301

Population Movements In The Massachusetts Department of Correction During 1985

Prepared by:

Lisa Lorant EDP Programmer III This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Massachusetts Department of

Corrections

106188

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Michael V. Fair Commissioner

August 1986

NCJRS

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS)

JUL 24 1987

ACQUISITIONS

PUBLICATION: # 14553-30-250-9-86 C.R.

APPROVED BY Daniel Carter, State Purchasing Agent

Abstract

The Department of Correction routinely monitors population movement in the state correctional system. This report assesses population change and summarizes all movement of offenders in DOC custody during the calendar year of 1985. The information is presented according to the institution of admission or release and includes the following: new court commitments, paroles, returns on parole violation, discharges, escapes, deaths, transfers to other correctional facilities both within the state and outside the state, and temporary releases to hospitals and courts.

During 1985 there were 14,590 admissions of all types and 14,143 releases. Overall the state prison population increased by nine percent between 1984 and 1985.

Some of the largest increases in admissions occurred in DOC inmates returned from houses of correction (85%), county inmates transferred to the DOC from houses of correction (34%), returned on parole violations (28%), and new court commitments (9%). Among releases, there was a 49% increase during 1985 in inmates transferred to houses of correction, and a 46% increase in DOC inmates discharged to the street.

Table of Contents

<u>Title</u>		Page Number
Abstract		i
Introduct	<u>ion</u>	1
Highlight	s of 1985 Movements	3
Table 1	Summary of All Movements and Population Changes in the Department of Correction for 1984 and 1985	11
Table 2	Type of Admission	12
Table 3	Type of Release	14
Table 4	Sentencing Court	17
Table 5	Institutional Transfers (Transfers Out)	18
Table 6	Institutional Transfers (Transfers In)	20
Table 7	Inter-Institutional Transfers: Security Level of Destination by Releasing Institution	22
Table 8	Inter-Institutional Transfers: Security Level of Origination by Admitting Institution	24
Table 9	Releases to Hospitals	27
Table 10	Returns from Hospitals	29
Table 11	Transfers to Houses of Correction	30
Table 12	Return of DOC Inmates from Houses of Correction	32
Table 13	House of Correction Inmates Transferred to DOC Facilities	35
Table 14	Transfers to Houses of Correction on a From and After Sentence	37
Table 15	Releases to Out of State	39
Table 16	Admissions From Out of State	41
Glossary		43
Footnotes		45

Introduction

The population movement report is one of the annual statistical reports regularly prepared by the Research Division of the Massachusetts Department of Correction. Each correctional facility of the Department of Correction submits weekly admission and release reports describing each offender's move into or out of that facility. This annual movement report is a compilation of all admissions and releases during 1985. It presents information by type of admission and release including: new court commitments, paroles, returns on parole violation, discharges, escapes, deaths, transfers to other correctional facilities both within the state and outside of the state, and trips to hospitals and courts.

This report consists primarily of sixteen tables that describe the various types of movement. The first table summarizes all movements and changes in population from January 1, 1984 to December 31, 1985. The next two tables summarize all types of admissions and releases. The remaining thirteen tables consider specific types of moves in detail. Each table is broken down by specific admitting or releasing institution or institutional group.

Offenders can be received into an institution in a number of ways. Offenders can be committed by the courts to Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham. During 1985 and for several years previous to that an offender would, after sentencing, often be placed in a house of correction (a county facility) under the authority of the Department of Correction (DOC) while awaiting space in a state facility. These offenders are not counted as commitments until they actually arrive at the institution of commitment. Offenders can also arrive from

another institution to begin serving a new sentence, having finished a first sentence and started a second or third sentence. These are "from and after," "B" and "C" sentences. Generally, an offender would be paroled or discharged from the initial sentence and then committed on a second sentence.

Offenders can be admitted in ways that are not directly related to beginning a sentence. Offenders who have violated some condition of their parole can be returned as parole violators to continue serving the sentence on which they were released. Offenders can also be returned from outside releases of short duration such as trips to courts or hospitals or be returned from escape. Additionally, offenders can be transferred from other correctional authorities including houses of correction, federal prison authorities or prison authorities of other states.

Offenders can be released by the authority of the Parole Board, by receiving a good conduct discharge, by serving the maximum term of their sentence, or by obtaining a court-revised sentence. Such parolees and releasees can be sent to the community, to another prison authority, or to another sentence. Offenders also can be released on a short term basis to hospitals or courts. Moreover, the death of an offender or an escape from confinement is classified as a release.

The most common type of movement is a transfer from one facility within the Department to another. Because the Department maintains facilities of different security levels, an offender initally is placed in one of four commitment institutions (Cedar Junction, Concord, Framingham or Longwood Treatment Center) and then moved to lower security facilities in the process of serving his/her sentence. Such inter-institutional transfers are generally from a higher security setting to a lower security setting, but occasionally transfers are made from lower to higher security. Each time a movement of this type occurs, the institution of destination records an admission and the institution of origin records a release.

When considering numbers of admissions and releases, this report does more than tabulate the number of offenders admitted from the courts and released by parole or the termination of their sentence. This report includes information on all types of admissions to and releases from each of the institutions in the Department and, as such, is a valuable statistical description of the flow of offenders through the correctional system of Massachusetts during a given year.

Highlights of 1985 Movements

This section presents an overview of the population movements during 1985 and highlights some of the significant trends. More complete information can be found by consulting the individual tables. In reading the tables in this report, the reader should note that: (1) the top number in each cell in the body of a table represents the number of moves which are characterized by the corresponding row and column categories of that table; and (2) the bottom number in parentheses in each cell represents the percentage of total moves in that column (column percent) corresponding to the row category. The percentages sum down the column to total 100 percent. For example, Table 2 indicates that court commitments comprise 981 admissions or 45 percent of the total admissions to Cedar Junction during 1985. All tables in the text should be read as reporting the admissions, releases, transfers and returns to or from the institutions identified across the top of the tables. Reading the numbers and percentages in this way is consistent with the document's discussion of the movement information.

Population Changes. At the end of 1984 the count of inmates in custody in Massachusetts Department of Correction facilities stood at 4943; at the end of 1985 the population was 5390. This represents an increase of 447 individuals or 9 percent during the year. Table 1 summarizes all movements of offenders during 1985.

The median number of moves per inmate was three moves during the calendar year.² The actual number of moves ranged from one to 80 moves. Slightly more than 50 percent of the inmates in custody logged three or fewer moves during the year; 72 residents logged six or more moves.

Inter-institutional transfers comprised the majority of admissions and releases, 72 percent and 74 percent, respectively. Of the population transferred between institutions, close to 50 percent of the residents were transferred two or more times, a median of 1.6 transfers. Only about one percent of all moves were releases to or returns from hospitals for medical purposes. With the exception of releases to and admissions from Bridgewater State Hospital, the 412 inmates making hospital moves in 1985 averaged one move to a community or DOC hospital during the year. The actual number of hospital moves ranged from a low of one to a high of 19 moves per inmate. The majority of hospital moves were transfers to the secure ward of Lemuel Shattuck Hospital.

Admissions. There was a total of 14,590 admissions of all types into the institutions during 1985. This represents a 13 percent increase in admissions over 1984. The largest proportional increase in admissions occurred in DOC inmates returning from houses of correction (85%). There was a substantial increase in house of correction inmates transferred to the DOC (34%). The number of returns on parole violations in 1985 was 714, a 28 percent increase over the previous year. There were 10,435 admissions that were transfers from other DOC facilities, a 13 percent increase over the previous year. New court commitments increased by 9 percent from 1984 to 1985; there were 2,169 commitments in 1984 and 2,374 in 1985. New court commitments do not include offenders sentenced to the DOC who were in a house of correction awaiting DOC placement at the end of 1985, or offenders re-admitted on a "from and after" sentence. The number of individuals received on a "from and after" sentence in 1985 increased 9 percent, while in 1984 there had been a large decrease (53%) in that type of admission.

There was a 70 percent decrease in persons received from federal authorities in 1985. Returns from escape decreased 7 percent, the same decrease which occurred the previous year. In summary, the 13 percent increase in admissions to the DOC was primarily due to inter-institutional transfers, court commitments, returns on parole violation and transfers from houses of correction.

Releases. There were 14,143 movements out of institutions during 1985, a 13 percent increase over the previous year. One of the largest proportional increases in releases was in transfers to houses of correction during the year (49%). These moves increased by 250 percent the previous year. There was also a substantial increase (88%) of offenders released to a warrant. While the number of paroles to the street decreased by 5 percent, discharges and sentence expirations increased by 46 and 28 percent, respectively. There were ten deaths in DOC facilities during the year. Six of the deaths were by natural causes, two by suicide, one by accidental injury to self, and one by homicide. The number of escapes increased by 13 percent in 1985.

