. In 148 cases information as to detention was not obtained. In 983 cases of juveniles detained only 19 (2 percent) were in juvenile detention homes throughout the period of detention, and 12 (1 percent) were elsewhere, not in jail, making only 3 percent for whom a place of detention other than jail was provided. In 952 cases (97 percent) the juvenile was detained in jail, either a Federal jail (100 cases) or a county or city jail (852 cases). In 23 cases of juveniles held in jail, detention was in a juvenile detention home or elsewhere during part of the period. (Table 68.)

Table 68.—Sex and age of juvenile and place of detention pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

·	Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders													
Place of detention pending trial				Boys		Girls								
	Total	Num- ber	Per- cent distri- bution		16 to 18 years of age	Age not re- ported	Num- ber	Per- cent distri- bution		16 to 18 years of age				
Total cases	1, 168	1,066		86	974	6	102		25	77				
No detention Place reported	37 983	32 803	100	67	26 824	1 2	5 90	100	3 21	69				
Local fail 1 Federal fail 2 Juvenile detention home Other institution Other place	852 100 19 4	792 85 13	89 10 1	48 18 1	742 67 12	2	60 15 6 4 8	67 16 6 4	11 3 4 1 2	49 12 2 3 3				
No report as to detention	148	141		14	124	3	. 7		1	6				

Includes 3 cases of boys under 16 cared for part time in Jall and part time elsewhere (2 in detention home and 1 in other place) and 1 case of girl under 16 cared for part time in Jail and part time in an institution, Includes 5 boys under 16 cared for part time in Federal jail and part time in local jail.

I Less than I porcent.

Girls were somewhat less likely than boys to be detained in jail. but even in girls' cases jail detention was reported for 83 percent of those detained. In the cases of 67 boys under the age of 16 years who were held, only 1 was cared for in a detention home, and 66 were held in iail-18 in a Federal jail and 48 in local jails. In the cases of 21 girls under the age of 16 years who were held 4 were detained in detention homes. 3 were detained elsewhere, and 14 were held in jail. Juvenile detention homes provided care in the cases of 12 boys and 2 girls who were 16 years or over, including 7 juveniles who were 16 years of age, 5 who were 17 years of age, and 2 who were 18 years of age. In the cases of the 13 juveniles detained in jail part of the period and in juvenile detention homes the remainder of the period. 2 were under 16 years of age, and 11 were 16 or over

In the 80 cases of boys and girls under the age of 16 years held in jail the ages were as follows:

	Boys	Giris .
Total	66	14
Under 10 years	3	1
11 years	1	
12 years	1	
13 years	- 5	4
14 years	10	4
15 years	46	5

The charges on which the juveniles were held are shown in table 69. Five girls involved in Mann (White Slave) Act cases and 1 boy and 7 girls not charged with any offense but held as material witnesses were detained in iail.

TABLE 69 .- Place of detention pending trial and offense charged or reason for arrest in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities. July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	Cases of Federal juvenile offenders												
Place of detention pending trial		Offense charged-Violation of-											
	Total	Liquor	Motor Vehicle Theft Act	Immi- gration Act	Postal laws	Other laws	Offense not re- ported	Held as ma- terial witness					
Total cases	1, 168	562	180	177	62	161	13	12					
No detention Local juli ! Federal juli ! Juvenile detention home Other institution Other place No report on detention	37 852 100 19 4 8 148	33 415 29 2 1 1	139 0 6	145 12 2 2	2 48 2 1	1 1 2 90 4 46 4 7	7 2	1 8 1 3					

Drug Act.
Includes 20 cases of boys detained part time in fail and part time elsewhere (12 in detention home and 8 in other place) and 3 cases of girls detained part time in fail and part time elsewhere (1 in detention home, 1 in an institution, and 1 in other place).
Includes counterfeiting, 28; interstate Commerce Act, 11; Drug Act, 9; Mann Act, 5; not specified, 37.

Care in juvenile detention homes for part or all of the period of detention was reported only in the following States, and in only one of these States for more than 3 cases: Alabama, California, Florida, Illinois, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri (7 cases), New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Virginia (see table XXIII, p. 124).

Length of detention

Of the 966 cases of inveniles for whom length of detention was reported, 99 (10 percent) were held less than 1 day and 170 (18 percent) 1 day but less than 3. In more than one-third (37 percent) of the cases they were held 1 month or longer, and in 10 cases 6 months or longer. Long periods of detention (1 month or more) were reported for 21 boys and 7 girls under the age of 16 years (table 70). When it is recalled that the juvenile in nearly all cases was held in jail, the lengthy detention periods, due at least in part to the fact that the court is not in continuous session and sits in different places in the district, are seen to be especially serious. The 334 juveniles (315 boys and 19 girls) known to have been held in Federal or local jails throughout the period of detention and for 1 month or more, were detained for the following periods: 1 month, 182; 2 months, 73; 3 months but less than 6 months, 72; 6 months but less than 9 months, 7.

A boy held as a material witness was detained 2 months, and in the cases of 12 girls detained as material witnesses 7 were held for 1 month or more (3 for 2 months and 1 for 3 but less than 6 months). Of the 8 girls involved in Mann Act cases, 3 were held for 1 month or more (1 for 2 months, 1 for 6 months or more).

TABLE 70 .- Sex and age of juvenile and length of detention pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31,

	Cases of Federal juvenile offenders												
	То	tal	Boys					Olris					
Length of detention pending trial	Number .	Percent distribution	Number	Percent distribution	Under 16 years of age	16 to 18 years of age	Age not reported	Number	Percent distribution	Under 16 years of age	16 to 18 years of age		
Total cases	1, 168		1, 066		86	974	- 6	102		25	77		
No detention	37 966	100	32 881	100	5 69	25 810	1 2	5 85	100	3 21	64		
Less than 1 day	99 170 103 98 134 197 78 77	10 18 11 10 14 20 8 8	87 152 95 88 125 183 71 71	10 17 11 10 14 21 8 8	11 17 13 6 1 10 4 7	76 135 82 82 123 172 67 64	1 1	12 18 8 10 9 14 7 6	14 21 9 12 11 16 8 7	5 4 1 4 2	14		
No report on detention	165	 -	158		12	139	3	12		1	- 1		

<sup>Includes 17 boys detained part time in Federal jail and part time in local jail.
Includes counterfeiting 6, Drug Act 4, not specified 36.
Includes counterfeiting 3, Mann Act 3, Interstate Commerce Act 1.</sup>

Twenty-three States and Alaska reported juveniles detained for periods of between 3 and 6 months. Periods of 6 months and more were reported for cases in Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Wyoming (see table XXIV, p. 125).

Disposition of cases

The primary aim of the Department of Justice in its program for dealing with Federal juvenile offenders is to encourage transfer of juveniles to State and local authorities whenever there are available reasonably adequate facilities for their care, having due regard to the individual problems of the offenders and the interests of society. For those for whom the Federal Government must assume responsibility the objectives include: (1) Increased use of probation in proper cases; (2) increased use of juvenile instead of penal institutions; (3) increased use of properly equipped State training schools in preference to sending juveniles, often long distances, to the National Training Schools at Washington. The program was just in process of development in 1932.

In the last 6 months of 1932, only 72 (6 percent) of the 1,168 cases were transferred to State authorities. In all, one-third of the cases (33 percent) were disposed of through dismissal, transfer, release to immigration authorities, verdict of not guilty, or fine—processes not involving continuing supervision by the court nor institutional care. In less than one-fifth of the cases (18 percent) was the juvenile placed on probation (see table XXV, p. 127). This percentage is lower than that found in cases dealt with by juvenile courts reporting to the Children's Bureau in 1932, 32 percent of their delinquency cases being disposed of by probation.

The number of cases disposed of by transfer to State authorities is shown in table XXV (p. 127). In no States were more than 7 cases transferred, and only in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, and Missouri were 5 or more cases transferred. In some States many cases are referred by investigating officers directly to State authorities and are

not included in these statistics.

Of the States disposing of 10 or more cases, Georgia ranked first in the proportionate use of probation, this disposition being made in 18 of the 46 Georgia cases, and in 3 other cases in combination with jail sentence. In Arkansas, Kentucky, Michigan, and Virginia probation was used in approximately one-third or more of the cases. In general, however, very few cases were so disposed of (table 71).

In 20 of the 72 cases transferred to State authorities the juvenile was under the age of 16 years. In 14 cases the juvenile was 16 years of age, in 20 cases he was 17, and in 15 cases he was 18. In 3 cases the age was not reported (table 72). Thirty-two of the 72 were arrested in the States in which they lived, 12 in contiguous States, and 13 in more distant States; in 15 of these cases the State of home residence was not reported. In the cases of the 208 juveniles placed on probation, 21 were under the age of 16 years.

Almost half the cases (47 percent) resulted in commitment to institutions. This percentage is in contrast to the very much lower proportion (8 percent) of institutional commitments in delinquency cases disposed of by juvenile courts reporting in 1932 (see p. 37). Ninetenths of the institutional commitments were to penal institutions, usually local jails. In 22 cases of girls and 343 cases of boys the juvenile received a jail sentence or served time in jail for nonpayment of

Table 71.—Disposition of case in States having 10 or more cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

		Casos	of Federal	juvenile offe	nders	
	<u> </u>		1	Disposition		
State and Territory			1	 		<u> </u>
	Total	Trans- ferred to State authori- ties	Probation alone or with sus- pended sentence	Probation and Jail sentence	Other	Not re- ported
Total cases	1, 108	72	208	22	860	
tates and Territory having 10 or more cases.	1,093	63	190	21	814	
AlabamaAlaska	56 40	5	16	2	33	
Arizona	26	3	2		21	
Arknusns	27	5	9	1	12	
California	20	2	5		13	ļ
Florida	-41	7	7 18	3	27 24	
Ogorgia	46 10	1	18	1 4	23	
IdahoIllinols	40	4	1 6		29	
Indiana.	11	1	l ĭ	l	10	
Kentucky	18	1	25	7	- 48	
Louisiana.	39	Ī	8	2	27	
Maryland	24	1 1	4.		16	
Michigan	10	1	4 2		- 5 11	
Minnesota Mississippl	- 14 35	- -	8	2	24	
Missouri	32	i	2	"	23	
New Mexico	12		Ī		11	
New York	38	i			32	
North Carolina	62	2	17	1	42	
North Dakota	12				12	
Ohlo	12	- 2	2		8	
Oklahoma	71	1 4	5	1 1	61 8	
Pennsylvania	15 35	3 2	1		27	
Tennessee	27	2	3	[24	
Texas	167	4	1 11		141	
Vermont	15	·	l	1	15	
Virginia	21	2	7		12	
Washington	13	ī	i		11	
West Virginia.	45	1	3		40	
ates and Territory having less than	75	o	18	1	46	

fine. Twelve boys and one girl under the age of 16 years were committed to jail. The girl was 15 years of age, and the ages of the boys were as follows: Under 10 years, 1; 13 years, 1; 14 years, 4; 15 years, 6.

Institutions for juvenile delinquents were used in the cases of only 55 juveniles—53 boys and 2 girls. In 35 of the 55 cases commitments were made to the National Training School for Boys at Washington, and in 20, to State training schools. The number of commitments to the National Training School has been considerably reduced in recent years. The 35 boys committed to the National Training School came from Puerto Rico and 11 States, as follows: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia. State training schools were used in the following 8 States: Arkansas, California, Idaho, Illinois, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Oregon, and Texas, and an Alaska girl was committed to a State training school.

n In the fiscal year ended June 30, 1930, 300 boys were committed to this institution. The Delinquent Child, p. 441.

TABLE 72.——Sex and age of juvenile and disposition of cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal authorities, July I—Dec. 31, 1935	of cases of Federa	il juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal aul	thorities, July I–Dec. 31, 1933
		Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders	
	Total	Boys	Girls
לומסונוסת מו המש			

					Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders	deral Juver	ile offend	£13			
Disposition of case	Total	tal		-	Boys				Girls	ā	
	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution	Under 16 years of age	Jears Sears of age	Age not reported	Number	Percent distri- bution	Under 16 years of age	16 to 18 years of age
Total cases.	1, 168		1, 048		36	974	9	102		25	Ŀ
Disposition reported	1, 162	100	1,061	100	95	696	8	ioi	001	25	92
Transferred, dismissed, Juvenile found not guilty. Juvenile fined	386	33	330	31	17	883	3	85	55	15	#
Transferred to State authorities.	27.5	9-	8:	9-	17	94	3	905	86	m-	e-
Dismission of many lines in the lines of the	:E«	-ព-	: 51 a	127	61	87		129	132	=	37
Fine paid	28	. 64	ន	- 61	3	33					
Juvenile placed on probation. Juvenile committed to institution for juveniles.	25.38	25 s	훓꿃	85.2	E	178	-	<u> </u>	210	TT C1	80
National Training School for Bays	នទ	5 3	श्च इ	60	9 -	ភះ		3	64	C1	
Juvenile committed to jail	1 365	31	343	33	22	330	-	£!	<u>\$</u> 1		5
To serve out fine	3 3	87.0	없은	81-	c+ to	85		C1 F	C11~		011-
Jail Sentence and probation Jail sentence and the	នេះ		ងនដ	4. 5	C1 K3	មននិ	7	- 21	12		
Juvenile committed to reformatory, prisco camp. peni- tentiary	ដ	21	51	=		119	-				
State reformatory	1-67		1- 9-			9 %	-	3	3		
United States prison camp. United States penitentiary.	13	C1	28	C1 C1		22					
Other disposition	33.	7	19	7	3	14		9	80	m	iro
Disposition not reported	8		*5			.s		-			~
I Includes of recess of hows and 7 hasse of mirels of the section of the section of	in wardon e	70000	1 1								

7 cases of grip (3 boys and 1 girl under the age of 16 years) placed on probation under 4 cases of grip committed to United States Jails. Includes 94 cases of boys and Includes 61 cases of boys and Includes 8 cases in which the

A law passed in 1930 provides that persons convicted of an offense against the United States shall be committed for such terms of imprisonment and to such types of institutions as the court may direct, to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, who shall designate the places of confinement where the sentences of all such persons shall be served.22 Authority to make these designations has been delegated to the probation service of the Department of Justice. The earlier practice was to designate, generally, certain institutions for the care of juvenile offenders committed by Federal courts. The present policy is to make specific designation in each case. In only 41 of the 178 cases disposed of in the last half of 1932, in which the juvenile was committed to an institution other than a jail, was individual designation made. All these 41 cases were of boys. The designations were as follows:

	Number
Institution	of cases
National Training School for Boys	14
United States reformatories	10
State training schools	B
United States prison camps	0
State reformatories	Z
United States penitentiary	I

Nearly two-fifths of the liquor cases, about one-third of the motorvehicle cases and also of other cases, but only 10 percent of the immigration cases, were transferred or dismissed, or the juvenile was found not guilty, or fined, as table 73 shows. The percentages placed on probation did not vary greatly as to type of offense, except for immigration cases of which only 2 percent resulted in probation. The boy or girl was committed to an institution for juvenile delinquents in 11 percent of the motor-vehicle cases but in only 3 percent of the liquor cases. It was to be expected that few of the immigration cases would result in commitments to institutions for long-time

In 80 percent of the immigration cases the juvenile was committed to jail, as was the case in 29 percent of the liquor cases, 19 percent of other cases, and only 8 percent of motor-vehicle cases. The small proportion of jail commitments in motor-vehicle cases was accompanied by a very high percentage of commitment to other penal institutions, 26 percent of these cases, as compared with 6 percent of the liquor cases, being disposed of in this way. Combining jail commitments and sentences to penal institutions of other types gives the following results:

Type of cass	commitment to jalls and other penal institutions
All cases	42
Liquor cases	dn
Motor-vehicle cases	00
Immigration cases	
Other cases	

n 46 Stat. 326; Supp. No. VI to U.S. Code, Title 18, sec. 753-F.

reason for arrest in cases of Federal juvenile offenders disposed of by Federal author-ities, July I—Dec. 31, 1932

δ

and offense charged

õ

TABLE 73.--Disposition

					Cases	Federal J	Cases of Federal juvenile offenders—	enders				-
						Offense ch	Offense charged-Violation of	olation of				
Disposition of case	å	Total	Liquo	Liquor laws	Motor-Vehicle Theft Act	Vebicie t Act	Immigra	Immigration Act	Other	Other laws	Offens	Held as material
	Number	Percent distribu-	Number	Percent d'stribu- tion	Z um Z	Percent Nurtion	E A	Percent distribu- tion	Number	Percent distribu- tion		WILDESS
Total cases	1, 168		562		130		171		E		13	13
Disposition reported	1, 162	100	581	100	178	100	178	100	122	001	13	13
Transferred, dismissed, juvenile found not guilty, juvenile fined. Juvenile blaced on probation. Juvenile committed to institutions for juveniles. Juvenile committed to fast to propose propose protection. Juvenile committed to reformatory, prison camp, praitentiary. Other disposition.	8888888 888888888888888888888888888888	888.2 II 11.2	219 117 18 165 35	22.23.33.31.00	42 115 33 64 47 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 11	38 119 18 18	18 4 141 110 4 10	10 80 22 62	2565 1505 120 138 88	855 851 77 4	F-4- 61	E
Disposition not reported.	6 0				7		-		64			

Term of probation

In all but 3 of the 169 probation cases in which the term of probation was reported, definite periods were specified, ranging from under 1 month to 5 years. In 3 cases (all boys) the juvenile was placed on probation during minority. In administrative practice, however, probation periods are flexible and by order of the court may be terminated before or extended beyond the expiration of the period originally specified, provided, however, that the period of probation plus any extension may not exceed 5 years.23

The probation periods in the 166 cases for which terms other than

minority were specified were as follows:

Term of probation	Number of cases	Term of probation	Number of caser
Total	166	2 years	48 18
Less than 6 months6 months, less than 1 year 1 year, less than 2	. 12	4 years 5 years	41

Term of commitment to juvenile institutions

In the cases of the 35 boys committed to the National Training School for Boys, 5 were committed for minority, and the term of commitment of 1 was not reported. The terms of commitment of the remaining 29 were as follows: 1 year but less than 2, 15; 2 years, 7; 3 years, 3; 4 years, 3; more than 5 years, 1.

Boys in 18 cases were committed to State training schools. For 17, term of commitment was reported as follows: I year but less than 2, 5; 2 years, 5; 3 years, 5; 4 years, 2. Two girls were committed

to State training schools, each for a 5-year term.

