

BJS FY25 State Justice Statistics Program for Statistical Analysis Centers

Total Amount To Be Awarded Under This Funding Opportunity: \$3,000,000

Anticipated Award Ceiling: Up to \$225,000

Anticipated Period of Performance Duration: 12 to 36 months

Funding Opportunity Number: O-BJS-2025-172526

Deadline to submit SF-424 in Grants.gov: **February 24, 2026, by 11:59 PM ET**

Deadline to submit application in JustGrants: **March 3, 2026 by 8:59 PM ET**



CONTENTS

	Basic Information	3
	Eligibility	6
	Program Description	8
	Application Contents, Submission Requirements, and Deadlines.....	17
	Application Review	28
	Award Notices.....	30
	Post-Award Requirements and Administration	31
	Other Information	33
	Application Checklist.....	34



BASIC INFORMATION

The [U.S. Department of Justice](#) (DOJ), [Office of Justice Programs](#) (OJP), [Bureau of Justice Statistics](#) (BJS) is accepting applications for funding in response to this notice of funding opportunity (NOFO).

Agency Name	U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics
NOFO Title	BJS FY25 State Justice Statistics Program for Statistical Analysis Centers
Announcement Type	Initial
Funding Opportunity Number	O-BJS-2025-172526
Assistance Listing Number	16.550

Executive Summary

This funding opportunity seeks to fund applications from state Statistical Analysis Centers (SACs) under the fiscal year (FY) 2025 State Justice Statistics (SJS) program. The program supports the collection, analysis, and dissemination of statistical information on crime and criminal justice at the state and local levels. Please see the [Eligible Applicants](#) section for the eligibility criteria.

OJP is committed to advancing work that furthers DOJ's mission to uphold the rule of law, to keep our country safe, and to protect civil rights. OJP provides federal leadership, funding, and other critical resources to directly support law enforcement, combat violent crime, protect American children, provide services to American crime victims, and address public safety challenges, including human trafficking and the opioid crisis.

Key Dates and Times

Funding Opportunity Release Date	January 15, 2026
SAM.gov Registration/Renewal	N/A
Step 1: Grants.gov Application Deadline	11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on February 24, 2026
Step 2: JustGrants Application Deadline	8:59 p.m. Eastern Time on March 3, 2026

Funding Details

Total Amount To Be Awarded Under This Funding Opportunity: \$3,000,000

Anticipated Number of Awards: 38

Anticipated Award Ceiling: Up to \$225,000

Note: Applicants may propose a budget that is less than the anticipated award ceiling amount. The budget should be reasonable to conduct the proposed project and be within the applicant's capacity to manage.

Anticipated Period of Performance Start Date: April 1, 2026

Anticipated Period of Performance Duration: 12 to 36 months

Availability of Funds

This funding opportunity, and awards under this funding opportunity, are subject to the availability of funding and any changes or additional requirements that may be imposed by the agency or by law. In addition, nothing in this NOFO is intended to, nor does it, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States or its departments, agencies, entities, officers, employees, agents, or any other person.

Statutory Authority

BJS is authorized to issue this NOFO under 34 U.S.C. §§ 10131–10132.

Agency Contact Information

For assistance with the requirements of this funding opportunity:

Stephanie L. Burroughs, Chief of the Criminal Justice Data Improvement Programs Unit

Phone: 202-307-0765

Email: AskBJS@usdoj.gov (include “FY25 SJS” as the subject)

For assistance with **SAM.gov** (registration/renewal):

SAM.gov Help Desk

Web: [SAM.gov Help Desk \(Federal Service Desk\)](#)

Hours of operation: 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. ET Monday–Friday, except on federal holidays

For assistance with **Grants.gov** (registration, submission of the Application for Federal Assistance SF-424):

Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline

Phone: 800-518-4726, 606-545-5035

Email: support@grants.gov

Web: [Grants.gov Customer Support](#)

Hours of operation: 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays

For assistance with **JustGrants** (registration, submission of full application):

JustGrants Service Desk

Phone: 833-872-5175

Email: JustGrants.Support@usdoj.gov

Hours of operation: 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. ET Monday–Friday and 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET on Saturday, Sunday, and federal holidays.

For procedures related to unforeseen technical issues beyond the control of the applicant that impact submission by the deadlines, see [Experiencing Technical Issues Preventing Submission of an Application \(Technical Waivers\)](#).

Resources for Applying

[OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#): Referred to as the Application Resource Guide throughout the NOFO, this resource provides guidance to help applicants for OJP funding prepare and submit their applications.

[JustGrants Application Submission Training Webpage](#): Offers helpful information and resources on the grant application process.

Note: If this NOFO requires something different from any guidance provided in the [Application Resource Guide](#), the difference will be noted in this NOFO and the applicant should follow the guidance in this NOFO.



ELIGIBILITY

Eligible Applicants

The types of entities that are eligible to apply for this funding opportunity are listed below:

- **Other**
 - SACs established by state legislation or executive order

“Other” Entities Definition:

- State SACs are the only entities eligible to apply for funds under the SJS program. Applicants must meet the requirements for a SAC as specified in these guidelines.

The applicant SAC must be authorized by state legislation or executive order. The SAC must be a nonpartisan professional organization that serves all branches of the criminal justice system, all levels of government in the state, and the public. Objectivity, independence, and visibility are important considerations in determining the SAC's placement in the state government. It is desirable that the SAC is not part of an agency that has operational responsibilities in criminal justice programs. If the SAC is located in such an agency (*e.g.*, state police, department of corrections, or court administrative office), special provisions must be made to ensure the SAC's broad mission, objectivity, independence, and visibility. These provisions must be documented in each application for funding. Examples of such provisions are letters of agreement from agencies that deal with other aspects of criminal justice in the state, or a SAC advisory board that includes policy-level officials of such agencies. The SAC must inform BJS of any substantive changes in these provisions, such as changes in the structure of the advisory board or revisions in the scope of letters of agreement.

Applications for SAC funding must contain an explanation of the placement of the SAC within the state organizational structure, including the SAC's relationship to the governor's office, other relevant state agencies, and any other organizations included as recipients of funds in the application. A current list of SACs in each state is available [here](#).

The SAC must be staffed by professionals skilled in the application of statistical methods and techniques, including a SAC director whose background includes the education and experience appropriate to the position. SAC staff should be familiar with the factors, issues, and processes involved in crime and the criminal justice system. Each application must identify the SAC director and other key personnel and must provide summaries of their qualifications. Job descriptions must be provided for vacant positions. If the SAC directorship becomes vacant after an award is made, the recipient agency must notify BJS and submit the position description and required qualifications to be used in recruiting a replacement. When a replacement is designated, a resume of the individual's qualifications must be submitted to BJS for approval.

Some or all of the work may be performed under the SAC's overall direction by other persons or organizations, such as other state agencies, universities, nonprofit research

firms, and private consultants. If work will be performed outside of the SAC, the application must include the qualifications of those performing the work. A SAC that wishes to apply for funds in cooperation with another organization but lacks the authority to transfer funds to the cooperating organization should contact its BJS state grant manager.

BJs recommends applicants submit their applications to the SJS-SAC TA provider for review and feedback prior to submission in JustGrants.

Limit on Number of Applications

An applicant may submit only one application in response to this NOFO. That application can apply to only one category of the NOFO.

Applications under which two or more entities (project partners) would carry out the federal award will be considered. However, only one entity may be the applicant for the NOFO; any others must be proposed as subrecipients. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on subawards.

