

Bureau of Justice Assistance

BA





Annual Report Fiscal Year 1996

TO THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND THE PRESIDENT PRO-TEMPORE OF THE SENATE:

Pursuant to The Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended by the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 (Public Law 100-690) in accordance with Section 522, I am pleased to transmit the Bureau of Justice Assistance Annual Report for the Fiscal Year 1996.

Respectfully submitted,

Wang€ gist

Nancy E. Gist, Director, Bureau of Justice Assistance

Washington, DC October 1997

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE		
I.	Moving	Toward the 21st Century	1		
II.	About tl	ne Bureau of Justice Assistance	3		
III.	Address	ing America's Needs	6		
	• Prev	venting Crime in America	8		
	• Con	nprehensive Communities: The Key to Crime Prevention	10		
• Law Enforcement Initiatives Are Making a Difference					
	• Improving the Effectiveness and Efficiency of the Criminal Justice Syste				
	Changing the Course of Corrections				
	Special Programs				
	• Inve	• Investing in State and Local Jurisdictions			
	• Tech	nnical Assistance and Evaluation: Creating a Model Criminal Justice System	26		
IV.	Append	ix	29		
	TABLE 1:	FY 1996 Byrne Formula Grant Program Awards, Total Active Subgrant and Total Active Subgrant Awards	s, 30		
	TABLE 2:	FY 1996 Byrne Discretionary Awards (Byrne and Other Funding), Total Active Grants, and Total Active Grant Awards	31		
	BJA Legislative Purpose Areas		32		
	TABLE 3:	FY 1996 Byrne Formula Subgrant Totals by States and Purpose Areas	34 – 39		
	TABLE 4:	FY 1996 Discretionary Awards (Byrne and Other Funding) Program Descriptions and Funding Amounts	40-44		
	TABLE 5:	FY 1996 Local Law Enforcement Block Grants by States and Purpose Area	45-46		
	TABLE 6:	Local Law Enforcement Block Grants by State and State Agencies	47		
	1996 BJA	a-Funded Publications	48-49		

Moving Toward the 21st Century

//

As we move toward the next millennium. we must apply crime control and crime prevention knowledge more effectively to create a safe and secure Nation for future generations. By implementing comprehensive, community justice programs and strategies, BJA is helping to create a better America for the 21st century, a Nation of communities mobilized to keep violence off its streets.

//

Nancy Gist, Director, Bureau of Justice Assistance he Bureau of Justice Assistance is charting its future by analyzing the past. What was once a criminal justice system solely focused on arrests and incarceration now is becoming a comprehensive community justice system. America no longer can prevent and control crime by incarceration alone. Communities are taking back their streets through com-

munity policing, community prosecution, community courts, and community corrections, and BJA is at the forefront of this movement. An increasing number of BJA-funded programs are comprehensive in nature and involve the community.

FY 1996 was a crucial year for BJA and America's criminal justice system. America

BUILDING THE BRIDGE TO THE 21ST CENTURY PLANS AND PROGRESS

- ☐ In FY 1996, the Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Program office began laying the ground-work for the Federal Law Enforcement Dependents Assistance (FLEDA) Program. Once fully operational in late FY 1997 or early FY 1998, FLEDA will provide financial assistance for higher education to the spouses and children of Federal law enforcement officers killed in the line of duty.
- ☐ In FY 1997, a national symposium on sentencing will be presented by BJA, the State Justice Institute, and the National Institute of Justice to enable judges, legislators, prosecutors, defense counsel, corrections officials, police, and community representatives to discuss and share experiences and perspectives on current sentencing policy.
- ☐ BJA will continue to fund firearms trafficking programs, gang organized narcotics programs, and homicide initiatives.
- ☐ BJA will expand Boys and Girls Clubs, school-based drug-prevention programs, and other community crime prevention measures.
- ☐ BJA will continue to fund community justice, community prosecution, community courts, and corrections options programs.
- ☐ BJA will continue to publish and disseminate an abundance of information on a wide range of vital criminal justice issues, including:
 - Crime Prevention and Community Policing
 - Urban Street Gang Enforcement
 - Stopping Hate Crime
 - Effective Sanctions That Fit the Budget
 - Addressing Community Gang Problems
 - Community Corrections

is approaching a new century, and the policies and programs implemented now and in the next few years will determine our success in the next century. BJA recognizes this historic time and is shaping its programs and goals to improve the criminal justice system and ensure that America's children can live safely in their neighborhoods.

BJA-funded projects *do* make a difference. During the past decade BJA has implemented a number of vital projects. However, BJA funding for these projects is short term. A 1995 study revealed that 73 percent of those projects that were intended to continue were still running 3 to 4 years after Federal funding ceased. State and local units of government picked up the cost of these projects started with BJA funds. With this excellent record of continued funding, BJA projects will affect communities throughout the Nation and into the future.

The end of the 20th century provides America with unprecedented challenges. In no part of our society is this more true than in the criminal justice system. BJA is meeting these challenges by helping to create a safe Nation and an improved criminal justice system.

ABOUT THE BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

oving toward the next millennium, the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) is assisting a record number of State and local criminal justice agencies by supporting them with funds, training, technical assistance, evaluation, and comprehensive strategic planning. Since its inception, BJA has worked with State and local governments, community organizations, and

Federal agencies to reduce and prevent crime, violence, and drug abuse and to help improve the criminal justice system.

The mission of BJA is to provide leadership and assistance in support of local criminal justice strategies to achieve strong neighborhoods and safe communities. In Fiscal Year 1996, BJA focused its efforts on the following goals:

FY 1996 BJA FUNDS APPROPRIATED FOR ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

(IN \$ MILLIONS)

Byrne Formula Grants	475.00
Byrne Discretionary	60.00
Earmarked and transferred to Weed and Seed	28.50
Earmarked for D.A.R.E.	1.75
Earmarked for Boys and Girls Clubs of America	4.35
Earmarked for National Crime Prevention Council	3.00
Earmarked for National Judicial College	1.00
Earmarked for SEARCH	1.00
Earmarked for Metro Area Drug Enforcement Task Force	2.00
Earmarked for Olympic Games Law Enforcement	4.00
Earmarked and transferred to the FBI CASTLE Program	4.90
Special Programs	555.35
Regional Information Sharing Systems	14.50
National White Collar Crime Center	3.85
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	500.00
Public Safety Officers' Benefits Program	30.50
Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Program	.50
Church Arson Prevention Program	6.00
Local Law Enforcement Block Grants	503.00
Earmarked for D.C - Metro Police Department.	15.00
Earmarked for Boys and Girls Clubs of America	11.00
Earmarked for Drug Courts	15.00
Earmarked for NIJ Technology	20.00
Total	\$1,593,350,000.00

FY 1996: PERFORMANCE HIGHLIGHTS

- BJA automated its application and award process, significantly reducing paperwork and increasing productivity. ■ BJA set efficiency records in disseminating grants: • BJA's Local Law Enforcement Block Grants Program distributed nearly \$400 million to almost 3,000 local jurisdictions and \$24 million to 56 States, Territories, and the District of Columbia in a record 6 months. • BJA distributed more than \$2.7 million in Church Arson Prevention Grants to 587 county governments in only 2 weeks. ☐ BJA and the National Crime Prevention Council received the prestigious Vice President's Hammer Award for their efforts at the 1996 Olympic Games in Atlanta. ☐ As part of BJA's Comprehensive Communities Program, the membership of the East Bay Public Safety Corridor Partnership grew to include 27 communities in the eastern San Francisco Bay Area. ☐ The success of Boston's Comprehensive Communities Program, including a reduction in homicides of young people by 71 percent, led to recognition by the President. ☐ The BJA State Criminal Alien Assistance Program extended its eligibility to local communities that house illegal aliens in their jails. Formerly, the program reimbursed only the States for incarcerating illegal aliens. ☐ The newly created BJA Comprehensive Homicide Initiative has helped to reduce the homicide rate in Richmond, California, by 50 percent since 1995. The Initiative's strategies target the underlying causes of homicide such as gang violence, domestic violence, violence associated with drug activity, and the
- Promoting effective, innovative crime control and prevention strategies.

availability of illegal firearms.

- Demonstrating and promoting the replication of effective crime control programs that support public-private partnerships, planning, and criminal justice system improvement.
- Leveraging and efficiently administering available resources.
- Providing a dynamic work environment that fosters and encourages excellence, innovation, and responsiveness.

BJA's Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Program is unique because it is a Federal grant program tied to the criminal justice system with congressional mandates dedicated to State and local jurisdictions. The Byrne Program is a major source of Federal funds devoted to fighting crime on the local level. Through it, BJA works directly with State and local criminal justice practitioners to develop innovative and effective programs to fight violent crime and drug abuse. The two main components of the Byrne Program are the Byrne Formula and Discretionary Grant Programs.

Each year Congress appropriates funds to BJA for distribution to State and local criminal justice systems. For FY 1996 Congress appropriated \$475 million for **The Byrne Formula Grant Program**. The amount distributed to each state is determined primarily by the population of the State. Use of these Byrne Formula funds

must fall into approved legislative purpose areas (see the Appendix).

However, States may determine the amount to be applied to specific purpose areas, depending on crime patterns in their area. In addition to funding these State-level programs, BJA-administered Byrne Formula funds annually support an average of 6,600 local programs such as community policing initiatives, multijurisdictional task forces, and drug prevention programs.

The Byrne Discretionary Grant Program provides BJA with the flexibility to appropriate funds to problem-specific areas. Awards are made for programs that develop new practices in crime prevention and drug control, training, technical assistance, and national-scope programs. BJA supports the replication of these innovative programs to make the criminal justice system stronger and more comprehensive. In FY 1996, BJA awarded more than \$60 million in Byrne Discretionary and other funds to support more than 650 programs.

BJA is an essential part of addressing the most pressing challenges facing the Nation's criminal justice system. In FY 1996, BJA implemented comprehensive approaches to crime through neighborhood-based programs with active citizen involvement, violence prevention and control initiatives, and programs that not only improved the functioning of the criminal justice system but also focused on enhancing the system's ability to remove serious and violent offenders from our communities. BJA programs emphasize the importance of multiagency coordination — at all levels of government — in addressing prevention, intervention, enforcement, adjudication, and corrections.

In FY 1996, BJA-funded programs made communities safer and neighborhoods more resistant to crime, increased citizen involvement in justice and community crime prevention, and improved the effectiveness of the criminal justice system. BJA also managed \$1.59 billion in grant activity, representing almost 7,500 active projects and programs in the 50 States and 6 Territories.

By providing the best resources and training to State and local criminal justice agencies, BJA has been in the forefront of creating comprehensive community-based crime prevention and crime control measures. Neighborhoods in which these innovative approaches have been implemented are yielding the results. In Neighborhood Crime Watch communities, people feel safe to walk at night; in D.A.R.E. communities, children are learning the dangers of drugs; in community policing neighborhoods, citizens are working with police officers to prevent and solve crimes; and in Comprehensive Communities Programs, entire cities are seeing dramatic reductions in crime through local community efforts.

After years of escalating violence on the streets of America's cities, the tide is changing. Criminal activity is beginning to feel the impact of effective, innovative, and comprehensive crime control strategies taking root in communities across the country. Since 1992, the Nation's overall crime rate has decreased by 7 percent. BJA continues to support, innovate, evaluate, and replicate effective programs. BJA-funded initiatives are a team effort, with Federal, State, and local agencies working together to rid America of crime and violence and to restore law and order in the Nation's neighborhoods and communities.

ADDRESSING AMERICA'S NEEDS

11

If we reweave the fabric of community through community courts, through community justice, through community caring, through community involvement, we can make a difference.

77

Attorney General Janet Reno

n 1994 Congress stood up to crime and passed the most sweeping crime bill in America's history, the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act, and BJA has played a key role in carrying out its provisions. Most Americans believe that serious violent offenders need to be incarcerated. However, when the issues are broadly presented, Americans are willing to consider incarceration alternatives, such as intensive probation, house arrest, substance abuse treatment, and restitution for appropriate offenders. According to a recent national survey commissioned by the American Correctional Association, three out of four Americans believe a balanced approach of prevention, punishment, and treatment is better at controlling and reducing crime than is imprisonment alone. BJA has helped support that balanced approach. In FY 1996, BJA began full implementation of the philosophy and programs that comprise a comprehensive community justice system. That system includes the following elements:

- Community Crime Prevention
- Community Policing
- Community Prosecution
- Community Courts
- Community Corrections

Any successful State or local crime prevention and control strategy must engage its ultimate beneficiaries: the people living in the community. BJA-funded communitybased strategies address neighborhood problems by involving community leaders and residents in the planning and delivery of services. All of BJA's programs, including the Comprehensive Communities Program, the National Citizens' Crime Prevention Campaign, the Community Justice Technical Assistance Program, and the Tribal Strategies Against Violence Program, support partnerships with Federal, State, and local governments; private organizations; and foundations that develop and achieve solutions addressing problems concerning crime and quality of life.

Some comprehensive program approaches supported by BJA are problem-specific. For example, the Comprehensive Gang Initiative demonstrates a model approach to gang issues that carefully balances prevention, intervention, and suppression strategies. The model is designed to bring together cooperative and coordinated efforts by the police, other criminal justice agencies, human services providers, community agencies, and residents.

Other programs receiving BJA funds, such as the Community-Based Prosecution Initiative, are not problem-specific but bring together the community, the prosecutor's office, and the local courts to solve problems, providing speedier access to justice and facilitating reintegration of offenders in neighborhoods.

In FY 1996, an important BJA priority addressed a serious unmet need in local criminal justice systems by supporting programs to correct deficiencies in the delivery of criminal justice services. BJA emphasized the involvement of key stakeholders in the development of new initiatives, including community organizations and local, State, and Federal agencies.

BJA supports programs that promote balance and fairness in law enforcement and the criminal justice system. By supporting programs that feature community participation as a cornerstone, BJA seeks to educate and engage citizens, thereby improving confidence in the criminal justice system and ultimately preventing crime.

Through an effective combination of crime prevention funding, comprehensive community programs, law enforcement initiatives, adjudication programs, corrections initiatives, special programs, Local Law Enforcement Block Grants, and research, evaluation, and training, BJA is playing a critical role in controlling and preventing crime in America.

//

The concept of community justice is unmistakably powerful and it is at the core of a vision that is undeniably hopeful.

//

Laurie Robinson, Assistant Attorney General

Preventing Crime in America

11

Working together, we can solve any problem and meet any challenge as long as we each do our part: government, business, families, religious institutions, communities, schools and especially young people themselves. Together, we can create safer streets in our towns and cities and a brighter, more secure future for our children.

77

President Bill Clinton, National Night Out, 1996

crucial part of reducing crime in America is preventing crime from occurring in the first place. BJA's commitment to crime prevention is unsurpassed. Over the past decade, BJA funding to States and local communities increased by 85 percent money that supported hundreds of new projects. BJA has created an all-encompassing approach to crime prevention to involve everyone from Federal, State, and local governments to schools and civic organizations, from private and public sectors to families and religious organizations. In FY 1996, BJA focused on creating strong partnerships among key stakeholders in individual communities and using these resources to develop comprehensive crime prevention initiatives.

Only BJA's most enduring and successful initiatives, the National Citizens' Crime Prevention Campaign and its most visible symbol, McGruff the Crime Dog, educates people of all ages about how they can prevent crime and build community. The campaign has reached millions of people through public service announcements; crime, violence, and drug use prevention materials: and outreach and information on the World Wide Web. Through funding, technical assistance, and training, the campaign helps individuals, community and civic organizations; as well as Federal, State, and local government agencies build better, safer, and more caring communities. Through community action, the campaign has helped to decrease crime in schools, neighborhoods, and entire cities.

Through the McGruff campaign, BJA provided unprecedented support for crime prevention education as part of the 1996

Olympic Games in Atlanta. BJA funding helped produce seven posters (of which more than 2,000 sets were distributed), a crime prevention tips brochure in three languages for visitors (more than 600,000 distributed), and a brochure in three languages for athletes and other members of the Olympic family (approximately 17,000 distributed). The efforts of BJA, the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS), and the National Crime Prevention Council were recognized with a prestigious Vice President's Hammer Award for efficiency in government for work coordinating crime prevention services as part of the National Olympic Planning Group.

Another successful, long-term community action program is National Night Out. This initiative began in 1989 as a one-night-ayear (first Tuesday in August) community gathering. It has since evolved into a yearlong program involving more than 28 million people, including law enforcement and government agencies, businesses, education institutions, community organizations, citizens, and youth in more than 8,800 communities in all 50 States, U.S. Territories, and U.S. military bases around the world. On August 6, 1996, nearly 30 million people celebrated the 13th Annual National Night Out with block parties, parades, rallies, and other events.