Term of sentence to jails and other penal institutions

In all but 4 cases jail sentences were for less than 1 year except where there was a combined jail sentence and probation order. Approximately two-thirds of the jail sentences were for less than 3 months, the most usual period being 1 month but less than 3, as table 74 shows; but about one-third were for periods of 3 months or

In the cases of 123 juveniles committed to institutions for adultsreformatories, penal camps, and penitentiaries-75 were committed for periods of between 1 and 2 years. Only 17 were committed for as

long as 3 years.

n 43 Stat. 1259; U.S. Code, Title 18, sec. 724

offenders committed to penal institutions by Federal authorities, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932 TABLE 74.—Sex of juvenile and length of sentence in cases of Federal juvenile

	Cases of		renile offe ial institut		mitted to
			Boys		
Length of sentence	Total	Total	Com- mitted to jails	prison comps,	Girls
		- "		and peni- tentiaries	·
Total cases	488	463	343	120	1 25
Less than 1 year	311	201	290	1	20
Less than 5 days. 5 days, less than 15. 16 days, less than 1 month. 1 month, less than 3. 3 months, less than 5. 6 months, less than 9. 9 months, less than 12.	30 24 32 127 62 30 6	26 22 28 121 59 30 5	26 22 28 121 59 29	1	4 2 4 6 3
1 year, less than 2. 2 years. 3 years. 4 years. 6 years. More than 5 years. Minority. Not reported. Inappheable J.	86 29 13 1 6 1 1 15 25	84 29 12 1 6 1 1 15 23	11 1 4 	73 28 12 1 2 1 1 1	1 2

¹ Includes 20 committed to jails all for less than 1 year and 3 to reformatories, 2 for 1 year but less than 2 years, and 1 for 3 years.

¹ Includes 22 cases of boys and 2 of girls serving out fines, no time being specified, and 1 case of boy committed to United States jail pending reference to immigration authorities.

SOURCE TABLES

Table Ia.—Number of boys' and girls' delinquency, dependency and neglect, and special-proceedings cases disposed of, and number of cases of children discharged from supervision by courts in 4 States, by 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and by 199 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932.

Area served by court	Delin	quenc	y C8565		ndene glect ce			lal-pro igs cas		disc	of chi harged ervision	from
Alton Bol Food by Comm	Total	Boys	Girlə	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Hoys	Oirli
Total cases 1	85, 274	56, 639	8, 635	23, 235	11, 889	11, 346	1, 171	201	361	18, 737	15, 014	3, 72
State totals: † Connecticut	6, 971 11, 831	6, 411 10, 465	1,366	8, 807	4, 470	4, 328	332	101	23 i 14		2, 347 3, 890	225 890
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	55. 6 87	48, 223	7, 464	19, 610	10, 104	9, 506	1, 108	188	314	15, 849	12, 463	3 , 38
Alabama: Mobile County (Mobile)	140	126	14	5	I	4	5	1	4	18	18	- -
San Diego County (San Diego)	1, 385	1, 196	189	437	227	210	57	30	27	191	144	
San Francisco County (San Francisco)	647	811	130	761	383	378	1	1		412	301	11
Connecticut: Bridgeport (city) Hartford (city) New Haven (city)	511 711 340	650		- 71 169 93					1	176 95 - 252	78	1
District of Columbia (Washington)	1,790	1, 604	195	303	168	135				763	602	16
Florida: Dade County (Mlami)	619	510	109	702	340	362	,	1		353	250	10
Georgia: Fulton County (Atlanta)	1, 264	1,074	190	348	176	172						\ -
Indiana: Lake County (Gary)	206	139	127	173	- 80	. 93	3	. 1	2	148	80	•
Marion County (Indi- anapolis)	785	598	187	200	127	133						
Iowa: Polk County (Des Moines) Louisians:	502	398	104	278	146	132	12	- 6	6	217	153	۱ (
Caddo Parish (Shreve-	304	234	70	202	101	101						
Orleans Parish (New Orleans)	754	680	74	275	175	100		<u> </u>				
Maryland: Baltimore (city)	8,000	2,795	265	320	183	137	4	l	4	285	228	١, ١

¹ Population according to the 1930 census.
2 All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.
2 Includes 006 cases for a court which did not report boys' and girls' cases separately.
4 Includes 15,572 delinquency cases, 3,156 dependency and neglect cases, and 9 other cases.

Table Is.—Number of ways' and girls' delinquency, dependency and neglect, and special-proceedings cases disposed of, and number of cases of children discharged from supervision by courts in 4 States, by 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and by 199 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932—Continued

Area served by court	Delln	quenc	y cases		endend gleet e			dal-prongs car		disc	of ch harped arvisio	from
	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Olrls	Total	Boys	Olris	Total	Boys	Oirls
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR			-									
MORE I'OPULATION-		1		1				1				
Continued. Massachusetts: •		l			ł		1.	i	ł	1		}
Boston:				! !	1						i	
Boston (central sec-	932	796	136	1		l				449	330	110
Brighton	59	57	2							30	20	4
Charlestown	151	148	3							66		
DorchesterEast Boston	223	207 411	16 30							65 161	60 154	5 7
Rorbury	380	355	25							60		ó
Fouth Boston	220	210	10							82	70	ă
West Roxbury	188	176	13							60	60	
Becond district of Bris-	195	170	25		1		ľ			112	100	
tol (Fall River) Third district of Bris-	140	170	A-7							113	109	4
tol (New Bedford)	152	145	7							88	- 86	2
Lawrence district (Law-											0.7	
rence)	155	146	9							88	87	1
Bouthern Essex district	102	100									. 42	
(Lynn) Springfield district	193	193	. Б							47	43	•
(Springfield)	284	254	30							57	53	4
First district of eastern			- 1			******						7
Middlesex (Medford).	228	211	17							125	112	13
Third district of east-			ľ						-			
ern Middlesex (Cam-	305	275	30							142	120	16
Lowell district (Lowell).	158	142	14							23	21	12
Central district of Wor-			- 1									
cester (Worcester)	361	318	43							121	113	8
Michigan:	- 1								. 1			
Kent County (Orand Rapids)	549	466	83	236	121	115			l			
Wayne County (De-	0.0	****	~			***						
troit)	2, 678	2, 394	284	748	415	333				1,779	1, 441	338
Minnesota:		ľ	- 1	.	_		- 1			- 1	1	
Hennepin County	940	770	170	344	181	103	1		.	775	561	214
(Minneapolis)	יטרע	""	170	רינט	101	1157				""		211
Paul)	461	308	63	125	62	63				259	178	81
New Jersey:		- 1			Ì			i	1		1	
Hudson County (Jer-		005				- 1	- 1		- 1	252	207	45
sey City)	1,025	885	140							202	207	5.0
ton)	201	263	28		1							
New York:		-,,				1					- 1	
Albany County (Al-							اا			7.07	100	
bany)	423	354	69	394	206	188	13	2	11	137	126	11
Broome County (Bing- hamton)	176	144	32	158	66	92	1	- 1	1	36	32	4
Chautauqua County	***	•••	-	•••	"		1		[1	_
(Jamestown)	211	195	16	113	45	68	3		3	12	12	
Dutchesz County	90		0	371	193	178	15		10	50	83	Я
(Poughkeepsie) Erie County (Buffalo)	715	81 667	δ8 - 88	136	193	68	27	. 4	23	215	202	13
Monroe County (Ro-		00.7	~~		~1	۳,	- 1	- 1	~1			
chester)	167	150	17	175	89	86				147	128	19
New York (city)	7, 366	6, 584	782	4, 230	2, 186	2,044	104	37	67	3, 193	2, 505	688
Niagara County (Ni-	188	147	11	69	38	31			r.	38	38	
Oneida County (Utica)	248	216	32	187	84	103	13	3	10	34	33	i
Rensselaer County		- 1		1	i	. 1		٦		- '}	1	-
(Troy)	190	150	40	146	70	76	1		1	11	8	3
Behenectady (city)	219	224	25	91	45	46	9	2	7	93	83	10
Buffolk County (Pat-	83	79	اړ	,	,	- [i	17	17	
Byracuse (city)	241	234	7	105	50	55	7		7	81	77	4
Westchester County	- 1	- 1			. 1	1				1		
(Yonkers)	382	310	72	532	282	250	85	87	48	326	257	69

Massachusetts and New Jersey reported only delinquency cases.

Table Ia.—Number of boys' and girls' delinquency, dependency and neglect, and special-proceedings cases disposed of, and number of cases of children discharged from supervision by courts in 4 States, by 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and by 199 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population in 1932—Continued

Area served by court	Delln	duenci	7 CASES		ndenc lect co			ial-pro igs cas		disc	of chi harged rvision	from
	Total	Boys	Giris	Total	Boys	(lirls	Total	Boys	Oirls	Total	Boys	Oirle
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION — Continued. Oblo:							-					
Franklin County (Co-	1, 316	1, 106	210	418	226	192	11	0	2			
Hamilton County(Cln- cinnatl)	2, 418	1, 951	467	344	168	176	25	1	24	252	207	-44
Mahoning County (Youngstown)	2, 110	1, 825	285	137	. 69	68	1	1			 	
Montgomery County	493	315			131	135	3	1	2	211	144	6
Oregon: Multnomah County (Portland)	839				219	204	28	7	21	394	270	12
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County (Pittsburgh)	794	639	155	708	351	354						
Berks County (Read-	74	59	15	28	13	15	4	4		3		;
Ing)	34	28		10	4	6	1		1	2	2	
(Uniontown)						_		,				
(Norristown) Philadelphia (city and	76	73	3	29	11	18	,	,				
county)	6, 711	5, 898	813	2, 966	1, 545	1, 421	606	(4)	(0)	976	645	33
ville County (Green-	80	69	11	5 3	23	30	. 2	1	1	50	45	
Utah: Third district (Balt Lake City)	953 869	776 721			82 103	89 77	21 1	10 1	11	327 238	271 211	
Washington: Pierce County (Ta-	214	157	57	- 161	84	77	13	7	6			
Bpokane County (Spo-			- 1						7			
wane)	628	546	82	201	'	110	- 1					
County (Milwaukre)	3, 730	3, 133	597	960	499	46i	7		2	1, 237	851	380
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPULATION	9, 587	8, 416	1, 171	3, 625	1,785	1,840	63	16	47	2, 888	2, 551	337
			404	1, 695	807	888	31	10	21	967	757	210
50,000, less than 100,000 Less than 50,000 Massachusetts 7	3, 105 4, 139 2, 343	3, 609	530	1,930		952					1,034	94

⁴ Not separately reported.

⁷ Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and areas with less than 50,000 population.

TABLE IB.—Area of court jurisdiction and number of delinquency, dependency and neglect, and special-proceedings cases disposed of, and number of cases of children discharged from supervision by 199 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population in 1932

Area served by court	Delin- quency cases	Depend- ency and neglect cases	Special- proceedings cases	Cases of children discharged from super vision
Alabama: Clarke County Escambia County Etowah County	20	25 6 4		6

I Population seconding to the 1930 census.

TABLE IIA.—Age under which juvenile court has jurisdiction and age of boys dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 154 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932.

	Age			В	oys' de	linque	ncy cas	965		
·	which juve-			-		Age	f boy			٠.
Area served by court	court	<u>.</u>		T				l	T	Γ.
	has juris- diction	Total	Un- der 10 years	years, under 12	12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16	16 years, under 17	17 years, under 18	years and over	Age not re- porte
Total cases ?		56, 639	3, 313	7, 004	13, 315	21, 811	6, 063	3, 282	251	70
itate totals:						. 400				
Connecticut Massachusetts	16		247 247				112 1,935	4		
New York		10, 465				5, 102	101	10	4	11
Utab		1, 907	64			604	430	302	10	1
REAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPU-		48, 223	2,774	5, 992	11, 417	18, 833	5, 817	2, 853	225	61
Alabama: Mobile County	16	126	9	18	27	45	11	3	1	1
California: San Diego County San Francisco County	21 21	1, 196 511	69	66 24		311 135	277 126	274 131	- 68 22	
Connecticut: Bridgeport (city)	16	444	63		120	179				
Hartford (city)	16		103		189	214	17	4		l
New Haven (city) District of Columbia	16	323	- 6			100			;	
Florida: Dada County	17 17	1, 604 510	106 35	204 55		500 217	295 78	14	l î	
Georgia: Fulton County Indiana:	10		98			417	30			
Lake County Marlon County	16		11		38 154	62 300	2			
Iowa: Polk CountyLouisiana:	18		35		86	119	49	. 44		
Caddo Parish	- 17	234	10	28	37	88	65	2	2	
Orleans Parish Maryland: Baltimore (city) Massachusetts:	17 16	680 2, 795	39 202	88		27 1 866	108 82	23 43	6	. 1
Boston: Boston (central section)	17	796	60	57	164	313	192			
Brighton		57	i	7	8	17	24			
Charlestown	17	148	10			26	52			
Dorchester	17	207	11	31	42	67	50			
East Boston	17	411 355	60 10			117 160	70 93			
Bouth Boston	17 17	210	10	23	37	90	51			
West Roxbury	. 17	175	10	20	38	55	52			
West Roxbury Becond district of Bristol	17	170		21	27	66	56			
Third district of Bristol	17	145 146	2	14 13		62 49	33 50			
Lawrence district Southern Essex district	J7 17	193	4	24		71	45			
Springfield district	17	254	3			105				
First district of eastern Mid- diesex	17	- 211	<u></u>	9	30	99	73			
Third district of eastern Mid- diesex	17	275	18	24	47	108	78			- -
Lowell district Central district of Worcester	17	- 142 318	2	8	26	56 141	50 102			
Michigan: Kent County	17	400	26	49	97	172	111	1		1
Wayne County	17	2, 304	16	238		· · -	523	16	l	
Hennepin County Ramsey County	18 18		16			217 123	172 72	197 94	9	
New Jersey: Hudson County	16	895	81	146	261	415	10			-
Mercer County	10							2		

Table IIa.—Age under which juvenile court has jurisdiction and age of boys dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 154 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932—Continued

-	Age			В	ys' de	llaquer	јеў свя	188		
	under which juve-					Age o	fboy			
Area served by court	nile			\neg						
	has	Total	Un-	10	12	14	16	17	18	Ago
	Juris-		der	years,	years,	years,	years,	years,	years and	not re-
	diction		10 vears	under 12	14	under 16	ungar 17	18	Over	
			years							
REAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPU-										-
New York:				87	75	215				
Albany County	16			20		87	·i			
Broome County	. 16 16						12			
Chautauqua County Dutchess County	ia		8	14	21	37	1			
Erie County		657					9	1 4	1	
Monroe County	1 16			15						Q
New York (city)	16					3, 307 77	45	1 4		
Ningara County	16			25		4				
Quelda County	16									
Rensselser County			•							
Schenectady (city) Buffolk County	î			18		28				
Syracuse (city)	1	234						1		
Westchester County	16	310	}	81	70	157	27	8		
Ohio:	i .			١	200	357	185	100	8	
Franklin County										
Hamilton County	1	1, 951								2
Mahoning County	1									•
Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	1 ::						130	128	2	. 1
Penusylvania:	_^	1	1		1		١	1 .	1	İ
Allegheny County	10	639	83	H 110				1	8	
Berks County	10			9 3	10			8		l
Fayette County	1 10							•		1
Montgomery County	10	73	۱ ۱	1 1	1 . 21		1 1			ŀ
Philadelphia (city and coun-	10	J. 896	46	1 015	1, 673	2, 490				84
South Carolina: Greenville Coun-	1 -	, ,,,,,,,	1	1	1	1	ì	ì	1	
ty	10	5 69	; k	2 10						1
Utah: Third district] 10									1
Virginia: Norfolk (city)		72	1 8	5 50	5 116	227	133	145	1 3	1
Washington:	١		Ι,	1 13	3 2	, B2	21	24	2	
Plerce County										
Spokane County		3, 13							29	1 1
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	1 *	٥, ١٥،	"	7 ~	1	1	1	1	l	ŀ
Areas with Less Than 100,000 Popu-	Į	1		1			٠	.]	J ~	١.
LATION	.	. 8, 41	8 53	1,01	2 1,89	5 2,978	1,44	429	20	<u></u> f
		-	J	2 80	2 64	964	82	108	12]
50,000, less than 100,000		2 60								
Less than 50,000 Massachusetts		3, 60 2, 19							J	<u>`</u>
Filestriones to	.[-[- 10	T T	· 1	٦, ١,	٦ ٠٠٠				l i

³ Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and are population.

Population according to the 1930 census.

All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

TABLE IIB.—Age under which juvenile court has jurisdiction and age of girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 144 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932!

			-	0	irls' de	linque	ncy ca	565		
	Age under which					Age	of girl	· .		
Area served by court	Juvenile court has	Total		10	12	14	16	17	18	Age
	Juris- diction		Under 10 years	years, under 12	years, under 14		years, under 17	years. under 18	years and over	
	<u> </u>		 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Total cases !		8, 635	323	488	1, 436	1,022	1, 375	817	81	90
-		-					<u> </u>			
State totals: Connecticut	16	447	41	44	122	204	35	1		
Massachusetts	17	500	10	30	302	244 850	184	3		
New YorkUlab	1H	1, 366	53 5	87	16	110	100	67	2	
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPU-		7, 464	261	403	1, 215	3, 535	1, 169	729	78	74
Alabama: Mobile County	16	14		3		3	4			
California:	21	189	12	13	14	45	45	43	16	
San Diego County San Francisco County Connecticut:	21 	136			- 14	42	37	21	16	3
Bridgeport (city)	16	67	6	4 5	20 19	37 29	<u>i</u> -	i		
Hartford (city) New Haven (city)	16	17		1	3	13		ļ		
District of Columbia	17	195	6	25	33	81	50	<u>-</u> -		
Florida: Dade County	17	109	-6	7.5	24 50	51 117	18	2 3	2	1 1
Georgia: Fulton CountyIndiana:	16	190							_	· '
Lake County	38 18	127 187	1	2 11	19 35	79	20 34	21 26	1	j
Iowa: Polk CountyLouisiana:	18	104	8	5	15	39	17	20		
Caddo Parish	17 17	70 74	3 2	2 3	7	32	16 17	3	1	
Orleans Parish Maryland: Baltimore (city) Massachusetts:	iń	265	11	26	66	124	25	10	i	2
Boston: Boston (central section)	.17	136	1	11	25	60	39			
Brighton	17	2		1			1			
Charlestown	17	3 15	>		2	1	1	- -		
Dorchester East Boston	17	30	i	i	6	12	10			
Roxbury	17	25			2	12	- 11			
Bouth Boston	17	10		i	1	7	2 7			
West Roxbury Becond district of Bristol	17	13 25	<u>i</u> -	3	9	4 7	5			
Third district of Bristol	17	7	<u> </u> -	Ĭ	4	2				
Lawrence district	17	9			2	5	2			
Southern Essex district	17	5		1	4	13	13	- 	-	- -
Springfield district First district of eastern Mid-	17	30			'	9	8			
dlesex. Third district of eastern Mid-	17	30		1		13	16			
diesex	17	14	i	- 1	2	7	3			
Lowell district. Central district of Worcester.	17	43	1	2	9	21	10			 -
Michigan: Kent County Wayne County	17 17	83 294	2 2	-5 7	14 29	39 167	21 77		1	1
Minnesota: Hennepin County	18	170	2	2	12	54	35	52	11	,
Ramsey CountyNew Jersey:	18	4.3			G	27	17	_13		
Hudson County Mercer County	15 16	140 28	9	. 8 4	17 8	105 15	1			
New York: Albany County	16	60	.8	3	12	45	1	ļ		<u>.</u>
Broome CountyChautauqua County	16 16	32 16	4	2	4 6	- 19	. 3	<u> </u>		

Population according to the 1930 census.

