1986
STATISTICAL REPORT



ALABAMA JUVENILE COURTS
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

111009

1986 STATISTICAL REPORT

ALABAMA JUVENILE COURTS

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Prepared and Edited By:

Alabama Department of Youth Services
Office of Development and Information Services
P. O. Box 66
Mt. Meigs, Alabama 36057

Phone: (205) 272-9100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION

The statistical information in this report has been compiled through a joint effort of the Alabama Department of Youth Services (DYS), the Alabama Juvenile Courts, and the Alabama Department of Human Resources (DHR). The data provides a summary and an analysis of juvenile delinquency and CHINS cases disposed of and reported to DYS by the juvenile courts during the calendar year 1986, as well as abuse, neglect, dependency and special proceedings cases reported to DPS.

Data concerning programs operated by, or licensed by DYS, is also presented. Programs operated by DYS include the campuses, group homes, Diagnostic and Evaluation Center, the Wilderness Program, and the Interstate Compact on Juveniles. Long - and short-term facilities and programs licensed by DYS include attention homes, group homes, and detention facilities.

Every effort has been made to insure that the data presented in this report is accurate. It is only as accurate as the information provided to the Department of Youth Services by courts and licensed facilities. Continued emphasis is on the importance of accurate and timely reporting for compilation into a cumulative report.

In 1985, the in-house automated system used for processing the juvenile court statistics since 1981 became inoperable. Therefore, alternative means for compiling and generating data were utilized. The Department of Youth Services contracted with the Department of Correction's Correctional Industries Division to keypunch the statistical cards and have them transferred to nine-track data tapes. These tapes were then processed by the Data Systems Management Division of the State Department of Finance utilizing a modified statistical analysis program.

The statistical information generated is basically the same as that of the previous system, and this alternative method of processing information has proven to be quite cost efficient.

II. METHODOLOGY

The primary method of data collection utilized by the Department of Youth Services to obtain data on cases disposed of by the juvenile courts in Alabama during 1986 was the Juvenile Court Statistical Card. It is the duty of each Juvenile Court Judge to maintain records on every case receiving a disposition by the court, whether formal or informal. The most significant data elements appearing on the Juvenile Court Statistical Card include basic demographic information (e.g. county, date of birth, sex and race) and general case history data: previous law encounters, source of referral, type of care received pending disposition, reason for referral to the court, manner of handling (with or without court appearance), adjudication and case dispositon.

Data about the programs licensed by the Department of Youth Services were compiled from monthly reports and admission and discharge cards from each program. Data on the DYS operated institutional programs and community placement facilities were collected from monthly population reports and child placement cards.

Detailed information is provided by the Diagnostic and Evaluation Center about juveniles who have been committed to the Department of Youth Services. Data is also provided by the Interstate Compact Correspondent about the Interstate Compact on Juveniles.

Information on abuse, neglect, dependent and special proceedings cases is provided for this report by the Alabama Department of Human Resources, Division of Data Analysis and Reporting.

III. JUVENILE COURT STATISTICS

Five Year Comparison

Five years ago in 1982, the Department of Youth Services processed a total of 21,467 delinquency and CHINS cases that were disposed of by the Alabama Juvenile Courts. In 1986 there was a total of 25,188 disposed cases reported. This represents an overall 17.3% increase in reported juvenile crimes in the last five years. (See Figure 1, 7 and 8.)

In addition to comparing actual offenses disposed of in the past five years, a comparison was also done on the rate per 100,000 population for reported offenses. This method of compariosn is particularly useful because obtaining the rate of offenses eliminates the population variable. It compares offenses as if all counties had a population of 100,000 persons.

In 1986 the rate of delinquency and CHINS offenses disposed per 100,000 population was 598.5; in 1982 the rate was 551.8. This reflects a percentage increase of 8.5% in the past five years. (See Figure 2.)

DELINQUNECY AND CHINS OFFENSES

The percentage ratio of delinquency and CHINS offenses reported has also shifted slightly in the past five years. In 1982 approximately 77% of the total cases reported involved delinquency offenses, and 23% involved CHINS offenses. In 1986 the ratio was 75% delinquency offenses to 25% CHINS offenses reported. This reflects an approximate 1% increase in the ratio of CHINS offenses.

Between 1982 and 1986, there has been a decrease in the number of reported delinquency offenses while the number of CHINS offenses disposed of has increased somewhat.

The number of delinquency offenses has increased 14.2% while the number of CHINS offenses has increased 27.8% in the last five years. (See Figure 3 and 5.)

The rate per 100,000 population for delinquency offenses has also increased in the last five years. Again, however, the rate per 100,000 population for CHINS offenses has experienced an overall increase. The rate per 100,000 population for delinquency offenses increased 5.5%, and the rate per 100,000 population for CHINS offenses increased 18.2%. (See Figure 4 and 6.)

CARE PENDING DISPOSITION

Since 1982 there has been a significant increase in the overall percentage of youth detained overnight compared to those who were not detained. The percentage of youth detained was 18.4% in 1982; in 1986, that percentage was 21.5%. The rate per 100,000 population for those youth who were not detained overnight was 450.25 in 1982; in 1986 that rate was 470.0. The great majority of the youth who were detained overnight were detained in a licensed detention facility. In fact, the percentage of youth who were detained overnight in a detention facility has increased from 12.9% to 15.4% in the last five years.

MANNER OF HANDLING

Between the years 1982 through 1986 there has been a shift in the manner juvenile court delinquency and CHINS cases were disposed of. This shift is toward a higher percentage of referrals being disposed of with an actual court appearance (formally) compared to being disposed of without a court appearance (informally).

In the past five years there has been a 16.3% increase in the number of reported cases that were disposed of with a court appearance, and there has been a 19.1% increase in the number of cases disposed of without a court appearance (informally).

DISPOSITIONS

As stated above, the number of dispositions that were handled with a court appearance has realized an increase during the past five years. On the other hand, there has been significant increases in the number of dispositions involving probation and consent decrees. Since 1982 there has been a 17.6% increase in probation and 32.0% increase in the number of consent decrees.

Of the total cases reported in 1986, 27.6% were placed on probation. In 1982 this percentage was also 27.6%. In 1986, 7.5% of the total cases resulted in a consent decree while in 1982, the percentage of consent decrees was 6.6%.

Considering that the percentage of cases disposed of without a court appearance has increased between 1982 and 1986, there was a large 47.4% increase in the number of cases disposed of by an informal adjustment in that same time period. The percentage of cases involving informal adjustments has increased from 8.0% in 1982 to 10.2% in 1986.

Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7

TOTAL JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITIONS

Five Year Comparison



Figure 8


JUVENILE COURT OFFENSES BY CATEGORY
Five Year Comparison
(Thousands)
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
Vio
Prop
Ptll
CHINS
Tech

IV. 1986 JUVENILE COURT CASES

A. Summary of Findings

A total of 25,188 juvenile cases were processed by the Department of Youth Services on delinquency and CHINS cases disposed of by Alabama Juvenile Courts in 1986, a 2.7% increase from the previous year. However, during the past five years the overall increase in reported juvenile court dispositions has been 17.3%.

Of the total dispositions reported to DYS, violent offenses accounted for 7.4%, property offenses 25.7%, Part II offenses 36.2%, and CHINS offenses 25.3%. The remaining 5.4% were referred for technical offenses such as violation of probation or violation of aftercare. Since some youth are referred to the courts more than once during the year, the 25,188 total cases reported involve a lesser number of youth. (See Figure 9.)

Of the 1,860 violent offenses reported, the great majority (1,132) involved simple assault, while 384 offenses of aggravated assault were reported. In other violent offenses, there was 56 cases of murder, 6 cases of manslaughter, 95 cases of forcible rape, and 187 cases of robbery (both weapon and strong-arm).

Property offenses accounted for 6,483 of the offenses, a .93% decrease over 1985. The most frequent property offense reported was larceny (shoplifting) 2,594. In addition, there was 2,024 burglaries, 1,490 larcenies, and 375 motor vehicle thefts.

There was a total of 9,112 cases involving Part II offenses reported, a 5.8% increase over the previous year. There were 62 cases of arson, 608 cases of buying, receiving or concealing stolen property, 1,088 cases of vandalism, and 995 cases of disorderly conduct. There was a total of 532 drug offenses reported. Liquor law violations accounted for 1,364 offenses, an 8.9% increase over 1985. Liquor law violations include driving under the influence, violation of liquor laws and drunkenness.

CHINS offenses represented 6.368 of the total offenses reported, a 1.3% decrease over last calendar year. There was 1,338 cases of truancy reported, 1,888 cases of running away and 2,950 cases of ungovernable behavior/beyond control. The remaining 192 CHINS offenses involved other CHINS offenses such as violation of curfew.

Of the total cases reported, 36.8% were disposed of without a court appearance and 63.2% with a court appearance. Of the total cases without a court appearance, 23.1% were lectured and released and 10.2% involved an informal adjustment.

Twenty-eight percent (6,970) of the cases disposed of with a court appearance were to be supervised by a probation officer, and 1.3% (329) were waived to adult court. Fifteen percent (3,843) were dismissed, 7.5% (1,882) involved an consent decree, and 2.6% were fined. Only approximately one-third of the cases involving a court appearance were adjudicated delinquent (7,780) and 6.2% (1,562) were adjudicated CHINS.

Males composed 80.6% of the delinquency cases, but they accounted for only one-half of the CHINS cases. White males accounted for 47.9% of the delinquency cases, black males 32.6% white females 11.7% and black females 7.6%. CHINS cases were composed of 34.7% white males, 14.9% black males, 35.2% white females, and 15.0% black females. Approximately 62% of the total cases were white and 38% were black, representing a slight increase in the number of blacks referred to the courts in 1986 and over 1985.

The most frequently occurring age was 16 - 17 years old, with 43.8% of all referrals (CHINS and delinquency). Other age frequencies for offenses reported in 1986 are as follows in descending order: 14 - 15 years old (35.5%); 12 - 13 years old (12.7%); 11 years old and below (6.2%); and 18 years old and older (1.8%)

Fifty-nine percent of the youth reported had no prior offenses. Forty-three percent of the males had at least one prior offense, while only thirty-six percent of the females had at least one prior offense to the juvenile court. This represents a slight increase over last year.

Approximately 55% of all referrals were made by law enforcement agencies, (13,862), 13.4% by the victim, (3,381), 15.0% by parents/relatives, (3,786), and 6.5% by school authorities (1,631). The remaining 10,1% of the referrals were made by a juvenile probation officer, social agency, or other court or sources.

Seventy-nine percent of the referrals were not detained overnight. Of the total cases reported (delinquency and CHINS), 3,875 (15,4%) were detained overnight in a juvenile detention facility. Only 1,4% of the total cases were detained in a jail or police station overnight. The remaining 4.7% were held in either in an attention home/shelter care or protective custody/shelter care.

Figure 9

1986 TOTAL OFFENSES

Juvenile Court Dispositions



Table 1

JUVENILE COURT CASES CLASSIFIED BY REASON FOR REFERRAL
PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1985

REFERRAL REASON	TOTAL CASES-1985	TOTAL CASES-1986	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
TOTAL JUVENILE COURT CASES	24,528	25,188	2.69
Murder/Non-Neg. Manslaughter	37	56	51.35
Manslaughter by Negligence	8	6	(-25.00)
Forcible Rape	96	95	(-1.04)
Robbery (Weapon)	104	105	.96
Robbery (Strong-Arm)	53	82	54.72
Assault (Aggravated)	378	384	1.59
Assault (Simple)	1,116	1,132	1.43
Burglary	1,949	2,024	3.85
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	1,519	1,490	(-1.91)
Larceny (Shoplifting)	2,616	2,594	(-.84)
Motor Vehicle Theft	460	375	(-18.48)
Arson	66	62	(-6.06)
Forgery/Counterfeiting	135	170	25.93
Fraud	48	62	29.17
Embezzlement	0	1	-0-
Stolen Property: Buying, Receiving, Possessing	615	608	(-1.14)
Vandalism/Destruction of Property	1,051	1,088	3.52
Weapons: Carrying, Possessing, Etc.	182	200	9.89
Prostitution & Commercialized Vice	13	6	(-53.85)
Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape)	194	222	14.43
Violation of Drug Laws: Narcotics (Possession)	212	179	(-15.57)

Table 1 - (Cont'd)

JUVENILE COURT CASES CLASSIFIED BY REASON FOR REFERRAL
PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1985

REFERRAL REASON	TOTAL CASES-1985	TOTAL CASES-1986	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
Violation of Drug Laws: Narcotic (Selling)	12	17	41.67
Violation of Drug Laws: Non-Narcotic (Possession)	416	309	(-25.73)
Violation of Drug Laws: Non-Narcotic (Selling)	38	27	(-28.95)
Driving Under the Influence	132	195	47.73
Liquor Laws	814	870	6.88
Drunkenness	307	475	54.72
Disorderly conduct	954	995	4.30
Traffic Violations (Other than Driving Under the influence)	1,293	1,532	18.48
Trespassing	590	610	3.39
Game Violations	327	280	(-14.37)
Other Delinquent Offenses	1,211	1,204	(-.58)
Truancy	1,379	1,338	(-2.97)
Running Away	1,947	1,888	(-3.03)
Beyond Control/Ungovernable Behavior	2,953	2,950	(-.10)
Other CHINS Offenses	176	192	9.09
Violation of Probation	1,090	1,320	21.10
Violation of Aftercare	37	45	21.62