Court Commitments. There were 2,374 "new" court commitments during 1985 (Table 4). Whereas "new" court commitments increased by 9 percent from 1984 to 1985, the three commitment institutions did not reflect the increase equally. The number of commitments to Cedar Junction increased from 817 commitments in 1984 to 981 in 1985, a 20 percent increase; the number of commitments to Concord decreased by two percent; and the number of commitments to Framingham increased by 8 percent, from 726 commitments in 1984 to 782 in 1985. The total number of court commitments for 1985 equal the sum of the 2,374 "new" court commitments plus the 36 "from and after" sentences of inmates already under the authority of DOC. This amounted to 2,410 total court commitments in 1985, an increase of 9 percent from last year.3

Inter-Institutional Transfers. Tables 5 through 8 display information on inter-institutional transfers. Tables 5 and 6 display each move by the origination/destination facility and the institution to or from which the inmate transferred. Tables 7 and 8 display each move by the security level of the origination/destination facility and the specific facility to or from which the inmate transferred.

From 1984 to 1985 there was a 13 percent increase in inter-institutional transfers. 4 Concord (20%), Norfolk (15%) and Gardner (11%) were the largest receivers of institutional transfers. Two institutions, Cedar Junction and Concord, account for half of the transfers sent out, 20 percent and 32 percent, respectively.

<u>Transfers To.</u> Nine percent of all transfers were to the only maximum security facility (Cedar Junction). Of these, 98 percent were transfers from medium security and 2 percent were transfers from lower security facilities (minimum and pre-release).

Fifty-five percent of all transfers were to medium security facilities. Of these, 35 percent were transfers from maximum security, 49 percent were lateral transfers from other medium security facilities, and 16 percent were transfers from lower security facilities.

Thirty-six percent of all transfers were to lower security facilities. Less than one percent of these were from maximum security, 70 percent were transfers from medium security and 29 percent were transfers from other lower security facilities.

<u>Transfers From.</u> Nineteen percent of all inter-institutional transfers were from the maximum security institution, Cedar Junction. Of these, 99 percent of the inmates were transferred to medium security institutions and one percent went to lower security facilities.

Sixty-one percent of all institutional transfers were from medium security. Thirteen percent of these were to higher security (Cedar Junction), 44 percent were lateral transfers and 43 percent were to lower security facilities.

Twenty percent of the inter-institutional transfers were from lower security facilities. Less than one percent of these transfers were to maximum security, 46 percent were to medium security institutions and 54 percent were lateral transfers to other lower security institutions.

Hospital Transfers. Offenders needing hospital care can be treated at various community hospitals or Lemuel Shattuck Hospital, a hospital at which the Department of Correction maintains a secure ward for offenders in custody. During 1985 there were 451 transfers to Lemuel Shattuck Hospital from Department of Correction facilities and 444 returns from Lemuel Shattuck to state correctional institutions (excluding transfers to Bridgewater State Hospital). See Tables 5 and 6.

There were 100 releases to and 93 returns from community hospitals (Tables 9 and 10) during 1985. New England Medical Center had the greatest proportion of admissions and releases, followed by Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Massachusetts General, Framingham Union and St. Ann's. Together, they received more than half of the admissions and releases to community hospitals.

Transfers to Houses of Correction. Four types of movements between county houses of correction and state facilities are presented in Tables 11 through 14 of this report. The first type of move involves a state or county inmate transferred from a DOC facility to a county house of correction to serve or finish a sentence. There were 438 such transfers in 1985, a 49 percent increase from 1984. The Dedham House of Correction received the largest number of transfers (176) during 1985. The second type of move is the return of a Department of Correction inmate

from a county facility. There were 100 such returns in 1985, an 85 percent increase over the previous year. Forty-seven percent of the returns went back to Concord and 14 percent to Cedar Junction. The third type of move is an admission of a county inmate to a Department of Correction facility. There were 412 such transfers in 1985, a 34 percent increase over the 307 county admissions in 1984. Fifty one percent of these admissions came from the Dedham House of Correction and 18 percent from the Billerica House of Correction (see Table 13). The large increase in admissions of county offenders to state facilities in 1985 was due to the opening of the Longwood Treatment Center in March. This facility is a specialized facility for the treatment of individuals committed for Operating Under the Influence of Alcohol (O.U.I.) and receives individuals originally committed to county facilities for O.U.I. The Longwood Treatment Center received 184 such admissions from county houses of correction during 1985. MCI-Concord received 228 county transfers in 1985, a decrease of 75 (33%) from 1984. The fourth type of move is the release of a Department of Correction inmate to a county facility on a "from and after" sentence. There were 33 such releases in 1985 which showed little change from 1984. Fifty-seven percent of the releases went to the Suffolk County facility at Deer Island or the Billerica House of Correction (19 moves altogether).

Movements to Other States. Table 15 shows that there were 107 transfers from Department of Correction facilities to prisons in other states. This included 91 Department of Correction inmates and 16 interstate transfers under the jurisdiction of other states. Of the total number of offenders transferred, 24 percent were released from Norfolk, and 21 percent from Cedar Junction. Seventy-one percent of the offenders were transferred to prisons in other New England states. There were 91 admissions from other states during 1985 (Table 16). Inmates admitted from out-of-state prisons included the return of 69 Department

of Correction offenders and transfer of 22 offenders under the jurisdiction of other states. Over three-fourths of the offenders were sent from prisons in other New England states (77%) and admitted most often to Concord, Cedar Junction, Norfolk and NCCI.

Table I

Summary of All Movements and Population Changes In The Massachusetts Department of Correction for 1984 and 1985

		mber	% Change
	1984	1985	1984-1985
Department Population on January 1	4539	4943	(9)
Admissions			
New Court Commitment	2169	2374	(9)
B Sentence	3 560	2	(-33)
Parole Violation Transfer From Another DOC Facility	9216	714 10435	(28)
Transfer From House of Correction	307	412	(13) (34)
Return From House of Correction	54	100	(85)
Return From Court	60	60	(0)
Received On A From And After Sentence	33	36	(9)
Return From Hospital	95	93	(-2)
Return From Escape	276	256	(-7)
Received From Federal Authorities	56	17	(- 70)
DOC Inmate Returning From Out-of-State	62	69	(11)
Non-DOC Inmate Transferring From Out-Of-State	26	22	(-15)
TOTAL ADMISSIONS	12917	14590	(13)
Releases			
Transfer To Another DOC Facility	9240	10482	(13)
Transfer To House of Correction	294	438	(49)
Transfer To Another Authority	118	126	(7)
Parole To Street	1507	1426	(-5)
Parole To Another Authority	31	30	(-3)
Parole To Another Sentence	36	33	(-8)
Sentence Expired	300	38 3	(28)
Discharge To Street	379	553	(46)
Discharge To Other Authority	7	6	(-14)
Discharge To Another Sentence	28	45	(61)
Release To Warrant	16	30	(88)
Removed By Court	109	112	(3)
Released to Sheriff	0	2	(NA)
Released By Court	104	100	(-4)
Transfer To A Hospital	103	100	(-3)
Escape	236	267	(13)
Death	5	. 10	(100)
TOTAL RELEASES	12513	14143	(13)
Department Population On December 31 5	4943	5390	(9)

	u			TABL	E 2: T	YPE OF	ADMISSI	ON			uck		
TYPE OF ADMISSION	Cedar Junction	Concord	Framingham	Hodder House	Norfolk	NCCI	SECC	Bay State	Medfield	NCC	Lemuel Shattuck	Longwood	
Court Commitment	981 (45)	611 (17)	782 (80)	(0)	° 0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0. (0)	0 (- 0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	
B Sentence	1 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	
Parole Violation	190 (- 9)	473 (13)	49 (5)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	$r_{i} \rightarrow r_{i} V_{i}$
Transfer From MCI	903 (42)	2052 (56)	68 (7)	12	1540 (97)	1114	752 (98)	185	60 (98)	435 (100)	457 (97)	38 (17)	
Transfer From House of Correction	(0)	228 (6)	(0)	(O)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	184	
Return From House of Correction	14	47	(0)	(0)	(0)	6 (1)	6 (1)	1 (1)	1 (2)	1 (0)	1 (0)	1 (0)	
Return Prom Court	22 (1)	(0)	9 (1)	(° 0)	3 (0)	8 (1)	1 (0)	(0)	.0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	
Received on a Prom And After Sentence	13 (1)	6 (0)	17	- 0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0.)	(0):	
Return From Hospital	(0)	8 (0)	22 (2)	(0)	18	18	· 1 (· 0)	3 (2)	0 (0 0)	1 (0)	13	(0)	
Return From Escape	11 (1)	202	15	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 0)	
Received From Federal Authority	1 (0)	5 (0)	6 (1)	(0)	(0)	3 { 0 }	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	
DOC Offender From Out of State	1.0	20 (1)	3 (- 0)	(0)	15	13	6 (1)	O (O)	(0)	(0)	1 (0)	(0)	
Non-DOC Offender Prom Out-of-State	8 (0)	(0)	6 (1)	(0)	2 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	
TOTAL	2160	3672	978	12	1584	1164	768	190	61	437	472	223	