All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

Table IIB.—Age under which juvenile court has jurisdiction and age of girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 144 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932—Continued

	4=0			. OI	ris' de	linque	nc y cas	163		
	Age under which tuvenile					Age	of girl			
Area served by court	court has juris- diction	Total	Under 10 years	IVERTS.	12 years, under	14 years, under 16	- 16 years, under 17	17 years, under 18	18 years and over	16-
				<u></u>	<u> </u>					
REAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPU-		-	-			,				
LATION-Continued.	1 1				1		l			i
New York-Continued.	1			1	۔ ا	ا ـ ا	Ι.	1	I]
Dutchess County	16	9			3	. 5	1			
Erle County	16	58	l	2	16	37	1	1		1
Monroe County	16	17				11	1			
New York (city)	16	782	29	58	181	506	. 8			ł .
Niagara County		11	1	1	4	. 6				
Oneida County		32	1	1	4	27				
Ronsselaer County	1 ::	40	,	1	J 1	19	1 19	1	l	J
		25		l a	Ó	12	1		l	1
Bchenectady (city)	1 1 1	1		l ĭ	l i	1 2	1			1
Buffolk County	1 11	7		1 1	•	7		1		1
Byracuse (city)			i	4	ii	36	18	i		1
Westchester County	16	72	, ,	•	l **	i ³⁰	١ ،			
Ohio:			١.	5	28	78	56	87	l ı	1
Franklin County		210	1	12	49	154	110	117	16	1
Hamilton County		407	5		28	1 103	68	65	l "	١.
Mahoning County	. 18	285	10	10			34	32	1	1
Montgomery County	. 18	178	11	9	19	68	22	19	l i	j j
Oregon: Multnomah County	. 18	108	2	3	18	40	22	18	١,	1
Penusylvania:	1		1 .	1		۱	١ _	١.	2	1
Allegheny County	. 16	155	3	11	33	96	7	2	2	1
Berks County		15			. 2	. 12	1			
Fayette County		. 6		.]	. 3	3				
Montgomery County	. 16	8	l		1	2				·
Philadelphia (city and county)	1 15	813	67	62	154	513	3			-1
South Carolina: Greenville County		11	1		. 3	7	1			.
Utah: Third district		167	1 5	7	27	51	43	31		-1
Virginia: Norfolk (city)	18	148	5	12	23	49	23	35	1	
Washington:] -]	1	ĺ		-	j		1	1
Pierce County	18	57	1 1	1	6	27	8	10		.
Brokane County] i8	i 82		1 1	10	22	20	21		-1
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County		597	14	26	67	190	147	143	8	1
ERAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPU-		١.	1						1	
LATION	.	1, 171	62	88	221	487	206	88	8	1
LA LIVIT			-	-	 	-	·	-	<u> </u>	-
50,000, less than 100,000	.	496	. 17	30	96	208	99	36	2	
Less than 50,000	-1	530				219		52	1	1
Massachusetts	-	145				60	50	\		-1
MINSHCHISCHS			, ,	, .	1	1 "	1			

Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and areas with less than 50,000 population.

Table IIIA.—Color, nativity, and parent nativity of boys dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 1 State, 42 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 26 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932 i

Area served by court Total cases *	Total 45, 286 1, 907 42, 247 128	Total 34, 070	Native, native parent- age 17, 796	White Native, foreign or mixed parent- are	Native, parent- age not re- ported	For- eign born	Nativ- lty not re- ported	Col- ored boys	Boys whose color was not re ported
Total cases 1	15, 286	34, 070	native parent- nge	foreign or mixed parent- are	parent- age not re- ported	elgn born	Ity not re- ported	ored	whose color was not re
Alabama: Mobile County	1,907				1, 085	628			1
Alabama: Mobile County	1,907			15,018	1, 1985	11,224		0.014	
POPULATION	12, 247	1, 889	1 000			====	913	0, 214	=
FORULATION			1, 000	232	32	14	2	18	
Alabama: Mobile County		22 404		14.74	1 420	623	909	0.751	
California: Ban Diego County Ban Francisco County Connecticut:	126	33, 494	15, 559	14, 764	1, 639	023	- 51 71	8, 751	l
San Diego County San Francisco County Connecticut:	1	69	67		.2			57	i
Bridgeport (city)	1, 196 511	1, 151 498	818 162	251 180	20 79	45 32	8 39	45 13	
	444	429	95	322	2	9	1	18	
Hartford (city)	1,004	603	151 552	403 67	40	21 1	36	39 911	
Plorida: Dade County	510	373	351	21	30	1		137	
Florida: Dade County	1,074	425	125					649	
Indiana: Lake County	189	111	44	67				28	
Marlon County	103	101	400	l i				197	
Iowa: Polk County	398	348	302	44	1	- 1		50	
Louisiana: Caddo Parish	231	116	113		3			118	l
Orleans Parish	680	346	241	66	15	0	18	334	
Maryland: Baltimore (city)	2,795	1,692	914	837	226	13	2	1, 103	
Michigan: Kent County	166	436	303	128	5			30	
Wayne County		1,946	488	1, 281	42	122	13	448	
Minnesota:	1	1	393	302		3	0	20	ŀ
Hennepin County Ramsey County	770 398	750 389	3H3 275	108	43	6		9	
New Jersey:	1	1	1	1					
Hudson County	885	828	227	566	33	33	2	57 43	
Mercer County New York:	2/13	220	19	165	33	3		10	
Erie County	657	613	191	399		22	1	44	
Monroe County	150	140	33	110		. 6	27	609	
New York (city) Renwelser County	0, 594 160	8,975 143	1,312	4, 144	348	146		7	
Вутасизе (city)	234	225	55	159	3	8		9	
Westchester County	310	2%0	73	162	15	23	7	30	
Ohio: Pranklin County	1, 106	873	589	83		. 1		433	
Hamilton County		1, 342	1, 266	41	33	1	i	609	
Mahoning County	1, 825	1, 621	236	740	226	5	414	202) :
Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	731	2/0 723	241 530	136	33	18	6	49 8	
Pennsylvania:	1 "")	Ì	ì	0	-5	ľ	-	
Allegheny County	639	519	158	354	7 2			120	
Berks County Fayette County	59 28	58 28	23	32	1		1	1 2	
Montgomery County	73	60	22	37				14	
Philadelphia (city and	1						000	1 700	
South Carolina: Greenville	5, 898	4, 138	1,678	2, 130	4	45	283	1, 760	
County	. 100	40	87		8			29	
Utah: Third district	776	749	080	146	28	13 2	2	7	
Virginia: Norfolk (city)	721	349	333	14		2		372	
Pierce County	157	147	132	11			4	10	
Spokane County	540	541	407	120	. 5		<u>-</u>	5	
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County.	3, 133	3, 035	1, 212	1, 345	413	35	31	97	
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000			0 ~~-					463	
POPULATION	3, 039	2, 576	2, 237	284	46				
50,000, less than 100,000 Less than 50,000		1, 412	1, 197 1, 040	196	11 35	5	3	206 257	

TABLE IIIB .- Color, nativity, and parent nativity of girls dealt with in delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 1 State, 42 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 25 courts serving areas with less than 100,000

			O	ris' d	eline	mency c	1309		
-	- -			w	hite	girls			
Area served by court	Total	Total	Native, native parent- age	Nati forei oi mix pare	ign r ed nt-	Native, parent- age not re- ported	For- eign born	Native ity not re- ported	Col- ored girls
Total cases 1	7, 427	5, 663	3, 246	1.1	922	330	111	54	1, 764
	337	335	262	-	59	. 9	.5		2
tate total: Utah 3									
REAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPU-	6,834	5, 181	2,827	-}	888	325	109	53	1, 653
Alabama: Mobile County	14	6	.) 8					1	
California: Ban Diego County San Francisco County	189 136	180 130	137		32 43	4 25	11 6	12	10
Connecticut:	67 61	57 52	17		37 37	1 2	2 1) (
Hartford (city)	198	59	40)	6	4	2	-	- 136
Florida: Dade County Cleorgia: Fulton County	109 190	63					ļ <u>-</u>		- 12
Georgia: Fulton CountyIndiana:	l	105		,	64		4		. 2
	127 187	111	10	5	8	2			7
Marlon County Iowa: Polk County	104	87	7	° -	0				8
Louisiana:	70					2		8	. 6
Orleans Parish	74 265	157			44	35	1	i]	- 10
	1	1		2	12	4			
Kent CountyWayne County	284			٥	110	3	20) 3	1 .
	170	16		3	66	5		i-	1
Hennepin CountyRamsey County	63	1 6	1 1	0	20				η,
New Jersey:	140			6	94 16		·	2	<u></u>] '
Mercer County	- 28	3 2	1	- 1					
New York: Erle County	- 51		0 1	14	35 11		1	::]	
Monroe County	- 13 78	2 60	i 19	ŏ	359	13	3	8	1 18
		0 1	10	26 6	14	2	1		
Byracuse (city)			52	7	43	3 2			
Obdo	۱	0 1	17 1	32	1				2 1
Franklin County	46	7 3	30 3	11 02	10			1	8
Manning Conner	- 28 17			46	1	ō		2	i-
Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County			08	85	1	i 7	1	2	*
	. 15	5 1	30	41	8	•	2		
Allegheny County Berks County	1	5	15	7					
Fayette County		3	6	1		2			7 3
Montgomery County	81			10 -	29		2		
South Carolina: Greenville County	,		11) 65	10		i	9	5	
Philadelphia (city and country) South Carolina: Greenville Country Utah: Third district	:: i		69	64		4	1		
	1 .	57	57	45			2		
Plerce County		82	82	68 202	1 21		2 3	-7-	6
Wisconsin: Alliwhited Councy		97	563		- 1	~ · · ·	1		
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPULATION	5	93	92	419		56	1	2	1
50,000, less than 100,000 Loss than 50,000				232 187		13 13	2 2	1	-i-

¹ Population according to the 1030 census.
2 All figures for the State for which a total is given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

Population according to the 1930 census.

Pall figures for the State for which a total is given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

Table IV.—Source of reference to court of delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 1 State, 42 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 26 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932!

				De	linque	ncy ca	963			
				Bou	rce of	referen	ce to c	ourt		
Area served by court	Total	Police	School de- part- ment	Pro- ba- tion oM- cer	Other		Parents or rela- tives	Other indi- vid- ual	Other source	
Total cases *	52, 713	34, 400	3, 317	2, 612	466	774	4, 176	1, 688	197	8
State total: Utab *	2, 244	917	361	450	17	8	96	371	22	
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	49, 081	32, 769	2, 833	2, 026	422	743	3, 971	6, 079	168	7
Alabama: Mobile County	140	53	25	3	18	i	12	27	1	
California: Ban Diego County Ban Francisco County	1,395 647	675 412	133 25	- 2 66	220 26	20 12	132 96	193 9	8 1	
Connecticut: Bridgeport (city) Hartford (city) District of Columbia	511 711 1,799	301 601 1,323	54 30	-36 14 110	1	9 3 7	26 25 232	76 15 127	8 17	
Florida: Dade County Georgia: Fulton County Indiana:	619 1, 264	943	98 21	. 6 58	8	16	104 65	146 169		
Lake County Marlon County Iowa: Polk County	266 795 502	109 315 123	73 21 98	11 3	1	4	- 171 - 57	26 252 217	11	
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Orleans Parish Maryland: Baltimore (city)	304 754 3, 090	131 2,674	12 71	19 750 15	1 	104	52 2 143	75 46	<u>j</u>	
Michigan: Kent County Wayne County	549 2, 678	377 1,944	26 146	15 21	1	3 133	46 178	58 196	12 57	
Minnesots: Hennepin County Rainsey County New Jersey:	940 461	538 343	19 5	3		22 12	128 15	216 85	13	
Hudson County Mercer County New York:	1, 025 291	431 188	184 22	81 8	1 2	62	55 17	209 54		
Erie County Monroe County New York (city) Rensselaer County	715 167 7, 366 190	57P 96 4, 849 54	2 1 76 98	16 8	2	12 58 14	69 31 929 11 10	38 27 1, 431 10 17	1 1	1.
Byracuse (city)	241 382	202 165	82	i		32	26	76 161	8	
Franklin County	1, 316 2, 418 2, 110 493 839	782 1,956 1,056 139 509	116 68 339 135 47	118 8 24 23 1	6 44 24 24 5	25 27 45 13 22	96 174 177 80 58	138 444 79 93	2 11	
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Berks County Fayette County	794 74 34	264 58 28	102	321	2	14 1	78 7 5	8 2	3	
Montgomery County Philadelphia (city and county)	76 6,711	63 5, 320	222	1		10	350	808		
South Carolina: Greenville County Utah: Third district	80 943	51 521	152	- 44	4	5	8 56	19 165	5	
Virginia: Norfolk (city) Washington: Pierce County	214 628	505 148 486	55 9 48	79 3 18	10		39 15 26	186 28 36	1 1	
Bpokane County		3, 131	203	132	2	21	121	116	1	
POPULATION	3, 632	1,631	261	586 194	34	31	205	. 609 355	29 18	
50,000, less than 100,000 Less than 50,000	1,950 1,682	707	223	392	10	10	68	254	ii	

Population according to the 1930 census.

All figures for the State for which a total is given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

Table Va.—Reason for reference to court in boys' delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 154 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932.

				Bo	ys' de	linqu	ency	C8565				
				R	8850B	for re	ferenc	e to c	ourt			
Area served by court	Total	Stealing	Aut of carelessness or mischief	Traffic violation	Тгивпсу	Running away	Ungovernable	Sex offense	Injury to person	Use, possession, or sale of liquor or drugs	Other reason	Reason not reported
Total cases 5	56, 639	24, 870	16, 115	2, 383	2, 817	3, 062	3, 114	934	1, 473	407	1, 155	309
State total ' Connecticut	3, 914 6, 411 10, 465 1, 907	1, 770 3, 077 4, 312 976	1, 246	22 749 79 98	229 424	117 37 501 101	138 157 666 48	93 90 120 36	402	5 48 9 76	198	231 75
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	48, 223	20, 978	13, 967	1, 927	2, 364	2, 789	2, 776	772	1, 194	ļ	1, 010	180
Alabama: Mobile County California: Ban Diego County	1,196	240	23 178				86 86	24 14			35	
Ban Francisco Coun'y Connecticut: Bridgeport (city) Hartford (city) New Haven (city) District of Columbia Florida: Dade County Georgie: Fullon County	444 650 323 1,604 510	197 910 233	131	81	23 19 10 42 49	19 44 1 20 40	33	14 12 2	23	1 7	34	
Indiana: Lake County Marion County Iowa: Polk County	139 598 398	69 373	97	1 2	12 12 14	10	85	2	12 12		4	
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Orleans Parish Maryland: Baltimore (city) Massachusetts:	234 680 2, 795	342	136	1 1	1	.) 9	134	7	25 37 37	1	ļ	
Beston: Boston (central section) Brighton	207 411 358 210	33 71 70 174 5 125 6 65 110 6 96 110 100	35 35 72 145 2 68 53 1 20 3 30	8 8 13 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	3 77 (1 77 (2 8 8 3 2 5 5 5 7 1	3	100 60 22 44 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14		3 6 7 7 8 1 2 4	1 1 3 4 3 1	3 1: 22 1: 22 3: 1	3 4
First district of eastern Mid- dlesex Third district of eastern Middlesex Lowell district Central district of Worcester	21 27 14	1 10: 5 13: 2 7	3 2±	3 8 1	5	8	1 :	, ,	2 2	1	3 2	5 7
Michigan: Kent County Wayna County	2, 39	6 25	4	1 6	5 16	3 4:	1	7 8	3 6	4	į .	3
Minnesota: Hennepin County Hemsey County New Jersey:	77 39						6: 8 1:			3 1		6

Population according to the 1930 census.
 All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 160,000 population.

TABLE VA.—Reason for reference to court in boys' delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population and 154 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932—Continued

	5,			Bo	ys' de	lingu	ency	casas				
		_		R	oason	for re	feren	ce to c	ourt			
Area served by court			ness or	a ·			-		a	possession, or sale liquor or drugs		orted
		-	carelessness mischief	olatio		вжау	nable	83	perso	ession or or d	nost	ot rep
	Total	Stealing	Act of a	Traffic riolation	Ттиврсу	Rupping	Ungovernable	Sex offense	Injury to person	Use, poss of liqu	Other reason	Reason not reported
		St.	*	-	=	ж.	<u> </u>	- Se		<u>ă</u>	ŏ —	
Areas with 100,000 on More Popu- lation—Continued.												
New York: Alliany County Broome County Chautauqua County Dutches County	354 144 195 81	89 112 27	46 7 80 16		96 22 3 9	3	37 10 5 4	7 1 • 2	17		0 11 3 1	
Erie County	147	2, 242 89	30	15	52 2	36 5 376 2	12	68 1	22 9 260 2	2	140 9	04
Oncida County	216 150 224 79 234	51 108 66 162	58 5 70 1 51		39 60 2 2 6		14 15 20 3 8	4 1 2 3	2 4 14 4 3	1] 1 1	
Westchester County Ohlo: Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County Montgomery County	310 1, 106 1, 951 1, 825 315	605 891	207 396 580 57	. 1	100 10	80 303 120 36	33 43 83 17	25 47 20 3	21 23 54 6	7 19 2 6	14 78 30	1
Oregon: Multnomah County Pennsylvania:	731 639	316	176	25	38 129	81 32	32 68	17	18 12	13	15	·
Allegheny County Berks County Fayette County Montgomery County	59 28 73	49 19 58	2 2 6		3	3 3	2 8	2 1 1	3 1			
Philadelphia (city and county)	5, 808	1, 707	2,746	9	178		221	76	85	19	193	
County Utsh: Third district Virginia: Norfolk (city)	776 721		128 160		80 42	35 27	16 36	12 10	10 32	6 24	34 14	<u>i</u>
Washington: Pierce County Bpokane County Wisconsin: Milwaukee County.	157 540 3, 133		24 153 823	70 270	18 141		13 11 172	1 8 106	2 6 41	1 19 28	3 8	
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPULATION	8, 416	3, 892	2, 148	456	453	273	338	162	279	141	145	129
50,000, less than 100,000 Less than 50,000 Massachusetts 1	2, 609 3, 609 2, 198	1, 497	560 1, 206 382	54	224 174 55		156 133 49		54 147 78	32 95 14	27 62 56	129

Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and areas with less than 50,000 population.