In FY 1996, BJA also supported crime and violence prevention initiatives that targeted young people. BJA is building partnerships with the youth of America through education. One of the most highly visible BJA education initiatives is Drug Abuse Resistance Education (D.A.R.E.), the Nation's predominant school-based drug abuse and violence prevention program. It

FY 1996 SELECTED ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THE NATIONAL CITIZENS' CRIME PREVENTION CAMPAIGN

- ☐ More than 50,000 Crime Prevention Month 1996 kits were distributed to law enforcement agencies, schools, libraries, community groups, local government leaders, and others.
- ☐ More than 824 million educational materials were reproduced and distributed by local groups.
- ☐ More than \$54 million in public service advertising was donated to crime prevention messages, a more than 80 to 1 return on the Federal investment, reaching more than 90 percent of the U.S. population.
- ☐ Nearly 50,000 adults called 800-WE-PREVENT to get free, detailed information on community crime prevention initiatives.
- ☐ McGruff and Scruff violence and drug prevention materials reached almost 500,000 of America's youngest citizens in just 1 year.
- ☐ Educational packets were provided to elementary school-age children in 71,000 public and private schools and to 14,000 law enforcement agencies.
- ☐ A landmark compendium of crime prevention strategies, 350 Tested Strategies To Prevent Crime, was published and distributed nationwide.

11

We're getting these kids while we can still do something to help them—before they cross the line into serious crime.

77

Bob Monahan, Boston's Boys & Girls Clubs of America Chief Operating Officer

teaches students in kindergarten through senior high school lessons on drugs, violence, and gang resistance skills in classes conducted by specially trained law enforcement officers.

Another proven program is the Boys & Girls Clubs of America (B&G Clubs). In FY 1996. BJA awarded B&G Clubs more than \$15 million to establish and enhance B&G Clubs in public housing and other community settings where there is a concentration of poverty, crime, and violence. B&G Clubs serve 2.6 million school-age boys and girls in 1,950 clubs by providing a safe haven for children. The clubs offer mentoring and youth activities, educational support, and a comprehensive violence prevention initiative. An evaluation of B&G Clubs in public housing confirmed that the clubs had a significant impact on reducing juvenile crime (crime rates were 13 percent lower in projects with B&G Clubs), on reducing drug activity (22 percent lower), and on reducing the presence of crack cocaine (25 percent lower).

A common objective in all of BJA's crime prevention initiatives is addressing the needs of communities. Through the Tribal

Strategies Against Violence (TSAV) Initiative, for example, BJA is helping to empower Native American communities' increased concern about crime and violence on reservations. TSAV is a Federaltribal partnership designed to develop and implement reservationwide strategies to reduce crime, violence, and drug abuse. The program focuses on forming centralized planning teams comprised of service providers whose goal is to develop shortterm and long-term strategies that encompass community policing and prosecution, domestic abuse, juvenile delinquency, and drug prevention education. In FY 1996, TSAV was expanded to seven tribes and served more than 325.000 Native Americans as well as their neighbors in surrounding communities.

Through the next millennium, BJA will continue to lead Federal efforts to develop and support comprehensive crime prevention initiatives. BJA will continue to work with Federal, State, and local agencies and community organizations to develop effective crime prevention programs and build on the extraordinary success of the past decade.

COMPREHENSIVE COMMUNITIES: THE KEY TO CRIME PREVENTION

11

We know that if this can be done in Boston, it can be done in every community, in every neighborhood of every size in the United States.

77

President Bill Clinton.

ANNOUNCING THAT
HIS JUVENILE CRIME CONTROL
BILL IS MODELED AFTER
BOSTON'S COMPREHENSIVE
COMMUNITIES PROGRAM.

ne of the most urgent domestic problems facing America is violence. For more than 30 years, America's justice system has tried a number of crime prevention methods and ideologies. Individually these programs have had limited success. In 1993 BJA took a new approach by combining those crime prevention concepts that were most effective to create the Comprehensive Communities Program (CCP). BJA realized that the only way to make progress against violence was to marshal the efforts of communities, the private sector, and Federal, State, and local governments. CCP seeks to catalyze the development of such partnerships.

CCP strategies call for improving existing collaboratives and partnerships as well as developing new, innovative strategies. As such, these programs coordinate with customized projects and more comprehensive approaches within each community. For example, four of the CCP sites also are sites for the Pulling America's Communities Together (PACT) initiative. CCP sites draw on the experience and collaboratives developed under comprehensive, community-based PACT efforts in Atlanta, Denver, Omaha, and Washington, D.C.

Under CCP, communities facing high rates of drug abuse and violent crime develop a comprehensive strategy for crime and drug control that requires police and other city agencies to work in partnership with the community. During the past 3 years, the 16 CCP sites have distinguished themselves from other BJA grant programs in their demonstration of how multiagency, public/private, government/community collaboratives, and partnerships can work

together to formulate and carry out jurisdictionwide crime strategies to produce safer and healthier communities.

CCP communities range from small, single-government jurisdictions such as Hartford, Connecticut; Columbia, South Carolina; Omaha, Nebraska; Wilmington, Delaware; Gary, Indiana; Salt Lake City, Utah; and Wichita, Kansas; to larger urban cities such as Baltimore, Maryland; Boston, Massachusetts; Phoenix, Arizona; Seattle, Washington; Washington D.C.; and Fort Worth, Texas; to multi-jurisdictional communities such as metropolitan Atlanta, Georgia; metropolitan Denver, Colorado; and the East Bay Public Safety Corridor Partnership in the San Francisco Bay Area of California.

The Boston CCP has been nationally recognized and offers valuable lessons for other CCP sites as well as for communities across the Nation. Crafted by more than 400 residents, police officers, and city agency representatives, Boston's Strategic Plan for Neighborhood Policing serves as the architecture for integrating community policing and community mobilization. It includes strategies for the city's 16 community districts and is tailored to reflect the public safety and quality-of-life needs of each district. In 1996 homicides in Boston dropped to a 30-year low, robberies to a 26-year low, and armed assaults to a 10-year low. Homicides by young people dropped by two-thirds; murders and assaults by juveniles with firearms almost disappeared.

The Metro Atlanta CCP operates a variety of youth violence prevention, intervention, and enforcement strategies, including

BOSTON'S CCP EFFORTS PAY OFF

- ☐ No juvenile homicides occurred in Boston in 1996.
- ☐ From July 1995 through 1996, no youth under age 17 was killed by a firearm.
- ☐ The number of young people age 24 and younger killed with a firearm declined 65 percent from 1995 to 1996.
- ☐ Homicides of young people age 24 and under are down 71 percent as compared to 1995.
- ☐ Gang-related violence fell by 70 percent in 1996.
- Aggravated assaults with firearms are down 23 percent compared to 1995 and are down 55 percent compared to Boston's crisis year of 1990.

11

This is just a smarter approach to the problem of juvenile violence, and it is showing results.

77

Jeremy Travis, Director, National Institute of Justice the highly regarded Teens, Crime, and the Community (TCC) program, an education and crime prevention curriculum aimed at reducing the high level of teen victimization and counteracting high-risk factors leading to juvenile delinquency. In addition, Atlanta has created a Youth Empowerment Grants Program that empowers young people to create their own solutions to the daily problems that affect their well-being.

The Baltimore CCP is a partner in the development of a comprehensive initiative that provides recreational, educational, and other positive alternatives for youth in four communities that are coordinated by a resident-developed strategy. A community organizer supervises the activities of coordinators who work to develop youth leadership, help youth fulfill the community service hours required of all Maryland high school students, and encourage development of entrepreneurial skills and economic development projects.

Each summer the Metro Denver PACT/CCP supports a six-county Safe Summer Program, which includes a youth-staffed hot line for recreational and employment opportunities. The number for the hot line is distributed throughout six counties on wallet-sized cards. Another six-county strategy involves providing \$500 to \$2,000 line officer grants for community policing projects, with at least 80 percent of such grants including a youth

component. The Safe Summer Program and the Line Officer Grant Program have been recognized within the Metro Denver area as contributing significantly to reducing summer youth violence over the past several years.

The Fort Worth CCP administers a community-based criminal justice plan developed by the Citizens Crime Commission of Tarrant County, the Fort Worth Independent School District, nonprofit organizations, law enforcement agencies, and numerous other agencies. A local service organization, Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention, Inc., facilitates collaboration among the public schools, the B&G Clubs, and other agencies to reduce teen pregnancy. The Comin' Up Gang Intervention Program, a program of the B&G Clubs of Greater Fort Worth, provides a variety of needs-based services and activities that positively impact the lives of youth involved in gangs.

The Phoenix CCP has designed its youth strategy to focus on increasing the levels of community knowledge, input, and involvement that are critical to reducing youth gangs and violence. More than 6,000 youth have participated in a local program Community Effort to Abate Street (C.E.A.S.) Violence, an antiviolence initiative that enlists parents, teachers, community members, and business people to teach youth how their choices impact their lives, families, and communities.

through a wide range of collaborative prevention, intervention, and enforcement efforts, including the Seattle Police Department's Family and Youth Protection Bureau and the Seattle Team for Youth Program. The Seattle Police Department's Gang Unit interacts with youth through the School Emphasis Team, Night Proactive Squads, and Investigations Squad. In addition, detectives from the Seattle Team for Youth and caseworkers from the Department of Health and Human Services work with families, schools, and community members to provide counseling and alternatives for at-risk youth involved in violent or gang activity.

The Seattle CCP addresses youth violence

Central to the success of CCP is that once comprehensive crime strategies are implemented, they must be institutionalized in order for communities to reap the longterm benefits. To do this, CCP works to sustain the innovative partnerships between public and private organizations, governments and citizens, individuals and associations, and for-profit and notfor-profit groups by training and technical assistance available to communities with comprehensive strategies

In FY 1996, BJA helped CCP sites develop strategies to sustain their accomplishments, especially the partnerships and collaboratives established to support continued strategic and community-based crime initiatives. By developing a model sustainment plan for effective initiatives, BJA has made an impact on crime and violence for years to come. During FY 1997, BJA will continue to provide technical assistance and training support for CCP sustainment efforts.

11

Sustaining our partnerships with the local communities, as principal stakeholders, is critical to sustaining our local strategies.

//

Reginald L. Robinson, Deputy Assistant Attorney General

LAW ENFORCEMENT INITIATIVES ARE MAKING A DIFFERENCE

//

Community policing is an integral part of achieving the goals of combating crime and improving the quality of life in the nation's cities, towns, and rural areas.

//

Joseph E. Brann, Director, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services

he Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI's) Uniform Crime Report and the Bureau of Justice Statistics' National Crime Victimization Survey reveal that both overall crime rates and violent crime rates declined in 1996. For the past 4 years, the criminal justice system has installed an effective combination of crime control and prevention, and BJA has been a primary force in the development and implementation of these crime reduction strategies. In FY 1996, BJA's focus on a comprehensive community justice system helped establish effective law enforcement initiatives through community mobilization and community policing.

Two of the biggest problems plaguing America's communities today are drugs and guns. According to the FBI, nearly one-third of violent crimes in the United States is firearms related. In FY 1996, through Byrne Discretionary Grants, BJA funded firearm initiatives, such as licensee compliance programs, firearms trafficking training and support, firearms investigative task force projects, and the FBI's ballistic imaging automated examination system, that helped remove 114,300 weapons from the Nation's streets. In specific communities, the results have been even more dramatic.

New York City: Prior to the Federal Firearms Licensee Compliance program, only 29 of the 950 Federal Firearms Licenses (FFLs) in New York City were in compliance with local laws. The firearms task force has been effective in discouraging unqualified applicants. For example, of the 245 new applications received during the program, 234 withdrew, 5 were disap-

proved, 5 were approved, and 1 was pending investigation. Of the 473 renewal applications received, 347 were abandoned, 62 were approved, 22 were disapproved, and 7 were still under investigation. The program also has been effective in reducing the total number of FFLs. In 1991 there were 952 FFLs in New York City. By 1996 that number had been reduced to 259.

Gary, Indiana: Violent crime in Gary, Indiana, is increasing at an alarming rate. Last year, Gary had the highest per capita murder rate in the United States. BJA provided the city's Violent Crime Task Force with \$112,000 to target, investigate, and prosecute individuals committing violent crimes with firearms in and around the city. Since the inception of the grant in 1994, 130 firearms have been seized, 98 investigations have been initiated, and 44 arrests have been made.

Richmond, Virginia: A Firearms Investigative Task Force (FITF) was funded in Richmond in response to evidence that Virginia had become the primary source of guns used in crimes committed in New York City and Washington, D.C., and in response to the growing concern about violent and drug-related crime in Virginia. Since the program's creation in 1993, FITF has investigated 1,215 firearms violations, made 431 arrests, obtained 129 convictions (with many still pending in Federal court), seized 397 firearms, coordinated 333 firearms traces, provided assistance to the Virginia Department of State Police and to other State and local agencies, and gathered valuable intelligence on inter/intrastate illegal firearms violations.

West Virginia: In South Charleston, the West Virginia State Police continued the operations of the Firearms Violations Task Force in response to a significant rise in violent crime and illegal firearms trafficking in West Virginia. A comparison between the first and second years of project activity revealed a 70 percent increase in intelligence reports, an 84 percent increase in dealer contacts, a 43 percent increase in firearms seized or confiscated, and a 75 percent increase in persons arrested.

In addition to BJA's law enforcement efforts to prevent firearms-related violence, the agency also focuses its resources on controlling and preventing illegal drug activity. Through the Byrne Formula Program, BJA's drug enforcement initiatives contributed to the seizure of 379 tons of cocaine and 3,650 tons of marijuana. For every dollar of these funds invested in fighting drug trafficking, more than \$730 in illegal drugs has been seized before reaching America's neighborhoods.

BJA's law enforcement initiatives consistently demonstrate successful results because BJA collaborates with a wide range of Federal, State, and local agencies and national law enforcement constituent organizations. Because crime fighting is a team effort, BJA continues to work with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Police Executive Research Forum, the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the FBI, and local and State law enforcement agencies.

In FY 1996 BJA provided direct technical assistance and training to more than 220 jurisdictions throughout the country. Byrne Formula Grants fund all 56 States and U.S. Territories, with more than 950 interdiction projects and task forces. These activities are essential to crime reduction. In Arlington, Virginia, for example, the Metropolitan Area Drug Enforcement Task Force was earmarked \$2 million to continue the successful operations of local law enforcement agencies investigating illegal drug trafficking. During the first half of 1996, 76 investigations were initiated and 86 case investigations were closed; 57 search warrants were executed, resulting in 218 drug arrests and the seizure of firearms; 31 felony and

53 misdemeanor convictions were made following drug arrests; and 36 informants were deactivated while 23 new informants were developed.

Throughout FY 1996, BJA continued to fund effective law enforcement programs while creating new approaches to combating crime. The Comprehensive Homicide Initiative is a crucial new initiative designed to combat homicide and increase homicide clearance rates through innovative and comprehensive strategies that can be replicated in other jurisdictions. The cities of Richmond, Virginia, and Richmond, California, each received funding to focus prevention activities on the 39 broad homicide-reduction strategies of the IACP Murder Summit Report. The strategies target the underlying causes of homicide such as gang violence, domestic violence, violence associated with drug activity, and the availability of illegal firearms. The goal is to develop a comprehensive plan to improve the prevention, intervention, enforcement, and prosecution of homicide cases that can be replicated in other jurisdictions. The initiative brings together public agencies and private organizations as well as municipal, county, State, and Federal agencies.

The violence prevention efforts of Richmond, California show how maximizing Federal funding can promote successful results. Richmond was granted \$350,000 to hire more police officers, implement community-oriented policing, establish police substations in high crime areas, use more powerful computers and software, and work with the FBI and DEA to target violent drug offenders. Among the results are a 50 percent decrease in Richmond's homicide rate since 1995, a dramatic reduction in homicides in the city's Iron Triangle area (from 21 in 1995 to 8 in 1996), a decrease in homicide suspects between the ages of 15 to 24 (from 37 in 1993 to 12 in 1995), and a reduction in measurable violent crime (from 51 to 35 percent). The Richmond, California, Police Department also convened a Community Collaborative Violence Reduction Summit on June 17, 1996, to discuss housing issues, youth violence, substance abuse, and jobs and economic opportunities. Among the summit's youth-oriented results are an elementary school mentoring program,

FY 1996 RETURN ON THE INVESTMENT OF BIA BYRNE FORMULA FUNDS

OF BJA BYRNE FORMULA FUNDS				
☐ More than 216,500 offenders have been arrested.				
☐ More than 114,300 firearms and dangerous weapons have been seized.				
☐ Drug-related currency seized totaled \$87 million.				
☐ Drug-related currency and assets forfeited totaled \$318 million.				
☐ Cocaine seized was reported to be 379 tons worth \$8 billion.				
☐ Marijuana seized was reported to be 3,650 tons worth \$55 billion.				
☐ Marijuana plants destroyed totaled \$285 million.				
☐ Methamphetamine seized was reported to be worth \$425 million.				
For every dollar of BJA Byrne Formula Program funds invested in fighting drug trafficking, more than \$730 in illegal drugs has been prevented from reaching America's neighborhoods.				

a youth job-training program, a probationofficer-on-campus program, a youth court in which minor offenses by youth are judged by their peers, a Just for Kids afterschool program, and a youth citizens' police academy and explorer program.