TYPE OF ADMISSION	Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Larcaster	Boston State 3	Norfolk PRC 44	Park Drive dy	S. Middlesex N	МВВІ	Drug Rehab	Hillside PRC	Charlotte Bouse Total	
Court Commitment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0) (0	- 0) (0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0	(0)	0 237	74
B Sentence	(0)	0 (0)	(0) (0	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0) (2 0)
Parole Violation	(0)	0 (0)	· 0 (0) (0 0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (5)
Transfer From MCI	554 (98)	163 (96)		189 99)	192 (94)	94	1.20	194	507 (100)	18 (100)	74	47 1043 (100) (7	
Transfer From House of Correction	(0)	(0)	(0) (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 41	12 3)
Return From House of Correction	7 (1)	(2)	4 (1) (1)	· 0 ,,	(0)	(1)	(0)	2 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	0 10	1)
Return From Court	(0)	(0)	0 ((°0) (0 0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (60 0)
Received on a From And After Sentence	(0)	(0)	0 (0) (0 0)	(0)	(- 0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)		36 0)
Return From Hospital	(0)	1 (1)	(0) (0)	(0)	1 (1)	(0)	1 1	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	93
Return From Escape	(1)	2 (1)	(1) (0 0)	1:1:	(0)	(0)	(0)	.0 (0)	(0)	(1)	(0) (25	2)
Received From Federal Authority	(O)	(0)	(0) (0)	(0)	{ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)		0)
DOC Offender From Out of State	1 (0)	(0)	(0) (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	- (0)	(, 0)		59 0)
Non-DOC Offender From Out-of-State	(0)	(0)	(0) (0	(0)	(,0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)		0)
TOTAL	566	170	678	190	205	9 5	121	195	509	18	75	47 1459	0

		uo.				TABLE 3	: TYPE	E OF RELI	EASE			uck				
•	TYPE OF RELEASE	Cedar Juncti	Concord	Framingham	Hodder House	Worfolk	NCCI	SECC	Bay State	Medfield	ACC	Lemuel Shattuc	Longwood			
	Transfer to MCI	1985	3230	197	1	1247	843	561	155	40	309	455	4 .			
	Transfer to House of Correction	(92) 25 (1)	(89) 100 (3)	(20) 1 (0)	(50) 0 (0)	(78) 8 (0)	60 (5)	(81) 16 (2)	(82) 3 (2)	(82) 5 (10)	8 (2)	(97) 1 (0)	(3) 14 (12)			
	Transfer to Other Authority	23	18	13	(0)	31 (2)	18	14	0 (0)	·	5 (1)	0 (0)	0			
	Parole to Street	45 (2)	133 (4)	185 (19)	(0)	148	115	58 (8)	17	1 (2)	33	2 (0)	34 (28)			
	Parole to Other Authority	1 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	19	6 (1)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Parole to Sentence	4 (0)	6 (0)	(0)	0 { 0 }	. 11	4 (0)	2 (0)	(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)			
	Sentence Expired	0 (0)	(0)	375 (38)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (0)	· 0	. <u>0</u> . (<u>0</u>)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (1)			
	Discharge to Street	34	63	98 (10)	1 (50)	61 (4)	44	29 (4)	1 1	1 (2)	· · 14. (4)	1 (0)	63 (53)			
	Discharge to Other Authority	(0)	0 (0)	(0.)	(0)	3 (0)	2 (0)	. 1 (0)	G (0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)			. •
	Discharge to Other Sentence	· · 5	8 (0)	11	(0)	12	(0)	(0)	.0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Release to Warrant	0 (0)	12	(0)	(0)	9 (1)	3 (0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)			
	Removed by Court	10	36 (1)	13	0 (0)	27 (2)	-18 (2)	. 5 , (1)	(0)	0 (0.)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)		*	
	Released to Sheriff	(0)	(0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)			
	Released by Court	9 (0)	. (0)	47 (5)	(0)	9 (1)	(0)	2 (0)	0 (0)	(0')	(1)	(0)	(2)			
	Transfer to Hospital	10	12	28	0 (0)	15 (1)	22 (2)	(0)	. 5 (3)	(0)	(0)	5 (1)	(0)			
	Bscape	(0)	1 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 00)	3 (0)	8 4 3	2 { 4}	10	(0)	(2)			
	Death	3 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(. 0)	(0)	5 (1)	0 (0)	. •		

2 1602 1146

TOTAL 2154 3629

	-								• .		
TYPE OF RELEASE	Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Lancaster	Boston State 3:	Norfolk PRC AL	ark Driv	S. Middlesex ^m MHHI	Drug Rehab	Hillside PRC	Charlotte House
Transfer to MCI	356 (62)	83 (56)	470 (73)	79 (47)	84 (49) (41: 44)		75 145 45) (29)	9 (43)	23 (29)	12 10
Transfer to Bouse of Correction	152 (26)	16 (11)	17 (3)	(0)	(1) (1 1)	(0) _, (0 10 0) (2)	(0)	0	(0)
Transfer to Other Authority	· (0)	(0)	1 (0)	(1)	(1) (0)	(0) (0 0	(0)	0 ° ((0)	(0)
Parole to Street	32 (6)	36 (24)	89 (~14)	59 (35)	40 (24) (38 40)		71 211 43) (42)	5 (24) (35 (44)	18
Parole to Other Authority	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0 0) ((0) (0 0	(0) ((0)	(0)
Parole to Sentence	(0)	(0)	(0)	2 (1)	(0) (0)	(0) (0 0	0	0 (0)	(0)
Sentence Expired	(0)	(1)	(¹ 0)	(0)	(0) (2 2)	(0) (0 0	(0)	(1)	1 2)
 Discharge to Street	13	(3)	23	19	11 (6) (6 6)	3 (3) (8 45 5) (9)	(0)		(9)
 Discharge to Other Authority	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0)	(0) (0 0	(0.)	(0)	(0)
Discharge to Other Sentence	(0)	(0)	1 { 0 j	(0)	··(· · · · · ·) · · · · (0	(0) (0 0	(0)	(0)	1 (2-)
Release to Warrant	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0	(0) (0 0 0	(0)	(0)	(0)
Removed by Court	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(<u>1</u>) (0	(0) (0 0	1.	(- J):	(0)
Released to Sheriff								0 0			•
Released by Court								0 2 0)			
Transfer to Hospital								1 0			
Escape								10 84 6) (17)			
Death	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0 0)	(0)(0 1 0) (0)	0 (- 0)	(0)	(0)

TOTAL 577 148 642 168 170 94 116 165 498 21 79 47 14143

		TABLE	4:	SEN	TENCING	COURT
SENTENCING CO	URT	Cedar Junction		Concord	ramingham	otal
<u>DIMILMELING G</u>		-)	
Barnstable		1.5	(1)	(0)	(.1)
Berkshire		(-1)	• (3 0)	(0)	20
Bristol		56 (6)	(50 8)	(1)	110
Essex		83	(55 9)	6 (1)	144
Franklin		6 (1)	(4 1)	(0)	11(0)
Hampden		122	(19 3)	22 (3)	163
Hampshire		15	t	2 0)	(0)	17
Middlesex		175	(9·1 1·5·)	. 16 (2)	282 (12)
Nantucket		1 (0)	(0)	(0;)	(0)
Norfolk		47 (5)	(14 2)	(0)	62
Plymouth		35		18	(1)	57
Suffolk		326 (33)	(25)	13	493 (21)
Worcester		86		148 24)	8 (1)	242 (10)
Municipal		(0)	. (9	163 (21)	172
District		(0)	(37	539 (69)	576 (24)