Table Vb.—Reason for reference to court in girls' delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 144 courts serving areas with less than 160,000 population, in 1932

State totals:	-				Οι	rls' de	linqu	ency	CRSAS				
Researce			-	-	R	eason	for r	eleren	œ to	court			
State totals:	Area served by court	Total	Stealing	0 5	Traffic violation	Truancy	Running away	Ungovernable	Sex offense		Use, possession, or sale of liquor or drugs	Other reason	Reason not re-
Connecticut. 447 89 176 176 18 18 110 21 6 7 18 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 19 18 19 19 18 19 19 18 19 19 18 19 19 18 19 19 18 19 19 18 19 19 18 19 19 18 18 18 19 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	Total cases *	8, 635	1, 089	742	117	867	1, 250	2, 431	1,661	208	121	88	6
Alabama: Mobile County	Connecticut	560 1,366	152 159	14 57	10	34	44 300	154 402	109 179	21 35	5 2	13	5
California: San Diego County San Diego County San Diego County San Francisco County San Franc		7, 464	923	647	100	717	1, 149	2, 115	1, 425			77	5
San Francisco County	California:	1			33	7	34	60	18			3	
Florida: Dade County	San Francisco County Connecticut: Bridgeport (city)	67 61 17	15	6		3 4	6 8	25 13 8	10 13 4				
Marion County	Florida: Dade County Georgia: Fulton County Indiana:	109 190	42			8	23 20	44 42	7 6		12 12		
Orleans Parish 74 15 6 2 3 30 3 6 1 2 Maryland: Baltimore (city) 265 55 45 5 21 112 20 6 1 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Marion CountyIowa: Polk County Louisiana:	187 104	17	18	1	9	15 2	82 59	6	5		1	
Boston (contral section) 136 85 2 2 20 11 11 4 1 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15	Orleans Parish	74	15	. 6	2			30	3	6	ì	2 1	
East Boston	Hoston (central section) Brighton	2 3			i		20	2	1	4 1 2			
Third district of Bristol 7 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 6 5 6 6 7 9 8 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	East Boston Roxbury South Boston West Roxbury	30 25 10 13	5 5 2 2	2	4		2 2 2 3	10 7 2 1	4 5 1	1 3 1			
Contral district of eastern 17 3 3 3 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Third district of Bristol Lawrence district Bouthern Essex district Bpringfield district	7 9 5	3			i			3 5 1			i 	
Michigan: Kent County 83 7 4 7 18 1 21 23 2	diesex Third district of eastern Middlesex Loweli district	3 0	2 3	1	 	1		18 7	3	4 1	1		
Hennapin County	Michigan: Kent County Wayne County	83	7	4		18	1	21	23				
Ramsey County	Hennepin County Ramsey County New Jersey:	63	- 8	7	2	1	2	23	29		1		

Population according to the 1930 census.
 All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

TABLE VB .- Reason for reference to court in girls' delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 144 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932—Continued

				a	iris' d	lelinqı	іврсу	C8.585			, –	
			-	R	sason	for ref	ereno	e to co	ourt			
Area served by court	Total	Stealing	Act of carelessness or mischief	Traffic violation	Truancy	Running away	Ungovernable	Sex offense	Injury to person	se, possession, or sale of liquor or drugs	Other reason	Reason not re-
	F	99	¥.	-	E	<u> </u>	١	8		تا	ō	H
Arran with 100,000 or More Por- ULATION—Continued.												
New York: Albany County	100	2		J	35	7	24				1	
Broome County	32				P	4	9	- 6		i	i	
Chautauqua County	10				2		3					ļ
Dutchess County	9 58	1			ļ ¹	10	13	3	!			
Frie County Monroe County	17	22	,			5	5		•			
New York (city)	782	RP.	41	i	9		239		23		ē	50
Ningara County	11	2	2		l		- 5	2				
Onelda County	32				20		- 11					1
Rensselser County	40	1		,	30		4					
Schenectady (city) Suffolk County	2:	4	3] 2	1		3	3			
Byracise (city)	7	i			i		3			i		
Westchester County	72	8			25		13	19	3		i	
Ohlo:				l								ŀ
Franklia County	210	20	. 7	- -	26	16	45	88	.2	5	.1	
Hamilton County	467 285	39 32	17 48	11	16 55	105 31	134 57	102 54	17	8	17	
Mahoning County	178	32 14	30		32	38	11	48	- 4	2	2	
Oregon: Multnomah County	109	18	7	i	4	16	22	36		1	3	
Pennsylvania:	٠ ا	- 1	-									
Allegheny County	155	13	5		43	31	36	23	- 4			
Berks County Fayette County	15	3				3	1 2	8				
Montgomery County	3	2					2					
Philadelphia(cityandcounty)	813	83	155	- 	34	220	228	64	16	10	3	
South Carolina: Green ville							اے :			L		
County.	111	3	=		f2	;	7 25	1	i	<u>5</u>		
Utah: Third district	167 148	24 15	7 18	2	14	17 25	21	20 15	26	9		
Washington:	***	19	10		. 1	,	- 1	•"	-17	, °i		
Plerce County	57			1	1	10	7	30				
Spokane County	82	10	12	1	. 8	13	.11	22	-,	4	3	
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County.	597	51	56	16	62	53	141	201	5	12		
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPULATION	1, 171	100	95	17	150	101	316	236	41	30	. 11	8
									1			<u> </u>
50,000, less than 100,000	496	83	21	4	71	49	133	100	7	23	ē	
Less than 50,000	530	69	67	6 7	69 10	49	123	106 30	30	6	. 5	8
Massachusetts *	- 145	. 14		. 4		- 3	(A)	317	٦	1		, °

^{*} Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and areas with less than 50,000 popu-

TABLE VI.—Place of care of child pending hearing or disposition in delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 1 State, 42 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 26 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932

-		-		Deling	uency o	20903			
			Dete	ntion ca	pecified		or long	er in	detention
Area served by court		G.R.D	пе от	1 9 H	ıtion	ce 3fg-	of care '	not re-	as to det
		decention	Boarding home or other (amily home	Detention home	Other Institution	or police	place	e of care ported	raport
-	Total	S S	Boar	Dete	Othe	<u>a</u>	Other	Place	ž
Total cases	52, 713	32, 035	357	12, 911	4, 608	1, 237	278	2	1, 286
∞ tate total: Utah f	2, 244	1, 923	8	123	17	76	92		5
ABEAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	49, 091	29, 191	333	12, 642	4, 544	1, 096	9	2	1, 264
Alabama: Mobile County	140	59		69		6			6
California: San Diego County San Francisco County	1, 385 647	1, 034 331	1	302 304	2	42 9			4
Connecticut: Pridgeport (city)	811 711	376 439	î	129 104	5 1				167
Hartford (city) District of Columbia Florida: Dade County Georgia: Fulton County	1, 799 619 1, 264	1, 432 555 787	1	366 473	8 3	51 1	3		2
Indiana: Lake County Marion County Iowa: Polk County	266 785 502	144 158 308	1	111 622 171	1	9 2 17			3
Iouisiana: ('addo Parish	304 754	129 334	1 - 1	29	2 411	9			134 8 8
Maryland: Baltimore (city) Michigan: Kent County Wayne County	3, 060 549	2, 832 338	3	200	215	1			4
Minnesota:	2, 678 940	1, 072 727	17 38 2	1, 576	10 1 55	165 98			9
Ramsey County New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	461 1,025 201	306 585 267	1	437	1 24				1
New York: Erie County	718 167	470 81	237		7	1			i
New York (city) Rensselaer County Byracuse (city)	7, 366 190 241	3, 932 104 59	1	178	3, 384 54 2	1			44 32 2
Westchester County	382	221	2		185	1	2		1
Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County	2, 418 2, 110	738 1, 201	7	491 1, 604 841 120	36 · 4	254 1 67 58	i	2	11 6 1
Montgomery County Oregon: Multnemah County Pennsylvania:	493 839	311 542	4	120	44	117			12
Allegheny County	794 74 34	14 27 13		410	1 2	1 21			869 44
Fayette County	76	5, 050		59 1, 308	6	1	3		344

Population according to the 1930 census.
 Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in juils or police stations.
 Includes a few cases of children cared for part of the time in juils or police stations and part of the time.

elsewhere.

A includes a few cases of children held in more than 1 place of care but in places other than detention homes, julls, or police stations.

All flagures for the State for which a total is given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more.

All flagures for the State for which a total is given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more. population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

⁷⁰²KK* 2K ... 7

TABLE VI.—Place of care of child pending hearing or disposition in delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 1 State, 42 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 26 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932 -Continued

				Deline	luency	C8.983			
	-	er in	detention						
Area served by court	Total	No detention care	Boarding home or other family home	Detention bome	Other institution	Jail or police sta- tion	Other place of care	Place of care not re-	No report as to deu
Areas with 100,000 or More Poru- Lation—Continued. Bouth Carolina: Greenville County. Utah: Third district	80 943 869 214 628 8, 730	00 783 427 92 391 1,924	1 4	123 374 87 201 1,773	11 2 1	16 20 58 84 35			8 2 8 1 33
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPU- LATION	3, 632	2,844	. 24	269	64	141	269		21
80,000, less than 100,000 Less than 50,000	1, 950 1, 682	1, 500 1, 344	6 18	255 14	37 27	72 69	69 200		11 10

Table VII.—Manner of handling delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving spec. d areas with 100,000 or more population, and 166 courts serving areas with less nan 100,000 population, in 1932.

	- Del	inquency ca	1906
Area served by court	Total	Official	Unofficial
Total cases 1	65, 274	44, 643	20, 63
te totala: 1 Connecticut	. 4, 361	2, 377	1, 984
Manachusetts	6, 971 11, 831 2, 244	6, 971 11, 820 1, 020	1, 224
EAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	55, 687	37, 845	17, 847
Alabama: Mobile County	140	140	
California: 8an Diego County Ban Francisco County Connecticut:	1, 385 647	524 547	761
Bridgeport (city) Hartford (city) New Haven (city)	811 711 340	238 343 340	273 369
District of Columbia. Florida: Dade County.	1,799	1, 160 315	639 304
Georgia: Fulton County	1, 204	1, 264	
Lake County	266 785	168 607	98 88
Iowa: Polk County	502	217	28

Table VII.—Manner of handling delinquency cases disposed of by the courts in 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 166 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932.—Continued

	Del	inquency c	1506
Area served by court	Total	Official	Unofficial
AREAS WITH 100,000 or MORE POPULATION—Continued.			
Louislana:	304	246	
Caddo Parish	764	764	_
Maryland: Baltimore (city)	3,000	3,060	
Massachusetts:			1
Boston:	932	932	
Boston (central section)	59	59 59	
Charlestown	151	. 151	
Dorchester	223	223	
East Boston	441	441	
Roxbury.	3°0 220	- 380 220	
Bouth Boston	188	189	
Becond district of Bristol	195	195	
Third district of Bristol	152	152	
Lawrence district	185	155	
Bouthern Essox (listrict	198	198	
Springfield district	244	284	
First district of eastern Middlesex.	229 305	228 305	
Third district of eastern Middlesox	156	156	
Lowell district	361	361	
Michigan:			
Kent County	649	549	
Wayne County	2, 678	2, 678	
Minnesota:	940	940	
Hennepin County	401	461	
Ramsey County	701	701	
New Jersey: Hudson County	1,025	1,025	
Mercer County	291	291	
New York:			
Albany County	423 176	423 176	·
Broome County	211	211	
Dutchess County	90	90	
Rela County	715	715	
Monroe County	167	107	
New York (city)	7,366	7, 366	
Niagara County	168 248	158 248	
Onelda County	190	190	
Renssolver County Beheneclady (city)	249	249	
Buffolk County	R3	83	
Syracuse (city)	241	241	
Westchester County	382	372	10
Ohlo:	1, 316	470	846
Franklin County	2 418	88	2, 330
Hamilton County	2,110	374	1,736
Montgomery County	493	169	324
Oregon: Multnomah County	839	169	670
Allegheny County	794	794	
Berks County.	74 34	74 20	
Fayette County Montgomery County Philadelphia (city and county). South Carolina: Greenville County.	. 76	76	
Philadelphia (city and county)	6,711	1, 058	5, 053
South Carolina: Groonvillo County	80	57	23
UIAD: I DIEG OBSIERCA	943	364	579
Virginis: Norfolk (city)	869	869	
Washington:	214	126	88
Pierce County	628	212	416
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	8,730	842	2, 898
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPULATION.	9, 587	6, 798	2, 789
50,000, less than 100,000	3, 105	1, 780	1, 325
CO, LOUI, 1088 LUGAL ION, OOV	4, 139	2, 675 2, 343	1, 464
Less than 50,000	2, 313		

Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and areas with less than 50,000 population.

¹ Population according to the 1930 census.

² All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

				:		Boys' d	lelinquen	T C8565		-			
		Child kept under super- vision of court			Child not kept under supervision of court								
Area served by court	Total	Proba- tion	tion or indi- t		Case dis- missed			Referred without commitment to		Resti- tution,	Other disposi-	Case held open without further	Disposi- tion not reported
		officer super- vising	super-	rary care of an in- stitution	or ad- justed	Insti- tution	Agency or indi- vidual	Insti- tution	Agency or indi- vidual	fine, or costs ordered	tion of	Betion	
Total cases 3	56, 639	18, 091	630	. 575	23, 314	4, 195	320	277	757	1, 643	2, 201	4, 633	3
				.—————————————————————————————————————				 		. 			
State totals: 1 Connecticut. Massachusetts. New York Utah	3, 914 6, 411 10, 465 1, 907	1, 460 3, 418 3, 953 645	42 77 43	15	1, 915 642 4, 383 707	202 370 734 70	13 15 133 5	26 30 3	43 15 10	53 219 340 266	111 97 129 81	18 1, 650 670 71	1
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	48, 223	15, 054	505	475	20, 507	3, 648	275	251	685	923	1, 889	4, 010	1
Alabama: Mobile County	126	43		6	20	54	2					1	
California: San Diego County San Francisco County	1, 196 511	185 375	4	40	593 70	15 47	11	1	9		91 8	258	
Connecticut: Bridgeport (city) Hartford (city). New Haven (city) District of Columbía Florida: Dade County. Georgia: Fulton County.	444 650 323 1,604 510 1,074	175 203 218 526 151 375	12 290 28 1	47	205 340 55 577 226 39	19 15 29 60 13 282	3 7 2 1	5 18 4 1 5	21 7 27 12 1	7 19 8	19 53 9 5 17 39	89 5 331	
Indiana: Lake County	139 598 398	39 162 121	22 1 1	8 3	14 72 228	34 80 34	2		17	6	3 11 7	2 264 1	

Louisiana:	1	1	. 1	1	1	1		. 1			- 1	1		
Caddo Parish	234	69	24	2	71	41	2			7 [8 1	10		
Orleans Parish	680		i		101	152	2		147	16	7	255		
Maryland: Baltimore (city)	2, 795	321	2		2,009	373	11	24	2	50	3 1			
Massachusetts:	2, 100	ا ***	- 1		2,000	0.0	1		- 1		Ĭ,		.,	
Boston:				ļ	. 1			l	1			Ī		
	796	414		1	38	7	,		. 1	4		328		
Boston (central section)	57	25			8	'	- 1			- 71	3	20		
Brighton		82			9		•••••			, i	2 1	41		
Charlestown.	148					15				ا ۾	3	72		
Dorchester	207	72			. 44	6	1 :				3 1	101		
East Boston	411	224			70	. 5	. 1			4	0		· · · · · · · · · ·	
Roxbury	355	169			51	15				7	7	106		
South Boston	210	116			10	9	- 1			3]	3			
West Rozbury	175	75			33	14				1 1	1	51		
Second district of Bristol	170	141			4	16					1	8 .		
Third district of Bristol	145	123			2	4				5		11		
Lawrence district	145	101		. <i></i>	2	17				2.	4	20)		
Southern Essex district	193	83			- 11	18				10	2	69		
Springfield district	254	123			11	19	2			22	1	76		
First district of eastern Middlesex	211	129			13	1 10	1			. 9	1	48		
Third district of eastern Middlesex	275	146			32	14	1			13	3	66		
Lowell district	142	111			2	13				1		15		
Central district of Worcester	318	127			26	10	1			3	3	148		
Michigan							1				-	_		
Kent County	466	181	5	77	159	39	1				. 1	3		
Wayne County	2, 394	1. 294	17	l 'il	469	271	l		1		27	314		
Minnesota:	=, 00 .	.,		·					-					
Rennepin County	770	263	}	165	- 44	32	1	12		2	8	244		
Ramsey County	398	275		42	57	14		5		_	. š			
New Jersey:	000	2,0						1			•			
Hudson County	885	173	!	2	282	316	i 12	1	1	89	5	3		
Mercer County	263	229		3		23		1 .	•	30	ľ	š		
New York:						~						, ,		
Albany County	354	113	Į.	ļ	132	19	1	i	ĺ		25	65	:	
Broome County	144	78			102	23	35				-~	5		
Chautauqua County	195	18	14		62	114	1 38					มกั		
Dutchess County	81	49	1 1		17	1 7	, ,			1 5	l i.			
Erie County	657	232	1 5		277	34	46	20		1 1	12	15		
Monroe County	150	95	-		35	17	1 📆	-7	, ,	1 **	1	10		
Your Vanh (sitm)	6.584	2, 434			3, 069	399	1	1 1	3	228	(ئد ا	300	1	
New York (city)	147	48	1 1		3,009	12	} ;		,		1 10	39.		
Ningara County	216	61	١,		120	20	l		i	, ,		,,,,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Oneida County	150	31			86	13	2	3		1 !	5	, 14 , 14		
Rensselaer County		105			1 = 1		2	1 3	ļ .	1 1	١	l 8		
Schenectady (city)			1 11			13	1 2		1	·l •	1 3			
Suffolk County	79 234	17	1 3		34	17	1 3					2		
Syracus (eity)			1 5		10	19	1 2		1 1		5			
Westchester County	310	207	. 3	1	. 59	11		1	1 2	, 0	15	1 1	i	

¹ Population according to the 1930 census.
2 All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

is posi-	
ispos i- ion not	
ported	
•	
	- 1
	1
2	
. 3	

						Boys' d	lelinquen	Cy Caass					
		Child kept under super- vision of court				Child	not kept	inder sup	ervision o	of court			
Area served by cours	Total	Proba-	Agency or indi-	Under tempo-	Case dis-	Commit	ited to-	Referred commitm		Resti- tution,	Other disposi-	Case held open without further	Disposi- tion not reported
		officer super- vising	super-		or ad-	Insti- tution	Agency or indi- vidual	Insti- tution	Agency or indi- vidual	fine, or costs ordered	tion of	sction	
LEAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION—Con. Ohio: Franklin County. Hamilton County. Mahoning County. Montgomery County. Oregon: Multnomah County. Pennsylvania: Allegheny County.	.639	242 286 293 58 288	4 3 2 1 4	1 11 13 14	681 874 1, 238 129 301	74 27 61 47 10	2 3 2 4 1	22 23 19	5 294 35 6 8	\$ 61 10 6	57 335 87 22 81	15 95 26 26 14	
Berks County. Fayette County Montgomery County Philadelphis (city and county). South Caroline: Greenville County. Utah: Third district. Virginla: Norfolk (city) Washington: Pierce County. Spokane County	776 721	54 21 54 610 36 265 299 5	1 23		4, 147 21 405 173	25 60 29	66 4	51	48 1 7 3	127 42 65	512 1 22 38	2 9 55 52	
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	3, 133	691 3, 037	δ 125	35 100	295 2, 133 2, 807	53 67 547	45	13 12 25	11 6 72	720	91 155 312	17	
50,000, less than 100,000 Less than 50,000 Massachusetts ³	3, 609	788 1, 092 1, 157	15 110		1, 063 1, 463 281	161 206 178	11 28 6		47 25	217 380 123	123 138 51	133	

Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and areas with less than 50,000 population.