An entity may be proposed as a subrecipient in more than one application.

Cost Sharing/Match Requirement

This NOFO does **not** require cost sharing/match.



PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

General Purpose of the Funding

Pursuant to 34 U.S.C. § 10132(c)(13), BJS is authorized to “provide for the development of justice information systems programs and assistance to the States, Indian tribes, and units of local government relating to collection, analysis, or dissemination of justice statistics.” For the purpose of this NOFO, the term “state” includes the District of Columbia and U.S. territories.

BJS will provide funds for core capacity-building projects and special-emphasis capacity-building projects focused on specific areas.

The SJS program areas are:

I. Core Capacity-Building Projects

Projects in the following areas are priority capacity-building activities. Core capacity-building projects should focus on enhancing or building access to new sources of data and improving analytical capability to collect, analyze, and interpret data on criminal justice issues (rather than maintaining current efforts). For example, funds should not be requested to update annual or reoccurring reports unless the update involves substantial revisions in methods or new sources of data.

A. Research Using Incident-Based Crime Data Compatible with the National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS). The SAC may use SJS funds to conduct research and analysis of NIBRS-compatible incident-based data that will reflect the utility of these data for studying criminal justice issues. Topical areas of interest for BJS include hate crimes; crimes committed on tribal lands; human trafficking; drug-related arrests, especially those involving methamphetamine, opioid, cocaine, or marijuana possession, trafficking, or distribution; and the citizenship or legal status of arrestees.

Projects proposed in this area would include an assessment and review of the quality of the incident-based data used. Final reports must include a thorough discussion of data quality. Results of the analysis may help the state’s crime reporting program focus training or outreach activities to improve data quality. These analyses must also result in a report of publishable quality to be disseminated nationally.

One goal of this focus area is to assess the quality and completeness of incident-based crime data reported by local law enforcement agencies to NIBRS. To support this goal, BJS is particularly interested in analyses that will—

- examine how law enforcement agencies have recorded the relationship(s) between the victim(s) and the offender(s) for violent offenses reported to that state’s incident-based reporting (IBR) program or to NIBRS. These efforts would include observing changes over time in the types of relationships identified, determining whether reporting practices differ across reporting units, and, where applicable, assessing the crosswalk from state IBR relationship categories to the federal NIBRS relationship categories.

- analyze and evaluate state IBR or NIBRS data on property crimes, both personal and commercial, including an assessment over time and across reporting units of the quality and completeness of data elements that record property type and value, how fraud offenses are categorized, and clearance information. If the state IBR program has reporting requirements for property crimes that differ from the federal program, the analysis should include a comparison of the state and federal requirements.
- examine the reporting of simple and aggravated assaults to the state IBR program or NIBRS to assess differences in how local law enforcement agencies have recorded assault over time and across reporting units, and to determine whether any correlation exists between clearance rates for assaults and changes in recording practices across the years after controlling for other contextualizing factors.
- compare IBR practices across reporting units in the state over time to understand changes in the use of different incident-based data codes (*e.g.*, different values for victim-offender relationship or use of bias motivation data element) and the impact of changes to the IBR reporting requirements on data provided by local law enforcement agencies.

In addition, SJS funds under this priority area may also be used to examine the utility of linking incident-based crime reports to a state's criminal history records, thus creating analytical datasets. This effort would be conducted in partnership with the state's criminal history record repository. Similarly, a necessary component of such a project must be an assessment and review of the quality of the criminal history record information used.

- B. Collecting and Analyzing Data on Criminal Justice System Processes.** The SAC may use SJS funds to help the state develop and improve criminal justice statistical processes and make analytical tools available to agencies to better address public safety and administration of justice goals. For example, a SAC could use SJS funds for data collection that helps inform or otherwise plan for the justice programs involving reentry, victim services, courts and prosecutor processes, record sealing and expungement practices, and law enforcement initiatives.
- C. Increasing Access to Statistical Data.** The SAC may use SJS funds for electronic infrastructure development, enhancements, and linkages, including developing or using new sources of data; building or enhancing a website; providing computer support; and preparing reports for dissemination via the internet. BJS encourages website enhancements that include downloadable datasets and spreadsheets, online analytical capabilities, Application Programming Interfaces (APIs), graphical presentations, and animation to present and explain movement of trends. These enhancements should go beyond incrementally adding a new year's worth of data to existing files and tables.
- D. Other Capacity-building Projects Identified by the SAC.** The SAC may use SJS funds to support research examining another topic, provided that the project

builds the SAC's capacity to collect, analyze, and report on criminal justice statistics and share state-level information nationally. The application must be accompanied by persuasive documentation and justification that the subject is a top priority for the state's governor or senior criminal justice policy officials and that use of federal funds is appropriate to support the work.

Any application for funding under the core capacity-building area must include a specific justification for each proposed project that fully explains exactly how the project will respond to capacity-building needs identified by the SAC.

This should be a long-range plan that includes:

- **An assessment of the SAC's current data collection, analysis, and publication capabilities, including staff analytical capabilities.**
- **A description of how the proposed project(s) will enhance those capabilities.**
- **A description of how the capacity built will be maintained after the conclusion of the SJS award.**

The strength of the justification and plan will be an important consideration for BJS in making a final award decision. The intent is for federal funds to build new or enhance current capacities, as opposed to maintaining current efforts. Up to \$75,000 annually may be sought for core capacity-building projects. The time frame may range from 12 to 36 months based on the nature of the proposed project(s) and the quality of the supporting strategic plan. At the end of the project period, final deliverables must be submitted to BJS as part of the final progress report (including but not limited to any other final reports, evaluations, and website enhancements). Applications may also include travel funds for up to two people to attend one research and statistics-focused conference, workshop, or meeting approved by BJS. Travel costs can be separate from the funding cap on core capacity-building or special-emphasis projects.

II. Special-Emphasis Capacity-Building Projects

A SAC may also apply for funding for special-emphasis projects that support areas of particular interest to BJS or national priorities in these specific areas:

- A. **Use of Administrative or Operational Criminal Justice Data.** SACs are encouraged to seek SJS funds to implement new or enhance existing interfaces or data exchange technologies that improve statistical access to data and systems maintained by other state or local entities, including local jails, departments of correction, parole and probation agencies, prosecutor offices and courts, law enforcement agencies, and victim service providers.

For example, funds may be requested to establish the SAC's technical capacity to conduct research based on criminal history records. Funds may also be requested to help establish data exchanges that would provide the SAC with data, develop analytical tools, and/or create electronic infrastructure on—

- state court or prosecutor statistics, including criminal case initiations, pretrial activities, bail, bond, plea bargains, dispositions, and sentences.

- admissions, exits, and stock populations for jails, probation, or parole.
- law enforcement and court statistics on the citizenship or legal status of persons arrested, detained, prosecuted, and sentenced.
- deaths that occur in custody during arrest or in correctional custody (e.g., jails, prisons, juvenile facilities).
- emergency room admissions data to support research on violent injuries, substance abuse, mental health, elder abuse, human trafficking, and other relevant issues.

Proposed projects may include (1) the establishment of the data exchange capacity, if one does not currently exist, or (2) the use of this capacity in the form of a targeted research project. The project must include a systematic data quality assessment, which will be incorporated as a project deliverable. **This assessment should follow a standardized approach, such as use of the data quality assessment tool developed by the Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology or a similar tool that considers all aspects of quality from a statistical perspective.** See “Data Quality Assessment Tool for Administrative Data,” by a working group of the Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology, at <https://nces.ed.gov/FCSM/pdf/DataQualityAssessmentTool.pdf>.