TOTAL

TABLE 5: INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFERS OUT

					ind.	REL		INSTITU		KS OUT			
		uo j			e)							Shattuck	
		ıcti		5	House				ā			ıatt	
		Cedar Junction	_ 2	Pramingham		¥			State	pla			X
		dar	Concord	, in a	Bodder	Morfolk	NCCI	SECC		Medfield	U	Lemuel	pooabuor
DESTINATION		8	္မမ	. Pr	8	2	**	SB	Вау	*	₩CC	3	3
Cedar Junction		0	206	0	0	334	140	61	. 0	0	0.	82	. 0
Cedar Junction		(0)	(6)	(0)	(0)	(27)	(17)	(11)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(18)	(0)
Concord		1085	(0)	(0)	(0)	70 { .6}	(3)	95 (17)	[12]	(3.5)	45 (15)	(11)	(0)
Pramingham		(0)	(0)	(0)	(100)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	41 (9)	(0)
Hodder House		(0)	(0)	(6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	, (0).
Norfolk		387 (19)	881 (27)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	.49 (9)	23 (15)	7 (18)	5 (2)	112	(0)
NCCI		215	737	(0)	(0)	6.5 (5)	(0)	31 (- 6)	(0)	(0)	1 (0)	34	.(0)
SECC		120	441	(0)	(0)	75 (6)	31 (4)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	33	(0)
Bay State		1 (0)	29 (1)	(0)	(0)	72 (6)	25 (3)	37	(0)	(0)	(0)	14 :	(0)
Medfield		(0)	6 (0)	(0)	(0)	29 (2)	12	3 (1)	(1)	(0)	(0)	, 1 (0)	(0)
NCC		1 (0)	132	(0)	(0)	106	128	33	1 (1)	1 . (3)	(0)	15	(0)
Lemuel Shattuck		87	48 (1)	45 (23)	(0)	113	34	32 (6)	14 (9)	0 (0)	18	(0)	4. (1,00°)
Longwood		(0)	(0)	25 (13)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	. O.	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)
Plymouth		4 (0)	269 (8)	(0)	(0)	115	46 (5)	99	2 (-1)	(0)	3 (1)	10	(0)
Narwick		(0)	55	(0)	(0)	15	80 (9)	6 (1)	(0)	(0)	1 (0)	1 (0)	(0)
Shirley		6 (0)	214	(O)	(0)	117	215	42 (7)	3 (2)	(5)	27	15	(0)
Luncaster		(0)	32	65 (33)	(0)	16	24 (3)	10	(1)	.1	T1 (4)	6 (1)	(0)
Boston State		(0)	31	0 (0)	(0)	11	1 (0)	1 (0)	20 (13)	6 (15)	30 (10)	1 (0)	(0)
Norfolk PRC		: (0)	11	(0)	(0)	17	2 (0)	6	20 (13)	(0)	12	6 (1)	0 (0)
Park Drive		(0)	1.6	(0)								1	. 0
S. Middlesex		(0)	20								40 (13)		
MHHI		2 (0)	76 (2)	3 (2)	(0)	47	18 (2)	6	13	(0)	7.5	7.	0
Drug Rehab		(0)	(0)	4 (2)	(0)	1	7 (1)	0	.0	(0)	0	2	(0)
Hillside PRC		1 (0)	· 6 (0)			7.				1 (3)	11 (5.4)	2	(0)
Charlotte House		0		(22)							0	3:	0
Bridgewater		76	28	0	. 0	23	23	46	0	0	1	11	. 0
		(4)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(3).	(8)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(0)
7	OTAL	1085	2220	107		1247					200		

					i						RELE	CAS	ING I	NS	TUTIT	ION	!								9e		
			£						re ri		State		r PRC		Drive		llesex				Rehab		de PRC		tte Bouse		
			Plymouth	-	Warwich		Shirley		Lancaster		Boston		Morfolk				Middl		H				Hillside		Charlotte	Total	
DESTINATION			PI		Z.		S.		Ī		B		Ž		Park		ŝ	•	инн		Drug		Hi		ម	Ą	
Cedar Junction		. 1	(1)	. (0)	ţ	4 1)	(2 3)	(0)	t	1 2)	(1 1	(0)	(1)	ţ	0)	(0)	t	0	836	
Concord			69 (19)	. (49 59)	(200 43)	(28 35)	(48 57)	. (9 22)	(31 40)	- (57 76)	. (02 70)	(67)	. (21 91)	.(0)	2024	
Pramingham			(0)	(0	(0 }	(15 19)	(0)	٠ (0)	(0	(0)	(-	0)	٠ (22)	(0)	(9 ' 75)	68	
Bodder Bouse		,	(0)	• (0	ť	0 0 }	(0 0)	(0)	(0)	(0 0)	t	0)	ι	0)	. (0 0)	(0 }	• (0 0)	12	
Norfolk		į	3 (1)	l	2 2)	(8 2)	(1)	(1	(19 46)	(0)	(3 4)	(1)	(0 0)	(0)	(0	1521	
NCCI			(0)	(. 4 5)	(1)	(3)	(0 0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	ţ	0)	į	0)	(0)	1095	
SECC		ı	26 (7)	(1 1)	1	0)	(1)	, (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	۱.	0)	. (0)	730)
Bay State			. 2· (1)	(1)	(4	(0 0 }	(0 }	(0)	(0 0.}	(0)	'(0)	(0)	, (0)	(0)	185	i)
Medfield			(1)	(1 1)	. (4	(0)	(0)	(0)	. (0)	(0)	. (1 1:)	(0)	(0)	ŧ	0)	60 { 1	
NCC			(3)	(1)	(3 · 1)	(0)	. (0)	(0)	٠ (1)	(1.	(1)	(-	0)	(0)	(0)	435	5 1)
Lemuel Shattuck			10	(1)	(15 3)	t	5: 6:}	. (.2 2)	ţ	3 7)	ŧ	1	(5 7)	(8 6)	(11)	(9)	(25)	4.5,1	
Longwood			(⁸ 2)	(0)	(0 }	.(1	(0)	(0)	(0)	.(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	{	0 0 }.	3 8	8 3 }
Plymouth		,. 	(0)	.(0)	(. 3 1)	•	3 4)	ı	0)	. (0 0)	. (0).	(0)	(0)	(0 0)	(0)	,	0)	554	
Warwick		. 4	(0)	. (0 0.)	(· 1)	• (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	• (1)	(. 1	. (0)	(0	. (0	1.63	
Shirley		1	24 (7)	(0)	(0)	. (1)	(0 0)	. (0)	(0)	. (1 1	(0)	٠ (0)	(0)	. (0)	667	
Lancaster			17 (5)	• (2)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0 0)	ť	0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	{	0 0)	189	
Boston State			34 (10)	(3 4)	. (45 10)	.(3 4)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(3)	(9)	(0 }	ι	0)	(0)	i	1)
Norfolk PRC		(15	(0)	(1)	t	0)	(0)	, (0)	(0)	(1	(0)	(0)	(0)	ť	0)	94	
Park Drive			19		0 0)		19.		4)		1),		0)		0)		1)		14		0)	(0 0)	{		120	
S. Middlesex		(11	(12 14)	. (66 14}	{	3 4 }	. (0)	{	0)	(0)	(0 }	(0)	(0)		0)	(0)	194	
нниі		(85 24)	(5 6}	(74 16)	(10}	(32 38)	(9 22)		43 55)	(3 4)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0	507)
Drug Rehab		· , (0)	(0	(1 0)	· (,	0)	. (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	. (1)	(0)	(-	0)	(,	0)	18	}
Hillside PRC		, (17	ţ	1)	ţ	6	(2 3)	(0)	,	0)	(0)	ť	0)	1	0)	(0)	(0)	ť	0)	74)
Charlotte House		. (0)	(0 0)	(0)	(1	(0)	(0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0)	٠(0)	. (,0)	. (0 0 }	47)
Bridgewater		.(0)	. (0)	(0)	(0 0 }	(0)	t	0)	٠ (0)	(0 0)	(0 }	(0)	(0)	(0)	208	, ·.
	TOTAL		356		83		70		79		84		41		72		75	1	4.5		9		23		12	10482	