Table VIIIb.—Disposition of girls' delinquency cases by the courts of 4 States, 68 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 144 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932 1

	,					Girls' d	elinquen	cy cases					
		Child kept under super- vision of court				Child	not kept	under sup	ervision o	f court		Case	
Area served by court	Total	Proba-	Agency or indi-	Under tempo- rary	Case dis-	Commit	ted to—	Referred committ	without nent to	Restitu-	Other dispo-	held open without	Disposi- tion not reported
	-	officer super- vising	vidual super- vising	care of an in- stitu- tion	or ad- justed	Insti- tution	Agency or indi- vidual	Insti- tution	Agency or indi- vidual	fine, or costs ordered	sition of case	sction	
Total cases 1	8, 635	2,777	122	257	2, 645	1, 194	197	108	265	83	406	580	
State totals: 1 Connecticut Massachusetta New York Utah	447 560 1, 366 337	91 305 624 109	13 3	1	186 72 329 155	80 79 281 20	5 17 49 2	7	27	5 6 11	32 2 17 21	10 S0 40 10	
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	7,464	2, 500	108	228	2, 280	967	179	98	222	38	341	502	
Alabama: Mobile County California: San Diego County San Francisco County Connecticut: Bridgeport (city)	189 138	1 22 79	1	7	109 16 32	11 4 17	23		1		16	29	
Hartford (city). New Haven (city). District of Columbia. Florida: Dade County. Georgia: Fulton County. Indiana:	61 17 195 109	17 6 59 17 65	26	14	32 24 3 43 69	9 7 43	5	20	12 3 1		5 1 6 4	1 7 78	
Lake County Marion County Iowa: Polk County	127 187 104	32 64 43		2	21 33 40	27 8 7		5 1			3 6 2	9 75	

¹ Population according to the 1930 census.
2 All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

SOURCE TABLES

Ħ	
Ω	

•	
-	
_	
-	
•	
-	
	O
	ು
	_ਰ
-	-
••	~
	=
-	SOURCE
•	٠,
	TABLES
	-
	⇉
-	₹
••	Ħ
-	Ď
-	
-	
-	
-	

						Girls' d	lelinquen	у сазев					
		Child kept under super- vision of court		Child not kept under supervision of court									
Area served by court	Total	Proba-	Agency or indi-	Under tempo- rary	Case	Commi	ited to—	Referred commits	without nent to-	Restitu-	Other dispo-	Case held open without further	Disposi- tion not reported
		officer super- vising	vidual super- vising	care of an in- stitu- tion	missed or ad- justed	Insti- tution	Agency or indi- vidual	Insti- tution	Agency or indi- vidual	fine, or costs ordered	sition of case	action	
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION—Con. Louisiana: Caddo Parish. Orleans Parish Maryland: Baltimore (city) Massachusetts:	70 74 265	6 77	10	3	17 9 108	15 29 72	9 1 2	1 5	1 13	3 1	6	2 17	
Boston: Roston (central section) Brighton Charlestown Durchester East Boston	136 2 3 18 30	105 1 2 6			6 3	1 1 2	2					21 1	
Roybury. South Boston West Roybury. Second district of Bristol. Third district of Bristol.	25 10 13 25	10 7 3 17 6			5 6	1 2 2 2						1 3 2	
Lawrence district	9 5 30 17 30	5 2 11 11 11 19	1		6 2 6	3 2 8 2 1	2					1 1 5 2 2	
Lowell district Central district of Worcester Michigan: Kent County Wayne County	43 83	27 15 154	16	17	47 30	5 5 4 51	4			1	1	6	
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County		72 20		57 28	6 10	5 5					1	29	

New Jersey:		1	1	ì .		r .				,			
Hudson County	140	33	<u> </u>	1	44	53		\ i	1	2		,	
Mercer County	28	i 20			77	1 ~	٠			•		*	
New York:	- 23	. ~				}							
Albany County	69	13	1		. 37			, ,				-	
Parama County	32	13	}		- 37	1 1	l . <u>!</u>				. 4	7	
Broome County		, ,			. 2	1 5	13]				3	
Chautauqua County.	16		1 3		5.	4	[1			2		1	
Dutchess County	9	, 2			4	.3	<u>-</u>						
Erie County	£9	18		l	10	\ 9	19	1	1	l			
Monroe County	17) 5	1	[3	8	1	[
New York (city)	782	482			152	132] 5	1	1			7	. 1
Niagara County	11	I			5	1 .53	1 7	•	- :			j	•
Oneida County	32	0			. 16	١ ،	1 *					,	
Rensselaer County	40	į į			34	1 3							
Schenectady (city)	25	أأثد ل	J		37		<u>-</u> -						
Suffolk County	- 4	12			. /	1 -	1 2			1		1	
Syracuse (city)	1	1 4				1 1	1				1		
Transfer Court	72	3	Ī			2	1		\ <u>-</u> -	ļ			
Westchester County Obio:	72	37	1 4		8	16] 3		2.		[1	1	
		1				}	i.	1	1	Í			ļ
Franklin County	210	60	9	13	39	60	5	3	5.	l	15	1	
Hamilton County	467	54	11	6	145	15	. 6	43	85	1	i no	42	
Mahoning County	285	21			183	28	4	4	19	1	19	6	
Montgomery County	178	23		5	73	16	3	2	16	1	23	16	
Oregon: Multnomah County	108	21	2	42	28	1 2			i		7	5	}
Pennsylvania:		i "	_	i -		i -		1	•	1			
Allegheny County	155	114	1.	5		3.5				ļ .)	
Berks County.	1.5	14		11		1				/			i
Fayette County.	. 6	3				,							
Montgomery County	ž	1							·			1 1	
Philadelphia (city and county)	813	195			370	72	48		9	8	88	ا (ا	
South Carolina: Greenville County	11	1			3,0	1 12	10		\ . ¥) •	38	1.	
Utah: Third district	167	30				1 3				<u>-</u> -		1 !	
Virginia: Norfolk (city)	148	57		3	103	9	}				. 6	[.1	· ·
Washington:	110	37] 1	. 28	4	2		•	} 10	19	17	
Proces Courts		1	1	1			1	J	ł	Į.		}	1
Pierce County	57	10	2		12	16] 1	16	
Spokane County	82	4			31	17		.} 7	. 5	j 1	11	6	!
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	597	235	8	8	251	50	7		6	l	24	8	1
		į.			1	ì	i.	1	ì	1	i		t
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPULATION	1, 171	277	14	29	365	227	18	10	43	45	65	78	
******		-	 	·}		ļ——		 					-
50,000, less than 100,000.	496	142	. 6	28	152	71	6	2	24	Q l	30	26	1
Less than 50,000	630	81	8	1	194	117	1 4	8	19	32	34	32	
Massachusetts	145	54		.]	1 10	39	l g	l	L	l ~ 2		20	
		1	1	1		1	1	1		1 1		. ~	

¹ Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and areas with less than 50,000 population.

TABLE IX.—Color, nativity, and parent nativity of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by the courts in 1 State, 40 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 26 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932.

			De	pendenc	y and ne	glect ca	383		
				White c	hildren				Сы
Area served by court	Total	Total	Native, native parent- age	Native, foreign or mixed parent- age	Native, parent- age not re- ported	For- eign born	Nativ- lty not re- ported	Colored children	drer whose color was not no porte
Total cases !	19, 273	16, 536	10, 210	ñ, 113	805	250	158	2, 735	
tate total: Utah 1	230	229	170	39	19	1		1	
REAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	18, 133	15, 454	9, 307	4, 966	784	249	158	2, 667	
Alabama: Mobile County California:	5	4	4					1	
San Diego County San Francisco County Connecticut:	437 761	396 673	302 307	69 277	12 51	10 15	23	41 88	
Bridgeport (city) Hartford (city) District of Columbia	71 109	66 142	20 42	44 94	1 6	1		5 27	
	303 702	137 663	129 625	29	9			166	
Florida: Dade County	348	294	284					. 64	
Lake County	173 260	142 211	86 192	47	5 1	8 -	17	31 49	
Marion County Iowa: Polk CountyLouisiana:	278	252	235	14	i	1	· "i	26	
Caddo Parish	202	166 198	166 121	13	18		46	36 77	
Orleans Parish	275 - 320	254	134	34	84		2	66	
Kent County Wayne County	236 748	229 658	180 274	38 298	8 45	20 20	- 1 21	90	
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County	344 125	341 118	181 110	65 8	91	4		- 3 7	
New York: Erle County	136	133	73	56		. 8	1	3	
Monroe County	175	174	134	33	.0	1		- 1	
New York (city)	4, 230	3, 681 146	1,541 128	1,918 18	61	152	ا ۷	549	
Rensselner County Byracuse (city) Westchester County	105 532	103	59 203	40 240	1 27	3 17	2	2 43	
Oblo: Franklin County	418	340	305	24	10		1	78	
Hamilton County	344	211	175	24	10	2	12	133	
Mahoning County	137	128 220	82 204	- 21 15	13	<u>i</u>	12	46	
Montgomery County Oregon: Multnoman County Pennsylvania:	423	410	329	. 58	27		2	7	
Allegheny County	705 28	609 28	375 23	209	25		₁ -	96	
Berks County Fayette County	10	8	7	i				1	
Philadelphia (city and	29	29	25	943	25	11	11	788	
south Carolina: Greenville	2, 966	2, 178	1, 188	נוע	1			4	
Utah: Third district	171 180	170 129	317 111	36 14	16 4	1		1 51	
Washington: Pierce County	161	156	160	4	1		1	4	1
Spokane County	201 960	200 933	184 464	16 253	221	3	3	1 27	
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County REAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000	-	j	903	}	21	1		68	
POPULATION	1, 140 757	722	603	147	12			35	
60,000, less than 100,000 Less than 60,000	383	350	300	40	12	i		33	

¹ Population according to the 1930 census.

² All figures for the State for which a total is given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more able to the state for which a total is given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more able to the state of the state

Table X.—Reason for reference to court of children in families represented in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by the courts of 1 State, 40 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 26 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932.

	Fami	les repre	sented it	n depend	ency an	d neglect	C8866
		R	esson for	r referenc	e of chil	d to com	rt .
Area served by court	Total	With- out ad- equate care or support from parent or guard- ian	Aban- don- ment or de- sertion	Abuse or cruel treat- ment	Living under conditions injurious to morals	Physically handicapped and in need of public care	reaso
Total cases 1	10, 664	8, 128	503	292	• 924	812	
State total: Utah	123	91	10	9	9	4	
	ļ		ļ				-
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION. Alabama: Mobile County	10, 044	7,714	481	200	852	726	
8an Diego County	268 382	135 325	11 7	41	70 45	11	
Connecticut: Bridgeport (city)	43 83 166	- 35 49 150	8 8	1 1 5	7 24 3	i	
Florida: Dade County Georgia: Fulton County Indiana:	366 199	307 163	7	25 8	. 24	20 1	
Lake County Marion County Iowa: Polk County	120 150 199	78 146 118	7	5	14 4 0	16 55	
Louisiana: Caddo PariahOrleans Parish Maryland: Baltimore (city)	142 201 203	110 186 174	12 11	10 1 2	12 2 9		
Michigan: Kent County Wayne County	124 396	116 393	1 2	1	8 1	8	
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County	205 70	197 70	8		2		
New York: Erie County Monroe County	96 85	15 77		1	8	72 1	
New York (city) Rensselaer County	2, 197 91 75	1, 985 63 11	17	18 2	167 3	9 27 55	
Byracuse (city)	404	103	5		17	279	
Franklin County Hamilton County Mahoning County	259 194 102	167 133 69	13 6	11 0 2	39 20 5	29 23 22	
Montgomery CountyOregon: Multnomah CountyPennsylvania:	145 200	108 212	8	8	19 32	- 6 A	
Allegheny County Berks County	319 19 10	307 5	. 4	2	3	7 9 5	
Fayette County Montgomery County Philadelphia (alty and county) South Carolina: Greenville County	12 1, 430	10 1,016	235	51	10 <u>1</u>	27	
Utah: Third district	29 85 101	14 67 51	5 9 10	3 5 1	7 2 89	2	
Washington: Pierce County Spokane County	128 136	105 104	8 2	1 0	17	13 4 0	
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	546 620	345 414	19 22	26 26	72	. 86	
50,000, less than 100,000	439 181	287 127	14	20 6	47 25	71 15	

Population according to the 1930 census.

All figures for the State for which a total is given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the Loup total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

Table XI.—Place of care of child pending hearing or disposition in dependency and neglect cases disposed of by the courts in 1 State, 40 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 26 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932 i

		D	ependenc	y and ne	gleet cas	105	
				on care ter in spe			No re-
Area served by court	Total cases	No de- tention care	Board- ing home or other family	Deten- tion home 1	Other Insti- tution	Other place of care or place not re-	port as to deten- tion care
Total cases 4	19, 273	11, 645	home 801	1,308	4,717	ported ³	72
State total: Utah 4	230	133	42	3	40	3	
BEAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION	18, 133	10, 630	810	1, 272	4, 691	16	71
Alabama: Mobile County	5			- 8			
San Diego County Ran Francisco County	437	362 722	3	12 38	59 1		
Connecticut: Bridgeport (city)	761 71	49	5	5	12		
Hartford (city)	160	65	š	57	. 11		3:
District of Columbia	303	282		20			
Florida: Dade County	702 348	660 283	13 - 3	61	28 1		
Indiana: Lake County	173	106	5	54	7	1 1	l
Marion County	260	160		14	45		4
Iowa: Polk County Louisiana:	278	152	20	91	15		
Caddo Parish	202	77	5	30	2]	R
Orleans Parisb	275	174	10		91		
Maryland: Baltimore (city) Michigan:_	320	270	20	62	26	1	
Kent County Wayne County	236 748	124 416	40 246	34	50	i	
Minnesota:						-	
Hennepin County	344	238	95 41		9	i	
Ramsey County	125	72	11		''	1	
New York: Erie County	136	102	- 29		5		
Monroe County	175	75	y	- -	91		
New York (city) Rensselser County	4, 230	907			3,300		2
Rensselser County	146	116		29	21		
Byracuse (city)	105 532	74 424	95	1 28	12		
Ohio:	,2	721			1		
Franklin County	418	330	19	35	19	5	ļ
Hamilton County	344	221	14	. 5	94		1
Mahoning County	137	H7	7	29 58	13		ŀ
Montgomery County	284 423	197 345	6	12	15	2	
Oregon: Multnomah County Pennsylvania:	14)	340	''] "] -	1
Allegheny County	705	l		221	- 5	2	47
Berks County	28	12	2		6		1
Fayette County Montgomery County	10	.7			2	1	
Montgomery County	29 2, 966	14 2, 331	11 8	8	622		
Philadelphia (city and county) Bouth Carolina: Greenville County	2, 1918)	2, 331]	1	i	
Utah: Third district	171	86	35	. 3	47		
Virginia: Norfolk (city)	180	บร	24	27	1 33	- 1	
Washington:	161	142	-1	14	. 4		
Pierce County	201	147	<u>.</u>	50	4		
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	000	646		301	7		
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPULATION.	1, 140	1,015	51	36	26	6	
50,000, less than 100,000 Less than 50,000	757 383	676 339	27	25 11	19	4 2	

TABLE XII

Child kept under supervision Child not kept under supervision of court Child not kept under supervision Child						Dep	ndency an	Dependency and neglect cases	28.264				
Total			Child kep	t under su of court	pervision		Child	not kept	under supe	rrision of	court		
State Stat	Area served by court	Total	Proba-	Agency or indi-	Under tempo-	Case dis-	Ö	mmitted t	Ţ	Referred commits	without nent to-	Other	Case held open without furtber
1, 106 1, 672 1, 046 1, 684 1			officer super- vising	vidual super- vising	rary care of an in- stitution	person or ad-	Institu- tion	Agency	Individ- ual	Institu- tion	Agency or indi- vidual	tion of	action
cut 1, 106 3, 220 1, 408 328 2, 158 556 2, 680 3, 32 1, 106 3, 028 1, 623 877 5, 692 2, 399 2, 680 381 717 852 100,000 or More Portlation 19, 610 3, 028 1, 625 877 5, 692 2, 680 381 717 852 1: Mobile County 5 2 2, 399 2, 680 381 717 852 Diego County 43 102 8 21 216 40 563 2 2 381 717 852 Francisco County 701 43 31 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4 40 563 4	Total cases 1	23, 235	3, 341	1, 892	1, 043	6, 384	2, 899	3.884	579	1.096	1, 021	158	1, 163
Cut													
19,610 3,028 1,625 877 5,692 2,399 2,680 381 717 852 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	cut	1, 108 8, 807 230	1, 488 v	88 88	20.	168 2,829 74	556 1, 359	39 569 1	23. 24. 24.	15 975 1	ដខ្ម	141 603	384
437 102 8 21 216 9 253 2 25 761 35 4 31 116 40 563 2 2 52 169 4 31 11 80 23 4 6 11 1 303 306 4 11 80 6 11 10 1	MOLL	19, 610	3,028	1, 625	877	5, 692	2, 399	1. 880	381	12.	853	SS.	83
		2 161 161 169 169 303 303 348	35 35 46 46 29	8 8 31 31 213 213 98		116 110 110 3008 19	4 2000		u 4 6	2			81 4 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

¹ Population according to the 1930 census.
1 Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in fails or police stations.
1 Includes 2 children cared for in fail or police station (1 in Multinomah County, Oreg., and 1 in Fayette County, Pa.), 15 cases of children cared for in other places, and 5 cases in which the place was not reported.
4 All fluores for the State for which a total is given are also shown by counts for areas with 100,000 or more

Table XII.—Disposition of dependency and neglect cases disposed of by the courts of 3 States, 49 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 128 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932—Continued