A letter of cooperation or support from the operational agency or agencies involved should be included with the application.

B. Analysis of State Criminal History Records. BJS encourages SACs to use the state’s criminal history records for statistical purposes. A SAC may also use SJS funds to support analyses of—

- patterns of criminal behavior, such as sex offending, stalking, or domestic violence.
- arrests, prosecutions, and convictions for firearms-related offenses.
- the relationship between citizenship or legal status and patterns of criminal behavior.
- recidivism of persons in prison or under community supervision, including rates of rearrest, reconviction, and return to custody.
- linkage of criminal history records to other data sources to explore factors that may affect patterns of offending or recidivism.

BJS is particularly interested in analyses that will—

- evaluate the accuracy and completeness of a state’s criminal history records to identify statewide record-improvement strategies, in partnership with the state’s criminal history record repository or National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP) administering agency. Areas explored may include the extent to which arrest information is followed by prosecutor or court disposition information, how probation or parole violations are reflected on records, how law enforcement practices around warrant arrests may affect data quality, how sealed and expunged records are handled, and how the use of free text or unstructured data fields may affect the use of records.

- explore the feasibility of using the state’s criminal history records as a source of statewide arrest or booking statistics, in collaboration with the state’s criminal history record repository or NCHIP administering agency. Areas explored may include the uniformity of reporting practices by law enforcement, courts, and corrections agencies in a state; and the correlation between criminal history data and other sources of arrest, prosecution, and court case-processing data.
- explore the quality of court and sentencing data to examine felony case processing in partnership with the state’s court administrative office, sentencing commission, or similar agency. Areas explored may include the extent to which these records can support analysis of criminal case processing time and analysis of charges or plea bargaining.
- examine recidivism patterns of convicted offenders using longer observation periods than the traditional 3- or 5-year studies (e.g., 8-, 10-, or 15-year follow-up periods). Areas examined may include the recidivism patterns for specific types of perpetrators and analyses that include different covariates related to recidivism outcomes.

SAC grants that may support such analyses will be awarded on the condition that the documents (including basic study questions, methodology, sampling procedures, instrumentation (if any), and an analysis plan) will be submitted to BJS for review and approval, if they are not included with the SAC application. Because BJS is very interested in uniformity across states in such analytical areas, collaboration between states or a multi-SAC approach is encouraged.

An application for funding under the special-emphasis project area must include an impact statement that provides additional justification for each proposed project regarding how each project will directly support or enhance the priorities of BJS and the nation. The strength of the impact statement will be an important consideration in the final award decision on the SAC’s application. For example, the national impact statement should address areas such as:

- How the project will support the sharing of state-level information nationally.
- Enhancement of the state’s capability to collect, analyze, and interpret data on criminal justice issues relevant to the states and the nation.
- Enhancement or support of specific BJS collections by, for example, increasing access to new data sources, improving coverage, reducing respondent burden, or improving or implementing uniformity across states in analytical efforts.
- If and how the state will make maximum use of state-level data collected by BJS or other DOJ components to conduct analyses.
- How the project findings will be used to influence state criminal justice policy and practice, including describing who is interested in the data and the data’s intended uses, and how the resulting work will be shared with other state entities, other state SACs, and the public.

BJS encourages collaboration among the SACs (*i.e.*, multi-SAC projects), particularly in projects that may leverage one SAC’s expertise in another SAC’s proposed project to help build capacity. At the end of the special-emphasis project period, final deliverables

must be submitted to BJS as part of the final performance report, including final reports, evaluations, and website enhancements. Up to \$150,000 annually may be sought for a special-emphasis project; the time frame may range from 12 to 36 months based on the nature of the proposed project(s) and quality of the supporting justification, although funding may not be available for the full duration of the project in FY 2025. Depending on the strength of the proposals and availability of funds, BJS anticipates making up to 15 awards for special-emphasis projects.

Applicants should refer to [Application Contents, Submission Requirements, and Deadlines: Budget Detail Form](#) for information on allowable and unallowable costs that may inform the development of their project design.

Agency Funding Priorities

In order to advance public safety and help meet its mission, OJP will provide priority consideration to applicants that propose (as applicable within the scope of this funding opportunity) projects designed to advance the goals listed below. Applicants seeking priority consideration should specify in the proposal narrative (and in the budget detail form, if applicable) which of the following goal(s) the project is intended to advance and how it will do so:

- (a) Directly supporting law enforcement operations (including immigration law enforcement operations);
- (b) Combatting violent crime;
- (c) Supporting services to American citizens;
- (d) Protecting American children; and
- (e) Supporting American victims of trafficking and sexual assault.

Note: Addressing these priority areas is one of many factors that OJP considers in making funding decisions. Receiving priority consideration for one or more priority areas does not guarantee a funding award.

Unallowable Uses of Funds

The following are certain unallowable costs and certain activities that are out of the program scope and will not be funded.

1. Out of program scope is any program or activity, at any tier that, directly or indirectly, violates (or promotes or facilitates the violation of) federal immigration law (including 8 U.S.C. § 1373) or impedes or hinders the enforcement of federal immigration law—including by failing to comply with 8 U.S.C. § 1373, give access to DHS agents, or honor DHS requests and provide requested notice to DHS agents.
2. Out of program scope is any program or activity, at any tier that violates any applicable Federal civil rights or nondiscrimination law. This includes violations that – (1) indirectly violate the law, including by promoting or facilitating violations; or (2) unlawfully favor individuals in any race or protected group, including on a majority or minority, or privileged or unprivileged, basis, within a given area, population, or sector.

- As specified in the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#), in Chapter 3.13 “Unallowable Costs” (“Legal Services for Aliens”), any obligations of funds, at any tier, under this award to provide (or to support the provision of) legal services to any removable alien or any alien otherwise unlawfully present in the United States shall be unallowable costs for purposes any award made under this notice, but the foregoing shall not be understood to apply— (1) to legal services to obtain protection orders for victims of crime; or (2) to immigration-related legal services that may be expressly authorized or required by any law, or any judicial ruling, governing or applicable to the award.

Program Goals and Objectives

Goal: This program provides funds to each state to coordinate statistical activities within the state, conduct research as needed to estimate impacts of legislative and policy changes, and serve in a liaison role in assisting BJS with gathering data from respondent agencies within their states.

SAC programs are established to:

Objective 1: Enhance the capabilities of states to collect, analyze, and report data on justice issues relevant to the states and the nation.

Objective 2: Provide a mechanism that supports the collection and sharing of vital justice system data among the states, and between the states and the federal government.

How Awards Will Contribute to Program Goals/Objectives

With this NOFO, BJS seeks to fund applications from state Statistical Analysis Centers (SACs) under the fiscal year (FY) 2025 State Justice Statistics (SJS) program. The program supports the collection, analysis, and dissemination of statistical information on crime and criminal justice at the state and local levels.

Expected Outcomes: Deliverables and Performance Measures

To achieve the goals and objectives of this funding opportunity, OJP has identified expected deliverables that must be produced by a recipient. OJP has also identified performance measures (pieces of data) that will indicate how a recipient is achieving the performance goals and objectives previously identified. Recipients will need to collect and report this performance measure data to OJP.

OJP will measure success by reviewing a recipient's submission of performance reports and data and the extent to which project implementation reflects progress toward the goals and objectives of this NOFO.