BJA continues to focus its crime control initiatives on children. Although overall crime in the United States has decreased recently, murders committed by juveniles have increased by 145 percent during the past 10 years. In FY 1996, BJA provided the Portland, Oregon, and Albuquerque, New Mexico, District Attorney's offices with \$200,000 and \$150,000, respectively, to continue the Gang Organized Crime Narcotics Violence Enforcement Project. Since the program's inception, 41 gang members in Portland have been referred for prosecution, and more than \$10,000 in weapons and drugs have been seized. In Albuquerque, 92 gang members have been arrested, and 89 have been referred for prosecution.

Another innovative criminal justice program developed for children is BJA's Children of Arrestees: Model Procedures for

Law Enforcement. BJA developed this program to address a gap in the criminal justice process. Currently there is no national, standard operating procedure for dealing with children who are left behind when the responsible parent or guardian is arrested. BJA is working with local police and social service agencies to develop formal procedures that will limit the impact of an arrest on the children who are left behind.

Crime and violence in America is not onedimensional, and the initiatives used to combat crime and violence must be broadbased and innovative. BJA has been in the forefront in developing and implementing comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional crime control initiatives. BJA will continue to evaluate programs for their potential and implement effective, comprehensive law enforcement initiatives in the coming years.

IMPROVING THE EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFICIENCY OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

11

Integral to the system's effectiveness is a strong, community-wide network consisting of law enforcement, prosecutors, the courts, corrections officials, health and mental health providers, the religious community, schools, legislative bodies, and the private sector, among others.

//

Aileen Adams, Director, Office for Victims of Crime mericans are calling for an effective and efficient criminal justice system. In FY 1996, BJA answered that call through the development and demonstration of innovative programs that support a comprehensive community justice system.

The mission of BJA's Adjudication Branch is to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of all aspects of the adjudication process the courts, prosecution, and defense through the creation of partnerships involving every component of the criminal justice system. BJA-funded initiatives focus on community justice, including a collaborative effort with the Red Hook Community Justice Center in Brooklyn, New York, and the Community Justice Assistance Center in New York City to establish community justice assistance centers nationwide. Other major areas of program activity are enhancing the operation of courts, ensuring access to justice, developing pretrial and post-conviction programs, and training State and local judges, prosecutors, and defense attorneys.

A key component of community justice is neighborhood-based community prosecution. Like community policing, the goal is to solve public safety problems as defined by the community. Many prosecutors are beginning to rethink their roles and focus on preventing crime and creating safer neighborhoods. These prosecutors recognize that criminal procedures alone do little to break the cycle of violence and that criminal activity can be reduced when neighborhoods are improved. Prosecutors are engaging in drug education in schools, coordinating projects to develop alternative activities for juveniles, and applying civil sanctions and city statutes to rid communities of crack houses.

In most jurisdictions, community prosecution is still experimental, but the few jurisdictions that have taken the time to recognize the benefits of this approach are faring well. In Portland, Oregon, community prosecution was first implemented in the early 1990s and today it benefits many communities. Similar successes have been reported by jurisdictions throughout the country, ranging from suburban and rural areas such as Howard County, Maryland, to mid-size and large urban cities such as Kings County (Brooklyn, New York), Marion County (Indiana), and Middlesex County (Massachusetts).

In Austin, Texas, the city's community justice program had produced dramatic results:

- ☐ A 19 percent decline in Austin and Travis County's crime rate during the program's first 2 years, a more dramatic decline than reported in any other city in Texas or the United States.
- ☐ The development of an infrastructure for citizen participation in criminal justice processes, including a training course for citizens on criminal justice issues.
- ☐ Sponsorship of a series of community forums to hear citizens' concerns about neighborhood crime. The forums spawned the development and implementation of a neighborhood cleanup program using adult and juvenile probationers in partnership with community groups.
- ☐ A successful campaign to amend legislation that mandates the addition of a victims' rights advocate to

WORKING TOGETHER TO CREATE A COMPREHENSIVE COMMUNITY JUSTICE SYSTEM

BJA is assisting in the development of the Community Justice Assistance Center to help courts and communities throughout the Nation close the gaps between them. Organizations such as the American Prosecutors Research Institute, the National Center for State Courts, the National Institute of Corrections, the National Legal Aid Defender Association, and the Center for Effective Public Policy serve as partners in a consortium of technical assistance providers whose efforts will be coordinated through New York's Midtown Community Court. Plans for the center include mediation, job training, victim assistance, legal education, and youth development, as well as an extensive range of on-site services such as drug treatment, health care, and domestic violence counseling.

community justice task forces across the State.

The nucleus of the community justice system is the court. To improve the court system, BJA is experimenting with several innovative programs, including the Delay Reduction Program in Delaware, which reduces case processing delays by using quasi-judicial personnel to substitute for trial judges at noncritical court-required events. BJA also funded the creation of a mandatory, 1-day pre-adjudicatory Juvenile Weapons Court for juveniles arrested on weapons-related offenses.

Another crucial program is the Models of Effective Court-Based Service Delivery to Children. A number of court cases involve multiple family problems such as child abuse and neglect and domestic violence. The program's goal is to improve collaboration among State trial, juvenile, and family courts and among public health, mental health, and social services to address multiple family problems in a comprehensive way.

In FY 1996, BJA also provided funding to continue effective court-based programs. The Prosecutor's Pre-Charging Diversion Program in Little Rock, Arkansas, was awarded \$25,000 to continue to provide youthful offenders with an option to formal adjudication in juvenile court. The program lowered the recidivism rate of youthful offenders, resulting in a reduction in crimes committed and in the number of juvenile court cases in the juvenile justice system.

BJA also began a new initiative, the Health Care Fraud Prosecution Program, to address one of the biggest problems plaguing America and America's courtrooms. The General Accounting Office estimates that the health care industry consumes \$1 trillion of the Nation's budget and about 10 percent — \$100 billion is lost to fraud, waste, and abuse. Most health care industry fraud occurs at the State level. Through the National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG), the Health Care Fraud Prosecution Program provides training and technical assistance to State attorneys general and to local prosecutors on investigating and prosecuting health care fraud. NAAG also provides direct technical assistance to the health care fraud units of Maryland, Minnesota, and Wisconsin to develop model strategies and techniques.

In addition to implementing innovative pilot programs in FY 1996, BJA also continued funding formal research and in-depth analysis on improving criminal justice services, eliminating racial bias, improving the effectiveness of sentencing guidelines and mandatory minimums, and improving the interaction between State and tribal courts.

CHANGING THE COURSE OF CORRECTIONS

he BJA has been a leader in funding corrections research and program development for several years. During the past 5 years, BJA has effectively changed the course of corrections by forging a multidimensional approach in American corrections that includes alternative sanctions and treatment for offenders, putting prisoners to work, and helping them make the transition from incarceration to the community.

In 1996, the total correctional population in the United States reached almost 1.6 million — an increase of 4.4 percent from 1995. Since 1985, America's prison population has more than doubled, costing Americans more than \$30 billion a year. Accordingly, BJA has invested considerable resources in researching, developing, and implementing effective and safe incarceration alternatives. In 1990 the Crime Control Act provided BJA with the authority to establish the Correctional Options Demonstration Program, a comprehensive program to help State and local governments respond to the pervasive problems of prison and jail overcrowding and the high recidivism rate of youthful offenders incarcerated in traditional correctional facilities.

Since FY 1992, BJA has provided financial and technical assistance to State and local governments and to private, nonprofit organizations to support the planning, development, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of demonstration projects that test a broad range of alternatives to traditional modes of incarceration. These projects assist offenders in pursuing a lawful and productive transition to the community following release by providing

MARYLAND

An impact evaluation of Maryland's Correctional Options Program for youthful offenders, conducted by the National Council on Crime and Delinquency, found that the program successfully reduced time spent in prison for its participants by about 4 months, delivered a diverse array of intense services, and achieved considerable cost savings without jeopardizing public safety.

security, discipline, and comprehensive services, including diagnosis, counseling, substance abuse treatment, education, job training, and placement assistance while under correctional supervision. The projects also link services in the community with work opportunities to promote the development of offenders' skills. All BJA correctional options demonstration projects have four basic goals: reducing incarceration costs, relieving prison and jail crowding, reducing recidivism rates for youthful offenders, and advancing correctional practices.

One project that meets these four goals is the Mobile Diversionary Drug Treatment Program. BJA awarded the Alabama Department of Corrections \$370,000 to create the program, which offers offenders immediate treatment for their addiction. The 12-month, three-phase drug court includes a community track of intensive outpatient treatment for drug offenders and an institutional track for more recalci-

VERMONT

Vermont's Correctional Options Program sought to redistribute the State's correctional populations by broadening its sentencing options and addressing offender needs and risk factors. Since the program began in 1993, Vermont's prison admissions and court dispositions to prison have declined substantially, especially for non-violent offenders. These decreases were absorbed by sentences to less-expensive, treatmentoriented community supervision programs. Vermont's prison is now highly concentrated with violent offenders who pose the greatest risk to public safety.

trant drug offenders. Since the program's inception in FY 1993, more than 595 potential participants have been interviewed, 305 offenders have entered the diversion program, and more than 100 participants have graduated.

In FY 1996, BJA convened a focus group with representatives from successful State and local correctional options projects to obtain their insights on the further development of the Correctional Options Demonstration Program. As a result of that meeting, BJA formed the National Technical Assistance and Dissemination Initiative for Correctional Options to encourage States to support new correctional options projects with Byrne Formula Grants and to use these Byrne Formula funds to leverage additional funding sources.

BJA also funded impact evaluations of corrections options demonstration sites to identify model projects for other communities to emulate. Findings from the evaluations indicate that offenders who are admitted to these programs pose considerable challenges to treatment efforts because of their youth, lack of education, poor job skills, low rates of employment, lack of social stability, history of drug abuse, and extensive record of prior arrests and convictions. However, these projects are successful in delivering badly needed

services to a high-risk offender population and offering far more services and supervision than normally provided. Florida, Maryland, New Hampshire, and Vermont have succeeded in reaching their goal of cost effectiveness by targeting offenders who otherwise would have spent a considerable amount of time in custody.

The second part of BJA's corrections agenda provides a work and job skills ethic to offenders who are not given the opportunity to participate in an alternative corrections program. BJA supports the Prison Industries Enhancement (PIE) Program, which encourages joint ventures between private industries and State prisons to make goods and services using inmate labor. To date, the program has generated \$6,111,453 in taxes and \$10,458,796 to offset incarceration costs. Another \$3,449,218 has been generated for family support and \$3,644,557 for victims of inmate crimes.

The third and perhaps most important part of the corrections process is transitioning the offender from incarceration to the community. Because 90 percent of offenders someday will be released and return to the community, a great deal of research and program development is needed at this stage. In FY 1996, BJA provided more than \$1 million in funding to various sites to reduce recidivism and achieve a positive reintegration into the community.

One such project is the innovative Opportunity to Succeed (OPTS) program, which was created to help addicted exoffenders break this cycle of recidivism and become contributing members of their communities. This multiline demonstration project provides a unique blend of intensive services for addicted ex-offenders by building on the drug treatment they received while incarcerated. The theory behind OPTS is that ex-offenders who have reduced their drug use through treatment are more likely to turn their lives around if given a coherent plan of aftercare drug treatment and other support, particularly employment and training, health and mental health services, drug-free housing, and parenting and family skills training.

A second transition project funded by BJA assists former offenders as they reintegrate

into free society by combining substance abuse treatment and family counseling, GED education and academic enhancement, training in conflict resolution and relationship skills, and job training and placement.

Special Programs

11

This is a test not just for law enforcement, but for all law-abiding Americans. With this step [the Church Arson Program], the national government will be helping local police not only to investigate arson and apprehend the guilty, but to help physically protect churches from harm in the first place.

//

President Bill Clinton, July 2, 1996 n addition to the Byrne Formula
Grant Program and the Byrne
Discretionary Program, BJA also
funds projects through its Special
Programs Division. Grants from the
Special Programs Division address specific,
large-scale problems such as natural disasters, motor vehicle thefts, Federal emergencies, church arson, denial of Federal
benefits, and public safety officer deaths.

Most Americans place a priceless value on the lives and contributions of public safety officers who serve their communities in potentially dangerous circumstances and want the courage and selflessness shown by these officers to be recognized. As a society, America wants to take care of these professionals. BJA is doing its part through the Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Program. The mission of PSOB is to assist public safety officers, their agencies, and their families before, during, and after a tragedy occurs. The PSOB Program is designed to compensate spouses and children or parents of public safety officers killed or disabled in the line of duty. Since the program's inception in 1977, 4,000 families have received approximately \$300 million in financial assistance. In FY 1996, PSOB increased its case closures by 30 percent from the previous year. A total of 184 claims were approved in 1996, providing \$24.2 million in financial assistance to the families of public safety officers killed in the line of duty.

In addition to financial support, the program provides moral support and, when necessary, referrals to organizations such as Concerns of Police Survivors (COPS), which provide crisis intervention and long-term support for surviving family

members and coworkers of deceased public safety officers. In FY 1996, BJA provided \$300,000 to COPS to support crisis intervention and grief counseling and to provide regional training for law enforcement representatives on line-of-duty deaths.

Because of the tragic circumstances surrounding the PSOB Program, BJA staff receive training on helping individuals suffering from grief, critical incident stress, and post-traumatic stress disorder with sensitivity and professionalism.

BJA also provides funds for the **Emergency Federal Law Enforcement** Assistance (EFLEA) Program, which authorizes the Attorney General to provide funds, equipment, training, intelligence information, and personnel to help alleviate emergency situations. EFLEA addresses extraordinary circumstances in which State and local resources have been completely exhausted or are inadequate to deal with a law enforcement emergency. Although no applications were received during 1996, EFLEA awards have assisted in a number of law enforcement emergencies in the past, including the Branch Davidian standoff in Waco, Texas, the San Francisco earthquake in December 1989, Hurricane Hugo, and the Los Angeles riots in May 1992.

Another special program implemented in 1996 was prompted by the alarming string of church arson incidents in several southern States. President Clinton announced the new initiative, called the Church Arson Prevention Grant Program in July 1996 and made \$6 million available to county governments in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland,

Mississippi, Oklahoma, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia.

Because of the urgent need to prevent further arson, BJA created and started this new program, disseminated grant applications, and distributed awards in less than 3 months. In only 2 weeks, BJA processed and distributed more than \$2.7 million to 587 county governments.

In addition to providing funds to prevent church arson, BJA also formed a partnership with Community Research Associates, Inc., the National Sheriffs' Association, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency to create a multifaceted training and technical assistance program that will enhance the capacity of States and their local jurisdictions to develop community-based strategies to prevent church arson.

The Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Act (MVTPA) of 1994 authorizes the Attorney General to develop, in cooperation with the States, the Watch Your Car Program, a national voluntary motor vehicle theft prevention program. A cooperative initiative among the States, local governments, and BJA, the program is designed to reduce the 1.5 million motor vehicle thefts each year in the United States. It allows an owner of a motor vehicle to display a decal on the vehicle to alert police that the vehicle is not normally driven between the hours of 1 and 5 a.m. Motorists also may choose to display another decal to signify that the vehicle is not normally driven across or in the proximity of international land borders or ports.

BJA also manages two important clearing-houses through the Special Program
Division: the Denial of Federal Benefits
Program Clearinghouse and the
Defense Procurement Fraud Debarment
Clearinghouse. The Denial of Federal
Benefits Program provides Federal and
State courts with the ability to deny all or
selected Federal benefits to individuals convicted of drug trafficking or drug possession. The sanction helps ensure that individuals found guilty of violating the
Controlled Substances Act forfeit their
claims to most taxpayer-supported economic benefits, such as Federal loans

and licenses, and to other privileges. The Defense Procurement Fraud Clearinghouse establishes a single point of contact for contractors or subcontractors of the U.S. Department of Defense to confirm promptly whether potential employees have been convicted of defense contract-related fraud or another felony. These combined programs have processed more than 3,500 cases related to drug trafficking, drug possession, and defense fraud debarment.

In FY 1996, BJA supported three additional special initiatives that are managed separately because they are such large, broadbased programs. The first program, the Regional Information Sharing Systems (RISS) Program, is part of BJA's collaborative law enforcement efforts. The RISS Program supports Federal, State, and local law enforcement efforts to combat criminal activity that extend across jurisdictional boundaries. Six regional RISS projects provide a broad range of intelligence exchange and related investigative support services to member criminal investigative agencies nationwide. The projects focus primarily on narcotics trafficking, violent crime, criminal gang activity, and organized crime. In FY 1996, the RISS Program completed electronic connectivity among all projects, as well as among other systems, to better facilitate the collection, dissemination, and analysis of criminal intelligence. Also in FY 1996, the RISS projects worked with the National Major Gang Task Force to encourage the sharing and dissemination of gang intelligence information between the law enforcement and corrections communities.