	1				Dep	endency a	nd neglect	CB366				
		Child ker	of court	pervision		Chile	i not k ept	under sup	ervision o	f court		:
Area served by cours	Total	Proba-	Agency or indi-	Under tempo-	Case dis-	Con	nmitted to	:	Referred commitm	without lent to-	Other	Case held open without further
		officer super- vising	vidual super- vising	of an in- stitution	or ad- justed	Institu- tion	Agency	Individ- ual	Institu- tion	Agency or indi- vidual	disposi- tion of case	action
ABEAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULATION—Con. Indiana: Lake County. Marion County. Iowa: Polk County. Louisiana: Caddo Parish. Orleans Parish Maryland: Baltimore (city). Michipan: Kent County. Wayne County. Minnesota: Hennepin County. Ramsey County. Sew York: Albany County. Broome County. Chautauqua County. Dutchess County. Erie County. Monroe County. New York (city). Niagara County. Oneida County. Oneida County. Rensselaer County. Schenectady (city). Suffolk County. Syracuse (city).	173 260 278 202 275 320 236 748 344 125 394 158 113 371 136 69 187 146	8 51 72 28 71 4 99	40 25 5 5 20 4 17 454 175 64 34 46	11 151 32 8 1 25 6	17 61 37 29 69 167 75 47 3 161 142 4 4 21 1,952 3,35 27 1	25 21 84 20 34 65 18 14 17 1 104 5 5 83 925	13 95 38 48 23 21 28 36 47 7 3 18	39 8 4 3 1 11 12 13 1 1 2	17 2 1 1 65 54 36 46 41 83 24 23	30 18 8 73	10 10 3 5 6 7 7 5 49 11 56 28 8 1 6 36 6 53	9 1 36 117 2 50 9 28 55 10
Westchester County	105 532	31	23		9. 13.	11 4	. 149	18	45 195	5 10	10 91	16

Obio:	r	r -	1	1	١.				1	1		
Franklin County	418	16	41	54	98	31	69	4	2	80	23	
Hamilton County	344	37	37	3	33	13	187	9	4	12	9	
Mahoning County	137		4	33	37	7	. 6	1	}	30	10	8
Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	266] 1	1	3	42	73	6	- 26	6	70	1	37
	423	96	. 79	36	125	5	6	8] 3	27	18	21
Pennsylvania:	1		_	Ì	1 .				1			
Allegheny County	705	638	2	55	}	3	5	[2				
Berks County	28 10	3	2			16] 7					
Fayetta County	10	1				7	1		-	1		
Montgomery CountyPhiladelphia (city and county)	29		10			4		} -				
Philadelphia (city and county)	2,966	137			1,369	330	1,008	107		,		19
South Carolina: Greenville County		19		18	70	24		22	1	13	'	,
Utah: Third district		15 28	26	10	1 (0		18	44	1	38	1	
Virginia: Noriolk (city) Washington:	150	20		, •	10		. 40	•) . ~) ' '	***
Pierce County	161	24	25		48	11		,	23	22	,	4
Cookens County	201	"3		•	23	1 12		1	30	54	17	27
Spokane County Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	960	143	88	260	267	1 42	غه ا	10	, 50	33	1 4	- -
17 DOMAIN. DINABILES COMICY	,	1 490	. ~		201	1	. *			. ~	•	~
ABEAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPULATION	3, 625	313	267	166	692	500	204	198	379	169	395	342
	0,000											
50,000, less than 100,000.	1, 695	229	107	150	395	125	84	45	226	70	153	111
Less than 50,000	1,930	84	160	16	297	375	120	153	153	99	242	231
	, , , , ,	1	1	1	1	1		1				

Table XIII.—Reason for discharge in cases of delinquent children discharged from supervision by the courts in 4 States, 56 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 180 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932 t

	C	BSRS Of C	jelingue	ent childi	en disc	hargod	from sup	ervisior	1
				Re	ason for	dischar	ge		
		Is(ac- im-	-i Deci	condi- ry but on not	or re-	r indi-	fron fr		1
Area served by court		f child satisti conditions i	period speci-	ild or c sfactor: ervision	tted o		Cchil cou		ported
		I O .	1 2 2	onduct of child or contions unsatisfactory further supervision advised	committed ed to institut	hild committed ferred to agency o	abouts en of d	reason	Reason not reported
	Total	Conduct of tory or proved	Expiration of	Conduct tions u further advised	Child	Child ferre vidu	Whereabouts of known or maintenance intrisdiction of	Other	Reasor
Total cases !	15, 572	10, 959	1, 150	292	1, 642	212	546	765	u-12
State totals:	1, 406	864	218	30	113	11	28	144	
Connecticut	2, 575	2, 189			216	37	106	27	
New York	3, 771 653	3, 009	35 168	84 10	430 39	-40 5	- 47 28	126 31	
AREAS WITH 100,000 GR MORE POPULATION	12, 913	9, 337	745	201	1, 454	184	414	572	
Alabama: Mobile County	18	12	ı		4			-1	
California: San Diego County San Francisco County	152 358	106 246	1 2	13	38	11	27 37	9 11	
Connecticut: Bridgeport (city)	176	136			. 19	2	· 2	17	
Hartford (city) New Haven (city)	93 252	76 212		10	12 20		6	1 4	
District of Columbia	602 214	350 170	- -	2 4	64 10	28	39 12	110	
Florida: Dade CountyIndiana: Lake County	9.5	48	12	6	13	ĭ	4	11	
Iowa: Polk County	157 244	94 126	<u>i</u>	13	32 103	2	10 8	8 2	
Maryland: Baltimore (city) Massachusetts: Boston:		397		_	33	4	10	5	
Boston (central section). Brighton	449 30	22			3		4	1	
Charlestown	66 65	60 59			4 3	2	1	1	
Dorchester East Boston	161	154			4	2	1		
Roxbury	69 82	45 78			15 3	8	1	<u>-</u>	
South Boston West Roxbury	60	40			8		1	2	
West Roxbury Becond district of Bristol Third district of Bristol	113 88	110 70			1 16	1	2	1	
Lawrence district	88	74			10	1	1	2 3	
Bouthern Essex district Boringfield district First district of eastern Mid-	- 47 57	39 45			11	1			
dlesex	125	105			5 17	6	9	1	
Middlesex Lowell district	142 23	111			2	2	1		
Central district of Worcester, Michigan: Wayne County	121 1, 354	105 1, 150		i	12 158	- 12	1 9	31	
Minnesota: Ifennepin County	638	559		11	60 26	2	2	4	
New Jersey: Hudson County	192 252	164	155	3	21	6	4	30	
New York:	137	114		15	- 8		· ·		
Albany County Broome County	35	16			19				
Chautauqua County	12 56	12		i	- 6				
Dutchess County Erie County	215	188	1	1 2	10	12	2	1	

¹ Population according to the 1930 census.

1 All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

Table XIII.—Reason for discharge in cases of delinquent children discharged from supervision by the courts in 4 States, 56 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 130 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1938—Continued

	C	ases of o	ielinqu	ent child	ren disc	harged	from su	pervisio	B
				Res	son for	dischar	ge		
	-	4 #	- <u>:</u>	but Bot	F	ė≓	åД	1	1
Area served by court		conditions im-	Expiration of period speci- fied by court	onduct of child or condi- tions unsatisfactory but further supervision not advised		ed or re- icy or indi-	Whereabouts of child un- known or moved from jurisdiction of court	-	orted
		ig g	of pe	chil atist uper	committed d to institu	agen	2 2 0	g	1 2
	3	Conduct of tory or co	piration	onduct of child or cortions unsatisfactory further supervision advised	Child committed or ferred to institution	Child committed ferred to agency o	hereabot cnown urisdicti	Other reason	Reason not reported
	Total	0.51	핖	ပို	បឹ	5	=	ŏ	ag B
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPU- LATION Continued. New York Continued.									
Monroe County New York (city) Nisgara County	118 2, 321 38	104 1,898 27	28	18	277 7	19	31 2	8 50	
Onelda County Rensselaer County	34	25 2 72	7	1 2 14	7		2	2	
Schenectady (city) Buffolk County Syracuse (city) Westchester County	93 17 77 300	15		13	17 31	4	1 5	59	
Ohio: Hamilton County Montgomery County	238 205	103 120	1 0	20 11	26 28	29 4	42 18	17 15	
Oregon: Multnomah County Pennsylvania:	276	161	21	10	14	3	42	25	
Berks County Fayette County Philadelphia (city and	2				2	10	21	76	
South Carolina: Greenville	744	61 32	474	7	95	10	4	1	
County Utah: Third district Virginia: Norfolk (city)	313. 238	248 167	17 13	3	30 28	2	12 23	4 2	
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	805	644	2	0	86	1	2	46	1
POPULATION THAN 100,000	2,659	1, 622	405	91	188	28	132	. 193	<u></u>
50,000, less than 100,000 Less than 50,000 Massachusetts ³	803 1,067 789	418 556 648	157 248	69 22	56 67 65	8 12 8	52 20 60	43 142 8	

² Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and areas with less than 50,000 population.

TABLE XIV.—Reason for discharge in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by the courts in 3 States, 24 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 16 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932.

	Cı	uses of d	epende	ot and no	eglected upervisi	childre on	n discha	rged fro	m
** •				Rea	son for	dischar	-	· · ·	
Area served by court		satisfac- lons im-	speci-	ondi-	tion 76	라 라 라	ts of child un-		-
Area served by count		child sa ondition	perlod	ild or sfactor arvisio	tted		of chi		porte
		20	lon of p	t of ch unsath rr supe	d to in	committed of to agency	Vhereabouts or nown or no jurisdiction or	10669	not re
	Total	Conduct cory or proved	Expiration of	Conduct of child or condi- tions unsatisfactory but further supervision not advised	Child committed ferred to institut	Child comi	Whereabouts known or r jurisdiction	Other reason	Reason not reported
Total cases *	3, 156	2, 005	70	110	309	308	170	174	1
					-		 -		
Blate totals: 1 Connecticut New York Utah	1, 009 20	700 10	23	55	150	24 4	25	23	
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POP- ULATION	2, 928	1, 959	61	75	295	282	123	132	1
California: 8nn Diego County 8nn Francisco County	30 54	9 31		3	2 1	. 31	17 8	8 2	
Connecticut: Hartford (city) District of Columbia Florida: Dade County	161 139	72 110	2	<u>1</u>	8	62 5	9	13	
Indiana: Lake County	53 60 41 425	12 19 24 325	6 1	8 10 1	13 7 19	6 22	4 6 1 21	19 3 2 36	
Minnesota: Hennepin CountyRamsey County	137 67	87 51		4	23	10 15		. 4 . 1	
New York: Prooine County Monroe County New York (clty)	1 29 872	11 648	18	27	9 148	8	17	. 1 . 8	
Byracuse (city) Westchester County Oblo:	26	18		i	3 2	1 5			
Hamilton County Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	12 6 120	7 4 46	3	1 7	3 1 8	22	1 22	12	
Pennsylvania: Barks County Philadelphia (city and county)	227	131	31	10	1 5	28	10	12	
Bouth Carolina: Greenville County Utah: Third district	5 14	8		1	2 2 36	1 4 45	2	7	
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000	432 228	343	18	35	14	26	47	42	
50,000, less than 100,000	163 65	29 17	13	11 24	.14	21 5	40	35	

Population according to the 1930 census.

PAll figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

TABLE XV.—Length of time child was under supervision in cases of delinquent children discharged from supervision by the courts in 4 States, 58 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 180 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932.

	-		Cases	of deling	uent child	lren		
				Duration	of super	vision		
Area served by court			<u> </u>	1		i		ı —
•	Total	Less than 6 months	6 months, less than 12	than 18	18 months, less than 2 years	years, less than 3	3 years or more	Not re
	·				2 years	years		
Total cases 1	15, 572	5, 736	5, 237	2, 855	778	631	289	
State totals:)		-						-
Connecticut	1, 406	575	621	190	18	5	. 2	
Massachusetts	2, 575	1, 362	575	536	35	21	78	1
New York	3, 771	1, 336	1,380	701	139 34	140	102	·
Utah	653	202	302	104		-		
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULA-	12, 913	4, 501	4, 449	2, 204	707	574	268	,
Alabama: Mobile County	18	13	4	1				
California: San Diego County	152	74	27	19	18	17 8	<u>i</u> -	. .
San Francisco County	358	190	105	44	13	٥	. •	
Connecticut:	176	62	99	14	1			ŀ
Bridgeport (city) Hartford (city)	93	27	38	17	Š	4	2	
New Haven (city)	252	98	147	7		. .		
District of Columbia	602	100	239	147	67	51	8	
Florida: Dade County	214	137	72	4	1			
Indiana: Lake County	95	40	41	14		<u>-</u> -		
lowa: Polk County	157	42	54	35	. 18	7	1	
Iowa: Polk County	244	59	93	69	15	8		
Boston:					. 6	Į	1 .	ļ
Boston (central section)	449	268	113	56	0			-
Brighton	30	18		"				
Charlestown	66 65	34	18	20				
Dorchester East Boston	161	136	25	-~				
Roxbury	1 700	23	10	26		2		
South Boston	82	81	i					l
Wast Rorbury	50	32	26	1				-
Second district of Bristol	113	77	. 24	12				
Third district of Bristol	88	46	29	11	1			1
Lawrence district.	88	60	18	16	3			
Southern Essex district	47	20	13	14		<u>-</u> -		
Springfield district	57	16	10	17	1	. 6		i
First district of eastern Middle-	125	- 47	55	18	4			
Third district of eastern Mid- dlesex	142	66	46	29	1	l:		
Lowell district	23	7	l ï	3	l ī	7		
Central district of Worcester	121	23	16	- 72	4	2		1
Michigan: Wayne County	1, 354	257	567	260	121	104	. 44	
Minnesota:		1	_			1 _		[
Hennepin County	638	258	292	. 61	17	.7	3	
Ramsey County	192	48	65	48	13 28	17	8	
New Jersey: Hudson County	252	23	18	138	j 28) ³ ′	ľ	
New York:	137	7	75	55	.	i_		
Albany County	35	13	1 6	14	3]	l	
Broome County	12		ة ا	6		l		
Dutchess County	56	22	15	10				
Erle County	215	16	69	118	δ	7		
Monroe County	118	13	25	43	19	14	4	-
New York (city)	2, 321	1, 114	1,001	165	39	2		-
Niagara County	38 34	7] 5	24	10	7		
Onelda County								

Population according to the 1930 census.

All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

TABLE XV.—Length of time child was under supervision in cases of delinquent children discharged from supervision by the courts in 4 States, 56 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 130 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932—Continued

			Cases	of deling	uent child	Iren		
				Duration	n of super	vision	-	
Area served by court		ļ——	Γ	1	<u> </u>	1		
	Total	Less than 6 months	o months, less than 12	than 18	18 months, less than 2 years	years, less than 3 years	3 years or more	Not re
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPULA- TION—Continued. New York—Continued. Rensselaer County. Schenectady (city). Suffalk County. Syracuse (city). Westchester County. Oblo: Hamilton County. Montgomery County. Oregon: Multnomah County. Penusylvania: Berks County. Philadelphia (city and county). South Carolinas (Greenville County. Utah: Third district. Virginia: Norfalk (city) Wisconsin: Milwaukee County.	111 93 17 77 300 238 205 276 1 2 744 44 313 238 805	28 3 7 31 09 22 72 1 1 375 10 72 21 203	33 32 5 5 49 65 37 88 223 21 131 83 83 216	8 30 9 60 43 55 46 52 1 1 16 9 77 88 8	23 23 29 31 18 2 26 21 110	10 80 17 37 27 27 39 2 7 15 45	76 1	1
AREAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPU-	2, 659	1, 145	788	561	88	57	21	10
50,000, less than 100,000 Less than 50,000 Massachusetts ³	803 1, 067 789	348 427 370	236 401 151	130 199 232	34 20 14	37 16 4	18	18

³ Not separately reported for areas with 50,000 to 100,000 population and areas with less than 50,000 population

TABLE XVI.—Length of time child was under supervision in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by the courts in 3 States, 24 courts serving specified areas with 100,000 or more population, and 16 courts serving areas with less than 100,000 population, in 1932.

	Case	s of depe	ndent ar	id neglec superv	ted childi ision	ren disc	harged	from
Area served by court				Duration	n of super	vision		
	Total		6	i year,	18	2	l a	1
		Less than 6 months	months,	less than 18	months, less than 2 years	years, less than 3	years or more	Not re ported
Total cases 1	3, 156	1, 097	738	433	274	325	286	
								<u> </u>
State totals:								
Connecticut New York	1,009	497	332		23	21	25	
Utah	20	1 14	14	2				
AREAS WITH 100,000 OR MORE POPU-						i	1	
LATION	2, 928	1, 032	692	396	263	294	248	
California:								
San Diego County	39	18	. 8	3	10	 -		
San Francisco County Connecticut: Hartford (city)	54 2	17	14	12	7	4		
District of Columbia.	161	39	40	15	36	27	4	
Florida: Dade County	139	106	26	7				
Indiana: Lake County	53	21	17	5	8	6	1	
Iowa: Polk County	60 41	26 6	10	12	6 13	8		
Michigan: Wayne County	425	67	59	43	36	92	128	
Minnesota:	- ,			~				
Hennepin County	137	26	22	. 8	26	11	44	
Ramsey County New York:	67	9	18	19	3	9	9	
Broome County	1	1						l
Monroe County	29	17	2		1	1	8	
New York (city)	872	457	309	87	13	6		
Byracuse (city)	26	6	8					
Oblo:	20	١	١	· ']	•	•	}	
Hamilton County	12	1	7	3				1
Montgomery County Oregon: Multnomah County	6 120	3 13	1 32	32	19	- 2 19	4	
Pennsylvania:	120	10	32	32	18	10	•	,
Berks County	2		2					
Philadelphia inity and county).	227	37	43	45	23	31	48	
Bouth Carolina: Greenville County_ Utah: Third district	- 5 14	2	12	2		1	2	
Wisconsin: Milwaukee County	432	156	56	87	63	69		i
ARRAS WITH LESS THAN 100,000 POPU-	228	65	46	37 \	11	31	38	
M. H. W. C.						- 01		
50,000, less than 100,000	163	54	40	20	9	15	25	ļ -
Less than 50,000	65	11	6	17	. 2	-16	13	

¹ Population according to the 1930 census.

² All figures for the States for which totals are given are also shown by courts for areas with 100,000 or more population and included in the group total for areas with less than 100,000 population.