Deliverables

Award recipients will be expected to develop and submit the deliverables listed below in the course of implementing their proposed project.

Applications should include the expected deliverables resulting from the proposed project and include information on how the results will be disseminated with other state entities, other state SACs, and the public. **All materials and reports drafted or produced using funds under this NOFO must be provided to BJS and its SJS-SAC TA provider for review and comment prior to publication. Final deliverables (including final project reports, evaluations, and**

website enhancements) must be included as attachments in the final performance report. Program objectives and deliverables should be included in one timeline.

Performance Measures

OJP will require each award recipient to submit regular performance reports that communicate progress toward achieving the goals and objectives identified in [Program Goals and Objectives](#). Applicants can visit [OJP's performance measurement page](#) at ojp.gov/performance for more information on performance measurement activities.

A list of performance measure questions for this funding opportunity can be found below. Some measures are presented as examples, while others are the exact measures that every recipient will be expected to address.

Objective	Performance Measure	Data Recipient Provides
Enhance the capabilities of states to collect, analyze, and report data on justice issues relevant to the states and the nation	Number of outreach activities planned	Number of outreach activities completed by grantees
	Number of information and/or technical assistance requests submitted	Number of conferences and workshops attended to present findings, provide training, and exchange information
	Number of materials (print and online) disseminated to customers	Number of information and/or technical assistance requests handled by the grantee
	Number of website enhancements planned to improve a SAC's research capabilities	Number of reports and other publications produced (in paper and electronic formats) Number of media releases or advisories produced Number of publications and other data (e.g., spreadsheets) downloaded Number of databases added to interactive query systems Number and type of justice-related databases compiled Number of website enhancements completed to improve a SAC's research capabilities
Provide a mechanism that supports the collection and sharing of vital justice system data among the states and between the states and the federal government	Number of scheduled data collection series and special analyses to be conducted	Number of studies/projects initiated and completed
		Number of new data sources identified for BJS use
		Number of multi-state projects initiated and completed by SACs
		Number of reports produced presenting findings of collaborative projects
		Number of SJS-SAC TA studies or information inquiries
		Number of SAC project datasets submitted to the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data

		<p>Number of new data use agreements signed allowing the SAC to access new sources of state and local data</p> <p>Number of new data collection, analysis, or information strategies created</p>
--	--	--

Funding Instrument

OJP expects to make awards under this funding opportunity as cooperative agreements, which allow OJP to have substantial involvement in carrying out award activities. See the [“Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements”](#) section of the [Application Resource Guide](#) for a brief discussion of important statutes, regulations, and award conditions.



APPLICATION CONTENTS, SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS, AND DEADLINES

This NOFO contains all the information needed to apply for this funding opportunity. The application for this funding opportunity is submitted through web-based forms and attachments in Grants.gov and JustGrants through the steps that follow.

Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and SAM.gov Registration

To submit an application, an applicant must have an active registration in the [System for Award Management \(SAM.gov\)](#). SAM.gov assigns entities a unique entity identifier (UEI) that is required for the entity to apply for federal funding. Applicants will enter their UEI with their application. Award recipients must then maintain an active UEI for the duration of their award's period of performance.

First-time Registration: Entities registering in SAM.gov for the first time will submit information about their entity type and structure, financial information (such as dates of the fiscal year, banking information, and executive compensation), entity points of contact, and other information. The information is reviewed and verified by SAM.gov, and then a UEI is issued. This process may take several weeks, so entities considering applying for funding should begin the registration process as soon as possible.

Renewing an Existing Registration: Entities must renew their SAM.gov registration every 12 months to keep it active. If an entity does not renew their SAM.gov registration, it will expire. An expired registration can delay or prevent the submission of an application for funding in Grants.gov and JustGrants.

Applicants are encouraged to start the SAM.gov registration or renewal process **at least 30 days prior to the application's Grants.gov deadline**. Applicants who fail to begin the registration or renewal process at least 10 business days prior to the Grants.gov deadline may not be able to complete the process in time and will not be considered for a technical waiver that allows for late submission.

Submission Instructions: Summary

Applications must be submitted to DOJ electronically through a two-step process that begins in Grants.gov and is completed in JustGrants. See [Basic Information: Key Dates and Times](#) for the Grants.gov and JustGrants application deadlines.

- **Step 1:** The applicant must submit the required [Application for Federal Assistance SF-424](#) by the Grants.gov deadline.
- **Step 2:** The applicant must submit the full application, including attachments, through JustGrants by the deadline (see [JusticeGrants.usdoj.gov](#)).

Submission Step 1: Grants.gov Submission of SF-424

Access/Registration

If the applicant does not already have a Grants.gov account, they will need to register for this opportunity in Grants.gov. Applicants should follow the Grants.gov [Quick Start Guide for Applicants](#) to register, create a workspace, assign roles, submit an application, and troubleshoot issues.

Submission of the SF-424

Applicants will begin the application process in Grants.gov with the submission of the SF-424, which collects the applicant's name, address, and UEI; the funding opportunity number; and proposed project title, among other information. The SF-424 must be signed by the Grants.gov Authorized Organizational Representative for the applicant.

See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on completing the SF-424.

Section 8F – Applicant Point of Contact: Please include the name and contact information of the individual **who will complete the application in JustGrants**. JustGrants will use this information (*i.e.*, email address) to assign the application to this user in JustGrants.

Section 19 – Intergovernmental Review: This funding opportunity is subject to [Executive Order \(E.O.\) 12372](#) (Intergovernmental Review). States that participate in the Intergovernmental Review process have an opportunity to review the applicant's submission. An applicant may find the names and addresses of state Single Points of Contact (SPOCs) for Intergovernmental Review at <https://www.ojp.gov/IntergovernmentalReviewSPOCList.pdf>. If the applicant's state appears on the SPOC list, the applicant must contact its SPOC to find out about, and comply with, the state's process under E.O. 12372. On the SF-424, an applicant whose state appears on the SPOC list must make the appropriate selection in response to question 19 once the applicant has complied with its state E.O. 12372 process. An applicant whose state does not appear on the SPOC list should answer question 19 by selecting, "Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the state for review."

An applicant should submit the SF-424 as early as possible and recommended not later than 48 hours before the Grants.gov deadline. If an applicant fails to submit the SF-424 in Grants.gov by the deadline, they will be unable to submit their application in JustGrants.

Once the first part of the application has been successfully submitted in Grants.gov, the Grants.gov Workspace status will change from "In Progress" to "Submitted." Applicants will also receive a series of four Grants.gov email notifications. Refer to the [DOJ Application Submission Checklist](#) for additional details.

If an applicant needs to update information in the SF-424 after it is submitted in Grants.gov, they can update the information as part of their JustGrants submission (see [Application Contents, Submission Requirements, and Deadlines: Standard Applicant Information](#)). They do not need to submit an update in Grants.gov.

Submission Step 2: JustGrants Submission of Full Application Access/Registration

For first-time JustGrants applicants, once the application is received from Grants.gov, DOJ will send an email (from DIAMD-NoReply@usdoj.gov) to the email address listed in Section 8F of the SF-424 with instructions on how to create a JustGrants account. This email should arrive within 24 hours after confirmation from Grants.gov of the SF-424 submission.

Creating and setting up a JustGrants account consists of three steps:

1. Follow the instructions in the email to first confirm who will be the Entity Administrator (the person who manages which staff can access JustGrants on behalf of the applicant).
2. Log in to JustGrants and confirm the information in the Entity Profile.