Table 2

REASON FOR REFERRAL

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRUBUTION

REASON FOR REFERRAL	TOTAL CASES		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL CASES	25,188	(100.00%)	18,336	(100.00%)	6,852	(100.00%)
Violent Offenses	1,860	(7.38%)	1,487	(8.11%)	373	(5.44%)
Murder/Non-Neg. Manslaughter	56	(.22%)	49	(.27%)	7	(.10%)
Manslaughter by Negligence	6	(.02%)	4	(.02%)	2	(.02%)
Forcible Rape	95	(.38%)	94	(.51%)	1	(.02%)
Robbery (Weapon)	105	(.42%)	96	(.52%)	9	(.13%)
Robbery (Strong-Arm)	82	(.33%)	76	(.41%)	6	(.09%)
Assault (Aggravated)	384	(1.53%)	310	(1.69%)	74	(1.08%)
Assault (Simple)	1,132	(4.49%)	858	(1.95%)	274	(4.00%)
Property Offenses	6,483	(25.74%)	5,178	(28.24%)	1,305	(19.05%)
Burglary	2,024	(8.04%)	1,857	(10.13%)	167	(2.44%)
Larceny (Except Shoplifting)	1,490	(5.92%)	1,297	(7.07%)	193	(2.82%)
Larceny (Shoplifting)	2,594	(10.30%)	1,691	(9.22%)	903	(13.18%)
Motor Vehicle Theft	375	(1.49%)	333	(1.82%)	42	(.61%)
Part II Offenses	9,112	(36.18%)	7,548	(41.16%)	1,564	(22.83%)
Arson	62	(.25%)	58	(.32%)	4	(.06%)
Forgery/Counterfeiting	170	(.68%)	109	(.59%)	61	(.89%)
Fraud	62	(.25%)	33	(.18%)	29	(.42%)
Embezzlement	1		1			
Stolen Property: Buying Receiving, Possessing	608	(2.41%)	536	(2.92%)	72	(1.05%)
Vandalism/Destruction of Property	1,088	(4.32%)	964	(5.26%)	124	(1.81%)

Table 2 (Cont'd)

REASON FOR REFERRAL

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION REASON FOR REFERRAL	TOTAL CASES		MALES		FEMALES	
Part II Offenses - Continued						
Weapons: Carrying, Possessing, Etc.	200	(.79%)	184	(1.00%)	16	(.23%)
Prostitution & Commercialized Vice	6	(.02%)	1	(.01%)	5	(.07%)
Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape & Prostitution)	222	(.88%)	209	(1.14%)	13	(.19%)
Viol. Drug Laws: Narcotic (Possession)	179	(.71%)	157	(.86%)	22	(.32%)
Viol. Drug Laws: Narcotic (Selling)	17	(.07%)	15	(.08%)	2	(.03%)
Viol. Drug Laws: Non-Narc (Possession)	309	(1.23%)	258	(1.41%)	51	(.74%)
Viol. Drug Laws: Non-Narc (Selling)	27	(.11%)	24	(.13%)	3	(.04%)
Driving Under the Influence	195	(.77%)	177	(.97%)	18	(.26%)
Liquor Laws	870	(3.45%)	680	(3.71%)	190	(2.77%)
Drunkenness	475	(1.89%)	423	(2.31%)	52	(.76%)
Disorderly Conduct	995	(3.95%)	702	(3.83%)	293	(4.28%)
Traffic Violations (Other than DUI)	1,532	(6.08%)	1,266	(6.90%)	266	(3.88%)
Trespassing	610	(2.42%)	526	(2.87%)	84	(1.23%)
Game Violations	280	(1.11%)	270	(1.47%)	10	(.15%)
Other	1,204	(4.78%)	955	(5.21%)	249	(3.63%)
CHINS Offenses	6,368	(25.28%)	3,163	(17.25%)	3,205	(46.77%)
Truancy	1,338	(5.31%)	733	(4.00%)	605	(8.83%)
Running Away	1,888	(7.50%)	710	(3.87%)	1,178	(17.19%)
Beyond Control/Ungov. Behavior	2,950	(11.71%)	1,593	(8.69%)	1,357	(19.80%)
Other CHINS Offenses	192	(.76%)	127	(.69%)	65	(.95%)

Table 2 (Cont'd)

REASON FOR REFERRAL

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION REASON FOR REFERRAL	TOTAL CASES		MALES		FEMALES	
Part II Offenses - Continued						
Technical Violations	1,365	(5.42%)	960	(5.24%)	405	(5.91%)
Violation of Probation	1,320	(5.24%)	922	(5.03%)	398	(5.81%)
Violation of Aftercare	45	(.18%)	38	(.21%)	7	(.10%)

Figure 10

REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF JUVENILE COURT CASES

CALENDAR YEAR 1986

REGION I

4,824 cases
(19.15%)

REGION II

6,966 cases
(27.66%)

REGION III

7,112 cases
(28.23%)

REGION IV

6,286 cases
(24.96%)



Table 3

REFERRALS BY COUNTY - PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1985

COUNTY	TOTAL CASES - 1985	TOTAL CASES 1986	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
TOTAL CASES	24,528	25,188	2.69
Jefferson	2,954	3,084	4.40
Mobile	4,514	5,021	11.23
Montgomery	1,367	1,490	9.00
Autauga	126	156	23.81
Baldwin	429	466	8.62
Barbour	149	266	78.52
Bibb	83	72	(-13.25)
Blount	176	202	14.77
Bullock	26	33	26.92
Butler	160	160	- 0 -
Calhoun	882	963	9.18
Chambers	538	503	(-6.51)
Cherokee	120	54	(-55.00)
Chilton	52	66	26.92
Choctaw	25	18	(-28.00)
Clarke	89	54	(-39.33)
Clay	116	151	30.17
Cleburne	16	42	162.50
Coffee	569	442	(-22.32)
Colbert	242	194	(-19.83)
Conecuh	30	62	106.67
Coosa	9	15	66.67

Table 3 (Cont'd)

REFERRALS BY COUNTY - PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1985

COUNTY	TOTAL CASES - 1985	TOTAL CASES - 1986	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
Covington	236	292	23.73
Crenshaw	25	25	- 0 -
Cullman	354	345	(-2.54)
Dale	427	453	6.09
Dallas	510	451	(-11.57)
DeKalb	119	154	29.41
Elmore	104	165	58.65
Escambia	361	270	(-25.21)
Etowah	537	511	(-4.84)
Fayette	100	95	(-5.00)
Franklin	325	388	19.38
Geneva	145	187	28.97
Greene	30	37	23.33
Hale	53	45	36.36
Henry	50	72	44.00
Houston	953	860	(-9.76)
Jackson	451	485	7.54
Lamar	54	54	- 0 -
Lauderdale	503	517	2.78
Lawrence	126	171	35.71
Lee	413	515	24.70
Limestone	118	207	75.42
Lowndes	34	27	(-20.59)

Table 3 (Cont'd)

REFERRALS BY COUNTY - PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1985

COUNTY	TOTAL CASES 1985	TOTAL CASES 1986	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
Macon	162	209	29.01
Madison	924	663	(-28.25)
Marengo	231	213	(-7.79)
Marion	56	142	153.57
Marshall	315	413	31.11
Monroe	79	77	(-2.53)
Morgan	677	722	6.65
Perry	50	34	(-32.00)
Pickens	54	64	18.52
Pike	207	180	(-13.04)
Randolph	30	21	(-30.00)
Russell	374	270	(-27.81)
St. Clair	75	90	20.00
Shelby	375	256	(-31.73)
Sumter	45	27	(-40.00)
Talladega	542	525	(-3.14)
Tallapoosa	75	127	69.33
Tuscaloosa	1,049	872	(-16.87)
Walker	310	263	(-15.16)
Washington	85	79	(-7.06)
Wilcox	51	38	(-25.49)
Winston	82	63	(-23.17)

Table 4

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

BURGLARY	LARC (EXC SHOPLIFT)	LARCENY (SHOPLIFT)	MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT	TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSES	ARSON	FORG/ COUNTERFEITING	FRAUD	COUNTY	MURD/ NON-NEGL MANS	MANS BY NEGLIGENCE	FORCIBLE RAPE	ROBBERY (WEAPON)	ROBBERY (STRARM)	ASSAULT (ASGRAVATED)	ASSAULT (SIMPLE)	TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES
7	24	35	0	66	4	0	0	Autauga	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	11
66	50	15	29	151	0	7	0	Baldwin	0	0	1	0	0	8	18	27
6	39	12	6	63	0	0	1	Barbour	1	0	0	0	0	2	12	15
9	1	1	2	13	0	0	0	Bibb	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	7
16	15	1	0	32	0	1	1	Blount	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	15
21	3	1	0	25	0	0	0	Bullock	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
19	12	10	6	47	0	2	0	Butler	0	0	2	0	0	1	10	13
83	81	123	21	308	3	6	0	Calhoun	1	0	1	5	0	15	49	71
22	33	36	2	93	0	1	0	Chambers	1	0	0	0	0	4	8	13
2	2	4	1	9	0	0	0	Cherokee	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
1	2	12	0	15	0	0	0	Chilton	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
4	0	1	0	5	0	1	0	Choctaw	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	3	6	0	10	0	2	0	Clarke	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	7
5	16	2	2	25	1	0	0	Clay	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	7
5	6	0	1	12	0	0	0	Cleburne	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
21	41	26	14	102	1	9	0	Coffee	0	0	0	0	1	0	13	14
14	5	18	1	38	0	0	0	Colbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
10	4	5	3	22	0	0	0	Conecuh	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
5	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	Coosa	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
11	17	30	3	61	1	3	3	Covington	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	11
3	3	2	0	8	0	0	0	Crenshaw	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
38	7	21	15	81	3	7	5	Cullman	0	0	0	1	0	2	13	16
55	28	7	1	91	5	1	2	Dale	1	0	4	1	0	1	23	30
17	12	56	3	93	0	5	0	Dallas	0	0	0	1	2	9	40	52
15	2	0	10	27	1	0	0	DeKalb	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
7	3	10	2	22	0	2	0	Elmore	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
26	11	21	1	59	0	0	0	Escambia	0	0	0	1	0	6	12	19
42	24	63	4	133	3	5	0	Etowah	0	0	2	1	1	1	20	25
6	7	8	0	21	0	0	1	Fayette	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	4
8	8	8	1	25	0	1	1	Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
17	0	0	1	18	0	0	0	Geneva	1	0	0	1	1	0	4	6
1	0	16	0	17	0	0	0	Greene	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	6
0	4	0	1	5	1	0	0	Hale	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	5
12	9	3	0	24	0	0	0	Henry	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
23	42	73	14	152	3	1	0	Houston	0	2	3	1	0	6	26	38
12	15	58	2	87	0	1	0	Jackson	0	1	1	0	0	6	12	20
270	172	356	83	881	6	29	20	Jefferson	20	0	27	39	21	96	229	432
11	7	1	0	19	0	0	0	Lamar	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	5
41	44	75	5	165	1	5	0	Lauderdale	0	0	1	1	0	7	26	35
21	20	10	4	55	3	2	0	Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
43	35	55	8	141	0	2	0	Lee	0	0	1	1	1	4	22	29
6	16	8	1	31	0	18	0	Limestone	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	8
8	1	1	0	10	0	0	0	Lowndes	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
30	29	45	1	105	1	2	0	Macon	0	0	0	0	1	6	11	18
126	63	119	16	324	0	5	2	Madison	1	1	3	3	3	18	23	52
22	3	2	0	27	0	0	0	Marengo	1	0	2	0	2	3	9	17
17	11	6	1	35	0	0	0	Marion	0	0	1	0	0	4	2	7
30	58	30	3	121	2	2	10	Marshall	0	0	0	0	1	0	60	61
290	194	599	22	1105	8	34	13	Mobile	11	0	23	21	29	87	153	324
0	4	3	0	12	0	0	1	Monroe	0	0	1	0	0	1	6	8
171	122	161	34	488	5	1	1	Montgomery	7	0	5	17	9	28	89	155
44	25	81	11	161	1	8	0	Morgan	1	0	5	0	0	28	10	44
5	3	2	1	11	0	0	0	Perry	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
7	9	9	2	27	0	0	0	Pickens	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
15	13	16	2	46	2	3	0	Pike	5	0	1	0	0	0	11	17
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Randolph	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
43	4	17	4	68	0	4	0	Russell	1	1	3	0	3	1	20	29
8	12	5	2	27	0	0	0	St. Clair	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	6
36	2	14	3	55	0	1	0	Shelby	0	0	1	0	0	4	9	14
3	1	1	1	6	0	0	0	Sumter	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
34	29	74	6	143	0	1	0	Talladega	1	1	0	2	1	2	43	50
5	21	7	0	33	0	0	0	Tallapoosa	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	6
33	31	186	25	325	1	2	1	Tuscaloosa	0	0	2	7	4	9	12	34
28	16	7	3	54	2	1	0	Walker	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
7	7	1	0	15	3	2	0	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
7	3	1	0	11	1	0	0	Wilcox	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
3	6	2	0	11	0	0	0	Winston	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
2024	1479	2594	375	6483	62	176	62	STATE TOTAL	55	6	95	105	82	384	1132	1860

Table 4 (Cont'd)