The second program is the National White Collar Crime Center. In addition to drug trafficking and organized crime interdictions. BJA focuses its law enforcement efforts on economic crimes. The National White Collar Crime Center provides a national support system for the prevention, investigation, and prosecution of multijurisdictional economic crimes such as investment fraud, telemarketing fraud, boiler room operations, securities fraud, commodities fraud, and advanced-fee loan schemes. The Center's mission is to provide investigative support services to agencies fighting this crime, operate a national training and research institute focusing on economic crime issues, and

//

The Church Arson
Prevention Program
has pulled everyone—church leaders,
pastors, firefighters,
law enforcement, and
citizens—together.
Now, the community
has branched out
beyond the Church
Watch Program and
reported any and all
suspicious behavior,
preventing crime
before it happens.

//

Major Joseph S. Momier, Jr., Catawba County, North Carolina, Sheriff's Office develop the Center as a national resource in combating economic crime.

Finally, BJA supported the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP) in 1996. Under SCAAP, BJA provides Federal funds to States and localities to help pay the costs of incarcerating criminal aliens who are in the United States illegally and who have been convicted and sentenced for felony offenses. In 1995, SCAAP's first year, only States were eligible for funding, and BJA awarded \$128.7 million to 44 States and the District of Columbia as partial reimbursement for the costs of incarcerating more than 37,000 criminal aliens. In FY 1996, both States and local governments received reimbursement totaling \$500 million, less administrative costs.

Investing in State and Local Jurisdictions

//

Delinquency prevention will work in the long run, but real change will take time. We have already made significant progress toward the establishment of a comprehensive, nationwide approach to delinquency prevention—one that puts control of resources and decisions at the local level.

//

Shay Bilchik,
Administrator, Office of
Juvenile Justice
And Delinquency
Prevention

he Bureau of Justice Assistance invests significantly in local communities and community partnerships that support the development of comprehensive systems of community justice. BJA acknowledges that State and local jurisdictions are essential to reducing crime. In FY 1996, BJA administered the Local Law Enforcement Block Grants (LLEBG) Program, which helps State and local jurisdictions control crime and improve public safety strategies.

In FY 1996, BJA distributed LLEBG grants using a formula based on Uniform Crime Report data on violent crime covering the past 3 years. BJA made direct awards to units of local governments that qualified for \$10,000 or more. The largest grants went to New York City (\$33,015,183) and Chicago (\$18,351,721). Jurisdictions that did not receive direct awards were eligible to receive funds or increased services from their State, which received a base amount in addition to the funds allocated for jurisdictions that did not qualify for at least \$10,000.

Local jurisdictions used their grants for any of the following initiatives:

- ☐ Hiring, training, and employing additional law enforcement officers and necessary support personnel.
- ☐ Enhancing security measures in and around schools or other special risk areas for crime incidents.
- ☐ Establishing or supporting drug courts.
- ☐ Enhancing the adjudication of cases involving violent offenders.
- ☐ Establishing a multijurisdictional task force, particularly in rural areas.

- Establishing cooperative crime prevention programs.
- Defraying the cost of indemnification insurance for law enforcement officers.

BJA distributed more than \$400 million in FY 1996 to almost 3,000 local jurisdictions and 56 States, U.S. Territories, and the District of Columbia in only 6 months. To process the unusually large number of applications, BJA created the Automated Application and Award Process. For the first time, BJA allowed jurisdictions to submit their one-page applications via modem or on diskette. All applications, even those submitted on paper, were scanned and stored electronically, significantly reducing paperwork during the review and award process.

In addition to the day-to-day program assistance available to grant recipients, LLEBG Division staff are currently developing a long-term technical assistance plan. The LLEBG Program would like to provide grantees with technical assistance for equipment procurement, establish peer-topeer technical assistance in conjunction with the Drug Courts Program Office, replicate the Automated Application and Award System at State levels, and establish automated grant monitoring procedures and standards to increase efficiency. The LLEBG Division will work with State administrative agencies and direct grantees to provide information necessary for facilitating systemwide planning and ongoing criminal justice efforts.

The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) is in the process of selecting an organization to

THE BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE: GAINING EFFICIENCY THROUGH TECHNOLOGY

The LLEBG Program was authorized by the FY 1996 Omnibus Appropriations Act passed in late April 1996. In a fiscal year shortened by Government shutdowns, BJA managed to design, staff, and organize the LLEBG Program and disbursed all grants in just 6 months. To process the unusually large number of applications BJA received, the Bureau reinvented its grant award process. For the first time, BJA automated its application and award process, significantly reducing paperwork and increasing productivity for the grant monitoring process.

conduct a formal evaluation of the program. The evaluation design, a joint effort of NIJ and BJA, will analyze the use of funds by units of local and State governments, the

decisionmaking models used by jurisdictions to allocate funds, selected process evaluations of local programs, and the methods used by BJA to allocate and distribute funds.

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND EVALUATION: CREATING A MODEL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

11

These data are critical to Federal, State, and local policymakers in combating crime and ensuring that justice is both efficient and even handed.

//

Jan Chaiken, Director, Bureau of Justice Statistics n FY 1996, BJA focused its efforts on continued research, evaluation, and training in all program areas. BJA funds a tremendous amount of research on what works in the criminal justice system, and evaluates programs to determine how they can be improved. The goal of BJA's evaluation component is to identify programs of proven effectiveness and to disseminate information about them so that they can be replicated in other jurisdictions. Results of BJA program evaluations guide the formulation of policy and programs with Federal, State, and local criminal justice agencies.

Because applicants for Byrne Formula and Discretionary Grant Program funds are required to provide evaluations and assessments of their programs, BJA created the State and Local Evaluation Development Program to help build an evaluation capacity in each Byrne-funded locality. This supplemental technical assistance component will facilitate program and project evaluations, resulting in the implementation of more effective projects in all 56 States and U.S. Territories.

In FY 1996, BJA funded Community Research Associates (CRA) and the Justice Research and Statistics Association (JRSA) to plan and organize the trainings, conferences, and workshops, and to distribute information in all BJA-funded localities.

The State and Local Evaluation Program focuses on enhancing State and local criminal justice program evaluation capacities. The project provides a forum for sharing information on developing effective monitoring, reporting, and evaluation systems. In addition to funding these research orga-

nizations, BJA also invests heavily in hiring practitioners to respond to Byrne Program requests for evaluation assistance.

BJA combines this broad-based technical assistance with project-specific support. BJA's State and Local Training and Technical Assistance Program provides training and technical assistance to States, units of local government, and recognized Native American tribes for developing and implementing comprehensive systemwide strategies to prevent and combat drugrelated and violent crime and to improve the functioning of State and local criminal justice systems. Training projects funded in FY 1996 are described below.

The Interstate Firearms Trafficking Compact, a BJA-funded, "train-the-trainers" program, provides training in 14 states and the District of Columbia. The program provides police trainers with a curriculum to train local law enforcement officers about the benefits and techniques involved in conducting firearms traces.

National Funding Collaborative on Violence Prevention provides funding (in partnership with foundations and corporations), training, and technical assistance to reduce crime and violence in 12 public housing sites and nearby areas.

A \$275,000 grant was awarded to the Institute for Intergovernmental Research to continue conducting specialized training (including anti-terrorism training) of law enforcement agencies, with particular emphasis on multi-agency coordination, in the areas of threat identification, vulnerability assessment, preparation, response and recovery operations, and investigation.

The Rural Law Enforcement Agency Resource Development program provides technical assistance and training to rural law enforcement agencies that serve a population of less than 25,000 people. In one year, more than 4,000 inquiries were received via the Internet from law enforcement agencies seeking assistance and more than 250 rural agencies were brought online. More than 700 hours of technical assistance were provided via the Internet, and onsite training was provided to sheriffs, police departments, and local prosecutors.

The International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) trains local law enforcement officers, in conjunction with the INS, to detect criminal activity by illegal aliens, recognize fraudulent documents and smuggling methods, and identify alien organized crime groups. In FY 1996, IACP and the INS trained nearly 300 officers from 91 law enforcement agencies. Since the program's first sessions in May 1991, more than 1,300 officers nationwide have been trained, and a 750-page reference manual has been produced.

The Crime and Justice Research Institute provides the technical assistance to facilitate the planning, implementation, and evaluation of BJA-funded Comprehensive Homicide Initiatives.

The Tribal Courts Program was funded to improve the interrelations of State, tribal, and Federal courts, and to help tribal courts create an effective and efficient justice system in their jurisdiction.

CRA provides nationwide training and technical assistance in support of national drug control and violent crime prevention efforts by improving State and local violence prevention, intervention, community empowerment, drug enforcement, and criminal justice systems.

The Community-Focused Courts Initiative strengthens court and community relations by identifying and studying models of collaboration between the courts and the public. To increase cooperation, in 1996 the National Center for State Courts convened a meeting with representatives of Federal agencies and private foundations.

The Community Justice Assistance Center provides technical assistance to courts and communities throughout the Nation seeking to establish community justice centers.

The American Prosecutors Research Institute provides training and technical assistance to local prosecutors for planning and implementing community prosecution programs. In 1996, two regional community prosecution technical assistance workshops were held to train prosecutors from 20 sites in the strategic and implementation of community prosecution programs.

The SEARCH National Training and Technical Assistance Program (NTTAP), created in 1986, offers assistance to criminal justice agencies across the country in various areas. NTTAP helps agencies to improve the general level of knowledge and understanding of criminal justice information management, improve information management through the use of computer technology among local and State justice agencies, and enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of State and local justice practitioners by developing technical resources and demonstrating the operational benefits of technology.

BJA also funds project-specific assessments and technological advancements:

The Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement was awarded a grant for more than \$45,000 to test the validity of a study designed to determine whether Nebraska is using crime victim assistance funds efficiently and effectively. Once the Victim Services Needs Assessment Instrument has been tested and finalized, it will be made available to other States.

The Prison Gang Intelligence System continues to counter criminal activity by organized gangs in State and Federal penitentiaries and their control over the criminal activity of street gangs.

The Tennessee Bureau of Investigation continued the Statewide Intelligence System Sharing Program to develop a high-speed database and computer network to exchange intelligence information on multijurisdictional illegal drug trafficking and violent criminal organizations. In addition, the Statewide Intelligence Sharing System

Program is being developed in Connecticut, North Dakota, and Wisconsin.

The Model Clandestine Drug Laboratory Enforcement Program helps State and local officials develop policies and procedures related to the use of hazardous chemicals in manufacturing illegal drugs. In FY 1996, 9 training programs reached 450 policymakers from 98 Federal, State, and local public safety agencies across the country.

The Model Internet Systems for State and Local Criminal Justice Agencies program helps State and local criminal justice agencies access the Internet and electronically share and publish criminal justice data and information.

APPENDIX

TABLE 1:

FY 1996 Byrne Formula Grant Program Awards, Total Active Subgrants, and Total Active Subgrant Awards

State	FY 1996 Formula Awards	Total Active Subgrants	Total Active Subgrant Awards
ALABAMA	7,737,323	78	14,395,348
ALASKA	2,153,324	27	2,975,209
AMERICAN SAMOA	908.737	41	2,844,844
ARIZONA	7,514,323	118	16,579,366
ARKANSAS	5,008,324	87	10,669,637
CALIFORNIA	49,789,323	233	99,461,812
COLORADO	6,867,324	76	7,879,648
CONNECTICUT	6,278,324	41	7,133,887
DELAWARE	2,308,324	38	4,075,640
DIST. OF COLUMBIA	2,098,324	32	4,304,000
FLORIDA	22,779,323	241	26,228,912
GEORGIA		250	
	12,119,323		25,448,517
GUAM	1,251,000	17	1,106,768
HAWAII	2,705,400	48	4,686,153
IDAHO	2,968,324	103	5,928,756
ILLINOIS	19,378,323	176	31,219,416
INDIANA	10,106,323	194	21,221,111
IOWA	5,589,324	187	12,300,260
KANSAS	5,164,324	198	8,515,866
KENTUCKY	7,131,323	101	13,289,396
LOUISIANA	7,885,323	276	13,923,391
MAINE	3,133,324	17	2,560,989
MARYLAND	8,953,323	195	14,131,809
MASSACHUSETTS	9,467,100	159	10,207,744
MICHIGAN	15,892,323	152	16,927,540
MINNESOTA	8,274,323	170	12,950,961
MISSISSIPPI	5,341,324	104	7,142,699
MISSOURI	9,373,323	186	17,641,346
MONTANA	2,540,324	62	4,694,045
NEBRASKA	3,725,324	36	5,026,673
NEVADA	3,468,324	78	6,569,932
NEW HAMPSHIRE	2,974,324	97	7,188,850
NEW JERSEY	13,431,323	106	24,540,946
NEW MEXICO	3,773,324	100	6,701,331
NEW YORK	29,294,323	85	5,387,969
NORTH CAROLINA	12,143,323	115	19,008,825
NORTH CAROLINA NORTH DAKOTA	2,203,324	122	
			4,817,651
N. MARIANAS	447,587	6	635,447
OHIO	18,373,323	365	25,458,833
OKLAHOMA	6,252,324	192	10,015,884
OREGON	5,986,324	69	5,758,456
PENNSYLVANIA	19,841,323	374	25,506,501
PUERTO RICO	6,814,324	42	9,328,212
RHODE ISLAND	2,758,324	107	4,147,066
SOUTH CAROLINA	6,879,323	158	14,930,297
SOUTH DAKOTA	2,331,324	63	3,322,031
TENNESSEE	9,214,323	107	10,431,256
TEXAS	29,617,323	146	36,987,417
UTAH	4,165,324	91	8,318,040
VERMONT	1,872,000	22	1,259,029
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,374,324	3	149,340
VIRGINIA	11,342,323	245	12,244,373
WASHINGTON	9,474,323	179	18,528,202
WEST VIRGINIA	4,032,324	67	4,747,635
WISCONSIN	9,070,323	90	10,833,713
WYOMING	1,952,324	6	704,090
TOTAL	473,530,000	6,678	702,993,069

^{*} The length of subgrants from the States is normally 3 years. However, some can be extended. The "Total Active Subgrant Awards" column represents money that has been awarded for old or new subgrants still active during the FY reporting time from October 1, 1995, through September 30, 1996.

TABLE 2:

FY 1996 Byrne Discretionary Awards (Byrne and other Funding), Total Active Grants, and Total Active Grant Awards

State	FY 1996 Discretionary Awards	Total Active Grants	Total Active Grant Awards*
ALABAMA	370,000	8	3,328,371
ALASKA	0	2	723,667
ARIZONA	2,222,465	16	14,359,960
ARKANSAS	486,000	4	1,457,875
CALIFORNIA	9,050,733	83	62,146,027
COLORADO	472,000	6	5,146,864
CONNECTICUT	435,000	12	7,475,515
DELAWARE	700.000	5	5.068.532
DIST. OF COLUMBIA	10,785,066	43	31,116,482
FLORIDA	4,388,514	43	29,510,920
GEORGIA	19,900,000	17	31,109,256
HAWAII	0	2	875,000
IDAHO	0	3	118,506
ILLINOIS	634,398	24	16,532,776
INDIANA	148,000	9	5,724,022
IOWA	61,000	3	1,949,995
KANSAS	35.000	7	4,482,361
KENTUCKY	36,000	7	1,330,624
LOUISIANA	915,633	10	4,622,201
MAINE	236,000	5	1,200,000
MARYLAND	900,751	18	
			9,729,641
MASSACHUSETTS	2,097,249	15	14,516,644
MICHIGAN	339,000	13	5,492,053
MINNESOTA	149,000	6	2,387,190
MISSISSIPPI	0	4	1,312,891
MISSOURI	2,747,900	13	14,583,600
MONTANA	150,000	3	708,922
NEBRASKA	81,612	6	4,851,191
NEVADA	1,120,000	5	2,236,849
NEW HAMPSHIRE	200,000	5	5,199,578
NEW JERSEY	221,000	12	7,287,197
NEW MEXICO	371,028	9	1,692,761
NEW YORK	1,813,759	22	8,143,511
NORTH CAROLINA	1,495,381	10	4,019,300
NORTH DAKOTA	120,000	4	700,239
OHIO	35,000	14	5,912,314
OKLAHOMA	120,000	4	1,945,000
OREGON	349,000	12	3,468,535
PENNSYLVANIA	3,147,773	26	23,446,851
RHODE ISLAND	36,000	2	1,253,261
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	13	8,763,378
SOUTH DAKOTA	36,000	3	748,967
TENNESSEE	3,046,900	13	14,977,578
TEXAS	694,000	17	13,710,404
UTAH	400,000	4	4,056,846
VERMONT	75,000	5	1,542,643
VIRGINIA	5,294,405	47	25,020,376
WASHINGTON	669,000	16	8,247,692
WEST VIRGINIA	3,883,642	7	9,280,791
WISCONSIN	0	13	2,794,581
WYOMING	0	1	450,000
TOTAL	80,469,209**	651	436,759,738

^{*} The "Total Active Grant Awards" column represents Byrne Discretionary money awarded for old or new grants still active during the FY reporting time from October 1, 1995, through September 30, 1996.