3. Invite other individuals who will serve as the Application Submitter and the Authorized Representative for the applicant to register for JustGrants.

These steps should be completed in JustGrants as early as possible and recommended not later than 48 hours before the JustGrants deadline. Once registered in JustGrants, the Application Submitter will receive a link in an email to complete the rest of the application in JustGrants. Applicants can find additional information on JustGrants registration in the [DOJ Grant Application Submission Checklist](#).

Preparing for Submission

Some of the required sections of the application will be entered directly into JustGrants, and other sections will require documents to be uploaded and attached. Therefore, applicants should allow enough time before the JustGrants deadline to prepare, enter, and upload all the requirements of the application.

Applicants may save their application in the system and add to or change the application as needed prior to hitting the “Submit” button at the end of the application in JustGrants. After the application deadline, no changes or additions can be made to the application. **OJP recommends that applicants submit the complete application package in JustGrants at least 48 hours prior to the JustGrants deadline.**

For additional information, including file name and type requirements, see the “How To Apply” section in the [Application Resource Guide](#).

Standard Applicant Information

The Standard Applicant Information section of the JustGrants application is pre-populated with the SF-424 data submitted in Grants.gov. The applicant will need to review the Standard Applicant Information in JustGrants and can make whatever edits are needed. Within this section, the applicant will need to add ZIP codes for areas affected by the project; confirm their Authorized Representative; and confirm the organization’s unique entity identifier, legal name, and address.

Proposal Abstract

A proposal abstract (no more than 2,000 characters) summarizing the proposed project must be completed in the JustGrants web-based form. The text from abstracts will be made publicly available on the OJP.gov and USASpending.gov websites if the project is awarded, so this section of the application should not contain any personally identifiable information (e.g., the name of the project director).

The abstract should be in paragraph form without bullets or tables and written in the third person (e.g., they, the community, their, themselves, rather than I or we). The abstract should include the following information:

- The name of the applicant’s proposed project.
- The goals, objectives, and research questions to be addressed.
- The research design and methods.
- Work products to be produced and a plan for disseminating those products.

See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for an [example](#) of a proposal abstract.

Data Requested With Application

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status)

The Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire helps OJP assess what financial management and internal control systems the applicant has in place, whether these systems would be sufficient to maintain a funding award, and the associated potential risks of an applicant as part of the pre-award risk assessment process. Every OJP applicant (other than an individual applying in their personal capacity, not representing an applicant organization) is required to complete the web-based form in JustGrants. See the [Application Resource Guide: Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire \(including Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status\)](#) for additional guidance on how to complete the questionnaire.

Agency Funding Priorities Inventory

Applicants seeking priority consideration under the [Agency Funding Priorities](#) section should answer the inventory questions in their entirety in the web-based form in JustGrants.

Proposal Narrative

Format of the Proposal Narrative: The Proposal Narrative will be submitted as an attachment in JustGrants. The attached document should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point size font; have no less than 1-inch margins; have numbered pages; and should not exceed 25 pages. If the Proposal Narrative does not comply with these restrictions, OJP may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

Tables, charts, and graphic that are single-spaced or smaller than 12-point size font are permissible. Applicant should make certain they are legible.

Sections of the Proposal Narrative: The Proposal Narrative must include the five sections listed below. If the applicant seeks priority consideration, the Proposal Narrative must state which priority goal(s) the applicant's project will advance and describe how (see section, Agency Funding Priorities under Program Description).

1. Statement of the Problem – Background and Identification of Needs

Clearly define the issue the project seeks to address. This section should include:

The narrative should include an explanation of the SAC's placement within the state organizational structure, a brief summary of the qualifications of the SAC director and other key personnel, a description of the roles and responsibilities of key organizational or functional components involved in project activities, and a list of key personnel responsible for managing and implementing the major elements of the program.

2. Project Design and Implementation

The program narrative should fully describe the expected design and implementation of the proposed project(s). In developing the narrative, refer to the program design and focus areas described in the NOFO. This section must include a specific justification for each proposed project that explains exactly how the project will respond to capacity-building needs identified by the SAC. This explanation should in effect be a long-range

plan that includes (1) an assessment of the SAC's current data collection, analysis, and publication capabilities; (2) a description of how the project(s) proposed will enhance those capabilities; and (3) a description of how the capacity built will be maintained after the conclusion of the SJS award. The strength of the justification and plan included will be a consideration for BJS in making a final award decision on the SAC's application.

Include a summary paragraph of each project. Indicate the program theme for each project and the amount of funds proposed to complete each task. Associate a product or deliverable to each task, such as a report or publication.

3. Statement (for Special-Emphasis Projects)

The program narrative should include an impact statement to describe specifically how each project will support or enhance the priorities of BJS and the nation. The narrative should include a timeline of activities indicating, for each proposed activity, the projected duration of the activity, expected completion date, and any products expected.

4. Capabilities and Competencies – Status of Prior SJS Projects

Applications should include the status of each award the SAC received from FY 2020 through FY 2024. The narrative should list by theme the project(s) and associated products that the SAC proposed to conduct or produce during the grant period. If any were significantly revised or replaced, the applicant should briefly describe the revisions or replacements. For each project, the narrative should indicate its status by the following categories: Completed, In Process, or Revised/Replaced. It should also indicate whether it has been published, presented at a public meeting, or otherwise recognized by whatever means.

5. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for This NOFO's Performance Measures

Applicants should demonstrate knowledge of the performance measures outlined in this NOFO. The applicant should also detail how performance measures will be collected, who will be responsible for reporting on performance measures, and how the information will be used to guide and evaluate the impact of the project.

Note: An applicant is **not** required to submit performance data with the application. Rather, performance measure information is included to provide notice that award recipients will be required to submit performance data as part of each award's reporting requirements.

OJP will require each award recipient to submit regular performance data that show the completed work's results. BJS will require award recipients to submit performance measure data and performance reports in JustGrants. BJS will provide further guidance on the post-award submission process, if the applicant is selected for award.

Project Evaluations: An applicant that proposes to use award funds to conduct project evaluations must follow the guidance in the [“Note on Project Evaluations”](#) section in the [Application Resource Guide](#).

Budget and Associated Documentation: Budget Detail Form

The applicant will complete the JustGrants budget detail form. The form collects the individual costs under each cost category needed to implement the proposed project. Applicants should

ensure that the name/description of each cost is clear and provide the detailed calculation (e.g., cost per unit and number of units) for the total cost. Applicants should enter additional narrative, as needed, to fully describe the cost calculations and connection to the project goals and objectives (including, if applicable, describing connections to OJP priority goals).

Budget proposals should include the funding needed to implement the proposed activities. In preparing their budget proposals, applicants should consider what types of costs are allowable, if awarded funding. Costs are allowable when they are reasonable, allocable to, and necessary for the performance of the project funded under the federal award and when they comply with the funding statute and agency requirements, including the conditions of the award and the cost principles set out in [2 C.F.R. Part 200, Subpart E](#) and the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#).

Program-Specific Budget Information

- Provide details on individual tasks for each personnel position.
- Travel is allowed to attend program-specific meetings/conferences.
- A breakdown of budget categories per subaward/subgrant MUST be provided as additional documentation.
- A vendor's quote is required for equipment costs and contractual services.

Costs Associated With a Conference/Meeting/Training: An applicant that proposes to use award funds for activities related to a conference, meeting, training, or similar event should review the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on prior approval, planning, and reporting costs for a conference/meeting/training.