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

EMBEZ-ZLE-MENT	STOLEN PROP: BUY/REC/ CONCEAL	VANDAL/ DESTRUCT OF PROP-ERTY	WEAP: CARRY, POSS, ETC.	PROSTIT AND COMMER VICE	SEX OFFEN EXC FORC RAPE AND PROSTIT	VIOL DRUG-NARC POSS	VIOL DRUG-NARC SELL	VIOL DRUG-NNARC POSS	VIOL DRUG-NMARC SELL	DUI	LI-QUOR LAWS	DRUN-KEN-NESS	DIS-ORD CON-DUCT	TRAFFIC VIOL(OTHER THAN DUI)	TRES-PASS-ING
0	5	8	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	5	1	2	1	7
0	14	15	4	0	2	0	0	16	0	8	12	2	1	27	9
0	2	18	0	0	11	0	0	2	0	5	2	0	9	5	0
0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	1	2
0	0	20	0	0	3	6	1	0	0	7	10	6	21	4	12
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	4	3	1	0	4	0	0	8	0	1	6	0	0	7	0
0	19	50	4	0	7	0	0	18	0	5	32	15	57	74	11
0	6	10	0	0	7	0	0	6	0	5	1	5	25	43	11
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	6	1	0	16	0
0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	8	3	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
0	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	3	2	7	3	2
0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	17	15	2
0	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	0
0	8	16	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	8	49	3	37	26	5
0	2	14	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	25	18	14	3	8
0	0	13	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
0	3	13	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	0	17	1	12	16	4
0	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2
0	1	22	0	0	4	0	0	5	2	2	40	4	23	7	0
0	4	20	2	0	8	0	0	11	3	5	37	3	14	35	11
0	11	31	5	0	2	1	0	6	0	2	1	4	17	11	10
1	0	13	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	16	1
0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	121	0
0	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	2	0	6	0	3
0	14	24	0	0	6	7	2	1	0	6	15	10	18	42	5
0	0	12	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	2	5	3	1	18	0
0	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	10	26	9	6	238	0
0	31	10	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	4	9	0	16	9	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
0	2	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	1	2	1
0	2	7	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	8	1	0
0	9	38	3	0	10	0	0	22	3	14	23	26	35	251	11
0	2	18	0	0	4	2	0	5	4	5	41	5	18	20	12
0	165	147	53	2	17	42	4	26	1	18	42	84	71	39	74
0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0
0	1	21	2	0	3	0	1	14	2	8	31	30	18	94	8
0	6	10	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	7	3	12	7
0	6	14	2	0	8	0	0	4	1	0	13	16	13	5	8
0	2	7	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	15	6	19	5	17	1
0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
0	1	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	0	9
0	10	32	5	0	4	5	0	8	0	4	5	6	15	14	8
0	5	3	1	0	0	7	0	13	0	0	2	0	4	2	0
0	0	19	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	7	5	1	8	1
0	12	23	2	0	13	1	0	12	0	18	10	22	40	11	21
0	149	139	49	2	35	42	2	27	2	4	209	68	232	142	204
0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	6	7
0	32	71	47	1	15	4	1	5	1	1	6	3	40	7	42
0	34	22	7	0	5	15	0	4	1	8	43	23	79	49	32
0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	1
0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	13	2
0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	4	1	0	7	4	1	2	0	1	0	5	6	7	10
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	6	2	1	1	0	2	0	1
0	7	3	0	0	3	7	0	0	0	2	13	15	3	12	9
0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	3	26	0	0	3	12	1	6	1	2	55	21	21	16	15
0	2	6	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	11	5	4	2	0
0	7	12	2	0	12	0	0	20	2	7	13	3	12	17	10
0	2	15	0	0	2	0	0	6	0	1	15	12	20	11	1
0	0	4	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	8	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	1	2	7	0
1	608	1088	200	6	222	179	17	309	27	195	870	475	995	1532	610

Table 4 (Cont'd)

REFERRAL REASON BY COUNTY

COUNTY	GAME VIOL	OTHER DELIN-QUENT OFFNS	TOTAL PART II OFFENSES	TRUANCY	RUN-NING AWAY	UNGOV-ERNABLE BEHAV-IOR	OTHER CHINS OFFEN-SES	TOTAL CHINS OFFEN-SES	VIOL-ATION OF PRO BATION	VIOLA-TION OF AFTER-CARE	TOTAL TECHNICAL OFFENSES	TOTAL REPORTED OFFENSES
Autauga	0	2	38	1	5	29	0	35	6	0	6	156
Baldwin	5	11	133	17	91	42	2	152	2	1	3	466
Barbour	0	2	57	49	47	31	1	128	3	0	3	266
Bibb	1	4	23	9	8	11	0	28	1	0	1	72
Blount	5	1	98	5	15	35	1	56	1	0	1	202
Bullock	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	33
Butler	0	7	43	24	9	11	0	44	13	0	13	160
Calhoun	15	60	376	55	77	70	0	202	6	0	6	963
Chambers	10	43	173	29	35	146	10	220	3	1	4	503
Cherokee	5	1	32	4	3	2	0	9	0	0	0	54
Chilton	0	0	26	4	5	10	0	19	2	0	2	66
Choctaw	0	3	11	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	18
Clarke	3	3	34	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	1	54
Clay	0	1	48	18	6	19	27	70	1	0	1	151
Cleburne	1	2	18	3	2	5	0	10	0	0	0	42
Coffee	3	12	182	39	34	33	9	115	26	3	29	442
Colbert	4	1	93	22	19	15	1	57	2	0	2	194
Conecuh	0	0	19	3	7	5	0	15	3	0	3	62
Coosa	0	3	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	15
Covington	9	14	106	31	35	25	0	91	23	0	23	292
Crenshaw	0	2	15	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	25
Cullman	1	16	142	8	27	47	2	84	22	0	22	345
Dale	2	8	171	28	36	77	7	148	11	2	13	453
Dallas	0	41	147	14	20	74	7	115	38	1	39	451
DeKalb	6	3	47	44	17	15	0	76	0	0	0	154
Elmore	5	5	140	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	165
Escambia	4	21	54	53	21	52	1	127	11	0	11	270
Etowah	13	64	235	49	10	49	6	114	3	1	4	511
Fayette	0	5	54	5	8	2	0	15	1	0	1	95
Franklin	1	7	312	15	5	12	5	37	4	0	4	388
Geneva	29	1	114	7	23	13	0	43	6	0	6	187
Greene	1	3	10	0	0	3	0	3	1	0	1	37
Hale	0	0	24	2	1	7	1	11	0	0	0	45
Henry	7	5	36	3	1	6	0	10	1	0	1	72
Houston	3	38	490	21	37	95	2	155	24	1	25	860
Jackson	35	28	200	60	38	77	1	176	2	0	2	485
Jefferson	1	139	973	92	319	273	15	699	94	5	99	3084
Lamar	3	2	14	7	1	7	1	16	0	0	0	54
Lauderdale	10	25	274	15	15	9	4	43	0	0	0	517
Lawrence	7	6	69	4	18	8	2	32	9	0	9	171
Lee	2	41	135	37	55	74	8	174	36	0	36	515
Limestone	2	19	120	24	14	3	0	41	6	1	7	207
Lowndes	0	0	10	1	0	4	0	5	1	0	1	27
Macon	1	2	32	18	3	28	0	49	5	0	5	209
Madison	1	18	142	81	17	21	7	126	19	0	19	663
Marengo	1	2	40	69	1	58	0	128	1	0	1	213
Marion	3	15	62	20	4	12	2	38	0	0	0	142
Marshall	2	7	208	1	5	3	0	9	14	0	14	413
Mobile	2	220	1624	126	293	993	16	1428	538	2	540	5021
Monroe	8	0	39	3	6	9	0	18	0	0	0	77
Montgomery	0	100	383	34	218	88	8	348	102	14	116	1490
Morgan	10	10	350	28	59	29	0	116	49	2	51	722
Perry	0	0	8	0	2	2	0	4	1	0	1	34
Pickens	0	0	10	5	9	9	0	23	0	0	0	64
Pike	18	19	67	5	15	25	1	46	3	1	4	180
Randolph	0	0	4	9	0	6	1	16	0	0	0	21
Russell	1	14	68	22	8	39	7	76	28	1	29	270
St. Clair	7	5	28	6	8	14	0	28	1	0	1	90
Shelby	0	45	120	5	7	38	4	54	13	0	13	256
Sumter	4	0	12	0	5	3	0	8	0	0	0	27
Talladega	4	42	229	20	27	41	13	101	2	0	2	525
Tallapoosa	4	7	46	15	18	9	0	42	0	0	0	127
Tuscaloosa	3	28	153	28	47	87	18	180	172	8	180	872
Walker	4	13	104	24	43	27	2	96	3	0	3	263
Washington	7	6	39	1	16	6	0	23	0	0	0	79
Wilcox	7	0	10	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	38
Winston	0	2	32	4	12	2	1	18	0	0	0	63
STATE TOTAL	280	1204	9112	1338	1888	2950	192	6368	1320	45	1365	25188

Table 5

RACE

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION RACE	TOTAL		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL CASES	25,188	(100.00%)	18,336	(100.00%)	6,852	(100.00%)
White	15,665	(62.19%)	11,220	(61.19%)	4,445	(64.87%)
Black	9,471	(37.60%)	7,082	(38.62%)	2,389	(34.87%)
Other	52	(.21%)	34	(.19%)	18	(.26%)

Table 6

AGE

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION AGE	TOTAL CASES		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL CASES	25,188	(100.00%)	18,336	(100.00%)	6,852	(100.00%)
5 and under	192	(.76%)	137	(.75%)	55	(.80%)
6 - 9	536	(2.13%)	394	(2.15%)	142	(2.07%)
10-11	834	(3.31%)	669	(3.65%)	165	(2.41%)
12-13	3,207	(12.73%)	2,222	(12.12%)	985	(14.38%)
14-15	8,933	(35.47%)	6,091	(33.22%)	2,842	(41.48%)
16-17	11,024	(43.77%)	8,454	(46.11%)	2,570	(37.51%)
18 and over	462	(1.83%)	369	(2.01%)	93	(1.36%)

Table 7

SOURCE OF REFERRAL

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION REFERRAL SOURCE	TOTAL		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL REFERRALS	25,188	(100.00%)	18,336	(100.00%)	6,852	(100.00%)
Law Enforcement Agency	13,862	(55.04%)	11,101	(60.54%)	2,761	(40.30%)
School	1,631	(6.48%)	1,028	(5.61%)	603	(8.80%)
Probation Officer	1,314	(5.22%)	945	(5.15%)	369	(5.39%)
Parents/Relatives	3,786	(15.03%)	1,863	(10.16%)	1,923	(20.06%)
Victim	3,381	(13.42%)	2,565	(13.99%)	816	(11.91%)
Social Agency	505	(2.00%)	237	(1.29%)	268	(3.91%)
Traffic Court	232	(.92%)	204	(1.11%)	28	(.41%)
Other Court	293	(1.16%)	240	(1.31%)	53	(.77%)
Other Source	184	(.73%)	153	(.83%)	31	(.45%)

Table 8

PRIOR COURT REFERRALS

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION PRIOR COURT REFERRALS	TOTAL		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL CASES	25,188	(100.00%)	18,336	(100.00%)	6,852	(100.00%)
No	14,870	(59.04%)	10,471	(57.11%)	4,399	(64.20%)
Yes	10,317	(40.96%)	7,864	(42.89%)	2,453	(35.80%)

Table 9

CARE PENDING DISPOSITION

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION CARE PENDING DISPOSITION	TOTAL		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL CASES	25,188	(100.00%)	18,366	(100.00%)	6,852	(100.00%)
Not Detained	19,784	(78.55%)	14,416	(78.63%)	5,368	(78.34%)
Detention Facility	3,875	(15.38%)	3,092	(16.86%)	783	(11.43%)
Jail	295	(1.17%)	263	(1.43%)	32	(.47%)
Jail/Detention - Both	58	(.23%)	46	(.25%)	12	(.17%)
Attention Home/Shelter Care	1,176	(4.67%)	519	(2.83%)	657	(9.59%)

Table 10

DISPOSITIONS

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION						
DISPOSITION	TOTAL		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL CASES	25,188	(100.00%)	18,336	(100.00%)	6,852	(100.00%)
Without Court Appearance	9,264	(36.78%)	6,108	(33.31%)	3,156	(46.06%)
Lectured and Released	5,812	(23.07%)	3,862	(21.06%)	1,950	(28.47%)
Informal Adjustment	2,533	(10.06%)	1,755	(9.57%)	778	(11.35%)
Informal Adjustment - Cont'd	28	(.11%)	15	(.08%)	13	(.19%)
Courtesy Supervision	27	(.11%)	21	(.11%)	6	(.09%)
Referred to Another Agency	355	(1.41%)	192	(1.05%)	163	(2.38%)
Runaway Returned	402	(1.60%)	184	(1.00%)	218	(3.18%)
Other	107	(.42%)	79	(.43%)	28	(.41%)
With Court Appearance	15,924	(63.22%)	12,228	(66.69%)	3,696	(53.94%)
Waived to Adult Court	329	(1.31%)	306	(1.67%)	23	(.34%)
Dismissed	3,843	(15.26%)	2,901	(15.83%)	942	(13.75%)
Fined	652	(2.59%)	541	(2.95%)	111	(1.62%)
Courtesy Supervision	52	(.21%)	40	(.22%)	12	(.18%)
Runaway Returned	40	(.16%)	19	(.10%)	21	(.31%)
Consent Decree	1,869	(7.42%)	1,406	(7.67%)	463	(6.76%)
Consent Decree - Cont'd	13	(.05%)	10	(.05%)	3	(.04%)
Probation	5,876	(23.33%)	4,491	(24.49%)	1,385	(20.21%)
Probation - Cont'd	1,094	(4.34%)	841	(4.59%)	253	(3.69%)
Aftercare - Cont'd	42	(.17%)	35	(.19%)	7	(.10%)
Committed to DYS	1,188	(4.72%)	1,003	(5.47%)	185	(2.70%)
Committed to Mental Health	117	(.46%)	79	(.43%)	38	(.55%)
Committed to Child Care Facility	161	(.64%)	106	(.58%)	55	(.80%)

Table 10

DISPOSITIONS

A. NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION DISPOSITION			MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL CASES - Cont'd						
Other	483	(1.92%)	363	(1.98%)	120	(1.75%)
Other Transfer of Legal Custody						
Pensions and Security	71	(.28%)	27	(.15%)	44	(.64%)
Private Child Care Facility	18	(.07%)	15	(.08%)	3	(.04%)
Relative	56	(.22%)	32	(.17%)	24	(.35%)
Other	20	(.08%)	13	(.07%)	7	(.10%)