^{**} This Number represents \$60 million appropriated for Byrne Discretionary plus funds from other Office of Justice Programs, bureaus, other federal agencies, and trust funds.

BJA LEGISLATIVE PURPOSE AREAS

he Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 established 21 purpose areas (listed below) that define the nature and scope of programs and projects that might be funded under the Byrne Formula Grant Program (recently expanded to 26 purpose areas). These areas in toto provide substantial authorization for programs that address drug control, violent and serious crime, all aspects of criminal justice processing including incarceration and treatment of offenders, and general improvements in justice system operations.

- Demand-reduction education programs in which law enforcement officers participate.
- 2. Multijurisdictional task force programs that integrate Federal, State, and local drug enforcement agencies and prosecutors for the purpose of enhancing interagency coordination and intelligence and facilitating multijurisdictional investigations.
- Programs that target the domestic sources of controlled and illegal substances, such as precursor chemicals, diverted pharmaceuticals, clandestine laboratories, and cannabis cultivations.
- 4. Community and neighborhood programs that assist citizens in preventing and controlling drug abuse and drug-related crime, including special programs that address the problems of crimes against the elderly and special programs for rural jurisdictions.
- 5. Disrupting illicit commerce in stolen goods and property.

- 6. Improving the investigation and prosecution of white-collar crime, organized crime, public corruption crime, and fraud against the Federal Government, with priority attention to cases involving drug-related official corruption.
- 7. a. Improving the operational effectiveness of law enforcement through the use of crime analysis techniques, street sales enforcement, schoolyard violator programs, and gang-related and low-income housing drug control programs.
- b. Developing and implementing antiterrorism plans for deep draft ports, international airports, and other important facilities.
- 8. Career criminal prosecution programs, including the development of model drug control legislation.
- Financial investigative programs that target the identification of money laundering operations and assess funds obtained through illegal drug trafficking, including the development of proposed model legislation and financial information-sharing systems.
- 10. Improving the operational effectiveness of the court process by expanding prosecutorial, defender, and judicial resources and implementing court delay reduction programs.
- Programs designed to provide additional public correctional resources and improve the corrections system,

- including treatment in prisons and jails, intensive supervision programs, and long-range corrections and sentencing strategies.
- 12. Prison industry projects designed to place inmates in a realistic working and training environment that will enable them to acquire marketable skills and make financial payments for restitution to their victims, support of their own families, and support of themselves in the institution.
- 13. Providing programs that identify and meet the treatment needs of adult and juvenile drug- dependent and alcohol-dependent offenders.
- 14. Developing and implementing programs to provide assistance to jurors and witnesses as well as assistance (other than compensation) to victims of crime.
- 15. a. Developing programs to improve drug control technology, such as pretrial drug testing programs; programs to provide for the identification, assessment, referral to treatment, case management, and monitoring of drug-dependent offenders; and enhancement of State and local forensics laboratories.
- b. Criminal justice information systems to assist law enforcement, prosecution, courts, and corrections organizations (including automated fingerprint identification systems).
- 16. Innovative programs that demonstrate new and different approaches to enforcement, prosecution, and adjudication of drug offenses and other serious crimes.
- 17. Addressing the problems of drug trafficking and illegal manufacture of controlled substances in public housing.
- 18. Improving the criminal and juvenile justice systems' response to domestic and family violence, including spousal abuse, child abuse, and abuse of the elderly.

- 19. Drug control evaluation programs that State and local units of government may use to evaluate programs and projects directed at State drug control activities.
- 20. Providing alternatives to prevent detention, jail, and prison for persons who pose no danger to the community.
- 21. Programs in which the primary goal is to strengthen urban enforcement and prosecution efforts targeted at street drug sales.
- 22. Programs for the prosecution of driving-while-intoxicated charges and the enforcement of other laws relating to alcohol use and the operation of motor vehicles.
- 23. Programs that address the need for effective bindover systems for the prosecution of violent 16- and 17-year-old juveniles in courts with jurisdiction over adults. [Certain violent crimes, including murder and felonies committed with firearms, are specified.]
- 24. Law enforcement and prevention programs that relate to gangs or youth at risk for involvement in gangs.
- 25. Developing or improving a forensic laboratory's capability to analyze DNA for identification purposes. [Funding in this area requires adherence to (or the promise to adhere to) regulations that will be developed and disseminated by the Attorney General with the assistance of the FBI and the National Institute of Justice during the next year.]
- 26. Programs to assist States in the litigation processing of death penalty Federal habeas corpus petitions. (Added by the Appropriations Act of 1995.)

TABLE 3:

					1
State	Demand Reduction	Task Forces	Eradication	Crime Prevention	Property Crime
ALABAMA	0	5,092,709	0	0	0
ALASKA	20,869	432,996	37,613	0	0
AMERICAN SAMOA	62,048	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	19,204	5,557,461	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	3,537,856	0	120,496	0
CALIFORNIA	0	28,834,150	2,637,131	0	0
COLORADO	0	1,707,554	0	936,076	0
CONNECTICUT					
DELAWARE	119,500	0	0	36,000	0
DIST. OF COLUMBIA					
FLORIDA	414,179	2,180,358	242,160	2,034,747	0
GEORGIA	1,059,999	6,218,937	346,000	0	0
GUAM					
HAWAII	0	528,450	0	0	100,000
IDAHO	347,940	863,436	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	0	6,923,023	0	100,000	0
INDIANA	184,107	5,452,734	60,288	44,000	0
IOWA	189,732	2,623,479	0	84,649	0
KANSAS	50,826	0	0	160,677	0
KENTUCKY	205,134	2,839,537	0	367,461	0
LOUISIANA	38,863	1,753,372	43,160	127,415	24,293
MAINE	00,000	1,700,072	10,100	121,110	21,200
MARYLAND	247,151	65,835	0	375,770	0
MASSACHUSETTS	217,101	561,795	0	43,165	0
MICHIGAN	509,206	7,326,656	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	0	2,562,000	0	2,277,573	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	2,339,848	0	0	0
MISSOURI	1,069,314	3,943,712	0	1,191,805	0
MONTANA	45,802	1,701,889	0	11,621	0
NEBRASKA	300,000	2,400,318	0	0	0
NEVADA	213,746	1,724,277	0	116,950	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	150,000	1,092,319	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	0	6,600,203	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	109,158	673,000	0	0	0
NEW YORK	103,130	073,000	0	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA					
NORTH DAKOTA	0	933,706	0	61,293	0
N. MARIANAS	U	333,700	0	01,233	0
OHIO	280,000	4,098,168	0	1,516,752	0
OKLAHOMA	973,712	1,714,408	0	50,482	0
OREGON	373,712	1,714,400	0	30,402	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0
PUERTO RICO	360,450	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	0	0	0	91,587	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	212,184	1,903,240	0	1,700,893	0
	212,104	1,903,240	U	1,700,093	0
SOUTH DAKOTA TENNESSEE					
	0	E 050 750	0	0	0
TEXAS UTAH	0	5,658,756 1,642,060	0	0	0
	U	1,042,000	U	U	0
VERMONT VIDCINISIANDS	^	0	0	0	0
VIRGIN ISLANDS	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0		0	-	0
WASHINGTON	0	5,387,500	0	923,149	0
WEST VIRGINIA	^	0.545.000			
WISCONSIN WYOMING	0	2,517,303	0	0	0
TOTAL	7,183,124	129,393,045	3,366,352	12,372,561	124,293
TOTAL	1,100,124	129,393,043	3,300,332	12,372,301	164,633

TABLE 3 (continued):

	Purpose 6	Purpose 7A	Purpose 7B	Purpose 8	Purpose 9
State	Organized White Collar Crime	Police Operations	Anti- Terrorism	Career Criminal	Financial Investigations
ALABAMA	0	0	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0
AMERICAN SAMOA	106,369	0	0	106,369	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	22,500	0	362,035	0
CALIFORNIA	0	0	0	489,447	183,439
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT					
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0
DIST. OF COLUMBIA					
FLORIDA	249,924	227,491	205,166	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	0
GUAM					
HAWAII	0	56,250	0	156,140	215,625
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	0	30,000	0	440,813	0
INDIANA	0	147,903	0	65,192	0
IOWA	0	0	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	654,570	0	308,894	102,102
MAINE	-	,	-	,	- , -
MARYLAND	0	29,265	0	31,618	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	77,000	0	73,500	0
MICHIGAN	0	0	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	0	0	0	150,000	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	0	328,941	0	32,404	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK					
NORTH CAROLINA					
NORTH DAKOTA	0	26,280	0	0	0
N. MARIANAS	-			-	-
OHIO	0	0	0	147,990	0
OKLAHOMA	0	26,325	0	92.000	0
OREGON	-	2,72		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0
PUERTO RICO	87.000	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	121,618	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	Ū	121,010			
TENNESSEE					
TEXAS	0	675,241	0	177,166	0
UTAH	0	0	0	0	152,069
VERMONT		U			102,000
VIRGIN ISLANDS	0	95,000	0	0	0
VIRGINIA VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA	0	U	0	U	U
WISCONSIN	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING	U	U	U	U	U
	1	1			

TABLE 3 (continued):

	Purpose 10	Purpose 11	Purpose 12	Purpose 13	Purpose 14
State	Court Programs	Corrections Programs	Prison Industry	Treatment	Victim/ Witness Assistance
ALABAMA	0	334,270	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	88,166	0	0	0
AMERICAN SAMOA	0	0	0	70,913	0
ARIZONA	0	21,145	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	101,561	306,591	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	2,629,617	1,585,075	0	0	0
COLORADO	338,722	323,828	0	662,960	0
CONNECTICUT DELAWARE	105 550	0	0	55,000	0
DIST. OF COLUMBIA	195,556	0	U	55,000	0
FLORIDA	361,261	1,739,972	0	6,398,272	0
GEORGIA	293,500	291,369	0	711,600	15,000
GUAM	293,300	231,303	U	711,000	13,000
HAWAII	0	0	0	92,000	0
IDAHO	0	710,141	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	340,785	395,403	0	0	0
INDIANA	394,316	0	0	0	0
IOWA	124,461	732,028	0	337,542	27,187
KANSAS	0	0	0	133,226	0
KENTUCKY	412,966	67,663	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	532,417	237,999	0	275,603	0
MAINE	•	·			
MARYLAND	0	431,567	0	0	28,219
MASSACHUSETTS	0	174,927	0	86,966	77,171
MICHIGAN	0	0	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	291,600	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	149,957	0	0	0
MISSOURI	115,301	1,166,845	0	0	0
MONTANA	47,339	22,099	0	63,188	40,866
NEBRASKA	204,000	0	0	410,082	0
NEVADA	0	62,751	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	162,553	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK NORTH CAROLINA					
	125,093	226 006	0	0	40.027
NORTH DAKOTA N. MARIANAS	125,095	236,886	U	U	40,937
OHIO	515,738	2,156,460	868,984	426,687	1,503,278
OKLAHOMA	0	272,875	000,964	223,550	181,655
OREGON	U	212,013	U	223,330	101,033
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0
PUERTO RICO	191,552	105,385	0	638,417	242,324
RHODE ISLAND	261,463	102,000	0	205,000	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	529,710	483,694	0	0	17,617
SOUTH DAKOTA	020,710	100,001		Ů	11,011
TENNESSEE					
TEXAS	595,665	0	0	0	0
UTAH	0	455,136	166,000	0	0
VERMONT	-	,	,	-	
VIRGIN ISLANDS	0	0	0	250,739	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	945,000	201,450	450,000	0	49,000
WEST VIRGINIA					
WISCONSIN	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING					
TOTAL	9,547,623	13,018,235	1,484,984	11,041,745	2,223,254

TABLE 3 (continued):

	Purpose 15A	Purpose 15B	Purpose 16	Purpose 17	Purpose 18
State	Improved Technology	Innovative Drug Programs	Information Systems	Public Housing	Domestic Violence
ALABAMA	433,368	111,296	177,887	0	95,408
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0
AMERICAN SAMOA	0	115,236	168,417	0	0
ARIZONA	0	1,230,950	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	250,417	76,245	0	0 000
CALIFORNIA COLORADO	183,294 702,858	2,995,500 420,550	2,750,000	0	250,000
CONNECTICUT	102,030	420,330	U	U	U
DELAWARE	0	20,000	183,770	0	715,546
DIST. OF COLUMBIA	0	20,000	100,770	0	710,010
FLORIDA	2,196,336	3,083,289	570,814	0	1,042,756
GEORGIA	341,200	107,600	197,600	0	0
GUAM	, , , , ,		,	-	-
HAWAII	0	0	44,556	0	977,375
IDAHO	20,000	148,416	553,181	0	0
ILLINOIS	118,328	495,784	1,619,403	0	0
INDIANA	1,104,997	1,551,973	0	0	0
IOWA	181,547	534,333	0	0	294,357
KANSAS	50,378	0	20,850	0	47,634
KENTUCKY	771,341	1,408,124	0	0	43,217
LOUISIANA	21,325	1,050,099	0	0	65,000
MAINE	100.010		450.040		101000
MARYLAND	126,949	0	153,840	0	194,326
MASSACHUSETTS	0	114,047	159,048	0	0
MICHIGAN	0 51,412	487,759	0 574,585	0	0
MINNESOTA MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	51,763	468,666	0	0	0
MONTANA	88,711	0	0	0	31,796
NEBRASKA	0	100,000	78,000	0	0
NEVADA	32,625	191,966	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	61,873	463,857	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	360,359	137,787	3,161,865	0	0
NEW MEXICO	227,642	55,000	60,000	0	62,500
NEW YORK					
NORTH CAROLINA					
NORTH DAKOTA	0	210,868	11,340	0	136,192
N. MARIANAS					
OHIO	737,499	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	217,537	431,853	324,043	0	296,553
OREGON		011 001	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	211,301	0	0	0
PUERTO RICO	0	479.055	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND SOUTH CAROLINA	64,950 229,064	472,055 980,882	0	0	227,994 116,354
SOUTH CAROLINA SOUTH DAKOTA	229,004	300,002	U	U	110,334
TENNESSEE					
TEXAS	264,375	0	0	0	0
UTAH	84,000	0	67,162	0	0
VERMONT	22,000	Ü	31,200	•	
VIRGIN ISLANDS	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	1,235,132	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	876,624	0	0	0	300,000
WEST VIRGINIA					
WISCONSIN	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING					
TOTAL	9,600,355	19,084,740	10,952,606	0	4,897,008

TABLE 3 (continued):

FY 1996 Byrne Formula Subgrant Totals by States, U.S. Territories, and Purpose Areas

	Purpose 19	Purpose 20	Purpose 21	Purpose 22	Purpose 23	Purpose 24
State	Evaluation	Detention Alternatives	Street Sales	DUI	Violent Juvenile Prosecution	Gang Control
ALABAMA	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	0	10,466	0	0	0
AMERICAN SAMOA	35,456	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	27,981	0	0
CALIFORNIA	0	0	4,638,478	0	0	0
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT						
DELAWARE	0	0	0	0	0	0
DIST. OF COLUMBIA						
FLORIDA	0	62,041	345,236	51,021	0	422,920
GEORGIA	0	4,800	22,400	737,000	0	0
GUAM				•		
HAWAII	0	0	0	10.570	0	0
IDAHO ILLINOIS	8,800	95,035	92,259	19,579	0	0
INDIANA		127,250	92,239	0	0	0
IOWA	45,000 38,513	18,446	86,048	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	227,208	00,040	0	0	46,973
KENTUCKY	0	0	88,208	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	68,577	00,200	0	0	96,670
MAINE	0	00,077	0	0		00,070
MARYLAND	0	2,026,901	198,548	0	70,990	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	66,970	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINNESOTA	0	0	58,050	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	213,715	0	0	0
MISSOURI	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	138,618	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	250,223	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	11,381	296,542	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	143,800
NEW YORK						
NORTH CAROLINA			40.000	0		0.000
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	10,200	0	0	2,880
N. MARIANAS	000 010	1.071.000	0	0	0	0
OHIO	603,919	1,971,389	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA OREGON	0	U	0	U	U	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
PUERTO RICO	0	520,724	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	0	0	0	5,347	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0	119,869	0,017	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA		Ů	110,000		Ů	
TENNESSEE						
TEXAS	0	184,422	0	0	0	0
UTAH	0	516,465	0	0	0	533,328
VERMONT						
VIRGIN ISLANDS	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	300,000	0	0	0	0
WEST VIRGINIA						
WISCONSIN	0	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING						
TOTAL	743,069	6,875,611	5,883,477	840,928	70,990	1,246,571
-	,	-,	.,,	,	1 -,- 30	,,-,-