	.			IONAL TRANSPERS I	. N .	ğ
	Cedar Junction	Pramingham Hodder House	Morfolk MCCI	SRCC Bay State	3	Lemuel Shattuck Longwood
ORIGINATION	Cedar Conco	Praming Hodder	Norf	SECC Bay 6) M	Lenuel
Cedar Junction	0 1085	(0) (0)	387 215 (25) (19)	120 1 (16) (17) (17)	0 1 0) (87 0 (19) (0)
Concord	206 0	(0) (0)	881 737 (.57) (.66)	441 29 (59) (16) (1	6 132 10) (30) (48 0 11) (0)
Pramingham	(0) (0)	(0) (100)	(0) (0)	(, 0) (0) (0) (0) (45 25 10) (66)
Hodder House	(0) (0)	1 0 0	(0) (0)	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)
Norfolk	334 70 (37) (3)	(0) (0)	(0) (6)			113 0 (25) (0)
NCCI	140 27 (16) (1)	(0) (0)	19 0		12 128 20) (29) (34 0
SECC	61. 95 (7) (5)	(0) (0)	49 31 (3) (3)	0 37	3 33 5) (8) (32 0 7) (0)
Bay State	. (0) (19	(0) (0)	23 0	(0) (0) (1 1 (0) (14 0
Medfield	0 14	(0)(0)	7 0	0 0 (0) (0 1 0) (0 0
HCC	0 45	(0) (0)	5 1	(0) (0) (0 0 0	18 0
Lemuel Shattuck	82 49 (9) (2)	41 0 (60) (0)	112 34	33 14 (4) (8) (1 15 2) (3) (0 4
Longwood	(0) (0)	0 0	(0) (0)	(0) (0) (0 0	4 . 0 = (1) (0)
Plymouth	3 69 (0) (3)	(0) (0)	3 0 (0)	26 2 (3) (1) (2 11 3	10 8
Warwick	0 49 (0) (2)	(0) (0)	2 4 (0) (0)	1 1 (0) (1) (1 1.	1 0
Shirley	4 200 (0) (10)	0 0 (0)	8 6 (1) (1)	1 4 (0) (2) (4 3 7) (1) (15 0
Lancaster	2 28	15 0 (22) (0)	1 - 2	1 0 .	0 0 0	5 1 (- 1) (- 3)
Boston State	0 48	0 0 0 (0)	1 0	(0) (0) (0 0 0	2 0
Norfolk PRC	1 9	0 0	19 0	(0)(0)(0 0 0	3 0 1 1 (0)
Park Drive	1 31	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	0 0 (0 1 0) (1 0
S. Middlesex	0 57	0 0 (0)	3 0	0 0	0 1.	\$ 0
минт	2 102 (0) (5)	0. 0	1 0 (0) (0)	0 0	1 1 2 (0) (8 0
Drug Rehab	(0) (0)			(0) (0) (
Hillside PRC	0 21	0 0	. 0 à	(0) (0) (n n	2 0
Charlotte House	(0) (0)	9 0	0 C	0 0	0 0 .	3 0
Bridgewater	67 28 { 7} (1)	0 6	19 19	22 0 (3) -(0) (0 0	6 0
			, , , , *1		,0) (0) ([1) (0)

			ADMI	TTING I	NSTITUTION				. 9	
ORIGINATION	Plymouth Happaack	Shirley	Boston State	Norfolk PRC	Park Drive S. Middlesex	MEERI	Drug Rehab	Hillside PRC	Charlotte Bous	Total
Cedar Junction	4 0 (1) (10)	6 0 (1) (0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0	2 (0)	(0)	. 1° (1)	(0)	1909
Concord	269 55 (49) (34)	214 32 (32) (17)	21 (11)	11 (12)	16 20 (13) (10	76 (15)	2 (11)	6 (8)	(0)	3202 (31)
Pramingham	0 0	0 65 (0) (34)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0	3 (1)	(22)	(0)	43 (91)	197
Bodder Bouse	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1· (0)
Norfolk	115 15 (21) (9)	117 16 (18) (8)	11 (6)	17 { 18}	5 9	47 (9)	t (6)	7 9 }	(O.)	1224
NCCI	46 80 (8) (49)	215 24 (32) (13)		2 { 2)	5 5	18	7 (39)	(1)	(0)	820 {
SECC	99 6 (18) (4)	42 10 (6) (5)	(· · 1)	6 ,	1 3	6	(0)	(0)	(0)	51.5 (5)
Bay State	(0) (0)	3 1 1 1 (0) (1)	20 (10)	20 (21)	(4) (7	13	(0)	19 (26)	(0)	155
Medfield	(0) (0)	2 1 (0) (1)	(3)	(0)	(1) (4) (0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)
NCC	3 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	27 11 { 4} (6)	30 (16)	12	29 40 { 24} (21	75 } { 15}	(0)	(15)	(0)	308
Lemuel Shattuck	10 [2) [1)	15 6 (2) (3)	(I)	(6)	(1) (3	7 (1)	· (11)	(3)	(6)	(-4)
Longwood	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0) (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Plymouth	(0) (0)	24 17 (4) (9)	34 (~18)	15 (16)	19 11 (16) . (6	. 85) (17)	(0)	17	(0)	356
Warwick	(0) (0)	0 2	3 (2)	(0)	(0) (6	, <u>(</u> 1)	(0)	(1)	(0)	83 (1)
Shirley	(1) (2)	(0) (2)	45 (23)	(-,4)	19 66 { 16} (34	74) { 15}	1 (6)	6 (8)	(0)	470 (5)
Lancaster	3 0	(0) (0)	3 (2)	(0)	3 3 (3) (2	8 (2)	(0)	2 { 3}	(· 1 2)	79 ((1)
Boston State	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0)	(0)	1 0	32) (6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	*(1)
Norfolk PRC	0 0	(0) (0)	(0)	(0)	0 0 (0) (0)	9 (2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	41: { 0}
Park Drive		0 0								
S. Middlesex		1 0 (0)								75
мені		(0) (0)								;45 { 1}
Drug Rehab	0 0				0 0					
Hillside PRC	0 0				0 0					
Charlotte Bouse	(0) (0)	'o 'o.								12
Bridgewater		(0) (0)								
				-		***				

TABLE 7: INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFERS OUT

					REI	EASING	INSTITU	TION			u	
SECURITY OF DESTINATION FACILITY	Cedar Junction	Concord	Pramingham	Rodder House	Norfolk	NCCI	SEC S	Bay State	Wedfield	MCC	Lemuel Shattuck	Longwood
Maximum	0 (0)	206 (6)	(\$\dag{\psi}	(0)	334 (27)	140	61 (11)	0 (* 0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	82 (18)	(0)
Medium	1807 (*91)	2059 (64)	(0)	t (100)	210 (17)	77	175 (31)	43 (28)	21 (53)	51 (17)	269 (59)	(0)
Minimum	- 2 (0)	167	(0)	(0)	207 (17)	165	73 (13)	2 (1)	1 (3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30	· (0)
Minimum/Pre-Release	10	570 (18)	102	(0)	263 (21)	365	157 (28)	6 (4)	3 (8)	42 (14)	36	(0)
Pre-Release	· (· 0)	68 (2)	(0)	(0)	42 (3)	13	11	58 (37)	14 (35)	111	,13 (-3)	(0)
Contract Pre-Release	(0)	84 (3)	50 (25)	(-0)	55 (4)	26 (3)	6 (1)	32 (21)	(3)	86 (28)	(3)	(0)
Secure Hospital	163	76 (2)	45 (23)	(0)	136	57	78 (14)	14	(0)	19	11 (2)	4 (100)
TOTAL	1085	3238	197	1	1247	843	561	155	40	309	455	4

TABLE 7: INSTITUTIONAL TRANSPERS OUT

					REI	PASING	INSTITU	TION				186	
SECURITY OF DESTINATION FACILITY	Plymouth	Warrick .	Shirley	Lancaster	Boston State	Norfolk PRC	Park Drive	S. Middlesex	MERI	Drug Rehab	Hillside PRC	Charlotte House	Total
Maximum	3 (1)	(0)	4.7 (1)	2 (3)	(0)	1 (2)	1 (1)	0 (0)	2 (1)	· 0 (0)	(0)	(0)	836 (8)
Medium	98 (28)	56 (67)	215 (46)	47 (59)	49 (58)	28 (68)	31 (40)	60 (.80)	103	8 (89)	21 { 91}	9 (75)	5438 (52)
Minimum	15	3 (4)	11	(0)	(0)	(0)	- 1 - (1)		2 (1)	· (· · · · · ·)	- { O.)	(0)	680 (6)
Minimum/Pre-Release	49 (14)	2 (2)	10	5 (6)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	2 (3)	(1)	- 0 (0)	· (0)	(0)	1623 (15)
Pre-Release	79 (22)	15 (18)	134	9 (11)	(1)	(0)	(1)	4 (5)	27 (19)	(0)	(0)	(0)	600 (6)
Contract Pre-Release	102 (29)	6 (7)	81 (17)	11 (14)	32 (38)	9 (22)	43 (55)	(4)	2 (1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	646 (6)
Secure Hospital	,10 (3)	1 1 1	15	5 (6)	2 (2)	3 7)	(1)	5 (7)	(6)	(11)	2 (9)	3 (-25)	659 (6)
TOTAL	356	83	470	79	84	41	78	75	145	9.	23	12	10482