Costs Associated With Language Assistance and Access: If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable in specific grant programs. Costs to provide reasonable accommodation and facilitate language access for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing may also be allowable in specific grant programs. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on costs associated with language assistance.

For additional information about how to prepare a budget for federal funding, see the [Application Resource Guide](#) section on "[Budget Preparation and Submission Information](#)" and the technical steps to complete the budget form in JustGrants in the [Complete the Application in JustGrants: Budget](#) training.

Budget and Associated Documentation: Budget/Financial Attachments

Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable): An applicant with a current, federally approved indirect cost rate agreement should upload it as an attachment in JustGrants.

Indirect costs are costs of an organization that are not readily assignable to a particular project but are necessary for the operation of the organization and the performance of the project. Examples of costs usually treated as indirect include those incurred for operation and maintenance of offices or workspaces and salaries of administrative or support staff. The requirements for the development and submission of indirect cost proposals and cost allocation plans are listed in Appendices III–VII of 2 C.F.R. Part 200. A non-federal applicant should follow the guidelines applicable to its type of organization. See the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#) and

the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on indirect cost rate agreements.

Consultant Rate (if applicable): OJP has established maximum rates for consultants; see the “Listing of Costs Requiring Prior Approval” section of the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#) for more information. If an applicant proposes a rate for a consultant on their project that is higher than the established maximum rate and receives an award, then the award recipient must submit a document requesting approval for the rate and cannot incur costs at the higher rate without prior OJP approval. The award recipient must provide justification for why the proposed rate is higher than the established maximum rate, such as why the rate is reasonable and consistent with that paid for similar services in the marketplace.

Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation for Awards Over \$250,000; Waiver (if applicable): If an applicant proposes to hire employees with federal award funds, for any award of more than \$250,000, the recipient may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government’s Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year. Applicants may request a waiver from this requirement by submitting the appropriate form. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on the “Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation for Awards over \$250,000; Waiver.”

Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation (if applicable): This notice of funding opportunity expressly modifies the Application Resource Guide by not incorporating its “Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation” provisions. Applicants to this funding opportunity are not required to provide this disclosure.

Additional Application Components

The applicant should attach the additional requested documentation listed below in JustGrants.

- **Curriculum Vitae or Resumes:** Provide resumes of key personnel who will work on the proposed project or a description of the experience and skills of key personnel necessary to implement the project.
- **Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity Statement:** If an application proposes research (including research and development) and/or evaluation, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence and integrity, including appropriate safeguards, before it may receive award funds. The applicant will upload documentation of its research and evaluation independence and integrity as an attachment in JustGrants. For additional information, see the [Application Resource Guide](#).

- **Human Subjects Protection:** BJS requires the funding recipient to submit proper documentation certifying that the research project meets the federal requirements for human subjects protections set forth in DOJ regulations at 28 CFR Part 46. DOJ is not a signatory on the Revised Common Rule (45 CFR Part 46). BJS funded projects must be reviewed in accordance with 28 CFR Part 46 requirements. Applicants should complete the Human Subjects Protection Certification of Compliance form, available at [Human Subjects Protection, Confidentiality, and Data Management Plan Requirements](#). Applicants should attach the completed certification form in JustGrants.
- **Privacy Certificate:** The Privacy Certificate is a funding recipient's certification of compliance with federal regulations requiring confidentiality of information identifiable to a private person, which is collected, analyzed, or otherwise used in connection with an OJP-funded research or statistical activity. The funding recipient's Privacy Certificate includes a description of its policies and procedures to be followed to protect identifiable information. Applicants must specify in the Privacy Certificate the specific controls used to safeguard directly identifiable information against unauthorized disclosure. All project staff, including information technology personnel, subcontractors, and/or consultants, with access to identifiable data collected in conjunction with the BJS-funded activities are required to sign a Privacy Certificate to affirm their understanding of an agreement to comply with the terms of access and privacy requirements. Award recipients are responsible for maintaining an updated list of individuals with access to identifiable information and for ensuring that new staff who gain access to such information during the project period sign a Privacy Certificate. Additional information about BJS's privacy certification requirements, including a model Privacy Certificate, are available at: [Human Subjects Protection, Confidentiality, and Data Management Plan Requirements](#). Applicants should attach the completed Privacy Certificate in JustGrants.
- **Timeline:** Provide a timeline listing key activities and milestones, and the months during which they will take place.

Disclosures and Assurances

The applicant will address the following disclosures and assurances.

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities: JustGrants will prompt each applicant to indicate if it is required to complete and submit a lobbying disclosure under 31 U.S.C. § 1352.

The applicant is required by law to complete and submit a lobbying disclosure form (Standard Form/SF-LLL) if it has paid or will pay any person to lobby in connection with the award for which it is applying AND this application is for an award in excess of \$100,000. This disclosure requirement is not applicable to such payments by an Indian Tribe, Tribal organization, or any other Indian organization that are permitted by other federal law.

Lobbying means (for this requirement) influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a member of Congress. See 31 U.S.C. 1352; 28 C.F.R. part 69. Note: Most applicants do not engage in activities that trigger this disclosure requirement.

An applicant that is not required by law (31 U.S.C. 1352) to complete and submit a lobbying disclosure, should enter "No." By doing so, the applicant is affirmatively asserting (under

applicable penalties) that it has nothing to disclose under 31 U.S.C. § 1352 with regard to the application for the award at issue.

Disclosure of Duplication in Cost Items: To ensure funding coordination across grantmaking agencies, and to avoid unnecessary or inappropriate duplication of grant funding, the applicant must disclose if it has any pending applications for federal funding, including pending applications for subawards of federal funds, for the same project and the same budget items included in this proposal. Complete the JustGrants Applicant Disclosure of Duplication in Cost Items form. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

DOJ Certified Standard Assurances: Review and accept the DOJ Certified Standard Assurances in JustGrants. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

DOJ Certifications: Review the DOJ document [Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; Drug-Free Workplace Requirements; Coordination with Affected Agencies](#). An applicant must review and sign the certification document in JustGrants. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for more information.

Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High-Risk Grantees (if applicable): If applicable, submit the DOJ High-Risk Disclosure and Justification as an attachment in JustGrants. A DOJ high-risk recipient is an award recipient that has received a DOJ high-risk designation based on a documented history of unsatisfactory performance, financial instability, management system or other internal control deficiencies, noncompliance with award terms and conditions on prior awards, or that is otherwise not responsible. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

Submission Dates & Times

Refer to [Basic Information: Key Dates and Times](#) for the submission dates and times.

Applicants should submit their applications as early as possible and recommended not later than 48 hours before the deadlines. To be considered timely, the full application must be submitted in JustGrants by the JustGrants application deadline. Applicants will use the Certify and Submit feature in JustGrants to confirm that all required application components have been entered, which includes identifying the Authorized Representative for the applicant. Once the application is submitted, the Application Submitter, Authorized Representative, and Entity Administrator receive a confirmation email.

An applicant will receive emails after successfully submitting application components in Grants.gov and JustGrants and should retain all emails and other confirmations received from the SAM.gov, Grants.gov, and JustGrants systems.

Experiencing Technical Issues Preventing Submission of an Application (Technical Waivers)

If an applicant misses a deadline due to unforeseen technical issues with SAM.gov, Grants.gov, or JustGrants, the applicant may request a waiver to submit an application after the deadline. OJP will only consider requests to submit an application via alternative methods or after the deadline when the applicant can document that there is a technical issue with a government system that was beyond their control and that prevents submission of the application via the standard process prior to the deadlines. Issues resulting from circumstances within the applicant's control, such as failure to begin the SAM.gov, Grants.gov, or JustGrants registration and application process in sufficient time, will not be considered.