TABLE 3 (continued):

State		Purpose 25	Purpose 26				
ALASKA 0 0 0 9 2,153,324 \$590,110 \$1,562,214 AMERICAN SAMOA 0 0 0 49,346 \$590,373 \$71,1154 \$191,543 ARIZONA 0 0 0 561,075 \$7,514,323 \$7,389,835 \$124,488 ARIZONA 0 0 2,487,800 \$49,789,323 \$49,663,393 \$125,383 COLORADO 0 0 0 \$6,867,324 \$5,009,248 \$1,774,776 CONNECTICUT \$2,862,783,324 \$5,009,248 \$1,774,776 CONNECTICUT \$2,862,783,324 \$5,009,248 \$1,774,776 DELAWARE 0 0 227,500 \$2,308,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,452 DELAWARE 0 0 227,500 \$2,308,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,452 DELAWARE 0 0 0 216,097 \$22,779,323 \$22,044,040 \$735,283 GEORGIA 0 0 0 \$12,103,233 \$10,347,005 \$1,772,318 GOUMM \$51,251,000 \$50,512,753,000 \$50,512,753,000 HAWAII 0 0 0 473,939 \$2,968,300 \$2,170,396 \$535,000 HAWAII 0 0 0 473,939 \$2,968,302 \$2,116,004 \$50,517,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$10,100,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$10,100,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$10,100,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$110,100,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$18,107,323 \$1,116,045 \$82,176,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$18,000,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$10,000,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,323 \$9,173,323 \$1,821,343 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,323 \$9,173,323 \$1,821,343 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,323 \$1,323,333 HAMAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,300,323 \$1,323,333 HAMAII 0 0 0 \$	State		Corpus		Grant	In Sub	
ALASKA 0 0 0 9 2,153,324 \$590,110 \$1,562,214 AMERICAN SAMOA 0 0 0 49,346 \$590,373 \$71,1154 \$191,543 ARIZONA 0 0 0 561,075 \$7,514,323 \$7,389,835 \$124,488 ARIZONA 0 0 2,487,800 \$49,789,323 \$49,663,393 \$125,383 COLORADO 0 0 0 \$6,867,324 \$5,009,248 \$1,774,776 CONNECTICUT \$2,862,783,324 \$5,009,248 \$1,774,776 CONNECTICUT \$2,862,783,324 \$5,009,248 \$1,774,776 DELAWARE 0 0 227,500 \$2,308,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,452 DELAWARE 0 0 227,500 \$2,308,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,452 DELAWARE 0 0 0 216,097 \$22,779,323 \$22,044,040 \$735,283 GEORGIA 0 0 0 \$12,103,233 \$10,347,005 \$1,772,318 GOUMM \$51,251,000 \$50,512,753,000 \$50,512,753,000 HAWAII 0 0 0 473,939 \$2,968,300 \$2,170,396 \$535,000 HAWAII 0 0 0 473,939 \$2,968,302 \$2,116,004 \$50,517,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$10,100,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$10,100,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$10,100,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$110,100,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$18,107,323 \$1,116,045 \$82,176,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$18,000,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$10,000,323 \$9,177,760 \$892,800 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,323 \$9,173,323 \$1,821,343 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,323 \$9,173,323 \$1,821,343 HAWAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,323 \$1,323,333 HAMAII 0 0 0 \$13,000,300,323 \$1,323,333 HAMAII 0 0 0 \$	AI.ABAMA	0	0	0	\$7,737,323	\$6.244.938	\$1,492,385
AMERICAN SAMOA							
ARKANSAS		0	0	49,346			
CALIFORNIA O O O O O O O S6.867,3224 S5.092,548 S1.773,324 S0 S6.278,324 S1.552,872 S755,452 S755,452 S6.278,324 S755,452 S6.278,324 S755,452 S6.278,324 S775,328 GEORGIA O O O O S12,110,323 S1,177,318 GUAM O O O O O S7.705,400 S1,277,398 S5.350,400 S2,170,398 S5.350,400 S6.277,398 S5.350,400 S6.277,398 S6.278,324 S6.288,325,314 S6.278,324 S6.278,324 S6.278,324 S6.278,324 S6.288,325,314 S6.278,324 S6.288,325,314 S6.288,			0	561,075	\$7,514,323	\$7,389,835	\$124,488
COLORADO 0 0 58,873,324 \$5,092,548 \$1,774,776 CONNECTICUT 58,278,324 \$0 \$6,278,324 \$0 \$6,278,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,432 DIST OF COLUMBIA \$2,098,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,432 \$0 \$2,098,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,432 \$1,552,872 \$755,432 \$1,552,872 \$755,432 \$1,552,600 \$2,098,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,432 \$1,552,600 \$2,098,324 \$1,50,400 \$1,773,318 \$1,600 \$1,772,318 \$1,600 \$1,211,9323 \$10,347,005 \$1,772,318 \$1,600 \$1,772,318 \$1,600 \$1,773,318 \$1,774,306 \$355,500 \$1,000 \$1,773,318 \$1,774,306 \$355,500 \$1,000 \$1,774,306 \$355,500 \$1,000 \$1,		243,750					
CONNECTICUT \$6,278,324 \$0 \$8,278,324 DELAWARE 0 0 \$227,500 \$2,308,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,432 DIST. OF COLUMBIA \$2,098,324 \$0 \$2,998,324 \$0 \$2,998,324 FLORIDA 0 0 \$21,619,323 \$13,470,005 \$172,119,323 \$10,447,005 \$172,118,323 GUAM \$1,251,000 \$0 \$1,251,000 \$0 \$1,271,0396 \$535,004 IDAHO 0 \$0 \$2,705,400 \$2,170,396 \$535,004 IDAHO 0 \$0 \$19,378,323 \$11,160,445 \$8,217,678 ILLINOIS \$393,492 \$116,320 \$19,378,323 \$11,160,445 \$8,217,678 INDIANA 0 0 \$281,376 \$5,589,324 \$5,536,988 \$35,626 KANSAS 0 0 \$281,376 \$5,589,324 \$5,536,988 \$35,626 KANSAS 0 0 \$12,590 \$7,131,323 \$6,696,924 \$434,399 LOUISIANA 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
DELAWARE 0 0 227,500 \$2,308,324 \$1,552,872 \$755,452 DIST. OF COLUMBIA 0 0 216,097 \$22,779,323 \$22,044,040 \$735,283 GEORGIA 0 0 0 \$12,119,323 \$10,347,005 \$1,772,318 GEORGIA 0 0 0 \$12,119,323 \$10,347,005 \$1,772,318 GLORGIA 0 0 0 \$12,119,323 \$10,347,005 \$1,772,318 GLORGIA 0 0 0 \$2,705,400 \$2,170,309 \$535,004 IDAHO 0 0 0 \$2,705,400 \$2,170,309 \$535,004 IDAHO 0 0 0 473,939 \$2,968,324 \$3,145,432 \$11,710,005 \$11,110,005 \$193,492 \$116,320 \$0 \$19,378,323 \$11,160,645 \$82,176,78 IDAHO 0 0 0 \$210,106,323 \$9,177,760 \$928,563 \$100MA 0 0 \$213,76 \$5,589,324 \$5,553,698 \$535,628 \$48,845,845 \$100MA 0 0 \$281,376 \$5,589,324 \$5,553,698 \$535,628 \$48,845,845 \$100MA 0 0 \$281,376 \$5,589,324 \$5,553,698 \$535,628 \$48,845 \$		0	0	0			
DIST OF COLUMBIA				00% 500			
FLORIDA 0 0 216.097 \$22.779.323 \$22.044.040 \$735.283 GEORGIA 0 0 0 \$12.119.323 \$10.347.005 \$1.772.318 GEORGIA 0 0 0 \$12.119.323 \$10.347.005 \$1.772.318 GUAM		0	0	227,500			
GEORGIA 0 0 0 \$12,119,323 \$10,347,005 \$1,772,318 GUAMM 0 0 \$1,251,000 \$0 \$1,251,000 \$0 \$1,251,000 \$0 \$1,251,000 \$10,100 \$10 \$10,000 \$10,100 \$10 \$10,000 \$10,100 \$10 \$10,100 \$10 \$10,100 \$11,100 \$10 \$10,100 <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>010 007</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		0	0	010 007			
GUAM S1,251,000 \$0 \$1,251,000 \$0 \$1,251,000 \$1,251,000 \$1,251,000 \$1,251,000 \$1,251,000 \$1,251,000 \$1,251,000 \$1,270,396 \$35,500 \$1,251,000 \$1,270,396 \$35,200 \$1,270,					. , ,		
HAWAII		U	U	U			
IDAHO		n	n	0			
ILLINOIS							
INDIANA				,			
IOWA							
KANSAS				-			
KENTUCKY							
MAINE	KENTUCKY	0	138,373	354,900			
MARYLAND 0 0 88,953,323 \$3,980,979 \$4,972,344 MASSACHUSETTS 0 0 0 \$9,467,100 \$1,434,589 \$8,032,511 MICHIGAN 0 0 0 \$15,892,323 \$7,835,862 \$8,056,461 MINNESOTA 0 0 0 \$82,743,233 \$6,452,979 \$1,821,344 MISSOURI 0 0 467,000 \$9,373,323 \$8,835,751 \$537,572 MONTANA 0 0 0 \$2,540,324 \$2,191,929 \$348,395 NEBRASKA 0 0 0 \$3,725,324 \$3,492,400 \$232,924 NEW HAMPSHIRE 0 0 \$13,237 \$2,274,324 \$2,389,852 \$584,472 NEW JERSEY 0 0 0 \$3,773,324 \$2,389,852 \$584,472 NEW WEXICO 0 0 \$3,373,323 \$10,260,214 \$3,171,109 NEW WEXICO 0 0 \$3,733,323 \$0 \$29,243,23 NORTH CAROLINA		0	0	112,090	\$7,885,323	\$5,512,449	\$2,372,874
MASSACHUSETTS 0 0 0 \$9,467,100 \$1,434,589 \$8,032,511 MICHIGAN 0 0 0 \$15,892,323 \$7,835,862 \$8,056,461 MINNESOTA 0 0 0 \$8,274,323 \$6,452,979 \$1,821,344 MISSISSIPPI 0 0 0 \$5,341,324 \$2,703,520 \$2,637,804 MISSOURI 0 0 467,000 \$9,373,323 \$8,835,751 \$537,572 MONTANA 0 0 0 \$2,540,324 \$2,191,929 \$348,395 NEBRASKA 0 0 0 \$3,725,324 \$3,492,400 \$232,924 NEVADA 0 0 \$0,754 \$3,468,324 \$2,673,292 \$795,032 NEW HAMPSHIRE 0 0 \$15,327 \$2,974,324 \$2,389,852 \$884,472 NEW JERSEY 0 0 0 \$13,431,323 \$10,260,214 \$3,171,109 NEW WORK \$29,294,323 \$0 \$29,294,323 \$0 \$29,242,323	MAINE				\$3,133,324	\$0	\$3,133,324
MICHIGAN				0			
MINNESOTA 0 0 0 88,274,323 \$6,452,979 \$1,821,344 MISSISSIPPI 0 0 0 \$5,341,324 \$2,703,520 \$2,637,804 MISSOURI 0 0 467,000 \$9,373,323 \$8,835,751 \$537,572 MONTANA 0 0 0 \$2,540,324 \$2,191,929 \$348,395 NEBRASKA 0 0 0 \$3,725,324 \$3,492,400 \$232,924 NEW HAMPSHIRE 0 0 \$15,327 \$2,974,324 \$2,389,852 \$584,472 NEW JERSEY 0 0 0 \$13,431,323 \$10,260,214 \$3,171,109 NEW MEXICO 0 0 0 \$3,773,324 \$1,331,100 \$2,442,224 NEW YORK \$29,294,323 \$0 \$29,294,323 NORTH CAROLINA \$12,143,323 \$0 \$29,294,323 NORTH DAKOTA 0 0 \$1,37,3323 \$14,826,864 \$3,546,459 OHIO 0 0 \$18,373,323 \$14,							
MISSISSIPPI 0 0 467,000 \$5,341,324 \$2,703,520 \$2,637,804 MISSOURI 0 0 467,000 \$9,373,323 \$8,835,751 \$537,572 MONTANA 0 0 0 \$2,540,324 \$2,191,929 \$348,395 NEBRASKA 0 0 0 \$3,725,324 \$3,492,400 \$232,924 NEVADA 0 0 80,754 \$3,468,324 \$2,673,292 \$795,032 NEW HAMPSHIRE 0 0 151,327 \$2,974,324 \$2,389,852 \$584,472 NEW JERSEY 0 0 0 \$13,431,323 \$10,602,14 \$3,171,109 NEW YORK \$29,294,323 \$0 \$29,294,323 \$0 \$29,294,323 NORTH CAROLINA \$12,143,323 \$0 \$12,143,323 \$0 \$12,143,323 NORTH DAKOTA 0 0 217,000 \$2,203,324 \$2,012,675 \$190,649 N. MARIANAS \$3447,587 \$0 \$447,587 \$0 \$447,587							
MISSOURI 0 467,000 \$9,373,323 \$8,835,751 \$537,572 MONTANA 0 0 0 \$2,540,324 \$2,191,929 \$348,395 NEBRASKA 0 0 0 \$3,725,324 \$3,492,400 \$232,924 NEVADA 0 0 \$0,754 \$3,468,324 \$2,673,292 \$795,032 NEW HAMPSHIRE 0 0 \$151,327 \$2,974,324 \$2,389,852 \$584,472 NEW JERSEY 0 0 0 \$3,773,324 \$1,331,100 \$2442,224 NEW YORK \$29,294,323 \$0 \$22,924,323 \$0 \$22,924,323 NORTH CAROLINA \$12,143,323 \$0 \$12,143,323 \$0 \$12,143,323 NORTH DAKOTA 0 0 \$2,203,324 \$2,012,675 \$190,649 N. MARIANAS \$447,587 \$0 \$447,587 \$0 \$447,587 OHIO 0 0 \$18,373,323 \$14,826,864 \$3,546,459 OKLAHOMA 0 \$21,000							
MONTANA 0 0 0 \$2,540,324 \$2,191,929 \$348,395 NEBRASKA 0 0 0 \$3,725,324 \$3,492,400 \$232,924 NEVADA 0 0 80,754 \$3,468,324 \$2,673,292 \$795,032 NEW HAMPSHIRE 0 0 151,327 \$2,974,324 \$2,389,852 \$584,472 NEW JERSEY 0 0 0 \$13,431,323 \$10,260,214 \$3,171,109 NEW MEXICO 0 0 0 \$3,773,324 \$1,331,100 \$2,442,224 NEW YORK \$29,294,323 \$0 \$29,294,323 \$0 \$29,294,323 NORTH DAKOTA 0 0 \$12,143,323 \$0 \$12,143,323 NORTH DAKOTA 0 0 \$1,337,323 \$0 \$12,143,323 NORTH DAKOTA 0 0 \$18,373,323 \$14,826,864 \$3,546,459 OKLAHOMA 0 0 \$18,373,323 \$14,826,864 \$3,546,459 OKLAHOMA 0 \$1,900 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
NEBRASKA							
NEVADA							
NEW HAMPSHIRE							
NEW JERSEY 0 0 0 \$13,431,323 \$10,260,214 \$3,171,109 NEW MEXICO 0 0 0 \$3,773,324 \$1,331,100 \$2,442,224 NEW YORK \$29,294,323 \$0 \$29,294,323 NORTH CAROLINA \$12,143,323 \$0 \$12,143,323 NORTH DAKOTA 0 0 \$217,000 \$2,203,324 \$2,012,675 \$190,649 N. MARIANAS \$447,587 \$0 \$447,587 \$0 \$447,587 OHIO 0 0 \$13,373,323 \$14,826,864 \$3,546,459 OKLAHOMA 0 21,000 0 \$6,252,324 \$4,825,993 \$1,426,331 OREGON \$5,986,324 \$0 \$5,986,324 \$0 \$5,986,324 PENNSYLVANIA 0 0 \$19,841,323 \$211,301 \$19,630,022 PUERTO RICO 0 0 \$6,814,324 \$2,145,852 \$4,668,472 RHODE ISLAND 0 0 \$2,758,324 \$1,430,396 \$1,327,928 <							
NEW MEXICO							
NEW YORK				 			
NORTH CAROLINA						. , ,	
N. MARIANAS	NORTH CAROLINA					\$0	\$12,143,323
OHIO 0 0 0 \$18,373,323 \$14,826,864 \$3,546,459 OKLAHOMA 0 21,000 0 \$6,252,324 \$4,825,993 \$1,426,331 OREGON \$5,986,324 \$0 \$5,986,324 \$0 \$5,986,324 PENNSYLVANIA 0 0 \$19,841,323 \$211,301 \$19,630,022 PUERTO RICO 0 0 \$6,814,324 \$2,145,852 \$4,668,472 RHODE ISLAND 0 0 \$2,758,324 \$1,430,396 \$1,327,928 SOUTH CAROLINA \$152,043 0 \$275,817 \$6,879,323 \$6,842,985 \$36,338 SOUTH DAKOTA \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 TENNESSEE \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 TEXAS 0 0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH 0 0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	217,000	\$2,203,324	\$2,012,675	\$190,649
OKLAHOMA 0 21,000 0 \$6,252,324 \$4,825,993 \$1,426,331 OREGON \$5,986,324 \$0 \$5,986,324 \$0 \$5,986,324 PENNSYLVANIA 0 0 \$19,841,323 \$211,301 \$19,630,022 PUERTO RICO 0 0 \$6,814,324 \$2,145,852 \$4,668,472 RHODE ISLAND 0 0 \$2,758,324 \$1,430,396 \$1,327,928 SOUTH CAROLINA \$152,043 0 \$275,817 \$6,879,323 \$6,842,985 \$36,338 SOUTH DAKOTA \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 TENNESSEE \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 TEXAS 0 0 \$0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH 0 0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS 0 0 \$1,374,324					\$447,587		
OREGON \$5,986,324 \$0 \$5,986,324 PENNSYLVANIA 0 0 \$19,841,323 \$211,301 \$19,630,022 PUERTO RICO 0 0 \$6,814,324 \$2,145,852 \$4,668,472 RHODE ISLAND 0 0 \$2,758,324 \$1,430,396 \$1,327,928 SOUTH CAROLINA \$152,043 0 \$275,817 \$6,879,323 \$6,842,985 \$36,338 SOUTH DAKOTA \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 TENNESSEE \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 TEXAS 0 0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH 0 0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS 0 0 \$137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA 0 0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600							
PENNSYLVANIA 0 0 \$19,841,323 \$211,301 \$19,630,022 PUERTO RICO 0 0 \$6,814,324 \$2,145,852 \$4,668,472 RHODE ISLAND 0 0 \$2,758,324 \$1,430,396 \$1,327,928 SOUTH CAROLINA \$152,043 0 \$275,817 \$6,879,323 \$6,842,985 \$36,338 SOUTH DAKOTA \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 TENNESSEE \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 TEXAS 0 0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH 0 0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS 0 0 \$137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA 0 0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 <t< td=""><td></td><td>0</td><td>21,000</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		0	21,000	0			
PUERTO RICO 0 0 \$6,814,324 \$2,145,852 \$4,668,472 RHODE ISLAND 0 0 \$2,758,324 \$1,430,396 \$1,327,928 SOUTH CAROLINA 152,043 0 275,817 \$6,879,323 \$6,842,985 \$36,338 SOUTH DAKOTA \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 TENNESSEE \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 TEXAS \$0 \$0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH \$0 \$0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS \$0 \$137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA \$0 \$0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON \$0 \$0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 \$0					. , ,		- ' ' '
RHODE ISLAND 0 0 \$2,758,324 \$1,430,396 \$1,327,928 SOUTH CAROLINA 152,043 0 275,817 \$6,879,323 \$6,842,985 \$36,338 SOUTH DAKOTA \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 TENNESSEE \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 TEXAS \$0 \$0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH \$0 \$0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS \$0 \$137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA \$0 \$0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON \$0 \$0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN \$0 \$0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 <							
SOUTH CAROLINA 152,043 0 275,817 \$6,879,323 \$6,842,985 \$36,338 SOUTH DAKOTA \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 TENNESSEE \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 TEXAS \$0 \$0 \$0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH \$0 \$0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS \$0 \$0 \$137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA \$0 \$0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON \$0 \$0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN \$0 \$0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324							
SOUTH DAKOTA \$2,331,324 \$0 \$2,331,324 TENNESSEE \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 TEXAS 0 0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH 0 0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS 0 0 \$137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA 0 0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON 0 0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN 0 0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324							
TENNESSEE \$9,214,323 \$0 \$9,214,323 TEXAS 0 0 0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH 0 0 0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS 0 0 \$137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA 0 0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON 0 0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN 0 0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324		132,043	U	2/3,81/			
TEXAS 0 0 0 \$29,617,323 \$8,974,890 \$20,642,433 UTAH 0 0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS 0 0 \$137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA 0 0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON 0 0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN 0 0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324							
UTAH 0 0 0 \$4,165,324 \$3,616,220 \$549,104 VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS \$0 \$0 \$137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA \$0 \$0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON \$0 \$0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN \$0 \$0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324		0	0	0			
VERMONT \$1,872,000 \$0 \$1,872,000 VIRGIN ISLANDS 0 0 137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA 0 0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON 0 0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN 0 0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324				.			
VIRGIN ISLANDS 0 0 137,432 \$1,374,324 \$483,171 \$891,153 VIRGINIA 0 0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON 0 0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN 0 0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
VIRGINIA 0 0 0 \$11,342,323 \$1,235,132 \$10,107,191 WASHINGTON 0 0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN 0 0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324		0	0	137,432			
WASHINGTON 0 0 0 \$9,474,323 \$9,432,723 \$41,600 WEST VIRGINIA \$4,032,324 \$0 \$4,032,324 WISCONSIN 0 0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324		0	0				
WISCONSIN 0 0 0 \$9,070,323 \$2,517,303 \$6,553,020 WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324	WASHINGTON	0	0	0		\$9,432,723	
WYOMING \$1,952,324 \$0 \$1,952,324							
		0	0	0			
TOTAL 789,285 275,693 6,093,453 \$473,530,000 \$264,981,913 \$208,548,087	WYOMING				\$1,952,324	\$0	\$1,952,324
	TOTAL	789,285	275,693	6,093,453	\$473,530,000	\$264,981,913	\$208,548,087