TABLE 8: INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFERS IN

						ADI	ITTING	INSTITU	TION			쏡	
SECURITY OF ORIGINATION FACILITY	<u>"Y</u>	Cedar Junction	Concord	Pramingham	Hodder Bouse	Worfolk	NCCI	SECC	Bay State	Medfield	NCC	Jemuel Shattuck	Longwood
Maximum		(0)	1085	0 (0)	(0)	387 (25)	215 (19)	120	(1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0)	87 (19)	(0)
Medium		741 (82)	192	(0)	12 (100)	949 (62)	833 (75)	547 (73)	163 (88)	50 (83)	399 (92)	272 (60)	25 (66)
Minimum		(0)	78	(0)	(0)	35 (2)	(0)	(0)	(0)	{ - 2}	2 { 0}	32	(0)
Minimum/Pre-Release		9 (1)	346 (-17)	16 (24)	(0)	14 (1)	12	29 (4)	7. { 4}	- , 7 { 12}	15	35 (8)	9 (24)
Pre-Release		2 { 0}	145	(0)	0 {* - 0}	23 (- 1.)	0	(0)	Q (0)	(0)	2 (0)	- 11 { 2}	(0)
Contract Pre-Releas	e	2 (0)	129	11 (16)	(0)	1 (0)	(0)	(O)	(- O)	(2)	1 (0)	14 (3)	(0)
Secure Hospital		149	77	41 (60)	(0)	131	53 (5)	- 55 (7)	14	1 (2)	15 (⁻ 3)	6 { 1}.	4 (11)
• то	TAL	903	2052	68	12	1540	1114	752	185	60	435	457	38

TABLE 8: INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFERS IN

											ADA	117	ring	INS	TITU	TU	EON								ise.		
											ate		PRC		 M		e X				0		PRC		Hou		
		th		¥			ب <u>چ</u>		ter		State	-			Drive		dle				ehab		side 1		tte		
SECURITY OF ORIGINATION FACILITY	<u>r</u>	Plymouth		Warwick			Shirley		Lancast		Boston		Norfolk	-	Park D		S. Middl		MEBI		Drug R		Hillsi		Charlo	E 4 C	rotal
Maximum		4 (1))) ())) }	. (6 · 1)	(0	(0 2}	(0 0)	C	0 0)	(0 0.}	. (2 0)	(0 0)	. (1 }	•	0		09 18)
Medium		529 (95) .	156			588 88)	(147 78)	. (34 18)	. (36 38)	(27 23)	(37 19)	(150 30)	{	14 78)	(-	14 19)	. (·43 91)		58 57)
Minimum		5 (1))	(1	l 	(32 5)	(13	- (56 29.)	(32 34)	. (35 29)	(60 31)	(88 17)	(0	. (31	• (0 0)		503 - 5}
Minimum/Pre-Release		6 (1))	(2	3 2)	(25 4}	(23 12)	. (85 44)	(19 20)	(41 34}	ſ	92 47)	(172 34)	(í 6)	(26 35)	•	1 2)		93 10)
Pre-Release		(0) .	(1))	(1 0)	(0 G }	(3 2)	(·1 1.}	į.	2 2)	•	0)	(8.7 1.7)	(0	(0)	(0)		278 3)
Contract Pre-Release	2	0 { 0)	1 ()	(0 0}	(0 0)	. (13	(0 0)	. (14 12)	. (0	. (1 0)	. (1 6}	(0)	(0		89 2)
Secure Hospital		10	·. }	(1	- }	(15	(6 3)	(1 1 }	(6 6.)	. (1 1)	(5 3)	(7	(2 11)	. '	2 3 }	(3 6 }		6)
тот	AL	554		163	3	6	667		189		192		94		120		194	•	507		18		74		47	104	3.5

•		u o			TA	BLE 9) :	RELE	A S	ES TO	н	OSPIT	'AL	s .								
RECEIVING HOSPITAL		Cedar Junction		Concord		ramingham		Morfolk		MCCI		gay State		Lemuel Shattuck		Shirley		Mortolk PRC		. Middlesex		Total
Boston City		0		0		е. О		0		0		0		0.		0		1		0		1
Boston City	(.0)	(0.)	(0)	(0)	(0)		0}	(0).	(0)	(1	00)	(0)	l	1)
Emerson	(0)	, (7 5 &)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0}	(0)	(0)	٠(0)	(7)
Pramingham Union	ĭ	0)	(0)	(10 36)	·t	0)	(0)	(0)	{	0)	(0 0)	(0)	(1	00)	(11
Mass Bye & Bar	(3 30)	(1 8)	Ċ	0)	(33)	(4 1.8.)	٠(0;	(0 0}	(0.)	`(0)	(0)	(13
Mass General	(50)	. (0	(0)	. (1 7)	(6 27)	. (0)	ĺ	1 20)	(0 0)	(.	0)	ı	0)	(13
Brigham & Women's	(10)	(0)	(2 7)	. (1 7)	(0)	(0	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(4 41)
Norwood	(-	1 10)	ť	0)	ι	0)	(2 1,3)	{	0)	(0 0-)	(0)	(0)	ŧ	0)	(0 0 }	ţ	3 3)
University	(0	(0)	ŧ	0)	(0)	(1 5)	(0)	ţ	0 0)	{ 1	100)	(0)	ŧ	0)	į	2 2)
N.B. Medical Center	t	0)	(4 33)	(1 4 }	(3 20)	(0)	(80)	ŧ	4. 80)	(0 0)	t	0)	(0 }	•	16 16)
Beth Israel	-(o o)	(0 0)	ţ	1 4)	. (3 20)	(0 0)	(1 20)	(0)	(0)	(0)	Ċ	0)	.(5 5)
St. Ann	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0. 0)	(10 45)	(0 0).	(0)	(0 }	. (0)	(0)	(10 10)
St. Margaret	(0. 0)	t	0)	(4 14)	(0)	• (0)	(0)	(() ()	(0 0 }	. (0)	(0)	1	4)
Wesson Women's Hospital	(0)	(0)	·	1 4 1	ſ	0)	(0)	ť	0)	(0 }	- (0)	ŧ	0)	(,	0)	(1)
Leominster	(0)	(0)	(0)	ſ,	0)	(1 5)	(0)	(0)	(0 0)	(0)	ť	0)	Ĺ	1
Worcester State Hospital	(0)	(0 0);	(14)	{	0)	(0)	(0 0)	(0)	į	0)	(0)	ŧ	0)	(4)
Northampton State Hospital	(0}	(0)	([3 [1]	(0)	(0)		0)	ť	0)	.(0)	(0 }	(0)	(3 3)
Solomon Carter	(0)	•(0	(1 4.)	(0)	(0 }	(0)	(0)	ţ	0 0)	ſ	0)	(0)	. (1 }
Mass Mental Health Center	(0)	. (0)	ţ	1 4)	. (0.	(0)	(0 }	(0)	ſ	0)	(0)	- (0)	(1)