Table 11

DISPOSITIONS BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	Autauga	Baldwin	Barbour	Bibb	Blount	Bullock	Butler	Calhoun	Chambers	Cherokee	Chilton	Choctaw	Clarke	Clay	Cleburne	Coffee	Colbert	Conecuh	Coosa	Covington	Crenshaw	Cullman	Dale
W/out Court Appearance	95	187	157	47	70	0	19	214	321	8	32	4	4	75	14	246	127	26	5	107	0	103	211
Lectured and Released	41	101	79	40	57	0	15	206	225	7	26	3	4	57	5	204	81	12	4	60	0	103	141
Informal Adjustment	45	6	29	2	12	0	4	8	15	1	1	1	0	10	8	13	36	9	1	28	0	0	26
Informal Adj.-Cont'd.	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Courtesy Supervision	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ref. to Another Agen.	4	16	1	2	1	0	0	0	71	0	0	0	0	6	0	21	4	5	0	6	0	0	32
Runaway Returned	5	61	43	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	6	0	0	12	0	0	11
Other	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
With Court Appearance	61	279	109	25	132	33	141	749	182	46	34	14	50	76	28	196	67	36	10	185	25	242	242
Waived to Adult Ct.	0	7	1	0	1	1	0	4	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	2	1	7	11
Dismissed	10	41	16	2	33	1	15	388	57	9	11	1	24	27	3	39	3	4	2	32	10	46	28
Fined	0	33	7	1	1	0	6	0	17	19	1	0	3	2	0	33	4	1	1	40	3	25	22
Courtesy Supervision	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
Runaway Returned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
Consent Decree	15	2	33	7	26	0	57	263	3	3	8	1	0	6	17	2	1	0	0	10	0	22	34
Cons. Decree-Cont'd.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Probation	18	128	24	8	49	28	33	66	62	11	4	10	20	15	7	76	54	24	6	58	11	116	93
Probation-Cont'd.	5	0	1	0	2	0	12	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	8	1	0	0	16	0	1	1
Aftercare-Cont'd	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Committed to DYS	9	30	9	4	7	3	10	22	16	0	2	1	1	5	1	17	1	4	0	13	0	13	26
Com. to Mental Hlth.	1	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Child-Care Facility	0	11	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	6	0	1	5
Other	2	22	15	2	2	0	2	6	18	2	0	0	0	10	0	3	3	0	0	2	0	2	12
Other Transfer																							
Dept. of Pen. & Sec.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Priv. Child Care Fac.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Relative	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	156	466	266	72	202	33	160	963	503	54	66	18	54	151	42	442	194	62	15	292	25	345	453

Table 11 (Cont'd)

DISPOSITIONS BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	Dallas	DeKalb	Elmore	Escambia	Etowah	Fayette	Franklin	Geneva	Greene	Hale	Henry	Houston	Jackson	Jefferson	Lamar	Lauderdale	Lawrence	Lee	Limestone	Lowndes	Macon	Madison	Marengo
W/out Court Appearance	111	80	132	142	27	16	24	29	17	4	0	631	296	742	35	194	34	124	97	2	121	125	109
Lectured and Released	97	63	57	107	19	12	23	20	8	2	0	386	198	574	15	139	14	95	49	2	2	46	56
Informal Adjustment	11	8	71	28	5	0	0	1	8	2	0	201	74	98	17	49	17	5	46	0	118	79	53
Informal Adj.-Cont'd.	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Courtesy Supervision	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	3	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
Ref. to Another Agen.	3	2	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	38	5	27	2	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
Runaway Returned	0	7	0	2	1	4	1	7	0	0	0	5	14	21	1	2	0	4	2	0	1	0	0
Other	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	19	0	1	0	14	0	0	0	0	0
With Court Appearance	340	74	33	128	484	79	364	158	20	41	72	229	189	2342	19	323	137	391	110	25	88	538	104
Waived to Adult Ct.	0	0	2	0	6	3	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	49	7	14	0	11	1	0	0	23	1
Dismissed	128	7	8	13	120	17	115	29	8	9	20	47	34	962	6	66	28	56	13	5	18	136	15
Fined	3	19	1	0	8	8	164	21	0	1	7	15	18	21	0	14	37	9	1	0	1	7	1
Courtesy Supervision	5	0	0	10	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	8	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Runaway Returned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	6	0	3	0	4	0	1	1	0	0
Consent Decree	23	2	0	14	135	0	6	35	0	7	11	34	51	329	0	94	1	17	6	2	1	4	36
Cons. Decree-Cont'd.	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Probation	69	30	11	53	104	30	49	50	5	11	22	80	27	714	2	83	51	174	70	10	51	293	27
Probation-Cont'd.	36	0	0	13	7	2	0	10	5	1	1	14	3	17	0	2	2	19	2	0	7	0	2
Aftercare-Cont'd	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Committed to DYS	27	5	4	11	56	5	7	7	0	2	4	31	13	150	1	24	15	43	11	5	4	65	16
Com. to Mental Hlth.	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Child-Care Facility	3	3	1	2	1	0	3	2	1	1	5	1	6	12	1	2	0	13	1	0	3	8	1
Other	37	4	6	11	32	10	15	0	0	9	2	4	19	33	2	11	0	34	2	1	1	2	0
Other Transfer																							
Dept. of Pen. & Sec.	1	1	0	0	9	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Priv. Child Care Fac.	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Relative	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	1
Other	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	451	154	165	270	511	95	388	187	37	45	72	860	485	3084	54	517	171	515	207	27	209	663	213

Table 11 (Cont'd)

DISPOSITIONS BY COUNTY

DISPOSITION	Marion	Marshall	Mobile	Monroe	Montgomery	Morgan	Perry	Pickens	Pike	Randolph	Russell	St Clair	Shelby	Sumter	Talladega	Tallapoosa	Tuscaloosa	Walker	Washington	Wilcox	Winston	State
W/out Court Appearance	36	264	2372	27	431	130	19	38	34	18	52	36	32	13	307	64	99	33	57	18	20	9264
Lectured and Released	26	171	1042	22	369	123	18	25	27	18	45	20	29	2	286	37	23	30	23	18	7	5812
Informal Adjustment	7	56	1162	3	6	1	1	9	4	0	0	7	1	5	4	14	72	0	26	0	1	2533
Informal Adj.-Cont'd.	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Courtesy Supervision	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
Ref. to Another Agen.	0	32	11	2	38	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	8	0	0	4	0	0	355
Runaway Returned	3	4	98	0	13	1	0	3	1	0	0	6	1	6	13	5	4	3	4	0	12	402
Other	0	1	39	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	107
With Court Appearance	106	149	2649	50	1059	592	15	26	146	3	218	54	224	14	218	63	773	230	22	20	43	15924
Waived to Adult Ct.	5	13	64	1	35	5	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	3	2	22	4	0	0	1	329
Dismissed	45	49	391	5	194	92	1	7	42	0	50	20	62	4	65	4	110	24	1	4	11	3843
Fined	8	2	0	23	10	7	1	0	1	0	2	4	4	2	2	0	4	4	1	0	2	652
Courtesy Supervision	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	52
Runaway Returned	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
Consent Decree	0	20	196	2	52	1	5	4	32	0	0	12	38	5	48	0	44	83	1	5	2	1869
Cons. Decree-Cont'd.	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	13
Probation	39	47	1214	10	395	354	3	9	41	2	96	13	95	0	70	37	397	78	14	3	22	5876
Probation-Cont'd.	0	8	543	2	180	81	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	78	2	2	0	1	1094
Aftercare-Cont'd	0	0	1	0	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	42
Committed to DYS	5	9	179	3	104	19	2	5	13	1	25	3	9	2	11	6	70	13	2	4	3	1188
Com. to Mental Hlth.	0	0	51	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	10	0	0	0	0	117
Child-Care Facility	0	0	1	0	10	3	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	24	3	0	3	0	161
Other	2	1	8	0	37	18	1	0	1	0	32	1	12	0	14	6	3	9	0	0	0	483
Other Transfer																						
Dept. of Pen. & Sec.	1	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	4	1	0	1	71
Priv. Child Care Fac.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	18
Relative	0	0	0	0	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	56
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
TOTAL	142	413	5021	77	1490	722	34	64	180	21	270	90	256	27	525	127	872	263	79	38	63	25188

Table 12

ADJUDICATION

A. NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION ADJUDICATION	TOTAL CASES		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL CASES	25,188	(100.00%)	18,336	(100.00%)	6,852	(100.00%)
None	15,777	(62.64%)	11,085	(60.46%)	4,692	(68.48%)
Delinquent	7,780	(30.89%)	6,427	(35.05%)	1,353	(19.75%)
CHINS	1,562	(6.20%)	789	(4.30%)	773	(11.28%)
Dependent	69	(.27%)	35	(.19%)	34	(.50%)

V. REFERRAL DATA BY POPULATION

A. COUNTIES WITH OVER 100,000 POPULATION*

Counties with over 100,000 persons are considered "urbanized areas" by the Bureau of the Census. Alabama has seven such counties, and these were chosen for close analysis because of their large populations. The counties are Calhoun, Etowah, Jefferson, Madison, Montgomery, Mobile and Tuscaloosa (See Table 13).

The rate per 100,000 population provides a uniform basis for comparing one county to another. It is a standard unit of measurement used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation as a basis for comparing the number of crimes reported in a particular area to the number of persons residing in that area. For example, Montgomery County's present population is approximately 215,100, the number of juvenile court referrals disposed of (delinquent and CHINS offenses only) during 1986 was 1,490; therefore, Montgomery County's rate of referrals disposed of is 685.1 per 100,000 population.

Calhoun County, which comprises 3.1% of the state's total population, accounts for 3.8% of the total court dispositions and has the second highest rate of referrals disposed of per 100,000 of 746.5.

Approximately 16.5% of the state's population is located in Jefferson County, and it represents 12.2% of the total juvenile court referrals (a slight increase over the 1985 percentage of 12.0). Of these seven counties, Jefferson County has the second lowest rate of referrals disposed of per 100,000 of 444.8, also a slight increase over 1985.

Madison County has 5.1% of the total population, represents 2.6% of the total juvenile court referrals, and has a rate per 100,000 of 307.2, a significant 29.1% decrease over 1985.

Of these seven counties, Mobile represents 9.3% of the state's population which is slightly over half of Jefferson County's population, but note that Mobile County contributes 19.9% of the total juvenile court dispositions, which is higher than Jefferson County's percentage of referrals, and its rate per 100,000 (1,283.2) is more than double that of Jefferson County. In fact, Mobile County has the highest rate per 100,000 population of any county in the state.

Montgomery and Madison County are approximately the same size (each accounting for about 5.1% of the state's population). This year, their percentage of juvenile court referrals disposed was 5.9% and 2.6% respectively. Montgomery County experienced an increase in its rate per 100,000 of 685.1 and Madison County's rate per 100,000 decreased to 307.2.

Tuscaloosa County exhibits the third highest rate of referral per 100,000 with 581.0 (a 17.8% decrease over 1985), and it comprises 3.6% of the state's population.

Etowah County is the seventh county to achieve a population greater than 100,000, making up 2.6% of the state's population, and it is the lowest in terms of percentage of juvenile court referrals (2.0%). It has the third lowest rate per 100,000 of 472.7, a slight decrease over 1985.

In summary, Jefferson County is the most populous of the seven counties with over 100,000 population, has the second lowest rate per 100,000 but contributes the second highest percentage of the state's juvenile court referrals disposed of (delinquency and CHINS offenses only). Mobile County (the second largest of the seven) has the highest rate of referrals per 100,000 with 1,283.2 (also the largest in the state) as well as the largest number of cases reported.

Only four of the most populous counties (Jefferson, Mobile, Montgomery and Calhoun) realized an increase in the percentage of the state's juvenile court referrals disposed of from 1985, and while three counties (Madison, Etowah and Tuscaloosa) realized a decrease. However, four of the seven counties (Jefferson, Mobile, Montgomery, and Calhoun) experienced an increase in the rate per 100,000 population.

* 1986 population estimates prepared by the Center for Business and Economic Research, University of Alabama, and published by the Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs, State Planning Division.

Table 13

COUNTIES WITH OVER 100,000 POPULATION

COUNTY	%OF STATE'S POPULATION	% OF STATE JUVENILE COURT DISPOSITONS	RATE PER 100,000	RATE/100,000 PERCENT CHANGE FROM 1985
Jefferson	16.5%	12.2%	444.8	4.2%
Mobile	9.3%	19.9%	1,283.2	10.4%
Montgomery	5.2%	5.9%	685.1	7.8%
Madison	5.1%	2.6%	307.1	(-29.1%)
Tuscaloosa	3.6%	3.5%	581.0	(-17.8%)
Calhoun	3.1%	3.8%	746.5	8.3%
Etowah	2.6%	2.0%	472.7	(-5.3%)
TOTAL	45.4%	49.9%		

NOTE: The rate per 100,000 population for the entire state equals 598.5, a 1.75% increase over 1985. This includes dispositions on delinquency and CHINS offenses.

B. REFERRALS PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR ALL COUNTIES*

The rate of referrals disposed of per 100,000 population ranges from 1,283.2 in Mobile County to 33.7 in Bullock County (See Tables 14 through 21). The state as a whole has a rate of 598.5. The rates per 100,000 population for the state as well as for the individual counties are slightly higher in 1986 in comparison to the previous year primarily due to the fact that Alabama experienced an increase in the number of reported delinquency and CHINS offenses with dispositons. There are 21 counties with juvenile court dispositon rates higher than the state as a whole, and 46 counties with lower rates.

There was a small turnover among the ten counties with the highest referrals per 100,000 population in 1986. Barbour County and Jackson County replaced Escambia and Dallas County in the top ten. Of the remaining eight counties, Mobile, Franklin, Clay and Marengo increased their standing, and four (Chambers, Coffee, Houston and Dale) decreased their standing from the previous year. The ten counties with the highest rate of referral per 100,000 are:

COUNTY	DISPOSITIONS PER 100,000
Mobile	1,283.2
Franklin	1,243.6
Chambers	1,238.9
Coffee	1,067.6
Clay	1,055.9
Barbour	1,027.0
Houston	957.7
Dale	859.6
Marengo	825.6
Jackson	804.3

*NOTE: Precaution must be taken when using this method of analysis with small counties and small numbers of referral. This conversion method was used to equalize the effects of population variables.