TABLE 4:

FY 1996
Discretionary
Awards
(Byrne and
Other Funding),
Program
Descriptions
and Funding
Amounts

Location	Program Description	Funding
ALABAMA	Correctional Options Grant Program	\$370,000
ARIZONA		
Phoenix	Arizona Watch Your Car	0140 099
Phoenix	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$149,823 \$149,000
Phoenix	Rocky Mountain Information Network (RMIN)	\$1,923,642
ARKANSAS		
Little Rock	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$36,000
Little Rock	Prosecutor's Pre-Charging Diversion Program	\$25,000
Little Rock	Rural Law Enforcement Technical Assistance Needs Assessment	\$425,000
CALIFORNIA		
Downey	Suppression and Prevention Revitalizing Youth and	
	Neighborhoods for Growth (Weed and Seed Demonstration)	\$97,500
Inglewood	D.A.R.E. PLUS Replication Project	\$624,776
Inglewood	Drug Abuse Resistance Education (D.A.R.E)	\$1,750,000
Los Angeles	A Comprehensive Public Education Campaign to Prevent Date/Spousal Violence Featuring "It Ain't Love" Video	\$96,619
Los Angeles	Blazer/Bolden Safe Haven	\$75,000
Los Angeles Los Angeles	LC Youth to People Education	\$97,500
Los Angeles	Neighborhood Opportunity Center (Weed and Seed)	\$75,000
Los Angeles	Weed and Seed: Youth Empowerment Project	\$91,728
Los Angeles	Youth Employment and Leadership Development Project for Latinos	\$95,500
Los Angeles	Youth Empowerment Project	\$150,000
Richmond	Comprehensive Homicide Initiative	\$350,000
Sacramento	Criminal Alien Identification and Intervention —	\$330,000
	Criminal Alien Flagging	\$149,997
Sacramento	Operational Systems Support Technical Assistance and Training	\$1,976,752
Sacramento	Western States and Information Network (WSIN)	\$2,820,561
San Francisco	Communication and Identification Enhancement Project	\$500,000
Santa Ana	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$99,800
COLORADO		
Denver	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase II	\$400,000
Denver	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$72,000
CONNECTICUT		
Hartford	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase II B	\$400,000
Norwalk	Weed and Seed Recognition	\$35,000
DELAWARE		
Wilmington	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase II B	\$400,000
Wilmington	Delay Reduction	\$400,000

FY 1996
Discretionary
Awards
(Byrne and
Other Funding),
Program
Descriptions
and Funding
Amounts

Location

DISTRICT OF COL		0=0.000
Washington	Arson and Explosive Training for Prosecutors	\$50,000
Washington Washington	Assessment and Enhancement of Indigent Defense Services	\$350,000
wasiiiigtoii	Building Successful Partnerships to Reduce Crime Vicitimization in Refuge Communities	\$200,000
Washington	Center for Community Corrections, Education of	
VV1-!	Legislators and Others on the Benefits for Community Correction	ons \$50,000
Washington	Center of Advanced Support for Technology in	¢4 022 020
Washington	Law Enforcement (CASTLE) Cops Who Care: A Crime Reduction Program	\$4,932,820 \$50,000
Washington	Criminal Alien Identification and Intervention — Technical Assista	
Washington	Develop a Manual on Sex Offender Registration,	1100 040,000
	Notification, and Post Release Statutes	\$59,462
Washington	Documentation and Assessment of BJA-Funded Firearms Proje	cts \$149,924
Washington	Health Care Fraud Prosecution Program	\$100,000
Washington	National Citizens' Crime Prevention Campaign	\$3,500,000
Washington	National Funding Collaborative on Violence Prevention	\$621,286
Washington	Phase II: Homicide Investigation Enhancement Program	\$149,775
Washington	Technical Assistance for States on Topical Criminal Justice Issue	
Washington	Tribal Strategies Against Violence — Phase II	\$252,031
FLORIDA	Wood and Cood Decognition	607.000
Fort Myers	Weed and Seed Recognition	\$35,000
Tallahassee Tallahassee	Criminal Alien Identification and Intervention — Technical Assistan	
Tallahassee	Drugfire Equipment Program Information Technology Support to the Regional Information	\$37,135
lalialiassee	Sharing Systems (RISS) Program	\$1,000,000
Tallahassee	Organized Crime Narcotics (OCN) Trafficking Enforcement	\$1,000,000
lananassee	Program/Center for Task Force (CenTF) Training Project	\$275,000
Tallahassee	Performance Management Assessment and Operations Analysis	
Tallahassee	Regional Information Sharing System (RISS) —	.5 400,000
	Performance Management Assessment and Operations Analysis	\$1,861,379
Tallahassee	Regional Information Sharing System (RISS) Program:	
	Gang Investigation Coordination and Information Collection Proje	ect \$990,000
GEORGIA		
Atlanta	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase IIB	\$400,000
Atlanta	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase II	\$25,000
Atlanta	Establishing New Boys and Girls Clubs	\$11,000,000
Atlanta	Expanding and Enhancing Boys and Girls Clubs	\$4,350,000
Atlanta	Public Safety Support for Local Law	04.000.000
Marietta	Enforcement Agencies Involved in 1996 Olympic Games	\$4,000,000
Iviarietta	Metro Atlanta Project PACT	\$125,000
ILLINOIS Champaign	Training and Tachnical Assistance Project	¢975 000
Champaign Chicago	Training and Technical Assistance Project An Initiative to Develop Model Internet	\$375,000
Chicago	Applications for State and Local Criminal Justice Agencies	\$1.40,000
Springfield	Stormy Monday: Gang Reduction and Public	\$140,000
Springheid	Housing Intelligence Crime Analysis (GRAPHIC-A) Project	\$84,398
Springfield	Weed and Seed Recognition	\$35,000
INDIANA		
Indianapolis	Cooperative Federal, Local Violent Crime Task Force	\$112,000
Indianapolis	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$36,000
IOWA		
Des Moines	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$36,000
Waterloo	Police Hiring Supplement	\$25,000

TABLE 4 (continued):

FY 1996
Discretionary
Awards
(Byrne and
Other Funding),
Program
Descriptions
and Funding
Amounts

Location	Program Description	Funding
Wichita	Weed and Seed Recognition	\$35,000
KENTUCKY		
Frankfort	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$36,000
LOUISIANA		
Baton Rouge	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$149,000
New Orleans	Project Return: From Prison to Community	\$766,633
MAINE		
Augusta	Corrections Options: Sex Offender Treatment	
O	Pilot Demonstration Project	\$200,000
Augusta	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$36,000
MARYLAND		
Baltimore	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase II-B	\$400,000
Ellicott City	Private Sector Prison Industries Enhancement Program:	
	A Technical Assistance Proposal	\$300,751
Washington Grove	Continuation of BJA Jail Work and Industries Center	\$200,000
MASSACHUSETTS		
Boston	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase II-B	\$400,000
Boston	Comprehensive Gang Initiative	\$100,000
Framingham	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$77,000
Framingham	New England State Police Information Network (NESPIN)	\$1,520,249
MICHIGAN		
East Lansing	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$149,000
Grand Rapids	Weed and Seed Recognition	\$35,000
Muskegon	Weed and Seed Recognition	\$35,000
Suttons Bay	Tribal Strategies Against Violence	\$120,000
MINNESOTA		
St. Paul	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$149,000
MISSOURI		
Camdenton	Support Services for Families and Coworkers of	
	Public Safety Officers Killed in the Line of Duty	\$150,000
Camdenton	Training and Technical Assistance for Law Enforcement —	
	Line-of-Duty Deaths	\$150,000
Springfield	Mid-States Organized Crime Information Center (MOCIC)	\$1,962,900
Springfield	Mid-States Organized Crime Information Center/Prison Gang Proj	
St. Louis	Weed and Seed Recognition	\$35,000
MONTANA		
Helena	Special Prosecution Unit	\$150,000
NEBRASKA		
Lincoln	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$36,000
Lincoln	Evaluation: Testing of Services Needs Assessment Instrument	\$45,612
NEVADA		
Owyhee	Tribal Strategies Against Violence	\$120,000
Reno	Judicial Education and Training	\$1,000,000
NEW HAMDCHIDE		
NEW HAMPSHIRE Concord	Correctional Options Project: Implementation	
Contoiu	of a Pilot Sex Offender Treatment Project	\$200,000
AIDIII IDDODY		
NEW JERSEY Newark	Drugfire Equipment Program	696 000
newark	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$36,000

TABLE 4 (continued):

FY 1996
Discretionary
Awards
(Byrne and
Other Funding),
Program
Descriptions
and Funding
Amounts

Location	Program Description	Funding
Trenton	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$185,000
NEW MEXICO		
Albuquerque	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$72,000
Albuquerque	Gang Organized Crime Narcotics (OCN)	¢150.000
Santa Fe	Violence Enforcement Project New Mexico Watch Your Car	\$150,000 \$149,028
NEW YORK		
Albany	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$149,000
New York	Community Based Post Incarceration	, ,,,,,,,
N. W. 1	Services for Substance Abusing Ex-Offenders	\$300,000
New York New York	Community Justice Assistance Center	\$532,299
New York	Creating a Juvenile Weapons Court Neighborhood Drug Crisis Center and the Center for	\$126,000
IVEW IOIK	Employment Opportunities: Innovation and Information Transfe	er \$30,000
New York	Red Hook Community Justice Center	\$586,460
New York	Youth Police Academy	\$90,000
NORTH CAROLINA		
Raleigh	Phase 2 — SAFIS Expansion, EFIPS Connectivity,	
	and AFIS Networking Program	\$1,495,381
NORTH DAKOTA		
Belcourt	Tribal Strategies Against Violence	\$120,000
OHIO		
Akron	Weed and Seed Recognition	\$35,000
OKLAHOMA		
Ada	Tribal Strategies Against Violence	\$120,000
OREGON		
Portland	Gang Organized Crime Narcotics (OCN)	
C-1	Violence Enforcement Project	\$200,000
Salem	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$149,000
PENNSYLVANIA		****
Harrisburg Harrisburg	Drugfire Equipment Program Middle Atlantic-Great Lakes Organized	\$149,000
Hairisburg	Crime Law Enforcement Network (MAGLOCLEN)	\$2,500,334
Philadelphia	Comprehensive Communities Technical Assistance	\$249,953
Philadelphia	Design, Implementation, and Impact of	
	the Comprehensive Homicide Initiative	\$48,486
Wynnewood	National Town Watch Crime and Drug Prevention Campaign National Night Out 1996	\$200,000
	Campaign National Night Out 1330	φε00,000
RHODE ISLAND	Dung Char English and Dung day and	¢0.0 000
Providence	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$36,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		+00.000
Eagle Butte	Sioux Nation Supreme Court	\$36,000
TENNESSEE		
Chattanooga	Weed and Seed Recognition	\$35,000
Nashville	Regional Organized Crime Information Center (ROCIC)	\$2,661,900
Nashville Oak Ridge	Statewide Intelligence Sharing (SIS) Project Oak Ridge National Laboratory Forensic Sciences Program	\$100,000 \$250,000
— Jan Muge	our mage radional Laboratory Potensic Sciences (10grain	φωσυ,υυυ —————————————————————————————————
TEXAS	Dworfing Equipment Program	¢1.4.4.000
Austin Austin	Drugfire Equipment Program Texas Watch Your Car	\$144,000 \$150,000
1 IGJUII	TOTAL TRUTTE TOUT OUT	ψ100,000