TABLE XVII.—Sex and race of juvenile in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age, disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

			Cases o	f Federal	juvenile o	ffenders		
State and Territory, and sex of juvenile				Ra	ce of juve	niie		
	Total	White	Negro	Mexican	Indian	Chinese	Other	Not re- ported
Total cases	1, 168	784	142	136	. 59	3	7	3
Boys' cases	1, 066	728	134	120	41	2	5	3
Alabama	55	45	9		16		3	
Alaska Arizona	27 22	8		10	8	2		
Arkansas	27	22	4		1			
California Colorado	19 .	13	1	4	2			
Connecticut	2	2						
Florida	41 44	35 26	5 15					l
daho		์ลี			1			-
llinois	34	25	4	ļ				
Ddinna	11	11 2						
Ansas.	8	3	1					
Kentucky	80	66	12					
ouislana	35 9	20	14					
Saryland.	22	18	4					
Aassachusetts	3	3						
fichigan finnesota	7 12	7			1			
IlssissippL	35	15	18					
ilssouri	30	26	2		3			
fontana Jebraska	7 3	3			3			
levada	4	3					1	
lew Hampshire	1	1 2						
lew Jersey	. 3 12	3	1	8				
lew York	86	32	1		1		1	
Iorth Carolina	59 12	14	13					1
blo	10	10						
)klahoma	62	52	4		2	}		}
Pennsylvania	12	1 11		·	. 1	ļ		
uerto Rico	4	2	2					
Chode Island	3	3						
outh Carolina	35 5	23 1	li.]				
Cennessee	25	24	1					
6x83	135	21 3	11	98		<u> </u>		
Jtah	- 15 15	15						
/irginia]	20	19						
VashingtonVest Virginia	12 42	11 42			1			
Visconsin	2	2						
Wyoming	2	2					-	
Girls' cases	102	56	8	16	18	. 1	2	
labama	. 1 19	1			17		2	
Alaska	4	2]i			i		
California	1	ī				- 		
Jeorgia	2 1	1	1					
daho	6	5	1					
Kentucky		1				}		}
Louisiana Maryland	4 2	3						
Michigan	· ā	3	·					
Minnesota	2	2 2	 					
Missouri Nebraska	3 2 2 3 2 2	3 2			 			
New York	2	2	 					
New York' North Carolina'	2	1	1	I	l	1		l

TABLE XVII.—Sex and race of juvenile in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age, disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932—Continued

			Cases 0	f Federal j	uvenile o	ffenders		
State and Territory, and sex				R	e of juve	niie	•	
or Juvenne	Total	White	Negro	Mexican	Indian	Chinese	Other	Not re ported
Girla' cases—Contd. OhioOklahoma	2	2 7	1		i			
OregonPennsylvania Tennessee TexasVirginia	3 2 22 1	3 2 4 1	2	16				
Washington West Virginia	3	3						

TABLE XVIII.—Sex of juvenile and offense charged or reason for arrest in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

			-	Ca56	s of Fe	deral j	uvenii	e offen	dera			
			-	Off	ense ci	narged	_Viole	tion o	!			Ž
State and Territory, and sex of Juvenile	Total	Liquor laws	Motor Vehicle Theft Act	Immigration Act	Postal laws	Laws against counterfeiting	Narcotlo Drug	Interstate Com- merce Act	Mann (White Slave) Act	Other laws	Offense not re-	Held as material
Total cases	1, 168	562	180	177	62	39	14	13	8	1 87	13	18
Boys' cases	1, 066	530	178	180	54	39	11	13		1 69	11	
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Florida Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maino Maryland Massachueetts Michigan Minnesota Missouri Montana Nobraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Moxico New York North Carolina	55 \$\frac{\pi}{27}\$ 22 27 \$\frac{\pi}{19}\$ 9 2 22 41 14 44 0 0 34 311 2 5 80 35 9 22 2 3 7 7 12 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	37 3 10 3 3 8 81 34 5 6 8 8 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 6 29 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 8 8 8 2 1 4 6 5 3 16 8 8 1 1 2 2 8 8 0 0 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 7 8	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 7 1 2 7 2 2 2	1	1	8		26 6 1 2 2 2 1 2 1 8 8	1	

Table XVIII.—Sex of juvenile and offense charged or reason for arrest in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 51, 1982—Continued

Includes I violation of the National Banking Act.	Alabama Alabama Alabama Arizona Arizona Arizona Arizona Arizona Arizona Arizona Idaho Idah	Girls' cases	Boys' cases—Contd. Ohio	State and Territory, and sex of Juvenile	
e Nau	a22-aaaaaaaa	ī	222223	Total	
onal B	υ α ν ν ν νν -	32	-920 25 80-2 20	Liquor laws	
sakia		12	u=u=ŭ uoo 0 ∞ √o	Motor Vehicle Theft Act	
λot.	α	=	a 5 8	Immigration Act	01 C#80
	S			Postal laws	of Fo
			- N +	Laws against counterfeiting	Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders Offense charged—Violation of—
		u u	ω.	Narcotic Drug	uveni Viol
				Interstate Com- merce Act	le offer At ion o
		-		Mann (White Slave) Act	T ders
		18	-222 - 2	Other laws	.
		12	b	Offense not re-	
				Held as material v	vit-

Table XIX.—Age limit of original juvenile court jurisdiction and sex and age of juvenile in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

						Cases	f Federa	i juvenii	le offende	ers .					
	Age under which juve-					Boys	_		1			Gli	ls		
State and Territory	nile court has original jurisdiction	Total	Total	Under 14 years	14 years, under 16	16 years	17 years	18 years	Age not re- ported	Total	Under 14 years	years, under 16	16 years	17 years	years 18
Total cases		1, 168	1,066	1 12	74	126	311	537	6	102	1 8	17	13	23	4
A labama A laska ¹ . A rizona A rkansaa	16 16 18 21	56 46 26 27	55 27 22 27	2 4	5 9 1	4 6 2 7	15 1 7 7	29 7 12 12		1 19 4	3	2	3 1	4 1	
California Colorado Connecticut Florida	21 18 16 17	20 9 2 41	19 9 2 41	2	3	3	7 6 1 8	2 1 20	i	1					
Georgia	16 18 17 18	46 10 } 40	44 9 34		1	5 2 4	15 16	21 7 13	1	2 1 6		1	1	1	
Indiana Iowa Kansas	16 18 16 17	11 2 5	11 2 5			1	1	1 4							
Kentucky hoys. Louisiana giris. Maine Maryland	18 17 15 16	81 39 9 24	35 9 22	1	5	5 1 3	5 3 5	19 5	1	4	1	1		.1	
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi	17 17 18 18	10 14	3 7 12 35		5	1	3 3 9	3 3 9		3 2		1		1 2	
Missouri Montan a Nebras ka	17 18 18	35 32 7 6	30 7 3		5	1	10	13 3		2		1	ì		

Includes 3 boys under 10 years (Alaska 2, New Mexico 1), and 2 girls under 10 years (Alaska 1, Texas 1); 2 boys of 10 years (Alaska 3, 1 fir) of 10 (Texas), 1 boy of 11 years (Louisiana), 1 boy of 12 years (Alaska), 5 boys of 13 years (Alaska 1, Florida 2, South Dakota 2), and 5 girls of 13 years (Alaska 2, Louisiana 1, Oklahoma 1, Texas 1).

A laska has no juvenile courts, but special procedure is provided for delinquent children under the age of 15 years.

Maine and Wyoming have no juvenile-court laws, but Maine has provided special procedure in cases of children under the age of 15 years (extended to 17 by acts of 1933, ch. 118), and Wyoming provides certain modifications in court procedure in cases of persons under the age of 21 years.

Table XIX.—Age limit of original juvenile court jurisdiction and see and age of juvenile in cases of Pederal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, I wy I—Dec. 51, 1932—Continued

		-	-			· ·	Cases of Federal juvenile offenders	ederal	venile	ffenders					
Reat a part to read	Age under					Воуз						Oub			
	has original jurisdiction	Total	Total	Under 14 yesis	14 years, under 16	16 years	17 79823	18 years	Ags not re- ported	Total	Under 14 years	14 years, under 16	16 years	17 5 6813	18 years
New Jersey New Mettoo New York North Dakota Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo	288888888888888888888888888888888888888	~2882225 ~25 ~25 ~25 ~25 ~25 ~25 ~25 ~25 ~25	బదొవిశిద్దద్దిందేశలని ఇ బస్తునిదేదింద	-	▼ 15 H 19H 10 ▼ 19			မစမ်စိန္တန္မွာ အမမန္တမန္တန္ မန္တန္တမွန္မေရ		a an an-a a a a		a 1 1 2 5 11			10 00 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1

procedure in cases of children under the age of 15 years (extended to 17 by acts under the age of 21 years.

TABLE XX.—Sex of juvenile and period between arrest and disposition in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory. July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

Btate and Territory, and sex of juvenile Total cases Boys' cases laska	1, 168 -1, 066 -55 -27 -27 -19 -2 -41 -44 -9 -41 -11 -5 -5 -5 -5 -7 -7 -9 -2 -4 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	1 1 2 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	72 62 61 2 62 61 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Pe	790 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	149 1 1 100 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	257 239 10 1 2 2 39 10 1 2 2 9 1 11 17 7 12	141 132 10 2 6 2 2 1 4 4 7 7 8 4 4 2 1 8	170 161 103 3 9 14 1 1 19	S1 20 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	12 0 months, less than 12 case	10 Notated
Total cases Boys' cases laska ritona ritona olorado onnecticut lorida eorgia daho lilinois ndiana owa cansas	1, 168 1, 066 55 27 22 27 19 9 2 41 44 40 24 11 5 80 35 9	63 60 7 10 2 3 1 1 1 3	72 62 62 6 1 2 1 10 1 1	65 57 2 1 1 3 2 1 3	90 79 2 1 2 1 2	149 5 2 4 4 2 7 3 6 5	257 257 239 10 7 4 3 1 11 1 17 7	141 132 10 2 3 4 4 7 7 8 4 1 8	170 161 10 3 19 14 1 4 1	\$1 29 4 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 then 12	10 G
Boys' cases laska ricons	1, 168 1, 066 55 27 22 27 19 9 2 41 44 40 24 11 5 80 35 9	63 50 7 10 2 3 1 1	72 62 62 6 1 2 1 10 1 1	65 57 2 1 1 3 2 1 3	90 79 2 1 1 2 	149 5 2 4 4 2 7 3 6 5	257 239 10 7 4 3 1 2 9 1 11 7	141 132 10 2 6 2 1 4 7 8 4 2	170 161 10 3 9 14 1 1 4	81 29 4 1	12 10	10 G
Boys' cases labama laska rizona rizona rizona rizona rizona lalifornia olorado onnecticut lorida eorgia labo linois midiana owa ansas entucky outsiana faine faryland	1, 168 1, 066 55 27 22 27 19 9 2 41 44 40 24 11 5 80 35 9	63 50 7 10 2 3 1 1	72 62 62 6 1 2 1 10 1 1	65 57 2 1 1 3 2 1 3	90 79 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 1	149 5 2 4 4 2 7 3 6 5	257 239 10 7 4 3 1 2 9 1 11 7	141 132 10 2 6 2 1 4 7 8 4 2	170 161 10 3 9 14 1 1 4	81 29 4 1	12	10
Boys' cases labama laska rizona rkansas alifornia olorado onnecticut lorida eorgia laho linois dilana wa ansas eotucky outsiana faire faryland	1, 066 55 27 22 27 19 9 21 44 44 9 24 11 2 5 80 355 9	7 10 	10 11 10 11 15	57 2 1 1 1 3 2 1	79 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	149 5 2 4 2 7 3 6 5 7	239 10 7 4 3 1 2 9 1 11 7	132 10 2 6 2 1 4 7 3 4 2	161 10 3 9 14 1 4 1	29 4 1 2 2 2 2 1 1	10 i	
labama	55 27 222 27 19 9 - 29 41 44 - 44 - 2 5 80 35 9	7 10 	2 6 1 2 1 10 1 1 1	2 i ! ! 1 2 1	2 1 2 	8 2 4 4 2 7 3 8 6 5 7 2 10	10 7 4 3 1 2 9 1 11 7	10 2 6 2 1 4 7 8 4 2	10 3 9 14 1 4 1	4 1 2 2 2	i	
laska ritoma ritoma alifornia olorado onnecticut lorida eorgia laho lilinois diana wa ansas entucky outslana laro laryland	27 22 27 19 2 41 44 9 44 11 2 80 35 9	2 3 1 1	10 10 11 11 12 13 14 15	3 2 1 8	1 2 4 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 4 2 7 3 6 5	7 4 3 1 2 9 1 11 7	2 6 2 1 4 7 3 4 2	9 14 1 1 19	2 2		
ricona. ricona. ricona. shifornia. noirrado. nonecticut. lorida. sorgia. nho. linois. didana. wa. ansas. entucky. pulsiana. alpe. aryland.	- 22 - 27 - 19 - 9 - 41 - 44 - 9 - 34 - 11 - 5 - 80 36 - 9	2 3 1 1	1 2 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 5 1 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 1 3	2 1	4 2 7 3 6 5 7	1 2 9 1 11 7 7	1 4 7 8 4 2	9 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2		
alifornia oliorado onnecticut orida eorgia laho linois didana wa ansas entucky outslaba aine	19 9 2 41 44 0 24 11 2 5 80 35	3	10 11 1	3 2 1 3	2 1	7 8 5 7 	3 1 2 9 1 11 7	1 4 7 3 4 2	9 14 1 4 1	2 i		
olorado on necticut	9 2 41 44 9 24 11 2 5 80 35	3	10 1 1 1	2 1 3	2 1	8 8 7 2	1 2 9 1 11 7	1 4 7 8 4 2	14 1 4 1	2 i		
lorida eorgia laho linols didana wa ansas entucky outslana anpa	2 41 44 9 24 11 2 5 80 35	3	1 5	1 8 1	1	7 	2 9 1 11 7	1 8	14 1 4 1	2 i		
eorgia isho isho ilinois diana wa ansas eotucky uuisiana alne aryiand	44 9 24 11 2 5 80 35	3	1 5	1 8 1	1	7 	9 1 11 7	7 8 4 2	14 1 4 1	2 i		
iaho. Ilinola. Idiana	24 11 2 80 35	3	1 8	i	9	2 10	11 7 	1 8	19			
dianawa ansasentucky sine	11 2 5 80 35	3		i	9	2 10	7 12	2 1 8	1			
wa ansas entucky outsiana aloe sryland	5 80 35					10		8				
entuckyouislanaaineas	- 80 - 35 - 9					10		8				
ouislanaaineaineasyland	35			ļ		1 2						
Bryland		i		,	1 .		16	7	3			l
	., 27	t *		2	7	8 2	6 2	<u>8</u> -	2		i	
assachusetts			1 1	-	i i			l				
lchigan	- 3 - 7	1	2		i	1 2	1 5	2.	2			
innesotainnesota	12			ī	l	5	4	6	14	5		
lssourl	. 30			1	3 2	7	11	3	2	1		
ontanaebraska	7 3		i	li	l			î				
evada	. 4		J	 		1	1		2			
ew Hampshire ew Jersey	- 1	i				2	1					
ew Mexico	- 12	1	i			1	1	4	2			
ew Yorkorth Carolina	. 36 . 69	1 1	3	8	7	7	12	5	15	4	2	
orth Dakota	. 12	<u> </u>		l	1	4	5	ĭ				
hlo	_ 10	2	6		1 5	10	13					,
kiahomaregon	62]				1	ļ. 				· '
nnsylvania	_ 12	1	ļ	5	1	1	2	2	2	[
uerto Ricohode Island	3			1	}		2					
outh Carolina	_ 35	2		3	2 2	3	10	1	12	. 1		
outh Dakota	25		1	2	1	1	3	1 7	8	i	· · · · · ·	
0XB3	135	7	ii	8	9	26	39	13	9		1	. 1
tah ermont	- 3 - 15	1	i			7	5	i	l			
lrginia	. 20		ļ	2	4		5		4			
ashingtonest Virginia	12	2	<u>;</u> -	2	1 3	1 3.	16	3	3	i	2	
isconsin	_ 2				ļ	ĭ						
yoming	- 2									2		
Girls' cases	102	13	10	8	11		18	9	9	2	2	
abama	. 1			i	2			1				
laska rizon a	10							2	ī			
alifornia	. 1					1		<u>i</u> -				
eorgiainho	2	1			i							
linola	. 6			i	2		2	1				
eutucky					1			2	i	·i		
aryland	. 2	ī		i								
fehlgan innesota	3 2	1	···			1	1		····i			

TABLE XX.—Sex of juvenile and period between arrest and disposition in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932—Continued

· · ·				Cas	es of F	ederal	Juveni	le offer	nders			
				Pe	rlođ b	elweer	a arrest	and d	isposit	lon		
State and Territory, and sex of juvenile	Total	Lees than 1 day	1 to 2 days	3 to 6 days	1 week, less than 2	2 weeks, less than 1 month	1 month, less than 2	2 months, less than 3	3 months, less than 6	6 months, less than 9	9 months, less than 12	Not reported
Giris' cases—Contd. Nebraska. New Jersey. North Carolina Ohio Oklahoma. Oregon. Pennsylvania. Tennessee. Tesras. Virginia. Washington. West Virginia.	3 2 2 3 2 9 1 3 2 22 1 1 3 2 2 2 1	1 1 1 1	1	1 1 2	3	2 1 1 4	1 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1 1 1	1	2	

Table XXI.—Release pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

	_	Cases	of Federal	juvenile oi	denders	
State and Territory, and sex of juvenile		Not re-	Relea	sed pendir	ng trial	No report
	Total	leased pending trial	On ball	On own recog- nizance	On recog- nizance of others	as to re- lease pending trial
Total cases	1, 168	692	250	23	12	191
Boys' cases	1, 060	623	236	20	11	176
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas. California Colorado Connecticut Plorida Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas. Kansas.	55 27 22 27 19 9 2 41 44 9 34 11 2 5	20 19 13 14 13 8 1 24 16 5 23 8 1 3	9 1 1 9 20 20 2 5 2	1 3 2 1 1 1	2 1	8 4 4 4 2 2 4 1 1 5 6 6 6 2 2 5 1 1 1 2 2 5 1 1 2 1 5 1
Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevnia	35 9	20 8 10 1 4 6 20 20 7 2	7 1 4 9 2 1	3		14 1 2 2 6 5 5
New Mexico	8 13	2 8				1

TABLE XXI.—Release pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932—Continued

-		Cases	of Federal	juvenile of	7enders	
State and Territory, and sex of juvenile		Not re-	Relea	sed pendin	g trial	No repor
	Total	leased pending trial	On ball	On own recog- nizance	On recog- nizance of others	lease pending trial
Boys' cases—Continued.				-		
New York North Carolina	36 59	18 22	13 28			l :
North Carenna	12	8	ļ 4º	2		
Ohlo	10	ة ا	3	l		
Ok)ahoma	62	37	-13			1
Oregon	2					
Pennsylvania	12	11	1 1		<i></i>	
Puerto Rico	4 3	2	.1			
Rhode Island	35	21	9			
South Dakota	- 30	3	l I			ł
l'ennessee	25	10	10	1		
Pexas.	135	108	9	1		1
Utah	3	2				i
Vermont	15	12	2			
Virginia.	20 12	14	i	······		!
Washington West Virginia	42	15	13	2		1
Wisconsin	2	l "ĭ				-
Wyoming	2	2				
Girli' cases	102	69	14	3	1	- 18
Alabama	1	1				
Alaska	19	13	1			
Arizona	4	3				i
California	1	1				
Georgia	2	2				
dahollinois	6	4				
Kentucky	ĭ	•	i			
ouisiana	4	i	i	1		
Maryland	2	1			1	
Michigan	3	3				
Innesota	2	1				
Missouri Vabraska	3	2 2				
New Jersey	2	2				
New York	2	2				
North Carolina	3	ī	2			
Ohio	2	2				
Oklahoma	- 9		1			3
Oregon	1 3	1	·i			
Pennsylvania	. 2	i i		•		
Cexas.	22	17	2	i-		
Virginia	ĩ	i i				
Vashington	Ĭ.	1				
West Virginia	3	1	2			

\$300, less

than \$500

30

28

5

2

2

State and Territory, and sex of juvenile

Total cases.....