TOTAL STATEWIDE REFERRALS DISPOSED OF RATE PER 100,000 POPULATION

REGION I

REGION II

REGION III

REGION IV



Table 14

RANK ORDER OF ALABAMA COUNTIES BY ACTUAL POPULATION

Counties Greater than 100,000

1.	Jefferson	693,300
2.	Mobile	391,300
3.	Montgomery	217,500
4.	Madison	215,800
5.	Tuscaloosa	150,100
6.	Calhoun	129,000
7.	Etowah	108,100

Counties 50,001 to 100,000

8.	Morgan	99,200
9.	Baldwin	91,800
10.	Houston	89,800
11.	Lauderdale	89,600
12.	Lee	85,900
13.	Shelby	81,600
14.	Talladega	79,400
15.	Walker	77,500
16.	Marshall	72,600
17.	Cullman	67,900
18.	DeKalb	61,800
19.	Jackson	60,300
20.	Dallas	58,100
21.	Colbert	57,700
22.	Dale	52,700

Counties 25,001 to 50,000

23.	St. Clair	50,100
24.	Elmore	49,700
25.	Limestone	49,200
26.	Russell	48,400
27.	Blount	43,000
28.	Coffee	41,400
29.	Tallapoosa	41,000
30.	Chambers	40,600
31.	Escambia	40,500
32.	Covington	38,400

Counties 25,001 to 50,000 - Cont'd

33.	Autauga	37,000
34.	Marion	34,900
35.	Chilton	34,200
36.	Lawrence	32,100
37.	Franklin	31,200
38.	Pike	30,100
39.	Clarke	28,100
40.	Macon	27,300
41.	Barbour	25,900
42.	Winston	25,800
43.	Marengo	25,800
44.	Geneva	25,700

Counties 10,000 to 25,000

45.	Monroe	23,700
46.	Pickens	22,000
47.	Butler	21,300
48.	Randolph	21,200
49.	Cherokee	20,800
50.	Fayette	20,600
51.	Lamar	17,900
52.	Washington	17,400
53.	Bibb	17,200
54.	Sumter	17,100
55.	Choctaw	17,000
56.	Henry	16,500
57.	Conecuh	16,100
58.	Hale	15,400
59.	Perry	14,700
60.	Crenshaw	14,600
61.	Clay	14,300
62.	Wilcox	13,800
63.	Cleburne	13,600
64.	Lowndes	13,500
65.	Coosa	11,700
66.	Greene	11,200
67.	Bullock	9,800

The population for the entire state is approximately 4,208,600.

1986 population estimates prepared by Center for Business and Economic Research University of Alabama, and published by the Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs, State Planning Division.

Table 15

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

All Offenses

1.	Mobile	1,283.16	33.	Washington	454.02
2.	Franklin	1,243.59	34.	Jefferson	444.83
3.	Chambers	1,238.92	35.	Henry	436.36
4.	Coffee	1,067.63	36.	Autauga	421.62
5.	Clay	1,055.94	37.	Limestone	420.73
6.	Barbour	1,027.03	38.	Bibb	418.60
7.	Houston	957.68	39.	Marion	406.88
8.	Dale	859.58	40.	Conecuh	385.09
9.	Marengo	825.58	41.	Walker	339.35
10.	Jackson	804.31	42.	Colbert	336.22
11.	Dallas	776.25	43.	Elmore	331.99
12.	Macon	765.57	44.	Greene	330.36
13.	Covington	760.42	45.	Monroe	324.89
14.	Butler	751.17	46.	Shelby	313.73
15.	Calhoun	746.51	47.	Tallapoosa	309.76
16.	Morgan	727.82	48.	Cleburne	308.82
17.	Geneva	727.63	49.	Madison	307.23
18.	Montgomery	685.06	50.	Lamar	301.68
19.	Escambia	666.67	51.	Hale	292.21
20.	Talladega	661.21	52.	Pickens	290.91
21.	Lee	599.53	53.	Wilcox	275.56
***	State of Alabama	598.49 ***	54.	Cherokee	259.62
22.	Pike	598.01	55.	DeKalb	249.19
23.	Tuscaloosa	580.95	56.	Winston	244.19
24.	Lauderdale	577.00	57.	Perry	231.29
25.	Marshall	568.87	58.	Lowndes	200.00
26.	Russell	557.85	59.	Chilton	192.98
27.	Lawrence	532.71	60.	Clarke	192.17
28.	Cullman	508.10	61.	St. Clair	179.64
29.	Baldwin	507.63	62.	Crenshaw	171.23
30.	Etowah	472.71	63.	Sumter	157.89
31.	Blount	469.77	64.	Coosa	128.21
32.	Fayette	461.17	65.	Choctaw	105.88
			66.	Randolph	99.06
			67.	Bullock	33.67

Table 16

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Delinquency Offenses

1.	Franklin	1,125.00	34.	Limestone	337.40
2.	Mobile	918.23	35.	Marengo	329.46
3.	Barbour	802.33	36.	Elmore	327.97
4.	Coffee	789.86	37.	Autauga	327.03
5.	Houston	785.08	38.	Washington	321.88
6.	Geneva	727.63	39.	Greene	303.58
7.	Chambers	697.05	40.	Conecuh	291.93
8.	Morgan	610.89	41.	Monroe	248.95
9.	Calhoun	589.93	42.	Madison	248.58
10.	Macon	586.09	43.	Shelby	247.55
11.	Dale	578.75	44.	Colbert	237.44
12.	Dallas	578.32	45.	Cleburne	235.30
13.	Clay	566.44	46.	Hale	220.78
14.	Marshall	556.48	47.	Cherokee	216.35
15.	Butler	544.61	48.	Walker	215.49
16.	Talladega	534.01	49.	Lamar	212.30
17.	Lauderdale	529.02	50.	Tallapoosa	207.32
18.	Covington	523.44	51.	Perry	204.09
19.	Montgomery	525.06	52.	Wilcox	195.66
20.	Jackson	512.44	53.	Pickens	186.37
21.	Tuscaloosa	461.03	54.	Clarke	185.06
***	State of Alabama	447.18***	55.	Winston	174.42
22.	Pike	445.19	56.	Crenshaw	164.39
23.	Lawrence	433.03	57.	Lowndes	162.97
24.	Russell	400.83	58.	Chilton	137.43
25.	Lee	396.98	59.	DeKalb	126.22
26.	Fayette	388.35	60.	Saint Clair	123.76
27.	Cullman	384.35	61.	Coosa	119.66
28.	Henry	375.76	62.	Sumter	111.12
29.	Etowah	367.26	63.	Bibb	105.27
30.	Escambia	353.09	64.	Marion	104.84
31.	Jefferson	344.01	65.	Choctaw	100.00
32.	Baldwin	342.05	66.	Bullock	33.68
33.	Blount	339.54	67.	Randolph	23.58

Table 17

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Violent Offenses

1.	Dallas	89.50	34.	Lamar	27.93
2.	Marshall	84.02	35.	Clarke	24.91
3.	Mobile	82.80	36.	Madison	24.10
4.	Montgomery	71.26	37.	Cullman	23.56
5.	Perry	68.03	38.	Geneva	23.35
6.	Macon	65.93	39.	Etowah	23.13
7.	Marengo	65.89	40.	Tuscaloosa	22.65
8.	Talladega	62.97	41.	Marion	20.06
9.	Jefferson	62.31	42.	Fayette	19.42
10.	Butler	61.03	43.	Cherokee	19.23
11.	Russell	59.92	44.	Lawrence	18.69
12.	Barbour	57.92	45.	Conecuh	18.63
13.	Dale	56.92	46.	Pickens	18.18
14.	Pike	56.48	47.	Shelby	17.16
15.	Calhoun	55.04	48.	Coosa	17.09
16.	Greene	53.57	49.	Limestone	16.26
17.	Clay	48.95	50.	Cleburne	14.71
18.	Escambia	46.91	51.	Tallapoosa	14.63
19.	Morgan	44.35	52.	St. Clair	11.98
***	State of Alabama	44.20***	53.	Chilton	11.70
20.	Wilcox	43.48	54.	Washington	11.49
21.	Houston	42.32	55.	Winston	7.75
22.	Bibb	40.70	56.	Walker	7.74
23.	Lauderdale	39.06	57.	Lowndes	7.41
24.	Blount	34.88	58.	Colbert	6.93
25.	Coffee	33.82	59.	Crenshaw	6.85
26.	Lee	33.76	60.	DeKalb	6.47
	Monroe	33.76	61.	Henry	6.06
27.	Jackson	33.17	62.	Choctaw	5.88
28.	Hale	32.47	63.	Sumter	5.85
29.	Franklin	32.05	64.	Randolph	4.72
30.	Chambers	32.02	65.	Elmore	2.01
31.	Autauga	29.73	66.	Bullock	1.02
32.	Baldwin	29.41			
33.	Covington	28.65			

Table 18

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Property Offenses

1.	Macon	384.62	34.	Cullman	119.29
2.	Mobile	282.39	35.	Lamar	106.15
3.	Coffee	246.38	36.	Marengo	104.65
4.	Barbour	243.21	37.	Fayette	101.94
5.	Calhoun	238.76	38.	Marion	100.29
6.	Chambers	229.06	39.	Cleburne	88.24
7.	Montgomery	224.37	40.	Washington	86.21
8.	Butler	220.66	41.	Tallapoosa	80.49
9.	Tuscaloosa	216.52	42.	Franklin	80.13
10.	Lauderdale	184.15	43.	Wilcox	79.71
11.	Talladega	180.10	44.	Bibb	75.58
12.	Autauga	178.38	45.	Perry	74.83
13.	Clay	174.83	46.	Blount	74.42
14.	Dale	172.68	47.	Lowndes	74.07
15.	Lawrence	171.34	48.	Geneva	70.04
16.	Houston	169.27	49.	Walker	69.68
17.	Dallas	168.67	50.	Shelby	67.40
18.	Marshall	166.67	51.	Colbert	65.86
19.	Baldwin	164.49	52.	Limestone	63.01
20.	Lee	164.14	53.	Crenshaw	54.79
21.	Morgan	162.30	54.	St. Clair	53.89
22.	Covington	158.85	55.	Coosa	51.28
***	State of Alabama	154.04***	56.	Monroe	50.63
23.	Pike	152.82	57.	Elmore	44.27
24.	Greene	151.79	58.	Chilton	43.86
25.	Madison	150.14	59.	DeKalb	43.69
26.	Escambia	145.68	60.	Cherokee	43.27
27.	Henry	145.45	61.	Winston	42.64
28.	Jackson	144.28	62.	Clarke	35.59
29.	Russell	140.50	63.	Sumter	35.09
30.	Conecuh	136.65	64.	Hale	32.47
31.	Jefferson	127.07	65.	Choctaw	29.41
32.	Etowah	123.03	66.	Bullock	25.51
33.	Pickens	122.73	67.	Randolph	.00

Table 19

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Part II Offenses

1.	Franklin	1,000.00	34.	Hale	155.84
2.	Houston	545.66	35.	Marengo	155.04
3.	Geneva	443.58	36.	Cherokee	153.85
4.	Coffee	439.61	37.	Shelby	147.06
5.	Chambers	426.11	38.	Baldwin	144.88
6.	Mobile	415.03	39.	Russell	140.50
7.	Morgan	352.82	40.	Jefferson	140.34
8.	Clay	335.66	41.	Walker	134.19
9.	Jackson	331.67	42.	Bibb	133.72
10.	Dale	324.48	43.	Escambia	133.34
11.	Lauderdale	305.80	44.	Cleburne	132.35
12.	Calhoun	291.47	45.	Winston	124.03
13.	Talladega	288.41	46.	Clarke	121.00
14.	Marshall	286.50	47.	Conecuh	118.01
15.	Elmore	281.69	48.	Macon	117.22
16.	Covington	276.04	49.	Tallapoosa	112.20
17.	Fayette	262.14	50.	Crenshaw	102.74
18.	Dallas	253.01	51.	Autuaga	102.70
19.	Limestone	243.90	52.	Tuscaloosa	101.93
20.	Blount	227.91	53.	Greene	89.28
21.	Washington	224.14	54.	Lamar	78.21
22.	Pike	222.59	55.	Dekalb	76.05
23.	Barbour	220.08	56.	Chilton	76.02
24.	Henry	218.18	57.	Lowndes	74.07
25.	Etowah	217.39	58.	Wilcox	72.46
***	State of Alabama	216.51***	59.	Sumter	70.18
26.	Lawrence	214.95	60.	Madison	65.80
27.	Cullman	209.13	61.	Choctaw	64.71
28.	Butler	201.88	62.	Saint Clair	55.89
29.	Marion	177.65	63.	Perry	54.42
30.	Montgomery	176.09	64.	Pickens	45.45
31.	Monroe	164.56	65.	Coosa	42.74
32.	Colbert	161.18	66.	Randolph	18.87
33.	Lee	157.16	67.	Bullock	1.02