TOTAL

		ion			т	ABLE	. 1	0: 1	RET	URNS	FRO	ж нс) S.P. I	TÄL	S			Sattuck						¥		
		r Junction	Ţ	ora	Praeignaha			olk					•	State			:			ick		OIK PRC		Kidd lesex		_
DISCHARGE HOSPITAL		Cedar	Č	Concord	94.79			Morfolk		HCC!		SIBCC		Bay		ပ္ 1		Lemus		Marwick	,	Morrolk		v.		Total
Boston City	(0 0)	(0)	t	0)	(0	(0 0)		0)	.(0 0)	t	0)	(0)	(0)	(1	00)	t	0	(.1
Emerson	(0)	(2 2 5 j	(0 0}	(11;	(0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0)	ţ	15)	(0)	(0)	.(0 0)	(6 6.)
Framingham Union	(0 (0)	. (0 0 j		12 55)	(0)	l	0)		0)	(0 0)	ť	0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1	1 00}		13
Mass Rye & Ear	{	3 50)	(13)	(0)	(4 22)	,(22)	. (0)	(0)	· • (0 0)	(0)	(0)	ţ -	0 0)	Ç	0 0)	(12
Mass General	(3 50)	(0)	t	0)	. (11)	(5 28)	(0)	t	0)	. (0 9.)	(-	2 15)	. (0. 0)	(0 0)		0)		12131
Medfield State Hospital	(0)	(0)	(·	0 }	(0 }	{	0)	ι	0 0)	C	33)	(0)	€, ·	0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0)	(1
Brigham & Women's	{	0)	(0}	(2 9)	(2 11}	(0)	t	0)	ť	0 0.}	ŧ	0)	Ţ	0 0 }	(1	1 00)	ţ	0)	ť	0)	Ċ	5)
Boston State	(0 }	(0)	(0}	(0 }	(0)	(1	1 00)	,(0 0)	(0)	. (0)	(0)	•	0)	(0)	(1)
Norwood	(0)	(0)	4	0}	(6)	.(0)	(0)	(0}	(0)	(0)	(0)	τ	0)	(0)	(1
University	(0)	(0)	. (0)	(0)	. (6)	. (0)	(0 0)	(0 0 j	,	0)	(0)	- (0)	(0)	, (1)
N.B. Medical Center	- (0 0'}	(5 63)	(1 · 5)	(22)	{	0 0)	(0)	(33)	-(1	00)	. (46)	(0 0)	(0)	(0)	€.	18 19)
Beth Israel	. (0)	(.	0)	(5)	(17)	ţ	0)	(:	0)	• (33)	(0)	. (0)	(0}	(0 }	(,	0)	t	5 5)
St. Ann	(0)	ľ	0)	(0)	, (0)	(8 44)	ŧ,	0)	. (0 0)	(0)	(15)	€.	0)	(0)	(0)	(10
St. Margaret	(0)	t	0)	C	2 9)	ţ	0 0.)	{	0)	í	0)	ţ	0)	ŧ	0)	. (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)
Hampden Medical Center	((0)	(5)	(0}	(0)	ſ	0)	ţ	0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	•	1)
Leominster	(-	(0)	(0 }.	(0)	(0)	ι	0)	(0)		0)	(8)	(0 0)	(0)	ţ	0	ţ	1)
Worcester State Bospital	(-		0)	(5)	. (0)	(0)	ı	0)		0)	(0)	ŧ	0)	ţ	0)	(0)	• (0)	l	1)
Northampton State Bospital	(0)	(0)	(1 5)	. (0)	(0)	(0)	(0 0)	t	0)	t	0 }	(0: 0:}	(0 0)	. (0)	(1)
Solomon Carter	(0)	. (0)	C.	1 5.}	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	. (0)	t,	0)	- (0.)	(0	(0)	(:	1)
TOTAL		6		8		22		1.8		1.8		ì		1		•		13		i		,		,		62

		ion	TAE	BLE 11:	TRANSFE	ERS TO	HOUSES OF	CORRECTION	
HOUSE OF CORRECT	PION _	Cedar Junction	Concord	Framingham	Norfolk	NCCI	SECC	Bay State Medfield	NCC
Barnstable	•	(8)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0) (0 0	(0)
Billerica		5 (20)	(11)	(0)	(0)	5 (8)	1 (6) (0 0	(25)
Charles Street		2 (8)	(0)	(0)	1 (13)	(0)	(0) (0 0	(0)
Dedham		(0)	8 (8)	(0)	(0)	2 (3)	0 (0) (0 0	0 (0)
Deer Island		5 (20)	13 (13)	(0)	2 (25)	3 (5)	3 (19) (1 0 33) (0)	(50)
Greenfield		(0)	2 (2)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (2)	3 (19) (0 0	(0)
Lawrence		(0)	3 (3)	(0)	(0)	1 (2)	1 (6) (0 0	(0)
New Bedford		(4)	2 (2)	(0)	1 (13)	(0)	(0) (0 0	(0)
Northampton		0 (0)	22 (22)	(0)	1 (13)	24 (40)	- 2 (13) (0 0	(0)
Pittsfield		(° 0)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0 0	(0)
Plymouth		1 (4)	(6)	(0)	o · ((0)	1 (6) (0 0	(0)
Salem		1 (4)	(1)	(0)	(0)	1 (2)	(0) (0 0	(0)
Springfield		(8)	5 (5)	(0)	(0)	3 (- 5)	1 (6) (0 0	(0)
Worcester		6 (24)	26 (26)	(0)	2 (25)	20 (33)	4 (25) (0 0	(0)
Lawrence CAC		0 (0)	0 (0)	(0)	1 (13)	(0)	(0) (2 5 67) (100)	2 (25)
Springfield OU#		(0)	(0)	(100)	(0)	(0)	(0) (0 0	(0)-
	TOTAL					ا.			
	TOTAL	25	100	1	8	60	16	3 5	8

	nck	TAB	LE 11.	TRANSF	ERS TO	HOUSES	OF CORR	ECTION	
HOUSE OF CORRECTION	. t Lemuel Shattuck	Longwood	Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Boston State	Norfolk PRC	MBHI	Total
Barnstable	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Billerica	(0)	5 (36)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(²⁹ (7)
Charles Street	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(24)	(100)	(0)	(40)	12
Dedham	(100)	5 (36)	140	9 (56)	(24)	(0)	(100)	(60)	176
Deer Island	(0)	(0)	5 (3)	(0)	(18)	(0)	(0)	(0).	(9)
Greenfield	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	7 (2)
Lawrence	(0)	. 1 (7)	(0)	(0)	3 (18)	(0)	(0)	(0)	9 (2)
New Bedford	(0)	(0)	(0)	,0,0	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)
Northampton	(0)	(0)	(0)	- 0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	49 (11)
Pittsfield	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Plymouth	(0)	(0)	7 (5)	(0)	(0)	, O (O)	(0)	0 (0)	15
Salem	(0)	1 (7)	(0).	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)
Springfield	(0)	1 (7)	(0)	(25)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	16
Worcester	(0)	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0)	(0)	1 (6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	60 (14)
Lawrence CAC	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (6)	2 (12)	(0)	(0)	(0)	13
Springfield OUI	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
TOTAL		14	152	16	17	1		10	438

TABLE 12 RETURN OF DOC INMATES FROM HOUSES OF CORRECTION

			ion			FF	ROM !	ious	ES C)F (ORRE	СТ	ION						
HOUSE OF CORRECT	'ION		Cedar Junction		Concord		Pramingham		Norfolk		NCCI		SECC	•	Bay State		Medfield		NCC.
Billerica		(2 14)	(6 13)	. (0 0)	(0	(1 1 7)	(0)	(0	(0)	(0)
Charles Street			7)	•	2 4)	1	0 0)		0)	(0)	(0)	(-	0	ŧ	0 0)	(0
Dedham		; (0	(0 0)	(0 0)		0 0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0	(0	(0 0)
Deer Island		. (1 7)	(7 15)	(0)	(0	(0 0)	(0 0 }	(0	Ċ	0)		0)
Greenfield		. (0)	(3 6)	. (0)	. (0)	. (1 17}	. (2 33)	(0	(0	(0)
Lawrence		(0)	(6 13)	(0 0 }	(0)	(0)	. (0)	. (0)	(0)	-(0)
New Bedford		(0 0)	(0)	(0	(0)	(0)	(1 7 }	. (0)	. (0	. (0)
Northampton	•	(1 7)	(4 9)	(1	100}	(1	2 00)	(2 33)	(0)	(1	1 00)	(0)	(0)
Pittsfield		{	0 }	(1 2)	f	0	(.	0)	(0	(0)	(0	t	0	(0)
Plymouth		.(2 14)	(3 6)	(0)	(-	0)	(0)	(0	(0)	(0)	(0)
Springfield		(2 14)	(5 11)	(0	(0 0)	(1 17)	(1 17)	(0)	(1	100}	(0)
Worcester		(5 36)	(10 21)	(0)	(0)	(1 17)	(2 33)	Ĺ	0)	(.	0)	(1	100}
	TOTAL		14		47		1		2		6		6		1		1		1

TABLE 12 RETURN OF DOC INMATES FROM HOUSES OF CORRECTION

	Euck				F CORREC				
HOUSE OF CORRECTION	 Lemuel Shattuck	Longwood	Plymouth	Warwick	Shirley	Lancaster	Park Drive	мнні	Total
Billerica	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (9
Charles Street	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (3 3)
Dedham	(0)	.1 (100)	4 (57)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	1 (50) (6 6)
Deer Island	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(100)	1 (50) (10 10)
Greenfield	(0)	(0)	(0)	, ((0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (6 6)
Lawrence	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	(0)	(0) (6 6)
New Bedford	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (1
Northampton	(0)	· 0 (0).	(0)	3 (75)	3 (75)	0 (0)	(0)	(0) (17 17)
Pittsfield	(0)	(0)	(0)	(25)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (2 2)
Plymouth	(100)	0 (0)	(29)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0) (8 8)
Springfield	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(, 0)	(0) (10 10)
Worcester	0 (0)	(0)	(14)	(0)	1 (25)	1 (100)	(0)	(0) (22 22)
TOTAL	1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	4		1	2	100