Requests and documentation must be sent to the OJP Response Center at OJP.ResponseCenter@usdoj.gov OR BJS at AskBJS@usdoj.gov. Applicants should follow these steps if they experience a technical issue:

- 1. Contact the relevant help desk to report the issue and receive a tracking number.**

See [Basic Information: Contact Information](#) for the phone numbers, email addresses, and operating hours of the SAM.gov, Grants.gov, and JustGrants help desks. Reports of technical issues to the help desk must occur **before** the application deadline.

If an applicant calls the help desk and experiences a long wait time, they can also email the help desk to obtain a tracking number. Tracking numbers are generated automatically when an applicant emails the applicable service desk, and for this reason, long call wait times for support do not relieve the applicant of the responsibility of getting a tracking number.

- 2. If an applicant has technical issues with SAM.gov or Grants.gov, the applicant must contact the OJP Response Center at OJP.ResponseCenter@usdoj.gov OR BJS at AskBJS@usdoj.gov within 24 hours of the Grants.gov deadline to request approval to submit after the deadline. The applicant's request will need to include:**

 - A description of the technical difficulties experienced (provide screenshots if applicable).
 - A timeline of the applicant's submission efforts (e.g., date and time the error occurred, date and time of actions taken to resolve the issue and resubmit, and date and time support representatives responded).
 - An attachment of the complete grant application and all the required documentation and materials (this serves as a "manual" submission of the application).
 - The applicant's unique entity identifier (UEI).
 - Any SAM.gov, Grants.gov, and JustGrants Service Desk tracking/ticket numbers documenting the technical issue.
- 3. If an applicant has technical issues with JustGrants that prevent application submission by the deadline, the applicant must contact the OJP Response Center at OJP.ResponseCenter@usdoj.gov OR BJS at AskBJS@usdoj.gov within 24 hours of the JustGrants deadline to request approval to submit after the deadline. See step 2 for the list of information the applicant must provide as part of its request.**

As a reminder: the waiver request will not be considered unless it includes documentation of attempts to receive technical assistance to resolve the issue prior to the application deadline. OJP will review each waiver request and the required supporting documentation and notify the applicant whether the request for late submission has been approved or denied. An applicant that does not provide documentation of a technical issue (including all information previously listed), or that does not submit a waiver request within the required time period, will be denied.

For more details on the waiver process, OJP encourages applicants to review the "Experiencing Technical Issues" section in the [Application Resource Guide](#).



APPLICATION REVIEW

Responsiveness (Basic Minimum Requirements) Review

OJP screens applications to ensure they meet the basic minimum requirements (BMR) prior to conducting the merit review. Following are the basic minimum requirements for this funding opportunity. If OJP determines that an application does not include these elements, it will not proceed to merit review and will not receive any further consideration.

- The application is submitted by an eligible applicant.
- The application is responsive to the scope of the NOFO.
- The following application elements are included:
 - SF-424 (Grants.gov)
 - Proposal Narrative (JustGrants)
 - Budget detail form, which includes the budget items, their calculations, and explanation (JustGrants)
 - The application must include all items necessary to meet the basic minimum requirements: proposal abstract, proposal narrative and JustGrants budget detail form.

Applicants whose application fails to meet the BMR are provided notice (including an appropriate point of contact for questions) within a few weeks after the submission due date.

Merit Review Criteria

Peer Review Criteria

Applications that meet the basic minimum requirements will be evaluated for technical merit by BJS reviewers based on how the proposed project/program addresses the following criteria:

- **Statement of the Problem/Description of the Issue:** What critical issue or problem the applicant is proposing to address with this project.
- **Project Goals and Objectives:** How the proposed project will address the identified need and the purpose of the funding opportunity.
- **Project Design and Implementation:** The strength of how the applicant will implement activities, including the soundness of the project design and how the activities align to the stated goals and objectives.
- **Capabilities and Competencies:** The applicant's administrative and technical capacity to successfully complete this project.
- **Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this NOFO's Performance Measures:** evaluate the applicant's understanding of the performance data requirements and the plan for collecting the required data.
- **Budget:** Completeness and cost effectiveness.
- **Other—Relevance:** Evaluate the extent to which the proposed project addresses the specific objectives of the SJS program.

Programmatic and Financial Review Criteria

After the BJS review, applications undergo additional programmatic and financial reviews. OJP staff may reach out to applicants during the programmatic or financial review if a submitted form is incomplete or needs to be updated. Note that OJP staff are not authorized to provide

information on reviews or comment on programmatic, risk, or budget/financial reviews while the merit review is in progress.

In addition to BMR and peer review criteria, other important considerations for OJP include geographic coverage, strategic priorities (including, but not limited to, the priority areas already mentioned, if applicable), available funding, past performance, and the extent to which the budget detail form accurately explains project costs that are reasonable, necessary, and otherwise allowable under federal law and applicable federal cost principles. If cost sharing/match is not required for this opportunity, applicants will not receive higher consideration by proposing a voluntary match contribution in their budget.

Risk Review

Pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, before award decisions are made, OJP also reviews information related to applicant risk. OJP assesses whether an applicant with one or more prior federal awards has a satisfactory record of performance, integrity, and business ethics, including by (among other things) checking whether the applicant is listed in SAM.gov as excluded from receiving a federal award.

Depending on the severity and nature of the risk factors, the risk assessment may result in the removal of an applicant from consideration or selection for award, or it may result in additional post-award conditions and oversight for an awarded applicant.

In addition, if OJP anticipates that an award will exceed \$250,000 in federal funds, OJP also must review and consider any information about the applicant that appears in the non-public segment of the integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.gov.

Important Note on Responsibility/Qualification Data (formerly FAPIIS): An applicant may review and comment on any information about its organization that currently appears in SAM.gov and was entered by a federal awarding agency. OJP will consider such comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in SAM.gov, in its assessment of the risk posed by the applicant.

Selection Process

All final award decisions will be made by the BJS Acting Director, unless a statute explicitly authorizes award decisions by another official or there is written delegation of authority to another official. This official may consider not only review ratings and BJS recommendations, but also other factors as indicated in the “Application Review” section to make final award decisions. For additional information on the application review process, see the [Application Resource Guide](#).



AWARD NOTICES

Federal Award Notices

For successful applicants, JustGrants will send a system-generated email to the Application Submitter, Authorized Representative, and Entity Administrator with information on accessing their official award package in JustGrants. The award package will include key information (such as funding amount and period of performance) as well as award conditions that must be followed. The Authorized Representative for the entity should accept or decline the award within 45 days of the notification. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on award notifications and instructions.

Applicants not selected for an award will receive notification after all award recipients have been notified. OJP also provides unsuccessful applicants with a summary of peer reviewer comments.

Future Funding Opportunities

OJP may, in certain cases, provide additional funding in future years to awards made under this funding opportunity through continuation awards. When making continuation award decisions, OJP will consider, among other factors, OJP's strategic priorities, a recipient's overall management of the award, and the progress of the work funded under the award.

Applications submitted under this FY 2025 funding opportunity may be funded in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and the availability of appropriations.



POST-AWARD REQUIREMENTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Reporting

All award recipients under this funding opportunity will be required to submit the following reports and data:

- Quarterly financial reports.
- Semi-annual performance reports.
- Final financial and performance reports.
- If applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements or specific award conditions.