Table 20

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Chins Offenses

1.	Chambers	541.87	34.	Pickens	104.55
2.	Marengo	496.12	35.	Tallapoosa	102.44
3.	Barbour	494.21	36.	Jefferson	100.82
4.	Clay	489.51	37.	Lawrence	99.69
5.	Mobile	364.94	38.	Colbert	98.79
6.	Escambia	313.58	39.	Autauga	94.59
7.	Jackson	291.87	40.	Conecuh	93.17
8.	Dale	280.83	41.	Lamar	89.38
9.	Coffee	277.78	42.	Limestone	83.33
10.	Covington	236.98	43.	Wilcox	79.71
11.	Butler	206.57	44.	Monroe	75.95
12.	Lee	202.56	45.	Randolph	75.47
13.	Dallas	197.93	46.	Clebourne	73.53
14.	Macon	179.49	47.	Fayette	72.82
15.	Houston	172.61	48.	Hale	71.42
16.	Geneva	167.32	49.	Winston	69.77
17.	Baldwin	165.58	50.	Shelby	66.18
18.	Bibb	162.79	51.	Henry	60.61
19.	Montgomery	160.00	52.	Madison	58.39
20.	Russell	157.02	53.	St. Clair	55.89
21.	Calhoun	156.59	54.	Chilton	55.56
22.	Pike	152.82	55.	Lauderdale	47.99
***	State of Alabama	151.31***	56.	Sumter	46.78
23.	Washington	132.18	57.	Cherokee	43.27
24.	Blount	130.23	58.	Lowndes	37.04
25.	Talladega	127.20	59.	Perry	27.21
26.	Walker	123.87	60.	Greene	26.79
27.	Cullman	123.71	61.	Marshall	12.40
28.	DeKalb	122.98	62.	Coosa	8.55
29.	Tuscaloosa	119.92	63.	Clarke	7.12
30.	Franklin	118.59	64.	Crenshaw	6.85
31.	Morgan	116.94	65.	Choctaw	5.88
32.	Marion	108.88	66.	Elmore	4.02
33.	Etowah	105.46	67.	Bullock	.00

Table 21

RANK ORDER BY COUNTY
OF REPORTED OFFENSES
PER 100,000 POPULATION

Technical Offenses

1.	Mobile	138.00	34.	Bullock	6.12
2.	Tuscaloosa	119.92	35.	Henry	6.06
3.	Coffee	70.05	36.	Chilton	5.85
4.	Dallas	67.13	37.	Bibb	5.81
5.	Butler	61.03	38.	Fayette	4.85
6.	Russell	59.92	39.	Calhoun	4.65
7.	Covington	59.90	40.	Marengo	3.88
8.	Montgomery	53.33	41.	Walker	3.87
9.	Morgan	51.41	42.	Etowah	3.70
10.	Lee	41.91	43.	Clarke	3.56
***	State of Alabama	32.43***	44.	Colbert	3.47
11.	Cullman	32.40	45.	Jackson	3.32
12.	Lawrence	28.04	46.	Baldwin	3.27
13.	Houston	27.84	47.	Talladega	2.52
14.	Escambia	27.16	48.	Blount	2.33
15.	Dale	24.67	49.	St. Clair	2.00
16.	Geneva	23.35		Cherokee	.00
17.	Marshall	19.28		Choctaw	.00
18.	Conecuh	18.63		Cleburne	.00
19.	Macon	18.32		Crenshaw	.00
20.	Autauga	16.22		DeKalb	.00
21.	Shelby	15.93		Elmore	.00
22.	Jefferson	14.28		Hale	.00
23.	Limestone	14.22		Lamar	.00
24.	Pike	13.29		Lauderdale	.00
25.	Franklin	12.82		Marion	.00
26.	Barbour	11.58		Monroe	.00
27.	Chambers	9.85		Pickens	.00
28.	Greene	8.93		Randolph	.00
29.	Madison	8.80		Sumter	.00
30.	Coosa	8.55		Tallapoosa	.00
31.	Lowndes	7.41		Washington	.00
32.	Clay	6.99		Wilcox	.00
33.	Perry	6.80		Winston	.00

VI. PROGRAMS LICENSED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES

Programs licensed by the Department of Youth Services include seven (7) detention centers, seventeen (17) short-term facilities, fifteen (15) long-term facilities, and six (6) day treatment centers. These forty-four (44) facilities served a total of 8,989 youths during 1986.

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY - DECEMBER, 1986

	UNDER CARE 1/1/86	ADMISSIONS - JAN-DEC. WM	BM	WF	BF	O	TOTAL ADM.	TOTAL SERVED
DETENTION CENTERS:								
Central Alabama Regional	17	155	296	50	86	2	589	606
Coosa Valley Regional	24	266	85	78	31	1	461	485
Jefferson Co.	36	204	511	53	65	3	836	872
Mobile Co.	54	622	726	193	134	18	1,693	1,747
Montgomery Co.	24	97	290	47	61	5	500	524
Robert Neaves (Madison Co.)	13	202	131	93	45	0	471	484
SEAYS-DIVERSION Center	27	329	148	111	50	3	641	668
TOTAL	195	1,875	2,187	625	472	32	5,191	5,386
SHORT-TERM FACILITIES:								
Baldwin Co. Boys	3	35	2	n/a	n/a	0	37	40
Baldwin Co. Girls	7	n/a	n/a	38	1	0	39	46
Colbert Co. Boys	4	47	11	n/a	n/a	0	58	62
Coosa Valley	7	30	1	60	4	0	95	102
Jefferson Co. CHINS	8	127	87	185	110	7	516	524
Lauderdale Girls	2	n/a	n/a	39	7	0	46	48
Lee Co. YDC - Shelter Care	3	41	19	60	35	0	155	158

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY - DECEMBER, 1986

	UNDER CARE 1/1/86	ADMISSIONS - JAN - DEC WM	BM	WF	BF	O	TOTAL ADM.	TOTAL SERVED
SHORT-TERM FACILITIES: (Continued)								
Lee Co. YDC-D & E Center	0	26	15	23	13	0	77	77
* Mary's Home for Children	5	6	8	20	10	0	44	49
Marshall Co.	7	33	5	30	1	0	69	76
Mobile Co. Crisis Center	2	217	105	272	126	11	731	733
SEAYS - Ozark	8	41	23	n/a	n/a	0	64	72
SEAYS - Dothan	5	n/a	n/a	35	17	0	52	57
Shelby Co.	6	48	16	51	12	0	127	133
13th Place - Gadsden	4	65	18	86	30	0	199	203
Tri-County	0	12	8	19	5	0	44	44
Tuscaloosa Co. (Brewer-Porch)	0	33	30	58	39	0	160	160
TOTAL	71	761	348	976	410	18	2,513	2,584
LONG-TERM FACILITIES:								
Beacon House (Walker Co.)	10	10	1	5	3	0	19	29
Cornerstone, Inc.	10	2	4	4	5	0	15	25
Genesis House (UMCH)	5	9	6	n/a	n/a	0	15	20
Children of Montgomery, Inc.	5	3	5	7	2	0	17	22
King's Acres	12	13	3	n/a	n/a	1	17	29
Harris Home for Children	12	1	16	n/a	n/a	0	17	29
* Higdon Hill - Birmingham	10	5	1	2	1	0	9	19
Lee Co. YDC Treatment Center	10	16	7	3	5	0	31	41

* Opened October 1986
* Closed December 1986

	UNDER CARE 1/1/86	ADMISSIONS - JAN - DEC WM	BM	WF	BF	O	TOTAL ADM.	TOTAL SERVED
LONG-TERM FACILITIES: (Continued)								
Pathway, Inc. Wilderness Program	19	25	6	n/a	n/a	0	31	50
Mobile Co. Halfway House	10	21	7	n/a	n/a	0	28	38
Northport Group Home	3	n/a	n/a	5	1	0	6	9
River Place (Tuscaloosa)	7	9	6	n/a	n/a	0	15	22
Progress Place (Huntsville)	7	2	3	9	0	0	14	21
Glenwood Wilderness Camp	15	24	6	n/a	n/a	0	30	45
Three Springs Wilderness School	5	24	2	n/a	n/a	1	27	32
TOTAL	140	164	73	35	17	2	291	431
DAY TREATMENT CENTERS:								
C.I.T.Y. Program (Gadsden)	27	30	7	15	2	0	54	81
Developing Alabama Youth Foundation (Siluria)	34	39	7	16	4	0	66	100
* Jackson County Alternative School	11	20	2	6	0	0	28	39
Macon Co. Alternative School	10	1	14	0	3	0	18	28
Marshall Co. Alternative School	20	39	3	17	1	0	60	80
Youth Alternative Program-Anniston	22	52	73	50	62	1	238	260
TOTAL	124	181	106	104	72	1	464	588

TOTAL SERVED BY ALL DYS LICENSED FACILITIES: 8,989

* Closed May 1986

VII. PROGRAMS OPERATED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES

A. Programs operated by the Department of Youth Services include four (4) institutions (Chalkville, Mt. Meigs, Vacca and ITU), four (4) group homes, the Diagnostic and Evaluation Center, and the Wilderness Program.

TOTAL YOUTH SERVED - JANUARY - DECEMBER, 1986

	UNDER CARE 1/1/86	ADMISSIONS JAN - DEC WM	BM	WF	BF	0	TOTAL ADM.	TOTAL SERVED
CAMPUSES:								
Chalkville	138	60	51	43	52	0	206	344
ITU	16	21	38	n/a	n/a	0	59	75
Mt. Meigs	152	120	162	n/a	n/a	0	282	434
Vacca	164	73	137	n/a	n/a	0	210	374
TOTAL	1,370	274	388	43	52	0	757	1,227
GROUP HOMES:								
Bell Road Boys	9	17	4	n/a	n/a	0	21	30
Gadsden Boys	9	25	10	n/a	n/a	0	35	44
Mobile Boys	9	19	16	n/a	n/a	0	35	44
Troy State	12	n/a	n/a	18	14	0	32	44
TOTAL	39	61	30	18	14	0	123	162
D & E CENTER	49	244	317	56	53	0	670	719
PROJECT PRIME TIME (Wilderness Program)	26	35	11	n/a	n/a	0	46	72
TOTAL	1,435	370	429	61	66	0	926	1,461

NOTE: 1,461 reflects the total number of youths admitted to each facility--some youths may have been admitted to more than one facility.

The total served by DYS operated facilities excludes the number served at the D & E Center in order to avoid duplication in counting.

B. DIAGNOSTIC AND EVALUATION CENTER

During calendar year 1986, the Diagnostic and Evaluation Center completed 736 evaluations, a 2.9% increase over 1985. Of these 736 evaluations, 98.9% (728) represented actual commitments to the Department of Youth Services, while the remaining 1.1% were predispositonal evaluations. Included in these evaluations were medical psychological, social and educational assessments. Vocational assessments were also completed on 493 youths during this period.

Eighty-five percent of all the evaluations involved males, and 15% involved females. White males accounted for 36.4% of the assessments, black males 48.9%, white females for 7.2% and black females for 7.5%. The most frequent occurring ages were 15 and 16 years old, with 52.6% of the total evaluations.

In accordance with the Department of Youth Services classification recommendations, only 6.3% of the 736 youths were classified as Maximum Risk A. Twenty percent were classified as Maximum Risk B, and the remaining 73.3% were classified as Minimum Risk students. The seven most populous counties (Calhoun, Etowah, Jefferson, Madison, Mobile, Montgomery and Tuscaloosa) accounted for 51.0% of the total referrals.

Fourteen percent of the students evaluated had previous commitments to the Department of Youth Services, with the remaining 86% being a first commitment. Approximately 45% of the referrals had three or more past offenses, 23% had two past offenses, 16% had one past offense, while 16% had no past offenses.

Approximately 25% of the youths evaluated tested in the average and above levels of intelligence; 35% tested in the low average level; and 40% tested in the borderline retarded and retarded levels.

above their grade placement level, and the remaining 76% were below their functional educational grade level. Fifty-seven percent were classified as being mentally retarded, and 16% were found to have a learning disability.

See Figure 12 for an eight year comparison of the total number of D & E Center evaluations completed.

Figure 12


D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS
Nine Year Comparison
800
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
564
633
780
777
554
577
726
758
736
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986

Table 22

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTY

COUNTY	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL	736	(100.00%)	628	(100.00%)	108	(100.00%)
Autauga	5	(.68%)	4	(.64%)	1	(.93%)
Baldwin	8	(1.09%)	7	(1.11%)	1	(.93%)
Barbour	6	(.82%)	6	(.95%)	0	- -
Bibb	1	(.14%)	1	(.16%)	0	- -
Blount	6	(.82%)	6	(.95%)	0	- -
Bullock	5	(.68%)	2	(.32%)	3	(2.78%)
Butler	3	(.41%)	3	(.48%)	0	- -
Calhoun	11	(1.49%)	10	(1.59%)	1	(.93%)
Chambers	7	(.95%)	7	(1.11%)	0	- -
Cherokee	0	- -	0	- -	0	- -
Chilton	4	(.54%)	4	(.64%)	0	- -
Choctaw	1	(.14%)	1	(.16%)	0	- -
Clarke	2	(.27%)	1	(.16%)	1	(.93%)
Clay	4	(.54%)	3	(.48%)	1	(.93%)
Cleburne	1	(.14%)	1	(.16%)	0	- -
Coffee	8	(1.09%)	4	(.64%)	4	(3.70%)
Colbert	9	(1.22%)	8	(1.27%)	1	(.93%)
Conecuh	3	(.41%)	2	(.32%)	1	(.93%)
Coosa	4	(.54%)	3	(.48%)	1	(.93%)
Covington	5	(.68%)	3	(.48%)	2	(1.84%)
Crenshaw	1	(.14%)	1	(.16%)	0	- -
Cullman	9	(1.22%)	6	(.95%)	3	(2.78%)

Table 22 (Cont'd)