TABLE 13 HOUSE OF CORRECTION INMATES TRANSFERRED TO DOC FACILITIES

HOUSE OF CORRECT	ION		Concord		Longwood		Total
Barnstable		(1 0)	(9 5)	(102)
Billerica		. (:	7 3)	(68 37)	(75 18)
Dedham			82 80)	(28 15)		210
Deer Island		(9	(10 5)	(19 5)
Greenfield		(2 1)	(1)	(4 1)
Lawrence		(5 2)	(4 2)	(9 2)
New Bedford		(2 1 }	(7 41)	(9 2 _.)
Northampton		(2 1)	(0 0)	(2 0)
Pittsfield		(1 0)	; ;	0 0 }	(1 0)
Plymouth		(1)	(27 1,5)	(29 7)
Salem		(0 0)	. (8 4)	(8 2)
Springfield		(1 0)	(8 4)	(9 2)
Worcester		(14 6)	. (13	(27 7)
	TOTAL	•	28	1	84		412
	IVIAL	4	• 0	,		•	T 1 &

TABLE 14
TRANSFERS TO HOUSES OF CORRECTION
ON A FROM AND AFTER SENTENCE

HOUSE OF CORREC	CTION -	Cedar	Junction	Concord		Norfolk		NCCI		SECC		Total
Billerica		(1	1 4 } (3 (43)	(0 0)	(,	1 33)	(2 67)	(7 21)
Dedham))) ((14)	(4 31.)	(0 0)	(0)	(.	5 15)
Deer Island		(2	2	2 (29)	. (7 54)	, (,	1 33)	(0)	. (12 36)
New Bedford		(1	i ‡) ((0)	. (18)	(0)	(1 33)	(3 9)
Plymouth		(29	2 ₽) ((0)	•	1 8)	(0 0)	. (0	(3 9)
Springfield))) (1 (14)	. (0	(0 0)	(0)	(1 3)
Worcester		(14	i i) . (0 (0)	. (0	. (1 33)	. (0	. (2 6)
	TOTAL	•		7		13		.3		. 3		33

TARLE 15	: RELEASES	TO OUT	OF STATE

<u>STATE</u>	Codar Junction	Franingham	Morfolk	SECC Plymouth	Shirley Lancaster	Boston State	Total
Maine	1 1 (5) (6)	1 (10) (0 1 .	0 0	0 1 (100)	(0) (5 5)
New Hampshire	5 7 (23) (41)	7 (70) (7 6 27) (40)	6 1 (46) (100)	(0) (0)		40 37}
Rhode Island	3 3 (14) (18)		10 0	3 0 (23) (0)	(0) (0)		19
Vermont	1, .0	(0) (0 0	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (1
Connecticut	1 1 (5) (6)	(20) (3 2 12) (13)	(8) (0)	1 0 (100) (0)		11 10)
New Jersey	0 1 (0) (, 6)	(0) (1 1 7	(0) (0)	0 0	(0) (3 3)
New York	2 0	(0) (0 1 0) (7)	1 0 (8) (0)	0 0	(0) (4 4 4)
Pennsylvania	2 1 (9) (6)	(0) (0 0	1 0 (8) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (4,4)
Illinois	2 0 (9) (0)	(0) (0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (2 2)
Ohio	0 1 (6)	(0) (0 . 1 . 7)	(0) (0)	(0)(0)	0 (0) (2 2)
Kansas	1 (5) (0)	(0) (0 0	(0) (0)	(0)(0)	(0) (1)
Plorida	(0) (0)	(0) (1 2 4) (13)	T 0	(0)(0)	(0) (4 4)
Maryland	(5) (0)	(- 0) (0 0	(0) (0)	(0)(0)	(0) (1
South Carolina	1	(0) (0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (1)
Virginia	0 1	(0) (1 0 4) (0)	(0)(0)	(0) (0)	(0) (2)
Alabama	(5) (0)	(0) (2 8) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (3 3)
Texas	(0) (6)	(0) (0) (7)	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (2)
California	(5) (0)	(0) (1 0 4) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (0)	(0) (2 2)
					1		

TABLE 16: ADMISSIONS FROM OUT OF STATE

STATE		Cedar Junction		Concord		Pramingham		Worfolk		NCCI		SECC		Lemuel Shattuck		Plymouth		Boston State		Total	
Maine		. (2 11)	(2 9)	(3 33)	{	0	(1 8)	(0	(0)	(0	. (0)	. (8 9)
New Hampshire		(9. 50)	{	8 35)	(5 56)	(4 . 24)	(8 62)	('	4 50)	(1	1	(1	100)	(1	100)	. (41 45)
Rhode Island		(1 6)	(4 17)	(0	(5 29)	(0)	(3 3 8)	(0	(-	0	(0 0)	(13
Vermont		. (0	. (1 4)	(0 0)	(0	(0 }	Ċ	0 ((o o)	(°.	0)	(0	. (1 1 }
Connecticut		(2 11)	. (2 9)	(1 11)	(2 12)	(0	(o o)	(0)	(0	Ç,	0)	(7 8)
New Jersey		(0	, (1 4)	(0	1	1 6)	(1 8)	. (0 0)	(0 0')	į	0 (0	(:	3 3)
New York		(0	(0	. (0)	. (1 6)	(1 8 }	(0)	(0)	(0 0)	(0 0'}	(2 2)
Pennsylvania	•	. · · (3	, (1 4)	. (0)	(0	. (0)	٠ (0)	(0 0)	(0)	(0)	(4 4)
Ohio		(0	(1 4 }	(0	(0	. (1 8)	. (0)	(0)	. (0	. (0	. (2 2 }
Kansas		. (1 6)	(0	(0)	. (0)	• (0 } .	(0	(0,0)	• (0 0)	(0)	. (1
Florida		(0)	(1 4)	(0)	(1 6)	(0)	(1 3)	. (0	(0)	(0	. (3 3)
Virginia		(0	(1 4,)	(0	(1 6)	. (0	(0	(0)	(0)	(0)	. (2 2)
Alabama		(0 0)	, (0	(0)	(12)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(,	0)	(0)	(2 2)
Texas		(0)	(1,4)	(0	(0)	(8)	(0)	(0)	(.	0)	<u>(</u>	0)	(2 2)
	TOTAL		18		23		9		17		13		a.		1		1		1		91

Giossary

- 1. NCCI is the North Central Correctional institution, sometimes referred to as Gardner.
- 2. SECC is the Southeastern Correctional Center.
- 3. NCC is the Northeastern Correctional Center.
- 4. Drug Rehab/Drug Rehabilitation programs are pre-release centers for offenders with drug related problems. Drug Rehab includes the following programs: Spectrum House, Meridian House, Boston VA Hospital.
- 5. Bridgewater State Hospital includes the hospital for the criminally insane and sexually dangerous person (SDP Treatment Center).
- 6. MHHI (Massachusetts Halfway Houses, Incorporated) is a private non-profit corporation that provides facilities to DOC on a contract basis. MHHI includes the following facilities: McGrath House, Coolidge I, Temporary Housing Project (THP), Coolidge II and Brooke House.
- 7. The Medfield Prison Project is a minimum security facility located on the grounds of the Medfield State Hospital.
- 8. MCI refers to Massachusetts Correctional Institution.
- 9. Unsentenced offenders at MCI-Framingham are held in the Awaiting Trial Unit (ATU).

10. Security Level

Maximum: Cedar Junction

Medium: Concord, Framingham, NCCI, SECC,

Norfolk

Minimum: Medfield, NCC, Bay State

Minimum/Pre-Release: Hodder House, Shirley, Lancaster,

Longwood, Plymouth, Warwick

Pre-Release: Boston State, Park Drive, Norfolk

PRC, S. Middlesex

Contract: MHHI, Drug Rehab, Charlotte House,

Hillside PRC

Secure Hospital: Lemuel Shattuck, Bridgewater

Footnotes

- The year-end figures for 1984 and 1985 exclude: un-sentenced offenders housed at MCI-Concord or in the ATU at MCI-Framingham; inmates transferred to Bridgewater State Hospital; DOC inmates released to houses of correction before the end of the year; paroled offenders residing at DOC contract pre-release facilities; and sentenced offenders awaiting booking.
- For purposes of reporting, admissions and releases are counted as separate moves. This practice may overestimate the average number of moves per inmate because a transfer between institutions is counted as two moves. To adjust for double counting in averaging the number of moves per inmate, a release from one institution and transfer to another has been counted as a single move.
- The number of court commitments is one more than that reported in study number 300, 1985 Court Commitments to the Massachusetts Department of Correction, due to the discovery of one case.
- Unless otherwise stated, the discussion of the security level of interinstitutional moves excludes releases to and admissions from Lemuel Shattuck Hospital and Bridgewater State Hospital.
- There may be small discrepancies between these figures and other DOC yearend-counts due to unknown numbers of civil commitments in MCI-Framingham and 15-day parole detainees or federal detainees in DOC facilities at the time the counts were made.