TABLE 4 (continued):

FY 1996
Discretionary
Awards
(Byrne and
Other Funding),
Program
Descriptions
and Funding
Amounts

Location	Program Description	Funding
Fort Worth	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase II-B Demonstration	n \$400,000
UTAH		
Salt Lake City	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase II	\$400,000
VERMONT		
Burlington	Community Support Program	\$75,000
VIRGINIA		
Alexandria	Community Prosecution Training and Technical Assistance	\$499,994
Alexandria	DNA Legal Assistance Unit	\$150,000
Alexandria	Firearms Trafficking Interdiction Technical Assistance Project	\$250,000
Alexandria	IACP National Law Enforcement Policy Center	\$150,000
Alexandria	National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws	\$844,842
Alexandria	Training Local Law Enforcement Officers in	
	Anti-Drug Activities Involving Illegal Aliens	\$174,997
Alexandria	Triad: A Strategy to Reduce the Criminal	
	Victimization of Older Persons	\$50,000
Arlington	Clandestine Laboratory Safety Certification Training	\$200,000
Arlington	1 0	\$2,000,000
Richmond	Comprehensive Homicide Initiative	\$350,000
Vienna	Training and Technical Support for the Model Clandestine Drug Laboratory Enforcement Program (Phase III)	\$149,823
Williamsburg	Community-Focused Courts Development	
o o	Initiative: Implementation Phase	\$199,857
Williamsburg	Model of Effective Court-Based Service	
· ·	Delivery to Children and Their Families: Program Expansion	\$149,898
Williamsburg	Trial Court Performance Standards and	
	Measurement System: Coordination Efforts	\$124,994
WASHINGTON		
Olympia	Drugfire Equipment Program	\$149,000
Puyallup	Tribal Strategies Againist Violence	\$120,000
Seattle	Comprehensive Communities Program — Phase II	\$400,000
WEST VIRGINIA		
Charleston		\$3,758,642
South Charleston	West Virginia Firearms Violations/Trafficking Task Force Project	\$125,000

TOTAL AMOUNT OF AWARDS

\$80,469,209

TABLE 5:

FY 1996 Local Law Enforcement Block Grants by State and Purpose Area for Local Jurisdictions

	Purpose 1A	Purpose 1B	Purpose 1C	Purpose 2	Purpose 3
State	Law Enforcement Hiring	Law Enforcement Overtime	Equipment and Technology	Crime Prevention	Security Measures
ALABAMA	658,235	711,677	4,104,171	354,470	106,674
ALASKA	0	377,210	162,318	19,868	1,000
ARIZONA	1,054,528	364,673	1,878,992	333,431	33,316
ARKANSAS	155,243	160,140	2,171,910	1,000	55,111
CALIFORNIA	14,632,468	6,258,150	32,769,808	10,093,092	1,234,722
COLORADO	339,770	60,307	2,729,101	184,857	54,108
CONNECTICUT	54,533	1,059,619	1,228,838	231,694	8,100
DELAWARE	90,000	94,110	625,978	46,569	88,399
FLORIDA	5,450,396	3,599,841	16,384,614	2,262,073	2,057,037
GEORGIA	658,874	3,947,454	2,993,556	769,581	209,749
HAWAII	384,014	193,200	369,159	113,627	0
IDAHO	43,161	76,953	485,589	92,197	84,701
ILLINOIS	589,703	967,548	13,412,249	2,509,941	5,128,610
INDIANA	97,165	419,158	3,465,413	639,281	273,266
IOWA	29,547	269,696	988,861	46,412	106,000
KANSAS	12,336	456,226	1,671,195	111,130	5,000
KENTUCKY	157,394	422,641	2,715,907	74,761	211,428
LOUISIANA	362,559	2,968,038	4,731,049	236,832	121,843
MAINE	151,531	33,730	363,233	53,301	10,000
MARYLAND					
	3,885,749	1,043,896	2,331,256	1,419,164	103,605
MASSACHUSETTS MICHIGAN	718,479	1,432,588	4,297,610	1,847,133	565,281
	1,309,994	1,898,521	9,319,273	706,130	99,593
MINNESOTA	286,049	530,741	1,211,988	372,032	154,842
MISSISSIPPI	57,253	129,449	1,849,951	39,635	31,672
MISSOURI	219,997	1,005,566	2,367,921	2,398,552	525,205
MONTANA	208,437	57,796	543,421	55,590	60,889
NEBRASKA NEWA DA	86,000	22,537	943,954	0	0
NEVADA	50,000	16,918	1,153,406	0	399,236
NEW HAMPSHIRE	118,642	124,325	495,179	14,200	35,907
NEW JERSEY	758,434	2,052,469	5,487,590	532,163	106,403
NEW MEXICO	17,733	87,941	1,815,795	408,926	143,769
NEW YORK	21,310,263	6,772,802	3,502,684	1,017,597	2,413,678
NORTH CAROLINA	934,786	884,504	4,873,369	305,329	220,164
NORTH DAKOTA	99,600	125,738	663,036	20,400	18,990
OHIO	4,282,429	779,076	4,277,582	755,319	282,888
OKLAHOMA	91,477	89,465	1,643,533	1,478,652	0
OREGON	630,822	937,628	940,203	141,437	2,500
PENNSYLVANIA	500,874	5,036,736	2,233,182	766,584	131,708
RHODE ISLAND	21,000	547,237	245,045	24,781	82,889
SOUTH CAROLINA	654,674	1,113,210	3,859,382	276,080	426,860
SOUTH DAKOTA	273,416	80,905	483,667	10,000	142,805
TENNESSEE	345,088	1,456,025	2,548,245	692,977	1,130,993
TEXAS	3,022,760	2,557,471	17,132,082	2,162,147	187,531
UTAH	110,575	83,141	640,606	25,398	300
VERMONT	18,500	50,688	358,511	76,437	64,738
VIRGINIA	524,686	891,915	2,275,675	434,201	108,456
WASHINGTON	829,276	141,386	3,187,317	512,066	247,987
WEST VIRGINIA	8,000	157,013	547,549	1,000	12,711
WISCONSIN	933,492	169,628	643,483	104,681	5,390
WYOMING	35,716	19,546	480,815	88,921	78,365
TOTAL	67,265,658	52,737,232	175,605,251	34,861,649	17,574,419

TABLE 5 (continued):

FY 1996 Local Law Enforcement Block Grants by State and Purpose Area for Local Jurisdictions

	Purpose 4	Purpose 5	Purpose 6	Purpose 7	
State	Drug Courts	Adjudication	Multi- Jurisdictional Task Force	Insurance Idemnification	FY TOTAL GRANT
ALABAMA	20,846	65,596	0	8,592	6,030,261
ALASKA	0	200,000	0	0	760,396
ARIZONA	4,500	2,073,630	35,244	0	5,776,314
ARKANSAS	0	0	11,643	0	2,555,047
CALIFORNIA	3,500,242	2,909,408	650,035	169,778	72,217,700
COLORADO	272,280	20,909	0	0	3,661,332
CONNECTICUT	40,000	23,000	0	\$0	2,645,764
DELAWARE	0	0	50,000	0	995,056
FLORIDA	2,882,049	349,739	43,000	302,320	33,331,060
GEORGIA	236,868	191,824	353,710	129,832	9,491,448
HAWAII	0	0	0	0	1,060,000
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	782,601
ILLINOIS	285,269	0	78,953	0	22,972,270
INDIANA	140.505	444,528	84,843	0	5,564,169
IOWA	0	45,000	0	4,000	1,469,516
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	2,255,887
KENTUCKY	0	33,308	5,000	0	3,620,438
LOUISIANA	400,107	167,255	16,389	0	9,004,072
MAINE	0	85,286	0	0	697,081
MARYLAND	0	1,467,237	0	0	10,250,900
MASSACHUSETTS	224,103	91,055	177,684	0	8,353,933
MICHIGAN	240,491	709,286	246,384	4,050	14,533,720
MINNESOTA	111,575	280,200	0	\$0	2,847,427
MISSISSIPPI	40,909	0	0	0	2,148,868
MISSOURI	1,080,390	0	0	0	7,687,831
MONTANA	530	170	0	0	826,833
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	1,052,491
NEVADA	673,644	116,233	0	0	2,409,437
NEW HAMPSHIRE	073,044	1,000	0	0	789,253
NEW JERSEY	78,346	30,000	30,000	50,000	9,125,405
NEW MEXICO	276,257	0	0	0	2,750,421
NEW YORK	2,510,711	3,229,991	15,264	0	40,772,990
NORTH CAROLINA	178,465	809.884	182.932	1,447	8,390,880
NORTH DAKOTA	0	17,486	0	5,000	950,250
OHIO	175,915	247,587	5,000	0	10,805,790
OKLAHOMA	16,134	835,265	0	0	4,154,528
OREGON	101,602	71,759	84,139	0	2,910,090
PENNSYLVANIA	0	81.991	04,133	0	8,751,075
RHODE ISLAND	0	3,000	0	0	923,962
SOUTH CAROLINA	30,000	278,115	0	0	
SOUTH CAROLINA SOUTH DAKOTA	30,000	0	0	0	8,638,321 990,793
		-		0	
TENNESSEE	508,546	1,169,334	35,009 23.643	0	7,886,217
TEXAS	241,778	321,092	-,	0	26,648,500
UTAH VERMONT	100,000	3 000	3 000		880,020
VERMONT	3,500	3,000	3,000	22,025	600,399
VIRGINIA	51,145	63,000	0	0	4,349,078
WASHINGTON	240,000	276,484	0	0	5,434,516
WEST VIRGINIA	1,000	1,000	0	0	728,273
WISCONSIN WYOMING	168,118	221,180	0	0	2,245,972 703,363
TOTAL	14,835,825	16,934,832	2,131,872	697,044	382,643,700*

^{*} Total for Local jurisdictions. Additional funds for State and earmarked projects plus administration costs bring total to \$503 million.

TABLE 6:

Local Law Enforcement Block Grants by State and State Agencies

State	FY 1996 Award	State Police	Local Government
ALABAMA	599,175.00	581,200.00	0.00
ALASKA	299,604.00	296,608.00	0.00
AMERICAN SAMOA	349,800.00	169,653.00	169,653.00
ARIZONA	153,317.00	0.00	153,317.00
ARKANSAS	350,602.00	0.00	343,590.00
CALIFORNIA	540,645.00	0.00	524,426.00
COLORADO	364,125.00	0.00	353,201.00
CONNECTICUT	498,317.00	180,000.00	318,317.00
DELAWARE	64,944.00	41,492.00	21,502.00
FLORIDA	543,062.00	0.00	526,770.00
GEORGIA	899,573.00	400,000.00	497,213.00
GUAM	530,000.00	514,100.00	0.00
IDAHO	258,975.00	25,898.00	225,308.00
ILLINOIS	1,075,048.00	0.00	1,075,048.00
INDIANA	258,975.00	25,898.00	225,308.00
IOWA	333,497.00	64,697.00	258,795.00
KANSAS	388,399.00	0.00	376,747.00
KENTUCKY	619,388.00	407,705.00	193,102.00
LOUISIANA	298,149.00	0.00	298,149.00
MAINE	326,722.00	326,722.00	0.00
MARYLAND	187,128.00	187,128.00	0.00
MASSACHUSETTS	652,054.00	0.00	632,492.00
MICHIGAN	911,416.00	0.00	911,416.00
MINNESOTA	624,476.00	0.00	605,742.00
MISSISSIPPI	264,608.00	0.00	256,670.00
MISSOURI	702,635.00	0.00	702,635.00
MONTANA	174,398.00	0.00	169,166.00
NEBRASKA	186,242.00	0.00	186,242.00
NEVADA	51,178.00	0.00	51,178.00
NEW HAMPSHIRE	247,475.00	100,000.00	140,051.00
NEW JERSEY	1,110,313.00	538,502.00	538,502.00
NEW MEXICO	195,250.00	139,250.00	56,000.00
NEW YORK	932,318.00	450,000.00	454,348.00
NORTH CAROLINA	711,877.00	0.00	690,530.00
NORTH DAKOTA	108,162.00	0.00	108,162.00
NORTHERN MARIANAS	180,200.00	174,794.00	0.00
OHIO	913,827.00	0.00	886,412.00
OKLAHOMA	645,655.00	313,142.00	313,142.00
OREGON	312,624.00	15,631.00	296,993.00
PENNSYLVANIA	1,779,496.00	1,779,496.00	0.00
PUERTO RICO	6,054,472.00	5,449,025.00	0.00
RHODE ISLAND	121,404.00	4,856.00	116,548.00
SOUTH CAROLINA	333,018.00	0.00	260,444.00
SOUTH DAKOTA	133,340.00	66,670.00	66,670.00
TENNESSEE	298,288.00	289,339.00	0.00
TEXAS	1,749,858.00	52,496.00	1,400,000.00
UTAH	241,837.00	13,881.94	220,700.00
VERMONT	459,592.00	216,505.00	229,299.00
VIRGINIA	512,075.00	0.00	496,713.00
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1,060,000.00	1,060,000.00	0.00
WASHINGTON	416,879.00	0.00	404,373.00
WEST VIRGINIA	236,791.00	9,938.00	219,730.00
WISCONSIN	618,934.00	0.00	618,934.00
WYOMING	153,607.00	153,607.00	0.00
TOTAL	32,033,744	14,048,234	16,593,538

BJA Documents

Published October 1, 1995 – September 30, 1996

ANNUAL REPORT

BJA Annual Report 1995 (NCJ 161417)

DOCUMENT SCANS FOR FILE CONVERSION:

A Report to the Attorney General: Multijurisdictional Task Forces Use of Overtime and Related Issues FY 1994 (NCJ 160936)

Lengthening the Stride: Employing Peace Officers From Newly Arrived Ethnic Groups (National Criminal Prevention Council, NCJ 159738)

Building and Crossing Bridges: Refugees and Law Enforcement Working Together (National Crime Prevention Council, NCJ l50047)

FACT SHEETS:

Boys and Girls Clubs of America (FS00106)

Business Alliance Program: Creating Business and Community Partnerships (FS000119)

Comprehensive Gang Initiative (FS000116)

Correctional Options Grant Program (FS000056)

Drug Abuse Resistance Education (D.A.R.E.) (FS000039)

 $Denial\ of\ Federal\ Benefits\ Program\ and\ Clearing house\ (FS000102)$

Defense Procurement Fraud Debarment Clearinghouse (FS000103)

Differentiated Case Management (FS000061)

Federal Surplus Real Property Transfer Program (FS000045)

Financial Investigations Program (FS00012)

FY 1994 Review of State Formula Grant Strategies (FS000110)

Keeping Drug Activity Out of Rental Property: Establishing Landlord Training Programs (FS000117)

Local Law Enforcement Block Grants Program (FS000l47)

Model State Drug Statutes (FS000047)

Multiagency Response to Clandestine Drug Laboratories (FS00014)

National Citizens' Crime Prevention Campaign (FS000121)

National Night Out: A Community-Police Partnership Program (FS000122)

National White Collar Crime Center (FS000120)

NCJRS Document Data Base (FS000048)

NCJRS Online Services (FS000041)

Organized Crime Narcotics Trafficking Enforcement Program (FS000115)

Police Hiring Supplement Program (FS000111)

Prison Industry Enhancement Certification Program (FS000046)

Public Safety Officers' Benefits Program (FS000066)

Regional Information Sharing System (FS000037)

SEARCH Training and Technical Assistance Program (FS000107)

Training Local Law Enforcement Officers in Criminal Activities Involving Illegal Aliens (FS000109)

Treatment Accountability for Safer Communities (FS000044)

Trial Court Performance Standards and Measurement System (FS000059)

MISCELLANEOUS:

Understanding Community Policing (reprint with covers) (NCJ 148457) (OF)

MONOGRAPHS:

Developing A Strategy for a Multiagency Response to Clandestine Laboratories (Reprint) (NCJ 142643) (OF)

Regional Seminar Series on Developing and Implementing State Anti-Stalking Codes (NCJ 156836) National Assessment of Structured Sentencing (NCJ 153853)

PUBLICATIONS LISTS:

BJA Publications List-Fall 1995 (BC000189) BJA Publications List-Spring 1996 (BC000189) BJA Publications List Redesign-Summer 1996 (BC000189)

**OF — Fee for Service Product

This publication was supported by cooperative agreement number 97-DD-BX-0011 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice to the National Council on Crime and Delinquency.

For a copy of this document online, as well as more information on BJA, check the BJA Home Page at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA



U.S Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs

Bureau of Justice Assistance

Washington, DC 20531

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 BULK RATE
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
DOJ/BJA
Permit No. G-91