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTY

COUNTY	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
Dale	12	(1.63%)	9	(1.43%)	3	(2.78%)
Dallas	8	(1.09%)	7	(1.11%)	1	(.93%)
DeKalb	5	(.68%)	5	(.80%)	0	- -
Elmore	7	(.95%)	6	(.96%)	1	(.93%)
Escambia	8	(1.09%)	7	(1.11%)	1	(.93%)
Etowah	26	(3.53%)	17	(2.71%)	9	(8.33%)
Fayette	1	(.14%)	1	(.16%)	0	- -
Franklin	4	(.54%)	4	(.64%)	0	- -
Geneva	7	(.95%)	6	(.95%)	1	(.93%)
Greene	0	- -	-	- -	0	- -
Hale	1	(.14%)	1	(.16%)	0	- -
Henry	4	(.54%)	3	(.48%)	1	(.93%)
Houston	27	(3.67%)	20	(3.18%)	7	(6.48%)
Jackson	4	(.54%)	3	(.48%)	1	(.93%)
Jefferson	83	(11.28%)	78	(12.42%)	5	(4.63%)
Lamar	0	- -	0	- -	0	- -
Lauderdale	18	(2.44%)	17	(2.71%)	1	(.93%)
Lawrence	7	(.95%)	6	(.95%)	1	(.93%)
Lee	24	(3.26%)	16	(2.55%)	8	(7.41%)
Limestone	4	(.54%)	4	(.64%)	0	- -
Lowndes	5	(.68%)	5	(.80%)	0	- -
Macon	8	(1.09%)	6	(.95%)	2	(1.84%)
Madison	40	(5.43%)	32	(5.10%)	8	(7.41%)
Marengo	9	(1.22%)	7	(1.11%)	2	(1.84%)

Table 22 (Cont'd)

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY COUNTY

COUNTY	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
Marion	1	(.14%)	0	- -	1	(.93%)
Marshall	3	(.41%)	3	(.48%)	0	- -
Mobile	99	(13.45%)	84	(13.38%)	15	(13.89%)
Monroe	2	(.27%)	2	(.32%)	0	- -
Montgomery	73	(9.92%)	66	(10.51%)	7	(6.48%)
Morgan	17	(2.31%)	17	(2.71%)	0	- -
Perry	1	(.14%)	1	(.16%)	0	- -
Pickens	5	(.68%)	5	(.80%)	0	- -
Pike	7	(.94%)	4	(.64%)	3	(2.78%)
Randolph	6	(.82%)	6	(.95%)	0	- -
Russell	14	(1.90%)	11	(1.75%)	3	(2.78%)
St. Clair	4	(.54%)	2	(.32%)	2	(1.84%)
Shelby	7	(.95%)	7	(1.11%)	0	- -
Sumter	2	(.27%)	2	(.32%)	0	- -
Talladega	13	(1.77%)	12	(1.91%)	1	(.93%)
Tallapoosa	7	(.95%)	7	(1.11%)	0	- -
Tuscaloosa	43	(5.84%)	41	(6.53%)	2	(1.84%)
Walker	11	(1.49%)	9	(1.53%)	2	(1.84%)
Washington	3	(.41%)	3	(.48%)	0	- -
Wilcox	2	(.27%)	2	(.32%)	0	- -
Winston	1	(.14%)	1	(.16%)	0	- -

Table 23

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION 1. RACE	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL	736	(100.00%)	628	(100.00%)	108	(100.00%)
White	321	(43.61%)	268	(42.68%)	53	(49.07%)
Black	415	(56.39%)	360	(57.32%)	55	(50.93%)
II. AGE						
12 and below	17	(2.31%)	16	(2.55%)	1	(.92%)
13	30	(4.08%)	20	(3.18%)	10	(9.26%)
14	113	(15.35%)	91	(14.49%)	22	(20.37%)
15	183	(24.86%)	145	(23.09%)	38	(35.19%)
16	204	(27.72%)	179	(28.50%)	25	(23.15%)
17	161	(21.88%)	152	(24.20%)	9	(8.33%)
18	28	(3.80%)	25	(3.98%)	3	(2.78%)
III. PARENTAL STATUS						
Both natural parents in home	124	(16.85%)	111	(17.67%)	13	(12.04%)
One natural parent in home	374	(50.82%)	328	(52.23%)	46	(42.59%)
One nat. parent & stepparent in home	101	(13.72%)	80	(12.74%)	21	(19.44%)
Neither parent in home	137	(18.61%)	109	(17.36%)	28	(25.93%)
IV. PARENTAL EMPLOYMENT						
Both employed	82	(11.14%)	67	(10.67%)	15	(13.89%)
One nat. parent/guardian employed	275	(37.37%)	240	(38.22%)	35	(32.41%)
Stepparent only employed	40	(5.43%)	33	(5.25%)	7	(6.48%)
Both nat. parents/guardian unemployed	273	(37.09%)	237	(37.74%)	36	(33.33%)
Not available	66	(8.97%)	51	(8.12%)	15	(13.89%)

Table 24

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION V. PREVIOUS COMMITMENT	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL	736	(100.00%)	628	(100.00%)	108	(100.00%)
Yes	103	(13.99%)	88	(14.01%)	15	(13.89%)
No	633	(86.01%)	540	(85.99%)	93	(86.11%)
VI. PAST OFFENSES						
None	115	(15.63%)	94	(14.97%)	21	(19.44%)
One	119	(16.17%)	92	(14.65%)	27	(25.00%)
Two	169	(22.96%)	142	(22.61%)	27	(25.00%)
Three or more	333	(45.24%)	300	(47.77%)	33	(30.56%)
VII. PLACEMENT RECOMMENDATION						
Chalkville Campus	182	(24.73%)	98	(15.61%)	84	(77.78%)
Mt. Meigs Campus	273	(37.09%)	273	(43.47%)	0	--
Vacca Campus	178	(24.18%)	178	(28.34%)	0	--
Bell Road Group Home	10	(1.36%)	10	(1.59%)	0	--
Gadsden Group Home	19	(2.58%)	19	(3.03%)	0	--
Mobile Group Home	21	(2.85%)	21	(3.34%)	0	--
Troy State Group Home	18	(2.45%)	0	--	18	(16.67%)
Wilderness Program	16	(2.17%)	16	(2.55%)	0	--
Predispositional	8	(1.09%)	6	(.95%)	2	(1.85%)
Work Release	1	(.14%)	1	(.16%)	0	--
Intensive Treatment Unit	4	(.54%)	4	(.64%)	0	--
Other	6	(.82%)	2	(.32%)	4	(3.70%)

Table 25

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
VIII. INTELLECTUAL LEVEL						
TOTAL	736	(100.00%)	628	(100.00%)	108	(100.00%)
Superior	1	(.14%)	0	--	1	(.93%)
Above Average	13	(1.77%)	9	(1.43%)	4	(3.70%)
Average	169	(22.96%)	147	(23.41%)	22	(20.37%)
Below Average	257	(34.92%)	219	(34.87%)	38	(35.19%)
Borderline Retarded	137	(18.61%)	118	(18.79%)	19	(17.59%)
Retarded	159	(21.60%)	135	(21.50%)	24	(22.22%)
IX. FUNCTIONAL EDUCATIONAL LEVEL						
Above Grade Level	57	(7.74%)	44	(7.01%)	13	(12.04%)
At Grade Level	101	(13.72%)	79	(12.58%)	22	(20.37%)
Below Grade Level	578	(78.54%)	505	(80.41%)	73	(67.59%)
*X. SPECIAL EDUCATION CLASSIFICATION						
Emotionally Conflicted	416	(56.52%)	357	(56.85%)	59	(54.63%)
Mentally Retarded	163	(22.15%)	143	(22.77%)	20	(18.52%)
L. D.	117	(15.90%)	113	(17.99%)	4	(3.70%)
XI. ACADEMIC EXPECTANCY VERSUS GRADE PLACEMENT						
At or Above Grade Placement	178	(24.18%)	141	(22.45%)	37	(34.26%)
One Year Below Grade Placement	181	(24.59%)	148	(23.57%)	33	(30.56%)
Two Years Below Grade Placement	157	(21.33%)	131	(20.86%)	26	(24.07%)
Three Years Below Grade Placement	108	(14.67%)	104	(16.56%)	4	(3.70%)
Four Years Below Grade Placement	62	(8.42%)	59	(9.39%)	3	(2.78%)
Five or More Years Below Grade	50	(6.79%)	45	(7.17%)	5	(4.63%)

*Special Education Classification will not equal one-hundred percent due to the overlapping of classification, i.e., both classifications may apply to one youth.

Table 26

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION XII. CLASSIFICATION RECOMMENDATIONS	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL	736	(100.00%)	628	(100.00%)	108	(100.00%)
Maximum Risk - A	46	(6.25%)	41	(6.53%)	5	(4.63%)
Maximum Risk - B	147	(19.97%)	135	(21.50%)	12	(11.11%)
Minimum Risk	459	(62.37%)	390	(62.10%)	69	(63.89%)
Minimum Risk (Waived)	74	(10.05%)	55	(8.76%)	19	(17.59%)
Predispositional	8	(1.09%)	6	(.95%)	2	(1.85%)
Other	2	(.27%)	1	(.16%)	1	(.93%)

Table 27

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION XIII. ASSIGNMENT RECOMMENDATIONS*	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL	736	(100.00%)	628	(100.00%)	105	(100.00%)
A. ALTERNATE PLACEMENT						
Long-term	93	(12.64%)	78	(12.42%)	15	(3.89%)
Group Home	27	(3.67%)	19	(3.03%)	8	(7.41%)
Reevaluation for group home	82	(11.14%)	71	(11.31%)	11	(10.19%)
Work Release	3	(.41%)	3	(.48%)	0	--
Home Evaluation	64	(8.70%)	52	(8.28%)	12	(11.11%)
Community Mental Health	10	(1.36%)	6	(.96%)	4	(3.70%)
B. EDUCATIONAL						
GED Preparation	215	(29.21%)	194	(30.89%)	21	(19.44%)
Adult Basic Education	54	(7.34%)	54	(8.60%)	0	--
Remediation	331	(44.97%)	302	(48.09%)	29	(26.85%)
Vocational Training	385	(52.31%)	340	(54.14%)	45	(41.67%)
Special Education	2	(.27%)	2	(.32%)	0	--
Return to School	329	(44.70%)	261	(41.56%)	68	(62.96%)

* Assignment Recommendations will not equal one-hundred percent due to the overlapping of categories, i.e., several categories may apply to one youth.

Table 28

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION XIV. TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS*	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL	736	(100.00%)	726	(100.00%)	108	(100.00%)
A. COUNSELING						
Family Counseling	230	(31.25%)	188	(25.91%)	42	(38.89%)
Parent Effectiveness Training	183	(24.86%)	151	(20.80%)	32	(29.63%)
Premarital Counseling	3	(.41%)	3	(.41%)	0	--
Substance Abuse Counseling/Education	555	(75.41%)	489	(67.36%)	66	(61.11%)
Role Model Counseling	418	(56.80%)	357	(49.17%)	61	(56.48%)
General Counseling	434	(58.97%)	365	(50.28%)	69	(63.89%)
Behavioral/Suicide Monitoring	266	(36.14%)	219	(30.17%)	47	(43.52%)
Goal Oriented	106	(14.40%)	95	(13.09%)	11	(10.19%)
AL-A-Teen	14	(1.90%)	7	(.96%)	7	(6.48%)
B. THERAPIES EMPLOYED						
Reality	316	(42.93%)	272	(37.47%)	44	(40.74%)
Insight	4	(.54%)	4	(.55%)	6	--
Psychotherapy	252	(34.24%)	206	(28.37%)	46	(42.59%)
Group	265	(36.01%)	235	(32.37%)	30	(27.77%)

*Treatment Recommendations will not equal one-hundred percent due to the overlapping categories, i.e., several categories may apply to one youth.

Table 28 (Cont'd)

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION XIV. TREATMENT RECOMMENDATION-Cont'd*	TOTAL EVAL.		MALES		FEMALES	
TOTAL	736	(100.00%)	726	(100.00%)	108	(100.00%)
C. TRAINING						
Social Skills	426	(57.88%)	366	(50.41%)	60	(55.56%)
Independent Living Skills	197	(26.77%)	182	(25.07%)	15	(13.89%)
Contingency Management	99	(13.45%)	86	(11.85%)	13	(12.04%)
Assertion Training	322	(43.75%)	283	(38.98%)	39	(36.11%)
Child Care	27	(3.67%)	19	(2.62%)	8	(7.41%)

Table 29

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION		
*XV. VOCATIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS		
TOTAL	493	(100.00%)
Vocational Counseling	493	(100.00%)
On-the-job Training	493	(100.00%)
Vocational Training	162	(32.86%)
Vocational Rehabilitation Services	93	(18.86%)
Work Adjustment	12	(2.43%)
**XVI. CAMPUS TRADE PLACEMENTS		
A. MT. MEIGS CAMPUS		
TOTAL		
1. Auto Mechanics	41	(16.14%)
2. Masonry	27	(10.62%)
3. Welding	29	(11.42%)
4. Printing	10	(3.93%)
5. Plumbing	10	(3.93%)
6. Electrical	27	(10.62%)
7. Food Service	35	(13.77%)
8. Janitorial	14	(5.51%)
9. Carpentry	26	(10.23%)
10. Health Occupations	12	(4.72%)
11. Farm Machinery	13	(5.11%)
12. Full-time Academics	10	(3.93%)

*Vocational recommendations will not equal one hundred percent due to the overlapping of categories, i.e., several recommendations may apply to one youth.

Table 29 (Cont'd)

D & E CENTER EVALUATIONS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

XVI. CAMPUS TRADE PLACEMENTS

B. VACCA CAMPUS

TOTAL		
1. Auto Mechanics	32	(40.00%)
2. Food Service	18	(22.50%)
3. Horticulture	11	(13.70%)
4. Industrial Arts	16	(20.00%)
5. Full-time Academics	3	(3.70%)

C. CHALKVILLE CAMPUS

TOTAL		
1. Clerical	25	(26.31%)
2. Cosmetology	26	(27.36%)
3. Food Service	20	(21.05%)
4. Home Economics	20	(21.05%)
5. Full-time Academics	4	(4.21%)

**The totals for the campus trade placements will not equal the total number of vocational evaluations and placements (493) because it applies only to the DYS campus placements.