See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on specific post-award reporting requirements, including performance measure data and the method for submitting reports in OJP's online systems. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent, and in appropriate cases, OJP may require additional reports.

Performance Measure Reporting

Award recipients are required to submit performance measure data and performance reports in JustGrants on a semi-annual basis. Applicants selected for an award will receive further guidance on post-award reporting processes.

Program- and Award-Specific Award Conditions

OJP includes various conditions on its awards. These may include program-specific conditions, which typically apply to all recipients of a funding opportunity, and award-specific conditions, which are included to address recipient-specific issues (e.g., programmatic or financial risk). Recipients may view all conditions, and actions required to satisfy those conditions, in the award package in JustGrants.

Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the OJP-approved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions and all applicable requirements of federal statutes and regulations, including the applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance. For additional information on these legal requirements, see the "Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements" section in the [Application Resource Guide](#).

Civil Rights Compliance

If a successful applicant accepts funding from OJP—as a recipient of OJP funding—that award recipient must comply with certain federal civil rights laws that prohibit the award recipient from discriminating on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, or disability in how it delivers its program's services or benefits and in its employment practices. The civil rights laws that may be applicable to the award include, but are not limited to, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the nondiscrimination provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. These and other federal civil rights laws are discussed in greater detail on OJP's [Legal Overview—FY 2025 Awards](#) webpage under the

“Civil Rights Requirements” section. Additional resources are available from the [OJP Office for Civil Rights](#).

Compliance with Federal civil rights and nondiscrimination laws is material to the government’s decision to make any award and payment under this program, including for purposes of the False Claims Act, and each recipient will be required to certify (in its acceptance of the conditions of the award) that it does not operate any programs (including any such programs having components relating to diversity, equity, and inclusion) that violate any applicable Federal civil rights or nondiscrimination laws.

See OJP’s [Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations webpage](#) for specific information for faith-based organizations applying under this NOFO.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including recipients or subrecipients that are pass-through entities) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303, comply with standards for financial and program management. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

Information Technology Security Clauses

An application in response to this NOFO may require inclusion of information related to information technology security. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for more information.

Other Reporting Requirements

Applicants and recipients are required to notify OJP if you know that you or any of your organization’s principals for the award transaction are presently excluded or disqualified (*i.e.*, debarred or suspended) or otherwise meet any of the criteria in 2 C.F.R. 180.335. Recipients must comply with requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 180, as implemented by DOJ in 2 C.F.R. Part 2867, which, among other things, require recipients to check certain information sources and, in some cases, notify the federal awarding agency prior to the agency awarding federal funds via contracts or subawards.

If a recipient’s award includes a federal share of more than \$500,000 over the period of performance of the award, then the award (per 2 C.F.R. 200.113) will include a condition that may require the recipient to report and maintain certain information (relating to certain criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings) in SAM.gov. See the [Reporting Requirements page](#) for more information.



OTHER INFORMATION

Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities

OJP may conduct or support an evaluation of the projects and activities funded under this NOFO. For additional information on what should be included in the application, see the [Application Resource Guide](#) section “Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities.”

Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for important information on the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 552 and 552a).

Applicants are advised not to include any unnecessary personally identifiable information, sensitive law enforcement information, or confidential financial information with the application.

Provide Feedback to OJP

See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for information on how to provide feedback to OJP.



APPLICATION CHECKLIST

BJS FY25 State Justice Statistics Program for Statistical Analysis Centers

This application checklist has been created as an aid in developing an application. For more information, reference the [“OJP Application Submission Steps”](#) in the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) and the [DOJ Application Submission Checklist](#).

SAM.gov Registration/Renewal

- Confirm that your entity’s registration in the System for Award Management (SAM.gov) is active through the NOFO period. Submit a new or renewal registration in SAM.gov, if needed (see [Application Resource Guide](#)).

Grants.gov Registration

- Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password (see [Application Resource Guide](#)).
- Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC) (see [Application Resource Guide](#)).

Grants.gov Opportunity Search

- Search for the funding opportunity in Grants.gov using the opportunity number, assistance listing number, or keyword(s).
- Access the funding opportunity and application package (see Step 7 under [“OJP Application Submission Steps”](#) in the [Application Resource Guide](#)).
- Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional) (see [Application Resource Guide](#)).

Funding Opportunity Review and Project Planning

- Review all sections of the NOFO.
- Confirm your entity is eligible to receive funding (see [Eligibility: Eligible Applicants](#)).
- Confirm your proposed budget is within the allowable limits (see [Basic Information: Funding Details](#)), includes only allowable costs (see [Application Contents, Submission Requirements, and Deadlines: Budget Detail Form](#)), and includes cost sharing if applicable (see [Eligibility: Cost Sharing/Match Requirement](#)).
- Review the performance measures for this funding opportunity and confirm you will be prepared to collect and report on this data (see [Program Description: Performance Measures](#)).
- Review the [“Legal Overview—FY 2025 Awards”](#) in the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#) and confirm you are prepared to follow the requirements.
- Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting under “Listing of Costs Requiring Prior Approval” in the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#) or see the [Application Resource Guide](#).

Submission Step 1: Grants.gov

After registering with SAM.gov, submit the SF-424 in Grants.gov.

- Complete and submit the SF-424 by the deadline.
- Confirm Section 8F of the SF-424 lists the name and contact information of the individual **who will complete the application in JustGrants**.

- Submit documents for Intergovernmental Review (if applicable).
- Confirm that, within 48 hours of your submission in Grants.gov, you receive four (4) Grants.gov email notifications:
 - A submission receipt
 - A validation receipt
 - A grantor agency retrieval receipt
 - An agency tracking number assignment
- If no Grants.gov receipt and validation email is received, or if error notifications are received, contact BJS at AskBJS@usdoj.gov or the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726, 606-545-5035, or support@grants.gov regarding technical difficulties (see the [Application Resource Guide](#) section on “[Experiencing Unforeseen Technical Issues](#)”).
- Confirm that, within 24 hours after receipt of confirmation emails from Grants.gov, the individual listed in Section 8F of the SF-424 receives an email from JustGrants with login instructions.

Submission Step 2: JustGrants

- Complete the following information:
 - Entity and User Verification (first-time applicants)
 - Standard Applicant Information
 - Proposal Abstract
 - Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see [Application Resource Guide](#))
 - Agency Funding Priorities Inventory
- Upload the Proposal Narrative.*
- Complete the budget detail form.*
- Upload other budget/financial attachments, as applicable.
- Upload additional application components, as applicable.
- Complete the required disclosures and assurances:
 - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities and submission of SF-LLL, if prompted by the system
 - Disclosure of Duplication in Cost Items
 - DOJ Certified Standard Assurances
 - Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High-Risk Grantees
- Complete the required DOJ Certification on Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; Drug-Free Workplace Requirements; Coordination with Affected Agencies.

****Note: Items designated with an asterisk must be submitted for an application to meet the basic minimum requirements review. If OJP determines that an application does not include the designated items, it will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration.***

JustGrants Review, Certification, and Application Submission

- Address any validation errors displayed on screen after attempted submission, then return to the “Certify and Submit” screen to submit the application.
- Note the confirmation message at the top of the page. Users will also receive a notification in the “bell” alerts confirming submission.
- If you do not receive an application submission confirmation email or validation from JustGrants, or if you receive an error notification, please contact the JustGrants Service Desk at 833-872-5175 or JustGrants.Support@usdoj.gov. See the [Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.