Alabama.....

Arizona Arkansas California Colorado

Colorado. Connecticut. Florida

Maine..... Maryland

Massachusetts Michican Minnesota Mississippi

Missouri Montana Nebraska

Nevada.
New Hampshire.
New Jerey.
New Mexico.
New York.
North Carolina.

Indiana..... Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana

Boys' cases.....

No ball

623

573

21

\$100, less than \$200

11

7

Total

372

338

14 3 1

28

ī

20

\$200, less

than \$300

19

17

1

ï

Total

1.168

1,066

Cases of Federal Juvenile offenders

\$500

123

111

9

ġ

25

13

Amount of ball set

\$700, less

than \$1,000

11

1 11

\$1,000

89

81

5

\$1,500

12

12

1

port as to ball

163

155

5 2

\$2,500

or more

14

2

1 14

\$2,000

13

13

Not re

ported

50

44

2

9

ī

ī

ī

Ħ
7
H
LE8
ш

	_	_	_										_				_
North Dakota		2	9	1	1 1				1 1								3
Ohio		0	6		3				1			2				_	ī
Oklahoma	6	2	. 34	l '	16				7					1	8	1	2
Orrgon		2 .							li					[3
Pennsylvania	1	2	7		5						2		l 1	1 1	1		_
Puerto Rico	_	4	2	<u> </u>	1				1		l		1	li			ī
Rhode Island		<u> i</u> l											(ž
South Carolina		ı.	19		13			1	3		2	3			2		ž
South Dakota		71	**	j	1					•	i				-	l	ī
Tanasasa		5			13						1 6				g	1	2
Tennessee	່າສໍ	2	101	1	19				1 2		1 5						:
Texas			101	i	ŢΆ	2	. 2	•	ا ا	. 2	د ا			- ·	4	,	٠
Utah		3	2		:-				· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				<i>-</i>				•
Vermont		5	8	l	•		1.		5		<u>-</u> -					ì	Ī
Virginia		20	9	1	5		2	1			. 2					1	ō
Washington	1	2	9	1	2						.[2					1	1
West Virginia	4	12	16	1	17				10		. 6				1		9
Wisconsin	ĺ	2	1						 				l	1		. .	1
Wyoming		2	. 2								1					!	_
,	l	- 1	_	1							1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					_
Giris' cases	10	20	55	Į	34		2	2	12		1 8				. 6	! 1	3
	l	_			<u> </u>						J						
Alabama		,	1											1			
	ì,	اۋا	13		;-												i .
Alaska.	'	ן ציו	1.3	Í	1						. 1					1	•
Arizona.	}	• 1		1	2		1		} 1							1	4
California	1	1	1														-
Georgia	ļ	2 (1	i i	1								- 		1		-
Idaho	Į	1	. 1							 -							-
Illinois	('	6	3	1	. 2	1			2							1	1
Kentucky	î	1		.}	1		. 	1				l	l				
Louisiana	Į ·	4	2		2				1 1		1	1				l	_
Maryland	ſ	2	. 2	1													_
Michigan	1	āΙ	ĩ	1	2					,							
Minnesota	ł	2	l	1	ī												i ·
Missouri	1.	5	*******	1	÷						1					i	•
Nehraska	ì	5		•1	ī	•		*********	1								•
New Jersey		3			•				•		1						•
Non-Val	Į.	21	4		;-												•
New York	1	<i>*</i>	1	1	Ť				}	1							•
North Carolina	{ ·	3	\ <u> </u>	1	- 2	2											-
Ohio	1 .	2 (1	İ	Ī					'	.[1						•
Oklahoma	i	9 [(4	1 .	2										2	İ	3
Oregon	I	1										l				}	1
Pennsylvania	1	3 (_{_	3		}		1						2		
Tennessee	ı	2	1	1	1				i i		1		,				
Texas.	1 '	22 l	14	l	7		1	}	4		1					l	Ī
Virginia	ì '	1	l i	1		1	1.	•	1		,					i	_
Washington	1	; l	i				(·					ļ	•
West Virginia.	1	- 1	1 :	1	;												•
***** * *******************************	1	٠,١		1	•			,	1 1		·]	•

¹ Includes 4 cares (2 in Kentucky and 2 in Teras) in which ball was set at \$700 and 7 cases (1 in Mississippi, 4 in North Carolina, and 2 in South Carolina) in which ball was set at \$300.

Includes 8 cases (2 in Alabama, 1 in Indiana, 1 in Kentucky, 2 in Massachusetts, 1 in Michigan, 1 in Oklahoma) in which bail was set at \$2,500; 2 set at \$3,000 (1 in Missouri, 1 in New York); 3 set at \$5,000 (1 in Connecticut, 1 in New York, 1 in Pannsylvania); 1 set at \$10,000 (New York).

SOURCE TABLES

Table XXIII.—Place of detention pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offender under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

			Cases o	f Federal	juvenile (offenders		
State and Territory, and say	•			Plac	e of deter	ation		
State and Territory, and sex of juvenile	Total	No de- tention	Local Jail (city or county)	Federal jail !	Jail and de- tention home	Juve- nile de- tention home	Other place	No re port i to dete tion
Total cases	1, 168	37	839	100	13	19	12	
Boys' cases	1,066	32	780	85	12	13	3	
labama	55	4	41			3	[!
laska	27 22		1	23		- 		i
rizona	22	2	17		[{ -		į
rknnsas	27	1	25 15			3		l
California	19		15		-	3		
Colorado	2		. 2					
Connecticut	41	3.	34					
lourius	. 44	5	34		1	l		1
dahodaho	Ö		7]
linois	34		29	l		-	1	1
ndiana	, 11	1	9					
)WR	2		1					1
811583	5		3					
entucky	93	4	62	23	{- <i>-</i>			Į.
ouisiana	35		8 9	23	,			1
sine	22		16		[
Saryland	- 22	1	'5					l
Insanchusetts	3 7	i	2 3			i	i	
1 Ichigan	12	i	10					l
lississippl	35] 31		1			1
lissouri.	30		20		2	3		İ
iontana			7					
obraska	7		3					
levada	4		1 4					
lew Hampshire	1	1						
low Jersey	3 12	1	2 8]				
lew Mexico	12		15	17	i			
ew York	36 59	1	51	111	1			ľ
orth Carolina	12		10					1
orth Dakota	10	2	5	1		ī		l
klahoma	62	•	51		i			1
regon	2							i
ennsylvania	12		8		2	1	1	
nerto Rico	4			3				1
hode Island	3	1			 			ì
outh Carolina	35	1	20 3	1				
outh Dakota	5 25		19	1				
ennessee	135	1	104	16	i			Ī
eias	199	1	1177		l			
tah	3 15		14					ł
irginia	20		ii		3			1
Vashington	12		11					1
Vest Virginia	42		32					
Visconsin	2		1					l
yoming	2		2		i			
Giris' cases	102	5	ក១	15	1 1	6	9	
	1		1					
labama	19		i	15				,
laska	14		3					
rizona	i		1 1					
Jeorgia	ż		- 2				·	
dalio	1		1 1					
llinols	6		4		1	ı		·
Centuck y	1		1 2] 	2		
ouisiana	4		2	- 	[2		
faryland	2	1	1 1		 -	1		
\1ichigan	- 3		1 . 2]			
Minnesota	2		1 1		š	1		

Uncludes 8 cases of boys and 2 of girls detained part time in fall and part time elsewhere. Includes 17 cases of boys detained part time in Federal and part time in local jail.

Table XXIII.—Place of detention pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932—Continued

			Свлел о	f Federal	Juvenile c	offenders							
State and Territory, and sex			Place of detention										
of Juvenile	Total	No de- tention	Local jail (city or county)	Federal jail	Jail and de- tention home	Juve- nile de- tention home	Other place	No re- port as to deten tion					
Girls* cases—Contd. Nebraska New Jersey New York North Carolina Ohio Oklahoma Orogon Pennsylvania Tennessee Tevas. Virginia Washington West Virginia	3 2 2 3 3 2 9 1 3 2 22 1 1 3	1	1 1 2 3 1 8 1				1 2 4						

TABLE XXIV.—Sex of juvenile and length of detention pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932

				Cas	ses of 1	es of Federal Juvenile offenders												
				Le	ngth o	f deten	tlon pe	nding	trial			8						
State and Territory, and sex of Juvenile		tion	П	less	less	8	age d	3	3	18	18	5 E						
	ੇ ਜ਼	o detention	s than	day,	days,		2 weeks, less than 1 month	month, l	months, less than 3	months, l	months, than 9	report as						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total	, s	Less	-	E	-	L adi		- 2 日	8 B	8	ž						
Total cases	1, 108	37	90	170	103	98	134	197	78	77	10	105						
Boys' cases	1,066	32	87	152	95	88	125	183	71	71	9	153						
Alabama	55 27	4	-10 11	12 7	3	2	3	6	4	4 2	2	8						
Arkansas	22 27	1	·i	2 6	3	3	2	8 3	1 2	8		1						
CaliforniaColorado	19 9 2			1	3	5 1	- 6 3	- 4 2	1			- 1						
Florida	41	3 5	3 8	13 6	2 5	3	5 5	2	3	8	·····	6						
Idaho Illinois. Indiana	9 34 11	i	1	1 2	<u>3</u>	1	5	10 8	2 5	2		5						
Iowa Kansas	2 5						i					1 2						
KentuckyLouisiana	80 35 9	4	8	15 1	12	11	6 2	5 14	2 6	3		15						
Maryland	22 3			0	5	2	3 1	5 2		i		5						
Michigan Minnesota	7 12	i	1 2	2		2	2	-	i			1 3						
Mississippi Missouri Montana	35 - 30 7			- 1	1 <u>1</u>	5 2	5 4	5 10	5 2 3	6	4	5 4						
Nebraska Nevada	3			2	i			i		2								
New Hampshire	1 }	1 1.																

70355;--35---9

TABLE XXIV.—Sex of juvenile and length of detention pending trial in cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932—Continued

				Cas	es of F	ederal	Juven	lle offe	nders			
			-	Le	ngth of	deten	tion pe	nding	trial			ep ot
State and Territory, and sex of juvenite	Total	No detention	Less than 1 day	day, less than 3	3 days, less than I week	week, less than 2	2 weeks, less than I month	month, less than 2	months, less than 3	mouths, less	6 months, less than 9	No report as to
Boys' cases—Contd.	ٺ		 			 - -			C1			
New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo Ohlo	36 59 12 10 62 2 12 4 3 35 5 25 135 20 12 42 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 	1 6 16 18 18 17 18 17 16 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	2 8 1 1 7 5 2 6 1 3 7	7 3 2 7 7 1 1 3 3 1 7 7 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 7 7	2 1 2 4 3 3 1 7 2 	1 3 7 4 4 4 12 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 5 5 6 6	3 1 1 7 	1 2 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2	4 5 7 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 4 4 1 1 6 1 1 2 2 5 5 1 1 3 3 1 1
Alabama	102	8	12	18	8	10	9	14	7	- 6	1	12
Alaska Arizona California Georgia Idaho Illinois Kentucky Louisiana Maryland Michigan Minnesota Missouri Nebraska New Jersey New York North Carolina Ohio Oklahoina Oregon Pennsylvania Tennessee Texas Virginia Washington West Virginia	19 4 1 2 1 6 1 4 2 8 2 2 8 2 2 8 2 9 1 8 2 2 1 1 8	1	1 1 1 1 1 2 2	1 1 2 2 2 3 3	1 1 1 2 2	2 2 3	1	1 1 1 5	1	2	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

**	
itie	
نج	
E	
ä	
Ĕ	
Fe	
2	
Ξ,	
ģ	
308	
iisz	
2	
venile and disposition of cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal	٠.
9	91
ar	193
ye	_
13	Ġ.
Ę	Sec
r 1	7
2	-
ide	Iul
θ er	2
0,	tor
Ē	ŗ
, se	Ę
<u>.</u> .	20
PT.	e a
eg.	107
متر ایم	ر ب
5 5	20
3	. 12
<i>ب</i> ر	
Ę	
::0	
308	
11.57	•
ĕ	
9	
÷	
ě	
.2	
9	•
$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$	
Ĭ	
X	
ABLE XXV.	
H	
ABI.	

			000110	,12		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
į			Not reported	8	3.	
			noilizogsib 1911O	દા	51	(N (N (N (N (N (N (N (N (N (N (N (N (N (
		orn- peni-	United States Vanituations	12	13	-
		Juvenile committe I to reform- atory, prison camp, or peni- tentiary	estata betinU quiso nosirq	ક્ષ	Q.	-
-		nmii te son cau	estate betinU violatiivolet	ę.	97.	4 W 00 00
-		oile cor ry, pris tiary	State reform- glory	7	7	
-		Juver ato ten	lato'T	123	120	0 - 0 04-30 -04 -
		-	Ouly sentence	183	E	N 2
r:		to jail	t beaft oal A	39	33	a aa 4
ffender	9582	mitted	Later placed on probation a	ន	ដ	6 - 6 - 6 - 6
Cases of Federal juvenile offenders	o o o c	Juvenile committed to jail	tesselvi tentet noitsisjimmi of resilitoitus	8	62	
ral juv	Disposition of	Juveni	To serve out fine	*	SS.	
f Fede	Ω	-	tatoT	365	343	@
38880		om- isritu- eniles	gainism esese foodse	8	81	00 0
0		Juvenile com- mitted to institu- tion for juveniles	Vational Tram- ing School for Boys	೫	35	m N mm
		Juv mitte tion	latoT	왌	જ	w wa 8444 wa
	-	п рторя-	o bessiq elinevat noti	802	961	En-002-53-080 4
		-	Fine (paid)	ક્ષ	93	
		or Enige	a banol elinevut	80	80	2
.		***************************************	beszimziG	E	žį.	40//
	-		bezaslet elinevut. daus nollargim	13	=	m
			berrelenarT eilirodlua	2.	8	n n n
			Total	1, 168	1.066	3888500742438308
		dsexo				
		or, an			1	
•		Territ	•	Total cases	Boys' cases.	as as as as as as as as as as as as as a
		Etate and Territory, and sex of Juvenile	·	Tot	Ř	Alabama, Alaska, Alaska, Arizona, Arizona, Arizona, Arizona, Arkansas, Galifornia, Connecticut, Florida, Gerrgia, Gerrgia, Glabo, Gerrgia, Illinois, Illinois, Illinois, Illinois, Marizona, Maine, Marizona, Marizona, Marizona, Marizona, Marizona, Marizona, Illinois, Illinois, Illinois, Illinois, Illinois, Illinois, Marizona,
		ដ	· ·			AMERREE SEES COLLECTION

Table, XXV.—Sex of juvenile and disposition of cases of Federal juvenile offenders under 19 years of age disposed of by Federal authorities in each State and Territory, July 1-Dec. 31, 1932—Continued

									C	ases o	Feder	al juve	enile of	Tender	3										
											Dis	positio	on of ca	isə					-	ı					
State and Territory, and sex of juvenile		o Stute			to im- orities		t guilty		on proba-	mitt	renila c ed to in for uv	stitu-		Juveni	le com	mitted	to jail		ato	nile con ory, pri otiary					
	Total	Transferred to	Juvenile released to im- interation nuthorities	Dism ssel	Juvanile found not	Fine (paid)	Juvenile placed o	Total	National Train- ing School for Boy	State training school	Total	To serve out fine	Later released to uninteration cuthorities	Luter placed on probution	Also fined	Only sentance	Total	State reform-	United Status reformatory	Unive States prison camp	United States penitentiary	Other disposition	Not reported		
Boys' cases—Contd. Mass ichusetts. Michican. Minnesotta. Missistipii. Missistipii. Moutan. Nebruski. Nevada. New Hampshire. New Hersey. New Mexico. New York. North Carolina. North Carolina. Ohio. Okiahoma. Orecon. Pennsylvania. Puerto Rico. Rhode Island. South Carolina. South Dakota. Tennessee. Texas.	37-12 15-10 17-3 14-11 13-12 10-10 10 10-10 10 10-10 10 10-10 10 10-10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1 1 6 2 2 3 2 2 2 4 4	2	1 37 5 3 3 13 13 13 13 2 19	1	2 1 4	1 3 2 8 2 2 3 3 15 15 14 2 2 2 0 3 9	8	8	8 1	1 1 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2 2	4 1	1	5	1 1 1 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1 1 4 5 5 6 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 6 1 4 2 2 2 1 4 4 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1 2 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 1 2 4 2	1 1 2	3			

				'																			
Ľtah	3	1					1							 			1				1	-	
Vermont	15			1							12				[12	2		2			1	
Virginia	00	2		7			7	. I	1		2					2				1			
Washington	. 12	- 1	4) 1			1				1					1	3	2			1	1.	
West Virginia	42	1		14			3	6	6		4	3		l		1	14	}	12	1	1		
Wisconsin	2			1 1		l					1	1										!	
W yoming	2	l					2				l]					
· · ·	_				1] -						[1				1	-			, 1	i
Girls' cases	102	6	2	48			12	2		2	22	2	7		1	12	3		3			, 6	1
Alabama	1	١.	1	1		1	i					١.	ļ	1	1 1			1					
Alaska	19			6		1	3	,		1	7	i			1	5						2	
Arizona	4			1 3			li		*****	} •	1 ;		1;-	1									
California	i			1 .			1 -				١ ٠												1
Georgia	- 6			1 5	\	1					}			1						1			
Liaho	ī			1 1									,										1
		2	1	1 4							·	1	,]					*****			
llinois	, ,	1 -	1	1 4	1]									1 4		•	}		!	
	1] <u>-</u>												/I	1
Lonisiana.	4			2			2																
Maryland	2	1) !																		įl	
Michigan	3		ļ	1 1			1 1			}					[
Minnesota	2			.[].				\										{				, .	
Missouri	2]	. 2					(ļ													(
Nebraska	3			. 3]							l					}]		
New Jersey	2	1	l	. 1						1				.			l						
New York	2						l						l					1				(1	
North Carolina	3	1	1	.] 1			. 2			1	l -			.]							ļ . .	'	
Ohio.	. 2			. 2		1				l				.	l				.				
Oklahoma	9	1		. 5	l						3	1				3			l <i></i> .	l			
Orezon	1	1					1		l						1	1							l
Pennsylania	3	1		1			1				i					1							
Tennessee	1 2			1 2		1					l		1	1		i	(
Texas	22			6			2	1		l i	ý	1i	5	1	,	3	1		i i	1		l i	1
Virginia.	<u>- آ</u>			1		1	1	1 .		1	1 -					1	i i) i	1	1	i	
Washington	1 1		(1		1	1	1		1	1		1			1	٠.					1	1
West Virginia.	3]		1 2		1	1				1	1	1	.		1			l				1
treat tillimannen	١			1 *		1				l							1]		1		1	. •
	<u> </u>	,	,				1	1	<u> </u>	•		1	1.	<u>. L </u>	1	<u> </u>		•	<u>' </u>	<u> </u>	1	•	<u> </u>