VIII. INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES

The Interstate Compact on Juveniles is the legal vehicle for cooperation between states on juvenile delinquency supervision and the return of runaway youths. It is the legal mechanism for returning delinquent youths who have run away from other states and have been apprehended by authorities in Alabama and is also responsible for arranging for the return from other states of Alabama runaways.

In 1986, there were 512 runaways handled through the Interstate Compact on Juveniles, representing a 6.0% increase over the previous year. One hundred seventy-four (34%) were runaways from Alabama who went to the other states a (2.8% decrease over 1985). and 338 (66%) were runaways from other states who were apprehended in Alabama (an 11.2% increase over 1985).

Alabama's border states (Tennessee. Georgia, Florida, and Mississippi) received 43.1% of the runaways from Alabama, and Louisiana and Texas 21.3% of Alabama runaways. These four border states concurrently provided Alabama with 36.7% of its out-of-state runaways. It is interesting to note that 61.5% of the out-of-state runaways were detained in Alabama's seven most populous counties (Calhoun, Etowah, Jefferson, Madison, Mobile, Montgomery and Tuscaloosa). This represented an 18.9% increase over 1985.

Of the 512 runaways handled in 1986, 189 (36.9%) were female and 323 (63.1%) were male. There was an approximate 4.0% decrease in the number of white runaways handled (475 or 92.7%) and a subsequent 4.0% increase in the number of black runaways handled (37 or 7.2%). The average age for Alabama runaways was 15.9 years old while the average age for out-of-state runaways was 16.0 years old.

The Interstate Compact on Juveniles is also responsible for arranging placement for supervision with other states of delinquent juveniles, provided they are eligible for probation or parole. During 1986, a total of 240 placements were approved, an overall increase of 9.09%. There were 130 out-of-state youths placed in Alabama (a 14.04% increase) and 110 Alabama youths placed in other states (a 3.77% increase).

Table 30

ALABAMA RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

A. STATE WENT TO

TOTAL	174	(100.00%)			
Arizona	1	(.57%)	Michigan	2	(1.15 %)
Arkansas	4	(2.30%)	Mississippi	3	(1.72%)
California	8	(4.60%)	Nebraska	1	(.57%)
Connecticut	2	(1.15%)	Nevada	2	(1.15%)
Florida	40	(22.99%)	New Mexico	2	(1.15%)
Georgia	27	(15.52%)	North Carolina	5	(2.87%)
Illinois	2	(1.15%)	Ohio	6	(3.45%)
Indiana	4	(2.30%)	Oklahoma	4	(2.30%)
Iowa	2	(1.15%)	South Carolina	4	(2.30%)
Kentucky	4	(2.30%)	Tennessee	5	(2.87%)
Louisiana	20	(11.49%)	Texas	17	(9.77%)
Massachusetts	1	(.57%)	Virginia	7	(4.03%)
			Washington	2	(.58%)

Table 31

ALABAMA RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

B. COUNTY/FACILITY LEFT FROM

TOTAL	174	(100.00%)			
Autauga	1	(.57%)	Lamar	1	(.57%)
Baldwin	2	(1.15%)	Lauderdale	1	(.65%)
Barbour	2	(1.15%)	Lawrence	1	(.65%)
Butler	1	(.57%)	Lee	2	(2.17%)
Calhoun	8	(4.55%)	Lowndes	1	(.58%)
Chambers	1	(.57%)	Macon	1	(.58%)
Cherokee	1	(.57%)	Madison	1	(.57%)
Choctaw	4	(2.30%)	Marion	1	(.57%)
Coffee	5	(2.87%)	Marshall	6	(3.50%)
Colbert	1	(.57%)	Mobile	27	(15.52%)
Conecuh	1	(.57%)	Monroe	2	(1.10%)
Covington	2	(1.15%)	Montgomery	9	(5.17%)
Cullman	3	(1.72%)	Morgan	4	(2.30%)
Dale	7	(4.02%)	Pickens	1	(.57%)
Dallas	2	(1.15%)	Pike	4	(2.30%)
DeKalb	5	(2.87%)	Russell	3	(1.72%)
Escambia	4	(2.30%)	St. Clair	4	(2.30%)
Etowah	6	(3.45%)	Shelby	1	(.57%)
Fayette	2	(1.15%)	Sumter	1	(.57%)
Greene	1	(.57%)	Talladega	3	(1.55%)
Houston	6	(3.45%)	Tuscaloosa	8	(4.60%)
Jefferson	11	(6.32%)	Walker	4	(2.30%)
			DYS Facilities	12	(6.00%)

Table 32

OUT-OF-STATE RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

A. COUNTY WENT TO

TOTAL	338	(100.00%)			
Baldwin	9	(2.66%)	Jackson	1	
Barbour	4	(1.18%)	Jefferson	62	(18.28%)
Blount	1	(.30%)	Lamar	1	(.30%)
Bullock	1	(.30%)	Lauderdale	10	(2.96%)
Calhoun	3	(.89%)	Lee	5	(1.48%)
Chambers	1	(.30%)	Limestone	1	(.30%)
Chilton	2	(.59%)	Lowndes	2	(.59%)
Choctaw	8	(2.37%)	Madison	3	(.89%)
Clarke	5	(1.48%)	Marion	3	(.89%)
Colbert	4	(1.18%)	Marshall	6	(1.78%)
Conecuh	2	(.59%)	Mobile	92	(27.22%)
Covington	2	(.59%)	Montgomery	36	(10.65%)
Cullman	7	(2.07%)	Morgan	5	(1.48%)
Dale	10	(2.96%)	Pickens	4	(1.18%)
Dallas	1	(.30%)	Pike	5	(1.48%)
DeKalb	3	(.89%)	Russell	3	(.89%)
Elmore	5	(1.48%)	Sumter	4	(1.18%)
Escambia	3	(.89%)	Talladega	2	(.59%)
Etowah	6	(1.78%)	Tallapoosa	2	(.59%)
Franklin	1	(.30%)	Tuscaloosa	6	(1.78%)
Henry	1	(.30%)	Walker	3	(.89%)
Houston	2	(.59%)	Winston	1	(.30%)

Table 33

OUT-OF-STATE RUNAWAYS

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

B. STATE LEFT FROM

TOTAL	338	(100.00%)			
Arizona	4	(1.18%)	Montana	6	(1.78%)
Arkansas	5	(1.48%)	Nevada	2	(.59%)
California	26	(7.69%)	New Jersey	4	(1.18%)
Florida	54	(15.98%)	New York	6	(1.78%)
Georgia	31	(9.17%)	North Carolina	10	(2.96%)
Illinois	13	(3.85%)	Ohio	8	(2.37%)
Indiana	10	(2.96%)	Oklahoma	5	(1.48%)
Iowa	4	(1.18%)	Oregon	1	(.30%)
Kansas	1	(.30%)	Pennsylvania	2	(.59%)
Kentucky	11	(3.25%)	South Carolina	8	(2.37%)
Louisiana	28	(8.29%)	Tennessee	19	(5.62%)
Maryland	3	(.89%)	Texas	18	(5.33%)
Michigan	19	(5.62%)	Virginia	8	(2.37%)
Minnesota	2	(.59%)	Washington	5	(1.48%)
Mississippi	20	(5.92%)	Wisconsin	9	(1.45%)

Table 34

SUPERVISION CASES

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

A. OUT-OF-STATE REFERRALS TO ALABAMA

TOTAL	114	(100.00%)

Alaska	1	(.77%)	Montana	1	(.77%)
Arizona	4	(3.08%)	Nebraska	2	(1.54%)
Arkansas	2	(1.54%)	Nevada	2	(1.54%)
California	5	(3.85%)	New Jersey	2	(1.54%)
Florida	40	(30.77%)	New York	3	(2.31%)
Georgia	14	(10.77%)	North Carolina	4	(3.08%)
Idaho	1	(.77%)	Ohio	7	(5.38%)
Illinois	1	(.77%)	Oklahoma	1	(.77%)
Indiana	2	(1.54%)	Pennsylvania	1	(.77%)
Lousiana	10	(7.69%)	South Carolina	2	(1.54%)
Massachusetts	1	(.77%)	Tennessee	10	(7.69%)
Michigan	2	(1.54%)	Texas	5	(3.85%)
Minnesota	2	(1.54%)	Virginia	2	(1.51%)
Mississippi	3	(2.31%)			

Table 35

SUPERVISION CASES

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

B. ALABAMA REFERRALS TO OTHER STATES

TOTAL	110	(100.00%)			
Arizona	1	(.95%)	Mississippi	7	(6.36%)
Arkansas	1	(.90%)	Nebraska	1	(.90%)
California	6	(5.45%)	New Jersey	1	(.90%)
Colorado	1	(.90%)	New Mexico	1	(.90%)
Florida	21	(19.09%)	North Carolina	6	(5.45%)
Georgia	16	(14.55%)	Ohio	2	(1.82%)
Illinois	2	(1.82%)	Oklahoma	2	(1.82%)
Indiana	4	(3.64%)	Oregon	1	(.90%)
Iowa	2	(1.82%)	South Carolina	2	(1.82%)
Kentucky	1	(.90%)	Tennessee	9	(8.18%)
Louisiana	6	(5.45%)	Texas	9	(8.18%)
Michigan	3	(2.73%)	Virginia	1	(.91%)
Minnesota	1	(.90%)	Wisconsin	3	(2.76%)

IX. ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

A. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A total of 5,874 cases of abuse, neglect, dependency, and special proceedings were disposed of by the 43 juvenile courts that reported during 1986.

The 5,874 cases disposed of represented 833 (14.2%) cases of abuse, 1,356 (23.1%) cases of neglect, 2,897 (49.3%) dependency cases, and 788 (13.4%) special proceedings cases.* Eighty-three percent of the cases were disposed of with a court appearance and 17% without a court appearance.

In 39% of the cases the children were placed in the custody of a relative, and in nearly 27% custody of the children was awarded to the Department of Human Resources. Eleven percent of the cases were dismissed.

There were approximately the same number of male and female cases disposed of. White youth accounted for 56% of the cases and black youth accounted for 44%.

Children in 43% of the cases were brought to the attention of the court by a parent, guardian, or relative. Social agencies referred the children in 45% of the cases.

In nearly 16% of the cases the children received protective custody, shelter, or attention home care pending disposition of their cases.

*Includes emancipation of children, consents to marry, involuntary commitments to Mental Health, etc.

Table 36

DEMOGRAPHIC/REFERRAL/DISPOSITION INFORMATION

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION	NUMBER	PERCENT
TOTAL	5,874	(100.00%)
I. SEX		
Male	2,900	(49.37%)
Female	2,974	(50.63%)
II. RACE		
White	3,295	(56.09%)
Black	2,497	(42.51%)
Other	62	(1.06%)
Unknown or Not Reported	20	(.34%)
III. REFERRAL REASON		
Abuse	833	(14.18%)
Neglect	1,356	(23.08%)
Dependency	2,897	(49.32%)
Special Proceedings	788	(13.42%)
IV. REFERRAL SOURCE		
Law Enforcement Agency	211	(3.59%)
School	86	(1.46%)
Parent/Guardian/Relative	2,552	(43.45%)
Social Agency	2,652	(45.15%)
Other Source	363	(6.18%)
Unknown or Not Reported	10	(.17%)

Table 36 (Cont'd)

DEMOGRAPHIC/REFERRAL/DISPOSITION INFORMATION

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION	NUMBER	PERCENT
TOTAL		
V. CARE PENDING DISPOSITION		
Not Detained	4,921	(83.78%)
Attention Home/Shelter Care	910	(15.49%)
Other Place	29	(.49%)
Unknown or Not Reported	14	(.24%)
VI. MANNER OF HANDLING		
With Court Appearance	4,886	(83.18%)
Without Court Appearance	988	(16.82%)
VII. DISPOSITION		
Dismissed	666	(11.34%)
Referred or Committed to Another Age:	206	(3.51%)
Committed to Child Care Facility	118	(2.01%)
Placed in Custody of DPS	1,557	(26.51%)
Placed in Custody of Relative	2,076	(35.34%)
Other Disposition (includes informal disposition	1,231	(20.95%)
Unknown or Not Reported	20	(.34%)
VIII. ADJUDICATION		
None	2,534	(43.14%)
Delinquent	26	(.44%)
CHINS	60	(1.02%)
Dependent	3,231	(55.01%)
Unknown or Not Reported	23	(.39%)

REFERRALS BY COUNTY

NUMBER & PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

COUNTY					
TOTAL	5,874	(100.00%)			
Autauga	65	(1.11%)	Lamar	32	(.55%)
Barbour	33	(.56%)	Lauderdale	444	(7.56%)
Bibb	115	(1.96%)	Lawrence	22	(.38%)
Blount	101	(1.72%)	Lee	464	(7.90%)
Calhoun	143	(2.43%)	Limestone	14	(.24%)
Chambers	180	(3.06%)	Lowndes	4	(.07%)
Cherokee	22	(.37%)	Macon	110	(1.87%)
Clarke	29	(.49%)	Madison	109	(1.86%)
Clay	57	(.97%)	Marengo	66	(1.12%)
Cleburne	11	(.19%)	Marion	39	(.66%)
Coffee	176	(3.00%)	Mobile	823	(14.01%)
Covington	165	(2.81%)	Monroe	1	(.02%)
Cullman	85	(1.45%)	Montgomery	630	(10.73%)
Dale	40	(.68%)	Pike	43	(.73%)
Dallas	180	(3.06%)	Russell	175	(2.98%)
Dekalb	33	(.56%)	Shelby	39	(.66%)
Etowah	228	(3.88%)	Sumter	3	(.05%)
Fayette	23	(.39%)	Talladega	120	(2.04%)
Franklin	21	(.36%)	Tallapoosa	27	(.46%)
Greene	13	(.22%)	Tuscaloosa	237	(4.04%)
Jackson	66	(1.12%)	Winston	10	(.17%)
Jefferson	676	(11.51%)			